SI INTERNATIONAL INC

Form DEF 14A April 13, 2007 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION **WASHINGTON, DC 20549**

SCHEDULE 14A (Rule 14a-101)

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Amended

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant O

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement 0

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) 0

Definitive Proxy Statement X **Definitive Additional Materials** o

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12 o

SI INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

(4)

No fee required. Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11. o Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: (2)Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: (3)Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): (4)Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: Total fee paid: (5)Fee paid previously with preliminary materials. o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for o which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. Amount Previously Paid: (1) (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: (3)Filing Party:

Date Filed:

SI INTERNATIONAL, INC. 12012 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 800 Reston, Virginia 20190

April 10, 2007

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

You are invited to attend the *SI International, Inc.* Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Friday, June 22, 2007 at 8:00 a.m., local time, in the Skyline Room of the Tower Club located at 8000 Towers Crescent Drive, Suite 1700, Vienna, Virginia 22182.

The matters proposed for consideration at the meeting are:

- The election of Ray J. Oleson, General R. Thomas Marsh, and John P. Stenbit as Class II Directors serving a three (3) year term;
- The ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year;
- The transaction of such other business as may come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

The accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and proxy statement discuss these matters in further detail. We urge you to review this information carefully.

You will have an opportunity to discuss each item of business described in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and proxy statement and to ask questions about our operations and us at the Annual Meeting.

It is important that your shares be represented and voted at the annual meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, please sign and promptly return the enclosed proxy card using the envelope provided. If you do attend the annual meeting, you may withdraw your proxy and vote your shares in person.

Sincerely,

Ray J. Oleson Chairman of the Board of Directors

SI INTERNATIONAL, INC. 12012 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 800 Reston, Virginia 20190

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To be held on June 22, 2007

You are invited to attend the SI International, Inc. Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Friday, June 22, 2007 at 8:00 a.m., local time, at the Skyline Room of the Tower Club located at 8000 Towers Crescent Drive, Suite 1700, Vienna, Virginia 22182.

The matters proposed for consideration at the meeting are:

- 1. The election of Ray J. Oleson, General R. Thomas Marsh, and John P. Stenbit as Class II Directors serving a three (3) year term.
- 2. The ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as *SI International s* independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year.
- 3. The transaction of such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on May 8, 2007 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

James E. Daniel Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary

Reston, Virginia

April 10, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>GENERAL</u>	1
<u>SOLICITATION</u>	1
<u>VOTING RIGHTS AND OUTSTANDING SHARES</u>	1
REVOCABILITY OF PROXIES	2
HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS	2
BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP	3
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	7
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	14
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	16
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	16
REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE	24
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	25
SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE	25
<u>GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS</u>	27
<u>OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END</u>	28
<u>OPTIONS EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED</u>	29
NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION	29
EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION	31
EXECUTIVE EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS AND POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR	
<u>CHANGE OF CONTROL</u>	31
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	35
REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	36
RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	37
<u>DEADLINE FOR STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS</u>	40
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	41
SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	41

SI INTERNATIONAL, INC. 12012 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 800 Reston, Virginia 20190

PROXY STATEMENT FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To be held on June 22, 2007

GENERAL

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies to be voted at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or the Annual Meeting, of *SI International, Inc.*, which we refer to as *SI International* or the Company, to be held on Friday, June 22, 2007 at 8:00 a.m., local time, at the Skyline Room of the Tower Club located at 8000 Towers Crescent Drive, Suite 1700, Vienna, Virginia 22182.

The purpose of the Annual Meeting and a description of the matters to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting are set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders. This proxy statement and the enclosed proxy card are being mailed to stockholders on or about May 18, 2007. We are also mailing to stockholders, along with this proxy statement, our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2006. The enclosed proxy card is solicited by our Board of Directors and will be voted at the Annual Meeting and any adjournments thereof. Shares represented by a properly executed proxy card in the accompanying form will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with any instructions specified by the stockholder. If no instructions are given, the stockholder s shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board FOR each of the proposals presented in this proxy statement. Those recommendations are described later in this proxy statement.

SOLICITATION

SI International will bear the expenses in connection with the solicitation of proxies. Solicitation will be made by mail, but may also be made by telephone, personal interview, facsimile or personal calls by our officers, Directors or employees who will not be specially compensated for such solicitation. We may request brokerage houses and other nominees or fiduciaries to forward copies of our proxy statement and Form 10-K to beneficial owners of common stock, and we may reimburse them for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in doing so.

VOTING RIGHTS AND OUTSTANDING SHARES

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on May 8, 2007 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof. The presence at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. Votes cast in person or by proxy, abstentions and broker non-votes (which we define below) will be tabulated by the inspectors of election and will be considered in the determination of whether a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. Ballots marked abstain will be counted as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists for matters subject to a vote by the stockholders. If, with respect to any shares, a broker or other nominee submits a proxy card indicating that instructions have not been received from the beneficial owners or the persons entitled to vote, and if that broker or other nominee does not have discretionary authority to vote such shares (a broker non-vote) on one or more proposals, those shares will not be treated as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists for matters subject to a vote by the stockholders. As of April 6, 2007, we had 13,105,068 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote.

I

ALL STOCKHOLDERS ARE INVITED TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON. WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE MEETING, PLEASE COMPLETE, SIGN AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE IN ORDER TO ENSURE YOUR REPRESENTATION AT THE MEETING. A RETURN ENVELOPE (WHICH IS POSTAGE PREPAID IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES) IS ENCLOSED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

IF YOUR SHARES ARE HELD OF RECORD BY A BROKER, BANK OR OTHER NOMINEE, AND YOU WISH TO VOTE AT THE ANNUAL MEETING, YOU MUST OBTAIN FROM THE RECORD HOLDER A PROXY ISSUED IN YOUR NAME.

YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY AT ANY TIME BEFORE IT IS VOTED. IF YOU ARE PRESENT AT THE MEETING, YOU MAY VOTE YOUR SHARES IN PERSON AND THE PROXY WILL NOT BE USED.

PLEASE READ THE PROXY STATEMENT CONTAINED IN THIS BOOKLET FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONCERNING THE MATTERS TO BE ACTED UPON AT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND THE USE OF THE PROXY.

REVOCABILITY OF PROXIES

You may revoke the proxy at any time before it is exercised in the following ways:

- You may make delivery of a written notice of revocation to our Corporate Secretary. All written notices of revocation or other communications with respect to revocation of proxies should be addressed to our Corporate Secretary at our principal executive offices as follows: *SI International, Inc.*, 12012 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 800, Reston, Virginia, 20190, Attention: Corporate Secretary.
- You may attend the Annual Meeting in person and revoke your proxy by either giving notice of revocation to the inspectors of election at the Annual Meeting or by voting at the Annual Meeting in person.
- You may submit another proxy bearing a later date.
- If you hold your shares in street name, you must contact your broker or other nominee to determine how to revoke your original proxy.

The only items of business that the Board intends to present or knows will be presented at the Annual Meeting are the items discussed in this proxy statement. The proxy confers discretionary authority upon the persons named in it, or their substitutes, to vote on any other items of business that may properly come before the meeting. All holders of record of our common stock at the close of business on May 8, 2007 will be eligible to vote at the Annual Meeting.

HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS

Some banks, brokers and other nominee record holders may be participating in the practice of householding proxy statements and annual reports. This means that only one copy of this proxy statement or our annual report may have been sent to multiple stockholders in your household. We will promptly deliver to you a separate copy of either document if you write the Corporate Secretary at the following address: *SI International, Inc.*, 12012 Sunset Hills Road, Reston, Virginia 20190, Attention: Corporate Secretary. If you and any other stockholders of the Company want to receive separate copies of our annual report and proxy statement in the future, or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy for your household, you should contact your bank, broker or other nominee record holder, or you may contact us at the above address.

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of the shares of our common stock as of April 6, 2007 by:

- Each person we know to beneficially own more than 5% of our common stock,
- Each Director and nominee for Director.
- Each of our executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table under Executive Compensation below, and
- All of our Directors and executive officers as a group.

On April 6, 2007, we had 13,105,068 shares of common stock outstanding. Except as noted, all information with respect to beneficial ownership has been furnished by the respective Director, executive officer or beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common stock, or is based on filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which we sometimes refer to as the SEC. Unless otherwise indicated below, the persons named below have sole voting and investment power with respect to the number of shares set forth opposite their names. Beneficial ownership of the common stock has been determined for this purpose in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which provides, among other things, that a person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of the common stock if that person, directly or indirectly, has or shares voting power or investment power with respect to such stock or has the right to acquire such ownership within sixty days. Accordingly, the amounts shown in the table do not purport to represent beneficial ownership for any purpose other than compliance with Securities and Exchange Commission reporting requirements. Further, beneficial ownership as determined in this manner does not necessarily bear on the economic incidence of ownership of the common stock. Unless otherwise indicated below, the address of those identified in the table is *SI International, Inc.*, 12012 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 800, Reston, Virginia 20190.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Shares Beneficially Owned
Ray J. Oleson(1)	329,568	2.5 %
S. Bradford Antle(2)	190,397	1.4 %
Thomas E. Dunn(3)	115,468	*
Dr. Walter J. Culver(4)	107,425	*
Thomas E. Lloyd(5)	94,559	*
P. Michael Becraft(6)	55,075	*
Harry D. Gatanas(7)	50,000	*
Marylynn Stowers(8)	50,000	*
James E. Crawford III(9)	29,631	*
Gen. R. Thomas Marsh (USAF Ret.)(10)	27,802	*
Edward H. Sproat(11)	14,974	*
Charles A. Bowsher(12)	16,250	*
John P. Stenbit(13)	13,750	*
Gen. Dennis J. Reimer (USA Ret.)(14)	5,625	*
Maureen A. Baginski(15)	5,000	*
Leslee H. Gault(16)	5,000	*
FMR Corp., 82 Devonshire Street, Boston, MA 02109(17)	1,837,783	14.0 %
TimesSquare Capital Mgmt., LLC, 1177 Avenue of the Americas,		
New York, NY 10036(18)	1,162,800	8.9 %
Wells Fargo & Co., 420 Montgomery St., San Francisco, CA 94104(19)	1,021,105	7.8 %
Neuberger Berman Inc., 605 Third Ave., New York, NY 10158(20)	759,800	5.8 %
All executive officers and Directors as a group (16 individuals)(21)	1,110,524	8.1 %

- (1) Includes approximately 147,221 shares subject to option exercise by Mr. Oleson within 60 days.
- (2) Includes approximately 149,821 shares subject to option exercise by Mr. Antle within 60 days, approximately 3,500 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Antle is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of five (5) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period), approximately 10,000 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Antle is entitled to vote and that vests upon the achievement of a specified revenue performance objective, as measured by the aggregate of the publicly reported revenues of the Company for any four consecutive completed fiscal quarters ending before January 1, 2013 (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture if the performance objective is not achieved before January 1, 2013), 1,000 shares held jointly with Mr. Antle s spouse, 3,000 shares held by Mr. Stephen B. Antle IRA FBO Mr. Stephen B. Antle, and 400 shares, held by Mr. Antle as custodian for his children.
- (3) Includes approximately 66,149 shares subject to option exercise by Mr. Dunn within 60 days, approximately 2,250 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Dunn is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of five (5) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period), and approximately 6,000 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Dunn is entitled to vote and that vests upon the achievement of a specified revenue performance objective, as measured by the aggregate of the publicly reported revenues of the Company for any four consecutive completed fiscal quarters ending before January 1, 2013 (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture if the performance objective is not achieved before January 1, 2013).
- (4) Includes 79,541 shares held jointly with Dr. Culver s spouse, approximately 1,250 shares of restricted stock that Dr. Culver is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of five (5) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period), and approximately 26,634 shares subject to option exercise by Dr. Culver within 60 days.
- (5) Includes approximately 43,433 shares subject to option exercise by Mr. Lloyd within 60 days, approximately 300 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Lloyd is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of five (5) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period), and approximately 600 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Lloyd is entitled to vote and that vests upon the achievement of a specified revenue performance objective, as measured by the aggregate of the publicly reported revenues of the Company for any four consecutive completed fiscal quarters ending before January 1, 2013 (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture if the performance objective is not achieved before January 1, 2013).
- (6) Includes approximately 550 shares held jointly with Mr. Becraft s spouse, approximately 49,525 shares subject to option exercise by Mr. Becraft within 60 days, approximately 1,500 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Becraft is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of five (5) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period), and approximately 3,500 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Becraft is entitled to vote and that vests upon the achievement of a specified revenue performance objective, as measured by the aggregate of the publicly reported revenues of the Company for any four consecutive completed fiscal quarters ending before January 1, 2013 (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture if the performance objective is not achieved before January 1, 2013).
- (7) Includes approximately 45,000 shares subject to option exercise by Mr. Gatanas within 60 days, approximately 1,500 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Gatanas is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of five (5) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period), and approximately 3,500 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Gatanas is entitled to vote and that vests upon the

^{*} Represents less than 1% of our outstanding stock

achievement of a specified revenue performance objective, as measured by the aggregate of the publicly reported revenues of the Company for any four consecutive completed fiscal quarters ending before January 1, 2013 (with

unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture if the performance objective is not achieved before January 1, 2013).

- (8) Includes approximately 45,000 shares subject to option exercise by Ms. Stowers within 60 days, approximately 1,500 shares of restricted stock that Ms. Stowers is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of five (5) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period), approximately 3,500 shares of restricted stock that Ms. Stowers is entitled to vote and that vests upon the achievement of a specified revenue performance objective, as measured by the aggregate of the publicly reported revenues of the Company for any four consecutive completed fiscal quarters ending before January 1, 2013 (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture if the performance objective is not achieved before January 1, 2013).
- (9) Includes approximately 12,500 shares subject to option exercise by Mr. Crawford within 60 days (of which approximately 9,144 shares are subject to an agreement between Mr. Crawford and Frontenac Company pursuant to which Frontenac Company is entitled to the economic benefit of such shares), and approximately 1,250 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Crawford is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of three (3) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period), 17,131 shares held individually by Mr. Crawford.
- (10) Includes approximately 13,732 shares subject to option exercise by General Marsh within 60 days, and approximately 1,250 shares of restricted stock that General Marsh is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of three (3) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period).
- (11) Includes approximately 13,724 shares subject to option exercise by Mr. Sproat within 60 days, and approximately 1,250 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Sproat is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest and are no longer subject to the risk of forfeiture over a period of three (3) years from the date of grant.
- (12) Includes approximately 15,000 shares subject to option exercise by Mr. Bowsher within 60 days, and approximately 1,250 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Bowsher is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of three (3) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period).
- (13) Includes approximately 12,500 shares subject to option exercise by Mr. Stenbit within 60 days, and approximately 1,250 shares of restricted stock that Mr. Stenbit is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of three (3) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period).
- (14) Includes approximately 5,000 shares subject to option exercise by General Reimer within 60 days, approximately 1,250 shares of restricted stock that General Reimer is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of three (3) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period).
- (15) Includes approximately 1,875 shares subject to option exercise by Ms. Baginski within 60 days, and approximately 3,125 shares of restricted stock that Ms. Baginski is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of three (3) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period).
- (16) Includes approximately 1,500 shares of restricted stock that Ms. Gault is entitled to vote and that incrementally vest over a period of five (5) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period), and approximately 3,500 shares of restricted stock that Ms. Gault is entitled to vote and

that vests upon the achievement of a specified revenue performance objective, as measured by the aggregate of the publicly reported revenues of the Company for any four consecutive completed fiscal quarters ending before January 1, 2013 (with

unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture if the performance objective is not achieved before January 1, 2013).

- (17) According to the Schedule 13G/A filed on February 14, 2007: (i) FMR Corp. has the sole power to vote or direct the vote of 103,129 shares, and the sole power to dispose or direct the disposition of 1,837,783 shares, (ii) Fidelity Management & Research Company (Fidelity), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR Corp. and a registered investment adviser, is the beneficial owner of 1,837,783 shares as a result of acting as investment adviser to various registered investment companies, (iii) Fidelity Advisers Small Cap Stock Fund, one of those investment companies, is the beneficial owner of 668,137 shares, and (iv) Edward C. Johnson, III and FMR Corp., through its control of Fidelity, and the funds each has sole power to dispose of the 1,837,783 shares owned by the funds. Members of Edward C. Johnson, III s family may be deemed, under the Investment Company Act of 1940, to form a controlling group with respect to FMR Corp.
- (18) According to the Schedule 13G/A filed on February 9, 2007: (i) TimesSquare Capital Management, LLC has the sole power to vote or direct the vote of 1,062,500 shares, and the sole power to dispose or direct the disposition of 1,162,800 shares, (ii) TimesSquare Capital Management, LLC is an investment adviser and is deemed to beneficially own the shares as a result of the ownership of shares by its investment advisory clients, and (iv) to the knowledge of TimesSquare Capital Management, LLC, none of its investment advisory clients owns an individual interest of more than 5% of such shares.
- (19) According to the Schedule 13G/A filed on February 9, 2007: (i) Wells Fargo & Company (Wells Fargo) has the sole power to vote or direct the vote of 546,123 shares, the shared power to vote or direct the vote of 201 shares, the sole power to dispose or direct the disposition of 1,019,923 shares, and the shared power to dispose or direct the disposition of 852 shares, (ii) Wells Capital Management Incorporated (Wells Capital) has the sole power to vote or direct the vote of 225,355 shares, and the sole power to dispose or direct the disposition of 993,453 shares; (iii) Wells Fargo is a parent holding company; and (iv) Wells Capital is a registered investment advisor and a subsidiary of Wells Fargo.
- (20) According to the Schedule 13G filed on February 13, 2007: (i) Neuberger Berman Inc. has the shared power to vote or direct the vote of 748,500 shares, and the shared power to dispose or direct the disposition of 759,800 shares, (ii) Neuberger Berman, LLC has the shared power to vote or direct the vote of 748,500 shares, and the shared power to dispose or direct the disposition of 759,800 shares, (iii) Neuberger Berman Management Inc. has the shared power to vote or direct the vote of 748,500 shares, and the shared power to dispose or direct the disposition of 748,500 shares, (iv) Neuberger Berman Equity Funds has the shared power to vote or direct the vote of 728,000 shares and the shared power ti dispose or direct the disposition of 728,000 shares, (v) Neuberger Berman Inc. owns 100% of Neuberger Berman, LLC and Neuberger Berman Management Inc., (vi) Neuberger Berman, LLC and Neuberger Berman Management Inc. are deemed to have beneficial ownership since they both have shared power to make decisions whether to retain or dispose and vote the securities, and they serve as sub-adviser and investment manager, respectively, of Neuberger Berman s mutual funds which hold the shares, and (vii) no other Neuberger Berman, LLC advisory client has an interest of more than 5% of the Company s shares.
- (21) Includes 463,410 shares beneficially held by current Directors and executive officers as a group and approximately 647,114 shares subject to option exercisable within 60 days of April 6, 2007 held by current Directors and executive officers as a group.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(PROPOSAL 1)

General. The Company s Second Restated Certificate of Incorporation, which we refer to as our Charter, and the Company s Amended and Restated Bylaws, which we refer to as our Bylaws, provide for the classification of the Board of Directors into three classes (designated as Class I Directors, Class II Directors and Class III Directors), with members of each class holding office for staggered three-year terms. Vacancies on the Board of Directors resulting from death, resignation, disqualification, removal or other causes may be filled by either the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the then-outstanding shares of *SI International* or by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining Directors then in office, even if less than a quorum of the Board of Directors.

Our Board of Directors currently consists of ten (10) members. There are currently four (4) Class I Directors, whose terms expire at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, three (3) Class II Directors, whose terms expire at this Annual Meeting, and three (3) Class III Directors, whose terms expire at the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (in all cases subject to the election and qualification of their successors and to their earlier death, resignation or removal).

Each of the nominees for election as a Class II Director is currently on the Board of *SI International*, has been nominated by the Board of Directors, upon unanimous recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee, and has indicated his willingness to serve, if elected. If any of the nominees for election as a Class II Director should be unable or unwilling to serve, proxies may be voted for a substitute nominee designated by the Board of Directors. If elected at the Annual Meeting, each of the three nominees would serve until the 2010 Annual Meeting (subject to the election and qualification of his successor and to his earlier death, resignation or removal).

If a quorum is present and no stockholder has exercised cumulative voting rights, the Directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes of the shares cast in person or by proxy at the meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes have no effect on the vote. If a stockholder has exercised cumulative voting rights, the three candidates receiving the highest number of affirmative votes of the shares entitled to be voted for such Directors will be elected Directors of the Company. Shares represented by executed proxies will be voted, if authority to do so is not withheld, for the election of the three nominees named below. In the event that any nominee should be unavailable for election as a result of an unexpected occurrence, such shares will be voted for the election of such substitute nominee as the Board of Directors may propose. It is not anticipated that any nominee will be unable or unwilling to serve as a Director.

OUR BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT OUR STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF THE NOMINEES TO SERVE AS DIRECTORS.

NOMINATION OF CLASS II DIRECTORS

The names, ages as of April 6, 2007, principal occupations and other information concerning each nominee for Class II Director, are set forth below.

Ray J. Oleson, 62, has served as the Chairman of our Board of Directors since he founded our company in October 1998. He also served as the Chief Executive Officer of our company from October 1998 until September 2005. He has held executive positions in our industry since 1977, and management positions since 1969. From 1990 to 1996 he was President and Chief Operating Officer of CACI, Inc., the primary wholly owned subsidiary of CACI International Inc. From 1987 to 1990 Mr. Oleson was the Operating Division President of one of CACI s business units focused on federal government business. From 1985 to 1987 he served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Systems and Applied Sciences Corporation and from 1984 to 1985 he was Vice President, Marketing for that company. From 1977 to 1984 Mr. Oleson served as a Vice President of Computer Sciences Corporation.

Mr. Oleson serves on the Board of Directors of AFCEA International, and of Enterprise Solutions Division for the Information Technology Association of America, both of which are non-profit professional associations.

General R. Thomas Marsh (USAF Ret.), 82, has served on our Board of Directors since December 1998. From 1996 to 1997, General Marsh served as the Chairman of the President s Commission on Critical Infrastructure Protection. From 1989 to 1991, he served as Chairman of Thiokol Corporation. General Marsh retired from active military duty with the Air Force in 1984. His military career included service as the Commander of the Electronics Division at Hanscom Air Force Base, Massachusetts and Commander of the Air Force Systems Command. He served on the Board of Directors, and as the Chairman of the Audit Committee, of Teknowledge until November 2005. He is also an advisor to the Georgia Tech Research Institute and is a Trustee Emeritus of the MITRE Corporation. He is a former Executive Director of the Air Force Aid Society.

John P. Stenbit, 66, has served on our Board of Directors since April 2004. From 2001 to his retirement in March 2004, Mr. Stenbit served as the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Command, Control, Communications, and Intelligence (C3I) and later as Assistant Secretary of Defense of Networks and Information Integration/Department of Defense Chief Information Officer, the C3I successor organization. From 1977 to 2001, he served as an Executive Vice President of TRW. He was a Fulbright Fellow and Aerospace Corporation Fellow at the Technische Hogeschool, Einhoven, Netherlands. He has chaired the Science and Technology Advisory Panel to the Director of Central Intelligence and the Research, Engineering and Development Advisory Committee for the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration. He has also served as a member of the Science Advisory Group to the directors of Naval Intelligence, Science Advisory Group for the Defense Communications Agency, Defense Science Advisory Board, the Navy Studies Board, and the National Research Council Manufacturing Board. He is currently a self-employed consultant. He also currently serves on the board of directors of SM&A Corporation, Cogent Systems, Inc., Loral Space and Communication, Inc. and Viasat, Inc. Mr. Stenbit serves on the Audit and Governance and Nomination Committees for SM&A Corporation, the Audit, Compensation, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees for Cogent Systems, Inc., the Audit Committee of Loral Space and Communication, Inc., and the Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees for Viasat, Inc. Mr. Stenbit also serves on the Board of Trustees for the MITRE Corporation.

INCUMBENT DIRECTORS

The names, ages as of April 6, 2007, principal occupations and other information concerning each incumbent Director are set forth below.

Incumbent Class I Directors

Mr. Charles A. Bowsher, 75, was appointed to our Board of Directors in April 2003. From 1997 to 2001, Mr. Bowsher served on, and as Chairman of, the Public Oversight Board of the SEC Practice Section for the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). From 1981 to 1996, Mr. Bowsher served as Comptroller General of the United States and head of the General Accounting Office. Prior to that he was affiliated with Arthur Andersen and Co. for 25 years, except for a four-year period when he served as Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Financial Management. Mr. Bowsher previously served on the Board of Directors of American Express Bank, from which he retired in May 2004. Mr. Bowsher currently serves as a public member of the Board of Governors of the National Association of Securities Dealers (NASD). Mr. Bowsher is a certified public accountant and currently serves on the Advisory Council for the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) and he also was the former head of the Public Oversight Board (POB), the predecessor to the PCAOB in regulating the accounting profession. Mr. Bowsher also currently serves on the board of directors of each of DeVry, Inc., where he also serves as Chairman of the

Audit Committee, and Washington Mutual Investors Fund, where he serves as a member of the Audit and Governance Committees.

