

WIRELESS FACILITIES INC
Form SC 13G/A
February 11, 2005

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 13G

**Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No. 5)(1)**

Wireless Facilities, Inc.

(Name of Issuer)

Common Stock Par Value \$0.001

(Title of Class of Securities)

97653A103

(CUSIP Number)

December 31, 2004

(Date of Event Which Requires Filing of this Statement)

Check the appropriate box to designate the rule pursuant to which this Schedule is filed:

- Rule 13d-1(b)
- Rule 13d-1(c)
- Rule 13d-1(d)

(1) The remainder of this cover page shall be filled out for a reporting person's initial filing on this form with respect to the subject class of securities, and for any subsequent amendment containing information which would alter the disclosures provided in a prior cover page.

Edgar Filing: WIRELESS FACILITIES INC - Form SC 13G/A

The information required in the remainder of this cover page shall not be deemed to be filed for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Act) or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act (however, see the Notes).

CUSIP No. 97653A103

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. | Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only)
Oak Investment Partners IX, Limited Partnership
06-1556218 |
| 2. | Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)
(a) <input type="radio"/> o
(b) <input type="radio"/> y |
| 3. | SEC Use Only |
| 4. | Citizenship or Place of Organization
Delaware |
| Number of
Shares
Beneficially
Owned by
Each
Reporting
Person With | 5. Sole Voting Power
1,402,084 Shares of Common Stock |
| | 6. Shared Voting Power
Not applicable |
| | 7. Sole Dispositive Power
1,402,084 Shares of Common Stock |
| | 8. Shared Dispositive Power
Not applicable |
| 9. | Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person
1,402,084 Shares of Common Stock |
| 10. | Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) <input type="radio"/> o |
| 11. | Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)
2.0% |
| 12. | Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)
PN |

Edgar Filing: WIRELESS FACILITIES INC - Form SC 13G/A

1. Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only)
Oak Associates IX, LLC
06-1556230

2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)
(a) o
(b) y

3. SEC Use Only

4. Citizenship or Place of Organization
Delaware

Number of
Shares
Beneficially
Owned by
Each
Reporting
Person With

5.	Sole Voting Power Not applicable
6.	Shared Voting Power 1,402,084 Shares of Common Stock
7.	Sole Dispositive Power Not applicable
8.	Shared Dispositive Power 1,402,084 Shares of Common Stock

9. Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person
1,402,084 Shares of Common Stock

10. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) 0

11. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)
2.0%

12. Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)
OO-LLC

Edgar Filing: WIRELESS FACILITIES INC - Form SC 13G/A

1. Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only)
Oak IX Affiliates Fund - A, Limited Partnership
06-1571899
2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)
(a)
(b)
3. SEC Use Only
4. Citizenship or Place of Organization
Delaware
5. Sole Voting Power
33,655 Shares of Common Stock
6. Shared Voting Power
Not applicable
7. Sole Dispositive Power
33,655 Shares of Common Stock
8. Shared Dispositive Power
Not applicable
9. Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person
33,655 Shares of Common Stock
10. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions)
11. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)
0.0%
12. Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)
PN

Number of
Shares
Beneficially
Owned by
Each
Reporting
Person With

Edgar Filing: WIRELESS FACILITIES INC - Form SC 13G/A

1. Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only)
Oak IX Affiliates Fund, Limited Partnership
06-1556229
2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)
(a)
(b)
3. SEC Use Only
4. Citizenship or Place of Organization
Delaware
5. Sole Voting Power
14,942 Shares of Common Stock
6. Shared Voting Power
Not applicable
7. Sole Dispositive Power
14,942 Shares of Common Stock
8. Shared Dispositive Power
Not applicable
9. Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person
14,942 Shares of Common Stock
10. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions)
11. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)
0.0%
12. Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)
PN

Number of
Shares
Beneficially
Owned by
Each
Reporting
Person With

Edgar Filing: WIRELESS FACILITIES INC - Form SC 13G/A

1. Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only)
Oak IX Affiliates, LLC
06-1556233
2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)
(a)
(b)
3. SEC Use Only
4. Citizenship or Place of Organization
Delaware
5. Sole Voting Power
Not applicable
6. Shared Voting Power
48,597 Shares of Common Stock
7. Sole Dispositive Power
Not applicable
8. Shared Dispositive Power
48,597 Shares of Common Stock
9. Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person
48,597 Shares of Common Stock
10. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions)
11. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)
0.0%
12. Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)
OO-LLC

Edgar Filing: WIRELESS FACILITIES INC - Form SC 13G/A

1. Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only)
Oak Investment Partners X, Limited Partnership
06-1601019
 2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)
(a)
(b)
 3. SEC Use Only
 4. Citizenship or Place of Organization
Delaware
- | | | |
|---|----|--|
| Number of
Shares
Beneficially
Owned by
Each
Reporting
Person With | 5. | Sole Voting Power
3,714,709 Shares of Common Stock |
| | 6. | Shared Voting Power
Not applicable |
| | 7. | Sole Dispositive Power
3,714,709 Shares of Common Stock |
| | 8. | Shared Dispositive Power
Not applicable |
9. Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person
3,714,709 Shares of Common Stock
 10. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions)
 11. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)
5.4%
 12. Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)
PN

Edgar Filing: WIRELESS FACILITIES INC - Form SC 13G/A

1. Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only)
Oak Associates X, LLC
06-1630661
2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)
(a)
(b)
3. SEC Use Only
4. Citizenship or Place of Organization
Delaware
5. Sole Voting Power
Not applicable
6. Shared Voting Power
3,714,709 Shares of Common Stock
7. Sole Dispositive Power
Not applicable
8. Shared Dispositive Power
3,714,709 Shares of Common Stock
9. Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person
3,714,709 Shares of Common Stock
10. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions)
11. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)
5.4%
12. Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)
OO-LLC

Edgar Filing: WIRELESS FACILITIES INC - Form SC 13G/A

1. Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only)
Oak X Affiliates Fund, Limited Partnership
06-1622220

2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)

(a) o
(b) y

3. SEC Use Only

4. Citizenship or Place of Organization
Delaware

Number of Shares Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person With	5.	Sole Voting Power 59,625 Shares of Common Stock
	6.	Shared Voting Power Not applicable
	7.	Sole Dispositive Power 59,625 Shares of Common Stock
	8.	Shared Dispositive Power Not applicable

9. Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person
59,625 Shares of Common Stock

10. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o

11. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)
0.1%

12. **Note 1 Description of the Business**

FreightCar America, Inc. (America), through its direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries (herein collectively referred to as the Company), manufactures, rebuilds, repairs, sells and leases railroad freight cars used for hauling coal, other bulk commodities, steel and other metals, forest products, intermodal containers and automobiles and trucks. The Company has manufacturing facilities in Danville, Illinois and Roanoke, Virginia. The Company's operations comprise one operating segment. The Company and its direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries are all Delaware corporations.

Note 2 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of America, JAC Intermedco, Inc. (Intermedco), JAC Operations, Inc. (Operations), Johnstown America Corporation (JAC), FreightCar Services, Inc. (FCS), JAIX Leasing Company (JAIX), JAC Patent Company (JAC Patent) and FreightCar Roanoke, Inc. (FCR). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The foregoing financial information has been prepared in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) for interim financial reporting. The preparation of the financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from these estimates. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The accompanying interim financial information is unaudited; however, the Company believes the financial information reflects all adjustments (consisting of items of a normal recurring nature) necessary for a fair presentation of financial position,

results of operations and cash flows in conformity with GAAP. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in the Company's annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted. These amended interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements contained in the Company's amended annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Note 3 Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued accounting guidance entitled, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162 (ASC), which identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP. This new standard requires that the FASB's Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) become the sole source of GAAP principles recognized by the FASB for nongovernmental entities and is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The adoption of this standard has changed how we reference various elements of GAAP when preparing our financial statement disclosures, but did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB, issued changes to ASC 820 *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, (formerly, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*). ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC 820 requires companies to disclose the fair value of their financial instruments according to a fair value hierarchy as defined in the standard. Additionally, companies are required to provide enhanced disclosure regarding financial instruments in one of the valuation categories, including a separate reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for each major category of assets and liabilities. ASC 820 was effective for financial assets and financial liabilities for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The FASB deferred the effective date of ASC 820 for all nonfinancial assets and liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on at least an annual basis, until January 1, 2009 for calendar year-end entities. Implementation of the provisions of ASC 820 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued changes to ASC 805, *Business Combinations* (formerly, SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*), which retains the fundamental requirements that the purchase method be used for all business combinations

Table of Contents

and for an acquirer to be identified for each business combination. ASC 805 defines the acquirer as the entity that obtains control of one or more businesses in a business combination and establishes the acquisition date as the date that the acquirer achieves control instead of the date that the consideration is transferred. This standard requires an acquirer in a business combination to recognize the assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, measured at their fair values as of that date. It also requires the recognition of assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from certain contractual contingencies as of the acquisition date, measured at their acquisition-date fair values. ASC 805 is effective for any business combination with an acquisition date on or after January 1, 2009. Implementation of ASC 805 will have only prospective impact on the Company's financial statements.

In December 2008, the FASB issued changes to ASC 715, *Compensation-Retirement Benefits* (formerly, FASB Staff Position No. FAS 132 (R)-1, *Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets*). ASC 715 now requires additional disclosures about plan assets for defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans. The additional disclosures required by ASC 715 are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. Upon initial application, the provisions of this ASC 715 are not required for earlier periods that are presented for comparative purposes. Since ASC 715 requires enhanced disclosures, without a change to existing standards relative to measurement and recognition, the adoption of ASC 715 will not have an impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

As of January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the provisions of the FASB's changes to ASC 810 *Consolidation* (formerly, SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements: An amendment of ARB No. 51*). ASC 810 requires the Company to present its interest in less than 100% owned subsidiaries in which it retains control as a component of shareholders' equity in the balance sheet and recharacterize the component formerly known as minority interest as noncontrolling interest. ASC 810 also requires the Company to show the amount of net income attributable to both the Company and the noncontrolling interest on the face of the statement of operations and in the summary of comprehensive income. The effect of adoption was an increase of \$101 to total stockholders' equity on the Company's December 31, 2008 balance sheet, and a corresponding decrease to minority interests.

As of June 30, 2009, the Company adopted the provisions of the FASB's changes to ASC 855, *Subsequent Events* (SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events*). ASC 855 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or available to be issued. Specifically, ASC 855 sets forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and the disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. The adoption of ASC 855 had no impact on the Company's financial statements since management already followed a similar approach prior to the adoption of this standard. In connection with the preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements and in accordance with ASC 855, management evaluated subsequent events after the balance sheet date of September 30, 2009 through November 9, 2009, the date the financial statements were issued.

Note 4 Plant Closure

In December 2007, the Company announced that it planned to close its manufacturing facility located in Johnstown, Pennsylvania. This action was taken to further the Company's strategy of optimizing production at its low-cost facilities and continuing its focus on cost control.

On May 6, 2008, an arbitrator issued a ruling in a grievance proceeding brought against the Company by the United Steelworkers of America (the USWA). The grievance proceeding, which was first filed by the USWA on April 1, 2007, surrounded the interpretation of provisions in the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) covering employees at the Johnstown facility. The dispute involved the interpretation of language regarding the classification of employees years of service and the Company's obligations to employees based on their years of service. The arbitrator's ruling held the Company responsible for providing back pay and appropriate benefits to affected employees, a group that included over one-half of the workers who were employed at the Johnstown facility at the time the grievance was filed. As a result of the ruling, the Company recorded an additional amount for the Company's estimate of the probable

cost of the back pay and benefits under the ruling during the three months ended March 31, 2008. On June 4, 2008, the Company filed a lawsuit against the USWA asking the court to vacate the arbitrator's ruling. On June 24, 2008, the Company announced a tentative global settlement that would resolve all legal disputes relating to the Johnstown facility and its workforce, including the Sowers/Hayden class action litigation, the above-mentioned contested arbitration ruling and other pending grievance proceedings. The settlement, with the USWA and the plaintiffs in the Sowers/Hayden lawsuit, was ratified by the Johnstown USWA membership on June 26, 2008 and approved by the court on

Table of Contents

November 19, 2008. The time for an appeal of the court's order has now expired and the settlement is final. As a consequence, all existing legal disputes relating to the Company's Johnstown, Pennsylvania manufacturing facility and its workforce, including the Sowers/Hayden class action litigation and contested grievance ruling, are now resolved and closed. Under the terms of the settlement, the collective bargaining agreement between the Company and the USWA was terminated effective May 15, 2008 and the Johnstown facility was closed. The settlement provided special pension benefits to certain workers at the Johnstown facility and deferred vested benefits to other workers, as well as health care benefits, severance pay and/or settlement bonus payments to workers depending on their years of service at the facility.

The components of the plant closure charges incurred for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2008
Pension plan curtailment loss and special termination benefit costs	\$	\$ 9,826
Postretirement plan curtailment loss and contractual benefit charges		8,889
Employee termination benefits	(166)	(375)
Insurance recoveries and other related costs	(329)	1,793
Total plant closure (income) charges	\$(495)	\$ 20,133

Note 5 Fair Value Measurements

ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (formerly, SFAS No. 157) defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Under ASC 820, fair value is an exit price and that exit price should reflect all the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect the valuation of assets and liabilities and the placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

The Company classifies the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, and inputs that are derived from observable market data by correlation or other means. The Company has no instruments categorized in Level 2.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, including situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. The Company has no instruments categorized in Level 3.

The following table sets forth by level within the ASC 820 fair value hierarchy the Company's financial assets and liabilities that were recorded at fair value on a recurring basis.

Recurring Fair Value Measurements	As of September 30, 2009			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
ASSETS:				
Securities available for sale – fixed income government obligations	\$19,986	\$	\$	\$19,986

During the third quarter of 2009 the Company purchased a fixed income government obligation with a par value of \$20.0 million and a maturity date of March 15, 2010. The security was classified as available-for-sale at September 30, 2009 and

Table of Contents

recorded at fair value on our consolidated balance sheet. The Company subsequently sold the security during the fourth quarter of 2009.

Note 6 Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of first-in, first-out cost or market and include material, labor and manufacturing overhead. The components of inventories are as follows:

	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Work in progress	\$ 37,369	\$ 23,618
Finished new railcars	7,510	5,513
Used railcars acquired upon trade-in	11,312	1,965
Total inventories	\$ 56,191	\$ 31,096

Note 7 Leased Railcars

In response to competitive market conditions, the Company began offering railcar leasing to its customers on a selective and limited basis during 2008. The Company offers railcar leases to its customers generally at market rates with terms and conditions that have been negotiated with the customers. Railcar leases generally have terms of up to seven years. It is the Company's strategy to generally offer these leased assets for sale to leasing companies and financial institutions as market opportunities arise, rather than holding them to maturity.

Initially as of the date of manufacture and on a quarterly basis thereafter the Company evaluates leased railcars to determine if the leased railcars qualify as assets held for sale. If all of the held for sale criteria are met, including the determination by management that the sale of the railcars is probable, and transfer of the railcars is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale within one year, then the leased railcars are treated as assets held for sale and classified as current assets on the balance sheet (leased assets held for sale). In determining whether it is probable that the leased railcars will be sold within one year, management considers general market conditions for similar railcars and considers whether those market conditions are indicative of a potential sales price that will be acceptable to the Company to sell the cars within one year. Leased railcars held for sale are carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value less cost to sell and are not depreciated.

Leased railcars that do not meet all of the held for sale criteria are included in railcars on operating leases on the balance sheet and are depreciated over 40 years.

The Company recognizes operating lease revenue on leased railcars on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease. The Company recognizes revenue from the sale of railcars under operating leases on a gross basis in manufacturing sales and cost of sales if the railcars are sold within 12 months as the manufacture of the railcars and the sale is within the 12-month period specified by accounting guidance and represents the completion of the sales process. The Company recognizes revenue from the sale of railcars under operating leases on a net basis in leasing revenue as a gain (loss) on sale (i.e. net) of leased railcars if the railcars are held in excess of 12 months as the sale represents the disposal of a long-term asset.

Leased railcars at September 30, 2009 included leased railcars classified as held for sale of \$9,528 and railcars on operating leases classified as long-term assets of \$52,334. Due to a decline in asset values in the current market, an impairment write-down of \$360 related to these railcars on operating leases was recorded during the first nine months of 2009. Leased railcars at December 31, 2008 included leased railcars classified as held for sale of \$11,490 and railcars on operating leases classified as long-term assets of \$34,735.

Leased railcars at September 30, 2009 are subject to lease agreements with external customers with terms of up to seven years.

Future minimum rental revenues on leased railcars at September 30, 2009 are as follows:

Three months ending December 31, 2009	\$ 1,135
---------------------------------------	----------

Year ending December 31, 2010	4,295
Year ending December 31, 2011	3,066
Year ending December 31, 2012	615
Year ending December 31, 2013	104
Thereafter	
	\$ 9,215

Table of Contents**Note 8 Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment consists of the following:

	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Buildings and improvements	\$ 19,056	\$ 20,918
Machinery and equipment	31,188	42,352
Cost of buildings, improvements, machinery and equipment	50,244	63,270
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(21,543)	(38,996)
Buildings, improvements, machinery and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization	28,701	24,274
Land	151	701
Construction in process		5,607
Total property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 28,852	\$ 30,582

During the second quarter of 2009, land, building and equipment at the Company's Johnstown manufacturing facility, which was closed in December 2007, were classified as available for sale. The facility had a net book value of \$2,461 at September 30, 2009, which included land, building and equipment in the amounts of \$550, \$1,468 and \$443, respectively.

Note 9 Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The Company evaluates goodwill for impairment as of January 1 of each year. The valuation uses a combination of methods to determine the fair value of the Company (which consists of one reporting unit) including prices of comparable businesses, a present value technique and recent transactions involving businesses similar to the Company. There was no adjustment required based on the annual impairment tests for 2009 and 2008.

Goodwill and intangible assets consist of the following:

	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Patents	\$ 13,097	\$ 13,097
Accumulated amortization	(9,048)	(8,604)
Patents, net of accumulated amortization	4,049	4,493
Goodwill	21,521	21,521
Total goodwill and intangible assets	\$ 25,570	\$ 26,014

Patents are being amortized on a straight-line method over their remaining legal life. The weighted average remaining life of the Company's patents is 8 years. Amortization expense related to patents, which is included in cost of sales, was \$444 for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008. The Company estimates amortization expense for each of the two years in the period ending December 31, 2010 will be approximately \$590 and for each of the two years in the period ending December 31, 2012 will be approximately \$586 and for the year ending December 31, 2013 will be approximately \$582.

The Company evaluates its patent intangibles for impairment at least annually and has identified no impairment during 2008 or 2009.

Table of Contents**Note 10 Product Warranties**

Warranty terms are based on the negotiated railcar sales contracts and typically are for periods of one to six years. The changes in the warranty reserve for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$ 10,768	\$ 10,916	\$ 11,476	\$ 10,551
Provision for warranties issued during the period	203	925	672	2,641
Reductions for payments, cost of repairs and other	(568)	(538)	(1,745)	(1,889)
Balance at the end of the period	\$ 10,403	\$ 11,303	\$ 10,403	\$ 11,303

Note 11 Revolving Credit Facilities

On August 24, 2007, the Company entered into the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with the lenders party thereto (collectively, the Lenders) and LaSalle Bank National Association (LaSalle) as administrative agent (as amended by the First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of September 30, 2008 and the Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 11, 2009, the Credit Agreement). The proceeds of the revolving credit facility under the Credit Agreement can be used to finance the working capital requirements of the Company through direct borrowings and the issuance of stand-by letters of credit. The Credit Agreement consists of a total facility of \$50,000 senior secured revolving credit facility, including: (i) a sub-facility for letters of credit in an amount not to exceed \$50,000; and (ii) a sub-facility for a swing line loan in an amount not to exceed \$5,000. The amount available under the revolving credit facility is based on the lesser of (i) \$50,000 or (ii) the borrowing base representing a portion of working capital calculated as a percentage of eligible accounts receivable plus percentages of eligible finished and semi-finished inventory, less a \$20,000 borrowing base reserve. Since the Company's accounts receivable and inventory balances fluctuate considerably based on the cyclical nature of the business and the timing of orders, the amount available for borrowing also fluctuates considerably. Under the borrowing base calculation, the amount available for borrowing was \$7,651 and \$38,510 as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

The Credit Agreement has a term ending on May 31, 2012 and bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus an applicable margin of between 1.50% and 2.25% depending on Revolving Loan Availability (as defined in the Credit Agreement). The Company is required to pay a commitment fee of between 0.175% and 0.250% based on Revolving Loan Availability. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are collateralized by substantially all of the assets of the Company and guaranteed by an unsecured guarantee made by JAIX in favor of LaSalle for the benefit of the Lenders. The Credit Agreement has both affirmative and negative covenants, including a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and limitations on debt, liens, dividends, investments, acquisitions and capital expenditures. The Credit Agreement also provides for customary events of default.

As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had no borrowings under the Credit Agreement. The Company had \$2,607 and \$11,490 in outstanding letters of credit under the letter of credit sub-facility as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Under the revolving credit facility, the Company's subsidiaries are permitted to pay dividends and transfer funds to the Company without restriction.

JAIX Revolving Credit Facility

Also on September 30, 2008, JAIX entered into a Credit Agreement (as amended by the First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of March 11, 2009, the JAIX Credit Agreement) with the lenders party thereto (collectively, the JAIX Lenders). The JAIX Credit Agreement consists of a \$60,000 senior secured revolving credit facility. The JAIX Credit Agreement has a term ending on March 31, 2012 and bears interest at the Eurodollar Loan Rate (as defined in the JAIX Credit Agreement) plus 2.00% for the first two years of the JAIX Credit Agreement (the Revolving Period) and plus 2.50% for the remainder of the term until the termination date. JAIX is required to pay an annual

commitment fee of 0.30% during the Revolving Period. Borrowings under the JAIX Credit Agreement are collateralized by substantially all of the assets of JAIX. Additionally, America guaranteed the JAIX Credit Agreement.

Table of Contents

Availability under the JAIX Credit Agreement is based on a percentage of the Eligible Railcar Leases (as defined in the agreement) held under the JAIX Credit Agreement. For the first two years the facility requires interest only payments, thereafter the amount drawn on each group of Eligible Railcars under lease is required to be repaid in equal installments at the 6, 12 and 18 month anniversaries of such leases. The JAIX Credit Agreement has both affirmative and negative covenants, including, without limitation, a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, a minimum tangible net worth, a requirement to deposit restricted cash and limitations on debt, liens, dividends, investments, acquisitions and capital expenditures. The JAIX Credit Agreement also provides for customary events of default. As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had no borrowings under the JAIX Credit Agreement. As of September 30, 2009, the Company was in compliance with the representations and covenants contained in these agreements.

Note 12 Stock-Based Compensation

On January 14, 2009, the Company awarded 10,000 shares of restricted stock to an employee of the Company pursuant to its 2005 Long Term Incentive Plan. The restricted stock will vest in three equal annual installments beginning on January 14, 2010. Vesting of the award is subject to the recipient's continued employment with the Company. Stock compensation expense will be recognized over the vesting period based on the fair market value of the stock on the date of the award based on traded market prices for the Company's stock.

During the second quarter of 2009, the Company awarded 13,665 shares of restricted stock to certain employees of the Company pursuant to its 2005 Long Term Incentive Plan. During the third quarter of 2009, the Company awarded 2,000 shares of restricted stock to certain employees of the Company pursuant to its 2005 Long Term Incentive Plan. Each restricted stock award will vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the award, with the continued vesting of each award subject to the recipient's continued employment with the Company. Stock compensation expense will be recognized over the vesting period based on the fair market value of the stock on the date of the award based on traded market prices for the Company's stock.

On May 12, 2009, the Company awarded 1,000 non-qualified stock options to a certain employee of the Company pursuant to its 2005 Long Term Incentive Plan. The stock options will vest in three equal annual installments beginning on May 12, 2010 and have a contractual term of 10 years. The exercise price of each option is \$17.84, which was the fair market value of the Company's stock on the date of the grant. The Company recognizes stock compensation expense based on the fair value of the award on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The estimated fair value of \$8.13 per option will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award, which is usually the vesting period. The following assumptions were used to value the 2009 stock options: expected lives of the options of 6 years; expected volatility of 53.17%; risk-free interest rate of 2.02%; and expected dividend yield of 1.37%. Expected life in years was determined using the simplified method. Expected volatility was based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The risk-free interest rate was based on the U.S. Treasury bond rate for the expected life of the option. The expected dividend yield was based on the latest annualized dividend rate and the current market price of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant.

