

ACCURAY INC
Form 10-K
September 01, 2010

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA](#)

[PART IV](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission file number 001-33301

ACCURAY INCORPORATED

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or organization)

20-8370041

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

**1310 Chesapeake Terrace
Sunnyvale, California 94089**

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrants' telephone number, including area code: **(408)716-4600**

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$.001 par value per share	The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a Shell Company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant based on the last sale price for such stock on December 31, 2009: \$314,081,819.

As of July 30, 2010, the number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.001 par value, was 58,608,781.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the Registrant's 2010 Annual Meeting of stockholders are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

ACCURAY INCORPORATED

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>(Removed and Reserved)</u>	<u>50</u>

PART II

<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>107</u>
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>107</u>
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>109</u>

PART III

<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>109</u>
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>109</u>
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>109</u>
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>110</u>
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>110</u>

PART IV

<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>111</u>
	<u>Signatures</u>	<u>115</u>

Table of Contents

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-K includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, including, but not limited to, statements regarding the extent and timing of future revenues and expenses, statements regarding reimbursement rates, statements regarding regulatory requirements, statements regarding future orders, statements regarding our strategic alliance with Siemens AG, statements regarding the deployment of our products, statements regarding revenues, earnings or other financial results, and other statements using words such as "anticipates," "believes," "could," "estimates," "expects," "forecasts," "intends," "may," "plans," "projects," "should," "will" and "would," and words of similar import and the negatives thereof. Accuray Incorporated ("we," "our," the "Company") has based these forward-looking statements largely on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends affecting the financial condition of our business. Forward-looking statements should not be read as a guarantee of future performance or results, and will not necessarily be accurate indications of the times at, or by, which such performance or results will be achieved. Factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially include those discussed under "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A of this report. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect any event or circumstance that arises after the date of this report.

PART I

Historically, our fiscal year has ended on the Saturday closest to June 30th, so that in a 52 week period, each fiscal quarter consisted of 13 weeks. The additional week in a 53 week year was added to the fourth quarter, making such quarter consist of 14 weeks. Fiscal years 2009 and 2008 are each comprised of 52 weeks. For ease of presentation purposes, we refer to June 30 as the Company's fiscal year end. On June 23, 2009, our board of directors determined to change the Company's fiscal year end to June 30, beginning with fiscal 2010.

Item 1. BUSINESS

The Company

We, Accuray Incorporated, have developed what we believe to be the first and only commercially available intelligent robotic radiosurgery system, CyberKnife® Robotic Radiosurgery System, designed to treat solid tumors anywhere in the body as an alternative to traditional surgery. Our CyberKnife system represents the next generation of radiosurgery systems, combining continuous image-guidance technology with a compact linear accelerator, or linac, which has the ability to move in three dimensions according to the treatment plan. Our image-guidance technology enables the system to continuously acquire images to track a tumor's location and transmit any position corrections to the robotic arm prior to delivery of each dose of radiation. Our linac is a compact radiation treatment device that uses microwaves to accelerate electrons to create high-energy X-ray beams to destroy the tumor. This combination, which we refer to as intelligent robotics, extends the benefits of radiosurgery to the treatment of tumors anywhere in the body. The CyberKnife system autonomously tracks, detects and corrects for tumor and patient movement in real-time during the procedure, enabling delivery of precise, high dose radiation typically with sub-millimeter accuracy. The CyberKnife procedure requires no anesthesia, can be performed on an outpatient basis and allows for the treatment of patients who otherwise would not have been treated with radiation or who may not have been good candidates for surgery. In addition, the CyberKnife procedure is designed to avoid many of the potential risks and complications that are associated with other treatment options and is more cost effective than traditional surgery.

As of June 30, 2010, 206 CyberKnife systems were installed: 132 in the Americas, three of which are pursuant to our shared ownership program, 45 in Asia and 29 in Europe. Our customers have reported that over 95,000 patients worldwide have been treated with the CyberKnife system since its

Table of Contents

commercial introduction. Our customers have increasingly used the CyberKnife system for indications outside of the brain for tumors on or near the spine and in the lung, liver, prostate and pancreas. Based on customer data, over 50% of patients treated with the CyberKnife system in the United States during the year ended June 30, 2010 were treated for tumors outside of the brain.

The CyberKnife system received U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, 510(k) clearance in July 1999 to provide treatment planning and image-guided robotic radiosurgery for tumors in the head and neck. In August 2001, the CyberKnife system received 510(k) clearance to treat tumors anywhere in the body where radiation treatment is indicated. The CyberKnife system has also received a CE mark for sale in Europe and has been approved for various indications in Japan, Korea, Taiwan, China and other countries. In Europe, Japan, Korea, Taiwan, and China, the CyberKnife system has received approval to provide treatment planning and image-guided robotic radiosurgery for tumors anywhere in the body where radiation treatment is indicated.

We were incorporated in California in 1990 and commenced operations in 1992. We reincorporated in Delaware in 2007. Our principal offices are located at 1310 Chesapeake Terrace, Sunnyvale, CA 94089, and our telephone number is (408) 716-4600.

Cancer Market Overview

According to the World Health Organization, or WHO, an estimated 7.9 million people died of cancer in 2007, accounting for 13% of all deaths worldwide. Cancer is the second leading cause of death in the United States, after heart disease. The American Cancer Society, or ACS, estimates that approximately 569,000 Americans will die as a result of cancer in 2010. The ACS also estimates that approximately 1.4 million new cases of cancer will be diagnosed in the United States in 2010, with continued increases in the prevalence of cancer forecasted as the U.S. population ages.

Cancers can be broadly divided into two groups: solid tumor cancers, which are characterized by the growth of malignant tumors within the body in areas such as the brain, lung, liver, breast or prostate, and hematological, or blood-borne cancers, such as leukemia. The ACS estimates that solid tumor cancers will account for approximately 1.4 million, or approximately 94%, of new cancer cases diagnosed and will account for approximately 527,000 cancer related deaths in the United States in 2010. In addition, tumors at the original cancer site, called primary tumors, such as in the breast or prostate, even when diagnosed and treated, can lead to the development of tumors in other locations of the body, called secondary tumors. This is referred to as metastatic disease, the movement of cancer cells from one part of the body to another. We are focused on the treatment of solid cancer tumors.

Development of Radiosurgery

Traditional methods for the treatment of solid tumor cancers include surgery, radiation therapy, chemotherapy and other drugs. Surgery and radiation are forms of local therapy, because the tumor is either directly removed through surgery or irradiated with the objective of destroying the cancer cells comprising the tumor. Chemotherapy is a systemic treatment method which involves the administration of drugs with the objective of killing cancer cells anywhere in the body, and when used in conjunction with local therapy, any remaining cancer cells that were not destroyed by the local therapy.

Based on the demonstrated principles of radiation as a method of destroying cancer cells, manufacturers have developed radiosurgery systems that have initially shown to be effective in the treatment of brain tumors and there have been various attempts to develop similarly accurate systems to perform radiosurgery elsewhere in the body. By destroying the tumor with a high dose of radiation, radiosurgery systems have been shown to be effective at local control without the risks, costs and other limitations of traditional surgery. Radiosurgery systems differ from traditional radiation therapy systems in that they are designed to deliver a very high cumulative dose of radiation, in a single or a small number of treatments precisely targeted at the tumor rather than at a region that consists of the tumor

Table of Contents

plus healthy tissue that surrounds the tumor area. The more accurate delivery of radiation allows higher doses to be delivered, increasing the probability of tumor cell death and better local control. In addition, radiosurgery can be used on patients who cannot, due to advanced age or other health reasons, tolerate traditional surgery.

One of the initial radiosurgery techniques was frame-based radiosurgery for the treatment of brain tumors, which requires attaching a rigid frame to the patient's head by screwing it into the skull through the skin to immobilize the patient's head and to aid in targeting the tumor. Besides immobilizing the patient, the frame forms a fixed coordinate system that is used to target a tumor inside the head. Once the frame is attached, the physician then images the head, typically with a computed tomography, or CT scan, to identify the tumor location relative to the frame. The physician then uses the acquired images to develop a treatment plan, and the patient receives treatment while being held in position by the rigid frame. The entire process usually lasts between four and eight hours.

Although frame-based radiosurgery represents an advancement in cancer treatment, it has significant shortcomings. The necessity for a rigid frame to be screwed into a patient's skull or affixed to the body restricts the area of the body which can be treated. In addition, frame-based radiosurgery systems do not generally succeed in conforming the radiation dose to the tumor, because beam orientations are limited, and therefore it is difficult to match the shape of the treated volume with the shape of the tumors. Further, because it is difficult to precisely reposition the head frame for multiple treatments, these systems are very rarely used when more than one dose of radiation is required. Frame-based radiosurgery approaches have been used for treatment of tumors in other parts of the body, but suffer from significant drawbacks. In particular, it is not practical to attach a frame rigidly to parts of the body other than the head. Tumors in soft tissue organs such as the lung, liver, pancreas and prostate are not rigidly fixed to any external reference points and can move significantly during treatment due to normal bodily functions. Frame-based approaches to delivering radiosurgery for tumors in such locations are rarely as accurate as frame-based systems used to treat brain tumors. This lack of accuracy for tumors located outside the head may compromise the efficacy of traditional radiosurgery and increase the likelihood of delivering significant radiation doses to otherwise healthy tissue.

The CyberKnife System Solution

Our Strategy

Our goal is to have the CyberKnife system become the standard of care for the treatment of solid tumors, particularly those that are difficult to treat with traditional surgery. We believe our technology can significantly enhance the applications of radiosurgery by increasing the number and type of tumors which can be treated effectively. Key elements of our strategy include the following:

Increase physician adoption and patient awareness to drive utilization. We are continually working to increase adoption and awareness of our CyberKnife system and demonstrate its advantages over traditional treatment methods. We hold and sponsor symposia and educational meetings and support clinical studies in an effort to demonstrate the clinical benefits of the CyberKnife system. We assist our customers to increase patient awareness in their communities by helping them develop marketing and educational campaigns.

Continue to expand the radiosurgery market. While radiosurgery has traditionally been used to treat brain tumors, the CyberKnife system has received FDA clearance for and is increasingly being used to treat tumors anywhere in the body where radiation is indicated. Based on customer data, over 50% of patients treated with the CyberKnife system in the United States during the year ended June 30, 2010 were treated for tumors outside of the brain. We are facilitating studies to further demonstrate the CyberKnife system's efficacy for treating tumors outside of the brain, and we believe these studies will increase overall utilization of the CyberKnife system and continue to expand the number of patients

Table of Contents

eligible for radiosurgery. In addition, we have developed and are continuing to develop new upgrades to enable the CyberKnife system to be even better suited for treating tumors anywhere in the body where radiation is indicated.

Continue to innovate through clinical development and collaboration. The clinical success of the CyberKnife system is due in large part to the collaborative partnerships we have developed over the last decade with clinicians, researchers and patients. We proactively seek out and rely on constructive feedback from CyberKnife system users to learn what is needed to enhance the technology. Due to this collaborative process, we continually refine and upgrade the CyberKnife system, which ultimately improves our competitive position in the radiosurgery market. Our upgrades are designed to improve the ease of use and accuracy of treatment, decrease the treatment times, and improve the utilization for specific types of tumors. For example, in recent years, we introduced Synchrony, a motion tracking system that is designed to track tumors that move with patient respiration and the Xsight Spine Tracking System, a new target tracking technology, which eliminates the need for surgical implantation of small, inert metal markers, known as fiducials, in the treatment of spinal tumors. In the year ended June 30, 2008, we introduced a higher output linear accelerator, the Iris Variable Aperture Collimator, Monte Carlo Dose Calculation software, Sequential Optimization treatment planning and a seated RoboCouch, enabling improved patient positioning capabilities. In the year ended June 30, 2009, we introduced the InTempo Adaptive Imaging system, MultiPlan MD Suite, and MultiPlan Quick Review. In the year ended June 30, 2010, we introduced the CyberKnife VSI System, which includes support for the delivery of conventional fractionated robotic image guided intensity-modulated radiation therapy, or Robotic IMRT, AutoSegmentation for Prostate, MultiPlan QuickPlan and the Radiosurgery DICOM Interface to the Varian ARIA System. In addition, the CyberKnife VSI system includes a 1000MU/minute linac, which reduces treatment times making it feasible to deliver radiosurgery treatments in the same time as other machines deliver radiotherapy treatments.

Leverage our installed base to generate additional recurring revenue. We have designed the CyberKnife system so that generally customers can upgrade their previously purchased systems as we introduce new features. We generate additional revenue by selling multiyear service plans that provide eligibility to receive upgrades, when and if available. These contracts are typically signed prior to the CyberKnife system installation and generate additional revenue throughout the life of the contract. In addition, we sell upgrades to our existing customers who are not covered by service plans or who have exhausted the upgrades deliverable pursuant to their service plans. Finally, we offer the shared ownership program, which enables customers to reduce the upfront investment required for the CyberKnife system in exchange for sharing a significant portion of revenue with us that is derived from each procedure.

Expand sales in international markets. We intend to increase our sales and distribution capabilities outside of the United States to take advantage of the large international opportunity for our products. We currently have regional offices in Paris, France, Hong Kong, China and Tokyo, Japan and direct sales staff in most countries in Western Europe, Japan, India and Canada. Combined with distributors in Eastern Europe, Russia, the Middle East, the Asia Pacific region and Latin America our sales and distribution channels cover more than 80 countries. We intend to increase our international revenue by select additions of direct sales and marketing personnel in targeted areas to further penetrate our most promising international markets.

Pursue acquisitions, strategic partnerships and joint ventures. We intend to actively pursue acquisitions, strategic partnerships and joint ventures that we believe may allow us to complement our growth strategy, increase market share in our current markets and expand into adjacent markets, broaden our technology and intellectual property and strengthen our relationships with our customers. As an example, we entered into a Strategic Alliance Agreement, or Alliance Agreement with Siemens Aktiengesellschaft, or Siemens, pursuant to which (i) Accuray has granted Siemens distribution rights to

Table of Contents

Accuray's CyberKnife system when sold along with Siemens systems in multiple product sales, (ii) Accuray and Siemens will create a research and development relationship, and (iii) Siemens will incorporate certain Accuray technology into its linear accelerator products.

The CyberKnife System

Our principal product is the CyberKnife system, an intelligent robotic radiosurgery system that enables the treatment of tumors anywhere in the body where radiation is indicated without the need for invasive surgery or rigid frames. The current United States list price for the CyberKnife system ranges from approximately \$3.6 million to \$6.2 million, depending upon system configuration and options purchased by the customer. The list price typically includes initial training, installation and a one-year warranty. We also offer optional hardware and software, technical enhancements and upgrades to the CyberKnife system, as well as service contracts and training to assist customers in realizing the full benefits of the CyberKnife system.

The CyberKnife system combines continuous image-guidance technology with a compact linear accelerator mounted on a computer-controlled manipulator arm to precisely deliver high doses of radiation to the tumor from numerous directions during treatment. Our patented image-guidance technology correlates low dose, real-time treatment X-rays with images previously taken with a CT scan of the tumor and surrounding tissue to precisely direct each beam of radiation. This enables delivery of a highly conformal, non-isocentric dose of radiation to the tumor, with minimal radiation delivered to surrounding healthy tissue. With its autonomous ability to track, detect and correct for even the slightest tumor and patient movement throughout the entire treatment, the CyberKnife system gives clinicians an effective, uninterrupted and accurate treatment alternative.

Key components and technologies of the CyberKnife system and the CyberKnife VSI system include the following:

CyberKnife VSI System. With the ability to offer a full range of treatment options, from radiosurgery to high precision radiation therapy, the versatile CyberKnife VSI system provides the flexibility to optimize treatments for the unique needs of each patient. Using intelligent capabilities to not only enable expert-level treatments with an intuitive planning process, but also to adapt treatment delivery to the distinct characteristics of each patient with continual image guidance, the CyberKnife VSI system instills confidence that the plan created is the plan delivered. A comprehensive set of tools to manage every aspect of patient treatment, ready integration into existing institution infrastructure and a logical workflow make the use of the CyberKnife VSI system simple and convenient in daily clinical practice.

Treatment of inoperable or surgically complex tumors. The CyberKnife system can be used to target tumors that cannot be easily treated with traditional surgical techniques because of their location, number, size, shape or proximity to vital tissues or organs, or because of the age or health of the patient. The CyberKnife system's intelligent robotics are designed to enable the delivery of radiation doses that conform closely to the shape of the tumor. This enables the precise targeting of a tumor, while at the same time minimizing damage to surrounding healthy tissue. Radiosurgery treatments performed with the CyberKnife system can also be staged over two to five treatment sessions. Robotic IMRT treatments performed with the CyberKnife system can be delivered in as many as 40 treatment sessions, or fractions.

Treatment of tumors throughout the body. The CyberKnife system has been cleared by the FDA to provide treatment planning and image-guided radiosurgery for tumors anywhere in the body where radiation treatment is indicated. Unlike frame-based radiosurgery systems, which are generally limited to treating brain tumors, the CyberKnife system is being used for the treatment of primary and

Table of Contents

metastatic tumors outside the brain, including tumors on or near the spine and in the lung, liver, prostate and pancreas.

Real-time tracking of tumor movement. We believe the CyberKnife system is the first device that is designed to enable the treatment of tumors that change position due to respiration, tumor or patient movement during treatment. That ability is achieved with a level of accuracy typically associated with radiosurgery procedures for brain tumors.

Significant patient benefits. Patients may be treated with the CyberKnife system on an outpatient basis without anesthesia and without the risks and complications inherent in traditional surgery. The CyberKnife treatment procedure is well tolerated. Patients do not require substantial pre-treatment preparation, and typically there is little to no recovery time or hospital stay associated with the CyberKnife procedure. In addition, the CyberKnife system eliminates the need for an invasive rigid frame to be screwed into the patient's skull or affixed to other parts of the body.

Facilitates additional revenue generation through increased patient volumes. We believe that clinical use of the CyberKnife system allows our customers to effectively treat patients who otherwise would not have been treated with radiation or who may not have been good candidates for surgery. Therefore, we believe the treatment of these patients generates additional revenue without affecting our customers' traditional radiation therapy practices. In addition, because the CyberKnife treatment is a non-invasive, outpatient procedure requiring little or no recovery time, hospitals can treat more patients than with traditional surgery. In traditional surgery, the time a patient must be at the facility for the procedure and recovery time tends to be measured in days. With the CyberKnife system, the entire procedure is generally completed within 60 minutes, and the patient often leaves the facility very shortly after treatment. Even if the patient receives four to five treatments, the total time the patient is at the hospital or treatment center is still shorter than with traditional surgery. Furthermore, the more time the patient must be at the hospital, the more resources the hospital must dedicate to the patient. The reduction in overall time and resources required for the CyberKnife procedure, when compared to traditional surgery, leads to an increase in the volume of procedures performed and potentially lower per procedure costs for the hospital. This makes the CyberKnife system an attractive addition to our customers' cancer treatment practice.

Upgradeable modular design. The CyberKnife system has a modular design which facilitates the implementation of upgrades that generally do not require our customers to purchase an entirely new system to gain the benefits of new features. We continue to work to develop and offer new clinical capabilities enhancing ease of use, reducing treatment times, improving accuracy and improving patient access. Key components and technologies of the CyberKnife system include the following:

Compact X-band linear accelerator (linac). The linac generates the radiation that is used to treat the tumor. We believe we are the only commercial manufacturer of a compact X-band linac. This technology allows us to manufacture linacs that are smaller and weigh significantly less than standard medical linacs used in traditional gantry-based radiation therapy systems while achieving similar performance. The CyberKnife linac provides high energy X-ray beams of different diameters and intensities without the use of radioactive material. In fiscal 2010, we introduced a linac capable of delivering 1000 monitor units per minute of energy output, representing the highest output linac we have offered.

Robotic manipulator. The robotic manipulator arm, with six-degrees-of-freedom range of movement, is designed to move around the patient to position the linac and direct the radiation with an extremely high level of precision and repeatability. The manipulator arm provides a unique method of positioning the linac to deliver doses of radiation from nearly any direction and position, without the limitations inherent in gantry-based systems, creating a non-isocentric composite dose pattern that can precisely conform to the shape of each treated tumor. This flexibility enhances the ability to diversify

Table of Contents

beam trajectories and beam entrance and exit points, helping to minimize risks of radiation damage to healthy cells near the tumor. Furthermore, the rapid response time of the manipulator arm allows tracking of tumors that move with respiration.

Real-time image-guidance system with continuous target tracking and feedback. Without the need for clinician intervention or treatment interruption, the CyberKnife system's revolutionary real-time image-guided robotics enables continuous monitoring and correction for patient and tumor movements throughout each treatment as it is being delivered. The CyberKnife system is able to precisely deliver the prescribed radiation dose due to the virtually instantaneous and continuous feedback loop between X-ray-based target localization and automatic correction of the radiation beam throughout the entire treatment. This target tracking and feedback technology uses two digital image detectors to capture low energy X-ray images. The image guidance software carries out an automated comparison of the X-ray images with the patient's CT scan to detect, track and correct for any movement of the tumor or patient before and during the treatment delivery. This allows the CyberKnife system to dynamically target the tumor and adjust the position of the beam to follow the motion of the tumor throughout the treatment, directing the beam to precisely match tumor movement.

X-ray sources. The low-energy X-ray sources generate the X-ray images that help to determine the location of bony or other anatomic landmarks, or implanted fiducials, which are used for tracking throughout the entire treatment.

Image detectors. The image detectors capture high-resolution anatomical images throughout the treatment. These live images are continually compared to the patient's CT scan to determine real-time patient positioning. Based on this information, the robotic manipulator automatically corrects for any detected movement.

In addition to the key components listed above, we also offer the following components and features:

Synchrony Respiratory Tracking System. The CyberKnife system's proprietary motion tracking system, the Synchrony System, is used to track tumors that move with respiration. Synchrony software and hardware correlate tumor movement due to respiration with the CyberKnife system treatment beam allowing it to continuously track the tumor as it moves throughout the respiratory cycle. Through this process the CyberKnife system delivers beams synchronized in real-time to tumor position while adapting to changes in breathing patterns, allowing for the delivery of highly conformed radiation beams while reducing areas exposed to radiation. The Synchrony System provides an unprecedented clinical accuracy of approximately 1.5 millimeters for tumors that move with respiration.

Xsight Spine Tracking System. The Xsight Spine Tracking System eliminates the need for surgical implantation of fiducials for the delivery of radiosurgery treatments on or near the spine. The Xsight Spine Tracking System utilizes skeletal structures to automatically locate and track tumors with sub-millimeter accuracy. We believe no other commercially available technology today offers this capability.

Xsight Lung Tracking System. The Xsight Lung Tracking System delivers radiosurgical accuracy to some lung tumors without the need for implanted fiducials. The Xsight Lung Tracking System directly tracks the anatomy of the tumor. Integrated with the Synchrony Respiratory Tracking System, treatment margins are significantly minimized by tracking the motion of the tumor as it moves during respiration.

RoboCouch Patient Positioning System. Fully integrated with the CyberKnife system, the RoboCouch intelligently positions the patient to the planned treatment position with extreme accuracy, providing not only greater set up precision, but significantly streamlining the patient set up process. The RoboCouch offers greater positioning flexibility, a lower patient loading height, and a higher patient weight capacity limit when compared to our Standard Treatment Couch.

Table of Contents

Standard Treatment Couch. The Standard Treatment Couch is used to automatically align the patient for treatment.

Xchange Robotic Collimator Changer. The Xchange Robotic Collimator Changer automatically exchanges secondary fixed collimators, without clinician involvement, and is required for use with the Iris Variable Aperture collimator. These collimators determine the radiation beam size during the treatment.

Iris Variable Aperture Collimator. The Iris Variable Aperture Collimator enables delivery of beams in 12 unique sizes with a single collimator. This significantly reduces treatment times as well as the total radiation dose delivered to the patient.

4D Treatment Optimization and Planning System. Our 4D Treatment Optimization and Planning System optimizes treatment by taking into account the movement of the tumor as well as the movement and deformation, or change in shape, of the surrounding tissue, thereby minimizing margins and radiation exposure to healthy tissue.

InTempo Adaptive Imaging System. The InTempo System is a time-based target tracking technology used to compensate for intrafraction prostate motion during treatment delivery. With the InTempo System, our users can utilize adaptive imaging to automatically adjust for large movements in patients during treatment by increasing the X-ray imaging frequency. The user also manages the image age of X-ray images by specifying how long to wait between image acquisitions.

MultiPlan Treatment Planning System. The proprietary intuitive planning system is designed for CyberKnife radiosurgery and includes the hardware necessary for treatment planning. The MultiPlan System generates a series of beams and calculates the dose that must be delivered from each beam and provides these as a treatment plan. The treatment plan defines the pattern of radiation that meets the physician's dose prescription. The MultiPlan system uses input images from multiple modalities, including computed tomography, or CT, magnetic resonance imaging, or MRI, positron emission tomography, or PET, and 3D angiography. After the physician outlines a tumor and critical adjacent tissues on the computer, a medical physicist (or dosimetrist) uses the MultiPlan system to plan the number, intensity, position and direction of radiation beams. Using unique and patented software algorithms, the system calculates and displays the resultant treatment plan for evaluation, optimization and approval by the physician.

MultiPlan MD Suite. The MultiPlan MD Suite solution allows remote users to perform pre-planning preparation and post-planning review of treatment plans. MultiPlan MD Suite provides the ability to perform tasks such as contouring, fusion, setting of treatment plan parameters, and review of treatment plans.

CyberKnife® Data Management System. The CyberKnife® Data Management System provides comprehensive storage and processing of the patient data that is generated as the patient progresses through the CyberKnife planning and treatment workflow. Pre-planning data, such as planning CT images, are imported and stored in the data management system. This information is then available for review by the clinician. The results of a patient's treatment delivery, such as dose delivered from each beam, each path and each fraction, as well as details about the images acquired and corrections applied are recorded and stored in the data management system.

MultiPlan Quick Review. The MultiPlan Quick Review feature allows multiple sessions of the MultiPlan Treatment Planning System to be run simultaneously. One primary and up to three secondary sessions are available. The primary session has full treatment planning functionality while the secondary sessions can perform all planning functions except for optimization. MultiPlan Quick Review improves clinical workflow by allowing data from multiple patients, or multiple plans from the same patient, to be accessed simultaneously.

Table of Contents

Radiosurgery DICOM Interface. In a typical oncology department there are many individual systems that play a role in patient diagnosis and treatment delivery. Each of these systems separately manages their own specialized piece of information about a patient. Often a centralized information management system such as an Oncology Information Systems, or OIS, is used to minimize the need for the clinical user to access each of these separate systems individually to gather information. Centralization of the patient's oncology treatment record into a single digital record provides clinical benefits that can be realized immediately. Data management systems, such as the CyberKnife Data Management System, utilize industry-standard interface protocols, such as DICOM, to export patient information to the OIS. With the Radiosurgery DICOM Interface, the CyberKnife Robotic Radiosurgery System completes the OIS electronic medical record with a comprehensive export of the radiosurgery treatment history. Note: The Radiosurgery DICOM Interface requires a compatible version of the OIS.

Monte Carlo dose calculation. Our Monte Carlo dose calculation software uses Monte Carlo simulation algorithms in treatment planning and dose calculation. Our Monte Carlo dose calculation algorithm can perform the necessary treatment planning calculations in a significantly shorter time frame as compared to conventional Monte Carlo dose calculation methods, thereby accelerating the treatment planning process.

Sequential Optimization treatment planning. Sequential optimization treatment planning enables CyberKnife system users to define and prioritize treatment planning objectives for each treatment plan. These objectives can include treatment dose to the targeted tumor, dose minimization in surrounding areas and total radiation delivery throughout the treatment. Sequential optimization enables these objectives to be prioritized and tailored to the unique clinical characteristics of each patient.

Robotic IMRT. Robotic IMRT combines the proven technical effectiveness of IMRT delivery with the robotic intelligence of the CyberKnife system superior conformality, steep dose gradient and fully automated treatment delivery with continual image guidance to deliver high precision radiation therapy using a conventionally fractionated approach.

AutoSegmentation for Prostate. The AutoSegmentation option provides a method for the CyberKnife system to automatically generate accurate contours of the male pelvic anatomy, including the prostate, rectum, bladder, seminal vesicles and femoral heads. AutoSegmentation leverages a unique, model-based approach to automated contouring. Since these structures can now be defined quickly, accurately and with minimal user input, clinical workflow is greatly improved.

QuickPlan. Our QuickPlan technology allows for a complete treatment plan to be generated automatically, and the results presented to the user for review. The entire planning process, including the ability to automatically contour certain anatomical structures, automatically fuse image series and automatically identify fiducials, as well as the scriptable nature of the Sequential Optimization algorithm are leveraged in the QuickPlan option. Since the treatment planning process can now be largely automated, CyberKnife staff can now utilize their time and resources in the clinic more effectively.

Report Administration Application. The ability to easily access the data stored in the CyberKnife Data Management System is essential to the smooth management of the CyberKnife department. The Report Administration application makes the ability to review stored patient and usage data simple and straightforward by providing the easy availability of a variety of departmental reports.

Sales and Marketing

We currently market the CyberKnife system through a direct sales force in the United States and a combination of direct sales personnel and distributors in the rest of the world. Support of our

Table of Contents

international sales is handled through our European and Asian headquarters in Paris, France; Hong Kong, China and Tokyo, Japan.

In the United States we use a combination of regional sales directors, account specialists, product managers, training specialists and field marketing managers. Regional sales directors and account specialists are responsible for selling the CyberKnife system, upgrades and services to hospitals and stand-alone treatment facilities. Our product managers help market our current products and work with our engineering group to identify and develop upgrades and enhancements for the CyberKnife system. Our training specialists train radiation oncologists, surgeons, physicists and radiation therapists.

In addition to marketing to hospitals and stand-alone treatment facilities, we market to radiation oncologists, neurosurgeons, general surgeons, oncology specialists and other referring physicians. We will continue to increase our focus on marketing and education efforts to surgical specialists and oncologists responsible for treating tumors throughout the body. Our marketing activities also include efforts to inform and educate cancer patients about the benefits of the CyberKnife system.

According to estimates published by the American Society for Therapeutic Radiation Oncology, or ASTRO, there are over 2,000 hospitals and stand-alone treatment facilities in the United States providing radiation therapy services. Our current United States sales and marketing focus is to target the hospitals and treatment facilities currently providing radiation therapy services, however, in the future we believe that the CyberKnife system will also be marketed to hospitals that do not have radiation therapy facilities.

From time to time, we may provide our linac system for use in non-medical areas. These areas may include non-destructive testing, visual inspection and other potential applications. We do not currently expect these non-medical uses to represent a significant portion of our revenue in the near term.

Manufacturing and Assembly

We purchase major components of the CyberKnife system, including the robotic manipulator, treatment table or robotic couch, magnetron, which creates the microwaves for use in the linac, imaging cameras and computers, from outside suppliers. We manufacture certain other electronic and electrical subsystems, including the linac, at our Sunnyvale, California and Mountain View, California facilities. We then assemble and integrate these components with our proprietary software for treatment planning and treatment delivery and perform essential testing prior to shipment to customer sites.

Single source suppliers presently provide us with several components, including the magnetron, the treatment couches, the robot and the imaging plates. In most cases, if a supplier were unable to deliver these components, we believe that we would be able to find other sources for these components subject to any regulatory qualifications, if required. In the event of a disruption in any of these suppliers' ability to deliver a component, we would need to secure a replacement supplier. Additionally, any disruption or interruption of the supply of key subsystems could result in increased costs and delays in deliveries of CyberKnife systems, which could adversely affect our reputation and results of operations.

Intellectual Property

The proprietary nature of, and protection for, our products, product components, processes and know-how are important to our business. We seek patent protection in the United States and internationally for our product systems and other technology where available and when appropriate. Our policy is to patent or in-license the technology, inventions and improvements that we consider important to the development of our business. In addition, we use license agreements to selectively convey rights to our intellectual property to others. We also rely on trade secrets, know-how and continuing innovation to develop and maintain our competitive position.

Table of Contents

We had 15 U.S. patents issued in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2010, we held 55 U.S. patents, 55 pending U.S. patent applications and are pursuing additional patent applications on additional key inventions to enhance our intellectual property rights. The first of our patents will expire in October 2010 and currently the last of our patents will expire in 2026. As of June 30, 2010, we also held 23 foreign patents, 8 pending published Patent Cooperation Treaty applications and 66 foreign patent applications which correspond to our issued U.S. patents and pending U.S. patent applications. We cannot be sure that any patents will be issued from any of our pending patent applications, nor can we assure you that any of our existing patents or any patents that may be granted to us in the future will be commercially useful in protecting our technology. An additional key component of our intellectual property is our proprietary software used in planning and delivering the CyberKnife system's therapeutic radiation dose.

In addition to our patents, we also rely upon trade secrets, know-how, trademarks, copyright protection and continuing technological and licensing opportunities to develop and maintain our competitive position. We require our employees, consultants and outside scientific collaborators to execute confidentiality and invention assignment agreements upon commencing employment or consulting relationships with us.

Patents may provide some degree of protection for preventing others from making, using, selling, or offering for sale a system that shares one or more features of the CyberKnife. However, patent protection involves complex legal and factual determinations and is therefore uncertain. The laws governing patentability and the scope of patent coverage continue to evolve, particularly in the areas of technology of interest to us. As a result, we cannot assure you that patents will issue from any of our patent applications. The scope of any of our issued patents may not be sufficiently broad to offer meaningful protection. In addition, our issued patents or patents licensed to us may be successfully challenged, invalidated, circumvented or unenforceable so that our patent rights would not create an effective competitive barrier. Moreover, the laws of some foreign countries may not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. In view of these factors, our intellectual property positions bear some degree of uncertainty.

In April 2007, we entered into a License and Development Agreement with CyberHeart, Inc., or CyberHeart. As part of this agreement, we will license certain intellectual property rights and technologies to CyberHeart, which CyberHeart will use to develop and commercialize new systems and applications in the field of cardiac disease. In the event CyberHeart is able to successfully develop and commercialize such an application, under the agreement, we would be the sole supplier of radiosurgery equipment to CyberHeart and would also be entitled to receive specified payments based on usage of the CyberHeart system. Roderick Young, a former member of our board of directors, is a founder, officer and director of CyberHeart, Inc.

In June 2010, we entered into an Agreement with Siemens, pursuant to which (i) Accuray has granted Siemens the right to sell the Company's CyberKnife system globally as part of its portfolio of healthcare products in multi-product sales, (ii) Accuray and Siemens will create a research and development relationship, and (iii) Siemens will purchase and incorporate elements of Accuray's technology into its linear accelerator products. The Company will retain the sole ownership and rights related to inventions it develops, and Siemens retains the sole ownership and rights related to inventions it develops. The Company and Siemens have joint ownership and rights related to any jointly developed inventions in connection with the Agreement, and each party has the right to use those inventions. Any other jointly developed inventions will be allocated (a) to Accuray if they relate to specified Accuray technology, (b) to Siemens if they relate to specified Siemens technology, (c) as joint inventions, similar to the previous sentence. Each party will be granted a limited license to inventions allocated to the other party.

Table of Contents

Research and Development

Continued innovation is critical to our future success. Our current product development activities include projects expanding clinical applications in radiosurgery, driving product differentiation, and continually improving the CyberKnife system's capabilities. Some of our product upgrades have been discussed above under the heading "The CyberKnife System".

Research activities strive to enable new product development opportunities by developing new technologies and advancing areas of existing core technology such as next generation linear accelerator, patient imaging, or treatment planning capabilities.

The modular design of our products supports rapid development for new clinical capabilities and performance enhancements by generally allowing each subsystem to evolve within the overall platform design. Access to regular product upgrades protects customer investment in the CyberKnife system, facilitates the rapid adoption of new features and capabilities among existing installed base customers, and drives increasing value in our multiyear service plans. These upgrades will generally consist of software and hardware enhancements designed to increase the ease of use of our CyberKnife system and improve the speed and accuracy of treatment.

As of June 30, 2010, we had 117 employees in our research and development departments. Research and development expenses for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$31.5 million, \$36.0 million and \$32.9 million, respectively. We plan to increase our investment in research and development in future periods, including in connection with our strategic alliance with Siemens.

Competition

The medical device industry in general, and the non-invasive cancer treatment field in particular, are subject to intense and increasing competition and rapidly evolving technologies. Because our products often have long development and regulatory approval cycles, we must anticipate changes in the marketplace and the direction of technological innovation and customer demands. To compete successfully, we will need to continue to demonstrate the advantages of our products and technologies over well-established alternative procedures, products and technologies, and convince physicians and other healthcare decision makers of the advantages of our products and technologies. Traditional surgery, minimally invasive procedures, radiation therapy, chemotherapy and other drugs are other means to treat cancer. Also, we compete directly with frame-based radiosurgery systems primarily from Elekta AB (publ), or Elekta, BrainLAB AG, and the Integra Radionics business of Integra Life Sciences Holding Corporation.

The market for standard linacs is dominated by three companies: Elekta, Siemens, and Varian Medical Systems, Inc., or Varian. In addition, TomoTherapy Incorporated, or TomoTherapy, markets a radiation therapy product. Some manufacturers of standard linac systems, including Varian and Elekta, have products that can be used in combination with body and/or head frame systems and image-guidance systems to perform radiosurgery. Our newest CyberKnife system, the CyberKnife VSI system, was designed with a focus on radiosurgery, however, it can be also used to perform Robotic IMRT which uses low doses of radiation over a long period of time with fractionated treatments to treat cancer cells. Many government, academic and business entities are investing substantial resources in research and development of cancer treatments, including surgical approaches, radiation treatment, drug treatment, gene therapy (which is the treatment of disease by replacing, manipulating, or supplementing nonfunctional genes), and other approaches. Successful developments that result in new approaches for the treatment of cancer could reduce the attractiveness of our products or render them obsolete.

Table of Contents

Our future success will depend in large part on our ability to establish and maintain a competitive position in current and future technologies. Rapid technological development may render the CyberKnife system and its technologies obsolete. Many of our competitors have or may have greater corporate, financial, operational, sales and marketing resources, and more experience in research and development than we have. We cannot assure you that our competitors will not succeed in developing or marketing technologies or products that are more effective or commercially attractive than our products or that would render our technologies and products obsolete. We may not have the financial resources, technical expertise, marketing, distribution or support capabilities to compete successfully in the future. Our success will depend in large part on our ability to maintain a competitive position with our technologies.

Our competitive position also depends on:

Widespread awareness, acceptance and adoption of our products by the radiation oncology and cancer therapy markets;

The discovery of new technologies that improve the effectiveness and productivity of the CyberKnife system radiosurgery process;

Availability of coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors, insurance companies and others for procedures performed using the CyberKnife system;

Properly identifying customer needs and delivering new upgrades to address those needs;

Published studies supporting the efficacy and safety of the CyberKnife system;

Limiting the time required from proof of feasibility to routine production;

Limiting the timing and cost of regulatory approvals;

The manufacture and delivery of our products in sufficient volumes on time, and accurately predicting and controlling costs associated with manufacturing, installation, warranty and maintenance of the products;

Our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel;

The extent of our patent protection or our ability to otherwise develop proprietary products and processes; and

Obtaining any necessary United States or foreign regulatory approvals or clearances.

Reimbursement

The CyberKnife procedure is currently covered and reimbursed by Medicare and other governmental and non-governmental third-party payors. Medicare coverage currently exists in the hospital outpatient setting and in the freestanding clinic setting. For calendar year 2010, the national unadjusted average Medicare payment rates under Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System, or HCPCS, are \$3,572 under code G0339, the billing code for the first treatment, and \$2,488 under code G0340, the billing code for each of the second through fifth treatments. Payment for the freestanding clinic setting is governed by the final Medicare Physician Fee Schedule. For 2010, payment for CyberKnife procedures in the freestanding clinic settings for first and subsequent treatments is set by local Medicare carriers and rates may vary from low payment to a payment rate exceeding the hospital outpatient payment rates. We will continue to evaluate the impact that the health care legislation bill, HR 4872, and the effect the implementation of its statutes may have on Medicare reimbursement rates.

Table of Contents

In late June and early July of 2010 Medicare published its proposed rules for hospital outpatient services, for physicians, and services performed in the freestanding center setting. After a 60 day comment period Medicare will review and analyze the comments. Once Medicare's analysis is complete the final rules will be published, which we anticipate to occur near the end of October 2010. The proposed rates in the hospital outpatient setting reflect a 4.4% decrease for G0339 and a 1.1% increase for G0340. Proposed payment in the freestanding clinic setting for the first and subsequent treatments continues to be set by local Medicare carriers and rates may vary from low payment to a payment rate exceeding the hospital outpatient payment rates.

In addition to Medicare reimbursement to hospitals and clinics, physicians receive reimbursement for their professional services in the hospital outpatient setting and the freestanding clinic setting. Payment to physicians is based on the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule, and payment amounts are updated on an annual basis. For 2010, Medicare adjusted reimbursement rates for the Current Procedural Terminology, or CPT, code series describing the surgeon's role in the delivery of CyberKnife cranial and spinal procedures beginning with 61796 and 63620 to varying degrees. For example, the rate for treating five simple cranial lesions was reduced by less than one percent, and the rate for treating one complex cranial lesion was increased by more than 40%. For 2011, Medicare has proposed adjustments to reimbursement rates for CPT code series describing the surgeon's role in the delivery of CyberKnife cranial and spinal procedures beginning with 61796 and 63620 to varying degrees. These adjustments vary from a 27% increase to a 33% increase.

Radiosurgery procedures in other anatomies require other surgeons to bill unlisted CPT codes with no assigned payment rates. Payment rates for unlisted codes are set by the local Medicare carrier and rates may vary from no payment to rates equivalent to the comparable CPT rates for the series beginning with 61796 and 63620. Coding for other physicians (primarily radiation oncologists) involved in the delivery of CyberKnife treatment increased by one percent. Medicare did not propose changes for 2011 to payment rates in other anatomies not described by the cranial and spinal procedure codes.

In November of 2009, we announced the introduction of the CyberKnife VSI system, which allows physicians to perform conventionally fractionated robotic image guided intensity-modulated radiation therapy, or Robotic IMRT, in addition to Robotic Stereotactic Radiosurgery procedures. Reimbursement for Robotic IMRT is expected to be similar to conventional IMRT. Medicare 2011 proposed physician fee schedule rules reflect an 11% increase in 2011 for the treatment delivery code used to report IMRT services delivered by the CyberKnife VSI system.

Regulatory Matters

Domestic Regulation

Our products and software are medical devices subject to regulation by the FDA, as well as other regulatory bodies. FDA regulations govern the following activities that we perform and will continue to perform to ensure that medical products distributed domestically or exported internationally are safe and effective for their intended uses:

Product design and development;

Document and purchasing controls;

Production and process controls;

Acceptance controls;

Product testing;

Product manufacturing;

Product safety;

Table of Contents

Product labeling;

Product storage;

Recordkeeping;

Complaint handling;

Pre-market clearance or approval;

Advertising and promotion; and

Product sales and distribution.

FDA pre-market clearance and approval requirements. Unless an exemption applies, each medical device we wish to commercially distribute in the United States will require either prior 510(k) clearance or pre-market approval from the FDA. The FDA classifies medical devices into one of three classes. Devices deemed to pose lower risks are placed in either class I or II, which requires the manufacturer to submit to the FDA a pre-market notification requesting permission to commercially distribute the device. This process is generally known as 510(k) clearance. Some low risk devices are exempted from this requirement. Devices deemed by the FDA to pose the greatest risks, such as life-sustaining, life-supporting or implantable devices, or devices deemed not substantially equivalent to a previously cleared 510(k) device, are placed in class III, requiring pre-market approval. All of our current products are class II devices.

510(k) clearance pathway. When a 510(k) clearance is required, we must submit a pre-market notification demonstrating that our proposed device is substantially equivalent to a previously cleared 510(k) device or a device that was in commercial distribution before May 28, 1976 for which the FDA has not yet called for the submission of pre-market approval applications, or PMA. By regulation, the FDA is required to clear or deny a 510(k) pre-market notification within 90 days of submission of the application. As a practical matter, clearance may take longer. The FDA may require further information, including clinical data, to make a determination regarding substantial equivalence.

In July 1999, we received 510(k) clearance for the CyberKnife system for use in the head and neck regions of the body. In August 2001, we received 510(k) clearance for the CyberKnife system to provide treatment planning and image guided stereotactic radiosurgery and precision radiotherapy for lesions, tumors and conditions anywhere in the body where radiation treatment is indicated. In April 2002, we received 510(k) clearance for the Synchrony Motion Tracking System as an option to the CyberKnife system, intended to enable dynamic image guided stereotactic radiosurgery and precision radiotherapy of lesions, tumors and conditions that move under influence of respiration.

Pre-market approval (PMA) pathway. A PMA must be submitted to the FDA if the device cannot be approved through the 510(k) clearance process. A PMA must be supported by extensive data, including but not limited to, technical, preclinical, clinical trials, manufacturing and labeling to demonstrate to the FDA's satisfaction the safety and effectiveness of the device. No device that we have developed has required pre-market approval, nor do we currently expect that any future device or indication will require pre-market approval.

Product modifications. After a device receives 510(k) clearance or a PMA, any modification that could significantly affect its safety or effectiveness, or that would constitute a significant change in its intended use, will require a new clearance or approval. We have modified aspects of our CyberKnife system family of products since receiving regulatory clearance, and we have applied for and obtained additional 510(k) clearances for these modifications when we determined such clearances were required for the modifications. The FDA requires each manufacturer to make this determination initially, but the FDA can review any such decision and can disagree with a manufacturer's determination. If the FDA disagrees with our determination not to seek a new 510(k) clearance or PMA, the FDA may

Table of Contents

retroactively require us to seek 510(k) clearance or pre-market approval. The FDA could also require us to cease marketing and distribution and/or recall the modified device until 510(k) clearance or pre-market approval is obtained. Also, in these circumstances, we may be subject to significant regulatory fines or penalties. During our fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, we submitted one 510(k) clearance notification for modifications made to the operation of the CyberKnife system. The submission was cleared on September 18, 2009.

Pervasive and continuing regulation. After a device is placed on the market, numerous regulatory requirements apply. These include:

Quality System Regulation, or QSR, which require manufacturers, including third-party manufacturers, to follow stringent design, testing, control, documentation and other quality assurance procedures during product design and throughout the manufacturing process;

Labeling regulations and FDA prohibitions against the promotion of products for uncleared, unapproved or off-label uses; and

Medical device reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if the malfunction were to recur.

The FDA has broad post-market and regulatory enforcement powers. We are subject to unannounced inspections by the FDA and the Food and Drug Branch of the California Department of Health Services to determine our compliance with the QSR and other regulations, and these inspections may include the manufacturing facilities of some of our subcontractors. In the past, our prior facility has been inspected, and observations were noted. In August 2008, during routine inspections performed by the FDA, one minor observation was made. We have taken corrective action on the minor observation in response to the FDA's observation. There were no observations that involved a material violation of regulatory requirements. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with the QSR. Failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements can result in enforcement action by the FDA, which may include any of the following sanctions:

Fines, injunctions, consent decrees and civil penalties;

Recall or seizure of our products;

Operating restrictions, partial suspension or total shutdown of production;

Refusing our requests for 510(k) clearance or pre-market approval of new products or new intended uses;

Withdrawing 510(k) clearance or pre-market approvals that are already granted; and

Criminal prosecution.

The FDA also has the authority to require us to repair, replace or refund the cost of any medical device that we have manufactured or distributed. If any of these events were to occur, they could have a material adverse effect on our business.

On August 3, 2010, the FDA, or Agency, released for public comment two internal working group reports with numerous recommendations (1) to improve the 510(k) process and (2) to utilize science in regulatory decision making in ways that encourage innovation yet maintain predictability. Comments are due in 60 days and the FDA is targeting the implementation of or setting timelines for the implementation of "non-controversial" recommendations by the end of the year. At the same time, the FDA acknowledges that the recommendations are preliminary and no decisions have been made on specific changes to pursue. Nevertheless, we anticipate significant changes will result in the

way 510(k)

Table of Contents

programs will operate and the data requirements, including clinical data, to obtain 510(k) clearance or PMA approval. We cannot predict what effect these reforms will have on our ability to obtain 510(k) clearances or PMA approvals in a timely manner or the effect on our business.

On June 9 and 10, 2010, the FDA held a public meeting entitled "Device Improvements to Reduce the Number of Under-doses, Over-doses, and Misaligned Exposures from Therapeutic Radiation." The expressed purpose of the meeting was to discuss steps that could be taken by manufacturers of radiation therapy devices to help reduce misadministration and misaligned exposures. In advance of and at the meeting, the FDA requested comments in the following areas: features that should be incorporated into radiation therapy devices and their related software, user training, and quality assurance measures. It is likely that the Agency will use the information gleaned at this meeting to revise the standards and requirements for designing, manufacturing and marketing devices such as ours, creating uncertainty in the current regulatory environment around our current products and development of future products.

Radiological health. Because our CyberKnife system contains both laser and X-ray components, and because we assemble these components during manufacturing and service activities, we are also regulated under the Electronic Product Radiation Control Provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. This law requires laser and X-ray products to comply with regulations and applicable performance standards, and manufacturers of these products to certify in product labeling and reports to the FDA that their products comply with all such standards. The law also requires manufacturers to file new product reports, and to file annual reports and maintain manufacturing, testing and sales records, and report product defects. Various warning labels must be affixed. Assemblers of diagnostic X-ray systems are also required to certify in reports to the FDA, equipment purchasers, and where applicable, to state agencies responsible for radiation protection, that diagnostic and/or therapeutic X-ray systems they assemble meet applicable requirements. Failure to comply with these requirements could result in enforcement action by the FDA, which can include injunctions, civil penalties, and the issuance of warning letters. In the past, we failed to submit required reports to the FDA in a timely fashion. To correct our reporting deficiencies, in 2003 we initiated a corrective action plan that included, among other things, filing all past due reports with the FDA, applicable state agencies, and customers. We have also developed and implemented procedures to ensure future reports are made in a timely manner. While we believe all past reporting deficiencies have been corrected, we cannot assure you that the FDA will deem our corrective actions sufficient or that the FDA will not initiate enforcement action against us.

Fraud and Abuse Laws

We are subject to various federal and state laws pertaining to healthcare fraud and abuse, including anti-kickback laws and physician self-referral laws. Violations of these laws are punishable by significant criminal and civil sanctions, including, in some instances, exclusion from participation in federal and state healthcare programs, including Medicare and Medicaid. Because of the far-reaching nature of these laws, there can be no assurance that we would not be required to alter one or more of our practices to be in compliance with these laws. Evolving interpretations of current laws, or the adoption of new federal or state laws or regulations could adversely affect many of the arrangements we have with customers and physicians. In addition, there can be no assurance that the occurrence of one or more violations of these laws or regulations would not result in a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Anti-kickback laws. Our operations are subject to broad and changing federal and state anti-kickback laws. The Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, or the OIG, is primarily responsible for enforcing the federal Anti-Kickback Statute and generally for identifying fraud and abuse activities affecting government programs. The federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, receiving, offering or

Table of Contents

providing remuneration directly or indirectly to induce either the referral of an individual, or the furnishing, recommending, or arranging of a good or service, for which payment may be made under a federal healthcare program such as Medicare and Medicaid. "Remuneration" has been broadly interpreted to include anything of value, including such items as gifts, discounts, the furnishing of supplies or equipment, credit arrangements, waiver of payments, and providing anything of value at less than fair market value.

Penalties for violating the federal Anti-Kickback Statute include criminal fines of up to \$25,000 and/or imprisonment for up to five years for each violation, civil fines of up to \$50,000 and possible exclusion from participation in federal healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid. Many states have adopted prohibitions similar to the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, some of which apply to the referral of patients for healthcare services reimbursed by any source, not only by the Medicare and Medicaid programs, and do not include comparable exceptions.

The OIG has issued safe harbor regulations which set forth certain activities and business relationships that are deemed safe from prosecution under the federal Anti-Kickback Statute. There are safe harbors for various types of arrangements, including, without limitation, certain investment interests, leases and personal services and management contracts. The failure of a particular activity to comply in all regards with the safe harbor regulations does not mean that the activity violates the federal Anti-Kickback Statute or that prosecution will be pursued. However, conduct and business arrangements that do not fully satisfy each applicable safe harbor may result in increased scrutiny by government enforcement authorities such as the OIG.

The OIG has identified the following arrangements with purchasers and their agents as ones raising potential risk of violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute:

Discount and free good arrangements that are not properly disclosed or accurately reported to federal healthcare programs;

Product support services, including billing assistance, reimbursement consultation and other services specifically tied to support of the purchased product, offered in tandem with another service or program (such as a reimbursement guarantee) that confers a benefit to the purchaser;

Educational grants conditioned in whole or in part on the purchase of equipment, or otherwise inappropriately influenced by sales and marketing considerations;

Research funding arrangements, particularly post-marketing research activities, that are linked directly or indirectly to the purchase of products, or otherwise inappropriately influenced by sales and marketing considerations; and

Other offers of remuneration to purchasers that are expressly or impliedly related to a sale or sales volume, such as "prebates" and "upfront payments," other free or reduced-price goods or services, and payments to cover costs of "converting" from a competitor's products, particularly where the selection criteria for such offers vary with the volume or value of business generated.

We have a variety of financial relationships with physicians who are in a position to generate business for us. For example, physicians who own our stock also provide medical advisory and other consulting and personal services. Similarly, we have a variety of different types of arrangements with our customers. For example, our shared ownership program provides a CyberKnife system to customers in exchange for the greater of fixed minimum payments or a portion of the service revenues generated by the customer from use of the CyberKnife. Included in the fee we charge for the shared ownership program are a variety of services, including physician training, educational and marketing support, general reimbursement guidance and technical support. In the case of our former placement program, certain services and upgrades were provided without additional charge based on procedure volume. In

Table of Contents

the past, we have also provided loans to our customers. We also provide research grants to customers to support customer studies related to, among other things, our CyberKnife systems.

If our past or present operations are found to be in violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute or similar government regulations to which we or our customers are subject, we or our officers may be subject to the applicable penalty associated with the violation, including significant civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, imprisonment, and exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The impact of any such violation may lead to curtailment or restructuring of our operations. Any penalties, damages, fines, or curtailment or restructuring of our operations could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results. The risk of our being found in violation of these laws is increased by the fact that some of these laws are open to a variety of interpretations. Any action against us for violation of these laws, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses, divert our management's attention from the operation of our business and damage our reputation. If an enforcement action were to occur, our reputation and our business and financial condition could be harmed, even if we were to prevail or settle the action. Similarly, if the physicians or other providers or entities with whom we do business are found to be non-compliant with applicable laws, they may be subject to sanctions, which could also have a negative impact on our business. Several recently enacted state and federal laws, including laws in Massachusetts and Vermont, and the federal Physician Payment Sunshine Act, now require, among other things, extensive tracking, maintenance of data bases regarding and disclosures of relationships and payments to physicians and healthcare providers. These laws require us to implement the necessary and costly infrastructure to track and report certain payments to healthcare providers. Failure to comply with these new tracking and reporting laws could subject us to significant civil monetary penalties.

Physician self-referral laws. We are also subject to federal and state physician self-referral laws. The federal Ethics in Patient Referral Act of 1989, commonly known as the Stark Law, prohibits, subject to certain exceptions, physician referrals of Medicare and Medicaid patients to an entity providing certain "designated health services" if the physician or an immediate family member has any financial relationship with the entity. The Stark Law also prohibits the entity receiving the referral from billing any good or service furnished pursuant to an unlawful referral.

In addition, in July 2008, CMS issued a final rule implementing significant amendments to the regulations under the federal Ethics in Patient Referrals Act, which is more commonly known as the Stark Law. The final rule, which was effective October 1, 2009, imposes additional limitations on the ability of physicians to refer patients to medical facilities in which the physician has an ownership interest for treatment. Among other things, the rule provides that leases of equipment between physician owners that may refer patients and hospitals must be on a fixed rate, rather than a per use basis. Prior to enactment of the final rule, physician owned entities had increasingly become involved in the acquisition of medical technologies, including the CyberKnife system. In many cases, these entities entered into arrangements with hospitals that billed Medicare for the furnishing of medical services, and the physician owners were among the physicians who referred patients to the entity for services. The rule limits these arrangements and could require the restructuring of existing arrangements between physicians owned entities and hospitals and could discourage physicians from participating in the acquisition and ownership of medical technologies. The final rule also prohibits percentage-based compensation in equipment leases. As a result of the finalization of these regulations, some existing CyberKnife system operators have modified or restructured their corporate or organizational structures. In addition, certain customers that planned to open CyberKnife centers in the United States involving physician ownership have restructured their legal ownership structure. Certain entities were not able to establish viable models for CyberKnife system operation and therefore canceled their CyberKnife system purchase agreements. Accordingly, these regulations have resulted in cancellations of CyberKnife system purchase agreements and could also reduce the attractiveness of medical technology acquisitions, including CyberKnife system purchases, by physician-owned joint ventures or similar

Table of Contents

entities. As a result, these regulations have had, and could continue to have, an adverse impact on our product sales and therefore on our business and results of operations.

A person who engages in a scheme to circumvent the Stark Law's referral prohibition may be fined up to \$100,000 for each such arrangement or scheme. In addition, any person who presents or causes to be presented a claim to the Medicare or Medicaid programs in violation of the Stark Law is subject to civil monetary penalties of up to \$15,000 per bill submission, an assessment of up to three times the amount claimed, and possible exclusion from federal healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid. Various states have corollary laws to the Stark Law, including laws that require physicians to disclose any financial interest they may have with a healthcare provider to their patients when referring patients to that provider. Both the scope and exceptions for such laws vary from state to state.

Federal False Claims Act. The federal False Claims Act prohibits the knowing filing or causing the filing of a false claim or the knowing use of false statements to obtain payment from the federal government. When an entity is determined to have violated the False Claims Act, it must pay three times the actual damages sustained by the government, plus mandatory civil penalties of between \$5,500 and \$11,000 for each separate false claim. Suits filed under the False Claims Act, known as "qui tam" actions, can be brought by any individual on behalf of the government and such individuals, sometimes known as "relators" or, more commonly, as "whistleblowers", may share in any amounts paid by the entity to the government in fines or settlement. In addition, certain states have enacted laws modeled after the federal False Claims Act. Qui tam actions have increased significantly in recent years, causing greater numbers of healthcare companies to have to defend a false claim action, pay fines or be excluded from Medicare, Medicaid or other federal or state healthcare programs as a result of an investigation arising out of such action. We have retained the services of a reimbursement consultant, for which we pay certain consulting fees, to provide us and facilities that have purchased a CyberKnife system or acquired a CyberKnife system through our shared ownership program, with general reimbursement advice. While we believe this will assist our customers in filing proper claims for reimbursement and such consultants do not submit claims on behalf of our customers, the fact that we provide these consultant services could expose us to additional scrutiny and possible liability in the event one of our customers is investigated as a result of any of these laws.

HIPAA. The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, created two new federal crimes: healthcare fraud and false statements relating to healthcare matters. The healthcare fraud statute prohibits knowingly and willfully executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program, including private payors. A violation of this statute is a felony and may result in fines, imprisonment or exclusion from government sponsored programs. The false statements statute prohibits knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for healthcare benefits, items or services. A violation of this statute is a felony and may result in fines or imprisonment.

As a participant in the healthcare industry, we are also subject to extensive laws and regulations protecting the privacy and integrity of patient medical information, including privacy and security standards required under HIPAA. The HIPAA privacy standard was recently amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH), enacted as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. HITECH significantly increases the civil money penalties for violations of patient privacy rights protected under HIPAA. Furthermore, as of February 2010, Business Associates who have access to patient health information provided by hospitals and healthcare providers are now directly subject to HIPAA, including a new enforcement scheme and inspection requirements.

Table of Contents

International Regulation

International sales of medical devices are subject to foreign government regulations, which vary substantially from country to country. The time required to obtain clearance or approval by a foreign country may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA clearance or approval, and the requirements may be different.

The primary regulatory environment in Europe is that of the European Union and the three additional member states of the European Economic Area, or EEA, which have adopted similar laws and regulations with respect to medical devices. The European Union has adopted numerous directives and the European Committee for Standardization has promulgated standards regulating the design, manufacture, clinical trials, labeling and adverse event reporting for medical devices. Devices that comply with the requirements of the relevant directive will be entitled to bear CE conformity marking, indicating that the device conforms with the essential requirements of the applicable directives and, accordingly, may be commercially distributed throughout the member states of the European Economic Area.

The method of assessing conformity to applicable standards and directives depends on the type and class of the product, but normally involves a combination of self-assessment by the manufacturer and a third-party assessment by a notified body, an independent and neutral institution appointed by a European Union member state to conduct the conformity assessment. This relevant assessment may consist of an audit of the manufacturer's quality system (currently ISO 13485), provisions of the Medical Devices Directive, and specific testing of the manufacturer's device. In September 2002, our facility was awarded the ISO 13485 certification, which replaces the ISO 9001 and EN 46001 approvals, which has been subsequently maintained through periodic assessments, in accordance with the expiration dates of the standards, and we are currently authorized to affix the CE mark to our products, allowing us to sell our products throughout the European Economic Area.

We are also currently subject to regulations in Japan. A Japanese distributor received the first government approval to market the CyberKnife system from the Ministry of Health and Welfare, or MHLW, in November 1996. In December, 2003, we received approval from the MHLW to market the CyberKnife system in Japan for clinical applications in the head and neck, and a new distributor, Chiyoda Technol Corporation, was appointed to distribute the CyberKnife system. In June 2008, we received approval from the MHLW to market the CyberKnife system for treatments throughout the body where radiation treatment is indicated. On June 30, 2009, our subsidiary, Accuray Japan KK, became the Marketing Authorization Holder in Japan, which allowed the Company to directly sell our products in Japan. In August 2010, we received Shonin approval from the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare (MHLW) to market the CyberKnife G4 system to treat tumors non-invasively anywhere in the body, inclusive of head and neck.

We are subject to additional regulations in other foreign countries, including, but not limited to, Canada, Taiwan, China, Korea, and Russia in order to sell our products. We intend that either we or our distributors will receive any necessary approvals or clearance prior to marketing our products in those international markets.

State Certificate of Need Laws

In some states, a certificate of need or similar regulatory approval is required prior to the acquisition of high-cost capital items or the provision of new services. These laws generally require appropriate state agency determination of public need and approval prior to the acquisition of such capital items or addition of new services. Certificate of need regulations may preclude our customers from acquiring the CyberKnife system, whether through purchase or our shared ownership program, and from performing stereotactic radiosurgery procedures using the CyberKnife system. Several of our prospective customers currently are involved in appeals of certificate of need determinations. If these

Table of Contents

appeals are not resolved in favor of these prospective customers, they may be precluded from purchasing and/or performing services using the CyberKnife system. Certificate of need laws are the subject of continuing legislative activity, and a significant increase in the number of states regulating the acquisition and use of the CyberKnife system through certificate of need or similar programs could adversely affect us.

Employees

As of June 30, 2010, we had 451 employees worldwide, including 117 in research and development, 75 in sales and marketing, 132 in installation and service, 32 in manufacturing, and 95 in administration. None of the employees is represented by a labor union or is covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We have never experienced any employment related work stoppages and we believe our relationship with our employees is good.

Geographic Information

For financial reporting purposes, net sales and long-lived assets attributable to significant geographic areas are presented in "Note 2. Significant Accounting Policies" in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Available Information

Our web site is located at www accuray.com. We make available on this web site, free of charge, copies of our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and our proxy statements as soon as reasonably practicable after filing such material electronically or otherwise furnishing it to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The contents of our web site are not intended to be incorporated by reference into this report or in any other report or document we file or furnish, and any references to our web site are intended to be textual references only.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risks Related to Our Business

If the CyberKnife system does not achieve widespread market acceptance, we will not be able to generate the revenue necessary to support our business.

Achieving physician, patient, hospital administrator and third-party payor acceptance of the CyberKnife system as a preferred method of tumor treatment will be crucial to our continued success. Physicians will not begin to use or increase the use of the CyberKnife system unless they determine, based on experience, clinical data and other factors, that the CyberKnife system is a safe and effective alternative to current treatment methods. We often need to educate physicians about the use of stereotactic radiosurgery, convince healthcare payors that the benefits of the CyberKnife system and its related treatment process outweigh its costs and help train qualified physicists in the skilled use of the CyberKnife system. For example, the complexity and dynamic nature of stereotactic radiosurgery and IMRT requires significant education of hospital personnel and physicians regarding the benefits of stereotactic radiosurgery and IMRT and require departures from their customary practices. We have expended and will continue to expend significant resources on marketing and educational efforts to create awareness of stereotactic radiosurgery and IMRT generally and to encourage the acceptance and adoption of our products for these technologies.

The CyberKnife system was initially used primarily for the treatment of tumors in the brain, and the broader use of the system to treat tumors elsewhere in the body has been a more recent development. As a result, physician and patient acceptance of the CyberKnife system as a

Table of Contents

comprehensive tool for treatment of solid tumor cancers anywhere in the body has not yet been fully demonstrated, particularly as compared to products, systems or technologies that have longer histories in the marketplace. The CyberKnife system is a major capital purchase and purchase decisions are greatly influenced by hospital administrators who are subject to increasing pressures to reduce costs. These and other factors, including the following, may affect the rate and level of the CyberKnife system's market acceptance:

The CyberKnife system's price relative to other products or competing treatments;

Our ability to develop new products and enhancements to existing products in a timely manner;

Effectiveness of our sales and marketing efforts;

The impact of the current economic environment on our business, including the postponement by our customers of purchase decisions or required build-outs;

Capital equipment budgets of healthcare institutions;

Perception by physicians and other members of the healthcare community of the CyberKnife system's safety, efficacy and benefits compared to competing technologies or treatments;

Publication in peer-reviewed medical journals of data regarding the successful use and longer term clinical benefits of the CyberKnife system;

Willingness of physicians to adopt new techniques and the ability of physicians to acquire the skills necessary to operate the CyberKnife system;

Extent of third-party coverage and reimbursement rates, particularly from Medicare, for procedures using the CyberKnife system;

Development of new products and technologies by our competitors or new treatment alternatives;

Regulatory developments related to manufacturing, marketing and selling the CyberKnife system both within and outside the United States;

Perceived liability risks arising from the use of new products; and

Unfavorable publicity concerning the CyberKnife system or radiation-based treatment alternatives.

If the CyberKnife system is unable to achieve or maintain market acceptance, our revenue levels would decrease and our business would be harmed.

If we are unable to develop new products or enhance existing products, we may be unable to attract or retain customers.

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Our success depends on the successful development, introduction and commercialization of new generations of products, treatment systems, and enhancements to and/or simplification of existing products. The CyberKnife system is technologically complex and must keep pace with, among other things, the products of our competitors. We are making significant investments in long-term growth initiatives. For example, in November of 2009 we announced the introduction of the CyberKnife VSI system, which allows physicians to perform conventionally fractionated robotic intensity modulated radiation therapy, or Robotic IMRT, in addition to stereotactic radiosurgery. Such initiatives require significant capital commitments, involvement of senior management and other investments on our part, which we may be unable to recover. Our timeline for the development of new products or enhancements may not be achieved and price and profitability targets may not prove feasible. Commercialization of new products may prove challenging, and we may be required to invest more

Table of Contents

time and money than expected to successfully introduce them. Once introduced, new products may adversely impact orders and sales of our existing products, or make them less desirable or even obsolete. Compliance with regulations, competitive alternatives, and shifting market preferences may also impact the successful implementation of new products or enhancements.

Our ability to successfully develop and introduce new products, treatment systems and product enhancements and simplifications, and the revenues and costs associated with these efforts, are affected by our ability to:

Properly identify customer needs;

Prove feasibility of new products;

Educate physicians about the use of new products and procedures;

Limit the time required from proof of feasibility to routine production;

Comply with internal quality assurance systems and processes timely and efficiently;

Limit the timing and cost of regulatory approvals;

Accurately predict and control costs associated with inventory overruns caused by phase-in of new products and phase-out of old products;

Price our products competitively;

Manufacture and deliver our products in sufficient volumes on time, and accurately predict and control costs associated with manufacturing, installation, warranty and maintenance of the products;

Manage customer acceptance and payment for products;

Manage customer demands for retrofits of both old and new products; and

Anticipate and compete successfully with competitors.

Even if customers accept new products or product enhancements, the revenues from these products may not be sufficient to offset the significant costs associated with making them available to customers.

We cannot be sure that we will be able to successfully develop, manufacture or introduce new products, treatment systems or enhancements, the roll-out of which involves compliance with complex quality assurance processes, including the quality system regulation, or QSR, and enforced by the FDA. Failure to complete these processes timely and efficiently could result in delays that could affect our ability to attract and retain customers, or could cause customers to delay or cancel orders, causing our backlog, revenues and operating results to suffer.

If we are unable to provide the significant education and training required for the healthcare market to accept our products, our business will suffer.

In order to achieve market acceptance of the CyberKnife system, we often need to educate physicians about the use of stereotactic radiosurgery, convince healthcare payors that the benefits of the CyberKnife system and its related treatment process outweigh its costs and help train qualified physicists in the skilled use of the CyberKnife system. For example, the complexity and dynamic nature of stereotactic radiosurgery and Robotic IMRT requires significant education of hospital personnel and physicians regarding the benefits of stereotactic radiosurgery and Robotic IMRT and require departures from their customary practices. We have expended and will continue to expend significant resources on marketing and educational efforts to create awareness of stereotactic radiosurgery and Robotic IMRT and to encourage the acceptance and adoption of our products for these technologies. We cannot be

Table of Contents

sure that any products we develop will gain significant market acceptance among physicians, patients and healthcare payors, even if we spend significant time and expense on their education. Failure to gain significant market acceptance would adversely affect our product sales and revenues, harming our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We have a large accumulated deficit, may incur future losses and may be unable to maintain profitability.

As of June 30, 2010, we had an accumulated deficit of \$117.7 million. We may incur net losses in the future, particularly as we increase our manufacturing, research and development, and marketing activities in connection with, among other things, the Strategic Alliance Agreement we entered into with Siemens AG on June 8, 2010. Our ability to maintain long-term profitability is largely dependent on our ability to successfully market and sell the CyberKnife system and to control our costs and effectively manage our growth. We cannot assure you that we will be able to maintain profitability. In the event we fail to maintain profitability, our stock price could decline.

We face risks related to the current global economic environment, which could delay or prevent our customers from obtaining financing to purchase the CyberKnife system and implement the required facilities, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The state of the global economy continues to be uncertain. The current global economic conditions pose a risk to the overall economy that could impact consumer and customer demand for our products, as well as our ability to manage normal commercial relationships with our customers, suppliers and creditors, including financial institutions. If the current situation deteriorates or does not improve, our business could be negatively affected, including such areas as reduced demand for our products resulting from a slow-down in the general economy, supplier or customer disruptions and/or temporary interruptions in our ability to conduct day-to-day transactions through our financial intermediaries involving the payment to or collection of funds from our customers, vendors and suppliers.

In addition, due to tight credit markets and concerns regarding the availability of credit, particularly in the United States, some of our customers have been delayed in obtaining, or have not been able to obtain, necessary financing for their purchases of the CyberKnife system or for the construction or renovation of facilities to house CyberKnife systems. To date, these delays have primarily affected customers that were planning to operate freestanding CyberKnife systems, rather than hospital-based customers. These delays have in some instances led to our customers postponing the shipment and installation of previously ordered systems or cancelling their system orders, and may cause other customers to postpone their system installation or to cancel their agreements with us. An increase in delays and order cancellations of this nature would adversely affect our product sales and revenues, and therefore harm our business and results of operations.

The high unit price of the CyberKnife system, as well as other factors, may contribute to substantial fluctuations in our operating results, which could adversely affect our stock price.

Because of the high unit price of the CyberKnife system and the relatively small number of units installed each quarter, each installation of a CyberKnife system can represent a significant percentage of our revenue for a particular quarter. Therefore, if we do not install a CyberKnife system when anticipated, our operating results will vary significantly from our expectations. This is of particular concern in the current volatile economic environment, where we have had experiences with customers cancelling or postponing orders for our CyberKnife system and delaying the required build-outs. These fluctuations and other potential fluctuations mean that you should not rely upon our operating results

Table of Contents

in any particular period as an indication of future performance. In particular, factors which may contribute to these fluctuations include:

Timing of when we are able to recognize revenue associated with sales of the CyberKnife system, which varies depending upon the terms of the applicable sales and service contracts;

The proportion of revenue attributable to purchases of the CyberKnife system, our shared ownership program and installations, which is associated with our legacy service plans;

Timing and level of expenditures associated with new product development activities;

Regulatory requirements in some states for a certificate of need prior to the installation of a radiation device;

Delays in shipment due, for example, to unanticipated construction delays at customer locations where our products are to be installed, cancellations by customers, natural disasters or labor disturbances;

Delays in our manufacturing processes or unexpected manufacturing difficulties;

Timing of the announcement, introduction and delivery of new products or product upgrades by us and by our competitors;

Timing and level of expenditures associated with expansion of sales and marketing activities such as trade shows and our overall operations;

Fluctuations in our gross margins and the factors that contribute to such fluctuations, as described in the Management Discussion and Analysis Results of Operations below;

How well we execute on our strategic and operating plans;

The extent to which our products gain market acceptance;

Actions relating to regulatory matters;

Demand for our products;

Our ability to develop, introduce and market new or enhanced versions of our products on a timely basis;

Our ability to protect our proprietary rights and defend against third party challenges;

Disruptions in the supply or changes in the costs of raw materials, labor, product components or transportation services; and

Changes in third party coverage and reimbursement, changes in government regulation, or a change in a customer's financial condition or ability to obtain financing.

These factors are difficult to forecast and may contribute to substantial fluctuations in our quarterly revenues and substantial variation from our projections, particularly during the periods in which our sales volume is low. These fluctuations may cause volatility in our stock price.

Because the majority of our revenue is derived from sales of the CyberKnife system, and because we experience a long and variable sales and installation cycle, our quarterly results may be inconsistent from period to period. These fluctuations in revenue may make it difficult to predict our revenue.

Our primary product is the CyberKnife system. We expect to generate substantially all of our revenue for the foreseeable future from sales of and service contracts for the CyberKnife system. The CyberKnife system has lengthy sales and purchase order cycles because it is a major capital equipment item and requires the approval of senior management at purchasing institutions. The sales process in

Table of Contents

the United States typically begins with pre-selling activity followed by sales presentations and other sales-related activities. After the customer has expressed an intention to purchase a CyberKnife system, we negotiate and enter into a definitive purchase contract with the customer. Typically, following the execution of the contract, the customer begins the building or renovation of a facility to house the CyberKnife system, which together with the subsequent installation of the CyberKnife system, can take up to 24 months to complete. During the period prior to installation, the customer must build a radiation-shielded facility to house its CyberKnife system. In order to construct this facility, the customer must typically obtain radiation device installation permits, which are granted by state and local government bodies, each of which may have different criteria for permit issuance. If a permit were denied for installation at a specific hospital or treatment center, our CyberKnife system could not be installed at that location. In addition, some of our customers are cancer centers or facilities that are new, and in these cases it may be necessary for the entire facility to be completed before the CyberKnife system can be installed, which can result in additional construction and installation delays. Our sales and installations of CyberKnife systems tend to be heaviest during the third month of each fiscal quarter.

Under our revenue recognition policy, we generally do not recognize revenue attributable to a CyberKnife system purchase until after installation has occurred, if we are responsible for providing installation, or delivery. For international sales through distributors, we typically recognize revenue when the system is shipped with evidence of sell through to the end user. Under our current forms of purchase and service contracts, we receive a majority of the purchase price for the CyberKnife system upon installation or delivery of the system. Events beyond our control may delay installation and the satisfaction of contingencies required to receive cash inflows and recognize revenue, such as:

Procurement delay;

Customer funding or financing delay;

Delay in or unforeseen difficulties related to customers organizing legal entities and obtaining financing for CyberKnife system acquisition;

Construction delay;

Delay pending customer receipt of regulatory approvals, including, for example, certificates of need;

Delay pending customer receipt of a building or radiation device installation permit; and

Delay caused by weather or natural disaster.

In the event that a customer does not, for any of the reasons above or other reasons, proceed with installation of the system after entering into a purchase contract, we would only recognize up to the deposit portion of the purchase price as revenue, unless the deposit was refunded to the customer. Therefore, the long sales cycle together with delays in the shipment and installation of CyberKnife systems or customer cancellations would adversely affect our cash flows and revenue, which would harm our results of operations and may result in significant fluctuations in our reporting of quarterly revenues. Because of these fluctuations, it is likely that in some future quarters, our operating results will fall below the expectations of securities analysts or investors. If that happens, the market price of our stock would likely decrease. These fluctuations also mean that you will not be able to rely upon our operating results in any particular period as an indication of future performance.

Table of Contents

Our ability to increase our profitability depends in part on maintaining or increasing our gross margins on product sales and service, which we may not be able to achieve.

A number of factors may result in adverse impacts to our gross margins, including:

The timing of revenue recognition and revenue deferrals;

Sales discounts;

Changes in product configurations;

Increases in material or labor costs;

Increased service costs;

Increased warranty costs;

Excess inventory and inventory holding charges;

Obsolescence charges;

Our ability to reduce production costs;

Increased price competition;

Variation in the margins across products installed in a particular period; and

How well we execute on our strategic and operating plans.

If third-party payors do not provide sufficient coverage and reimbursement to healthcare providers for use of the CyberKnife system, demand for our products and our revenue could be adversely affected.

Our customers rely significantly on reimbursement for CyberKnife procedures. Our ability to commercialize our products successfully will depend in significant part on the extent to which public and private third-party payors provide adequate coverage and reimbursement for our products and related procedures. Third party payors, and in particular managed care organizations, challenge the prices charged for medical products and services and institute cost containment measures to control or significantly influence the purchase of medical products and services. If reimbursement policies or other cost containment measures are instituted in a manner that significantly reduces the coverage for or payment of our products, our existing customers may not continue using our products or may decrease their use of our products, and we may have difficulty obtaining new customers. Such actions would likely have a material adverse effect on our operating results. In October 2009, the centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, issued the 2010 Medicare payment rates. The reimbursement rates are modestly lower than in the prior year, which could have a negative impact on the continued use of our products by existing customers and our ability to obtain new customers. CMS reviews such rates annually, and could implement more significant changes in future years. If in the future CMS significantly

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

decreases reimbursement rates for stereotactic radiosurgery and Robotic IMRT services, or if other cost containment measures are implemented in the United States or elsewhere, such changes could discourage cancer treatment centers and hospitals from purchasing our products. We have seen our customers' decision making process complicated by the uncertainty surrounding the proposed reduction in Medicare reimbursement rates for radiotherapy and radiosurgery at freestanding clinics in the United States and for physician reimbursement for radiation oncology, which has resulted in delay and sometimes even failure to purchase our products.

Table of Contents

Our industry is subject to intense competition and rapid technological change, which may result in products or new tumor treatments that are superior to the CyberKnife system. If we are unable to anticipate or keep pace with changes in the marketplace and the direction of technological innovation and customer demands, our products may become less useful or obsolete and our operating results will suffer.

The medical device industry in general and the non-invasive cancer treatment field in particular are subject to intense and increasing competition and rapidly evolving technologies. Because our products often have long development and government approval cycles, we must anticipate changes in the marketplace and the direction of technological innovation and customer demands. To compete successfully, we will need to continue to demonstrate the advantages of our products and technologies over well-established alternative procedures, products and technologies, and convince physicians and other healthcare decision makers of the advantages of our products and technologies. Traditional surgery and other forms of minimally invasive procedures, chemotherapy or other drugs remain alternatives to the CyberKnife system. Also, we compete directly with traditional standard linac based radiation therapy systems primarily from Elekta AB (publ), or Elekta, BrainLAB AG, the Integra Radionics business of Integra LifeSciences Holdings Corporation, or Radionics, and Varian Medical Systems, Inc., or Varian, and we believe that new competitors will enter our market.

The market for standard linear accelerators is dominated by three companies: Elekta, Siemens AG and Varian. In addition, TomoTherapy Incorporated markets and sells a radiation therapy product. The CyberKnife system has not typically been used to perform traditional radiation therapy and therefore competition has been limited with standard medical linacs that perform traditional radiation therapy. However, the CyberKnife VSI system, which we introduced in November of 2009, may be used to perform Robotic IMRT, an advanced method of traditional radiation therapy, which products of these competitors are also capable of performing. In addition, some manufacturers of standard linac based radiation therapy systems, including Varian and Elekta, have products that can be used in combination with body and/or head frames and image-guidance systems to perform radiosurgery. Furthermore, many government, academic and business entities are investing substantial resources in research and development of cancer treatments, including surgical approaches, radiation treatment, drug treatment, gene therapy, which is the treatment of disease by replacing, manipulating, or supplementing nonfunctional genes, and other approaches. Moreover, at least one other company has announced that it is developing a product that, if introduced, would be directly competitive with the CyberKnife. Successful developments that result in new approaches for the treatment of cancer could reduce the attractiveness of our products or render them obsolete.

Our future success will depend in large part on our ability to establish and maintain a competitive position in current and future technologies. Rapid technological development may render the CyberKnife system and its technologies obsolete. Many of our competitors have or may have greater corporate, financial, operational, sales and marketing resources, and more experience in research and development than we have. We cannot assure you that our competitors will not succeed in developing or marketing technologies or products that are more effective or commercially attractive than our products or that would render our technologies and products obsolete. We may not have the financial resources, technical expertise, marketing, distribution or support capabilities to compete successfully in the future. Our success will depend in large part on our ability to maintain a competitive position with our technologies.

Our competitive position also depends on:

Widespread awareness, acceptance and adoption by the radiation oncology and cancer therapy markets of our products;

The discovery of new technologies that improve the effectiveness and productivity of the CyberKnife system radiosurgery process;

Table of Contents

Product coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors, insurance companies and others;

Properly identifying customer needs and delivering new products or product enhancements to address those needs;

Published studies supporting the efficacy and safety and long-term clinical benefit of the CyberKnife system;

Limiting the time required from proof of feasibility to routine production;

Limiting the timing and cost of regulatory approvals;

Our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel;

The extent of our patent protection or our ability to otherwise develop proprietary products and processes;

Securing sufficient capital resources to expand both our continued research and development, and sales and marketing efforts; and

Obtaining any necessary United States or foreign marketing approvals or clearances.

If customers choose not to purchase a CyberKnife system or choose to purchase our competitors' products, our revenue and market share would be adversely impacted. In addition, companies in the pharmaceutical or biotechnology fields may seek to develop methods of cancer treatment that are more effective than radiation therapy and radiosurgery, resulting in decreased demand for the CyberKnife system. Because the CyberKnife system has a long development cycle and because it can take significant time to receive government approvals for changes to the CyberKnife system, we must anticipate changes in the marketplace and the direction of technological innovation. Accordingly, if we are unable to anticipate and keep pace with new innovations in the cancer treatment market, the CyberKnife system or an aspect of its functionality may be rendered obsolete, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results. As a result, current and potential stockholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which could have an adverse effect on our business and our stock price.

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and to protect from fraudulent, illegal or unauthorized transactions. If we cannot provide effective controls and reliable financial reports, our business and operating results could be harmed. Our management determined, as of June 30, 2008 and September 30, 2008, that we had material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting and that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective. We began our remediation efforts in fiscal year 2009 and we concluded that there were no deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting that would constitute a material weakness as of June 30, 2009 or since then. Although we are making additional improvements in our internal controls over financial reporting, in future periods we may conclude that we have one or more material weaknesses, and remedying these material weaknesses may require significant additional financial and managerial resources and could result in a loss of investor confidence in our internal controls and financial reporting.

We may have difficulties in determining the effectiveness of our internal control due to our complex financial model.

The complexity of our financial model contributes to our need for effective financial reporting systems and internal controls. We recognize revenue from a range of transactions including CyberKnife system sales, our shared ownership program and services. The CyberKnife system is a complex product

Table of Contents

that contains both hardware and software elements. Since the software element is a significant component in our solution, we are bound by the software revenue recognition rules for our business. The complexity of the CyberKnife system and of our financial model pertaining to revenue recognition requires us to process a broader range of financial transactions than would be required by a company with a less complex financial model. Accordingly, deficiencies or weaknesses in our internal controls would likely impact us more significantly than they would impact a company with a less complex financial model. If we were to find that our internal controls were deficient, we could be required to amend or restate our historical financial statements, which would likely have a negative impact on our stock price.

Our reliance on single source suppliers for critical components of the CyberKnife system could harm our ability to meet demand for our products in a timely and cost effective manner.

We currently depend on single source suppliers for some of the critical components necessary for the assembly of the CyberKnife system, including the robotic manipulator, imaging plates, treatment table, robotic couch and magnetron, which creates the microwaves for use in the linear accelerator. If any single source suppliers were to cease delivering components to us or fail to provide the components on a timely basis, we might be required to find alternative sources for these components. We may have difficulty or be unable to find alternative sources for these components. As a result, we may be unable to meet the demand for the CyberKnife system, which could harm our ability to generate revenue and damage our reputation. Even if we do find alternate suppliers, we might be required to qualify any such alternate suppliers and we would likely experience a lengthy delay in our manufacturing processes or a cessation in production, which would result in delays of shipment to end users. We cannot assure you that our single source suppliers will be able or willing to meet our future demands.

We generally do not maintain large volumes of inventory, which makes us even more susceptible to harm if a single source supplier fails to deliver components on a timely basis. Furthermore, if we are required to change the manufacturer of a critical component of the CyberKnife system, we will be required to verify that the new manufacturer maintains facilities, procedures and operations that comply with our quality and applicable regulatory requirements. We also will be required to assess the new manufacturer's compliance with all applicable regulations and guidelines, which could further impede our ability to manufacture our products in a timely manner. If the change in manufacturer results in a significant change to the product, a new 510(k) clearance would be necessary, which would likely cause substantial delays. The disruption or termination of the supply of key components for the CyberKnife system could harm our ability to manufacture our products in a timely manner or within budget, harm our ability to generate revenue, lead to customer dissatisfaction and damage our reputation.

It is difficult and costly to protect our intellectual property and our proprietary technologies, and we may not be able to ensure their protection.

Our success depends significantly on our ability to obtain, maintain and protect our proprietary rights to the technologies used in our products. Patents and other proprietary rights provide uncertain protections, and we may be unable to protect our intellectual property. For example, we may be unsuccessful in defending our patents and other proprietary rights against third party challenges.

In addition to patents, we rely on a combination of trade secrets, copyright and trademark laws, nondisclosure agreements and other contractual provisions and technical security measures to protect our intellectual property rights. These measures may not be adequate to safeguard the technology underlying our products. If these measures do not protect our rights adequately, third parties could use our technology, and our ability to compete in the market would be reduced. Although we have attempted to obtain patent coverage for our technology where available and appropriate, there are aspects of the technology for which patent coverage was never sought or never received. There are also

Table of Contents

countries in which we sell or intend to sell the CyberKnife system but have no patents or pending patent applications. Our ability to prevent others from making or selling duplicate or similar technologies will be impaired in those countries in which we have no patent protection. Although we have several issued patents in the United States and in foreign countries protecting aspects of the CyberKnife system, our pending United States and foreign patent applications may not issue, may issue only with limited coverage or may issue and be subsequently successfully challenged by others and held invalid or unenforceable.

Similarly, our issued patents and those of our licensors may not provide us with any competitive advantages. Competitors may be able to design around our patents or develop products which provide outcomes comparable or superior to ours. Our patents may be held invalid or unenforceable as a result of legal challenges by third parties, and others may challenge the inventorship or ownership of our patents and pending patent applications. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries may not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. In the event a competitor infringes upon our patent or other intellectual property rights, enforcing those rights may be difficult and time consuming. Even if successful, litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights or to defend our patents against challenge could be expensive and time consuming and could divert our management's attention. We may not have sufficient resources to enforce our intellectual property rights or to defend our patents against a challenge.

We also license patent and other proprietary rights to aspects of our technology to third parties in fields where we currently do not operate as well as in fields where we currently do operate. Disputes with our licensees may arise regarding the scope and content of these licenses. Further, our ability to expand into additional fields with our technologies may be restricted by our existing licenses or licenses we may grant to third parties in the future.

In October 2006, January 2007 and February 2007, we received correspondence from American Science and Engineering, Inc., or AS&E, expressing concerns that we may be using certain intellectual property we acquired from AS&E through the HES acquisition in a manner that breaches, or may breach, our contractual obligations under a license agreement with them in certain non-medical fields. The intellectual property at issue relates to the development of a next-generation linac that could be used for medical as well as non-medical purposes. We are developing the technology used in the next-generation linac independently from the intellectual property we obtained from the HES acquisition. In January of 2010 we entered into a Supply Agreement with AS&E, pursuant to which AS&E has acknowledged and agreed that our use of the intellectual property at issue did not breach or contravene the license agreement.

The policies we have in place to protect our trade secrets may not be effective in preventing misappropriation of our trade secrets by others. In addition, confidentiality agreements executed by our employees, consultants and advisors may not be enforceable or may not provide meaningful protection for our trade secrets or other proprietary information in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure. Litigating a trade secret claim is expensive and time consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. In addition, courts outside the United States are sometimes less willing to protect trade secrets. Moreover, our competitors may independently develop equivalent knowledge methods and know-how. If we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights, we may be unable to prevent competitors from using our own inventions and intellectual property to compete against us, and our business may be harmed.

Third parties may claim we are infringing their intellectual property, and we could suffer significant litigation or licensing expenses or be prevented from selling our product.

The medical device industry is characterized by a substantial amount of litigation over patent and other intellectual property rights. In particular, the field of radiation treatment of cancer is well established and crowded with the intellectual property of competitors and others. We also expect that

Table of Contents

other participants will enter the field in particular, at least one other company has announced that it is developing a product that would be directly competitive with the CyberKnife. A number of companies in our market, as well as universities and research institutions, have issued patents and have filed patent applications which relate to the use of stereotactic radiosurgery to treat solid cancerous and benign tumors.

Determining whether a product infringes a patent involves complex legal and factual issues, and the outcome of patent litigation actions is often uncertain. We have not conducted an extensive search of patents issued to third parties, and no assurance can be given that third party patents containing claims covering our products, parts of our products, technology or methods do not exist, have not been filed, or could not be filed or issued. Because of the number of patents issued and patent applications filed in our technical areas or fields, our competitors or other third parties may assert that our products and the methods we employ in the use of our products are covered by United States or foreign patents held by them. For example, on August 6, 2010, Best Medical International, Inc. ("Best Medical") filed an additional lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, claiming the Company has infringed U.S. Patent No. 5,596,619, a patent that Best Medical alleges protects a method and apparatus for conformal radiation therapy. They are seeking declaratory and injunctive relief as well as unspecified compensatory and treble damages and other relief.

In addition, because patent applications can take many years to issue and because publication schedules for pending applications vary by jurisdiction, there may be applications now pending of which we are unaware, and which may result in issued patents which our current or future products infringe. Also, because the claims of published patent applications can change between publication and patent grant, there may be published patent applications that may ultimately issue with claims that we infringe. There could also be existing patents that one or more of our products or parts may infringe and of which we are unaware. As the number of competitors in the market for less invasive cancer treatment alternatives grows, and as the number of patents issued in this area grows, the possibility of patent infringement claims against us increases. Regardless of the merit of infringement claims, they can be time-consuming, result in costly litigation and diversion of technical and management personnel. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of complex patent litigation more effectively than we can because they have substantially greater resources. In addition, any uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of any litigation could have a material adverse effect on our ability to raise the funds necessary to continue our operations.

In the event that we become subject to a patent infringement or other intellectual property lawsuit and if the relevant patents or other intellectual property were upheld as valid and enforceable and we were found to infringe or violate the terms of a license to which we are a party, we could be prevented from selling our products unless we could obtain a license or were able to redesign the product to avoid infringement. Required licenses may not be made available to us on acceptable terms or at all. If we were unable to obtain a license or successfully redesign our system, we might be prevented from selling our system. If there is an allegation or determination that we have infringed the intellectual property rights of a competitor or other person, we may be required to pay damages, or a settlement or ongoing royalties. In these circumstances, we may be unable to sell our products at competitive prices or at all, our business and operating results could be harmed.

We could become subject to product liability claims, product recalls, other field actions and warranty claims that could be expensive, divert management's attention and harm our business.

Our business exposes us to potential liability risks that are inherent in the manufacturing, marketing and sale of medical device products. We may be held liable if the CyberKnife system causes injury or death or is found otherwise unsuitable during usage. Our products incorporate sophisticated components and computer software. Complex software can contain errors, particularly when first

Table of Contents

introduced. In addition, new products or enhancements may contain undetected errors or performance problems that, despite testing, are discovered only after installation. Because our products are designed to be used to perform complex surgical procedures, defects could result in a number of complications, some of which could be serious and could harm or kill patients. Any weaknesses in training and services associated with our products may also be subject to product liability lawsuits. It is also possible that defects in the design, manufacture or labeling of our products might necessitate a product recall or other field corrective action, which may result in warranty claims beyond our expectations and may harm our reputation. A product liability claim, regardless of its merit or eventual outcome, could result in significant legal defense costs. The coverage limits of our insurance policies may not be adequate to cover future claims. If sales of our products increase or we suffer future product liability claims, we may be unable to maintain product liability insurance in the future at satisfactory rates or with adequate amounts. A product liability claim, any product recalls or other field actions or excessive warranty claims, whether arising from defects in design or manufacture or otherwise, could negatively affect our sales or require a change in the design, manufacturing process or the indications for which the CyberKnife system may be used, any of which could harm our reputation and business and result in a decline in revenue.

In addition, if a product we designed or manufactured is defective, whether due to design or manufacturing defects, improper use of the product or other reasons, we may be required to notify regulatory authorities and/or to recall the product, possibly at our expense. We have voluntarily conducted recalls and product corrections in the past. There were no recalls during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. A required notification to a regulatory authority or recall could result in an investigation by regulatory authorities of our products, which could in turn result in required recalls, restrictions on the sale of the products or other civil or criminal penalties. The adverse publicity resulting from any of these actions could cause customers to review and potentially terminate their relationships with us. These investigations or recalls, especially if accompanied by unfavorable publicity or termination of customer contracts, could result in our incurring substantial costs, losing revenues and damaging our reputation, each of which would harm our business.

The safety and efficacy of our products for certain uses is not yet supported by long-term clinical data and may therefore prove to be less safe and effective than initially thought.

Although we believe that the CyberKnife system has advantages over competing products and technologies, we do not have sufficient clinical data demonstrating these advantages for all tumor indications. For example, because our CyberKnife procedures are relatively new, we have limited clinical data relating to the effectiveness of the CyberKnife system as a means of controlling the growth of cancer at a particular body site. In addition, we have only limited five-year patient survival rate data, which is a common long-term measure of clinical effectiveness in cancer treatment. Further, future patient studies or clinical experience may indicate that treatment with the CyberKnife system does not improve patient outcomes. Such results could slow the adoption of our products by physicians, significantly reduce our ability to achieve expected revenues and could prevent us from becoming profitable. In addition, if future results and experience indicate that our products cause unexpected or serious complications or other unforeseen negative effects, the FDA could rescind our clearances, our reputation with physicians, patients and others may suffer and we could be subject to significant legal liability.

The CyberKnife system has been in use for a limited period of time for uses outside the brain, and the medical community has not yet developed a large quantity of peer-reviewed literature that supports safe and effective use in those locations in the body.

The CyberKnife system was initially cleared by a number of regulatory authorities for the treatment of tumors in the brain and neck. More recently, the CyberKnife system has been cleared in

Table of Contents

the United States to treat tumors anywhere in the body where radiation is indicated, and our future growth is dependent in large part on continued growth in full body use of the system. Currently, however, there are a limited number of peer-reviewed medical journal publications regarding the safety and efficacy of the CyberKnife system for treatment of tumors outside the brain or spine. If later studies show that the CyberKnife system is less effective or less safe with respect to particular types of solid tumors, or in the event clinical studies do not achieve the results anticipated at the outset of the study, use of the CyberKnife system could fail to increase or could decrease and our growth and operating results would therefore be harmed.

International sales of the CyberKnife system account for a significant portion of our revenue, which exposes us to risks inherent in international operations.

Our international sales have increased over the last four fiscal years. To accommodate our international sales, we have invested significant financial and management resources to develop an international infrastructure that will meet the needs of our customers. We anticipate that a significant portion of our revenue will continue to be derived from sales of the CyberKnife system in foreign markets and that the percentage of our overall revenue that is derived from these markets will continue to increase. This revenue and related operations will therefore continue to be subject to the risks associated with international operations, including:

Economic or political instability;

Shipping delays;

Changes in foreign regulatory laws governing sales of medical devices;

Difficulties in enforcing agreements with and collecting receivables from customers outside the United States;

Longer payment cycles associated with many customers outside the United States;

Adequate reimbursement for the CyberKnife procedure outside the United States;

Failure of local laws to provide the same degree of protection against infringement of our intellectual property;

Protectionist laws and business practices that favor local competitors;

The possibility that foreign countries may impose additional taxes, tariffs or other restrictions on foreign trade;

Failure of Accuray employees or distributors to comply with export laws and requirements which may result in civil or criminal penalties and restrictions on our ability to export our products;

The expense of establishing facilities and operations in new foreign markets;

Building an organization capable of supporting geographically dispersed operations;

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Risks relating to foreign currency; and

Contractual provisions governed by foreign laws and various trade restrictions, including U.S. prohibitions and restrictions on exports of certain products and technologies to certain nations.

Our international operations are also subject to United States laws regarding the conduct of business overseas by U.S. companies. In particular, the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or FCPA, prohibits the provision of illegal or improper inducements to foreign government officials in connection with the obtaining of business overseas. Violations of the FCPA by us or any of our employees, executive officers or distributors could subject us or the individuals involved to criminal or civil liability and could therefore materially harm our business.

Table of Contents

In addition, future imposition of, or significant increases in, the level of customs duties, export quotas, regulatory restrictions or trade restrictions could materially harm our business.

Our results may be impacted by changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

Currently, the majority of our international sales are denominated in U.S. dollars. As a result, an increase in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies could require us to reduce our sales price or make our products less competitive in international markets. Also, as our international sales increase, we may enter into a greater number of transactions denominated in non-U.S. dollars, which would expose us to foreign currency risks, including changes in currency exchange rates. If we are unable to address these risks and challenges effectively, our international operations may not be successful and our business would be materially harmed.

We depend on third-party distributors to market and distribute the CyberKnife system in international markets. If our distributors fail to successfully market and distribute the CyberKnife system, our business will be materially harmed.

We depend on a limited number of distributors in our international markets. We cannot control the efforts and resources our third-party distributors will devote to marketing the CyberKnife system. Our distributors may not be able to successfully market and sell the CyberKnife system, may not devote sufficient time and resources to support the marketing and selling efforts and may not market the CyberKnife system at prices that will permit the product to develop, achieve or sustain market acceptance. In some jurisdictions, we rely on our distributors to manage the regulatory process and we are dependent on their ability to do so effectively. For example, our regulatory approval in Japan was suspended for a period of twelve months during 2003 as a result of a failure of our former distributor to coordinate product modifications and obtain necessary regulatory clearances in a timely manner. As a result, the CyberKnife system was recalled in Japan and our former Japanese distributor was told to stop selling the CyberKnife system. In response, we retained a regulatory consultant who was not affiliated with our former Japanese distributor and worked with the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare and applied for, and received, approval to sell an updated version of the CyberKnife system under the name of CyberKnife II in Japan. By working with a new distributor, Chiyoda Technol Corporation, we were able to begin distributing the CyberKnife II system in 2004 with no probationary period. In addition, if a distributor is terminated by us or goes out of business, it may take us a period of time to locate an alternative distributor, to seek appropriate regulatory approvals and to train its personnel to market the CyberKnife system, and our ability to sell and service the CyberKnife system in the region formerly serviced by such terminated distributor could be materially adversely affected. Any of these factors could materially adversely affect our revenue from international markets, increase our costs in those markets or damage our reputation. If we are unable to attract additional international distributors, our international revenue may not grow. If our distributors experience difficulties, do not actively market the CyberKnife system or do not otherwise perform under our distribution agreements, our potential for revenue and gross margins from international markets may be dramatically reduced, and our business could be harmed.

We have limited experience and capability in manufacturing. If we encounter manufacturing problems, or if our manufacturing facilities do not continue to meet federal, state or foreign manufacturing standards, we may be required to temporarily cease all or part of our manufacturing operations, which would result in delays and lost revenue.

The CyberKnife system is complex, and requires the integration of a number of components from several sources of supply. We must manufacture and assemble these complex systems in commercial quantities in compliance with regulatory requirements and at an acceptable cost. We have a limited history of manufacturing commercial quantities of the CyberKnife system. In particular, we

Table of Contents

manufacture compact linacs as a component of the CyberKnife system. Our linac components are extremely complex devices and require significant expertise to manufacture, and as a result of our limited manufacturing experience we may have difficulty producing needed materials in a commercially viable manner. We may encounter difficulties in scaling up production of the CyberKnife system, including problems with quality control and assurance, component supply shortages, increased costs, shortages of qualified personnel and/or difficulties associated with compliance with local, state, federal and foreign regulatory requirements. If our manufacturing capacity does not keep pace with product demand, we will not be able to fulfill orders in a timely manner which in turn may have a negative effect on our financial results and overall business. Conversely, if demand for our products decreases, the fixed costs associated with excess manufacturing capacity may adversely affect our financial results.

Our manufacturing processes and the manufacturing processes of our third-party suppliers are required to comply with the FDA's Quality System Regulation, or QSR. The QSR is a complex regulatory scheme that covers the methods and documentation of the design, testing, production processes, controls, manufacturing, labeling, quality assurance, packaging, storage and shipping of our products. We are also subject to state requirements and licenses applicable to manufacturers of medical devices, and we are required to comply with International Organization for Standardization, or ISO, quality system standards in order to produce products for sale in Europe. Because our manufacturing processes include diagnostic and therapeutic X-ray equipment and laser equipment, we are subject to the electronic product radiation control provisions of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, which requires that we file reports with the FDA, applicable states and our customers regarding the distribution, manufacturing and installation of these types of equipment. The FDA enforces the QSR and the electronic product radiation control provisions through periodic unannounced inspections. We have been, and anticipate in the future to be, subject to such inspections. Our failure or the failure of a third-party supplier to pass a QSR inspection or to comply with these, ISO and other applicable regulatory requirements could result in disruption of our operations and manufacturing delays. Our failure to take prompt and satisfactory corrective action in response to an adverse inspection or our failure to comply with applicable standards could result in enforcement actions, including a public warning letter, a shutdown of our manufacturing operations, a recall of our products, civil or criminal penalties, or other sanctions, which would cause our sales and business to suffer. We cannot assure you that the FDA or other governmental authorities would agree with our interpretation of applicable regulatory requirements or that we or our third-party suppliers have in all instances fully complied with all applicable requirements.

If we cannot achieve the required level and quality of production, we may need to outsource production or rely on licensing and other arrangements with third parties who possess sufficient manufacturing facilities and capabilities in compliance with regulatory requirements. Even if we could outsource needed production or enter into licensing or other third party arrangements, this could reduce our gross margin and expose us to the risks inherent in relying on others. We also cannot assure you that our suppliers will deliver an adequate supply of required components on a timely basis or that they will adequately comply with the QSR. Failure to obtain these components on a timely basis would disrupt our manufacturing processes and increase our costs, which would harm our operating results.

We depend on key employees, the loss of whom would adversely affect our business. If we fail to attract and retain employees with the expertise required for our business, we may be unable to continue to grow our business.

We are highly dependent on the members of our senior management, operations and research and development staff. Our future success will depend in part on our ability to retain these key employees and to identify, hire and retain additional personnel. Competition for qualified personnel in the medical device industry, particularly in northern California, is intense, and finding and retaining qualified personnel with experience in our industry is very difficult. We believe there are only a limited

Table of Contents

number of individuals with the requisite skills to serve in many of our key positions and we compete for key personnel with other medical equipment and software manufacturers and technology companies, as well as universities and research institutions. It is increasingly difficult to hire and retain these persons, and we may be unable to replace key persons if they leave or fill new positions requiring key persons with appropriate experience. A significant portion of our compensation to our key employees is in the form of stock option grants. A prolonged depression in our stock price could make it difficult for us to retain our employees and recruit additional qualified personnel. We do not maintain, and do not currently intend to obtain, key employee life insurance on any of our personnel. If we fail to hire and retain personnel in key positions, we may be unable to continue to grow our business successfully.

If we do not effectively manage our growth, our business may be significantly harmed.

The number of our employees increased from 194 as of June 30, 2005 to 451 as of June 30, 2010. In order to implement our business strategy, we expect continued growth in our employee and infrastructure requirements, particularly as we expand our manufacturing and research and development capacities in connection with, among other things, our Strategic Alliance Agreement with Siemens AG. To manage our growth, we must expand our facilities, augment our management, operational and financial systems, hire and train additional qualified personnel, scale-up our manufacturing capacity and expand our marketing and distribution capabilities. Our manufacturing, assembly and installation process is complex and occurs over many months, and we must effectively scale this entire process to satisfy customer expectations and changes in demand. We also expect to increase the number of sales and marketing personnel as we expand our business. Further, to accommodate our growth and compete effectively, we will be required to improve our information systems. We cannot be certain that our personnel, systems, procedures and internal controls will be adequate to support our future operations. If we cannot manage our growth effectively, our business will suffer.

Any failure in our physician training efforts could result in lower than expected product sales and potential liabilities.

A critical component of our sales and marketing efforts is the training of a sufficient number of physicians to properly utilize the CyberKnife system. We rely on physicians to devote adequate time to learn to use our products. If physicians are not properly trained, they may misuse or ineffectively use our products. This may result in unsatisfactory patient outcomes, patient injury and related liability or negative publicity which could have an adverse effect on our product sales.

Changes in interpretation or application of generally accepted accounting principles may adversely affect our operating results.

We prepare our financial statements to conform with GAAP. These principles are subject to interpretation by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Securities and Exchange Commission and various other regulatory or accounting bodies. A change in interpretations of, or our application of, these principles can have a significant effect on our reported results and may even affect our reporting of transactions completed before a change is announced. Additionally, as we are required to adopt new accounting standards, our methods of accounting for certain items may change, which could cause our results of operations to fluctuate from period to period. For example, due to the significance of the software component of the CyberKnife system, we are currently bound by the software revenue recognition rules for our business. The Company anticipates adopting ASU 2009-13 and ASU 2009-14 in fiscal 2011 and is currently assessing the impact of the adoption of ASU 2009-13 or ASU 2009-14 on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The application of different types of accounting principles and related potential changes may make it more difficult to compare our financial results from quarter to quarter, and the trading price of our common stock could suffer or become more volatile as a result.

Table of Contents

As a strategy to assist our sales efforts, we may offer extended payment terms, which may potentially result in higher DSO and greater payment defaults.

We offer longer or extended payment terms for qualified customers in some circumstances. As of June 30, 2010, customer contracts with extended payment terms of more than one year amounted to less than 4% of our accounts receivable balance. While we qualify customers to whom we offer longer or extended payment terms, their financial positions may change adversely over the longer time period given for payment. This may result in an increase in payment defaults, which would affect our net earnings. Also, longer or extended payment terms have and may in the future result in an increase in our days sales outstanding, or DSO.

Our ability to raise capital in the future may be limited, and our failure to raise capital when needed could prevent us from executing our growth strategy.

While we believe that our existing cash and short-term and long-term investments will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for at least the next 12 months, the timing and amount of our working capital and capital expenditure requirements may vary significantly depending on numerous factors, including:

Market acceptance of our products;

The need to adapt to changing technologies and technical requirements;

The existence of opportunities for expansion; and

Access to and availability of sufficient management, technical, marketing and financial personnel.

If our capital resources are insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, we may seek to sell additional equity securities or debt securities or obtain other debt financing, which could be difficult or impossible in the current economic and capital markets environments. The sale of additional equity securities or convertible debt securities would result in additional dilution to our stockholders. We have not made arrangements to obtain additional financing, and we cannot assure that financing, if required, will be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

We may attempt to acquire new businesses, products or technologies, or enter into collaborations or strategic alliances, and if we are unable to successfully complete these acquisitions or to integrate acquired businesses, products, technologies or employees, we may fail to realize expected benefits or harm our existing business.

Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to expand our product offerings and grow our business in response to changing technologies, customer demands and competitive pressures. In some circumstances, we may determine to do so through the acquisition of complementary businesses, products or technologies, or through collaborating with complementary businesses, rather than through internal development. The identification of suitable acquisition or alliance candidates can be difficult, time consuming and costly, and we may not be able to successfully complete identified acquisitions or alliances. Other companies may compete with us for these strategic opportunities. Furthermore, even if we successfully complete an acquisition or alliance, we may not be able to successfully integrate newly acquired organizations, products or technologies into our operations, and the process of integration could be expensive, time consuming and may strain our resources, and we may not realize the expected benefits of any acquisition, collaboration or strategic alliance. Furthermore, the products and technologies that we acquire or with respect to which we collaborate may not be successful, or may require significantly greater resources and investments than we originally anticipated. In addition, we may be unable to retain employees of acquired companies, or retain the acquired company's customers, suppliers, distributors or other partners who are our competitors or who have close relationships with our competitors. Consequently, we may not achieve anticipated benefits of the acquisitions or alliances which could harm our existing business. In addition, future acquisitions or alliances could result in

Table of Contents

potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities or the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities or expenses, or other charges such as in-process research and development, any of which could harm our business and affect our financial results or cause a reduction in the price of our common stock.

We may face numerous risks in connection with our strategic alliance with Siemens AG.

In June of 2010, we entered into a Strategic Alliance Agreement with Siemens AG, or the Alliance Agreement, pursuant to which (1) we granted Siemens certain distribution rights to our CyerKnife systems, (2) Siemens agreed to incorporate certain Accuray technology into certain of its linear accelerator products, the combined products being known as the Cayman Products, and (3) created a research and development relationship between Accuray and Siemens for the pursuit and implementation of other potential collaboration opportunities in the future. There can be no assurance that the strategic alliance with Siemens AG will be successful or that the economic terms of the Alliance Agreement will ultimately prove to be favorable to us. We are not able to control the amount and timing of resources that Siemens will devote to the development, sales or marketing of the Cayman Products, the distribution of CyberKnife systems, or to future collaboration opportunities. Our own business may be disrupted, and we may have to divert attention from our other research and development activities, in order to satisfy our obligations under the Alliance Agreement. We may incur costs in excess of the consideration to be paid to us by Siemens. Even if Siemens successfully completes the development of the Cayman Products, the Cayman Products may not receive the regulatory approvals necessary to be marketed and sold. Failure to successfully develop, market and sell the Cayman Products, failure of Siemens to distribute the CyberKnife system, and the failure of Accuray and Siemens to successfully collaborate on future opportunities could negatively impact our stock price and our future business and financial results.

Our liquidity could be adversely impacted by adverse conditions in the financial markets.

At June 30, 2010, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$45.4 million. These available cash and cash equivalents are held in accounts managed by third party financial institutions and consist of invested cash and cash in our operating accounts. The invested cash is invested in interest bearing funds managed by third party financial institutions. These funds invest in direct obligations of the government of the United States. To date, we have experienced no loss or lack of access to our invested cash or cash equivalents; however, we can provide no assurances that access to our invested cash and cash equivalents will not be impacted by adverse conditions in the financial markets.

At any point in time, we also have funds in our operating accounts that are with third party financial institutions that exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") insurance limits. While we monitor daily the cash balances in our operating accounts and adjust the cash balances as appropriate, these cash balances could be impacted if the underlying financial institutions fail or become subject to other adverse conditions in the financial markets. To date we have experienced no loss or lack of access to cash in our operating accounts.

Our operations are vulnerable to interruption or loss due to natural disasters, epidemics, terrorist acts and other events beyond our control, which would adversely affect our business.

Our manufacturing facility is located in a single location in Sunnyvale, California. We do not maintain a backup manufacturing facility, so we depend on our current facility for the continued operation of our business. In addition, we conduct a significant portion of other activities including administration and data processing at facilities located in the State of California which has experienced major earthquakes in the past, as well as other natural disasters. We do not carry earthquake insurance. In the event of a major earthquake or other disaster affecting our facilities, it could significantly disrupt our operations, delay or prevent product manufacture and shipment for the time required to repair, rebuild or replace our manufacturing facilities, which could be lengthy, and result in large expenses to

Table of Contents

repair or replace the facilities. Likewise, events such as widespread blackouts could have similar negative impacts.

Risks Related to the Regulation of our Products and Business

Healthcare reform legislation could adversely affect demand for our products, our revenue and our financial condition.

Healthcare costs have risen significantly over the past decade. There have been and continue to be proposals by legislators, regulators, and third-party payors to keep these costs down. Certain proposals, if passed, may impose limitations on the amounts of reimbursement available for our products from governmental agencies or third-party payors. These limitations could have a negative impact on the demand for our products and services, and therefore on our financial position and results of operations a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

On March 23, 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act was signed into law, and on March 30, 2010, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 was signed into law. Together, the two measures make the most sweeping and fundamental changes to the U.S. health care system since the creation of Medicare and Medicaid. The Health Care Reform laws include a large number of health-related provisions to take effect over the next four years, including expanding Medicaid eligibility, requiring most individuals to have health insurance, establishing new regulations on health plans, establishing health insurance exchanges, requiring manufacturers to report payments or other transfers of value made to physicians and teaching hospitals, modifying certain payment systems to encourage more cost-effective care and a reduction of inefficiencies and waste and including new tools to address fraud and abuse. Effective in 2013, there will be a 2.3% excise tax on the sale of certain medical devices.

In addition, various healthcare reform proposals have also emerged at the state level. We cannot predict the exact effect newly enacted laws or any future legislation or regulation will have on us. However, the implementation of new legislation and regulation may lower reimbursements for our products, reduce medical procedure volumes and adversely affect our business, possibly materially. In addition, the enacted excise tax may materially and adversely affect our operating expenses and results of operations.

Modifications, upgrades and future products related to the CyberKnife system or new indications may require new FDA 510(k) clearances or premarket approvals, and such modifications, or any defects in design or manufacture may require us to recall or cease marketing the CyberKnife system until approvals or clearances are obtained.

The CyberKnife system is a medical device that is subject to extensive regulation in the United States by local, state and the federal government, including by the FDA. The FDA regulates virtually all aspects of a medical device's design, development, testing manufacturing, labeling, storage, record keeping, reporting, sale, promotion, distribution and shipping. Before a new medical device, or a new use of or claim for an existing product, can be marketed in the United States, it must first receive either premarket approval or 510(k) clearance from the FDA, unless an exemption exists. Either process can be expensive and lengthy. The FDA's 510(k) clearance process usually takes from three to twelve months, but it can last longer. The process of obtaining premarket approval is much more costly and uncertain than the 510(k) clearance process and it generally takes from one to three years, or even longer, from the time the application is filed with the FDA. Despite the time, effort and cost, there can be no assurance that a particular device will be approved or cleared by the FDA through either the premarket approval process or 510(k) clearance process. Even if we are granted regulatory clearances or approvals, they may include significant limitations on the indicated uses of the product, which may limit the market for those products.

Table of Contents

Medical devices may be marketed only for the indications for which they are approved or cleared. The FDA also may change its policies, adopt additional regulations, or revise existing regulations, each of which could prevent or delay premarket approval or 510(k) clearance of our device, or could impact our ability to market our currently cleared device. We are also subject to medical device reporting regulations which require us to report to the FDA if our products cause or contribute to a death or a serious injury, or malfunction in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or a serious injury. We also are subject to Quality System and Medical Device Reporting regulations, which regulate the manufacturing and installation and also require us to report to the FDA if our products cause or contribute to a death or serious injury, or malfunction in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury. Our products are also subject to state regulations and various worldwide laws and regulations.

A component of our strategy is to continue to upgrade the CyberKnife system. Upgrades previously released by us required 510(k) clearance before we were able to offer them for sale. We expect our future upgrades will similarly require 510(k) clearance; however, future upgrades may be subject to the substantially more time consuming and uncertain premarket approval process. If we were required to use the premarket approval process for future products or product modifications, it could delay or prevent release of the proposed products or modifications, which could harm our business.

The FDA requires device manufacturers to make their own determination of whether or not a modification requires an approval or clearance; however, the FDA can review a manufacturer's decision not to submit for additional approvals or clearances. Any modification to an FDA approved or cleared device that would significantly affect its safety or efficacy or that would constitute a major change in its intended use would require a new premarket approval or 510(k) clearance. We cannot assure you that the FDA will agree with our decisions not to seek approvals or clearances for particular device modifications or that we will be successful in obtaining 510(k) clearances for modifications.

We have obtained 510(k) clearances for the CyberKnife system for the treatment of tumors anywhere in the body where radiation is indicated. We have made modifications to the CyberKnife system in the past and may make additional modifications in the future that we believe do not or will not require additional approvals or clearances. If the FDA disagrees and requires us to obtain additional premarket approvals or 510(k) clearances for any modifications to the CyberKnife system and we fail to obtain such approvals or clearances or fail to secure approvals or clearances in a timely manner, we may be required to cease manufacturing and marketing the modified device or to recall such modified device until we obtain FDA approval or clearance and we may be subject to significant regulatory fines or penalties.

In addition, even if the CyberKnife system is not modified, the FDA and similar governmental authorities in other countries in which we market and sell our products have the authority to require the recall of our products in the event of material deficiencies or defects in design or manufacture. A government mandated recall, or a voluntary recall by us, could occur as a result of component failures, manufacturing errors or design defects, including defects in labeling and user manuals. There were no recalls during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. We cannot ensure that the FDA will not require that we take additional actions to address problems that resulted in previous recalls. A full list of recalls is available on the FDA website. Any recall could divert management's attention, cause us to incur significant expenses, harm our reputation with customers, negatively affect our future sales and business, require redesign of the CyberKnife system, and harm our operating results. In these circumstances, we may also be subject to significant enforcement action. If any of these events were to occur, our ability to introduce new or enhanced products in a timely manner would be adversely affected, which in turn would harm our future growth.

Table of Contents

We must obtain and maintain regulatory approvals in international markets in which we sell, or seek to sell, our products. If we do not obtain and maintain the necessary international regulatory approvals, we will not be able to market and sell our products in foreign countries.

In order for us to market and sell the CyberKnife system internationally, either through direct sales personnel or through distributors, we must obtain and maintain regulatory clearances applicable to the countries and regions in which we are selling, or are seeking to sell, our products. These regulatory approvals and clearances, and the process required to obtain and maintain them, vary substantially among international jurisdictions, and can be time consuming, expensive and uncertain, which can delay our ability to market products in those countries. In some jurisdictions, we rely on our distributors to manage the regulatory process and we are dependent on their ability to do so effectively. For example, our regulatory approval in Japan was suspended for a period of twelve months during 2003 as a result of a failure of our former distributor to coordinate product modifications and obtain necessary regulatory clearances in a timely manner. As a result, the CyberKnife system was recalled in Japan and our former Japanese distributor was told to stop selling the CyberKnife system. In response, we retained a regulatory consultant who was not affiliated with our former Japanese distributor and worked with the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare and applied for, and received, approval to sell an updated version of the CyberKnife system under the name of CyberKnife II in Japan. By working with a new distributor, Chiyoda Technol Corporation, we were able to begin distributing the CyberKnife II system in 2004 with no probationary period. In the event that we are unable to obtain and maintain, or are unduly delayed in obtaining, regulatory clearances for the CyberKnife system, including new clearances for system upgrades and use of the system anywhere in the body, in international markets we have entered or desire to enter, or if a clearance or approval includes significant limitations on the indicated uses of the product, our international sales could fail to grow or decline.

Within the European Union, we are required under Medical Device Directive to affix the Conformité Européene, or CE, mark on our products in order to sell the products in member countries of the EU. This conformity to the applicable directives is done through self declaration and is verified by an independent certification body, called a Notified Body, before the CE mark can be placed on the device. Once the CE mark is affixed to the device, the Notified body will regularly audit us to ensure that we remain in compliance with the applicable European laws or directives. CE marking demonstrates that our products comply with the laws and regulations required by the European Union countries to allow free movement of trade within those countries. If we cannot support our performance claims and/or demonstrate compliance with the applicable European laws and directives, we lose our CE mark, which would prevent us from selling our products within the European Union.

Under the Pharmaceutical Affairs Law in Japan, an import approval, or *shonin*, must be obtained from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, or MHLW, for our products. Before issuing approvals, MHLW examines the application in detail with regard to the quality, efficacy, and safety of the proposed medical device. The *shonin* is granted once MHLW is content with the safety and effectiveness of the medical device. The time required for approval varies. A delay in approval could prevent us from selling our products in Japan, which could impact our ability to generate revenue and harm our business.

In addition, we are subject to a variety of environmental laws regulating our manufacturing operations and the handling, storage, transport and disposal of hazardous materials, which laws impose compliance costs on our business and can also result in liability. For example, we are in the process of updating the way our products are built such that they will be compliant with the Restriction of the Use of Certain Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment Regulations 2008, or the RoHS Regulations, upon their effectiveness. The RoHS Regulations implement EU Directive 2002/95 which bans the placing on the EU market of new electrical and electronic equipment containing more

Table of Contents

than agreed levels of lead, cadmium, mercury, hexavalent chromium, polybrominated biphenyl (PBB) and polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) flame retardants.

Future legislative or regulatory changes to the healthcare system may affect our business.

Even if third-party payors provide adequate coverage and reimbursement for the CyberKnife procedure, adverse changes in third-party payors' general policies toward reimbursement could preclude market acceptance for our products and materially harm our sales and revenue growth. In the United States, there have been, and we expect there will continue to be, a number of legislative and regulatory changes and proposals to change the healthcare system, and some could involve changes that significantly affect our business. In addition, certain federal regulatory changes occur at least annually.

In April 2008, at the time CMS published final 2009 Medicare inpatient reimbursement rates, CMS issued final rules implementing significant amendments to the regulations under the federal Ethics in Patient Referrals Act, which is more commonly known as the Stark Law, with an effective date of October 1, 2009. These regulations, among other things, impose additional limitations on the ability of physicians to refer patients to medical facilities in which the physician has an ownership interest for treatment. Among other things, the regulations provide that leases of equipment between physician owners that may refer patients and hospitals must be on a fixed rate, rather than a per use, basis. Physician owned entities have increasingly become involved in the acquisition of medical technologies, including the CyberKnife system. In many cases, these entities enter into arrangements with hospitals that bill Medicare for the furnishing of medical services, and the physician owners are among the physicians who refer patients to the entity for services. The regulations limit these arrangements and could require the restructuring of existing arrangements between physicians owned entities and hospitals and may also discourage physicians from participating in the acquisition and ownership of medical technologies. As a result of the finalization of these regulations, some existing CyberKnife system operators may have to modify or restructure their corporate or organizational structures. In addition, certain existing customers that planned to open CyberKnife centers in the United States involving physician ownership could also have to restructure. Accordingly, these regulations could reduce the attractiveness of medical technology acquisitions, including CyberKnife system purchases, by physician-owned joint ventures or similar entities. As a result, these regulations could have an adverse impact on our product sales and therefore on our business and results of operations.

On August 3, 2010, the FDA released for public comment two internal working group reports with numerous recommendations (1) to improve the 510(k) process and (2) to utilize science in regulatory decision making in ways that encourage innovation yet maintain predictability. Comments are due in 60 days and the FDA is targeting the implementation of or setting timelines for the implementation of "non-controversial" recommendations by the end of the year. At the same time, the FDA acknowledges that the recommendations are preliminary and no decisions have been made on specific changes to pursue. Nevertheless, we anticipate significant changes will result in the way 510(k) programs will operate and the data requirements, including clinical data, to obtain 510(k) clearance or PMA approval. We cannot predict what effect these reforms will have on our ability to obtain 510(k) clearances or PMA approvals in a timely manner or the effect on our business.

On June 9 and 10, 2010, the FDA held a public meeting entitled "Device Improvements to Reduce the Number of Under-doses, Over-doses, and Misaligned Exposures from Therapeutic Radiation." The expressed purpose of the meeting was to discuss steps that could be taken by manufacturers of radiation therapy devices to help reduce misadministration and misaligned exposures. In advance of and at the meeting, the FDA requested comments in the following areas: features that should be incorporated into radiation therapy devices and their related software, user training, and quality assurance measures. It is likely that the Agency will use the information gleaned at this meeting to revise the standards and requirements for designing, manufacturing and marketing devices such as ours,

Table of Contents

creating uncertainty in the current regulatory environment around our current products and development of future products. Future legislative or policy initiatives directed at reducing costs could be introduced at either the federal or state level. We cannot predict what healthcare reform legislation or regulations, if any, will be enacted in the United States or elsewhere, what impact any legislation or regulations related to the healthcare system that may be enacted or adopted in the future might have on our business, or the effect ongoing uncertainty about these matters will have on the purchasing decisions of our customers.

We are required to comply with federal and state "fraud and abuse" law, and if we are unable to comply with such laws, we could face substantial penalties and we could be excluded from government healthcare programs, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are directly or indirectly through our customers, subject to various federal, state and foreign laws pertaining to healthcare fraud and abuse. These laws which directly or indirectly affect our ability to operate our business primarily include, but are not limited to, the following:

The federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, offering, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, in cash or in kind, to induce either the referral of an individual, or furnishing or arranging for a good or service, for which payment may be made under federal healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid;

State law equivalents to the Anti-Kickback Statute, which may not be limited to government reimbursed items;

The Ethics in Patient Referral Act of 1989, also known as the Stark Law, which prohibits, subject to certain exceptions, physician referrals of Medicare and Medicaid patients to an entity providing certain "designated health services" if the physician or an immediate family member has any financial relationship with the entity. The Stark Law also prohibits the entity receiving the referral from billing for any good or service furnished pursuant to an unlawful referral;

State law equivalents to the Stark Law, which may provide even broader restrictions and require greater disclosures than the federal law;

The federal False Claims Act, which prohibits the knowing filing or causing the filing of a false claim or the knowing use of false statements to obtain payment from the federal government; and

Similar laws in foreign countries where we conduct business.

The following arrangements with purchasers and their agents have been identified by the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services as ones raising potential risk of violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute:

Discount and free good arrangements that are not properly disclosed or accurately reported to federal healthcare programs;

Product support services, including billing assistance, reimbursement consultation and other services specifically tied to support of the purchased product, offered in tandem with another service or program (such as reimbursement guarantee) that confers a benefit to the purchaser;

Educational grants conditioned in whole or in part on the purchase of equipment, or otherwise inappropriately influenced by sales and marketing considerations;

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Research funding arrangements, particularly post-market research activities, that are linked directly or indirectly to the purchase of products, or otherwise inappropriately influenced by sales and marketing considerations; and

Table of Contents

Other offers of remuneration to purchasers that is expressly or impliedly related to a sale or sales volume, such as "prebates" and "upfront payment," other free or reduced-price goods or services, and payments to cover costs of "converting" from a competitor's products, particularly where the selection criteria for such offers vary with the volume or value of business generated.

We have various arrangements with physicians, hospitals and other entities which implicate these laws. For example, physicians who own our stock also provide medical advisory and other consulting and personal services. Similarly, we have a variety of different types of arrangements with our customers. For example, our shared ownership program entails the provision of our CyberKnife system to our customers under a deferred payment program, where we generally receive the greater of a fixed minimum payment or a portion of the revenues of services. Included in the fee we charge for the placement and shared ownership program are a variety of services, including physician training, educational and marketing support, general reimbursement guidance and technical support. In the past, we have also provided loans to our customers. We also provide research grants to customers to support customer studies related to, among other things, our CyberKnife systems. Certain of these arrangements do not meet Anti-Kickback Statute safe harbor protections, which may result in increased scrutiny by government authorities having responsibility for enforcing these laws.

If our past or present operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or other similar governmental regulations to which we or our customers are subject, we may be subject to the applicable penalty associated with the violation, including significant civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, imprisonment and exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The impact of any such violations may lead to curtailment or restructuring of our operations, which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results. The risk of our being found in violation of these laws is increased by the fact that many of these laws are open to a variety of interpretations. Any action against us for violation of these laws, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses, divert our management's attention from the operation of our business and damage our reputation. If enforcement action were to occur, our reputation and our business and financial condition may be harmed, even if we were to prevail or settle the action. Similarly, if the physicians or other providers or entities with which we do business are found to be non-compliant with applicable laws, they may be subject to sanctions, which could also have a negative impact on our business.

If we are found to have violated laws protecting the confidentiality of patient health information, we could be subject to civil or criminal penalties, which could increase our liabilities and harm our reputation or our business.

There are a number of federal and state laws protecting the confidentiality of certain patient health information, including patient records, and restricting the use and disclosure of that protected information. In particular, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services has promulgated patient privacy rules under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA. These privacy rules protect medical records and other personal health information by limiting their use and disclosure, giving individuals the right to access, amend and seek accounting of their own health information and limiting most uses and disclosures of health information to the minimum amount reasonably necessary to accomplish the intended purpose. Although we are not a covered entity under HIPAA, we have entered into agreements with certain covered entities under which we are considered to be a "business associate" under HIPAA. As a business associate, we are required to implement policies, procedures and reasonable and appropriate security measures to protect individually identifiable health information we receive from covered entities. Our failure to protect health information received from customers could subject us to liability and adverse publicity, and could harm our business and impair our ability to attract new customers.

Table of Contents

As a participant in the healthcare industry, we are also subject to extensive laws and regulations protecting the privacy and integrity of patient medical information, including privacy and security standards required under HIPAA. The HIPAA privacy standard was recently amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH), enacted as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. HITECH significantly increases the civil money penalties for violations of patient privacy rights protected under HIPAA. Furthermore, as of February 2010, Business Associates who have access to patient health information provided by hospitals and healthcare providers are now directly subject to HIPAA, including a new enforcement scheme and inspection requirements.

Certain governmental agencies, such as the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and the Federal Trade Commission, have the authority to protect against the misuse of consumer information by targeting companies that collect, disseminate or maintain personal information in an unfair or deceptive manner. We are also subject to the laws of those foreign jurisdictions in which we sell the CyberKnife system, some of which currently have more protective privacy laws. If we fail to comply with applicable regulations in this area, our business and prospects could be harmed.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

The price of our common stock is volatile and may continue to fluctuate significantly, which could lead to losses for stockholders.

The trading prices of the stock of smaller high-technology companies can experience extreme price and volume fluctuations. These fluctuations often have been unrelated or out of proportion to the operating performance of these companies. Our stock price has experienced periods of volatility. Broad market fluctuations may also harm our stock price. Any negative change in the public's perception of the prospects of companies that employ similar technology or sell into similar markets could also depress our stock price, regardless of our actual results.

Factors affecting the trading price of our common stock include:

Regulatory developments related to manufacturing, marketing or sale of the CyberKnife system;

Economic changes and overall market volatility;

Political uncertainties;

Changes in product pricing policies;

Variations in our operating results;

Changes in our operating results as a result of problems with our internal controls;

Announcements of technological innovations, new services or service enhancements, strategic alliances or significant agreements by us or by our competitors;

Recruitment or departure of key personnel;

Changes in the estimates of our operating results or changes in recommendations by any securities analyst that elects to follow our common stock;

Market conditions in our industry, the industries of our customers and the economy as a whole;

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Sales of large blocks of our common stock; and

Changes in accounting principles or changes in interpretations of existing principles, which could affect our financial results.

Table of Contents

Substantial sales of our common stock by our stockholders, including sales pursuant to 10b5-1 plans, could depress our stock price regardless of our operating results.

If our existing stockholders sell a large number of shares of our common stock or the public market perceives that existing stockholders might sell shares of common stock, including sales pursuant to 10b5-1 plans, the market price of our common stock could decline significantly. These sales might also make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities at a time and price that we deem appropriate.

Our directors, executive officers and major stockholders own approximately 42.6% of our outstanding common stock as of July 30, 2010, which could limit our ability to influence the outcome of key transactions, including changes of control.

As of July 30, 2010, our directors, executive officers, and current holders of 5% or more of our outstanding common stock, held, in the aggregate, approximately 42.6% of our outstanding common stock. This concentration of ownership may delay, deter or prevent a change of control of our company and will make some transactions more difficult or impossible without the support of these stockholders.

We have implemented anti-takeover provisions that could discourage or prevent a takeover, even if an acquisition would be beneficial in the opinion of our stockholders.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would be beneficial in the opinion of our stockholders. These provisions include:

Authorizing the issuance of "blank check" preferred stock that could be issued by our board of directors to increase the number of outstanding shares and thwart a takeover attempt;

Establishing a classified board of directors, which could discourage a takeover attempt;

Prohibiting cumulative voting in the election of directors, which would limit the ability of less than a majority of stockholders to elect director candidates;

Limiting the ability of stockholders to call special meetings of stockholders;

Prohibiting stockholder action by written consent and requiring that all stockholder actions be taken at a meeting of our stockholders; and

Establishing advance notice requirements for nominations for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon by stockholders at stockholder meetings.

In addition, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law may discourage, delay or prevent a change of control of our company. Generally, Section 203 prohibits stockholders who, alone or together with their affiliates and associates, own more than 15% of the subject company from engaging in certain business combinations for a period of three years following the date that the stockholder became an interested stockholder of such subject company without approval of the board or 66²/₃% of the independent stockholders. The existence of these provisions could adversely affect the voting power of holders of common stock and limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

We have not paid dividends in the past and do not expect to pay dividends in the future.

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain all future earnings for the operation and expansion of our business and, therefore, do not anticipate declaring or paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The payment of dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our results of operations, capital requirements, financial condition, prospects, contractual arrangements, and other factors our board of directors may

Table of Contents

deem relevant. If we do not pay dividends, a return on a stockholders' investment will only occur if our stock price appreciates.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Item 2. PROPERTIES

Facilities

We currently lease approximately 177,000 square feet of product development, manufacturing and administrative space in three buildings in Sunnyvale, California. The manufacturing building is approximately 50,000 square feet and is leased to us until December 2011. Our headquarters building, which is approximately 74,000 square feet, is leased to us until May 31, 2015. We currently occupy an additional building, which is approximately 53,000 square feet, but we have negotiated the termination of the lease of that building, or the Old Building, and entered into a lease for a different building, or the New Building, on the same campus. The New Building is approximately 40,000 square feet. The lease term for the New Building will commence following completion of certain improvements to it and will expire on May 31, 2015. The lease term for the Old Building will expire on the later of September 30, 2010 or the day preceding the commencement of the lease for the New Building. We have the right to renew the lease term of our headquarters office buildings for two five-year terms upon prior written notice and the fulfillment of certain conditions.

We also lease approximately 25,000 square feet of development and manufacturing space in Mountain View, California. We sublease approximately 1,350 square feet of this space. The sublease term is through September 2010. This facility is leased to us until September 2010. In addition, we maintain offices in: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; Miami, Florida; France; China; Japan; Spain; India; Singapore; Russia; Germany; Turkey and the United Kingdom.

We believe our current facilities are adequate to meet our current needs, but additional space, including additional radiation-shielded areas in which systems can be assembled and tested, will be required in the future to accommodate anticipated increases in manufacturing needs.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On July 22, 2009, a securities class action lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against the Company and certain of its current and former directors and officers. On August 7, 2009 and August 9, 2009, two securities class action complaints, both similar to the one filed on July 22, 2009, were filed against the same defendants in the same court. These three actions were consolidated. The consolidated complaint generally alleges that the Company and the individual defendants made false or misleading public statements regarding the Company's operations and seek unspecified monetary damages and other relief.

On August 5, 2009, a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court against certain of the Company's current and former officers and directors. The Company is named as a nominal defendant. The complaint generally alleges that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties by misrepresenting and/or failing to disclose material information regarding the Company's business and financial performance, and seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. On February 25, 2010, the plaintiff dismissed the action without prejudice.

On November 24, 2009, a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against certain of the Company's current and former officers and directors. The Company is named as a nominal defendant. Three other shareholder derivative lawsuits were filed in the same court on November 30, 2009, December 1, 2009 and March 16, 2010. These

Table of Contents

actions have been consolidated. The amended consolidated complaint generally alleges that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties by misrepresenting and/or failing to disclose material information regarding the Company's business and financial performance, and that certain defendants also violated federal and California securities laws. The amended consolidated complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief.

On September 3, 2009, Best Medical International, Inc. ("Best Medical") filed a lawsuit against the Company in the US District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania claiming the Company induced certain individuals to leave the employment of Best Medical and join the Company in order to gain access to Best Medical's confidential information and trade secrets. They are seeking monetary damages and other relief. At this time the Company does not have enough information to estimate what, if any, financial impact this claim will have.

On August 6, 2010, Best Medical filed an additional lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, claiming the Company has infringed U.S. Patent No. 5,596,619, a patent that Best Medical alleges protects a method and apparatus for conformal radiation therapy. They are seeking declaratory and injunctive relief as well as unspecified compensatory and treble damages and other relief. At this time the Company does not have enough information to estimate what, if any, financial impact this claim will have.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company has not recorded any liabilities for the above referenced lawsuits as a loss is not considered probable or estimable.

From time to time we are involved in legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of our business.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)**PART II****Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES***Stock Information*

Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol "ARAY." The high and low sale prices for each quarterly period during our fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

	High	Low
Year ended June 30, 2010		
First Quarter	\$ 7.58	\$ 5.75
Second Quarter	\$ 6.86	\$ 4.93
Third Quarter	\$ 7.75	\$ 5.50
Fourth Quarter	\$ 7.18	\$ 5.77
Year ended June 30, 2009		
First Quarter	\$ 9.08	\$ 6.72
Second Quarter	\$ 9.00	\$ 3.70
Third Quarter	\$ 6.59	\$ 3.78
Fourth Quarter	\$ 8.35	\$ 4.72

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock. Our Board of Directors intends to use any future earnings to support operations and reinvest in the growth and development of our business. There are no current plans to pay out cash dividends to common stockholders in the foreseeable future.

As of July 30, 2010, there were 115 registered stockholders of record of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Stock Performance Graph

The graph set forth below compares the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock between February 8, 2007 (the date of our initial public offering) and June 30, 2010, with the cumulative total return of (i) the S&P Healthcare Index and (ii) the Nasdaq Composite Index, over the same period. This graph assumes the investment of \$100.00 on February 8, 2007 in our common stock, the S&P Healthcare Index and the Nasdaq Composite Index, and assumes the reinvestment of dividends, if any. The graph assumes the initial value of our common stock on February 8, 2007 was the closing sales price of \$28.47 per share.

The comparisons shown in the graph below are based upon historical data. We caution that the stock price performance shown in the graph below is not necessarily indicative of, nor is it intended to forecast, the potential future performance of our common stock. Information used in the graph was obtained from Research Data Group, a source believed to be reliable, but we are not responsible for any errors or omissions in such information.

COMPARISON OF 41 MONTH CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*

Among Accuray Incorporated, the NASDAQ Composite Index
and the S&P Health Care Index

The information set forth under the heading "Equity Compensation Plan Information" in Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with, and are qualified by reference to, our consolidated financial statements and related notes and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K. The consolidated statements of operations for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated balance sheet data at June 30, 2010 and 2009 are derived from, and are qualified by reference to, the consolidated financial statements that have been audited by our independent registered public accounting firm, which are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. The consolidated statements of operations data for the years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 and the

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

consolidated balance sheet data at June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements not included in this Form 10-K.

	Years Ended June 30,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
Consolidated Statements of Operations					
Data:					
Net revenue	\$ 221,625	\$ 233,598	\$ 210,381	\$ 140,452	\$ 52,897
Cost of revenue(1)	117,607	118,308	103,429	60,413	27,492
Gross profit	104,018	115,290	106,952	80,039	25,405
Operating expenses:					
Selling and marketing(1)	34,187	45,493	42,726	37,889	25,186
Research and development(1)	31,523	35,992	32,880	26,775	17,788
General and administrative(1)	35,472	36,223	32,280	23,915	15,923
Total operating expenses	101,182	117,708	107,886	88,579	58,897
Income (loss) from operations	2,836	(2,418)	(934)	(8,540)	(33,492)
Other income, net	1	3,082	7,184	3,530	56
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	2,837	664	6,250	(5,010)	(33,436)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(4)	55	867	1,444	258
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	2,841	609	5,383	(6,454)	(33,694)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax of \$0				838	
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 2,841	\$ 609	\$ 5,383	\$ (5,616)	\$ (33,694)
Net income (loss) per common share:					
Basic					
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.21)	\$ (2.11)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle				0.03	
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.18)	\$ (2.11)
Diluted					
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.09	\$ (0.21)	\$ (2.11)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle				0.03	
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.09	\$ (0.18)	\$ (2.11)
Weighted average common shares outstanding used in computing net income (loss) per share:					
Basic	57,560	55,413	54,531	30,764	15,997
Diluted	60,191	58,729	60,434	30,764	15,997

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

(1)

Includes stock-based compensation expense as follows:

	Years Ended June 30,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
	(in thousands)				
Cost of revenue	\$ 1,721	\$ 2,285	\$ 1,858	\$ 1,205	\$ 863
Selling and marketing	\$ 1,433	\$ 3,441	\$ 4,197	\$ 3,958	\$ 2,569
Research and development	\$ 2,850	\$ 3,190	\$ 3,059	\$ 2,448	\$ 1,574
General and administrative	\$ 4,642	\$ 6,545	\$ 7,785	\$ 5,016	\$ 3,237
				52	

Table of Contents

	Years Ended June 30,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Selected Operating Data:					
Number of CyberKnife systems installed per year					
United States	18	25	19	22	22
International	13	11	12	11	6
Total	31	36	31	33	28

	As of June 30,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
(in thousands)					
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 45,434	\$ 36,835	\$ 36,936	\$ 204,830	\$ 27,856
Short-term investments	\$ 99,881	\$ 64,634	\$ 85,536	\$	\$
Long-term investments	\$	\$ 57,252	\$ 37,014	\$	\$
Deferred cost of revenue	\$ 11,102	\$ 21,917	\$ 43,391	\$ 61,231	\$ 56,588
Total assets	\$ 263,184	\$ 274,386	\$ 295,004	\$ 332,109	\$ 138,623
Short-term debt	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Deferred revenue	\$ 47,393	\$ 75,882	\$ 114,175	\$ 154,257	\$ 149,664
Working capital (deficit)	\$ 152,048	\$ 80,083	\$ 87,744	\$ 148,522	\$ (3,783)
Redeemable convertible preferred stock	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 27,504
Stockholders' equity (deficiency)	\$ 170,076	\$ 153,902	\$ 130,763	\$ 125,443	\$ (80,855)

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion of our consolidated financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this report. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include those discussed below and elsewhere in this report on Form 10-K, particularly in "Risk Factors."

Overview

We have developed what we believe to be the first and only commercially available intelligent robotic radiosurgery system, the CyberKnife system, designed to treat solid tumors anywhere in the body as an alternative to traditional surgery. The CyberKnife system combines continuous image-guidance technology with a compact linear accelerator that has the ability to move in three dimensions according to the treatment plan. Our image-guidance technology enables the system to continuously acquire images to track a tumor's location and transmit any position corrections to the robotic arm prior to delivery of each dose of radiation. Our compact linear accelerator ("linac") is a compact radiation treatment device that uses microwaves to accelerate electrons to create high-energy X-ray beams to destroy the tumor. This combination, which we refer to as intelligent robotics, extends the benefits of radiosurgery to the treatment of tumors anywhere in the body. The CyberKnife system autonomously tracks, detects and corrects for tumor and patient movement in real-time during the procedure, enabling delivery of precise, high dose radiation typically with sub-millimeter accuracy. The CyberKnife procedure requires no anesthesia, can be performed on an outpatient basis and allows for the treatment of patients who otherwise would not have been treated with radiation or who may not have been good candidates for surgery. In addition, the CyberKnife procedure is designed to avoid many of the potential risks and complications that are associated with other treatment options and is more cost effective than traditional surgery.

Table of Contents

In July 1999, we obtained 510(k) clearance from the United States Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, to market the CyberKnife system for the treatment of tumors and certain other conditions in the head, neck and upper spine. In August 2001, we received FDA clearance for the treatment of tumors anywhere in the body where radiation treatment is indicated. In September 2002, we received a CE mark for the sale of the CyberKnife system in Europe. CE mark is an international symbol that represents adherence to certain essential principles of safety and effectiveness mandated in the European Medical Device Directive. We received approval for full-body treatment in Japan in June 2008; previously our CyberKnife regulatory approvals in Japan were limited to treatment for indications in the head and neck. The CyberKnife system has also been approved for various indications in Korea, Taiwan, China and other countries. To date, our CyberKnife system has been used to deliver more than 95,000 patient treatments.

In the United States, we sell to customers, including hospitals and stand-alone treatment facilities, directly through our sales organization. Outside the United States, we sell to customers in over 80 countries directly and through distributors. We have sales and service offices in Paris, France, Hong Kong, China, Tokyo, Japan, Madrid, Spain, New Delhi, India, Singapore, Moscow, Russia, Munich, Germany, Istanbul, Turkey and London, UK. As of June 30, 2010, we had 45 employees in our sales organization.

Our CyberKnife systems are either sold to our customers or placed with our customers pursuant to our shared ownership program. As of June 30, 2010, we had 206 CyberKnife systems installed at customer sites, including 203 sold and three pursuant to our shared ownership program. Of the 206 systems installed, 132 are in the Americas, 45 are in Asia and 29 are in Europe.

In addition to selling the CyberKnife system to customers through direct sales, we offer alternative arrangements to customers who may not have the financial means to purchase a CyberKnife system. For example, under our shared ownership program, we retain title to the CyberKnife system while the customer has use of the system. Our shared ownership contracts generally require a minimum monthly payment from the customer, and we may earn additional revenue through the use of the system at the site. Generally, minimum monthly payments are equivalent to the revenue generated from treating three to four patients per month, and any revenue received from additional patients is shared between us and the customer. We expect to continue to offer our shared ownership program to new customers. The shared ownership program typically has a term of five years, during which the customer has the option to purchase the system at pre-determined prices.

We manufacture and assemble our CyberKnife systems at our manufacturing facility in Sunnyvale, California. We purchase major components, including the robotic manipulator, the treatment table or robotic couch, the magnetron, which creates the microwaves for use in the linear accelerator, the imaging cameras and the computers, from outside suppliers, some of which are single source. Our reliance on single source suppliers could harm our ability to meet demand for our products in a timely and cost effective manner. However, in most cases, if a supplier were unable to deliver these components, we believe that we would be able to find other sources for these components subject to any regulatory qualifications, if required. We would, however, likely suffer some delays as a result of qualifying any new supplier. We manufacture certain other electronic and electrical subsystems, including the linear accelerator. We then assemble and integrate these components with our proprietary software and perform testing prior to shipment to customer sites.

We generate revenue from sales of products and by providing ongoing services and upgrades to customers following installation of the CyberKnife system. The current United States price for the CyberKnife system typically includes initial training, installation, and a one-year warranty. We also offer optional hardware and software when and if available, technical enhancements and upgrades to the CyberKnife system, as part of our multiyear service plans. Currently, our most comprehensive service plan is our Diamond Elite multiyear service plan, or Diamond plan. Under our Diamond plan,

Table of Contents

customers are eligible to receive up to two upgrades per year, when and if available. Prior to introducing our Diamond plan, we offered our Platinum service plan which provided specified future upgrade obligations. For systems sold with a Platinum service plan, all revenue, including CyberKnife product and service revenue, is deferred until all upgrade obligations have been satisfied and then is recognized ratably over the remaining life of the Platinum service contract. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, 150 out of 160 and 123 out of 147 of our customers that purchased service plans had purchased non-Platinum service plans.

The CyberKnife procedure is currently covered and reimbursed by Medicare and other governmental and non-governmental third-party payors. Medicare coverage currently exists in the hospital outpatient setting and in the freestanding clinic setting. For calendar year 2010, the national unadjusted average Medicare payment rates under Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System, or HCPCS, are \$3,572 under code G0339, the billing code for the first treatment, and \$2,488 under code G0340, the billing code for each of the second through fifth treatments. Payment for the freestanding clinic setting is governed by the final Medicare Physician Fee Schedule. For 2010, payment for CyberKnife procedures in the freestanding clinic settings for first and subsequent treatments is set by local Medicare carriers and rates may vary from low payment to a payment rate exceeding the hospital outpatient payment rates. We will continue to evaluate the impact that the health care legislation bill, HR 4872, and the effect the implementation of its statutes may have on Medicare reimbursement rates.

In late June and early July of 2010 Medicare published its proposed rules for hospital outpatient services, for physicians, and services performed in the freestanding center setting. After a 60 day comment period Medicare will review and analyze the comments. Once Medicare's analysis is complete the final rules will be published, which we anticipate to occur near the end of October 2010. The proposed rates in the hospital outpatient setting reflect a 4.4% decrease for G0339 and a 1.1% increase for G0340. Proposed payment in the freestanding clinic setting for the first and subsequent treatments continues to be set by local Medicare carriers and rates may vary from low payment to a payment rate exceeding the hospital outpatient payment rates.

In addition to Medicare reimbursement to hospitals and clinics, physicians receive reimbursement for their professional services in the hospital outpatient setting and the freestanding clinic setting. Payment to physicians is based on the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule, and payment amounts are updated on an annual basis. For 2010, Medicare adjusted reimbursement rates for the Current Procedural Terminology, or CPT, code series describing the surgeon's role in the delivery of CyberKnife cranial and spinal procedures beginning with 61796 and 63620 to varying degrees. For example, the rate for treating five simple cranial lesions was reduced by less than one percent, and the rate for treating one complex cranial lesion was increased by more than 40%. For 2011 Medicare has proposed adjustments to reimbursement rates for the CPT code series describing the surgeon's role in the delivery of CyberKnife cranial and spinal procedures beginning with 61796 and 63620 to varying degrees. These adjustments vary from a 27% increase to a 33% increase.

Radiosurgery procedures in other anatomies require other surgeons to bill unlisted CPT codes with no assigned payment rates. Payment rates for unlisted codes are set by the local Medicare carrier and rates may vary from no payment to rates equivalent to the comparable CPT rates for the series beginning with 61796 and 63620. Coding for other physicians (primarily radiation oncologists) involved in the delivery of CyberKnife treatment increased by one percent. Medicare did not propose changes for 2011 to payment rates in other anatomies not described by the cranial and spinal procedure codes.

In November of 2009, we announced the introduction of the CyberKnife VSI system, which allows physicians to perform conventionally fractionated robotic image guided intensity-modulated radiation therapy, or Robotic IMRT, in addition to Robotic Stereotactic Radiosurgery procedures. Reimbursement for Robotic IMRT is expected to be similar to conventional IMRT. Medicare 2011

Table of Contents

proposed physician fee schedule rules reflect an 11% increase in 2011 for the treatment delivery code used to report IMRT services delivered by the CyberKnife VSI system.

Our future success will depend in large part on our ability to maintain and increase our position in the market. To compete successfully, we will need to continue to demonstrate the advantages of our products and technologies over alternative procedures, products and technologies, and convince physicians and other healthcare decision makers of the advantages of our products and technologies. Our business and sales and installation cycle does not immediately create recognizable revenue. As such, we must invest in sales and marketing activities generally 1 to 2 years before we are able to generate revenue from those activities. Our ability to achieve and maintain long-term profitability is largely dependent on our ability to successfully market and sell the CyberKnife system and to control our costs and effectively manage our growth.

Financial Operations

Sales and Installation Cycle

The CyberKnife system has a long sales and installation cycle because it is a major capital purchase for our typical customer and requires the approval of senior management at purchasing institutions. The sales and installation cycle is typically 1 to 2 years in duration and involves multiple steps. The cycle begins with customer meetings with sales and products specialists, and ends upon resolution of all contingencies and either upon shipment, if a customer is responsible for installation, or upon installation by us. Prior to installation, a purchasing institution must typically obtain a radiation device installation permit, and in some cases, a certificate of need or CON, both of which must be granted by state and local government bodies and can add time to the cycle. Recently, as a result of healthcare cost considerations and sensitivity to the cost of major capital equipment items, some state CON boards have become more stringent in the evaluation of CON applications. This trend, if it continues, may make the CON process more protracted and uncertain. In addition, the purchasing institution must build a radiation shielded facility or upgrade an existing facility to house the CyberKnife system. We generally receive a deposit at the time the purchase agreement is entered into, or shortly thereafter, an additional payment prior to shipment and the remaining balance for the sale of the CyberKnife system after delivery and installation. The customer also typically selects a service plan at the time of signing a CyberKnife system purchase agreement and enters into the service plan agreement prior to installation of the system.

Upon installation, we typically recognize the CyberKnife system sale price less the fair value of at least one year of service and training. We recognize the fair value of the first year of service as revenue pro rata over the twelve months following installation and training as delivered. In addition, if the customer has purchased our Diamond or Emerald service plan and assuming annual renewals, we would receive payment at the beginning of each of the second, third, fourth and fifth years of the multiyear service plan and recognize that revenue pro rata over each year.

Legacy Service Plans

Prior to introducing our Diamond plan, we offered a Platinum Elite multiyear service plan, or Platinum plan. This legacy service plan was structured so that we have an obligation to deliver two upgrades per year over the course of the multiyear service plan. If we fail to deliver the upgrades, our customers are entitled to receive a refund of up to \$100,000 for each upgrade not offered. To date, no refunds have been required pursuant to the Platinum plan. Beginning in November 2005, we phased out offering this legacy service plan to new customers.

Table of Contents

The Platinum plan obligates us to deliver up to two upgrades per year during the term of the contract. We have not established fair value for those future obligations; hence, generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or GAAP, requires that we cannot begin to recognize any of the revenue derived from the sale of the CyberKnife system or the associated service plan until all upgrade obligations have been fulfilled. Therefore, the payments made by our customers who have our legacy Platinum plan are categorized as deferred revenue. Once we fulfill all upgrade obligations with respect to a specific Platinum plan, we ratably recognize the revenue from the sale of the CyberKnife system and the Platinum plan over the remaining life of the contract. As of the end of June 2010 we had installed the final upgrades on all systems sold under Platinum agreements. We anticipate that we will satisfy our final obligations under the remaining Platinum service plans and recognize Platinum service revenue of approximately \$5 million in fiscal 2011.

Customers who purchased Platinum plans may purchase additional upgrades as optional extras prior to the delivery of all originally specified upgrade obligations. Such additional upgrades are considered elements of the original arrangement and associated revenues are deferred until the earlier of: (1) delivery of all elements (upgrade obligations), or (2) establishment of vendor specific objective evidence, or VSOE, of fair value for all undelivered elements. Sales of additional upgrades after delivery of all specified upgrade obligations, as stated in the original contract, are considered separate arrangements and are recognized once all revenue recognition criteria applicable to the separate arrangements are met.

As of June 30, 2010 we had fulfilled all upgrade obligations with respect to the sale of systems in connection with Platinum plans.

Warranty

All customers purchasing a CyberKnife system receive up to a two year warranty. In circumstances where we have VSOE of fair value for all undelivered elements, we recognize the CyberKnife system purchase price minus the fair value of support services upon installation, if we are responsible for providing installation, or delivery, and we recognize the value of the support ratably over the corresponding period following installation.

Shared Ownership Program Revenue

We recognize revenue monthly from our shared ownership program that consists of a minimum monthly payment. We also recognize usage-based revenue in excess of the monthly minimum based on usage reports from our customers. We recognized revenue from our shared ownership program of \$1.9 million, \$3.7 million and \$10.3 million for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008. The decrease in shared ownership revenue from June 30, 2010 compared to June 30, 2009 and 2008 is due to the buyout of a large portion of the placement units throughout the previous fiscal years. In limited cases, we received nonrefundable upfront payments from shared ownership program customers which are treated as deferred revenue and recognized over the term of the contract.

The CyberKnife system shared ownership systems are recorded within property and equipment and are depreciated over their estimated life of seven years. Depreciation and warranty expense attributable to shared ownership systems are recorded within cost of shared ownership program as they are incurred.

International Sales Revenue

We sell our products internationally through a combination of direct sales force and a network of distributors. We have strategically developed distributor relationships to serve our customers. Many of our distributors are responsible for installation and service support.

Table of Contents

For international sales, we recognize revenue once we have met all of our obligations associated with the purchase agreement, other than for undelivered service elements for which we have VSOE of fair value. In situations where we are directly responsible for installation, we recognize revenue once we have installed the CyberKnife system and have confirmed performance against specification. For sales through distributors, we recognize revenue upon shipment provided we have received proof of sell-through to the end user from the distributor and assuming all of our remaining obligations have been satisfied. Net revenue from international customers was \$74.2 million, \$62.0 million and \$67.8 million for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008. We believe the increase in international sales for the year ended June 30, 2010 is due to a number of factors, including the following: increased focus on international markets through regionalization, different impact of the economic downturn by country, greater significance of government affiliated hospital customers, and growth in select country markets.

Backlog

Backlog consists of the sum of deferred revenue, future un-invoiced payments that our customers are contractually committed to make, signed, non-contingent CyberKnife system sale agreements that meet the detailed criteria set forth below, service plans and minimum payment requirements associated with our shared ownership program. In previous fiscal years, we reported both contingent and non-contingent CyberKnife system sale agreements as backlog, however, as previously disclosed, we refined our definition of backlog in fiscal year 2010 to enhance the usefulness of this information in analyzing and building models of our business. Beginning July 1, 2009, in order for a CyberKnife system sale agreement to be counted as backlog under the refined definition, it must meet the following criteria:

The contract is signed and properly executed by both the customer and Accuray;

The contract is non-contingent it either has cleared all its contingencies or contains no contingencies when signed;

Accuray has received a deposit or a letter of credit, or the sale is a direct channel sale to a government entity;

The specific end customer site has been identified by the customer in the written contract or written amendment; and

Less than 2.5 years have passed since the contract met all the criteria above.

Included in customers' agreements to purchase a CyberKnife is an option to select the type and term of service coverage that they desire. Backlog includes the value of this service coverage selected by customers in their original agreement to purchase a CyberKnife system. Before installation of the CyberKnife is complete and service commences the customer must complete and sign a separate service agreement for service coverage (i.e. Diamond or Emerald service). If at the time of signing the service agreement a customer selects a different type of service than the option selected in the CyberKnife system purchase agreement, our backlog is adjusted to reflect the service agreement the customer signed.

At June 30, 2010, our backlog under our refined definition was approximately \$374.1 million. Of total backlog under the refined definition, \$131.9 million represented CyberKnife system sales at June 30, 2010, and \$242.2 million represented revenue from service plans and other recurring revenues at June 30, 2010. We anticipate that this backlog will be recognized over the next five years as installations occur, upgrades are delivered and services are provided. We have not provided comparisons of our backlog in fiscal 2010 to our backlog from fiscal 2009. Given the change in our backlog definition from fiscal 2009 to fiscal 2010, such comparisons would not be meaningful, as the definitions of backlog were based on different criteria.

Table of Contents

Although our backlog includes only contractual agreements from our customers, we cannot make assurances that we will convert it into recognized revenue due to factors outside our control including without limitation, changes in customers' needs, changes in reimbursement, changes to regulatory requirements, or other cancellation of orders.

Results of Operations

Overview

Our results of operations are divided into the following components:

Net revenue. Our net revenue consists primarily of product revenue (revenue derived primarily from the sale of CyberKnife systems and the sale of linacs for other uses), shared ownership program revenue (revenue generated from our shared ownership program), services revenue (revenue generated from sales of post contract support service plans, installation and training) and other revenue (revenue from specialized upgrade services for units previously sold in Japan, other specialized services and other non-medical products).

Deferred Revenue Platinum Multiyear Service Plans. We are required to defer all of the revenue associated with our legacy multiyear service plans, including our Platinum plan, until we have satisfied all of the specified obligations related to the delivery of upgrades to the CyberKnife system during the life of the service plan. This includes deferring revenue for the cash received for the purchase of the CyberKnife system and multiyear service plans until we have delivered all upgrades which the customer is eligible to receive. Once we have satisfied our obligations for delivery of upgrades under the plan, we recognize revenue ratably over the remaining life of the service plan. We have not offered the Platinum service plan to new customers since we phased it out when we introduced our Diamond plan in November 2005. Prior to fiscal 2009 we had installed the final upgrades and recognized all revenue on systems sold under Gold agreements. As of the end of June 2010 we had installed the final upgrades on all systems sold under Platinum agreements. We recognized approximately \$28.9 million of revenue related to these Platinum agreements in fiscal 2010. We anticipate that we will satisfy our final obligations under the remaining Platinum service plans and recognize Platinum service revenue of approximately \$5 million in fiscal 2011.

Cost of revenue. Cost of revenue consists primarily of material, labor and overhead costs. In future periods, we expect cost of revenue may fluctuate from quarter to quarter depending on system configurations ordered by our customers and overall revenue mix.

Selling and marketing expenses. Selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of costs for personnel and costs associated with participation in medical conferences, physician symposia, and advertising and promotional activities. We expect marketing expenses may fluctuate from quarter to quarter due to the timing of major marketing events, such as significant trade shows.

Research and development expenses. Research and development expenses consist primarily of activities associated with our product development, regulatory and clinical study arrangements.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of compensation and related costs for finance, in-house legal, and human resources, and external expenses related to accounting, legal and other consulting fees.

Other income, net. Other income, net consists primarily of interest earned on our cash and cash equivalents and investments, unrealized losses on our long-term trading securities, net of unrealized gains on our put option, foreign currency transaction gains and losses, losses on fixed asset disposals, and state and local sales and use tax fines and penalties.

Table of Contents*Years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008***Net revenue**

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Products	\$ 141,297	\$ 159,257	\$ 152,374
Shared ownership program	1,890	3,651	10,262
Services	77,504	66,344	38,808
Other	934	4,346	8,937
Net revenue	\$ 221,625	\$ 233,598	\$ 210,381

Total net revenue for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$12.0 million from the year ended June 30, 2009. During the year ended June 30, 2010, 31 CyberKnife systems were installed, of which 30 were sold and one was attributable to our shared ownership program, compared to 36 systems installed, including 35 sold and one attributable to our shared ownership program during the year ended June 30, 2009.

Not including our revenue recognized for systems sold under our Platinum plan, we recognized \$128.7 million of product revenue in fiscal 2010, associated with 38 CyberKnife systems sold. By comparison, during fiscal 2009, we recognized product revenue of \$123.7 million associated with 41 CyberKnife systems, which included 39 units sold and two units purchased out of our shared ownership program. The increase in fiscal 2010 is due primarily to the remaining deferred revenue for units sold in prior periods recognized in fiscal year 2010 in accordance with our revenue recognition policy and an increase in upgrades and accessories sold.

Excluding revenue recognized for systems sold under our Platinum plan, we recognized non-Platinum service revenue of \$61.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, which increased approximately \$19.3 million from the year ended June 30, 2009, due to the continued growth in our installed base under service plans. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, 150 out of 160 and 123 out of 147 of our customers that had purchased service plans, respectively, had purchased non-Platinum service plans.

We recognized \$28.9 million of revenue in fiscal 2010 from systems sold under our Platinum plan, \$12.6 million for product revenue and \$16.3 million for service revenue. We recognized \$60.1 million of revenue in fiscal 2009 from systems sold under our Platinum plan, \$35.6 million for product revenue and \$24.5 million for service revenue. By the end of June 2010 we had satisfied all upgrade delivery obligations on the 30 units sold under our Platinum plan. Once all upgrade delivery obligations have been satisfied, revenue is recognized over the remaining term of the contract service term.

Shared ownership program revenue for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased approximately \$1.8 million from the year ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to the sale of one CyberKnife system at the end of fiscal year 2009 that had been in our shared ownership program. We anticipate revenue from our shared ownership program will increase slightly in future periods due to the installation of one new shared ownership system in fiscal year 2010.

Revenue from upgrade services in Japan, classified as "Other revenue" in our consolidated statements of operations for the year ended June 30, 2010, decreased approximately \$3.4 million from the year ended June 30, 2009 due to a decrease in upgrade services provided to our installed systems in Japan.

Total net revenue for the year ended June 30, 2009 increased \$23.2 million from the year ended June 30, 2008. During the year ended June 30, 2009, 36 CyberKnife systems were installed, of which 35 were sold and one was attributable to our shared ownership program, compared to 31 systems installed,

Table of Contents

including 27 units sold and four attributable to our shared ownership program during the year ended June 30, 2008.

Excluding revenue recognized for systems sold under our Platinum plan, we recognized \$123.7 million of product revenue in fiscal 2009, associated with 41 CyberKnife systems, which included 39 units sold and two units purchased out of our shared ownership program. By comparison, during fiscal 2008, we recognized product revenue of \$130.9 million associated with 46 CyberKnife systems, which included 34 units sold and 12 units purchased out of our shared ownership program. The decrease in fiscal 2009 is due primarily to the sale in fiscal 2008 of twelve CyberKnife systems that had been in our shared ownership program for an aggregate purchase price of \$23.7 million offset partially by the increase from 34 to 38 units sold not related to our shared ownership program.

Excluding revenue recognized for systems sold under our Platinum plan, we recognized non-Platinum service revenue of \$41.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2009, which increased approximately \$15.5 million from the year ended June 30, 2008, due to the continued growth in our installed base under service plans. As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, 123 and 77 of our customers, respectively, had purchased non-Platinum service plans.

We recognized \$60.1 million of revenue in fiscal 2009 from systems sold under our Platinum plan, \$35.6 million for product revenue and \$24.5 million for service revenue. We recognized \$34.0 million of revenue in fiscal 2008 from systems sold under our Platinum plan, \$21.5 million for product revenue and \$12.5 million for service revenue. By the end of June 2009 we had satisfied all upgrade delivery obligations on 29 of the 30 units sold under our Platinum plan. Once all upgrade delivery obligations have been satisfied, revenue is recognized over the remaining term of the contract service term.

Shared ownership program revenue for the year ended June 30, 2009 decreased approximately \$6.6 million from the year ended June 30, 2008, primarily due to the sale of 12 CyberKnife systems through the year ended June 30, 2008 that had been in our shared ownership program.

Revenue from upgrade services in Japan, classified as "Other revenue" in our consolidated statements of operations for the year ended June 30, 2009, decreased approximately \$4.6 million from the year ended June 30, 2008 due to a decrease in upgrade services provided to our installed systems in Japan.

Gross profit

	Years Ended June 30,					
	2010		2009		2008	
	(Dollars in thousands)	(Gross margin %)	(Dollars in thousands)	(Gross margin %)	(Dollars in thousands)	(Gross margin %)
Gross profit	\$ 104,018	46.9%	\$ 115,290	49.4%	\$ 106,952	50.8%
Products	\$ 76,100	53.9%	\$ 90,353	56.7%	\$ 85,191	55.9%
Shared ownership program	\$ 871	46.1%	\$ 2,876	78.8%	\$ 7,745	75.5%
Services	\$ 26,772	34.5%	\$ 21,753	32.8%	\$ 11,943	30.8%
Other	\$ 275	29.4%	\$ 308	7.1%	\$ 2,073	23.2%

Gross profit as a percentage of net revenue for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased from the year ended June 30, 2009. This decrease is due to a change in the mix of revenue sources as well as changes in the gross profit margin for these revenue sources. Services revenue, with a gross profit margin lower than for product revenue, increased as a percentage of total net revenues due to the continued installation of new systems and a decline in product revenues. In addition product margins declined due to a number of factors including a trend towards higher functionality configurations which carry higher costs. The increase in service revenue margins was attributable to a greater number of systems on a service contract and lower replacement parts consumption over the prior year. Shared

Table of Contents

ownership program revenue as a percentage of net revenues for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased primarily due to the sale of units in the shared ownership program and reduction in residual revenue from the units sold in prior years.

Gross profit as a percentage of net revenue for the year ended June 30, 2009 decreased slightly from the year ended June 30, 2008. This decrease is attributable to an increase in services revenue as a percentage of total net revenues, which have higher costs of revenue as compared to product revenue and decrease in shared ownership revenue as a percentage of total net revenues, which have lower costs of revenue as compared to product revenue. The increase in service revenue margins was attributable mainly to an increase in platinum service margins due to high margins on five Platinum systems that were fully recognized during the year ended June 30, 2009, in accordance with the final upgrades being installed at these sites during the final period of the service contract term, compared to one site that was fully recognized during the year ended June 30, 2008. Shared ownership program revenue as a percentage of net revenues for the year ended June 30, 2009 decreased primarily due to the sale of two CyberKnife systems that had been in our shared ownership program during the year ended June 30, 2009 compared to the sale of 12 CyberKnife systems that had been in our shared ownership program during the year ended June 30, 2008.