Dr. Walter J. Culver, 69, served as our Vice Chairman and Director of Major Programs from 2002 until his retirement from management on January 14, 2005. Dr. Culver continues as a member of our Board of Directors and has been on our Board since 1998. Dr. Culver was one of the co-founders of the Company and served as our President and Chief Operating Officer from October 1998 to March 2001. From March 2001 until May 2002 he served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of SI International Telecom Corporation. He has held executive positions in our industry since 1971, and management positions since 1965. From September 1997 to October 1998 Dr. Culver was self employed as a consultant and the Interim Chief Executive Officer of Aydin Yazilim ve Elektronik Sanayi, A.S., of Ankara, Turkey, a subsidiary of Aydin Corporation. From 1996 to 1997, Dr. Culver served as an Executive Vice President at CACI, Inc. From 1968 to 1990, Dr. Culver held positions at Computer Sciences Corporation including President of Defense Systems Division, President of a diversified federal division of 2,000 employees, and Corporate Vice President of Systems Integration. Dr. Culver serves on the Board of Directors of AAC, Inc., and the Board of Advisers for Bantu, Inc.

General Dennis J. Reimer (USA Ret.), 67, has served on our Board of Directors since March 2006. Since 2005, he has served as the President of DFI International Government Services, a research, analysis and consulting firm supporting the federal government in areas such as homeland security, defense, and intelligence issues and programs. Prior to joining DFI, General Reimer served as first director of the National Memorial Institute for the Prevention of Terrorism in Oklahoma City from 2000 to 2005. His military career included service as the 33rd Chief of Staff of the U.S. Army; Commanding General 4th Infantry Division at Fort Carson, Colorado; Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations U.S. Army during Operation Desert Storm; Vice Chief of Staff U.S. Army, Operation; and Commanding General U.S. Army Forces Command in Atlanta, Georgia. From 2000 to the present, he has served on the Board of Directors of DRS Technologies, Inc., and serves on its Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance, and Ethics Committees. General Reimer also serves as a director of Mutual of America.

Edward H. Sproat, 68, has served on our Board of Directors since November 2000. Mr. Sproat, former President of Network Services at Bell Atlantic, brings over 38 years of experience in the telecommunications field. From June 1993 until his retirement in June 2000, he was President and Chief Operating Officer of Bell Atlantic Networks, which included all of its engineering, procurement, construction and operations. Prior to then, Mr. Sproat served as Vice President of Operations and Chief Operating Officer of Bell Atlantic-New Jersey and Vice President of Operations, Bell Atlantic Business Systems Services and Assistant Vice President of Financial Management for Bell Atlantic. Mr. Sproat formerly served on the Board of Directors of New Jersey Bell and Somerset Medical Center. Mr. Sproat previously served as a director of Evolving Systems, Inc., a provider of services software products, during calendar year 2002, where he also served as a member of the Audit Committee.

Incumbent Class III Directors

S. Bradford Antle, 51, has served on our Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer since September 2005. and has served as our President since February 2001. He previously served as our Chief Operating Officer from February 2001 until September 2005, and as Executive Vice President from June 1999 until his promotion to Chief Operating Officer. From 1996 to 1999, he served as the Director of Washington Technical Operations for Lockheed Martin, and from 1992 to 1996 he served in positions with Martin Marietta, a predecessor entity of Lockheed Martin. From 1985 to 1992, he served in various capacities for General Electric. Mr. Antle serves on the boards of the Software and Systems Consortium, Inc. and the National Defense Industry Association, industry non-profit entities, and the Boys and Girls Club of Greater Washington, D.C. and the Fairfax Education Foundation, both non-profit entities.

Maureen A. Baginski, 52, has served on our Board of Directors since October 2006. Since October 2006, she has served as the President of the National Security Systems Division of Sparta, Inc., a systems engineering and advanced technology company supporting the federal government, primarily at the Department of Defense and NASA. Prior to joining Sparta, Ms. Baginski served as a director of BearingPoint beginning in 2005 and during 2006. She also served as the Executive Assistant Director of Intelligence at the Federal Bureau of Investigation from 2003 to 2005, and a member of the senior executive service at the National Security Agency from 1979 to 2003. Ms. Baginski currently serves on the board of Argon ST.

James E. Crawford III, 61, has served on our Board of Directors since October 1998. He is the founder and managing director of Trial Capital Management, LLC, a Chicago-based private equity investment firm. From 1992 through June 2006, he served as a managing director of Frontenac Company, L.L.C., a Chicago-based private equity investment firm. From 1984 to 1992, Mr. Crawford was a general partner of William Blair Venture Management Co., a venture capital fund. From 1986 to 1992, Mr. Crawford was a partner in William Blair & Company, an investment banking firm. Mr. Crawford currently serves on the board of directors of ypOne Publishing, LLC.

Election of Additional Directors

SI International may seek to identify one to two additional qualified individuals for consideration to serve as an independent Director of the Board. If SI International finds a qualified person to fill such position, it is anticipated that the Board could fill the Class III directorship vacancy created upon the resignation of Walter Florence in January 2007. The Board could also create either a new Class II directorship by increasing the number of Board members from eleven to twelve or by appointing such person to any vacancy that occurs on the Board. Creating an additional Class II directorship, with any increase of Board members from 11 to 12, would ensure an even number of directors in each class of directorship. The nomination of a candidate for this Board of Director position is subject to recommendation by the Corporate Governance Committee and the appointment of the Board of Directors. The nominee will not be elected by stockholder vote because newly created directorships and vacancies on the Board may be filled by the affirmative vote of the majority of Directors then in office, even if less than a quorum of the Board. A Director elected by the Board to fill a vacancy shall serve for the remainder of the full term of the class of Director in which the vacancy occurred and until such Director s successor is elected and qualified. For a description of the procedure by which stockholders may submit Director nominations, please see Committees of the Board Corporate Governance Committee below.

Corporate Governance

The Board has adopted a set of corporate governance principles, which, along with the written charters for our Board committees described below, the Charter and the Bylaws, provide the framework for the Board s governance of the Company. Our corporate governance principles and the written charters of our Board committees are available both on the Investors section of our website at www.si-intl.com and in print, free of charge, to any stockholder who requests it.

Independence and Composition

Our Charter, and the National Association of Securities Dealers listing standards, which we refer to as the NASD listing standards, each require that a majority of our Board of Directors be independent Directors, as defined in our Charter and the NASD listing standards. In addition, our corporate governance principles require that we strive to have either a substantial majority of independent Directors or enough independent Directors on the Board so that, in the event one independent

Director was to resign, die, or be removed from the Board, a majority of the remaining Board would still consist of independent Directors.

The Board of Directors, upon the unanimous recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee at a meeting of the full Board, has determined that Ms. Baginski, and Messrs. Bowsher, Crawford, Marsh, Reimer, Sproat and Stenbit, representing a majority of our Board of Directors, are independent as defined in the NASD listing standards and our Charter. The Board made its determination based on information furnished by all directors regarding their relationships with the Company and research conducted by management. In addition, the Board consulted with the Company's counsel to ensure that the Board's determination would be consistent with all relevant securities laws and regulations as well as the NASD listing standards. In addition, in early 2006, the Board of Directors, upon the unanimous recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee at a meeting of the full Board, determined that Walter C. Florence, who served on our Board of Directors until his resignation on January 2, 2007, was independent as defined in the NASD listing standards and our Charter.

Stockholder Communications with Directors

The Company has a process whereby our stockholders can send communications to our Directors. This process is described in detail on our website at www.si-intl.com and in print, free of charge, to any stockholder who requests it.

Board and Committee Meetings and Attendance

During fiscal year 2006, there were eight meetings of the Board. Each Board member attended 75% or more of the aggregate of the meetings of the Board and of the committees on which he served that were held during the period for which he was a Director or committee member, respectively. In addition, the Board took action by unanimous written consent in lieu of meeting four times during fiscal year 2006. Our corporate governance principles provide that it is the responsibility of individual Directors to make themselves available to attend, on a consistent basis, scheduled and special Board and committee meetings and the Annual Meeting of Stockholders on a consistent basis. All of our Directors, who were serving at the time, attended the 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, with the exception of Messrs. Stenbit and Bowsher.

In addition, non-management members of the Board of Directors met in executive session four times in fiscal year 2006. Pursuant to our corporate governance principles, the Board is required to designate an independent Director to serve as lead or presiding Director (and in the absence of such appointment, the Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee will perform the function of lead or presiding Director) to preside when the Board meets in executive session. Mr. Bowsher has been previously designated by the Board of Directors as the lead Director, and he served as the lead Director at all meetings held in executive session in 2006.

Committees of the Board

The Board has an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee and a Corporate Governance Committee, each of which deals with specific areas of the Board s responsibility.

Audit Committee

The Board of Directors has established an Audit Committee, which is governed by a written charter, which is available both on the Investors section of our website at www.si-intl.com and in print, free of charge, to any stockholder who requests it. The Audit Committee reviews the professional services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm, the independence of our independent registered public accounting firm from our management, our annual financial statements and our system of

internal control over financial reporting. The composition of the Audit Committee is subject to the independence and other requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC thereunder, which we collectively refer to as the Exchange Act, and the NASD listing standards. The Board of Directors, upon the unanimous recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee, has determined that all current members of the Audit Committee meet the audit committee composition and independence requirements of the Exchange Act and the NASD listing standards and that Mr. Charles A. Bowsher is an audit committee financial expert as defined in the Exchange Act.

The Audit Committee met five times during fiscal year 2006. The current members of the Audit Committee are Mr. Bowsher, who serves as Chairman, Ms. Baginski (who was appointed in October 2006) General Marsh and Mr. Sproat.

Compensation Committee

The Board of Directors has established a Compensation Committee, which is governed by a written charter, which is available both on the Investors section of our website at www.si-intl.com and in print, free of charge, to any stockholder who requests it. The NASD listing standards require that the Compensation Committee consist solely of independent Directors. The Board of Directors, upon the unanimous recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee, has determined that all current members of the Compensation Committee are independent as defined in the NASD listing standards.

The Compensation Committee met seven times during fiscal year 2006. In addition, the Compensation Committee took action by unanimous written consent in lieu of meeting four times during fiscal year 2006. From the beginning of fiscal year 2006 through October 11, 2006, the members of the Compensation Committee were Mr. Sproat, who served as Chairman, and Messrs. Crawford, Florence, and Stenbit. On October 11, 2006, General Reimer was appointed to the Compensation Committee. On January 2, 2007, Mr. Florence resigned from the Board of Directors. As a result, the current members of the Compensation Committee are Mr. Sproat, who serves as Chairman, and Messrs. Crawford, Reimer, and Stenbit.

Corporate Governance Committee

The Board of Directors has established a Corporate Governance Committee, which is governed by a written charter, which is available both on the Investors section of our website at www.si-intl.com and in print, free of charge, to any stockholder who requests it. The Corporate Governance Committee oversees and reviews nominations for our Board of Directors and evaluates and recommends corporate governance compliance policies and procedures applicable to *SI International*. In addition, the Corporate Governance Committee is charged with the task of assessing the performance of the Board of Directors on an annual basis and overseeing the annual self-assessments carried out by each of the committees of the Board, including the Corporate Governance Committee itself. The purpose of each of these assessments is to monitor the effectiveness of the Board and the committees, gather information regarding the ability of the Board and the committees to fulfill their mandates and responsibilities, and provide a basis for further evaluation and improvement of the policies of the Board and the committees.

Our Board has adopted a policy that the Corporate Governance Committee endeavor to identify individuals to serve on the Board who have expertise that is useful to us and complementary to the background, skills and experience of the other members of the Board. The Corporate Governance Committee s assessment of the composition of the Board includes the following considerations: (a) the skills of each member of the Board, which includes an analysis of each Director s business and management experience, information technology and government contractor industry experience, professional services industry experience, accounting experience, finance and capital markets experience, and level of understanding of corporate governance regulations and public policy matters, (b) the

characteristics of each member of the Board, which includes an analysis of each Director s ethical and moral standards, leadership abilities, sound business judgment, independence and innovative thought, and (c) the general composition of the Board of Directors, which includes an analysis of the diversity, age and public company experience of the Directors. The principal qualification for a Director is the ability to act in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

The Corporate Governance Committee also considers Directors nominees recommended by stockholders. The deadline for submissions of proposals for the 2006 Annual Meeting can be found under the section of this proxy statement captioned Deadline for Stockholder Proposals.

In order to nominate a Director nominee, a stockholder s proposal must comply with all of the requirements of Rule 14a-8 as promulgated under the Exchange Act. In addition, any such proposals must include the following:

- the name and address of the stockholder submitting the proposal, as it appears on our stock records, and of the beneficial owner thereof;
- the number of shares of stock of each class that are owned beneficially and of record by the stockholder and the beneficial owner;
- a description of all arrangements or understandings between the stockholder and the Director nominee and any other person pursuant to which the nomination is to be made by the stockholder; and
- all information relating to the Director nominee that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of Directors in an election contest, or is otherwise required, in each case pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act (including such person s written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a Director nominee and to serving as a Director if elected).

The Corporate Governance Committee does not evaluate Director candidates recommended by stockholders any differently than it evaluates Director candidates recommended by our Directors, management or employees.

The NASD listing standards require that the Corporate Governance Committee consist solely of independent Directors. The Board of Directors, upon the unanimous recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee, has determined that all current members of the Corporate Committee are independent as defined in the NASD listing standards.

During fiscal 2006, the Corporate Governance Committee met five times. In addition, the Corporate Governance Committee took action by unanimous written consent in lieu of meeting one time during fiscal year 2006. From the beginning of fiscal year 2006 and until January 2, 2007, the members of the Corporate Governance Committee were Mr. Crawford, who served as Chairman, and Messrs. Bowsher, Florence, and Stenbit. On March 9, 2007, the Board designated General Marsh to serve on the Corporate Governance Committee. Following Mr. Florence s resignation from the Board on January 2, 2007 and General Marsh s designation, the current members of the Corporate Governance Committee are Mr. Crawford, who serves as Chairman, and Messrs. Bowsher, Marsh, and Stenbit.

Code of Ethics

Our Directors, as well as our officers and employees, are also governed by our Code of Ethics, which we refer to as our Code. The current version of our Code is available both on our website at www.si-intl.com or in print, free of charge, to any stockholder who requests it. We revised our Code of Ethics in December 2006. Amendments to, or waivers from, a provision of the Code that apply to our Directors, executive officers or corporate controller will be posted to our website within five business days following the date of the amendment or waiver.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of our executive officers serves as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our Board of Directors or Compensation Committee. Messrs. Crawford, Florence, Reimer, Sproat, and Stenbit served as the members of the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors during our recently completed fiscal year 2006. None of these individuals has ever served as an officer or employee of the Company.

The following table shows the compensation paid to our non-employee directors during fiscal year 2006:

Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation			her ensation	Tot	tal
(a)	(\$) (b)	(\$)(1)	(\$)(2) (d)	(\$) (e)	Earnings (f)	(\$)		(\$) (h)	
Maureen A. Baginski	\$ 7,104	(c) \$ 24,544	\$ 30,156	(e)	(1)	(g)		(II) \$	61,804
Charles A. Bowsher	39,000	1,626	2,063					\$	42,689
James E. Crawford	33,500	1,626	2,063					\$	37,189
Walter J. Culver(3)	25,000	1,626	2,063			\$	14,358	\$	43,047
Walter C. Florence(4)	29,500	1,626	2,063					\$	33,189
General R. Thomas Marsh (USAF Ret.)(5)	29,000	1,626	2,063			\$	1,093	\$	33,782
General Dennis J. Reimer	10.201		66 227					ф	05 (20
(USA Ret.)	19,301	4.606	66,327				2062	\$	85,628
Edward H. Sproat(6)	36,500	1,626	2,063			\$	2,063	\$	42,252
John P. Stenbit	29,500	1,626	2,063					\$	33,189

- With the exception of Ms. Baginski and General Reimer, the awards represent annual grants made to directors of the Company. The grant to Ms. Baginski represents a grant made to her upon her initial appointment to the Board of Directors in October 2006. The stock awards will vest over a period of three (3) years from the respective grant date with accelerated vesting upon the occurrence of a designated change of control event. The amount in this column represents the expense amount recognized by the Company for fiscal year 2006 under Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No 123 (revised 2004) Share-Based Payment (FAS 123R). The Company s calculation of the expense amount for FAS 123R purposes is based a model that includes subjective assumptions, which are set forth in and discussed in more detail in the footnotes to the financial statements for the Company contained in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year 2006.
- With the exception of Ms. Baginski and General Reimer, the awards represent annual grants made to directors of the Company. The grants to Ms. Baginski and General Reimer represent grants made to each upon their initial appointment to the Board of Directors in October 2006 and March 2006, respectively. Unlike the grant to Ms. Baginski, the equity grant to General Reimer is comprised solely of stock option awards since his grant was made prior to our adoption of a revised director equity compensation program in August 2006. The stock option awards will vest over a period of three (3) years from the respective grant date with accelerated vesting upon the occurrence of a designated change of control event. In each case, the expense amount for FAS 123R purposes was determined using the Black-Scholes Model. This model was developed to estimate the fair value of trade options, and changes to the subjective assumptions used in the model can result in materially different fair value estimates. As noted above, the assumptions are set forth in the financial statement footnotes to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2006.

- The amounts included in column (g) for Dr. Culver includes \$1,808 representing the amount paid by the Company for airfare for Dr. Culver s spouse to attend the Company s Annual Meeting of Stockholders in June 2006, and \$12,550, which represents consulting fees paid to Dr. Culver for consulting services performed in support of a proposal effort for the Company under the Consulting Agreement with the Company dated November 29, 2004. The Consulting Agreement with Dr. Culver was previously approved by the disinterested directors of the Company s Audit Committee and is subject to annual review.
- (4) Mr. Florence resigned from the Board of Directors effective January 2, 2007.
- The amounts included in column (g) for General Marsh represent the amount paid by the Company for airfare for General Marsh s spouse to attend the Company s Annual Meeting of Stockholders in June 2006.
- The amounts included in column (g) for Mr. Sproat represent the amount paid by the Company for airfare for Mr. Sproat s spouse to attend the Company s Annual Meeting of Stockholders in June 2006.

Directors who are also employees of the Company do not receive any cash compensation from us for their services as members of the Board. For fiscal year 2006, the following describes the annual monetary compensation for each non-employee Director, including for service as a Chair or member on a Committee of the Board of Directors:

	Pre-October 2006 Annual Fees (Except Per Meeting Fee)	Post-October 2006 Annual Fees (Except Per Meeting Fee)
Non-Employee Director Annual Retainer	\$ 18,000	\$ 18,000
Non-Employee Director Per Meeting Fee	1,000	1,000
Audit Committee Chair	12,000	12,000
Audit Committee Member (other than Chair)	4,000	4,000
Compensation Committee Chair	6,000	12,000
Compensation Committee (other than Chair)	2,000	4,000
Corporate Governance Committee Chair	6,000	6,000
Corporate Governance Committee (other than Chair)	2,000	2,000

Each of the fees was pro-rated for the period of the director s service and paid quarterly. Directors are also entitled to reimbursement of expenses for attending each meeting of the Board and each committee meeting. For fiscal year 2007, the Company will pay directors an annual retainer of \$30,000 with no per meeting fee, and the fees paid to those serving as a chair or member of a Board Committee will remain the same as those paid beginning in October 2006.

In addition, our Board approved equity compensation for non-employee directors for fiscal year 2007. Our non-employee Directors are eligible to receive non-qualified stock and stock option awards under our 2002 Amended and Restated Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan. During fiscal year 2007, directors first appointed to serve on the Board of Directors will receive an equity grant comprised of a stock award for 2,500 shares of restricted common stock and a stock option to purchase 7,500 shares of common stock. Further, during fiscal year 2007, directors who are continuing their service as a member of the Board of Directors will receive an equity grant comprised of a stock award for 625 shares of restricted common stock and a stock option to purchase 1,875 shares of common stock. The stock and stock option awards made to our Directors will vest over a period of three (3) years from the respective grant date. Grants to directors contain a provision for acceleration of vesting upon the occurrence of a designated change of control event. The exercise price of the options was 100% of the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant.

We currently have reserved 2,920,000 shares of our common stock for issuance under the 2002 Amended and Restated Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan. In addition to our non-employee Directors, all of our employees are eligible to receive stock awards and stock option grants under this plan. The Board may terminate the plan at any time.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

As of April 6, 2007, the executive officers of the Company were Ray J. Oleson, Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors, and S. Bradford Antle, President and Chief Executive Officer, the biographies of whom are included in Election of Directors above), and the following six persons indicated in the table below:

	Positions and Offices	
Name, Age	With the Company	Other Employment in Past Five Years
P. Michael Becraft, 62	Executive Vice President, Mission Services Group (since 2005) Senior Vice President, Homeland Security Business Unit (2003-2005)	Acting Deputy Commissioner of Immigration & Naturalization Service (2001-2003)
Thomas E. Dunn, 55	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer (since 2001)	
Harry D. Gatanas, 60	Executive Vice President, Strategic Programs Group (since 2005)	Senior Acquisition Executive, NSA (2004-2005); Chief Executive Officer, Global Services (2002-2003)
Leslee D. Gault, 46	Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer (since 2006)	Partner, IBM (2005-2006); President and Chief Executive Officer, NCR Teradata (2004-2005); Vice President, Business Development, Unisys (2004); Vice President, Business Development, Computer Sciences Corporation (1995-2004)
Thomas Lloyd, 72	Vice President, Corporate Development (since 2002) Vice President, Mergers and Acquisitions (1998-2002)	
Marylynn Stowers, 46	Executive Vice President, IT Solutions Group (since 2005)	Vice President for Operations, Science Applications International Corp. (1997-2005)

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Executive Compensation Roles and Governance

The Board of Directors has established a Compensation Committee that is governed by a written charter, which is available on the Investors section of our website at www.si-intl.com. As used in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the term Committee refers to the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors.

Generally, our compensation process involves responsibilities delegated either to the Committee or the Chief Executive Officer, dependent upon the type of compensation program and, for individual compensation actions, the level of the position within the Company. The Committee is responsible for adopting, administering and maintaining programs and plans involving stock incentives, retirement plans, stock purchase, executive bonuses, deferred compensation, and other similar compensation programs, and any other compensation matters requested by the Board of Directors. In addition, the Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and the other executive officers of the Company (including the named executed officers), as defined under Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and those officers reporting directly to the Chief Executive Officer. The Committee is also responsible for the appointment of, and the establishment of compensation ranges for, all corporate officers of the Company. The Chief Executive Officer has the authority to approve all forms of compensation, inclusive of annual salary, target bonus percentage, and equity, for all other employees and officers, with compensation for other corporate officers falling within the established pay

ranges. This Compensation Discussion and Analysis focuses on describing the executive compensation process and programs.

Management s role in the approval process for executive compensation actions to be approved by the Committee is to provide recommendations to the Committee. Our Chief Executive Officer makes recommendations to the Committee regarding executive compensation plans and programs that are designed to reward achievement of our goals and objectives. The CEO also makes recommendations to the Committee for base salary, short-term and long-term incentive compensation, and equity awards for our executive officers (other than himself and the Executive Chairman). Our Chief Executive Officer, in conjunction with other members of management, provides feedback regarding the performance of our executive officers, including their support in achieving our goals and objectives. Our Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President of Human Resources work with the Committee Chair to establish the agenda for Committee meetings, and to prepare the materials for each Committee meeting.

The Committee generally holds several scheduled meetings each year and additional meetings as the Committee members deem appropriate. The Committee may also hold executive sessions at each scheduled meeting. Our Chief Executive Officer participates in Committee meetings to provide information regarding our strategic objectives; evaluation of the performance of our executive officers; and compensation recommendations for our executive officers, as indicated above. Our Chief Executive Officer is not present for portions that involve deliberations of the Committee with respect to his own compensation. Our other officers may attend these meetings at the invitation of the Committee. The Committee believes input from management and outside advisors, as noted below, is valuable; however, the Committee makes its decisions based on independent analysis and assessment.

In the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, the Committee engaged an independent compensation consultant to assist the Committee in determining compensation for our executive officers. Based on interviews and written proposals from multiple independent compensation consultants, the Committee engaged Watson Wyatt Worldwide to serve as the consultant to the Committee for fiscal year 2007. Watson Wyatt Worldwide is independent from us as it has not been engaged to perform any work for us and has no prior relationship with management. Watson Wyatt Worldwide will report directly to the Committee and will engage with management as directed by the Committee.

Since 2005, management has retained the services of Mercer Human Resource Consulting to support its work in a number of areas, including market compensation survey analysis, executive compensation trends, SEC disclosure requirements, and equity compensation trends and related industry market data. In preparing the materials presented to the Committee, our CEO, our Senior Vice President of Human Resources, and management along with Mercer, completes the requested analyses, produces the meeting materials and collaborates with the Committee s compensation consultant, management s compensation consultant, and consults with internal and external legal counsel in the administration and management of our compensation programs.

Overview of Our Executive Compensation Philosophy

Our compensation program and policies are designed to attract, motivate and retain executives of outstanding ability in order to achieve our full potential and maximize the return to our stockholders.

The primary objectives of our executive compensation program are to:

- Provide total compensation opportunities that are competitive with opportunities provided to executives of comparable companies at comparable levels of performance;
- Ensure that our executives total compensation levels vary based on both our short-term financial performance and growth in shareholder value over time;

- Focus and motivate executives on the achievement of defined objectives; and
- Reward executives in accordance with their relative contributions to achieving strategic milestones and upholding key mission-related objectives.

These objectives are achieved through the following principles of our executive compensation program:

- Total target opportunity compensation for executive officers is benchmarked at the 50th percentile.
- The mix of total compensation elements reflects an appropriate balance between competitive market requirements and strategic business needs.
- A significant portion of each executive officer s compensation is at risk and the amount awarded annually is determined by Company and individual performance. As the officer s job responsibility increases, the portion of total compensation at risk increases.
- Our short-term non-equity incentive compensation plan, which we refer to as the Management Performance Incentive Plan, provides the opportunity to earn total cash compensation at the upper quartile of competitive pay based on outstanding Company and individual performance.
- Interests of executive officers are linked with the Company s stockholders through stock and stock option awards.
- Benefits are market competitive and align to the framework of our fringe benefits package.

We review all components of executive compensation annually to ensure ongoing competitive alignment of the executive base salary, target bonus percentage, and equity incentive grants. This evaluation is completed in the second quarter using peer group compensation data from the prior year s peer group proxy statements filed with the SEC. In concert with our competitive evaluation cycle, executive base salary and target bonus percentage recommendations were approved by the Committee in June 2006. In fiscal year 2006, executive equity compensation recommendations were presented to and approved by the Committee in August 2006, and the equity compensation recommendations for fiscal year 2007 were reviewed by the Committee in the first quarter of 2007.

Benchmarking and Peer Groups

Management conducts a peer company benchmarking study to compare existing compensation levels against the competitive market. Our peer group is selected by the Company and comprised of those public companies that compete in the Federal Government information technology marketplace, as identified in investment research for our sector. Other factors used to assess the inclusion within our peer group include market capitalization and annual revenue. For 2006, the peer group annual revenue ranged from \$218 million to \$1.755 billion, and the market capitalization ranged from approximately \$134 million to under \$2 billion. Our annual revenue target for the peer group generally approximates 50%-200% of our annual revenue; however, this range was expanded to ensure a large enough sample of peers and to include those peers identified by us, as described above. Based on these criteria, the following peer companies were used during 2006 for benchmarking purposes:

- CACI International Inc
- Dynamics Research Corporation
- MTC Technologies Inc.
- ManTech International Corporation
- SRA International, Inc.

• NCI, Inc.

Published survey data from reputable sources were used to supplement the peer group compensation analysis. We evaluate our peer group composition annually to ensure that we maintain an appropriate composition of key market competitors.

Elements of Executive Compensation

Our executive compensation program is comprised of two primary components:

- Total direct compensation, which includes base salary, short-term non-equity incentive compensation, and long-term equity incentive compensation; and
- Indirect compensation, which includes retirement benefits, health and welfare benefits, and other perquisites.

Base Salary

During fiscal year 2006 we focused on a pay-for-performance compensation methodology to evaluate Company performance and executive compensation relative to the peer group for 1- and 3-year periods based on fiscal year revenue, revenue growth, return on equity, earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT), earnings per share growth, and total shareholder return, as well as an overall average for all categories. The pay-for-performance analysis supports our objective to establish all executive officer base salaries at approximately the competitive 50th percentile. Actual percentiles may vary from year to year because of the mix of executives in peer positions, but the overall objective is to remain consistent with base salaries for our executive officers that approximate the market median.

To retain the competitive position of our executive s base salaries, effective July 1, 2006, we increased the salaries by 6.4% on average. The individual increases ranged from 5% to 7.1%. The increases reflected a combination of market adjustments since some salaries were lagging the market and the positive corporate performance. Increases for each executive individually were based on their target market position and their contributions toward meeting significant business objectives in fiscal year 2005 and the first six months of fiscal year 2006.

	Pre-July 2006 Base	Post-July 2006 Base	Percentage
Named Executive Officers and Principal Position	Annual Salary	Annual Salary	Increase
S. Bradford Antle			
President and Chief Executive Officer	\$ 440,000	\$ 470,000	6.8 %
Thomas E. Dunn			
EVP, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	\$ 342,000	\$ 366,000	7.0 %
Ray J. Oleson			
Executive Chairman	\$ 308,000	\$ 330,000	7.1 %
Marylynn Stowers			
EVP, IT Solutions Group	\$ 250,000	\$ 262,500	5.0 %
Harry D. Gatanas			
EVP, Strategic Programs Group	\$ 250,000	\$ 267,500	7.0 %

Short-Term Non-Equity Incentive Program (Management Performance Incentive Plan)

Our compensation philosophy emphasizes incentive pay to leverage both individual and organizational performance, with the incentive portion of total compensation increasing as the officer s job responsibility increases.

As with the base salary methodology the objective is to set target awards that approximate the market median when target performance is achieved. The program is sufficiently leveraged such that when targets

are not achieved, total cash compensation (TCC), which consists of base salary and cash bonuses under our Management Performance Incentive Plan, will be below market median. Similarly, when our financial performance exceeds established targets and reaches stretch objectives, incentive awards can generate TCC up to the 75th percentile of the peer group.

To establish and assess competitive TCC, we analyzed peer group proxy statements filed with the SEC to benchmark executive compensation levels and assessed our executive compensation levels from a 3-year perspective (includes 1-year TCC and 3-year average long-term incentives). In addition, following a review of other peer group filings with the SEC, we analyzed peer group fiscal year revenue, revenue growth, return on equity, EBIT, and earnings per share growth, which helps inform the Committee of our financial performance relative to our peers.

Eligibility for, and the Company-level performance measures of, our Management Performance Incentive Plan are established annually at the beginning of each fiscal year. The Management Performance Incentive Plan provides for payment of cash bonuses to our officers upon the achievement of key Company-level performance measures, business unit goals for certain business unit heads and individual performance measures. Goals are established for each Company-level performance measure and business unit goal at threshold, target and maximum levels. In addition, the Committee, within its discretion, can make modifications to the Management Performance Incentive Plan, or may elect not to make any awards under the Management Performance Incentive Plan, dependent upon Company and individual performance. We believe that having a significant portion of TCC linked to key Company performance measures directly aligns individual executive performance to our business objectives.

For fiscal year 2006, Company-level performance measures (and their respective weighting) include fiscal year 2006 revenue (40%), net income (40%), labor utilization (10%), and days sales outstanding (10%). Bonuses considered by the Committee are a reflection of the achievement, at threshold, target, or maximum performance levels, within each of the performance measures. Executive officers receive 0% for overall performance under threshold and have the opportunity to achieve incentive payments of 50% of base salary attarget performance and 100% of base salary at stretch performance. Generally, the incentive payments will be scaled linearly by the Committee if the performance level falls between the target and stretch performance levels. Management may further adjust bonus recommendations presented to the Committee if such amounts cause the measure not to be achieved or otherwise reflect management s judgment with respect to the effect of the bonus payments on the Company s overall financial performance.

In February 2007, the Committee met to review and approve awards for fiscal year 2006 under the Management Performance Incentive Plan. The Committee determined that:

- the threshold performance level was achieved for fiscal year 2006 revenue;
- the maximum performance level was achieved for fiscal year 2006 net income;
- the target performance level was achieved for fiscal year 2006 labor utilization; and
- the target performance level was achieved for fiscal year 2006 days sales outstanding.

Measurements for Fiscal Year 2006 Management Performance Incentive

		Threshold	Target	Stretch	Actual
Bonus Component	Allocation	(\$MM)	(\$MM)	(\$MM)	(\$MM)
Revenue	40 %	\$ 462	\$ 470	\$ 482	\$ 461.7
Net Income	40 %	\$ 19	\$ 19.4	\$ 20	\$ 20.1
Labor Utilization	10 %		84	%	85 %
Days Sales Outstanding	10 %		75 Days		72 Days

In addition, the Committee reviewed the performance of our Chief Executive Officer and our Executive Chairman, and our Chief Executive Officer provided the Committee with his evaluation of the performance of our other executive officers. The Committee determined that all of our Named Executive Officers (as defined in the paragraph preceding the Summary Compensation Table below) achieved their individual performance measures. As a result, the Committee approved bonus payments to each Named Executive Officer with a value equal to 45.5% of such officer s base salary. The actual performance for fiscal year 2006, as reflected in the chart above, could have resulted in calculation of a bonus recommendation approximating 50% of each Named Executive Officer s base salary; however, the actual recommendation of management approved by the Committee was lower based upon the adjustments and methodology described above, including management s judgment with respect to the effect of the bonus recommendations on the Company s overall financial performance for fiscal year 2006. The bonus payments were approved on February 12, 2006. The Management Performance Incentive Plan awards for our Named Executive Officers are set forth in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 25 of this Proxy Statement.

Long-Term Equity Incentive Program

The 2002 Amended and Restated Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan (the Stock Incentive Plan) is designed to reward executives and other employees for long-term growth consistent with our performance and stockholder return. The ultimate value of the long-term equity incentive compensation awards is dependent upon the actual performance of our share price over time. These grants also serve as incentive for future performance by motivating and encouraging employees to contribute in ways that positively affect the business strategy and goals, ultimately providing a positive influence on the Company s share price.

In June 2006, the Committee reviewed alternative equity compensation practices and market trends in the use of equity compensation and approved an equity compensation methodology for fiscal year 2006 comprised of stock option grants and restricted stock awards with a five year vesting period. Key features of our 2006 equity compensation program include:

- Limiting the accounting expense, in accordance with GAAP, to 2% of fiscal year 2006 operating income, with the understanding that the accounting expense related to the equity compensation would rise annually, both with respect to awards in prior fiscal years and as a result of new equity awards in subsequent years.
- Mix of 75% stock option and 25% restricted stock award values, with the ability of our Chief Executive Officer to recommend adjustments to the mix of grants subject to the overall equity expense limitation. Stock option grants represent the larger portion of the equity compensation mix as we believe that stock options serve to align the interests of executives with our stockholders and focus management on increasing value for our stockholders.
- Stock option and restricted stock awards have pro-rata vesting over a five year period.
- The Committee also determined that, while it supports time-based vesting as a retention tool, in 2007, it intends to grant restricted stock awards with a performance-based vesting feature for 2007 performance. Restricted stock awards issued with performance-based vesting will have vesting established in accordance with the Stock Incentive Plan and applicable regulations, including Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m).

Equity compensation was approved by the Committee and issued on August 4, 2006 in the mix of 75% stock option and 25% restricted stock values. In determining equity compensation, the Committee reviewed the performance of our Chief Executive Officer and our Executive Chairman, and our Chief Executive Officer provided the Committee with his evaluation of the performance of our other executive

officers. The stock option grants and restricted stock awards for our Named Executive Officers are set forth in the Option Awards and Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 25 of this Proxy Statement. While the Committee believed that our Executive Chairman was entitled to an award of equity compensation for 2006 performance, he elected to withdraw his name from consideration for an award.

The Compensation Committee anticipates that restricted stock and/or stock option awards to our officers will continue to be a component of executive compensation and will be reviewed regularly each fiscal year.

Indirect Compensation: Benefits and Perquisites

Executive officers participate in the employee benefit plans and programs that are generally available to all SI International employees, with the Company providing these benefits at no additional cost to the executive. Additionally, SI International s executive benefit programs and perquisites are designed to meet the general needs of our executive officers, provide retention value, and serve as a valuable attractor for experienced senior-level talent. We believe that the programs provided are highly prevalent at the executive level within the industry, and are necessary to sustain a fully competitive executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee reviews the perquisites that executives receive annually. The details of benefits and perquisites provided for our named executive officers are disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table and associated footnotes on page 25.

Other Executive Officer Compensation Actions

Hiring of Leslee Gault. On September 11, 2006, we appointed Ms. Leslee Gault as our Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer. The Committee approved Ms. Gault s annual base salary of \$250,000, a \$30,000 signing bonus, the grant of stock options for 20,000 shares of our common stock and the grant of 1,500 shares of restricted stock. The stock option and restricted stock awards have pro-rata vesting over a five year period. We also entered into an employment agreement with Leslee Gault, which provides for certain post-termination payments and benefits, including in the event of a change of control, on the same terms as for our Mr. Gatanas and Ms. Stowers, which are described in more detail in the Executive Employment Contracts and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change-in-Control section of this Proxy Statement.

Tax and Accounting Considerations

We select and implement the elements of compensation for their ability to help us achieve the objectives of our compensation program and not based on any unique or preferential financial tax or accounting treatment. However, when awarding compensation, the Committee is mindful of the level of earnings per share dilution that will be caused as a result of the compensation expense related to the Committee s actions. In addition, Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally sets a limit of \$1.0 million on the amount of annual compensation (other than certain enumerated categories of performance-based compensation) that we may deduct for federal income tax purposes. While we have not adopted a policy requiring that all compensation be deductible and expect that we may pay compensation that is not deductible when necessary to achieve our compensation objectives, we consider the consequences of Section 162(m) in doing so. A portion of our future restricted stock awards are intended to be performance-based grants which are exempt from the deduction limits of Section 162(m).

Other Policies:

Employment Agreements and Change in Control

We have employment agreements with certain of our executives, including our Named Executive Officers, which provide for certain post-employment payments and benefits, including in the event of a change of control. These are discussed in more detail in the Executive Employment Contracts and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change-in-Control section of this Proxy Statement. We believe providing for these post-employment payments and other benefits is consistent with our overall compensation philosophy and serves as a valuable tool in retention of our executives.

Timing of Award Grants

SI International does not time, and has never timed, the grant of stock options in coordination with the release of material non-public information and has never back-dated any awards of stock options. We expect that annual awards to executive officers will be made at a regularly scheduled Committee meeting in the first fiscal quarter of each fiscal year. For corporate and accounting measurement purposes, the date of grant of an award to our executive officers under the Stock Incentive Plan is the date the Committee approves the award. In addition, the fair market value for an award is established as the closing price of the stock on the date of grant.

Delegation of Authority

Although our Chief Executive Officer may recommend to the Committee awards to our executive officers, the Committee is authorized to approve the grant of all awards, including to our executive officers, under the Stock Incentive Plan. The Chief Executive Officer is authorized by the Board of Directors to approve the issuance of stock and stock option grants for up to 10,000 shares under our Stock Incentive Plan to employees who are not executive officers of the Company or direct reports to the Chief Executive Officer. In addition, while we have retained a third party service provider to administer the day-to-day activities of the Stock Incentive Plan, the provider does not determine the recipient of awards, the amount of the awards granted to a participant, or any other terms of the awards (such as the exercise price of stock options).

Stock Ownership/Retention Guidelines

The Board believes that the number of shares of our stock owned by individual members of management is a personal decision, and encourages stock ownership.

REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in any of the Company's previous filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that incorporate future filings, including this Proxy Statement, in whole or in part, the Report of the Compensation Committee and the Comparative Stock Price Performance Graph, which is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, shall not be incorporated by reference into any such filings.

We have reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on our review and discussion with management, we have recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2006.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
/s/ Edward Sproat
Edward Sproat, Chairman
/s/ James E. Crawford III
James E. Crawford, III
/s/ Dennis J. Reimer
Dennis J. Reimer, Member
/s/ John P. Stenbit
John P. Stenbit, Member
Dated: March 9, 2007

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and our other three most highly compensated executive officers who served as executive officers at fiscal year-end 2006 (the Named Executive Officers):

Summary Compensation Table

		Sal	larv	Bonus	Sto	ck ards		ption wards	Incer Plan	Equity ttive pensation	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensatio Earnings	nAll O	other pensation	To	otal
1	Year	(\$)		(\$)	(\$)	ur us	(\$)	(4)	pensarion	(\$)	(\$)	pensurion	(\$))
(a) S. Bradford Antle	(b)	(c)	452.700	(d)	(e)	0.104	(f)		(g)	212.050	(h)	(i)	50.400.(1)	(j)	
President and Chief	2006	\$	452,708		\$	9,104	\$	19,258	\$	213,850		\$	50,498 (1)	\$	745,417
Executive Officer															
(Principal Executive															
Officer)															
Thomas E. Dunn	2006	\$	353,424		\$	5,853	\$	15,406	\$	166,530		\$	21,189 (2)	\$	562,132
EVP, Chief Financial	2000	Ψ	333,424		Ψ	3,033	Ψ	15,400	Ψ	100,550		Ψ	21,107(2)	Ψ	302,132
Officer and Treasurer															
(Principal Financial															
Officer)															
Ray J. Oleson	2006	\$	329,085						\$	150,150		\$	31,343 (3)	\$	510,578
Executive Chairman															
Marylynn Stowers	2006	\$	240,153	\$ 45,000	\$	3,902	\$	7,153	\$	119,438		\$	27,281 (4)	\$	443,426
EVP, IT Solutions															
Group															
Harry D. Gatanas	2006	\$	260,932		\$	3,902	\$	7,153	\$	121,713		\$	18,114 (5)	\$	411,814
EVP, Strategic															
Programs Group															

Column (d). The amounts listed in this column represent bonuses paid outside of an incentive plan arrangement, such as an executive signing bonus. In the case of Ms. Stowers, the amount listed represents a signing bonus paid to her in January 2006 as part of her hiring in December 2005.

Column (e). Amounts in this column represent the expense amount recognized by the Company for fiscal year 2006 calculated in accordance with FAS 123R. The Company s calculation of the expense amount for FAS 123R purposes is based a model that includes subjective assumptions, which are set forth in and discussed in more detail in the footnotes to the financials statements for the Company contains in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year 2006. The awards for which expense is shown in this table include the awards described in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table below.

Column (f). Amounts in this column represent the expense amount recognized by the Company for fiscal year 2006 calculated in accordance with FAS 123R. The amount was determined using the Black-Scholes Model. This model was developed to estimate the fair value of trade options, and changes to the subjective assumptions used in the model can result in materially different fair value estimates. As noted above, the assumptions are set forth in the financial statement footnotes to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2006. The awards for which expense is shown in this table include the awards described in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table below.

Column (g). Executive officers are eligible for non-equity incentive compensation in the form of cash bonuses that are based upon achievement of company, position and/or individual objectives in accordance with our Management Performance Incentive Plan. Cash bonuses under the Management Performance Incentive Plan are accrued in the fiscal year earned and paid in the following fiscal year. The amounts identified in this column were paid in February 2007. For a detailed description of our Management Performance Incentive Plan, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Column (h). The Company Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan provides returns that match the returns on the funds in which the deferred amounts are invested and therefore, the Company does not believe that any portion of the return is deemed above market or preferential in nature.

(1) Includes approximately \$23,131 in aggregate payments on an automobile lease and related expenses, approximately \$4,752 in aggregated payments by the Company for club memberships, approximately \$1,650 in the form of a stipend paid by the

Company for financial planning services, approximately \$10,462 in aggregate payments by the Company in the form of matching contributions to Mr. Antle s 401(k) account, and approximately \$9,620 in aggregate premiums representing coverage for life, medical, and other health benefits for Mr. Antle, which is based upon the premiums for such coverage that would have been paid by other employees of the Company for the same coverage.

- (2) Includes approximately \$4,710, in aggregate payments on an automobile lease and related expenses, approximately \$1,000 in the form of a stipend paid by the Company for financial planning services, approximately \$9,395 in aggregate payments by the Company in the form of matching contributions to Mr. Dunn s 401(k) account, and approximately \$6,084 in aggregate premiums representing coverage for life, medical, and other health benefits for Mr. Dunn, which is based upon the premiums for such coverage that would have been paid by other employees of the Company for the same coverage.
- (3) Includes approximately \$15,652, in aggregate payments on an automobile lease and related expenses, approximately \$2,147 in aggregated payments by the Company for club memberships, approximately \$5,000 in the form of a stipend paid by the Company for financial planning services, approximately \$3,500 in aggregate payments by the Company in the form of matching contributions to Mr. Oleson s 401(k) account, and approximately \$5,044 in aggregate premiums representing coverage for life, medical, and other health benefits for Mr. Oleson, which is based upon the premiums for such coverage that would have been paid by other employees of the Company for the same coverage.
- (4) Includes approximately \$16,070, in aggregate payments on an automobile lease and related expenses, approximately \$3,554 in aggregated payments by the Company for club memberships, approximately \$3,757 in aggregate payments by the Company in the form of matching contributions to Ms. Stowers 401(k) account, and approximately \$4,400 in aggregate premiums representing coverage for life, medical, and other health benefits for Ms. Stowers, which is based upon the premiums for such coverage that would have been paid by other employees of the Company for the same coverage.
- (5) Includes approximately \$14,124, in aggregate payments on an automobile lease and related expenses, approximately \$2,560 in aggregated payments by the Company for club memberships, and approximately \$1,430 in aggregate premiums representing coverage for life, medical, and other health benefits for Mr. Gatanas, which is based upon the premiums for such coverage that would have been paid by other employees of the Company for the same coverage.

Grants of Plan-Based Award

The following table sets forth information concerning grants of plan-based awards to the Named Executive Officers during fiscal year 2006:

		Estimated For Non-Equity	•		Estimated For Equity Incention			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of	All Other Option Awards: f Number of Securities	of	Exercise of Base Price of Option	r Grant Date Fair Value of Stock
Name (a)	Grant Date (b)	Threshold (\$) (c)	Target (\$) (d)	Maximum (\$) (e)	Threshold (#) (f)	Target (#) (g)	Maximum (#) (h)	Stock or Units (i)	Underlyin Options (j)	Ü	Awards (\$/Sh) (k)	and Option Awards (l)
S. Bradf	ord Antle	8/4/2006 8/4/2006	9	\$ 235,000	\$ 470,000			3,500	17,500	\$		\$ 100,135 219,000
Thomas E	. Dunn	8/4/2006 8/4/2006	9	\$ 183,000	\$ 366,000			2,250	14,000	\$	28.61	64,373 175,280
Ray J. O	leson		9	\$ 165,000	\$ 330,000							,
Marylynn	Stowers	8/4/2006 8/4/2006	9	\$ 131,250	\$ 262,500			1,500	6,500	\$		42,915 81,380
Harry D	. Gatanas	8/4/2006 8/4/2006 8/4/2006	9	\$ 133,750	\$ 267,500			1,500	6,500	\$	28.61	42,915 81,380

Columns (c), (d), and (e) represent the amounts that could have been paid at threshold, target and maximum performance levels for fiscal year 2006 under our Management Performance Incentive Plan, and the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table sets forth the actual amounts paid under Management Performance Incentive Plan for fiscal year 2006. For a detailed description of our Management Performance Incentive Plan, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Columns (f), (g), (h), (k), and (l) represent equity grants to the Named Executive Officers made under the Stock Incentive Plan.

Columns (i) represents the number of shares of restricted stock granted to the named recipient during fiscal year 2006. The named recipient is entitled to vote the shares of restricted stock, and the shares of restricted stock incrementally vest over a period of five (5) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period)

Columns (j) represents the number of options for shares of common stock granted to the named recipient during fiscal year 2006. The stock option awards become exercisable in five equal annual installments each year beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date, unless vesting is accelerated based upon a designated change-of-control event.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth information concerning stock and stock option awards held by the Named Executive Officers as of the end of fiscal year 2006:

	Option Awa	rds				Stock Awa	rds		
Name (a)	Options (#) Exercisable	Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Securities Underlying lUnexercised Unearned Options	Option Exercise Price (\$) (e)	Option Expiration Date (f)	Shares or of Stock T	Market Value of U Sit ares or Units hatf Stock That V dstær e Not Vested (\$) (h)	or Other Rights That	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) (j)
S. Bradford Antle	40,406 9,065 45 34,780 25 15,500 50,000	17,500		\$ 14.00 14.00 14.00 16.43 20.55 26.20 29.74 28.61	11/11/2012 11/11/2012 11/11/2012 11/15/2014 7/9/2014 2/3/2015 10/6/2015 8/4/2016	3,500	\$ 113,470		
Thomas E. Dunn	4,924 8,700 20,000 25 12,500 20,000	14,000		\$ 14.00 16.43 16.43 25.01 26.20 29.74 28.61	11/11/2012 1/15/2014 1/15/2014 4/19/2014 2/3/2015 10/6/2015 8/4/2016	2,250	\$ 72,945		
Ray J. Oleson	11 65 92,959 1 32,160 25 22,000			\$ 9.27 14.00 14.00 11.19 16.43 25.18 26.20	12/31/2011 11/11/2012 11/11/2012 1/31/2013 1/15/2014 10/14/2014 2/3/2015	2,230	<i>₽ 12</i> ,7 1 3		
Marylynn Stowers	45,000	6,500		\$ 30.57 28.61	12/30/2015 8/4/2016	1,500	\$ 48,630		
Harry D. Gatanas	45,000	6,500		\$ 31.24 28.61	8/8/2015 8/4/2016	1,500	\$ 48,630		ont data pulsas vastina

Column (b) Stock option awards become exercisable in five equal annual installments each year beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date, unless vesting is accelerated based upon a designated change of control event.

Column (g) All shares subject to stock awards are entitled to vote, and incrementally vest over a period of five (5) years from the date of grant (with unvested shares being subject to the risk of forfeiture during the vesting period)

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table sets forth information concerning stock options exercised by the Named Executive Officers and vesting of stock awards to the Named Executive Officers during fiscal year 2006:

News	Option Awards Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise	Stock Awards Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting
Name	(#)	(\$)	(#)	(\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
S. Bradford Antle	30,000	\$ 1,024,931 (1)		\$
Thomas E. Dunn	27,000	911,169 (2)		
Ray J. Oleson				
Marylynn Stowers				
Harry D. Gatanas				

- (1) This amount reflects the aggregate value of the shares acquired on exercise. The net value received by Mr. Antle, after accounting for the portion of the value attributable to the exercise price paid by him, was \$655,973.
- This amount reflects the aggregate value of the shares acquired on exercise. The net value received by Mr. Dunn, after accounting for the portion of the value attributable to the exercise price paid by him, was \$533,169.

The Company does not have, and the Named Executive Officers do not participate in, any pension plans.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

The following table sets forth information concerning deferrals of compensation to any non-tax qualified defined contribution or other plan by the Named Executive Officers during fiscal year 2006:

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
S. Bradford Antle	\$ 13,000	\$	\$ 9,271	\$	\$ 77,317
Thomas E. Dunn	\$ 155,500	\$	\$ 38,229	\$	\$ 342,746
Ray J. Oleson	\$	\$	\$ 39,180	\$	\$ 482,123
Marylynn Stowers	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Harry D. Gatanas	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

Named Executive Officers participating in the Company s Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan are entitled to defer compensation, including base salary, bonus, and amounts received under our Management Performance Incentive Plan. With respect to amounts deferred under the plan after December 31, 2004, Named Executive Officers participating in the plan are not entitled to receive a distribution from the plan earlier than six (6) months following the severance from service to the Company. Named Executive Officers participating in the plan may withdraw money from their individual account under certain conditions, including the following:

- Interim Distributions A Named Executive Officer can sign a compensation deferral agreement designating a date in the future for receipt of money in their individual account, which date can be 5, 7, or 10 years from the end of the taxable year to which the deferral election applies.
- Unforeseeable Emergency In the case of an unforeseeable financial emergency, as determined in the sole discretion of the plan administrator, the administrator may pay amounts from an individual s account determined to be necessary to satisfy the emergency.
- Election to Receive A Named Executive Officer can make an elective withdrawal for amounts deferred prior to January 1, 2005 subject to a withdrawal penalty and further subject to non-participation in the plan for the remainder of that taxable year.
- Plan Termination In the event of plan termination, a Named Executive Officer may receive a lump sum distribution from his or her individual account.
- Change in Control A Named Executive Officer may receive a lump sum distribution in the event of a change in control, as defined by the plan.

Column (b) The contributions reflected in this column for each Named Executive Officer are included in the Salary and/or Non-Equity Incentive Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table as follows:

		Non-Equity Incentive	
Name	Salary	Compensation	Total
S. Bradford Antle		\$ 13,000	\$ 13,000
Thomas E. Dunn		\$ 155,000	\$ 155,000

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table provides information about the securities authorized for issuance under *SI International* s equity compensation plans as of December 30, 2006:

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (*)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights)
Equity compensation plans approved by	_		_
security holders	1,500,505	\$ 22.33	798,471
Equity compensation plans not approved by			
security holders	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	1,500,505	\$ 22.33	798,471

^{*} The number of shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under the Stock Incentive Plan as of December 30, 2006 was 2,920,000.

Executive Employment Contracts and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change-in-Control

In July 2002, we entered into Executive Employment Agreements with S. Bradford Antle, Ray J. Oleson, and Thomas Dunn. In November 2005, we entered into an Executive Employment Agreement with Harry D. Gatanas. In January 2006, we entered into an Executive Employment Agreement with Marylynn Stowers. Messrs. Antle, Oleson, Dunn, and Gatanas, and Ms. Stowers are collectively referred to herein as the Executives and each, an Executive.

Pursuant to the terms of these agreements, each Executive s employment extends until July 1st and is automatically extended for additional one-year terms unless Company or the Executive provides written notice that such party does not wish to extend the term of the Agreement no later than ninety (90) calendar days prior to the end of such term. Each agreement contains severance provisions that provide for payment to the Executive upon the occurrence of certain events, including death or disability, termination by the Company without cause or by the Executive for Constructive Termination (including without limitation in the event of a Change of Control), and termination upon the non-renewal of the employment agreement. In the event the Executive is terminated by the Company for cause or the Executive terminates the agreement without Constructive Termination, the Executive is entitled to his accrued salary and benefits prior to the date of termination.

The agreement also contains severance provisions that call for payment to the Executive of the following amounts in the event that (i) he or she is terminated without cause, (ii) he or she resigns for Constructive Termination, (iii) he or she dies or becomes disabled, or (iv) his or her employment agreement terminates at the end of its term because the Company provides notice prior to the end of the term that it does not intend to extend the agreement:

- accrued and unpaid salary through the date of termination;
- a cash payment equal to 100% of his or her annual salary in effect immediately prior to the termination;
- a cash payment equal to 100% of the performance-based bonus which was earned (but has not yet received) by the Executive for the fiscal year preceding the termination and a pro-rata bonus, to the

date of termination, for any performance-based bonus that the Executive would have earned for the fiscal year in which the termination occurs;

- for a period of one year after termination, the Company shall administer and pay for the Executive s life, disability, accident and health insurance benefits substantially similar to those which the Executive received prior to the Termination; and
- in the event of such a termination within one year (24 months in the case of Messrs. Antle, Oleson, and Dunn) of the date of a definitive agreement for a change of control that is subsequently consummated, full vesting of the Executive s stock and stock option awards that have not yet become vested.

Cause is defined in each Executive s agreement as:

- A good faith finding by the Board or the Chief Executive Officer that the executive officer (w) has been convicted of a felony, (x) has been convicted of a misdemeanor (excluding traffic violations) to the extent such conviction could reasonably be considered to compromise our best interests or render the executive officer unfit or unable to perform its services and duties hereunder, (y) has committed any other act or omission involving dishonesty, disloyalty or fraud with respect to us or our customers or suppliers, or (z) has committed an act involving unlawful or disreputable conduct in the context of the executive officer—s employment which is likely to be harmful to us or our reputation;
- The continued failure by the executive officer to perform its duties in all material respects or a material breach for the Company or any of its Subsidiaries continuing for a period of 45 days following a demand for such performance by the Board or the Chief Executive Officer or a material breach by the Executive of its obligations under this Agreement continuing uncured (if curable) for a period of 45 days following notice from the Board or the Chief Executive Officer (other than any such failure or breach resulting from the Executive incapacity due to physical or mental illness), which demand shall identify in reasonable detail the manner that the Executive has not performed its duties or has breached its obligations (as applicable) and give the Executive an opportunity to respond; or
- A good faith finding by the Board or the Chief Executive Officer that the Executive engaged in (x) misconduct materially injurious to us or our reputation or (ii) gross negligence or willful misconduct which has a material adverse effect on us.

Change of Control is defined in each Executive s agreement as having been deemed to occur if:

- there shall be consummated (x) any consolidation or merger of the Company in which the Company is not the continuing or surviving corporation or pursuant to which shares of the Company s Common Stock would be converted into cash, securities or other property, other than a merger of the Company in which the holders of the Company s Common Stock immediately prior to the merger hold more than fifty percent (50%) of the voting power of the surviving corporation immediately after the merger, or (y) any sale, lease, exchange or other transfer (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of all, or substantially all, of the assets of the Company, or
- the stockholders of the Company shall approve any plan or proposal for liquidation or dissolution of the Company, or
- any person (as such term is used in Section 13(d) and 14(d)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) who, on the date of this Agreement, does not own five percent (5%) or more of the Company s outstanding Common Stock on a fully-diluted basis (a 5% Owner) and is not controlling, controlled by or under common control with any such 5% Owner,

shall become the beneficial owner (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act) of fifty percent (50%) or more of the Company s outstanding Common Stock other than pursuant to a plan or arrangement entered into by such person and the Company, or

• within any twenty-four (24) month period, the following individuals cease for any reason to constitute a majority of the number of directors then serving on the Board: individuals who, on the date hereof, constitute the Board and any new director (other than a director whose initial assumption of office is in connection with an actual or threatened election contest, including but not limited to a consent solicitation relating to the election of directors of the Company) whose appointment or election by the Board or nomination for election by the Company s shareholders was approved or recommended by a vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the directors then still in office who either were directors on the date hereof or whose appointment, election or nomination for election was previously so approved or recommended.

Constructive Termination is defined in each Executive s agreement as the occurrence, without the Executive s written consent, of any of the following circumstances unless such circumstances are fully corrected prior to the Date of Termination specified in the Notice of Termination given in respect thereof:

- The relocation of the Executive s principal place of employment to a location outside of the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area or the Company s requiring the Executive to be based anywhere other than such principal place of employment (or permitted relocation thereof) except for required travel on the Company s business to an extent substantially consistent with the Executive s present business travel obligations; or
- The failure by the Company to pay to the Executive any portion of the Executive s then Base Salary or allocated bonus, incentive or other form of compensation or to pay to the Executive any portion of an installment of deferred compensation under any deferred compensation program of the Company, within seven (7) days of the date such compensation is due; or
- A material breach of this Agreement by the Company.

The Executive s right to terminate the Executive s employment as a result of Constructive Termination shall not be affected by the Executive s incapacity due to physical or mental illness. The Executive s right to terminate the Executive s employment as a result of a Constructive Termination must be exercised within twenty (20) days after the Executive becomes aware of the occurrence of any circumstance constituting Constructive Termination hereunder.

The amount of compensation payable to each Named Executive Officer upon any termination is shown below. All estimates are based on an assumed termination date of December 29, 2006. The actual payments due on terminations occurring on different dates could materially differ from the estimates in the table.

Termination by the Company without Cause:

Termination by Executive based upon Constructive Termination;

Termination in the event of Death or Disability;

Termination upon Expiration of the Employment Agreement due to Company Election Not to Extend

Name	Severance Amount (1)	Early Vesting of Stock Awards (2)	Other (3)	Total (4)
S. Bradford Antle	\$ 683,850	\$ 180,145	\$ 16,990	\$ 880,985
Thomas E. Dunn	532,530	126,285	10,774	669,589
Ray J. Oleson	480,150	-	10,774	490,924
Marylynn Stowers	381,938	73,395	16,990	472,323
Harry D. Gatanas	389,213	73,395	1,428	464,036

- (1) Represents a cash payment of 100% of the annual salary payable to the Executive and 100% of the bonus that would have been earned by the Executive as of such date, the latter of which was based upon the Company s actual bonus payment for performance for fiscal year 2006.
- Represents the value of the stock and stock option awards held by the Executive and unvested as of December 29, 2006. In the case of stock awards, the value of the unvested awards is the number of unvested shares multiplied by the closing market price of the Company s stock on December 29, 2006. In the event of stock option awards, the value of the unvested awards is the number of unvested shares multiplied by the difference between the closing market price of the Company s stock on December 29, 2006 and the exercise price for each such option award. The amounts in this column apply and would be received by the Executive if the termination occurs within one year (24 months in the case of Messrs. Antle, Oleson and Dunn) following the execution of a definitive agreement for a Change of Control, which transaction is subsequently consummated.
- (3) Represents coverage provided by the Company for life, disability, accident and health insurance benefits substantially similar to those which the Executive received as of December 29, 2006, and the amounts represent the cost that would be incurred by the Company for providing such coverage.
- Represents the maximum amount that the Executive can receive, including in the event the Executive is entitled to full vesting of his or her stock and stock option awards in the event of a termination occurring within one year (24 months in the case of Messrs. Antle, Oleson and Dunn) following the execution of a definitive agreement for a Change of Control, which transaction is subsequently consummated.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

In December 2006, the Company adopted a new policy regarding review of related party transactions. The policy requires disclosure and review of any transactions or proposed transactions that involves any Director, nominee for Director, executive officer, or holder of more than five percent of any class of Company securities, by the individual or his or her immediate family members. Prior to the entering into any such transaction (including any transactions that may be subject to the provisions of Item 404 of Regulation S-K under the Exchange Act), the proposed transaction shall be disclosed to the Audit Committee. The materiality of any interest is to be determined on the basis of the significance to the Company and to stockholders in light of all the circumstances of the particular case. The importance of the interest to the person having the interest, the relationship of the parties to the transaction with each other and the amount involved in the transaction are among the factors to be considered in determining the significance of the transactions. After reviewing all the material facts as to such person s relationship or interest in the transaction, the Audit Committee may in good faith authorize the transaction by the affirmative votes of a majority of the disinterested directors serving on the Audit Committee.

The Company has entered into indemnity agreements with certain of its executive officers and each of its Directors, which provide, among other things, that the Company will indemnify such officer or Director, under the circumstances and to the extent provided for therein, for expenses, damages, judgments, fines and settlements he or she may be required to pay in actions or proceedings which he or she is or may be made a party by reason of his or her position as a Director or executive officer of the Company, and otherwise to the fullest extent permitted under Delaware law and the Company s Bylaws. These agreements are in addition to the indemnification provided to the Company s officers under its Bylaws in accordance with Delaware law.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The following report of the Audit Committee shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall it be incorporated by reference into any filing by SI International under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

Duties, Powers and Responsibilities. All four (4) of the Audit Committee members are independent and financially literate, as defined by the charter of the Audit Committee, the applicable Securities and Exchange Commission rules, and the listing standards of the Nasdaq National Market. In accordance with a written charter adopted by the Board, the Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibility for overseeing the quality and integrity of SI International s financial reporting processes. A current copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available at the Investor section of the Company s website located at www.si-intl.com and in print, free of charge, to any stockholder who requests it. Management is responsible for the Company s internal control over financial reporting and the financial reporting process. The Company s independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company s consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, issuing a report on those consolidated financial statements, and issuing an attestation report on management s assessment of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee is responsible for monitoring and overseeing these processes. The Audit Committee met five times during fiscal year 2006.

Review and Discussions with Management and Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. In fulfilling its responsibilities set forth in the Audit Committee Charter, the Committee has accomplished the following:

- 1. It has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for fiscal year 2006 with management.
- 2. It has discussed with its independent accountants, Ernst & Young LLP, the matters required to be discussed by Statements on Auditing Standards (SAS) 61 (Codification of Statements on Accounting Standards), as amended through March 9, 2007, which includes, among other items, matters related to the conduct of the audit of our financial statements.
- 3. It has received the written disclosures and the letter from Ernst & Young LLP required by Independence Standards Board s Standard No. 1 (Independence Discussions with Audit Committee), as amended through March 9, 2007, which relates to the accountant s independence from us and our related entities.
- 4. It has discussed with Ernst & Young LLP their independence from us under Independence Standards Board s Standard No. 1 (Independence Discussions with Audit Committee).

Conclusion. Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2006 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY THE AUDIT COMMITTEE
OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS,
/s/ Charles A. Bowsher
Charles A. Bowsher, Chairman
/s/ Maureen A. Baginski
Maureen A. Baginski, Member
/s/ General R. Thomas Marsh
General R. Thomas Marsh (USAF, Retired), Member
/s/ Edward Sproat
Edward Sproat, Member
Dated: March 9, 2007

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

(PROPOSAL 2)

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm For 2007

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has selected the firm of Ernst & Young LLP as SI International s independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year. Ernst & Young LLP has served as SI International s independent registered public accounting firm since May 30, 2002. Stockholder ratification of the Board of Directors selection of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm is not required by law, by the Company s bylaws or otherwise. However, the Company is submitting the selection of Ernst & Young LLP to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the stockholders do not ratify the selection, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether or not to retain Ernst & Young LLP. In such event, the Audit Committee may retain Ernst & Young LLP, notwithstanding the fact that the stockholders did not ratify the selection, or select another nationally recognized accounting firm without re-submitting the matter to the stockholders. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee reserves the right in its discretion to select a different nationally recognized accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and will also be available to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

If a quorum is present and no stockholder has exercised cumulative voting rights, the appointment of the registered independent public accounting firm will be ratified by a majority of the voting power of the outstanding shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on the ratification of the appointment of the registered independent public accounting firm. Abstentions and broker non-votes have no effect on the vote.

Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The following table presents fees for audit services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP for the audit of the Company s annual financial statements for fiscal years 2006 and 2005, and fees billed for other services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP during those periods.

Fees	Fiscal Year 2006	Fiscal Year 2005
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 847,710	\$ 708,405
Audit-Related Fees (2)	406,236	192,979
Tax Fees (3)	110,578	123,670
All Other Fees (4)		

- Audit Fees These are fees for professional services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP in connection with the audit of the Company s financial statements and management s assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, including review of financial statements included in the Company s 10-Q filings, and services that are normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, such as 8-K filings.
- Audit-Related Fees These are fees for assurance and related services performed by Ernst & Young LLP that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company s financial statements. This includes: employee benefit plan audits; due diligence in connection with potential mergers and acquisitions; consulting on financial accounting/reporting standards, and attest services not required by statute or regulation.

- (3) Tax Fees These are fees for professional services performed by Ernst & Young LLP with respect to tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. This includes: preparation of original and amended tax returns for the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries; refund claims; payment planning; tax audit assistance; and tax work stemming from Audit-Related items.
- (4) All Other Fees These are fees for other permissible work performed by Ernst & Young LLP that does not meet the above category descriptions.

Audit, Audit-Related and Non-Audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, are subject to a policy of the Company regarding the Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services. The Audit Committee monitors audit services engagements, reviews such engagements at least quarterly, and approves any changes in the terms, conditions, fees, or scope of such engagements. The Audit Committee has pre-approved certain services, including the following:

- services associated with registration statements, periodic reports and other documents filed with the SEC, and services related to securities offerings and responses to SEC comment letters (e.g., consents and comfort letters);
- consultations and assistance related to accounting, financial reporting or disclosure matters, and the actual or potential impact of final or proposed rules, standards of interpretation by the SEC, FASB, or other regulatory or standard-setting bodies;
- audit related services; and
- tax services.

The following services require specific pre-approval of the Audit Committee:

- annual audit services engagement, terms and fees, including required quarterly reviews;
- attestation engagement for the independent registered public accounting firm s report on management s report on internal control for financial reporting; and
- audit of 401(k) plan(s) for the fiscal year end.

In accordance with SEC rules and regulations, the following services will not be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm:

- bookkeeping or other services related to the accounting records or financial statements of the Company;
- financial information systems design and implementation;
- appraisal or valuation services, fairness opinions or contribution-in-kind reports;
- actuarial services;
- internal audit outsourcing;
- management functions;
- human resources:
- broker-dealer, investment adviser or investment banking services;

- legal services; and
- expert services unrelated to the audit.

A copy of this policy is available on our website, www.si-intl.com, and is also available in print, free of charge, to any stockholder who requests it.

Each year, the independent registered public accounting firm s retention to audit the Company s financial statements, including the associated fee, is approved by the Audit Committee and the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm is presented to the stockholders for ratification. The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors believes that the provision of services by Ernst & Young LLP is compatible with maintaining such auditor s independence.

During the course of the fiscal year and in accordance with this policy, the Audit Committee will evaluate known potential engagements of the independent registered public accounting firm, including the scope of work proposed to be performed and the proposed fees, and approve or reject each service, taking into account whether the services are permissible under applicable law and the possible impact of each non-audit service on the independent registered public accounting firm s independence from management.

OUR AUDIT COMMITTEE AND BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM.

DEADLINE FOR STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 14a-8(e), proposals of stockholders intended to be presented at the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must be received by the Secretary of the Company at our principal executive offices at 12012 Sunset Hills Roads, Reston, Virginia 20190, not later than January 22, 2008 for inclusion in the proxy statement for that meeting. Under our Bylaws, a stockholder must comply with certain procedures to nominate persons for election to the Board of Directors or to propose other business to be considered at an Annual Meeting of stockholders. These procedures provide that stockholders desiring to make nominations for Directors and/or to bring a proper subject before a meeting must do so by notice timely delivered to the Secretary of the Company. The Secretary of the Company generally must receive notice of any such proposal not less than 45 days or more than 75 days prior to the first anniversary of the date on which the Company first mailed its proxy materials for the preceding year s Annual Meeting of stockholders. In the case of proposals for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, assuming the meeting is held within 30 days of the anniversary of the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Secretary of the Company must receive notice at our principal executive offices in Reston, Virginia not earlier than March 4, 2008 and not later than (a) April 3, 2008 other than proposals intended to be included in the proxy statement and form of proxy, which, as noted above, the Company must receive by January 22, 2008. If the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is not held within 30 days of the anniversary of the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Secretary of the Company must receive notice of any proposal at our principal executive offices in Reston, Virginia no later than the later of the 90th day prior to the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or the 10th day following the day that the notice of the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders was mailed or public disclosure was made.

Generally, such stockholder notice must set forth:

- as to each nominee for Director, all information relating to such person as would be required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for the election of such nominees as Directors under the proxy rules of the SEC,
- as to any other business, a brief description of such business, the reasons for conducting such business at the meeting and any material interest in such business of the stockholder and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the proposal is made, and
- as to the stockholder giving the notice and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination or proposal is made, (i) the name and address of the stockholder (as they appear in the Company s books) and beneficial owner, (ii) the class and number of shares of the Company that are owned beneficially and of record by the stockholder and the beneficial owner, and (iii) whether either the stockholder or the beneficial owner intends to deliver a proxy statement and form of proxy to holders of, in the case of a proposal, at least the percentage of the Company s voting stock required under applicable law to carry the proposal or, in the case of a nomination or nominations, a sufficient number of holders of the Company s voting stock to elect the nominee or nominees.

A copy of the Company s Bylaws is available in print free of charge to any stockholder who requests it.

Management proxies will be authorized to exercise discretionary authority with respect to any stockholder proposal not included in our proxy materials unless (i) assuming the meeting is held within 30 days of the anniversary of the 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders we receive notice of such proposal by the later of the 45th day prior to such Annual Meeting and (ii) the conditions set forth in Rule 14a-4(c)(2)(i)-(iii) under the Exchange Act are met.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Management knows of no matters that are to be presented for action at the Annual Meeting other than those set forth above. If any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, the persons named in the enclosed form of proxy will vote the shares represented by proxies in accordance with their best judgment on such matters.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our Directors, officers and certain persons who own more than 10% of our common stock to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission reports concerning their beneficial ownership of our equity securities. These persons are required to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms that they file. To our knowledge, based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us from our Directors, officers and greater than 10% beneficial owners, all of these reports were filed on a timely basis. There were, however, an amended Form 5 filing for Mr. James Crawford III, and amended Forms 4 and 5 filings for Mr. Walter Florence. These filings were made to clarify ownership rights, set out in previously filed Forms 4 and 5, of Frontenac Company for shares of the Company s common stock beneficially owned by each Director. We believe that all Directors and officers of SI International subject to Section 16(a) reporting are current in their reporting obligations thereunder, except as noted above.

By Order of the Board,

RAY J. OLESON Chairman of the Board of Directors

Reston, Virginia April 10, 2007

(Side 1)

PROXY

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF

The undersigned hereby appoints James E. Daniel III and Thomas E. Dunn proxies, each with the power to act without the other and with power of substitution, and hereby authorizes them to represent and vote, as designated on the other side, all of the shares of stock of *SI International*, *Inc.* (the Corporation) standing in the name of the undersigned with all powers which the undersigned would possess if present at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Corporation to be held at 8:00 a.m. local time on June 22, 2007, or any adjournment thereof.

(Continued, and to be marked, dated and signed, on the other side)

(SIDE 2)

THIS PROXY WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED WILL BE VOTED IN THE MANNER DIRECTED HEREIN BY THE UNDERSIGNED SHAREHOLDER.

IF NO DIRECTION IS MADE, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR PROPOSALS 1 AND 2. THE BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ALL PROPOSALS.

1.	To elect Ray J. Oleson, General Directors serving a three (3) Yea		d John P. Stenbit as	Instruction:	to vote for any individual ominee s name in the space			
	For all nominees (except as marked to the contrary)		ithhold Authority ote for all nominees		provided below.			
	0		0		vote upon such other l	ed herein, the proxies are business as may properly come		
2.	To ratify the appointment of Errindependent registered public ac			Please sign exactly as name appears below. When shares are joint tenants, both should sign. When signing as attorney, exe administrator, trustee, or guardian, please give full title as suc corporation, please sign in full corporate name by president of				
	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN	authorized offi authorized per	1 1.1	ease sign in partnership name by		
	0	o	0					
				DA	ATED:	, 2007		
		(Signature)						
	Record Holder Name:				(Signature if he	eld jointly)		
	Address:				E, SIGN, DATE AND USING THE ENCLOSE	RETURN THE PROXY CARD ED ENVELOPE.		
	Shares:							