On May 13, 2009, the Company awarded 15,924 shares of restricted stock to certain individuals for service on the Company's board of directors pursuant to its 2005 Long Term Incentive Plan. The restricted stock awarded on May 13, 2009 will vest on May 13, 2010. Stock compensation expense will be recognized over the vesting period based on the fair market value of the stock on the date of the award based on traded market prices for the Company's stock. As of September 30, 2009, there was \$565 of unearned compensation expense related to the stock options and restricted stock granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, which will be recognized over the average remaining requisite service period of 22 months.

Note 13 Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income consists of net operating income or loss and the unrecognized pension and postretirement costs, which are shown net of tax.

Net operating income or loss reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations to total comprehensive income is reconciled as follows:

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net operating income (loss)	\$ 1,069	\$ 10,043	\$ 10,488	\$ (579)
Other comprehensive income:				
Amortization of prior service costs and actuarial losses, net of tax	41	64	378	295
Market value adjustment for securities available for sale, net of tax	7		7	
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 1,117	10,107	\$ 10,873	\$ (284)

Note 14 Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has qualified, defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all of the employees of JAC, Operations and JAIX. The Company uses a measurement date of December 31 for all of its employee benefit plans. Generally, contributions to the plans are not less than the minimum amounts required under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act and not more than the maximum amount that can be deducted for federal income tax purposes. The plans' assets are held by independent trustees and consist primarily of equity and fixed income securities. The Company also provides certain postretirement health care benefits for certain of its salaried and hourly retired employees. Generally, employees may become eligible for health care benefits if they retire after attaining specified age and service requirements. These benefits are subject to deductibles, co-payment provisions and other limitations. The components of net periodic benefit cost for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Pension Benefits				
Service cost	\$ 6	\$ 282	\$ 306	\$ 846
Interest cost	931	842	2,883	2,526
Plant closure cost				9,826
Expected return on plan assets	(733)	(940)	(2,207)	(2,819)
Amortization of prior service cost	26		78	
Amortization of unrecognized net (gain) loss	(8)	7	368	21
	\$ 222	\$ 191	\$ 1,428	\$ 10,400

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Postretirement Benefit Plan				
Service cost	\$ 20	\$ 17	\$ 44	\$ 51
Interest cost	976	808	2,962	2,424
Plant closure cost				8,889
Amortization of prior service cost	69	56	181	168
Amortization of unrecognized net (gain) loss	(1)	41	(1)	123

\$ 1,064	\$ 922	\$ 3,186	\$ 11,655
----------	--------	----------	-----------

The Company's decision in December 2007 to close its manufacturing facility in Johnstown, Pennsylvania significantly affected current and future employment levels and resulted in a decrease in the estimated remaining future service years for the employees covered by the plans. In addition, the plant closure decision triggered contractual special pension benefits for the Company's pension plan and contractual termination benefits for the Company's postretirement plan during 2008. These pension and postretirement benefit costs are included in Plant closure charges on the consolidated statements of operations. The Company recorded additional pension and postretirement benefit costs related to this action of \$9,826 and \$8,889, respectively, during the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Table of Contents

The Company made contributions to the Company's defined benefit pension plans of \$12,075 and \$6,750, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, and \$12,075 and \$6,750, respectively for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008. Total contributions to the Company's defined benefit pension plans in 2009 are expected to be approximately \$12,566. The Company made payments to the Company's postretirement benefit plan of approximately \$1,588 and \$928, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, and \$4,493 and \$2,738, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008. Total payments to the Company's postretirement benefit plan in 2009 are expected to be approximately \$5,329. As of December 31, 2008, the Company's benefit obligations under its defined benefit pension plans and its postretirement benefit plan were \$59,688 and \$60,657, respectively, which exceeded the fair value of plan assets by \$26,689 and \$60,657, respectively. The Company also maintains qualified defined contribution plans which provide benefits to employees based on employee contributions, years of service, employee earnings or certain subsidiary earnings, with discretionary contributions allowed. Expenses related to these plans were \$190 and \$339 for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$863 and \$1,166 for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Note 15 Contingencies

The Company is involved in certain threatened and pending legal proceedings, including commercial disputes and workers' compensation and employee matters arising out of the conduct of its business. While the ultimate outcome of these legal proceedings cannot be determined at this time, it is the opinion of management that the resolution of these actions will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is involved in various warranty and repair claims with its customers in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the Company's potential losses in excess of the accrued warranty provisions, if any, are not expected to be material to the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

On a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates the potential outcome of all significant contingencies and estimates the likelihood that a future event or events will confirm the loss of an asset or incurrence of a liability. When information available prior to issuance of the Company's financial statements indicates that in management's judgment, it is probable that an asset had been impaired or a liability had been incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, the contingency is accrued by a charge to income.

Note 16 Earnings Per Share

Shares used in the computation of the Company's basic and diluted earnings per common share are reconciled as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Weighted average common shares outstanding	11,867,314	11,809,024	11,859,361	11,776,503
Dilutive effect of employee stock options and nonvested share awards	8,434	32,212	4,800	
Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding	11,875,748	11,841,236	11,864,161	11,776,503

Table of Contents

Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding include the incremental shares that would be issued upon the assumed exercise of stock options and the assumed vesting of nonvested share awards. For each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, there were 159,240 stock options and 43,682 shares of nonvested share awards which were anti-dilutive and not included in the above calculation. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, there were 10,000 stock options and 36,667 shares of nonvested share awards which were anti-dilutive and not included in the above calculation. Because the Company had a net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, all stock options and shares of nonvested share awards were anti-dilutive and not included in the above calculation for that period.

Note 17 Sales Contract Termination Revenue

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company received a termination fee of \$3,935 from a customer in connection with reducing the number of railcars to be purchased under a previously agreed-to contract. The contract termination fee is included in Revenues on the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Note 18 Restatement of Prior Period Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

On July 28, 2009, the Company announced that it had identified historical accounting errors relating to accounts payable. The Company undertook a review to determine the total amount of the errors and the accounting periods in which the errors occurred. The Company's review determined that the errors were attributable to flaws in the design of internal IT and accounting processes to account for receipt of certain goods that were implemented in the fourth quarter of 2007. These flaws represented material weaknesses in the Company's internal controls relating to changes in information systems, inventory valuation and account reconciliations. Management identified the accounting errors in connection with the implementation of a new enterprise-wide reporting and management software platform system to improve processes and strengthen controls throughout the Company.

The Company's review was overseen by the audit committee of the board of directors of the Company (the Audit Committee) with the assistance of management, and legal counsel, IT consultants and forensic accountants engaged by management. After analyzing the size and timing of the errors, the Company determined that, in the aggregate, the errors were material and would require the Company to restate certain of its previously issued financial statements. As a result the Company has restated the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, statement of stockholders' equity and statement of cash flows for the periods ended September 30, 2008. The following discloses each line item on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements as originally reported in the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 3, 2008, the increase (decrease) in each line item on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements as a result of the restatement and each line item on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements as restated.

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations**
(in thousands, except share and per share data)
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008		
	As		
	Previously	Effect of	Restated
	Reported	Restatement	
Revenues	\$ 474,441	\$	\$ 474,441
Cost of sales	440,112	(4,878)	435,234
Gross profit	34,329	4,878	39,207
Selling, general and administrative expense (including non-cash stock-based compensation expense of \$2,301)	23,076		23,076
Plant closure charges	20,133		20,133
Operating loss	(8,880)	4,878	(4,002)
Interest income, net	2,523		2,523
Operating loss before income taxes	(6,357)	4,878	(1,479)
Income tax benefit	(2,644)	1,744	(900)
Net (loss) income	(3,713)	3,134	(579)
Less: Net (loss) income attributable to noncontrolling interest in India JV			
Net (loss) income attributable to FreightCar America	\$ (3,713)	\$ 3,134	\$ (579)
Net (loss) income per common share attributable to FreightCar America basic	\$ (0.32)	\$ 0.27	\$ (0.05)
Net (loss) income per common share attributable to FreightCar America diluted	\$ (0.32)	\$ 0.27	\$ (0.05)
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	11,776,503		11,776,503
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	11,776,503		11,776,503
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.18		\$ 0.18

For disclosures regarding the increase (decrease) to the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2008, see the Company's Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(in thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008		
	As		
	Previously Reported	Effect of Restatement	Restated
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net (loss) income attributable to FreightCar America	\$ (3,713)	\$ 3,134	\$ (579)
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash flows used in operating activities			
Plant closure charges	20,133		20,133
Depreciation and amortization	3,215		3,215
Other non-cash items	(268)		(268)
Deferred income taxes	(7,811)		(7,811)
Compensation expense under stock option and restricted share award agreements	2,301		2,301
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(55,252)		(55,252)
Inventories	(63,633)	(2,036)	(65,669)
Leased railcars held for sale	(705)		(705)
Other current assets	(6,174)		(6,174)
Accounts payable	78,026	(3,078)	74,948
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	(4,178)		(4,178)
Income taxes receivable/payable	5,654	1,744	7,398
Accrued warranty	752		752
Other current liabilities and customer deposits	10,910		10,910
Accrued pension costs and accrued postretirement benefits	(6,163)		(6,163)
Net cash flows used in operating activities	(26,906)	(236)	(27,142)
Cash flows from investing activities			
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(4,117)		(4,117)
Cost of railcars on operating leases produced or acquired	(35,437)	236	(35,201)
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(39,554)	236	(39,318)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Payments on long-term debt	(48)		(48)
Deferred financing costs paid	(969)		(969)
Issuance of common stock	627		627
Cash dividends paid to stockholders	(2,139)		(2,139)

Net cash flows used in financing activities	(2,529)		(2,529)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(68,989)		(68,989)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	197,042		197,042
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 128,053	\$	\$ 128,053
Supplemental cash flow information			
Income taxes paid	\$ 1,276	\$	\$ 1,276

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

OVERVIEW

All of the financial information presented in this Item 2 has been adjusted to reflect the restatement of our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2008. The restatement is more fully described in Note 18 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. You should read the following discussion in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are based on management's current expectations, estimates and projections about our business and operations. Our actual results may differ materially from those currently anticipated and expressed in such forward-looking statements. See Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

We are the leading manufacturer of aluminum-bodied railcars and coal-carrying railcars in North America, based on the number of railcars delivered over the past decade. We also refurbish and rebuild railcars and sell forged, cast and fabricated parts for the railcars we produce, as well as those manufactured by others. Our primary customers are shippers, railroads and financial institutions.

Our manufacturing facilities are located in Danville, Illinois and Roanoke, Virginia. Each of our manufacturing facilities has the capability to manufacture a variety of types of railcars, including aluminum-bodied and steel-bodied railcars. In response to reduced industry demand for railcars over the short-term, our Roanoke manufacturing facility ceased production of new railcars in July 2009 but remains in operation for related activities with a limited work force. We do not anticipate additional costs related to this reduction in force and expect to resume production of new railcars at our Roanoke facility in the future as industry demand improves.

There were no orders for new railcars in the third quarter of 2009, compared to 694 units ordered in the second quarter of 2009 and 2,329 units ordered in the third quarter of 2008. Railcar deliveries totaled 695 units in the third quarter of 2009, compared to 1,207 units delivered in the second quarter of 2009 and 3,082 units delivered in the third quarter of 2008. Total backlog of unfilled orders was 777 units at September 30, 2009, compared to 1,472 units at June 30, 2009, and 2,620 units at December 31, 2008.

The market for new coal-carrying railcars continues to be very soft. Although coal loadings have modestly improved in recent weeks, commodity loadings for North American rails continue to be significantly reduced compared to 2008 levels. The number of railcars that are currently in storage continues to increase, placing downward pressure on the demand for coal-carrying railcars. Recession-driven reductions in demand for electricity, ample utility stockpiles, natural gas substitution and lower coal production have also contributed to the decline in coal activity. We anticipate that these economic factors will continue to challenge the market for coal-carrying railcars in North America throughout the remainder of 2009 and well into 2010.

The North American railcar market is highly cyclical and the trends in the railcar industry are closely related to the overall level of economic activity. We expect railroads and utilities to continue to upgrade their fleets of aging steel-bodied coal-carrying railcars to lighter and more durable aluminum-bodied coal-carrying railcars. Despite the decline in our backlog, we expect the demand for coal cars to improve once the current recessionary pressures are behind us. Roughly half of our nation's electrical power is generated from coal and there are approximately 23 new power plants, representing around 14,600 megawatts of coal-fired capacity, currently under construction. The U.S. Energy Information Administration has projected continued growth in domestic coal consumption for electric power generation through 2030. Factors such as these suggest that our main products and services should be in demand for the foreseeable future. However, future government policies and the potential of a long-term shift away from coal, the primary fuel source for electric power generation, would mitigate this demand.

During 2008, management, after a thorough evaluation of the Company's current information technology systems and its future needs, determined to upgrade the Company's existing information technology system to a fully integrated ERP system to be provided by Oracle Corporation. The Company's new enterprise-wide financial reporting system went live on August 1, 2009. In addition to the implementation of the ERP system and in connection with the restatement of our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, and our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, there have been changes in our internal control over financial reporting as more fully described in Item 4 of this quarterly report on

Table of Contents**Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements**

On July 28, 2009, we announced that we had identified historical accounting errors relating to accounts payable. The accounting errors resulted in the understatement of cumulative net earnings from the fourth quarter of 2007 through the first quarter of 2009. We undertook a review to determine the total amount of the errors and the accounting periods in which the errors occurred. Our review determined that the errors were attributable to flaws in the design of internal IT and accounting processes to account for receipt of certain goods that were implemented in the fourth quarter of 2007. These flaws represented material weaknesses in the Company's internal controls relating to changes in information systems, inventory valuation and account reconciliations

Our review was overseen by the Audit Committee with the assistance of management, and legal counsel, IT consultants and forensic accountants engaged by management. After analyzing the size and timing of the errors, we determined that, in aggregate, the errors were material and would require us to restate certain of our previously issued financial statements. On September 16, 2009, we filed an amended annual report on Form 10-K/A with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to restate our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, and for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2008, June 30, 2008 and September 30, 2008. On that date, we also filed an amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A with the SEC to restate our quarterly financial statements for the period ended March 31, 2009. In addition, we have restated our interim condensed consolidated statements of operations, statement of stockholders' equity and statement of cash flows for the periods ended September 30, 2008, as reported in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

The effects of the restatement on selected statement of operations line items for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2008, are as follows:

Increase/(Decrease) in statement of	Three Months Ended September 30, 2008	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008
operations line items (in thousands)		
Cost of sales	\$ (4,171)	\$ (4,878)
Gross profit	4,171	4,878
Operating income (loss) before income taxes	4,171	4,878
Income tax provision (benefit)	1,517	1,744
Net income (loss)	2,654	3,134

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2008****Revenues**

Our sales for the three months ended September 30, 2009 were \$55.1 million compared to \$238.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008. Total deliveries in the third quarter of 2009 were 695 units, compared to 3,082 total units delivered in the third quarter of last year. The decrease in sales revenue was due primarily to lower coal car sales driven by reduced industry demand. Coal loadings in the third quarter of 2009 have significantly decreased from 2008 levels, and the number of railcars in storage remains high. Recession-driven reductions in demand for electricity, ample utility stockpiles, lower production and decelerating export activity contributed to the decline in coal activity during 2009. We continue to aggressively pursue market opportunities and believe that we are maintaining our strong market position.

Gross Profit

Our gross profit for the third quarter of 2009 was \$6.9 million, compared to \$22.6 million for the third quarter of 2008, a decrease of \$15.7 million. The corresponding margin rate was 12.5% for the third quarter of 2009, compared with 9.5% generated in the third quarter of 2008. The increase in the margin rate quarter over quarter was due to a variety of factors: (i) a decrease in the number of new cars sold (the gross margin rate on new sales is less than the margin rate on other revenues, resulting in a product mix that is favorable to the margin rate); and (ii) increases in lease and after-market revenues, which generally carry higher margin rates than revenues from the sale of new cars.

Table of Contents**Selling, General and Administrative Expense**

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2009 were \$6.6 million compared to \$7.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, representing a decrease of \$0.6 million. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses for the third quarter of 2009 compared to the 2008 period is primarily attributable to reductions in salaries and benefits as we reduced headcount in line with the size of the business over the past 12 months, partially offset by costs incurred in connection with the restatement of our financial statements.

Plant Closure Charges

Results for the three months ended September 30, 2008 include plant closure charges of \$0.3 million which represent the incremental costs associated with our decision, in December 2007, to close our Johnstown, Pennsylvania manufacturing facility. These costs included actuarial charges related to our pension and postretirement benefit plans and additional legal fees related to the plant closure.

Interest Income

Interest income, net for the three months ended September 30, 2009 decreased \$0.8 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008 as interest rates decreased compared to 2008 levels.