Selling and marketing expenses

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Selling and marketing	\$ 34,187	\$ 45,493	\$ 42,726
<i>% of net revenue</i>	<i>15.4%</i>	<i>19.5%</i>	<i>20.3%</i>

Selling and marketing expenses for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$11.3 million from the year ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease of \$4.6 million in salaries, benefits and stock-based compensation as we reduced headcount in selling and marketing by approximately 12% year over year. We increased efforts to control spending in fiscal year 2010 resulting in the reduction of \$2.2 million in travel, entertainment and meetings, \$1.7 million in advertising and trade show expenses, \$573,000 of other outside services and \$690,000 in allocated facility expenses as a result of the reduction in sales and marketing headcount. Sales commissions decreased \$823,000 due to lower total sales and amounts that were expensed for employees terminated during the year ended June 30, 2009

Selling and marketing expenses for the year ended June 30, 2009 increased \$2.8 million from the year ended June 30, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase of \$1.8 million in sales commissions due to an increase in sales and previously paid amounts that were expensed for employees terminated during the year ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$468,000 in expenses primarily related to a contribution made to the CyberKnife Society, and an increase of \$462,000 in severance related charges recorded as a result of the Workforce Alignment Plan, or 2009 Plan, completed in January 2009, to reduce headcount and improve efficiency and productivity.

Research and development expenses

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Research and development	\$ 31,523	\$ 35,992	\$ 32,880
<i>% of net revenue</i>	<i>14.2%</i>	<i>15.4%</i>	<i>15.6%</i>

Table of Contents

Research and development expenses for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$4.5 million from the year ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease of \$1.4 million in salaries and benefits and \$340,000 in stock-based compensation related to lower headcount in fiscal year 2010. We increased efforts to control spending in 2010 resulting in the reduction of \$1.6 million in contract labor and consulting fees and \$699,000 in materials. Additionally, we incurred \$301,000 of severance expense in fiscal year 2009 related to the 2009 Plan, which we did not incur in fiscal year 2010.

Research and development expenses for the year ended June 30, 2009 increased \$3.1 million from the year ended June 30, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase of \$1.4 million in spending on clinical development studies primarily for lung and prostate, an increase of \$1.4 million in costs related to additional quality assurance and technical publications activities, and an increase of \$287,000 in severance related charges recorded under the Plan.

General and administrative expenses

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
General and administrative	\$ 35,472	\$ 36,223	\$ 32,280
<i>% of net revenue</i>	<i>16.0%</i>	<i>15.5%</i>	<i>15.3%</i>

General and administrative expenses for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased by \$751,000 from the year ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease of \$1.3 million in severance and \$1.9 million in stock-based compensation as a result of the 2009 Plan. We increased efforts to control spending in 2010 resulting in the reduction of \$1.2 million in contract labor, recruiting cost and rent. Further, bad debt expense decreased \$837,000 year over year primarily due to resolution of prior year reserves. The decrease in general and administrative expense was partially offset by a \$4.3 million increase in consulting services primarily associated with increased legal and tax fees driven mainly by the strategic alliance negotiations with Siemens and the shareholder lawsuit.

General and administrative expenses for the year ended June 30, 2009 increased \$3.9 million from the year ended June 30, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase of \$2.4 million in severance benefits due to employee separation costs and costs recorded under the Plan, an increase of \$428,000 in outside consulting services related mainly to expenses recorded for Morphormics, Inc., or Morphormics, our variable interest entity which we are required to consolidate in our financial results subsequent to the acquisition in July 2008, an increase of \$883,000 in legal fees and accounting, audit and tax fees mainly as a result of the investigation of the handling and accounting for certain inventory items conducted during the year ended June 30, 2009, and an increase of \$444,000 in bad debt expense.

Other income, net

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Other income, net	\$ 1	\$ 3,082	\$ 7,184
<i>% of net revenue</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>1.3%</i>	<i>3.4%</i>

Other income, net for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$3.1 million from the year ended June 30, 2009. We recorded \$1.8 million of interest income in fiscal year 2010 which represented a \$2.1 million decline from 2009 due to a decrease in both the average daily balances kept in interest bearing accounts and the interest rates earned on amounts kept in those accounts during the year. Interest income was offset by \$1.7 million in foreign currency transaction loss resulting from the decline in the Euro's international conversion rate.

Table of Contents

Other income, net for the year ended June 30, 2009 decreased \$4.1 million from the year ended June 30, 2008 primarily due to a decrease of \$3.8 million in interest income due to a decrease in both the average daily balances kept in interest bearing accounts and the interest rates earned on amounts kept in those accounts during the year ended June 30, 2009 compared to the year ended June 30, 2008 and net unrealized losses of \$319,000 related to the change in fair value of our trading securities.

Provision for income taxes

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Provision for income taxes	\$ (4)	\$ 55	\$ 867
<i>% of net revenue</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.02%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>

The provision for income taxes for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$59,000 from the year ended June 30, 2009, resulting in a \$4,000 net benefit. In fiscal 2010, we recorded an increase in foreign taxes of \$430,000 as compared to the prior year as the result of changes in our jurisdictional mix of income. We also recorded a decrease in federal and state taxes of \$489,000 as compared to the prior year due to benefits we recognized as the result of the enactment of The Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009, which permits some relief from federal alternative minimum tax.

As of June 30, 2010, we had federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of \$45.2 million and \$35.2 million, respectively. These federal and state net operating loss carryforwards are available to offset against future taxable income, if any, in varying amounts and will begin to expire in 2019 for federal and 2012 for state purposes, respectively. Such net operating loss carryforwards include tax benefits from employee option exercises in excess of the stock-based compensation expense that has been recognized for those awards in accordance with ASC 718-10. We will record approximately \$7.4 million as a credit to additional paid in capital if and when such excess benefits are ultimately realized. We also had federal and state research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$3.6 million and \$4.7 million, respectively. If not utilized, the federal tax credit carryforwards will begin to expire in 2019, while the state tax credits have no expiration date. In addition, among other matters, realization of the entire deferred tax asset is dependent on our ability to generate sufficient taxable income prior to the expiration of the carryforwards. Due to the inconsistent history of net operating income as adjusted for permanent differences, we cannot conclude that the net domestic deferred tax assets will more likely than not be realized. Accordingly, we have recorded a full valuation allowance against our domestic net deferred tax assets.

At June 30, 2010, there was no provision for U.S. income tax for undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries as it is currently our intention to reinvest these earnings indefinitely in operations outside the U.S. If repatriated, these earnings could result in a tax expense at the current U.S. Federal statutory tax rate of 35%, subject to available net operating losses and other factors. Subject to limitation, tax on undistributed earnings may also be reduced by foreign tax credits that may be generated in connection with the repatriation of earnings.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

Stock-based compensation expense was recorded net of estimated forfeitures for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 such that expense was recorded only for those stock-based awards that are expected to vest. For the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 we recorded \$10.4 million, \$15.5 million and \$16.9 million respectively, of stock-based compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, for stock options, 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP, shares issued and RSUs granted to employees.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2010, there was approximately \$11.1 million, net of estimated forfeitures, of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options, ESPP options and restricted stock units which we expect to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.24 years.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2010, we had \$45.4 million in cash and cash equivalents. As we have exercised our put option with UBS, we no longer have an outstanding line of credit. No other borrowings were outstanding as of June 30, 2010. We believe that we have sufficient cash resources and anticipated cash flows to continue in operation for at least the next 12 months.

Years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Cash Flows From Operating Activities. Net cash used in operating activities was \$5.1 million for the year ended June 30, 2010. Our net income of \$2.8 million during fiscal year 2010 was offset by a decrease in deferred revenue, net of deferred cost of revenue, of \$18.6 million, an increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$4.2 million, an increase in accounts receivable of \$2.5 million and a decrease in accounts payable of \$5.4 million. The decrease in deferred revenue, net of deferred cost of revenue, was primarily a result of the recognition of revenue previously deferred for systems sold under our Platinum plan offset partially by differences between invoicing customers for products and services and the recognition of the invoicing as revenue. The increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets was due to an insurance receivable amount recorded for insurance claims. Accounts payable decreased as a result of the timing of the receipt of invoices and when payment was made. Positive cash flow from working capital changes includes an increase of \$4.4 million of accrued liabilities, which was primarily due to an increase in compensation accruals and taxes payable due to higher profitability compared to the prior fiscal year. Non-cash charges included \$10.6 million of stock-based compensation, \$0.8 million of charges for write-downs of inventories and loss on disposal of property and equipment, \$0.4 million reduction in the provision for bad debts and \$7.1 million of depreciation and amortization.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$3.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. Our net income of \$609,000 during fiscal year 2009 was offset by an increase in accounts receivable of \$2.8 million, a decrease in deferred revenue, net of deferred cost of revenue, of \$16.5 million, and an increase in inventories of \$9.7 million. The increase in accounts receivable was primarily a result of the timing difference between the shipment of products and the receipt of customer payment. The decrease in deferred revenue, net of deferred cost of revenue, was primarily a result of the recognition of revenue previously deferred for systems sold under our Platinum plan offset partially by differences between invoicing customers for products and services and the recognition of the invoicing as revenue. The increase in inventories was due primarily to an increase in our business volume and the increase in our worldwide installed base and associated service inventory requirements. Positive cash flow from working capital changes include an increase in accrued liabilities of \$4.9 million of which \$1.3 million was related to the inventory investigation in the first quarter and the balance was due to the timing differences between the receipt of goods and service and vendor payments and a decrease in restricted cash of \$4.3 million. The decrease in restricted cash is due to the release of amounts related to contracts with customers requiring that deposited cash amounts be secured via letter of credit until delivery of the CyberKnife unit occurs. Non-cash charges included \$15.5 million of stock-based compensation, \$2.7 million of charges for write-downs of inventory and \$6.7 million of depreciation and amortization expense.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$22.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2008. Our net income of \$5.4 million during fiscal year 2008 was offset by an increase in accounts receivable of \$23.9 million, a decrease in deferred revenue, net of deferred cost of revenue, of \$13.8 million, an increase in inventories of \$10.4 million and an increase of \$4.8 million in restricted cash. The increase

Table of Contents

in accounts receivable was primarily a result of the timing difference between the shipment of products and the receipt of customer payment. The decrease in deferred revenue, net of deferred cost of revenue, was primarily a result of the timing of differences between invoicing customers under service contracts and the recognition of revenue over the contractual service period, the continued satisfaction of specified obligations to begin revenue recognition for units covered by our Platinum plans and the recognition of revenue and cost of revenue for units previously shipped to a distributor in China. The increase in inventories was due primarily to an increase in our business volume. The increase in restricted cash is due to arrangements in contracts with customers requiring that deposited cash amounts be secured via letter of credit until delivery of the CyberKnife unit occurs. Non-cash charges included \$16.9 million of stock-based compensation and \$7.7 million of depreciation and amortization expense.

Cash Flows From Investing Activities. Net cash provided by investing activities was \$10.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2010 and was attributable to net marketable security activities of \$15.7 million, which consisted of \$127.1 million of sales and maturities of marketable securities offset by \$111.4 million in purchases. The net increase in investment activity for the current fiscal year is due to the exercise of the put option with UBS and the sale of our ARS holdings on June 30, 2010. We used \$5.1 million of cash for purchases of property and equipment.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$2.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2009 and was attributable net marketable security activities of \$1.8 million, which consisted of \$157.7 million of sales and maturities of marketable securities offset by \$155.9 million in purchases. We also used \$4.2 million of cash for purchases of property and equipment.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$128.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2008 and was attributable to net investment of our excess cash and cash equivalents in higher yielding investment accounts of \$123.6 million, which consisted of \$177.7 million of purchases and \$54.1 million of sales and maturities of marketable securities and \$5.0 million of purchases of property and equipment. The increase in investment activity during the year ended June 30, 2008 is due primarily to the January 2008 investment of proceeds from our initial public offering in February 2007. Purchases of property and equipment in all periods were due to the expansion of our facilities and operations.

Cash Flows From Financing Activities. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$3.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2010 and was primarily attributable to proceeds from the exercise of common stock options and the purchase of common stock under our ESPP.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$5.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2009 and was primarily attributable to proceeds from the exercise of common stock options and the purchase of common stock under our ESPP.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$16.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2008 and was primarily attributable to stock repurchases of \$24.0 million, partially offset by proceeds from the exercise of common stock options of \$4.4 million and proceeds from our ESPP of \$3.0 million.

Operating Capital and Capital Expenditure Requirements

Our future capital requirements depend on numerous factors. These factors include but are not limited to the following:

Revenue generated by sales of the CyberKnife system, our shared ownership program and service plans;

Costs associated with our sales and marketing initiatives and manufacturing activities;

Facilities, equipment and IT systems required to support current and future operations;

Table of Contents

Rate of progress and cost of our research and development activities;

Costs of obtaining and maintaining FDA and other regulatory clearances of the CyberKnife system;

Effects of competing technological and market developments; and

Number and timing of acquisitions and other strategic transactions.

We believe that our current cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for working capital and capital expenditures for at least 12 months. If these sources of cash and cash equivalents are insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, we may seek to sell additional equity or debt securities or obtain additional credit facilities. The sale of additional equity or convertible debt securities could result in dilution to our stockholders. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of debt securities, these securities could have rights senior to those associated with our common stock and could contain covenants that would restrict our operations. Additional financing may not be available at all, or in amounts or on terms acceptable to us. If we are unable to obtain this additional financing, we may be required to reduce the scope of our planned product development and marketing efforts.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following table is a summary of our non-cancelable contractual cash obligations, net of sublease income as of June 30, 2010:

	Total	Payments due by period			
		Less than 1 year	1 - 3 years	3 - 5 years	More than 5 years
		(in thousands)			
Operating leases	\$ 13,052	\$ 3,590	\$ 7,524	\$ 1,939	\$
Sublease income	\$ (57)	\$ (57)	\$	\$	\$
Total	\$ 12,995	\$ 3,533	\$ 7,524	\$ 1,939	\$

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, as well as revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. We evaluate our estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other factors we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could therefore differ materially from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," in Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, describes our significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements. The methods, estimates and judgments that we use in applying our accounting policies

Table of Contents

require us to make difficult and subjective judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates regarding matters that are inherently uncertain. Our most critical accounting estimates include:

The valuation of revenue and allowance for sales returns and doubtful accounts, which impacts revenue;

The valuation of inventory, which impacts gross margins;

The estimation and calculation of certain tax liabilities and in the determination of the recoverability of certain deferred tax assets; and

The valuation and recognition of stock-based compensation, which impacts gross margin and operating expenses.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE & QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not utilize derivative financial instruments, derivative commodity instruments or other market risk sensitive instruments, positions or transactions.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

For the year ended June 30, 2010, a number of our sales contracts were denominated in a foreign currency. Based on our exposure as of June 30, 2010, a 10% movement in currency rates would result in a gain or loss of \$4.3 million. Future fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar may affect the price competitiveness of our products outside the United States. For direct sales outside the United States, it is likely we will sell in the local currency, which could expose us to additional foreign currency risks, including changes in currency exchange rates. Some of our commissions related to sales of the CyberKnife system are payable in Euros. To the extent that management can predict the timing of payments under these or contracts we enter into that are denominated in foreign currencies, we may engage in hedging transactions to mitigate such risks in the future.

Interest Rate Risk

At June 30, 2010, we had \$45.4 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$99.9 million invested in other financial instruments. Our earnings are affected by changes in interest rates due to the impact those changes have on interest income generated from our cash and investment balances. We believe that while the instruments we hold are subject to changes in the financial standing of the issuer of such securities, and except as described below, we are not subject to any material risks arising from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices or other market changes that affect market risk sensitive instruments. However, should interest rates increase, the market value of our investments may decline, which could result in a realized loss if we are forced to sell before their scheduled maturity. If overall interest rates had risen by 100 basis points, the fair value of our net investment position at June 30, 2010 would have decreased by approximately \$0.5 million, assuming consistent levels.

Credit Risk

Our previously held auction rate securities, or ARS, have been sold as of June 30, 2010 as part of our exercise of our put option. Exercise of this put option has also eliminated the secured line of credit with UBS. We received \$9.9 million on July 1, 2010 as a result of the sale of the ARS. This \$9.9 million was in-transit as of June 30, 2010 and therefore was reflected as a short-term receivable (not as cash or short-term available-for-sale securities) within other current assets on our consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

ACCURAY INCORPORATED

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity</u>	<u>73</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>75</u>

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
Accuray Incorporated

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Accuray Incorporated and subsidiaries (collectively, "the Company") as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2010. Our audits of the basic financial statements included the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Accuray Incorporated and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Accuray Incorporated's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated August 31, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP
San Francisco, California
August 31, 2010

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

	June 30,	
	2010	2009
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 45,434	\$ 36,835
Restricted cash	22	527
Short-term available-for-sale securities	99,881	64,634
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$115 and \$484 at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively	37,955	36,427
Inventories	28,186	28,909
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	19,356	6,186
Deferred cost of revenue - current	7,889	18,984
 Total current assets	 238,723	 192,502
Long-term available-for-sale securities		35,245
Long-term trading securities		22,007
Deferred cost of revenue - noncurrent	3,213	2,933
Property and equipment, net	14,684	15,066
Goodwill	4,495	4,495
Intangible assets, net	388	668
Other assets	1,681	1,470
 Total assets	 \$ 263,184	 \$ 274,386
Liabilities and stockholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 10,317	\$ 14,941
Accrued compensation	10,786	10,119
Other accrued liabilities	10,669	6,069
Customer advances - current	12,884	13,185
Deferred revenue - current	42,019	68,105
 Total current liabilities	 86,675	 112,419
Long-term liabilities:		
Long-term other liabilities	1,059	288
Deferred revenue - noncurrent	5,374	7,777
 Total liabilities	 93,108	 120,484
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized: 5,000,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized: 100,000,000 shares; issued: 60,666,974 and 58,783,159 shares at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively; outstanding: 58,526,956 and	59	57

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

56,643,529 shares at June 30, 2010 and 2009,
respectively

Additional paid-in capital	287,764	273,946
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(71)	416
Accumulated deficit	(117,676)	(120,517)
Total stockholders' equity	170,076	153,902
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 263,184	\$ 274,386

Assets and liabilities include related party
transaction amounts as follows:

Accounts receivable	\$	\$	9
Deferred revenue current	\$	\$	209

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Consolidated Statements of Operations****(in thousands, except per share amounts)**

	Years Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Net revenue:			
Products	\$ 141,297	\$ 159,257	\$ 152,374
Shared ownership programs	1,890	3,651	10,262
Services	77,504	66,344	38,808
Other	934	4,346	8,937
 Total net revenue	 221,625	 233,598	 210,381
Cost of revenue:			
Cost of products	65,197	68,904	67,183
Cost of shared ownership programs	1,019	775	2,517
Cost of services	50,732	44,591	26,865
Cost of other	659	4,038	6,864
 Total cost of revenue	 117,607	 118,308	 103,429
 Gross profit	 104,018	 115,290	 106,952
Operating expenses:			
Selling and marketing	34,187	45,493	42,726
Research and development	31,523	35,992	32,880
General and administrative	35,472	36,223	32,280
 Total operating expenses	 101,182	 117,708	 107,886
 Income (Loss) from operations	 2,836	 (2,418)	 (934)
Other income, net	1	3,082	7,184
 Income before provision for income taxes	 2,837	 664	 6,250
Provision (Benefit) for income taxes	(4)	55	867
 Net income	 \$ 2,841	 \$ 609	 \$ 5,383
 Net income per share:			
Basic net income per share	0.05	0.01	0.10
 Diluted net income per share	 0.05	 0.01	 0.09
 Weighted average common shares outstanding used in computing net income per share:			
Basic	57,560	55,413	54,531
Diluted	60,191	58,729	60,434
Cost of revenue, selling and marketing, research and development, and general and administrative expenses include stock-based compensation charges as follows:			
Cost of revenue	\$ 1,721	\$ 2,285	\$ 1,858

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Selling and marketing	\$ 1,433	\$ 3,441	\$ 4,197
Research and development	\$ 2,850	\$ 3,190	\$ 3,059
General and administrative	\$ 4,642	\$ 6,545	\$ 7,785
Revenue and cost of revenue include related party transaction amounts as follows:			
Net revenue:			
Products	\$	\$ 618	\$
Services	\$	\$ 968	\$ 1,182
Other	\$	\$	\$ 787
Cost of revenue:			
Cost of products	\$	\$ 31	\$ 59
Cost of services	\$	\$ 608	\$ 22
Cost of other	\$	\$	\$ 528

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity

(in thousands, except share Amounts)

	Common Stock		Additional	Accumulated	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-In	Other	Deficit	Stockholders'
			Capital	Comprehensive		Equity
				Income		
				(Loss)		
Balances at June 30, 2007	53,798,643	\$ 53	\$ 251,637	\$ 10	\$ (126,257)	\$ 125,443
Exercise of stock options, net	2,564,269	3	4,352			4,355
Issuance of common stock under employee stock purchase plan	265,349	1	2,957			2,958
Issuance of restricted stock	91,603					
Stock-based compensation			17,274			17,274
Stock repurchased for cash	(2,140,018)	(2)	(23,979)			(23,981)
Compensation expense related to options issued to non-employees			114			114
Income tax benefits from employee stock plans			546			546
Adjustments to initially apply FIN 48					(252)	(252)
Net income					5,383	5,383
Cumulative translation adjustment				(49)		(49)
Unrealized loss on investments, net				(1,028)		(1,028)
Total comprehensive income						4,306
Balances at June 30, 2008	54,579,846	55	252,901	(1,067)	(121,126)	130,763
Exercise of stock options, net	1,450,120	2	4,106			4,108
Issuance of common stock under employee stock purchase plan	437,005		1,667			1,667
Issuance of restricted stock	176,558					
Stock-based compensation			15,403			15,403
Income tax charges from employee stock plans			(131)			(131)
Net income					609	609
Cumulative translation adjustment				(14)		(14)
Unrealized gain on investments, net				1,497		1,497
Total comprehensive income						2,092
Balances at June 30, 2009	56,643,529	57	273,946	416	(120,517)	153,902
Exercise of stock options, net	1,313,749	2	2,028			2,030
Issuance of common stock under employee stock	399,283		1,807			1,807

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

purchase plan				
Issuance of restricted stock	170,395			
Stock-based compensation		10,397		10,397
Income tax charges from employee stock plans		(414)		(414)
Net income			2,841	2,841
Cumulative translation adjustment		(57)		(57)
Unrealized loss on investments, net		(430)		(430)
Total comprehensive income				2,354
Balances at June 30, 2010	58,526,956	\$ 59	\$ 287,764	\$ (71) \$ (117,676) \$ 170,076

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(in thousands)****Years Ended June 30,**

	2010	2009	2008
Cash Flows From Operating Activities			
Net income	\$ 2,841	\$ 609	\$ 5,383
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	7,122	6,651	7,688
Stock-based compensation	10,646	15,461	16,899
Tax benefit (charge) from stock based compensation	(414)	(131)	546
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation			(419)
Realized gain on investments	316	(30)	(9)
Unrealized loss on long-term trading securities, net of gain on put option	(251)	393	
Provision for bad debts	(380)	496	30
Loss on write-down of inventories	626	2,730	760
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	195	342	188
Restricted cash	438	4,303	(4,830)
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(2,448)	(2,817)	(23,920)
Inventories	244	(9,679)	(10,427)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(4,230)	26	1,233
Deferred cost of revenue	8,980	22,010	26,208
Other assets	(228)	(113)	45
Accounts payable	(5,364)	1,833	(1,180)
Accrued liabilities	4,382	4,921	(5,309)
Customer advances	20	(12,216)	4,283
Deferred revenue	(27,568)	(38,532)	(39,988)
Net cash used in operating activities	(5,073)	(3,743)	(22,819)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities			
Purchases of property and equipment	(5,130)	(4,232)	(5,030)
Purchase of investments	(111,429)	(155,934)	(177,651)
Sale and maturity of investments	127,086	157,732	54,089
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	10,527	(2,434)	(128,592)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities			
Unrealized gain/loss			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	2,030	4,108	4,355
Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan	1,807	1,667	2,958
Stock repurchases			(23,981)
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation			419
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	3,837	5,775	(16,249)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(692)	301	(234)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	8,599	(101)	(167,894)

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	36,835	36,936	204,830
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 45,434	\$ 36,835	\$ 36,936

Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information

Cash paid for interest	\$	\$	\$ 223
Income taxes paid (refunds received)	\$ (60)	\$ 194	\$ 1,264

Non-cash Operating Activities

Cash flows include related party transaction amounts as follows:

Accounts receivable	\$	\$ (9)	\$
Deferred cost of revenue	\$	\$ 11	\$ 7,082
Customer advances	\$	\$	\$ (5,251)
Deferred revenue	\$	\$ (22)	\$ (14,875)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Description of Business

Organization

Accuray Incorporated (the "Company") was incorporated in California in December 1990 and commenced operations in January 1992. The Company was reincorporated in Delaware in February 2007 prior to the completion of its initial public offering ("IPO"). The Company designs, develops and sells the CyberKnife system, an image-guided robotic radiosurgery system used for the treatment of solid tumors anywhere in the body.

The Company has formed thirteen wholly-owned subsidiaries: Accuray International SARL, located in Geneva, Switzerland, Accuray Europe SAS, located in Paris, France, Accuray UK Ltd, located in London, United Kingdom, Accuray Asia Limited, located in Hong Kong, SAR, Accuray Japan KK, located in Tokyo, Japan, Accuray Spain, S.L.U., located in Madrid, Spain, Accuray Medical Equipment (India) Private Ltd., located in New Delhi, India, Accuray Medical Equipment (SEA) Private Limited, located in Singapore, Accuray Medical Equipment (Rus) LLC, located in Moscow, Russia, Accuray Medical Equipment GmbH, located in Munich, Germany, Accuray Tibbi Cihazlar Ve Malzemeler Ithalat Ihracat Anonim Sirketi, located in Istanbul, Turkey, Accuray Mexico SA de CV located in Mexico City, Mexico and Accuray Medical Equipment Canada Ltd. located in Vancouver, Canada. The purpose of these subsidiaries is to market and/or service the Company's products in the various countries in which they are located.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Fiscal Year

For fiscal years 2009 and 2008, the Company's fiscal year ends on the Saturday closest to June 30th, so that in a 52 week period, each fiscal quarter consists of 13 weeks. The additional week in a 53-week year was added to the fourth quarter, making such quarter consist of 14 weeks. Fiscal years 2009 and 2008 were each comprised of 52 weeks. For ease of presentation purposes, the consolidated financial statements and notes refer to June 30 as the Company's fiscal year end. Beginning with the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 ("fiscal 2010"), the Company changed its fiscal year end from the Saturday closest to June 30, to June 30.

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries and the Company's variable interest entity, Morphormics, Inc. ("Morphormics"). All significant inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts reported in previous periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. The reclassifications did not affect previously reported revenues, total operating expense, operating income, net income, or stockholders' equity.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures at the date of the financial statements. Key estimates and assumptions made by the

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Company relate to stock-based compensation, valuation allowances for deferred tax assets, estimate of allowance for doubtful accounts, valuation of excess and obsolete inventories, impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, deferred revenue and deferred cost of revenue and estimates of the fair value of certain investments. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Foreign Currency

The Company's international subsidiaries use their local currencies as their functional currencies. For those subsidiaries, assets and liabilities are translated at exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date and income and expense accounts at the previous months ending exchange rate. Resulting translation adjustments are excluded from the determination of net income and are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Net foreign currency exchange transaction gains or losses are included as a component of other income, net, in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less on the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents consist of amounts invested in highly liquid investment accounts and money market accounts and amounted to \$1.8 million and \$19.5 million at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Cash and cash equivalent balances denominated in a foreign currency amounted to \$20.7 million and \$3.4 million at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash has historically included amounts deposited as collateral per the terms of contracts with customers requiring that deposited cash amounts be secured via letters of credit until delivery of the CyberKnife unit occurs. The current year restricted cash balance represents funds held to guarantee funding of certain foreign taxes. Restricted cash amounts were \$22,000 and \$527,000 at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Marketable Securities

The Company's available-for-sale securities on the consolidated balance sheets include commercial paper, corporate debt and debt issued by U.S. government sponsored enterprises. All marketable securities designated as available-for-sale are reported at estimated fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recorded in stockholders' equity and included in accumulated other comprehensive income. Realized gains and losses on the sale of available-for-sale marketable securities are recorded in other income, net. The cost of available-for-sale marketable securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Available-for-sale marketable securities with original maturities greater than approximately three months and remaining maturities of one year or less are classified as short-term available-for-sale marketable securities. Available-for-sale marketable securities with remaining maturities of greater than one year are classified as long-term available-for-sale marketable securities. The Company has the ability and the intent to hold these securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

The Company's trading securities on the consolidated balance sheet for fiscal year 2009 consisted of (i) auction-rate securities ("ARS") that are secured by pools of student loans guaranteed by state

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

regulated higher education agencies and reinsured by the U.S. Department of Education and (ii) a put option held in respect to these ARS (see Note 4). Changes in the fair value of the Company's trading securities are reported in other income, net.

Other-than-Temporary Impairment Assessment

The Company regularly reviews all of its investments for other-than-temporary declines in fair value. The review includes but is not limited to (i) the consideration of the cause of the impairment, (ii) the creditworthiness of the security issuers, (iii) the length of time a security is in an unrealized loss position, and (iv) the Company's positive intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of the Company's financial instruments including cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, restricted cash, accounts receivable and accounts payable are approximately equal to their respective fair values due to the relatively short-term nature of these instruments.

Concentration of Credit Risk and Other Risks and Uncertainties

There were no customers that represented more than 10% of revenue for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008. The following summarizes the accounts receivable from customers in excess of 10% of total accounts receivable:

	As of June 30,	
	2010	2009
Customer A		10%
Customer B		11%

Accounts receivable are typically not collateralized. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and maintains reserves for potential credit losses. Accounts receivable are deemed past due in accordance with the contractual terms of the agreement. Accounts are charged against the allowance for doubtful accounts once collection efforts are unsuccessful. Historically, such losses have been within management's expectations.

The Company's cash and cash equivalents are mainly deposited with two major financial institutions. At times, deposits in these institutions exceed the amount of insurance provided on such deposits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts and believes that it is not exposed to any significant risk on these balances.

Single source suppliers presently provide the Company with several components. In most cases, if a supplier were unable to deliver these components, the Company believes that it would be able to find other sources for these components subject to any regulatory qualifications, if required.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (on a first-in, first-out basis) or market value. Excess and obsolete inventories are written down based on historical sales and forecasted demand, as judged

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

by management. The Company determines inventory and product costs, which include allocated production overheads, through use of standard costs.

Revenue Recognition

The Company earns revenue from the sale of products, the operation of its shared ownership program, and the provision of related services, which include installation services, post-contract customer support ("PCS"), and training. The Company records its revenues net of any value added or sales tax. From time to time, the Company introduces customers to third party financing organizations. No amounts received from these third party financing organizations are at risk.

The Company recognizes product revenues for sales of the CyberKnife system, optional upgrades, components and replacement parts and accessories when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the fee is fixed or determinable, collection of the fee is probable and delivery has occurred. Payments received in advance of product shipment are recorded as customer advances and are recognized as revenue or deferred revenue upon product shipment or installation.

For arrangements with multiple elements, the Company allocates arrangement consideration to each element based upon vendor specific objective evidence ("VSOE") of fair value of the respective elements. VSOE of fair value for each element is based upon the Company's standard rates charged for the product or service when such product or service is sold separately or based upon the price established by management having the relevant authority when that product or service is not yet being sold separately. When contracts contain multiple elements, and VSOE of fair value exists for all undelivered elements, the Company accounts for the delivered elements, principally the CyberKnife system and optional product upgrades, based upon the residual method. If VSOE of fair value does not exist for all the undelivered elements, all revenue is deferred until the earlier of: (1) delivery of all elements, or (2) establishment of VSOE of fair value for all remaining undelivered elements.

The Company assesses the probability of collection based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the customer and the credit-worthiness of the customer. The Company generally does not request collateral from its customers. If the Company determines that collection of a fee is not probable, the Company will defer the fee and recognize revenue upon receipt of cash.

The Company's agreements with customers and distributors generally do not contain product return rights.

CyberKnife sales with legacy service plans

For sales of CyberKnife systems with PCS arrangements that include specified or committed upgrades for which the Company has not established VSOE of fair value, all revenue is deferred. Once all such upgrade obligations have been delivered, all accumulated and deferred revenue is recognized ratably over the remaining life of the PCS arrangement.

Sales of additional upgrades as optional extras prior to the delivery of all originally specified upgrade obligations are considered additional elements of the original arrangement and associated revenues are deferred and accounted for as described above. Sales of additional upgrades after delivery of all specified upgrade obligations, as stated in the original contract, are recognized once all revenue recognition criteria applicable to those arrangements are met.

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

CyberKnife sales with non-legacy service plans

The Company sells CyberKnife systems with PCS contracts that only provide for upgrades when and if they become available. The Company has established VSOE of the fair value of PCS in these circumstances. For arrangements with multiple elements that include the CyberKnife system, installation services, training services and a PCS service agreement, the Company recognizes the CyberKnife system and installation services revenue following installation, if the Company is responsible for providing installation, or delivery, and acceptance of the system by application of the residual method when VSOE of fair value exists for all undelivered elements in the arrangement, including PCS.

Other revenue

Other revenue primarily consists of revenue earned on research and development contracts as well the sale of specialized services specifically contracted to provide current technology capabilities for units previously sold through a distributor into the Japan market. Some upgrade sales include elements where VSOE of fair value has not been established for the PCS. As a result, for these sales, associated revenues are deferred and recognized ratably over the term of the PCS arrangement, generally four years.

PCS and maintenance services

Service revenue for providing PCS, which includes warranty services, extended warranty services, unspecified when and if available product upgrades and technical support is deferred and recognized ratably over the service period, generally one year, until no further obligation exists. At the time of sale, the Company provides for the estimated incremental costs of meeting product warranty if the incremental warranty costs are expected to exceed the related service revenues. Training and consulting service revenues that are not deemed essential to the functionality of the CyberKnife system are recognized as such services are performed.

Costs associated with providing PCS and maintenance services are expensed when incurred, except when those costs are related to units where revenue recognition has been deferred. In those cases, the costs are deferred and are recognized over the period of revenue recognition.

Distributor sales

Sales to third party distributors are evidenced by a distribution agreement governing the relationship together with binding purchase orders or signed quotations on a transaction-by-transaction basis. The Company records revenues from sales of CyberKnife systems to distributors based on a sell-through method where revenue is only recognized upon sell-through of the product to the end user customer and once all other revenue recognition criteria are met including completion of all obligations under the terms of the purchase order or signed quotation. For sales of product upgrades and accessories to distributors, revenue is recognized on either a sell-through or sell-in basis, depending upon the terms of the purchase order or signed quotation and once all revenue recognition criteria are met. These criteria require that persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the fees are fixed or determinable, collection of the resulting receivable is probable and there is no right of return.

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)***Shared ownership program*

The Company also enters into arrangements under its shared ownership program with certain customers. Agreements under the shared ownership program typically have a term of five years, during which the customer has the option to purchase the system, either at the end of the contractual period or in advance, at the customer's request, at pre-determined prices. Under the terms of such program, the Company retains title to its CyberKnife system, while the customer has use of the product. The Company generally receives a minimum monthly payment and earns additional revenues from the customer based upon its use of the product. The Company may provide unspecified upgrades to the product during the term of each program when and if available. Upfront payments from the customer are deferred and recognized as revenue over the contractual period. Revenues from the shared ownership program are recorded as they become earned and receivable and are included within shared ownership program revenues in the consolidated statements of operations.

Future minimum revenues under the shared ownership arrangements as of June 30, 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending June 30	
2011	756,000
2012	756,000
2013	696,000
2014 and thereafter	1,032,000
Total	\$ 3,240,000

Total usage-based fee revenues included in shared ownership program revenue amounted to \$1.6 million, \$3.2 million and \$8.1 million for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Under the terms of the shared ownership program, the customer has the option to purchase the CyberKnife system at pre-determined prices based on the period the system has been in use and considering the lease payments already received. Revenue from such sales is recorded in accordance with the Company's revenue recognition policy, taking into account the PCS and any other elements that might be sold as part of the arrangement. At June 30, 2010, the Company had three systems installed under its shared ownership program. During the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, nil, \$3.2 million and \$23.7 million, respectively, of revenue was recognized in the consolidated statements of operations for the sale of nil, two and twelve CyberKnife systems, respectively, that were formerly under the shared ownership program. At June 30, 2010 and 2009, nil and \$747,000, respectively, of amounts for extended warranty and training services related to these sold shared ownership units remained recorded as deferred revenue, and will be recognized over the life of the extended warranty service period and as training service obligations are fulfilled.

The CyberKnife systems associated with the Company's shared ownership program are recorded within property and equipment. Effective April 1, 2009, the estimated useful life of the Company's placement units was reduced from ten to seven years due to a change in estimated useful life. Depreciation and warranty expenses attributable to the CyberKnife shared ownership systems are recorded within cost of shared ownership program.

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Long-term manufacturing contracts

The Company recognizes revenue and cost of revenue related to long-term manufacturing contracts using contract accounting on the percentage-of-completion method. During the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008, contract revenue of \$120,000, \$2.4 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, was recorded with related costs of \$131,000, \$2.4 million and \$943,000, respectively. The Company recognizes any loss provisions from the total contract in the period such loss is identified. During the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, estimated loss provisions of \$11,000 and \$97,000, respectively, were recorded. No loss provision was recognized during the year ended June 30, 2008. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, no costs were recorded in deferred cost of revenue related to long-term manufacturing contracts.

Deferred Revenue and Deferred Cost of Revenue

Deferred revenue consists of deferred product revenue, deferred shared ownership program revenue, deferred service revenue and deferred other revenue. Deferred product revenue arises from timing differences between the shipment of product and satisfaction of all revenue recognition criteria consistent with the Company's revenue recognition policy. Deferred shared ownership program revenue results from the receipt of advance payments that will be recognized ratably over the term of the shared ownership program. Deferred service revenue results from the advance payment for services to be delivered over a period of time, usually one year. Service revenue is recognized ratably over the service period. Deferred other revenue results primarily from the Japan upgrade services programs and is due to timing differences between the receipt of cash payments for those upgrades and final delivery to the end user customer. Deferred cost of revenue consists of the direct costs associated with the manufacturing of units, direct service costs for which the revenue has been deferred in accordance with the Company's revenue recognition policies, and deferred costs associated with the Japan upgrade services. Deferred revenue, and associated deferred cost of revenue, expected to be realized within one year are classified as current liabilities and current assets, respectively.

Customer Advances

Customer advances represent payments made by customers in advance of product shipment.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Leasehold improvements are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the remaining term of the lease or the estimated useful life of the asset, whichever is shorter. Machinery and equipment are depreciated over five years. Furniture and fixtures are depreciated over four years. Computer and office equipment are depreciated over three years. Repairs and maintenance costs, which are not considered improvements and do not extend the useful life of the property and equipment, are expensed as incurred.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews long-lived assets, including property and equipment, for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. Impairment, if any, is measured as the amount by which the carrying

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

value of a long-lived asset exceeds its fair value. Through June 30, 2010, there have been no such impairment losses.

Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of acquisition cost over the fair value of tangible and identified intangible net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but is tested for impairment on an annual basis whenever events and changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, and written down when impaired. In the first step of the analysis, the Company's assets and liabilities, including existing goodwill and other intangible assets, are assigned to the identified reporting units to determine the carrying value of the reporting units. Based on how the business is managed, the Company has only one reporting unit. If the carrying value of the reporting unit is in excess of its fair value, an impairment may exist, and the Company must perform the second step of the analysis, in which the implied fair value of the goodwill is compared to its carrying value to determine the impairment charge, if any.

The fair value of the reporting unit is determined using the market approach. Under the market approach, the Company estimates the fair value of each reporting unit based on the Company's closing stock price on the trading day closest to the annual review date multiplied by the outstanding shares on that date. If the estimated fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value of the net assets assigned to that unit, goodwill is not impaired and no further analysis is required. Through June 30, 2010, there have been no such impairment losses. Purchased intangible assets other than goodwill, including purchased completed technology and customer contracts, are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives unless their lives are determined to be indefinite. Purchased intangible assets are carried at cost, less accumulated amortization. Amortization is computed over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets which is typically seven years. Goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis and whenever events and changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, written down accordingly. To date, no such events have occurred and the Company has not recorded any impairment charges.

Shipping and Handling

The Company's billings for shipping and handling for product shipments to customers are included in cost of products. Shipping and handling costs incurred for inventory purchases are also included in cost of products.

Software Development Costs

Software development costs relating to assets to be sold in the normal course of business are included in research and development and are expensed as incurred. After technological feasibility is established, material software development costs are capitalized. The capitalized cost is then amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated product life, or on the ratio of current revenues to total projected product revenue, whichever is greater. To date, the period between achieving technological feasibility, which the Company has defined as the establishment of a working model which typically occurs when the beta testing commences, and the general availability of such software has been short and software development costs qualifying for capitalization have been insignificant.

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

We have capitalized software development costs relating to internal use software as identified and discussed below at "Note 5. Balance Sheet Components."

Advertising Expenses

The Company expenses the costs of advertising and promoting its products and services as incurred. Advertising expenses were approximately \$0.4 million, \$1.8 million and \$1.0 million for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Research and Development Costs

Costs related to research, design and development of products are charged to research and development expense as incurred. These costs include direct salaries, benefits, and other headcount related costs for research and development personnel; costs for materials used in research and development activities; costs for outside services and allocated portions of facilities and other corporate costs. The Company has entered into research and clinical study arrangements with selected hospitals, cancer treatment centers, academic institutions and research institutions worldwide. These agreements support the Company's internal research and development capabilities. The Company has also entered into an Agreement with Siemens for research and development work. Payments earned and received from Siemens will be recorded as contra research and development costs. Refer also to "Note 3. Collaboration Agreement."

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation by measuring and recognizing the fair value of all stock-based payment awards made to employees based on the estimated grant date fair values, including employee stock options, restricted stock awards and the employee stock based purchase plan. The determination of fair value involves a number of significant estimates. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the value of employee stock options which requires a number of assumptions to determine the model inputs. These include the expected volatility of stock, the expected term of the stock-based payment, the expected risk free rate of interest and dividend yields. As stock-based compensation expense is based on awards ultimately expected to vest it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. As the Company has been operating as a public company for a period of time that is shorter than its estimated expected option life, the Company concluded that its historical price volatility does not provide a reasonable basis for input assumptions within its Black-Scholes valuation model when determining the fair value of its stock options. As a result, the Company continues to use the "simplified" method as described under ASC 718. Expected volatility was based on the historical volatility of a peer group of publicly traded companies. Management's estimate of forfeitures is based on historical experience but actual forfeitures could differ materially as a result of voluntary employee actions which could result in a significant change in future stock-based compensation expense. See "Note 9. Stockholder's Equity" for additional information.

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)****Net Income Per Common Share**

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding and other dilutive common shares outstanding during the period. The potential dilutive shares of the Company's common stock resulting from the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options, vesting of restricted stock awards and ESPP shares to be purchased are determined under the treasury stock method.

The number of anti-dilutive shares excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per share was as follows:

	Years Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Options to purchase common stock	4,056,934	3,504,979	1,993,964
Restricted stock units	259,789	552,120	669,449
	4,316,723	4,057,099	2,663,413

The following table sets forth the basic and diluted per share computations:

	Years Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Numerator:			
Net income (in thousands)	\$ 2,841	\$ 609	\$ 5,383
Denominator:			
Basic weighted-average shares outstanding	57,560,219	55,413,025	54,530,650
Stock options and restricted stock units	2,630,448	3,315,730	5,903,613
Diluted weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding	60,190,667	58,728,755	60,434,263
Basic net income per share:	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.10
Diluted net income per share:	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.09

Income Taxes

The Company is required to estimate its income taxes in each of the tax jurisdictions in which it operates prior to the completion and filing of tax returns for such periods. This process involves estimating actual current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences in the treatment of items for tax purposes versus financial accounting purposes that may create net deferred tax assets and liabilities. The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method, which requires, among other things, that deferred income taxes be provided for temporary differences between the tax bases of the Company's assets and liabilities and their financial statement reported amounts. In addition, deferred tax assets are recorded for the future benefit of utilizing net operating losses, research and development credit carryforwards and temporary differences.

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred tax assets to the amount the Company believes is more likely than not to be realized. Because of the uncertainty of the realization of the deferred tax assets, the Company has recorded a full valuation allowance against its domestic net deferred tax assets.

The calculation of unrecognized tax benefits involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex global tax regulations. Management regularly assesses the Company's tax positions in light of legislative, bilateral tax treaty, regulatory and judicial developments in the countries in which the Company does business. Management does not believe there will be any material changes in the unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is comprised of net income and other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income consists of foreign currency translation adjustments and unrealized gains and losses on investments that have been excluded from the determination of net income. The Company has reported the components of comprehensive income for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 in its consolidated statements of stockholders' equity.

Segment Information

The Company has determined that it operates in only one segment as it only reports profit and loss information on an aggregate basis to its chief operating decision maker. The Company's long-lived assets maintained outside the United States are not material.

Revenue by geographic region is based on the shipping addresses of the Company's customers. The following summarizes revenue by geographic region (in thousands):

	Years Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
United States (including Puerto Rico)	\$ 147,381	\$ 171,563	\$ 142,557
Europe	58,049	30,874	10,138
Asia (excluding Japan)	5,608	19,848	40,770
Japan	10,587	11,313	16,916
Total	\$ 221,625	\$ 233,598	\$ 210,381

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Company's management has reviewed recent accounting pronouncements issued through the date of the issuance of financial statements. In management's opinion, except for those pronouncements detailed below, no other pronouncements apply or will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April, 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-17, *Revenue Recognition (Topic 605) Milestone Method of Revenue Recognition - a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*. ASU No. 2010-17 provides guidance on defining a milestone and determining when it may be appropriate to apply the milestone method of

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

revenue recognition for research or development transactions. This ASU is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2010. The adoption of ASU No. 2010-17 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-09, *Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements*. ASU No. 2010-09 amends FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 855 and removes the requirement to disclose the date through which management evaluated subsequent events in the financial statements. This ASU is effective immediately for all financial statements that have not been issued or have not yet become available to be issued. The adoption of ASU No. 2010-09 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*. ASU No. 2010-06 amends FASB ASC 820 and clarifies and provides additional disclosure requirements related to recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements and employers' disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets. The new disclosures and clarifications under this ASU are effective over a period of two fiscal years, for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009 and after December 15, 2010. The first adoption date updates under ASU No. 2010-06 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The adoption of the second date of updates is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, (amendments to ASC Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*) ("ASU 2009-13") (formerly EITF Issue 08-1) and ASU No. 2009-14, *Certain Arrangements That Include Software Elements*, (amendments to FASB ASC Topic 985, *Software*) ("ASU 2009-14") (formerly Emerging EITF 09-3). ASU 2009-13 requires entities to allocate revenue in an arrangement using estimated selling prices of the delivered goods and services based on a selling price hierarchy. The amendments eliminate the residual method of revenue allocation and require revenue to be allocated using the relative selling price method. ASU 2009-14 removes tangible products from the scope of software revenue guidance and provides guidance on determining whether software deliverables in an arrangement that includes a tangible product are covered by the scope of the software revenue guidance. ASU 2009-13 and ASU 2009-14 should be applied on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. The Company will adopt ASU 2009-13 and ASU 2009-14 in fiscal 2011 and is currently assessing the impact of the adoption of ASU 2009-13 and ASU 2009-14 on the its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-17, *Consolidations Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises with Variable Interest Entities*, (formerly SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*). ASU 2009-17 eliminates the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity and to require ongoing qualitative reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. ASU 2009-17 requires additional disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities. ASU 2009-17 is effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period, and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Earlier application is prohibited. The adoption of ASU 2009-17 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

In June 2009, the FASB issued ASC No. 860-10, *Transfers and Servicing* ("ASC 860-10") (formerly SFAS No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets, an amendment to SFAS No. 140*). The new standard eliminates the concept of a "qualifying special-purpose entity," changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and requires additional disclosures in order to enhance information reported to users of financial statements by providing greater transparency about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and an entity's continuing involvement in and exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. ASC 860-10 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period, and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. The adoption of ASC 860-10 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

3. Collaboration Agreement

In June of 2010, the Company entered into a Strategic Alliance Agreement, or the Alliance Agreement, with Siemens AG, or Siemens, pursuant to which (1) the Company agreed to grant Siemens certain distribution rights to CyberKnife systems, (2) Siemens agreed to incorporate certain technology of the Company into certain of its linear accelerator products, the combined products being known as the Cayman Products, and (3) a research and development relationship was created between the Company and Siemens for the pursuit and implementation of other potential collaboration opportunities in the future.

The Alliance Agreement provides that Accuray will grant Siemens distribution rights to the CyberKnife system, allowing Siemens to include the CyberKnife system in multi-product sales when it also sells its own linear accelerator products or imaging products. The Company and Siemens entered into a Multiple Linac and Multi-Modality Distribution Agreement, or Distribution Agreement, which sets forth the terms of these distribution rights. Each sale under the Distribution Agreement is subject to pre-approval by the Company. The Alliance Agreement also provides that Siemens and the Company will negotiate in good faith separate distribution agreements for the distribution by Siemens of the CyberKnife system in certain countries and regions throughout the world not currently able to be fully served by the Company.

In consideration of the Company's development efforts with respect to the first Cayman Product, Siemens has agreed to pay the Company an arrangement fee, which fee is payable in installments based on the achievement of various milestones. The Company is obligated to incur certain development costs for the first Cayman Product in excess of the arrangement fee it receives from Siemens, provided that Siemens pays the Company the full amount of the arrangement fee. The development of a second Cayman Product is contingent upon the satisfaction of certain conditions and milestones. As of June 30, 2010, no payments had been earned and research and development costs incurred were minimal.

Siemens will have the exclusive right to purchase from the Company certain technology solely for use in Cayman Products, but the Company may terminate Siemens' exclusivity if Siemens fails to meet certain specified sales targets, or if the initial shipment of a Cayman Product does not occur within a specified period of time.

Pursuant to the Alliance Agreement, Siemens and the Company agreed to develop a product concept for future joint technology development within six months following execution of the Alliance

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

3. Collaboration Agreement (Continued)

Agreement. The Company and Siemens further agree to cooperate in good faith to explore additional opportunities for ongoing collaboration on complementary technology developments.

The Alliance Agreement has a five year initial term, which will automatically renew for successive one year terms unless a party gives notice of termination to the other party at least six months before the end of a term.

4. Financial Instruments

The Company is permitted to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings each reporting period. The election, called the fair value option, enables entities to achieve an offset accounting effect for changes in fair value of certain related assets and liabilities without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions.

In November 2008, the Company had entered into an agreement ("Rights Agreement") with UBS, which provides the Company with ARS Rights ("Rights") to sell its ARS at par value to UBS at any time during the period June 30, 2010 through July 2, 2012. On June 30, 2010, the Company exercised its Right to sell its ARS and sold all ARS holdings. As a result of exercising the ARS right, the ARS balance of \$21.5 million was liquidated and the put option value of \$0.4 million was realized, resulting in a net recognized gain of \$65,000. Of the balance of ARS liquidated, \$9.9 million was in-transit as of June 30, 2010 and is therefore reflected as a current receivable within "Prepaid expenses and other current assets" on the Company's consolidated balance sheet. In addition, \$4.0 million of securities were purchased on June 30, 2010, which resulted in an increase in "Short-term available-for-sale securities" plus an offsetting increase in "Other accrued liabilities" as of June 30, 2010. Both the \$9.9 million sale of ARS and \$4.0 million purchase of securities settled for cash in the first week of July 2010 which will increase the combined total of "Cash and cash equivalents" plus "Short-term available-for-sale securities" by \$5.9 million in the first week of July 2010.

The Company elected fair value accounting for the put option recorded in connection with the Rights Agreement. This election was made in order to mitigate volatility in earnings caused by accounting for the purchased put option and underlying ARS under different methods. The initial election of fair value resulted in a gain included in "Other Income, Net" for the put option which was recorded in long-term trading securities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2009.

Due to UBS's ability to sell the ARS at any time under the Rights Agreement, the ARS previously reported as available-for-sale were transferred to trading securities and are classified as long-term trading securities on the consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2009. Due to the change in classification to trading securities, at the time of entering into the Rights Agreement, the Company transferred the previously accumulated unrealized loss of \$3.8 million from "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)" to "Other income, net" and recorded additional unrealized gains of \$2.1 million relating to the change in fair value of the trading securities from November 2008 through June 30, 2009 in "Other income, net". At June 30, 2009, the total fair value of the ARS was \$20.7 million, net of \$1.7 million of unrealized losses.

Additionally, the Company recorded unrealized gains of \$3.3 million related to the fair value of the put option at the time it entered into the Rights Agreement and recorded unrealized losses relating to the change in fair value of the put option from November 2008 through June 30, 2009 of

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****4. Financial Instruments (Continued)**

\$2.0 million, for a total fair value of the put option of \$1.3 million as of June 30, 2009. During the year ended June 30, 2009, the \$1.7 million unrealized loss in fair value of the ARS and the \$2.0 million of unrealized loss on the put option, partially offset by the \$3.3 million gain recognized on the put option, resulted in a net \$319,000 decrease to "Note 11. Other income, net".

The Company defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The fair value hierarchy contains three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value, as follows:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices that are available in active markets for the identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2 Other observable inputs available at the measurement date, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, either directly or indirectly, including:

Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;

Quoted prices for identical or similar assets in non-active markets;

Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and

Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by other observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that cannot be corroborated by observable market data and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimates of market participant assumptions.

The following tables sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy the Company's financial assets that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2010, according to the valuation techniques the Company used to determine their fair values (in thousands):

	Fair Value at June 30, 2010	Fair Value Measurements Using Inputs Considered as		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Money market funds	\$ 1,104	\$ 1,104	\$	\$
Corporate notes	34,992		34,992	
Commercial paper	22,513		22,513	
U.S. government and governmental agency obligations	43,774		43,774	
Total	\$ 102,383	\$ 1,104	\$ 101,279	\$

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

4. Financial Instruments (Continued)

	Fair Value at June 30, 2009	Fair Value Measurements Using Inputs Considered as		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Money market funds	\$ 19,549	\$ 19,549	\$	\$
Corporate notes	27,251		27,251	
Commercial paper	21,865		21,865	
U.S. government and governmental agency obligations	50,763		50,763	
Auction-rate securities	20,669			20,669
Put option	1,338			1,338
Total	\$ 141,435	\$ 19,549	\$ 99,879	\$ 22,007

Investments in marketable securities classified as available-for-sale by security type at June 30, 2010 and 2009, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	June 30, 2010		Fair Value
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
Short-term investments:				
Commercial paper	\$ 21,126	\$	(11)	\$ 21,115
US Corporate debt	34,957	64	(29)	34,992
Government-sponsored enterprises	43,761	15	(2)	43,774
Total short-term investments	99,844	79	(42)	99,881
Long-term investments:				
US Corporate debt				
Government-sponsored enterprises				
Total long-term investments	\$	\$	\$	\$
Total short and long-term investments	\$ 99,844	\$ 79	\$ (42)	\$ 99,881

	Amortized Cost	June 30, 2009		Fair Value
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
Short-term investments:				
Commercial paper	\$ 21,869	\$ 14	(18)	\$ 21,865
US Corporate debt	9,993	81		10,074
Government-sponsored enterprises	32,456	239		32,695

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Total short-term investments	64,318	334	(18)	64,634
Long-term investments:				
US Corporate debt	17,094	103	(20)	17,177
Government-sponsored enterprises	18,001	67		18,068
Total long-term investments	35,095	170	(20)	35,245
Total short and long-term investments	\$ 99,413	\$ 504	\$ (38)	\$ 99,879

All of the Company's investments with continuous unrealized losses have been in an unrealized loss position for less than twelve months at June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****4. Financial Instruments (Continued)**

The table below presents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). The Company classifies financial instruments in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy when there is reliance on at least one significant unobservable input to the valuation model. In addition to these unobservable inputs, the valuation models for Level 3 financial instruments typically also rely on a number of inputs that are readily observable either directly or indirectly. Thus, the gains and losses presented below include changes in the fair value related to both observable and unobservable inputs.

	Year Ended June 30, 2010	Year Ended June 30, 2009
	(in thousands)	
Beginning balance	\$ 22,007	\$ 21,509
Change in temporary valuation adjustment previously recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income		891
Acquisition of put option		3,316
Unrealized gain on auction rate securities included in earnings	1,656	(1,731)
Unrealized loss on put option included in earnings	(1,338)	(1,978)
Redemption of auction rate securities	(22,325)	
Ending balance	\$	\$ 22,007

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument:

Money market funds. Money market funds are open-ended mutual funds that typically invest in short-term debt securities. Money market funds are classified as cash and cash equivalents on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The Company classified these funds that are specifically backed by debt securities as Level 1 instruments due to its usage of unadjusted quoted prices that are available in active markets for the identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Corporate notes. Corporate notes are floating-rate obligations that are payable on demand. These are classified as available-for-sale within short-term marketable securities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The market approach was used to value the Company's variable-rate demand notes. The Company classified these securities as Level 2 instruments due to either its usage of observable market prices in less active markets or, when observable market prices were not available, its use of non-binding market prices that are corroborated by observable market data or quoted market prices for similar instruments.

Commercial paper. Commercial paper is an unsecured, short-term debt instrument issued by corporations and financial institutions that generally mature within 270 days. The total fair value of commercial paper held as of June 30, 2010 of \$22.5 million includes \$1.4 million of money market funds invested in commercial paper which is classified as cash equivalents. The portion in cash and cash equivalents represents highly liquid debt instruments with insignificant interest rate risk and maturities of ninety days or less at the time of purchase. The market approach was used to value the Company's commercial paper. The Company classified these securities as Level 2 instruments due to either its

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****4. Financial Instruments (Continued)**

usage of observable market prices in less active markets or, when observable market prices were not available, its use of non-binding market prices that are corroborated by observable market data or quoted market prices for similar instruments.

U.S. government and governmental agency obligations. U.S. government and governmental agency obligations are issued by U.S. Federal, state and local governments, government-sponsored enterprises ("GSE") and other governmental entities such as authorities or special districts that generally mature within 2 years. These are classified as short-term and long-term, when long term, available-for-sale securities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The market approach was used to value the Company's U.S. government and governmental agency obligations. The Company classified these securities as Level 2 instruments due to either its usage of observable market prices in less active markets or, when observable market prices were not available, its use of non-binding market prices that are corroborated by observable market data or quoted market prices for similar instruments.

Auction-rate securities. Through June 30, 2010, there was insufficient observable market information available to determine the fair value of the Company's ARS. Prior to December 31, 2008, the Company estimated Level 3 fair values for these securities based on the financial institutions broker's valuations. The financial institution broker valued student loan ARS as floating rate notes with three pricing inputs: the coupon, the current discount margin or spread, and the maturity. The coupon was generally assumed to equal the maximum rate allowed under the terms of the instrument, the current discount margin was based on an assessment of observable yields on instruments bearing comparable risks, and the maturity was based on an assessment of the terms of the underlying instrument and the potential for restructuring the ARS. The primary unobservable input to the valuation was the maturity assumption which was set at five years for the majority of ARS instruments. Through January 6, 2008, the ARS were valued at par value due to the frequent resets that historically occurred through the auction process.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company determined Level 3 fair value using an income approach. The pricing assumptions for the ARS included the coupon rate, the estimated time to liquidity, current market rates for publicly traded corporate debt of similar credit rating and an adjustment for lack of liquidity. The coupon rate was assumed to equal the stated maximum auction rate being received, which is the lesser of (i) an average trailing twelve month yield for the ARS that is equal to the average trailing twelve month 91-day U.S. Treasury rate plus 1.20% or 1.50% premium according to provisions outlined in each security's agreement, (ii) the one-month LIBOR rate as of the auction date plus 1.5%, or (iii) a maximum interest rate of either 17% or 18% (specific to each ARS). The estimated time to liquidity was 3.25 years based on (i) expectations from industry brokers for liquidity in the market and (ii) the period over which UBS and other broker-dealers that had issued ARS have agreed to redeem certain ARS at par value.

The put option gave the Company the right to sell the ARS to UBS for a price equal to par value during the period June 30, 2010 to July 2, 2012, providing liquidity for the ARS sooner than the estimated five years. Historically, the value of the put option lied in (i) the ability to sell the securities thereby creating liquidity approximately two years before the ARS market is expected to become liquid and (ii) the avoidance of receiving below-market coupon rate while the security is illiquid and auctions are failing. The fair value of the put option represented the difference between the ARS with an estimated time to liquidity in excess of the estimated time to liquidity of the put option, which allowed for the acceleration of liquidity and the avoidance of a below market coupon rate.

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****5. Balance Sheet Components****Accounts Receivable, net**

Accounts receivable, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2010	2009
Accounts receivable	\$ 37,861	\$ 36,539
Unbilled fees and services	209	372
	38,070	36,911
Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts	(115)	(484)
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 37,955	\$ 36,427

Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2010	2009
Raw materials	\$ 13,683	\$ 12,172
Work-in-process	5,987	13,006
Finished goods	8,516	3,731
Total inventories	\$ 28,186	\$ 28,909

Property and Equipment, net

Property and equipment consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2010	2009
Furniture and fixtures	\$ 3,628	\$ 3,404
Computer and office equipment	8,297	7,982
Leasehold improvements	7,771	7,676
Machinery and equipment	15,291	14,097
CyberKnife shared ownership systems	5,216	3,725
Construction in progress	1,927	
	42,130	36,884
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(27,446)	(21,818)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 14,684	\$ 15,066

Depreciation and amortization expense related to property and equipment for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008 was \$7.1 million, \$6.4 million, \$7.4 million, respectively. Accumulated depreciation related to the CyberKnife systems attributable to the shared ownership program at June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****5. Balance Sheet Components (Continued)**

Of the \$1.9 million recorded in construction in process for fiscal year 2010, \$1.5 million relates to the Company's implementation of a new enterprise resource planning information system, which will replace its existing system, and includes capitalized costs relating to license and consulting fees.

6. Investment

On July 29, 2008, the Company and Morphormics entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement pursuant to which the Company agreed to purchase 120,000 shares of Morphormics Series C Preferred Stock at \$12.50 per share, for a total purchase price of \$1.5 million. In exchange, Morphormics granted the Company a non-exclusive worldwide license to integrate several of its software products into the Company's treatment planning software. The equity investment afforded the Company a voting interest of approximately 18% in Morphormics. The Company's equity is considered to be at risk and is deemed not sufficient to finance Morphormics' current product development activities without additional subordinated financial support. In addition, the Company is deemed to be Morphormics' primary beneficiary; therefore, it would absorb a majority of expected losses. The Company consolidates Morphormics in its financial results. The consolidation of Morphormics' assets and liabilities did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2010. The Company recorded losses in fiscal years 2010 and 2009 of \$537,000 and \$934,000, respectively. As of June 30, 2010, the investment amount has been fully utilized by Morphormics.

7. Goodwill and Other Purchased Intangibles

Goodwill and other intangible assets resulted from the Company's January 2005 acquisition of the High Energy Systems Division ("HES") of American Science and Engineering, Inc. ("AS&E"). The Company integrated this operation into its existing manufacturing operation. HES had been the sole source manufacturer of the linear accelerator used in the CyberKnife system. The Company performed the annual test for impairment of goodwill in December 2009 concluding that there was no impairment of goodwill. At June 30, 2010, there had been no indicators to perform an interim test. The amortization expense relating to intangible assets for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$280,000, \$258,000 and \$258,000, respectively. The following represents the gross carrying amounts and accumulated amortization of amortized intangible assets at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2010	2009
Complete technology	\$ 1,740	\$ 1,740
Customer contract / relationship	70	70
	1,810	1,810
Less: Accumulated amortization	(1,422)	(1,142)
Intangible assets, net	\$ 388	\$ 668

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****7. Goodwill and Other Purchased Intangibles (Continued)**

The following table represents the estimated useful life of the intangible assets subject to amortization:

	Years
Amortized Intangible Assets:	
Complete technology	7.0
Customer contract / relationship	7.0

The estimated future amortization expense of purchased intangible assets as of June 30, 2010, is as follows (in thousands):

Year ending June 30,	
2011	259
2012	129
Total	\$ 388

8. Commitments and Contingencies**Operating Lease Agreements**

The Company leases office space under non-cancelable operating leases with various expiration dates through May 2015. Rent expense, including common area maintenance, was \$5.2 million, \$6.0 million and \$4.9 million for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Sublease income relating to a portion of a facility that the Company also uses was \$225,000, \$212,000 and \$161,000 for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The terms of the facility leases provide for rental payments on a graduated scale. The Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis over the lease period, and has accrued for rent expense incurred but not paid.

Future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating lease agreements as of June 30, 2010 were as follows (in thousands):

Year ending June 30,	Operating leases	Sublease income	Total
2011	3,589	(57)	3,532
2012	2,907		2,907
2013	2,299		2,299
2014	2,318		2,318
2015	1,939		1,939
Total	\$ 13,052	\$ (57)	\$ 12,995

The Company enters into standard indemnification agreements with its landlords and all superior mortgagees and their respective directors, officers' agents, and employees in the ordinary course of business. Pursuant to these agreements, the Company will indemnify, hold harmless, and agree to reimburse the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party, generally the landlords, in connection with any loss, accident, injury, or damage by any third party with respect to the leased facilities. The term of these indemnification agreements is from the commencement of the lease

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

8. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

agreements until termination of the lease agreements. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited; however, historically the Company has not incurred claims or costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. The Company has recorded no liability associated with its indemnification as it is not aware of any pending or threatened actions that are probable losses as of June 30, 2010.

Royalty Agreements

In March 2007, the Company entered into a license and royalty agreement with Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum ("DKFZ"), a German cancer research center. Under this agreement, the Company has a non-exclusive license to use certain technology. The Company is obligated to pay DKFZ \$12,500 for each CyberKnife system sold that includes the licensed technology, with the stipulation that the Company must make minimum annual payments of \$50,000. Royalty expense under this agreement recorded in cost of revenue or deferred cost of revenue was \$575,000, \$462,500, \$54,000 for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. At June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had accrued amounts of approximately \$325,000 and \$288,000, respectively, included in other accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets relating to this license and royalty agreement.

Contingencies

From time to time, the Company may become involved in litigation relating to claims arising from the ordinary course of business. Management does not believe the final disposition of these matters will have a material adverse effect on the financial position, results of operations or future cash flows of the Company.

Software License Indemnity

Under the terms of the Company's software license agreements with its customers, the Company agrees that in the event the software sold infringes upon any patent, copyright, trademark, or any other proprietary right of a third party, it will indemnify its customer licensees, against any loss, expense, or liability from any damages that may be awarded against its customer. The Company includes this infringement indemnification in all of its software license agreements and selected managed services arrangements. In the event the customer cannot use the software or service due to infringement and the Company cannot obtain the right to use, replace or modify the license or service in a commercially feasible manner so that it no longer infringes, then the Company may terminate the license and provide the customer a refund of the fees paid by the customer for the infringing license or service. The Company has recorded no liability associated with this indemnification, as it is not aware of any pending or threatened actions that are probable losses as of June 30, 2010.

Litigation

On July 22, 2009, a securities class action lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against the Company and certain of its current and former directors and officers. On August 7, 2009 and August 9, 2009, two securities class action complaints, both similar to the one filed on July 22, 2009, were filed against the same defendants in the same court. These three actions were consolidated. The consolidated complaint generally alleges that the Company and the

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

8. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

individual defendants made false or misleading public statements regarding the Company's operations and seek unspecified monetary damages and other relief.

On August 5, 2009, a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court against certain of the Company's current and former officers and directors. The Company is named as a nominal defendant. The complaint generally alleges that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties by misrepresenting and/or failing to disclose material information regarding the Company's business and financial performance, and seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. On February 25, 2010, the plaintiff dismissed the action without prejudice.

On November 24, 2009, a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against certain of the Company's current and former officers and directors. The Company is named as a nominal defendant. Three other shareholder derivative lawsuits were filed in the same court on November 30, 2009, December 1, 2009 and March 16, 2010. These actions have been consolidated. The amended consolidated complaint generally alleges that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties by misrepresenting and/or failing to disclose material information regarding the Company's business and financial performance, and that certain defendants also violated federal and California securities laws. The amended consolidated complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief.

On September 3, 2009, Best Medical International, Inc. ("Best Medical") filed a lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania claiming the Company induced certain individuals to leave the employment of Best Medical and join the Company in order to gain access to Best Medical's confidential information and trade secrets. They are seeking monetary damages and other relief. At this time the Company does not have enough information to estimate what, if any, financial impact this claim will have.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company has not recorded any liabilities for the above referenced lawsuits as a loss is not considered probable or estimable.

9. Stockholders' Equity

In August 2007 the Company announced that the Board of Directors had approved a stock repurchase plan that authorized the Company to repurchase shares of its common stock. Under the plan, the Company has the ability to acquire up to \$25.0 million of common shares in the open market over a period of one year. No shares were repurchased during the years ended June 30, 2010 or 2009. As of June 30, 2008, the Company had repurchased 2,140,018 shares of its common stock for \$24.0 million. Such shares were not retired nor returned to the status of authorized, unissued shares. Accordingly, such shares remain issued and classified as treasury stock as of June 30, 2010. The Company accounts for its treasury stock under the par value method. At June 30, 2010, the par value of the Company's treasury stock was immaterial. The stock repurchase plan expired in August 2008 and was not renewed by the Board of Directors.

Options and Restricted Stock Units

In 2007, in connection with the Company's IPO, the Board of Directors approved the 2007 Incentive Award Plan (the "2007 Plan"). Under the 2007 Plan, the Board of Directors is authorized to award stock-based grants to employees, directors, and consultants for up to 7,500,000 shares, of

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****9. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)**

which 3,038,641 were available for future issuances as of June 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2010, the 1993 Plan and the 1998 Plan continued to remain in effect along with the 2007 Plan; however, options can no longer be granted from the 1993 and 1998 Plans, and all options which expire or are forfeited will be retired from the pool.

Only employees are eligible to receive incentive stock options. Non-employees may be granted non-qualified options. The Board of Directors has the authority to set the exercise price of all options granted, subject to the exercise price of incentive stock options being no less than 100% of the fair value of a share of common stock on the date of grant; and no less than 85% of the fair value for non-qualified stock options.

Generally, the Company's outstanding options and restricted stock units ("RSUs") vest at a rate of 25% per year, however, certain RSUs granted vest 10% upon the first anniversary year of the grant date, 20% upon the second anniversary year of the grant date, 30% upon the third anniversary year of the grant date and 40% upon the fourth anniversary year of the grant date. Continued vesting typically terminates when the employment or consulting relationship ends. The maximum term of the options granted to persons who own at least 10% of the voting rights of all outstanding stock on the date of grant is 5 years. The maximum term of all other options is 10 years. The Company's current practice with options is to issue new shares to satisfy share option exercises.

As of June 30, 2010, there was approximately \$3.1 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to RSUs, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.1 years. As of June 30, 2010, there was approximately \$7.5 million, net of estimated forfeitures, of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years.

The weighted-average assumptions used to value options granted during the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

	Years Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Risk-free interest rate	2.11% - 3.04%	1.66% - 3.59%	2.71% - 4.88%
Dividend yield			
Expected life	6.25	6.25	6.25
Expected volatility	56.6% - 64.7%	61.2% - 68.5%	59.8% - 61.4%

During the year ended June 30, 2009, the Company recognized \$929,000 of stock-based compensation expense related to accelerated vesting of stock options and RSUs in conjunction with employee separation costs. No such expenses were recognized during the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2008. At June 30, 2010 and 2009, \$207,000 and \$456,000 of capitalized stock-based compensation costs were included as components of inventory and deferred cost of revenue.

[Table of Contents](#)

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

9. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

The options outstanding and exercisable, by exercise price, at June 30, 2010 were as follows:

Exercise Price	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number Of Options	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.75	1,437,190	2.63	0.75	1,437,190	0.75
0.85 - \$2.50	546,100	4.03	2.25	546,100	2.25
\$3.00	23,000	0.37	3.00	23,000	3.00
\$3.50	950,311	4.55	3.50	950,311	3.50
\$3.75 - \$4.67	830,746	6.74	4.50	605,286	4.44
\$5.03 - \$8.25	2,396,789	8.82	6.48	755,469	6.73
\$8.54 - \$10.00	785,190	6.36	9.45	718,937	9.48
\$10.36 - \$23.11	667,331	7.27	14.63	469,354	14.68
\$28.47	171,934	6.74	28.47	161,662	28.47

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table below represents the total pretax intrinsic value (the difference between the fair value of the Company's common stock on June 30, 2010 of \$6.63 and the exercise price of the options) that would have been received by option holders if all options exercisable had been exercised on June 30, 2010. The total intrinsic value of options exercised in the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008 was approximately \$6.6 million, \$4.4 million and \$29.2 million, respectively. The total fair value of shares vested during the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$7.7 million, \$10.8 million and \$14.3 million, respectively.

Option activity during the year ended June 30, 2010 was as follows:

	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value As Of June 30, 2010
Balance at June 30, 2009	8,455,316	\$ 5.70		
Options granted	1,498,740	\$ 6.09		
Options forfeited	(831,716)	\$ 9.86		
Options exercised	(1,313,749)	\$ 1.55		
Balance at June 30, 2010	7,808,591	\$ 6.03	5.94	\$ 16,651,240
Vested or expected to vest at June 30, 2010	7,575,235	\$ 6.00	5.85	\$ 16,518,911
Exercisable at June 30, 2010	5,667,309	\$ 5.61	4.88	\$ 15,473,651

During the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company recognized \$6.6 million, \$10.3 million, and \$12.2 million, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense for stock options granted to employees. The weighted average fair value of options granted was \$3.45, \$4.01 and \$8.14 per share for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Tax benefits from tax deductions for exercised options and disqualifying dispositions in excess of the deferred tax asset attributable to stock compensation costs for such options are credited to

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****9. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)**

additional paid-in capital. Realized excess tax benefits for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008 were \$414,000, \$0 and \$419,000, respectively.

Combined activity under the 1993 Plan, 1998 Plan and 2007 Plan (the "Plans") was as follows:

	Shares Available For Grant	Number of Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of RSUs Outstanding	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Balance at June 30, 2007	3,588,781	10,791,875	\$ 3.79	648,330	\$ 28.16
Plan shares expired	(209,829)		\$ 0.00		\$ 0.00
Grants	(1,481,830)	1,220,930	\$ 14.17	260,900	\$ 14.55
Forfeitures	329,059	(235,466)	\$ 5.71	(93,593)	\$ 27.58
Exercises or releases		(2,564,508)	\$ 1.70	(91,603)	\$ 10.90
Balance at June 30, 2008	2,226,181	9,212,831	\$ 5.70	724,034	\$ 23.43
Additional shares reserved	1,500,000		\$ 0.00		\$ 0.00
Plan shares expired	(415,686)		\$ 0.00		\$ 0.00
Grants	(1,759,969)	1,584,404	\$ 6.55	175,565	\$ 6.49
Forfeitures	1,095,231	(891,799)	\$ 11.94	(203,432)	\$ 22.36
Exercises or releases		(1,450,120)	\$ 2.83	(176,558)	\$ 5.98
Balance at June 30, 2009	2,645,757	8,455,316	\$ 5.70	519,609	\$ 18.15
Additional shares reserved	1,500,000				
Plan shares expired	(325,120)				
Grants	(1,686,498)	1,498,740	\$ 6.09	187,758	\$ 6.12
Forfeitures	904,502	(831,716)	\$ 9.86	(72,786)	\$ 18.92
Exercises or releases		(1,313,749)	\$ 1.55	(170,395)	\$ 6.32
Balance at June 30, 2010	3,038,641	7,808,591	\$ 6.03	464,186	\$ 12.52

In connection with the 2007 Plan, the Company issued RSUs and recognized \$3.0 million, \$4.1 million and \$4.0 million of stock-based compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, for RSUs granted during the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, at a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$6.12, \$6.49 and \$14.55 per share, respectively.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In January 2007, in connection with the Company's IPO, the Board of Directors approved the 2007 Incentive Award Plan ("2007 Plan") and 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") which became effective on the date of the Company's IPO. The ESPP is deemed compensatory and compensation costs are accounted for under ASC 718.

Under the ESPP, the Company is authorized to issue up to 1,000,000 shares of common stock. Qualified employees are entitled to purchase the Company's common stock at 85% of the lower of the fair market value of the common stock on the commencement date of each offering period or the fair market value on the specified purchase date. Employees' payroll deductions may not exceed 10% of their salaries. Employees

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

may purchase up to 2,500 shares per period provided that the value of the shares purchased in any calendar year may not exceed \$25,000, as calculated pursuant to the purchase plan.

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****9. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)**

During the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 the estimated fair value of ESPP shares was calculated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, using fair values of the ESPP shares between \$1.75 per share and \$6.94 per share. Expected volatility was based on the historical volatility of a peer group of publicly traded companies. The expected term of six months was based upon the offering period of the ESPP. The risk-free rate for the expected term of the ESPP option was based on the U.S. Treasury Constant Maturity rate for each offering period. For the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company recognized \$841,000, \$998,000 and \$1.0 million of compensation expense related to its ESPP, respectively. The weighted-average assumptions were as follows:

	Years Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Risk-free interest rate	0.15% - 0.29%	0.29% - 1.99%	1.99% - 5.16%
Dividend yield			
Expected life	0.50	0.50	0.50 - 0.75
Expected volatility	56.7% - 78.3%	66.4% - 85.4%	49.9% - 66.4%

As of June 30, 2010, there was approximately \$424,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to the ESPP, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 0.4 years. The weighted-average fair value of ESPP shares was \$2.21 and \$1.88 per share for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

10. Income Taxes

For financial reporting purposes, "Income before provision for income taxes" included the following components (in thousands):

	June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Domestic	\$ 1,169	\$ 615	\$ 5,910
Foreign	1,667	49	340
Total worldwide	\$ 2,836	\$ 664	\$ 6,250

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****10. Income Taxes (Continued)**

The provision (benefit) for income taxes consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Current:			
Federal	(876)	\$ (164)	\$ 367
State	265	41	180
Foreign	724	345	244
Total current	113	222	791
Deferred:			
Federal			
State			
Foreign	(117)	(167)	76
Total deferred	(117)	(167)	76
Total provision	\$ (4)	\$ 55	\$ 867

A reconciliation of income taxes at the statutory federal income tax rate to net income taxes included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations is as follows (in thousands):

	2010	2009	2008
U.S. federal taxes (benefit):			
At federal statutory rate	\$ 993	\$ 217	\$ 2,168
State tax, net of federal benefit	265	41	180
Stock-based compensation expense	389	682	1,209
Change in valuation allowance	(32)	45	(2,251)
Credits	(877)	(1,207)	(1,592)
Federal alternative minimum tax	(873)	(164)	367
Meals and entertainment	178	224	245
Other	(71)	39	222
Foreign	24	178	319
Total	\$ (4)	\$ 55	\$ 867

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****10. Income Taxes (Continued)**

purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets at June 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2010	2009
Deferred tax assets:		
Federal and state net operating losses	\$ 10,127	\$ 10,336
Accrued vacation	1,019	1,043
Deferred revenue	2,904	5,134
Credits	6,692	6,868
Capitalized research and development	48	82
Stock-based compensation expense	9,008	8,596
Reserves not deductible for tax purposes	6,104	4,337
Fixed assets	389	634
Other	1,648	1,160
Total deferred tax assets	37,939	38,190
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Unrealized gain on investment	(15)	(176)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(15)	(176)
Valuation allowance	(37,734)	(37,941)
Net deferred tax assets:	\$ 190	\$ 73

The Company has not provided for U.S. income taxes on undistributed earnings of its foreign subsidiaries because it intends to permanently re-invest these earnings outside the U.S. The cumulative amount of such undistributed earnings upon which no U.S. income taxes have been provided as of June 30, 2010 was \$0.5 million.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had approximately \$45.2 million and \$35.2 million in federal and state net operating loss carryforwards, respectively, which expire in varying amounts beginning in 2019 for federal and 2012 for state purposes. Such net operating loss carryforwards included excess tax benefits from employee stock option exercises which, in accordance with ASC 718-10, had not been recorded in the Company's deferred tax assets. The Company will record approximately \$7.4 million as a credit to additional paid in capital as and when such excess benefits are ultimately realized.

In addition, as of June 30, 2010, the Company had federal and state research and development tax credits of approximately \$3.6 million and \$4.7 million, respectively. The federal research credits will begin to expire in 2019 and the state research credits have no expiration date.

Utilization of the Company's net operating loss and credit carryforwards is subject to annual limitation due to the ownership change limitations provided by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code and similar state provisions. However, none of the Company's federal and state carryforwards will expire as a result of the ownership change limitation.

Based on the available objective evidence and history of losses, the Company has established a 100% valuation allowance against its domestic and certain foreign net deferred tax assets due to the uncertainty surrounding the realization of such assets.

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****10. Income Taxes (Continued)**

The following is a rollforward of the Company's gross unrecognized tax benefit and liabilities associated with its uncertain tax positions at June 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008 (in thousands):

Rollforward of gross unrecognized tax benefit:

	June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Balance at Beginning of Year	\$ 3,364	\$ 1,380	\$ 4,800
Revisions to opening unrecognized tax benefits			(3,467)
Tax positions related to current year:			
Additions	347	551	291
Reductions			
Tax positions related to prior years:			
Additions	6	1,496	
Reductions	(48)	(63)	(244)
Settlements			
Lapses in statutes of limitations			
Balance at End of Year	\$ 3,669	\$ 3,364	\$ 1,380

The calculation of unrecognized tax benefits involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex global tax regulations. Management regularly assesses the Company's tax positions in light of legislative, bilateral tax treaty, regulatory and judicial developments in the countries in which the Company does business. Management does not believe there will be any material changes in the unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months. The Company has unrecognized tax positions of \$331,000 reserved for foreign tax issues which if recognized would impact the tax provision in future years.

The Company's continuing practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. Such interest and penalties were immaterial as of June 30, 2010.

The Company files income tax returns in the United States, various states and foreign jurisdictions. Due to attributes being carried forward and utilized during open years, the statute of limitations remains open for the US federal jurisdiction and domestic states for tax years from 1999 and forward. The statute of limitations in France remains open from 2008, Hong Kong remains open from 2003 and Japan remains open from 2007.

Currently, the Company is not under audit in any of its tax jurisdictions, both domestic and foreign. Foreign income tax matters for France have been concluded for years through June 30, 2007.

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****11. Other Income, Net**

For the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, other income, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Interest income	\$ 1,813	\$ 3,866	\$ 7,679
Foreign currency transaction gain		169	153
Realized gain on investments	318		9
Other	270	95	
Total interest and other income	\$ 2,401	\$ 4,130	\$ 7,841
Interest expense	\$ (32)	\$ (10)	\$ (173)
Foreign currency transaction loss	(1,920)		
Loss on asset disposition	(195)	(342)	(188)
Realized loss on investments		(288)	
State sales and local taxes	(226)	(231)	(295)
Fines and penalties	(27)	(177)	
Other			(1)
Total interest and other expense	\$ (2,400)	\$ (1,048)	\$ (657)
Total other income, net	\$ 1	\$ 3,082	\$ 7,184

12. Related Party Transactions

The Company's former Chief Executive Officer, Dr. John R. Adler, Jr. was a member of the Company's Board of Directors until his resignation effective July 19, 2009, and is a member of the faculty at Stanford University, or Stanford, where he holds the position of Professor of Neurosurgery and Radiation Oncology. Effective July 20, 2009, Dr. Adler was no longer considered a related party of the Company.

The Company recognized related party revenue of \$1.6 million and \$734,000 during years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, relating to products and services provided to Stanford. The Company recorded \$170,000 and \$55,000 of expense during the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, relating to research grants with Stanford to support customer studies related to the Company's CyberKnife systems. At June 30, 2009, \$209,000 was recorded as deferred revenue and advances relating to related party payments made by Stanford. At June 30, 2009, \$9,000 was due from Stanford.

In April 2008, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Dr. Adler, whereby Dr. Adler was entitled to receive a maximum compensation of \$167,100 per year, payable in quarterly installments at the beginning of each quarter beginning on April 1, 2008.

In April 2009, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Dr. Adler that terminated the prior consulting agreement discussed above. Under the new consulting agreement, Dr. Adler was entitled to receive maximum compensation of \$168,100 per year, payable in quarterly installments at the beginning of each quarter beginning on April 1, 2009. This agreement had a term of one year, however, Dr. Adler terminated this agreement effective March 20, 2010. The Company recognized

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****12. Related Party Transactions (Continued)**

consulting expense for Dr. Adler in the amount of \$167,000 and \$154,000 for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

13. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company's employee savings and retirement plan is qualified under Section 401(k) of the United States Internal Revenue Code. Employees may make voluntary, tax-deferred contributions to the 401(k) Plan up to the statutorily prescribed annual limit. The Company makes discretionary matching contributions to the 401(k) Plan on behalf of employees up to the limit determined by the Board of Directors. The Company contributed \$723,000, \$904,000 and \$845,000 to the 401(k) Plan during the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

14. Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)

	September 30, 2009	Quarters ended		
		December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
	(in thousands, except per share data)			
Net revenue	\$ 50,575	\$ 57,321	\$ 51,940	\$ 61,789
Gross profit	\$ 21,619	\$ 25,964	\$ 25,376	\$ 31,059
Net income (loss)	\$ (3,276)	\$ (1,176)	\$ 2,272	\$ 5,021
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.09
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.08
Shares used in basic per share calculation	56,713	57,405	57,851	58,205
Shares used in diluted per share calculation	56,713	57,405	60,470	60,564

	September 30, 2008	Quarters ended		
		December 31, 2008	March 31, 2009	June 30, 2009
	(in thousands, except per share data)			
Net revenue	\$ 55,857	\$ 57,637	\$ 61,301	\$ 58,803
Gross profit	\$ 28,429	\$ 29,409	\$ 30,362	\$ 27,090
Net income (loss)	\$ (3,179)	\$ 1,350	\$ 1,216	\$ 1,222
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02
Shares used in basic per share calculation	54,625	55,064	55,724	56,238
Shares used in diluted per share calculation	54,625	58,267	58,772	59,324

15. Subsequent Events

On August 6, 2010, Best Medical filed an additional lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, claiming the Company has infringed U.S. Patent No. 5,596,619, a patent that Best Medical alleges protects a method and apparatus for conformal radiation therapy. They are seeking declaratory and injunctive relief as well as unspecified compensatory and treble damages and other relief. At this time the Company does not have enough information to estimate what, if any, financial impact this claim will have.

Table of Contents

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2010. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in providing reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and that such information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

(b) Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management's Annual Report

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based upon the framework in "Internal Control Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of June 30, 2010, based upon the framework in "Internal Control Integrated Framework".

Grant Thornton LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, as part of the audit, has issued a report, included herein, on the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010.

Inherent Limitations of Internal Controls

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
Accuray Incorporated

We have audited Accuray Incorporated and subsidiaries' (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Accuray Incorporated and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Accuray Incorporated and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2010, and our report dated August 31, 2010, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP
San Francisco, California
August 31, 2010

Table of Contents

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information in our 2010 Proxy Statement regarding Directors and Executive officers appearing under the headings "Proposal One Election of Directors," "Executive Officers" and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" is incorporated herein by reference.

In addition, the information in our 2010 Proxy Statement regarding the director nomination process, the Audit Committee financial expert and the identification of the Audit Committee members appearing under the heading "Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters" is incorporated herein by reference.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which stockholders may recommend nominees to our Board of Directors.

Code of Conduct and Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Conduct and Ethics that applies to all employees including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer. The full texts of our codes of business conduct and ethics are posted on our website at www accuray.com under the Investor Relations section. The inclusion of our web site address in this report does not include or incorporate by reference the information on our web site into this report.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information in our 2010 Proxy Statement appearing under the headings "Executive Compensation," "Compensation Committee Report," "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Compensation of Non-Employee Directors" and "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Information" is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information in our 2010 Proxy Statement appearing under the heading "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table sets forth as of June 30, 2010 certain information regarding our equity compensation plans. All of our equity compensation plans have been approved by our security holders.

	A	B	C
Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants, and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in Column A)(1)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	7,808,591	\$ 6.03	3,038,641
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	7,808,591	\$ 6.03	3,038,641

- (1) Includes securities to be issued upon vesting of 464,186 restricted stock units at a grant date fair value of \$12.52.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information in our 2010 Proxy Statement appearing under the headings "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions" and "Corporate Governance Director Independence" is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information in our 2010 Proxy Statement appearing under the headings "Proposal Two Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Audit and Non-Audit Services" and "Proposal Two Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures" is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

(a)

We have filed the following documents as part of this report:

1. **Consolidated Financial Statements** (as set forth in Item 8)

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	<u>73</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>75</u>

2. **Financial Statement Schedule**

SCHEDULE II
Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

	Beginning Balance	Charges (Deductions) to Operations	Write-offs	Ending Balance
Accounts receivable allowances				
Year ended June 30, 2008	\$ 20	30	(23)	\$ 27
Year ended June 30, 2009	\$ 27	496	(39)	\$ 484
Year ended June 30, 2010	\$ 484	(358)	(11)	\$ 115

All other schedules have been omitted because they are not required, not applicable, or the required information is otherwise included.

3. **Exhibits**

The following exhibits are incorporated by reference or filed herewith.

**Exhibit
No.**

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger of Accuray Incorporated, a Delaware Corporation, and Accuray Incorporated, a California Corporation, dated as of February 3, 2007.(1)
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant.(1)
- 3.4 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant.(1)
- 4.2 Investors' Rights Agreement dated October 30, 2006 by and between Registrant and purchasers of Series A Preferred Stock, Series A1 Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock and Series C Preferred Stock and certain holders of common stock.(1)
- 4.3 Form of Common Stock Certificate.(1)
- 10.1 Industrial Complex Lease dated July 14, 2003 by and between Registrant and MP Caribbean, Inc., as amended by the First Amendment to Industrial Complex Lease effective as of December 9, 2004 and the Second Amendment to Industrial Complex

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Lease effective as of September 25, 2006.(1)

10.1(a) Third Amendment to Industrial Complex Lease dated January 16, 2007.(2)

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit

No.

- 10.2 Fourth Amendment to Industrial Complex Lease, dated September 18, 2007, by and between the Registrant and BRCP Caribbean Portfolio, LLC.(3)
- 10.3 Fifth Amendment to Industrial Complex Lease, dated April 1, 2008, by and between the Registrant and BRCP Caribbean Portfolio, LLC.(3)
- 10.4 Sixth Amendment to Industrial Complex Lease, dated December 18, 2009, by and between the Registrant and I & G Caribbean, Inc.(3)
- 10.5 Standard Industrial Lease effective as of June 30, 2005 by and between Registrant and The Realty Associates Fund III, L.P.(1)
- 10.6* Accuray Incorporated 1993 Stock Option Plan and forms of agreements relating thereto.(1)
- 10.7* Accuray Incorporated 1998 Equity Incentive Plan and forms of agreements relating thereto.(1)
- 10.8* Accuray Incorporated 2007 Incentive Award Plan and forms of agreements relating thereto.
- 10.9* Accuray Incorporated 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan and forms of agreements relating thereto.(1)
- 10.10* Form of Indemnification Agreement by and between Registrant and each of its directors and executive officers.(4)
- 10.11* Amended and Restated Employment Terms Letter dated October 22, 2008 by and between Registrant and Euan S. Thomson, Ph.D.(5)
- 10.12* Amended and Restated Employment Terms Letter dated October 22, 2008 by and between Registrant and Chris A. Raanes.(5)
- 10.13* Amended and Restated Employment Terms Letter dated October 22, 2008 by and between Registrant and Eric Lindquist.(5)
- 10.14* Amended and Restated Employment Terms Letter dated October 22, 2008 by and between Registrant and Wade Hampton.(5)
- 10.15 Assignment & Assumption of License and Consent by Supplier effective as of January 10, 2005 by and among Registrant, American Science and Engineering, Inc., Yuri Batygin, and Anatoliy Zapreier.(1)
- 10.16 Nonexclusive End-User Software License Agreement dated September 9, 2005 by and between Registrant and The Regents of the University of California.(1)
- 10.17 License Agreement effective as of July 9, 1997 by and between Registrant and The Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University.(1)
- 10.18 Non-Exclusive System Partner Agreement effective as of September 23, 2005 by and between Registrant and KUKA Robotics Corporation.(1)
- 10.19 Asset Purchase Agreement effective as of December 12, 2004 by and between the Registrant and American Science and Engineering, Inc.(1)
- 10.20 Exclusive Manufacturing Agreement effective as of November 29, 2006 by and between the Registrant and Forte Automation Systems, Inc.(1)
- 10.21 Patent and Trademark License Agreement effective as of November 29, 2006 by and between the Registrant and Forte Automation Systems, Inc.(1)

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit

No.	
10.22**	License and Development Agreement dated April 27, 2007 by and between the Registrant and CyberHeart, Inc.(2)
10.23	Independent Contractor Agreement effective as of April 1, 2009 by and between Registrant and John R. Adler, M.D.(4)
10.24*	Amended and Restated Employment Terms Letter effective as of October 22, 2008 by and between Registrant and Theresa Dadone.(5)
10.25*	Employment Terms Letter dated December 1, 2008 by and between Registrant and Derek Bertocci.(4)
10.26*	Amended and Restated Employment Terms Letter dated October 22, 2008 by and between Registrant and Holly Grey.(5)
10.27	UBS Repurchase Offer by and between the Company and UBS Financial Services Inc., dated November 12, 2008.(5)
10.28*	Employment Letter Agreement dated May 18, 2009 by and between Registrant and Darren J. Milliken.(4)
10.29*	General Release and Separation Agreement dated December 11, 2009, by and between Registrant and Wade Hampton.(3)
10.30**	Strategic Alliance Agreement, dated June 8, 2010, by and between the Registrant and Siemens Aktiengesellschaft.
10.31**	Multiple Linac and Multi-Modality Distributor Agreement dated June 8, 2010, by and between the Registrant and Siemens Aktiengesellschaft.
10.32*	Accuray Incorporated Performance Bonus Plan.
21.1	List of subsidiaries.
23.1	Consent of Grant Thornton LLP, independent registered public accounting firm.
24.1	Power of Attorney (incorporated by reference to the signature page of this annual report on Form 10-K).
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

-
- (1) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 7, 2007 (No. 333-138622).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 4, 2007.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 4, 2010.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 27, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 9, 2009.

Table of Contents

(5) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 27, 2008 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 5, 2009.

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

** Portions of the exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment. The omitted information has been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Portions of the exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment, which has been granted. The omitted information has been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The certifications attached as Exhibit 32.1 and Exhibit 32.2 that accompany this Annual Report on Form 10-K are not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and are not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Accuray Incorporated under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Sunnyvale, State of California, on the 31st day of August 2010.

ACCURAY INCORPORATED

By: /s/ EUAN S. THOMSON, PH.D.

Euan S. Thomson, Ph.D.
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ DEREK BERTOCCI

Derek Bertocci
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each individual whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Euan S. Thomson, Ph.D. and Derek Bertocci, and each of them, as his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto and all other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done therewith, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and any of them or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
<u> </u> /s/ EUAN S. THOMSON, PH.D. Euan S. Thomson, Ph.D	President and Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer)	August 24, 2010
<u> </u> /s/ DEREK BERTOCCI Derek Bertocci	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer)	August 24, 2010
<u> </u> /s/ LOUIS J. LAVIGNE, JR. Louis J. Lavigne, Jr.	Chairperson of the Board and Director	August 27, 2010

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Signature	Title	Date
<p><u>/s/ ELIZABETH DÁVILA</u> Elizabeth Dávila</p>	Vice Chairperson of the Board and Director	August 24, 2010
<p><u>/s/ PETER FINE</u> Peter Fine</p>	Director	August 30, 2010
<p><u>/s/ JACK GOLDSTEIN</u> Jack Goldstein</p>	Director	August 24, 2010
<p><u>/s/ ROBERT S. WEISS</u> Robert S. Weiss</p>	Director	August 24, 2010
<p><u>/s/ DENNIS WINGER</u> Dennis Winger</p>	Director	August 27, 2010
<p><u>/s/ WAYNE WU</u> Wayne Wu</p>	Director	August 24, 2010

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit

- | No. | |
|------------|---|
| 2.1 | Agreement and Plan of Merger of Accuray Incorporated, a Delaware Corporation, and Accuray Incorporated, a California Corporation, dated as of February 3, 2007.(1) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant.(1) |
| 3.4 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant.(1) |
| 4.2 | Investors' Rights Agreement dated October 30, 2006 by and between Registrant and purchasers of Series A Preferred Stock, Series A1 Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock and Series C Preferred Stock and certain holders of common stock.(1) |
| 4.3 | Form of Common Stock Certificate.(1) |
| 10.1 | Industrial Complex Lease dated July 14, 2003 by and between Registrant and MP Caribbean, Inc., as amended by the First Amendment to Industrial Complex Lease effective as of December 9, 2004 and the Second Amendment to Industrial Complex Lease effective as of September 25, 2006.(1) |
| 10.1(a) | Third Amendment to Industrial Complex Lease dated January 16, 2007.(2) |
| 10.2 | Fourth Amendment to Industrial Complex Lease, dated September 18, 2007, by and between the Registrant and BRCP Caribbean Portfolio, LLC.(3) |
| 10.3 | Fifth Amendment to Industrial Complex Lease, dated April 1, 2008, by and between the Registrant and BRCP Caribbean Portfolio, LLC.(3) |
| 10.4 | Sixth Amendment to Industrial Complex Lease, dated December 18, 2009, by and between the Registrant and I & G Caribbean, Inc.(3) |
| 10.5 | Standard Industrial Lease effective as of June 30, 2005 by and between Registrant and The Realty Associates Fund III, L.P.(1) |
| 10.6* | Accuray Incorporated 1993 Stock Option Plan and forms of agreements relating thereto.(1) |
| 10.7* | Accuray Incorporated 1998 Equity Incentive Plan and forms of agreements relating thereto.(1) |
| 10.8* | Accuray Incorporated 2007 Incentive Award Plan and forms of agreements relating thereto. |
| 10.9* | Accuray Incorporated 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan and forms of agreements relating thereto.(1) |
| 10.10* | Form of Indemnification Agreement by and between Registrant and each of its directors and executive officers.(4) |
| 10.11* | Amended and Restated Employment Terms Letter dated October 22, 2008 by and between Registrant and Euan S. Thomson, Ph.D.(5) |
| 10.12* | Amended and Restated Employment Terms Letter dated October 22, 2008 by and between Registrant and Chris A. Raanes.(5) |
| 10.13* | Amended and Restated Employment Terms Letter dated October 22, 2008 by and between Registrant and Eric Lindquist.(5) |
| 10.14* | Amended and Restated Employment Terms Letter dated October 22, 2008 by and between Registrant and Wade Hampton.(5) |

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit

- No.**
- 10.15 Assignment & Assumption of License and Consent by Supplier effective as of January 10, 2005 by and among Registrant, American Science and Engineering, Inc., Yuri Batygin, and Anatoliy Zapreier.(1)
- 10.16 Nonexclusive End-User Software License Agreement dated September 9, 2005 by and between Registrant and The Regents of the University of California.(1)
- 10.17 License Agreement effective as of July 9, 1997 by and between Registrant and The Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University.(1)
- 10.18 Non-Exclusive System Partner Agreement effective as of September 23, 2005 by and between Registrant and KUKA Robotics Corporation.(1)
- 10.19 Asset Purchase Agreement effective as of December 12, 2004 by and between the Registrant and American Science and Engineering, Inc.(1)
- 10.20 Exclusive Manufacturing Agreement effective as of November 29, 2006 by and between the Registrant and Forte Automation Systems, Inc.(1)
- 10.21 Patent and Trademark License Agreement effective as of November 29, 2006 by and between the Registrant and Forte Automation Systems, Inc.(1)
- 10.22** License and Development Agreement dated April 27, 2007 by and between the Registrant and CyberHeart, Inc.(2)
- 10.23 Independent Contractor Agreement effective as of April 1, 2009 by and between Registrant and John R. Adler, M.D.(4)
- 10.24* Amended and Restated Employment Terms Letter effective as of October 22, 2008 by and between Registrant and Theresa Dadone.(5)
- 10.25* Employment Terms Letter dated December 1, 2008 by and between Registrant and Derek Bertocci.(4)
- 10.26* Amended and Restated Employment Terms Letter dated October 22, 2008 by and between Registrant and Holly Grey.(5)
- 10.27 UBS Repurchase Offer by and between the Company and UBS Financial Services Inc., dated November 12, 2008.(5)
- 10.28* Employment Letter Agreement dated May 18, 2009 by and between Registrant and Darren J. Milliken.(4)
- 10.29* General Release and Separation Agreement dated December 11, 2009, by and between Registrant and Wade Hampton.(3)
- 10.30** Strategic Alliance Agreement, dated June 8, 2010, by and between the Registrant and Siemens Aktiengesellschaft.
- 10.31** Multiple Linac and Multi-Modality Distributor Agreement dated June 8, 2010, by and between the Registrant and Siemens Aktiengesellschaft.
- 10.32* Accuray Incorporated Performance Bonus Plan.
- 21.1 List of subsidiaries.
- 23.1 Consent of Grant Thornton LLP, independent registered public accounting firm.

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit

No.

- 24.1 Power of Attorney (incorporated by reference to the signature page of this annual report on Form 10-K).
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
-

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 7, 2007 (No. 333-138622).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 4, 2007.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 4, 2010.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 27, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 9, 2009.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 27, 2008 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 5, 2009.

*

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

**

Portions of the exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment. The omitted information has been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Portions of the exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment, which has been granted. The omitted information has been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The certifications attached as Exhibit 32.1 and Exhibit 32.2 that accompany this Annual Report on Form 10-K are not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and are not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Accuray Incorporated under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing.