EPLUS INC Form 10-Q October 09, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarter ended September 30, 2006

OR

[] TRANSITION	I REPORT	PURSUAN	Γ TO SECT	ΓΙΟΝ 13 OI	R 15(d) OF	THE
	SECURIT	TES EXCHA	ANGE ACT	OF 1934		

For the transition period from____ to ____.

Commission file number: **0-28926**

ePlus inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware54-1817218(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

13595 Dulles Technology Drive, Herndon, VA 20171-3413

(Address, including zip code, of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (703) 984-8400

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [$_$] No [$X_{_}$]
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [] Non-accelerated filer [X]
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [] No [X]

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of August 31, 2007, was 8,231,741.

Table of Contents ePlus inc. and Subsidiaries

Explanatory Note		1
Part I. Financial Information:		
Turt I. Timanorai Información.	Item 1. Financial Statements—Unaudited:	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 (Restated) and 2006	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 (Restated) and 2006	5
	Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
	Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	29
	Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	41
	Item 4. Controls and Procedures	41
Part II. Other Information:		
	Item 1. Legal Proceedings	45
	Item 1A. Risk Factors	46
	Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	47
	Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	48
	Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	48
	<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	48
	Item 6. Exhibits	48
Signatures		49
i		

Table of Contents

Explanatory Note

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains the restatement of our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Cash Flows for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005 for the effects of errors in accounting for stock options and other items. See Note 2, "Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements" to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this document. For further discussion of the effects of the restatement, see the following sections of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006: Explanatory Note; Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition; Item 9A. Controls and Procedures; and Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS As of March 31, September 2006 30, 2006 (in thousands and september 2006 30, 2006 33, 974 23, 818 Accounts receivable—net 103,060 33, 974 233, 178 (in thousands and september 2006) 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20,	-Dlug ing AND CUDCIDIA DIEC				
CUNAUDITED) As of Name of Speciment (2006) As of Optimization (2006) As of Optim	ePlus inc. AND SUBSIDIARIES				
March 31, 2006 30,0006			Acof		Acof
ASSETS	(UNAUDITED)	1		C	
ASSETS (in thousand) Cash and cash equivalents \$20,697 \$23,681 Accounts receivable—net 103,000 138,974 Notes receivable 330 293 Inventories 2,292 10,303 Investment in leases and leased equipment—net 5,629 4,957 Property and equipment—net 5,629 4,957 Goodwill 26,125 26,125 TOTAL ASSETS 373,945 \$4440,88 LIABILITIES Accounts payable—equipment \$7,733 \$9,197 Accounts payable—drade \$7,733 \$9,197 Accounts payable—drade \$7,733 \$9,197 Accounts payable—floor plan 46,689 57,155 Salaries and commissions payable 412 4,511 Accounts payable—floor plan 46,689 57,155 Accounts payable—floor plan 46,689 57,155 Accounts payable 6,000 16,000 Accounts payable 6,001 16,000 </td <td></td> <td>IV</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		IV			
Cash and cash equivalents \$ 20,697 \$ 23,81 Accounts receivable—net 103,060 138,974 Notes receivable 330 293 Inventories 2,292 10,303 Investment in leases and leased equipment—net 205,774 223,178 Property and equipment—net 5,629 4,957 Other assets 10,038 16,577 Codowill 26,125 26,125 TOTAL ASSETS \$ 373,945 \$ 444,088 LIABILITIES Accounts payable—equipment \$ 7,733 \$ 9,197 Accounts payable—floor plan \$ 7,733 \$ 9,197 Accounts payable—floor plan 46,689 57,155 Accounts payable—floor plan 33,346 37,792 Accounts payable—floor plan 33,346 37,792 Accounts payable 46,689 57,155 Accounts payable 104 1,21 Accounts payable 104 1,221 Accounts payable 16,700	ACCETC				
Accounts receivable—net 103,060 138,974 Notes receivable 330 293 Inventories 2,292 10,303 Investment in leases and leased equipment—net 205,774 223,178 Property and equipment—net 5,629 4,957 Goodwill 26,125 26,125 Goodwill 26,125 26,125 TOTAL ASSETS \$373,945 \$444,088 LIABILITIES Accounts payable—equipment \$7,733 \$9,197 Accounts payable—lrade 19,235 25,227 Accounts payable—loro plan 46,689 57,155 Salaries and commissions payable 41,24 4,511 Accounts payable—loro plan 46,689 57,155 Salaries and commissions payable 104 1,321 Accounts payable—loro plan 46,689 57,155 Salaries and commissions payable 104 1,321 Accounts payable—loro plan 10,000 16,000 Accounts pay	ASSEIS		(in tho	usa	nas)
Accounts receivable—net 103,060 138,974 Notes receivable 330 293 Inventories 2,292 10,303 Investment in leases and leased equipment—net 205,774 223,178 Property and equipment—net 5,629 4,957 Goodwill 26,125 26,125 Goodwill 26,125 26,125 TOTAL ASSETS \$373,945 \$444,088 LIABILITIES Accounts payable—equipment \$7,733 \$9,197 Accounts payable—lrade 19,235 25,227 Accounts payable—loro plan 46,689 57,155 Salaries and commissions payable 41,24 4,511 Accounts payable—loro plan 46,689 57,155 Salaries and commissions payable 104 1,321 Accounts payable—loro plan 46,689 57,155 Salaries and commissions payable 104 1,321 Accounts payable—loro plan 10,000 16,000 Accounts pay	Cash and each aquivalents	\$	20.607	Φ	23 681
Notes receivable 330 293 Inventories 2,292 10,303 Investment in leases and leased equipment—net 25,772 223,178 Property and equipment—net 5,629 4,957 Other assets 10,038 16,577 Goodwill 26,125 26,125 TOTAL ASSETS 373,945 \$44,088 LIABILITIES Accounts payable—equipment 7,733 \$9,197 Accounts payable—floor plan 46,689 57,155 Salaries and commissions payable 4,124 4,511 Accounts payable—floor plan 46,689 57,155 Salaries and commissions payable 33,346 37,792 Income taxes payable 6,000 16,700 Income taxes payable 6,000 16,700 Nomercourse notes payable 6,000 16,700 Deferred tax liability 165 165 Total Liabilities 245,369 312,563 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 7)					

Total Stockholders' Equity	128,576	131,525
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 373,945	\$ 444,088
See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.		

ePlus inc. AND SUBSIDIARIES				
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMEN	NTS OF OPERA	TIONS		
(UNAUDITED)				
		Three Months		
		September	: 30,	
		2005		2006
REVENUES		Restated (1)		
	(dolla	ar amounts in thousands.	, except per s	hare data)
Sales of product and services	\$	159,409	\$	180,313
Sales of leased equipment		-		1,819
		159,409		182,132
Lease revenues		11,916		13,522
Fee and other income		2,918		3,094
		14,834		16,616
TOTAL REVENUES		174,243		198,748
COSTS AND EXPENSES				
Cost of sales, product and services		143,742		160,596
Cost of sales, leased equipment		-		1,775
		143,742		162,371
Direct lease costs		3,798		5,572
Professional and other fees		1,776		4,764
Salaries and benefits		15,288		17,723
General and administrative expenses		4,975		4,385
Interest and financing costs		1,717		2,665
, and the second		27,554		35,109
TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES (2)		171,296		197,480
		·		ŕ
EARNINGS BEFORE PROVISION FOR				
INCOME TAXES		2,947		1,268
		,		,
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES		1,198		290
		,		
NET EARNINGS	\$	1,749	\$	978
	*	-,, .,	*	
NET EARNINGS PER COMMON				
SHARE—BASIC	\$	0.21	\$	0.12
NET EARNINGS PER COMMON	Ψ	V.21	Ψ	0.12
SHARE—DILUTED	\$	0.19	\$	0.12
	Ψ	0.17	Ψ	0.12
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES				
OUTSTANDING—BASIC		8,474,301		8,228,823
OU INTAINDING—DANIC		0,77,301		0,220,023

WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING—DILUTED

9,071,470

8,424,903

- (1) See Note 2, "Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements."
- (2) Includes amounts to related parties of \$219 thousand and \$238 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and September 30, 2006, respectively.

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

ePlus inc. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED) Six Months Ended

(UNAUDITED)		G: 3.6	a			
	Six Months Ended					
			mber 30,			
		2005		2006		
		As Restated (1)				
REVENUES		(dollar amounts in thousa	ands, except per	share data)		
Sales of product and services	\$	294,278	\$	355,646		
Sales of leased equipment		-		1,819		
		294,278		357,465		
Lease revenues		23,211		24,853		
Fee and other income		6,558		5,940		
		29,769		30,793		
TOTAL REVENUES		324,047		388,258		
COSTS AND EXPENSES						
Cost of sales, product and services		265,830		316,625		
Cost of sales, leased equipment		_		1,775		
7 1 1		265,830		318,400		
				2 - 3, 10 3		
Direct lease costs		7,594		10,596		
Professional and other fees		2,724		6,050		
Salaries and benefits		30,077		34,965		
General and administrative expenses		9,437		8,871		
Interest and financing costs		3,254		4,653		
interest and imaneing costs		53,086		65,135		
		33,000		03,133		
TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES (2)		318,916		383,535		
TOTAL COSTS AND EXITENSES (2)		310,910		363,333		
EARNINGS BEFORE PROVISION FOR						
INCOME TAXES		5,131		4,723		
INCOME TAXES		3,131		4,723		
DDOVICION FOR INCOME TAVES		2.002		1 601		
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES		2,082		1,681		
NET E A DAUNCO	Φ	2.040	¢	2.042		
NET EARNINGS	\$	3,049	\$	3,042		
NET E A DAUNGG DED COMMON						
NET EARNINGS PER COMMON	ф	0.26	ф	0.27		
SHARE—BASIC	\$	0.36	\$	0.37		
NET EARNINGS PER COMMON	ф	0.24	Φ.	0.25		
SHARE—DILUTED	\$	0.34	\$	0.35		
WEIGHBED AVED AGE GWADEG						
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES		0.500.055		0.210.151		
OUTSTANDING—BASIC		8,509,827		8,218,154		

WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING—DILUTED

9,071,092

8,586,866

- (1) See Note 2, "Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements."
- (2) Includes amounts to related parties of \$439 thousand and \$472 thousand for the six months ended September 30, 2005 and September 30, 2006, respectively.

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Six Months Ended September 30, 2005 Capper 3006 As september 30, 2006 Capper 3006 Capper 3007 Capper 3007 Capper 3007	ePlus inc. AND SUBSIDIARIES						
Six Months Ended September 30, 2005 2006 As Restated (1) (1	CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS						
Septem	(UNAUDITED)						
Cash Flows From Operating Activities: Net earnings Net against a cash and a cash a ca							
As Restated Restated Restated (1) Cash Flows From Operating Activities: Net earnings \$ 3,049 \$ 3,042 Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash used in operating activities: 8.035 10,406 Write-off of non-recourse debt (209) - Reserve for credit losses 80 638 Provision for inventory losses 167 510 Impact of stock-based compensation 167 510 Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options - (95) Tax benefit of stock options exercised 18 308 Deferred taxes (327) - Payments from lessees directly to lenders—operating leases (2,594) (5,009) Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of operating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (18,267) (28		•					
Restated (1) Cash Flows From Operating Activities: Net earnings \$ 3,049 \$ 3,042 Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash activation of the cash (2009) 1,040 Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash activation of the cash (2009) 1,040 Write-off of non-recourse debt (2009) 2 Reserve for credit losses 80 638 Provision for inventory losses 8.0 638 Impact of stock-based compensation 167 510 Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options 1.8 308 Deferred taxes (327) - - Deferred taxes (327) - - Casin benefit of stock-based directly to lenders—operating lease equipment (224) (5,009 <		2005	2006				
Cash Flows From Operating Activities: Net earnings \$ 3,049 \$ 3,042 Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash used in operating activities: Secretary and a secretary and se							
Cash Flows From Operating Activities: Net earnings \$ 3,049 \$ 3,042 Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash used in operating activities: *** Depreciation and amortization \$ 8,035 \$ 10,406 Write-off of non-recourse debt (209) - Reserve for credit losses 80 638 Provision for inventory losses - 18 Impact of stock-based compensation 167 510 Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options - (95) Tax benefit of stock options exercised 18 308 Deferred taxes (337) - Payments from lessees directly to lenders—operating leases (2,594) (5,009) Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of opperating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—at lease and leased equipment—ne							
Cash Flows From Operating Activities: \$ 3,049 \$ 3,049 Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash aused in operating activities: \$ 10,406 Depreciation and amortization 8,035 10,406 Write-off of non-recourse debt (209) - Reserve for credit losses 80 638 Provision for inventory losses - 18 Impact of stock-based compensation 167 510 Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options - (95) Tax benefit of stock options exercised 18 308 Deferred taxes (327) - Payments from lessees directly to lenders—operating leases (2,594) (5,009) Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of property and equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (38) (3,36) Accounts pay		` ,					
Net earnings \$ 3,049 \$ 3,042 Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash used in operating activities: 8,035 10,406 Write-off of non-recourse debt (209) - Reserve for credit losses 80 638 Provision for inventory losses - 18 Impact of stock-based compensation 167 510 Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options - (95) Tax benefit of stock options exercised 18 308 Deferred taxes (327) - Payments from lessees directly to lenders—operating leases (2,594) (5,009) Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of operating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance - (36) Changes in: - (36) Accounts receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable 28 37 Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (8)		(in th	ousands)				
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash used in operating activities: Depreciation and amortization 8,035 10,406 Write-off of non-recourse debt (209) - Reserve for credit losses 80 638 Provision for inventory losses - 18 Impact of stock-based compensation 167 510 Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options - (95) Tax benefit of stock options exercised 18 308 Deferred taxes (327) - Payments from lessees directly to lenders—operating leases (2,594) (5,009) Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of operating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance - (36) Changes in: - (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable 28 37 Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267							
Depreciation and amortization 8,035 10,406	· ·	\$ 3,049	\$ 3,042				
Depreciation and amortization 8,035 10,406 Write-off of non-recourse debt (209) - Reserve for credit losses 80 638 Provision for inventory losses - 18 Impact of stock-based compensation 167 510 Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options - (95) Tax benefit of stock options exercised 18 308 Deferred taxes (327) - Payments from lessees directly to lenders—operating leases (2,594) (5,009) Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of operating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance - (36 Changes in: - (36 Accounts receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,243) (36,020) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (8,3) (6,350)	·						
Write-off of non-recourse debt (209) - Reserve for credit losses 80 638 Provision for inventory losses - 18 Impact of stock-based compensation 167 510 Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options - (95) Tax benefit of stock options exercised 18 308 Deferred taxes (327) - Payments from lessees directly to lenders—operating leases (2,594) (5,009) Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of operating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance - (36) Changes in: (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (1,788) (8,028) Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,355) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Reserve for credit losses 80 638 Provision for inventory losses - 18 Impact of stock-based compensation 167 510 Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options - (95) Tax benefit of stock options exercised 18 308 Deferred taxes (327) - Payments from lesses directly to lenders—operating leases (2,594) (5,009) Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of operating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance - (36) Changes in: - (36) Accounts receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (17,88) (8,028) Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,355) Accounts payable—equipment (18,18) (18,188)	•		10,406				
Provision for inventory losses - 18 Impact of stock-based compensation 167 510 Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options - (95) Tax benefit of stock options exercised 18 308 Deferred taxes (327) - Payments from lessees directly to lenders—operating leases (2,594) (5,009) Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of operating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance - (36) Changes in: - (36) Accounts receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (1,788) (8,028) Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188		` ′	-				
Impact of stock-based compensation 167 510 Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options - (95) Tax benefit of stock options exercised 18 308 Deferred taxes (327) - Payments from lessees directly to lenders—operating leases (2,594) (5,009) Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of operating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance - (36) Changes in: - (36) Accounts receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable 28 37 Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (33) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—acquipment (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (15,763) 6,050		80					
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options - (95) Tax benefit of stock options exercised 18 308 Deferred taxes (327) - Payments from lessees directly to lenders—operating leases (2,594) (5,009) Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of operating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance - (36) Changes in: - (36) Accounts receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (1,788) (8,028) Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses (15,763) <td< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></td<>		-					
Tax benefit of stock options exercised 18 308 Deferred taxes (327) - Payments from lessees directly to lenders—operating leases (2,594) (5,009) Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of operating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance - (360) Changes in: - (360) Accounts receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable 28 37 Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (25,232) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment <t< td=""><td></td><td>167</td><td></td></t<>		167					
Deferred taxes	•	-					
Payments from lessees directly to lenders—operating leases (2,594) (5,009) Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of operating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance - (36) Changes in: (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable 28 37 Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—equipment 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (23,222) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment 685 845 Purchases of			308				
Loss on disposal of property and equipment 67 25 Gain on disposal of operating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance - (36) Changes in: - (36) Accounts receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable 28 37 Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating lease equipment (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: - (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment (1,78) (942) Premium			-				
Gain on disposal of operating lease equipment (224) (394) Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance - (36) Changes in: - (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable 28 37 Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment 685 845 Purchases of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Excess increase in cash value of officers life insurance - (36) Changes in: - (36) Accounts receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable 28 37 Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment (44 2 Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (15,336)							
Changes in: Accounts receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable 28 37 Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: ** ** Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment 685 845 Purchases of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment 44 2 Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (15,336)		(224)	` ′				
Accounts receivable—net (28,143) (36,617) Notes receivable 28 37 Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Value of the company o		-	(36)				
Notes receivable 28 37 Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: ** ** Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment 685 845 Purchases of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment 44 2 Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)							
Inventories (1,788) (8,028) Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Value of the company of the comp							
Investment in leases and leased equipment—net (18,267) (28,227) Other assets (83) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Value of the company of t							
Other assets (83) (6,365) Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment 685 845 Purchases of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment 44 2 Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)							
Accounts payable—equipment 1,814 1,081 Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment 685 845 Purchases of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment 44 2 Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)	Investment in leases and leased equipment—net						
Accounts payable—trade 1,818 6,188 Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment 685 845 Purchases of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment 44 2 Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)							
Salaries and commissions payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment 685 845 Purchases of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment 44 2 Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)							
and other liabilities (15,763) 6,050 Net cash used in operating activities (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment 685 845 Purchases of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment 44 2 Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)	1 4	1,818	6,188				
Net cash used in operating activities (52,322) (56,468) Cash Flows From Investing Activities: Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment 685 845 Purchases of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment 44 2 Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)	1 7 1						
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment685845Purchases of operating lease equipment(17,846)(15,104)Proceeds from sale of property and equipment442Purchases of property and equipment(1,178)(942)Premiums paid on officers life insurance-(137)Net cash used in investing activities(18,295)(15,336)							
Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment 685 845 Purchases of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment 44 2 Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)	Net cash used in operating activities	(52,322)	(56,468)				
Proceeds from sale of operating lease equipment 685 845 Purchases of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment 44 2 Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)							
Purchases of operating lease equipment (17,846) (15,104) Proceeds from sale of property and equipment 44 2 Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)	-						
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment 44 2 Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)							
Purchases of property and equipment (1,178) (942) Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)			(15,104)				
Premiums paid on officers life insurance - (137) Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)	1 1 1		_				
Net cash used in investing activities (18,295) (15,336)		(1,178)					
		-					
5	Net cash used in investing activities	(18,295)	(15,336)				
5							
	5						

ePlus inc. AND SUBSIDIARIES				
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS - continued				
(UNAUDITED)				
		Six Mont	hs E	Inded
		Septem	ber	30,
		2005		2006
	As	Restated		
		(1)		
		(in thou	ısan	ds)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:				
Borrowings:				
Nonrecourse	\$	40,305	\$	67,782
Repayments:				
Nonrecourse		(17,084)		(13,343)
Purchase of treasury stock		(2,076)		(2,900)
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock, net of expenses		109		1,903
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options		-		95
Net borrowings on floor-planning facility		5,241		10,466
Net borrowings on lines of credit		21,044		10,700
Net cash provided by financing activities		47,539		74,703
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash		101		85
Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(22,977)		2,984
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period		38,852		20,697
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$	15,875	\$	23,681
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:				
Cash paid for interest	\$	1,305	\$	1,222
Cash paid for income taxes	\$	1,588	\$	294
Schedule of Noncash Investing and Financing Activities:				
Purchase of property and equipment included in accounts payable	\$	207	\$	123
Payments from lessees directly to lenders	\$	12,848	\$	21,917
(1) See Note 2, "Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements."				
See Notes To Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.				
6				

*e*Plus inc. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of *e*Plus inc. and subsidiaries and Notes thereto included herein are unaudited and have been prepared by us, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of results for the interim periods. All adjustments made were of a normal recurring nature.

Certain information and note disclosures normally included in the financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP") have been condensed or omitted pursuant to SEC rules and regulations.

These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006. Operating results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for an entire year.

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION — The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of *e*Plus inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

REVENUE RECOGNITION — We adhere to guidelines and principles of sales recognition described in Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 104, "Revenue Recognition," issued by the staff of the SEC. Under SAB No. 104, sales are recognized when the title and risk of loss are passed to the customer, there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement for sale, delivery has occurred and/or services have been rendered, the sales price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. Using these tests, the vast majority of our sales represent product sales recognized upon delivery.

From time to time, in the sales of product and services, we may enter into contracts that contain multiple elements. Sales of services currently represents less than 10% of our sales. For services that are performed in conjunction with product sales and are completed in our facilities prior to shipment of the product, sales for both the product and services are recognized upon shipment. Sales of services that are performed at customer locations are recorded as sales of product or services on the accompanying Statement of Operations when the services are performed. If the service is performed at a customer location in conjunction with a product sale or other service sale, we recognize the sale in accordance with SAB No. 104 and Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") 00-21, "Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables." Accordingly, in an arrangement with multiple deliverables, we recognize sales for delivered items only when all of the following criteria are satisfied:

- the delivered item(s) has value to the client on a stand-alone basis;
- there is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered item(s); and
- if the arrangement includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially in our control.

We sell certain third-party service contracts and software assurance or subscription products for which we evaluate whether the subsequent sales of such services should be recorded as gross sales or net sales in accordance with the sales recognition criteria outlined in SAB No. 104, EITF 99-19, "Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as

an Agent" and Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Technical Bulletin 90-1, "Accounting for Separately Priced Extended Warranty and Product Contracts." We must determine whether we act as a principal in the transaction and assume the risks and rewards of ownership or if we are simply acting as an agent or broker. Under gross sales recognition, the entire selling price is recorded in sales of product and services and our costs to the third-party service provider or vendor is recorded in cost of sales, product and services on the accompanying Statement of Operations. Under net sales recognition, the cost to the third-party service provider or vendor is recorded as a reduction to sales resulting in net sales equal to the gross profit on the transaction and there is no cost of sales.

Table of Contents

In accordance with EITF 00-10, "Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs," we record freight billed to our customers as sales of product and services and the related freight costs as a cost of sales, product and services.

We receive payments and credits from vendors, including consideration pursuant to volume sales incentive programs, volume purchase incentive programs and shared marketing expense programs. Vendor consideration received pursuant to volume sales incentive programs is recognized as a reduction to costs of sales, product and services in accordance with EITF Issue No. 02-16, "Accounting for Consideration Received from a Vendor by a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)." Vendor consideration received pursuant to volume purchase incentive programs is allocated to inventories based on the applicable incentives from each vendor and is recorded in cost of sales, product and services, as the inventory is sold. Vendor consideration received pursuant to shared marketing expense programs is recorded as a reduction of the related selling and administrative expenses in the period the program takes place only if the consideration represents a reimbursement of specific, incremental, identifiable costs. Consideration that exceeds the specific, incremental, identifiable costs is classified as a reduction of cost of sales, product and services.

We are the lessor in a number of transactions and these transactions are accounted for in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 13, "Accounting for Leases." Each lease is classified as either a direct financing lease, sales-type lease, or operating lease, as appropriate. Under the direct financing and sales-type lease methods, we record the net investment in leases, which consists of the sum of the minimum lease payments, initial direct costs (direct financing leases only), and unguaranteed residual value (gross investment) less the unearned income. The difference between the gross investment and the cost of the leased equipment for direct finance leases is recorded as unearned income at the inception of the lease. The unearned income is amortized over the life of the lease using the interest method. Under sales-type leases, the difference between the fair value and cost of the leased property plus initial direct costs (net margins) is recorded as revenue at the inception of the lease. For operating leases, rental amounts are accrued on a straight-line basis over the lease term and are recognized as lease revenue. SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities," establishes criteria for determining whether a transfer of financial assets in exchange for cash or other consideration should be accounted for as a sale or as a pledge of collateral in a secured borrowing. Certain assignments of direct finance leases we make on a non-recourse basis meet the criteria for surrender of control set forth by SFAS No. 140 and have therefore been treated as sales for financial statement purposes.

Sales of leased equipment represent revenue from the sales of equipment subject to a lease in which we are the lessor. If the rental stream on such lease has non-recourse debt associated with it, sales revenue is recorded at the amount of consideration received, net of the amount of debt assumed by the purchaser. If there is no non-recourse debt associated with the rental stream, sales revenue is recorded at the amount of gross consideration received, and costs of sales is recorded at the book value of the lease. Sales of equipment represents revenue generated through the sale of equipment sold primarily through our technology business unit.

Lease revenues consist of rentals due under operating leases and amortization of unearned income on direct financing and sales-type leases. Equipment under operating leases is recorded at cost and depreciated on a straight-line basis over the lease term to our estimate of residual value.

We assign all rights, title, and interests in a number of our leases to third-party financial institutions without recourse. These assignments are accounted for as sales since we have completed our obligations as of the assignment date, and we retain no ownership interest in the equipment under lease.

Revenue from hosting arrangements is recognized in accordance with EITF 00-3, "Application of AICPA Statement of *Position 97-2 to Arrangements That Include the Right to Use Software Stored on Another Entity's Hardware.*" Our hosting arrangements do not contain a contractual right to take possession of the software. Therefore, our hosting

arrangements are not in the scope of SOP 97-2, "Software Revenue Recognition," and require that the portion of the fee allocated to the hosting elements be recognized as the service is provided. Currently, the majority of our software revenue is generated through hosting agreements and is included in fee and other income on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Revenue from sales of our software is recognized in accordance with SOP 97-2, as amended by SOP 98-4, "Deferral of the Effective Date of a Provision of SOP 97-2," and SOP 98-9, "Modification of SOP 97-2 With Respect to Certain Transactions." We recognize revenue when all the following criteria exist: (1) there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred; (3) no significant obligations by us related to services essential to the functionality of the software remain with regard to implementation; (4) the sales price is determinable; and (5) and it is probable that collection will occur. Revenue from sales of our software is included in fee and other income on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

At the time of each sale transaction, we make an assessment of the collectibility of the amount due from the customer. Revenue is only recognized at that time if management deems that collection is probable. In making this assessment, we consider customer creditworthiness and assess whether fees are fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or significant uncertainties. If the fee is not fixed or determinable, revenue is recognized only as payments become due from the customer, provided that all other revenue recognition criteria are met. In assessing whether the fee is fixed or determinable, we consider the payment terms of the transaction and our collection experience in similar transactions without making concessions, among other factors. Our software license agreements generally do not include customer acceptance provisions. However, if an arrangement includes an acceptance provision, we record revenue only upon the earlier of (1) receipt of written acceptance from the customer or (2) expiration of the acceptance period.

Our software agreements often include implementation and consulting services that are sold separately under consulting engagement contracts or as part of the software license arrangement. When we determine that such services are not essential to the functionality of the licensed software and qualify as "service transactions" under SOP 97-2, we record revenue separately for the license and service elements of these agreements. Generally, we consider that a service is not essential to the functionality of the software based on various factors, including if the services may be provided by independent third parties experienced in providing such consulting and implementation in coordination with dedicated customer personnel. If an arrangement does not qualify for separate accounting of the license and service elements, then license revenue is recognized together with the consulting services using either the percentage-of-completion or completed-contract method of contract accounting. Contract accounting is also applied to any software agreements that include customer-specific acceptance criteria or where the license payment is tied to the performance of consulting services. Under the percentage-of-completion method, we may estimate the stage of completion of contracts with fixed or "not to exceed" fees based on hours or costs incurred to date as compared with estimated total project hours or costs at completion. If we do not have a sufficient basis to measure progress towards completion, revenue is recognized upon completion of the contract. When total cost estimates exceed revenues, we accrue for the estimated losses immediately. The use of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting requires significant judgment relative to estimating total contract costs, including assumptions relative to the length of time to complete the project, the nature and complexity of the work to be performed, and anticipated changes in salaries and other costs. When adjustments in estimated contract costs are determined, such revisions may have the effect of adjusting, in the current period, the earnings applicable to performance in prior periods.

We generally use the residual method to recognize revenues from agreements that include one or more elements to be delivered at a future date when evidence of the fair value of all undelivered elements exists. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements (e.g., maintenance, consulting and training services) based on vendor-specific objective evidence ("VSOE") is deferred and the remaining portion of the arrangement fee is allocated to the delivered elements (i.e., software license). If evidence of the fair value of one or more of the undelivered services does not exist, all revenues are deferred and recognized when delivery of all of those services has occurred or when fair values can be established. We determine VSOE of the fair value of services revenue based upon our recent pricing for those services when sold separately. VSOE of the fair value of maintenance services may also be determined based on a substantive maintenance renewal clause, if any, within a customer contract. Our current pricing practices are influenced primarily by product type, purchase volume, maintenance term and customer location. We review services revenue sold separately and maintenance renewal rates on a periodic basis and update our VSOE of

fair value for such services to ensure that it reflects our recent pricing experience, when appropriate.

Maintenance services generally include rights to unspecified upgrades (when and if available), telephone and Internet-based support, updates and bug fixes. Maintenance revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance contract (usually one year) on a straight-line basis and is included in fee and other income on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents

When consulting qualifies for separate accounting, consulting revenues under time and materials billing arrangements are recognized as the services are performed. Consulting revenues under fixed-price contracts are generally recognized using the percentage-of-completion method. If there is a significant uncertainty about the project completion or receipt of payment for the consulting services, revenue is deferred until the uncertainty is sufficiently resolved. Consulting revenues are classified as fee and other income on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Training services include on-site training, classroom training and computer-based training and assessment. Training revenue is recognized as the related training services are provided and is included in fee and other income on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Amounts charged for our Procure+ service are recognized as services are rendered. Amounts charged for the Manage+ service are recognized on a straight-line basis over the contractual period for which the services are provided. Fee and other income results from: (1) income from events that occur after the initial sale of a financial asset; (2) remarketing fees; (3) brokerage fees earned for the placement of financing transactions; and (4) interest and other miscellaneous income. These revenues are included in fee and other income in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

RESIDUALS — Residual values, representing the estimated value of equipment at the termination of a lease, are recorded in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements at the inception of each sales-type or direct financing lease as amounts estimated by management based upon its experience and judgment. Unguaranteed residual values for sales-type and direct financing leases are recorded at their net present value and the unearned income is amortized over the life of the lease using the interest method. The residual values for operating leases are included in the leased equipment's net book value.

We evaluate residual values on an ongoing basis and record any downward adjustment, if required. No upward revision of residual values is made subsequent to lease inception.

RESERVES FOR CREDIT LOSSES — The reserve for credit losses (the "reserve") is maintained at a level believed by management to be adequate to absorb losses inherent in our lease and accounts receivable portfolio. Management's determination of the adequacy of the reserve is based on an evaluation of historical credit loss experience, current economic conditions, volume, growth, the composition of the lease portfolio, and other relevant factors. The reserve is increased by provisions for potential credit losses charged against income. Accounts are either written off or written down when the loss is both probable and determinable, after giving consideration to the customer's financial condition, the value of the underlying collateral and funding status (i.e., discounted on a non-recourse or recourse basis).

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS — Cash and cash equivalents include funds in operating accounts as well as money market funds.

INVENTORIES — Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (weighted average basis) or market.

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT — Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, which range from three to ten years.

CAPITALIZATION OF COSTS OF SOFTWARE FOR INTERNAL USE — We have capitalized certain costs for the development of internal use software under the guidelines of SOP 98-1, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use." Approximately \$98 thousand and \$399 thousand of internal use software were capitalized for the six months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which is included in

the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as a component of property and equipment.

CAPITALIZATION OF COSTS OF SOFTWARE TO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO CUSTOMERS — In accordance with SFAS No. 86, "Accounting for Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed," software development costs are expensed as incurred until technological feasibility has been established. At such time, costs are capitalized until the product is made available for release to customers. For the six months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, costs of \$59 thousand and \$26 thousand were capitalized for software to be made available to customers.

Table of Contents

INTANGIBLE ASSETS — In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." SFAS No. 141 requires that the purchase method of accounting be used for all business combinations transacted after June 30, 2001. SFAS No. 141 also specifies criteria that intangible assets acquired in a business combination must be recognized and reported separately from goodwill. In May 2004, we acquired certain assets and liabilities of Manchester Technologies, Inc. The excess of the cost over the fair value of net tangible assets acquired was assigned to identifiable intangible assets and goodwill utilizing the purchase method of accounting. The final determination of the purchase price allocation was based on the fair values of the assets and liabilities assumed, including acquired intangible assets. This determination was made by management through various means, including obtaining a third-party valuation of identifiable intangible assets acquired and an evaluation of the fair value of other assets and liabilities acquired.

Effective January 1, 2002, we adopted SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," which eliminates amortization of goodwill and intangible assets that have indefinite useful lives and requires annual tests of impairment of those assets. SFAS No. 142 also provides specific guidance about how to determine and measure goodwill and intangible asset impairments, and requires additional disclosures of information about goodwill and other intangible assets.

Further, SFAS No. 142 requires us to perform an impairment test at least on an annual basis at any time during the fiscal year, provided the test is performed at the same time every year. We perform the impairment test as of September 30th of each year and follow the two-step process prescribed in SFAS No. 142 to test our goodwill for impairment under the goodwill impairment test. The first step is to screen for potential impairment, while the second step measures the amount of the impairment, if any.

IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS — We review long-lived assets, including property and equipment, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of the assets may not be fully recoverable. If the total of the expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset, a loss is recognized for the difference between the fair value and the carrying value of the asset.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS — The carrying value of our financial instruments, which include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and other accrued expenses, approximates fair value due to their short maturities. The carrying amount of our non-recourse and recourse notes payable approximates its fair value. We determined the fair value of notes payable by applying the average portfolio debt rate and applying such rate to future cash flows of the respective financial instruments. The estimated fair value of our recourse and non-recourse notes payable at September 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$177,638,963 and \$152,161,962, compared to a carrying amount of \$177,194,735 and \$152,311,053, respectively.

TREASURY STOCK — We account for treasury stock under the cost method and include treasury stock as a component of stockholders' equity.

INCOME TAXES — Deferred income taxes are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." Under this method, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the temporary differences between the financial statement reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, using tax rates currently in effect. Future tax benefits, such as net operating loss carryforwards, are recognized to the extent that realization of these benefits is considered to be more likely than not.

ESTIMATES — The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME — Comprehensive income consists of net income and foreign currency translation adjustments. For the six months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, accumulated other comprehensive income increased \$85.4 thousand and \$100.9 thousand, respectively, resulting in total comprehensive income of \$2.7 million and \$3.1 million, respectively.

EARNINGS PER SHARE — Earnings per share ("EPS") have been calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share." In accordance with SFAS No. 128, basic EPS amounts were calculated based on weighted average shares outstanding of 8,509,827 for the six months ended September 30, 2005 and 8,218,154 for the six months ended September 30, 2006. Diluted EPS amounts were calculated based on weighted average shares outstanding and potentially dilutive common stock equivalents of 9,071,092 for the six months ended September 30, 2005, and 8,586,866 for the six months ended September 30, 2006. Additional shares included in the diluted EPS calculations are attributable to incremental shares issuable upon the assumed exercise of stock options and other common stock equivalents. Both basic and diluted EPS and weighted average shares outstanding for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005 have been restated for changes in measurement dates resulting from the Audit Committee Investigation (as defined in Note 2, "Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements").

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION — In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," or SFAS No. 123R. SFAS No. 123R replaces SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and supersedes APB 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and subsequently issued stock option related guidance. This statement focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. It also addresses transactions in which an entity incurs liabilities in exchange for goods or services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of those equity instruments. Entities are required to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of the award (with limited exceptions). That cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide services in exchange for the award (usually the vesting period). The grant-date fair value of employee share options and similar instruments will be estimated using option-pricing models. If an equity award is modified after the grant date, incremental compensation expense will be recognized in an amount equal to the excess of the fair value of the modified award over the fair value of the original award immediately before the modification.

On April 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R and elected the modified-prospective transition method. Under the modified-prospective method, we must recognize compensation expense for all awards subsequent to adopting the standard and for the unvested portion of previously granted awards outstanding upon adoption. We have recognized compensation expense equal to the fair values for the unvested portion of share-based awards at April 1, 2006 over the remaining period of service, as well as compensation expense for those share-based awards granted or modified on or after April 1, 2006 over the vesting period based on the grant-date fair values using the straight-line method. For those awards granted prior to the date of adoption, compensation expense is recognized on an accelerated basis based on the grant-date fair value amount as calculated for pro forma purposes under SFAS No. 123.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS —In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections — A Replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3." SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application, or the latest practical date, as the preferred method to report a change in accounting principle or correction of an error. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. While the adoption of SFAS No. 154 did not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements, the restatement disclosures included herein comply with the provisions of the standard.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes — An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). The interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." Specifically, the pronouncement prescribes a recognition threshold and a measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The interpretation also provides guidance on the related derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting for interim periods, disclosure and transition of uncertain tax positions. The interpretation is effective for us on April 1, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact that FIN 48 will have on our financial condition and results of operations.

During September 2006, the SEC released SAB No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements." SAB No. 108 requires a registrant to quantify all misstatements that could be material to financial statement users under both the "rollover" and "iron curtain" approaches. If either approach results in quantifying a misstatement that is material, the registrant must adjust its financial statements. SAB No. 108 is applicable for our fiscal year 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact that SAB No. 108 will have on our financial condition and results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements." SFAS No. 157 defines fair value,

establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with U.S. GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for our fiscal year 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact that SFAS No. 157 will have on our financial condition and results of operations.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115." SFAS No. 159 gives companies an opportunity to use fair value measurements in financial reporting and permits entities to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact that SFAS No. 159 will have on our financial condition and results of operations.

2. RESTATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As a result of the errors discussed below, we have restated our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005 and our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended September 30, 2005 including related disclosures.

Restatement for Historical Stock Option Grants

Restated Accounting for Historical Stock Option Grants

In response to a letter received by our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), the Audit Committee, with the assistance of outside legal counsel and forensic accountants, commenced an investigation ("Audit Committee Investigation" or "Investigation") into our historical practices related to stock options, including a review of option grant measurement dates. Prior to April 1, 2006, we accounted for all of our employee and director-based compensation awards under APB 25 and provided the required disclosures in accordance with SFAS No. 123.

In connection with the Audit Committee Investigation, we performed a review of stock option grants recorded for financial reporting purposes. Based on the individual facts and circumstances, we concluded that the exercise price for a number of option grants from our initial public offering ("IPO") in 1996 through August 10, 2006 were below the fair market value of our common stock on the revised measurement date of the grant. This resulted from certain option grant dates having been established prior to the completion of all the final granting actions necessary for those grants. In some cases, the exercise price and date of the grant was determined with hindsight to provide a more favorable exercise price for such grants at quarterly or monthly low stock prices. The grants in question included grants made to newly hired employees, annual director grants, grants made to employees in connection with an acquisition, and discretionary grants made to officers, non-employee and employee directors, and rank and file employees. Applying the revised measurement dates to the impacted stock option grants resulted in a stock-based compensation charge if the fair market value of our common stock as of the revised measurement date exceeded the exercise price of the option grant, in accordance with APB 25.

Based on the facts and circumstances, we concluded that we (1) used incorrect measurement dates for the accounting of certain stock options, (2) had not properly accounted for certain modifications of stock options, and (3) had incorrectly accounted for certain stock options that required the application of the variable accounting method.

We determined revised measurement dates for those option grants with incorrect measurement dates and recorded stock-based compensation expense to the extent that the fair market value of our stock on the revised measurement date exceeded the exercise price of the stock option, in accordance with APB 25 and related FASB interpretations. Additionally, we restated both basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding for changes in measurement dates resulting from the Investigation which also resulted in a change in the assumptions utilized in the Black-Scholes option pricing model for the six months ended September 30, 2005. The combination of recording stock-based compensation expense and restating our weighted average shares outstanding has resulted in restated basic and diluted EPS.

We also determined that we should have recorded stock-based compensation expense associated with the modification

of certain stock option grants which resulted in the application of variable accounting under FASB Interpretation No. 44, "Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation" ("FIN 44"). The modified grants included certain grants made to newly hired employees, annual director grants, grants made to employees in connection with an acquisition, and discretionary grants made to officers, employee directors, and rank and file employees. For these grants, documentation exists that supports the completion of all the final granting actions necessary for an original grant and measurement date. However, certain of the terms of the awards were subsequently modified.

Income and Payroll Tax Related Matters

In certain instances where a revised measurement date was applied to those stock options classified as incentive stock options ("ISO"), in accordance with United States tax rules it had the effect of disqualifying the ISO tax treatment of those stock options, causing those stock options to be recharacterized as non-qualified options. For purposes of assessing the tax impact of the accounting change, we concluded that the grant date for tax purposes is the same as the measurement date for financial reporting purposes. The recharacterization of the ISOs to non-qualified status resulted in a failure to withhold certain employee payroll taxes and consequently we have recorded an adjustment to salaries and benefits, along with an adjustment to interest and financing costs for penalties and interest, based on the period of exercise. In subsequent periods in which the liabilities were legally extinguished due to statutes of limitations, the payroll taxes, interest and penalties were reversed, and recognized as a reduction in the related functional expense category in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Summary of the Restatement — Other Items

In addition to the stock option errors described above, we have also restated our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended September 30, 2005 for the following reasons:

We use floor planning agreements for dealer financing of products purchased from distributors and resold to end-users. Historically, we classified the cash flows from our floor plan financing agreements in operating activities in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. We previously treated the floor plan facility as an outsourced accounts payable function, and, therefore, considered the payments made by our floor plan facility as cash paid to suppliers under Financial Accounting Standards No. 95, "Statement of Cash Flows."

We have now determined that when an unaffiliated finance company remits payments to our suppliers on our behalf, we should show this transaction as a financing cash inflow and an operating cash outflow. In addition, when we repay the financing company, we should present this transaction as a financing cash outflow. As a result, we have restated the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended September 30, 2005 to correct this error.

Also, payments made by our lessees directly to third-party, non-recourse lenders were previously reported on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows as repayments of non-recourse debt in the financing section and a decrease in our investment in leases and leased equipment—net in the operating section. As these payments were not received or disbursed by us, management determined that these amounts should not be shown as cash used in financing activities and cash provided by operating activities on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Rather, these payments are now disclosed as a non-cash financing activity on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

In addition, certain corrections were made for errors noted on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows between the line items reserve for credit losses and changes in accounts receivable, both of which are in the operating section.

Reclassifications

We have also reclassified certain items for our September 30, 2005 Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows to conform to our presentation on our September 30, 2006 Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. These reclassifications include: (1) certain liabilities that had been included in accounts payable—trade have been reclassified to accrued expenses and other liabilities; and (2) certain personal property taxes have been reclassified to eliminate from investment in leases and leased equipment—net and accounts payable—equipment.

Impact of the Restatement

The following tables present the effects of the restatement and reclassifications on our previously issued Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005 and the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended September 30, 2005 (in thousands, except per share data):

UNAUDITED CONDENSED			Adjustments		
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS			Stock-Based		
		As	Compensation		
	Pr	eviously	and		
					As
Three Months Ended September 30, 2005	R	eported	Tax Impact]	Restated
Revenue:					
Sales of product and services	\$	159,409	-	\$	159,409
Lease revenues		11,916	-		11,916
Fee and other income		2,918	-		2,918
Total Revenue		174,243	-		174,243
Cost and Expense:					
Cost of sales, product and services		143,742	-		143,742
Direct lease costs		3,798	-		3,798
Professional and other fees		1,776	-		1,776
Salaries and benefits		15,012	276		15,288
General and administrative expenses		4,975	-		4,975
Interest and financing costs		1,715	2		1,717
Total Costs and Expenses		171,018	278		171,296
Earnings Before Provision for Income Taxes		3,225	(278)		2,947
Provision for Income Taxes		1,306	(108)		1,198
Net Earnings	\$	1,919	\$ (170)	\$	1,749
Net Earnings Per Share:					
Basic	\$	0.23	\$ (0.02)	\$	0.21
Diluted	\$	0.21	\$ (0.02)	\$	0.19
			, ,		
Shares Used in Computing Net Earnings Per Share:					
Basic	8	3,474,301	-		8,474,301
Diluted		,070,969	501		9,071,470
15					

UNAUDITED CONDENSED			Adjus	tments		
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS			Stock	-Based		
		As	Compe	ensation		
	Pre	viously	a	nd		
						As
Six Months Ended September 30, 2005	Rej	ported	Tax I	mpact	R	estated
Revenue:						
Sales of product and services	\$ 2	294,278	\$	-	\$	294,278
Lease revenues		23,211		-		23,211
Fee and other income		6,558		-		6,558
Total Revenue	3	324,047		-		324,047
Cost and Expense:						
Cost of sales, product and services		265,830		-		265,830
Direct lease costs		7,594		-		7,594
Professional and other fees		2,724		-		2,724
Salaries and benefits		29,805		272		30,077
General and administrative expenses		9,437		-		9,437
Interest and financing costs		3,252		2		3,254
Total Costs and Expenses	3	318,642		274		318,916
Earnings Before Provision for Income Taxes		5,405		(274)		5,131
Provision for Income Taxes		2,189		(107)		2,082
Net Earnings	\$	3,216	\$	(167)	\$	3,049
Net Earnings Per Share:						
Basic	\$	0.38	\$	(0.02)		0.36
Diluted		0.36	\$	(0.02)	\$	0.34
Shares Used in Computing Net Earnings Per Share:						
Basic	8,5	509,827		-	8	3,509,827
Diluted	9,0	057,606		13,486	ç	0,071,092
16						

ePlus inc. AND							
SUBSIDIARIES							
Unaudited Condensed							
Consolidated Statements							
of Cash Flows							
					Adjustment	S	
Six Months Ended September							
30, 2005					Lessee		
	As		_		Payments		
	Previously		Based		to		As
	Reported	Compe	nsation	Plan	Lenders	Other	Restated
Cash Flows From Operating							
Activities:							
Net earnings			(167) \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,049
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings	to net cash used						
in operating activities:							0.05
Depreciation and amortization	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	035	-	-	-	-	8,035
Write-off of non-recourse debt	(2	209)	-	-	-	-	(209)
Reserve for credit losses		80	-	-	-	-	80
Impact of stock-based			4.5				4.6
compensation		-	167	-	-	-	167
Tax benefit of stock options		4.0					4.0
exercised		18	-	-	-	-	18
Deferred taxes	(.	327)	-	-	-	-	(327)
Payments from lessees directly	(2)	120)			5.45		(2.504)
to lenders	(3,	139)	-	-	545	-	(2,594)
Loss on disposal of property		67					67
and equipment		67	-	-	-	-	67
Gain on disposal of operating	(1)	22.4					(224)
lease equipment	(,	224)	-	-	-	-	(224)
Changes in:	(20)	1.42\					(20, 142)
Accounts receivable	(28,		-	-	-	-	(28,143)
Notes receivable	(1.1	28	-	-	_	-	(1.799)
Inventories	(1,	788)	-	-	-	-	(1,788)
Investment in leases and leased	(0)	161)			(10.254)	1.40	(19.267)
equipment - net		161)	-	-	(10,254)	148	(18,267)
Other assets		(83)	-	-	-	(140)	(83)
Accounts payable - equipment		962	-	(F 241)	_	(148)	1,814
Accounts payable - trade Salaries and commissions	3,.	289	-	(5,241)	-	3,770	1,818
payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	(11 (002)				(3,770)	(15.762)
	(11,9)	993)	-	-	-	(3,770)	(15,763)
Net cash used in operating	(27	272)		(5.241)	(0.700)		(52, 222)
activities	(37,	014)	-	(5,241)	(9,709)	-	(52,322)
Cash Flows From Investing							
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:							
Proceeds from sale of operating							
equipment		585	_	_			685
equipment		303	_	-	_	_	003

Purchases of operating lease						
equipment	(17,846)	-	-	-	-	(17,846)
Proceeds from sale of property						
and equipment	44	-	-	-	-	44
Purchases of property and						
equipment	(1,178)	-	-	-	-	(1,178)
Net cash used in investing						
activities	(18,295)	-	-	-	-	(18,295)
Cook Flows From Financing						
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:						
Borrowings: Nonrecourse	40,305					40.205
	40,303	-	-	-	-	40,305
Repayments:	(26.702)			0.700		(17.004)
Nonrecourse	(26,793)	-	-	9,709	-	(17,084)
Purchase of treasury stock	(2,076)	-	-	-	-	(2,076)
Proceeds from issuance of	100					100
capital stock, net of expenses	109	-	-	-	-	109
Net borrowings on						
floor-planning facility	-	-	5,241	-	-	5,241
Net borrowings on lines of						
credit	21,044	-	-	-	-	21,044
Net cash provided by financing						
activities	32,589	-	5,241	9,709	-	47,539
Effect of Exchange Rate						
Changes on Cash	101	-	-	-	-	101
Net Decrease in Cash and						
Cash Equivalents	(22,977)	-	-	-	-	(22,977)
Cash and Cash Equivalents,						
Beginning of Period	38,852	-	-	-	-	38,852
Cash and Cash Equivalents,						
End of Period	\$ 15,875 \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	15,875
17						

3. INVESTMENT IN LEASES AND LEASED EQUIPMENT—NET

Investment in leases and leased equipment—net consists of the following (in thousands):

		As of	
	March 31, 2006		September 30, 2006
Investment in direct financing and sales-type			
leases-net	\$ 155,910	\$	166,765
Investment in operating lease equipment-net	49,864		56,413
	\$ 205,774	\$	223,178

INVESTMENT IN DIRECT FINANCING AND SALES-TYPE LEASES—NET

Our investment in direct financing and sales-type leases—net consists of the following (in thousands):

		As of		
	March 31, 2006		Septe	mber 30, 2006
Minimum lease payments	\$	149,200	\$	160,874
Estimated unguaranteed residual value (1)		23,804		23,977
Initial direct costs, net of amortization (2)		1,763		1,757
Less: Unearned lease income		(15,944)		(17,266)
Reserve for credit losses		(2,913)		(2,577)
Investment in direct finance and sales-type				
leases-net	\$	155,910	\$	166,765

- (1) Includes estimated unguaranteed residual values of \$1,451 and \$1,394 as of March 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively, for direct financing SFAS 140 leases which have been sold.
- (2) Initial direct costs are shown net of amortization of \$1,786 and \$1,418 as of March 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively.

Our net investment in direct financing and sales-type leases is collateral for non-recourse and recourse equipment notes, if any.

INVESTMENT IN OPERATING LEASE EQUIPMENT—NET

Investment in operating lease equipment—net primarily represents leases that do not qualify as direct financing leases or are leases that are short-term renewals on a month-to-month basis. The components of the net investment in operating lease equipment—net are as follows (in thousands):

		As of		
	March 31, Septer		eptember	
		2006	3	0, 2006
Cost of equipment under operating leases	\$	71,786	\$	84,314
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(21,922)		(27,901)
Investment in operating lease equipment-net	\$	49,864	\$	56,413

4. RESERVES FOR CREDIT LOSSES

As of March 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, our activity in our reserves for credit losses is as follows (in thousands):

	Accounts	Le	ease-Related	
	Receivable		Assets	Total
Balance April 1, 2005	\$ 1,959	\$	3,056	\$ 5,015
Bad debts expense	1,033		-	1,033
Recoveries	(308))	-	(308)
Write-offs and other	(624)	(143)	(767)
Balance March 31, 2006	\$ 2,060	\$	2,913	\$ 4,973
Bad debts expense	976		(100)	876
Recoveries	(333))	-	(333)
Write-offs and other	(131)	(236)	(367)
Balance September 30, 2006	\$ 2,572	\$	2,577	\$ 5,149
18				
			` '	\$

5. RECOURSE AND NON-RECOURSE NOTES PAYABLE

Recourse and non-recourse obligations consist of the following (in thousands):

Recourse and non-recourse obligations consist of t	ne renewing (in the	the state of the s		
		As of		
	March 31, 200)6	September 30), 2006
GE Commercial Distribution Finance Corporation				
- Recourse accounts receivable component of our				
credit facility bearing interest at prime less 0.5%				
(7.75% at September 30, 2006) with a maximum				
balance of \$30,000,000. Either party may				
terminate with 90 days' advance notice.	\$	-	\$	1,700
ř				
National City Bank – Recourse credit facility of				
\$35,000,000 expiring on July 21, 2009. At our				
option, carrying interest rate is either LIBOR rate				
plus 175–250 basis points, or the Alternate Base				
Rate of the higher of prime, or federal funds rate				
plus 50 basis points, plus 0–25 basis points of				
margin. The interest rate at September 30, 2006				
was 8.25%.		6,000		15,000
Was 0.23 /0.		0,000		13,000
Total recourse obligations	\$	6,000	\$	16,700
Total recourse congations	Ψ	0,000	Ψ	10,700
Non-recourse equipment notes secured by related				
investment in leases with interest rates ranging				
from 3.05% to 9.25% in fiscal year 2006 and the				
six months ended September 30, 2006.	\$ 1	27,973	\$	160,495
six months chied september 30, 2000.	Ψ	21,713	Ψ	100,773

There are two components of the GE Commercial Distribution Finance Corporation ("GECDF") credit facility: (1) a floor plan component and (2) an accounts receivable component. As of September 30, 2006 and as a result of the June 29, 2006 amendment, the facility agreement had an aggregate limit of the two components of \$85.0 million, and the accounts receivable component had a sub-limit of \$30 million other than during the overline period from June 26, 2006 through September 21, 2006 in which the aggregate limit of the two components was \$100.0 million. Effective June 20, 2007, the facility with GECDF was again amended to temporarily increase the total credit facility limit to \$100.0 million during the period from June 19, 2007 through August 15, 2007. On August 2, 2007, the period was extended from August 15, 2007 to September 30, 2007. Other than during the temporary increase periods described above, the total credit facility limit is \$85.0 million. Availability under the GECDF facility may be limited by the asset value of equipment we purchase and may be further limited by certain covenants and terms and conditions of the facility. We were in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2006.

The facility provided by GECDF requires a guaranty of up to \$10.5 million by ePlus inc. The guaranty requires ePlus inc. to deliver its annual audited financial statements by a certain date. We have not delivered the annual audited financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2007; however, GECDF has extended the delivery date to provide the financial statements through November 30, 2007. The loss of the GECDF credit facility could have a material adverse effect on our future results as we currently rely on this facility and its components for daily working capital and liquidity for our technology sales business and as an operational function of our accounts payable process.

Borrowings under our \$35 million line of credit from National City Bank are subject to and in compliance with certain

covenants regarding minimum consolidated tangible net worth, maximum recourse debt to net worth ratio, cash flow coverage, and minimum interest expense coverage ratio. We were in compliance with or had received amendments extending these covenants as of September 30, 2006. The borrowings are secured by our assets such as leases, receivables, inventory, and equipment. Borrowings are limited to our collateral base, consisting of equipment, lease receivables and other current assets, up to a maximum of \$35 million. In addition, the credit agreement restricts, and under some circumstances prohibits, the payment of dividends.

The National City Bank facility requires the delivery of our Audited and Unaudited Financial Statements, and pro forma financial projections, by certain dates. We have not delivered the following documents as required by Section 5.1 of the facility: (a) annual Audited Financial Statements for the year ended March 31, 2007; (b) "Projections" for our fiscal year ended March 31, 2008; and (c) quarterly Unaudited Financial Statements for the quarters ended September 30, 2006, December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2007. We entered into the following amendments which have extended the delivery date requirements for these documents: a First Amendment dated July 11, 2006, a Second Amendment dated July 28, 2006, a third Amendment dated August 30, 2006, a Fourth Amendment dated September 27, 2006, a Fifth Amendment dated November 15, 2006, a Sixth Amendment dated January 11, 2007, a Seventh Amendment dated March 12, 2007, an Eighth Amendment dated June 27, 2007 and a Ninth Amendment dated August 22, 2007. As a result of the amendments, the agents agreed, *inter alia*, to extend through November 30, 2007.

We believe we will receive additional extensions from our lenders, if needed, regarding our requirement to provide financial statements as described above through the date of delivery of the documents. However, we cannot guarantee that we will receive any such additional extensions.

6. RELATED PARTIES

We lease 50,322 square feet for use as our principal headquarters from Norton Building 1, LLC. The annual rent is \$19.50 per square foot for the first year, with a rent escalation of three percent per year for each year thereafter. Phillip G. Norton is the manager of Norton Building 1, LLC and is Chairman of the Board, President, and CEO of *ePlus* inc. The lease is at or below market taking into consideration the rental charges and the ability to terminate the lease. During the three and six months ended September 30, 2006, we paid rent in the amount of \$238 thousand and \$472 thousand, respectively. During the three and six months ended September 30, 2005, we paid rent in the amount of \$219 thousand and \$439 thousand, respectively.

During the six months ended September 30, 2005, we reimbursed the landlord for certain construction costs in the amount of \$280 thousand, which will be amortized over the lease term. There was no such reimbursement during the six months ended September 30, 2006. The capitalized reimbursement is included in property and equipment—net on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

7. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Litigation

We have been named a defendant in three lawsuits and one bankruptcy adversary proceeding concerning a lessee named Cyberco Holdings, Inc. ("Cyberco"), which was perpetrating a fraud related to installment sales that were assigned to various lenders and were non-recourse to us. Two of the suits have been resolved, and two are pending.

In one of the lawsuits, filed on January 4, 2005, an underlying lender, GMAC Commercial Finance, L.L.C. ("GMAC"), sought approximately \$10,646,230. On July 24, 2006, we settled the case for a \$6,000,000 payment by us to GMAC, which we have recorded in the period ended March 31, 2006.

In the second lawsuit, which was filed on May 10, 2005, another underlying lender, Banc of America Leasing and Capital, LLC ("BoA") sought repayment from *e*Plus Group, inc. of approximately \$3,062,792 plus interest and attorneys' fees. The case went to trial, and a final judgment in favor of BoA was entered on February 6, 2007. We recorded \$4,081,697, representing the \$3,025,000 verdict, \$871,232 in attorneys' fees and \$185,465 in interest and other costs in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006. We have recorded additional interest of \$36,033 in the quarter ended June 30, 2006 and \$36,429 in the quarter ended September 30, 2006. We paid the total judgment in two payments, the last of which was made on June 15, 2007.

The third non-bankruptcy lawsuit was filed on November 3, 2006 by BoA against *e*Plus inc., seeking to enforce a guaranty in which *e*Plus inc. guaranteed *e*Plus Group, inc.'s obligations to BoA relating to the Cyberco transaction. *e*Plus Group has already paid to BoA the judgment in the second lawsuit referenced above. The suit against ePlus seeks attorneys' fees BoA incurred in ePlus' appeal of BoA's suit against ePlus Group referenced above, expenses that may be incurred in a bankruptcy adversary proceeding relating to Cyberco, attorneys' fees incurred by BoA in defending a pending suit by ePlus Group against BoA, and any other costs or fees relating to any of the described matters. The trial is scheduled to begin November 20, 2007. We are vigorously defending the suit. We cannot predict the outcome of the suit against *e*Plus inc. We believe a loss is not probable, and we have not accrued for this matter.

In the bankruptcy adversary proceeding, which was filed on December 7, 2006, Cyberco's bankruptcy trustee is seeking approximately \$775,000 as alleged preferential transfers. Discovery has commenced. We cannot predict the outcome of this litigation. We dispute that we are liable, believe we have strong defenses to the claims and intend to vigorously defend against them. We believe a loss is not probable, and we have not accrued for this matter.

On December 11, 2006, ePlus inc. and SAP America, Inc. and its German parent, SAP AG (collectively, "SAP") entered into a Patent License and Settlement Agreement (the "Agreement") to settle a patent lawsuit between the companies which we filed on April 20, 2005. Under the terms of the Agreement, we will license to SAP our existing patents, together with those developed and/or acquired by us within the next five years, in exchange for a one-time cash payment to us of \$17,500,000, which was paid by SAP on January 16, 2007. In addition, SAP has agreed not to pursue legal action against us for patent infringement as to any of our current lines of business on any of SAP's patents for a period of five years. The Agreement also provides for general release, indemnification for its violation, and dismisses the existing litigation with prejudice.

On January 18, 2007, a stockholder derivative action related to stock option practices was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The complaint names *e*Plus inc. as nominal defendant, and personally names eight individual defendants who are directors and/or executive officers of *e*Plus. The complaint alleges violations of federal securities law and various state law claims such as breach of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets and unjust enrichment. We are currently preparing a response to the plaintiff's amended complaint. The amended complaint seeks monetary damages from the individual defendants and that we take certain corrective actions relating to option grants and corporate governance, and attorneys' fees. No amount has been accrued for this matter.

We are also engaged in other ordinary and routine litigation incidental to our business. While we cannot predict the outcome of these various legal proceedings, management believes that a loss is not probable and no amount has been accrued for these matters.

Regulatory and Other Legal Matters

As discussed in more detail in Note 2, "Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements," to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, the Audit Committee commenced an investigation of stock option grants by us since our IPO in 1996. In August 2006, the Audit Committee voluntarily contacted and advised the staff of the SEC of its Investigation and the Audit Committee's preliminary conclusion that a restatement will be required. The SEC opened an informal inquiry. We have and will continue to cooperate with the staff. No amount has been accrued for this matter.

We are currently engaged in a dispute with the government of the District of Columbia ("DC") regarding personal property taxes on property we financed for our customers. DC is seeking approximately \$508,000 plus interest and penalties, relating to property we financed for our customers. We believe the tax is owed by our customers, and are seeking resolution in DC's Office of Administrative Hearings. We cannot predict the outcome of this matter. While management does not believe this matter will have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations, resolution of this dispute is ongoing. No amount has been accrued for this matter.

8. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic and diluted income per share amounts are determined in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share*. Basic income per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period. Diluted income per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares of common stock, adjusted for the dilutive effect of potential common stock. Potential common stock, computed using the treasury stock method or the if-converted method, includes options.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators used to calculate basic and diluted net income per common share as disclosed in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005 and 2006.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months En			nded		
	September 30,			September 30,			30,	
	2	2005		2006		2005		2006
		(in	thou	sands, exc	ept per share data)			
	As l	Restated			As	Restated		
		(1)				(1)		
Net income available to common shareholders—basic and								
diluted	\$	1,749	\$	978	\$	3,049	\$	3,042
Weighted average common shares outstanding—basic		8,474		8,229		8,510		8,218
In-the-money options exercisable under stock								
compensation plans		597		196		561		369
Weighted average common shares outstanding—diluted		9,071		8,425		9,071		8,587
Income per common share:								
Basic	\$	0.21	\$	0.12	\$	0.36	\$	0.37
Diluted	\$	0.19	\$	0.12	\$	0.34	\$	0.35

⁽¹⁾ See Note 2, "Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements."

9. STOCK REPURCHASE

On November 17, 2004, a purchase program was authorized by our Board. This program authorized the repurchase of up to 3,000,000 shares of our outstanding common stock over a period of time ending no later than November 17, 2005 and was limited to a cumulative purchase amount of \$7,500,000. On March 2, 2005, our Board approved an increase, from \$7,500,000 to \$12,500,000, for the maximum total cost of shares that could be purchased, which expired November 17, 2005. On November 18, 2005, the Board authorized a new stock repurchase program of up to 3,000,000 shares with a cumulative purchase limit of \$12,500,000.

During the six months ended September 30, 2006, we repurchased 209,000 shares of our outstanding common stock for \$2.9 million, whereas during the six months ended September 30, 2005, we repurchased 170,300 shares for \$2.1 million. Since the inception of our initial repurchase program on September 20, 2001, and as of September 30, 2006, we had repurchased 2,978,990 shares of our outstanding common stock at an average cost of \$11.04 per share for a total of \$32.9 million. As of September 30, 2006, a maximum purchase amount of \$7,856,187 and up to 808,416 shares were available under the stock repurchase program which expired November 17, 2006.

10. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Adoption of SFAS No. 123R

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," or SFAS No. 123R. SFAS No. 123R replaces SFAS No. 123 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and supersedes APB 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and subsequently issued stock option related guidance. This statement focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. It also addresses transactions in which an entity incurs liabilities in exchange for goods or services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of those equity instruments. Entities are required to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of the award (with limited exceptions). That cost will be recognized over the period during

which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award (usually the vesting period). The grant-date fair value of employee share options and similar instruments will be estimated using option-pricing models. If an equity award is modified after the grant date, incremental compensation cost will be recognized in an amount equal to the excess of the fair value of the modified award over the fair value of the original award immediately before the modification.

On April 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective transition method. We have recognized compensation cost equal to the fair values for the unvested portion of share-based awards at April 1, 2006 over the remaining period of service, as well as compensation cost for those share-based awards granted or modified on or after April 1, 2006 over the vesting period based on the grant-date fair values using the straight-line method. The fair values were estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. For those awards granted prior to the date of adoption, compensation expense is recognized on an accelerated basis based on the grant-date fair value amount as calculated for pro forma purposes under SFAS No. 123.

Stock Option Plans

We issued only incentive and non-qualified stock option awards, and, except as noted below, each grant was issued under one of the following five plans; (1) the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan (the "1996 SIP"), (2) Amendment and Restatement of the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan ("the Amended SIP") (collectively the "1996 Plans"), (3) the 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "1998 LTIP"), (4) Amendment and Restatement of the 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan (2001) (the "Amended LTIP (2001)") or (5) Amendment and Restatement of the 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan (2003) (the "Amended LTIP (2003)"). A summary of the plans are detailed below. All the stock option plans require the use of the previous trading day's closing price when the grant date falls on a date the stock was not traded.

In addition, at the IPO, there were 245,000 options issued that were not part of any plan, but issued under various employment agreements.

1996 Stock Incentive Plan

The allowable number of outstanding shares under this plan was 155,000. On September 1, 1996, the Board adopted this plan, and it was effective on November 8, 1996 when the SEC declared our Registration Statement on Form S-1 effective in connection with our IPO on November 20, 1996. The 1996 SIP is comprised of an Incentive Stock Option Plan, a Nonqualified Stock Option Plan, and an Outside Director Stock Option Plan. Each of the components of the 1996 Plans provided that options would only be granted after execution of an Option Agreement. Except for the number of options awarded to directors, the salient provisions of the 1996 SIP are identical to the Amended SIP, which is more fully described below.

With regard to director options, the 1996 Outside Director Stock Option Plan provided for 10,000 options to be granted to each non-employee director upon completion of the IPO, and 5,000 options to be granted to each non-employee director on the anniversary of each full year of his or her services as a director of *e*Plus As with the other components of the 1996 Plans, the director options would be granted only after execution of an Option Agreement.

Amendment and Restatement of 1996 Stock Incentive Plan

The 1996 SIP was amended via an Amendment and Restatement of 1996 Stock Incentive Plan. The primary purpose of the amendment was to increase the aggregate number of shares allocated to the plan by making the shares available a percentage (20%) of total shares outstanding rather than a fixed number.

The Amended SIP also provided for an employee stock purchase plan and permitted the Board to establish other restricted stock and performance-based stock awards and programs. The Amended SIP was adopted by the Board and became effective on May 14, 1997, subject to approval at the annual shareholders' meeting that fall. The Amended SIP was adopted by shareholders at the annual meeting on September 30, 1997.

Table of Contents

1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan

The 1998 LTIP was adopted by the Board on July 28, 1998, which is its effective date, and approved by the shareholders on September 16, 1998. The allowable number of shares under the 1998 LTIP is 20% of the outstanding shares, less shares previously granted and shares purchased through our employee stock purchase program. The 1998 LTIP shares many characteristics of the earlier plans. It also specified that options be priced at not less than fair market value. The 1998 LTIP consolidated the preexisting plans and made the Compensation Committee of the Board responsible for its administration. In addition, the 1998 LTIP eliminated the language of the 1996 Plans that "options shall be granted only after execution of an Option Agreement". Thus, while the 1998 LTIP does require that grants be evidenced in writing, the writing is not a condition precedent to the grant of the award.

Another change to note is the modification of the LTIP as it relates to options awarded to directors. Under the 1998 LTIP, instead of being awarded on the anniversary of the director's service, the options were to be automatically awarded the day after the annual shareholders meeting to all directors in service as of that day. It also permits for discretionary option awards to directors.

Amended and Restated 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan

Minor amendments were made to the 1998 LTIP on April 1, April 17 and April 30, 2001. The amendments change the name of the plan from the 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan to the Amended and Restated 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan referred to herein as Amended LTIP (2001). In addition, provisions were added "to allow the Compensation Committee to delegate to a single board member the authority to make awards to non-Section 16 insiders, as a matter of convenience," and to provide that "no option granted under the Plan may be exercisable for more than ten years from the date of its grant."

The Amended LTIP (2001) was amended on July 15, 2003 by the Board and approved by the stockholders on September 18, 2003 referred to herein as Amended LTIP (2003). Primarily the amendment modified the aggregate number of shares available under the plan to 3,000,000. Although the language varies somewhat from earlier plans, it permits the Board or Compensation Committee to delegate authority to a committee of one or more directors who are also officers of the corporation to award options under certain conditions. The Amended LTIP (2003) replaced all the prior plans, is our current plan and covers option grants for employees, executives and outside directors.

As of September 30, 2006, a total of 2,839,114 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance upon exercise of options granted under the Amended LTIP (2003).

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, we accounted for stock-based compensation expense under APB 25 and related interpretations and disclosed certain pro forma net income and EPS information as if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 as amended by SFAS No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure." Accordingly, we measured compensation expense based upon intrinsic value on the measurement date, calculated as the difference between the fair value of the common stock and the relevant exercise price.

In accordance with SFAS No. 123R, we recognized \$256 thousand and \$510 thousand of stock-based compensation expense (\$148 thousand and \$296 thousand net of tax) for the three and six months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. As of September 30, 2006, there was \$2.0 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested options. This expense is expected to be fully recognized over the next 2.75 years. In addition, we previously presented deferred compensation as a separate component of stockholders' equity. In accordance with

SFAS No. 123R, upon adoption, we also reclassified the balance in deferred compensation to additional paid-in-capital on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

24

Table of Contents

The following pro forma table illustrates the impact on net earnings and EPS had we applied the fair value expense recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005 (in thousands, except per share data).

	Т	Three		
	M	lonths	Six	Months
	E	Inded	I	Ended
	Sep	tember	Se	ptember
	30	0, 2005	3	30, 2005
		As Rest	ated	(1)
Net earnings, as reported	\$	1,749	\$	3,049
Add: APB intrinsic value of stock-based compensation, net of tax		169		167
Less: FAS 123 stock-based employee compensation expense,				
net of tax		(147)		(316)
Net earnings, pro forma	\$	1,771	\$	2,900
Basic earnings per share, as reported	\$	0.21	\$	0.36
Basic earnings per share, pro forma	\$	0.21	\$	0.34
Diluted earnings per share, as reported	\$	0.19	\$	0.34
Diluted earnings per share, pro forma	\$	0.20	\$	0.32
(1) C - N - + 2 "D - + + + + + + C 1 1 1 + + 1 F' 1 C + + + + 2				

⁽¹⁾ See Note 2, "Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements."

Stock Option Activity

During both the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2006, there were 40,000 options granted. We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of stock-based option awards. The following assumptions were utilized for the six months ended September 30, 2005 and 2006.

	Six Months Septembe	
	2005	2006
Options granted under the Incentive Stock		
Option Plan:		
Expected life of option	5 years	5 years
Expected stock price volatility	48.11%	38.22%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%
Risk-free interest rate	4.07%	5.04%

Expected life of the option is the period of time that we expect the options granted to be outstanding. Expected stock price volatility is based on historical volatility of our stock. Expected dividend yield is zero as we do no expect to pay any dividends, nor have we historically paid any dividends. Risk-free interest rate is the five-year nominal constant maturity Treasury rate on the date of the award.

25

46

A summary of stock option activity during the three and six months ended September 30, 2006 is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Exercise Price Range	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Contractual Life Remaining	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding, April 1, 2006	1,999,911	\$ 6.23 - \$17.38	\$ 9.93		
Options granted	40,000	\$ 10.25	\$ 10.25		
Options exercised	(173,518)	\$ 6.23 - \$10.75	\$ 7.14		
Options forfeited	(10,060)	\$ 8.75 - \$17.38	\$ 8.89		
Outstanding, September 30, 2006	1,856,333	\$ 6.24 - \$17.38	\$ 10.56	4.0	\$ 1,811,057
Vested or expected to vest at					
September 30, 2006	1,856,333		\$ 10.56	4.0	\$ 1,811,057
Exercisable, September 30, 2006	1,424,333		\$ 10.02	4.3	\$ 1,811,057

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the six months ended September 30, 2006 was \$991 thousand.

Additional information regarding options outstanding as of September 30, 2006 is as follows:

Options Outstanding						Options Exercisable				
			V	Veighted	Weighted		1	Weighted		
				Avg.	Avg.			Avg.		
			F	Exercise	Contractual			Exercise		
R	Range of	Options	P	rice per	Life	Options]	Price per		
F	Exercise									
	Prices	Outstanding		Share	Remaining	Exercisable		Share		
	6.24 -									
\$	\$9.00	885,426	\$	7.73	3.6	885,426	\$	7.73		
	9.01 -									
\$	\$13.50	760,400		12.10	3.4	328,400		11.78		
	13.51 -									
\$	\$17.38	210,507		16.91	4.5	210,507		16.91		
	6.24 -									
\$	\$17.38	1,856,333		10.56	4.0	1,424,333		10.02		

We issue shares from our authorized but unissued common stock to satisfy stock option exercises.

A summary of nonvested option activity is presented below:

Weight	ed-Average
	Grant-Date

Edgar Filing: EPLUS INC - Form 10-Q

	Shares		Fair Value	
N 1 1 1 1 21 2006	440.500	ф		7.45
Nonvested at March 31, 2006	440,500	\$		7.45
Granted	40,000	\$		4.27
Vested	(48,500)	\$		6.03
Forfeited	-			
Nonvested at September 30, 2006	432,000	\$		7.32
26				

11. SEGMENT REPORTING

We manage our business segments on the basis of the products and services offered. Our reportable segments consist of our traditional financing business unit and technology sales business unit. The financing business unit offers lease-financing solutions to corporations and governmental entities nationwide. The technology sales business unit sells information technology ("IT") equipment and software and related services primarily to corporate customers on a nationwide basis. The technology sales business unit also provides Internet-based business-to-business supply-chain-management solutions for information technology and other operating resources. We evaluate segment performance on the basis of segment net earnings.

Both segments utilize our proprietary software and services throughout the organization. Sales and services and related costs of e-procurement software are included in the technology sales business unit. Income related to services generated by our proprietary software and services is included in the financing business unit.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 1, "Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006. Corporate overhead expenses are allocated on the basis of employee headcount. Certain items have been reclassified for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005 to conform to the three and six months ended September 30, 2006 presentation. Amounts are presented in thousands.

		Financing Sales Business Unit Business Unit		Sales Business		Total
Three months ended September 30, 2005 (as restated)						
Sales of product and services	\$	1,379	\$	158,030	\$	159,409
Lease revenues		11,916		-		11,916
Fee and other income		315		2,603		2,918
Total revenues		13,610		160,633		174,243
Cost of sales		1,520		142,222		143,742
Direct lease costs		3,798		-		3,798
Selling, general and administrative expenses		5,864		16,175		22,039
Segment earnings		2,428		2,236		4,664
Interest and financing costs		1,558		159		1,717
Earnings before income taxes	\$	870	\$	2,077	\$	2,947
Assets	\$	265,983	\$	119,799	\$	385,782
Three months ended September 30, 2006						
Sales of product and services	\$	932	\$	179,381	\$	180,313
Sales of leased equipment		1,819		-		1,819
Lease revenues		13,522		-		13,522
Fee and other income		354		2,740		3,094
Total revenues		16,627		182,121		198,748
Cost of sales		2,513		159,858		162,371
Direct lease costs		5,572		_		5,572
Selling, general and administrative expenses		5,411		21,461		26,872
Segment earnings		3,131		802		3,933
Interest and financing costs		2,573		92		2,665
Earnings before income taxes	\$	558	\$	710	\$	1,268
Assets	\$	303,160	\$	140,928	\$	444,088
Six months ended September 30, 2005 (as restated)						
Sales of product and services	\$	2,081	\$	292,197	\$	294,278
Lease revenues		23,211	·	´ -		23,211
Fee and other income		801		5,757		6,558
Total revenues		26,093		297,954		324,047
Cost of sales		2,255		263,575		265,830
Direct lease costs		7,594		-		7,594
Selling, general and administrative expenses		10,971		31,267		42,238
Segment earnings		5,273		3,112		8,385
Interest and financing costs		3,053		201		3,254
Earnings before income taxes	\$	2,220	\$	2,911	\$	5,131
Assets	\$	265,983	\$	119,799	\$	385,782
1.155.00	Ψ	200,700	Ψ	117,177	Ψ	000,702
Six months ended September 30, 2006						
Sales of product and services	\$	1,852	\$	353,794	\$	355,646
Sales of leased equipment		1,819		-		1,819
Lease revenues		24,853		-		24,853
Fee and other income		563		5,377		5,940

Total revenues	29,087	359, 171	388,258
Cost of sales	3,171	315,229	318,400
Direct lease costs	10,596	-	10,596
Selling, general and administrative expenses	9,986	39,900	49,886
Segment earnings	5,334	4,042	9,376
Interest and financing costs	4,534	119	4,653
Earnings before income taxes	\$ 800	\$ 3,923	\$ 4,723
Assets	\$ 303,160	\$ 140,928	\$ 444,088

Included in the Financing Business Unit above are inter-segment accounts receivable of \$2.2 million and \$968 thousand for the six months ended September 30, 2005 and 2006, respectively. Included in the Technology Sales Business Unit above are inter-segment accounts payable of \$2.2 million and \$968 thousand for the six months ended September 30, 2005 and 2006, respectively.

12. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

Effective at the opening of business on July 20, 2007, our common stock was delisted from The Nasdaq Global Market due to non-compliance with financial statement reporting requirements. Specifically, in determining to delist our common stock, Nasdaq cited the delay of more than one year from the final due date for the filing of our fiscal year 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K with the SEC. Although we filed our fiscal year 2006 Form 10-K with the SEC on August 16, 2007, the following requisite periodic reports must also be filed with the SEC in order for us to be eligible to be relisted on Nasdaq; this Form 10-Q; the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2006; the fiscal year 2007 Form 10-K; and the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our results of operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the related Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report, and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006. Operating results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for an entire year.

Results of Audit Committee Review; Restatement of Financial Statements

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we have restated our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005 and our Condensed Consolidated Statements Cash Flows for the six months ended September 30, 2005 for the effects of errors discussed in Note 2, "Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements" to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our decision to restate the previously issued Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the effects of errors in accounting for stock options was based on the findings of the Audit Committee Investigation of our historical stock option granting practices and related accounting. See our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006 for further discussion.

29

Cautionary Language About Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, contains certain statements that are, or may be deemed to be, "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are made in reliance upon the protections provided by such acts for forward-looking statements. Such statements are not based on historical fact, but are based upon numerous assumptions about future conditions that may not occur. Forward-looking statements are generally identifiable by use of forward-looking words such as "may," "will," "should," "intend," "estimate," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "project" an expressions. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements made by or on our behalf. Any such statement speaks only as of the date the statement was made. We do not undertake any obligation to publicly update or correct any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that subsequently occur, or of which we hereafter become aware. Actual events, transactions and results may materially differ from the anticipated events, transactions or results described in such statements. Our ability to consummate such transactions and achieve such events or results is subject to certain risks and uncertainties. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the matters set forth below.

Although we have been offering IT financing since 1990 and direct marketing of IT products since 1997, our comprehensive set of solutions—the bundling of our direct IT sales, professional services and financing with our proprietary software—has been available since 2002. Consequently, we may encounter some of the challenges, risks, difficulties and uncertainties frequently faced by companies providing new and/or bundled solutions in an evolving market. Some of these challenges relate to our ability to:

- manage the diverse product set of rapidly-evolving solutions in highly-competitive markets;
- increase the total number of users of bundled services by cross-selling within our customer base and gain new customers:
 - adapt to meet changes in markets and competitive developments;
- maintain and increase advanced professional services by retaining highly-skilled personnel and vendor certifications:
 - integrate with external IT systems including those of our customers and vendors; and
 - continue to update our software and technology to enhance the features and functionality of our products.

We cannot be certain that our business strategy will be successful or that we will successfully address these and other challenges, risks and uncertainties. For a further list and description of various risks, relevant factors and uncertainties that could cause future results or events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in our forward-looking statements, see the "Risk Factors" and "—Results of Operations" sections contained elsewhere in this document, as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006, any subsequent Reports on Form 10-Q and Form 8-K and other filings with the SEC.

Discussion and Analysis Overview

The following discussion and analysis of our results of operations and financial condition gives effect to the restatement discussed in Note 2, "Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements" to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and should be read in conjunction with our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the related Notes included elsewhere in this report.

Our results of operations are susceptible to fluctuations for a number of reasons, including, without limitation, customer demand for our products and services, supplier costs, interest rate fluctuations and differences between estimated residual values and actual amounts realized related to the equipment we lease. Operating results could also fluctuate as a result of the sale of equipment in our lease portfolio prior to the expiration of the lease term to the lessee or to a third party. Such sales of leased equipment prior to the expiration of the lease term may have the effect of increasing revenues and net earnings during the period in which the sale occurs, and reducing revenues and net earnings otherwise expected in subsequent periods.

Table of Contents

We currently derive the majority of our revenue from sales and financing of information technology and other assets. We have expanded our product and service offerings under our comprehensive set of solutions which represents the continued evolution of our original implementation of our e-commerce products entitled *ePlusSuite*. The expansion to our bundled solution is a framework that combines our IT sales and professional services, leasing and financing services, asset management software and services, procurement software, and electronic catalog content management software and services.

We expect to expand or open new sales locations and hire additional staff for specific targeted market areas in the near future whenever we can find both experienced personnel and qualified geographic areas.

As a result of our acquisitions and expansion of sales locations, our historical results of operations and financial position may not be indicative of our future performance over time.

Critical Accounting Policies

SALES OF PRODUCT AND SERVICES. We adhere to guidelines and principles of sales recognition described in SAB No. 104, "*Revenue Recognition*," issued by the staff of the SEC. Under SAB No. 104, sales are recognized when the title and risk of loss are passed to the customer, there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement for sale, delivery has occurred and/or services have been rendered, the sales price is fixed and determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. Using these tests, the vast majority of our sales represent product sales recognized upon delivery; however, we make an adjustment for our sales that have FOB Shipping Point terms.

From time to time, in the sales of product and services, we may enter into contracts that contain multiple elements. Sales of services currently represent a small percentage of our sales. For services that are performed in conjunction with product sales and are completed in our facilities prior to shipment of the product, sales for both the product and services are recognized upon shipment. Sales of services that are performed at customer locations are recorded as sales of product or services when the services are performed. If the service is performed at a customer location in conjunction with a product sale or other service sale, we recognize the sale in accordance with SAB No. 104 and EITF 00-21, "Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables." Accordingly, in an arrangement with multiple deliverables, we recognize sales for delivered items only when all of the following criteria are satisfied:

- the delivered item(s) has value to the client on a stand-alone basis;
- · there is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered item(s); and
- · if the arrangement includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially in our control.

We sell certain third-party service contracts and software assurance or subscription products for which we evaluate whether the subsequent sales of such services should be recorded as gross sales or net sales in accordance with the sales recognition criteria outlined in SAB No. 104, EITF 99-19, "Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as an Agent," and FASB Technical Bulletin 90.1, "Accounting for Separately Priced Extended Warranty and Product Contracts." We must determine whether we act as a principal in the transaction and assume the risks and rewards of ownership or if we are simply acting as an agent or broker. Under gross sales recognition, the entire selling price is recorded in sales of product and services and our costs to the third-party service provider or vendor is recorded in cost of sales, product and services. Under net sales recognition, the cost to the third-party service provider or vendor is recorded as a reduction to sales resulting in net sales equal to the gross profit on the transaction and there are no cost of sales.

In accordance with EITF 00-10, "Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs," we record freight billed to our customers as sales of product and services and the related freight costs as a cost of sales, product and services.

31

VENDOR CONSIDERATION. We receive payments and credits from vendors, including consideration pursuant to volume sales incentive programs, volume purchase incentive programs and shared marketing expense programs. Vendor consideration received pursuant to volume sales incentive programs is recognized as a reduction to costs of sales, product and services in accordance with EITF Issue No. 02-16, "Accounting for Consideration Received from a Vendor by a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)." Vendor consideration received pursuant to volume purchase incentive programs is allocated to inventories based on the applicable incentives from each vendor and is recorded in cost of sales, product and services, as the inventory is sold. Vendor consideration received pursuant to shared marketing expense programs is recorded as a reduction of the related selling and administrative expenses in the period the program takes place only if the consideration represents a reimbursement of specific, incremental, identifiable costs. Consideration that exceeds the specific, incremental, identifiable costs is classified as a reduction of cost of sales, product and services.

SOFTWARE SALES AND RELATED COSTS. Revenue from hosting arrangements is recognized in accordance with EITF 00-3, "Application of AICPA Statement of Position 97-2 to Arrangements That Include the Right to Use Software Stored on Another Entity's Hardware." Our hosting arrangements do not contain a contractual right to take possession of the software. Therefore, our hosting arrangements are not in the scope of SOP 97-2, "Software Revenue Recognition," and require that allocation of the portion of the fee allocated to the hosting elements be recognized as the service is provided. Currently, the majority of our software revenue is generated through hosting agreements and is included in fee and other income on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Revenue from sales of our software is recognized in accordance with SOP 97-2, as amended by SOP 98-4, "Deferral of the Effective Date of a Provision of SOP 97-2," and SOP 98-9, "Modification of SOP 97-2 With Respect to Certain Transactions." We recognize revenue when all the following criteria exist: (1) there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred; (3) no significant obligations by us related to services essential to the functionality of the software remain with regard to implementation; (4) the sales price is determinable; and (5) and it is probable that collection will occur. Revenue from sales of our software is included in fee and other income on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

At the time of each sale transaction, we make an assessment of the collectibility of the amount due from the customer. Revenue is only recognized at that time if management deems that collection is probable. In making this assessment, we consider customer credit-worthiness and assess whether fees are fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or significant uncertainties. If the fee is not fixed or determinable, revenue is recognized only as payments become due from the customer, provided that all other revenue recognition criteria are met. In assessing whether the fee is fixed or determinable, we consider the payment terms of the transaction and our collection experience in similar transactions without making concessions, among other factors. Our software license agreements generally do not include customer acceptance provisions. However, if an arrangement includes an acceptance provision, we record revenue only upon the earlier of: (1) receipt of written acceptance from the customer; or (2) expiration of the acceptance period.

Our software agreements often include implementation and consulting services that are sold separately under consulting engagement contracts or as part of the software license arrangement. When we determine that such services are not essential to the functionality of the licensed software and qualify as "service transactions" under SOP 97-2, we record revenue separately for the license and service elements of these agreements. Generally, we consider that a service is not essential to the functionality of the software based on various factors, including if the services may be provided by independent third parties experienced in providing such consulting and implementation in coordination with dedicated customer personnel. If an arrangement does not qualify for separate accounting of the license and service elements, then license revenue is recognized together with the consulting services using either the percentage-of-completion or completed-contract method of contract accounting. Contract accounting is also applied to any software agreements that include customer-specific acceptance criteria or where the license payment is tied to the performance of consulting services. Under the percentage-of-completion method, we may estimate the stage of

completion of contracts with fixed or "not to exceed" fees based on hours or costs incurred to date as compared with estimated total project hours or costs at completion. If we do not have a sufficient basis to measure progress towards completion, revenue is recognized upon completion of the contract. When total cost estimates exceed revenues, we accrue for the estimated losses immediately. The use of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting requires significant judgment relative to estimating total contract costs, including assumptions relative to the length of time to complete the project, the nature and complexity of the work to be performed, and anticipated changes in salaries and other costs. When adjustments in estimated contract costs are determined, such revisions may have the effect of adjusting, in the current period, the earnings applicable to performance in prior periods.

Table of Contents

We generally use the residual method to recognize revenues from agreements that include one or more elements to be delivered at a future date when evidence of the fair value of all undelivered elements exists. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements (e.g., maintenance, consulting and training services) based on vendor-specific objective evidence ("VSOE") is deferred and the remaining portion of the arrangement fee is allocated to the delivered elements (i.e., software license). If evidence of the fair value of one or more of the undelivered services does not exist, all revenues are deferred and recognized when delivery of all of those services has occurred or when fair values can be established. We determine VSOE of the fair value of services revenue based upon our recent pricing for those services when sold separately. VSOE of the fair value of maintenance services may also be determined based on a substantive maintenance renewal clause, if any, within a customer contract. Our current pricing practices are influenced primarily by product type, purchase volume, maintenance term and customer location. We review services revenue sold separately and maintenance renewal rates on a periodic basis and update our VSOE of fair value for such services to ensure that it reflects our recent pricing experience, when appropriate.

Maintenance services generally include rights to unspecified upgrades (when and if available), telephone and Internet-based support, updates and bug fixes. Maintenance revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance contract (usually one year) on a straight-line basis and is included in fee and other income on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

When consulting qualifies for separate accounting, consulting revenues under time and materials billing arrangements are recognized as the services are performed. Consulting revenues under fixed-price contracts are generally recognized using the percentage-of-completion method. If there is a significant uncertainty about the project completion or receipt of payment for the consulting services, revenue is deferred until the uncertainty is sufficiently resolved. Consulting revenues are classified as fee and other income on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Training services include on-site training, classroom training and computer-based training and assessment. Training revenue is recognized as the related training services are provided and is included in fee and other income on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

SALES OF LEASED EQUIPMENT. Sales of leased equipment consist of sales of equipment subject to an existing lease, under which we are a lessor, including any underlying financing related to the lease. Sales of equipment subject to an existing lease are recognized when constructive title passes to the purchaser.

LEASE CLASSIFICATION. The manner in which lease finance transactions are characterized and reported for accounting purposes has a major impact upon reported revenue and net earnings. Lease accounting methods critical to our business are discussed below.

We classify our lease transactions in accordance with SFAS No. 13, "*Accounting for Leases*," as: (1) direct financing; (2) sales-type; or (3) operating leases. Revenues and expenses between accounting periods for each lease term will vary depending upon the lease classification.

As a result of these three classifications of leases for accounting purposes, the revenues resulting from the "mix" of lease classifications during an accounting period will affect the profit margin percentage for such period and such profit margin percentage generally increases as revenues from direct financing and sales-type leases increase. Should a lease be financed, the interest expense declines over the term of the financing as the principal is reduced.

For financial statement purposes, we present revenue from all three classifications in lease revenues, and costs related to these leases in direct lease costs.

DIRECT FINANCING AND SALES-TYPE LEASES. Direct financing and sales-type leases transfer substantially

all benefits and risks of equipment ownership to the customer. A lease is a direct financing or sales-type lease if the creditworthiness of the customer and the collectibility of lease payments are reasonably certain, no important uncertainties surround the amount of unreimbursable costs yet to be incurred, and it meets one of the following criteria: (1) the lease transfers ownership of the equipment to the customer by the end of the lease term; (2) the lease contains a bargain purchase option; (3) the lease term at inception is at least 75% of the estimated economic life of the leased equipment; or (4) the present value of the minimum lease payments is at least 90% of the fair market value of the leased equipment at the inception of the lease.

Table of Contents

Direct financing leases are recorded as investment in leases and leased equipment—net upon acceptance of the equipment by the customer. At the commencement of the lease, unearned lease income is recorded that represents the amount by which the gross lease payments receivable plus the estimated unguaranteed residual value of the equipment exceeds the equipment cost. Unearned lease income is recognized, using the interest method, as lease revenue over the lease term.

Sales-type leases include a dealer profit or loss that is recorded by the lessor upon acceptance of the equipment by the lessee. The dealer's profit or loss represents the difference, at the inception of the lease, between the present value of minimum lease payments computed at the interest rate implicit in the lease and the cost or carrying amount of the equipment (less the present value of the unguaranteed residual value) plus any initial direct costs. Interest earned on the present value of the lease payments and residual value is recognized over the lease term using the interest method.

OPERATING LEASES. All leases that do not meet the criteria to be classified as direct financing or sales-type leases are accounted for as operating leases. Rental amounts are accrued on a straight-line basis over the lease term and are recognized as lease revenue. Our cost of the leased equipment is recorded on the balance sheet as investment in leases and leased equipment—net and is depreciated on a straight-line basis over the lease term to our estimate of residual value. Revenue, depreciation expense and the resulting profit for operating leases are recorded on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease.

Lease revenues consist of rentals due under operating leases and amortization of unearned income on direct financing and sales-type leases. Equipment under operating leases is recorded at cost on the balance sheet as investment in leases and leased equipment—net and depreciated on a straight-line basis over the lease term to our estimate of residual value. For the periods subsequent to the lease term, where collectibility is certain, revenue is recognized on an accrual basis. Where collectability is not reasonably assured, revenue is recognized upon receipt of payment from the lessee.

RESIDUAL VALUES. Residual values represent our estimated value of the equipment at the end of the initial lease term. The residual values for direct financing and sales-type leases are included as part of the investment in direct financing and sales-type leases. The residual values for operating leases are included in the leased equipment's net book value and are reported in the investment in leases and leased equipment—net. The estimated residual values will vary, both in amount and as a percentage of the original equipment cost, and depend upon several factors, including the equipment type, manufacturer's discount, market conditions and the term of the lease.

We evaluate residual values on a quarterly basis and record any required changes in accordance with SFAS No. 13, paragraph 17.d., in which impairments of residual value, other than temporary, are recorded in the period in which the impairment is determined. Residual values are affected by equipment supply and demand and by new product announcements by manufacturers.

We seek to realize the estimated residual value at lease termination mainly through: (1) renewal or extension of the original lease; (2) the sale of the equipment either to the lessee or on the secondary market; or (3) lease of the equipment to a new customer. The difference between the proceeds of a sale and the remaining estimated residual value is recorded as a gain or loss in lease revenues when title is transferred to the lessee, or if the equipment is sold on the secondary market, in sales of product and services and cost of sales, product and services when title is transferred to the buyer.

INITIAL DIRECT COSTS. Initial direct costs related to the origination of direct financing or operating leases are capitalized and recorded as part of the net investment in direct financing leases, or net operating lease equipment, and are amortized over the lease term.

OTHER SOURCES OF REVENUE. Amounts charged for hosting arrangements in which the customer accesses

the programs from an *e*Plus-hosted site and does not have possession of the software, and for Procure+, our procurement software package, are recognized as services are rendered. Amounts charged for Manage+, our asset management software service, are recognized on a straight-line basis over the period the services are provided. In addition, other sources of revenue are derived from: (1) income from events that occur after the initial sale of a financial asset; (2) remarketing fees; (3) brokerage fees earned for the placement of financing transactions; (4) agent fees received from various manufacturers in the IT reseller business unit; (5) settlement fees related to disputes or litigation; and (6) interest and other miscellaneous income. These revenues are included in fee and other income on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

34

RESERVES FOR CREDIT LOSSES. The reserves for credit losses are maintained at a level believed by management to be adequate to absorb potential losses inherent in our lease and accounts receivable portfolio. Management's determination of the adequacy of the reserve is based on an evaluation of historical credit loss experience, current economic conditions, volume, growth, the composition of the lease portfolio and other relevant factors. The reserve is increased by provisions for potential credit losses charged against income. Accounts are either written off or written down when the loss is both probable and determinable, after giving consideration to the customer's financial condition, the value of the underlying collateral and funding status (i.e., discounted on a non-recourse or recourse basis). Our allowance also includes consideration of uncollectible vendor receivables which arise from vendor rebate programs and other promotions.

CAPITALIZATION OF COSTS OF SOFTWARE FOR INTERNAL USE. We have capitalized certain costs for the development of internal-use software under the guidelines of SOP 98-1, "*Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use.*" These capitalized costs are included in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as a component of property and equipment—net. Capitalized costs, net of amortization, totaled \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million of as of March 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively.

CAPITALIZATION OF COSTS OF SOFTWARE TO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO CUSTOMERS. In accordance with SFAS No. 86, "*Accounting for Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed*," software development costs are expensed as incurred until technological feasibility has been established, at such time such costs are capitalized until the product is made available for release to customers. These capitalized costs are included in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as a component of other assets. We had \$1.0 million and \$0.9 million of capitalized costs, net of amortization, as of March 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively.

SHARE-BASED PAYMENT. In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," or SFAS No. 123R. SFAS No. 123R replaces SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and supersedes APB 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and subsequently issued stock option related guidance. This statement establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services, primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. It also addresses transactions in which an entity incurs liabilities in exchange for goods or services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of those equity instruments. We are required to apply SFAS No. 123R to all awards granted, modified or settled as of the beginning of the annual fiscal reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005. We have analyzed the impact of SFAS No. 123R and have adopted SFAS No. 123R as of April 1, 2006. We are using the modified-prospective and the straight-line method. As described in Note 2, "Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements" to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we are restating prior fiscal periods within this Form 10-Q principally to reflect additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense relating to adjustments arising from the determinations of our Audit Committee in its review relating to our historical statements.

Results of Operations — Three and Six Months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Three and Six Months Ended September 30, 2005

Revenues. We generated total revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2006 of \$198.7 million compared to revenues of \$174.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2005, an increase of 14.1%. The increase is primarily the result of increased sales of product and services. Total revenues generated by us during the six months ended September 30, 2006 were \$388.3 million compared to revenues of \$324.0 million during the six months ended September 30, 2005, an increase of 19.8%. Our revenues are composed of sales of product and services, sales of leased equipment, lease revenues, and fee and other income, and may vary considerably from period to period.

Sales of product and services increased 13.1% to \$180.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$159.4 million generated during the three months ended September 30, 2005 and represented 90.7% and 91.5% of total revenue, respectively. The increase was a result of higher sales within our technology sales business unit subsidiaries primarily due to organic growth within our existing customer base. For the six months ended September 30, 2006, sales of product and services increased 20.9% to \$355.6 million from \$294.3 million generated during the six months ended September 30, 2005. The increase was a result of higher sales within our technology sales business unit subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Sales of product and services are generated primarily through our technology sales business unit subsidiaries. Sales of product and services consist primarily of sales of new equipment and service engagements. Many customers purchase from us using a contract vehicle known as a Master Purchase Agreement ("MPA") in which the terms and conditions of our relationship are stipulated. Some MPAs contain pricing arrangements. However, the MPAs do not contain purchase volume commitments and most have 30 day termination for convenience clauses. In addition, many of our customers place orders using purchase orders without an MPA in place. There is no guarantee that our sales of product and services volume can be maintained or increased.

A substantial portion of our sales of product and services is from sales of Hewlett Packard and CISCO products, which represented, in the aggregate, approximately 24.1% and 35.0% of sales, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005.

Included in the sales of product and services in our technology sales business unit are certain service revenues that are bundled with sales of equipment and are integral to the successful delivery of such equipment. Our service engagements are generally governed by Statements of Work and/or Master Service Agreements. They are primarily fixed fee, however, some agreements are time and materials or estimates. We realized a gross margin on sales of product and services of 10.9% and 11.0% during the three and six months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and 9.8% and 9.7% for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. Our gross margin on sales of product and services is affected by the mix and volume of products sold and competitive pressure in the marketplace.

Sales of leased equipment was \$1.8 million for the three and six months ended September 30, 2006. There were no sales of leased equipment during the three and six months ended September 30, 2005.

Lease revenues increased 13.5% to \$13.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, over the three months ended September 30, 2005. For the six months ended September 30, 2006, lease revenues increased 7.1% to \$24.9 million compared with \$23.2 million during the six months ended September 30, 2005. Our investment in leases and leased equipment—net was \$223.2 million as of September 30, 2006, a 7.0% increase from \$208.6 million as of September 30, 2005.

For the three months ended September 30, 2006, fee and other income was \$3.1 million, an increase of 6.0 % over the three months ended September 30, 2005. For the six months ended September 30, 2006, fee and other income decreased 9.4% to \$5.9 million as compared to \$6.6 million for the six months ended September 30, 2005. The decrease in fee and other income is partly attributable to the completion of certain professional service contracts predominately consulting, programming, hosting and procurement services provided by our technology business unit. Fee and other income includes revenues from adjunct services and fees, including broker and agent fees, support fees, warranty reimbursements, monetary settlements arising from disputes and litigation and interest income. Our fee and other income contain earnings from certain transactions which are in our normal course of business, but there is no guarantee that future transactions of the same nature, size or profitability will occur. Our ability to consummate such transactions, and the timing thereof, may depend largely upon factors outside the direct control of management. The earnings from these types of transactions in a particular period may not be indicative of the earnings that can be expected in future periods.

Costs and Expenses. For the three months ended September 30, 2006, cost of sales, product and services increased 11.7% to \$160.6 million as compared to \$143.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. For the six months ended September 30, 2006, cost of sales, product and services increased 19.1% to \$316.6 million from \$265.8 million for the six months ended September 30, 2005. The increase corresponds to the increase in sales of product and services of 20.9% for the six months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the six months ended September 30, 2005, primarily due to an increase in sales from our technology sales business unit.

A significant reduction in cost of sales is generated through vendor consideration programs provided by manufacturers. The programs are generally governed by our reseller authorization level with the manufacturer. The authorization level we achieve and maintain governs what types of product we can resell as well as such items as pricing received, funds provided for the marketing of these products and other special promotions. These authorization levels are achieved by us through sales volume, certifications held by sales executives or engineers and/or contractual commitments by us. The authorizations are costly to maintain and these programs continually change and there is no guarantee of future reductions of costs provided by these vendor consideration programs. We currently maintain the following authorization levels with our major manufacturers:

Manufacturer Manufacturer

Authorization

Level

Hewlett HP Platinum/VPA

Packard (National)

Cisco Systems Cisco Gold DVAR

(National)

Microsoft Gold

(National)

Sun Executive SPA
Microsystems Partner (National)
IBM IBM Premium

Business Partner

Lenovo Platinum

Partner

Network NetApp Platinum

Appliance, Inc. Elite Citrix Systems, Citrix Gold Inc. (National)

Cost of sales, leased equipment was \$1.8 million for the three and six months ended September 30, 2006. There were no cost of sales, leased equipment during the comparable period of the prior year.

Direct lease costs increased 46.7% to \$5.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2006, and 39.5% to \$10.6 million during the six months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same periods in the prior fiscal year. The largest component of direct lease costs is depreciation expense for operating leased equipment. Our investment in operating leases increased 30.7% as of September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in the prior fiscal year.

Professional and other fees increased 168.2% to \$4.8 million during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 122.1% to \$6.1 million during the six months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to the same periods in the prior fiscal year. The increase is primarily due to increased expenses for litigation related to the bankruptcy of Cyberco Holdings, Inc. and the related non-bankruptcy lawsuit filed with BoA as discussed in Part II, Item 1, "Legal Proceedings". We incurred additional expenses related to our review of accounting guidance regarding stock option grants since our IPO in 1996 and the resulting impact.

Salaries and benefits expense increased 15.9% to \$17.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2006, and 16.3% to \$35.0 million during the six months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same periods in the prior fiscal year. These increases are due in part to an increase in benefit costs and an increase in the average number of employees. We employed approximately 671 people as of September 30, 2006, as compared to 649 people as of September 30, 2005.

General and administrative expense decreased 11.9% to \$4.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2006, and decreased 6.0% to \$8.9 million during the six months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same periods in the prior fiscal year. The decrease is due to a slight reduction in depreciation and amortization expense relating to property and equipment and increased efficiency in spending controls to enhance productivity and profits.

Interest and financing costs increased 55.3% to \$2.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 43.0% to \$4.7 million during the six months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to the same periods in the prior

fiscal year. This is primarily due to an increasing non-recourse debt portfolio and increasing debt rates on new financings. Non-recourse notes payable increased 25.4% to \$160.5 million as of September 30, 2006 as compared to March 31, 2006.

Provision for Income Taxes. Our provision for income taxes decreased to \$0.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 from \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 primarily due to reduced earnings. Our provision for income taxes decreased to \$1.7 million for the six months ended September 30, 2006 from \$2.1 million for the six months ended September 30, 2005. Our effective income tax rates for the three and six months ended September 30, 2006 were 47.5% and 42.2%, respectively, and for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005 were 40.7% and 40.6%, respectively. The decrease for the three months ended September 30, 2006 in the provision for income taxes is due to a \$0.3 million entry to true-up various accounts that had an impact on the tax provision.

Net Earnings. The foregoing resulted in a 44.1% decrease and a 0.2% decrease in net earnings for the three and six months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, as compared to the same periods in the prior fiscal year.

Basic and fully diluted earnings per common share were \$0.12 and \$0.12 for the three months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, as compared to \$0.21 and \$0.19 for the three months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding for the three months ended September 30, 2006 were 8,228,823 and 8,424,903, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2005, the basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding were 8,474,301 and 9,071,470, respectively.

Basic and fully diluted earnings per common share were \$0.37 and \$0.35 for the six months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to \$0.36 and \$0.34 for the six months ended September 30, 2005. Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding for the six months ended September 30, 2006 were 8,218,154 and 8,586,866, respectively. For the six months ended September 30, 2005, the basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding were 8,509,827 and 9,071,092, respectively. The number of common shares outstanding decreased due to our repurchase of our common stock.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

During the six months ended September 30, 2006, we used cash flows from operations of \$56.5 million and used cash flows from investing activities of \$15.3 million. Cash flows provided by financing activities amounted to \$74.7 million during the same period. The effect of exchange rate changes during the six months ended September 30, 2006 provided cash flows of \$85.0 thousand. The net effect of these cash flows was a net increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$3.0 million during the six months ended September 30, 2006. During the same period, our total assets increased \$70.1 million, or 18.8%, primarily as the result of increases in our accounts receivable and investment in leases. The increase in inventory is due to orders from clients that required staging and rollout schedules that delayed shipment and an increase in inventory in transit that have FOB shipping point terms. The increase in accounts receivable of \$35.9 million as of September 30, 2006 as compared to March 31, 2006 is due to a 21.5% increase in total sales during the same period. Our cash and cash equivalents balance as of September 30, 2006 is \$23.7 million as compared to \$20.7 million as of March 31, 2006.

Debt financing activities provide approximately 80% to 100% of the purchase price of the equipment we purchase for lease to our customers. Any balance of the purchase price (our equity investment in the equipment) must generally be financed by cash flows from our operations, the sale of the equipment leased to third parties or other internal means. Although we expect that the credit quality of our leases and our residual return history will continue to allow us to obtain such financing, no assurances can be given that such financing will be available, at acceptable terms, or at all. The financing necessary to support our leasing activities has principally been provided by non-recourse and recourse borrowings. Historically, we have obtained recourse and non-recourse borrowings from banks and finance companies. Non-recourse financings are loans whose repayment is the responsibility of a specific customer, although we may make representations to the lender regarding the specific contract or have ongoing loan servicing obligations. Under a non-recourse loan, we borrow from a lender an amount based on the present value of the contractually committed lease payments under the lease at a fixed rate of interest, and the lender secures a lien on the financed assets. When the lender is fully repaid from the lease payment, the lien is released and all further rental or sale proceeds are ours. We are not liable for the repayment of non-recourse loans unless we breach our representations in the loan agreements. The lender assumes the credit risk of each lease, and their only recourse, upon default by the lessee, is against the lessee and the specific equipment under lease. Each transaction is specifically approved and done solely at the lender's discretion. During the six months ended September 30, 2006, our lease-related non-recourse debt portfolio increased 25.4% to \$160.5 million as compared to March 31, 2006.

Whenever possible and desirable, we arrange for equity investment financing which includes selling assets, including the residual portions, to third parties and financing the equity investment on a non-recourse basis. We generally retain customer control and operational services, and have minimal residual risk. We usually preserve the right to share in remarketing proceeds of the equipment on a subordinated basis after the investor has received an agreed to return on their investment.

Accounts payable—equipment represents equipment costs that have been placed on a lease schedule, but for which we have not yet paid. The balance of unpaid equipment cost can vary depending on vendor terms and the timing of lease originations. As of September 30, 2006, we had \$9.2 million of unpaid equipment cost, as compared to \$7.7 million as of March 31, 2006.

Accounts payable—trade increased 31.2% to \$25.2 million as of September 30, 2006 from \$19.2 million as of March 31, 2006. The increase is primarily related to an increase in sales of product and services and, consequently, an increase in cost of goods sold, product and services from our technology business unit.

Accounts payable—floor plan increased 22.4% to \$57.2 million as of September 30, 2006 from \$46.7 million as of March 31, 2006. This increase is primarily due to a rise in sales of product and services from our technology business unit that we transacted through our floor plan facility with GE Commercial Distribution Finance Corporation ("GECDF").

38

Table of Contents

Accrued expenses and other liabilities includes deferred income and amounts collected and payable, such as sales taxes and lease rental payments due to third parties. We had \$37.8 million and \$33.3 million of accrued expenses and other liabilities as of September 30, 2006 and March 31, 2006 respectively, an increase of 13.3 %.

Based on past performance and current expectations, we believe that our cash and cash equivalents, available borrowings based on continued compliance and/or waivers under our credit facilities, and cash generated from operations will satisfy our working capital needs, capital expenditures, stock repurchases, commitments, acquisitions and other liquidity requirements associated with our existing operations through at least the next 12 months.

<u>Credit Facility — Technology Business</u>

Our subsidiary, ePlus Technology, inc., has a financing facility from GECDF to finance its working capital requirements for inventories and accounts receivable. There are two components of this facility: (1) a floor plan component; and (2) an accounts receivable component. As of September 30, 2006 and as a result of the June 29, 2006 amendment, the facility had an aggregate limit of the two components of \$85.0 million other than during the overline period from June 26, 2006 through September 21, 2006 in which the aggregate limit of the two components was \$100.0 million. Effective June 20, 2007, the facility with GECDF was again amended to temporarily increase the total credit facility limit to \$100.0 million during the period from June 19, 2007 through August 15, 2007. On August 2, 2007, the period was extended from August 15, 2007 to September 30, 2007. Other than during the temporary increase periods described above, the total credit facility limit is \$85.0 million. The accounts receivable component has a sub-limit of \$30.0 million. Availability under the GECDF facility may be limited by the asset value of equipment we purchase and may be further limited by certain covenants and terms and conditions of the facility. We were in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2006.

The facility provided by GECDF requires a guaranty of up to \$10.5 million by *e*Plus inc. The guaranty requires *e*Plus inc. deliver its audited and unaudited financial statements by certain dates. We have not delivered the annual audited financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2007; however, GECDF has extended the delivery date to provide the financial statements through November 30, 2007. We believe we will receive additional extensions from our lender, if needed, regarding our requirement to provide financial statements as described above through the date of delivery of the documents. However, we cannot guarantee that we will receive additional extensions. The loss of the GECDF credit facility could have a material adverse effect on our future results as we currently rely on this facility and its components for daily working capital and liquidity for our technology sales business and as an operational function of our accounts payable process.

Floor Plan Component

The traditional business of *e*Plus Technology, inc. as a seller of computer technology, related peripherals and software products is financed through a floor plan component in which interest expense for the first thirty- to forty-five days, in general, is not charged. The floor plan liabilities are recorded as accounts payable—floor plan on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, as they are normally repaid within the thirty- to forty-five day time frame and represent an assigned accounts payable originally generated with the manufacturer/distributor. If the thirty- to forty-five day obligation is not paid timely, interest is then assessed at stated contractual rates.

The respective floor plan component credit limits and actual outstanding balances were as follows (in thousands):

Maximum	Balance as	Maximum	Balance as
Credit Limit	of March	Credit Limit	of
at	31, 2006	at	September
			30, 2006

March 31, September 30, 2006 \$ 75,000 \$ 46,689 \$ 85,000 \$ 57,155

Accounts Receivable Component

Included within the floor plan component, *e*Plus Technology, inc. has an accounts receivable component from GECDF, which has a revolving line of credit. On the due date of the invoices financed by the floor plan component, the invoices are paid by the accounts receivable component of the credit facility. The balance of the accounts receivable component is then reduced by payments from our customers into a lockbox and our available cash. The outstanding balance under the accounts receivable component is recorded as recourse notes payable on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

39

The respective accounts receivable component credit limits and actual outstanding balances were as follows (in thousands):

Maximum		Maximum	
Credit Limit		Credit Limit	Balance as
at	Balance as	at	of
March 31,	of March	September	September
2006	31, 2006	30, 2006	30, 2006
\$ 20,000	\$ 0	\$ 30,000	\$ 1,700

<u>Credit Facility — Leasing Business</u>

Working capital for our leasing business is provided through a credit facility which is currently contractually scheduled to expire on July 10, 2009. On September 26, 2005, we terminated our \$45 million credit facility and simultaneously entered into a new \$35 million credit facility. Participating in this facility are Branch Banking and Trust Company (\$15 million) and National City Bank (\$20 million) as agents. The ability to borrow under this facility is limited to the amount of eligible collateral at any given time. The credit facility has full recourse to us and is secured by a blanket lien against all of our assets such as chattel paper (including leases), receivables, inventory and equipment and the common stock of all wholly-owned subsidiaries.

The credit facility contains certain financial covenants and certain restrictions on, among other things, our ability to make certain investments, and sell assets or merge with another company. Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at London Interbank Offered Rates ("LIBOR") plus an applicable margin or, at our option, the Alternate Base Rate ("ABR") plus an applicable margin. The ABR is the higher of the agent bank's prime rate or Federal Funds rate plus 0.5%. The applicable margin is determined based on our recourse funded debt ratio and can range from 1.75% to 2.50% for LIBOR loans and from 0.0% to 0.25% for ABR loans. As of September 30, 2006, we had an outstanding balance of \$15.0 million on the facility, as recorded in recourse notes payable on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In general, we use the National City Bank facility to pay the cost of equipment to be put on lease, and we repay borrowings from the proceeds of: (1) long-term, non-recourse, fixed rate financing which we obtain from lenders after the underlying lease transaction is finalized; or (2) sales of leases to third parties. The loss of this credit facility could have a material adverse effect on our future results as we may have to use this facility for daily working capital and liquidity for our leasing business. The availability of the credit facility is subject to a borrowing base formula that consists of inventory, receivables, purchased assets and lease assets. Availability under the credit facility may be limited by the asset value of the equipment purchased by us or by terms and conditions in the credit facility agreement. If we are unable to sell the equipment or unable to finance the equipment on a permanent basis within a certain time period, the availability of credit under the facility could be diminished or eliminated. The credit facility contains covenants relating to minimum tangible net worth, cash flow coverage ratios, maximum debt to equity ratio, maximum guarantees of subsidiary obligations, mergers and acquisitions and asset sales. Other than as detailed below, we were in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2006.

The National City Bank facility requires the delivery of our Audited and Unaudited Financial Statements, and pro-forma financial projections, by certain dates. We have not delivered the following documents as required by Section 5.1 of the facility: (a) annual Audited Financial Statements for the year ended March 31, 2007; (b) "Projections" for our fiscal year ended March 31, 2008; and (c) quarterly Unaudited Financial Statements for the quarters ended September 30, 2006, December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2007. We entered into the following amendments which have extended the delivery date requirements for these documents: a First Amendment dated July 11, 2006, a Second Amendment dated July 28, 2006, a Third Amendment dated August 30, 2006, a Fourth Amendment dated September

27, 2006, a Fifth Amendment dated November 15, 2006, a Sixth Amendment dated January 11, 2007, a Seventh Amendment dated March 12, 2007, and an Eighth Amendment dated June 27, 2007. As a result of the amendments, the agents agreed, *inter alia*, to extend the delivery date requirements of the documents above through November 30, 2007.

We believe we will receive additional extensions from our lender, if needed, regarding our requirement to provide financial statements as described above through the date of delivery of the documents. However, we cannot guarantee that we will receive additional extensions.

40

Table of Contents

Performance Guarantees

In the normal course of business, we may provide certain customers with performance guarantees, which are generally backed by surety bonds. In general, we would only be liable for the amount of these guarantees in the event of default in the performance of our obligations. We are in compliance with the performance obligations under all service contracts for which there is a performance guarantee, and we believe that any liability incurred in connection with these guarantees would not have a material adverse effect on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Potential Fluctuations in Quarterly Operating Results

Our future quarterly operating results and the market price of our common stock may fluctuate. In the event our revenues or earnings for any quarter are less than the level expected by securities analysts or the market in general, such shortfall could have an immediate and significant adverse impact on the market price of our common stock. Any such adverse impact could be greater if any such shortfall occurs near the time of any material decrease in any widely followed stock index or in the market price of the stock of one or more public equipment leasing and financing companies, IT reseller, software competitor, major customers or vendors of ours.

Our quarterly results of operations are susceptible to fluctuations for a number of reasons, including, but not limited to, reduction in IT spending, our entry into the e-commerce market, any reduction of expected residual values related to the equipment under our leases, timing and mix of specific transactions and other factors. See Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006. Quarterly operating results could also fluctuate as a result of our sale of equipment in our lease portfolio at the expiration of a lease term or prior to such expiration, to a lessee or to a third party. Such sales of equipment may have the effect of increasing revenues and net income during the quarter in which the sale occurs, and reducing revenues and net income otherwise expected in subsequent quarters.

We believe that comparisons of quarterly results of our operations are not necessarily meaningful and that results for one quarter should not be relied upon as an indication of future performance.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Although a substantial portion of our liabilities are non-recourse, fixed interest rate instruments, we are reliant upon lines of credit and other financing facilities, which are subject to fluctuations in interest rates. These instruments, which are denominated in U.S. Dollars, were entered into for other than trading purposes, and, with the exception of amounts drawn under the National City Bank and GECDF facilities, bear interest at a fixed rate. Because the interest rate on these instruments is fixed, changes in interest rates will not directly impact our cash flows. Borrowings under the National City facility bear interest at a market-based variable rate, based on a rate selected by us and determined at the time of borrowing. Borrowings under the GECDF facility bear interest at a market-based variable rate. Due to the relatively short nature of the interest rate periods, we do not expect our operating results or cash flow to be materially affected by changes in market interest rates. As of September 30, 2006, the aggregate fair value of our recourse borrowings approximated their carrying value.

During the year ended March 31, 2003, we began transacting business in Canada. As a result, we have entered into lease contracts and non-recourse, fixed interest rate financing denominated in Canadian Dollars. To date, Canadian operations have been insignificant and we believe that potential fluctuations in currency exchange rates will not have a material effect on our financial position.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Background of Restatement

As previously disclosed, our CEO received a letter, dated June 20, 2006, from a stockholder raising concerns about 450,000 options awarded in 2004 to our four senior officers ("2004 Options"). On June 21, 2006, our CEO forwarded the letter to the Chairman of the Audit Committee. On June 23, 2006, the Audit Committee commenced a voluntary investigation of the issues raised concerning the 2004 Options. Subsequently, the Audit Committee Investigation was expanded to cover all our stock option grants since our IPO in 1996 and the historical practices related to stock option grants. The Audit Committee retained independent legal counsel, who in turn retained forensic accountants, to assist in the Investigation.

Table of Contents

With the assistance of independent counsel, the Audit Committee obtained and reviewed corporate books and records relating to option grants since our IPO, including relevant stock option plans, option agreements, minutes and written consents of our Board and Compensation Committee, relevant public filings and other available documentation. In addition, independent counsel for the Audit Committee reviewed a large volume of potentially relevant emails and electronic documents and interviewed 28 individuals, many on multiple occasions. The Audit Committee's independent counsel developed an exhaustive search term list, which was applied to electronic data retrieved. Approximately 79 gigabytes of electronic data was located and reviewed. The Audit Committee met frequently throughout the course of its Investigation.