Income Taxes

The income tax benefit was \$1.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The income tax benefit for the three months ended September 30, 2009 included a deferred tax benefit of \$0.6 million related to an increase in the Illinois blended tax rate to account for idling of our Roanoke, Virginia manufacturing facility during the third quarter of 2009. The income tax provision was \$5.7 million, at an effective tax rate of 36.2%, for the three months ended September 30, 2008. The effective tax rate for the third quarter of 2008 was higher than the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due the addition of a 3.8% blended state rate less a 1.9% effect for domestic manufacturing deductions and less a 0.7% effect from other permanent differences.

Net Income Attributable to FreightCar America

As a result of the foregoing, net income attributable to FreightCar America was \$1.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009, compared to net income attributable to FreightCar America of \$10.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008. For the three months ended September 30, 2009, our basic and diluted net income per share was \$0.09, on basic and diluted shares outstanding of 11,867,314 and 11,875,748, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, our basic and diluted net income per share was \$0.85, on basic and diluted shares outstanding of 11,809,024 and 11,841,236, respectively.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008**Revenues**

Our sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 were \$199.0 million compared to \$474.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 include \$3.9 million generated from contract termination fees resulting from a customer's reduction of a sales order. Total deliveries for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 were 2,876 units, compared to 6,695 total units delivered in the corresponding period of 2008. The decrease in sales revenue was due primarily to lower coal car sales driven by reduced industry demand. Coal loadings in 2009 have significantly decreased from 2008 levels, and the number of railcars in storage remains high. Recession-driven reductions in demand for electricity, ample utility stockpiles, lower production and decelerating export activity contributed to the decline in coal activity during 2009. We continue to aggressively pursue market opportunities and believe that we are maintaining our strong market position.

Gross Profit

Our gross profit for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was \$33.2 million, compared to \$39.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, a decrease of \$6.0 million. The corresponding margin rate was 16.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared with 8.3% generated in the corresponding period of 2008. The increase in the margin rate year over year was due to a variety of factors: (i) a decrease in the number of new cars sold (the gross margin rate

Table of Contents

on new sales is less than the margin rate on other revenues, resulting in a product mix that is favorable to the margin rate); (ii) higher margin rates on new car sales; (iii) increases in lease and after-market revenues, which generally carry higher margin rates than revenues from the sale of new cars; and (iv) the contract termination fee recorded in the first quarter of 2009 as previously disclosed.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 were \$20.6 million compared to \$23.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, representing a decrease of \$2.5 million. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the 2008 period is primarily attributable to reductions in salaries and benefits of \$2.0 million (including a reduction in stock-based compensation of \$0.6 million) and outside professional services of \$1.0 million. We continue to reduce costs to align our overhead structure with the size of the business.

Plant Closure Charges

Results for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 include previously disclosed plant closure charges of \$20.1 million. These costs include charges of \$18.7 million arising under our pension and postretirement benefit plans as well as related closure costs.

Interest Income

Interest income, net for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 decreased \$3.0 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as interest rates decreased compared to 2008 levels.

Income Taxes

The income tax provision was \$2.1 million, at an effective tax rate of 16.79%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared to an income tax benefit of \$0.9 million, at an effective tax rate of 60.9%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was lower than the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to a reduction of 15.2% for the positive effect of tax-deductible goodwill, partially offset by an increase due the ASC 740 reserve of 1.6%, an increase due to nondeductible expenses of 0.6% and the impact of provision to return adjustments of 0.6%. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was positively impacted by the benefit of applying an increase in the Illinois tax rate against short-term deferred tax assets. The increase in the Illinois tax rate resulted from an increase in the percentage of sales revenue apportioned to Illinois due to the idling of our Roanoke, Virginia manufacturing facility during the third quarter of 2009. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 included an income tax benefit of \$7.5 million resulting from \$20.1 million of plant closure charges. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 was higher than the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to a change in annualized income that significantly impacted the financial statements.

Net Income Attributable to FreightCar America

As a result of the foregoing, net income attributable to FreightCar America was \$10.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared to a net loss attributable to FreightCar America of \$0.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, our basic and diluted net income per share was \$0.88, on basic and diluted shares outstanding of 11,859,361 and 11,864,161, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, our basic and diluted net loss per share was \$0.05, on basic and diluted shares outstanding of 11,776,503.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our primary sources of liquidity for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, were our cash balances on hand, our leased railcars held for sale and our two revolving credit facilities.

On August 24, 2007, we entered into the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (as amended by the First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of September 30, 2008 and the Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 11, 2009, the Credit Agreement). The proceeds of the revolving credit facility under the Credit Agreement can be used to finance our working capital requirements

Table of Contents

through direct borrowings and the issuance of stand-by letters of credit. The Credit Agreement consists of a total facility of \$50.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility, including: (i) a sub-facility for letters of credit in an amount not to exceed \$50.0 million; and (ii) a sub-facility for a swing line loan in an amount not to exceed \$5.0 million. The amount available under the revolving credit facility is based on the lesser of (i) \$50.0 million or (ii) the borrowing base representing a portion of working capital calculated as a percentage of eligible accounts receivable plus percentages of eligible finished and semi-finished inventory, less a \$20.0 million borrowing base reserve. Since our accounts receivable and inventory balances fluctuate considerably based on the cyclical nature of our business and the timing of orders, the amount available for borrowing also fluctuates considerably. Under the borrowing base calculation, the amount available for borrowing was \$7.7 million and \$38.5 million as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

The Credit Agreement has a term ending on May 31, 2012 and bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus an applicable margin of between 1.50% and 2.25% depending on Revolving Loan Availability (as defined in the Credit Agreement). We are required to pay a commitment fee of between 0.175% and 0.250% based on Revolving Loan Availability. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are collateralized by substantially all of our assets and guaranteed by an unsecured guarantee made by JAIX in favor of LaSalle for the benefit of the Lenders. The Credit Agreement has both affirmative and negative covenants, including a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and limitations on debt, liens, dividends, investments, acquisitions and capital expenditures. The Credit Agreement also provides for customary events of default.

As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had no borrowings under our revolving credit facilities. We had \$2.6 million and \$11.5 million in outstanding letters of credit under the letter of credit sub-facility as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, which reduced the amount available for borrowing under the facility. Under the Credit Agreement, our subsidiaries are permitted to pay dividends and transfer funds to the Company without restriction.

On September 30, 2008, JAIX entered into a Credit Agreement (as amended by the First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of March 11, 2009, the JAIX Credit Agreement) that can be used to fund our leasing operations. The JAIX Credit Agreement consists of a \$60.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility. The JAIX Credit Agreement has a term ending on March 31, 2012 and bears interest at the Eurodollar Loan Rate (as defined in the JAIX Credit Agreement) plus 2.00% for the first two years of the JAIX Credit Agreement (the Revolving Period) and plus 2.50% for the remainder of the term until the termination date. JAIX is required to pay an annual commitment fee of 0.30% during the Revolving Period. Borrowings under the JAIX Credit Agreement are collateralized by substantially all of the assets of JAIX. Additionally, America guaranteed the JAIX Credit Agreement.

Availability under the JAIX Credit Agreement is based on a percentage of the Eligible Railcar Leases (as defined in the agreement) held under the JAIX Credit Agreement. For the first two years the facility requires interest only payments, thereafter the amount drawn on each group of Eligible Railcars under lease is required to be repaid in equal installments at the 6, 12 and 18 month anniversaries of such leases. The JAIX Credit Agreement has both affirmative and negative covenants, including, without limitation, a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, a minimum tangible net worth, a requirement to deposit restricted cash and limitations on debt, liens, dividends, investments, acquisitions and capital expenditures. The JAIX Credit Agreement also provides for customary events of default. As of September 30, 2009, we had no borrowings under the JAIX Credit Agreement.

As of September 30, 2009, we were in compliance with the representations and covenants contained in these agreements.

During 2008, in response to competitive market conditions, we selectively began to produce and offer railcars under operating lease arrangements with certain customers. We also continually evaluate opportunities to package and sell our leases to our leasing company customers. As of September 30, 2009, the total value of railcars under operating leases was \$61.9 million, the investment in which was funded by cash flows from operations rather than the JAIX Credit Agreement. We anticipate that we will continue to offer railcars under operating leases to certain customers and pursue opportunities to sell leases in our portfolio. Additional railcars under lease may be funded by cash flows from operations, borrowings under our credit facilities, or both, as the Company evaluates its liquidity and capital resources. Leased railcars held for sale are current assets and are therefore a source of liquidity.

During the first quarter of 2009 we established a restricted cash balance in lieu of standby letters of credit with respect to a purchase price payment guarantee in the amount of \$3.9 million and a performance guarantee in the amount of \$0.3 million. The restriction expired upon our delivery of railcars to the U.S. port of departure for shipment to Colombia, which occurred during the second quarter of 2009. We expect to establish restricted cash balances in future periods to minimize bank fees related to standby letters of credit while maximizing our ability to borrow under our revolving credit facilities.

Table of Contents

During the third quarter of 2009 we purchased a Federal National Mortgage Association security with a par value of \$20.0 million and a maturity date of March 15, 2010. The security was classified as available for sale at September 30, 2009 and recorded at fair value on our consolidated balance sheet. We subsequently sold the security during the fourth quarter of 2009 for a slight gain

Based on our current level of operations, we believe that our proceeds from operating cash flows and our cash balances, together with the value of leased railcars held for sale and amounts available under our revolving credit facilities, will be sufficient to meet our anticipated liquidity needs for the next twelve months. Our long-term liquidity is contingent upon future operating performance and our ability to continue to meet financial covenants under our revolving credit facilities and any other indebtedness. We may also require additional capital in the future to fund organic growth opportunities and cost reduction programs, including new plant and equipment and the development of railcars and pursuit of strategic opportunities, including joint ventures and acquisitions, and these capital requirements could be substantial. Management continuously evaluates manufacturing facility requirements based upon market demand and may elect to make capital investments at higher levels in the future. We are also exploring product diversification initiatives and international and other market opportunities.

Our long-term liquidity needs also depend to a significant extent on our obligations related to our pension and welfare benefit plans. We provide pension and retiree welfare benefits to certain salaried and hourly employees upon their retirement. The most significant assumptions used in determining our net periodic benefit costs are the discount rate used on our pension and postretirement welfare obligations and expected return on pension plan assets. Our management expects that any future obligations under our pension plans that are not currently funded will be funded out of our future cash flow from operations. As of December 31, 2008, our benefit obligation under our defined benefit pension plans and our postretirement benefit plan was \$59.7 million and \$60.7 million, respectively, which exceeded the fair value of plan assets by \$26.7 million and \$60.7 million, respectively. In July 2009, September 2009 and October 2009, we made contributions relating to our defined benefit pension plans of \$0.5 million, \$11.6 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. We may elect to adjust the level of future contributions to our pension plans based on a number of factors, including performance of pension investments, changes in interest rates and changes in workforce compensation. The Pension Protection Act of 2006 provides for changes to the method of valuing pension plan assets and liabilities for funding purposes as well as minimum funding levels. Our defined benefit pension plans are in compliance with the minimum funding levels established in the Pension Protection Act. Funding levels will be affected by future contributions, investment returns on plan assets, growth in plan liabilities and interest rates. Assuming that the plans are fully funded as that term is defined in the Pension Protection Act, we will be required to fund the ongoing growth in plan liabilities on an annual basis. We anticipate funding pension contributions with cash from operations.

Based upon our operating performance, capital requirements and obligations under our pension and welfare benefit plans, we may, from time to time, be required to raise additional funds through additional offerings of our common stock and through long-term borrowings. There can be no assurance that long-term debt, if needed, will be available on terms attractive to us, or at all. Furthermore, any additional equity financing may be dilutive to stockholders and debt financing, if available, may involve restrictive covenants. Our failure to raise capital if and when needed could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2009, and the effect that these obligations and commitments would be expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future periods:

Contractual Obligations	Total	Payments Due by Period			After 5 Years
		1 Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	
			<i>(In thousands)</i>		
Operating leases	\$ 14,313	\$ 2,507	\$ 5,235	\$ 5,043	\$ 1,528
Material and component purchases	124,476	36,966	55,746	31,764	

Total	\$ 138,789	\$ 39,473	\$ 60,981	\$ 36,807	\$ 1,528
-------	------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	----------

Material and component purchases consist of non-cancelable agreements with suppliers to purchase materials used in the manufacturing process. Purchase commitments for aluminum are made at a fixed price and are typically entered into after a customer places an order for railcars. The estimated amounts above may vary based on the actual quantities and price.

The above table excludes \$5.2 million of long-term liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits and accrued interest and penalties at September 30, 2009 because the timing of the payout of these liabilities cannot be determined.

Table of Contents**Cash Flows**

The following table summarizes our net cash used in operating activities, investing activities and financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008	
	2009	(as restated)
	<i>(In thousands)</i>	
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 19,310	\$ (27,142)
Investing activities	(32,772)	(39,318)
Financing activities	(2,039)	(2,529)
Total	\$ (15,501)	\$ (68,989)

Operating Activities. Our net cash used in operating activities reflects net income adjusted for non-cash charges and changes in net working capital (including non-current assets and liabilities). Cash flows from operating activities are affected by several factors, including fluctuations in business volume, contract terms for billings and collections, the timing of collections on our contract receivables, processing of bi-weekly payroll and associated taxes, and payment to our suppliers. Our working capital accounts also fluctuate from quarter to quarter due to the timing of certain events, such as the payment or non-payment for our railcars. As some of our customers accept delivery of new railcars in train-set quantities, consisting on average of 120 to 135 railcars, variations in our sales lead to significant fluctuations in our operating profits and cash from operating activities. We do not usually experience business credit issues, although a payment may be delayed pending completion of closing documentation, and a typical order of railcars may not yield cash proceeds until after the end of a reporting period.

Our net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was \$19.3 million compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$27.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The increase of \$46.4 million in cash flows from operating activities (year over year) was primarily due to an increase of \$164.7 million generated by working capital accounts such as accounts receivable and inventories, partially offset by decreases of \$94.1 million in accounts payable, \$17.9 million in customer deposits and \$7.2 million in leased assets held for sale.

Investing Activities. Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was \$32.8 million compared to \$39.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 consisted of the cost of securities available for sale of \$20.0 million, railcars under operating leases produced or acquired of \$8.5 million and capital expenditures of \$4.3 million. The securities available for sale were sold for a slight gain during the fourth quarter of 2009. Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 consisted of the cost of railcars under operating leases produced or acquired of \$35.2 million and capital expenditures of \$4.1 million.

Financing Activities. Net cash used in financing activities was \$2.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and consisted primarily of cash dividends to our stockholders. Net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 was \$2.6 million and consisted primarily of cash dividends to our stockholders of \$2.1 million and deferred financing costs paid of \$1.0 million, partially offset by the proceeds from stock options exercised of \$0.6 million.

Capital Expenditures

Our capital expenditures were \$4.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009 compared to \$4.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Capital expenditures for the remainder of 2009 will include IT-related costs, primarily related to our implementation of a new ERP system.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements including, in particular, statements about our plans, strategies and prospects. We have used the words may, will, expect, anticipate, believe, estimate, plan, intend and similar expressions in this report to identify forward-looking statements. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current views with respect to future events and financial performance. Our actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements.

Our forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, including:

- the cyclical nature of our business;
- adverse economic and market conditions;
- fluctuating costs of raw materials, including steel and aluminum, and delays in the delivery of raw materials;
- our ability to maintain relationships with our suppliers of railcar components;
- our reliance upon a small number of customers that represent a large percentage of our sales;
- the variable purchase patterns of our customers and the timing of completion, delivery and acceptance of customer orders;
- the highly competitive nature of our industry;
- risks relating to our relationship with our unionized employees and their unions;
- our ability to manage our health care and pension costs;
- our reliance on the sales of our aluminum-bodied coal-carrying railcars;
- shortages of skilled labor;
- the risk of lack of acceptance of our new railcar offerings by our customers;
- the cost of complying with environmental laws and regulations;
- the costs associated with being a public company;
- potential significant warranty claims; and
- various covenants in the agreement governing our indebtedness that limit our management's discretion in the operation of our businesses.

Our actual results could be different from the results described in or anticipated by our forward-looking statements due to the inherent uncertainty of estimates, forecasts and projections and may be better or worse than anticipated. Given these uncertainties, you should not rely on forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date that they were made. We expressly disclaim any duty to provide updates to forward-looking statements, and the estimates and assumptions associated with them, in order to reflect changes in circumstances or expectations or the occurrence of unanticipated events except to the extent required by applicable securities laws. All of the forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to the factors discussed under Item 1A. Risk Factors in our amended annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We have a \$50.0 million revolving credit facility, which provides for financing of our working capital requirements and contains a sub-facility for letters of credit and a \$5.0 million sub-facility for a swing line loan. As of September 30, 2009, there were no borrowings under the revolving credit facility and we had issued approximately \$2.6 million in letters of credit under the sub-facility for letters of credit.

We also have a \$60.0 million revolving credit facility, which provides for the financing of the production or acquisition of railcars to be leased. As of September 30, 2009, there were no borrowings under this credit facility. On an annual basis, a 1% change in the interest rate in our revolving credit facilities will increase or decrease our interest expense by \$10,000 for every \$1.0 million of outstanding borrowings.

The production of railcars and our operations require substantial amounts of aluminum and steel. The cost of aluminum, steel and all other materials (including scrap metal) used in the production of our railcars represents a significant majority of our direct manufacturing costs. Our business is subject to the risk of price increases and periodic delays in the delivery of aluminum, steel and other materials, all of which are beyond our control. Any fluctuations in the price or availability of aluminum or steel, or any other material used in the production of our railcars, may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. In addition, if any of our suppliers were unable to continue its business or were to seek bankruptcy relief, the availability or price of the materials we use could be adversely affected. We currently do not plan to enter into any hedging arrangements to manage the price risks associated with raw materials, although we may do so in the future. Historically, we have either renegotiated existing contracts or entered into new contracts with our customers that allow for variable pricing to protect us against future changes in the cost of raw materials. When raw material prices increase rapidly or to levels significantly higher than normal, we may not be able to pass price increases through to our customers, which could adversely affect our operating margins and cash flows.

We are not exposed to any significant foreign currency exchange risks as our general policy is to denominate foreign sales and purchases in U.S. dollars.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)), as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q (the Evaluation Date). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

Background of Restatement

On July 28, 2009, the Company announced that it had identified historical accounting errors relating to accounts payable. The accounting errors have resulted in the understatement of cumulative net earnings since the fourth quarter of 2007. The Company undertook a review to determine the total amount of the errors and the accounting periods in which the errors occurred.

The Company purchases certain components for the manufacture of railcars that are assembled by third parties. After assembly, the components are shipped to one of the Company's manufacturing locations. The Company owns the components during the third-party assembly, even though the components are not delivered to the Company until assembly is complete. These components are made from commodity metals (e.g., steel and aluminum). Price revisions of commodity metals are reflected in surcharges by the suppliers.

In October 2007, the Company put in place a new process that was intended to allow it to better track and reconcile inventory held at third-party assemblers. The process included a new program within the Company's information technology operating system (the third-party inventory processing system) that was intended to capture third-party inventory activity, post this activity, and accrue the related payable (the unvouchered payable) in advance of receiving an invoice. In connection with

Table of Contents

the implementation of the Company's new Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system, the Company discovered that the balance in the unvouchered payables account was significantly overstated. Examples of the types of transactions that were improperly accounted for and contributed to this overstatement include:

- (1) **Surcharge Processing** The differences between the estimated surcharge amounts (which were used to determine the accrual amount) and the actual surcharge amount listed on the invoice were not consistently reflected as adjustments to the carrying value of inventory by the third-party inventory processing system and a significant portion of these differences remained in the unvouchered payables account rather than properly being relieved to the cost of the assembled components.
- (2) **Credit Memo Recording** Credit memos were incorrectly recorded by the Company. In certain cases, when a credit memo received from a vendor was processed, the amount of the credit memo was improperly posted to the unvouchered payables account rather than relieved to the cost of the inventory.

The Company has determined that these errors occurred due to flaws in the testing and design of the third-party inventory processing system noted above as well as a failure of the accounting controls designed to detect such errors. Due to the nature and amount of the errors, the Company has concluded that these IT and accounting deficiencies represent material weaknesses as more fully described below.

The Company's review was overseen by the Audit Committee with the assistance of management, and legal counsel, IT consultants and forensic accountants engaged by management. The Audit Committee concluded on July 27, 2009 that the Company's previously issued audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, and unaudited interim consolidated financial statements as of and for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2009, September 30, 2008, June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008 should no longer be relied upon because of these errors in the financial statements. The Company's board of directors agreed with the Audit Committee's conclusions.

Description of Material Weaknesses

A material weakness in internal control over financial reporting is defined as a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

As disclosed in our amended annual report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, management identified the following control deficiencies as of December 31, 2008 that constituted material weaknesses:

System Change Controls

The Company's controls to test changes in its information system did not operate effectively. Upon implementation, the third-party inventory processing system was not appropriately tested prior to migration to the production environment. As a result, inaccurate and incomplete programming logic was utilized in the third-party inventory processing system.

Inventory Valuation Controls

The Company's controls to value assembled components did not operate effectively. The third-party inventory processing system did not consistently or accurately calculate inventory values or appropriately relieve the corresponding unvouchered payables to the cost of the assembled components. As a result, inaccurate amounts were recorded to inventories, cost of sales, leased assets held for sale, railcars on operating leases, and unvouchered payables.

Account Reconciliation Controls

The Company's controls to reconcile unvouchered payables were not designed effectively. The reconciliation did not contain a sufficient level of detail or analysis to detect errors in the account balance. As a result, misstatements in the unvouchered payables account were not detected in a timely manner.

These material weaknesses resulted in material errors recorded within inventories, cost of sales, leased assets held for sale, railcars on operating leases and unvouchered payables.

In connection with the preparation of our amended annual report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, and restatement of the Company's 2008 and 2007 consolidated financial statements, management, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, reassessed its evaluation of

Table of Contents

the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008 based on the framework established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). As a result of that reassessment, management identified control deficiencies as of December 31, 2008 that constituted material weaknesses and, accordingly, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the quarter ended September 30, 2009, the Company implemented an Oracle ERP system. The Company modified certain existing internal controls related to the processes that were affected by the implementation of the ERP system. Apart from this implementation, there have not been any changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that have affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Remediation Steps to Address Material Weaknesses

In response to the material weaknesses identified above, management, under the supervision of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, proposed and has begun to implement the measures described below to address the material weaknesses, in addition to the implementation of the new ERP system that was already in progress. This remediation effort is intended both to address the identified material weaknesses and to enhance the Company's overall financial control environment.

ERP System

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, management, after a thorough evaluation of its current information technology systems and its future needs, determined to upgrade its existing information technology system to a fully integrated ERP system to be provided by Oracle Corporation. Design, implementation and testing of the system has been completed as of August 1, 2009. Management believes that the integrated and standardized features of the new system will eliminate all of the system design and implementation limitations of the old software that contributed to the material weaknesses, including the proper processing of vendor credit memos and differences between estimated and actual surcharges on third-party inventory. Among these improvements is the elimination of non-integrated stand-alone operating and general ledger systems, as well as the creation of a complete and detailed listing, or subsidiary ledger, of balances and amounts in the unvouchered payables account. Management expects the ERP system to significantly improve the Company's internal control framework.

Account Reconciliations

Management is in the process of revising its policies and procedures for the reconciliation of significant balance sheet accounts to provide for a more robust and detailed reconciliation to support month-end and quarterly balances. In connection with the implementation of the new ERP system, management has prepared a procedure governing the reconciliation of the unvouchered payables account and other accounts, which was distributed to the appropriate accounting and supervisory personnel during the third quarter of 2009.

The material weaknesses identified by management are not fully remediated as of the date of the filing of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The Company has performed substantive procedures in an effort to ensure that the financial information reflected in this report is supported and the financial statements are fairly presented as of the date of this report. At the direction of the Audit Committee management has begun to develop a detailed plan and timetable for the implementation of the above-referenced remediation measures to the extent they are not already complete and will monitor their implementation. In addition, under the direction of the Audit Committee, management will continue to review and make necessary changes to the overall design of the system of internal controls and the control environment, as well as policies and procedures to improve the overall effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

We are involved in certain threatened and pending legal proceedings, including commercial disputes and workers compensation and employee matters arising out of the conduct of our business. While the ultimate outcome of these legal proceedings cannot be determined at this time, it is the opinion of management that the resolution of these actions will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A of our 2008 amended annual report on Form 10-K/A.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

None.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits.

(a) Exhibits filed as part of this Form 10-Q:

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FREIGHTCAR AMERICA, INC.

Date: November 9, 2009

By: /s/ Christian B. Ragot
Christian B. Ragot, President and
Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Christopher L. Nagel
Christopher L. Nagel, Vice President,
Finance
and Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

Number Description

- | | |
|-------------|---|
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |