ZAGG Inc Form 10-Q August 05, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2015, or

Transition Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from [_______ to ______.

Commission File No. 000-52211

ZAGG INC

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

NevadaJ0-2559624

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3855 South 500 West, Suite J

Salt Lake City, Utah 84115

(Address of principal executive offices with zip code)

(801) 263-0699

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Accelerated Filer Large Accelerated Filer Non-accelerated Filer (do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-25 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 29,484,426 common shares as of July 29, 2015.

FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – As of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014	6
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	30
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	30
PART	II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	31
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	31
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	32
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	32

Page

Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	32
Item 5.	Other Information	32
Item 6.	Exhibits	32

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except par value)

(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
ASSETS		
Current assets Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$1,230 in 2015 and \$1,910 in 2014 Inventories Prepaid expenses and other current assets Deferred income tax assets	\$11,151 53,848 44,004 2,157 10,774	\$9,461 75,729 48,378 2,681 10,774
Total current assets	121,934	147,023
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation at \$9,376 in 2015 and \$7,659 in 2014	6,971	7,300
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization at \$37,560 in 2015 and \$33,242 in 2014	27,090	31,408
Deferred income tax assets	14,697	14,290
Note receivable	_	801
Other assets	1,514	457
Total assets	\$172,206	\$201,279
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities Accounts payable Income taxes payable Accrued liabilities Accrued wages and wage related expenses Deferred revenue	\$22,583 1,027 5,434 2,053 173	\$49,379 6,464 6,910 2,600 179
	115	117

Total liabilities	37,275	74,206
Stockholders' equity Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 100,000 shares authorized;	22	22
33,095 and 32,686 shares issued in 2015 and 2014, respectively	33	33 95 154
Additional paid-in capital Accumulated other comprehensive loss	86,638 (1,388)	85,154 (895)
Note receivable collateralized by stock	(1,388)	(348)
Treasury stock, 3,611 and 3,569 common shares in 2015 and 2014 respectively, at cost	· · · · ·	(19,576)
Retained earnings	69,597	62,705
Total stockholders' equity	134,931	127,073
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$172,206	\$201,279

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(in thousands, except for per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months June 30, 2015	Ended June 30, 2014	Six Months Er June 30, 2015	ded June 30, 2014
Net sales	\$66,689	\$50,154	\$123,905	\$99,157
Cost of sales	41,732	33,367	75,991	64,592
Gross profit	24,957	16,787	47,914	34,565
Operating expenses:				
Advertising and marketing	2,060	1,378	4,691	3,386
Selling, general and administrative	14,510	11,402	27,264	22,805
Amortization of definite-lived intangibles	2,134	2,427	4,268	4,855
Total operating expenses	18,704	15,207	36,223	31,046
Income from operations	6,253	1,580	11,691	3,519
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense	(26) (40) (53) (83)
Other income (expense)	(59) 24	21	113
Total other income (expense), net	(85) (16) (32) 30
Income before provision for income taxes	6,167	1,564	11,659	3,549
Income tax provision	(2,476) (771) (4,767) (1,769)
Net income	\$3,691	\$793	\$6,892	\$1,780
Earnings per share:				
Basic earnings per share	\$0.13	\$0.03	\$0.23	\$0.06
Diluted earnings per share	\$0.12	\$0.03	\$0.23	\$0.06

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months June 30, 2015	Three Months EndedJune 30, 2015June 30, 2014		nded June 30, 2014	
Net income	\$3,691	\$793	\$6,892	\$1,780	
Other comprehenseive income (loss), net of tax: Foreign currency translation gain (loss)	359	(12) (493) (121)	
Total other comprehensive gain (loss)	359	(12) (493) (121)	
Comprehensive income	\$4,050	\$781	\$6,399	\$1,659	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 June 30, 20	
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$6,892	\$1,780
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash		
provided by operating activities:		
Stock-based compensation	1,810	1,171
Excess tax benefits related to share-based payments	(186) (4)
Depreciation and amortization	6,049	6,052
Deferred income taxes	(407) (695)
Amortization of deferred loan costs	33	33
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable, net	21,631	4,530
Inventories	4,025	5,141
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	475	1,028
Other assets	30	55
Accounts payable	(26,514) 5,864
Income taxes payable	(5,251) (5,754)
Accrued liabilities	(1,251) (218)
Accrued wages and wage related expenses	(547) 511
Deferred revenues	(6) (101)
Sales return liability	(2,663) (782)
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,120	18,611
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchase of property and equipment	(1,893) (1,489)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,893) (1,489)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Proceeds from revolving credit facilities	2,218	10,438
Payments on revolving credit facilities	(2,218) (27,981)
Purchase of treasury stock	—	(2,488)
Payment of withholdings on restricted stock units	(718) —
Proceeds from exercise of warrants and options	206	182
Excess tax benefits related to share-based payments	186	4

Net cash used in financing activities	(326) (19,845)
Effect of foreign currency exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(211) (82)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,690	(2,805)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	9,461	15,031	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$11,151	\$12,226	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information Cash paid during the period for interest Cash paid during the period for taxes	\$27 \$10,339	\$69 \$8,179	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

(Dollars and shares in thousands)

(Unaudited)

Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2015:

Foreclosure on real property valued at \$1,099 that served as collateral to the note receivable (recorded as a component of other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet).

Purchase of \$476 in fixed assets financed through accounts payable.

Purchase of \$323 in treasury stock financed through accounts payable.

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014:

None.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

(1) NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

ZAGG Inc and its subsidiaries ("ZAGG" or the "Company") design, produce, and distribute professional and premium creative product solutions such as InvisibleShield® screen protection, keyboards for tablet computers and mobile devices, keyboard cases, earbuds, mobile power solutions, cables, and cases under the ZAGG and InvisibleShield brands. In addition, the Company designs, produces, and distributes earbuds, headphones, Bluetooth speakers, cases, and cables for mobile devices under the iFrogz brand in the fashion and youth-oriented lifestyle sector.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) that, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present fairly the financial position, the results of operations, and cash flows of the Company for the periods presented. The Company suggests that these condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Operating results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year.

The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of ZAGG Inc and its wholly owned subsidiaries ZAGG International Distribution Limited ("ZAGG International"); Patriot Corporation; ZAGG Intellectual Property Holding Co, Inc. ("ZAGG IP"); ZAGG Retail, Inc.; and iFrogz, Inc. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Significant Accounting Policies

There have been no significant changes to the Company's significant accounting policies as described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued an Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)." This ASU includes a five-step process by which entities will recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in amounts that reflect the consideration to which an entity expects to be entitled to in exchange for those goods or services. The standard also will require enhanced disclosures to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. On July 9, 2015, the FASB voted to approve a one year deferral of the effective date of this ASU. As a result, the Company expects that it will apply the new revenue standard to annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this ASU will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, "Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period". This ASU requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. The ASU states that a reporting entity should apply existing guidance in Topic 718 *Compensation – Stock Compensation*, to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting. The ASU is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, "Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern." This ASU describes how an entity should assess its ability to meet obligations and sets disclosure requirements for how this information should be disclosed in the financial statements. The standard provides accounting guidance that will be used with existing auditing standards. The ASU applies to all entities for the first annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and interim periods thereafter. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-02, "Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis", to improve targeted areas of the consolidation guidance and reduce the number of consolidation models. The new consolidation standard changes the way reporting enterprises evaluate whether (a) they should consolidate limited partnerships and similar entities, (b) fees paid to a decision maker or service provider are variable interests in a variable interest entity (VIE), and (c) variable interests in a VIE held by related parties of the reporting enterprise require the reporting enterprise to consolidate the VIE. It also eliminates the VIE consolidation model based on majority exposure to variability that applied to certain investment companies and similar entities. This ASU is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, with early adoption permitted. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, "Interest – Imputation of Interest: Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs." This ASU requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The ASU is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-11, "Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory." This ASU provides guidance to entities that measure inventory using a method other than last-in, first-out (LIFO) or the retail inventory method. For entities using first-in, first-out (FIFO) or average cost, the measurement principle for their inventory changes from the lower of cost or market to lower of cost and net realizable value. Current U.S. GAAP requires, at each financial statement date, that entities measure inventory at the lower of cost or market. The measurement of market is commonly the current replacement cost. However, entities also need to consider net realizable value and net realizable value less an approximately normal profit margin in their measurement. For entities using a method other

than LIFO or the retail inventory method, the ASU replaces market with net realizable value. This ASU requires prospective adoption for inventory measurement for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those years for public business entities. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this ASU will have on its consolidated financial statements.

(2)

INVENTORIES

At June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, inventories consisted of the following:

June 30, December 31, 2015 2014 Finished goods \$43,950 \$48,145 Raw materials 54 233 Total inventories \$44,004 \$48,378

In addition, included in prepaid expenses and other current assets were inventory deposits with third-party manufacturers at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 of \$1,256 and \$1,425, respectively.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

(3) INVESTMENT IN HZO

HZO, Inc. ("HZO") is a private company engaged in the development of water-blocking technologies for consumer and industrial applications. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company accounted for its investment in HZO under the equity method of accounting. Due to an equity raise by HZO during the fourth quarter of 2013 that reduced ZAGG's ownership percentage below 20%, the Company began accounting for the investment as a cost method investment.

The carrying value of the investment at December 31, 2014 was \$0 due to the accumulated losses. The balance at June 30, 2015 remains at \$0.

(4)

INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Amortizable intangibles as of June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, were as follows:

As of June 30, 2015

	715 OI Juli			
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Amortization Period
Customer relationships	\$41,500	\$ (26,495)	\$15,005	8.0 years
Non-compete agreements	4,100	(3,339)	761	4.8 years
Other Trademarks	3,500	(2,410)	1,090	9.7 years
iFrogz Trademark	7,038	(1,728)	5,310	10.0 years
EarPollution Trademark	2,383	(1,228)	1,155	8.0 years
Other	600	(573)	27	5.0 years
Acquired technology	709	(316)	393	7.0 years
Internet address	124	(84)	40	10.0 years

Patents	4,696	(1,387)	3,309	12.5-14.0 years
Total amortizable assets	\$64,650	\$ (37,560)	\$27,090	8.4 years

	As of December 31, 2014			
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Carrving	Weighted Average Amortization Period
Customer relationships	\$41,500	\$ (23,839	\$17,661	8.0 years
Non-compete agreements	4,100	(2,949	1,151	4.8 years
Other Trademarks	3,500	(2,216	1,284	9.7 years
iFrogz Trademark	7,038	(1,152	5,886	10.0 years
EarPollution Trademark	2,383	(1,026	1,357	8.0 years
Other	600	(554	46	5.0 years
Acquired technology	709	(267	442	7.0 years
Internet address	124	(78	46	10.0 years
Patents	4,696	(1,161	3,535	12.5-14.0 years
Total amortizable assets	\$64,650	\$ (33,242	\$31,408	8.4 years

Customer relationships, trademarks, and other intangibles are amortized on an accelerated basis consistent with their expected future cash flows over their estimated useful life, which results in accelerated amortization. The remaining amortizable intangible assets are amortized using the straight line method over their estimated useful life. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, amortization expense was \$2,163 and \$4,318, respectively. Amortization expense was primarily recorded as a component of operating expense. However, amortization expense related to acquired technology for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, of \$29 and \$50, respectively, was recorded as a component of cost of sales.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, amortization expense was \$2,453 and \$4,900, respectively. Amortization expense related to acquired technology for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, of \$26 and \$45, respectively, was recorded as a component of cost of sales.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

Estimated future amortization expense is as follows:

Remaining 2015	\$4,243
2016	7,125
2017	5,648
2018	4,626
2019 and thereafter	5,448
Total	\$27,090

(5)

DEBT AND LETTERS OF CREDIT

On December 23, 2014, the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo"), entered into the Third Amendment to Credit Agreement ("Third Amendment"), which modified the original Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") entered into between the Company and Wells Fargo on December 7, 2012 and all subsequent amendments to the Credit Agreement (First Amendment to the Credit Agreement entered into on December 20, 2013 and Second Amendment to the Credit Agreement entered into on November 4, 2014). The line of credit includes a letter of credit sub-feature that allows the Company to issue standby commercial letters of credit against the line of credit, not to exceed at any time an aggregate of \$5,000. During the three and six months ending June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company did not issue any standby commercial letters of credit.

The Third Amendment provided a \$25,000 line of credit and extended the maturity date from December 1, 2015 to December 1, 2016. At June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the total balance outstanding on the line of credit was zero.

In connection with entering into the Third Amendment, the Company paid to Wells Fargo an amendment fee of \$10 as well as related legal and collateral examination fees.

Borrowings and repayments under the line of credit may occur from time to time in the Company's ordinary course of business through December 1, 2016. Any outstanding borrowings under the line of credit mature and are due on

December 1, 2016.

Any outstanding principal balance under the line of credit bears interest at a fluctuating rate per annum determined to be the sum of the (1) LIBOR margin established under the Credit Agreement (with the initial LIBOR margin being set at 1.25%) and (2) Daily Three Month LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement) in effect from time to time. Each change in the rate of interest will become effective on each business day on which a change in daily three month LIBOR is announced by Wells Fargo.

Pursuant to the terms of the Third Amendment, Wells Fargo will adjust the LIBOR margin used to determine the rate of interest under the line of credit on a quarterly basis. The applicable LIBOR margin is calculated based on the Company's ratio of total liabilities to tangible net worth (as these terms are defined in the Credit Agreement) in accordance with the following table:

Total Liabilities to Tangible Net Worth	Applicable LIBOR Margin			
1.00 or greater	1.25 %			
0.65 or greater, but less than 1.00	1.00 %			
Less than 0.65	0.75 %			

Under the line of credit, each adjustment is effective on the first business day of the Company's fiscal quarter following the quarter during which Wells Fargo receives and reviews the Company's most current fiscal quarter-end financial statements in accordance with the requirements established in the Credit Agreement.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

In addition, under the Third Amendment the Company pays Wells Fargo a quarterly fee based on the average unused amount of the line of credit depending on the Company's leverage ratio (as this term is defined in the Credit Agreement) based on the following table:

Leverage Ratio	Applicable Unused Commitment Fee (per annum)
1.00 or greater0.65 or greater, but less than 1.00Less than 0.65	0.35% 0.25% 0.15%

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, \$9 and \$18, respectively, in unused line fees was incurred and is included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, \$22 and \$45, respectively, in unused line fees was incurred and is included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

At June 30, 2015 and 2014, the weighted average interest rate on all outstanding borrowings under the line of credit was 1.13% and 1.00%, respectively. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, the effective interest rate was 1.13% and 1.11%, respectively.

The Company originally incurred and capitalized \$238 of direct costs related to the establishment of the Credit Agreement. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company amortized \$17 and \$33, respectively of these loan costs, which is included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company amortized \$16 and \$33, respectively of these loan costs, which is included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

The Company amortizes deferred loan costs under the straight-line method. The carrying value of deferred loan costs at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, was \$27 and \$60, respectively, and is included as a component of noncurrent other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The Company is also subject to a number of financial and non-financial debt covenants under the Credit Agreement. At June 30, 2015, the Company was in compliance with all covenants associated with the Credit Agreement.

Payment in full under the Credit Agreement is due on December 1, 2016. At June 30, 2015, the balance on the line of credit was zero.

(6) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Common Stock Options

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company granted no stock option awards.

The Company recorded share-based compensation expense only for those options that are expected to vest. The estimated fair value of the stock options is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is generally the vesting term of the award. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded equity-based compensation expense related to stock options of \$0 as all stock option awards that have been granted are vested. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company recorded equity-based compense related to stock options of \$28.

Restricted Stock Units

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company granted zero and 545 restricted stock units, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company granted 191 and 470 restricted stock units, respectively. The restricted stock units granted during the six months ended June 30, 2015, were estimated to have a weighted-average fair value per share of \$6.51. The restricted stock units granted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, were estimated to have a weighted-average fair value per share of \$4.63 and \$4.60, respectively. The fair value of restricted stock units granted is based on the closing share price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The restricted stock units vest annually on a straight-line basis over a 10-month to three-year vesting term, depending on the terms of the individual grant.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

As part of the 545 and 470 grants discussed above, during the first six months of 2015 and 2014, the Company granted 268 and 108 restricted stock units, respectively, to certain executives of the Company. The restricted stock units granted in 2015 and 2014 only vest upon the Company's achievement of specified thresholds of net sales, Adjusted EBITDA, and earnings per share for fiscal year 2015 and 2014, respectively. If the Company achieves the target amount of net sales, Adjusted EBTIDA, and earnings per share for the terms of the respective grants. If the Company achieves less than 100% of the target for the applicable performance period, but above a predetermined floor, the number of restricted stock units vested will decrease proportionately. However, if the Company's performance for the applicable performance period, the number of restricted stock units vested will increase proportionately up to, but will not exceed, 115% of the target 268 and 108 restricted stock units for 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of June 30, 2015, the Company believes it is probable that it will achieve 100% of the target for the restricted stock granted in the first six months of 2015. Of the 108 restricted stock units granted and 20% of the target for the restricted stock granted in 2014, respectively. As of June 30, 2015, the Company believes it is probable that it will achieve 100% of the target for the restricted stock granted in the first six months of 2015. Of the 108 restricted stock units granted in 2014, 76 shares vested and 32 shares were forfeited.

As part of the 545 grants discussed above, during the first six months of 2015, the Company granted 213 restricted stock units to its chief executive officer. These restricted stock units only vest upon the following performance conditions being met for the year 2015: (1) the Company's achievement of a gross profit margin equal to or in excess of 31.9% (2) the Company's achievement of certain cost savings initiatives within cost of sales specified by the compensation committee of the board of directors and (3) the chief executive officer's continued employment. As of June 30, 2015, the Company believes it is probable that the performance conditions will be met and that the restricted stock units granted will vest.

The Company recorded share-based compensation expense only for those restricted stock units that are expected to vest. The estimated fair value of restricted stock units is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is generally the vesting term of the award. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded equity-based compensation expense related to restricted stock units of \$934 and \$1,810, respectively, which is included as a component of selling, general and administrative expense. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company recorded equity-based compensation expense related to restricted stock units of \$650 and \$1,143, respectively, which is included as a component of selling, general and administrative expense.

During the six months ended June 30, 2015, certain ZAGG employees elected to receive a net amount of shares upon the vesting of a restricted stock unit grant in exchange for the Company paying the employees' tax liabilities for the fair value of the award on the vesting date. This resulted in the Company paying \$718, which is reflected as a reduction of additional paid-in capital.

During the three months ended June 30, 2015, the Company incurred incremental expense related to the departure of its former chief financial officer. Expenses incurred during the period include separation pay of \$117 related to the acceleration of vesting on 15 restricted stock units that were previously scheduled to vest during the first quarter of 2016.

(7) INCOME TAXES

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company's effective tax rate was 40% and 41%, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company's effective tax rate was 49% and 50%, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily due to reduced losses from foreign jurisdictions that are taxed at a 0% rate. The Company's effective tax rate will generally differ from the U.S. Federal Statutory rate of 35%, due to foreign and state taxes, permanent items, and the Company's global tax strategy.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(8)

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per common share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share reflect the potential dilution that could occur if stock options and restricted stock, or other common stock equivalents were exercised or converted into common stock. The dilutive effect of stock options or other common stock equivalents is calculated using the treasury stock method.

The following is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used to calculate basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Three months ended		
	June 30,	June 30,	
	2015	2014	
Net income	\$3,691	\$793	
Weighted average shares outstanding:			
Basic	29,521	30,281	
Dilutive effect of warrants, restricted stock and stock options	233	294	
Diluted	29,754	30,575	
Earnings per share:			
Basic	\$0.13	\$0.03	
Dilutive	\$0.12	\$0.03	

			Six months ended		
			June 30,	June 30,	
			2015	2014	
Net income			\$6,892	\$1,780	
XX7 · 1 / 1	1	 1.			

25

Weighted average shares outstanding:

Basic	29,451	30,414
Dilutive effect of warrants, restricted stock and stock options	265	305
Diluted	29,716	30,719
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$0.23	\$0.06
Dilutive	\$0.23	\$0.06

For the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, warrants, restricted stock, and stock options to purchase 100 and 512, respectively, shares of common stock were not considered in calculating diluted earnings per share because the warrant or stock option exercise prices or the total expected proceeds under the treasury stock method for the warrants, restricted stock, or stock options was greater than the average market price of common shares during the period and, therefore, the effect would be anti-dilutive. Also excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 were 466 and 108, respectively, restricted stock units granted that were not yet vested as the performance conditions were not met (see further discussion in Note 6).

For the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, warrants, restricted stock, and stock options to purchase 270 and 514 shares of common stock, were not considered in calculating diluted earnings per share because the warrant or stock option exercise prices or the total expected proceeds under the treasury stock method for the warrants, restricted stock, or stock options was greater than the average market price of common shares during the period and, therefore, the effect would be anti-dilutive. Also excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, were 466 and 108, respectively, restricted stock units granted that were not yet vested as the performance conditions were not met (see further discussion in Note 6).

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

(9)

TREASURY STOCK

In fiscal year 2015 and 2014, the Company's board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$15,000 and \$10,000, respectively, of the Company's outstanding common stock. The Company's board of directors also authorized the Company to enter into a Rule 10b5-1 plan when appropriate.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 the Company purchased 42 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock for consideration of \$323, which included commissions paid to brokers of \$1. Stock purchased in the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 had a weighted average price per share of \$7.68. The consideration paid has been recorded within stockholders' equity in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 the Company purchased zero and 556 shares, respectively, of ZAGG Inc common stock. Cash consideration paid for the purchase of ZAGG Inc common stock for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$0 and \$2,488, respectively. Cash consideration for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 included commissions paid to brokers of \$0 and \$16, respectively. Stock purchased in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 had a weighted average price per share of \$0 and \$4.45, respectively. The consideration paid has been recorded within stockholders' equity in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

(10)

NOTE RECEIVABLE

In June 2008, Lorence Harmer became a member of the Company's board of directors and in December 2009, was appointed as the chairman of the audit committee. Mr. Harmer introduced the Company to a consumer electronics product, which became known as the ZAGGbox. The ZAGGbox was intended to aggregate digital content such as music, pictures, videos, and movies into a single location so that users could share the content with most other networked media players, including mobile devices. After investigating the market opportunity for the ZAGGbox, the Company determined in June 2009 that it wished to obtain certain rights for the development and sale of the ZAGGbox in North America. The Company entered into negotiations with Teleportall, LLC ("Teleportall"), the owner of the technology used in the ZAGGbox, regarding production and distribution of the ZAGGbox. On June 17, 2009, the Company issued its initial purchase order for ZAGGbox units in the amount of \$3,500 and advanced to Teleportall

a total of \$1,153 representing a \$200 non-recurring engineering (NRE) fee and \$953 in payment of 30% of the total purchase price for the units ordered by the Company. Mr. Harmer participated in the negotiations between the Company and Teleportall, and continued to represent the Company throughout 2009 and 2010 concerning the ZAGGbox. In May 2010, the Company entered into a Distribution and License Agreement with Teleportall, which memorialized Teleportall's agreement to manufacture and deliver ZAGGboxes to the Company and appointed the Company as the exclusive distributor for the ZAGGbox in North America. Additionally, in May 2010, the Company entered into an agreement with Harmer Holdings, LLC ("Holdings"), an affiliate of Mr. Harmer, under which Holdings agreed to repurchase unsold ZAGGboxes under certain circumstances.

Teleportall proceeded to develop the ZAGGbox and provided periodic progress reports to the Company. However, Teleportall did not deliver the product in time for the 2009 Christmas selling season. Subsequently, during the December 1, 2009, meeting of the Board of Directors of the Company, Mr. Harmer disclosed to the other members of the Board that he owned an interest in Teleportall. After a discussion about his financial interest in Teleportall during that meeting, Mr. Harmer stated he was willing to divest himself of any ownership in Teleportall, and the Board of Directors voted unanimously to accept Mr. Harmer's proposal that he would do so, and assumed thereafter that Mr. Harmer had completed his divestiture.

The development of the product continued in 2010 with the expectation that the product would be delivered in time for the 2010 Christmas selling season. The Company made additional payments for long lead-time parts to Teleportall in the aggregate amount of \$2,747. When it became obvious to the Company that the product would not be ready to market and sell during the 2010 Christmas season, the Company commenced discussions to restructure the Distribution and License Agreement with Teleportall. During the course of those discussions, the Company learned in January 2011 that Mr. Harmer did not divest himself of any interest in Teleportall following the December 2009 meeting of the Board of Directors of the Company where he agreed to do so, but retained an indirect ownership interest of 25% in Teleportall as well as other entities potentially affiliated with the ZAGGbox. As a result of the foregoing, the Company entered into an agreement with Teleportall, Mr. Harmer and several entities owned or controlled by Mr. Harmer (the "Harmer Agreement"), dated March 23, 2011, but subject to further negotiations and ratification through April 5, 2011. Pursuant to the Harmer Agreement, the parties agreed to terminate the Distribution and License Agreement on the following terms:

- Mr. Harmer, Teleportall, and certain of their affiliates delivered a promissory note (the "Note") dated March 23, 2011, to the Company in the original principal amount of \$4,126 which accrues interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 4% per annum (adjusted quarterly) payable as follows: (i) interest only payments (a) on September 23, 2011, and (b) thereafter on or before the last day of each calendar quarter, (ii) 50% of the net profits of each ZAGGbox sale by Teleportall and its affiliates to be applied, first, to accrued interest and, second, to the principal balance of the Note, and (iii) the unpaid balance of principal and interest due in full on March 23, 2013. The principal amount of the Note is equal to the aggregate amount of the payments made by the Company to Teleportall plus the internal cost of the ZAGGbox project incurred by the Company. The Note is secured by certain real property, interests in entities that own real property and restricted and free-trading securities.
- Teleportall and the Company entered into a License Agreement on March 23, 2011 under which the Company licensed to Teleportall the use of certain ZAGG names and trademarks to sell and distribute the ZAGGbox product. Teleportall agreed to pay ZAGG a 10% royalty on net sales of ZAGGboxes per calendar quarter as a license fee.
- Teleportall and ZAGG entered into a non-exclusive, two year Commission Agreement on March 23, 2011, under which Teleportall could make introductions of many ZAGG products in all countries where ZAGG did not then have exclusive dealing agreements in respect of the marketing, distribution or sale of its products. The Commission Agreement provided that (a) it would automatically terminate concurrent with any uncured default under the Note, and (b) the term could be extended for an additional time period on reasonable terms if Teleportall's introductions during the initial two-year term resulted in the purchase of no less than \$25,000 of ZAGG products during the initial term. Payment terms of the Commission Agreement are as follows:

10.0% commission payments on orders received by the Company from retailers and distributors first introduced to the Company by Teleportall during the first 60 days after the introduction is made (the "Load-in Period") to be split 50/50 between cash to Teleportall and principal payments on the Note. However, all commission payments will be paid to ZAGG if Teleportall is in breach of the terms of the Note or any other agreements between the parties;

3.0% commission on all orders within the first 24 months after the Load-in Period, and 2.0% thereafter, from retailers and distributors first introduced to the Company as described under the terms set forth in the preceding bullet point. The 3.0% and 2.0% commissions will be split 50/50 between cash to Teleportall and principal payments on the Note; and

3.0% commission on all orders generated in countries where Teleportall is paid commission under the terms of the preceding two bullet points (excluding the United States), regardless of Teleportall's involvement in ZAGG's receipt of the order until the first to occur of (i) payment in full of the Note, (ii) termination of the Commission Agreement or (iii) 24 months after the applicable Load-in Period.

No revenue has been recognized from Teleportall.

As part of the Harmer Agreement, the Company modified a previously granted stock option award to Mr. Harmer, which resulted in a charge of \$1,560 that was recorded in the second quarter of 2011. The charge was recorded in the second quarter of 2011 due to further negotiations and ratification on April 5, 2011. The further negotiations concerned the restricted legend placed on 80 shares of stock subject to repayment of the Note.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

The Note was originally accounted for under the cost recovery method and was originally included in the consolidated balance sheet at \$3,900 which was the value of the ZAGGbox inventory advances. The original face value of the Note of \$4,126 was for reimbursement of the inventory advances and other costs associated with the ZAGGbox and approximated fair value at March 23, 2011, as the variable interest rate on the Note approximated market rates.

On September 20, 2011, and prior to the due date of the first interest-only payment due on the Note, Mr. Harmer and two of his affiliates, Holdings and Teleportall, filed a lawsuit in Utah state court (the "Court") against the Company, Robert G. Pedersen, II (ZAGG's former CEO), Brandon T. O'Brien (ZAGG's former CFO) and KPMG LLP (ZAGG's independent registered public accounting firm). KPMG LLP and Messrs. Pedersen and O'Brien were subsequently dismissed from the lawsuit. In their lawsuit, the plaintiffs allege that the defendants defamed Mr. Harmer, breached the Harmer Agreement and interfered with other rights of the plaintiffs.

Mr. Harmer failed to make the required interest-only payment to the Company due on September 23, 2011. Mr. Harmer failed to cure the default and ZAGG commenced foreclosure on the collateral securing the Note, which consists of real property, interests in entities that own real property, and restricted and free-trading securities, which included 45 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock. In addition to the collateral, Mr. Harmer had also agreed that he would not sell 80 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock until two months after the Note was paid in full. Given the Note is full recourse, and the 80 shares have a restrictive legend associated with repayment of the Note, the Company will recover the 80 shares in partial payment of the Note balance.

On May 21, 2015, the Court issued a final judgment whereby all claims brought by Harmer were disposed of in favor of ZAGG and dismissed with prejudice. In addition, the Court granted summary judgment in favor of ZAGG on all counterclaims against Harmer and ZAGG was awarded the full amount of the Note, accrued interest, and reasonable attorney fees. Upon notice of this favorable outcome the Company began the foreclosure process on all remaining collateral securing the Note.

On June 29, 2015, the Company foreclosed on certain real property securing the Note. The certain real property was valued by an independent appraiser and determined to have a current fair value of \$1,099. In conjunction with the foreclosure, the Company reclassified \$801 of the Note receivable previously collateralized by the foreclosed real property and included in other assets, and \$298 of the Note receivable collateralized by ZAGG Inc stock, as a \$1,099

asset held for sale and presented it as a component of other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. After this reclassification, the remaining balance of the Note receivable is \$50.

As of June 30, 2015, management determined that the estimated fair value of the remaining underlying collateral was between \$770 and \$905. This estimated fair value consists of (1) \$634 related to the 80 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock and (2) estimated value on certain real property of between \$136 and \$271. As management has not been able to ascertain whether Mr. Harmer owns 50% or 100% of Holdings, management used the low end of the above range (\$770) and compared it to the carrying amount of the Note of \$50. On July 13, 2015 the Company foreclosed on the 80 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock previously held by the Third District Court in the State of Utah. The value of the 80 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock on the date the Company took possession was \$679. Accordingly, the Company believes that the remaining Note balance of \$50, all of which is classified as an offset to equity representing collateral secured by ZAGG common stock, appears to be collectable. Ultimately, any recovery in excess of the carrying value of the Note will be recognized when realized.

Since the Note became collateral dependent in October 2011, management has (1) foreclosed on and sold 45 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock for \$496 (December 2011); (2) foreclosed on real property valued at \$250 (January 2012); (3) foreclosed on stock and warrants in a private company of \$516 (May 2012) and (4) foreclosed on real property valued at \$1,099 as discussed above. These foreclosures were recorded as a reduction to the Note in the period in which the foreclosure occurred. In addition, on July 13, 2015, the Company executed on the 80 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock which Harmer had agreed not to sell until two months after the Note was paid in full. Management continues to actively pursue the foreclosure of all remaining collateral and execution on other assets of Harmer, Holdings and Teleportall.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

At June 30, 2015, the total unpaid principal balance, including accrued interest, late fees and costs incurred in collection, totaled \$3,923.

(11)

FAIR VALUE MEASURES

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

At June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company's financial instruments included cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and a note receivable. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term maturities of these financial instruments.

At June 30, 2015 the fair value of the note receivable was estimated by management to be \$770 based on the fair value of the underlying collateral.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures at fair value certain financial and non-financial assets by using a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, essentially an exit price, based on the highest and best use of the asset or liability. The levels of the fair value hierarchy are:

Level 1 — Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 — Significant other observable inputs (e.g., quoted prices for similar items in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar items in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable such as interest rate and yield curves, and market-corroborated inputs); and

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting unit to develop its own assumptions.

At June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the following assets and liabilities were measured at fair value on a recurring basis using the level of inputs shown:

		Fair Va Using:	lue Mea	asureme	nts
	June	_		Level	Level
	30,	Level 1	Inputs	2	3
	2015		•	Inputs	Inputs
Money market funds included in cash equivalents	\$375	\$	375	_	

		Fair Value Measurements Using:			nts
	December 31, 2014	Level 1	Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs
Money market funds included in cash equivalents	\$ 374	\$	374		

(12)

CONCENTRATIONS

Concentration of credit risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and accounts receivable. The Company places its cash with high credit quality financial institutions. The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts, which, at times, exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts through June 30, 2015.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

At June 30, 2015, two customers had accounts receivable balances of approximately 24% and 15%. No other customer account balances were more than 10% of accounts receivable. At December 31, 2014, two customers had accounts receivable balances of approximately 48% and 14%. No other customer account balances were more than 10% of accounts receivable. If one or more of the Company's significant customers were to become insolvent or were otherwise unable to pay for the products provided, it would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Concentration of supplier

The Company's logistics partners arrange for production of its raw materials related to the InvisibleShield film products primarily from one source. Management is aware of similar raw materials that would be available from other sources if required and has current plans to immediately engage such resources if necessary. A change in supplier, however, could cause a delay in manufacturing and a possible loss of sales, which could adversely affect operating results.

