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# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 

Washington, D.C. 20549

## FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2016
or
o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

## CROCS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware<br>(State or other jurisdiction of<br>20-2164234 incorporation or organization)<br>(I.R.S. Employer<br>Identification No.)

7477 East Dry Creek Parkway, Niwot, Colorado 80503
(Address, including zip code, of registrant s principal executive offices)
(303) 848-7000
(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T ( $\$ 232.405$ of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes X No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer , accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12 b - 2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer X
Accelerated filer O
Non-accelerated filer o (do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting companyo

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

As of July 31, 2016, Crocs, Inc. had 73,485,284 shares of its $\$ 0.001$ par value common stock outstanding.
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## Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In addition, we may make other written and oral communications from time to time that contain such statements. Forward-looking statements include statements as to industry trends, our future expectations, and other matters that do not relate strictly to historical facts and are based on certain assumptions of our management. These statements, which express management s current views concerning future events or results, use words like anticipate, assume, believe, continue, estimate, expect, future, intend, plan, project, strive, and futu verbs like could, may, might, should, will, would and similar expressions or variations. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause actual results to differ materially from future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements include, without limitation, those described in the section entitled Risk Factors under Item 1A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 and subsequent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Moreover, such forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements.
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PART I Financial Information

## ITEM 1. Financial Statements

## CROCS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except per share data)

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 <br> 2015 |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | \$ | 323,828 | \$ | 345,671 | \$ | 602,968 | \$ | 607,864 |
| Cost of sales |  | 154,188 |  | 155,801 |  | 303,962 |  | 290,624 |
| Gross profit |  | 169,640 |  | 189,870 |  | 299,006 |  | 317,240 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses |  | 148,463 |  | 168,636 |  | 263,393 |  | 294,705 |
| Asset impairment charges |  | 572 |  | 2,075 |  | 765 |  | 2,075 |
| Restructuring charges |  |  |  | 2,810 |  |  |  | 6,473 |
| Income from operations |  | 20,605 |  | 16,349 |  | 34,848 |  | 13,987 |
| Foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net |  | $(1,700)$ |  | (217) |  | $(2,947)$ |  | 277 |
| Interest income |  | 164 |  | 196 |  | 380 |  | 484 |
| Interest expense |  | (234) |  | (260) |  | (477) |  | (479) |
| Other expense, net |  | (189) |  | (80) |  | (107) |  | (411) |
| Income before income taxes |  | 18,646 |  | 15,988 |  | 31,697 |  | 13,858 |
| Income tax expense |  | $(3,109)$ |  | $(2,562)$ |  | $(6,014)$ |  | $(2,857)$ |
| Net income | \$ | 15,537 | \$ | 13,426 | \$ | 25,683 | \$ | 11,001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends on Series A convertible preferred stock | \$ | $(3,000)$ | \$ | $(3,000)$ | \$ | $(6,000)$ | \$ | $(5,833)$ |
| Dividend equivalents on Series A convertible preferred shares related to redemption value accretion and beneficial conversion feature |  | (802) |  | (736) |  | $(1,587)$ |  | $(1,457)$ |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$ | 11,735 | \$ | 9,690 | \$ | 18,096 | \$ | 3,711 |
| Net income per common share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.21 | \$ | 0.04 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 0.04 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

## CROCS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(UNAUDITED)
(in thousands)

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 20162015 |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30,2016$\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | \$ | 15,537 | \$ | 13,426 | \$ | 25,683 | \$ | 11,001 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign currency translation gain (loss), net |  | 1,871 |  | 6,297 |  | 6,671 |  | $(17,567)$ |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | \$ | 17,408 | \$ | 19,723 | \$ | 32,354 | \$ | $(6,566)$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

## CROCS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

## (UNAUDITED) <br> (in thousands, except number of shares)



The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

## CROCS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

## (in thousands)

|  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 25,683 | \$ | 11,001 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 17,031 |  | 19,108 |
| Unrealized gain on foreign exchange, net |  | $(4,884)$ |  | (180) |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 211 |  | 71 |
| Asset impairment charges |  | 765 |  | 2,075 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts, net |  | 591 |  | 6,220 |
| Share-based compensation |  | 5,898 |  | 6,492 |
| Other non-cash items |  | 118 |  | 92 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowances |  | $(47,129)$ |  | $(80,201)$ |
| Inventories |  | 2,148 |  | $(15,862)$ |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets |  | $(7,533)$ |  | $(3,347)$ |
| Accounts payable |  | 8,920 |  | 43,383 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities |  | 15,573 |  | 18,122 |
| Income taxes |  | 2,426 |  | $(10,025)$ |
| Cash provided by (used in) operating activities |  | 19,818 |  | $(3,051)$ |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid for purchases of property and equipment |  | $(10,280)$ |  | $(4,176)$ |
| Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment |  | 2,428 |  |  |
| Cash paid for intangible assets |  | $(2,561)$ |  | $(5,496)$ |
| Change in restricted cash |  | (845) |  | 531 |
| Cash used in investing activities |  | $(11,258)$ |  | $(9,141)$ |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from bank borrowings |  | 29,582 |  |  |
| Repayments of bank borrowings and capital lease obligations |  | $(30,662)$ |  | $(2,630)$ |
| Dividends - Series A preferred stock |  | $(6,000)$ |  | $(5,900)$ |
| Deferred debt issuance costs |  | (466) |  | (57) |
| Issuances of common stock |  | 366 |  | 959 |
| Purchase of treasury stock, net of issuances |  |  |  | $(42,727)$ |
| Repurchase of common stock for tax withholding |  | (263) |  | (261) |
| Cash used in financing activities |  | $(7,443)$ |  | $(50,616)$ |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash |  | 2,204 |  | $(7,425)$ |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents |  | 3,321 |  | $(70,233)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of period |  | 143,341 |  | 267,512 |
| Cash and cash equivalents - end of period | \$ | 146,662 | \$ | 197,279 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information - cash paid during the period for: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest, net of capitalized interest | \$ | 275 | \$ | 479 |
| Income taxes | \$ | 6,403 | \$ | 13,371 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued dividends | \$ | 3,000 | \$ | 3,000 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.
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# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS 

## (UNAUDITED)

## 1. ORGANIZATION \& BASIS OF PRESENTATION

## Organization

Crocs, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively the Company, Crocs, we, our, or us ) are engaged in the design, development, manufacturing, marketing, and distribution of footwear and accessories for men, women, and children.

## Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( U.S. GAAP ) for interim financial information and with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC ) for reporting on Form 10-Q. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all information required for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, these condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our 2015 consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## Transactions with Affiliates

The Company receives inventory count services from RGIS, LLC ( RGIS ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Blackstone Capital Partners VI L.P. ( Blackstone ), which currently beneficially owns $99.99 \%$ of the outstanding shares of Company s series A convertible preferred stock ( Series A Preferred Stock ), which is convertible into approximately $15.8 \%$ of the Company s common stock as of June 30, 2016. Two Blackstone representatives also serve on the Company s board of directors (the Board ). During the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, Crocs paid $\$ 0.4$ million and $\$ 0.2$ million, respectively to RGIS for services received.

## Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Financial Instruments - Credit Losses

In June 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2016-13, Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses of Financial Instruments, which changes the methodology for measuring credit losses on financial instruments and the timing of when such losses are recorded. This standard is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact of the adoption of this standard on its condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## Stock Compensation

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, Compensation Stock Compensation (Topic 718), which is intended to increase simplification of accounting for equity share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. This standard is effective for annual periods (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted.

Amendments related to the timing of when excess tax benefits are recognized, minimum statutory withholding requirements, forfeitures, and intrinsic value should be applied using a modified retrospective transition method by means of a cumulative-effect adjustment to equity as of the beginning of the period in which the guidance is adopted. Amendments related to the presentation of employee taxes paid on the statement of cash flows when an employer withholds shares to meet the minimum statutory withholding requirement should be applied retrospectively. Amendments requiring recognition of excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies in the income statement and the practical expedient for estimating the expected term should be applied prospectively. An entity may elect to apply the amendments related to the presentation of excess tax benefits on the statement of cash flows using either a prospective transition method or a retrospective transition method. The Company is currently assessing the impact of adoption of this standard on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Prepaid Stored-Value Products

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-04, Liabilities - Extinguishment of Liabilities (Subtopic 405-20): Recognition of Breakage for Certain Prepaid Stored-Value Products. This update aligns recognition of the financial liabilities related to prepaid stored-value products (for example, prepaid gift cards), with Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, for non-financial liabilities. In general, certain of these liabilities may be extinguished proportionally in earnings as redemptions occur, or when redemption is remote if issuers are not entitled to the unredeemed stored value. This standard is effective for annual periods (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. At adoption, this update will be applied either using a modified retrospective transition method by means of a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the guidance is effective or retrospectively to each period presented. The Company is currently assessing the adoption method and the impact that adopting this new accounting standard will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

Leases

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842), which is intended to increase transparency and comparability of accounting for lease transactions. This update revises accounting for operating leases by a lessee, among other changes, and requires a lessee to recognize a liability to make lease payments and an asset representing a company s right to use the underlying asset for the lease term in the balance sheet. The distinction between finance and operating leases has not changed and the update does not significantly change the effect of finance and operating leases on the statement of operations. This standard is effective for annual periods (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted. At adoption, this update will be applied using a modified retrospective approach. The Company is currently assessing the impact of adoption of this standard on its condensed consolidated financial statements.
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Financial Instruments

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01: Financial Instruments Overall: Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. The pronouncement requires equity investments (except those accounted for under the equity method of accounting, or those that result in consolidation of the investee) to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income, requires public business entities to use the exit price notion when measuring the fair value of financial instruments for disclosure purposes, requires separate presentation of financial assets and financial liabilities by measurement category and form of financial asset, and eliminates the requirement for public business entities to disclose the method(s) and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value that is required to be disclosed for financial instruments measured at amortized cost. These changes become effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. The expected adoption method of ASU 2016-01 is being evaluated by the Company and the adoption is not expected to have a significant impact on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Inventory

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11: Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory, which modifies existing requirements regarding measuring inventory at the lower of cost or market. Specifically, this standard eliminates the need to determine and consider replacement cost or net realizable value less an approximately normal profit margin when measuring inventory. This standard is effective prospectively after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that this pronouncement will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Debt Issuance Costs

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03: Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs, which requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. ASU 2015-03 requires retrospective adoption and is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-15: Interest Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30), which relates to the presentation of debt issuance costs associated with line-of-credit arrangements. This standard clarifies the guidance set forth in FASB ASU 2015-03, which requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented on the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the debt liability rather than as an asset. The new pronouncement clarifies that debt issuance costs related to line-of-credit arrangements could continue to be presented as an asset and be subsequently amortized over the term of the line-of-credit arrangement, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the arrangement. The Company considered this clarification in conjunction with the adoption of FASB ASU 2015-03, which occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2016. These pronouncements do not have a material impact on the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements. In adopting ASU 2015-15, the Company elected to present debt issuance costs related to line-of-credit arrangements as an asset.

Share-Based Payments

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-12 in response to the EITF consensus on Issue 13-D. The ASU clarifies that entities should treat performance targets that can be met after the requisite service period of a share-based payment award as performance conditions that affect
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vesting. Therefore, an entity would not record compensation expense related to an award for which transfer to the employee is contingent on the entity s satisfaction of a performance target until it becomes probable that the performance target will be met. The ASU does not contain any new disclosure requirements. This ASU is effective for all entities for reporting periods (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2015. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company adopted this pronouncement, which did not have a material impact on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: Crocs, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

In May 2014, the FASB issued their final standard on revenue from contracts with customers. The standard, issued as ASU 2014-09: Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) by the FASB, outlines a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. The core principle of the revenue model is that an entity recognizes revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 becomes effective for reporting periods (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2017. Early application is permitted for reporting periods (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2016. This new standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that this pronouncement will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company has not yet selected a transition method or determined the effect of the standard on financial reporting once the standard is effective.

In May 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-12: Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients, which provides narrow scope improvements and practical expedients related to ASU 2014-09: Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The purpose of ASU 2016-12 is to clarify certain narrow aspects of Topic 606 such as assessing the collectability criterion, presentation of sales taxes and other similar taxes collected from customers, noncash consideration, contract modifications at transition, completed contracts at transition, and technical correction. The standard has the same effective date as ASU 2014-09 described above. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that this pronouncement will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company has not yet selected a transition method or determined the effect of the standard on financial reporting once the standard is effective.

Other new pronouncements issued but not effective until after June 30, 2016 are not expected to have a material impact on the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements.

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Asset Impairments

Crocs periodically evaluates all of its long-lived assets for impairment when events or circumstances would indicate the carrying value of a long-lived asset may not be fully recoverable. The following table summarizes retail asset impairment charges by reportable operating segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 related to certain underperforming stores that were unlikely to generate sufficient cash flows to fully recover the carrying value of the stores assets over the remaining economic life or lease terms of those assets:
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| Europe |  | 26 | 2 | 874 | 7 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total asset impairment | $\$$ | 572 | 6 | $\$$ | 2,075 | 19 |


|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  |  | 2015 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Number of Stores (1) (in thousands, |  | Impairment Charge count data) | Number of Stores (1) |
| Americas | \$ | 701 | 5 | \$ | 686 | 4 |
| Asia Pacific |  | 38 | 4 |  | 515 | 8 |
| Europe |  | 26 | 2 |  | 874 | 7 |
| Total asset impairment | \$ | 765 | 11 | \$ | 2,075 | 19 |

(1) Represents stores with partially and fully depreciated assets.

## Depreciation

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method based on the assets estimated useful life, which typically ranges from two to five years. During the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, Crocs recorded $\$ 3.9$ million and $\$ 4.3$ million, respectively, in depreciation expense of which $\$ 0.4$ million for both periods was recorded in Cost of sales, with the remaining amounts recorded in Selling, general and administrative expenses on the condensed consolidated statements of operations. During the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, Crocs recorded $\$ 7.6$ million and $\$ 9.0$ million, respectively, in depreciation expense of which $\$ 0.8$ million and $\$ 1.0$ million, respectively, was recorded in Cost of sales, with the remaining amounts recorded in Selling, general and administrative expenses on the condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, accumulated depreciation was $\$ 103.1$ million and $\$ 97.7$ million, respectively.

## Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable represent amounts due from customers. Accounts receivable are recorded at invoiced amounts, net of reserves and allowances, and do not bear interest. Crocs uses its best estimate to determine the required allowance for doubtful accounts based on a variety of factors including the length of time receivables are past due, economic trends and conditions affecting the Company s customer base, and historical collection experience. Specific provisions are recorded for individual receivables when the Company becomes aware of a customer s inability to meet its financial obligations.

