

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.
Form S-11/A
April 26, 2011
Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 26, 2011

Registration No. 333-173487

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 2
TO
FORM S-11

FOR REGISTRATION UNDER THE
SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 OF SECURITIES
OF CERTAIN REAL ESTATE COMPANIES

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Governing Instruments)

11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1600, Los Angeles, California 90025

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

(310) 445-5700

(Address, Including Zip Code and Telephone Number, Including Area Code,

of Registrant's Principal Executive Offices)

Victor J. Coleman

Chief Executive Officer

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.

11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1600, Los Angeles, California 90025

(310) 445-5700

(Name, Address, Including Zip Code and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Agent for Service)

Copies to:

Julian T.H. Kleindorfer, Esq.

Bradley A. Helms, Esq.

Latham & Watkins LLP

355 South Grand Ave.

Los Angeles, California 90071

(213) 485-1234

David W. Bonser, Esq.

Samantha S. Gallagher, Esq.

Hogan Lovells US LLP

555 Thirteenth Street, NW

Washington, D.C. 20004

(202) 637-5600

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes effective.

If any of the Securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act, check the following box:

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement of the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, check the following box. "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer x

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer "

Smaller reporting company "

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title Of Securities Being Registered	Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price ⁽¹⁾	Amount Of Registration Fee ⁽²⁾
Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share	\$116,925,175	\$13,575.01

(1) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457(o) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Includes shares of common stock that the underwriters have the option to purchase solely to cover overallocments, if any.

(2) \$12,414 of this amount was paid in connection with the filing of the registration statement on Form S-11 (No. 333-173487) on April 14, 2011.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment that specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until this registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Table of Contents

The information in this preliminary prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This preliminary prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to Completion,

Preliminary Prospectus dated April 26, 2011

PROSPECTUS

6,750,000 Shares

Common Stock

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. is a full-service, vertically integrated real estate company focused on owning, operating and acquiring high-quality office properties in select growth markets primarily in Northern and Southern California. We are offering 6,750,000 shares of our common stock, \$0.01 par value per share. Concurrently with the completion of this offering, funds affiliated with Farallon Capital Management, L.L.C. will purchase 3,125,000 shares of our common stock at a price per share equal to the public offering price and without payment by us of any underwriting discount or commission.

We are organized and conduct our operations in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for U.S. federal income tax purposes. To assist us in complying with certain federal income tax requirements applicable to REITs, our charter contains certain restrictions relating to the ownership and transfer of our capital stock, including an ownership limit of 9.8% of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, under the symbol HPP. On April 25, 2011, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the NYSE was \$14.28 per share.

See **Risk Factors** beginning on page 15 of this prospectus for certain risks relevant to an investment in our common stock.

	Per Share	Total
Public offering price	\$	\$
Underwriting discount	\$	\$
Proceeds, before expenses, to us	\$	\$

We have granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to 1,012,500 additional shares of our common stock at the public offering price, less underwriting discounts, within 30 days after the date of this prospectus to cover overallotments, if any.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The shares of common stock sold in this offering will be ready for delivery on or about _____, 2011.

BofA Merrill Lynch Barclays Capital Morgan Stanley Wells Fargo Securities

KeyBanc Capital Markets

The date of this prospectus is _____, 2011

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
<u>PROSPECTUS SUMMARY</u>	1
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	15
<u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	42
<u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	44
<u>PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DISTRIBUTIONS</u>	45
<u>CAPITALIZATION</u>	46
<u>DILUTION</u>	47
<u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	48
<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	51
<u>BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES</u>	71
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	111
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	120
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</u>	136
<u>POLICIES WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN ACTIVITIES</u>	141
	Page
<u>STRUCTURE OF OUR COMPANY</u>	147
<u>DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT OF HUDSON PACIFIC PROPERTIES, L.P.</u>	149
<u>PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS</u>	165
<u>DESCRIPTION OF STOCK</u>	167
<u>MATERIAL PROVISIONS OF MARYLAND LAW AND OF OUR CHARTER AND BYLAWS</u>	175
<u>SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE</u>	182
<u>FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS</u>	185
<u>ERISA CONSIDERATIONS</u>	206
<u>UNDERWRITING</u>	209
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	216
<u>EXPERTS</u>	216
<u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u>	217
<u>INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	F-i

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus, or in any free writing prospectus prepared by us, or information to which we have referred you. We have not, and the underwriters have not, authorized anyone to provide you with different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. We are not, and the underwriters are not, making an offer to sell these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. You should assume that the information appearing in this prospectus and any free writing prospectus prepared by us is accurate only as of their respective dates or on the date or dates that are specified in these documents. Our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects may have changed since those dates.

Table of Contents

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

The following summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. This summary is not complete and does not contain all of the information that you should consider before investing in our common stock. You should read the entire prospectus carefully, including the section entitled Risk Factors, as well as our historical and pro forma financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus, before making an investment decision. Unless the context suggests otherwise, references in this prospectus to we, our, us and our company are to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., a Maryland corporation, together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership of which we are the sole general partner and which we refer to in this prospectus as our operating partnership. Unless otherwise indicated, the information contained in this prospectus is as of December 31, 2010 and assumes (1) that the common stock to be sold in this offering is sold at \$14.28 per share which was the last reported sales price on April 25, 2011, (2) that the underwriters' over-allotment option is not exercised and (3) the consummation of the concurrent private placement of 3,125,000 shares of our common stock to funds affiliated with Farallon Capital Management, L.L.C., or Farallon. Additionally, unless otherwise indicated, portfolio property data as of December 31, 2010 relating to square feet, tenants, leasing, rents, commissions, credits and allowances and lease expirations includes the totals of such data for the Rincon Center property, in which we currently own a 51% joint venture interest and which is consolidated in our financial statements.

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.

We are a full-service, vertically integrated real estate company focused on owning, operating and acquiring high-quality office properties in select growth markets primarily in Northern and Southern California. Our investment strategy is focused on high barrier-to-entry, in-fill locations with favorable, long-term supply-demand characteristics. These markets include Los Angeles, Orange County, San Diego, San Francisco, Silicon Valley and the East Bay, which we refer to as our target markets. Our portfolio includes 12 wholly owned properties and a 51% interest in the joint venture that owns the Rincon Center property, which collectively total approximately 4.0 million square feet. These properties are strategically located in many of our target markets.

We were formed as a Maryland corporation in 2009 to succeed the business of Hudson Capital, LLC, a Los Angeles-based real estate investment firm founded by Victor J. Coleman and Howard S. Stern, our Chief Executive Officer and President, respectively. We completed our initial public offering on June 29, 2010.

We have access to and are actively pursuing a pipeline of potential acquisitions consistent with our investment strategy. We believe Mr. Coleman's and Mr. Stern's successful history of operating a publicly traded real estate company, significant expertise in operating in the California office sector and extensive, long-term relationships with real estate owners, developers and lenders, coupled with our conservative capital structure and access to capital, will allow us to continue to capitalize on the current market opportunity.

We focus our investment strategy on office and media and entertainment properties located in high barrier-to-entry submarkets with growth potential as well as on underperforming properties that provide opportunities to implement a value-add strategy to increase occupancy rates and cash flow. This strategy includes active management, aggressive leasing efforts, focused capital improvement programs, the reduction and containment of operating costs and an emphasis on tenant satisfaction. We believe our senior management team's experience in the California office and media and entertainment sectors positions us to improve cash flow in our portfolio, as well as any newly acquired properties, as the recovery in the California economy and the real estate markets takes hold.

Our portfolio includes 11 office properties, including the Rincon Center property in which we currently own a 51% joint venture interest, comprising an aggregate of approximately 3.1 million square feet, and two state-of-the-art media and entertainment properties, comprising approximately 544,763 square feet of office and

Table of Contents

support space and approximately 312,669 square feet of sound-stage production facilities. As of December 31, 2010, our office properties were approximately 87.7% leased to approximately 170 tenants and our media and entertainment properties were approximately 72.6% leased to approximately 72 tenants. We also own 1.85 acres of undeveloped land adjacent to our media and entertainment properties, which, together with redevelopment opportunities at our media and entertainment properties, could support over one million square feet of additional office and support space. Our properties are concentrated in premier submarkets that have high barriers to entry with limited supply of land, high construction costs and rigorous entitlement processes.

We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes on our federal income tax return for our taxable year ended December 31, 2010. We believe that we have operated, and we intend to continue operating, in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with such taxable year. We conduct substantially all of our business through our operating partnership, of which we serve as the sole general partner. As of December 31, 2010, we owned approximately 89.6% of the outstanding common units of partnership interest in our operating partnership, or common units. See Description of the Partnership Agreement of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. The remaining 10.4% limited partnership interest in our operating partnership as of December 31, 2010 was owned by certain of our executive officers and directors, certain of their affiliates, and other outside investors, including funds affiliated with Farallon, or the Farallon Funds.

Recent Developments

8.375% Series B Preferred Stock Offering

In December 2010, we completed an offering of 3,500,000 shares of our 8.375% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, which we refer to in this prospectus as our series B preferred stock (including 300,000 shares sold pursuant to the partial exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option), at an offering price of \$25.00 per share. We received net proceeds from the sale of our series B preferred stock (including the underwriters' partial exercise of their overallotment option) of approximately \$84.7 million after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions (before other transaction costs).

Investment Activities

On August 13, 2010, we acquired the Del Amo Office property and its related ground sublease for \$27.5 million in cash (before closing costs and prorations), which was paid with a portion of the proceeds of our initial public offering. The Del Amo Office property is subject to a ground sublease expiring June 30, 2049.

On August 24, 2010, we acquired a leasehold interest in 9300 Wilshire Boulevard, a six-story office building located in Beverly Hills, California, for \$15.0 million in cash (before prorations). The 9300 Wilshire Boulevard property is subject to a ground lease expiring August 14, 2032.

On October 8, 2010, we acquired 222 Kearny, a 148,797 square foot, two-building office property located in San Francisco, California, from an unrelated third party for \$34.9 million in cash (before prorations), which was funded with \$34.5 million of borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility and the remainder from available cash. A portion representing approximately 64% of the building area of the 222 Kearny property (excluding the 180 Sutter building) is subject to a long-term ground lease expiring June 14, 2054. At the time of our acquisition of the 222 Kearny property, it was only 79.2% leased. By employing aggressive leasing strategies, leveraging our extensive tenant relationships and focusing on tenant retention, we have increased the percentage of the property under lease to approximately 94.1% as of April 25, 2011.

Table of Contents

On December 16, 2010, we acquired 1455 Market, a 1,012,012 square foot office property located in San Francisco, California for \$92.9 million in cash (before prorations) in a sale/leaseback transaction, which was funded with a combination of borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility and available cash.

On December 16, 2010, we acquired a 51% joint venture interest in the Rincon Center property, a 580,850 square foot, two-building office property located in San Francisco, California, from an unrelated third party for \$40.3 million in cash (before prorations), which was funded with a combination of borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility and available cash. We acquired the 51% interest in the Rincon Center property subject to project-level financing of \$106.0 million. On February 24, 2011, we exercised our call right to purchase the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner at a purchase price of approximately \$38.7 million (before prorations). The transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2011, subject to the completion of various closing conditions. However, we cannot assure you that the acquisition will be consummated on the anticipated schedule or at all. For a summary of certain risks related to our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property, see Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business. Our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of such interest and a failure to complete such acquisition could result in the loss of our current 51% interest in the property. If we complete the acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property, we will dissolve the joint venture entity and our operating partnership will own the property through a single-member limited liability company. In addition, we will repay or refinance the \$106.0 million loan on the property.

On December 22, 2010, we acquired 10950 Washington, a 158,873 square foot office property located in Culver City, California, from an unrelated third party for \$46.0 million in cash (before prorations), which was funded with borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility. The \$46.0 million acquisition included the assumption of a \$30.0 million loan.

Leasing Activities

We have signed new and renewal leases totaling approximately 140,233 square feet during the first quarter of 2011. These leases include approximately 38,315 square feet at our 222 Kearny property, 29,052 square feet at our Rincon Center property and 33,252 square feet at our 875 Howard Street property. As a result of our leasing activity, we have increased the percentage leased of our 222 Kearny property from 79.2% at the time of acquisition on October 8, 2010 to approximately 94.1% as of April 25, 2011. Similarly, we have increased the percentage leased of our Rincon Center property from 81.1% to approximately 85.2% during the first quarter of 2011 and of our 875 Howard Street property from 65.6% to 78.3% during the first quarter of 2011. Effective January 31, 2011, one of our tenants at our City Plaza property, Master Halco, terminated its lease in exchange for the payment of a termination fee of approximately \$2.8 million, or approximately \$2.0 million after accounting for non-cash adjustments, including the write-off of non-cash straight-line rent and lease incentive fee.

Distributions

On March 10, 2011, we declared a quarterly dividend to common stockholders of record and our operating partnership declared a quarterly distribution to holders of record of common units, in each case as of March 21, 2011, totaling \$3,132,846, or \$0.125 per share of common stock and common unit. This quarterly rate represents a 31.6% increase from the prior quarter. The dividend and distribution were paid on March 31, 2011.

On March 10, 2011, our operating partnership declared a quarterly distribution to holders of record of its 6.25% Cumulative Redeemable Convertible Series A Preferred Units, or series A preferred units, as of March 21, 2011, totaling \$194,915, or \$0.3906 per series A preferred unit. The distribution was paid on March 31, 2011.

On March 10, 2011, we declared a dividend to series B preferred stockholders of record and our operating partnership declared a distribution to us, as holders of record of series B preferred units, in each case as of March 21, 2011, totaling \$1,832,040, or \$0.52344 per share of series B preferred stock and series B preferred unit. The dividend and distribution were paid on March 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Secured Term Loan

On February 11, 2011, we closed a five-year term loan totaling \$92.0 million with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., secured by our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties. The loan bears interest at a rate equal to one-month LIBOR plus 3.50%. \$37.0 million of the loan is currently subject to an interest rate contract, which swaps one-month LIBOR to a fixed rate of 0.75% through April 30, 2011. On March 16, 2011, we purchased an interest rate cap in order to cap one-month LIBOR at 3.715% with respect to \$50.0 million of the loan through its maturity on February 11, 2016. Proceeds from the loan were used to fully refinance a \$37.0 million mortgage loan secured by our Sunset Bronson property that was scheduled to mature on April 30, 2011. The remaining proceeds were used to partially pay down our secured revolving credit facility. As a result, as of April 25, 2011, we had approximately \$46.5 million drawn on our secured revolving credit facility.

Amendment to Secured Revolving Credit Facility

On April 4, 2011, we amended our \$200 million secured revolving credit facility. As a result of the amendment, the secured revolving credit facility now bears interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 250 basis points to 325 basis points (down from 325 basis points to 400 basis points), depending on our leverage ratio, and is no longer subject to a LIBOR floor of 1.50%. The secured revolving credit facility continues to include an accordion feature that allows us to increase the availability by \$50.0 million, to \$250.0 million, under specified circumstances. The amount available for us to borrow under the secured revolving credit facility remains subject to the lesser of a percentage of the appraisal value of our properties that form the borrowing base of the secured revolving credit facility and a minimum implied debt service coverage ratio. Through the amendment, the loan-to-value threshold for office properties has been increased to 60% (up from 55%) and the debt service coverage ratio for office properties has been reduced to 1.50x (down from 1.60x). The annual fee charged against the unused portion of the secured revolving credit facility has also been reduced to 40 basis points (down from 50 basis points). Our ability to borrow under the secured revolving credit facility remains subject to ongoing compliance with a number of customary restrictive covenants. For more information regarding our secured revolving credit facility, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Secured Revolving Credit Facility.

As a result of the amendment to our secured revolving credit facility, the current amount available for us to borrow under such facility is \$139.8 million, of which we have drawn approximately \$46.5 million as of April 25, 2011.

Resignation of Director

On April 22, 2011, Mr. Mark Burnett resigned from our board of directors due to his desire to devote more of his time to other work obligations. His resignation was effective as of 12:01 a.m. on April 25, 2011. In tendering his resignation, Mr. Burnett expressed no disagreement with the company. We expect that our board of directors will decrease the number of directors on our board to eight before our 2011 annual stockholders meeting, eliminating the vacancy on our board created by Mr. Burnett's resignation.

Corporate Information

Our principal executive offices are located at 11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1600, Los Angeles, California 90025. Our telephone number is 310-445-5700. Our Web site address is www.hudsonpacificproperties.com. The information on, or otherwise accessible through, our Web site does not constitute a part of this prospectus.

Table of Contents

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe the following competitive strengths distinguish us from other owners and operators of office and media and entertainment properties and enable us to capitalize on the general dislocation in the real estate market to successfully expand and operate our portfolio.

Experienced Management Team with a Proven Track Record of Acquiring and Operating Assets and Managing a Public Office REIT. Our senior management team, led by Victor J. Coleman and Howard S. Stern, our Chief Executive Officer and President, respectively, has an average of over 20 years of experience in owning, acquiring, developing, operating, financing and selling office properties in California. Since our initial public offering on June 29, 2010, we have acquired six new properties aggregating a total of 2,072,016 square feet, including our joint venture interest in the Rincon Center property, for an aggregate of approximately \$401.4 million.

Committed and Incentivized Management Team. Our senior management team is dedicated to our successful operation and growth, with no real estate business interests outside of our company. Additionally, upon completion of this offering and the concurrent private placement, our senior management team will own approximately 3.4% of our common stock on a fully diluted basis, thereby aligning management's interests with those of our stockholders.

California Focus with Local and Regional Expertise. We are primarily focused on acquiring and managing office properties in Northern and Southern California, both regions that we believe are well positioned for strong economic recoveries. Additionally, our senior executives have focused their entire real estate careers in California, providing us with a deep knowledge of the major California real estate markets and the local and regional industry participants.

Long-Standing Relationships that Provide Access to an Extensive Pipeline of Investment and Leasing Opportunities. We have an extensive network of long-standing relationships with real estate developers, individual and institutional real estate owners, national and regional lenders, brokers, tenants and other participants in the California real estate market. We believe these relationships have provided us with access to attractive acquisition opportunities, including off-market acquisition opportunities. We believe these relationships will continue to provide us access to an ongoing pipeline of attractive acquisition opportunities and potential joint venture partners, both of which may not be available to our competitors.

Growth-Oriented, Flexible and Conservative Capital Structure. We believe our flexible and conservative capital structure provides us with an advantage over many of our private and public competitors. We are free from legacy balance sheet issues and have a manageable debt maturity schedule, which allows our management to focus on our business and growth strategies rather than balance sheet repair.

Irreplaceable Media and Entertainment Assets in a Premier California Submarket. Our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties are located on Sunset Boulevard, just off of the Hollywood Freeway, in the heart of Hollywood, and serve as important facilities for major film and television companies. We believe these assets will remain critical to the media and entertainment business, one of Los Angeles' most important industries, due to their attractive location, a limited supply of developable land and the extensive knowledge required to develop and operate such facilities.

Table of Contents

Business and Growth Strategies

Our primary business objectives are to increase operating cash flows, generate long-term growth and maximize stockholder value. Specifically, we intend to pursue the following strategies to achieve these objectives:

Pursue Acquisitions of Distressed and/or Underperforming Office Properties. We intend to capitalize on the attractive investment environment by acquiring properties at meaningful discounts to our estimates of their intrinsic value. Additionally, we intend to acquire properties or portfolios that are distressed due to near-term debt maturities or underperforming properties where we believe better management, focused leasing efforts and/or capital improvements would improve the property's operating performance and value. We believe that our extensive relationships, coupled with our strong balance sheet and access to capital, will allow us to capitalize on value-add opportunities.

Focus on High Barrier-to-Entry Markets. We target in-fill, suburban markets and central business districts primarily in California. These markets have historically had favorable long-term supply/demand characteristics and significant institutional ownership of real estate, which we believe have helped support real estate fundamentals and valuations over the long term. We believe that these factors will help preserve our capital during periods of economic decline and generate above average returns during periods of economic recovery and growth.

Proactive Asset and Property Management. We intend to actively manage our portfolio, employ aggressive leasing strategies and leverage our existing tenant relationships to increase the occupancy rates at our properties, attract high quality tenants and maximize tenant retention rates. In addition, we have targeted ways to further improve net operating income through controlling or reducing operating costs.

Repositioning and Development of Properties. We intend to leverage our real estate expertise to reposition and redevelop our existing properties, as well as properties that we acquire in the future, with the objective of increasing occupancy, rental rates and risk-adjusted returns on our invested capital. We believe our media and entertainment properties and undeveloped land offer significant growth potential, with over one million square feet of possible incremental development and redevelopment space.

Value Creation Through Capital Recycling Program. We intend to pursue an efficient asset allocation strategy that maximizes the value of our investments by selectively disposing of properties for which returns appear to have been maximized and redeploying capital into acquisition, development and redevelopment opportunities with higher return prospects, in each case in a manner that is consistent with our qualification as a REIT.

Summary Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the matters discussed in the Risk Factors section beginning on page 15 of this prospectus prior to deciding whether to invest in our common stock. Some of these risks include:

All of our properties are located in California, and we therefore are dependent on the California economy and are susceptible to adverse local regulations and natural disasters affecting California.

We derive a significant portion of our rental revenue from tenants in the media and entertainment industry, which makes us particularly susceptible to demand for rental space in that industry.

Table of Contents

Upon completion of this offering and the concurrent private placement, the Farallon Funds will own an approximate 34.5% beneficial common interest in our company on a fully diluted basis and have the ability to exercise significant influence on our company.

We may be unable to identify and complete acquisitions of properties that meet our criteria, which may impede our growth.

As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$342.1 million of indebtedness outstanding. Our debt service obligations with respect to our indebtedness will reduce cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends on our securities, including our common stock, and expose us to the risk of default.

Our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of such interest and a failure to complete such acquisition could result in the loss of our current 51% interest in the property.

Adverse economic and geopolitical conditions and dislocations in the credit markets could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our securities, including our common stock, and the per share trading price of our securities.

We have a limited operating history and may not be able to operate our business successfully or implement our business strategies as described in this prospectus.

We may be unable to renew leases, lease vacant space or re-let space as leases expire.

Our success depends on key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed.

Our board of directors may change our investment and financing policies without stockholder approval and we may become more highly leveraged, which may increase our risk of default under our debt obligations.

Failure to qualify as a REIT would have significant adverse consequences to us and the value of our stock.

The market price and trading volume of our common stock may be volatile following this offering.

Table of Contents**Our Properties****Our Portfolio**

As of December 31, 2010, our portfolio consisted of 12 wholly owned properties and a 51% consolidated joint venture investment in the Rincon Center property, which properties are located in eight California submarkets, containing a total of approximately 4.0 million square feet, and which we refer to as our portfolio. The following table presents an overview of our portfolio, based on information as of December 31, 2010. Rental data presented in the table below for office properties reflects annualized base rent on leases in place as of December 31, 2010 and does not reflect actual cash rents historically received because such data does not reflect abatements or tenant reimbursements for real estate taxes, insurance, common area or other operating expenses. Rental data presented in the table below for media and entertainment properties reflects actual cash base rents, excluding tenant reimbursements, received during the year ended December 31, 2010. Leases at our media and entertainment properties are typically short-term leases of one year or less, and other than the KTLA lease at our Sunset Bronson property, substantially all of the current in-place leases at our media and entertainment properties will expire in 2011 or 2012.

Property	City	Year Built/ Renovated	Square Feet ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent/ Annual Base Rent ⁽³⁾	Annualized Base Rent/ Annual Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁴⁾	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁵⁾
OFFICE PROPERTIES							
1455 Market	San Francisco	1977	1,012,012	92.1%	\$ 13,293,602	\$ 14.26	\$ 14.55
Rincon Center ⁽⁶⁾	San Francisco	1985	580,850	81.1	17,405,916	36.96	37.11
City Plaza	Orange	1969/99	333,922	93.7	7,794,151	24.90	26.95
875 Howard Street	San Francisco	Various	286,270	65.6	2,858,829	15.21	11.49
First Financial	Encino (LA)	1986	222,423	84.8	6,314,462	33.49	35.52
10950 Washington	Culver City (LA)	Various	158,873	99.5	4,282,389	27.09	29.53
222 Kearny ⁽⁷⁾	San Francisco	Various	148,797	76.9	4,157,584	36.34	38.28
Technicolor Building	Hollywood (LA)	2008	114,958	100.0	4,103,173	35.69	39.04
Del Amo Office ⁽⁸⁾	Torrance	1986	113,000	100.0	3,069,070	27.16	28.38
Tierrasanta	San Diego	1985	104,234	96.8	1,580,915	15.67	16.09
9300 Wilshire Boulevard ⁽⁹⁾	Beverly Hills	1965/2001	58,484	90.5	2,238,706	42.30	42.42
Total/Weighted Average Office Properties:			3,133,823	87.7%	\$ 67,098,796	\$ 24.43	\$ 25.10
MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT PROPERTIES							
Sunset Gower ⁽¹⁰⁾	Hollywood (LA)	Various	543,709	70.9%	\$ 11,670,642	\$ 30.27	
Sunset Bronson	Hollywood (LA)	Various	313,723	75.5	9,520,517	40.18	
Total/Weighted Average Media & Entertainment Properties:			857,432	72.6%	\$ 21,191,159	\$ 34.04	
Portfolio Total:			3,991,255				
LAND							
Sunset Bronson Lot A	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	273,913				
Sunset Bronson Redevelopment	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	389,740				
Sunset Gower Redevelopment	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	423,396				
City Plaza	Orange	N/A	360,000				
Total Land Assets:			1,447,049				

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

- (1) Square footage for office and media and entertainment properties has been determined by management based upon estimated leasable square feet, which may be less or more than the Building Owners and Managers Association, or BOMA, rentable area. Square footage may change over time due to remeasurement or releasing. Square footage for land assets represents management's estimate of developable square feet, the majority of which remains subject to receipt of entitlement approvals that have not yet been obtained.

Table of Contents

- (2) Percent leased for office properties is calculated as (i) square footage under commenced leases as of December 31, 2010, divided by (ii) total square feet, expressed as a percentage. Percent leased for media and entertainment properties is the average percent leased for the 12 months ended December 31, 2010. As a result of the short-term nature of the leases into which we enter at our media and entertainment properties, and because entertainment industry tenants generally do not shoot on weekends due to higher costs, we believe stabilized occupancy rates at our media and entertainment properties are lower than those rates achievable at our traditional office assets, where tenants enter into longer-term lease arrangements.
- (3) We present rent data for office properties on an annualized basis, and for media and entertainment properties on an annual basis. Annualized base rent for office properties is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12. Total abatements with respect to the office properties for leases in effect as of December 31, 2010 for the 12 months ending December 31, 2011 are \$844,336 pursuant to leases in effect. Annualized base rent, net of abatements, as of December 31, 2010 is \$7,583,452 for City Plaza, \$6,234,180 for First Financial, \$3,926,224 for the Technicolor Building, \$2,609,147 for 875 Howard Street and \$2,111,982 for 9300 Wilshire Boulevard. There are no abatements associated with the leases in place as of December 31, 2010 at the Del Amo Office, Tierrasanta, 222 Kearny, 1455 Market, Rincon Center and 10950 Washington properties. Total annualized base rent, net of abatements, for our office properties is \$66,254,460 as of December 31, 2010. Annual base rent for media and entertainment properties reflects actual base rent for the 12 months ended December 31, 2010, excluding tenant reimbursements. Our leases at our 9300 Wilshire, City Plaza, First Financial and Del Amo Office properties are full service gross leases, and annualized base rent data for these properties does not reflect tenant reimbursements in excess of the base year expense stop. Some or all of the leases at our 1455 Market, Rincon Center, 222 Kearny, Technicolor, Tierrasanta, 875 Howard Street and 10950 Washington properties, as well as the KTLA lease at the Sunset Bronson property, are either triple net leases or modified gross leases pursuant to which the tenant reimburses the landlord or directly pays for some operating expenses, such as real estate taxes, insurance, common area and other operating expenses, and annualized base rent for these properties does not reflect such amounts. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent for these properties as of December 31, 2010 is \$24,227,599 for 1455 Market, \$18,107,199 for Rincon Center, \$4,389,220 for 222 Kearny, \$5,388,866 for the Technicolor Building, \$2,097,558 for Tierrasanta, \$3,799,559 for 875 Howard Street, and \$4,595,849 for 10950 Washington. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annual base rent as of December 31, 2010 is \$11,707,435 for Sunset Gower and \$10,837,258 for Sunset Bronson.
- (4) Annualized base rent per leased square foot for the office properties is calculated as (i) annualized base rent divided by (ii) square footage under lease as of December 31, 2010. Annual base rent per leased square foot for the media and entertainment properties is calculated as (i) actual base rent for the 12 months ended December 31, 2010, excluding tenant reimbursements, divided by (ii) average square feet under lease for the 12 months ended December 31, 2010. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent per leased square foot as of December 31, 2010 is \$25.98 for 1455 Market, \$38.45 for Rincon Center, \$38.36 for 222 Kearny, \$46.88 for the Technicolor Building, \$20.79 for Tierrasanta, \$20.22 for 875 Howard Street and \$29.08 for 10950 Washington, and the full service gross equivalent annual base rent per leased square foot as of December 31, 2010 is \$30.36 for Sunset Gower and \$45.74 for Sunset Bronson.
- (5) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of December 31, 2010, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of December 31, 2010. Our leases at our 9300 Wilshire, City Plaza, First Financial, and Del Amo Office properties are full service gross leases, and annualized net effective base rent data for these properties does not reflect tenant reimbursements in excess of the base year expense stop. Some or all of the leases at our 1455 Market, Rincon Center, 222 Kearny, Technicolor, Tierrasanta, 875 Howard Street and 10950 Washington properties, as well as the KTLA lease at the Sunset Bronson property, are either triple net leases or modified gross leases pursuant to which the tenant reimburses the landlord or directly pays for some operating expenses, and annualized net effective base rent for these properties does not reflect such amounts. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for these properties as of December 31, 2010 is \$26.23 for 1455 Market, \$39.02 for Rincon Center, \$40.31 for 222 Kearny, \$49.98 for the Technicolor Building, \$21.07 for Tierrasanta, \$22.86 for 875 Howard Street and \$31.48 for 10950 Washington.
- (6) Data represents 100% of the Rincon Center property. We currently own a 51% joint venture interest in this property. On February 24, 2011, we exercised our call right to purchase the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner at a purchase price of approximately \$38.7 million (before prorations). The transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2011, subject to the completion of various closing conditions. We cannot assure you that the acquisition will be consummated on the anticipated schedule or at all. For a summary of certain risks related to our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property, see Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business Our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of such interest and a failure to complete such acquisition could result in the loss of our current 51% interest in the property.
- (7) A portion representing approximately 64% of the building area of this property (excluding the 180 Sutter building) is subject to a ground lease that expires June 14, 2054; the remaining portion is owned in fee.
- (8) This property is subject to a ground sublease that expires June 30, 2049.
- (9) This property is subject to a ground lease that expires August 14, 2032.
- (10) Approximately 0.59 acres of this property is subject to a ground lease that expires March 31, 2060; the remaining portion is owned in fee.

Table of Contents

The Offering

Common stock offered by us	6,750,000 shares (plus up to an additional 1,012,500 shares of our common stock that we may issue and sell upon the exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option in full)
Concurrent private placement of common stock by us	3,125,000 shares to be purchased by the Farallon Funds at a price per share equal to the public offering price and without payment by us of any underwriting discounts or commissions
Common stock to be outstanding after this offering and the concurrent private placement	32,328,569 shares ⁽¹⁾
Common stock and common units to be outstanding after this offering and the concurrent private placement	34,939,510 shares and common units ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾
Use of proceeds	We estimate that the net proceeds from this offering, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated expenses, will be approximately \$90.5 million (\$104.2 million if the underwriters exercise their overallotment option in full). We estimate that the proceeds we will receive from the concurrent private placement will be approximately \$44.6 million. We will contribute the net proceeds of this offering and the concurrent private placement to our operating partnership. Our operating partnership intends to use the net proceeds of this offering and the concurrent private placement to repay indebtedness under our secured revolving credit facility, to fund future acquisitions, including potentially the acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property that we do not currently own, and for general working capital purposes, including capital expenditures, tenant improvements, leasing commissions, post-closing offering expenses and, potentially, paying distributions.
Risk factors	Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully read and consider the information set forth under the heading "Risk Factors" beginning on page 15 and other information included in this prospectus before investing in our common stock.
New York Stock Exchange symbol	HPP

⁽¹⁾ Includes 3,125,000 shares of our common stock to be issued to the Farallon Funds in the concurrent private placement. Excludes (i) 1,012,500 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option in full, (ii) shares of common stock issuable upon exchange of our series A preferred units, with an aggregate liquidation preference of approximately \$12.5 million, which are convertible or redeemable after June 29, 2013 and (iii) 1,159,558 shares of our common stock available for issuance in the future under our equity incentive plan.

⁽²⁾ Includes 2,610,941 common units held by limited partners of our operating partnership, which units may, subject to certain limitations, be redeemed for cash or, at our option, exchanged for shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis.

Table of Contents

Our Tax Status

We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes on our federal income tax return for our taxable year ended December 31, 2010. We believe that we have operated, and we intend to continue operating, in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with such taxable year. To maintain REIT status, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we annually distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to our stockholders. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income we currently distribute to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates. Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to some federal, state and local taxes on our income or property. In addition, the income of any taxable REIT subsidiary that we own will be subject to taxation at regular corporate rates. See Federal Income Tax Considerations.

Restrictions on Ownership of Our Stock

Due to limitations on the concentration of ownership of REIT stock imposed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, our charter generally prohibits any person from actually, beneficially or constructively owning more than 9.8% in value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of our common stock or more than 9.8% in value of the aggregate outstanding shares of all classes and series of our stock. We refer to these restrictions as the ownership limits. Our charter permits our board of directors, in its sole and absolute discretion, to exempt a person, prospectively or retroactively, from one or more of the ownership limits if, among other limitations, the person's ownership of our stock in excess of the ownership limits would not cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT. In connection with our initial public offering, our board of directors granted to certain Farallon Funds and certain of their affiliates, which we refer to collectively as the Farallon excepted holders, an exemption from the ownership limits, subject to various conditions and limitations. In connection with this offering and the concurrent private placement, our board of directors will grant to certain of the Farallon excepted holders revised exemptions from the ownership limits, subject to substantially the same conditions and limitations as those that have been in place under the exemptions previously granted to the Farallon excepted holders in connection with our initial public offering. See Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer.

Summary Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected financial and operating data on a pro forma and historical basis for our company. Our historical financial statements for the periods prior to the completion of our initial public offering include the real estate activity and holdings of the entities that owned the following properties that were contributed to us in connection with our initial public offering on June 29, 2010: Sunset Gower; the Technicolor Building; Sunset Bronson; City Plaza and 875 Howard Street. The entities owning those properties have been recorded at historical cost in our historical financial statements. Our financial statements also include: (i) the operations of the following entities that we also acquired in connection with our initial public offering: Glenborough Tierrasanta, LLC, GLB Encino, LLC, and Hudson Capital, LLC, for periods subsequent to our initial public offering and (ii) the operations of the following entities or properties that we acquired subsequent to our initial public offering: Del Amo Fashion Center Operating Company, LLC, 9300 Wilshire, 222 Kearny Street, 1455 Market, Rincon Center joint venture and 10950 Washington for periods subsequent to the acquisitions of such entities or properties on August 13, 2010, August 24, 2010, October 8, 2010, December 16, 2010, December 16, 2010 and December 22, 2010, respectively.

You should read the following selected financial data in conjunction with our combined historical consolidated financial statements and the related notes and with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Table of Contents

The historical consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the consolidated statements of operations information for each of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 have been derived from our historical audited consolidated or combined financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Our unaudited selected pro forma consolidated financial statements and operating information as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 assumes the completion of this offering and the concurrent private placement and our intended use of the proceeds therefrom as of the beginning of the earliest period presented for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our unaudited selected pro forma consolidated financial statements also include the effects of our initial public offering, which closed on June 29, 2010, and the related formation transactions that occurred in conjunction with our initial public offering, as if the resulting debt and equity structure were in place as of the first day of the earliest period presented for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our unaudited selected pro forma consolidated financial statements also include the effects of the acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property and certain acquisitions completed by us since our initial public offering, along with any related financing transactions and certain refinancing transactions, as if those acquisitions, financing transactions and certain refinancing transactions had occurred as of the beginning of the earliest period presented for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what our actual financial position and results of operations would have been as of the date and for the periods indicated, nor does it purport to represent our future financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents**Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.**

	Pro Forma Consolidated 2010	Year Ended December 31, Historical Consolidated or Combined		
		2010	2009	2008
(In thousands, except per share data)				
Statement of Operations Data:				
REVENUES				
Office				
Rental	\$ 67,342	\$ 22,247	\$ 11,046	\$ 8,235
Tenant recoveries	27,666	4,023	2,024	1,504
Other	2,554	233	252	41
Total office revenues	97,562	26,503	13,322	9,780
Media & Entertainment				
Rental	20,931	20,931	19,916	22,075
Tenant recoveries	1,517	1,517	1,792	1,544
Other property-related revenue	11,397	11,397	9,427	13,509
Other	238	238	64	92
Total media & entertainment revenues	34,137	34,137	31,199	37,220
Total revenues	131,699	60,640	44,521	47,000
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Office operating expenses	48,331	10,212	6,242	3,003
Media & entertainment operating expenses	19,415	19,815	19,545	23,881
General and administrative	7,402	4,493		
Depreciation and amortization	39,376	15,912	10,908	9,693
Total operating expenses	114,524	50,432	36,695	36,577
Income from operations	17,175	10,208	7,826	10,423
OTHER EXPENSE (INCOME)				
Interest expense	16,903	8,831	8,792	12,029
Interest income	(59)	(59)	(19)	(48)
Unrealized loss (gain) on interest rate contracts	(347)	(347)	(400)	835
Sale of lot				208
Acquisition-related expenses	4,273	4,273		
Other expense	392	192	97	21
Total other expense (income)	21,162	12,890	8,470	13,045
Net loss	\$ (3,987)	\$ (2,682)	\$ (644)	\$ (2,622)
Less: Net income attributable to preferred stock and units	(8,108)	(817)		
Less: Net income attributable to restricted shares	(244)	(50)		
Add: Net loss (income) attributable to non-controlling members in consolidated real estate entities		(119)	29	81
Add: Net loss attributable to unitholders in the Operating Partnership	936	418		
Net loss attributable to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. shareholders / controlling members equity	\$ (11,403)	\$ (3,250)	\$ (615)	\$ (2,541)
Net loss attributable to shareholders per share basic and diluted	(0.36)			
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding basic and diluted	31,821,508			
Dividends declared per common share	\$	\$ 0.095	\$	\$

Balance Sheet Data (at period end):

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

Investment in real estate, net	\$ 838,777	\$ 838,777	\$ 412,085	\$ 409,192
Total assets	1,043,273	1,004,576	448,234	446,037
Notes payable (including secured revolving credit facility)	285,943	342,060	189,518	185,594
Total liabilities	334,126	390,243	221,646	224,306
6.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred units of the Operating Partnership	12,475	12,475		
Redeemable non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entity		40,328		
Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock	87,500	87,500		
Members / stockholders equity	543,488	408,346	223,240	218,449
Non-controlling partnership / members interest	65,684	65,684	3,348	3,282
Total equity	696,672	561,530	226,588	221,731

Table of Contents

	Pro Forma Consolidated 2010	Year Ended December 31, Historical Consolidated or Combined		
		2010	2009	2008
(In thousands, except per share data)				
Other Data:				
Pro forma funds from operations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 27,281			
Pro forma funds from operations per share, diluted	0.78			
Cash flows from:				
Operating activities		\$ 7,619	\$ 4,538	\$ 20,049
Investing activities		(242,156)	(15,457)	(178,526)
Financing activities		279,718	8,800	163,794

- (1) We calculate funds from operations before non-controlling interest, or FFO, in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT. FFO is defined by NAREIT as net income (loss) (computed in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of above (below) market rents for acquisition properties and amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discounts/premiums) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. FFO is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure. We use FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from property dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs. However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effects and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. Other equity REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition as we do, and, therefore, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs' FFO. Accordingly, FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends. FFO should not be used as a supplement to or substitute for cash flow from operating activities computed in accordance with GAAP. The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our pro forma net income to pro forma FFO before non-controlling interest for the periods presented:

	Pro Forma Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In thousands)
Net loss	\$(3,987)
Adjustments:	
Net income attributable to preferred stock and units	(8,108)
Real estate depreciation and amortization	39,376
Funds from operations before common non-controlling interests	\$27,281

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

*Investing in our common stock involves risks. In addition to other information contained in this prospectus, you should carefully consider the following factors before acquiring shares of our common stock offered by this prospectus. The occurrence of any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition, results of operations and our ability to make cash distributions to our stockholders, which could cause you to lose all or a part of your investment in our common stock. Some statements in this prospectus, including statements in the following risk factors, constitute forward-looking statements. Please refer to the section entitled *Forward-Looking Statements*.*

Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business

All of our properties are located in California, and we therefore are dependent on the California economy and are susceptible to adverse local regulations and natural disasters affecting California.

All of our properties are located in California, which exposes us to greater economic risks than if we owned a more geographically dispersed portfolio. Further, our properties are concentrated in certain submarkets, exposing us to risks associated with those specific areas. We are susceptible to adverse developments in the California economic and regulatory environment (such as business layoffs or downsizing, industry slowdowns, relocations of businesses, increases in real estate and other taxes, costs of complying with governmental regulations or increased regulation), as well as to natural disasters that occur in our markets (such as earthquakes and other events). For example, prior to the acquisition of our City Plaza property located in Orange County, California, the area was impacted significantly by the collapse of the subprime mortgage market, which had a material adverse effect on property values, vacancy rates and rents in the area. Had we owned City Plaza at that time, we would have been exposed to those adverse effects, which were more pronounced in Orange County than in other parts of the state and country. We anticipate that we will be exposed to similar risks related to the geographic concentration of our properties in the future. In addition, the State of California continues to suffer from severe budgetary constraints and is regarded as more litigious and more highly regulated and taxed than many other states, all of which may reduce demand for office space in California. Any adverse developments in the economy or real estate market in California, or any decrease in demand for office space resulting from the California regulatory or business environment, could adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution to our stockholders, the per share trading price of our securities and our ability to satisfy our debt obligations. We cannot assure you of the growth of the California economy or of our future growth rate.

We derive a significant portion of our rental revenue from tenants in the media and entertainment industry, which makes us particularly susceptible to demand for rental space in that industry.

The Sunset Gower, Sunset Bronson, Technicolor Building and 10950 Washington properties in our portfolio are leased to primarily media and entertainment tenants and a significant portion of our rental revenue is derived from tenants in the media and entertainment industry. Consequently, we are susceptible to adverse developments affecting the demand by media and entertainment tenants for office, production and support space in Southern California and, more specifically, in Hollywood, such as writer, director and actor strikes, industry slowdowns and the relocation of media and entertainment businesses to other locations. Although our Technicolor Building property and the 10950 Washington property are principally occupied and suitable for general office purposes, portions of such properties may require modifications prior to or at the commencement of a lease term if it were to be released to more traditional office users. Although our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson properties contain both sound stages and space suitable for office use, they have historically served the media and entertainment industry and will continue to depend on that sector for future tenancy. In addition, our media and entertainment properties tend to be subject to short-term leases of less than one year. As a result, were there to be adverse developments affecting the demand by media and entertainment tenants for office, production and support space, it could affect the occupancy of our media and entertainment properties more quickly than if

Table of Contents

we had longer term leases. Any adverse development in the media and entertainment industry could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution to our stockholders and the per share trading price of our securities.

Some of our properties are subject to ground leases, the termination or expiration of which could cause us to lose our interest in, and the right to receive rental income from, such properties.

The 9300 Wilshire Boulevard property, 0.59 acres of the Sunset Gower property and a portion representing 64% of the building area of the 222 Kearny property (excluding the 180 Sutter building) are subject to ground leases. If any of these ground leases are terminated following a default or expire without being extended, we may lose our interest in the related property and may no longer have the right to receive any of the rental income from such property, which would adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, the per share trading price of our securities and our ability to satisfy our debt obligations.

The ground sublease for the Del Amo Office property is subject and subordinate to a ground lease, the termination of which could result in a termination of the ground sublease.

The property on which the Del Amo Office building is located is subleased by Del Amo Fashion Center Operating Company, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, or Del Amo, through a long-term ground sublease. The ground sublease is subject and subordinate to the terms of a ground lease between the fee owner of the Del Amo Office property and the sub-landlord under the ground sublease. The fee owner has not granted to the subtenant under the ground sublease any rights of non-disturbance. Accordingly, a termination of the ground lease for any reason, including a rejection thereof by the ground tenant under the ground lease in a bankruptcy proceeding, could result in a termination of the ground sublease. In the event of a termination of the ground sublease, we may lose our interest in the Del Amo Office building and may no longer have the right to receive any of the rental income from the Del Amo Office building. In addition, our lack of any non-disturbance rights from the fee owner may impair our ability to obtain financing for the Del Amo Office building.

We may be unable to identify and complete acquisitions of properties that meet our criteria, which may impede our growth.

Our business strategy involves the acquisition of underperforming office properties. These activities require us to identify suitable acquisition candidates or investment opportunities that meet our criteria and are compatible with our growth strategies. We continue to evaluate the market of available properties and may attempt to acquire properties when strategic opportunities exist. However, we may be unable to acquire any of the properties identified as potential acquisition opportunities under Business and Properties Acquisition Pipeline and elsewhere in this prospectus, or that we may identify in the future. Our ability to acquire properties on favorable terms, or at all, may be exposed to the following significant risks:

potential inability to acquire a desired property because of competition from other real estate investors with significant capital, including publicly traded REITs, private equity investors and institutional investment funds, which may be able to accept more risk than we can prudently manage, including risks with respect to the geographic proximity of investments and the payment of higher acquisition prices;

we may incur significant costs and divert management attention in connection with evaluating and negotiating potential acquisitions, including ones that we are subsequently unable to complete;

even if we enter into agreements for the acquisition of properties, these agreements are typically subject to customary conditions to closing, including the satisfactory completion of our due diligence investigations; and

we may be unable to finance the acquisition on favorable terms or at all.

Table of Contents

If we are unable to finance property acquisitions or acquire properties on favorable terms, or at all, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, and the per share trading price of our securities could be adversely affected. In addition, failure to identify or complete acquisitions of suitable properties could slow our growth.

Our future acquisitions may not yield the returns we expect.

Our future acquisitions and our ability to successfully operate the properties we acquire in such acquisitions may be exposed to the following significant risks:

even if we are able to acquire a desired property, competition from other potential acquirers may significantly increase the purchase price;

we may acquire properties that are not accretive to our results upon acquisition, and we may not successfully manage and lease those properties to meet our expectations;

our cash flow may be insufficient to meet our required principal and interest payments;

we may spend more than budgeted amounts to make necessary improvements or renovations to acquired properties;

we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate new acquisitions, particularly acquisitions of portfolios of properties, into our existing operations, and as a result our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected;

market conditions may result in higher than expected vacancy rates and lower than expected rental rates; and

we may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, with respect to unknown liabilities such as liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination, claims by tenants, vendors or other persons dealing with the former owners of the properties, liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business and claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

If we cannot operate acquired properties to meet our financial expectations, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities could be adversely affected.

We may acquire properties or portfolios of properties through tax deferred contribution transactions, which could result in stockholder dilution and limit our ability to sell such assets.

In the future we may acquire properties or portfolios of properties through tax deferred contribution transactions in exchange for partnership interests in our operating partnership, which may result in stockholder dilution. This acquisition structure may have the effect of, among other things, reducing the amount of tax depreciation we could deduct over the tax life of the acquired properties, and may require that we agree to protect the contributors' ability to defer recognition of taxable gain through restrictions on our ability to dispose of the acquired properties and/or the allocation of partnership debt to the contributors to maintain their tax bases. These restrictions could limit our ability to sell an asset at a time, or on terms, that would be favorable absent such

restrictions.

Table of Contents

Our growth depends on external sources of capital that are outside of our control and may not be available to us on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT, we are required to meet various requirements under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, including that we distribute annually at least 90% of our net taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gain. In addition, we will be subject to income tax at regular corporate rates to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our net taxable income, including any net capital gains. Because of these distribution requirements, we may not be able to fund future capital needs, including any necessary acquisition financing, from operating cash flow. Consequently, we intend to rely on third-party sources to fund our capital needs. We may not be able to obtain the financing on favorable terms or at all. Any additional debt we incur will increase our leverage and likelihood of default. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends, in part, on:

general market conditions;

the market's perception of our growth potential;

our current debt levels;

our current and expected future earnings;

our cash flow and cash distributions; and

the market price per share of our common stock.

Recently, the credit markets have been subject to significant disruptions. If we cannot obtain capital from third-party sources, we may not be able to acquire or develop properties when strategic opportunities exist, meet the capital and operating needs of our existing properties, satisfy our debt service obligations or make the cash distributions to our stockholders necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT.

As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$342.1 million of indebtedness outstanding. Our debt service obligations with respect to our indebtedness will reduce cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay dividends on our securities, including our common stock, and expose us to the risk of default.

Our total consolidated indebtedness, as of December 31, 2010, was approximately \$342.1 million, of which \$148.1 million (or approximately 43.3%) was variable rate debt, \$37.0 million of which has been fully hedged pursuant to an interest rate contract, and we may incur significant additional debt to finance future acquisition and development activities.

Payments of principal and interest on borrowings may leave us with insufficient cash resources to operate our properties or to pay the dividends currently contemplated on our common stock or our series B preferred stock or necessary to maintain our REIT qualification. Our level of debt and the limitations imposed on us by our debt agreements could have significant adverse consequences, including the following:

our cash flow may be insufficient to meet our required principal and interest payments;

we may be unable to borrow additional funds as needed or on favorable terms, which could, among other things, adversely affect our ability to meet operational needs;

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

we may be unable to refinance our indebtedness at maturity or the refinancing terms may be less favorable than the terms of our original indebtedness;

Table of Contents

because a portion of our debt bears interest at variable rates, increases in interest rates could increase our interest expense;

we may be forced to dispose of one or more of our properties, possibly on unfavorable terms or in violation of certain covenants to which we may be subject;

we may violate restrictive covenants in our loan documents, which would entitle the lenders to accelerate our debt obligations; and

our default under any loan with cross default provisions could result in a default on other indebtedness.

If any one of these events were to occur, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities could be adversely affected. Furthermore, foreclosures could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, which could hinder our ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements imposed by the Code. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness.

Mortgage debt obligations expose us to the possibility of foreclosure, which could result in the loss of our investment in a property or group of properties subject to mortgage debt.

Incurring mortgage and other secured debt obligations increases our risk of property losses because defaults on indebtedness secured by properties may result in foreclosure actions initiated by lenders and ultimately our loss of the property securing any loans for which we are in default. Any foreclosure on a mortgaged property or group of properties could adversely affect the overall value of our portfolio of properties. For tax purposes, a foreclosure of any of our properties that is subject to a nonrecourse mortgage loan would be treated as a sale of the property for a purchase price equal to the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage. If the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage exceeds our tax basis in the property, we would recognize taxable income on foreclosure, but would not receive any cash proceeds.

The terms of our debt agreements restrict our ability to engage in some business activities.

Our secured revolving credit facility contains customary negative covenants and other financial and operating covenants that, among other things:

restrict our ability to incur additional indebtedness;

restrict our ability to make certain investments;

restrict our ability to merge with another company;

restrict our ability to make distributions to stockholders; and

require us to maintain financial coverage ratios.

In addition, the loan agreement for our secured term loan includes customary financial covenants, including a maximum ratio of total indebtedness to total assets, a minimum ratio of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization to fixed charges, and a minimum level of net worth. These limitations restrict our ability to engage in some business activities, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, the per share trading price of our securities and our ability to satisfy our debt obligations. In addition, failure to meet any of these covenants, including the financial

Table of Contents

coverage ratios, could cause an event of default under and/or accelerate some or all of our indebtedness, which would have a material adverse effect on us. Furthermore, our secured revolving credit facility contains specific cross-default provisions with respect to specified other indebtedness, giving the lenders the right to declare a default if we are in default under other loans in some circumstances.

Our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of such interest and a failure to complete such acquisition could result in the loss of our current 51% interest in the property.

On February 24, 2011, we exercised our call right to purchase the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner at a purchase price of approximately \$38.7 million (before prorations). The transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2011, subject to the completion of various closing conditions. We cannot assure you that the acquisition will be consummated on the anticipated schedule or at all.

If we fail to complete the acquisition of the remaining 49% joint venture interest in the Rincon Center property, we will be obligated to pay a termination fee of \$17.5 million and our joint venture partner may elect to either purchase our interest or pursue a forced sale of the property, except in limited circumstances. In either case, we will lose our interest in the property and will receive a payment for our 51% interest in the Rincon Center property net of the \$17.5 million termination fee. In addition, there is no guarantee that we will be able to redeploy the capital from our investment in the Rincon Center property in an investment with similar expected returns or at all, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, the per share trading price of our securities and our ability to satisfy our debt obligations.

Adverse economic and geopolitical conditions and dislocations in the credit markets could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities.

Our business may be affected by market and economic challenges experienced by the U.S. economy or real estate industry as a whole, including dislocations in the credit markets. These conditions may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, and the per share trading price of our securities as a result of the following potential consequences, among others:

significant job losses in the financial and professional services industries may occur, which may decrease demand for our office space, causing market rental rates and property values to be negatively impacted;

our ability to obtain financing on terms and conditions that we find acceptable, or at all, may be limited, which could reduce our ability to pursue acquisition and development opportunities and refinance existing debt, reduce our returns from our acquisition and development activities and increase our future interest expense;

reduced values of our properties may limit our ability to dispose of assets at attractive prices or to obtain debt financing secured by our properties and may reduce the availability of unsecured loans; and

one or more lenders under our secured revolving credit facility could refuse to fund their financing commitment to us or could fail and we may not be able to replace the financing commitment of any such lenders on favorable terms, or at all.

Table of Contents

In addition, the economic downturn has adversely affected, and may continue to adversely affect, the businesses of many of our tenants. As a result, we may see increases in bankruptcies of our tenants and increased defaults by tenants, and we may experience higher vacancy rates and delays in re-leasing vacant space, which could negatively impact our business and results of operations.

Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities.

If interest rates increase, then so will the interest costs on our unhedged or partially hedged variable rate debt, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to pay principal and interest on our debt and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. Further, rising interest rates could limit our ability to refinance existing debt when it matures. We seek to manage our exposure to interest rate volatility by using interest rate hedging arrangements that involve risks, such as the risk that counterparties may fail to honor their obligations under these arrangements, and that these arrangements may not be effective in reducing our exposure to interest rate changes. Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, and the per share trading price of our securities. In addition, while such agreements are intended to lessen the impact of rising interest rates on us, they also expose us to the risk that the other parties to the agreements will not perform, we could incur significant costs associated with the settlement of the agreements, the agreements will be unenforceable and the underlying transactions will fail to qualify as highly-effective cash flow hedges under Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging.

We have a limited operating history and may not be able to operate our business successfully or implement our business strategies as described in this prospectus.

We commenced operations only upon completion of our initial public offering on June 29, 2010. Our office portfolio consists of 11 properties, including the Rincon Center property owned through a joint venture interest, which properties are located throughout California and contain a total of approximately 3.1 million net rentable square feet. Our 1455 Market and 10950 Washington properties and our Rincon Center joint venture interest were purchased in December 2010, and our Del Amo Office, 9300 Wilshire Boulevard and 222 Kearny properties have only been under our management since they were acquired on August 13, 2010, August 24, 2010 and October 8, 2010, respectively, and three of our other properties have only been under our management since they were acquired in connection with our initial public offering on June 29, 2010. These properties may have characteristics or deficiencies unknown to us that could affect such properties' valuation or revenue potential. In addition, there can be no assurance that the operating performance of the properties will not decline under our management. We cannot assure you that we will be able to operate our business successfully or implement our business strategies as described in this prospectus.

We have a limited operating history as a REIT and as a publicly traded company and may not be able to successfully operate as a REIT or a publicly traded company.

We have a limited operating history as a REIT and as a publicly traded company. We cannot assure you that the past experience of our senior management team will be sufficient to successfully operate our company as a REIT or a publicly traded company, including the requirements to timely meet disclosure requirements of the SEC and comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Since our initial public offering, we have been subject to various requirements related to REITs and publicly traded companies, including requirements to develop and implement control systems and procedures in order to qualify and maintain our qualification as a REIT and satisfy our periodic and current reporting requirements under applicable SEC regulations and comply with New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, listing standards. Compliance with these requirements could place a significant strain on our management systems, infrastructure and other resources. Failure to operate successfully as a public company or qualify and maintain our qualification as a REIT would have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, the per share trading price of our

Table of Contents

securities and our ability to satisfy our debt obligations. See Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT Failure to qualify as a REIT would have significant adverse consequences to us and the value of our stock.

We face significant competition, which may decrease or prevent increases of the occupancy and rental rates of our properties.

We compete with numerous developers, owners and operators of office properties, many of which own properties similar to ours in the same submarkets in which our properties are located. If our competitors offer space at rental rates below current market rates, or below the rental rates we currently charge our tenants, we may lose existing or potential tenants and we may be pressured to reduce our rental rates below those we currently charge or to offer more substantial rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options in order to retain tenants when our tenants' leases expire. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities could be adversely affected.

We depend on significant tenants, and many of our properties are single-tenant properties or are currently occupied by single tenants.

As of December 31, 2010, the ten largest tenants in our office portfolio represented approximately 62.2% of the total annualized base rent generated by our office properties. The inability of a significant tenant to pay rent or the bankruptcy or insolvency of a significant tenant may adversely affect the income produced by our properties. If a tenant becomes bankrupt or insolvent, federal law may prohibit us from evicting such tenant based solely upon such bankruptcy or insolvency. In addition, a bankrupt or insolvent tenant may be authorized to reject and terminate its lease with us. Any claim against such tenant for unpaid, future rent would be subject to a statutory cap that might be substantially less than the remaining rent owed under the lease. As of December 31, 2010, our largest tenant was Bank of America, which accounted for 14.8% of our annualized base rent and therefore represented a significant credit concentration. If Bank of America were to experience a downturn in its business or a weakening of its financial condition resulting in its failure to make timely rental payments or causing it to default under its lease, we may experience delays in enforcing our rights as landlord and may incur substantial costs in protecting our investment. Any such event described above could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities.

Furthermore, Saatchi & Saatchi leases 100% of the Del Amo Office property under the terms of an office lease that permits Saatchi & Saatchi to terminate the lease as to all of the leased premises prior to the stated lease expiration on December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2016, in each case upon nine months' prior notice and in exchange for payment of an early termination fee estimated to be approximately \$3.1 million for 2014 and approximately \$1.9 million for 2016. As of December 31, 2010, the Saatchi & Saatchi lease comprised approximately 4.6% of our annualized office base rent. To the extent that Saatchi & Saatchi exercises its early termination right, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow will be adversely affected, and we can provide no assurance that we will be able to generate an equivalent amount of net rental revenue by leasing the vacated space to new third-party tenants. Our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities could be adversely affected if any of our significant tenants were to become unable to pay their rent or become bankrupt or insolvent.

We may be unable to renew leases, lease vacant space or re-let space as leases expire.

As of December 31, 2010, approximately 12.1% of the square footage of the office properties in our portfolio was available for lease (taking into account uncommenced leases signed as of December 31, 2010), and leases representing an additional 6.3% of the square footage of the office properties in our portfolio are scheduled to expire in 2011. For the month of December 2010, approximately 21.3% of the square footage of the media and entertainment properties in our portfolio was available for lease. Furthermore, substantially all of the square

Table of Contents

footage of the media and entertainment properties in our portfolio (other than the KTLA lease of the KTLA facility at Sunset Bronson) will expire in 2011 and 2012. We cannot assure you that leases will be renewed or that our properties will be re-let at net effective rental rates equal to or above the current average net effective rental rates or that substantial rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options will not be offered to attract new tenants or retain existing tenants. If the rental rates for our properties decrease, our existing tenants do not renew their leases or we do not re-let a significant portion of our available space and space for which leases will expire, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on our securities, and the per share trading price of our securities could be adversely affected.

We may be required to make rent or other concessions and/or significant capital expenditures to improve our properties in order to retain and attract tenants, causing our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and per share trading price of our securities to be adversely affected.

To the extent adverse economic conditions continue in the real estate market and demand for office space remains low, we expect that, upon expiration of leases at our properties, we will be required to make rent or other concessions to tenants, accommodate requests for renovations, build-to-suit remodeling and other improvements or provide additional services to our tenants. As a result, we may have to make significant capital or other expenditures in order to retain tenants whose leases expire and to attract new tenants in sufficient numbers. Additionally, we may need to raise capital to make such expenditures. If we are unable to do so or capital is otherwise unavailable, we may be unable to make the required expenditures. This could result in non-renewals by tenants upon expiration of their leases, which could cause an adverse effect to our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities.

The actual rents we receive for the properties in our portfolio may be less than our asking rents, and we may experience lease roll-down from time to time.

As a result of various factors, including competitive pricing pressure in our submarkets, adverse conditions in the Northern or Southern California real estate markets, a general economic downturn and the desirability of our properties compared to other properties in our submarkets, we may be unable to realize the asking rents across the properties in our portfolio. In addition, the degree of discrepancy between our asking rents and the actual rents we are able to obtain may vary both from property to property and among different leased spaces within a single property. If we are unable to obtain rental rates that are on average comparable to our asking rents across our portfolio, then our ability to generate cash flow growth will be negatively impacted. In addition, depending on asking rental rates at any given time as compared to expiring leases in our portfolio, from time to time rental rates for expiring leases may be higher than starting rental rates for new leases.

Our success depends on key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed.

Our continued success and our ability to manage anticipated future growth depend, in large part, upon the efforts of key personnel, particularly Victor J. Coleman and Howard S. Stern, who have extensive market knowledge and relationships and exercise substantial influence over our operational, financing, acquisition and disposition activity. Among the reasons that they are important to our success is that each has a national or regional industry reputation that attracts business and investment opportunities and assists us in negotiations with lenders, existing and potential tenants and industry personnel. If we lose their services, our relationships with such personnel could diminish.

Many of our other senior executives also have extensive experience and strong reputations in the real estate industry, which aid us in identifying opportunities, having opportunities brought to us, and negotiating with tenants and build-to-suit prospects. The loss of services of one or more members of our senior management team, or our inability to attract and retain highly qualified personnel, could adversely affect our business,

Table of Contents

diminish our investment opportunities and weaken our relationships with lenders, business partners, existing and prospective tenants and industry personnel, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities.

Potential losses, including from adverse weather conditions, natural disasters and title claims, may not be covered by insurance.

We carry commercial property (including earthquake), liability and terrorism coverage on all the properties in our portfolio under a blanket insurance policy, in addition to other coverages, such as trademark and pollution coverage, that may be appropriate for certain of our properties. We have selected policy specifications and insured limits that we believe to be appropriate and adequate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice. However, we do not carry insurance for losses such as loss from riots or war because such coverage is not available or is not available at commercially reasonable rates. Some of our policies, like those covering losses due to terrorism or earthquakes, are insured subject to limitations involving large deductibles or co-payments and policy limits that may not be sufficient to cover losses, which could affect certain of our properties that are located in areas particularly susceptible to natural disasters. All of the properties we currently own are located in California, an area especially susceptible to earthquakes. While we carry earthquake insurance on our properties, the amount of our earthquake insurance coverage may not be sufficient to fully cover losses from earthquakes. In addition, we may discontinue earthquake, terrorism or other insurance on some or all of our properties in the future if the cost of premiums for any such policies exceeds, in our judgment, the value of the coverage discounted for the risk of loss. As a result, we may be required to incur significant costs in the event of adverse weather conditions and natural disasters.

If we or one or more of our tenants experiences a loss that is uninsured or that exceeds policy limits, we could lose the capital invested in the damaged properties as well as the anticipated future cash flows from those properties. In addition, if the damaged properties are subject to recourse indebtedness, we would continue to be liable for the indebtedness, even if these properties were irreparably damaged. Furthermore, we may not be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable costs in the future as the costs associated with property and casualty renewals may be higher than anticipated. In the event that we experience a substantial or comprehensive loss of one of our properties, we may not be able to rebuild such property to its existing specifications. Further reconstruction or improvement of such a property would likely require significant upgrades to meet zoning and building code requirements.

Future terrorist activity or engagement in war by the U.S. may have an adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.

Terrorist attacks in the U.S. and other acts of terrorism or war may result in declining economic activity, which could harm the demand for and the value of our properties. A decrease in demand could make it difficult for us to renew or re-lease our properties at these sites at lease rates equal to or above historical rates. Terrorist activities also could directly impact the value of our properties through damage, destruction, or loss, and the availability of insurance for these acts may be less, and cost more, which could adversely affect our financial condition. To the extent that our tenants are impacted by future attacks, their businesses similarly could be adversely affected, including their ability to continue to honor their existing leases.

Terrorist attacks and engagement in war by the U.S. also may adversely affect the markets in which our securities trade and may cause further erosion of business and consumer confidence and spending and may result in increased volatility in national and international financial markets and economies. Any one of these events may cause a decline in the demand for our office and media and entertainment leased space, delay the time in which our new or renovated properties reach stabilized occupancy, increase our operating expenses, such as those attributable to increased physical security for our properties, and limit our access to capital or increase our cost of raising capital. Any such event described above could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities.

Table of Contents

We may become subject to litigation, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, the per share trading price of our securities and our ability to satisfy our debt obligations.

In the future we may become subject to litigation, including claims relating to our operations, offerings, and otherwise in the ordinary course of business. Some of these claims may result in significant defense costs and potentially significant judgments against us, some of which are not, or cannot be, insured against. We generally intend to vigorously defend ourselves; however, we cannot be certain of the ultimate outcomes of any claims that may arise in the future. Resolution of these types of matters against us may result in our having to pay significant fines, judgments or settlements, which, if uninsured, or if the fines, judgments and settlements exceed insured levels, could adversely impact our earnings and cash flows, thereby having an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, the per share trading price of our securities and our ability to satisfy our debt obligations. Certain litigation or the resolution of certain litigation may affect the availability or cost of some of our insurance coverage, which could adversely impact our results of operations and cash flows, expose us to increased risks that would be uninsured, and/or adversely impact our ability to attract officers and directors.

Joint venture investments could be adversely affected by our lack of sole decision-making authority, our reliance on co-venturers financial condition and disputes between us and our co-venturers.

We currently own a 51% joint venture interest in the Rincon Center property. We may co-invest in the future with other third parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, acquiring non-controlling interests in or sharing responsibility for managing the affairs of a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. In such event, we would not be in a position to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding the property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. Investments in partnerships, joint ventures or other entities may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present were a third party not involved, including the possibility that partners or co-venturers might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Partners or co-venturers may have economic or other business interests or goals which are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, and may be in a position to take actions contrary to our policies or objectives, and they may have competing interests in our markets that could create conflict of interest issues. Such investments may also have the potential risk of impasses on decisions, such as a sale, because neither we nor the partner or co-venturer would have full control over the partnership or joint venture. In addition, prior consent of our joint venture partners may be required for a sale or transfer to a third party of our interests in the joint venture, which would restrict our ability to dispose of our interest in the joint venture. If we become a limited partner or non-managing member in any partnership or limited liability company and such entity takes or expects to take actions that could jeopardize our status as a REIT or require us to pay tax, we may be forced to dispose of our interest in such entity. Disputes between us and partners or co-venturers may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers and/or directors from focusing their time and effort on our business. Consequently, actions by or disputes with partners or co-venturers might result in subjecting properties owned by the partnership or joint venture to additional risk. In addition, we may in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of our third-party partners or co-venturers. Our joint ventures may be subject to debt and, in the current volatile credit market, the refinancing of such debt may require equity capital calls.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of integrated internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results.

Effective internal and disclosure controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and effectively prevent fraud and to operate successfully as a public company. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, our reputation and operating results would be harmed. As part of our ongoing monitoring of internal controls we may discover material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal controls. As a result of weaknesses that may be identified in our internal controls, we may also identify certain

Table of Contents

deficiencies in some of our disclosure controls and procedures that we believe require remediation. If we discover weaknesses, we will make efforts to improve our internal and disclosure controls. However, there is no assurance that we will be successful. Any failure to maintain effective controls or timely effect any necessary improvement of our internal and disclosure controls could harm operating results or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations, which could affect our ability to remain listed with the NYSE. Ineffective internal and disclosure controls could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which would likely have a negative effect on the per share trading price of our securities.

Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry

Our performance and value are subject to risks associated with real estate assets and the real estate industry.

Our ability to pay expected dividends to our stockholders depends on our ability to generate revenues in excess of expenses, scheduled principal payments on debt and capital expenditure requirements. Events and conditions generally applicable to owners and operators of real property that are beyond our control may decrease cash available for distribution and the value of our properties. These events include many of the risks set forth above under Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business, as well as the following:

local oversupply or reduction in demand for office or media and entertainment-related space;

adverse changes in financial conditions of buyers, sellers and tenants of properties;

vacancies or our inability to rent space on favorable terms, including possible market pressures to offer tenants rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options, and the need to periodically repair, renovate and re-let space;

increased operating costs, including insurance premiums, utilities, real estate taxes and state and local taxes;

civil unrest, acts of war, terrorist attacks and natural disasters, including earthquakes and floods, which may result in uninsured or underinsured losses;

decreases in the underlying value of our real estate; and

changing submarket demographics.

In addition, periods of economic downturn or recession, rising interest rates or declining demand for real estate, or the public perception that any of these events may occur, could result in a general decline in rents or an increased incidence of defaults under existing leases, which would adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities.

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our properties and harm our financial condition.

The real estate investments made, and to be made, by us are relatively difficult to sell quickly. As a result, our ability to promptly sell one or more properties in our portfolio in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. Return of capital and realization of gains, if any, from an investment generally will occur upon disposition or refinancing of the underlying property. We may be unable to realize our investment objectives by sale, other disposition or refinancing at attractive prices within any given period of time or may otherwise be unable to complete any exit strategy. In particular, our ability to dispose of one or more properties within a specific time period is subject to certain limitations imposed by our tax protection agreements, as well as weakness in or even the lack of an established market for a

property, changes in

Table of Contents

the financial condition or prospects of prospective purchasers, changes in national or international economic conditions, such as the current economic downturn, and changes in laws, regulations or fiscal policies of jurisdictions in which the property is located.

In addition, the Code imposes restrictions on a REIT's ability to dispose of properties that are not applicable to other types of real estate companies. In particular, the tax laws applicable to REITs effectively require that we hold our properties for investment, rather than primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business, which may cause us to forgo or defer sales of properties that otherwise would be in our best interest. Therefore, we may not be able to vary our portfolio in response to economic or other conditions promptly or on favorable terms, which may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities.

We could incur significant costs related to government regulation and litigation over environmental matters.

Under various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the environment, as a current or former owner or operator of real property, we may be liable for costs and damages resulting from the presence or discharge of hazardous or toxic substances, waste or petroleum products at, on, in, under or migrating from such property, including costs to investigate, clean up such contamination and liability for harm to natural resources. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such contamination, and the liability may be joint and several. These liabilities could be substantial and the cost of any required remediation, removal, fines or other costs could exceed the value of the property and/or our aggregate assets. In addition, the presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination at our properties may expose us to third-party liability for costs of remediation and/or personal or property damage or materially adversely affect our ability to sell, lease or develop our properties or to borrow using the properties as collateral. In addition, environmental laws may create liens on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs to address such contamination. Moreover, if contamination is discovered on our properties, environmental laws may impose restrictions on the manner in which property may be used or businesses may be operated, and these restrictions may require substantial expenditures. Some of our properties have been or may be impacted by contamination arising from current or prior uses of the property, or adjacent properties, for commercial or industrial purposes. Such contamination may arise from spills of petroleum or hazardous substances or releases from tanks used to store such materials. As a result, we could potentially incur material liability for these issues, which could adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities.

Environmental laws also govern the presence, maintenance and removal of hazardous materials in building materials (e.g., asbestos and lead), and may impose fines and penalties for failure to comply with these requirements or expose us to third-party liability (e.g., liability for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos). Such laws require that owners or operators of buildings containing hazardous materials (and employers in such buildings) to properly manage and maintain certain hazardous materials, adequately notify or train those who may come into contact with certain hazardous materials, and undertake special precautions, including removal or other abatement, if certain hazardous materials would be disturbed during renovation or demolition of a building. Some of our properties contain hazardous materials and we could be liable for such damages, fines or penalties, as described below in **Business and Properties Regulation Environmental Matters**.

In addition, the properties in our portfolio are subject to various federal, state and local environmental and health and safety requirements, such as state and local fire requirements. Moreover, some of our tenants routinely handle and use hazardous or regulated substances and wastes, which are subject to regulation, as part of their operations at our properties. Such environmental and health and safety laws and regulations could subject us or our tenants to liability resulting from these activities. Environmental liabilities could affect a tenant's ability to make rental payments to us. In addition, changes in laws could increase the potential liability for noncompliance. This may result in significant unanticipated expenditures or may otherwise materially and adversely affect our operations, or those of our tenants, which could in turn have an adverse effect on us.

Table of Contents

We cannot assure you that costs or liabilities incurred as a result of environmental issues will not affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders or that such costs or other remedial measures will not have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities. If we do incur material environmental liabilities in the future, we may face significant remediation costs, and we may find it difficult to sell any affected properties.

Our properties may contain or develop harmful mold or suffer from other air quality issues, which could lead to liability for adverse health effects and costs of remediation.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants above certain levels can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected property or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability from our tenants, employees of our tenants or others if property damage or personal injury is alleged to have occurred.

We may incur significant costs complying with various federal, state and local laws, regulations and covenants that are applicable to our properties.

The properties in our portfolio are subject to various covenants and federal, state and local laws and regulatory requirements, including permitting and licensing requirements. Local regulations, including municipal or local ordinances, zoning restrictions and restrictive covenants imposed by community developers may restrict our use of our properties and may require us to obtain approval from local officials or restrict our use of our properties and may require us to obtain approval from local officials of community standards organizations at any time with respect to our properties, including prior to acquiring a property or when undertaking renovations of any of our existing properties. Among other things, these restrictions may relate to fire and safety, seismic or hazardous material abatement requirements. There can be no assurance that existing laws and regulatory policies will not adversely affect us or the timing or cost of any future acquisitions or renovations, or that additional regulations will not be adopted that increase such delays or result in additional costs. Our growth strategy may be affected by our ability to obtain permits, licenses and zoning relief. Our failure to obtain such permits, licenses and zoning relief or to comply with applicable laws could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our securities.

In addition, federal and state laws and regulations, including laws such as the Americans with Disabilities Act, or ADA, impose further restrictions on our properties and operations. Under the ADA, all public accommodations must meet federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Some of our properties may currently be in non-compliance with the ADA. If one or more of the properties in our portfolio is not in compliance with the ADA or any other regulatory requirements, we may be required to incur additional costs to bring the property into compliance and we might incur governmental fines or the award of damages to private litigants. In addition, we do not know whether existing requirements will change or whether future requirements will require us to make significant unanticipated expenditures that will adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities.

We are exposed to risks associated with property development.

We may engage in development and redevelopment activities with respect to certain of our properties. To the extent that we do so, we will be subject to certain risks, including the availability and pricing of financing

Table of Contents

on favorable terms or at all; construction and/or lease-up delays; cost overruns, including construction costs that exceed our original estimates; contractor and subcontractor disputes, strikes, labor disputes or supply disruptions; failure to achieve expected occupancy and/or rent levels within the projected time frame, if at all; and delays with respect to obtaining or the inability to obtain necessary zoning, occupancy, land use and other governmental permits, and changes in zoning and land use laws. These risks could result in substantial unanticipated delays or expenses and, under certain circumstances, could prevent completion of development activities once undertaken, any of which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities.

Risks Related to Our Organizational Structure

Upon completion of this offering and the concurrent private placement, the Farallon Funds will own an approximate 34.5% beneficial common interest in our company on a fully diluted basis and have the ability to exercise significant influence on our company.

Upon completion of this offering and the concurrent private placement, the Farallon Funds will own an approximate 34.5% beneficial interest in our company on a fully diluted basis. Consequently, the Farallon Funds may be able to significantly influence the outcome of matters submitted for stockholder action, including the election of our directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, including business combinations, consolidations and mergers. In addition, one member of our board of directors is a managing member of Farallon. As a result, the Farallon Funds have substantial influence on us and could exercise their influence in a manner that conflicts with the interests of other stockholders.

The series A preferred units that were issued to some contributors in connection with our initial public offering in exchange for the contribution of their properties have certain preferences, which could limit our ability to pay dividends or other distributions to the holders of our common stock or engage in certain business combinations, recapitalizations or other fundamental changes.

In exchange for the contribution of properties to our portfolio in connection with our initial public offering, some contributors received series A preferred units in our operating partnership, which units have an aggregate liquidation preference of approximately \$12.5 million and have a preference as to distributions and upon liquidation that could limit our ability to pay dividends on our series B preferred stock and our common stock. The series A preferred units rank with respect to distributions and upon dissolution senior to any other class of partnership interests that our operating partnership may issue in the future without the consent of the holders of the series A preferred units. As a result, we will be unable to issue partnership units in our operating partnership senior to the series A preferred units with respect to dividends and upon dissolution without the consent of the holders of series A preferred units. Any preferred stock in our company that we issue will be subordinate to the series A preferred units.

In addition, we may only engage in a fundamental change, including certain mergers, consolidations, recapitalizations or reclassifications of our outstanding stock or sales of all or substantially all of our assets, as a result of which no class of our stock continues to be publicly traded or common units cease to be exchangeable (at our option) for publicly traded shares of our stock, without the consent of holders of series A preferred units, if following such transaction we will maintain certain leverage ratios and equity requirements, and pay certain minimum tax distributions to holders of our outstanding series A preferred units. Alternatively, we may redeem all or any portion of the then outstanding series A preferred units for cash (at a price per unit equal to the redemption price). If we choose to redeem the outstanding series A preferred units in connection with a fundamental change, this could reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to holders of our common stock and series B preferred stock. In addition, these provisions could increase the cost of any such fundamental change transaction, which may discourage a merger, combination or change of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interests.

Table of Contents

Conflicts of interest exist or could arise in the future between the interests of our stockholders and the interests of holders of units in our operating partnership, which may impede business decisions that could benefit our stockholders.

Conflicts of interest exist or could arise in the future as a result of the relationships between us and our affiliates, on the one hand, and our operating partnership or any partner thereof, on the other. Our directors and officers have duties to our company under applicable Maryland law in connection with their management of our company. At the same time, we, as the general partner of our operating partnership, have fiduciary duties and obligations to our operating partnership and its limited partners under Maryland law and the partnership agreement of our operating partnership in connection with the management of our operating partnership. Our fiduciary duties and obligations as general partner to our operating partnership and its partners may come into conflict with the duties of our directors and officers to our company.

Additionally, the partnership agreement provides that we and our directors and officers will not be liable or accountable to our operating partnership for losses sustained, liabilities incurred or benefits not derived if we, or such director or officer acted in good faith. The partnership agreement also provides that we will not be liable to the operating partnership or any partner for monetary damages for losses sustained, liabilities incurred or benefits not derived by the operating partnership or any limited partner, except for liability for our intentional harm or gross negligence. Moreover, the partnership agreement provides that our operating partnership is required to indemnify us and our directors, officers and employees, officers and employees of the operating partnership and our designees from and against any and all claims that relate to the operations of our operating partnership, except (1) if the act or omission of the person was material to the matter giving rise to the action and either was committed in bad faith or was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, (2) for any transaction for which the indemnified party received an improper personal benefit, in money, property or services or otherwise, in violation or breach of any provision of the partnership agreement or (3) in the case of a criminal proceeding, if the indemnified person had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful. No reported decision of a Maryland appellate court has interpreted provisions similar to the provisions of the partnership agreement of our operating partnership that modify and reduce our fiduciary duties or obligations as the general partner or reduce or eliminate our liability for money damages to the operating partnership and its partners, and we have not obtained an opinion of counsel as to the enforceability of the provisions set forth in the partnership agreement that purport to modify or reduce the fiduciary duties that would be in effect were it not for the partnership agreement.

We may pursue less vigorous enforcement of terms of the contribution and other agreements with members of our senior management and our affiliates because of our dependence on them and conflicts of interest.

Each of Victor J. Coleman, Howard S. Stern and affiliates of the Farallon Funds are parties to contribution agreements with us pursuant to which we have acquired interests in our properties and assets. In addition, Messrs. Coleman and Stern are parties to employment agreements with us. We may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our rights under these agreements because of our desire to maintain our ongoing relationship with members of our senior management and the Farallon Funds, with possible negative impact on stockholders.

Our charter and bylaws, the partnership agreement of our operating partnership and Maryland law contain provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a change of control transaction, even if such a change in control may be in your interest, and as a result may depress the market price of our stock.

Our charter contains certain ownership limits. Our charter contains various provisions that are intended to assist in preserving our qualification as a REIT and, subject to certain exceptions, authorize our directors to take such actions as are necessary or appropriate to preserve our qualification as a REIT. For example, our charter prohibits the actual, beneficial or constructive ownership by any person of more than 9.8% in value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of each of our common

Table of Contents

stock and series B preferred stock, and more than 9.8% in value of the aggregate outstanding shares of all classes and series of our stock. Our board of directors, in its sole and absolute discretion, may exempt a person, prospectively or retroactively, from one or more of these ownership limits if, among other things, the person's ownership of our stock in excess of these ownership limits would not cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT. In connection with our initial public offering and the offering of our series B preferred stock, our board of directors granted to the Farallon Funds and certain of their affiliates, which we refer to collectively as the Farallon excepted holders, and to certain other persons, exemptions from the ownership limits, subject to various conditions and limitations. In connection with this offering and the concurrent private placement, our board of directors will grant to certain of the Farallon excepted holders revised exemptions from the ownership limits, subject to substantially the same conditions and limitations as those that have been in place under the exemptions previously granted to the Farallon excepted holders in connection with our initial public offering. See Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer. The restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock may:

discourage a tender offer or other transactions or a change in management or of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or series B preferred stock or that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interests; or

result in the transfer of shares acquired in excess of the restrictions to a trust for the benefit of a charitable beneficiary and, as a result, the forfeiture by the acquirer of the benefits of owning the additional shares.

We could increase the number of authorized shares of stock, classify and reclassify unissued stock and issue stock without stockholder approval. Subject to the rights of holders of series B preferred stock to approve the classification or issuance of shares of any class or series of stock ranking senior to the series B preferred stock with respect to dividends or upon dissolution, our board of directors has the power under our charter to amend our charter to increase the aggregate number of shares of stock or the number of shares of stock of any class or series that we are authorized to issue, to authorize us to issue authorized but unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock and to classify or reclassify any unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock into one or more classes or series of stock and set the terms of such newly classified or reclassified shares. See Description of Stock Common Stock and Preferred Stock. Although our board of directors has no such intention at the present time, it could establish a class or series of preferred stock that could, depending on the terms of such series, delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for our securities or that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interest.

Certain provisions of Maryland law could inhibit changes in control, which may discourage third parties from conducting a tender offer or seeking other change of control transactions that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interest. Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law, or MGCL, may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change of control under circumstances that otherwise could be in the best interest of our stockholders, including:

business combination provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an interested stockholder (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our shares or an affiliate thereof or an affiliate or associate of ours who was the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 10% or more of the voting power of our then outstanding voting stock at any time within the two-year period immediately prior to the date in question) or an affiliate of an interested stockholder for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, and thereafter impose fair price and/or supermajority and stockholder voting requirements on these combinations; and

control share provisions that provide that control shares of our company (defined as shares that, when aggregated with other shares owned or controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a

Table of Contents

control share acquisition (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of issued and outstanding control shares) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

As permitted by the MGCL, we have elected, by resolution of our board of directors, to exempt from the business combination provisions of the MGCL, any business combination that is first approved by our board (including a majority of our disinterested directors) and, pursuant to a provision in our bylaws, to exempt any acquisition of our stock from the control share provisions of the MGCL. However, our board of directors may by resolution elect to repeal the exemption from the business combination provisions of the MGCL and may by amendment to our bylaws opt into the control share provisions of the MGCL at any time in the future.

Certain provisions of the MGCL permit our board of directors, without stockholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in our charter or bylaws, to implement certain corporate governance provisions, some of which (for example, a classified board) are not currently applicable to us. These provisions may have the effect of limiting or precluding a third party from making an unsolicited acquisition proposal for us or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of us under circumstances that otherwise could be in the best interest of our stockholders. Our charter contains a provision whereby we have elected to be subject to the provisions of Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the MGCL relating to the filling of vacancies on our board of directors. See Material Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Charter and Bylaws.

Certain provisions in the partnership agreement of our operating partnership may delay or prevent unsolicited acquisitions of us. Provisions in the partnership agreement of our operating partnership may delay or make more difficult unsolicited acquisitions of us or changes of our control. These provisions could discourage third parties from making proposals involving an unsolicited acquisition of us or change of our control, although some stockholders might consider such proposals, if made, desirable. These provisions include, among others:

redemption rights of qualifying parties;

transfer restrictions on units;

our ability, as general partner, in some cases, to amend the partnership agreement and to cause the operating partnership to issue units with terms that could delay, defer or prevent a merger or other change of control of us or our operating partnership without the consent of the limited partners;

the right of the limited partners to consent to transfers of the general partnership interest and mergers or other transactions involving us under specified circumstances; and

restrictions on debt levels and equity requirements pursuant to the terms of our series A preferred units, as well as required distributions to holders of series A preferred units of our operating partnership, following certain changes of control of us.

Our charter, bylaws, the partnership agreement of our operating partnership and Maryland law also contain other provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interest. See Material Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Charter and Bylaws Removal of Directors, Control Share Acquisitions, Advance Notice of Director Nominations and New Business and Description of the Partnership Agreement of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P.

Table of Contents

Our board of directors may change our investment and financing policies without stockholder approval and we may become more highly leveraged, which may increase our risk of default under our debt obligations.

Our investment and financing policies are exclusively determined by our board of directors. Accordingly, our stockholders do not control these policies. Further, our organizational documents do not limit the amount or percentage of indebtedness, funded or otherwise, that we may incur. Our board of directors may alter or eliminate our current policy on borrowing at any time without stockholder approval. If this policy changed, we could become more highly leveraged which could result in an increase in our debt service. Higher leverage also increases the risk of default on our obligations. In addition, a change in our investment policies, including the manner in which we allocate our resources across our portfolio or the types of assets in which we seek to invest, may increase our exposure to interest rate risk, real estate market fluctuations and liquidity risk. Changes to our policies with regards to the foregoing could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities.

Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited.

Our charter eliminates the liability of our directors and officers to us and our stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or

a final judgment based upon a finding of active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was material to the cause of action adjudicated.

In addition, our charter authorizes us to obligate our company, and our bylaws require us, to indemnify our directors and officers for actions taken by them in those and certain other capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. As a result, we and our stockholders may have more limited rights against our directors and officers than might otherwise exist. Accordingly, in the event that actions taken in good faith by any of our directors or officers impede the performance of our company, your ability to recover damages from such director or officer will be limited.

Tax protection agreements could limit our ability to sell or otherwise dispose of certain properties.

In connection with the formation transactions related to our initial public offering, we entered into tax protection agreements with certain third-party contributors that provide that if we dispose of any interest with respect to the First Financial or Tierrasanta properties in a taxable transaction during the period from the closing of our initial public offering on June 29, 2010 through certain specified dates ranging until 2027, we will indemnify the third-party contributors for certain tax liabilities payable as a result of the sale (as well as tax liabilities payable as a result of the reimbursement payment). Certain contributors' rights under the tax protection agreements with respect to these properties will, however, expire at various times (depending on the rights of such partner) during the period beginning in 2017 and prior to the expiration, in 2027, of the maximum period for indemnification. The First Financial and Tierrasanta properties represented 11.8% of our office portfolio's annualized base rent as of December 31, 2010. We have no present intention to sell or otherwise dispose of the properties or interest therein in taxable transactions during the restriction period. If we were to trigger the tax protection provisions under these agreements, we would be required to pay damages in the amount of certain taxes payable by these contributors (plus additional damages in the amount of the taxes incurred as a result of such payment). In addition, although it may otherwise be in our stockholders' best interest that we sell one of these properties, it may be economically prohibitive for us to do so because of these obligations.

Table of Contents

Our tax protection agreements may require our operating partnership to maintain certain debt levels that otherwise would not be required to operate our business.

Our tax protection agreements provide that during the period from the closing of our initial public offering on June 29, 2010, through certain specified dates ranging from 2017 to 2027, our operating partnership will offer certain holders of units who continue to hold the units received in respect of the formation transactions related to our initial public offering the opportunity to guarantee debt. If we fail to make such opportunities available, we will be required to indemnify such holders for certain tax liabilities resulting from our failure to make such opportunities available to them (and any tax liabilities payable as a result of the indemnity payment). We agreed to these provisions in order to assist certain contributors in deferring the recognition of taxable gain as a result of and after the formation transactions. These obligations may require us to maintain more or different indebtedness than we would otherwise require for our business.

We are a holding company with no direct operations and, as such, we rely on funds received from our operating partnership to pay liabilities, and the interests of our stockholders are structurally subordinated to all liabilities and obligations of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries.

We are a holding company and conduct substantially all of our operations through our operating partnership. We do not have, apart from an interest in our operating partnership, any independent operations. As a result, we rely on distributions from our operating partnership to pay any dividends we might declare on our securities. We also rely on distributions from our operating partnership to meet our obligations, including any tax liability on taxable income allocated to us from our operating partnership. In addition, because we are a holding company, claims of our equity holders will be structurally subordinated to all existing and future liabilities and obligations (whether or not for borrowed money) of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries and subordinate to the rights of holders of series A preferred units. Therefore, in the event of our bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization, our assets and those of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries will be available to satisfy the claims of our stockholders only after all of our and our operating partnership's and its subsidiaries' liabilities and obligations have been paid in full.

Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT

Failure to qualify as a REIT would have significant adverse consequences to us and the value of our stock.

We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes on our federal income tax return for our taxable year ended December 31, 2010. We believe that we have operated, and we intend to continue operating, in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with such taxable year. We have not requested and do not plan to request a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, that we qualify as a REIT, and the statements in this prospectus are not binding on the IRS or any court. Therefore, we cannot assure you that we will qualify as a REIT, or that we will remain qualified as such in the future. If we lose our REIT status, we will face serious tax consequences that would substantially reduce the funds available for distribution to you for each of the years involved because:

we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates;

we also could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes; and

unless we are entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, we could not elect to be taxed as a REIT for four taxable years following the year during which we were disqualified.

Table of Contents

Any such corporate tax liability could be substantial and would reduce our cash available for, among other things, our operations and distributions to stockholders. In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will not be required to make distributions to our stockholders. As a result of all these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT also could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital, and could materially and adversely affect the value of our securities.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. The complexity of these provisions and of the applicable Treasury regulations that have been promulgated under the Code, or the Treasury Regulations, is greater in the case of a REIT that, like us, holds its assets through a partnership. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. In order to qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy a number of requirements, including requirements regarding the ownership of our stock, requirements regarding the composition of our assets and a requirement that at least 95% of our gross income in any year must be derived from qualifying sources, such as rents from real property. Also, we must make distributions to stockholders aggregating annually at least 90% of our net taxable income, excluding net capital gains. In addition, legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions may materially adversely affect our investors, our ability to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes or the desirability of an investment in a REIT relative to other investments.

Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we may be subject to some federal, state and local income, property and excise taxes on our income or property and, in certain cases, a 100% penalty tax, in the event we sell property as a dealer. In addition, our taxable REIT subsidiaries will be subject to tax as regular corporations in the jurisdictions they operate.

If our operating partnership failed to qualify as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, we would cease to qualify as a REIT and suffer other adverse consequences.

We believe that our operating partnership is properly treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. As a partnership, our operating partnership is not subject to federal income tax on its income. Instead, each of its partners, including us, is allocated, and may be required to pay tax with respect to, its share of our operating partnership's income. We cannot assure you, however, that the IRS will not challenge the status of our operating partnership or any other subsidiary partnership in which we own an interest as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in treating our operating partnership or any such other subsidiary partnership as an entity taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, we would fail to meet the gross income tests and certain of the asset tests applicable to REITs and, accordingly, we would likely cease to qualify as a REIT. Also, the failure of our operating partnership or any subsidiary partnerships to qualify as a partnership would cause it to become subject to federal and state corporate income tax, which could reduce significantly the amount of cash available for debt service and for distribution to its partners, including us.

Our ownership of taxable REIT subsidiaries is subject to certain restrictions, and we will be required to pay a 100% penalty tax on certain income or deductions if our transactions with our taxable REIT subsidiaries are not conducted on arm's length terms.

We currently own an interest in one taxable REIT subsidiary and may acquire securities in additional taxable REIT subsidiaries in the future. A taxable REIT subsidiary is a corporation other than a REIT in which a REIT directly or indirectly holds stock, and that has made a joint election with such REIT to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary. If a taxable REIT subsidiary owns more than 35% of the total voting power or value of the outstanding securities of another corporation, such other corporation will also be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary. Other than some activities relating to lodging and health care facilities, a taxable REIT subsidiary may generally engage in any business, including the provision of customary or non-customary services to tenants of its parent REIT. A taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to federal income tax as a regular C corporation. In

Table of Contents

addition, a 100% excise tax will be imposed on certain transactions between a taxable REIT subsidiary and its parent REIT that are not conducted on an arm's length basis.

A REIT's ownership of securities of a taxable REIT subsidiary is not subject to the 5% or 10% asset tests applicable to REITs. Not more than 25% of our total assets may be represented by securities, including securities of taxable REIT subsidiaries, other than those securities includable in the 75% asset test. We anticipate that the aggregate value of the stock and securities of any taxable REIT subsidiaries and other nonqualifying assets that we own will be less than 25% of the value of our total assets, and we will monitor the value of these investments to ensure compliance with applicable ownership limitations. In addition, we intend to structure our transactions with any taxable REIT subsidiaries that we own to ensure that they are entered into on arm's length terms to avoid incurring the 100% excise tax described above. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to comply with the 25% limitation or to avoid application of the 100% excise tax discussed above.

To maintain our REIT status, we may be forced to borrow funds during unfavorable market conditions.

To qualify as a REIT, we generally must distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our net taxable income each year, excluding net capital gains, and we will be subject to regular corporate income taxes to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our net taxable income each year. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which distributions paid by us in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income, 95% of our capital gain net income and 100% of our undistributed income from prior years. In order to maintain our REIT status and avoid the payment of income and excise taxes, we may need to borrow funds to meet the REIT distribution requirements even if the then prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings. These borrowing needs could result from, among other things, differences in timing between the actual receipt of cash and inclusion of income for federal income tax purposes, or the effect of non-deductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required debt or amortization payments. These sources, however, may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends on a number of factors, including the market's perception of our growth potential, our current debt levels, the market price of our common stock, and our current and potential future earnings. We cannot assure you that we will have access to such capital on favorable terms at the desired times, or at all, which may cause us to curtail our investment activities and/or to dispose of assets at inopportune times, and could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and the per share trading price of our securities.

We may in the future choose to pay dividends in our own stock, in which case you may be required to pay tax in excess of the cash you receive.

We may distribute taxable dividends that are payable in our stock. Under recent IRS guidance, up to 90% of any such taxable dividend with respect to calendar years 2008 through 2011, and in some cases declared as late as December 31, 2012, could be payable in our stock. Taxable stockholders receiving such dividends will be required to include the full amount of the dividend as ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits for federal income tax purposes. As a result, a U.S. stockholder may be required to pay tax with respect to such dividends in excess of the cash received. If a U.S. stockholder sells the stock it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our stock at the time of the sale. For more information on the tax consequences of distributions with respect to our common stock, see [Federal Income Tax Considerations](#) Federal Income Tax Considerations for Holders of Our Common Stock. Furthermore, with respect to non-U.S. stockholders, we may be required to withhold U.S. tax with respect to such dividends, including in respect of all or a portion of such dividend that is payable in stock. In addition, if a significant number of our stockholders determine to sell shares of our stock in order to pay taxes owed on dividends, such sales may have an adverse effect on the per share trading price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

The maximum tax rate applicable to income from qualified dividends payable to U.S. stockholders that are individuals, trusts and estates has been reduced by legislation to 15% (through the end of 2012). Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates. Although these rules do not adversely affect the taxation of REITs or dividends payable by REITs, to the extent that the reduced rates continue to apply to regular corporate qualified dividends, investors who are individuals, trusts and estates may perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the shares of REITs, including the per share trading price of our securities.

The tax imposed on REITs engaging in prohibited transactions may limit our ability to engage in transactions that would be treated as sales for federal income tax purposes.

A REIT's net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% penalty tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property, other than foreclosure property, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. Although we do not intend to hold any properties that would be characterized as held for sale to customers in the ordinary course of our business, unless a sale or disposition qualifies under certain statutory safe harbors, such characterization is a factual determination and no guarantee can be given that the IRS would agree with our characterization of our properties or that we will always be able to make use of the available safe harbors.

Complying with REIT requirements may affect our profitability and may force us to liquidate or forgo otherwise attractive investments.

To qualify as a REIT, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the nature and diversification of our assets, the sources of our income and the amounts we distribute to our stockholders. We may be required to liquidate or forgo otherwise attractive investments in order to satisfy the asset and income tests or to qualify under certain statutory relief provisions. We also may be required to make distributions to stockholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. As a result, having to comply with the distribution requirement could cause us to: (i) sell assets in adverse market conditions; (ii) borrow on unfavorable terms; or (iii) distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions, capital expenditures or repayment of debt. Accordingly, satisfying the REIT requirements could have an adverse effect on our business results, profitability and ability to execute our business plan. Moreover, if we are compelled to liquidate our investments to meet any of these asset, income or distribution tests, or to repay obligations to our lenders, we may be unable to comply with one or more of the requirements applicable to REITs or may be subject to a 100% tax on any resulting gain if such sales constitute prohibited transactions.

Legislative or other actions affecting REITs could have a negative effect on us.

The rules dealing with federal income taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the IRS and the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Changes to the tax laws, with or without retroactive application, could adversely affect our investors or us. We cannot predict how changes in the tax laws might affect our investors or us. New legislation, Treasury Regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions could significantly and negatively affect our ability to qualify as a REIT or the federal income tax consequences of such qualification.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to this Offering

The market price and trading volume of our common stock may be volatile following this offering.

The per share trading price of our common stock may be volatile. In addition, the trading volume in our common stock may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. If the per share trading price of our common stock declines significantly, you may be unable to resell your shares at or above the public offering price. We cannot assure you that the per share trading price of our common stock will not fluctuate or decline significantly in the future.

Some of the factors that could negatively affect our share price or result in fluctuations in the price or trading volume of our common stock include:

actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly operating results or dividends;

changes in our funds from operations or earnings estimates;

publication of research reports about us or the real estate industry;

prevailing interest rates;

the market for similar securities;

changes in market valuations of similar companies;

adverse market reaction to any additional debt we incur in the future;

additions or departures of key management personnel;

actions by institutional stockholders;

speculation in the press or investment community;

the realization of any of the other risk factors presented in this prospectus;

the extent of investor interest in our securities;

the general reputation of REITs and the attractiveness of our equity securities in comparison to other equity securities, including securities issued by other real estate-based companies;

our underlying asset value;

investor confidence in the stock and bond markets, generally;

changes in tax laws;

future equity issuances;

failure to meet earnings estimates;

failure to meet the REIT qualification requirements and maintain our REIT status;

changes in our credit ratings;

Table of Contents

general economic and financial market conditions;

the market for similar securities;

our issuance of debt or preferred equity securities; and

our financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

In the past, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against companies following periods of volatility in the price of their common stock. This type of litigation could result in substantial costs and divert our management's attention and resources, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our common stock.

Our common stock is ranked junior to our series B preferred stock.

Our common stock is ranked junior to our series B preferred stock with respect to dividends and upon dissolution. In certain circumstances, following a change of control of our company, holders of our series B preferred stock will be entitled to receive dividends at the increased rate of 12.375% per annum per share of the liquidation preference of our series B preferred stock or we will have the option to redeem our series B preferred stock for cash at \$25.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends. Holders of our common stock are not entitled to preemptive rights or other protections against dilution. In addition to this offering and the concurrent private placement, we may in the future attempt to increase our capital resources by making additional offerings of equity securities, including additional classes or series of preferred stock, which would likely have preferences with respect to dividends or upon dissolution that are senior to our common stock. Because our decision to issue securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future offering. Thus, our common stockholders bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the per share trading price of our common stock and diluting their interest in us.

Affiliates of our underwriters will receive benefits in connection with this offering.

Affiliates of our underwriters, including Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Barclays Capital Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc., are lenders under our \$200 million secured credit facility. Under this facility, an affiliate of Barclays Capital Inc. acts as administrative agent and joint lead arranger, an affiliate of Merrill Lynch, Pierce Fenner & Smith Incorporated acts as syndication agent, and an affiliate of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (as successor in interest to Banc of America Securities LLC) acts as joint lead arranger. To the extent that we use a portion of the net proceeds of this offering and the concurrent private placement to repay borrowings outstanding under our secured revolving credit facility, such affiliates of our underwriters will receive their proportionate shares of any amount of the secured revolving credit facility that is repaid with the net proceeds of this offering and the concurrent private placement. These transactions create potential conflicts of interest because the underwriters have an interest in the successful completion of this offering beyond the underwriting discounts and commissions they will receive. These interests may influence the decision regarding the terms and circumstances under which the offering is completed.

Market interest rates may have an effect on the value of our common stock.

One of the factors that will influence the price of our common stock will be the dividend yield on the common stock (as a percentage of the price of our common stock, as applicable) relative to market interest rates. An increase in market interest rates, which are currently at low levels relative to historical rates, may lead prospective purchasers of our common stock to expect a higher dividend yield and higher interest rates would likely increase our borrowing costs and potentially decrease funds available for distribution. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of our common stock to decrease.

Table of Contents

The number of shares of our common stock available for future issuance or sale could adversely affect the per share trading price of our common stock.

We are offering shares of our common stock as described in this prospectus. Upon completion of this offering and the concurrent private placement, we will have 32,328,569 shares of our common stock outstanding, of which 21,470,000 shares will be freely tradeable. We cannot predict whether future issuances or sales of shares of our common stock or the availability of shares for resale in the open market will decrease the per share trading price per share of our common stock. The per share trading price of our common stock may decline significantly when the restrictions on resale by certain of our stockholders lapse or upon the registration of additional shares of our common stock pursuant to registration rights granted in connection with our initial public offering and the 2010 private placement or the registration rights granted in connection with the concurrent private placement. In particular, we have entered into a registration rights agreement with the Farallon Funds in connection with which we will be obligated to register a number of shares of common stock representing up to 25% of the aggregate number of shares of our common stock and common units issued or issuable to the Farallon Funds in connection with the formation transactions and the 2010 private placement pursuant to a demand for registration that may be made by the Farallon Funds at any time, in addition to other registration rights granted to the Farallon Funds and the various persons who received shares of our common stock and/or units in connection with our initial public offering. The shares of common stock that may be registered on behalf of the Farallon Funds, as described above, represent approximately 34.5% of the total number of outstanding shares of our common stock upon completion of this offering and the concurrent private placement. As a result, a substantial number of shares may be sold pursuant to the registration rights granted to the Farallon Funds. We have agreed to enter into an amendment to the registration rights agreement pursuant to which the common stock purchased by the Farallon Funds in the concurrent private placement will be entitled to the benefits of the registration rights agreement. The sale of such shares by the Farallon Funds, or the perception that such a sale may occur, could materially and adversely affect the per share trading price of our common stock.

The issuance of substantial numbers of shares of our common stock in the public market, or upon exchange of units, or the perception that such issuances might occur could adversely affect the per share trading price of our common stock.

The exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option, the exchange of units for common stock, the exercise of any options or the vesting of any restricted stock granted to certain directors, executive officers and other employees under our equity incentive plan, the issuance of our common stock or units in connection with future property, portfolio or business acquisitions and other issuances of our common stock could have an adverse effect on the per share trading price of our common stock, and the existence of units, options, shares of our common stock reserved for issuance as restricted shares of our common stock or upon exchange of units may adversely affect the terms upon which we may be able to obtain additional capital through the sale of equity securities. In addition, future issuances of shares of our common stock may be dilutive to existing stockholders.

Future offerings of debt securities, which would be senior to our common stock upon liquidation, and/or preferred equity securities which may be senior to our common stock for purposes of dividend distributions or upon liquidation, may adversely affect the per share trading price of our common stock.

In the future, we may attempt to increase our capital resources by making additional offerings of debt or equity securities (or causing our operating partnership to issue debt securities), including medium-term notes, senior or subordinated notes and additional classes or series of preferred stock. Upon liquidation, holders of our debt securities and shares of preferred stock or preferred units and lenders with respect to other borrowings will be entitled to receive our available assets prior to distribution to the holders of our common stock. Additionally, any convertible or exchangeable securities that we issue in the future may have rights, preferences and privileges more favorable than those of our common stock and may result in dilution to owners of our common stock. Holders of our common stock are not entitled to preemptive rights or other protections against dilution. Any shares of preferred stock that we issue in the future could have a preference on liquidating distributions or a

Table of Contents

preference on dividend payments that could limit our ability pay dividends to the holders of our common stock. Because our decision to issue securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future offerings. Thus, our stockholders bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the per share trading price of our common stock and diluting their interest in us.

Our ability to pay dividends is limited by the requirements of Maryland law.

Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is limited by the laws of Maryland. Under applicable Maryland law, a Maryland corporation generally may not make a distribution if, after giving effect to the distribution, the corporation would not be able to pay its debts as the debts become due in the usual course of business, or the corporation's total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus, unless the corporation's charter permits otherwise, the amount that would be needed, if the corporation were dissolved at the time of the distribution, to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of stockholders whose preferential rights are superior to those receiving the distribution. Accordingly, we generally may not make a distribution on our common stock if, after giving effect to the distribution, we would not be able to pay our debts as they become due in the usual course of business or our total assets would be less than the sum of our total liabilities plus, unless the terms of such class or series provide otherwise, the amount that would be needed to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of the holders of shares of any class or series of preferred stock then outstanding, if any, with preferences upon dissolution senior to those of our common stock.

Our secured revolving credit facility prohibits us from repurchasing shares of our common stock and may limit our ability to pay dividends on our common stock.

Our secured revolving credit facility, which matures in June 2013, prohibits us from repurchasing any shares of our stock, including our common stock, during the three-year term of the secured revolving credit facility. Under the secured revolving credit facility, our distributions may not exceed the greater of (i) 95.0% of our FFO, (ii) the amount required for us to qualify and maintain our status as a REIT or (iii) the amount required for us to avoid the imposition of income and excise taxes. As a result, if we do not generate sufficient funds from operations (as defined in our secured revolving credit facility) during the 12 months preceding any common stock dividend payment date, we would not be able to pay dividends to our common stockholders consistent with our past practice without causing a default under our secured revolving credit facility. In the event of a default under our secured revolving credit facility, we would be unable to borrow under our secured revolving credit facility and any amounts we have borrowed thereunder could become due and payable.

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We make statements in this prospectus that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (set forth in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Exchange Act). In particular, statements relating to our liquidity and capital resources, portfolio performance and results of operations contain forward-looking statements. Furthermore, all of the statements regarding future financial performance (including anticipated funds from operations, or FFO, market conditions and demographics) are forward-looking statements. We are including this cautionary statement to make applicable and take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for any such forward-looking statements. We caution investors that any forward-looking statements presented in this prospectus are based on management's beliefs and assumptions made by, and information currently available to, management. When used, the words anticipate, believe, expect, intend, may, might, plan, estimate, project, should, will, expressions that do not relate solely to historical matters are intended to identify forward-looking statements. You can also identify forward-looking statements by discussions of strategy, plans or intentions.

Forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions and may be affected by known and unknown risks, trends, uncertainties and factors that are beyond our control. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. We do not guarantee that the transactions and events described will happen as described (or that they will happen at all).

Some of the risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results, performance, liquidity or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements include, among others, the following:

adverse economic or real estate developments in our markets;

general economic conditions;

defaults on, early terminations of or non-renewal of leases by tenants;

fluctuations in interest rates and increased operating costs;

our failure to obtain necessary outside financing;

our failure to generate sufficient cash flows to service our outstanding indebtedness;

lack or insufficient amounts of insurance;

decreased rental rates or increased vacancy rates;

difficulties in identifying properties to acquire and completing acquisitions;

our failure to successfully operate acquired properties and operations;

our failure to maintain our status as a REIT;

environmental uncertainties and risks related to adverse weather conditions and natural disasters;

financial market fluctuations;

Table of Contents

changes in real estate and zoning laws and increases in real property tax rates; and

other factors affecting the real estate industry generally.

While forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs, they are not guarantees of future performance. We disclaim any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect changes in underlying assumptions or factors, of new information, data or methods, future events or other changes. Accordingly, investors should use caution in relying on past forward-looking statements, which were based on results and trends at the time they were made, to anticipate future results or trends. For a further discussion of these and other factors that could impact our future results, performance or transactions, see the section above entitled Risk Factors.

Table of Contents

USE OF PROCEEDS

We estimate that the net proceeds from this offering will be approximately \$90.5 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and our expenses, or approximately \$104.2 million if the underwriters' overallotment option is exercised in full. We estimate the proceeds we will receive in the concurrent private placement to the Farallon Funds will be approximately \$44.6 million. We will contribute the net proceeds of this offering and the concurrent private placement to our operating partnership in exchange for common units, and our operating partnership will use the net proceeds to repay indebtedness under our secured revolving credit facility, to fund future acquisitions, including potentially the acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property that we do not currently own, and for general working capital purposes, including capital expenditures, tenant improvements, leasing commissions, post-closing offering expenses and, potentially, paying distributions.

Our secured revolving credit facility matures on June 29, 2013 and bears interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 250 basis points to 325 basis points, depending on our leverage ratio.

Pending application of cash proceeds, we will invest the net proceeds in interest-bearing accounts and short-term, interest-bearing securities in a manner that is consistent with our intention to qualify for taxation as a REIT.

See Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this prospectus.

Affiliates of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Barclays Capital Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc., each of which is an underwriter in this offering, are lenders under our \$200 million secured revolving credit facility. Under this facility, an affiliate of Barclays Capital Inc. acts as administrative agent and joint lead arranger, an affiliate of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated acts as syndication agent, and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (as successor in interest to Banc of America Securities LLC) acts as joint lead arranger. In connection with their participation in the secured revolving credit facility, our underwriters or their affiliates receive customary fees. In addition, to the extent that we use a portion of the net proceeds of this offering and the concurrent private placement to repay borrowings outstanding under our secured revolving credit facility, such affiliates of our underwriters will receive their proportionate shares of any amount of the secured revolving credit facility that is repaid with the net proceeds of this offering and the concurrent private placement.

Table of Contents**PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DISTRIBUTIONS****Price Range of Common Stock**

Our common stock has been listed on the NYSE since June 24, 2010 and is traded under the symbol HPP. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high, low and last sale prices in dollars on the NYSE for our common stock and the distributions we declared with respect to the periods indicated.

	High	Low	Last	Distributions
2010				
Second quarter ⁽¹⁾	\$ 17.61	\$ 17.25	\$ 17.25	\$ 0.0021
Third quarter	\$ 17.00	\$ 15.86	\$ 16.37	\$ 0.095
Fourth quarter	\$ 16.52	\$ 14.69	\$ 15.05	\$ 0.095
2011				
First quarter	\$ 15.30	\$ 14.13	\$ 14.70	\$ 0.125
Second quarter (through April 25, 2011)	\$ 14.73	\$ 13.97	\$ 14.28	

(1) Information is provided only for the period from June 24, 2010 to June 30, 2010, as shares of our common stock did not begin trading publicly until June 24, 2010.

On April 25, 2011, the closing sale price for our common stock, as reported on the NYSE, was \$14.28 and there were 21 holders of record of our common stock. This figure does not reflect the beneficial ownership of shares held in nominee name.

Distribution Policy

We intend to continue to declare quarterly distributions on our common stock. The actual amount and timing of distributions, however, will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our financial condition in addition to the requirements of the Code, and no assurance can be given as to the amounts or timing of future distributions.

Subject to the distribution requirements applicable to REITs under the Code, we intend, to the extent practicable, to invest substantially all of the proceeds from sales and refinancings of our assets in real estate-related assets and other assets. We may, however, under certain circumstances, make a distribution of capital or of assets. Such distributions, if any, will be made at the discretion of our board of directors. Distributions will be made in cash to the extent that cash is available for distribution.

Table of Contents**CAPITALIZATION**

The following table sets forth our cash and cash equivalents and historical consolidated capitalization as of December 31, 2010 and our pro forma cash and cash equivalents and consolidated capitalization as of December 31, 2010, adjusted to give effect to this offering and the concurrent private placement and the use of the net proceeds as set forth in Use of Proceeds. You should read this table in conjunction with Use of Proceeds, Selected Financial Data, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this prospectus.

	As of December 31, 2010	
	Historical Consolidated	Pro Forma Consolidated
	(In thousands, except share amounts)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 48,875	\$ 85,508
DEBT		
Notes payable and other secured loans ⁽¹⁾	342,060	285,943
6.25% Cumulative Redeemable Convertible Series A Preferred Units of our operating partnership	12,475	12,475
Redeemable non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entity	40,328	
EQUITY		
Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized, of which 3,600,000 shares are designated as 8.375% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 3,500,000 shares issued and outstanding	87,500	87,500
Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 490,000,000 shares authorized, 22,436,950 shares issued and outstanding historical and 32,311,950 shares issued and outstanding on a pro forma basis ⁽²⁾	224	323
Additional paid-in capital	411,598	546,641
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	6	6
Accumulated deficit	(3,482)	(3,482)
Total Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. stockholders' equity	495,846	630,988
Non-controlling partnership interests	65,684	65,684
Total equity	561,530	696,672
Total capitalization	\$ 956,393	\$ 995,090

(1) Includes borrowings of approximately \$111,117 under our secured revolving credit facility as of December 31, 2010, and unamortized loan premium, net, of \$643. As of April 25, 2011, we had borrowings of approximately \$46,500 outstanding under our secured revolving credit facility. We intend to use a portion of the net proceeds of this offering and the concurrent private placement to repay amounts outstanding under our secured revolving credit facility.

(2) Pro forma common stock outstanding includes 3,125,000 shares of our common stock to be issued to the Farallon Funds in the concurrent private placement. Historical and pro forma common stock outstanding excludes (i) 1,012,500 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option in full, (ii) shares of common stock issuable upon exchange of our series A preferred units, with an aggregate liquidation preference of approximately \$12,475, which are convertible or redeemable after June 29, 2013, (iii) 1,159,558 shares of our common stock available for issuance in the future under our equity incentive plan and (iv) 16,619 shares issued to our directors then serving after December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**DILUTION**

Purchasers of shares of our common stock offered in this prospectus will experience an immediate and substantial increase in the net tangible book value per share of our common stock from the public offering price. As of December 31, 2010, we had a consolidated net tangible book value of approximately \$484.6 million, or \$19.35 per share of our common stock, assuming the exchange of common units into shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis. After giving effect to the sale of the shares of our common stock offered hereby and in the concurrent private placement, including the use of proceeds as described under "Use of Proceeds," and the deduction of underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses, the pro forma net tangible book value as of December 31, 2010 attributable to common stockholders would have been \$617.7 million, or \$17.69 per share of our common stock, assuming the exchange of common units into shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis. This amount represents an immediate decrease in net tangible book value of \$1.66 per share to continuing investors and an immediate increase in pro forma net tangible book value of \$3.41 per share to new public investors. The following table illustrates this per share increase:

Assumed public offering price per share	14.28
Net tangible book value per share before this offering and the concurrent private placement ⁽¹⁾	19.35
Net decrease in pro forma net tangible book value per share attributable to this offering and the concurrent private placement	1.66
Pro forma net tangible book value per share after this offering and the concurrent private placement ⁽²⁾	17.69
Increase in pro forma net tangible book value per share to new investors ⁽³⁾	3.41

- (1) Net tangible book value per share of our common stock before this offering and the concurrent private placement is determined by dividing net tangible book value based on December 31, 2010 net book value of the tangible assets (consisting of stockholders' total equity less intangible assets, which consist of deferred financing costs, goodwill, deferred leasing costs and lease intangibles, net, below-market leases, net, and trade name) by 25,047,891 shares of our common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2010, assuming the exchange of common units into shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis.
- (2) Based on pro forma net tangible book value of approximately \$617.7 million divided by the sum of 34,922,891 shares of our common stock and common units to be outstanding after this offering and the concurrent private placement (excluding common units held by us), not including (i) 1,012,500 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option, (ii) shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to the terms of the series A preferred units, which are convertible into common units, based upon the trading price of our common stock at the time of conversion or redeemable for cash or, at our option, exchangeable for registered shares of common stock with a value equal to the redemption price, in each case after June 29, 2013, (iii) 1,159,558 shares of our common stock available for issuance in the future under our equity incentive plan and (iv) 16,619 shares issued to our directors then serving after December 31, 2010.
- (3) Dilution is determined by subtracting pro forma net tangible book value per share of our common stock after giving effect to this offering and the concurrent private placement from the public offering price paid by a new investor for a share of our common stock.

Table of Contents

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth selected financial and operating data on a pro forma and historical basis for our company. Our historical financial statements for the periods prior to the completion of our initial public offering include the real estate activity and holdings of the entities that owned the following properties that were contributed to us in connection with our initial public offering on June 29, 2010: Sunset Gower; the Technicolor Building; Sunset Bronson; City Plaza and 875 Howard Street. The entities owning those properties have been recorded at historical cost in our historical financial statements. Our financial statements also include: (i) the operations of the following entities that we also acquired in connection with our initial public offering: Glenborough Tierrasanta, LLC, GLB Encino, LLC, and Hudson Capital, LLC, for periods subsequent to our initial public offering and (ii) the operations of the following entities or properties that we acquired subsequent to our initial public offering: the Del Amo Fashion Center Operating Company, LLC, 9300 Wilshire, 222 Kearny Street, 1455 Market, Rincon Center joint venture and 10950 Washington for periods subsequent to the acquisitions of such entities or properties on August 13, 2010, August 24, 2010, October 8, 2010, December 16, 2010, December 16, 2010 and December 22, 2010, respectively.

You should read the following selected financial data in conjunction with our combined historical consolidated financial statements and the related notes and with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The historical consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the consolidated statements of operations information for each of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the period from February 14, 2007 to December 31, 2007 have been derived from our historical audited consolidated financial statements.

Our unaudited selected pro forma consolidated financial statements and operating information as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 assumes the completion of this offering and the concurrent private placement and our intended use of the proceeds therefrom as of the beginning of the earliest period presented for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our unaudited selected pro forma consolidated financial statements also include the effects of our initial public offering, which closed on June 29, 2010, and the related formation transactions that occurred in conjunction with our initial public offering, as if the resulting debt and equity structure were in place as of the first day of the earliest period presented for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our unaudited selected pro forma consolidated financial statements also include the effects of the acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property and certain acquisitions completed by us since our initial public offering, along with any related financing transactions and certain refinancing transactions, as if those acquisitions, financing transactions and certain refinancing transactions had occurred as of the beginning of the earliest period presented for the operating data and as of the stated date for the balance sheet data. Our pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what our actual financial position and results of operations would have been as of the date and for the periods indicated, nor does it purport to represent our future financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents**Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.**

	Pro Forma Consolidated 2010	Year Ended December 31,			
		Historical Consolidated or Combined			
	2010	2010	2009	2008	2007
(In thousands, except per share data)					
Statement of Operations Data:					
REVENUES					
Office					
Rental	\$ 67,342	\$ 22,247	\$ 11,046	\$ 8,235	\$ 3,905
Tenant recoveries	27,666	4,023	2,024	1,504	620
Other	2,554	233	252	41	
Total Office Revenues	97,562	26,503	13,322	9,780	4,525
Media & Entertainment					
Rental	20,931	20,931	19,916	22,075	4,215
Tenant recoveries	1,517	1,517	1,792	1,544	58
Other property-related revenue	11,397	11,397	9,427	13,509	2,917
Other	238	238	64	92	7
Total media & entertainment revenues	34,137	34,137	31,199	37,220	7,197
Total revenues	131,699	60,640	44,521	47,000	11,722
OPERATING EXPENSES					
Office operating expenses	48,331	10,212	6,242	3,003	1,182
Media & entertainment operating expenses	19,415	19,815	19,545	23,881	4,899
General and administrative	7,402	4,493			
Depreciation and amortization	39,376	15,912	10,908	9,693	3,592
Total operating expenses	114,524	50,432	36,695	36,577	9,673
Income from operations	17,175	10,208	7,826	10,423	2,049
OTHER EXPENSE (INCOME)					
Interest expense	16,903	8,831	8,792	12,029	6,096
Interest income	(59)	(59)	(19)	(48)	(57)
Unrealized loss (gain) on interest rate contracts	(347)	(347)	(400)	835	24
Sale of lot				208	
Acquisition-related expenses	4,273	4,273			
Other expense	392	192	97	21	
Total other expense (income)	21,162	12,890	8,470	13,045	6,063
Net income (loss)	\$ (3,987)	\$ (2,682)	\$ (644)	\$ (2,622)	\$ (4,014)
Less: Net income attributable to preferred stock and units	(8,108)	(817)			
Less: Net income attributable to restricted shares	(244)	(50)			
Add: Net loss (income) attributable to non-controlling members in consolidated real estate entities		(119)	29	81	141
Add: Net loss attributable to unitholders in the Operating Partnership	936	418			
Net loss income attributable to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. shareholders / controlling member s equity	\$ (11,403)	\$ (3,250)	\$ (615)	\$ (2,541)	\$ (3,873)
Net loss attributable to shareholders per share basic and diluted	(0.36)				
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding basic and diluted	31,821,508				
Dividends declared per common share	\$	\$ 0.095	\$	\$	\$

Balance Sheet Data (at period end):

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

Investment in real estate, net	\$ 838,777	\$ 838,777	\$ 412,085	\$ 409,192	\$ 237,071
Total assets	1,043,273	1,004,576	448,234	446,037	264,930
Notes payable (including secured revolving credit facility)	285,943	342,060	189,518	185,594	167,531
Total liabilities	334,126	390,243	221,646	224,306	188,483
6.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred units of the Operating Partnership	12,475	12,475			
Redeemable non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entity		40,328			
Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock	87,500	87,500			
Members / stockholders equity	543,488	408,346	223,240	218,449	74,654
Non-controlling partnership / members interest	65,684	65,684	3,348	3,282	1,793
Total equity	696,672	561,530	226,588	221,731	76,447

Table of Contents

	Pro Forma Consolidated 2010	Year Ended December 31,			2007
		Historical Consolidated or Combined			
		2010	2009	2008	
		(In thousands, except per share data)			
Other Data:					
Pro forma funds from operations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 27,281				
Pro forma funds from operations per share, diluted	0.78				
Cash flows from:					
Operating activities		\$ 7,619	\$ 4,538	\$ 20,049	\$ (5,433)
Investing activities		(242,156)	(15,457)	(178,526)	(238,903)
Financing activities		279,718	8,800	163,794	244,832

- (1) We calculate funds from operations before non-controlling interest, or FFO, in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT. FFO is defined by NAREIT as net income (loss) (computed in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of above (below) market rents for acquisition properties and amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discounts/premiums) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. FFO is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure. We use FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from property dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs. However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effects and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. Other equity REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition as we do, and, therefore, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs' FFO. Accordingly, FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends. FFO should not be used as a supplement to or substitute for cash flow from operating activities computed in accordance with GAAP. The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our pro forma net income to pro forma FFO before non-controlling interest for the periods presented:

	Pro Forma Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In thousands)
Net loss	\$(3,987)
Adjustments:	
Net income attributable to preferred stock and units	(8,108)
Real estate depreciation and amortization	39,376
Funds from operations before common non-controlling interests	\$27,281

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Selected Financial Data and the financial statements and related notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. Statements contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations that are not historical facts may be forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected. Some of the information presented is forward-looking in nature, including information concerning projected future occupancy rates, rental rate increases, property development timing and investment amounts. Although the information is based on our current expectations, actual results could vary from expectations stated in this prospectus. Numerous factors will affect our actual results, some of which are beyond our control. These include the breadth and duration of the current economic recession and its impact on our tenants, the strength of commercial and industrial real estate markets, market conditions affecting tenants, competitive market conditions, interest rate levels, volatility in our stock price and capital market conditions. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on this information, which speaks only as of the date of this prospectus. We assume no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise, except to the extent we are required to do so in connection with our ongoing requirements under federal securities laws to disclose material information. For a discussion of important risks related to our business, and related to investing in our securities, including risks that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from results and events referred to in the forward-looking information, see Risk Factors.

Executive Summary

Through our interest in our operating partnership, Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P., and its subsidiaries, at December 31, 2010 our consolidated office portfolio consisted of 11 properties with approximately 3.1 million square feet, and our media and entertainment portfolio consisted of two properties with a total of 0.9 million square feet. Our total office portfolio includes our consolidated office properties and one office property owned in a joint venture with an affiliate of Beacon Capital Partners. As of December 31, 2010, our consolidated office portfolio was 87.7% leased. Our media and entertainment properties were 72.6% leased for the trailing 12-month period ended December 31, 2010.

Concurrent Private Placement

Concurrently with the completion of this offering, the Farallon Funds will purchase 3,125,000 shares of our common stock, at a price per share equal to the public offering price and without payment by us of any underwriting discount or commission. The proceeds will be contributed to our operating partnership in exchange for common units.

Recent Acquisitions, Repositionings and Financings

Acquisitions. Concurrently with the completion of our initial public offering, we acquired certain property-owning entities, Glenborough Tierrasanta, LLC (asset-owning entity of Tierrasanta) and GLB Encino, LLC (asset-owning entity of First Financial), and an entity holding certain management agreements, Hudson Capital, LLC. In August 2010, we acquired two additional office properties, the Del Amo Office property and the 9300 Wilshire Boulevard office property. In October 2010, we acquired our 222 Kearny property. In December 2010, we completed three acquisitions, including our acquisition of the 1455 Market and 10950 Washington properties and our acquisition of the Rincon Center property pursuant to a joint venture with an affiliate of Beacon Capital Partners. The Rincon Center joint venture is consolidated in our audited financial statements.

Dispositions. We did not dispose of any properties in 2010.

Table of Contents

Repositionings. We generally select a property for repositioning at the time we purchase it. We often strategically purchase properties with large vacancies or expected near-term lease roll-over and use our knowledge of the property and submarket to determine the optimal use and tenant mix. A repositioning can consist of a range of improvements to a property, and may involve a complete structural renovation of a building to significantly upgrade the character of the property, or it may involve targeted remodeling of common areas and tenant spaces to make the property more attractive to certain identified tenants. Because each repositioning effort is unique and determined based on the property, tenants and overall trends in the general market and specific submarket, the results are varying degrees of depressed rental revenue and occupancy levels for the affected property, which impacts our results and, accordingly, comparisons of our performance from period to period. The repositioning process generally occurs over the course of months or even years. Although usually associated with newly-acquired properties, repositioning efforts can also occur at properties we already own; repositioning properties discussed in the context of this paragraph exclude acquisition properties where the plan for improvement is implemented as part of the acquisition. During 2010, our 875 Howard Street property was our only repositioning property.

Financings. In connection with our acquisitions of the Tierrasanta and First Financial property-owning entities at our initial public offering, we assumed project-level financing of \$14.3 million and \$43.0 million, respectively, both scheduled to mature on December 1, 2011. In connection with our acquisitions of our 222 Kearny, 1455 Market and 10950 Washington properties, and our joint venture investment in the Rincon Center property, we drew \$111.1 million on our secured revolving credit facility. In connection with our acquisition of the 10950 Washington property, we assumed a \$30.0 million project-level financing scheduled to mature on February 11, 2012. Finally, we invested in the Rincon Center joint venture subject to a \$106.0 million project-level financing scheduled to mature on July 1, 2011.

On February 11, 2011, we closed a five-year term loan totaling \$92.0 million with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. secured by our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties. Proceeds from the loan were used to fully refinance a \$37.0 million mortgage loan secured by our Sunset Bronson property that was scheduled to mature on April 30, 2011. The remaining proceeds were used to partially pay down our \$200 million secured credit facility.

With respect to other financings maturing in calendar year 2011, we will take steps prior to their maturity to either refinance such indebtedness, pay down indebtedness with available cash or access to our secured credit facility, or a combination thereof.

8.375% Series B Preferred Stock Offering. In December 2010, we completed an offering of 3,500,000 shares of our series B preferred stock (including 300,000 shares sold pursuant to the partial exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option), at an offering price of \$25.00 per share. We received net proceeds from the sale of our series B preferred stock (including the underwriters' partial exercise of their over-allotment option) of approximately \$84.7 million after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions (before other transaction costs).

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements are the consolidated financial statements of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and our subsidiaries, including our operating partnership. The results of the properties described under Recent Acquisitions, Repositionings and Financings Acquisitions above are included in our consolidated results as of the date of their respective acquisition. Similarly, the financings described under Recent Acquisitions, Repositionings and Financings Financings above are included in our consolidated results on the date that the asset as to which a loan has been assumed was acquired or as of the date of the applicable loan draw in the case of draws under our secured credit facility. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

For periods prior to 2010, we have reclassified certain other property-related revenue and tenant recoveries relating to our media and entertainment properties that had been included as an offset to corresponding operating expenses, such that on account of such reclassification our media and entertainment revenue, other property-related revenue, and tenant recoveries and our media and entertainment operating expenses reflect the gross revenue and gross expenses, as applicable, without regard to such offset. This reclassification conforms the periods prior to 2010 with the current period presentation.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC, and they include, in our opinion, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial information set forth therein.

Factors That May Influence Our Operating Results

Business and Strategy. We focus our investment strategy on office properties located in submarkets with growth potential as well as on underperforming properties or portfolios that provide opportunities to implement a value-add strategy to increase occupancy rates and cash flow. Additionally, we intend to acquire properties or portfolios that are distressed due to near-term debt maturities or underperforming properties where we believe better management, focused leasing efforts and/or capital improvements would improve the property's operating performance and value. Our strategy also includes active management, aggressive leasing efforts, focused capital improvement programs, the reduction and containment of operating costs and an emphasis on tenant satisfaction, which we believe will minimize turnover costs and improve occupancy.

From the acquisition of our first property in February 2007 through December 2010, we have acquired or developed 12 wholly owned properties and a 51% interest in the Rincon Center property joint venture, totaling an aggregate of approximately 4.0 million square feet. We intend to pursue acquisitions of additional properties as a key part of our growth strategy, often including properties that may have substantial vacancy, which enables us to increase cash flow through lease-up. We expect to continue to acquire properties subject to existing mortgage financing and other indebtedness or to incur indebtedness in connection with acquiring or refinancing these properties. Debt service on such indebtedness will have a priority over any dividends with respect to our common or series B preferred stock and our common and series A preferred units.

Rental Revenue. The amount of net rental revenue generated by the properties in our portfolio depends principally on our ability to maintain the occupancy rates of currently leased space and to lease currently available space and space that becomes available from lease terminations. As of December 31, 2010, the percent leased for our office properties was approximately 87.7%, and the percent leased for the media and entertainment properties (based on 12-month trailing average) was approximately 72.6%. The amount of rental revenue generated by us also depends on our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our properties. We believe that the average rental rates for our office properties generally are equal to or slightly above the current average quoted market rate, with the exception of our lease of 94,505 square feet to Burlington Coat Factory at our 875 Howard Street property and our lease of approximately 835,649 square feet to Bank of America at our 1455 Market property, which we believe to be substantially below market rates. We believe the average rental rates for our media and entertainment properties are generally equal to current average quoted market rates. Negative trends in one or more of these factors could adversely affect our rental revenue in future periods. Future economic downturns or regional downturns affecting our submarkets or downturns in our tenants industries that impair our ability to renew or re-let space and the ability of our tenants to fulfill their lease commitments, as in the case of tenant bankruptcies, could adversely affect our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our properties. In addition, growth in rental revenue will also partially depend on our ability to acquire additional properties that meet our investment criteria.

Conditions in Our Markets. The properties in our portfolio are all located in California submarkets. Positive or negative changes in economic or other conditions in California, including the state budgetary shortfall, employment rates, natural hazards and other factors, may impact our overall performance.

Table of Contents

Operating Expenses. Our operating expenses generally consist of utilities, property and *ad valorem* taxes, insurance and site maintenance costs. Increases in these expenses over tenants' base years are generally passed on to tenants in our full-service gross leased properties and are generally paid in full by tenants in our net lease properties. As a public company, we estimate our annual general and administrative expenses will increase due to increased legal, insurance, accounting and other expenses related to corporate governance, SEC reporting and other compliance matters, compared to the period prior to our initial public offering. In addition, we expect the properties in our portfolio to be reassessed as a result of our initial public offering. We believe the amount of property taxes we pay in the future will decrease due to the expected downward reassessment of certain of our properties in connection with the formation transactions completed concurrently with our initial public offering.

Taxable REIT Subsidiary. As part of the formation transactions, we formed Hudson Pacific Services, Inc., or our services company, a Maryland corporation that is wholly owned by our operating partnership. We have elected, together with our services company, to treat our services company as a taxable REIT subsidiary for federal income tax purposes, and we may form additional taxable REIT subsidiaries in the future. Our services company generally may provide both customary and non-customary services to our tenants and engage in other activities that we may not engage in directly without adversely affecting our qualification as a REIT. Our services company and its wholly owned subsidiaries provide a number of services to certain tenants at our media and entertainment properties and, from time to time, one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries may provide services to our tenants at these and other properties. See *Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation of Our Company Income Tests*. In addition, our operating partnership has contributed some or all of its interests in certain wholly owned subsidiaries or their assets to our services company. We currently lease space to wholly owned subsidiaries of our services company at our media and entertainment properties and may, from time to time, enter into additional leases with one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. Any income earned by our taxable REIT subsidiaries will not be included in our taxable income for purposes of the 75% or 95% gross income tests, except to the extent such income is distributed to us as a dividend, in which case such dividend income will qualify under the 95%, but not the 75%, gross income test. See *Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation of Our Company Income Tests*. Because a taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to federal income tax, and state and local income tax (where applicable), as a regular C corporation, the income earned by our taxable REIT subsidiaries generally will be subject to an additional level of tax as compared to the income earned by our other subsidiaries.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of the historical financial condition and results of operations of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions in certain circumstances that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses in the reporting period. Actual amounts may differ from these estimates and assumptions. We have provided a summary of our significant accounting policies in the notes to the financial statements of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. included elsewhere in this prospectus. We have summarized below those accounting policies that require material subjective or complex judgments and that have the most significant impact on our financial conditions and results of operations. We evaluate these estimates on an ongoing basis, based upon information currently available and on various assumptions that we believe are reasonable as of the date hereof. Other companies in similar businesses may use different estimation policies and methodologies, which may impact the comparability of our results of operations and financial conditions to those of other companies.

Investment in Real Estate Properties

The properties in our portfolio are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. We allocate the cost of an acquisition, including the assumption of liabilities, to the acquired tangible assets and identifiable intangibles based on their estimated fair values in accordance with GAAP. We assess fair value

Table of Contents

based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and/or capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors, including historical operating results, known and anticipated trends, and market and economic conditions. The fair value of tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it was vacant. Acquisition-related expenses are expensed in the period incurred.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We assess the carrying value of real estate assets and related intangibles whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or asset group may not be recoverable in accordance with GAAP. Impairment losses are recorded on real estate assets held for investment when indicators of impairment are present and the future undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amount. We recognize impairment losses to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the properties. Properties held for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value less cost to sell. We did not record any impairment charges related to our real estate assets and related intangibles during the periods ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable consist of amounts due for monthly rents and other charges. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts, including an allowance for straight-line rent receivables, for estimated losses resulting from tenant defaults or the inability of tenants to make contractual rent and tenant recovery payments. We monitor the liquidity and creditworthiness of our tenants and operators on an ongoing basis. This evaluation considers industry and economic conditions, property performance, credit enhancements and other factors. For straight-line rent amounts, our assessment is based on amounts estimated to be recoverable over the term of the lease. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, management believes that the collectability of straight-line rent balances are reasonably assured; accordingly, no allowance was established against straight-line rent receivables. We evaluate the collectability of accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on specific identification of uncollectible accounts and our historical collection experience. We recognize an allowance for doubtful accounts based on the length of time the receivables are past due, the current business environment and our historical experience. Historical experience has been within management's expectations.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize rental revenue from tenants on a straight-line basis over the lease term when collectability is reasonably assured and the tenant has taken possession or controls the physical use of the leased asset. For assets acquired subject to leases, we recognize revenue upon acquisition of the asset, provided the tenant has taken possession or controls the physical use of the leased asset. If the lease provides for tenant improvements, we determine whether the tenant improvements, for accounting purposes, are owned by the tenant or us. When we are the owner of the tenant improvements, the tenant is not considered to have taken physical possession or have control of the physical use of the leased asset until the tenant improvements are substantially completed. When the tenant is the owner of the tenant improvements, any tenant improvement allowance that is funded is treated as a lease incentive and amortized as a reduction of revenue over the lease term. Tenant improvement ownership is determined based on various factors including, but not limited to:

whether the lease stipulates how and on which items a tenant improvement allowance may be spent;

whether the tenant or landlord retains legal title to the improvements at the end of the lease term;

whether the tenant improvements are unique to the tenant or general-purpose in nature; and

whether the tenant improvements are expected to have any residual value at the end of the lease.

Table of Contents

Certain leases provide for additional rents contingent upon a percentage of the tenant's revenue in excess of specified base amounts or other thresholds. Such revenue is recognized when actual results reported by the tenant, or estimates of tenant results, exceed the base amount or other thresholds. Such revenue is recognized only after the contingency has been removed (when the related thresholds are achieved), which may result in the recognition of rental revenue in periods subsequent to when such payments are received.

Other property-related revenue is revenue that is derived from the tenants' use of lighting, equipment rental, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet). Other property-related revenue is recognized when these items are provided.

Tenant recoveries related to reimbursement of real estate taxes, insurance, repairs and maintenance, and other operating expenses are recognized as revenue in the period the applicable expenses are incurred. The reimbursements are recognized and presented gross, as we are generally the primary obligor with respect to purchasing goods and services from third-party suppliers, and we have discretion in selecting the supplier and bear the associated credit risk.

We recognize gains on sales of properties upon the closing of the transaction with the purchaser. Gains on properties sold are recognized using the full accrual method when (i) the collectability of the sales price is reasonably assured, (ii) we are not obligated to perform significant activities after the sale, (iii) the initial investment from the buyer is sufficient and (iv) other profit recognition criteria have been satisfied. Gains on sales of properties may be deferred in whole or in part until the requirements for gain recognition have been met.

Stock Based Compensation

ASC Topic 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation (referred to as ASC Topic 718 and formerly known as FASB 123R), requires us to recognize an expense for the fair value of equity-based compensation awards. Grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance units under our equity incentive award plans are accounted for under ASC Topic 718. Our compensation committee will regularly consider the accounting implications of significant compensation decisions, especially in connection with decisions that relate to our equity incentive award plans and programs.

Income Taxes

Our taxable income prior to the completion of our initial public offering is reportable by the members of the limited liability companies that comprise our predecessor. Our property-owning subsidiaries are limited liability companies and are treated as pass-through entities for income tax purposes. Accordingly, no provision has been made for federal income taxes in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the activities of these entities.

We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes on our federal income tax return for our taxable year ended December 31, 2010. We believe that we have operated, and we intend to continue operating, in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with such taxable year. To qualify as a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income to our stockholders and meet the various other requirements imposed by the Code relating to such matters as operating results, asset holdings, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Provided that we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we are generally not subject to corporate level income tax on the earnings distributed currently to our stockholders that we derive from our REIT qualifying activities. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, and are unable to avail ourselves of certain savings provisions set forth in the Code, all of our taxable income would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates, including any applicable alternative minimum tax. Unless entitled to relief under specific statutory provisions, we would be ineligible to elect to be treated as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year for which we lose our qualification. It is not possible to state whether in all circumstances we would be entitled to this statutory relief.

Table of Contents

We have elected to treat one of our subsidiaries as a taxable REIT subsidiary. Certain activities that we may undertake, such as non-customary services for our tenants and holding assets that we cannot hold directly, will be conducted by a taxable REIT subsidiary. A taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to federal and, where applicable, state income taxes on its net income.

We are subject to the statutory requirements of the state in which we conduct business.

Results of Operations

The following table identifies each of the properties in our portfolio acquired through December 31, 2010 and their date of acquisition.

Properties	Acquisition/Completion	Square Feet
	Date	
875 Howard Street	2/15/2007	286,270
Sunset Gower	8/17/2007	543,709
Sunset Bronson	1/30/2008	313,723
Technicolor Building	6/1/2008	114,958
City Plaza	8/26/2008	333,922
First Financial	6/29/2010	222,423
Tierrasanta	6/29/2010	104,234
Del Amo Office	8/13/2010	113,000
9300 Wilshire Boulevard	8/24/2010	58,484
222 Kearny	10/8/2010	148,797
1455 Market	12/16/2010	1,012,012
Rincon Center ⁽¹⁾	12/16/2010	580,850
10950 Washington	12/22/2010	158,873
Total		3,991,255

- (1) We acquired a 51% joint venture interest in the Rincon Center property. On February 24, 2011, we exercised our call right to purchase the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property at a purchase price of approximately \$38.7 million (before proration). The transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2011; however, our acquisition of this interest is subject to the completion of various closing conditions that may not be in our control. We cannot assure you that the acquisition will be consummated on the anticipated schedule or at all. For a summary of certain risks related to our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property, see **Risk Factors - Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business**. Our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of such interest and a failure to complete such acquisition could result in the loss of our current 51% interest in the property.

All amounts and percentages used in this discussion of our results of operations are calculated using the numbers presented in the financial statements contained in this report rather than the rounded numbers appearing in this discussion.

Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2010 to the year ended December 31, 2009*Revenue*

Total Office Revenue. Total office revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, and other revenue. Total office revenues increased \$13.2 million, or 98.9%, to \$26.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$13.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The period over period changes in the items that comprise total revenue are attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Office Rental Revenue. Office rental revenue includes rental revenues from our office properties and percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties. Total office rental revenue increased \$11.2

Table of Contents

million, or 101.4%, to \$22.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$11.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in rental revenues was primarily due to the acquisitions of the Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo Office, 9300 Wilshire Boulevard, 222 Kearny, 1455 Market, Rincon Center joint venture and 10950 Washington office properties, together with an increase in average occupancy year-over-year for our City Plaza and 875 Howard Street office properties.

Office Tenant Recoveries. Office tenant recoveries increased \$2.0 million, or 98.8%, to \$4.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in tenant recoveries was primarily due to the acquisitions of the Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo Office, 9300 Wilshire Boulevard, 222 Kearny, 1455 Market, Rincon Center joint venture and 10950 Washington office properties, together with an increase in average occupancy year-over-year for our City Plaza and 875 Howard Street office properties.

Office Other Revenue. Other revenue remained relatively flat at \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Total Media & Entertainment Revenue. Total media and entertainment revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, other property-related revenue and other revenue. Total media and entertainment revenues increased \$2.9 million, or 9.4%, to \$34.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$31.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The period over period changes in the items that comprise total media and entertainment revenue are primarily attributable to the factors discussed below.

Media & Entertainment Rental Revenue. Media and entertainment rental revenue includes rental revenues from our media and entertainment properties and percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties. Total media and entertainment rental revenue increased \$1.0 million, or 5.1%, to \$20.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to \$19.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in rental revenue was primarily due to an increase in average occupancy year over year at our media and entertainment properties.

Media & Entertainment Tenant Recoveries. Tenant recoveries remained relatively flat at \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Media & Entertainment Other Property-Related Revenue. Other property-related revenue is revenue that is derived from the tenants' rental of lighting and other equipment, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet services). Total other property-related revenue increased \$2.0 million, or 20.9%, to \$11.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$9.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in other property-related revenue was primarily due to an increase in lighting equipment rental revenue, parking revenue and telecommunications revenue relating to higher production activity at our media and entertainment properties.

Operating Expenses

Total Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses consist of property operating expenses, as well as property-level general and administrative expenses, other property-related expenses, management fees and depreciation and amortization. Total operating expenses increased \$13.7 million, or 37.4%, to \$50.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$36.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Changes in total operating expenses are primarily attributable to the factors discussed below.

Office Operating Expenses. Office operating expenses increased \$4.0 million, or 63.6%, to \$10.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily due to the acquisitions of the Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo Office, 9300

Table of Contents

Wilshire Boulevard, 222 Kearny, 1455 Market, Rincon Center joint venture and 10950 Washington office properties, together with an increase in average occupancy year-over-year for our City Plaza and 875 Howard Street office properties.

Media & Entertainment Operating Expenses. Media and entertainment operating expenses increased \$0.3 million, or 1.4%, to \$19.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$19.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in operating expenses was due to an increase in other property-related expenses, primarily lighting and other equipment rental expenses resulting from higher production activity at our media and entertainment properties. The increase was partially offset by a one-time property tax expense reduction of \$1.1 million received in October 2010.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses include wages and salaries for corporate-level employees, accounting, legal and other professional services, office supplies, entertainment, travel and automobile expenses, telecommunications and computer-related expenses and other miscellaneous items. Since our initial public offering and formation transactions did not occur until June 29, 2010, the year ended December 31, 2009 does not include general and administrative expenses for corporate-level operations. The \$4.5 million of general and administration expenses reflect the expenses of our corporate-level operations for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation expense increased \$5.0 million, or 45.9%, to \$15.9 million for year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$10.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily due to the acquisitions of the Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo, 9300 Wilshire Boulevard, 222 Kearny, 1455 Market, Rincon Center joint venture and 10950 Washington office properties, together with an increase in average occupancy year-over-year for our City Plaza property and the completion of the renovation of our 875 Howard Street office property.

Other Expense (Income)

Interest Expense. Interest expense remained relatively flat at \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The results reflect higher interest expense associated with loans assumed in connection with our acquisition of the Tierrasanta, First Financial, Rincon Center joint venture and 10950 Washington office properties and draws on our secured revolving credit facility, offset by the repayment of indebtedness in connection with our initial public offering, 2010 private placement and formation transactions on June 29, 2010.

Unrealized Gain on Interest Rate Contracts. Unrealized gain on interest rate contracts remained relatively flat at \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Acquisition-Related Expenses. Acquisition-related expenses were \$4.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, with no comparable expenses for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was due to transactional costs relating to our initial public offering and related formation transactions and transactional costs relating to our acquisitions of the Tierrasanta, First Financial, Del Amo Office, 9300 Wilshire Boulevard, 222 Kearny, Rincon Center joint venture, 1455 Market and 10950 Washington office properties.

Net Income (Loss)

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$2.7 million compared to net loss of \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in net loss was primarily due to higher operating expenses at our office and media and entertainment properties, higher general and administrative expenses, higher depreciation and amortization expenses and transactional costs relating to our acquisitions of properties in connection with our initial public offering and related formation transactions and our acquisitions of the Del Amo

Table of Contents

Office, 9300 Wilshire Boulevard, 222 Kearny, Rincon Center joint venture and 10950 Washington office properties, partially offset by higher office and media and entertainment revenue, all as described above.

Comparison of year ended December 31, 2009 to year ended December 31, 2008

Revenue

Total Office Revenue. Total office revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries and other revenue. Total office revenues increased by \$3.5 million, or 36.2%, to \$13.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$9.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase in total office revenue is attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Office Rental Revenue. Office rental revenue includes rental revenues from our office properties, percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties and lease termination income. Total office rental revenue increased by \$2.8 million, or 34.1%, to \$11.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$8.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Total office rental revenues were primarily impacted by our acquisition activity during 2008 and the completion of the Technicolor Building. First, we acquired City Plaza on August 26, 2008, resulting in the inclusion of approximately four months of operations in the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to 12 months of operations in the year ended December 31, 2009. Second, the Technicolor Building was placed into service and the related lease commenced on June 1, 2008, which resulted in the inclusion of seven months of operations in the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to 12 months of operations in the year ended December 31, 2009.

Office Tenant Recoveries. Office tenant recoveries remained relatively flat for the year ended December 31, 2009 at \$2.0 million compared to \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily due to the timing of the acquisition and construction activity referred to above.

Office Other Revenue. Office other revenue increased \$0.2 million, or 514.6%, to \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$0.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily due to the timing of the acquisition and construction activity referred to above.

Total Media & Entertainment Revenue. Total media and entertainment revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, other property-related revenue and other revenue. Total media and entertainment revenues decreased \$6.0 million, or 16.2%, to \$31.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$37.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in total media and entertainment revenue is primarily attributable to the factors discussed below.

Media & Entertainment Rental Revenue. Media and entertainment rental revenue includes rental revenues from our media and entertainment properties, percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties, and lease termination income. Total media and entertainment rental revenue decreased \$2.2 million, or 9.8%, to \$19.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$22.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in rental revenue was primarily due to seismic retrofitting and retrofitting of control room facilities with high-definition technology in the year ended December 31, 2009, which caused portions of the property to be unavailable for lease.

Media & Entertainment Tenant Recoveries. Media and entertainment tenant recoveries remained relatively flat at \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Media & Entertainment Other Property-Related Revenue. Media and entertainment other property-related revenue is revenue that is derived from the tenants' rental of lighting and other equipment, parking,

Table of Contents

power, HVAC and telecommunications. Total other property-related revenue decreased \$4.1 million, or 30.2%, to \$9.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$13.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in other property-related revenue was primarily due to seismic retrofitting and retrofitting of control room facilities with high-definition technology in the year ended December 31, 2009, which caused portions of the property to be unavailable for lease.

Operating Expenses

Total Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses consist of office and media and entertainment operating expenses, as well as general and administrative expenses, and depreciation and amortization. Total operating expenses increased by \$0.1 million, or 0.3%, to \$36.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$36.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This increase in total operating expenses is attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Office Operating Expenses. Office operating expenses increased by \$3.2 million, or 107.9%, to \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The change in property operating expenses was due to our acquisition activity and the completion of the Technicolor Building referred to above.

Media & Entertainment Operating Expenses. Media and entertainment operating expenses decreased by \$4.3 million, or 18.2%, to \$19.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$23.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in media and entertainment operating expenses was primarily due to seismic retrofitting and retrofitting of control room facilities with high-definition technology in the year ended December 31, 2009, which caused portions of the property to be unavailable for lease during the retrofitting at the Sunset Bronson property.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$1.2 million, or 12.5%, to \$10.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$9.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily due to the timing of the acquisition and construction activity referred to above.

Other Expense (Income)

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$3.2 million, or 26.9%, to \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$12.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in the LIBOR rate on our floating rate loans and the repayment of approximately \$23.9 million of the Sunset Gower loan in May 2008 and the capitalization of interest expense as part of the 875 Howard Street redevelopment that began in February 2009. This decrease was partially offset by the increased interest expense on debt obtained on the Sunset Bronson property in May 2008 and the commencement of recognition of interest expense upon completion of the Technicolor Building in June 2008.

Unrealized Gain on Interest Rate Contracts. For the year ended December 31, 2009, there was unrealized gain on interest rate contracts of \$0.4 million. There was an unrealized loss of \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Loss on Sale of Lot. For the year ended December 31, 2009, there was no gain or loss on sale of a lot. For the year ended December 31, 2008 there was a \$0.2 million loss on the sale of a lot.

Net Income (Loss)

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$0.6 million compared to a net loss of \$2.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. A net decrease in income from operations of \$2.6 million was offset by a

Table of Contents

decrease in non-operating expenses of \$4.6 million, or 35.1%, to \$8.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$13.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in non-operating expenses was primarily due to a decrease in interest expense and change in fair value of the interest rate contracts.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Analysis of Liquidity and Capital Resources

We had approximately \$48.9 million of cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2010. In addition, the lead arrangers for our secured revolving credit facility have secured commitments that will allow borrowings of up to \$200 million, of which approximately \$36.7 million was available to us at December 31, 2010. Based on the closing price of our common stock of \$14.70 as of March 31, 2011, our ratio of debt to total market capitalization is approximately 41.8% (treating the series A preferred units as debt). Our total market capitalization is defined as the sum of the market value of our outstanding common stock (which may decrease, thereby increasing our debt-to-total-capitalization ratio), including restricted stock that we may issue to certain of our directors and executive officers, plus the aggregate value of common units not owned by us, plus the liquidation preference of outstanding series A preferred units, plus the liquidation preference of outstanding series B preferred stock, plus the book value of our total consolidated indebtedness. As of April 25, 2011, we had approximately \$46.5 million drawn on our secured revolving credit facility.

On February 11, 2011, we closed a five-year term loan totaling \$92.0 million with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., secured by our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties. Proceeds from the loan were used to fully refinance a \$37.0 million mortgage loan secured by our Sunset Bronson property that was scheduled to mature on April 30, 2011. The remaining proceeds were used to partially pay down our \$200 million secured revolving credit facility. As a result, as of April 25, 2011, we had capacity of approximately \$139.8 million on our secured revolving credit facility, of which approximately \$46.5 million had been drawn. We intend to use the secured revolving credit facility, among other things, to finance the acquisition of other properties, to provide funds for tenant improvements and capital expenditures and to provide for working capital and other corporate purposes.

We invested in the Rincon Center joint venture on December 16, 2010 subject to a \$106.0 million project-level financing scheduled to mature on July 1, 2011. We are in the process of refinancing that loan in connection with the exercise of our call right to purchase the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property. The transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2011; however, our acquisition of this interest is subject to closing conditions that may not be in our control. As such, we cannot assure you that the acquisition will be consummated on the anticipated schedule or at all. See **Risk Factors** Our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of such interest and a failure to complete such acquisition could result in the loss of our current 51% interest in the property.

Our short-term liquidity requirements primarily consist of operating expenses and other expenditures associated with our properties, distributions to our limited partners and dividend payments to our stockholders required to maintain our REIT status, capital expenditures and, potentially, acquisitions. We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements through net cash provided by operations, reserves established from existing cash, the proceeds of this offering and the concurrent private placement and by drawing upon our secured revolving credit facility.

Our long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary for the repayment of debt at maturity, property acquisitions and non-recurring capital improvements. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements with net cash from operations, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness and the issuance of equity and debt securities. We also may fund property acquisitions and non-recurring capital improvements using our secured revolving credit facility pending permanent financing.

Table of Contents

We believe we have access to multiple sources of capital to fund our long-term liquidity requirements, including the incurrence of additional debt and the issuance of additional equity. However, we cannot assure you that this is or will continue to be the case. Our ability to incur additional debt is dependent on a number of factors, including our degree of leverage, the value of our unencumbered assets and borrowing restrictions that may be imposed by lenders. Our ability to access the equity capital markets is dependent on a number of factors as well, including general market conditions for REITs and market perceptions about our company.

Consolidated Indebtedness

As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$342.1 million of outstanding consolidated indebtedness, of which approximately \$148.1 million, or approximately 43.3%, was variable rate debt, and of which \$37.0 million, or approximately 10.8%, was subject to an interest rate swap on the LIBOR portion of the interest rate to a fixed rate of 0.75%. The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2010 with respect to our outstanding indebtedness.

Debt	Outstanding December 31, 2010⁽¹⁾ (in thousands)	Interest Rate⁽²⁾	Annual Debt Service (in thousands)	Maturity Date	Balance at Maturity (in thousands)
Mortgage loan secured by Sunset Bronson ⁽³⁾	\$ 37,000	LIBOR+3.65%	\$ 1,651	4/30/2011	\$ 37,000
Mortgage loan secured by First Financial	43,000	5.34 %	2,328	12/1/2011	43,000
Mortgage loan secured by Tierrasanta	14,300	5.62 %	815	12/1/2011	14,300
Secured Revolving Credit Facility ⁽⁴⁾	111,117	LIBOR+3.25% to 4.00%	5,351	6/29/2013	111,117
Mortgage loan secured by 10950 Washington	30,000	5.94 %	1,807	2/11/2012	30,000
Mortgage loan secured by Rincon Center	106,000	6.08 %	6,529	7/1/2011	106,000
Subtotal	\$ 341,417				
Unamortized Loan Premium, net ⁽⁵⁾	643				
Total	\$ 342,060				

- (1) On February 11, 2011, we closed a secured term loan totaling \$92,000 with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., secured by our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties. The loan bears interest at a rate equal to one-month LIBOR plus 3.50%. \$37,000 of the loan is currently subject to an interest rate swap agreement that fixes one-month LIBOR to a rate of 0.75% through April 30, 2011. On March 16, 2011, we purchased an interest rate cap in order to cap one-month LIBOR at 3.715% on \$50,000 of the loan through its maturity on February 11, 2016.
- (2) Interest rates with respect to indebtedness are calculated on the basis of a 360-day year for the actual days elapsed. The indebtedness encumbering the Sunset Bronson property is floating rate indebtedness, subject to a secured interest rate contract that went effective upon the consummation of our initial public offering and the formation transactions on June 29, 2010, which swapped one-month LIBOR to a fixed rate of 0.75%.
- (3) On February 11, 2011, this loan was repaid in full using the proceeds from our secured term loan.
- (4) Subsequent to December 31, 2010, we used a portion of the proceeds from our secured term loan to pay down our secured revolving credit facility. As of April 25, 2011, we had approximately \$46,500 drawn on our secured revolving credit facility. On April 4, 2011, we amended our secured revolving credit facility, one of the effects of which was to change the interest rate to LIBOR plus 250 basis points to 325 basis points, depending on our leverage ratio.
- (5) Represents non-cash mark-to-market adjustment on fixed rate debt associated with office properties.

Secured Revolving Credit Facility

In conjunction with our initial public offering and the formation transactions, we entered into a \$200 million secured revolving credit facility with a group of lenders for which an affiliate of Barclays Capital

Table of Contents

Inc. acts as administrative agent and joint lead arranger and affiliates of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated act as syndication agent and joint lead arranger. In addition, each of the underwriters in this offering is a lender under our secured revolving credit facility. Effective April 4, 2011, we entered into an amendment to our secured revolving credit facility, the effects of which are reflected in the description below. From and after the date of such amendment, the secured revolving credit facility bears interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 250 basis points to 325 basis points, depending on our leverage ratio. The secured revolving credit facility contains an accordion feature that allows us to increase the availability by \$50.0 million, to \$250.0 million, under specified circumstances.

The amount available for us to borrow under the facility is subject to the lesser of a percentage of the appraisal value of our properties that form the borrowing base of the facility and a minimum implied debt service coverage ratio. Our ability to borrow under the facility is subject to ongoing compliance with a number of customary restrictive covenants, including:

a maximum leverage ratio (defined as consolidated total indebtedness to total asset value) of 0.60:1.00;

a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (defined as consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization to consolidated fixed charges) of 1.50:1.00;

a maximum consolidated floating rate debt ratio (defined as consolidated floating rate indebtedness to total asset value) of 0.25:1.00;

a maximum recourse debt ratio (defined as recourse indebtedness other than indebtedness under the revolving credit facility but including unsecured lines of credit to total asset value) of 0.15:1.00; and

a minimum tangible net worth equal to at least 85% of our tangible net worth at the closing of our initial public offering plus 75% of the net proceeds of any additional equity issuances.

Under the secured revolving credit facility, our distributions may not exceed the greater of (i) 95.0% of our FFO, (ii) the amount required for us to qualify and maintain our status as a REIT or (iii) amounts required for us to avoid the imposition of income and excise taxes. If a default or event of default occurs and is continuing, we may be precluded from making certain distributions (other than those required to allow us to qualify and maintain our status as a REIT).

We and certain of our subsidiaries have guaranteed the obligations under the secured revolving credit facility and have pledged specified assets (including real property), stock and other interests as collateral for the secured revolving credit facility obligations.

As of April 25, 2011, we had capacity of approximately \$139.8 million on our secured revolving credit facility, of which approximately \$46.5 million had been drawn.

Secured Term Loan

On February 11, 2011, we closed a five-year term loan totaling \$92.0 million with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., secured by our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties. The loan bears interest at a rate equal to one-month LIBOR plus 3.50%. \$37.0 million of the loan is currently subject to an interest rate swap agreement that fixes one-month LIBOR to a rate of 0.75% through April 30, 2011. On March 16, 2011, we purchased an interest rate cap in order to cap one-month LIBOR at 3.715% on \$50.0 million of the loan through its maturity on February 11, 2016. Proceeds from the loan were used to fully refinance a \$37.0 million mortgage loan secured by our Sunset Bronson property that was scheduled to mature on April 30,

Table of Contents

2011. The remaining proceeds were used to partially pay down our secured revolving credit facility. The loan agreement for the term loan includes customary financial covenants for loans of this type, including a maximum ratio of total indebtedness to total assets, a minimum ratio of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization to fixed charges, and a minimum level of net worth. In addition, the loan includes recourse to the company of up to 19.5% of the outstanding facility amount, along with events of default that we believe are usual for loans and transactions of this type.

Mortgage Loan Secured by First Financial

The First Financial Plaza property is subject to senior mortgage debt in a principal amount of \$43.0 million, which is currently held by SunAmerica Life Insurance Company. The loan has a maturity date of December 1, 2011, which date may be extended for an additional five years upon modified terms at the option of the lender if the borrower fails to repay all amounts due at maturity. The loan bears interest at a fixed rate per annum of 5.34%. The loan was made to a single borrower subsidiary, and is secured by a first-priority deed of trust lien on the First Financial Plaza property, a security interest in all personal property used in connection with the First Financial Plaza property and an assignment of all leases and rents relating to the property. In the event that the debt service coverage of the borrower falls below a defined threshold, the borrower will become subject to a cash management and lockbox arrangement. The loan may be voluntarily prepaid in full upon 30 days advance notice with a prepayment premium equal to the greater of (i) 1% of the outstanding principal amount or (ii) the present value of all scheduled payments of principal and interest remaining under the promissory note, discounted at a rate, when compounded monthly, equal to the semi-annual yield on U.S. Treasuries with maturities equivalent to the maturity of the loan, less the amount of principal being prepaid, calculated as of the prepayment date. The prepayment premium does not apply to payments made during the 90-day period immediately prior to the maturity date. Partial voluntary prepayments are not permitted. The promissory note contains customary events of default, including defaults in the payment of principal or interest and defaults in compliance with the covenants contained in the documents evidencing and securing the loan. We, the borrower and the operating partnership are furthermore prohibited from consummating certain transfers and/or transactions without the consent of the lender unless certain conditions are satisfied, including the condition that the individuals comprising a majority of the board of directors are continuing directors, i.e., either those individuals who were (i) members of our board of directors, as of the closing of our initial public offering, or (ii) were nominated for membership on the board of directors or affirmatively endorsed for membership on the board of directors by at least a majority of the then continuing directors (including any director that qualifies as such pursuant to this clause (ii)).

Mortgage Loan Secured by Tierrasanta

The Tierrasanta property is subject to senior mortgage debt in a principal amount of \$14.3 million, which is securitized debt that is currently held by Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Trustee for the Registered Holders of CD 2007-CD4 Commercial Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates. The loan has a maturity date of December 1, 2011, and bears interest at a rate per annum of 5.62%. The loan was made to a single borrower subsidiary, and is secured by a first-priority deed of trust lien on the Tierrasanta property, a security interest in all personal property used in connection with the Tierrasanta property and an assignment of all leases, rents and security deposits relating to the property. The loan may be voluntarily defeased in whole or in part, subject to satisfaction of customary defeasance requirements in effect for a prepayment prior to June 1, 2011, at which time the loan may be voluntarily prepaid without penalty or premium. The loan agreement contains customary events of default, including defaults in the payment of principal or interest, defaults in compliance with the covenants contained in the documents evidencing the loan and bankruptcy or other insolvency events.

Mortgage Loan Secured by 10950 Washington

The office building located at 10950 Washington is subject to senior mortgage debt in the original principal amount of \$30.0 million, which is currently held by Wachovia Bank, National Association. The loan

Table of Contents

has a maturity date of February 11, 2012, and bears interest at a fixed rate per annum of 5.94%. The loan was made to a single borrower subsidiary, and is secured by a first-priority deed of trust on the 10950 Washington property, a security interest in all personal property used in connection with the property, and an assignment of all leases and rents relating to the property. The loan may be voluntarily defeased in whole, subject to satisfaction of customary defeasance requirements in effect for prepayment prior to December 11, 2011, at which time the loan may be voluntarily prepaid in whole without penalty or premium. The promissory note and deed of trust contain customary events of default, including defaults in the payment of principal and interest, defaults in compliance with covenants contained in other loan documents, cross defaults to other liens, bankruptcy or other insolvency events, abandonment of the property, and diminution of the value of the property.

Mortgage Loan Secured by Rincon Center

The Rincon Center property is subject to senior mortgage debt in the original principal amount of \$106.0 million which is securitized debt. The loan has a maturity date of July 1, 2011, and bears interest at a fixed rate per annum of 6.08%. The loan was made to a single borrower subsidiary, and is secured by a first-priority deed of trust on the Rincon Center property, a security interest in all personal property used in connection with the property, and an assignment of all leases and rents relating to the property. The loan may be voluntarily defeased in whole, subject to satisfaction of customary defeasance requirements in effect for prepayment prior to April 29, 2011, at which time the loan may be voluntarily prepaid in whole without penalty or premium. The promissory note and deed of trust contain customary events of default, including defaults in the payment of principal and interest, defaults in compliance with covenants contained in other loan documents, cross defaults to other liens, bankruptcy or other insolvency events, abandonment of the property, and diminution of the value of the property. If we complete the acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the property, we will be required to repay or refinance the \$106.0 million loan on the property.

Contractual Obligations

The following table provides information with respect to our commitments at December 31, 2010, including any guaranteed or minimum commitments under contractual obligations. The table does not reflect available debt extensions.

Contractual Obligation ⁽¹⁾	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Principal payments on mortgage loans ⁽²⁾	\$ 341,417,000	\$ 200,300,000	\$ 141,117,000	\$	\$
Interest payments ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	4,993,930	4,299,958	693,972		
Operating leases	592,558	179,300	413,258		
Tenant-related commitments	3,455,538	3,451,122	4,416		
Ground leases ⁽⁴⁾	53,005,389	1,231,201	3,693,603	3,693,603	44,386,982
Total:	\$ 403,464,415	\$ 209,461,581	\$ 145,922,249	\$ 3,693,603	\$ 44,386,982

(1) Does not reflect any potential payments of principal or interest on our \$92.0 million secured term loan, which we closed on February 11, 2011. The loan bears interest at a rate equal to one-month LIBOR plus 3.50%. \$37.0 million of the loan is currently subject to an interest rate swap agreement that fixes one-month LIBOR to a rate of 0.75% through April 30, 2011. On March 16, 2011, we purchased an interest rate cap in order to cap one-month LIBOR at 3.715% on \$50.0 million of the loan through its maturity on February 11, 2016. Proceeds from the loan were used to fully refinance a \$37.0 million mortgage loan secured by our Sunset Bronson property that was scheduled to mature on April 30, 2011. The remaining proceeds were used to partially pay down our secured revolving credit facility. As a result, as of April 25, 2011, we had approximately \$46.5 million drawn on our secured revolving credit facility.

(2) Subsequent to December 31, 2010, we repaid approximately \$64.6 million, net, on our secured revolving credit facility. As of April 25, 2011, we had approximately \$46.5 million drawn on our secured revolving credit facility.

(3) Interest rates with respect to indebtedness are calculated on the basis of a 360 day year for the actual days elapsed. The indebtedness encumbering the Sunset Bronson property is floating rate indebtedness, subject to a secured interest rate contract that went effective upon the consummation of our initial public offering and the formation transactions on June 29, 2010, which swapped one-month LIBOR to a fixed rate of 0.75%.

Table of Contents

(4) Reflects current annual base rents of \$181,200, \$1, \$975,000 and \$75,000 under the Sunset Gower, Del Amo Office, 222 Kearny and 9300 Wilshire ground leases, expiring March 31, 2060, June 30, 2049, June 14, 2054 and August 14, 2032, respectively. Assumes Sunset Gower and 222 Kearny ground rent is fixed at the current rent, although such ground rent is subject to periodic adjustments.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2010, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Cash Flows

Comparison of year ended December 31, 2010 to year ended December 31, 2009

Cash and cash equivalents were \$48.9 million and \$3.7 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Our cash flows from operating activities are primarily dependent upon the occupancy level of our portfolio, the rental rates achieved on our leases, the collectability of rent and recoveries from our tenants and the level of operating expenses and other general and administrative costs. Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$3.1 million to \$7.6 million for 2010 compared to \$4.5 million for 2009. The increase is primarily due to the purchase of certain ownership interests in properties contributed in connection with our initial public offering and related formation transactions and the purchase of six properties subsequent to our initial public offering.

Our net cash used in investing activities is generally used to fund property acquisitions, development and redevelopment projects and recurring and non-recurring capital expenditures. Net cash used in investing activities increased \$226.7 million to \$242.2 million for 2010 compared to \$15.5 million for 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in investments in real estate, chiefly as a result of the purchase of certain ownership interests in properties contributed in connection with our initial public offering and related formation transactions and purchase of six properties subsequent to the initial public offering.

Our net cash related to financing activities is generally impacted by our borrowings, capital activities net of dividends and distributions paid to common stockholders and noncontrolling interests. Net cash flows from financing activities amounted to net proceeds of cash for 2010 totaling \$279.7 million compared to net proceeds of cash for 2009 totaling \$8.8 million. The increase was due to the contribution of proceeds from the issuance of common stock in connection with our initial public offering and private placement and proceeds from the issuance of our series B preferred stock, which was partially offset by the repayment of certain indebtedness in connection with the related formation transactions.

Comparison of year ended December 31, 2009 to year ended December 31, 2008

Cash and cash equivalents were \$3.7 million and \$5.8 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$15.5 million to \$4.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$20.0 million provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily due to (i) the receipt of \$16.3 million of pre-paid rent from KTLA in the year ended December 31, 2008 with no comparable activity in the year ended December 31, 2009, and (ii) an increase in lease commissions, prepaid expenses and other assets primarily associated with the prepaid property taxes and leasing commissions paid at the 875 Howard Street property.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$163.1 million to \$15.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$178.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was

Table of Contents

primarily due to \$192.6 million of additions to investments in real estate properties in the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of the acquisition of Sunset Bronson and City Plaza properties compared to \$15.5 million of additions to investments in real estate in the year ended December 31, 2009 primarily as a result of capital investments associated with the Technicolor Building partially offset by (i) \$11.4 million of proceeds from the sale of a lot in the year ended December 31, 2008 with no comparable activity in the year ended December 31, 2009, and (ii) restricted cash inflow of \$2.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of the reduction of the restricted cash required for the Sunset Bronson note payable, with no comparable activity in the year ended December 31, 2009.

Net cash provided by financing activities decreased \$155.0 million to \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$163.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily due to (i) decrease in net contributions by members of \$142.4 million from \$147.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of the acquisition of Sunset Bronson and City Plaza properties compared to \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 primarily as a result of capital investments associated with the Technicolor Building, (ii) proceeds from notes payable of \$41.9 million for the acquisition of Sunset Bronson and \$3.9 million proceeds from notes payable in the year ended December 31, 2009 for 875 Howard Street loan draws, partially offset by net repayments of notes payable of \$23.9 million for Sunset Gower in the year ended December 31, 2008 and (iii) payment of \$0.6 million of loan costs associated with the extension of the note payable on Sunset Gower in the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$2.2 million of loan costs associated with the Sunset Bronson note payable in the year ended December 31, 2008.

Funds from Operations

We calculate funds from operations before non-controlling interest, or FFO, in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT. FFO is defined by NAREIT as net income (loss) (computed in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of above (below) market rents for acquisition properties and amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discounts/premiums) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

FFO is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure. We use FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from property dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs.

Table of Contents

However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effects and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. Other equity REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition as we do, and, therefore, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs' FFO. Accordingly, FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends. FFO should not be used as a supplement to or substitute for cash flow from operating activities computed in accordance with GAAP. The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our pro forma net income to pro forma FFO before non-controlling interest for the periods presented:

	Pro Forma Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In thousands)
Net loss	\$ (3,987)
Adjustments:	
Net income attributable to preferred stock and units	(8,108)
Real estate depreciation and amortization	39,376
Funds from operations before common non-controlling interests	\$ 27,281

Inflation

Substantially all of our office leases provide for separate real estate tax and operating expense escalations. In addition, most of the leases provide for fixed rent increases. We believe that inflationary increases may be at least partially offset by the contractual rent increases and expense escalations described above.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Changes to GAAP are established by the FASB in the form of accounting standards updates (ASUs) to the FASB's Accounting Standards Codification. We consider the applicability and impact of all ASUs. Newly issued ASUs not listed below are expected to not have any material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations, because either the ASU is not applicable or the impact is expected to be immaterial.

In January 2010, we adopted FASB guidance contained in ASU No. 2009-17, Consolidations (Topic 810): Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities. This standard requires an enterprise to perform an analysis to determine whether an enterprise's variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. Additionally, an enterprise is required to assess whether it has an implicit financial responsibility to ensure that a variable interest entity operates as designed when determining whether it has the power to direct the activities of the variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. The adoption of ASU 2009-17 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. This guidance provides for new disclosures requiring us to (i) disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers and (ii) present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation of Level 3 fair value measurements. This

Table of Contents

guidance also provides clarification of existing disclosures requiring us to (i) determine each class of assets and liabilities based on the nature and risks of the investments rather than by major security type and (ii) for each class of assets and liabilities, disclose the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements. This ASU is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009 for most of the new disclosures and for periods beginning after December 15, 2010 for the new Level 3 disclosures. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations as it only addresses disclosures.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events (Topic 855): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements. This standard amends the authoritative guidance for subsequent events that was previously issued and, among other things, exempts SEC registrants from the requirement to disclose the date through which it has evaluated subsequent events for either original or restated financial statements. This standard does not apply to subsequent events or transactions that are within the scope of other applicable GAAP that provides different guidance on the accounting treatment for subsequent events or transactions. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations as it only addresses disclosures.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The primary market risk we face is interest rate risk. Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevalent market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. As more fully described below, we use derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to our borrowings. We only enter into contracts with major financial institutions based on their credit rating and other factors.

As of December 31, 2010, we had an interest rate agreement with respect to our indebtedness on the Sunset Bronson property pursuant to which we swapped one-month LIBOR to a fixed rate of 0.75% through April 30, 2011, which effectively results in a 4.40% fixed rate on the Sunset Bronson loan. As of December 31, 2010, one-month LIBOR was 0.26%. Therefore, if one-month LIBOR were to either increase or decrease by 10%, or approximately .0026% as of December 31, 2010, the resulting increase or decrease in interest expense would have had no impact on our future earnings and cash flows as the resulting one-month LIBOR would have remained below the 0.75% interest rate swap in effect through April 30, 2011.

On February 11, 2011, we closed a five-year term loan totaling \$92.0 million with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. secured by our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties. \$37.0 million of the loan was used to fully repay the indebtedness on the Sunset Bronson property. The loan bears interest at a rate equal to one-month LIBOR plus 3.50%. \$37.0 million of the loan is currently subject to an interest rate swap agreement that fixes one-month LIBOR to a rate of 0.75% through April 30, 2011. On March 16, 2011, we purchased an interest rate cap in order to cap one-month LIBOR at 3.715% on \$50.0 million of the loan through its maturity on February 11, 2016.

Interest risk amounts were determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our financial instruments. These analyses do not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur. Further, in the event of a change in overall economic activity of that magnitude, we may take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, these analyses assume no changes in our financial structure.

As of December 31, 2010, our total outstanding debt was approximately \$342.1 million, which was comprised of a \$111.1 million line of credit not mitigated by interest rate contracts, \$37.0 million of variable rate secured mortgage loans subject to the interest rate agreement described above and \$193.3 million (before unamortized loan premium) of fixed rate secured mortgage loans. As of December 31, 2010, the fair value of our fixed rate secured mortgage loans, including the indebtedness on the Sunset Bronson property, was approximately \$231.0 million.

Table of Contents

BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES

Overview

We are a full-service, vertically integrated real estate company focused on owning, operating and acquiring high-quality office properties in select growth markets primarily in Northern and Southern California. Our investment strategy is focused on high barrier-to-entry, in-fill locations with favorable, long-term supply-demand characteristics. These markets include Los Angeles, Orange County, San Diego, San Francisco, Silicon Valley and the East Bay, which we refer to as our target markets. Our portfolio includes 12 wholly-owned properties and a 51% interest in the joint venture that owns the Rincon Center property, which collectively total approximately 4.0 million square feet. These properties are strategically located in many of our target markets.

We were formed as a Maryland corporation in 2009 to succeed the business of Hudson Capital, LLC, a Los Angeles-based real estate investment firm founded by Victor J. Coleman and Howard S. Stern, our Chief Executive Officer and President, respectively. We completed our initial public offering on June 29, 2010.

We have access to and are actively pursuing a pipeline of potential acquisitions consistent with our investment strategy. We believe Mr. Coleman's and Mr. Stern's successful history of operating a publicly traded real estate company, significant expertise in operating in the California office sector and extensive, long-term relationships with real estate owners, developers and lenders, coupled with our conservative capital structure and access to capital, will allow us to continue to capitalize on the current market opportunity.

We focus our investment strategy on office and media and entertainment properties located in high barrier-to-entry submarkets with growth potential as well as on underperforming properties that provide opportunities to implement a value-add strategy to increase occupancy rates and cash flow. This strategy includes active management, aggressive leasing efforts, focused capital improvement programs, the reduction and containment of operating costs and an emphasis on tenant satisfaction. We believe our senior management team's experience in the California office and media and entertainment sectors positions us to improve cash flow in our portfolio, as well as any newly acquired properties, as the recovery in the California economy and the real estate markets takes hold.

Our portfolio includes 11 office properties, including the Rincon Center property in which we currently own a 51% joint venture interest, comprising an aggregate of approximately 3.1 million square feet, and two state-of-the-art media and entertainment properties comprising approximately 544,763 square feet of office and support space and approximately 312,669 square feet of sound-stage production facilities. As of December 31, 2010, our office properties were approximately 87.7% leased to approximately 170 tenants and our media and entertainment properties were approximately 72.6% leased to approximately 72 tenants. We also own 1.85 acres of undeveloped land adjacent to our media and entertainment properties, which together with redevelopment opportunities at our media and entertainment properties, could support over one million square feet of additional office and support space. Our properties are concentrated in premier submarkets that have high barriers to entry with limited supply of land, high construction costs and rigorous entitlement processes.

We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes on our federal income tax return for our taxable year ended December 31, 2010. We believe that we have operated, and we intend to continue operating, in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with such taxable year. We conduct substantially all of our business through our operating partnership, of which we serve as the sole general partner. As of December 31, 2010, we owned approximately 89.6% of the outstanding common units of partnership interest in our operating partnership, or common units. See Description of the Partnership Agreement of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. The remaining 10.4% limited partnership interest in our operating partnership as of December 31, 2010 was owned by certain of our executive officers and directors, certain of their affiliates, and other outside investors, including funds affiliated with Farallon.

Table of Contents

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe the following competitive strengths distinguish us from other owners and operators of office and media and entertainment properties and enable us to capitalize on the general dislocation in the real estate market to successfully expand and operate our portfolio.

Experienced Management Team with a Proven Track Record of Acquiring and Operating Assets and Managing a Public Office REIT. Our senior management team, led by Victor J. Coleman and Howard S. Stern, our Chief Executive Officer and President, respectively, has an average of over 20 years of experience in owning, acquiring, developing, operating, financing and selling office properties in California. In particular, Messrs. Coleman and Stern, who have worked together for approximately 10 years through all stages of the real estate market cycle, have overseen the acquisition and operation of more than 20 million square feet, with an aggregate purchase price in excess of \$10 billion. Since our initial public offering on June 29, 2010, we have acquired six new properties, including our joint venture interest in the Rincon Center property, for an aggregate of approximately \$401.4 million representing 2,072,016 square feet.

Committed and Incentivized Management Team. Our senior management team is dedicated to our successful operation and growth, with no real estate business interests outside of our company. Additionally, upon completion of this offering and the concurrent private placement, our senior management team will own approximately % of our common stock on a fully diluted basis, thereby aligning management's interests with those of our stockholders.

California Focus with Local and Regional Expertise. We are primarily focused on acquiring and managing office properties in Northern and Southern California, where our senior management has significant expertise and relationships. California has historically experienced strong rebounds in its real estate market after prior recessions, as demand for commercial real estate in California is driven by its dynamic, innovative and diversified economy that we believe will continue to grow and create demand for office space over the long term. California outpaced the rate of national job creation during several cycles, including the periods following the mid-1970s recession, the late 1980s recession, and during the late 1990s. Additionally, many of California's leading markets are supply-constrained as a result of the scarcity of available land, high construction costs and restrictive entitlement processes, which we believe have helped drive strong rebounds in the California real estate market after prior recessions. We believe our experience, in-depth market knowledge and meaningful industry relationships with brokers, tenants, landlords, lenders and other market participants enhances our ability to identify and capitalize on attractive acquisition opportunities, particularly those that arise in California.

Long-Standing Relationships that Provide Access to an Extensive Pipeline of Investment and Leasing Opportunities. We have an extensive network of long-standing relationships with real estate developers, individual and institutional real estate owners, national and regional lenders, brokers, tenants and other participants in the California real estate market. We believe these relationships have provided us with access to attractive acquisition opportunities, including off-market acquisition opportunities. We believe these relationships will continue to provide us access to an ongoing pipeline of attractive acquisition opportunities and potential joint venture partners, both of which may not be available to our competitors. Additionally, we focus on establishing strong relationships with our tenants in order to understand their long-term business needs, which we believe enhances our ability to retain quality tenants, facilitates our leasing efforts and maximizes cash flows from our properties.

Growth-Oriented, Flexible and Conservative Capital Structure. We have remained well-capitalized since our initial public offering, including through our series B preferred stock offering

Table of Contents

completed in the fourth quarter of 2010. The proceeds of this offering and the concurrent private placement, available cash on hand, our secured revolving credit facility, and our new \$92 million secured term loan should provide us with a significant amount of capital to pursue acquisitions and execute our growth strategy, while maintaining a flexible and conservative capital structure. Upon completion of this offering and the concurrent private placement and our use of proceeds therefrom, our ratio of net debt to total enterprise value is expected to be approximately 27% (treating the series A preferred units as debt and defining total enterprise value as total market capitalization, plus total existing debt, plus preferred stock, plus minority interests, minus cash and cash equivalents). As of the February 11, 2011 closing of the secured term loan, the outstanding balance on our secured revolving credit facility was \$38.5 million and we had approximately \$90.6 million available for draw under such facility. We believe our access to capital and flexible and conservative capital structure provide us with an advantage over many of our private and public competitors, as we look to take advantage of growth opportunities. We are free from legacy balance sheet issues and have a manageable debt maturity schedule, which allows our management to focus on our business and growth strategies rather than balance sheet repair.

Irreplaceable Media and Entertainment Assets in a Premier California Submarket. Our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties are located on Sunset Boulevard, just off of the Hollywood Freeway in the heart of Hollywood. These facilities, which are situated on approximately 15.6 and 10.6 acres, respectively, were originally built in the 1920s as the headquarters of Columbia Pictures and Warner Brothers and represent a unique and irreplaceable assemblage of land in densely populated Los Angeles. We are the largest owner and operator of independent media and entertainment properties in Los Angeles and possess large, modern sound stages and plentiful office space with state-of-the-art telecommunications and data network infrastructure. Our properties are important facilities for major film and television companies and independent producers, most of which outsource a portion of their productions to independent media and entertainment properties. We believe our media and entertainment properties are attractively located and benefit from high barriers to entry, with a limited supply of readily developable land. In addition, there are substantial costs associated with acquiring and developing suitable land and extensive knowledge required to develop and operate such facilities. As a result of these high barriers to entry, there is effectively no new supply of media and entertainment space in the urban core of Los Angeles. We believe the limited supply of media and entertainment properties, coupled with the continued demand for such properties in Los Angeles, which remains the center of the entertainment industry in the United States, will help ensure that these assets remain critical to the industry.

Business and Growth Strategies

Our primary business objectives are to increase operating cash flows, generate long-term growth and maximize stockholder value. Specifically, we intend to pursue the following strategies to achieve these objectives:

Pursue Acquisitions of Distressed and/or Underperforming Office Properties. We intend to capitalize on the attractive investment environment by acquiring properties at meaningful discounts to our estimates of their intrinsic value. Additionally, we intend to acquire properties or portfolios that are distressed due to near-term debt maturities or underperforming properties where we believe better management, focused leasing efforts and/or capital improvements would improve the property's operating performance and value. We believe our success implementing this strategy is exemplified by our acquisition of City Plaza, a 333,922 square foot Class-A office building located in Orange, California. Our predecessor acquired the loan on the City Plaza property in August 2008 at a substantial discount and subsequently obtained title to the property. Our acquisition of City Plaza illustrates how our relationships with other real estate owners, lenders, joint venture partners and tenants can create a competitive advantage to capitalize on new acquisition opportunities. In that case, long-standing ties to the existing owner and their project lender and our record of

Table of Contents

performance facilitated that acquisition through a joint venture with Farallon. We believe that our extensive relationships with real estate owners, developers and lenders, together with our strong balance sheet and access to liquidity, will allow us to capitalize on similar value-add opportunities. Since our initial public offering on June 29, 2010, we have acquired six additional properties, including our Rincon Center joint venture, together totaling 2,072,016 square feet.

Focus on High Barrier-to-Entry Markets. We target in-fill, suburban markets and central business districts primarily in California. These markets have historically had favorable long-term supply/demand characteristics and significant institutional ownership of real estate, which we believe have helped support real estate fundamentals and valuations over the long term. We believe that these factors will help preserve our capital during periods of economic decline and generate above average returns during periods of economic recovery and growth.

Proactive Asset and Property Management. We intend to actively manage our portfolio, employ aggressive leasing strategies and leverage our existing tenant relationships to increase the occupancy rates at our properties, attract high quality tenants and maximize tenant retention rates. In addition, we are focused on extending lease durations at our media and entertainment properties to provide greater visibility and less volatility in cash flows. We believe our successful leasing of the City Plaza property illustrates our proactive asset management. At the time of its acquisition in August 2008, the property was only approximately 38% leased. By employing aggressive leasing strategies, leveraging our extensive tenant relationships and focusing on tenant retention, we have increased the leased square footage of the property to approximately 93.7% as of December 31, 2010. We believe that we will be able to apply our management and leasing expertise to newly acquired, underperforming properties in order to similarly maximize the performance of such properties.

We have also targeted ways to improve net operating income through controlling or reducing operating costs. For example, the close proximity of our two Hollywood media and entertainment properties has enabled us to proactively cut various operating costs. Leveraging our economies of scale, we restructured our security staffing at these locations to eliminate certain redundancies in personnel. We also reduced costs by consolidating service contracts, such as elevator maintenance services, fire life safety maintenance, pest control services and lot sweeping services.

Repositioning and Development of Properties. We intend to leverage our real estate expertise to reposition and redevelop our existing properties, as well as properties that we acquire in the future, with the objective of increasing occupancy, rental rates and risk-adjusted returns on our invested capital. Our media and entertainment properties encompass approximately 26 acres in the heart of Hollywood one of the largest land holdings under common control in the market. In addition, we control two land parcels adjacent to our Sunset Bronson property that are available for new ground-up developments in a supply- and land-constrained market. We believe our media and entertainment properties and undeveloped land offer significant growth potential, with over one million square feet of potential incremental development and redevelopment space. We believe the limited supply of media and entertainment space in the market, as well as the aging of much of the existing inventory, creates a unique opportunity to reshape this asset class. We also have a fully-entitled development agreement for our City Plaza property that allows for a new 360,000 square foot building and parking structure to be developed on our 11.5 acre site that we believe could be a valuable long-term asset. Our senior management team's development and redevelopment experience includes:

the development of Technicolor's worldwide headquarters, a six-story, build-to-suit, 114,958 square foot office and production building at our Sunset Gower property;

Table of Contents

the development of the Howard Hughes Center, a 70-acre development located adjacent to Interstate 405 near Los Angeles International Airport, which involved the master planning, development and construction of a business park with four Class-A, multi-story office buildings totaling approximately 972,000 square feet and structured parking totaling approximately 2,700 stalls. We also obtained entitlements to build 600 residential units on vacant parcels throughout the center; and

the redevelopment of the Westwood Center, a 328,515 square foot, Class-A office building located in West Los Angeles, which involved the complete redesign and reconstruction of building exterior curtain walls, structural systems, elevators, common areas, tenant areas and mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems, or MEP.

Value Creation Through Capital Recycling Program. We intend to pursue an efficient asset allocation strategy that maximizes the value of our investments by selectively disposing of properties whose returns appear to have been maximized and redeploying capital into acquisition, development and redevelopment opportunities with higher return prospects, in each case in a manner that is consistent with our qualification as a REIT. Our management team has a demonstrated history of selling assets and reinvesting proceeds in acquisition, development and redevelopment opportunities with higher returns in target submarkets.

Acquisition Pipeline

We have an extensive network of long-standing relationships with real estate developers, individual and institutional real estate owners and national and regional lenders in the California and West Coast real estate markets. We believe our network of relationships will provide us access to an ongoing pipeline of attractive acquisition opportunities, which may not be available to our competitors. For example, we acquired the 222 Kearny property in an off-market transaction that was the result of our long-standing relationship with the lender who had acquired the property through foreclosure.

We are currently in discussions regarding a number of acquisition opportunities in our target markets that have come to our attention through our network of relationships. As of April 25, 2011, we were tracking and evaluating acquisition opportunities that include single-asset and portfolio transactions located throughout California and the Pacific Northwest with an estimated aggregate purchase price of in excess of \$1.0 billion and approximately 5.5 million square feet. We are continuing to engage in discussions and preliminary negotiations with sellers and, in some instances, have commenced the process of conducting diligence or have submitted non-binding indications of interest. However, we have not agreed upon terms relating to, or entered into binding commitments with respect to, any of these potential acquisition opportunities. As such, there can be no assurance that we will complete any of the potential acquisitions that we are currently evaluating.

Our Portfolio

Our stabilized portfolio consists of 12 wholly-owned properties and a 51% consolidated joint venture investment in the Rincon Center property, located in eight California submarkets, containing a total of approximately 4.0 million square feet, which properties we refer to as our portfolio. The following table presents an overview of our portfolio, based on information as of December 31, 2010. Rental data presented in the table below for office properties reflects annualized base rent on leases in place as of December 31, 2010 and does not reflect actual cash rents historically received because such data does not reflect abatements or tenant reimbursements for real estate taxes, insurance, common area or other operating expenses. Rental data presented in the table below for media and entertainment properties reflects actual cash base rents, excluding tenant reimbursements, received during the 12 months ended December 31, 2010. Leases at our media and entertainment properties are typically short-term leases of one year or less, and other than the KTLA lease at our Sunset Bronson property, substantially all of the current in-place leases at our media and entertainment properties will expire in 2011 and 2012. All of our properties are located in California, and are owned through our operating partnership.

Table of Contents

Property	City	Year Built/ Renovated	Square Feet ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent/ Annual Base Rent ⁽³⁾	Annualized	Annualized
						Base Rent/ Annual Leased Square Foot ⁽⁴⁾	Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁵⁾
OFFICE PROPERTIES							
1455 Market	San Francisco	1977	1,012,012	92.1%	\$ 13,293,602	\$ 14.26	\$ 14.55
Rincon Center ⁽⁶⁾	San Francisco	1985	580,850	81.1	17,405,916	36.96	37.11
City Plaza	Orange	1969/99	333,922	93.7	7,794,151	24.90	26.95
875 Howard	San Francisco	Various	286,270	65.6	2,858,829	15.21	11.49
First Financial	Encino (LA)	1986	222,423	84.8	6,314,462	33.49	35.52
10950 Washington	Culver City (LA)	Various	158,873	99.5	4,282,389	27.09	29.53
222 Kearny Street ⁽⁷⁾	San Francisco	Various	148,797	76.9	4,157,584	36.34	38.28
Technicolor Building	Hollywood (LA)	2008	114,958	100.0	4,103,173	35.69	39.04
Del Amo Office ⁽⁸⁾	Torrance	1986	113,000	100.0	3,069,070	27.16	28.38
Tierrasanta	San Diego	1985	104,234	96.8	1,580,915	15.67	16.09
9300 Wilshire Boulevard ⁽⁹⁾	Beverly Hills	1965/2001	58,484	90.5	2,238,706	42.30	42.42
Total/Weighted Average Office Properties:			3,133,823	87.7%	\$ 67,098,796	\$ 24.43	\$ 25.10
MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT PROPERTIES							
Sunset Gower ⁽¹⁰⁾	Hollywood (LA)	Various	543,709	70.9%	\$ 11,670,642	\$ 30.27	
Sunset Bronson	Hollywood (LA)	Various	313,723	75.5	9,520,517	40.18	
Total/Weighted Average Media & Entertainment Properties:			857,432	72.6%	\$ 21,191,159	\$ 34.04	
Portfolio Total:			3,991,255				
LAND							
Sunset Bronson Lot A	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	273,913				
Sunset Bronson Redevelopment	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	389,740				
Sunset Gower Redevelopment	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	423,396				
City Plaza	Orange	N/A	360,000				
Total Land Assets:			1,447,049				

- (1) Square footage for office and media and entertainment properties has been determined by management based upon estimated leasable square feet, which may be less or more than the Building Owners and Managers Association, or BOMA, rentable area. Square footage may change over time due to remeasurement or releasing. Square footage for land assets represents management's estimate of developable square feet, the majority of which remains subject to receipt of entitlement approvals that have not yet been obtained.
- (2) Percent leased for office properties is calculated as (i) square footage under commenced leases as of December 31, 2010, divided by (ii) total square feet, expressed as a percentage. Percent leased for media and entertainment properties is the average percent leased for the 12 months ended December 31, 2010. As a result of the short-term nature of the leases into which we enter at our media and entertainment properties, and because entertainment industry tenants generally do not shoot on weekends due to higher costs, we believe stabilized occupancy rates at our media and entertainment properties are lower than those rates achievable at our traditional office assets, where tenants enter into longer-term lease arrangements.
- (3) We present rent data for office properties on an annualized basis, and for media and entertainment properties on an annual basis. Annualized base rent for office properties is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12. Total abatements with respect to the office properties for leases in effect as of December 31, 2010 for the 12 months ending December 31, 2011 are \$844,336 pursuant to leases in effect. Annualized base rent, net of abatements, as of December 31, 2010 is \$7,583,452 for City Plaza, \$6,234,180 for First Financial, \$3,926,224 for the Technicolor Building, \$2,609,147 for 875 Howard Street and \$2,111,982 for 9300 Wilshire Boulevard. There are no abatements associated with the leases in place as of

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

December 31, 2010 at the Del Amo Office, Tierrasanta, 222 Kearny, 1455 Market, Rincon Center and 10950 Washington properties. Total annualized base rent, net of abatements, for our office properties is \$66,254,460 as of December 31, 2010. Annual base rent for media and entertainment properties reflects actual base rent for the 12 months ended December 31, 2010, excluding tenant reimbursements. Our leases at our 9300 Wilshire, City Plaza, First Financial, and Del Amo Office properties are full service gross leases, and annualized base rent data for these properties does not reflect tenant reimbursements in excess of the base year expense stop. Some or all of the leases at our 1455 Market, Rincon Center, 222 Kearny, Technicolor, Tierrasanta, 875 Howard Street and 10950 Washington properties, as well as the KTLA lease at the Sunset Bronson property, are either triple net leases or modified gross leases pursuant to which the

Table of Contents

tenant reimburses the landlord or directly pays for some operating expenses, such as real estate taxes, insurance, common area and other operating expenses, and annualized base rent for these properties does not reflect such amounts. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent for these properties as of December 31, 2010 is \$24,227,599 for 1455 Market, \$18,107,199 for Rincon Center, \$4,389,220 for 222 Kearny, \$5,388,866 for the Technicolor Building, \$2,097,558 for Tierrasanta, \$3,799,559 for 875 Howard Street, and \$4,595,849 for 10950 Washington. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annual base rent as of December 31, 2010 is \$11,707,435 for Sunset Gower and \$10,837,258 for Sunset Bronson.

- (4) Annualized base rent per leased square foot for the office properties is calculated as (i) annualized base rent divided by (ii) square footage under lease as of December 31, 2010. Annual base rent per leased square foot for the media and entertainment properties is calculated as (i) actual base rent for the 12 months ended December 31, 2010, excluding tenant reimbursements, divided by (ii) average square feet under lease for the 12 months ended December 31, 2010. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent per leased square foot as of December 31, 2010 is \$25.98 for 1455 Market, \$38.45 for Rincon Center, \$38.36 for 222 Kearny, \$46.88 for the Technicolor Building, \$20.79 for Tierrasanta, \$20.22 for 875 Howard Street and \$29.08 for 10950 Washington, and the full service gross equivalent annual base rent per leased square foot as of December 31, 2010 is \$30.36 for Sunset Gower and \$45.74 for Sunset Bronson.
- (5) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of December 31, 2010, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of December 31, 2010. Our leases at our 9300 Wilshire, City Plaza, First Financial, and Del Amo Office properties are full service gross leases, and annualized net effective base rent data for these properties does not reflect tenant reimbursements in excess of the base year expense stop. Some or all of the leases at our 1455 Market, Rincon Center, 222 Kearny, Technicolor, Tierrasanta, 875 Howard Street and 10950 Washington properties, as well as the KTLA lease at the Sunset Bronson property, are either triple net leases or modified gross leases pursuant to which the tenant reimburses the landlord or directly pays for some operating expenses, and annualized net effective base rent for these properties does not reflect such amounts. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for these properties as of December 31, 2010 is \$26.23 for 1455 Market, \$39.02 for Rincon Center, \$40.31 for 222 Kearny, \$49.98 for the Technicolor Building, \$21.07 for Tierrasanta, \$22.86 for 875 Howard Street and \$31.48 for 10950 Washington.
- (6) Data represents 100% of the Rincon Center property. We currently own a 51% joint venture interest in this property. On February 24, 2011, we exercised our call right to purchase the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner at a purchase price of approximately \$38.7 million (before prorations). The transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2011, subject to the completion of various closing conditions. We cannot assure you that the acquisition will be consummated on the anticipated schedule or at all. For a summary of certain risks related to our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property, see **Risk Factors - Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business**. Our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of such interest and a failure to complete such acquisition could result in the loss of our current 51% interest in the property.
- (7) A portion representing approximately 64% of the building area of this property (excluding the 180 Sutter building) is subject to a ground lease that expires June 14, 2054; the remaining portion is owned in fee.
- (8) This property is subject to a ground sublease that expires June 30, 2049.
- (9) This property is subject to a ground lease that expires August 14, 2032.
- (10) Approximately 0.59 acres of this property is subject to a ground lease that expires March 31, 2060; the remaining portion is owned in fee.

Office Portfolio

Our portfolio consists of 11 office properties, including our Rincon Center joint venture interest, comprising an aggregate of approximately 3.1 million square feet. As of December 31, 2010, our office properties were approximately 87.7% leased to approximately 170 tenants. All of our office properties are located in prime California submarkets. As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for our office portfolio was 50 months.

Table of Contents**Tenant Diversification of Office Portfolio**

Our office portfolio is currently leased to a variety of companies. The following table sets forth information regarding the ten largest tenants in our office portfolio based on annualized base rent as of December 31, 2010.

Tenant	Property	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination date by Tenant	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Office Portfolio Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Office Portfolio Annualized Base Rent
Bank of America	1455 Market	Various	⁽²⁾	835,649	26.7%	\$ 9,950,860	14.8%
AIG	Rincon Center ⁽³⁾	Various ⁽⁴⁾	08/1/2012 ⁽⁵⁾	170,089	5.4	6,809,675	10.1
AT&T	Rincon Center ⁽³⁾	8/31/2013		155,964	5.0	5,850,333	8.7
Technicolor Creative Services USA, Inc.	Technicolor Building	5/31/2020		114,958	3.7	4,103,173	6.1
GSA U.S. Corps of Engineers	1455 Market	2/19/2017	02/19/2015	89,995	2.9	3,150,982	4.7
Saatchi & Saatchi North America, Inc. ⁽⁶⁾	DeI Amo Office	12/31/2019	12/31/2011	113,000	3.6	3,069,070	4.6
Kondaur Capital Corp.	City Plaza	3/31/2013		125,208	4.0	3,004,992	4.5
NFL Enterprises	10950 Washington	3/31/2015		95,570	3.0	2,808,595	4.2
State of California	Rincon Center ⁽³⁾	7/31/2012	⁽⁷⁾	35,452	1.1	1,659,606	2.5
Pepperdine University	First Financial	1/31/2019		35,351	1.1	1,367,659	2.0
Total:				1,771,236	56.5%	\$ 41,774,945	62.3%

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12. Annualized base rent does not reflect tenant reimbursements. Total abatements for the ten largest tenants in our office portfolio as of December 31, 2010 for the year ending December 31, 2011 are \$176,949.
- (2) Bank of America lease expiration by square footage, assuming the exercise of all early termination rights: (i) 28,574 square feet at December 31, 2011; (ii) 25,474 square feet at December 31, 2012; (iii) 236,522 square feet at December 31, 2013; (iv) 331,197 square feet at December 31, 2015; and (v) 213,882 square feet at December 31, 2017.
- (3) We currently own a 51% joint venture interest in this property. On February 24, 2011, we exercised our call right to purchase the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner at a purchase price of approximately \$38.7 million (before prorations). The transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2011, subject to the completion of various closing conditions. We cannot assure you that the acquisition will be consummated on the anticipated schedule or at all. For a summary of certain risks related to our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property, see Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business Our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of such interest and a failure to complete such acquisition could result in the loss of our current 51% interest in the property.
- (4) AIG lease expiration by square footage: (i) 3,332 square feet at May 31, 2011; and (ii) 166,757 square feet at July 31, 2017.
- (5) The early termination option is subject to payment of an early termination fee calculated pursuant to a formula in the lease.
- (6) The Saatchi & Saatchi lease provides the tenant with the option to terminate the office lease on any of the following dates in each case upon nine months prior notice: December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2016, in each case in exchange for the payment of an early termination fee, as described above.
- (7) The tenant has the right to terminate the lease at any time upon 90 days written notice.

Table of Contents**Lease Distribution of Office Portfolio**

The following table sets forth information relating to the distribution of leases in our office portfolio, based on net rentable square feet under lease as of December 31, 2010.

Square Feet Under Lease	Number of Leases	Percentage of All Leases	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Office Portfolio Leased Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Office Portfolio Annualized Base Rent
2,500 or less	72	42.1%	89,902	3.3%	\$ 3,000,670	4.5%
2,501-10,000	61	35.7	309,682	11.3	10,295,562	15.3
10,001-20,000	12	7.0	175,386	6.4	5,024,180	7.5
20,001-40,000	11	6.4	309,578	11.3	8,299,815	12.4
40,001-100,000	4	2.3	335,897	12.2	7,690,466	11.5
Greater than 100,000	6	3.5	1,514,868	55.1	32,788,103	48.9
Building management use	5	2.9	11,785	0.4		
Office Portfolio Total:	171	100.0%	2,747,098	100.0%	\$ 67,098,796	100.0%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010 by (ii) 12. Annualized base rent does not reflect tenant reimbursements. Total abatements for leases in effect as of December 31, 2010 for the year ended December 31, 2011 are \$844,336.

Lease Expirations of Office Portfolio

The following table sets forth a summary schedule of the lease expirations for leases in place as of December 31, 2010 plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2011 at the properties in our office portfolio. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights.

Year of Lease Expiration	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Office Portfolio Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Office Portfolio Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Available	377,437 ⁽²⁾	12.1%	\$	%	\$
2010	3,509	0.1	94,743	0.1	27.00
2011 ⁽³⁾	439,114	14.1	10,290,701	15.3	23.44
2012	161,131	5.2	3,962,376	5.9	24.59
2013	615,757	19.7	16,454,647	24.5	26.72
2014	114,084	3.7	3,643,231	5.4	31.93
2015	470,100	15.0	7,535,645	11.2	16.03
2016	136,964	4.4	3,721,921	5.5	27.17
2017	615,705	19.7	15,322,987	22.8	24.89
2018	27,613	0.9	562,686	0.8	20.38
2019	36,378	1.2	1,406,685	2.1	38.67
2020	114,958	3.7	4,103,173	6.1	35.69
Thereafter					
Building management use	11,785	0.4			

Office Portfolio Total/Weighted Average:	3,124,535	100.0%	\$ 67,098,796	100.0%	\$ 24.43
---	------------------	---------------	----------------------	---------------	-----------------

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated, including for uncommenced leases, by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12. Annualized base rent does

Table of Contents

not reflect tenant reimbursements. Total abatements for leases in effect as of December 31, 2010 for the year ended December 31, 2011 are \$844,336.

(2) Includes the Burlington Coat Factory lease representing 94,505 square feet of space at our 875 Howard Street property, which has an expiration date of December 31, 2013 but is subject to a continuing early termination right that can be exercised upon one year's prior notice. Also includes the Saatchi & Saatchi lease representing 113,000 square feet of space at our Del Amo Office property, which is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2019. The lease is subject to early termination options on December 31, 2011, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2016, in each case in exchange for payment of an early termination fee estimated to be approximately \$5.0 million for 2011, \$3.1 million for 2014 and \$1.9 million for 2016. Also includes the State of California lease representing 35,452 square feet at the Rincon Center property, which is scheduled to expire on July 31, 2012. The tenant has the right to terminate the lease anytime after July 31, 2010 by giving landlord at least 90 days notice. If neither Saatchi & Saatchi, Burlington Coat Factory nor the State of California exercise their early termination rights in 2011, leases representing only 6.3% of our office portfolio will expire in 2011. This 6.3% represents \$4,947,674 in annualized base rent and 7.4% of office portfolio annualized base rent.

(3) Includes approximately 9,288 square feet subject to uncommenced leases.

Description of Our Office Properties

1455 Market, San Francisco, California

On December 16, 2010, we acquired 1455 Market, a 22-story, 1,012,012 square foot office property located in San Francisco, California. The property sits on 3.01 acres and contains 353 parking spaces. 1455 Market was built in 1977 by Bank of America as one of the firm's West Coast mission critical facilities housing a vault, data center, check processing facility and call center. Bank of America owned and operated the property from the time the building was completed up until the closing of the acquisition. The property is located in close proximity to some of San Francisco's largest government and culture institutions, including City Hall, the San Francisco Public Library and the Supreme Court of California, which should make it an appealing location to various firms servicing both local and national governmental agencies. As of December 31, 2010 the property was 92.1% leased to eight tenants. Bank of America is the largest tenant occupying a total of 835,649 square feet.

1455 Market Primary Tenants

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of 1455 Market as of December 31, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
Bank of America	Financial	Various	(2)	2 x 5 years ⁽³⁾	835,649	82.6%	\$ 9,950,860	\$ 11.91	74.9%
GSA- U.S. Corps of Engineers	Government	2/19/2017	02/19/2015	1 x 5 years	89,995	8.9%	3,150,982	35.01	23.7
Total / Weighted Average:					925,644	91.5%	\$ 13,101,842	\$ 14.15	98.6%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12.

(2) Bank of America lease expiration by square footage, assuming the exercise of all early termination options: (i) 28,574 square feet at December 31, 2011, (ii) 25,474 square feet at December 31, 2012, (iii) 236,522 square feet at December 31, 2013, (iv) 331,197 square feet at December 31, 2015 and (v) 213,882 square feet at December 31, 2017.

(3) The renewal options do not apply to three of the fourteen floors leased by Bank of America.

1455 Market Lease Expirations

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at 1455 Market as of December 31, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2011.

Table of Contents

Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 58 months.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot
				\$	%	\$
Vacant		97,591	9.5%			
2011	1	28,574	2.8	342,888	2.6	12.00
2012	2	27,901	2.7	347,688	2.6	12.46
2013	3	238,183	23.1	4,390,263	33.0	18.43
2014	1	1,500	0.1	55,722	0.4	37.15
2015	2	331,547	32.2	3,108,550	23.4	9.38
2016	1	875	0.1	32,100	0.2	36.69
2017	2	303,877	29.5	5,016,392	37.7	16.51
2018						
2019						
2020						
Thereafter						
Total/Weighted Average:	12	1,030,048	100.0%	\$ 13,293,602	100.0%	\$ 14.26

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12.

1455 Market Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for 1455 Market as of the date indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾
		\$	\$
December 31, 2010	92.1%	14.26	14.55

(1) Because we did not own this property prior to December 2010, we are unable to show data for years prior to 2010.

(2) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date.

Other than recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of 1455 Market.

The current real estate tax rate for 1455 Market is \$11.64 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for 1455 Market for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$1,680,540 (at a taxable assessed value of \$144.4 million). In addition, there was \$161,209 in direct assessments and personal property tax imposed on 1455 Market by the City and County of San Francisco for the tax year ending June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents**Rincon Center, San Francisco, California**

On December 16, 2010, a joint venture in which we own a 51% interest acquired the Rincon Center property, a mixed-use, two-building, five- and six-story, office and retail property located in San Francisco, California. Rincon Center sits on 3.5 acres, and contains 279 parking spaces. Located in the South Financial District, Rincon Center is located near all major transportation hubs in San Francisco, making it appealing to tenants across a variety of industries. As of December 31, 2010 the property was 81.1% leased to approximately 35 tenants. AIG is the largest tenant occupying a total of 166,757 square feet. On February 24, 2011, we exercised our call right to purchase the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner at a purchase price of approximately \$38.7 million (before proratons). The transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2011, subject to the completion of various closing conditions. We cannot assure you that the acquisition will be consummated on the anticipated schedule or at all. For a summary of certain risks related to our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property, see Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business. Our acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property from our joint venture partner is subject to closing conditions that could delay or prevent the acquisition of such interest and a failure to complete such acquisition could result in the loss of our current 51% interest in the property. If we complete the acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property, we will dissolve the joint venture entity and our operating partnership will own the property through a single-member limited liability company. In addition, we will repay or refinance the \$106.0 million loan on the property.

Rincon Center Primary Tenants

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of Rincon Center as of December 31, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized	
								Base Rent Per Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
AIG	Insurance	7/31/2017	08/01/2012 ⁽²⁾	1 x 10 years	170,089	29.3%	6,809,675	\$ 40.04	39.1%
AT&T	Technology	8/31/2013		1 x 5 years	155,964	26.9	5,850,333	37.51	33.6
State of California	Government	7/31/2012		⁽³⁾	35,452	6.1	1,659,606	46.81	9.5
Yank Sing	Retail	6/30/2016		2 x 5 years	13,651	2.4	412,259	30.20	2.4
Salon Media Group	Media & Entertainment	2/28/2014			8,623	1.5	308,000	35.72	1.8
Total / Weighted Average:					383,779	66.1%	\$ 15,039,873	\$ 39.19	86.4%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12.

(2) The AIG lease is subject to an early termination right commencing on August 1, 2012 with respect to up to 10% of the then current rentable square footage of the lease premises or a certain 18,364 rentable square feet of the lease premises as set forth in the lease, in each case in exchange for payment of an early termination fee based on a formula set forth in the lease.

(3) The State of California lease is subject to an early termination right that can be exercised upon 90 days prior notice.

Table of Contents*Rincon Center Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at Rincon Center as of December 31, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2011. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 53 months.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Vacant		109,928	18.9%	\$	%	\$
2011	7	52,951	9.1	2,164,522	12.4	40.88
2012	6	9,089	1.6	317,481	1.8	34.93
2013	6	162,133	27.9	6,064,902	34.8	37.41
2014	6	16,823	2.9	624,181	3.6	37.10
2015	5	9,909	1.7	413,656	2.4	41.75
2016	1	13,651	2.4	412,259	2.4	30.20
2017	3	195,948	33.7	7,230,833	41.5	36.90
2018	1	4,405	0.8	139,055	0.8	31.57
2019	1	1,027	0.2	39,026	0.2	38.00
2020						
Thereafter	1	4,986	0.9			
Total/Weighted Average:	37	580,850	100.0%	\$ 17,405,916	100.0%	\$ 36.96

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12.

Rincon Center Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for Rincon Center as of the date indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾
December 31, 2010	81.1%	\$ 36.96	\$ 37.11

(1) Because we did not own this property prior to December 2010, we are unable to show data for years prior to 2010.

(2) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date.

Other than recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of Rincon Center.

Rincon Center is subject to a \$106.0 million mortgage loan, as described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Consolidated Indebtedness—Description of Certain Debt—Mortgage Loan Secured by Rincon

Center.

The current real estate tax rate for Rincon Center is \$11.64 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for Rincon Center for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$1,786,862 (at a taxable assessed value of \$153.5 million). In addition, there was \$475.68 in direct assessments imposed on Rincon Center by the City and County of San Francisco.

Table of Contents**City Plaza, Orange, California**

City Plaza is a nineteen-story, steel-framed, Class-A office building located in Orange, California. The property, built in 1969 and renovated in 1999, contains 333,922 net rentable square feet situated on a 11.5 acre lot with 1,189 parking spaces. The property is located near the intersection of two major freeways, Interstate 5 and Highway 22, at the geographic center of Orange County, a location that has attracted many diverse, high-quality tenants from across Orange County, including United States Fire Insurance Company, Calco Insurance Brokers, Walsworth, Franklin, Bevins & McCall, Kondaur Capital Corp. and Brady, Vorwerck Rider & Caspino. Additionally, the property is located adjacent to The Block, a 715,000 square-foot regional mall providing an array of amenities, including restaurants, retail establishments and movie theaters that are attractive to potential and existing tenants, as well as local residents. Our predecessor acquired the loan on City Plaza in August 2008 at a substantial discount and subsequently obtained title to the property from the borrower. At the time of acquisition, the property was only approximately 38% leased. As of December 31, 2010, City Plaza was approximately 93.7% leased to approximately 30 tenants (including the property management tenant) operating in various industries.

City Plaza Primary Tenants

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of City Plaza as of December 31, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage Of Property Annualized Base Rent
Kondaur Capital Corp.	Financial	03/31/13		1 x 5 years	125,208	37.5%	\$ 3,004,992	\$ 24.00	38.6%
Medical Specialties ⁽²⁾	Business Services	01/31/17		1 x 5 years	29,369	8.8	740,099	25.20	9.5
Walsworth, Franklin, Bevins ⁽³⁾	Legal	12/31/19	12/31/16	1 x 5 years	28,141	8.4	675,384	24.00	8.7
Master Halco	Industrial / Fencing	02/28/19	02/28/17 ⁽⁴⁾	1 x 5 years	19,876	6.0	663,302	33.37	8.5
Liberty Mutual Insurance	Insurance	08/31/11		1 x 5 years	18,550	5.6	513,835	27.70	6.6
Total / Weighted Average:					221,144	66.2%	\$ 5,597,612	\$ 25.31	71.8%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12.

(2) In connection with the entry into a lease with this tenant, we assumed the tenant's remaining obligation under its prior lease, which we estimate at \$33,184 per year through August 2011. This obligation may be reduced if we are able to sublease the tenant's previous space or if the tenant's previous landlord offers to acquire our leasehold interest.

(3) Total abatements under the Walsworth, Franklin, Bevins lease for the year ending December 31, 2011 are \$173,067.

(4) Effective January 31, 2011, this lease was terminated in exchange for the payment of a termination fee of approximately \$2.8 million.

Table of Contents*City Plaza Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at City Plaza as of December 31, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2011. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 40 months.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot
				\$	%	\$
Vacant		20,949	6.3%			
2011	11	48,591	14.6	1,302,001	16.7	26.80
2012	5	14,333	4.3	394,537	5.1	27.53
2013	4	127,993	38.3	3,078,890	39.5	24.06
2014 ⁽²⁾	3	22,079	6.6	533,644	6.8	24.17
2015						
2016 ⁽³⁾	3	46,435	13.9	1,063,295	13.6	22.90
2017 ⁽⁴⁾	3	50,011	15.0	1,421,785	18.2	28.43
2018						
2019						
2020						
Thereafter ⁽⁵⁾	1	3,531	1.1			
Total/Weighted Average:	30	333,922	100.0%	\$ 7,794,151	100.0%	\$ 24.90

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12. Total abatements for leases in effect as of December 31, 2010 for the year ending December 31, 2011 are \$210,669.
- (2) Includes the Brady, Vorwerck, Ryder & Caspino lease representing 18,350 square feet of space, which is scheduled to expire on August 31, 2019. However, this lease is subject to a one-time early termination right on August 31, 2014 in exchange for an early termination fee.
- (3) Includes the Walsworth, Franklin, Bevins lease representing 28,141 square feet, which is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2019, and is subject to a one-time early termination right on December 31, 2016 in exchange for an early termination fee.
- (4) Includes the Master Halco lease representing 19,876 square feet of space, which was terminated effective January 31, 2011 in exchange for the payment of a termination fee of approximately \$2.8 million.
- (5) Includes 3,531 square feet that is leased to our subsidiary for property management offices.

City Plaza Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for City Plaza as of the dates indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾
December 31, 2010	93.7%	\$ 24.90	\$ 26.95
December 31, 2009	72.8	25.39	25.56
December 31, 2008	43.0	27.75	28.08

- (1) Because we did not own this property prior to 2008, we are unable to show data for years prior to this time.
- (2) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date.

Table of Contents

Other than recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of City Plaza.

City Plaza is included in the portfolio of properties that secure our secured revolving credit facility. For more information regarding our secured revolving credit facility, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Secured Revolving Credit Facility.

Also in connection with our acquisition of the City Plaza property, we agreed to assume certain costs of design and construction, in the amount of approximately \$1.0 million, related to the reconfiguration of certain surface parking areas and the construction of a new road way that will be located on both the City Plaza property and adjacent property, which improvements will improve access to both the City Plaza property and the adjacent retail center from the highway. We have modified the agreement related to this construction to allow for certain time extensions for completion. The construction of these improvements has also since commenced and should be complete within 2011. An initial payment of \$350,000 was made in accordance with our commitment. Also, we filed certain land swap documents and easement rights with the city which are also required in accordance with the assumed agreement documents.

With respect to our City Plaza property, we are obligated under a parking easement agreement to provide 1,250 parking spaces for use by the adjacent property owner. However, due to a condemnation event that occurred subsequent to the granting of such parking easement, we are currently only able to provide 1,139 parking spaces for such use. We are in discussions with the holder of the easement to address the current deficiency.

The current real estate tax rate for City Plaza is \$10.35 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for City Plaza for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$727,984 (at a taxable assessed value of \$70.3 million). In addition, there was \$28,989 in various direct assessments and supplemental taxes imposed on City Plaza by the County of Orange for the 2010/2011 tax year.

875 Howard Street, San Francisco, California

Our 875 Howard Street property is located in San Francisco, California and consists of two buildings totaling 286,270 square feet. One of the buildings is a 191,765 square foot, six-story building that underwent redevelopment from its prior use as a museum to use as an office property. The redevelopment included a new lobby, demolition of all floors to core and shell and the completion of exterior upgrades, all of which were completed on April 1, 2010. The other building is a 94,505 square foot, three-story retail building leased to Burlington Coat Factory. The property is located in the South of Market area in San Francisco, a submarket that has historically had a high concentration of high technology and internet-related tenants. We believe that as venture capital investments in technology related companies increase, our property will attract many of the high technology and internet tenants that tend to be located in the South of Market submarket. Additionally, the property is located across the street from the Moscone Convention Center near Market Street, which provides an array of amenities, including access to San Francisco's primary mass transit corridor, restaurants, retail establishments and movie theaters, all of which are attractive to potential and existing tenants.

One of our contributors, Farallon, acquired the property in 2007 with its operating partner, TMG Partners, or TMG, when the office component of the property was occupied by the California Academy of Sciences, which was scheduled to vacate the property in 2008. Farallon and TMG acquired the property with the intent to redevelop the office space that had been underutilized when the California Academy of Sciences occupied the space. Concurrently with the completion of our initial public offering and the consummation of the formation transactions, we entered into an agreement with TMG relating to this property, pursuant to which we will pay a 3% commission on hard costs of first generation tenant improvements and a leasing commission equal to \$2 per square foot of new space leased during the term of the agreement. This agreement terminates upon the

Table of Contents

earlier of two years or the date on which the property is 95% leased. As of December 31, 2010, the 875 Howard Street retail building was 100% leased to Burlington Coat Factory, while the office building was 49% leased to three tenants totaling 93,425 square feet. Overall, as of December 31, 2010, the property was 65.6% leased.

The Burlington Coat Factory lease is subject to a continuous termination right by the tenant on one year's prior notice. However, we believe Burlington Coat Factory's lease is at substantially below market rates as of December 31, 2010, which we believe may encourage the tenant to exercise one or more of its two five-year renewal options at the current rental rate.

875 Howard Street Primary Tenants

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of 875 Howard Street as of December 31, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
Heald College ⁽²⁾	Educational	12/13/2020	12/13/2017	1 x 5 years	55,827	19.5%	\$ 1,116,538	20.00	39.1%
Carat USA ⁽³⁾	Media & Entertainment	3/31/2017	3/31/2016	1 x 5 years	33,291	11.6	998,730	30.00	34.9
Burlington Coat Factory ⁽⁴⁾	Retail	12/31/2013	9/30/2011	2 x 5 years	94,505	33.00	614,351	6.5	21.5
Playhaven (Medium Entertainment)	Media & Entertainment	11/30/2013	11/30/2013	years	4,307	1.5	129,210	30.00	4.5
Total/Weighted Average:					187,930	65.6%	\$ 2,858,829	\$ 15.21	100.0%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12. The Burlington Coat Factory lease is a modified gross lease pursuant to which the tenant, in addition to its base rental payment, reimburses the landlord for certain operating expenses. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent for the Burlington Coat Factory lease is \$1,181,381, or \$12.50 per leased square foot. The Heald College, Carat USA and Playhaven leases are modified gross leases pursuant to which the tenant, in addition to its base rental payment, reimburses the landlord for expenses in excess of a base year expense stop, as well as janitorial costs and utilities. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent for the Heald College lease is \$1,339,846, or \$24.00 per leased square foot. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent for the Carat USA lease is \$1,131,894, or \$34.00 per leased square foot. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent for the Playhaven lease is \$146,438, or \$34.00 per leased square foot.

(2) The Heald College lease is subject to an early termination right for an early termination payment of approximately \$2.2 million.

(3) Total abatements under this lease for the year ending December 31, 2011 are \$249,683. The early termination right is subject to an early termination fee of \$412,106. The Carat USA lease provides the tenant a complete abatement of base rent for the first year of the lease term.

(4) The Burlington Coat Factory lease is subject to a continuing early termination right that can be exercised upon one year's prior notice.

Table of Contents*875 Howard Street Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at 875 Howard Street as of December 31, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2011. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 43 months due to the continuous termination right of Burlington Coat Factory on one year's prior notice; to date, such notice has not been provided.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Vacant		98,340	34.4%	\$	%	\$
2011 ⁽²⁾	1	94,505	33.0	614,351	21.5	6.50
2012						
2013	1	4,307	1.5	129,210	4.5	30.00
2014						
2015						
2016	1	33,291	11.6	998,730	34.9	30.00
2017	1	55,827	19.5	1,116,538	39.1	20.00
2018						
2019						
2020						
Thereafter						
Thereafter Total/Weighted Average:	4	286,270	100.0%	\$ 2,858,829	100.0%	\$ 15.21

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12. Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot does not reflect tenant reimbursements.
- (2) Includes the Burlington Coat Factory lease representing 94,505 square feet of space that has an expiration date of December 31, 2013 and is subject to a continuing early termination right that can be exercised upon one year's prior notice. To date, such notice has not been provided. Excluding Burlington Coat Factory, none of our 875 Howard Street property leases would expire in 2011.

875 Howard Street Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for 875 Howard Street as of the dates indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Lease	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽³⁾
December 31, 2010	65.6%	\$ 15.21	\$ 11.49
December 31, 2009	33.0	6.50	6.50
December 31, 2008	100.0	7.81	7.81
December 31, 2007	100.0	6.66	6.66

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

- (1) Because we did not own this property prior to 2007, we are unable to show data for years prior to 2007.
- (2) We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent per leased square foot is \$20.22 as of December 31, 2010.
- (3) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date. Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot does not reflect tenant reimbursements. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent net effective base rent per leased square foot as of December 31, 2010 is \$22.88 for 875 Howard Street.

Table of Contents

875 Howard Street is included in the portfolio of properties that secure our secured revolving credit facility. For more information regarding our secured revolving credit facility, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Secured Revolving Credit Facility.

The current real estate tax rate for 875 Howard Street is \$11.64 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for 875 Howard Street for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$555,752 (at a taxable assessed value of \$47.7 million). In addition, there was \$20,519 in various direct assessments imposed on 875 Howard Street by the City and County of San Francisco.

First Financial, Encino, California

First Financial is a six-story, steel-framed office building located in Encino, California at the intersection of Ventura and Balboa Boulevards. The property, built in 1986, contains 222,423 net rentable square feet and a 49,560 square foot four-story parking garage with 690 parking spaces. The property has access to two major freeways, the Ventura (Highway 101) and San Diego (Interstate 405) Freeways, and is located near many of Los Angeles's residential communities in the central part of the San Fernando Valley. As of December 31, 2010, First Financial was approximately 84.8% leased to approximately 35 tenants (including the property management tenant).

First Financial Primary Tenants

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of First Financial as of December 31, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
Pepperdine University	Educational	01/31/19		1 x 5 years	35,351	15.9%	\$ 1,367,659	\$ 38.69	21.7%
Marcus & Millichap ⁽²⁾	Real Estate	09/30/16	09/30/11	1 x 5 years	14,500	6.5	475,621	32.80	7.5
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated	Financial	04/30/16	04/30/12 ⁽³⁾	2 x 5 years	15,838	7.1	437,129	27.60	6.9
Haber Corporation	Financial	09/30/12		1 x 5 years	12,973	5.8	423,741	32.66	6.7
Vitas Healthcare Corp.	Healthcare	02/28/14		1 x 5 years	13,390	6.0	385,204	28.77	6.1
Total/Weighted Average:					92,052	41.4%	\$ 3,089,353	\$ 33.56	48.9%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12.

(2) The Marcus & Millichap lease is subject to early termination options with respect to 3,036 square feet on September 30, 2011 and with respect to 11,464 square feet on September 30, 2014, in each case in exchange for payment of an early termination fee based on a formula set forth in the lease. Total abatements under this lease for the year ending December 31, 2011 are \$40,824.

(3) The early termination right is subject to an early termination fee of \$234,212.

Table of Contents*First Financial Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at First Financial as of December 31, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2011. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 45 months.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Vacant		33,873	15.2%	\$	%	\$
2011	4	14,051	6.3	442,099	7.0	31.46
2012 ⁽²⁾	9	50,851	22.9	1,586,353	25.1	31.20
2013	9	20,711	9.3	773,418	12.2	37.34
2014 ⁽³⁾	8	54,073	24.3	1,730,280	27.4	32.00
2015	1	2,806	1.3	84,449	1.3	30.10
2016	1	8,048	3.6	278,396	4.4	34.59
2017	1	1,669	0.8	51,806	0.8	31.04
2018						
2019	1	35,351	15.9	1,367,659	21.7	38.69
2020						
Thereafter ⁽⁴⁾	1	990	0.4			
Total/ Weighted Average:	35	222,423	100.0%	\$ 6,314,462	100.0%	\$ 33.49

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12. Total abatements for leases in effect as of December 31, 2010 for the year ending December 31, 2011 are \$80,281.

(2) Includes the Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated lease representing 15,838 square feet, which is scheduled to expire on April 30, 2016. However, this lease is subject to a one-time early termination right on April 30, 2012 in exchange for an early termination fee of \$234,212.

(3) Includes the Marcus & Millichap lease representing 14,500 square feet, which is scheduled to expire on September 30, 2016. However, the tenant has a right to terminate the lease with respect to 3,036 square feet on September 30, 2011. The tenant also has a right to terminate the remaining 11,464 square feet on September 30, 2014 in exchange for an early termination fee based on a formula set forth in the lease.

(4) Includes 990 square feet that is leased to our subsidiary for property management offices.

First Financial Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for First Financial as of the dates indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾
December 31, 2010	84.8%	\$ 33.49	\$ 35.52
December 31, 2009	92.1	32.81	30.56
December 31, 2008	93.2	30.40	30.06

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

December 31, 2007	95.1	28.34	23.42
December 31, 2006	98.7	27.34	26.27
December 31, 2005	92.5	26.71	25.89

- (1) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date.

Table of Contents

Other than recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of First Financial.

First Financial is subject to a \$43.0 million mortgage loan, as described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Description of Certain Debt Mortgage Loan Secured by First Financial.

The current real estate tax rate for First Financial is \$12.70 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for First Financial for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$737,915 (at a taxable assessed value of \$58.1 million). In addition, there was \$20,036 in various direct assessments and voted indebtedness imposed on First Financial by the City of Los Angeles and County of Los Angeles for the 2010/2011 tax year.

10950 Washington, Culver City, California

On December 22, 2010, we acquired 10950 Washington, a 158,873 square foot office property located in Culver City, California, from an unrelated third party for \$46.0 million in cash (including the assumption of a \$30.0 million mortgage loan) funded with borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility. The 10950 Washington property consists of a three-story office building totaling 86,987 square feet and a 71,886 square foot building containing two sound stages, creative office space and a café, for an aggregate of 158,873 square feet. The property sits on 5.5 acres, and contains structured and surface parking with a total of 441 parking spaces. As of December 31, 2010 the property was 99.5% leased to eight tenants. NFL Enterprises is the largest tenant occupying a total of 95,570 square feet, and they broadcast the company's NFL Network from the two sound stages on site. Located in Culver City, California, the 10950 Washington property benefits from close proximity to the 405 Freeway and the amenities that downtown Culver City provides, making it an attractive location for many media and entertainment companies seeking creative office space on the westside of Los Angeles.

10950 Washington Primary Tenants

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of the 10950 Washington property as of December 31, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
NFL Enterprises	Media & Entertainment	03/31/15			95,570	60.2%	\$ 2,808,595	\$ 29.39	65.6%
SDI Media	Media & Entertainment	04/30/16		1 x 5 years	30,300	19.1	754,899	24.91	17.6
All3Media/Studio Lambert	Media & Entertainment	06/30/13			20,047	12.6	396,000	19.75	9.2
David Larkins	Architecture	09/30/11		1 x 5 years	4,767	3.0	132,630	27.82	3.1
The Point Media Consultants	Media & Entertainment	10/31/11			3,447	2.2	120,975	35.10	2.8
Total / Weighted Average:					154,131	97.0%	\$ 4,213,100	\$ 27.33	98.4%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12.

Table of Contents*10950 Washington Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at the 10950 Washington property as of December 31, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2011. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 49 months.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Vacant		805	0.5%	\$	%	\$
2011	3	8,639	5.4	266,806	6.2	30.88
2012						
2013	2	22,221	14.0	452,089	10.6	20.35
2014						
2015	1	95,570	60.2	2,808,595	65.6	29.32
2016	1	30,300	19.1	754,899	17.6	24.91
2017						
2018						
2019						
2020						
Thereafter	1	1,338	0.8			
Total/Weighted Average:	8	158,873	100.0%	\$ 4,282,389	100.0%	\$ 27.09

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12.

10950 Washington Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for the 10950 Washington property as of the date indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾
December 31, 2010	99.5%	\$ 27.09	\$ 29.53

(1) Because we did not own this property prior to October 2010, we are unable to show data for years prior to 2010.

(2) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date.

Other than recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of 10950 Washington.

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

The 10950 Washington property is subject to a \$30.0 million mortgage loan, as described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Description of Certain Debt Mortgage Loan Secured by 10950 Washington.

The current real estate tax rate for the 10950 Washington property is \$10.82 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for the 10950 Washington property for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$270,374

Table of Contents

(at a taxable assessed value of \$25.0 million). In addition, there was \$22,397 in various direct assessments imposed on the 10950 Washington property by the City of Culver City and County of Los Angeles for the 2010/2011 tax year.

222 Kearny, San Francisco, California

On October 8, 2010 we acquired a 148,797 square foot, two-building office property located in San Francisco, California, which we refer to as 222 Kearny. The property consists of the 180 Sutter building, a 5-story building built in 1901 and renovated in 1986, and the 222 Kearny building, a 10-story building built in 1986. The property contains 60 parking stalls. Located on the border of the Union Square and Financial District submarkets of San Francisco, the property is attractive to tenants across many industries including financial services, legal, hospitality and technology. As of December 31, 2010, the property was 76.9% leased to 22 tenants (including the property management office).

A portion representing approximately 64% of the building area of the 222 Kearny property (excluding the 180 Sutter building) resides on a ground lease held by Hudson 222 Kearny, LLC pursuant to a lease dated June 15, 1984 as amended and assigned, between 154 Sutter LLC as lessor and Hudson 222 Kearny, LLC, as lessee (expiring on June 14, 2054). The ground lease provides for annual rent equal to the greater of (i) \$975,000 or (ii) 20% of the annual net operating income of the portion of the property subject to the ground lease until annual net operating income reaches \$8 million; once annual net operating income reaches \$8 million, percentage rent equal to 25% of the excess annual net operating income above \$8 million is also payable. Annual rent under the ground lease is currently \$975,000.

222 Kearny Primary Tenants

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of 222 Kearny as of December 31, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
Kimpton Group Holding, LLC	Hospitality	05/20/11		1 x 5	20,997	14.1%	\$ 614,342	\$ 29.26	14.8%
				years					
The Children's Place	Retail	01/31/17			8,373	5.6	485,634	58.00	11.7
Stockwell, Harris	Legal	09/30/13		1 x 5	8,050	5.4	378,350	47.00	9.1
				years					
Van Der Hout	Legal	02/29/12		1 x 5	7,647	5.1	313,527	41.00	7.5
				years					
Adams Nye	Legal	12/11/13		1 x 5	11,026	7.4	265,882	24.11	6.4
				years					
Total/Weighted Average:					56,093	37.7%	\$ 2,057,735	\$ 36.68	49.5%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12.

Table of Contents*222 Kearny Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at 222 Kearny as of December 31, 2010, plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2011. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and all early termination rights. As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 26 months.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot
				\$	%	\$
Vacant		34,384	23.1%			
2010	1 ⁽²⁾	3,509	2.4	94,743	2.3	27.00
2011	6	43,182	29.0	1,387,757	33.4	32.14
2012	4	10,831	7.3	486,669	11.8	45.21
2013	5	33,078	22.2	1,228,755	29.6	37.15
2014	1	3,708	2.5	114,917	2.8	30.99
2015	3	10,792	7.3	356,109	8.6	33.00
2016						
2017	1	8,373	5.6	485,634	11.7	58.00
2018						
2019						
2020						
Thereafter ⁽³⁾	1	940	0.6			
Total/Weighted Average:	22	148,797	100.0%	\$ 4,157,584	100.0%	\$ 36.34

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12.

(2) Represents a lease that expired on December 31, 2010.

(3) Includes 940 square feet of property management office space.

222 Kearny Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for 222 Kearny as of the date indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾
		\$	\$
December 31, 2010	76.9%	\$ 36.34	\$ 38.28

(1) Because we did not own this property prior to October 2010, we are unable to show data for years prior to 2010.

(2) Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date.

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

Other than recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of 222 Kearny.

The current real estate tax rate for 222 Kearny is \$11.64 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for 222 Kearny for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$634,523 (at a taxable assessed value of \$54.5 million). In addition, there was \$714 in various direct assessments imposed on 222 Kearny by the City of San Francisco and County of San Francisco for the 2010/2011 tax year. We anticipate a downward assessment to occur as part of our acquisition.

Table of Contents**Technicolor Building, Hollywood, California**

The Technicolor Building is a six-story, steel-framed Class-A office and motion picture technical production building located in Hollywood, California. The property comprises 114,958 square feet and is located on the Sunset Gower property (described below), with frontage on Sunset Boulevard, one of the main surface arteries in Los Angeles. The property was completed in 2008 and serves as the worldwide headquarters for Technicolor, one of the leading post-production companies in the entertainment industry. The property includes both traditional office space and space dedicated to post-production uses, such as video and sound content screening, editing and data storage and, as Technicolor's primary office and post-production facility, it is essential to its worldwide operations. The property is 100% leased to Technicolor through May 31, 2020. Pursuant to the terms of its lease, Technicolor is obligated to reimburse us for 100% of the expenses related to the operation of the property, including, but not limited to, real property taxes, utilities and insurance premiums (excluding structural, roof and core MEP system capital replacements).

The following table summarizes information regarding the Technicolor Building lease as of December 31, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Percentage of Property			Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
				Total Leased Square Feet	Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Square Foot	Base Rent
Technicolor	Media & Entertainment	05/31/20	2 x 5 years	114,958	100.0%	\$ 4,103,173	\$ 35.69	100.0%
Total/Weighted Average:				114,958	100.0%	\$ 4,103,173	\$ 35.69	100.0%

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12. Total abatements as of December 31, 2010 for the year ending December 31, 2011 are \$176,949. Annualized base rent does not reflect tenant reimbursements. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent for the Technicolor Building lease is \$5,388,866, or \$46.88 per leased square foot.

Technicolor Building Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annualized base rent per leased square foot and annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot for the Technicolor Building as of the dates indicated below:

Date ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾	Annualized Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽³⁾
December 31, 2010	100.0%	\$ 35.69	\$ 39.04
December 31, 2009	100.0	34.32	39.04
December 31, 2008	100.0	33.00	39.04

- (1) Because the property was placed into service on June 1, 2008, we are unable to show data for full years prior to 2008.
(2) We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized base rent per leased square foot as of December 31, 2010 is \$46.88.
(3)

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form S-11/A

Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for leases in place as of the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the net rentable square footage under lease as of the same date. Annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot does not reflect tenant reimbursements. We estimate that the full service gross equivalent annualized net effective base rent per leased square foot as of December 31, 2010 is \$49.98 for the Technicolor Building.

Table of Contents

The Technicolor Building, together with the Sunset Gower property, is included in the portfolio of properties that secures our secured revolving credit facility. For more information regarding our secured revolving credit facility, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Secured Revolving Credit Facility.

The current real estate tax for the Technicolor Building is included in the real estate tax for Sunset Gower. As described above, Technicolor is obligated to reimburse us for 100% of its allocated share of real property taxes and related fees.

Del Amo Office, Torrance, California

On August 13, 2010, we acquired the Del Amo Office property and its related ground sublease for \$27.5 million in cash (before closing costs and prorations).

The Del Amo Office property is a five-story, steel-framed office building located on Sepulveda Boulevard in Torrance, California. The property, built in 1986, contains 113,000 net rentable square feet and is situated on 2.3 acres with 150 parking spaces. The property is 100% leased to Saatchi & Saatchi North America, Inc., a leading advertising agency, pursuant to a written lease. Saatchi & Saatchi has been a tenant at this property for over 20 years, over which period significant capital improvements have been made to the property. The current term of the office lease expires December 31, 2019, provided that Saatchi & Saatchi has the option to terminate the office lease on any of the following dates: December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2016, in each case in exchange for the payment of an early termination fee, based on an amount equal to the unamortized tenant improvement allowances, brokerage commissions and abated rent granted to Saatchi & Saatchi for the initial 15-year term. We estimate the early termination fee to be approximately \$5.0 million, \$3.1 million and \$1.9 million for the termination rights exercisable on December 31, 2011, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Saatchi & Saatchi also has one 10-year extension option, at a rental rate equal to 95% of the projected prevailing rental rate as of the first day of the option term (based on a formula set forth in the office lease). The office lease provides for the payment of monthly base rent, plus tenant's share (100%) of all increases in direct costs of operation, repair and maintenance of the building and common areas, including real property taxes and assessments levied or assessed against the building (including in connection with a change of ownership), over such costs for the 2005 base year.

The following table summarizes information regarding the Del Amo Office property lease as of December 31, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
Saatchi & Saatchi	Advertising	12/31/19	12/31/11 ⁽²⁾	1 x 10 years	113,000	100.0%	\$ 3,069,070	\$ 27.16	100.0%
Total/Weighted Average:					113,000	100.0%	\$ 3,069,070	\$ 27.16	100.0%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12.

(2) The Saatchi & Saatchi lease provides the tenant with the option to terminate the office lease on any of the following dates in each case upon nine months' prior notice: December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2016, in each case in exchange for the payment of an early termination fee, as described above.

The property on which the Del Amo Office building is located is subleased by Del Amo Fashion Center Operating Company, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, or Del Amo, through a long-term ground sublease entered into on February 12, 1985 between Sears, Roebuck & Co., as sublessor, and Del Amo (as successor-in-interest to Del Amo Mills Limited Partnership, successor-in-interest to The Torrance Company), as

Table of Contents

sublessee. The current term of the ground sublease expires June 30, 2049. The ground sublease is subject and subordinate to the terms of a ground lease dated June 29, 1959 between certain persons and entities therein referred to as The Sears Investors, as lessor, and Sears, Roebuck and Co., as lessee, and an improvement agreement and agreement to ground sublease dated September 15, 1983 between Sears, Roebuck and Co. and The Torrance Company, predecessor to Del Amo. The fee owner of the property has not granted to the subtenant under the ground sublease any rights of non-disturbance. Accordingly, a termination of the ground lease for any reason, including a rejection thereof by the ground tenant under the ground lease in a bankruptcy proceeding, could result in a termination of the ground sublease. In the event of a termination of the ground sublease, the Company may lose its interest in the Del Amo Office building and may no longer have the right to receive any of the rental income from the Del Amo Office building. In addition, the failure of the Company to have any non-disturbance rights from the fee owner may impair the Company's ability to obtain financing for the Del Amo Office building. See **Risk Factors** **Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business** The ground sublease for the Del Amo Office property is subject and subordinate to a ground lease, the termination of which could result in a termination of the ground sublease.

Fixed Net Rent under the ground sublease is \$1.00 per year, with sublessee being responsible for all impositions, insurance premiums, operating charges, maintenance charges, construction costs and other charges, costs and expenses that arise or may be contemplated under any provisions of the ground sublease, including its pro rata share of all exterior common area maintenance costs charged to sublessor with respect to the larger tract of land of which the property is a part, and all real property taxes applicable to the property (and if not separately assessed, then sublessee's liability shall be for such equitable portion of the real property taxes as the property bears to all of the land and improvements included within the tax parcel assessed, as determined mutually by sublessor and sublessee).

The sublessee is also responsible for sublessor's obligations under the ground lease applicable to the property, other than those obligations involving the payment of rent or other charges. The ground sublease is not expressly terminable for any reason by either party other than in connection with a casualty or condemnation. The sublessee has the right to mortgage its leasehold interest in the property, but is not otherwise permitted to assign, mortgage, pledge, encumber or in any manner transfer the ground sublease, or any part thereof, further sublease the property, or any part thereof (other than subleasing of space within the improvements to tenants in occupancy from time to time), or sell, transfer, mortgage, pledge, lease, license or encumber the improvements or the interest of sublessee in any lease of the improvements or the rentals thereunder, without the prior written consent of sublessor, which shall not be unreasonably withheld.

Other than normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of the Del Amo Office property.

The Del Amo Office property is included in the portfolio of properties that secure our secured revolving credit facility. For more information regarding our secured revolving credit facility, see **Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations** **Liquidity and Capital Resources** **Consolidated Indebtedness** **Secured Revolving Credit Facility**.

For the tax year ending June 30, 2011, the allocated tax expense for the Del Amo Office property was \$77,698.

Tierrasanta, San Diego, California

Tierrasanta is a wood-framed office complex located in the Kearny Mesa submarket of San Diego, California. Built in 1985, Tierrasanta consists of four buildings that contain an aggregate of 104,234 net rentable square feet situated on a 6.5 acre lot with 382 parking spaces. The property is located directly adjacent to Interstate 15 and has access to two major highways, Highway 163 and Highway 52. As of December 31, 2010, Tierrasanta was approximately 96.8% leased to nine tenants. As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average remaining lease term for this property was 32 months.

Table of Contents*Tierrasanta Primary Tenants*

The following table summarizes information regarding the tenants of Tierrasanta as of December 31, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
RBF Consulting ⁽²⁾	Construction Services	3/31/14	03/31/12	1 x 5 years	31,422	30.1%	\$ 427,214	\$ 13.60	27.0%
California Bank & Trust	Financial	6/30/18		1 x 5 years	23,208	22.3	423,631	18.25	26.8
NxGen	Technology	8/31/12			9,629	9.2	231,470	24.04	14.6
Diversified Copier	Technology	6/30/11		1 x 3 years	8,305	8.0	126,876	15.28	8.0
Quake Global, Inc.	Technology	3/31/11			8,690	8.3	111,684	12.85	7.1
Total/Weighted Average:					81,254	78.0%	\$ 1,320,874	\$ 16.26	83.6%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) for the month ended December 31, 2010, by (ii) 12. Annualized base rent does not reflect tenant reimbursements.

(2) The RBF Consulting lease provides the tenant with an option to terminate, subject to the payment of an early termination fee based on a formula set forth in the lease.

Other than normally recurring capital expenditures, we have no plans with respect to major renovation, improvement or redevelopment of Tierrasanta.

Tierrasanta is subject to a \$14.3 million mortgage loan, as described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Consolidated Indebtedness Description of Certain Debt Mortgage Loan Secured by Tierrasanta.

The current real estate tax rate for Tierrasanta is \$11.02 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The total annual tax for Tierrasanta for the tax year ending June 30, 2011 is \$137,148 (at a taxable assessed value of \$12.5 million). In addition, there was \$260 in various direct assessments imposed on Tierrasanta by the City and County of San Diego for the 2010/2011 tax year.

9300 Wilshire Boulevard, Beverly Hills, California

9300 Wilshire Boulevard is a six-story office building located in Beverly Hills, California. The property, built in 1965 and renovated in 2001, contains 58,484 net rentable square feet situated on a 0.3767 acre lot with 160 parking spaces. The property is located on the southwest corner of Wilshire Boulevard and Rexford Drive, strategically located near the Golden Triangle district of Beverly Hills, which features world class restaurants, shops and other amenities. As of December 31, 2010, 9300 Wilshire Boulevard was approximately 90.5% leased to eighteen tenants operating in various industries.

The 9300 Wilshire Boulevard property is subject to a ground lease held by Hudson 9300 Wilshire, LLC pursuant to a lease dated August 15, 1962, as amended and assigned, between Cassabell Stone, as lessor, and Hudson 9300 Wilshire, LLC, as lessee (expiring August 14, 2032).

Table of Contents*9300 Wilshire Boulevard Primary Tenants*

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of 9300 Wilshire Boulevard as of December 31, 2010:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Earliest Optional Termination Date by Tenant	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent
Hanmi Bank	Financial	09/30/17	09/30/14	2 x 5 years	5,253	9.0%	\$ 279,557	\$ 53.22	12.5%
Provident Title Company ⁽²⁾	Real Estate	12/31/15			6,171	10.6	247,334	40.08	11.0
Knock Out Creative	Media & Entertainment	05/31/14			5,321	9.1	242,382	45.55	10.8
Paul Kohner	Media & Entertainment	09/30/15			5,461	9.3	212,979	39.00	9.5
KR Capital Partners ⁽³⁾	Financial	03/31/16			4,364	7.5	182,241	41.76	8.1

Total/Weighted**Average:****26,570 45.4% &nb**