WELLS FARGO & COMPANY/MN Form 10-Q August 07, 2012 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

Commission file number 001-2979

WELLS FARGO & COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

No. 41-0449260

(State of incorporation)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

420 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, California 94163

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: 1-866-249-3302

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer b

Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company " Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes " No þ

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Shares Outstanding

<u>July 31, 2012</u> 5,282,185,586

Common stock, \$1-2/3 par value

FORM 10-Q

CROSS-REFERENCE INDEX

PART I	Financial Information	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	Page
	Consolidated Statement of Income	53
	Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income	54
	Consolidated Balance Sheet	55
	Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity	56
	Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	58
	Notes to Financial Statements	
	1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	59
	2 - Business Combinations	61
	3 - Federal Funds Sold, Securities Purchased under Resale Agreements and Other Short-Term Investments	61
	4 - Securities Available for Sale	62
	5 - Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses	70
	<u>6 - Other Assets</u>	91
	7 - Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities	92
	8 - Mortgage Banking Activities	103
	9 - Intangible Assets	106
	10 - Guarantees, Pledged Assets and Collateral	107
	11 - Legal Actions	109
	12 - Derivatives	110
	13 - Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities	117
	<u>14 - Preferred Stock</u>	142
	15 - Employee Benefits	144
	16 - Earnings Per Common Share	145
	17 - Other Comprehensive Income	146
	18 - Operating Segments	148
	19 - Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements	150
	20 - Regulatory and Agency Capital Requirements	155
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and	
	Results of Operations (Financial Review)	
	Summary Financial Data	2
	<u>Overview</u>	3
	Earnings Performance	5
	Balance Sheet Analysis	13
	Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements	16
	Risk Management	17
	Capital Management	46
	Regulatory Reform	49
	Critical Accounting Policies	49
	<u>Current Accounting Developments</u>	50
	Forward-Looking Statements	50
	Risk Factors	51
	Glossary of Acronyms	156
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	42
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	52
PART II	Other Information	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	157
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	157
ICIII 17.	TUBE I WOOD	137

Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	157
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	158
<u>Signature</u>		158
Evhibit Index		150

1

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

FINANCIAL REVIEW

Summary Financial Data

		June 30,	March 31,	Quarter ended June 3Ma	June 30, 2	% Change 2012 from June 30,	Six n June 30,	nonths ended	%
(\$ in millions, except per share amounts)		2012	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	June 30, 2011	Change
For the Period									
Wells Fargo net income	\$	4,622	4,248	3,948	9 %	17	8,870	7,707	15 %
Wells Fargo net income applicable to		,	, -	- ,,			-,-	.,	
common stock		4,403	4,022	3,728	9	18	8,425	7,298	15
Diluted earnings per common share		0.82	0.75	0.70	9	17	1.57	1.37	15
Profitability ratios (annualized):		****							
Wells Fargo net income to average assets									
(ROA)		1.41 %	1.31	1.27	8	11	1.36	1.25	9
Wells Fargo net income applicable to		2,12 /0	1.01	1,2,	, ,		1.00	1.20	
common stock to average Wells Fargo									
common stockholders equity (ROE)		12.86	12.14	11.92	6	8	12.51	11.95	5
Efficiency ratio (1)		58.2	60.1	61.2	(3)	(5)	59.1	61.9	(5)
Total revenue	\$	21,289	21,636	20,386	(2)	4	42,925	40,715	5
Pre-tax pre-provision profit (PTPP) (2)	Ψ	8,892	8,643	7,911	3	12	17,535	15,507	13
Dividends declared per common share		0.22	0.22	0.12	-	83	0.44	0.24	83
Average common shares outstanding		5,306.9	5,282.6	5,286.5	_	-	5,294.9	5,282.7	-
Diluted average common shares outstanding		5,369.9	5,337.8	5,331.7	1	1	5,354.3	5,329.9	_
Average loans	\$	768,223	768,582	751,253	-	2	768,403	752,657	2
Average loans Average assets	φ	1,321,584	1,302,921	1,250,945	1	6	1,312,252	1,246,088	5
Average core deposits (3)		880,636	870,516	807,483	1	9	875,576	802,184	9
Average core deposits (3) Average retail core deposits (4)		624,329	616,569	592,974	1	5	620,445	588,561	5
• •		3.91 %	3.91	4.01	-	(2)	3.91	4.03	(3)
Net interest margin At Period End		3.91 %	3.91	4.01	-	(2)	3.91	4.03	(3)
Securities available for sale	\$	226,846	220.266	186,298	(1)	22	226 846	186,298	22
	Þ		230,266		(1)	3	226,846 775,199		
Loans		775,199 18,320	766,521	751,921	1		-,	751,921	3
Allowance for loan losses			18,852	20,893	(3)	(12)	18,320	20,893	(12)
Goodwill Assets		25,406 1,336,204	25,140 1,333,799	24,776 1,259,734	1 -	3	25,406	24,776	3
						6	1,336,204	1,259,734	6
Core deposits (3)		882,137	888,711	808,970	(1)	9	882,137	808,970	9
Wells Fargo stockholders equity		148,070	145,516	136,401	2 2	9	148,070	136,401	9
Total equity		149,437	146,849	137,916	_	8	149,437	137,916	8
Tier 1 capital (5)		117,856	117,444	113,466		4	117,856	113,466	4
Total capital (5)		149,813	150,788	149,538	(1)	-	149,813	149,538	-
Capital ratios:		11 10 07	11.01	10.05	2	2	11 10	10.05	2
Total equity to assets		11.18 %	11.01	10.95	2	2	11.18	10.95	2
Risk-based capital (5):		11.00	11.70	11.60	(1)		11.00	11.60	
Tier 1 capital		11.69	11.78	11.69	(1)	- (4)	11.69	11.69	- (4)
Total capital		14.85	15.13	15.41	(2)	(4)	14.85	15.41	(4)
Tier 1 leverage (5)		9.25	9.35	9.43	(1)	(2)	9.25	9.43	(2)
Tier 1 common equity (6)		10.08	9.98	9.15	1	10	10.08	9.15	10
Common shares outstanding		5,275.7	5,301.5	5,278.2	-	-	5,275.7	5,278.2	-
Book value per common share	\$	26.06	25.45	23.84	2	9	26.06	23.84	9
Common stock price:									
High		34.59	34.59	32.63	-	6	34.59	34.25	1
Low		29.80	27.94	25.26	7	18	27.94	25.26	11

Period end	33.44	34.14	28.06	(2)	19	33.44	28.06	19
Team members (active, full-time equivalent)	264,400	264,900	266,600	_	(1)	264,400	266,600	(1)

- (1) The efficiency ratio is noninterest expense divided by total revenue (net interest income and noninterest income).
- (2) Pre-tax pre-provision profit (PTPP) is total revenue less noninterest expense. Management believes that PTPP is a useful financial measure because it enables investors and others to assess the Company s ability to generate capital to cover credit losses through a credit cycle.
- (3) Core deposits are noninterest-bearing deposits, interest-bearing checking, savings certificates, certain market rate and other savings, and certain foreign deposits (Eurodollar sweep balances).
- (4) Retail core deposits are total core deposits excluding Wholesale Banking core deposits and retail mortgage escrow deposits.
- (5) See Note 20 (Regulatory and Agency Capital Requirements) to Financial Statements in this Report for additional information.
- (6) See the Capital Management section in this Report for additional information.

2

This Quarterly Report, including the Financial Review and the Financial Statements and related Notes, contains forward-looking statements, which may include forecasts of our financial results and condition, expectations for our operations and business, and our assumptions for those forecasts and expectations. Do not unduly rely on forward-looking statements. Actual results may differ materially from our forward-looking statements due to several factors. Factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from our forward-looking statements are described in this Report, including in the Forward-Looking Statements section, and the Risk Factors and Regulation and Supervision sections of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (2011 Form 10-K).

When we refer to Wells Fargo, the Company, we, our or us in this Report, we mean Wells Fargo & Company and Subsidiaries (consolidated). When we refer to the Parent, we mean Wells Fargo & Company. When we refer to legacy Wells Fargo, we mean Wells Fargo excluding Wachovia Corporation (Wachovia). See the Glossary of Acronyms at the end of this Report for terms used throughout this Report.

Financial Review

Overview

Wells Fargo & Company is a diversified financial services company with \$1.3 trillion in assets. Founded in 1852 and headquartered in San Francisco, we provide banking, insurance, trust and investments, mortgage banking, investment banking, retail banking, brokerage services and consumer and commercial finance through more than 9,000 stores, 12,000 ATMs, the internet and other distribution channels to individuals, businesses and institutions across North America and internationally. With approximately 265,000 active, full-time equivalent team members, we serve one in three households in America and ranked No. 26 on *Fortune s* 2012 rankings of America s largest corporations. We ranked fourth in assets and first in the market value of our common stock among all U.S. banks at June 30, 2012.

Our vision is to satisfy all our customers financial needs, help them succeed financially, be recognized as the premier financial services company in our markets and be one of America's great companies. Our primary strategy to achieve this vision is to increase the number of our products our customers utilize and to offer them all of the financial products that fulfill their needs. Our cross-sell strategy, diversified business model and the breadth of our geographic reach facilitate growth in both strong and weak economic cycles, as we can grow by expanding the number of products our current customers have with us, gain new customers in our extended markets, and increase market share in many businesses. Our retail bank household cross-sell increased each quarter during 2011, as well as in first quarter 2012, and in May 2012 we achieved a milestone of 6.00 products per household, up from 5.82 in May 2011. We believe there is more opportunity for cross-sell as we continue to earn more business from our customers. Our goal is eight products per customer, which is approximately half of our estimate of potential demand for an average U.S. household. At May 31, 2012, one of every four of our retail banking households had eight or more products.

Our pursuit of growth and earnings performance is influenced by our belief that it is important to maintain a well controlled operating environment. We manage our credit risk by establishing what we believe are sound credit policies for underwriting new business, while monitoring and reviewing the

performance of our loan portfolio. We manage the interest rate and market risks inherent in our asset and liability balances within established ranges, while ensuring adequate liquidity and funding. We maintain strong capital levels to facilitate future growth.

Expense management is also important to us, but our efforts are not intended to adversely affect revenue. Our current company-wide expense management initiative, which we publicly announced with our second quarter 2011 results, is focused on removing unnecessary complexity and eliminating duplication as a way to improve our customers experience and the work process of our team members. Our expenses, however, are driven in part by our revenue opportunities. Given the continued momentum in revenue opportunities in second quarter 2012, including a record number of mortgage applications, and our continued reinvestment in our businesses, we currently expect fourth quarter 2012 noninterest expense to be higher than our previously announced target of \$11.25 billion. Reflecting these higher revenue opportunities, we believe our efficiency ratio, which measures our noninterest expense as a percentage of total revenue, is a better measure of our expense management than specific dollar estimates. We have targeted an efficiency ratio of 55 to 59%, and our efficiency ratio of 58.2% in second quarter 2012 was within this target range. For the remainder of 2012, we expect noninterest expense to decline from second quarter 2012 levels and that we will operate within our targeted efficiency ratio range.

Financial Performance

We reported strong financial results in second quarter 2012 driven by a \$903 million increase in total revenues and \$78 million decrease in noninterest expenses as compared to second quarter 2011. Wells Fargo net income was \$4.6 billion and diluted earnings per common share were \$0.82 in second quarter 2012, each up 17% from the prior year. Second quarter 2012 was our tenth consecutive quarter of earnings per share growth. Total revenue was \$21.3 billion in second quarter 2012, up 4% from the prior year. Credit quality trends continued to show improvement in second quarter 2012, with reductions in net losses, nonperforming assets, nonaccrual loans, and loans 90

3

days or more past due and still accruing. Our return on assets of 1.41% was up 14 basis points from the prior year and our return on equity of 12.86% was up 94 basis points.

Our net income growth from second quarter 2011 was primarily driven by higher noninterest income as well as higher net interest income and lower noninterest expenses.

The 4% year-over-year increase in second quarter 2012 revenue predominantly reflects increased mortgage banking net gains on mortgage loan origination/sales activities due to higher margins and the continued low interest rate environment which contributed to higher loan applications. Net gains on mortgage loan originations/sales activities were negatively affected in second quarter 2012 by a provision of \$669 million for mortgage loan repurchases losses, compared with a provision of \$242 million in second quarter 2011. As a result of increased mortgage loan applications our unclosed mortgage loan pipeline at June 30, 2012, was \$102 billion, the second largest in our history.

Noninterest expense of \$12.4 billion in second quarter 2012 was down from \$12.5 billion in second quarter 2011. Our efficiency ratio of 58.2% in second quarter 2012 improved by 300 basis points from a year ago and was at the lowest level in nine quarters. Second quarter 2012 noninterest expense included \$524 million of operating losses, up from \$428 million for the prior year, predominantly due to additional litigation accruals.

Our balance sheet continued to strengthen in second quarter 2012 with core loan growth and growth in average core deposits. Our non-strategic/liquidating loan portfolios decreased \$5.1 billion during the quarter and, excluding the planned runoff of these loans, our core loan portfolios increased \$13.8 billion. Included in our core loan growth was \$6.9 billion of commercial loans acquired during the quarter in connection with the acquisition of WestLB s subscription finance loan portfolio and BNP Paribas s North American energy lending business. Our securities portfolios decreased \$3.4 billion during second quarter 2012 as new investments were more than offset by the call of lower-yielding securities and portfolio run-off. Our average core deposits were up \$10.1 billion from first quarter 2012 and up \$73.2 billion, or 9%, from a year ago. We have grown deposits while reducing our deposit costs for seven consecutive quarters. Our costs on average deposits in second quarter 2012 were 19 basis points, down 9 basis points from the same quarter a year ago. Our average core deposits were 115% of average loans in second quarter 2012, up from 107% for the second quarter last year.

Credit Quality

Our key credit quality indicators continued to improve during second quarter 2012. Net charge-offs of \$2.2 billion were 1.15% (annualized) of average loans, down 37 basis points from 1.52% a year ago, our lowest charge-off rate since 2007. Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing (excluding government insured/guaranteed loans) decreased to \$1.4 billion from \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2011. Nonperforming assets decreased by \$1.1 billion to \$24.9 billion at June 30, 2012, from \$26.0 billion at December 31, 2011. The year to date decrease is inclusive of the offsetting impact of our \$1.7 billion reclassification of real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgages to nonaccrual status in

first quarter 2012 in accordance with junior lien mortgage industry guidance issued by bank regulators during that quarter. The improvement in our credit portfolio was due in part to the continued decline in balances in our non-strategic/liquidating loan portfolios, which decreased \$5.1 billion during the quarter, and \$87.7 billion in total since the beginning of 2009, to \$103.1 billion at June 30, 2012.

With the continued credit performance improvement in our loan portfolios, our \$1.8 billion provision for credit losses in second quarter 2012 was \$38 million less than a year ago. The provision included a release of \$400 million from the allowance for credit losses (the amount by which net charge-offs exceeded the provision), compared with a release of \$1.0 billion a year ago. Absent significant deterioration in the economy, we expect continued but more modest improvement in credit performance for the remainder of the year, and we continue to expect future allowance releases in 2012.

Capital

Our capital position continued to grow in second quarter 2012, as total equity increased by \$2.6 billion from the prior quarter to \$149.4 billion and our Tier 1 common equity ratio grew 10 basis points during the quarter to 10.08% of risk-weighted assets under Basel I.

In June 2012, the three federal banking agencies, including the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB), jointly published notices of proposed rulemaking, which would substantially amend the risk-based capital rules for banks. The proposed capital rules are intended to implement in the U.S. the Basel III regulatory capital reforms, comply with changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act, and replace the existing Basel I-based capital requirements. Based on our current interpretation of the proposed Basel III capital rules contained in the notices of proposed rulemaking, we estimate that our Tier 1 common equity ratio was 7.78% at June 30, 2012.

Our other regulatory capital ratios remained strong with a small decrease in the Tier 1 capital ratio to 11.69% and Tier 1 leverage ratio to 9.25% at June 30, 2012, compared with 11.78% and 9.35%, respectively, at March 31, 2012. See the Capital Management section in this Report for more information regarding our capital, including Tier 1 common equity.

In second quarter 2012 we repurchased approximately 53 million shares of common stock and entered into a forward repurchase contract to repurchase an estimated 11 million shares expected to settle in third quarter 2012. We also paid quarterly common stock dividends of \$0.22 per share, and redeemed \$2.7 billion of trust preferred securities with an average coupon of 6.33%.

4

Earnings Performance

Wells Fargo net income for second quarter 2012 was \$4.6 billion (\$0.82 diluted earnings per common share) compared with \$3.9 billion (\$0.70 diluted earnings per common share) for second quarter 2011. Net income for the first half of 2012 was \$8.9 billion compared with \$7.7 billion for the same period a year ago. Our June 30, 2012, quarterly and six month earnings reflected strong execution of our business strategy and growth throughout many of our businesses. The key drivers of our financial performance in second quarter 2012 were continued improved credit quality, strong mortgage banking results, diversified sources of fee income, balanced net interest and fee income, and a diversified loan portfolio.

Revenue, the sum of net interest income and noninterest income, was \$21.3 billion in second quarter 2012, compared with \$20.4 billion in second quarter 2011. Revenue for the first half of 2012 was \$42.9 billion, up 5% from a year ago. The increase in revenue for the second quarter and first half of 2012 was due to growth in noninterest income, predominantly from mortgage banking, as well as modest growth in net interest income. Mortgage banking revenue in second quarter 2012 increased 79% from a year ago with strong originations and margins reflecting some stabilization in the housing market and the low interest rate environment. Mortgage originations were \$131 billion in second quarter 2012, more than double what they were a year ago. The unclosed mortgage pipeline at June 30, 2012, was very strong at \$102 billion, up 100% from second quarter 2011. In addition to mortgage banking, businesses generating double-digit year-over-year revenue growth for second quarter 2012 included capital markets, commercial banking, commercial real estate, corporate trust, asset backed finance, merchant services, government and institutional banking, global remittance services and business payroll services. Net interest income was \$11.0 billion in second quarter 2012, representing 52% of revenue, compared with \$10.7 billion (52%) in second quarter 2011. Continued success in generating low-cost deposits enabled us to grow assets by funding loans and securities growth while reducing higher cost long-term debt.

Noninterest income was \$10.3 billion in second quarter 2012, representing 48% of revenue, compared with \$9.7 billion (48%) in second quarter 2011. Noninterest income was \$21.0 billion for the first half of 2012 compared with \$19.4 billion for the same period a year ago. The increase in noninterest income for the second quarter and first half of 2012 was driven by increases in net gains on mortgage loan origination/sales activities as well as service charges on deposit accounts.

Noninterest expense was \$12.4 billion in second quarter 2012, compared with \$12.5 billion in second quarter 2011. Noninterest expense was \$25.4 billion for the first half of 2012 compared with \$25.2 billion for the same period a year ago. The decrease in noninterest expense in second quarter 2012 from second quarter 2011 was predominantly due to lower merger-related integration expense, offset by higher revenue-based commissions and incentive compensation. Our efficiency ratio was 58.2% in second quarter 2012 compared with 61.2% in second quarter 2011, reflecting our expense management efforts and revenue growth.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the interest earned on debt securities, loans (including yield-related loan fees) and other interest-earning assets minus the interest paid for deposits, short-term borrowings and long-term debt. The net interest margin is the average yield on earning assets minus the average interest rate paid for deposits and our other sources of funding. Net interest income and the net interest margin are presented on a taxable-equivalent basis in Table 1 to consistently reflect income from taxable and tax-exempt loans and securities based on a 35% federal statutory tax rate.

Net interest income and the net interest margin are significantly influenced by the mix and overall size of our earning asset portfolio and the cost of funding those assets. In addition, some sources of interest income, such as loan prepayment fees and collection of interest on nonaccrual loans, can vary from period to period. Net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis was \$11.2 billion and \$22.3 billion in the second quarter and first half of 2012, compared with \$10.9 billion and \$21.7 billion for the same periods a year ago. The net interest margin was 3.91% for both the second quarter and first half of 2012, down from 4.01% and 4.03% for the same periods a year ago. The increase in net interest income was largely driven by loan growth, redeployment of short-term investments into available-for-sale securities, disciplined deposit pricing, debt maturities and redemptions of higher yielding trust preferred securities, which offset the impact of higher yielding loan and investment runoff. Continued runoff of higher yielding assets was the primary driver of the decline in net interest margin in second quarter 2012 compared with second quarter 2011. Pressure on our second quarter 2012 net interest margin was in part offset by variable sources of interest income, including resolution of PCI loans. We expect continued pressure on our net interest margin as the balance sheet reprices in the current low interest rate environment.

Average earning assets increased \$66.4 billion and \$63.1 billion in the second quarter and first half of 2012 from a year ago, as average securities available for sale increased \$54.3 billion and \$56.6 billion, and average mortgages held for sale increased \$18.9 billion and \$13.5 billion for the same periods, respectively. In addition, solid commercial loan demand offset the impact of liquidating certain loan portfolios, resulting in \$17.0 billion and \$15.7 billion higher average loans in the second quarter and first half of 2012 compared with a year ago. These increases in average securities available for sale, mortgages held for sale and average loans were predominantly offset by a \$27.3 billion and \$27.4 billion decline in average short-term investments from the second quarter and first half of 2011.

Core deposits are an important low-cost source of funding and affect both net interest income and the net interest margin. Core deposits include noninterest-bearing deposits, interest-bearing checking, savings certificates, market rate and other savings, and certain foreign deposits (Eurodollar sweep balances). Average core deposits rose to \$880.6 billion in second quarter 2012 (\$875.6 billion in the first half of 2012) compared with \$807.5 billion in second quarter 2011 (\$802.2 billion in the first half of 2011) and funded 115% of average loans in second

5

quarter 2012 (114% in the first half of 2012) compared with 107% a year ago (107% for the first half of 2011). Average core deposits increased to 76% and 77% of average earning assets in second quarter and first half of 2012, respectively, compared with 74% for each respective period a year ago. The cost of these deposits has continued to decline due to a sustained low interest rate environment and a shift in our deposit mix from higher cost certificates of deposit to lower yielding checking and savings products. About 93% of our average core deposits are in checking and savings deposits, one of the highest industry percentages.

6

Earnings Performance (continued)

Table 1: Average Balances, Yields and Rates Paid (Taxable-Equivalent Basis) (1)(2)

			2012 Interest		Quarte	r ende	ed June 30, 2011 Interest
	Average	Yields/	income/	Average	Yields/		income/
(in millions)	balance	rates	expense	balance	rates		expense
Earning assets							
Federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale							
agreements and other short-term investments	\$ 71,250	0.47 %	\$ 83	98,519	0.32 %	\$	80
Trading assets	42,614	3.27	348	38,015	3.71		352
Securities available for sale (3):							
Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	1,954	1.60	8	2,058	2.33		12
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions	34,560	4.39	379	22,536	5.35		302
Mortgage-backed securities:							
Federal agencies	95,031	3.37	800	70,891	4.76		844
Residential and commercial	33,870	6.97	591	29,981	8.86		664
Total mortgage-backed securities	128,901	4.32	1,391	100,872	5.98		1,508
Other debt and equity securities	48,915	4.39	535	34,580	5.81		502
oner debt and equity securities	40,713	7.57	333	54,500	5.01		302
Total securities available for sale	214,330	4.32	2,313	160,046	5.81		2,324
Mortgages held for sale (4)	49,528	3.86	477	30,674	4.73		362
Loans held for sale (4)	833	5.48	12	1,356	5.05		17
Loans:							
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	171,776	4.21	1,801	153,630	4.60		1,761
Real estate mortgage	105,509	4.60	1,208	101,437	4.16		1,051
Real estate construction	17,943	4.96	221	21,987	4.64		254
Lease financing	12,890	6.86	221	12,899	7.72		249
Foreign	38,917	2.57	249	36,445	2.65		241
Total commercial	347,035	4.28	3,700	326,398	4.37		3,556
Consumer:							
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	230,065	4.62	2,658	224,873	4.97		2,792
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	82,076	4.30	878	91,934	4.25		975
Credit card	22,065	12.70	697	20,954	12.97		679
Other revolving credit and installment	86,982	6.09	1,317	87,094	6.32		1,372
Total consumer	421,188	5.29	5,550	424,855	5.48		5,818
Total loans (4)	768,223	4.83	9,250	751,253	5.00		9,374
Other	4,486	4.56	51	4,997	4.10		52
Total earning assets	\$ 1,151,264	4.37 %	\$ 12,534	1,084,860	4.64 %	\$	12,561

Funding sources

Deposits:							
Interest-bearing checking	\$	30,440	0.07 %	\$ 5	53,344	0.09 %	\$ 12
Market rate and other savings		500,327	0.12	152	455,126	0.20	226
Savings certificates		60,341	1.34	200	72,100	1.42	256
Other time deposits		12,803	1.83	59	12,988	2.03	67
Deposits in foreign offices		65,587	0.17	27	57,899	0.23	33
Total interest-bearing deposits		669,498	0.27	443	651,457	0.37	594
Short-term borrowings		51,698	0.19	24	53,340	0.18	24
Long-term debt		127,660	2.48	789	145,431	2.78	1,009
Other liabilities		10,408	2.48	65	10,978	3.03	83
		.,			.,		
Total interest-bearing liabilities		859,264	0.62	1,321	861,206	0.80	1,710
Portion of noninterest-bearing funding sources		292,000	-	-	223,654	-	-
Totalon of nonmerost bearing randing sources		2>2,000			223,031		
Total funding sources	\$	1,151,264	0.46	1,321	1,084,860	0.63	1,710
Total fulldling sources	φ	1,131,204	0.40	1,321	1,004,000	0.03	1,710
Net interest margin and net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis (5)			3.91 %	\$ 11,213		4.01 %	\$ 10,851
Noninterest-earning assets							
Cash and due from banks	\$	16,200			17,373		
Goodwill		25,332			24,773		
Other		128,788			123,939		
Total noninterest-earning assets	\$	170,320			166,085		
8	·				,		
Noninterest-bearing funding sources							
Deposits	\$	254,442			199,339		
Other liabilities	-	58,441			53,169		
Total equity		149,437			137,231		
Noninterest-bearing funding sources used to fund		.,.			, .		
earning assets		(292,000)			(223,654)		
Net noninterest-bearing funding sources	\$	170,320			166,085		
The nominerest bearing randing sources	Ψ	170,020			100,000		
Total assets	\$	1,321,584			1,250,945		

7

⁽¹⁾ Our average prime rate was 3.25% for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and 3.25% for the first six months of both 2012 and 2011. The average three-month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) was 0.47% and 0.26% for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and 0.49% and 0.29%, respectively, for the first six months of 2012 and 2011.

⁽²⁾ Yield/rates and amounts include the effects of hedge and risk management activities associated with the respective asset and liability categories.

⁽³⁾ Yields and rates are based on interest income/expense amounts for the period, annualized based on the accrual basis for the respective accounts. The average balance amounts represent amortized cost for the periods presented.

⁽⁴⁾ Nonaccrual loans and related income are included in their respective loan categories.

⁽⁵⁾ Includes taxable-equivalent adjustments of \$176 million and \$173 million for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$346 million and \$334 million for the first six months of 2012 and 2011, respectively, primarily related to tax-exempt income on certain loans and securities. The federal statutory tax rate utilized was 35% for the periods presented.

		Average	Yields/		2012 Interest income/	Average	Six months Yields/	ende	d June 30, 2011 Interest income/
(in millions)		balance	rates		expense	balance	rates		expense
Earning assets									
Federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale									
agreements and other short-term investments	\$	63,635	0.49 %	\$	156	90,994	0.34 %	\$	152
Trading assets		43,190	3.39		731	37,711	3.76		708
Securities available for sale (3):									
Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies		3,875	1.13		22	1,804	2.56		23
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions		33,578	4.45		747	21,220	5.39		572
Mortgage-backed securities:									
Federal agencies		93,165	3.43		1,597	70,656	4.74		1,676
Residential and commercial		34,201	6.89		1,178	30,104	9.28		1,396
Total mortgage-backed securities		127,366	4.36		2,775	100,760	6.10		3,072
Other debt and equity securities		49,658	4.10		1,015	34,093	5.68		967
Total securities available for sale		214,477	4.26		4,559	157,877	5.87		4,634
Mortgages held for sale (4)		48,218	3.88		936	34,686	4.61		799
Loans held for sale (4)		790	5.29		21	1,167	4.98		29
Loans: Commercial:									
Commercial and industrial		169,279	4.20		3,534	151,849	4.62		3,484
Real estate mortgage		105,750	4.33		2,280	100.621	4.04		2,018
Real estate construction		18,337	4.87		444	23,128	4.44		509
Lease financing		13,009	7.89		513	12,959	7.78		504
Foreign		40,042	2.54		507	35,050	2.73		476
Total commercial		346,417	4.22		7,278	323,607	4.35		6,991
Consumer:									
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage		229,859	4.66		5,346	227,208	4.99		5,659
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage		83,397	4.28		1,778	93,313	4.30		1,993
Credit card		22,097	12.81		1,408	21,230	13.08		1,388
Other revolving credit and installment		86,633	6.14		2,646	87,299	6.34		2,743
Total consumer		421,986	5.31		11,178	429,050	5.51		11,783
Total loans (4)		768,403	4.82		18,456	752,657	5.01		18,774
Other		4,545	4.49		103	5,111	4.00		102
Total earning assets	\$	1,143,258	4.38 %	\$	24,962	1,080,203	4.69 %	\$	25,198
Funding sources									
Deposits:	Φ	21 200	0.06.07	ф	10	55,000	0.09 %	¢.	26
Interest-bearing checking Market rate and other savings	\$	31,299 498,177	0.06 % 0.12	\$	10 305	55,909 449,388	0.09 %	\$	26 463
Savings certificates		61,515	1.35		413	73,229	1.41		511
Other time deposits		12,727	1.88		119	13,417	2.14		143
Deposits in foreign offices		65,217	0.16		53	57,687	0.23		66
		,							
Total interest-bearing deposits		668,935	0.27		900	649,630	0.38		1,209
Short-term borrowings		50,040	0.17		43	54,041	0.20		54
Long-term debt		127,599	2.54		1,619	147,774	2.86		2,113
Other liabilities		10,105	2.55		129	10,230	3.13		159
Total interest-bearing liabilities		856,679	0.63		2,691	861,675	0.82		3,535
Portion of noninterest-bearing funding sources		286,579	-		-	218,528	-		-

Total funding sources	\$	1,143,258	0.47	2,691	1,080,203	0.66	3,535
Net interest margin and net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis (5)			3.91 %	\$ 22,271		4.03 %	\$ 21,663
Noninterest-earning assets							
Cash and due from banks	\$	16,587			17,367		
Goodwill		25,230			24,774		
Other		127,177			123,744		
Total noninterest-earning assets	\$	168,994			165,885		
No. 1 do no. 4 los 1 do G. 11 do no.							
Noninterest-bearing funding sources Deposits	\$	250,528			196,237		
Other liabilities	Ф	57,821			54,237		
Total equity		147,224			133,939		
Noninterest-bearing funding sources used to fund		147,224			133,737		
earning assets		(286,579)			(218,528)		
-							
Net noninterest-bearing funding sources	\$	168,994			165,885		
Total assets	\$	1,312,252			1,246,088		

Earnings Performance (continued)

Noninterest Income

Table 2: Noninterest Income

	Quarter ended	June 30,	%	Six	months ende	d June 30,	%
(in millions)	2012	2011	Change		2012	2011	Change
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 1,139	1,074	6%	\$	2,223	2,086	7%
Trust and investment fees:							
Trust, investment and IRA fees	1,041	1,020	2		2,065	2,080	(1)
Commissions and all other fees	1,857	1,924	(3)		3,672	3,780	(3)
Total trust and investment fees	2,898	2,944	(2)		5,737	5,860	(2)
Card fees	704	1,003	(30)		1,358	1,960	(31)
Other fees:							
Cash network fees	120	94	28		238	175	36
Charges and fees on loans	427	404	6		872	801	9
Processing and all other fees	587	525	12		1,119	1,036	8
Total other fees	1,134	1,023	11		2,229	2,012	11
Mortgage banking:							
Servicing income, net	679	877	(23)		931	1,743	(47)
Net gains on mortgage loan origination/sales activities	2,214	742	198		4,832	1,892	155
Total mortgage banking	2,893	1,619	79		5,763	3,635	59
Insurance	522	568	(8)		1,041	1,071	(3)
Net gains from trading activities	263	414	(36)		903	1,026	(12)
Net losses on debt securities available for sale	(61)	(128)	(52)		(68)	(294)	(77)
Net gains from equity investments	242	724	(67)		606	1,077	(44)
Operating leases	120	103	17		179	180	(1)
All other	398	364	9		1,029	773	33
Total	\$ 10,252	9,708	6	\$	21,000	19,386	8

Noninterest income was \$10.3 billion and \$9.7 billion for second quarter 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$21.0 billion and \$19.4 billion for the first half of 2012 and 2011, respectively. Noninterest income represented 48% of revenue for the quarter and 49% for the first half of 2012. The increase in total noninterest income in the second quarter and first half of 2012 from the same periods a year ago was due predominantly to higher net gains on mortgage loan origination/sales activities.

Our service charges on deposit accounts increased 6% in the second quarter and 7% in the first half of 2012 from the same periods a year ago. This increase was predominantly due to product and account changes including changes to service charges and fewer fee waivers, continued customer adoption of overdraft services and customer account growth.

We earn trust, investment and IRA (Individual Retirement Account) fees from managing and administering assets, including mutual funds, corporate trust, personal trust, employee benefit trust and agency assets. At June 30, 2012, these assets totaled \$2.2 trillion, up 1% from a year ago. Trust, investment and IRA fees are largely based on a tiered scale relative to the market value of the assets under management or administration. These fees were \$1.0 billion and \$2.1 billion in the second quarter and first half of 2012, respectively, essentially flat from a year ago for both periods.

We receive commissions and other fees for providing services to full-service and discount brokerage customers as well as from investment banking activities including equity and bond underwriting. These fees were \$1.9 billion and \$3.7 billion in the second quarter and first half of 2012, respectively, down 3%

from a year ago for both periods. Commissions and other fees include transactional commissions, which are based on the number of transactions executed at the customer s direction, and asset-based fees, which are based on the market value of the customer s assets. Brokerage client assets totaled \$1.2 trillion at June 30, 2012, a 2% decrease from a year ago.

Card fees decreased to \$704 million in second quarter 2012, from \$1.0 billion in second quarter 2011. For the first six months of 2012, these fees decreased to \$1.4 billion from \$2.0 billion a year ago. Card fees decreased because of lower debit card interchange rates resulting from the final FRB rules implementing the Durbin Amendment to the Dodd-Frank Act, which became effective in fourth quarter 2011 and placed limits on debit card interchange rates. The reduction in debit card interchange rates was partially offset by growth in purchase volume and new accounts.

Mortgage banking noninterest income, consisting of net servicing income and net gains on loan origination/sales activities, totaled \$2.9 billion in second quarter 2012, compared with \$1.6 billion a year ago and totaled \$5.8 billion for the first half of 2012 compared with \$3.6 billion for the same period a year ago. The increase year over year in mortgage banking noninterest income was predominantly driven by an increase in net gains on mortgage loan origination/sales activities.

Net mortgage loan servicing income includes amortization of commercial mortgage servicing rights (MSRs), changes in the fair value of residential MSRs during the period as well as changes in the value of derivatives (economic hedges) used to hedge the residential MSRs. Net servicing income for second

9

quarter 2012 included a \$377 million net MSR valuation gain (\$1.63 billion decrease in the fair value of the MSRs offset by a \$2.01 billion hedge gain) and for second quarter 2011 included a \$374 million net MSR valuation gain (\$1.08 billion decrease in the fair value of MSRs offset by a \$1.45 billion hedge gain). For the first half of 2012, net servicing income included a \$319 million net MSR valuation gain (\$1.79 billion decrease in the fair value of MSRs offset by a \$2.11 billion hedge gain) and for the same period of 2011, included a \$753 million net MSR valuation gain (\$576 million decrease in the fair value of MSRs offset by a \$1.33 billion hedge gain). The \$434 million decline in net MSR valuation gain results for the first half of 2012 compared with the same period last year was primarily due to a reduction in the fair value of our residential MSRs to include a discount rate increase reflecting increased capital return requirements from market participants. The valuation of our MSRs at the end of second quarter 2012 and 2011 reflected our assessment of expected future amounts of servicing and foreclosure costs. Our portfolio of loans serviced for others was \$1.91 trillion at June 30, 2012, and \$1.85 trillion at December 31, 2011. At June 30, 2012, the ratio of MSRs to related loans serviced for others was 0.69%, compared with 0.76% at December 31, 2011. See the Risk Management Mortgage Banking Interest Rate and Market Risk section of this Report for additional information regarding our MSRs risks and hedging approach and the Risk Management Credit Risk Management Risks Relating to Servicing Activities section in this Report for information on the regulatory consent orders that we entered into relating to our mortgages servicing and foreclosure practices.

Income from mortgage loan origination/sale activities was \$2.2 billion and \$4.8 billion in the second quarter and first half of 2012, respectively, up from \$742 million and \$1.9 billion for the same periods a year ago. The year over year increases were driven by higher loan origination volume and margins. Residential real estate originations were \$131 billion in second quarter 2012 compared with \$64 billion a year ago and mortgage applications were \$208 billion in second quarter 2012 compared with \$109 billion a year ago. The 1-4 family first mortgage unclosed pipeline was \$102 billion at June 30, 2012, and \$51 billion a year ago. For additional information about our mortgage banking activities and results, see the Risk Management Mortgage Banking Interest Rate and Market Risk section and Note 8 (Mortgage Banking Activities) and Note 13 (Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities) to Financial Statements in this Report.

Net gains on mortgage loan origination/sales activities include the cost of any additions to the mortgage repurchase liability. Mortgage loans are repurchased from third parties based on standard representations and warranties, and early payment default clauses in mortgage sale contracts. Additions to the mortgage repurchase liability that were charged against net gains on mortgage loan origination/sales activities during second quarter 2012 totaled \$669 million (compared with \$242 million for second quarter 2011), of which \$597 million (\$222 million for second quarter 2011) was for subsequent increases in estimated losses on prior period loan sales. Additions to the mortgage repurchase liability for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011 were \$1.1 billion and \$491

million, respectively, of which \$965 million and \$436 million, respectively, were for subsequent increases in estimated losses on prior period loan sales. For additional information about mortgage loan repurchases, see the Risk Management Credit Risk Management Liability for Mortgage Loan Repurchase Losses section and Note 8 (Mortgage Banking Activities) to Financial Statements in this Report.

Net gains (losses) from trading activities, which reflect unrealized changes in fair value of our trading positions and realized gains and losses, were \$263 million and \$903 million in the second quarter and first half of 2012, respectively, compared with \$414 million and \$1.0 billion for the same periods a year ago. The year-over-year decrease for the second quarter and first half of 2012 was driven by lower gains on deferred compensation plan investments and economic hedging losses. Net gains (losses) from trading activities do not include interest income and other fees earned from related activities. Those amounts are reported within interest income from trading assets and other noninterest income, respectively, in the income statement. Net gains (losses) from trading activities are primarily from trading conducted on behalf of or driven by the needs of our customers (customer accommodation trading) and also include the results of certain economic hedging and proprietary trading activity. Proprietary trading had \$1 million of net losses in the second quarter and \$14 million of net gains in the first half of 2012, compared with net losses of \$23 million and \$9 million, respectively, for the same periods a year ago. Proprietary trading results also included interest and fees reported in their corresponding income statement line items. Proprietary trading activities are not significant to our client-focused business model. Our trading activities, customer accommodation, economic hedging and proprietary trading are further discussed in the Asset/Liability Management Market Risk Trading Activities section in this Report.

Net gains on debt and equity securities totaled \$181 million for second quarter 2012 and \$596 million for second quarter 2011 (\$538 million and \$783 million for the first half of 2012 and 2011, respectively), after other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) write-downs of \$120 million and \$205 million for second quarter 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$185 million and \$326 million for the first half of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

10

Earnings Performance (continued)

Noninterest Expense

Table 3: Noninterest Expense

						x months	
	Q١	uarter ended		%		l June 30,	%
(in millions)		2012	2011	Change	2012	2011	Change
Salaries	\$	3,705	3,584	3%	\$ 7,306	7,038	4%
Commission and incentive compensation		2,354	2,171	8	4,771	4,518	6
Employee benefits		1,049	1,164	(10)	2,657	2,556	4
Equipment		459	528	(13)	1,016	1,160	(12)
Net occupancy		698	749	(7)	1,402	1,501	(7)
Core deposit and other intangibles		418	464	(10)	837	947	(12)
FDIC and other deposit assessments		333	315	6	690	620	11
Outside professional services		658	659	-	1,252	1,239	1
Contract services		236	341	(31)	539	710	(24)
Foreclosed assets		289	305	(5)	593	713	(17)
Operating losses		524	428	22	1,001	900	11
Postage, stationery and supplies		195	236	(17)	411	471	(13)
Outside data processing		233	232	-	449	452	(1)
Travel and entertainment		218	205	6	420	411	2
Advertising and promotion		144	166	(13)	266	282	(6)
Telecommunications		127	132	(4)	251	266	(6)
Insurance		183	201	(9)	340	334	2
Operating leases		27	31	(13)	55	55	-
All other		547	564	(3)	1,134	1,035	10
Total	\$	12,397	12,475	(1)	\$ 25,390	25,208	1

Noninterest expense was \$12.4 billion in second quarter 2012, down 1% from \$12.5 billion a year ago, predominantly due to lower merger costs in 2012 with the completion of Wachovia merger integration activities in first quarter 2012 (\$484 million in second quarter 2011), partially offset by higher personnel expenses (\$7.1 billion, up from \$6.9 billion a year ago) and higher operating losses (\$524 million, up from \$428 million a year ago). For the first half of 2012, noninterest expense was up 1% from the same period a year ago.

Personnel expenses were up \$189 million or 3% in second quarter 2012 compared with the same quarter last year, largely due to higher revenues generated by businesses with revenue-based compensation, such as mortgage, and severance expense related to our expense initiative. Included in personnel expense was a \$115 million decline in employee benefits due primarily to lower deferred compensation expense which was offset in trading income. Personnel expenses were up \$622 million, or 4%, for the first half of 2012 compared with the same period in 2011, mostly due to higher revenue-based compensation, higher severance costs, and annual salary increases and related salary taxes.

Operating losses were up 22% in second quarter 2012 compared with the same quarter last year predominantly due to additional litigation accruals, including additional accruals for our settlement with the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) announced on July 12, 2012, which resolved alleged claims related to our mortgage lending practices. See Risk Management Credit Risk Management Other Mortgage Matters and Note 11 (Legal Actions) to Financial Statements in this Report for additional information regarding matters related to the DOJ settlement.

The completion of Wachovia integration activities in first quarter 2012 significantly contributed to year-over-year reductions, for both the second quarter and first half of 2012, in equipment, occupancy, contract services, postage, stationery and supplies, and advertising and promotion expenses. We also have made significant progress on our expense management initiatives as evidenced by the year-over-year \$903 million increase in revenues and \$78 million decrease in noninterest expense. We have achieved a number of expense reduction accomplishments since fourth quarter 2010. For example, we reduced full-time equivalent (FTE) employees by 3% and net occupancy expense declined 7% as a result of significant reduction in real estate holdings. We lowered our third party expenditures through renegotiated contracts and optimization of procurement practices. We reduced organizational complexity, streamlining the number of our legal entities and satellite data centers, both by 13%.

We remain focused on continuing our expense management efforts. At the same time, our efforts are not intended to adversely affect revenue, and we have not foregone attractive revenue opportunities, such as recently completed business and loan portfolio acquisitions, in order to meet specific noninterest expense targets.

Income Tax Expense

Our effective tax rate was 33.9% in second quarter 2012, up from 33.6% in second quarter 2011. Our effective tax rate was 34.6% in the first half of 2012, up from 31.7% in the first half of 2011. The lower tax rate in 2011 reflects a tax benefit from the realization for tax purposes of a previously written down investment as well as tax benefits related to charitable donations of appreciated securities.

11

Operating Segment Results

We are organized for management reporting purposes into three operating segments: Community Banking; Wholesale Banking; and Wealth, Brokerage and Retirement. These segments are defined by product type and customer segment and their results are based on our management accounting process, for which there is no comprehensive, authoritative financial accounting guidance equivalent to generally accepted accounting principles

(GAAP). In first quarter 2012, we modified internal funds transfer rates and the allocation of funding. The prior periods have been revised to reflect these changes. Table 4 and the following discussion present our results by operating segment. For a more complete description of our operating segments, including additional financial information and the underlying management accounting process, see Note 18 (Operating Segments) to Financial Statements in this Report.

Table 4: Operating Segment Results Highlights

(in billions) Ouarter ended June 30,	Community 2012	Banking 2011	Wholesale 2012	Banking 2011	Wealth, B and Re 2012	rokerage etirement 2011
Revenue	\$ 13.1	12.6	6.1	5.6	3.0	3.1
Net income	2.5	2.1	1.9	1.9	0.3	0.3
Average loans	483.9	497.0	270.2	242.9	42.5	43.5
Average core deposits	586.1	552.0	220.9	190.6	134.2	125.9
Six months ended June 30,						
Revenue	\$ 26.5	25.3	12.2	11.0	6.0	6.2
Net income	4.9	4.3	3.7	3.5	0.6	0.7
Average loans	485.0	502.7	269.4	238.8	42.5	43.1
Average core deposits	580.7	550.0	220.9	187.7	134.9	125.7

Community Banking offers a complete line of diversified financial products and services for consumers and small businesses including investment, insurance and trust services in 39 states and D.C., and mortgage and home equity loans in all 50 states and D.C. through its Regional Banking and Wells Fargo Home Mortgage business units.

Community Banking had net income of \$2.5 billion, up \$415 million, or 20%, from second quarter 2011, and \$4.9 billion for the first half of 2012, up \$583 million, or 14%, compared with the same period a year ago. Revenue of \$13.1 billion increased \$487 million, or 4%, from second quarter 2011 and was \$26.5 billion for the first half of 2012, an increase of \$1.3 billion, or 5%, compared with the same period a year ago. Revenue increased in both periods as a result of higher volume-related mortgage banking income and deposit growth, with the increase partially offset by outsized equity gains in the prior year second quarter, planned runoff of non-strategic loan balances and lower debit card revenue due to regulatory changes enacted in October 2011. Noninterest income increased \$1.6 billion, or 15%, for the first half of 2012 compared with the same period a year ago, mostly due to higher volume-related mortgage banking income. Average core deposits increased \$34.1 billion, or 6%, from second quarter 2011 and \$30.7 billion, or 6%, from the first half of 2011. The number of consumer checking accounts grew 1.0% from May 2011 to May 2012. Noninterest expense in second quarter and for the first half of 2012 increased 2%, primarily from higher mortgage volume-related expenses and increased severance expense associated with our efficiency and cost save initiatives. The provision for credit losses decreased \$343 million from second quarter 2011 and \$526 million from the first half of 2011 due to a decrease in net charge-offs, offset in part by a lower allowance release. We released \$725 million of allowance

in the first half of 2012, compared with \$1.6 billion released in the same period a year ago.

Wholesale Banking provides financial solutions to businesses across the United States and globally with annual sales generally in excess of \$20 million. Products and business segments include Middle Market Commercial Banking, Government and Institutional Banking, Corporate Banking, Commercial Real Estate, Treasury Management, Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Insurance, International, Real Estate Capital Markets, Commercial Mortgage Servicing, Corporate Trust, Equipment Finance, Wells Fargo Securities, Principal Investments, Asset Backed Finance, and Asset Management.

Wholesale Banking had net income of \$1.9 billion in second quarter 2012, down \$32 million, or 2%, from second quarter 2011. Net income increased to \$3.7 billion for the first half of 2012 from \$3.5 billion a year ago. Results for the first six months of 2012 benefited from strong revenue growth partially offset by increased noninterest expense and a higher provision for loan losses. Revenue in second quarter 2012 increased \$522 million, or 9%, from second quarter 2011 and revenue in the first half of 2012 increased \$1.1 billion, or 10%, from the first half of 2011 driven by broad-based business growth, primarily from acquisitions and strong loan and deposit growth. Average loans of \$270.2 billion in second quarter 2012 increased 11% from second quarter 2011 driven by acquisitions and strong borrowing demand across all customer segments, with most lending areas experiencing double-digit rates of growth in loans outstanding, including in asset backed finance, capital finance, commercial banking, commercial real estate, corporate banking, international, and real estate capital markets. Average core deposits of \$220.9 billion in second quarter 2012 increased 16% from second quarter 2011, reflecting continued strong customer

12

Earnings Performance (continued)

liquidity. Noninterest expense in second quarter and for the first half of 2012 increased 13% and 11%, respectively, from the comparable periods last year, because of higher personnel expenses and higher operating losses. Despite an improvement of \$40 million in net charge-offs, the provision for credit losses rose \$285 million from second quarter 2011. The provision included a \$25 million loan loss allowance build, compared with a \$300 million loan loss allowance release a year ago.

Wealth, Brokerage and Retirement provides a full range of financial advisory services to clients using a planning approach to meet each client s needs. Wealth Management provides affluent and high net worth clients with a complete range of wealth management solutions, including financial planning, private banking, credit, investment management and trust. Abbot Downing (formerly branded as Lowry Hill and Wells Fargo Family Wealth) meets the unique needs of ultra high net worth clients. Brokerage serves customers—advisory, brokerage and financial needs as part of one of the largest full-service brokerage firms in the United States. Retirement is a national leader in providing institutional retirement and trust services (including 401(k) and pension plan record keeping) for businesses, retail retirement solutions for individuals, and reinsurance services for the life insurance industry.

Wealth, Brokerage and Retirement had net income of \$343 million in second quarter 2012, up \$6 million, or 2%, from second quarter 2011. Net income for the first half of 2012 was \$639 million, down \$41 million, or 6%, compared with the same period a year ago. The prior year results include the H.D. Vest Financial Services business that we divested in fourth quarter 2011. Revenue was down 4% from second quarter 2011, due to lower brokerage transaction revenue, reduced securities gains in the brokerage business and market impact on deferred compensation plan investments (offset in noninterest expense), partially offset by growth in managed account fee revenue. Revenue was down 3% from the first six months of 2011 due to lower brokerage transaction revenue and reduced securities gains in the brokerage business, partially offset by growth in managed account fee revenue. Total provision for credit losses decreased \$25 million from second quarter 2011 and \$22 million compared with the first half of 2011. Noninterest expense was down 4% from second quarter 2011, driven by a decline in personnel costs largely due to decreased broker commissions from lower production levels, and lower deferred compensation plan expense. Noninterest expense was down 2% for the first half of 2012, driven by a decline in personnel costs largely due to decreased broker commissions from lower production levels.

Balance Sheet Analysis

At June 30, 2012, our total assets, core deposits and total loans were up from December 31, 2011. Core deposits totaled 114% of the loan portfolio at June 30, 2012, and we have the capacity to add higher yielding earning assets to generate future revenue and earnings growth. The strength of our business model produced record earnings and continued internal capital generation as reflected in our improved capital ratios. Tier 1 capital as a percentage of total risk-weighted assets increased to 11.69%, total capital increased to 14.85%, Tier 1 leverage increased to 9.25%, and Tier 1 common equity increased to

10.08% at June 30, 2012, up from 11.33%, 14.76%, 9.03%, and 9.46%, respectively, at December 31, 2011. For additional information about our capital, see Note 20 (Regulatory and Agency Capital Requirements) to Financial Statements in this Report.

The following discussion provides additional information about the major components of our balance sheet. Information regarding our capital and changes in our asset mix is included in the Earnings Performance Net Interest Income and Capital Management sections of this Report.

Securities Available for Sale

Table 5: Securities Available for Sale Summary

		Jui	ne 30, 2012	2 December 3			
	Net				Net		
		unrealized	Fair		unrealized	Fair	
(in millions)	Cost	gain	value	Cost	gain	value	
Debt securities available for sale	\$ 214,870	9,129	223,999	212,642	6,554	219,196	
Marketable equity securities	2,478	369	2,847	2,929	488	3,417	
Total securities available for sale	\$ 217,348	9,498	226,846	215,571	7,042	222,613	

Table 5 presents a summary of our securities available-for-sale portfolio, which consists of both debt and marketable equity securities. We hold debt securities available for sale primarily for liquidity, interest rate risk management and long-term yield enhancement. Accordingly, this portfolio consists primarily of liquid, high quality federal agency debt and privately issued mortgage-backed securities (MBS). The total net unrealized gains on securities available for sale were \$9.5 billion at June 30,

2012, up from net unrealized gains of \$7.0 billion at December 31, 2011, due to a decline in long-term yields and tightening of credit spreads.

We analyze securities for OTTI quarterly or more often if a potential loss-triggering event occurs. Of the \$185 million OTTI write-downs recognized in the first half of 2012, \$127 million related to debt securities. There was \$6 million in OTTI write-downs for marketable equity securities and \$52 million in OTTI

Table of Contents 26

13

Table of Contents

write-downs related to nonmarketable equity securities. For a discussion of our OTTI accounting policies and underlying considerations and analysis see Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Securities) in our 2011 Form 10-K and Note 4 (Securities Available for Sale) to Financial Statements in this Report.

At June 30, 2012, debt securities available for sale included \$37.3 billion of municipal bonds, of which 80% were rated A- or better based on external and, in some cases internal, ratings. Additionally, some of the securities in our total municipal bond portfolio are guaranteed against loss by bond insurers. These guaranteed bonds are predominantly investment grade and were generally underwritten in accordance with our own investment standards prior to the determination to purchase, without relying on the bond insurer s guarantee in making the investment decision. Our municipal bond holdings are monitored as part of our ongoing impairment analysis of our securities available for sale.

The weighted-average expected maturity of debt securities available for sale was 5.2 years at June 30, 2012. Because 61% of this portfolio is MBS, the expected remaining maturity may differ from contractual maturity because borrowers generally have the right to prepay obligations before the underlying mortgages mature. The estimated effect of a 200 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates on the fair value and the expected remaining maturity of the MBS available for sale are shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Mortgage-Backed Securities

			Expected
		Net	remaining
	Fair	unrealized	maturity
(in billions)	value	gain (loss)	(in years)
At June 30, 2012			
Actual	\$ 137.5	6.8	3.7
Assuming a 200 basis point:			
Increase in interest rates	127.6	(3.1)	5.5
Decrease in interest rates	140.6	9.9	3.0

See Note 4 (Securities Available for Sale) to Financial Statements in this Report for securities available for sale by security type.

14

Balance Sheet Analysis (continued)

Loan Portfolio

Total loans were \$775.2 billion at June 30, 2012, up \$5.6 billion from December 31, 2011. Table 7 provides a summary of total outstanding loans for our commercial and consumer loan portfolios. Excluding the runoff in the non-strategic/liquidating portfolios of \$9.2 billion, loans in the core portfolio grew \$14.8 billion in the first half of 2012. Included in our core loan growth was \$6.9 billion of commercial loans (\$5.4 billion commercial and industrial and \$1.5 billion foreign) acquired during second quarter 2012 in connection with the acquisition of BNP Paribas North American energy lending business and WestLB s subscription finance loan portfolio and \$858 million of commercial asset-based loans acquired with the acquisition of

Burdale Financial Holdings Limited (Burdale) and the portfolio of Burdale Capital Finance Inc. in first quarter 2012. Loan growth occurred across commercial and industrial, foreign, real estate 1-4 family first mortgage, consumer auto lending and private student lending. This growth was offset by a decline in commercial real estate and continued runoff in the home equity portfolio. Additional information on the non-strategic and liquidating loan portfolios is included in Table 11 in the Credit Risk Management section of this Report.

Table 7: Loan Portfolios Summary

		June 30, 2012			December 31, 201		
(in millions)	Core	Liquidating	Total	Core	Liquidating	Total	
Commercial	\$ 349,774	4,278	354,052	339,755	5,695	345,450	
Consumer	322,297	98,850	421,147	317,550	106,631	424,181	
Total loans	\$ 672,071	103,128	775,199	657,305	112,326	769,631	

A discussion of the impact on net interest income and a comparative detail of average loan balances is included in Earnings Performance Net Interest Income and Table 1 earlier in this Report. Additional information on total loans outstanding by portfolio segment and class of financing receivable is included in the Credit Risk Management section in this Report. Period-end balances and other loan related information are in Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in this Report.

Deposits

Deposits totaled \$928.9 billion at June 30, 2012, compared with \$920.1 billion at December 31, 2011. Table 8 provides additional information regarding deposits. Information regarding the impact of deposits on net interest income and a comparison of average deposit balances is provided in Earnings Performance Net Interest Income and Table 1 earlier in this Report. Total core deposits were \$882.1 billion at June 30, 2012, up \$9.5 billion from \$872.6 billion at December 31, 2011.

Table 8: Deposits

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO & COMPANY/MN - Form 10-Q

(in millions)	June 30, 2012	% of total deposits	De	ecember 31, 2011	% of total deposits	% Change
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 253,997	28 %	\$	243,961	26 %	4
Interest-bearing checking	29,574	3		37,027	4	(20)
Market rate and other savings	496,034	53		485,534	53	2
Savings certificates	59,184	6		63,617	7	(7)
Foreign deposits (1)	43,348	5		42,490	5	2
Core deposits	882,137	95		872,629	95	1
Other time and savings deposits	21,788	2		20,745	2	5
Other foreign deposits	25,008	3		26,696	3	(6)
Total deposits	\$ 928,933	100 %	\$	920,070	100 %	1

15

⁽¹⁾ Reflects Eurodollar sweep balances included in core deposits.

Fair Valuation of Financial Instruments

We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial instruments and to determine fair value disclosures. See our 2011 Form 10-K for a description of our critical accounting policy related to fair valuation of financial instruments.

We may use independent pricing services and brokers (collectively, pricing vendors) to obtain fair values (vendor prices) which are used to either record the price of an instrument or to corroborate internally developed prices. For certain securities, we may use internal traders to price instruments. Where vendor prices are utilized for recording the price of an instrument, we determine the most appropriate and relevant pricing vendor for each security class and obtain a price from that particular pricing vendor for each security.

Determination of the fair value of financial instruments using either vendor prices or internally developed prices are both subject to our internal price validation procedures, which include, but are not limited to, one or a combination of the following procedures:

comparison to pricing vendors (for internally developed prices) or to other pricing vendors (for vendor developed prices); variance analysis of prices;

corroboration of pricing by reference to other independent market data such as secondary broker quotes and relevant benchmark indices; review of pricing by Company personnel familiar with market liquidity and other market-related conditions; and investigation of prices on a specific instrument-by-instrument basis.

For instruments where we use vendor prices to record the price of an instrument, we perform additional procedures. We evaluate pricing vendors by comparing prices from one vendor to prices of other vendors for identical or similar instruments and evaluate the consistency of prices to known market

transactions when determining the level of reliance to be placed on a particular pricing vendor. Methodologies employed and inputs used by third party pricing vendors are subject to additional review when such services are provided. This review may consist of, in part, obtaining and evaluating control reports issued and pricing methodology materials distributed.

Table 9 presents the summary of the fair value of financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, and the amounts measured using significant Level 3 inputs (before derivative netting adjustments). The fair value of the remaining assets and liabilities were measured using valuation methodologies involving market-based or market-derived information (collectively Level 1 and 2 measurements).

Table 9: Fair Value Level 3 Summary

		June 30, 2012	De	ecember 31, 2011
	Total		Total	
(\$ in billions)	balance	Level 3 (1)	balance	Level 3 (1)
Assets carried at fair value	\$ 363.8	50.0	373.0	53.3
As a percentage of total assets	27 %	4	28	4
Liabilities carried at fair value	\$ 27.3	4.3	26.4	4.6
As a percentage of total liabilities	2 %	*	2	*

^{*} Less than 1%.

See Note 13 (Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities) to Financial Statements in this Report for additional information regarding our use of fair valuation of financial instruments, our related measurement techniques and the impact to our financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

⁽¹⁾ Before derivative netting adjustments.

In the ordinary course of business, we engage in financial transactions that are not recorded in the balance sheet, or may be recorded in the balance sheet in amounts that are different from the full contract or notional amount of the transaction. These transactions are designed to (1) meet the financial needs of customers, (2) manage our credit, market or liquidity risks, (3) diversify our funding sources, and/or (4) optimize capital.

Off-Balance Sheet Transactions with Unconsolidated Entities

We routinely enter into various types of on- and off-balance sheet transactions with special purpose entities (SPEs), which are corporations, trusts or partnerships that are established for a limited purpose. Historically, the majority of SPEs were formed in connection with securitization transactions. For more information on securitizations, including sales proceeds and cash flows from securitizations, see Note 7 (Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities) to Financial Statements in this Report.

16

Risk Management

All financial institutions must manage and control a variety of business risks that can significantly affect their financial performance. Key among those are credit, asset/liability and market risk.

For more information about how we manage these risks, see the Risk Management section in our 2011 Form 10-K. The discussion that follows provides an update regarding these risks.

Credit Risk Management

Loans represent the largest component of our balance sheet and their related credit risk is among the most significant risks we manage. We define credit risk as the risk of loss associated with a borrower or counterparty default (failure to meet obligations in accordance with agreed upon terms). Table 10 presents our total loans outstanding by portfolio segment and class of financing receivable.

Table 10: Total Loans Outstanding by Portfolio Segment and Class of Financing Receivable

(in millions)	June 30, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 177,646	167,216
Real estate mortgage	105,666	105,975
Real estate construction	17,594	19,382
Lease financing	12,729	13,117
Foreign (1)	40,417	39,760
Total commercial	354,052	345,450
Consumer:		
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	230,263	228,894
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	80,881	85,991
Credit card	22,706	22,836
Other revolving credit and installment	87,297	86,460
Total consumer	421,147	424,181
Total loans	\$ 775,199	769,631

17

⁽¹⁾ Substantially all of our foreign loan portfolio is commercial loans. Loans are classified as foreign if the borrower s primary address is outside of the United States.

Non-Strategic and Liquidating Loan Portfolios We continually evaluate and modify our credit policies to address appropriate levels of risk. We may designate certain portfolios and loan products as non-strategic or liquidating to cease their continued origination as we actively work to limit losses and reduce our exposures.

Table 11 identifies our non-strategic and liquidating loan portfolios. They consist primarily of the Pick-a-Pay mortgage portfolio and other PCI loans acquired from Wachovia, some portfolios from legacy Wells Fargo Home Equity and Wells Fargo Financial, and our education finance government guaranteed loan portfolio. The total of outstanding balances of

our non-strategic and liquidating loan portfolios has decreased 46% since the merger with Wachovia at December 31, 2008, and decreased 8% from the end of 2011.

The home equity portfolio of loans generated through third party channels was designated as liquidating in fourth quarter 2007. Additional information regarding this portfolio is included in the Credit Risk Management Home Equity Portfolios section of this Report.

Information about the liquidating PCI and Pick-a-Pay loan portfolios is provided in the discussion of loan portfolios that follows.

Table 11: Non-Strategic and Liquidating Loan Portfolios

			Outstanding bal		
	June 30,	Dec. 31,	Dec. 31,	Dec. 31,	Dec. 31,
(in millions)	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Commercial:					
Legacy Wachovia commercial and industrial, CRE and foreign PCI loans (1)	\$ 4,278	5,695	7,935	12,988	18,704
Total commercial	4,278	5,695	7,935	12,988	18,704
Consumer:					
Pick-a-Pay mortgage (1)	62,045	65,652	74,815	85,238	95,315
Liquidating home equity	5,199	5,710	6,904	8,429	10,309
Legacy Wells Fargo Financial indirect auto	1,454	2,455	6,002	11,253	18,221
Legacy Wells Fargo Financial debt consolidation	15,511	16,542	19,020	22,364	25,299
Education Finance - government guaranteed	13,823	15,376	17,510	21,150	20,465
Legacy Wachovia other PCI loans (1)	818	896	1,118	1,688	2,478
Total consumer	98,850	106,631	125,369	150,122	172,087
Total non-strategic and liquidating loan portfolios	\$ 103,128	112,326	133,304	163,110	190,791

PURCHASED CREDIT-IMPAIRED (PCI) LOANS Loans acquired with evidence of credit deterioration since their origination and where it is probable that we will not collect all contractually required principal and interest payments are accounted for using the measurement provisions for PCI loans. PCI loans are recorded at fair value at the date of acquisition, and the historical allowance for credit losses related to these loans is not carried over. Such loans are considered to be accruing due to the existence of the accretable yield and not based on consideration given to contractual interest payments. Substantially all of our PCI loans were acquired in the Wachovia acquisition on December 31, 2008. For additional information on PCI loans, see the Risk Management Credit Risk Management Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans section in our 2011 Form 10-K.

⁽¹⁾ Net of purchase accounting adjustments related to PCI loans.

During the first half of 2012, we recognized as income \$52 million released from the nonaccretable difference related to commercial PCI loans due to payoffs and other resolutions. We also transferred \$319 million from the nonaccretable difference to the accretable yield for PCI loans with improving credit-related cash flows and absorbed \$1.1 billion of losses in the nonaccretable difference from loan resolutions and write-downs. Table 12 provides an analysis of changes in the nonaccretable difference.

18

Risk Management (continued)

Table 12: Changes in Nonaccretable Difference for PCI Loans

			Other	
(in millions)	Commercial	Pick-a-Pay	consumer	Total
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 10,410	26,485	4,069	40,964
Addition of nonaccretable difference due to acquisitions	188	-	· -	188
Release of nonaccretable difference due to:				
Loans resolved by settlement with borrower (1)	(1,345)	-	-	(1,345)
Loans resolved by sales to third parties (2)	(299)	-	(85)	(384)
Reclassification to accretable yield for loans with improving credit-related cash flows (3)	(1,216)	(2,383)	(614)	(4,213)
Use of nonaccretable difference due to:				
Losses from loan resolutions and write-downs (4)	(6,809)	(14,976)	(2,718)	(24,503)
Balance, December 31, 2011	929	9,126	652	10,707
Addition of nonaccretable difference due to acquisitions	-	-	-	-
Release of nonaccretable difference due to:				
Loans resolved by settlement with borrower (1)	(52)	-	-	(52)
Loans resolved by sales to third parties (2)	-	-	-	-
Reclassification to accretable yield for loans with improving credit-related cash flows (3)	(147)	(45)	(127)	(319)
Use of nonaccretable difference due to:				
Losses from loan resolutions and write-downs (4)	(72)	(953)	(85)	(1,110)
Balance, June 30, 2012	\$ 658	8,128	440	9,226
Balance, March 31, 2012	\$ 748	8,621	506	9,875
Addition of nonaccretable difference due to acquisitions	-	-	-	-
Release of nonaccretable difference due to:				
Loans resolved by settlement with borrower (1)	(24)	-	-	(24)
Loans resolved by sales to third parties (2)	-	-	-	-
Reclassification to accretable yield for loans with improving credit-related cash flows (3)	(39)	(45)	-	(84)
Use of nonaccretable difference due to:				
Losses from loan resolutions and write-downs (4)	(27)	(448)	(66)	(541)
Balance, June 30, 2012	\$ 658	8,128	440	9,226

⁽¹⁾ Release of the nonaccretable difference for settlement with borrower, on individually accounted PCI loans, increases interest income in the period of settlement. Pick-a-Pay and Other consumer PCI loans do not reflect nonaccretable difference releases for settlements with borrowers due to pool accounting for those loans, which assumes that the amount received approximates the pool performance expectations.

⁽²⁾ Release of the nonaccretable difference as a result of sales to third parties increases noninterest income in the period of the sale.

⁽³⁾ Reclassification of nonaccretable difference to accretable yield for loans with increased cash flow estimates will result in increased interest income as a prospective yield adjustment over the remaining life of the loan or pool of loans.

⁽⁴⁾ Write-downs to net realizable value of PCI loans are absorbed by the nonaccretable difference when severe delinquency (normally 180 days) or other indications of severe borrower financial stress exist that indicate there will be a loss of contractually due amounts upon final resolution of the loan.

19

Since December 31, 2008, we have released \$6.3 billion in nonaccretable difference, including \$4.5 billion transferred from the nonaccretable difference to the accretable yield and \$1.8 billion released to income through loan resolutions. Also, we have provided \$1.8 billion for losses on certain PCI loans or pools of PCI loans that have had credit-related decreases to cash flows expected to be collected. The net result is a \$4.5 billion reduction from December 31, 2008, through June 30, 2012, in our initial projected losses on all PCI loans.

At June 30, 2012, the allowance for credit losses on certain PCI loans was \$212 million. The allowance is necessary to absorb credit-related decreases in cash flows expected to be collected and primarily relates to individual PCI loans. Table 13 analyzes the actual and projected loss results on PCI loans since acquisition through June 30, 2012.

For additional information on PCI loans, see Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in this Report.

Table 13: Actual and Projected Loss Results on PCI Loans

(in millions)	Com	mercial	Pick-a-Pay	Other consumer	Total
Release of nonaccretable difference due to:					
Loans resolved by settlement with borrower (1)	\$	1,397	-	-	1,397
Loans resolved by sales to third parties (2)		299	-	85	384
Reclassification to accretable yield for loans with improving credit-related cash flows (3)		1,363	2,428	741	4,532
Total releases of nonaccretable difference due to better than expected losses		3.059	2,428	826	6,313
Provision for losses due to credit deterioration (4)		(1,686)		(125)	(1,811)
Actual and projected losses on PCI loans less than originally expected	\$	1,373	2,428	701	4,502

- (1) Release of the nonaccretable difference for settlement with borrower, on individually accounted PCI loans, increases interest income in the period of settlement. Pick-a-Pay and Other consumer PCI loans do not reflect nonaccretable difference releases for settlements with borrowers due to pool accounting for those loans, which assumes that the amount received approximates the pool performance expectations.
- (2) Release of the nonaccretable difference as a result of sales to third parties increases noninterest income in the period of the sale.
- (3) Reclassification of nonaccretable difference to accretable yield for loans with increased cash flow estimates will result in increased interest income as a prospective yield adjustment over the remaining life of the loan or pool of loans.
- (4) Provision for additional losses is recorded as a charge to income when it is estimated that the cash flows expected to be collected for a PCI loan or pool of loans may not support full realization of the carrying value.

Significant Portfolio Reviews Measuring and monitoring our credit risk is an ongoing process that tracks delinquencies, collateral values, FICO scores, economic trends by geographic areas, loan-level risk grading for certain portfolios (typically commercial) and other indications of credit risk. Our credit risk monitoring process is designed to enable early identification of developing risk and to support our determination of an appropriate allowance for credit losses. The following discussion provides additional characteristics and analysis of our significant portfolios. See Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in this Report for more analysis and credit metric information.

COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL LOANS AND LEASE FINANCING For purposes of portfolio risk management, we aggregate commercial and industrial loans and lease financing according to market segmentation and standard industry codes. Table 14 summarizes commercial and industrial loans and lease financing by industry with the related nonaccrual totals. We generally subject commercial and industrial loans and lease financing to individual risk assessment using our internal borrower and collateral quality ratings. Our ratings are aligned to pass and criticized categories with our criticized categories aligned to special mention, substandard and doubtful categories as defined

by bank regulatory agencies.

Across our non-PCI commercial loans and leases, the commercial and industrial loans and lease financing portfolio generally experienced credit improvement in second quarter 2012. Of the total commercial and industrial loans and lease financing non-PCI portfolio, 0.02% was 90 days or more past due and still accruing at June 30, 2012, compared with 0.09% at

December 31, 2011, 0.84% (1.22% at December 31, 2011) was nonaccruing and 10.7% (12.5% at December 31, 2011) was criticized. The net charge-off rate for this portfolio declined to 0.54% in second quarter 2012 from 0.58% for first quarter 2012 and 0.70% for the full year of 2011.

A majority of our commercial and industrial loans and lease financing portfolio is secured by short-term liquid assets, such as accounts receivable, inventory and securities, as well as long-lived assets, such as equipment and other business assets. Generally, the collateral securing this portfolio represents a secondary source of repayment. See Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in this Report for additional credit metric information.

During second quarter 2012, we acquired \$6.9 billion of commercial loans in connection with our acquisition of BNP Paribas North American energy lending business and WestLB s subscription finance loan portfolio, which added an aggregate of \$5.4 billion to the commercial and industrial loan portfolio. In first quarter 2012, we also added \$858 million to this portfolio when we acquired commercial asset-based loans from the Bank of Ireland in the Burdale acquisition.

20

Risk Management (continued)

Table 14: Commercial and Industrial Loans and Lease Financing by Industry

		June	30, 2012
(in millions)	Nonaccrual loans	Outstanding balance (1)	% of total loans
PCI loans (1):			
Healthcare	\$ -	44	* %
Technology	-	39	*
Aerospace and defense	-	39	*
Steel and metal products	-	17	*
Real estate lessor	-	17	*
Home furnishings	-	16	*
Other	-	72 (2)	*
Total PCI loans	\$ -	244	* %
All other loans:			
Oil and gas	\$ 57	13,115	2 %
Investors	2	12,335	2
Cyclical retailers	31	11,038	1
Financial institutions	96	11,031	1
Food and beverage	46	10,348	1
Industrial equipment	19	9,133	1
Healthcare	55	8,890	1
Securities firms	34	7,681	*
Real estate lessor	35	6,884	*
Technology	21	6,852	*
Transportation	10	6,500	*
Business services	33	5,901	*
Other	1,153	80,423 (3)	10
Total all other loans	\$ 1,592	190,131	25 %
Total	\$ 1,592	190,375	25 %

^{*} Less than 1%.

⁽¹⁾ For PCI loans, amounts represent carrying value. PCI loans are considered to be accruing due to the existence of the accretable yield and not based on consideration given to contractual interest payments.

⁽²⁾ No other single category had loans in excess of \$13.4 million.

⁽³⁾ No other single category had loans in excess of \$4.4 billion.

COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE (CRE) The CRE portfolio, consisting of both CRE mortgage loans and CRE construction loans, totaled \$123.3 billion, or 16%, of total loans at June 30, 2012. CRE construction loans totaled \$17.6 billion at June 30, 2012, and CRE mortgage loans totaled \$105.7 billion at June 30, 2012. Table 15 summarizes CRE loans by state and property type with the related nonaccrual totals. CRE nonaccrual loans totaled 4% of the non-PCI CRE outstanding balance at June 30, 2012. The portfolio is diversified both geographically and by property type. The largest geographic concentrations of combined CRE loans are in California and Florida, which represented 25% and 9% of the total CRE portfolio, respectively. By property type, the largest concentrations are office buildings at 26% and industrial/warehouse at 11% of the portfolio. At June 30, 2012, we had \$20.5 billion of criticized non-PCI CRE mortgage loans, a decrease of 9% from December 31, 2011, and \$5.3 billion of criticized non-PCI CRE construction loans, a decrease of 22% from December 31, 2011. See Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in this Report for additional information on criticized loans.

At June 30, 2012, the recorded investment in PCI CRE loans totaled \$3.9 billion, down from \$12.3 billion when they were acquired at December 31, 2008, reflecting the reduction resulting from principal payments, loan resolutions and write-downs.

21

Table 15: CRE Loans by State and Property Type

						June 3	30, 2012
	Real	estate mortgage		Real estate construction Outstanding		Total	% of
		Outstanding		Outstanding		Outstanding	
	Nonaccrual		Nonaccrual	balance N	Jonaccrual		total
(in millions)	loans	balance (1)	loans	(1)	loans	balance (1)	loans
By state:							
PCI loans (1):							
New York	\$ -	509	_	164	_	673	*%
Florida	Ψ -	351	_	198	_	549	*
California	_	402	_	49	_	451	*
Texas	-	148	_	96	_	244	*
Pennsylvania	_	118	_	112	_	230	*
Other	-	1,094	-	677	-	1,771 (2)	*
Total PCI loans	\$ -	2,622	_	1,296	_	3,918	*%
Total I CI loans	Ψ -	2,022		1,270		3,710	70
All other loans:							
California	\$ 952	27,768	269	3,137	1,221	30,905	4 %
Florida	518	8,973	161	1,309	679	10,282	1
Texas	347	7,990	43	1,407	390	9,397	1
New York	34	5,665	4	966	38	6,631	*
North Carolina	266	4,144	154	1,006	420	5,150	*
Arizona	176	4,214	34	457	210	4,671	*
Virginia	88	2,965	33	1,213	121	4,178	*
Georgia	212	3,399	166	523	378	3,922	*
Washington	44	3,092	8	490	52	3,582	*
Colorado	81	2,905	19	393	100	3,298	*
Other	1,114	31,929	530	5,397	1,644	37,326 (3)	5
Total all other loans	\$ 3,832	103,044	1,421	16,298	5,253	119,342	15 %
Total	\$ 3,832	105,666	1,421	17,594	5,253	123,260	16 %
By property:							
PCI loans (1):	\$ -	066	_	102		1.000	*%
Office buildings	\$ -	966 582	-	123	-	1,089	*%
Apartments Retail (excluding shopping center)	-	388	-	184	-	766 410	*
1-4 family land		2		342	-	344	*
Shopping center	-	207	_	136	-	343	*
Other	-	477	-	489	-	966	*
Total PCI loans	\$ -	2,622	-	1,296	-	3,918	*%
All other loans:							
Office buildings	\$ 910	29,279	98	1,559	1,008	30,838	4 %

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO & COMPANY/MN - Form 10-Q

Industrial/warehouse	530	12,700	26	391	556	13,091	2
Apartments	238	9,955	63	1,953	301	11,908	2
Retail (excluding shopping center)	587	11,078	48	294	635	11,372	1
Real estate - other	364	10,158	41	309	405	10,467	1
Shopping center	289	9,408	79	772	368	10,180	1
Hotel/motel	223	8,069	24	621	247	8,690	1
Land (excluding 1-4 family)	6	97	426	6,710	432	6,807	*
Institutional	111	2,864	-	247	111	3,111	*
Agriculture	175	2,556	4	19	179	2,575	*
Other	399	6,880	612	3,423	1,011	10,303	1
Total all other loans	\$ 3,832	103,044	1,421	16,298	5,253	119,342	15 %
Total	\$ 3,832	105,666	1,421	17,594	5,253	123,260	16 %

^{*} Less than 1%.

22

⁽¹⁾ For PCI loans, amounts represent carrying value. PCI loans are considered to be accruing due to the existence of the accretable yield and not based on consideration given to contractual interest payments.

⁽²⁾ Includes 34 states; no state had loans in excess of \$229 million.

⁽³⁾ Includes 40 states; no state had loans in excess of \$3.1 billion.

Risk Management (continued)

FOREIGN LOANS AND EUROPEAN EXPOSURE We classify loans as foreign if the borrower s primary address is outside of the United States. At June 30, 2012, foreign loans represented approximately 5% of our total consolidated loans outstanding and approximately 3% of our total assets.

Our foreign country risk monitoring process incorporates frequent dialogue with our foreign financial institution customers, counterparties and with regulatory agencies, enhanced by centralized monitoring of macroeconomic and capital markets conditions. We establish exposure limits for each country through a centralized oversight process based on the needs of our customers, and in consideration of relevant economic, political, social, legal, and transfer risks. We monitor exposures closely and adjust our limits in response to changing conditions.

As per bank regulatory reporting requirements, we evaluate our individual country risk exposure on an ultimate risk basis which is normally based on the country of residence of the guarantor or collateral location. Our largest foreign country exposure on an ultimate risk basis was the United Kingdom, which amounted to approximately \$12.5 billion, or 1% of our total assets, and included \$1.7 billion of sovereign claims. Our United Kingdom sovereign claims arise primarily from deposits we have placed with the Bank of England pursuant to regulatory requirements in support of our London branch.

At June 30, 2012, our Eurozone exposure, including cross-border claims on an ultimate risk basis, and foreign exchange and derivative products, aggregated approximately \$10.9 billion, including \$352 million of sovereign claims, compared with approximately \$11.4 billion at December 31, 2011, which included \$364 million of sovereign claims. Our Eurozone exposure is relatively small compared to our overall credit risk exposure and is diverse by country, type, and counterparty.

We conduct periodic stress tests of our significant country risk exposures, analyzing the direct and indirect impacts on the risk of loss from various macroeconomic and capital markets scenarios. We do not have significant exposure to foreign country risks because our foreign portfolio is relatively small. However, we have identified exposure to increased loss from U.S. borrowers associated with the potential indirect impact of a European downturn on the U.S. economy. We mitigate these potential impacts through our normal risk management processes which include active monitoring and, if necessary, the application of aggressive loss mitigation strategies.

Table 16 provides information regarding our exposures to European sovereign entities and institutions located within such countries, including cross-border claims on an ultimate risk basis, and foreign exchange and derivative products.

Table 16: European Exposure

(in millions)	Lending (1)(2) S Non- Sovereign sovere Sov ereign			curities (3)Deri Non- sovereisarvere	and other (4) Non- sovereign Sover	eion	Total of Non-sovereign (5)	exposure Total	
(iii iiiiiiiiiii)	Bovereign	soverasgnere	511	so vereigib ver	21511	sovereign sover	Cigii	sovereign (3)	Total
June 30, 2012									
Eurozone									
Netherlands	\$ -	2,394	-	249	-	535	-	3,178	3,178
Germany	59	1,848	-	241	-	202	59	2,291	2,350
Luxembourg	-	1,002	-	131	-	4	-	1,137	1,137
Ireland	100	784	-	187	-	20	100	991	1,091
France	73	489	-	374	-	30	73	893	966
Spain	-	682	-	94	-	16	-	792	792
Italy	-	371	-	105	-	1	-	477	477
Austria	100	238	-	6	-	-	100	244	344

Belgium	-	188	-	37	-	67	-	292	292
Other (6)	20	145	-	105	-	9	20	259	279
Total Eurozone exposure	352	8,141	-	1,529	-	884	352	10,554	10,906
United Kingdom	1,726	4,624	-	5,802	-	314	1,726	10,740	12,466
Other European countries	-	4,048	4	440	1	620	5	5,108	5,113
Total European exposure	\$ 2,078	16,813	4	7,771	1	1,818	2,083	26,402	28,485

- (1) Lending exposure includes funded loans and unfunded commitments, leveraged leases, and money market placements presented on a gross basis prior to the deduction of impairment allowance and collateral received under the terms of the credit agreements.
- (2) Includes \$1.4 billion in PCI loans, largely to customers in Germany and United Kingdom territories, and \$2.9 billion in defeased leases secured predominantly by U.S. Treasury and government agency securities, or government guaranteed.
- (3) Represents issuer exposure on cross-border debt and equity securities, held in trading or available-for-sale portfolio, at fair value.
- (4) Represents counterparty exposure on foreign exchange and derivative contracts, and securities resale and lending agreements. This exposure is presented net of counterparty netting adjustments and reduced by the amount of cash collateral. It includes credit default swaps (CDS) predominantly used to manage our U.S. and London-based cash credit trading businesses, which sometimes results in selling and purchasing protection on the identical reference entity. Generally, we do not use market instruments such as CDS to hedge the credit risk of our investment or loan positions, although we do use them to manage risk in our trading businesses. At June 30, 2012, the gross notional amount of our CDS sold that reference assets domiciled in Europe was \$8.4 billion, which was offset by the notional amount of CDS purchased of \$8.4 billion. We did not have any CDS purchased or sold where the reference asset was solely the sovereign debt of a European country. Certain CDS purchased or sold reference pools of assets that contain sovereign debt, however the amount of referenced sovereign European debt was insignificant at June 30, 2012.
- (5) Total non-sovereign exposure comprises \$11.0 billion exposure to financial institutions and \$15.4 billion to non-financial corporations at June 30, 2012.
- (6) Includes non-sovereign exposure to Greece and Portugal in the amount of \$3 million and \$57 million, respectively. We had no sovereign debt exposure to these countries at June 30, 2012.

23

REAL ESTATE 1-4 FAMILY FIRST AND JUNIOR LIEN MORTGAGE LOANS Our real estate 1-4 family first and junior lien mortgage loans primarily include loans we have made to customers and retained as part of our asset liability management strategy. These loans also include the Pick-a-Pay portfolio acquired from Wachovia and the home equity portfolio, which are discussed later in this Report. In addition, these loans include other purchased loans and loans included on our balance sheet due to the adoption of consolidation accounting guidance related to variable interest entities (VIEs).

Our underwriting and periodic review of loans collateralized by residential real property includes appraisals or estimates from automated valuation models (AVMs). Additional information about AVMs and our policy for their use can be found in the Risk Management Credit Risk Management Real Estate 1-4 Family Mortgage Loans section in our 2011 Form 10-K.

Some of our real estate 1-4 family first and junior lien mortgage loans include an interest-only feature as part of the loan terms. These interest-only loans were approximately 20% of total loans at June 30, 2012, compared with 21% at December 31, 2011.

We believe we have manageable adjustable-rate mortgage (ARM) reset risk across our owned mortgage loan portfolios. We do not offer option ARM products, nor do we offer variable-rate mortgage products with fixed payment amounts, commonly referred to within the financial services industry as negative amortizing mortgage loans. Our liquidating option ARM portfolio was acquired from Wachovia.

We continue to modify real estate 1-4 family mortgage loans to assist homeowners and other borrowers in the current difficult economic cycle. For more information on our participation in the U.S. Treasury s Making Home Affordable (MHA) programs, see the Risk Management Credit Risk Management Real Estate 1-4 Family Mortgage Loans section in our 2011 Form 10-K.

Real estate 1-4 family first and junior lien mortgage loans by state are presented in Table 17. Our real estate 1-4 family mortgage loans to borrowers in California represented approximately 13% of total loans (2% of this amount were PCI loans from Wachovia) at June 30, 2012, located mostly within the larger metropolitan areas, with no single California metropolitan area consisting of more than 3% of total loans. We monitor changes in real estate values and underlying economic or market conditions for all geographic areas of our real estate 1-4 family mortgage portfolio as part of our credit risk management process.

Part of our credit monitoring includes tracking delinquency, FICO scores and collateral values (LTV/CLTV) on the entire real estate 1-4 family mortgage loan portfolio. These metrics continued to improve in second quarter 2012 on the non-PCI mortgage portfolio. Loans 30 days or more delinquent at June 30, 2012, totaled \$16.1 billion, or 6%, of total non-PCI mortgages, compared with \$18.4 billion, or 6%, at December 31, 2011. Loans with FICO scores lower than 640 totaled \$40.5 billion at June 30, 2012, or 14% of all non-PCI mortgages, compared with \$44.1 billion, or 15%, at December 31, 2011. Mortgages with a LTV/CLTV greater than 100% totaled

\$66.9 billion at June 30, 2012, or 24% of total non-PCI mortgages, compared with \$74.2 billion, or 26%, at December 31, 2011. Information regarding credit risk trends can be found in Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in this Report.

We monitor the credit performance of our junior lien mortgage portfolio for trends and factors that influence the frequency and severity of loss. In first quarter 2012, in accordance with *Interagency Supervisory Guidance on Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses Estimation Practices for Loans and Lines of Credit Secured by Junior Liens on 1-4 Family Residential Properties* issued by bank regulators on January 31, 2012 (Interagency Guidance), we aligned our nonaccrual reporting so that a junior lien is reported as a nonaccrual loan if the related first lien is 120 days past due or is in the process of foreclosure. This action increased our nonperforming assets by \$1.7 billion, but otherwise had minimal financial impact as the expected loss content of these loans was already considered in the allowance for loan losses. See the Risk Management Credit Risk Management Nonperforming Assets section in this report for more information.

Table 17: Real Estate 1-4 Family First and Junior Lien Mortgage Loans by State

			June	e 30, 2012
(in millions)	Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	Total real estate 1-4 family mortgage	% of total loans
PCI loans:				
California	\$ 18,366	37	18,403	2 %

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO & COMPANY/MN - Form 10-Q

Florida	2,549	35	2,584	*
New Jersey	1,267	23	1,290	*
Other (1)	6,149	95	6,244	*
Total PCI loans	\$ 28,331	190	28,521	4 %
All other loans:				
California	\$ 56,821	22,562	79,383	10 %
Florida	15,633	7,215	22,848	3
New Jersey	8,990	5,946	14,936	2
New York	9,676	3,399	13,075	2
Virginia	5,828	4,192	10,020	1
Pennsylvania	5,669	3,709	9,378	1
North Carolina	5,623	3,388	9,011	1
Georgia	4,600	3,172	7,772	1
Texas	6,456	1,212	7,668	1
Other (2)	54,210	25,896	80,106	10
Government insured/guaranteed loans (3)	28,426	-	28,426	4
Total all other loans	\$ 201,932	80,691	282,623	36 %
Total	\$ 230,263	80,881	311,144	40 %

24

^{*} Less than 1%.

⁽¹⁾ Consists of 45 states; no state had loans in excess of \$715 million.

⁽²⁾ Consists of 41 states; no state had loans in excess of \$6.5 billion.

⁽³⁾ Represents loans whose repayments are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA.

Risk Management (continued)

Pick-a-Pay Portfolio The Pick-a-Pay portfolio was one of the consumer residential first mortgage portfolios we acquired from Wachovia and a majority of the portfolio was identified as PCI loans.

The Pick-a-Pay portfolio includes loans that offer payment options (Pick-a-Pay option payment loans), and also includes loans that were originated without the option payment feature, loans that no longer offer the option feature as a result of our modification efforts since the acquisition, and loans where the customer voluntarily converted to a fixed-rate product. The Pick-

a-Pay portfolio is included in the consumer real estate 1-4 family first mortgage class of loans throughout this Report. Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgages and lines of credit associated with Pick-a-Pay loans are reported in the home equity portfolio. Table 18 provides balances by types of loans as of June 30, 2012, as a result of modification efforts, compared to the types of loans included in the portfolio at December 31, 2011, and at acquisition.

Table 18: Pick-a-Pay Portfolio - Comparison to Acquisition Date

	June Adjusted	30, 2012	Adjusted	2011	Decem Adjusted	aber 31, 2008
	unpaid		unpaid		unpaid	
	principal	% of	principal	% of	principal	% of
(in millions)	balance (1)	total	balance (1)	total	balance (1)	total
Option payment loans	\$ 35,353	51 %	\$ 39,164	53 %	\$ 99,937	86 %
Non-option payment adjustable-rate and fixed-rate loans	9,315	14	9,986	14	15,763	14
Full-term loan modifications	24,184	35	24,207	33	-	-
Total adjusted unpaid principal balance	\$ 68,852	100 %	\$ 73,357	100 %	\$ 115,700	100 %
Total carrying value	\$ 62,045		65,652		95,315	

⁽¹⁾ Adjusted unpaid principal balance includes write-downs taken on loans where severe delinquency (normally 180 days) or other indications of severe borrower financial stress exist that indicate there will be a loss of contractually due amounts upon final resolution of the loan.

Pick-a-Pay loans may have fixed or adjustable rates with payment options that include a minimum payment, an interest-only payment or fully amortizing payment (both 15 and 30 year options). Total interest deferred due to negative amortization on Pick-a-Pay loans was \$1.7 billion at June 30, 2012, and \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2011. Approximately 87% of the Pick-a-Pay customers making a minimum payment in June 2012 did not defer interest, compared with 83% in December 2011.

Deferral of interest on a Pick-a-Pay loan may continue as long as the loan balance remains below a pre-defined principal cap, which is based on the percentage that the current loan balance represents to the original loan balance. Substantially all the Pick-a-Pay portfolio has a cap of 125% of the original loan balance. Most of the Pick-a-Pay loans on which there is a deferred interest balance re-amortize (the monthly payment amount is reset or recast) on the earlier of the date when the loan balance reaches its principal cap, or the 10-year anniversary of the loan. After a recast, the customers new payment terms are reset to the amount necessary to repay the balance over the rest of the original loan term.

Due to the terms of the Pick-a-Pay portfolio, there is little recast risk in the near term. Based on assumptions of a flat rate environment, if all eligible customers elect the minimum payment option 100% of the time and no balances prepay, we would expect the following balances of loans to recast based on reaching the principal cap: \$3 million for the remainder of 2012, \$19 million in 2013, and \$64 million in 2014. In addition, in a flat rate environment, we would expect the following balances of loans to start fully amortizing due to reaching their recast anniversary date: \$21 million for the

remainder of 2012, \$91 million in 2013, and \$332 million in 2014. In second quarter 2012, \$6 million was recast based on these events.

Table 19 reflects the geographic distribution of the Pick-a-Pay portfolio broken out between PCI loans and all other loans. In stressed housing markets with declining home prices and increasing delinquencies, the LTV ratio is a useful metric in predicting future real estate 1-4 family first mortgage loan performance, including potential charge-offs. Because PCI loans were initially recorded at fair value, including write-downs for expected credit losses, the ratio of the carrying value to the current collateral value will be lower compared with the LTV based on the adjusted unpaid principal balance. For informational purposes, we have included both ratios for PCI loans in the following table.

Table of Contents 48

2.5

Table 19: Pick-a-Pay Portfolio (1)

							Jui	ne 30, 2012
(in millions)	Adjusted unpaid principal balance (2)	Current LTV ratio (3)		Carrying value (4)	PCI loans Ratio of carrying value to current value (5)		All Carrying value (4)	other loans Ratio of carrying value to current value (5)
California	\$ 23,498	118 %	\$	18,329	91 %	\$	16,769	85 %
Florida	3,077	114	Ψ	2,407	85	Ψ	3,507	95
New Jersey	1,285	92		1,211	85		2,192	79
New York	729	91		681	84		970	80
Texas	319	77		294	71		1,389	63
Other states	5,736	106		4,781	87		9,515	85
Total Pick-a-Pay loans	\$ 34,644		\$	27,703		\$	34,342	

- (1) The individual states shown in this table represent the top five states based on the total net carrying value of the Pick-a-Pay loans at the beginning of 2012.
- (2) Adjusted unpaid principal balance includes write-downs taken on loans where severe delinquency (normally 180 days) or other indications of severe borrower financial stress exist that indicate there will be a loss of contractually due amounts upon final resolution of the loan.
- (3) The current LTV ratio is calculated as the adjusted unpaid principal balance divided by the collateral value. Collateral values are generally determined using automated valuation models (AVM) and are updated quarterly. AVMs are computer-based tools used to estimate market values of homes based on processing large volumes of market data including market comparables and price trends for local market areas.
- (4) Carrying value, which does not reflect the allowance for loan losses, includes remaining purchase accounting adjustments, which, for PCI loans may include the nonaccretable difference and the accretable yield and, for all other loans, an adjustment to mark the loans to a market yield at date of merger less any subsequent charge-offs.
- (5) The ratio of carrying value to current value is calculated as the carrying value divided by the collateral value.

To maximize return and allow flexibility for customers to avoid foreclosure, we have in place several loss mitigation strategies for our Pick-a-Pay loan portfolio. We contact customers who are experiencing financial difficulty and may in certain cases modify the terms of a loan based on a customer s documented income and other circumstances.

We also have taken steps to work with customers to refinance or restructure their Pick-a-Pay loans into other loan products. For customers at risk, we offer combinations of term extensions of up to 40 years (from 30 years), interest rate reductions, forbearance of principal, and, in geographies with substantial property value declines, we may offer permanent principal forgiveness.

In second quarter 2012, we completed more than 2,600 proprietary and HAMP Pick-a-Pay loan modifications and have completed more than 105,000 modifications since the Wachovia acquisition, resulting in \$4.3 billion of principal forgiveness to our Pick-a-Pay customers as well as an additional \$548 million of conditional forgiveness that can be earned by borrowers through performance over the next three years. As announced in October 2010, we entered into agreements with certain state attorneys general whereby we agreed to offer loan modifications to eligible Pick-a-Pay customers through June 2013. These agreements cover the majority of our option payment loan portfolio and require that we offer modifications (both HAMP and proprietary) to eligible customers with the option payment loan product. In response to these agreements, we developed an enhanced proprietary modification product that allows for various means of principal forgiveness along with changes to other loan terms. Given that these agreements cover all modification efforts to eligible customers for the applicable states, our modifications (both HAMP and proprietary) for our Pick-a-Pay loan portfolio performed in second quarter 2012 were consistent with these agreements. Additionally, as announced in

February 2012, we reached a settlement regarding our mortgage servicing and foreclosure practices with federal and state government entities, which became effective on April 5, 2012, where we committed to provide additional relief to borrowers. See the Risk Management Credit Risk Management Risks Relating to Servicing Activities section in this report and in our 2011 Form 10-K for more details.

Due to better than expected performance observed on the Pick-a-Pay portfolio compared with the original acquisition estimates, we have reclassified \$2.4 billion from the nonaccretable difference to the accretable yield since acquisition. This performance is primarily attributable to significant modification efforts as well as the portfolio s delinquency stabilization. The resulting increase in the accretable yield will be realized over the remaining life of the portfolio, which is estimated to have a weighted-average remaining life of approximately 11.4 years at June 30, 2012. The accretable yield percentage at June 30, 2012, was 4.32%, down from 4.45% at the end of 2011. Fluctuations in the accretable yield are driven by changes in interest rate indices for variable rate PCI loans, prepayment assumptions, and expected principal and interest payments over the estimated life of the portfolio, which will be affected by the pace and degree of improvements in the U.S. economy and housing markets and projected lifetime performance resulting from loan modification activity. Changes in the projected timing of cash flow events, including loan liquidations, modifications and short sales, can also affect the accretable yield percentage and the estimated weighted-average life of the portfolio.

The Pick-a-Pay portfolio is a significant portion of our PCI loans. For further information on the judgment involved in estimating expected cash flows for PCI loans, please see Critical Accounting Policies Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans in our 2011 Form 10-K.

26

Risk Management (continued)

HOME EQUITY PORTFOLIOS Our home equity portfolios consist of real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgages and first and junior lines of credit secured by real estate. Our first lien lines of credit represent 20% of our home equity portfolio and are included in real estate 1-4 family first mortgages. The majority of our junior lien loan products are amortizing payment loans with fixed interest rates and repayment periods between 5 to 30 years. Junior lien loans with balloon payments at the end of the repayment term represent a small portion of our junior lien loans.

Our first and junior lien lines of credit products generally have a draw period of 10 years with variable interest rates and payment options during the draw period of (1) interest only or (2) 1.5% of total outstanding balance. During the draw period, the borrower has the option of converting all or a portion of the line from a variable interest rate to a fixed rate with terms

including interest-only payments for a fixed period between three to seven years or a fully amortizing payment with a fixed period between five to 30 years. At the end of the draw period, a line of credit generally converts to an amortizing payment loan with repayment terms of up to 30 years based on the balance at time of conversion. Substantially all of our lines of credit will remain in their draw period through 2014 and a majority through 2017.

Table 20 summarizes delinquency and loss rates by the holder of the lien. For additional information regarding current junior liens behind delinquent first lien loans, see the Risk Management Credit Risk Management Home Equities Portfolios section in our 2011 Form 10-K and the Risk Management Credit Risk Management Real Estate 1-4 Family First and Junior Lien Mortgage Loans section in this Report.

Table 20: Home Equity Portfolios Performance by Holder of 1st Lien (1)(2)

				o,	% of loans					Loss rate	
		two payments									
		Outstandi	quar	ter ended							
		June 30,	Dec. 31, J	une 30,	Dec. 31, J u	me 30,	Mar. 31,	Dec. 31,	Sept. 30,	June 30,	
(in millions)		2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2012	2011	2011	2011	
	Φ.	20.242	20.506	20= 0	2.10	0.00		0.05	0.01	0.00	
First lien lines	\$	20,242	20,786	3.07 %	3.10	0.88	1.35	0.95	0.91	0.82	
Junior lien mortgages and lines behind:											
Wells Fargo owned or serviced first lien		40,718	42,810	2.68	2.91	3.34	3.54	3.48	3.43	3.76	
Third party first lien		39,992	42,996	3.00	3.59	3.44	3.72	3.83	4.11	4.32	
Total	\$	100,952	106,592	2.89	3.22	2.89	3.18	3.13	3.22	3.43	

27

⁽¹⁾ Excludes PCI loans and real estate 1-4 family first lien line reverse mortgages added to the consumer portfolio in fourth quarter 2011 as a result of consolidating reverse mortgage loans previously sold. These reverse mortgage loans are insured by the FHA.

⁽²⁾ Includes \$1.4 billion and \$1.5 billion at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively, associated with the Pick-a-Pay portfolio.

We monitor the number of borrowers paying the minimum amount due on a monthly basis. In June 2012, approximately 45% of our borrowers with a home equity outstanding balance paid only the minimum amount due; 93% paid the minimum or more.

The home equity liquidating portfolio includes home equity loans generated through third party channels, including correspondent loans. This liquidating portfolio represents less than 1% of our total loans outstanding at June 30, 2012, and contains some of the highest risk in our home equity portfolio, with a loss rate of 8.14% compared with 2.60% for the core (non-liquidating) home equity portfolio at June 30, 2012. Table 21 shows the credit attributes of the core and liquidating home equity portfolios and lists the top five states by outstanding

balance. California loans represent the largest state concentration in each of these portfolios. The decrease in outstanding balances primarily reflects loan paydowns and charge-offs. As of June 30, 2012, 36% of the outstanding balance of the core home equity portfolio was associated with loans that had a combined loan to value (CLTV) ratio in excess of 100%. CLTV means the ratio of the total loan balance of first mortgages and junior lien mortgages (including unused line amounts for credit line products) to property collateral value. The unsecured portion of the outstanding balances of these loans (the outstanding amount that was in excess of the most recent property collateral value) totaled 16% of the core home equity portfolio at June 30, 2012.

Table 21: Home Equity Portfolios (1)

(in millions)	Outstandir June 30, 2012	_	two j	payments past due Dec. 31, 2011	June 30, 2012	Mar. 31, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011	(an	Loss rate nualized) ter ended June 30, 2011
Core portfolio (2)									
	\$ 24,316	25,555	2.66 %	3.03	3.13	3.56	3.42	3.41	3.69
Florida	10,296	10,870	4.36	4.99	3.76	4.79	4.30	4.42	5.23
New Jersey	7,640	7,973	3.57	3.73	2.02	2.46	2.22	2.17	2.05
Virginia	4,998	5,248	1.98	2.15	1.60	1.42	1.31	1.67	1.85
Pennsylvania	4,867	5,071	2.50	2.82	1.45	1.49	1.41	1.38	1.49
Other	43,636	46,165	2.53	2.79	2.37	2.50	2.50	2.64	2.70
Total	95,753	100,882	2.81	3.13	2.60	2.91	2.79	2.88	3.08
Liquidating portfolio									
California	1,827	2,024	5.16	5.50	10.98	10.80	11.93	12.62	12.73
Florida	242	265	5.87	7.02	7.92	9.84	9.71	11.06	10.52
Arizona	104	116	4.39	6.64	11.89	15.08	17.54	18.30	14.01
Texas	86	97	1.26	0.93	2.01	2.43	1.57	3.07	3.40
Minnesota	69	75	2.54	2.83	10.10	5.07	8.13	6.11	7.83
Other	2,871	3,133	3.55	4.13	6.35	6.23	7.12	6.20	6.73
Total	5,199	5,710	4.19	4.73	8.14	8.11	9.09	8.97	9.22
Total core and liquidating portfolios	\$ 100,952	106,592	2.89	3.22	2.89	3.18	3.13	3.22	3.43

- (1) Consists predominantly of real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgages and first and junior lines of credit secured by real estate, but excludes PCI loans because their losses are generally covered by PCI accounting adjustment at the date of acquisition, and excludes real estate 1-4 family first lien open-ended line reverse mortgages because they do not have scheduled payments. These reverse mortgage loans are insured by the FHA.
- (2) Includes \$1.4 billion and \$1.5 billion at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, associated with the Pick-a-Pay portfolio.

CREDIT CARDS Our credit card portfolio totaled \$22.7 billion at June 30, 2012, which represented 3% of our total outstanding loans. The quarterly net charge-off rate (annualized) for our credit card loans was 4.37% for second quarter 2012 compared with 5.63% for second quarter 2011.

OTHER REVOLVING CREDIT AND INSTALLMENT Other revolving credit and installment loans totaled \$87.3 billion at June 30, 2012, and predominantly include automobile, student and security-based margin loans. The quarterly loss rate (annualized) for other revolving credit and installment loans was 0.79% for second quarter 2012 compared with 1.03% for second quarter 2011. Excluding government guaranteed student loans, the loss rates were 0.90% and 1.23% for second quarter 2012 and 2011, respectively.

28

Risk Management (continued)

NONPERFORMING ASSETS (**NONACCRUAL LOANS AND FORECLOSED ASSETS**) Table 22 summarizes nonperforming assets (NPAs) for each of the last four quarters. We generally place loans on nonaccrual status when:

the full and timely collection of interest or principal becomes uncertain (generally based on an assessment of the borrower s financial condition and the adequacy of collateral, if any);

they are 90 days (120 days with respect to real estate 1-4 family first and junior lien mortgages) past due for interest or principal, unless both well-secured and in the process of collection;

part of the principal balance has been charged off and no restructuring has occurred; or

effective first quarter 2012, for junior lien mortgages, we have evidence that the related first lien mortgage may be 120 days past due or in the process of foreclosure regardless of the junior lien delinquency status.

Table 22: Nonperforming Assets (Nonaccrual Loans and Foreclosed Assets)

	June 30, 2012		March 31, 2012		December 3	1, 2011	September 30, 2011		
			% of		% of		% of		% of
			total		total		total		total
(\$ in millions)		Balance	loans	Balance	loans	Balance	loans	Balance	loans
Nonaccrual loans:									
Commercial:									
Commercial and industrial	\$	1,549	0.87 %	\$ 1,726	1.02 %	\$ 2,142	1.28 %	\$ 2,128	1.29 %
Real estate mortgage		3,832	3.63	4,081	3.85	4,085	3.85	4,429	4.24
Real estate construction		1,421	8.08	1,709	9.21	1,890	9.75	1,915	9.71
Lease financing		43	0.34	45	0.34	53	0.40	71	0.55
Foreign		79	0.20	38	0.10	47	0.12	68	0.18
Total commercial (1)		6,924	1.96	7,599	2.20	8,217	2.38	8,611	2.53
Consumer:									
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage (2)		10,368	4.50	10,683	4.67	10,913	4.77	11,024	4.93
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage (3)		3,091	3.82	3,558	4.28	1,975	2.30	2,035	2.31
Other revolving credit and installment		195	0.22	186	0.21	199	0.23	230	0.27
Total consumer		13,654	3.24	14,427	3.43	13,087	3.09	13,289	3.16
Total nonaccrual loans (4)(5)(6)		20,578	2.65	22,026	2.87	21,304	2.77	21,900	2.88
Foreclosed assets:									
Government insured/guaranteed (7)		1,465		1,352		1,319		1,336	
Non-government insured/guaranteed		2,842		3,265		3,342		3,608	
Total foreclosed assets		4,307		4,617		4,661		4,944	
Total Total Total about		.,		1,017		1,001		1,2 1 1	

Total nonperforming assets	\$ 24,885	3.21 %	\$ 26,643	3.48 %	\$ 25,965	3.37 %	\$ 26,844	3.53 %
Change in NPAs from prior quarter	\$ (1,758)		678		(879)		(1,062)	

- (1) Includes LHFS of \$17 million, \$9 million, \$25 million and \$37 million at June 30 and March 31, 2012, and December 31 and September 30, 2011, respectively.
- (2) Includes MHFS of \$310 million, \$287 million, \$301 million and \$311 million at June 30 and March 31, 2012, and December 31 and September 30, 2011, respectively.
- (3) Includes \$1.7 billion at March 31, 2012, resulting from implementation of the Interagency Guidance issued on January 31, 2012. This guidance accelerated the timing of placing these loans on nonaccrual to coincide with the timing of placing the related real estate 1-4 family first mortgage loans on nonaccrual.
- (4) Excludes PCI loans because they continue to earn interest income from accretable yield, independent of performance in accordance with their contractual terms
- (5) Real estate 1-4 family mortgage loans insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA and student loans predominantly guaranteed by agencies on behalf of the U.S. Department of Education under the Federal Family Education Loan Program are not placed on nonaccrual status because they are insured or guaranteed.
- (6) See Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in this Report for further information on impaired loans.
- (7) Consistent with regulatory reporting requirements, foreclosed real estate securing government insured/guaranteed loans are classified as nonperforming. Both principal and interest for government insured/guaranteed loans secured by the foreclosed real estate are collectible because the loans are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA.

29

Total NPAs were \$24.9 billion (3.2% of total loans) at June 30, 2012, and included \$20.6 billion of nonaccrual loans and \$4.3 billion of foreclosed assets. Nonaccrual loans decreased in

second quarter 2012, consistent with the continued improvement in credit performance. Table 23 provides an analysis of the changes in nonaccrual loans.

Table 23: Analysis of Changes in Nonaccrual Loans

				Qua	rter ended
	June 30,	Mar. 31,	Dec. 31	Sept. 30,	June 30,
(in millions)	2012	2012	2011	2011	2011
Commercial nonaccrual loans					
Balance, beginning of quarter	\$ 7,599	8,217	8,611	9,265	10,312
Inflows	952	1,138	1,329	1,148	1,622
Outflows:					
Returned to accruing	(242)	(188)	(185)	(275)	(501)
Foreclosures	(92)	(119)	(161)	(156)	(174)
Charge-offs Charge-offs	(402)	(347)	(382)	(397)	(399)
Payments, sales and other (1)	(891)	(1,102)	(995)	(974)	(1,595)
Total outflows	(1,627)	(1,756)	(1,723)	(1,802)	(2,669)
Balance, end of quarter	6,924	7,599	8,217	8,611	9,265
Consumer nonaccrual loans					
Balance, beginning of quarter	14,427	13,087	13,289	13,780	14,653
Inflows (2)	2,750	4,765	3,465	3,544	3,443
Outflows:					
Returned to accruing	(1,344)	(943)	(1,277)	(1,411)	(1,562)
Foreclosures	(186)	(226)	(209)	(286)	(221)
Charge-offs Charge-offs	(1,137)	(1,364)	(1,404)	(1,385)	(1,494)
Payments, sales and other (1)	(866)	(892)	(777)	(953)	(1,039)
Total outflows	(3,523)	(3,425)	(3,667)	(4,035)	(4,316)
Balance, end of quarter	13,654	14,427	13,087	13,289	13,780
Total nonaccrual loans	\$ 20,578	22,026	21,304	21,900	23,045

⁽¹⁾ Other outflows include the effects of VIE deconsolidations and adjustments for loans carried at fair value.

Typically, changes to nonaccrual loans period-over-period represent inflows for loans that reach a specified past due status, offset by reductions for loans that are charged off, sold, transferred to foreclosed properties, or are no longer classified as nonaccrual as a result of continued performance and an improvement in the borrower s financial condition and loan repayment capabilities.

While nonaccrual loans are not free of loss content, we believe exposure to loss is significantly mitigated by four factors. First, 99% of the \$13.7 billion of consumer nonaccrual loans and 96% of the \$6.9 billion of commercial nonaccrual loans are secured at June 30, 2012. Of the consumer nonaccrual loans, 99% are secured by real estate and 35% have a combined LTV (CLTV) ratio of 80% or below. Second, losses of \$4.1 billion and \$2.1 billion have already been recognized on 48% of consumer nonaccrual loans and 41% of commercial nonaccrual loans, respectively. Generally, when a consumer real estate loan is 120 days past due, we transfer it to nonaccrual status. When the loan reaches 180 days past due it is our policy to write these loans down to net realizable value (fair value of collateral less estimated costs to sell), except for modifications in their trial period that are not written down as long as trial payments are made on time. Thereafter, we revalue each loan regularly and recognize additional write-downs if needed. Third, as of June 30, 2012, 60% of commercial nonaccrual loans were current on interest. Fourth,

⁽²⁾ March 31, 2012, includes \$1.7 billion moved to nonaccrual status as a result of implementing Interagency Guidance issued January 31, 2012.

the risk of loss for all nonaccruals has been considered and we believe is appropriately covered by the allowance for loan losses.

Under both our proprietary modification programs and the MHA programs, customers may be required to provide updated documentation, and some programs require completion of trial payment periods to demonstrate sustained performance before the loan can be removed from nonaccrual status. In addition, for loans in foreclosure, many states, including California, Florida and New Jersey, have enacted legislation that significantly increases the time to complete the foreclosure process, meaning that loans will remain in nonaccrual status for longer periods.

Table 24 provides a summary of foreclosed assets and an analysis of changes in foreclosed assets.

30

Risk Management (continued)

Table 24: Foreclosed Assets

(in millions)	June 30, 2012	Mar. 31, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011	Sept. 30, 2011	June 30, 2011
Government insured/guaranteed (1)	\$ 1,465	1,352	1,319	1,336	1,320
PCI loans:					
Commercial	777	875	840	1,079	993
Consumer	321	431	465	530	469
Total PCI loans	1,098	1,306	1,305	1,609	1,462
All other loans:					
Commercial	1,147	1,289	1,379	1,322	1,409
Consumer	597	670	658	677	670
Total all other loans	1,744	1,959	2,037	1,999	2,079
Total foreclosed assets	\$ 4,307	4,617	4,661	4,944	4,861
Analysis of changes in foreclosed assets					
Balance, beginning of quarter	\$ 4,617	4,661	4,944	4,861	5,512
Net change in government insured/guaranteed (2)	113	33	(17)	16	(137)
Additions to foreclosed assets (3)	664	926	934	1,440	880
Reductions:					
Sales	(1,003)	(896)	(1,123)	(1,260)	(1,294)
Write-downs and loss on sales	(84)	(107)	(77)	(113)	(100)
Total reductions	(1,087)	(1,003)	(1,200)	(1,373)	(1,394)
Balance, end of quarter	\$ 4,307	4,617	4,661	4,944	4,861

⁽¹⁾ Consistent with regulatory reporting requirements, foreclosed real estate securing government insured/guaranteed loans are classified as nonperforming. Both principal and interest for government insured/guaranteed loans secured by the foreclosed real estate are collectible because the loans are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA.

Foreclosed assets at June 30, 2012, included \$1.5 billion of foreclosed real estate that is FHA insured or VA guaranteed and expected to have little to no loss content. The remaining balance of \$2.8 billion of foreclosed assets has been written down to estimated net realizable value. Foreclosed assets were down \$354 million, or 8%, at June 30, 2012, compared with December 31, 2011. At June 30, 2012, 76% of our foreclosed assets of \$4.3 billion have been in the foreclosed assets portfolio one year or less. Given our real estate-secured loan concentrations and current economic conditions, we anticipate we will continue to hold a high level of NPAs on our balance sheet.

⁽²⁾ Foreclosed government insured/guaranteed loans are temporarily transferred to and held by us as servicer, until reimbursement is received from FHA or VA.

The net change in government insured/guaranteed foreclosed assets is made up of inflows from mortgages held for investment and MHFS, and outflows when we are reimbursed by FHA/VA.

⁽³⁾ Predominantly include loans moved into foreclosure from non-accrual status, PCI loans transitioned directly to foreclosed assets and repossessed automobiles.

TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURINGS (TDRs)

Table 25: Troubled Debt Restructurings (TDRs) (1)

(in millions)		June 30, 2012	Mar. 31, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011	Sept. 30, 2011	June 30, 2011
Commercial TDRs						
Commercial and industrial	\$	1,937	1,967	2,026	2,192	1,821
Real estate mortgage		2,457	2,485	2,262	1,752	1,444
Real estate construction		980	1,048	1,008	795	694
Lease financing		27	29	33	51	84
Foreign		28	19	20	9	10
Total commercial TDRs		5,429	5,548	5,349	4,799	4,053
Consumer TDRs						
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage		13,919	13,870	13,799	13,512	12,938
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage		1,975	1,981	1,986	1,975	1,910
Other revolving credit and installment		856	873	872	875	838
Trial modifications (1)		745	723	651	668	942
Total consumer TDRs		17,495	17,447	17,308	17,030	16,628
Total TDRs	\$	22,924	22,995	22,657	21,829	20,681
TDRs on nonaccrual status	\$	6,900	7,136	6,811	6,758	6,568
TDRs on accrual status	Ψ	16,024	15,859	15,846	15,071	14,113
Total TDRs	\$	22,924	22,995	22,657	21,829	20,681

⁽¹⁾ Based on clarifying guidance from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) received in December 2011, we classify trial modifications as TDRs at the beginning of the trial period. For many of our consumer real estate modification programs, we may require a borrower to make trial payments generally for a period of three to four months. Prior to the SEC clarification, we classified trial modifications as TDRs once a borrower successfully completed the trial period in accordance with the terms.

Table 25 provides information regarding the recorded investment of loans modified in TDRs. The allowance for loan losses for TDRs was \$5.4 billion and \$5.2 billion at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively. See Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in this Report for additional information regarding TDRs.

We do not forgive principal for a majority of our TDRs, but in those situations where principal is forgiven, the entire amount of such principal forgiveness is immediately charged off to the extent not done so prior to the modification. We sometimes delay the timing on the repayment of a portion of principal (principal forbearance) and charge off the amount of forbearance if that amount is not considered fully collectible.

32

Risk Management (continued)

Table 26 provides an analysis of the changes in TDRs.

Table 26: Analysis of Changes in TDRs

				Qua	rter ended
	June 30,	Mar. 31,	Dec. 31,	Sept. 30,	June 30,
(in millions)	2012	2012	2011	2011	2011
Commercial TDRs					
Balance, beginning of quarter	\$ 5,548	5,349	4,799	4,053	3,145
Inflows	687	710	1,271	1,321	1,275
Outflows					
Charge-offs	(112)	(119)	(84)	(68)	(36)
Foreclosure	(24)	(2)	(16)	(23)	(21)
Payments, sales and other (1)	(670)	(390)	(621)	(484)	(310)
Balance, end of quarter	5,429	5,548	5,349	4,799	4,053
Consumer TDRs					
Balance, beginning of quarter	17,447	17,308	17,030	16,628	15,888
Inflows	762	829	904	1,455	1,574
Outflows					
Charge-offs	(319)	(295)	(261)	(290)	(289)
Foreclosure	(25)	(33)	(33)	(39)	(33)
Payments, sales and other (1)	(392)	(434)	(315)	(450)	(510)
Net change in trial modifications (2)	22	72	(17)	(274)	(2)
Balance, end of quarter	17,495	17,447	17,308	17,030	16,628
Total TDRs	\$ 22,924	22,995	22,657	21,829	20,681

⁽¹⁾ Other outflows include normal amortization/accretion of loan basis adjustments and loans transferred to held-for-sale.

33

⁽²⁾ Net change in trial modifications includes: inflows of new TDRs entering the trial payment period, net of outflows for modifications that either
(i) successfully perform and enter into a permanent modification, or (ii) did not successfully perform according to the terms of the trial period plan and are subsequently charged-off, foreclosed upon or otherwise resolved. Our recent experience is that most of the mortgages that enter a trial payment period program are successful in completing the program requirements.

LOANS 90 DAYS OR MORE PAST DUE AND STILL ACCRUING Loans 90 days or more past due as to interest or principal are still accruing if they are (1) well-secured and in the process of collection or (2) real estate 1-4 family mortgage loans or consumer loans exempt under regulatory rules from being classified as nonaccrual until later delinquency, usually 120 days past due. PCI loans of \$6.6 billion, \$7.1 billion, \$8.7 billion, \$8.9 billion and \$9.8 billion at June 30 and March 31, 2012, and December 31, September 30 and June 30, 2011, respectively, are not included in these past due and still accruing loans even though they are 90 days or more contractually past due. These PCI loans are considered to be accruing due to the existence of the accretable yield and not based on consideration given to contractual interest payments.

Excluding insured/guaranteed loans, loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing at June 30, 2012, were down \$688 million, or 34%, from December 31, 2011, due to loss mitigation

activities including modifications, charge-offs, seasonally lower early stage delinquency levels, decline in non-strategic and liquidating portfolios, and credit stabilization. Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing whose repayments are insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) or predominantly guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) for mortgages and the U.S. Department of Education for student loans under the Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP) were \$21.5 billion at June 30, 2012, up from \$20.5 billion at December 31, 2011.

Table 27 reflects non-PCI loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing by class for loans not government insured/guaranteed. For additional information on delinquencies by loan class, see Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in this Report.

Table 27: Loans 90 Days or More Past Due and Still Accruing

	June 30,	Mar. 31,	Dec. 31,	Sept. 30,	June 30,
(in millions)	2012	2012	2011	2011	2011
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing:					
Total (excluding PCI):	\$ 22,872	22,555	22,569	19,639	17,318
Less: FHA insured/guaranteed by the VA (1)(2)	20,368	19,681	19,240	16,498	14,474
Less: Student loans guaranteed under the FFELP (3)	1,144	1,238	1,281	1,212	1,014
Total, not government insured/guaranteed	\$ 1,360	1,636	2,048	1,929	1,830
By segment and class, not government insured/guaranteed:					
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 44	104	153	108	110
Real estate mortgage	184	289	256	207	137
Real estate construction	25	25	89	57	86
Foreign	3	7	6	11	12
Total commercial	256	425	504	383	345
Consumer:					
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage (2)	561	616	781	819	728
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage (2)(4)	159	156	279	255	286
Credit card	274	319	346	328	334
Other revolving credit and installment	110	120	138	144	137
Total consumer	1,104	1,211	1,544	1,546	1,485
Total, not government insured/guaranteed	\$ 1,360	1,636	2,048	1,929	1,830

⁽¹⁾ Represents loans whose repayments are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA.

(4)

⁽²⁾ Includes mortgages held for sale 90 days or more past due and still accruing.

⁽³⁾ Represents loans whose repayments are predominantly guaranteed by agencies on behalf of the U.S. Department of Education under the FFELP.

During first quarter 2012, \$43 million of 1-4 family junior lien mortgages were transferred to nonaccrual upon implementation of the Interagency Guidance issued on January 31, 2012.

34

Risk Management (continued)

NET CHARGE-OFFS

Table 28: Net Charge-offs

		20 2012	3.6	21 2012	ъ .	21 2011	G . 1	20. 2011		rter ended
	June	30, 2012	March 31, 2012		December 31, 2011		Septembe	r 30, 2011	June	30, 2011
		As a		As a		As a		As a		As a
	Net loan	% of	Net loan	% of	Net loan	% of	Net loan	% of	Net loan	% of
	charge-	avg.	charge-	avg.	charge-	avg.	charge-	avg.	charge-	avg.
(\$ in millions)	offs	loans(1)	offs	loans (1)	offs	loans (1)	offs	loans (1)	offs	loans (1)
Commercial:										
Commercial and industrial	\$ 249	0.58 %	\$ 256	0.62 %	\$ 310	0.74 %	\$ 261	0.65 %	\$ 254	0.66 %
Real estate mortgage	81	0.31	46	0.17	117	0.44	96	0.37	128	0.50
Real estate construction	17	0.40	67	1.43	(5)	(0.09)	55	1.06	72	1.32
Lease financing	-	-	2	0.06	4	0.13	3	0.11	1	0.01
Foreign	11	0.11	14	0.14	45	0.45	8	0.08	47	0.52
Total commercial	358	0.42	385	0.45	471	0.54	423	0.50	502	0.62
Consumer:										
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	743	1.30	791	1.39	844	1.46	821	1.46	909	1.62
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	689	3.38	763	3.62	800	3.64	842	3.75	909	3.97
Credit card	240	4.37	242	4.40	256	4.63	266	4.90	294	5.63
Other revolving credit and installment	170	0.79	214	0.99	269	1.24	259	1.19	224	1.03
Total consumer	1,842	1.76	2,010	1.91	2,169	2.02	2,188	2.06	2,336	2.21
Total	\$ 2,200	1.15 %	\$ 2,395	1.25 %	\$ 2,640	1.36 %	\$ 2,611	1.37 %	\$ 2,838	1.52 %

Table 28 presents net charge-offs for second quarter 2012 and the previous four quarters. Net charge-offs in second quarter 2012 were \$2.2 billion (1.15% of average total loans outstanding) compared with \$2.8 billion (1.52%) in second quarter 2011. In general, net charge-offs declined as to amount and percentage of average loans for all categories of loans in second quarter 2012 compared with second quarter 2011, as we saw signs of stabilization in the housing market although the economic recovery remained uneven.

35

⁽¹⁾ Quarterly net charge-offs as a percentage of average respective loans are annualized.

ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES The allowance for credit losses, which consists of the allowance for loan losses and the allowance for unfunded credit commitments, is management s estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio and unfunded credit commitments at the balance sheet date, excluding loans carried at fair value. The detail of the changes in the allowance for credit losses by portfolio segment (including charge-offs and recoveries by loan class) is in Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in this Report. Table 29 provides a summary of our allowance for credit losses.

We employ a disciplined process and methodology to establish our allowance for credit losses each quarter. This process takes into consideration many factors, including historical and forecasted loss trends, loan-level credit quality ratings and loan grade-specific loss factors. The process involves subjective and complex judgments. In addition, we review a variety of credit metrics and trends. These credit metrics and trends, however, do not solely determine the amount of the allowance as we use several analytical tools. For additional information on our allowance for credit losses, see the Critical Accounting Policies Allowance for Credit Losses section in our 2011 Form 10-K and Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in this Report.

Table 29: Allowance for Credit Losses

(in millions)	June 30, 2012	Mar. 31, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011	Sept. 30, 2011	June 30, 2011
Components:					
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 18,320	18,852	19,372	20,039	20,893
Allowance for unfunded credit commitments	326	277	296	333	369
Allowance for credit losses	\$ 18,646	19,129	19,668	20,372	21,262
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans	2.36 %	2.46	2.52	2.64	2.78
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of annualized net charge-offs	207	196	185	193	184
Allowance for credit losses as a percentage of total loans	2.41	2.50	2.56	2.68	2.83
Allowance for credit losses as a percentage of total nonaccrual loans	91	87	92	93	92

In addition to the allowance for credit losses, there was \$9.2 billion at June 30, 2012, and \$10.7 billion at December 31, 2011, of nonaccretable difference to absorb losses for PCI loans. The allowance for credit losses is lower than otherwise would have been required without PCI loan accounting. As a result of PCI loans, certain ratios of the Company may not be directly comparable with prior periods. For additional information on PCI loans, see the Risk Management Credit Risk Management Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans section and Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in this Report.

The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to total nonaccrual loans may fluctuate significantly from period to period due to such factors as the mix of loan types in the portfolio, borrower credit strength and the value and marketability of collateral. Over half of nonaccrual loans were home mortgages at June 30, 2012.

The \$532 million linked-quarter decline in the allowance for loan losses in second quarter 2012 reflected continued improvement in consumer delinquency trends and improved portfolio performance. Total provision for credit losses was \$1.8 billion in each of second quarter 2012 and 2011. The second quarter 2012 provision was \$400 million less than net charge-offs, compared with a provision that was \$400 million, \$600 million, \$800 million, and \$1.0 billion less than net charge-offs in the first quarter of 2012 and fourth, third and second quarters of 2011, respectively.

In determining the appropriate allowance attributable to our residential real estate portfolios, our process considers the associated credit cost, including re-defaults of modified loans and projected loss severity for loan modifications that occur or are probable to occur. In addition, our process incorporates the

estimated allowance associated with recent events including our settlement announced in first quarter 2012 with federal and state government entities relating to our mortgage servicing and foreclosure practices and high risk portfolios defined in the Interagency Guidance relating to

junior lien mortgages.

Changes in the allowance reflect changes in statistically derived loss estimates, historical loss experience, current trends in borrower risk and/or general economic activity on portfolio performance, and management s estimate for imprecision and uncertainty.

We believe the allowance for credit losses of \$18.6 billion was appropriate to cover credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio, including unfunded credit commitments, at June 30, 2012. The allowance for credit losses is subject to change and reflects existing factors at the time of determination, including economic or market conditions and ongoing internal and external examination processes. Due to the sensitivity of the allowance for credit losses to changes in the economy and business environment, it is possible that we will incur incremental credit losses not anticipated as of the balance sheet date. Absent significant deterioration in the economy, we expect continued but more modest improvement in credit performance for the remainder of 2012, and we continue to expect future allowance releases in 2012. Our process for determining the allowance for credit losses is discussed in the Critical Accounting Policies Allowance for Credit Losses section in our 2011 Form 10-K and Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to the Financial Statements in this Report.

36

Risk Management (continued)

LIABILITY FOR MORTGAGE LOAN REPURCHASE LOSSES We sell residential mortgage loans to various parties, including (1) government-sponsored entities Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae (GSEs) who include the mortgage loans in GSE-guaranteed mortgage securitizations, (2) SPEs that issue private label MBS, and (3) other financial institutions that purchase mortgage loans for investment or private label securitization. In addition, we pool FHA-insured and VA-guaranteed mortgage loans that back securities guaranteed by GNMA. We may be required to repurchase these mortgage loans, indemnify the securitization trust, investor or insurer, or reimburse the securitization trust, investor or insurer for credit losses incurred on loans (collectively, repurchase) in the event of a breach of contractual representations or warranties that is not remedied within a period (usually 90 days or less) after we receive notice of the breach.

We have established a mortgage repurchase liability related to various representations and warranties that reflect management s estimate of probable losses for loans for which we have a repurchase obligation, whether or not we currently service those loans, based on a combination of factors. Our mortgage repurchase liability estimation process also incorporates a forecast for repurchase demands associated with mortgage insurance rescission activity. Repurchase demands have primarily related to 2006 through 2008 vintages and to GSE-guaranteed MBS.

During second quarter 2012, we continued to experience elevated levels of repurchase activity measured by the number of investor repurchase demands and our level of repurchases. We repurchased or reimbursed investors for incurred losses on mortgage loans with original balances of \$847 million in second quarter 2012, compared with \$598 million a year ago. In second quarter 2011, we also negotiated a settlement on a pool of mortgage loans with original sold balances of \$302 million. This settlement occurred with a private investor to whom we had sold the loans and settled all future mortgage repurchase requests for this pool of loans with this counterparty. We incurred net losses on repurchased loans and investor reimbursements totaling \$349 million in second quarter 2012 compared with \$261 million a year ago.

Table 30 provides the number of unresolved repurchase demands and mortgage insurance rescissions. We do not typically receive repurchase requests from GNMA, FHA/HUD or VA. As an originator of an FHA insured or VA guaranteed loan, we are responsible for obtaining the insurance with FHA or the guarantee with the VA. To the extent we are not able to obtain the insurance or the guarantee we must request to repurchase the loan from the GNMA pool. Such repurchases from GNMA pools typically represent a self-initiated process upon discovery of the uninsurable loan (usually within 180 days from funding of the loan). Alternatively, in lieu of repurchasing loans from GNMA pools, we may be asked by the FHA/HUD or the VA to indemnify them (as applicable) for defects found in the Post Endorsement Technical Review process or audits performed by FHA/HUD or the VA. Our liability for mortgage loan repurchase losses incorporates probable losses associated with such indemnification.

Table 30: Unresolved Repurchase Demands and Mortgage Insurance Rescissions

			vernment				N					
	spon	sored er	ntities (1)		Pr	ivate	rescissions v	vith n	o demand (2)			Total
	Number of	Orig	ginal loan Nu	ımber of	Original	loan	Number of		Original loan	Number of	O	riginal loan
(\$ in millions)	loans	ba	lance (3)	loans	balanc	e (3)	loans		balance (3)	loans		balance (3)
2012												
June 30,	5,687	\$	1,265	913	\$	213	840	\$	188	7,440	\$	1,666
March 31,	6,333		1,398	857		241	970		217	8,160		1,856
2011												
December 31,	7,066		1,575	470		167	1,178		268	8,714		2,010
September 30,	6,577		1,500	582		208	1,508		314	8,667		2,022
June 30,	6,876		1,565	695		230	2,019		444	9,590		2,239
March 31,	6,210		1,395	1,973		424	2,885		674	11,068		2,493

- (1) Includes repurchase demands of 526 and \$103 million, 694 and \$131 million, 861 and \$161 million, 878 and \$173 million and 892 and \$179 million, for June 30 and March 31, 2012, and December 31, September 30 and June 30, 2011, respectively, received from investors on mortgage servicing rights acquired from other originators. We generally have the right of recourse against the seller and may be able to recover losses related to such repurchase demands subject to counterparty risk associated with the seller. The number of repurchase demands from GSEs that are from mortgage loans originated in 2006 through 2008 totaled 78% at June 30, 2012.
- (2) As part of our representations and warranties in our loan sales contracts, we typically represent to GSEs and private investors that certain loans have mortgage insurance to the extent there are loans that have loan to value ratios in excess of 80% that require mortgage insurance. To the extent the mortgage insurance is rescinded by the mortgage insurer due to a claim of breach of a contractual representation or warranty, the lack of insurance may result in a repurchase demand from an investor. Similar to repurchase demands, we evaluate mortgage insurance rescission notices for validity and appeal for reinstatement if the rescission was not based on a contractual breach. When investor demands are received due to lack of mortgage insurance, they are reported as unresolved repurchase demands based on the applicable investor category for the loan (GSE or private). Over the last year, approximately 20% of our repurchase demands from GSEs had mortgage insurance rescission as one of the reasons for the repurchase demand. Of all the mortgage insurance rescissions notices received in 2011, approximately 80% have resulted in repurchase demands through June 2012. Not all mortgage insurance rescissions received in 2011 have been completed through the appeals process with the mortgage insurer and, upon successful appeal, we work with the investor to rescind the repurchase demand.
- (3) While the original loan balances related to these demands are presented above, the establishment of the repurchase liability is based on a combination of factors, such as our appeals success rates, reimbursement by correspondent and other third party originators, and projected loss severity, which is driven by the difference between the current loan balance and the estimated collateral value less costs to sell the property.

37

The overall level of unresolved repurchase demands and mortgage insurance rescissions outstanding at June 30, 2012, was down from a year ago in both number of outstanding loans and in total dollar balances as we continued to work through the demands and mortgage insurance rescissions. Customary with industry practice, we have the right of recourse against correspondent lenders from whom we have purchased loans with respect to representations and warranties. Of total repurchase demands and mortgage insurance recissions outstanding as of June 30, 2012, presented in Table 30, approximately 20% relate to loans purchased from correspondent lenders. Due primarily to the financial difficulties of some correspondent lenders, we are currently recovering on average approximately 45% of losses from these lenders. Historical recovery rates as well as projected lender performance are incorporated in the establishment of our mortgage repurchase liability.

We believe we have a high quality residential mortgage loan servicing portfolio. Of the \$1.9 trillion in the residential mortgage loan servicing portfolio at June 30, 2012, 93% was current, less than 2% was subprime at origination, and less than 1% was home equity securitizations. Our combined delinquency and foreclosure rate on this portfolio was 7.14% at June 30, 2012, compared with 7.96% at December 31, 2011. Five percent of this portfolio are private label securitizations where we originated the loans and therefore have some repurchase risk. We believe the risk of repurchase in our private label securitizations is substantially reduced, relative to other private label securitizations, because approximately half of this portfolio of private label securitizations do not contain representations

and warranties regarding borrower or other third party misrepresentations related to the mortgage loan, general compliance with underwriting guidelines, or property valuation, which are commonly asserted bases for repurchase. For this 5% private label securitization segment of our residential mortgage loan servicing portfolio (weighted average age of 81 months), 58% are loans from 2005 vintages or earlier; 79% were prime at origination; and approximately 65% are jumbo loans. The weighted-average LTV as of June 30, 2012 for this private securitization segment was 76%. We believe the highest risk segment of these private label securitizations is the subprime loans originated in 2006 and 2007. These subprime loans have seller representations and warranties and currently have LTVs close to or exceeding 100%, and represent 9% of the private label securitization portion of the residential mortgage servicing portfolio. We had only \$58 million of repurchases related to private label securitizations in the second quarter 2012. Of the servicing portfolio, 4% is non-agency acquired servicing and 1% is private whole loan sales. We did not underwrite and securitize the non-agency acquired servicing and therefore we have no obligation on that portion of our servicing portfolio to the investor for any repurchase demands arising from origination practices. For the private whole loan segment, while we do have repurchase risk on these loans, less than 2% were subprime at origination and loans that were sold and subsequently securitized are included in the private label securitization segment discussed above.

Table 31 summarizes the changes in our mortgage repurchase liability.

Table 31: Changes in Mortgage Repurchase Liability

				Qua	rter ended
(in additional)	June 30, 2012	Mar. 31, 2012	Dec. 31,	Sept. 30,	June 30,
(in millions) Balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,444	1,326	2011 1,194	2011 1,188	2011 1,207
Provision for repurchase losses:					
Loan sales	72	62	27	19	20
Change in estimate (1)	597	368	377	371	222
Total additions	669	430	404	390	242
Losses	(349)	(312)	(272)	(384)	(261)
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,764	1,444	1,326	1,194	1,188

(1) Results from changes in investor demand and mortgage insurer practices, credit deterioration and changes in the financial stability of correspondent lenders.

38

Risk Management (continued)

The mortgage repurchase liability of \$1.8 billion at June 30, 2012 represents our best estimate of the probable loss that we expect to incur for various representations and warranties in the contractual provisions of our sales of mortgage loans. The mortgage repurchase liability estimation process requires management to make difficult, subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain, including demand expectations, economic factors, and the specific characteristics of the loans subject to repurchase. Our evaluation considers the collective actions of the GSEs and their regulator, the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), mortgage insurers and our correspondent lenders. We maintain regular contact with the GSEs, the FHFA, and other significant investors to monitor their repurchase demand practices and issues as part of our process to update our repurchase liability estimate as new information becomes available.

Our liability for mortgage repurchases, included in Accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet, was \$1.8 billion at June 30, 2012 and \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2011. In the quarter ended June 30, 2012, we provided \$669 million, which reduced net gains on mortgage loan origination/sales activities, compared with a provision of \$242 million a year ago. Our provision in second quarter 2012 reflected an increase in projections of future GSE repurchase demands, net of appeals, for the 2006 through 2008 vintages to incorporate the impact of recent trends in file requests and repurchase demand activity (comprising approximately 70% of the second quarter 2012 provision), an increase in probable loss estimates for mortgage insurance rescissions (approximately 10%), new loan sales (approximately 10%) and various other observed trends affecting our repurchase liability including higher than anticipated loss severity (approximately 10%). The increase in projected future GSE repurchase demands in the quarter was predominately a result of higher than anticipated GSE file requests, a key leading indicator of future demand activity, and higher repurchase demands, both related to loans with characteristics that did not historically result in either file reviews or repurchases. This trend was partially offset by an improving appeals success rate which reflects the on-going dialogue with the GSEs over what qualifies for repurchase.

Because of the uncertainty in the various estimates underlying the mortgage repurchase liability, there is a range of losses in excess of the recorded mortgage repurchase liability that are reasonably possible. The estimate of the range of possible loss for representations and warranties does not represent a probable loss, and is based on currently available information, significant judgment, and a number of assumptions that are subject to change. The high end of this range of reasonably possible losses in excess of our recorded liability was \$2.6 billion at June 30, 2012, and was determined based upon modifying the assumptions utilized in our best estimate of probable loss to reflect what we believe to be the high end of reasonably possible adverse assumptions. For additional information on our repurchase liability, see the Critical Accounting Policies Liability for Mortgage Loan Repurchase Losses section in our 2011 Form 10-K and and Note 8 (Mortgage Banking Activities) to Financial Statements in this Report.

To the extent that economic conditions and the housing market do not improve or future investor repurchase demands and appeals success rates differ from past experience, we could continue to have increased demands and increased loss severity on repurchases, causing future additions to the repurchase liability. However, some of the underwriting standards that were permitted by the GSEs for conforming loans in the 2006 through 2008 vintages, which significantly contributed to recent levels of repurchase demands, were tightened starting in mid to late 2008. Accordingly, we do not expect, and have not experienced, a similar rate of repurchase requests from the 2009 and later vintages, absent unanticipated deterioration in economic conditions or changes in investor behavior.

RISKS RELATING TO SERVICING ACTIVITIES In addition to servicing loans in our portfolio, we act as servicer and/or master servicer of residential mortgage loans included in GSE-guaranteed mortgage securitizations, GNMA-guaranteed mortgage securitizations of FHA/VA-guaranteed mortgages and private label mortgage securitizations, as well as for unsecuritized loans owned by institutional investors. For additional information regarding risks relating to our servicing activities, see pages 73-77 in our 2011 Form 10-K.

In April 2011, the FRB and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) issued Consent Orders that require us to correct deficiencies in our residential mortgage loan servicing and foreclosure practices that were identified by federal banking regulators in their fourth quarter 2010 review. The Consent Orders also require that we improve our servicing and foreclosure practices. We have implemented nearly all of the operational changes that resulted from the expanded servicing responsibilities outlined in the Consent Orders.

On February 9, 2012, a federal/state settlement was announced among the DOJ, Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the Department of the Treasury, the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC), the Executive Office of the U.S. Trustee, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, a task force of Attorneys General representing 49 states, Wells Fargo, and four other servicers related to investigations of mortgage industry servicing and foreclosure practices. While Oklahoma did not participate in the larger settlement, it settled separately with the five servicers under a simplified agreement. Under the terms of the larger settlement, which will remain in effect for three and a half years (subject to a trailing review period) we have agreed to the following programmatic commitments, consisting of three components totaling approximately \$5.3 billion:

Consumer Relief Program commitment of \$3.4 billion

Refinance Program commitment of \$900 million

Foreclosure Assistance Program of \$1 billion

Additionally and simultaneously, the OCC and FRB announced the imposition of civil money penalties of \$83 million and \$87 million, respectively, pursuant to the Consent Orders. While still subject to FRB and OCC confirmation, Wells Fargo believes the civil money obligations were satisfied through payments made under the Foreclosure Assistance Program to the federal government and participating

Table of Contents 71

30

states for their use to address the impact of foreclosure challenges as they determine and which may include direct payments to consumers.

We began receiving credit towards satisfaction of the requirements of the Consumer Relief Program for activities taken on or after March 1, 2012. We can also receive an additional 25% credit for first or second lien principal reduction taken within one year from March 1, 2012. Because we will not receive dollar-for-dollar credit for the relief provided in some circumstances, the actual relief we provide to borrowers will likely exceed our commitment. The terms also require that we satisfy 75% of the commitments under the Consumer Relief Program within two years from March 1, 2012. If we do not meet this two-year requirement and also do not meet the entire commitment within three years, we are required to pay an amount equal to 140% of the unmet commitment amount. If we meet the two-year commitment target, but do not meet the entire commitment amount within the three years, we are required to pay an amount equal to 125% of the unmet commitment amount. We expect that we will be able to meet our commitment (and state-level sub-commitments) on the Consumer Relief Program within the required timeframes. We expect to be able to meet our Consumer Relief Program commitment primarily through our first and second lien modification and short sale and other deficiency balance waiver programs. We have evaluated our commitment along with the menu of credits and believe that fulfilling our commitment under the Consumer Relief Program has been appropriately considered in our estimation for the allowance for loan losses as well as our cash flow projections to evaluate the nonaccretable difference for our PCI portfolios at June 30, 2012.

We will receive credit under the Refinance Program for activities taken on or after March 1, 2012. The Refinance Program allows for an additional 25% credit (additional credit) for all refinance credits earned in the first 12 months of the program. We expect that we will be able to complete the number of refinances necessary to satisfy the entire credit in the first 12 months of offering the Refinance Program. If successful in this regard, the estimated lifetime amount of interest income reduction to the portfolio will be approximately \$1.4 billion to \$1.7 billion and the additional credit earned will be \$360 million to \$423 million.

We expect that we will refinance approximately 34,000 to 40,000 borrowers with an unpaid principal balance of approximately \$7.0 billion to \$8.0 billion under the Refinance Program. Based on the mix of loans we anticipate will be refinanced, we estimate their weighted average note rate will be reduced by approximately 260 basis points and that their weighted average estimated remaining life will be approximately 8 years. These estimates will be affected by the actual number of eligible borrowers that accept a refinance offer, their existing and new note rates and the remaining term of the actual loans refinanced. The impact of fulfilling our commitment under the Refinance Program will be recognized over a period of years in the form of lower interest income as qualified borrowers benefit from reduced interest rates on loans refinanced under the Refinance Program. Based on our expectation that we will fulfill the credit needs for the Refinance Program within the first

12 months, we expect the future reduction in interest income to be approximately \$183 million to \$215 million annually. As a result of refinancings under the Refinance Program, we will be forgoing interest that we may not otherwise have agreed to forgo. No loss was recognized in our financial statements for this estimated forgone interest income as the impact will be recognized over a period of years in the form of lower interest income as qualified borrowers benefit from reduced interest rates on loans refinanced under the Refinance Program. The impact of this forgone interest income on our future net interest margin is anticipated to be modestly adverse and will be influenced by the overall mortgage interest rate environment, which products are accepted by the eligible borrowers, and the pace of the execution of the program. The Refinance Program will also affect our fair value for these loans. The estimated reduction of the fair value of our loans for the Refinance Program is approximately \$1.4 billion to \$1.7 billion and will be affected by our actual execution of the program and borrower acceptance rates.

The expectations discussed above about the volume of loans that we may refinance, the resulting reduction in our lifetime and annual interest income, and the reductions in fair value of loans for the Refinance Program exceed the amounts that would result from just meeting our minimum commitments under the Program due to the significantly higher than expected response we have received from our customers in second quarter 2012, which is partially driven by product changes and the decision to hold interest rates consistent with the prevailing market environment.

Although this component of the settlement relates to borrowers in good standing as to their payment history who are not experiencing financial difficulty, we will evaluate each borrower to confirm their ability to repay their mortgage obligation. This evaluation will include reviewing key credit and underwriting policy metrics to validate that these borrowers are not experiencing financial difficulty and therefore, actions taken under the Refinance Program will not generally be considered a troubled debt restructuring. To the extent we determine that an eligible borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, we will consider alternative modification programs that may result in loans being classified and accounted for as troubled debt restructurings.

We expect that we will be able to meet the obligations of our commitment for the Refinance Program (and any state-level sub-commitments) and will not be required to pay for not meeting our commitment.

We have begun executing activities under both the Consumer Relief and the Refinance Programs in accordance with the terms of our commitments. We are required to provide our first report of progress against our commitments to the third party monitor on November 14, 2012.

Other Mortgage Matters On July 12, 2012, we entered into a settlement agreement with the DOJ resolving the DOJ s claims that some of our mortgages may have had a disparate impact on some African-American and Hispanic borrowers. The DOJ claims were based on a statistical survey of Wells Fargo Home Mortgage (WFHM) loans between 2004 and 2009, and the

40

Risk Management (continued)

claims primarily related to mortgages priced and sold to consumers by independent mortgage brokers. In the settlement, we denied the claims, but agreed to pay \$125 million to borrowers that the DOJ believes were adversely impacted by mortgages priced and sold by independent mortgage brokers through the wholesale division of WFHM. The settlement also resolved pending litigation filed in 2009 by the State of Illinois and an investigative complaint filed by the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission. As part of the settlement, we also agreed to pay \$50 million to fund a community support program in approximately eight cities or metropolitan statistical areas, as to be agreed upon between the DOJ and Wells Fargo, and agreed to undertake an internal lending compliance review of a small percentage of subprime mortgages delivered through our retail channel during the period of 2004 to 2008 and will rebate borrowers as appropriate. Expenses related to the settlement were fully accrued for as of the end of second quarter 2012. While not part of the settlement, Wells Fargo also announced that as of July 13, 2012, it voluntarily discontinued the funding of mortgages that are originated, priced and sold by independent mortgage brokers through the WFHM wholesale division. Mortgages sold by independent mortgage brokers in this manner represented approximately 5% of Wells Fargo s home mortgage funded volume in second quarter 2012. For additional information on this and other legal matters related to our mortgage servicing activities and mortgage-related practices, see pages 73-77 in our 2011 Form 10-K and Note 11 (Legal Actions) to Financial Statements in this Report.

41

Asset/Liability Management

Asset/liability management involves evaluating, monitoring and managing of interest rate risk, market risk, liquidity and funding. The Corporate Asset/Liability Management Committee (Corporate ALCO), which oversees these risks and reports periodically to the Finance Committee of the Board of Directors, consists of senior financial and business executives. Each of our principal business groups has its own asset/liability management committee and process linked to the Corporate ALCO process.

INTEREST RATE RISK Interest rate risk, which potentially can have a significant earnings impact, is an integral part of being a financial intermediary. We assess interest rate risk by comparing our most likely earnings plan with various earnings simulations using many interest rate scenarios that differ in the direction of interest rate changes, the degree of change over time, the speed of change and the projected shape of the yield curve. For example, as of June 30, 2012, our most recent simulation indicated estimated earnings at risk of less than 1% of our most likely earnings plan over the next 12 months under a range of both lower and higher interest rates, including a scenario in which the federal funds rate remains unchanged and the 10-year Constant Maturity Treasury bond yield averages below 1.20%, and a scenario in which the federal funds rate rises to 3.75% and the 10-year Constant Maturity Treasury bond yield increases to 5.10%. Simulation estimates depend on, and will change with, the size and mix of our actual and projected balance sheet at the time of each simulation. Due to timing differences between the quarterly valuation of MSRs and the eventual impact of interest rates on mortgage banking volumes, earnings at risk in any particular quarter could be higher than the average earnings at risk over the 12-month simulation period, depending on the path of interest rates and on our hedging strategies for MSRs. See the Risk Management Mortgage Banking Interest Rate and Market Risk below for more information.

We use exchange-traded and over-the-counter (OTC) interest rate derivatives to hedge our interest rate exposures. The notional or contractual amount, credit risk amount and estimated net fair value of these derivatives as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, are presented in Note 12 (Derivatives) to Financial Statements in this Report.

For additional information regarding interest rate risk, see page 78 of our 2011 Form 10-K.

MORTGAGE BANKING INTEREST RATE AND MARKET RISK We originate, fund and service mortgage loans, which subjects us to various risks, including credit, liquidity and interest rate risks. For a discussion of mortgage banking interest rate and market risk, see pages 78-80 of our 2011 Form 10-K.

While our hedging activities are designed to balance our mortgage banking interest rate risks, the financial instruments we use may not perfectly correlate with the values and income being hedged. For example, the change in the value of ARM production held for sale from changes in mortgage interest rates may or may not be fully offset by Treasury and LIBOR index-based financial instruments used as economic hedges for such ARMs. Additionally, hedge-carry income on our economic

hedges for the MSRs may not continue if the spread between short-term and long-term rates decreases, we shift composition of the hedge to more interest rate swaps, or there are other changes in the market for mortgage forwards that affect the implied carry.

The total carrying value of our residential and commercial MSRs was \$13.2 billion at June 30, 2012, and \$14.0 billion at December 31, 2011. The weighted-average note rate on our portfolio of loans serviced for others was 4.97% at June 30, 2012, and 5.14% at December 31, 2011. The carrying value of our total MSRs represented 0.69% of mortgage loans serviced for others at June 30, 2012, and 0.76% at December 31, 2011.

MARKET RISK TRADING ACTIVITIES From a market risk perspective, our net income is exposed to changes in interest rates, credit spreads, foreign exchange rates, equity and commodity prices and their implied volatilities. The primary purpose of our trading businesses is to accommodate customers in the management of their market price risks. Also, we take positions based on market expectations or to benefit from price differences between financial instruments and markets, subject to risk limits established and monitored by our Corporate ALCO. All securities, foreign exchange transactions, commodity transactions and derivatives used in our trading businesses are carried at fair value. Our Market and Institutional Risk Committee, which provides governance and oversight over market risk-taking activities across the Company, establishes and monitors counterparty risk limits. The credit risk amount and estimated net fair value of all customer accommodation derivatives at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, are included in Note 12 (Derivatives) to Financial Statements in this Report. Open at risk positions for all trading businesses are monitored by Corporate ALCO. Table 32 presents net gains from trading activities attributable to the following types of activity:

Table 32: Trading Activities

	Quarter er	Six months ended June 30,		
(in millions)	2012	2011	2012	2011
Customer accommodation	\$ 356	190	690	687
Economic hedging	(92)	247	199	348
Proprietary	(1)	(23)	14	(9)
Total net trading gains (losses)	\$ 263	414	903	1,026

The amounts reflected in the table above capture only gains (losses) due to changes in fair value of our trading positions and are reported within net gains (losses) on trading activities within the noninterest income line item of the income statement. These amounts do not include interest income and other fees earned from related activities, which are reported within interest income from trading assets and other fees within noninterest income line items of the income statement. Categorization of net gains (losses) from trading activities in the previous table is based on our own definition of those categories, as further described below, because no uniform industry definitions currently exist.

42

Customer accommodation trading consists of security or derivative transactions conducted in an effort to help customers manage their market price risks and are done on their behalf or driven by their investment needs. For the majority of our customer accommodation trading we serve as intermediary between buyer and seller. For example, we may enter into financial instruments with customers who use the instruments for risk management purposes and offset our exposure on such contracts by entering into separate instruments. Customer accommodation trading also includes net gains related to market-making activities in which we take positions to facilitate expected customer order flow.

Economic hedges consist primarily of cash or derivative positions used to facilitate certain of our balance sheet risk management activities that did not qualify for hedge accounting or were not designated in a hedge accounting relationship. Economic hedges may also include securities that we elected to carry at fair value with changes in fair value recorded to earnings in order to mitigate accounting measurement mismatches or avoid embedded derivative accounting complexities.

Proprietary trading consists of security or derivative positions executed for our own account based on market expectations or to benefit from price differences between financial instruments and markets. Proprietary trading activity is expected to be restricted by the Dodd-Frank Act prohibitions known as the Volcker Rule, which has not yet been finalized. On October 11, 2011, federal banking agencies and the SEC issued for public comment proposed regulations to implement the Volcker Rule. We believe our definition of proprietary trading is consistent with the proposed regulations. However, given that final rule-making is required by various governmental regulatory agencies to define proprietary trading within the context of the final Volcker Rule, our definition of proprietary trading may change. We have reduced or exited certain business activities in anticipation of the final Volcker Rule. As discussed within the noninterest income section of our financial results, proprietary trading activity is not significant to our financial results. See the Regulatory Reform sections in our 2011 Form 10-K and in our 2012 First Quarter Form 10-Q for additional information on the Volcker Rule.

The fair value of our trading derivatives is reported in Notes 12 (Derivatives) and 13 (Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities) to Financial Statements in this Report. The fair value of our trading securities is reported in Note 13 (Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities) to Financial Statements in this Report.

The standardized approach for monitoring and reporting market risk for the trading activities consists of value-at-risk (VaR) metrics complemented with sensitivity analysis and stress testing. VaR measures the worst expected loss over a given time interval and within a given confidence interval. We measure and report daily VaR at a 99% confidence interval based on actual changes in rates and prices over the previous 250 trading days. The analysis captures all financial instruments that are considered trading positions. The average one-day VaR throughout second quarter 2012 was \$31 million, with a lower bound of \$22 million and an upper bound of \$50 million.

MARKET RISK EQUITY MARKETS We are directly and indirectly affected by changes in the equity markets. For additional information regarding market risk related to equity markets, see page 81 of our 2011 Form 10-K.

Table 33 provides information regarding our marketable and nonmarketable equity investments.

Table 33: Nonmarketable and Marketable Equity Investments

(in millions)	June 30, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011
Nonmarketable equity investments:		
Cost method:		
Private equity investments	\$ 3,691	3,444
Federal bank stock	4.432	4.617

Total cost method		8,123	8,061
Equity method:			
LIHTC investments (1)		4,073	4,077
Private equity and other		4,792	4,670
Total equity method		8,865	8,747
Total nonmarketable equity investments (2)	\$	16,988	16,808
Marketable equity securities:			
	ø	2.479	2.020
Cost	\$	2,478	2,929
Net unrealized gains		369	488
Total marketable equity securities (3)	\$	2,847	3,417

⁽¹⁾ Represents low income housing tax credit investments

43

⁽²⁾ Included in other assets on the balance sheet. See Note 6 (Other Assets) to Financial Statements in this Report for additional information.

⁽³⁾ Included in securities available for sale. See Note 4 (Securities Available for Sale) to Financial Statements in this Report for additional information.

LIQUIDITY AND FUNDING The objective of effective liquidity management is to ensure that we can meet customer loan requests, customer deposit maturities/withdrawals and other cash commitments efficiently under both normal operating conditions and under unpredictable circumstances of industry or market stress. To achieve this objective, the Corporate ALCO establishes and monitors liquidity guidelines that require sufficient asset-based liquidity to cover potential funding requirements and to avoid over-dependence on volatile, less reliable funding markets. We set these guidelines for both the consolidated balance sheet and for the Parent to ensure that the Parent is a source of strength for its regulated, deposit-taking banking subsidiaries.

Unencumbered debt and equity securities in the securities available-for-sale portfolio provide asset liquidity, in addition to

the immediately liquid resources of cash and due from banks and federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and other short-term investments. Asset liquidity is further enhanced by our ability to sell or securitize loans in secondary markets and to pledge loans to access secured borrowing facilities through the Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLB) and the FRB.

Core customer deposits have historically provided a sizeable source of relatively stable and low-cost funds. At June 30, 2012, core deposits funded 114% of total loans compared with 108% a year ago. Additional funding is provided by long-term debt, other foreign deposits, and short-term borrowings.

Table 34 shows selected information for short-term borrowings, which generally mature in less than 30 days.

Table 34: Short-Term Borrowings

				Qua	rter ended
(in millions)	June 30, 2012	Mar. 31, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011	Sept. 30, 2011	June 30, 2011
(III IIIIIIOIIS)	2012	2012	2011	2011	2011
Balance, period end					
Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings	\$ 19,695	17,759	18,053	17,444	17,357
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	36,328	33,205	31,038	33,331	36,524
Total	\$ 56,023	50,964	49,091	50,775	53,881
Average daily balance for period					
Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings	\$ 18,072	18,038	17,301	17,040	17,105
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	33,626	30,344	31,441	33,333	36,235
Total	\$ 51,698	48,382	48,742	50,373	53,340
Maximum month-end balance for period					
Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings (1)	\$ 19,695	18,323	18,053	17,569	18,234
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase (2)	36,328	33,205	32,354	33,331	36,524

- (1) Highest month-end balance in each of the last five quarters was in June and January 2012, and December, July and April 2011.
- (2) Highest month-end balance in each of the last five quarters was in June and March 2012, and October, September and June 2011.

We access domestic and international capital markets for long-term funding through issuances of registered debt securities, private placements and asset-backed secured funding. Investors in the long-term capital markets, as well as other market participants, generally will consider, among other factors, a company s debt rating in making investment decisions. Rating agencies base their ratings on many quantitative and qualitative factors, including capital adequacy, liquidity, asset quality, business mix, the level and quality of earnings, and rating agency assumptions regarding the probability and extent of Federal financial assistance or support for certain large financial institutions. Adverse changes in these factors could result in a reduction of our credit rating; however, a reduction in credit rating would not cause us to violate any of our debt covenants. There were no changes to our credit ratings in second quarter 2012. See the Risk Management Asset/Liability Management and Risk Factors sections in our 2011 Form 10-K for additional information regarding our credit ratings as of December 31, 2011, and the potential impact a credit rating downgrade would have on our liquidity and operations, as well as Note 12 (Derivatives) to Financial Statements in this Report for information regarding additional collateral and funding

obligations required for certain derivative instruments in the event our credit ratings were to fall below investment grade.

On December 20, 2011, the FRB proposed enhanced liquidity risk management rules. The proposed rules would require modifications to our existing liquidity risk management processes. This includes increased frequency of liquidity reporting and stress testing along with additional corporate governance. We will continue to analyze the proposed rules and other regulatory proposals that may affect liquidity risk management, including Basel III, to determine the level of operational or compliance impact to Wells Fargo. For additional information see the Capital Management and Regulatory Reform sections in this Report and in our 2011 Form 10-K.

Parent Under SEC rules, our Parent is classified as a well-known seasoned issuer, which allows it to file a registration statement that does not have a limit on issuance capacity. In April 2012, the Parent filed a registration statement with the SEC for the issuance of senior and subordinated notes, preferred stock and other securities. The Parent s ability to issue debt and other securities under this registration statement is limited by the debt issuance authority granted by the Board. The Parent is currently authorized by the Board to issue \$60 billion in

44

outstanding short-term debt and \$170 billion in outstanding long-term debt. During the first half of 2012, the Parent issued \$11.7 billion of senior notes, of which \$10.4 billion were registered with the SEC.

The Parent s proceeds from securities issued in the first half of 2012 were used for general corporate purposes, and, unless otherwise specified in the applicable prospectus or prospectus supplement, we expect the proceeds from securities issued in the future will be used for the same purposes. Depending on market conditions, we may purchase our outstanding debt securities from time to time in privately negotiated or open market transactions, by tender offer, or otherwise.

Table 35 provides information regarding the Parent s medium-term note (MTN) programs. The Parent may issue senior and subordinated debt securities under Series L & M, and the European and Australian programmes. Under Series K, the Parent may issue senior debt securities linked to one or more indices or bearing interest at a fixed or floating rate.

Table 35: Medium-Term Note (MTN) Programs

(in billions)	Date established		Debt issuance authority	June 30, 2012 Available for issuance
MTN program:				
Series L & M (1)	May 2012		\$ 25.0	22.3
Series K (1) (3)	April 2010		25.0	23.6
European (2)	December 2009		25.0	24.1
Australian (2) (4)	June 2005	AUD	10.0	6.8

- (1) SEC registered.
- (2) Not registered with the SEC. May not be offered in the United States without applicable exemptions from registration.
- (3) As amended in April 2012.
- (4) As amended in October 2005 and March 2010.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. is authorized by its board of directors to issue \$100 billion in outstanding short-term debt and \$125 billion in outstanding long-term debt. At June 30, 2012, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. had available \$100 billion in short-term debt issuance authority and \$104.2 billion in long-term debt issuance authority. In March 2012, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. established a \$100 billion bank note program under which, subject to any other debt outstanding under the limits described above, it may issue \$50 billion in outstanding short-term senior notes and \$50 billion in outstanding long-term senior or subordinated notes. During the first half of 2012, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. issued \$2.4 billion of senior notes. At June 30, 2012, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. had remaining issuance capacity under the bank note program of \$50 billion in short-term senior notes and \$47.6 billion in long-term senior or subordinated notes.

Wells Fargo Canada Corporation In January 2012, Wells Fargo Canada Corporation (WFCC, formerly known as Wells Fargo Financial Canada Corporation), an indirect wholly owned Canadian subsidiary of the Parent, qualified with the Canadian provincial securities commissions a base shelf prospectus for the distribution from time to time in Canada of up to CAD \$7.0 billion in medium-term notes. During the first half of 2012, WFCC issued CAD \$1.5 billion in medium-term notes. At June 30, 2012, CAD \$5.0 billion remained available for future issuance. In July 2012, WFCC issued an additional CAD \$1.5 billion in medium-term notes. All medium-term notes issued by WFCC are unconditionally guaranteed by the Parent.

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK MEMBERSHIP We are a member of the Federal Home Loan Banks based in Dallas, Des Moines and San Francisco (collectively, the FHLBs). Each member of the FHLBs is required to maintain a minimum investment in capital stock of the applicable FHLB. The board of directors of each FHLB can increase the minimum investment requirements in the event it has concluded that additional capital is required to allow it to meet its own regulatory capital requirements. Any increase in the minimum investment requirements outside of specified ranges requires the approval of the Federal Housing Finance Board. Because the extent of any obligation to increase our investment in any of the FHLBs depends entirely upon the occurrence of a future event, potential future payments to the FHLBs are not determinable.

The FHLBs are a group of cooperatives that lending institutions use to finance housing and economic development in local communities. About 80% of U.S. lending institutions, including Wells Fargo, rely on the FHLBs for low-cost funds. We use the funds to support home mortgage lending and other community investments.

45

Capital Management

We have an active program for managing stockholders equity and regulatory capital and maintain a comprehensive process for assessing the Company's overall capital adequacy. We generate capital primarily through the retention of earnings net of dividends. Our objective is to maintain capital at an amount commensurate with our risk profile and risk tolerance objectives, and to meet both regulatory and market expectations. Our potential sources of stockholders' equity include retained earnings and issuances of common and preferred stock. Retained earnings increased \$6.1 billion from December 31, 2011, predominantly from Wells Fargo net income of \$8.9 billion, less common and preferred stock dividends of \$2.8 billion. During second quarter 2012, we issued approximately 27 million shares of common stock (approximately 74 million for the first half of 2012), substantially all of which was related to employee benefit plans. We also repurchased approximately 42 million shares of common stock in open market transactions and from employee benefit plans during second quarter 2012, at a net cost of \$1.3 billion, and approximately 11 million shares through the settlement in May 2012 of a \$350 million forward purchase contract entered into in April 2012. We entered into an additional \$350 million forward purchase contract in June 2012 that is expected to settle for approximately 11 million shares in third quarter 2012. For additional information about our forward repurchase agreements see Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) to Financial Statements in this Report. During the first half of 2012 we repurchased a total of approximately 44 million shares of common stock at a net cost of \$1.4 billion in open market transactions and from employee plans, as well as approximately 17 million shares of common stock at a net cost of \$500 million from the settlement of forward purchase contracts.

Regulatory Capital Guidelines

The Company and each of our subsidiary banks are subject to various regulatory capital adequacy requirements administered by the FRB and the OCC. Risk-based capital (RBC) guidelines establish a risk-adjusted ratio relating capital to different categories of assets and off-balance sheet exposures. At June 30, 2012, the Company and each of our subsidiary banks were well-capitalized under applicable regulatory capital adequacy guidelines. See Note 20 (Regulatory and Agency Capital Requirements) to Financial Statements in this Report for additional information.

Current regulatory RBC rules are based primarily on broad credit-risk considerations and limited market-related risks, but do not take into account other types of risk facing a financial services company. Our capital adequacy assessment process contemplates a wide range of risks that the Company is exposed to and also takes into consideration our performance under a variety of stressed economic conditions, as well as regulatory expectations and guidance, rating agency viewpoints and the view of capital markets participants.

In 2007, U.S. bank regulators approved a final rule adopting international guidelines for determining regulatory capital

known as Basel II. Basel II incorporates three pillars that address (a) capital adequacy, (b) supervisory review, which relates to the computation of capital and internal assessment processes, and (c) market discipline, through increased disclosure requirements. We are well underway toward Basel II implementation and entered the parallel run phase of Basel II in July 2012. During the parallel run phase, banks must successfully complete at least a four quarter evaluation period under supervision from regulatory agencies in order to be compliant with the Basel II final rule. Our delayed entry into the parallel run phase was approved by the FRB in 2010 as a result of our acquisition of Wachovia.

In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Bank Supervision (BCBS) finalized a set of international guidelines for determining regulatory capital known as Basel III. These guidelines were developed in response to the financial crisis of 2008 and 2009 and address many of the weaknesses identified in the banking sector as contributing to the crisis including excessive leverage, inadequate and low quality capital and insufficient liquidity buffers. The guidelines, among other things, increase minimum capital requirements and when fully phased in require bank holding companies to maintain a minimum ratio of Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets of at least 7.0% consisting of a minimum ratio of 4.5% plus a 2.5% capital conservation buffer.

The BCBS also proposed additional Tier 1 common equity surcharge requirements for global systemically important banks (G-SIBs). The surcharge ranges from 1.0% to 3.5% depending on the bank s systemic importance to be determined under an indicator-based approach that would consider five broad categories: cross-jurisdictional activity, size, inter-connectedness, substitutability/financial institution infrastructure and complexity. These additional capital requirements, which would be phased in beginning in January 2016 and become fully effective on January 1, 2019, would be in addition to the Basel III 7.0% Tier 1 common equity requirement. The Financial Stability Board has determined that the Company is one of the initial 29 G-SIBs that would be subject to the surcharge, but we have not been notified of the surcharge amount applicable to us.

U.S. regulatory authorities have been considering the BCBS capital guidelines and proposals, and in June 2012, the U.S. banking regulators jointly published three notices of proposed rulemaking that are essentially intended to implement the BCBS capital guidelines for U.S. banks. Together these notices of proposed rulemaking would, among other things:

implement in the United States the Basel III regulatory capital reforms including those that revise the definition of capital, increase minimum capital ratios, and introduce a minimum Tier 1 common equity ratio of 4.5% and a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% (for a total minimum Tier 1 common equity ratio of 7.0%) and a potential countercyclical buffer of up to 2.5%, which would be imposed by regulators at their discretion if it is determined that a period of excessive credit growth is contributing to an increase in systemic risk;

46

Capital Management (continued)

revise Basel I rules for calculating risk-weighted assets to enhance risk sensitivity;

modify the existing Basel II advanced approaches rules for calculating risk-weighted assets to implement Basel III; and

comply with the Dodd-Frank Act provision prohibiting the reliance on external credit ratings.

The U.S. banking regulators also approved a final rule to implement changes to the market risk capital rule, which requires banking organizations with significant trading activities to adjust their capital requirements to better account for the market risks of those activities. The notices of proposed rulemaking did not address the BCBS capital surcharge proposals for G-SIBs or the proposed Basel III liquidity standards. U.S. regulatory authorities have indicated that these proposals will be addressed at a later date.

In December 2011, the FRB also proposed rules required under the Dodd-Frank Act that will impose enhanced prudential standards on large bank holding companies (BHCs) such as Wells Fargo, including enhanced capital, stress testing and liquidity requirements.

Although uncertainty exists regarding final capital rules, we evaluate the impact of Basel III on our capital ratios based on our interpretation of the proposed capital requirements and we estimate that our Tier 1 common equity ratio under the latest Basel III capital proposals exceeded the fully phased-in minimum of 7.0% by 78 basis points at June 30, 2012. The proposed Basel III capital rules and interpretations and assumptions used in estimating our Basel III calculations are subject to change depending on final promulgation of Basel III capital rulemaking.

Table 36 and Table 37, which appear at the end of this Capital Management section, provide information regarding our Tier 1 common equity calculation under Basel I and as estimated under Basel III, respectively.

Capital Planning

In late 2011, the FRB finalized rules to require large BHCs to submit capital plans annually and to obtain regulatory approval before making capital distributions. The rule requires updates to capital plans in the event of material changes in a BHC s risk profile, including as a result of any significant acquisitions.

Under the FRB s new capital plan rule, our 2012 Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR) included a comprehensive capital plan supported by an assessment of expected uses and sources of capital over a given planning horizon under a range of expected and stress scenarios, similar to the process the FRB relied upon to conduct a CCAR in 2011. As part of the 2012 CCAR, the FRB also generated a supervisory stress test driven by a sharp decline in the economy and significant decline in asset pricing using the information provided by the Company to estimate performance.

On March 13, 2012, the FRB notified us that it did not object to our 2012 capital plan included in the 2012 CCAR. Since the FRB notification, the Company took several capital actions,

including increasing its quarterly common stock dividend rate to \$0.22 a share and redeeming during the second quarter a total of \$2.7 billion of trust preferred securities that will no longer count as Tier 1 capital under the Dodd-Frank Act and the proposed Basel III capital standards. In June 2012, we purchased an aggregate of \$2.2 billion of our subordinated debt with an effective yield of 2.02% in tender offers for such securities.

Securities Repurchases

From time to time the Board authorizes the Company to repurchase shares of our common stock. Although we announce when the Board authorizes share repurchases, we typically do not give any public notice before we repurchase our shares. Future stock repurchases may be private or open-market repurchases, including block transactions, accelerated or delayed block transactions, forward transactions, and similar transactions. Additionally, we may enter into plans to purchase stock that satisfy the conditions of Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Various factors determine the amount and timing of our share repurchases, including our capital requirements, the number of shares we expect to issue for employee benefit plans and acquisitions, market conditions (including the trading price of our stock), and regulatory and legal

considerations, including the FRB s response to our capital plan and to changes in our risk profile.

In first quarter 2011, the Board authorized the repurchase of 200 million shares of our common stock. At June 30, 2012, we had remaining authority from the 2011 authorization to purchase approximately 56 million shares. For more information about share repurchases during 2012, see Part II, Item 2 of this Report.

Historically, our policy has been to repurchase shares under the safe harbor conditions of Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 including a limitation on the daily volume of repurchases. Rule 10b-18 imposes an additional daily volume limitation on share repurchases during a pending merger or acquisition in which shares of our stock will constitute some or all of the consideration. Our management may determine that during a pending stock merger or acquisition when the safe harbor would otherwise be available, it is in our best interest to repurchase shares in excess of this additional daily volume limitation. In such cases, we intend to repurchase shares in compliance with the other conditions of the safe harbor, including the standing daily volume limitation that applies whether or not there is a pending stock merger or acquisition.

In connection with our participation in the Capital Purchase Program (CPP), a part of the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP), we issued to the U.S. Treasury Department warrants to purchase 110,261,688 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$34.01 per share expiring on October 28, 2018. The Board authorized the repurchase by the Company of up to \$1 billion of the warrants. On May 26, 2010, in an auction by the U.S. Treasury, we purchased 70,165,963 of the warrants at a price of \$7.70 per warrant. We have purchased an additional 951,426 warrants since the U.S. Treasury auction. At June 30, 2012, there were 39,144,299 warrants outstanding and exercisable and \$452 million of unused warrant repurchase authority. Depending on market conditions, we may purchase from time to time additional warrants in privately negotiated or open market transactions, by tender offer or otherwise.

Table of Contents 86

47

Table 36: Tier 1 Common Equity Under Basel I (1)

(in billions)		June 30, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011
Total equity		\$ 149.4	141.7
Noncontrolling interests		(1.3)	(1.5)
Total Wells Fargo stockholders equity		148.1	140.2
Adjustments: Preferred equity Goodwill and intangible assets (other than MSRs) Applicable deferred taxes MSRs over specified limitations Cumulative other comprehensive income		(10.6) (33.5) 3.5 (0.7) (4.6)	(10.6) (34.0) 3.8 (0.8) (3.1)
Other		(0.5)	(0.4)
		(3.3)	(, ,
Tier 1 common equity	(A)	\$ 101.7	95.1
Total risk-weighted assets (2)	(B)	\$ 1,008.6	1,005.6
Tier 1 common equity to total risk-weighted assets	(A)/(B)	10.08%	9.46
-			

⁽¹⁾ Tier 1 common equity is a non-GAAP financial measure that is used by investors, analysts and bank regulatory agencies to assess the capital position of financial services companies. Management reviews Tier 1 common equity along with other measures of capital as part of its financial analyses and has included this non-GAAP financial information, and the corresponding reconciliation to total equity, because of current interest in such information on the part of market participants.

Table 37: Tier 1 Common Equity Under Basel III (Estimated) (1)(2)

(in billions)		June 30, 2012
Tier 1 common equity under Basel I		\$ 101.7
Adjustments from Basel II to Basel III (3) (5):		
Cumulative other comprehensive income related to AFS securities and defined benefit pension plans		4.2
Other		0.3
Total adjustments from Basel I to Basel III		4.5
Threshold deductions, as defined under Basel III (4) (5)		(0.7)
Tier 1 common equity anticipated under Basel III	(C)	\$ 105.5
Total risk-weighted assets anticipated under Basel III (6)	(D)	\$ 1,355.2

⁽²⁾ Under the regulatory guidelines for risk-based capital, on-balance sheet assets and credit equivalent amounts of derivatives and off-balance sheet items are assigned to one of several broad risk categories according to the obligor or, if relevant, the guarantor or the nature of any collateral. The aggregate dollar amount in each risk category is then multiplied by the risk weight associated with that category. The resulting weighted values from each of the risk categories are aggregated for determining total risk-weighted assets.

Tier 1 common equity to total risk-weighted assets anticipated under Basel III

(C)/(D)

7.78%

- (1) Tier 1 common equity is a non-GAAP financial measure that is used by investors, analysts and bank regulatory agencies to assess the capital position of financial services companies. Management reviews Tier 1 common equity along with other measures of capital as part of its financial analyses and has included this non-GAAP financial information, and the corresponding reconciliation to total equity, because of current interest in such information on the part of market participants.
- (2) The Basel III Tier 1 common equity and risk-weighted assets are calculated based on management s current interpretation of the Basel III capital rules proposed by federal banking agencies in notices of proposed rulemaking announced in June 2012. The proposed rules and interpretations and assumptions used in estimating Basel III calculations are subject to change depending on final promulgations of Basel III capital rules.
- (3) Adjustments from Basel I to Basel III represent reconciling adjustments, primarily certain components of cumulative other comprehensive income deducted for Basel I purposes, to derive Tier 1 common equity under Basel III.
- (4) Threshold deductions, as defined under Basel III, include individual and aggregate limitations, as a percentage of Tier 1 common equity, with respect to MSRs, deferred tax assets and investments in unconsolidated financial companies.
- (5) Volatility in interest rates can have a significant impact on the valuation of cumulative other comprehensive income and MSRs and therefore, may impact adjustments from Basel II to Basel III, and MSRs subject to threshold deductions, as defined under Basel III, in future reporting periods.
- (6) Under current Basel proposals, risk-weighted assets incorporate different classifications of assets, with certain risk weights based on a borrower's credit rating or Wells Fargo's own risk models, along with adjustments to address a combination of credit/counterparty, operational and market risks, and other Basel III elements. The amount of risk-weighted assets anticipated under Basel III is preliminary and subject to change depending on final promulgation of Basel III capital rulemaking and interpretations thereof by regulatory authorities.

48

Regulatory Reform

The past two years have witnessed a significant increase in regulation and regulatory oversight initiatives that may substantially change how most U.S. financial services companies conduct business. Regulation mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act is the source of most current U.S. regulatory reform, and many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act remain subject to final rulemaking, guidance, and interpretation by regulatory authorities.

The following supplements our discussion of the significant regulations and regulatory oversight initiatives that have affected or may affect our business described in the Regulatory Reform and Risk Factors sections of our 2011 Form 10-K and the Regulatory Reform section of our 2012 First Quarter Form 10-O.

REGULATORY CAPITAL GUIDELINES In June 2012, the federal banking regulators jointly published three notices of proposed rulemaking that will substantially amend the risk-based capital rules for banks. The proposed rules are intended to implement the Basel III regulatory capital reforms in the U.S., comply with changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act, and replace the existing Basel I-based capital requirements. The proposed rules are subject to a comment period and adoption of final rules. For additional information, see the Capital Management section and Note 20 (Regulatory and Agency Capital Requirements) to Financial Statements in this Report.

LIVING WILL REQUIREMENTS Under the Dodd Frank Act, larger financial institutions, including Wells Fargo, are required to prepare and submit to the FRB and the FDIC resolution plans, also known as living wills, to facilitate the rapid and orderly resolution or work-out of a financial institution in the event of a material financial distress or its failure. Under the rules adopted under the Dodd Frank Act, resolution plans must contain detailed strategic analyses of how a distressed or failing institution could be resolved in a way that does not pose systemic risks to the U.S. financial system and that protects depositors and the Deposit Insurance Fund. Under the rules, Wells Fargo is required to submit its resolution plan on or before July 1, 2013.

REGULATION OF SWAPS AND OTHER DERIVATIVES ACTIVITIES In July 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission and the Securities and Exchange Commission (collectively, the Commissions) jointly adopted new rules and interpretations to further define the terms swap, security-based swap, and security-based swap agreement and to delineate the jurisdiction of mixed swaps between the Commissions. Finalization of these definitions establishes the compliance dates for many of the Commissions rules implementing the new regulatory framework for swaps required by Title VII of the Dodd-Frank Act, including registration of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as a swap dealer. Swap dealer registration is likely to be required in late September or early October 2012.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies (see Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) to Financial Statements in our 2011 Form 10-K) are fundamental to understanding our results of operations and financial condition because they require that we use estimates and assumptions that may affect the value of our assets or liabilities and financial results. Six of these policies are critical because they require management to make difficult, subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain and because it is likely that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. These policies govern:

the allowance for credit losses;

PCI loans;
the valuation of residential MSRs;
liability for mortgage loan repurchase losses;
the fair valuation of financial instruments; and
income taxes.

Management has reviewed and approved these critical accounting policies and has discussed these policies with the Board s Audit and Examination Committee. These policies are described further in the Financial Review Critical Accounting Policies section and Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) to Financial Statements in our 2011 Form 10-K.

49

Current Accounting Developments

The following accounting pronouncement has been issued by the FASB but is not yet effective:

Accounting Standards Update (ASU or Update) 2011-11, *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*. **ASU 2011-11** expands the disclosure requirements for certain financial instruments and derivatives that are subject to enforceable master netting agreements or similar arrangements. The disclosures are required regardless of whether the instruments have been offset (or netted) in the statement of

financial position. Under ASU 2011-11, companies must describe the nature of offsetting arrangements and provide quantitative information about those agreements, including the gross and net amounts of financial instruments that are recognized in the statement of financial position. These changes are effective for us in first quarter 2013 with retrospective application. This Update will not affect our consolidated financial results since it amends only the disclosure requirements for offsetting financial instruments.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements can be identified by words such as anticipates, intends, plans, seeks, believes, estimates, expects, should, can and similar references to future periods. Examples of forward-looking statements in this Report include, but ar could. limited to, statements we make about: (i) future results of the Company; (ii) our noninterest expense, including our expectations regarding declines in noninterest expense and operating within our targeted efficiency ratio range of 55 to 59% for the remainder of 2012, as part of our expense management initiatives; (iii) future credit quality and expectations regarding future loan losses in our loan portfolios; our foreign loan exposure; the level and loss content of NPAs and nonaccrual loans; the appropriateness of the allowance for credit losses, including our current expectation of continued but more modest improvement in credit performance for the remainder of 2012 and future allowance releases in 2012; the recast risk in our Pick-a-Pay portfolio; and the reduction or mitigation of risk in our loan portfolios and the effects of loan modification programs; (iv) our net interest income and net interest margin, including our expectation that we expect continued pressure on our net interest margin; (v) future capital levels and our estimate regarding our Tier 1 common equity ratio as of June 30, 2012 under the latest Basel III capital proposals contained in the notices of proposed rulemaking published by federal banking agencies in June 2012; (vi) the quality of our residential mortgage loan servicing portfolio, our mortgage repurchase exposure and exposure relating to our mortgage foreclosure practices; (vii) our expectations regarding the satisfaction of our obligations under our settlement with the DOJ and other federal and state government entities related to our mortgage servicing and foreclosure practices, including our estimates of the impact of the settlement on our future financial results; (viii) the expected outcome and impact of legal, regulatory and legislative developments, including the Dodd-Frank Act; and (ix) the Company s plans, objectives and strategies, including our belief that we have more opportunity to increase cross-sell of our products.

Forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and assumptions regarding our business, the economy and other future conditions. Because forward-looking

statements relate to the future, they are subject to inherent uncertainties, risks and changes in circumstances that are difficult to predict. Our actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements. We caution you, therefore, against relying on any of these forward-looking statements. They are neither statements of historical fact nor guarantees or assurances of future performance. While there is no assurance that any list of risks and uncertainties or risk factors is complete, important factors that could cause actual results to

differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements include the following, without limitation:

current and future economic and market conditions, including the effects of further declines in housing prices and high unemployment rates, U. S. fiscal debt, budget and tax matters, and the sovereign debt crisis and economic difficulties in Europe;

our capital and liquidity requirements (including under regulatory capital standards, such as the latest Basel III capital proposals, as determined and interpreted by applicable regulatory authorities) and our ability to generate capital internally or raise capital on favorable terms:

financial services reform and other current, pending or future legislation or regulation that could have a negative effect on our revenue and businesses, including the Dodd-Frank Act and legislation and regulation relating to overdraft fees (and changes to our overdraft practices as a result thereof), debit card interchange fees, credit cards, and other bank services, as well as the extent of our ability to mitigate the loss of revenue and income from financial services reform and other legislation and regulation;

the extent of our success in our loan modification efforts, as well as the effects of regulatory requirements or guidance regarding loan modifications or changes in such requirements or guidance;

the amount of mortgage loan repurchase demands that we receive and our ability to satisfy any such demands without having to repurchase loans related thereto or otherwise indemnify or reimburse third parties, and the credit quality of or losses on such repurchased mortgage loans;

negative effects relating to our mortgage servicing and foreclosure practices, including our ability to meet our obligations under the settlement with the DOJ and other federal and state government entities, as well as changes in

50

Forward-Looking Statements (continued)

our procedures or practices and/or industry standards or practices, regulatory or judicial requirements, penalties or fines, increased servicing and other costs or obligations, including loan modification requirements, or delays or moratoriums on foreclosures;

our ability to realize our efficiency ratio target as part of our expense management initiatives when and in the range targeted, including as a result of business and economic cyclicality, seasonality, changes in our business composition and operating environment, growth in our businesses and/or acquisitions, and unexpected expenses relating to, among other things, litigation and regulatory matters;

the effect of the current low interest rate environment or changes in interest rates on our net interest income, net interest margin and our mortgage originations, MSRs and MHFS;

hedging gains or losses;

a recurrence of significant turbulence or disruption in the capital or financial markets, which could result in, among other things, reduced investor demand for mortgage loans, a reduction in the availability of funding or increased funding costs, and declines in asset values and/or recognition of OTTI on securities held in our available-for-sale portfolio due to volatility or changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and/or debt, equity and commodity prices;

our ability to sell more products to our existing customers through our cross-selling efforts;

the effect of a fall in stock market prices on our investment banking business and our fee income from our brokerage, asset and wealth management businesses;

changes in the value of our venture capital investments;

changes in our accounting policies or in accounting standards or in how accounting standards are to be applied or interpreted; mergers, acquisitions and divestitures;

changes in the Company s credit ratings and changes in the credit quality of the Company s customers or counterparties;

reputational damage from negative publicity, protests, fines, penalties and other negative consequences from regulatory violations and legal actions;

a failure in or breach of our operational or security systems or infrastructure, or those of our third party vendors and other service providers, including as a result of cyber attacks;

the loss of checking and savings account deposits to other investments such as the stock market, and the resulting increase in our funding costs and impact on our net interest margin;

fiscal and monetary policies of the FRB; and

the other risk factors and uncertainties described under Risk Factors in our 2011 Form 10-K. In addition to the above factors, we also caution that there is no assurance that our allowance for credit losses will be adequate to cover future credit losses, especially if housing prices decline and unemployment worsens. Increases in loan charge-offs or in the allowance for credit losses and related provision expense could materially adversely affect our financial results and condition.

Any forward-looking statement made by us in this Report speaks only as of the date on which it is made. Factors or events that could cause our actual results to differ may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict all of them. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise, except as may be required by law.

Risk Factors

An investment in the Company involves risk, including the possibility that the value of the investment could fall substantially and that dividends or other distributions on the investment could be reduced or eliminated. We discuss previously under Forward-Looking Statements and elsewhere in this Report, as well as in other documents we file with the SEC, risk factors that could adversely affect our financial results and condition and the value of, and return on, an investment in the Company. For a discussion of risk factors, we refer you to the Risk Factors section of our 2011 Form 10-K, as well as to the Financial Review section and Financial Statements (and related Notes) in this Report for more information about credit, interest rate, market, and litigation risks and to the Regulation and Supervision section in our 2011 Form 10-K for more information about legislative and regulatory risks. Any factor described in this Report or in our 2011 Form 10-K could by itself, or together with other factors, adversely affect our financial results and condition, or the value of an investment in the Company. There are factors not discussed in this Report or in our 2011 Form 10-K that could adversely affect our financial results and condition.

51

Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by SEC rules, the Company s management evaluated the effectiveness, as of June 30, 2012, of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures. The Company s chief executive officer and chief financial officer participated in the evaluation. Based on this evaluation, the Company s chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2012.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company s principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company s Board, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of assets of the Company;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. No change occurred during second quarter 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

52

Wells Fargo & Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Income (Unaudited)

	Quarter ended June 30, Six months ended June 30		Quarter ended June 30,		ed June 30
(in millions, except per share amounts)		2012	2011	2012	2011
(in initialis, except per siture amounts)			2011		2011
Interest income	Φ.	2.42	2.45	=-0	<0 .
Trading assets	\$	343	347	720	697
Securities available for sale		2,147	2,166	4,235	4,330
Mortgages held for sale		477	362	936	799
Loans held for sale		12	17	21	19.749
Loans Other interest income		9,242 133	9,361 131	18,439 258	18,748 253
Other interest income		133	131	250	255
Total interest income		12,354	12,384	24,609	24,856
		•		•	
Interest expense					
Deposits		443	594	900	1,209
Short-term borrowings		20	20	36	46
Long-term debt		789	1,009	1,619	2,113
Other interest expense		65	83	129	159
Total interest expense		1,317	1,706	2,684	3,527
Total interest expense		1,517	1,700	2,004	3,321
Net interest income		11,037	10,678	21,925	21,329
Provision for credit losses		1,800	1,838	3,795	4,048
				40.450	
Net interest income after provision for credit losses		9,237	8,840	18,130	17,281
Noninterest income					
Service charges on deposit accounts		1,139	1,074	2,223	2,086
Trust and investment fees		2,898	2,944	5,737	5,860
Card fees		704	1,003	1,358	1,960
Other fees		1,134	1,023	2,229	2,012
Mortgage banking		2,893	1,619	5,763	3,635
Insurance		522	568	1,041	1,071
Net gains from trading activities		263	414	903	1,026
Net losses on debt securities available for sale (1)		(61)	(128)	(68)	(294)
Net gains from equity investments (2)		242	724	606	1,077
Operating leases		120	103	179	180
Other		398	364	1,029	773
		10.050	0.700	24.000	10.204
Total noninterest income		10,252	9,708	21,000	19,386
Noninterest expense					
Salaries		3,705	3,584	7,306	7,038
Commission and incentive compensation		2,354	2,171	4,771	4,518
Employee benefits		1,049	1,164	2,657	2,556
Equipment		459	528	1,016	1,160
Net occupancy		698	749	1,402	1,501
Core deposit and other intangibles		418	464	837	947
FDIC and other deposit assessments		333	315	690	620
Other		3,381	3,500	6,711	6,868

Total noninterest expense	12,397	12,475	25,390	25,208
Income before income tax expense	7,092	6,073	13,740	11,459
Income tax expense	2,371	2,001	4,699	3,573
Net income before noncontrolling interests	4,721	4,072	9,041	7,886
Less: Net income from noncontrolling interests	99	124	171	179
Wells Fargo net income	\$ 4,622	3,948	8,870	7,707
Less: Preferred stock dividends and other	219	220	445	409
Wells Fargo net income applicable to common stock	\$ 4,403	3,728	8,425	7,298
Per share information				
Earnings per common share	\$ 0.83	0.70	1.59	1.38
Diluted earnings per common share	0.82	0.70	1.57	1.37
Dividends declared per common share	0.22	0.12	0.44	0.24
Average common shares outstanding	5,306.9	5,286.5	5,294.9	5,282.7
Diluted average common shares outstanding	5,369.9	5.331.7	5.354.3	5.329.9

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

53

⁽¹⁾ Total other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses were \$47 million and \$129 million for second quarter 2012 and 2011, respectively. Of total OTTI, losses of \$77 million and \$189 million were recognized in earnings, and gains of \$(30) million and \$(60) million were recognized as non-credit-related OTTI in other comprehensive income for second quarter 2012 and 2011, respectively. Total other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses were \$82 million and \$53 million for the first half of 2012 and 2011, respectively. Of total OTTI, losses of \$127 million and \$269 million were recognized in earnings, and gains of \$(45) and \$(216) million were recognized as non-credit-related OTTI in other comprehensive income for the first half of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Includes OTTI losses of \$43 million and \$16 million for second quarter 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$58 million and \$57 million for the first half of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Wells Fargo & Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

		Quarter ended June 30,		Six months ende	d June 30,
(in millions)		2012	2011	2012	2011
Wells Fargo net income	\$	4,622	3,948	8,870	7,707
Other comprehensive income, before tax:					
Foreign currency translation adjustments:					
Net unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period		(56)	5	(46)	29
Reclassification of net gains included in net income		(10)	-	(10)	-
Securities available for sale:				` /	
Net unrealized gains arising during the period		831	631	2,705	1,129
Reclassification of net gains included in net income		(23)	(234)	(249)	(183)
Derivatives and hedging activities:		Ì	, i	, ,	, ,
Net unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period		(3)	141	39	137
Reclassification of net gains on cash flow hedges included in net income		(99)	(157)	(206)	(313)
Defined benefit plans adjustment:		, ,	, ,	· · ·	
Net actuarial losses arising during the period		(12)	(2)	(17)	(3)
Amortization of net actuarial loss and prior service cost included in net income		40	24	76	48
•					
Other comprehensive income, before tax		668	408	2,292	844
				, .	-
Income tax expense related to OCI		(255)	(7)	(866)	(164)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax		413	401	1,426	680
Less: Other comprehensive income from noncontrolling interests		-	-	4	(4)
Wells Fargo other comprehensive income, net of tax		413	401	1,422	684
				,	
Wells Fargo comprehensive income		5,035	4,349	10,292	8,391
Comprehensive income from noncontrolling interests		99	124	175	175
comprehensive means from noncontrolling meteors		,,	124	173	173
Total comprehensive income	\$	5,134	4,473	10,467	8,566
	Ψ	-,	., . , 5	20,.0.	0,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

54

Wells Fargo & Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheet (Unaudited)

(in millions, except shares)	June 30, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 16,811	19,440
Federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and other short-term investments	74,635	44,367
Trading assets	64,419	77,814
Securities available for sale	226,846	222,613
Mortgages held for sale (includes \$46,621 and \$44,791 carried at fair value)	50,462	48,357
Loans held for sale (includes \$730 and \$1,176 carried at fair value)	853	1,338
Loans (includes \$6,083 and \$5,916 carried at fair value)	775,199	769,631
Allowance for loan losses	(18,320)	(19,372)
	, í	
Net loans	756,879	750,259
Mortgage servicing rights:		
Measured at fair value	12,081	12,603
Amortized	1,130	1,408
Premises and equipment, net	9,317	9,531
Goodwill	25,406	25,115
Other assets	97,365	101,022
Total assets (1)	\$ 1,336,204	1,313,867
Liabilities Noninterest-bearing deposits Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 253,999 674,934	244,003 676,067
Total deposits	928,933	920,070
Short-term borrowings	56,023	49,091
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	76,827	77,665
Long-term debt (includes \$208 and \$0 carried at fair value)	124,984	125,354
Total liabilities (2)	1,186,767	1,172,180
Equity		
Wells Fargo stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock	11,694	11,431
Common stock \$1-2/3 par value, authorized 9,000,000,000 shares;	0.0	
issued 5,432,624,738 shares and 5,358,522,061 shares	9,054	8,931
Additional paid-in capital	58,091	55,957
Retained earnings	70,456	64,385
Cumulative other comprehensive income Treasury stock 156,892,121 shares and 95,910,425 shares	4,629 (4,638)	3,207 (2,744)
Unearned ESOP shares	(1,216)	(926)
Total Wells Fargo stockholders' equity	148,070	140,241
Noncontrolling interests	1,367	1,446
Total equity	149,437	141,687

Total liabilities and equity \$ 1,336,204 1,313,867

(1) Our consolidated assets at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, include the following assets of certain variable interest entities (VIEs) that can only be used to settle the liabilities of those VIEs: Cash and due from banks, \$302 million and \$321 million; Trading assets, \$533 million and \$293 million; Securities available for sale, \$2.7 billion and \$3.3 billion; Mortgages held for sale, \$605 million and \$444 million; Net loans, \$11.4 billion and \$12.0 billion; Other assets, \$510 million and \$1.9 billion, and Total assets, \$16.0 billion and \$18.2 billion, respectively.

(2) Our consolidated liabilities at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, include the following VIE liabilities for which the VIE creditors do not have recourse to Wells Fargo: Short-term borrowings, \$0 and \$24 million; Accrued expenses and other liabilities, \$144 million and \$175 million; Long-term debt, \$4.0 billion and \$4.9 billion; and Total liabilities, \$4.1 billion and \$5.1 billion, respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

55

Wells Fargo & Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity (Unaudited)

	Preferred stock			Common stock			
(in millions, except shares)	Shares	Amount		Shares		Amount	
Balance January 1, 2011	10,185,303	\$	8,689	5,262,283,228	\$	8,787	
Net income							
Other comprehensive income, net of tax							
Noncontrolling interests							
Common stock issued				31,512,347		53	
Common stock repurchased				(37,090,733)			
Preferred stock issued to ESOP	1,200,000		1,200				
Preferred stock released by ESOP							
Preferred stock converted to common shares	(659,999)		(660)	21,466,952		36	
Preferred stock issued	25,010		2,501				
Common stock dividends							
Preferred stock dividends							
Tax benefit upon exercise of stock options							
Stock incentive compensation expense							
Net change in deferred compensation and related plans							
Net change	565,011		3,041	15,888,566		89	
Balance June 30, 2011	10,750,314	\$	11,730	5,278,171,794	\$	8,876	
Balance December 31, 2011	10,450,690	\$	11,431	5,262,611,636	\$	8,931	
Cumulative effect of fair value election for certain							
residential mortgage servicing rights							
Balance January 1, 2012	10,450,690		11,431	5,262,611,636		8,931	
Net income							
Other comprehensive income, net of tax							
Noncontrolling interests							
Common stock issued				54,218,564		90	
Common stock repurchased (1)				(60,981,696)			
Preferred stock issued to ESOP	940,000		940				
Preferred stock released by ESOP							
Preferred stock converted to common shares	(677,459)		(677)	19,884,113		33	
Common stock dividends							
Preferred stock dividends							
Tax benefit upon exercise of stock options							
Stock incentive compensation expense							
Net change in deferred compensation and related plans							
Net change	262,541		263	13,120,981		123	
Balance June 30, 2012	10,713,231	\$	11,694	5,275,732,617	\$	9,054	

⁽¹⁾ For the six months ended June 30, 2012, includes \$350 million related to a private forward repurchase transaction entered into in second quarter 2012 that is expected to settle in third quarter 2012 for an estimated 11 million shares of common stock. See Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) for additional information.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

56

				Wells Fargo sto	ockholders equity		
Additional				Unearned	Total		
7 Idditional		Cumulative		Chearnea			
	D . 1		Tr.	EGOD	Wells Fargo		T . 1
paid-in	Retained	other	Treasury	ESOP	stockholders		Total
		comprehensive				Noncontrolling	
capital	earnings	income	stock	shares	equity	interests	equity
53,426	51,918	4,738	(487)	(663)	126,408	1,481	127,889
	7,707				7,707	179	7,886
		684			684	(4)	680
(42)					(42)	(141)	(183)
748					801		801
			(1,072)		(1,072)		(1,072)
102				(1,302)	-		-
(56)				716	660		660
624					-		-
					2,501		2,501
10	(1,279)				(1,269)		(1,269)
	(404)				(404)		(404)
62					62		62
376					376		376
(24)			13		(11)		(11)
1,800	6,024	684	(1,059)	(586)	9,993	34	10,027
55,226	57,942	5,422	(1,546)	(1,249)	136,401	1,515	137,916
55,957	64,385	3,207	(2,744)	(926)	140,241	1,446	141,687
	2				2		2
55,957	64,387	3,207	(2,744)	(926)	140,243	1,446	141,689
	8,870				8,870	171	9,041
	·	1,422			1,422	4	1,426
(6)					(6)	(254)	(260)
1,221					1,311		1,311
(200)			(1,901)		(2,101)		(2,101)
88				(1,028)	-		-
(61)				738	677		677
644					-		-
26	(2,362)				(2,336)		(2,336)
	(439)				(439)		(439)
130					130		130
362					362		362
(70)			7		(63)		(63)
2,134	6,069	1,422	(1,894)	(290)	7,827	(79)	7,748
58,091	70,456	4,629	(4,638)	(1,216)	148,070	1,367	149,437

57

Wells Fargo & Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	Six months ended June 30,	
(in millions)	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:	2012	2011
Net income before noncontrolling interests	\$ 9,041	7,886
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	Ψ ,,,,,,,	7,000
Provision for credit losses	3,795	4,048
Changes in fair value of MSRs, MHFS and LHFS carried at fair value	(1,196)	(187)
Depreciation and amortization	1,384	968
Other net losses (gains)	244	(300)
Preferred stock released by ESOP	677	660
Stock incentive compensation expense	362	376
Excess tax benefits related to stock option payments	(125)	(64)
Originations of MHFS	(247,940)	(143,488)
Proceeds from sales of and principal collected on mortgages originated for sale	203,482	151,707
Originations of LHFS	(10)	-
Proceeds from sales of and principal collected on LHFS	5,786	5,165
Purchases of LHFS	(2,578)	(5,248)
Net change in:	()/	(-, -,
Trading assets	64,952	15,028
Deferred income taxes	568	1,318
Accrued interest receivable	40	(114)
Accrued interest payable	74	(43)
Other assets, net	1,858	(1,378)
Other accrued expenses and liabilities, net	(5,033)	(7,773)
Net cash provided by operating activities	35,381	28,561
Cash flows from investing activities:	00,001	20,001
Net change in:		
Federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and other short-term investments	(30,268)	(7,769)
Securities available for sale:	(3.1)	(1,111)
Sales proceeds	8,283	18,801
Prepayments and maturities	30,599	21,079
Purchases	(38,653)	(45,125)
Loans:	(23)223	(, , , ,
Loans originated by banking subsidiaries, net of principal collected	(14,426)	(5,803)
Proceeds from sales (including participations) of loans originated for investment by banking subsidiaries	3,612	3,492
Purchases (including participations) of loans by banking subsidiaries	(7,584)	(2,277)
Principal collected on nonbank entities loans	12,088	5,543
Loans originated by nonbank entities	(11,016)	(3,988)
Net cash paid for acquisitions	(4,075)	
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed assets	4,987	3,061
Changes in MSRs from purchases and sales	201	(81)
Other, net	(1,467)	2,804
Net cash used by investing activities	(47,719)	(10,263)
Cash flows from financing activities:	· · ·	
Net change in:		
Deposits	8,860	5,693
Short-term borrowings	6,547	(1,441)
Long-term debt:		
Proceeds from issuance	17,133	6,702
Repayment	(19,121)	(21,691)
Preferred stock:	(==,===)	(-, 2)
Proceeds from issuance	-	2,501
Cash dividends paid	(439)	(404)
Common stock:	()	
Proceeds from issuance	1,311	801
	,=	

Repurchased	(2,101)	(1,072)
Cash dividends paid	(2,336)	(1,269)
Excess tax benefits related to stock option payments	125	64
Net change in noncontrolling interests	(270)	(167)
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	9,709	(10,283)
Net change in cash and due from banks	(2,629)	8,015
Cash and due from banks at beginning of period	19,440	16,044
Cash and due from banks at end of period	\$ 16,811	24,059
Supplemental cash flow disclosures:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 2,610	3,570
Cash paid for income taxes	2,850	2,581

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements. See Note 1 for noncash activities.

58

See the Glossary of Acronyms at the end of this Report for terms used throughout the Financial Statements and related Notes of this Form 10-Q.

Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Wells Fargo & Company is a diversified financial services company. We provide banking, insurance, trust and investments, mortgage banking, investment banking, retail banking, brokerage, and consumer and commercial finance through banking stores, the internet and other distribution channels to consumers, businesses and institutions in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and in other countries. When we refer to Wells Fargo, the Company, we, our or us, we mean Wells Fargo & Company and Subsidiaries (consolidated). Wells Fargo & Company (the Parent) is a financial holding company and a bank holding company. We also hold a majority interest in a real estate investment trust, which has publicly traded preferred stock outstanding.

Our accounting and reporting policies conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and practices in the financial services industry. To prepare the financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management must make estimates based on assumptions about future economic and market conditions (for example, unemployment, market liquidity, real estate prices, etc.) that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and income and expenses during the reporting period and the related disclosures. Although our estimates contemplate current conditions and how we expect them to change in the future, it is reasonably possible that actual conditions could be worse than anticipated in those estimates, which could materially affect our results of operations and financial condition. Management has made significant estimates in several areas, including allowance for credit losses and purchased credit-impaired (PCI) loans (Note 5), valuations of residential mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) (Notes 7 and 8) and financial instruments (Note 13), liability for mortgage loan repurchase losses (Note 8) and income taxes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The information furnished in these unaudited interim financial statements reflects all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the periods presented. These adjustments are of a normal recurring nature, unless otherwise disclosed in this Form 10-Q. The results of operations in the interim financial statements do not necessarily indicate the results that may be expected for the full year. The interim financial information should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (2011 Form 10-K).

Accounting Standards Adopted in 2012

In first quarter 2012, we adopted the following new accounting guidance:

Accounting Standards Update (ASU or Update) 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income;

ASU 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05;

ASU 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs; and ASU 2011-03, Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements.

ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option for companies to include the components of other comprehensive income in the statement of changes in stockholders equity. This Update requires entities to present the components of comprehensive income in either a single statement or in two separate statements, with the statement of other comprehensive income (OCI) immediately following the statement of income. This Update also requires companies to present amounts reclassified out of OCI and into net income on the face of the statement of income. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, which defers indefinitely the requirement to present reclassification adjustments on the statement of income. We adopted the remaining provisions in first quarter 2012 with retrospective application. This Update did not affect our consolidated financial results as it amends only the presentation of comprehensive income.

ASU 2011-04 modifies accounting guidance and expands existing disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. This Update clarifies how fair values should be measured for instruments classified in stockholders—equity and under what circumstances premiums and discounts should be applied in fair value measurements. This Update also permits entities to measure fair value on a net basis for financial instruments that are managed based on net exposure to market risks and/or counterparty credit risk. ASU 2011-04 requires new disclosures for financial instruments classified as Level 3, including: 1) quantitative information about unobservable inputs used in measuring fair value, 2) qualitative discussion of the sensitivity of fair value measurements to changes in unobservable inputs, and 3) a description of valuation processes used. This Update also requires disclosure of fair value levels for financial instruments that are not recorded at fair value but for which fair value is required to be disclosed. We adopted this guidance in first quarter 2012 with prospective application, resulting in expanded fair value disclosures. The

measurement clarifications of this Update did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

59

ASU 2011-03 amends the criteria companies use to determine if repurchase and similar agreements should be accounted for as sales or financings. Specifically, this Update removes the criterion for transferrors to have the ability to meet contractual obligations through collateral maintenance provisions, even if transferees fail to return transferred assets pursuant to the agreements. We adopted this guidance in first quarter 2012 with prospective application to new transactions and existing transactions modified on or after January 1, 2012. This Update did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Accounting Standards with Retrospective Application

The following accounting pronouncement has been issued by the FASB but is not yet effective:

Accounting Standards Update (ASU or Update) 2011-11, *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*. **ASU 2011-11** expands the disclosure requirements for certain financial instruments and derivatives that are subject to enforceable master netting agreements or similar arrangements. The disclosures are required regardless of whether the instruments have been offset (or netted) in the statement of financial position. Under ASU 2011-11, companies must describe the nature of offsetting arrangements and provide quantitative information about those agreements, including the gross and net amounts of financial instruments that are recognized in the statement of financial position. These changes are effective for us in first quarter 2013 with retrospective application. This Update will not affect our consolidated financial results since it amends only the disclosure requirements for offsetting financial instruments.

Significant Accounting Policy Update

In first quarter 2012, we implemented the *Interagency Supervisory Guidance on Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses Estimation Practices for Loans and Lines of Credit Secured by Junior Liens on 1-4 Family Residential Properties* (Interagency Guidance), which was issued on January 31, 2012. As a result, we aligned our nonaccrual accounting policy with this guidance to accelerate the timing of placing junior lien loans on nonaccrual to coincide with the timing of placing the related real estate 1-4 family first mortgage loans on nonaccrual. Our updated nonaccrual policy is as follows:

We generally place loans on nonaccrual status when:

the full and timely collection of interest or principal becomes uncertain (generally based on an assessment of the borrower s financial condition and the adequacy of collateral, if any);

they are 90 days (120 days with respect to real estate 1-4 family first and junior lien mortgages) past due for interest or principal, unless both well-secured and in the process of collection;

part of the principal balance has been charged off and no restructuring has occurred; or

effective first quarter 2012, for junior lien mortgages, we have evidence that the related first lien mortgage may be 120 days past due or in the process of foreclosure regardless of the junior lien delinquency status.

There have been no other material changes to our significant accounting policies, as discussed in Note 1 in our 2011 Form 10-K.

Private Share Repurchases

In April 2012, we entered into a private forward repurchase contract with an unrelated third party. This contract settled in second quarter 2012 for approximately 11 million shares of our common stock. We entered into this transaction to complement our open-market common stock repurchase strategies, to allow us to manage our share repurchases in a manner consistent with our capital plan submitted under the 2012 Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR), and to provide an economic benefit to the Company. In connection with this contract, we paid \$350 million to the counterparty, which was recorded in permanent equity in the quarter paid and was not subject to re-measurement. The classification of the up-front payment as permanent equity assured that we would have appropriate repurchase timing consistent with our 2012 capital plan, which contemplated a fixed dollar amount available per quarter for share repurchases pursuant to Federal Reserve Board (FRB) supervisory guidance. In return, the counterparty agreed to deliver a variable number of shares based on a per share discount to the volume-weighted average stock price over the contract period. The counterparty had the right to accelerate settlement with delivery of shares prior to the contractual settlement. There were no scenarios where the contracts would not either physically settle in shares or allow us to choose the settlement method.

In June 2012, we entered into a similar private forward repurchase contract and paid \$350 million to an unrelated third party. This contract expires in third quarter 2012. The amount we paid to the counterparty meets accounting requirements to be treated as a permanent equity reduction.

60

Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION Noncash activities are presented below, including information on transfers affecting MHFS, LHFS, and MSRs.

	Six months end	ded June 30,
(in millions)	2012	2011
Transfers from loans to securities available for sale	\$ 875	-
Trading assets retained from securitization of MHFS	51,557	18,393
Capitalization of MSRs from sale of MHFS	2,657	2,058
Transfers from MHFS to foreclosed assets	115	78
Transfers from loans to MHFS	2,858	50
Transfers from loans to LHFS	49	170
Transfers from loans to foreclosed assets	4,639	2,164
Changes in consolidations of variable interest entities:		
Securities available for sale	-	156
Loans	(515)	(1,004)
Long-term debt	(523)	(770)

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS We have evaluated the effects of subsequent events that have occurred subsequent to period end June 30, 2012, and there have been no material events that would require recognition in our second quarter 2012 consolidated financial statements or disclosure in the Notes to the financial statements.

Note 2: Business Combinations

We regularly explore opportunities to acquire financial services companies and businesses. Generally, we do not make a public announcement about an acquisition opportunity until a definitive agreement has been signed. For information on additional contingent consideration related to acquisitions, which is considered to be a guarantee, see Note 10.

In the first half of 2012, we completed three acquisitions with combined total assets of \$4.5 billion consisting of an energy

lending business with total assets of \$3.6 billion, an asset-based lending business with total assets of \$874 million and a global investments business with total assets of \$7 million. At June 30, 2012, we had one acquisition pending involving a prime brokerage and technology provider with assets of approximately \$280 million. We completed this acquisition on August 1, 2012.

Note 3: Federal Funds Sold, Securities Purchased under Resale Agreements and Other Short-Term Investments

The following table provides the detail of federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and other short-term investments.

(in millions)	June 30, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	\$ 33,058	24,255
Interest-earning deposits	40,346	18,917
Other short-term investments	1,231	1,195
Total	\$ 74.635	44 367

We receive collateral from other entities under resale agreements and securities borrowings. For additional information, see the Pledged Assets and Collateral section of Note 10.

61

Note 4: Securities Available for Sale

The following table provides the cost and fair value for the major categories of securities available for sale carried at fair value. The net unrealized gains (losses) are reported on an after-tax basis as a component of cumulative OCI. There were no securities classified as held to maturity as of the periods presented.

			Gross	.
		Gross		Fair
(in millions)	Cost	unrealized gains	unrealized losses	value
June 30, 2012				
Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	\$ 1,445	48	_	1,493
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions	36,237	1,662	(648)	37,251
Mortgage-backed securities:	,	,	, ,	,
Federal agencies	97,127	4,750	(14)	101,863
Residential	15,540	1,386	(208)	16,718
Commercial	18,057	1,489	(618)	18,928
Total mortgage-backed securities	130,724	7,625	(840)	137,509
Corporate debt securities	18,973	1,013	(145)	19,841
Collateralized debt obligations (1)	9,022	351	(226)	9,147
Other (2)	18,469	435	(146)	18,758
Total debt securities	214,870	11,134	(2,005)	223,999
Marketable equity securities:				
Perpetual preferred securities	2,013	196	(45)	2,164
Other marketable equity securities	465	223	(5)	683
Total marketable equity securities	2,478	419	(50)	2,847
Total marketable equity securities Total	2,478 \$ 217,348	419 11,553	(50) (2,055)	2,847 226,846
	<u> </u>		` ´	ĺ
Total	<u> </u>		` ´	ŕ
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions	\$ 217,348	11,553	(2,055)	226,846
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	\$ 217,348 \$ 6,920	11,553 59	(2,055)	6,968 32,593
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities: Federal agencies	\$ 217,348 \$ 6,920 32,307 92,279	59 1,169 4,485	(2,055) (11) (883) (10)	6,968 32,593 96,754
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities: Federal agencies Residential	\$ 217,348 \$ 6,920 32,307 92,279 16,997	59 1,169 4,485 1,253	(2,055) (11) (883) (10) (414)	6,968 32,593 96,754 17,836
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities: Federal agencies	\$ 217,348 \$ 6,920 32,307 92,279	59 1,169 4,485	(2,055) (11) (883) (10)	6,968 32,593 96,754
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities: Federal agencies Residential	\$ 217,348 \$ 6,920 32,307 92,279 16,997	59 1,169 4,485 1,253	(2,055) (11) (883) (10) (414)	6,968 32,593 96,754 17,836
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities: Federal agencies Residential Commercial	\$ 6,920 32,307 92,279 16,997 17,829	11,553 59 1,169 4,485 1,253 1,249	(2,055) (11) (883) (10) (414) (928)	6,968 32,593 96,754 17,836 18,150
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities: Federal agencies Residential Commercial Total mortgage-backed securities	\$ 6,920 32,307 92,279 16,997 17,829 127,105	59 1,169 4,485 1,253 1,249 6,987	(2,055) (11) (883) (10) (414) (928) (1,352)	6,968 32,593 96,754 17,836 18,150
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities: Federal agencies Residential Commercial Total mortgage-backed securities Corporate debt securities	\$ 6,920 32,307 92,279 16,997 17,829 127,105 17,921	59 1,169 4,485 1,253 1,249 6,987	(2,055) (11) (883) (10) (414) (928) (1,352) (286)	6,968 32,593 96,754 17,836 18,150 132,740 18,404
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities: Federal agencies Residential Commercial Total mortgage-backed securities Corporate debt securities Collateralized debt obligations (1) Other (2)	\$ 6,920 32,307 92,279 16,997 17,829 127,105 17,921 8,650 19,739	59 1,169 4,485 1,253 1,249 6,987 769 298 378	(2,055) (11) (883) (10) (414) (928) (1,352) (286) (349) (225)	226,846 6,968 32,593 96,754 17,836 18,150 132,740 18,404 8,599 19,892
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities: Federal agencies Residential Commercial Total mortgage-backed securities Corporate debt securities Collateralized debt obligations (1) Other (2) Total debt securities	\$ 6,920 32,307 92,279 16,997 17,829 127,105 17,921 8,650	59 1,169 4,485 1,253 1,249 6,987 769 298	(2,055) (11) (883) (10) (414) (928) (1,352) (286) (349)	226,846 6,968 32,593 96,754 17,836 18,150 132,740 18,404 8,599
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities: Federal agencies Residential Commercial Total mortgage-backed securities Corporate debt securities Collateralized debt obligations (1) Other (2) Total debt securities Marketable equity securities:	\$ 6,920 32,307 92,279 16,997 17,829 127,105 17,921 8,650 19,739	59 1,169 4,485 1,253 1,249 6,987 769 298 378	(2,055) (11) (883) (10) (414) (928) (1,352) (286) (349) (225)	6,968 32,593 96,754 17,836 18,150 132,740 18,404 8,599 19,892
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities: Federal agencies Residential Commercial Total mortgage-backed securities Corporate debt securities Collateralized debt obligations (1) Other (2) Total debt securities	\$ 217,348 \$ 6,920 32,307 92,279 16,997 17,829 127,105 17,921 8,650 19,739 212,642	59 1,169 4,485 1,253 1,249 6,987 769 298 378 9,660	(2,055) (11) (883) (10) (414) (928) (1,352) (286) (349) (225) (3,106)	226,846 6,968 32,593 96,754 17,836 18,150 132,740 18,404 8,599 19,892 219,196
Total December 31, 2011 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities: Federal agencies Residential Commercial Total mortgage-backed securities Corporate debt securities Collateralized debt obligations (1) Other (2) Total debt securities Marketable equity securities: Perpetual preferred securities	\$ 217,348 \$ 6,920 32,307 92,279 16,997 17,829 127,105 17,921 8,650 19,739 212,642 2,396	11,553 59 1,169 4,485 1,253 1,249 6,987 769 298 378 9,660	(2,055) (11) (883) (10) (414) (928) (1,352) (286) (349) (225) (3,106)	226,846 6,968 32,593 96,754 17,836 18,150 132,740 18,404 8,599 19,892 219,196 2,527

- (1) Includes collateralized loan obligations with a cost basis and fair value of \$8.5 billion and \$8.6 billion, respectively, at June 30, 2012, and \$8.1 billion for both cost basis and fair value, at December 31, 2011.
- (2) Included in the Other category are asset-backed securities collateralized by auto leases or loans and cash reserves with a cost basis and fair value of \$6.1 billion and \$6.2 billion, respectively, at June 30, 2012, and \$6.7 billion and \$6.7 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2011. Also included in the Other category are asset-backed securities collateralized by home equity loans with a cost basis and fair value of \$731 million and \$866 million, respectively, at June 30, 2012, and \$846 million and \$932 million, respectively, at December 31, 2011. The remaining balances primarily include asset-backed securities collateralized by credit cards and student loans.

62

Note 4: Securities Available for Sale (continued)

Gross Unrealized Losses and Fair Value

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of securities in the securities available-for-sale portfolio by length of time that individual securities in each category had been in a continuous loss position. Debt securities on which we

have taken credit-related OTTI write-downs are categorized as being less than 12 months or 12 months or more in a continuous loss position based on the point in time that the fair value declined to below the cost basis and not the period of time since the credit-related OTTI write-down.

	Less than	Less than 12 months		months 12 months or more		Total
(in millions)	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
June 30, 2012						
Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities:	\$ - (74)	2,975	(574)	4,740	(648)	7,715
Federal agencies	(13)	3,434	(1)	652	(14)	4,086
Residential	(6)	681	(202)	3,381	(208)	4,062
Commercial	(9)	638	(609)	4,785	(618)	5,423
Total mortgage-backed securities	(28)	4,753	(812)	8,818	(840)	13,571
Corporate debt securities	(56)	1,580	(89)	1,026	(145)	2,606
Collateralized debt obligations Other	(31) (39)	1,930 2,878	(195) (107)	1,858 1,081	(226) (146)	3,788 3,959
Total debt securities	(228)	14,116	(1,777)	17,523	(2,005)	31,639
Marketable equity securities: Perpetual preferred securities	(10)	221	(35)	547	(45)	768
Other marketable equity securities	(5)	39	<u>-</u>	-	(5)	39
Total marketable equity securities	(15)	260	(35)	547	(50)	807
Total	\$ (243)	14,376	(1,812)	18,070	(2,055)	32,446
December 31, 2011						
Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	\$ (11)	5,473	-	-	(11)	5,473
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions	(229)	8,501	(654)	4,348	(883)	12,849
Mortgage-backed securities:	(7)	2 202	(2)	(07	(10)	2.010
Federal agencies Residential	(7) (80)	2,392 3,780	(3)	627 3,440	(10) (414)	3,019 7,220
Commercial	(157)	3,183	(771)	3,964	(928)	7,220
Total mortgage-backed securities	(244)	9,355	(1,108)	8,031	(1,352)	17,386
Corporate debt securities	(205)	8,107	(81)	167	(286)	8,274
Collateralized debt obligations	(150)	4,268	(199)	613	(349)	4,881
Other	(55)	3,002	(170)	841	(225)	3,843
Total debt securities	(894)	38,706	(2,212)	14,000	(3,106)	52,706

Marketable equity securities:						
Perpetual preferred securities	(13)	316	(41)	530	(54)	846
Other marketable equity securities	(9)	61	-	-	(9)	61
Total marketable equity securities	(22)	377	(41)	530	(63)	907
Total	\$ (916)	39,083	(2,253)	14,530	(3,169)	53,613

63

We do not have the intent to sell any securities included in the previous table. For debt securities included in the table, we have concluded it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell prior to recovery of the amortized cost basis. We have assessed each security with gross unrealized losses for credit impairment. For debt securities, we evaluate, where necessary, whether credit impairment exists by comparing the present value of the expected cash flows to the securities—amortized cost basis. For equity securities, we consider numerous factors in determining whether impairment exists, including our intent and ability to hold the securities for a period of time sufficient to recover the cost basis of the securities.

For complete descriptions of the factors we consider when analyzing debt securities for impairment, see Note 5 in our 2011 Form 10-K. There have been no material changes to our methodologies for assessing impairment in the first half of 2012.

SECURITIES OF U.S. TREASURY AND FEDERAL AGENCIES AND FEDERAL AGENCY MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES (MBS) The unrealized losses associated with U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities and federal agency MBS are primarily driven by changes in interest rates and not due to credit losses given the explicit or implicit guarantees provided by the U.S. government.

SECURITIES OF U.S. STATES AND POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS The unrealized losses associated with securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions are primarily driven by changes in the relationship between municipal and term funding credit curves and are not due to the credit quality of the underlying securities. Substantially all of these investments are investment grade. The securities were generally underwritten in accordance with our own investment standards prior to the decision to purchase. Some of these securities are guaranteed by a bond insurer, but we did not rely on this guarantee in making our investment decision. These investments will continue to be monitored as part of our ongoing impairment analysis, but are expected to perform, even if the rating agencies reduce the credit rating of the bond insurers. As a result, we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities.

RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL MBS The unrealized losses associated with private residential MBS and commercial MBS are primarily driven by changes in projected collateral losses, credit spreads and interest rates. We assess for credit impairment by estimating the present value of expected cash flows. The key assumptions for determining expected cash flows include default rates, loss severities and/or prepayment rates. We estimate losses to a security by forecasting the underlying mortgage loans in each transaction. We use forecasted loan performance to project cash flows to the various tranches in the structure. We also consider cash flow forecasts and, as applicable, independent industry analyst reports and forecasts, sector credit ratings, and other independent market data. Based upon our assessment of the expected credit losses and the credit enhancement level of the securities, we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities.

CORPORATE DEBT SECURITIES The unrealized losses associated with corporate debt securities are primarily related to unsecured debt obligations issued by various corporations. We evaluate the financial performance of each issuer on a quarterly basis to determine that the issuer can make all contractual principal and interest payments. Based upon this assessment, we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities.

COLLATERALIZED DEBT OBLIGATIONS (CDOs) The unrealized losses associated with CDOs relate to securities primarily backed by commercial, residential or other consumer collateral. The unrealized losses are primarily driven by changes in projected collateral losses, credit spreads and interest rates. We assess for credit impairment by estimating the present value of expected cash flows. The key assumptions for determining expected cash flows include default rates, loss severities and prepayment rates. We also consider cash flow forecasts and, as applicable, independent industry analyst reports and forecasts, sector credit ratings, and other independent market data. Based upon our assessment of the expected credit losses and the credit enhancement level of the securities, we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities.

OTHER DEBT SECURITIES The unrealized losses associated with other debt securities primarily relate to other asset-backed securities. The losses are primarily driven by changes in projected collateral losses, credit spreads and interest rates. We assess for credit impairment by estimating the present value of expected cash flows. The key assumptions for determining expected cash flows include default rates, loss severities and prepayment rates. Based upon our assessment of the expected credit losses and the credit enhancement level of the securities, we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities.

MARKETABLE EQUITY SECURITIES Our marketable equity securities include investments in perpetual preferred securities, which provide very attractive tax-equivalent yields. We evaluated these hybrid financial instruments with investment-grade ratings for impairment using an evaluation methodology similar to that used for debt securities. Perpetual preferred securities are not considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired if there is no evidence of credit deterioration or investment rating downgrades of any issuers to below investment grade, and we expect to continue to receive full contractual payments. We will continue to evaluate the prospects for these securities for recovery in their market value in accordance with our policy for estimating OTTI. We have recorded impairment write-downs on perpetual preferred securities where there was evidence of credit deterioration.

Note 4: Securities Available for Sale (continued)

OTHER SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE MATTERS The fair values of our investment securities could decline in the future if the underlying performance of the collateral for the residential and commercial MBS or other securities deteriorate and our credit enhancement levels do not provide sufficient protection to our contractual principal and interest. As a result, there is a risk that significant OTTI may occur in the future.

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of debt and perpetual preferred securities available for sale by those rated investment grade and those rated less than investment grade, according to their lowest credit rating by Standard & Poor s Rating Services (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s). Credit ratings express opinions about the credit quality of a security. Securities rated investment grade, that is those rated BBB- or higher by S&P or Baa3 or higher by

Moody s, are generally considered by the rating agencies and market participants to be low credit risk. Conversely, securities rated below investment grade, labeled as speculative grade by the rating agencies, are considered to be distinctively higher credit risk than investment grade securities. We have also included securities not rated by S&P or Moody s in the table below based on the internal credit grade of the securities (used for credit risk management purposes) equivalent to the credit rating assigned by major credit agencies. The unrealized losses and fair value of unrated securities categorized as investment grade based on internal credit grades were \$19 million and \$1.9 billion, respectively, at June 30, 2012, and \$207 million and \$6.2 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2011. If an internal credit grade was not assigned, we categorized the security as non-investment grade.

	_		tment grade	•		
	Gross			Gross		
	unrea		Fair	unrealized	Fair	
(in millions)	lo	osses	value	losses	value	
June 30, 2012						
Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	\$	-	-	-	-	
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions		(568)	7,268	(80)	447	
Mortgage-backed securities:						
Federal agencies		(14)	4,086	-	-	
Residential		(4)	569	(204)	3,493	
Commercial		(247)	4,473	(371)	950	
Total mortgage-backed securities		(265)	9,128	(575)	4,443	
Corporate debt securities		(42)	1,940	(103)	666	
Collateralized debt obligations		(117)	3,483	(109)	305	
Other		(115)	3,849	(31)	110	
Total debt securities	(1	,107)	25,668	(898)	5,971	
Perpetual preferred securities		(45)	768	-	-	
Total	\$ (1	,152)	26,436	(898)	5,971	

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO & COMPANY/MN - Form 10-Q

December 31, 2011				
Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	\$ (11)	5,473	-	_
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions	(781)	12,093	(102)	756
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Federal agencies	(10)	3,019	-	-
Residential	(39)	2,503	(375)	4,717
Commercial	(429)	6,273	(499)	874
Total mortgage-backed securities	(478)	11,795	(874)	5,591
Corporate debt securities	(165)	7,156	(121)	1,118
Collateralized debt obligations	(185)	4,597	(164)	284
Other	(186)	3,458	(39)	385
Tabl Jak a maida	(1.800)	44.572	(1.200)	0.124
Total debt securities	(1,806)	44,572	(1,300)	8,134
Perpetual preferred securities	(53)	833	(1)	13
Total	\$ (1,859)	45,405	(1,301)	8,147

Contractual Maturities

The following table shows the remaining contractual maturities and contractual yields of debt securities available for sale. The remaining contractual principal maturities for MBS do not consider prepayments. Remaining expected maturities will differ

from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to prepay obligations before the underlying mortgages mature.

	,	Weighted-						Remainii	ng contractual	maturity
	Total	C	Within			one year		ve years	A from t	
	Total	average	vv itillii (one year	through fi	ve years	through t	en years	After	en years
(in millions)	amount	yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
June 30, 2012										
Securities of U.S. Treasury and										
federal agencies	\$ 1,493	1.31 %	\$ 216	0.56 %	\$ 841	1.02 %	\$ 416	2.14 %	\$ 20	4.08 %
Securities of U.S. states and										
political subdivisions	37,251	4.63	1,664	3.16	12,468	2.08	3,252	5.10	19,867	6.27
Mortgage-backed securities:										
Federal agencies	101,863	4.09	-	-	209	4.41	1,228	3.13	100,426	4.11
Residential	16,718	4.47	-	-	-	-	618	1.97	16,100	4.56
Commercial	18,928	5.45	-	-	15	5.45	108	3.45	18,805	5.47
Total mortgage-backed securities	137,509	4.33	_	_	224	4.48	1.954	2.78	135,331	4.35
Total moregage backed securities	107,007	1100					1,50	2.70	100,001	1100
Comparate debt securities	19,841	4.47	867	4.68	12,071	3.30	5,097	6.57	1,806	6.26
Corporate debt securities Collateralized debt obligations	9,147	1.13	25	0.47	611	1.03	6,973	1.00	1,538	1.77
Other	18,758	1.71	412	1.16	11,699	1.55	3,229	1.83	3,418	2.18
Other	10,750	1.71	412	1.10	11,0	1.55	3,227	1.05	3,410	2.10
Total debt securities at fair value	\$ 223,999	4.02 %	\$ 3,184	3.12 %	\$ 37,914	2.28 %	\$ 20,921	3.31 %	\$ 161,980	4.54 %
	+ === ;		+ -,		7 - 1 , - 1		+ = = + = = =		+,-	112 1 70
December 31, 2011										
Securities of U.S. Treasury and										
federal agencies	\$ 6,968	0.91 %	\$ 57	0.48 %	\$ 6,659	0.84 %	\$ 194	2.73 %	\$ 58	3.81 %
Securities of U.S. states and										
political subdivisions	32,593	4.94	520	3.02	11,679	2.90	2,692	5.31	17,702	6.28
Mortgage-backed securities:										
Federal agencies	96,754	4.39	1	6.47	442	4.02	1,399	3.07	94,912	4.42
Residential	17,836	4.51	-	-	-	-	640	1.88	17,196	4.61
Commercial	18,150	5.40	-	-	-	-	87	3.33	18,063	5.41
	100 5/2			< 1 5	116	4.00	0.405	2.52	120.151	4.50
Total mortgage-backed securities	132,740	4.55	1	6.47	442	4.02	2,126	2.72	130,171	4.58
Corporate debt securities	18,404	4.64	815	5.57	11,022	3.40	4,691	6.67	1,876	6.38
Collateralized debt obligations	8,599	1.10	-	-	540	1.61	6,813	1.00	1,246	1.42
Other	19,892	1.89	506	2.29	12,963	1.75	3,149	2.04	3,274	2.29
Total debt securities at fair value	\$ 219,196	4.12 %	\$ 1,899	3.85 %	\$ 43,305	2.36 %	\$ 19,665	3.31 %	\$ 154,327	4.72 %

Note 4: Securities Available for Sale (continued)

Realized Gains and Losses

The following table shows the gross realized gains and losses on sales and OTTI write-downs related to the securities available-

for-sale portfolio, which includes marketable equity securities, as well as net realized gains and losses on nonmarketable equity securities (see Note 6 Other Assets).

	Quarter end	led June 30,	Six months ended June 3		
(in millions)	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Gross realized gains	\$ 136	430	417	500	
Gross realized losses	(32)	(7)	(36)	(49)	
OTTI write-downs	(82)	(189)	(133)	(269)	
Net realized gains from securities available for sale	22	234	248	182	
Net realized gains from private equity investments	159	362	290	601	
Net realized gains from debt securities and equity investments	\$ 181	596	538	783	

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

The following table shows the detail of total OTTI write-downs included in earnings for debt securities and marketable and nonmarketable equity securities.

	Quarter ended June 30			
(in millions)	2012	2011	2012	2011
OTTI write-downs included in earnings				
Debt securities:				
U.S. states and political subdivisions	\$ 9	2	9	2
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Residential	34	144	48	206
Commercial	3	9	33	23
Corporate debt securities	3	-	4	-
Collateralized debt obligations	1	-	1	-
Other debt securities	27	34	32	38
Total debt securities	77	189	127	269
Equity securities:				
Marketable equity securities:				
Perpetual preferred securities	5	-	6	-

Total marketable equity securities	5	-	6	-
Total securities available for sale	82	189	133	269
Nonmarketable equity securities	38	16	52	57
Total OTTI write-downs included in earnings	\$ 120	205	185	326

67

Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Debt Securities

The following table shows the detail of OTTI write-downs on debt securities available for sale included in earnings and the related changes in OCI for the same securities.

(in millions)		arter ende	ed June 30, 2011	Six months end	ed June 30, 2011
OTTI on debt securities					
Recorded as part of gross realized losses:					
Credit-related OTTI	\$	74	189	124	268
Intent-to-sell OTTI		3	-	3	1
Total recorded as part of gross realized losses		77	189	127	269
Recorded directly to OCI for non-credit-related impairment:					
U.S. states and political subdivisions		(7)	(1)	(7)	(1)
Residential mortgage-backed securities		(54)	(64)	(63)	(168)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		-	17	(6)	(36)
Corporate debt securities		-	-	(1)	-
Collateralized debt obligations		1	-	1	-
Other debt securities		30	(12)	31	(11)
Total recorded directly to OCI for increase (decrease) in non-credit-related impairment (1)		(30)	(60)	(45)	(216)
T (107711 11 11 11 22	ф	47	120	92	50
Total OTTI losses recorded on debt securities	\$	47	129	82	53

The following table presents a rollforward of the credit loss component recognized in earnings for debt securities we still own (referred to as credit-impaired debt securities). The credit loss component of the amortized cost represents the difference between the present value of expected future cash flows discounted using the security s current effective interest rate and the amortized cost basis of the security prior to considering credit losses. OTTI recognized in earnings for credit-impaired debt securities is presented as additions and is classified into one of two components based upon whether the current period is the first time the debt security was credit-impaired (initial credit

impairment) or if the debt security was previously credit-impaired (subsequent credit impairments). The credit loss component is reduced if we sell, intend to sell or believe we will be required to sell previously credit-impaired debt securities. Additionally, the credit loss component is reduced if we receive or expect to receive cash flows in excess of what we previously expected to receive over the remaining life of the credit-impaired debt security, the security matures or is fully written down.

⁽¹⁾ Represents amounts recorded to OCI on debt securities in periods OTTI write-downs have occurred. Changes in fair value in subsequent periods on such securities, to the extent additional credit-related OTTI did not occur, are not reflected in this total. Increases represent OTTI write-downs recorded to OCI on debt securities in the periods non-credit related impairment has occurred. Decreases represent partial recoveries in the fair value of securities due to factors other than credit, where the increase in fair value was not sufficient to recover the full amount of the unrealized loss on such securities.

Changes in the credit loss component of credit-impaired debt securities that were recognized in earnings and related to securities that we do not intend to sell were:

(in millions)	(Quarter ended 2012	June. 30, 2011	Six months ende	ed June 30, 2011
Credit loss component, beginning of period	\$	1,302	1,087	1,272	1,043
Additions:					
Initial credit impairments		31	31	36	42
Subsequent credit impairments		43	158	88	226
Total additions		74	189	124	268
Reductions:					
For securities sold		(58)	(15)	(70)	(38)
For recoveries of previous credit impairments (1)		(4)	(10)	(12)	(22)
Total reductions		(62)	(25)	(82)	(60)
Credit loss component, end of period	\$	1,314	1,251	1,314	1,251

⁽¹⁾ Recoveries of previous credit impairments result from increases in expected cash flows subsequent to credit loss recognition. Such recoveries are reflected prospectively as interest yield adjustments using the effective interest method.

68

Note 4: Securities Available for Sale (continued)

To determine credit impairment losses for asset-backed securities (e.g., residential MBS), we estimate expected future cash flows of the security by estimating the expected future cash flows of the underlying collateral and applying those collateral cash flows, together with any credit enhancements such as subordinated interests owned by third parties, to the security. The expected future cash flows of the underlying collateral are determined using the remaining contractual cash flows adjusted for future expected credit losses (which consider current delinquencies and nonperforming assets (NPAs), future

expected default rates and collateral value by vintage and geographic region) and prepayments. The expected cash flows of the security are then discounted at the security scurrent effective interest rate to arrive at a present value amount. Total credit impairment losses on residential MBS that we do not intend to sell are shown in the table below. The table also presents a summary of the significant inputs considered in determining the measurement of the credit loss component recognized in earnings for residential MBS.

	Quarter ended June 30, Six months ended June 30.				
(\$ in millions)	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Credit impairment losses on residential MBS	Φ.			_	
Investment grade	\$ -	-	-	5	
Non-investment grade	34	144	48	201	
Total credit impairment losses on residential MBS	\$ 34	144	48	206	
Significant inputs (non-agency non-investment grade MBS)					
Expected remaining life of loan losses (1):					
Range (2)	1-37 %	0-40	1-44	0-40	
Credit impairment distribution (3):					
0 - 10% range	68	40	62	45	
10 - 20% range	18	13	16	16	
20 - 30% range	11	35	8	30	
Greater than 30%	3	12	14	9	
Weighted average (4)	9	12	9	11	
Current subordination levels (5):					
Range (2)	0-22	0-13	0-57	0-13	
Weighted average (4)	3	4	2	5	
Prepayment speed (annual CPR (6)):					
Range (2)	5-24	5-14	5-29	5-15	
Weighted average (4)	14	11	14	11	

- (1) Represents future expected credit losses on underlying pool of loans expressed as a percentage of total current outstanding loan balance.
- (2) Represents the range of inputs/assumptions based upon the individual securities within each category.
- (3) Represents distribution of credit impairment losses recognized in earnings categorized based on range of expected remaining life of loan losses. For example 68% of credit impairment losses recognized in earnings for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, had expected remaining life of loan loss assumptions of 0 to 10%
- (4) Calculated by weighting the relevant input/assumption for each individual security by current outstanding amortized cost basis of the security.
- (5) Represents current level of credit protection (subordination) for the securities, expressed as a percentage of total current underlying loan balance.
- (6) Constant prepayment rate.

Note 5: Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses

The following table presents total loans outstanding by portfolio segment and class of financing receivable. Outstanding balances include a total net reduction of \$8.4 billion and \$9.3 billion at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, for unearned income, net deferred loan fees, and unamortized discounts and

premiums. Outstanding balances also include PCI loans net of any remaining purchase accounting adjustments. Information about PCI loans is presented separately in the Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans section of this Note.

(in millions)	June 30, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 177,646	167,216
Real estate mortgage	105,666	105,975
Real estate construction	17,594	19,382
Lease financing	12,729	13,117
Foreign (1)	40,417	39,760
Total commercial	354,052	345,450
Consumer:		
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	230,263	228,894
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	80,881	85,991
Credit card	22,706	22,836
Other revolving credit and installment	87,297	86,460
Total consumer	421,147	424,181
Total loans	\$ 775,199	769,631

⁽¹⁾ Substantially all of our foreign loan portfolio is commercial loans. Loans are classified as foreign if the borrower s primary address is outside of the United States.

The following table summarizes the proceeds paid or received for purchases and sales of loans and transfers from loans held for investment to mortgages/loans held for sale at lower of cost or market. This loan activity primarily includes purchases or sales of commercial loan participation interests, whereby we

receive or transfer a portion of a loan after origination. The table excludes PCI loans and loans recorded at fair value, including loans originated for sale because their loan activity normally does not impact the allowance for credit losses.

(in millions)	Con	nmercial	Consumer	2012 Total	Commercial	Consumer	2011 Total
Quarter ended June 30,							
Loans - held for investment:							
Purchases (1)	\$	7,219	84	7,303	1,462	-	1,462
Sales		(1,115)	(170)	(1,285)	(895)	(313)	(1,208)
Transfers from (to) MHFS/LHFS (1)		18	(4)	14	(64)	(25)	(89)

Six months ended June 30,						
Loans - held for investment:						
Purchases (1)	\$ 9,175	167	9,342	2,106	-	2,106
Sales	(2,935)	(323)	(3,258)	(2,466)	(314)	(2,780)
Transfers to MHFS/LHFS (1)	(18)	(5)	(23)	(170)	(50)	(220)

70

⁽¹⁾ The Purchases and Transfers to MHFS/LHFS categories exclude activity in government insured/guaranteed loans. As servicer, we are able to buy delinquent insured/guaranteed loans out of the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) pools. These loans have different risk characteristics from the rest of our consumer portfolio, whereby this activity does not impact the allowance for loan losses in the same manner because the loans are insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) or are guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). On a net basis, this activity was \$2.0 billion and \$842 million for the second quarter 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$5.5 billion and \$3.1 billion for the first half of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Note 5: Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses (continued)

Allowance for Credit Losses (ACL)

The ACL is management s estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio, including unfunded credit commitments, at the balance sheet date. We have an established process to determine the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses that assesses the losses inherent in our portfolio and related unfunded credit commitments. While we attribute portions of the allowance to specific portfolio segments, the entire allowance is available to absorb credit losses inherent in the total loan portfolio and unfunded credit commitments.

Our process involves procedures to appropriately consider the unique risk characteristics of our commercial and consumer loan portfolio segments. For each portfolio segment, losses are estimated collectively for groups of loans with similar characteristics, individually or pooled for impaired loans or, for PCI loans, based on the changes in cash flows expected to be collected.

Our allowance levels are influenced by loan volumes, loan grade migration or delinquency status, historic loss experience influencing loss factors, and other conditions influencing loss expectations, such as economic conditions.

COMMERCIAL PORTFOLIO SEGMENT ACL METHODOLOGY Generally, commercial loans are assessed for estimated losses by grading each loan using various risk factors as identified through periodic reviews. We apply historic grade-specific loss factors to the aggregation of each funded grade pool. These historic loss factors are also used to estimate losses for unfunded credit commitments. In the development of our statistically derived loan grade loss factors, we observe historical losses over a relevant period for each loan grade. These loss estimates are adjusted as appropriate based on additional analysis of long-term average loss experience compared to previously forecasted losses, external loss data or other risks identified from current economic conditions and credit quality trends.

The allowance also includes an amount for the estimated impairment on nonaccrual commercial loans and commercial loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring (TDR), whether on accrual or nonaccrual status.

CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SEGMENT ACL METHODOLOGY For consumer loans, not identified as a TDR, we determine the allowance predominantly on a collective basis utilizing forecasted losses to represent our best estimate of inherent loss. We pool loans, generally by product types with similar risk characteristics, such as residential real estate mortgages and credit cards. As appropriate and to achieve greater accuracy, we may further stratify selected portfolios by sub-product, origination channel, vintage, loss type, geographic location and other predictive characteristics. Models designed for each pool are utilized to develop the loss estimates. We use assumptions for these pools in our forecast models, such as historic delinquency and default, loss severity, home price trends, unemployment trends, and other key economic variables that may influence the frequency and severity of losses in the pool.

In determining the appropriate allowance attributable to our residential mortgage portfolio, we incorporate the default rates and high severity of loss for junior lien mortgages behind

delinquent first lien mortgages into our loss forecasting calculations. In addition, the loss rates we use in determining our allowance include the impact of our established loan modification programs. When modifications occur or are probable to occur, our allowance considers the impact of these modifications, taking into consideration the associated credit cost, including re-defaults of modified loans and projected loss severity. Accordingly, the loss content associated with the effects of existing and probable loan modifications and junior lien mortgages behind delinquent first lien mortgages has been captured in our allowance methodology.

We separately estimate impairment for consumer loans that have been modified in a TDR (including trial modifications), whether on accrual or nonaccrual status.

OTHER ACL MATTERS The allowance for credit losses for both portfolio segments includes an amount for imprecision or uncertainty that may change from period to period. This amount represents management s judgment of risks inherent in the processes and assumptions used in establishing the allowance. This imprecision considers economic environmental factors, modeling assumptions and performance, process risk, and other subjective factors, including industry trends.

Impaired loans, which predominantly include nonaccrual commercial loans and any loans that have been modified in a TDR, have an estimated allowance calculated as the difference, if any, between the impaired value of the loan and the recorded investment in the loan. The impaired value of the loan is generally calculated as the present value of expected future cash flows from principal and interest which incorporates

expected lifetime losses, discounted at the loan seffective interest rate. The allowance for an impaired loan that was modified in a TDR may be lower than the previously established allowance for that loan due to benefits received through modification, such as lower probability of default and/or severity of loss, and the impact of prior charge-offs or charge-offs at the time of the modification that may reduce or eliminate the need for an allowance.

Commercial and consumer PCI loans may require an allowance subsequent to their acquisition. This allowance requirement is due to decreases in expected principal and interest cash flows (other than due to decreases in interest rate indices and changes in prepayment assumptions).

71

The allowance for credit losses consists of the allowance for loan losses and the allowance for unfunded credit commitments. Changes in the allowance for credit losses were:

Interest income on certain impaired loans (1) (60 (1	(in millions)	Quarter et 2012	nded June 30, 2011	Six months end	Six months ended June 30, 2012 2011	
Provision for credit losses 1,800 1,838 3,785 4,048 1,000	Balance, beginning of period	\$ 19.129	22.383	19,668	23,463	
Interest income on certain impaired loans (1) (82) (79) (169) (162) Loan change-offs: Commercial and industrial (360) (365) (719) (833) Real estate mortage (114) (185) (190) (368) Real estate construction (60) (99) (140) (218) Lease financing (5) (7) (43) (22 Foreign (17) (57) (46) (96 Total commercial (556) (713) (1,141) (1,531) Consumer: (772) (1,064) (1,600) (2,077) (2,010) Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage (757) (968) (1,577) (2,014) Cordicard (280) (38) (38) (387) (82) Cordicard (280) (3,514) (5,569) (7,34) Total consumer (2,689) (3,514) (5,569) (7,34) Loar recoveries: (2,689) (3,514) (5,569) (7,34) Loan					4,048	
Loan charge-offs Commercial Commercial Commercial and industrial Commercial and industrial Commercial Commer					(162)	
Commercial and industrial 3,600 3,65 7,19 33.2 32.2 33.2		(- /	()	()	(')	
Real estate mortgage (114) (185) (196) (366) (366) (90) (140) (215) (130) (22) (130) (22) (177) (57) (46) (96) (96) (177) (57) (46) (96) (176) (177) (57) (46) (96) (177) (177) (57) (46) (96) (177) (177) (177) (177) (46) (178) (178) (177) (17	Commercial:					
Real estate mortgage (114) (185) (196) (37) (46) (96) (157) (20) (36) (37) (46) (157) (20) (20) (36) (157) (20) (20) (36) (157) (20) (37) (30) (37) (30) (37) (30) (37) (30) (37) (30) <t< td=""><td>Commercial and industrial</td><td>(360)</td><td>(365)</td><td>(719)</td><td>(833)</td></t<>	Commercial and industrial	(360)	(365)	(719)	(833)	
Lease financing (5) (7) (13) (24 Foreign (17) (57) (46) (96 Total commercial (556) (713) (1,114) (1,531) Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage (772) (1,064) (1,600) (2,07) Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage (757) (968) (1,577) (2,07) Credit card (286) (378) (877) (826) Other revolving credit and installment (318) (391) (691) (891) Total consumer (2,133) (2,801) (4,455) (5,810) Total consumer (2,689) (3,514) (5,569) (7,341) Loan recoveries: Commercial: Commercial: 111 111 111 214 225 Real estate construction 43 2,7 56 66 66 61 12 12 Total commercial 198 211 371 406 Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155	Real estate mortgage		(185)	(196)	(364)	
Forcign (17) (37) (46) (96 Total commercial (556) (713) (1,114) (1,531 Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage (772) (1,064) (1,600) (2,075 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage (787) (968) (1,577) (2,014 Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage (787) (968) (1,577) (2,014 Coeffic and (286) (378) (587) (322 Other revolving credit and installment (318) (391) (691) (891) Total consumer (2,133) (2,801) (4,455) (5,816 Total loan charge-offs (2,689) (3,514) (5,569) (7,341 Loan recoveries: Commercial: Commercial and industrial (111 111 214 222 Real estate mortgage (33) 57 69 84 Real estate construction (43) 27 56 66 Real estate construction (5 11 12) Forcign (5 6 10) 21 21 Total commercial (1988) (1	Real estate construction		(99)		(218)	
Total commercial (556) (713) (1,114) (1,53)	Lease financing	(5)	(7)	(13)	(20)	
Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage (772) (1.064) (1.600) (2.075 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage (7757) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (968) (1.577) (2.016 (7757) (968) (1.577) (1.577) (1.5	Foreign	(17)	(57)	(46)	(96)	
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage (772) (1.064) (1.600) (2.075 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage (757) (968) (1,577) (2.014 Credit card (286) (378) (587) (8527) Other revolving credit and installment (318) (391) (691) (691) Total consumer (2,133) (2,801) (4,455) (5,816 Total loan charge-offs (2,689) (3,514) (5,569) (7,341 Loan recoveries: Commercial: Commercial: 111 11 111 111 214 225 Real estate mortgage 33 57 69 84 Real estate construction 43 27 56 65 Lease financing 5 6 11 11 Foreign 6 10 21 21 Total commercial 198 211 371 400 Consumer: 29 155 66 20 Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155 66 20 Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 8 59 125 111 Real estate 1-4 family funior lien mortgage 8 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 155 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 366 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total consumer 489 676 974 1.293 Net loan charge-offs (2)	Total commercial	(556)	(713)	(1,114)	(1,531)	
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage (772) (1.064) (1.600) (2.075 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage (757) (968) (1,577) (2.014 Credit card (286) (378) (587) (8527) Other revolving credit and installment (318) (391) (691) (691) Total consumer (2,133) (2,801) (4,455) (5,816 Total loan charge-offs (2,689) (3,514) (5,569) (7,341 Loan recoveries: Commercial: Commercial: 111 11 111 111 214 225 Real estate mortgage 33 57 69 84 Real estate construction 43 27 56 65 Lease financing 5 6 11 11 Foreign 6 10 21 21 Total commercial 198 211 371 400 Consumer: 29 155 66 20 Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155 66 20 Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 8 59 125 111 Real estate 1-4 family funior lien mortgage 8 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 155 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 366 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total consumer 489 676 974 1.293 Net loan charge-offs (2)	Consumer:					
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage (757) (968) (1,577) (2,04 Credit card (286) (378) (587) (826 Other revolving credit and installment (318) (391) (691) (891) Total consumer (2,133) (2,801) (4,455) (5,816) Total loan charge-offs (2,689) (3,514) (5,569) (7,341) Loan recoveries: Commercial Total consumer Total consumer in the construction of the consumer in t		(772)	(1,064)	(1,600)	(2,079)	
Credit card Other revolving credit and installment (286) (378) (387) (826) (887) (826) Other revolving credit and installment (318) (391) (691) (891) (891) Total consumer (2,133) (2,801) (4,455) (5,816) (5,816) Total loan charge-offs (2,689) (3,514) (5,569) (7,341) Loan recoveries: Commercial stand industrial 111 111 111 214 225 Real estate motingage 33 57 69 88 Real estate construction 43 27 56 63 Lease financing 5 6 11 12 Foreign 6 10 21 21 Total commercial 198 211 371 406 Consumer: 29 155 66 266 Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155 66 266 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage 68 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 156 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 366 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)					(2,014)	
Total consumer (2,133) (2,801) (4,455) (5,816) Total loan charge-offs (2,689) (3,514) (5,569) (7,341) Loan recoveries: Commercial commercial modifications: Total commercial modifications: 111 111 214 225 Real estate mortgage 33 57 69 84 Real estate construction 43 27 56 66 66 11 12 Foreign 5 6 11 12 12 20	Credit card				(826)	
Total loan charge-offs (2,689) (3,514) (5,569) (7,341) Loan recoveries: Commercial: Commercial: Commercial 111 111 214 225 Real estate mortgage 333 57 69 84 Real estate mortgage 5 6 11 12 Foreign 5 6 11 12 Total commercial 198 211 371 406 Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155 66 266 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage 88 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 155 Cother revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 366 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total consumer 396 676 974 1,293 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)	Other revolving credit and installment	(318)	(391)	(691)	(891)	
Loan recoveries: Commercial:	Total consumer	(2,133)	(2,801)	(4,455)	(5,810)	
Commercial: Commercial and industrial 111 111 214 225 Real estate mortgage 33 57 69 84 Real estate construction 43 27 56 63 Lease financing 5 6 11 13 Foreign 6 10 21 21 Total commercial 198 211 371 406 Consumer: 2 155 66 266 Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155 66 266 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage 68 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 150 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 360 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total loan recoveries 489 676 974 1,292 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)	Total loan charge-offs	(2,689)	(3,514)	(5,569)	(7,341)	
Commercial and industrial 111 111 214 225 Real estate mortgage 33 57 69 84 Real estate construction 43 27 56 63 Lease financing 5 6 11 12 Foreign 6 10 21 21 Total commercial 198 211 371 406 Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155 66 26 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage 68 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 150 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 360 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Met loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)	Loan recoveries:					
Real estate mortgage 33 57 69 84 Real estate construction 43 27 56 63 Lease financing 5 6 11 13 Foreign 6 10 21 21 Total commercial Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155 66 266 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage 68 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 150 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 360 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total loan recoveries 489 676 974 1,293 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)		111	111	214	225	
Real estate construction 43 27 56 63 Lease financing 5 6 11 13 Foreign 6 10 21 21 Total commercial Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155 66 266 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage 68 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 155 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 360 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total loan recoveries 489 676 974 1,293 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)						
Lease financing 5 6 11 13 Foreign 6 10 21 21 Total commercial Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155 66 266 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage 68 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 150 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 360 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total loan recoveries 489 676 974 1,293 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)						
Foreign 6 10 21 21 Total commercial 198 211 371 406 Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155 66 266 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage 68 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 150 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 366 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total consumer 489 676 974 1,293 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)						
Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155 66 266 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage 68 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 150 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 360 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total loan recoveries 489 676 974 1,293 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)	Foreign				21	
Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155 66 266 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage 68 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 150 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 360 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total loan recoveries 489 676 974 1,293 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)						
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage 29 155 66 266 Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage 68 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 150 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 360 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total loan recoveries 489 676 974 1,293 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)	Total commercial	198	211	371	406	
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage 68 59 125 111 Credit card 46 84 105 150 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 360 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total loan recoveries 489 676 974 1,293 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)	Consumer:					
Credit card 46 84 105 150 Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 360 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total loan recoveries 489 676 974 1,293 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)	Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage				266	
Other revolving credit and installment 148 167 307 360 Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total loan recoveries 489 676 974 1,293 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)						
Total consumer 291 465 603 887 Total loan recoveries 489 676 974 1,293 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)						
Total loan recoveries 489 676 974 1,293 Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)	Other revolving credit and installment	148	167	307	360	
Net loan charge-offs (2) (2,200) (2,838) (4,595) (6,048)	Total consumer	291	465	603	887	
	Total loan recoveries	489	676	974	1,293	
Allowances related to business combinations/other (1) (42) (53) (39)	Net loan charge-offs (2)	(2,200)	(2,838)	(4,595)	(6,048)	
	Allowances related to business combinations/other	(1)	(42)	(53)	(39)	

Balance, end of period	\$ 18,646	21,262	18,646	21,262
Components:				
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 18,320	20,893	18,320	20,893
Allowance for unfunded credit commitments	326	369	326	369
Allowance for credit losses (3)	\$ 18,646	21,262	18.646	21,262
	7-	, -	-,-	, -
Net loan charge-offs (annualized) as a percentage of average total loans (2)	1.15 %	1.52	1.20	1.62
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans (3)	2.36	2.78	2.36	2.78
Allowance for credit losses as a percentage of total loans (3)	2.41	2.83	2.41	2.83

72

⁽¹⁾ Certain impaired loans with an allowance calculated by discounting expected cash flows using the loan s effective interest rate over the remaining life of the loan recognize reductions in the allowance as interest income.

⁽²⁾ For PCI loans, charge-offs are only recorded to the extent that losses exceed the purchase accounting estimates.

⁽³⁾ The allowance for credit losses includes \$212 million and \$273 million at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, related to PCI loans acquired from Wachovia. Loans acquired from Wachovia are included in total loans net of related purchase accounting net write-downs.

Note 5: Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses (continued)

The following table summarizes the activity in the allowance for credit losses by our commercial and consumer portfolio segments.

(in millions)	Commercial	Consumer	2012 Total (Commercial	Consumer	2011 Total
Quarter ended June 30,						
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 6,130	12,999	19,129	7,973	14,410	22,383
Provision for credit losses	410	1,390	1,800	(27)	1,865	1,838
Interest income on certain impaired loans	(23)	(59)	(82)	(39)	(40)	(79)
Loan charge-offs	(556)	(2,133)	(2,689)	(713)	(2,801)	(3,514)
Loan recoveries	198	291	489	211	465	676
Net loan charge-offs	(358)	(1,842)	(2,200)	(502)	(2,336)	(2,838)
Tet four charge one	(650)	(1,012)	(=,=00)	(502)	(2,550)	(2,000)
Allowance related to business combinations/other	-	(1)	(1)	8	(50)	(42)
Balance, end of period	\$ 6,159	12,487	18,646	7,413	13,849	21,262
<u>r</u>	, ,,	, -	-,-	,	- ,	, -
Six months ended June 30,						
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 6,358	13,310	19,668	8,169	15,294	23,463
Provision for credit losses	598	3,197	3,795	445	3,603	4,048
Interest income on certain impaired loans	(54)	(115)	(169)	(84)	(78)	(162)
Loan charge-offs	(1,114)	(4,455)	(5,569)	(1,531)	(5,810)	(7,341)
Loan recoveries	371	603	974	406	887	1,293
Net loan charge-offs	(743)	(3,852)	(4,595)	(1,125)	(4,923)	(6,048)
Net loan charge ons	(143)	(3,032)	(4,575)	(1,123)	(4,723)	(0,040)
Allowance related to business combinations/other	-	(53)	(53)	8	(47)	(39)
Balance, end of period	\$ 6,159	12,487	18,646	7,413	13,849	21,262
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T 9	,	,	.,	,/	,

The following table disaggregates our allowance for credit losses and recorded investment in loans by impairment methodology.

	A	llowance for cre	dit losses	Re	corded investme	ent in loans
(in millions)	Commercial	Consumer	Total	Commercial	Consumer	Total
June 30, 2012						
Collectively evaluated (1)	\$ 4,061	7,819	11,880	339,267	375,034	714,301
Individually evaluated (2)	1,953	4,601	6,554	9,500	17,592	27,092
PCI (3)	145	67	212	5,285	28,521	33,806

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO & COMPANY/MN - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 6,159	12,487	18,646	354,052	421,147	775,199
December 31, 2011						
Collectively evaluated (1)	\$ 4,060	8,699	12,759	328,117	376,785	704,902
Individually evaluated (2) PCI (3)	2,133 165	4,545 66	6,678 231	10,566 6,767	17,444 29,952	28,010 36,719
Total	\$ 6,358	13,310	19,668	345,450	424,181	769,631

⁽¹⁾ Represents loans collectively evaluated for impairment in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 450-20, *Loss Contingencies* (formerly FAS 5), and pursuant to amendments by ASU 2010-20 regarding allowance for non-impaired loans.

⁽²⁾ Represents loans individually evaluated for impairment in accordance with ASC 310-10, *Receivables* (formerly FAS 114), and pursuant to amendments by ASU 2010-20 regarding allowance for impaired loans.

⁽³⁾ Represents the allowance and related loan carrying value determined in accordance with ASC 310-30, Receivables Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality (formerly SOP 03-3) and pursuant to amendments by ASU 2010-20 regarding allowance for PCI loans.

Credit Quality

We monitor credit quality as indicated by evaluating various attributes and utilize such information in our evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. The following sections provide the credit quality indicators we most closely monitor. See the Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans section of this Note for credit quality information on our PCI portfolio.

The majority of credit quality indicators are based on June 30, 2012 information, with the exception of updated FICO and updated loan-to-value (LTV)/combined LTV (CLTV), which are obtained at least quarterly. Generally, these indicators are updated in the second month of each quarter, with updates no older than March 31, 2012.

COMMERCIAL CREDIT QUALITY INDICATORS In addition to monitoring commercial loan concentration risk, we manage a consistent process for assessing commercial loan credit quality. Generally, commercial loans are subject to individual risk assessment using our internal borrower and collateral quality ratings. Our ratings are aligned to Pass and Criticized categories. The Criticized category includes Special Mention, Substandard, and Doubtful categories which are defined by bank regulatory agencies.

The following table provides a breakdown of outstanding commercial loans by risk category. Of the \$25.8 billion in criticized commercial real estate (CRE) loans, \$5.3 billion has been placed on nonaccrual status and written down to net realizable value. CRE loans have a high level of monitoring in place to manage these assets and mitigate any loss exposure.

(in millions)	mmercial and industrial	Real estate mortgage	Real estate construction	Lease financing	Foreign	Total
June 30, 2012						
By risk category:						
Pass	\$ 157,694	82,543	11,031	12,102	36,857	300,227
Criticized	19,708	20,501	5,267	627	2,437	48,540
Total commercial loans (excluding PCI)	177,402	103,044	16,298	12,729	39,294	348,767
Total commercial PCI loans (carrying value)	244	2,622	1,296	•	1,123	5,285
Total commercial loans	\$ 177,646	105,666	17,594	12,729	40,417	354,052
	·	·	·	·	·	
D 1 21 2011						
December 31, 2011						
By risk category:						
Pass	\$ 144,980	80,215	10,865	12,455	36,567	285,082
Criticized	21,837	22,490	6,772	662	1,840	53,601
Total commercial loans (excluding PCI)	166,817	102,705	17,637	13,117	38,407	338,683
Total commercial PCI loans (carrying value)	399	3,270	1,745	-	1,353	6,767
Total commercial loans	\$ 167,216	105,975	19,382	13,117	39,760	345,450

Note 5: Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses (continued)

The following table provides past due information for commercial loans, which we monitor as part of our credit risk management practices.

				Real			
	Co	mmercial	Real	estate	Lease		
		and	estate				
(in millions)		industrial	mortgage	construction	financing	Foreign	Total
June 30, 2012							
By delinquency status:							
Current-29 DPD and still accruing	\$	175,364	98,242	14,791	12,621	39,176	340,194
30-89 DPD and still accruing		445	786	61	65	36	1,393
90+ DPD and still accruing		44	184	25	-	3	256
Nonaccrual loans		1,549	3,832	1,421	43	79	6,924
Total commercial loans (excluding PCI)		177,402	103,044	16,298	12,729	39,294	348,767
Total commercial PCI loans (carrying value)		244	2,622	1,296	-	1,123	5,285
Total commercial loans	\$	177,646	105,666	17,594	12,729	40,417	354,052
December 31, 2011							
By delinquency status:							
Current-29 DPD and still accruing	\$	163,583	97,410	15,471	12,934	38,122	327,520
30-89 DPD and still accruing		939	954	187	130	232	2,442
90+ DPD and still accruing		153	256	89	-	6	504
Nonaccrual loans		2,142	4,085	1,890	53	47	8,217
Total commercial loans (excluding PCI)		166,817	102,705	17,637	13,117	38,407	338,683
Total commercial PCI loans (carrying value)		399	3,270	1,745	-	1,353	6,767
()			-,=,0	2,0		-,	2,. 2.
Total commercial loans	\$	167,216	105,975	19,382	13,117	39,760	345,450

CONSUMER CREDIT QUALITY INDICATORS We have various classes of consumer loans that present respective unique risks. Loan delinquency, FICO credit scores and LTV for loan types are common credit quality indicators that we monitor and utilize in our evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses for the consumer portfolio segment.

The majority of our loss estimation techniques used for the allowance for credit losses rely on delinquency matrix models or delinquency roll rate models. Therefore, delinquency is an important indicator of credit quality and the establishment of our allowance for credit losses.

The following table provides the outstanding balances of our consumer portfolio by delinquency status.

(in millions)	Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	Credit card	Other revolving credit and installment	Total
June 30, 2012					
By delinquency status:					
Current-29 DPD	\$ 159,650	78,422	22,136	72,410	332,618
30-59 DPD	3,624	633	173	720	5,150
60-89 DPD	1,602	397	123	223	2,345
90-119 DPD	874	297	102	108	1,381
120-179 DPD	1,230	417	153	28	1,828
180+ DPD	6,526	525	19	5	7,075
Government insured/guaranteed loans (1)	28,426	-	-	13,803	42,229
Total consumer loans (excluding PCI)	201,932	80,691	22,706	87,297	392,626
Total consumer PCI loans (carrying value)	28,331	190	-	-	28,521
Total consumer loans	\$ 230,263	80,881	22,706	87,297	421,147
December 31, 2011					
By delinquency status:					
Current-29 DPD	\$ 156,985	83,033	22,125	69,712	331,855
30-59 DPD	4,075	786	211	963	6,035
60-89 DPD	2,012	501	154	275	2,942
90-119 DPD	1,152	382	135	127	1,796
120-179 DPD	1,704	537	211	33	2,485
180+ DPD	6,665	546	-	4	7,215
Government insured/guaranteed loans (1)	26,555	-	-	15,346	41,901
Total consumer loans (excluding PCI)	199,148	85,785	22,836	86,460	394,229
Total consumer PCI loans (carrying value)	29,746	206	-	-	29,952
Total consumer loans	\$ 228,894	85,991	22,836	86,460	424,181

⁽¹⁾ Represents loans whose repayments are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA and student loans whose repayments are predominantly guaranteed by agencies on behalf of the U.S. Department of Education under the Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP). Loans insured/guaranteed by the FHA/VA and 90+ DPD totaled \$1.7 billion at June 30, 2012, compared with \$18.5 billion at December 31, 2011. Student loans 90+ DPD totaled \$1.1 billion at June 30, 2012, compared with \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2011.

Of the \$10.3 billion of loans that are 90 days or more past due at June 30, 2012, \$1.1 billion was accruing, compared with \$11.5 billion past due and \$1.5 billion accruing at December 31, 2011.

Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage loans 180 days or more past due totaled \$6.5 billion, or 3.2% of total first mortgages (excluding PCI), at June 30, 2012, compared with \$6.7 billion, or 3.3%, at December 31, 2011.

The following table provides a breakdown of our consumer portfolio by updated FICO. We obtain FICO scores at loan origination and the scores are updated at least quarterly. The majority of our portfolio is underwritten with a FICO score of 680 and above. FICO is not available for certain loan types and may not be obtained if we deem it unnecessary due to strong collateral and other borrower attributes, primarily securities-based margin loans of \$4.9 billion at June 30, 2012, and \$5.0 billion at December 31, 2011.

Note 5: Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses (continued)

(in millions)	Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	Credit card	Other revolving credit and installment	Total
June 30, 2012					
By updated FICO:					
< 600	\$ 19,062	6,670	2,293	8,929	36,954
600-639	10,847	3,917	1,818	6,281	22,863
640-679	15,618	6,965	3,411	9,711	35,705
680-719	23,470	11,975	4,544	11,136	51,125
720-759	27,732	16,902	4,628	10,370	59,632
760-799	51,310	23,488	3,672	11,846	90,316
800+	21,880	9,197	1,939	6,115	39,131
No FICO available	3,587	1,577	401	4,244	9,809
FICO not required	-	-	-	4,862	4,862
Government insured/guaranteed loans (1)	28,426	-	-	13,803	42,229
Total consumer loans (excluding PCI)	201,932	80,691	22,706	87,297	392,626
Total consumer PCI loans (carrying value)	28,331	190	-	-	28,521
Total consumer loans	\$ 230,263	80,881	22,706	87,297	421,147
December 31, 2011					
By updated FICO:					
< 600	\$ 21,604	7,428	2,323	8,921	40,276
600-639	 10,978	4,086	1,787	6,222	23,073
640-679	15,563	7,187	3,383	9,350	35,483
680-719	23,622	12,497	4,697	10,465	51,281
720-759	27,417	17,574	4,760	9,936	59,687
760-799	47,337	24,979	3,517	11,163	86,996
800+	21,381	10,247	1,969	5,674	39,271
No FICO available	4,691	1,787	400	4,393	11,271
FICO not required	-	-	-	4,990	4,990
Government insured/guaranteed loans (1)	26,555	-	-	15,346	41,901
Total consumer loans (excluding PCI)	199,148	85,785	22,836	86,460	394,229
Total consumer PCI loans (carrying value)	29,746	206	,	-	29,952
Total consumer loans	\$ 228,894	85,991	22,836	86,460	424,181

⁽¹⁾ Represents loans whose repayments are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA and student loans whose repayments are predominantly guaranteed by agencies on behalf of the U.S. Department of Education under FFELP.

LTV refers to the ratio comparing the loan sunpaid principal balance to the property such collateral value. CLTV refers to the combination of first mortgage and junior lien mortgage (including unused line amounts for credit line products) ratios. LTVs and CLTVs are updated quarterly using a cascade approach which first uses values provided by automated valuation models (AVMs) for the property. If an AVM is not available, then the value is estimated using the original appraised value adjusted by the change in Home Price Index (HPI) for the property location. If an HPI is not available, the original appraised value is used. The HPI value is normally the only method considered for high value properties as the AVM values have proven less accurate for these properties.

The following table shows the most updated LTV and CLTV distribution of the real estate 1-4 family first and junior lien mortgage loan portfolios. In recent years, the residential real estate markets have experienced significant declines in property values and several markets, particularly California and Florida have experienced declines that turned out to be more significant than the national decline. These trends are considered in the way that we monitor credit risk and establish our allowance for credit

losses. LTV does not necessarily reflect the likelihood of performance of a given loan, but does provide an indication of collateral value. In the event of a default, any loss should be limited to the portion of the loan amount in excess of the net realizable value of the underlying real estate collateral value. Certain loans do not have an LTV or CLTV primarily due to industry data availability and portfolios acquired from or serviced by other institutions.

77

			Jur	ne 30, 2012	December 31, 2011 Real estate		
	R	Real estate	Real estate Real estate			1-4	
	1	1-4 family	1-4 family 1-4 family		family		
		first	junior lien	first		junior lien	
		mortgage	mortgage		mortgage	mortgage	
(in millions)		by LTV	by CLTV	Total	by LTV	by CLTV	Total
By LTV/CLTV:							
0-60%	\$	47,770	11,901	59,671	46,476	12,694	59,170
60.01-80%		53,736	15,309	69,045	46,831	15,722	62,553
80.01-100%		34,385	19,555	53,940	36,764	20,290	57,054
100.01-120% (1)		19,709	15,109	34,818	21,116	15,829	36,945
> 120% (1)		15,740	16,366	32,106	18,608	18,626	37,234
No LTV/CLTV available		2,166	2,451	4,617	2,798	2,624	5,422
Government insured/guaranteed loans (2)		28,426	-	28,426	26,555	-	26,555
Total consumer loans (excluding PCI)		201,932	80,691	282,623	199,148	85,785	284,933
Total consumer PCI loans (carrying value)		28,331	190	28,521	29,746	206	29,952
Total consumer loans	\$	230,263	80,881	311,144	228,894	85,991	314,885

⁽¹⁾ Reflects total loan balances with LTV/CLTV amounts in excess of 100%. In the event of default, the loss content would generally be limited to only the amount in excess of 100% LTV/CLTV.

NONACCRUAL LOANS The following table provides loans on nonaccrual status. PCI loans are excluded from this table due to the existence of the accretable yield.

(in millions)	Ju	ne 30, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011
Commercial:			
Commercial and industrial	\$	1,549	2,142
Real estate mortgage		3,832	4,085
Real estate construction		1,421	1,890
Lease financing		43	53
Foreign		79	47
Total commercial (1)		6,924	8,217
Consumer:			
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage (2)		10,368	10,913
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage (3)		3,091	1,975
Other revolving credit and installment		195	199
Total consumer		13,654	13,087
Total nonaccrual loans (excluding PCI)	\$	20,578	21,304

 $^{(1) \}quad \text{Includes LHFS of 17 million at June 30, 2012, and 25 million at December 31, 2011.}$

⁽²⁾ Represents loans whose repayments are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA.

⁽²⁾ Includes MHFS of \$310 million at June 30, 2012, and \$301 million at December 31, 2011.

⁽³⁾ The balance at June 30, 2012, includes the impact from the transfer of 1-4 family junior lien mortgages to nonaccrual loans in accordance with the Interagency Guidance issued on January 31, 2012.

Note 5: Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses (continued)

LOANS 90 DAYS OR MORE PAST DUE AND STILL ACCRUING Certain loans 90 days or more past due as to interest or principal are still accruing, because they are (1) well-secured and in the process of collection or (2) real estate 1-4 family mortgage loans or consumer loans exempt under regulatory rules from being classified as nonaccrual until later delinquency, usually 120 days past due. PCI loans of \$6.6 billion at June 30, 2012, and \$8.7 billion at December 31, 2011, are not included in these past due and still accruing loans even though they are 90 days or more contractually past due. These PCI loans are considered to be accruing due to the existence of the accretable yield and not based on consideration given to contractual interest payments. Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing whose repayments are insured by the FHA or predominantly guaranteed by the VA for mortgages and the U.S. Department of Education for student loans under the FFELP were \$21.5 billion at June 30, 2012, up from \$20.5 billion at December 31, 2011.

The following table shows non-PCI loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing by class for loans not government insured/guaranteed.

(in millions)		June 30, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011
Loan 90 days or more past due and still accruing:			
Total (excluding PCI):	\$	22,872	22,569
Less: FHA insured/guaranteed by the VA (1)(2)	,	20,368	19,240
Less: Student loans guaranteed under the FFELP (3)		1,144	1,281
Total, not government insured/guaranteed	\$	1,360	2,048
By segment and class, not government insured/guaranteed:			
Commercial:			
Commercial and industrial	\$	44	153
Real estate mortgage		184	256
Real estate construction		25	89
Foreign		3	6
Total commercial		256	504
Consumer:			
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage (2)		561	781
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage (2)(4)		159	279
Credit card		274	346
Other revolving credit and installment		110	138
Total consumer		1,104	1,544
Total, not government insured/guaranteed	\$	1,360	2,048

- (1) Represents loans whose repayments are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA.
- (2) Includes mortgage loans held for sale 90 days or more past due and still accruing.
- (3) Represents loans whose repayments are predominantly guaranteed by agencies on behalf of the U.S. Department of Education under the FFELP.
- (4) The balance at June 30, 2012, includes the impact from the transfer of 1-4 family junior lien mortgages to nonaccrual loans in accordance with the Interagency Guidance issued on January 31, 2012.

IMPAIRED LOANS The table below summarizes key information for impaired loans. Our impaired loans predominately include loans on nonaccrual status in the commercial portfolio segment and loans modified in a TDR, whether on accrual or nonaccrual status. These impaired loans generally have estimated losses which are included in the allowance for credit losses. Impaired

loans exclude PCI loans. Based on clarifying guidance from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) received in December 2011, we now classify trial modifications as TDRs at the beginning of the trial period. The table below includes trial modifications that totaled \$745 million at June 30, 2012, and \$651 million at December 31, 2011.

(in millions)		Unpaid principal balance	Rec Impaired loans	Impaired loans with related allowance for credit losses	Related allowance for credit losses
June 30, 2012					
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$	4,217	2,543	2,543	427
Real estate mortgage	Ψ	6,208	5,007	4,959	1,143
Real estate construction		2,566	1,812	1,812	356
Lease financing		73	57	57	16
Foreign		146	81	53	11
Total commercial (1)		13,210	9,500	9,424	1,953
Consumer:					
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage		16,824	14,652	14,339	3,539
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage		2,253	2,077	2,077	757
Credit card		575	575	575	271
Other revolving credit and installment		292	288	226	34
Total consumer		19,944	17,592	17,217	4,601
Total impaired loans (excluding PCI)	\$	33,154	27,092	26,641	6,554
December 31, 2011					
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$	7,191	3,072	3,018	501
Real estate mortgage		7,490	5,114	4,637	1,133
Real estate construction		4,733	2,281	2,281	470
Lease financing		127	68	68	21
Foreign		185	31	31	8
Total commercial (1)		19,726	10,566	10,035	2,133
Consumer:					
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage		16,494	14,486	13,909	3,380
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage		2,232	2,079	2,079	784
Credit card		593	593	593	339
Other revolving credit and installment		287	286	274	42
Total consumer		19,606	17,444	16,855	4,545
Total impaired loans (excluding PCI)	\$	39,332	28,010	26,890	6,678

(1)

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO & COMPANY/MN - Form 10-Q

The unpaid principal balance for commercial loans at December 31, 2011 includes approximately \$5.6 billion (\$2.5 billion commercial and industrial, \$1.1 billion real estate mortgage, \$1.8 billion real estate construction and \$157 million lease financing and foreign) for commercial loans that have been fully charged off and therefore have no recorded investment. The unpaid principal balance for loans with no recorded investment has been excluded from the amounts disclosed at June 30, 2012.

80

Note 5: Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses (continued)

Commitments to lend additional funds on loans whose terms have been modified in a TDR amounted to \$446 million at June 30, 2012, and \$3.8 billion at December 31, 2011.

The following table provides the average recorded investment in impaired loans and the amount of interest income recognized on impaired loans by portfolio segment and class.

				Quarter e	nded June 30,			Six months en	nded June 30,
			2012		2011		2012		2011
		Average	Recognized	Average	Recognized	Average	Recognized	Average	Recognized
		recorded	interest	recorded	interest	recorded	interest	recorded	interest
(in millions)	in	vestment	income	investment	income	investment	income	investment	income
Commercial:									
Commercial and industrial	\$	2,665	33	2,860	21	2,756	72	3,016	45
Real estate mortgage		5,016	33	5,355	17	5,095	50	5,478	30
Real estate construction		1,986	17	2,426	11	2,124	27	2,560	25
Lease financing		58	-	91	-	60	-	100	-
Foreign		43	-	14	-	38	-	14	-
Total commercial		9,768	83	10,746	49	10,073	149	11,168	100
Consumer:									
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage		14,641	190	12,471	154	14,563	379	12,144	305
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien									
mortgage		2,079	22	1,903	20	2,065	44	1,826	34
Credit card		582	17	587	6	587	31	579	12
Other revolving credit and installment		280	8	260	4	310	26	252	13
Total consumer		17,582	237	15,221	184	17,525	480	14,801	364
Total impaired loans (excluding PCI)	\$	27,350	320	25,967	233	27,598	629	25,969	464
Interest income:									
Cash basis of accounting			\$ 77		47		126		85
Other (1)			243		186		503		379
Total interest income			\$ 320		233		629		464

⁽¹⁾ Includes interest recognized on accruing TDRs, interest recognized related to certain impaired loans which have an allowance calculated using discounting, and amortization of purchase accounting adjustments related to certain impaired loans. See footnote 1 to the table of changes in the allowance for credit losses.

81

TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURINGs (TDRs) When, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower s financial difficulties, we grant a concession for other than an insignificant period of time to a borrower that we would not otherwise consider, the related loan is classified as a TDR. We do not consider any loans modified through a loan resolution such as foreclosure or short sale to be a TDR.

We may require some borrowers experiencing financial difficulty to make trial payments generally for a period of three to four months, according to the terms of a planned permanent modification, to determine if they can perform according to those terms. Based on clarifying guidance from the SEC in December 2011, these arrangements represent trial modifications, which we classify and account for as TDRs. While loans are in trial payment programs, their original terms are not considered modified and they continue to advance through delinquency status and accrue interest according to their original terms. The planned modifications for these arrangements predominantly involve interest rate reductions or other interest rate concessions, however, the exact concession type and resulting financial effect are usually not finalized and do not take effect until the loan is permanently modified. The trial period terms are developed in accordance with our proprietary programs or the U.S. Treasury s Making Homes Affordable programs for real estate 1-4 family first lien (i.e. Home Affordable Modification Program—HAMP) and junior lien (i.e. Second Lien Modification Program—2MP) mortgage loans. At June 30, 2012, the loans in trial modification period were \$428 million under HAMP, \$42 million under 2MP and \$275 million under proprietary programs, compared with \$421 million, \$46 million and \$184 million at December 31, 2011, respectively. Trial modifications with a recorded investment of \$330 million at June 30, 2012, and \$310 million at December 31, 2011, were accruing loans and \$415 million and \$341 million, respectively, were nonaccruing loans. Our recent experience is that most of the mortgages that enter a trial payment period program are successful in completing the program requirements and are then permanently modified at the end of the trial period. As previously discussed, our allowance process considers the impact of those modifications that are probable to occur including the associated credit cost and related re-defau

The following table summarizes our TDR modifications for the periods presented by primary modification type and includes the financial effects of these modifications.

82

Note 5: Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses (continued)

			I	Primary modificati Other	on type (1)	Fi	nancial effects of	f modi	fications
			Interest rate	interest		Charge- offs	Weighted average interest rate	inv re	ecorded restment elated to rest rate
(in millions)	Princi	pal (2)	reduction	concessions (3)	Total	(4)	reduction	redu	ction (5)
Quarter ended June 30, 2012									
Commercial:									
Commercial and industrial	\$	10	14	348	372	23	1.82 %	\$	14
Real estate mortgage		7	68	451	526	14	1.14		69
Real estate construction		-	5	177	182	5	2.97		5
Lease financing		-	-	1	1	-	-		-
Foreign		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Total commercial		17	87	977	1,081	42	1.36		88
Consumer:									
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage		348	207	173	728	74	3.00		502
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage		14	67	35	116	7	3.66		79
Credit card		-	59	-	59	-	10.75		59
Other revolving credit and installment		2	14	35	51	14	7.45		15
Trial modifications (6)		-	-	94	94	-	-		-
Total consumer		364	347	337	1,048	95	3.88		655
Total	\$	381	434	1,314	2,129	137	3.58 %	\$	743
Quarter ended June 30, 2011 (7)									
Commercial:									
Commercial and industrial	\$	37	13	744	794	13	2.53 %	\$	20
Real estate mortgage		-	23	368	391	6	1.61		35
Real estate construction		4	-	72	76	17	0.79		12
Lease financing		-	-	9	9	-	-		-
Foreign		-	-	5	5	-	-		-
Total commercial		41	36	1,198	1,275	36	1.74		67
Consumer:									
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage		488	472	280	1,240	83	3.25		886
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage		22	132	54	208	7	4.05		155
Credit card		-	78	-	78	1	10.65		55
Other revolving credit and installment		18	28	2	48	7	6.60		47
Total consumer		528	710	336	1,574	98	3.85		1,143
Total	\$	569	746	1,534	2,849	134	3.74 %	\$	1,210

(continued on following page)

83

(continued from previous page)

	Primary modification type (1) Other						Financial effects of modifications				
			Interest	interest		Charge-	Weighted average interest	inv	decorded vestment elated to		
			rate	rate		offs	rate		erest rate		
(in millions)	Pri	ncipal (2)	reduction	concessions (3)	Total	(4)	reduction		ction (5)		
Six months ended June 30, 2012											
Commercial:											
Commercial and industrial	\$	11	22	749	782	26	1.62 %	\$	23		
Real estate mortgage		11	120	936	1,067	14	1.47		122		
Real estate construction		-	7	284	291	13	2.53		6		
Lease financing		-	-	2	2	-	-		-		
Foreign		-	-	2	2	-	-		-		
Total commercial		22	149	1,973	2,144	53	1.54		151		
Consumer:											
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage		654	504	372	1,530	133	2.91		1,042		
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage		33	137	69	239	16	3.85		165		
Credit card		-	133	-	133	-	10.82		133		
Other revolving credit and installment		4	33	58	95	20	7.48		35		
Trial modifications (6)		-	-	671	671	-	-		-		
Total consumer		691	807	1,170	2,668	169	3.90		1,375		
Total	\$	713	956	3,143	4,812	222	3.67 %	\$	1,526		
Six months ended June 30, 2011 (7)											
Commercial:											
Commercial and industrial	\$	87	57	1,355	1,499	33	3.35 %	\$	62		
Real estate mortgage		43	80	855	978	7	1.57		93		
Real estate construction		29	20	229	278	23	0.90		32		
Lease financing		-	-	27	27	-	-		-		
Foreign		-	-	5	5	-	-		-		
Total commercial		159	157	2,471	2,787	63	2.04		187		
Consumer:											
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage		871	1,056	547	2,474	133	3.36		1,823		
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage		62	371	115	548	17	4.28		432		
Credit card		-	187	-	187	2	10.80		133		
Other revolving credit and installment		38	64	3	105	14	6.22		102		
Total consumer		971	1,678	665	3,314	166	4.04		2,490		
Total	\$	1,130	1,835	3,136	6,101	229	3.90 %	\$	2,677		

⁽¹⁾ Amounts represent the recorded investment in loans after recognizing the effects of the TDR, if any. TDRs with multiple types of concessions are presented only once in the table in the first category type based on the order presented.

⁽²⁾ Principal modifications include principal forgiveness at the time of the modification, contingent principal forgiveness granted over the life of the loan based on borrower performance, and principal that has been legally separated and deferred to the end of the loan, with a zero percent contractual interest rate.

⁽³⁾ Other interest rate concessions include loans modified to an interest rate that is not commensurate with the credit risk, even though the rate may have been increased. These modifications would include renewals, term extensions and other interest adjustments, but exclude modifications that also forgive principal and/or reduce the interest rate.

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO & COMPANY/MN - Form 10-Q

- (4) Charge-offs include write-downs of the investment in the loan in the period it is contractually modified. In some cases, the amount of charge off will differ from the modification terms if the loan has already been charged down based on our policies. Modifications resulted in forgiving principal (actual, contingent or deferred) of \$130 million and \$136 million for second quarter of 2012 and 2011 and \$221 million and \$265 million for the first half of 2012 and 2011.
- (5) Reflects the effect of reduced interest rates to loans with principal or interest rate reduction primary modification type.
- (6) Trial modifications are granted a delay in payments due under the original terms during the trial payment period. However, these loans continue to advance through delinquency status and accrue interest according to their original terms. Any subsequent permanent modification generally includes interest rate related concessions; however, the exact concession type and resulting financial effect are usually not known until the loan is permanently modified. Trial modifications for the period are presented net of any trial modifications that successfully complete the program requirements. Such successful modifications are included as an addition to the appropriate loan category in the period they successfully complete the program requirements.
- (7) Based on clarifying guidance from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) received in December 2011, we classify trial modifications as TDRs at the beginning of the trial period. Prior to the SEC clarification, we classified trial modifications as TDRs once a borrower successfully completed the trial period in accordance with the terms.

84

Note 5: Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses (continued)

The table below summarizes permanent modification TDRs that have defaulted in the current period within 12 months of their permanent modification date. We are reporting these

defaulted TDRs based on a payment default definition of 90 days past due for the commercial portfolio segment and 60 days past due for the consumer portfolio segment.

	0	. 1.		corded investment	
(in millions)	Qua	rter ended 2012	2011	Six months ende	2011
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$	40	91	150	117
Real estate mortgage		97	106	349	155
Real estate construction		74	13	229	32
Total commercial		211	210	728	304
Consumer:					
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage		150	288	297	590
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage		16	29	36	63
Credit card		24	41	51	102
Other revolving credit and installment		22	24	28	50
Total consumer		212	382	412	805
Total	\$	423	592	1,140	1,109

85

Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans

Substantially all of our PCI loans were acquired from Wachovia on December 31, 2008. The following table presents PCI loans net of any remaining purchase accounting adjustments.

(in millions)	June 30, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 244	399
Real estate mortgage	2,622	3,270
Real estate construction	1,296	1,745
Foreign	1,123	1,353
Total commercial	5,285	6,767
Consumer:		
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	28,331	29,746
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	190	206
Total consumer	28,521	29,952
Total PCI loans (carrying value)	\$ 33,806	36,719
Total PCI loans (unpaid principal balance)	\$ 50,229	55,312

86

Note 5: Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses (continued)

ACCRETABLE YIELD The excess of cash flows expected to be collected over the carrying value of PCI loans is referred to as the accretable yield and is recognized in interest income using an effective yield method over the remaining life of the loan, or pools of loans. The accretable yield is affected by:

Changes in interest rate indices for variable rate PCI loans Expected future cash flows are based on the variable rates in effect at the time of the regular evaluations of cash flows expected to be collected;

Changes in prepayment assumptions Prepayments affect the estimated life of PCI loans which may change the amount of interest income, and possibly principal, expected to be collected; and

Changes in the expected principal and interest payments over the estimated life Updates to expected cash flows are driven by the credit outlook and actions taken with borrowers. Changes in expected future cash flows from loan modifications are included in the regular evaluations of cash flows expected to be collected.

The change in the accretable yield related to PCI loans is presented in the following table.

(in millions)

Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 10,447
Addition of accretable yield due to acquisitions	128
Accretion into interest income (1)	(7,199)
Accretion into noninterest income due to sales (2)	(237)
Reclassification from nonaccretable difference for loans with improving credit-related cash flows	4,213
Changes in expected cash flows that do not affect nonaccretable difference (3)	8,609
Balance, December 31, 2011	15,961
Addition of accretable yield due to acquisitions	-
Accretion into interest income (1)	(1,144)
Accretion into noninterest income due to sales (2)	(5)
Reclassification from nonaccretable difference for loans with improving credit-related cash flows	319
Changes in expected cash flows that do not affect nonaccretable difference (3)	22
Balance, June 30, 2012	\$ 15,153
Balance, March 31, 2012	\$ 15,763
Addition of accretable yield due to acquisitions	-
Accretion into interest income (1)	(630)
	(630) (5)
Accretion into interest income (1) Accretion into noninterest income due to sales (2) Reclassification from nonaccretable difference for loans with improving credit-related cash flows	(5) 84
Accretion into interest income (1) Accretion into noninterest income due to sales (2)	(5)

- (1) Includes accretable yield released as a result of settlements with borrowers, which is included in interest income.
- (2) Includes accretable yield released as a result of sales to third parties, which is included in noninterest income.
- (3) Represents changes in cash flows expected to be collected due to changes in interest rates on variable rate PCI loans, changes in prepayment assumptions and the impact of modifications.

87

PCI ALLOWANCE Based on our regular evaluation of estimates of cash flows expected to be collected, we may establish an allowance for a PCI loan or pool of loans, with a charge to

income though the provision for losses. The following table summarizes the changes in allowance for PCI loan losses.

(in millions)	Comme	ercial	Pick-a-Pay	Other consumer	Total
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$	-	-	-	-
Provision for losses due to credit deterioration	1	1,668	-	116	1,784
Charge-offs	(!	1,503)	-	(50)	(1,553)
Balance, December 31, 2011		165	-	66	231
Provision for losses due to credit deterioration		18	-	9	27
Charge-offs		(38)	-	(8)	(46)
Balance, June 30, 2012	\$	145	-	67	212
Balance, March 31, 2012	\$	177	-	68	245
(Reversal of provision) / provision for losses due to credit deterioration		(21)	-	4	(17)
Charge-offs		(11)	-	(5)	(16)
Balance, June 30, 2012	\$	145	-	67	212

COMMERCIAL PCI CREDIT QUALITY INDICATORS The following table provides a breakdown of commercial PCI loans by risk category.

			Real		
(in millions)	mercial and dustrial	Real estate mortgage	estate construction	Foreign	Total
June 30, 2012					
By risk category:					
Pass	\$ 91	504	298	122	1,015
Criticized	153	2,118	998	1,001	4,270
Total commercial PCI loans	\$ 244	2,622	1,296	1,123	5,285
December 31, 2011					
By risk category:					
Pass	\$ 191	640	321	-	1,152
Criticized	208	2,630	1,424	1,353	5,615
Total commercial PCI loans	\$ 399	3,270	1,745	1,353	6,767

88

Note 5: Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses (continued)

The following table provides past due information for commercial PCI loans.

			Real		
(in millions)	nmercial and ndustrial	Real estate mortgage	estate construction	Foreign	Total
June 30, 2012					
By delinquency status:					
Current-29 DPD and still accruing	\$ 215	2,317	934	958	4,424
30-89 DPD and still accruing	17	22	35	-	74
90+ DPD and still accruing	12	283	327	165	787
Total commercial PCI loans	\$ 244	2,622	1,296	1,123	5,285
December 31, 2011					
By delinquency status:					
Current-29 DPD and still accruing	\$ 359	2,867	1,206	1,178	5,610
30-89 DPD and still accruing	22	178	72	-	272
90+ DPD and still accruing	18	225	467	175	885
Total commercial PCI loans	\$ 399	3,270	1,745	1,353	6,767

CONSUMER PCI CREDIT QUALITY INDICATORS Our consumer PCI loans were aggregated into several pools of loans at acquisition. Below, we have provided credit quality indicators based on the unpaid principal balance (adjusted for write-downs)

of the individual loans included in the pool, but we have not allocated the remaining purchase accounting adjustments, which were established at a pool level. The following table provides the delinquency status of consumer PCI loans.

				June 30, 2012			r 31, 2011
		D 1 4 . 4 .	Real estate			Real estate	
		Real estate	1-4		Real estate	1-4	
		1-4 family	family		1-4	family	
			junior		family	junior	
		first	lien		first	lien	
(in millions)		mortgage	mortgage	Total	mortgage	mortgage	Total
By delinquency status:							
Current-29 DPD	\$	24,401	255	24,656	25,693	268	25,961
30-59 DPD		2,902	17	2,919	3,272	20	3,292
60-89 DPD		1,353	7	1,360	1,433	9	1,442

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO & COMPANY/MN - Form 10-Q

90-119 DPD	631	5	636	791	8	799
120-179 DPD	837	8	845	1,169	10	1,179
180+ DPD	5,754	138	5,892	5,921	150	6,071
Total consumer PCI loans (adjusted unpaid principal balance)	\$ 35,878	430	36,308	38,279	465	38,744
Total consumer PCI loans (carrying value)	\$ 28,331	190	28,521	29,746	206	29,952

89

The following table provides FICO scores for consumer PCI loans.

	Pool estate		June 30, 2012			December 31, 2011	
	Real estate 1-4 family	Real estate 1-4		Real estate	Real estate 1-4		
		first	family junior lien		1-4 family first	family junior lien	
(in millions)		mortgage	mortgage	Total	mortgage	mortgage	Total
By FICO:							
< 600	\$	14,985	179	15,164	17,169	210	17,379
600-639		7,253	81	7,334	7,489	83	7,572
640-679		6,828	85	6,913	6,646	89	6,735
680-719		3,696	47	3,743	3,698	47	3,745
720-759		1,831	15	1,846	1,875	14	1,889
760-799		896	7	903	903	6	909
800+		237	16	253	215	2	217
No FICO available		152	-	152	284	14	298
Total consumer PCI loans (adjusted unpaid principal balance)	\$	35,878	430	36,308	38,279	465	38,744
Total consumer PCI loans (carrying value)	\$	28,331	190	28,521	29,746	206	29,952

The following table shows the distribution of consumer PCI loans by LTV for real estate 1-4 family first mortgages and by CLTV for real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgages.

	Real estate	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
	1-4 family					
	first	Real estate 1-4 family		Real estate	Real estate 1-4 family	
	mortgage	junior lien mortgage		1-4 family first mortgage	junior lien mortgage	
(in millions)	by LTV	by CLTV	Total	by LTV	by CLTV	Total
By LTV/CLTV:						
0-60%	\$ 1,350	16	1,366	1,243	25	1,268
60.01-80%	3,931	29	3,960	3,806	49	3,855
80.01-100%	9,039	53	9,092	9,341	63	9,404
100.01-120% (1)	9,094	85	9,179	9,471	79	9,550
> 120% (1)	12,451	244	12,695	14,318	246	14,564
No LTV/CLTV available	13	3	16	100	3	103
Total consumer PCI loans (adjusted unpaid principal balance)	\$ 35,878	430	36,308	38,279	465	38,744
Total consumer PCI loans (carrying value)	\$ 28,331	190	28,521	29,746	206	29,952

⁽¹⁾ Reflects total loan balances with LTV/CLTV amounts in excess of 100%. In the event of default, the loss content would generally be limited to only the amount in excess of 100% LTV/CLTV.

90

Note 6: Other Assets

The components of other assets were:

(in millions)	June 30, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011
(in millions)	2012	2011
Nonmarketable equity investments:		
Cost method:	ф. 2.601	2.444
Private equity investments	\$ 3,691	
Federal bank stock	4,432	4,617
Total cost method	8,123	8,061
Equity method:		
LIHTC investments (1)	4,073	4,077
Private equity and other	4,792	4,670
Total equity method	8,865	8,747
Total nonmarketable equity investments	16,988	16,808
Corporate/bank-owned life insurance	20,272	20,146
Accounts receivable	24,855	25,939
Interest receivable	5,259	5,296
Core deposit intangibles	6,613	7,311
Customer relationship and other amortized intangibles	1,501	1,639
Foreclosed assets:		
GNMA (2)	1,465	1,319
Other	2,842	3,342
Operating lease assets	1,824	1,825
Due from customers on acceptances	285	225
Other	15,461	17,172
Total other assets	\$ 97,365	101,022

⁽¹⁾ Represents low income housing tax credit investments.

⁽²⁾ These are foreclosed real estate securing FHA insured and VA guaranteed loans. Both principal and interest for these loans secured by the foreclosed real estate are collectible because they are insured/guaranteed.