## 3. INVENTORIES

The following table summarizes inventories by major classification as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31, \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Finished goods | \$ | 164,858 | \$ | 162,341 |
| Raw materials |  | 4,465 |  | 4,933 |
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| Work-in-progress |  | 530 |  | 918 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Total inventories | $\$$ | 169,853 | $\$$ | 168,192 |

## 4. GOODWILL \& INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table summarizes the goodwill and identifiable intangible assets as of June 30, 2016, and December 31, 2015:

## Table of Contents

|  | June 30, 2016 |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Carrying Amount |  | Accumulated <br> Amortization |  | Net Carrying Amount |  | Gross Carrying Amount |  | Accumulated Amortization |  | Net Carrying Amount |  |
| Capitalized software | \$ | 166,777(1) | \$ | $(91,287)(2)$ | \$ | 75,490 | \$ | 162,700(1) | \$ | $(82,596)(2)$ | \$ | 80,104 |
| Customer relationships <br> (3) |  | 4,103 |  | $(4,103)$ |  |  |  | 4,016 |  | $(4,016)$ |  |  |
| Patents, copyrights, and trademarks |  | 6,902 |  | $(5,303)$ |  | 1,599 |  | 6,892 |  | $(5,135)$ |  | 1,757 |
| Core technology (3) |  | 3,746 |  | $(3,746)$ |  |  |  | 3,498 |  | $(3,498)$ |  |  |
| Other |  | 636 |  | (636) |  |  |  | 776 |  | (637) |  | 139 |
| Total finite lived intangible assets |  | 182,164 |  | $(105,075)$ |  | 77,089 |  | 177,882 |  | $(95,882)$ |  | 82,000 |
| Indefinite lived intangible assets (3) |  | 304 |  |  |  | 304 |  | 297 |  |  |  | 297 |
| Goodwill (4) |  | 2,561 |  |  |  | 2,561 |  | 1,973 |  |  |  | 1,973 |
| Goodwill and intangible assets | \$ | 185,029 | \$ | $(105,075)$ | \$ | 79,954 | \$ | 180,152 | \$ | $(95,882)$ | \$ | 84,270 |

(1) Includes $\$ 4.1$ million of software held under a capital lease classified as capitalized software as of both June 30, 2016, and December 31, 2015.
(2) Includes $\$ 3.4$ million and $\$ 3.1$ million of accumulated amortization of software held under a capital lease as of June 30, 2016, and December 31, 2015, respectively, and is amortized using the straight-line method over the useful life.
(3) Change is due to the impact of foreign currency translation.
(4) Includes $\$ 0.5$ million associated with the acquisition of operations in Austria on March 31, 2016. The remainder of the increase is associated with the impact of foreign currency translation.

The following table summarizes estimated future annual amortization of intangible assets as of June 30, 2016:

| Fiscal years ending December 31, | Amortization <br> (in thousands) |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2016 (remainder of year) | $\$ 0,590$ |
| 2017 | 17,389 |
| 2018 | 15,392 |
| 2019 | 13,777 |
| 2020 | 11,508 |
| Thereafter | 8,433 |
| Total | 77,089 |

During the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, amortization expense recorded for intangible assets with finite lives was $\$ 4.5$ million and $\$ 5.1$ million, respectively, of which $\$ 1.3$ million and $\$ 1.6$ million, respectively, was recorded in Cost of sales, with the remaining amounts recorded in Selling, general and administrative expenses on the condensed consolidated statements of operations. During the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, amortization expense recorded for intangible assets with finite lives was $\$ 9.4$ million and $\$ 10.1$ million, respectively, of which $\$ 2.7$ million and $\$ 3.0$ million, respectively, was recorded in Cost of sales, with the remaining amounts recorded in Selling, general and administrative expenses on the condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, accumulated amortization was $\$ 105.1$ million and $\$ 95.9$ million, respectively.

## 5. ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

The following table summarizes accrued expenses and other current liabilities as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|rrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { June 30, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 6}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { December 31, } \\ \text { 2015 }\end{array} \\ \text { (in thousands) }\end{array}\right)$
(1) The December 31, 2015 amount represents accrued losses related to the South Africa disposal group. The sale of the South Africa operations was completed on April 15, 2016 and the associated gain on disposal was immaterial.
(2) The amounts in Other consist of various accrued expenses, of which no individual item accounted for more than $5 \%$ of the total balance as of June 30, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

## 6. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

## Recurring Fair Value Measurements

U.S. GAAP provides for a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to the valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. Level 1 inputs are quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted market prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

The following table summarizes, for assets or liabilities measured at fair value, the respective fair value and the classification by level of input within the fair value hierarchy:

Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using

| Description | June 30, 2016 |  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1) |  | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ | 4,682 | \$ | 4,682 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted deposits |  | 2,346 |  | 2,346 |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 7,028 | \$ | 7,028 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign currency derivative liability | \$ | 4,137 | \$ |  | \$ | 4,137 | \$ |  |

Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using

| Description | December 31, 2015 |  | Fair Value Measurement at Reporting <br> Quoted Prices in <br> Active Markets for <br> Identical Assets or Significant Other <br> Liabilities <br> Observable Inputs <br> (Level 1) <br> (Level 2) |  |  |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ | 6,174 | \$ | 6,174 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted deposits |  | 1,310 |  | 1,310 |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 7,484 | \$ | 7,484 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign currency derivative liability | \$ | 55 | \$ |  | \$ | 55 | \$ |  |

## Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The majority of the Company s non-financial instruments, inventories, property and equipment, and intangible assets, are Level 3 assets and are not required to be carried at fair value on a recurring basis. However, if certain triggering events occur such that a non-financial instrument is required to be evaluated for impairment and the carrying value is not recoverable, the carrying value would be adjusted to the lower of its cost or fair value and an impairment charge would be recorded. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, Crocs recorded $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 0.8$ million, respectively, in impairment charges associated with the Company s retail locations. During both the three and six months ended June 30,2015 , Crocs recorded $\$ 2.1$ million in impairment charges associated with the Company s retail locations. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, Crocs had no impairment charges related to inventories.

## 7. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Crocs transacts business in various foreign countries and is therefore exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk inherent in revenues, expenses, and monetary assets and liabilities denominated in non-functional currencies. In general, Crocs enters into foreign currency exchange forward contracts and currency swap derivative instruments to selectively protect against volatility in the value of non-functional currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities. As these derivative instruments do not qualify as hedging instruments under the accounting standards for derivatives and hedging, they are recorded at fair value as a derivative asset or liability on the balance sheet with their corresponding change in fair value recognized in Foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, Crocs had associated liability balances reported in Accrued expenses and other liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet. For purposes of the cash flow statement, Crocs classifies the cash flows from derivative instruments at settlement from undesignated instruments in the same category as the cash flows from the related hedged items, generally within Cash provided by (used in) operating activities .

The following table summarizes the notional amounts of outstanding foreign currency exchange contracts as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015. The notional amounts of the derivative financial instruments shown below are denominated in their U.S. Dollar equivalents and represent the amount of all contracts of the foreign currency specified. These notional values do not necessarily represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, therefore, are not a direct measure of the Company s exposure to the foreign currency exchange risks.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Foreign currency exchange forward contracts by currency: |  |  |  |  |
| Japanese Yen | \$ | 183,990 | \$ | 98,390 |
| Singapore Dollar |  | 62,629 |  |  |
| Euro |  | 46,076 |  | 34,219 |
| South Korean Won |  | 20,290 |  | 7,981 |
| British Pound Sterling |  | 18,569 |  | 21,859 |
| Canadian Dollar |  | 11,510 |  | 1,980 |
| Mexican Peso |  | 10,933 |  | 7,277 |
| Australian Dollar |  | 7,736 |  | 6,459 |
| Indian Rupee |  | 6,461 |  | 5,036 |
| New Taiwan Dollar |  | 5,924 |  | 1,798 |
| Russian Ruble |  | 3,692 |  | 667 |
| Brazilian Real |  | 2,584 |  |  |
| Swedish Krona |  | 1,663 |  | 1,655 |
| Hong Kong Dollar |  | 667 |  | 668 |
| South African Rand |  |  |  | 6,402 |
| Total notional value, net | \$ | 382,724 | \$ | 194,391 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Latest maturity date |  | July 2016 |  | ary 2016 |
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The following table presents the amounts affecting the condensed consolidated statements of operations from derivative instruments and exposure from day-to-day business transactions in various foreign currencies for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

|  | ree Months Ended |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended J |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in thousands) |  |  |  |  | 2016 | 2015 |  |
| Foreign currency gain (loss) | \$ | 6,503 | \$ | $(1,597)$ | \$ | 8,765 | \$ | 4,620 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign currency exchange forwards gain (loss) |  | $(8,203)$ |  | 1,380 |  | $(11,712)$ |  | $(4,343)$ |
| Foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net | \$ | $(1,700)$ | \$ | (217) | \$ | $(2,947)$ | \$ | 277 |

The line Foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net on the condensed consolidated statements of operations includes both realized and unrealized gains/losses from underlying foreign currency activity and derivative contracts. These gains and losses are reported on a net basis.

## 8. REVOLVING CREDIT FACILITY \& BANK BORROWINGS

## Senior Revolving Credit Facility

In December 2011, Crocs entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (as amended, the Credit Agreement ), with the lenders named therein and PNC Bank, National Association ( PNC ), as a lender and administrative agent for the lenders. On February 18, 2016, the Company entered into the Eleventh Amendment to the Credit Agreement which, primarily: (i) extended the maturity date to February 2021, (ii) resized the borrowing capacity of the facility to $\$ 75.0$ million, (iii) amended certain definitions of the financial covenants to become more favorable to the Company, (iv) set the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio to 1.00 to 1.00 through the period ended June 30, 2016 and 1.10 to 1.00 thereafter, (v) set the maximum leverage ratio to 2.50 to 1.00 through the period ended June 30, 2016 and 2.00 to 1.00 thereafter, (vi) allows up to $\$ 50.0$ million in stock repurchases to be made each fiscal year, subject to certain restrictions, and (vii) limited certain capital expenditures and commitments to an aggregate of $\$ 50.0$ million per year. The Eleventh Amendment also changed the variable lending rates. For domestic base rate loans, including swing loans, the interest rate is equal to a daily base rate plus a margin ranging from $0.50 \%$ to $0.75 \%$ based on certain conditions. For domestic LIBOR rate loans, the interest rate is equal to a LIBOR rate plus a margin ranging from $1.50 \%$ to $1.75 \%$ based on certain conditions.

On June 13, 2016, Crocs entered into the Twelfth Amendment to the Credit Agreement, which primarily increased the borrowing capacity of the facility from $\$ 75.0$ million to $\$ 80.0$ million. Under the terms of the Credit Agreement, the above financial covenants are only applicable when average borrowings exceed $\$ 20.0$ million over a 30 -day period, starting on the 15 th day of the last month of each quarter.

As of June 30, 2016, the Company was in compliance with each of its financial covenants under the Credit Agreement, with actual calculations as follows: (i) the fixed charge coverage ratio (which is calculated as adjusted EBITDA plus fixed charges before tax for a rolling four quarters divided by fixed charges before tax plus interest for a rolling four quarters) was 3.41 to 1.00 , compared to the minimum allowable amount of 1.00 to 1.00 (ii) the leverage ratio (which is calculated as consolidated indebtedness divided by adjusted EBITDA for a rolling four quarters) was 0.23 to 1.00 , compared to the maximum allowable amount of 2.50 to 1.00 (iii) stock repurchases were zero, and (iv) capital expenditures
and commitments were $\$ 24.0$ million. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, the weighted average/effective interest rate for outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement was $2.75 \%$ and $3.15 \%$, respectively. The Company currently anticipates remaining in compliance with each of its debt covenant obligations for the foreseeable future.

As of both June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement. As of both June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had outstanding letters of credit of $\$ 1.3$ million, which were reserved against the borrowing base under the terms of the Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the
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Company had $\$ 78.7$ million and $\$ 73.7$ million, respectively, of available borrowing capacity. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, Crocs capitalized less than $\$ 0.1$ million and $\$ 0.6$ million, respectively, in fees and third party costs as deferred financing costs associated with the Credit Agreement and the negotiation of a new debt financing agreement that has not yet been executed. The short-term portion of these fees is recorded in the Prepaid expenses and other assets account and the long-term portion is recorded in the Other assets accounts.

## Asia Pacific Revolving Credit Facility

On August 28, 2015, a Crocs subsidiary entered into a revolving credit facility agreement with HSBC Bank (China) Company Limited, Shanghai Branch ( HSBC ) as the lender. The revolving credit facility enables Crocs to borrow uncommitted dual currency revolving loan facilities up to RMB 40.0 million, or the USD equivalent, and import facilities up to RMB 60.0 million, or the USD equivalent, with a combined facility limit of RMB 60.0 million. For loans denominated in USD, the interest rate is $2.1 \%$ per annum plus LIBOR for three months or any other period as may be determined by HSBC at the end of each interest period. For loans denominated in RMB, interest equals the one year benchmark lending rate effective on the loan drawdown date set forth by the People s Bank of China with a $10 \%$ mark-up and is payable on the maturity date of the related loan. The revolving credit facility can be canceled or suspended at any time at the discretion of the lender and contains provisions requiring Crocs to maintain compliance with certain restrictive covenants. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the revolving credit facility remained suspended at the discretion of the lender and Crocs had no outstanding borrowings or borrowings available under the revolving credit facility.

## Long-term Bank Borrowings

On December 10, 2012, Crocs entered into a Master Installment Payment Agreement ( Master IPA ) with PNC in which PNC financed the Company s implementation of a new ERP system, which began in October 2012 and was substantially completed in early 2015. The terms of each note payable, under the Master IPA, consist of a fixed interest rate and payment terms based on the amount borrowed and the timing of activity throughout the implementation of the ERP system. The Master IPA is subject to cross-default, cross-termination clauses, and is coterminous with the Credit Agreement.

As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 Crocs had $\$ 3.7$ million and $\$ 6.4$ million, respectively, of debt outstanding under five separate notes payable, of which $\$ 3.4$ million and $\$ 4.8$ million, respectively, represent current installments. As of June 30, 2016, the notes bear interest rates ranging from $2.45 \%$ to $2.79 \%$ and maturities ranging from September 2016 to September 2017.

The components of the Company s consolidated debt and capital lease obligations as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 are as follows:
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| Asia Pacific revolving credit facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-term bank borrowings | 2.64\% |  |  | 3,687 |  |  | 6,375 |
| Other term debt financing | 1.90\% | 1,612(6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | \$ | 78,697 |  | 5,299 |  | 6,375 |
| Capital lease obligations |  |  |  |  | 23 |  | 24 |
| Total debt and capital lease obligations |  |  |  | \$ | 5,322 | \$ | 6,399 |
| Current maturities |  |  |  | \$ | 4,993 | \$ | 4,772 |
| Long-term debt and capital lease obligations |  |  |  | \$ | 329 | \$ | 1,627 |
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(1) Carrying value represents the weighted average interest rate in effect at June 30, 2016 for all borrowings outstanding pursuant to each debt instrument, including any applicable margin. The interest rates presented represent stated rates and do not include the impact of the derivative instruments, deferred financing costs, original issue premiums or discounts, and commitment fees, all of which affect Crocs overall cost of borrowing.
(2) Unused borrowing capacity represents the maximum available under the applicable facility at June 30,2016 without regard to covenant compliance calculations or other conditions precedent to borrowing.
(3) As the interest rate of each credit agreement is variable, typically based on either the base rate plus an additional margin or the daily LIBOR rates plus an additional margin, the estimated fair value of each debt instrument approximates its carrying value.
(4) On February 18, 2016, the Company entered into the Eleventh Amendment to the Credit Agreement, which, among other things, resized the borrowing capacity of the facility to $\$ 75.0$ million. On June 13,2016 , the Company entered into the Twelfth Amendment to the Credit Agreement, which increased the borrowing capacity of the facility to $\$ 80.0$ million. As of June 30, 2016, the unused borrowing capacity was reduced by $\$ 1.3$ million of outstanding letters of credit.
(5) As of June 30, 2016, the Asia Pacific revolving credit facility remained suspended.
(6) This amount is associated with short term vendor financing arrangements.

The maturities of the Company s debt obligations as of June 30, 2016 are presented below:

|  | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ <br> (in thousands) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Maturities of debt and capital lease obligations | $\$$ |
| 2016 (remainder of year) | 2,974 |
| 2017 | 2,339 |
| 2018 | 5 |
| 2019 | $\$$ |
| 2020 | $\$$ |
| Thereafter | $\$$ |
| Total principal debt and capital lease maturities | $\$$ |
| Current portion | $\$$ |
| Non-current portion | 5 |

9. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Share-based compensation expense is based on the grant date fair value and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the applicable vesting period. During the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, Crocs recorded $\$ 3.1$ million and $\$ 3.5$ million, respectively, of pre-tax share-based compensation expense, of which $\$ 2.9$ million and $\$ 3.3$ million, respectively, is included in selling, general and administrative expense, and the remainder for both periods included in cost of sales on the condensed consolidated statements of operations. During the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, Crocs recorded $\$ 5.9$ million and $\$ 6.5$ million, respectively, of pre-tax share-based compensation expense, of which $\$ 5.6$ million and $\$ 6.2$ million, respectively, is included in selling, general and administrative expense, and the remainder included in cost of sales on the condensed consolidated statements of operations.
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## Stock Option Activity

A summary of Crocs stock option activity as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 is presented below:

|  | Stock Option Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding as of March 31, 2016 | 791,561 | \$ | 15.90 |
| Granted |  | \$ |  |
| Exercised | $(54,350)$ | \$ | 3.88 |
| Forfeited or expired | $(32,489)$ | \$ | 18.62 |
| Outstanding as of June 30, 2016 | 704,722 | \$ | 16.70 |
|  | Stock Option Shares |  |  |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2015 | 1,295,749 | \$ | 14.09 |
| Granted |  | \$ |  |
| Exercised | $(91,297)$ | \$ | 4.01 |
| Forfeited or expired | $(499,730)$ | \$ | 12.25 |
| Outstanding as of June 30, 2016 | 704,722 | \$ | 16.70 |

As of June 30, 2016, there was $\$ 0.5$ million of unrecognized compensation expense related to stock options. The expense is expected to be amortized over a weighted average period of 2.24 years.

## Restricted Stock Awards and Units Activity

A summary of the Company s restricted stock award ( RSA ) and restricted stock unit ( RSU ) activity as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 is presented below:
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc} & \text { Restricted Stock Awards } \\ \text { Weighted } \\ \text { Average }\end{array}\right)$
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|  | Shares | Weighted <br> Average Grant Date Fair Value |  | Units | Weighted <br> Average <br> Grant Date <br> Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unvested at December 31, 2015 | 6,667 | \$ | 15.00 | 3,088,378 | \$ | 10.75 |
| Granted | 22,860 | \$ | 10.28 | 2,239,837 | \$ | 9.15 |
| Vested | $(6,667)$ | \$ | 15.00 | $(498,395)$ | \$ | 13.17 |
| Forfeited |  | \$ |  | $(762,675)$ | \$ | 11.46 |
| Unvested at June 30, 2016 | 22,860 | \$ | 10.28 | 4,067,145 | \$ | 9.40 |
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The total grant date fair value of RSAs vested during both the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was less than $\$ 1.0$ million. The total grant date fair value of RSAs vested during the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was $\$ 0.1$ million for both periods. As of June 30, 2016, Crocs had $\$ 0.2$ million of total unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to non-vested, time-based RSAs, net of expected forfeitures. As of June 30, 2016, the unvested RSAs are expected to be amortized over the remaining weighted average period of 0.93 years.

The total grant date fair value of RSUs vested during the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was $\$ 0.9$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million, respectively. The total grant date fair value of RSUs vested during the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was $\$ 6.6$ million and $\$ 7.1$ million, respectively. As of June 30, 2016, Crocs had $\$ 23.2$ million of total unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to unvested RSUs, net of expected forfeitures, of which $\$ 15.9$ million is related to time-based awards and $\$ 7.3$ million is related to performance-based awards. As of June 30, 2016, the unvested RSUs are expected to be amortized over the remaining weighted average period of 2.07 years, which consists of a remaining weighted average period of 2.01 years related to performance-based awards and a remaining weighted average period of 2.14 years related to time-based awards.

## 10. INCOME TAXES

During the three months ended June 30, 2016, the Company recognized income tax expense of $\$ 3.1$ million on pre-tax income of $\$ 18.6$ million, representing an effective income tax rate of $16.7 \%$. For the three months ended June 30, 2015, the Company recognized income tax expense of $\$ 2.6$ million on pre-tax income of $\$ 16.0$ million, representing an effective tax rate of $16.0 \%$ During the six months ended June 30, 2016, the Company recognized income tax expense of $\$ 6.0$ million on pre-tax income of $\$ 31.7$ million, representing an effective income tax rate of $19.0 \%$. For the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company recognized income tax expense of $\$ 2.9$ million on pre-tax income of $\$ 13.9$ million, representing an effective tax rate of $20.6 \%$.

During the three months ended June 30, 2016, the increase in effective tax rate is primarily due to an increase in profitability in certain jurisdictions, compared to the same period in 2015. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, the decrease in the effective rate was driven primarily by a decrease in the relative proportion of losses in jurisdictions for which tax benefits cannot be recognized to global consolidated book income. The Company s effective income tax rate, for each period presented, differs from the federal U.S. statutory rate primarily due to differences in income tax rates between U.S. and foreign jurisdictions. There were no significant or unusual discrete tax items during the quarter. The Company had unrecognized tax benefits of $\$ 5.2$ million and $\$ 5.0$ million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, and the Company does not expect any significant changes in this balance in the next twelve months.
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## 11. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table illustrates the basic and diluted earnings per common share ( EPS ) computations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

|  |  | ee Months 16 | de | 30, $15$ <br> sands, exc | p | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Months E } \\ & 6 \\ & \text { data) } \end{aligned}$ | ed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numerator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$ | 11,735 | \$ | 9,690 | \$ | 18,096 | \$ | 3,711 |
| Less: adjustment for income allocated to participating securities |  | $(1,857)$ |  | $(1,476)$ |  | $(2,868)$ |  | (563) |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders - basic and diluted | \$ | 9,878 | \$ | 8,214 | \$ | 15,228 | \$ | 3,148 |
| Denominator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic |  | 73,389 |  | 76,846 |  | 73,238 |  | 77,333 |
| Plus: dilutive effect of stock options and unvested restricted stock units |  | 854 |  | 1,356 |  | 1,151 |  | 1,256 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted |  | 74,243 |  | 78,202 |  | 74,389 |  | 78,589 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income attributable per common share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.21 | \$ | 0.04 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 0.04 |

Diluted EPS is calculated using the two-class method for stock options and RSUs and the if-converted method for Series A Preferred Stock. For the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, 0.6 million and 0.7 million stock options and RSUs, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS under the two-class method because the effect would be anti-dilutive. For the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, 1.0 million and 0.8 million stock options and RSUs, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS under the two-class method because the effect would be anti-dilutive. The Series A Preferred Stock shares were excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS under the if-converted method because the effect would be anti-dilutive. If converted, Series A Preferred Stock would represent approximately $15.8 \%$ of the Company s common stock outstanding, or 13.8 million additional common shares, as of June 30, 2016. See Note 12 Series A Preferred Stock for further details regarding the preferred stock offering.

## Stock Repurchase Plan Authorizations

Crocs continues to evaluate options to maximize the returns on its cash and maintain an appropriate capital structure, including, among other alternatives, repurchases of common stock. On December 26, 2013, Crocs Board approved the repurchase of up to $\$ 350.0$ million of the Company s common stock. The number, price, structure, and timing of the repurchases will be at the Company s sole discretion and future repurchases will be evaluated by the Company depending on market conditions, liquidity needs, any restrictions under the Company s debt arrangements, and other factors. Share repurchases may be made in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The repurchase authorization does not have an expiration date and does not obligate Crocs to acquire any particular amount of its common stock. The Board may suspend, modify, or terminate the repurchase program at any time without prior notice.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, Crocs had no repurchases of shares under the publicly-announced repurchase plan. As of June 30, 2016, subject to certain restrictions on repurchases under the Company s Credit Agreement, Crocs had $\$ 118.7$ million remaining under the repurchase authorizations.
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## 12. SERIES A PREFERRED STOCK

On January 27, 2014, Crocs issued 200,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock to Blackstone and certain of its permitted transferees, for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 198.0$ million, or $\$ 990$ per share, pursuant to an Investment Agreement between Crocs and Blackstone, dated December 28, 2013, (as amended, the Investment Agreement ). In connection with the issuance of Series A Preferred Stock, Crocs received proceeds of $\$ 182.2$ million after deducting the issuance discount of $\$ 2.0$ million and direct and incremental expenses of $\$ 15.8$ million, which included financial advisory fees, closing costs, legal expenses, and other offering-related expenses. As of both June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, Crocs had accrued dividends of $\$ 3.0$ million on the condensed consolidated balance sheets, which were paid in cash to holders of the Series A Preferred Stock on July 1, 2016 and January 4, 2016, respectively.

## 13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Rental Commitments and Contingencies

Crocs rents space for its retail stores, offices, warehouses, vehicles, and equipment under operating leases expiring at various dates through 2033. Certain leases contain rent escalation clauses (step rents) that require additional rental amounts in the later years of the term. Rent expense for leases with step rents or rent holidays is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term beginning on the lease inception date. Deferred rent is included in the condensed consolidated balance sheets in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities.

The following table summarizes the composition of rent expense under operating leases for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum rentals (1) | \$ | 22,588 | \$ | 25,106 | \$ | 44,983 | \$ | 49,858 |
| Contingent rentals, net (2) |  | 5,359 |  | 5,868 |  | 7,487 |  | 7,887 |
| Total rent expense | \$ | 27,947 | \$ | 30,974 | \$ | 52,470 | \$ | 57,745 |

(1) Minimum rentals include all lease payments as well as fixed and variable common area maintenance, parking, and storage fees, which were approximately $\$ 2.7$ million and $\$ 2.4$ million during the three months ended June 30,2016 and 2015, respectively, and $\$ 5.2$ million and $\$ 4.7$ million during the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.
(2) Contingent rentals, net includes sublease income.

As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, Crocs had firm purchase commitments with certain third party manufacturers of $\$ 97.3$ million and $\$ 158.2$ million, respectively.

## 14. OPERATING SEGMENTS AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

Crocs has three reportable operating segments based on the geographic nature of the Company s operations: Americas, Asia Pacific, and Europe. Crocs Other businesses category aggregates insignificant operating segments that do not meet the reportable segment threshold and includes manufacturing operations located in Mexico, Italy and Asia. The composition of the Company s reportable operating segments is consistent with that used by Crocs chief operating decision maker ( CODM ) to evaluate performance and allocate resources.

Each of the reportable operating segments derives its revenues from the sale of footwear and accessories to external customers as well as intersegment sales. Revenues of the Other businesses category are primarily made up of intersegment sales. The remaining revenues for Other businesses represent non-footwear product sales to external customers. Intersegment sales are not included in the measurement of segment operating income or regularly reviewed by the CODM and are eliminated when deriving total consolidated revenues.

Segment performance is evaluated based on segment results without allocating corporate expenses, or indirect general, administrative, and other expenses. Segment profits or losses include adjustments to eliminate intersegment sales. As such, reconciling items for segment operating income represent unallocated corporate and other expenses as well as intersegment eliminations.
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The following tables set forth information related to Crocs reportable operating business segments as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 20162015 |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Americas | \$ | 135,097 | \$ | 143,119 | \$ | 259,227 | \$ | 248,888 |
| Asia Pacific (1) |  | 130,846 |  | 149,557 |  | 235,347 |  | 249,332 |
| Europe |  | 57,660 |  | 52,668 |  | 107,997 |  | 109,092 |
| Total segment revenues |  | 323,603 |  | 345,344 |  | 602,571 |  | 607,312 |
| Other businesses |  | 225 |  | 327 |  | 397 |  | 552 |
| Total consolidated revenues | \$ | 323,828 | \$ | 345,671 | \$ | 602,968 | \$ | 607,864 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating income (2): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Americas | \$ | 18,015 | \$ | 21,771 | \$ | 34,592 | \$ | 37,149 |
| Asia Pacific |  | 34,533 |  | 41,262 |  | 60,383 |  | 58,597 |
| Europe |  | 8,437 |  | 6,105 |  | 14,960 |  | 14,343 |
| Total segment operating income |  | 60,985 |  | 69,138 |  | 109,935 |  | 110,089 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reconciliation of total segment operating income to income before income taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other businesses |  | $(6,038)$ |  | $(6,890)$ |  | $(12,111)$ |  | $(12,294)$ |
| Unallocated corporate and other (3) |  | $(34,342)$ |  | $(45,899)$ |  | $(62,976)$ |  | $(83,808)$ |
| Income from operations |  | 20,605 |  | 16,349 |  | 34,848 |  | 13,987 |
| Foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net |  | $(1,700)$ |  | (217) |  | $(2,947)$ |  | 277 |
| Interest income |  | 164 |  | 196 |  | 380 |  | 484 |
| Interest expense |  | (234) |  | (260) |  | (477) |  | (479) |
| Other expense, net |  | (189) |  | (80) |  | (107) |  | (411) |
| Income before income taxes | \$ | 18,646 | \$ | 15,988 | \$ | 31,697 | \$ | 13,858 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Americas | \$ | 1,504 | \$ | 1,950 | \$ | 2,982 | S | 3,924 |
| Asia Pacific |  | 992 |  | 1,128 |  | 2,070 |  | 2,341 |
| Europe |  | 718 |  | 644 |  | 1,497 |  | 1,446 |
| Total segment depreciation and amortization |  | 3,214 |  | 3,722 |  | 6,549 |  | 7,711 |
| Other businesses |  | 1,736 |  | 2,063 |  | 3,446 |  | 4,051 |
| Unallocated corporate and other |  | 3,501 |  | 3,604 |  | 7,036 |  | 7,346 |
| Total consolidated depreciation and amortization | \$ | 8,451 | \$ | 9,389 | \$ | 17,031 | \$ | 19,108 |

(1) Revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 were negatively impacted by approximately $\$ 3.0$ million as a result of the sale of the Company s South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.
(2) Operating income for the three months ended June 30, 2015 was negatively impacted by restructuring charges of $\$ 1.3$ million and $\$ 0.4$ million for the Asia Pacific and Europe segments, respectively. Operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2015 was negatively impacted by restructuring charges of $\$ 0.5$ million, $\$ 3.0$ million, and $\$ 1.5$ million for the Americas, Asia Pacific, and Europe segments, respectively. As we completed our restructure efforts in 2015, we had no similar expenses in the 2016 periods presented.
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(3) Includes a corporate component consisting primarily of corporate support and administrative functions, costs associated with share-based compensation, research and development, brand marketing, legal, restructuring, depreciation and amortization of corporate and other assets not allocated to operating segments, and costs of the same nature related to certain corporate holding companies. The decrease in unallocated corporate and other operating loss of $\$ 11.6$ million for the three months ended June 30,2016 is primarily associated with: (i) various cost reductions related to with the Company s 2015 restructuring efforts (including a $\$ 3.8$ million decrease in contract labor and professional fees and a $\$ 3.2$ million decrease in employee compensation) and (ii) a $\$ 5.0$ million decrease associated with nonrecurring disbursements made to invalid vendors. The decrease in operating loss of $\$ 20.8$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 is primarily associated with: (i) various cost reductions related to the Company s 2015 restructuring efforts (including a $\$ 4.4$ million decrease in employee compensation and a $\$ 4.1$ million decrease in contract labor and professional fees) and (ii) a $\$ 5.0$ million decrease associated with nonrecurring disbursements made to invalid vendors.

## 15. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is currently subject to an audit by U.S. Customs \& Border Protection ( CBP ) in respect of the period from 2006 to 2010. In October 2013, CBP issued the final audit report. In that report CBP projects that unpaid duties totaling approximately $\$ 12.4$ million are due for the period under review and recommends collection of the duties due. Crocs responded that these projections are erroneous and provided arguments that demonstrate the amount due in connection with this matter is considerably less than the projection. Additionally, on December 12, 2014, Crocs made an offer to settle CBP s potential claims and tendered $\$ 3.5$ million. Counsel has had initial conversations with the CBP, which has indicated that it believes the current offer is insufficient. Crocs plans to continue to have discussions with the CBP in an effort to resolve the claims. At this time, it is not possible to determine how long this process will take or to predict whether a negotiated settlement can be reached. Likewise, if a settlement cannot be reached, it is not possible to predict with any certainty whether CBP will seek to assert a claim for penalties in addition to any unpaid duties, but such an assertion is a possibility.

Crocs is currently subject to an audit by the Brazilian Federal Tax Authorities related to imports of footwear from China between 2010 and 2014. On January 13, 2015, Crocs was notified about the issuance of assessments totaling approximately $\$ 4.5$ million for the period January 2010 through May 2011. Crocs has disputed these assessments and asserted defenses to the claims. On February 25, 2015, Crocs received additional assessments totaling approximately $\$ 10.4$ million related to the remainder of the audit period. Crocs has also disputed these assessments and asserted defenses and filed appeals to these claims. On May 11, 2016, Crocs was notified of a decision rejecting the defense filed against the first assessment covering the period of January 2010 through May 2011. Crocs filed an appeal against that decision on June 8, 2016. It is anticipated that this matter will take up to several years to be resolved. It is not possible at this time to predict the outcome of this matter.

Where the Company is able to estimate possible losses or ranges of possible losses, the Company estimates that as of June 30, 2016, it is reasonably possible that losses associated with these claims and other disputes could potentially exceed related accrued liabilities of $\$ 4.9$ million by up to $\$ 7.1$ million.

Although Crocs is subject to other litigation from time to time in the ordinary course of business, including employment, intellectual property and product liability claims, Crocs is not party to any other pending legal proceedings that Crocs believes would reasonably have a material adverse impact on its business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.
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## ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## Business Overview

Crocs, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries (collectively, the Company, Crocs, we, our, or us ) are engaged in the design, development, manufacturing, worldwide marketing and distribution of casual lifestyle footwear and accessories for men, women, and children. We strive to be the global leader in the sale of molded footwear featuring fun, comfort, color, and functionality. The Company, a Delaware corporation, is the successor of a Colorado corporation of the same name, and was originally organized in 1999, as a limited liability company. Our products include footwear and accessories that utilize our proprietary closed-cell resin, called Croslite, as well as casual lifestyle footwear that use a range of materials. Our Croslite material enables us to produce innovative, lightweight, non-marking, and odor-resistant footwear. We currently sell our products in more than 65 countries through domestic and international retailers and distributors, and directly to consumers through our company-operated retail stores, outlets, webstores, and kiosks.

Since the initial introduction of our popular Beach and Crocs Classic designs in 2002, we have expanded our classic products to include a variety of new styles and products including clogs, sandals, wedges, flats, sneakers, and boots. Going forward, we are focusing on our core molded footwear heritage, as well as developing innovative casual lifestyle footwear. The broad appeal of our footwear has allowed us to market our products to a wide range of distribution channels, including family footwear stores, department stores, sporting goods stores and traditional footwear retailers, as well as a variety of specialty and independent retail channels, and via the internet. Our goal is to deliver world-class product assortments for the family with all of the comfort features and benefits for which Crocs is known. We have discontinued our non-core products in order to focus on growing our core-molded heritage category while developing more compelling casual footwear platforms.

We have expanded our core molded product line with the addition of dual density technology, warm lined styles, seasonal flips and slides. Licensed style partnerships from Disney, Marvel, Sanrio, Nickelodeon, and Warner Bros., among others, provide popularity to our kids core line along with our kids-only product innovations, including lights, color-change materials, and interactive elements. We have extended our core product assortment with new styling including built for her and built for him silhouettes, which are offered in multiple color and graphic treatments.

A key differentiating feature of our footwear products is the Croslite material, which is uniquely suited for comfort and functionality. Croslite is carefully formulated to create extremely lightweight, comfortable, and non-marking footwear that conforms to the shape of the foot and increases comfort. Croslite is a closed-cell resin material, which is water resistant, virtually odor free and allows many of our footwear styles to be cleaned simply with water. As we have expanded our product offering, we have incorporated traditional materials, such as textile fabric and leather, into many of our styles; however, we continue to utilize the Croslite material for the foot bed, sole, and other key structural components for many of these styles.

As a global company, we have significant revenues and costs denominated in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar. Sales in international markets in foreign currencies are expected to continue to represent a substantial portion of our revenues. Likewise, we expect that our subsidiaries with functional currencies other than the U.S. Dollar will continue to represent a substantial portion of our overall gross margin and related expenses. Accordingly, changes in foreign currency exchange rates can materially affect both revenues and costs as well as the comparability of revenues and costs from period to period as a result of the impact of foreign currency translation adjustments.

## Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

In addition to financial measures presented on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( U.S. GAAP ), we present current period non-GAAP selling, general, and administrative expenses , which is a non-GAAP financial measure, within this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation ( MD\&A ). Adjusted results exclude the impact of items that management believes affect the comparability or underlying business trends in our condensed consolidated financial statements in the periods presented.
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#### Abstract

We also present certain information related to our current period results of operations in this MD\&A through constant currency , which is a non-GAAP financial measure and should be viewed as a supplement to our results of operations and presentation of reportable segments under U.S. GAAP. Constant currency represents current period results that have been restated using prior year average foreign exchange rates for the comparative period to enhance the visibility of the underlying business trends excluding the impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations.


Management uses adjusted results to assist in comparing business trends from period to period on a consistent non-GAAP basis in communications with the board of directors (the Board ), stockholders, analysts, and investors concerning our financial performance. We believe that these non-GAAP measures are useful to investors and other users of our condensed consolidated financial statements as an additional tool to evaluate operating performance. We believe they also provide a useful baseline for analyzing trends in our operations. Investors should not consider these non-GAAP measures in isolation from, or as a substitute for, financial information prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Please refer to our Results of Operations within this section for a reconciliation of adjusted selling, general and administrative expenses to U.S. GAAP selling, general and administrative expenses.

## Financial Highlights

The following are significant developments in our businesses during the three months ended June 30, 2016:

- Revenue decreased $\$ 21.8$ million or $6.3 \%$ in the three months ended June 30, 2016, compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in revenue was due to the net effect of: (i) a $\$ 23.7$ million or $6.9 \%$ decrease associated with lower average selling prices, (ii) a $\$ 2.3$ million or $0.7 \%$ increase associated with higher sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 0.4$ million or $0.1 \%$ decrease associated with unfavorable exchange rates. Sales volumes for the quarter were negatively impacted by approximately $\$ 3.0$ million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.
- 

We sold 17.7 million pairs of shoes worldwide, an increase of $0.7 \%$ compared to the same period in the prior year.

- During the three months ended June 30, 2016, cost of sales decreased $\$ 1.6$ million or $1.0 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in cost of sales was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 2.6$ million or $1.6 \%$ decrease in average cost per unit, (ii) a $\$ 1.1$ million or $0.7 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 0.1$ million or $0.1 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation. The impact of sales volumes on cost of sales was reduced by approximately $\$ 2.0$ million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.
- Gross profit decreased $\$ 20.2$ million or $10.7 \%$ to $\$ 169.6$ million and gross margin decreased 250 basis points, to $52.4 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015 . The decrease in gross profit is due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 21.1$ million or $11.2 \%$ decrease due to the combined impact of lower average sale prices and a lower average cost of sales per unit, (ii) a $\$ 1.2$ million or $0.7 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 0.3$ million or $0.2 \%$ decrease due to the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation. Gross profit declined by approximately $\$ 1.0$ million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.
- Selling, general and administrative ( SG\&A ) expenses decreased $\$ 20.2$ million or $12.0 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease is primarily due to the combined impact of: (i) a $\$ 5.0$ million or $3.0 \%$ decrease associated with nonrecurring disbursements made to invalid vendors, (ii) a $\$ 4.8$ million or $2.8 \%$ decrease associated with lower bad debt expense, (iii) a $\$ 2.7$ million or $1.6 \%$ decrease associated with implementation costs for the new ERP system, (iv) a $\$ 2.2$ million or $1.3 \%$ decrease associated with reorganization charges, (v) a $\$ 1.8$ million or $1.1 \%$ decrease associated with legal settlements, and a (vi) $\$ 1.5$ million or $0.9 \%$ decrease associated with variable compensation. No other changes were individually significant.
- Net income attributable to common stockholders increased $\$ 2.0$ million to $\$ 11.7$ million compared to $\$ 9.7$ million for the same period of 2015. Net earnings per common share ( EPS ) was $\$ 0.13$ for the three months ended June 30, 2016 compared to $\$ 0.11$ for the three months ended June 30, 2015. These increases were primarily the result of a decrease in
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selling general and administrative expense, and a decrease in restructuring expense associated with the completion of our restructuring efforts in 2015 resulting in zero restructuring costs in the current period compared to restructuring charges of $\$ 2.8$ million in the same period of 2015. These cost savings were partially offset by a decrease in gross profit.

## Future Outlook

During the remainder of 2016, we intend to continue our strategic plans for long-term improvement and growth of the business. Our plans comprise four key initiatives: (1) streamlining the global product and marketing portfolio, (2) reducing direct investment in smaller geographic markets, (3) creating a more efficient organizational structure by reducing excess overhead costs and enhancing the decision making process, and (4) closing or converting certain underperforming Crocs branded retail stores around the world.

We believe these changes will better position Crocs to adapt to changing customer demands and global economic developments. We are focusing on our core molded footwear heritage, as well as developing innovative new casual lifestyle footwear platforms. By streamlining the product portfolio and reducing non-core product development, we believe we will create a more powerful consumer connection to the brand.

We are refining our business model around the world, prioritizing direct investment in larger-scale geographies to focus our resources on the demographics with the largest growth prospects, moving away from direct investment in the retail and wholesale businesses in smaller markets and transferring significant commercial responsibilities to distributors and third party agents. Further, we intend to expand our engagement with leading wholesale accounts in select markets to drive sales growth, optimize product placement and enhance brand reputation.

Additionally, we have been addressing the declining collection rates we have experienced from our China operations by limiting or terminating our relationship with distributors who we have identified as being a significant credit risk.

As of June 30, 2016, we have terminated our relationship with multiple distributors in China and we expanded our relationship with existing business partners who are in a stronger financial position and who have a proven track record. We have also implemented a more restrictive credit policy for several China distributors to reduce our exposure in that market. We are unable to predict future economic conditions in China, but if economic conditions in China continue to decline, we may experience further declines in consumer demand in our China markets. As our China operations represent approximately $8.1 \%$ of our total revenue, the net impact of declining sales volumes in China could have a material adverse impact on our financial results in future periods.

## Results of Operations

## Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 to 2015

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | \$ Change |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in thousands, except per share data and average selling price) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | \$ | 323,828 | \$ | 345,671 | \$ | $(21,843)$ | (6.3)\% |
| Cost of sales |  | 154,188 |  | 155,801 |  | $(1,613)$ | (1.0)\% |
| Gross profit |  | 169,640 |  | 189,870 |  | $(20,230)$ | (10.7)\% |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses |  | 148,463 |  | 168,636 |  | $(20,173)$ | (12.0)\% |
| Asset impairment charges |  | 572 |  | 2,075 |  | $(1,503)$ | (72.4)\% |
| Restructuring charges |  |  |  | 2,810 |  | $(2,810)$ | (100.0)\% |
| Income from operations |  | 20,605 |  | 16,349 |  | 4,256 | 26.0\% |
| Foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net |  | $(1,700)$ |  | (217) |  | $(1,483)$ | 683.4\% |
| Interest income |  | 164 |  | 196 |  | (32) | (16.3)\% |
| Interest expense |  | (234) |  | (260) |  | 26 | (10.0)\% |
| Other expense, net |  | (189) |  | (80) |  | (109) | 136.3\% |
| Income before income taxes |  | 18,646 |  | 15,988 |  | 2,658 | 16.6\% |
| Income tax expense |  | $(3,109)$ |  | $(2,562)$ |  | (547) | 21.4\% |
| Net income | \$ | 15,537 | \$ | 13,426 | \$ | 2,111 | 15.7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends on Series A convertible preferred stock | \$ | $(3,000)$ | \$ | $(3,000)$ | \$ |  | \% |
| Dividend equivalents on Series A convertible preferred shares related to redemption value accretion and beneficial conversion feature Net income attributable to common stockholders |  | (802) |  | (736) |  | (66) | 9.0\% |
|  | \$ | 11,735 | \$ | 9,690 | \$ | 2,045 | 21.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income per common share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.02 | 18.2\% |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.02 | 18.2\% |
| Gross margin |  | 52.4\% |  | 54.9\% |  | (250)bps | (4.6)\% |
| Operating margin |  | 6.4\% |  | 4.7\% |  | 170bps | 36.2\% |
| Footwear unit sales |  | 17,734 |  | 17,614 |  | 120 | 0.7\% |
| Average footwear selling price | \$ | 18.05 | \$ | 19.27 | \$ | (1.22) | (6.3)\% |

Revenues. Revenue decreased $\$ 21.8$ million or $6.3 \%$ in the three months ended June 30, 2016, compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in revenue was due to the net effect of: (i) a decrease of $\$ 23.7$ million or $6.9 \%$ associated with lower average selling prices, (ii) an increase of $\$ 2.3$ million or $0.7 \%$ due to higher sales volumes, and (iii) a decrease of $\$ 0.4$ million or $0.1 \%$ associated with unfavorable exchange rates. Sales volumes for the quarter were negatively impacted by approximately $\$ 3.0$ million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.

Cost of sales. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, cost of sales decreased $\$ 1.6$ million or $1.0 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in cost of sales was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 2.6$ million or $1.6 \%$ decrease due to lower average costs per unit sold, (ii) a $\$ 1.1$ million or $0.7 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, and (iii) a
$\$ 0.1$ million or $0.1 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation. The impact of sales volumes on cost of sales was reduced by approximately $\$ 2.0$ million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.

Gross profit. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, gross profit decreased $\$ 20.2$ million or $10.7 \%$ and gross margin decreased 250 basis points, to $52.4 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015 . The decrease in gross profit is due to the net
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impact of: (i) a $\$ 21.1$ million or $11.2 \%$ decrease due to the combined impact of lower average sale prices and a lower average cost of sales per unit, (ii) a $\$ 1.2$ million or $0.7 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 0.3$ million or $0.2 \%$ decrease due to the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation. Gross profit declined by approximately $\$ 1.0$ million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. $\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{\&} \boldsymbol{A}$ expenses decreased $\$ 20.2$ million or $12.0 \%$ during the three months ended June 30, 2016, compared to the same period in 2015. This decrease was primarily due to the combined impact of: (i) a $\$ 5.0$ million or $3.0 \%$ decrease associated with nonrecurring disbursements made to invalid vendors, (ii) a $\$ 4.8$ million or $2.8 \%$ decrease associated with lower bad debt expense, (iii) a $\$ 2.7$ million or $1.6 \%$ decrease associated with implementation costs for the new ERP system, (iv) a $\$ 2.2$ million or $1.3 \%$ decrease associated with reorganization charges, (v) a $\$ 1.8$ million or $1.1 \%$ decrease associated with legal settlements, and (vi) a $\$ 1.5$ million or $0.9 \%$ decrease associated with variable compensation. All other changes were individually significant.

During the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, our bad debt expense was $\$ 0.2$ million and $\$ 4.9$ million, respectively. Of this amount, our China operations recognized $\$ 0.2$ million and $\$ 3.8$ million in bad debt expense for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The decrease in bad debt expense associated with our China operations is primarily due to the implementation of a more restrictive credit policy for several China distributors in 2016, to reduce our exposure in that market.

In addition to these fluctuations, we have identified certain SG\&A expenses that affect the comparability or underlying business trends in our condensed consolidated financial statements. The following table summarizes these expenses and describes the additional drivers of the decrease above by reconciling our U.S. GAAP SG\&A expenses to non-GAAP SG\&A expenses.

## Reconciliation of Non-GAAP SG\&A for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

$\left.\begin{array}{l|rrr} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three Months Ended June 30, } \\ \text { 3016 }\end{array} \\ \text { (in thousands) }\end{array}\right)$
(1) Relates to severance expenses, bonuses, store closure costs, consulting fees and other expenses related to recent reorganization activities and our investment agreement with Blackstone.
(2) Represents legal expenses and other expenses for matters surrounding disbursements to invalid vendors that occurred in 2015.
(3) Represents operating expenses incurred in 2015 related to the implementation of the new ERP system.
(4) Relates primarily to legal expenses for matters surrounding California wage settlements that occurred in 2015.

Asset impairment charges. During the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, we incurred $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 2.1$ million, respectively, in retail asset impairment charges related to certain underperforming retail locations, primarily in our Americas
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segment, that were unlikely to generate sufficient cash flows to fully recover the carrying value of the stores assets over their remaining economic life.

Foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net. The line item entitled foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net is comprised of foreign currency gains and losses from the re-measurement and settlement of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in non-functional currencies and the impact of certain foreign currency derivative instruments. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, we recognized a net loss of $\$ 1.7$ million compared to a net loss of $\$ 0.2$ million on foreign currency transactions during the three months ended June 30, 2015.

Income tax expense. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, income tax expense increased $\$ 0.5$ million compared to the same period in 2015, resulting in a $0.7 \%$ increase in the effective tax rate. The increase in the effective tax rate was driven primarily by an increase in profitability in certain jurisdictions, compared to the same period in 2015. Also affecting the rate were losses in certain jurisdictions for which tax benefits cannot be recognized because management has determined that it is not more likely than not that the losses will be realized. Our effective tax rate of $16.7 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2016 differs from the federal U.S. statutory rate primarily due to differences in income tax rates between U.S. and foreign jurisdictions.

Revenue by Channel for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 <br> 2015 |  |  |  | Change |  |  | Constant Currency Change (1) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (in thousands) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \$ |  | \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wholesale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Americas | \$ | 54,620 | \$ | 65,250 | \$ | $(10,630)$ | (16.3)\% | \$ | $(9,845)$ | (15.1)\% |
| Asia Pacific |  | 74,640 |  | 92,824 |  | $(18,184)$ | (19.6)\% |  | $(19,692)$ | (21.2)\% |
| Europe |  | 36,192 |  | 30,807 |  | 5,385 | 17.5\% |  | 5,306 | 17.2\% |
| Other businesses |  | 225 |  | 327 |  | (102) | (31.2)\% |  | (104) | (31.8)\% |
| Total wholesale |  | 165,677 |  | 189,208 |  | $(23,531)$ | (12.4)\% |  | $(24,335)$ | (12.9)\% |
| Retail: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Americas |  | 57,786 |  | 58,309 |  | (523) | (0.9)\% |  | (397) | (0.7)\% |
| Asia Pacific |  | 41,319 |  | 45,898 |  | $(4,579)$ | (10.0)\% |  | $(4,498)$ | (9.8)\% |
| Europe |  | 13,950 |  | 14,522 |  | (572) | (3.9)\% |  | 223 | 1.5\% |
| Total retail |  | 113,055 |  | 118,729 |  | $(5,674)$ | (4.8)\% |  | $(4,672)$ | (3.9)\% |
| E-commerce: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Americas |  | 22,691 |  | 19,560 |  | 3,131 | 16.0\% |  | 3,196 | 16.3\% |
| Asia Pacific |  | 14,887 |  | 10,835 |  | 4,052 | 37.4\% |  | 4,423 | 40.8\% |
| Europe |  | 7,518 |  | 7,339 |  | 179 | 2.4\% |  | (17) | (0.2)\% |
| Total e-commerce |  | 45,096 |  | 37,734 |  | 7,362 | 19.5\% |  | 7,602 | 20.1\% |
| Total revenues | \$ | 323,828 | \$ | 345,671 | \$ | $(21,843)$ | (6.3)\% | \$ | $(21,405)$ | (6.2)\% |

(1) Reflects year over year change as if the current period results were in constant currency, which is a non-GAAP financial measure. See Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures above for more information.

## Revenues by channel

Wholesale channel revenues. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, revenues from our wholesale channel decreased $\$ 23.5$ million or $12.4 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015 . The decrease in wholesale channel revenue was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 20.9$ million or $11.0 \%$ decrease due to lower average selling prices (primarily due to lower average selling prices in the Asia Pacific segment), (ii) a $\$ 3.4$ million or $1.8 \%$ decrease in sales volumes (primarily due to lower sales volumes
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in the Americas segment), and (iii) a $\$ 0.8$ million or $0.4 \%$ increase due to the favorable impact of foreign currency translation. Sales volumes for the three months ended June 30, 2016 were negatively impacted by approximately $\$ 3.0$ million as a result of the sale of the Company s South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.

Retail channel revenues. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, revenues from our retail channel decreased $\$ 5.7$ million, or $4.8 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in retail channel revenues was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 6.8$ million or $5.7 \%$ decrease due to lower average selling prices, (ii) a $\$ 2.1$ million or $1.7 \%$ increase in sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 1.0$ million or $0.8 \%$ decrease due to the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation.

E-commerce channel revenues. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, revenues from our e-commerce channel increased $\$ 7.4$ million or $19.5 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015. The increase in e-commerce revenue was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 8.2$ million or $21.8 \%$ increase in sales volumes (primarily due to increased sales volumes in the Americas and Asia Pacific segments), (ii) a $\$ 0.6$ million or $1.7 \%$ decrease due to lower average selling prices, and (iii) a $\$ 0.2$ million or $0.6 \%$ decrease associated with the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation.

Future change in the average selling price per unit will be impacted by: (i) the mix of products sold, (ii) the sales channel (as we generally realize higher sales prices from our retail and e-commerce channels as compared to our wholesale channel), and (iii) the level of sales discounts and incentives we offer our customers.

The tables below illustrates the overall change in the number of our company-operated retail locations by type of store and reportable operating segment for the three months ended June 30, 2016, and the comparable store sales by operating segment for the same periods.

Change in Store Count by Type and Segment for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

|  | March 31, 2016 | Opened | Closed | June 30, 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company-operated retail locations |  |  |  |  |
| Type |  |  |  |  |
| Kiosk/store in store | 97 | 7 | 1 | 103 |
| Retail stores | 265 | 9 | 21 | 253 |
| Outlet stores | 188 | 15 | 1 | 202 |
| Total | 550 | 31 | 23 | 558 |
| Operating segment |  |  |  |  |
| Americas | 196 | 2 | 3 | 195 |
| Asia Pacific | 254 | 22 | 18 | 258 |
| Europe | 100 | 7(1) | 2 | 105 |
| Total | 550 | 31 | 23 | 558 |

(1) Includes retail locations acquired in Austria on March 31, 2016 as revenue associated with those locations began to be recognized in the three months ended June 30, 2016.

## Comparable Store Sales by Operating Segment for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

|  | Constant Currency (2) <br> Three months ended <br> June 30, 2016 | Constant Currency (2) <br> Three months ended <br> June 30, 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable store sales (retail only) (1) | $(2.5) \%$ | $(3.4) \%$ |
| Americas | $(6.8) \%$ | $(9.4) \%$ |
| Asia Pacific | $1.8 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Europe | $(3.4) \%$ | $(5.1) \%$ |
| Global |  |  |

The table below sets forth Direct to Consumer comparable stores sales, which includes our e-commerce and retail operating segments, for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

|  | Constant Currency (2) <br> Three months ended <br> June 30, 2016 | Constant Currency (2) <br> Three months ended <br> June 30, 2015 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Direct to Consumer comparable store sales (includes retail and e-commerce) (1) | $2.4 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Americas | $4.3 \%$ | $(0.6) \%$ |
| Asia Pacific | $1.6 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| Europe | $2.9 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Global | 2.9 |  |

(1) Comparable store status is determined on a monthly basis. Comparable store sales includes the revenue of stores that have been in operation for more than twelve months. Stores in which selling square footage has changed more than $15 \%$ as a result of a remodel, expansion or reduction are excluded until the thirteenth month in which they have comparable prior year sales. Temporarily closed stores are excluded from the comparable store sales calculation during the month of closure. Location closures in excess of three months are excluded until the thirteenth month post re-opening. E-commerce revenue is based on same site sales period over period.
(2) Reflects quarter over quarter change on a constant currency basis, which is a non-GAAP financial measure that restates current period results using prior year foreign exchange rates for the comparative period to enhance visibility of the underlying business trends, excluding the impact of foreign currency.
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The following table sets forth information related to our reportable operating business segments.

## Comparison of Revenue and Operating Income by Segment for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

|  | Three Months Ended June 30,2016 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { (in thousands) } \end{gathered}$ |  | \% | Constant Currency Change (4) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  | \% |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Americas | \$ | 135,097 | \$ | 143,119 |  |  | \$ | $(8,022)$ | (5.6)\% | \$ | $(7,046)$ | (4.9)\% |
| Asia Pacific (1) |  | 130,846 |  | 149,557 |  | $(18,711)$ | (12.5)\% |  | $(19,767)$ | (13.2)\% |
| Europe |  | 57,660 |  | 52,668 |  | 4,992 | 9.5\% |  | 5,512 | 10.5\% |
| Total segment revenues |  | 323,603 |  | 345,344 |  | $(21,741)$ | (6.3)\% |  | $(21,301)$ | (6.2)\% |
| Other businesses |  | 225 |  | 327 |  | (102) | (31.2)\% |  | (104) | (31.8)\% |
| Total consolidated revenues | \$ | 323,828 | \$ | 345,671 | \$ | $(21,843)$ | (6.3)\% | \$ | $(21,405)$ | (6.2)\% |
| Operating income: (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Americas | \$ | 18,015 | \$ | 21,771 | \$ | $(3,756)$ | (17.3)\% | \$ | $(3,654)$ | (16.8)\% |
| Asia Pacific |  | 34,533 |  | 41,262 |  | $(6,729)$ | (16.3)\% |  | $(7,418)$ | (18.0)\% |
| Europe |  | 8,437 |  | 6,105 |  | 2,332 | 38.2\% |  | 2,570 | 42.1\% |
| Total segment operating income |  | 60,985 |  | 69,138 |  | $(8,153)$ | (11.8)\% |  | $(8,502)$ | (12.3)\% |
| Reconciliation of total segment operating income to income before income taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other businesses |  | $(6,038)$ |  | $(6,890)$ |  | 852 | (12.4)\% |  | 902 | (13.1)\% |
| Unallocated corporate and other (3) |  | $(34,342)$ |  | $(45,899)$ |  | 11,557 | (25.2)\% |  | 11,521 | (25.1)\% |
| Total consolidated operating income (loss) |  | 20,605 |  | 16,349 |  | 4,256 | 26.0\% | \$ | 3,921 | 24.0\% |
| Foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net |  | $(1,700)$ |  | (217) |  | $(1,483)$ | 683.4\% |  |  |  |
| Interest income |  | 164 |  | 196 |  | (32) | (16.3)\% |  |  |  |
| Interest expense |  | (234) |  | (260) |  | 26 | (10.0)\% |  |  |  |
| Other income (expense), net |  | (189) |  | (80) |  | (109) | 136.3\% |  |  |  |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$ | 18,646 | \$ | 15,988 | \$ | 2,658 | 16.6\% |  |  |  |

(1) Revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2016 were negatively impacted by approximately $\$ 3.0$ million as a result of the sale of the Company s South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.
(2) Operating income for the three months ended June 30, 2015 was negatively impacted by restructuring charges of $\$ 1.3$ million and $\$ 0.4$ million for the Asia Pacific and Europe segments, respectively. As we completed our restructure efforts in 2015, we had no similar expenses in the 2016 periods presented.
(3) Includes a corporate component consisting primarily of corporate support and administrative functions, costs associated with share-based compensation, research and development, brand marketing, legal, restructuring, depreciation and amortization of corporate and
other assets not allocated to operating segments, and costs of the same nature related to certain corporate holding companies. The decrease in unallocated corporate and other operating loss of $\$ 11.6$ million for the three months ended June 30,2016 is primarily associated with: (i) various cost reductions related to the Company s 2015 restructuring efforts (including a $\$ 3.8$ million decrease in contract labor and professional fees and a $\$ 3.2$ million decrease in employee compensation) and (ii) a $\$ 5.0$ million decrease associated with nonrecurring disbursements made to invalid vendors.
(4) Reflects year over year change as if the current period results were in constant currency, which is a non-GAAP financial measure. See Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures above for more information.

## Americas Operating Segment

Revenue. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, revenues for our Americas segment decreased $\$ 8.0$ million or $5.6 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in the Americas segment revenue was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 7.4$ million or $5.2 \%$ decrease in sales volumes, (ii) a $\$ 1.0$ million or $0.7 \%$ decrease due to the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation, and (iii) a $\$ 0.4$ million or $0.3 \%$ increase in average selling prices.

Cost of Sales. During the three months ended June 30, 2016 cost of sales for our Americas segment decreased $\$ 4.3$ million or $6.1 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in the Americas segment cost of sales was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 3.6$ million or $5.2 \%$ decrease due to lower sales volumes and (ii) a $\$ 0.7$ million or $0.9 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation.

Gross Profit. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, gross profit for the Americas segment decreased $\$ 3.7$ million or $5.1 \%$ and gross margin increased 30 basis points, to $51.2 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015 . The decrease in the Americas segment s gross profit is due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 3.8$ million or $5.2 \%$ decrease due to lower sales volumes, (ii) a $\$ 0.4$ million or $0.5 \%$ increase due to the combined impact of increased average sale prices and higher average cost of sales per unit, and (iii) a $\$ 0.3$ million or $0.4 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation.

SG\&A. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, SG\&A for our Americas segment increased $\$ 0.2$ million, or $0.3 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015. There were no individually significant items associated with the change in SG\&A in the Americas segment.

## Asia Pacific Operating Segment

Revenue. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, revenues for our Asia Pacific segment decreased $\$ 18.7$ million or $12.5 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in the Asia Pacific segment revenue was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 14.6$ million or $9.7 \%$ decrease in average selling prices, (ii) a $\$ 5.2$ million or $3.5 \%$ decrease due to lower sales volumes, (iii) a $\$ 1.1$ million or $0.7 \%$ increase due to the favorable impact of foreign currency translation. Sales volumes for the three months ended June 30, 2016 were negatively impacted by approximately $\$ 3.0$ million as a result of the sale of the Company s South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.

Cost of Sales. During the three months ended June 30, 2016 cost of sales for our Asia Pacific segment decreased $\$ 4.3$ million or $7.6 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015 . The decrease in the Asia Pacific segment cost of sales was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 3.0$ million or $5.1 \%$ decrease due to a lower average costs per unit sold, (ii) a $\$ 1.9$ million or $3.5 \%$ decrease due to lower sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 0.6$ million or $1.0 \%$ increase due to the impact of foreign currency translation. The impact of sales volumes on cost of sales was reduced by approximately $\$ 1.7$ million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.

Gross Profit. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, gross profit for the Asia Pacific segment decreased $\$ 14.5$ million or $15.4 \%$ and gross margin decreased 210 basis points, to $60.6 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015 . The decrease in the Asia Pacific segment s gross profit is due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 11.7$ million or $12.4 \%$ decrease due to lower average sales price in excess of the lower average cost per unit, (ii) a $\$ 3.3$ million or $3.5 \%$ decrease due to lower sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 0.5$ million or $0.5 \%$ increase due to the impact of foreign currency translation. Gross profit declined by approximately $\$ 1.3$ million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.
$S G \& A$. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, SG\&A for our Asia Pacific segment decreased $\$ 6.0$ million or $11.7 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015 . The decrease in $\mathrm{SG} \& A$ in the Asia Pacific segment was primarily due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 4.5$ million or $8.8 \%$ decrease associated with bad debt expense, and (ii) a $\$ 2.5$ million or $5.0 \%$
increase associated with higher contract labor service fees, (iii) a $\$ 2.4$ million or $4.7 \%$ decrease associated with marketing expense, primarily due to a change in the timing of our marketing efforts, and (iv) a $\$ 1.7$ million or $3.3 \%$ decrease associated with rent expense.

## Europe Operating Segment

Revenue. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, revenues for our Europe segment increased $\$ 5.0$ million or $9.5 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015. The increase in the Europe segment revenue was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 11.4$ million or $21.7 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, (ii) a $\$ 5.9$ million or $11.2 \%$ decrease due to a lower average selling price, and (iii) a $\$ 0.5$ million or $1.0 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation.

Cost of Sales. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, cost of sales for our Europe segment increased $\$ 5.1$ million or $21.5 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015 . The increase in the Europe segment cost of sales was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 5.1$ million or $21.7 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, (ii) a $\$ 0.2$ million or $0.7 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation, and (iii) a $\$ 0.2$ million or $0.5 \%$ increase due to higher average cost per unit sold.

Gross Profit. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, gross profit for the Europe segment decreased $\$ 0.1$ million or $0.4 \%$ and gross margin decreased 490 basis points, to $50.1 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015 . The decrease in the Europe segment s gross profit is due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 6.3$ million or $21.7 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, (ii) a $\$ 6.0$ million or $20.9 \%$ decrease due to a decrease in the average selling price and slight increase in the average cost per unit sold, and (iii) a $\$ 0.4$ million or $1.2 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation.

SG\&A. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, SG\&A for our Europe segment decreased $\$ 1.2$ million, or 5.6\%, compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in SG\&A for the Europe segment was primarily a result of: (i) a $\$ 0.8$ million or $3.7 \%$ decrease associated with bad debt expense and (ii) a $\$ 0.5$ million or $2.1 \%$ decrease associated with salaries and wages.

## Results of Operations

## Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 to 2015

|  |  | Six Months E 16 <br> (in thou | ds, | 30, 015 per share | a | ange | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | \$ | 602,968 | \$ | 607,864 | \$ | $(4,896)$ | (0.8)\% |
| Cost of sales |  | 303,962 |  | 290,624 |  | 13,338 | 4.6\% |
| Gross profit |  | 299,006 |  | 317,240 |  | $(18,234)$ | (5.7)\% |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses |  | 263,393 |  | 294,705 |  | $(31,312)$ | (10.6)\% |
| Asset impairment charges |  | 765 |  | 2,075 |  | $(1,310)$ | (63.1)\% |
| Restructuring charges |  |  |  | 6,473 |  | $(6,473)$ | (100.0)\% |
| Income from operations |  | 34,848 |  | 13,987 |  | 20,861 | 149.1\% |
| Foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net |  | $(2,947)$ |  | 277 |  | $(3,224)$ | $(1,163.9) \%$ |
| Interest income |  | 380 |  | 484 |  | (104) | (21.5)\% |
| Interest expense |  | (477) |  | (479) |  | 2 | (0.4)\% |
| Other expense, net |  | (107) |  | (411) |  | 304 | (74.0)\% |
| Income before income taxes |  | 31,697 |  | 13,858 |  | 17,839 | 128.7\% |
| Income tax expense |  | $(6,014)$ |  | $(2,857)$ |  | $(3,157)$ | 110.5\% |
| Net income | \$ | 25,683 | \$ | 11,001 | \$ | 14,682 | 133.5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends on Series A convertible preferred stock | \$ | $(6,000)$ | \$ | $(5,833)$ | \$ | (167) | 2.9\% |
| Dividend equivalents on Series A convertible preferred shares related to redemption value accretion and beneficial conversion feature |  | $(1,587)$ |  | $(1,457)$ |  | (130) | 8.9\% |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$ | 18,096 | \$ | 3,711 | \$ | 14,385 | 387.6\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income per common share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.21 | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.17 | 425.0\% |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.16 | 400.0\% |
| Gross margin |  | 49.6\% |  | 52.2\% |  | (260)bps | (5.0)\% |
| Operating margin |  | 5.8\% |  | 2.3\% |  | 350bps | 152.2\% |
| Footwear unit sales |  | 34,001 |  | 32,474 |  | 1,527 | 4.7\% |
| Average footwear selling price | \$ | 17.48 | \$ | 18.38 | \$ | (0.90) | (4.9)\% |

Revenues. Revenue decreased $\$ 4.9$ million or $0.8 \%$ in the six months ended June 30, 2016, compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in revenue was due to the net effect of: (i) a $\$ 28.6$ million or $4.7 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, (ii) a $\$ 25.9$ million or $4.3 \%$ decrease associated with lower average selling prices, and (iii) a decrease of $\$ 7.6$ million or $1.2 \%$ associated with unfavorable exchange rates. Sales volumes for the six months ended June 30, 2016 were negatively impacted by approximately $\$ 3.0$ million as a result of the sale of the Company s South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.

Cost of sales. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, cost of sales increased $\$ 13.3$ million or $4.6 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015. The increase in cost of sales was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 13.7$ million or $4.7 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, (ii) a $\$ 4.5$ million or $1.5 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation, and
(iii) a $\$ 4.1$ million or $1.4 \%$ increase in average costs per unit sold. The impact of sales volumes on cost of sales was reduced by approximately $\$ 2.0$ million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.

Gross profit. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, gross profit decreased $\$ 18.2$ million or $5.7 \%$ and gross margin decreased 260 basis points, to $49.6 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015 . The decrease in gross profit is due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 30.0$ million or $9.4 \%$ decrease due to the combined impact of lower average sale prices and a higher average cost of sales per unit, (ii) a $\$ 14.9$ million or $4.7 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 3.1$ million or $1.0 \%$ decrease due to the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation. Gross profit declined by approximately $\$ 1.0$ million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. $\boldsymbol{S G \& A}$ expenses decreased $\$ 31.3$ million or $10.6 \%$ during the six months ended June 30,2016 , compared to the same period in 2015. This decrease was primarily due to the combined impact of: (i) a $\$ 8.4$ million or $2.8 \%$ decrease associated with implementation costs associated with the new ERP system, (ii) a $\$ 5.6$ million or $1.9 \%$ decrease associated with bad debt expense, (iii) a $\$ 5.0$ million or $1.7 \%$ decrease associated with nonrecurring disbursements made to invalid vendors, (iv) a $\$ 3.6$ million or $1.2 \%$ decrease associated with marketing expense, (v) a $\$ 3.6$ million or $1.2 \%$ decrease associated with reorganization charges, and (vi) a $\$ 1.8$ million or $0.6 \%$ decrease associated with legal settlements.

During the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, our bad debt expense was $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 4.9$ million, respectively. Of this amount, our China operations recognized $\$ 0.2$ million and $\$ 4.0$ million in bad debt expense for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The decrease in bad debt expense associated with our China operations is primarily due to the implementation of a more restrictive credit policy for several China distributors in 2016, to reduce our exposure in that market.

In addition to these fluctuations, we have identified certain SG\&A expenses that affect the comparability or underlying business trends in our condensed consolidated financial statements. The following table summarizes these expenses and describes the additional drivers of the increase above by reconciling our U.S. GAAP SG\&A expenses to non-GAAP SG\&A expenses:

## Reconciliation of Non-GAAP SG\&A for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, <br> (in thousands) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2015 |  |  |

(1) Relates to severance expenses, bonuses, store closure costs, consulting fees and other expenses related to recent reorganization activities and our investment agreement with Blackstone.
(2) Represents penalties and fees related to the settlement of customs audits.
(3) Represents legal expenses and other expenses for matters surrounding disbursements to invalid vendors that occurred in 2015.
(4) Represents operating expenses incurred in 2015 related to the implementation of the new ERP system.
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(5) Relates primarily to legal expenses for matters surrounding California wage settlements that occurred in 2015.

Asset impairment charges. During the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, we incurred $\$ 0.8$ million and $\$ 2.1$ million, respectively, in retail asset impairment charges related to certain underperforming retail locations, primarily in our Americas segment, that were unlikely to generate sufficient cash flows to fully recover the carrying value of the stores assets over their remaining economic life.

Foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net. The line item entitled foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net is comprised of foreign currency gains and losses from the re-measurement and settlement of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in non-functional currencies and the impact of certain foreign currency derivative instruments. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, we recognized a net loss of $\$ 2.9$ million compared to a net gain of $\$ 0.3$ million on foreign currency transactions during the six months ended June 30, 2015.

Income tax expense. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, income tax expense increased $\$ 3.2$ million compared to the same period in 2015, resulting in a $1.6 \%$ decrease in the effective tax rate. The decrease in the effective tax rate was driven primarily by a decrease in the relative proportion of losses in jurisdictions for which tax benefits cannot be recognized to global consolidated book income. Also affecting the rate were losses in certain jurisdictions for which tax benefits cannot be recognized because management has determined that it is not more likely than not that the losses will be realized. Our effective tax rate of $19.0 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2016 differs from the federal U.S. statutory rate primarily due to differences in income tax rates between U.S. and foreign jurisdictions.

## Revenue by Channel for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:



| Total revenues | $\$$ | 602,968 | $\$$ | 607,864 | $\$$ | $(4,896)$ | $(0.8) \%$ | $\$$ | 2,659 | $0.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(1) Reflects year over year change as if the current period results were in constant currency, which is a non-GAAP financial measure. See Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures above for more information.

## Revenues by channel

Wholesale channel revenues. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, revenues from our wholesale channel decreased $\$ 11.5$ million or $3.1 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015 . The decrease in wholesale channel revenue was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 21.3$ million or $5.7 \%$ decrease due to lower average selling prices (primarily due to declining prices in the Asia Pacific segment), (ii) a $\$ 14.1$ million or $3.8 \%$ increase in sales volumes (primarily due to higher sales volumes in the Americas segment), and (iii) a $\$ 4.3$ million or $1.2 \%$ decrease due to the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation. Sales volumes for the six months ended June 30, 2016 were negatively impacted by approximately $\$ 3.0$ million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.

Retail channel revenues. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, revenues from our retail channel decreased $\$ 6.2$ million, or $3.4 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015 . The decrease in retail channel revenues was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 6.9$ million or $3.8 \%$ decrease due to lower average selling prices, (ii) a $\$ 3.3$ million or $1.8 \%$ increase in sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 2.6$ million or $1.4 \%$ decrease due to the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation.

E-commerce channel revenues. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, revenues from our e-commerce channel increased $\$ 12.9$ million or $23.4 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015 . The increase in e-commerce revenue was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 13.8$ million or $25.1 \%$ increase in sales volumes (primarily due to increased sales volumes in the Americas and Asia Pacific segments), (ii) a $\$ 0.6$ million or $1.2 \%$ decrease associated with the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation, and (iii) a $\$ 0.3$ million or $0.5 \%$ decrease due to lower average selling prices.

Future change in the average selling price per unit will be impacted by: (i) the mix of products sold, (ii) the sales channel (as we generally realize higher sales prices from our retail and e-commerce channels as compared to our wholesale channel), and (iii) the level of sales discounts and incentives we offer our customers.

The table below illustrates the overall change in the number of our company-operated retail locations by type of store and reportable operating segment for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and comparable store sales by operating segment for the same periods.

Change in Store Count by Type and Segment for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

|  | December 31, |  | Opened | Closed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company-operated retail locations | 2015 |  |  | June 30, 2016 |


| Type |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Kiosk/store in store | 98 | 9 | 4 | 103 |
| Retail stores | 275 | 10 | 32 | 253 |
| Outlet stores | 186 | 18 | 2 | 202 |
| Total | 559 | 37 | 38 | 558 |
| Operating segment | 196 | 4 | 195 |  |
| Americas | 261 | 3 | 30 | 258 |
| Asia Pacific | 102 | 27 | 4 | 105 |
| Europe | 559 | 37 | 38 | 558 |
| Total |  |  | 3 |  |

(1) Includes retail locations acquired in Austria on March 31, 2016 as revenue associated with those locations began to be recognized in the three months ended June 30, 2016.
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The table below sets forth our comparable store sales by reportable operating segment for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

## Comparable Store Sales by Operating Segment for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

|  | Constant Currency (2) <br> Six Months Ended <br> June 30, 2016 | Constant Currency (2) <br> Six Months Ended <br> June 30, 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable store sales (retail only) (1) | $(0.5) \%$ | $(4.3) \%$ |
| Americas | $(3.7) \%$ | $(9.4) \%$ |
| Asia Pacific | $3.9 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Europe | $(1.0) \%$ | $(5.2) \%$ |
| Global |  |  |

The table below sets forth Direct to Consumer comparable stores sales, which includes our e-commerce and retail operating segments, for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

|  | Constant Currency (2) <br> Six Months Ended <br> June 30, 2016 | Constant Currency (2) <br> Six Months Ended <br> June 30, 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct to Consumer comparable store sales (includes retail and e-commerce) (1) | $5.9 \%$ | 0.9 |
| Americas | $4.8 \%$ | $(2.3) \%$ |
| Asia Pacific | $4.4 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ |
| Europe | $5.4 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Global |  |  |

(1) Comparable store status is determined on a monthly basis. Comparable store sales includes the revenue of stores that have been in operation for more than twelve months. Stores in which selling square footage has changed more than $15 \%$ as a result of a remodel, expansion or reduction are excluded until the thirteenth month in which they have comparable prior year sales. Temporarily closed stores are excluded from the comparable store sales calculation during the month of closure. Location closures in excess of three months are excluded until the thirteenth month post re-opening. E-commerce revenue is based on same site sales period over period.
(2) Reflects period over period change on a constant currency basis, which is a non-GAAP financial measure that restates current period results using prior year foreign exchange rates for the comparative period to enhance visibility of the underlying business trends, excluding the impact of foreign currency.
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The following table sets forth information related to our reportable operating business segments.

## Comparison of Revenue and Operating Income by Segment for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Change |  |  | Constant Currency Change (4) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| Revenues: (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Americas | \$ | 259,227 | \$ | 248,888 | \$ | 10,339 | 4.2\% | \$ | 13,632 | 5.5\% |
| Asia Pacific |  | 235,347 |  | 249,332 |  | $(13,985)$ | (5.6)\% |  | $(11,888)$ | (4.8)\% |
| Europe |  | 107,997 |  | 109,092 |  | $(1,095)$ | (1.0)\% |  | 1,071 | 1.0\% |
| Total segment revenues |  | 602,571 |  | 607,312 |  | $(4,741)$ | (0.8)\% |  | 2,815 | 0.5\% |
| Other businesses |  | 397 |  | 552 |  | (155) | (28.1)\% |  | (156) | (28.3)\% |
| Total consolidated revenues | \$ | 602,968 | \$ | 607,864 | \$ | $(4,896)$ | (0.8)\% | \$ | 2,659 | 0.4\% |
| Operating income: (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Americas | \$ | 34,592 | \$ | 37,149 | \$ | $(2,557)$ | (6.9)\% | \$ | $(2,285)$ | (6.2)\% |
| Asia Pacific |  | 60,383 |  | 58,597 |  | 1,786 | 3.0\% |  | 1,677 | 2.9\% |
| Europe |  | 14,960 |  | 14,343 |  | 617 | 4.3\% |  | 916 | 6.4\% |
| Total segment operating income |  | 109,935 |  | 110,089 |  | (154) | (0.1)\% |  | 308 | 0.3\% |
| Reconciliation of total segment operating income to income before income taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other businesses |  | $(12,111)$ |  | $(12,294)$ |  | 183 | (1.5)\% |  | 84 | (0.7)\% |
| Unallocated corporate and other (3) |  | $(62,976)$ |  | $(83,808)$ |  | 20,832 | (24.9)\% |  | 20,825 | (24.8)\% |
| Total consolidated operating income (loss) |  | 34,848 |  | 13,987 |  | 20,861 | 149.1\% | \$ | 21,217 | 151.7\% |
| Foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net |  | $(2,947)$ |  | 277 |  | $(3,224)$ | $(1,163.9) \%$ |  |  |  |
| Interest income |  | 380 |  | 484 |  | (104) | (21.5)\% |  |  |  |
| Interest expense |  | (477) |  | (479) |  | 2 | (0.4)\% |  |  |  |
| Other income (expense), net |  | (107) |  | (411) |  | 304 | (74.0)\% |  |  |  |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$ | 31,697 | \$ | 13,858 | \$ | 17,839 | 128.7\% |  |  |  |

(1) Revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2016 were negatively impacted by approximately $\$ 3.0$ million as a result of the sale of the Company s South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.
(2) Operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2015 was negatively impacted by restructuring charges of $\$ 0.5$ million, $\$ 3.0$ million, and $\$ 1.5$ million for the Americas, Asia Pacific, and Europe segments, respectively. As we completed our restructure efforts in 2015, we had no similar expenses in the 2016 periods presented.
(3) Includes a corporate component consisting primarily of corporate support and administrative functions, costs associated with share-based compensation, research and development, brand marketing, legal, restructuring, depreciation and amortization of corporate and
other assets not allocated to operating segments, and costs of the same nature related to certain corporate holding companies. The decrease in unallocated corporate and other operating loss of $\$ 20.8$ million for the six months ended June 30,2016 is primarily associated with: (i) various cost reductions related to the Company s 2015 restructuring efforts (including a $\$ 4.4$ million decrease in employee compensation a $\$ 4.1$ million decrease in contract labor and professional fees) and (ii) a $\$ 5.0$ million decrease associated with nonrecurring disbursements made to invalid vendors. No other items were individually significant.
(4) Reflects year over year change as if the current period results were in constant currency, which is a non-GAAP financial measure. See Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures above for more information.

## Americas Operating Segment

Revenue. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, revenues for our Americas segment increased $\$ 10.3$ million or $4.2 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015. The increase in the Americas segment revenue was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 20.8$ million or $8.3 \%$ increase in sales volumes, (ii) a $\$ 7.2$ million or $2.8 \%$ decrease in average selling prices, and (iii) a $\$ 3.3$ million or $1.3 \%$ decrease due to the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation.

Cost of Sales. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, cost of sales for our Americas segment increased \$13.3 million or $10.8 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015. The increase in the Americas segment cost of sales was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 10.2$ million or $8.3 \%$ increase in sales volumes, (ii) a $\$ 5.5$ million or $4.4 \%$ increase due to higher average costs per unit, and (iii) a $\$ 2.4$ million or $1.9 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation.

Gross Profit. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, gross profit for the Americas segment decreased $\$ 2.9$ million or $2.3 \%$ and gross margin decreased 310 basis points, to $47.7 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015 . The decrease in the Americas segment gross profit is due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 12.6$ million or $9.9 \%$ decrease due to the combined impact of decreased average sale prices and higher average cost of sales per unit, (ii) a $\$ 10.6$ million or $8.3 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 0.9$ million or $0.7 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation.

SG\&A. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, SG\&A for our Americas segment increased $\$ 0.1$ million, or $0.1 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015. There were no individually significant items associated with the change in SG\&A in the Americas segment.

## Asia Pacific Operating Segment

Revenue. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, revenues for our Asia Pacific segment decreased $\$ 14.0$ million or $5.6 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in the Asia Pacific segment revenue was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 16.1$ million or $6.5 \%$ decrease in average selling prices, (ii) a $\$ 4.2$ million or $1.7 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, (iii) a $\$ 2.1$ million or $0.8 \%$ decrease due to the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation. Sales volumes for the six months ended June 30, 2016 were negatively impacted by approximately $\$ 3.0$
million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.

Cost of Sales. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, cost of sales for our Asia Pacific segment decreased \$4.1 million or $4.0 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in the Asia Pacific segment cost of sales was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 4.8$ million or $4.7 \%$ decrease due to a lower average costs per unit sold, (ii) a $\$ 1.7$ million or $1.7 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 1.0$ million or $1.0 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation. The impact of sales volumes on cost of sales was reduced by approximately $\$ 1.7$ million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.

Gross Profit. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, gross profit for the Asia Pacific segment decreased $\$ 9.9$ million or $6.7 \%$ and gross margin decreased 70 basis points, to $58.3 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015 . The decrease in the Asia Pacific segment s gross profit is due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 11.3$ million or $7.7 \%$ decrease due to lower average sales price in excess of the lower average cost per unit, (ii) a $\$ 2.5$ million or $1.7 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 1.1$ million or $0.7 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation. Gross profit declined by approximately $\$ 1.3$ million as a result of the sale of our South Africa operations, which was completed on April 15, 2016.

SG\&A. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, SG\&A for our Asia Pacific segment decreased $\$ 8.2$ million or $9.6 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in SG\&A in the Asia Pacific segment was primarily due to the net impact
of: (i) a $\$ 5.5$ million or $6.5 \%$ decrease associated with bad debt expense, and (ii) a $\$ 3.8$ million or $4.5 \%$ decrease associated with salary expense which was largely offset by, (iii) a $\$ 3.2$ million or $3.8 \%$ increase associated with contract labor, and (iv) a $\$ 2.4$ million or $2.9 \%$ decrease associated with rent expense.

## Europe Operating Segment

Revenue. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, revenues for our Europe segment decreased $\$ 1.1$ million or $1.0 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in the Europe segment revenue was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 3.3$ million or $3.1 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, (ii) a $\$ 2.2$ million or $2.1 \%$ decrease due to a lower average selling prices, and (iii) a $\$ 2.2$ million or $2.0 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation.

Cost of Sales. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, cost of sales for our Europe segment increased $\$ 2.8$ million or $5.2 \%$ compared to the same period in 2015 . The increase in the Europe segment cost of sales was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 2.3$ million or $4.1 \%$ increase due to higher average costs (ii) a $\$ 1.6$ million or $3.1 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 1.1$ million or $2.0 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation.

Gross Profit. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, gross profit for the Europe segment decreased $\$ 3.9$ million or $7.0 \%$ and gross margin decreased 310 basis points, to $47.7 \%$, compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in the Europe segment s gross profit is due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 4.5$ million or $8.1 \%$ decrease due to a lower average selling price and increase in the average unit cost, (ii) a $\$ 1.7$ million or $3.1 \%$ increase due to higher sales volumes, and (iii) a $\$ 1.1$ million or $2.0 \%$ decrease due to the impact of foreign currency translation.

SG\&A. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, SG\&A for our Europe segment decreased $\$ 2.1$ million, or 5.5\%, compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in SG\&A for the Europe segment was primarily a result of: (i) a $\$ 1.3$ million or $3.5 \%$ decrease associated with salary and labor expense, and (ii) a $\$ 1.1$ million or $2.7 \%$ decrease associated with rent expense.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 are summarized as follows:

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { (in thousands) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ | 19,818 | \$ | $(3,051)$ | \$ | 22,869 |
| Cash used in investing activities |  | $(11,258)$ |  | $(9,141)$ |  | $(2,117)$ |
| Cash used in financing activities |  | $(7,443)$ |  | $(50,616)$ |  | 43,173 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash |  | 2,204 |  | $(7,425)$ |  | 9,629 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 3,321 | \$ | $(70,233)$ | \$ | 73,554 |

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, cash and cash equivalents increased $\$ 3.3$ million to $\$ 146.7$ million compared to $\$ 143.3$ million at December 31, 2015. The primary drivers of this increase include the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 47.1$ million decrease in cash associated with changes in outstanding accounts receivable, net of allowances, primarily related to seasonal increase in sales volumes, (ii) a $\$ 25.7$ million increase in cash from net income, (iii) a $\$ 17.0$ million increase associated non-cash depreciation and amortization expense, (iv) a $\$ 15.6$ million increase associated with higher accrued expenses and other liabilities, (v) a $\$ 10.3$ million decrease associated with cash paid for property and equipment, (vi) a $\$ 8.9$ million increase associated with higher accounts payable, (vii) a $\$ 7.5$ million decrease associated with higher prepaid expenses and other assets,
(viii) a $\$ 6.0$ million decrease in cash due to dividend payments on our Series A Preferred Stock, and (ix) a $\$ 5.9$ million increase associated with share based compensation.

Operating Activities. Cash from operating activities increased $\$ 22.9$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2015. The increase in cash and cash equivalents from operating activities is primarily due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 34.5$ million decrease associated with the change in accounts payable, (ii) a $\$ 33.1$ million increase associated with the change in accounts receivable, (iii) a $\$ 18.0$ million increase associated with the change in inventory, and (iv) a $\$ 14.7$ million increase in cash due to higher net income for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2015.

Investing Activities. The $\$ 2.1$ million increase in cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2015 was due to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 6.1$ million increase in cash paid to purchase property, plant, and equipment, (ii) a $\$ 2.9$ million decrease in cash paid for intangible assets, (ii) a $\$ 2.4$ million increase in cash proceeds from the disposal of property and equipment, (iii) a $\$ 1.3$ million decrease associated with changes in restricted cash.

Financing Activities. The $\$ 43.2$ million decrease in net cash used in financing activities was primarily attributable to the net impact of: (i) a $\$ 42.7$ million decrease in cash spent on the purchase of treasury stock, compared to the same quarter of the prior year, (ii) a $\$ 29.6$ million net increase in proceeds from bank borrowings, and (iii) a $\$ 28.0$ million increase in repayments of bank borrowings and capital lease obligations.

## Working Capital

As of June 30, 2016, accounts receivable increased by $\$ 50.5$ million compared to December 31, 2015. The increase in accounts receivable is primarily attributable to the higher seasonal sales volumes we generally experience in the first half of the year as compared to the last half of the year. As of June 30, 2016, we had total reserves of $\$ 55.9$ million compared to total reserves of $\$ 49.4$ million on December 31, 2015. The increase in reserves was primarily associated with the increase in outstanding accounts receivable. As of June 30, 2016, accrued expenses and other liabilities increased $\$ 12.8$ million and accounts payable increased $\$ 10.1$ million compared to December 31, 2015, primarily due to increased product purchases for inventory, associated with the seasonal increase in sales expected during the spring and summer months. Other receivables also increased by $\$ 7.0$ million compared to December 31, 2015.

Consistent with prior years, we anticipate our net working capital needs will decrease throughout the remainder of 2016, which we believe will result in positive cash flows from operations for the remaining quarters of 2016.

[^0]Association ( PNC ) as lead lender, which was amended on June 13, 2016, that provides us with up to $\$ 80.0$ million in borrowing capacity and matures in February 2021 (see Revolving Credit Facility below). As of June 30, 2016, we have zero borrowings on the revolving credit facility and we have $\$ 1.3$ million of outstanding letters of credit resulting in $\$ 78.7$ million of available credit, for future financing needs, as of June 30 , 2016.

## Stock Repurchase Plan Authorizations

We continue to evaluate options to maximize the returns on our cash and to maintain an appropriate capital structure, including, among other alternatives, repurchases of our common stock.

On December 26, 2013, the Board approved the repurchase of up to $\$ 350.0$ million of our common stock, under the 2013 stock repurchase plan, which replaced all of our prior stock repurchase authorizations. The number, price, structure and timing of the repurchases will be at our sole discretion and future repurchases will be evaluated by us depending on market conditions, liquidity needs, restrictions under our Credit Agreement, and other factors. Share repurchases may be made in the open market
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or in privately negotiated transactions. The repurchase authorization does not have an expiration date and does not oblige us to acquire any particular amount of our common stock. The Board may suspend, modify, or terminate the repurchase program at any time without prior notice.

As of June 30, 2016, we had $\$ 118.7$ million of remaining common stock repurchase authorizations under our 2013 stock repurchase plan. During the three months and six months ended June 30, 2016, we had no repurchases. Future stock repurchases are subject to the terms of our Credit Agreement, which allows for a maximum of $\$ 50.0$ million in stock repurchases per year.

## Senior Revolving Credit Facility

In December 2011, we entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (as amended, the Credit Agreement ), with the lenders named therein and PNC Bank, National Association ( PNC ), as a lender and administrative agent for the lenders. On February 18, 2016, we entered into the Eleventh Amendment to the Credit Agreement which, primarily: (i) extended the maturity date to February 2021, (ii) resized the borrowing capacity of the facility to $\$ 75.0$ million, (iii) amended certain definitions of the financial covenants to become more favorable to the Company, (iv) set the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio to 1.00 to 1.00 through the period ended June 30, 2016 and 1.10 to 1.00 thereafter, (v) set the maximum leverage ratio to 2.50 to 1.00 through the period ended June 30,2016 and 2.00 to 1.00 thereafter, (vi) allows up to $\$ 50.0$ million in stock repurchases to be made each fiscal year, subject to certain restrictions, and (vii) limited certain capital expenditures and commitments to an aggregate of $\$ 50.0$ million per year. The Eleventh Amendment also changed the variable lending rates. For domestic base rate loans, including swing loans, the interest rate is equal to a daily base rate plus a margin ranging from $0.50 \%$ to $0.75 \%$ based on certain conditions. For domestic LIBOR rate loans, the interest rate is equal to a LIBOR rate plus a margin ranging from $1.50 \%$ to $1.75 \%$ based on certain conditions.

On June 13, 2016, we entered into the Twelfth Amendment to the Credit Agreement, which primarily increased the borrowing capacity of the facility from $\$ 75.0$ million to $\$ 80.0$ million. Under the terms of the Credit Agreement, the above financial covenants are only applicable when average borrowings exceed $\$ 20.0$ million over a 30 -day period, starting on the 15 th day of the last month of each quarter.

As of June 30, 2016, we were in compliance with each of our financial covenants under the Credit Agreement, with actual calculations as follows: (i) the fixed charge coverage ratio (which is calculated as adjusted EBITDA plus fixed charges before tax for a rolling four quarters divided by fixed charges before tax plus interest for a rolling four quarters) was 3.41 to 1.00 , compared to the minimum allowable amount of 1.00 to 1.00 (ii) the leverage ratio (which is calculated as a consolidated indebtedness divided by adjusted EBITDA for a rolling four quarters) was 0.23 to 1.00 , compared to the maximum allowable amount of 2.50 to 1.00 (iii) stock repurchases were zero, and (iv) capital expenditures and commitments were $\$ 24.0$ million. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, the weighted average/effective interest rate for outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement was $2.75 \%$ and $3.15 \%$, respectively. The Company currently anticipates remaining in compliance with each of its debt covenant obligations for the foreseeable future.

As of both June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had no outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement. As of both June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had outstanding letters of credit of $\$ 1.3$ million, which were reserved against the borrowing base under the terms of the Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had $\$ 78.7$ million and $\$ 73.7$ million, respectively, of available borrowing capacity. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, Crocs capitalized less than $\$ 0.1$ million and $\$ 0.6$ million, respectively, in fees and third party costs as deferred financing costs associated with the Credit Agreement and the negotiation of a new debt financing agreement that has not yet been executed. The short-term portion of these fees are recorded in the Prepaid expenses and other assets account and the long-term portion are recorded in the Other assets accounts.
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## Asia Pacific Revolving Credit Facility

On August 28, 2015, a we entered into a revolving credit facility agreement with HSBC Bank (China) Company Limited, Shanghai Branch ( HSBC ) as the lender. The revolving credit facility enables us to borrow uncommitted dual currency revolving loan facilities up to RMB 40.0 million, or the USD equivalent, and import facilities up to RMB 60.0 million, or the USD equivalent, with a combined facility limit of RMB 60.0 million. The revolving credit facility can be canceled or suspended at any time at the discretion of the lender and contains provisions requiring us to maintain compliance with certain restrictive covenants. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the revolving credit facility remained suspended at the discretion of the lender and we had no outstanding borrowings or borrowings available under the revolving credit facility.

## Long-term Bank Borrowings

On December 10, 2012, we entered into a Master Installment Payment Agreement ( Master IPA ) with PNC in which PNC financed the Company s implementation of a new ERP system, which began in October 2012 and was substantially completed in early 2015. The terms of each note payable, under the Master IPA, consist of a fixed interest rate and payment terms based on the amount borrowed and the timing of activity throughout the implementation of the ERP system. The Master IPA is subject to cross-default, cross-termination clauses, and is coterminous with the Credit Agreement.

As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 Crocs had $\$ 3.7$ million and $\$ 6.4$ million, respectively, of debt outstanding under five separate notes payable, of which $\$ 3.4$ million and $\$ 4.8$ million, respectively, represent current installments. As of June 30, 2016, the notes bear interest rates ranging from $2.45 \%$ to $2.79 \%$ and maturities ranging from September 2016 to September 2017.

## Capital Assets

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, net capital assets acquired, inclusive of intangible assets, were $\$ 12.8$ million compared to $\$ 9.7$ million during the six months ended June 30, 2015. As of June 30, 2016, Crocs has committed additional purchases of capital assets through December 31, 2016 of approximately $\$ 14.1$ million.

## Repatriation of Cash

We have cash balances located in various countries and denominations associated with our international operations. Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates impact the results of our operations and cash positions. Future fluctuations in foreign currencies may have a material impact on our cash flows and capital resources. Cash balances held in foreign countries may have additional restrictions and covenants that could adversely impact our liquidity and our ability to timely access and transfer cash balances between entities.

## Edgar Filing: Crocs, Inc. - Form 10-Q

We generally consider unremitted earnings of subsidiaries operating outside of the U.S. to be indefinitely reinvested; however, our Board has approved a foreign cash repatriation strategy. As part of this strategy, we repatriated approximately $\$ 11.8$ million of current earnings during the six months ended June 30, 2016 without a tax impact. Further cash repatriation will depend on future cash requirements in the U.S. As of June 30, 2016, we maintain approximately $\$ 128.0$ million of foreign earnings for which tax has previously been provided that has not yet been repatriated.

Most of the cash balances held outside of the U.S. could be repatriated to the U.S., but under current law, would be subject to U.S. federal and state income taxes less applicable foreign tax credits. In some countries, repatriation of certain foreign balances is restricted by local laws and could have adverse tax consequences if we were to move the cash to another country. Certain countries have monetary laws, which may limit our ability to utilize cash resources in those countries for operations in other countries. These limitations may affect our ability to fully utilize our cash resources for needs in the U.S. or other countries and could adversely affect our liquidity. As of June 30, 2016, we held $\$ 125.9$ million of our total $\$ 146.7$ million cash balance in international locations. This cash is primarily used for the ongoing operations of the business in the locations in which the cash is held. Of the $\$ 125.9$ million, $\$ 0.3$ million could potentially be restricted, as described above. If the remaining
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$\$ 125.6$ million were immediately repatriated to the U.S., no additional tax expense would be incurred as all amounts are currently provided for in our condensed consolidated statement of operations.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We had no material off-balance sheet arrangements as of June 30, 2016.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, outside of discussions regarding constant currency and non-GAAP SG\&A expenses, is based on the condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, sales and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We evaluate our assumptions and estimates on an on-going basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. For a detailed discussion of our critical accounting policies, please refer to our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. There were no changes in our critical accounting policies since December 31, 2015.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1-Organization \& Basis of Presentation in the accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements for recently adopted and issued accounting pronouncements.

## ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

## Interest Rate Risk

We centrally manage our debt and investment portfolios considering investment opportunities and risks, tax consequences and overall financing strategies. Our exposure to market risk includes interest rate fluctuations in connection with our Credit Agreement and certain financial instruments. In addition to the Credit Agreement, we have incurred short-term indebtedness associated with certain vendor financing arrangements as well as certain short- and long-term indebtedness related to the implementation of our ERP system. Borrowings under these debt instruments bear fixed interest rates and therefore, do not have the potential for market risk.

Borrowings under our Credit Agreement bear interest at a variable rate. For domestic base rate loans, the interest rate is equal to the highest of (i) the daily federal funds open rate plus $0.50 \%$, (ii) prime rate, and (iii) a daily LIBOR rate plus $1.00 \%$. In each case there is an additional margin ranging from $0.50 \%$ to $0.75 \%$ based on certain conditions. For domestic LIBOR rate loans, the interest rate is equal to a LIBOR rate plus a margin ranging from $1.50 \%$ to $1.75 \%$ based on certain conditions. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are therefore subject to risk based upon prevailing market interest rates. Interest rates fluctuate as a result of many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors that are beyond our control. As of both June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, there were zero borrowings, respectively, under the Credit Agreement and therefore, we are currently not exposed to market interest rate risk.

Borrowings under the HSBC revolving credit facility bear interest at a variable rate. For loans denominated in USD, the interest rate is $2.10 \%$ per annum plus LIBOR for three months or any other period as may be determined by HSBC at the end of each interest period. For loans denominated in RMB, interest equals the one year benchmark lending rate effective on the loan drawdown date set forth by the People s Bank of China with a $10 \%$ mark-up and is payable on the maturity date of the related loan. Borrowings under the HSBC revolving credit facility are therefore subject to risk based upon prevailing market interest rates. Interest rates fluctuate as a result of many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and
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international economic and political considerations, and other factors that are beyond our control. As of both June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 , there were no borrowings under the revolving credit facility as the facility was suspended. Therefore, we are currently not exposed to market interest rate risk under the HSBC revolving credit facility.

We additionally hold cash equivalents including certificate of deposits, time deposits, and money market funds. Interest income generated from these cash equivalents will fluctuate with the general level of interest rates. As of June 30, 2016, we held $\$ 7.0$ million in cash equivalents subject to variable interest rates. If the prevailing market interest rates relative to these investments increased or decreased by $10 \%$ during the three months ended June 30, 2016, interest income would have increased or decreased by less than $\$ 1.0$ million.

## Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

As a global company, we have significant revenues and costs denominated in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar. We pay the majority of expenses attributable to our foreign operations in the functional currency of the country in which such operations are conducted and pay the majority of our overseas third party manufacturers in U.S. Dollars. Our ability to sell our products in foreign markets and the U.S. Dollar value of the sales made in foreign currencies can be significantly influenced by foreign currency fluctuations. Fluctuations in the value of foreign currencies relative to the U.S. Dollar could result in downward price pressure for our products and increase losses from currency exchange rates. An increase or decrease of $1 \%$ in value of the U.S. Dollar relative to foreign currencies would have increased or decreased loss before taxes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 by approximately $\$ 0.8$ million and $\$ 1.0$ million, respectively. The volatility of the applicable exchange rates is dependent on many factors that cannot be forecasted with reliable accuracy. In the event our foreign sales and purchases increase and are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar, our operating results may be affected by fluctuations in the exchange rate of currencies we receive for such sales. See Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, a discussion of the impact of foreign exchange rate variances experienced for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015.

We transact business in various foreign countries and are therefore exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk inherent in revenues, expenses, and monetary assets and liabilities denominated in non-functional currencies. We have entered into foreign currency exchange forward contracts and currency swap derivative instruments to selectively protect against volatility in the value of non-functional currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities.

The following table summarizes the notional amounts of the outstanding foreign currency exchange contracts as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015. The notional amounts of the derivative financial instruments shown below are denominated in their U.S. Dollar equivalents and represent the amount of all contracts of the foreign currency specified. These notional values do not necessarily represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, therefore, are not a direct measure of our exposure to the foreign currency exchange risks.

Edgar Filing: Crocs, Inc. - Form 10-Q
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We perform a sensitivity analysis to determine the effects that market risk exposures may have on the fair values of our forward foreign currency exchange contracts. To perform the sensitivity analysis, we assess the risk of loss in fair values from the effect of hypothetical changes in foreign currency exchange rates. This analysis assumes a like movement by the foreign currencies in our hedge portfolio against the U.S. Dollar. As of June 30, 2016, a $10 \%$ appreciation or depreciation of the U.S. Dollar against the exchange rates for foreign currencies under contract would result in a net increase or decrease, respectively, in the fair value of our derivative portfolio of approximately $\$ 17.2$ million.

## ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

## Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company has evaluated, under the supervision of the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2016. This is done to ensure information the Company is required to disclose in reports that are filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms.

Management concluded our disclosure controls and procedures over financial reporting were ineffective as of June 30, 2016, as management identified material weaknesses in our disclosure controls and procedures related to our internal controls over financial reporting, as further described below. The material weaknesses were first identified in connection with our evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2015, and such weaknesses have not been remediated as of June 30, 2016. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company $s$ annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.
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#### Abstract

Management identified the following control deficiencies that constitute individually, or in the aggregate, material weaknesses in our disclosure control over financial reporting as disclosed in Item 9A. Controls and Procedures of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015:


Financial Close Process. We identified deficiencies related to the operating effectiveness of controls over the completeness and accuracy of our review and approval of certain journal entries and period end adjusting entries. In addition, we did not consistently maintain or perform on a timely basis our control procedures over certain account analysis, data integrity, documentation, review, and approval of year-end accounting entries to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the entries recorded. We also identified deficiencies regarding the review and approval of our income tax entries related to our deferred income tax accounts and the related impacts on income tax expense or benefit.

We also lacked a sufficient balance of personnel commensurate with our financial close reporting requirements. If not corrected, these controls could impact the accuracy and completeness of our financial statements.

Inventory Accounting Controls. We identified deficiencies related to the operating effectiveness of our controls to ensure the existence, valuation, accuracy, and completeness of inventory on hand. Specifically, our physical inventory procedures were not performed with sufficient consistency to ensure the underlying quantities were accurate. Additionally, our cost absorption control procedures were not consistently maintained or performed on a timely basis in accordance with Company policy, to ensure inventory was properly valued. If not corrected, these ineffective controls could impact the accuracy and completeness of our cost of sales and inventory balances.

Notwithstanding the material weaknesses, management has concluded the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q present fairly, in all material aspects, the Company s financial position, results of operations and cash flows for each of the periods presented.

## Plans for Remediation of Material Weaknesses

Management has formed a task force to ensure the timely remediation of the identified material weaknesses. The task force includes key internal resources as well as third party service providers with expertise in internal control remediation. Management has directed our internal resources and our third party service providers to work in partnership to execute the following initiatives to address each of the identified material weaknesses:

Financial Close Process. Management has directed our internal and third party resources to execute the following initiatives to remediate the financial close process material weakness:

- Enhance our control procedures for manual journal entries to ensure all entries are properly supported, reviewed, and documented;
- Enhance our global account reconciliation procedures to ensure all key accounts are properly reconciled on a timely basis and to ensure reconciliations are properly documented and reviewed;
- Assess the internal structure of our tax department to ensure we have a sufficient complement of talent to ensure all entries are appropriately reviewed and approved in a timely manner;
- Assess the organizational structure of our accounting department to identify opportunities to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of our control procedures;
- Provide training to all accounting process owners regarding policies and controls over account analysis, documentation, review, and approval of manual journal entries, and data integrity procedures.
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Inventory Accounting Controls. Management has directed our internal and third party resources to execute the following initiatives to remediate the inventory accounting controls material weakness:

- Enhance our cycle count procedures to ensure all counts performed and adjustments recorded are appropriately documented in the warehouse management system;
- Enhance our inventory reconciliation procedures to ensure the warehouse management system is appropriately reconciled to the general ledger on a daily basis;
- Provide training to our supply chain personnel to ensure inventory control procedures are adequate, performed timely, and are properly recorded in accordance with our policies.

Management has expanded our inventory management team by adding key personnel who will be directing our inventory control remediation efforts.

Our remediation efforts were ongoing as of June 30, 2016.

## Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Other than the remediation efforts noted above, there were no material changes in our disclosure control over financial reporting that occurred during the three months ended June 30, 2016 that materially affected, or that are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II Other Information

## ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is currently subject to an audit by U.S. Customs \& Border Protection ( CBP ) in respect of the period from 2006 to 2010. In October 2013, CBP issued the final audit report. In that report CBP projects that unpaid duties totaling approximately $\$ 12.4$ million are due for the period under review and recommends collection of the duties due. Crocs responded that these projections are erroneous and provided arguments that demonstrate the amount due in connection with this matter is considerably less than the projection. Additionally, on December 12, 2014, Crocs made an offer to settle CBP s potential claims and tendered $\$ 3.5$ million. Counsel has had initial conversations with the CBP, which has indicated that it believes the current offer is insufficient. Crocs plans to continue to have discussions with the CBP in an effort to resolve the claims. At this time, it is not possible to determine how long this process will take or to predict whether a negotiated settlement can be reached. Likewise, if a settlement cannot be reached, it is not possible to predict with any certainty whether CBP will seek to assert a claim for penalties in addition to any unpaid duties, but such an assertion is a possibility.

Crocs is currently subject to an audit by the Brazilian Federal Tax Authorities related to imports of footwear from China between 2010 and 2014. On January 13, 2015, Crocs was notified about the issuance of assessments totaling approximately $\$ 4.5$ million for the period January 2010 through May 2011. Crocs has disputed these assessments and asserted defenses to the claims. On February 25, 2015, Crocs received additional assessments totaling approximately $\$ 10.4$ million related to the remainder of the audit period. Crocs has also disputed these assessments and asserted defenses and filed appeals to these claims. On May 11, 2016, Crocs was notified of a decision rejecting the defense filed against the first assessment covering the period of January 2010 through May 2011. Crocs filed an appeal against that decision on June 8, 2016. It is anticipated that this matter will take up to several years to be resolved. It is not possible at this time to predict the outcome of this matter.

Although Crocs is subject to other litigation from time to time in the ordinary course of business, including employment, intellectual property and product liability claims, Crocs is not party to any other pending legal proceedings that Crocs believes would reasonably have a material adverse impact on its business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

| Period | Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased |  | Average <br> Price Paid <br> per Share <br> (or Unit) | Total Number of of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1) |  | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares or Units That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (in thousands) (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 1 to April 30, 2016 |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 118,676 |
| May 1 to May 31, 2016 |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 118,676 |
| June 1 to June 30, 2016 |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 118,676 |
| Total |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 118,676 |

(1) On December 26, 2013, the Board approved the repurchase of up to $\$ 350.0$ million of our common stock, which was announced on December 30, 2013. This authorization replaced our previous stock repurchase authorizations. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, we had no repurchases of shares under our publicly-announced repurchase plan. As of June 30,
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2016, approximately $\$ 118.7$ million of shares remained available for repurchase under our share repurchase authorization. The number, price, structure, and timing of the repurchases, if any, will be at our sole discretion and future repurchases will be evaluated by us depending on market conditions, liquidity needs, restrictions under our Credit Agreement, and other factors. Share repurchases may be made in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The repurchase authorization does not have an expiration date and does not oblige us to acquire any particular amount of our common stock. The Board may suspend, modify, or terminate the repurchase program at any time without prior notice.

## ITEM 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit Number |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Crocs, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Crocs, Inc. s <br> Registration Statement on Form S-8, filed on March 9, 2006 (File No. 333-132312)). |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Crocs, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to <br> Exhibit 3.1 to Crocs, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on July 12, 2007). |
| 3.4 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Crocs, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Crocs, Inc. s <br> Registration Statement on Form S-8, filed on March 9, 2006 (File No. 333-132312)) |
| Certificate of Designations of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock of Crocs, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to |  |
| Exhibit 3.1 to Crocs, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on January 27, 2014). |  |$\quad$| Specimen Common Stock Certificate (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Crocs, Inc. s Registration |
| :--- |
| Statement on Form S-1/A, filed on January 19, 2006 (File No. 333-127526)). |

Filed herewith.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## CROCS, INC.

Date: August 5, 2016
By:
/s/ Carrie Teffner
Name: Carrie Teffner
Title:
Executive Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer


[^0]:    We anticipate our cash flows from operations will be sufficient to meet the ongoing needs of our business for the next twelve months. In order to provide additional liquidity in the future and to help support our strategic goals, we have a revolving credit facility with PNC Bank, National

