Bunge LTD Form 10-Q August 09, 2010 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

#### FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 001-16625

#### **BUNGE LIMITED**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Bermuda 98-0231912

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**50 Main Street, White Plains, New York** (Address of principal executive offices)

**10606** (Zip Code)

(914) 684-2800

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

C

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes o No x

As of August 2, 2010 the number of common shares outstanding of the registrant was:

Common shares, par value \$.01: 140,278,624

#### BUNGE LIMITED

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Pag
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION		
<u>Item 1.</u>	Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	1
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	4
	Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
	Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Statements	31
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	31
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	51
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	55
PART II INFORMATION		
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	55
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	55
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	57
Item 3.	Defaults upon Senior Securities	57
Item 4.	[Reserved]	57
Item 5.	Other Information	57
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	57
Signatures		58
Exhibit Index		E-1

i

#### PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### **BUNGE LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES**

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

(U.S. dollars in millions, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
		2010		2009	2010		2009	
Net sales	\$	10,974	\$	10,994	\$ 21,319	\$	20,192	
Cost of goods sold		(10,549)		(10,582)	(20,349)		(19,645)	
Gross profit		425		412	970		547	
Selling, general and administrative expenses		(415)		(309)	(762)		(603)	
Gain on sale of fertilizer nutrients assets		2,440			2,440			
Interest income		23		40	42		76	
Interest expense		(101)		(66)	(179)		(133)	
Foreign exchange (losses) gains		(49)		320	(99)		301	
Other income (expense) net		(3)		(1)	(3)		(8)	
Income from operations before income tax		2,320		396	2,409		180	
Income tax expense		(542)		(79)	(551)		(45)	
Income from operations after income tax		1,778		317	1,858		135	
Equity in earnings of affiliates		9		5	9		11	
Net income		1,787		322	1,867		146	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest		(9)		(9)	(26)		(28)	
Net income attributable to Bunge		1,778		313	1,841		118	
Convertible preference share dividends		(20)		(20)	(39)		(39)	
Net income available to Bunge common shareholders	\$	1,758	\$	293	\$ 1,802	\$	79	
Earnings per common share basic (Note 19)								
Earnings to Bunge common shareholders	\$	12.21	\$	2.40	\$ 12.68	\$	0.65	
Earnings per common share diluted (Note 19)								
Earnings to Bunge common shareholders	\$	11.15	\$	2.28	\$ 11.67	\$	0.64	

Dividends per common share

\$ 0.23

\$

0.21

\$

0.44

\$

0.40

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

1

#### BUNGE LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

#### (U.S. dollars in millions, except share data)

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents \$	2,771	\$ 553
Trade accounts receivable (less allowance of \$189 and \$192)	2,489	2,363
Inventories (Note 5)	4,571	4,862
Deferred income taxes	145	506
Other current assets (Note 6)	2,980	3,499
Total current assets	12,956	11,783
Property, plant and equipment, net	4,651	5,347
Goodwill (Note 7)	960	427
Other intangible assets, net (Note 8)	191	170
Investments in affiliates	584	622
Deferred income taxes	966	979
Other non-current assets	1,786	1,958
Total assets \$	22,094	\$ 21,286
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term debt \$	172	\$ 166
Current portion of long-term debt	844	31
Trade accounts payable	3,278	3,275
Deferred income taxes	68	100
Other current liabilities (Note 10)	2,345	2,635
Total current liabilities	6,707	6,207
Long-term debt	2,828	3,618
Deferred income taxes	115	183
Other non-current liabilities	757	913
Commitments and contingencies (Note 15)		
Shareholders equity:		
Mandatory convertible preference shares, par value \$.01; authorized 862,500; issued and outstanding:		
2010 and 2009 862,455 shares (liquidation preference \$1,000 per share)	863	863
Convertible perpetual preference shares, par value \$.01; authorized issued and outstanding: 2010 and		
2009 6,900,000 shares (liquidation preference \$100 per share)	690	690
Common shares, par value \$.01; authorized 400,000,000 shares; issued: 2010 144,713,558 shares, 2009		
134,096,906 shares	1	1
Additional paid-in capital	4,252	3,625
Retained earnings	5,734	3,996
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(25)	319
Treasury shares, at cost (2010 2,050,000 shares)	(107)	
Total Bunge shareholders equity	11,408	9,494
Noncontrolling interest	279	871
Total equity	11,687	10,365

Total liabilities and shareholders equity

\$ 22,094

\$

21,286

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

2

#### BUNGE LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

#### (U.S. dollars in millions)

OPERATING ACTIVITIES         2010         2009           Net income         \$ 1,867         \$ 146           Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash used for operating activities:         \$ 225         (359)           Foreign exchange loss (gain) on debt         225         (359)           Gain on sale of fertilizer nutrients assets         (2,440)         12           Impairment of assets         12         16         23           Bad debt expense         16         23           Depreciation, depletion and amortization         215         200
Net income \$ 1,867 \$ 146 Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash used for operating activities: Foreign exchange loss (gain) on debt 225 (359) Gain on sale of fertilizer nutrients assets (2,440) Impairment of assets Bad debt expense 12 Bad debt expense 23
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash used for operating activities:  Foreign exchange loss (gain) on debt Gain on sale of fertilizer nutrients assets (2,440) Impairment of assets Bad debt expense 12 Bad debt expense 16 23
Foreign exchange loss (gain) on debt 225 (359) Gain on sale of fertilizer nutrients assets (2,440) Impairment of assets 12 Bad debt expense 16 23
Gain on sale of fertilizer nutrients assets Impairment of assets Bad debt expense (2,440) 12 16 23
Impairment of assets12Bad debt expense1623
Bad debt expense 16 23
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Depreciation, depletion and amortization 215 200
Stock-based compensation expense 34 16
Recoverable taxes provision 1 37
Deferred income taxes 202 (104)
Equity in earnings of affiliates (9) (11)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, excluding the effects of acquisitions:
Trade accounts receivable (645) 361
Inventories (80) (528)
Prepaid commodity purchase contracts (126) (211) Secured advances to suppliers 67 257
Advances on sales 20 21 Unrealized net gain/loss on derivative contracts 15 213
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Margin deposits 153 (279) Accrued liabilities 179 (69)
Other net (387) (356)
Cash used for operating activities (159) (1,754) INVESTING ACTIVITIES
Payments made for capital expenditures (547) (346)
Acquisitions of businesses (net of cash acquired) (133) (19)
Proceeds from sale of fertilizer nutrients assets  3,886
Cash disposed in sale of fertilizer nutrients assets (106)
Proceeds from investments 28 60
Proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment 3 5
Related party loans (7)
Investments in affiliates (2)
Change in restricted cash (28)
Cash provided by (used for) investing activities  3,122 (347)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES
Net change in short-term debt with maturities of 90 days or less 219 364
Proceeds from short-term debt with maturities greater than 90 days  267  784
Repayments of short-term debt with maturities greater than 90 days (852) (625)
Proceeds from long-term debt 132 2,857
Repayment of long-term debt (306) (1,754)
Proceeds from sale of common shares 2 1
Repurchase of common shares (86)
Dividends paid to preference shareholders (39)

Dividends paid to common shareholders	(60)	(46)
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interest		(8)
Other	22	(3)
Cash (used for) provided by financing activities	(701)	1,531
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(44)	55
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,218	(515)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	553	1,004
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 2,771	\$ 489

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### **BUNGE LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES**

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (Unaudited)

#### (U.S. dollars in millions, except share data)

Convertible Preference

Shares Common Shares

					Additional Paid-in	Retained	Accumulated Other ed Comprehensive Treasury		Non -	Total	Comprehensive
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		Earnings	Income (Loss)	Shares	Interest	Equity	Income (Loss)
Balance,					** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		+.0	_	****		
January 1, 2009 Comprehensive	7,762,455	\$1,553	121,632,456	\$1	\$2,849	\$3,844	\$(811)	\$	\$692	\$8,128	
income											
(loss) 2009:											
Net income						118			28	146	\$146
Other											
comprehensive											
income (loss):											
Foreign											
exchange translation											
adjustment, net											
of tax expense of											
\$0							596		101	697	697
Unrealized gains											
on commodity											
futures and											
foreign exchange contracts, net of											
tax expense of											
\$12							32			32	32
Unrealized											
investment											
gains, net of tax											
expense of \$1							2			2	2
Reclassification of realized net											
gains, net of tax											
of \$18							33		(2)	31	31
Pension									(=)	01	
adjustment, net											
of tax benefit \$5							(4)		(6)	(10)	(10)
Total											
comprehensive							(50		101		\$000
income Dividends on							659		121		\$898
common shares						(71)				(71)	
Dividends on						(,1)				(,1)	
preference shares	;					(39)				(39)	
Dividends paid						. ,			(17)	(17)	
to noncontrolling											
interest on											

subsidiary common stock Return of capital to noncontrolling interest Capital contribution from								(43)	(43)
noncontrolling interest								41	41
Consolidation of									
a subsidiary								5	5
Purchase of									
additional shares in subsidiary									
from									
noncontrolling									
interest					(4)				(4)
Stock-based									
compensation									
expense					16				16
Issuance of									
stock options									
and award plans, net of shares									
withheld for									
taxes			404,464		(4)				(4)
Balance					. ,				(-)
June 30, 2009	7,762,455	\$1,553	122,036,920	\$1	\$2,857	\$3,852	<b>\$</b> (152)	\$ <b>\$799</b>	\$8,910

(Continued on following page)

Convertible Preference

Shares Common Shares

	Sha	res	Common	Shares										
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Treasury Shares	Non- Controlling Interest	Total Equity	Comprehensive Income (Loss)			
Balance, January 1, 2010 Comprehensive income	7,762,455	\$1,553	134,096,906	\$1	\$3,625	\$3,996	\$319	\$	\$871	\$10,365				
(loss) 2010: Net income Other						1,841			26	1,867	\$1,867			
comprehensive income (loss): Foreign exchange translation adjustment, net														
of tax expense of \$0 Unrealized							(346)		(45)	(391)	(391)			
gains on commodity futures and foreign exchange														
contracts, net of tax of \$0 Unrealized investment							1			1	1			
gains, net of tax expense of \$0 Other							(1)			(1)	(1)			
postretirement healthcare subsidy tax deduction														
adjustment Total comprehensive							2			2	2			
income (loss) Dividends on common shares						(64)	(344)		(19)	(64)	\$1,478			
Dividends on preference shares Dividends to						(39)				(39)				
noncontrolling interest on subsidiary									(0)	(0)				
common stock Return of capital to noncontrolling									(9)	(9)				
interest Capital contribution									(6)	(6)				
from noncontrolling interest Initial									27	27				
consolidation of subsidiary									3	3				

Sale of non-wholly										
owned										
subsidiary (Note										
18)									(588)	(588)
Stock-based										
compensation										
expense					34					34
Repurchase of										
common shares			(2,050,000)					(107)		(107)
Issuance of										
common shares:										
Business										
acquisition										
(Note 3)			10,252,895		597					597
stock options										
and award										
plans, net of										
shares withheld			262.757		(4)					(4)
for taxes			363,757		(4)					(4)
Balance	7.763.455	¢1 552	142 ((2 550	<b>61</b>	¢4.252	<b>\$5.724</b>	¢(25)	¢(107)	<b>\$270</b>	¢11 (07
June 30, 2010	7,762,455	\$1,553	142,663,558	\$1	\$4,252	\$5,734	\$(25)	<b>\$(107)</b>	\$279	\$11,687

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

#### BUNGE LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Bunge Limited and its subsidiaries (Bunge) have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2009 has been derived from Bunge s audited consolidated financial statements at that date. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. The financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2009, forming part of Bunge s 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 1, 2010.

**Reclassifications** Certain reclassifications related to Bunge s change in segments were made to the prior period condensed consolidated financial statements to conform to the current period presentation (see Note 20 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

#### 2. ADOPTION OF NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Amendment to Consolidation In June 2009, the FASB issued a standard that requires an enterprise to (1) determine whether an entity is a variable interest entity (VIE), (2) determine whether the enterprise has a controlling financial interest indicating it is a primary beneficiary of a VIE, which would result in the enterprise being required to consolidate the VIE in its financial statements, and (3) provide enhanced disclosures about the enterprise s involvement in VIEs. As a result of the adoption of this standard on January 1, 2010, Bunge consolidated one of its agribusiness joint ventures (see Note 18 of notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets In June 2009, the FASB issued a standard that amended a previously issued standard to improve the information reported in financial statements related to the transfer of financial assets and the effects of the transfers of such assets on the financial position, results from operations and cash flows of the transferor and a transferor s continuing involvement, if any, with transferred financial assets. In addition, the amendment limits the circumstances in which a financial asset or a portion of a financial asset should be derecognized in the financial statements of the transferor when the transferor has not transferred the entire original financial asset. Upon adoption of this standard on January 1, 2010, all trade accounts receivables sold after that date under Bunge s accounts receivable securitization programs (the securitization programs ) are included in trade accounts receivable and the amounts outstanding under the securitization programs

are accounted for as secured borrowings and are reflected as short-term debt on Bunge s condensed consolidated balance sheet. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2010 Bunge reduced its utilization of the securitization programs. As a result, the amounts outstanding under the securitization programs at June 30, 2010 are not significant. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on Bunge s financial position, results from operations or cash flows.

#### 3. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

Moema Acquisition In February 2010, Bunge acquired a 100% interest in five Brazilian sugarcane mills in São Paulo and Minas Gerais states that were formerly part of the Moema Group through the acquisition of Usina Moema Patricpacãoes S.A. (Moema Par) and remaining interests in four mills that were not wholly-owned by Moema Par. Bunge collectively refers to the acquired entities as Moema. The purchase consideration for the Moema acquisition was as follows:

#### (US\$ in millions)

Fair value of Bunge Limited common shares issued	\$ 597
Cash paid	51
Contingent purchase price at fair value	3
Total purchase price	\$ 651

Bunge issued 9,718,632 of its common shares with a fair value of \$570 million and paid 97 million Brazilian *reais* in cash, which equated to approximately \$51 million, at the closing of the transaction. The final purchase price was subject to a post-closing purchase price adjustment based on working capital and net debt of the acquired companies at closing under Brazilian generally accepted accounting principles. During the second quarter of 2010, Bunge issued 534,263 of its common shares, with a fair value of \$27 million and paid 0.5 million Brazilian *reais* in cash, which equated to approximately \$0.3 million, in connection with the finalization of the post-closing purchase price adjustments with certain of the sellers. In addition, included in other current liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2010 is approximately \$3 million representing a contingent liability pending determination of the remaining post-closing purchase price adjustments. These remaining purchase price adjustments settled in the third quarter of 2010.

Acquisition related expenses of \$11 million were recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of income in the three months ended March 31, 2010. There were no additional acquisition related expenses recorded for the three months ended June 30, 2010 associated with the Moema Acquisition.

The table below includes Bunge s preliminary assessment of the fair values of assets and liabilities acquired and related goodwill, including certain reclassifications made during the three months ended June 30, 2010:

	March 31,		June 30,		
(US\$ in millions)	2010	Reclassifications	2010		
Assets acquired:					
Cash	\$ 3	\$	\$ 3		
Inventories	184	3	187		
Other current assets	64	2	66		
Property, plant and equipment	642	15	657		
Other intangible assets	44		44		
Other non-current assets	100	3	103		
Total assets	1,037	23	1,060		
Liabilities acquired:					
Short-term debt	(378)		(378)		
Other current liabilities	(349)	47	(302)		
Long-term debt	(177)		(177)		

Other non-current liabilities  Total liabilities		(30) ( <b>934</b> )	(61) ( <b>14</b> )	(91) ( <b>948</b> )	
Goodwill		545	(6)	539	
Total purchase price	\$	648	\$ 3	\$ 651	
	_				

Tab]	le of	Contents

Intangible assets consist of the following:

**Useful Life** 

(US\$ in millions)

Land lease agreements \$ 43 7 years
Other 1 2-20 years

Total \$ 44

The fair value assigned to intangible assets associated with land lease agreements for the production of sugarcane was determined using the income approach. The fair value of the other intangibles was primarily determined using the market approach. The intangible assets have no expected residual value at the end of their useful lives and are subject to amortization on a straight-line basis. The fair values of tangible assets were derived using a combination of the income approach, the market approach and the cost approach as considered appropriate for the specific assets being valued. None of the acquired assets or liabilities will be measured at fair value on a recurring basis in periods subsequent to the initial recognition.

Moema is a party to a number of claims and lawsuits, primarily civil, labor and environmental claims arising out of the normal course of business. Included in other noncurrent liabilities is \$13 million related to Moema s probable contingencies.

Moema is included in the sugar and bioenergy segment and the goodwill from this acquisition has been assigned to that segment. The acquisition is expected to complement Bunge s existing sugarcane milling and trading and merchandising activities. The acquisition increases Bunge s presence in the sugar and sugarcane-based ethanol industry in Brazil, substantially increasing Bunge s total current annual sugarcane crushing capacity. The acquired mills form a cluster within a highly productive region for sugarcane in Brazil. The Moema management team s experience in sugarcane agricultural and industrial processes is expected to complement Bunge s expertise in trade and financial risk management. Bunge also expects synergies with its fertilizer business and logistics efficiencies from the acquisition. The goodwill of \$539 million is deductible for tax purposes. In addition, the tax deductible goodwill exceeds the U.S. GAAP goodwill by approximately \$59 million resulting in tax deductible goodwill of approximately \$598 million. As a result, a deferred tax asset of approximately \$30 million, relating to the excess tax deductible goodwill has been recorded in other long-term assets as part of the preliminary purchase price allocation. Final amounts will be determined upon final settlement of the contingent purchase price.

Supplemental pro forma financial information is not presented for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 because it is not practical to provide this information as Moema historically did not prepare quarterly financial statements and did not report results under U.S. GAAP.

Included in the condensed consolidated statements of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 were net sales and income (loss) from operations before income taxes of \$110 million and zero and \$181 million and \$(19) million, respectively.

Argentina Fertilizer Acquisition On January 11, 2010, Bunge acquired the Argentine fertilizer business of Petrobras Energía S.A., a subsidiary of Petroleo Brasileiro S.A. (Petrobras), for approximately \$80 million. The acquired business is included in Bunge's fertilizer segment. This acquisition expands Bunge's presence in the Argentine retail fertilizer market, allowing it to further develop synergies with its grain origination operations through the sale of products to farmers from whom it may purchase commodities. With the preliminary determination of the fair values of assets and liabilities acquired, \$66 million of the purchase price was allocated to property, plant and equipment, \$6 million to other

current assets, \$4 million to other intangible assets, primarily a non-compete agreement, and \$4 million to goodwill. There were no adjustments to the preliminary allocations during the second quarter of 2010.

Other In 2010, Bunge finalized the purchase price allocation related to its 2009 acquisition of the European margarine businesses of Raisio plc. The purchase price was 81 million Euros in cash, which equated to approximately \$115 million, net of \$5 million of cash received. Bunge initially recognized \$50 million as goodwill in its edible oil products segment related to this acquisition. Upon completion of acquisition accounting, goodwill was reduced by \$4 million and \$4 million was allocated to deferred tax liabilities.

8

#### 4. BUSINESS DIVESTITURE

In January 2010, Bunge and two of its wholly owned subsidiaries entered into a definitive agreement (as amended, the Agreement) with Vale S.A., a Brazil-based global mining company (Vale), and an affiliate of Vale, pursuant to which Vale would acquire Bunge s fertilizer nutrients assets in Brazil, including its interest in Fertilizantes Fosfatados S.A. (Fosfertil). The transaction closed on May 27, 2010. Bunge received cash proceeds of \$3.9 billion and recognized a gain of \$2.4 billion (\$1.9 billion net of tax) in its fertilizer segment. Included in the calculation of the gain is \$152 million of transaction costs incurred in connection with the divestiture. Total income tax associated with the transaction was \$539 million, of which \$275 million was paid during the three months ended June 30, 2010, \$5 million is expected to be paid in the second half of 2010 and approximately \$259 million is expected to be offset by deferred tax assets and other tax credits and therefore is not expected to result in cash tax payments. Approximately \$56 million related to a post-closing adjustment is expected to be received by Bunge in the second half of 2010.

Approximately \$142 million of transaction costs and \$275 million of withholding taxes are included as a component of cash used for operating activities in Bunge s condensed consolidated statements of cash flows in the six months ended June 30, 2010. Gross proceeds of \$3.9 billion and cash disposed of \$106 million related to the sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets are included as a component of cash provided by investing activities in Bunge s condensed consolidated statements of cash flows in the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Assets and liabilities disposed of as part of this transaction were classified as held for sale in Bunge s condensed consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2010, which included approximately \$1,516 million of property, plant and equipment, net, related to fertilizer mining properties and other plants and equipment of the fertilizer nutrients activities.

#### 5. INVENTORIES

Inventories by segment are included in the table below. Readily marketable inventories refers to inventories that are readily convertible to cash because of their commodity characteristics, widely available markets and international pricing mechanisms.

	June 30,						
(US\$ in millions)		2010	2009				
Agribusiness (1)	\$	3,264	\$	3,535			
Sugar and Bioenergy (2)		269		89			
Fertilizer (3)		557		749			
Edible oil products (4)		356		371			
Milling products (4)		125		118			
Total	\$	4,571	\$	4,862			

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes readily marketable agricultural commodity inventories carried at fair value of \$3,013 and \$3,197 at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. All other agribusiness segment inventories are carried at lower of cost or market.

- (2) Includes readily marketable sugar inventories of \$63 million and \$21 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Of these readily marketable sugar inventories, \$35 million and \$21 million, respectively, were inventories carried at fair value in our trading and merchandising business. Sugar inventories in our industrial production business are readily marketable, but are carried at lower of cost or market.
- (3) Fertilizer inventories are carried at lower of cost or market.
- (4) Edible oil products and milling products inventories are generally carried at lower of cost or market, with the exception of readily marketable inventories of bulk soybean oil and corn, which are carried at fair value in the aggregate amount of \$157 million and \$162 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

#### 6. OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

Other current assets consist of the following:

(US\$ in millions)	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Prepaid commodity purchase contracts (1)	\$ 299	\$ 110
Secured advances to suppliers (2)	197	275
Unrealized gains on derivative contracts at fair value	754	1,202
Recoverable taxes (3)	503	680
Margin deposits (4)	375	530
Marketable securities	74	15
Other	778	687
Total	\$ 2,980	\$ 3,499

<sup>(1)</sup> Prepaid commodity purchase contracts represent advance payments against fixed priced contracts for future delivery of specified quantities of agricultural commodities. These contracts are recorded at fair value based on prices of the underlying agricultural commodities.

Bunge makes cash advances to suppliers, primarily Brazilian farmers of soybeans and other agricultural commodities, to finance a portion of the suppliers production costs. These advances are strictly financial in nature. Bunge does not bear any of the costs or risks associated with the related growing crops. The advances are largely collateralized by future crops and physical assets of the suppliers, carry a local market interest rate and settle when the farmer s crop is harvested and sold. In addition to current secured advances, Bunge has non-current secured advances to suppliers, primarily farmers in Brazil, in the amount of \$281 million and \$308 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, net of allowance for uncollectible advances, which are included in other non-current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The allowance for uncollectible advances totaled \$79 million and \$75 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The repayment terms of the non-current secured advances generally range from two to three years. Included in the secured advances to suppliers recorded in other current assets are advances that were renegotiated from their original terms, equal to an aggregate of \$19 million and \$36 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Included in the secured advances to suppliers recorded in other non-current assets are advances that were renegotiated from their original terms, equal to an aggregate of \$15 million and \$20 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. These renegotiated advances are largely collateralized by future crops and mortgages on assets such as land, buildings and equipment.

Also included in non-current secured advances to suppliers are advances for which Bunge has initiated legal action to collect the outstanding balance or obtain title to the assets pledged by the farmers as collateral, equal to an aggregate of \$259 million and \$264 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Collections being pursued through legal action largely reflect loans made for the 2006 and 2005 crop years.

Interest earned on secured advances to suppliers of \$6 million and \$9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$15 million and \$25 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, is included in net sales in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

- Bunge has an additional recoverable taxes balance of \$879 million and \$769 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, which is included in other non-current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The balance of current and non-current recoverable taxes is net of the allowance for recoverable taxes of \$118 million and \$164 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.
- (4) Margin deposits include U.S. treasury securities at fair value and cash.

10

#### 7. GOODWILL

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the changes in the carrying value of goodwill by segment are as follows:

(US\$ in millions) Balance, December 31,	Agril	ousiness	Sugar and Bioenergy	Fertilizer		Edible Oil Products	Milling Products	Total
2009 Acquired goodwill (1) Reallocation of acquired	\$	204	\$ 130 539	\$	4	\$ 83	\$ 10	\$ 427 543
goodwill (1) Tax benefit on goodwill						(4)		(4)
amortization (2) Foreign exchange		(3)						(3)
translation Balance, June 30, 2010	\$	(6) <b>195</b>	\$ 15 <b>684</b>	\$	4	\$ (11) <b>68</b>	\$ (1) <b>9</b>	\$ (3) <b>960</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> See Note 3 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### 8. OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Other intangible assets, net consist of the following:

(US\$ in millions)	-	ine 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Trademarks/brands, finite-lived	\$	118	\$ 130
Licenses		11	12
Other		114	72
		243	214
Less accumulated amortization:			
Trademarks/brands (1)		(49)	(47)
Licenses		(2)	(2)
Other		(28)	(23)
		(79)	(72)
Trademarks/brands, indefinite-lived		27	28
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization	\$	191	\$ 170

Bunge s Brazilian subsidiaries tax deductible goodwill is in excess of book goodwill. For financial reporting purposes, for goodwill acquired prior to 2009, the tax benefits attributable to the excess tax goodwill are first used to reduce associated goodwill and then other intangible assets to zero, prior to recognizing any income tax benefit in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

(1) Bunge s Brazilian subsidiary s tax deductible goodwill in the agribusiness segment is in excess of its book goodwill. For financial reporting purposes, for other intangible assets acquired prior to 2009, before recognizing any income tax benefit of tax deductible goodwill in excess of book goodwill in the condensed consolidated statements of income and after the related book goodwill has been reduced to zero, any such remaining tax deductible goodwill in excess of book goodwill is used to reduce other intangible assets to zero.

In the first quarter of 2010, Bunge assigned values totaling \$48 million to other intangible assets acquired in business acquisitions, with \$44 million and \$4 million, respectively, in the sugar and bioenergy and fertilizer segments. Finite lives of these assets range from 2 and 20 years. (See Note 3 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements). In addition, \$9 million of other intangible assets, net have been disposed of as part of the sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets (see Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements). Aggregate amortization expense was \$7 million and \$2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$11 million and \$4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The annual estimated aggregate amortization expense for 2010 is approximately \$27 million with approximately \$21 million estimated per year for 2011 through 2014.

#### 9. IMPAIRMENT AND RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

Impairment Bunge recorded no pretax impairment charges for the three months ended June 30, 2010 in its consolidated statements of income. In the six months ended June 30, 2010, Bunge recorded pretax non-cash impairment charges of \$12 million in cost of goods sold in its consolidated statements of income, of which \$10 million was allocated to its agribusiness segment and \$2 million was allocated to its milling products segments, respectively, relating to the closure of an older, less efficient oilseed processing facility in the United States and a co-located corn oil extraction line. Declining results of operations at this facility due to local competitive pressures, as well as Bunge s additions of new, larger and better located facilities in recent years led management to decide to permanently close this facility. The fair values of the facility are not material and were determined internally by Bunge s management.

Restructuring Bunge recorded pretax restructuring charges of \$14 million and \$26 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, primarily related to its Brazilian, North American and European operations. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, these charges consisted of termination benefit costs and other expenses related to the consolidation of Bunge s Brazilian operations that were recorded as selling, general and administrative expenses totaling \$4 million, \$3 million, \$3 million, and \$2 million in the agribusiness, sugar and bioenergy, milling products and edible oil products segments, respectively. Also in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, restructuring charges of \$2 million were recorded in cost of goods sold in the edible oil products segment related to certain of Bunge s European operations. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, additional restructuring costs of \$5 million, \$1 million, \$1 million, \$1 million and \$4 million in the agribusiness, sugar and bioenergy, milling products, edible oil products and fertilizer segments, respectively, were included in cost of goods sold related primarily to the closure of the oilseed processing facility in the United States and the consolidation of management and administrative functions in Brazil.

Termination benefit costs in the agribusiness segment for the six months ended June 30, 2010 related to benefit obligations associated with approximately 90 employees related to the closure of the U.S. oilseed processing facility and the consolidation of our operations in Brazil. This consolidation also impacted Bunge s sugar and bioenergy, fertilizer, edible oil products and milling products segments. Termination benefit costs in our edible oil products segment related to 514 employees in connection with the reorganization of certain of our operations in Europe. Bunge has a \$12 million accrued liability related to the Brazilian restructuring as of June 30, 2010. Substantially all of these costs will be paid in 2010 under severance plans that were defined and communicated in 2010. Funding for the payments will be provided by cash flows from operations.

#### 10. OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

Other current liabilities consist of the following:

	June 30,	D	ecember 31,	
(US\$ in millions)	2010		2009	
Accrued liabilities	\$ 1,200	\$	1,046	
Unrealized losses on derivative contracts at fair value	842		1,250	
Advances on sales	273		253	
Other	30		86	
Total	\$ 2,345	\$	2,635	

#### 11. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Bunge s various financial instruments include certain components of working capital such as cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable and trade accounts payable. Additionally, Bunge uses short- and long-term debt to fund operating requirements and derivative instruments to manage its foreign exchange, interest rate, commodity price, freight and energy cost exposures. Bunge also uses derivative instruments to reduce volatility in its income tax expense that results from foreign exchange gains and losses on certain U.S. dollar-denominated loans in Brazil. Cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable and accounts payable and short-term debt are stated at their carrying value, which is a reasonable estimate of fair value. For long-term debt, see Note 12 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. All derivative instruments and marketable securities are stated at fair value.

Fair value is the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in Bunge s principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Bunge determines the fair values of its readily marketable inventories, derivative contracts, and certain other assets based on the fair value hierarchy established under US GAAP, which

12

#### Table of Contents

requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Observable inputs are inputs based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs are inputs that are developed based on the best information available in circumstances that reflect Bunge s own assumptions based on market data and on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The standard describes three levels within its hierarchy that may be used to measure fair value.

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include exchange traded derivative contracts.

Level 2: Observable inputs, including Level 1 prices (adjusted); quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are less active than traded exchanges; and other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include readily marketable inventories and over-the-counter (OTC) commodity purchase and sales contracts and other OTC derivatives whose value is determined using pricing models with inputs that are generally based on exchange traded prices, adjusted for location specific inputs that are primarily observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are a significant component of the fair value of the assets or liabilities. In evaluating the significance of fair value inputs, Bunge gives consideration to items that individually, or when aggregated with other inputs, generally represent more than 10% of the fair value of the assets or liabilities. For such identified inputs, judgments are required when evaluating both quantitative and qualitative factors in the determination of significance for purposes of fair value level classification and disclosure. Level 3 assets and liabilities include assets and liabilities whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as assets and liabilities for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

The following table sets forth by level Bunge s assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. Bunge s exchange traded agricultural commodity futures are predominantly settled daily generally through its clearing subsidiary and therefore such futures are not included in the table below. Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is a significant component of the fair value measurement. The lowest level of input is considered Level 3. Bunge s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect the classification of fair value assets and liabilities within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using													
					10			Decembe	er 31, 2009					
(US\$ in millions)	Level 1 (1)	I	evel 2 (2)	Level 3 (3)		Total		Level 1 (1)	Level 2 (2)		Level 3 (3)			Total
Assets:														
Readily marketable														
inventories (Note 5)	\$	\$	2,851	\$	354	\$	3,205	\$	\$	3,271	\$	109	\$	3,380
Unrealized gain on														
designated derivative														
contracts (4):														
Interest Rate			7				7			9				9
Foreign Exchange			16				16			11				11
Freight														
Unrealized gain on														
undesignated derivative														
contracts (4):														

Foreign Exchange		76	2	78		41	3	44
Commodities	48	440	107	595	34	905	94	1,033
Freight		43	10	53		68	8	76
Energy	5	14	3	22	10	22	13	45
Other (5)	175	133		308	138	16		154
Total assets	\$ 228	\$ 3,580	\$ 476	\$ 4,284	\$ 182	\$ 4,343	\$ 227	\$ 4,752

#### **Table of Contents**

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using															
				June 3	30, 201	10						Decemb	er 31, 2			
(US\$ in millions)	Le	evel 1 (1)	Le	evel 2 (2)	Le	vel 3 (3)		Total	Le	evel 1 (1)	Le	evel 2 (2)	Le	vel 3 (3)		Total
Liabilities:																
Unrealized loss on																
designated derivative																
contracts (6):																
Interest Rate	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	7	\$		\$	7
Foreign Exchange				85				85				123				123
Freight																
Unrealized loss on																
undesignated derivative																
contracts (6):																
Interest Rate				1				1				2				2
Foreign Exchange				33				33		7		15				22
Commodities		125		442		73		640		113		693		84		890
Freight		40		63				103		98		106				204
Energy		3		3		2		8		8		7		3		18
Total liabilities	\$	168	\$	627	\$	75	\$	870	\$	226	\$	953	\$	87	\$	1,266

- (1) Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets.
- (2) Significant other observable inputs.
- (3) Significant unobservable inputs.
- (4) Unrealized gains on designated and undesignated derivative contracts are generally included in other current assets. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, \$7 million and \$8 million, respectively, of designated and undesignated derivative contracts are included in other non-current assets.
- (5) Other assets include primarily the fair values of U.S. Treasury securities held as margin deposits.
- (6) Unrealized losses on designated and undesignated derivative contracts are generally included in other current liabilities. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, \$17 million and \$8 million, respectively, of designated and undesignated derivative contracts are included in other non-current liabilities.

Derivatives Exchange traded futures and options contracts are valued based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets and are classified within Level 1. Bunge s forward commodity purchase and sale contracts are classified as derivatives along with other OTC derivative instruments relating primarily to freight, energy, foreign exchange and interest rates, and are classified with Level 2 or Level 3 as described below. Bunge estimates fair values based on exchange quoted prices, adjusted as appropriate for differences in local markets. These differences are generally valued using inputs from broker or dealer quotations, or market transactions in either the listed or OTC markets. In such cases, these derivative contracts are classified within Level 2. Changes in the fair values of these contracts are recognized in the consolidated financial statements as a component of cost of goods sold, foreign exchange gain or loss, other income (expense) or other comprehensive income (loss).

OTC derivative contracts include swaps, options and structured transactions that are valued at fair value and may be offset with similar positions in exchange traded markets. The fair values of OTC derivative instruments are determined using quantitative models that require the use of multiple market inputs including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets which are not highly active, other observable inputs relevant to the asset or liability, and market inputs corroborated by correlation or other means. These valuation models include inputs such as interest rates, prices and indices to generate continuous yield or pricing curves and volatility factors. Where observable inputs are available for substantially the full term of the asset or liability, the instrument is categorized in Level 2. Certain OTC derivatives trade in less active markets with less availability of pricing information and certain structured transactions can require internally developed model inputs that might not be observable in or corroborated by the market. When unobservable inputs have a significant impact on the measurement of fair value, the instrument is categorized in Level 3.

Bunge designates certain derivative instruments as fair value hedges or cash flow hedges and assesses, both at inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether derivatives that are designated as hedges are highly effective in offsetting changes in the hedged items or anticipated cash flows.

Readily marketable inventories The majority of Bunge s readily marketable inventories are valued at fair value. These agricultural commodity inventories are readily marketable, have quoted market prices and may be sold without significant additional processing. Bunge determines fair value based on quoted prices on exchange-traded

14

#### **Table of Contents**

futures contracts with appropriate adjustments for differences in local markets where the related inventories are located. Changes in the fair values of these inventories are recognized in the consolidated statements of income as a component of cost of goods sold.

Readily marketable inventories at fair value are valued based on commodity futures exchange quotations, broker or dealer quotations, or market transactions in either listed or OTC markets. In such cases, the inventory is classified within Level 2. Certain inventories may utilize significant unobservable data related to local market adjustments to determine fair value. In such cases, the inventory is classified as Level 3.

If Bunge used different methods or factors to determine fair values, amounts reported as unrealized gains and losses on derivative contracts and readily marketable inventories at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of income could differ. Additionally, if market conditions change subsequent to the reporting date, amounts reported in future periods as unrealized gains and losses on derivative contracts and readily marketable inventories in the consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of income could differ.

Level 3 Valuation Bunge s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the classification of assets and liabilities within the fair value hierarchy. In evaluating the significance of fair value inputs, Bunge gives consideration to items that individually, or when aggregated with other inputs, represent more than 10% of the fair value of the asset or liability. For such identified inputs, judgments are required when evaluating both quantitative and qualitative factors in the determination of significance for purposes of fair value level classification and disclosure. Because of differences in the availability of market pricing data over their terms, inputs for some assets and liabilities may fall into any one of the three levels in the fair value hierarchy or some combination thereof. While FASB guidance requires Bunge to classify these assets and liabilities in the lowest level in the hierarchy for which inputs are significant to the fair value measurement, a portion of that measurement may be determined using inputs from a higher level in the hierarchy.

Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 represent existing assets or liabilities that were either previously categorized as a higher level for which the inputs to the model became unobservable or assets and liabilities that were previously classified as Level 3 for which the lowest significant input became observable during the period. Bunge did not have significant transfers in and/or out of Level 3 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

Level 3 Derivatives The fair values of Level 3 derivative instruments are estimated using pricing information that is less observable. Level 3 derivative instruments utilize both market observable and unobservable inputs within the fair value measurements. These inputs include commodity prices, price volatility factors, interest rates, volumes and locations. In addition, with the exception of the exchange-cleared instruments where Bunge clears trades through an exchange, Bunge is exposed to loss in the event of the non-performance by counterparties on over-the-counter derivative instruments and forward purchase and sale contracts. Adjustments are made to fair values on occasions when non-performance risk is determined to represent a significant input in our fair value determination. These adjustments are based on Bunge s estimate of the potential loss in the event of counterparty non-performance. Bunge did not have significant adjustments relating to non-performance by counterparties as of June 30, 2010.

Level 3 Readily marketable inventories Readily marketable inventories are considered Level 3 when at least one significant assumption or input is unobservable. These assumptions or unobservable inputs include certain management estimations regarding costs of transportation and other local market or location-related adjustments.

The tables below present reconciliations for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Level 3 instruments presented in the tables include certain readily marketable inventories and derivatives. These instruments were valued using pricing models that, in management s judgment, reflect the assumptions that would be used by a marketplace participant to determine fair value.

(US\$ in millions)	erivatives, Net (1)	Fair Val	3 Instrument ue Measurem Readily arketable aventories	Total
Balance, April 1, 2010	\$ 49	\$	354	\$ 403
Total gains and losses (realized/unrealized) included in cost of goods	(10)		104	0.4
sold	(10)		104	94
Total gains and losses (realized/unrealized) included in foreign				
exchange gains (losses)	(1)			(1)
Purchases, issuances and settlements	(2)		(104)	(106)
Transfers into Level 3	19			19
Transfers (out) of Level 3	(8)			(8)
Balance, June 30, 2010	\$ 47	\$	354	\$ 401

<sup>(1)</sup> Derivatives, net include Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities.

(US\$ in millions)	D	erivatives, Net (1)	Fair Valu M	3 Instrument ue Measuren Readily arketable ventories	Total
Balance, January 1, 2010	\$	31	\$	109	\$ 140
Total gains and losses (realized/unrealized) included in cost of goods					
sold		(15)		154	139
Total gains and losses (realized/unrealized) included in foreign					
exchange gains (losses)					
Purchases, issuances and settlements		16		91	107
Transfers into Level 3		30			30
Transfers (out) of Level 3		(15)			(15)
Balance, June 30, 2010	\$	47	\$	354	\$ 401

<sup>(1)</sup> Derivatives, net include Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities.

(US\$ in millions)	Level 3 Instruments: Fair Value Measurement: Readily Derivatives, Marketable Net (1) Inventories								
Balance, April 1, 2009	\$	(102)	\$	367	\$	Total 265			
-	Ф	(102)	Ф	307	Ф	203			
Total gains and losses (realized/unrealized) included in cost of goods									
sold		(95)		85		(10)			
Purchases, issuances and settlements		48		204		252			
Transfers in (out) of Level 3		135				135			
Balance, June 30, 2009	\$	(14)	\$	656	\$	642			

(1) Derivatives, net include Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities.

**Level 3 Instruments: Fair Value Measurements** Readily Derivatives, Marketable (US\$ in millions) **Inventories Total** Net (1) Balance, January 1, 2009 \$ (101)\$ 183 \$ 82 Total gains and losses (realized/unrealized) included in earnings 61 150 211 Purchases, issuances and settlements (78)323 245 Transfers in (out) of Level 3 104 104 **Balance, June 30, 2009** (14)656 642

The table below summarizes changes in unrealized gains or losses recorded in earnings during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 for Level 3 assets and liabilities that were held at June 30, 2010 and 2009.

	Level 3 Instruments: Fair Value Measurements Readily Derivatives, Marketable					
(US\$ in millions)	Net (1)		Inventories			Total
Changes in unrealized gains and (losses) relating to assets and						
liabilities held at June 30, 2010:						
Cost of goods sold	\$	8	\$	82	\$	90
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	\$	(1)	\$		\$	(1)
Changes in unrealized gains and (losses) relating to assets and						
liabilities held at June 30, 2009:						
Cost of goods sold	\$	(18)	\$	70	\$	52

<sup>(1)</sup> Derivatives, net include Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities.

The table below summarizes changes in unrealized gains or losses recorded in earnings during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 for Level 3 assets and liabilities that were held at June 30, 2010 and 2009.

	Level 3 Instruments: Fair Value Measurements Readily						
(US\$ in millions) Changes in unrealized gains and (losses) relating to assets and		Derivatives, Net (1)		Marketable Inventories		Total	
liabilities held at June 30, 2010:							
Cost of goods sold	\$	80	\$	91	\$	171	
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	\$		\$		\$		

<sup>(1)</sup> Derivatives, net include Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities.

Changes in unrealized gains and (losses) relating to assets and liabilities held at June 30, 2009:

Cost of goods sold \$ 12 \$ 114 \$ 126

(1) Derivatives, net include Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities.

#### **Derivative Instruments**

Interest Rate Derivatives The interest rate swaps used by Bunge as hedging instruments have been recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets with changes in fair value recorded contemporaneously in earnings. Certain of these swap agreements have been designated as fair value hedges. Additionally, the carrying amount of the associated hedged debt is adjusted through earnings for changes in the fair value arising from changes in benchmark interest rates. Ineffectiveness is recognized to the extent that these two adjustments do not offset.

#### Table of Contents

Bunge enters into interest rate swap agreements primarily for the purpose of managing certain of its interest rate exposures. In addition, Bunge has entered into certain interest rate basis swap agreements that do not qualify for hedge accounting, and therefore Bunge has not designated these swap agreements as hedge instruments for accounting purposes. As a result, changes in fair value of the interest rate basis swap agreements are recorded as an adjustment to earnings.

The following table summarizes Bunge s outstanding interest rate swap and interest rate basis swap agreements as of June 30, 2010.

(US\$ in millions)	Notional Amount of Hedged Obligation	Notional Amount of Derivative (4)		
Interest rate swap agreements \$	250	\$ 250		
Weighted average rate payable 1.18% (1)				
Weighted average rate receivable 4.33% (2)				
Interest rate basis swap agreements \$	375	\$ 375		
Weighted average rate payable 0.61% (1)				
Weighted average rate receivable 0.35% (3)				

- (1) Interest is payable in arrears based on the average daily effective Federal Funds rate prevailing during the respective period plus a spread.
- (2) Interest is receivable in arrears based on a fixed interest rate.
- (3) Interest is receivable in arrears based on one-month U.S. dollar LIBOR.
- (4) The interest rate swap agreements mature in 2011.

Foreign exchange derivatives Bunge uses a combination of foreign exchange forward and option contracts in certain of its operations primarily to mitigate the risk from exchange rate fluctuations in connection with anticipated sales denominated in foreign currencies. The foreign exchange forward and option contracts are designated as cash flow hedges. Bunge also uses net investment hedges to partially offset the translation adjustments arising from the remeasurement of its investment in its Brazilian subsidiaries.

Bunge assesses, both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedge transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in the hedged items.

The table below summarizes the notional amounts of open foreign exchange positions as of June 30, 2010:

June 30, 2010

	Exchange Traded Net (Short)	Non-exc Trad	U	Unit of
(US\$ in millions)	& Long (1)	(Short) (2)	Long (2)	Measure
Foreign Exchange:				
Options	\$	(202)	154	Delta
Forwards	(29)	(6,199)	6,963	Notional
Swaps		(1,212)	1,067	Notional

- (1) Exchange traded futures and options are presented on a net (short) and long position basis.
- (2) Non-exchange traded swaps, options, and forwards are presented on a gross (short) and long position basis.

In addition, Bunge has cross-currency interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional principal amount of 10 billion Japanese Yen maturing in 2011 for the purpose of managing its currency exposure associated with its 10 billion Japanese Yen term loan due 2011. Bunge has accounted for these cross-currency interest rate swap agreements as fair value hedges.

The following table summarizes Bunge s outstanding cross-currency interest rate swap agreements as of June 30, 2010:

(US\$ in millions)	Amount Obligation	Notional A	
U.S. dollar/Yen cross-currency interest rate swaps	\$ 113	\$	113

#### **Table of Contents**

- (1) The cross-currency interest rate swap agreements mature in 2011.
- (2) Under the terms of the cross-currency interest rate swap agreements, interest is payable in arrears based on three-month U.S. dollar LIBOR and is receivable in arrears based on three-month Yen LIBOR.

Commodity derivatives Bunge uses derivative instruments primarily to manage its exposure to movements associated with agricultural commodity prices. Bunge generally uses exchange traded futures and options contracts to minimize the effects of changes in the prices of agricultural commodities on its agricultural commodity inventories and forward purchase and sales contracts, but may also from time to time enter into OTC commodity transactions, including swaps, which are settled in cash at maturity or termination based on exchange-quoted futures prices. Changes in fair values of exchange traded futures contracts representing the unrealized gains and/or losses on these instruments are settled daily generally through Bunge s wholly-owned futures clearing subsidiary. Forward purchase and sales contracts are primarily settled through delivery of agricultural commodities. While Bunge considers these exchange traded futures and forward purchase and sales contracts to be effective economic hedges, Bunge does not designate or account for the majority of its commodity contracts as hedges. Changes in fair values of these contracts and related readily marketable agricultural commodity inventories are included in cost of goods sold in the consolidated statements of income. The forward contracts require performance of both Bunge and the contract counterparty in future periods. Contracts to purchase agricultural commodities generally relate to current or future crop years for delivery periods quoted by regulated commodity exchanges. Contracts for the sale of agricultural commodities generally do not extend beyond one future crop cycle.

In addition, Bunge hedges portions of its forecasted oilseed processing production requirements, including forecasted purchases of soybeans and sales of soy commodity products. The instruments used are generally exchange traded futures contracts. Such contracts hedging U.S. oilseed processing activities qualify and are designated as cash flow hedges. Contracts that are used as economic hedges of other global oilseed processing activities generally do not qualify for hedge accounting as a result of location differences and are therefore not designated as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes.

The table below summarizes the volumes of open agricultural commodities derivative positions as of June 30, 2010:

	June 30, 2010						
	Exchange Traded	Non-exc	8	A. A			
	Net (Short) &	Trad	led	Unit of			
	Long (1)	(Short) (2)	Long (2)	Measure			
Agricultural Commodities							
Futures	(4,643,135)			Metric Tons			
Options	(102,946)	(14,550)	112,669	Metric Tons			
Forwards		(18,276,206)	23,163,538	Metric Tons			
Swaps		(1,244,648)		Metric Tons			

<sup>(1)</sup> Exchange traded futures and options are presented on a net (short) and long position basis.

<sup>(2)</sup> Non-exchange traded swaps, options, and forwards are presented on a gross (short) and long position basis.

Ocean freight derivatives Bunge uses derivative instruments referred to as freight forward agreements, or FFAs, and FFA options, primarily to hedge portions of its current and anticipated ocean freight costs. A portion of the ocean freight derivatives have been designated as fair value hedges of Bunge s firm commitments to purchase time on ocean freight vessels. Changes in the fair value of the ocean freight derivatives that are qualified, designated and highly effective as a fair value hedge, along with the gain or loss on the hedged firm commitments to purchase time on ocean freight vessels that is attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in earnings. Changes in the fair values of ocean freight derivatives that are not designated as hedges are also recorded in earnings.

## Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the open ocean freight positions as of June 30, 2010:

	June 30, 2010						
	Exchange Traded Net (Short) &	Non-exchange Cleared		Unit of			
	Long (1)	(Short) (2)	Long (2)	Measure			
Ocean Freight							
FFA	(11,524)	(1,469)	2,767	Hire Days			
FFA Options	386			Hire Days			

<sup>(1)</sup> Exchange cleared futures and options are presented on a net (short) and long position basis.

*Energy derivatives* Bunge uses derivative instruments primarily to manage its exposure to volatility in energy costs. Bunge s operations use substantial amounts of energy, including natural gas, electricity, coal, steam and fuel oil, including bunker fuel.

The table below summarizes the open energy positions as of June 30, 2010:

		June 30, 2	2010	
	Exchange Traded	Non-exc	change	Unit of
	Net (Short) &	Clea	red	Measure
	Long (1)	(Short) (2)	Long (2)	(3) (4)
Natural Gas (3)				
Futures	4,372,500			MMBtus
Swaps			734,463	MMBtus
Options	441,750			MMBtus
Electricity				
Swaps		(14,640)		Mwh
Energy Other				
Futures	123,200			Metric Tons
Forwards		(1,349,966)	3,238,977	Metric Tons
Swaps		(117,539)	57,803	Metric Tons
Options	1,152,750	(100,472)	369,506	Metric Tons

<sup>(1)</sup> Exchange traded futures and exchange cleared options are presented on a net (short) and long position basis.

<sup>(2)</sup> Non-exchange cleared options, and forwards are presented on a gross (short) and long position basis.

<sup>(2)</sup> Non-exchange cleared swaps, options, and forwards are presented on a gross (short) and long position basis.

(3)	Million British Thermal Units (MMBtus) are the standard unit of measurement used to denote the amount of natural gas.							
(4)	Mega Watt Hours are the standard unit of measurement used to denote the amount of electricity.							
The Et	The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statement of Income							

The table below summarizes the effect of derivative instruments that are designated as fair value hedges and also derivative instruments that are undesignated on the consolidated statement of income for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

20

Total

	Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative					
(TICA : III )	<b>T</b>	2.		June 30,	2000	
(US\$ in millions)	Location		2010		2009	
Designated Derivative Contracts						
	Interest income/Interest					
Interest Rate (1)	expense	\$		\$		
	Foreign exchange gains					
Foreign Exchange (2)	(losses)					
Commodities (3)	Cost of goods sold					
Freight (3)	Cost of goods sold		1		(7)	
Energy (3)	Cost of goods sold					
Total		\$	1	\$	(7)	
Undesignated Derivative Contracts						
Interest Rate	Other income (expenses) no	et \$		\$		
	Foreign exchange gains					
Foreign Exchange	(losses)		135		(99)	
Foreign Exchange	Cost of goods sold		66		(10)	
Commodities	Cost of goods sold		324		(178)	
Freight	Cost of goods sold		(30)		34	
Energy	Cost of goods sold		(15)		(11)	
	0	Φ.	400	<b>A</b>	(264)	

<sup>(1)</sup> The gain or (loss) on the hedged items is included in interest income and interest expense, respectively, as is the offsetting gain or (loss) on the related interest rate swaps.

\$

480

- (2) The gain or (loss) on the hedged items is included in foreign exchange gains (losses).
- (3) The gain or (loss) on the hedged items is included in cost of goods sold.

The table below summarizes the effect of derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow and net investment hedges on the consolidated statement of income for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

	Notional		Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Accumulated	Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (1)			Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (2)		
(US\$ in millions) Cash Flow Hedge:	A	Amount	OCI (1)	Location	Amoun	t	Location	Amou	nt (3)
Foreign Exchange (4)	\$	1,575	\$ 2	Cost of goods sold Cost of goods	\$	1	Cost of goods sold Cost of goods	\$	
Commodities (5) <b>Total</b>	\$	61 <b>1,636</b>	\$ (1) <b>1</b>	sold	\$	(2) (1)	sold	\$	1 <b>1</b>

(264)

Net Investment Hedge (6):

			Foreign	Foreign	
			exchange	exchange	
Foreign Exchange	\$ 589	\$ (4)	gains (losses)	\$ gains (losses)	\$
Total	\$ 589	\$ (4)		\$	\$

- (1) The gain or (loss) recognized relates to the effective portion of the hedging relationship. At June 30, 2010, Bunge expects to reclassify into income in the next 12 months approximately \$1 million of after tax gains, related to its foreign exchange and zero for both the agricultural commodities and net investment cash flow hedges.
- (2) The gain or (loss) recognized relates to the ineffective portion of the hedging relationship and to the amount excluded from the assessment of hedging effectiveness.
- (3) The amount of gain recognized in income is \$1 million, which relates to the ineffective portion of the hedging relationships and zero, which relates to the amount excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.
- (4) The foreign exchange forward contacts mature at various dates in 2010 and 2011.

#### Table of Contents

- (5) The changes in the market value of such futures contracts have historically been, and are expected to continue to be, highly effective at offsetting changes in price movements of the hedged items. The commodities futures contracts mature at various dates in 2010 and 2011.
- (6) Bunge pays Brazilian *reais* and receives U.S. dollars using fixed interest rates, offsetting the translation adjustment of its net investment in Brazilian *reais* assets. The swaps mature at various dates in 2010.

The table below summarizes the effect of derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow and net investment hedges on the condensed consolidated statement of income for the six months ended June 30, 2009:

	Gain or (Loss)  Notional Recognized in				Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (2)				
	Amount	Accumulated OCI (1)	Location	Amount	Location	Amount (3)			
(US\$ in millions)									
Cash Flow Hedge:									
			Foreign exchange		Foreign exchange				
Foreign Exchange (4)	\$513	\$44	gains (losses)	\$(32)	gains (losses)	\$5			
Commodities (5)	65	(12)	Cost of goods sold	(1)	Cost of goods sold	1			
Total	<b>\$</b> 578	\$32		<b>\$</b> (33)		<b>\$</b> 6			
Net Investment Hedge (6):									
			Foreign exchange		Foreign exchange				
Foreign Exchange	\$419	\$(73)	gains (losses)	\$	gains (losses)	\$			
Total	<b>\$</b> 419	\$(73)		\$		\$			

<sup>(1)</sup> The gain or (loss) recognized relates to the effective portion of the hedging relationship. At June 30, 2009, Bunge expects to reclassify into income in the next 12 months approximately \$(13) million, \$(2) million and zero of after tax losses related to its foreign exchange, commodities and net investment cash flow hedges, respectively.

- (2) The gain or (loss) recognized relates to the ineffective portion of the hedging relationship and to the amount excluded from the assessment of hedging effectiveness.
- (3) The amount of gain or (loss) recognized in income is zero that is related to the ineffective portion of the hedging relationships and \$6 million related to the amount excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.
- (4) The foreign exchange forward contracts mature at various dates in 2009 and 2010.
- (5) The changes in the market value of such futures contracts have historically been, and are expected to continue to be, highly effective at offsetting changes in price movements of the hedged items. The commodities futures contracts mature at various dates in 2009 and 2010.
- (6) Bunge pays Brazilian *reais* and receives U.S. dollars using fixed interest rates, offsetting the translation adjustment of its net investment in Brazilian *reais* assets. The swaps mature at various dates in 2010.

#### 12. DEBT

The fair value of Bunge s long-term debt is based on interest rates currently available on comparable maturities to companies with credit standing similar to that of Bunge. The carrying amounts and fair value of long-term debt are as follows:

		June 3	0, 2010			Decembe		
	Ca	arrying			Ca	arrying		
(US\$ in millions)	•	Value	Fai	ir Value	7	Value	Fa	ir Value
Long-term debt, including current portion	\$	3,672	\$	3,952	\$	3,649	\$	3,796

In February 2010, Bunge repurchased and canceled approximately \$2 million of its \$200 million aggregate principal amount of 7.80% senior notes due 2012. In July 2010, Bunge used proceeds from the sale of its Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets to redeem certain senior notes and repay certain term loans and subsidiary long-term debt. See Note 21 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### 13. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Bunge purchased soybeans, related soybean commodity products and other commodity products and fertilizer products from its unconsolidated joint ventures, which totaled \$133 million and \$376 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$272 million and \$519 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Bunge also sold soybean commodity products and other commodity products to these joint ventures, which totaled \$100 million and \$191 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$229 million and \$319 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Bunge believes these transactions are recorded at values similar to those with third parties.

#### 14. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

	U.SPen Three M Ju	Foreign-Pension Benef Three Months Ended June 30,				
(US\$ in millions)	2010	,	2009	2010	,	2009
Service cost	\$ 4	\$	3	\$ 1	\$	1
Interest cost	6		6	8		9
Expected return on plan assets	(6)		(6)	(10)		(10)
Amortization of prior service cost			1			
Amortization of net loss (gain)	1					(1)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 5	\$	4	\$ (1)	\$	(1)
		nths End		Foreign-Pe Six Moi	nths End	
		ne 30,			ne 30,	
(US\$ in millions)	2010		2009	2010		2009
Service cost	\$ 7	\$	6	\$ 2	\$	2
Interest cost	12		11	20		18
Expected return on plan assets	(12)		(11)	(23)		(19)
Amortization of prior service cost	1		1			
Amortization of net loss (gain)	2		1			(2)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 10	\$	8	\$ (1)	\$	(1)
		are Bene	efits nded		are Ben	efits nded
(US\$ in millions)	2010		2009	2010		2009
Service cost	\$	\$		\$ 1	\$	
Interest cost	1		1	3		2
Amortization of prior service cost				(1)		
Amortization of net loss (gain)				1		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1	\$	1	\$ 4	\$	2

	U.SPo Healtho Six Mo Ju	Foreign-Postretirement Healthcare Benefits Six Months Ended June 30,				
(US\$ in millions)	2010	2009		2010	20	
Service cost	\$	\$		\$ 1	\$	
Interest cost	1		1	6		4
Amortization of prior service cost				(1)		
Amortization of net loss (gain)				1		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1	\$	1	\$ 7	\$	4

#### Table of Contents

In the six months ended June 30, 2010, Bunge made contributions totaling \$1 million and \$8 million to its U.S. and foreign defined benefit pension plans, respectively. In the six months ended June 30, 2009, Bunge made contributions totaling \$28 million and \$4 million to its U.S. and foreign defined benefit pension plans, respectively.

In the six months ended June 30, 2010, Bunge made contributions totaling \$1 million and \$4 million to its U.S. and foreign postretirement benefit plans, respectively. In the six months ended June 30, 2009, Bunge made contributions totaling \$1 million and \$3 million to its U.S. and to its foreign postretirement benefit plans, respectively.

#### 15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Bunge is party to a large number of claims and lawsuits, primarily tax and labor claims in Brazil, arising in the normal course of business. Bunge records liabilities related to its general claims and lawsuits when the exposure item becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated. After taking into account the recorded liabilities for these matters, management believes that the ultimate resolution of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on Bunge s financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. Included in other non-current liabilities at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are the following accrued liabilities:

	June 30,	December 31,		
(US\$ in millions)	2010	2009		
Tax claims	\$ 110	\$ 135		
Labor claims	75	97		
Civil and other claims	98	110		
Total	\$ 283	\$ 342		

Tax Claims The tax claims relate principally to claims against Bunge s Brazilian subsidiaries, including primarily value-added tax claims (ICMS, IPI, PIS and COFINS, of which PIS and COFINS are used by the Brazilian government to fund social contribution programs). The determination of the manner in which various Brazilian federal, state and municipal taxes apply to the operations of Bunge is subject to varying interpretations arising from the complex nature of Brazilian tax law.

Labor Claims The labor claims relate principally to claims against Bunge s Brazilian subsidiaries. The labor claims primarily relate to dismissals, severance, health and safety, salary adjustments and supplementary retirement benefits.

Civil and Other The civil and other claims relate to various disputes with third parties, including suppliers and customers.

Guarantees Bunge has issued or was a party to the following guarantees at June 30, 2010:

	Maximum
	Potential
	Future
(US\$ in millions)	<b>Payments</b>
Customer financing (1)	\$ 120
Unconsolidated affiliates financing (2)	50
Total	\$ 170

Bunge has issued guarantees to third parties in Brazil related to amounts owed to these third parties by certain of Bunge's customers. The terms of the guarantees are equal to the terms of the related financing arrangements, which are generally one year or less, with the exception of guarantees issued under certain Brazilian government programs, primarily from 2006, where terms are up to five years. In the event that the customers default on their payments to the third parties and Bunge would be required to perform under the guarantees, Bunge has obtained collateral from the customers. At June 30, 2010, Bunge had approximately \$81 million of tangible property that had been pledged to Bunge as collateral against certain of these refinancing arrangements. Bunge evaluates the likelihood of the customer repayments of the amounts due under these guarantees based upon an expected loss analysis and records the fair value of such guarantees as an obligation in its condensed consolidated financial statements. The fair value of these guarantees at June 30, 2010 was not significant.

#### Table of Contents

(2) Bunge issued guarantees to certain financial institutions related to debt of certain of its unconsolidated joint ventures. The terms of the guarantees are equal to the terms of the related financings which have maturity dates in 2012 and 2018. There are no recourse provisions or collateral that would enable Bunge to recover any amounts paid under these guarantees. At June 30, 2010, Bunge recorded \$4 million related to the fair values of these guarantees.

In addition, Bunge Limited has provided full and unconditional parent level guarantees of the indebtedness outstanding under certain senior credit facilities and senior notes entered into, or issued by, its 100% owned subsidiaries. At June 30, 2010, debt with a carrying amount of \$3,419 million related to these guarantees is included in Bunge s condensed consolidated balance sheets. This debt includes the senior notes issued by two of Bunge s 100% owned finance subsidiaries, Bunge Limited Finance Corp. and Bunge N.A. Finance L.P. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of Bunge Limited Finance Corp., Bunge N.A. Finance L.P. or any other Bunge subsidiary to transfer funds to Bunge Limited.

Brazil Tax Law Changes New thin capitalization tax legislation was enacted in Brazil in June 2010, and denies income tax deductions for interest payments with respect to certain debt to the extent a company s debt to equity ratio exceeds a certain threshold or the debt is with related parties located in a tax haven jurisdiction as defined under the law. The thin capitalization legislation provides for an effective date of January 1, 2010 with respect to both the income tax and social contribution tax on income (25% and 9%, respectively, which are reported together as income tax expense in Bunge s condensed consolidated statements of income). However, based on external legal advice Bunge has concluded that under Brazil s Constitution, the new law cannot apply to the income tax portion until January 1, 2011 and the social contribution portion can only apply from March 15, 2010. Because Bunge believes that it is more likely than not that this position will be sustained, it has not accrued any income tax with respect to this new legislation but has accrued the social contribution portion only of \$27 million from March 15, 2010.

#### 16. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Share Repurchase Program On June 8, 2010, Bunge announced that its Board of Directors had approved a program for the repurchase of up to \$700 million of Bunge s issued and outstanding common shares. The program runs through December 31, 2011. Bunge has repurchased 2,050,000 common shares, for approximately \$107 million, under the program through June 30, 2010.

#### 17. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The following table summarizes the components of comprehensive income (loss):

	Three Mon June		Six Months Ended June 30,				
(US\$ in millions)	2010		2009	2010	2009		
Net income	\$ 1,787	\$	322	\$ 1,867	\$	146	
Other comprehensive income (loss):							
Foreign exchange translation adjustment, net of tax of \$0	(277)		785	(391)		697	
Unrealized gains on commodity futures and foreign exchange							
contracts designated as cash flow hedges, net of tax benefit							
(expense) of \$1, \$0 (2010) and \$(4), \$(12) (2009)	(2)		18	1		32	
Unrealized gains on investments, net of tax expense of \$0, \$0							
(2010) and \$(1), \$(1) (2009)	(1)		2	(1)		2	
Reclassification of realized net losses (gains) to net income, net							
of tax of (benefit) expense \$1, \$0 (2010) and \$(19), \$(18)							
(2009)	(1)		32			31	
Pension adjustment, net of tax benefit of \$5						(10)	
Other postretirement healthcare subsidy tax deduction							
adjustment				2			
Total comprehensive income	1,506		1,159	1,478		898	
Less: Comprehensive loss (income) attributable to							
noncontrolling interest	18		(120)	19		(121)	
Total comprehensive income attributable to Bunge	\$ 1,524	\$	1,039	\$ 1,497	\$	777	

#### 18. TRANSFERS (TO) FROM NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

On May 27, 2010, Bunge sold its Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets, including its direct and indirect 54% ownership interest in the voting common shares and 36% interest in the nonvoting preferred shares of Fosfertil (representing Bunge s right to approximately 42% of the earnings of Fosfertil). See Note 4 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Prior to this date, Fosfertil was a consolidated subsidiary of Bunge. Effective as of the date of sale and as a result of this transaction, Bunge deconsolidated Fosfertil and derecognized \$588 million of noncontrolling interests, which represented approximately 58% of noncontrolling interest in earnings of Fosfertil.

In 2009, Bunge entered into a joint venture to build and operate a grain terminal in Longview, Washington, U.S. Bunge has a 51% controlling interest in the joint venture, which it consolidates. In the six months ended June 30, 2010, the noncontrolling interest holders, (49%) made a \$27 million capital contribution to this joint venture. Bunge made a proportionate capital contribution, which resulted in no ownership percentage change. In the second quarter of 2009, Bunge received \$9 million of capital contributions from the noncontrolling interests, of which \$5 million was the initial noncontrolling equity interest upon consolidation by Bunge of this joint venture.

Effective January 1, 2010, Bunge adopted a FASB issued standard that amends the consolidation guidance that applies to variable interest entities (VIEs). As a result of this adoption, Bunge consolidated AGRI-Bunge, LLC, an agribusiness joint venture which originates grains and operates a Mississippi river terminal in the United States in which Bunge has 50% voting power and a 34% interest in the equity and earnings.

Bunge recorded \$3 million of noncontrolling equity interest upon its consolidation of this joint venture in the first quarter of 2010.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, certain third party investors redeemed their shares in a private investment fund consolidated by Bunge. The shares were valued at \$6 million and represented 14% of the outstanding shares of the fund and 100% of the ownership interest of these investors in the fund, along with \$1 million of dividends representing their share of the cumulative earnings of the fund. This resulted in Bunge s ownership interest in the fund increasing from 31% at December 31, 2009 to 35% at June 30, 2010. In the six months ended June 30, 2009, certain third party investors in the same private investment fund redeemed their shares in the fund. The shares were valued at \$43 million and represented 51% of the outstanding shares of the fund and 100% of the ownership interest of these investors in the fund. Additionally, the investors received \$8 million of dividends, which represented their share of the cumulative earnings of the fund. This transaction resulted in Bunge s ownership interest in the fund increasing from 16% at December 31, 2008 to 31% at June 30, 2009.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, certain of Bunge s Brazilian subsidiaries primarily involved in its sugar business received approximately \$36 million in capital contributions from noncontrolling interests. Bunge made proportionate capital contributions to these subsidiaries, which resulted in no ownership percentage change.

#### 19. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to Bunge common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding, excluding any dilutive effects of stock options, restricted stock unit awards, convertible preference shares and convertible notes during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share is computed similar to basic earnings per share, except that the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding is increased to include additional shares from the assumed exercise of stock options, restricted stock unit awards and convertible securities and notes, if dilutive. The number of additional shares is calculated by assuming that outstanding stock options, except those which are not dilutive, were exercised and that the proceeds from such exercises were used to acquire common shares at the average market price during the reporting period. In addition, Bunge accounts for the effects of convertible securities, using the if-converted method. Under this method, the convertible securities are assumed to be converted and the related dividend or interest expense, net of tax, is added back to earnings, if dilutive.

Bunge had 862,455 mandatory convertible preference shares outstanding as of June 30, 2010. Each mandatory convertible preference share has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. On the mandatory conversion date of December 1, 2010, each mandatory convertible preference share will automatically convert into between 8.2416 and 9.7250 Bunge Limited common shares, subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments, depending on the average daily volume-weighted average price per common share over the 20-trading day period ending on the third trading day prior to such date. At any time prior to December 1, 2010, holders may elect to convert the mandatory convertible preference shares at the conversion rate of 8.2416, subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments (which represents 7,108,009 Bunge Limited common shares as of June 30, 2010).

In addition, Bunge had 6,900,000 convertible perpetual preference shares outstanding as of June 30, 2010. Each convertible preference share has an initial liquidation preference of \$100 per share and is convertible, at any time at the holder s option, into approximately 1.0891 Bunge Limited common shares based on a conversion price of \$91.82 per convertible preference share, subject in each case to certain anti-dilution specified adjustments (which represents 7,514,790 Bunge Limited common shares as of June 30, 2010).

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

		Three Months	Ended	Six Months Ended June 30,				
(US\$ in millions, except for share data)	2010			2009	2010		2009	
Net income attributable to Bunge	\$	1,778	\$	313	\$ 1,841	\$	118	
Convertible preference share dividends		(20)		(20)	(39)		(39)	
Net income available to Bunge common								
shareholders	\$	1,758	\$	293	\$ 1,802	\$	79	
Weighted average number of common shares								
outstanding:								
Basic		144,034,189		122,026,034	142,083,975		121,878,864	

Effect of dilutive shares:				
Stock options and awards	791,725	962,578	1,003,769	1,040,863
Convertible preference shares	14,622,799	14,587,437	14,622,799	
Diluted (1)	159,448,713	137,576,049	157,710,543	122,919,727
Earnings per common share:				
Basic	\$ 12.21	\$ 2.40	\$ 12.68	\$ 0.65
Diluted	\$ 11.15	\$ 2.28	\$ 11.67	\$ 0.64

#### Table of Contents

(1) Approximately 3 million outstanding stock options and contingently issuable restricted stock units were not dilutive and not included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. Approximately 3 million outstanding stock options and contingently issuable restricted stock units were not dilutive and not included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. Approximately 14.6 million weighted average common shares that are issuable upon conversion of the convertible preference shares were not dilutive and not included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

#### 20. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Sugar and Bioenergy segment Bunge participates in the sugar and sugarcane-based ethanol industries through its sugar, trading and merchandising business, headquartered in London, and its sugarcane milling operations in Brazil. In addition, Bunge has investments in entities that produce corn-based ethanol in the United States. Bunge wholly owns or has majority interests in seven sugarcane mills in Brazil, five of which were acquired in the Moema acquisition in February 2010 (see Note 3 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements). Bunge also has an 80% stake in a greenfield mill that it is developing with a partner, which it expects to become initially operational in the second half of 2010. Most of the mills allow Bunge to adjust production, within certain capacity limits, between sugar (raw and crystal) and sugarcane-based ethanol (hydrous and anhydrous), allowing Bunge to readily respond to changes in customer demand and market prices within each of these product lines. Bunge also has cogeneration facilities at all mills which produce energy through the burning of sugarcane bagasse in boilers, enabling these mills to be self-sufficient for their energy needs and, in most cases, to sell surplus energy to third parties such as local utilities.

In the first quarter of 2010, Bunge began reporting the results of its sugar and bioenergy businesses as a reportable segment. Prior to the first quarter of 2010, sugar and bioenergy results and assets were included in the agribusiness segment. Accordingly, amounts for prior periods presented have been reclassified to conform to the current period segment presentation.

As a result, Bunge has five reportable segments agribusiness, sugar and bioenergy, fertilizer, edible oil products and milling products, which are organized based upon similar economic characteristics and are similar in nature of products and services offered, the nature of production processes, the type and class of customer and distribution methods. The agribusiness segment is characterized by both inputs and outputs being agricultural commodities and thus high volume and low margin. The sugar and bioenergy segment involves sugar origination, milling, trading and merchandising businesses, as well as sugar and sugarcane-based ethanol production and corn-based ethanol investments and activities. Following the completion of the sale of Bunge s Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets in May 2010, the activities of the fertilizer segment include its retail fertilizer business in Brazil as well as its operations in Argentina and the United States (see Note 4 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements). Additionally, Bunge has retained its 50% interest in its fertilizer joint venture in Morocco. The edible oil products segment involves the manufacturing and marketing of products derived from vegetable oils. The milling products segment involves the manufacturing and marketing of products derived primarily from wheat and corn.

The Unallocated column in the following table contains the reconciliation between the totals for reportable segments and Bunge consolidated totals, which consists primarily of corporate items not allocated to the operating segments, inter-segment eliminations. Transfers between the segments are generally valued at market. The revenues generated from these transfers are shown in the following table as Inter-segment revenues segments or inter-segment eliminations.

Operating Segment Information

## (US\$ in millions)

								ible					
Three Months Ended			0	r and	TD 4		_	)il	Milli	0	** **	4 1(4)	TD 4.1
June 30, 2010		business		nergy	Ferti			ducts	Produ			ocated(1)	Total
Net sales to external customers	\$	7,406	\$	963	\$	641	\$ 1	*	\$ 38		\$	(005)	\$ 10,974
Inter segment revenues		927		7		23		20	,	8 34		(985)	105
Gross profit (loss) (1)		270		46		(11)		86		34			425
Foreign exchange (losses) gains		(36)		(2)		(9)		(2)		1			(49)
Equity in earnings of affiliates		3		(3)		8		(1)		1		9	9
Noncontrolling interest (1)		(13)				(8)		(1)		(1)		9	(9)
Other income (expense) net		4		(5)	2	(2)		1		(1)			(3)
Segment EBIT (2) (3)		28		4	2,	,369		(13)		1			2,389
Depreciation, depletion and		(45)		(20)		(0)		(20)		(7)			(112)
amortization		(45)		(32)	2	(9)	1	(20)		(7)		701	(113)
Total assets (3)		11,171		3,674	3,	,929	1	,874	6.	55		791	22,094
Three Months Ended June 30, 2009													
Net sales to external customers	\$	7,902	\$	402	\$	841	\$ 1	,472	\$ 3'	77	\$		\$ 10,994
Inter segment revenues		825		9		2		41		4		(881)	
Gross profit (loss)		488		17	(	(212)		83		36			412
Foreign exchange gains (losses)		138				183		(1)					320
Equity in earnings of affiliates		3		(2)		1		2		1			5
Noncontrolling interest (1)		(6)		3		(5)						(1)	(9)
Other income (expense) net		2		2		(2)		(3)				. ,	(1)
Segment EBIT (2)		435		13		(53)		10		14			419
Depreciation, depletion and						()							
amortization		(46)		(3)		(34)		(17)		(5)			(105)
Total assets		12,314		1,828	4,	,796	1	,993		21		256	21,808
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010													
Net sales to external customers	\$	14,051	\$	1,988	\$ 1.	,340	\$ 3	,151	\$ 73	89	\$		\$ 21,319
Inter segment revenues	Ψ	1.799	Ψ	25	Ψ 1,	27	Ψ 3	43		41		(1,935)	Ψ 21,317
Gross profit		599		68		50		185		68		(1,933)	970
Foreign exchange (losses) gains		(77)		7		(25)		(4)	'	00			(99)
Equity in earnings of affiliates		7		(2)		3		(4)		1			99)
Noncontrolling interest (1)		(15)		6		(35)		(4)		1		22	(26)
Other income (expense) net		(13)		(5)		(33)		1				22	. ,
Segment EBIT (2) (3)		150		(3)	2	,329		5		14			(3) 2,507
Depreciation, depletion and		130		9	۷,	,329		3		14			2,307
		(01)		(16)		(24)		(40)	(	1.4\			(215)
amortization		(91) 11,171		(46) 3,674	2	(24)	1	(40) ,874	,	14) 55		791	(215) 22,094
Total assets (3)		11,1/1		3,074	3,	,929	1	,674	0.	33		791	22,094
Six Months Ended June 30, 2009													
Net sales to external customers	\$	14,144	\$	793	\$ 1,	,540	\$ 2	,962	\$ 7:		\$		\$ 20,192
Inter segment revenues		1,709		28		11		73		16		(1,837)	
Gross profit (loss)		700		18	(	(405)		162	,	72			547
Foreign exchange gains (losses)		117		1		186		(3)					301
Equity in earnings of affiliates		2		(8)		1		14		2			11
Noncontrolling interest (1)		(14)		4		(18)		(4)				4	(28)
Other income (expense) net		(2)		2		(4)		(4)					(8)
Segment EBIT (2)		463		3	(	(315)		32		33			216
Depreciation, depletion and						*							
amortization		(86)		(5)		(66)		(34)		(9)			(200)
		` ′		` ′				` ′					` /

Total assets	12,314	1,828	4,796	1,993	621	256	21,808

(1) Includes noncontrolling interest share of interest and tax to reconcile to consolidated noncontrolling interest.

(2) Total segment earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) is an operating performance measure used by Bunge s management to evaluate its segments operating activities. Bunge s management believes total segment EBIT is a useful measure of its segments operating profitability, since the measure reflects equity in earnings of affiliates and noncontrolling interest and excludes income tax. Income tax is excluded as Bunge s management believes income tax is not a key factor in evaluating the operating performance of its segments. In addition, interest income and expense have become less meaningful to the segments operating activities.

## Table of Contents

(3) On May 27, 2010, Bunge closed on the sale of its Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets. As a result of this transaction, Bunge recorded a pretax gain on the sale of \$2,440 million. The Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets were classified as held for sale at March 31, 2010. See Note 4 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

A reconciliation of total segment EBIT to net income attributable to Bunge follows:

	Three Mo Jun	nths E ie 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,			
(US\$ in millions)	2010		2009	2010		2009
Reconciliation of total segment earnings before interest and tax:						
Total segment EBIT	\$ 2,389	\$	419	\$ 2,507	\$	216
Interest income	23		40	42		76
Interest expense	(101)		(66)	(179)		(133)
Income tax expense	(542)		(79)	(551)		(45)
Noncontrolling interest share of interest and tax	9		(1)	22		4
Net income attributable to Bunge	\$ 1,778	\$	313	\$ 1,841	\$	118

#### 21. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In July 2010, Bunge used \$966 million of the proceeds from the sale of its Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets to redeem certain senior notes and repay certain term loans and subsidiary long-term debt with an aggregate principal amount of \$860 million. These transactions resulted in a loss of approximately \$89 million, related to make-whole payments on the redeemed and repaid debt that will be included in our condensed consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ending September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

#### **Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Statements**

This report contains both historical and forward looking statements. All statements, other than statements of historical fact are, or may be deemed to be, forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act). These forward looking statements are not based on historical facts, but rather reflect our current expectations and projections about our future results, performance, prospects and opportunities. We have tried to identify these forward looking statements by using words including may, will, should, could, expect, anticipate, intend. and similar expressions. These forward looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause our actual results, performance, prospects or opportunities to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these forward looking statements. The following important factors, among others, could affect our business and financial performance: changes in governmental policies and laws affecting our business, including agricultural and trade policies, environmental regulations, tax regulations and biofuels legislation; our funding needs and financing sources; changes in foreign exchange policy or rates; the outcome of pending regulatory and legal proceedings; our ability to complete, integrate and benefit from acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures and strategic alliances; our ability to achieve the efficiencies, savings and other benefits anticipated from our cost reduction, margin improvement and other business optimization initiatives; industry conditions, including fluctuations in supply, demand and prices for agricultural commodities and other raw materials and products that we sell and use in our business, fluctuations in energy and freight costs and competitive developments in our industries; weather conditions and the impact of crop and animal disease on our business; global and regional agricultural, economic, financial and commodities market, political, social, and health conditions; operational risks, including industrial accidents and natural disasters; our ability to reduce costs and improve margins in our business and other factors affecting our business generally.

The forward looking statements included in this report are made only as of the date of this report, and except as otherwise required by federal securities law, we do not have any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward looking statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances.

You should refer to Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed with the SEC on March 1, 2010, and Part II Item 1A. Risk Factors in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a more detailed discussion of these factors.

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Second Quarter 2010 Overview

**Foreign Currency Exchange Rates** 

Due to the global nature of our operations, our operating results can be materially impacted by foreign currency exchange rates. Both translation of our foreign subsidiaries—financial statements and foreign currency transactions can affect our results. On a monthly basis, functional currency-based subsidiary statements of income and cash flows are translated into U.S. dollars for consolidation purposes based on weighted average exchange rates during the month. As a result, fluctuations of functional currencies compared to the U.S. dollar during a monthly period impact our consolidated statements of income and cash flows for that period and also affect comparisons between periods. Subsidiary balance sheets are translated using exchange rates as of the balance sheet date with the resulting translation adjustments reported in our consolidated balance sheets as a component of other comprehensive income (loss).

Additionally, we record transaction gains or losses on monetary assets and liabilities of our foreign subsidiaries that are not denominated in their functional currencies. These amounts are remeasured into their respective subsidiary functional currencies at exchange rates as of the balance sheet date, with the resulting gains or losses included in the subsidiary statement of income and, therefore, in our consolidated statements of income as a foreign exchange gain (loss).

From time to time we also enter into derivative financial instruments, such as foreign currency forward contracts, swaps and options, to limit our exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates with respect to our foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities and our local currency operating expenses. These derivative

#### **Table of Contents**

instruments are marked to market, with changes in their fair value recognized as a component of foreign exchange in our consolidated statements of income. We may also hedge other foreign currency exposures as management deems appropriate.

During the second quarter of 2010, the U.S. dollar strengthened against most global currencies. In particular, the Brazilian *real* devalued by 1% against the U.S. dollar compared to an appreciation of the *real* by 19% against the U.S. dollar in the second quarter of 2009 and for the six months ended June 30, 2010 the Brazilian *real* devalued by 3% against the U.S. dollar compared to appreciation of 6% against the U.S. dollar for the same period of 2009. The devaluation of the Brazilian *real* in the second quarter of 2010 resulted in transaction losses primarily relating to the U.S. dollar-denominated financing of working capital in our Brazilian subsidiaries. The appreciation of the Brazilian real in the second quarter of 2009 resulted in transaction gains primarily relating to the U.S. dollar-denominated financing of working capital in our Brazilian subsidiaries. These transaction gains and losses impact the comparison of our financial statements between periods.

The translation of functional currency costs and expenses at monthly average exchange rates also impacts the comparison of our financial statements between periods. During the second quarter of 2010, the Brazilian *real* was on average 16% stronger against the U.S. dollar compared to the second quarter of 2009 and the Brazilian *real* was on average 22% stronger against the U.S. dollar for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period of 2009. The impact on our financial statements of the stronger *real* for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period of 2009 was an increase in translated functional currency costs and expenses.

#### Segment Overview

Agribusiness Our agribusiness segment EBIT for the second quarter of 2010 was significantly weaker than in the second quarter of 2009. Earnings in oilseed processing, grain origination and distribution were weak as slow farmer selling combined with strong export demand from China and excess capacity in some regions created a tight supply situation that pressured margins in South America and the United States. Our commercial and risk management strategies, especially in oilseeds, had anticipated more balanced supply and demand in the quarter. Slow farmer selling also impacted our European softseed processing operations. In the second quarter of 2010, we recorded restructuring charges of \$4 million primarily related to the consolidation of our Brazilian operations. Volumes in the quarter were negatively impacted by slow farmer selling of agricultural commodities in South America and Eastern Europe. Segment EBIT was also impacted by the foreign exchange translation of functional currency costs into U.S. dollars when compared with the second quarter of 2009.

Sugar and Bioenergy Our sugar and bioenergy segment EBIT for the second quarter of 2010 was lower than the second quarter of 2009 as higher earnings in our sugarcane milling operations, primarily related to the acquisition of the Moema mills and positive earnings in our trading and merchandising businesses were offset by costs associated with the expansion and construction of mills as well as higher depreciation expenses. Higher SG&A expenses were driven by the addition of Moema and \$3 million of restructuring charges related to the consolidation of our Brazilian operations. Segment EBIT was also impacted by the foreign exchange translation of functional currency costs into U.S. dollars when compared with the second quarter of 2009.

Fertilizer Our fertilizer segment EBIT was driven by the gain on the sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets. This was partially offset by continued weak results in our Brazilian fertilizer retail operations as a result of lower volumes and margins and higher operating costs as a result of disruptions related to the separation of the nutrients business and aggressive competitor pricing. Additionally, segment EBIT included an inventory valuation adjustment of \$37 million related to the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets sale. Segment EBIT was also impacted by the foreign exchange translation of functional currency costs into U.S. dollars when compared with the second quarter of 2009.

*Edible oil products* Our edible oil products segment EBIT for the quarter was unfavorably impacted by \$12 million of provisions related to expiring tax credits in Brazil as well as \$5 million in restructuring charges related to the consolidation of our Brazilian operations and certain of our European operations. In addition, the second quarter of 2009 included approximately \$2 million of earnings from our investment in Saipol, a French oilseed processing and branded vegetable oils business, which was sold in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Milling products Our milling products segment EBIT was impacted by higher corn milling earnings which were more than offset by lower wheat milling earnings during the quarter as a large Brazilian wheat crop increased local competition and pressured margins. Additionally, segment EBIT

included \$3 million of restructuring charges related to the consolidation of our Brazilian operations. Segment EBIT was also impacted by the foreign exchange translation of functional currency costs into U.S. dollars when compared with the second quarter of 2009.

#### Segment Results

A summary of certain items in our condensed consolidated statements of income and volumes by reportable segment for the periods indicated is set forth below.

		Three M Ju									
(US\$ in millions, except volumes and					Percent					Percent	
percentages)	2010		2009		Change		2010		2009	Change	
Volumes (in thousands of metric tons):											
Agribusiness		29,197		32,024	(9)%		54,335		58,221	(7)%	
Sugar and Bioenergy		2,788		1,573	77%		4,551		3,009	51%	
Fertilizer		1,965		2,426	(19)%		4,264		4,487	(5)%	
Edible oil products		1,493		1,382	8%		2,932		2,776	6%	
Milling products		1,108		1,100	1%		2,392		2,263	6%	
Total		36,551		38,505	(5)%		68,474		70,756	(3)%	
Net sales:											
Agribusiness	\$	7,406	\$	7,902	(6)%	\$	14,051	\$	14,144	(1)%	
Sugar and Bioenergy		963		402	140%		1,988		793	151%	
Fertilizer		641		841	(24)%		1,340		1,540	(13)%	
Edible oil products		1,578		1,472	7%		3,151		2,962	6%	
Milling products		386		377	2%		789		753	5%	
Total	\$	10,974	\$	10,994	%	\$	21,319	\$	20,192	6%	
Cost of goods sold:											
Agribusiness	\$	(7,136)	\$	(7,414)	(4)%	\$	(13,452)	\$	(13,444)	%	
Sugar and Bioenergy		(917)		(385)	138%		(1,920)		(775)	148%	
Fertilizer		(652)		(1,053)	(38)%		(1,290)		(1,945)	(34)%	
Edible oil products		(1,492)		(1,389)	7%		(2,966)		(2,800)	6%	
Milling products		(352)		(341)	3%		(721)		(681)	6%	
Total	\$	(10,549)	\$	(10,582)	%	\$	(20,349)	\$	(19,645)	4%	
Gross profit:											
Agribusiness	\$	270	\$	488	(45)%	\$	599	\$	700	(14)%	
Sugar and Bioenergy		46		17	171%		68		18	278%	
Fertilizer		(11)		(212)	95%		50		(405)	112%	
Edible oil products		86		83	4%		185		162	14%	
Milling products		34	34		(6)%		68		72	(6)%	
Total	\$	425	\$	412	3%	\$	970	\$	547	77%	
Selling, general and administrative expenses:											
Agribusiness	\$	(200)	\$	(190)	5%	\$	(368)	\$	(340)	8%	
Sugar and Bioenergy		(36)		(7)	414%		(65)		(14)	364%	
Fertilizer		(49)		(18)	172%		(101)		(75)	35%	
Edible oil products		(97)		(71)	37%		(173)		(133)	30%	
Milling products		(33)		(23)	43%		(55)		(41)	34%	
Total	\$	(415)	\$	(309)	34%	\$	(762)	\$	(603)	26%	
Gain on sale of fertilizer nutrients assets	\$	2,440	\$		100%	\$	2,440	\$		100%	
Foreign exchange (losses) gains:	d.	(20)	ф	120		\$	(77)	ф	117		
Agribusiness	\$	(36)	\$	138			(77) \$		117		
Sugar and Bioenergy		(2)		102	102		7		1		
Fertilizer		(9)		183			(25)		186		

 Edible oil products
 (2)
 (1)
 (4)
 (3)

 Milling products

 Total
 \$ (49)
 \$ 320
 \$ (99)
 \$ 301

33

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,						
(US\$ in millions, except volumes											
and percentages)		2010		2009	Change		2010		2009	Change	
Equity in earnings of affiliates:											
Agribusiness	\$	3	\$	3	%	\$	7	\$	2	250%	
Sugar and Bioenergy		(3)		(2)	50%		(2)		(8)	75%	
Fertilizer		8		1	700%		3		1	200%	
Edible oil products				2	(100)%				14	(100)%	
Milling products		1		1	%		1		2	(50)%	
Total	\$	9	\$	5	80%	\$	9	\$	11	(18)%	
Noncontrolling interest:											
Agribusiness	\$	(13)	\$	(6)		\$	(15)	\$	(14)		
Sugar and Bioenergy		4		3			6		4		
Fertilizer		(8)		(5)			(35)		(18)		
Edible oil products		(1)					(4)		(4)		
Milling products											
Total	\$	(18)	\$	(8)		\$	(48)	\$	(32)		
Other income (expense):											
Agribusiness	\$	4	\$	2		\$	4	\$	(2)		
Sugar and Bioenergy		(5)		2			(5)		2		
Fertilizer		(2)		(2)			(3)		(4)		
Edible oil products		1		(3)			1		(4)		
Milling products		(1)									
Total	\$	(3)	\$	(1)		\$	(3)	\$	(8)		
Segment earnings before interest and tax:											
Agribusiness	\$	28	\$	435	(94)%	\$	150	\$	463	(68)%	
Sugar and Bioenergy		4		13	(69)%		9		3	200%	
Fertilizer		2,369		(53)	n/m		2,329		(315)	n/m	
Edible oil products		(13)		10	n/m		5		32	(81)%	
Milling products		1		14	(93)%		14		33	(58)%	
Total (1)	\$	2,389	\$	419	470%	\$	2,507	\$	216	1061%	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization:											
Agribusiness	\$	(45)	\$	(46)	(2)%	\$	(91)	\$	(86)	6%	
Sugar and Bioenergy		(32)		(3)	967%		(46)		(5)	820%	
Fertilizer		(9)		(34)	(74)%		(24)		(66)	(64)%	
Edible oil products		(20)		(17)	18%		(40)		(34)	18%	
Milling products		(7)		(5)	40%		(14)		(9)	56%	
Total	\$	(113)	\$	(105)	8%	\$	(215)	\$	(200)	8%	

<sup>(1)</sup> Total segment earnings before interest and tax (EBIT) is an operating performance measure used by Bunge s management to evaluate its segments operating activities. Total segment EBIT is a non-GAAP financial measure and is not intended to replace net income (loss), the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure. Bunge s management believes total segment EBIT is a useful measure of its segments operating profitability, since the measure reflects equity in earnings of affiliates and noncontrolling interest and excludes income tax. Income tax is excluded as Bunge s management believes income tax is not material to the operating performance of its segments. In addition, interest income and expense have become less meaningful to the segments operating activities. Total segment EBIT is not a measure of consolidated operating results under U.S. GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net income or any other measure of consolidated operating results under U.S. GAAP.

A reconciliation of total segment EBIT to net income attributable to Bunge follows:

#### Table of Contents

		Three Mon June		Six Months Ended June 30,				
(US\$ in millions)		2010	2	2009	2010		2009	
Reconciliation of total segment earnings before interest and								
tax:								
Total segment EBIT	\$	2,389	\$	419	\$ 2,507	\$	216	
Interest income		23		40	42		76	
Interest expense		(101)		(66)	(179)		(133)	
Income tax expense		(542)		(79)	(551)		(45)	
Noncontrolling share of interest and tax		9		(1)	22		4	
Net income attributable to Bunge	\$	1,778	\$	313	\$ 1,841	\$	118	

#### Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2009

Agribusiness Segment. Agribusiness segment net sales decreased by 6%, primarily due to lower volumes in our oilseed processing, origination and distribution businesses when compared to the second quarter of 2009. This was partially offset by higher average prices for agricultural commodities. Volumes decreased 9% primarily as a result of lower origination in South America and Eastern Europe due to slow farmer selling of agricultural commodities.

Cost of goods sold decreased 4% due to lower volumes in our oilseed processing, origination and distribution businesses. This was partially offset by the higher cost of agricultural commodity purchases as a result of slow farmer selling during the second quarter of 2010. Functional currency costs translated into U.S. dollars were also impacted by the strengthening of the Brazilian *real* when compared with the second quarter of 2009.

Gross profit decreased 45% as a result of weaker oilseed processing and distribution margins compared with the unusually strong margins in the second quarter of 2009 that resulted from the tight soybean supply situation in South America. Gross profit was further impacted by slow farmer selling in South America and, to a lesser extent, Eastern Europe as well as the weaker risk management results compared to the second quarter of 2009.

SG&A expenses increased 5% primarily due to the unfavorable impact of a weaker average U.S. dollar on functional currency costs when translated into U.S. dollars. The second quarter of 2010 also included approximately \$4 million of restructuring charges related to the consolidation of our Brazilian operations.

Foreign exchange losses in the second quarter of 2010 and gains in the second quarter of 2009 related primarily to the volatility of the Brazilian *real* during both periods. Foreign exchange losses in 2010 and gains in 2009 were partially offset by inventory mark to market adjustments, which are included in cost of goods sold.

Equity in earnings of affiliates was income of \$3 million in both the second quarter of 2010 and 2009. Improved results in Solae, our North American soy ingredients investment were partially offset by weaker results in Diester Industries International S.A.S, our European biodiesel joint venture.

Noncontrolling interest of \$13 million in the second quarter of 2010 was the noncontrolling interest attributable share of period income, primarily in our Asian operations. In the same period of 2009, the noncontrolling interest attributable share of period income was \$6 million.

Segment EBIT decreased by \$407 million to \$28 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$435 million in the second quarter of 2009 largely due to lower gross profit, higher SG&A expenses and foreign exchange losses during the second quarter of 2010.

Sugar and Bioenergy Segment. Sugar and Bioenergy segment net sales increased 140% primarily due to a 77% increase in volumes, from increased activity in our trading and merchandising business and from the acquisition of Moema during the first quarter of 2010. Higher sugar and ethanol prices when compared to the second quarter of 2009 accounted for the remainder of the increased segment net sales.

Cost of goods sold increased 138% due to the sales volume increases, including the acquisition of Moema, and higher prices during the second quarter of 2010 compared with the same period last year. Pre-operational expenses related to the start-up of our mill expansions and the impact of the weaker average U.S. dollar on functional currency expenses also contributed to the increase in cost of goods sold compared with the second quarter of 2009.

#### **Table of Contents**

Gross profit increased to \$46 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$17 million in the comparable period of 2009 primarily as a result of expansion of our sugarcane milling operations and an increased contribution from our sugar trading and merchandising business. These increases were partially offset by pre-operational expenses related to the construction and expansion of our mills.

SG&A expenses increased to \$36 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$7 million in the comparable period of 2009 primarily due to the acquisition of the Moema mills and expansion of our industrial operations. The second quarter of 2010 included approximately \$3 million of restructuring charges related to the consolidation of our Brazilian operations. The weaker average U.S. dollar also resulted in increased costs when translated into U.S. dollars.

Foreign exchange losses of \$2 million in the second quarter of 2010 resulted primarily from continued volatility of the Brazilian *real*. There were no foreign exchange gains or losses in the comparable quarter of 2009.

Equity in earnings of affiliates was a loss of \$3 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to a loss of \$2 million in the same quarter of 2009.

Noncontrolling interest of \$4 million in the second quarter of 2010 and \$3 million in the same quarter of 2009 related to losses incurred at our non-wholly owned Brazilian sugarcane mills which were undergoing construction or expansion during these periods.

Segment EBIT decreased by \$9 million to \$4 million from \$13 million in the second quarter of 2009 due to higher SG&A costs during the second quarter of 2010.

*Fertilizer Segment.* Fertilizer segment results for the second quarter of 2010 were significantly impacted by the sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets that was completed on May 27, 2010. The results for the second quarter of 2010 include all business activity related to the nutrients assets through May 31, 2010. The disposal of these assets impacts the comparability of the results with the same period of 2009.

Fertilizer segment net sales during the second quarter of 2010 were 24% lower than the second quarter of 2009 as a result of a 19% decrease in volumes compared to the same period last year due to disruptions related to the sale of our nutrients assets and aggressive competitor pricing. In addition, nutrients volumes decreased in the second quarter of 2010 as they include only two months of activity for the quarter as a result of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets sale.

Cost of goods sold decreased 38%, despite the unfavorable impact of a weaker average U.S. dollar on functional currency costs primarily as a result of lower retail volumes compared to the second quarter of 2009. In addition, costs were unfavorably impacted by approximately \$37 million of inventory valuation write-downs related to the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets sale, which was partially offset by approximately \$29 million of depreciation, depletion and amortization related to assets classified as held for sale through the date of sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets in the second quarter of 2010. Cost of goods sold in the second quarter of 2009 included \$121 million of inventory valuation write-downs.

Gross profit was a loss of \$11 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to a loss of \$212 million in the comparable period of 2009. The increase in gross profit is primarily a result of lower raw material and finished product inventory costs and lower depreciation, depletion and amortization expenses partially offset by lower sales volumes.

SG&A increased to \$49 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$18 million in the comparable period of 2009 which included the reversal of a \$32 million transactional tax reserve.

Gain on sale of fertilizer nutrients assets was \$2,440 million in the second quarter of 2010. During the second quarter of 2010 the disposal of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets including our investment in Fosfertil and Fosbrasil, our phosphoric acid joint venture, was completed. The gain has been reported net of approximately \$152 million of transaction related costs.

Foreign exchange losses increased by \$192 million to a loss of \$9 million in the second quarter of 2010 primarily driven by the 1% devaluation of the Brazilian *real* during the second quarter of 2010 compared with the 19% appreciation of the *real* during the second quarter of 2009. Additionally, U.S. dollar monetary liability positions funding working capital during the second quarter of 2010 were higher as imported raw material purchases increased when compared to the second quarter of 2009.

#### **Table of Contents**

Equity in earnings of affiliates increased by \$7 million compared to the second quarter of 2010 due to higher results in our Moroccan phosphate joint venture, which started its production in March 2009.

Noncontrolling interest of \$8 million in the second quarter of 2010 and \$5 million in the same quarter of 2009 was the noncontrolling interest attributable share of period earnings at Fosfertil.

Segment EBIT increased to \$2,369 million as a result of the gain on the sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets which was partially offset by weak gross profit resulting from lower volumes and an inventory valuation adjustment related to the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients asset sale during the second quarter of 2010.

Edible Oil Products Segment. Edible oil products segment net sales increased 7% compared to the second quarter of 2009 driven primarily by higher volumes in our European, North American and Brazilian operations.

Cost of goods sold increased 7% primarily due to increased volumes which was partially offset by lower raw material costs, primarily in Brazil. Cost of goods sold also increased as a result of the impact of the weaker U.S. dollar on functional currency costs when translated into U.S. dollars.

Gross profit increased 4% primarily due to stronger margins in our margarine businesses, primarily in Brazil and to the Raisio acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2009. Gross profit also improved in the North American edible oils business.

SG&A expenses increased 37% primarily as a result of the impact of a \$12 million provision related to expiring tax credits in Brazil as well as \$4 million of restructuring charges associated with the consolidation of our Brazilian operations. The addition of the Raisio business and the impact of the weaker U.S. dollar on functional currency expenses when translated into U.S. dollars also contributed to the increase in SG&A when compared to the same period of 2009.

Foreign exchange result in the second quarter of 2010 was a loss of \$2 million compared to a loss of \$1 million in the same period of 2009.

Equity in earnings of affiliates decreased by \$2 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009. The second quarter of 2009 included equity in earnings of affiliates of \$2 million from Saipol S.A.S., a joint venture that was sold during the fourth quarter of 2009.

Noncontrolling interest of \$1 million in the second quarter of 2010 was the noncontrolling interest attributable share of period earnings, primarily in our European operations.

Segment EBIT decreased \$23 million to a loss of \$13 million in the second quarter of 2010 as a result of higher SG&A expenses which was partially offset by higher gross profit.

*Milling Products Segment*. Milling products segment net sales increased 2% primarily due to increased volumes, primarily in wheat milling, which were partially offset by lower average selling prices for wheat and related products.

Cost of goods sold increased 3% primarily as a result of the impact on wheat milling of a stronger Brazilian *real* on functional currency costs when translated into U.S. dollars when compared to the second quarter of 2009.

Gross profit decreased 6% compared with the second quarter of 2009 as a result of lower average product margins in wheat milling due to increased competition as a result of a large Brazilian wheat crop.

SG&A expenses increased 43% primarily due to \$3 million of restructuring charges related to the further consolidation of our Brazilian operations and the unfavorable impact of a stronger Brazilian *real* on wheat milling functional currency expenses when translated into U.S. dollars.

Segment EBIT was \$1 million for the second quarter of 2010 as lower gross profit and an increase in SG&A expenses negatively impacted results compared to the second quarter of 2009.

Interest. A summary of consolidated interest income and expense for the periods indicated follows:

(US\$ in millions, except percentages)	Т	Three Months Ended June 30,				
	20	10	2	009	Change	
Interest income	\$	23	\$	40	(43)%	
Interest expense		(101)		(66)	53%	

## Table of Contents

Interest income decreased 43% primarily due to lower average interest bearing cash balances. Interest expense increased 53% primarily due to higher average borrowing costs, including costs on debt assumed as part of the Moema acquisition.

Income Tax Expense. In the quarter ended June 30, 2010, we recorded an income tax expense of \$542 million compared to an income tax of \$79 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30 2010 was 23%, compared to 20% for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Included in tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was \$80 million for valuation allowances related to deferred tax assets which we currently do not expect to fully recover prior to their expiration. Included in our full year effective tax rate forecast was the \$539 million of tax reported on the gain of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets sale. Of the \$539 million of tax expense, \$296 million has or will be paid by applying deferred tax assets and tax credits. In addition, deferred tax assets and tax credits have been reduced by an additional \$331 million which were included in the assets sold, largely in Fosfertil, which was deconsolidated as a result of the sale.

Also included in our full year effective tax rate forecast was approximately \$27 million of tax expense related to the new thin capitalization tax legislation that was enacted in Brazil in June 2010, which denies income tax deductions for interest payments with respect to certain debt to the extent a company s debt to equity ratio exceeds a certain threshold or the debt is with related parties located in a tax haven jurisdiction as defined under the law. The thin capitalization legislation provides for an effective date of January 1, 2010 with respect to both the income tax and social contribution tax on income (25% and 9%, respectively, which are reported together as income tax expense in our condensed consolidated statements of income). However, based on external legal advice, we have concluded that under Brazil s Constitution, the new law cannot apply to the income tax portion until January 1, 2011 and the social contribution portion can only apply from March 15, 2010. Because we believe that it is more likely than not that this position will be sustained, we have not accrued any income tax with respect to the new legislation but have accrued the social contribution portion only of \$27 million from March 15, 2010.

*Net Income Attributable to Bunge.* For the quarter ended June 30, 2010, net income attributable to Bunge increased by \$1,465 million from net income of \$313 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 to net income of \$1,778 million in the second quarter of 2010. This increase was primarily the result of the gain on the sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets.

#### Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

Agribusiness Segment. Agribusiness segment net sales decreased by 1% driven by lower volumes in our oilseed processing, origination and distribution businesses compared to the same period of 2009. Volumes decreased 7% primarily as a result of slow farmer selling of agricultural commodities primarily in the second quarter of 2010 in South America and to a lesser extent, Eastern Europe.

Cost of goods sold in the six months ended June 30, 2010 was primarily unchanged when compared to the same period in 2009. The volume decrease of 7% was partially offset by higher crop origination costs, primarily in the second quarter of 2010 in South America and to a lesser extent, in Eastern Europe. In addition, impairment and restructuring costs of \$15 million were recorded in cost of goods sold in the first quarter of 2010 primarily related to the closure of an older, less efficient oilseed processing facility in the United States.

Gross profit decreased 14% as a result of weaker oilseed processing, grain origination and distribution margins primarily due to slow farmer selling in South America. In addition, grain origination, particularly in the oilseed chain, and distribution results were also unfavorably impacted

by the unanticipated early demand from China.

SG&A expenses increased 8% primarily due to the unfavorable impact of a weaker average U.S. dollar on functional currency costs when translated into U.S. dollars. The second quarter of 2010 also included approximately \$4 million of restructuring provisions primarily related to the consolidation of our Brazilian operations.

Foreign exchange losses for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and gains for the six months ended June 30, 2009 related primarily to the volatility of the Brazilian *real* during both periods. Foreign exchange losses in 2010 and gains in 2009 were partially offset by inventory mark to market adjustments, which are included in cost of goods sold.

Equity in earnings of affiliates increased to \$7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$2 million for the comparable period of 2009 due to improved results in Solae, our soy ingredients investment.

38

## Table of Contents

Noncontrolling interest of \$15 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was the noncontrolling interest attributable share of period earnings. In the same period of 2009, noncontrolling interest of \$14 million was the noncontrolling interest attributable share of period earnings.

Segment EBIT decreased by \$313 million to \$150 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$463 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009 largely due to lower gross profit, higher SG&A expenses and foreign exchange losses during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Sugar and Bioenergy Segment. Sugar and Bioenergy segment net sales increased 151% primarily due to an increase in sugar and ethanol prices when compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the acquisition of Moema. Sales volumes increased 51% driven by the Moema acquisition as well as increased contributions from our sugar trading and merchandising business.

Cost of goods sold increased 148% due to the addition of Moema and an increase in average prices for sugar and ethanol when compared to last year. In addition, cost of sales was unfavorably impacted by the sale of the stepped-up inventories acquired as part of the acquisition of Moema in February 2010 at prevailing market prices which declined significantly subsequent to the completion of the acquisition. The weaker average U.S. dollar also resulted in increased costs when translated into U.S. dollars.

Gross profit increased to \$68 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$18 million in the comparable period of 2009 primarily as a result of higher volumes and improved margins in our sugarcane milling and trading and merchandising businesses.

SG&A expenses increased to \$65 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$14 million in the comparable period of 2009 primarily due to the Moema acquisition and pre-operation costs of construction and expansion activities. The six months ended June 30, 2010 included approximately \$11 million of Moema acquisition-related expenses and \$3 million of restructuring charges associated with the consolidation of our Brazilian operations. The weaker average U.S. dollar also resulted in increased costs when translated into U.S. dollars.

Foreign exchange gains in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 resulted primarily from continued volatility of the Brazilian real.

Equity in earnings of affiliates improved by \$6 million to a loss of \$2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 due to improved results at our North American bioenergy investments.

Noncontrolling interest of \$6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was the noncontrolling interest attributable share of period earnings. In the same period of 2009, noncontrolling interest of \$4 million was the noncontrolling interest attributable share of period earnings.

Segment EBIT increased to \$9 million from \$3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009 primarily due to the expansion of our industrial activities in Brazil, continued growth of our trading and merchandising business and improved performance of our North American bioenergy investments.

*Fertilizer Segment.* Fertilizer segment results for the six months ended June 30, 2010 were significantly impacted by the sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets that was completed on May 27, 2010. The results for the six months ended June 30, 2010 include all activity related to the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets through May 31, 2010. The disposal of these assets impacts the comparability of the results with the same period of 2009.

Fertilizer segment net sales declined 13% during the six months ended June 30, 2010 when compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009 as both volumes and selling prices declined during the period. Volumes decreased 5% compared to volumes in the same period last year due to disruptions resulting from the separation of our nutrients business from retail, which resulted in lost sales opportunities, and an aggressive competitive pricing environment. This was partially offset by strong sales of nutrients products in advance of the seasonal fertilizer demand.

Cost of goods sold decreased 34%, despite the unfavorable impact of a weaker average U.S. dollar on functional currency costs primarily as a result of lower volumes and lower raw material costs compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009. In addition, costs were favorably impacted by approximately \$52 million of depreciation, depletion and amortization related to assets classified as held for sale during the six months ended June 30, 2010 ceased as a result of that classification. This was partially offset by \$37 million of inventory valuation write-downs recorded in the second quarter of 2010 related to the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets sale. Cost of goods sold in the six months ended June 30, 2009 included \$185 million of inventory valuation write-downs.

## Table of Contents

Gross profit increased to \$50 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 from a loss of \$405 million in the comparable period of 2009. The increase in gross profit is primarily a result of lower raw material and finished product inventory costs and lower depreciation, depletion and amortization expenses.

SG&A increased to \$101 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$75 million in the comparable period of 2009 primarily as a result of the unfavorable impact of the weaker U.S. dollar on functional currency expenses when translated into U.S. dollars partially offset by lower bad debt expenses. The second quarter of 2009 included the reversal of a \$32 million transactional tax reserve.

Gain on sale of fertilizer nutrients assets was \$2,440 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 as the disposal of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets including our investment in Fosfertil and Fosbrasil, our phosphoric acid joint venture, was completed during the period. The gain has been reported net of approximately \$152 million of transaction related costs.

Foreign exchange losses increased by \$211 million to a loss of \$25 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 primarily driven by the volatility of the *real* during both periods, as well as by higher U.S. dollar monetary liability positions funding working capital during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as imported raw material purchases increased when compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Equity in earnings of affiliates increased by \$2 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010 due to improved performance of our Moroccan phosphate joint venture which started its production in March 2009.

Noncontrolling interest of \$35 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and \$18 million in the same quarter of 2009 was the noncontrolling interest attributable share of period earnings at Fosfertil.

Segment EBIT increased by \$2,644 million primarily as a result of the gain on sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets and improved gross profit when compared to the same period of 2009.

*Edible Oil Products Segment*. Edible oil products segment net sales increased 6% compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009 driven primarily by higher volumes in Europe as a result of the Raisio acquisition, which was completed in the fourth quarter of 2009. The increased sales were partially offset by lower sales in Brazil due to a highly competitive environment.

Cost of goods sold increased 6% primarily due to increased volumes which was partially offset by lower raw material costs, primarily in Brazil. Cost of goods sold also increased as a result of restructuring charges of \$3 million associated with certain of our European operations and the impact of the weaker U.S. dollar on functional currency costs when translated into U.S. dollars.

Gross profit increased 14% primarily due to stronger margins in margarines, primarily in Brazil, and to the addition of the Raisio acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2009. Gross profit also improved in the North American edible oils business.

SG&A expenses increased 30% primarily as a result of the impact of a \$12 million provision related to expiring tax credits in Brazil as well as \$2 million of restructuring charges associated with the further consolidation of our Brazilian operations. The addition of the Raisio business and the weaker U.S. dollar on functional currency expenses when translated into U.S. dollars also contributed to the increase in SG&A.

Foreign exchange results in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were losses of \$4 million and \$3 million, respectively.

Equity in earnings of affiliates decreased by \$14 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2009. The six months ended June 30, 2009 included equity in earnings of affiliates of \$14 million from Saipol S.A.S., a joint venture that was sold during the fourth quarter of 2009.

Noncontrolling interest of \$4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was the noncontrolling interest attributable share of period income, primarily in our European operations.

Segment EBIT decreased 81% as a result of higher SG&A and lower equity in earnings of affiliates, which was partially offset by higher gross profit.

Milling Products Segment. Milling products segment net sales increased 5% primarily due to increased volumes in wheat milling, which were partially offset by lower average selling prices for wheat and related products.

Cost of goods sold increased 6% primarily as a result of the impact on wheat milling of a stronger Brazilian *real* on functional currency costs when translated into U.S. dollars and impairment charges of \$2 million recorded in

#### **Table of Contents**

the first quarter of 2010 related to the closure of a corn oil extraction line in the U.S. that is co-located with an oilseed processing plant that was also being closed.

Gross profit decreased 6% compared with the six months ended June 30, 2009 as lower average selling prices of wheat and related products were more than offset by the impact of a stronger Brazilian *real* on functional currency costs when translated into U.S. dollars and the \$2 million impairment and restructuring charges in the six months ended June 30, 2010.

SG&A expenses increased 34% primarily due to \$3 million of restructuring charges related to the consolidation of our Brazilian operations and the unfavorable impact of a stronger Brazilian *real* on wheat milling functional currency expenses when translated into U.S. dollars.

Segment EBIT decreased 58% due to higher SG&A expenses and the unfavorable impact of a stronger Brazilian *real* when compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009.

*Interest.* A summary of consolidated interest income and expense for the periods indicated follows:

	Six Months Ended				
		June	30,		
(US\$ in millions, except percentages)	20	010		2009	Change
Interest income	\$	42	\$	76	(45)%
Interest expense		(179)		(133)	35%

Interest income decreased 45% primarily due to lower average interest bearing cash balances and lower average interest rates. Interest expense increased 35% primarily due to higher average borrowing costs, including costs on debt assumed as part of the Moema acquisition.

Income Tax Expense. In the six months ended June 30, 2010, we recorded an income tax expense of \$551 million compared to an income tax expense of \$45 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was 23%, compared to 25% for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Included in tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$80 million for valuation allowances related to deferred tax assets which we currently do not expect to fully recover prior to their expiration and a benefit of approximately \$12 million related to a tax amnesty program in Brazil. Included in our full year effective tax rate forecast was the \$539 million of tax reported on the gain of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients sale. Of the \$539 million of tax expense, \$296 million has or will be paid by applying deferred tax assets and tax credits. In addition, deferred tax assets and tax credits have been reduced by an additional \$331 million which were included in the assets sold, largely in Fosfertil, which was deconsolidated as a result of the sale.

Also included in our full year effective tax rate forecast was approximately \$27 million of tax expense related to the new thin capitalization tax legislation that was enacted in Brazil in June 2010, which denies income tax deductions for interest payments with respect to certain debt to the extent a company s debt to equity ratio exceeds a certain threshold or the debt is with related parties located in a tax haven jurisdiction as defined

under the law. The thin capitalization legislation provides for an effective date of January 1, 2010 with respect to both the income tax and social contribution tax on income (25% and 9%, respectively, which are reported together as income tax expense in our condensed consolidated statements of income). However, based on external legal advice, we have concluded that under Brazil s Constitution, the new law cannot apply to the income tax portion until January 1, 2011 and the social contribution portion can only apply from March 15, 2010. Because we believe that it is more likely than not that this position will be sustained, we have not accrued any income tax with respect to this new legislation but have accrued the social contribution portion only of \$27 million from March 15, 2010.

*Net Income Attributable to Bunge.* For the six months ended June 30, 2010, net income attributable to Bunge increased by \$1,723 million to net income of \$1,841 million from \$118 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009. This increase was primarily the result of the gain on the sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets.

Tabl	e of	Contents
1 au	U OI	Contents

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

#### Liquidity

Our primary financial objective is to maintain sufficient liquidity, balance sheet strength and financial flexibility in order to fund the requirements of our business efficiently. We generally finance our ongoing operations with cash flows generated from operations, issuance of commercial paper, borrowings under various revolving credit facilities and, to a lesser extent, term loans, as well as proceeds from the issuance of senior notes. Acquisitions and long-lived assets are generally financed with a combination of equity and long-term debt.

Our current ratio, which is a widely used measure of liquidity, defined as current assets divided by current liabilities was 1.93 and 1.90 at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and cash equivalents were \$2,771 million at June 30, 2010 and \$553 million at December 31, 2009. The increase reflects a portion of the net proceeds of \$3.5 billion, net of \$142 million transaction costs and \$275 million of withholding tax included as a component of cash used for operations, received on the sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets, which closed in the second quarter. A portion of the cash was used during the quarter to repay short- and long-term debt and another portion was used for the repurchase of common shares under the share repurchase program announced on June 8, 2010. The remaining balance of the proceeds has been or will be used for additional debt reduction and other general corporate purposes.

Readily Marketable Inventories Readily marketable inventories are agricultural commodity inventories such as soybeans, soybean meal, soybean oil, corn, wheat and sugar that are readily convertible to cash because of their commodity characteristics, widely available markets and international pricing mechanisms. Readily marketable inventories at fair value in our agribusiness segment were \$3,013 million at June 30, 2010 and \$3,197 million at December 31, 2009. Readily marketable inventories at fair value in the aggregate amount of \$157 million and \$162 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, were included in our edible oil products and milling products segment inventories. The sugar and bioenergy segment included readily marketable sugar inventories of \$63 million and \$21 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Of these readily marketable sugar inventories, \$35 million and \$21 million, respectively, were inventories carried at fair value in our trading and merchandising business. Sugar inventories in our industrial production business are readily marketable, but are carried at lower of cost or market.

We recorded interest expense on debt financing readily marketable inventories of \$33 million and \$28 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Financing Arrangements and Outstanding Indebtedness We conduct most of our financing activities through a centralized financing structure that enables us and our subsidiaries to borrow more efficiently. This structure includes a master trust facility, the primary assets of which consist of intercompany loans made to Bunge Limited and its subsidiaries. Certain of Bunge Limited s 100%-owned finance subsidiaries fund the master trust with long- and short-term debt obtained from third parties, including through our commercial paper program and certain credit facilities, as well as the issuance of senior notes. Borrowings by these finance subsidiaries carry full, unconditional guarantees by Bunge Limited.

Revolving Credit Facilities. At June 30, 2010, we had approximately \$2,807 million of aggregate committed borrowing capacity under our commercial paper program and revolving credit facilities, all of which was unused and available. The following table summarizes these facilities as of the periods presented:

		Total Availability	Borrowings	Outstanding
Commercial Paper Program and Revolving Credit Facilities	Maturities	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2010 (US\$ in millions)	December 31, 2009
Commercial Paper	2012	\$ 575	\$	\$
Long-Term Revolving Credit Facilities (1) Total	2011-2012	\$ 2,232 2,807	\$	\$

<sup>(1)</sup> Borrowings under the revolving credit facilities that have maturities greater than one year from the date of the consolidated balance sheets are classified as long-term debt, consistent with the long-term maturity of the underlying facilities. However, individual borrowings under the revolving credit facilities are generally short-term in nature, bear interest at variable rates and can be repaid or renewed as each such individual borrowing matures.

## Table of Contents

Our commercial paper program is supported by committed back-up bank credit lines (the liquidity facility) equal to the amount of the commercial paper program provided by lending institutions that are rated at least A-1 by Standard & Poors and P-1 by Moody s Investors Services. The liquidity facility, which matures in June 2012, permits Bunge, at its option, to set up direct borrowings or issue commercial paper in an aggregate amount of up to \$575 million. The cost of borrowing under the liquidity facility would typically be higher than the cost of borrowing under our commercial paper program. At June 30, 2010, no borrowings were outstanding under the liquidity facility or the commercial paper program.

In addition to the committed facilities discussed above, from time to time, we enter into uncommitted short-term credit lines as necessary based on our liquidity requirements. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had no borrowings outstanding under these uncommitted short-term credit lines.

Short- and Long-Term Debt. Our short- and long-term debt increased by \$29 million at June 30, 2010 from December 31, 2009, primarily due to increased working capital resulting from lower utilization under our accounts receivable securitization programs. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we repaid approximately \$462 million of debt assumed in the Moema acquisition. In addition, we provided funding of \$161 million to the Moema sellers for repayment of certain of Moema s debt obligations prior to the acquisition closing date (this amount was in addition to the \$555 million of debt assumed).

Our syndicated \$645 million, 364-day revolving credit agreement with a number of lending institutions expired in accordance with its terms on June 2, 2010, at which time no borrowings were outstanding under such revolving credit facility.

The following table summarizes the debt repayments made using proceeds from the sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets:

	Aggregate Princ	Aggregate Principal Aggregate			
(US\$ in millions)	Amount			Repayment Amount	Repayment Period
7.44% Guaranteed Senior Notes, Series C, due					
2012	\$	351	\$	406	July 2010
7.80% Senior Notes, due 2012		198		230	July 2010
Term Loan, fixed interest rate of 4.33%, due 2011		250		260	July 2010
Other		632		662	May-July 2010
Total	\$	1,431	\$	1,558	

Prior to the redemption of this series of notes in July 2010, we repurchased and canceled approximately \$2 million of the outstanding \$200 million aggregate principal amount of 7.80% senior notes due 2012 in February 2010.

We may from time to time seek to retire or purchase our outstanding debt in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases, if any, will depend in prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors.

In May 2010, we notified the holders of our \$351 million principal amount of 7.44% Senior Guaranteed Notes, Series C, due September 30, 2012 (the Senior Guaranteed Notes), of our intention to redeem the outstanding Senior Guaranteed Notes in full on July 1, 2010. The redemption price of the Senior Guaranteed Notes consisted of the principal amount of the Senior Guaranteed Notes, accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date and a make-whole amount as provided in the Note Purchase and Guarantee Agreement, dated as of September 25, 2002, governing the Senior Guaranteed Notes. The aggregate amount paid to the holders of the Senior Guaranteed Notes on July 1, 2010 was \$406 million, of which \$48 million related to the make-whole payment and \$7 million to accrued and unpaid interest. Also in July 2010, in connection with this debt redemption, we recorded a loss of \$4 million related to the non-cash write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs and unamortized losses on treasury rate lock contracts.

In June 2010, we informed The Bank of New York Mellon, the trustee (the Trustee ) for BLFC s \$200 million aggregate principal amount of 7.80% Senior Notes due 2012 (the Senior Notes ), of our intention to redeem all of the outstanding Senior Notes pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Indenture, dated as of October 15, 2002, governing the Senior Notes. We informed the Trustee that the redemption date for the Senior Notes would be July 14, 2010. The redemption price of the Senior Notes consisted of the aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes, accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date and a make-whole amount as provided in the Indenture. The aggregate amount paid to the holders of the Senior Notes on July 14, 2010 was \$230 million, of which \$28 million related to the make-whole payment and \$4 million to accrued and unpaid interest. Also in July

## Table of Contents

2010, in connection with this debt redemption, we recorded a loss of \$2 million related to the non-cash write-off of unamortized debt discount and issuance costs and unamortized losses on treasury rate lock contracts.

Also in June 2010, one of our subsidiaries notified the holders of its senior notes of its intention to redeem the outstanding senior notes in full on July 19, 2010. The redemption price of the senior notes consisted of the aggregate principal amount of the senior notes, accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date and a make-whole amount as provided in the note agreement governing the senior notes. The aggregate amount paid to the holders of the senior notes was \$34 million, of which \$7 million related to the make-whole payment. There were no unamortized financing costs related to this debt.

On July 1, 2010, we informed the Administrative Agent for our \$250 million syndicated term loan due 2011, of our intention to repay the syndicated term loan pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit agreement, dated as of February 26, 2008. We repaid on the syndicated term loan on July 7, 2010. The repayment amount of the syndicated term loan consisted of the aggregate principal amount, accrued and unpaid interest to the repayment date and a make-whole amount as provided in the credit agreement. The aggregate amount paid to the participant banks in the syndicated term loan was \$260 million, of which \$6 million related to the make-whole payment and \$4 million to accrued and unpaid interest.

In June 2009, the FASB issued a standard that amended a previously issued standard to improve the information reported in financial statements related to the transfer of financial assets and the effects of the transfers of such assets on the financial position, results from operations and cash flows of the transferor and a transferor s continuing involvement, if any, with transferred financial assets. In addition, the amendment limits the circumstances in which a financial asset or a portion of a financial asset should be derecognized in the financial statements of the transferor when the transferor has not transferred the entire original financial asset. Upon adoption of this standard on January 1, 2010, all trade accounts receivables sold after that date under Bunge s accounts receivable securitization programs (the securitization programs) are included in trade accounts receivable and the amounts outstanding under the securitization programs are accounted for as secured borrowings and are reflected as short-term debt on Bunge s condensed consolidated balance sheet. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2010 we reduced our utilization of the securitization programs. As a result, the amounts outstanding under the securitization programs at June 30, 2010 are not significant. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on Bunge s financial position, results from operations or cash flows.

The following table summarizes our short- and long-term indebtedness at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

(US\$ in millions)	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009
Short-term debt:			
Short-term debt (1)	\$	172	\$ 166
Current portion of long-term debt		844	31
Total short-term debt		1,016	197
Long-term debt (2):			
Term loans due 2011 LIBOR (3) plus 1.25% to 1.75%		475	475
Term loan due 2011 fixed interest rate of 4.33%		250	250
Japanese Yen term loan due 2011 Yen LIBOR (4) plus 1.40%		113	108
7.44% Senior Notes, Series C, due 2012		351	351
7.80% Senior Notes due 2012		198	200
5.875% Senior Notes due 2013		300	300
5.35% Senior Notes due 2014		500	500
5.10% Senior Notes due 2015		382	382
5.90% Senior Notes due 2017		250	250
8.50% Senior Notes due 2019		600	600

BNDES (5) loans, variable interest rate indexed to TJLP (6) plus 3.20% to 4.50% and URTJLP 106 (7) plus 6.00% to 12.50% payable through 2016 122 Others 131 127 3,649 Subtotal 3,672 Less: Current portion of long-term debt 844 (31)Total long-term debt 2,828 3,618 \$ Total debt \$ 3,844 3,815

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes secured debt of \$35 million at June 30, 2010, of which is related to debt assumed in the Moema acquisition.

# Table of Contents

covenants as of June 30, 2010.

(2) Decemb	Includes secured debt of \$142 million (\$54 million relates to debt assumed in the Moema acquisition) and \$98 million at June 30, 2010 and per 31, 2009, respectively.
(3) 0.25% a	One-, three- and six-month LIBORs at June 30, 2010 were 0.35%, 0.53% and 0.75% per annum, respectively, and at December 31, 2009 were 0.23%, and 0.43% per annum, respectively.
(4)	Three-month Yen LIBOR at June 30, 2010 was 0.24% per annum and at December 31, 2009 was 0.28% per annum.
(5)	Industrial development loans provided by BNDES, an agency of the Brazilian government.
(6) per annu	TJLP is a long-term interest rate published by the BNDES on a quarterly basis; TJLP as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was 6.00% and 6.13% am, respectively.
(7) Decemb	URTJLP is a long-term interest rate derived from the TJLP interest rate published by BNDES on a quarterly basis; URTJLP as of June 30, 2010 and per 31, 2009 was TJLP minus 6.00% per annum for both periods.
implica May 20 negativ (stable Howev our abi provide	Ratings. In June 2010, Standard & Poor s Ratings Services (S&P), which had placed Bunge s credit ratings on CreditWatch with negative ations in October 2009, removed the CreditWatch and affirmed its BBB- corporate credit rating on Bunge with a negative outlook. In 010, Moody s Investors Service affirmed its Baa2 corporate credit ratings on Bunge and changed the rating outlook to stable from re. In August 2010, Fitch Ratings revised the outlook on our unsecured guaranteed senior notes to BBB (negative outlook) from BBB outlook). Our debt agreements do not have any credit rating downgrade triggers that would accelerate the maturity of our debt. For credit rating downgrades would increase our borrowing costs under our credit facilities and, depending on their severity, could impede lity to obtain credit facilities or access the capital markets in the future on favorable terms. We may also be required to post collateral or third-party credit support under certain agreements as a result of such downgrades. A significant increase in our borrowing costs could our ability to compete effectively in our business relative to competitors with higher credit ratings.
	edit facilities and certain senior notes require us to comply with specified financial covenants, including minimum net worth, minimum ratio, a maximum debt to capitalization ratio and limitations on indebtedness at subsidiaries. We were in compliance with these

Interest Rate Swap Agreements. The interest rate swaps used by us as hedging instruments have been recorded at fair value in the condensed consolidated balance sheets with changes in fair value recorded contemporaneously in earnings. Additionally, the carrying amount of the associated debt is adjusted through earnings for changes in the fair value due to changes in benchmark interest rates. Ineffectiveness, as defined in a FASB issued standard, is recognized to the extent that these two adjustments do not offset.

The following table summarizes our outstanding interest rate swap agreements accounted for as fair value hedges as of June 30, 2010. We have accounted for the interest rate swap agreements as fair value hedges. The swap agreements are assumed to be perfectly effective under the shortcut method of a FASB issued standard. The interest rate differential, which settles semi-annually, is recorded as an adjustment to interest expense.

	Maturity		Fair Value Gain June 30,		
(US\$ in millions)		2011			
Interest rate swap agreements notional amount	\$	250	\$	7	
Weighted average variable rate payable(1)		1.18%			
Weighted average fixed rate receivable		4.33%			

<sup>(1)</sup> Interest is payable in arrears based on the average daily effective Federal Funds rate prevailing during the respective period plus a spread.

On July 7, 2010, Bunge discontinued the hedge relationship between the hedged \$250 million term loan due 2011, which was repaid on July 7, 2010, and the interest rate swap agreement with a notional value of \$250 million. The fair value of these swaps was approximately \$7 million on July 1, 2010. The interest rate swaps mature in 2011.

The following table summarizes our outstanding interest rate basis swap agreements as of June 30, 2010. These interest rate basis swap agreements do not qualify for hedge accounting and therefore we have not designated these interest rate basis swap agreements as hedge instruments. As a result, changes in fair value of the interest rate basis swap agreements are recorded as an adjustment to earnings.

#### **Table of Contents**

		Fair	Value
	Maturity	L	oss
(US\$ in millions)	2011	June 3	30, 2010
Interest rate basis swap agreements notional amount	\$ 375	\$	(1)
Weighted average rate payable (1)	0.61%		
Weighted average rate receivable (2)	0.35%		

<sup>(1)</sup> Interest is payable in arrears based on the average daily effective Federal Funds rate prevailing during the respective period plus a spread.

In addition, we have cross currency interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional principal amount of 10 billion Japanese Yen maturing in 2011 for the purpose of managing our currency exposure associated with our 10 billion Japanese Yen term loan due 2011. Under the terms of the cross currency interest rate swap agreements, we make U.S. dollar payments based on three-month U.S. dollar LIBOR and receive payments based on three-month Yen LIBOR. We have accounted for these cross currency interest rate swap agreements as fair value hedges. At June 30, 2010, the fair value of the cross-currency interest rate swap agreement was a gain of \$11 million.

#### Shareholders Equity

Total equity was \$11,687 million at June 30, 2010, as set forth in the following table:

(US\$ in millions)	-	ne 30, 2010	D	ecember 31, 2009
Shareholders equity:				
Mandatory convertible preference shares	\$	863	\$	863
Convertible perpetual preference shares		690		690
Common shares		1		1
Additional paid-in capital		4,252		3,625
Retained earnings		5,734		3,996
Accumulated other comprehensive income		(25)		319
Treasury stock		(107)		
Total Bunge shareholders equity		11,408		9,494
Noncontrolling interest		279		871
Total equity	\$	11,687	\$	10,365

Total Bunge shareholders equity increased to \$11,408 million at June 30, 2010 from \$9,494 million at December 31, 2009. The increase in shareholders equity was due primarily to \$597 million attributable to the issuance of Bunge Limited common shares in the Moema acquisition and net earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2010 of \$1,841 million, including the gain on the sale of our fertilizer nutrients assets in Brazil. The increases in total Bunge shareholders equity were partially offset by foreign currency translation losses of \$346 million and declared dividends to common shareholders and preferred shareholders of \$64 million and \$39 million, respectively, and treasury stock of \$107 million resulting from our common share repurchase program announced on June 8, 2010.

<sup>(2)</sup> Interest is receivable in arrears based on one-month U.S. dollar LIBOR.

Noncontrolling interest decreased to \$279 million at June 30, 2010 from \$871 million at December 31, 2009 due primarily to the deconsolidation of Fosfertil and derecognition of \$588 million of noncontrolling interests resulting from the sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets. In addition, the noncontrolling interest holders that have a 49% interest in our joint venture that is developing a grain terminal in Longview, Washington, U.S., made a \$27 million capital contribution to this joint venture during the six months ended June 30, 2010. We have a 51% controlling interest in this joint venture, which we consolidate. We made a proportionate contribution to this joint venture, which resulted in no ownership percentage change.

In the first quarter of 2010, we adopted a FASB issued standard that amends the consolidation guidance that applies to variable interest entities (VIEs). As a result of this adoption, we consolidated a U.S. grain origination joint venture and recorded \$3 million related to its noncontrolling equity interest.

As of June 30, 2010, we had 862,455 5.125% cumulative mandatory convertible preference shares outstanding with an aggregate liquidation preference of \$863 million. Each mandatory convertible preference share

#### **Table of Contents**

has an initial liquidation preference of \$1,000, which will be adjusted for any accumulated and unpaid dividends. The dividend on each mandatory convertible preference share is set at \$51.25 per annum and will be payable quarterly. As a result of adjustments to the initial conversion rates because cash dividends paid on Bunge Limited s common shares exceeded certain specified thresholds, each mandatory convertible preference share will automatically convert on December 1, 2010, into between 8.2416 and 9.7250 of Bunge Limited common shares. Each mandatory convertible preference share is also convertible at any time before December 1, 2010, at the holder s option, into 8.2416 Bunge Limited common shares, subject to certain additional anti-dilution adjustments. The mandatory convertible preference shares are not redeemable by us at any time.

As of June 30, 2010, we had 6,900,000 4.875% cumulative convertible perpetual preference shares outstanding with an aggregate liquidation preference of \$690 million. Each convertible perpetual preference share has an initial liquidation preference of \$100, which will be adjusted for any accumulated and unpaid dividends. The convertible perpetual preference shares carry an annual dividend of \$4.875 per share payable quarterly. As a result of adjustments made to the initial conversion price because cash dividends paid on Bunge Limited s common shares exceeded certain specified thresholds, each convertible perpetual preference share is convertible, at the holder s option, at any time into 1.0891 Bunge Limited common shares, based on the conversion price of \$91.82 per share, subject to certain additional anti-dilution adjustments. At any time on or after December 1, 2011, if the closing price of our common shares equals or exceeds 130% of the conversion price for 20 trading days during any consecutive 30 trading days (including the last trading day of such period), we may elect to cause the convertible perpetual preference shares to be automatically converted into Bunge Limited common shares at the then prevailing conversion price. The convertible preference shares are not redeemable by us at any time.

#### Cash Flows

Our cash flow from operations varies depending on, among other items, the market prices and timing of the purchase and sale of our inventories. Generally, during periods when commodity prices are rising, our agribusiness operations require increased use of cash to support working capital to acquire inventories and daily settlement requirements on exchange traded futures that we use to minimize price risk related to our inventories

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, our cash and cash equivalents increased by \$2.2 billion, reflecting the net proceeds of \$3.5 billion (included in cash provided by investing activities), net of \$142 million transaction costs and \$275 million of withholding tax included as a component of cash used for operations, from our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets sale and the net impact of cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities. Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$515 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Our operating activities resulted in a use of cash of \$159 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to cash used of \$1,754 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The negative cash flow from operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was primarily due to \$275 million of withholding taxes and \$142 million of transaction closing costs paid related to the sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets.

Our operating subsidiaries are primarily funded with U.S. dollar-denominated debt. The functional currency of our operating subsidiaries is generally the local currency and the financial statements are calculated in the functional currency and translated into U.S. dollars. These U.S. dollar-denominated loans are remeasured into their respective functional currencies at exchange rates at the applicable balance sheet date. The resulting gain or loss is included in our condensed consolidated statements of income as a foreign exchange gain or loss. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, we had losses of \$225 million and gains of \$359 million, respectively, on debt denominated in U.S. dollars at our subsidiaries, which were included as adjustments to reconcile net income to cash used for operating activities in the line item Foreign exchange

loss (gain) on debt in our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows. This adjustment is required because the cash flow impacts of these gains or losses are recognized as financing activities when the subsidiary repays the underlying U.S. dollar-denominated debt and therefore have no impact on cash flows from operations.

Cash generated from investing activities was \$3.1 billion in the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to cash used of \$347 million in the same period in 2009. The positive cash flow reflects net proceeds of \$3.5 billion, net of \$142 million transaction costs and \$275 million of withholding tax included as a component of cash used for operations, billion received from the sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets, partially offset by cash used for acquisitions and capital expenditures. During the first six months of 2010, we paid \$80 million to acquire the fertilizer business of Petrobras Argentina S.A., \$48 million, net of \$3 million cash acquired, in connection with the Moema acquisition and \$5 million representing a purchase price adjustment for the working capital true-up for our 2009 Raisio acquisition in Poland. Payments made for capital expenditures of \$547 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 primarily included investments in property, plant and equipment related to expanding our sugar business and our contractual obligation to continue certain expansion and upgrades of our mining and fertilizer

## Table of Contents

production capacity in Brazil. Payments made for capital expenditures also included investments related to our port facility in Washington state in the U.S. and construction of oilseed processing facilities in Vietnam and China. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we acquired a vegetable shortening business in North America for \$11 million, additional ownership interest in our non-wholly owned subsidiary in Poland for \$4 million in cash, provided financing to certain of our agribusiness joint ventures in the United States and provided cash as collateral in connection with our guarantee to a financial institution for a loan made by that institution to one of our biofuel joint ventures in the United States

Cash used for financing activities was \$701 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to cash provided of \$1,531 million in the same period in 2009. In the six months ended June 30, 2010, we had a net decrease in borrowings of \$540 million (excluding \$555 million of debt assumed in the Moema acquisition which is reflected in the opening balance sheet of the acquisition, and including \$462 million of Moema debt repaid following completion of the acquisition). In the six months ended June 30, 2009, we had a net increase in borrowings of \$1,626 million. Dividends paid to our common shareholders in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$60 million and \$46 million, respectively. Dividends paid to holders of our convertible preference shares in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, were \$39 million in each period. There were no dividends paid to noncontrolling interest shareholders in the six months ended June 30, 2010. Dividends of \$8 million were paid to certain noncontrolling interest shareholders in the six months ended June 30, 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, in connection with our common share repurchase program announced on June 8, 2010, we repurchased 2,050,000 of our common shares at a cost of approximately \$107 million, of which \$86 million was paid upon settlement as of June 30, 2010.

#### Brazilian Farmer Credit

Background We advance funds to farmers, primarily in Brazil, through secured advances to suppliers and prepaid commodity purchase contracts. We also sell fertilizer to farmers, primarily in Brazil, on credit as described below. The ability of our customers and suppliers to repay these amounts is affected by agricultural economic conditions in the relevant geography, which are, in turn, affected by commodity prices, currency exchange rates, crop input costs and crop quality and yields. Brazilian farm economics in 2006 and 2005 were adversely affected by volatility in soybean prices, a steadily appreciating Brazilian real and, in certain regions, poor crop quality and yields. Certain Brazilian farmers responded to these conditions by delaying payments owed to farm input suppliers and lenders, including Bunge. While Brazilian farm economics have improved, some Brazilian farmers continue to face economic challenges due to high debt levels and a strong Brazilian real. Accordingly, in certain instances, as described further below, we have renegotiated certain past due accounts receivable to extend the customer payment terms over longer periods and have initiated legal proceedings against certain customers to collect amounts owed which are in default. In addition, we have tightened our credit policies to reduce exposure to higher risk accounts, and have increased collateral requirements for certain customers.

Because Brazilian farmer credit exposures are denominated in local currency, reported values are impacted by movements in the value of the Brazilian *real* when translated into U.S. dollars. From December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010, the Brazilian *real* devalued by 3%, decreasing the reported farmer credit exposure balances when translated into U.S. dollars.

Brazilian Fertilizer Trade Accounts Receivable In our Brazilian fertilizer operations, customer accounts receivable typically have repayment terms ranging from 30 to 180 days. As the farmer s cash flow is seasonal and is typically generated after the crop is harvested, the actual due dates of the accounts receivable are individually determined based upon when a farmer purchases our fertilizer and the anticipated date for the harvest and sale of the farmer s crop. The payment terms for these accounts receivable are sometimes renegotiated if there is a crop failure or the cash flows generated from the harvest are not adequate for the farmer to repay balances due to us.

We periodically evaluate the collectability of our trade accounts receivable and record allowances if we determine that collection is doubtful. We base our determination of the allowance on analyses of credit quality of individual accounts, considering also the economic and financial condition of the farming industry and other market conditions as well as the value of any collateral provided. We continue to monitor the economic environment and events taking place in Brazil and will adjust this allowance in the future depending upon the circumstances.

In addition to our fertilizer trade accounts receivable, we issue guarantees to third parties in Brazil relating to amounts owed these third parties by certain of our customers. These guarantees are discussed under the heading Guarantees .

#### **Table of Contents**

The table below details our Brazilian fertilizer trade accounts receivable balances and the related allowances for doubtful accounts as of the dates indicated:

(US\$ in millions, except percentages)	June 30, 2010		Dece	December 31, 2009	
Trade accounts receivable (current)	\$	138	\$	265	
Allowance for doubtful accounts (current)		3		7	
Trade accounts receivable (non-current) (1) (2)		274		316	
Allowance for doubtful accounts (non-current) (1)		121		160	
Total trade accounts receivable (current and non-current)		412		581	
Total allowance for doubtful accounts (current and non-current)		124		167	
Total allowance for doubtful accounts as a percentage of total trade accounts receivable		30%		29%	

- (1) Recorded in other non-current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.
- (2) Includes certain amounts related to defaults on customer financing guarantees.

Secured Advances to Suppliers and Prepaid Commodity Contracts We purchase soybeans through prepaid commodity purchase contracts (advance cash payments to suppliers against contractual obligations to deliver specified quantities of soybeans in the future) and secured advances to suppliers (loans to suppliers against commitments to deliver soybeans in the future), primarily in Brazil. These financing arrangements are typically secured by the farmer s future crop and mortgages on the farmer s land, buildings and equipment, and are generally settled after the farmer s crop is harvested and sold. We also extend secured advances to our suppliers on a long-term basis as producers use these advances to expand planted acreage and to purchase other supplies needed for the production of agricultural commodities. Newly expanded production acreage will generally take two to three years to reach normal yields. The repayment terms of our non-current secured advances to suppliers generally range from two to three years. This program is intended to assure the future supply of agricultural commodities.

Interest earned on secured advances to suppliers of \$6 million and \$9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$15 million and \$25 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, included in net sales in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

The table below shows details of prepaid commodity contracts and secured advances to suppliers outstanding at our Brazilian operations as of the dates indicated:

(US\$ in millions)	June 201	,	December 31, 2009
Prepaid commodity contracts	\$	274 \$	96
Secured advances to suppliers (current) (1)		200	278
Total (current)		474	374
Soybeans not yet priced (2)		(144)	(13)
Net		330	361
Secured advances to suppliers (non-current) (1) (3)		281	308
Total (current and non-current)	\$	611 \$	669
Allowance for uncollectible advances	\$	(79) \$	(75)

- (1) Included in the secured advances to suppliers (current) are advances equal to an aggregate of \$19 million and \$36 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, which have been renegotiated from their original terms, mainly due to crop failures in prior years. These amounts represent the portion of the renegotiated balances from prior years that are expected to be collected within the next 12 months based on the renegotiated payment terms. Included in the secured advances to suppliers (non-current) are \$15 million and \$20 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, of renegotiated balances with collection expected to be greater than 12 months based on the renegotiated payment terms.
- (2) Soybeans delivered by suppliers that are yet to be priced are reflected at prevailing market prices at June 30, 2010.
- (3) Included in non-current secured advances to suppliers are advances for which we have initiated legal action to collect the outstanding balance, equal to an aggregate of \$259 million and \$264 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Collections being pursued through legal action largely reflect loans made for the 2006 and 2005 crops.

49

## Table of Contents

#### Guarantees

We have issued or were a party to the following guarantees at June 30, 2010:

ľ	Maximum
Potential	
	Future
ľ	Payments
\$	120
	50
\$	170
	\$

- (1) We have issued guarantees to third parties in Brazil related to amounts owed these third parties by certain of our customers. The terms of the guarantees are equal to the terms of the related financing arrangements, which are generally one year or less, with the exception of guarantees issued under certain Brazilian government programs, primarily from 2006, where terms are up to five years. In the event that the customers default on their payments to the third parties and we would be required to perform under the guarantees, we have obtained collateral from the customers. At June 30, 2010, we had approximately \$81 million of tangible property that had been pledged to us as collateral against certain of these refinancing arrangements. We evaluate the likelihood of the customer repayments of the amounts due under these guarantees based upon an expected loss analysis and record the fair value of such guarantees as an obligation in our condensed consolidated financial statements. The fair value of these guarantees at June 30, 2010 was not significant.
- (2) We issued guarantees to certain financial institutions related to debt of certain of our unconsolidated joint ventures. The terms of the guarantees are equal to the terms of the related financings which have maturity dates in 2012 and 2018. There are no recourse provisions or collateral that would enable us to recover any amounts paid under these guarantees. At June 30, 2010, we recorded \$4 million related to the fair values of these guarantees.

In addition, Bunge Limited has provided full and unconditional parent level guarantees of the indebtedness outstanding under certain senior credit facilities and senior notes entered into, or issued by, its 100% owned subsidiaries. At June 30, 2010, debt with a carrying amount of \$3,419 million related to these guarantees is included in our condensed consolidated balance sheet. This debt includes the senior notes issued by two of our 100% owned finance subsidiaries, Bunge Limited Finance Corp. and Bunge N.A. Finance L.P. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of Bunge Limited Finance Corp., Bunge N.A. Finance L.P. or any other of our subsidiary to transfer funds to Bunge Limited.

#### Dividends

We paid a regular quarterly cash dividend of \$0.21 per share on June 2, 2010 to common shareholders of record on May 19, 2010. In addition, we paid a quarterly dividend of \$1.21875 per share on our cumulative convertible perpetual preference shares and a quarterly dividend of \$12.8125 per share on our cumulative mandatory convertible preference shares, in each case on June 1, 2010 to convertible preference shareholders of record on May 15, 2010. On May 20, 2010, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved an increase in our regular quarterly cash dividend from \$0.21 to \$0.23 per common share. The dividend will be payable on September 2, 2010 to common shareholders of record on August 19, 2010. We also announced on May 20, 2010 that we will pay a quarterly cash dividend of \$1.21875 per share on our

cumulative convertible perpetual preference shares and \$12.8125 per share on our cumulative mandatory convertible preference shares, in each case on September 1, 2010 to convertible preference shareholders of record on August 15, 2010.

## **Critical Accounting Policies**

Critical accounting policies are defined as those policies that are both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require management to exercise significant judgment. For a complete discussion of our accounting policies, see our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

*Inventories* Readily marketable inventories consist of agricultural commodity inventories such as soybeans, soybean meal, soybean oil, corn, wheat and sugar that are readily convertible to cash because of their

50

## Table of Contents

commodity characteristics, widely available markets and international pricing mechanisms. Merchandisable agricultural commodities of our agribusiness, sugar and bioenergy, edible oil products and milling businesses that are freely traded, have quoted market prices, may be sold without significant further processing and have predictable and insignificant disposal costs are generally stated at fair value with the exception of sugar inventories in our industrial production business in Brazil which are carried at lower of cost or market. Changes in the fair values of inventories carried at fair value are recognized in earnings as a component of cost of goods sold.

Inventories other than readily marketable inventories are principally stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using primarily the weighted-average cost method.

Recent Accounting Pronouncement Adoptions Amendment to Consolidation In June 2009, the FASB issued a standard that requires an enterprise to (1) determine whether an entity is a variable interest entity (VIE), (2) determine whether the enterprise has a controlling financial interest indicating it is a primary beneficiary of a VIE, which would result in the enterprise being required to consolidate the VIE in its financial statements, and (3) provide enhanced disclosures about the enterprise s involvement in VIEs. As a result of the adoption of this standard on January 1, 2010, we consolidated one of our agribusiness joint ventures (see Note 17 of notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets In June 2009, the FASB issued a standard that amended a previously issued standard to improve the information reported in financial statements related to the transfer of financial assets and the effects of the transfers of such assets on the financial position, results from operations and cash flows of the transferor and a transferor s continuing involvement, if any, with transferred financial assets. In addition, the amendment limits the circumstances in which a financial asset or a portion of a financial asset should be derecognized in the financial statements of the transferor when the transferor has not transferred the entire original financial asset. Upon adoption of this standard on January 1, 2010, all trade accounts receivables sold after that date under our accounts receivable securitization programs (the securitization programs ) are included in trade accounts receivable and the amounts outstanding under the securitization programs are accounted for as secured borrowings and are reflected as short-term debt on our condensed consolidated balance sheet. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2010, we reduced our utilization of the securitization programs. As a result, the amounts outstanding under the securitization programs at June 30, 2010 are not significant. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our financial position, results from operations or cash flows.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

## Risk Management

As a result of our global operating and financing activities, we are exposed to changes in, among other things, agricultural commodity prices, transportation costs, foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and energy costs which may affect our results of operations and financial position. We actively monitor and manage these various market risks associated with our business activities. We have a corporate risk management group, headed by our chief risk officer, which analyzes and monitors our risk exposures globally. Additionally, our board of directors finance and risk policy committee supervises, reviews and periodically revises our overall risk management policies and limits.

We use derivative instruments for the purpose of managing the exposures associated with commodity prices, transportation costs, foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and energy costs and for positioning our overall portfolio relative to expected market movements in accordance with established policies and procedures. We enter into derivative instruments primarily with major financial institutions, commodity exchanges in the case of commodity futures and options, or shipping companies in the case of ocean freight. While these derivative instruments are subject to fluctuations in value, those fluctuations are generally offset by the changes in fair value of any underlying exposures. The derivative instruments we use for hedging purposes are intended to reduce the volatility on our results of operations; however, the use of derivative instruments can occasionally result in earnings volatility, which may be material. See Note 11 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a more detailed discussion of the derivative instruments that we used.

## **Table of Contents**

## Credit and Counterparty Risk

Through our normal business activities, we are subject to significant credit and counterparty risks that arise through normal commercial sales and purchases, including forward commitments to buy or sell, and through various other over-the-counter (OTC) derivative instruments that we utilize to manage risks inherent in our business activities. We define credit and counterparty risk as a potential financial loss due to the failure of a counterparty to honor its obligations. The exposure is measured based upon several factors, including unpaid accounts receivable from counterparties and unrealized gains from OTC derivative instruments (including forward purchase and sale contracts). We actively monitor credit and counterparty risk through credit analysis by local credit staffs and review by various local and corporate committees which monitor counterparty performance. We record provisions for counterparty losses from time to time as a result of our credit and counterparty analysis.

During periods of tight conditions in global credit markets, downturns in regional or global economic conditions, and/or significant price volatility, credit and counterparty risks are heightened. This increased risk is monitored through, among other things, increased communication with key counterparties, management reviews and specific focus on counterparties or groups of counterparties that we may determine as high risk. In addition, we have limited new credit extensions in certain cases and reduced our use of non exchange-cleared derivative instruments.

#### Commodities Risk

We operate in many areas of the food industry, from agricultural raw materials to the production and sale of branded food ingredients. As a result, we purchase and produce various materials, many of which are agricultural commodities, including soybeans, soybean oil, soybean meal, softseed (including sunflower seed, rapeseed and canola) and related oil and meal derived from them, wheat, corn and sugar. Agricultural commodities are subject to price fluctuations due to a number of unpredictable factors. We are also subject to the risk of counterparty non-performance under forward purchase or sale contracts and from time to time have experienced instances of counterparty non-performance, including as a result of counterparty profitability under these contracts declining significantly as a result of significant movements in commodity prices between the time in which the contracts were executed and the contractual forward delivery period.

We enter into various derivative contracts with the primary objective of managing our exposure to adverse price movements in the agricultural commodities used for our business operations. We have established policies that limit the amount of unhedged fixed price agricultural commodity positions permissible for our operating companies, which are generally a combination of volume and value-at-risk (VaR) limits. We measure and review our net commodities position on a daily basis.

Our daily net agricultural commodity position consists of inventory, forward purchase and sale contracts, over-the-counter and exchange traded derivative instruments, including those used to hedge portions of our production requirements. The fair value of that position is a summation of the fair values calculated for each agricultural commodity by valuing all of our commodity positions at quoted market prices for the period where available or utilizing a close proxy. VaR is calculated on the net position and monitored at the 95% and 99% confidence intervals. In addition, scenario analysis and stress testing are performed. For example, one measure of market risk is estimated as the potential loss in fair value resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in prices. The results of this analysis, which may differ from actual results, are as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010			Year Ended December 31, 2009				
area · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Y. * . X7. I		Market		1. • . <b>1</b> 7. 1	]	Market
(US\$ in millions)	r	'air Value		Risk	F	'air Value		Risk
Highest long position	\$	1,228	\$	(123)	\$	616	\$	(62)
Highest short position		(912)		(91)		(943)		(94)

## Ocean Freight Risk

Ocean freight represents a significant portion of our operating costs. The market price for ocean freight varies depending on the supply and demand for ocean vessels, global economic conditions and other factors. We enter into time charter agreements for time on ocean freight vessels based on forecasted requirements for the purpose of transporting agricultural commodities. Our time charter agreements generally have terms ranging from two months to approximately three years. We use financial derivatives, known as freight forward agreements, to hedge

#### **Table of Contents**

portions of our ocean freight costs. The ocean freight derivatives are included in other current assets and other current liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value.

A portion of the ocean freight derivatives have been designated as fair value hedges of our firm commitments to purchase time on ocean freight vessels. Changes in the fair value of the ocean freight derivatives that are qualified, designated and highly effective as a fair value hedge, along with the gain or loss on the hedged firm commitments to purchase time on ocean freight vessels that is attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in earnings. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, we recognized in cost of goods sold in our condensed consolidated statements of income \$1 million and \$1 million, respectively, of losses on the firm commitments to purchase time on ocean freight vessels, which were offset by \$1 million and \$1 million, respectively of gains on freight derivative contracts. There was no material gain or loss recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 due to hedge ineffectiveness. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, we recognized gains of \$3 million and \$7 million in cost of goods sold in our condensed consolidated statements of income related to the amortization of amounts recorded in current liabilities in our condensed consolidated statements of income related to the amortization of amounts recorded in current liabilities in our condensed consolidated statements of income related to the amortization of amounts recorded in current liabilities in our condensed consolidated statements of income related to the amortization of amounts recorded in current liabilities in our condensed consolidated statements of income related to the amortization of amounts recorded in current liabilities in our condensed consolidated statements of income related to the amortization of amounts recorded in current liabilities in our condensed consolidated statements of income related to the amortization of amounts recorded in current liabilities in our condensed consolidated statements of income related to the amortization of am

#### Energy Risk

We purchase various energy commodities such as bunker fuel, electricity and natural gas that are used to operate our manufacturing facilities and ocean freight vessels. The energy commodities are subject to price risk. We use financial derivatives, including exchange traded and OTC swaps and options, with the primary objective of hedging portions of our energy exposure. These energy derivatives are included in other current assets and other current liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at fair value.

## Currency Risk

Our global operations require active participation in foreign exchange markets. To reduce the risk arising from foreign exchange rate fluctuations, we follow a policy of hedging monetary assets and liabilities and commercial transactions with non-functional currency exposure. Our primary exposure is related to our subsidiaries located in Brazil and Europe and to a lesser extent, Argentina, Canada and Asia. We enter into derivative instruments, such as forward contracts and swaps, and to a lesser extent, foreign currency options primarily to limit exposures to changes in foreign currency exchange rates with respect to our recorded foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities and our functional currency operating expenses. We may also hedge other foreign currency exposures as deemed appropriate.

When determining our exposure, we exclude intercompany loans that are deemed to be permanently invested. The repayments of permanently invested intercompany loans are not planned or anticipated in the foreseeable future and therefore are treated as analogous to equity for accounting purposes. As a result, the foreign exchange gains and losses on these borrowings are excluded from the determination of net income and recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The balance of permanently invested intercompany borrowings was \$2,935 million as of June 30, 2010 and \$1,401 million as of December 31, 2009. Included in other comprehensive income (loss) are foreign exchange losses of \$56 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and gains of \$357 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, related to permanently invested intercompany loans.

For risk management purposes and to determine the overall level of hedging required, we further reduce the foreign exchange exposure determined above by the value of our agricultural commodities inventories. Our agricultural commodities inventories, because of their international pricing in U.S. dollars, provide a natural offset to our currency exposure.

Our net currency positions, including currency derivatives, and our market risk, are measured in the following table as the potential loss from an adverse 10% change in foreign currency exchange rates. In addition, we have provided an analysis of our foreign currency exposure after reducing the exposure for our agricultural commodities inventories. Actual results may differ from the information set forth below.

## **Table of Contents**

(US\$ in millions)	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Brazilian Operations (primarily exposure to U.S. dollar):	2010	2009
Net currency short position, from financial instruments, including derivatives	\$ (2,126)	\$ (1,634)
Market risk	(213)	(163)
Agricultural commodities inventories	1,719	1,133
Net currency short position, less agricultural commodities inventories (1)	(407)	(501)
Market risk (1)	\$ (41)	\$ (50)
Argentine Operations (primarily exposure to U.S. dollar):		
Net currency short position, from financial instruments, including derivatives	\$ (521)	\$ (377)
Market risk	(51)	(38)
Agricultural commodities inventories	505	343
Net currency short position, less agricultural commodities inventories	(16)	(34)
Market risk	\$ (2)	\$ (3)
European Operations (primarily exposure to U.S. dollar):		
Net currency short position, from financial instruments, including derivatives	\$ (517)	\$ (497)
Market risk	(52)	(50)
Agricultural commodities inventories	451	454
Net currency short position, less agricultural commodities inventories	(66)	(43)
Market risk	\$ (7)	\$ (4)

<sup>(1)</sup> The market risk for the Brazilian Operations excludes fertilizer inventories of \$457 million and \$374 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, which also provide a natural offset to our currency exposure.

## Interest Rate Risk

We have debt in fixed and floating rate instruments. We are exposed to market risk due to changes in interest rates. We enter into interest rate swap agreements to manage our interest rate exposure related to our debt portfolio.

The aggregate fair value of our short- and long-term debt, based on market yields at June 30, 2010, was \$4,124 million with a carrying value of \$3,844 million. There was no significant change in our interest risk at June 30 2010.

We have used a portion of the proceeds from the sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets to redeem or repay certain indebtedness. See Liquidity and Capital Resources for further information.

In addition, on July 1, 2010, we discontinued the hedge relationship between a hedged \$250 million term loan due 2011, which was repaid on July 7, 2010, and the interest rate swap agreement with a notional value of \$250 million. The fair value of these swaps was approximately \$7 million on July 1, 2010. The interest rate swaps mature in 2011.

## **Table of Contents**

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures As of June 30, 2010 we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as that term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the fiscal quarter covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting In February 2010, Bunge acquired Moema. Management is currently in the process of implementing its integration of Moema s internal controls over financial reporting with Bunge s internal controls over financial reporting. Management expects the integration process to be completed within 12 months following the acquisition. Additionally, in May 2010, we completed the sale of our Brazil fertilizer nutrients assets and began implementing significant restructuring actions related to the consolidation of our activities in Brazil. These activities are expected to lead to changes in internal control over financial reporting for Bunge and the recently acquired entities in future fiscal periods.

Except as described above, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In December 2006, Fosfertil announced a corporate reorganization intended to allow it to capture synergies and better compete in the domestic and international fertilizer market. As part of the proposed reorganization, our wholly owned subsidiary Bunge Fertilizantes would become a subsidiary of Fosfertil, and our combined direct and indirect ownership of Fosfertil would increase. The reorganization was subject to approval by Fosfertil s shareholders. Certain shareholders of Fosfertil who are affiliated with the Mosaic Company and Yara International ASA filed legal challenges to the proposed reorganization in the Brazilian courts, including a suit against us that was pending before the highest appellate court in Brazil (Superior Tribunal de Justica, or the Superior Court of Justice) since September 2008. In August 2009, the Superior Court of Justice ruled in our favor on the merits of the case. These minority shareholders filed motions seeking clarification of this court decision, but such motions were rejected by the Superior Court of Justice in December 2009. Subsequently, these minority shareholders filed extraordinary appeals before the Brazilian Constitutional Court (the Supreme Court). The proposed reorganization was also the subject of other lawsuits filed by these shareholders and subject to governmental approvals in Brazil. In May 2010, we completed the sale of our direct and indirect ownership in Fosfertil to Vale. In addition, these minority shareholders have also agreed to sell their direct and indirect interests in Fosfertil to Vale. In connection with these transactions, in June 2010, we and the minority shareholders reached a settlement and agreed to the release and waiver of all claims in these matters. The parties have jointly petitioned the applicable courts for dismissal of these proceedings and, upon approval by the courts, substantially all of which have already been granted, these proceedings will be concluded.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The following items update the risk factors in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We may not realize the anticipated benefits of acquisitions, joint ventures and strategic alliances or divestitures. In particular, there are risks associated with the recent Moema acquisition and the divestiture of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets.

We have been an active acquirer of other companies, and we have strategic alliances and joint ventures with several partners. Part of our strategy involves acquisitions, alliances and joint ventures designed to expand and enhance our business, such as the recent Moema acquisition. Our ability to benefit from acquisitions, joint ventures and alliances depends on many factors, including our ability to identify suitable prospects, access funding sources on acceptable terms, negotiate favorable transaction terms and successfully consummate and integrate any businesses we acquire. In addition, we may decide, from time to time, to divest certain of our assets or businesses, such as the

#### **Table of Contents**

recently completed sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets. Our ability to successfully complete a divestiture will depend on, among other things, our ability to identify buyers that are prepared to acquire such assets or businesses on acceptable terms.

Our acquisition or divestiture activities may involve unanticipated delays, costs and other problems. If we encounter unexpected problems with one of our acquisitions, alliances or divestitures, our senior management may be required to divert attention away from other aspects of our businesses to address these problems. Additionally, we may fail to consummate proposed acquisitions or divestitures, after incurring expenses and devoting substantial resources, including management time, to such transactions.

Acquisitions also pose the risk that we may be exposed to successor liability relating to actions by an acquired company and its management before the acquisition. The due diligence we conduct in connection with an acquisition, and any contractual guarantees or indemnities that we receive from the sellers of acquired companies, may not be sufficient to protect us from, or compensate us for, actual liabilities. A material liability associated with an acquisition could adversely affect our reputation and results of operations and reduce the benefits of the acquisition. Additionally, acquisitions involve other risks, such as differing levels of management and internal control effectiveness at the acquired entities, the risk of impairment charges relating to goodwill and intangible assets recorded in connection with acquisitions, the risk of significant accounting charges resulting from the completion and integration of a sizeable acquisition, the need to fund increased capital expenditures, our ability to retain and motivate employees of acquired entities and other unanticipated problems and liabilities.

Divestitures may also expose us to potential liabilities or claims for indemnification as we may be required to retain certain liabilities or indemnify buyers for certain matters, including environmental or litigation matters, associated with the assets or businesses that we sell. The magnitude of any such retained liability or indemnification obligation may be difficult to quantify at the time of the transaction, and its cost to us could ultimately exceed the proceeds we receive for the divested assets or businesses. Divestitures also have other inherent risks, including possible delays in closing transactions (including potential difficulties in obtaining regulatory approvals), the risk of lower-than-expected sales proceeds for the divested businesses and unexpected costs or other difficulties associated with the separation of the businesses to be sold from our information technology and other systems and management processes, including the loss of key personnel. Finally, expected cost savings or other anticipated efficiencies or benefits from divestitures may also be difficult to achieve or maximize.

We may not be able to achieve the efficiencies, savings and other benefits anticipated from our cost reduction, margin improvement and other business optimization initiatives.

We are continuously implementing programs throughout the company to reduce costs, increase efficiencies and enhance our business. Initiatives currently in process or implemented in the past year include the outsourcing of certain administrative activities in several regions, rationalization of manufacturing operations, including the closing of facilities and the implementation of a restructuring and consolidation of our operations in Brazil. Unexpected delays, increased costs, adverse effects on our internal control environment, inability to retain and motivate employees or other challenges arising from these initiatives could adversely affect our ability to realize the anticipated savings or other intended benefits of these activities.

The loss of or a disruption in our manufacturing and distribution operations could adversely affect our business.

As a company engaged in manufacturing and distribution activities on a global scale, we are subject to the risks inherent in such activities, including industrial accidents, environmental events, fires, explosions, strikes and other labor or industrial disputes, disruptions in logistics or information systems, as well as natural disasters, pandemics, acts of terrorism and other external factors over which we have no control. For example, in 2010, we have suffered fires or explosions at facilities in Argentina, Germany and the United States, which have resulted in varying levels of property damage and business interruption. While we insure ourselves against many of these types of risks in accordance with industry standards, our level of insurance may not cover all losses. The loss of, or damage to, any of our facilities could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

<b>7D</b> 1	1		0		
1 21	116	- O.	f ( '	$\alpha$ n	tents

ITEM 2.	UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AN	ND USE OF PROCEEDS

In connection with the Moema acquisition, during the quarter ended June 30, 2010, we issued an aggregate of 534,263 common shares to certain of the Moema sellers as a result of the determination of post-closing purchase price adjustments as provided in the related acquisition agreements. The shares were issued in a private placement transaction in reliance upon the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

Issuer Purchase of Equity Securities:

On June 8, 2010, Bunge announced that its Board of Directors approved a program for the repurchase of up to \$700 million of Bunge s issued and outstanding common shares. The program runs through December 31, 2011.

The following table sets forth information relating to the share repurchase program:

	Total Number of Shares (or Units)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans
Period	Purchased	(or Unit)	Programs	or Programs
April 1, 2010 April 30, 2010		\$ ()	- <b>8</b>	\$ · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
May 1, 2010 May 30, 2010				
June 1, 2010 June 30, 2010	2,050,000	52.20	2,050,000	593,000,000
Total	2,050,000	\$ 52.20	2,050,000	\$ 593,000,000

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. [RESERVED]

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Edgar Filing: Bung	e LTD -	Form	10-Q
--------------------	---------	------	------

None.	
ITEM 6.	EXHIBITS
(a) The exhibits in the accompanying	Exhibit Index on page E-1 are filed or furnished as part of this Quarterly Report.

# Table of Contents

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

## **BUNGE LIMITED**

Date: August 9, 2010 By: /s/ JACQUALYN A. FOUSE

Jacqualyn A. Fouse Chief Financial Officer

/s/ KAREN D. ROEBUCK Karen D. Roebuck Controller and Principal Accounting Officer

58

## **Table of Contents**

## **EXHIBIT INDEX**

- 2.1 First Amendment to the Share Purchase and Sale Agreement and Other Covenants among Bunge Fertilizantes S.A., Bunge Brasil Holdings B.V., Mineração Naque S.A., Vale S.A. and Bunge Limited dated May 21, 2010.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of
- The following financial information from Bunge Limited s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity, and (v) the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements\*.

<sup>\*</sup> Users of this interactive data file are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.