

NEWS CORP
Form DEF 14A
September 04, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

News Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

Edgar Filing: NEWS CORP - Form DEF 14A

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each Class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

Edgar Filing: NEWS CORP - Form DEF 14A

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

1211 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York, 10036

(212) 852-7000

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held on October 16, 2012

Dear Stockholder:

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") of News Corporation (the "Company") will be held on October 16, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time) at the Zanuck Theatre at Fox Studios, 10201 West Pico Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90035.

A shuttle service will be available to take you to the Annual Meeting from Century Park West Parking Structure, 2030 Century Park West, Los Angeles, California 90067, where complimentary parking for the Annual Meeting will be provided. Parking will not be available at Fox Studios. Please see the map and instructions in Appendix A for parking information and other logistical details. We suggest arriving at least 45 minutes early to allow sufficient time to park, take the shuttle provided by the Company to the meeting site and complete the admission process. You will not be able to enter the Annual Meeting except by the shuttle service provided by the Company.

At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked to:

- elect the 14 Directors identified in this proxy statement to the Board of Directors;
- ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013;
- consider an advisory vote to approve executive compensation;
- consider a stockholder proposal to adopt a policy that the Chairman of the Board of Directors be an independent Director;
- consider a stockholder proposal to adopt simple majority vote;
- consider a stockholder proposal to eliminate the Company's dual class capital structure; and
- consider any other business properly brought before the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the Company's proxy statement. **All stockholders of record of the Company's common stock at the close of business on August 20, 2012 (the "Notice Record Date") are being sent a notice of the Annual Meeting, while only stockholders of record of the Company's Class B Common Stock at the close of business on September 26, 2012 (the "Voting Record Date") are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof. Holders of the Company's Class A Common Stock are not entitled to vote on the matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. All of the Company's stockholders and all holders of CHESSE Depository Interests ("CDIs") exchangeable for shares of the Company's common stock, as of the Voting Record Date, are invited to attend the Annual Meeting.**

As more fully described in the Company's proxy statement, in order to ensure compliance with U.S. law, the Company has suspended the voting rights of 50% of the Class B Common Stock of the Company held by non-U.S. stockholders or those who are deemed to be non-U.S. stockholders. The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors may modify the voting suspension percentage to reflect changes, if any, in the Company's foreign ownership based on its assessment of the information reasonably available to it prior to the Voting Record Date. The Company will announce on or about the Voting Record Date any change to the voting suspension percentage to reflect the results of the assessment. If you are a holder of Class B Common Stock or CDIs exchangeable for Class B Common Stock, you must identify whether you are a U.S. stockholder or a non-U.S. stockholder by

Table of Contents

choosing the appropriate designation on the proxy card. If you do not provide a response as to your citizenship on the proxy card, you will be deemed to be a non-U.S. stockholder and your shares will be subject to the suspension of voting rights unless you are a stockholder of record as of the Voting Record Date and you previously submitted a U.S. citizenship certification to the Company's transfer agent or Australian share registrar.

Important Information for Holders of Class B Common Stock as of the Voting Record Date

It is important that your shares of the Company's Class B Common Stock be represented and voted at the Annual Meeting. If you are a holder of shares of Class B Common Stock as of the Voting Record Date, you may submit a proxy for those shares by telephone or the Internet by following the instructions on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or in the 2012 proxy statement, or if you requested a paper proxy card, you may submit your proxy by mail if you prefer. If you attend the Annual Meeting, you may vote your shares in person. Please review the instructions on the proxy card or the information forwarded by your broker, bank or other nominee regarding the voting instructions. You may vote your shares of Class B Common Stock in person even if you previously submitted a proxy. Please note, however, that if your shares of Class B Common Stock are held of record by a broker, bank or other nominee and you wish to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain a proxy issued in your name from such broker, bank or other nominee. **Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we urge you to submit a proxy for your shares of Class B Common Stock by telephone or the Internet or, if you requested a paper proxy card, by completing and returning the proxy card as promptly as possible, prior to the Annual Meeting to ensure that your shares will be represented at the Annual Meeting if you are unable to attend.**

If you are planning to attend the Annual Meeting in person, you will be asked to register before entering the Annual Meeting. **You must request an admission ticket in advance and your request must be received by October 10, 2012. All attendees will be required to present the admission ticket and a government-issued photo identification (e.g., driver's license or passport) to enter the Annual Meeting. You may request an admission ticket by:**

if you are a stockholder of record as of the Voting Record Date, visiting www.newscorpannualmeeting.com/2012 and following the instructions provided;
sending an e-mail to the Corporate Secretary at 2012AnnualMeeting@newscorp.com providing the name under which you hold shares of record or a properly executed proxy card, or evidence of your beneficial ownership as described below;
calling the Corporate Secretary at (212) 852-7000; or
sending a fax to the Corporate Secretary at (212) 852-7217 providing the name under which you hold shares of record or a properly executed proxy card, or evidence of your beneficial ownership as described below.

If you are a stockholder of record, your ownership of the Company's common stock will be verified against the list of stockholders of record as of the Voting Record Date prior to your being issued an admission ticket. **If you are not a stockholder of record and hold your shares of common stock in street name, i.e., your shares of common stock are held in a brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you will need to send a request for an admission ticket either by e-mail or fax along with proof of beneficial ownership as of the Voting Record Date, such as an account statement or letter from your broker, bank or nominee indicating that you were the beneficial owner of the shares on the Voting Record Date.** Requests for admission tickets will be processed in the order in which they are received and must be received by October 10, 2012.

Seating at the Annual Meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. (Pacific Time). Prior to entering the Annual Meeting, all bags will be subject to search and all persons may be subject to a metal detector and/or hand wand search. Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted at the Annual Meeting. The security procedures may require additional time, so please plan accordingly. We suggest arriving at least 45

Table of Contents

minutes early to the Annual Meeting. **If you do not provide an admission ticket and government-issued photo identification or do not comply with the other registration and security procedures described above, you will not be admitted to the Annual Meeting. The Company reserves the right to remove persons from the Annual Meeting who disrupt the Annual Meeting or who do not comply with the rules and procedures for the conduct of the Annual Meeting.**

The Annual Meeting will be audiocast live on the Internet at www.newscorp.com.

In accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, instead of mailing a printed copy of the Company's proxy statement, annual report and other materials (the "proxy materials") relating to the Annual Meeting to stockholders, the Company may furnish proxy materials to stockholders on the Internet by mailing a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice of Internet Availability") to inform stockholders when the proxy materials are available on the Internet. If you receive the Notice of Internet Availability by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials unless you specifically request one. Instead, the Notice of Internet Availability will instruct you on how you may access and review all of the Company's proxy materials and the Company's annual report, as well as how to submit your proxy, over the Internet. If you receive a Notice of Internet Availability and would still like to receive a printed copy of the Company's proxy materials, including a proxy card or voting instruction card, you should follow the instructions for requesting these materials included in the Notice of Internet Availability.

If you would like to register to receive materials relating to next year's annual meeting of stockholders electronically instead of by mail, please go to www.newscorp.com/investor/ElectronicDelivery.html and follow the instructions to enroll. We highly recommend that you consider electronic delivery of these documents as it helps lower the Company's costs and reduce the amount of paper mailed to your home.

Laura A. Cleveland

Corporate Secretary

New York, New York

September 4, 2012

Table of Contents

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

REGARDLESS OF HOW MANY SHARES OF THE COMPANY'S CLASS B COMMON STOCK YOU OWN AS OF THE VOTING RECORD DATE, PLEASE SUBMIT A PROXY FOR YOUR SHARES BY TELEPHONE OR INTERNET, OR IF YOU HAVE REQUESTED A PAPER PROXY CARD, BY COMPLETING, SIGNING AND DATING THE PROXY CARD AND RETURNING IT IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED, WHICH IS ADDRESSED FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE AND NEEDS NO POSTAGE IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES. IN ORDER TO AVOID THE ADDITIONAL EXPENSE TO THE COMPANY OF FURTHER SOLICITATION, THE COMPANY ASKS YOUR COOPERATION IN PROMPTLY SUBMITTING YOUR PROXY BY TELEPHONE, INTERNET OR PROXY CARD. IF YOU SUBMIT A PROXY PRIOR TO THE VOTING RECORD DATE YOU MUST CONTINUE TO HOLD SHARES OF THE COMPANY'S CLASS B COMMON STOCK ON THE VOTING RECORD DATE IN ORDER FOR YOUR SHARES OF CLASS B COMMON STOCK TO BE VOTED.

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
<u>General</u>	1
<u>Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors</u>	8
<u>Corporate Governance Matters</u>	15
<u>Proposal No. 2: Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	23
<u>Proposal No. 3: Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation</u>	25
<u>Proposal No. 4: Stockholder Proposal Adopt a Policy that the Chairman of the Board of Directors be an Independent Director</u>	26
<u>Proposal No. 5: Stockholder Proposal Adopt Simple Majority Vote</u>	29
<u>Proposal No. 6: Stockholder Proposal Eliminate the Company's Dual Class Capital Structure</u>	31
<u>Executive Officers of News Corporation</u>	33
<u>Security Ownership of News Corporation</u>	34
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	37
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	56
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	56
<u>Risks Related to Compensation Policies and Practices</u>	56
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	57
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	57
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table</u>	59
<u>Employment Agreements</u>	59
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards Table</u>	63
<u>Options Exercised and Stock Vested Table</u>	63
<u>Pension Benefits Table</u>	64
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination</u>	66
<u>Director Compensation</u>	73
<u>Report of the Audit Committee</u>	76
<u>Certain Relationships and Related-Party Transactions</u>	78
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	79
<u>Annual Report on Form 10-K</u>	79
<u>2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	79
<u>Additional Information</u>	80
<u>Other Matters</u>	80

Table of Contents

1211 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York, 10036

PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Stockholders October 16, 2012

GENERAL

Persons Making the Solicitation

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors (the **Board**) of News Corporation (the **Company**) of proxies for use at an Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the **Annual Meeting**) to be held on October 16, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time) at the Zanuck Theatre at Fox Studios, 10201 West Pico Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90035 and at any adjournment or postponement thereof.

A shuttle service will be available to take you to the Annual Meeting from Century Park West Parking Structure, 2030 Century Park West, Los Angeles, California 90067, where complimentary parking for the Annual Meeting will be provided. Parking will not be available at Fox Studios. Please see the map and instructions in Appendix A for parking information and other logistical details. We suggest arriving at least 45 minutes early to allow sufficient time to park, take the shuttle provided by the Company to the meeting site and complete the admission process. You will not be able to enter the Annual Meeting except by the shuttle service provided by the Company.

This proxy statement is first being mailed to stockholders on or about September 4, 2012. You are requested to submit your proxy in order to ensure that your shares are represented at the Annual Meeting.

The expense of soliciting proxies will be borne by the Company. Proxies will be solicited principally through the use of the mail, but Directors, officers and regular employees of the Company may solicit proxies personally, by telephone or special letter without any additional compensation. Also, the Company will reimburse banks, brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for any reasonable expenses in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners.

Outstanding Shares

The Company has two classes of common stock, Class A Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (**Class A Common Stock**), and Class B Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (**Class B Common Stock** , and together with the Class A Common Stock, the **Common Stock**). Subject to the restrictions described in the section entitled **Restrictions on Voting by Non-U.S. Stockholders** , holders of Class B Common Stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting. Holders of Class A Common Stock are not entitled to vote on the matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting. Unless the context dictates otherwise, all references to **you**, **your**, **yours** or other words of similar import in this proxy statement refer to holders of Class B Common Stock.

The Company's shares are also traded on the Australian Stock Exchange in the form of CHESS Depository Interests (**CDIs**). CDIs are exchangeable, at the option of the holder, into shares of either Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock, whichever is applicable, at the rate of one CDI per one such share of Common Stock.

Record Dates

The Board has fixed the close of business on August 20, 2012 as the record date for determining which of the Company's stockholders are being sent a notice of the Annual Meeting (the **Notice Record Date**), and the close of business on September 26, 2012 as the record date for determining which of the Company's stockholders

Table of Contents

are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting in person or by proxy (the Voting Record Date). All stockholders of record at the time of the Notice Record Date are being sent a notice of the Annual Meeting, while only stockholders of record of Class B Common Stock at the time of the Voting Record Date are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting. Stockholders of record of Class B Common Stock as of the Voting Record Date who acquired their shares of Class B Common Stock between the Notice Record Date and the Voting Record Date will be sent a copy of the Company's proxy statement, annual report and other materials relating to the Annual Meeting as soon as practicable following the Voting Record Date. If the Annual Meeting is adjourned or postponed, notice of such adjournment or postponement will be sent to all stockholders of record entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting in accordance with applicable law and the Company's Amended and Restated By-laws (the By-laws).

Holders of Class A Common Stock are not entitled to vote on the matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting. As of the Notice Record Date, there were 798,520,953 shares of Class B Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote held by approximately 1,085 holders of record. Subject to the restrictions described in the section entitled Restrictions on Voting by Non-U.S. Stockholders, each share of Class B Common Stock held as of the Voting Record Date is entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting. A list of the stockholders of record entitled to vote as of the Voting Record Date will be available at the Annual Meeting and at the Company's principal executive offices during the ten (10) days prior to the Annual Meeting.

If your shares of Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., you are a stockholder of record, and these proxy materials are being sent directly to you from the Company. As the stockholder of record of Class B Common Stock as of the Voting Record Date, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to the Company or to vote in person at the Annual Meeting.

If your shares of Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock are held in street name, meaning your shares of Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock are held in a brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are the beneficial owner of these shares and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker, bank or nominee, who is considered the stockholder of record with respect to such shares. As the beneficial owner of Class B Common Stock as of the Voting Record Date, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or nominee on how to vote and you will receive instructions from your broker, bank or other nominee describing how to vote your shares of Class B Common Stock. However, since you are not the stockholder of record, you may not vote these shares of Class B Common Stock in person at the Annual Meeting unless you obtain a signed proxy from the stockholder of record (i.e., your broker, bank or nominee) giving you the right to vote such shares.

Holders of CDIs exchangeable for Class B Common Stock (Class B CDIs) have a right to direct CHESSE Depository Nominees Pty Ltd. (CDN), the legal holder of the CDIs, on how it should vote with respect to the proposals described in this proxy statement.

Restrictions on Voting by Non-U.S. Stockholders

Under U.S. federal law, no broadcast station licensee may be owned by a corporation if more than 25% of that corporation's stock is owned or voted by non-U.S. stockholders if the Federal Communications Commission finds that the public interest will be served by the refusal or revocation of the license. The Company owns broadcast station licensees in connection with its ownership and operation of 27 U.S. television stations.

A non-U.S. stockholder is defined in Appendix B attached to this proxy statement.

In order to ensure compliance with U.S. law and in accordance with Article IV, Section 5 of the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation, the Company has suspended 50% of the voting rights of Class B Common Stock held by non-U.S. stockholders. In addition, the Company has instituted ongoing tracking of beneficial ownership by non-U.S. stockholders. The Audit Committee of the Board may modify the voting suspension

Table of Contents

percentage to reflect changes, if any, in the Company's foreign ownership based on its assessment of the information reasonably available to it prior to the Voting Record Date. The Company will announce on or about the Voting Record Date any change to the voting suspension percentage to reflect the results of the assessment.

If you are a holder of Class B Common Stock or Class B CDIs, you must identify whether you are a U.S. stockholder or a non-U.S. stockholder by choosing the appropriate designation on Item 7 on the proxy card. If you do not provide a response to Item 7 on the proxy card, you will be deemed to be a non-U.S. stockholder and your shares will be subject to the suspension of voting rights unless you are a stockholder of record as of the Voting Record Date and you previously submitted a U.S. citizenship certification to the Company's transfer agent or Australian share registrar. For all matters to be voted on at the Annual Meeting, shares of Class B Common Stock or Class B CDIs subject to the suspension of voting rights will not be counted as a vote cast FOR or AGAINST any proposal and will not be considered as shares entitled to vote for purposes of a quorum. Fractional shares will be counted as a fraction of a share cast FOR or AGAINST any proposal.

If you are a non-U.S. stockholder subject to the suspension of voting rights, you should still give voting instructions for, or submit a proxy for, all of the shares you own when submitting your voting instructions or proxy. If you are subject to the suspension of voting rights and you are a holder of record as of the Voting Record Date, the Inspector of Elections will apply the then applicable suspension to a percentage of the voting rights of the Class B Common Stock or Class B CDIs represented by your proxy card. If you are subject to the suspension of voting rights and you are a beneficial owner who holds in street name, the proxy tabulator will apply the then applicable suspension to a percentage of the voting rights of the Class B Common Stock represented by your voting instructions. The Company will announce on or about the Voting Record Date any change to the voting suspension percentage to reflect the results of the Audit Committee's assessment.

On April 18, 2012, the Murdoch Family Trust and K. Rupert Murdoch (together the Murdoch Family Interests) entered into an agreement with the Company, whereby the Murdoch Family Interests agreed to limit their voting rights during the voting rights suspension period (the Voting Agreement). Under this Voting Agreement, the Murdoch Family Interests will not vote or provide voting instructions with respect to a portion of their shares of Class B Common Stock to the extent that doing so would increase their percentage of voting power from what it was prior to the suspension of voting rights. Accordingly, the shares held by the Murdoch Family Interests that are subject to the restrictions in the Voting Agreement will not be counted as shares cast FOR or AGAINST any proposal, but they will be considered as shares present at the meeting for purposes of a quorum.

Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

In accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), instead of mailing a printed copy of the Company's proxy statement, annual report and other materials (the proxy materials) relating to the Annual Meeting to stockholders, the Company may furnish proxy materials to stockholders on the Internet by providing a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the Notice of Internet Availability) to inform stockholders when the proxy materials are available on the Internet. If you receive the Notice of Internet Availability by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials unless you specifically request one. Instead, the Notice of Internet Availability will instruct you on how you may access and review all of the Company's proxy materials, as well as how to submit your proxy, over the Internet. If you receive a Notice of Internet Availability and would still like to receive a printed copy of the Company's proxy materials, including a proxy card or voting instruction card, you should follow the instructions for requesting these materials included in the Notice of Internet Availability.

The Company intends to commence distribution of the Notice of Internet Availability to stockholders on or about September 4, 2012.

The Company will first make available the proxy solicitation materials at www.proxyvote.com on or about September 4, 2012 to all stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. You may also request a printed

Table of Contents

copy of the proxy solicitation materials by any of the following methods: via Internet at www.proxyvote.com; by telephone at 1-800-579-1639; or by sending an e-mail to sendmaterial@proxyvote.com. Our 2012 annual report to stockholders will be made available at the same time and by the same methods.

If you are a CDI holder, you may also request a printed copy of the proxy solicitation materials and our 2012 annual report to stockholders by any of the following methods: via Internet at www.investorvote.com.au; or by telephone at 1300-652-536 (within Australia) or +61-3-9415-4883 (outside of Australia).

Only one copy of this proxy statement is being delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless the stockholders have notified the Company of their desire to receive multiple copies of the proxy statement. The Company will promptly deliver, upon oral or written request, a separate copy of the proxy statement to any stockholder residing at a shared address to which only one copy was mailed. Requests for additional copies of the proxy statement for the current year or future years should be directed to the Corporate Secretary at News Corporation, 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10036. Alternatively, additional copies of this proxy statement may be requested via Internet at www.proxyvote.com, by telephone at 1-800-579-1639, or by sending an email to sendmaterial@proxyvote.com. Stockholders of record residing at the same address and currently receiving multiple copies of the proxy statement may contact the Corporate Secretary to request that only a single copy of the proxy statement be mailed in the future.

Voting and Submission of Proxies

The persons named on the proxy card and on the Company's voting website at www.proxyvote.com (the proxy holders) have been designated by the Board to vote the shares represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. The proxy holders are officers of the Company. They will vote the shares represented by each valid and timely received proxy in accordance with the stockholder's instructions, or if no instructions are specified, the shares represented by the proxy will be voted FOR Proposals 1, 2 and 3 and AGAINST Proposals 4, 5 and 6 in accordance with the recommendations of the Board as described in this proxy statement. If any other matter properly comes before the Annual Meeting, the proxy holders will vote on that matter in their discretion.

If you are a holder of Class B Common Stock as of the Voting Record Date, telephone and Internet proxy submission is available 24 hours a day through 11:59 p.m. (Eastern Time) on the day before the Annual Meeting date or the applicable cut-off date. If you are located in the United States or Canada and are a stockholder of record, you can submit a proxy for your shares by calling toll-free 1-800-690-6903. Whether you are a stockholder of record or a beneficial owner, you can also submit a proxy for your shares by Internet at www.proxyvote.com. Both the telephone and Internet systems have easy to follow instructions on how you may submit a proxy for your shares and allow you to confirm that the system has properly recorded your proxy. If you are submitting a proxy for your shares by telephone or Internet, you should have in hand when you call or access the website, as applicable, the Notice of Internet Availability or the proxy card or voting instruction card (for those holders who have received, by request, a hard copy of the proxy card or voting instruction card). If you submit a proxy by telephone or Internet, you do not need to return your proxy card to the Company. A telephone or Internet proxy must be received no later than 11:59 p.m. (Eastern Time) on the day before the Annual Meeting date or the applicable cut-off date.

If you have received, by request, a hard copy of the proxy card or voting instruction card, and wish to submit your proxy by mail, you must complete, sign and date the proxy card or voting instruction card and return it in the envelope provided so that it is received prior to the Annual Meeting.

If you hold Class B CDIs as of the Voting Record Date, Internet proxy submission is available 24 hours a day through 5:00 p.m. (Australian Eastern Daylight Time) on October 10, 2012. You may submit a proxy for your CDIs by Internet at www.investorvote.com.au. The Internet system has easy to follow instructions on how you may submit a proxy for your CDIs and allows you to confirm that the system has properly recorded your proxy. If you submit a proxy for your CDIs by Internet, you should have in hand when you access the website the Notice of Internet Availability or the voting instruction card (for those CDI holders who have received, by

Table of Contents

request, a hard copy of the voting instruction card). If you submit a proxy for your CDIs by Internet, you do not need to return your voting instruction form to the Company. If you have received, by request, a hard copy of the voting instruction card, and wish to submit your proxy by mail, you should complete and return the voting instruction card to CDN by 5:00 p.m. (Australian Eastern Daylight Time) on October 10, 2012.

While the Company encourages holders of Class B Common Stock as of the Voting Record Date to vote by proxy, you also have the option of voting your shares of Class B Common Stock in person at the Annual Meeting. If your shares of Class B Common Stock are registered directly in your name with the Company's transfer agent as of the Voting Record Date, you are considered the stockholder of record with respect to such shares of Class B Common Stock and you have the right to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person, subject to compliance with the procedures described below. If your shares of Class B Common Stock are held in a brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are the beneficial owner of such shares. As such, in order to attend the Annual Meeting or vote in person, you must obtain and provide when you request an admission ticket a properly executed proxy from the stockholder of record (i.e., your broker, bank or other nominee) giving you the right to vote the shares of Class B Common Stock.

Revocation of Proxies

A proxy may be changed or revoked by a stockholder at any time prior to the voting at the Annual Meeting:

- if you are a holder of record of Class B Common Stock, by notifying in writing our Corporate Secretary, Laura A. Cleveland, at News Corporation, 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10036;
- by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person (your attendance at the Annual Meeting will not by itself revoke your proxy);
- by submitting a later-dated proxy card;
- if you submitted a proxy by telephone or Internet, by submitting a subsequent proxy by telephone or Internet; or
- if you have instructed a broker, bank or other nominee to vote your shares, by following the directions received from your broker, bank or other nominee to change those instructions.

Class B CDI holders may change prior voting instructions by submitting a later-dated CDI voting instruction form before 5:00 p.m. (Australian Eastern Daylight Time) on October 10, 2012. Revocation of prior voting instructions must be submitted in writing and received before 5:00 p.m. (Australian Eastern Daylight Time) on October 10, 2012.

Attending the Annual Meeting in Person

While all of the Company's stockholders and all holders of CDIs exchangeable for shares of Common Stock as of the Voting Record Date are invited to attend the Annual Meeting, only holders of Class B Common Stock as of the Voting Record Date are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. As discussed above, if your shares of Class B Common Stock are registered directly in your name with the Company's transfer agent as of the Voting Record Date, you are considered the stockholder of record with respect to such shares of Class B Common Stock and you have the right to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person, subject to compliance with the procedures described below. If your shares of Class B Common Stock are held in a brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are the beneficial owner of such shares. As such, in order to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person, you must obtain and present at the time of admission a properly executed proxy from the stockholder of record giving you the right to vote the shares of Class B Common Stock.

If you are planning to attend the Annual Meeting in person, you will be asked to register prior to entering the Annual Meeting. **You must request an admission ticket in advance and your request must be received**

Table of Contents

by October 10, 2012. All attendees will be required to present the admission ticket and a government-issued photo identification (e.g., driver's license or passport) to enter the Annual Meeting. You may request an admission ticket by:

if you are a stockholder of record as of the Voting Record Date, visiting www.newscorpannuallmeeting.com/2012 and following the instructions provided;
sending an e-mail to the Corporate Secretary at 2012AnnualMeeting@newscorp.com providing the name under which you hold shares of record or a properly executed proxy card, or evidence of your beneficial ownership as described below;
calling the Corporate Secretary at (212) 852-7000; or
sending a fax to the Corporate Secretary at (212) 852-7217 providing the name under which you hold shares of record or a properly executed proxy card, or evidence of your beneficial ownership as described below.

If you are a stockholder of record, your ownership of Common Stock will be verified against the list of stockholders of record as of the Voting Record Date prior to being issued an admission ticket. **If you are not a stockholder of record and hold your shares of Common Stock in street name, i.e., your shares of Common Stock are held in a brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you will need to send a request for an admission ticket either by e-mail or fax along with proof of beneficial ownership as of the Voting Record Date, such as an account statement or letter from your broker, bank or nominee indicating that you were the beneficial owner of the shares on the Voting Record Date.** Requests for admission tickets will be processed in the order in which they are received and must be received by October 10, 2012.

Seating at the Annual Meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. (Pacific Time). Prior to entering the Annual Meeting, all bags will be subject to search and all persons may be subject to a metal detector and/or hand wand search. Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted at the Annual Meeting. The security procedures may require additional time, so please plan accordingly. We suggest arriving at least 45 minutes early to the Annual Meeting. **If you do not provide an admission ticket and government-issued photo identification or do not comply with the other registration and security procedures described above, you will not be admitted to the Annual Meeting. The Company reserves the right to remove persons from the Annual Meeting who disrupt the Annual Meeting or who do not comply with the rules and procedures for the conduct of the Annual Meeting.**

If you require any special accommodations at the Annual Meeting due to a disability, please contact the Corporate Secretary at (212) 852-7000 or send an email to 2012AnnualMeeting@newscorp.com and identify your specific need no later than October 10, 2012.

The Annual Meeting will be audiocast live on the Internet at www.newscorp.com.

Required Vote

Quorum. In order for the Company to conduct the Annual Meeting, the holders of a majority of the Class B Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote as of the Voting Record Date must be present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of establishing a quorum at the Annual Meeting. A broker non-vote occurs when you do not give your broker or nominee instructions on how to vote your shares of Class B Common Stock. Shares subject to the suspension of voting rights, as described in the section entitled Restrictions on Voting by Non-U.S. Stockholders, will not be considered as shares outstanding and entitled to vote and, accordingly, will not be counted for purposes of establishing a quorum at the Annual Meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we urge you to vote your shares of Class B Common Stock by submitting your proxy by telephone or the Internet or, if you requested a hard copy of the proxy card or voting instruction card, by completing and returning the proxy card or voting instruction card as promptly as possible in the accompanying postage-paid envelope prior to the

Table of Contents

Annual Meeting to ensure that your shares of Class B Common Stock will be represented at the Annual Meeting if you are unable to attend and so that the Company will know as soon as possible that enough votes will be present for the Annual Meeting to be held.

Election of Directors. In an uncontested election, each Director shall be elected by a majority of the votes cast. This means that the number of votes cast FOR a Director's election exceeds the number of votes cast AGAINST that Director's election. A properly executed proxy marked ABSTAIN with respect to the election of one or more Directors or shares held by a broker for which voting instructions have not been given will not be counted as a vote cast either FOR or AGAINST with respect to the Director or Directors indicated. If you do not instruct your broker, bank or other nominee how to vote in the election of Directors, no votes will be cast on your behalf. In a contested election where the number of nominees for Director exceeds the number of Directors to be elected, each Director shall be elected by a plurality of the votes cast.

Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. Ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting to be voted FOR the proposal. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the votes cast on this proposal.

Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation. We will consider this proposal to be approved, on an advisory basis, if this proposal receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting to be voted FOR the proposal. Abstentions and shares held by a broker for which voting instructions have not been given will not be counted as a vote cast either FOR or AGAINST executive compensation.

Vote on Each of the Stockholder Proposals. We will consider any of these proposals to be approved if a proposal receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting to be voted FOR the proposal. Abstentions and shares held by a broker for which voting instructions have not been given will not be counted as a vote cast either FOR or AGAINST the proposal.

Other Items. Under the Company's By-laws and the NASDAQ Stock Market (NASDAQ) listing rules, approval of each other proposal to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting to be voted FOR the proposal. A properly executed proxy marked ABSTAIN with respect to any proposal and broker non-votes will not be counted as a vote cast FOR or AGAINST that proposal.

All shares of Class B Common Stock represented by properly executed proxies, which are submitted or returned and not revoked, will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If no instructions are provided in a properly executed proxy, the number of shares of Class B Common Stock represented by such proxy will be voted:

- FOR the election of each of the Director nominees;
 - FOR the ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013;
 - FOR , on an advisory basis, the approval of executive compensation;
 - AGAINST the stockholder proposal to adopt a policy that the Chairman of the Board of Directors be an independent Director;
 - AGAINST the stockholder proposal to adopt simple majority vote;
 - AGAINST the stockholder proposal to eliminate the Company's dual class capital structure; and
- in accordance with the holder of the proxy's discretion as to any other matters raised at the Annual Meeting.

Additionally, if you do not provide a response under Item 7 as to your citizenship on a properly executed proxy, you will be deemed to be a non-U.S. stockholder and your shares will be subject to the suspension of voting rights unless you are a stockholder of record as of the Voting Record Date and you previously submitted a U.S. citizenship certification to the Company's transfer agent or Australian share registrar. A representative of American Election Services, LLC has been appointed to act as independent Inspector of Elections for the Annual Meeting and will tabulate the votes.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL NO. 1****ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

The following table lists the nominees for election as Directors under Proposal 1. Andrew S.B. Knight and John L. Thornton, currently Directors, will retire from the Board effective upon the conclusion of the Annual Meeting. Arthur M. Siskind will serve as a Director Emeritus upon the conclusion of the Annual Meeting. Each Director nominated in Proposal 1 is to hold office until the next annual meeting or until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified. If, for any reason, any of the Director nominees become unavailable for election, the proxy holders may exercise discretion to vote for a substitute nominee proposed by the Board. The information with respect to principal occupation or employment, other affiliations and business experience was furnished to the Company by the respective Director nominee. The ages shown are as of July 31, 2012. Each of the Director nominees has indicated that he or she will be able to serve if elected and has agreed to do so.

Name and Age	Business Experience and Directorships	Director Since
<p><i>K. Rupert Murdoch AC</i></p> <p>(81)</p>	<p>K. Rupert Murdoch AC has been Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 1979 and its Chairman since 1991. Mr. K.R. Murdoch served as a Director of British Sky Broadcasting plc (BSKyB) from 1990 to 2007, as a Director of Gemstar-TV Guide International Inc. (Gemstar-TV Guide) from 2001 to 2008 and as a Director of The DIRECTV Group, Inc. (DIRECTV) from 2003 to 2008. Mr. K.R. Murdoch is the father of Messrs. J.R. Murdoch and L.K. Murdoch.</p> <p>Mr. K. R. Murdoch has been the driving force behind the evolution of the Company from the single, family-owned Australian newspaper he took over in 1953 to the global public media company it is today. Mr. K.R. Murdoch brings to the Board invaluable knowledge and expertise regarding the Company's history and provides strong operational leadership and broad strategic vision for the Company's future.</p>	<p>1979</p>
<p><i>José María Aznar</i></p> <p>(59)</p>	<p>José María Aznar has been a Director of the Company since 2006 and is a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Aznar has been the President of the Foundation for Social Studies and Analysis since 1989. Mr. Aznar was a Distinguished Scholar at the Edmund A. Walsh School of Georgetown University from 2004 to 2011. Mr. Aznar is the Honorary President of the Partido Popular of Spain and served as its Executive President from 1990 to 2004. Mr. Aznar was a member of The State Council of Spain from 2005 to 2006. Mr. Aznar served as the President of Spain from 1996 to 2004.</p> <p>Mr. Aznar, with his extensive background, including serving as President of Spain, brings knowledge, expertise and an international perspective to the Board, providing valuable insight into political and governmental matters throughout the world, with a unique and deep knowledge with respect to several countries in which the Company operates.</p>	<p>2006</p>

Table of Contents

Name and Age	Business Experience and Directorships	Director Since
Natalie Bancroft (32)	<p>Natalie Bancroft has been a Director of the Company since 2007 and is a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Ms. Bancroft is a professionally trained opera singer, has studied journalism and is a graduate of L'Institut de Ribaupierre in Lausanne, Switzerland.</p> <p>In connection with the Company's acquisition of Dow Jones & Company, Inc. (Dow Jones), Ms. Bancroft was appointed as a Director pursuant to the terms of an agreement whereby the Company agreed to elect a member of the Bancroft family or another mutually agreed upon individual to the Board. Ms. Bancroft has a culturally diverse background, having lived across Europe. She also speaks several languages fluently. Ms. Bancroft's youth, female perspective and international education and experience add valuable diversity and perspective to the deliberations of the Board.</p> <p>See Certain Relationships and Related-Party Transactions for additional information.</p>	2007
Peter L. Barnes (69)	<p>Peter L. Barnes has been a Director of the Company since 2004 and serves as a member of the Audit and the Compensation Committees. Mr. Barnes has been a Director of Ansell Limited since 2001 and its Chairman since 2005. Mr. Barnes has been a Director of Metcash Limited since 1999, serving as its Chairman since 2010. Mr. Barnes served in various senior management positions in the United States, the United Kingdom and Asia at Philip Morris International Inc. from 1971 to 1998, including as President of Philip Morris Asia Inc.</p> <p>Mr. Barnes brings to the Board the leadership, operational and financial skills gained in his several roles at Philip Morris, as well as through his service as a Director at a number of private and public companies, including his service as Chairman of several of these companies.</p>	2004

Table of Contents

Name and Age	Business Experience and Directorships	Director Since
<p><i>James W. Breyer</i></p> <p>(51)</p>	<p>James W. Breyer has been a Director of the Company since 2011 and serves as a member of the Compensation and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees. Mr. Breyer has been a Partner of Accel Partners, a venture capital firm, since 1987 and is the Founder and Chief Executive Officer of Breyer Capital, a global diversified investment firm. Mr. Breyer is also a co-founder and has been co-lead on the strategic investment committee since inception of the IDG-Accel China Funds. Mr. Breyer has been a Director of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. since 2001 and currently serves as its Presiding Director. Since 2009, Mr. Breyer has served as a Director of Dell Inc. where he is the Chairman of its Finance Committee. Since 2005, Mr. Breyer has served as a Director of Facebook where he is the Chairman of the Compensation Committee. Since 2004, Mr. Breyer has served as a Director of Brightcove. Mr. Breyer serves as a Director of several privately-held companies including Legendary Pictures and served as a Director of Marvel Entertainment, Inc. from 2006 to 2009 and a Director of RealNetworks, Inc. from 1995 to 2008.</p> <p>As a Partner at a leading venture capital firm and an investor in a diverse portfolio of companies, including numerous consumer Internet, media, and technology companies, Mr. Breyer has significant experience in strategic planning and investment management. His entrepreneurial vision, investment expertise and in-depth knowledge of new and existing technologies offer valuable insight into industries relevant to the Company's business operations.</p>	<p>2011</p>
<p><i>Chase Carey</i></p> <p>(58)</p>	<p>Chase Carey has been the President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company and Deputy Chairman of the Board since July 2009. Mr. Carey previously served the Company in numerous roles beginning in 1988, including as Co-Chief Operating Officer from 1996 to 2002, as a consultant from 2002 to 2003 and as a Director from 1996 to 2007. Mr. Carey has served as the Chairman of the Supervisory Board of Sky Deutschland AG, a German pay-television operator and affiliate of the Company, since July 2010. Mr. Carey served as a President and Chief Executive Officer of DIRECTV from 2003 to 2009 and as a Director of DIRECTV from 2003 to June 2010. Mr. Carey also served as a Director of BSkyB from 2003 to 2008 and as a Director of Yell Finance B.V. from 2004 to 2007.</p> <p>As the Company's President, Chief Operating Officer and Deputy Chairman, Mr. Carey is a key member of the Company's management team. He has a broad and deep understanding of the Company and its operations, having served in a variety of leadership positions within the Company and with its affiliates for over 22 years. Mr. Carey brings valuable executive leadership experience to the Board, as well as unparalleled expertise in the media and satellite television industries. He also brings his experience of having served on the boards of other large public companies.</p>	<p>2009</p>

Table of Contents

Name and Age	Business Experience and Directorships	Director Since
<p><i>Elaine L. Chao</i></p> <p>(58)</p>	<p>Elaine L. Chao has been a Distinguished Fellow at The Heritage Foundation, a research and educational organization based in Washington D.C., since 2009. She previously served as the U.S. Secretary of Labor from 2001 to 2009. Ms. Chao also served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of United Way of America from 1992 to 1996. Her prior government service includes serving as Director of the Peace Corps and as Deputy Secretary at the U.S. Department of Transportation. Prior to her government service, she was Vice President of Syndications at Bank of America and a banker with Citicorp, N.A. Prior to her nomination to the Board, Fox News engaged Ms. Chao to appear on various news segments as a contributor. Ms. Chao has served as a Director of Dole Food Company, Inc. since 2009 and as a Director of Wells Fargo & Company and Protective Life Corporation since 2011.</p> <p>Ms. Chao's work in the public, private and non-profit sectors includes vast experience leading large scale, complex and highly visible organizations. She offers the Board valuable insights on macroeconomics, competitiveness, workforce issues and corporate governance as well as an extensive knowledge of the U.S. government at the federal and state levels.</p>	
<p><i>David F. DeVoe</i></p> <p>(65)</p>	<p>David F. DeVoe has been a Director of the Company and its Chief Financial Officer since 1990. Mr. DeVoe has served as Senior Executive Vice President of the Company since 1996. Mr. DeVoe has been a Director of BSKyB since 1994. He served as a Director of Gemstar-TV Guide from 2001 to 2008 and as a Director of DIRECTV from 2003 to 2008.</p> <p>Mr. DeVoe is a key member of the Company's management team as a member of the Office of the Chairman and as the Company's Chief Financial Officer with responsibility for all financial matters of the Company. Mr. DeVoe provides a critical link between the management of the financial function of the Company and the Board, enabling the Board to perform its oversight function with the benefit of management's knowledge and perspective on the business.</p>	<p>1990</p>

Table of Contents

Name and Age	Business Experience and Directorships	Director Since
<p><i>Viet Dinh</i> (44)</p>	<p>Viet Dinh has been a Director of the Company since 2004 and serves as Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and as a member of the Audit Committee. He also oversees and reports to the Board on the work of the Management and Standards Committee (the MSC) that was created by the Company to have oversight of, and take responsibility for, all matters related to <i>The News of the World</i> phone hacking case. Mr. Dinh has been a Professor of Law at Georgetown University Law Center since 1996. Mr. Dinh is a Member of Bancroft PLLC, a law firm he founded in 2003. He also has been the General Counsel and Corporate Secretary for Strayer Education, Inc. since 2010. He served as an Assistant Attorney General for Legal Policy in the U.S. Department of Justice from 2001 to 2003. Mr. Dinh has served as a Director of Revlon, Inc. since June 2012. He served as a Director of M&F Worldwide Corp., which ceased to be a public reporting company in 2011, from 2007 to 2011, and as a Director of The Orchard Enterprises, Inc., which ceased to be a public reporting company in 2010, from 2007 to 2010.</p> <p>As a member of the Company s Board, Mr. Dinh offers the Company his experience, intellect and acute knowledge of and contacts within the U.S. government. He teaches on issues of corporate governance and brings to the Board and the committees on which he serves corporate governance expertise, which is also strengthened by his current and former service on the boards of other public companies.</p>	2004
<p><i>Sir Roderick I. Eddington</i> (62)</p>	<p>Sir Roderick I. Eddington has been a Director of the Company since 1999 and the Lead Director since 2006, and serves as the Chairman of the Audit Committee and as a member of the Compensation Committee. Sir Roderick Eddington has served as Non-Executive Chairman, Australia and New Zealand of J.P. Morgan since 2006. He served as a Director and the Chief Executive of British Airways Plc from 2000 to 2005 and as the Managing Director of Cathay Pacific Airways from 1992 to 1996. Sir Roderick Eddington has been a Director of John Swire & Sons Pty Ltd since 1997, a Director of CLP Holdings Limited since 2006, and a Director of Lion Pty Ltd since 2011 and its Chairman since April 2012. Sir Roderick Eddington served as a Director of Allco Finance Group Limited from 2006 to 2009 and as a Director of Rio Tinto plc from 2005 to 2011.</p> <p>As the former chief executive of a large public company, Sir Roderick Eddington brings to the Board and his role as Lead Director strong leadership and extensive business, strategic and operational experience. He is particularly knowledgeable and has extensive experience in the Asian, Australian and European markets, all of which are key markets for the Company. His experience as a Director at other large public companies is also a valuable resource for the Board.</p>	1999

Table of Contents

Name and Age	Business Experience and Directorships	Director Since
<i>Joel I. Klein</i> (65)	Joel I. Klein has been a Director and Executive Vice President, Office of the Chairman of the Company and Chief Executive Officer of Amplify, the education division of the Company, since 2011. He also oversaw the MSC until June 15, 2012. He was the New York City schools chancellor from 2002 through 2010. He was the U.S. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Bertelsmann, Inc. and Chief U.S. Liaison Officer to Bertelsmann AG from 2001 to 2002. Mr. Klein also served with the Clinton administration in a number of roles, including Deputy White House Counsel from 1993 to 1995. As a member of the Office of the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer of Amplify, Mr. Klein is a key member of the management team. His experience leading the largest public school system in the United States and contacts in the education industry position him as an excellent executive for our growing education business. Mr. Klein brings to the Board strong leadership skills gained from his decades of service in the private and public sectors.	2011
<i>James R. Murdoch</i> (39)	James R. Murdoch has been a Director of the Company since 2007 and its Deputy Chief Operating Officer and Chairman and CEO, International since 2011, after serving as the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive, Europe and Asia beginning in 2007. Mr. J.R. Murdoch was the Chief Executive Officer of BSKyB from 2003 to 2007. Mr. J.R. Murdoch has served as a Director of BSKyB since 2003 and served as its Non-Executive Chairman from 2007 to April 2012. Mr. J.R. Murdoch was the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of STAR Group Limited, a subsidiary of the Company, from 2000 to 2003. Mr. J.R. Murdoch previously served as an Executive Vice President of the Company, and served as a member of the Board from 2000 to 2003. Mr. J.R. Murdoch served as a Director of GlaxoSmithKline plc from 2009 to May 2012 and as a Director of Sotheby's from 2010 to May 2012. Mr. J.R. Murdoch is the son of Mr. K.R. Murdoch and the brother of Mr. L.K. Murdoch. Mr. J.R. Murdoch is a key member of the Company's management team, as the Deputy Chief Operating Officer with continuing direct responsibility for the Company's European and Asian operations. Mr. J.R. Murdoch has served in a number of leadership positions within the Company and at its affiliates over the past 16 years. His broad-based experience, extensive knowledge of international markets, unique understanding of emerging technologies and strategic perspective of the Company's business and operations enable him to be a valuable resource for the Board.	2007

Table of Contents

Name and Age	Business Experience and Directorships	Director Since
<p><i>Lachlan K. Murdoch</i></p> <p>(40)</p>	<p>Lachlan K. Murdoch has been a Director of the Company since 1996. Mr. L.K. Murdoch has served as a Director of Ten Network Holdings Limited since 2010 and as its Non-Executive Chairman since February 2012, after serving as its Acting Chief Executive Officer from 2011 to January 2012. Mr. L.K. Murdoch has served as the Executive Chairman of Illyria Pty Ltd, a private investment company, since 2005. Mr. L.K. Murdoch served as an advisor to the Company from 2005 to 2007, and served as its Deputy Chief Operating Officer from 2000 to 2005. Mr. L.K. Murdoch is the son of Mr. K.R. Murdoch and the brother of Mr. J.R. Murdoch.</p>	<p>1996</p>

Mr. L.K. Murdoch brings a wealth of knowledge regarding the Company's operations, as well as management and strategic skills to the Board. Mr. L.K. Murdoch has extensive experience serving in several senior leadership positions within the Company, in particular as head of News Limited, the *New York Post* and as the Deputy Chief Operating Officer of the Company.

<p><i>Álvaro Uribe</i></p> <p>(60)</p>	<p>Álvaro Uribe served as the President of Colombia from 2002 to 2010 after holding a number of political offices including Senator of Colombia from 1986 to 1994 and Governor of Antioquia from 1995 to 1997. Mr. Uribe has served as a member of the International Advisory Council of J.P. Morgan since 2011. From 2010 to 2011, Mr. Uribe was a Distinguished Scholar at the Edmund A. Walsh School of Georgetown University and was a Vice Chairman of a United Nations investigation panel.</p>
--	---

Mr. Uribe brings to the Board strong leadership skills gained from his distinguished political career and service as President of Colombia. He offers the Board a valuable international perspective on political and governmental matters.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED ABOVE.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS

Recent Corporate Governance Decisions. The Company is committed to maintaining robust governance practices that benefit the long-term rights of stockholders and, along with the Board, regularly reviews and updates its corporate governance practices, as appropriate, in light of current best practices, stockholder feedback, changes in applicable laws, regulations and stock exchange requirements and the evolving needs of the Company's business. The Company has recently taken the following actions to further enhance its corporate governance practices.

Enhanced Compliance and Training Programs. The Company recognizes the importance of creating and maintaining a strong ethical culture. In fiscal 2012, the Company adopted and began implementing an enhanced global compliance structure, initially focused on distribution and training of our anti-bribery and anti-corruption policies. Under this new structure, we have organized our businesses for these purposes into regional compliance groups, with each group to be supervised by a regional compliance officer reporting directly to Gerson Zweifach, Senior Executive Vice President and Group General Counsel, and Chief Compliance Officer of the Company. In addition, in September 2011, we adopted a revised global anti-bribery policy, applicable to all employees. The Company has also invested in an improved platform for better online training delivery and continues its commitment to in-person training, in particular in anti-bribery and anti-corruption. Throughout the fiscal year, numerous business units and joint ventures around the world hosted in-person anti-bribery training for their employees, and a new online anti-bribery training module has been rolled out to businesses globally, and will be delivered to every employee. The Company also commenced anti-corruption risk assessments in selected locations around the globe, both to test and improve anti-corruption controls and to develop an anti-corruption internal audit that will be administered to businesses on a risk basis.

Annual Board Consideration of Leadership Structure. The Board has formalized the process of determining its leadership structure. Following the recent amendments to the Company's Statement of Corporate Governance to reflect the Board's annual review of its leadership structure, in June 2012, the Board further enhanced its independent oversight of management by adding to the Statement of Corporate Governance the requirement of a Lead Director if the Chairman of the Board is not an independent director and additional duties and responsibilities of the Lead Director. In addition, the updated Statement of Corporate Governance includes the Board's expectation that every employee at every level of the Company act with utmost integrity.

Stronger Political Activities Policy. During the prior fiscal year, the Board adopted the Political Activities Policy which requires advance approval of all Corporate Political Contributions, as defined in the policy, and provides, among other things, that the Company will annually disclose on its website all such Corporate Political Contributions. The Company recently strengthened the Political Activities Policy by requiring that all Corporate Political Contributions must align with the Company's business or community interests, and not those of any individual officer or executive. The Political Activities Policy, and the Company's disclosure of Corporate Political Contributions, may be found on the Company's website at www.newscorporation.com/corp_gov/politicalactivities.html.

Board Independence. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has adopted the definition of "Independent Director" as set forth in NASDAQ Listing Rule 5605(a)(2) to assist the Board in its determination of whether a Director shall be deemed to be independent of the Company. However, the Board may determine that a Director is not independent for any reason it deems appropriate.

The Board undertook its annual review of Director independence during the first quarter of fiscal 2013. During this review, the Board considered transactions and relationships between each Director or any member of his or her immediate family and the Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates. The Board also examined transactions and relationships between the Directors or their affiliates and members of the Company's senior

Table of Contents

management or their affiliates. The purpose of this review was to determine whether any such relationships or transactions were inconsistent with a determination that the Director is independent.

In assessing Ms. Chao's independence, the Board considered her engagement as a contributor on Fox News for which she received fees in an amount below the threshold limit for compensation set forth in the NASDAQ listing rules. In addition, the Board considered contributions made in the past three years by the Company's Political Action Committee (the News America-FOXPAC) and by certain executive officers and Directors of the Company to the campaign for Senator Mitch McConnell, who is Ms. Chao's husband. The Board noted that no contributions had been made by the News America-FOXPAC since August 2009 when it contributed \$5,000 and that, based on available data, contributions by certain executive officers and Directors totaled approximately \$5,000 in September 2010 and approximately \$5,000 in November and December 2011. The Board concluded that such relationships would not interfere with Ms. Chao's ability to exercise independent judgment as a Director.

As a result of this review, the Board affirmatively determined that Sir Roderick Eddington, Ms. Bancroft, Ms. Chao, and Messrs. Aznar, Barnes, Breyer, Dinh and Uribe are independent of the Company and its management under the standards adopted by the Company and set forth in the NASDAQ listing rules. While a majority of Directors are independent as required under applicable NASDAQ listing rules and by the Company's Statement of Corporate Governance and committee charters, the Board is committed to achieving a supermajority of independent Directors and intends to add additional independent directors as the Company pursues the separation of its publishing and media and entertainment businesses into two distinct publicly traded companies.

Due to Mr. Breyer's service on the Board of Legendary Pictures, an independent film production business, the Company's Board has adopted rules to prevent Mr. Breyer from obtaining non-public information regarding the Company's film production and distribution businesses. For example, Mr. Breyer recuses himself from those portions of Board meetings at which the Company's film production and distribution businesses are discussed, and he does not receive Board materials that include non-public information that is specific to the Company's film production and distribution businesses. Additional procedures may be adopted in the future as the business of Legendary Pictures or the Company evolves, and otherwise as appropriate.

Board Leadership Structure. The Board is responsible for establishing and maintaining the most effective leadership structure for the Company. To retain flexibility in carrying out this responsibility, the Board does not have a policy on whether the Chairman of the Board shall be an independent member of the Board. However, if the Chairman is not an independent Director, an independent, non-executive Director shall be designated by a majority of the independent, non-executive Directors of the Board as Lead Director. The positions of Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chairman of the Board are both currently held by Mr. K.R. Murdoch. The Board has also appointed a Lead Director, Sir Roderick I. Eddington. The Lead Director's responsibilities include:

- presiding over all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman of the Board is not present, including executive sessions of the non-executive Directors and the independent Directors;
- communicating to the Chairman of the Board feedback from executive sessions as appropriate;
- serving as liaison between the Chairman of the Board and the independent Directors;
- reviewing agendas and information sent to the Board for Board meetings;
- reviewing meeting schedules to assure that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items;
- calling meetings of the non-executive Directors and/or independent Directors, if desired;
- participating in the Compensation Committee's evaluation of the performance of the CEO;
- supervising the self-evaluations of the Directors in coordination with the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee;

Table of Contents

supervising the Board's determination of the independence of its Directors; and ensuring his or her availability for consultation and direct communications, if requested by major stockholders.

The Board believes that this combined role of Chairman and CEO, coupled with a Lead Director, is currently the most effective leadership structure for the Company and is in the best interests of its stockholders. The combined role of Chairman and CEO promotes strong and consistent leadership for the Company, with a single voice setting the tone and having primary responsibility for the management of the Company. The Board believes that the CEO is the person best suited to serve as Chairman, because he is the person most familiar with the Company's businesses and the most capable of effectively identifying strategic priorities and opportunities and leading the Board in the discussion of the execution of the Company's strategy. The Board believes that the combined role of Chairman and CEO also facilitates the flow of information between the Board and management.

In considering its leadership structure, the Board believes that the combined roles of Chairman and CEO are appropriately balanced by the designation of a Lead Director with substantive responsibilities, the majority of independent Directors that comprise the Board and the Company's strong corporate governance policies and procedures.

The Board reviews its leadership structure at least annually taking into account the responsibilities of the leadership positions and the Directors qualified to hold such positions. In conducting this review, the Board will consider, among other things: (i) the policies and practices in place that provide independent Board oversight; (ii) the Company's performance and the effect a particular leadership structure may have on that performance; (iii) the structure that serves the best interests of the Company's stockholders and (iv) any relevant legislative or regulatory developments.

CEO Succession Planning. The Board, with the assistance of the Compensation Committee, oversees CEO succession planning. As set forth in the Statement of Corporate Governance, the Board, in coordination with the Compensation Committee, also sees that the Company has in place appropriate steps to address emergency CEO succession planning in the event of extraordinary circumstances.

As part of the CEO continuity succession planning, the CEO provides to the Compensation Committee recommendations and evaluations of members of senior management and their succession potential to the CEO position. The Compensation Committee reviews potential internal candidates with the CEO or other members of senior management as the Compensation Committee considers appropriate, which review includes development needs and developmental progress with respect to specific individuals. Directors engage with potential internal candidates at Board and committee meetings and periodically in less formal settings to allow personal assessment of candidates by the Directors. Further, the Compensation Committee periodically reviews the overall composition of the qualifications, tenure and experience of members of senior management. The Lead Director also participates in the Compensation Committee's evaluation of the performance of the CEO.

The Compensation Committee reports on its succession planning efforts to the full Board, and the full Board reviews succession planning at least annually at a regularly scheduled Board meeting.

Emergency CEO succession planning enables the Company to respond to an unexpected vacancy in the CEO position while continuing the effective operation of the Company and minimizing any potential disruption or loss of continuity to the Company's business and operations, including in the case of a major catastrophe.

Board Oversight of Risk. Risk management is primarily the responsibility of the Company's management; however, the Board has responsibility for overseeing management's identification and management of those risks. The Board does not view risk in isolation; it considers risks in making significant business decisions and as part of the Company's overall business strategy. The Board uses various means to fulfill this oversight

Table of Contents

responsibility. The Board, and its committees as appropriate, regularly discuss and receive periodic updates from the Company's Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Group General Counsel and other members of senior management regarding significant risks to the Company, including in connection with the annual review of the Company's business plan and its review of budgets, strategy and major transactions. These discussions include operational, strategic, legal and regulatory, financial and reputational risks, and the plans to address these risks.

Each of the Board's committees assists the Board in overseeing the management of the Company's risks within the areas delegated to that committee, which then reports to the full Board as appropriate. For example: the Audit Committee is responsible for risks relating to its review of the Company's financial statements and financial reporting processes, the evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and the performance of the corporate audit function; the Compensation Committee is responsible for monitoring risks associated with the design and administration of the Company's compensation programs; and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees risk as it relates to the Company's corporate governance processes. Each committee has full access to management, as well as the ability to engage advisors. The independent Board members also discuss the Company's significant risks when they meet in executive session without management.

Statement of Corporate Governance. The Board has adopted a Statement of Corporate Governance that sets forth the Company's corporate governance guidelines and practices. The full text of the Statement of Corporate Governance may be found on the Company's website at www.newscorp.com/corp_gov/socg.html and is available in print to any stockholder requesting a paper copy of the document by contacting the Corporate Secretary.

Standards of Business Conduct and Code of Ethics. The Board has adopted the Standards of Business Conduct. The Standards of Business Conduct confirm the Company's policy to conduct its affairs in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations and observe the highest standards of business ethics. The Standards of Business Conduct also apply to ensure compliance with stock exchange requirements and to ensure accountability at a senior management level for that compliance. The Company intends that the spirit, as well as the letter, of the Standards of Business Conduct be followed by all Directors, officers and employees of the Company, its subsidiaries and divisions. This is communicated to each new Director, officer and employee and was communicated to those in such positions at the time the Standards of Business Conduct were adopted.

To promote further ethical and responsible decision-making, the Board has established a Code of Ethics for the CEO and senior financial officers that is incorporated by reference into the Standards of Business Conduct.

The full text of the Standards of Business Conduct and the Code of Ethics may be found on the Company's website at www.newscorp.com/corp_gov/sobc.html and www.newscorp.com/corp_gov/codeofethics.html, respectively, and each is available in print, without charge, to any stockholder requesting a paper copy of the documents by contacting the Corporate Secretary.

Director Nomination Process. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee develops criteria for filling vacant Board positions, taking into consideration such factors as it deems appropriate, including the candidate's education and background; his or her general business experience and familiarity with the Company's businesses; and whether he or she possesses unique expertise or perspective that will be of value to the Company. Candidates should not have any interests that would materially impair their ability to exercise independent judgment or otherwise discharge the fiduciary duties owed as a Director to the Company and its stockholders. All candidates must be individuals of personal integrity and ethical character, and who value and appreciate these qualities in others. It is expected that each Director will devote the necessary time to the fulfillment of his or her duties as a Director. In this regard, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider the number and nature of each Director's other commitments, including other directorships. Although the Board does not have a formal policy with respect to diversity in identifying Director

Table of Contents

nominees, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee seeks to promote through the nomination process an appropriate diversity on the Board of professional background, experience, expertise, perspective, age, gender, ethnicity and country of citizenship. The Company also maintains a Diversity Statement, as described above, which provides that the Company is working to establish measurable diversity objectives.

After completing this evaluation, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will make a recommendation to the full Board which makes the final determination whether to nominate or appoint the new Director after considering the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's recommendation.

Stockholder Nomination Procedure. The By-laws provide procedures for stockholders to nominate persons for election as Directors.

Stockholders must provide timely notice of a Director nomination and such nomination must be submitted in writing to the attention of the Corporate Secretary at News Corporation, 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10036. Pursuant to the By-laws, to be timely for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the notice must be delivered to the Corporate Secretary between June 18, 2013 and July 18, 2013. Stockholder nominations must contain, for each person nominated as Director, all information relating to the stockholder nominee as would be required pursuant to the By-laws, the stockholder nominee's written consent to serve as Director if elected, a completed and signed questionnaire, which seeks information on the background and qualifications of any nominee, and a completed and signed representation and agreement, which provides that such nominee (i) will abide by the majority voting requirements contained in the By-laws, (ii) is not and will not become a party to voting commitments that have not been disclosed to the Company or could limit such person's ability to comply with his or her fiduciary duties, (iii) is not and will not become a party to any arrangement with any person or entity other than the Company with respect to direct or indirect compensation, reimbursement or indemnification in connection with service as a Director that has not been disclosed and (iv) will be in compliance, if elected, and will comply with all applicable publicly disclosed corporate governance, conflict of interest, confidentiality and stock ownership and trading policies and guidelines of the Company. Stockholder nominations must also (i) state the stockholder's name and address as they appear on the Company's books, (ii) the class and number of shares of the Company owned by the stockholder, (iii) a description of any agreement, arrangement or understanding with respect to the nomination between such stockholder and/or beneficial owner, any of their respective affiliates or associates, and any others acting in concert, including the nominee, (iv) a description of any agreement, arrangement or understanding that has been entered into as of the date of the notice by such stockholder or beneficial owner, the effect of which is to mitigate loss to, manage risk or benefit of share price changes for, or increase or decrease the voting power of such stockholder or beneficial owner with respect to the Company's securities, (v) a representation that the stockholder is a holder of record of Class B Common Stock and intends to appear in person or by proxy at such meeting to propose the nomination, (vi) whether such stockholder intends to deliver a proxy statement and form of proxy to a sufficient number of holders of Class B Common Stock to elect such nominee or nominees and (vii) any other information required to be disclosed, as applicable, in accordance with Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). A stockholder providing notice of a proposed Director nomination shall update and supplement such notice, if necessary, so that the information provided or required to be provided in such notice shall be true and correct as of the record date of the meeting and as of the date that is fifteen days prior to the meeting.

Director candidates recommended by stockholders should meet the Director qualifications set forth under the heading Director Nomination Process. Director candidates recommended by stockholders who meet these Director qualifications will be considered by the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, who will present the information on the candidate to the entire Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. All Director candidates recommended by stockholders will be considered by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee in the same manner as any other candidate.

Table of Contents

The Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommended Ms. Chao and an independent director recommended Mr. Uribe for nomination by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which in turn recommended the nominations of Ms. Chao and Mr. Uribe to the Board.

Communication with the Board. Stockholders play an integral part in corporate governance and the Board ensures that stockholders are kept fully informed through:

information provided on the Company's website www.newscorp.com, including the Company's annual report which is distributed to all stockholders in connection with distribution of the Company's proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders and which is available to all stockholders on request, as set forth under the heading "Annual Report on Form 10-K"; reports and other disclosures made to the SEC, NASDAQ and the stock exchanges in Australia and London; and notices and proxy statements of special and annual meetings of stockholders.

It is the policy of the Company to facilitate communications of stockholders and other interested parties with the Board and its various committees. Stockholders may raise matters of concern at the annual meetings of stockholders. In addition, any stockholder or other interested party wishing to communicate with any Director, any committee of the Board or the Board as a whole, may do so by submitting such communication in writing and sending it by regular mail to the attention of the appropriate party or to the attention of our Lead Director, Sir Roderick I. Eddington, at News Corporation, 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10036. This information is also posted on the Company's website at www.newscorp.com.

Director Evaluation Policy. The Lead Director and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are responsible for conducting an annual review and evaluation of the Board's conduct and performance based upon completion by all Directors of a self-evaluation form that includes an assessment, among other things, of the Board's maintenance and implementation of the Standards of Business Conduct and the Company's corporate governance policies. The review seeks to identify specific areas, if any, in need of improvement or strengthening and culminates in a discussion by the full Board of the results and any actions to be taken.

Committees and Meetings of the Board of Directors

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, the Board held 13 regularly scheduled and special meetings and six informational meetings. All of the Directors attended at least 75% of the regularly scheduled and special meetings of the Board held during the period for which he or she has been a Director and the meetings of the committees on which he or she served.

It is the policy of the Board to hold regular executive sessions of the Non-Executive Directors and independent Directors without management present. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, the Non-Executive Directors and independent Directors of the Board held 13 executive sessions. Sir Roderick Eddington currently serves as Lead Director and presides over such executive sessions.

Directors are encouraged to attend and participate in the Company's annual meetings of stockholders. At the annual meeting of stockholders held by the Company in October 2011, all of the then serving Directors attended the annual meeting.

The Board has three standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. These committees are comprised entirely of independent Directors, as currently required under the existing rules of the Exchange Act and NASDAQ. Each committee is governed by a written charter approved by the Board. These charters are available on the Company's website at www.newscorp.com/corp_gov/bc.html and are available in print to any stockholder requesting a paper copy of these documents from the Corporate Secretary.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee consists of Sir Roderick Eddington, who serves as Chairman, and Messrs. Barnes, Dinh and Knight. Mr. Knight will not serve as a Director after the Annual Meeting, and, accordingly, will not be a member of the Audit Committee after the Annual Meeting. The Audit Committee assists the Board in its oversight of (i) the integrity of the Company's financial statements and the Company's financial reporting processes and systems of internal control, (ii) the qualifications, independence and performance of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and the performance of the Company's corporate auditors and corporate audit function, (iii) the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements involving financial, accounting and internal control matters, (iv) investigations into complaints concerning financial matters, (v) risks that may have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements and (vi) the review, approval and ratification of transactions with related parties. The Audit Committee provides an avenue of communication among management, the independent registered public accounting firm, the corporate auditors and the Board. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, the Audit Committee held six meetings. The Audit Committee's report required by the SEC rules is included in this proxy statement under the heading Report of the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee Charter provides that its members shall consist entirely of Directors who the Board determines are independent in accordance with the NASDAQ listing rules. The Board determined that each member of the Audit Committee meets the foregoing independence requirements and that each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate in accordance with the NASDAQ listing rules. The Board also determined that Sir Roderick Eddington and Mr. Barnes are audit committee financial experts as defined under the SEC rules.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee consists of Mr. Knight, who serves as Chairman, Sir Roderick Eddington and Messrs. Barnes, Breyer and Thornton. Messrs. Knight and Thornton will not serve as Directors after the Annual Meeting, and, accordingly, will not be members of the Compensation Committee after the Annual Meeting. The primary responsibilities of the Compensation Committee are: (i) to review and approve goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the CEO, to evaluate the performance of the CEO in light of these goals and objectives and other factors the Compensation Committee deems appropriate, and, based on this review and evaluation, to recommend to the Board the compensation of the CEO; (ii) to consider, authorize and oversee the Company's incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans and recommend changes in such plans to the Board as needed, and to exercise all authority of the Board with respect to the administration of such plans, including the granting of awards under the Company's incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans; (iii) to review and approve compensation, benefits and terms of employment of the Company's executive officers (as defined by NASDAQ listing rules) and such other senior executives identified by the Compensation Committee after consultation with the Company's chief executive officer and other members of management; (iv) to review and approve or ratify the principal employment terms for each employment arrangement (excluding arrangements for talent) where the sum of the base salary, bonus target and long-term incentive target for the contract period is equal to or greater than a threshold amount set by the Compensation Committee; (v) to review and approve separation obligations that exceed by more than a certain amount set by the Compensation Committee (excluding consideration for outstanding equity awards) those contractually provided for in an employment agreement approved or ratified by the Compensation Committee pursuant to (iv) above; (vi) to review the Company's recruitment, retention, compensation, termination and severance policies for certain senior executives; (vii) to review and assist with the development of executive succession plans and to consult with the CEO regarding the selection of senior executives; (viii) to review the compensation of non-executive Directors for service on the Board and its committees and recommend changes in compensation to the Board; and (ix) to review the Company's compensation policies and practices to determine whether they create risk-taking incentives that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse impact on the Company.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, the Compensation Committee held five meetings. Pursuant to its charter, the Compensation Committee may delegate its authority to one or more members of the Board or officers of the Company, to the extent permitted by law, as it deems appropriate. The Compensation Committee has

Table of Contents

delegated to Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey and DeVoe the authority to make awards of restricted stock units and performance stock units, as applicable, within certain prescribed limits to non-executive officers of the Company. Any awards made by Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey or DeVoe pursuant to this authority are reported to the Compensation Committee on an annual basis. Further discussion of the processes and procedures for the consideration and determination of the compensation paid to the named executive officers during fiscal 2012, including the role of our CEO in recommending the amount or form of compensation paid to the named executive officers, other than himself, is found in the section entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" below.

Pursuant to its charter, the Compensation Committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate any compensation consultant. During the past several years, including fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee has retained Deloitte Consulting LLP ("Deloitte Consulting") to provide advice on a variety of compensation matters as requested by the Compensation Committee. Deloitte Consulting primarily provides advice relating to named executive officer and non-executive Director compensation, compensation trends, the design of the Company's equity incentive plans, and, from time to time, the structure of individual executive employment agreements. The Compensation Committee reviews information provided by Deloitte Consulting to determine the appropriate level and mix of compensation for each of the named executive officers in light of our compensation objectives. The total fees paid to Deloitte Consulting for these services during fiscal 2012 were approximately \$288,000.

During fiscal 2012, the Company's management retained Deloitte Consulting and its affiliates (collectively, "Deloitte") to provide other services to the Company. These services included tax compliance and consulting for domestic and international operating units, systems implementation assistance, operational consulting, internal audit assistance and due diligence. The total amount paid for such services (excluding the services as consultant to the Compensation Committee as discussed above) to Deloitte during fiscal 2012 was approximately \$42.5 million. Deloitte was engaged directly by management to provide these other services and, accordingly, Deloitte's engagement for these other services was not formally approved by the Board or by the Compensation Committee.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consists of Mr. Dinh, who serves as Chairman, Ms. Bancroft and Messrs. Aznar, Breyer and Thornton. Mr. Thornton will not serve as a Director after the Annual Meeting, and, accordingly, will not be a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee after the Annual Meeting. The primary responsibilities of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are: (i) to review the qualifications of candidates for Director suggested by Board members, stockholders, management and others in accordance with criteria recommended by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and approved by the Board; (ii) to consider the performance of incumbent Directors in determining whether to nominate them for re-election; (iii) to recommend to the Board a slate of nominees for election or re-election to the Board at each annual meeting of stockholders; (iv) to recommend to the Board candidates to be elected to the Board as necessary to fill vacancies and newly created directorships; and (v) to advise and make recommendations to the Board on corporate governance matters. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also makes recommendations to the Board as to determinations of Director independence and conducts an annual self-evaluation for the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held four meetings during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL NO. 2****RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

Subject to stockholder ratification, the Audit Committee has selected Ernst & Young LLP (E&Y) as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm to audit the books and accounts of the Company for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013. E&Y has audited the books and records of the Company since the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002. A representative of E&Y is expected to be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions and will be given the opportunity to make a statement if the representative desires to do so.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE PROPOSAL TO RATIFY ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2013.

Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of the independent registered public accounting firm. Accordingly, the Audit Committee has appointed E&Y to perform audit and other permissible non-audit services for the Company and its subsidiaries. The Company has formal procedures in place for the pre-approval by the Audit Committee of all services provided by E&Y. These pre-approval procedures are described below under Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures.

The description of the fees for professional services rendered to the Company and its subsidiaries by E&Y for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011 is set forth below.

	Fiscal 2012	Fiscal 2011
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 19,149,000	\$ 17,877,000
Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾	\$ 2,957,000	\$ 3,427,000
Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	\$ 11,429,000	\$ 14,239,000
All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 67,000	\$ 63,000
Total Fees	\$ 33,602,000	\$ 35,606,000

- (1) Audit fees include: fees rendered in connection with the annual audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011; the audit of internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011 (as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended (the Sarbanes-Oxley Act)); statutory audits required internationally; reviews of the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements included in the Company's statutory and regulatory filings; and other services normally provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in connection with statutory and regulatory filings.
- (2) Audit-related fees principally relate to employee benefit plan audits, due diligence related to mergers and acquisitions, audits of entities to be sold, accounting consultations, agreed-upon procedure reports, reports on internal controls over certain distribution services provided to third parties and other services related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's consolidated financial statements.
- (3) Tax fees include fees for tax compliance and tax consultations for domestic and international operating units.
- (4) All other fees principally consist of services relating to participation in an industry operational benchmarking study and E&Y training seminars in the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The Audit Committee has established policies and procedures under which all audit and non-audit services performed by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm must be approved in advance by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee's policy provides for pre-approval of audit, audit-related, tax and certain other services specifically described by the Audit Committee on an annual basis. In addition, individual engagements anticipated to exceed pre-established thresholds, as well as certain other services, must be separately approved. The policy also requires specific approval by the Audit Committee if total fees for tax services would exceed total fees for audit and audit-related services in any given fiscal year. The policy also provides that the Audit Committee can delegate pre-approval authority to any member of the Audit Committee provided that the decision to pre-approve is communicated to the full Audit Committee at its next meeting. The Audit Committee has delegated this responsibility to the Chairman of the Audit Committee. Management has also implemented internal procedures to ensure compliance with this policy. As required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, all audit and non-audit services provided in the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011 have been pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with these policies and procedures. The Audit Committee also reviewed the non-audit services provided by E&Y during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, and determined that the provision of such non-audit services was compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL NO. 3

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

At the Company's 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our stockholders approved the compensation of our named executive officers and indicated their preference that we should seek future advisory votes annually on such executive compensation. Based on the recommendation of the Board in the Company's 2011 proxy statement and the voting results with respect to the advisory vote on the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation, the Company has determined to hold an advisory vote on executive compensation annually.

As described in detail in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Compensation Committee seeks to closely align the interests of our named executive officers with the interests of the Company's stockholders. The Company's executive compensation program is designed to attract, retain and motivate top executive talent, drive performance without encouraging unnecessary or excessive risk-taking and support both short-term and long-term growth for stockholders. The compensation framework designed by the Company emphasizes a pay for performance model, an increased focus on long-term growth and more diversified performance metrics. The Compensation Committee believes that our compensation framework effectively aligns pay with individual and Company performance as further described on page 40 under the heading "Pay-for-Performance Alignment". In addition, as described on page 45 under the heading "Pay Mix", the compensation framework places a majority of the Chief Executive Officer's and other named executive officers' total direct compensation at-risk and dependent upon performance, with a significant portion of total direct compensation tied to the Company's long-term results and future stock price performance. The Company has also implemented a number of executive compensation practices, as described beginning on page 41, which the Compensation Committee considers to be effective at driving performance and supporting long-term growth for our stockholders.

The Board recommends that stockholders indicate their support for the Company's compensation of its named executive officers. The vote on this resolution, commonly known as a "say on pay" resolution, is not intended to address any specific element of compensation but rather the overall named executive officer compensation program as described in the proxy statement. Although this vote is advisory and not binding on the Company or the Board, the Compensation Committee, which is responsible for developing and administering the Company's executive compensation philosophy and program, will consider the results as part of its ongoing review of the Company's executive compensation program.

Accordingly, we ask our stockholders to vote on the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the Company's stockholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed in the Company's proxy statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Fiscal 2012 Summary Compensation Table and the other related tables and disclosure.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS AN ADVISORY VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL NO. 4

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL

ADOPT A POLICY THAT THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS BE AN INDEPENDENT DIRECTOR

Christian Brothers Investment Services (CBIS), 777 Third Avenue, 29th Floor, New York, NY 10017, which is the beneficial owner of 517 shares of Class B Common Stock as of the date of submission, has given notice that it intends to present for action at the Annual Meeting the resolution set forth below. Dorset County Pension Fund, the beneficial owner of 7,100 shares of Class B Common Stock as of the date of submission, and Greater Manchester Pension Fund, the beneficial owner of 60,387 shares of Class B CDIs as of July 31, 2012, each joins CBIS as a co-filer of this proposal. In accordance with applicable proxy rules, the proposal and supporting statements, for which the Company accepts no responsibility, is set forth below:

WHEREAS:

Events leading to the closure of News Corporation's News of the World operations in 2011 have raised investor concerns about the cost in jobs, reputation, market position, and enterprise value of inadequate oversight and maintenance of corporate culture within the company and its subsidiaries.

This pervasive and continuing scandal has led to an erosion of public confidence, helped to scuttle a critical business acquisition, and threatened the journalistic reputation and viability of News Corporation's UK publications. It also has made clear the need for independent board leadership to steer the company through a process of reform.

That these revelations took years to uncover and are only now being addressed suggest a lax ethical culture and a lack of effective board oversight.

Shareholder discontent with the current board structure is evidenced by the results at News Corporation's 2011 shareholder meeting:

Votes of at least 31% against the reappointment of four board members.

Support from proxy voting firm Glass Lewis and prominent investors with over \$400 billion in assets under management, including: CalPERS, the Church of England, and the Local Authority Pension Fund Forum, for a floor resolution calling for an Independent Chair.

To address shareholder concerns, we call for an Independent Chair to improve board oversight of management, business risk, and the interests of all shareholders.

Given the dual-class share structure and level of family control, it is particularly important for News Corporation to have an Independent Chair who is empowered to challenge management, to foster a culture of accountability, and to reflect the interests of the wider shareholder body.

An Independent Chair can also provide the Board with the appropriate corporate governance structure to enable a decision on succession that benefits all shareholders.

An Independent Chair provides an important layer of checks and balances and could improve board oversight. By naming an Independent Chair, the company can create greater independence and objectivity on the board, begin to rebuild the public confidence and trust that is critical to a major news organization, and assure shareholders that governance failures are being addressed.

Table of Contents

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED: That stockholders of News Corporation (the Company) ask the Board of Directors to adopt a policy that the Board's Chairman be an independent director according to the definition set forth in NASDAQ listing standards, unless Company common stock ceases being listed there and is listed on another exchange, at which point, that exchange's independence standard should apply. If the Board determines that a Chairman who was independent when selected is no longer independent, the Board shall promptly select a new Chairman who satisfies this independence requirement. Compliance with this requirement may be excused if no director who qualifies as independent is elected by shareholders or if no independent director is willing to serve as Chairman. This independence requirement shall apply prospectively so as not to violate any Company contractual obligation at the time this resolution is adopted.

THE BOARD'S STATEMENT IN OPPOSITION TO PROPOSAL NO. 4

The Board recommends that stockholders vote against this Proposal No. 4.

The Board recognizes that one of its key responsibilities is to establish and maintain the most effective leadership structure for the Company. Based on its experience, considerable engagement with stockholders and an assessment of research on this issue, the Board understands that there are a variety of viewpoints concerning a board's optimal leadership structure, that available empirical data concerning the impact of board leadership on stockholder value is inconclusive and not compelling, and, therefore, there is no single, generally accepted approach to board leadership in the United States. Given the Company's highly complex business and competitive environment in which we operate, the Board believes that the right leadership structure may vary based on circumstances. Consistent with this understanding and to retain flexibility in establishing the most effective leadership structure for the Company, the Board does not have a policy on whether the Chairman of the Board shall be an independent member of the Board and, at least annually, reviews its leadership structure. This review includes, among other things, the policies and practices in place that provide independent Board oversight, the Company's performance and the effect a particular leadership structure may have on that performance, the structure that serves the best interests of the Company's stockholders and any relevant legislative or regulatory developments. By permitting the roles of the Chairman and CEO to be filled by the same or different individuals, the Board is able to choose a leadership structure that can be tailored to the strengths of the Company's officers and Directors and best addresses the Company's evolving and dynamic business.

Independent oversight of management is critical to the Company's corporate governance structure. The Board does not consider the mandated separation of the Chairman and CEO necessary to accomplish this goal. The Company achieves independent oversight of executive management through the composition of the Board, use of an independent Lead Director and the Company's corporate governance policies and practices.

To ensure a strong and independent Board, the Company's Statement of Corporate Governance requires the Board be comprised of a majority of Directors who qualify as independent directors in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended and the listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market. All members of the Board's standing committees (Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance) are independent. Therefore, oversight of critical issues such as the integrity of the Company's financial statements, executive compensation decisions (including for Mr. K.R. Murdoch), recommendations for the nomination of Directors and the annual review and evaluation of Board conduct and performance is entrusted solely to independent Directors. The Company's non-executive and independent Directors routinely meet without the presence of executive management, including at each regularly scheduled Board meeting.

The Company's Statement of Corporate Governance also requires that if the Chairman is not an independent Director, an independent, non-executive Director is designated by a majority of the independent, non-executive Directors of the Board as Lead Director. Sir Roderick Eddington currently serves as Lead Director. As disclosed in the section entitled *Board Leadership Structure*, Sir Roderick Eddington's primary responsibilities include:

presiding over all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman of the Board is not present, including executive sessions of the non-executive Directors and the independent Directors;
communicating to the Chairman of the Board feedback from executive sessions as appropriate;

Table of Contents

 serving as liaison between the Chairman of the Board and the independent Directors;
 reviewing agendas and information sent to the Board for Board meetings;
 reviewing meeting schedules to assure that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items;
 calling meetings of the non-executive Directors and/or independent Directors, if desired;
 participating in the Compensation Committee's evaluation of the performance of the CEO;
 supervising the self-evaluations of the Directors in coordination with the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee;
 supervising the Board's determination of the independence of its Directors; and
 ensuring his availability for consultation and direct communications, if requested by major stockholders.

The Board has determined that combining the roles of Chairman and CEO in Mr. K.R. Murdoch at this time offers several distinct benefits to the Company and its stockholders. The Board considered Mr. K.R. Murdoch's unique insight and strategic vision which make him the most capable of leading the Board in discussions of the execution of the Company's strategy. The Board also considered the Company's current corporate governance structure, including its emphasis on Board independence, a strong independent Lead Director and focus on Board and committee involvement. The Board concluded that the Company can more effectively execute its strategy and business plans to maximize stockholder value if Mr. K.R. Murdoch continues to hold the positions of Chairman and CEO. The Board also believes that this unified structure provides the Company with a strong and consistent direction with one clear leader in both roles providing decisive and effective leadership.

Furthermore, there is no established consensus that separating the roles of the CEO and Chairman is a corporate governance best practice or that such a separation enhances returns for stockholders. The authors of a 2004 Wharton School of Business article entitled "Splitting Up the Roles of CEO and Chairman: Reform or Red Herring?" (available at <http://knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu>) conclude there is no evidence that separating the positions of Chairman and CEO improves corporate performance. In addition, the vast majority of U.S. companies have not implemented the structure recommended by the proposal. A Spencer Stuart U.S. Board Index 2011 (available at <http://www.spencerstuart.com>) found that 59% of S&P 500 companies have a leadership structure combining the positions of Chairman and CEO. Of the 41% of S&P 500 companies that have separated the positions of Chairman and CEO, only 21% have Chairmen who are considered truly independent.

The Board is aware of investor concerns regarding the leadership structure and will continue to consider the pros and cons of separating or combining the Chairman and CEO positions. However, given the Company's independent Board structure, role of the independent Lead Director and other strong corporate governance practices, the Board believes that mandating a separation of the positions of Chairman and CEO would weaken the Company's current leadership structure. Additionally, the proposal would deprive the Board of the valuable flexibility to exercise its business judgment in selecting the individual best suited to serve as Chairman in the future. Accordingly, the Board does not believe that adopting the proposal would be in the best interests of the Company or its stockholders.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THIS STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL TO ADOPT A POLICY THAT THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS BE AN INDEPENDENT DIRECTOR.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL NO. 5

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL

ADOPT SIMPLE MAJORITY VOTE

Mr. Kenneth Steiner, 14 Stoner Ave., 2M, Great Neck, NY 11021, who is the owner of no less than 500 shares of Class B Common Stock as of the date of submission, has given notice that he intends to present for action at the Annual Meeting the resolution set forth below. In accordance with applicable proxy rules, the proposal and supporting statement, for which the Company accepts no responsibility, is set forth below:

Shareholders request that our board take the steps necessary so that each shareholder voting requirement in our charter and bylaws that calls for a greater than simple majority vote be changed to require a majority of the votes cast for and against such proposals. If necessary this means the closest standard to a majority of the votes cast for and against such proposals consistent with applicable laws.

Shareowners are willing to pay a premium for shares of corporations that have excellent corporate governance. Supermajority voting requirements have been found to be one of six entrenching mechanisms that are negatively related to company performance. Source: What Matters in Corporate Governance? by Lucien Bebchuk, Alma Cohen and Allen Ferrell, Harvard Law School, Discussion Paper No. 491 (September 2004, revised March 2005).

This proposal topic won from 74% to 88% support at Weyerhaeuser, Alcoa, Waste Management, Goldman Sachs, FirstEnergy, McGraw-Hill and Macy's. The proponents of these proposals included Ray T. Chevedden and James McRitchie.

Currently a 1%-minority can frustrate the will of our 64%-shareholder majority. Supermajority requirements are arguably most often used to block initiatives supported by most shareowners but opposed by management.

This proposal should also be evaluated in the context of our Company's overall corporate governance as reported in 2012:

The Corporate Library, an independent investment research firm, said its F rating for News Corporation is unchanged due to serious governance concerns. Recently, our company's reputation was seriously damaged by allegations that employees at its subsidiary, News of the World, sought illegal access to the voice and data messages of public figures, slain soldiers, and a teenage murder victim. The phone-hacking scandal led to the closure of the subsidiary, the arrest of several of its former editors, and the resignation and arrest of Rebekah Brooks, CEO of News Corp.'s UK arm. Founder, Chairman, and CEO Keith Rupert Murdoch and his son, Deputy COO James Murdoch, were called to testify before the UK parliament and claimed to have no knowledge of the true scope of the hacking that was occurring.

Despite the enormity of this scandal, our board failed to take action against any senior executives. Additionally, it even decided to give James Murdoch a \$6 million bonus, which he declined. However, Rupert Murdoch accepted his \$12 million bonus on top of his \$8 million salary.

Our board consisted of eight long-tenured directors four of whom have served more than 20 years and six insiders and three Murdoch family members. This raised concerns about board entrenchment and independence. Furthermore, Rupert Murdoch also received \$8 million in equity pay, half of which was only time-vested. Plus his pension increased to \$66 million.

Please encourage our board to respond positively to this proposal to initiate improved governance and increase our competitiveness: **Adopt Simple Majority Vote Proposal 5.**

Table of Contents

THE BOARD'S STATEMENT IN OPPOSITION TO PROPOSAL NO. 5

The Board recommends that stockholders vote against this Proposal No. 5 as it believes that adopting this proposal is unnecessary and not in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders.

Under the Company's existing governance documents, a simple majority of votes cast requirement already applies to many matters submitted for stockholder approval. Other matters, such as an increase or decrease in the number of authorized shares of Company stock or removal of the Company's full foreign listing from the Australian Stock Exchange, are based on a majority of votes outstanding standard, and for a limited number of fundamental matters, the Board believes that supermajority votes are appropriate and necessary. Indeed, for nearly all of the matters currently requiring a supermajority vote standard under the Company's governance documents, Delaware law **would not permit** a majority of votes cast standard. These matters include removal of a Director from office and an amendment to certain provisions in the Company's Certificate of Incorporation. For these matters, Delaware law requires at least a majority of the votes outstanding standard.

Delaware law permits supermajority voting requirements and many publicly-traded companies have adopted these provisions to preserve and maximize long-term value for all stockholders. Because these provisions give holders of less than a majority of the outstanding shares the ability to defeat a proposed extraordinary transaction or fundamental change, they generally have the effect of giving minority stockholders a greater voice in corporate structure and governance, rather than precluding changes to the governance documents. Moreover, because the Company has a 39.7% stockholder, eliminating the supermajority voting provisions would make it easier for the significant stockholder to pass resolutions. The Board believes that extraordinary transactions and fundamental changes to corporate governance should have the support of a consensus of the Company's stockholders rather than a simple majority.

In addition, the Board is subject to fiduciary duties under the law to act in a manner that it believes to be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. The Board believes that the more meaningful supermajority voting requirements are appropriate for issues that would have a long-lasting effect on the Company. Stockholders, on the other hand, do not have the same fiduciary duties as the Directors. As a result, stockholders may act in their own self-interest to the detriment of other stockholders. Our supermajority voting standards help guard against that risk.

It is important to note that stockholder approval of this proposal would not in itself remove the current voting standards. Under our governance documents, to change the current standards, the Board must first authorize amendments to the Company's governance documents. Stockholders would then have to approve each of those amendments with an affirmative vote of not less than 65% of the outstanding voting power of the shares of the Company entitled to vote generally.

After careful consideration, the Board has determined that retention of our current voting standards, which impose a simple majority vote requirement to many matters submitted for stockholder approval, but also applies majority of votes outstanding requirements and a few supermajority voting requirements for fundamental and extraordinary changes, are reasonable and remain in the long-term best interests of the Company and its stockholders. The Board believes that the substantial benefits of these voting requirements do not come at the expense of prudent corporate governance. To the contrary, the voting requirements are designed to protect the interests of stockholders.

For these reasons, the Board recommends a vote **AGAINST** this Proposal 5.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THIS STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL TO ADOPT SIMPLE MAJORITY VOTE.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL NO. 6

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL

ELIMINATE THE COMPANY'S DUAL CLASS CAPITAL STRUCTURE

The Nathan Cummings Foundation, 475 Tenth Avenue, 14th Floor, New York, NY 10018, which is the beneficial owner of 3,686 shares of Class B Common Stock as of August 1, 2012, has given notice that it intends to present for action at the Annual Meeting the resolution set forth below. In accordance with applicable proxy rules, the proposal and supporting statements, for which the Company accepts no responsibility, is set forth below:

RESOLVED, that stockholders of News Corporation (News Corp. or the Company) request that the Board of Directors take the necessary steps (excluding those steps that must be taken by the Company's stockholders) to adopt a recapitalization plan that would eliminate News Corp.'s dual-class capital structure and provide that each outstanding share of common stock has one vote.

Supporting Statement

News Corp. had 2,630,918,878 shares of common stock outstanding as of August 17, 2011, the date used in the beneficial ownership table in News Corp.'s 2011 proxy statement. Holders of Class A common stock, of which 1,832,397,925 shares were outstanding, have no voting rights. Holders of Class B common stock, of which 798,520,953 shares were outstanding, have one vote per share.

News Corp.'s chairman and CEO, K. Rupert Murdoch, beneficially owns 39.7% of the Class B shares and .7% of Class A shares. Thus, despite owning only about 12.5% of outstanding shares, Mr. Murdoch controls nearly 40% of the voting power of News Corp.

Dual-class structures like the one in place at News Corp. distort incentives and increase agency costs by misaligning economic incentives and voting power. High-profile scandals at companies such as Hollinger and Adelphia illustrate the dangers of dual-class structures in facilitating the extraction of private benefits for management. Governance expert Charles Elson has stated that dual-class structures create a culture with no accountability. (Geoff Colvin, The Trembling at News Corp. Has Only Begun, CNNMoney, July 19, 2011)

Dual-class structures are associated with poorer company performance. A 2008 study by Harvard's Paul Gompers and two co-authors found that dual-class structures with disparate voting rights were correlated with lower firm value. (Paul Gompers et al., Extreme Governance (working paper 2008) (available at http://papers.ssrn.com/so13/papers.cfm?abstract_id=562511))

We believe that the Murdoch family's effective control over News Corp. has resulted in decisions that are not in public stockholders' best interests. The Company's anemic initial response to the hacking scandal, including a cursory internal investigation, suggested a reluctance to hold James Murdoch (then head of the News International division) accountable. The News Corp. board is not sufficiently independent from the Company and the Murdoch family, which impedes robust oversight of management. Focusing succession planning on the Murdoch children, which was widely believed to have been the case until the recent scandal, is not defensible at a public company, especially one of News Corp.'s size and global reach. (See Nathaniel Botwinick, News Corp.'s Line of Succession in Doubt, National Review Media Blog, Oct. 19, 2011)

Accordingly, we believe that eliminating the dual-class structure, and installing a one-share/one-vote arrangement, would benefit News Corp. and its public stockholders. We urge stockholders to vote FOR this proposal.

Table of Contents

THE BOARD'S STATEMENT IN OPPOSITION TO PROPOSAL NO. 6

The Board recommends that stockholders vote against this Proposal No. 6. The elimination of the Company's dual class capital structure would effectively dilute the voting power of all the existing Class B stockholders. The Board believes that retaining two classes of common stock with different voting rights is in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders. The Board believes that the current dual class capitalization structure: (a) promotes stability and continuity in the leadership and management of the Company, which allows the Company to focus on long-term objectives, (b) enhances the Company's ability to attract, retain and motivate highly qualified key employees and (c) provides the Company with greater flexibility in financing its growth. The Board's reasons for its position are described in more detail below.

Continuity and Stability. In the face of difficult challenges, management of companies with a single class of common stock can become singularly focused on maximizing short-term value and performance at the expense of long-range planning in an effort to justify its business plans. The Board believes that Mr. K.R. Murdoch, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and his family have pursued stockholder value enhancing strategies throughout the Company's history and have been the primary source of the strategic vision that has made the Company's success up to this point possible. The Board has always been committed to the long-term viability of the Company. As such, the Board believes that the dual class capital structure, which provides limited voting rights for the Class A Common Stockholders on extraordinary matters, reduces the risk of disruption in the continuity of the Company's current operational policies and long-range strategy by allowing management to pursue strategies that it believes will enhance the long-term profitability of the Company.

Retention of Key Employees. The Board believes that the dual class capital structure enhances the Company's ability to attract and retain highly qualified key employees. The Company's ability to issue Class A Common Stock-based equity awards increases its flexibility in structuring compensation plans so that management and key employees can participate in the growth of the Company without diluting the voting power of the Class B stockholders.

Financing Flexibility. The dual class capital structure provides the Company with greater flexibility to pursue a long-term emphasis on stockholder value through growth and financial strength. The Board believes that the Company's ability to issue Class A Common Stock, for which there is already a sizeable and liquid market, better positions the Company to finance growth opportunities without significantly diluting the voting interest of the Company's Class B stockholders. The Board believes that a company with a single class of stock may run the risk of foregoing stock issuances (thereby foregoing strategic transactions that potentially could be of great benefit to stockholders) simply out of concerns over dilution of control. The Company, however, has historically issued its Class A Common Stock for a variety of corporate purposes that have enhanced the value and financial strength of the Company. Such transactions include the financing of significant mergers and acquisitions and the raising of needed capital to fund growth. As the issue of control is not a factor in the Board's consideration of these transactions, the decision by the Company to issue stock in acquisitions or capital raising transactions is based solely on the perceived economic benefits of the transaction to the Company and all of its stockholders.

Approval of this Proposal 6 would not itself eliminate the Company's dual class capital structure, but rather it would be an advisory recommendation to the Board to submit such a proposal to the Company's Class B stockholders within the next 12 months.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THIS STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL TO ELIMINATE THE COMPANY'S DUAL CLASS CAPITAL STRUCTURE.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF NEWS CORPORATION**

The executive officers of the Company at June 30, 2012 are set forth in the table below. Each holds the offices indicated until his successor is chosen and qualified at the regular meeting of the Board to be held following the Annual Meeting, or at other meetings of the Board as appropriate.

Name	Age	Position with the Company
K. Rupert Murdoch ⁽¹⁾	81	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Chase Carey	58	Deputy Chairman, President and Chief Operating Officer
James R. Murdoch ⁽¹⁾	39	Director, Deputy Chief Operating Officer and Chairman and CEO, International
David F. DeVoe	65	Director, Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Gerson Zweifach	59	Senior Executive Vice President and Group General Counsel

- (1) Mr. K.R. Murdoch, is the father of Mr. J.R. Murdoch and Mr. L.K. Murdoch, a Director. Messrs. J.R. Murdoch and L.K. Murdoch are brothers. None of the other executive officers of the Company is related to any other executive officer or Director of the Company by blood, marriage or adoption.

Information concerning Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey, J. R. Murdoch and DeVoe can be found under the heading Election of Directors.

Gerson Zweifach has been a Senior Executive Vice President and Group General Counsel of the Company since February 2012. He also serves as Chief Compliance Officer of the Company. Mr. Zweifach served as an attorney at Williams & Connolly LLP where he was a partner from 1988 to February 2012 and currently serves as Of Counsel. Mr. Zweifach has been a member of the Bar of the District of Columbia since 1981 and the Bar of the State of New York since 1980.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF NEWS CORPORATION**

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of both Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock as of August 17, 2012 for the following: (i) each person who is known by the Company to own beneficially more than 5% of the outstanding shares of Class B Common Stock; (ii) each member of the Board; (iii) each named executive officer (as identified under Executive Compensation and Other Information) of the Company; and (iv) all Directors and executive officers of the Company as a group.

Name ⁽²⁾	Common Stock Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾				
	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned		Option Shares ⁽³⁾	Percent of Class ⁽⁴⁾	
	Non-Voting	Voting	Non-Voting	Non-Voting	Voting
	Class A	Class B	Class A	Class A	Class B
	Common Stock	Common Stock ⁽⁵⁾	Common Stock	Common Stock	Common Stock ⁽⁵⁾
Murdoch Family Trust ⁽⁶⁾	57,000	306,623,480	0	*	38.4%
c/o McDonald Carano Wilson LLP					
100 W. Liberty Street					
10 th Floor					
Reno, NV 89501					
HRH Prince Alwaleed Bin Talal Bin Abdulaziz	0	56,237,915	0	0	7.0% ⁽¹³⁾
Alsaud ⁽⁷⁾					
c/o Kingdom Holding Company					
Kingdom Centre Floor 66					
P.O. Box 2 Riyadh, 11321					
Kingdom of Saudi Arabia					
K. Rupert Murdoch ⁽⁸⁾	9,209,863	317,290,709	0	*	39.7%
Roger Ailes	0	0	175,000	0	0
José María Aznar	4,350	0	0	*	0
Natalie Bancroft	0	2,500	0	0	*
Peter L. Barnes	7,959	0	0	*	0
James W. Breyer	0	0	0	0	0
Chase Carey ⁽⁹⁾	85,520	0	0	*	0
David F. DeVoe	8,160	0	0	*	0
Viet Dinh	0	1,010	0	0	*
Sir Roderick I. Eddington	134,770	0	0	*	0
Joel Klein	0	0	0	0	0
Andrew S.B. Knight ⁽¹⁰⁾	19,623	78,657	6,000	*	*
James R. Murdoch ⁽¹¹⁾	3,998,580	1,644	0	*	*
Lachlan K. Murdoch	456	5,857	0	*	*
Stanley S. Shuman ⁽¹²⁾	332,515	60,996	6,000	*	*
Arthur M. Siskind	29,960	7,600	0	*	*
John L. Thornton	0	0	0	0	0
Gerson Zweifach	0	0	0	0	0

Edgar Filing: NEWS CORP - Form DEF 14A

All current Directors and executive officers as a group (18 members)	13,831,756	317,448,973	187,000	*	39.8%
--	------------	-------------	---------	---	-------

* Represents beneficial ownership of less than one percent of the issued and outstanding Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock, as applicable, on August 17, 2012.

(1) This table does not include, unless otherwise indicated, any shares of Class A Common Stock or any shares of Class B Common Stock or other equity securities of the Company that may be held by pension and profit-sharing plans of other corporations or endowment funds of educational and charitable institutions for which various Directors and officers serve as directors or trustees.

Table of Contents

- (2) The address for all Directors and executive officers of News Corporation is c/o News Corporation, 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10036.
- (3) The number of option shares reported reflects the number of option shares currently exercisable or that become exercisable within 60 days following August 17, 2012.
- (4) Applicable percentage of ownership is based on 1,565,654,090 shares of Class A Common Stock and 798,520,953 shares of Class B Common Stock outstanding as of August 17, 2012, together with the exercisable stock options, for such stockholder or group of stockholders, as applicable. In computing the number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, shares issuable upon the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days of August 17, 2012 are not deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage ownership of any other person.
- (5) Beneficial ownership of Class B Common Stock as reported in the above table has been determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3 of the Exchange Act. Unless otherwise indicated, beneficial ownership of Class B Common Stock represents both sole voting and sole investment power.
- (6) Beneficial ownership of the Class A Common Stock is as of November 10, 2008 as reported on Form 4 filed with the SEC on November 13, 2008. Beneficial Ownership of Class B Common Stock is as of December 31, 2008, as reported on Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 17, 2009. Cruden Financial Services LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Cruden Financial Services), the corporate trustee of the Murdoch Family Trust, has the power to vote and to dispose or direct the vote and disposition of the reported Class B Common Stock. In addition, Cruden Financial Services has the power to exercise the limited vote and to dispose or direct the limited vote and disposition of the reported Class A Common Stock. As a result of Mr. K.R. Murdoch's ability to appoint certain members of the board of directors of Cruden Financial Services, Mr. K.R. Murdoch may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of the shares beneficially owned by the Murdoch Family Trust. Mr. K.R. Murdoch, however, disclaims any beneficial ownership of such shares. Some of the Murdoch Family Trust's shares of the Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock are pledged from time to time to secure lines of credit with certain banks. As described in the section entitled Restrictions on Voting by Non-U.S. Stockholders, the Murdoch Family Interests will not vote or provide voting instructions with respect to a portion of their shares of Class B Common Stock to the extent that doing so would increase their percentage of voting power from what it was prior to the suspension of voting rights.
- (7) Beneficial ownership of Class B Common Stock is as of December 31, 2011 as reported on Schedule 13G/A filed by HRH Prince Alwaleed Bin Talal Bin Abdulaziz Alsaud (HRH) on February 14, 2012. Based on the Schedule 13G/A, 11,815,336 shares of the reported Class B Common Stock are owned by Kingdom 5-KR-134, Ltd. (KR-134), 1,250,000 shares of the reported Class B Common Stock are owned by Kingdom 5-KR-137, Ltd. (KR-137), 5,605,500 shares of the reported Class B Common Stock are owned by Kingdom 5-KR-138, Ltd. (KR-138), 8,094,249 shares of the reported Class B Common Stock are owned by Kingdom 5-KR-146, Ltd. (KR-146), 21,659,246 shares of the reported Class B Common Stock are owned by Kingdom 5-KR-222, Ltd. (KR-222), and 7,813,584 shares of the reported Class B Common Stock are owned by Kingdom Holding Company (KHC). KR-134, KR-137 and KR-138 are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Kingdom 5-KR-11, Ltd. (KR-11). KR-11, KR-146 and KR-222 are wholly-owned subsidiaries of KHC. HRH, as the majority shareholder of KHC, has the power to elect a majority of the directors of KHC and, through this power, has the power to appoint a majority of the directors of KR-11, KR-146 and KR-222, and in turn, KR-11, as sole shareholder of KR-134, KR-137 and KR-138 has the power to appoint a majority of the directors of KR-134, KR-137 and KR-138. Accordingly, for purposes of Regulations 13D-G under the Exchange Act, HRH can indirectly control the disposition and voting of the shares of Class B Common Stock held by KR-11, KR-134, KR-137, KR-138, KR-146, KR-222 and KHC.
- (8) Beneficial ownership reported includes 57,000 shares of Class A Common Stock and 306,623,480 shares of Class B Common Stock beneficially owned by the Murdoch Family Trust. Mr. K.R. Murdoch may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of the shares beneficially owned by the Murdoch Family Trust. Mr. K.R. Murdoch, however, disclaims any beneficial ownership of such shares. Beneficial ownership reported also includes 37,402 shares of Class A Common Stock and 10,646,571 shares of Class B Common Stock held by the K.R. Murdoch 2004 Revocable Trust of which Mr. K.R. Murdoch holds a beneficial and trustee interest. Beneficial ownership reported also includes 4,800 shares of Class A Common Stock and

Table of Contents

- 4,540 shares of Class B Common Stock held by certain members of Mr. K.R. Murdoch's family. Beneficial ownership also includes 8,729,432 shares of Class A Common Stock held by the GCM Trust that is administered by independent trustees for the benefit of Mr. K.R. Murdoch's minor children. Mr. K.R. Murdoch, however, disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares.
- (9) Beneficial ownership reported includes 85,520 shares of Class A Common Stock held by the Charles G. Carey 2002 Trust of which Mr. Carey holds a beneficial and trustee interest.
 - (10) Beneficial ownership reported includes 19,623 shares of Class A Common Stock and 78,657 shares of Class B Common Stock held by charitable trusts that are administered by independent trustees for the benefit of Mr. Knight and certain members of his immediate family.
 - (11) Beneficial ownership reported includes 3,055,301 shares of Class A Common Stock held by the JRM Family Trust which is administered by an independent trustee for the benefit of Mr. J.R. Murdoch and his immediate family.
 - (12) Mr. Shuman is a Director Emeritus.
 - (13) In order to ensure compliance with federal law and in accordance with Article IV, Section 5 of the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation, the Company has suspended the voting rights of 50% of the Class B Common Stock of the Company held by non-U.S. stockholders or those who are deemed to be non-U.S. stockholders. The Audit Committee of the Board may modify the voting suspension percentage to reflect changes, if any, in the Company's foreign ownership based on its assessment of the information reasonably available to it prior to the Voting Record Date. Accordingly, as a non-U.S. stockholder, HRH will have voting rights with respect to the Class B Common Stock he owns in accordance with the voting percentage suspension in effect for the Annual Meeting. For further information, see the discussion under the section entitled "Restrictions on Voting by Non-U.S. Stockholders."

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Introduction

The Compensation Committee of the Board is responsible for (i) developing an effective compensation philosophy, (ii) establishing, implementing and monitoring the effectiveness of the Company's compensation programs, (iii) approving the elements of compensation awarded to the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, and (iv) overseeing and reviewing the Company's executive incentive and equity-based compensation plans. Throughout this proxy statement, we refer to the individuals who served as the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer during fiscal 2012, as well as the other individuals included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 57 as the named executive officers.

Executive Summary

Fiscal 2012 Business Review

News Corporation reported a strong performance for fiscal 2012. Revenues rose 1% to \$33.7 billion while operating profit rose 11% to \$5.4 billion. Excluding a \$125 million charge for fiscal 2011 for the settlement of litigation at the integrated marketing services business and a \$224 million charge for fiscal 2012 principally for the costs incurred for the U.K. and related investigations, adjusted operating profit increased 13% year over year.

Annual highlights:

Revenues at the Cable Network Programming segment grew by 14%, and affiliate and advertising revenues increased by 15% and 10% respectively.

The Company continued to grow the television channel businesses by securing the rights to the NFL and other key properties, and finishing the year as the #1 network in our targeted demographic of adults ages 18-49.

The Company concluded a number of retransmission negotiations.

The Company expanded its business in Latin America by taking control of Fox Pan American Sports and in India by investing in additional programming.

The Company secured multi-year licensing agreements with online distributors for our television library product.

The Company monetized non-core assets such as NDS Group Limited, netting the Company \$1.8 billion of cash in July 2012.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$3.8 billion.

Strong operating cash generation resulted in an ending cash balance that totaled \$9.6 billion.

The Company entered into a new five-year \$2 billion revolving credit agreement.

The Company returned a record amount of cash to stockholders through dividends and stock repurchases of approximately \$5 billion. In May 2012, the Company increased the total authorization of its stock repurchase program to \$10 billion.

The Company's Class A Common Stock price increased \$4.23 per share or 23% and achieved a high of \$22.31 on June 27, 2012 and the Company's Class B Common Stock price increased \$4.09 per share or 22% and achieved a high of \$22.52 on June 29, 2012. The Company's Common Stock outperformed that of its diversified media peers and the S&P 500 which increased by 2% over the same time period.

The Company's market capitalization increased by approximately \$5.6 billion for fiscal 2012, based on diluted shares outstanding at fiscal year-end despite a 10% reduction in shares due to the stock repurchase program.

The Company announced its intention to pursue the separation of its publishing and its media and entertainment businesses into two distinct publicly traded companies.

Table of Contents

Other matters:

The Company withdrew its proposal to acquire the shares in British Sky Broadcasting (B SkyB) which it does not own.

The Company closed its publication, *The News of the World*, in July 2011 after allegations of phone hacking and has incurred significant costs and expenses (including legal and professional fees) for the related investigations, litigation and civil settlements.

As indicated in the graph below, the Company's performance over the last three years, as measured by total stockholder return, has been very strong. For example, an investment in News Corporation Class A Common Stock has significantly outpaced the returns for the S&P 500 Index for the three-year period ending June 30, 2012 and exceeds the median return for a group of seven large media companies over the same three-year period.

	7/1/2009	6/30/2010	6/30/2011	6/30/2012
News Corporation	\$ 100	\$ 130	\$ 198	\$ 252
Media Peer Companies (Median) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 100	\$ 137	\$ 206	\$ 224
S&P 500	\$ 100	\$ 114	\$ 148	\$ 155

(1) The peer companies for purposes of this analysis include CBS Corporation, Comcast Corporation, DIRECTV, Time Warner Inc., Time Warner Cable Inc., The Walt Disney Company and Viacom Inc.

Compensation Committee's Annual Review of its Compensation Practices and Response to 2011 Stockholder Advisory Vote

At the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Company's stockholders voted to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed in the Company's 2011 proxy statement. While the Company's 2011 executive compensation program was approved, approximately one-third of the votes cast did not support the program. In consideration of these results, in fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee engaged in a review of the executive compensation program and considered the program's effectiveness and whether it reflects stockholder interests. Highlights of this review include the following:

Engagement with Stockholders. During fiscal 2012, the Company and members of the Board engaged in dialogue with certain of our stockholders, considered their comments and concerns and, as described below, continued to focus on strengthening the link between executive compensation and performance.

Table of Contents

Removal of Excise Tax Gross-ups. In fiscal 2011, Chase Carey, the Company's Deputy Chairman, President and Chief Operating Officer, agreed to amend his employment agreement to adjust significantly the pay mix of his total compensation. Although the amendment to Mr. Carey's employment agreement was responsive to stockholder concerns as it resulted in a 50% reduction of his base salary and a significant increase in at-risk compensation and performance-based long-term incentives, our stockholders expressed concern with the retention of an excise tax gross-up provision in the agreement. Therefore, in June 2012, Mr. Carey offered to enter into, and the Compensation Committee approved, an amendment to Mr. Carey's employment agreement to remove a provision for payment to Mr. Carey to cover excise taxes incurred by the executive pursuant to Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code with respect to payments received by the executive which are deemed to constitute a Parachute Payment as defined in Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. With this amendment, none of the employment agreements with the Company's named executive officers provide for any gross-up payments to cover excise taxes incurred by the executive.

Expanded Disclosure of How Compensation is Determined. In response to stockholder comments and concerns, the Company included enhanced disclosure in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, including additional disclosure on the annual bonus framework and the Compensation Committee's determination of bonus targets for fiscal 2013.

Confirmation of Existing Compensation Framework. In fiscal 2011, the Compensation Committee introduced a new compensation framework with a stronger pay-for-performance model, an increased focus on long-term growth and diversified performance metrics. The Compensation Committee believes this compensation framework is operating as intended and effectively aligning pay with individual and Company performance as described further in the following section "Pay-for-Performance Alignment". In addition, the Compensation Committee recognized that, under the new framework, the redesigned long-term incentive awards have a three-year performance period with the first award cycle concluding and the eligible named executive officers entitled to payment in August 2013. Therefore, the Compensation Committee determined that it would not be appropriate to make changes to the compensation framework at this time and that the current framework is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

The Compensation Committee will continue to monitor trends and developments to ensure that the Company's executive compensation programs provide sufficient incentives and rewards and remain competitively positioned for executive talent.

Table of Contents*Pay-for-Performance Alignment*

The graph below compares the relationship between changes in the total direct compensation (base salary, annual bonus and the grant-date fair value of long-term incentives) awarded to Mr. K.R. Murdoch and the Company's total stockholder return for the five fiscal years ending June 30, 2012. As the graph below indicates and as discussed further below, the compensation program for the Company's Chief Executive Officer provides a strong link between pay and performance. We believe this analysis demonstrates that our Chief Executive Officer's compensation is, as intended, closely and appropriately linked to performance, including stock price performance.

	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
CEO Pay (\$ in thousands) ⁽¹⁾	N/A	\$ 29,650	\$ 17,585	\$ 16,519	\$ 25,077	\$ 22,034
Indexed TSR ⁽²⁾	100	71	44	58	87	111

(1) For fiscal years 2008-2010, total direct compensation reflects base salary, actual bonus payout, and the grant-date fair value of restricted stock units. For fiscal years 2011 and 2012, total direct compensation reflects base salary, actual bonus payout and the grant-date fair value of performance stock units.

(2) Indexed TSR represents the value of \$100 invested in News Corp's Class A common stock at the end of FY 2007 and at the end of each following year.

Compensation Philosophy

Our strategy and goal of creating long-term growth and value for stockholders drives our philosophy and how we design executive compensation programs and practices.

Our executives lead and manage one of the world's leading media companies in a fast-changing, competitive environment, and their responsibilities span operations around the world. Our executives are critical to the value we create for our stockholders.

Our compensation philosophy aims to achieve the following:

Provide a compensation program that drives performance;

Ensure our compensation policies and practices support both annual and long-term growth for stockholders; and

Table of Contents

Structure compensation packages to attract, retain and motivate the top executive talent necessary for the Company's success today and in the future.

In designing compensation programs for our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee is guided by the following objectives:

Our compensation programs should incorporate a mix of fixed and performance-based compensation in the form of base salary, annual bonus compensation, performance-based long-term incentives and retirement and other benefit programs (as described below) to enable us to attract the highest quality talent to the Company.

Our individual pay decisions should consider trends in the industry in which the Company operates and competes and the executive's performance, contributions, breadth and complexity of the role, and individual skills.

Our compensation programs should be communicated and implemented as clearly, specifically and transparently as possible.

Our incentive programs should respond to unique market requirements and provide a strong link between pay and performance.

Executive Compensation Practices

Below are examples of executive compensation practices the Company has implemented because the Compensation Committee considers them to be effective at driving performance and supporting long-term growth for our stockholders while mitigating risk (left column) and executive compensation practices the Company does not engage in because they are inconsistent with the Compensation Committee's philosophy and stockholder interests (right column).

Practices the Company Has Implemented

▫ **Pay for Performance:** We closely link pay to performance. We set financial goals for Company performance which align our named executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders. A significant portion of our named executive officers' total target compensation is at-risk and linked to Company performance.

▫ **Use Multiple Performance Metrics:** The Company's annual bonus and long-term incentive programs for five of our named executive officers rely on a number of diversified performance metrics. The annual bonus program bases a significant portion of a named executive officer's total compensation opportunity upon individual and group contributions and the Company's financial and operating performance. The performance-based long-term incentive program relies on multiple pre-set, three-year financial performance metrics.

▫ **Cap Payouts:** The Company's payments to named executive officers are capped under both the annual bonus program and performance-based long-term incentive program.

Practices the Company Does Not Engage In

x **No CEO Severance:** Mr. K.R. Murdoch's compensation is determined annually by the Compensation Committee. As a result, Mr. K.R. Murdoch is not guaranteed, among other things, any salary or severance with respect to his employment.

x **No Excise Tax Gross-ups:** The employment agreements with our named executive officers do not provide for any gross-up payments to cover excise taxes incurred by the executive.

x **No Tax Gross-ups for Personal Benefits:** None of our named executive officers receive gross-ups for taxes on personal benefits.

x **No Change in Control Agreements:** None of the named executive officers' employment agreements or arrangements contains provisions relating to a change in control of the Company.

x **No Hedging:** The Company prohibits all directors and employees, including our named executive officers, from engaging in short sales of the Company's securities and investing in Company-based derivative securities.

Table of Contents

Practices the Company Has Implemented

þ **Regular Review of Stock Utilization:** The Company evaluates stock utilization by reviewing overhang levels (dilutive impact of equity compensation on our stockholders) and annual run rates (the aggregate shares awarded as a percentage of total outstanding shares).

þ **Mitigate Undue Risk:** The Company’s compensation programs include risk mitigation features, such as significant Board and management discretion and oversight, a balance of annual and long-term incentives for senior executives, the use of multiple performance metrics, and recoupment provisions for named executive officers’ bonus compensation as further described below. The Compensation Committee annually oversees a risk assessment of risks related to compensation policies and practices.

þ **Maintain a Clawback Policy:** The Board has policies requiring the recoupment under certain circumstances of performance-based compensation paid to the named executive officers.

How Executive Compensation Decisions Are Made

Role of Executive Officers and Management in Compensation Decisions

The Compensation Committee makes all pay decisions related to the named executive officers. At the Compensation Committee’s request, the CEO and COO present individual pay recommendations to the Compensation Committee for the other named executive officers. The CEO’s and COO’s pay recommendations are based on their assessments of individual contributions, achievement of performance objectives and other qualitative factors. The Compensation Committee considers these recommendations along with the advice of its consultant. The CEO and COO do not participate in the Compensation Committee’s deliberations or decisions with regard to their own compensation. In addition, members of our senior management team keep informed of developments in compensation and benefits matters and participate in the gathering and presentation of facts related to these matters as requested by the Compensation Committee.

Role of Compensation Consultant

In fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee retained the services of an external compensation consultant, Deloitte, to advise the Compensation Committee in its review of its named executive officer and non-executive director compensation practices, compensation trends, the design of the Company’s incentive plans for its named executive officers, and, from time to time, the structure of individual executive employment agreements. The Compensation Committee reviews information provided by Deloitte when determining the appropriate level and mix of compensation for each of the named executive officers in light of our compensation objectives.

Practices the Company Does Not Engage In

x **No Repricing of Stock Options or SARs:** The Compensation Committee may not reprice stock options or stock appreciation rights without the approval of the Company’s stockholders.

x **No Dividends on Unearned Restricted Stock Units (RSUs) or Performance Stock Units (PSUs):** No dividends or dividend equivalents are paid on RSUs or on PSUs during the performance period.

Table of Contents*Use of Information on Peer Companies and Industry Trends*

In order to attract and retain the best talent, our executives' compensation packages must remain competitive. Because the Company competes to recruit and retain executives against a relatively small number of large, complex, diversified and publicly-traded media and entertainment companies where executives possess skills and experience that are most relevant for the Company's businesses, the Compensation Committee currently pays particular attention to the following companies: CBS Corporation, Comcast Corporation, DIRECTV, Time Warner Inc., The Walt Disney Company and Viacom Inc. However, the Compensation Committee does not justify its compensation decisions, nor attempt to maintain a specific target percentile in determining compensation, based on compensation provided to executives at its peer companies. The Compensation Committee also does not consider companies in the retail, manufacturing or apparel industries as relevant peer companies for purposes of the specific considerations noted above because the Company is less likely to compete directly with such companies to recruit and retain executives.

Although the Company does not use benchmarks with respect to individual compensation levels, the Compensation Committee annually reviews the compensation practices of a group of our peer companies, consisting of other large publicly-traded entertainment and media companies, as noted above, and other comparably sized Standard & Poor's 500 companies, as well as evolving market practices, to ensure that it remains informed of current practices when making compensation decisions. The Compensation Committee considers the compensation practices of our peer companies but, because of the complex mix of industries and markets in which the Company operates, it believes that strict benchmarking against selected groups of companies does not provide a meaningful basis for establishing compensation and does not use peer company data to base, justify or provide a framework for compensation decisions. The Compensation Committee also does not target any element of compensation or total compensation to a specific range within the peer companies. Rather, it uses peer company data to obtain a general understanding of current compensation practices. The Compensation Committee's goal is to provide total compensation packages that are competitive with prevailing practices in our industry and in the geographic markets in which we conduct business. The Compensation Committee retains flexibility within the compensation program in order to respond to and adjust for specific circumstances and our evolving business environment.

Review of Internal Pay Parity

The Compensation Committee has determined that internal pay parity is critical to ensuring fairness and encouraging a collaborative team effort among the named executive officers. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee's decisions concerning named executive officer compensation include a careful review of each named executive officer's pay components and levels relative to other named executive officers with respect to role, seniority and/or levels of responsibility. In addition, the Compensation Committee has determined that it is appropriate to provide the same type of incentive compensation opportunities to each of the named executive officers, with the exception of Mr. Ailes whose responsibilities are focused on certain of the Company's cable and television operations.

Named Executive Officers' Compensation Packages*Introduction*

The Company provides on page 58 in footnote (g) to the Summary Compensation Table, a Supplemental Table setting forth information with respect to the direct compensation earned in fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 by our named executive officers. This Supplemental Table excludes the effect of transitioning from the former long-term equity program that was in place until fiscal 2010 to the new performance-based long-term incentive program adopted at the beginning of fiscal 2011 and reflects the Compensation Committee's decisions for fiscal 2011 and facilitates a comparison of the compensation awarded for fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2012.

Table of Contents

The key elements of our executive compensation program for our named executive officers generally consist of base salary, annual bonus compensation that is based on an evaluation of Company and individual performance, other bonus compensation, performance-based long-term equity-based incentive awards and retirement benefits. However, the compensation package of each of the named executive officers does not necessarily contain each of the elements of compensation. Instead, the Compensation Committee creates a compensation package for each of the named executive officers which contains a mix of compensation elements that it believes best addresses his particular responsibilities and that will best achieve our overall compensation objectives. The named executive officers also receive certain perquisites, but such perquisites are not a key element of compensation. The chart below illustrates why the Compensation Committee chooses to pay each element of compensation:

Element of Compensation	Motivation			Alignment with Stockholder Interests	Retention
	Attraction	Short-Term	Long-Term		
Base Salary	X	X			X
Annual Bonus Compensation	X	X		X	X
Other Bonus Compensation	X	X			X
Performance-Based Long-Term Equity-Based Incentive Awards	X		X	X	X
Retirement Benefits	X		X		X

When making individual executive compensation decisions, the Compensation Committee considers such characteristics as the named executive officer's leadership and management expertise, performance history, the complexity of the position and responsibilities, growth potential, term of service with the Company, reporting structure and internal parity. The Compensation Committee also takes into account certain other market factors, such as, among others, the significance that the industry in which we operate and compete and geographic markets (particularly New York City and Los Angeles) play in the Company's ability to attract and retain talent. In determining the amount of total compensation, the Compensation Committee considers both currently paid compensation and the opportunity for future compensation, as well as the mix of cash and equity-based compensation.

In fiscal 2012, our named executive officers were Messrs. K. Rupert Murdoch, Chase Carey, James R. Murdoch, David F. DeVoe, Gerson Zweifach and Roger Ailes. Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, J.R. Murdoch, DeVoe and Ailes have served the Company or its subsidiaries or affiliates for 60, 16, 29 and 16 years, respectively. Mr. Carey, who rejoined the Company in July 2009 as Deputy Chairman, President and Chief Operating Officer, has served in a variety of leadership positions within the Company and with its affiliates for more than 23 years. Mr. Zweifach, who was recruited to join the Company in February 2012 as a Senior Executive Vice President and Group General Counsel, has more than 30 years of experience covering significant media and first amendment cases, as well as patent, antitrust and securities litigation matters. The depth of our named executive officers' institutional knowledge, the breadth of their experience and their superior leadership talents have been instrumental and invaluable in making and maintaining News Corporation as one of the pre-eminent international media companies.

The level of compensation approved by the Compensation Committee reflects, among other factors, the scope and nature of our named executive officers' individual responsibilities, past performance, internal pay parity considerations, market factors in light of the industries in which we operate and retention considerations at the time those agreements were entered into or at the time the compensation was approved. Prior to approving each named executive officer's compensation, the Compensation Committee annually reviews and analyzes the nature and amounts of all elements of each named executive officer's total compensation package, both separately and in the aggregate, to ensure that each named executive officer's compensation is linked to performance and that an appropriate balance is maintained in focusing different elements of compensation on both the short-term and long-term performance of the Company.

Table of Contents

Messrs. Carey, DeVoe, Zweifach and Ailes are each a party to a negotiated employment agreement. (For a detailed description of these employment agreements, please see the section entitled *Employment Agreements*, as well as the sections entitled *Amendment to Employment Agreement for Chase Carey* and *Employment Agreement for Gerson Zweifach*.) Messrs. K.R. Murdoch and J.R. Murdoch do not have employment agreements, and their compensation is annually determined and approved by the Compensation Committee.

Pay Mix

The Compensation Committee believes that a significant portion of the compensation awarded to our named executive officers should be linked to performance and at-risk. A majority of the Chief Executive Officer's and each of the other named executive officer's total direct compensation is at-risk and dependent upon performance, with a significant portion of total direct compensation tied to the Company's long-term results and future stock price performance. As illustrated in the chart below, approximately 67% of the CEO's and 79% of the other named executive officers (excluding Messrs. Ailes and Zweifach) fiscal 2012 target total direct compensation is at-risk, with most of the compensation subject to the achievement of short-term and long-term financial and business objectives. We believe that this balance of fixed and variable compensation is consistent with the Company's executive compensation philosophy, maintains a strong link between the named executive officers' compensation and Company performance, aligns the named executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders and motivates executives to deliver strong results and create stockholder value.

(1) Includes each named executive officer's (except for Messrs. Ailes and Zweifach) annual base salary, target bonus opportunity and the target PSU Award opportunity for the fiscal 2012-2014 performance period.

Base Salary

One element of compensation needed to attract and retain an employee in any organization is base salary. Base salary is the fixed element of a named executive officer's annual cash compensation and does not vary with performance.

The respective employment agreements of Messrs. Carey, DeVoe, Zweifach and Ailes provide for a specified or minimum base salary. At the time each of these employment agreements was entered into, the Compensation Committee established each named executive officer's base salary in the context of the nature of the named executive officer's particular position, the responsibilities associated with that position, length of service with the Company, his experience, expertise, knowledge and qualifications, market factors, the industry in which we operate and compete, recruitment and retention factors, our CEO's and COO's recommendation (with the exception of their own base salaries) and the Company's overall compensation philosophy.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee reviews annually the base salary of each of the named executive officers, subject to the terms of any applicable employment agreements. Base salary may be adjusted if the Compensation Committee determines that an adjustment is warranted or that a different mix of compensation elements may more appropriately compensate the individual named executive officer in light of the Company's compensation objectives. The factors considered by the Compensation Committee when determining adjustments in base salary include: past performance; the assumption by the named executive officer of any additional responsibilities; retention considerations; market factors; internal parity considerations; and the CEO's and COO's recommendations (with the exception of their own base salaries).

There have been no base salary changes since fiscal 2007 for Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, DeVoe or Ailes. In fiscal 2011, the Compensation Committee shifted the pay mix for Messrs. Carey and J.R. Murdoch to reduce their respective base salaries and increase their respective performance-based compensation. Fiscal 2012 salaries were \$8,100,000 for Mr. K.R. Murdoch, \$4,050,000 for Mr. Carey, \$3,000,000 for Mr. J.R. Murdoch, \$2,853,750 for Mr. DeVoe, \$3,000,000 for Mr. Zweifach (pro-rated for his service in fiscal in 2012) and \$5,000,000 for Mr. Ailes.

Annual Bonus Compensation

The named executive officers have a direct influence on our operations and strategy. The Compensation Committee believes that a significant portion of a named executive officer's total compensation opportunity should be based upon individual and group contributions and the Company's financial and operating performance. This framework fosters a performance-driven, pay-for-performance culture that aligns our named executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders while also rewarding the named executive officers for superior individual achievements.

For fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee approved the following target and maximum Annual Bonus (as defined below) opportunities for each of Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey, J.R. Murdoch and DeVoe:

Named Executive Officer	Fiscal 2012 Target Annual Bonus Opportunity	Fiscal 2012 Maximum Annual Bonus Opportunity
K. Rupert Murdoch	\$ 12.5 million	\$ 25.0 million
Chase Carey	\$ 10.0 million	\$ 20.0 million
James R. Murdoch	\$ 6.0 million	\$ 12.0 million
David F. DeVoe	\$ 5.0 million	\$ 10.0 million

The performance goals established by the Compensation Committee to determine actual bonuses to the eligible named executive officers were weighed two-thirds based on actual achieved growth in financial and operating performance as compared to the Company's forecasted growth target and one-third based on qualitative factors, including, but not limited to, individual and group contributions to the Company's financial and non-financial objectives (the Annual Bonus).

The fiscal 2012 financial and operating performance metric established was a low to mid-teens range increase in adjusted total segment operating profit¹ (two-thirds weighting) and qualitative factors, including the contributions by each and the group of named executive officers (one-third weighting). The total segment

¹ Total segment operating profit does not include: Impairment and restructuring charges, equity earnings of affiliates, interest expense (net), interest income, other (net), income tax expense and net income attributable to noncontrolling interests. The Company believes that information about total segment operating profit assists all users of the Company's consolidated financial statements by allowing them to evaluate changes in the operating results of the Company's portfolio of businesses separate from non-operational factors that affect net income, thus providing insight into both operations and the other factors that affect reported results. For additional information, please see Note 18 Segment Information to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

operating profit increase is calculated by starting with the Company's actual operating profit growth for fiscal 2012 and then taking into account items approved by the Committee that may otherwise distort the calculation of the performance metric. This amount is compared to the 2011 adjusted total segment operating profit of \$4.975 billion. The Company achieved the target performance with adjusted total segment operating profit growth of 13% over the prior fiscal year.

When considering whether the Company has reached the target performance metric for Annual Bonus compensation, the Compensation Committee may exclude significant or unusual items to provide a fair presentation of the Company's performance. The Compensation Committee can make adjustments to the performance metric to reflect significant or unusual items or to recognize significant market changes that may have occurred during the year. This ensures that executives will not be unduly influenced in their decision-making because they would neither benefit nor be penalized as a result of certain unexpected and uncontrollable or strategic events that may positively or negatively affect the performance metric in the short-term. Therefore, consistent with the guidance to the market, the Compensation Committee adjusted total segment operating income for fiscal 2011 to eliminate a \$125 million pre-tax charge related to the settlement of litigation at the Company's integrated marketing services business and adjusted total segment operating income for fiscal 2012 by \$224 million principally to eliminate the costs incurred in connection with the U.K. and related investigations, which costs have been considered by the Compensation Committee as described on page 48.

In accordance with the Annual Bonus framework, the Compensation Committee also considered the following qualitative factors, including contributions to the Company's financial and non-financial objectives in fiscal 2012, when determining each eligible named executive officer's Annual Bonus:

Mr. K.R. Murdoch provided leadership under which the Company's stock price appreciated 23% in fiscal 2012, exceeding the S&P 500 Index, which was up 2% over the same time period. With his guidance, the Company delivered strong financial results, strengthened its core operations, continued its international expansion plans and optimized its portfolio of businesses through a number of strategic acquisitions, investments and divestitures during the year. He oversaw a number of important strategic innovations, including content monetization initiatives across many of the Company's properties and the launch of the *Sunday Sun*. He continued to grow the Company's brands and businesses in international markets with acquisitions in Latin America and the expansion of the Company's Spanish and Portuguese language offerings, and expansion of our businesses in India and China. He also opportunistically addressed non-core assets, such as with the sale of NDS Group Limited for approximately \$5 billion. He continued to effectively build stockholder value as demonstrated by a total shareholder return that was one of the highest of the Company's diversified media peers and by the implementation of the \$10 billion stock repurchase program. Under his direction, the Company finished fiscal 2012 with immense financial flexibility, solid strategic positioning and vigorous operating momentum that will drive future growth.

Mr. Carey provided exceptional strategic leadership and management of the Company in fiscal 2012, helping the Company strengthen its operational foundation and its solid strategic position. He led a number of important strategic innovations including content monetization initiatives across many of the Company's properties. He continued to grow the Company's brands and businesses in international markets with acquisitions in Latin America and the expansion of the Company's Spanish and Portuguese language offerings, and the expansion of our businesses in India and China. He continued to lead our initiatives for retransmission and affiliate compensation, securing several deals in fiscal 2012 that will provide for significant revenues in future years. Under his direction, FOX remains the number one network in key demographics and well-positioned for growth after Mr. Carey led the effort to secure the rights for FOX to broadcast major sporting events, including the National Football League games through the 2022 season and the World Cup. He also helped secure STAR India's acquisition of key Indian cricket rights, which includes mobile and digital extensions.

Table of Contents

Mr. J.R. Murdoch played an important role during fiscal 2012 in developing the Company's key businesses and investments in Europe, Asia and the Middle East, including the development of the Company's content and distribution strategy before transitioning to his new role. He led STAR India's successful acquisition of key Indian cricket rights, which includes mobile and digital extensions, and Sky Italia's successful acquisition of the renewed and expanded rights to the UEFA Champions League and rights to the Italian Series A soccer including mobile and digital extensions. Under his leadership, the Company continues to expand its digital offerings of its library product and explores opportunities to obtain the digital rights to other key programming. Mr. J.R. Murdoch also successfully transitioned into his new role as Deputy Chief Operating Officer and Chairman and CEO, International.

Mr. DeVoe provided strategic advice to the Company's Chairman and CEO and President and COO regarding significant acquisitions, investments and dispositions. He developed and implemented a capital plan that further optimized the Company's capital structure by appropriately balancing the objective of maintaining a strong and flexible balance sheet with the goal of deploying capital to invest in the Company's business and make acquisitions. He continued to strengthen the Company's financial stability while improving the Company's capital structure, including securing a new revolving credit agreement, and to maintain the Company's strong cash position which enabled the Company to double the stock repurchase program. In fiscal 2012, he executed the repurchase of approximately 14% of the outstanding shares Class A common stock for \$4.6 billion in a disciplined manner, at an average price of \$17.85 per share, representing a 20% discount below the fiscal year 2012 closing price of \$22.29. His financial planning and financial risk management efforts and prudent accessing of capital markets have positioned the Company well for the financing of future capital allocation, including investments, acquisitions and stock repurchases. Mr. DeVoe also oversaw the Company's public financial disclosures and led the effort to maintain effective internal controls.

In reviewing the named executive officers' annual performance, the Committee also considered the U.K. and related investigations and litigation and their impact on the Company including the closure of *The News of the World*, costs and expenses for the investigations, litigation and civil settlements, and the withdrawal of the proposal to acquire BSKyB.

To determine the Annual Bonus for each named executive officer, the Compensation Committee recognized that the Company's results for adjusted total segment operating income growth were in line with the forecasted percentage growth range and, as a result, the target quantitative performance was achieved. The Compensation Committee determined that the achievements described above of the named executive officers, individually and as a group, made contributions to drive the overall success of the business, including its financial and strategic objectives. However, the Compensation Committee balanced this determination with consideration of the impact of the U.K. and related investigations which have focused on allegations of misconduct occurring prior to fiscal 2012. While the Compensation Committee recognized the Company's extensive cooperation with these investigations and management's leadership of the significant efforts to address the issues arising out of the U.K. allegations, the Compensation Committee believed that management should share responsibility for the impact of these matters on the Company, including the related costs, in the current fiscal year and determined to award only half of the qualitative portion of the Annual Bonus to Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey, J.R. Murdoch and DeVoe. With respect to Mr. J.R. Murdoch's Annual Bonus, the Compensation Committee was mindful of Mr. J.R. Murdoch's decision to decline his entire fiscal 2011 annual bonus in light of the issues surrounding *The News of the World* and acknowledged that he was already adversely economically impacted by the U.K. and related investigations. Therefore the Compensation Committee approved a fiscal 2012 Annual Bonus of \$10,425,000 for Mr. K.R. Murdoch, \$8,340,000 for Mr. Carey, \$5,000,000 for Mr. J.R. Murdoch and \$4,170,000 for Mr. DeVoe. These Annual Bonuses were paid in cash.

Under the terms of his current employment agreement, Mr. Ailes has the opportunity to earn annual performance-based compensation based upon the financial performance of the FOX News Channel. Mr. Ailes is directly responsible for FOX News Channel's operating results. The Compensation Committee chose FOX News Channel's earnings before interest, tax, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) as the objective financial

Table of Contents

performance measure to determine Mr. Ailes' performance-based compensation (the FOX News Channel Bonus). The amount that Mr. Ailes will receive for his FOX News Channel Bonus in any fiscal year during the term of his agreement, including fiscal 2012, is tied to a performance range of FOX News Channel's EBITDA for that fiscal year. These ranges were approved and separately conveyed to Mr. Ailes by the Compensation Committee in fiscal 2009. The Compensation Committee established EBITDA ranges that were designed to reward Mr. Ailes for continuing the significant growth in FOX News Channel's EBITDA and to align Mr. Ailes' interests with those of our stockholders. The Compensation Committee intended the threshold range to be challenging but achievable by FOX News Channel; however, the maximum payment level of the EBITDA range assumes FOX News Channel's attainment of over 80% growth in EBITDA over the term of Mr. Ailes' employment agreement, which it believed is an extremely high level of performance.

Pursuant to this arrangement, the Compensation Committee awarded Mr. Ailes a FOX News Channel Bonus of \$9.0 million in cash, reflecting FOX News Channel's performance in fiscal 2012 which was at the highest end of the EBITDA range. Because of previous time-vested equity awards granted to Mr. Ailes and the additional stock award opportunity provided in Mr. Ailes' employment agreement and earned by him as described below, the Compensation Committee concluded at the time it approved Mr. Ailes' agreement that his interests were sufficiently aligned with stockholders in the long-term and that there was already a significant retention feature in his total compensation package, and therefore it was appropriate that Mr. Ailes' FOX News Channel Bonus was paid in cash.

Other Bonus Compensation

Pursuant to Mr. Ailes' employment agreement and in order to attract and retain his valued services, he is entitled to receive an annual bonus of at least \$1,250,000 in cash for each fiscal year during the term of such agreement. For fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee awarded Mr. Ailes a fiscal 2012 bonus of \$1,500,000. The amount of the award was determined by the Compensation Committee at its sole discretion and was based on the Compensation Committee's assessment of Mr. Ailes' overall performance including the exceptional leadership of the businesses over which he has responsibility and the superior financial results of those businesses in fiscal 2012. The Compensation Committee considered that in fiscal 2012 FOX News Channel held the top position in cable news, grew its distribution to nearly 100 million homes and successfully expanded its brand to radio, Internet, international and mobile markets. In addition, FOX Business Channel's total household ratings increased 36% and Nielsen subscribers increased 17% over the prior year. The award was not based on any previously established performance criteria.

Under the terms of Mr. Zweifach's employment agreement, he received an initial bonus of \$1,500,000 (the Initial Bonus) for fiscal 2012. For further discussion on Mr. Zweifach's Initial Bonus and compensation arrangements, please see the section entitled Employment Agreement for Gerson Zweifach below.

Table of Contents*Fiscal 2013 Annual Bonus Compensation*

In August 2012, the Compensation Committee approved the framework for the fiscal 2013 Annual Bonus. The Compensation Committee determined that two-thirds of the fiscal 2013 Annual Bonus would be based on achievement of target adjusted total segment operating profit and one-third would be based on qualitative factors, including the contributions by each and the group of named executive officers. The Compensation Committee selected adjusted total segment operating profit as the financial and operating performance metric for fiscal 2013 because it believes that this metric reflects the Company's key financial objective for the operations for which the named executive officers have direct responsibility. The Compensation Committee also determined the following performance levels for the achievement of the financial and operating performance metric:

Performance Level	Performance Goal as a Percentage of Target Adjusted Total Segment Operating Profit	Payout as a Percentage of Financial Performance Rating
Maximum	120%	200%
Target	100%	100%
Threshold	80%	50%

Also in August 2012, the Compensation Committee approved the following target and maximum Annual Bonus opportunities for each of Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey, J.R. Murdoch, DeVoe and Zweifach for fiscal 2013:

Named Executive Officer	Fiscal 2013 Target Annual Bonus Opportunity	Fiscal 2013 Maximum Annual Bonus Opportunity
K. Rupert Murdoch	\$ 12.5 million	\$ 25.0 million
Chase Carey	\$ 10.0 million	\$ 20.0 million
James R. Murdoch	\$ 6.0 million	\$ 12.0 million
David F. DeVoe	\$ 5.0 million	\$ 10.0 million
Gerson Zweifach ⁽¹⁾	\$ 2.25 million	\$ 4.5 million

(1) Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Zweifach is eligible to receive an Annual Bonus with a target of no less than \$2.25 million and a maximum of \$4.5 million for fiscal 2013.

The fiscal 2013 target and maximum Annual Bonus opportunities are unchanged from the respective fiscal 2012 amounts except for Mr. Zweifach who joined the Company in February 2012. In reaching this decision, the Compensation Committee concluded that the Annual Bonus program was properly rewarding the named executive officers for Company and individual achievements and effectively aligning their interest with those of our stockholders.

Performance-Based Long-Term Equity-Based Incentive Awards

The purpose of granting performance-based long-term equity-based awards to the named executive officers is to align further their compensation with the long-term performance of the Company and link the named executive officers' interests directly to those of the Company's stockholders. In order to more fully align the executives' interests with those of the Company's stockholders and reward the executives for superior results, the Compensation Committee designed a performance-based long-term equity-based incentive program and approved the annual grant of PSUs that will have a three-year performance measurement period (the "PSU Award"). For Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey, J.R. Murdoch and DeVoe, each PSU represents the right to receive the U.S. dollar value of one share of Class A Common Stock in cash and for Mr. Zweifach, each PSU represents the right to receive one share of Class A Common Stock. The PSUs will be paid after the completion of the three-year performance period, based upon the following performance metrics that will be measured against targets established at the beginning of each performance period: (i) average annual adjusted earnings per share ("EPS") growth; (ii) average annual adjusted free cash flow ("FCF") growth; and (iii) the Company's three-year total

Table of Contents

stockholder return (TSR) as measured against the three-year TSR of the companies that comprise the Standard & Poor's 500 Index (excluding financial and energy sector companies) (the S&P 500) (collectively, the Performance Metrics). The target weighting for the adjusted EPS growth, adjusted FCF growth and TSR performance metrics will be 40%, 40% and 20%, respectively. The PSUs will be awarded under the News Corporation 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended (the LTIP).

Within 90 days of the beginning of each performance period, the Compensation Committee will establish for each of the Performance Metrics, performance ranges and payout ranges for the performance period. The Compensation Committee will also determine the target opportunity for each of Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey, J.R. Murdoch, DeVoe and Zweifach for the performance period, expressed as a dollar value (the PSU Target Value), if the PSU Target Value is not set forth in an applicable agreement. The PSU Target Value will be converted into a target number of PSUs based on the average closing price of the Class A Common Stock for the 20 trading days ending on the June 30 of the prior fiscal year (the PSU Target Number) except for Mr. Zweifach's PSU Target Number for the 2012-2014 performance period as described below.

Following the end of the performance period, the Compensation Committee will evaluate and certify the average year over year adjusted EPS growth and the average year over year adjusted FCF growth and the Company's three-year relative TSR compared to the S&P 500 and determine the weighted payout (the Final Performance Factor); provided, however, the final payout cannot exceed 150% of the PSU Target Number and subject to the limitations set forth in the LTIP. Performance in a single year generally will not be indicative of the results for the entire performance period. Subject to the attainment of one or more of the Performance Metrics, at the end of the performance period, Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey, J.R. Murdoch, DeVoe and Zweifach will be credited with a number of PSUs, which will be determined by multiplying the PSU Target Number by the Final Performance Factor (the Final PSU Credits). Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey, J.R. Murdoch and DeVoe will each then receive a payment equal to the Final PSU Credits multiplied by the closing price of the Class A Common Stock on the last trading day immediately prior to the Payment Date, subject to the limitations set forth in the LTIP. The Payment Date shall generally be August 15 of the applicable year or the business day closest to August 15. Mr. Zweifach will receive Class A Common stock as described below. Thus, the Final PSU Credits reflect, for Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey, J.R. Murdoch, DeVoe and Zweifach, both Company performance and any change in the value of the Company's Class A Common Stock over the three-year performance period.

Fiscal 2012 Performance-Based Long-Term Equity-Based Incentive Awards

For the fiscal 2012-2014 performance period, the Compensation Committee established the following PSU Target Value and corresponding target number of PSUs for each of Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey, J.R. Murdoch, DeVoe and Zweifach:

Named Executive Officers	Fiscal 2012-2014 Performance Period Target PSU Award Opportunity (\$ value)	Fiscal 2012-2014 Performance Period Target PSU Award Opportunity (number of PSUs)
K. Rupert Murdoch	\$ 4.0 million	239,664
Chase Carey	\$ 10.0 million	599,161
James R. Murdoch	\$ 6.0 million	359,496
David F. DeVoe	\$ 2.5 million	149,790
Gerson Zweifach ⁽¹⁾	\$ 4.0 million	212,426

(1) Mr. Zweifach joined the Company in February 2012. His target PSU number was determined by dividing his PSU target value of \$4.0 million by the average closing price of the Company's Class A common stock for the 20 trading days ending on January 31, 2012. Mr. Zweifach's PSU Award will vest on January 31, 2015.

Table of Contents*Fiscal 2013 Performance-Based Long-Term Equity-Based Incentive Awards*

For the fiscal 2013-2015 performance period, the Compensation Committee established the following PSU Target Value, and corresponding target number of PSUs for each of Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey, J.R. Murdoch, DeVoe and Zweifach:

Named Executive Officers	Fiscal 2013-2015 Performance Period Target PSU Award Opportunity (\$ value)	Fiscal 2013-2015 Performance Period Target PSU Award Opportunity (number of PSUs)
K. Rupert Murdoch	\$ 4.0 million	198,314
Chase Carey	\$ 10.0 million	495,785
James R. Murdoch	\$ 6.0 million	297,471
David F. DeVoe	\$ 2.5 million	123,946
Gerson Zweifach	\$ 0.25 million	12,394

In establishing the PSU Target Values above, the Compensation Committee considered that the PSU Award program properly motivates each of the named executive officers to drive Company performance and determined that the PSU Target Value for each named executive officers should remain unchanged from fiscal 2012 except for Mr. Zweifach whose PSU Target Value was based on his employment agreement. The Compensation Committee also recognized that Mr. K.R. Murdoch is a significant stockholder of the Company and therefore his interests are already aligned with stockholders' interests. However, the Compensation Committee determined that it was appropriate for Mr. K.R. Murdoch to participate in the PSU Award program because the Compensation Committee believes in providing the same type of incentive compensation opportunities to each of the named executive officers who are responsible for the Company's overall operations.

Retirement Benefits

Our defined-benefit pension plans serve as an important retention tool for long-term executives. In addition to a broad-based, tax-qualified pension plan, we also administer the News America Incorporated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, or SERP, in which certain executives who will earn more than \$250,000 in calendar 2012 are eligible to participate. The SERP increases the retirement benefits of its participants above the amounts available under our broad-based plan, as limited by the Internal Revenue Code. Generally, the compensation limit for the SERP is capped at \$350,000 for calendar 2012. As an additional retention incentive, certain of the named executive officers participate in the Company's Individual Supplemental Employee Retirement Agreement Plan (ISERA), which provides enhanced benefits to certain of the Company's executives. The ISERA compensation limit is \$2.3 million for fiscal 2012. The ISERA also provides enhanced retirement health benefits to the participating executives and their spouses. The SERP and the ISERA are non-qualified plans for tax purposes and are funded using a grantor trust. The assets in the grantor trust are unsecured funds of the Company and could be used to satisfy the Company's obligations in the event of bankruptcy or insolvency. Mr. Zweifach is not eligible to participate in any of these pension plans due to them being closed to all new employees. Primarily due to a reduction in prevailing interest rates in the credit markets, the discount rate used pursuant to pension accounting rules to calculate the present value of future payments decreased from 5.75% for fiscal 2011 to 4.25% for fiscal 2012 driving the substantial increases in the present value of future payments reported for fiscal 2012. However, the increase in pension value resulting from the change in the discount rate does not result in any increase in the benefits payable to participants under the plan. For additional information on these arrangements and plans, please see the Pension Benefits Table and the Potential Payments Upon Termination Table, together with their accompanying footnotes, below.

Perquisites

Our named executive officers are provided with limited types of perquisites and other personal benefits that the Compensation Committee feels are reasonable and consistent with the Company's overall compensation

Table of Contents

philosophy. Perquisites constitute a very small percentage of each of the named executive officer's total compensation package. Some perquisites are intended to serve a specific business need for the benefit of the Company; however, it is understood that some may be used for personal reasons as well.

For safety and security reasons, all of the named executive officers are required to use the Company aircraft for all travel. We also provide automobiles for certain of our named executive officers. In addition, pursuant to the terms of Mr. Ailes's employment agreement, we provide him personal security services in connection with his business responsibilities, which the Company considers to be a necessary and appropriate business expense. The perquisites received by each named executive officer in fiscal 2012, as well as their incremental cost to the Company, are reported in the Summary Compensation Table and its accompanying footnotes below.

Severance and Change in Control Arrangements

The employment agreements of Messrs. Carey, DeVoe, Zweifach and Ailes contain negotiated severance provisions that provide benefits to these named executive officers upon their separation from the Company, which are more fully described in the section entitled "Potential Payments Upon Termination" below. None of the named executive officers' employment agreements or arrangements contains provisions relating to a change in control of the Company.

Employment Agreements

The Compensation Committee believes that employment agreements are important tools to attract and retain executive talent. Described below are summaries of employment agreements entered into or amended in fiscal 2012.

Amendment to Employment Agreement for Chase Carey

To address stockholder concerns, in June 2012, Mr. Carey offered to enter into and the Compensation Committee approved an amendment to Mr. Carey's employment agreement to remove a provision for payment to Mr. Carey to cover excise taxes incurred by the executive pursuant to Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code with respect to payments received by the executive which are deemed to constitute a "Parachute Payment" as defined in Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code.

All other terms of Mr. Carey's employment agreement remain unchanged. For further discussion of Mr. Carey's employment agreement, please see the section entitled "Employment Agreements."

Employment Agreement for Gerson Zweifach

In February 2012, Mr. Zweifach joined the Company as a Senior Executive Vice President and Group General Counsel. In approving Mr. Zweifach's employment agreement, the Compensation Committee considered that Mr. Zweifach is a highly skilled attorney with extensive experience and an impeccable reputation in the legal community, and that he would be taking leadership of the Company's litigation matters during a challenging period for the Company. The Compensation Committee also considered its goal of structuring compensation packages to attract and retain top executive talent necessary for the Company's success today and in the future.

The Compensation Committee determined that awarding Mr. Zweifach his base salary and the Initial Bonus was consistent with its compensation philosophy, commensurate with Mr. Zweifach's legal skills and experience, and appropriate to attract him to the Company since the date on which he joined made it impractical for him to participate in the fiscal 2012 Annual Bonus program with the other named executive officers. Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Zweifach is eligible to receive an annual bonus beginning in fiscal 2013 and to participate in the PSU Award program. In structuring Mr. Zweifach's annual bonus and long-term incentive compensation, the Compensation Committee concluded it was important to attract Mr. Zweifach to join the Company for the reasons noted above and to promote retention of Mr. Zweifach for the term of his employment.

Table of Contents

For further discussion of Mr. Zweifach's employment agreement, please see the section entitled Employment Agreements.

Recoupment of Previously Paid Named Executive Officer Performance-Based Compensation

The Board of Directors has policies requiring the recoupment of performance-based compensation paid to the named executive officers in the event of certain financial restatements or of other bonus compensation in certain other instances. The policies require reimbursement, to the extent permitted by governing law and any employment arrangements entered into prior to the adoption of the policies.

Prohibition on Hedging of News Corporation Stock

The Company prohibits all directors and employees, including our named executive officers, from engaging in short sales of the Company's securities and investing in Company-based derivative securities, including options, warrants, stock appreciation rights or similar rights whose value is derived from the value of an equity security, such as the Company's common stock. This prohibition includes, but is not limited to, trading in Company-based put or call option contracts, trading in straddles and the like. However, holding and exercising stock options, restricted stock units or other derivative securities granted under the Company's equity compensation plans is not prohibited.

Compensation Deductibility Policy

In approving compensation, the Compensation Committee takes into account Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code which generally limits to \$1 million the U.S. federal tax deductibility of compensation paid in one year to the named executive officers (other than, pursuant to Internal Revenue Service pronouncements, Mr. DeVoe, our Chief Financial Officer). However, the Compensation Committee has approved, and may continue to approve, compensation exceeding the \$1 million limitation, including with respect to a portion of base salary and long-term incentives, and exceeding the maximum bonus amount provided for under the Annual Bonus, in order to provide appropriate compensation. In fiscal 2012, a portion of each of our named executive officers' compensation exceeded the \$1 million threshold under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, therefore, the Company estimates that it has foregone a tax benefit of \$6.0 million under the current compensation framework. While accounting and tax treatment are relevant issues to consider, the Compensation Committee believes that stockholder interests are best served by not restricting flexibility in designing compensation programs, even though such programs may result in non-deductible compensation expenses for tax purposes.

As part of the executive compensation program, the named executive officers are eligible to receive annual bonus compensation and performance-based long-term equity-based incentive awards under the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan. Performance-based compensation may qualify for an exception to the limit on deductibility, provided that the plan under which such compensation is paid meets certain requirements, including stockholder approval. Each of the Annual Bonus and the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan is designed to permit awards that comply with the Section 162(m) exception for performance-based compensation. The stockholders of the Company have approved both of these plans.

For tax purposes, in order to ensure that annual performance-based compensation is fully deductible under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, the Annual Bonus is conditioned upon the funding of a bonus pool (the Annual Bonus Pool). The Compensation Committee approved a formula for funding the Annual Bonus Pool of 3.0% of the Company's total segment operating profit earned for the fiscal year, which represents the maximum annual performance-based compensation that is payable. Additionally, the Company's Annual Bonus program caps the amount to be paid to an individual in any fiscal year with an aggregate total of \$57 million payable to all eligible participants in fiscal 2012. The Compensation Committee selected total segment operating profit as the performance measure for the funding of the Annual Bonus Pool because it best reflects the Company's key financial objective for the operations for which the named executive officers have direct responsibility.

Table of Contents

In August 2012, the Compensation Committee certified the total segment operating profit earned and the maximum annual bonus amounts for Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey and J.R. Murdoch. The Compensation Committee then exercised its downward discretion to adjust the actual payments to the level that was awarded to Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey and J.R. Murdoch according to the methodology described above. Please see the section entitled Annual Bonus Compensation .

With respect to the Company s long-term incentive plan, the Compensation Committee also establishes performance goals for PSUs, with the intent that they will be eligible for deductibility under Section 162(m), as described in the Performance-Based Long-Term Equity-Based Incentive Awards section above.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has reviewed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K and discussed it with the Company's management. Based on the Compensation Committee's review and discussions with management, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 and this proxy statement.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE:

Andrew S.B. Knight (Chairman)

Peter Barnes

James W. Breyer

Sir Roderick I. Eddington

John L. Thornton

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The Compensation Committee consists of the following non-executive directors: Andrew S.B. Knight (Chairman), Peter Barnes, James W. Breyer, Sir Roderick I. Eddington and John L. Thornton, all of whom the Board has determined are independent in accordance with NASDAQ listing rules. There are no interlocking relationships as defined in the applicable SEC rules.

RISKS RELATED TO COMPENSATION POLICIES AND PRACTICES

The Compensation Committee has been delegated the authority to oversee the risk assessment of the Company's compensation policies and practices. At the direction of the Compensation Committee, members of senior management conducted the risk assessment. Such members gathered and reviewed information regarding pay practices and risk-mitigation factors within the Company's principal business units and its corporate division. Following an analysis of the data with the Compensation Committee, the Compensation Committee does not believe there are any risks from the Company's compensation policies and practices for its employees that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. In addition, the Company's compensation programs include sufficient risk mitigation features, such as significant management discretion and oversight, a balance of annual and long-term incentives for senior executives, the use of multiple performance metrics which are generally set at the beginning of the performance period, award opportunities that are fixed or capped, and recoupment provisions for named executive officers' bonus compensation in the event of certain financial restatements or certain other instances.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION****Summary Compensation Table for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012**

The following table sets forth information with respect to total compensation for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively, for the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the other most highly compensated executive officers of the Company (collectively, the named executive officers) who served in such capacity on June 30, 2012.

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (a)	Bonus (b)	Stock Awards (c)	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (d)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (e)	All Other Compensation (f)	Total
K. Rupert Murdoch Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2012	\$ 8,100,000	\$ 10,425,000	\$ 3,508,681 ^(g)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,604,000	\$ 384,611	\$ 30,022,292
	2011	\$ 8,100,000	\$ 12,500,000	\$ 8,527,321 ^(g)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,857,000	\$ 308,342	\$ 33,292,753
	2010	\$ 8,100,000	\$ -	\$ 4,050,000	\$ -	\$ 4,368,800	\$ 5,910,000	\$ 296,475	\$ 22,725,275
Chase Carey Deputy Chairman, President and Chief Operating Officer	2012	\$ 4,050,000	\$ 8,340,000	\$ 8,771,717 ^(g)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,514,000	\$ 81,766	\$ 24,757,483
	2011	\$ 4,050,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 15,243,303 ^(g)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 788,000	\$ 69,182	\$ 30,150,485
	2010	\$ 8,100,000	\$ 15,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,906,000	\$ 32,482	\$ 26,038,482
James R. Murdoch Deputy Chief Operating Officer and Chairman and CEO, International	2012	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,263,021 ^(g)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,262,000	\$ 313,051	\$ 16,838,072
	2011	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 6,000,000 ^(h)	\$ 8,317,811 ^(g)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 379,000	\$ 224,864	\$ 17,921,675 ^(h)
	2010	\$ 3,192,671	\$ 1,700,000	\$ 1,583,412	\$ -	\$ 2,184,400	\$ 1,454,000	\$ 181,598	\$ 10,296,081
David F. DeVoe Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2012	\$ 2,853,750	\$ 4,170,000	\$ 2,192,926 ^(g)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,372,000	\$ 188,016	\$ 10,776,692
	2011	\$ 2,853,750	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 9,511,444 ^(g)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 668,000	\$ 211,246	\$ 18,244,440
	2010	\$ 2,853,750	\$ -	\$ 1,426,875	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 661,000	\$ 187,804	\$ 7,129,429
Gerson Zweifach ⁽ⁱ⁾ Senior Executive Vice President and Group General Counsel	2012	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 4,503,856	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ 7,259,856
Roger Ailes Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of FOX News Channel and FOX Business Network and Chairman of Fox Television Stations and Twentieth Television	2012	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 4,057,500 ^(g)	\$ -	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 1,187,000	\$ 317,655	\$ 21,062,155
	2011	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 769,000	\$ 281,924	\$ 15,550,924
	2010	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,500,000	\$ 729,000	\$ 229,577	\$ 13,958,577

- (a) All of the base salaries were paid in U.S. dollars except for Mr. J.R. Murdoch's base salary in fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010 which was paid in Euros.
- (b) The amounts set forth in the Bonus column for fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 for Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey, J.R. Murdoch and DeVoe represent the annual bonus compensation awarded under the compensation framework adopted in fiscal 2011. In addition, for Mr. Zweifach, the amount set forth in the Bonus column includes a guaranteed partial-year bonus. For Mr. Carey, the amount set forth in the Bonus column for fiscal 2010 includes, pursuant to his employment agreement, a \$10 million signing bonus paid in cash upon the commencement of his employment on July 1, 2009 and a minimum EPS bonus of \$5 million payable in cash for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. For Mr. J.R. Murdoch, the amount set forth in the Bonus column for fiscal 2010 represents his divisional operating bonus, which was paid in Euros.
- (c) The amounts set forth in the Stock Awards column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards granted during the applicable fiscal year, including the Bonus Stock awards granted to Mr. Ailes for FOX Business Network milestones for fiscal 2011. All awards were computed in accordance with accounting guidance. Additionally, in connection with the extension of Mr. DeVoe's employment agreement, he received in fiscal 2011 a signing bonus of cash-settled RSUs with a value of \$5 million based on the 20-trading day closing average of the Class A Common Stock through August 4, 2010, 25% of which vested on each of August 6, 2010, November 15, 2010, November 15, 2011 and 25% will vest on November 15, 2012.
- (d) The amounts set forth in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column represent the respective EPS Bonuses paid in cash to Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, J.R. Murdoch and DeVoe for fiscal 2010, and the FOX News Channel Bonus paid to Mr. Ailes in the applicable fiscal years.
- (e) The values reported in the Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings column are theoretical as these amounts are calculated pursuant to SEC requirements and are based on a retirement assumption of age 55 or current age, if later, and other assumptions used in preparing

Edgar Filing: NEWS CORP - Form DEF 14A

the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011. The change in actuarial present value for each named executive officer's accumulated pension benefits under the applicable Company pension plan(s) from year to year as reported in the Summary Compensation Table is subject to market volatility and may not represent, nor does it affect, the value that a named executive officer will actually accrue under the Company's pension plans during any given fiscal year. The change in pension value in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 was primarily due to a reduction in prevailing interest rates in the credit markets. The discount rate used pursuant to pension accounting rules to calculate the present value of future payments decreased from 5.75% for fiscal 2011 to 4.25% for fiscal 2012 driving the substantial increases in the present value of future payments reported for fiscal 2012. However, the increase in pension value resulting from the change in the discount rate does not result in any increase in the benefits payable to participants under the plan. There were no above-market earnings or preferential earnings on any compensation that was deferred pursuant to a nonqualified deferred compensation plan or other basis that is not tax-qualified.

Table of Contents

- (f) All Other Compensation paid in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 is calculated based on the incremental cost to the Company and is comprised of the following:

	K. Rupert Murdoch	Chase Carey	James R. Murdoch	David F. DeVoe	Gerson Zweifach	Roger Ailes⁽¹⁾
Perquisites						
Personal Use of Corporate Aircraft	\$ 361,013	\$ 73,016	\$ 304,301	\$ 150,716	\$ -	\$ 86,041
Personal Use of Corporate Car/Car Allowance	14,848	-	-	28,550	6,000	155,091
Personal Security	-	-	-	-	-	67,773
Company Contributions to 401(k) Plan	8,750	8,750	8,750	8,750	-	8,750
Total	\$ 384,611	\$ 81,766	\$ 313,051	\$ 188,016	\$ 6,000	\$ 317,655

- (1) The Company provides security services to Mr. Ailes in connection with his business responsibilities. Although included in the amount of Mr. Ailes' All Other Compensation, the Company considers the cost of the personal security to be a business expense and not a personal benefit to Mr. Ailes.

- (g) At the beginning of fiscal year 2011, the Company adopted the new performance-based long-term equity-based incentive program which prospectively replaced the previous program for granting long-term equity awards. Under the previous program, in accordance with past practice, the Company granted RSUs to the eligible named executive officers in August 2010 with respect to each named executive officer's performance during the prior fiscal year (granted at the conclusion of the performance period) the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. Under the new program, the Company granted PSUs to the eligible named executive officers in August 2010 with payouts to be based on the Company's performance in future fiscal years (granted at the beginning of the performance period) the fiscal years ended June 30, 2011 through June 30, 2013. When adopting the new performance-based long-term equity-based incentive program, the Compensation Committee noted that in the transition year, the SEC's disclosure rules would require that the Summary Compensation Table for fiscal year 2011 reflect these two equity grants in the same year i.e. disclosure of the RSU grant made in respect of each named executive officer's prior performance during fiscal 2010 as well as disclosure of the PSU grant made in August 2010 relating to the future performance period of fiscal 2011-2013. The Compensation Committee recognized that this presentation, while mandated under the SEC rules, would not reflect its compensation decisions for fiscal 2011. Therefore the Company has provided this Supplemental Table that excludes the effect of transitioning from the former long-term equity program that was in place until fiscal 2010 to the new performance-based long-term incentive program adopted at the beginning of fiscal 2011 and that reflects the Compensation Committee's decisions for fiscal 2011 and facilitates a comparison of the compensation awarded for fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2012. In addition, the Supplemental Table removes a Bonus Stock award granted to Mr. Ailes in fiscal 2012 that was based on the achievement of a Fox Business Channel milestone in fiscal 2011. Although Mr. Ailes earned this award based on fiscal 2011 performance, SEC rules require disclosure of the award in the Summary Compensation Table for fiscal 2012. Consistent with its supplemental presentation of the awards to the other named executive officers as described in this footnote, the Company has excluded the Bonus Stock award granted to Mr. Ailes in fiscal 2012 and included it in fiscal 2011 in the Supplemental Table below.

Supplemental Table for the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards⁽¹⁾	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan		Total Direct Compensation
						Compensation	Total Direct Compensation	
K. Rupert Murdoch Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2012	\$ 8,100,000	\$ 10,425,000	\$ 3,508,681	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,033,681
	2011	\$ 8,100,000	\$ 12,500,000	\$ 4,477,321	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,077,321
Chase Carey Deputy Chairman, President and Chief Operating Officer	2012	\$ 4,050,000	\$ 8,340,000	\$ 8,771,717	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 21,161,717
	2011	\$ 4,050,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 11,193,303	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,243,303
James R. Murdoch Deputy Chief Operating Officer and Chairman and CEO, International	2012	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,263,021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13,263,021
	2011	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 6,000,000 ⁽²⁾	\$ 6,721,475	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,721,475 ⁽²⁾
David F. DeVoe Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2012	\$ 2,853,750	\$ 4,170,000	\$ 2,192,926	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,216,676
	2011	\$ 2,853,750	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 8,084,569	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,938,319
	2012	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 4,503,856	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,253,856

Edgar Filing: NEWS CORP - Form DEF 14A

Gerson Zweifach							
Senior Executive Vice							
President and Group							
General Counsel							
Roger Ailes	2012	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 15,500,000
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of FOX News Channel and FOX Business Network and Chairman of Fox Television Stations and Twentieth Television	2011	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 4,057,500	\$ -	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 18,557,500

- (1) The amounts set forth in the Stock Awards column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards granted during the applicable fiscal years excluding awards related to performance in fiscal 2010. Fiscal 2011 also includes the grant date fair value of the Bonus Stock award granted to Mr. Ailes in fiscal 2012 for FOX Business Network milestones achieved in fiscal 2011.
- (2) Mr. J.R. Murdoch declined payment of his fiscal 2011 Annual Bonus award of \$6,000,000. Accordingly, his actual total direct compensation for fiscal 2011 was \$9,721,475.

- (h) Mr. J.R. Murdoch declined payment of his fiscal 2011 Annual Bonus award of \$6,000,000. Accordingly, his actual total compensation for fiscal 2011 was \$11,921,675.
- (i) Mr. Zweifach joined the Company in February 2012 as Senior Executive Vice President and Group General Counsel.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan-Based Awards during the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012**

The following table sets forth information with respect to grants of plan-based awards to the named executive officers during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (#)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards
		Threshold	Target	Maximum		
K. Rupert Murdoch	08/09/2011 ^(a)	23,966	239,664	359,496	n/a	\$ 3,508,681
Chase Carey	08/09/2011 ^(a)	59,916	599,161	898,742	n/a	\$ 8,771,717
James R. Murdoch	08/09/2011 ^(a)	35,950	359,496	539,244	n/a	\$ 5,263,021
David F. DeVoe	08/09/2011 ^(a)	14,979	149,790	224,685	n/a	\$ 2,192,926
Gerson Zweifach	02/03/2012 ^(a)	21,243	212,426	318,639	n/a	\$ 4,503,856
Roger Ailes ^(b)	08/15/2011 ^(c)	-	-	-	250,000	\$ 4,057,500

- (a) Reflects the right to receive the US Dollar value of one share of Class A Common Stock, except for Mr. Zweifach who will receive shares of Class A Common Stock, that may be earned upon vesting of PSUs granted in fiscal 2012, assuming the achievement of target and maximum performance levels (i.e., 100% and 150%, respectively, of the target PSUs) following the applicable performance period. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Long-Term Equity-Based Incentive Awards for a discussion of the performance measures for the PSUs.
- (b) Mr. Ailes's FOX News Channel Bonus is a non-equity incentive plan award. The threshold payout for fiscal 2012 is \$5 million while the maximum payout for fiscal 2012 is \$9 million. There is no target payout for this award. For further discussion on the FOX News Channel Bonus, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Annual Bonus Compensation above.
- (c) Represents grant of shares of Class A Common Stock to Mr. Ailes in connection with FOX Business Network. For further discussion on this award, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Performance-Based Compensation above.

Employment Agreements*Summary of K. Rupert Murdoch's Letter Agreement*

In August 2010, the Company entered into a letter agreement with Mr. K.R. Murdoch to reflect his eligibility to receive an Annual Bonus and PSUs (the KRM Letter Agreement). For additional information regarding the methodology and calculation of the Annual Bonus and PSU award see the section entitled Named Executive Officers Compensation Packages above.

For a discussion of the termination provisions relating to Mr. K.R. Murdoch's Annual Bonus and PSUs, see Potential Payment Upon Termination below.

Summary of Chase Carey's Employment Agreement

In August 2010, the Compensation Committee approved the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement effective as of July 1, 2010 and expiring June 30, 2014, between News America Incorporated (NAI), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, and Mr. Carey (the Carey Amended Agreement). Pursuant to the terms of the Carey Amended Agreement, Mr. Carey receives a base salary of \$4.05 million per year. In addition, Mr. Carey is eligible to receive an Annual Bonus, and the target amount for the Annual Bonus for each fiscal year of the term of the agreement shall be no less than \$10 million with a maximum performance opportunity of no less than \$20 million. Mr. Carey is eligible to receive PSUs, and the target amount for the PSUs for each fiscal year of the term of the agreement shall be no less than \$10 million with a PSU Maximum Opportunity as described in the section entitled Named Executive Officers Compensation Packages above.

During the term of the Carey Amended Agreement, Mr. Carey will participate in all of the Company's pension and welfare plans, programs and benefits (including each retirement plan, the SERP, the ISERA, group

Table of Contents

life insurance, accident and death insurance, medical and dental insurance, sick leave and disability plan and any plan or program providing fringe benefits or perquisites) at the highest levels that are from time to time applicable to senior executives of the Company. Mr. Carey will also be entitled to participate, and the Company will pay for, such health and welfare benefits (including medical and dental, disability and life insurance and other similar benefit plans) presently in effect or to be adopted at the highest levels that are from time to time applicable to the highest paid group of senior executives of the Company for their lifetime so long as such benefits are not provided by another employer. Upon Mr. Carey's death, Mr. Carey's surviving spouse and eligible dependents will continue to be provided with Company health and welfare benefits under the same terms and conditions as are applicable to the highest level of senior executives of the Company. In addition, Mr. Carey will be provided with a car allowance and use of a corporate jet or charter jet for business and personal travel in accordance with Company guidelines.

The Carey Amended Agreement also provides for certain payments and benefits to Mr. Carey upon his separation from the Company. For a discussion of these provisions of the employment agreement, see "Potential Payment Upon Termination" below.

In addition, the Carey Amended Agreement provides Mr. Carey with reimbursement for reasonable legal fees and disbursements incurred by Mr. Carey in connection with the negotiation and preparation of the agreement, as well as those incurred in connection with any dispute over the good faith enforcement of his rights under such agreement.

In response to stockholder concerns, in June 2012, Mr. Carey offered to enter into and the Compensation Committee approved an amendment to the Carey Amended Agreement to remove a provision for payment to Mr. Carey to cover excise taxes incurred by the executive pursuant to Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code with respect to payments received by the executive which are deemed to constitute a "Parachute Payment" as defined in Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code.

Summary of James R. Murdoch's Letter Agreement

In August 2010, the Company entered into a letter agreement with Mr. J.R. Murdoch to reflect his eligibility to receive an Annual Bonus and PSUs (the "JRM Letter Agreement"). For additional information regarding the methodology and calculation of the Annual Bonus and PSU award see the section entitled "Named Executive Officers' Compensation Packages" above.

For a discussion of the termination provisions relating to Mr. J.R. Murdoch's Annual Bonus and PSUs, see "Potential Payment Upon Termination" below.

Summary of David F. DeVoe's Employment Agreement and Letter Agreement

Mr. DeVoe serves as the Company's Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as well as Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of both NAI and Fox Entertainment Group, Inc. ("FEG"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, and as a Director on the Boards of Directors of the Company, NAI and FEG pursuant to an employment agreement, effective as of November 14, 2004, as amended, expiring November 14, 2014 (the "DeVoe Agreement"). Pursuant to the terms of the DeVoe Agreement, Mr. DeVoe receives a base salary at an annual rate of not less than \$2.5 million and is eligible to receive an annual bonus. Mr. DeVoe is entitled to participate in incentive or benefit plans or arrangements in effect or to be adopted by the Company applicable to senior executives of the Company, NAI or FEG, including any stock option or purchase plan, stock appreciation rights plan or any bonus or other incentive compensation plan and any profit sharing, pension, group medical, dental disability and life insurance or other similar benefits plan (collectively, the "Benefits"); however, any bonus or long-term incentive awards that Mr. DeVoe may be eligible to receive will be subject to the terms set forth in the DeVoe Letter Agreement (as defined below). In addition, Mr. DeVoe is provided with the use of an automobile, and the Company will pay for the insurance, maintenance

Table of Contents

and fuel for such automobile. In connection with the five-year extension of the DeVoe Agreement, Mr. DeVoe received a signing bonus of cash-settled RSUs with a value of \$5 million based on the 20-trading day closing average of the Class A Common Stock through August 4, 2010, 25% of which vested on each of August 6, 2010, November 15, 2010 and November 15, 2011, and 25% will vest on November 15, 2012.

In August 2010, the Company entered into a letter agreement with Mr. DeVoe to reflect his eligibility to receive an Annual Bonus and PSUs (the DeVoe Letter Agreement). For additional information regarding the methodology and calculation of the Annual Bonus and PSU award see the section entitled Named Executive Officers Compensation Packages above.

The DeVoe Agreement and the DeVoe Letter Agreement provide for certain payments and benefits upon Mr. DeVoe's separation from the Company. For a discussion of these provisions of the employment agreement, see Potential Payment Upon Termination below.

Summary of Gerson Zweifach's Employment Agreement

In February 2012, the Company and Mr. Gerson Zweifach entered into an employment agreement. The term of this employment agreement is from February 1, 2012 through January 31, 2015. Under the terms of the employment agreement, Mr. Zweifach serves as the Company's Senior Executive Vice President and Group General Counsel, as well as Senior Executive Vice President and General Counsel of NAI and FEG.

Pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement, Mr. Zweifach receives a base salary at an annual rate of not less than \$3,000,000 and an initial bonus of \$1,500,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012. For the fiscal years ending June 30, 2013, June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2015, Mr. Zweifach will be eligible to receive an annual bonus with a target of no less than \$2,250,000 and a maximum of \$4,500,000. The criteria for the achievement of the bonus amount shall be based on performance metrics set by the Chief Executive Officer and the Compensation Committee of the Company in good faith.

In addition, the employment agreement provides that Mr. Zweifach is entitled to receive PSUs, the target amount of which shall be \$4 million for fiscal 2012-2014 performance period and a target of no less than \$250,000 for each of the fiscal 2013-2015 and fiscal 2014-2016 performance periods with a PSU maximum opportunity as described above. If after the expiration date of Mr. Zweifach's employment agreement he does not continue employment with the Company, he will be entitled to receive the full value of the PSU Award for the fiscal 2012-2014 performance period, with such payment to be made on February 13, 2015 and a pro-rata portion of the PSU Award for the fiscal 2013-2015 performance period and the fiscal 2014-2016 performance period with the award reduced by a fraction (the numerator of which is the full months of employment completed by Mr. Zweifach and the denominator of which is 36) provided he has completed 12 months of employment in the applicable performance period.

Mr. Zweifach is entitled to participate in incentive, equity or benefit plans or arrangements in effect or to be adopted by the Company made generally available to all other executives of the Company in the Office of the Chairman including any stock option or purchase plan, stock appreciation rights plan or any bonus or other incentive or equity compensation plan and any profit sharing, group medical, dental, disability, life insurance or other similar benefit plans, programs or benefits, excluding retiree benefits. In addition, Mr. Zweifach receives a car and ground transportation allowance in the amount of \$1,200 per month.

The employment agreement also provides for certain payments and benefits to Mr. Zweifach upon his separation from the Company. For a discussion of these provisions of the employment agreement, see Potential Payment Upon Termination below.

In addition, the employment agreement provides Mr. Zweifach with reimbursement for reasonable legal fees and disbursements incurred by Mr. Zweifach in connection with the negotiation and preparation of the employment agreement.

Table of Contents

Summary of Roger Ailes' s Employment Agreement

In November 2008, the Company and Mr. Roger Ailes entered into the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement. The term of this employment agreement is from November 20, 2008 to June 30, 2013. Under the terms of the Agreement, Mr. Ailes serves as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of FOX News Channel and FOX Business Network, Chairman of Fox Television Stations and Twentieth Television, and Editor-in-Chief of FoxNews.com.

Pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement, Mr. Ailes shall receive a base salary at an annual rate of \$5,000,000 and annual bonus of at least \$1,000,000 for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2010 and \$1,250,000 for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2011, June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2013. Mr. Ailes is also eligible to receive an annual FOX News Channel Bonus. The FOX News Channel Bonus payable to Mr. Ailes in fiscal 2012 ranged from \$0 to \$9.0 million, with the high end of the range increasing to \$10 million in fiscal 2013.

In addition, the employment agreement provides that Mr. Ailes is entitled to receive Bonus Stock upon the occurrence of each of the following events: (i) 250,000 shares when the EBITDA of the FOX Business Network is equal to or greater than breakeven, which was achieved in fiscal 2011, and (ii) 350,000 shares when the EBITDA of the FOX Business Network is equal to or greater than \$100,000,000.

Mr. Ailes' s employment agreement also provides that he is entitled to participate in any equity, profit-sharing, pension, group medical, dental, disability and life insurance and other similar benefit plans presently in effect or to be adopted by the Company that are applicable to the highest level of senior executives of the Company. In addition, Mr. Ailes is entitled to participate in, and the Company will pay for, group medical, dental, disability and life insurance and other similar benefit plans presently in effect or to be adopted by the Company that are applicable to the highest level of senior executives of the Company during his lifetime. Upon Mr. Ailes' s death, Mr. Ailes' s surviving spouse and eligible dependents will continue to be provided with Company health and welfare benefits under the same terms and conditions as are applicable to the highest level of senior executives of the Company.

The employment agreement provides Mr. Ailes with the use of an automobile and driver, use of the Company jet or charter jet for business travel and security services for Mr. Ailes and his family.

Mr. Ailes' s employment agreement also provides for certain payments and benefits upon his separation from the Company. For a discussion of these provisions of the employment agreement, see [Potential Payments Upon Termination](#) below.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at June 30, 2012**

The following table sets forth information with respect to each of the named executive officer's outstanding equity awards at June 30, 2012.

Name	Option Grant Date	Option Awards				Option Exercise Price ^(a)	Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards			
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Not Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Exercised Options	Number of Unearned Options			Number of Shares or Units That Have Not Vested ^(b)	Market Value of Shares or Units That Have Not Vested ^(c)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ^(c)
K. Rupert Murdoch							259,829	\$ 5,791,588	54,407	\$ 1,212,732	
Chase Carey							154,580	\$ 3,445,588	136,020	\$ 3,031,886	
James R. Murdoch							101,822	\$ 2,269,612	81,612	\$ 1,819,131	
David F. DeVoe							186,959	\$ 4,167,316	34,005	\$ 757,971	
Gerson Zweifach								\$ -	21,243	\$ 473,506	
Roger Ailes	08/11/2003	175,000	-	-	\$ 20.25	08/11/2013					

(a) The exercise prices of all stock options and SARs issued prior to fiscal 2005 are denominated in Australian dollars. The U.S. dollar exercise price included in the above table is based on the exchange rate of A\$1 to US\$1.02 on June 29, 2012 and is subject to change as the exchange rate between the Australian dollar and the U.S. dollar fluctuates.

(b) Represents unvested, cash-settled RSUs, which vest as follows:

Vesting Date	K. Rupert Murdoch	Chase Carey	James R. Murdoch	David F. DeVoe	Roger Ailes
08/15/2012	182,539	77,290	71,358	64,310	-
11/15/2012	-	-	-	95,419	-
08/15/2013	77,290	77,290	30,464	27,230	-

(c) Calculated using closing price of the Class A Common Stock as reported on NASDAQ on June 29, 2012 of \$22.29.

Options Exercised and Stock Vested during the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012

The following table sets forth information with respect to the exercise of stock options and stock appreciation rights and vesting of RSUs for each of the named executive officers during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise ^(a)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting
K. Rupert Murdoch	-	\$ -	253,244	\$ 4,110,150
Chase Carey	-	\$ -	77,290	\$ 1,254,417
James R. Murdoch	-	\$ -	87,901	\$ 1,426,633
David F. DeVoe	62,500	\$ 275,000	184,641	\$ 3,077,830
Gerson Zweifach	-	\$ -	-	\$ -

Edgar Filing: NEWS CORP - Form DEF 14A

Roger Ailes	175,000	\$ 456,511	250,000	\$ 4,057,500
-------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------

- (a) The value realized on exercise was calculated based on the difference between the exercise price of the stock options and stock appreciation rights and the sale of prices of the underlying shares of Class A Common Stock that were sold following the exercise.

Table of Contents**Pension Benefits at June 30, 2012**

The following table sets forth information with respect to each Company plan that provides payments in connection with retirement with respect to each of the named executive officers.

Name ⁽¹⁾	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit	Payments During Last Fiscal Year
K. Rupert Murdoch ⁽²⁾	Qualified Pension Plan ⁽⁴⁾	60	4,730,000	\$ 564,690
	Individual Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	60	69,151,000	-
			\$ 73,881,000	
Chase Carey ⁽³⁾	Qualified Pension Plan ⁽⁴⁾	20	402,000	\$ -
	News America Incorporated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	20	164,000	-
	Individual Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	23	19,138,000	-
			\$ 19,704,000	
James R. Murdoch	Qualified Pension Plan ^{(4) (5)}	8	94,000	\$ -
	News America Incorporated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ⁽⁵⁾	8	59,000	-
	Individual Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	16	7,552,000	-
			\$ 7,705,000	
David F. DeVoe	Qualified Pension Plan ⁽⁴⁾	29	1,314,000	\$ -
	News America Incorporated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	29	601,000	-
	Individual Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	29	14,871,000	-
			\$ 16,786,000	
Roger Ailes	Qualified Pension Plan ⁽⁴⁾	16	585,000	\$ -
	News America Incorporated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	16	274,000	-
	Individual Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	16	9,917,000	-
			\$ 10,776,000	

(1) Mr. Zweifach is not entitled to participate in the Company's pension plans because they are closed to new employees.

(2) Mr. K.R. Murdoch's pension benefits are primarily from the ISERA plan. The value of his benefit reflects his 60 years of service with the Company and, since the timing of benefits from this plan are subject to Internal Revenue Code Section 409A, Mr. K.R. Murdoch cannot commence his benefits until he retires. While his benefits are subject to the delay, the Company actuarially increases the amount of his benefits to maintain the value of benefits he has already earned. For fiscal 2012, there is an additional increase in the present value of his benefits due to the decrease in the discount rate used to value his future benefit payments.

(3) If Mr. Carey's employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by Mr. Carey with good reason, Mr. Carey is entitled to additional age and service credits when calculating his pension benefit. See "Description of Pension Benefits" below. The value of this benefit at June 30, 2012 is \$1.8 million.

(4) Qualified pension plan includes benefits earned under either the News America Incorporated Employees' Pension and Retirement Plan or the Fox Pension Plan or a combination of these plans.

(5) Mr. J. R. Murdoch did not receive additional credited service for the Qualified Pension Plan and the News America Incorporated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan when he worked outside of the United States.

Description of Pension Benefits

The Company sponsors the News America Incorporated Employees' Pension and Retirement Plan (the "Qualified Pension Plan") which provides retirement benefits to the eligible named executive officers and employees of certain U.S. subsidiaries. The Qualified Pension Plan is a broad-based, tax-qualified defined benefit plan for employees hired before January 1, 2008. Participation in the Qualified Pension Plan begins on January 1 or July 1 following the later of the date on which an eligible employee attains age 21 or completes one full year of service. Under the Qualified Pension Plan, participants become fully vested in their accrued benefit upon completion of five full years of service and are entitled to receive unreduced benefits upon retirement at age 65 or later. The benefit is paid in the form of a monthly annuity. The accrued benefit under the

Edgar Filing: NEWS CORP - Form DEF 14A

Qualified Pension Plan at normal retirement age for service after June 30, 1989 is equal to 1% of monthly compensation times years of service, plus 0.6% of average monthly compensation in excess of average covered compensation times years of service limited to 35 years (includes service prior to June 30, 1989 for limiting service). For service prior to June 30, 1989, the accrued benefit is the accrued benefit calculated under the prior plan formula and adjusted for the increase in average compensation. Average compensation is generally compensation reported on the

Table of Contents

participant's W-2 form during the participant's last 120 months of service, plus 401(k) plan or Section 125 deferrals, but does not include non-cash bonuses for any 60 consecutive months. The Company pays the entire cost of the benefits provided under the Qualified Pension Plan. Eligible compensation for purposes of the Qualified Pension Plan is limited by federal law.

In addition to the Qualified Pension Plan, the Company maintains the SERP, which provides benefits to employees who are participants in the Qualified Pension Plan but whose annual compensation exceeds the compensation limit of the Qualified Pension Plan (\$250,000 in 2012). With the exception of Messrs. K.R. Murdoch and Zweifach, each of the named executive officers participates in the SERP. The compensation limit for the SERP is capped at \$100,000 in excess of the Qualified Pension Limit (\$350,000 in 2012). The benefits of the SERP are calculated using the same formula as the Qualified Pension Plan. The SERP is a non-qualified plan for tax purposes and is funded using a grantor trust. The assets in the grantor trust are unsecured funds of the Company and could be used to satisfy the Company's obligations in the event of bankruptcy or insolvency.

Certain of the named executive officers also participate in the Company's ISERA, which provides enhanced benefits to a select group of the Company's top executives. The ISERA compensation limit is \$2.3 million for fiscal 2012. The benefit provided under the ISERA is unreduced for early retirement beginning at age 55 and is paid as 100% joint and surviving spouse annuity. This benefit is indexed annually at retirement to account for inflation. The ISERA also provides retirement health and life insurance benefits to the participating executives and their spouses. The ISERA is a non-qualified plan for tax purposes and is funded using a grantor trust. The assets in the grantor trust are unsecured funds of the Company and could be used to satisfy the Company's obligations in the event of bankruptcy or insolvency.

Messrs. Ailes and Carey have accrued benefits under the Fox Pension Plan which is another qualified pension plan. They accrued benefits under the Fox Pension Plan prior to participating under the Qualified Pension Plan. The Qualified Pension Plan counts Mr. Ailes's and Mr. Carey's service under the Fox Pension Plan. However, the benefit payable from the Qualified Pension Plan is reduced by Mr. Ailes's and Mr. Carey's accrued benefit under the Fox Pension Plan. Similar to the Qualified Pension Plan, the Fox Pension Plan is a broad-based, tax-qualified, defined benefit plan that provides retirement benefits to employees hired before January 1, 2008 of certain U.S. subsidiaries of the Company. Under the Fox Pension Plan, participants become fully vested in their accrued benefit upon completion of five years of service and are entitled to receive unreduced benefits upon retirement at age 65 or later. Participants retiring after age 55 with five years of service can receive an unreduced benefit at age 62. The benefit is paid in the form of a monthly annuity. The accrued benefit under the Fox Pension Plan at normal retirement age is equal to 1.2% of the final average compensation times years of service, plus 0.4% of final average compensation in excess of average wage base times years of service. Final average compensation is the highest base salary plus commission during the five calendar years in the ten most recent calendar years divided by the lesser of 60 or the participant's months of credited service. The Company pays the entire cost of the benefits provided under the Fox Pension Plan. Eligible compensation for purposes of the Fox Pension Plan is limited by federal law.

Pursuant to the Carey Amended Agreement, Mr. Carey is entitled to additional pension benefits in the event of his termination by the Company without Cause or his resignation for Good Reason during the term of the applicable agreement. The additional pension benefit adds the greater of 36 months or the number of months remaining in the term of the applicable employment agreement to his age and service credit in calculating his pension benefit. For example, if this provision was triggered during the fiscal year ended on June 30, 2012, Mr. Carey would receive a pension that would include 36 additional months of age and service credit from the fiscal year-end trigger date. The additional pension would be paid as long as either Mr. Carey or his spouse are alive. The present value of this additional benefit as of June 30, 2012 is \$1.8 million, determined using the same assumptions used to value the amount shown on the Pension Benefits Table above.

The material assumptions, except for assumed retirement age, used to quantify the present value of accumulated benefits for each named executive officer in the table above are set forth in Note 16 to the

Table of Contents

Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, including the discount rate of 4.25% and mortality assumption of RP 2000 projected to 2018. The assumed retirement age for Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, Carey, DeVoe and Ailes is their respective current age as they are each currently entitled to unreduced pension benefits under the ISERA. For Mr. J.R. Murdoch, the assumed retirement age is 55, the age he is entitled to receive unreduced benefits from the ISERA.

Potential Payments Upon Termination

As discussed under "Employment Arrangements" above, the employment agreements of each of Messrs. Carey, DeVoe, Zweifach and Ailes provide for certain payments and benefits upon their respective separation from the Company. In addition, the letter agreements of each of Messrs. K.R. Murdoch, J.R. Murdoch and DeVoe contain certain termination provisions relating to their Annual Bonuses and PSUs. These provisions are summarized below.

Chase Carey

If Mr. Carey's employment is terminated during the term of the Carey Amended Agreement due to his death or Disability (as defined in the Carey Amended Agreement), Mr. Carey is entitled to receive:

- (1) his base salary accrued through the date of termination;
- (2) any Annual Bonus payable but not yet paid in respect of any fiscal year or other period ending prior to the date of termination;
- (3) SERP and ISERA benefits;
- (4) continued lifetime health and welfare benefits for Mr. Carey and his surviving spouse;
- (5) full acceleration and exercisability of any RSU awards granted to Mr. Carey in August 2010;
- (6) his base salary for the 24 month period after the date of termination;
- (7) a pro-rata portion of the Annual Bonus he would have earned for then-current fiscal year if such termination had not occurred, calculated based on the pre-determined target Annual Bonus amount established by the Compensation Committee at the beginning of such fiscal year; and
- (8) if such termination event occurs within the second or third fiscal year of any applicable performance period, the full value of any PSU Award calculated and paid at the end of the applicable performance period as if no termination occurred.

If Mr. Carey's employment is terminated by the Company for Cause (as defined in the Carey Amended Agreement) or by Mr. Carey without Good Reason (as defined in the Carey Amended Agreement), Mr. Carey is entitled to receive the benefits described in clauses (1) through (4) above, plus:

a pro-rata portion of the Annual Bonus he would have earned for the then-current fiscal year if such termination had not occurred, calculated based solely on the Compensation Committee's assessment of the Company's financial and operating performance as compared to the Company's annual budget; and

if such termination event occurs within the second or third fiscal year of any applicable performance period, a pro-rata portion of any PSU Award he would have been entitled to receive, calculated and paid at the end of the applicable performance period as if no termination occurred.

If Mr. Carey's employment is terminated during the term of the Carey Amended Agreement by the Company without Cause or by Mr. Carey with Good Reason, Mr. Carey is entitled to receive the benefits described in clauses (1), (2), (3), (5) and (8) above, plus:

a severance payment consisting of:

- i. a lump sum cash amount of \$16.2 million plus an amount equal to the Annual Bonus he received for the fiscal year ended immediately prior to the date of termination if Mr. Carey's employment is terminated on or after July 1, 2011 and prior to July 1, 2013; or

Table of Contents

- ii. a lump sum cash amount of \$8.1 million plus an amount equal to the Annual Bonus he received for the fiscal year ended immediately prior to the date of termination if Mr. Carey's employment is terminated on or after July 1, 2013 and prior June 30, 2014.

a pro-rata portion of the Annual Bonus he would have earned for the then-current fiscal year if such termination had not occurred, calculated based solely on the Compensation Committee's assessment of the Company's financial and operating performance as compared to the Company's annual budget; and continued medical, disability, dental and life insurance coverage for Mr. Carey and his eligible dependents on the same basis as in effect immediately prior to his termination of employment though the third anniversary of the date of termination.

With respect to the PSU Award, in the event of any type of termination that occurs on or prior to the last day of the first fiscal year of any applicable performance period, the entire award will be forfeited. Any PSU Award granted to Mr. Carey more than one year prior to the expiration of the Carey Amended Agreement would be payable at the end of the applicable performance period.

David F. DeVoe

Pursuant to the DeVoe Agreement and the DeVoe Letter Agreement, during any period that Mr. DeVoe fails to perform his duties as a result of Disability (as defined in the DeVoe Letter Agreement), Mr. DeVoe is entitled to:

continue to receive his full base salary during the period of disability;
the Benefits or payments on account of the Benefits until Mr. DeVoe returns to his duties or until Mr. DeVoe's employment is terminated;
a minimum Annual Bonus equal to the average of the two immediately preceding annual bonuses paid to Mr. DeVoe;
any Annual Bonus payable but not yet paid in respect of any fiscal year prior to the date of termination;
a pro-rata portion of the Annual Bonus he would have earned for then-current fiscal year if such termination had not occurred, calculated based on the pre-determined target Annual Bonus amount established by the Compensation Committee at the beginning of such fiscal year; and
if such termination event occurs within the second or third fiscal year of any applicable performance period, the full value of any PSU Award calculated and paid at the end of the applicable performance period as if no termination occurred.

If Mr. DeVoe's employment is terminated by reason of his death, the Company will pay directly to his surviving spouse or legal representative of his estate:

one year's base salary;
for one year following the date of death, the Benefits or payments on account of the Benefits;
any amounts pursuant to any pension or employee benefit plan or life insurance policy then provided to Mr. DeVoe or maintained by the Company;
a minimum Annual Bonus equal to the average of the two immediately preceding annual bonuses paid to Mr. DeVoe;
any Annual Bonus payable but not yet paid in respect of any fiscal year prior to the date of termination;
a pro-rata portion of the Annual Bonus he would have earned for then-current fiscal year if such termination had not occurred, calculated based on the pre-determined target Annual Bonus amount established by the Compensation Committee at the beginning of such fiscal year; and
if such termination event occurs within the second or third fiscal year of any applicable performance period, the full value of any PSU Award calculated and paid at the end of the applicable performance period as if no termination occurred.

Table of Contents

If Mr. DeVoe's employment is terminated by the Company for Cause (as defined in the DeVoe Letter Agreement) or by Mr. DeVoe without Good Reason (as defined in the DeVoe Letter Agreement), Mr. DeVoe will be entitled to receive:

his full base salary through the date of termination;
the Benefits or payment on account of the Benefits through the date of termination;
any Annual Bonus payable but not yet paid in respect of any fiscal year prior to the date of termination;
if such termination is by the Company for Cause, the greater of (i) a pro-rata portion of the Annual Bonus he would have earned for the then-current fiscal year if such termination had not occurred, calculated based solely on the Compensation Committee's assessment of the Company's financial and operating performance as compared to the Company's annual budget or (ii) a minimum Annual Bonus equal to the average of the two immediately preceding annual bonuses paid to Mr. DeVoe;
if such termination is by Mr. DeVoe without Good Reason, a pro-rata portion of the Annual Bonus he would have earned for the then-current fiscal year if such termination had not occurred, calculated based solely on the Compensation Committee's assessment of the Company's financial and operating performance as compared to the Company's annual budget; and
if such termination event occurs within the second or third fiscal year of any applicable performance period, a pro-rata portion of any PSU Award he would have been entitled to receive, calculated and paid at the end of the applicable performance period.

If Mr. DeVoe's employment is terminated by the Company without Cause or by Mr. DeVoe with Good Reason, Mr. DeVoe will be entitled to receive:

his full base salary through the term of the DeVoe Agreement;
the Benefits or payment on account of the Benefits through the term of the DeVoe Agreement;
any Annual Bonus payable but not yet paid in respect of any fiscal year prior to the date of termination;
for the year of termination, the greater of (i) a pro-rata portion of the Annual Bonus he would have earned for the then-current fiscal year if such termination had not occurred, calculated based solely on the Compensation Committee's assessment of the Company's financial and operating performance as compared to the Company's annual budget or (ii) a minimum Annual Bonus equal to the average of the two immediately preceding annual bonuses paid to Mr. DeVoe to be paid for each year through the term of the DeVoe Agreement;
for each remaining year of the term of the DeVoe Agreement following the year of termination, a minimum Annual Bonus equal to the average of the two immediately preceding annual bonuses paid to Mr. DeVoe; and
if such termination event occurs within the second or third fiscal year of any applicable performance period, the full value of any PSU Award calculated and paid at the end of the applicable performance period as if no termination occurred.

In addition, the DeVoe Agreement provides that Mr. DeVoe will not be required to seek or accept other employment for the term of such agreement and any amounts earned from any other employment for the term of the agreement will not reduce or otherwise affect the payments due to Mr. DeVoe.

With respect to the PSU Award, in the event of any type of termination that occurs on or prior to the last day of the first fiscal year of any applicable performance period, the entire award will be forfeited. If Mr. DeVoe's employment is terminated due to Retirement (as defined in the DeVoe Letter Agreement) within the second or third fiscal years of any applicable performance period, he will be entitled to receive the full value of any PSU Award calculated and paid at the end of the applicable performance period as if no termination had occurred.

The DeVoe Agreement provides that, if, in the future, the Company, NAI or FEG enters into agreements with their senior executives for the purpose of providing such executives with severance benefits in the event of a

Table of Contents

change of control of the Company, NAI or FEG, then the Company, NAI or FEG will enter into an agreement with Mr. DeVoe which affords him comparable benefits. To date, none of the Company, NAI or FEG has entered into such agreements.

Gerson Zweifach

Pursuant to his employment agreement, during any period that Mr. Zweifach fails to perform his duties as a result of incapacity and disability due to physical or mental illness, or by reason of his death, Mr. Zweifach is entitled to, or the Company will pay directly to his surviving spouse or legal representative of his estate:

continue to receive his full base salary until Mr. Zweifach returns to his duties or until one year following his termination;
continue to be provided with health and welfare benefits for one year;
any annual bonus payable but not yet paid with respect to any fiscal year prior to the date of termination;
a pro-rata portion of the annual bonus he would have earned for the fiscal year of termination had no termination occurred, calculated based on the pre-determined minimum annual bonus amount and based on the number of days he was employed by the Company in the fiscal year during which his employment terminated compared to the total number of days in such fiscal year;
the full value of the PSU Award for the fiscal 2012-2014 performance period calculated and paid at the end of the performance period as if no termination had occurred (the 2012-2014 PSU Award); and
if such termination occurs on or after February 1, 2015 and is on or prior to the last day of the fiscal 2013-2015 performance period or the fiscal 2014-2016 performance period, a pro-rata portion of the PSU Award for the applicable performance period with the award reduced by a fraction (the numerator of which is the full months of employment completed by Mr. Zweifach and the denominator of which is 36) provided Mr. Zweifach has completed 12 months of employment in the applicable performance period (the Pro-Rata PSU Award).

If Mr. Zweifach's employment is terminated by the Company for Cause (as defined in his employment agreement) or by Mr. Zweifach upon four weeks' prior written notice to the Company, Mr. Zweifach will be entitled to receive:

his full base salary through the date of termination;
any annual bonus payable but not yet paid with respect to any fiscal year prior to the date of termination;
if such termination occurs on or after January 31, 2015, the full value of the PSU Award for the fiscal 2012-2014 performance period calculated and paid on the same basis as pertain to other named executive officers, with such payment to be made on February 13, 2015; and
only in the event of termination by Mr. Zweifach upon four weeks' prior written notice to the Company, the Pro-Rata PSU Award.

If Mr. Zweifach's employment is terminated by the Company without Cause or by Mr. Zweifach for good reason (as outlined in Section 9(a) of his agreement), Mr. Zweifach will be entitled to receive:

his full base salary through the term of the employment agreement but, in all events, one year's base salary; provided that no such payments shall be made solely by reason of the expiration of the employment agreement;
any annual bonus payable but not yet paid with respect to any fiscal year prior to the date of termination;
the 2012-2014 PSU Award;
the Pro-Rata PSU Award.

In addition, the agreement provides that if Mr. Zweifach is terminated by the Company without Cause or by Mr. Zweifach with good reason, he will not be required to seek or accept other employment for the term of such agreement and any amounts earned from any other employment for the term of the agreement will not reduce or otherwise affect the payments due to Mr. Zweifach.

Table of Contents

The agreement provides that, if, in the future, the Company or NAI enters into change in control agreements with their named executive officers, then Mr. Zweifach shall be entitled to the benefit of such provisions. To date, neither the Company nor NAI has entered into such agreements.

Roger Ailes

Pursuant to his employment agreement, if Mr. Ailes's employment is terminated by reason of his death, his estate or beneficiaries will be entitled to:

- (1) his base salary through the date of death;
- (2) the full minimum annual bonus for the fiscal year in which his death occurs and one-half of the minimum annual bonus for the next fiscal year;
- (3) the right to exercise any stock options, including any unvested stock options which will immediately vest as of the date of death, for a period of 12 months following the date of death;
- (4) payment of any unvested RSUs and Bonus Stock (as described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Annual Performance-Based Compensation above); and
- (5) any other or additional benefits, including SERP and ISERA payments, in accordance with applicable plans or programs of the Company.

If Mr. Ailes's employment is terminated by reason of his Disability (as defined in his employment agreement), he will be entitled to receive the benefits described in clauses (2) through (5) above, plus;

his base salary through the one year anniversary of the date of termination; and continued participation for life in medical, dental, hospitalization and life insurance coverage and in all other employee plans and programs in which he was participating on the date of termination in accordance with the terms of such plans.

If Mr. Ailes's employment is terminated for Cause (as defined in his employment agreement), Mr. Ailes will be entitled to:

his base salary through the date of termination; and any other or additional benefits in accordance with applicable plans and programs of the Company.

If Mr. Ailes resigns for Good Reason (as defined in his employment agreement) or if Mr. Ailes's employment is terminated other than for death, Disability or Cause, he will be entitled to:

his base salary plus the applicable minimum annual bonus for the period from the date of termination to the end of the original term of the employment agreement (discounted 8% per annum); a payment equal to one-half of each of the high end target FOX News Channel Bonus payments for the period from the date of termination to the end of the original term of the employment agreement; the right to exercise any stock options, including any unvested stock options which will immediately vest as of the date of termination, for a period of 12 months following the date of termination; payment of any unvested RSUs and Bonus Stock; and SERP and ISERA payments in accordance with the terms of those plans.

K. Rupert Murdoch and James R. Murdoch

Pursuant to the terms of the KRM Letter Agreement and JRM Letter Agreement, if the respective employment of Messrs. K.R. Murdoch or J.R. Murdoch is terminated due to death or Disability (as defined in the applicable letter agreement), they would respectively be entitled to receive:

any Annual Bonus payable but not yet paid in respect of any fiscal year prior to the date of termination;

Edgar Filing: NEWS CORP - Form DEF 14A

a pro-rata portion of the Annual Bonus he would have earned for then-current fiscal year if such termination had not occurred, calculated based on the pre-determined target Annual Bonus amount established by the Compensation Committee at the beginning of such fiscal year; and

Table of Contents

if such termination event occurs within the second or third fiscal year of any applicable performance period, the full value of any PSU Award calculated and paid at the end of the applicable performance period as if no termination occurred.

If Messrs. K.R. Murdoch or J.R. Murdoch's employment is terminated for Cause (as defined in the applicable letter agreement), or in the case of Mr. J.R. Murdoch, without Good Reason (as defined in the applicable letter agreement), they would respectively be entitled to receive:

any Annual Bonus payable but not yet paid in respect of any fiscal year prior to the date of termination;
a pro-rata portion of the Annual Bonus he would have earned for the then-current fiscal year if such termination had not occurred, calculated based solely on the Compensation Committee's assessment of the Company's financial and operating performance as compared to the Company's annual budget; and

if such termination event occurs within the second or third fiscal year of any applicable performance period, a pro-rata portion of any PSU Award he would have been entitled to receive, calculated and paid at the end of the applicable performance period.

If Messrs. K.R. Murdoch or J.R. Murdoch's employment is terminated without Cause, due to Retirement (as defined in the applicable letter agreement), or in the case of Mr. J.R. Murdoch, with Good Reason, they would respectively be entitled to receive:

any Annual Bonus payable but not yet paid in respect of any fiscal year prior to the date of termination;
a pro-rata portion of the Annual Bonus he would have earned for the then-current fiscal year if such termination had not occurred, calculated based solely on the Compensation Committee's assessment of the Company's financial and operating performance as compared to the Company's annual budget; and

if such termination event occurs within the second or third fiscal year of any applicable performance period, the full value of any PSU Award calculated and paid at the end of the applicable performance period as if no termination occurred.

With respect to the PSU Award, in the event of any type of termination that occurs on or prior to the last day of the first fiscal year of any applicable performance period, the entire award will be forfeited.

Table of Contents*Quantification of Payments*

The following table sets forth quantitative information with respect to potential payments to each of the named executive officers or their beneficiaries upon termination in various circumstances as described above, assuming termination on June 30, 2012. The amounts included in the table below do not include amounts otherwise due and owing to each applicable named executive officer, such as salary or annual bonus earned to date, or payments or benefits generally available to all salaried employees of the Company.

The amounts presented in the table below are in addition to each of the named executive officer's vested pension benefits as of June 30, 2012 noted in the Pension Benefits Table above.

Name	Death	Disability	Retirement	Type of Termination		By Executive with Good Reason	By Executive without Good Reason
				By Company for Cause	By Company without Cause		
K. Rupert Murdoch^(a)							
Health Benefits	\$ 2,254,000	\$ 2,254,000	\$ 2,254,000	\$ 2,254,000	\$ 2,254,000	n/a	\$ 2,254,000
Equity Awards	13,119,790	7,328,201	13,119,790	4,836,613	7,328,201		-
	\$ 15,373,790	\$ 9,582,201	\$ 15,373,790	\$ 7,090,613	\$ 9,582,201		\$ 2,254,000
Chase Carey							
Salary	\$ 8,100,000	\$ 8,100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Bonus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equity Awards	21,766,118	21,766,118	-	12,091,550	21,766,118	21,766,118	12,091,550
Health Benefits	1,625,000	1,625,000	1,625,000	1,625,000	1,625,000	1,625,000	1,625,000
Severance ^(b)	-	-	-	-	24,540,000	24,540,000	-
	\$ 31,491,118	\$ 31,491,118	\$ 1,625,000	\$ 13,716,550	\$ 47,931,118	\$ 47,931,118	\$ 13,716,550
James R. Murdoch^(a)							
Equity Awards	\$ 13,261,923	\$ 10,922,311	\$ -	\$ 7,254,925	\$ 10,922,311	n/a	\$ 7,254,925
David F. DeVoe							
Salary	\$ 2,853,750	\$ 2,853,750	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Bonus	4,585,000	4,585,000	-	-	-	-	-
Equity Awards	8,747,417	8,747,417	8,747,417	3,022,867	8,747,417	8,747,417	3,022,867
Health Benefits	1,508,000	1,508,000	1,508,000	1,508,000	1,508,000	1,508,000	1,508,000
Severance	-	-	-	4,585,000	17,667,031	17,667,031	-
	\$ 17,694,167	\$ 17,694,167	\$ 10,255,417	\$ 9,115,867	\$ 27,922,448	\$ 27,922,448	\$ 4,530,867
Gerson Zweifach							
Salary	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Bonus	2,250,000	2,250,000	-	-	-	-	-
Equity Awards	4,503,856	4,503,856	-	-	4,503,856	4,503,856	625,536
Health Benefits	62,000	62,000	-	-	-	-	-
Severance	-	-	-	-	7,750,000	7,750,000	-
	\$ 9,815,856	\$ 9,815,856	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,253,856	\$ 12,253,856	\$ 625,536
Roger Ailes							
Salary	\$ -	\$ 5,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Bonus	1,250,000	1,250,000	-	-	-	-	-
Equity Awards	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Health Benefits	1,905,000	1,905,000	1,905,000	1,905,000	1,905,000	1,905,000	1,905,000
Severance	-	-	-	-	10,787,037	10,787,037	-
	\$ 3,155,000	\$ 8,155,000	\$ 1,905,000	\$ 1,905,000	\$ 12,692,037	\$ 12,692,037	\$ 1,905,000

(a) Messrs. K.R. Murdoch and J.R. Murdoch are not party to an employment agreement.

(b) Represents amount Mr. Carey would be entitled to if his employment was terminated by the Company without cause or by Mr. Carey with good reason on June 30, 2012. For severance benefits following such date, please refer to the narrative section of "Potential Payments Upon Termination" above.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

Directors' fees are not paid to Directors who are executives or employees of the Company (the "Executive Directors") because the responsibilities of Board membership are considered in determining compensation paid as part of the executives' normal employment conditions.

The basic fees payable to the Directors who are not executives of the Company (collectively, the "Non-Executive Directors") are reviewed and recommended by the Compensation Committee of the Board (the "Compensation Committee") and set by the Board. The Compensation Committee periodically reviews director compensation against the Company's peers and other comparably sized Standard & Poor's 500 companies and considers the appropriateness of the form and amount of director compensation and makes recommendations to the Board concerning director compensation with a view toward attracting and retaining qualified Directors. The Company believes that compensation for Non-Executive Directors should be competitive and fairly reflect the work and skills required for a company of News Corporation's size and complexity. The Company also believes that Non-Executive Director compensation should include equity-based compensation in order to align Directors' interests with the long-term interests of stockholders.

During fiscal 2012, the Non-Executive Directors were Messrs. Aznar, Barnes, Breyer, Cowley, Dinh, Knight, L.K. Murdoch, Perkins, Thornton, Sir Roderick Eddington and Ms. Bancroft. The annual retainers paid to Non-Executive Directors for service on the Board and its committees in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 and for the upcoming fiscal year is set forth in the table below.

Board and Committee Retainers for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012

Annual Cash Retainer	\$ 100,000
Annual Deferred Stock Unit (DSU) Retainer	\$ 140,000
Audit Committee Chair Annual Retainer	\$ 27,000
Compensation Committee Chair Annual Retainer	\$ 16,000
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Chair Annual Retainer	\$ 16,000
Audit Committee Member Annual Retainer	\$ 16,000
Compensation Committee Member Annual Retainer	\$ 11,000
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Member Annual Retainer	\$ 11,000

The value of the Class A Common Stock underlying each DSU will be paid to the respective Non-Executive Director in cash at the market value of the Class A Common Stock on the fifth anniversary date of when it was credited to that Director's account, unless that Director leaves the Board before that date. Upon a Non-Executive Director's end of service on the Board, such Director will be paid in cash the value of the shares of Class A Common Stock credited to his or her account at the market value of those shares of Class A Common Stock as of the date of the Director's end of service.

In addition, all Non-Executive Directors are reimbursed for reasonable travel and other out-of-pocket business expenses incurred in connection with attendance at meetings of the Board and its committees.

Table of Contents

The table below shows the total compensation paid during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 by the Company to each of the Directors who are not named executive officers:

Director Compensation for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012

Director	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards ^(a)	Option Awards	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ^(b)	All Other Compensation	Total
José María Aznar	\$ 107,639	\$ 140,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 247,639
Natalie Bancroft	\$ 107,639	\$ 140,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 247,639
Peter L. Barnes	\$ 123,639	\$ 140,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 263,639
James W. Breyer ^(c)	\$ 84,722	\$ 97,222	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 181,944
Kenneth E. Cowley AO ^(d)	\$ 34,225	\$ 43,167	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 77,392
Viet Dinh	\$ 141,472	\$ 140,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 281,472
Sir Roderick I. Eddington	\$ 154,000	\$ 140,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 294,000
Joel Klein	n/a	\$ 1,012,341 ^(e)	n/a	n/a	\$ 3,500,000 ^(f)	\$ 4,512,341
Andrew S.B. Knight	\$ 143,000	\$ 140,000	n/a	n/a	\$ 19,002 ^(g)	\$ 302,002
Lachlan K. Murdoch	\$ 100,000	\$ 140,000	n/a	\$ 2,420,000	n/a	\$ 2,660,000
Thomas J. Perkins ^(d)	\$ 42,550	\$ 43,167	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 85,717
Arthur M. Siskind	n/a	\$ 2,636,875 ^(h)	n/a	\$ 1,166,000	\$ 1,355,748 ⁽ⁱ⁾	\$ 5,158,623
John L. Thornton	\$ 122,000	\$ 140,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 262,000

- (a) The amounts set forth in the Stock Awards column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards granted during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.
- (b) Certain Directors were previously employees of the Company and have vested pension benefits due to them. The values reported are theoretical as those amounts are calculated pursuant to SEC requirements and are based on a retirement assumption of age 55 or current age, if later, and other assumptions used in preparing the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011. The change in pension value in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 was primarily due to a reduction in prevailing interest rates in the credit markets. The discount rate used pursuant to pension accounting rules to calculate the present value of future payments decreased from 5.75% for fiscal 2011 to 4.25% for fiscal 2012 driving the substantial increases in the present value of future payments reported for fiscal 2012. However, the increase in pension value resulting from the change in the discount rate does not result in any increase in the benefits payable to participants under the plan.
- (c) Mr. Breyer was elected to be a director of the Company on October 21, 2011.
- (d) Kenneth E. Cowley AO and Thomas J. Perkins were not nominated for re-election to the Board at the 2011 annual meeting. Each Director held office until October 21, 2011.
- (e) Represents stock awards granted to Mr. Klein for service as an Executive Vice President, Office of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Education Division of the Company. Mr. Klein does not receive any additional compensation for his service as a member of the Board.
- (f) Includes a salary of \$2,000,000 paid and an annual bonus for fiscal 2012 of \$1,500,000 awarded to Mr. Klein.
- (g) Represents fees of £12,000 (US\$19,002) paid to Mr. Knight for his service on the board of directors of Times Newspapers Holdings Limited, a subsidiary of the Company. The U.S. dollar amount presented is based on an average exchange rate of £1 to US\$1.58 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.
- (h) Represents stock awards granted to Mr. Siskind for service as Senior Advisor to the Chairman of the Company. Mr. Siskind does not receive any additional compensation for his service as a member of the Board.
- (i) Includes \$1,200,000 paid to Mr. Siskind for service as Senior Advisor to the Chairman of the Company, the Company's contributions to Mr. Siskind's 401(k) plan in the amount of \$8,750, and, pursuant to Mr. Siskind's employment agreement, Company contributions to a non-qualified deferred compensation account in the amount of \$124,479 and car-related expenses in the amount of \$22,519.

Mr. Stanley S. Shuman, who served as a Director from 1982 to 2005, was named Director Emeritus in 2005. Mr. Shuman receives the same director fees as other Non-Executive Directors, and may attend Board and committee meetings but may not vote on Board or committee matters.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information with respect to the aggregate outstanding equity awards at June 30, 2012 of each of the Directors who were Directors as of June 30, 2012 and who are not named executive officers, which include cash-settled deferred stock units, vested and unexercised stock options, vested stock appreciation rights and unvested, cash-settled RSUs.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested
José María Aznar	-	-	44,208
Natalie Bancroft	-	-	42,067
Peter L. Barnes	-	-	44,208
James W. Breyer	-	-	5,246
Kenneth E. Cowley	-	-	-
Viet Dinh	-	-	44,208
Sir Roderick I. Eddington	6,000	-	44,208
Joel Klein	-	-	-
Andrew S.B. Knight	6,000	-	44,208
Lachlan K. Murdoch	375,000	-	44,208
Thomas J. Perkins	6,000	-	-
Arthur M. Siskind	250,000	-	135,201
John L. Thornton	-	-	44,208

Table of Contents

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The following Report of the Audit Committee shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the SEC under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act or incorporated by reference in any document so filed.

In accordance with its written charter, the Audit Committee assists the Board in its oversight of (i) the integrity of the Company's financial statements and the Company's financial reporting processes and systems of internal control, (ii) the qualifications, independence and performance of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and the performance of the Company's corporate auditors and corporate audit function, (iii) the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements involving financial, accounting and internal control matters, (iv) investigations into complaints concerning financial matters, (v) risks that may have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements and (vi) the review, approval and ratification of transactions with related parties. The Audit Committee provides an avenue of communication among management, the independent registered public accounting firm, the corporate auditors and the Board. Management has the primary responsibility for the preparation of the Company's financial statements and the reporting process, including the system of internal control over financial reporting. The independent registered public accounting firm has the responsibility for the audit of those financial statements and internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee's responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes.

In discharging its oversight responsibility as to the audit process, the Audit Committee (i) obtained from the independent registered public accounting firm a formal written statement describing all relationships between the independent registered public accounting firm and the Company that might bear on the independent registered public accounting firm's independence and affirming its independence consistent with applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, (ii) discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm, which documented the discussion, any relationships that may impact the firm's objectivity and independence, and (iii) considered whether the non-audit services provided to the Company by E&Y are compatible with maintaining the accountants' independence. The Audit Committee reviewed with both the independent registered public accounting firm and the corporate auditors their identification of audit risks, audit plans and audit scope. The Audit Committee discussed with management, the independent registered public accounting firm and the corporate auditors the corporate audit function's organization, responsibilities, budget and staffing.

The Audit Committee also discussed and reviewed with the independent registered public accounting firm all communications required by generally accepted auditing standards, including those described in Statement on Auditing Standards No. 114, "The Auditor's Communication With Those Charged With Governance." The Audit Committee met with each of the independent registered public accounting firm and the corporate auditors, both with management present and in private sessions without management present, to discuss and review the results of the independent registered public accounting firm's audit of the financial statements, including the independent registered public accounting firm's evaluation of the accounting principles, practices and judgments applied by management, the results of the corporate audit activities and the quality and adequacy of the Company's internal controls.

The Audit Committee discussed the interim financial information contained in each of the quarterly earnings announcements with Company management and the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee also reviewed the audited financial statements of the Company as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 with management and the independent registered public accounting firm.

At three of its meetings during fiscal year 2012 and one meeting during fiscal year 2013, the Audit Committee met with members of management, the independent registered public accounting firm and the corporate auditors to review the fiscal 2012 certifications provided by the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief

Table of Contents

Financial Officer under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, the respective rules and regulations of the SEC and the overall certification process. At these meetings, management reviewed with the Committee each of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act certification requirements including whether there were any (i) significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information, and (ii) any fraud, whether or not material, involving management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Based on the above-mentioned review and discussions with management, the independent registered public accounting firm and the corporate auditors, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the Company's audited financial statements be included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, for filing with the SEC. The Audit Committee also recommended the reappointment, subject to stockholder ratification, of E&Y as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, and the Board concurred in such recommendation.

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE:

Sir Roderick I. Eddington (Chairman)

Peter Barnes

Viet Dinh

Andrew S.B. Knight

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Arrangements between News Corporation and Certain Stockholders or Stockholder-Related Entities

The Company has an approximate 19% interest in Rotana Holding FZ-LLC (Rotana), a diversified media company in the Middle East and North Africa. Rotana is controlled by HRH Prince Alwaleed Bin Talal Bin Abdulaziz Alsaud, who is a more than 5% stockholder of the Company. The Company purchased half of this investment in fiscal 2010 for approximately \$70 million and then exercised its option to purchase the other half of the investment for two equal payments of \$35 million made in fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2012. The Company also has an option to sell its interest in Rotana in February 2015 at the higher of the price per share based on a bona fide sale offer or the original subscription price.

In April 2008, the Company entered into a ten-year arrangement with Rotana Media Services, a subsidiary of Rotana, to license two English-language, free-to-air general entertainment channels from the Company for distribution in the Middle East.

Arrangements between News Corporation and Directors or Director-Related Persons or Entities

Directors of News Corporation and Directors of its related parties, or their Director-related entities, conduct transactions with subsidiaries of News Corporation that occur within a normal employee, customer or supplier relationship on terms and conditions that are believed to be no more favorable than those with which it is reasonable to expect the entity would have adopted if dealing with the Director or Director-related entity at arm's length in similar circumstances.

Ms. Natalie Bancroft was appointed as a Director pursuant to the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated July 31, 2007, by and among the Company, Ruby Newco LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, Dow Jones & Company, Inc. and Merger Sub Corporation, as amended (the Dow Jones Merger Agreement), whereby the Board agreed to take all requisite action to cause a member of the Bancroft family or another mutually agreed upon individual to become a member of the Board. In connection with the Dow Jones Merger Agreement, the Company also entered into a ten year voting and support agreement with certain members of the Bancroft family and trustees of trusts for their benefit. This voting and support agreement specified the procedures for the nomination of appointment and the election of a Bancroft family member to a seat on the Board. In addition, Mr. K.R. Murdoch and the Murdoch Family Trust have entered into a separate letter agreement with the Company obligating them, for a period of ten years expiring in 2018, to vote in favor of the election of the initial Bancroft director and any successor Bancroft director to the Board in accordance with the terms of the voting and support agreement at any meeting of the Company's stockholders at which the initial Bancroft director or any successor stands for election.

Freud Communications, which is controlled by Matthew Freud, Mr. K.R. Murdoch's son-in-law, provided external support to certain press and publicity activities of the Company during fiscal 2012. The fees paid by the Company to Freud Communications were approximately \$195,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

Mrs. Prudence MacLeod is the daughter of Mr. K.R. Murdoch and is a member of the Board of Directors of Advertiser Newspapers, a subsidiary of the Company, and a member of the Board of Directors of Times Newspapers Holding Limited, a subsidiary of the Company. She receives customary director fees for such services. Mr. David F. DeVoe Jr. is the son of Mr. David F. DeVoe, a Director and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, and is a salaried employee of News America Incorporated, a subsidiary of the Company. During fiscal 2012, Ms. Elisabeth Murdoch, a daughter of Mr. K.R. Murdoch and sister of Messrs. Lachlan and J.R. Murdoch, served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Shine Limited, a subsidiary of the Company, and received a salary of \$1.7 million per year and a bonus of \$2,125,000. As of September 2012, Ms. Murdoch is no longer an employee of Shine Limited and accordingly does not receive a salary, but she serves as the non-executive Chairman of Shine Limited.

Table of Contents

Policy for Evaluating Related Party Transactions

The Audit Committee has established procedures for the review, approval or ratification of related party transactions. Pursuant to these procedures, the Audit Committee reviews and approves (i) all related party transactions when and if required to do so by applicable rules and regulations, (ii) all transactions between the Company or any of its subsidiaries and any of the Company's executive officers, Directors, Director nominees, Directors emeritus or any of their immediate family members and (iii) all transactions between the Company or any of its subsidiaries and any security holder who is known by the Company to own of record or beneficially more than five percent of any class of the Company's voting securities, other than transactions that (a) have an aggregate dollar amount or value of less than \$120,000 (either individually or in combination with a series of related transactions) and (b) are made in the ordinary course of business of the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, and such related party.

During fiscal 2012, all of the transactions described in this section that were subject to the Audit Committee's policies and procedures described above were reviewed and approved or ratified by the Audit Committee or the Board.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires that the Company's Directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company's equity securities, file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC and NASDAQ. Directors, officers and beneficial owners of more than 10% of the Common Stock are required by the SEC to furnish the Company with copies of the reports they file.

We believe that all of our current and former Directors and executive officers reported on a timely basis all transactions required to be reported by Section 16(a) during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

The Company filed its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012 with the SEC on August 14, 2012. The Annual Report on Form 10-K, including all exhibits, can also be found on the Company's website: www.newscorp.com and can be downloaded free of charge. Paper copies of the Annual Report on Form 10-K may be obtained without charge from the Company, and paper copies of exhibits to the Annual Report on Form 10-K are available, but a reasonable fee per page will be charged to the requesting stockholder. Stockholders may make requests in writing to the attention of the Company's Investor Relations Office by mail at News Corporation, 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10036, by telephone at (212) 852-7059 or by email at investor@newscorp.com.

2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

If you wish to submit a proposal to be presented at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act, your proposal must be received in writing by the Corporate Secretary of the Company at the principal executive offices at News Corporation, 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10036 no later than May 7, 2013 and must otherwise comply with the requirements of Rule 14a-8 in order to be considered for inclusion in the 2012 proxy statement and proxy.

In order for proposals of stockholders made outside the processes of Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act to be considered timely for purposes of Rule 14a-4(c) under the Exchange Act, the proposal must be received by the Company at its principal executive offices not later than July 18, 2013. Additionally, stockholder proposals made outside the processes of Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act must be received at the Company's principal executive offices, in accordance with the requirements of the By-laws between June 18, 2013 and July 18, 2013;

Table of Contents

provided, however, that in the event that the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is called for a date that is more than 30 days before or more than 70 days after the anniversary date of the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, notice by stockholders in order to be timely must be delivered not earlier than the close of business on the 120th day prior to the date of the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and not later than the close of business on the later of the 90th day prior to the date of the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or the 10th day following the day on which public announcement of the date of the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is made. Stockholders are advised to review the By-laws, which contain additional requirements with respect to advance notice of stockholder proposals and director nominations.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

In an effort to reduce the amount of paper mailed to stockholders' homes and to help lower the Company's printing and postage costs, stockholders can elect to receive future News Corporation proxy statements, annual reports and related materials electronically instead of by mail. The Company highly recommends that you consider electronic delivery of these documents. If you are interested in participating in this electronic delivery program, you should select the Enrollment for Electronic Delivery of Stockholder Materials link in the Investor Relations section of the Company's website at www.newscorp.com. You may resume receiving copies of these documents by mail at any time by selecting the appropriate stockholder link on this enrollment page and canceling your participation in this program.

OTHER MATTERS

At the time of the preparation of this proxy statement, the Board knows of no other matters that will be acted upon at the Annual Meeting. If any other matters are presented for action at the Annual Meeting or at any adjournment or postponement thereof, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote the shares to which the proxy relates in accordance with their best judgment as determined in their sole discretion.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Gerson Zweifach

Senior Executive Vice President and

Group General Counsel

New York, NY

September 4, 2012

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT. THEREFORE, PLEASE PROMPTLY VOTE YOUR SHARES BY TELEPHONE OR INTERNET, OR IF YOU HAVE REQUESTED A PAPER PROXY CARD, BY COMPLETING, SIGNING AND DATING THE PROXY CARD AND RETURNING IT IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED.

Table of Contents

Appendix A

Table of Contents

Appendix B

A non-U.S. stockholder is any holder of the Company's Class B Common Stock who is:

An individual who is not a United States citizen;

An entity that holds Class B Common Stock on behalf of a person who is not a United States citizen or an entity not organized under the laws of the United States;

A corporation, partnership, limited partnership, limited liability company or trust that is not organized under the laws of the United States;

A corporation that is organized under the laws of the United States, with respect to that portion of its stock that is owned of record or voted by non-U.S. citizens or non-U.S. entities;

A partnership or limited partnership that is organized under the laws of the United States, if it has one or more non-U.S. citizens or non-U.S. entities serving as a general partner or as a limited partner;

A limited liability company that is organized under the laws of the United States, if any of its membership units are held by non-U.S. citizens or non-U.S. entities; and

A Trust that is organized under the laws of the United States, if any of the Trustees are non-U.S. citizens or non-U.S. entities (and if any beneficiary is a non-U.S. citizen or non-U.S. entity, with respect to that portion of the Trust that is held for the benefit of such non-U.S. citizen or non-U.S. entity).

For purposes of this definition, a U.S.-organized limited partnership or limited liability company shall not be treated as a non-U.S. Stockholder if, notwithstanding the presence of limited partners or members who are non-U.S. citizens or non-U.S. entities, the governing document of the partnership or the limited liability company provides that such non-U.S. persons or non-U.S. entities may not be materially involved, directly or indirectly, in the management or operation of the media-related activities of the partnership or the limited liability company.

In addition, for purposes of this definition, any holder of Class B Common Stock or Class B CDIs who does not provide citizenship information on the proxy card will be deemed to be a non-U.S. stockholder unless the holder is a stockholder of record as of the Voting Record Date and has previously submitted a U.S. citizenship certification to the Company's transfer agent or Australian share registrar.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents