

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.
Form S-11/A
December 06, 2010
Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2010

Registration No. 333-170751

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 1

TO

FORM S-11

FOR REGISTRATION UNDER THE
SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 OF SECURITIES
OF CERTAIN REAL ESTATE COMPANIES

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Governing Instruments)

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1600, Los Angeles, California 90025

(310) 445-5700

(Address, Including Zip Code and Telephone Number, Including Area Code,

of Registrant's Principal Executive Offices)

Victor J. Coleman

Chief Executive Officer

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.

11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1600, Los Angeles, California 90025

(310) 445-5700

(Name, Address, Including Zip Code and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Agent for Service)

Copies to:

Julian T.H. Kleindorfer, Esq.

David W. Bonser, Esq.

Bradley A. Helms, Esq.

Samantha S. Gallagher, Esq.

Latham & Watkins LLP

Hogan Lovells US LLP

355 South Grand Ave.

555 Thirteenth Street, NW

Los Angeles, California 90071

Washington, D.C. 20004

(213) 485-1234

(202) 637-5600

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes effective.

If any of the Securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act, check the following box: "

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement of the same offering. "

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, check the following box. "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer x

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer "

Smaller reporting company "

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment that specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until this registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Table of Contents

The information in this preliminary prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This preliminary prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to Completion,

Preliminary Prospectus dated December 6, 2010

PROSPECTUS

3,000,000 Shares

% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock
(Liquidation Preference \$25.00 per share)

We are offering 3,000,000 shares of our % Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, which we refer to in this prospectus as our series B preferred stock. We have granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to 450,000 additional shares of our series B preferred stock to cover overallocments.

Dividends on our series B preferred stock will be cumulative from the date of original issue and payable quarterly on or about the last calendar day of each March, June, September and December, commencing on or about December 31, 2010, at the rate of % per annum of its liquidation preference, which is equivalent to \$ per annum per share. If following a change of control of our company, either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not listed on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, or quoted on the NASDAQ Stock Market, or NASDAQ (or listed or quoted on a successor exchange or quotation system), holders of our series B preferred stock will be entitled to receive cumulative cash dividends from, and including, the first date on which both the change of control occurred and either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not so listed or quoted, at the increased rate of % per annum per share of the liquidation preference of our series B preferred stock (equivalent to \$ per annum per share) for as long as either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not so listed or quoted. The first dividend on our series B preferred stock sold in this offering is payable on December 31, 2010 (in the amount of \$ per share).

Except in instances relating to preservation of our qualification as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, or in connection with a change of control of our company, our series B preferred stock is not redeemable prior to December , 2015. On and after December , 2015, we may redeem our series B preferred stock in whole, at any time, or in part, from time to time, for cash at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends to, but not including, the date of redemption. If at any time following a change of control either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not listed on the NYSE or quoted on NASDAQ (or listed or quoted on a successor exchange or quotation system), we will have the option to redeem our series B preferred stock, in whole but not in part, within 90 days after the first date on which both the change of control has occurred and either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not so listed or quoted, for cash at \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, to, but not including, the redemption date. Our series B preferred stock has no maturity date and will remain outstanding indefinitely unless redeemed by us, and it is not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption and is not convertible into any of our other securities.

We are organized and conduct our operations in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT. To assist us in complying with certain federal income tax requirements applicable to REITs, our charter contains certain restrictions relating to the ownership and transfer of our capital stock, including an ownership limit of 9.8% of the outstanding shares of our series B preferred stock.

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

No market currently exists for our series B preferred stock. We have applied to list our series B preferred stock on the NYSE under the symbol HPP Pr B. If the application is approved, trading of the series B preferred stock is expected to commence within 30 days after the initial delivery of the series B preferred stock.

See **Risk Factors** beginning on page 17 of this prospectus for certain risks relevant to an investment in our series B preferred stock.

	Per Share	Total
Public offering price	\$	\$
Underwriting discount	\$	\$
Proceeds, before expenses, to us	\$	\$

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The series B preferred stock sold in this offering will be ready for delivery in book-entry form through The Depository Trust Company on or about December , 2010.

Wells Fargo Securities

BofA Merrill Lynch

Barclays Capital

Morgan Stanley

The date of this prospectus is December , 2010

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PROSPECTUS SUMMARY</u>	1
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	17
<u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	42
<u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	43
<u>RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES AND PREFERRED DIVIDENDS</u>	44
<u>PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DISTRIBUTIONS</u>	45
<u>CAPITALIZATION</u>	46
<u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	47
<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	50
<u>BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES</u>	76
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	115
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	124
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</u>	136
<u>POLICIES WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN ACTIVITIES</u>	141
	Page
<u>STRUCTURE OF OUR COMPANY</u>	147
<u>DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT OF HUDSON PACIFIC PROPERTIES, L.P.</u>	150
<u>PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS</u>	166
<u>DESCRIPTION OF SERIES B PREFERRED STOCK</u>	168
<u>DESCRIPTION OF STOCK</u>	179
<u>MATERIAL PROVISIONS OF MARYLAND LAW AND OF OUR CHARTER AND BYLAWS</u>	186
<u>FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS</u>	193
<u>ERISA CONSIDERATIONS</u>	215
<u>UNDERWRITING</u>	218
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	224
<u>EXPERTS</u>	224
<u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u>	225
<u>INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	F-i

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus, or in any free writing prospectus prepared by us, or information to which we have referred you. We have not, and the underwriters have not, authorized anyone to provide you with different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. We are not, and the underwriters are not, making an offer to sell these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. You should assume that the information appearing in this prospectus and any free writing prospectus prepared by us is accurate only as of their respective dates or on the date or dates that are specified in these documents. Our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects may have changed since those dates.

We use market data and industry forecasts and projections throughout this prospectus, and in particular in the section entitled "Business and Properties." We have obtained substantially all of this information from a market study prepared for us by Rosen Consulting Group, or RCG, a nationally recognized real estate consulting firm. We have paid RCG a fee of \$40,000 for such services. See "Experts." In addition, we have obtained certain market and industry data from publicly available industry publications. These sources generally state that the information they provide has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but that the accuracy and completeness of the information are not guaranteed. The forecasts and projections are based on industry surveys and the preparers' experience in the industry, and there is no assurance that any of the projected amounts will be achieved. We believe that the surveys and market research others have performed are reliable, but we have not independently verified this information. Any forecasts prepared by RCG are based on data (including third party data), models and experience of various professionals, and are based on various assumptions, all of which

are subject to change without notice.

Table of Contents

This prospectus makes reference to the percent leased of the properties in our portfolio. We calculate percent leased as (i) square feet under lease for which rent has commenced, divided by (ii) total square feet, expressed as a percentage.

We are under contract to acquire an office building located at 10950 Washington Boulevard in Culver City, California, which we refer to as the 10950 Washington property. While we believe that we will consummate the acquisition of the 10950 Washington property, we cannot assure you that we will, because consummation of the acquisition remains subject to the satisfaction of customary closing conditions, including the receipt of requisite estoppels and the assumption of indebtedness. Information in this prospectus with respect to the 10950 Washington property, including square feet, tenants, leasing, rents, commissions, credits and allowances and lease expirations, has been provided by the sellers of such property and we are still in the process of conducting our due diligence on the property.

Table of Contents

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

The following summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. This summary is not complete and does not contain all of the information that you should consider before investing in our series B preferred stock. You should read the entire prospectus carefully, including the section entitled Risk Factors, as well as our historical and pro forma financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus, before making an investment decision. Unless the context suggests otherwise, references in this prospectus to we, our, us and our company are to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., a Maryland corporation, together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership of which we are the sole general partner and which we refer to in this prospectus as our operating partnership. Unless otherwise indicated, the information contained in this prospectus is as of September 30, 2010 and assumes (i) that the underwriters' overallotment option is not exercised and (ii) the completion of our acquisition of the 10950 Washington property, the timing and completion of which is uncertain. Additionally, unless otherwise indicated, portfolio property data as of September 30, 2010 relating to square feet, tenants, leasing, rents, commissions, credits and allowances and lease expirations includes such data for 10950 Washington, which we are under contract to acquire.

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.

We are a full-service, vertically integrated real estate company focused on owning, operating and acquiring high-quality office properties in select growth markets primarily in Northern and Southern California. Our investment strategy is focused on high barrier-to-entry, in-fill locations with favorable, long-term supply-demand characteristics. These markets include Los Angeles, Orange County, San Diego, San Francisco, Silicon Valley and the East Bay, which we refer to as our target markets. Our portfolio includes ten owned properties and one property under contract, the 10950 Washington property, which collectively total approximately 2.4 million square feet. These properties are strategically located in many of our target markets.

We were formed as a Maryland corporation in 2009 to succeed the business of Hudson Capital, LLC, a Los Angeles-based real estate investment firm founded by Victor J. Coleman and Howard S. Stern, our Chief Executive Officer and President, respectively. We completed our initial public offering on June 29, 2010.

We have access to and are actively pursuing a pipeline of potential acquisitions consistent with our investment strategy. We believe Mr. Coleman's and Mr. Stern's successful history of operating a publicly traded real estate company, significant expertise in operating in the California office sector and extensive, long-term relationships with real estate owners, developers and lenders, coupled with our conservative capital structure and access to capital, will allow us to capitalize on the current market opportunity.

We focus our investment strategy on office properties located in submarkets with growth potential as well as on underperforming properties that provide opportunities to implement a value-add strategy to increase occupancy rates and cash flow. This strategy includes active management, aggressive leasing efforts, focused capital improvement programs, the reduction and containment of operating costs and an emphasis on tenant satisfaction. We believe our senior management team's experience in the California office sector positions us to improve cash flow in our portfolio, as well as any newly acquired properties, as the California economy and the real estate markets begin to recover.

Our portfolio consists of nine office properties totaling approximately 1.5 million square feet, which were approximately 83.2% leased as of September 30, 2010 (or 87.0%, giving effect to leases signed but not commenced as of that date), and two state-of-the-art media and entertainment properties comprising 544,763 square feet of office and support space and approximately 312,669 square feet of sound-stage production facilities. We also own 1.85 acres of undeveloped land adjacent to our media and entertainment properties,

Table of Contents

which, together with redevelopment opportunities at our media and entertainment properties, could support over one million square feet of additional office and support space. Our properties are concentrated in premier submarkets that have high barriers to entry with limited supply of land, high construction costs and rigorous entitlement processes.

We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes on our federal income tax return for our taxable year ending December 31, 2010. We believe that we have operated, and we intend to continue operating, in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with such taxable year. We conduct substantially all of our business through our operating partnership, of which we serve as the sole general partner, and own approximately 89.5% of the outstanding common units of partnership interest in our operating partnership, or common units. See Description of the Partnership Agreement of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P.

Recent Developments

Our Initial Public Offering

We completed our initial public offering on June 29, 2010, pursuant to which we sold an aggregate of 14,720,000 shares of our common stock (including 1,920,000 shares sold pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option in full) at an offering price of \$17.00 per share. We received net proceeds from our initial public offering (including the underwriters' exercise of their overallotment option in full) of approximately \$250.2 million before underwriting discounts and commissions and other offering costs. Concurrently with our initial public offering, we completed a private placement of 1,176,471 shares of our common stock to Victor J. Coleman and funds affiliated with Farallon Capital Management, L.L.C. at the initial public offering price per share of \$17.00, which we refer to in this prospectus as the private placement. The total proceeds of the private placement were \$20.0 million (no underwriting costs were incurred in connection with the private placement).

Investment Activities

On August 13, 2010, we acquired the Del Amo Office property and its related ground sublease for \$27.5 million in cash (before closing costs and prorations), which was paid with a portion of the proceeds of our initial public offering. The Del Amo Office property is subject to a ground sublease expiring June 30, 2049.

On August 24, 2010, we acquired a leasehold interest in 9300 Wilshire Boulevard, a six-story office building located in Beverly Hills, California, for \$15.0 million in cash (before prorations). The 9300 Wilshire Boulevard property is subject to a ground lease expiring August 14, 2032.

On October 6, 2010, we became obligated under a definitive asset purchase agreement with an unrelated third party to acquire the 10950 Washington property, an office building located in Culver City, California, for approximately \$46.0 million, subject to closing costs and prorations. In addition, the purchase of the 10950 Washington property is subject to the completion of various closing conditions, including the receipt of requisite estoppels and the assumption of an existing \$30.0 million loan, which could delay or prevent the acquisition of the property. The closing of the acquisition is expected to take place by December 30, 2010, after all necessary approvals for the loan assumption have been received. We cannot assure you that the acquisition will be consummated on the anticipated schedule or at all. If we fail to close the purchase as required after the satisfaction or waiver of all closing conditions, we will be liable to the sellers for liquidated damages in an amount equal to the \$1.0 million deposit made by us pursuant to the asset purchase agreement.

Table of Contents

On October 8, 2010, we acquired 222 Kearny Street, a 144,440 square foot, two-building office property located in San Francisco, California, from an unrelated third party for \$34.9 million in cash (before prorations), which was funded with \$34.5 million of borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility and the remainder from available cash. A portion representing approximately 64% of the building area of the 222 Kearny Street property (excluding the 180 Sutter building) is subject to a long-term ground lease expiring June 14, 2054.

Distributions

On September 22, 2010, we declared a dividend to common stockholders of record and our operating partnership declared a distribution to holders of record of common units, in each case as of September 30, 2010, totaling \$2,410,288, or \$0.0971 per common share and common unit. This dividend consisted of a quarterly dividend of \$0.095 per common share and common unit for the period from July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 and a pro rata dividend of \$0.0021 per common share and common unit covering the period from the consummation of our initial public offering on June 29, 2010 through June 30, 2010. The dividend and distribution were paid on October 15, 2010.

On September 22, 2010, our operating partnership declared a distribution to holders of record of its 6.25% Cumulative Redeemable Convertible Series A Preferred Units, or series A preferred units, as of September 30, 2010, totaling \$199,206, or \$0.3992 per series A preferred unit. This distribution consisted of a quarterly dividend of \$0.3906 per series A preferred unit for the period from July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 and a pro rata dividend of \$0.0086 per series A preferred unit covering the period from the consummation of our initial public offering on June 29, 2010 through June 30, 2010. The distribution was paid on October 15, 2010.

Corporate Information

Our principal executive offices are located at 11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1600, Los Angeles, California 90025. Our telephone number is 310-445-5700. Our Web site address is www.hudsonpacificproperties.com. The information on, or otherwise accessible through, our Web site does not constitute a part of this prospectus.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe the following competitive strengths distinguish us from other owners and operators of office properties and enable us to capitalize on the general dislocation in the real estate market to successfully expand and operate our portfolio.

Experienced Management Team with a Proven Track Record of Acquiring and Operating Assets and Managing a Public Office REIT. Our senior management team, led by Victor J. Coleman and Howard S. Stern, our Chief Executive Officer and President, respectively, has an average of over 20 years of experience in owning, acquiring, developing, operating, financing and selling office properties in California.

Committed and Incentivized Management Team. Our senior management team is dedicated to our successful operation and growth, with no real estate business interests outside of our company. Additionally, our senior management team owns approximately 3.9% of our common stock on a fully diluted basis, thereby aligning management's interests with those of our stockholders.

Table of Contents

California Focus with Local and Regional Expertise. We are primarily focused on acquiring and managing office properties in Northern and Southern California, both regions that we believe are well positioned for strong economic recoveries. Additionally, our senior executives have focused their entire real estate careers in California, providing us with a deep knowledge of the major California real estate markets and the local and regional industry participants.

Long-Standing Relationships that Provide Access to an Extensive Pipeline of Investment and Leasing Opportunities. We believe our experience, in-depth market knowledge and extensive network of long-standing relationships with real estate developers, real estate owners, national and regional lenders, brokers, tenants and other market participants drive our ability to identify and capitalize on attractive acquisition opportunities and enhance our leasing efforts. For example, we acquired the 222 Kearny Street property in an off-market transaction that was the result of our long-standing relationship with the lender who had acquired the property through foreclosure. In addition, we believe our relationships with two leading investment management firms, Farallon Capital Management, L.L.C., or Farallon, and Morgan Stanley (investment funds affiliated with which contributed properties to us in connection with our initial public offering), will continue to provide us with critical market intelligence, an ongoing acquisition pipeline and potential joint venture partners.

Growth-Oriented, Flexible and Conservative Capital Structure. We believe our flexible and conservative capital structure provides us with an advantage over many of our private and public competitors. We are free from legacy balance sheet issues and have limited near-term maturities, which allows our management to focus on our business and growth strategies rather than balance sheet repair. In addition, based upon the closing price of our common stock on December 2, 2010, we had a debt-to-market capitalization ratio (counting series A preferred units as debt) of approximately 27.0%, which is substantially lower than many of our office REIT peers.

Irreplaceable Media and Entertainment Assets in a Premier California Submarket. Our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties are located on Sunset Boulevard, just off of the Hollywood Freeway, in the heart of Hollywood, and serve as important facilities for major film and television companies. We believe these assets will remain critical to the media and entertainment business, one of Los Angeles' most important industries, due to their attractive location, a limited supply of developable land and the extensive knowledge required to develop and operate such facilities.

Business and Growth Strategies

Our primary business objectives are to increase operating cash flows, generate long-term growth and maximize stockholder value. Specifically, we intend to pursue the following strategies to achieve these objectives:

Pursue Acquisitions of Distressed and/or Underperforming Office Properties. We intend to capitalize on the attractive investment environment by acquiring properties at meaningful discounts to our estimates of their intrinsic value. Additionally, we intend to acquire properties or portfolios that are distressed due to near-term debt maturities or underperforming properties where we believe better management, focused leasing efforts and/or capital improvements would improve the property's operating performance and value. We believe that our extensive relationships, coupled with our strong balance sheet and access to capital, will allow us to capitalize on value-add opportunities.

Table of Contents

Focus on High Barrier-to-Entry Markets. We target in-fill, suburban markets and central business districts primarily in California. These markets have historically had favorable long-term supply/demand characteristics and significant institutional ownership of real estate, which we believe have helped support real estate fundamentals and valuations over the long term. We believe that these factors will help preserve our capital during periods of economic decline and generate above average returns during periods of economic recovery and growth.

Proactive Asset and Property Management. We intend to actively manage our portfolio, employ aggressive leasing strategies and leverage our existing tenant relationships to increase the occupancy rates at our properties, attract high quality tenants and maximize tenant retention rates. In addition, we have targeted ways to further improve net operating income through controlling or reducing operating costs.

Repositioning and Development of Properties. We intend to leverage our real estate expertise to reposition and redevelop our existing properties, as well as properties that we acquire in the future, with the objective of increasing occupancy, rental rates and risk-adjusted returns on our invested capital. We believe our media and entertainment properties and undeveloped land offer significant growth potential, with over one million square feet of possible incremental development and redevelopment space.

Value Creation Through Capital Recycling Program. We intend to pursue an efficient asset allocation strategy that maximizes the value of our investments by selectively disposing of properties for which returns appear to have been maximized and redeploying capital into acquisition, development and redevelopment opportunities with higher return prospects, in each case in a manner that is consistent with our qualification as a REIT.

Summary Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the matters discussed in the Risk Factors section beginning on page 17 of this prospectus prior to deciding whether to invest in our series B preferred stock. Some of these risks include:

All of our properties are located in California, and we therefore are dependent on the California economy and are susceptible to adverse local regulations and natural disasters affecting California.

We derive a significant portion of our rental revenue from tenants in the media and entertainment industry, which makes us particularly susceptible to demand for rental space in that industry.

As of September 30, 2010, the Farallon Funds owned an approximate 35.9% beneficial common interest in our company on a fully diluted basis and have the ability to exercise significant influence on our company.

The purchase of the 10950 Washington property is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of the property.

We may be unable to identify and complete acquisitions of properties that meet our criteria, which may impede our growth.

As of September 30, 2010, we had approximately \$94.3 million of indebtedness outstanding, and subsequent to September 30, 2010, we have drawn approximately \$34.5 million on our secured revolving credit facility. Our debt service obligations with respect to our indebtedness will reduce cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends on our series B

preferred stock, and expose us to the risk of default.

Table of Contents

Adverse economic and geopolitical conditions and dislocations in the credit markets could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock and ability to satisfy our debt obligations.

We have a limited operating history and may not be able to operate our business successfully or implement our business strategies as described in this prospectus.

We may be unable to renew leases, lease vacant space or re-let space as leases expire.

Our success depends on key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed.

Our board of directors may change our investment and financing policies without stockholder approval and we may become more highly leveraged, which may increase our risk of default under our debt obligations.

Failure to qualify as a REIT would have significant adverse consequences to us and the value of our stock.

The series B preferred stock is a new issuance and does not have an established trading market, which may negatively affect its market value and your ability to transfer or sell your shares; our series B preferred stock has no stated maturity date.

Our series B preferred stock is subordinate to our debt, and your interests could be diluted by the issuance of additional preferred stock, including additional series B preferred stock, and by other transactions.

The series B preferred stock will be subordinated to the series A preferred units, which were issued in connection with our initial public offering and have an aggregate liquidation preference of approximately \$12.5 million.

We may be unable to make distributions at expected levels and we may be required to borrow funds to make distributions.

Our Properties

Our Portfolio

We currently own, or are under contract to acquire, eleven properties located in eight California submarkets, containing a total of approximately 2.4 million square feet, which properties we refer to as our portfolio. The following table presents an overview of our portfolio, based on information as of September 30, 2010. Rental data presented in the table below for office properties reflects base rent on leases in place as of September 30, 2010 and does not reflect actual cash rents historically received because such data does not reflect abatements or, in the case of triple net or modified gross leases, tenant reimbursements for real estate taxes, insurance, common area or other operating expenses. Rental data presented in the table below for media and entertainment properties reflects actual cash base rents, excluding tenant reimbursements, received during the 12 months ended September 30, 2010. Leases at our media and entertainment properties are typically short-term leases of one year or less, and other than the KTLA lease at our Sunset Bronson property, substantially all of the current in-place leases at our media and entertainment properties will expire in 2010 or 2011.

Table of Contents

Property	City	Year Built/ Renovated	Square Feet ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent/ Annual Base Rent ⁽³⁾	Annualized Base Rent/ Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁴⁾	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁵⁾
OFFICE PROPERTIES							
City Plaza	Orange	1969/99	333,922	92.4%	\$ 7,720,361	\$ 25.02	\$ 23.76
875 Howard Street ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	San Francisco	Various	286,270	44.6	1,613,081	12.62	12.06
First Financial	Encino (LA)	1986	222,423	84.8	6,276,734	33.29	35.61
10950 Washington ⁽⁸⁾	Culver City (LA)	Various	158,873	99.5	4,267,320	27.00	29.93
222 Kearny Street ⁽⁹⁾	San Francisco	Various	144,440	79.2	4,154,289	36.31	38.28
Del Amo Office ⁽¹⁰⁾	Torrance	1986	113,000	100.0	3,069,070	27.16	28.38
Technicolor Building	Hollywood (LA)	2008	114,958	100.0	4,103,173	35.69	39.04
Tierrasanta	San Diego	1985	104,234	96.8	1,576,460	15.62	16.44
9300 Wilshire Boulevard ⁽⁷⁾⁽¹¹⁾	Beverly Hills (LA)	1965/2001	58,484	90.5	2,208,098	41.73	42.42
Total/Weighted Average Office Properties:			1,536,604	83.2%⁽¹²⁾	\$ 34,988,586	\$ 27.35	\$ 28.38
MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT PROPERTIES							
Sunset Gower ⁽¹³⁾	Hollywood (LA)	Various	543,709	67.9%	\$ 11,235,379	\$ 30.45	
Sunset Bronson	Hollywood (LA)	Various	313,723	71.0	9,018,143	40.48	
Total/Weighted Average Media & Entertainment Properties:			857,432	69.0%	\$ 20,253,521	\$ 34.23	
LAND							
Sunset Bronson Lot A	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	273,913				
Sunset Bronson Redevelopment	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	389,740				
Sunset Gower Redevelopment	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	423,396				
City Plaza	Orange	N/A	360,000				
Total Land Assets:			1,447,049				
Portfolio Total:			3,841,085				

- (1) Square footage for office and media and entertainment properties has been determined by management based upon estimated leasable square feet, which may be less or more than the Building Owners and Managers Association, or BOMA, rentable area. Square footage may change over time due to remeasurement or releasing. Square footage for land assets represents management's estimate of developable square feet, the majority of which remains subject to receipt of entitlement approvals that have not yet been obtained.
- (2) Percent leased for office properties is calculated as (i) square footage under commenced leases as of September 30, 2010, divided by (ii) total square feet, expressed as a percentage. Percent leased for media and entertainment properties is the average percent leased for the 12 months ended September 30, 2010. As a result of the short-term nature of the leases into which we enter at our media and entertainment properties, and because entertainment industry tenants generally do not shoot on weekends due to higher costs, we believe stabilized occupancy rates at our media and entertainment properties are lower than those rates achievable at our traditional office assets, where tenants enter into longer-term lease arrangements.
- (3) We present rent data for office properties on an annualized basis, and for media and entertainment properties on an annual basis. Annualized base rent for office properties is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. Total abatements with respect to the office properties for leases in effect as of September 30, 2010 for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$1,441,053 pursuant to leases in effect. Annualized base rent, net of abatements, is \$7,200,041 for City Plaza, \$6,176,152 for First Financial, \$3,926,224 for the Technicolor Building, \$1,113,716 for 875 Howard Street and \$2,064,260 for 9300 Wilshire Boulevard. There are no abatements associated

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

with the leases in place as of September 30, 2010 at the Del Amo Office, Tierrasanta, 222 Kearny Street and 10950 Washington properties. Total annualized base rent, net of abatements, for our office properties is \$33,547,533. Annual base rent for media and entertainment properties reflects actual base rent for the 12 months ended September 30, 2010, excluding tenant reimbursements. Our leases at our City Plaza, First Financial, and Del Amo Office properties are full service gross leases, and annualized base rent data for these properties does not

Table of Contents

- reflect tenant reimbursements in excess of the base year expense stop. The leases at our Technicolor, Tierrasanta, 875 Howard Street and 10950 Washington properties, as well as the KTLA lease at the Sunset Bronson property, are either triple net leases or modified gross leases pursuant to which the tenant reimburses the landlord or directly pays for some operating expenses, such as real estate taxes, insurance, common area and other operating expenses, and annualized base rent for these properties does not reflect such amounts. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent for these properties is \$5,388,866 for the Technicolor Building, \$2,093,104 for Tierrasanta, \$2,313,275 for 875 Howard Street, and \$4,580,780 for 10950 Washington. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annual base rent is \$11,272,172 for Sunset Gower and \$10,395,879 for Sunset Bronson.
- (4) Annualized base rent per leased square foot for the office properties is calculated as (i) annualized base rent divided by (ii) square footage under lease as of September 30, 2010. Annual base rent per leased square foot for the media and entertainment properties is calculated as (i) actual base rent for the 12 months ended September 30, 2010, excluding tenant reimbursements, divided by (ii) average square feet under lease for the 12 months ended September 30, 2010. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent per leased square foot is \$46.88 for the Technicolor Building, \$20.74 for Tierrasanta, \$18.10 for 875 Howard Street and \$28.98 for 10950 Washington, and the full service gross equivalent annual base rent per leased square foot is \$30.55 for Sunset Gower and \$46.66 for Sunset Bronson.
- (5) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of September 30, 2010, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of September 30, 2010. Our leases at our City Plaza, First Financial, and Del Amo Office properties are full service gross leases, and annualized net effective base rent data for these properties does not reflect tenant reimbursements in excess of the base year expense stop. The leases at our Technicolor, Tierrasanta and 875 Howard Street properties, as well as the KTLA lease at the Sunset Bronson property, are either triple net leases or modified gross leases pursuant to which the tenant reimburses the landlord or directly pays for some operating expenses, and annualized net effective base rent for these properties does not reflect such amounts. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for these properties is \$49.98 for the Technicolor Building, \$21.56 for Tierrasanta, \$17.39 for 875 Howard Street and \$31.92 for 10950 Washington.
- (6) 875 Howard Street consists of two buildings, a retail building of 94,505 square feet that is 100% leased and an office building of 191,765 square feet that underwent redevelopment, which was completed on April 1, 2010.
- (7) As of September 30, 2010, we had entered into two leases with respect to our 875 Howard Street and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard properties that had not commenced. The following table sets forth certain data with respect to the uncommenced leases.

Property	Uncommenced Leases			
	Leased Square Feet Under Uncommenced Leases ^(a)	Annualized Base Rent Under Uncommenced Leases ^(b)	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot Under Uncommenced Leases ^(c)	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot Under Uncommenced Leases ^(d)
875 Howard Street	55,827	\$ 1,116,538	\$ 20.00	\$ 33.20
9300 Wilshire Boulevard	1,243	\$ 18,645	\$ 15.00	\$ 13.42

- (a) The uncommenced lease for the 875 Howard Street property, which commences on December 14, 2010, is a ten-year lease expiring on December 13, 2020, and contains no rent abatements. The uncommenced lease for the 9300 Wilshire Boulevard property, which commences on January 1, 2011, is a five-year lease expiring on December 31, 2015, and total abatements under the uncommenced lease for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$7,769. The uncommenced lease at our 9300 Wilshire Boulevard property represents expansion space that is in addition to 6,171 square feet that is currently leased by one of our tenants. See **Business and Properties** **Uncommenced Leases**.
- (b) Annualized base rent under uncommenced leases is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the first full month under the respective uncommenced leases, by (ii) 12.
- (c) Annualized base rent per leased square foot under uncommenced leases is calculated as (i) annualized base rent under uncommenced leases, divided by (ii) leased square feet under uncommenced leases.
- (d) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot under uncommenced leases represents (i) annualized base rent under uncommenced leases calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) leased square feet under uncommenced leases.
- (8) Our acquisition of this property is subject to closing conditions that may not be in our control. See **Risk Factors** **Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business** The purchase of the 10950 Washington property is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of the property.
- (9) This property was acquired on October 8, 2010. A portion representing approximately 64% of the building area (excluding the 180 Sutter building) is subject to a ground lease that expires June 14, 2054; the remaining portion is owned in fee.
- (10) This property is subject to a ground sublease that expires June 30, 2049.
- (11) This property is subject to a ground lease that expires August 14, 2032.
- (12) After giving effect to uncommenced leases signed as of September 30, 2010, the total percent leased for office properties would have been 87.0% as of September 30, 2010.
- (13) Approximately 0.59 acres of this property is subject to a ground lease that expires March 31, 2060; the remaining portion is owned in fee.

Table of Contents

The Offering

The offering terms are summarized below solely for your convenience. For a more complete description of the terms of our series B preferred stock, see Description of Series B Preferred Stock.

Issuer Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.

Securities Offered 3,000,000 shares of Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share. We have granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to an additional 450,000 shares of series B preferred stock.

Dividends Dividends on each share of series B preferred stock will be cumulative from the date of original issue and are payable quarterly in arrears on or about the last calendar day of each March, June, September and December, commencing on or about December 31, 2010, at the rate of % per annum of its liquidation preference, or \$ per annum per share. The first dividend on our series B preferred stock sold in this offering is payable on December 31, 2010 and will be in the amount of \$ per share.

If following a change of control, either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not listed on the NYSE or quoted on NASDAQ (or listed or quoted on a successor exchange or quotation system), holders will be entitled to receive cumulative cash dividends from, and including, the first date on which both the change of control has occurred and either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not so listed or quoted at the increased rate of % per annum per share of the liquidation preference of our series B preferred stock (equivalent to \$ per annum per share) for as long as either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not so listed or quoted. To see how we define change of control for this purpose, see Description of Series B Preferred Stock Dividends below.

Liquidation Preference If we liquidate, dissolve or wind up our business and affairs, holders of our series B preferred stock will have the right to receive \$25.00 per share, plus an amount per share equal to all accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not earned or declared) to, but not including, the date of payment, before any payments are made to holders of our common stock or other junior securities.

Maturity Our series B preferred stock has no maturity date and we are not required to redeem our series B preferred stock. Accordingly, our series B preferred stock will remain outstanding indefinitely, unless we decide to redeem it. We are not required to set aside funds to redeem our series B preferred stock.

Table of Contents

Ranking	Our series B preferred stock will rank senior to our common stock and any other junior shares that we may issue in the future, on parity with any parity shares that we may issue in the future, and junior to all of our existing and future indebtedness, in each case with respect to payment of dividends and distribution of assets upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up. In addition, the series B preferred stock will be subordinate to the outstanding series A preferred units, which were issued in connection with our initial public offering and have an aggregate liquidation preference of approximately \$12.5 million, in the case of our liquidation, dissolution or winding up.
Conversion	Our series B preferred stock is not convertible into or exchangeable for any property or any other securities.
Optional Redemption	Except in limited circumstances relating to the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock intended to assist us in qualifying as a REIT or pursuant to our special optional redemption right discussed below, our series B preferred stock is not redeemable prior to December 31, 2015. On or after December 31, 2015, we may, at our option, redeem our series B preferred stock, in whole, at any time, or in part, from time to time, for cash at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared) to, but not including, the date of redemption.
Special Optional Redemption	If at any time following a change of control, either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not listed on the NYSE or quoted on NASDAQ (or listed or quoted on a successor exchange or quotation system), we will have the option to redeem our series B preferred stock, in whole but not in part, within 90 days after the first date on which both the change of control has occurred and either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not so listed or quoted, for cash at \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared) to, but not including, the redemption date. To see how we define change of control for this purpose, see Description of Series B Preferred Stock Dividends below.
Voting Rights	Holders of our series B preferred stock will generally have no voting rights. However, if dividends on our series B preferred stock are in arrears for six quarterly dividend periods (whether or not consecutive), the holders of our series B preferred stock (voting together as a single class with the holders of any other class or series of parity preferred stock upon which like voting rights have been conferred and are exercisable) will have the right to elect two directors until we pay (or declare and set aside for payment) all such dividends and dividends on our series B preferred stock for the then current quarterly dividend period. In addition, certain amendments to

Table of Contents

our charter that would be material and adverse to the rights of our series B preferred stock cannot be made without the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding shares of series B preferred stock, voting together as a single class with the holders of all other similarly-affected classes and series of parity preferred stock upon which like voting rights have been conferred.

Information Rights

During any period in which we are not subject to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, and any shares of our series B preferred stock are outstanding, we will (i) transmit by mail (or other permissible means under the Exchange Act) to all holders of series B preferred stock, as their names and addresses appear in our record books and without cost to such holders, copies of the annual reports and quarterly reports that we would have been required to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act if we were subject thereto (other than any exhibits that would have been required) and (ii) promptly, upon request, supply copies of such reports to any prospective holder of series B preferred stock. We will mail (or otherwise provide) the information to the holders of series B preferred stock within 15 days after the respective dates by which a periodic report on Form 10-K or Form 10-Q, as the case may be, in respect of such information would have been required to be filed with the SEC, if we were subject to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Listing

We have applied to list our series B preferred stock on the NYSE under the symbol HPP Pr B. We expect trading of the shares of series B preferred stock on the NYSE, if listing is approved, to commence within 30 days after the date of the initial delivery of the shares.

Use of Proceeds

We estimate that the net proceeds from this offering, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated expenses, will be approximately \$ million (\$ million if the underwriters exercise their overallotment option in full). We will contribute the net proceeds of this offering to our operating partnership in exchange for % Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units of partnership interest in our operating partnership, or series B preferred units, that will have rights as to distributions and upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up that are substantially similar to those of the series B preferred stock. Our operating partnership intends to use the net proceeds to repay indebtedness under our secured revolving credit facility, to fund future acquisitions, and for general working capital purposes, including funding capital expenditures, tenant improvements, leasing commissions, and potentially, paying distributions and post-closing cash prorations.

Restrictions on Ownership

Our charter contains restrictions on the ownership and transfer of our stock that are intended to assist us in complying with the requirements

Table of Contents

for qualification as a REIT. Among other things, upon completion of this offering, our charter will provide that, subject to certain exceptions, no person or entity may actually or beneficially own, or be deemed to own by virtue of the applicable constructive ownership provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, more than 9.8% (in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of our series B preferred stock. See Description of Series B Preferred Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer and Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer.

Settlement	Delivery of the shares of series B preferred stock will be made against payment therefor on or about December 1, 2010.
Form	Our series B preferred stock will be maintained in book-entry form registered in the name of the nominee of The Depository Trust Company, except in limited circumstances.
Risk Factors	Investing in our series B preferred stock involves a high degree of risk and the purchasers of our series B preferred stock may lose their entire investment. See Risk Factors on page 17 for a discussion of risk factors you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in our series B preferred stock.

Our Tax Status

We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes on our federal income tax return for our taxable year ending December 31, 2010. We believe that we have operated, and we intend to continue operating, in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with such taxable year. To maintain REIT status, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we annually distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to our stockholders. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income we currently distribute to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates. Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to some federal, state and local taxes on our income or property. In addition, the income of any taxable REIT subsidiary that we own will be subject to taxation at regular corporate rates. See Federal Income Tax Considerations.

Table of Contents

Summary Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected financial and operating data on a pro forma and historical basis for our company. Our historical financial statements include the real estate activity and holdings of the entities that owned the following properties that were contributed to us in connection with our initial public offering on June 29, 2010: Sunset Gower; the Technicolor Building; Sunset Bronson; City Plaza (which, together, we previously designated as our predecessor) and 875 Howard Street. One of the entities comprising our predecessor, SGS Realty II, LLC (the asset owning entity of the Sunset Gower property and the Technicolor Building) was determined to be the acquirer for accounting purposes. In addition, any interests contributed by the controlling member of the other entities comprising our predecessor and Howard Street Associates, LLC (the asset owning entity of 875 Howard Street) was a transaction of entities under common control. As a result, the contribution of interests in each of these entities has been recorded at historical cost in our historical financial statements. We have changed the presentation in our historical financial statements to include the results of both our predecessor and Howard Street Associates, LLC. The current period financial statements include: (i) the operations of the above entities, (ii) the operations of the following entities that we also acquired in connection with our initial public offering: Glenborough Tierrasanta, LLC, GLB Encino, LLC, and Hudson Capital, LLC, for periods subsequent to our initial public offering; and (iii) the operations of the following entities that we acquired subsequent to our initial public offering: Del Amo Fashion Center Operating Company, LLC and 9300 Wilshire, for periods subsequent to the acquisitions of such entities on August 13, 2010 and August 24, 2010, respectively.

You should read the following selected financial data in conjunction with our combined historical consolidated financial statements and the related notes and with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The historical consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2010 and the consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 have been derived from the unaudited consolidated financial statements of our company included elsewhere in this prospectus. In the opinion of the management of our company, the historical consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the historical financial statements for such periods. The historical combined balance sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the combined statements of operations information for each of the periods ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 have been derived from our historical audited combined financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. Our results of operations for the interim periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be obtained for the full fiscal year.

Our unaudited selected pro forma consolidated financial statements and operating information as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and for the year ended December 31, 2009 assumes the completion of this offering and our intended use of the proceeds therefrom as of the beginning of the earliest period presented for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our unaudited selected pro forma consolidated financial statements also include the effects of our initial public offering, which closed on June 29, 2010, and the related formation transactions that occurred in conjunction with our initial public offering, as if the resulting debt and equity structure were in place as of the first day of the earliest period presented for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our unaudited selected pro forma consolidated financial statements also include the effects of certain acquisitions completed by us since our initial public offering, including the acquisition of the 222 Kearny Street property and the expected acquisition by us of the 10950 Washington property, along with any related financing transactions, as if those acquisitions and financing transactions had occurred as of the beginning of the earliest period presented for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what our actual financial position and results of operations would have been as of the date and for the periods indicated, nor does it purport to represent our future financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents**Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.**

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			Year Ended December 31,			
	Pro Forma Consolidated 2010 (Unaudited)	Historical Consolidated 2010 (Unaudited)	Historical Combined 2009 (Unaudited)	Pro Forma Consolidated 2009 (Unaudited)	Historical Combined 2009	Historical Combined 2008	
Statement of Operations Data:							
REVENUES							
Office							
Rental	\$ 23,400	\$ 12,786	\$ 8,349	\$ 27,194	\$ 11,058	\$ 8,235	\$ 3,905
Tenant recoveries	4,045	1,915	1,435	5,396	2,029	1,504	620
Other	613	125	152	785	158	41	
Total Office Revenues	28,058	14,826	9,936	33,375	13,245	9,780	4,525
Media & Entertainment							
Rental	15,453	15,453	15,300	19,909	19,909	22,075	4,215
Tenant recoveries	1,179	1,179	1,225	1,463	1,463	1,544	58
Other property-related revenue	7,996	7,996	7,402	7,193	7,193	9,554	2,750
Other	96	96	57	60	60	92	7
Total Media & Entertainment Revenues	24,724	24,724	23,984	28,625	28,625	33,265	7,030
Total revenues	52,782	39,550	33,920	62,000	41,870	43,045	11,555
OPERATING EXPENSES							
Office operating expenses	10,753	5,650	4,328	13,814	6,322	3,003	1,182
Media & entertainment operating expenses	14,983	15,194	14,451	16,069	16,810	19,926	4,732
General and administrative	4,568	2,379		4,376			
Depreciation and amortization	14,008	9,985	8,334	18,805	10,930	9,693	3,592
Total operating expenses	44,312	33,208	27,113	53,064	34,062	32,622	9,506
Income from operations	8,470	6,342	6,807	8,936	7,808	10,423	2,049
OTHER EXPENSE (INCOME)							
Interest expense	7,132	6,196	6,702	12,668	8,774	12,029	6,096
Interest income	(37)	(37)	(9)	(18)	(18)	(48)	(57)
Unrealized loss (gain) on interest rate contracts	(347)	(347)	(208)	(399)	(399)	835	24
Acquisition-related expenses	2,689	2,689				208	
Other expense	(8)	(8)	97	95	95	21	
Total other expense (income)	9,429	8,493	6,582	12,346	8,452	13,045	6,063
Net income (loss)	\$ (959)	\$ (2,151)	\$ 225	\$ (3,410)	\$ (644)	\$ (2,622)	\$ (4,014)
Less: Net income attributable to series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock	\$ (4,500)	\$	\$	(6,000)	\$	\$	\$
Less: Net income attributable to preferred non-controlling partnership interest	(585)	(199)		(780)			
Less: Net income attributable to restricted shares	(75)	(25)		(100)			
Add: Net loss (income) attributable to common non-controlling partnership interest		32	(4)		29	81	141
Add: Net loss attributable to Unitholders in the Operating Partnership	651	277		1,094			
(Loss) income attributable to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. shareholders /controlling member s equity	\$ (5,468)	\$ (2,066)	\$ 221	\$ (9,196)	\$ (615)	\$ (2,541)	\$ (3,873)
	(0.25)			(0.42)			

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

Net (loss) attributable to shareholders per share - basic and diluted					
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding - basic and diluted	21,946,508				21,946,508
Dividends declared per common share	\$	\$	0.0971	\$	\$

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			Year Ended December 31,			
	Pro Forma Consolidated 2010 (Unaudited)	Historical Consolidated 2010 (Unaudited)	Historical Combined 2009 (Unaudited)	Pro Forma Consolidated 2009 (Unaudited)	Historical 2009	Historical 2008	Combined 2007
Balance Sheet Data (at period end):							
Investment in real estate, net	\$ 594,011	\$ 515,812	\$	\$ 412,478	\$ 409,192	\$	\$ 237,071
Total assets	732,199	623,915		448,234	446,037		264,930
Notes payable	124,349	94,069		189,518	185,594		167,531
Total liabilities	166,339	130,055		221,646	224,306		188,483
Preferred non-controlling partnership interest	12,670	12,670					
Series B preferred stock	75,000						
Non-controlling partnership/members interest	66,322	66,322		3,348	3,282		1,793
Member s/stockholders equity	486,868	414,868		223,240	218,449		74,654
Total equity	553,190	481,190		226,588	221,731		76,447
Other Data:							
Pro forma funds from operations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 7,964			\$ 8,615			
Pro forma funds from operations per share, diluted	\$ 0.32			\$ 0.35			
Cash flows from:							
Operating activities		\$ 3,421	\$ 2,250	\$ 4,538	\$ 20,049		\$ (5,433)
Investing activities		(56,667)	(10,112)	(15,457)	(178,526)		(238,903)
Financing activities		90,293	5,754	8,800	163,794		244,832

- (1) We calculate funds from operations before non-controlling interest, or FFO, in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT. FFO is defined by NAREIT as net income (loss) (computed in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of above (below) market rents for acquisition properties and amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discounts) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. FFO is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure. We use FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from property dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs. However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effects and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. Other equity REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition as we do, and, therefore, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs' FFO. Accordingly, FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends. FFO should not be used as a supplement to or substitute for cash flow from operating activities computed in accordance with GAAP. The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our pro forma net income to pro forma FFO before non-controlling interest for the periods presented:

	Pro Forma	
	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 (In thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2009 (In thousands)
Net loss	\$ (959)	\$ (3,410)
Adjustments:		
Net income attributable to series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock	(4,500)	(6,000)
Net income attributable to preferred non-controlling partnership interest	(585)	(780)
Real estate depreciation and amortization	14,008	18,805
Funds from operations before common non-controlling interests	\$ 7,964	\$ 8,615

Table of Contents**Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends**

Our ratios of earnings to fixed charges and earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividends for the periods indicated are as follows:

	Pro Forma Consolidated Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	Historical Consolidated Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	Pro Forma Consolidated Year Ended December 31, 2009	Historical Combined Year Ended December 31,		
				2009	2008	2007
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	0.85x	0.64x	0.71x	0.88x	0.72x	0.29x
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends	0.51x	0.62x	0.47x	0.88x	0.72x	0.29x

Our ratios of earnings to fixed charges are computed by dividing earnings by fixed charges. Our ratios of earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividends are computed by dividing earnings by the sum of fixed charges and preferred dividends. For these purposes, earnings consist of net income (loss) plus fixed charges. Net income (loss) is computed in accordance with GAAP and includes such non-cash items as real estate depreciation and amortization, amortization of above (below) market rents, and amortization of deferred financing costs and loan premium. Net income (loss) in 2010 also includes one-time transactional costs relating to our initial public offering and related formation transactions and acquisitions subsequent to our initial public offering. Fixed charges consist of interest expense, capitalized interest and amortization of deferred financing fees and loan premium, whether expensed or capitalized, interest within rental expense and preference security dividend requirements of consolidated subsidiaries. Interest income is not included in this computation. Preferred dividends consist of the amount of pre-tax earnings required to pay dividends on the series B preferred stock. Our pro forma ratios are prepared on the basis of our pro forma financial statements. See Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges indicates that earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges on the basis of our pro forma financial statements by approximately \$1.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and by \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividends indicates that earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges and preferred dividends on the basis of our pro forma financial statements by approximately \$6.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and by \$10.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges indicates that earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges on the basis of our historical financial statements by approximately \$2.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and by \$1.1 million, \$3.6 million, and \$5.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

The computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividends indicates that earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges and preferred dividends on the basis of our historical financial statements by approximately \$2.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and by \$1.1 million, \$3.6 million, and \$5.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

*Investing in our series B preferred stock involves risks. In addition to other information contained in this prospectus, you should carefully consider the following factors before acquiring shares of our series B preferred stock offered by this prospectus. The occurrence of any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition, results of operations and our ability to make cash distributions to our stockholders, which could cause you to lose all or a part of your investment in our series B preferred stock. Some statements in this prospectus, including statements in the following risk factors, constitute forward-looking statements. Please refer to the section entitled *Forward-Looking Statements*.*

Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business

All of our properties are located in California, and we therefore are dependent on the California economy and are susceptible to adverse local regulations and natural disasters affecting California.

All of our properties are located in California, which exposes us to greater economic risks than if we owned a more geographically dispersed portfolio. Further, our properties are concentrated in certain submarkets, exposing us to risks associated with those specific areas. We are susceptible to adverse developments in the California economic and regulatory environment (such as business layoffs or downsizing, industry slowdowns, relocations of businesses, increases in real estate and other taxes, costs of complying with governmental regulations or increased regulation), as well as to natural disasters that occur in our markets (such as earthquakes and other events). For example, prior to the acquisition of our City Plaza property located in Orange County, California, the area was impacted significantly by the collapse of the subprime mortgage market, which had a material adverse effect on property values, vacancy rates and rents in the area. Had we owned City Plaza at that time, we would have been exposed to those adverse effects, which were more pronounced in Orange County than in other parts of the state and country. We anticipate that we will be exposed to similar risks related to the geographic concentration of our properties in the future. In addition, the State of California continues to suffer from severe budgetary constraints and is regarded as more litigious and more highly regulated and taxed than many other states, all of which may reduce demand for office space in California. Any adverse developments in the economy or real estate market in California, or any decrease in demand for office space resulting from the California regulatory or business environment, could adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, the per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock and our ability to satisfy our debt obligations. We cannot assure you of the growth of the California economy or of our future growth rate.

We derive a significant portion of our rental revenue from tenants in the media and entertainment industry, which makes us particularly susceptible to demand for rental space in that industry.

The Sunset Gower, Sunset Bronson, Technicolor Building and 10950 Washington properties in our portfolio are leased to media and entertainment tenants and a significant portion of our rental revenue is derived from tenants in the media and entertainment industry. Consequently, we are susceptible to adverse developments affecting the demand by media and entertainment tenants for office, production, and support space in Southern California and, more specifically, in Hollywood, such as writer, director and actor strikes, industry slowdowns and the relocation of media and entertainment businesses to other locations. Although our Technicolor Building property and the 10950 Washington property are principally occupied and suitable for general office purposes, portions of such properties may require modifications prior to or at the commencement of a lease term if it were to be released to more traditional office users. Although our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson properties contain both sound stages and space suitable for office use, they have historically served the entertainment and media industry and will continue to depend on that sector for future tenancy. In addition, our media and entertainment properties tend to be subject to short-term leases of less than one year. As a result, were there to be adverse developments affecting the demand by media and entertainment tenants for office, production, and support space,

Table of Contents

it could affect the occupancy of our media and entertainment properties more quickly than if we had longer term leases. Any adverse development in the media and entertainment industry could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

The purchase of the 10950 Washington property is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of the property.

We have entered into a definitive agreement to acquire the 10950 Washington property. The purchase of the 10950 Washington property is subject to closing conditions, including our receipt of requisite estoppels and our assumption of the existing \$30.0 million loan, which could delay or prevent the acquisition of the property. Although we believe that the information in the prospectus with respect to the 10950 Washington property that has been provided to us by the seller of such property, including square feet, tenants, leasing, rents, commissions, credits and allowances and lease expirations is accurate, we cannot assure you that this information is accurate or complete. The closing of the acquisition is expected to take place by December 30, 2010 once all necessary approvals for the loan assumption have been received. We cannot assure you that the acquisition will be consummated on the anticipated schedule or at all. In addition, if we fail to close the purchase as required after the satisfaction or waiver of all closing conditions, we will be liable to the seller for liquidated damages in an amount equal to the \$1.0 million deposit made by us under the asset purchase agreement.

Some of our properties are subject to ground leases, the termination or expiration of which could cause us to lose our interest in, and the right to receive rental income from, such properties.

The 9300 Wilshire Boulevard property, 0.59 acres of the the Sunset Gower property and a portion representing 64% of the building area of the 222 Kearny Street property (excluding the 180 Sutter building) are subject to ground leases. If any of these ground leases are terminated following a default or expire without being extended, we may lose our interest in the related property and may no longer have the right to receive any of the rental income from such property, which would adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock and ability to satisfy our debt obligations.

The ground sublease for the Del Amo Office property is subject and subordinate to a ground lease, the termination of which could result in a termination of the ground sublease.

The property on which the Del Amo Office building is located is subleased by Del Amo Fashion Center Operating Company, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, or Del Amo, through a long-term ground sublease. The ground sublease is subject and subordinate to the terms of a ground lease between the fee owner of the Del Amo Office property and the sub-landlord under the ground sublease. The fee owner has not granted to the subtenant under the ground sublease any rights of non-disturbance. Accordingly, a termination of the ground lease for any reason, including a rejection thereof by the ground tenant under the ground lease in a bankruptcy proceeding, could result in a termination of the ground sublease. In the event of a termination of the ground sublease, we may lose our interest in the Del Amo Office building and may no longer have the right to receive any of the rental income from the Del Amo Office building. In addition, our lack of any non-disturbance rights from the fee owner may impair our ability to obtain financing for the Del Amo Office building.

We may be unable to identify and complete acquisitions of properties that meet our criteria, which may impede our growth.

Our business strategy involves the acquisition of underperforming office properties. These activities require us to identify suitable acquisition candidates or investment opportunities that meet our criteria and are compatible with our growth strategies. We continue to evaluate the market of available properties and may attempt to acquire properties when strategic opportunities exist. However, we may be unable to acquire any of

Table of Contents

the properties identified as potential acquisition opportunities under Business and Properties Acquisition Pipeline and elsewhere in this prospectus, or that we may identify in the future. Our ability to acquire properties on favorable terms, or at all, may be exposed to the following significant risks:

potential inability to acquire a desired property because of competition from other real estate investors with significant capital, including publicly traded REITs, private equity investors and institutional investment funds, which may be able to accept more risk than we can prudently manage, including risks with respect to the geographic proximity of investments and the payment of higher acquisition prices;

we may incur significant costs and divert management attention in connection with evaluating and negotiating potential acquisitions, including ones that we are subsequently unable to complete;

even if we enter into agreements for the acquisition of properties, these agreements are typically subject to customary conditions to closing, including the satisfactory completion of our due diligence investigations; and

we may be unable to finance the acquisition on favorable terms or at all.

If we are unable to finance property acquisitions or acquire properties on favorable terms, or at all, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for the payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock could be adversely affected. In addition, failure to identify or complete acquisitions of suitable properties could slow our growth.

Our future acquisitions may not yield the returns we expect.

Our future acquisitions and our ability to successfully operate the properties we acquire in such acquisitions may be exposed to the following significant risks:

even if we are able to acquire a desired property, competition from other potential acquirers may significantly increase the purchase price;

we may acquire properties that are not accretive to our results upon acquisition, and we may not successfully manage and lease those properties to meet our expectations;

our cash flow may be insufficient to meet our required principal and interest payments;

we may spend more than budgeted amounts to make necessary improvements or renovations to acquired properties;

we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate new acquisitions, particularly acquisitions of portfolios of properties, into our existing operations, and as a result our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected;

market conditions may result in higher than expected vacancy rates and lower than expected rental rates; and

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

we may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, with respect to unknown liabilities such as liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination, claims by tenants, vendors or other persons dealing with the former owners of the properties, liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business and claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

Table of Contents

If we cannot operate acquired properties to meet our financial expectations, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock could be adversely affected.

We may acquire properties or portfolios of properties through tax deferred contribution transactions, which could result in stockholder dilution and limit our ability to sell such assets.

In the future we may acquire properties or portfolios of properties through tax deferred contribution transactions in exchange for partnership interests in our operating partnership, which may result in stockholder dilution. This acquisition structure may have the effect of, among other things, reducing the amount of tax depreciation we could deduct over the tax life of the acquired properties, and may require that we agree to protect the contributors' ability to defer recognition of taxable gain through restrictions on our ability to dispose of the acquired properties and/or the allocation of partnership debt to the contributors to maintain their tax bases. These restrictions could limit our ability to sell an asset at a time, or on terms, that would be favorable absent such restrictions.

Our growth depends on external sources of capital that are outside of our control and may not be available to us on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT, we are required to meet various requirements under the Code, including that we distribute annually at least 90% of our net taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gain. In addition, we will be subject to income tax at regular corporate rates to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our net taxable income, including any net capital gains. Because of these distribution requirements, we may not be able to fund future capital needs, including any necessary acquisition financing, from operating cash flow. Consequently, we intend to rely on third-party sources to fund our capital needs. We may not be able to obtain the financing on favorable terms or at all. Any additional debt we incur will increase our leverage and likelihood of default. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends, in part, on:

general market conditions;

the market's perception of our growth potential;

our current debt levels;

our current and expected future earnings;

our cash flow and cash distributions; and

the market price per share of our common stock.

Recently, the credit markets have been subject to significant disruptions. If we cannot obtain capital from third-party sources, we may not be able to acquire or develop properties when strategic opportunities exist, meet the capital and operating needs of our existing properties, satisfy our debt service obligations or make the cash distributions to our stockholders, including our series B preferred stockholders, necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT.

As of September 30, 2010, we had approximately \$94.3 million of indebtedness outstanding, which may expose us to interest rate fluctuations, and our debt service obligations with respect to such indebtedness will reduce cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends on our series B preferred stock, and expose us to the risk of default under our debt obligations.

Our total consolidated indebtedness, as of September 30, 2010, was approximately \$94.3 million, of which \$37.0 million (or approximately 39.3%) was variable rate debt, which has been fully hedged pursuant to

Table of Contents

an interest rate contract, and we may incur significant additional debt to finance future acquisition and development activities. In addition, as of December 2, 2010, we had drawn approximately \$34.5 million under our secured revolving credit facility.

Payments of principal and interest on borrowings may leave us with insufficient cash resources to operate our properties or to pay the dividends currently contemplated on our common stock or our series B preferred stock or necessary to maintain our REIT qualification. Our level of debt and the limitations imposed on us by our debt agreements could have significant adverse consequences, including the following:

our cash flow may be insufficient to meet our required principal and interest payments;

we may be unable to borrow additional funds as needed or on favorable terms, which could, among other things, adversely affect our ability to meet operational needs;

we may be unable to refinance our indebtedness at maturity or the refinancing terms may be less favorable than the terms of our original indebtedness;

because a portion of our debt bears interest at variable rates, increases in interest rates could increase our interest expense;

we may be forced to dispose of one or more of our properties, possibly on unfavorable terms or in violation of certain covenants to which we may be subject;

we may violate restrictive covenants in our loan documents, which would entitle the lenders to accelerate our debt obligations; and

our default under any loan with cross default provisions could result in a default on other indebtedness.

If any one of these events were to occur, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, ability to make distributions on our common stock and our series B preferred stock and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock could be adversely affected. Furthermore, foreclosures could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, which could hinder our ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements imposed by the Code. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness.

Mortgage debt obligations expose us to the possibility of foreclosure, which could result in the loss of our investment in a property or group of properties subject to mortgage debt.

Incurring mortgage and other secured debt obligations increases our risk of property losses because defaults on indebtedness secured by properties may result in foreclosure actions initiated by lenders and ultimately our loss of the property securing any loans for which we are in default. Any foreclosure on a mortgaged property or group of properties could adversely affect the overall value of our portfolio of properties. For tax purposes, a foreclosure of any of our properties that is subject to a nonrecourse mortgage loan would be treated as a sale of the property for a purchase price equal to the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage. If the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage exceeds our tax basis in the property, we would recognize taxable income on foreclosure, but would not receive any cash proceeds.

Our secured revolving credit facility restricts our ability to engage in some business activities.

Our secured revolving credit facility contains customary negative covenants and other financial and operating covenants that, among other things:

restrict our ability to incur additional indebtedness;

Table of Contents

restrict our ability to make certain investments;

restrict our ability to merge with another company;

restrict our ability to make distributions to stockholders; and

require us to maintain financial coverage ratios.

These limitations restrict our ability to engage in some business activities, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock. In addition, failure to meet any of these covenants, including the financial coverage ratios, could cause an event of default under and/or accelerate some or all of our indebtedness, which would have a material adverse effect on us. Furthermore, our secured revolving credit facility contains specific cross-default provisions with respect to specified other indebtedness, giving the lenders the right to declare a default if we are in default under other loans in some circumstances.

Adverse economic and geopolitical conditions and dislocations in the credit markets could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

Our business may be affected by market and economic challenges experienced by the U.S. economy or real estate industry as a whole, including the current dislocations in the credit markets and general global economic downturn. These current conditions, or similar conditions existing in the future, may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock as a result of the following potential consequences, among others:

significant job losses in the financial and professional services industries may occur, which may decrease demand for our office space, causing market rental rates and property values to be negatively impacted;

our ability to obtain financing on terms and conditions that we find acceptable, or at all, may be limited, which could reduce our ability to pursue acquisition and development opportunities and refinance existing debt, reduce our returns from our acquisition and development activities and increase our future interest expense;

reduced values of our properties may limit our ability to dispose of assets at attractive prices or to obtain debt financing secured by our properties and may reduce the availability of unsecured loans; and

one or more lenders under our secured revolving credit facility could refuse to fund their financing commitment to us or could fail and we may not be able to replace the financing commitment of any such lenders on favorable terms, or at all.

In addition, the economic downturn has adversely affected, and may continue to adversely affect, the businesses of many of our tenants. As a result, we may see increases in bankruptcies of our tenants and increased defaults by tenants, and we may experience higher vacancy rates and delays in re-leasing vacant space, which could negatively impact our business and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may adversely affect financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

If interest rates increase, then so will the interest costs on our unhedged or partially hedged variable rate debt, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to pay principal and interest on our debt and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. Further, rising interest rates could limit our ability to refinance existing debt when it matures. We seek to manage our exposure to interest rate volatility by using interest rate hedging arrangements that involve risk, such as the risk that counterparties may fail to honor their obligations under these arrangements, and that these arrangements may not be effective in reducing our exposure to interest rate changes. Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may materially adversely affect financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock. In addition, while such agreements are intended to lessen the impact of rising interest rates on us, they also expose us to the risk that the other parties to the agreements will not perform, we could incur significant costs associated with the settlement of the agreements, the agreements will be unenforceable and the underlying transactions will fail to qualify as highly-effective cash flow hedges under Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, Topic 815, Derivative and Hedging.

We have a limited operating history and may not be able to operate our business successfully or implement our business strategies as described in this prospectus.

We commenced operations only upon completion of our initial public offering on June 29, 2010. Our portfolio, including the 10950 Washington property (which we are currently under contract to purchase), consists of eleven properties located throughout California, containing a total of approximately 2.4 million net rentable square feet. Our Del Amo Office, 9300 Wilshire Boulevard and 222 Kearny Street properties have only been under our management since they were acquired on August 13, 2010, August 24, 2010 and October 8, 2010, respectively, and three of our other properties have only been under our management since they were acquired in connection with our initial public offering on June 29, 2010. We do not yet own or operate the 10950 Washington property. These properties may have characteristics or deficiencies unknown to us that could affect such properties' valuation or revenue potential. In addition, there can be no assurance that the operating performance of the properties will not decline under our management. We cannot assure you that we will be able to operate our business successfully or implement our business strategies as described in this prospectus. Furthermore, we can provide no assurance that our senior management team will replicate its success in its previous endeavors, and our investment returns could be substantially lower than the returns achieved by their previous endeavors.

We have a limited operating history as a REIT and as a publicly traded company and may not be able to successfully operate as a REIT or a publicly traded company.

We have a limited operating history as a REIT and as a publicly traded company. We cannot assure you that the past experience of our senior management team will be sufficient to successfully operate our company as a REIT or a publicly traded company, including the requirements to timely meet disclosure requirements of the SEC and comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Since our initial public offering, we have been subject to various requirements related to REITs and publicly traded companies, including requirements to develop and implement control systems and procedures in order to qualify and maintain our qualification as a REIT and satisfy our periodic and current reporting requirements under applicable SEC regulations and comply with New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, listing standards, and compliance with these requirements could place a significant strain on our management systems, infrastructure and other resources. Failure to operate successfully as a public company or qualify and maintain our qualification as a REIT would have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our

Table of Contents

series B preferred stock, per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock and ability to satisfy our debt obligations. See Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT Failure to qualify as a REIT would have significant adverse consequences to us and the value of our common stock.

We face significant competition, which may decrease or prevent increases of the occupancy and rental rates of our properties.

We compete with numerous developers, owners and operators of office properties, many of which own properties similar to ours in the same submarkets in which our properties are located. If our competitors offer space at rental rates below current market rates, or below the rental rates we currently charge our tenants, we may lose existing or potential tenants and we may be pressured to reduce our rental rates below those we currently charge or to offer more substantial rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options in order to retain tenants when our tenants' leases expire. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distributions, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock could be adversely affected.

We depend on significant tenants, and many of our properties are single-tenant properties or are currently occupied by single tenants.

As of September 30, 2010, the 20 largest tenants in our office portfolio represented approximately 65.5% of the total annualized base rent generated by our office properties. The inability of a significant tenant to pay rent or the bankruptcy or insolvency of a significant tenant may adversely affect the income produced by our properties. If a tenant becomes bankrupt or insolvent, federal law may prohibit us from evicting such tenant based solely upon such bankruptcy or insolvency. In addition, a bankrupt or insolvent tenant may be authorized to reject and terminate its lease with us. Any claim against such tenant for unpaid, future rent would be subject to a statutory cap that might be substantially less than the remaining rent owed under the lease. For the 12 months ended September 30, 2010, our largest tenant was Technicolor, which accounted for 11.7% of our annualized base rent, and therefore represented a significant credit concentration. If Technicolor were to experience a downturn in its business or a weakening of its financial condition resulting in its failure to make timely rental payments or causing it to default under its lease, we may experience delays in enforcing our rights as landlord and may incur substantial costs in protecting our investment. Any such event described above could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distributions, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and the per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

Furthermore, Saatchi & Saatchi leases 100% of the Del Amo Office property under the terms of an office lease that permits Saatchi & Saatchi to terminate the lease as to all of the leased premises prior to the stated lease expiration on December 31, 2011, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2016, in each case upon nine months prior notice and in exchange for payment of an early termination fee estimated to be approximately \$5.0 million for 2011, approximately \$3.1 million for 2014 and approximately \$1.9 million for 2016. As of September 30, 2010, the Saatchi & Saatchi lease comprised approximately 8.8% of our annualized office base rent. To the extent that Saatchi & Saatchi exercises its early termination right, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow will be adversely affected, and we can provide no assurance that we will be able to generate an equivalent amount of net rental revenue by leasing the vacated space to new third party tenants.

Our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distributions, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock could be adversely affected if any of our significant tenants were to become unable to pay their rent or become bankrupt or insolvent.

Table of Contents

We may be unable to renew leases, lease vacant space or re-let space as leases expire.

As of September 30, 2010, leases representing 0.6% of the square footage of the office properties in our portfolio, including 10950 Washington, will expire in the remainder of 2010, and an additional 13.0% of the square footage of the office properties in our portfolio was available (taking into account uncommenced leases signed as of September 30, 2010). Furthermore, substantially all of the square footage of the media and entertainment properties in our portfolio (other than the KTLA lease of the KTLA facility at Sunset Bronson) will expire in the remainder of 2010 and in 2011. We cannot assure you that leases will be renewed or that our properties will be re-let at net effective rental rates equal to or above the current average net effective rental rates or that substantial rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options will not be offered to attract new tenants or retain existing tenants. If the rental rates for our properties decrease, our existing tenants do not renew their leases or we do not re-let a significant portion of our available space and space for which leases will expire, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distributions, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock could be adversely affected.

We may be required to make rent or other concessions and/or significant capital expenditures to improve our properties in order to retain and attract tenants, causing our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock to be adversely affected.

To the extent adverse economic conditions continue in the real estate market and demand for office space remains low, we expect that, upon expiration of leases at our properties, we will be required to make rent or other concessions to tenants, accommodate requests for renovations, build-to-suit remodeling and other improvements or provide additional services to our tenants. As a result, we may have to make significant capital or other expenditures in order to retain tenants whose leases expire and to attract new tenants in sufficient numbers. Additionally, we may need to raise capital to make such expenditures. If we are unable to do so or capital is otherwise unavailable, we may be unable to make the required expenditures. This could result in non-renewals by tenants upon expiration of their leases, which could cause an adverse effect to our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

The actual rents we receive for the properties in our portfolio may be less than our asking rents, and we may experience lease roll-down from time to time.

As a result of various factors, including competitive pricing pressure in our submarkets, adverse conditions in the Northern or Southern California real estate markets, a general economic downturn, such as the current global economic downturn, and the desirability of our properties compared to other properties in our submarkets, we may be unable to realize the asking rents across the properties in our portfolio. In addition, the degree of discrepancy between our asking rents and the actual rents we are able to obtain may vary both from property to property and among different leased spaces within a single property. If we are unable to obtain rental rates that are on average comparable to our asking rents across our portfolio, then our ability to generate cash flow growth will be negatively impacted. In addition, depending on asking rental rates at any given time as compared to expiring leases in our portfolio, from time to time rental rates for expiring leases may be higher than starting rental rates for new leases.

Our success depends on key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed.

Our continued success and our ability to manage anticipated future growth depend, in large part, upon the efforts of key personnel, particularly Victor J. Coleman and Howard S. Stern, who have extensive market knowledge and relationships and exercise substantial influence over our operational, financing, acquisition and

Table of Contents

disposition activity. Among the reasons that they are important to our success is that each has a national or regional industry reputation that attracts business and investment opportunities and assists us in negotiations with lenders, existing and potential tenants and industry personnel. If we lose their services, our relationships with such personnel could diminish.

Many of our other senior executives also have extensive experience and strong reputations in the real estate industry, which aid us in identifying opportunities, having opportunities brought to us, and negotiating with tenants and build-to-suit prospects. The loss of services of one or more members of our senior management team, or our inability to attract and retain highly qualified personnel, could adversely affect our business, diminish our investment opportunities and weaken our relationships with lenders, business partners, existing and prospective tenants and industry personnel, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

Potential losses, including from adverse weather conditions, natural disasters and title claims, may not be covered by insurance.

We carry commercial property (including earthquake), liability and terrorism coverage on all the properties in our portfolio under a blanket insurance policy, in addition to other coverages, such as trademark and pollution coverage, that may be appropriate for certain of our properties. We have selected policy specifications and insured limits that we believe to be appropriate and adequate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice. However, we do not carry insurance for losses such as loss from riots or war because such coverage is not available or is not available at commercially reasonable rates. Some of our policies, like those covering losses due to terrorism or earthquakes, are insured subject to limitations involving large deductibles or co-payments and policy limits that may not be sufficient to cover losses, which could affect certain of our properties that are located in areas particularly susceptible to natural disasters. All of the properties we currently own are located in California, an area especially subject to earthquakes. While we carry earthquake insurance on our properties, the amount of our earthquake insurance coverage may not be sufficient to fully cover losses from earthquakes. In addition, we may discontinue earthquake, terrorism or other insurance on some or all of our properties in the future if the cost of premiums for any such policies exceeds, in our judgment, the value of the coverage discounted for the risk of loss. As a result, we may be required to incur significant costs in the event of adverse weather conditions and natural disasters.

If we or one or more of our tenants experiences a loss that is uninsured or that exceeds policy limits, we could lose the capital invested in the damaged properties as well as the anticipated future cash flows from those properties. In addition, if the damaged properties are subject to recourse indebtedness, we would continue to be liable for the indebtedness, even if these properties were irreparably damaged. Furthermore, we may not be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable costs in the future as the costs associated with property and casualty renewals may be higher than anticipated.

In the event that we experience a substantial or comprehensive loss of one of our properties, we may not be able to rebuild such property to its existing specifications. Further reconstruction or improvement of such a property would likely require significant upgrades to meet zoning and building code requirements.

We may become subject to litigation, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock and ability to satisfy our debt obligations.

In the future we may become subject to litigation, including claims relating to our operations, offerings, and otherwise in the ordinary course of business. Some of these claims may result in significant defense costs and potentially significant judgments against us, some of which are not, or cannot be, insured against. We

Table of Contents

generally intend to vigorously defend ourselves; however, we cannot be certain of the ultimate outcomes of any claims that may arise in the future. Resolution of these types of matters against us may result in our having to pay significant fines, judgments, or settlements, which, if uninsured, or if the fines, judgments, and settlements exceed insured levels, could adversely impact our earnings and cash flows, thereby having an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock and ability to satisfy our debt obligations. Certain litigation or the resolution of certain litigation may affect the availability or cost of some of our insurance coverage, which could adversely impact our results of operations and cash flows, expose us to increased risks that would be uninsured, and/or adversely impact our ability to attract officers and directors.

Joint venture investments could be adversely affected by our lack of sole decision-making authority, our reliance on co-venturers financial condition and disputes between us and our co-venturers.

We may co-invest in the future with third parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, acquiring non-controlling interests in or sharing responsibility for managing the affairs of a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. In such event, we would not be in a position to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding the property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. Investments in partnerships, joint ventures or other entities may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present were a third party not involved, including the possibility that partners or co-venturers might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Partners or co-venturers may have economic or other business interests or goals which are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, and may be in a position to take actions contrary to our policies or objectives, and they may have competing interests in our markets that could create conflict of interest issues. Such investments may also have the potential risk of impasses on decisions, such as a sale, because neither we nor the partner or co-venturer would have full control over the partnership or joint venture. In addition, prior consent of our joint venture partners may be required for a sale or transfer to a third party of our interests in the joint venture, which would restrict our ability to dispose of our interest in the joint venture. If we become a limited partner or non-managing member in any partnership or limited liability company and such entity takes or expects to take actions that could jeopardize our status as a REIT or require us to pay tax, we may be forced to dispose of our interest in such entity. Disputes between us and partners or co-venturers may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers and/or directors from focusing their time and effort on our business. Consequently, actions by or disputes with partners or co-venturers might result in subjecting properties owned by the partnership or joint venture to additional risk. In addition, we may in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of our third-party partners or co-venturers. Our joint ventures may be subject to debt and, in the current volatile credit market, the refinancing of such debt may require equity capital calls.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of integrated internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results.

Effective internal and disclosure controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and effectively prevent fraud and to operate successfully as a public company. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, our reputation and operating results would be harmed. As part of our ongoing monitoring of internal controls we may discover material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal controls. As a result of weaknesses that may be identified in our internal controls, we may also identify certain deficiencies in some of our disclosure controls and procedures that we believe require remediation. If we discover weaknesses, we will make efforts to improve our internal and disclosure controls. However, there is no assurance that we will be successful. Any failure to maintain effective controls or timely effect any necessary improvement of our internal and disclosure controls could harm operating results or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations, which could affect our ability to remain listed with the NYSE. Ineffective internal and disclosure controls could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which would likely have a negative effect on the per share trading price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry

Our performance and value are subject to risks associated with real estate assets and the real estate industry.

Our ability to pay expected dividends to our stockholders depends on our ability to generate revenues in excess of expenses, scheduled principal payments on debt and capital expenditure requirements. Events and conditions generally applicable to owners and operators of real property that are beyond our control may decrease cash available for distribution and the value of our properties. These events include many of the risks set forth above under Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business, as well as the following:

local oversupply or reduction in demand for office or media and entertainment-related space;

adverse changes in financial conditions of buyers, sellers and tenants of properties;

vacancies or our inability to rent space on favorable terms, including possible market pressures to offer tenants rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options, and the need to periodically repair, renovate and re-let space;

increased operating costs, including insurance premiums, utilities, real estate taxes and state and local taxes;

civil unrest, acts of war, terrorist attacks and natural disasters, including earthquakes and floods, which may result in uninsured or underinsured losses;

decreases in the underlying value of our real estate; and

changing submarket demographics.

In addition, periods of economic downturn or recession, rising interest rates or declining demand for real estate, or the public perception that any of these events may occur, could result in a general decline in rents or an increased incidence of defaults under existing leases, which would adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our properties and harm our financial condition.

The real estate investments made, and to be made, by us are relatively difficult to sell quickly. As a result, our ability to promptly sell one or more properties in our portfolio in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. Return of capital and realization of gains, if any, from an investment generally will occur upon disposition or refinancing of the underlying property. We may be unable to realize our investment objectives by sale, other disposition or refinancing at attractive prices within any given period of time or may otherwise be unable to complete any exit strategy. In particular, our ability to dispose of one or more properties within a specific time period is subject to certain limitations imposed by our tax protection agreements, as well as weakness in or even the lack of an established market for a property, changes in the financial condition or prospects of prospective purchasers, changes in national or international economic conditions, such as the current economic downturn, and changes in laws, regulations or fiscal policies of jurisdictions in which the property is located.

In addition, the Code imposes restrictions on a REIT's ability to dispose of properties that are not applicable to other types of real estate companies. In particular, the tax laws applicable to REITs effectively require that we hold our properties for investment, rather than primarily for sale in the ordinary course of

Table of Contents

business, which may cause us to forgo or defer sales of properties that otherwise would be in our best interest. Therefore, we may not be able to vary our portfolio in response to economic or other conditions promptly or on favorable terms, which may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

We could incur significant costs related to government regulation and litigation over environmental matters.

Under various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the environment, as a current or former owner or operator of real property, we may be liable for costs and damages resulting from the presence or discharge of hazardous or toxic substances, waste or petroleum products at, on, in, under or migrating from such property, including costs to investigate, clean up such contamination and liability for harm to natural resources. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such contamination, and the liability may be joint and several. These liabilities could be substantial and the cost of any required remediation, removal, fines or other costs could exceed the value of the property and/or our aggregate assets. In addition, the presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination at our properties may expose us to third-party liability for costs of remediation and/or personal or property damage or materially adversely affect our ability to sell, lease or develop our properties or to borrow using the properties as collateral. In addition, environmental laws may create liens on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs to address such contamination. Moreover, if contamination is discovered on our properties, environmental laws may impose restrictions on the manner in which property may be used or businesses may be operated, and these restrictions may require substantial expenditures. Some of our properties have been or may be impacted by contamination arising from current or prior uses of the property, or adjacent properties, for commercial or industrial purposes. Such contamination may arise from spills of petroleum or hazardous substances or releases from tanks used to store such materials. As a result, we could potentially incur material liability for these issues, which could adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and the per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

Environmental laws also govern the presence, maintenance and removal of asbestos- and lead-containing building materials, or ACBM, and may impose fines and penalties for failure to comply with these requirements or expose us to third-party liability (e.g., liability for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos). Such laws require that owners or operators of buildings containing ACBM (and employers in such buildings) properly manage and maintain the asbestos and lead, adequately notify or train those who may come into contact with asbestos or lead, and undertake special precautions, including removal or other abatement, if asbestos or lead would be disturbed during renovation or demolition of a building. Some of our properties contain ACBM and we could be liable for such damages, fines or penalties, as described below in [Business and Properties Regulation Environmental Matters](#).

In addition, the properties in our portfolio also are subject to various federal, state and local environmental and health and safety requirements, such as state and local fire requirements. Moreover, some of our tenants routinely handle and use hazardous or regulated substances and wastes as part of their operations at our properties, which are subject to regulation. Such environmental and health and safety laws and regulations could subject us or our tenants to liability resulting from these activities. Environmental liabilities could affect a tenant's ability to make rental payments to us. In addition, changes in laws could increase the potential liability for noncompliance. This may result in significant unanticipated expenditures or may otherwise materially and adversely affect our operations, or those of our tenants, which could in turn have an adverse effect on us.

We cannot assure you that costs or liabilities incurred as a result of environmental issues will not affect our ability to make distributions to you or that such costs or other remedial measures will not have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common

Table of Contents

stock and series B preferred stock. If we do incur material environmental liabilities in the future, we may face significant remediation costs, and we may find it difficult to sell any affected properties.

Our properties may contain or develop harmful mold or suffer from other air quality issues, which could lead to liability for adverse health effects and costs of remediation.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants above certain levels can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected property or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability from our tenants, employees of our tenants or others if property damage or personal injury is alleged to have occurred.

We may incur significant costs complying with various federal, state and local laws, regulations and covenants that are applicable to our properties.

The properties in our portfolio are subject to various covenants and federal, state and local laws and regulatory requirements, including permitting and licensing requirements. Local regulations, including municipal or local ordinances, zoning restrictions and restrictive covenants imposed by community developers may restrict our use of our properties and may require us to obtain approval from local officials or restrict our use of our properties and may require us to obtain approval from local officials of community standards organizations at any time with respect to our properties, including prior to acquiring a property or when undertaking renovations of any of our existing properties. Among other things, these restrictions may relate to fire and safety, seismic or hazardous material abatement requirements. There can be no assurance that existing laws and regulatory policies will not adversely affect us or the timing or cost of any future acquisitions or renovations, or that additional regulations will not be adopted that increase such delays or result in additional costs. Our growth strategy may be affected by our ability to obtain permits, licenses and zoning relief. Our failure to obtain such permits, licenses and zoning relief or to comply with applicable laws could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

In addition, federal and state laws and regulations, including laws such as the Americans with Disabilities Act, or ADA, impose further restrictions on our properties and operations. Under the ADA, all public accommodations must meet federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Some of our properties may currently be in non-compliance with the ADA. If one or more of the properties in our portfolio is not in compliance with the ADA or any other regulatory requirements, we may be required to incur additional costs to bring the property into compliance and we might incur governmental fines or the award of damages to private litigants. In addition, we do not know whether existing requirements will change or whether future requirements will require us to make significant unanticipated expenditures that will adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

We are exposed to risks associated with property development.

We may engage in development and redevelopment activities with respect to certain of our properties. To the extent that we do so, we will be subject to certain risks, including the availability and pricing of financing on favorable terms or at all; construction and/or lease-up delays; cost overruns, including construction costs that exceed our original estimates; contractor and subcontractor disputes, strikes, labor disputes or supply disruptions;

Table of Contents

failure to achieve expected occupancy and/or rent levels within the projected time frame, if at all; and delays with respect to obtaining or the inability to obtain necessary zoning, occupancy, land use and other governmental permits, and changes in zoning and land use laws. These risks could result in substantial unanticipated delays or expenses and, under certain circumstances, could prevent completion of development activities once undertaken, any of which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

Risks Related to Our Organizational Structure

As of September 30, 2010 the Farallon Funds owned an approximate 35.9% beneficial common interest in our company on a fully diluted basis and have the ability to exercise significant influence on our company.

Investment funds affiliated with Farallon Capital Management, L.L.C., or Farallon, which we refer to as the Farallon Funds, own an approximate 35.9% beneficial interest in our company on a fully diluted basis. Consequently, the Farallon Funds may be able to significantly influence the outcome of matters submitted for stockholder action, including the election of our board of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, including business combinations, consolidations and mergers. In addition, one member of our board of directors is a managing member of Farallon. As a result, the Farallon Funds have substantial influence on us and could exercise their influence in a manner that conflicts with the interests of other stockholders.

The series A preferred units that were issued to some contributors in connection with our initial public offering in exchange for the contribution of their properties have certain preferences, which could limit our ability to pay dividends or other distributions to the holders of our series B preferred stock or engage in certain business combinations, recapitalizations or other fundamental changes.

In exchange for the contribution of properties to our portfolio in connection with our initial public offering, some contributors received series A preferred units in our operating partnership, which units have an aggregate liquidation preference of approximately \$12.5 million and have a preference as to distributions and upon liquidation that could limit our ability to pay a dividend or make another distribution to the holders of our series B preferred stock. The series A preferred units are senior to any other class of securities our operating partnership may issue in the future without the consent of the holders of the series A preferred units. As a result, we will be unable to issue partnership units in our operating partnership senior to the series A preferred units without the consent of the holders of series A preferred units. Any preferred stock in our company that we issue, including the series B preferred stock offered hereby, will be subordinate to the series A preferred units.

In addition, we may only engage in a fundamental change, including a recapitalization, a merger and a sale of all or substantially all of our assets, as a result of which our common stock ceases to be publicly traded or common units cease to be exchangeable (at our option) for publicly traded shares of our stock, without the consent of holders of series A preferred units if following such transaction we will maintain certain leverage ratios and equity requirements, and pay certain minimum tax distributions to holders of our outstanding series A preferred units. Alternatively, we may redeem all or any portion of the then outstanding series A preferred units for cash (at a price per unit equal to the redemption price). If we choose to redeem the outstanding series A preferred units in connection with a fundamental change, this could reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to holders of our series B preferred stock. In addition, these provisions could increase the cost of any such fundamental change transaction, which may discourage a merger, combination or change of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interests.

Table of Contents

Conflicts of interest exist or could arise in the future between the interests of our stockholders and the interests of holders of units in our operating partnership, which may impede business decisions that could benefit our stockholders.

Conflicts of interest exist or could arise in the future as a result of the relationships between us and our affiliates, on the one hand, and our operating partnership or any partner thereof, on the other. Our directors and officers have duties to our company under applicable Maryland law in connection with their management of our company. At the same time, we, as the general partner of our operating partnership, have fiduciary duties and obligations to our operating partnership and its limited partners under Maryland law and the partnership agreement of our operating partnership in connection with the management of our operating partnership. Our fiduciary duties and obligations as general partner to our operating partnership and its partners may come into conflict with the duties of our directors and officers to our company.

Additionally, the partnership agreement provides that we and our directors and officers will not be liable or accountable to our operating partnership for losses sustained, liabilities incurred or benefits not derived if we, or such director or officer acted in good faith. The partnership agreement also provides that we will not be liable to the operating partnership or any partner for monetary damages for losses sustained, liabilities incurred or benefits not derived by the operating partnership or any limited partner, except for liability for our intentional harm or gross negligence. Moreover, the partnership agreement provides that our operating partnership is required to indemnify us and our directors, officers and employees, officers and employees of the operating partnership and our designees from and against any and all claims that relate to the operations of our operating partnership, except (1) if the act or omission of the person was material to the matter giving rise to the action and either was committed in bad faith or was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, (2) for any transaction for which the indemnified party received an improper personal benefit, in money, property or services or otherwise, in violation or breach of any provision of the partnership agreement or (3) in the case of a criminal proceeding, if the indemnified person had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful. No reported decision of a Maryland appellate court has interpreted provisions similar to the provisions of the partnership agreement of our operating partnership that modify and reduce our fiduciary duties or obligations as the general partner or reduce or eliminate our liability for money damages to the operating partnership and its partners, and we have not obtained an opinion of counsel as to the enforceability of the provisions set forth in the partnership agreement that purport to modify or reduce the fiduciary duties that would be in effect were it not for the partnership agreement.

We may pursue less vigorous enforcement of terms of the contribution and other agreements with members of our senior management and our affiliates because of our dependence on them and conflicts of interest.

Each of Victor J. Coleman, Howard S. Stern and affiliates of the Farallon Funds are parties to contribution agreements with us pursuant to which we have acquired interests in our properties and assets. In addition, Messrs. Coleman and Stern are parties to employment agreements with us. We may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our rights under these agreements because of our desire to maintain our ongoing relationship with members of our senior management and the Farallon Funds, with possible negative impact on stockholders.

Our charter and bylaws, the partnership agreement of our operating partnership and Maryland law contain provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a change of control transaction, even if such a change in control may be in your interest, and as a result may depress the market price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

Our charter contains certain ownership limits. Our charter contains various provisions that are intended to preserve our qualification as a REIT and, subject to certain exceptions, authorize our directors to take such actions as are necessary or appropriate to preserve our qualification as a REIT. For example, upon completion of this offering, our charter will prohibit the actual, beneficial or constructive ownership by any

Table of Contents

person of more than 9.8% in value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of our common stock or our series B preferred stock, or more than 9.8% in value of the aggregate outstanding shares of all classes and series of our stock. Our board of directors, in its sole and absolute discretion, may exempt a person, prospectively or retroactively, from these ownership limits if certain conditions are satisfied. In connection with our initial public offering and the formation transactions, our board of directors granted to the Farallon Funds and certain of their affiliates, which we refer to collectively as the Farallon excepted holders, and to certain other persons, exemptions from the ownership limits, subject to various conditions and limitations. See Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer. The restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock may:

discourage a tender offer or other transactions or a change in management or of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or series B preferred stock or that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interests; or

result in the transfer of shares acquired in excess of the restrictions to a trust for the benefit of a charitable beneficiary and, as a result, the forfeiture by the acquirer of the benefits of owning the additional shares.

We could increase the number of authorized shares of stock, classify and reclassify unissued stock and issue stock without stockholder approval. Subject to the rights of holders of series B preferred stock to approve the classification or issuance of any class or series of stock ranking senior to the series B preferred stock, our board of directors has the power under our charter to amend our charter to increase the aggregate number of shares of stock or the number of shares of stock of any class or series that we are authorized to issue, to authorize us to issue authorized but unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock and to classify or reclassify any unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock into one or more classes or series of stock and set the terms of such newly classified or reclassified shares. See Description of Stock Common Stock and Preferred Stock. Although our board of directors has no such intention at the present time, it could establish a class or series of preferred stock that could, depending on the terms of such series, delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for our series B preferred stock or that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interest.

Certain provisions of Maryland law could inhibit changes in control, which may discourage third parties from conducting a tender offer or seeking other change of control transactions that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interest. Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law, or MGCL, may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change of control under circumstances that otherwise could be in the best interest of our series B preferred stockholders, including:

business combination provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an interested stockholder (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our shares or an affiliate thereof or an affiliate or associate of ours who was the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 10% or more of the voting power of our then outstanding voting stock at any time within the two-year period immediately prior to the date in question) for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, and thereafter impose fair price and/or supermajority and stockholder voting requirements on these combinations; and

control share provisions that provide that control shares of our company (defined as shares that, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a control share acquisition (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of issued and

Table of Contents

outstanding control shares) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

As permitted by the MGCL, we have elected, by resolution of our board of directors, to exempt from the business combination provisions of the MGCL, any business combination that is first approved by our disinterested directors and, pursuant to a provision in our bylaws, to exempt any acquisition of our stock from the control share provisions of the MGCL. However, our board of directors may by resolution elect to repeal the exemption from the business combination provisions of the MGCL and may by amendment to our bylaws opt in to the control share provisions of the MGCL at any time in the future.

Certain provisions of the MGCL permit our board of directors, without stockholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in our charter or bylaws, to implement certain corporate governance provisions, some of which (for example, a classified board) are not currently applicable to us. These provisions may have the effect of limiting or precluding a third party from making an unsolicited acquisition proposal for us or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of us under circumstances that otherwise could be in the best interest of our series B preferred stockholders. Our charter contains a provision whereby we have elected to be subject to the provisions of Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the MGCL relating to the filling of vacancies on our board of directors. See Material Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Charter and Bylaws.

Certain provisions in the partnership agreement of our operating partnership may delay or prevent unsolicited acquisitions of us. Provisions in the partnership agreement of our operating partnership may delay or make more difficult unsolicited acquisitions of us or changes of our control. These provisions could discourage third parties from making proposals involving an unsolicited acquisition of us or change of our control, although some stockholders might consider such proposals, if made, desirable. These provisions include, among others:

redemption rights of qualifying parties;

transfer restrictions on units;

our ability, as general partner, in some cases, to amend the partnership agreement and to cause the operating partnership to issue units with terms that could delay, defer or prevent a merger or other change of control of us or our operating partnership without the consent of the limited partners;

the right of the limited partners to consent to transfers of the general partnership interest and mergers or other transactions involving us under specified circumstances; and

restrictions on debt levels and equity requirements pursuant to the terms of our series A preferred units, as well as required distributions to holders of series A preferred units of our operating partnership, following certain changes of control of us.

Our charter, bylaws, the partnership agreement of our operating partnership and Maryland law also contain other provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interest. See Material Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Charter and Bylaws Removal of Directors, Control Share Acquisitions, Advance Notice of Director Nominations and New Business and Description of the Partnership Agreement of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P.

Our board of directors may change our investment and financing policies without stockholder approval and we may become more highly leveraged, which may increase our risk of default under our debt obligations.

Our investment and financing policies are exclusively determined by our board of directors. Accordingly, our stockholders do not control these policies. Further, our organizational documents do not limit

Table of Contents

the amount or percentage of indebtedness, funded or otherwise, that we may incur. Our board of directors may alter or eliminate our current policy on borrowing at any time without stockholder approval. If this policy changed, we could become more highly leveraged which could result in an increase in our debt service. Higher leverage also increases the risk of default on our obligations. In addition, a change in our investment policies, including the manner in which we allocate our resources across our portfolio or the types of assets in which we seek to invest, may increase our exposure to interest rate risk, real estate market fluctuations and liquidity risk. Changes to our policies with regards to the foregoing could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited.

Our charter eliminates the liability of our directors and officers to us and our stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or

a final judgment based upon a finding of active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was material to the cause of action adjudicated.

In addition, our charter authorizes us to obligate our company, and our bylaws require us, to indemnify our directors and officers for actions taken by them in those and certain other capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. As a result, we and our stockholders may have more limited rights against our directors and officers than might otherwise exist. Accordingly, in the event that actions taken in good faith by any of our directors or officers impede the performance of our company, your ability to recover damages from such director or officer will be limited.

Tax protection agreements could limit our ability to sell or otherwise dispose of certain properties.

In connection with the formation transactions, we entered into tax protection agreements with certain third-party contributors that provide that if we dispose of any interest with respect to the First Financial or Tierrasanta properties in a taxable transaction during the period from the closing of our initial public offering on June 29, 2010 through certain specified dates ranging until 2027, we will indemnify the third-party contributors for their tax liabilities attributable to their share of the greater of the built-in gain that exists with respect to such property interest as of June 29, 2010 and the built-in gain that existed with respect to such property interests when held by an investment vehicle whose general partner is owned by investment funds managed by Morgan Stanley, which we refer to as the Morgan Stanley Investment Partnership (and, in either case, tax liabilities incurred as a result of the reimbursement payment). Certain contributors' rights under the tax protection agreement with respect to these properties will, however, expire at various times (depending on the rights of such partner) during the period beginning in 2017 and prior to the expiration, in 2027, of the maximum period for indemnification. The First Financial and Tierrasanta properties represented 22.4% of our office portfolio's annualized base rent (including the 10950 Washington property) as of September 30, 2010. We have no present intention to sell or otherwise dispose of the properties or interest therein in taxable transactions during the restriction period. If we were to trigger the tax protection provisions under these agreements, we would be required to pay damages in the amount of the taxes owed by these contributors (plus additional damages in the amount of the taxes incurred as a result of such payment). In addition, although it may otherwise be in our stockholders' best interest that we sell one of these properties, it may be economically prohibitive for us to do so because of these obligations.

Our tax protection agreements may require our operating partnership to maintain certain debt levels that otherwise would not be required to operate our business.

Our tax protection agreements provide that during the period from the closing of our initial public offering on June 29, 2010, through certain specified dates ranging from 2017 to 2027, our operating partnership

Table of Contents

will offer certain holders of units who continue to hold the units received in respect of the formation transactions the opportunity to guarantee debt. If we fail to make such opportunities available, we will be required to indemnify such holders for their tax liabilities resulting from our failure to make such opportunities available to them (and any tax liabilities incurred as a result of the indemnity payment). We agreed to these provisions in order to assist certain contributors in deferring the recognition of taxable gain as a result of and after the formation transactions. These obligations may require us to maintain more or different indebtedness than we would otherwise require for our business.

We are a holding company with no direct operations and, as such, we rely on funds received from our operating partnership to pay liabilities, and the interests of our stockholders are structurally subordinated to all liabilities and obligations of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries.

We are a holding company and conduct substantially all of our operations through our operating partnership. We do not have, apart from an interest in our operating partnership, any independent operations. As a result, we rely on distributions from our operating partnership to pay any dividends we might declare on shares of our series B preferred stock. We also rely on distributions from our operating partnership to meet our obligations, including any tax liability on taxable income allocated to us from our operating partnership. In addition, because we are a holding company, your claims as holders of series B preferred stock will be structurally subordinated to all existing and future liabilities and obligations (whether or not for borrowed money) of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries and subordinate to the rights of holders of series A preferred units. Therefore, in the event of our bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization, our assets and those of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries will be available to satisfy the claims of our stockholders only after all of our and our operating partnership's and its subsidiaries liabilities and obligations have been paid in full.

Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT

Failure to qualify as a REIT would have significant adverse consequences to us and the value of our stock.

We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes on our federal income tax return for our taxable year ending December 31, 2010. We believe that we have operated, and we intend to continue operating, in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with such taxable year. We have not requested and do not plan to request a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, that we qualify as a REIT, and the statements in the prospectus are not binding on the IRS or any court. Therefore, we cannot assure you that we will qualify as a REIT, or that we will remain qualified as such in the future. If we lose our REIT status, we will face serious tax consequences that would substantially reduce the funds available for distribution to you for each of the years involved because:

we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates;

we also could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes; and

unless we are entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, we could not elect to be taxed as a REIT for four taxable years following the year during which we were disqualified.

Any such corporate tax liability could be substantial and would reduce our cash available for, among other things, our operations and distributions to stockholders. In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will not be required to make distributions to our stockholders. As a result of all these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT also could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital, and could materially and adversely affect the value of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

Table of Contents

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. The complexity of these provisions and of the applicable Treasury regulations that have been promulgated under the Code, or the Treasury Regulations, is greater in the case of a REIT that, like us, holds its assets through a partnership. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. In order to qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy a number of requirements, including requirements regarding the ownership of our stock, requirements regarding the composition of our assets and a requirement that at least 95% of our gross income in any year must be derived from qualifying sources, such as rents from real property. Also, we must make distributions to stockholders aggregating annually at least 90% of our net taxable income, excluding net capital gains. In addition, legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions may materially adversely affect our investors, our ability to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes or the desirability of an investment in a REIT relative to other investments.

Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we may be subject to some federal, state and local income, property and excise taxes on our income or property and, in certain cases, a 100% penalty tax, in the event we sell property as a dealer. In addition, our taxable REIT subsidiaries will be subject to tax as regular corporations in the jurisdictions they operate.

If our operating partnership failed to qualify as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, we would cease to qualify as a REIT and suffer other adverse consequences.

We believe that our operating partnership is properly treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. As a partnership, our operating partnership is not subject to federal income tax on its income. Instead, each of its partners, including us, is allocated, and may be required to pay tax with respect to, its share of our operating partnership's income. We cannot assure you, however, that the IRS will not challenge the status of our operating partnership or any other subsidiary partnership in which we own an interest as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in treating our operating partnership or any such other subsidiary partnership as an entity taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, we would fail to meet the gross income tests and certain of the asset tests applicable to REITs and, accordingly, we would likely cease to qualify as a REIT. Also, the failure of our operating partnership or any subsidiary partnerships to qualify as a partnership could cause it to become subject to federal and state corporate income tax, which would reduce significantly the amount of cash available for debt service and for distribution to its partners, including us.

Our ownership of taxable REIT subsidiaries is subject to certain restrictions, and we will be required to pay a 100% penalty tax on certain income or deductions if our transactions with our taxable REIT subsidiaries are not conducted on arm's length terms.

We currently own an interest in one taxable REIT subsidiary and may acquire securities in additional taxable REIT subsidiaries in the future. A taxable REIT subsidiary is a corporation other than a REIT in which a REIT directly or indirectly holds stock, and that has made a joint election with such REIT to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary. If a taxable REIT subsidiary owns more than 35% of the total voting power or value of the outstanding securities of another corporation, such other corporation will also be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary. Other than some activities relating to lodging and health care facilities, a taxable REIT subsidiary may generally engage in any business, including the provision of customary or non-customary services to tenants of its parent REIT. A taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to federal income tax as a regular C corporation. In addition, a 100% excise tax will be imposed on certain transactions between a taxable REIT subsidiary and its parent REIT that are not conducted on an arm's length basis.

A REIT's ownership of securities of a taxable REIT subsidiary is not subject to the 5% or 10% asset tests applicable to REITs. Not more than 25% of our total assets may be represented by securities, including securities of taxable REIT subsidiaries, other than those securities includable in the 75% asset test. We anticipate

Table of Contents

that the aggregate value of the stock and securities of any taxable REIT subsidiaries and other nonqualifying assets that we own will be less than 25% of the value of our total assets, and we will monitor the value of these investments to ensure compliance with applicable ownership limitations. In addition, we intend to structure our transactions with any taxable REIT subsidiaries that we own to ensure that they are entered into on arm's length terms to avoid incurring the 100% excise tax described above. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to comply with the 25% limitation or to avoid application of the 100% excise tax discussed above.

To maintain our REIT status, we may be forced to borrow funds during unfavorable market conditions.

To qualify as a REIT, we generally must distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our net taxable income each year, excluding net capital gains, and we will be subject to regular corporate income taxes to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our net taxable income each year. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which distributions paid by us in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income, 95% of our capital gain net income and 100% of our undistributed income from prior years. In order to maintain our REIT status and avoid the payment of income and excise taxes, we may need to borrow funds to meet the REIT distribution requirements even if the then prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings. These borrowing needs could result from, among other things, differences in timing between the actual receipt of cash and inclusion of income for federal income tax purposes, or the effect of non-deductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required debt or amortization payments. These sources, however, may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends on a number of factors, including the market's perception of our growth potential, our current debt levels, the market price of our common stock, and our current and potential future earnings. We cannot assure you that we will have access to such capital on favorable terms at the desired times, or at all, which may cause us to curtail our investment activities and/or to dispose of assets at inopportune times, and could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our series B preferred stock, and per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

The maximum tax rate applicable to income from qualified dividends payable to U.S. stockholders that are individuals, trusts and estates has been reduced by legislation to 15% (through the end of 2010). Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates. Although these rules do not adversely affect the taxation of REITs or dividends payable by REITs, to the extent that the reduced rates continue to apply to regular corporate qualified dividends, investors who are individuals, trusts and estates may perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the shares of REITs, including the per share trading price of our common stock and series B preferred stock.

The tax imposed on REITs engaging in prohibited transactions may limit our ability to engage in transactions that would be treated as sales for federal income tax purposes.

A REIT's net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% penalty tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property, other than foreclosure property, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. Although we do not intend to hold any properties that would be characterized as held for sale to customers in the ordinary course of our business, unless a sale or disposition qualifies under certain statutory safe harbors, such characterization is a factual determination and no guarantee can be given that the IRS would agree with our characterization of our properties or that we will always be able to make use of the available safe harbors.

Table of Contents

Complying with REIT requirements may affect our profitability and may force us to liquidate or forgo otherwise attractive investments.

To qualify as a REIT, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the nature and diversification of our assets, the sources of our income and the amounts we distribute to our stockholders. We may be required to liquidate or forgo otherwise attractive investments in order to satisfy the asset and income tests or to qualify under certain statutory relief provisions. We also may be required to make distributions to stockholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. As a result, having to comply with the distribution requirement could cause us to: (i) sell assets in adverse market conditions; (ii) borrow on unfavorable terms; or (iii) distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions, capital expenditures or repayment of debt. Accordingly, satisfying the REIT requirements could have an adverse effect on our business results, profitability and ability to execute our business plan. Moreover, if we are compelled to liquidate our investments to meet any of these asset, income or distribution tests, or to repay obligations to our lenders, we may be unable to comply with one or more of the requirements applicable to REITs or may be subject to a 100% tax on any resulting gain if such sales constitute prohibited transactions.

Legislative or other actions affecting REITs could have a negative effect on us.

The rules dealing with federal income taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the IRS and the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Changes to the tax laws, with or without retroactive application, could adversely affect our investors or us. We cannot predict how changes in the tax laws might affect our investors or us. New legislation, Treasury Regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions could significantly and negatively affect our ability to qualify as a REIT or the federal income tax consequences of such qualification.

Risks Related to this Offering

The series B preferred stock is a new issuance and does not have an established trading market, which may negatively affect its market value and your ability to transfer or sell your shares; series B preferred stock has no stated maturity date.

The shares of series B preferred stock are a new issue of securities with no established trading market. In addition, since the securities have no stated maturity date, investors seeking liquidity will be limited to selling their shares in the secondary market. We have applied to list the series B preferred stock on the NYSE, but there can be no assurance that the NYSE will accept the series B preferred stock for listing. Even if the series B preferred stock is approved for listing by the NYSE, however, an active trading market on the NYSE for the shares may not develop or, even if it develops, may not last, in which case the trading price of the shares could be adversely affected and your ability to transfer your shares of series B preferred stock will be limited. If an active trading market does develop on the NYSE, our series B preferred stock may trade at prices lower than the initial offering price. The trading price of our series B preferred stock would depend on many factors, including:

prevailing interest rates;

the market for similar securities;

general economic and financial market conditions;

our issuance of debt or preferred equity securities; and

our financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We have been advised by the underwriters that they intend to make a market in the shares of our series B preferred stock, but they are not obligated to do so and may discontinue market-making at any time without notice.

Table of Contents

Our series B preferred stock is subordinate to our debt, and your interests could be diluted by the issuance of additional shares of preferred stock, including additional shares of series B preferred stock, and by other transactions.

Our series B preferred stock is subordinate to all of our existing and future debt. Our existing debt restricts, and our future debt may include restrictions on, our ability to pay dividends to preferred stockholders. Our charter currently authorizes the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more classes or series. The issuance of additional preferred stock on parity with or senior to our series B preferred stock would dilute the interests of the holders of our series B preferred stock, and any issuance of preferred stock senior to our series B preferred stock or of additional indebtedness could affect our ability to pay dividends on, redeem or pay the liquidation preference on our series B preferred stock. Other than the increase in the dividend that may occur in a circumstance described under Description of Series B Preferred Stock Dividends below, none of the provisions relating to our series B preferred stock contain any provisions affording the holders of our series B preferred stock protection in the event of a highly leveraged or other transaction, including a merger or the sale, lease or conveyance of all or substantially all our assets or business, that might adversely affect the holders of our series B preferred stock, so long as the rights of our series B preferred stock are not materially and adversely affected.

The series B preferred stock will be subordinated to the series A preferred units, which were issued in connection with our initial public offering and which have an aggregate liquidation preference of approximately \$12.5 million.

We conduct substantially all of our business through our operating partnership, and our only significant asset is our interest in our operating partnership. As a result, we depend upon distributions or other payments from our operating partnership in order to meet our financial obligations, including our obligations to pay dividends or liquidation payments to our preferred stockholders. As a result, these obligations are effectively subordinated to existing and future liabilities of the operating partnership, including our obligations to pay preferred distributions on the series A preferred units of our operating partnership. In particular, the series A preferred units rank senior to the series B preferred units and common units of our operating partnership. Accordingly, our operating partnership must satisfy its obligations to make preferred distributions to the series A preferred units before it may make distributions to us. Moreover, in the event of our bankruptcy or the bankruptcy of our operating partnership, holders of series A preferred units will generally be entitled to payment of their liquidation preference from the assets of our operating partnership before those assets are made available for distribution to us. As a result, any right that we have to receive any assets of our operating partnership upon its liquidation or reorganization, and the liquidation preference of the series B preferred stock, will be subordinated to the liquidation preference of the series A preferred units and other liabilities of our operating partnership. In addition, we may not redeem our series B preferred stock unless we have paid distributions on the series A preferred units for all prior distribution periods. As of September 30, 2010, the liquidation preference on the outstanding series A preferred units was approximately \$12.5 million.

Market interest rates may have an effect on the value of our series B preferred stock.

One of the factors that will influence the price of our series B preferred stock will be the dividend yield on the series B preferred stock (as a percentage of the price of our series B preferred stock, as applicable) relative to market interest rates. An increase in market interest rates, which are currently at low levels relative to historical rates, may lead prospective purchasers of our series B preferred stock to expect a higher dividend yield and higher interest rates would likely increase our borrowing costs and potentially decrease funds available for distribution. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of our series B preferred stock to decrease.

Table of Contents

As a holder of series B preferred stock you have extremely limited voting rights.

Your voting rights as a holder of series B preferred stock will be extremely limited. Our common stock is the only class or series of our stock carrying full voting rights. Voting rights for holders of series B preferred stock exist primarily with respect to the ability to elect additional directors in the event that dividends for six quarterly dividend periods (whether or not consecutive) payable on our series B preferred stock are in arrears, and with respect to voting on amendments to our charter that materially and adversely affect the rights of the series B preferred stock or create additional classes or series of preferred stock that are senior to our series B preferred stock. See **Description of Series B Preferred Stock Voting Rights** below. Other than the limited circumstances described in this prospectus, holders of series B preferred stock will not have voting rights.

Our ability to pay dividends is limited by the requirements of Maryland law.

Our ability to pay dividends on our series B preferred stock is limited by the laws of Maryland. Under applicable Maryland law, a Maryland corporation generally may not make a distribution if, after giving effect to the distribution, the corporation would not be able to pay its debts as the debts become due in the usual course of business, or the corporation's total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus, unless the corporation's charter provides otherwise, the amount that would be needed, if the corporation were dissolved at the time of the distribution, to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of stockholders whose preferential rights are superior to those receiving the distribution. Accordingly, we generally may not make a distribution on our series B preferred stock if, after giving effect to the distribution, we would not be able to pay our debts as they become due in the usual course of business or our total assets would be less than the sum of our total liabilities plus, unless the terms of such class or series provide otherwise, the amount that would be needed to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of the holders of shares of any class or series of preferred stock then outstanding, if any, with preferences senior to those of our series B preferred stock.

Our secured revolving credit facility prohibits us from redeeming the series B preferred stock and may limit our ability to pay dividends on the series B preferred stock.

Our secured revolving credit facility, which matures in June 2013, prohibits us from redeeming or otherwise repurchasing any shares of our stock, including the series B preferred stock, during the three-year term of the secured revolving credit facility. Under the secured revolving credit facility, our distributions may not exceed the greater of (i) 95.0% of our FFO or (ii) the amount required for us to qualify and maintain our status as a REIT or (iii) the amount required for us to avoid the imposition of income and excise taxes. As a result, if we do not generate sufficient funds from operations (as defined in our secured revolving credit facility) during the 12 months preceding any series B preferred stock dividend payment date, we would not be able to pay all or a portion of the accumulated dividends payable to our series B preferred stockholders on such payment date without causing a default under our secured revolving credit facility. In the event of a default under our secured revolving credit facility, we would be unable to borrow under our secured revolving credit facility and any amounts we have borrowed thereunder could become due and payable.

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We make statements in this prospectus that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. In particular, statements pertaining to our capital resources, portfolio performance and results of operations contain forward-looking statements. Likewise, our pro forma financial statements and all of our statements regarding anticipated growth in our funds from operations and anticipated market conditions, demographics and results of operations are forward-looking statements. You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking terminology such as believes, expects, may, will, should, seeks, approximately, intends, plans, pro forma, anticipates or the negative of these words and phrases or similar words or phrases which are predictions of or indicate future events or trends and which do not relate solely to historical matters. You can also identify forward-looking statements by discussions of strategy, plans or intentions.

Forward-looking statements involve numerous risks and uncertainties and you should not rely on them as predictions of future events. Forward-looking statements depend on assumptions, data or methods which may be incorrect or imprecise and we may not be able to realize them. We do not guarantee that the transactions and events described will happen as described (or that they will happen at all). The following factors, among others, could cause actual results and future events to differ materially from those set forth or contemplated in the forward-looking statements:

adverse economic or real estate developments in our markets;

general economic conditions;

defaults on, early terminations of or non-renewal of leases by tenants;

fluctuations in interest rates and increased operating costs;

our failure to obtain necessary outside financing;

our failure to generate sufficient cash flows to service our outstanding indebtedness;

lack or insufficient amounts of insurance;

decreased rental rates or increased vacancy rates;

difficulties in identifying properties to acquire and completing acquisitions;

our failure to successfully operate acquired properties and operations;

our failure to maintain our status as a REIT;

environmental uncertainties and risks related to adverse weather conditions and natural disasters;

financial market fluctuations;

changes in real estate and zoning laws and increases in real property tax rates; and

other factors affecting the real estate industry generally.

While forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs, they are not guarantees of future performance. We disclaim any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect changes in underlying assumptions or factors, of new information, data or methods, future events or other changes. For a further discussion of these and other factors that could impact our future results, performance or transactions, see the section above entitled Risk Factors.

Table of Contents

USE OF PROCEEDS

We estimate that the net proceeds from this offering will be approximately \$ million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and our expenses, or approximately \$ million if the underwriters' overallotment option is exercised in full. We will contribute the net proceeds of this offering to our operating partnership in exchange for series B preferred units, and our operating partnership will use the net proceeds to repay indebtedness under our secured revolving credit facility, fund future acquisitions, and for general working capital purposes, including funding capital expenditures, tenant improvements, leasing commissions, and potentially, paying distributions and post-closing cash proration.

Our secured revolving credit facility matures on June 29, 2013 and bears interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 325 basis points to 400 basis points, depending on our leverage ratio; however, LIBOR is subject to a floor of 1.50%.

Pending application of cash proceeds, we will invest the net proceeds in interest-bearing accounts and short-term, interest-bearing securities in a manner that is consistent with our intention to qualify for taxation as a REIT.

See Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this prospectus.

Affiliates of Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Barclays Capital Inc. and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, the underwriters of this offering, are lenders under our \$200 million secured revolving credit facility. To the extent that we use a portion of the net proceeds of this offering to repay borrowings outstanding under our secured revolving credit facility, such affiliates of our underwriters will receive their proportionate shares of any amount of the secured revolving credit facility that is repaid with the net proceeds of this offering.

Table of Contents**RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES AND PREFERRED DIVIDENDS**

Our ratios of earnings to fixed charges and earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividends for the periods indicated are as follows:

	Pro Forma Consolidated Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	Historical Consolidated Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	Pro Forma Consolidated Year Ended December 31, 2009	Historical Combined Year Ended December 31, 2009 2008 2007		
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	0.85x	0.64x	0.71x	0.88x	0.72x	0.29x
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends	0.51x	0.62x	0.47x	0.88x	0.72x	0.29x

Our ratios of earnings to fixed charges are computed by dividing earnings by fixed charges. Our ratios of earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividends are computed by dividing earnings by the sum of fixed charges and preferred dividends. For these purposes, earnings consist of net income (loss) plus fixed charges. Net income (loss) is computed in accordance with GAAP and includes such non-cash items as real estate depreciation and amortization, amortization of above (below) market rents, and amortization of deferred financing costs and loan premium. Net income (loss) in 2010 also includes one-time transactional costs relating to our initial public offering and related formation transactions and acquisitions subsequent to our initial public offering. Fixed charges consist of interest expense, capitalized interest and amortization of deferred financing fees, whether expensed or capitalized, interest within rental expense and preference security dividend requirements of consolidated subsidiaries. Preferred dividends consist of the amount of pre-tax earnings required to pay dividends on the series B preferred stock. Our pro forma ratios are prepared on the basis of our pro forma financial statements. See Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges indicates that earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges on the basis of our pro forma financial statements by approximately \$1.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and by \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividends indicates that earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges and preferred dividends on the basis of our pro forma financial statements by approximately \$6.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and by \$10.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges indicates that earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges on the basis of our historical financial statements by approximately \$2.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and by \$1.1 million, \$3.6 million, and \$5.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

The computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividends indicates that earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges and preferred dividends on the basis of our historical financial statements by approximately \$2.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and by \$1.1 million, \$3.6 million, and \$5.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents**PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DISTRIBUTIONS**

Our common stock has been listed on the NYSE since June 24, 2010 and is traded under the symbol HPP. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high, low and last sale prices in dollars on the NYSE for our common stock and the distributions we declared with respect to the periods indicated.

	High	Low	Last	Distributions
Second quarter ⁽¹⁾	\$ 17.33	\$ 17.25	\$ 17.25	\$ 0.0021
Third quarter	\$ 17.00	\$ 15.86	\$ 16.37	0.095
Fourth quarter (through December 2, 2010)	\$ 16.52	\$ 15.26	\$ 15.41	

(1) Information is provided only for the period from June 29, 2010 to June 30, 2010, as shares of our common stock did not begin trading publicly until June 29, 2010.

On December 2, 2010, the closing sale price for our common stock, as reported on the NYSE, was \$15.41 and there were 17 holders of record of our common stock. This figure does not reflect the beneficial ownership of shares held in nominee name.

We intend to continue to declare quarterly distributions on our common stock. The actual amount and timing of distributions, however, will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our financial condition in addition to the requirements of the Code, and no assurance can be given as to the amounts or timing of future distributions.

Subject to the distribution requirements applicable to REITs under the Code, we intend, to the extent practicable, to invest substantially all of the proceeds from sales and refinancings of our assets in real estate-related assets and other assets. We may, however, under certain circumstances, make a distribution of capital or of assets. Such distributions, if any, will be made at the discretion of our board of directors. Distributions will be made in cash to the extent that cash is available for distribution.

Table of Contents**CAPITALIZATION**

The following table sets forth our cash and cash equivalents and historical consolidated capitalization as of September 30, 2010 and our pro forma cash and cash equivalents and consolidated capitalization as of September 30, 2010, adjusted to give effect to this offering and the use of the net proceeds as set forth in Use of Proceeds. You should read this table in conjunction with Use of Proceeds, Selected Financial Data, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this prospectus.

	As of September 30, 2010	
	Historical Consolidated	Pro Forma Consolidated
	(In thousands, except share amounts)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 40,741	\$
DEBT		
Notes payable and other secured loans ⁽¹⁾	94,069	124,349
6.25% Cumulative Redeemable Convertible Series A Preferred Units of our operating partnership	12,670	12,670
EQUITY		
Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 3,000,000 shares issued and outstanding on a pro forma basis ⁽²⁾		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 490,000,000 shares authorized, 22,211,799 shares issued and outstanding	222	222
Additional paid-in capital	416,624	413,624
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(30)	(30)
Accumulated deficit	(1,948)	(1,948)
Total Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. stockholders' equity	414,868	
Non-controlling partnership interests	66,322	66,322
Total equity	481,190	
Total capitalization	\$ 587,929	\$

- (1) Does not include any borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility, as no amounts were outstanding as of September 30, 2010. As of December 2, 2010, we had drawn approximately \$34,500 on our secured revolving credit facility. We intend to use approximately \$34,500 of the net proceeds of this offering to repay amounts outstanding under our secured revolving credit facility, provided that this offering is completed prior to our acquisition of the 10950 property. If this offering is completed after our acquisition of the 10950 Washington property, we intend to use approximately \$50,174 of the net proceeds of this offering to repay amounts that we anticipate will be outstanding under our secured revolving credit facility at such time. The pro forma amount includes the anticipated assumption of a \$30,000 loan in connection with our expected acquisition of the 10950 Washington property and a related fair market value of debt adjustment of approximately \$280.
- (2) Pro forma series B preferred stock outstanding includes shares to be issued in this offering, and excludes shares issuable upon exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option.

Table of Contents

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth selected financial and operating data on a pro forma and historical basis for our company. Our historical financial statements include the real estate activity and holdings of the entities that owned the following properties that were contributed to us in connection with our initial public offering on June 29, 2010: Sunset Gower; the Technicolor Building; Sunset Bronson; City Plaza (which, together, we previously designated as our predecessor) and 875 Howard Street. One of the entities comprising our predecessor, SGS Realty II, LLC (the asset owning entity of the Sunset Gower property and the Technicolor Building) was determined to be the acquirer for accounting purposes. In addition, any interests contributed by the controlling member of the other entities comprising our predecessor and Howard Street Associates, LLC (the asset owning entity of 875 Howard Street) was a transaction of entities under common control. As a result, the contribution of interests in each of these entities has been recorded at historical cost in our historical financial statements. We have changed the presentation in our historical financial statements to include the results of both our predecessor and Howard Street Associates, LLC. The current period financial statements include: (i) the operations of the above entities, (ii) the operations of the following entities that we also acquired in connection with our initial public offering: Glenborough Tierrasanta, LLC, GLB Encino, LLC, and Hudson Capital, LLC, for periods subsequent to our initial public offering; and (iii) the operations of the following entities that we acquired subsequent to our initial public offering: Del Amo Fashion Center Operating Company, LLC and 9300 Wilshire, for periods subsequent to the acquisitions of such entities on August 13, 2010 and August 24, 2010, respectively.

You should read the following selected financial data in conjunction with our combined historical consolidated financial statements and the related notes and with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The historical consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2010 and the consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 have been derived from the unaudited consolidated financial statements of our company included elsewhere in this prospectus. In the opinion of the management of our company, the historical consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the historical financial statements for such periods. The historical combined balance sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the combined statements of operations information for each of the periods ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 have been derived from our historical audited combined financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. Our results of operations for the interim periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be obtained for the full fiscal year.

Our unaudited selected pro forma consolidated financial statements and operating information as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and for the year ended December 31, 2009 assumes the completion of this offering and our intended use of the proceeds therefrom as of the beginning of the earliest period presented for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our unaudited selected pro forma consolidated financial statements also include the effects of our initial public offering, which closed on June 29, 2010, and the related formation transactions that occurred in conjunction with our initial public offering, as if the resulting debt and equity structure were in place as of the first day of the earliest period presented for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our unaudited selected pro forma consolidated financial statements also include the effects of certain acquisitions completed by us since our initial public offering, including the acquisition of the 222 Kearny Street property and the expected acquisition by us of the 10950 Washington property, along with any related financing transactions, as if those acquisitions and financing transactions had occurred as of the beginning of the earliest period presented for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what our actual financial position and results of operations would have been as of the date and for the periods indicated, nor does it purport to represent our future financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents**Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.**

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			Year Ended December 31,			
	Pro Forma Consolidated 2010 (Unaudited)	Historical Consolidated 2010 (Unaudited)	Historical Combined 2009 (Unaudited)	Pro Forma Consolidated 2009 (Unaudited)	Historical Combined 2009	Historical Combined 2008	2007
(In thousands, except per share data)							
Statement of Operations Data:							
REVENUES							
Office							
Rental	\$ 23,400	\$ 12,786	\$ 8,349	\$ 27,194	\$ 11,058	\$ 8,235	\$ 3,905
Tenant recoveries	4,045	1,915	1,435	5,396	2,029	1,504	620
Other	613	125	152	785	158	41	
Total Office Revenues	28,058	14,826	9,936	33,375	13,245	9,780	4,525
Media & Entertainment							
Rental	15,453	15,453	15,300	19,909	19,909	22,075	4,215
Tenant recoveries	1,179	1,179	1,225	1,463	1,463	1,544	58
Other property-related revenue	7,996	7,996	7,402	7,193	7,193	9,554	2,750
Other	96	96	57	60	60	92	7
Total Media & Entertainment Revenues	24,724	24,724	23,984	28,625	28,625	33,265	7,030
Total revenues	52,782	39,550	33,920	62,000	41,870	43,045	11,555
OPERATING EXPENSES							
Office operating expenses	10,753	5,650	4,328	13,814	6,322	3,003	1,182
Media & entertainment operating expenses	14,983	15,194	14,451	16,069	16,810	19,926	4,732
General and administrative	4,568	2,379		4,376			
Depreciation and amortization	14,008	9,985	8,334	18,805	10,930	9,693	3,592
Total operating expenses	44,312	33,208	27,113	53,064	34,062	32,622	9,506
Income from operations	8,470	6,342	6,807	8,936	7,808	10,423	2,049
OTHER EXPENSE (INCOME)							
Interest expense	7,132	6,196	6,702	12,668	8,774	12,029	6,096
Interest income	(37)	(37)	(9)	(18)	(18)	(48)	(57)
Unrealized loss (gain) on interest rate contracts	(347)	(347)	(208)	(399)	(399)	835	24
Acquisition-related expenses	2,689	2,689				208	
Other expense	(8)	(8)	97	95	95	21	
Total other expense (income)	9,429	8,493	6,582	12,346	8,452	13,045	6,063
Net income (loss)	\$ (959)	\$ (2,151)	\$ 225	\$ (3,410)	\$ (644)	\$ (2,622)	\$ (4,014)
Less: Net income attributable to Series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock	\$ (4,500)	\$	\$	\$ (6,000)	\$	\$	\$
Less: Net income attributable to preferred non-controlling partnership interest	(585)	(199)		(780)			
Less: Net income attributable to restricted shares	(75)	(25)		(100)			
Add: Net loss (income) attributable to common non-controlling partnership interest		32	(4)		29	81	141
Add: Net loss attributable to Unitholders in the Operating Partnership	651	277		1,094			
(Loss) income attributable to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. shareholders / controlling member's equity	\$ (5,468)	\$ (2,066)	\$ 221	\$ (9,196)	\$ (615)	\$ (2,541)	\$ (3,873)

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

Net (loss) attributable to shareholders per share - basic and diluted	(0.25)			(0.42)
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding - basic and diluted	21,946,508			21,946,508
Dividends declared per common share	\$	\$	0.0971	\$

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			Year Ended December 31,		
	Pro Forma Consolidated 2010 (Unaudited)	Historical Consolidated 2010 (Unaudited)	Historical Combined 2009 (Unaudited)	Pro Forma Consolidated 2009 (Unaudited)	Historical Combined 2008	2007
Balance Sheet Data (at period end):						
Investment in real estate, net	\$ 594,011	\$ 515,812	\$	\$ 412,478	\$ 409,192	237,071
Total assets	732,199	623,915		448,234	446,037	264,930
Notes payable	124,349	94,069		189,518	185,594	167,531
Total liabilities	166,339	130,055		221,646	224,306	188,483
Preferred non-controlling partnership interest	12,670	12,670				
Series B preferred stock	75,000					
Non-controlling partnership/members interest	66,322	66,322		3,348	3,282	1,793
Member s/stockholders equity	486,868	414,868		223,240	218,449	74,654
Total equity	553,190	481,190		226,588	221,731	76,447
Other Data:						
Pro forma funds from operations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 7,964			\$ 8,615		
Pro forma funds from operations per share diluted	\$ 0.32			\$ 0.35		
Cash flows from:						
Operating activities		\$ 3,421	\$ 2,250	\$ 4,538	\$ 20,049	\$ (5,433)
Investing activities		(56,667)	(10,112)	(15,457)	(178,526)	(238,903)
Financing activities		90,293	5,754	8,800	163,794	244,832

- (1) We calculate funds from operations before non-controlling interest, or FFO, in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT. FFO is defined by NAREIT as net income (loss) (computed in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of above (below) market rents for acquisition properties and amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discounts) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. FFO is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure. We use FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from property dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs. However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effects and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. Other equity REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition as we do, and, therefore, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs' FFO. Accordingly, FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends. FFO should not be used as a supplement to or substitute for cash flow from operating activities computed in accordance with GAAP. The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our pro forma net income to pro forma FFO before non-controlling interest for the periods presented:

	Pro Forma	
	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 (In thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2009 (In thousands)
Net loss	\$ (959)	\$ (3,410)
Adjustments:		
Net income attributable to series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock	(4,500)	(6,000)
Net income attributable to preferred non-controlling partnership interest	(585)	(780)
Real estate depreciation and amortization	14,008	18,805
Funds from operations before common non-controlling interests	\$ 7,964	\$ 8,615

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Selected Financial Data and the financial statements and related notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. Where appropriate, the following discussion includes analysis of the effects of our initial public offering, the private placement of \$20.0 million of common stock to Victor J. Coleman and funds affiliated with Farallon Capital Management, L.L.C. concurrently with the consummation of our initial public offering, which we refer to as the private placement, the formation transactions, certain other transactions and this offering. These effects are reflected in the pro forma combined financial statements located elsewhere in this prospectus. For periods prior to June 29, 2010, the results of operations described below were comprised solely of the former real estate activity and holdings of SGS Realty II, LLC, Sunset Bronson Entertainment Properties, LLC, HFOP City Plaza, LLC and Howard Street Associates LLC, which are a subset of the entities that contributed properties to us in the formation transactions.

Overview

Formation Transactions

In connection with our initial public offering, our operating partnership received contributions of direct and indirect interests in the properties in our initial portfolio in exchange for an aggregate of 6,050,037 shares of our common stock, 2,610,941 common units, approximately \$12.5 million in liquidation preference of series A preferred units, and \$7.2 million in cash.

As a result of our initial public offering, one of the entities comprising our predecessor, SGS Realty II, LLC, was determined to be the acquirer for accounting purposes. In addition, we concluded that any interests contributed by the controlling member of the other entities comprising our predecessor and Howard Street Associates, LLC was a transaction between entities under common control. In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification 805 *Business Combinations* (ASC 805), a business combination excludes the transfers of net assets or exchanges of equity interests between entities under common control. Further, the transfers of net assets or exchanges of equity interests between entities under common control should be accounted for similar to the pooling-of-interests method in that the entity that receives the net assets or the equity interests initially recognizes the assets and liabilities transferred at their carrying amounts in the accounts of the transferring entity at the date of transfer. Because the company, SGS Realty II, LLC, Sunset Bronson Entertainment Properties, LLC, HFOP City Plaza, LLC, and Howard Street Associates, LLC were under common control at the time of the initial public offering and the formation transactions, the transfer of assets and liabilities of each of these entities were accounted for at historical cost in a manner similar to a pooling of interests. For financial accounting purposes, the acquisition was viewed as a change in reporting entity and, as a result, we have retroactively changed the presentation of the company's financial statements for all periods subsequent to February 14, 2007, the date at which common control of the entities contributed by Farallon Partners, L.L.C. commenced. Accordingly, the company's historical combined balance sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the historical combined statements of operations, equity and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and for the period from February 14, 2007 (commencement of operations) through December 31, 2007 include the results of the company, SGS Realty II, LLC, Sunset Bronson Entertainment Properties, LLC, HFOP City Plaza, LLC, and Howard Street Associates, LLC.

Subsequent to the contributions of Glenborough Tierrasanta, LLC, GLB Encino, LLC, and Hudson Capital, LLC as part of our initial public offering on June 29, 2010, our operations included their operations. These acquisitions were accounted for as business combinations and recognized at the estimated fair value of acquired assets and assumed liabilities on the date of such contribution or acquisition. The fair value of these assets and liabilities has been allocated in accordance with ASC 805. The fair values of tangible assets acquired are determined on an as-if-vacant basis. The as-if-vacant fair value of tangible assets will be allocated to land,

Table of Contents

building and improvements, tenant improvements and furniture and fixtures based on our own market knowledge and published market data, including current rental rates, expected downtime to lease up vacant space, tenant improvement construction costs, leasing commissions and recent sales on a per square foot basis for comparable properties in our submarkets. The estimated fair value of intangible assets consisting of acquired in-place at-market leases are the costs we would have incurred to lease the property to the occupancy level of the property at the date of acquisition. Such estimates include the fair value of leasing commissions and legal costs that would be incurred to lease this property to this occupancy level. Additionally, we evaluate the time period over which such occupancy level would be achieved and include an estimate of the net operating costs (primarily real estate taxes, insurance and utilities) incurred during the lease-up period, generally six months. Above-market and below-market in-place lease values are recorded as an asset or liability based on the present value (using an interest rate that reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and our estimate of fair market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the remaining non-cancelable term of the lease for above-market leases and the remaining non-cancelable term plus the term of any below-market fixed rate renewal options for below-market leases. The fair value of the debt assumed was determined using current market interest rates for comparable debt financings.

Recent Developments

Subsequent to our initial public offering, we used approximately \$27.5 million in cash (before closing costs and prorations) to acquire of the Del Amo Office property on August 13, 2010. The Del Amo Office property is subject to a ground sublease expiring June 30, 2049.

On August 24, 2010, a subsidiary of our operating partnership acquired a leasehold interest in 9300 Wilshire Boulevard, a six-story office building located in Beverly Hills, California for \$15.0 million in cash (before closing costs and prorations). The 9300 Wilshire Boulevard property is subject to a ground lease expiring August 14, 2032.

On October 6, 2010, our operating partnership became obligated under a definitive asset purchase agreement with an unrelated third party to acquire 10950 Washington, an office building located in Culver City, California for approximately \$46.0 million, subject to closing costs and prorations and subject to the assumption of a \$30.0 million loan. The building, which consists of approximately 158,873 square feet, is being purchased from an affiliate of Embarcadero Capital Partners, LLC. We expect to fund the estimated \$16.0 million balance of the purchase price with available cash or borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility. The purchase of the 10950 Washington property is subject to various closing conditions, including our receipt of requisite estoppels and our assumption of an existing \$30.0 million loan. The closing of the acquisition is expected to take place by December 30, 2010, after all necessary approvals for the loan assumption have been received. We cannot assure you that the acquisition will be consummated on the anticipated schedule or at all. If we fail to close the purchase as required after the satisfaction or waiver of all closing conditions, we may be liable to the sellers for liquidated damages in an amount equal to the \$1.0 million deposit made by our operating partnership.

On October 8, 2010, a subsidiary of our operating partnership acquired 222 Kearny Street, a 144,440 square foot, two-building office property located in San Francisco, California, from an unrelated third party for \$34.9 million in cash (before prorations), funded with \$34.5 million of borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility and the remainder from available cash. A portion representing approximately 64% of the building area of the 222 Kearny Street property (excluding the 180 Sutter building) is subject to a long-term ground lease expiring June 14, 2054.

Revenue Base

We currently own ten properties and are under contract to acquire the 10950 Washington property. Our portfolio, including 10950 Washington, is comprised of approximately 1.5 million square feet of office and 857,432 square feet of media and entertainment space. As of September 30, 2010, our office properties were

Table of Contents

approximately 83.2% leased (or 87.0%, giving effect to uncommenced leases), and the average trailing 12-month percent leased of the media and entertainment properties was 69.0%. All of these properties are located in California.

Office Leases. We generally lease our office properties to tenants on a full-service gross or net lease basis. A full-service gross lease has a base year expense stop, whereby the tenant pays a stated amount of expenses as part of the rent payment, while future increases (above the base year stop) in property operating expenses are billed to the tenant based on such tenant's proportionate square footage in the property. The property operating expenses are reflected in operating expenses, but only the increased property operating expenses above the base year stop recovered from tenants are reflected as tenant recoveries in the statements of income. In a net lease, the tenant is responsible for all property taxes and operating expenses. As such, the base rent payment does not include any operating expenses, but rather all such expenses are billed to the tenant. The full amount of the expenses for this lease type is reflected in operating expenses, and the reimbursement is reflected in tenant recoveries. The tenants in City Plaza have full-service gross leases, and the tenant in the Technicolor Building has a net lease.

Media and Entertainment Leases. We generally lease our media and entertainment properties to tenants on a full-service gross or net lease basis. Under the full-service gross leases in our media and entertainment properties, the tenant pays a full-service gross rent amount and an additional amount for property related items, which are often required to make effective use of the leased space, such as rental of lighting, equipment rental, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet). Lighting revenue is recognized on a net basis. In a net lease in our media and entertainment properties, the tenant is responsible for all property taxes and operating expenses. As such, the base rent payment does not include any operating expense, but rather all such expenses are billed to the tenant. The full amount of the expenses for this lease type is reflected in operating expenses, and the reimbursement is reflected in tenant recoveries. Expenses associated with provision of lighting rental, equipment rental, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet) are reflected in other property-related expense. All of the tenants in Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson have full-service gross leases, other than KTLA, which has a net lease.

Interest Rate Contracts. Any change in fair value of interest rate contracts were either recorded as a gain or loss in the statement of operations because such contracts did not qualify as effective hedges or through other comprehensive loss because such contracts did qualify as an effective cash flow hedge under FASB ASC Topic 815 as discussed in more detail below under Interest Rate Risk.

The indebtedness that previously encumbered the Sunset Gower property and Technicolor Building was subject to an interest rate contract, effective as of September 15, 2008, capping one-month LIBOR at 4.75% on the notional amount and on terms identical to the principal amount and terms of the mortgage loan. That interest rate contract expired on September 15, 2009. Another interest rate contract capping one-month LIBOR at 4.75% on the notional amount and on terms identical to the principal amount and terms of the mortgage loan was purchased and went effective September 15, 2009 and covered the period through March 15, 2010. The fair market value of that interest rate cap agreement at September 30, 2010 (having expired on March 15, 2010) and December 31, 2009 was \$0. We repaid the indebtedness that encumbered our Sunset Gower property and Technicolor Building on June 29, 2010 in connection with our initial public offering and related formation transactions.

The indebtedness encumbering the Sunset Bronson property was subject to a collar on the LIBOR portion of the interest rate of not less than 2.55% and no greater than 3.87% until September 1, 2010. From and after June 1, 2010, the applicable interest rate became 5.90% per annum, until a new secured interest rate contract went effective upon the closing of our initial public offering and related formation transaction on June 29, 2010, which swapped one-month LIBOR to a fixed rate of 0.75%. The interest rate contract for Sunset Bronson fixes one-month LIBOR on the full \$37.0 million notional loan amount through April 30, 2011 on terms identical to the terms of the mortgage loan. The fair market value of these interest contracts at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was a liability of approximately \$0.1 million and approximately \$0.4 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Factors That May Influence Our Operating Results

Business and Strategy. We focus our investment strategy on office properties located in submarkets with growth potential as well as on underperforming properties or portfolios that provide opportunities to implement a value-add strategy to increase occupancy rates and cash flow. Additionally, we intend to acquire properties or portfolios that are distressed due to near-term debt maturities or underperforming properties where we believe better management, focused leasing efforts and/or capital improvements would improve the property's operating performance and value. Our strategy also includes active management, aggressive leasing efforts, focused capital improvement programs, the reduction and containment of operating costs and an emphasis on tenant satisfaction, which we believe will minimize turnover costs and improve occupancy.

From the acquisition of our first property in February 2007 through November 2010, we have acquired or developed 10 real estate properties containing an aggregate 2.2 million net rentable square feet. We intend to pursue acquisitions of additional properties as a key part of our growth strategy, often including properties that may have substantial vacancy, which enables us to increase cash flow through lease-up. We expect to continue to acquire properties subject to existing mortgage financing and other indebtedness or to incur indebtedness in connection with acquiring or refinancing these properties. Debt service on such indebtedness will have a priority over any dividends with respect to our series B preferred stock. In addition, we are under contract to acquire the 10950 Washington property.

Rental Revenue. The amount of net rental revenue generated by the properties in our portfolio depends principally on our ability to maintain the occupancy rates of currently leased space and to lease currently available space and space that becomes available from lease terminations. As of September 30, 2010, the percent leased for our office properties, including 10950 Washington, was approximately 83.2% (or 87.0%, giving effect to leases signed but not commenced as of that date), and the percent leased for the media and entertainment properties (based on 12-month trailing average) was approximately 69.0%. The amount of rental revenue generated by us also depends on our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our properties. We believe that the average rental rates for our office properties generally are equal to or slightly above the current average quoted market rate, with the exception of our lease of 94,505 square feet to Burlington Coat Factory at our 875 Howard Street property, which we believe to be substantially below market rates. We believe the average rental rates for our media and entertainment properties are generally equal to current average quoted market rates. Negative trends in one or more of these factors could adversely affect our rental revenue in future periods. Future economic downturns or regional downturns affecting our submarkets or downturns in our tenants' industries that impair our ability to renew or re-let space and the ability of our tenants to fulfill their lease commitments, as in the case of tenant bankruptcies, could adversely affect our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our properties. In addition, growth in rental revenue will also partially depend on our ability to acquire additional properties that meet our investment criteria.

Conditions in Our Markets. The properties in our portfolio are all located in California submarkets. Positive or negative changes in economic or other conditions in California, including the state budgetary shortfall, employment rates, natural hazards and other factors, may impact our overall performance.

Operating Expenses. Our operating expenses generally consist of utilities, property and ad valorem taxes, insurance and site maintenance costs. Increases in these expenses over tenants' base years are generally passed on to tenants in our full-service gross leased properties and are generally paid in full by tenants in our net lease properties. As a public company, we estimate our annual general and administrative expenses will increase due to increased legal, insurance, accounting and other expenses related to corporate governance, SEC reporting and other compliance matters, compared to the period prior to our initial public offering. In addition, we expect the properties in our portfolio to be reassessed after the consummation of this offering. We believe the amount of property taxes we pay in the future will decrease due to the expected downward reassessment of many of our properties following the completion of the formation transactions. Given the uncertainty of the amounts involved, we have not included the impact of any anticipated property tax decrease in our pro forma financial statements.

Table of Contents

Taxable REIT Subsidiary. As part of the formation transactions, we formed Hudson Pacific Services, Inc., or our services company, a Maryland corporation that is wholly owned by our operating partnership. We have elected, together with our services company, to treat our services company as a taxable REIT subsidiary for federal income tax purposes, and we may form additional taxable REIT subsidiaries in the future. Our services company generally may provide both customary and non-customary services to our tenants and engage in other activities that we may not engage in directly without adversely affecting our qualification as a REIT. Our services company and its wholly owned subsidiaries provide a number of services to certain tenants at our media and entertainment properties and, from time to time, one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries may provide services to our tenants at these and other properties. See *Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation of Our Company Income Tests*. In addition, our operating partnership has contributed some or all of its interests in certain wholly owned subsidiaries or their assets to our services company. We currently lease space to wholly owned subsidiaries of our services company at our media and entertainment properties and may, from time to time, enter into additional leases with one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. Any income earned by our taxable REIT subsidiaries will not be included in our taxable income for purposes of the 75% or 95% gross income tests, except to the extent such income is distributed to us as a dividend, in which case such dividend income will qualify under the 95%, but not the 75%, gross income test. See *Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation of Our Company Income Tests*. Because a taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to federal income tax, and state and local income tax (where applicable), as a regular C corporation, the income earned by our taxable REIT subsidiaries generally will be subject to an additional level of tax as compared to the income earned by our other subsidiaries.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of the historical financial condition and results of operations of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. are based upon their financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions in certain circumstances that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses in the reporting period. Actual amounts may differ from these estimates and assumptions. We have provided a summary of our significant accounting policies in the notes to the financial statements of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. included elsewhere in this prospectus. We have summarized below those accounting policies that require material subjective or complex judgments and that have the most significant impact on our financial conditions and results of operations. We evaluate these estimates on an ongoing basis, based upon information currently available and on various assumptions that we believe are reasonable as of the date hereof. Other companies in similar businesses may use different estimation policies and methodologies, which may impact the comparability of our results of operations and financial conditions to those of other companies.

Investment in Real Estate Properties

The properties in our portfolio are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. We allocate the cost of an acquisition, including the assumption of liabilities, to the acquired tangible assets and identifiable intangibles based on their estimated fair values in accordance with GAAP. We assess fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and/or capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors, including historical operating results, known and anticipated trends, and market and economic conditions. The fair value of tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it was vacant. Acquisition-related expenses are expensed in the period incurred.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We assess the carrying value of real estate assets and related intangibles whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or asset group may not be recoverable in accordance

Table of Contents

with GAAP. Impairment losses are recorded on real estate assets held for investment when indicators of impairment are present and the future undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amount. We recognize impairment losses to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the properties. Properties held for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value less cost to sell. We did not record any impairment charges related to our real estate assets and related intangibles during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and the periods ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable consist of amounts due for monthly rents and other charges. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts, including an allowance for straight-line rent receivables, for estimated losses resulting from tenant defaults or the inability of tenants to make contractual rent and tenant recovery payments. We monitor the liquidity and creditworthiness of our tenants and operators on an ongoing basis. This evaluation considers industry and economic conditions, property performance, credit enhancements and other factors. For straight-line rent amounts, our assessment is based on amounts estimated to be recoverable over the term of the lease. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, management believes that the collectability of straight-line rent balances are reasonably assured; accordingly, no allowance was established against straight-line rent receivables. We evaluate the collectability of accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on specific identification of uncollectible accounts and our historical collection experience. We recognize an allowance for doubtful accounts based on the length of time the receivables are past due, the current business environment and our historical experience. Historical experience has been within management's expectations.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize rental revenue from tenants on a straight-line basis over the lease term when collectability is reasonably assured and the tenant has taken possession or controls the physical use of the leased asset. For assets acquired subject to leases, we recognize revenue upon acquisition of the asset, provided the tenant has taken possession or controls the physical use of the leased asset. If the lease provides for tenant improvements, we determine whether the tenant improvements, for accounting purposes, are owned by the tenant or us. When we are the owner of the tenant improvements, the tenant is not considered to have taken physical possession or have control of the physical use of the leased asset until the tenant improvements are substantially completed. When the tenant is the owner of the tenant improvements, any tenant improvement allowance that is funded is treated as a lease incentive and amortized as a reduction of revenue over the lease term. Tenant improvement ownership is determined based on various factors including, but not limited to:

whether the lease stipulates how and on what a tenant improvement allowance may be spent;

whether the tenant or landlord retains legal title to the improvements at the end of the lease term;

whether the tenant improvements are unique to the tenant or general-purpose in nature; and

whether the tenant improvements are expected to have any residual value at the end of the lease.

Certain leases provide for additional rents contingent upon a percentage of the tenant's revenue in excess of specified base amounts or other thresholds. Such revenue is recognized when actual results reported by the tenant, or estimates of tenant results, exceed the base amount or other thresholds. Such revenue is recognized only after the contingency has been removed (when the related thresholds are achieved), which may result in the recognition of rental revenue in periods subsequent to when such payments are received.

Table of Contents

Other property related revenue is revenue that is derived from the tenants' use of lighting, equipment rental, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet). Other property related revenue is recognized when these items are provided.

Tenant recoveries related to reimbursement of real estate taxes, insurance, repairs and maintenance, and other operating expenses are recognized as revenue in the period the applicable expenses are incurred. The reimbursements are recognized and presented gross, as we are generally the primary obligor with respect to purchasing goods and services from third-party suppliers, have discretion in selecting the supplier and bear the associated credit risk.

We recognize gains on sales of properties upon the closing of the transaction with the purchaser. Gains on properties sold are recognized using the full accrual method when (i) the collectability of the sales price is reasonably assured, (ii) we are not obligated to perform significant activities after the sale, (iii) the initial investment from the buyer is sufficient and (iv) other profit recognition criteria have been satisfied. Gains on sales of properties may be deferred in whole or in part until the requirements for gain recognition have been met.

Stock Based Compensation

ASC Topic 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation (referred to as ASC Topic 718 and formerly known as FASB 123R, requires us to recognize an expense for the fair value of equity-based compensation awards. Grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance units under our equity incentive award plans are accounted for under ASC Topic 718. Our compensation committee will regularly consider the accounting implications of significant compensation decisions, especially in connection with decisions that relate to our equity incentive award plans and programs.

Income Taxes

Our taxable income prior to the completion of our initial public offering is reportable by the members of the limited liability companies that comprise our predecessor. Our property-owning subsidiaries are limited liability companies and are treated as pass-through entities for income tax purposes. Accordingly, no provision has been made for federal income taxes in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the activities of these entities. We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes on our federal income tax return for our taxable year ending December 31, 2010. We believe that we have operated, and we intend to continue operating, in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with such taxable year. To qualify as a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income to our stockholders and meet the various other requirements imposed by the Code relating to such matters as operating results, asset holdings, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Provided that we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we are generally not subject to corporate level income tax on the earnings distributed currently to our stockholders that we derive from our REIT qualifying activities. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, and are unable to avail ourselves of certain savings provisions set forth in the Code, all of our taxable income would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates, including any applicable alternative minimum tax. Unless entitled to relief under specific statutory provisions, we would be ineligible to elect to be treated as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year for which we lose our qualification. It is not possible to state whether in all circumstances we would be entitled to this statutory relief.

We have elected to treat one of our subsidiaries as a taxable REIT subsidiary. Certain activities that we may undertake, such as non-customary services for our tenants and holding assets that we cannot hold directly, will be conducted by a taxable REIT subsidiary. A taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to federal and, where applicable, state income taxes on its net income.

We are subject to the statutory requirements of the state in which we conduct business.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table identifies each of the properties in our portfolio acquired through September 30, 2010 and their date of acquisition.

Acquired Properties	Acquisition/Completion Date	Square Feet
875 Howard Street	02/15/2007	286,270
Sunset Gower	08/17/2007	543,709
Sunset Bronson	01/30/2008	313,723
Technicolor Building	06/01/2008	114,958
City Plaza	08/26/2008	333,922
First Financial	06/29/2010	222,423
Tierrasanta	06/29/2010	104,234
Del Amo Office	08/13/2010	113,000
9300 Wilshire Boulevard	08/24/2010	58,484
Total		2,090,713

All amounts and percentages used in this discussion of our results of operations are calculated using the numbers presented in the financial statements contained in this report rather than the rounded numbers appearing in this discussion.

Comparison of the three months ended September 30, 2010 to the three months ended September 30, 2009*Revenue*

Total Office Revenue. Total office revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, and other revenue. Total office revenues increased \$4.2 million, or 121%, to \$7.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$3.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The period over period changes in the items that comprise total revenue are attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Office Rental Revenue. Office rental revenue includes rental revenues from our office properties, percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties, and lease termination income. Total office rental revenue increased \$3.6 million, or 125%, to \$6.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$2.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in rental revenues was primarily due to the acquisitions of the Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo Office, and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard office properties, in addition to the increase in occupancy at our City Plaza and 875 Howard Street office properties.

Office Tenant Recoveries. Office tenant recoveries increased \$0.6 million, or 137%, to \$1.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$0.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in tenant recoveries was primarily due to the acquisitions of the Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo Office, and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard office properties together with an increase in average occupancy year-over-year for our City Plaza and 875 Howard Street office properties.

Office Other Revenue. Other revenue remained relatively flat at \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared \$0.1 million for to the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Total Media & Entertainment Revenue. Total media and entertainment revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, other property-related revenue, and other revenue. Total media and entertainment revenues increased \$1.8 million, or 22%, to \$9.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010

Table of Contents

compared to \$8.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The period over period changes in the items that comprise total revenue are attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Media & Entertainment Rental Revenue. Media and entertainment rental revenue includes rental revenues from our media and entertainment properties, percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties, and lease termination income. Total media and entertainment rental revenue increased \$0.3 million, or 7%, to \$5.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$4.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in rental revenue was primarily due to improved occupancy at both media and entertainment properties.

Media & Entertainment Tenant Recoveries. Tenant recoveries remained relatively flat at \$0.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$0.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Media & Entertainment Other Property-Related Revenue. Other property-related revenue is revenue that is derived from the tenants' rental of lighting and other equipment, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet). Total other property-related revenue increased \$1.4 million, or 49%, to \$4.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$2.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in other property-related revenue was primarily due to an increase in lighting equipment rental revenue, parking revenue, and telecom revenue relating to higher production activity at our Sunset Gower property.

Operating Expenses

Total Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses consist of property operating expenses, as well as property level general and administrative expenses, other property related expenses, management fees and depreciation and amortization. Total operating expenses increased by \$6.1 million, or 65%, to \$15.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$9.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase in total operating expenses is attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Office Operating Expenses. Office operating expenses increased \$1.3 million, or 83%, to \$2.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$1.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in operating expenses was primarily due to the acquisitions of the Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo Office, and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard office properties together with an increase in average occupancy year-over-year for our City Plaza and 875 Howard Street office properties.

Media & Entertainment Operating Expenses. Media and entertainment operating expenses increased \$0.9 million, or 17%, to \$6.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$5.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in operating expenses was due to an increase in other property-related expenses, primarily lighting and other equipment rental expenses relating to from higher production activity at our Sunset Gower property.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses includes wages and salaries for corporate-level employees, accounting, legal and other professional services, office supplies, entertainment, travel, and automobile expenses, telecommunications and computer-related expenses, and other miscellaneous items. Since our initial public offering, private placement, and formation transactions did not occur until June 29, 2010, the three months ended September 30, 2009 do not include general and administrative expenses for corporate-level operations. The \$2.4 million of general and administration expenses reflect the expenses of our corporate-level operation for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$1.6 million, or 58%, to \$4.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$2.7 million for the three months

Table of Contents

ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to the depreciation associated with the acquisitions of the Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo Office, and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard office properties.

Other Expense (Income)

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$0.4 million, or 20%, to \$1.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$2.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to the repayment of indebtedness in connection with our initial public offering, private placement, and formation transactions on June 29, 2010, partially offset by higher interest expense associated with loans assumed in connection with our acquisitions of the Tierrasanta and First Financial office properties.

Unrealized Gain on Interest Rate Contracts. Unrealized gain on interest rate contracts remained relatively flat at \$0 for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Acquisition-related expenses. Acquisition-related expenses increased \$0.3 million to \$0.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, with no comparable expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was due to one-time transactional costs relating to our acquisition of the Del Amo Office and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard office properties.

Net Income

Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was \$0.0 million compared to net income of \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to higher office and media and entertainment operating expenses, higher general and administrative expenses, and one-time transactional costs relating to our acquisitions of the Del Amo Office and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard office properties, partially offset by higher office and media and entertainment revenues and lower interest expense, all as described above.

Comparison of the nine months ended September 30, 2010 to the nine months ended September 30, 2009

Revenue

Total Office Revenue. Total office revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, and other revenue. Total office revenues increased \$4.9 million, or 49%, to \$14.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$9.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The period over period changes in the items that comprise total revenue are attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Office Rental Revenue. Office rental revenue includes rental revenues from our office properties, percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties, and lease termination income. Total office rental revenue increased \$4.4 million, or 53%, to \$12.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$8.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in rental revenues was primarily due to the acquisitions of the Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo Office, and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard office properties, together with an increase in average occupancy year-over-year for our City Plaza and 875 Howard Street office properties.

Office Tenant Recoveries. Office tenant recoveries increased \$0.5 million, or 33%, to \$1.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$1.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in tenant recoveries was primarily due to the acquisitions of Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo Office, and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard office properties, together with an increase in average occupancy year-over-year for our City Plaza and 875 Howard Street office properties.

Table of Contents

Office Other Revenue. Other revenue remained relatively flat at \$0.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$0.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Total Media & Entertainment Revenue. Total media and entertainment revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, other property-related revenue, and other revenue. Total media and entertainment revenues increased \$0.7 million, or 3%, to \$24.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$24.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The period over period changes in the items that comprise total revenue are attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Media & Entertainment Rental Revenue. Media and entertainment rental revenue includes rental revenues from our media and entertainment properties, percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties, and lease termination income. Total media and entertainment rental revenue remained relatively flat at \$15.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to \$15.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Media & Entertainment Tenant Recoveries. Tenant recoveries remained relatively flat at \$1.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to \$1.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Media & Entertainment Other Property-Related Revenue. Other property-related revenue is revenue that is derived from the tenants' rental of lighting and other equipment, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet). Total other property-related revenue increased \$0.6 million, or 8%, to \$8.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$7.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in other property-related revenue was primarily due to an increase in lighting equipment rental revenue, parking revenue, and telecom revenue relating to higher production activity at our Sunset Gower property.

Operating Expenses

Total Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses consist of property operating expenses, as well as property level general and administrative expenses, other property related expenses, management fees and depreciation and amortization. Total operating expenses increased \$6.1 million, or 22%, to \$33.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$27.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Changes in total operating expenses are attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Office Operating Expenses. Office operating expenses increased \$1.3 million, or 31%, to \$5.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$4.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to the acquisitions of Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo Office, and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard office properties, together with an increase in average occupancy year-over-year for our City Plaza and 875 Howard Street office properties.

Media & Entertainment Operating Expenses. Media and entertainment operating expenses increased \$0.7 million, or 5%, to \$15.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$14.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in operating expenses was due to an increase in other property-related expenses, primarily lighting and other equipment rental expenses stemming from higher production activity at our Sunset Gower property.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses includes wages and salaries for corporate-level employees, accounting, legal and other professional services, office supplies, entertainment, travel, and automobile expenses, telecommunications and computer-related expenses, and other miscellaneous items. Since our initial public offering, private placement, and formation transactions did not occur until June 29, 2010, the nine months ended September 30, 2009 do not include general and administrative expenses for

Table of Contents

corporate-level operations. The \$2.4 million of general and administration expenses reflect the expenses of our corporate-level operation for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation expense increased \$1.7 million, or 20%, to \$10.0 million for nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$8.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to the acquisitions of the Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo, and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard office properties, together with an increase in average occupancy year-over-year for our City Plaza property and the completion of the renovation of our 875 Howard Street office property.

Other Expense (Income)

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$0.5 million, or 8%, to \$6.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$6.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to the repayment of indebtedness in connection with our initial public offering, private placement, and formation transactions on June 29, 2010, partially offset by higher interest expense associated with loans assumed in connection with our acquisition of the Tierrasanta and First Financial office properties.

Unrealized Gain on Interest Rate Contracts. Unrealized gain on interest rate contracts remained relatively flat at \$0.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to \$0.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Acquisition-related expenses. Acquisition-related expenses increased \$2.7 million to \$2.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, with no comparable expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to one-time transactional costs relating to our initial public offering and related formation transactions and one-time transactional costs relating to our acquisition of the Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo Office and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard office properties.

Net Income

Net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$2.2 million compared to net income of \$0.2 million of net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was due to operating expenses at our office and media and entertainment properties, higher general and administrative expenses, higher depreciation and amortization expenses, and one-time transactional costs relating to our acquisitions of properties in connection with our initial public offering and related formation transactions and our acquisitions of the Del Amo Office and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard office properties, partially offset by higher office revenue and higher media and entertainment revenue and lower interest expenses, all as described above.

Comparison of year ended December 31, 2009 to year ended December 31, 2008

Revenue

Total Office Revenue. Total office revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, and other revenue. Total office revenues increased by \$3.5 million, or 35%, to \$13.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$9.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase in total office revenue is attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Office Rental Revenue. Office rental revenue includes rental revenues from our office properties, percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties, and lease termination income. Total office rental revenue increased by \$2.8 million, or 34%, to \$11.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$8.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Total office rental revenues were primarily impacted by our acquisition activity during 2008 and the completion of the Technicolor Building. First, we

Table of Contents

acquired City Plaza on August 26, 2008, resulting in the inclusion of approximately four months of operations in the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to 12 months of operations in the year ended December 31, 2009. Second, the Technicolor Building was placed into service and the related lease commenced on June 1, 2008, which resulted in the inclusion of seven months of operations in the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to twelve months of operations in the year ended December 31, 2009.

Office Tenant Recoveries. Office tenant recoveries remained relatively flat for the year ended December 31, 2009 at \$2.0 million compared to \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily due to the timing of the acquisition and construction activity referred to above.

Office Other Revenue. Office other revenue increased \$0.1 million, or 285%, to \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$0.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily due to the timing of the acquisition and construction activity referred to above.

Total Media & Entertainment Revenue. Total media and entertainment revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, other property-related revenue, and other revenue. Total media and entertainment revenues decreased \$4.6 million, or 14%, to \$28.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$33.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in total media and entertainment revenue is attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Media & Entertainment Rental Revenue. Media and entertainment rental revenue includes rental revenues from our media and entertainment properties, percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties, and lease termination income. Total media and entertainment rental revenue decreased \$2.2 million, or 10%, to \$19.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$22.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in rental revenue was primarily due to seismic retrofitting and retrofitting of control room facilities with high-definition technology in the year ended December 31, 2009, which caused portions of the property to be unavailable for lease during the retrofitting at the Sunset Bronson property.

Media & Entertainment Tenant Recoveries. Media and entertainment tenant recoveries remained relatively flat at \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Media & Entertainment Other Property-Related Revenue. Media and entertainment other property-related revenue is revenue that is derived from the tenants' rental of lighting and other equipment, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet). Total other property-related revenue decreased \$2.4 million, or 25%, to \$7.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$9.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in other property-related revenue was primarily due to seismic retrofitting and retrofitting of control room facilities with high-definition technology in the year ended December 31, 2009, which caused portions of the property to be unavailable for lease during the retrofitting at the Sunset Bronson property.

Operating Expenses

Total Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses consist of office and media and entertainment operating expenses, as well as general and administrative expenses, and depreciation and amortization. Total operating expenses increased by \$1.4 million, or 4%, to \$34.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$32.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This increase in total operating expenses is attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Office Operating Expenses. Office operating expenses increased by \$3.3 million, or 111%, to \$6.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The change in property operating expenses was due to our acquisition activity and the completion of the Technicolor Building referred to above.

Table of Contents

Media & Entertainment Operating Expenses. Media and entertainment operating expenses decreased by \$3.1 million, or 16%, to \$16.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$19.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in media and entertainment operating expenses was primarily due to seismic retrofitting and retrofitting of control room facilities with high-definition technology in the year ended December 31, 2009, which caused portions of the property to be unavailable for lease during the retrofitting at the Sunset Bronson property.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$1.2 million, or 13%, to \$10.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$9.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily due to the timing of the acquisition and construction activity referred to above.

Other Expense (Income)

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$3.3 million, or 27%, to \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$12.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in the LIBOR rate on our floating rate loans and the repayment of approximately \$23.9 million of the Sunset Gower loan in May 2008 and the capitalization of interest expense as part of the 875 Howard Street redevelopment that began in February 2009. This decrease was partially offset by the increased interest expense on debt obtained on the Sunset Bronson property in May 2008 and the commencement of recognition of interest expense upon completion of the Technicolor Building in June 2008.

Unrealized Gain on Interest Rate Contracts. For the year ended December 31, 2009, there was unrealized gain on interest rate contracts of \$0.4 million. There was an unrealized loss of \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Loss on Sale of Lot. For the year ended December 31, 2009, there was no gain or loss on sale of lot. For the year ended December 31, 2008 there was a \$0.2 million loss on the sale of a lot.

Net Income (Loss)

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$0.6 million compared to a net loss of \$2.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. A net decrease in income from operations of \$2.6 million was offset by a decrease in non-operating expenses of \$4.6 million, or 35%, to \$8.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$13.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in non-operating expenses was primarily due to a decrease in interest expense and change in fair value of the interest rate contracts.

Comparison of year ended December 31, 2008 to period ended December 31, 2007

Revenue

Total Office Revenue. Total office revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, and other revenue. Total office revenues increased by \$5.3 million, or 116%, to \$9.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$4.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in total office revenue is attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Office Rental Revenue. Office rental revenue includes rental revenues from our office properties, percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties, and lease termination income. Total office rental revenue increased by \$4.3 million, or 111%, to \$8.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Rental revenue was primarily impacted by our acquisition activity during 2008 and the completion of the Technicolor Building. First, we acquired City Plaza on August 26, 2008, resulting in the inclusion of approximately four months of operations in the year ended

Table of Contents

December 31, 2008, whereas there were no operations in the period ended December 31, 2007. Second, the Technicolor Building was placed into service and the related lease commenced on June 1, 2008, which resulted in the inclusion of seven months of operations in the year ended December 31, 2008, whereas there were no operations in the period ended December 31, 2007.

Office Tenant Recoveries. Office tenant recoveries increased by \$0.9 million, or 143%, to \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, primarily due to the timing of the acquisition of the City Plaza property and construction activity referred to above.

Office Other Revenue. Office other revenue remained relatively flat for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007.

Total Media & Entertainment Revenue. Total media and entertainment revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, other property-related revenue, and other revenue. Total media and entertainment revenues increased \$26.2 million, or 373%, to \$33.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$7.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in total media and entertainment revenue is attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Media & Entertainment Rental Revenue. Media and entertainment rental revenue includes rental revenues from our media and entertainment properties, percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties, and lease termination income. Total media and entertainment rental revenue increased \$17.9 million, or 424%, to \$22.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in rental revenue was primarily due to our acquisition of the Sunset Bronson property on January 30, 2008, which resulted in the inclusion of 11 months of operations in the year ended December 31, 2008, whereas there were no operations in the period ended December 31, 2007.

Media & Entertainment Tenant Recoveries. Media and entertainment recoveries increased by \$1.5 million to \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, primarily due to the timing of the acquisition of the Sunset Bronson Property referred to above.

Media & Entertainment Other Property-Related Revenue. Media and entertainment other property-related revenue is revenue that is derived from the tenants' rental of lighting and other equipment, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet). Total other property-related revenue increased \$6.8 million, or 247%, to \$9.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, primarily due to the timing of the acquisition of the Sunset Bronson property referred to above.

Media & Entertainment Other Revenue. Media and entertainment other revenue remained relatively flat for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007.

Operating Expenses

Total Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses consist of office and media and entertainment operating expenses, as well as general and administrative expenses, and depreciation and amortization. Total operating expenses increased by \$23.1 million, or 243%, to \$32.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$9.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase in total operating expense is attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Office Operating Expenses. Office operating expenses increased by \$1.8 million, or 154%, to \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

The increase in office operating expenses was due to our acquisition of the City Plaza property and the completion of the Technicolor Building referred to above.

Media & Entertainment Operating Expenses. Media and entertainment operating expenses increased by \$15.2 million, or 321%, to \$19.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$4.7 million the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in media and entertainment operating expenses was due to our acquisition of the Sunset Bronson property referred to above.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$6.1 million, or 170%, to \$9.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$3.6 million for the period ended December 31, 2007. The increase was primarily due to the timing of the acquisition and construction activity referred to above.

Other Expense (Income)

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased \$5.9 million, or 97%, to \$12.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$6.1 million for the period ended December 31, 2007. The increase was primarily due to the acquisition and construction activity referred to above. First, the Technicolor Building was placed into service in June 2008, which resulted in the commencement of recognition of interest expense upon its completion in June 2008. Second, we obtained debt on the Sunset Bronson property as of May 2008 (which resulted in the inclusion of approximately eight months of interest expense in the year ended December 31, 2008, whereas there was no interest expense in the period ended December 31, 2007). These increases are in part offset by the decrease in the LIBOR rate on our floating rate loans and the repayment of approximately \$23.9 million of the Sunset Gower loan in May 2008.

Unrealized Loss on Interest Rate Collar. For the year ended December 31, 2008, there was unrealized loss on interest rate collar of \$0.8 million. There was an unrealized loss of \$0.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Loss on Sale of Lot. There was a loss on sale of lot of \$0.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2008, with no comparable activity in the period ended December 31, 2007.

Net Loss

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$2.6 million compared to \$4.0 million for the period ended December 31, 2007. A net increase in income from operations of \$8.4 million attributable primarily to our acquisition activity during 2008 and the completion of the Technicolor Building was offset by an increase in non-operating expenses of \$7.0 million, or 115%, to \$13.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$6.1 million for the period ended December 31, 2007. The increase in non-operating expenses was primarily due to an increase in interest expense on debt obtained on the Sunset Bronson property in May 2008 and the commencement of recognition of interest expense upon completion of the Technicolor Building in June 2008. We experienced a net loss in both periods primarily due to expenses exceeding revenues, as described above, and largely driven by interest expense and depreciation and amortization.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Analysis of Liquidity and Capital Resources

We had approximately \$40.7 million of cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2010. In addition, the lead arrangers for our secured revolving credit facility have secured commitments that will allow borrowings of up to \$200 million, of which approximately \$75.0 million was available to us at September 30, 2010. Based on the closing price of our common stock as of December 2, 2010, our ratio of debt to total market capitalization is approximately 27.0% (counting series A preferred units as debt). Our total market capitalization is defined as the

Table of Contents

sum of the market value of our outstanding common stock (which may decrease, thereby increasing our debt to total capitalization ratio), including restricted stock that we may issue to certain of our directors and executive officers, plus the aggregate value of common units not owned by us, plus the liquidation preference of outstanding series A preferred units, plus the book value of our total consolidated indebtedness. As of December 2, 2010, we had drawn approximately \$34.5 million under our secured revolving credit facility. We intend to use the secured revolving credit facility, among other things, to finance the acquisition of other properties, to provide funds for tenant improvements and capital expenditures and to provide for working capital and other corporate purposes.

Our short-term liquidity requirements primarily consist of operating expenses and other expenditures associated with our properties, distributions to our limited partners and dividend payments to our stockholders required to maintain our REIT status, capital expenditures and, potentially, acquisitions. We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements through net cash provided by operations, reserves established from existing cash, the proceeds from our initial public offering and this offering and, if necessary, by drawing upon our secured revolving credit facility.

Our long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary for the repayment of debt at maturity, property acquisitions and non-recurring capital improvements. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements with net cash from operations, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness and the issuance of equity and debt securities. We also may fund property acquisitions and non-recurring capital improvements using our secured revolving credit facility pending permanent financing.

We believe we have access to multiple sources of capital to fund our long-term liquidity requirements, including the incurrence of additional debt and the issuance of additional equity. However, we cannot assure you that this is or will continue to be the case. Our ability to incur additional debt is dependent on a number of factors, including our degree of leverage, the value of our unencumbered assets and borrowing restrictions that may be imposed by lenders. Our ability to access the equity capital markets is dependent on a number of factors as well, including general market conditions for REITs and market perceptions about our company.

Consolidated Indebtedness

As of September 30, 2010, we had approximately \$94.3 million of outstanding consolidated indebtedness, of which approximately \$37.0 million, or 39.2%, is variable rate debt, subject to an interest rate swap on the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to a fixed rate of 0.75%.

The following table sets forth information as of September 30, 2010 (unaudited) with respect to our outstanding indebtedness.

Debt	Outstanding September 30, 2010	Interest Rate⁽¹⁾	Annual Debt Service	Maturity Date	Balance at Maturity
Mortgage loan secured by Sunset Bronson	\$ 37,000,000	LIBOR + 3.65%	\$ 1,651,000	04/30/11	\$ 37,000,000
Mortgage loan secured by First Financial	\$ 43,000,000	5.34%	\$ 2,328,090	12/01/11	\$ 43,000,000
Mortgage loan secured by Tierrasanta	\$ 14,300,000	5.62%	\$ 814,820	12/01/11	\$ 14,300,000
Secured Revolving Credit Facility		LIBOR + 3.25% 4.00%		06/29/13	
Subtotal	\$ 94,300,000				
Unamortized Loan Premium ⁽²⁾	(231,000)				
Total	\$ 94,069,000				

Table of Contents

- (1) Interest rates with respect to indebtedness are calculated on the basis of a 360 day year for the actual days elapsed. The indebtedness encumbering the Sunset Bronson property is floating rate indebtedness, subject to a secured interest rate contract that went effective upon the consummation of our initial public offering and the formation transactions on June 29, 2010, which swapped one-month LIBOR to a fixed rate of 0.75%.
 - (2) Represents non cash mark-to-market adjustment on fixed rate debt associated with office properties.
- As of December 2, 2010, we had drawn approximately \$34.5 million on our secured revolving credit facility.

Description of Certain Debt

The following is a summary of the material provisions of the loan agreements evidencing our material debt. The following is only a summary and it does not include all of the provisions of such agreements, copies of which are filed as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. See [Where You Can Find More Information](#).

Mortgage Loan Secured by Sunset Bronson

The Sunset Bronson property (other than the Sunset Bronson Lot A land asset) is subject to senior mortgage debt in a principal amount of \$37.0 million, which is currently held by Wachovia Bank, National Association.

Maturity and Interest. The loan has a maturity date of April 30, 2011, which may be extended by up to an initial period of 13 months and a subsequent period of 12 months, in each case upon the satisfaction of certain conditions (including the satisfaction of a debt service ratio of at least 1.35 to 1.00 and a loan-to-value ratio based on appraisal value of not greater than 48%) and the payment of an extension fee for each extension equal to 0.25% of the sum of the outstanding loans and undisbursed commitment amount. The loan bears interest at a rate per annum equal to the 30-day LIBOR, plus 3.65%. The applicable interest rate must be at least 5.90% per annum, unless a hedge arrangement is entered into in connection with the extension of the loan. We entered into a new secured hedge arrangement in connection with the extension of the Sunset Bronson loan, swapping one-month LIBOR to a fixed rate of 0.75%. The interest rate swap is secured by the mortgage on the Sunset Bronson property.

Security. The loan was made to a single borrower subsidiary, and is secured by a first-priority deed of trust lien on the Sunset Bronson property (other than the Sunset Bronson Lot A land asset), a security interest in all personal property used in connection with that property and an assignment of all leases and rents relating to the property. The loan is guaranteed by our operating partnership.

Prepayment. The loan may be voluntarily prepaid without penalty or premium in minimum aggregate amounts of \$1.0 million.

Events of Default. The loan agreement contains customary events of default, including defaults in the payment of principal or interest, defaults in compliance with the covenants contained in the documents evidencing and securing the loan, cross defaults to other material debt and bankruptcy or other insolvency events, as well as termination of the parking lot lease with respect to the Sunset Bronson Lot A land asset. We acquired the lessor and lessee interests under this parking lot lease in connection with the closing of our initial public offering and the consummation of the formation transactions.

Mortgage Loan Secured by First Financial

The First Financial Plaza property is subject to senior mortgage debt in a principal amount of \$43.0 million, which is currently held by SunAmerica Life Insurance Company.

Table of Contents

Maturity and Interest. The loan has a maturity date of December 1, 2011, which date may be extended for an additional five years upon modified terms at the option of the lender if the borrower fails to repay all amounts due at maturity. The loan bears interest at a fixed rate per annum of 5.34%.

Security. The loan was made to a single borrower subsidiary, and is secured by a first-priority deed of trust lien on the First Financial Plaza property, a security interest in all personal property used in connection with the First Financial Plaza property and an assignment of all leases and rents relating to the property. In the event that the debt service coverage of the borrower falls below a defined threshold, the borrower will become subject to a cash management and lockbox arrangement.

Prepayment. The loan may be voluntarily prepaid in full upon 30 days advance notice with a prepayment premium equal to the greater of (i) 1% of the outstanding principal amount or (ii) the present value of all scheduled payments of principal and interest remaining under the promissory note, discounted at a rate, when compounded monthly, equal to the semi-annual yield on U.S. Treasuries with maturities equivalent to the maturity of the loan, less the amount of principal being prepaid, calculated as of the prepayment date. The prepayment premium does not apply to payments made during the 90-day period immediately prior to the maturity date. Partial voluntary prepayments are not permitted.

Events of Default. The promissory note contains customary events of default, including defaults in the payment of principal or interest and defaults in compliance with the covenants contained in the documents evidencing and securing the loan. We, the borrower and the operating partnership are furthermore prohibited from consummating certain transfers and/or transactions without the consent of the lender unless certain conditions are satisfied, including the condition that the individuals comprising a majority of the board of directors are continuing directors, i.e., either those individuals who were (i) members of our board of directors, as of the closing of our initial public offering, or (ii) were nominated for membership on the board of directors or affirmatively endorsed for membership on the board of directors by at least a majority of the then continuing directors (including any director that qualifies as such pursuant to this clause (ii)).

Mortgage Loan Secured by Tierrasanta

The Tierrasanta property is subject to senior mortgage debt in a principal amount of \$14.3 million, which is securitized debt that is currently held by Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Trustee for the Registered Holders of CD 2007-CD4 Commercial Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates.

Maturity and Interest. The loan has a maturity date of December 1, 2011, and bears interest at a rate per annum of 5.62%.

Security. The loan was made to a single borrower subsidiary, and is secured by a first-priority deed of trust lien on the Tierrasanta property, a security interest in all personal property used in connection with the Tierrasanta property and an assignment of all leases, rents and security deposits relating to the property.

Prepayment. The loan may be voluntarily defeased in whole or in part, subject to satisfaction of customary defeasance requirements in effect for a prepayment prior to June 1, 2011, at which time the loan may be voluntarily prepaid without penalty or premium.

Events of Default. The loan agreement contains customary events of default, including defaults in the payment of principal or interest, defaults in compliance with the covenants contained in the documents evidencing the loan and bankruptcy or other insolvency events.

Mortgage Loan Secured by 10950 Washington

The office building located at 10950 Washington is subject to senior mortgage debt in the original principal amount of \$30,000,000, which is currently held by Wachovia Bank, National Association. We are not

Table of Contents

currently the borrower under this mortgage loan. However, we anticipate that we will assume this loan in connection with our acquisition of the 10950 Washington property, which may or may not occur.

Maturity and Interest. The loan has a maturity date of February 11, 2012, and bears interest at a fixed rate per annum of 5.94%.

Security. The loan was made to a single borrower subsidiary, and is secured by a first-priority deed of trust on the 10950 Washington property, a security interest in all personal property used in connection with the property, and an assignment of all leases and rents relating to the property.

Prepayment. The loan may be voluntarily defeased in whole, subject to satisfaction of customary defeasance requirements in effect for prepayment prior to December 11, 2011, at which time the loan may be voluntarily prepaid in whole without penalty or premium.

Events of Default. The promissory note and deed of trust contain customary events of default, including defaults in the payment of principal and interest, defaults in compliance with covenants contained in other loan documents, cross defaults to other liens, bankruptcy or other insolvency events, abandonment of the property, and diminution of the value of the property.

Secured Revolving Credit Facility

In conjunction with our initial public offering and the formation transactions, we entered into a \$200.0 million secured revolving credit facility with a group of lenders for which an affiliate of Barclays Capital Inc. acts as administrative agent and joint lead arranger, an affiliate of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated acts as syndication agent and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (as successor in interest to Banc of America Securities LLC) acts as joint lead arranger. The secured revolving credit facility bears interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 325 basis points to 400 basis points, depending on our leverage ratio, provided that LIBOR is subject to a floor of 1.50%. The secured revolving credit facility contains an accordion feature that allows us to increase the availability by \$50.0 million, to \$250.0 million, under specified circumstances.

The amount available for us to borrow under the facility is subject to the lesser of a percentage of the appraisal value of our properties that form the borrowing base of the facility and a minimum implied debt service coverage ratio. Our ability to borrow under the facility is subject to our ongoing compliance with a number of customary restrictive covenants, including:

a maximum leverage ratio (defined as consolidated total indebtedness to total asset value) of 0.60 : 1.00,

a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (defined as consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization to consolidated fixed charges) of 1.75 : 1.00,

a maximum consolidated floating rate debt ratio (defined as consolidated floating rate indebtedness to total asset value) of 0.25 : 1.00,

a maximum recourse debt ratio (defined as recourse indebtedness other than indebtedness under the secured revolving credit facility but including unsecured lines of credit to total asset value) of 0.15 : 1.00, and

a minimum tangible net worth equal to at least 85% of our tangible net worth at the closing of our initial public offering plus 75% of the net proceeds of any additional equity issuances.

Table of Contents

Under the secured revolving credit facility, our distributions may not exceed the greater of (i) 95.0% of our FFO or (ii) the amount required for us to qualify and maintain our status as a REIT or (iii) amounts required for us to avoid the imposition of income and excise taxes. If a default or event of default occurs and is continuing, we may be precluded from making certain distributions (other than those required to allow us to qualify and maintain our status as a REIT).

We and certain of our subsidiaries have guaranteed the obligations under the secured revolving credit facility and have pledged specified assets (including real property), stock and other interests as collateral for the secured revolving credit facility obligations.

At September 30, 2010, approximately \$75.0 million was available to us under the secured revolving credit facility. As of December 2, 2010, we have drawn approximately \$34.5 million of this amount.

Contractual Obligations

The following table provides information with respect to our commitments at December 31, 2009 on a pro forma basis to reflect the obligations we acquired in connection with our initial public offering and the formation transactions, including any guaranteed or minimum commitments under contractual obligations. The table does not reflect available debt extensions.

Contractual Obligation	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Principal payments on mortgage loans ⁽¹⁾	\$ 94,300,000	\$ 37,000,000	\$ 57,300,000	\$	\$
Interest payments ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	13,970,599	5,468,770	5,206,861	3,294,968	
Operating leases	611,635	383,771	211,632	16,232	
Tenant-related commitments	2,295,919	2,295,919			
Ground leases ⁽³⁾	9,105,340	181,201	362,402	362,402	8,199,335
Total:	\$ 120,283,493	\$ 45,329,661	\$ 63,080,895	\$ 3,673,602	\$ 8,199,335

- (1) Does not include potential payments of principal or interest under our secured revolving credit facility, as no amounts were outstanding as of December 31, 2009. As of December 2, 2010, we had drawn approximately \$34.5 million under our secured revolving credit facility.
- (2) Interest rates with respect to indebtedness are calculated on the basis of a 360 day year for the actual days elapsed. The indebtedness encumbering the Sunset Bronson property is floating rate indebtedness, subject to a secured interest rate contract that went effective upon the consummation of our initial public offering and the formation transactions on June 29, 2010, which swapped one-month LIBOR to a fixed rate of 0.75%.
- (3) Reflects current annual base rents of \$181,200 and \$1 under the Sunset Gower and Del Amo Office ground leases, expiring March 31, 2060 and June 30, 2049, respectively. This does not reflect current annual base rents of \$975,000 and \$75,000 under the 222 Kearny Street and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard ground leases, respectively, because we acquired these properties and assumed the related ground leases subsequent to December 31, 2009. Assumes Sunset Gower ground rent is fixed at the current rent, although such ground rent is subject to periodic fair market value adjustments.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

At September 30, 2010, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Interest Rate Risk

FASB ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, requires us to recognize all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that do not qualify as hedges must be adjusted to fair value and the changes in fair value must be reflected as income or expense. If the derivative qualifies as a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of derivatives are either offset against the change in fair value of the hedged

Table of Contents

assets, liabilities, or firm commitments through earnings or recognized in other comprehensive income, which is a component of stockholders equity. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value is recognized in earnings.

The indebtedness that previously encumbered the Sunset Gower property and Technicolor Building was subject to an interest rate contract, effective as of September 15, 2008, capping one-month LIBOR at 4.75% on the notional amount and on terms identical to the principal amount and terms of the mortgage loan. That interest rate contract expired on September 15, 2009. Another interest rate contract capping one-month LIBOR at 4.75% on the notional amount and on terms identical to the principal amount and terms of the mortgage loan was purchased and went effective September 15, 2009 and covered the period through March 15, 2010. These interest rate contract were not designated as a hedging instrument for accounting purposes; therefore, the changes in its fair value were recognized in earnings. We repaid the indebtedness that encumbered our Sunset Gower property and Technicolor Building on June 29, 2010 in connection with our initial public offering and related formation transactions.

The indebtedness encumbering the Sunset Bronson property was subject to an interest rate collar agreement on the notional amount and on terms identical to the principal amount and terms of the mortgage loan. That collar capped the interest rate at 3.87% and set a floor at 2.55%. The expiration date of that collar was June 1, 2010. That interest rate contract was not designated as a hedging instrument for accounting purposes; therefore, the changes in its fair value were recognized in earnings.

Our predecessor recognized gains relating to the fair market value change of these interest rate contracts of \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The fair market value of these interest contracts at December 31, 2009 was \$(0.4) million.

Effective June 9, 2010, a new secured interest rate contract with respect to the Sunset Bronson loan went effective which swapped one-month LIBOR to a fixed rate of 0.75%. That interest rate contract has been accounted for as a cash flow hedge under FASB ASC Topic 815 and, as such, changes in the fair value of that interest rate contract are recognized in other comprehensive income. The Company held only that interest rate swap at September 30, 2010.

The Company recognized unrealized gains associated with its interest rate contracts of \$347,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. These unrealized gains do not include \$347,000 of unrealized losses for the three months ended September 30, 2010 associated with the swap on the Sunset Bronson loan which was designated as an effective hedge for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and, therefore recognized in other comprehensive income.

As of September 30, 2010, we had \$37.0 million of debt subject to an interest rate contract with a net fair value of \$(0.1) million.

Cash Flows

Comparison of nine months ended September 30, 2010 to nine months ended September 30, 2009

Cash and cash equivalents were \$40.7 million and \$3.7 million at September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$1.2 million to \$3.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$2.3 million used in operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to the decrease in restricted cash at our Sunset Gower property, the mortgage encumbering which was repaid on June 2010, resulting in release of restricted cash accounts.

Table of Contents

Net cash used in investing activities increased \$46.6 million to \$56.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$10.1 million for nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in investments in real estate, primarily as a result of the purchase of certain ownership interests in properties contributed in connection with our initial public offering and related formation transactions and the purchase of three properties subsequent to our initial public offering.

Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$84.5 million to \$90.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$5.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was due to the contribution of proceeds from the issuance of common stock in connection with our initial public offering and private placement, which was partially offset by the repayment of certain indebtedness in connection with the related formation transactions.

Comparison of year ended December 31, 2009 to year ended December 31, 2008

Cash and cash equivalents were \$3.7 million and \$5.8 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$15.5 million to \$4.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$20.0 million provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily due to (i) the receipt of \$16.3 million of pre-paid rent from KTLA in the year ended December 31, 2008 with no comparable activity in the year ended December 31, 2009, and (ii) an increase in lease commissions, prepaid expenses and other assets primarily associated with the prepaid property taxes and leasing commissions paid at the 875 Howard Street property.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$163.1 million to \$15.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$178.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily due to \$192.6 million of additions to investments in real estate properties in the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of the acquisition of Sunset Bronson and City Plaza properties compared to \$15.5 million of additions to investments in real estate in the year ended December 31, 2009 primarily as a result of capital investments associated with the Technicolor Building partially offset by (i) \$11.4 million of proceeds from sale of lot in the year ended December 31, 2008 with no comparable activity in the year ended December 31, 2009, and (ii) restricted cash inflow of \$2.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of the reduction of the restricted cash required for the Sunset Bronson Note payable, with no comparable activity in the year ended December 31, 2009.

Net cash provided by financing activities decreased \$155.0 million to \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$163.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily due to (i) decrease in net contributions by members of \$142.4 million from \$147.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of the acquisition of Sunset Bronson and City Plaza properties compared to \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 primarily as a result of capital investments associated with the Technicolor Building, (ii) proceeds from notes payable of \$41.9 million for the acquisition of Sunset Bronson and \$3.9 million proceeds from notes payable in the year ended December 31, 2009 for 875 Howard Street loan draws, partially offset by net repayments of notes payable of \$23.9 million for Sunset Gower in the year ended December 31, 2008 and (iii) payment of \$0.6 million of loan costs associated with the extension of the note payable on Sunset Gower in the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$2.2 million of loan costs associated with the Sunset Bronson note payable in the year ended December 31, 2008.

Comparison of year ended December 31, 2008 to period ended December 31, 2007

Cash and cash equivalents were \$5.8 million and \$0.5 million, at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$25.5 million to \$20.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$5.4 million used in operating activities for the period ended December 31, 2007. The increase was primarily due to (i) the receipt of \$16.3 million of pre-paid rent from KTLA in the year ended December 31, 2008 with no comparable activity in the period ended December 31, 2007, (ii) partially offset with an increase in cash interest payments for the year ended December 31, 2008 largely due to the financing of Sunset Bronson in May 2008, and (iii) fluctuations in operating assets and liabilities and due to the fact that the period ended December 31, 2007 reflect five months of operations compared to a full year for 2008.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$60.4 million to \$178.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$238.9 million for the period ended December 31, 2007. The decrease was primarily due to (i) \$192.6 million of additions to investments in real estate properties in the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of the acquisition of Sunset Bronson and City Plaza properties as well as the development costs related to the Technicolor Building compared to \$192.6 million of additions to investments in real estate in the period ended December 31, 2007 as a result of the acquisitions of 875 Howard Street in February 2007 and Sunset Gower in August 2007, (ii) \$11.4 million of proceeds from sale of lot in the year ended December 31, 2008 with no comparable activity in the period ended December 31, 2007, and (iii) an increase in restricted cash inflow of \$2.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of the reduction of the restricted cash required for the Sunset Bronson Note payable, with no comparable activity in the period ended December 31, 2007.

Net cash provided by financing activities decreased \$81.0 million to \$163.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$244.8 million for the period ended December 31, 2007. The decrease was primarily due to (i) proceeds from notes payable of \$41.9 million for Sunset Bronson and net payments of notes payable of \$23.9 million for Sunset Gower in the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$167.5 million of proceeds from notes payable in connection with the February 2007 acquisition of 875 Howard Street and the August 2007 acquisition of Sunset Gower for the period ended December 31, 2007, (ii) partially offset by an increase in net contributions by members of \$67.4 million to \$147.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$80.5 million for the period ended December 31, 2007.

Funds from Operations

We calculate funds from operations before non-controlling interest, or FFO, in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT. FFO is defined by NAREIT as net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of above (below) market rents for acquisition properties and amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discounts), and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

FFO is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure. We use FFO as a supplemental performance measure because we believe that FFO is beneficial to investors as a starting point in measuring our operational performance. Specifically, in excluding real estate depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from property dispositions, which do not relate to or are not indicative of our operating performance, FFO provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs.

However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effects and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. In addition, other equity REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition as we do, and, therefore, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs' FFO. Accordingly,

Table of Contents

FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance. While we believe that FFO is an important supplemental non-GAAP financial measure, we believe it is also important to stress that FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends. FFO should not be used as a supplement to or substitute for cash flow from operating activities computed in accordance with GAAP.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our pro forma net income to pro forma FFO before non-controlling interest for the periods presented.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	Pro Forma Year Ended December 31, 2009 (In thousands)
Net loss	\$ (959)	\$ (3,410)
Adjustments:		
Net income attributable to Series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock	(4,500)	(6,000)
Net income attributable to preferred non-controlling partnership interests	(585)	(780)
Real estate depreciation and amortization	14,008	18,805
Funds from operations before common non-controlling interests	\$ 7,964	\$ 8,615

Inflation

Substantially all of our office leases provide for separate real estate tax and operating expense escalations. In addition, most of the leases provide for fixed rent increases. We believe that inflationary increases may be at least partially offset by the contractual rent increases and expense escalations described above.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Changes to GAAP are established by the FASB in the form of accounting standards updates (ASUs) to the FASB's Accounting Standards Codification. We consider the applicability and impact of all ASUs. Newly issued ASUs not listed below are expected to not have any material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations, because either the ASU is not applicable or the impact is expected to be immaterial.

In January 2010, we adopted FASB guidance contained in ASU No. 2009-17, *Consolidations (Topic 810): Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*. This standard requires an enterprise to perform an analysis to determine whether an enterprise's variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. Additionally, an enterprise is required to assess whether it has an implicit financial responsibility to ensure that a variable interest entity operates as designed when determining whether it has the power to direct the activities of the variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. The adoption of ASU 2009-17 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*. This guidance provides for new disclosures requiring us to (i) disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers and (ii) present separately information about

Table of Contents

purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation of Level 3 fair value measurements. This guidance also provides clarification of existing disclosures requiring us to (i) determine each class of assets and liabilities based on the nature and risks of the investments rather than by major security type and (ii) for each class of assets and liabilities, disclose the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements. This ASU is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009 for most of the new disclosures and for periods beginning after December 15, 2010 for the new Level 3 disclosures. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations as it only addresses disclosures.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-09, *Subsequent Events (Topic 855): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements*. This standard amends the authoritative guidance for subsequent events that was previously issued and, among other things, exempts SEC registrants from the requirement to disclose the date through which it has evaluated subsequent events for either original or restated financial statements. This standard does not apply to subsequent events or transactions that are within the scope of other applicable GAAP that provides different guidance on the accounting treatment for subsequent events or transactions. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations as it only addresses disclosures.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevalent market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. As more fully described in the interest rate risk section, we use derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to our borrowings. We only enter into contracts with major financial institutions based on their credit rating and other factors.

As of September 30, 2010, we had an interest rate agreement with respect to our indebtedness on the Sunset Bronson property pursuant to which we swapped one-month LIBOR to a fixed rate of 0.75%, which effectively results in a 4.40% fixed rate on the Sunset Bronson loan.

Interest risk amounts were determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our financial instruments. These analyses do not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur in that environment. Further, in the event of a change of that magnitude, we may take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, these analyses assume no changes in our financial structure.

As of September 30, 2010, our total outstanding debt was approximately \$94.3 million, which was comprised of \$37.0 million of variable rate secured mortgage loans subject to the interest rate agreement described above and \$57.3 million of fixed rate secured mortgage loans. As of September 30, 2010, the fair value of our fixed rate secured mortgage loans was approximately \$57.0 million.

Table of Contents

BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES

Overview

We are a full-service, vertically integrated real estate company focused on owning, operating and acquiring high-quality office properties in select growth markets primarily in Northern and Southern California. Our investment strategy is focused on high barrier-to-entry, in-fill locations with favorable, long-term supply-demand characteristics. These markets include Los Angeles, Orange County, San Diego, San Francisco, Silicon Valley and the East Bay, which we refer to as our target markets. Our portfolio, including 10950 Washington, consists of eleven properties, totaling approximately 2.4 million square feet, strategically located in many of our target markets.

We were formed as a Maryland corporation in 2009 to succeed the business of Hudson Capital, LLC, a Los Angeles-based real estate investment firm founded by Victor J. Coleman and Howard S. Stern, our Chief Executive Officer and President, respectively. We believe Mr. Coleman's and Mr. Stern's successful history of operating a publicly traded real estate company, significant expertise in operating in the California office sector and extensive, long-term relationships with real estate owners, developers and lenders, coupled with our conservative capital structure and access to capital. We believe our senior management team's experience in the California office sector positions us to improve occupancy rates and operating performance in our portfolio, as well as any subsequently acquired properties, as the California economy and the real estate markets continue to recover.

We focus our investment strategy on office properties located in submarkets with growth potential as well as on underperforming properties or portfolios that provide opportunities to implement a value-add strategy to increase occupancy rates and cash flow. This strategy includes active management, aggressive leasing efforts, focused capital improvement programs, the reduction and containment of operating costs and an emphasis on tenant satisfaction.

Our portfolio, including the 10950 Washington property, consists of nine office properties totaling approximately 1.5 million square feet, which were approximately 83.2% leased as of September 30, 2010 (or 87.0%, giving effect to leases signed but not commenced as of that date), and two state-of-the-art media and entertainment properties comprising approximately 544,763 square feet of office and support space and approximately 312,669 square feet of sound-stage production facilities. We also own 1.85 acres of undeveloped land adjacent to our media and entertainment properties, which together with redevelopment opportunities at our media and entertainment properties, could support over one million square feet of additional office and support space. In addition, our City Plaza property is subject to a development agreement that, subject to the payment of certain fees and the satisfaction of other conditions, permits the development of an additional 360,000 square foot building and parking structure. Our properties are concentrated in premier submarkets that have high barriers to entry with limited supply of land, high construction costs and rigorous entitlement processes.

Our portfolio consists, in part, of assets contributed by entities previously owned by Hudson Capital, LLC, the Farallon Funds, the Morgan Stanley Investment Partnership and third parties. We believe our long-standing relationships with these contributors, as well as with other real estate companies, financial institutions and local operators, enhances our access to capital and our ability to source leasing and acquisition opportunities. We have access to and are actively pursuing a pipeline of potential acquisitions consistent with our investment strategy. In addition, we expect our tenant relationships with leading media, entertainment, professional and financial services firms, such as NBC/Universal, CBS Studios, ABC Studios, 20th Century Fox, Technicolor, Saatchi & Saatchi, Bank of America Merrill Lynch and U.S. Bank will allow us to maintain above average occupancy levels as compared to others in our target markets.

We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes on our federal income tax return for our taxable year ending December 31, 2010. We believe that we have operated, and we intend to

Table of Contents

continue operating, in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with such taxable year. We conduct substantially all of our business through our operating partnership, of which we serve as the sole general partner and, as of September 30, 2010, own approximately 89.5% of the outstanding common units of partnership interest in our operating partnership, or common units. See Description of the Partnership Agreement of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe the following competitive strengths distinguish us from other owners and operators of office properties and will enable us to capitalize on the general dislocation in the real estate market to successfully expand and operate our portfolio.

Experienced Management Team with a Proven Track Record of Acquiring and Operating Assets and Managing a Public Office REIT. Our senior management team, led by Victor J. Coleman and Howard S. Stern, our Chief Executive Officer and President, respectively, has an average of over 20 years of experience in the commercial real estate industry, with a focus dedicated exclusively to owning, acquiring, developing, operating, financing and selling office properties in California. In particular, Messrs. Coleman and Stern, who have worked together for approximately 10 years through all stages of the real estate market cycle, have overseen the acquisition and operation of more than 20 million square feet, with an aggregate purchase price in excess of \$10 billion.

Committed and Incentivized Management Team. Our senior management team is dedicated to our successful operation and growth, with no real estate business interests outside of our company. Additionally, our senior management team owns approximately 3.9% of our common stock on a fully diluted basis, thereby aligning management's interests with those of our stockholders.

California Focus with Local and Regional Expertise. We are primarily focused on acquiring and managing office properties in Northern and Southern California, where our senior management has significant expertise and relationships. According to RCG, California has historically experienced strong rebounds in its real estate market after prior recessions, as demand for commercial real estate in California is driven by its dynamic, innovative and diversified economy that RCG believes will continue to grow and create demand for office space over the long term. California outpaced the rate of national job creation during several cycles, including the periods following the mid-1970s recession, the late 1980s recession, and during the late 1990s. Additionally, many of California's leading markets are supply-constrained as a result of the scarcity of available land, high construction costs and restrictive entitlement processes, which we believe have helped drive strong rebounds in the California real estate market after prior recessions. We believe our experience, in-depth market knowledge and meaningful industry relationships with brokers, tenants, landlords, lenders and other market participants enhances our ability to identify and capitalize on attractive acquisition opportunities, particularly those that arise in California.

Long-Standing Relationships that Provide Access to an Extensive Pipeline of Investment and Leasing Opportunities. We have an extensive network of long-standing relationships with real estate developers, individual and institutional real estate owners, national and regional lenders, brokers, tenants and other participants in the California real estate market. We believe these relationships provide us access to an ongoing pipeline of attractive acquisition opportunities and additional growth capital, both of which may not be available to our competitors. For example, our relationships with two leading investment management firms, Farallon, affiliates of which contributed assets in conjunction with our initial public offering, and Morgan Stanley, which manages certain funds that own the general partner of an investment vehicle that likewise contributed assets in conjunction with our initial public offering, provide us with critical market intelligence, future acquisition opportunities and potential joint venture partners. Additionally, we

Table of Contents

focus on establishing strong relationships with our tenants in order to understand their long-term business needs, which we believe enhances our ability to retain quality tenants, facilitate our leasing efforts and maximize cash flows from our properties.

Growth-Oriented, Flexible and Conservative Capital Structure. We believe we are well-capitalized following our initial public offering earlier this year. The proceeds of this offering, available cash on hand and our \$200 million secured revolving credit facility should give us a significant amount of capital to pursue acquisitions and execute our growth strategy. As of September 30, 2010, we had approximately \$94.3 million of debt outstanding and as of December 2, 2010 we had drawn approximately an additional \$34.5 million under our secured revolving credit facility, which we believe permits management to focus on our business and growth strategies rather than on balance sheet repair. Based on the closing price of our common stock on December 2, 2010, we had a debt-to-market capitalization ratio (counting series A preferred units as debt) of approximately 27.0%, which is substantially lower than that of many of our office REIT peers. We believe our flexible and conservative capital structure provides us with an advantage over many of our private and public competitors, as the combined adverse effects of many of our competitors' highly leveraged capital structures and declines in the operating performance of their existing properties will constrain their ability to make acquisitions.

Irreplaceable Media and Entertainment Assets in a Premier California Submarket. Our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties are located on Sunset Boulevard, just off of the Hollywood Freeway, in the heart of Hollywood. These facilities, which are situated on approximately 15.6 and 10.6 acres, respectively, were originally built in the 1920s as the headquarters of Columbia Pictures and Warner Brothers and represent a unique and irreplaceable assemblage of land in densely populated Los Angeles. We are the largest owner and operator of independent media and entertainment properties in Los Angeles and possess large, modern sound stages, plentiful office space with state-of-the-art telecommunications and data network infrastructure. Our properties are important facilities for major film and television companies and independent producers, most of which outsource a portion of their productions to independent media and entertainment properties. We believe our media and entertainment properties are attractively located and benefit from high barriers to entry, with a limited supply of readily developable land. In addition, there are substantial costs associated with acquiring and developing suitable land and extensive knowledge required to develop and operate such facilities. As a result of these high barriers to entry, there is effectively no new supply of media and entertainment space in the urban core of Los Angeles. We believe the limited supply of media and entertainment properties, coupled with the continued demand for such properties in Los Angeles, which remains the center of the entertainment industry in the United States, will help ensure that these assets remain critical to the industry.

Business and Growth Strategies

Our primary business objectives are to increase operating cash flows, generate long-term growth and maximize stockholder value. Specifically, we intend to pursue the following strategies to achieve these objectives:

Pursue Acquisitions of Distressed and/or Underperforming Office Properties. We intend to capitalize on the attractive investment environment by acquiring properties at meaningful discounts to our estimates of their intrinsic value. Additionally, we intend to acquire properties or portfolios that are distressed due to near-term debt maturities or underperforming properties where we believe better management, focused leasing efforts and/or capital improvements would improve the property's operating performance and value. We believe our success implementing this strategy is exemplified by our acquisition of City Plaza, a 333,922 square foot Class-A office building located in Orange, California. Our predecessor acquired the loan on the City Plaza property in August 2008 at a substantial discount and subsequently obtained title to the property. Our acquisition of City Plaza illustrates how our relationships with other real estate owners, lenders, joint venture partners

Table of Contents

and tenants can create a competitive advantage to capitalize on new acquisition opportunities. In that case, long-standing ties to the existing owner and their project lender and our record of performance facilitated that acquisition through a joint venture with Farallon. We believe that our extensive relationships with real estate owners, developers and lenders, together with our strong balance sheet and access to liquidity, will allow us to capitalize on similar value-add opportunities. Since our initial public offering on June 29, 2010, we have acquired three additional properties and are under contract to acquire a fourth property, together totaling 474,797 square feet.

Focus on High Barrier-to-Entry Markets. We target in-fill, suburban markets and central business districts primarily in California. These markets have historically had favorable long-term supply/demand characteristics and significant institutional ownership of real estate, which we believe have helped support real estate fundamentals and valuations over the long term. We believe that these factors will help preserve our capital during periods of economic decline and generate above average returns during periods of economic recovery and growth.

Proactive Asset and Property Management. We intend to actively manage our portfolio, employ aggressive leasing strategies and leverage our existing tenant relationships to increase the occupancy rates at our properties, attract high quality tenants and maximize tenant retention rates. In addition, we are focused on extending lease durations at our media and entertainment properties to provide greater visibility and less volatility in cash flows. We believe our successful leasing of the City Plaza property illustrates our proactive asset management. At the time of its acquisition in August 2008, the property was only approximately 38% leased. By employing aggressive leasing strategies, leveraging our extensive tenant relationships and focusing on tenant retention, we have increased the leased square footage of the property to approximately 92.4% as of September 30, 2010. We believe that we will be able to apply our management and leasing expertise to newly acquired, underperforming properties in order to similarly maximize the performance of such properties.

We have also targeted ways to improve net operating income through controlling or reducing operating costs. For example, the close proximity of our two Hollywood media and entertainment properties has enabled us to proactively cut various operating costs. Leveraging our economies of scale, we restructured our security staffing at these locations to eliminate certain redundancies in personnel. We also reduced costs by consolidating service contracts, such as elevator maintenance services, fire life safety maintenance, pest control services and lot sweeping services.

Repositioning and Development of Properties. We intend to leverage our real estate expertise to reposition and redevelop our existing properties, as well as properties that we acquire in the future, with the objective of increasing occupancy, rental rates and risk-adjusted returns on our invested capital. Our media and entertainment properties encompass approximately 26 acres in the heart of Hollywood one of the largest land holdings under common control in the market. In addition, we control two land parcels adjacent to our Sunset Bronson property that are available for new ground-up developments in a supply and land-constrained market. We believe our media and entertainment properties and undeveloped land offer significant growth potential, with over one million square feet of potential incremental development and redevelopment space. We believe the limited supply of media and entertainment space in the market, as well as the aging of much of the existing inventory, creates a unique opportunity to reshape this asset class. We also have a fully-entitled development agreement for our City Plaza property that allows for a new 360,000 square foot building and parking structure to be developed on our 11.5 acre site that we believe could be a valuable long-term asset. Our senior management team's development and redevelopment experience includes:

the development of Technicolor's worldwide headquarters, a six-story, build-to-suit, 114,958 square foot office and production building at our Sunset Gower property;

Table of Contents

the development of the Howard Hughes Center, a 70-acre development located adjacent to Interstate 405 near Los Angeles International Airport, which involved the master planning, development and construction of a business park with four Class-A, multi-story office buildings totaling approximately 972,000 square feet and structured parking totaling approximately 2,700 stalls. We also obtained entitlements to build 600 residential units on vacant parcels throughout the center; and

the redevelopment of the Westwood Center, a 328,515 square foot, Class-A office building located in West Los Angeles, which involved the complete redesign and reconstruction of building exterior curtain walls, structural systems, elevators, common areas, tenant areas and mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems, or MEP.

Value Creation Through Capital Recycling Program. We intend to pursue an efficient asset allocation strategy that maximizes the value of our investments by selectively disposing of properties whose returns appear to have been maximized and redeploying capital into acquisition, development and redevelopment opportunities with higher return prospects, in each case in a manner that is consistent with our qualification as a REIT. Our management team has a demonstrated history of selling assets and reinvesting proceeds in acquisition, development and redevelopment opportunities with higher returns in target submarkets.

Acquisition Pipeline

We have an extensive network of long-standing relationships with real estate developers, individual and institutional real estate owners and national and regional lenders in the California and West Coast real estate markets. We believe our network of relationships will provide us access to an ongoing pipeline of attractive acquisition opportunities, which may not be available to our competitors. For example, we acquired the 222 Kearny Street property in an off-market transaction that was the result of our long-standing relationship with the lender who had acquired the property through foreclosure.

We are currently in discussions regarding a number of acquisition opportunities in our target markets that have come to our attention through our network of relationships. As of December 2, 2010, we were tracking and evaluating acquisition opportunities that include eight single-asset transactions located throughout California and the Pacific Northwest with an estimated aggregate purchase price of approximately \$761 million and approximately 3.7 million total square feet. These potential property acquisitions are off-market transactions sourced through our network of relationships. We are continuing to engage in discussions and preliminary negotiations with sellers and, in the some instances, have commenced the process of conducting diligence or have submitted non-binding indications of interest. However, we have not agreed upon terms relating to, or entered into binding commitments with respect to, any of these potential acquisition opportunities. As such, there can be no assurance that we will complete any of the potential acquisitions that we are currently evaluating.

Our Portfolio

We currently own or are under contract to acquire eleven properties located in eight California submarkets, containing a total of approximately 2.4 million square feet, which we refer to as our portfolio. The following table presents an overview of our portfolio, based on information as of September 30, 2010. Rental data presented in the table below for office properties reflects base rent on leases in place as of September 30, 2010 and does not reflect actual cash rents historically received because such data does not reflect abatements or, in the case of triple net or modified gross leases, tenant reimbursements for real estate taxes, insurance, common area or other operating expenses. Rental data presented in the table below for media and entertainment properties reflects actual cash base rents, excluding tenant reimbursements, received during the 12 months ended September 30, 2010. Leases at our media and entertainment properties are typically short-term leases of one year

Table of Contents

or less, and other than the KTLA lease at our Sunset Bronson property, substantially all of the current in-place leases at our media and entertainment properties will expire in 2010 or 2011.

Property	City	Year Built/ Renovated	Square Feet ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent/ Annual Base Rent ⁽³⁾	Annualized Base Rent/ Annual Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁴⁾	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁵⁾
OFFICE PROPERTIES							
City Plaza	Orange	1969/1999	333,922	92.4%	\$ 7,720,361	\$ 25.02	\$ 23.76
875 Howard Street ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	San Francisco	Various	286,270	44.6	1,613,081	12.62	12.06
First Financial	Encino (LA)	1986	222,423	84.8	6,276,734	33.29	35.61
10950 Washington ⁽⁸⁾	Culver City (LA)	Various	158,873	99.5	4,267,320	27.00	29.93
222 Kearny Street ⁽⁹⁾	San Francisco	Various	144,440	79.2	4,154,289	36.31	38.28
Del Amo Office ⁽¹⁰⁾	Torrance	1986	113,000	100.0	3,069,070	27.16	28.38
Technicolor Building	Hollywood (LA)	2008	114,958	100.0	4,103,173	35.69	39.04
Tierrasanta	San Diego	1985	104,234	96.8	1,576,460	15.62	16.44
9300 Wilshire Boulevard ⁽⁷⁾⁽¹¹⁾	Beverly Hills (LA)	1965/2001	58,484	90.5	2,208,098	41.73	42.42
Total/Weighted Average Office Properties:			1,536,604	83.2%⁽¹²⁾	\$ 34,988,586	\$ 27.35	\$ 28.38
MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT PROPERTIES							
Sunset Gower ⁽¹³⁾	Hollywood (LA)	Various	543,709	67.9%	\$ 11,235,379	\$ 30.45	
Sunset Bronson	Hollywood (LA)	Various	313,723	71.0	9,018,143	40.48	
Total/Weighted Average Media & Entertainment Properties:			857,432	69.0%	\$ 20,253,521	\$ 34.23	
LAND							
Sunset Bronson Lot A	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	273,913				
Sunset Bronson Redevelopment	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	389,740				
Sunset Gower Redevelopment	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	423,396				
City Plaza	Orange	N/A	360,000				
Total Land Assets:			1,447,049				
Portfolio Total:			3,841,085				

- Square footage for office and media and entertainment properties has been determined by management based upon estimated leasable square feet, which may be less or more than the Building Owners and Managers Association, or BOMA, rentable area. Square footage may change over time due to remeasurement or releasing. Square footage for land assets represents management's estimate of developable square feet, the majority of which remains subject to receipt of entitlement approvals that have not yet been obtained.
- Percent leased for office properties is calculated as (i) square footage under commenced leases as of September 30, 2010, divided by (ii) total square feet, expressed as a percentage. Percent leased for media and entertainment properties is the average percent leased for the 12 months ended September 30, 2010. As a result of the short-term nature of the leases into which we enter at our media and entertainment properties, and because entertainment industry tenants generally do not shoot on weekends due to higher costs, we believe stabilized occupancy rates at our media and entertainment properties are lower than those rates achievable at our traditional office assets, where tenants enter into longer-term lease arrangements.
- We present rent data for office properties on an annualized basis, and for media and entertainment properties on an annual basis. Annualized base rent for office properties is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. Total abatements with respect to the office properties for leases in effect as of September 30, 2010 for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$1,441,053 per leases in effect. Annualized base rent, net of abatements, is \$7,200,041 for City Plaza, \$6,176,152 for First Financial, \$3,926,224 for the Technicolor Building, \$1,113,716 for 875 Howard Street and \$2,064,260 for 9300 Wilshire Boulevard. There are no abatements associated with the leases in place as of September 30, 2010 at the Del Amo Office, Tierrasanta, 222 Kearny Street and 10950 Washington properties. Total annualized base rent, net of abatements, for our office properties is \$33,547,533. Annual base rent for media and entertainment properties reflects actual base rent for the 12

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

months ended September 30, 2010, excluding tenant reimbursements. Our leases at our City Plaza, First Financial, and Del Amo Office properties are full service gross leases, and annualized base rent data for these properties does not reflect tenant reimbursements in excess of the base year expense stop. The leases at our Technicolor, Tierrasanta, 875 Howard Street and 10950 Washington properties, as well as the KTLA lease at the Sunset Bronson property, are either triple net leases or modified gross leases pursuant to which the tenant reimburses the landlord or directly pays for some operating expenses, such as real estate taxes, insurance, common area and other operating expenses, and annualized base rent for these properties does not reflect such amounts. We estimate

Table of Contents

- that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent for these properties is \$5,388,866 for the Technicolor Building, \$2,093,104 for Tierrasanta, \$2,313,275 for 875 Howard Street, and \$4,580,780 for 10950 Washington. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annual base rent is \$11,272,172 for Sunset Gower and \$10,395,879 for Sunset Bronson.
- (4) Annualized base rent per leased square foot for the office properties is calculated as (i) annualized base rent divided by (ii) square footage under lease as of September 30, 2010. Annual base rent per leased square foot for the media and entertainment properties is calculated as (i) actual base rent for the 12 months ended September 30, 2010, excluding tenant reimbursements, divided by (ii) average square feet under lease for the 12 months ended September 30, 2010. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent per leased square foot is \$46.88 for the Technicolor Building, \$20.74 for Tierrasanta, \$18.10 for 875 Howard Street and \$28.98 for 10950 Washington, and the full service gross equivalent annual base rent per leased square foot is \$30.55 for Sunset Gower and \$46.66 for Sunset Bronson.
- (5) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of September 30, 2010, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of September 30, 2010. Our leases at our City Plaza, First Financial, and Del Amo Office properties are full service gross leases, and annualized net effective base rent data for these properties does not reflect tenant reimbursements in excess of the base year expense stop. The leases at our Technicolor, Tierrasanta and 875 Howard Street properties, as well as the KTLA lease at the Sunset Bronson property, are either triple net leases or modified gross leases pursuant to which the tenant reimburses the landlord or directly pays for some operating expenses, and annualized net effective base rent for these properties does not reflect such amounts. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for these properties is \$49.98 for the Technicolor Building, \$21.56 for Tierrasanta, \$17.39 for 875 Howard Street and \$31.92 for 10950 Washington.
- (6) 875 Howard Street consists of two buildings, a retail building of 94,505 square feet that is 100% leased and an office building of 191,765 square feet that underwent redevelopment, which was completed on April 1, 2010.
- (7) As of September 30, 2010, we had entered into two leases with respect to our 875 Howard Street and 9300 Wilshire Boulevard properties that had not commenced. The following table sets forth certain data with respect to the uncommenced leases.

Property	Uncommenced Leases			
	Leased Square Feet Under Uncommenced Leases ^(a)	Annualized Base Rent Under Uncommenced Leases ^(b)	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot Under Uncommenced Leases ^(c)	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot Under Uncommenced Leases ^(d)
875 Howard Street	55,827	\$ 1,116,538	\$ 20.00	\$ 33.20
9300 Wilshire Boulevard	1,243	\$ 18,645	\$ 15.00	\$ 13.42

- (a) The uncommenced lease for the 875 Howard Street property, which commences on December 14, 2010, is a ten-year lease expiring on December 13, 2020, and contains no rent abatements. The uncommenced lease for the 9300 Wilshire Boulevard property, which commences on January 1, 2011, is a five-year lease expiring on December 31, 2015, and total abatements under the uncommenced lease for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$7,769. The uncommenced lease at our 9300 Wilshire Boulevard property represents expansion space that is in addition to 6,171 square feet that is currently leased by one of our tenants. See Business and Properties Uncommenced Leases.
- (b) Annualized base rent under uncommenced leases is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the first full month under the respective uncommenced leases, by (ii) 12.
- (c) Annualized base rent per leased square foot under uncommenced leases is calculated as (i) annualized base rent under uncommenced leases, divided by (ii) leased square feet under uncommenced leases.
- (d) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot under uncommenced leases represents (i) annualized base rent under uncommenced leases calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) leased square feet under uncommenced leases.
- (8) Our acquisition of this property is subject to closing conditions that may not be in our control. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business The purchase of the 10950 Washington property is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of the property.
- (9) This property was acquired on October 8, 2010. A portion representing approximately 64% of the building area (excluding the 180 Sutter building) is subject to a ground lease that expires June 14, 2054; the remaining portion is owned in fee.
- (10) This property is subject to a ground sublease that expires June 30, 2049.
- (11) This property is subject to a ground lease that expires August 14, 2032.
- (12) After giving effect to uncommenced leases signed as of September 30, 2010, the total percent leased for office properties would have been 87.0% as of September 30, 2010.
- (13) Approximately 0.59 acres of this property is subject to a ground lease that expires March 31, 2060; the remaining portion is owned in fee.

Table of Contents**Office Portfolio**

Our portfolio, including the 10950 Washington property, consists of nine office properties comprising an aggregate of approximately 1.5 million square feet. As of September 30, 2010, our office properties, including the 10950 Washington property, were approximately 83.2% leased to approximately 125 tenants (or 87.0% leased, giving effect to leases signed but not commenced as of that date). All of our office properties are located in prime California submarkets. As of September 30, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for our office portfolio, including the 10950 Washington property, was 45 months.

Tenant Diversification of Office Portfolio

Our office portfolio is currently leased to a variety of companies. The following table sets forth information regarding the 20 largest tenants in our office portfolio, including the 10950 Washington property, based on annualized base rent as of September 30, 2010.

Tenant	Property	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Office Portfolio Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Office Portfolio Annualized Base Rent
Technicolor Creative Services USA, Inc.	Technicolor Building	05/31/20		114,958	7.5%	\$ 4,103,173	11.7%
Saatchi & Saatchi North America, Inc. ⁽²⁾	Del Amo Office	12/31/19	12/31/11	113,000	7.4	3,069,070	8.8
Kondaur Capital Corp.	City Plaza	03/31/13		122,425	8.0	2,938,200	8.4
NFL Enterprises	10950 Washington	03/31/15		95,570	6.2	2,802,041	8.0
Pepperdine University	First Financial	01/31/19		35,351	2.3	1,367,659	3.9
Carat USA, Inc.	875 Howard Street	03/31/17	03/31/16	33,291	2.2	998,730	2.9
SDI Media	10950 Washington	04/30/16		30,300	2.0	754,899	2.2
Medical Specialties	City Plaza	01/31/17		29,369	1.9	704,856	2.0
Walsworth, Franklin, Bevins ⁽³⁾	City Plaza	12/31/19	12/31/16	28,141	1.8	675,384	1.9
Master Halco	City Plaza	02/28/19	02/28/17 ⁽⁶⁾	19,876	1.3	663,302	1.9
Burlington Coat Factory ⁽⁴⁾	875 Howard Street	12/31/13	09/30/11	94,505	6.2	614,351	1.8
Kimpton Group Holding, LLC	222 Kearny Street	05/20/11		20,997	1.4	608,998	1.7
Liberty Mutual Insurance	City Plaza	08/31/11		18,550	1.2	513,835	1.5
The Children's Place	222 Kearny Street	01/31/17		8,373	0.5	485,634	1.4
Marcus & Millichap ⁽⁵⁾	First Financial	09/30/16	09/30/11	14,500	0.9	461,768	1.3
Brady, Vorwerck, Ryder & Caspino	City Plaza	08/31/19	08/31/14 ⁽⁶⁾	18,350	1.2	442,602	1.3
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated	First Financial	04/30/16	04/30/12 ⁽⁶⁾	15,838	1.0	437,129	1.2
RBF Consulting	Tierrasanta	03/31/14	03/31/12 ⁽⁶⁾	31,422	2.0	427,214	1.2
Haber Corporation	First Financial	09/30/12		12,973	0.8	423,741	1.2
California Bank & Trust	Tierrasanta	06/30/18		23,208	1.5	423,631	1.2
Total:				880,997	57.3%	\$ 22,916,218	65.5%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. Total abatements for the 20 largest tenants in our office portfolio as of September 30, 2010 for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$1,219,008. Annualized base rent does not reflect tenant reimbursements.

(2)

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

This lease is subject to early termination options on December 31, 2011, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2016, in each case in exchange for payment of an early termination fee estimated to be approximately \$5.0 million for 2011, \$3.1 million for 2014 and \$1.9 million for 2016.

- (3) This lease is subject to early termination by the tenant in exchange for payment of an early termination fee of unamortized leasing costs.
- (4) This lease is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2013; however, the tenant has a continuing early termination right that can be exercised upon one year's prior notice. We believe this lease is currently substantially below market rental rates.

Table of Contents

- (5) This lease is subject to early termination options with respect to 3,036 square feet on September 30, 2011 and with respect to 11,464 square feet on September 30, 2014, in each case in exchange for payment of an early termination fee.
- (6) Each of these leases is subject to early termination by the tenant in exchange for payment of an early termination fee.

Uncommenced Leases

As of September 30, 2010, we have entered into one lease with respect to our 875 Howard Street property and one lease with respect to our 9300 Wilshire Boulevard property that have not yet commenced. The uncommenced lease for Heald College is a modified gross lease, pursuant to which the tenant, in addition to its base rental payment, reimburses the landlord for expenses in excess of a base year expense stop, as well as for janitorial costs and utilities. The following table sets forth data for these two uncommenced leases.

Tenant	Lease Commencement	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Office Portfolio Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾
Heald College	12/14/10	12/13/20	12/13/17	55,827	3.6	1,116,538
Provident Title ⁽²⁾	01/01/11	12/31/15		1,243	0.1	18,645
Total Uncommenced Leases:				57,070	3.7%	\$ 1,135,183

- (1) For uncommenced leases, annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) the first full month of contractual rents to be received under the applicable lease (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)), by (ii) 12. Annualized base rent does not reflect tenant reimbursements.
- (2) This uncommenced lease represents expansion space that is in addition to the 6,171 square feet that is currently leased by this tenant. Total abatements as of September 30, 2010 for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$7,769.

Lease Distribution of Office Portfolio

The following table sets forth information relating to the distribution of leases in our office portfolio, based on net rentable square feet under lease as of September 30, 2010.

Square Feet Under Lease	Number of Leases	Percentage of All Leases	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Office Portfolio Leased Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Office Portfolio Annualized Base Rent
2,500 or less	44	34.6%	60,710	4.5%	\$ 2,014,555	5.6%
2,501-10,000	52	40.9	258,103	19.3	8,515,031	23.6
10,001-20,000	11	8.7	160,967	12.0	4,574,792	12.7
20,001-40,000	9	7.1	252,126	18.9	6,357,372	17.6
40,001-100,000	2	1.6	190,075	14.2	3,416,392	9.5
Greater than 100,000	3	2.4	350,383	26.2	10,110,443	28.0
Building management use	4	3.1	6,799	0.5		
Uncommenced leases	2	1.6	57,070	4.3	1,135,183	3.1
Office Portfolio Total:	127	100.0%	1,336,233	100.0%	\$ 36,123,769	100.0%

(1)

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010 by (ii) 12. Annualized base rent does not reflect tenant reimbursements. Total abatements for leases in effect as of September 30, 2010 for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$1,441,053.

Table of Contents**Lease Expirations of Office Portfolio**

The following table sets forth a summary schedule of the lease expirations for leases in place as of September 30, 2010 plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2010 at the properties in our office portfolio. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Office Portfolio Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Office Portfolio Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Vacant		257,441	16.8%			
2010	6	9,945	0.6	\$ 272,502	0.8	27.40
2011 ⁽²⁾	31	354,226	23.1	7,707,767	22.0	21.76
2012	20	114,867	7.5	3,015,839	8.6	26.26
2013	25	216,323	14.1	6,054,846	17.3	27.99
2014	14	94,891	6.2	2,905,168	8.3	30.62
2015	11	128,644	8.4	3,988,263	11.4	31.00
2016	7	121,567	7.9	3,277,561	9.4	26.96
2017	4	58,384	3.8	1,872,176	5.3	32.07
2018	1	23,208	1.5	423,631	1.2	18.25
2019	1	35,351	2.3	1,367,659	3.9	38.69
Thereafter	5	121,757	7.9	4,103,173	11.7	33.70
Office Portfolio Total/Weighted Average:	125	1,536,604	100.0%	\$ 34,988,586	100.0%	\$ 27.35

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. Annualized base rent does not reflect tenant reimbursements. Total abatements for leases in effect as of September 30, 2010 for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$1,441,053.
- (2) Includes the Burlington Coat Factory lease representing 94,505 square feet of space at our 875 Howard Street property, which has an expiration date of December 13, 2013 but is subject to a continuing early termination right that can be exercised upon one year's prior notice. Also includes the Saatchi & Saatchi lease representing 113,000 square feet of space at our Del Amo Office property, which is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2019. However, the lease is subject to early termination options on December 31, 2011, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2016, in each case in exchange for payment of an early termination fee estimated to be approximately \$5.0 million for 2011, \$3.1 million for 2014 and \$1.9 million for 2016. If neither Saatchi & Saatchi nor Burlington Coat Factory exercise their early termination rights in 2011, leases representing only 9.5% of our office portfolio will expire in 2011. This 9.5% represents \$4,024,346 in annualized base rent and 11.5% of office portfolio annualized base rent.

Description of Our Office Properties*City Plaza, Orange, California*

City Plaza is a nineteen-story, steel-framed, Class-A office building located in Orange, California. The property, built in 1969 and renovated in 1999, contains 333,922 net rentable square feet situated on a 11.5 acre lot with 1,189 parking spaces. The property is located near the intersection of two major freeways, Interstate 5 and Highway 22, at the geographic center of Orange County, a location that has attracted many diverse, high-quality tenants from across Orange County, including United States Fire Insurance Company, Calco Insurance Brokers, Walsworth, Franklin, Bevins & McCall, Kondaur Capital Corp. and Brady, Vorwerck Rider & Caspino. Additionally, the property is located adjacent to The Block, a 715,000 square-foot regional mall providing an array of amenities, including restaurants, retail establishments and movie theaters that are attractive to potential and existing tenants, as well as local residents. Our predecessor acquired the loan on City Plaza in

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

August 2008 at a substantial discount and subsequently obtained title to the property from the borrower. At the time of acquisition, the property was only approximately 38% leased. We have since signed 20 leases, including both

Table of Contents

new and renewed leases, representing approximately 245,000 square feet. We believe our success in leasing City Plaza was a result of our aggressive leasing strategies, extensive relationships with real estate brokers and tenants in Orange County and our financial strength relative to many other landlords in Orange County that may be over-leveraged. As of September 30, 2010, City Plaza was approximately 92.4% leased to 29 tenants (including the property management tenant) operating in various industries.

City Plaza Primary Tenants

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of City Plaza as of September 30, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
Kondaur Capital Corp. ⁽²⁾	Financial	03/31/13		1 x 5 years	122,425	36.7%	\$ 2,938,200	\$ 24.00	38.1%
Medical Specialties ⁽³⁾	Business Services	01/31/17		1 x 5 years	29,369	8.8	704,856	24.00	9.1
Walsworth, Franklin, Bevins ⁽⁴⁾	Legal	12/31/19	12/31/16	1 x 5 years	28,141	8.4	675,384	24.00	8.7
Master Halco	Industrial / Fencing	02/28/19	02/28/17 ⁽⁵⁾	1 x 5 years	19,876	6.0	663,302	33.37	8.6
Liberty Mutual Insurance	Insurance	08/31/11		1 x 5 years	18,550	5.6	513,835	27.70	6.7
Total / Weighted Average:					218,361	65.4%	\$ 5,495,577	\$ 25.17	71.2%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12.

(2) Total abatements under the Kondaur Capital Corp. lease for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$244,850.

(3) Total abatements under the Medical Specialties lease for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$24,474. In connection with the entry into a lease with this tenant, we assumed the tenant's remaining obligation under its prior lease, which we estimate at \$36,377 per year through August 2011. This obligation may be reduced if we are able to sublease the tenant's previous space or if the tenant's previous landlord offers to acquire our leasehold interest.

(4) Total abatements under the Walsworth, Franklin, Bevins lease for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$173,067.

(5) The early termination right is subject to an early termination fee.

Table of Contents*City Plaza Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at City Plaza as of September 30, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2010. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of September 30, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 43 months without giving effect to uncommenced leases.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Vacant		25,371	7.6%	\$	%	\$
2010						
2011	10	47,823	14.3	1,275,271	16.5	26.67
2012	5	14,333	4.3	442,400	5.7	30.87
2013	4	125,210	37.5	3,019,209	39.1	24.11
2014 ⁽²⁾	3	22,079	6.6	533,644	6.9	24.17
2015						
2016 ⁽³⁾	3	45,564	13.6	1,063,295	13.8	23.34
2017 ⁽⁴⁾	3	50,011	15.0	1,386,542	18.0	27.72
2018						
2019					8.7	24.00
Thereafter ⁽⁵⁾	1	3,531	1.1			
Total/Weighted Average:	29	333,922	100.0%	\$ 7,720,361	100.0%	\$ 25.02

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. Total abatements for leases in effect as of September 30, 2010 for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$520,319.
- (2) Includes the Brady, Vorwerck, Ryder & Caspino lease representing 18,350 square feet of space, which is scheduled to expire on August 31, 2019. However, this lease is subject to a one-time early termination right on August 31, 2014 in exchange for an early termination fee.
- (3) Includes the Walsworth, Franklin, Bevins lease representing 28,141 square feet, which is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2019, and is subject to a one-time early termination right on December 31, 2016 in exchange for an early termination fee.
- (4) Includes the Master Halco lease representing 19,876 square feet of space, which is scheduled to expire on February 28, 2019. However, this lease is subject to a one-time early termination right on February 28, 2017 in exchange for an early termination fee.
- (5) Includes 3,531 square feet that will be leased to our subsidiary for property management offices.

City Plaza Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for City Plaza as of the dates indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾
September 30, 2010	92.4%	\$ 25.02	\$ 23.76
December 31, 2009	72.8	25.39	25.56
December 31, 2008	43.0	27.75	28.08

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

- (1) Because we did not own this property prior to 2008, we are unable to show data for years prior to this time.
- (2) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date.

Other than recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of City Plaza.

City Plaza is included in the portfolio of properties that secure our secured revolving credit facility. For more information regarding our secured revolving credit facility, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Table of Contents

Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Secured Revolving Credit Facility.

Also in connection with our acquisition of the City Plaza property, we agreed to assume certain costs of design and construction, in the amount of approximately \$1.0 million, related to the reconfiguration of certain surface parking areas and the construction of a new road way that will be located on both the City Plaza property and adjacent property, which improvements will improve access to both the City Plaza property and the adjacent retail center from the highway. The construction of these improvements has not yet commenced, and the agreement pursuant to which such payment is due has lapsed. However, we have agreed in principal with the adjacent property owner that is responsible for the construction to extend the agreement.

With respect to our City Plaza property, we are obligated under a parking easement agreement to provide 1,250 parking spaces for use by the adjacent property owner. However, due to a condemnation event that occurred subsequent to the granting of such parking easement, we are currently only able to provide 1,139 parking spaces for such use. We are in discussions with the holder of the easement to address the current deficiency.

The current real estate tax rate for City Plaza is \$10.35 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for City Plaza for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$727,984 (at a taxable assessed value of \$70.3 million). In addition, there was \$28,989 in various direct assessments and supplemental taxes imposed on City Plaza by the County of Orange for the 2010/2011 tax year.

First Financial, Encino, California

First Financial is a six-story, steel-framed office building located in Encino, California at the intersection of Ventura and Balboa Boulevards. The property, built in 1986, contains 222,423 net rentable square feet and a 49,560 square foot four-story parking garage with 690 parking spaces. The property has access to two major freeways, the Ventura (Highway 101) and San Diego (Interstate 405) Freeways, and is located near many of Los Angeles' residential communities in the central part of the San Fernando Valley. As of September 30, 2010, First Financial was approximately 84.8% leased to 35 tenants (including the property management tenant).

First Financial Primary Tenants

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of First Financial as of September 30, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
Pepperdine University	Educational	01/31/19		1 x 5 years	35,351	15.9%	\$ 1,367,659	\$ 38.69	21.8%
Marcus & Millichap ⁽²⁾	Real Estate	09/30/16	09/30/11	1 x 5 years	14,500	6.5	461,768	31.85	7.4
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated	Financial	04/30/16	04/30/12 ⁽³⁾	2 x 5 years	15,838	7.1	437,129	27.60	7.0
Haber Corporation	Financial	09/30/12		1 x 5 years	12,973	5.8	423,741	32.66	6.8
Vitas Healthcare Corp.	Healthcare	02/28/14		1 x 5 years	13,390	6.0	385,204	28.77	6.1
Total/Weighted Average:					92,052	41.4%	\$ 3,075,500	\$ 33.41	49.0%

Table of Contents

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12.
- (2) This lease is subject to early termination options with respect to 3,036 square feet on September 30, 2011 and with respect to 11,464 square feet on September 30, 2014, in each case in exchange for payment of an early termination fee based on a formula set forth in the lease. Total abatements under this lease for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$79,270.
- (3) The early termination right is subject to an early termination fee of \$234,212.

First Financial Lease Expirations

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at First Financial as of September 30, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2010. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of September 30, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 47 months.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Vacant		33,873	15.2%			
2010	2	2,971	1.3	97,292	1.6%	\$ 32.75
2011	5	14,921	6.7	475,091	7.6%	\$ 31.84
2012 ⁽²⁾	7	41,577	18.7	1,264,020	20.1%	\$ 30.40
2013	10	28,683	12.9	1,028,254	16.4%	\$ 35.85
2014 ⁽³⁾	7	53,203	23.9	1,681,572	26.8%	\$ 31.61
2015	1	2,806	1.3	84,449	1.3%	\$ 30.10
2016	1	8,048	3.6	278,396	4.4%	\$ 34.59
2017						
2018						
2019	1	35,351	15.9	1,367,659	21.8%	\$ 38.69
Thereafter ⁽⁴⁾	1	990	0.4			\$
Total/Weighted Average:	35	222,423	100.0%	\$ 6,276,733	100.0%	\$ 33.29

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. Total abatements for leases in effect as of September 30, 2010 for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$100,581.
- (2) Includes the Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated lease representing 15,838 square feet, which is scheduled to expire on April 30, 2016. However, this lease is subject to a one-time early termination right on April 30, 2012 in exchange for an early termination fee of \$234,212.
- (3) Includes the Marcus & Millichap lease representing 14,500 square feet, which is scheduled to expire on September 30, 2016. However, the tenant has a right to terminate the lease with respect to 3,036 square feet on September 30, 2011. The tenant also has a right to terminate the remaining 11,464 square feet on September 30, 2014 in exchange for an early termination fee based on a formula set forth in the lease.
- (4) Includes 990 square feet that will be leased to our subsidiary for property management offices.

Table of Contents*First Financial Percent Leased and Base Rent*

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for First Financial as of the dates indicated below:

Date	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot⁽¹⁾
September 30, 2010	84.8%	\$ 33.29	\$ 35.61
December 31, 2009	92.1	32.81	30.56
December 31, 2008	93.2	30.40	30.06
December 31, 2007	95.1	28.34	23.42
December 31, 2006	98.7	27.34	26.27
December 31, 2005	92.5	26.71	25.89

- (1) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date.

Other than recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of First Financial.

First Financial is subject to a \$43.0 million mortgage loan, as described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Description of Certain Debt Mortgage Loan Secured by First Financial.

The current real estate tax rate for First Financial is \$12.70 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for First Financial for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$737,915 (at a taxable assessed value of \$58.1 million). In addition, there was \$20,036 in various direct assessments and voted indebtedness imposed on First Financial by the City of Los Angeles and County of Los Angeles for the 2010/2011 tax year.

Del Amo Office, Torrance, California

On August 13, 2010, we acquired the Del Amo Office property and its related ground sublease for \$27.5 million in cash (before closing costs and prorations).

The Del Amo Office property is a five-story, steel-framed office building located on Sepulveda Boulevard in Torrance, California. The property, built in 1986, contains 113,000 net rentable square feet and is situated on 2.3 acres with 150 parking spaces. The property is 100% leased to Saatchi & Saatchi North America, Inc., a leading advertising agency, pursuant to a written lease. Saatchi & Saatchi has been a tenant at this property for over 20 years, over which period significant capital improvements have been made to the property. The current term of the office lease expires December 31, 2019, provided that Saatchi & Saatchi has the option to terminate the office lease on any of the following dates: December 31, 2011, December 31, 2014, and December 31, 2016, in each case in exchange for the payment of an early termination fee, based on an amount equal to the unamortized tenant improvement allowances, brokerage commissions and abated rent granted to Saatchi & Saatchi for the initial 15-year term. We estimate the early termination fee to be approximately \$5.0 million, \$3.1 million and \$1.9 million for the termination rights exercisable on December 31, 2011, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Saatchi & Saatchi also has one 10-year extension option, at a rental rate equal to 95% of the projected prevailing rental rate as of the first day of the option term (based on a formula set forth in the office lease). The office lease provides for the payment of monthly base rent, plus tenant's share (100%) of all increases in direct costs of operation, repair and maintenance

Table of Contents

of the building and common areas, including real property taxes and assessments levied or assessed against the building (including in connection with a change of ownership), over such costs for the 2005 base year.

The following table summarizes information regarding the Del Amo Office property lease as of September 30, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Percentage Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
Saatchi & Saatchi	Advertising	12/31/19	12/31/11 ⁽²⁾	1 x 10 years	113,000	100.0%	\$ 3,069,070	\$ 27.16	100.0%
Total/Weighted Average:					113,000	100.0%	\$ 3,069,070	\$ 27.16	100.0%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12.

(2) The Saatchi & Saatchi lease provides the tenant with the option to terminate the office lease on any of the following dates in each case upon nine months prior notice: December 31, 2011, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2016, in each case in exchange for the payment of an early termination fee, as described above.

The property on which the Del Amo Office building is located is subleased by Del Amo Fashion Center Operating Company, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, or Del Amo, through a long-term ground sublease entered into on February 12, 1985 between Sears, Roebuck & Co., as sublessor, and Del Amo (as successor-in-interest to Del Amo Mills Limited Partnership, successor-in-interest to The Torrance Company), as sublessee. The current term of the ground sublease expires June 30, 2049. The ground sublease is subject and subordinate to the terms of a ground lease dated June 29, 1959 between certain persons and entities therein referred to as The Sears Investors, as lessor, and Sears, Roebuck and Co., as lessee, and an improvement agreement and agreement to ground sublease dated September 15, 1983 between Sears, Roebuck and Co. and The Torrance Company, predecessor to Del Amo. The fee owner of the property has not granted to the subtenant under the ground sublease any rights of non-disturbance. Accordingly, a termination of the ground lease for any reason, including a rejection thereof by the ground tenant under the ground lease in a bankruptcy proceeding, could result in a termination of the ground sublease. In the event of a termination of the ground sublease, the Company may lose its interest in the Del Amo Office building and may no longer have the right to receive any of the rental income from the Del Amo Office building. In addition, the failure of the Company to have any non-disturbance rights from the fee owner may impair the Company's ability to obtain financing for the Del Amo Office building. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business The ground sublease for the Del Amo Office property is subject and subordinate to a ground lease, the termination of which could result in a termination of the ground sublease.

Fixed Net Rent under the ground sublease is \$1.00 per year, with sublessee being responsible for all impositions, insurance premiums, operating charges, maintenance charges, construction costs and other charges, costs and expenses that arise or may be contemplated under any provisions of the ground sublease, including its pro rata share of all exterior common area maintenance costs charged to sublessor with respect to the larger tract of land of which the property is a part, and all real property taxes applicable to the property (and if not separately assessed, then sublessee's liability shall be for such equitable portion of the real property taxes as the property bears to all of the land and improvements included within the tax parcel assessed, as determined mutually by sublessor and sublessee).

The sublessee is also responsible for sublessor's obligations under the ground lease applicable to the property, other than those obligations involving the payment of rent or other charges. The ground sublease is not

Table of Contents

expressly terminable for any reason by either party other than in connection with a casualty or condemnation. The sublessee has the right to mortgage its leasehold interest in the property, but is not otherwise permitted to assign, mortgage, pledge, encumber or in any manner transfer the ground sublease, or any part thereof, further sublease the property, or any part thereof (other than subleasing of space within the improvements to tenants in occupancy from time to time), or sell, transfer, mortgage, pledge, lease, license or encumber the improvements or the interest of sublessee in any lease of the improvements or the rentals thereunder, without the prior written consent of sublessor, which shall not be unreasonably withheld.

Other than normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of the Del Amo Office property.

The Del Amo Office property is included in the portfolio of properties that secure our secured revolving credit facility. For more information regarding our secured revolving credit facility, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Secured Revolving Credit Facility.

There was \$6,169 in various direct assessments imposed on the Del Amo Office property by the City of Torrance and County of Los Angeles for the 2009 tax year. However, as described above, Saatchi & Saatchi is obligated to reimburse us for 100% of real property taxes and assessments over such costs for a 2005 base year.

Technicolor Building, Hollywood, California

The Technicolor Building is a six-story, steel-framed Class-A office and motion picture technical production building located in Hollywood, California. The property comprises 114,958 square feet and is located on the Sunset Gower property (described below), with frontage on Sunset Boulevard, one of the main surface arteries in Los Angeles. The property was completed in 2008 and serves as the worldwide headquarters for Technicolor, one of the leading post-production companies in the entertainment industry. The property includes both traditional office space and space dedicated to post-production uses, such as video and sound content screening, editing and data storage and, as Technicolor's primary office and post-production facility, it is essential to its worldwide operations. The property is 100% leased to Technicolor through May 31, 2020. Pursuant to the terms of its lease, Technicolor is obligated to reimburse us for 100% of the expenses related to the operation of the property, including, but not limited to, real property taxes, utilities and insurance premiums (excluding structural, roof and core MEP system capital replacements).

The following table summarizes information regarding the Technicolor Building lease as of September 30, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
Technicolor	Media & Entertainment	05/31/20	2 x 5 years	114,958	100.0%	\$ 4,103,173	\$ 35.69	100.0%
Total/Weighted Average:				114,958	100.0%	\$ 4,103,173	\$ 35.69	100.0%

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. Total abatements as of September 30, 2010 for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$176,949. Annualized base rent does not reflect tenant reimbursements. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent for the Technicolor Building lease is \$5,388,866, or \$46.88 per leased square foot.

Table of Contents*Technicolor Building Percent Leased and Base Rent*

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for the Technicolor Building as of the dates indicated below:

Date⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot⁽²⁾	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot⁽³⁾
September 30, 2010	100%	\$ 35.69	\$ 39.04
December 31, 2009	100	34.32	39.04
December 31, 2008	100	33.00	39.04

- (1) Because the property was placed into service on June 1, 2008, we are unable to show data for full years prior to 2008.
- (2) We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent per leased square foot as of September 30, 2010 is \$46.88.
- (3) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date. Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot does not reflect tenant reimbursements. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot as of September 30, 2010 is \$49.98 for the Technicolor Building.

The Technicolor Building, together with the Sunset Gower property, is included in the portfolio of properties that secures our secured revolving credit facility. For more information regarding our secured revolving credit facility, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Secured Revolving Credit Facility.

The current real estate tax for the Technicolor Building is included in the real estate tax for Sunset Gower. We expect that the Technicolor Building will be taxed separately for the 2010 tax year. However, as described above, Technicolor is obligated to reimburse us for 100% of its allocated share of real property taxes and related fees.

Tierrasanta, San Diego, California

Tierrasanta is a wood-framed office complex located in the Kearny Mesa submarket of San Diego, California. Built in 1985, Tierrasanta consists of four buildings that contain an aggregate of 104,234 net rentable square feet situated on a 6.5 acre lot with 382 parking spaces. The property is located directly adjacent to Interstate 15 and has access to two major highways, Highway 163 and Highway 52. As of September 30, 2010, Tierrasanta was approximately 96.8% leased to nine tenants. As of September 30, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 35 months.

Table of Contents*Tierrasanta Primary Tenants*

The following table summarizes information regarding the tenants of Tierrasanta as of September 30, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
RBF Consulting ⁽²⁾	Construction Services	03/31/14	03/31/12	1 x 5 years	31,422	30.1%	\$ 427,214	\$ 13.60	27.1%
California Bank & Trust	Financial	06/30/18		1 x 5 years	23,208	22.3	423,631	18.25	26.9
NxGen	Technology	08/31/12			9,629	9.2	231,470	24.04	14.7
Diversified Copier	Technology	06/30/11		1 x 3 years	8,305	8.0	126,876	15.28	8.0
Quake Global, Inc.	Technology	03/31/11			8,690	8.3	111,684	12.85	7.1
Total/Weighted Average:					81,254	78.0%	\$ 1,320,874	\$ 16.26	83.8%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. Annualized base rent does not reflect tenant reimbursements.

(2) The tenant has an option to terminate, subject to the payment of an early termination fee based on a formula set forth in the lease. Other than normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of Tierrasanta.

Tierrasanta is subject to a \$14.3 million mortgage loan, as described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Description of Certain Debt Mortgage Loan Secured by Tierrasanta.

The current real estate tax rate for Tierrasanta is \$11.02 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for Tierrasanta for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$137,148 (at a taxable assessed value of \$12.5 million). In addition, there was \$260 in various direct assessments imposed on Tierrasanta by the City and County of San Diego for the 2010/2011 tax year.

875 Howard Street, San Francisco, California

Our 875 Howard Street property is located in San Francisco, California and consists of two buildings totaling 286,270 square feet. One of the buildings is a 191,765 square foot, six-story building that underwent redevelopment from its prior use as a museum to use as an office property. The redevelopment included a new lobby, demolition of all floors to core and shell and the completion of exterior upgrades, all of which were completed on April 1, 2010. The other building is a 94,505 square foot, three-story retail building leased to Burlington Coat Factory. The property is located in the South of Market area in San Francisco, a submarket that has historically had a high concentration of high technology and internet-related tenants. We believe that as venture capital investments in technology related companies increase, our property will attract many of the high technology and internet tenants that tend to be located in the South of Market submarket. Additionally, the property is located across the street from the Moscone Convention Center near Market Street, which provides an array of amenities, including access to San Francisco's primary mass transit corridor, restaurants, retail establishments and movie theaters, all of which are attractive to potential and existing tenants.

Table of Contents

One of our contributors, Farallon, acquired the property in 2007 with its operating partner, TMG Partners, or TMG, when the office component of the property was occupied by the California Academy of Sciences, which was scheduled to vacate the property in 2008. Farallon and TMG acquired the property with the intent to redevelop the office space that had been underutilized when the California Academy of Sciences occupied the space. Concurrently with the completion of our initial public offering and the consummation of the formation transactions, we entered into an agreement with TMG relating to this property, pursuant to which we will pay a 3% commission on hard costs of first generation tenant improvements and a leasing commission equal to \$2 per square foot of new space leased during the term of the agreement. This agreement terminates upon the earlier of two years or the date on which the property is 95% leased. As of September 30, 2010, the 875 Howard Street retail building was approximately 100% leased to Burlington Coat Factory, while two leases representing approximately 40% of the office building have been executed as of such date, one of which commenced on April 1, 2010 upon the completion of the tenant improvements and the other of which commences on December 1, 2010. Overall, as of September 30, 2010, the property was 64.1% leased, including the uncommenced lease described above.

The Burlington Coat Factory lease is subject to a continuous termination right by the tenant on one year's prior notice. However, we believe Burlington Coat Factory's lease is at substantially below market rates as of September 30, 2010, which we believe may encourage the tenant to exercise one or more of its two five-year renewal options at the current rental rate.

875 Howard Street Primary Tenants

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of 875 Howard Street as of September 30, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
Burlington Coat Factory ⁽²⁾	Retail	12/31/13	09/30/11	2 x 5 years	94,505	33.0%	\$ 614,351	\$ 6.50	38.1%
Carat USA ⁽³⁾	Media & Entertainment	03/31/17	03/31/16	1 x 5 years	33,291	11.6	998,730	30.00	61.9
Total/Weighted Average:					127,796	44.6%	\$ 1,613,081	\$ 12.62	100.0%
Uncommenced Leases									
Heald College ⁽⁴⁾	Educational	12/13/20	12/13/17	1 x 5 years	55,827	19.5%	\$ 1,116,538	\$ 20.00	40.9%
Total/Weighted Average:					183,623	64.1%	\$ 2,729,619	\$ 14.87	100.0%

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. For uncommenced leases, annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) the first full month of contractual base rents to be received under the applicable lease (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)), by (ii) 12. The Burlington Coat Factory lease is a modified gross lease pursuant to which the tenant, in addition to its base rental payment, reimburses the landlord for certain operating expenses. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent for the Burlington Coat Factory lease is \$1,181,699, or \$12.50 per leased square foot. The Heald College and Carat USA leases are modified gross leases pursuant to which the tenant, in addition to its base rental payment, reimburses the landlord for expenses in excess of a

Table of Contents

base year expense stop, as well as janitorial costs and utilities. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent for the Heald College lease is \$1,339,846, or \$24.00 per leased square foot. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent for the Carat USA lease is \$1,131,894, or \$34.00 per leased square foot.

- (2) The tenant has a continuing early termination right that can be exercised upon one year's prior notice.
- (3) Total abatements under this lease for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$499,365. The early termination right is subject to an early termination fee of \$412,106. The Carat USA lease provides the tenant a complete abatement of base rent for the first year of the lease term.
- (4) The Heald College lease commences on December 14, 2010. The early termination right is subject to an early termination payment of \$1,751,358.

875 Howard Street Lease Expirations

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at 875 Howard Street as of September 30, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2010. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of September 30, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 49 months due to the continuous termination right of Burlington Coat Factory on one year's prior notice; to date, such notice has not been provided.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Vacant ⁽²⁾		158,474	55.4%	\$	%	\$
2010						
2011 ⁽³⁾	1	94,505	33.0	614,351	38.1	6.50
2012						
2013						
2014						
2015						
2016	1	33,291	11.6	998,730	61.9	30.00
2017						
2018						
2019						
Thereafter						
Total/Weighted Average:	2	286,270	100.0%	\$ 1,613,081	100.0%	\$ 12.62

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot does not reflect tenant reimbursements.
- (2) Includes redevelopment space and does not reflect the impact of the uncommenced Heald College lease.
- (3) Includes the Burlington Coat Factory lease representing 94,505 square feet of space that has an expiration date of December 31, 2013 and is subject to a continuing early termination right that can be exercised upon one year's prior notice. To date, such notice has not been provided. Excluding Burlington Coat Factory, none of our 875 Howard Street property leases would expire in 2011.

Table of Contents*875 Howard Street Percent Leased and Base Rent*

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for 875 Howard Street as of the dates indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽³⁾	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁴⁾
September 30, 2010	44.6%	\$ 12.62	\$ 12.06
December 31, 2009	33.0	6.50	6.50
December 31, 2008	100.0	7.81	7.81
December 31, 2007	100.0	6.66	6.66

(1) Because we did not own this property prior to 2007, we are unable to show data for years prior to 2007.

(2) After giving effect to the uncommenced leases, percent leased would have been 64.1% as of September 30, 2010.

(3) We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent per leased square foot is \$18.10 as of September 30, 2010.

(4) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date. Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot does not reflect tenant reimbursements. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent net effective base rent per leased square foot as of September 30, 2010 is \$17.39 for 875 Howard Street.

875 Howard Street is included in the portfolio of properties that secure our secured revolving credit facility. For more information regarding our secured revolving credit facility, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Secured Revolving Credit Facility.

The current real estate tax rate for 875 Howard Street is \$11.64 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for 875 Howard Street for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$555,752 (at a taxable assessed value of \$47.7 million). In addition, there was \$20,519 in various direct assessments imposed on 875 Howard Street by the City and County of San Francisco.

9300 Wilshire Boulevard, Beverly Hills, California

9300 Wilshire Boulevard is a six-story office building located in Beverly Hills, California. The property, built in 1965 and renovated in 2001, contains 58,484 net rentable square feet situated on a 0.3767 acre lot with 160 parking spaces. The property is located on the southwest corner of Wilshire Boulevard and Rexford Drive, strategically located near the Golden Triangle district of Beverly Hills, which features world class restaurants, shops and other amenities. As of September 30, 2010, 9300 Wilshire Boulevard was approximately 90.5% leased to eighteen tenants operating in various industries.

The 9300 Wilshire Boulevard property is subject to a ground lease held by Hudson 9300 Wilshire, LLC pursuant to a lease dated August 15, 1962, as amended and assigned, between Cassabell Stone, as lessor, and Hudson 9300 Wilshire, LLC, as lessee (expiring August 14, 2032).

Table of Contents*9300 Wilshire Boulevard Primary Tenants*

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of 9300 Wilshire Boulevard as of September 30, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
Hanmi Bank	Financial	09/30/17	09/30/14	2 x 5 years	5,253	9.0%	\$ 270,103	\$ 51.42	12.2%
Provident Title Company	Real Estate	12/31/15			6,171	10.6	247,334	40.08	11.2
Knock Out Creative	Media & Entertainment	05/31/14			5,321	9.1	242,382	45.55	11.0
Paul Kohner	Media & Entertainment	09/30/15			5,461	9.3	193,319	35.40	8.8
KR Capital Partners	Financial	03/31/16			4,364	7.5	182,241	41.76	8.3
Total / Weighted Average:					26,570	45.4%	\$ 1,135,379	\$ 42.73	51.4%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. Total abatements under this lease for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$143,838.

9300 Wilshire Boulevard Lease Expirations

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at 9300 Wilshire Boulevard as of September 30, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2010. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of September 30, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 43 months without giving effect to uncommenced leases.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Vacant		5,564	9.5%			
2010	1	150	0.3	5,568	0.3%	\$ 37.12
2011	3	9,453	16.2	355,680	16.1%	\$ 37.63
2012	1	1,772	3.0	71,872	3.3%	\$ 40.56
2013	4	7,131	12.2	334,588	15.2%	\$ 46.92
2014	2	10,574	18.1	512,485	23.2%	\$ 48.47
2015	6	19,476	33.3	745,663	33.8%	\$ 38.29
2016	1	4,364	7.5	182,241	8.3%	\$ 41.76
2017						
2018						
2019						
Thereafter						

Total/Weighted Average:	18	58,484	100.0%	\$ 2,208,098	100.0%	\$ 41.73
--------------------------------	-----------	---------------	---------------	---------------------	---------------	-----------------

Table of Contents

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. Total abatements for leases in effect as of September 30, 2010 for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011 are \$143,838.

9300 Wilshire Boulevard Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for 9300 Wilshire Boulevard as of the dates indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot⁽²⁾
September 30, 2010	90.5%	\$ 41.73	\$ 42.42

- (1) Because we did not own this property prior to August 2010, we are unable to show data for years prior to 2010.

- (2) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date.

Other than recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of 9300 Wilshire Boulevard.

The current real estate tax rate for 9300 Wilshire Boulevard is \$10.87 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for 9300 Wilshire Boulevard for the tax year ending June 30, 2010 is \$78,041 (at a taxable assessed value of \$7,176,660). In addition, there was \$3,050 in various direct assessments imposed on 9300 Wilshire Boulevard by the City of Beverly Hills and County of Los Angeles for the 2009 tax year.

222 Kearny Street, San Francisco, California

On October 8, 2010 we acquired a 144,440 square foot, two-building office property located in San Francisco, California, which we refer to as 222 Kearny Street. The property consists of the 180 Sutter building, a 5-story building built in 1901 and renovated in 1986, and the 222 Kearny building, a 10-story building built in 1986. The property contains 60 parking stalls. Located on the border of the Union Square and Financial District submarkets of San Francisco, the property is attractive to tenants across many industries including financial services, legal, hospitality and technology. As of September 30, 2010 the property was 79.2% leased to 22 tenants (including the property management office).

A portion representing 64% of the building area of the 222 Kearny Street property (excluding the 180 Sutter building) resides on a ground lease held by Hudson 222 Kearny, LLC pursuant to a lease dated June 15, 1984 as amended and assigned, between 154 Sutter LLC as lessor and Hudson 222 Kearny, LLC, as lessee (expiring on June 14, 2054). The ground lease provides for annual rent equal to the greater of (i) \$975,000 or (ii) 20% of the annual net operating income of the portion of the property subject to the ground lease until annual net operating income reaches \$8 million; once annual net operating income reaches \$8 million, percentage rent equal to 25% of the excess annual net operating income above \$8 million is also payable. Annual rent under the ground lease is currently \$975,000.

Table of Contents*222 Kearny Street Primary Tenants*

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of 222 Kearny Street as of September 30, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
Kimpton Group Holding, LLC	Hospitality	05/20/11		1 x 5 years	20,997	14.5%	\$ 608,998	\$ 29.00	14.7%
The Children's Place	Retail	01/31/17			8,373	5.8	485,634	58.00	11.7
Stockwell, Harris	Legal	09/30/13		1 x 5 years	8,050	5.6	370,300	46.00	8.9
Van Der Hout	Legal	02/29/12		1 x 5 years	7,647	5.3	313,527	41.00	7.5
Adams Nye	Legal	12/11/13		1 x 5 years	11,026	7.6	265,882	24.11	6.4
Total / Weighted Average:					56,093	38.8%	\$ 2,044,341	\$ 36.45	49.2%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12.

222 Kearny Street Lease Expirations

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at 222 Kearny Street as of September 30, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2010. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of September 30, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 29 months without giving effect to uncommenced leases.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Vacant		30,027	20.8%			
2010	1	3,509	2.4	121,762	2.9%	\$ 34.70
2011	6	43,182	29.9	1,367,935	32.9%	\$ 31.68
2012	4	10,831	7.5	487,227	11.7%	\$ 44.98
2013	5	33,078	22.9	1,220,705	29.4%	\$ 36.90
2014	1	3,708	2.6	114,917	2.8%	\$ 30.99
2015	3	10,792	7.5	356,109	8.6%	\$ 33.00
2016						\$
2017	1	8,373	5.8	485,634	11.7%	\$ 58.00
2018						\$
2019						\$
Thereafter ⁽²⁾	1	940	0.7			\$

Total/Weighted Average:	22	144,440	100.0%	\$ 4,154,289	100.0%	\$ 36.31
--------------------------------	-----------	----------------	---------------	---------------------	---------------	-----------------

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12.
- (2) Includes 940 square feet of property management office space.

Table of Contents*222 Kearny Street Percent Leased and Base Rent*

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for 222 Kearny Street as of the dates indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾
September 30, 2010	79.2%	\$ 36.31	\$ 38.28

(1) Because we did not own this property prior to October 2010, we are unable to show data for years prior to 2010.

(2) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date.

Other than recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of 222 Kearny Street.

The current real estate tax rate for 222 Kearny Street is \$11.64 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for 222 Kearny Street for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$634,523 (at a taxable assessed value of \$54.5 million). In addition, there was \$714 in various direct assessments imposed on 222 Kearny Street by the City of San Francisco and County of San Francisco for the 2010/2011 tax year. We anticipate a downward assessment to occur as part of our acquisition.

10950 Washington, Culver City, California

On October 6, 2010, our operating partnership became obligated under a definitive asset purchase agreement with an unrelated third party to acquire an office building located at 10950 Washington Boulevard in Culver City, California, which we refer to as the 10950 Washington property. The purchase price, which was determined through negotiations between our operating partnership and the seller, will be approximately \$46.0 million, subject to closing costs and prorations and subject to the assumption of a \$30.0 million loan. We expect to fund the estimated \$16.0 million balance of the purchase price with available cash or borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Our operating partnership has deposited \$1.0 million into an escrow account. The purchase of the 10950 Washington property is subject to closing conditions, including the receipt of requisite estoppels and our assumption of the existing \$30.0 million loan. The closing of the acquisition is expected to take place by December 30, 2010 once all necessary approvals for the loan assumption have been received. We cannot assure you that the acquisition will be consummated on the anticipated schedule or at all.

The 10950 Washington property consists of a three-story office building totaling 86,987 square feet and a 71,886 square foot building containing two sound stages, creative office space and a café, for an aggregate of 158,873 square feet. The property sits on 5.5 acres, and contains structured and surface parking with a total of 441 parking spaces. As of September 30, 2010 the property was 99.5% leased to eight tenants. NFL Enterprises is the largest tenant occupying a total of 95,570 square feet, and they broadcast the company's NFL Network from the two sound stages on site. Located in Culver City, California, the 10950 Washington property benefits from close proximity to the 405 Freeway and the amenities that downtown Culver City provides, making it an attractive location for many media and entertainment companies seeking creative office space on the westside of Los Angeles.

Table of Contents**10950 Washington Primary Tenants**

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of the 10950 Washington property as of September 30, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
NFL Enterprises	Media & Entertainment	03/31/15			95,570	60.2%	\$ 2,802,041	\$ 29.32	65.7%
SDI Media	Media & Entertainment	04/30/16		1 x 5 years	30,300	19.1	754,899	24.91	17.7
All3Media/Studio Lambert	Media & Entertainment	06/30/13			20,047	12.6	396,000	19.75	9.3
David Larkins	Architecture	09/30/11		1 x 5 years	4,767	3.0	128,767	27.01	3.0
The Point Media Consultants	Media & Entertainment	10/31/11			3,447	2.2	116,322	33.75	2.7
Total / Weighted Average:					154,131	97.0%	\$ 4,198,030	\$ 27.24	98.4%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12.

10950 Washington Lease Expirations

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at the 10950 Washington property as of September 30, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2010. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of September 30, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 52 months without giving effect to uncommenced leases.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Vacant		805	0.5%			
2010	1	425	0.3	13,200	0.3%	\$ 31.06
2011	2	8,214	5.2	245,089	5.7%	\$ 29.84
2012						
2013	2	22,221	14.0	452,089	10.6%	\$ 20.35
2014						
2015	1	95,570	60.2	2,802,041	65.7%	\$ 29.32
2016	1	30,300	19.1	754,899	17.7%	\$ 24.91
2017						
2018						
2019						
Thereafter	1	1,338	0.8			

Total/Weighted Average:	8	158,873	100.0%	\$4,267,318	100.0%	\$ 27.00
--------------------------------	----------	----------------	---------------	--------------------	---------------	-----------------

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12.

Table of Contents*10950 Washington Percent Leased and Base Rent*

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for the 10950 Washington property as of the dates indicated below:

Date⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot⁽²⁾
September 30, 2010	99.5%	\$ 27.00	\$ 29.93

(1) Because we did not own this property prior to October 2010, we are unable to show data for years prior to 2010.

(2) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date.

Other than recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of 10950 Washington.

The 10950 Washington property is subject to a \$30.0 million mortgage loan, as described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Description of Certain Debt Mortgage Loan Secured by 10950 Washington.

The current real estate tax rate for the 10950 Washington property is \$10.82 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for the 10950 Washington property for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$270,374 (at a taxable assessed value of \$25.0 million). In addition, there was \$22,397 in various direct assessments imposed on the 10950 Washington property by the City of Culver City and County of Los Angeles for the 2010/2011 tax year.

Media and Entertainment Portfolio

Our portfolio of operating properties includes two properties that we consider to be media and entertainment properties, encompassing an aggregate of 857,432 square feet. We define our media and entertainment properties as those properties in our portfolio that are primarily used for the physical production of media content, such as television, feature films, commercials, music videos and photographs. These properties generally also feature a traditional office component that is leased to production companies and content providers. For the 12 months ended September 30, 2010, our media and entertainment properties were approximately 69.0% leased. Our media and entertainment properties are located in prime Southern California submarkets.

Leasing Characteristics of Media and Entertainment Properties

The duration of typical lease terms for tenants of media and entertainment properties tends to be shorter as compared to those of traditional office properties. Generally, terms of the media and entertainment leases are one year or less, as tenants are never certain as to whether their productions will continue to be carried by networks or cable channels. However, historically, many entertainment tenants have exercised renewal options such that their actual tenancy is extended for multiple years. As an example, productions such as *Judge Judy*, *Judge Joe Brown*, *Family Feud* and *Hannah Montana* have been tenants at Sunset Bronson Studios for between three and 12 years. At Sunset Gower Studios, NBC's *Heroes* was a tenant for four years prior to its cancellation and Showtime's *Dexter* has been a tenant for three years. Additionally, occupancy levels for sound stage space and office and support space tend to run in parallel, as a majority of stage users also require office and support

Table of Contents

space. In addition, we require tenants at our media and entertainment properties to use our facilities for items such as lighting, equipment rental, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet). As a result, our other property-related revenues tend to track overall occupancy of our media and entertainment properties. As a result of the short-term nature of the leases into which we enter at our media and entertainment properties, and because entertainment industry tenants generally do not shoot on weekends due to higher costs, we believe stabilized occupancy rates at our media and entertainment properties are lower than those rates achievable at our traditional office assets, where tenants enter into longer-term lease arrangements.

Entertainment Industry Overview

The entertainment industry is one of Los Angeles' core economic strengths and one of the region's most high-profile economic sectors. Although feature film and television production have historically dominated the entertainment industry in southern California, the industry has grown to also include the information services sector, largely driven by media-related industries such as publishing, broadcasting and telecommunications activities. According to RCG, the sector is 2.4 times more concentrated in Los Angeles than it is nationally, reflecting the large cluster of media and entertainment firms in the area. The media and entertainment industry employed roughly 228,500 people in California as of 2008 in motion pictures, sound and broadcasting, as well as independent artists, writers and performers. In addition, the actual number of employees in the industry may be much higher than reported, since many of them are independent contractors whose employment may not be reflected on regular payrolls. At least one out of 12 workers in Los Angeles County is employed in the entertainment industry.

We believe that the television broadcasting environment is expanding and will lead to continued demand for media and entertainment-related real estate in the Los Angeles area. According to the Los Angeles Times, it is estimated that television broadcast revenue will increase by 20% in 2010 over 2009 due to strong advertising revenue, which we believe will further support demand fundamentals for media and entertainment properties.

Additionally, the video game and digital media development industries are experiencing growing demand as film studios increasingly utilize digital effects and computer-generated graphics to complete their films as well as create video game content based on their films. Advances in technology and a growing supply of skilled labor in this field have also contributed to industry expansion. Video game development will likely be a source for growth in the local economy as consumer spending improves nationally. Likewise, digital media is forging a new path for the entertainment industry, generating growth in alternative types of production and fueling job gains in the region. Digital media is expected to be a key driver of economic recovery during the coming years as consumer demand for digital content increases in spite of the recent recession. Given the financial pressure created by the weak economy, many advertisers and producers are turning to digital content as a means to reduce costs while also appealing to increased consumer demand for media featuring more user control over content. RCG expects spending on digital entertainment in the United States to rise to 25% of entertainment expenditures by 2013, compared with 15% in 2008. Consequently, we believe the increasing demand for digital content will likely generate increased demand for media-related office space in Los Angeles during the years to come.

Even as alternative media grows in popularity, traditional production still dominates economic activity in Los Angeles. According to RCG, nearly 37,976 on-location production days, which include production of feature films, commercials and television programs, took place in Los Angeles County during 2009. This number does not include productions that took place at media and entertainment property locations, however, so the actual number of production days is likely to be much higher. In addition to feature films and television programs already in production, pilot production is also an important driver of the entertainment industry. During the 2009-2010 cycle, 76 pilots were produced in the Los Angeles area, which was a 28.8% increase from the previous year when 59 pilots were produced and spending on the productions exceeded \$200 million. The rebounding economic conditions should support further increases in production activity, including commercials, which

Table of Contents

already increased 61% during the first quarter of 2010 following three years of annual declines. We believe that Los Angeles will continue to be the premiere location for production activities, attracting and retaining some of the most creative talent in the world.

Although production on location occurs throughout Los Angeles County, film and television media and entertainment properties are primarily concentrated north and west of the downtown region within the Hollywood, San Fernando Valley/Tri-Cities and West Los Angeles submarkets. There is a total inventory of approximately 287 sound stages in the Los Angeles area, with roughly 55% or over 3,000,000 square feet located at the facilities of major media and entertainment companies such as Warner Brothers, Paramount, Universal, Sony, Fox and Disney. Of the approximately 130 sound stages located at independent media and entertainment properties in Los Angeles, roughly 53% are clustered in the Hollywood area where the Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties are located. The 23 stages that are situated at Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson represent approximately 33% of the total sound stage inventory at independent studios in the Hollywood area and roughly 23% of total stage inventory in the Hollywood area, at both major and independent media and entertainment properties. Other competing independent media and entertainment properties not in the Hollywood area are located in such submarkets as Downtown Los Angeles and Manhattan Beach.

Description of Our Media and Entertainment Properties

Sunset Gower, Hollywood, California

Sunset Gower is a 15.6 acre media and entertainment property located in the heart of Hollywood, four blocks west of the Hollywood (101) Freeway. The property encompasses almost an entire city block, bordered by Sunset Boulevard to the north, Gower Street to the west, Gordon Street to the east and Fountain Avenue to the south. The property, a fixture in the Los Angeles-based entertainment industry since it was built in the 1920s, served as Columbia Pictures headquarters through 1972 and is now one of the largest independent media and entertainment properties in the United States. Sunset Gower provides a fully-integrated environment for its media and entertainment-focused tenants within which they can access creative and technical talent for film and television production and post-production. Sunset Gower typically serves as home to single camera television and motion picture production tenants. The property is comprised of 368,149 square feet of office and support space, along with 12 sound stage facilities totaling 175,560 square feet. In addition, there are 1,450 parking spaces (situated in both surface and structured parking lots). Included in the total office square feet is a building, known as 6060 Sunset, which is comprised of approximately 17,000 square feet and was purchased separately from the Sunset Gower property and completely renovated, including core and shell upgrades. The renovation was completed in October 2009 and we believe that this space will be well suited for longer term media and entertainment tenants. For the 12 months ended September 30, 2010, Sunset Gower was approximately 67.9% leased as of September 30, 2010.

Approximately 0.59 acres of the site is subject to a ground lease held by SGS Holdings, LLC pursuant to a lease dated August 26, 1949 between Elizabeth K. Chadwick, as lessor, and SGS Holdings (as successor-in-interest to Columbia Pictures Corporation), as lessee (expiring March 31, 2060); the remaining portion of the Sunset Gower property is owned by SGS Holdings in fee, with the exception of 6060 Sunset, which is owned by SGS Realty II, LLC.

In addition to Sunset Gower's existing facilities, the current zoning designation for Sunset Gower, M1-1 Limited Industrial, City of Los Angeles, permits a floor area ratio, or FAR, of 1.5x, which implies a maximum allowable density of 1,022,933 square feet, or an incremental 423,436 square feet above the existing 599,497 floor area ratio, including the Technicolor Building. However, as of September 30, 2010, we had no immediate plans to develop additional facilities on the property.

Leases at Sunset Gower are typically for one year or less. However, historically, many media and entertainment property tenants have exercised renewal options such that the actual tenancy of many of these

Table of Contents

tenants may be for multiple years. As an example, at our Sunset Gower media and entertainment property, productions such as NBC's *Heroes* was a tenant for four years prior to its cancellation and Showtime's *Dexter* has been a tenant for three years. Additionally, occupancy for sound stage space and office and support space tend to parallel one another, as a majority of stage users also require office and support space.

Sunset Gower Primary Tenants

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of Sunset Gower for the 12 months ended September 30, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annual Base Rent ⁽²⁾	Annual Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽³⁾	Percentage of Property Annual Base Rent
Blind Decker Productions (<i>Dexter</i>)	Television/Entertainment	12/31/10 ⁽⁴⁾		59,171	10.8	1,819,191	31.01	16.2
Total/Weighted Average:				59,171	10.8%	\$ 1,819,191	\$ 31.01	16.2%

(1) Reflects average square feet under lease to such tenant during the period of its tenancy. Of the 59,171 square feet leased to Blind Decker Productions, approximately 20,932 square feet is office and support space and approximately 38,239 square feet is sound stage space.

(2) Annual base rent reflects actual base rent for the 12 months ended September 30, 2010, excluding tenant reimbursements.

(3) Annual base rent per leased square foot is calculated as actual rent for the year 12 months ended September 30, 2010, excluding tenant reimbursements, divided by average square feet under lease for the 12 months ended September 30, 2010.

(4) Blind Decker Productions is obligated to maintain their lease if *Dexter* is renewed for another season. The Sixth Amendment to Lease, dated December 28, 2009, extended the term through December 31, 2013. The tenant has a termination option, which it may exercise by giving 90-days notice and by paying the equivalent of seven months of rent.

Sunset Gower Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annual base rent per leased square foot and annual net effective base rent per leased square foot for Sunset Gower as of the dates indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased ⁽²⁾	Annual Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽³⁾	Annual Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁴⁾
September 30, 2010	67.9%	\$ 30.45	\$ 30.45
December 31, 2009	68.2	29.83	29.83
December 31, 2008	74.2	27.94	27.94

(1) Because we did not own this property prior to 2007, we are unable to show data for full years prior to 2008.

(2) Percent leased is the average percent leased for the 12 month period ended as of each of the respective measurement dates indicated above. As a result of the short-term nature of the leases into which we enter at our media and entertainment properties, and because entertainment industry tenants generally do not shoot on weekends due to higher costs, we believe stabilized occupancy rates at our media and entertainment properties are lower than those rates achievable at our traditional office assets, where tenants enter into longer-term lease arrangements. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annual base rent per leased square foot as of September 30, 2010 is \$30.55.

(3) Annual base rent per leased square foot is calculated as actual base rent, excluding tenant reimbursements, for the 12 month period ended as of each of the respective measurement dates indicated above divided by average square feet under lease for the 12 month period ended as of each of the respective measurement dates indicated above.

(4)

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

Annual net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) actual base rent, excluding tenant reimbursements, for the 12 month period ended as of each of the respective measurement dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the average square feet under lease for the 12 month period ended as of each of the respective measurement dates indicated above.

Table of Contents*Sunset Gower Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at Sunset Gower as of September 30, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2010. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of September 30, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was less than one year.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Vacant		133,456	24.5%	\$	%	\$
2010	50	226,015	41.6	6,895,218	55.8	30.51
2011	15	117,587	32.7	5,253,910	42.5	29.58
2012						
2013						
2014						
2015						
2016	1	6,650	1.2	202,476	1.6	30.45
2017						
2018						
2019						
Thereafter						
Total/Weighted Average:	66	543,709	100.0%	\$ 12,351,604	100.0%	\$ 30.11

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. Annualized base rent excludes tenant reimbursements.

Sunset Gower has an ongoing capital improvement program. Our capital expenditure budget at Sunset Gower for 2010 is \$1.0 million. This amount reflects improvements largely consisting of deferred maintenance items.

Sunset Gower, together with the Technicolor Building, is included in the portfolio of properties that secure our secured revolving credit facility. For more information regarding our secured revolving credit facility, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Secured Revolving Credit Facility.

The current real estate tax rate for the Sunset Gower property (including the Technicolor Building) is \$12.6986 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for Sunset Gower (including the Technicolor Building) for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$2,785,694 (at a taxable assessed value of \$219,370,291). In addition, there was \$144,098 in various direct assessments imposed on Sunset Gower (including the Technicolor Building) by the City of Los Angeles and County of Los Angeles for the 2010 tax year. We expect a downward reassessment of this property as a result of the formation transactions.

Sunset Bronson, Hollywood, California

Sunset Bronson is a 10.6 acre media and entertainment property located in the heart of Hollywood, one block west of the Hollywood (101) Freeway and in close proximity to the Sunset Gower property. The property encompasses a full city block, bordered by Sunset Boulevard to the north, Bronson Avenue to the west, Van Ness Avenue to the east and Fernwood Avenue to the south. The property, which was built in phases from 1924 through 1981, formerly served as Warner Brothers Studios headquarters and has been continuously operated as a media and entertainment property since the 1920s. The property includes a Historical-Cultural Monument

Table of Contents

designation for the Site of the Filming of the First Talking Film (*The Jazz Singer*) that is specific to the building structure that fronts Sunset Boulevard. Similar to nearby Sunset Gower, Sunset Bronson is a multi-use property with a full complement of production, post-production and support facilities that enable its media and entertainment focused tenants to conduct their business in a collaborative and efficient setting. In contrast to Sunset Gower, which typically serves single camera television and motion picture productions, Sunset Bronson caters to multi-camera television productions, such as game shows, talk shows or courtroom shows that record in video and require a control room to manage and edit the productions multiple cameras. Excluding the KTLA portion of the property, which is described below, Sunset Bronson consists of approximately 86,108 square feet of office and support space and nine sound stage facilities with approximately 137,109 square feet, along with 455 parking spaces. The property has three digital control rooms, one of which has high-definition technology, which allow tenants to edit productions filmed with high-definition cameras. For the 12 months ended September 30, 2010, Sunset Bronson was approximately 71.0% leased.

Sunset Bronson also includes the KTLA facility, which is a multi-use office, broadcasting and production facility located on the Sunset Bronson property described above. The KTLA facility is 100% leased by KTLA Channel 5, one of the largest independent television stations in Los Angeles and has served as KTLA's only broadcast facility and its primary office and production location for over 50 years. In connection with the acquisition of the Sunset Bronson property, KTLA, Inc., a subsidiary of Tribune Company, entered into a five-year lease for approximately 90,506 square feet, which includes 83,531 square feet of office and support space and 6,975 square feet encompassing two sound stages. At closing, our predecessor received a prepayment of \$16.3 million from KTLA in prepayment of its rents for the initial five-year term of its lease. On December 8, 2008, Tribune Company and several of its affiliates, including KTLA, Inc., filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. On June 25, 2009, KTLA assumed its lease for the KTLA facility and cured all outstanding pre-petition amounts due us.

We have entered into an amendment to the KTLA lease that extends the lease term through January 31, 2016. Net rents will be approximately \$2,707,940 from February 1, 2013 through January 31, 2014, \$2,789,178 from February 1, 2014 through January 31, 2015 and \$2,872,853 from February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2016.

Leases at Sunset Bronson (other than the KTLA lease) are typically for one year or less. However, historically, many media and entertainment property tenants have exercised renewal options such that the actual tenancy of many of these tenants may be for multiple years. For example, productions such as *Judge Judy*, *Judge Joe Brown*, *Family Feud* and *Hannah Montana* have been tenants at our Sunset Bronson media and entertainment property for between three and 12 years. Additionally, occupancy for sound stage space and office and support space tend to parallel one another, as a majority of stage users also require office and support space.

In addition to Sunset Bronson's existing facilities, the current zoning designation for Sunset Bronson, M1-1 Limited Industrial, City of Los Angeles, permits a FAR of 1.5x, which implies a maximum allowable density of 689,565 square feet or an incremental 391,836 square feet above the existing 297,729 total FAR, including the KTLA portion of the property.

Table of Contents*Sunset Bronson Primary Tenants*

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of Sunset Bronson as of September 30, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annual Base Rent ⁽²⁾	Annual Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽³⁾	Percentage of Property Annual Base Rent
KTLA	Television/ Entertainment	12/31/16		90,506	28.8%	\$ 3,256,382	\$ 35.98	36.1%
Total/Weighted Average:				90,506	28.8%	\$ 3,256,382	\$ 35.98	36.1%

(1) Reflects average square feet under lease to such tenant during the period of its tenancy.

(2) Annual base rent reflects actual base rent for the 12 months ended September 30, 2010, excluding tenant reimbursements. As of February 1, 2013, annualized base rent will be \$2,707,940 through lease expiration, and will be subject to abatements of \$676,985, \$697,294, and \$718,213 for 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively.

(3) Annual base rent per leased square foot is calculated as actual base rent for the 12 months ended September 30, 2010, excluding tenant reimbursements, divided by average square feet under lease for the 12 months ended September 30, 2010.

Sunset Bronson Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annual base rent per leased square foot and annual net effective base rent per leased square foot for the Sunset Bronson property as of the dates indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased ⁽²⁾	Annual Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽³⁾	Annual Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁴⁾
September 30, 2010	71.0%	\$ 40.48	\$ 38.12
December 31, 2009	68.5	40.12	38.70

(1) Because we did not own this property prior to 2008, we are unable to show data for full years prior to 2009.

(2) Percent leased is the average percent leased for the three or 12-month period ended as of each of the respective measurement dates indicated above. As a result of the short-term nature of the leases into which we enter at our media and entertainment properties, and because entertainment industry tenants generally do not shoot on weekends due to higher costs, we believe stabilized occupancy rates at our media and entertainment properties are lower than those rates achievable at our traditional office assets, where tenants enter into longer-term lease arrangements.

(3) Annual base rent per leased square foot is calculated as actual base rent, excluding tenant reimbursements, for the 12-month period ended as of each of the respective measurement dates indicated above divided by average square feet under lease for the 12-month period ended as of each of the respective measurement dates indicated above. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annual base rent per leased square foot as of September 30, 2010 is \$46.66.

(4) Annual net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) actual base rent, excluding tenant reimbursements, for the 12-month period ended as of each of the respective measurement dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the average square feet under lease for the 12-month period ended as of each of the respective measurement dates indicated above.

Table of Contents*Sunset Bronson Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at Sunset Bronson as of September 30, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2010. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of September 30, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 27.3 months without giving effect to uncommenced leases.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot
					%	\$
Vacant		78,758	25.1%	\$		
2010	5	38,262	12.2	871,143	9.6	22.77
2011	5	106,197	33.9	4,903,833	54.3	46.18
2012						
2013						
2014						
2015						
2016	1	90,506	28.8	3,256,498	36.1	35.98
2017						
2018						
2019						
Thereafter						
Total/Weighted Average:	11	313,723	100.0%	\$ 9,031,474	100.0%	\$ 38.44

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended September 30, 2010, by (ii) 12. Annualized base rent excludes tenant reimbursements.

Sunset Bronson has an ongoing capital improvement program. Our capital expenditure budget at Sunset Bronson for 2010 is \$450,000. This amount reflects improvements largely consisting of deferred maintenance items.

Sunset Bronson is subject to a \$37.0 million mortgage loan, as described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Description of Certain Debt Mortgage Loan Secured by Sunset Bronson.

The current real estate tax rate for Sunset Bronson is \$12.6986 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for Sunset Bronson for the tax year ending June 30, 2010 is \$1,390,367 (at a taxable assessed value of \$109,910,126). In addition, various direct assessments in the amount of \$133,444 were imposed on Sunset Bronson by the City of Los Angeles and County of Los Angeles for the 2009 tax year. We expect a downward reassessment of this property as a result of the formation transactions.

Sunset Bronson Lot A

In connection with our acquisition of Sunset Bronson in 2008, we acquired a 67,381 square foot undeveloped lot located on the northwest corner of Sunset Boulevard and Bronson Avenue. The lot is located two blocks west of the I-101 Freeway, in between the Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson properties. The site is currently used as a surface parking lot and can be developed to include up to 60,855 square feet of retail and office space based on current zoning, with the opportunity to add additional developable square footage through certain municipal land entitlement approvals. We estimate that with further entitlements, we could increase the developable square footage to approximately 273,913 square feet. The lot also includes a signage take down

Table of Contents

credit that allows for a 2,496 square foot supergraphic sign to be placed on the property, conditioned upon approval by the Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety. While we are holding this property for its development potential, we do not currently have any plans for its development.

Depreciation

The following table sets forth for each property in our portfolio and component thereof upon which depreciation is taken, the (i) federal tax basis as of September 30, 2010, (ii) rate, (iii) method, and (iv) life claimed with respect to such property or component thereof for purposes of depreciation.

Property	Federal Tax Basis	Rate	Method⁽¹⁾	Life Claimed
City Plaza	\$ 49,789,873	Various	Straight-line	39/15 years
First Financial	\$ 66,073,718	Various	Straight-line	39/15 years
KTLA	\$ 31,869,869	Various	Straight-line	39/15 years
Del Amo Office	\$ 26,777,244	Various	Straight-line	39/15 years
875 Howard Street	\$ 43,717,949	Various	Straight-line	39/15 years
Sunset Bronson	\$ 34,167,843	Various	Straight-line	39/15 years
Sunset Gower	\$ 117,382,035	Various	Straight-line	39/15 years
Sunset Bronson Lot A	\$ 53,061,102	Various	Straight-line	
Technicolor Building	\$ 53,061,102	Various	Straight-line	39/15 years
Tierrasanta	\$ 15,599,359	Various	Straight-line	39/15 years
9300 Wilshire Boulevard	\$ 15,057,743	Various	Straight-line	39/15 years
10950 Washington	\$ 46,000,000	Various	Straight-line	39/15 years
222 Kearny Street	\$ 35,154,108	Various	Straight-line	39/15 years

(1) Unless otherwise noted, depreciation method and life claimed for each property and component thereof is determined by reference to the IRS-mandated method for depreciating assets placed into service after 1986, known as the Modified Accelerated Cost Recovery System. In addition, we have an aggregate of approximately \$7,838,430 in additional tax basis of depreciable furniture, fixtures and equipment associated with the properties in our portfolio as of December 31, 2009. Depreciation on this furniture, fixtures and equipment is computed on the straight-line and double declining balance methods over the claimed life of such property, which is either five or seven years.

Regulation**General**

Our properties are subject to various covenants, laws, ordinances and regulations, including regulations relating to common areas and fire and safety requirements. We believe that each of the properties in our portfolio has the necessary permits and approvals to operate its business.

Americans With Disabilities Act

Our properties must comply with Title III of the ADA to the extent that such properties are public accommodations as defined by the ADA. The ADA may require removal of structural barriers to access by persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our properties where such removal is readily achievable. The company has developed and undertaken a continuous capital improvement program at certain properties in the past. These capital improvement programs will continue to progress after the offering and certain ADA upgrades will continue to be integrated into the planned improvements, specifically at the media and entertainment properties where the company is able to utilize in-house construction crews to minimize costs for required ADA related improvements. However, some of our properties may currently be in noncompliance with the ADA. Such

Table of Contents

noncompliance could result in the incurrence of additional costs to attain compliance, the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. The obligation to make readily achievable accommodations is an ongoing one, and we will continue to assess our properties and to make alterations as appropriate in this respect.

Environmental Matters

Under various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the environment, as a current or former owner or operator of real property, we may be liable for costs and damages resulting from the presence or discharge of hazardous or toxic substances, waste or petroleum products at, on, in, under, or migrating from such property, including costs to investigate, clean up such contamination and liability for natural resources. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such contamination, and the liability may be joint and several. These liabilities could be substantial and the cost of any required remediation, removal, fines, or other costs could exceed the value of the property and/or our aggregate assets. In addition, the presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination at our properties may expose us to third party liability for costs of remediation and/or personal or property damage or materially adversely affect our ability to sell, lease or develop our properties or to borrow using the properties as collateral. In addition, environmental laws may create liens on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs to address such contamination. Moreover, if contamination is discovered on our properties, environmental laws may impose restrictions on the manner in which property may be used or businesses may be operated, and these restrictions may require substantial expenditures.

Some of our properties contain, have contained, or are adjacent to or near other properties that have contained or currently contain storage tanks for the storage of petroleum products or other hazardous or toxic substances. Similarly, some of our properties were used in the past for commercial or industrial purposes, or are currently used for commercial purposes, that involve or involved the use of petroleum products or other hazardous or toxic substances, or are adjacent to or near properties that have been or are used for similar commercial or industrial purposes. As a result, some of our properties have been or may be impacted by contamination arising from the releases of such hazardous substances or petroleum products. Where we have deemed appropriate, we have taken steps to address identified contamination or mitigate risks associated with such contamination; however, we are unable to ensure that further actions will not be necessary. As a result of the foregoing, we could potentially incur material liabilities.

Independent environmental consultants have conducted Phase I Environmental Site Assessments at all of the properties in our portfolio (with the exception of 10950 Washington, which we are under contract to acquire) using the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Practice E 1527-05. A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment is a report prepared for real estate holdings that identifies potential or existing environmental contamination liabilities. Site assessments are intended to discover and evaluate information regarding the environmental condition of the surveyed property and surrounding properties. These assessments do not generally include soil samplings, subsurface investigations or an asbestos survey. None of the recent site assessments identified any known past or present contamination that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or operations. However, the assessments are limited in scope and may have failed to identify all environmental conditions or concern. A prior owner or operator of a property or historic operations at our properties may have created a material environmental condition that is not known to us or the independent consultants preparing the site assessments. Material environmental conditions may have arisen after the review was completed or may arise in the future, and future laws, ordinances or regulations may impose material additional environmental liability.

Environmental laws also govern the presence, maintenance and removal of asbestos- and lead-containing building materials, or ACBM, and may impose fines and penalties for failure to comply with these requirements or expose us to third party liability (e.g., liability for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos). Such laws require that owners or operators of buildings containing ACBM (and employers in such buildings) properly manage and maintain the asbestos and lead, adequately notify or train those who may come into contact with asbestos or lead, and undertake special precautions, including removal or other abatement, if

Table of Contents

asbestos or lead would be disturbed during renovation or demolition of a building. Some of our properties contain ACM and we could be liable for such damages, fines or penalties.

In addition, the properties in our portfolio also are subject to various federal, state, and local environmental and health and safety requirements, such as state and local fire requirements. Moreover, some of our tenants routinely handle and use hazardous or regulated substances and wastes as part of their operations at our properties, which are subject to regulation. Such environmental and health and safety laws and regulations could subject us or our tenants to liability resulting from these activities. Environmental liabilities could affect a tenant's ability to make rental payments to us. In addition, changes in laws could increase the potential liability for noncompliance. We sometimes require our tenants to comply with environmental and health and safety laws and regulations and to indemnify us for any related liabilities. But in the event of the bankruptcy or inability of any of our tenants to satisfy such obligations, we may be required to satisfy such obligations. In addition, we may be held directly liable for any such damages or claims regardless of whether we knew of, or were responsible for, the presence or disposal of hazardous or toxic substances or waste and irrespective of tenant lease provisions. The costs associated with such liability could be substantial and could have a material adverse effect on us.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants above certain levels can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected property or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability from our tenants, employees of our tenants or others if property damage or personal injury occurs. We are not presently aware of any material adverse indoor air quality issues at our properties.

Insurance

We carry commercial property (including earthquake), liability and terrorism coverage on all the properties in our portfolio under a blanket insurance policy, in addition to other coverages, such as trademark, pollution and other environmental coverage, that may be appropriate for specific properties. We believe the policy specifications and insured limits are appropriate and adequate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice; however, our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to fully cover our losses. We do not carry insurance for certain losses, including, but not limited to, losses caused by riots or war. Some of our policies, like those covering losses due to terrorism, earthquakes and floods, are insured subject to limitations involving substantial self insurance portions and significant deductibles and co-payments for such events. In addition, the properties in our portfolio are located in California, an area subject to an increased risk of earthquakes. While we carry earthquake insurance on our properties, the amount of our earthquake insurance coverage may not be sufficient to fully cover losses from earthquakes. In addition, we may reduce or discontinue earthquake, terrorism or other insurance on some or all of our properties in the future if the cost of premiums for any of these policies exceeds, in our judgment, the value of the coverage discounted for the risk of loss. In the event that we experience a substantial or comprehensive loss of one of our properties, we may not be able to rebuild certain of our properties due to current zoning and land use regulations. In addition, our title insurance policies may not insure for the current aggregate market value of our portfolio, and we do not intend to increase our title insurance coverage as the market value of our portfolio increases. See

Risk Factors **Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business** Potential losses, including from adverse weather conditions, natural disaster and title claims, may not be covered by insurance.

Table of Contents

Competition

We compete with a number of developers, owners and operators of office and commercial real estate, many of which own properties similar to ours in the same markets in which our properties are located and some of which have greater financial resources than we do. In operating and managing our portfolio, we compete for tenants based on a number of factors, including location, rental rates, security, flexibility and expertise to design space to meet prospective tenants' needs and the manner in which the property is operated, maintained and marketed. As leases at our properties expire, we may encounter significant competition to renew or re-let space in light of the large number of competing properties within the markets in which we operate. As a result, we may be required to provide rent concessions or abatements, incur charges for tenant improvements and other inducements, including early termination rights or below-market renewal options, or we may not be able to timely lease vacant space. In that case, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, per share trading price of our series B preferred stock and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay dividends to you may be adversely affected.

We also face competition when pursuing acquisition and disposition opportunities. Our competitors may be able to pay higher property acquisition prices, may have private access to opportunities not available to us and otherwise be in a better position to acquire a property. Competition may also have the effect of reducing the number of suitable acquisition opportunities available to us, increase the price required to consummate an acquisition opportunity and generally reduce the demand for commercial office space in our markets. Likewise, competition with sellers of similar properties to locate suitable purchasers may result in us receiving lower proceeds from a sale or in us not being able to dispose of a property at a time of our choosing due to the lack of an acceptable return.

Employees

We currently employ 65 people. None of our employees are represented by a labor union.

Principal Executive Offices

Our headquarters is located at 11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1600, Los Angeles, California. We believe that our current facilities are adequate for our present and future operations; however, based on the anticipated growth of our company, we may add regional offices or relocate our headquarters, depending upon our future operational needs.

Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are party to various lawsuits, claims and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. We are not currently a party, as plaintiff or defendant, to any legal proceedings that we believe to be material or which, individually or in the aggregate, would be expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition or results of operation if determined adversely to us.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT****Our Directors and Executive Officers**

Our board of directors consists of nine directors, a majority of whom are independent within the meaning of the listing standards of the NYSE. Each of our directors will serve until the next annual meeting of our stockholders and until his or her successor is duly elected and qualifies. See Material Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Charter and Bylaws Our Board of Directors. We expect the first annual meeting of our stockholders after this offering will be held in 2011. Subject to rights pursuant to any employment agreements, officers serve at the pleasure of our board of directors.

The following table sets forth certain information concerning our directors and executive officers:

Name	Age	Position
Victor J. Coleman*	49	Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors
Howard S. Stern*	48	President, Secretary and Director
Christopher Barton*	46	Executive Vice President, Operations and Development
Mark T. Lammas*	44	Chief Financial Officer
Dale Shimoda*	43	Executive Vice President, Finance
Harout Diramerian	36	Chief Accounting Officer
Kay L. Tidwell	33	Executive Vice President, Legal Affairs
Alexander Vouvalides	32	Vice President, Asset Management
Richard B. Fried	42	Director
Theodore R. Antenucci	46	Director
Mark Burnett	50	Director
Jonathan M. Glaser	48	Director
Mark D. Linehan	48	Director
Robert M. Moran, Jr.	48	Director
Barry A. Porter	53	Director

* Denotes our named executive officers.

Independent within the meaning of the NYSE listing standards.

Biographical Summaries of Directors, Executive Officers and Certain Other Officers

The following are biographical summaries of the experience of our directors, executive officers and certain other officers.

Victor J. Coleman serves as Chief Executive Officer and as Chairman of our board of directors. Prior to the formation of our company, Mr. Coleman founded and served as a managing partner of our predecessor, Hudson Capital, LLC, a private real estate investment company based in Los Angeles, California. In 1990, Mr. Coleman co-founded and led Arden Realty, Inc. as its President and Chief Operating Officer and as a director, taking that company public on the NYSE in 1996 and selling it to GE Real Estate, a division of General Electric Capital Corporation, in 2006. Prior to that sale, Mr. Coleman was primarily responsible for all facets of Arden Realty, Inc.'s strategic planning and growth, as well as management of that company's team of top real estate professionals. Mr. Coleman is an active community leader, has been the recipient of the Humanitarian Award for the National Conference of Christians and Jews, and is on the Founding Board of Directors for the Ziman Center for Real Estate (from 2004 to the present) at the Anderson School, UCLA, and the Boards of Fisher Center for Real Estate and Urban Economics, Los Angeles Sports & Entertainment Commission, the Archer School (from 2007 to the present) and the Bel Air Chapter of YPO. Mr. Coleman's previous experience as a director also includes service on the board of other public companies such as Douglas Emmett, Inc. (from 2006 to 2009) and People's Choice (2003 to 2006). He holds a Master of Business Administration degree from

Table of Contents

Golden Gate University and a Bachelor of Arts in History from the University of California, Berkeley. Mr. Coleman was selected by our board of directors to serve as a director based on his deep knowledge of our company and his experience in the real estate investment industry.

Howard S. Stern serves as President, Secretary and as a director on our board of directors. Prior to the formation of our company, Mr. Stern served as a managing partner of our predecessor, Hudson Capital, LLC, where he acted as President of the Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties in Hollywood, and oversaw the daily operations of 23 production sound stages and over approximately 550,000 square feet of office. Before joining Hudson Capital, LLC, Mr. Stern served as Senior Vice President and Chief Investment Officer for Arden Realty, Inc. from 2003 until its sale in 2006, where he was responsible for acquisition, disposition, development, structured finance and new investment activities. In his early tenure with Arden Realty, Inc., which began in 2001, he first served as Vice President of Strategic Planning, then as First Vice President of Operations and Leasing. Before his tenure at Arden Realty, Inc., Mr. Stern spent five years as Vice President of the Archon Group, a subsidiary of Goldman, Sachs & Co., where he oversaw all Western Region mezzanine financing and real estate management activities. Mr. Stern is a graduate of the University of California, Berkeley with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science and Economics, and has a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Southern California. Mr. Stern was selected by our board of directors to serve as a director based on his deep knowledge of our company and his experience in the real estate investment industry.

Christopher Barton serves as Executive Vice President, Operations and Development. Prior to the formation of our company, Mr. Barton served as Vice President of Construction & Development of our predecessor, Hudson Capital, LLC, where he was responsible for operations and development, including establishing and monitoring property budgets, managing property staff and administering vendor contracts. He also managed the development and construction of the Technicolor Building and renovation activity at the Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson properties. With 20 years of experience in development and construction, encompassing mixed use, office, industrial, and residential projects, Mr. Barton has developed over 2.5 million square feet of commercial property, from conceptual site plan analysis and entitlements through completion. Prior to joining Hudson Capital, LLC in November 2006, Mr. Barton served as First Vice President for Arden Realty, Inc., from January 1997, where he was responsible for conceptual development, land entitlements, financial analysis and construction management for all real estate developments, including the Howard Hughes Center project, a planned 2.7 million square foot mixed-use development in Los Angeles, California. Before his tenure at Arden Realty, Inc., Mr. Barton was Project Manager at Beers-Skanska Construction Company where he managed large scale construction projects in the southeast United States, including the Celebration Place office building complex for Walt Disney Company in Orlando, Florida. He currently serves on the Board of Directors of Hollywood Freeway Central Park. Mr. Barton holds a Bachelor of Science degree from Purdue University and Master of Business Administration degree in both Real Estate and Finance from the University of Georgia.

Mark T. Lammas serves as Chief Financial Officer. Prior to the formation of our company, Mr. Lammas was a consultant to our predecessor, Hudson Capital, LLC, from September 2009. Before that time, Mr. Lammas was a Senior Vice President (from 1998 to 2005), then Executive Vice President (from 2006 to 2009) of Maguire Properties, Inc. where he principally oversaw finance and other transactional matters, since first joining that company as its General Counsel in 1998, then assuming other senior executive responsibilities after Maguire Properties went public on the NYSE in 2003. During his tenure, Mr. Lammas directed that company's major capital market transactions, including corporate and asset financings and common and preferred equity offerings, acted as its principal liaison with institutional partners, and was responsible for compliance with corporate financial covenants and the accuracy of all financial reports and public disclosures. Prior to joining Maguire Properties in 1998, Mr. Lammas was an attorney with Cox, Castle & Nicholson LLP, where he specialized in representing developers, institutional investors and pension funds in their acquisition, development, financing, investing, and entity structuring and restructuring activities. Mr. Lammas is a graduate of the Boalt Hall School of Law (University of California, Berkeley). He obtained his Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of California, Berkeley in Political Economies of Industrial Societies, graduating *magna cum laude* and Phi Beta Kappa.

Table of Contents

Dale Shimoda serves as Executive Vice President, Finance. Prior to the formation of our company, Mr. Shimoda was a consultant to our predecessor, Hudson Capital, LLC, on various financial and operational matters, primarily related to its media and entertainment properties at Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson. Prior to his engagement with Hudson Capital, LLC, Mr. Shimoda was Vice President of Acquisitions at Arden Realty, Inc., where he underwrote and performed due diligence on most of that company's acquisitions. Mr. Shimoda has also worked in capital transactions at the Yarmouth Group, a New York-based pension fund advisor owned by Lend Lease, and as a management consultant at Ernst & Young and Robert Charles Lesser & Co. Mr. Shimoda is a graduate of the University of California, Berkeley, Haas School of Business.

Harout Diramerian joined our company in July of 2010 and serves as Chief Accounting Officer. Prior joining us, Mr. Diramerian was Vice President of Finance and Analysis at Thomas Properties Group, Inc., or TPG, where he was responsible for corporate level earnings and cash flow projections, net asset valuations, and corporate finance forecasting and analysis. Mr. Diramerian was instrumentally involved in all equity offerings at TPG, including the initial public offering, secondary offering, private placements and an at-the-market equity offering. When he started at TPG in 2003, his primary focus was managing the joint venture relationships and leading the related financial reporting efforts. In addition, Mr. Diramerian was also involved with leading the budgeting and forecasting processes as well as tracking and analyzing property performance. Prior to joining TPG, Mr. Diramerian spent a total of eight years in real estate practice groups, first at Nanas, Stern, Biers, Neinstein and Co. LLP, then at Arthur Andersen LLP, and lastly at KPMG LLP, where he was a manager. Mr. Diramerian is a graduate of the University of California, Santa Barbara, and holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in business economics with an emphasis in accounting.

Kay L. Tidwell joined our company in November of 2010 and serves as Executive Vice President, Legal Affairs. Prior to joining us, Ms. Tidwell was an attorney with the global law firm of Latham & Watkins LLP, where she began her legal career in 2002, and where she advised on a wide variety of corporate and securities matters, including our initial public offering. From 2006 to 2008, Ms. Tidwell served as the U.S. associate in the German offices of Latham & Watkins. In 2004, Ms. Tidwell was awarded the Robert Bosch Foundation Fellowship, through which she worked in Germany in the legal department of Deutsche Bank and served as a US legal advisor to the German Federal Ministry of Justice. Ms. Tidwell holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in English, *magna cum laude*, from Yale College and a Juris Doctor degree from Yale Law School.

Alexander Vouvalides serves as Vice President, Asset Management. Prior to the formation of our company, Alexander Vouvalides joined our predecessor, Hudson Capital, LLC, in 2009 as an Associate focused on acquisitions and investments, primarily responsible for acquisition analyses, financial due diligence and asset management assignments. Before joining Hudson Capital, LLC, he was an Associate in the Real Estate Finance & Securitization Group at Credit Suisse working in both the firm's New York and Los Angeles offices, where he underwrote and closed major acquisition and recapitalization loans across various asset types including office, hotel, retail, land and construction. Prior to that, Mr. Vouvalides worked as a Corporate Finance Analyst in the Technology, Media & Telecommunications group at JPMorgan Chase & Co. in New York. Mr. Vouvalides graduated from Emory University with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science.

Richard B. Fried serves as a member of our board of directors. His selection as a member of our board was made in connection with the negotiation of our formation transactions. Mr. Fried is currently a Managing Member and co-head of the real estate group at Farallon Capital Management, L.L.C., an investment management company that he has been with since 1995. Mr. Fried also currently serves as a Board Member of One California Bank, a position he has held since the bank's inception in 2007. Previously, Mr. Fried was a Vice President in acquisitions for Security Capital Industrial Trust (now called ProLogis), a real estate investment trust specializing in industrial properties. Mr. Fried has also worked as an associate in capital markets at JMB Institutional Realty Corporation. Mr. Fried graduated *cum laude* from the University of Pennsylvania with a Bachelor of Science degree in Economics and a Bachelor of Arts degree in History. Our board of directors determined that Mr. Fried should serve as a director based on an agreement made with Farallon in connection with the negotiation of the formation transactions.

Table of Contents

Theodore R. Antenucci serves as a member of our board of directors. Mr. Antenucci is the President and Chief Investment Officer of ProLogis and is also a member of the company's Executive Committee. ProLogis is a leading global provider of distribution facilities with over \$32 billion in real estate assets under management. Mr. Antenucci oversees all major transactions and provides oversight and direction to the senior management teams. Mr. Antenucci also serves on the Board of Directors for ProLogis European Properties, a public fund trading on the Euronext stock exchange in Amsterdam. Before joining ProLogis in September 2005, Mr. Antenucci served as President of Catellus Commercial Development Commercial, and was responsible for all development, construction and acquisition activities. Prior to that, he served as Executive Vice President of Catellus Commercial Group, where he managed the company's industrial development activities throughout the western United States. Prior to joining Catellus in 1995, Mr. Antenucci was Vice President of real estate for Omnitrax, one of the largest short line operators in the United States. Additionally, Mr. Antenucci has served on the Board of Directors of Pittman Development Group since April 4, 2004. Mr. Antenucci earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Economics from the University of California, Santa Barbara. Mr. Antenucci was selected by our board based on his experience as an executive and board member of a REIT and his extensive real estate and development expertise in the Southern California market. Mr. Antenucci is a member of our board's audit committee.

Mark Burnett serves as a member of our board of directors. Since the 1990s, Mr. Burnett has created and led several successful production companies and has produced numerous hit television series including *Survivor*, *Apprentice*, *Are You Smarter than a 5th Grader?* and *Shark Tank*. He has extensive experience in the creation and development of unscripted series in the U.S. and internationally. Mr. Burnett's series reach a wide international audience, and he is a leader in the business of integrating brands and products into series content. In addition, Mr. Burnett has served two elected terms on the Board of the British Academy of Film and Television. Our board of directors determined that Mr. Burnett should serve on the board based on his familiarity with the entertainment and production business. Mr. Burnett is a member of our board's nominating and corporate governance committee.

Jonathan M. Glaser serves as a member of our board of directors. Mr. Glaser has been Managing Member of JMG Capital Management LLC since he founded the company in 1992. JMG Capital Management LLC is the General Partner of JMG Capital Partners, L.P., an investment limited partnership that has been a leader in various capital market strategies, private placements and additional financing strategies. Prior to founding JMG, Mr. Glaser was a member floor trader on both the American Stock Exchange and Pacific Stock Exchange. Mr. Glaser received a Juris Doctor degree from the Boalt Hall School of Law at the University of California, Berkeley, as well as a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of California, Berkeley. Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Glaser should serve on our board based on his capital markets expertise, as well as his extensive experience in portfolio management, financial oversight and directorship service. Mr. Glaser is the chair of our board's compensation committee and is a member of our board's audit committee.

Mark D. Linehan serves as a member of our board of directors. Mr. Linehan has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Wynmark Company since he founded the company in 1993. Wynmark Company is a private real estate investment and development company with interests in properties in California, Nevada, Oregon and Montana. Prior to founding Wynmark Company, Mr. Linehan was a Senior Vice President with the Trammell Crow Company in Los Angeles, California. Before that, Mr. Linehan was with Kenneth Leventhal & Co. (now Ernst & Young LLP), a Los Angeles-based public accounting firm. In addition, Mr. Linehan is actively involved with the community through his service on the board of the UC Santa Barbara Foundation, the National Cowboy and Western Heritage Museum, and the Goleta Valley Hospital, as well as his previous board memberships with the Signet Corporation and the Camino Real Park Foundation. Mr. Linehan received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Economics from the University of California, Santa Barbara and is a Certified Public Accountant. Mr. Linehan was selected by our board based on his extensive experience in real estate investment and development as well as his expertise in accounting matters. Mr. Linehan is the chair of our board's audit committee and is a member of our board's compensation committee.

Table of Contents

Robert M. Moran, Jr. serves as a member of our board of directors. Mr. Moran co-founded and co-owns FJM Investments LLC, a private real estate investment company that owns interest in properties in the western United States and British Columbia, Canada. Previously, Mr. Moran developed his extensive experience in real estate investment activities at Westridge Investments, LLC and as Chief Investment Officer of Cornerstone Properties, Inc. He also served as a founding partner at William Wilson & Associates, as well as the Director of Acquisitions in four real estate opportunity funds resulting in the \$1.2 billion sale to Cornerstone Properties, Inc. In addition, Mr. Moran has significant experience in real estate lending, having worked at Travelers Insurance, Wells Fargo Bank, Manufacturers Hanover and Chemical Bank. Mr. Moran received his Bachelor of Arts in Economics from Stanford University. Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Moran should serve as a director on our board based on his familiarity with the Northern California real estate market and his experience with REITs and public companies. Mr. Moran is the chair of our board's nominating and corporate governance committee.

Barry A. Porter serves as a member of our board of directors. Mr. Porter co-founded Clarity Partners L.P. in 2000 and has served as a Managing General Partner of the partnership since then. Clarity Partners L.P. is a private equity firm focused exclusively on investments in media, communications and business services. In 2007 Mr. Porter co-founded Clarity China L.P., a private equity firm specializing in investments in growth companies in the Greater China region. He serves on the Investment Committee of that partnership, which has also invested in real estate in China. Mr. Porter serves on the board of BASE Entertainment, one of the top live entertainment businesses in Las Vegas, and will also serve on the Board of Impredia, the leading Hispanic news and information company in the United States and on the Board of Naylor Publishing, a publishing company focused on the North American trade association market. Prior to co-founding Clarity Partners, Mr. Porter held senior executive positions at Global Crossing, a company he co-founded in 1997 that was involved in the international fiber optic telecommunications business. Before that, Mr. Porter was a Managing Director at Pacific Capital Group, a firm he joined after serving as a Senior Managing Director in the investment banking group of Bear Stearns. In addition, Mr. Porter has worked as an attorney at the Los Angeles firm of Wyman, Bautzer, Rothman, Kuchel and Silbert. He received his Juris Doctor and Master of Business Administration degrees from the University of California, Berkeley, and graduated from the Wharton School of Business, where he earned a Bachelor of Science degree with dual majors in Finance and Political Science. Mr. Porter was selected by our board of directors to serve as a director based on his expertise in public companies, capital markets, and his accounting and financial background. Mr. Porter is a member of our board's compensation committee and our board's nominating and corporate governance committee.

Corporate Governance Profile

We have structured our corporate governance in a manner we believe closely aligns our interests with those of our stockholders. Notable features of our corporate governance structure include the following:

our board of directors is not staggered, with each of our directors subject to re-election annually;

of the nine persons who serve on our board of directors, our board of directors has determined that 6, or 66.7%, of our directors satisfy the listing standards for independence of the NYSE and Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act;

at least one of our directors qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined by the SEC;

we have opted out of the control share acquisition statute in the MGCL and have exempted from the business combination provisions of the MGCL any business combination that is first approved by our disinterested directors; and

we do not have a stockholder rights plan.

Our directors stay informed about our business by attending meetings of our board of directors and its committees and through supplemental reports and communications. Our independent directors meet regularly in executive sessions without the presence of our corporate officers or non-independent directors.

Table of Contents

Role of the Board in Risk Oversight

One of the key functions of our board of directors is informed oversight of our risk management process. Our board of directors administers this oversight function directly, with support from its three standing committees, the audit committee, the nominating and corporate governance committee and the compensation committee, each of which addresses risks specific to their respective areas of oversight. In particular, our audit committee has the responsibility to consider and discuss our major financial risk exposures and the steps our management has taken to monitor and control these exposures, including guidelines and policies to govern the process by which risk assessment and management is undertaken. The audit committee also monitors compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, in addition to oversight of the performance of our internal audit function. Our nominating and corporate governance committee monitors the effectiveness of our corporate governance guidelines, including whether they are successful in preventing illegal or improper liability-creating conduct. Our compensation committee assesses and monitors whether any of our compensation policies and programs has the potential to encourage excessive risk-taking.

Board Committees

Our board of directors has established three standing committees: an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating and corporate governance committee. The principal functions of each committee are briefly described below. We comply with the listing requirements and other rules and regulations of the NYSE, as amended or modified from time to time, with respect to each of these committees and each of these committees is comprised exclusively of independent directors. Additionally, our board of directors may from time to time establish certain other committees to facilitate the management of our company.

Audit Committee

Our audit committee consists of three of our independent directors. We have determined that the chairman of our audit committee qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as that term is defined by the applicable SEC regulations and NYSE corporate governance listing standards. Our board of directors has determined that each of the audit committee members is financially literate as that term is defined by the NYSE corporate governance listing standards. We have adopted an audit committee charter, which details the principal functions of the audit committee, including oversight related to:

our accounting and financial reporting processes;

the integrity of our consolidated financial statements and financial reporting process;

our systems of disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting;

our compliance with financial, legal and regulatory requirements;

the evaluation of the qualifications, independence and performance of our independent registered public accounting firm;

the performance of our internal audit function; and

our overall risk profile.

The audit committee is also responsible for engaging an independent registered public accounting firm, reviewing with the independent registered public accounting firm the plans and results of the audit engagement, approving professional services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm, including all audit and non-audit services, reviewing the independence of the independent registered public accounting firm,

Table of Contents

considering the range of audit and non-audit fees and reviewing the adequacy of our internal accounting controls. The audit committee also prepares the audit committee report required by SEC regulations to be included in our annual proxy statement. Mr. Linehan is chair and Messrs. Antenucci and Glaser are members of the audit committee.

Compensation Committee

Our compensation committee consists of three of our independent directors. We adopted a compensation committee charter, which details the principal functions of the compensation committee, including:

reviewing and approving on an annual basis the corporate goals and objectives relevant to our chief executive officer's compensation, evaluating our chief executive officer's performance in light of such goals and objectives and determining and approving the remuneration of our chief executive officer based on such evaluation;

reviewing and approving the compensation of all of our other officers;

reviewing our executive compensation policies and plans;

implementing and administering our incentive compensation equity-based remuneration plans;

assisting management in complying with our proxy statement and annual report disclosure requirements;

producing a report on executive compensation to be included in our annual proxy statement; and

reviewing, evaluating and recommending changes, if appropriate, to the remuneration for directors. Mr. Glaser is chair and Messrs. Linehan and Porter are members of the compensation committee.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Our nominating and corporate governance committee consists of three of our independent directors. We adopted a nominating and corporate governance committee charter, which details the principal functions of the nominating and corporate governance committee, including:

identifying and recommending to the full board of directors qualified candidates for election as directors and recommending nominees for election as directors at the annual meeting of stockholders;

developing and recommending to the board of directors corporate governance guidelines and implementing and monitoring such guidelines;

reviewing and making recommendations on matters involving the general operation of the board of directors, including board size and composition, and committee composition and structure;

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

recommending to the board of directors nominees for each committee of the board of directors;

annually facilitating the assessment of the board of directors performance as a whole and of the individual directors, as required by applicable law, regulations and the NYSE corporate governance listing standards; and

overseeing the board of directors evaluation of the performance of management.

Table of Contents

Mr. Moran is chair and Messrs. Burnett and Porter are members of the nominating and corporate governance committee.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Our board of directors established a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to our officers, directors and employees. Among other matters, our code of business conduct and ethics is designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote:

honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships;

full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in our SEC reports and other public communications;

compliance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations;

prompt internal reporting of violations of the code to appropriate persons identified in the code; and

accountability for adherence to the code of business conduct and ethics.

Any waiver of the code of business conduct and ethics for our executive officers or directors must be approved by a majority of our independent directors, and any such waiver shall be promptly disclosed as required by law or NYSE regulations.

Limitation of Liability and Indemnification

We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors and executive officers that obligate us to indemnify them to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law as discussed under Material Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Charter and Bylaws Indemnification and Limitation of Directors and Officers Liability. The indemnification agreements provide that, if a director or executive officer is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any proceeding by reason of his or her status as a director, officer, employee or agent of our company or as a director, trustee, officer, partner, manager, managing member, fiduciary, employee or agent of any other foreign or domestic corporation, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise that he or she is or was serving in such capacity at our request, we must indemnify the director or executive officer for all expenses and liabilities actually and reasonably incurred by him or her, or on his or her behalf, to the maximum extent permitted under Maryland law, including in any proceeding brought by the director or executive officer to enforce his or her rights under the indemnification agreement, to the extent provided by the agreement. The indemnification agreements also require us to advance reasonable expenses incurred by the indemnitee within ten days of the receipt by us of a statement from the indemnitee requesting the advance, provided the statement evidences the expenses and is accompanied by:

a written affirmation of the indemnitee's good faith belief that he or she has met the standard of conduct necessary for indemnification; and

a written unsecured undertaking to reimburse us if a court of competent jurisdiction determines that the director or executive officer is not entitled to indemnification.

The indemnification agreements also provide for procedures for the determination of entitlement to indemnification, including requiring such determination be made by independent counsel after a change of control of us.

Table of Contents

Our charter permits us, and our bylaws obligate us, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, to indemnify and to pay or reimburse reasonable expenses in advance of final disposition of a proceeding to (1) any of our present or former directors or officers who is made or threatened to be made a party to the proceeding by reason of his service in that capacity or (2) any individual who, while serving as our director or officer and at our request, serves or has served as a director, officer, partner, member, manager or trustee of another corporation, real estate investment trust, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or any other enterprise and who is made or threatened to be made a party to the proceeding by reason of his service in that capacity, as discussed under Material Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Charter and Bylaws Indemnification and Limitation of Directors and Officers Liability.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling our company pursuant to the foregoing provisions, we have been informed that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is therefore unenforceable.

In addition, our directors and officers may be entitled to indemnification pursuant to the terms of the partnership agreement of our operating partnership. See Description of the Partnership Agreement of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. Exculpation and Indemnification.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

During 2009, because we did not conduct business, no compensation was paid to any of our named executive officers and, accordingly, no compensation policies or objectives governed our named executive officer compensation. Since the completion of our initial public offering, our compensation committee has been working with our compensation consultant to implement compensation policies based on the following factors: (i) our need to retain our named executive officers' services over the long term, (ii) our desire to align the interests of our named executive officers with those of our stockholders and incentivize them over the near, medium and long term, and (iii) our need to reward our named executive officers for exceptional performance. In addition, our compensation committee continues to consider additional factors that may be appropriate for inclusion in our long-term compensation philosophy. Our named executive officers during 2010 are expected to be Victor J. Coleman, Chief Executive Officer; Howard S. Stern, President; Mark T. Lammis, Chief Financial Officer; Christopher Barton, Executive Vice President, Operations and Development; and Dale Shimoda, Executive Vice President, Finance.

Our compensation strategy currently focuses on providing a total compensation package intended to attract and retain high-caliber executive officers and employees, and also to align employee contributions with our corporate objectives and stockholder interests. We continue to develop a competitive total compensation package and will share our success with our named executive officers, as well as our other employees, when our objectives are met.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of items under consideration by our compensation committee as it continues to formulate our compensation philosophy and apply that philosophy to the implementation of our overall compensation program for named executive officers and other employees:

goals of the compensation program;

role of our compensation committee;

engagement and role(s) of an external compensation consultant and other advisors;

involvement of management in compensation decisions;

components of compensation, including equity, cash, incentive, fixed, short-, medium- and long-term compensation, and the interaction of these various components with one another;

equity grant guidelines with regard to timing, type, vesting and other terms and conditions of equity grants;

stock ownership guidelines and their role in aligning the interests of named executive officers with our stockholders;

severance and change of control protections;

perquisites, enhanced benefits and insurance;

deferred compensation and other tax-efficient compensation programs;

retirement and other savings programs;

Table of Contents

peer compensation, benchmarking and survey data; and

risk mitigation and related protective and remedial measures.

Elements of Executive Officer Compensation

Set forth below is an overview of the current components of our named executive officer compensation program, including annual cash compensation, equity awards and health and retirement benefits.

Base Salaries

Our named executive officers earn annualized base salaries that are commensurate with their positions and are expected to provide a steady source of income sufficient to permit these officers to focus their time and attention on their work duties and responsibilities. The expected amounts of 2010 annual base salaries for our named executive officers are set forth in the Summary Compensation Table below, but may be adjusted by our compensation committee.

Cash Bonuses

Our named executive officers are eligible to earn discretionary annual cash bonuses for 2010 based on the attainment of specified performance objectives established by our compensation committee. Eligibility to receive these cash bonuses is expected to incentivize our named executive officers to strive to attain company and/or individual performance goals that further our interests and the interests of our stockholders. The applicable terms and conditions of the cash bonuses will be determined by our compensation committee.

Equity Awards

We made grants of restricted common stock to certain of our employees, including our named executive officers, upon completion of our initial public offering. Each named executive officer was entitled, under the terms of an applicable employment agreement, to an initial grant of shares of restricted stock. The aggregated denominated dollar value of all such awards was approximately \$3.81 million. These restricted stock awards will vest in three equal, annual installments on each of the first three anniversaries of June 29, 2010, the date of the completion of our initial public offering, subject to the executive's continued employment.

The amounts and types of future awards will be in our compensation committee's discretion, and have not yet been determined. Equity award grants are expected to incentivize and reward increases in long-term stockholder value and to align the interests of our named executive officers with the interests of our stockholders, and to encourage the retention of our named executive officers.

Retirement Savings

We expect to establish and maintain a retirement savings plan under section 401(k) of the Code to cover our eligible employees. The Code allows eligible employees to defer a portion of their compensation, within prescribed limits, which may be on a pre-tax basis through contributions to the 401(k) plan. We may match a portion of our employees' annual contributions, within prescribed limits.

Employee Benefits

Our full-time employees, including our named executive officers, are eligible to participate in health and welfare benefit plans, which provide medical, dental, prescription and other health and related benefits.

Table of Contents

Additional Compensation Components

In the future, as we further formulate and implement our compensation program, we may provide different and/or additional compensation components, benefits and/or perquisites to our named executive officers, to ensure that we provide a balanced and comprehensive compensation structure. We believe that it is important to maintain flexibility to adapt our compensation structure at this time to properly attract, motivate and retain the top executive talent for which we compete.

Employment Agreements

We have entered into employment agreements with our named executive officers, effective as of June 29, 2010, that provide for the components of compensation described above, in addition to various severance and change in control benefits and other terms and conditions of employment. We believe that the protections contained in these employment agreements will help to ensure the day-to-day stability necessary to our executives to enable them to properly focus their attention on their duties and responsibilities with the company and will provide security with regard to some of the most uncertain events relating to continued employment, thereby limiting concern and uncertainty and promoting productivity. For a description of the material terms of these employment agreements, see Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control below.

Equity Incentive Plan

Prior to our initial public offering, our board adopted, and our initial shareholder approved, the Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. 2010 Incentive Award Plan, which we refer to as the 2010 Plan, under which we have granted, and expect to grant, cash and equity incentive awards to eligible service providers in order to attract, motivate and retain the talent for which we compete. The material terms of the 2010 Plan are summarized below.

Eligibility and Administration

Employees, consultants and directors of us, our operating partnership and our respective subsidiaries are eligible to receive awards under the 2010 Plan. The 2010 Plan is administered by our compensation committee, which may delegate its duties and responsibilities to subcommittees of our directors and/or officers, subject to certain limitations that may be imposed under Code Section 162(m), Section 16 of the Exchange Act and/or stock exchange rules, as applicable. Our board of directors administers the 2010 Plan with respect to awards to non-employee directors. The plan administrator has the authority to make all determinations and interpretations under, prescribe all forms for use with, and adopt rules for the administration of, the 2010 Plan, subject to its express terms and conditions. The plan administrator also sets the terms and conditions of all awards under the 2010 Plan, including any vesting and vesting acceleration conditions.

Limitation on Awards and Shares Available

The aggregate number of shares of our common stock that are available for issuance under awards granted pursuant to the 2010 Plan is 1,650,000, which shares may be treasury shares, authorized but unissued shares, or shares purchased in the open market. If an award under the 2010 Plan is forfeited, expires or is settled for cash, then any shares subject to such award may, to the extent of such forfeiture, expiration or cash settlement, be used again for new grants under the 2010 Plan. However, the following shares may not be used again for grant under the 2010 Plan: (i) shares tendered or withheld to satisfy grant or exercise price or tax withholding obligations associated with an award, (ii) shares subject to a stock appreciation right, or SAR, that are not issued in connection with the stock settlement of the SAR on its exercise, and (iii) shares purchased on the open market with the cash proceeds from the exercise of options.

Table of Contents

Awards granted under the 2010 Plan upon the assumption of, or in substitution for, awards authorized or outstanding under a qualifying equity plan maintained by an entity with which we enter into a merger or similar corporate transaction will not reduce the shares authorized for grant under the 2010 Plan. Excluding grants in connection with our initial public offering, and after the expiration of the existing transition period under Code Section 162(m), the maximum number of shares of our common stock that may be subject to one or more awards granted to any one participant pursuant to the 2010 Plan during any calendar year is 1,500,000 and the maximum amount that may be paid in cash pursuant to the 2010 Plan to any one participant during any calendar year period is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000).

Awards

The 2010 Plan provides for the grant of stock options, including incentive stock options, or ISOs, and nonqualified stock options, or NSOs, restricted stock, dividend equivalents, stock payments, restricted stock units, or RSUs, performance shares, other incentive awards, profits interest units, SARs and cash awards. Except for the compensation program for our non-employee directors (described in Director Compensation, below), grants of awards under the 2010 Plan are generally discretionary and, accordingly, no determination has been made as to the types or amounts of future awards that will be granted to specific individuals pursuant to the 2010 Plan. Certain awards under the 2010 Plan may constitute or provide for a deferral of compensation, subject to Code Section 409A, which may impose additional requirements on the terms and conditions of such awards. All awards will be set forth in award agreements, which will detail all terms and conditions of the awards, including any applicable vesting and payment terms. Awards other than cash awards will generally be settled in shares of our common stock, but the plan administrator may provide for cash settlement of any award. A brief description of each award type follows.

Stock Options. Stock options provide for the purchase of shares of our common stock in the future at an exercise price set on the grant date. ISOs, by contrast to NSOs, may provide tax deferral beyond exercise and favorable capital gains tax treatment to their holders if certain holding period and other tax Code requirements are satisfied. The exercise price of a stock option may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the underlying share on the date of grant (or 110% in the case of ISOs granted to certain significant shareholders), except with respect to certain substitute options granted in connection with a corporate transaction. The term of a stock option may not be longer than ten years (or five years in the case of ISOs granted to certain significant shareholders). Vesting conditions determined by the plan administrator may apply to stock options and may include continued service, performance and/or other conditions.

Stock Appreciation Rights. SARs entitle their holder, upon exercise, to receive from us an amount equal to the appreciation of the shares subject to the award between the grant date and the exercise date. The exercise price of a SAR may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the underlying share on the date of grant (except with respect to certain substitute SARs granted in connection with a corporate transaction) and the term of a SAR may not be longer than ten years. Vesting conditions determined by the plan administrator may apply to SARs and may include continued service, performance and/or other conditions.

Restricted Stock, RSUs and Performance Shares. Restricted stock is an award of nontransferable shares of our common stock that remain forfeitable unless and until specified conditions are met, and which may be subject to a purchase price. RSUs are contractual promises to deliver shares of our common stock in the future, which may also remain forfeitable unless and until specified conditions are met. Delivery of the shares underlying these awards may be deferred under the terms of the award or at the election of the participant, if the plan administrator permits such a deferral. Performance shares are contractual rights to receive a range of shares of our common stock in the future based on the attainment of specified performance goals, in addition to other conditions which

Table of Contents

may apply to these awards. Conditions applicable to restricted stock, RSUs and performance shares may be based on continuing service with us or our affiliates, the attainment of performance goals and/or such other conditions as the plan administrator may determine.

Stock Payments, Other Incentive Awards, Profits Interest Units and Cash Awards. Stock payments are awards of fully vested shares of our common stock that may, but need not, be made in lieu of base salary, bonus, fees or other cash compensation otherwise payable to any individual who is eligible to receive awards. Other incentive awards are awards other than those enumerated in this summary that are denominated in, linked to or derived from shares of our common stock or value metrics related to our shares, and may remain forfeitable unless and until specified conditions are met. Profits interest units are awards of units of our operating partnership intended to constitute profits interests within the meaning of the relevant Revenue Procedure guidance, which may be convertible into shares of our common stock. Cash awards are cash incentive bonuses subject to performance goals.

Dividend Equivalents. Dividend equivalents represent the right to receive the equivalent value of dividends paid on shares of our common stock and may be granted alone or in tandem with awards other than stock options or SARs. Dividend equivalents are credited as of dividend payments dates during the period between the date an award is granted and the date such award vests, is exercised, is distributed or expires, as determined by the plan administrator.

Performance Awards

Performance awards include any of the awards that are granted subject to vesting and/or payment based on the attainment of specified performance goals. The plan administrator will determine whether performance awards are intended to constitute qualified performance-based compensation, or QPBC, within the meaning of Code Section 162(m), in which case the applicable performance criteria will be selected from the list below in accordance with the requirements of Code Section 162(m).

Code Section 162(m) imposes a \$1,000,000 cap on the compensation deduction that we may take in respect of compensation paid to our covered employees (which should include our chief executive officer and our next three most highly compensated employees other than our chief financial officer), but excludes from the calculation of amounts subject to this limitation any amounts that constitute QPBC. We do not expect Code Section 162(m) to apply to awards under the 2010 Plan until the earliest to occur of our annual shareholders meeting in 2014, a material modification of the 2010 Plan or exhaustion of the share supply under the 2010 Plan. However, QPBC performance criteria may be used with respect to performance awards that are not intended to constitute QPBC.

In order to constitute QPBC under Code Section 162(m), in addition to certain other requirements, the relevant amounts must be payable only upon the attainment of pre-established, objective performance goals set by our compensation committee and linked to stockholder-approved performance criteria. For purposes of the 2010 Plan, one or more of the following performance criteria will be used in setting performance goals applicable to QPBC, and may be used in setting performance goals applicable to other performance awards: (i) net earnings (either before or after one or more of the following: (A) interest, (B) taxes, (C) depreciation and (D) amortization); (ii) gross or net sales or revenue; (iii) net income (either before or after taxes); (iv) adjusted net income; (v) operating earnings or profit; (vi) cash flow (including, but not limited to, operating cash flow and free cash flow); (vii) return on assets; (viii) return on capital; (ix) return on stockholders equity; (x) total stockholder return; (xi) return on sales; (xii) gross or net profit or operating margin; (xiii) costs; (xiv) funds from operations; (xv) expenses; (xvi) working capital; (xvii) earnings per share; (xviii) adjusted earnings per share; (xix) price per share of common stock; (xx) regulatory body approval for commercialization of a product; (xxi) implementation or completion of critical projects; (xxii) market share; and (xxiii) economic value, any of which may be measured either in absolute terms or as compared to any incremental increase or decrease or as

Table of Contents

compared to results of a peer group or to market performance indicators or indices. The 2010 Plan also permits the plan administrator to provide for objectively determinable adjustments to the applicable performance criteria in setting performance goals for QPBC awards.

Certain Transactions

The plan administrator has broad discretion to equitably adjust the provisions of the 2010 Plan, as well as the terms and conditions of existing and future awards, to prevent the dilution or enlargement of intended benefits and facilitate necessary or desirable changes in the event of certain transactions and events affecting our common stock, such as stock dividends, stock splits, mergers, acquisitions, consolidations and other corporate transactions. In addition, in the event of certain non-reciprocal transactions with our shareholders known as equity restructurings, the plan administrator will make equitable adjustments to the 2010 Plan and outstanding awards. In the event of a change in control of our company (as defined in the 2010 Plan), the surviving entity must assume outstanding awards or substitute economically equivalent awards for such outstanding awards; however, if the surviving entity refuses to assume or substitute for all or some outstanding awards, then all such awards will vest in full and be deemed exercised (as applicable) upon the transaction. Individual award agreements may provide for additional accelerated vesting and payment provisions.

Foreign Participants, Transferability and Participant Payments

The plan administrator may modify award terms, establish subplans and/or adjust other terms and conditions of awards, subject to the share limits described above, in order to facilitate grants of awards subject to the laws and/or stock exchange rules of countries outside of the United States. With limited exceptions for estate planning, domestic relations orders, certain beneficiary designations and the laws of descent and distribution, awards under the 2010 Plan are generally non-transferable prior to vesting and are exercisable only by the participant. With regard to tax withholding, exercise price and purchase price obligations arising in connection with awards under the 2010 Plan, the plan administrator may, in its discretion, accept cash or check, shares of our common stock that meet specified conditions, a market sell order or such other consideration as it deems suitable.

Plan Amendment and Termination

Our board of directors may amend or terminate the 2010 Plan at any time; however, except in connection with certain changes in our capital structure, stockholder approval will be required for any amendment that increases the number of shares available under the 2010 Plan, reprices any stock option or SAR or cancels any stock option or SAR in exchange for cash or another award when the option or SAR price per share exceeds the fair market value of the underlying shares. No award may be granted pursuant to the 2010 Plan after the tenth anniversary of the date on which we adopt the 2010 Plan.

Tax Considerations

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code

Section 162(m) disallows a tax deduction for any publicly held corporation for individual compensation exceeding \$1.0 million in any taxable year for our chief executive officer and each of the other named executive officers (other than our chief financial officer), unless compensation is performance based. We expect that our compensation committee will adhere to the principle that, where reasonably practicable, we will seek to qualify the variable compensation paid to our named executive officers for an exemption from the deductibility limitations of Section 162(m). As such, in approving the amount and form of compensation for our named executive officers in the future, our compensation committee will consider all elements of the cost to our company of providing such compensation, including the potential impact of Section 162(m). However, our compensation committee may, in its judgment, authorize compensation payments that do not comply with the exemptions in Section 162(m) when it believes that such payments are appropriate to attract and retain executive talent.

Table of Contents

Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code

Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code requires that nonqualified deferred compensation be deferred and paid under plans or arrangements that satisfy the requirements of the statute with respect to the timing of deferral elections, timing of payments and certain other matters. Failure to satisfy these requirements can expose employees and other service providers to accelerated income tax liabilities, penalty taxes and interest on their vested compensation under such plans. Accordingly, as a general matter, it is our intention to design and administer our compensation and benefits plans and arrangements for all of our employees and other service providers, including our named executive officers, so that they are either exempt from, or satisfy the requirements of, Section 409A.

Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code

Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code disallows a tax deduction with respect to excess parachute payments to certain executives of companies which undergo a change in control. In addition, Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code imposes a 20% penalty on the individual receiving the excess payment.

Parachute payments are compensation that is linked to or triggered by a change in control and may include, but are not limited to, bonus payments, severance payments, certain fringe benefits, and payments and acceleration of vesting from long-term incentive plans including stock options and other equity-based compensation. Excess parachute payments are parachute payments that exceed a threshold determined under Section 280G based on the executive's prior compensation. In approving the compensation arrangements for our named executive officers in the future, our compensation committee will consider all elements of the cost to our company of providing such compensation, including the potential impact of Section 280G. However, our compensation committee may, in its judgment, authorize compensation arrangements that could give rise to loss of deductibility under Section 280G and the imposition of excise taxes under Section 4999 when it believes that such arrangements are appropriate to attract and retain executive talent.

Accounting Standards

ASC Topic 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation* (referred to as ASC Topic 718 and formerly known as FASB 123R), requires us to recognize an expense for the fair value of equity-based compensation awards. Grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance units under our equity incentive award plans will be accounted for under ASC Topic 718. Our compensation committee will regularly consider the accounting implications of significant compensation decisions, especially in connection with decisions that relate to our equity incentive award plans and programs. As accounting standards change, we may revise certain programs to appropriately align accounting expenses of our equity awards with our overall executive compensation philosophy and objectives.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of our executive officers serves as a member of a board of directors or compensation committee, or other committee serving an equivalent function, of any other entity that has one or more of its executive officers serving as a member of our board of directors or compensation committee.

Table of Contents**Compensation Tables***Summary Compensation Table*

We did not conduct business in 2009 and, accordingly, we did not pay any compensation to our named executive officers during or in respect of that year. Because we have no 2009 compensation to report, we are including below a Summary Compensation Table setting forth certain compensation that we have paid or expect to pay to our named executive officers during 2010 in order to provide some understanding of our expected compensation levels. While the table below accurately reflects our current expectations with respect to 2010 named executive officer compensation, actual 2010 compensation for these officers may be increased or decreased, including through the use of compensation components not currently contemplated or described herein. We expect to disclose actual 2010 compensation for our named executive officers in 2011, to the extent required by applicable SEC disclosure rules.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary ⁽¹⁾	Bonus	Stock Awards ⁽³⁾	Option Awards	Change in Pension Value and Non-Equity Nonqualified Incentive Deferred All			Total
						Plan Compensation	Earnings Compensation	Other Compensation	
Victor J. Coleman Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$ 500,000	(2)	\$ 2,000,000					\$ 2,500,000
Howard S. Stern President	2010	400,000	(2)	910,000					1,310,000
Mark T. Lammas Chief Financial Officer	2010	300,000	(2)	300,000					600,000
Christopher Barton Executive Vice President, Operations and Development	2010	300,000	(2)	300,000					600,000
Dale Shimoda Executive Vice President, Finance	2010	300,000	(2)	300,000					600,000

- (1) Each of our named executive officers will receive a pro-rata portion of his 2010 base salary for the period from the completion of our initial public offering through December 31, 2010.
- (2) Any bonus awards to our named executive officers will be determined in the sole discretion of our compensation committee contingent upon such factors as the compensation committee may deem appropriate.
- (3) Amounts reflect the full grant-date fair value of restricted stock awards granted to each of our named executive officers upon the completion of our initial public offering in June 2010, computed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*. We recognize compensation expense for these shares on a straight-line basis over the vesting period based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant. None of the restricted shares were vested at September 30, 2010.

Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table

We have entered into employment agreements with each of our named executive officers, effective as of the date of the closing of our initial public offering on June 29, 2010. The following is a summary of the material terms of the agreements.

Under the employment agreements, Messrs. Coleman, Stern, Lammas, Barton and Shimoda serve as the company's Chief Executive Officer, President, Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President Operations and Development and Executive Vice President Finance, respectively. Messrs. Coleman and Stern report directly to the board, while the other executives report to our Chief Executive Officer. The initial term of the

Table of Contents

employment agreements will end on June 29, 2013. On that date, the term of the employment agreements will automatically be extended for one year, unless earlier terminated. In the event that we experience a change in control (as defined in the 2010 Plan) during the one-year extension period, the term of the employment agreements will instead continue through the first anniversary of the consummation of the change in control. Pursuant to Messrs. Coleman and Stern's employment agreements, during the terms of their employment, we will nominate each for election as a director.

Under the employment agreements, the executives receive initial annual base salaries in the amounts reflected in the Compensation Tables Summary Compensation Table above, which are subject to increase at the discretion of our compensation committee. In addition, the executives will each be eligible to receive an annual discretionary cash performance bonus, the amount of which will be determined based on the attainment of performance criteria established by our compensation committee. In connection with entering into the employment agreements, Messrs. Coleman, Stern, Lammis, Barton and Shimoda were each granted a founders award of restricted shares of our common stock, with the number of shares determined by dividing \$2,000,000, \$910,000, \$300,000, \$300,000 and \$300,000, respectively, by the initial public offering price per share of our common stock, or 117,647 shares, 53,529 shares, 17,647 shares, 17,647 shares and 17,647 shares, respectively. These restricted stock awards will vest in three equal, annual installments on each of the first three anniversaries of June 29, 2010, the date of our initial public offering, subject to the executive's continued employment. In addition, the executives are eligible to participate in customary health, welfare and fringe benefit plans, and will accrue up to four weeks of paid vacation per year.

If an executive's employment is terminated by the company without cause or by the executive for good reason (each, as defined in the employment agreements), because the company elects not to renew the initial term of the employment agreement or by reason of the executive's death or disability, the executive will be entitled to certain payments and benefits, as described under Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control below. The employment agreements also contain customary confidentiality and non-solicitation provisions.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

As discussed above, we have entered into employment agreements with each of our named executive officers. Under the employment agreements, if an executive's employment is terminated by the company without cause or by the executive for good reason (each, as defined in the employment agreements) then, in addition to accrued amounts and any earned but unpaid bonuses, the executive will be entitled to receive the following:

A lump-sum payment in an amount equal to two (or, with respect to Messrs. Coleman and Stern, three) times the sum of (i) the executive's annual base salary then in effect, (ii) the highest annual bonus earned by the executive during the employment term (or, in the event of a termination prior to the end of the completion of the company's first full fiscal year, an amount as determined by our compensation committee in its sole discretion, but in no event less than the executive's base salary in effect on the termination date) and (iii) the highest value of any annual equity award(s) made to the executive during the employment term (not including the initial grant of restricted stock described above or any award(s) granted pursuant to a multi-year or long-term performance program, initial hiring or retention award or similar non-reoccurring award);

accelerated vesting of all outstanding equity awards held by the executive as of the termination date; and

company-subsidized continuation healthcare coverage for up to 18 months after the termination date.

In the event that an executive's employment is terminated because the company elects not to renew the initial term of the employment agreement, then the executive will be entitled to receive the same payments and benefits described above for a termination without cause or for good reason, except that the amount of the cash

Table of Contents

severance will be multiplied by one rather than two (or, with respect to Messrs. Coleman and Stern, two rather than three). However, if such a non-renewal termination occurs upon or within 12 months after a change in control of the company, the executive will be entitled to receive the same payments and benefits described above for a termination without cause or for good reason (without reduction of the cash severance multiplier). The executive's right to receive the severance payments and benefits described above is subject to his delivery of an effective general release of claims in favor of the company.

Under the employment agreements, upon a change in control of the company, the executives will be entitled to accelerated vesting of the executive's initial restricted stock grant such that the restricted stock will become fully vested and nonforfeitable.

Upon a termination of employment by reason of death or disability, the executive or his/her estate will be entitled to accelerated vesting of all outstanding equity awards held by the executive as of the termination date, in addition to accrued amounts and earned but unpaid bonuses.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth, to the extent determinable, the value of the payments and benefits that would be provided to our named executive officers upon the occurrence of a qualifying termination of employment or change in control, in each case, occurring as of the effective time of this offering. Amounts shown do not include (i) accrued but unpaid salary through the date of termination, or (ii) other benefits earned or accrued by the named executive officer during his employment that are available to all salaried employees, such as accrued vacation. The amounts set forth in the table below take into account only obligations expected to exist as of the effective time of this offering. We may implement additional termination and/or change in control plans, programs or agreements subsequent to the effective time of the offering. We expect to disclose, in 2011, potential payments to our named executive officers upon a termination of employment or a change in control based on all plans, programs and agreements in effect as of December 31, 2010, to the extent required by applicable SEC disclosure rules.

Name	Benefit	Company Non-Renewal ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Death or Disability (\$)	Change in Control (\$)	Company Non-Renewal After Change in Control or Termination without Cause or for Good Reason at any time (\$)
Victor J. Coleman	Cash Severance ⁽²⁾	\$ 2,000,000			\$ 3,000,000
	Continued Health Benefits ⁽³⁾				
	Equity Acceleration ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
	Total⁽⁵⁾	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 5,000,000
Howard S. Stern	Cash Severance ⁽²⁾	\$ 1,600,000			\$ 2,400,000
	Continued Health Benefits ⁽³⁾				
	Equity Acceleration ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 910,000	\$ 910,000	\$ 910,000	\$ 910,000
	Total⁽⁵⁾	\$ 2,510,000	\$ 910,000	\$ 910,000	\$ 3,310,000
Mark T. Lammas	Cash Severance ⁽²⁾	\$ 600,000			\$ 1,200,000
	Continued Health Benefits ⁽³⁾				
	Equity Acceleration ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
	Total⁽⁵⁾	\$ 900,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 1,500,000
Christopher Barton	Cash Severance ⁽²⁾	\$ 600,000			\$ 1,200,000
	Continued Health Benefits ⁽³⁾				
	Equity Acceleration ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
	Total⁽⁵⁾	\$ 900,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 1,500,000
Dale Shimoda	Cash Severance ⁽²⁾	\$ 600,000			\$ 1,200,000
	Continued Health Benefits ⁽³⁾				
	Equity Acceleration ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
	Total⁽⁵⁾	\$ 900,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 1,500,000

(1) This column describes the payments and benefits that become payable if the company elects not to renew the initial term of the agreement prior to the occurrence of a change in control.

(2) Cash severance was calculated by multiplying the applicable severance multiple (described above) by the sum of the executive's expected 2010 base salary and minimum bonus severance multiplier (*i.e.*, current expected base salary) since

Table of Contents

no actual bonuses have been earned to date. No portion of any executive's compensation is expected to consist of annual equity awards during 2010 (as opposed to initial grants associated with this offering, which are excluded from the severance calculation) and, accordingly, no value is included in cash severance for such awards.

- (3) Executives will be entitled to continued health insurance coverage under COBRA for 18 months. We have not yet implemented the benefit plans pursuant to which these benefits will be made available and, accordingly, it is not currently possible to estimate the expected value of these benefits.
- (4) The value of accelerated restricted stock was calculated by multiplying (x) the number of shares subject to acceleration by (y) the fair market value of a share of our common stock on the date of our initial public offering.
- (5) Totals do not include the value of the continuation healthcare benefits (where applicable), which value cannot be determined at this time. If any payments described in this table would otherwise be subject to an excise tax under Section 4999 of the Code by reason of the golden parachute rules contained in Section 280G of the Code, such payments will be reduced if and to the extent that doing so will result in net after-tax payments and benefits for the executive that are more favorable than the net after-tax payments and benefits payable to the executive in the absence of such a reduction after the imposition of the excise tax.

Director Compensation

We have approved and implemented a compensation program for our non-employee directors that consists of annual retainer fees and long-term equity awards. Each non-employee director is expected to receive an annual base fee for his or her services of \$100,000, payable in quarterly installments in conjunction with quarterly meetings of the board of directors. The annual retainer is payable 50% in cash and 50% in the form of restricted stock, with directors being permitted to elect to receive the cash portion of the annual retainer in the form of fully vested shares of our common stock. In addition, each non-employee director who serves as the chair of the audit, compensation or nominating and corporate governance committees is entitled to receive an additional annual cash retainer of \$15,000, \$10,000 or \$7,500, respectively. Directors are permitted to elect to receive up to 100% of such additional annual retainers in the form of fully vested shares as well. Participating directors are able to elect to receive fully vested shares in lieu of cash fees currently, and will be able to elect to receive fully vested shares in lieu of cash fees either currently or on a deferred basis for fees paid with respect to services performed from and after January 1, 2011. We reimburse each of our directors for his or her travel expenses incurred in connection with his or her attendance at full board of directors and committee meetings. Concurrently with the closing of our initial public offering, we granted a number of restricted shares of our common stock determined by dividing \$100,000 by the initial public offering price (5,882 shares each) to each of our non-employee director nominees pursuant to our 2010 Plan. These awards of restricted stock, along with awards of restricted stock granted as part of the annual retainer (other than vested shares or vested deferred shares received at a director's election in lieu of the cash component of the annual retainer), vest ratably as to one-third of the shares subject to each grant on each of the first three anniversaries of the applicable grant, subject to the director's continued service on our board of directors.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Private Placement

Concurrently with the completion of our initial public offering, Mr. Coleman purchased \$2.0 million in shares of common stock and the Farallon Funds purchased \$18.0 million in shares of common stock, in each case at a price per share equal to the initial public offering price of \$17.00 and without payment by us of any underwriting discount or commission. The proceeds were contributed to our operating partnership in exchange for common units.

Contribution Agreements

Hudson Contribution Agreement

In connection with the consummation of our initial public offering, Mr. Coleman and Mr. Stern entered into a contribution agreement, or the Hudson contribution agreement, with us and our operating partnership pursuant to which Messrs. Coleman and Stern contributed their direct or indirect interests in a portfolio of properties and a property management business to our operating partnership in exchange for common units. Under the Hudson contribution agreement, Mr. Coleman received 402,907 common units and Mr. Stern received 216,949 common units. The aggregate value of the common units issued to Messrs. Coleman and Stern was \$6.8 million and \$3.7 million, respectively.

Pursuant to the Hudson contribution agreement, we assumed or succeeded to all of the contributors' rights, obligations and responsibilities with respect to the properties and the property entities contributed. The Hudson contribution agreement contains representations and warranties by Messrs. Coleman and Stern to our operating partnership with respect to the condition and operations of the properties and interests contributed to us and certain other matters. With some exceptions, Messrs. Coleman and Stern agreed to severally indemnify us and our operating partnership for breach of these representations and warranties under claims brought within one year of the closing of our initial public offering, subject to a deductible equal to 1% of the aggregate total consideration received by them under the contribution agreement, and up to a maximum of 10% of their aggregate total consideration under the contribution agreement or, in the case of claims relating to a specific property, 10% of their aggregate total consideration allocable to that property under the contribution agreement. As described below under Representation, Warranty and Indemnity Agreement, the Farallon Funds agreed to severally indemnify us and our operating partnership for breach of the property and certain entity representations and warranties made by Messrs. Coleman and Stern in the Hudson contribution agreement. Messrs. Coleman and Stern pledged common units to our operating partnership with a value, based on the initial public offering price of \$17.00 per share of our common stock, equal to 10% of their aggregate total consideration under the contribution agreement, in order to secure their indemnity obligations, and except in limited circumstances, these units will be the sole recourse of our operating partnership against Messrs. Coleman and Stern in the case of a breach of a representation or warranty or other claim for indemnification. The Hudson contribution agreement also provides that our operating partnership will use commercially reasonable efforts to make up to \$3.0 million of debt available to Messrs. Coleman and Stern, in the aggregate, to guarantee, which may assist them in deferring taxes in connection with their contributions.

The contribution of properties under the Hudson contribution agreement was subject to customary commercial real estate prorations, whereby the buyer and seller apportion rents, taxes, utilities, escrowed or restricted funds and other operating expenses.

Farallon Contribution Agreement

In connection with the consummation of our initial public offering, affiliates of the Farallon Funds entered into a contribution agreement, or the Farallon contribution agreement, with us and our operating partnership pursuant to which such affiliates contributed their direct or indirect interests in a portfolio of

Table of Contents

properties and approximately \$14.8 million in cash (subject to adjustments based on credits to affiliates of the Farallon Funds for payments made prior to closing) for prepaid rents, outstanding tenant improvement costs and outstanding infrastructure costs in exchange for common units and shares of our common stock. Under the Farallon contribution agreement, the Farallon Funds received 1,806,731 common units and 6,026,007 shares of common stock. The aggregate value of the common units and common stock issued to the Farallon Funds was \$133.2 million.

Pursuant to the Farallon contribution agreement, we assumed or succeeded to all of the contributors' rights, obligations and responsibilities with respect to the properties and the property entities contributed. The Farallon contribution agreement contains representations and warranties by the Farallon Funds to our operating partnership with respect to the interests to be contributed to us and certain other matters. As described below under Representation, Warranty and Indemnity Agreement, the Farallon Funds agreed to severally indemnify us and our operating partnership for breach of the representations and warranties made by the contributors in the Farallon contribution agreement.

In addition, pursuant to the Farallon contribution agreement, our board of directors granted to the Farallon excepted holders an exemption from the ownership limits, subject to various conditions and limitations. See Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer.

The contribution of properties under the Farallon contribution agreement was subject to customary commercial real estate prorations, whereby the buyer and seller apportion rents, taxes, utilities, escrowed or restricted funds and other operating expenses as of the closing. In addition, prior to the consummation of our initial public offering and the formation transactions, cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash relating to the Sunset Gower property, the Technicolor Building, the Sunset Bronson property and the City Plaza property were distributed to their owners, including the Farallon Funds.

875 Howard Street Contribution Agreement

In connection with the consummation of our initial public offering, the third party that owned interests in the 875 Howard Street property entered into a contribution agreement, or the 875 Howard Street contribution agreement, with us and our operating partnership pursuant to which the third party contributed its indirect interests in that property to the operating partnership in exchange for 24,030 shares of common stock and 6,787 common units with an aggregate value of approximately \$0.5 million that were issued directly to certain nominees of the Farallon Funds. Pursuant to the 875 Howard Street contribution agreement, we assumed or succeed to all of the contributors' rights, obligations and responsibilities with respect to the property and the property entities contributed. The 875 Howard Street contribution agreement contains representations and warranties by the contributor to our operating partnership with respect to the condition and operations of the property and interests to be contributed to us and certain other matters. As described below under Representation, Warranty and Indemnity Agreement, the Farallon Funds have agreed to severally indemnify us and our operating partnership for breach of the representations and warranties made by in the 875 Howard Street contribution agreement by the contributor. The contribution of property under the 875 Howard Street contribution agreement was subject to customary commercial real estate prorations, whereby the buyer and seller apportion rents, taxes, utilities, escrowed or restricted funds and other operating expenses as of the closing.

Reimbursement of Costs Related to Our Initial Public Offering

In connection with our initial public offering and the formation transactions, Hudson Capital, LLC, the Farallon Funds, and certain other contributors advanced or incurred an aggregate of approximately \$2.6 million in organizational and other similar expenses. These funds were advanced or incurred with the understanding that they would be repaid out of the proceeds of the completed public offering. Accordingly, upon consummation of our initial public offering, we repaid approximately \$0.6 million of such advances to Hudson Capital, LLC and approximately \$1.7 million of such advances to the Farallon Funds. The remaining approximately \$0.3 million of

Table of Contents

such advances were repaid to unaffiliated third parties. We are in the process of completing reconciliations of property prorations and costs advanced or incurred by the contributors in connection with our initial public offering and formation transactions.

Management Contracts

Prior to our initial public offering and related formation transactions, the media and entertainment properties (Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson) owned by our predecessor were managed by Hudson Studios Management, LLC, and our City Plaza property was managed by Hudson OP Management, LLC, both of which were affiliates of our predecessor.

Upon our predecessor's acquisition of the Sunset Gower property, the Sunset Gower property-owning subsidiary entered into a five-year management agreement with Hudson Studios Management, LLC, an affiliate of our predecessor, to pay a monthly management fee equal to \$54,000 for the first 24 months of the term and \$42,000 for the remaining 36 months. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, management fees of approximately \$250,000 and \$325,000, respectively, had been incurred. In addition, Hudson Studios Management, LLC was entitled to a construction management fee of \$300,000 plus 5% of the costs of labor and materials in association with other future developments. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, \$300,000 of construction management fees had been capitalized to construction in progress.

Upon our predecessor's acquisition of the Sunset Bronson property, the Sunset Bronson property-owning subsidiary entered into an agreement with Hudson Studios Management, LLC to pay a management fee equal to \$33,000 per month through December 31, 2009 and \$25,000 per month thereafter for the remaining five-year term. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, approximately \$150,000 and \$200,000, respectively, of management fees had been incurred.

Upon our acquisition of the City Plaza property, the City Plaza property-owning subsidiary entered into an agreement with Hudson OP Management, LLC to pay a management fee equal to \$10,000 per month. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, approximately \$60,000 of management fees had been incurred.

Representation, Warranty and Indemnity Agreements

In connection with the formation transactions, we entered into representation, warranty and indemnity agreements with the Farallon Funds, pursuant to which they each made limited representations and warranties to us and our operating partnership regarding their investment intent with respect to the equity securities received by them as nominees, and certain other matters. The Farallon Funds also agreed to indemnify us and our operating partnership for breaches of such representations and warranties, in addition to the representations and warranties made by their affiliates that are the contributors in the Farallon contribution agreement, the representations and warranties in the 875 Howard Street contribution agreement made by the third party that owns interests in such property, and certain representations and warranties of Messrs. Coleman and Stern in the Hudson contribution agreement. Such indemnification is limited to claims made by June 29, 2011 and is subject to a deductible equal to 1% of the aggregate total consideration received by the Farallon Funds under the Farallon contribution agreement, and to a maximum, in the case of each Farallon Fund, of 10% of that Farallon Fund's aggregate total consideration under the Farallon and 875 Howard Street contribution agreements or, in the case of claims relating to a specific property, 10% of that Farallon Fund's aggregate total consideration allocable to that property under the Farallon and 875 Howard Street contribution agreements. The Farallon Funds pledged common units and common stock to our operating partnership with a value, based on the initial public offering price of \$17.00 per share of our common stock, equal to 10% of their aggregate total consideration under the contribution agreement, in order to secure their indemnity obligations, and except in limited circumstances, these units and shares will be the sole recourse of our operating partnership in the case of a breach of a representation or warranty or other claim for indemnification under the Farallon and 875 Howard Street contribution agreements, and, together with our recourse against Messrs. Coleman and Stern under the Hudson contribution

Table of Contents

agreement in the case of a breach of a representation or warranty or other claim for indemnification under that agreement, will constitute our operating partnership's sole recourse for claims of indemnification under the contribution agreements.

Pursuant to a separate agreement between Messrs. Coleman and Stern, on the one hand, and the Farallon Funds, on the other, Messrs. Coleman and Stern agreed to indemnify the Farallon Funds for certain losses incurred by the Farallon Funds for claims arising under the Hudson contribution agreement, subject to a maximum equal to the aggregate total consideration received by Messrs. Coleman and Stern in respect of their indirect interests in the property entities that own each of the Sunset Gower property, the Technicolor Building, the Sunset Bronson property and the City Plaza property.

Partnership Agreement

In connection with the closing of the formation transactions and our initial public offering, we entered into an amended and restated partnership agreement with the various limited partners of our operating partnership, including the Farallon Funds. Pursuant to the partnership agreement, persons holding common units as a result of the formation transactions have rights, beginning August 29, 2011, to cause our operating partnership to redeem their common units for cash equal to the then-current market value of one share of common stock, or, at our election, to exchange their common units for shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances described in the partnership agreement. The partnership agreement also provides for redemption, conversion, exchange and other rights with respect to our operating partnership's series A preferred units. See Description of the Partnership Agreement of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. Material Terms of Our Series A Preferred Units.

Del Amo Purchase Agreement

In connection with our acquisition of a 100% ownership interest in the Del Amo Office property ground subleasehold interest and improvements, the Farallon Funds received \$4.3 million (before proration) in cash in their capacity as indirect owners of the limited partners of the entity that owned the Del Amo Office property ground subleasehold interest and improvements.

Registration Rights

We also entered into a registration rights agreement with the various persons that received shares of our common stock and/or common units in the formation transactions or pursuant to the private placement, including the Farallon Funds, the Morgan Stanley Investment Partnership and certain of our executive officers. Under the registration rights agreement, subject to certain limitations, commencing not later than August 29, 2011, we will file one or more registration statements covering the resale of the shares of our common stock issued in the formation transactions and the private placement, and the resale of the shares of our common stock issued or issuable, at our option, in exchange for operating partnership units issued in the formation transactions. We may, at our option, satisfy our obligation to prepare and file a resale registration statement by filing a registration statement registering the issuance by us of shares of our common stock registered under the Securities Act to the holders of units upon redemption of such units and, to the extent such shares constitute restricted securities, their resale. Commencing on December 26, 2010, the Farallon Funds have the right, on one occasion, to require us to register shares of our common stock issued in the formation transactions and the private placement for resale in an underwritten offering registered pursuant to the Securities Act; provided, such registration shall be limited to a number of shares of common stock representing up to 25% of the aggregate number of shares of our common stock and common units issued to the Farallon Funds and their affiliates in the formation transactions and the private placement. Commencing upon our filing of a resale registration statement not later than August 29, 2011, under certain circumstances, we are also required to undertake an underwritten offering upon the written request of holders of at least 10% in the aggregate of the securities originally issued in the formation transactions, provided that we are not obligated to effect more than two such underwritten offerings in addition to the demand registration.

Table of Contents

Acquisition of Certain Properties by Hudson Capital and the Farallon Funds Prior to the Formation Transactions

Through various transactions during the two years prior to our initial public offering and the formation transactions, Hudson Capital, LLC and affiliates of the Farallon Funds acquired the City Plaza property for an aggregate net purchase price paid of \$53.3 million (before closing costs and prorations), after acquiring the mortgage on the property for \$69.3 million and receiving a \$1.3 million loan payoff from the original borrower along with a transfer of \$14.7 million from existing loan reserves.

Employment Agreements

We have entered into employment agreements with our executive officers, effective June 29, 2010. The material terms of the employment agreements with our named executive officers are described under Executive Compensation Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Executive Compensation Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.

Indemnification of Officers and Directors

We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our executive officers and directors as described in Management Limitation of Liability and Indemnification.

Table of Contents

POLICIES WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN ACTIVITIES

The following is a discussion of certain of our investment, financing and other policies. These policies have been determined by our board of directors and, in general, may be amended or revised from time to time by our board of directors without a vote of our stockholders.

Investment Policies

Investments in Real Estate or Interests in Real Estate

We conduct all of our investment activities through our operating partnership and its subsidiaries. Our investment objectives are to maximize the cash flow of our properties, acquire properties with cash flow growth potential, provide quarterly cash distributions and achieve long-term capital appreciation for our stockholders through increases in the value of our company. We have not established a specific policy regarding the relative priority of these investment objectives. For a discussion of our properties and our acquisition and other strategic objectives, see *Business and Properties*.

We expect to pursue our investment objectives primarily through the ownership by our operating partnership of our existing properties and other acquired properties and assets. We currently intend to invest primarily in office and media and entertainment properties. While we may diversify in terms of property locations, size and market, we do not have any limit on the amount or percentage of our assets that may be invested in any one property or any one geographic area. We intend to engage in such future investment activities in a manner that is consistent with the maintenance of our status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. In addition, we may purchase or lease income-producing office or other types of properties for long-term investment, expand and improve the properties we presently own or other acquired properties, or sell such properties, in whole or in part, when circumstances warrant.

We may also participate with third parties in property ownership, through joint ventures or other types of co-ownership. These types of investments may permit us to own interests in larger assets without unduly restricting our diversification and, therefore, provide us with flexibility in structuring our portfolio. We will not, however, enter into a joint venture or other partnership arrangement to make an investment that would not otherwise meet our investment policies.

Equity investments in acquired properties may be subject to existing mortgage financing and other indebtedness or to new indebtedness which may be incurred in connection with acquiring or refinancing these properties. Debt service on such financing or indebtedness will have a priority over any dividends with respect to our series B preferred stock or our common stock. We will limit our investment in any securities so that we do not fall within the definition of an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, or the 1940 Act.

Investments in Real Estate Mortgages

While our portfolio consists of, and our business objectives emphasize, equity investments in office and media and entertainment properties, we may, at the discretion of our board of directors and without a vote of our stockholders, invest in mortgages and other types of real estate interests in a manner that is consistent with our qualification as a REIT. We do not presently intend to invest in mortgages or deeds of trust, but may invest in participating or convertible mortgages if we conclude that we may benefit from the gross revenues or any appreciation in value of the property. If we choose to invest in mortgages, we would expect to invest in mortgages secured by office or media and entertainment-related properties. However, there is no restriction on the proportion of our assets that may be invested in a type of mortgage or any single mortgage or type of mortgage loan. Investments in real estate mortgages run the risk that one or more borrowers may default under the mortgages and that the collateral securing those mortgages may not be sufficient to enable us to recoup our full investment. We will limit our investment in any securities so that we do not fall within the definition of an investment company under the 1940 Act.

Table of Contents

Securities of or Interests in Persons Primarily Engaged in Real Estate Activities and Other Issuers

Subject to the percentage of ownership limitations and the income and asset tests necessary for REIT qualification, we may in the future invest in securities of other REITs, other entities engaged in real estate activities or securities of other issuers where such investment would be consistent with our investment objectives. We may invest in the debt or equity securities of such entities, including for the purpose of exercising control over such entities. We currently intend to invest primarily in entities that own office and media and entertainment properties. We have no current plans to invest in entities that are not engaged in real estate activities. While we may attempt to diversify our investments with respect to the office and media and entertainment properties owned by such entities, in terms of property locations, size and market, we do not have any limit on the amount or percentage of our assets that may be invested in any one entity, property or geographic area. Our investment objectives are to maximize cash flow of our investments, acquire investments with growth potential and provide cash distributions and long-term capital appreciation to our stockholders through increases in the value of our company. We have not established a specific policy regarding the relative priority of these investment objectives. We will limit our investment in such securities so that we will not fall within the definition of an investment company under the 1940 Act.

Investments in Other Securities

Other than as described above, we do not intend to invest in any additional securities such as bonds, preferred stocks or common stock.

Dispositions

We do not currently intend to dispose of any of our properties, although we reserve the right to do so if, based upon management's periodic review of our portfolio, our board of directors determines that such action would be in our best interest and consistent with our qualification as a REIT.

Financings and Leverage Policy

We anticipate using a number of different sources to finance our acquisitions and operations, including cash flows from operations, asset sales, seller financing, issuance of debt securities, private financings (such as additional bank credit facilities, which may or may not be secured by our assets), property-level mortgage debt, common or preferred equity issuances or any combination of these sources, to the extent available to us, or other sources that may become available from time to time. Any debt that we incur may be recourse or non-recourse and may be secured or unsecured. We also may take advantage of joint venture or other partnering opportunities as such opportunities arise in order to acquire properties that would otherwise be unavailable to us. We may use the proceeds of our borrowings to acquire assets, to refinance existing debt or for general corporate purposes.

Although we are not required to maintain any particular leverage ratio, we intend, when appropriate, to employ prudent amounts of leverage and to use debt as a means of providing additional funds for the acquisition of assets, to refinance existing debt or for general corporate purposes. We expect to use leverage conservatively, assessing the appropriateness of new equity or debt capital based on market conditions, including prudent assumptions regarding future cash flow, the creditworthiness of tenants and future rental rates, with the ultimate objective of becoming an issuer of investment grade debt. Our charter and bylaws do not limit the amount of debt that we may incur. Although our board of directors has not adopted a policy limiting the total amount of debt that we may incur, we intend upon full deployment of the net proceeds of this offering and utilization of our secured revolving credit facility to target a ratio of debt to total assets of 40-45% based on the cost of our assets. We intend to target a limit on our floating rate debt of no more than 20% of outstanding debt through fixed rate borrowing or interest rate hedges.

Our board of directors will consider a number of factors in evaluating the amount of debt that we may incur. Our board of directors may from time to time modify its views regarding the appropriate amount of debt

Table of Contents

financing in light of then-current economic conditions, relative costs of debt and equity capital, market values of our properties, general conditions in the market for debt and equity securities, fluctuations in the market price of our common and series B preferred stock, growth and acquisition opportunities and other factors. Our decision to use leverage in the future to finance our assets will be at our discretion and will not be subject to the approval of our stockholders.

Lending Policies

We have not made any loans to third parties, although we do not have a policy limiting our ability to make loans to other persons. We may consider offering purchase money financing in connection with the sale of properties where the provision of that financing will increase the value to be received by us for the property sold. We also may make loans to joint ventures in which we participate. However, we do not intend to engage in significant lending activities. Any loan we make will be consistent with maintaining our qualification as a REIT.

Equity Capital Policies

To the extent that our board of directors determines to obtain additional capital, we may issue debt or equity securities, including additional units and senior securities, retain earnings (subject to provisions in the Code requiring distributions of income to maintain REIT qualification) or pursue a combination of these methods. As long as our operating partnership is in existence, the proceeds of all equity capital raised by us generally will be contributed to our operating partnership in exchange for additional interests in our operating partnership, which will dilute the ownership interests of the limited partners in our operating partnership.

Existing stockholders will have no preemptive right to common or preferred stock or units issued in any securities offering by us, and any such offering might cause a dilution of a stockholder's investment in us. Although we have no current plans to do so, we may in the future issue shares of common stock or units in connection with acquisitions of property.

We may, under certain circumstances, purchase shares of our common stock or other securities in the open market or in private transactions with our stockholders, provided that those purchases are approved by our board of directors. Our board of directors has no present intention of causing us to repurchase any shares of our common stock or other securities, and any such action would only be taken in conformity with applicable federal and state laws and the applicable requirements for qualification as a REIT.

Conflict of Interest Policies

Overview. Conflicts of interest could arise in the future as a result of the relationships between us and our affiliates, on the one hand, and our operating partnership or any partner thereof, on the other. Our directors and officers have duties to our company under applicable Maryland law in connection with their management of our company. At the same time, we, as the general partner of our operating partnership, have fiduciary duties and obligations to our operating partnership and its limited partners under Maryland law in connection with the management of our operating partnership. Our fiduciary duties and obligations as general partner to our operating partnership and its partners may come into conflict with the duties of our directors and officers to our company.

Under Maryland law, the general partner of a Maryland limited partnership has fiduciary duties of loyalty and care to the partnership and its partners and an obligation to discharge its duties and exercise its rights as general partner under the partnership agreement or Maryland law consistently with the obligation of good faith and fair dealing. The duty of loyalty requires a general partner of a Maryland general partnership to account to the partnership and hold as trustee for it any property, profit, or benefit derived by the general partner in the conduct of the partnership business or derived from a use by the general partner of partnership property, including the appropriation of a partnership opportunity, to refrain from dealing with the partnership in the conduct or winding up of the partnership business as or on behalf of a party having an interest adverse to the

Table of Contents

partnership and to refrain from competing with the partnership in the conduct of the partnership business, although the partnership agreement may identify specific types or categories of activities that do not violate the duty of loyalty. Our operating partnership agreement provides that we, in our capacity as general partner of our operating partnership, are under no obligation not to give priority to our separate interests or those of our stockholders. The partnership agreement also provides that any action or failure to act by us or our directors that gives priority to the separate interests of our company or our stockholders and does not result in a violation of the contract rights of the limited partners of our operating partnership does not violate the duty of loyalty that we owe in our capacity as the general partner of our operating partnership to the operating partnership or its partners. The duty of care requires a general partner to refrain from engaging in grossly negligent or reckless conduct, intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, and this duty may not be unreasonably reduced by the partnership agreement.

The partnership agreement provides that neither we, as the general partner of the operating partnership, nor any of our directors or officers, will be liable or accountable in damages or otherwise to our operating partnership, the limited partners or assignees for losses sustained, liabilities incurred or benefits not derived as a result of errors in judgment, mistakes of fact or law or for any act or omission if we, or such director or officer, acted in good faith. The partnership agreement also provides that we will not be liable to the operating partnership or any partner for monetary damages for losses sustained, liabilities incurred or benefits not derived by the operating partnership or any limited partner, except for liability for our intentional harm or gross negligence. In addition, the partnership agreement provides that our operating partnership must indemnify us, each of our directors, officers and employees, and any other person designated by us, against any and all losses, claims, damages, liabilities (whether joint or several), expenses (including, without limitation, attorneys' fees and other legal fees and expenses), judgments, fines, settlements and other amounts arising from any and all claims, demands, actions, suits or proceedings, civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, that relate to the operations of the operating partnership, except (1) if the act or omission of such person was material to the matter giving rise to the action and either was committed in bad faith or was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, (2) for any transaction for which such person received an improper personal benefit in money, property or services or otherwise, in violation or breach of any provision of the partnership agreement, or (3) in the case of a criminal proceeding, if the person had reasonable cause to believe the act or omission was unlawful.

No reported decision of a Maryland appellate court has interpreted provisions similar to the provisions of the partnership agreement of our operating partnership that modify or reduce the fiduciary duties and obligations of a general partner or reduce or eliminate our liability for money damages to the operating partnership and its partners, and we have not obtained an opinion of counsel as to the enforceability of the provisions set forth in the partnership agreement that purport to modify or reduce our fiduciary duties that would be in effect were it not for the partnership agreement.

Policies Applicable to All Directors and Officers. Our governing instruments do not restrict any of our directors, officers, stockholders or affiliates from having a pecuniary interest in an investment or transaction in which we have an interest or from conducting, for their own account, business activities of the type we conduct. However, we have adopted policies that are designed to eliminate or minimize potential conflicts of interest, including a policy for the review, approval or ratification of any related person transaction, which is any transaction or series of transactions in which we or any of our subsidiaries is or are to be a participant, the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and a related person (as defined under SEC rules) has a direct or indirect material interest. This policy provides that the audit committee of our board of directors will review the relevant facts and circumstances of each related person transaction, including whether the transaction is on terms comparable to those that could be obtained in arm's length dealings with an unrelated third party. Based on its consideration of all of the relevant facts and circumstances, the audit committee will decide whether or not to approve such transaction and will generally approve only those transactions that do not create a conflict of interest. If we become aware of an existing related person transaction that has not been pre-approved under this policy, the transaction will be referred to the audit committee, which will evaluate all options available, including ratification, revision or termination of such transaction. This policy also requires any director who may be

Table of Contents

interested in a related person transaction to recuse himself or herself from any consideration of such related person transaction. We also adopted a code of business conduct and ethics, which provides that all of our directors, officers and employees are prohibited from taking for themselves opportunities that are discovered through the use of corporate property, information or position without our consent. See Management Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. However, we cannot assure you that these policies or provisions of law will always be successful in eliminating the influence of such conflicts, and if they are not successful, decisions could be made that might fail to reflect fully the interests of all stockholders.

Interested Director and Officer Transactions

Pursuant to the MGCL, a contract or other transaction between us and a director or between us and any other corporation or other entity in which any of our directors is a director or has a material financial interest is not void or voidable solely on the grounds of such common directorship or interest, the presence of such director at the meeting at which the contract or transaction is authorized, approved or ratified or the counting of the director's vote in favor thereof, provided that:

the fact of the common directorship or interest is disclosed or known to our board of directors or a committee of our board, and our board or such committee authorizes, approves or ratifies the transaction or contract by the affirmative vote of a majority of disinterested directors, even if the disinterested directors constitute less than a quorum;

the fact of the common directorship or interest is disclosed or known to our stockholders entitled to vote thereon, and the transaction or contract is authorized, approved or ratified by a majority of the votes cast by the stockholders entitled to vote other than the votes of shares owned of record or beneficially by the interested director or corporation, firm or other entity; or

the transaction or contract is fair and reasonable to us at the time it is authorized, ratified or approved.

Furthermore, under Maryland law (where our operating partnership is formed), we, as general partner, have fiduciary duties and obligations to our operating partnership and its partners and, consequently, such transactions also are subject to the fiduciary duties of care and loyalty and the obligation of good faith and fair dealing that we, as general partner, owe to the operating partnership and its limited partners (to the extent such duties have not been modified or reduced pursuant to the terms of the partnership agreement). We have adopted a policy which requires that all contracts and transactions between us, our operating partnership or any of our subsidiaries, on the one hand, and any of our directors or executive officers or any entity in which such director or executive officer is a director or has a material financial interest, on the other hand, must be approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the disinterested directors even if less than a quorum. Where appropriate, in the judgment of the disinterested directors, our board of directors may obtain a fairness opinion or engage independent counsel to represent the interests of non-affiliated security holders, although our board of directors will have no obligation to do so.

Policies With Respect To Other Activities

We have authority to offer common stock, preferred stock or options to purchase stock, or units convertible into common stock, in exchange for property and to repurchase or otherwise acquire our common stock or other securities in the open market or otherwise, and we may engage in such activities in the future. As described in Description of the Partnership Agreement of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P., we expect, but are not obligated, to issue common stock to holders of units upon exercise of their redemption rights. Except in connection with our initial public offering, private placement and formation transactions, and grants of equity compensation to our directors, officers and employees, we have not issued common stock, units or any other securities in exchange for property or any other purpose, and our board of directors has no present intention of

Table of Contents

causing us to repurchase any common stock. Subject to the rights of holders of series B preferred stock to approve the classification or issuance of shares of any class or series ranking senior to the series B preferred stock, our board of directors has the authority, without further stockholder approval, to amend our charter to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock or preferred stock and to authorize us to issue additional shares of common stock or preferred stock, in one or more series, including senior securities, in any manner, and on the terms and for the consideration, it deems appropriate. See Description of Series B Preferred Stock and Description of Stock. We have not engaged in trading, underwriting or agency distribution or sale of securities of other issuers other than our operating partnership and do not intend to do so. At all times, we intend to make investments in such a manner as to qualify as a REIT, unless because of circumstances or changes in the Code, or the Treasury Regulations, our board of directors determines that it is no longer in our best interests to qualify as a REIT. In addition, we intend to make investments in such a way that we will not be treated as an investment company under the 1940 Act.

Reporting Policies

We intend to make available to our stockholders our annual reports, including our audited financial statements. We are subject to the information reporting requirements of the Exchange Act. Pursuant to those requirements, we are required to file annual and periodic reports, proxy statements and other information, including audited financial statements, with the SEC.

Table of Contents**STRUCTURE OF OUR COMPANY****Our Operating Entities*****Our Operating Partnership***

Our operating partnership, directly or indirectly through its wholly owned subsidiaries, holds substantially all of our assets and conducts substantially all of our operations. We will contribute the net proceeds from the sale of series B preferred stock in this offering to our operating partnership in exchange for series B preferred units in our operating partnership, which will have rights as to distributions and upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up that are substantially similar to those of the series B preferred stock. Our interest in our operating partnership's series B preferred units will entitle us to share in cash distributions from our operating partnership before distributions are made with respect to our operating partnership's common units, up to an amount per series B preferred unit equal to the dividend preference on a share of series B preferred stock. Subject to the preferred rights of holders of series A preferred units, our preferential rights as the holder of series B preferred units and any other class or series of units that our operating partnership may issue in the future, our interest in our operating partnership entitles us to share in cash distributions from, and allocations of profits and losses of, our operating partnership in proportion to our percentage ownership of common units. As the sole general partner of our operating partnership, we generally have the exclusive power under the partnership agreement to manage and conduct its business, subject to limited approval and voting rights of the limited partners described more fully below in Description of the Partnership Agreement of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. Our board of directors manages the business and affairs of our company by directing the business and affairs of our operating partnership.

Beginning on or after August 29, 2011, limited partners of our operating partnership and certain qualifying assignees of limited partners will have the right to require our operating partnership to redeem part or all of their outstanding common units for cash, or, at our election, shares of our common stock, based upon the fair market value of an equivalent number of shares of our common stock at the time of the redemption, subject to the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock set forth in our charter and described under the section entitled Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer. Beginning on or after June 29, 2013, the limited partners of our operating partnership holding series A preferred units and certain qualifying assignees of such limited partners will also have the right to either (i) convert up to approximately \$12.5 million in aggregate liquidation preference of their outstanding series A preferred units into common units with an equivalent value (valuing each common unit to be received at the fair market value of a share of our common stock at the time of conversion), or (ii) require our operating partnership to redeem such series A preferred units or, at our option, exchange them for registered shares of our common stock with a value equal to the redemption price (valuing each share of common stock to be received at the fair market value of such share at the time of redemption), subject to the applicable restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock set forth in our charter. With each redemption of common units or series A preferred units, we will increase our percentage ownership interest in our operating partnership and our share of our operating partnership's cash distributions and profits and losses. See Description of the Partnership Agreement of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P.

Our Services Company

As part of our formation transactions, we formed Hudson Pacific Services, Inc., or our services company, a Maryland corporation that is wholly owned by our operating partnership. We have elected, together with our services company, to treat our services company as a taxable REIT subsidiary for federal income tax purposes, and we may form additional taxable REIT subsidiaries in the future. Our services company generally may provide both customary and non-customary services to our tenants and engage in other activities that we may not engage in directly without adversely affecting our qualification as a REIT. Our services company and its wholly owned subsidiaries provide a number of services to certain tenants at our media and entertainment properties and, from time to time, one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries may provide services to our tenants at

Table of Contents

these and other properties. See Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation of Our Company Income Tests. In addition, our operating partnership has contributed some or all of its interests in certain wholly owned subsidiaries or their assets to our services company. We currently lease space to wholly owned subsidiaries of our services company at our media and entertainment properties and may, from time to time, enter into additional leases with one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. Any income earned by our taxable REIT subsidiaries will not be included in our taxable income for purposes of the 75% or 95% gross income tests, except to the extent such income is distributed to us as a dividend, in which case such dividend income will qualify under the 95%, but not the 75%, gross income test. See Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation of Our Company Income Tests. Because a taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to federal income tax, and state and local income tax (where applicable), as a regular C corporation, the income earned by our taxable REIT subsidiaries generally will be subject to an additional level of tax as compared to the income earned by our other subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

The following diagram depicts our ownership structure and the ownership structure of our operating partnership upon completion of this offering and the acquisition of 10950 Washington.

- (1) Reflects shares of our common stock acquired by the Farallon Funds in the private placement and formation transactions.
- (2) Reflects shares of our common stock acquired by Victor J. Coleman in the private placement and shares of restricted stock granted to Victor J. Coleman, other members of management and directors concurrently with the completion of our initial public offering.
- (3) Reflects an aggregate of approximately \$12.5 million in liquidation preference of series A preferred units, which were issued in connection with our initial public offering and formation transactions that may be converted into common units commencing June 29, 2013.
- (4) Our acquisition of this property is subject to closing conditions. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business The purchase of the 10950 Washington property is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of the property.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT OF HUDSON PACIFIC PROPERTIES, L.P.

We have summarized the material terms and provisions of the Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P., which we refer to as the partnership agreement. This summary is not complete. For more detail, you should refer to the partnership agreement itself, a copy of which is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is part. For purposes of this section, references to we, our, us, our company and the general partner refer to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. in our capacity as the general partner of our operating partnership.

General

All of our assets are held by, and substantially all of our operations are conducted through, our operating partnership, either directly or through subsidiaries. We will amend and restate the partnership agreement in connection with the consummation of the offering of series B preferred stock to reflect the issuance and terms of the series B preferred units, and the provisions of the partnership agreement described below will be in effect from and after the consummation of this offering. We are the general partner of the operating partnership and we own 89.5% of the outstanding common units in the operating partnership.

Certain persons who contributed interests in properties and/or other assets pursuant to the formation transactions received common units or series A preferred units in our operating partnership. Holders of common units in the operating partnership are generally entitled to share in cash distributions from, and in the profits and losses of, the operating partnership in proportion to their respective percentage interests of common units in the operating partnership if and to the extent authorized by us and subject to the preferential rights of holders of outstanding preferred units, including series A preferred units and series B preferred units. Holders of series A preferred units rank senior to any other partnership interest and are entitled to receive preferential cash distributions, a liquidation preference in the event of any voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the affairs of the operating partnership (but only to the extent consistent with a liquidation in accordance with positive capital account balances), as well as certain conversion and redemption rights as described below in **Material Terms of Our Series A Preferred Units**. Series B preferred units will rank junior to the series A preferred units and senior to the common units of our operating partnership and, subject to the rights of holders of series A preferred units, will have rights as to distributions and upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up that are substantially similar to those of the series B preferred stock described in **Description of Series B Preferred Stock**. The units in the operating partnership are not listed on any exchange or quoted on any national market system.

Provisions in the partnership agreement could discourage third parties from making proposals involving an unsolicited acquisition of us or change of our control, although some stockholders might consider such proposals, if made, desirable. These provisions also make it more difficult for third parties to alter the management structure of the operating partnership without the concurrence of our board of directors. These provisions include, among others:

redemption rights of qualifying parties;

transfer restrictions on units, including our common units;

our ability, as general partner, in some cases, to amend the partnership agreement and to cause the partnership to issue preferred units with terms that we, in our capacity as the general partner of our operating partnership, may determine, without the consent of the limited partners;

the right of the limited partners to consent to transfers of the general partnership interest and mergers under specified circumstances; and

Table of Contents

restrictions on debt levels and equity requirements pursuant to the terms of our series A preferred units, as well as required distributions to holders of series A preferred units of our operating partnership, following certain changes of control of us.

Purposes, Business and Management

The purpose of the operating partnership includes the conduct of any business, enterprise or activity permitted by or under the Maryland Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act. The operating partnership may enter into partnerships, joint ventures or similar arrangements and may own interests in any other entity. However, the operating partnership may not, without our consent, take, or refrain from taking, any action that, in our judgment, in our sole and absolute discretion:

could adversely affect our ability to continue to qualify as a REIT;

could subject us to any taxes under Code Section 857 or Code Section 4981 or any other related or successor provision under the Code; or

could violate any law or regulation of any governmental body or agency having jurisdiction over us, our securities or the operating partnership.

In general, our board of directors manages the business and affairs of the operating partnership by directing our business and affairs, in our capacity as the general partner of the operating partnership.

Except as otherwise expressly provided in the partnership agreement, all management powers over the business and affairs of the operating partnership are exclusively vested in us, in our capacity as the general partner of the operating partnership. No limited partner or any other person to whom one or more partnership units has been transferred may, in its capacity as a limited partner or assignee, participate in or exercise control or management power over the business and affairs of the operating partnership. The general partner may not be removed by the partners with or without cause, except with the general partner's consent. In addition to the powers granted to the general partner under applicable law or that are granted to the general partner under any other provision of the partnership agreement, the general partner, subject to the other provisions of the partnership agreement (including the restrictions on the general partner's authority described below), has the full and exclusive power and authority to do all things deemed necessary or desirable by the general partner to conduct the business of the operating partnership, to exercise or direct the exercise of all powers of the operating partnership and to effectuate the purposes of the operating partnership. The operating partnership may incur debt or enter into credit, guarantee, financing or refinancing arrangements for any purpose, including, without limitation, in connection with any acquisition of properties, upon such terms as the general partner determines to be appropriate. Except in connection with certain transactions involving the general partner discussed in Restrictions on Mergers, Sales, Transfers and Other Significant Transactions of the General Partner and Material Terms of Series A Preferred Units Voting and Consent Rights, the general partner may authorize the operating partnership to dispose of any, all or substantially all of the assets (including the goodwill) of the operating partnership or merge, consolidate, reorganize or otherwise combine with or into another entity. With limited exceptions, the general partner is authorized to execute, deliver and perform agreements and transactions on behalf of the operating partnership without any further act, approval or vote of the limited partners.

Restrictions on General Partner's Authority

The general partner may not take any action in contravention of the partnership agreement. The general partner may not, without the prior consent of a majority in interest of the partners (including us), undertake any actions on behalf of the operating partnership, or enter into any transaction, that would have the effect of amending, modifying or terminating the partnership agreement, except as provided in the partnership agreement. For a description of the provisions of the partnership agreement permitting the general partner to amend the

Table of Contents

partnership agreement without the consent of the limited partners see Amendment of the Partnership Agreement Amendment by the General Partner without the Consent of the Limited Partners. The general partner may not, without the prior consent of a majority in interest of the limited partners holding common units (excluding us and any limited partner a majority of whose equity is owned, directly or indirectly, by us), transfer all or any portion of its interest in the operating partnership, withdraw as the general partner of the operating partnership or admit into the operating partnership any successor general partners, subject to the exceptions discussed in Transfers and Withdrawals Restrictions on Transfers by General Partner.

In addition, the general partner may not amend the partnership agreement or take any action on behalf of the operating partnership, without the prior consent of each partner adversely affected by such amendment or action, if such amendment or action would:

convert a limited partner into a general partner;

modify the limited liability of a limited partner;

alter the rights of any limited partner to receive the distributions to which such partner is entitled, or alter the allocations specified in the partnership agreement, except in connection with the creation and issuance of any class or series of units, to the extent permitted by the partnership agreement;

alter or modify the redemption rights or conversion rights of limited partners and certain qualifying assignees or the related definitions;

alter the restrictions on the general partner's ability to transfer all or any portion of its interest in the operating partnership or voluntarily withdraw as the general partner;

enter into any contract, mortgage, loan or other agreement that expressly prohibits or restricts, or has the effect of prohibiting or restricting, the general partner or the operating partnership from performing its obligations in connection with the redemption of units or any limited partner from exercising its redemption or conversion rights under the partnership agreement;

remove, alter or amend certain provisions of the partnership agreement related to the requirements for us to qualify as a REIT or permitting us to avoid paying tax under Code Sections 857 or 4981;

reduce any limited partners' rights to indemnification;

create any liability of the limited partners not already provided in the partnership agreement; or

amend the provisions of the partnership agreement requiring the consent of each affected partner before taking any of the actions described above.

Additional Limited Partners

Subject to the rights of limited partners holding series A preferred units, the general partner may cause the operating partnership to issue additional units from time to time, on terms and conditions and for such capital contributions as may be established by the general partner in its sole and absolute discretion. The net capital contribution need not be equal for all limited partners. No person may be admitted as an additional

limited partner without the general partner's consent, which consent may be given or withheld in its sole and absolute discretion.

Table of Contents

No action or consent by the limited partners is required in connection with the admission of any additional limited partner. The general partner is expressly authorized to cause the operating partnership to issue additional units:

upon the conversion, redemption or exchange of any debt, units or other securities issued by the operating partnership;

for less than fair market value, so long as the general partner concludes in good faith that such issuance is in the best interests of the general partner and the operating partnership; and

in connection with any merger of any other entity into the operating partnership.

Subject to the rights of the limited partners holding series A preferred units, any additional units may be issued in one or more classes, or one or more series of any of such classes, with such designations, preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers or rights, restrictions, limitations as to distributions, qualifications or terms or conditions of redemption (including, without limitation, terms that may be senior or otherwise entitled to preference over existing units) as the general partner shall determine, in its sole and absolute discretion without the approval of any limited partner or any other person. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the general partner has authority to specify:

the allocations of items of partnership income, gain, loss, deduction and credit to each such class or series of units;

the right of each such class or series of units to share in distributions;

the rights of each such class or series of units upon dissolution and liquidation of the operating partnership;

the voting rights, if any, of each such class or series of units; and

the conversion, redemption or exchange rights applicable to each such class or series of units.

In connection with this offering, our operating partnership will issue to us an equivalent number of series B preferred units that, subject to the rights of holders of series A preferred units, will have rights as to distributions and upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up that are substantially similar to those of the series B preferred stock described in Description of Series B Preferred Stock.

Ability to Engage in Other Businesses; Conflicts of Interest

We may not conduct any business other than in connection with the ownership, acquisition and disposition of partnership interests, the management of the business of the operating partnership, our operation as a reporting company with a class or classes of securities registered under the Exchange Act, our operations as a REIT, the offering, sale, syndication, private placement or public offering of stock, bonds, securities or other interests related to the partnership or its assets or activities or our activities in our capacity as general partner, financing or refinancing of any type related to the operating partnership or its assets or activities, and such activities as are incidental to those activities discussed above. We may, however, in our sole and absolute discretion, from time to time hold or acquire assets in our own name or otherwise other than through the operating partnership so long as we take commercially reasonable measures to ensure that the economic benefits and burdens of such property are otherwise vested in the operating partnership.

Table of Contents

Distributions

We are required to cause the operating partnership to distribute quarterly, or on a more or less frequent basis as we may determine, all, or such portion as we may in our sole and absolute discretion determine, of the available cash (as such term is defined in the partnership agreement) generated by the operating partnership during such quarter to us and the limited partners:

first, with respect to the series A preferred units and any other units that are entitled to any preference in distribution, in accordance with the rights of such class or classes of units, and, within such class or classes, among the holders of such units, pro rata in proportion to their respective percentage interests;

second, with respect to the series B preferred units and any other units ranking on parity with the series B preferred units as to distributions, in accordance with the rights of holders of such units, as applicable, and, within such class, among the holders of such units, pro rata in proportion to their respective percentage interests; and

third, with respect to any units that are not entitled to any preference in distribution, including common units, in accordance with the rights of holders of such units, as applicable, and, within such class, among the holders of such units, pro rata in proportion to their respective percentage interests.

Distributions payable with respect to any units that were not outstanding during the entire quarterly period in respect of which a distribution is made, other than units issued to us in connection with the issuance of shares of our common stock or series B preferred stock, will be prorated based on the portion of the period that such units were outstanding.

Allocations

Net income or net loss of our operating partnership will generally be allocated to us, as the general partner, and to the limited partners in accordance with the partners' respective interests in the operating partnership. Allocations of net income will be made, first, to holders of series A preferred units to the extent of the accrued preferred return on such units and then to us to the extent of the accrued preferred return on our series B preferred units. Any remaining net income will be allocated to holders of common units. Allocations to holders of common units will generally be made proportionately to all such holders in respect of such units. However, in some cases gain or loss may be disproportionately allocated to partners who have contributed appreciated property or guaranteed debt of our operating partnership. The allocations described above are subject to special rules relating to depreciation deductions and to compliance with the provisions of Sections 704(b) and 704(c) of the Code and the associated Treasury Regulations. See *Federal Income Tax Considerations*, *Taxation of Our Company*, *Tax Aspects of Our Operating Partnership*, *the Subsidiary Partnerships* and *the Limited Liability Companies*.

Reimbursement of Expenses; Transactions with Our Affiliates and Us

We are not entitled to receive any compensation for our services as the general partner of our operating partnership. Through our interest in our operating partnership, we have the same right to distributions as other holders of common units. In addition, the operating partnership is required to reimburse us for all amounts expended by us in connection with the operating partnership's business, including expenses relating to the ownership of interests in and management and operation of, or for the benefit of, the operating partnership, compensation of our officers and employees and officers and employees of the operating partnership, including payments under future compensation plans that may provide for stock units, or phantom stock, pursuant to which our employees or employees of the operating partnership will receive payments based upon dividends on or the value of our common stock, director or manager fees and expenses and all costs and expenses that we incur in

Table of Contents

connection with our being a public company, including costs of filings with the SEC, reports and other distributions to our stockholders. The operating partnership is required to reimburse us for all expenses incurred by us relating to any offering of stock, including any underwriting discounts or commissions in such case based on the percentage of the net proceeds from such issuance contributed to or otherwise made available to the operating partnership. Any reimbursement will be reduced by the amount of any interest that we earn on funds we hold on behalf of the operating partnership.

Except as expressly permitted by the partnership agreement, we and our affiliates may not engage in any transactions with the operating partnership except on terms we determine in good faith to be fair and reasonable.

Our Liability and that of the Limited Partners

We, as general partner of the operating partnership, are ultimately liable for all general recourse obligations of the operating partnership to the extent not paid by the operating partnership. We are not liable for the nonrecourse obligations of the operating partnership.

Except as may be provided in the terms of any class or series of units, the limited partners are not required to make additional contributions to the operating partnership. Assuming that a limited partner does not take part in the control of the business of the operating partnership, the liability of the limited partner for obligations of the operating partnership under the partnership agreement and the Maryland Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act is generally limited to the loss of the limited partner's investment in the operating partnership represented by such limited partner's units.

Exculpation and Indemnification

The partnership agreement generally provides that we, as general partner, and any of our respective directors or officers will incur no liability to the operating partnership, or any limited partner or assignee, for losses sustained or liabilities incurred or benefits not derived as a result of errors in judgment, mistakes of fact or law or any acts or omissions if we or such officer or director acted in good faith. The partnership agreement also provides that we will not be liable to the operating partnership or any partner for monetary damages for losses sustained, liabilities incurred or benefits not derived by the operating partnership or any limited partner, except for liability for our intentional harm or gross negligence. In addition, we, as general partner, are not responsible to the partnership for any misconduct or negligence on the part of our employees or agents, provided we appointed such employees or agents in good faith. We, as general partner, may consult with legal counsel, accountants, appraisers, management consultants, investment bankers and other consultants and advisors, and any action we take or omit to take in reliance upon the opinion of such persons, as to matters which we, as general partner, reasonably believe to be within their professional or expert competence, will be conclusively presumed to have been done or omitted in good faith and in accordance with such opinion.

The partnership agreement also provides for the indemnification of us, as general partner, and our directors, officers and employees, officers and employees of the operating partnership and such other persons as we, as general partner, may from time to time designate from and against any and all losses, claims, damages, liabilities, joint or several, expenses, judgments, fines, settlements and other amounts arising from any and all claims, demands, actions, suits or proceedings that relate to the operations of the operating partnership, provided that such person will not be indemnified for (i) any act or omission of such person that was material to the matter giving rise to the action and either was committed in bad faith or was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, (ii) in the case of any criminal proceeding, any act or omission that such person had reason to believe was unlawful, or (iii) any transaction for which such person actually received an improper personal benefit in money, property or services or otherwise, in violation or breach of any provision of the partnership agreement. The operating partnership must also pay or reimburse the reasonable expenses of any such person upon its receipt of a written affirmation of the person's good faith belief that the standard of conduct necessary for indemnification has been met and a written undertaking to repay any amounts paid or advanced if it is ultimately

Table of Contents

determined that the person did not meet the standard of conduct for indemnification. The operating partnership will not indemnify or advance funds to any person with respect to any action initiated by the person seeking indemnification (other than an action to enforce such person's right to indemnification under the partnership agreement) without our approval or if the person is found to be liable to the operating partnership on any portion of any claim in the action.

The partnership agreement also provides for the indemnification of each of the limited partners of our operating partnership, their affiliates and each of their respective directors, officers, stockholders and any other individual acting on its or their behalf, from and against any costs incurred by such person resulting from any litigation or other proceeding in which any limited partner is named as a defendant or any claim threatened or asserted against any limited partner that relates to the operations of the operating partnership or any obligation assumed by the operating partnership, unless such costs are the result of intentional harm or gross negligence on the part of, or a breach of partnership agreement by, such limited partner.

Sales of Partnership Assets; Mergers; Consolidations

Under the partnership agreement, the general partner generally has the authority to cause the operating partnership to sell all or substantially all of the assets of the operating partnership or to merge, consolidate or otherwise combine its assets with another entity, without the consent or approval of any limited partner, subject to certain limitations described below. However, in connection with the acquisition of properties from persons to whom the general partner issued units as part of the purchase price, in order to preserve such persons' tax deferral, the general partner may contractually agree, in general, not to sell or otherwise transfer the properties for a specified period of time, or in some instances, not to sell or otherwise transfer the properties without compensating the sellers of the properties for their loss of the tax deferral.

Redemption Rights of Limited Partners

After fourteen months of becoming a holder of common units, each limited partner and some assignees have the right, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the partnership agreement, to require the operating partnership to redeem all or a portion of the common units held by such party in exchange for a cash amount per common unit equal to the value of one share of our common stock, as determined in accordance with, and subject to adjustment as provided in, the partnership agreement. The operating partnership's obligation to effect a redemption, however, will not arise or be binding against the operating partnership unless and until we, as general partner, decline or fail to exercise our prior and independent right to acquire such common units in exchange for common stock.

On or before the close of business on the fifth business day after a limited partner gives us a notice of redemption with respect to common units, we may, in our sole and absolute discretion but subject to the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock discussed in [Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer](#), acquire some or all of the tendered common units from the tendering party in exchange for shares of common stock, based on an exchange ratio of one share of common stock for each common unit, subject to adjustment as provided in the partnership agreement. The partnership agreement does not obligate us to register, qualify or list any common stock issued in exchange for common units with the SEC, with any state securities commissioner, department or agency, or with any stock exchange. Common stock issued in exchange for common units pursuant to the partnership agreement may contain legends regarding restrictions under the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws as we in good faith determine to be necessary or advisable in order to ensure compliance with securities laws.

Our operating partnership will be required to redeem series B preferred units from us in connection with any redemption by us of shares of series B preferred stock. See [Description of Series B Preferred Stock Optional Redemption](#) and [Description of Series B Preferred Stock Special Optional Redemption](#).

Table of Contents

The partnership agreement also provides redemption rights with respect to our series A preferred units as described below in **Material Terms of Our Series A Preferred Units**.

Transfers and Withdrawals

The partnership agreement restricts the transferability of units. Any transfer or purported transfer of a unit not made in accordance with the partnership agreement will be void.

Restrictions on Transfer by Limited Partners

Until the expiration of 14 months from the date on which a limited partner first acquired units, such limited partner generally may not, without our consent, directly or indirectly transfer all or any portion of its units to any transferee, except for certain permitted transfers to certain affiliates, family members and charities, transfers by a person who was a limited partner upon the completion of our initial public offering to its shareholders, members, partners or beneficiaries and certain pledges of units to lending institutions in connection with bona fide loans.

After the expiration of 14 months from the date on which a limited partner first acquired units, in addition to the permitted transfers described above, such limited partner has the right to transfer all or any portion of its units to any person that is an accredited investor, subject to the satisfaction of conditions specified in the partnership agreement, including minimum transfer requirements and our right of first refusal. For purposes of this transfer restriction, accredited investor has the meaning set forth in Rule 501 promulgated under the Securities Act. It is a condition to any transfer that the transferee assumes by operation of law or express agreement all of the obligations of the transferor limited partner under the partnership agreement with respect to such units, and no such transfer will relieve the transferor limited partner of its obligations under the partnership agreement without our approval, in our sole and absolute discretion. This transfer restriction does not apply to a statutory merger or consolidation pursuant to which all obligations and liabilities of the limited partner are assumed by a successor corporation by operation of law.

In connection with any transfer of units other than a permitted transfer, we will have the right to require an opinion of counsel reasonably satisfactory to us to the effect that the proposed transfer may be effected without registration under the Securities Act, and will not otherwise violate any federal or state securities laws or regulations applicable to the operating partnership or the partnership interests or units transferred.

No transfer by a limited partner of its units, including in connection with any redemption or any acquisition of partnership interests or units by us or by the operating partnership, may be made to any person without our consent if:

it could result in the operating partnership being treated as an association taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes;

it could result in a termination of the partnership under Code Section 708;

it could be treated as effectuated through an established securities market or a secondary market (or the substantial equivalent thereof) within the meaning of Code Section 7704 and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder; or

it could result in the operating partnership being unable to qualify for one or more of the safe harbors set forth in Treasury Regulations Section 1.7704-1 (or such other guidance subsequently published by the IRS setting forth safe harbors under which interests will not be treated as readily tradable on a secondary market (or the substantial equivalent thereof) within the meaning of Section 7704 of the Code).

Table of Contents

In addition, except in the case of permitted transfers, we have a right of first refusal with respect to any proposed transfers by other limited partners, exercisable within ten business days of notice of the transfer and a description of the proposed consideration to be paid for the operating partnership units.

Admission of Substituted Limited Partners

No limited partner will have the right to substitute a transferee as a limited partner in its place. A transferee of the interest of a limited partner may be admitted as a substituted limited partner only with our consent, which consent may be given or withheld in our sole and absolute discretion. If we in our sole and absolute discretion, do not consent to the admission of any permitted transferee as a substituted limited partner, such transferee will be considered an assignee for purposes of the partnership agreement. An assignee will be entitled to all the rights of an assignee of a limited partnership interest under the partnership agreement and the Maryland Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act, including the right to receive distributions from the operating partnership and the share of net income, net losses and other items of income, gain, loss, deduction and credit of the operating partnership attributable to the units assigned to such transferee and the rights to transfer and redemption of the units provided in the partnership agreement, but will not be deemed to be a limited partner for any other purpose under the partnership agreement or the Maryland Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act, and will not be entitled to effect a consent or vote with respect to such units on any matter presented to the limited partners for approval. The right to consent or vote, to the extent provided in the partnership agreement or under the Maryland Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act, will fully remain with the transferor limited partner.

Restrictions on Transfers by General Partner

We, as general partner, may not transfer any of our units or other partnership interest, whether by sale, disposition, statutory merger or consolidation, liquidation or otherwise, unless:

we transfer our units in a merger, consolidation or other combination of our assets with another entity, a sale of all or substantially all of our assets or a reclassification, recapitalization or change in any outstanding shares of the our stock described below in *Restrictions on Mergers, Sales, Transfers and Other Significant Transactions of the General Partner* or we receive the prior consent of a majority in interest of the limited partners holding common units (excluding us and any limited partner whose equity is owned, directly or indirectly, by us);

the transferee is admitted as a general partner pursuant to the terms of the partnership agreement;

the transferee assumes, by operation of law or express agreement, all of the obligations of the general partner under the partnership agreement with respect to such transferred partnership interest; and

the transferee has executed such instruments as may be necessary to effectuate such admission and to confirm the agreement of such transferee to be bound by all the terms and provisions of the partnership agreement with respect to the partnership interest so acquired and the admission of such transferee as the general partner.

Restrictions on Transfers by Any Partner

Units and other partnership interests may be transferred only on the first day of a fiscal quarter unless we otherwise agree. No partner may transfer any units, including in connection with any redemption or acquisition of partnership interests or units by us or by the operating partnership, if:

the proposed transferee lacks the legal right, power or capacity to own the interest or units to be transferred;

Table of Contents

the proposed transfer would violate applicable law;

the proposed transfer is of any component portion of a partnership interest, such as a partner's capital account or rights to distributions, separate and apart from all other components of the partner's interest in the partnership, unless we consent, which we may give or withhold in our sole and absolute discretion;

the proposed transfer could cause us or any of our affiliates to fail to comply with the requirements under the Code for qualifying as a REIT or qualified REIT subsidiary (within the meaning of Code Section 856(i)(2));

the proposed transfer could, based on the advice of counsel to us or the operating partnership, cause a termination of the operating partnership for federal or state income tax purposes (other than as a result of the redemption (or acquisition by us) of all units held by all limited partners or with our consent, which we may give or withhold in our sole and absolute discretion);

the proposed transfer could, based on the advice of legal counsel to the operating partnership, cause the operating partnership to cease to be classified as a partnership for federal income tax purposes (other than as a result of the redemption (or acquisition by us) of all units held by all limited partners);

the proposed transfer would cause the operating partnership to become, with respect to any employee benefit plan subject to Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, or ERISA, a party-in-interest (as defined in ERISA Section 3(14)) or a disqualified person (as defined in Code Section 4975(c));

the proposed transfer could, based on the advice of counsel to us or the operating partnership, cause any portion of the assets of the operating partnership to constitute assets of any employee benefit plan pursuant to United States Department of Labor Regulations Section 2510.3-101;

the proposed transfer requires the registration of such partnership interest or units under any applicable Federal or state securities laws;

the proposed transfer (1) could be treated as effectuated through an established securities market or a secondary market (or the substantial equivalent thereof) within the meaning of Section 7704 of the Code and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder, (2) could cause the operating partnership to become a publicly traded partnership, as such term is defined in Sections 469(k)(2) or 7704(b) of the Code, (3) could cause the operating partnership to have more than 100 partners or cause the partnership interest initially issued to such partner to be held by more than three partners, including, for these purposes, certain persons indirectly owning an interest in the operating partnership through an entity treated as a partnership or S corporation or disregarded entity for federal income tax purposes, or (4) could cause the operating partnership to fail one or more of the safe harbors set forth in Treasury Regulations Section 1.7704-1, except, in any case, with our consent, which we may give or withhold in our sole and absolute discretion;

the proposed transfer would cause the operating partnership (as opposed to us) to become a reporting company under the Exchange Act; or

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

the proposed transfer would subject the operating partnership to regulation under the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Investment Advisors Act of 1940 or ERISA, each as amended.

Table of Contents

Withdrawal of Partners

We may not voluntarily withdraw as a general partner of the operating partnership without the consent of a majority in interest of the limited partners holding common units (excluding us and any limited partner 50% or more of whose equity is owned, directly or indirectly, by us) other than upon the transfer of our entire interest in the operating partnership and the admission of our successor as a general partner of the operating partnership. A limited partner of the operating partnership may withdraw from the operating partnership only as a result of a transfer of the limited partner's entire interest in the operating partnership units in accordance with the partnership agreement and the admission of the limited partner's successor as a limited partner of the operating partnership or as a result of the redemption or acquisition by us of the limited partner's entire interest in the operating partnership.

Restrictions on Mergers, Sales, Transfers and Other Significant Transactions of the General Partner

We may not merge, consolidate or otherwise combine our assets with another entity, or sell all or substantially all of our assets not in the ordinary course of our business, or reclassify, recapitalize or change the terms of our outstanding common equity interests (other than in connection with a stock split, reverse stock split, stock dividend, change in par value, increase in authorized shares, designation or issuance of new classes of equity securities or any event that does not require the approval of our stockholders), unless:

such event has been approved by the consent of a majority in interest of the partners, including us, and all limited partners holding common units will receive, or will have the right to elect to receive, for each common unit, consideration that is equivalent to the greatest amount of cash, securities or other property received by a holder of one share of our common stock; and, if such event occurs in connection with a purchase, tender or exchange offer, each holder of common units has the right to receive, or elect to receive, the greatest amount of cash, securities or other property that such holder of units would have received had it exercised its right to redemption pursuant to the partnership agreement and received shares of our common stock in exchange for its units immediately before the expiration of the purchase, tender or exchange offer and had accepted the purchase, tender or exchange offer; or

substantially all of the assets of our operating partnership are to be owned by a surviving entity in which our limited partners holding common units will hold a percentage interest based on the relative fair market value of the net assets of the operating partnership and the other net assets of such entity, which interest will be on terms that are at least as favorable as the terms of the common units and will include a right to redeem interests in such entity for the consideration described in the preceding bullet, cash on similar terms as those with respect to the common units or, if common equity securities of the person controlling the surviving entity are publicly traded, such common equity securities.

Amendment of the Partnership Agreement

Amendments to the partnership agreement may be proposed only by the general partner or by limited partners holding 25% or more of the partnership interests held by limited partners. Following such proposal, the general partner must submit to the partners entitled to vote thereon any proposed amendment that, pursuant to the terms of the partnership agreement, requires the consent, approval or vote of such partners. The general partner may seek the written consent of the partners entitled to vote on the proposed amendment or call a meeting of the partners to vote on the proposed amendment and to transact any other business that it may deem appropriate. If the general partner seeks the written consent of the partners entitled to vote on a proposed amendment, the general partner may require a response within a reasonable specified time, but not less than fifteen days, and failure to respond in such time period shall constitute a partner's consent consistent with the general partner's recommendation, if any, with respect to the proposed amendment.

Table of Contents

Amendment by the General Partner without the Consent of the Limited Partners

The general partner has the power, without the consent of the limited partners, to amend the partnership agreement as may be required to facilitate or implement any of the following purposes:

to add to its obligations as general partner or surrender any right or power granted to it or any of its affiliates for the benefit of the limited partners;

to reflect the admission, substitution or withdrawal of partners, the transfer of any partnership interest or the termination of the operating partnership in accordance with the partnership agreement;

to reflect a change that is of an inconsequential nature or does not adversely affect the limited partners in any material respect, or to cure any ambiguity, correct or supplement any provision in the partnership agreement not inconsistent with law or with other provisions of the partnership agreement, or make other changes with respect to matters arising under the partnership agreement that will not be inconsistent with law or with the provisions of the partnership agreement;

subject to certain rights of limited partners holding series A preferred units, to set forth or amend the designations, preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to distributions, qualifications or terms or conditions of redemption of the holders of any additional partnership interests issued pursuant to the partnership agreement;

to reflect the termination of the series A preferred units if and from the time that all of the series A preferred units shall no longer be, or be deemed to be, outstanding for any purpose;

to satisfy any requirements, conditions or guidelines contained in any order, directive, opinion, ruling or regulation of a federal or state agency or contained in federal or state law;

to reflect such changes as are reasonably necessary for the general partner to maintain its status as a REIT or to reflect the transfer of all or any part of a partnership interest among the general partner and any entity treated as a disregarded entity with respect to the general partner for federal income tax purposes;

to modify the manner in which items of net income or net loss are allocated or the manner in which capital accounts are adjusted, computed, or maintained (but in each case only to the extent provided by the partnership agreement); and

to reflect the issuance of additional partnership interests permitted under the partnership agreement.

Amendment With the Consent of the Limited Partners

Except as discussed above and under **Material Terms of Our Series A Preferred Units Voting and Consent Rights**, the general partner may amend the partnership agreement only with the consent of the partners, including the general partner.

Procedures for Actions and Consents of Partners

Meetings of the partners may be called by the general partner at any time in its own discretion, and must be called by the general partner upon the written request of limited partners holding 25% or more of the partnership interests held by limited partners. Notice of any such meeting

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

must be given to all partners entitled to act at the meeting not less than seven days nor more than 60 days prior to the date of such meeting.
Partners may

Table of Contents

vote in person or by proxy at such meeting. Each meeting of partners will be conducted by the general partner or such other person as it may appoint pursuant to such rules for the conduct of the meeting as it or such other person deems appropriate in its sole and absolute discretion. Whenever the vote or consent of partners is permitted or required under the partnership agreement, such vote or consent may be given at a meeting of partners or may be given by written consent. Any action required or permitted to be taken at a meeting of the partners may be taken without a meeting if a written consent is signed by partners holding a majority in interest of the outstanding partnership interests, including the general partner (or such other percentage as is expressly required by the partnership agreement for the action in question). The series B preferred units do not carry any voting rights, and our ownership of series B preferred units will not increase our voting power on any matter that requires approval by partners holding a majority (or other percentage) in interest of the outstanding partnership interests.

Dissolution

The operating partnership will dissolve, and its affairs will be wound up, upon the first to occur of any of the following:

the removal of the last remaining general partner in accordance with the partnership agreement, the withdrawal of the last remaining general partner in violation of the partnership agreement, the death, adjudication of incompetency, dissolution or other termination of the legal existence of the last remaining general partner or the occurrence of certain events relating to the bankruptcy or insolvency of the last remaining general partner unless, within ninety days after the withdrawal, a majority in interest of the limited partners remaining agree in writing, in their sole and absolute discretion, to continue the business of the operating partnership and to the appointment, effective as of the date of such withdrawal, of a successor general partner;

an election to dissolve the operating partnership made by the general partner in its sole and absolute discretion, with or without the consent of the partners;

the entry of a decree of judicial dissolution of the operating partnership pursuant to the provisions of the Maryland Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act;

the occurrence of any sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the operating partnership not in the ordinary course of its business or a related series of transactions that, taken together, result in the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the operating partnership not in the ordinary course of its business; or

the redemption, or acquisition by us or the partnership, of all partnership interests other than partnership interests held by us.

Upon dissolution of the operating partnership, or, in the event that there is no remaining general partner, a liquidator will proceed to liquidate the assets of the operating partnership and apply the proceeds from such liquidation in the order of priority set forth in the partnership agreement.

Tax Matters

Pursuant to the partnership agreement, we, as the general partner, are the tax matters partner of our operating partnership, and in such capacity, have the authority to handle tax audits on behalf of the operating partnership. In addition, as the general partner, we have the authority to arrange for the preparation and filing of the operating partnership's tax returns and to make tax elections under the Code on behalf of the operating partnership.

Table of Contents

Material Terms of Our Series A Preferred Units

The following is a discussion of certain of the rights, privileges and preferences of the series A preferred units.

Liquidation Preference

In the event of any voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the affairs of the operating partnership, holders of series A preferred units will be entitled to receive and be paid in cash an amount equal to \$25.00 per preferred unit plus any accrued and unpaid distributions before any distribution or payment may be made with respect to any other series or class of partnership interest ranking junior to the series A preferred units (but only to the extent consistent with a liquidation in accordance with positive capital account balances).

Distributions

Holders of series A preferred units are entitled to receive, when, as and if declared by the operating partnership, out of available cash, cumulative preferential cash distributions in an amount equal to 6.25% per annum of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per unit from the date of issuance of such unit, payable quarterly in arrears on or before the last calendar day of March, June, September and December of each year, commencing on the first of such dates to occur after the completion of our initial public offering. Distributions that are due but unpaid will accumulate and compound quarterly. If any such preferential distribution payments for any past quarterly period are in arrears, no distributions may be authorized or paid on any other series or class of partnership interest ranking junior to the series A preferred units, nor shall any other series or class of partnership interests ranking junior to the series A preferred units be redeemed, purchased or acquired by the operating partnership or us, except for:

a redemption of common units from us in connection with a redemption or repurchase by us of common stock for cash pursuant to the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock described in **Description of Stock** **Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer** or a redemption of preferred units from us in connection with a redemption or repurchase by us of outstanding preferred stock for cash;

the acquisition by us of common units tendered for redemption with shares of our common stock; or

the conversion into or exchange for shares of our common stock or units ranking junior to the series A preferred units with no cash distributed.

Redemption Rights

Beginning on June 29, 2013, each limited partner holding series A preferred units and certain assignees will have the right, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the partnership agreement, to require the operating partnership to redeem all or a portion of their series A preferred units in exchange for a cash redemption price equal to \$25.00 per unit plus any accrued distributions that have not been paid on or prior to the redemption date. The operating partnership's obligation to effect a redemption, however, will not arise or be binding against the operating partnership unless and until we, as general partner, decline or fail to exercise our prior and independent right to acquire such preferred units in exchange for shares of our common stock that are issued under an effective registration statement under the Securities Act.

Any notice of redemption must be delivered at least 30 business days prior to the last day of the calendar quarter in which the redemption right is being exercised. On or before the close of business on the tenth business day after such a notice of redemption is received, we may, in our sole and absolute discretion but subject to the

Table of Contents

restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock discussed in Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer, acquire some or all of the tendered series A preferred units in exchange for a number of registered shares of our common stock per unit with a value equal to the redemption price per unit, such value to be based on the 10-day trailing average closing price of our common stock calculated as of the business day immediately prior to the date of redemption.

Conversion Rights

Beginning on June 29, 2013, each limited partner holding series A preferred units and certain assignees will have the right, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the partnership agreement, to convert all or any portion of its series A preferred units into a number of common units with a value equal to the aggregate redemption price of the series A preferred units tendered for conversion, the value of such common units to be based on the 10-day trailing average closing price of our common stock calculated as of the business day immediately prior to the date of redemption. Any such conversion of series A preferred units will be deemed to have been made at the close of business on the date that we, as general partner, receive notice of conversion.

In the event of a recapitalization, reclassification or change of outstanding common units (other than a subdivision or combination of outstanding common units), a merger, sale or other business combination of our operating partnership, a sale, conveyance or lease to another or entity of all or substantially all of the operating partnership's property and assets (other than to one or more of our subsidiaries) or an exchange of substantially all of the outstanding common units for securities of another entity, in each case in which holders of common units are entitled to receive securities, other property or assets with respect to or in exchange for their common units, qualifying holders of series A preferred units will thereafter be entitled to convert their series A preferred units into the kind and amount of securities or other consideration that such holder would have owned or been entitled to receive upon such a business combination if such holder had converted its series A preferred units into common units immediately before the business combination.

Voting and Consent Rights

Generally, the series A preferred units are entitled to limited voting rights and in most cases vote on an as-converted basis with the holders of common units on any matter on which all limited partners are entitled to vote. However, so long as any series A preferred units remain outstanding, the consent of the limited partners holding a majority in interest of series A preferred units other than any limited partner 50% or more of whose equity is owned, directly or indirectly, by us will be required to:

authorize, designate or issue any class or series of partnership interests ranking *pari passu* with or senior to the series A preferred units with respect to payment of dividends or the distribution of assets upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the affairs of the operating partnership;

increase the authorized or issued amount of series A preferred units; or

amend, alter or repeal the terms of the series A preferred units, whether by merger, consolidation, transfer or conveyance of all or substantially all of the operating partnership's assets or otherwise, so as to materially and adversely affect any right, preference or privilege of the series A preferred units, except that, so long as the series A preferred units remain outstanding following any such merger, consolidation, transfer or conveyance of all or substantially all of the operating partnership's assets with the terms thereof materially unchanged, taking into account that, upon the occurrence of such an event, the operating partnership may not be the surviving entity and the surviving entity may not be a limited partnership, the occurrence of such an event will not be deemed to materially and adversely affect the rights, preferences or privileges of the series A preferred units and, in such case, no consent of limited partners holding series A preferred units would be required.

Table of Contents

except as discussed below under **General Partner Fundamental Change**, effect a fundamental change, which is generally defined as a merger, consolidation or other combination of our assets with another entity, a sale of all or substantially all of our assets not in the ordinary course of our business, a reclassification, recapitalization or change in the terms of our outstanding common equity interests (other than in connection with a stock split, reverse stock split, stock dividend, change in par value, increase in authorized shares, designation or issuance of new classes of equity securities or any event that does not require the approval of our stockholders), as a result of which our stock ceases to be publicly traded or common units cease to be exchangeable (at our option) for publicly traded shares of our stock.

General Partner Fundamental Change

Without the approval of limited partners holding a majority in interest of the series A preferred units, we may not engage in a fundamental change, unless upon consummation of such a fundamental change transaction the partnership agreement or other organizational documents of any successor to the operating partnership will contain certain provisions requiring our operating partnership or such successor to:

make minimum tax distributions to holders of our series A preferred units;

continue to own an aggregate of at least 33% of the equity in our operating partnership through the ownership of equity interests which are subordinate to our series A preferred units; and

refrain from incurring additional indebtedness if its ratio of total indebtedness to gross asset value exceeds 50%, or allow this leverage ratio to exceed 60%, so long as series A preferred units remain outstanding.

In connection with any fundamental change transaction, the operating partnership has the right to redeem all or any portion of the then outstanding series A preferred units for cash per unit equal to the redemption price.

Table of Contents**PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of shares of our common stock and shares of common stock into which units are exchangeable for (i) each person who is the beneficial owner of 5% or more of our outstanding common stock as of the time of this offering, (ii) each of our directors and executive officers, and (iii) all of our directors and executive officers as a group. Each person named in the table has sole voting and investment power with respect to all of the shares of our common stock shown as beneficially owned by such person, except as otherwise set forth in the notes to the table. The extent to which a person will hold shares of common stock as opposed to units is set forth in the footnotes below.

The SEC has defined beneficial ownership of a security to mean the possession, directly or indirectly, of voting power and/or investment power over such security. A stockholder is also deemed to be, as of any date, the beneficial owner of all securities that such stockholder has the right to acquire within 60 days after that date through (a) the exercise of any option, warrant or right, (b) the conversion of a security, (c) the power to revoke a trust, discretionary account or similar arrangement, or (d) the automatic termination of a trust, discretionary account or similar arrangement. In computing the number of shares beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, common shares subject to options or other rights (as set forth above) held by that person that are exercisable as of December 2, 2010 or will become exercisable within 60 days thereafter, are deemed outstanding, while such shares are not deemed outstanding for purposes of computing percentage ownership of any other person.

Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each named person is c/o Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., 11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1600, Los Angeles, California 90025. No shares beneficially owned by any executive officer or director have been pledged as security.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares and Common Units Beneficially Owned	Percentage of All Shares ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of All Shares and Common Units ⁽²⁾
	Farallon Partners, L.L.C. ⁽³⁾	8,922,379	40.2%
Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	2,423,601	11.0%	9.8%
Victor J. Coleman	638,301	2.9%	2.6%
Howard S. Stern	270,478	1.2%	1.1%
Christopher Barton	17,647	*	*
Mark T. Lammas	17,647	*	*
Dale Shimoda	17,647	*	*
Theodore R. Antenucci	5,882	*	*
Mark Burnett	5,882	*	*
Richard B. Fried ⁽⁵⁾	8,922,379	40.2%	35.9%
Jonathan M. Glaser ⁽⁶⁾	30,882	*	*
Mark D. Linehan ⁽⁷⁾	8,382	*	*
Robert M. Moran, Jr.	5,882	*	*
Barry A. Porter ⁽⁸⁾	11,882	*	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (12 persons)	9,952,891	44.8%	40.1%

* Represents less than 1.0%.

- (1) Based on 22,217,096 shares of common stock outstanding as of December 2, 2010. In addition, amounts for individuals assume that all common units held by the person are exchanged for shares of our common stock, and amounts for all directors and executive officers as a group assume all common units held by them are exchanged for shares of our common stock in each case, regardless of when such common units are exchangeable. The total number of shares of our common stock outstanding used in calculating this percentage assumes that none of the common units held by other persons are exchanged for shares of our common stock.
- (2) Based on 22,217,096 shares of our common stock and 2,610,941 common units held by limited partners outstanding as of December 2, 2010, which units may be redeemed for cash or, at our option, exchanged for shares of our common stock as described in Description of the Partnership Agreement of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. Does not include

Table of Contents

shares of our common stock that may be issued upon exchange of our series A preferred units issued in the formation transactions or upon exchange of common units into which such series A preferred units may be converted.

- (3) Farallon Partners, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, is the general partner of Farallon Capital Partners, L.P., Farallon Capital Institutional Partners, L.P. and Farallon Capital Institutional Partners III, L.P., and may be deemed to beneficially own the shares owned by each such partnership. As managing members of Farallon Partners, L.L.C, each of Richard B. Fried, Daniel J. Hirsch, Monica R. Landry, Michael G. Linn, Davide Leone, Douglas M. MacMahon, Stephen L. Millham, Rajiv A. Patel, Thomas G. Roberts, Jr., Andrew J. M. Spokes, Thomas F. Steyer and Mark C. Wehrly may be deemed to beneficially own the shares owned by Farallon Capital Partners, L.P., Farallon Capital Institutional Partners, L.P. and Farallon Capital Institutional Partners III, L.P. Farallon Partners, L.L.C. and each of its managing members disclaim any beneficial ownership of such shares. All of the above-mentioned entities and persons disclaim group attribution. Farallon Capital Partners, L.P. and Farallon Capital Institutional Partners, L.P. are California limited partnerships, and Farallon Capital Institutional Partners III, L.P. is a Delaware limited partnership. The address for all of the above-mentioned entities and persons is One Maritime Plaza, Suite 2100, San Francisco, CA 94111.
- (4) Cohen & Steers, Inc., a Delaware corporation, holds a 100% interest in Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc., a New York corporation. Cohen & Steers, Inc. and Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. together hold a 100% interest in Cohen & Steers Europe S.A., a Belgium limited company. Cohen & Steers, Inc. may be deemed to beneficially own the shares owned by Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. and Cohen & Steers Europe S.A. The principal address for Cohen & Steers, Inc. and Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. is 280 Park Avenue, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10017. The principal address for Cohen & Steers Europe S.A. is Chausee de la Hulpe 116, 1170 Brussels, Belgium. The information in this footnote is based solely upon a Schedule 13G filed by Cohen & Steers, Inc. on August 10, 2010.
- (5) Mr. Fried is a managing member of Farallon Partners, L.L.C. and may be deemed to have beneficial ownership of the shares of common stock and common units owned by each of the following entities of which Farallon Partners, L.L.C. is the general partner: Farallon Capital Partners, L.P.; Farallon Capital Institutional Partners, L.P.; and Farallon Capital Institutional Partners III, L.P. Mr. Fried disclaims beneficial ownership of all such shares.
- (6) Includes 25,000 shares of our common stock purchased in our initial public offering.
- (7) Includes 2,500 shares of our common stock purchased in our initial public offering.
- (8) Includes 6,000 shares of our common stock purchased in our initial public offering.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF SERIES B PREFERRED STOCK

*The following description of the material terms and provisions of the series B preferred stock does not purport to be complete and is subject to and qualified in its entirety by reference to applicable Maryland law and to our charter, including the articles supplementary setting forth the terms of the series B preferred stock, a form of which is attached as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, and our bylaws, copies of which are filed as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. See *Where You Can Find More Information*.*

General

Our charter provides that we may issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share. Prior to the completion of this offering, we had not issued any shares of preferred stock.

Subject to the limitations prescribed by Maryland law and our charter and bylaws, our board of directors is authorized to establish the number of shares constituting each class or series of preferred stock and to fix the designations and the preferences, conversion and other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends and other distributions, qualifications and terms and conditions of redemption of each such class or series.

Prior to the closing of this offering, we will supplement our charter to reflect the reclassification by our board of directors of 3,450,000 shares of our preferred stock as shares of % Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock and we will execute and file with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland articles supplementary setting forth the terms of the series B preferred stock, which we refer to as the series B preferred stock articles supplementary. The terms of the series B preferred stock, as set forth in the series B preferred stock articles supplementary and summarized below, will be in effect from and after the completion of this offering. When issued in accordance with this prospectus, our series B preferred stock will be validly issued, fully paid and nonassessable. The holders of series B preferred stock will have no preemptive rights with respect to any shares of our stock or any of our other securities convertible into or carrying rights or options to purchase any shares of our stock.

Our series B preferred stock will not be subject to any sinking fund and we will have no obligation to redeem or retire our series B preferred stock. Unless redeemed by us, our series B preferred stock will have a perpetual term, with no maturity.

The series B preferred stock articles supplementary permit us to reopen this series, without the consent of the holders of our series B preferred stock, in order to issue additional shares of series B preferred stock from time to time. Thus, we may in the future issue additional shares of series B preferred stock without your consent. Any additional shares of series B preferred stock will have the same terms as the shares of series B preferred stock being issued in this offering. These additional shares of series B preferred stock will, together with the shares of series B preferred stock being issued in this offering, constitute a single series of securities.

In connection with this offering, we, in accordance with the terms of the partnership agreement of our operating partnership, will contribute the net proceeds of the sale of the series B preferred stock to our operating partnership, and our operating partnership will issue to us % Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units, or series B preferred units. Our operating partnership will be required to make all required distributions on the series B preferred units prior to any distribution of cash or assets to the holder of any other units or any other equity interests in our operating partnership, except for any other series of partnership interests ranking on parity with such series B preferred units as to dividends or upon voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of our operating partnership, in which case distributions will be made pro rata with the series B preferred units, and except for any series of preferred units ranking senior to the series B preferred units as to dividends, or upon voluntary or involuntary liquidation, including the series A preferred units.

Table of Contents

Listing

We have applied to list our series B preferred stock on the NYSE under the symbol HPP Pr B. We expect trading of the shares of series B preferred stock on the NYSE, if listing is approved, to commence within 30 days after the date of initial delivery of the shares. See Underwriting for a discussion of the expected trading of our series B preferred stock on the NYSE.

Ranking

Our series B preferred stock will rank, with respect to payment of dividends and rights upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of our affairs:

senior to the Junior Shares (as defined under Dividends below), including shares of our common stock;

on parity with any class or series of our capital stock expressly designated as ranking on parity with the series B preferred stock; and

junior to any other class or series of our capital stock expressly designated as ranking senior to the series B preferred stock.

The term capital stock means shares of our stock of all classes and series and does not include convertible debt securities, which rank senior to the series B preferred stock prior to conversion, none of which are outstanding at this time.

While any shares of series B preferred stock are outstanding, we may not authorize or create any class or series of capital stock that ranks senior to our series B preferred stock with respect to the payment of dividends or amounts upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up without the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding shares of series B preferred stock, voting together as a single class with the holders of all other similarly-affected classes and series of Parity Shares (as defined below) upon which like voting rights have been conferred and with which holders of series B preferred stock are entitled to vote together as a single class on such matters. However, we may create additional classes or series of stock ranking on parity with or junior to the series B preferred stock, amend our charter to increase the authorized number of shares of common stock, preferred stock ranking on parity with or junior to the series B preferred stock, or preferred stock without further designation as to class or series, or issue Junior Shares or shares of one or more classes or series of preferred stock ranking on parity with our series B preferred stock with respect to the payment of dividends and amounts upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up (Parity Shares) without the consent of any holder of series B preferred stock. See Voting Rights below for a discussion of the voting rights applicable if we seek to create any class or series of preferred stock senior to our series B preferred stock.

Dividends

Holders of series B preferred stock will be entitled to receive, when, as and if authorized by our board of directors, out of funds legally available for payment, and declared by us, cumulative cash dividends at the rate of % per annum per share of its liquidation preference (equivalent to \$ per annum per share of series B preferred stock). However, if following a change of control (as defined below), either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not listed on the NYSE or quoted on NASDAQ (or listed or quoted on a successor exchange or quotation system), holders of our series B preferred stock will be entitled to receive, when and as authorized by our board of directors and declared by us, out of funds legally available for payment, cumulative cash dividends from, and including, the first date on which both the change of control has occurred and either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in

Table of Contents

exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not so listed or quoted at the increased rate of % per annum per share of the liquidation preference of our series B preferred stock, equivalent to \$ per annum per share of series B preferred stock, for as long as either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not so listed or quoted. The first dividend on our series B preferred stock sold in this offering is payable on December 31, 2010 (in the amount of \$ per share).

Dividends on each share of series B preferred stock will be cumulative from the date of original issue and are payable quarterly in arrears on or about the last calendar day of each March, June, September and December, commencing on or about December 31, 2010, at the then applicable annual rate; provided, however, that if any dividend payment date falls on any day other than a business day, as defined in the series B preferred stock articles supplementary, the dividend due on such dividend payment date shall be paid on the first business day immediately following such dividend payment date. Each dividend is payable to holders of record as they appear on our stock records at the close of business on the record date, not exceeding 30 days preceding the payment dates thereof as fixed by our board of directors. Dividends are cumulative from the date of original issue or the most recent dividend payment date to which dividends have been paid, whether or not in any dividend period or periods there shall be funds of ours legally available for the payment of such dividends. Accumulations of dividends on our series B preferred stock will not bear interest and holders of our series B preferred stock will not be entitled to any dividends in excess of full cumulative dividends. Dividends payable on our series B preferred stock for any period greater or less than a full dividend period will be computed on the basis of a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months. Dividends payable on our series B preferred stock for each full dividend period will be computed by dividing the annual dividend rate by four. Notwithstanding the foregoing, after full cumulative distributions on our outstanding series B preferred stock have been paid with respect to a dividend period, the holders of all series B preferred stock will not be entitled to any further distributions with respect to that dividend period.

No dividend will be declared, paid or set apart for payment on any Parity Shares unless full cumulative dividends have been declared and paid or are contemporaneously declared and paid or declared and funds sufficient for payment set aside on our series B preferred stock for all prior dividend periods; provided, however, that if accrued dividends on our series B preferred stock for all prior dividend periods have not been paid in full or a sum sufficient for such payment is not set apart, then any dividend authorized and declared on our series B preferred stock for any dividend period and on any Parity Shares will be authorized and declared ratably in proportion to accrued and unpaid dividends on our series B preferred stock and such Parity Shares. All dividends paid on our series B preferred stock, including any capital gain dividends, will be credited first to the earliest accrued and unpaid dividend.

We will not (i) declare, pay or set apart funds for the payment of any dividend or other distribution with respect to any Junior Shares (other than in Junior Shares) or (ii) redeem, purchase or otherwise acquire for consideration any Junior Shares through a sinking fund or otherwise (other than a redemption or purchase or other acquisition of shares of our common stock made for purposes of an employee incentive or benefit plan of our company or any subsidiary, a conversion into or exchange for Junior Shares or a purchase or redemption pursuant to the provisions of our charter intended to assist us in qualifying as a REIT), unless all cumulative dividends with respect to our series B preferred stock for all past dividend periods have been paid or funds have been set apart for payment of such dividends.

Our secured revolving credit facility prohibits us from distributing to our stockholders more than 95% of our funds from operations (as defined in our secured revolving credit facility) during any four consecutive fiscal quarters, except as necessary to enable us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes or to avoid the imposition of income and excise taxes on us. As a result, if we do not generate sufficient funds from operations (as defined in our secured revolving credit facility) during the twelve months preceding any series B preferred stock dividend payment date, we would not be able to pay all or a portion of the accumulated dividends payable

Table of Contents

to our series B preferred stockholders on such payment date without causing a default under our secured revolving credit facility.

As used herein, (i) the term **dividend** does not include dividends payable solely in Junior Shares on Junior Shares, or in options, warrants or rights to holders of Junior Shares to subscribe for or purchase any Junior Shares, and (ii) the term **Junior Shares** means shares of our common stock or any other class of our capital stock now or hereafter issued and outstanding that ranks junior as to the payment of dividends and amounts upon our liquidation, dissolution and winding up to our series B preferred stock.

A **change of control** shall be deemed to have occurred at such time as (i) the date a **person** or **group** (within the meaning of Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act) becomes the ultimate **beneficial owner** (as defined in Rules 13d-3 and 13d-5 under the Exchange Act, except that a person or group shall be deemed to have beneficial ownership of all shares of voting stock that such person or group has the right to acquire regardless of when such right is first exercisable), directly or indirectly, of voting stock representing more than 50% of the total voting power of our total voting stock; (ii) the date we sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets; or (iii) the date of the consummation of a merger or statutory share exchange of our company with another entity where (A) our stockholders immediately prior to the merger or statutory share exchange would not beneficially own, immediately after the merger or statutory share exchange, shares representing 50% or more of all votes (without consideration of the rights of any class of stock to elect directors by a separate group vote) to which all stockholders of the corporation issuing cash or securities in the merger or statutory share exchange would be entitled in the election of directors, or where (B) our directors immediately prior to the merger or statutory share exchange would not immediately after the merger or statutory share exchange constitute a majority of the board of directors of the corporation issuing cash or securities in the merger or statutory share exchange.

Voting stock shall mean stock of any class or kind having the power to vote generally in the election of directors.

Optional Redemption

We may not redeem our series B preferred stock prior to December 31, 2015, except in certain limited circumstances relating to the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock intended to assist us in qualifying as a REIT or at any time our series B preferred stock is not listed on the NYSE or quoted on NASDAQ (or listed or quoted on a successor exchange or quotation system) following a change of control. For further information regarding these exceptions, see **Special Optional Redemption**, **Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer** and **Description of Stock** **Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer** below. On or after December 31, 2015, we, at our option upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days written notice, may redeem our series B preferred stock, in whole, at any time, or in part, from time to time, for cash at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared) to, but not including, the date fixed for redemption.

A notice of optional redemption (which may be contingent on the occurrence of a future event) will be mailed, postage prepaid, not less than 30 nor more than 60 days prior to the redemption date, addressed to the holders of record of our series B preferred stock at their addresses as they appear on our stock transfer records. A failure to give such notice or any defect in the notice or in its mailing will not affect the validity of the proceedings for the redemption of any shares of series B preferred stock except as to the holder to whom notice was defective or not given. Each notice will state:

the redemption date;

the redemption price;

the number of shares of series B preferred stock to be redeemed;

Table of Contents

the place or places where the shares of series B preferred stock are to be surrendered for payment, together with the certificates, if any, representing such shares and any other documents we require in connection with the redemption; and

that dividends on the shares to be redeemed will cease to accrue on such redemption date.

If fewer than all the shares of series B preferred stock held by any holder are to be redeemed, the notice mailed to such holder will also specify the number of shares of series B preferred stock to be redeemed from such holder or the method for determining such number. If fewer than all of the outstanding shares of series B preferred stock are to be redeemed, the shares to be redeemed shall be selected by lot or pro rata or by any other equitable method we may choose.

Special Optional Redemption

If at any time following a change of control (as defined under "Dividends" above), either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not listed on the NYSE or quoted on NASDAQ (or listed or quoted on a successor exchange or quotation system), we will have the option to redeem our series B preferred stock, in whole but not in part, within 90 days after the first date on which both the change of control has occurred and either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not so listed or quoted, for cash at \$25.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared) to, but not including, the date of redemption.

A notice of special optional redemption will be mailed, postage prepaid, not less than 30 nor more than 60 days prior to the redemption date, addressed to the holders of record of our series B preferred stock at their addresses as they appear on our stock transfer records. A failure to give such notice or any defect in the notice or in its mailing will not affect the validity of the proceedings for the special optional redemption of the shares of series B preferred stock except as to the holder to whom notice was defective or not given. Each notice will state:

the redemption date;

the redemption price;

the place or places where the shares of series B preferred stock are to be surrendered for payment, together with the certificates, if any, representing such shares and any other documents we require in connection with such redemption; and

that dividends on the shares will cease to accrue on such redemption date.

General Provisions Applicable to Redemptions

On the redemption date, we must pay on each share of series B preferred stock to be redeemed any accrued and unpaid dividends to, but not including, the redemption date that have not already been paid, except that in the case of a redemption date falling after a dividend payment record date and prior to the related payment date, the holders of series B preferred stock at the close of business on such record date will be entitled to receive the dividend payable on such shares on the corresponding dividend payment date, notwithstanding the redemption of such shares prior to such dividend payment date. Except as provided for in the preceding sentence, no payment or allowance will be made for unpaid dividends, whether or not in arrears, on any series B preferred stock called for redemption.

Table of Contents

If full cumulative dividends on our series B preferred stock for all prior dividend periods have not been declared and paid or declared and set apart for payment, we may not purchase, redeem or otherwise acquire series B preferred stock in part or any Parity Shares other than in exchange for Junior Shares or Parity Shares; provided, however, that the foregoing shall not prevent the purchase by us of shares held in violation of the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock in our charter intended to assist us in qualifying as a REIT. See Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer and Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer below.

On and after the date fixed for redemption, provided that we have made available at the office of the registrar and transfer agent (or a bank or trust company, as applicable) for the series B preferred stock a sufficient amount of cash to effect the redemption (or, if no such registrar and transfer agent (or no bank or trust company) is appointed, has delivered to the holders of record of the outstanding shares of series B preferred stock a check in the amount of the redemption price payable to such holders), dividends will cease to accrue on the shares of series B preferred stock called for redemption (except that, in the case of a redemption date after a dividend payment record date and prior to the related payment date, holders of series B preferred stock on the dividend payment record date will be entitled on such dividend payment date to receive the dividend payable on such shares on the corresponding dividend payment date), such shares shall no longer be deemed to be outstanding and all rights of the holders of such shares as holders of series B preferred stock shall cease except the right to receive the cash payable upon such redemption, without interest from the date of such redemption.

Our secured revolving credit facility prohibits us from redeeming or otherwise repurchasing any shares of our capital stock, including the series B preferred stock during the term of the secured revolving credit facility.

So long as no dividends are in arrears for prior dividend periods, nothing in the series B preferred stock articles supplementary will prevent or restrict our right or ability to purchase, from time to time, either at public or private sale, all or any part of the series B preferred stock at such price or prices as we may determine, subject to the provisions of applicable law, including the repurchase of shares of series B preferred stock in open-market transactions duly authorized by our board of directors.

Liquidation Preference

The holders of series B preferred stock will be entitled to receive in the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of our company, whether voluntary or involuntary, \$25.00 per share of series B preferred stock, which we refer to in this prospectus supplement as the Liquidation Preference, plus an amount per share of series B preferred stock equal to all dividends (whether or not earned or declared) accrued and unpaid thereon to, but not including, the date of final distribution to such holders.

Until the holders of series B preferred stock have been paid the Liquidation Preference and all accrued and unpaid dividends in full, no payment will be made to any holder of Junior Shares upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of our company. If, upon any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of our company, our assets, or proceeds thereof, distributable among the holders of our series B preferred stock are insufficient to pay in full the Liquidation Preference and all accrued and unpaid dividends and the liquidation preference and all accrued and unpaid dividends with respect to any other shares of Parity Shares, then such assets, or the proceeds thereof, will be distributed among the holders of series B preferred stock and any such Parity Shares ratably in accordance with the respective amounts which would be payable on such series B preferred stock and any such Parity Shares if all amounts payable thereon were paid in full. None of (i) a consolidation or merger of our company with one or more entities, (ii) a statutory share exchange by our company or (iii) a sale or transfer of all or substantially all of our assets will be considered a liquidation, dissolution or winding up, voluntary or involuntary, of our company.

Table of Contents

Voting Rights

Except as indicated below, the holders of series B preferred stock will have no voting rights.

If and whenever six quarterly dividends (whether or not consecutive) payable on our series B preferred stock are in arrears, whether or not earned or declared, the number of our directors will be increased automatically by two (unless the number of our directors has previously been so increased pursuant to the terms of any class or series of Parity Shares upon which like voting rights have been conferred and are exercisable (the Voting Preferred Shares) and with which the holders of series B preferred stock are entitled to vote together as a single class in the election of such directors) and the holders of series B preferred stock, voting together as a single class with the holders of any other class or series of Voting Preferred Shares with which the holders of series B preferred stock are entitled to vote together as a single class in the election of such directors, will have the right to elect two additional directors at an annual meeting of stockholders or a properly called special meeting of the holders of our series B preferred stock and such Voting Preferred Shares and at each subsequent annual meeting of stockholders until all such dividends and dividends for the then current quarterly period on our series B preferred stock have been paid or declared and set aside for payment in full. Whenever all such dividends on our series B preferred stock then outstanding have been paid in full or declared and set apart for payment in full, and full dividends on our series B preferred stock for the then current quarterly dividend period have been paid in full or declared and set apart for payment in full, then the right of the holders of our series B preferred stock to elect two additional directors will cease and, unless Voting Preferred Shares of any class or series for which the right to vote in the election of such directors remains exercisable remain outstanding, the terms of office of the two additional directors will forthwith terminate and the number of directors will be reduced accordingly. If the rights of holders of our series B preferred stock to elect the two additional directors terminate after the record date for the determination of holders of shares of series B preferred stock entitled to vote in any election of such directors but before the closing of the polls in such election, holders of our series B preferred stock outstanding as of such record date will not be entitled to vote in such election of directors. However, the right of the holders of our series B preferred stock to elect the additional directors will again vest if and whenever dividends are in arrears for six quarterly periods, as described above. In no event shall the holders of series B preferred stock be entitled pursuant to these voting rights to nominate or elect an individual as a director, and no individual shall be qualified to be nominated for election or to serve as a director, if the individual's service as a director would cause us to fail to satisfy a requirement relating to director independence of any national securities exchange on which any class or series of our stock is listed. In class votes with other Voting Preferred Shares, shares of different classes or series shall vote in proportion to the liquidation preference of the shares.

The additional directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast in the election of such directors, and each such director will serve until the next annual meeting of our stockholders and until his or her successor is duly elected and qualifies, or until such director's term of office terminates as described above. Any director elected by the holders of our series B preferred stock and any class or series of Voting Preferred Shares may be removed only by a vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our series B preferred stock and all classes and series of Voting Preferred Shares with which the holders of our series B preferred stock are entitled to vote together as a single class in the election of such directors. At any time that the holders of our series B preferred stock are entitled to vote in the election of the two additional directors, holders of our series B preferred stock will be entitled to vote in the election of a successor to fill any vacancy on our board of directors that results from the removal of such a director.

At any time that holders of our series B preferred stock have the right to elect two additional directors as described above but such directors have not been elected, our secretary must call a special meeting for the purpose of electing the additional directors upon the written request of the holders of record of 10% of the outstanding shares of series B preferred stock and all classes and series of Voting Preferred Shares with which the holders of Series B Preferred Stock are entitled to vote together as a single class with respect to the election of such directors, unless such a request is received less than 90 days before the date fixed for the next annual

Table of Contents

meeting of our stockholders, in which case, the additional directors may be elected at such annual meeting or at a separate special meeting of our stockholders.

In addition, the approval of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by the holders of outstanding shares of series B preferred stock, voting together as a single class with holders of all other similarly-affected classes and series of Parity Shares upon which like voting rights have been conferred and with which holders of series B preferred stock are entitled to vote together as a single class on such matters, is required (i) to amend, alter or repeal any provisions of our charter, including the series B preferred stock articles supplementary, whether by merger, consolidation or otherwise, to affect materially and adversely the voting powers, rights or preferences of the series B preferred stock, unless in connection with any such amendment, alteration or repeal, our series B preferred stock remains outstanding without the terms thereof being materially and adversely affected or is converted into or exchanged for preferred equity interests in the surviving entity having preferences, conversion and other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends, qualifications and terms and conditions of redemption thereof that are substantially similar to those of our series B preferred stock (taking into account that we may not be the surviving entity), or (ii) to authorize, create, or increase the authorized number of shares of any class or series of capital stock having rights senior to our series B preferred stock with respect to the payment of dividends or amounts upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up. However, the voting powers, rights or preferences our series B preferred stock will not be deemed to be materially and adversely affected by, and holders of shares of our series B preferred stock will not be entitled to vote with respect to, (A) any amendment to our charter increasing or decreasing the total number of authorized shares of capital stock of all classes and series, common stock or preferred stock without further designation as to class or series, (B) any issuance, classification, reclassification or amendment to our charter increasing or decreasing the number of authorized but unissued shares of our series B preferred stock or (C) any issuance, classification, reclassification or amendment to our charter increasing or decreasing the number of authorized Parity Shares or Junior Shares of any class or series.

So long as any shares of series B preferred stock remain outstanding, the holders of shares of series B preferred stock, voting together as a single class with the holders of all other classes and series of Parity Shares upon which like voting rights have been conferred and with which holders of series B preferred stock are entitled to vote together as a single class, also will have the exclusive right to vote on any amendment to our charter on which holders of our series B preferred stock are entitled to vote and that would alter only the contract rights, as expressly set forth in our charter, of the series B preferred stock and such other class(es) and series of Parity Shares, and the holders of any other class(es) or series of our capital stock will not be entitled to vote on such an amendment.

The voting provisions above will not apply if, at or prior to the time when the act with respect to which the vote would otherwise be required would occur, we have redeemed or called for redemption upon proper procedures all outstanding shares of series B preferred stock.

In any matter in which the series B preferred stock may vote, each share of series B preferred stock shall entitle the holder to cast one vote per \$25.00 of liquidation preference (excluding amounts with respect to accumulated and unpaid dividends). As a result, each share of series B preferred stock will entitle the holder to cast one vote.

Information Rights

During any period in which we are not subject to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act and any shares of series B preferred stock are outstanding, we will (i) transmit by mail (or other permissible means under the Exchange Act) to all holders of series B preferred stock, as their names and addresses appear in our record books and without cost to such holders, copies of the annual reports and quarterly reports that we would have been required to file with the SEC pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act if we were subject thereto (other than any exhibits that would have been required) and (ii) promptly, upon request, supply copies of such reports to any prospective holder of series B preferred stock. We will mail (or otherwise provide) the information

Table of Contents

to the holders of series B preferred stock within 15 days after the respective dates by which a periodic report on Form 10-K or Form 10-Q, as the case may be, in respect of such information would have been required to be filed with the SEC, if we were subject to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Conversion Rights

Our series B preferred stock is not convertible into or exchangeable for any other property or any other securities.

Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer

In order for us to qualify as a REIT under the Code, our stock must be beneficially owned by 100 or more persons during at least 335 days of a taxable year of 12 months (other than the first year for which an election to be a REIT has been made) or during a proportionate part of a shorter taxable year. Also, not more than 50% of the value of the outstanding shares of stock (after taking into account certain options to acquire shares of stock) may be owned, directly, indirectly or through attribution, by five or fewer individuals (for this purpose, the term individual includes a supplemental unemployment compensation benefit plan, a private foundation or a portion of a trust permanently set aside or used exclusively for charitable purposes, but generally does not include a qualified pension plan or profit sharing trust) at any time during the last half of a taxable year (other than the first year for which an election to be a REIT has been made).

Our charter contains, and the series B preferred stock articles supplementary will contain, restrictions on the ownership and transfer of shares of our series B preferred stock that are intended to assist us in complying with these requirements and continuing to qualify as a REIT. The relevant sections of the series B preferred stock articles supplementary will provide that, subject to certain exceptions, no person or entity may beneficially own, or be deemed to own by virtue of the applicable constructive ownership provisions of the Code, more than 9.8% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of our series B preferred stock. For a further description of the restrictions on ownership and transfer of all series and classes of our shares of capital stock and of the remedies for a violation of such restrictions, see Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer.

Book-Entry Procedures

The Depository Trust Company, which we refer to herein as DTC, will act as securities depository for our series B preferred stock. We will issue one or more fully registered global securities certificates in the name of DTC's nominee, Cede & Co. These certificates will represent the total aggregate number of series B preferred stock. We will deposit these certificates with DTC or a custodian appointed by DTC. We will not issue certificates to you for our series B preferred stock that you purchase, unless DTC's services are discontinued as described below.

Title to book-entry interests in our series B preferred stock will pass by book-entry registration of the transfer within the records of DTC in accordance with their respective procedures. Book-entry interests in the securities may be transferred within DTC in accordance with procedures established for these purposes by DTC.

Each person owning a beneficial interest in our series B preferred stock must rely on the procedures of DTC and the participant through which such person owns its interest to exercise its rights as a holder of our series B preferred stock.

DTC has advised us that it is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a clearing corporation within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code and a clearing agency registered under the provisions of Section 17A of the Exchange Act. DTC holds securities that its participants, referred to as Direct Participants, deposit with DTC.

Table of Contents

DTC also facilitates the settlement among Direct Participants of securities transactions, such as transfers and pledges, in deposited securities through electronic computerized book-entry changes in Direct Participants' accounts, thereby eliminating the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as securities brokers and dealers, banks and trust companies that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly, referred to as Indirect Participants. The rules applicable to DTC and its Direct and Indirect Participants are on file with the SEC.

When you purchase our series B preferred stock within the DTC system, the purchase must be by or through a Direct Participant. The Direct Participant will receive a credit for our series B preferred stock on DTC's records. You, as the actual owner of our series B preferred stock, are the beneficial owner. Your beneficial ownership interest will be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records, but DTC will have no knowledge of your individual ownership. DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts series B preferred stock are credited.

You will not receive written confirmation from DTC of your purchase. The Direct or Indirect Participants through whom you purchased our series B preferred stock should send you written confirmations providing details of your transactions, as well as periodic statements of your holdings. The Direct and Indirect Participants are responsible for keeping an accurate account of the holdings of their customers like you.

Transfers of ownership interests held through Direct and Indirect Participants will be accomplished by entries on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of the beneficial owners.

The laws of some states may require that specified purchasers of securities take physical delivery of our series B preferred stock in definitive form. These laws may impair the ability to transfer beneficial interests in the global certificates representing our series B preferred stock.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to beneficial owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

We understand that, under DTC's existing practices, in the event that we re