Concentration of sales

For the three months ended June 30, 2015, two customers individually accounted for over 10% of the revenues at 23% and 14%, respectively. No other customers accounted for more than 10% of sales. For the three months ended June 30, 2014, two customers individually accounted for over 10% of the revenues at 31% and 19%, respectively. No other customers accounted for more than 10% of sales. If the Company loses one or more of its significant customers, it would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The percentage of sales by geographic region for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, was approximately:

	Thre	ee	Three		
	mon	ths	months		
	ende	ed	ended		
	June)	June		
	30,		30,		
	2015		201	4	
United States	91	%	90	%	
Europe	8	%	7	%	
Other	1	%	3	%	

For the six months ended June 30, 2015, three customers individually accounted for over 10% of the revenues at 21%, 11% and 11%, respectively. No other customers accounted for more than 10% of sales. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, two customers individually accounted for over 10% of the revenues at 25% and 19%, respectively. No other customers accounted for more than 10% of sales. If the Company loses one or more of its significant customers, it would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The percentage of sales by geographic region for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, was approximately:

	Six		Six		
	mon	ths	months		
	ended		ended		
	June		June		
	30,		30,		
	2015		2014	1	
United States	92	%	86	%	
Europe	7	%	9	%	
Other	1	%	5	%	

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

(13)

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating leases

The Company leases office and warehouse space, office equipment, and mall cart locations under operating leases that expire through 2017. Future minimum rental payments required under the operating leases at June 30, 2015 are as follows:

Remaining 2015	\$483
2016	370
2017	84
Total	\$937

On December 5, 2014, the Company entered into a lease agreement for new office space in an under construction building located at 7200 South Bingham Junction Boulevard in Murray, Utah. The Company is expecting to rent the fourth and fifth floors of the building, which will become the new corporate office location. It is expected that the Company will begin constructing leasehold improvements during the first quarter of 2016 and move into the office space when the leasehold construction is complete. The initial lease term is for 90 months and straight line monthly rent is expected to be approximately \$110, though the final rent will be determined based on the final rentable square feet. The lease term and monthly payments will not commence until the Company occupies the office space.

For the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, rent expense was \$398 and \$407, respectively. Rent expense for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was net of sublease income of \$0 and \$181, respectively.

For the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, rent expense was \$792 and \$968, respectively. Rent expense for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was net of sublease income of \$0 and \$348, respectively.

Commercial Litigation

Lorence A. Harmer, et al v ZAGG Inc et al, Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of Utah, Civil No. 110917687. On September 20, 2011, Lorence A. Harmer, a former director of ZAGG and two of his affiliates, Harmer Holdings, LLC, and Teleportall, LLC (the "Harmer Parties"), filed a lawsuit against the Company, Robert G. Pedersen II, Brandon T. O'Brien, and KPMG LLP. The plaintiffs alleged that the defendants defamed Mr. Harmer, breached the Settlement Agreement and other agreements between the plaintiffs and the Company (alleging claims for breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith, and fair dealing), and interfered with other rights of the plaintiffs. The defendants denied all of the material allegations made by the plaintiffs. KPMG LLP was dismissed from the lawsuit in January 2012. In October 2012, the Company filed a counterclaim and third-party complaint against Harmer, Holdings, Teleportall and third-party Global Industrial Services Limited asserting claims for breach of contract, deficiency, indemnity and attorneys' fees, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, quasi contract, unjust enrichment, quantum meruit and declaratory judgment. In June 2013, the court dismissed the plaintiffs' claims for defamation, negligence, tortious interference, and interference with prospective economic relations against the Company and all claims against Messrs. Pedersen and O'Brien. In November 2013, the court entered default judgment on the Company's third-party complaint against Global Industrial Services Limited. On May 21, 2015, the Court granted summary judgment in the Company's favor against the Harmer Parties, and thereafter entered a final judgment against the Harmer Parties in the amount of \$4,735 with interest at 12% per annum until paid in full, and dismissed all of the Harmer Parties' remaining claims against the Company with prejudice. This final judgment also allows ZAGG to recover its reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred in the action, which have been presented to the Court by declaration to be added to the judgment. On June 22, 2015, the Harmer Parties filed a notice of appeal declaring their intention to seek review of the final judgment in the Utah appellate courts. The Harmer Parties have also filed a motion in the trial court asking it to reconsider and set aside the final judgment, which the Company will vigorously oppose. The Company is moving forward with collection efforts pursuant to the final judgment. The Company intends to vigorously defend against any appeals. This matter is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

Patent/Trademark Litigation

ZAGG Intellectual Property Holding Co. Inc. v. NLU Products et al, U.S. District Court, District of Utah, 2:11-cv-00517. On June 7, 2011, the Company filed a patent infringement lawsuit against NLU Products, LLC; Wrapsol, LLC; XO Skins, LLC; Fusion of Ideas, Inc.; Clear-Coat, LLC; Case-Ari, LLC; United SGP Corp.; Stealth Guards; Vituorsity Products, LLC; Skinomi LLC; Cellairis; Best Skins Ever; Headco, LLC; and Ghost Armor, LLC that seeks to enforce rights under United States Patent No. 7,957,524. The defendants have raised defenses and, in some cases, asserted counterclaims against the Company, that seek declarations of unenforceability or non-infringement of the patent. These counterclaims do not assert any claims for affirmative relief, including claims for damages, against the Company, apart from a request for an award of costs and attorneys' fees to the prevailing party. NLU Products, LLC; Wrapsol, LLC; XO Skins, LLC; Fusion of Ideas, Inc.; Clear-Coat, LLC; Case-Ari, LLC; United SGP Corp.; Stealth Guards; and Vituorsity Products, LLC have settled with the Company. Litigation of this action was stayed pending a reexamination of United States Patent No. 7,957,524 by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). The reexamination led to amendments to the claims of the patent, and the USPTO issued a reexamination certificate. This case was administratively closed with leave to reopen. The Company has sent offers to license to the remaining defendants.

ZAGG v. TrekStor, Regional Court, Dusseldorf, Germany. In September 2011, the Company brought suit in Dusseldorf, Germany against TrekStor for infringement of ZAGG design registrations for the ZAGGmate keyboard case and for unfair competition. After the Company completed briefing of its claims against TrekStor and presented its case at oral argument, TrekStor filed a separate proceeding alleging that it is the owner of the ZAGGmate keyboard case design. The Company's action against TrekStor was then stayed pending the resolution of TrekStor's case against the Company. On July 23, 2013, TrekStor's claims were dismissed and the Company was awarded its costs in that action. This dismissal was appealed and again decided in the Company's favor in a final decision by the appeals court. The oral hearing in the infringement matter was heard on July 1, 2014 during which the Court found in the Company's favor and granted injunctive relief as well as damages and costs in an amount to be determined. The Company is presently seeking entry of a judgment against Trekstor for its damages and costs. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of TrekStor's appeal will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

Edgar Filing: ZAGG Inc - Form 10-Q

ZAGG Intellectual Property Holding Co v. Tech21 et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, 2:14-cv-00113-BCW. On February 18, 2014, ZAGG IP filed a complaint against Tech21, Ltd. alleging, among other things, that the defendant makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and/or imports into the United States a kit for protecting a surface of an electronic device that infringes at least one claim of ZAGG IP's U.S. patent No. 8,567,596 entitled Electronic Device Protective Film Application Kit and Method (the "596 Patent"). The defendant has not filed any counterclaims and no material determinations have been made by the court in this matter. This litigation is stayed pending resolution of Inter Partes Patent Review of the '596 Patent, in the USPTO.

ZAGG Intellectual Property Holding Co v. Superior Communications, Inc., U.S. District Court, District of Utah 2:14-cv-00121-TS. On February 19, 2014, ZAGG IP filed a complaint against Superior Communications, Inc. alleging, among other things, that the defendant makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and/or imports into the United States kits for protecting a surface of an electronic device that infringe at least one claim of the '596 Patent. The defendant has not filed any counterclaims and no material determinations have been made by the court in this matter. This litigation is stayed pending resolution of Inter Partes Patent Review of the '596 Patent, in the USPTO.

Class Action Lawsuits

James H. Apple, et al. v. ZAGG Inc, et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, 2:12-cv-00852; Ryan Draayer, et al. v. Zagg Inc, et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, 2:12-cv-00859. On September 6 and 10, 2012, two putative class action lawsuits were filed by purported Company shareholders against the Company, Randall Hales, Brandon O'Brien, and Cheryl Larabee, as well as Robert G. Pedersen II, the Company's former Chairman and CEO, and Edward Ekstrom and Shuichiro Ueyama, former members of the Company's Board of Directors. These lawsuits were subsequently amended by a complaint filed on May 6, 2013. The plaintiffs seek certification of a class of purchasers of the Company's stock between October 15, 2010 and August 17, 2012. The plaintiffs claim that as a result of Mr. Pedersen's alleged December 2011 margin account sales, the defendants initiated a succession plan to replace Mr. Pedersen as the Company's CEO with Mr. Hales, but failed to disclose either the succession plan or Mr. Pedersen's margin account sales, in violation of Sections 10(b), 14(a), and 20(a), and SEC Rules 10b-5 and 14a-9, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). On March 7, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the District of Utah (the "Court") consolidated the Apple and Draaver actions and assigned the caption In re: Zagg, Inc. Securities Litigation, and on May 6, 2013, plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint. On July 5, 2013, the defendants moved to dismiss the consolidated complaint. On February 7, 2014, the Court entered an order granting the Company's motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint. On February 25, 2014, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit. On June 17, 2014, plaintiffs filed their opening appellate brief appealing the Courts decision with respect to some of their claims. The U.S. Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit heard oral argument on the appeal on January 22, 2015. The U.S. Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, has not yet entered a decision on the appeal.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

Arthur Morganstern v. Robert G. Pedersen II et al., Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of Utah, Civil No. 120908452; Albert Pikk v. Robert G. Pedersen II et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, Case No. 2:12-cv-1188; Rosenberg v. Robert G. Pedersen II et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, Case No. 2:12-cv-1216. On December 14, 2012, the first of three shareholder derivative complaints were filed against several of the Company's current and former officers and directors. These complaints make allegations similar to those presented in the consolidated class action lawsuits, but they also assert various state law causes of action, including claims for breach of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets, unjust enrichment, and insider trading. Each of these derivative complaints seek unspecified damages on behalf of the Company, which is named solely as a nominal defendant against whom no recovery is sought. On February 26, 2013, the Court consolidated the *Pikk* and *Rosenberg* actions and assigned the caption *In re ZAGG Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation*, and on June 5, 2013, plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint. On April 4, 2014, the defendants moved to dismiss the consolidated complaint. On October 9, 2014, the Court entered an order granting dismissal of the consolidated complaint. On January 8, 2015, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit. On June 17, 2015, plaintiffs filed their opening appellate brief appealing the dismissal of their claims. Defendants' response briefs are due on August 19, 2015.

SEC Investigation

In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company received requests to provide documentation and information to the staff of the SEC in connection with a non-public investigation being conducted by the SEC's Salt Lake City office. The Company believes the investigation includes a review of the facts and circumstances surrounding some of the same issues raised by the plaintiffs in the above lawsuits; specifically, whether the Company failed to disclose Mr. Pedersen's margin account sales or the alleged existence of a plan to have Mr. Hales succeed Mr. Pedersen as the Company's CEO. The Company responded to these requests and is cooperating fully with the staff. The Company has chosen to disclose this non-public investigation due to the highly public nature of the lawsuits described above, which the Company intends to defend vigorously.

Other Litigation

Edgar Filing: ZAGG Inc - Form 10-Q

The Company is not a party to any other material litigation or claims at this time. While the Company currently believes that the amount of any ultimate potential loss for known matters would not be material to the Company's financial condition, the outcome of these actions is inherently difficult to predict. In the event of an adverse outcome, the ultimate potential loss could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations in a particular period.

The Company establishes reserves when a particular contingency is probable and estimable. Other than those discussed above, the Company has not accrued for any loss at June 30, 2015 in the consolidated financial statements as the Company does not consider a loss to be probable nor estimable. The Company faces contingencies that are reasonably possible to occur; however, the reasonably possible exposure to losses cannot currently be estimated.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS ITEM 2. OF OPERATIONS

Certain statements, other than purely historical information, including estimates, projections, statements relating to our business plans, objectives, and expected operating results, and the assumptions upon which those statements are based, are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the words "believes," "project," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "forecasts," "intends," "strategy," "plan," "may," "will," "would," "will be," "will continue," "will likely result," and similar expressions. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe-harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and are including this statement for purposes of complying with those safe-harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse affect on our operations and future prospects include, but are not limited to: changes in economic conditions, legislative/regulatory changes, availability of capital, interest rates, competition, and generally accepted accounting principles. These risks and uncertainties should also be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Further information concerning our business, including additional factors that could materially affect our financial results, is included herein and in our other filings with the SEC.

Our Business

ZAGG Inc ("we," "us," "our," "ZAGG," or the"Company") is headquartered in Salt Lake City, Utah, and has an international office located in Shannon, Ireland. The Company designs, produces, and distributes professional and premium creative product solutions including screen protection, keyboards for tablet computers and mobile devices, keyboard cases, earbuds, mobile power solutions, cables, and cases under the ZAGG and InvisibleShield® brands. In addition, the Company designs, produces, and distributes earbuds, headphones, mobile power solutions, Bluetooth speakers, cases, and cables for mobile devices under the iFrogz brand in the fashion and youth oriented lifestyle sector.

We maintain our headquarters at 3855 South 500 West, Suite J, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84115. The telephone number of the Company is 801-263-0699. Our website address is www.ZAGG.com. The URL is included here as an inactive textual reference. Information contained on, or accessible through, our website is not a part of, and is not incorporated by reference into this report.

InvisibleShield – The "Protection" Brand

The InvisibleShield brand is focused on device protection. From protective film and glass to cases, InvisibleShield device protection products offer customers a wide array of protection types and features, all with a lifetime guarantee.

Our films were developed originally to protect the leading edge of rotary blades on military helicopters. Through constant innovation, we continue to formulate new films that are designed to offer the highest standards in self-healing, scratch protection, and impact protection. We also continue to innovate customer installation methods like our patented Easy Apply Double Tabs.

All of our films are designed to provide long-lasting protection for the surface of any electronic device subject to normal wear and tear. We accommodate a custom fit for thousands of device types as well as offer an automated OnDemand solution so retailers can supply customers with screen protection for both new and old device models without having to hold excess inventory.

In addition to our traditional InvisibleShield film products, we launched InvisibleShield Glass during the first quarter of 2014, which is designed to provide premium screen protection and clarity, along with a superior feel and touch sensitivity.

Along with our film and glass products, the InvisibleShield brand offers mobile device cases, like the popular Orbit case, which complement our InvisibleShield screen protection products.

We intend to continue to innovate our line of InvisibleShield products in future periods in response to market changes.

ZAGG – The "Work" Brand

The ZAGG brand stands for innovative and efficient "tech-cessory" products. Our ZAGG products are designed to be professional, and feature premium design and materials that appeal to customers looking for the best possible mobile accessory solutions.

Keyboards

ZAGG keyboards are designed to offer our customers an enhanced and innovative productivity experience. Since entering this category in 2010, ZAGG has continually reinvented its product line of keyboards, while also providing timely solutions for new devices released by Apple, Samsung, and other leading mobile device manufacturers. In addition to device specific keyboards and folio keyboard cases, ZAGG has produced a universal line of full size Bluetooth keyboards compatible with virtually any mobile operating system.

We expect to continue to innovate and expand our Bluetooth keyboard product lines as end users' requirements evolve in this rapidly changing market segment.

Audio

ZAGGAudio products were first released in 2008, and continue to focus on innovation and superior value. Key ZAGGAudio products include the award-winning ZAGGsmartbuds and the ZRSix earbuds (featuring Reflective AcousticsTM).

Power

ZAGGsparq portable batteries are designed to charge any device that utilizes a USB, including smartphones, tablets, handheld gaming systems, and digital cameras. The ZAGGsparq family has been constructed with built-in prongs that allow the device to double as a wall charger, eliminating the need for an extra power adapter. ZAGGsparq models contain Lithium Polymer batteries and are polycarbonate to reduce damage from wear and tear.

iFrogz – The "Play" Brand

The iFrogz brand stands for fun, clever, and youthful mobile accessory products. The brand has had success in a variety of categories with large retailers in and outside of the United States. iFrogz became part of the ZAGG family in 2011.

Audio

In 2007, iFrogz released its first audio products under the EarPollution product line. The eclectic selection of earbuds and headphones specifically targeted a younger demographic, but still appealed to a wide spectrum of consumers. Since the initial launch of the EarPollution[™] audio line, iFrogz has continued to innovate and expand its headphone and earbud products to include offerings for all ages under both the EarPollution and iFrogz brands.

Starting in 2013, the iFrogz Audio line began including portable Bluetooth speakers that combine clever functionality and a playful look with impressive audio quality.

Cases

iFrogz began manufacturing cases in 2006, initially for the Apple iPod. These unique cases were well received by the market due to their blend of fashion, quality, and design. Initially, all sales were online, but in 2007 iFrogz began distributing its case products through large retailers and began more firmly establishing itself as a youthful and fashion-oriented brand. The iFrogz case offerings have expanded to include a wide array of sleek and stylish cases for new generations of Apple iPod, iPhone, iPad, and Samsung Galaxy smartphones and tablets.

Power

iFrogz power products cover a wide array of configurations. These colorful chargers, power banks, and cables offer tremendous value for a wide demographic of consumers.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our financial statements requires that we make estimates and judgments. We base these on historical experience and on other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable. Our critical accounting policies are discussed in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. There have been no material changes to the critical accounting policies previously disclosed in that report.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Information regarding recent accounting pronouncements is contained in Note 1 "Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation" of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Results of Operations

THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014 (amounts in thousands, except per share data)

Net sales

Net sales for the quarter ended June 30, 2015, were \$66,689 as compared to net sales of \$50,154 for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, an increase of \$16,535 or 33%.

The percentage of sales related to our key product lines for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, was approximately:

	Thre	ee	Thre	ee
	mon	ths	mon	ths
	ende	ed	ende	ed
	June)	June	;
	30,		30,	
	2015	5	2014	1
Screen Protection	67	%	36	%
Keyboards	18	%	34	%
Audio	10	%	18	%
Power Management	2	%	5	%
Cases	1	%	4	%
Other	2	%	3	%

The percentage of sales related to our key distribution channels for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, was approximately:

	Three	Three
	months	months
	ended	ended
	June	June
	30,	30,
	2015	2014
Indirect channel	90 %	90 %
Website	5 %	5 %
Mall cart and kiosk program	5 %	5 %

The increase in revenue comparing the three months ended June 30, 2015 to 2014 was primarily related to continued strong demand for our InvisibleShield Glass line of screen protection. The increase in sales from InvisibleShield Glass was partially off-set by declines in sales in our keyboard, audio, and power management product lines.

Gross profit

Gross profit for the quarter ended June 30, 2015, was \$24,957 or approximately 37% of net sales, compared to \$16,787 or approximately 34% of net sales for the quarter ended June 30, 2014. The increase in gross profit percentage is due to (1) a higher percentage of sales being generated by our screen protection products, our highest margin product line, compared to the prior year when a higher percentage of our total sales were generated by lower margin products such as our keyboard, audio, and power management lines and (2) improvements in operating efficiencies related to supply-chain management. The increase in gross profit margin associated with our sales mix was partially offset by write-downs of certain inventory items expected to be sold below the carrying value.

There are no assurances that we will continue to recognize similar gross profit margins in the future.

Operating expenses

Total operating expenses for the quarter ended June 30, 2015, were \$18,704 an increase of \$3,497 from total operating expenses for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, of \$15,207. The \$3,497 increase was primarily attributable to increases in (1) salaries and benefits due to additional management team headcount and executive separation charges, (2) customer service charges from higher customer call volume, (3) advertising and marketing spend due to additional focus on brand awareness and direct-to-consumer advertising, and (4) stock-based compensation expenses due to increased grants outstanding compared to the prior period.

Income from operations

Income from operations for the quarter ended June 30, 2015 was \$6,253, compared to income from operations of \$1,580 for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, an increase of \$4,673. The increase in income from operations is primarily attributable to higher sales and improved margins as described above.

Other expense, net

For the quarter ended June 30, 2015, total other expense, net was \$85 compared to other expense, net of \$16 for the quarter ended June 30, 2014.

Income taxes

Income tax expense for the quarter ended June 30, 2015 was \$2,476, compared to income tax expense of \$771 for the quarter ended June 30, 2014. Our effective tax rate was 40% and 49% for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily due to reduced losses from foreign jurisdictions that are taxed at a 0% rate. Our effective tax rate will generally differ from the U.S. Federal Statutory rate of 35%, due to foreign and state taxes, permanent items, and the impact of our global tax strategy.

Net income

As a result of these factors, reported net income was \$3,691 or \$0.12 per share on a fully diluted basis for the quarter ended June 30, 2015 compared to net income of \$793 or \$0.03 per share on a fully diluted basis for the quarter ended June 30, 2014.

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014 (amounts in thousands, except per share data)

Net sales

Net sales for the six months ended June 30, 2015, were \$123,905 as compared to net sales of \$99,157 for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, an increase of \$24,748 or 25%.

The percentage of sales related to our key product lines for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, was approximately:

	Six	Six
	months	months
	ended	ended
	June	June
	30,	30,
	2015	2014
Screen Protection	65 %	35 %
Keyboards	21 %	36 %
Audio	9 %	17 %
Power Management	2 %	4 %
Cases	1 %	4 %
Other	2 %	4 %

The percentage of sales related to our key distribution channels for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, was approximately:

	Six	Six
	months	months
	ended	ended
	June	June
	30,	30,
	2015	2014
Indirect channel	89 %	89 %
Website	5 %	5 %
Mall cart and kiosk program	6 %	6 %

The increase in revenue comparing the six months ended June 30, 2015 to 2014 was primarily related to continued strong demand for our InvisibleShield Glass line of screen protection. The increase in sales from InvisibleShield Glass was partially off-set by declines in sales in our keyboard, audio, and power management product lines.

Gross profit

Gross profit for the six months ended June 30, 2015, was \$47,914 or approximately 39% of net sales, as compared to \$34,565 or approximately 35% of net sales for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The increase in gross profit percentage is due to (1) a higher percentage of sales being generated by our screen protection products, our highest

Edgar Filing: ZAGG Inc - Form 10-Q

margin product line, compared to the prior year when a higher percentage of our total sales were generated by lower margin products such as our keyboard, audio, and power management lines and (2) improvements in operating efficiencies related to supply-chain management. The increase in gross profit margin associated with our sales mix was partially offset by write-downs of certain inventory items expected to be sold below the carrying value.

There are no assurances that we will continue to recognize similar gross profit margins in the future.

Operating expenses

Total operating expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2015, were \$36,223, an increase of \$5,177 from total operating expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2014, of \$31,046. The \$5,177 increase was primarily attributable to increases in (1) salaries and benefits due to additional management team headcount and executive separation charges, (2) customer service charges from higher customer call volume, (3) advertising and marketing spend due to additional focus on brand awareness and direct-to-consumer advertising, and (4) stock-based compensation expenses due to increased grants outstanding compared to the prior period.

Income from operations

Income from operations for the six months ended June 30, 2015 was \$11,691, compared to income from operations of \$3,519 for the six months ended June 30, 2014, an increase of \$8,172. The increase in income from operations is primarily attributable to higher sales and improved margins as described above.

Other income (expense), net

For the six months ended June 30, 2015, total other expense, net was \$32 compared to other income, net of \$30 for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Income taxes

Income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2015 was \$4,767, compared to income tax expense of \$1,769 for the six months ended June 30, 2014. Our effective tax rate was 41% and 50% for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily due to reduced losses from foreign jurisdictions that are taxed at a 0% rate. Our effective tax rate will generally differ from the U.S. Federal Statutory rate of 35%, due to state taxes, permanent items, and the impact of our global tax strategy.

Net income

As a result of these factors, reported net income was \$6,892 or \$0.23 per share on a fully diluted basis for the six months ended June 30, 2015 compared to net income of \$1,780 or \$0.06 per share on a fully diluted basis for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Liquidity and Capital Resources (in thousands)

At June 30, 2015, our principal sources of liquidity were cash generated by operations, cash on-hand, and an open line of credit with Wells Fargo. Our principal uses of cash have been to fund working capital requirements and capital expenditures.

Cash and cash equivalents on-hand increased to \$11,151 on June 30, 2015, from \$9,461 on December 31, 2014, an increase of \$1,690. The increase in cash is largely the result of positive cash from operations during the first six months of 2015, partially offset by purchases of property and equipment. Earnings from foreign operations are considered permanently re-invested and of the \$11,151 cash balance on June 30, 2015, cash from foreign entities totaled \$2,547, which constituted 23% of the total cash and cash equivalents balance. The net tax impact of repatriating the permanently reinvested cash balance from foreign entities would be \$681.

Accounts receivable at June 30, 2015 were \$53,848, as compared to \$75,729 at December 31, 2014, a decrease of \$21,881. The decrease is due to record net sales of \$102,415 in the fourth quarter of 2014 followed by strong cash collections during the first quarter of 2015. This decrease was partially offset by net sales of \$123,905 during the six months ended June 30, 2015.

Edgar Filing: ZAGG Inc - Form 10-Q

Accounts payable decreased to \$22,583 on June 30, 2015, from \$49,379 on December 31, 2014, a decrease of \$26,796. The decrease is the result of payments made during the first and second quarters of 2015 on payable balances owed at December 31, 2014.

At June 30, 2015, we had working capital of \$84,659 compared to \$72,817 as of December 31, 2014.

Based on the current level of operations, we believe that cash generated from operations, cash on hand, and available borrowings under existing credit arrangements will be adequate to fund expected capital expenditures and working capital needs for the next 12 months.

Debt and Letters of Credit

On December 23, 2014, the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo"), entered into the Third Amendment to Credit Agreement ("Third Amendment"), which modified the original Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") entered into between the Company and Wells Fargo on December 7, 2012 and all subsequent amendments to the Credit Agreement (First Amendment to the Credit Agreement entered into on December 20, 2013 and Second Amendment to the Credit Agreement entered into on November 4, 2014). The line of credit includes a letter of credit sub-feature that allows the Company to issue standby commercial letters of credit against the line of credit, not to exceed at any time an aggregate of \$5,000. During the three and six months ending June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company did not issue any standby commercial letters of credit.

The Third Amendment provided a \$25,000 line of credit and extended the maturity date from December 1, 2015 to December 1, 2016. At June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the total balance outstanding on the line of credit was zero.

In connection with entering into the Third Amendment, the Company paid to Wells Fargo an amendment fee of \$10 as well as related legal and collateral examination fees.

Borrowings and repayments under the line of credit may occur from time to time in the Company's ordinary course of business through December 1, 2016. Any outstanding borrowings under the line of credit mature and are due on December 1, 2016.

Any outstanding principal balance under the line of credit bears interest at a fluctuating rate per annum determined to be the sum of the (1) LIBOR margin established under the Credit Agreement (with the initial LIBOR margin being set at 1.25%) and (2) daily three month LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement) in effect from time to time. Each change in the rate of interest will become effective on each business day on which a change in Daily three month LIBOR is announced by Wells Fargo.

Pursuant to the terms of the Third Amendment, Wells Fargo will adjust the LIBOR margin used to determine the rate of interest under the line of credit on a quarterly basis. The applicable LIBOR margin is calculated based on the Company's ratio of total liabilities to tangible net worth (as these terms are defined in the Credit Agreement) in accordance with the following table:

Total Liabilities to Tangible Net Worth	Applicable LIBOR Margin
1.00 or greater 0.65 or greater, but less than 1.00 Less than 0.65	1.25 % 1.00 % 0.75 %

Under the line of credit, each adjustment is effective on the first business day of the Company's fiscal quarter following the quarter during which Wells Fargo receives and reviews the Company's most current fiscal quarter-end financial statements in accordance with the requirements established in the Credit Agreement.

In addition, under the Third Amendment the Company pays Wells Fargo a quarterly fee based on the average unused amount of the line of credit depending on the Company's leverage ratio (as this term is defined in the Credit Agreement) based on the following table:

1.00 or greater	0.35%
0.65 or greater, but less than 1.00	0.25%
Less than 0.65	0.15%

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, \$9 and \$18, respectively, in unused line fees had been incurred and is included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, \$22 and \$45, respectively, in unused line fees had been incurred and is included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

At June 30, 2015 and 2014, the weighted average interest rate on all outstanding borrowings under the line of credit was 1.13% and 1.00%, respectively. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, the effective interest rate was 1.13% and 1.11%, respectively.

The Company originally incurred and capitalized \$238 of direct costs related to the establishment of the Credit Agreement. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company amortized \$17 and \$33, respectively of these loan costs, which is included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company amortized \$16 and \$32, respectively of these loan costs, which is included as a component of interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

The Company amortizes deferred loan costs under the straight-line method. The carrying value of deferred loan costs at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, was \$27 and \$60, respectively, and is included as a component of noncurrent other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The Company is also subject to a number of financial and non-financial debt covenants under the Credit Agreement. At June 30, 2015, the Company was in compliance with all covenants associated with the Credit Agreement.

Payment in full under the Credit Agreement is due on December 1, 2016. At June 30, 2015, the balance on the line of credit was zero.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following table provides information on our contractual obligations as of June 30, 2015:

Payments due by period

	1 dyments	due by period			
Contractual Obligations	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1 - 3 years	3 - 5 years	More than 5 years
Operating Lease Obligations Total	\$ 937 \$ 937	\$ 483 \$ 483	\$ 454 \$ 454	\$ — \$ —	\$ — \$ —

(1) Unrecognized uncertain tax benefits of \$1,050 are not included in the table above as we are not sure when the amount will be paid.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to certain market risks in the ordinary course of business. These risks result primarily from changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. In addition, international operations are subject to risks related to differing economic conditions, changes in political climate, differing tax structures and other regulations and restrictions.

To date we have not utilized derivative financial instruments or derivative commodity instruments. We do not expect to employ these or other strategies to hedge market risk in the foreseeable future. Cash is invested in money market funds, which are subject to minimal credit and market risk. We believe that the market risks associated with these

Edgar Filing: ZAGG Inc - Form 10-Q

financial instruments are immaterial, although there can be no guarantee that these market risks will be immaterial to us.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15b under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Based on this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Report, our disclosure and control procedures were not effective due to a material weakness identified as part of the 2014 close related to the ineffective operation of the reconciliation of in-transit inventory and the review thereof. A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the registrant's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

As a result of the material weakness related to the ineffective operation of the reconciliation of in-transit inventory and the review thereof, management has completed the following changes to its internal controls during the six months ended June 30, 2015:

We have renegotiated contract terms with the Company's key inventory suppliers to change the inventory delivery terms from free on board ("FOB") shipping point to FOB destination, thereby significantly reducing in-transit inventory. The amendments to the supplier contracts were completed during the second quarter of 2015, and the first purchase orders under these terms were also placed during the second quarter of 2015. We have conducted inventory reconciliation training with those responsible for performing and reviewing inventory

•reconciliations. Process improvements developed as part of these trainings were used in the reconciliations performed for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015.

Although management believes our internal control over financial reporting has been, or is reasonably likely to be, materially and positively affected by the changes described above, a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting continues to exist as of June 30, 2015. We continue to implement and evaluate these changes to remediate the material weakness. While the Company believes the changes are adequate to remediate the material weakness, these controls must be tested over an appropriate period of time to ensure the controls are operating effectively.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Internal Controls

An internal control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple errors or mistakes. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the internal control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Certain of the legal proceedings in which we are involved are discussed in Note 13, "Commitments and Contingencies," to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and are hereby incorporated by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (the "2014 Form 10-K"), which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The following information updates certain of our risk factors and should be read in conjunction with the risk factors disclosed in the 2014 Form 10-K. These risk factors should be read carefully in connection with evaluating our business and in connection with the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Any of the risks described in the 2014 Form 10-K could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or future results and the actual outcome of matters as to which forward-looking statements are made. These are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition.

If we are unable to effectively manage our growth, our operating results and financial condition will be adversely affected.

We intend to grow our business by expanding our sales and product development organizations. Any growth in or expansion of our business is likely to place a strain on our management and administrative resources, infrastructure, and information systems. As with other growing businesses, we expect that we will need to refine and expand our business development capabilities, our systems and processes, and our access to financing sources. We also will need to hire, train, supervise, and manage new employees. These processes are time consuming and expensive, will increase management responsibilities and will divert management attention. We provide no assurance that we will be able to:

expand our systems effectively or efficiently or in a timely manner;

allocate our human resources optimally;

meet our capital needs;

identify and hire qualified employees or retain valued employees; or

incorporate effectively the components of any business or product line that we may acquire in our effort to achieve growth.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds (dollars and shares in thousands)

During the first quarter of 2015, the Company's board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$15,000 of the Company's outstanding common stock during 2015 as detailed in the table below. The Company's board of directors also authorized the Company to enter into a Rule 10b5-1 plan when appropriate.

During March 2014, the Company entered into a Rule 10b5-1 plan under which 556 shares of ZAGG Inc common stock were purchased for total cash consideration of \$2,488.

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
April 1 –				
April 30,	—		_	
2015				
May 1 –				
May 31,				—
2015				
June 1 –				
June 30,	42	\$7.68	42	1,808
2015				
Total	42	\$7.68	42	1,808

The maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the repurchase plan has been determined based (1) on the \$14,677 remaining amount that is authorized for the purchase of ZAGG Inc common stock under the repurchase plan and the closing stock price on July 23, 2015 of \$8.12. The actual number of shares that may be repurchased is dependent on the price of ZAGG Inc common stock.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

a. Exhibits: The following Exhibits are filed with this Form 10-Q pursuant to Item 601(a) of Regulation S-K:

31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Amended.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Amended.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S. C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S. C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
EX-101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
EX-101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
EX-101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
EX-101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
EX-101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase

EX-101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

ZAGG INC

Date: August 5, 2015 By: /s/ **RANDALL L. HALES** Randall L. Hales

Chief Executive Officer, President, & Director

(Principal executive officer)

Date: August 5, 2015 By: /s/ **Bradley J. Holiday** Chief Financial Officer

(Principal financial officer)