On August 11, 2006, we filed a Form 8-K which disclosed that based on its review and assessment, the Audit Committee preliminarily concluded that the appropriate measurement dates for determining the accounting treatment for certain stock options we granted differ from the recorded measurement dates used in preparing our Consolidated Financial Statements. The Audit Committee further determined that certain stock option grants or modifications of stock option grants that were not in accordance with our stock-based compensation plans should have been accounted for using variable plan accounting for the duration of the options. As a result, non-cash stock-based compensation expense should have been recorded with respect to these stock option grants. Accordingly, it was further disclosed that we would restate our previously issued financial statements for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2004 and 2005, as well as previously reported interim financial information, to reflect additional non-cash charges for stock-based compensation expense and the related tax effects in certain reported periods. We further disclosed that for the above-stated reasons, certain of our prior financial statements and the related reports from our independent registered public accountants, earnings statements and press releases, and similar communications issued by us should no longer be relied upon.

The Audit Committee Investigation, and our internal review, identified certain errors in the ways in which we accounted for certain option grants. We concluded that we (1) used incorrect measurement dates for the accounting of certain stock options, (2) had not properly accounted for certain modifications of stock options, and (3) had incorrectly accounted for certain stock options that required the application of the variable accounting method. We determined revised measurement dates for those option grants with incorrect measurement dates and recorded stock-based compensation expense to the extent that the fair market value of our stock on the revised measurement date exceeded the exercise price of the stock option, in accordance with APB 25 and related FASB interpretations. Additionally, we restated both basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding for changes in measurement dates resulting from the Investigation. The combination of recording stock-based compensation expense and restating our weighted average shares outstanding have resulted in restated basic and diluted EPS.

We adopted a methodology for determining the most likely appropriate accounting measurement dates for all stock option grants. We reviewed all available documentation and considered all facts and circumstances for each award and attempted to identify the date at which the award was most likely authorized and approved and the recipient, number of shares and price were determined with finality. A detailed discussion of the correction of these errors and the impact of the adjustment to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the periods ended September 30, 2005 is included in Note 2, "Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements" to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

As a result of the Audit Committee Investigation, the Board adopted Stock Option Grant Policies and Procedures (the "Option Grant Policy") on September 28, 2006. The new policies and procedures include the following:

· All option grants shall be effective and priced as of the date approved or at a predetermined date certain in the future, in accordance with the applicable plan and the terms of the grant.

.

All decisions regarding stock options shall be made by the Compensation Committee or the full Board. The Stock Incentive Committee ("SIC") was discontinued.

- Each option grant shall be approved at an in-person or telephonic meeting of the Compensation Committee or full Board. Option grants shall not be approved by unanimous written consent.
- · Systematic authorization for option grants shall ensure that all option transactions adhere to our plans and stated policies. All such transactions must be accurately reflected in our books and records and have appropriate supporting documentation. Determinations of the Compensation Committee and/or the Board regarding options must be implemented in an accurate and timely manner.
- · Options shall be issued only during a specified window each year, immediately after release of the Form 10-K for the prior year or after quarterly earnings reports, with narrow exceptions for new employees and other special circumstances as determined by the Compensation Committee or the Board.
- Each option granted must specify all material terms of any options granted, including date of grant, exercise price, vesting schedule, duration, breakdown of ISOs versus non-qualified stock options, and any other terms the Compensation Committee or the Board deems appropriate.
- · All Forms 4 must be filed within two business days of any grant.
- · Option agreements for executive officers must be in the form on file with the SEC.
- · All option agreements must be signed contemporaneously with each grant.

Table of Contents

- The Compensation Committee may in its discretion engage independent outside counsel to obtain legal advice on issues that are significant and not ministerial rather than relying on company counsel for advice on such matters.
- · The Compensation Committee must be advised of the accounting and reporting impact of each grant.
- · Our general counsel must review all proposed grants to ensure that all legal requirements have been met.

In addition, we will strengthen our Internal Audit function by: (i) having the Internal Audit function report directly to the Audit Committee; (ii) implementing appropriate enhancements to our independent monitoring of financial controls, including specifically the monitoring of stock options and compensation issues; and (iii) implementing appropriate additional compliance training for our employees and management and we will adopt a new long-term incentive plan to effectuate the new Option Grant Policy. We will ensure that the new plan is accurately described in public filings.

In addition to the stock option errors described above, we have also restated our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended September 30, 2005 for the following reasons:

We use floor planning agreements for dealer financing of products purchased from distributors and resold to end-users. Historically, we classified the cash flows from our floor plan financing agreements in operating activities in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. We previously treated the floor plan facility as an outsourced accounts payable function, and, therefore, considered the payments made by our floor plan facility as cash paid to suppliers under Financial Accounting Standards No. 95, "Statement of Cash Flows."

We have now determined that when an unaffiliated finance company remits payments to our suppliers on our behalf, we should show this transaction as a financing cash inflow and an operating cash outflow. In addition, when we repay the financing company, we should present this transaction as a financing cash outflow. As a result, we have restated the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended September 30, 2005 to correct this error.

Also, payments made by our lessees directly to third-party, non-recourse lenders were previously reported on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows as repayments of non-recourse debt in the financing section and a decrease in our investment in leases and leased equipment—net in the operating section. As these payments were not received or disbursed by us, management determined that these amounts should not be shown as cash used in financing activities and cash provided by operating activities on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Rather, these payments are now disclosed as a non-cash financing activity on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Management, under the supervision and with the participation of our CEO and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, which included the findings of our Audit Committee as part of its review of our historical stock option granting practices and the adjustments to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements resulting from that review and classification errors in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, our CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2006 were not effective at the reasonable assurance level due to the existence of material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, as described below.

Table of Contents

Discussion of Material Weaknesses

A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

In connection with the restatements described above and as described under "Summary of the Restatement – Other Items" in Note 2, "Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements" to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this document, the Company's management identified the existence of material weaknesses over the determination of the accounting measurement dates for our granting of stock option awards, modifications of stock options awards and the classification of certain cash flows.

Stock Option Grants

We concluded that our controls over the application of accounting policies related to the determination of the measurement date of stock options were ineffective to ensure that these policies complied with U.S. GAAP. Specifically, the deficiency in our controls over the application of our stock option accounting policies failed to identify errors in our financial statements, which resulted in adjustments to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Cash Flow Statements

We concluded that our controls over the application of accounting policies related to preparing our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows were ineffective to ensure that these policies complied with U.S. GAAP. Specifically, the deficiency in our controls over the preparation of our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows failed to identify errors in our financial statements, which resulted in adjustments to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

To address these control weaknesses, we performed additional analysis and other procedures in order to prepare our Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Change in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We are committed to remediation of the control deficiencies that constitute the material weaknesses described above by implementing changes to our internal control over financial reporting. Our CEO and CFO have taken the responsibility to implement changes and improvements in our internal control over financial reporting and remediate the control deficiencies that gave rise to the material weaknesses. The following changes have occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2006, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting:

- On September 28, 2006, the Board adopted the Option Grant Policy described above.
- We have created a separate general ledger account for floor planning liabilities to detect and report on cash flows related to the transactions, and have created reports to detect payments from lessees that we did not receive or disburse. In addition, we have made changes to our cash flow template and quarterly checklists to ensure that these activities are detected and reported upon properly.

Other than the changes noted above, there have not been any other changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2006, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Cyberco Related Matters

We have been involved in several matters described below, arising from four separate installment sales to a customer named Cyberco Holdings, Inc. ("Cyberco"). Two of the lawsuits arising from this matter have been resolved. The Cyberco principals were perpetrating a scam, which victimized several dozen leasing and lending institutions. Five Cyberco principals have pled guilty to criminal conspiracy and/or related charges including bank fraud, mail fraud and money laundering. Cyberco, related affiliates, and at least one principal are in Chapter 7 bankruptcy. No future payments are expected from Cyberco, and at this time, the bankruptcy estate is anticipated to have insignificant funds.

In a bankruptcy adversarial complaint filed on December 7, 2006 in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Michigan, the bankruptcy trustee filed a claim against *e*Plus Group, inc. seeking payments of approximately \$775,000 as alleged preferential transfers. We retained none of those payments, and instead forwarded them to the appropriate assignees of the underlying sales. Of the \$775,000 in payments, approximately \$200,000 was forwarded to Banc of America Leasing and Capital, LLC ("BoA") and the remainder was forwarded to GMAC Commercial Finance, LLC ("GMAC"). Subsequent to its filing suit against us, the trustee added BoA and GMAC as defendants. We intend to vigorously defend these claims.

On January 4, 2005 we filed suit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against our insurance carrier, Travelers Property Casualty Company of America ("Travelers"), seeking a declaratory judgment that any potential liability for claims made against us by GMAC or BoA, which are described below, is covered by our insurance policy with Travelers. On February 9, 2006, the court granted summary judgment for Travelers, determining that our claim was not covered by our insurance policies. A final judgment was entered on or about October 25, 2006, and we timely appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. The ultimate decision on insurance coverage will apply to the claims filed against us by both underlying lenders, GMAC and BoA. We believe that our position asserting insurance coverage is correct, but we cannot predict the outcome of our appeal.

On January 4, 2005, GMAC filed suit, which we removed to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, against *e*Plus Group, inc. seeking repayment of three promissory notes underlying our non-recourse assignment of Cyberco's loan payments. GMAC's suit sought approximately \$10,646,000, plus interest. The suit was settled on July 24, 2006 for \$6,000,000 in cash, which we paid on July 25, 2006.

On May 10, 2005, BoA filed a lawsuit against *e*Plus Group, inc. in the Circuit Court for Fairfax County, Virginia. BoA funded one of the Cyberco sales in exchange for assignment of the payment stream. After Cyberco went into bankruptcy, BoA sought to recover its loss of approximately \$3,062,792 plus interest. On September 14, 2006, a jury awarded BoA \$3,025,000 plus interest. On or about February 6, 2007, a final judgment was entered, which also awarded BoA \$871,232 in attorneys' fees. We paid the total judgment, including interest and fees, of \$4,258,237 in two payments, the last of which was made on June 15, 2007.

In addition, BoA filed a lawsuit against *e*Plus inc. on November 3, 2006 in the Circuit Court for Fairfax County, Virginia, seeking to enforce a guaranty in which *e*Plus inc. guaranteed *e*Plus Group, inc.'s obligations to BoA relating to the Cyberco transaction. ePlus Group has already paid to BoA the judgment in the Fairfax County lawsuit referenced above. The suit seeks attorneys' fees BoA incurred in ePlus' appeal of BoA's suit against ePlus Group referenced above, expenses that may be incurred in a bankruptcy adversary proceeding relating to Cyberco, attorneys' fees incurred by BoA in defending a pending suit by ePlus Group against BoA, and any other costs or fees relating to any of the described matters. The trial is scheduled to begin November 20, 2007. We are vigorously defending the

suit. We cannot predict the outcome of this suit.

On January 12, 2007, ePlus Group, inc. filed a complaint against BoA in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, seeking relief on matters not adjudicated in the Virginia state court action described above. While we believe that we have a basis for our claims to recover certain of our losses related to the Cyberco matter, we cannot predict whether we will be successful in our claim for damages, whether any award ultimately received will exceed the costs incurred to pursue this matter or how long it will take to bring this matter to resolution.

On June 22, 2007, ePlus Group, inc. and two other entities victimized by Cyberco filed suit in the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan against The Huntington National Bank. The complaint alleges counts of aiding and abetting fraud, aiding and abetting conversion, and statutory conversion. While we believe that we have a basis for our claims to recover certain of our losses related to the Cyberco matter, we cannot predict whether we will be successful in our claim for damages, whether any award ultimately received will exceed the costs incurred to pursue this matter or how long it will take to bring this matter to resolution.

Other Matters

On January 18, 2007 a stockholder derivative action related to stock option practices was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The complaint names *e*Plus inc. as nominal defendant, and personally names eight individual defendants who are directors and/or executive officers of *e*Plus. The complaint alleges violations of federal securities law and various state law claims such as breach of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets and unjust enrichment. The Amended Complaint seeks monetary damages from the individual defendants and that we take certain corrective actions relating to option grants and corporate governance, and attorneys' fees. We are currently preparing a response to the plaintiff's amended complaint.

On December 11, 2006, ePlus inc. and SAP America, Inc. and its German parent, SAP AG (collectively, "SAP") entered into a Patent License and Settlement Agreement (the "Agreement") to settle a patent lawsuit between the companies which we filed on April 20, 2005. Under the terms of the Agreement, we will license to SAP our existing patents, together with those developed and/or acquired by us within the next five years, in exchange for a one-time cash payment of \$17,500,000, which was paid by SAP on January 16, 2007. In addition, SAP has agreed not to pursue legal action against us for patent infringement as to any of our current lines of business on any of SAP's patents for a period of five years. The Agreement also provides for general release, indemnification for its violation, and dismisses the existing litigation with prejudice.

We are currently engaged in a dispute with the government of the District of Columbia ("DC") regarding personal property taxes on property we financed for our customers. DC is seeking approximately \$508,000, plus interest and penalties, relating to property we financed for our customers. We believe the tax is owed by our customers, and are seeking resolution in DC's Office of Administrative Hearings. We cannot predict the outcome of this matter. While management does not believe this matter will have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations, resolution of this dispute is ongoing.

There can be no assurance that these or any existing or future litigation arising in the ordinary course of business or otherwise will not have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have not been any material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006.

46

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table provides information regarding our purchases of *e*Plus inc. common stock during the six months ended September 30, 2006.

Period	Total number of shares purchased (1)		Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs	
April 1, 2006 through April 30, 2006 May 1, 2006 through May 31, 2006	62,400 122,900	\$ \$	14.32 13.69	62,400 122,900	688,704 599,104	(2) (3)
June 1, 2006 through June 30, 2006 July 1, 2006 through July 31, 2006 August 1, 2006 through August 31,	23,700	\$	13.64	23,700	603,904 777,148	(4) (5)
2006 September 1, 2006 through September 30, 2006	-		-	-	814,197 808,416	(6)

- (1) All shares acquired were in open-market purchases.
- (2) The share purchase authorization in place for the month ended April 30, 2006 had purchase limitations on both the number of shares (3,000,000) as well as a total dollar cap (\$12,500,000). As of April 30, 2006, the remaining authorized dollar amount to purchase shares was \$9,862,236 and, based on April's average price per share of \$14.320, the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased is 688,704.
- (3) The share purchase authorization in place for the month ended May 31, 2006 had purchase limitations on both the number of shares (3,000,000) as well as a total dollar cap (\$12,500,000). As of May 31, 2006, the remaining authorized dollar amount to purchase shares was \$8,179,568 and, based on May's average price per share of \$13.653, the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased is 599,104.
- (4) The share purchase authorization in place for the month ended June 30, 2006 had purchase limitations on both the number of shares (3,000,000) as well as a total dollar cap (\$12,500,000). As of June 30, 2006, the remaining authorized dollar amount to purchase shares was \$7,856,187 and, based on June's average price per share of \$13.009, the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased is 603,904.
- (5) The share purchase authorization in place for the month ended July 31, 2006 had purchase limitations on both the number of shares (3,000,000) as well as a total dollar cap (\$12,500,000). As of July 31, 2006, the remaining authorized dollar amount to purchase shares was \$7,856,187 and, based on July's average price per share of \$10.109, the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased is 777,148.
- (6) The share purchase authorization in place for the month ended August 31, 2006 had purchase limitations on both the number of shares (3,000,000) as well as a total dollar cap (\$12,500,000). As of August 31, 2006, the remaining authorized dollar mount to purchase shares was \$7,856,187 and, based on August's average price per share of \$9.649, the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased is 814, 197.
- (7) The share purchase authorization in place for the month ended September 30, 2006 had purchase limitations on both the number of shares (3,000,000) as well as a total dollar cap (\$12,500,000). As of September 30, 2006, the remaining authorized dollar amount to purchase shares was \$7,856,187 and, based on September's average price

per share of \$9.718, the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased is 808,416.

On November 17, 2004, a stock purchase program was authorized by our Board. This program authorized the repurchase of up to 3,000,000 shares of our outstanding common stock over a period of time ending no later than November 17, 2005 and was limited to a cumulative purchase amount of \$7.5 million. On March 2, 2005, our Board approved an increase, from \$7.5 million to \$12.5 million, for the maximum total cost of shares that could be purchased. On November 18, 2005 our Board authorized a new stock repurchase program for the repurchase of up to 3,000,000 shares of our outstanding common stock, over a twelve-month period ending November 17, 2006, with a cumulative purchase limit of \$12.5 million.

Table of Contents

During the three months ended September 30, 2006, we repurchased no shares of our outstanding common stock, whereas during the three months ended September 30, 2005, we repurchased 115,300 shares for \$1.5 million. During the six months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, we repurchased 209,000 and 170,300 shares of our outstanding common stock for \$2.9 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. Since the inception of our initial repurchase program on September 20, 2001, and as of September 30, 2006, we had repurchased 2,978,990 shares of our outstanding common stock at an average cost of \$11.04 per share for a total of \$32.9 million.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Not Applicable

Item 5. Other Information

Not Applicable

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of e Plus inc. pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a).
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of <i>e</i> Plus inc. pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a).
32	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of <i>e</i> Plus inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ePlus inc.

Date: October 5, 2007 /s/ PHILLIP G. NORTON

By: Phillip G. Norton, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: October 5, 2007 /s/ STEVEN J. MENCARINI

By: Steven J. Mencarini Chief Financial Officer