

KOPIN CORP
Form 10-Q
March 17, 2008
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 29, 2007

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission file number 0-19882

KOPIN CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: KOPIN CORP - Form 10-Q

Delaware
State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization

04-2833935
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

200 John Hancock Rd., Taunton, MA
(Address of principal executive offices)

02780-1042
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (508) 824-6696

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting Company. See definitions of large accelerated filer and accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-Accelerated Filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class
Common Stock, par value \$.01

Outstanding as of March 14, 2008
71,043,391

Table of Contents

Kopin Corporation

INDEX

	Page No.
Part I Financial Information	
Item 1. <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 and September 30, 2006</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income for the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 and September 30, 2006</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 29, 2007 and September 30, 2006</u>	5
<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition And Results of Operations</u>	13
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	20
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	20
Part II Other Information	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	21
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	22
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	30
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	30
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	30
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	30
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	31
<u>Signatures</u>	32

Table of Contents**Part 1: FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1: Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****KOPIN CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Unaudited)**

	September 29, 2007	December 30, 2006
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and equivalents	\$ 19,322,410	\$ 27,907,656
Marketable securities, at fair value	74,745,348	77,452,635
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$209,000 and \$228,000 in 2007 and 2006	11,831,967	9,691,937
Accounts receivable from unconsolidated affiliates	2,660,954	1,461,118
Unbilled receivables	1,401,691	830,594
Inventory	17,786,230	11,848,499
Prepaid taxes	1,085,525	799,336
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,694,257	1,345,658
Total current assets	130,528,382	131,337,433
Property, plant and equipment, net	21,476,529	17,354,527
Other assets	12,548,617	12,721,358
Total assets	\$ 164,553,528	\$ 161,413,318
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 11,933,493	\$ 7,688,865
Accounts payable to unconsolidated affiliates	1,412,302	616,194
Accrued payroll and expenses	3,324,649	2,102,447
Accrued warranty	1,030,000	1,030,000
Billings in excess of revenue earned	400,762	159,267
Other accrued liabilities and professional fees	4,501,334	3,621,727
Total current liabilities	22,602,540	15,218,500
Asset retirement obligations	797,397	772,197
Minority interest in subsidiary	3,576,049	4,457,724
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share: authorized, 3,000 shares; none issued		
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share: authorized, 120,000,000 shares; issued 72,019,365 shares in 2007 and 71,372,811 shares in 2006, outstanding 67,547,260 in 2007 and 67,428,611 in 2006	711,627	710,434
Additional paid-in capital	307,744,128	305,650,043
Treasury stock (3,615,480 shares in 2007 and 2006, at cost)	(14,552,865)	(14,552,865)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	4,300,074	2,945,098
Accumulated deficit	(160,625,422)	(153,787,813)
Total stockholders' equity	137,577,542	140,964,897

Edgar Filing: KOPIN CORP - Form 10-Q

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	164,553,528	\$	161,413,318
--------------------------------------------	----	-------------	----	-------------

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**KOPIN CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006
Revenues:				
Product revenues	\$ 27,623,349	\$ 13,744,041	\$ 66,778,337	\$ 48,746,405
Research and development revenues	1,597,158	1,960,151	2,441,680	4,512,837
	29,220,507	15,704,192	69,220,017	53,259,242
Expenses:				
Cost of product revenues	22,654,347	10,733,895	56,694,806	36,460,789
Research and development	2,994,122	2,676,931	8,165,772	8,132,489
Selling, general, and administrative	4,249,112	4,018,942	13,621,303	11,767,381
	29,897,581	17,429,768	78,481,881	56,360,659
Loss from operations	(677,074)	(1,725,576)	(9,261,864)	(3,101,417)
Other income and (expense):				
Interest income	1,099,818	1,211,360	3,413,832	3,580,073
Other income	31,574	287,773	76,653	319,814
Gain on sale of Micrel common stock				1,208,000
Foreign currency transaction losses	(53,464)	(143,442)	(72,265)	(624,367)
Interest and other expense	(8,837)	(10,155)	(52,518)	(45,063)
	1,069,091	1,345,536	3,365,702	4,438,457
Income (loss) before income taxes, minority interest in (income) loss of subsidiary and equity loss in unconsolidated affiliate	392,016	(380,040)	(5,896,162)	1,337,040
Provision for income taxes	(418,349)	(551,073)	(508,707)	(523,141)
(Loss) income before minority interest in (income) loss of subsidiary and equity loss in unconsolidated affiliate	(26,333)	(931,113)	(6,404,869)	813,899
Minority interest in (income) loss of subsidiary	(247,199)	144,817	(232,661)	394,479
Equity loss in unconsolidated affiliate	(113,637)	(97,740)	(200,078)	(475,843)
Net (loss) income	\$ (387,168)	\$ (884,036)	\$ (6,837,608)	\$ 732,535
(Loss) income per share:				
Basic	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.01
Diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.01
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:				
Basic	67,547,233	67,798,463	67,513,821	68,283,179
Diluted	67,547,233	67,798,463	67,513,821	68,305,531

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006
Net (loss) income	\$ (387,168)	\$ (884,036)	\$ (6,837,608)	\$ 732,535
Foreign currency translation adjustments	471,259	169,186	671,329	875,066
Unrealized holding gain (loss) on marketable securities	504,637	618,961	683,647	(689,267)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 588,728	\$ (95,889)	\$ (5,482,632)	\$ 918,334

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**KOPIN CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(unaudited)**

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2007,	September 30, 2006
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net (loss) income	\$ (6,837,608)	\$ 732,535
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,560,293	2,270,271
Amortization of interest premium or discount	152,076	192,170
Minority interest in income (loss) of subsidiary	232,661	(394,480)
Equity loss in unconsolidated affiliate	200,078	475,843
Net gain on investment activity		(1,208,000)
Stock-based compensation	1,815,401	2,130,455
Change in other non-cash items	16,600	(360,739)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(3,306,949)	775,224
Inventory	(5,851,513)	(62,439)
Deferred tax asset		370,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(1,106,616)	(251,959)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	6,179,672	(2,842,414)
Billings in excess of revenue earned	241,495	(73,844)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(5,704,410)	1,752,623
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities	14,400,405	34,602,518
Purchases of marketable securities	(11,165,588)	(30,537,938)
Other assets	(22,737)	14,586
Investment in KoBrite and other equity investments	(980,118)	(4,952,063)
Proceeds from sale of investments		3,002,000
Capital expenditures	(5,722,840)	(5,450,936)
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,490,878)	(3,321,833)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Treasury stock purchases		(6,607,097)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	279,878	277,762
Net cash provided by financing activities	279,878	(6,329,335)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	330,164	852,752
Net decrease in cash and equivalents	(8,585,246)	(7,045,793)
Cash and equivalents:		
Beginning of period	27,907,656	31,502,645
End of period	\$ 19,322,410	\$ 24,456,852

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Edgar Filing: KOPIN CORP - Form 10-Q

Cash paid during the period for income taxes	\$	90,000	\$	472,000
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing activities:				
Construction in progress included in accrued expenses	\$	840,000	\$	1,572,000

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**KOPIN CORPORATION****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 and September 30, 2006 are unaudited and include all adjustments, which, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present fairly the results of operations for the periods then ended. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature.

These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's annual consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

The results of the Company's operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of the Company's operations for any other interim period or for a full fiscal year.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly owned subsidiaries and Kowon Technology Co., Ltd. (Kowon), a majority owned (78%) subsidiary located in Korea. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

2. INVENTORY

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost (determined on the first-in, first-out or specific identification method) or market and consists of the following at September 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006:

	September 29, 2007	December 30, 2006
Raw materials	\$ 9,224,310	\$ 7,382,471
Work-in-process	4,471,365	1,780,409
Finished goods	4,090,555	2,685,619
	\$ 17,786,230	\$ 11,848,499

3. NET (LOSS) INCOME PER SHARE

Basic net (loss) income per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period less any unvested shares. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares and potential common shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method. Potential common shares have not been included in any periods in which the effect would be anti-dilutive. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, 22,352 common share equivalents are included in the diluted earnings per share calculation from the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options and repurchases under the treasury stock method. For the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 stock options and nonvested shares aggregating 7,790,749 were outstanding but not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share as the inclusion would be anti-dilutive. For the three months ended September 30, 2006 stock options and nonvested shares aggregating 8,783,546 were outstanding but not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share as the inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents**4. STOCK BASED COMPENSATION**

A summary of award activity under the stock option plans as of September 29, 2007 and changes during the nine month period is as follows:

	Nine Months Ended September 29, 2007	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance, December 31, 2006	8,229,749	\$10.69
Options granted		
Options forfeited	(1,199,776)	5.60
Options exercised	(95,849)	2.92
Balance, September 29, 2007	6,934,124	\$11.64
Exercisable, September 29, 2007	6,556,661	\$12.02

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at September 29, 2007:

Range of Exercise prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 0.01 \$ 3.55	346,078	4.76	\$ 3.21	283,578	\$ 3.15
\$ 3.75 \$ 4.97	1,449,859	5.80	4.47	1,318,584	4.54
\$ 5.00 \$ 9.95	1,512,967	5.83	6.07	1,379,279	6.15
\$10.00 \$13.00	1,585,820	4.31	11.34	1,535,820	11.39
\$14.31 \$44.88	2,039,400	3.79	22.54	2,039,400	22.54
	6,934,124	4.82	\$ 11.64	6,556,661	\$ 12.02
Aggregate intrinsic value on September 29, 2007	\$ 223,476			\$ 196,329	

A summary of options vested and expected to vest at September 29, 2007 is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options vested, at period end	6,556,661	\$ 12.02	\$ 196,329
Options expected to vest, at period end	377,463	5.08	27,146
Options vested and expected to vest	6,934,124	\$ 11.64	\$ 223,476

No options were issued during the nine month periods ended September 29, 2007 and September 30, 2006. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended September 29, 2007 was \$86,915. Cash received from option exercises under all share-based payment

Edgar Filing: KOPIN CORP - Form 10-Q

arrangements was \$279,878 for the nine months ended September 29, 2007. No tax benefits were realized during this period due to the existence of tax net operating loss carryforwards.

Table of Contents

The Company has issued shares of nonvested restricted common stock to certain employees. Each award requires the employee to fulfill certain obligations, including remaining employed by the Company for two or four years (the vesting period). However, 188,000 of the outstanding shares will vest immediately if the Company achieves profitability in 2007.

A summary of the activity for nonvested restricted share awards as of September 29, 2007 and changes during the nine months then ended is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Fair Value
Balance, December 31, 2006	883,250	\$ 4.07
Granted		
Forfeited	(3,125)	4.19
Vested	(23,500)	3.36
Balance, September 29, 2007	856,625	\$ 4.09

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options and nonvested restricted stock awards for the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 (no tax benefits were recognized):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006
Cost of product revenues	\$ 136,000	\$ 146,000	\$ 406,000	\$ 422,000
Research and development	63,000	76,000	183,000	251,000
Selling, general, and administrative	385,000	486,000	1,226,000	1,457,000
Total	\$ 584,000	\$ 708,000	\$ 1,815,000	\$ 2,130,000

The total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock awards is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3 years. The total unrecognized compensation cost is as follows at September 29, 2007:

Stock option awards	\$ 509,524
Nonvested stock awards	2,487,845
	\$ 2,997,369

5. OTHER ASSETS AND AMOUNTS DUE FROM AFFILIATES*Marketable Equity Security*

As of September 29, 2007 the Company held approximately 200,000 shares of Micrel common stock as available-for-sale with a market value of approximately \$2,182,000 and an adjusted cost basis of approximately \$1,812,000. The fair value of this investment at December 30, 2006 was approximately \$2,178,000. In April of 2006, the Company sold 200,000 shares of Micrel common stock for approximately \$3,002,000 and recorded a gain of \$1,208,000 which is presented in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Non-Marketable Securities Equity Method Investments

Edgar Filing: KOPIN CORP - Form 10-Q

At September 29, 2007, the Company had an approximate 40% interest in Kopin Taiwan Corp (KTC), which is accounted for using the equity method and had a carrying value of \$0. The Company has manufactured products for KTC to sell to their customers and KTC manufactures products for the Company to sell to its customers. In addition, the Company provides technical services to KTC and sells raw substrates. For the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 the

Table of Contents

Company had product sales to KTC of approximately \$0 and \$3,000 respectively, as compared to approximately \$6,000 and \$39,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 the Company had purchases from KTC of approximately \$988,000 and \$3,458,000, respectively, as compared to approximately \$535,000 and \$1,260,000, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively.

In February 2005 the Company contributed its CyberLite LED technology, production know-how, and \$3.0 million to a joint venture, KoBrite, formed to manufacture and sell LEDs. For its contribution, the Company received a 23% interest in KoBrite. In addition, KTC contributed \$2.0 million for a 15% interest in KoBrite and unrelated investors contributed an additional \$9.0 million. In the third quarter of 2006, KoBrite had an equity offering and the Company invested an additional approximately \$2.0 million in the KoBrite joint venture to retain its approximate proportional interest. Subsequent to its establishment, KoBrite entered into an agreement, which required it to pay the Company \$7.5 million of which \$6.0 million was allocated to equipment and the \$1.5 million for the performance of research and training activities. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company recorded revenue of approximately \$850,000, in the caption Research and development in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations after completing all services and deliverables and achieving acceptance from KoBrite.

The Company accounts for its ownership interest in KoBrite using the equity method. KoBrite's results are recorded one quarter in arrears from the Company's. During the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007, the Company recorded losses of approximately \$114,000 and \$200,000, respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, when the Company recorded losses of approximately \$98,000 and \$476,000, respectively, in Equity loss in unconsolidated affiliate in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Non-Marketable Securities Cost Method Investments

At September 29, 2007, the Company had an investment in Advanced Wireless Semiconductor Company (AWSC), with a carrying value of approximately \$774,588, which the Company accounts for on the cost basis.

One of the Company's Directors is chairman of KTC and owns approximately 1.0% of the outstanding common stock of KTC. One of the Company's Directors is a director of AWSC and several directors and officers own amounts ranging from 0.1% to 0.5% of the outstanding stock of AWSC.

At September 29, 2007, the Company had an investment in Kenet, Inc. (Kenet) with a carrying value of approximately \$5.4 million, which is carried under the cost method. The Company's Chief Executive Officer is a founder and board member of this company and owns approximately 2.3% of this company. Certain directors and an officer of the Company have also invested in this company and their ownership ranges from 0.1% to 1.0%.

Amounts Due from Affiliates

Related party receivables at September 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006 approximate the following amounts:

	September 29, 2007	December 30, 2006
Advanced Wireless Semiconductor	\$ 1,081,000	\$ 983,000
Kopin Taiwan Corporation	1,580,000	478,000
Accounts receivable from unconsolidated affiliates	\$ 2,661,000	\$ 1,461,000

Summarized aggregate financial information for KTC for the fiscal period ended September 30, 2007 and KoBrite for the fiscal period ended June 30, 2007 is provided in the table below. The three month period ended September 30, 2006, includes the financial information of KTC for that period and the financial information for KoBrite for the three months ended July 1, 2006.

Three Months Ended

Nine Months Ended

Edgar Filing: KOPIN CORP - Form 10-Q

	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006
Revenue	\$ 3,761,000	\$ 1,671,000	\$ 11,965,000	\$ 3,286,000
Gross margin	370,000	(403,000)	(103,000)	(1,372,000)
Loss from operations	(80,000)	(1,287,000)	(2,182,000)	(4,994,000)
Net loss	(294,000)	(1,254,000)	(2,449,000)	(4,927,000)

Certain officers and directors have invested in some of the Company's investee companies, including Micrel. The Company has a loan to a non-officer employee for \$171,000 which is due in 2008.

Table of Contents**6. ACCRUED WARRANTY**

The Company warrants its products against defect for 12 months. A provision for estimated future costs and estimated returns for credit relating to warranty is recorded in the period when product is shipped and revenue recognized, and is updated as additional information becomes available. The Company's estimate of future costs to satisfy warranty obligations is based primarily on historical warranty expense experienced and a provision for potential future product failures.

	Nine months Ended	
	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006,
Beginning Balance	\$ 1,030,000	\$ 1,030,000
Additions	628,000	271,000
Claim and reversals	(628,000)	(271,000)
Ending Balance	\$ 1,030,000	\$ 1,030,000

7. INCOME TAXES

As of September 30, 2007, the Company has available for tax purposes \$94.8 million federal net operating loss carryforwards expiring through the year 2026. The Company has recognized a full valuation allowance for its net operating loss carryforwards and other net deferred tax assets for entities in the United States tax jurisdictions due to the uncertainty of realization of such assets.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes* (FIN 48). FIN 48 addresses the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. Under FIN 48, we may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more-likely-than-not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon settlement with the tax authorities. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties on income taxes, accounting in interim periods and requires increased disclosures.

There was no impact upon the adoption of FIN 48 on December 31, 2006. There are no known tax positions which are reasonably possible to change over the next twelve months necessitating a significant change in our unrecognized tax benefits.

The Company recognized interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

The Company has not been examined by the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) and we are subject to examination for all years since 1992. State income tax returns are generally subject to examination for a period of 3 to 5 years after filing of the respective return. The state impact of any federal changes remains subject to examination by various states for a period of up to one year after formal notification to the states.

International jurisdictions have statutes of limitations generally ranging from 3 to 5 years after filing of the respective return. Years still open to examination by tax authorities in major jurisdictions include Korea (2003 onward), Japan (2003 onward) and Hong Kong (2005 onward). We are not currently under examination in these jurisdictions.

8. SEGMENTS AND GEOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION

The Company's chief operating decision maker is its Chief Executive Officer. The Company's chief operating decision maker evaluates the operating results of the Company's reportable segments based on revenues and net income (loss).

Table of Contents

The Company operates in two reportable segments, Kopin US, which includes the operations in the United States and the Company's equity method investors, and Kowon. The following table presents the Company's reportable segment results for the three and nine month periods ended September 29, 2007 and September 30, 2006:

	Kopin U.S.	Kowon	Adjustments	Total
Three months ended				
September 29, 2007				
Revenues	\$ 27,368,000	\$ 12,423,000	(\$ 10,570,000)	\$ 29,221,000
Net (loss) income	(1,298,000)	902,000	9,000	(387,000)
September 30, 2006				
Revenues	\$ 14,542,000	\$ 1,880,000	(\$ 718,000)	\$ 15,704,000
Net (loss) income	(511,000)	(151,000)	(222,000)	(884,000)
Nine months ended				
September 29, 2007				
Revenues	\$ 64,983,000	\$ 24,211,000	(\$ 19,974,000)	\$ 69,220,000
Net (loss) income	(7,740,000)	874,000	28,000	(6,838,000)
Total Assets	150,612,000	26,103,000	(12,161,000)	164,554,000
Long lived assets	17,373,000	4,107,000	(3,000)	21,477,000
September 30, 2006				
Revenues	\$ 49,023,000	\$ 6,391,000	(\$ 2,155,000)	\$ 53,259,000
Net (loss) income	1,743,000	(887,000)	(123,000)	733,000
Total Assets	147,524,000	21,225,000	(6,987,000)	161,762,000
Long lived assets	12,536,000	3,685,000	(4,000)	16,217,000

The adjustments to reconcile to the consolidated financial statement total revenue, net income (loss) and total assets include the elimination of intercompany sales, minority interest in income (loss) of subsidiary and intercompany receivables.

During the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 and September 30, 2006, the company derived its sales from the following geographies (as a percentage of net sales) (dollar amounts in millions):

	Three Months Ended September 29, 2007	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months Ended September 29, 2007	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006
Asia-Pacific	50%	27%	46%	32%
Americas	50%	73%	54%	68%
Total Revenues	100%	100%	100%	100%

9. Litigation

Derivative Lawsuits On August 15, 2006, two lawsuits were filed in Superior Court, Bristol County, Massachusetts against certain officers and directors of the Company, purportedly derivatively on behalf of the Company (the "Derivative Suits"). The complaints in the Derivative Suits assert that the named officers and directors breached their fiduciary duties and other obligations to the Company in connection with the Company's historical stock option granting process, the accounting for past stock options, and historical sales of stock by certain individual defendants. Kopin is also named as a nominal defendant in the Derivative Suits, although the lawsuits are derivative in nature and purportedly asserted on behalf of the Company.

Securities Law Action On September 6, 2007, a complaint was filed against the Company and certain of its directors and officers in Superior Court, Bristol County, Massachusetts purportedly on behalf of a class of shareholders who held Kopin stock on September 6, 2007 (the "Securities Law Action"). The plaintiffs in this action assert claims arising under Delaware General Corporations Law § 211(c), alleging that the

Edgar Filing: KOPIN CORP - Form 10-Q

Company failed to hold an annual shareholder meeting within the past thirteen months. The plaintiffs seek an order requiring the Company to schedule an annual shareholder meeting and to provide notice of the meeting in accordance with Kopin's by-laws.

Due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, the Company cannot predict the outcome of the Securities Law Action and derivative lawsuits at this time, and can give no assurance that the claims will not have a material adverse affect on its financial position or its results of operations.

The Company is engaged in other legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Management believes the ultimate outcome of these proceedings will not have a material adverse impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

10. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which enhances existing guidance for measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning December 30, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the impact, if any, that SFAS No. 157 will have on the results of its operations, financial position or cash flows.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. SFAS No. 159 permits an entity to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. An entity shall report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. Upfront costs and fees related to items for which the fair value option is elected shall be recognized in earnings as incurred and not deferred. SFAS No. 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 159 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods with those financial years. At the effective date, an entity may elect the fair value option for eligible items that exist at that date. The entity shall report the effect of the first remeasurement to fair value as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. The Company is currently evaluating the impacts and disclosures of this standard, but would not expect SFAS No. 159 to have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements*. SFAS No. 160 amends Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, *Consolidated Financial Statements*, to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods with in those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 28, 2008, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements which will be applied retrospectively for all periods presented. The Company is currently evaluating the impacts and disclosures of this standard, but would not expect SFAS No. 160 to have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Also in December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*. SFAS No. 141(R) requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, measured at their fair values as of that date. It requires acquisition-related costs and restructuring costs that the acquirer expects but is not obligated to incur to be recognized separately from the acquisition. SFAS No. 141(R) modifies the criteria for the recognition of contingencies as of the acquisition date. It also provides guidance on subsequent accounting for acquired contingencies. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective for business acquisitions for which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2009. The Company may not apply it before that date. SFAS 141(R) will not impact the Company's accounting for prior acquisitions.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**
Forward Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including without limitation statements made relating to our expectation that sales to Skyworks Solutions and the US Military will represent a significant portion of our revenues for 2008; our expectation that sales of our CyberDisplay products to customers who use them in camcorder applications will decline; our expectation that KoBrite will incur additional losses in the near term; our belief that in 2008 we will establish an 8-inch CyberDisplay manufacturing line; our belief that our material weakness in our internal controls will continue to exist in our fiscal year 2008; our expectation that a significant market for new wireless communications devices, including personal entertainment systems, will develop; our expectation that our CyberDisplay products will benefit from further general technological advances in the design and production of integrated circuits and active matrix LCDs, resulting in further improvements in resolution and miniaturization; our expectation that sales into the high speed fiber optic switching equipment market will not be significant in fiscal year 2008; our expectation not to pay cash dividends for the foreseeable future and to retain earnings for the development of our businesses; our expectation, based on current negotiations with our customers and certain contractual obligations, that the prices of certain products will decline in fiscal year 2008; our expectation that the sale prices of our commercial displays will decline, but our military product sales will increase, in fiscal year 2008; our expectation that we will expend between \$5.0 and \$9.0 million on capital expenditures over the next twelve months; our expectation that our third quarter would be our strongest sales quarter followed by our second quarter, fourth quarter and first quarter, in that order; our expectation that prices of our HBT transistor s and display products sold for consumer electronic applications will decline by approximately 5 to 10 percent during fiscal year 2008, but may decline more depending on final negotiation with our customer; our expectation that competition will increase; our belief that our CyberDisplay products are well suited for new applications such as reading e-mail and browsing the Internet using digital wireless devices and other consumer electronics devices; our belief that small form factor displays will be a critical component in the development of advanced wireless communications systems; our belief that general technological advances in the design and fabrication of integrated circuits, LCD technology and LCD manufacturing processes will allow us to continue to enhance our CyberDisplay product manufacturing process; our belief that continued introduction of new products in our target markets is essential to our growth; our belief that GAIN HBT transistor wafers provide the performance characterization necessary for the next generation of wireless handsets and optoelectronic components; our belief that the costs of producing gallium arsenide integrated circuits by our customers will continue to exceed the costs associated with the production of competing silicon integrated circuits; our belief that our future success will depend primarily upon the technical expertise, creative skills and management abilities of our officers and key employees rather than on patent ownership; our belief that our available cash resources will support our operations and capital needs for at least the next twelve months; our estimate that the cash payments associated with an estimated tender offer will range between \$200,000 and \$500,000; and our belief that the effect, if any, of reasonably possible near-term changes in interest rates on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows should not be material. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the industries in which we operate, management's beliefs, and assumptions made by management. In addition, other written or oral statements, which constitute forward-looking statements, may be made by or on behalf of us. Words such as expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, could, seeks, estimates, and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, which are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences in outcomes and results include, but are not limited to, those discussed below in Item 1A and those set forth in our other periodic filings filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Critical Accounting Policies

Management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our unaudited consolidated financial statements. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition under percentage of completion method, bad debts, inventories, warranty reserves, investment valuations, valuation of stock compensation awards, recoverability of deferred tax assets, liabilities for uncertain tax positions and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions. Further detail regarding our critical accounting policies can be found in Item 7. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) effective January 1, 2006 which is discussed in Note 5 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. See Note 7 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for the impact of the adoption of FASB Interpretation No. 48 as of January 1, 2007.

Table of Contents*Business Matters*

We are a leading developer and manufacturer of advanced semiconductor materials and miniature displays. We use our proprietary semiconductor material technology to design, manufacture and market our III-V and display products for use in highly demanding commercial wireless communications and high-resolution portable consumer electronic applications. Our products enable our customers to develop and market an improved generation of products for these target applications.

We have two principal sources of revenues: product revenues and research and development revenues. Product revenues consist of sales of our CyberDisplay products and our III-V products, principally gallium arsenide (GaAs) HBT transistor wafers. Research and development revenues consist primarily of development contracts with agencies of the U.S. government and amounts earned under agreements with KoBrite, discussed below. For the nine months ended September 29, 2007, research and development revenues were \$2.4 million or 3.4% of total 2007 revenues and \$4.5 million, or 8.5% of total revenues for the corresponding period in 2006.

The Company entered into a joint venture, KoBrite, with a Taiwanese-based light emitting diode (LED) manufacturer, Kopin Taiwan Corporation and financial investors. Subsequent to its formation, KoBrite entered into agreements with the Company to purchase certain equipment and have the Company perform research and training activities with KoBrite employees.

Results of Operations

Revenues. Our total revenues for the three and nine month periods ended September 29, 2007 and September 30, 2006 were as follows (in millions):

Revenues:	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006
Cyber Display	\$ 18.1	\$ 5.6	\$ 37.8	\$ 18.3
III-V	11.1	10.1	31.4	34.9
Total revenues	\$ 29.2	\$ 15.7	\$ 69.2	\$ 53.2

Our principal III-V product is our HBT transistor which is used in wireless handsets. The decrease in our year to date III-V revenues primarily resulted from a decrease in demand from our customers which use our HBT products in wireless cell phone applications, lower research and development revenue from our KoBrite joint venture and general price declines. In 2005 we entered into an agreement to provide certain research and development activities for our KoBrite joint venture. In April 2006 we recorded approximately \$.9 million which was the final revenue due under this agreement and therefore no revenue was recorded in 2007. The increase in CyberDisplay revenues in the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 resulted from an increase in sales of our display products to customers who use our displays for digital still camera and military applications. Display sales by category for the three and nine month periods ended September 29, 2007 and September 29, 2006 were as follows:

Table of Contents**Cyber Display Revenues by Category**

Display Revenues by Category	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006
Consumer Electronic Applications	\$ 10.0	\$ 2.0	\$ 20.6	\$ 7.0
Military Application	4.4	1.2	10.9	6.3
Eyewear Application	2.3	.6	4.4	1.9
Research & Development	1.4	1.8	1.9	3.1
Total	\$ 18.1	\$ 5.6	\$ 37.8	\$ 18.3

The revenues our Korean subsidiary, Kowon are primarily derived from sales to us and Samsung Electronics and are included in Cyber Display sales. For the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007, Kowon's revenues were \$12.4 million and \$24.2 million, respectively, as compared to \$1.8 million and \$6.3 million for the comparable period last year. The increase in Kowon's revenues were as a result of an increase to us to support our customers who buy our displays for digital still camera applications. Kowon's sales to us were \$1.2 million and \$10.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007, respectively, as compared to \$1.5 million and \$2.1 million for the comparable period in 2006.

Based on current discussions with our customers and certain contractual obligations, we expect the prices of certain of our products to decline in fiscal year 2008. We anticipate the average selling price of our HBT transistor wafers and displays sold to customers for consumer electronic applications will decline approximately 5% to 10% during fiscal year 2008 as compared to 2007. The overall increase or decrease in the average sales price of our display products will be dependent on the sales mix of commercial and military display sales.

During fiscal year 2006, we acquired three metal organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) reactors, which can produce our HBT products on either four or six inch gallium arsenide (GaAs) wafers. However, we acquired the reactors primarily for their ability to process six inch GaAs wafers. We currently have other MOCVD reactors, which we had purchased in prior years, which also can manufacture HBTs using either four or six inch GaAs wafers. We sell HBT products on both four and six inch GaAs wafers; however our sales are primarily HBTs on four inch GaAs wafers. We believe the industry will migrate to six inch wafers over the next several years. Our largest customer, who accounted for approximately 49% of our total fiscal year 2006 revenues (see Item 1A, Risk Factors for explanation of percent of revenue calculation), purchases our HBT products on four inch GaAs wafers and this customer has announced plans to migrate to using six inch GaAs wafers in its manufacturing process. If we are unable to get these and our other reactors qualified by our largest and other customers or if we are able to get the reactor qualified but can not manufacture the quantity our customers require or can not manufacture on six inch GaAs wafers in a cost effective manor our revenues and results of operations could decline significantly.

Our Purchase and Supply Agreement with our largest HBT transistor wafer customer, who accounted for approximately 49% of our 2006 total product revenues, terminates in July 2008. If we are unable to renew this agreement or if we renew it on less favorable terms our revenue and results of operations may decline.

Research and development revenues for the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 were \$1.6 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, compared to \$2.0 million and \$4.5 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company recorded \$850,000, related to research and development and training services for KoBrite.

International sales represented 46% and 37% of revenues for the nine months ended September 29, 2007 and September 30, 2006, respectively. The increase in international sales is primarily attributable to an increase in sales of our displays to Asian customers that use the display for digital still camera applications. The international sales percentage excludes the impact of approximately \$850,000 of revenues from the KoBrite joint venture in the nine months ended September 30, 2006. International sales are primarily sales of CyberDisplay products to consumer electronic manufacturers primarily located in Japan and Korea, and HBT product sales to customers located in Taiwan. Our international sales are primarily denominated in U.S. currency. Consequently, a strengthening of the U.S. dollar could increase the price in local currencies of our products in international markets and make our products relatively more expensive than competitors' products that are denominated in local currencies, leading to a reduction in sales or profitability in those international markets. In addition, sales of our CyberDisplay products in Korea are transacted through our Korean subsidiary Kowon Technology Co., LTD. Kowon's sales are primarily denominated in U.S. dollars. However, Kowon's local operating costs are primarily denominated in Korean won. As a result, our financial position and results of operations are subject to exchange rate fluctuation. We have not taken any protective measures against exchange rate fluctuations, such as purchasing hedging instruments with respect to such fluctuations, because of the historically stable exchange rate between the Japanese yen, Korean won and the U.S. dollar.

Table of Contents*Cost of Product Revenues.*

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006
Cost of product revenues:				
Cost of product revenues	\$ 22.7	\$ 10.7	\$ 56.7	\$ 36.4
Cost of product revenues as a % of revenues	82.0%	78.1%	84.9%	74.8%

The increase in our cost of product revenues as a percentage of revenues is primarily a result of our strategy to increase our market share of digital still camera which uses our display for the electronic viewfinder and declines in average selling prices of our products. For the nine months ended September 29, 2007 our display products sold to consumer electronic applications increased to \$20.6 million from \$7.0 million for the same period in 2006. We were able to achieve this increase by aggressively pricing our display. The significant increase in sales of our display products for use in consumer electronics applications in 2007 resulted in inefficiencies in our manufacturing process and for some consumer electronic products the selling price was below manufacturing cost. Our strategy to increase gross margins in 2008 is to use the increase in unit sales volume to negotiate lower raw material prices with our vendors and increase our manufacturing efficiencies to reduce the cost of our display products. If we are unable to implement our strategy we may not be able to achieve profitability. Our cost of product revenues is comprised of manufacturing overhead, labor and material. For both our III-V and display products our overhead costs and, to a lesser extent, our labor costs are normally stable and do not fluctuate significantly during a three or twelve month period. For our III-V products material costs generally change in-line with sales volume fluctuations. For our display products material costs generally change in-line with sales volume fluctuations and the number of functional displays we can produce from a wafer. Our display manufacturing process is a series of steps which are performed on a wafer until such time the wafer is cut into individual displays. From a wafer there are a theoretical maximum number of functional (or saleable) displays which can be produced however imperfections occur on the wafer during the manufacturing process which cause displays to be defective which results in less than the maximum number of functional displays being produced. This means that all of the manufacturing costs spent on of all displays produced are allocated over the fewer number of functional displays which increases the cost per display. Manufacturing efficiency is defined as our manufacturing processes ability to produce functional displays. Our manufacturing efficiencies typically decline when we introduce a new display product into volume production. In addition, the average sales prices of our products for the three and nine month periods ended September 29, 2007 were lower than the same period in the prior year.

There are a number of different display technologies which can produce displays in small form factors. We believe one of the benefits of our display technology is the ability to produce high resolution displays in small form factors. The camcorder and digital still camera markets are mature and the majority of these devices use low-resolution display products which results in our having limited, if any, competitive advantage over our competitors and therefore the ability to sell displays into these markets is very price dependent. Accordingly we believe that to significantly increase our gross margin as a percent of sales, we will need to increase sales to customers who buy our higher resolution display products, such as the military, or develop new categories such as eyewear.

As we discussed above we expect the sales prices of our products to decline in the future. In addition we installed a new manufacturing line for our displays and new MOCVD reactors to manufacture our HBT products. These capital equipment investments will increase our depreciation expense by approximately \$2.2 million per year in 2008. If we are unable to sell higher margin products, primarily displays for military applications, reduce raw material cost or increase manufacturing efficiencies to offset the effects of lower sales price and higher depreciation expense our gross margins will decline.

Research and Development. Research and development (R&D) expenses are incurred in support of internal display and III-V product development programs or programs funded by agencies of the U.S. government, the qualification of our new 8 inch display manufacturing line and the new MOCVD reactors, the KoBrite joint venture and commercial partners. R&D costs include staffing, purchases of materials and laboratory supplies, circuit design costs, fabrication and packaging of display products, and overhead. For the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 and September 30, 2006 R&D expense was as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006	September 29, 2007	September 30, 2006
Research and development expense:				
Funded	\$ 1.0	\$ 1.5	\$ 2.2	\$ 4.0
Internal	2.0	1.2	6.0	4.1
Total research and development expense	\$ 3.0	\$ 2.7	\$ 8.2	\$ 8.1

Table of Contents

Funded R&D expenses for the nine months ended September 29, 2007 declined when compared against the same period of the prior year primarily due to decreases in R&D expenses for military programs

Internal R&D expenses were primarily attributed to the development of our new III-V products, new displays, higher level assembly products and the qualification of our new 8 inch display manufacturing line and the new MOCVD reactors.

Selling, General, and Administrative. Selling, general, and administrative (S,G&A) expenses consist of the expenses incurred by our sales and marketing personnel and related expenses, bad debt expense, and administrative and general corporate expenses. S,G&A expenses were \$4.3 million and \$13.6 million in the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 compared to \$4.0 million and \$11.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively.

Other Income and Expense. Other income and expenses, was \$1.1 million and \$3.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007, respectively, compared to \$1.3 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods in 2006. Included in other income and expense for the nine month period ended September 30, 2006 is a \$1.2 million gain from the sale of 200,000 shares of our investment in Micrel Semiconductor Incorporated (Micrel) common stock. Following the sale of the Micrel common stock we own approximately 200,000 shares of Micrel common stock. Other income and expense is typically composed of interest income offset by foreign currency transaction and remeasurement losses incurred by our Korean subsidiary Kowon. In the nine months ended September 29, 2007 we recorded approximately \$72,000 from losses as compared to approximately \$624,000 for the same period in the prior year. These losses primarily result from Kowon holding United States dollars to pay dollar denominated expenses and the remeasurement of such balances into the Korean Won at period end. As the dollar weakens against other currencies we may be required to record additional losses. We anticipate the dollar may continue to weaken and additional losses will be incurred in fiscal year 2007. We are unable to predict the possible amount the dollar may weaken and the amount of losses that we may have to record.

Provision for Income Taxes. For the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 we have recorded a provision of approximately \$418,000 and \$508,000, respectively, compared to \$551,000 and \$523,000 for the three and nine month period ended September 30, 2006, respectively.

Equity Losses in Unconsolidated Affiliates. For the three and nine months ended September 29, 2007 and September 30, 2006, the equity losses in unconsolidated affiliates are a result of our approximate 28% interest in the operating results of KoBrite.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have financed our operations primarily through public and private placements of our equity securities, research and development contract revenues, and sales of our III-V and CyberDisplay products. We believe our available cash resources will support our operations and capital needs for at least the next twelve months.

As of September 29, 2007 we had cash and equivalents and marketable securities of \$94.1 million and working capital of \$107.9 million compared to \$105.4 million and \$116.1 million, respectively, as of December 30, 2006. The change in cash and equivalents and marketable securities was primarily due to investments in capital equipment and other assets of approximately

We have a purchase and supply agreement with a significant HBT customer that expires in July 2008, excluding a last time buy option contained in the agreement. Under the terms of this agreement we have agreed to maintain capacity levels for manufacturing HBT wafers and we committed to a pricing schedule under certain circumstances. The agreement also requires us to give prior notice if we exit our HBT product line. In consideration for this agreement the customer agreed to source 100% of its HBT wafer needs from us subject to the customer's right to source HBT wafers from other sources if we are unable to meet their requirements under certain circumstances. We agreed that failure to meet our supply obligations under the agreement would allow our customer to obtain court ordered specific performance and if we do not perform we could then be liable for monetary damages up to a maximum of \$45.0 million.

Table of Contents

We lease facilities located in Taunton and Westborough, Massachusetts, and Scotts Valley, California, under non-cancelable operating leases. We have two Taunton facilities, one whose lease expires in 2010 and the other in 2012. The Taunton lease which expires in 2010 may be extended twice for individual 10 year terms. The Westborough and Scotts Valley leases expire in 2012.

We expect to expend between \$5.0 and \$9.0 million on capital expenditures over the next twelve months, primarily for the acquisition of equipment relating to the production of our III-V and CyberDisplay products.

Table of Contents*Recent Accounting Pronouncements*

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which enhances existing guidance for measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning December 30, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the impact, if any, that SFAS No. 157 will have on the results of its operations, financial position or cash flows.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. SFAS No. 159 permits an entity to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. An entity shall report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. Upfront costs and fees related to items for which the fair value option is elected shall be recognized in earnings as incurred and not deferred. SFAS No. 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 159 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods with those financial years. At the effective date, an entity may elect the fair value option for eligible items that exist at that date. The entity shall report the effect of the first remeasurement to fair value as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. We are currently evaluating the impacts and disclosures of this standard, but would not expect SFAS No. 159 to have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements*. SFAS No. 160 amends Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, *Consolidated Financial Statements*, to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods with in those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 28, 2008, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements which will be applied retrospectively for all periods presented. We are currently evaluating the impacts and disclosures of this standard, but would not expect SFAS No. 160 to have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Also in December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*. SFAS No. 141(R) requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, measured at their fair values as of that date. It requires acquisition-related costs and restructuring costs that the acquirer expects but is not obligated to incur to be recognized separately from the acquisition. SFAS No. 141(R) modifies the criteria for the recognition of contingencies as of the acquisition date. It also provides guidance on subsequent accounting for acquired contingencies. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective for business acquisitions for which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2009. We may not apply it before that date. SFAS 141(R) will not impact our accounting for prior acquisitions.

Seasonality

The markets we sell into are traditionally seasonal and we would expect that as our business matures, our third quarter would be our strongest sales quarter followed by our second quarter then our fourth quarter and our first quarter would be our lowest sales quarter. We anticipate selling more display products for military applications which we would not expect to have the historical sales trends of our consumer oriented products. Depending upon the relative success of our consumer oriented products verses our military products our historical seasonal trends may become more pronounced or decline.

Inflation

We do not believe inflationary forces have materially affected our operations.

Contractual Obligations

The following is a summary of our contractual payment obligations for operating leases as of September 29, 2007:

Contractual Obligations	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 Years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Operating Lease Obligations	\$ 5,602,285	\$ 1,363,647	\$ 2,496,149	\$ 1,742,489	\$

Table of Contents**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

We invest our excess cash in high-quality government, government-backed and corporate debt instruments, which bear lower levels of relative risk. We believe that the effect, if any, of reasonably possible near-term changes in interest rates on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows should not be material to our cash flows or income. It is possible that interest rate movements would increase our unrecognized gain or loss on interest rate securities. Included in other assets is an equity investment in Micrel Semiconductor, Incorporated (Micrel) totaling approximately \$2.2 million which is subject to changes in value because of either specific operating issues at Micrel or overall changes in the stock market. We are exposed to changes in foreign currency exchange rates primarily through our translation of our foreign subsidiary's financial position, results of operations, and transaction gains and losses as a result of non U.S. dollar denominated cash flows related to business activities in Asia, and remeasurement of United States dollars to the functional currency of our Kowon subsidiary. We do not currently hedge our foreign currency exchange rate risk. We estimate that any market risk associated with our international operations is unlikely to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operation. Our portfolio of marketable debt securities is subject to interest rate risk although our intent is to hold securities until maturity. The credit rating of our investments may be affected by the underlying financial health of the guarantors of our investments. We use Gallium Arsenide and Silicon wafers and demand is expected to grow due to new technologies such as solar cells. We do not enter into forward or futures hedging contracts.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Act)) as of September 29, 2007. Based on this evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that, as of September 29, 2007, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective. This conclusion was based on the existence of the material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting previously disclosed and discussed below.

No changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the fiscal quarter ended September 29, 2007 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

As previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2006, we identified and continue to have the following two material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting:

Inadequate resources and technical accounting expertise. The Company's resources and level of technical accounting expertise within the accounting function were insufficient to properly evaluate and account for non-routine or complex transactions. Consequently, the Company's controls over the selection and application of accounting policies in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles were inadequate and constitute a material weakness in the design of internal control over financial reporting.

Inadequate controls over the accounting for stock-based compensation. The Company did not have effective controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that stock-based compensation related to grants of stock-based awards was accurately and properly recorded in the general ledger and financial statements. As a result of this material weakness, material adjustments were necessary to present the accompanying consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. These adjustments had the effect of materially increasing stock-based compensation and decreasing additional paid-in capital.

Table of Contents**Part II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

Derivative Lawsuits On August 15, 2006, two lawsuits were filed in Superior Court, Bristol County, Massachusetts against certain officers and directors of Kopin, purportedly derivatively on behalf of the Company (the Derivative Suits). The complaints in the Derivative Suits assert that the named officers and directors breached their fiduciary duties and other obligations to the Company in connection with the Company's historical stock option granting process, the accounting for past stock options, and historical sales of stock by certain individual defendants. Kopin is also named as a nominal defendant in the Derivative Suits, although the lawsuits are derivative in nature and purportedly asserted on behalf of Kopin. Kopin is in the process of evaluating these claims.

Securities Law Action On September 6, 2007, a complaint was filed against the Company and certain of its directors and officers in Superior Court, Bristol County, Massachusetts purportedly on behalf of a class of shareholders who held Kopin stock on September 6, 2007 (the Securities Law Action). The plaintiffs in this action assert claims arising under Delaware General Corporations Law § 211(c), alleging that the Company failed to hold an annual shareholder meeting within the past thirteen months. The plaintiffs seek an order requiring the Company to schedule an annual shareholder meeting and to provide notice of the meeting in accordance with Kopin's by-laws. Due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, we cannot predict the outcome of the Securities Law Action at this time, and we can give no assurance that the claim will not have a material adverse affect on our financial position or results of operations.

Late SEC Filings and Nasdaq Delisting Proceedings

As a result of our Special Committee Investigation commencing in November 2006 we failed to timely file our Form 10-Q for the three month period ended September 30, 2006, in March 2007 we failed to timely file our Form 10-K for the year ended December 30, 2006, and in May 2007, August 2007, and November 2007 we failed to timely file our Forms 10-Q for the three month periods ended March 31, 2007, June 30, 2007, and September 29, 2007, respectively. On November 15, 2006 NASDAQ notified us that the failure to file our Form 10-Q for the three month period ended September 30, 2006 caused us to violate the requirements for continued listing as set forth in Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(14) and that the Company's stock would be suspended from trading on November 27, 2006. On November 21, 2006 we requested a hearing before a NASDAQ Listing Qualifications Panel, or the Panel, to petition for continued listing on the NASDAQ Stock Market. The hearing was held on January 18, 2007. On February 22, 2007 the Panel informed us that we had been granted until May 14, 2007 to file our Form 10-K for the year ended December 30, 2006 and Form 10-Q for the interim period September 30, 2006 and any required restatements. On May 4, 2007 the Company made a request to the Panel for additional time beyond the May 14, 2007 deadline to file the delinquent Form 10-K and Forms 10-Q. On May 9, 2007 the Panel denied our request for additional time and notified the Company that it would suspend trading of the Company's stock on May 16, 2007. On May 14, 2007, NASDAQ Listing and Hearing Review Council, or the Council, notified us that it had called the Company's case for review and would delay its delisting pending a hearing before the Council. On May 16, 2007, NASDAQ informed us that the Company was not in compliance with NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(14) because it did not timely file its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007. NASDAQ stayed any delisting as a result of our failure to file our Form 10-Q on a timely basis pending the hearing before the Council. On July 27, 2007 the Council notified us that we had until September 25, 2007 to file our Form 10-K for the year ended December 30, 2006, and Forms 10-Q for the interim periods September 30, 2006 and March 31, 2007 and any required restatements or the Company would be delisted on September 27, 2007. On September 7, 2007 we requested that the NASDAQ's Board of Directors exercise its discretionary authority under Rule 4809 to grant the Company continued listing beyond the Council's September 25, 2007 deadline to allow the Company time to complete its investigation into the Company's past stock option practices and related accounting and prepare and file its audited financial statements. On September 17, 2007 the NASDAQ's Board of Directors called for review of the July 27, 2007 decision of the Council regarding Kopin's Common Stock and, pending further consideration, has stayed the Council's decision to suspend the Company's securities from trading. On October 17, 2007, the NASDAQ Board of Directors further stayed the suspension from trading until December 17, 2007. On December 12, 2007, the Board of Directors of the NASDAQ granted the Company additional time to regain compliance with NASDAQ rules regarding the timely filing of periodic reports with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. The Board instructed the NASDAQ staff to give the Company until February 11, 2008 to file all delayed periodic reports necessary to regain compliance with the filing requirements. On February 4, 2008 the board instructed the NASDAQ staff to give the Company until March 17, 2008 to file all delayed periodic reports necessary to regain compliance with NASDAQ rules.

Table of Contents

Although we have now filed our Form 10-K for the year ended December 30, 2006, our Forms 10-Q for the quarters ended September 30, 2006, March 31, 2007 and June 30, 2007, and September 29, 2007 if the SEC disagrees with the manner in which we have accounted for and reported, or not reported, the financial impact of past stock option grants, there could be further delays in filing subsequent SEC reports that might result in the delisting of our common stock from the NASDAQ Stock Market.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The risk factors set forth below were previously disclosed in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2006. There have not been any material changes from the risk factors previously discussed in our Form 10-K. These risks are not the only ones facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially or adversely affect our financial condition and/or operating results.

The matters relating to the investigation by the Special Committee of the Board of Directors and the restatement of our consolidated financial statements may result in additional litigation and governmental enforcement action. On November 1, 2006, in response to a derivative lawsuit filed against us we commenced a voluntary review of our historical practices in granting stock options and our Board of Directors appointed a special committee of independent directors (the Special Committee) to conduct this review. On May 3, 2007, the Special Committee presented the findings of its stock option investigation to our Board of Directors and proposed various remedial measures. The Special Committee's review indicated that our financial statements for the period 1995 through July 1, 2006 should not be relied upon and the Company would need to restate its financial statements for fiscal 1995 through July 1, 2006 and the related interim periods. The Board of Directors accepted all of the findings of the Special Committee and has adopted, or is in the process of adopting, all of the remedial measures proposed. As a result of the Special Committee's investigation, as well as our internal review of our historical financial statements, we have recorded additional stock-based compensation expense for numerous stock-based awards made from 1995 through July 1, 2006. We have restated our consolidated financial statements for these periods to correctly account for stock-based awards for which the Special Committee or management determined that the measurement date for accounting purposes was different from the stated grant date.

The internal review, the independent investigation, and related activities have required us to incur substantial expenses for legal, accounting, tax and other professional services, and have diverted management's attention from our business.

Our past stock option granting practices and the restatement of prior financial statements have exposed us to greater risks associated with litigation, regulatory proceedings and government enforcement actions. As described in Part II Item 1, Legal Proceedings, two derivative lawsuits were filed in state courts against certain of our directors and certain of our current and former executive officers pertaining to allegations relating to stock-based awards. We may become the subject of, or otherwise be required to incur legal fees and costs in connection with, additional private litigation, regulatory proceedings, or government enforcement actions. No assurance can be given regarding the outcomes from such activities. The resolution of these matters will be time consuming, expensive, and will distract management from the conduct of our business. Our available directors' and officers' liability insurance may not be sufficient to cover our legal expenses or those of persons we are obligated to indemnify. Furthermore, if we are subject to adverse findings in litigation, regulatory proceedings or government enforcement actions, we could be required to pay damages or penalties or have other remedies imposed, which could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Furthermore, the restatements of our financial results, the derivative litigation, and any negative outcome that may occur from the investigation, could impact our relationships with customers and our ability to generate revenue.

Our common stock may be delisted from the NASDAQ Global Market and transferred to the National Quotation Service Bureau (the Pink Sheets), which may, among other things, reduce the price of our common stock and the levels of liquidity available to our stockholders. As a result of our Special Committee Investigation commencing in November 2006 we failed to timely file our Form 10-Q for the three month period ended September 30, 2006, in March 2007 we failed to timely file our Form 10-K for the year ended December 30, 2006, and in May 2007, August 2007, and November 2007 we failed to timely file our Forms 10-Q for the three month periods ended March 31, 2007, June 30, 2007, and September 29, 2007 respectively. On November 15, 2006 NASDAQ notified us that the failure to file our Form 10-Q for the three month period ended September 30, 2006 caused us to violate the requirements for continued listing as set forth in Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(14) and that the Company's stock would be suspended from trading on November 27, 2006. On November 21, 2006 we requested a hearing before a NASDAQ Listing Qualifications Panel, or the Panel, to petition for continued listing on the NASDAQ Stock Market. The hearing was held on January 18, 2007. On February 22, 2007 the Panel informed us that we

Table of Contents

had been granted until May 14, 2007 to file our Form 10-K for the year ended December 30, 2006 and Form 10-Q for the interim period September 30, 2006 and any required restatements. On May 4, 2007 the Company made a request to the Panel for additional time beyond the May 14, 2007 deadline to file the delinquent Form 10-K and Forms 10-Q. On May 9, 2007 the Panel denied our request for additional time and notified the Company that it would suspend trading of the Company's stock on May 16, 2007. On May 14, 2007, NASDAQ Listing and Hearing Review Council, or the Council, notified us that it had called the Company's case for review and would delay its delisting pending a hearing before the Council. On May 16, 2007, NASDAQ informed us that Company was not in compliance with NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(14) because it did not timely file its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007. NASDAQ stayed any delisting as a result of our failure to file our Form 10-Q on a timely basis pending the hearing before the Council. On July 27, 2007 the Council notified us that we had until September 25, 2007 to file our Form 10-K for the year ended December 30, 2006, and Forms 10-Q for the interim periods September 30, 2006 and March 31, 2007 and any required restatements or the Company would be delisted on September 27, 2007. On September 7, 2007 we requested that the NASDAQ's Board of Directors exercise its discretionary authority under Rule 4809 to grant the Company continued listing beyond the Council's September 25, 2007 deadline to allow the Company time to complete its investigation into the Company's past stock option practices and related accounting and prepare and file its financial statements. On September 17, 2007 the NASDAQ's Board of Directors called for review the July 27, 2007 decision of the Council regarding Kopin's Common Stock and, pending further consideration, has stayed the Council's decision to suspend the Company's securities from trading. On October 17, 2007, the NASDAQ Board of Directors further stayed the suspension from trading until December 17, 2007. On December 12, 2007, the NASDAQ Board further stayed the suspension from trading until February 11, 2008. On February 7, 2008, the NASDAQ board further stayed the suspension from trading until March 17, 2008.

Although we have now filed our Form 10-K for the year ended December 30, 2006, our Forms 10-Q for the quarters ended September 30, 2006, March 31, 2007, June 30, 2007, and September 29, 2007, if the SEC disagrees with the manner in which we have accounted for and reported, or not reported, the financial impact of past stock-based awards, there could be further delays in filing subsequent SEC reports that might result in the delisting of our common stock from the NASDAQ Stock Market.

Failure to achieve and maintain effective internal controls could adversely affect our ability to report our financial condition and results of operations accurately or on a timely basis. As a result, current and potential stockholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which could harm our business and the trading price of our stock. As required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, our management is required to periodically evaluate the design and effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Our management identified material weaknesses in our application of generally accepted accounting standards and controls over the accounting for the issuance of stock options, which continued through September 29, 2007. In addition, we must document and test our internal control procedures in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, which requires our management to annually assess the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. As a result of the material weaknesses described above, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of September 29, 2007, which could result in a material misstatement in our annual and interim financial statements. Any failure to implement or difficulties experienced in implementing improved controls or any failure to maintain existing effective controls could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and stock price. For a more detailed discussion of our disclosure controls and procedures, see Item 9A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We have experienced a history of losses and have a significant accumulated deficit. Since inception, we have incurred significant net operating losses. As of September 29, 2007 we had an accumulated deficit of \$160.6 million. While we did generate income from operations in 2005, there can be no assurance that we will maintain profitability in the future.

Our revenue and cash flow could be negatively affected by the loss of any of the few customers who account for a substantial portion of our revenues. A few customers account for a substantial portion of our revenues. In addition sales of our CyberDisplay products for military applications is a significant factor in our future growth and profitability. The table below indicates what the percentages of our total revenues were from a particular customer and sales to military applications in a given year. The symbol * indicates that sales to that particular customer for the given year were below 10 percent of our total revenues.

Customer	Sales as a Percent of Total Revenue		
	2006	2005	2004
Skyworks Solutions, Inc (A)	36%	32%	31%
Advanced Wireless Semiconductor Company	13	*	*
Samsung Electronics	8	15	28
Victor Company of Japan (JVC)	*	13	*

Table of Contents

Customer	Sales as a Percent of Total Revenue		
	2006	2005	2004
Military Customers	16	11	7
United States Government Funded Research and Development Contracts	7	6	2

(A) In addition to its internal capacity Skyworks Solution, Inc (Skyworks) also uses Advanced Wireless Semiconductor Company (AWSC) to perform processing. We sell our HBT wafers directly to AWSC for use in Skyworks products as well as other customers. If we assume all of the HBT products we sold to AWSC were for Skyworks use the combined sales to Skyworks and AWSC would be 49%, 39% and 32% or our revenues for the years ended 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

We anticipate that sales to Skyworks Solutions and military customers will continue to represent a significant portion of our revenues for 2008. We believe that historically we have provided Skyworks Solutions with the vast majority of its HBT transistor wafers. A significant reduction or delay in orders from any of our significant customers, particularly Skyworks Solutions or military customers in the aggregate, would materially reduce our revenue and cash flow and adversely affect our ability to achieve or maintain profitability in the future. Our ability to generate cash flow and achieve profitability in 2008 will be dependent on developing new customers, increasing our market share of customers who use our CyberDisplay products for digital still camera applications and finding new applications for our CyberDisplay products, particularly eyewear devices. We have increased sales of CyberDisplay products for military applications in the year ended December 30, 2006 and for the nine months ended September 29, 2007 from historical levels. Such sales are to government contractors for the United States military. The amount and timing of such orders is dependent upon the United States military procurement processes, the government contractor's ability to successfully manage the program, and our ability to deliver more sophisticated CyberDisplay products.

We may not be able to reduce the cost of raw materials from our vendors. A critical part of our business strategy is to increase our sales and use the purchasing power obtained from these sales to obtain reduced raw material and component pricing from our vendors. In addition part of our purchasing strategy is to find multiple vendors of our needed raw materials in order to create competition for lowering raw material and component prices. If we are unable to execute our sales growth strategy or find multiple vendors of the same raw materials and components we may be unable to execute our purchasing strategy and our products may not be cost competitive with our competitors' products. In addition, we may be able to execute our sales strategy but still be unable to reduce our raw material costs. If we are unable to reduce our raw material cost we may not be able to achieve profitability.

We may be unable to increase revenues from CyberDisplay products if new products and applications are not developed. CyberDisplay revenues for the nine months September 29, 2007 and the fiscal years 2006 and 2005 were \$29.2 million, \$27.2 million and \$47.6 million, respectively. The decrease in 2006 CyberDisplay revenues since 2004 has resulted primarily from a significant decrease in sales of our CyberDisplay product to customers for use in camcorders, offset, in part by an increase in sales of our displays to the military and digital still camera markets. We had initial sales of display products into the military product and digital still camera markets in 2004 and since then we have been gaining experience in selling displays into these markets. We believe that our success in penetrating these and other markets, particularly military thermal weapon sights and night vision goggles, will significantly impact our ability to increase sales of CyberDisplays. In addition, our military products have a higher gross margin than our consumer display products and our success in increasing sales of military products are expected to significantly impact our ability to achieve or maintain profitability. Discussions with our commercial customers indicate that our competition continue to dramatically reduce their prices and some competitors are offering lower prices than us. We believe the average sales price of our displays to consumer product customers will have to decline in 2008 if we are to remain competitive in the market place. We believe the average sales price of our displays products sold for consumer electronic applications will decrease in the range of 5% to 10% during the fiscal year 2008. Accordingly, if we are unable to enter into new markets, particularly eyewear, or maintain or expand existing market share in the military and digital camera application segments, revenues from CyberDisplay products will decline, which may impact our ability to achieve or maintain profitability in the future.

Accordingly, if we are unable to successfully sell our display products to digital still cameras, eyewear, and military product makers, we may be unable to grow CyberDisplay product revenues and our ability to achieve or maintain profitability will be adversely affected.

The eyewear market segment may not develop or may take longer to develop than we anticipate. Eyewear is the term used by the Company to describe a device which is worn in a similar fashion as eye glasses and contains one or two CyberDisplay displays for the viewing of video images. The source of these video images may be storage devices such as video iPods, DVD players or digital multimedia broadcasting (DMB) tuners. Currently the consumer may view the image through a direct view LCD display which may range in size from one to four inches diagonal. We believe that the consumer will find this experience unsatisfactory and we believe eyewear will be a preferred solution. We sell, to commercial eyewear

Table of Contents

manufacturers, individual components such as the display, backlight and integrated circuits, or a binocular display module (BDM), which contains the various components combined into one unit or, we sell a complete eyewear solution which contains the BDM and audio elements combined in a ready to use product. The eyewear manufacturing companies which are currently buying our displays for eyewear products tend to be small with limited financial resources and in-house engineering expertise. We believe that eyewear is a critical product for the long term revenue and cash flow growth of the CyberDisplay product line. If the eyewear market does not develop or we are unable to create and manufacture products which meet the needs of the eyewear market we may be unable to grow CyberDisplay product revenues and our ability to achieve or maintain profitability will be adversely affected.

We may not be able to increase our military production capacity. A critical part of our business strategy is to expand our military display production capacity and to implement a new manufacturing line which can utilize 8 inch wafers for our display production. The conversion of our existing 6 inch line to 8-inch will require the investment in new equipment and the redesign of our existing display products. It may also require the re-qualification of our existing display products with our customers. If we are unable to execute our military product display production facility plan, including the implementation of an 8 inch production line, or we can only manufacture and ship our CyberDisplay products in limited quantities, our revenues from CyberDisplay products may not grow, which may impact our ability to achieve or maintain profitability in the future.

Our ability to offer and manufacture higher level CyberDisplay assemblies and modules will impact our ability to increase revenues and achieve or maintain profitability. An important factor in our ability to expand into new military markets will be our ability to design and manufacture higher-level assemblies (HLAs). These HLAs typically consist of one or two CyberDisplay products, a backlight, lens and housing. Some HLAs also include a set of display driver electronics or a display driver chip. They are similar to our commercial BDM product but are designed to operate in the extreme conditions of combat. Our goal is to deliver an integrated HLA to our customer which eases integration into their products. These products require more complex integration of a greater variety of components than we currently use for our existing display products. They will require us to invest in additional engineering, manufacturing and test capability. Accordingly, if we are unable to develop and market these new display products or if we are unable to manufacture them in a cost-effective manner, our revenues may not grow and we may not be able to achieve or maintain profitability.

Our competitors can provide integrated solutions. Many portable consumer electronic devices, including camcorders and digital still cameras, have two displays for viewing images, an electronic viewfinder (EVF) and a flip-out or group view display. We only provide the display that is used as the electronic viewfinder. Our competitors may offer both EVF and flip-out displays and both displays may be run by the same interface electronics. A customer who buys our display is required to buy the flip-out display from another vendor who may compete with us. This may require our customer to purchase additional interface electronics to run our display. Our competitors may be able to offer a bundled solution of both displays and the interface electronics cheaper than the cost of buying our display and the other display and the interface electronics separately. If we are unable to offer displays with sufficient performance advantages over other displays to justify the additional cost of buying individual components versus a bundled solution or if our customers can not procure cost efficient interface electronics to run our display products we may lose market share or be unable to grow our business which in turn would adversely affect our ability to achieve or maintain profitability.

Our CyberDisplay products may not be widely accepted by the market. Our success will in large part depend on the widespread adoption of the viewing format of our CyberDisplay products in multiple applications. Our success also depends upon the widespread consumer acceptance of our customers products. CyberDisplay products work best when used close to the eye, which may not be acceptable to consumers. Potential customers may be reluctant to adopt our CyberDisplay products because of concerns surrounding perceived risks relating to:

The introduction of our display technology generally;

Consumer acceptance of our CyberDisplay products; and

The relative complexity, reliability, usefulness and cost-effectiveness of our display products compared to other display products available in the market or that may be developed by our competitors.

In addition, our customers may be reluctant to rely upon a relatively small company like us for a critical component. We cannot assure investors that prospective customers will adopt our CyberDisplay products or that consumers will accept our CyberDisplay products in future applications. If we fail to achieve market acceptance of our CyberDisplay products, our business may not be successful and we may not be able to achieve or maintain profitability.

Edgar Filing: KOPIN CORP - Form 10-Q

Our ability to manufacture and distribute our CyberDisplay products would be severely limited if the third parties that we rely on to manufacture integrated circuits for our CyberDisplay products fail to provide those services. We depend on a Taiwanese company and a Korean company for the fabrication of integrated circuits for our CyberDisplay products. We have no long-term contracts with either of these two companies. These two companies use different methods to manufacture the integrated circuits and a shortage at one company cannot necessarily be supplied by the other company. If either company

Table of Contents

were to terminate its arrangement with us or become unable to provide the required capacity and quality on a timely basis, we would be able to manufacture and ship our CyberDisplay products only in limited quantities until replacement foundry services could be obtained. Furthermore, we cannot assure investors that we would be able to establish alternative manufacturing and packaging relationships on acceptable terms.

Our reliance on these foundries involves certain risks, including:

Lack of control over production capacity and delivery schedules;

Limited control over quality assurance, manufacturing yields and production costs;

The risks associated with international commerce, including unexpected changes in legal and regulatory requirements, changes in tariffs and trade policies and political and economic instability; and

Natural disasters such as earthquakes, tsunami, mudslides, drought, hurricanes and tornadoes.

One of the foundries and several other third parties with which we do business are located in Taiwan. Due to natural disasters such as earthquakes and typhoons that have occasionally occurred in Taiwan, many Taiwanese companies, including the Taiwanese foundry we use, have experienced related business interruptions. Our business could suffer significantly if either of the foundries we use had operations which were disrupted for an extended period of time, due to natural disaster, political unrest or otherwise. In addition, our CyberDisplays are manufactured on 6-inch silicon wafers. Although we are installing an 8-inch manufacturing line we currently do not anticipate redesigning all of our displays made on 6-inch wafers so they can be manufactured on 8-inch wafers. We cannot be assured that if the 6-inch manufacturing facilities we use were damaged they would be restored. If the 6-inch production facilities were not restored we may be required to redesign our displays so that they can be manufactured on an 8-inch production line. If the displays had to be redesigned we may have to have the displays re-qualified by our customers, which would adversely affect our business until such qualification is complete.

In fiscal year 2003, there was an outbreak of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS). There were reports that consumer demand was negatively impacted by the outbreak of SARS. Our sales, manufacturing and distribution processes, and in turn our overall business operations, may be adversely affected if SARS, Avian Flu or similar situations occur.

We depend on third parties to provide integrated circuit chip sets and other critical raw materials for use with our CyberDisplay products. We do not manufacture the integrated circuit chip sets necessary for use with our CyberDisplay products. Instead, we rely on third party independent contractors for these integrated circuit chip sets and other critical raw materials such as special glasses and chemicals. We also use third parties to assemble our binocular display module (BDM). The critical raw materials, including the glasses and chemicals used in manufacturing the CyberDisplay products are used by other display manufacturers, many of which are much larger than Kopin. In addition, our higher-level CyberDisplay assemblies, BDMs, HLAs and other modules include lenses, backlights, printed circuit boards and other components, which we purchase from third party suppliers. Some of these third party contractors and suppliers are small companies with limited financial resources. In addition, relative to the commercial market, the military buys a small number of units which prevents us from qualifying and buying components economically from multiple vendors. If any of these third party contractors or suppliers were unable or unwilling to supply these integrated circuit chip sets or other critical raw materials to us, we would be unable to manufacture and sell our CyberDisplay products until a replacement supplier could be found. We cannot assure investors that a replacement third party contractor or supplier could be found on reasonable terms or in a timely manner. As recently as the third quarter of 2006, we have experienced situations when our vendors could not supply the quantity or quality of critical raw materials we needed. As a result, we were unable to meet customer demand and our revenues, manufacturing yield and gross margins were adversely affected. Currently there is strong worldwide demand for display materials because of the significant growth of display sales over the last few years. In addition, there is strong demand for silicon wafers as a result of an increase in demand for solar cell. Any interruption in our ability to manufacture and distribute our CyberDisplay products could cause our display business to be unsuccessful and the value of investors' investment in us may decline.

If we are unable to significantly increase our unit sales volume and reduce our production costs, our business will suffer. Our III-V and CyberDisplay product lines currently have significant fixed costs and our ability to achieve or maintain profitability depends upon achieving significant sales volumes and higher gross profit margins. Our III-V product group is primarily comprised of heterojunction bipolar transistor (HBT) products. If we are unable to increase our III-V and CyberDisplay production levels and reduce manufacturing costs, we may lose customer orders and our business may be unprofitable.

Edgar Filing: KOPIN CORP - Form 10-Q

We may be unable to increase revenues from our HBT transistor wafers if the third party foundries we plan on using can not get qualified or are unable to produce the required product. We have entered into an agreement with Kopin Taiwan Corporation (KTC) to provide foundry services to manufacture HBT transistor wafers for us. We entered into this agreement

Table of Contents

to provide us with additional capacity if needed. The ability to use KTC as a foundry is predicated on our ability to have our customers qualify our products, which utilize KTC's HBT transistor wafers. If we are unable to get the products which utilize KTC's wafers qualified by our customers and we are unable to meet customer demand utilizing only our internal resources we may lose customer orders and our profitability may be negatively affected.

We may not be able to increase revenues and maintain profitability if we are unable to qualify our large capacity metal organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) reactors. During 2006, we acquired MOCVD reactors that can produce our HBTs products on either 4 or 6 inch gallium arsenide (GaAs) wafers. We acquired the reactors primarily for their ability to process 6 inch GaAs wafers. We currently have older reactors which also can manufacture HBTs using either 4 or 6 inch GaAs wafers and we sell HBT products on both 4 and 6 inch GaAs wafers. We believe the industry will migrate to 6 inch wafers over the next several years. Our largest customer, who accounted for approximately 49% of our fiscal year 2006 revenues, purchases our HBT product on 4 inch GaAs wafers and we expect this customer will eventually migrate to 6 inch GaAs wafers in its manufacturing process. If we are unable to get our reactors qualified by this customer and other customers or if we are able to get the reactor qualified but can not manufacture the quantity our customers require or can not manufacture HBT products on 6 inch GaAs wafers in a cost effective manner our revenues and profitability will decline significantly.

We may be unable to increase revenues from HBT transistor wafers if new product applications are not developed. A critical market for our HBTs is wireless handsets. The growth rate of the wireless handset market has slowed over the last several years. We expect prices of our HBT transistor will decline by approximately 5 to 10 percent during fiscal year 2008. If the wireless handset unit volume grows in the range of 5 to 10 percent for the fiscal year 2008 our HBT revenues may decrease unless we increase our market share or new markets are developed. Revenues may also decline if we lose any of our customers or such customers reduce their orders from us. Accordingly, if we are unable to find additional applications for our HBT transistor wafers or increase our market share, our HBT transistor revenue may not grow and such absence of growth may impact our ability to achieve or maintain profitability.

We generally do not have long-term contracts with our CyberDisplay customers, which makes forecasting our revenues and operating results difficult. We generally do not enter into long-term agreements with our commercial CyberDisplay customers obligating them to purchase our products. Our business is characterized by short-term purchase orders and shipment schedules and we generally permit orders to be canceled or rescheduled before shipment without significant penalty. As a result, our customers may cease purchasing our products at any time, which makes forecasting our revenues difficult. In addition, due to the absence of substantial non-cancelable backlog, we typically plan our production and inventory levels based on internal forecasts of customer demand, which are highly unpredictable and can fluctuate substantially. Our operating results are difficult to forecast because we are continuing to invest in capital equipment and increasing our operating expenses for new product development. If we fail to accurately forecast our revenues and operating results, our business may not be successful and the value of investors' investment in us may decline.

We may not be able to realize any profits under a multi-year supply agreement with a significant HBT customer. We have a supply agreement with a significant HBT customer that expires in July 2008, excluding a last buy option contained in the agreement. Under the terms of this agreement we have agreed to maintain capacity levels for manufacturing HBT wafers and we committed to a declining pricing schedule. The agreement also requires us to give prior notice if we exit our HBT product line. In consideration for this agreement the customer agreed to source 100% of its HBT wafer needs from us subject to the customer's right to source HBT wafers from other sources if we are unable to meet its requirements under certain circumstances. We agreed that failure to meet our supply obligations under the agreement would allow our customer to obtain court ordered specific performance. If we do not perform we could then be liable for monetary damages up to a maximum of \$45 million. The agreement obligates us to provide wafers at preset prices and as a result, our ability to make a profit under this agreement will be subject to fluctuations in the prices of raw materials, meeting customer wafer demand and to any increase in costs of goods or services required for us to perform under the agreement. If we are unable to manufacture the HBT wafers below these preset prices we may not be able to achieve or maintain profitability. There can be no assurance that this customer will agree to renew or extend our agreement when it is due to expire in which case we would potentially lose significant sales of our HBT products.

We may have to record additional impairment losses. In fiscal year 2004, we entered into an agreement to transfer our CyberLite LED operations into the KoBrite joint venture. Our CyberLite LED operations were performed in our facility located at 200 John Hancock Road, Taunton, MA. In addition, a portion of our III-V product line operations was performed in our 200 John Hancock Road facility. With the discontinuance of the CyberLite LED operations the recoverability of the 200 John Hancock Road leasehold improvement assets will be evaluated based on the cash flow from our III-V product line. In fiscal year 2004, based upon forecasted cash flow of our III-V product line, we recorded an impairment charge of \$3.2 million. We use our Korean operation for the back end packing steps required to manufacture some of our commercial CyberDisplay products. Our competition is moving more of its manufacturing operations to China which may make our

Table of Contents

Korean subsidiary unprofitable and require us to exit it. We recently installed new MOCVD reactors for our III-V product line and a new 8-inch manufacturing line for our display products. The forecasts used in our impairment analyses are based on certain estimates relating to III-V and CyberDisplay product line cash flows generated from these new equipments and previously owned equipment. If such estimates were too high, we may be required to record an additional impairment charge in the future.

We may record additional losses from our investment in the KoBrite joint venture, which may impact our ability to achieve or maintain profitability. We account for our investment in the KoBrite joint venture using the equity method, which requires us to record our proportional share of their operating results up to the amount we have invested or committed to support, which is our current \$5.0 million investment. For the nine months ended September 29, 2007 and September 30, 2006 we recorded \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, of losses from the KoBrite joint venture. We anticipate that the joint venture will incur additional losses in the near term. If the joint venture generates operating losses in the future we will record additional losses, which will impact our ability to achieve or maintain profitability.

A disruption to our information technology systems could significantly impact our operations and impact our revenue and profitability. We maintain proprietary data processing systems and use customized software systems. We also use software packages which are no longer supported by their developer. An interruption to these systems for an extended period may impact our ability to operate the businesses and process transactions which could result in a decline in sales and affect our ability to achieve or maintain profitability.

Fluctuations in operating results make financial forecasting difficult and could adversely affect the price of our common stock. Our quarterly and annual revenues and operating results may fluctuate significantly for several reasons, including:

The timing and successful introduction of additional manufacturing capacity;

The timing of the initial selection of our III-V and CyberDisplay products as a component in our customers' new products;

Availability of interface electronics for our CyberDisplay products supplied;

Competitive pressures on selling prices of our products;

The timing and cancellation of customer orders;

Our ability to introduce new products and technologies on a timely basis;

Our ability to successfully reduce costs;

The cancellation of U.S. government contracts; and

Our ability to secure agreements from our major customers for the purchase of our products.

We typically plan our production and inventory levels based on internal forecasts of customer demand, which are highly unpredictable and can fluctuate substantially. Our operating results are difficult to forecast because we are continuing to invest in capital equipment and increasing our operating expenses for new product development.

As a result of these and other factors, investors should not rely on our revenues and our operating results for any one quarter or year as an indication of our future revenues or operating results. If our quarterly revenues or results of operations fall below expectations of investors or

public market analysts, the price of our common stock could fall substantially.

We may be unable to modify our products to meet regulatory or customer requirements. From time to time our products are subject to new domestic and international requirements such as the European Union's Restriction on Hazardous Substances (RoHS) Directive. If we are unable to comply with these regulations we may not be permitted to ship our products, which would adversely affect our revenue and ability to achieve or maintain profitability.

Increased competition may result in decreased demand or lower prices for our products. Competition in the markets for our products is intense and we may not be able to compete successfully. We compete with several companies primarily engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing and selling integrated circuits or alternative display technologies, as well as the supply of other discrete products. Our competitors could develop new process technologies that may be superior to ours, including technologies that target markets in which our products are sold. Many of our existing and potential competitors have strong market position, considerable internal manufacturing capacity, established intellectual property rights and substantial technological capabilities. Furthermore, they also have greater financial, technical, manufacturing, and marketing resources than we do, and we may not be able to compete successfully with them.

In addition, many of our existing and potential customers manufacture or assemble displays and wireless communications devices and have substantial in-house technological capabilities and substantially greater resources than we

Table of Contents

do. We may not be able to sell our products to these customers and they may commercialize their internal capabilities to become our competitors. If one of our large customers establishes internal design and manufacturing capabilities, it could have an adverse effect on our operating results.

We expect competition to increase. This could mean lower prices or reduced demand for our products. Any of these developments would have an adverse effect on our operating results.

Disruptions of our production of our III-V and CyberDisplay products would adversely affect our operating results. If we were to experience any significant disruption in the operation of our facilities, we would be unable to supply III-V and CyberDisplay products to our customers. Our manufacturing processes are highly complex and customer specifications are extremely precise. We periodically modify our processes in an effort to improve yields and product performance and to meet particular customer requirements. In 2008 we anticipate establishing an 8-inch CyberDisplay manufacturing line. Converting to an 8-inch line will require changes to our manufacturing processes. Process changes or other problems that occur in the complex manufacturing process can result in interruptions in production or significantly reduced yields. Although we have two domestic manufacturing facilities for our HBT products only one of the facilities contains our quality control testing and inspection systems. Loss of this facility could prevent us from shipping product made at our other facility. Additionally, as we introduce new equipment into our manufacturing processes, our III-V and CyberDisplay products could be subject to especially wide variations in manufacturing yields and efficiency. We may experience manufacturing problems that would result in delays in product introduction and delivery or yield fluctuations. We are also subject to the risks associated with the shortage of raw materials used in the manufacture of our products.

If we fail to keep pace with changing technologies, we may lose customers. Rapidly changing customer requirements, evolving technologies and industry standards characterize the wireless communications, semiconductor materials and display industries. To achieve our goals, we need to enhance our existing products and develop and market new products that keep pace with continuing changes in industry standards, requirements and customer preferences. If we cannot keep pace with these changes, our business could suffer.

We may not be successful in protecting our intellectual property and proprietary rights. Our success depends in part on our ability to protect our intellectual property and proprietary rights. We have obtained certain domestic and foreign patents and we intend to continue to seek patents on our inventions when appropriate. We also attempt to protect our proprietary information with contractual arrangements and under trade secret laws. Our employees and consultants generally enter into agreements containing provisions with respect to confidentiality and the assignment of rights to inventions made by them while in our employ. These measures may not adequately protect our intellectual and proprietary rights. Existing trade secret, trademark and copyright laws afford only limited protection and our patents could be invalidated or circumvented. Moreover, the laws of certain foreign countries in which our products are or may be manufactured or sold may not fully protect our intellectual property rights. Misappropriation of our technology and the costs of defending our intellectual property rights from misappropriation could substantially impair our business. If we are unable to protect our intellectual property and proprietary rights, our business may not be successful and the value of investors' investment in us may decline.

Our products could infringe on the intellectual property rights of others. Companies in the wireless communications, semiconductor and display industries steadfastly pursue and protect intellectual property rights. This has resulted in considerable and costly litigation to determine the validity of patents and claims by third parties of infringement of patents or other intellectual property. Our products, including former products such as our light emitting diodes (LEDs), could be found to infringe on the intellectual property rights of others. Other companies may hold or obtain patents or inventions or other proprietary rights in technology necessary for our business. Periodically companies inquire about our products and technology in their attempts to assess whether we violate their intellectual property rights. If we are forced to defend against infringement claims, we may face such costly litigation, diversion of technical and management personnel, and product shipment delays, even if the allegations of infringement are unwarranted. If there is a successful claim of infringement against us and we are unable to develop non-infringing technology or license the infringed or similar technology on a timely basis, or if we are required to cease using one or more of our business or product names due to a successful trademark infringement claim against us, it could adversely affect our business.

Our business could suffer if we lose the services of, or fail to attract, key personnel. In order to continue to provide quality products in our rapidly changing business, we believe it is important to retain personnel with experience and expertise relevant to our business. Our success depends in large part upon a number of key management and technical employees. The loss of the services of one or more key employees, including Dr. John C.C. Fan, our President and Chief Executive Officer, could seriously impede our success. We do not maintain any key-man insurance policies on Dr. Fan or any other employees. In addition, due to the level of technical and marketing expertise necessary to support our existing and new customers, our success will depend upon our ability to attract and retain highly skilled management, technical, and sales and marketing personnel. Competition for highly skilled personnel is intense and there may be only a limited number of persons with the requisite skills to serve in these positions. If the III-V or CyberDisplay markets experience an upturn, we may need

Table of Contents

to increase our workforce. Due to the competitive nature of the labor markets in which we operate, we may be unsuccessful in attracting and retaining these personnel. Our inability to attract and retain key personnel could adversely affect our ability to develop and manufacture our products.

Recent rulemaking by the Financial Accounting Standards Board requires us to expense equity compensation given to our employees and may reduce our ability to effectively utilize equity compensation to attract and retain employees. We historically have used stock options as a significant component of our employee compensation program in order to align employees' interests with the interests of our stockholders, encourage employee retention, and provide competitive compensation packages. The Financial Accounting Standards Board has adopted changes that require companies to record a charge to earnings based on the fair value of employee stock-based awards and other equity incentives effective January 1, 2006, which we have adopted. By causing us to incur significantly increased compensation costs, such accounting changes may cause us to reduce the availability and amount of equity incentives provided to employees, which may make it more difficult for us to attract, retain and motivate key personnel.

We may pursue acquisitions and investments that could adversely affect our business. In the past we have made, and in the future we may make, acquisitions of, and investments in, businesses, products and technologies that could complement or expand our business. If we identify an acquisition candidate, we may not be able to successfully negotiate or finance the acquisition or integrate the acquired businesses, products or technologies into our existing business and products. Future acquisitions could result in potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities, the incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities, amortization expenses and write-downs of acquired assets.

We may incur significant liabilities if we fail to comply with stringent environmental and the International Traffic in Arms regulations or if we did not comply with these regulations in the past. We are subject to a variety of federal, state and local governmental regulations related to the use, storage, discharge and disposal of toxic or otherwise hazardous chemicals used in our manufacturing process. We are also subject to federal International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) laws which regulate the export of technical data and sale of products to other nations which may use these products for military purposes. The failure to comply with present or future regulations could result in fines being imposed on us, suspension of production, or a cessation of operations. Any failure on our part to control the use of, or adequately restrict the discharge of, hazardous substances, or otherwise comply with environmental regulations, could subject us to significant future liabilities. Any failure on our part to obtain any required licenses for the export of technical data and/or sales of our products or to otherwise comply with ITAR, could subject us to significant future liabilities. In addition, we cannot be certain that we have not in the past violated applicable laws or regulations, which violations could result in required remediation or other liabilities. We also cannot be certain that past use or disposal of environmentally sensitive materials in conformity with then existing environmental laws and regulations will protect us from required remediation or other liabilities under current or future environmental laws or regulations.

Investors should not expect to receive dividends from us. We have not paid cash dividends in the past, nor do we expect to pay cash dividends for the foreseeable future. We anticipate that earnings, if any, will be retained for the development of our businesses.

Our stock price may be volatile in the future. The trading price of our common stock has been subject to wide fluctuations in response to quarter-to-quarter variations in results of operations, announcements of technological innovations or new products by us or our competitors, general conditions in the wireless communications, semiconductor and display markets, changes in earnings estimates by analysts or other events or factors. In addition, the public stock markets recently have experienced extreme price and trading volatility. This volatility has significantly affected the market prices of securities of many technology companies for reasons frequently unrelated to the operating performance of the specific companies. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The information required by this item regarding share repurchases by the Company is reported in herein in Part 1, Item 2 under Liquidity and Capital Resources .

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. **Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

None

Item 5. **Other Information**

None

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (1)
3.2	Amended to Certificate of Incorporation (2)
3.3	Amended to Certificate of Incorporation (2)
3.4	Second Amended and Restated By-laws (3)
31.1	Certificate of John C.C. Fan, Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350).
31.2	Certificate of Richard A. Sneider, Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant, filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350).
32.1	Certificate of John C.C. Fan, Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, furnished pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350).
32.2	Certificate of Richard A. Sneider, Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant, furnished pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350).

- (1) Filed as an exhibit to Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-57450, and incorporated herein by reference
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period July 1, 2000 and incorporated by reference herein
- (3) Filed a an exhibit to Annual Report on Form 8-K on October 9, 2001 and incorporated by reference herein.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

KOPIN CORPORATION

(Registrant)

Date: March 17, 2008

By:

/s/ JOHN C.C. FAN
John C.C. Fan

President, Chief Executive Officer and

Chairman of the Board of Directors

(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: March 17, 2008

By:

/s/ RICHARD A. SNEIDER
Richard A. Sneider

Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)