

MBIA INC
Form 10-Q
May 09, 2013
Table of Contents

United States
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

or

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 1-9583

MBIA INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

Connecticut
(State of incorporation)

06-1185706
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

113 King Street, Armonk, New York
(Address of principal executive offices)

10504
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (914) 273-4545

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of May 2, 2013, 192,964,835 shares of Common Stock, par value \$1 per share, were outstanding.

Table of Contents

| | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries (Unaudited) | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (Unaudited)</u> | 1 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (Unaudited)</u> | 2 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (Unaudited)</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2013 (Unaudited)</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (Unaudited)</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 6 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 68 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 116 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 119 |
| <u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 120 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 120 |
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u> | 120 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 121 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 122 |

Table of Contents**MBIA INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)**

(In millions except share and per share amounts)

| | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|------------------|-------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Investments: | | |
| Fixed-maturity securities held as available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost \$4,101 and \$4,347) | \$ 4,215 | \$ 4,485 |
| Fixed-maturity securities at fair value | 243 | 244 |
| Investments pledged as collateral, at fair value (amortized cost \$374 and \$489) | 333 | 443 |
| Short-term investments held as available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost \$859 and \$662) | 866 | 669 |
| Other investments (includes investments at fair value of \$13 and \$12) | 22 | 21 |
| Total investments | 5,679 | 5,862 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 862 | 814 |
| Premiums receivable | 1,161 | 1,228 |
| Deferred acquisition costs | 290 | 302 |
| Insurance loss recoverable | 3,877 | 3,648 |
| Property and equipment, at cost (less accumulated depreciation of \$144 and \$146) | 68 | 69 |
| Deferred income taxes, net | 1,146 | 1,199 |
| Other assets | 238 | 268 |
| Assets of consolidated variable interest entities: | | |
| Cash | 72 | 176 |
| Investments held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (fair value \$2,759 and \$2,674) | 2,826 | 2,829 |
| Fixed-maturity securities held as available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost \$628 and \$637) | 623 | 625 |
| Fixed-maturity securities at fair value | 1,753 | 1,735 |
| Loans receivable at fair value | 1,819 | 1,881 |
| Loan repurchase commitments | 1,176 | 1,086 |
| Other assets | 2 | 2 |
| Total assets | \$ 21,592 | \$ 21,724 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Unearned premium revenue | \$ 2,774 | \$ 2,938 |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves | 785 | 853 |
| Investment agreements | 915 | 944 |
| Medium-term notes (includes financial instruments carried at fair value of \$181 and \$165) | 1,577 | 1,598 |
| Long-term debt | 1,661 | 1,662 |
| Derivative liabilities | 3,006 | 2,934 |
| Other liabilities | 377 | 315 |
| Liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities: | | |
| Variable interest entity notes (includes financial instruments carried at fair value of \$3,578 and \$3,659) | 7,039 | 7,124 |
| Derivative liabilities | 149 | 162 |
| Total liabilities | 18,283 | 18,530 |

Commitments and contingencies (See Note 13)

Equity:

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Preferred stock, par value \$1 per share; authorized shares 10,000,000; issued and outstanding none | - | - |
| Common stock, par value \$1 per share; authorized shares 400,000,000; issued shares 277,793,696 and 277,405,039 | 278 | 277 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 3,107 | 3,076 |
| Retained earnings | 2,203 | 2,039 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax of \$18 and \$21 | 9 | 56 |
| Treasury stock, at cost 84,836,010 and 81,733,530 shares | (2,309) | (2,275) |
| | | |
| Total shareholders' equity of MBIA Inc. | 3,288 | 3,173 |
| Preferred stock of subsidiary and noncontrolling interest | 21 | 21 |
| | | |
| Total equity | 3,309 | 3,194 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 21,592 | \$ 21,724 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MBIA INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)**

(In millions except share and per share amounts)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Revenues: | | |
| Premiums earned: | | |
| Scheduled premiums earned | \$ 79 | \$ 96 |
| Refunding premiums earned | 41 | 41 |
| Premiums earned (net of ceded premiums of \$2 and \$3) | 120 | 137 |
| Net investment income | 38 | 62 |
| Fees and reimbursements | 6 | 7 |
| Change in fair value of insured derivatives: | | |
| Realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured derivatives | 12 | (4) |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives | (73) | 303 |
| Net change in fair value of insured derivatives | (61) | 299 |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 63 | (19) |
| Investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments: | | |
| Investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments | - | (53) |
| Other-than-temporary impairments recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | - | (41) |
| Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments | - | (94) |
| Net gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt | 4 | - |
| Other net realized gains (losses) | - | 1 |
| Revenues of consolidated variable interest entities: | | |
| Net investment income | 16 | 17 |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 33 | (27) |
| Total revenues | 219 | 383 |
| Expenses: | | |
| Losses and loss adjustment | (194) | 97 |
| Amortization of deferred acquisition costs | 16 | 13 |
| Operating | 106 | 158 |
| Interest | 60 | 73 |
| Expenses of consolidated variable interest entities: | | |
| Operating | 4 | 6 |
| Interest | 12 | 15 |
| Total expenses | 4 | 362 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 215 | 21 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 51 | 11 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 164 | \$ 10 |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.84 | \$ 0.05 |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----|-------------|----|-------------|
| Diluted | \$ | 0.84 | \$ | 0.05 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | | 194,523,933 | | 193,489,424 |
| Diluted | | 195,631,960 | | 194,594,974 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MBIA INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (Unaudited)**

(In millions)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 164 | \$ 10 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities: | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period | 13 | 47 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 4 | 6 |
| Total | 9 | 41 |
| Reclassification adjustments for (gains) losses included in net income (loss) | (25) | 135 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (9) | 47 |
| Total | (16) | 88 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities: | | |
| Other-than-temporary impairments arising during the period | 5 | 11 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 2 | 4 |
| Total | 3 | 7 |
| Reclassification adjustments for (gains) losses included in net income (loss) | - | 41 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | - | 15 |
| Total | - | 26 |
| Net foreign currency translation gains (losses) | (43) | (12) |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | (47) | 150 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 117 | \$ 160 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MBIA INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (Unaudited)

For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

(In millions except share amounts)

| | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Treasury Stock | | Total Shareholders Equity of MBIA Inc. | Preferred Stock of Subsidiary and Noncontrolling Interest | | Total Equity |
|--|--------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------|-------------------|--|---|--------------|-----------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | | | Shares | Amount | | Shares | Amount | |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | 277,405,039 | \$ 277 | \$ 3,076 | \$ 2,039 | \$ 56 | (81,733,530) | \$ (2,275) | \$ 3,173 | 1,315 | \$ 21 | \$ 3,194 |
| Net income | - | - | - | 164 | - | - | - | 164 | - | - | 164 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | - | - | - | - | (47) | - | - | (47) | - | - | (47) |
| Share-based compensation net of tax of \$5 | 388,657 | 1 | 31 | - | - | (3,102,480) | (34) | (2) | - | - | (2) |
| Balance, March 31, 2013 | 277,793,696 | \$ 278 | \$ 3,107 | \$ 2,203 | \$ 9 | (84,836,010) | \$ (2,309) | \$ 3,288 | 1,315 | \$ 21 | \$ 3,309 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MBIA INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)**

(In millions)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Premiums, fees and reimbursements received | \$ 54 | \$ 53 |
| Investment income received | 115 | 162 |
| Insured derivative losses and commutations paid | - | (20) |
| Financial guarantee losses and loss adjustment expenses paid | (115) | (172) |
| Proceeds from reinsurance and recoveries | 13 | 16 |
| Operating and employee related expenses paid | (84) | (120) |
| Interest paid, net of interest converted to principal | (61) | (149) |
| Income taxes (paid) received | - | (3) |
| Net cash provided (used) by operating activities | (78) | (233) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchase of fixed-maturity securities | (673) | (938) |
| Sale and redemption of fixed-maturity securities | 1,062 | 1,440 |
| Proceeds from paydowns on variable interest entity loans | 69 | 60 |
| Redemptions of held-to-maturity investments | 4 | 750 |
| Sale (purchase) of short-term investments, net | (130) | (115) |
| Sale (purchase) of other investments, net | (1) | 65 |
| (Payments) proceeds for derivative settlements | (31) | (51) |
| Collateral (to) from swap counterparty | 23 | - |
| Capital expenditures | - | (1) |
| Net cash provided (used) by investing activities | 323 | 1,210 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from investment agreements | 8 | 16 |
| Payments for drawdowns of investment agreements | (39) | (18) |
| Issuance of medium-term notes | - | 8 |
| Principal paydowns of medium-term notes | (23) | - |
| Principal paydowns of variable interest entity notes | (246) | (262) |
| Payments for securities sold under agreements to repurchase | - | (138) |
| Payments for retirement of debt | (1) | (361) |
| Net cash provided (used) by financing activities | (301) | (755) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (56) | 222 |
| Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period | 990 | 633 |
| Cash and cash equivalents end of period | \$ 934 | \$ 855 |
| Reconciliation of net income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 164 | \$ 10 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities: | | |
| Change in: | | |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|---------|----------|
| Premiums receivable | 44 | 37 |
| Deferred acquisition costs | 12 | 11 |
| Unearned premium revenue | (130) | (149) |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves | (68) | 31 |
| Insurance loss recoverable | (229) | (91) |
| Current income taxes | (1) | (24) |
| Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments | - | 94 |
| Unrealized (gains) losses on insured derivatives | 73 | (303) |
| Net (gains) losses on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | (96) | 46 |
| Deferred income tax provision (benefit) | 53 | 32 |
| (Gains) losses on extinguishment of debt | (4) | - |
| Other operating | 104 | 73 |
| Total adjustments to net income (loss) | (242) | (243) |
| Net cash provided (used) by operating activities | \$ (78) | \$ (233) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties

Summary

MBIA Inc., together with its consolidated subsidiaries, (collectively, MBIA or the Company) operates one of the largest financial guarantee insurance businesses in the industry and is a provider of asset management advisory services. These activities are managed through three business segments: United States (U.S.) public finance insurance; structured finance and international insurance; and advisory services. The Company's U.S. public finance insurance business is primarily operated through National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation and its subsidiaries (National), its structured finance and international insurance business is primarily operated through MBIA Insurance Corporation and its subsidiaries (MBIA Corp.), and its asset management advisory services business is primarily operated through Cutwater Holdings, LLC and its subsidiaries (Cutwater). The holding company, MBIA, and certain of its subsidiaries also manage certain other business activities, the results of which are reported in its corporate, asset/liability products, and conduit segments. The corporate segment includes revenues and expenses that arise from general corporate activities. While the asset/liability products and conduit businesses represent separate business segments, they may be referred to collectively as wind-down operations as the funding programs managed through those businesses are in wind-down. Refer to Note 10: Business Segments for further information about the Company's reporting segments.

Business Developments

As a result of insured losses and realized investment losses during the period from 2007 through March 31, 2013, the Company has seen ratings downgrades, a near cessation of new insurance business, and increasing liquidity pressure. The Company has been unable to write meaningful amounts of new insurance business since 2008 and does not expect to write significant new insurance business prior to an upgrade of the credit ratings of National. As of March 31, 2013, National was rated BB with a developing outlook by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC (S&P) and Baa2 with a review for downgrade by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's). In May of 2013, S&P upgraded National's rating to BBB with a credit watch positive outlook. In addition, in May of 2013, S&P upgraded MBIA Inc.'s rating to B- with a credit watch positive from B- with a negative outlook and upgraded MBIA Insurance Corporation's rating to B with a stable outlook from CCC with a negative outlook.

Bank of America Settlement

In May of 2013, MBIA Inc., together with its subsidiaries MBIA Corp. and National, entered into a comprehensive settlement agreement and related agreements (the BofA Settlement Agreement) with Bank of America Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries (collectively, Bank of America). Under the terms of the BofA Settlement Agreement, MBIA Corp. received a payment of approximately \$1.7 billion, consisting of \$1.6 billion in cash and \$136 million principal amount of MBIA Inc.'s 5.70% Senior Notes due 2034. In exchange for such payment, MBIA Corp. agreed to dismiss the litigation commenced in September 2008 against Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (Countrywide), among other parties, and later amended to include claims against Bank of America, relating to breaches of representations and warranties on certain MBIA-insured securitizations sponsored by Countrywide. Bank of America and MBIA have also agreed to the commutation of all of the MBIA Corp. policies held by Bank of America, which have a notional insured amount of approximately \$7.4 billion, and of which \$6.1 billion are policies insuring credit default swaps (CDS) held by Bank of America referencing commercial real estate exposures. MBIA Corp. will have no further payment obligations under the commuted policies. The New York State Department of Financial Services (NYSDFS) advised MBIA Corp. that the NYSDFS did not object to the BofA Settlement Agreement.

Under the terms of the BofA Settlement Agreement, Bank of America received a five-year warrant to purchase 9.94 million shares of MBIA common stock at a price of \$9.59 per share. Bank of America has also agreed to dismiss the pending litigation between the parties concerning the restructuring transactions announced by MBIA on February 18, 2009 (the Transformation) and the pending litigation between the parties concerning the senior debt Consent Solicitation completed by MBIA in the fourth quarter of 2012. In addition, Bank of America agreed to withdraw the purported notice of default it sent in connection with such Consent Solicitation.

Under the terms of the BofA Settlement Agreement, the dismissals of the litigations referenced above are initially being filed on a without prejudice basis. The parties will refile such dismissals on a with prejudice basis provided that, within the one-year period following execution of the BofA Settlement Agreement, none of the claims released pursuant to the BofA Settlement Agreement are reinstated and neither party is required to make a payment on any such released claims. The Company views the likelihood of such an event as remote, and thus expects that the litigation dismissals will be filed on a with prejudice basis at the expiration of such one-year period.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

MBIA Corp. s policies insuring the residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) securitizations originated by Countrywide will continue to be in full force and effect, and MBIA Corp. will continue to make timely payments of principal and interest when due under such policies. Bank of America will have no further representation and warranty liability with respect to the origination of the mortgage loans in the MBIA-insured Countrywide and certain other securitizations.

In addition, MBIA Corp. has entered into a \$500 million three-year secured revolving credit agreement with Blue Ridge Investments, L.L.C., an affiliate of Bank of America (the BofA Secured Loan). Borrowings may be used for general corporate purposes and will be secured by a pledge of collateral consisting of the following: (i) MBIA Corp. s right to receive put-back recoveries related to ineligible mortgage loans included in its insured RMBS transactions; (ii) MBIA Corp. s future recoveries on defaulted insured RMBS transactions resulting from expected excess spread generated by performing loans in such transactions; (iii) MBIA Corp. s future installment premiums; and (iv) 65% of the voting capital stock in MBIA Corp. s wholly-owned subsidiary, MBIA UK (Holdings) Limited. The BofA Secured Loan includes a number of provisions that govern MBIA Corp. s ability to draw on the loan and use the proceeds from the borrowings, limit the amount MBIA Corp. can use to fund commutations without the consent of Bank of America, and require it to prepay borrowings. The loan also includes customary representations and warranties and customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants, including a requirement that MBIA Corp. maintain at least \$750 million of statutory capital (defined as policyholders surplus plus contingency reserves).

The payment from Bank of America, including the MBIA Inc. bonds, was used to repay the remaining outstanding balance and accrued interest on MBIA Corp. s secured loan from National (the National Secured Loan) in accordance with its terms. The National Secured Loan balance of \$1.7 billion as of March 31, 2013 was reduced to approximately \$1.6 billion prior to the Bank of America settlement as a result of the receipt of \$110 million in settlement of Flagstar Bank s put-back obligation.

The value of the settlement is consistent with amounts recorded on MBIA Corp. s statutory balance sheet as of December 31, 2012. MBIA Corp. s liquidity and capital risk profile has substantially improved as a result of the settlement. While the Company has updated its estimates to reflect the BofA Settlement Agreement in its financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2013, certain of the agreements will be accounted for in the second quarter of 2013 as of the settlement date.

Pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of warrants that were issued by MBIA to Warburg Pincus Private Equity X, L.P. and certain of its affiliates (Warburg Pincus) pursuant to an Investment Agreement, dated as of December 10, 2007, as amended and restated as of February 6, 2008, by and between MBIA and Warburg Pincus, the strike price under such warrants will be decreased and the aggregate number of shares of MBIA common stock to be issued upon exercise of such warrants will be increased, in each case as a result of the issuance of the warrant to Bank of America. The adjustments to the strike price and number of such underlying shares are not expected to have a material dilutive effect on the MBIA common stock.

Societe Generale Settlement

In May of 2013, the Company entered into an agreement with Societe Generale pursuant to which MBIA commuted \$4.2 billion of gross insured exposure comprising asset-backed securities (ABS) collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), structured commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) pools and commercial real estate (CRE) CDOs. The amount MBIA paid to Societe Generale in consideration of commuting its insured exposure was consistent with MBIA Corp. s aggregate statutory loss reserves for the exposures commuted. Also, pursuant to the agreement, Societe Generale agreed to dismiss the pending litigation between the parties concerning the Transformation, which includes any appeals of the decision denying the Article 78 petition and the plenary case.

Transformation Litigation Resolution

As a result of the Bank of America settlement and the Societe Generale settlement, all litigation brought originally by the group of eighteen domestic and international financial institutions, relating to the establishment of National, has been resolved.

Other

As of March 31, 2013, the liquidity position of MBIA, which consists of the liquidity positions of the Company s corporate segment and asset/liability products segment, was \$373 million compared with \$239 million as of December 31, 2012. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, \$115 million was released from an escrow account under the MBIA group s tax sharing agreement (the Tax Escrow Account), which resulted in an increase to MBIA s liquidity position. Management believes that MBIA can support its operating needs for the foreseeable future primarily from additional anticipated releases of assets from the Tax Escrow Account, which releases are subject to the risk of National incurring net tax losses in the future and declines in the value of the assets held in the Tax Escrow Account.

MBIA Corp. continues to seek to reduce both the absolute amount and the volatility of its obligations and potential future claim payments through the execution of commutations of insurance policies. The combination of the failure of certain mortgage originators to honor contractual obligations to repurchase ineligible mortgage loans from securitizations the Company insured, commutation payments to reduce liabilities and

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

claim payments have placed liquidity pressure on MBIA Corp. The failure of certain mortgage originators to repurchase ineligible mortgages from securitizations the Company insured represents significant breaches of their contractual obligations. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, MBIA Corp.'s cash and liquid assets were \$258 million and \$345 million, respectively. The Company believes MBIA Corp.'s current liquidity position, together with future cash inflows and amounts available under a lending facility, is adequate to make expected future claim payments.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, MBIA Corp. made \$139 million in gross claim payments, and commuted \$2.1 billion of gross insured exposure, primarily comprising investment grade corporate CDOs. Subsequent to March 31, 2013, MBIA Corp. agreed to commute an additional \$13.4 billion of gross insured exposure, primarily comprising structured CMBS pools, first-lien subprime RMBS, high yield corporate CDOs, CRE CDOs, structured insurance securities, ABS CDOs and first-lien alternative-A RMBS, including the aggregate notional amount of \$7.4 billion described above in the Bank of America Settlement discussion and the aggregate notional amount of \$4.2 billion described above in the Societe Generale Settlement discussion.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties (continued)**

The reference herein to ineligible mortgage loans refers to those mortgage loans that the Company believes failed to comply with the representations and warranties made by the sellers/servicers of the securitizations to which those mortgage loans were sold (including mortgage loans that failed to comply with the related underwriting criteria), based on the Company's assessment of such mortgage loans' compliance with such representations and warranties, which included information provided by third-party review firms. The sellers/servicers have contractual obligations to cure, repurchase or replace such ineligible mortgage loans. These expected recoveries are generally referred to as put-backs, and are calculated based on the Company's assessment of a range of possible collection outcomes. The Company's assessment of the ineligibility of individual mortgage loans has been challenged by the sellers/servicers of the securitizations in litigation and there is no assurance that the Company's determinations will prevail.

Risks and Uncertainties

The Company's financial statements include estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. The outcome of certain significant risks and uncertainties could cause the Company to revise its estimates and assumptions or could cause actual results to differ from the Company's estimates. While the Company believes it continues to have sufficient capital and liquidity to meet all of its expected obligations, if one or more possible adverse outcomes were to be realized, its statutory capital, financial position, results of operations and cash flows could be materially and adversely affected. Significant risks and uncertainties that could affect amounts reported in the Company's financial statements in future periods include, but are not limited to, the following:

Management's expected liquidity and capital forecasts for MBIA Corp. for 2013 reflect adequate resources to pay expected claims. In addition, MBIA Corp. can borrow under the BofA Secured Loan to pay claims. However, there is risk to the liquidity forecast as the Company's second-lien RMBS and remaining insured CMBS pools are potentially volatile. There are risks to the capital forecast due to those potential liabilities, potential volatility in the collection of put-back recoverables and potential volatility associated with remaining ABS CDO exposures. Further, the remaining insured portfolio, aside from these exposures, could deteriorate and result in loss reserves and claim payments. Management believes it will have adequate resources to pay expected claims. However, if MBIA Corp. experiences higher than expected claims payments or is unable to commute exposures that represent substantial risk to the Company, MBIA Corp. may ultimately have insufficient resources to continue paying claims, which could also cause the NYSDFS to commence a rehabilitation or liquidation proceeding, as per Article 74 of the New York Insurance Law (NYIL), against MBIA Insurance Corporation (an MBIA Corp. Proceeding). As a result of the BofA Settlement Agreement, the risk of an MBIA Corp. Proceeding has been significantly reduced.

Management believes that MBIA Inc. has sufficient liquidity resources to meet all of its obligations for the foreseeable future. However, MBIA Inc. may not have sufficient liquidity to make all payments due on its liabilities and to meet other financial requirements, such as posting collateral, primarily as a result of invested asset performance and a potential inadequacy of dividends from its principal operating subsidiaries. In order to meet liquidity requirements, MBIA Inc. may finance unencumbered assets through intercompany or third-party facilities, in some cases with NYSDFS approval, or use free cash or other assets, although there can be no assurance that these strategies will be available or adequate. A failure by MBIA Inc. to settle liabilities that are also insured by MBIA Corp. could result in claims on MBIA Corp.

Incurred losses from insured RMBS have declined from their peaks. However, due to the large percentage of ineligible mortgage loans included within the MBIA Corp. insured second-lien portfolio, performance remains difficult to predict and losses could ultimately be in excess of MBIA Corp.'s current estimated loss reserves. In addition, MBIA Corp.'s efforts to recover losses from second-lien RMBS originators could be delayed, settled at amounts below its contractual claims or potentially settled at amounts

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

below those recorded in its financial statements. Refer to Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves for information about MBIA Corp.'s RMBS reserves and recoveries.

Further economic stress might cause increases in MBIA Corp.'s loss estimates on its remaining exposure, particularly within its higher risk structured CMBS pool, ABS CDO and RMBS exposures. As of March 31, 2013, MBIA Corp.'s CMBS pools and CRE CDOs gross par outstanding, including approximately \$6.1 billion of exposure held by Bank of America that was commuted subsequent to March 31, 2013, and ABS CDO gross par outstanding was approximately \$17.6 billion and \$4.1 billion, respectively. The Company's primary strategy for managing its CMBS pool and ABS CDO exposures has been commutations. The Company's ability to commute insured transactions is limited by available liquidity, including the availability of the BofA Secured Loan, intercompany loans and the use of other available financing structures and liquidity, some of which could be subject to regulatory approval by the NYSDFS. The BofA Secured Loan includes a number of provisions that govern MBIA Corp.'s ability to draw on the loan and use the proceeds from the borrowings, limit the amount MBIA Corp. can use to fund commutations without the consent of Bank of America, and require it to prepay borrowings. The loan also includes customary representations and warranties and customary affirmative and restricted covenants, including a requirement that MBIA Corp. maintain at least \$750 million of statutory capital (defined as policyholders' surplus plus contingency reserves). There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to fund further commutations through borrowings or otherwise. Refer to Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves for information about the Company's estimate of losses on its exposures.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties (continued)

As of March 31, 2013, MBIA Insurance Corporation was not in compliance with a requirement under NYIL to hold qualifying assets in an amount necessary to satisfy its contingency reserves. MBIA Insurance Corporation has reported the deficit and has requested approval from the NYSDFS, to release a portion of its contingency reserves as of September 30, 2012, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2013, but to date has not received approval. In addition, as of March 31, 2013, MBIA Insurance Corporation exceeded its aggregate risk limits under NYIL. MBIA Insurance Corporation previously filed a formal notification with the NYSDFS regarding the overage and submitted a plan to achieve compliance with its limits. While the NYSDFS has not taken action against MBIA Insurance Corporation, the NYSDFS may restrict MBIA Insurance Corporation from making commutation or other payments or may impose other remedial actions for failing to meet these requirements.

In the event the economy and the markets to which MBIA is exposed do not improve, or decline, the unrealized losses on insured credit derivatives could increase, causing additional stress in the Company's reported financial results. In addition, volatility in the relationship between MBIA's credit spreads and those on underlying collateral assets of insured credit derivatives can create significant unrealized gains and losses on the Company's reported results of operations. Refer to Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments for information about the Company's valuation of insured credit derivatives.

As a result of the BofA Settlement Agreement, the risk of an MBIA Corp. Proceeding has been significantly reduced. While the Company believes MBIA Corp. will have adequate resources to pay expected claims, in the event it does not have adequate resources in the future and the NYSDFS were to commence an MBIA Corp. Proceeding, the Company would be subject to the following:

Medium-term notes (MTNs) issued by MBIA's subsidiaries MBIA Global Funding, LLC (GFL) and Meridian Funding, LLC (Meridian), which are insured by MBIA Corp., would accelerate. To the extent GFL failed to pay the accelerated amounts under the GFL MTNs or the collateral securing the Meridian MTNs was deemed insufficient to pay the accelerated amounts under the Meridian MTNs, the MTN holders would have policy claims against MBIA Corp. for scheduled payments of interest and principal;

An MBIA Corp. Proceeding may accelerate certain investment agreements issued by MBIA Inc., including, in some cases, with make-whole payments. While the investment agreements are fully collateralized with high quality collateral, the settlements of these amounts could reduce MBIA Inc.'s liquidity resources, and to the extent MBIA fails to pay the accelerated amounts under these investment agreements or the collateral securing these investment agreements is deemed insufficient to pay the accelerated amounts due, the holders of the investment agreements would have policy claims against MBIA Corp.;

CDS counterparties may seek to terminate CDS contracts insured by MBIA Corp. and make market-based damage claims (irrespective of whether actual credit-related losses are expected under the underlying exposure). The Company believes that such an acceleration would likely eliminate any residual value in MBIA Corp.;

The Company may be unable to carry out its tax planning strategies as a result of an MBIA Corp. Proceeding. This may cause the Company to record additional allowances against a portion or all of its deferred tax assets. Refer to Note 9: Income Taxes for information about the Company's deferred tax assets. In addition, the Company currently files a consolidated tax return. An MBIA Corp. Proceeding could result in challenges to the tax sharing arrangement among the MBIA affiliates that might adversely affect the Company's ability to manage taxes efficiently;

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

The rehabilitator or liquidator would replace the Board of Directors of MBIA Insurance Corporation and take control of the operations and assets of MBIA Insurance Corporation, which would result in MBIA Inc. losing control of MBIA Insurance Corporation and possible changes to MBIA Insurance Corporation's strategies and management;

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties (continued)

An MBIA Corp. Proceeding would be an event of default under the BofA Secured Loan and, as a result, the loan could be accelerated and Bank of America would have rights to the loan's collateral; and

An MBIA Corp. Proceeding may impose unplanned costs on MBIA Inc. In addition, MBIA Corp. would be subject to significant additional expenses arising from the appointment of a rehabilitator or liquidator, as receiver, and payment of the fees and expenses of the advisors to such rehabilitator or liquidator.

Note 2: Significant Accounting Policies

The Company has disclosed its significant accounting policies in **Note 2: Significant Accounting Policies** in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The following significant accounting policies provide an update to those included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X and, accordingly, do not include all of the information and disclosures required by GAAP for annual periods. These statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have not been audited by an independent registered public accounting firm in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (U.S.), but in the opinion of management such financial statements include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for the fair statement of the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. As additional information becomes available or actual amounts become determinable, the recorded estimates are revised and reflected in operating results.

The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 may not be indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2013. The December 31, 2012 consolidated balance sheet was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP for annual periods. Certain amounts have been reclassified in comparison to prior years' financial statements to conform to the current presentation. Such reclassifications had no impact on total revenues, expenses, assets, liabilities, shareholders' equity, operating cash flows, investing cash flows, or financing cash flows for all periods presented.

Note 3: Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (ASU 2013-02)

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2013-02, **Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income** that requires an entity to present information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) by component and to present significant amounts reclassified out of AOCI by the respective line items of net income. The amendment only affects the Company's disclosures and does

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

not affect the Company's consolidated balance sheets, results of operations, or cash flows. The Company adopted this standard in the first quarter of 2013.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 3: Recent Accounting Pronouncements (continued)***Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities (ASU 2011-11)*

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, Balance Sheet (Topic 210) Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. ASU 2011-11 creates new disclosure requirements about the nature of the Company's rights of setoff and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. This amendment does not change the existing offsetting eligibility criteria or the permitted balance sheet presentation for those instruments that meet the eligibility criteria. The disclosure requirements are effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of 2013. In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, Balance Sheet (Topic 210) Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. ASU 2013-01 clarifies that ASU 2011-11 applies only to derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset in accordance with specific criteria contained in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification or subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement. These standards only affect the Company's disclosures and do not affect the Company's consolidated balance sheets, results of operations, or cash flows. The Company adopted this standard in the first quarter of 2013.

Note 4: Variable Interest Entities*Structured Finance and International Insurance*

Through MBIA's structured finance and international insurance segment, the Company provides credit protection to issuers of obligations that may involve issuer-sponsored special purpose entities (SPEs). An SPE may be considered a variable interest entity (VIE) to the extent the SPE's total equity at risk is not sufficient to permit the SPE to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or its equity investors lack any one of the following characteristics (i) the power to direct the activities of the SPE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance or (ii) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity or the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity. A holder of a variable interest or interests in a VIE is required to assess whether it has a controlling financial interest, and thus is required to consolidate the entity as primary beneficiary. An assessment of a controlling financial interest identifies the primary beneficiary as the variable interest holder that has both of the following characteristics (i) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and (ii) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The primary beneficiary is required to consolidate the VIE. An ongoing reassessment of controlling financial interest is required to be performed based on any substantive changes in facts and circumstances involving the VIE and its variable interests.

The Company evaluates issuer-sponsored SPEs initially to determine if an entity is a VIE, and is required to reconsider its initial determination if certain events occur. For all entities determined to be VIEs, MBIA performs an ongoing reassessment to determine whether its guarantee to provide credit protection on obligations issued by VIEs provides the Company with a controlling financial interest. Based on its ongoing reassessment of controlling financial interest, the Company determines whether a VIE is required to be consolidated or deconsolidated.

The Company makes its determination for consolidation based on a qualitative assessment of the purpose and design of a VIE, the terms and characteristics of variable interests of an entity, and the risks a VIE is designed to create and pass through to holders of variable interests. The Company generally provides credit protection on obligations issued by VIEs, and holds certain contractual rights according to the purpose and design of a VIE. The Company may have the ability to direct certain activities of a VIE depending on facts and circumstances, including the occurrence of certain contingent events, and these activities may be considered the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. The Company generally considers its guarantee of principal and interest payments of insured obligations, given nonperformance by a VIE, to be an obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. At the time the Company determines it has the ability to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the economic performance of the entity based on facts and circumstances, MBIA is deemed to have a controlling financial interest in the VIE and is required to consolidate the entity as primary beneficiary. The Company performs an ongoing reassessment of controlling financial interest that may result in consolidation or deconsolidation of any VIE.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 4: Variable Interest Entities (continued)***Wind-down Operations*

In its asset/liability products segment, the Company invests in obligations issued by issuer-sponsored SPEs which are included in fixed-maturity securities held as available-for-sale (AFS). The Company evaluates issuer-sponsored SPEs to determine if the entity is a VIE. For all entities determined to be VIEs, the Company evaluates whether its investment is determined to have both of the characteristics of a controlling financial interest in the VIE. The Company performs an ongoing reassessment of controlling financial interests in issuer-sponsored VIEs based on investments held. MBIA's wind-down operations do not have a controlling financial interest in any issuer-sponsored VIEs and are not the primary beneficiary of any issuer-sponsored VIEs.

In the conduit segment, the Company has managed and administered two conduits that invested primarily in debt securities and were funded through the issuance of VIE notes and long-term debt. MBIA Corp. insures the debt obligations of the conduits, and provides credit protection on certain assets held by the conduits. The conduits are VIEs and are consolidated by the Company as primary beneficiary. In 2012, all debt securities held by one of the conduits were entirely repaid, and the proceeds were used to repay all outstanding long-term debt of this conduit. The Company subsequently dissolved this conduit, and no longer provides any related credit protection.

*Nonconsolidated VIEs**Insurance*

The following tables present the total assets of nonconsolidated VIEs in which the Company holds a variable interest as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, through its insurance operations. The following tables also present the Company's maximum exposure to loss for nonconsolidated VIEs and carrying values of the assets and liabilities for its interests in these VIEs as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The Company has aggregated nonconsolidated VIEs based on the underlying credit exposure of the insured obligation. The nature of the Company's variable interests in nonconsolidated VIEs is related to financial guarantees, insured CDS contracts and any investments in obligations issued by nonconsolidated VIEs.

| In millions | March 31, 2013 | | | | | March 31, 2013 | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| | VIE Assets | Maximum Exposure to Loss | Investments ⁽¹⁾ | Premiums Receivable ⁽²⁾ | Insurance Loss Recoverable ⁽³⁾ | Carrying Value of Assets | Carrying Value of Liabilities Loss and Loss | | |
| | | | | | | Unearned Premium Revenue ⁽⁴⁾ | Adjustment Expense Reserves ⁽⁵⁾ | Derivative Liabilities ⁽⁶⁾ | |
| Insurance: | | | | | | | | | |
| Global structured finance: | | | | | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | \$ 15,031 | \$ 10,796 | \$ - | \$ 60 | \$ 4 | \$ 53 | \$ 35 | \$ 66 | |
| Mortgage-backed residential | 32,210 | 12,505 | 10 | 72 | 3,502 | 70 | 389 | 5 | |
| Mortgage-backed commercial | 4,646 | 2,328 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | |
| Consumer asset-backed | 5,605 | 2,990 | 10 | 18 | - | 18 | 21 | - | |
| Corporate asset-backed | 18,799 | 9,309 | - | 111 | 13 | 128 | - | - | |
| Total global structured finance | 76,291 | 37,928 | 20 | 263 | 3,519 | 271 | 445 | 71 | |
| Global public finance | 45,298 | 20,242 | - | 202 | - | 248 | 4 | - | |
| Total insurance | \$ 121,589 | \$ 58,170 | \$ 20 | \$ 465 | \$ 3,519 | \$ 519 | \$ 449 | \$ 71 | |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

- (1) - Reported within Investments on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.
- (2) - Reported within Premiums receivable on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.
- (3) - Reported within Insurance loss recoverable on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.
- (4) - Reported within Unearned premium revenue on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.
- (5) - Reported within Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.
- (6) - Reported within Derivative liabilities on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 4: Variable Interest Entities (continued)**

| In millions | December 31, 2012 Carrying Value of Assets | | | | | Carrying Value of Liabilities Loss and Loss | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | VIE Assets | Maximum Exposure to Loss | Investments ⁽¹⁾ | Premiums Receivable ⁽²⁾ | Insurance Loss Recoverable ⁽³⁾ | Unearned Premium Revenue ⁽⁴⁾ | Adjustment Expense Reserves ⁽⁵⁾ | Derivative Liabilities ⁽⁶⁾ |
| Insurance: | | | | | | | | |
| Global structured finance: | | | | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | \$ 16,925 | \$ 10,873 | \$ - | \$ 62 | \$ 5 | \$ 55 | \$ 37 | \$ 74 |
| Mortgage-backed residential | 34,061 | 13,075 | 11 | 77 | 3,278 | 75 | 440 | 4 |
| Mortgage-backed commercial | 4,801 | 2,432 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Consumer asset-backed | 5,820 | 3,086 | 10 | 19 | - | 19 | 21 | - |
| Corporate asset-backed | 19,980 | 9,981 | - | 123 | 13 | 140 | - | - |
| Total global structured finance | 81,587 | 39,447 | 21 | 283 | 3,296 | 291 | 498 | 78 |
| Global public finance | 39,259 | 21,346 | - | 220 | - | 267 | 4 | - |
| Total insurance | \$ 120,846 | \$ 60,793 | \$ 21 | \$ 503 | \$ 3,296 | \$ 558 | \$ 502 | \$ 78 |

(1) - Reported within Investments on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.

(2) - Reported within Premiums receivable on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.

(3) - Reported within Insurance loss recoverable on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.

(4) - Reported within Unearned premium revenue on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.

(5) - Reported within Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.

(6) - Reported within Derivative liabilities on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.

The maximum exposure to loss as a result of MBIA's variable interests in VIEs is represented by insurance in force. Insurance in force is the maximum future payments of principal and interest, net of cessions to reinsurers, which may be required under commitments to make payments on insured obligations issued by nonconsolidated VIEs.

Other

During the first quarter of 2013, the Company began managing a VIE that issues notes for the purpose of funding loans to the United Kingdom (U.K.) social housing sector. Assets of the VIE totaled approximately \$135 million as of March 31, 2013. MBIA holds de minimis variable

interests in the VIE, has no obligation or commitment to provide the financial support or liquidity to the VIE, and is not the primary beneficiary.

Consolidated VIEs

The carrying amounts of assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs were \$8.3 billion and \$7.2 billion, respectively, as of March 31, 2013, and \$8.3 billion and \$7.3 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2012. The carrying amounts of assets and liabilities are presented separately in Assets of consolidated variable interest entities and Liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Additional VIEs are consolidated or deconsolidated based on an ongoing reassessment of controlling financial interest, when events occur or circumstances arise, and whether the ability to exercise rights that constitute power to direct activities of any VIEs are present according to the design and characteristics of these entities. No additional VIEs were consolidated or deconsolidated during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

Holders of insured obligations of issuer-sponsored VIEs related to the Company's structured finance and international insurance segment do not have recourse to the general assets of MBIA. In the event of nonpayment of an insured obligation issued by a consolidated VIE, the Company is obligated to pay principal and interest, when due, on the respective insured obligation only. The Company's exposure to consolidated VIEs is limited to the credit protection provided on insured obligations and any additional variable interests held by MBIA. Creditors of the conduits do not have recourse to the general assets of MBIA apart from the financial guarantee insurance policies provided by MBIA Corp. on insured obligations issued by the conduits.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves***Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Process*

As of March 31, 2013, the majority of the Company's case basis reserves and insurance loss recoveries recorded in accordance with GAAP were related to insured second-lien and first-lien RMBS transactions. These reserves and recoveries do not include estimates for policies insuring credit derivatives. Policies insuring credit derivative contracts are accounted for as derivatives and carried at fair value under GAAP. The fair values of insured derivative contracts are influenced by a variety of market and transaction-specific factors that may be unrelated to potential future claim payments under the Company's insurance policies. In the absence of credit impairments on insured derivative contracts or the early termination of such contracts at a loss, the cumulative unrealized losses recorded from fair valuing these contracts should reverse before or at the maturity of the contracts.

Notwithstanding the difference in accounting under GAAP for financial guarantee policies and the Company's insured derivatives, insured derivatives have similar terms, conditions, risks, and economic profiles to financial guarantee insurance policies, and, therefore, are evaluated by the Company for loss (referred to as credit impairment herein) and loss adjustment expense (LAE) periodically in a manner similar to the way that loss and LAE reserves are estimated for financial guarantee insurance policies. Credit impairments represent actual payments and collections plus the present value of estimated expected future claim payments, net of recoveries. MBIA Insurance Corporation's expected future claim payments for insured derivatives were discounted using a rate of 5.72%, the same rate it used to calculate its statutory loss reserves as of March 31, 2013. These credit impairments, calculated in accordance with statutory accounting principles (U.S. STAT), differ from the fair values recorded in the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company considers its credit impairment estimates as critical information for investors as it provides information about loss payments the Company expects to make on insured derivative contracts. As a result, the following loss and LAE process discussion includes information about loss and LAE activity recorded in accordance with GAAP for financial guarantee insurance policies and credit impairments estimated in accordance with U.S. STAT for insured derivative contracts. Refer to Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments included herein for additional information about the Company's insured credit derivative contracts.

RMBS Case Basis Reserves and Recoveries (Financial Guarantees)

The Company's RMBS reserves and recoveries relate to financial guarantee insurance policies. The Company calculated RMBS case basis reserves as of March 31, 2013 for both second-lien and first-lien RMBS transactions using a process called the Roll Rate Methodology. The Roll Rate Methodology is a multi-step process using a database of loan level information, a proprietary internal cash flow model, and a commercially available model to estimate expected ultimate cumulative losses on insured bonds. Roll Rate is defined as the probability that current loans become delinquent and that loans in the delinquent pipeline are charged-off or liquidated. Generally, Roll Rates are calculated for the previous three months and averaged. The loss reserve estimates are based on a probability-weighted average of three scenarios of loan losses (base case, stress case, and an additional stress case).

In calculating ultimate cumulative losses for RMBS, the Company estimates the amount of loans that are expected to be charged-off (deemed uncollectible by servicers of the transactions) or liquidated in the future. The Company assumes that charged-off loans have zero recovery values.

Second-lien RMBS Reserves

The Company's second-lien RMBS case basis reserves as of March 31, 2013 relate to RMBS backed by home equity lines of credit (HELOC) and closed-end second mortgages (CES).

The Roll Rates for 30-59 day delinquent loans and 60-89 day delinquent loans are calculated on a transaction-specific basis. The Company assumes that the Roll Rate for 90+ day delinquent loans, excluding foreclosures and Real Estate Owned (REO) is 95%. The Roll Rates are applied to the amounts in the respective delinquency buckets based on delinquencies as of February 28, 2013 to estimate future losses from loans that are delinquent as of the current reporting period.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves (continued)**

Roll Rates for loans that are current as of February 28, 2013 (Current Roll to Loss) are also calculated on a transaction-specific basis. A proportion of loans reported current as of February 28, 2013 is assumed to become delinquent every month, at a Current Roll to Loss rate that persists at a high level for a time and subsequently starts to decline. A key assumption in the model is the period of time in which the Company projects high levels of Current Roll to Loss to persist. The Company runs multiple scenarios, each with varying periods of time, for which the high levels of Current Roll to Loss rates persist. Loss reserves are calculated by using a weighted average of these scenarios, with the majority of the probability assigned to stressful scenarios (stress case and additional stress case), where the high levels of Current Roll to Loss rates persist for six or twenty four months before reverting to historic levels. In the base case scenario, the Company assumes that the Current Roll to Loss begins to decline immediately and continues to decline over the next six months to 25% of their levels as of February 28, 2013. For example, in the base case, as of February 28, 2013, if the amount of current loans which become 30-59 days delinquent is 10%, and recent performance suggests that 30% of those loans will be charged-off, the Current Roll to Loss for the transaction is 3%. In the base case, it is then assumed that the Current Roll to Loss will reduce linearly to 25% of its original value over the next six months (i.e., 3% will linearly reduce to 0.75% over the six months from March 2013 to August 2013). After that six-month period, the Company further reduces the Current Roll to Loss to 0% by early 2014 with the expectation that the performing seasoned loans will eventually result in loan performance reverting to historically low levels of default.

In addition, in the Company's loss reserve models for transactions secured by HELOCs, the Company considers borrower draw and prepayment rates and factors that could affect the excess spread generated by current loans, which offsets losses and reduces payments. For HELOCs, the current three-month average draw rate is generally used to project future draws on the line. For HELOCs and transactions secured by fixed-rate CES, the three-month average conditional prepayment rate is generally used to start the projection for trends in voluntary principal prepayments. Projected cash flows are also based on an assumed constant basis spread between floating rate assets and floating rate insured debt obligations (the difference between Prime and London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) interest rates, minus any applicable fees). For all transactions, cash flow models consider allocations and other structural aspects of the transactions, including managed amortization periods, rapid amortization periods and claims against MBIA Corp.'s insurance policy consistent with such policy's terms and conditions. In developing multiple loss scenarios, stress is applied by elongating the Current Roll to Loss rate for various periods, simulating a slower improvement in the transaction performance. The estimated net claims from the procedure above are then discounted using a risk-free rate to a net present value reflecting MBIA's general obligation to pay claims over time and not on an accelerated basis. The above assumptions represent MBIA's best estimates of how transactions will perform over time.

The Company monitors portfolio performance on a monthly basis against projected performance, reviewing delinquencies, Roll Rates, and prepayment rates (including voluntary and involuntary). However, given the large percentage of mortgage loans that were not underwritten by the sellers/servicers in accordance with applicable underwriting guidelines, performance remains difficult to predict and losses may exceed expectations. In the event of a material deviation in actual performance from projected performance, the Company would increase or decrease the case basis reserves accordingly. If actual performance were to remain at the peak levels the Company is modeling for six months longer than in the probability-weighted outcome, the addition to the Company's second-lien RMBS case basis reserves before considering potential recoveries would be approximately \$80 million.

Second-lien RMBS Recoveries

As of March 31, 2013, the Company recorded estimated recoveries of \$4.0 billion, gross of income taxes, related to second-lien RMBS put-back claims on ineligible mortgage loans, consisting of \$2.8 billion included in Insurance loss recoverable and \$1.2 billion included in Loan repurchase commitments presented under the heading Assets of consolidated variable interest entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company's estimated recoveries after income taxes calculated at the federal statutory rate of 35%, were \$2.6 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively, which was 78% and 73% of the consolidated total shareholders' equity of MBIA, excluding preferred stock of subsidiaries and noncontrolling interests. These estimated recoveries relate to the Company's put-back claims of ineligible mortgage loans, including put-back claims settled in May of 2013 as part of the Bank of America and Flagstar Bank settlements and put-back claims being disputed by the loan sellers/servicers that are currently subject to litigation initiated by the Company to pursue recoveries. While the Company believes that it will prevail in enforcing its contractual rights against sellers/servicers with which it has not settled, there is

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

uncertainty with respect to the ultimate outcome. Furthermore, there is a risk that sellers/servicers or other responsible parties might not be able to satisfy their put-back obligations. Such risks are contemplated in the scenarios the Company utilizes to calculate recoveries.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves (continued)

The Company assesses the financial abilities of the sellers/servicers using external credit ratings and other factors. The impact of such factors on cash flows related to expected recoveries is incorporated into the Company's probability-weighted scenarios. Accordingly, the Company has not recognized any recoveries related to its IndyMac Bank, F.S.B. insured exposures and has subsequent to the Residential Capital, LLC (ResCap) bankruptcy filing revised the indicative scenarios and related probabilities related to ResCap put-back recoveries to contemplate bankruptcy scenario based outcomes. The Company's expected recoveries may be discounted in the future based on additional reviews of the creditworthiness of other sellers/servicers.

The Company utilizes probability-weighted scenarios primarily based on the percentage of incurred losses for all sellers/servicers in order to estimate recoveries of ineligible mortgage loans.

On May 14, 2012, ResCap, and its wholly-owned subsidiary companies, Residential Funding Company, LLC (RFC) and GMAC Mortgage, LLC (GMAC), each filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The Company believes that the claims against RFC and GMAC are stronger and better defined than most other unsecured creditor claims as a result of the following reasons:

MBIA's direct contractual relationship between GMAC and RFC related to the Company's second-lien RMBS put-back claims on ineligible mortgage loans;

MBIA's legal claims against RFC and GMAC based on breach of contract and fraud have withstood motions to dismiss; and

MBIA expert reports submitted in the RFC litigation and subsequent decisions in other cases which have affirmed MBIA's claims. The Company has modeled scenario-based recoveries which are founded upon the strength of these claims as well as a range of estimated assets available to unsecured creditors of the ResCap companies. As of March 31, 2013, the auction of the servicing platform and specific loans of the ResCap bankruptcy estate, as well as the corresponding sales associated with the auctions, have concluded and closed. However, an actual distribution of proceeds will not occur until a plan detailing the distribution of assets has been approved by the bankruptcy court. Consequently, the outcomes utilized by the Company continue to be based upon information that was available to the Company as of the filing date.

As of March 31, 2013, the Company continues to maintain the same probability-weighted scenarios for its non-GMAC and non-RFC exposures (non-ResCap exposures), which are primarily based on the percentage of incurred losses the Company would collect. The non-ResCap recovery estimates incorporate five scenarios that include full recovery of its incurred losses and limited/reduced recoveries due to litigation delays and risks and/or potential financial distress of the sellers/servicers. Probabilities were assigned across these scenarios, with most of the probability weight on partial recovery scenarios.

The sum of the probabilities assigned to all scenarios, both ResCap and non-ResCap is 100%. Expected cash inflows from recoveries are discounted using the current risk-free discount rates associated with the underlying transaction's cash flows. Excluding Bank of America and Flagstar Bank, this ranged from 0.9% to 2.0%, depending upon the transaction's expected average life, which ranged from 5.5 years to 11.1 years. However, based on the Company's assessment of the strength of its contract claims, the Company believes it is entitled to collect and/or assert a claim for the full amount of its incurred losses on these transactions, which totaled \$2.1 billion through March 31, 2013, excluding incurred losses related to claims against Bank of America and Flagstar Bank that were settled in May of 2013. The Company is entitled to collect interest on amounts paid.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

The Company's potential recoveries are typically based on either salvage rights, the rights conferred to MBIA through the transactional documents (inclusive of the insurance agreement), or subrogation rights embedded within financial guarantee insurance policies. The second-lien RMBS transactions with respect to which MBIA has estimated put-back recoveries provide the Company with such rights. Expected salvage and subrogation recoveries, as well as recoveries from other remediation efforts, reduce the Company's claim liability. Once a claim payment has been made, the claim liability has been satisfied and MBIA's right to recovery is no longer considered an offset to future expected claim payments, and is recorded as a salvage asset. The amount of recoveries recorded by the Company is limited to paid claims plus the present value of projected future claim payments. As claim payments are made, the recorded amount of potential recoveries may exceed the remaining amount of the claim liability for a given policy.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves (continued)**

The Company consistently reviews the approach and assumptions it applies to calculate put-back recoveries. As previously indicated, the relevant transactional documents afford the Company the contractual right to put-back ineligible loans. The same transactional documents also provide that the Company is entitled to reimbursement of interest on paid claims at a prescribed interest rate. Following Judge Jed Rakoff's decision on February 7, 2013 in the Assured Guaranty v. Flagstar case (Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. v. Flagstar Bank, 11-cv-02375, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York (Manhattan)), in which he confirmed Assured Guaranty's analogous right to recover contractual interest in addition to claims paid, the Company has refined its put-back recovery assumptions to increase the probability that it will be reimbursed for contractual interest owed on paid claims. Consistent with the Company's probability based put-back recovery calculations it has determined the interest owed contemplating litigation risk, and repayment risk, as well as the potential value in the context of a settlement. The Company continues to maintain that in the context of its put-back litigation, the Company is entitled to receive interest at the New York State statutory rate, however, it currently calculates its put-back recoveries using the contractual interest rate, which is lower than the New York State statutory rate.

As of March 31, 2013, sellers/servicers have not substituted loans which MBIA has put-back, and the amount of loans repurchased has been insignificant. The unsatisfactory resolution of these put-backs led MBIA to initiate litigation against six of the sellers/servicers to enforce their obligations. The Company has alleged several causes of action in its complaints, including breach of contract, fraudulent inducement and indemnification. MBIA's aggregate \$4.0 billion of estimated potential recoveries do not include damages from causes of action other than breach of contract. Irrespective of amounts recorded in its financial statements, MBIA is seeking to recover and/or assert claims for the full amount of its incurred losses and other damages on these transactions. As of March 31, 2013, MBIA has not collected any material amounts of cash related to these recoveries. Subsequent to March 31, 2013, MBIA settled its put-back claims against Bank of America and Flagstar Bank. Refer to Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties included herein for a description of the BofA Settlement Agreement. Additional information on the status of litigation against other sellers/servicers can be found in the Recovery Litigation discussion within Note 13: Commitments and Contingencies.

MBIA has initiated litigation against six sellers/servicers (most recent filing was January 11, 2013) related to loan put-backs. MBIA has received five decisions with regard to the respective defendants' motions to dismiss the Company's claims. In each instance, the respective court denied the motion, allowing MBIA to proceed on, at minimum, its fraud and breach of contract claims. In December 2011, MBIA reached an agreement with one of the six sellers/servicers with whom it had initiated litigation and that litigation has been dismissed.

The Company's assessment of the recovery outlook for insured second-lien RMBS issues is principally based on the following factors:

1. the strength of the Company's existing contract claims related to ineligible mortgage loan substitution/repurchase obligations;
2. the settlement of the Company's put-back related claims with Bank of America and Flagstar Bank in May of 2013 and the settlement of Assured Guaranty's and Syncora's put-back related claims with Bank of America;
3. the improvement in the financial strength of certain sellers/servicers due to mergers and acquisitions and/or government assistance, which should facilitate the ability of these sellers/servicers and their successors to comply with required loan repurchase/substitution obligations. The Company is not aware of any provisions that explicitly preclude or limit successors' obligations to honor the obligations of original sellers/servicers. The Company's assessment of any credit risk associated with sellers/servicers (or their successors) is reflected in the Company's probability-weighted potential recovery scenarios;

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

4. evidence of ineligible mortgage loan repurchase/substitution by sellers/servicers for put-back requests made by other harmed parties; this factor is further enhanced by (i) Bank of America's disclosure that it has resolved \$8.0 billion of repurchase requests in the fourth quarter of 2010; (ii) the Fannie Mae settlements with Ally Bank announced on December 23, 2010 and with Bank of America (which also involved Freddie Mac) announced on December 31, 2010; and (iii) the Bank of America settlement with Fannie Mae announced on January 7, 2013, which settlement substantially resolves Countrywide repurchase related claims between Bank of America and Fannie Mae;
5. the Company's settlement agreements entered into in 2010, 2011 and 2013 between MBIA Corp. and sponsors of certain MBIA Corp.-insured mortgage loan securitizations in which the Company received consideration in exchange for a release relating to its representation and warranty claims against the sponsors. These settlements resolved all of MBIA's representation and warranty claims against the sponsors on mutually beneficial terms and in aggregate were slightly more than the recoveries previously recorded by the Company related to these exposures;
6. Assured Guaranty's favorable court ruling awarding it \$90 million (plus interest, fees and expenses) due to Flagstar Bank's pervasive breach of mortgage representations and warranties;
7. the defendants' failure to win dismissals of MBIA's put-back litigations discussed above, allowing MBIA to continue to pursue its contract and fraud claims;

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves (continued)**

8. MBIA's successful motion in the Countrywide litigation allowing MBIA to present evidence of liability and damages through the introduction of statistically valid random samples of loans rather than on a loan-by-loan basis and subsequent decisions consistent with that ruling;
9. MBIA's successful motion regarding causation in the Countrywide litigation, which provides that MBIA is not required to establish a direct causal link between Countrywide's misrepresentations and MBIA's claims payments made pursuant to the insurance policies at issue, and that MBIA may seek damages equal to the amount that it has been and will be required to pay under the relevant policies, less premiums received;
10. the April 2, 2013 decision in MBIA's Countrywide litigation from the New York State Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department, in which the Court endorsed MBIA's position that the repurchase provision in the transactional documents, on which the Company's recoveries are based, does not require the loans be delinquent or defaulted to be eligible for repurchase, and that to prevail on the fraud and contract claims, MBIA need not establish causation tying Countrywide's misrepresentations to the Company's claims payments;
11. Syncora's and Assured Guaranty's successful motions regarding causation in their Federal court put-back litigations with JP Morgan Chase and Flagstar Bank, respectively, which support the ruling on causation in MBIA's litigation against Countrywide; and
12. other loan repurchase reserves and/or settlements which have been publicly disclosed by certain sellers/servicers.

The Company continues to consider all relevant facts and circumstances, including the factors described above, in developing its assumptions on expected cash inflows, probability of potential recoveries (including the outcome of litigation) and recovery period. The estimated amount and likelihood of potential recoveries are expected to be revised and supplemented to the extent there are developments in the pending litigation, new litigation is initiated and/or changes to the financial condition of sellers/servicers occur. While the Company believes it will be successful in realizing recoveries from contractual and other claims, the ultimate amounts recovered may be materially different from those recorded by the Company given the inherent uncertainty of the manner of resolving the claims (e.g., litigation) and the assumptions used in the required estimation process for accounting purposes which are based, in part, on judgments and other information that are not easily corroborated by historical data or other relevant benchmarks.

All of the Company's policies insuring second-lien RMBS for which litigation has been initiated against sellers/servicers are in the form of financial guarantee insurance contracts. In accordance with GAAP, the Company has not recorded a gain contingency with respect to pending litigation.

First-lien RMBS Reserves

The Company's first-lien RMBS case basis reserves as of March 31, 2013, which primarily relate to RMBS backed by alternative A-paper (Alt-A) and subprime mortgage loans, were determined using the Roll Rate Methodology. The Company assumes that the Roll Rate for loans in foreclosure, REO and bankruptcy are 90%, 90% and 75%, respectively. Roll Rates for current, 30-59 day delinquent loans, 60-89 day delinquent loans and 90+ day delinquent loans are calculated on a transaction-specific basis. The Current Roll to Loss rates stay at the February 28, 2013 level for one month before declining to 25% of this level over a 24-month period. Additionally, the Company runs scenarios where the 90+ day roll rate to loss is set at 90%. The Roll Rates are applied to the amounts in the respective delinquency buckets based on delinquencies as of February 28, 2013 to estimate future losses from loans that are delinquent as of the current reporting period.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

In calculating ultimate cumulative losses for first-lien RMBS, the Company estimates the amount of loans that are expected to be liquidated through foreclosure or short sale. The time to liquidation for a defaulted loan is specific to the loan's delinquency bucket with the latest three-month average loss severities generally used to start the projection for trends in loss severities at loan liquidation. The loss severities are reduced over time to account for reduction in the amount of foreclosure inventory, anticipated future increases in home prices, principal amortization of the loan and government foreclosure moratoriums.

Table of Contents
MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves (continued)***ABS CDOs (Financial Guarantees and Insured Derivatives)*

MBIA's insured ABS CDOs are transactions that include a variety of collateral ranging from corporate bonds to structured finance assets (which includes but are not limited to RMBS related collateral, ABS CDOs, corporate CDOs and collateralized loan obligations). These transactions were insured as either financial guarantee insurance policies or credit derivatives with the majority insured in the form of credit derivatives. Since the fourth quarter of 2007, MBIA's insured par exposure within the ABS CDO portfolio has been substantially reduced through a combination of terminations and commutations. Accordingly, as of March 31, 2013, the insured par exposure of the ABS CDO financial guarantee insurance policies and credit derivatives portfolio has declined by approximately 89% of the insured amount as of December 31, 2007.

The Company's ABS CDOs originally benefited from two sources of credit enhancement. First, the subordination in the underlying securities collateralizing the transaction must be fully eroded and second, the subordination below the insured tranche in the CDO transaction must be fully eroded before the insured tranche is subject to a claim. The Company's payment obligations after a default vary by transaction and by insurance type.

The primary factor in estimating reserves on insured ABS CDO policies written as financial guarantee insurance policies and in estimating impairments on insured ABS CDO credit derivatives is the losses associated with the underlying collateral in the transactions. MBIA's approach to establishing reserves or impairments in this portfolio employs a methodology which is similar to other structured finance asset classes insured by MBIA. The Company uses up to a total of four probability-weighted scenarios in order to estimate its reserves or impairments for ABS CDOs.

As of March 31, 2013, the Company established loss and LAE reserves totaling \$107 million related to ABS CDO financial guarantee insurance policies after the elimination of \$234 million as a result of consolidating VIEs. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company had a benefit of \$37 million of losses and LAE recorded in earnings related to ABS CDO financial guarantee insurance policies after the elimination of a \$2 million benefit as a result of consolidating VIEs. In the event of further deteriorating performance of the collateral referenced or held in ABS CDO transactions, the amount of losses estimated by the Company could increase materially.

Credit Impairments Related to Structured CMBS Pools, CRE CDOs and CRE Loan Pools (Financial Guarantees and Insured Derivatives)

Most of the structured CMBS pools, CRE CDOs and CRE loan pools insured by MBIA are accounted for as insured credit derivatives and are carried at fair value in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Since the Company's insured credit derivatives have similar terms, conditions, risks, and economic profiles to its financial guarantee insurance policies, the Company evaluates them for impairment in the same way that it estimates loss and LAE for its financial guarantee policies. The following discussion provides information about the Company's process for estimating credit impairments on these contracts using its statutory loss reserve methodology, determined as the present value of the probability-weighted potential future losses, net of estimated recoveries, across multiple scenarios, plus actual payments and collections.

The Company has developed multiple scenarios to consider the range of potential outcomes in the CRE market and their impact on MBIA. The approaches require substantial judgments about the future performance of the underlying loans, and include the following:

The first approach considers the range of commutation agreements achieved since 2010 through March 31, 2013, which included 66 structured CMBS pools, CRE CDOs and CRE loan pool policies totaling \$33.1 billion of gross insured exposure. The Company considers the range of commutations achieved over the past several years with multiple counterparties. This approach results in an estimated price to commute the remaining policies with price estimates, based on this experience. It is customized by counterparty and is dependent on the level of dialogue with the counterparty and the credit quality and payment profile of the underlying

exposure.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves (continued)**

The second approach considers current delinquency rates and uses current and projected net operating income (NOI) and capitalization rates (Cap Rates) to project losses under two scenarios. Loans are stratified by size with larger loans being valued utilizing lower Cap Rates than for smaller loans. These scenarios also assume that Cap Rates and NOIs remain flat for the near term and then begin to improve gradually. Additionally, in these scenarios, any loan with a balance greater than \$75 million with a debt service coverage ratio (DSCR) less than 1.0x, or that was reported as being in any stage of delinquency, was reviewed individually so that performance and loss severity could be more accurately determined. Specific loan level assumptions for this large loan subset were then incorporated into these scenarios, as well as specific assumptions regarding certain smaller loans when there appeared to be a material change in the asset's financial or delinquency performance over the preceding six months. As the Company continues to increase the level of granularity in its individual loan assessments, it analyzes and adjusts assumptions for loans with certain mitigating attributes, such as no lifetime delinquency, recent appraisals indicating sufficient value and large capital reserve levels. These scenarios project different levels of additional defaults with respect to loans that are current. This approach makes use of the most recent financial statements available at the property level.

The third approach stratifies loans into buckets based on delinquency status (including a current bucket) and utilizes recent Roll Rates actually experienced within each of the commercial mortgage-backed index (CMBX) series in order to formulate an assumption to predict future delinquencies. Ultimately, this generates losses over a projected time horizon based on the assumption that loss severities will begin to decline from the high levels seen over the past two years. The Company further examines those loans referenced in the CMBX indices which were categorized as 90+ days delinquent or in the process of foreclosure and determines the average monthly balance of such loans which were cured. The Company then applies the most recent rolling six-month average balance of all such cured loans to all underperforming loans in the 90+ day delinquent bucket or in the foreclosure process (and those projected to roll into late stage delinquency from the current and lesser stage levels of delinquency) and assumes all other loans are liquidated. The Company reserves the right to exclude any aberrant data from this analysis and also assumes all loans in the REO category liquidate over the next twelve months.

The fourth approach is based on a proprietary model developed by reviewing performance data on over 80,000 securitized CRE loans originated between 1992 and 2011. The time period covered during the performance review includes the years 2006 through 2011. The Company believes that these five years represent an appropriate time period in which to conduct a performance review because they encompass a period of extreme stress in the economy and the CRE market.

Based on a review of the data, the Company found property type and the DSCR to be the most significant determinants of a loan's default probability, with other credit characteristics less influential. As a result, the Company developed a model in which the loans were divided into 168 representative cohorts based on their DSCR and property type. For each of these cohorts, the Company calculated the average annual probability of default, and then ran Monte Carlo simulations to estimate the timing of defaults. In addition, the model incorporated the following logic:

NOI and Cap Rates were assumed to remain at current levels for loans in the Company's classified portfolio, resulting in no modifications or extensions under the model, other than as described in the next bullet point, to reflect the possibility that the U.S. economy and CRE market could experience no growth for the foreseeable future.

Any valuation estimates obtained by special servicers since a loan's origination as well as the Company's individual large loan level analysis for loans with balances greater than \$75 million were incorporated as described in the second approach. However, in the fourth approach no adjustments were made for loans lower than \$75 million regardless of

any mitigating factors.

The loss severities projected by these scenarios vary widely, from moderate to substantial losses, with the majority of projected losses relating to a subset of transactions with a single counterparty. Actual losses will be a function of the proportion of loans in the pools that are foreclosed and liquidated and the loss severities associated with those liquidations. If the deductibles in the Company's insured transactions and underlying referenced CMBS transactions are fully eroded, additional property level losses upon foreclosures and liquidations could result in substantial losses for MBIA. Since foreclosures and liquidations have only begun to take place during this economic cycle, particularly for larger properties, ultimate loss rates remain uncertain. Whether CMBS collateral is included in a structured pool or in a CRE CDO, the Company believes the modeling related to the underlying bond should be the same. However, adjustments may be needed for structural or legal reasons. The Company assigns a wide range of probabilities to these scenarios, with lower severity scenarios being weighted more heavily than higher severity scenarios. This reflects the view that liquidations will continue to be mitigated by loan extensions and modifications, and that property values and NOIs have bottomed for many sectors and markets in the U.S. The weightings are customized to each counterparty. If macroeconomic stress were to increase or the U.S. goes into a recession, higher delinquencies, liquidations and/or higher severities of loss upon liquidation may result and the Company may incur substantial additional losses. The foreclosure and REO pipelines are still relatively robust, with several restructurings and liquidations yet to occur, so the range of possible outcomes is wider than those for the Company's exposures to ABS CDOs and second-lien RMBS.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves (continued)**

In the CRE CDO portfolio, transaction-specific structures require managers to report reduced enhancement according to certain guidelines which often include downgrades even when the bond is still performing. As a result, in addition to collateral defaults, reported enhancement has been reduced significantly in some CRE CDOs. Moreover, many of the CRE CDO positions are amortizing more quickly than originally expected as most or all interest proceeds that would have been allocated to more junior classes within the CDO have been diverted and redirected to pay down the senior most classes insured by MBIA.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company had a benefit of \$8 million of losses and LAE recorded in earnings related to CRE CDO financial guarantee insurance policies. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, additional credit impairments and LAE on structured CMBS pools, CRE CDOs and CRE loan pools were estimated to be \$290 million as a result of additional delinquencies and loan level liquidations, as well as continued refinements of MBIA's assessment of various commutation possibilities. The majority of the increase relates to a subset of transactions with a single counterparty. The cumulative credit impairments and LAE on structured CMBS pools, CRE CDOs and CRE loan pools were estimated to be \$3.9 billion through March 31, 2013. The pace of increases in the delinquency rate has slowed, many loans are being modified and liquidations continue to take place. Some loans were liquidated with minimal losses of 1% to 2%, others experienced near complete losses, and in some cases severities exceeded 100%. These liquidations have led to losses in the CMBS market, and in many cases, have resulted in reductions of enhancement to the individual CMBS bonds referenced by the insured structured CMBS pools. In certain insured transactions, these losses have resulted in deductible erosion. Bond level enhancement and pool level deductibles are structural features intended to mitigate losses to the Company. However, some of the transactions reference similar rated subordinate tranches of CMBS bonds. When there are broad-based declines in property performance, this leverage can result in rapid deterioration in pool performance. Subsequent to March 31, 2013, a CMBS pool transaction experienced deterioration such that all remaining deductible was eliminated and claims for this transaction were presented to the Company.

Loss and LAE Activity**Financial Guarantee Insurance Losses (Non-Derivative)**

The Company's financial guarantee insurance losses and LAE for the three months ended March 31, 2013 are presented in the following table:

Losses and LAE

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------|
| | Second-lien RMBS | First-lien RMBS | Other ⁽¹⁾ | Total |
| Losses and LAE related to actual and expected payments | \$ 67 | \$ (12) | \$ (51) | \$ 4 |
| Recoveries of actual and expected payments | (220) | (4) | 26 | (198) |
| Gross losses incurred | (153) | (16) | (25) | (194) |
| Reinsurance | - | - | - | - |
| Losses and LAE | \$ (153) | \$ (16) | \$ (25) | \$ (194) |

(1) - Primarily financial guarantee ABS CDOs.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

The second-lien RMBS losses and LAE related to actual and expected payments included in the preceding table comprise net increases of previously established reserves. The second-lien RMBS recoveries of actual and expected payments include \$306 million in recoveries resulting from ineligible mortgage loans included in insured exposures that are subject to contractual obligations by sellers/servicers to repurchase or replace such mortgages, partially offset by a \$94 million reduction in excess spread.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves (continued)**

The following table provides information about the financial guarantees and related claim liability included in each of MBIA's surveillance categories as of March 31, 2013:

| \$ in millions | Surveillance Categories | | | | Total |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Caution List Low | Caution List Medium | Caution List High | Classified List | |
| Number of policies | 54 | 24 | 8 | 209 | 295 |
| Number of issues ⁽¹⁾ | 31 | 15 | 8 | 139 | 193 |
| Remaining weighted average contract period (in years) | 7.9 | 4.9 | 8.1 | 9.8 | 8.8 |
| Gross insured contractual payments outstanding: ⁽²⁾ | | | | | |
| Principal | \$ 3,893 | \$ 1,466 | \$ 232 | \$ 9,246 | \$ 14,837 |
| Interest | 2,526 | 359 | 97 | 5,312 | 8,294 |
| Total | \$ 6,419 | \$ 1,825 | \$ 329 | \$ 14,558 | \$ 23,131 |
| Gross claim liability | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,459 | \$ 1,459 |
| Less: | | | | | |
| Gross potential recoveries | - | - | - | 4,276 | 4,276 |
| Discount, net | - | - | - | 234 | 234 |
| Net claim liability (recoverable) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (3,051) | \$ (3,051) |
| Unearned premium revenue | \$ 125 | \$ 22 | \$ 2 | \$ 116 | \$ 265 |

(1) - An issue represents the aggregate of financial guarantee policies that share the same revenue source for purposes of making debt service payments.

(2) - Represents contractual principal and interest payments due by the issuer of the obligations insured by MBIA.

The following table provides information about the financial guarantees and related claim liability included in each of MBIA's surveillance categories as of December 31, 2012:

| \$ in millions | Surveillance Categories | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|
| | Caution List Low | Caution List Medium | Caution List High | Classified List | |
| Number of policies | 54 | 25 | 10 | 206 | 295 |
| Number of issues ⁽¹⁾ | 29 | 15 | 10 | 136 | 190 |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|
| Remaining weighted average contract period (in years) | 8.1 | 4.0 | 7.6 | 9.5 | 8.7 |
| Gross insured contractual payments outstanding: ⁽²⁾ | | | | | |
| Principal | \$ 4,250 | \$ 1,176 | \$ 373 | \$ 9,458 | \$ 15,257 |
| Interest | 2,721 | 256 | 120 | 5,264 | 8,361 |
| Total | \$ 6,971 | \$ 1,432 | \$ 493 | \$ 14,722 | \$ 23,618 |
| Gross claim liability | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,589 | \$ 1,589 |
| Less: | | | | | |
| Gross potential recoveries | - | - | - | 4,109 | 4,109 |
| Discount, net | - | - | - | 229 | 229 |
| Net claim liability (recoverable) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (2,749) | \$ (2,749) |
| Unearned premium revenue | \$ 142 | \$ 11 | \$ 3 | \$ 122 | \$ 278 |

(1) - An issue represents the aggregate of financial guarantee policies that share the same revenue source for purposes of making debt service payments.

(2) - Represents contractual principal and interest payments due by the issuer of the obligations insured by MBIA.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves (continued)**

The gross claim liability as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 in the preceding tables represents the Company's estimate of undiscounted probability-weighted future claim payments, which principally relate to insured first-lien and second-lien RMBS transactions and U.S. public finance transactions. The gross potential recoveries represent the Company's estimate of undiscounted probability-weighted recoveries of actual claim payments and recoveries of estimated future claim payments, and principally relate to insured second-lien RMBS transactions. Both amounts reflect the elimination of claim liabilities and potential recoveries related to VIEs consolidated by the Company.

The following table presents the components of the Company's loss and LAE reserves and insurance loss recoverable as reported on the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 for insured obligations within MBIA's Classified List. The loss reserves (claim liability) and insurance claim loss recoverable included in the following table represent the present value of the probability-weighted future claim payments and recoveries reported in the preceding tables.

| In millions | As of March 31, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Loss reserves (claim liability) | \$ 713 | \$ 790 |
| LAE reserves | 72 | 63 |
| Loss and LAE reserves | \$ 785 | \$ 853 |
| Insurance claim loss recoverable | \$ (3,840) | \$ (3,610) |
| LAE insurance loss recoverable | (37) | (38) |
| Insurance loss recoverable | \$ (3,877) | \$ (3,648) |
| Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses | \$ 14 | \$ 14 |
| Reinsurance recoverable on paid losses | - | 1 |
| Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses | \$ 14 | \$ 15 |

As of March 31, 2013, loss and LAE reserves include \$1.1 billion of reserves for expected future payments offset by expected recoveries of such future payments of \$289 million. As of December 31, 2012, loss and LAE reserves included \$1.2 billion of reserves for expected future payments offset by expected recoveries of such future payments of \$332 million. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the insurance loss recoverable principally related to estimated recoveries of payments made by the Company resulting from ineligible mortgage loans in certain insured second-lien residential mortgage loan securitizations that are subject to a contractual obligation by the sellers/servicers to repurchase or replace the ineligible mortgage loans and expected future recoveries on second-lien RMBS transactions resulting from expected excess spread generated by performing loans in such transactions. The Company expects to be reimbursed for the majority of its potential recoveries related to ineligible mortgage loans by the second half of 2013.

Total paid losses and LAE, net of reinsurance and collections, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was \$102 million, including \$84 million related to insured second-lien RMBS transactions. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the increase in insurance loss recoverable related to paid losses totaled \$229 million, and principally related to insured second-lien RMBS transactions.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the Company's second-lien RMBS exposure, gross undiscounted claim liability and potential recoveries for amounts excluding consolidated VIEs and amounts related to consolidated VIEs, as of March 31, 2013. All insured transactions reviewed with potential recoveries are included within the Classified List.

| Second-lien RMBS Exposure | | Outstanding | | Gross Undiscounted | |
|---|--------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| \$ in billions | Issues | Gross Principal | Gross Interest | Claim Liability | Potential Recoveries |
| Excluding Consolidated VIEs: | | | | | |
| Insured issues designated as Classified List | 23 | \$ 4.0 | \$ 1.5 | \$ 0.2 | \$ 3.7 |
| Insured issues reviewed with potential recoveries | 16 | \$ 3.6 | \$ 1.4 | \$ 0.2 | \$ 3.6 |
| Consolidated VIEs: | | | | | |
| Insured issues designated as Classified List | 12 | \$ 2.1 | \$ 0.8 | \$ 0.1 | \$ 1.4 |
| Insured issues reviewed with potential recoveries | 11 | \$ 2.0 | \$ 0.8 | \$ 0.1 | \$ 1.4 |

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves (continued)**

The Company has performed reviews on 30 of the 35 total insured issues designated as Classified List and recorded potential recoveries on 27 of those 30 issues, primarily related to five issuers (Countrywide, RFC, GMAC, Flagstar Bank and Credit Suisse). In addition, the Company has received consideration on two transactions, including one Alt-A transaction, which have been excluded in the preceding table.

The following table presents changes in the Company's loss and LAE reserves for the three months ended March 31, 2013. Changes in the loss and LAE reserves attributable to the accretion of the claim liability discount, changes in discount rates, changes in the timing and amounts of estimated payments and recoveries, changes in assumptions and changes in LAE reserves are recorded in Losses and loss adjustment expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of operations. As of March 31, 2013, the weighted average risk-free rate used to discount the Company's loss reserves (claim liability) was 1.50%. LAE reserves are expected to be settled within a one-year period and are not discounted.

| In millions | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Changes in Loss and LAE Reserves for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Loss and LAE Reserves as of December 31, 2012 | Loss Payments for Cases with Reserves | Accretion of Claim Liability Discount | Changes in Discount Rates | Changes in Timing of Payments | Changes in Amount of Net Payments | Changes in Assumptions | Changes in Unearned Premium Revenue | Changes in LAE Reserves | Gross Loss and LAE Reserves as of March 31, 2013 |
| \$ 853 | \$ (78) | \$ 3 | \$ (33) | \$ 11 | \$ (1) | \$ 26 | \$ (5) | \$ 9 | \$ 785 |

The decrease in the Company's gross loss and LAE reserves reflected in the preceding table was primarily due to a decrease in reserves related to loss payments on insured first-lien and second-lien RMBS issues.

Current period changes in the Company's estimate of potential recoveries may be recorded as an insurance loss recoverable asset, netted against the gross loss and LAE reserve liability, or both. The following table presents changes in the Company's insurance loss recoverable and changes in recoveries on unpaid losses reported within the Company's claim liability for the three months ended March 31, 2013. Changes in insurance loss recoverable attributable to the accretion of the discount on the recoverable, changes in discount rates, changes in the timing and amounts of estimated collections, changes in assumptions and changes in LAE recoveries are recorded in Losses and loss adjustment expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

| Changes in Insurance Loss Recoverable and Recoveries on Unpaid Losses for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| In millions | Gross Reserve as of December 31, 2012 | Collections for Cases with Recoveries | Accretion of Recoveries | Changes in Discount Rates | Changes in Timing of Collections | Changes in Amount of Collections | Changes in Assumptions | Changes in LAE Recoveries | Gross Reserve as of March 31, 2013 |
| Insurance loss recoverable | \$ 3,648 | \$ (9) | \$ 11 | \$ (4) | \$ - | \$ (53) | \$ 285 | \$ (1) | \$ 3,877 |
| Recoveries on unpaid losses | 332 | - | 1 | (5) | - | - | (41) | 2 | 289 |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|----------|--------|-------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|----------|
| Total | \$ 3,980 | \$ (9) | \$ 12 | \$ (9) | \$ - | \$ (53) | \$ 244 | \$ 1 | \$ 4,166 |
|-------|----------|--------|-------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|----------|

The Company's insurance loss recoverable increased during 2013 primarily due to changes in assumptions associated with issues outstanding as of December 31, 2012, which related to increases in expected recoveries on ineligible mortgage loans included in insured second-lien residential mortgage securitization exposures that are subject to contractual obligations by sellers/servicers to repurchase or replace such mortgages, partially offset by changes in the amount of collections. Recoveries on unpaid losses decreased primarily due to changes in assumptions.

The following table presents the Company's total estimated recoveries from ineligible mortgage loans included in certain insured second-lien mortgage loan securitizations as of March 31, 2013. The total estimated recoveries from ineligible mortgage loans of \$4.0 billion include \$2.8 billion recorded as Insurance loss recoverable and \$1.2 billion recorded as Loan repurchase commitments presented under the heading Assets of consolidated variable interest entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves (continued)**

In millions

| Total Estimated Recoveries from Ineligible Mortgage Loans as of December 31, 2012 | Accretion of Future Collections | Changes in Discount Rates | Recoveries (Collections) | Changes in Amount of Collections | Changes in Assumptions | Total Estimated Recoveries from Ineligible Mortgage Loans as of March 31, 2013 |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| \$ 3,583 | \$ 11 | \$ (1) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 374 | \$ 3,967 |

The Company's total estimated recoveries from ineligible mortgage loans in the preceding table increased primarily as a result of the modification of the put-back recovery assumptions which increased the probability that the Company will be reimbursed for contractual interest owed on paid claims as described within the preceding "Second-lien RMBS Recoveries" section.

Remediation actions may involve, among other things, waivers or renegotiations of financial covenants or triggers, waivers of contractual provisions, the granting of consents, transfer of servicing, consideration of restructuring plans, acceleration, security or collateral enforcement, actions in bankruptcy or receivership, litigation and similar actions. The types of remedial actions pursued are based on the insured obligation's risk type and the nature and scope of the event giving rise to the remediation. As part of any such remedial actions, MBIA seeks to improve its security position and to obtain concessions from the issuer of the insured obligation. From time to time, the issuer of an MBIA-insured obligation may, with the consent of MBIA, restructure the insured obligation by extending the term, increasing or decreasing the par amount or decreasing the related interest rate, with MBIA insuring the restructured obligation.

Costs associated with remediating insured obligations assigned to the Company's Caution List Low, Caution List Medium, Caution List High and Classified List are recorded as LAE. LAE is primarily recorded as part of the Company's provision for its loss reserves and included in "Losses and loss adjustment" on the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The following table presents the gross expenses related to remedial actions for insured obligations:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Loss adjustment expense incurred, gross | \$ 18 | \$ 75 |

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments**Fair Value Measurement**

Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of a market participant. Therefore, even when market assumptions are not readily available, the Company's own assumptions are set to reflect those which it believes market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability at the measurement date. The fair value measurements of financial instruments held or issued by the Company are determined through the use of observable market data when available. Market data is obtained from a variety of third-party sources, including dealer quotes. If dealer quotes are not available for an instrument that is infrequently traded, the Company uses alternate valuation methods, including either dealer quotes for similar instruments or modeling using market data inputs. The use of alternate valuation methods generally requires considerable judgment in the application of estimates and assumptions and changes to such estimates and assumptions may produce materially different fair

values.

The accounting guidance for fair value measurement establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available and reliable. Observable inputs are those the Company believes that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability based on available market data. Unobservable inputs are those that reflect the Company's beliefs about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability based on the best information available. The fair value hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the observability and reliability of inputs, as follows:

Level 1 Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company can access. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail any degree of judgment.

Level 2 Valuations based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 assets include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments, securities which are priced using observable inputs and derivative contracts whose values are determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)**

Level 3 Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the overall fair value measurement. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques where significant inputs are unobservable, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

The availability of observable inputs can vary from product to product and period to period and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, the type of product, whether the product is new and not yet established in the marketplace, and other characteristics particular to the product. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the Company assigns the level in the fair value hierarchy for which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls, based on the least observable input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

1. Financial Assets (excluding derivative assets)

Financial assets, excluding derivative assets, held by the Company primarily consist of investments in debt securities. Substantially all of the Company's investments are priced by independent third parties, including pricing services and brokers. Typically the Company receives one pricing service value or broker quote for each instrument, which represents a non-binding indication of value. The Company reviews the assumptions, inputs and methodologies used by pricing services to obtain reasonable assurance that the prices used in its valuations reflect fair value. When the Company believes a third-party quotation differs significantly from its internally developed expectation of fair value, whether higher or lower, the Company reviews its data or assumptions with the provider. This review includes comparing significant assumptions such as prepayment speeds, default ratios, forward yield curves, credit spreads and other significant quantitative inputs to internal assumptions, and working with the price provider to reconcile the differences. The price provider may subsequently provide an updated price. In the event that the price provider does not update their price, and the Company still does not agree with the price provided, the Company will try to obtain a price from another third-party provider, such as a broker, or use an internally developed price which it believes represents the fair value of the investment. The fair values of investments for which internal prices were used were not significant to the aggregate fair value of the Company's investment portfolio as of March 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012. All challenges to third-party prices are reviewed by staff of the Company with relevant expertise to ensure reasonableness of assumptions.

In addition to challenging pricing assumptions, the Company obtains reports from the independent accountants for significant third-party pricing services attesting to the effectiveness of the controls over data provided to the Company. These reports are obtained annually and are reviewed by the Company to ensure key controls are applied by the pricing services, and that appropriate user controls are in place at the third-party pricing services organization to ensure proper measurement of the fair values of its investments. In the event that any controls in these reports are deemed as ineffective by independent accountants, the Company will take the necessary actions to ensure that internal user controls are in place to mitigate the control risks. No deficiencies were noted for significant third-party pricing services used.

2. Financial Liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities)

Financial liabilities, excluding derivative liabilities, issued by the Company primarily consist of investment agreements and MTNs within its wind-down operations, debt issued for general corporate purposes and debt in VIEs. Investment agreements, MTNs, and corporate debt are typically recorded at face value adjusted for premiums or discounts. Financial liabilities that the Company has elected to fair value or that require fair value reporting or disclosures are valued based on the estimated value of the underlying collateral, the Company's or a third-party's estimate of discounted cash flow model estimates, or quoted market values for similar products. These valuations include adjustments for expected nonperformance risk of the Company.

3. Derivative Liabilities

The Company's derivative liabilities are primarily insured credit derivatives that reference structured pools of cash securities and CDSs. The Company generally insured the most senior liabilities of such transactions, and at the inception of transactions its exposure generally had more

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

subordination than needed to achieve triple-A ratings from credit rating agencies. The types of collateral underlying its insured derivatives consist of cash securities and CDSs referencing primarily corporate, asset-backed, residential mortgage-backed, commercial mortgage-backed, CRE loans, and CDO securities.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)**

The Company's insured credit derivative contracts are non-traded structured credit derivative transactions. Since insured derivatives are highly customized and there is generally no observable market for these derivatives, the Company estimates their fair values in a hypothetical market based on internal and third-party models simulating what a similar company would charge to assume the Company's position in the transaction at the measurement date. This pricing would be based on the expected loss of the exposure. The Company reviews its valuation model results on a quarterly basis to assess the appropriateness of the assumptions and results in light of current market activity and conditions. This review is performed by internal staff with relevant expertise. If live market spreads or securities prices are observable for similar transactions, those spreads are an integral part of the analysis. New insured transactions that resemble existing (previously insured) transactions, if any, would be considered, as well as negotiated settlements of existing transactions.

The Company may from time to time make changes in its valuation techniques if the change results in a measurement that it believes is equally or more representative of fair value under current circumstances.

4. Internal Review Process

All significant financial assets and liabilities, including derivative assets and liabilities, are reviewed by committees created by the Company to ensure compliance with the Company's policies and risk procedures in the development of fair values of financial assets and liabilities. These valuation committees review, among other things, key assumptions used for internally developed prices, significant changes in sources and uses of inputs, including changes in model approaches, and any adjustments from third-party inputs or prices to internally developed inputs or prices. The committees also review any significant impairment or improvements in fair values of the financial instruments from prior periods. From time to time, these committees will reach out to the Company's valuation experts to better understand key methods and assumptions used for the determination of fair value, including understanding significant changes in fair values. These committees are comprised of senior finance team members with the relevant experience in the financial instruments their committee is responsible for. For each quarter, these committees document their agreement with the fair values developed by management of the Company as reported in the quarterly and annual financial statements.

Valuation Techniques

Valuation techniques for financial instruments measured at fair value and included in the tables that follow are described below.

Fixed-Maturity Securities (including short-term investments) Held as Available-For-Sale, Fixed-Maturity Securities at Fair Value, Investments Pledged as Collateral, Investments Held-to-Maturity, and Other Investments

Fixed-maturity securities (including short-term investments) held as AFS, fixed-maturity securities at fair value, investments pledged as collateral, and other investments include investments in U.S. Treasury and government agencies, foreign governments, corporate obligations, mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and ABS (including CMBS and CDOs), state and municipal bonds and perpetual debt and equity securities (including money market mutual funds).

These investments are generally valued based on recently executed transaction prices or quoted market prices. When quoted market prices are not available, fair value is generally determined using quoted prices of similar investments or a valuation model based on observable and unobservable inputs. Inputs vary depending on the type of investment. Observable inputs include contractual cash flows, interest rate yield curves, CDS spreads, prepayment and volatility scores, diversity scores, cross-currency basis index spreads, and credit spreads for structures similar to the financial instrument in terms of issuer, maturity and seniority. Unobservable inputs include cash flow projections and the value of any credit enhancement.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

The fair value of the held-to-maturity (HTM) investments is determined using discounted cash flow models. Key inputs include unobservable cash flows projected over the expected term of the investment discounted using observable interest rate yield curves of similar securities.

Investments based on quoted market prices of identical investments in active markets are classified as Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Level 1 investments generally consist of U.S. Treasury and foreign government and agency investments. Quoted market prices of investments in less active markets, as well as investments which are valued based on other than quoted prices for which the inputs are observable, such as interest rate yield curves, are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Investments that contain significant inputs that are not observable are categorized as Level 3.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Receivable for Investments Sold, Net Cash Collateral Pledged to Swap Counterparties and Accrued Investment Income

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, receivable for investments sold, net cash collateral pledged to swap counterparties and accrued investment income approximate fair values due to the short-term nature and credit worthiness of these instruments.

Loans Receivable at Fair Value

Loans receivable at fair value are comprised of loans held by consolidated VIEs consisting of residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans and other whole business loans. Fair values of residential mortgage loans are determined using quoted prices for MBS issued by the respective VIE and adjustments for the fair values of the financial guarantees provided by MBIA Corp. on the related MBS. Fair values of commercial mortgage loans and other whole business loans are valued based on quoted prices of similar collateralized MBS. Loans receivable at fair value are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Loan Repurchase Commitments

Loan repurchase commitments are obligations owed by the sellers/servicers of mortgage loans to either MBIA as reimbursement of paid claims or to the RMBS trusts as defined in the transaction documents. Loan repurchase commitments are assets of the consolidated VIEs. This asset represents the rights of MBIA against the sellers/servicers for breaches of representations and warranties that the securitized residential mortgage loans sold to the trust to comply with stated underwriting guidelines and for the sellers/servicers to cure, replace, or repurchase mortgage loans. Fair value measurements of loan repurchase commitments represent the amounts owed by the sellers/servicers to MBIA as reimbursement of paid claims. Loan repurchase commitments are not securities and no quoted prices or comparable market transaction information are observable or available. Loan repurchase commitments at fair value are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Fair values of loan repurchase commitments are determined using discounted cash flow techniques based on inputs including:

breach rates representing the rate at which the sellers/servicers failed to comply with stated representations and warranties;

recovery rates representing the estimates of future cash flows for the asset, including estimates about possible variations in the amount of cash flows expected to be collected;

expectations about possible variations in the timing of collections of the cash flows; and

time value of money, represented by the rate on risk-free monetary assets.

Investment Agreements

The fair values of investment agreements are determined using discounted cash flow techniques based on contractual cash flows and observable interest rates currently being offered for similar agreements with comparable maturity dates. Investment agreements contain collateralization and termination agreements that substantially mitigate the nonperformance risk of the Company. As the terms of the notes are private, and the contract cash flows are not observable, these investment agreements are categorized as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Medium-Term Notes

The fair values of certain MTNs are based on quoted market prices provided by third-party sources, where available. When quoted market prices are not available, the Company applies a matrix pricing grid based on the quoted market prices received and the MTNs' stated maturity and interest rate to determine fair value. Nonperformance risk is included in the quoted market prices and the matrix pricing grid.

The Company has elected to record these MTNs at fair value as they contain embedded derivatives which cannot accurately be separated from the host debt instrument and fair valued separately, therefore, these MTNs are carried at fair value with changes in fair value reflected in earnings. The remaining MTNs, which are not carried at fair value, do not contain embedded derivatives.

As these MTNs are illiquid and the prices reflect significant unobservable inputs, they are categorized as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)***Variable Interest Entity Notes*

The fair values of VIE notes are determined based on recently executed transaction prices or quoted prices where observable. When position-specific quoted prices are not observable, fair values are based on quoted prices of similar securities. Fair values based on quoted prices of similar securities may be adjusted for factors unique to the securities, including any credit enhancement. When observable quoted prices are not available, fair value is determined based on discounted cash flow techniques of the underlying collateral using observable and unobservable inputs. Observable inputs include interest rate yield curves and bond spreads of similar securities. Unobservable inputs include the value of any credit enhancement. VIE notes are categorized in Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Long-term Debt

Long-term debt consists of notes, debentures and surplus notes. The fair value of long-term notes, debentures and surplus notes are estimated based on quoted prices for the identical or similar securities. Long-term debt is categorized as Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Derivatives Asset/Liability Products

The asset/liability products business has entered into derivative transactions primarily consisting of interest rate swaps, cross currency swaps, and CDS contracts. Fair values of over-the-counter derivatives are determined using valuation models based on observable inputs, nonperformance risk of the Company's own credit and nonperformance risk of the counterparties. Observable and market-based inputs include interest rate yields, credit spreads and volatilities. These derivatives are categorized in Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy based on the input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

The Company has policies and procedures in place regarding counterparties, including review and approval of the counterparty and the Company's exposure limit, collateral posting requirements, collateral monitoring and margin calls on collateral. The Company manages counterparty credit risk on an individual counterparty basis through master netting arrangements covering derivative transactions in the asset/liability products and corporate segments. These agreements allow the Company to contractually net amounts due from a counterparty with those amounts due to such counterparty when certain triggering events occur. The Company only executes swaps under master netting agreements, which typically contain mutual credit downgrade provisions that generally provide the ability to require assignment or termination in the event either the Company or the counterparty is downgraded below a specified credit rating. The netting agreements minimize the potential for losses related to credit exposure and thus serve to mitigate the Company's nonperformance risk under these derivatives.

In certain cases, the Company also manages credit risk through collateral agreements that give the Company the right to hold or the obligation to provide collateral when the current market value of derivative contracts exceeds an exposure threshold. Under these arrangements, the Company may provide U.S. Treasury and other highly rated securities or cash to secure the derivative. The delivery of high-quality collateral can minimize credit exposure and mitigate the potential for nonperformance risk impacting the fair values of the derivatives.

Derivatives Insurance

The derivative contracts insured by the Company cannot be legally traded and generally do not have observable market prices. The Company determines the fair values of insured credit derivatives using valuation models. The fair valuation models are consistently applied from period to period, with refinements to the fair value estimation approach being applied as and when the information becomes available. Negotiated settlements are also considered when determining fair value to provide the best estimate of how another market participant would evaluate fair value.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

Approximately 80% of the balance sheet fair value of insured credit derivatives as of March 31, 2013 was valued primarily based on the Binomial Expansion Technique (BET) Model. Approximately 20% of the balance sheet fair value of insured credit derivatives as of March 31, 2013 was valued primarily based on the internally developed Direct Price Model. An immaterial amount of insured credit derivatives were valued using the dual-default model. The valuation of insured derivatives includes the impact of its credit standing. All of these derivatives are categorized as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy as their fair value is derived using significant unobservable inputs.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

A. Description of the BET Model

1. Valuation Model Overview

The BET Model estimates what a bond insurer would charge to guarantee a transaction at the measurement date, based on the market-implied default risk of the underlying collateral and the remaining structural protection in a deductible or subordination.

Inputs to the process of determining fair value for structured transactions using the BET Model include estimates of collateral loss, allocation of loss to separate tranches of the capital structure, and calculation of the change in value.

Estimates of aggregated collateral losses are calculated by reference to the following (described in further detail under BET Model Inputs below):

credit spreads of underlying collateral based on actual spreads or spreads on similar collateral with similar ratings, or in some cases, are benchmarked; for collateral pools where the spread distribution is characterized by extremes, each segment of the pool is modeled separately instead of using an overall pool average;

diversity score of the collateral pool as an indication of correlation of collateral defaults; and

recovery rate for all defaulted collateral.

Allocation of losses to separate tranches of the capital structure according to priority of payments in a transaction.

The inception-to-date unrealized gain or loss on a transaction is the difference between the original price of the risk (the original market-implied expected loss) and the current price of the risk based on the assumed market-implied expected losses derived from the model.

Additional structural assumptions of the BET Model are:

Default probabilities are determined by three factors: credit spread, recovery rate after default, and the time period under risk.

Frequencies of defaults are modeled evenly over time.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

Collateral assets are generally considered on an average basis rather than being modeled on an individual basis.

Collateral asset correlation is modeled using a diversity score which is calculated based on industry or sector concentrations.

Recovery rates are based on historical averages and updated based on market evidence.

2. BET Model Inputs

a. Credit spreads

The average spread of collateral is a key input as the Company assumes credit spreads reflect the market's assessment of default probability for each piece of collateral. Spreads are obtained from market data sources published by third parties (e.g., dealer spread tables for assets most closely resembling collateral within the Company's transactions) as well as collateral-specific spreads on the underlying reference obligations provided by trustees or market sources. Also, when these sources are not available, the Company benchmarks spreads for collateral against market spreads or prices. This data is reviewed on an ongoing basis for reasonableness and applicability to the Company's derivative portfolio. The Company also calculates spreads based on quoted prices and on internal assumptions about expected life, when pricing information is available and spread information is not.

The Company uses the spread hierarchy listed below in determining which source of spread information to use, with the rule being to use CDS spreads where available and cash security spreads as the next alternative.

Spread Hierarchy:

Collateral-specific credit spreads when observable.

Sector-specific spread tables by asset class and rating.

Corporate spreads, including Bloomberg spread tables based on rating.

Benchmark from most relevant market source when corporate spreads are not directly relevant.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

There were some transactions where the Company incorporated multiple levels within the hierarchy, including using actual collateral-specific credit spreads in combination with a calculated spread based on an assumed relationship. In those cases, MBIA classified the transaction as being benchmarked from the most relevant spread source even though the majority of the average spread was from actual collateral-specific spreads. As of March 31, 2013, sector-specific spreads were used in 8% of the transactions valued using the BET Model. Corporate spreads were used in 42% of the transactions and spreads benchmarked from the most relevant spread source were used for 50% of the transactions. The spread source can also be identified by whether or not it is based on collateral weighted average rating factor (WARF). No collateral-specific spreads are based on WARF. Sector-specific spreads, corporate spreads and some benchmarked spreads are based on WARF. WARF-sourced and/or ratings-sourced credit spreads were used for 77% of the transactions.

Over time, the data inputs change as new sources become available, existing sources are discontinued or are no longer considered to be reliable or the most appropriate. It is always the Company's objective to use more observable spread hierarchies defined above. However, the Company may on occasion move to less observable spread inputs due to the discontinuation of data sources or due to the Company considering certain spread inputs no longer representative of market spreads.

b. Diversity Scores

Diversity scores are a means of estimating the diversification in a portfolio. The diversity score estimates the number of uncorrelated assets that are assumed to have the same loss distribution as the actual portfolio of correlated assets. While diversity score is a required input into the BET model, due to current high levels of default within the collateral of the structures, diversity score does not have a significant impact on valuation.

c. Recovery Rate

The recovery rate represents the percentage of par expected to be recovered after an asset defaults, indicating the severity of a potential loss. MBIA generally uses rating agency recovery assumptions which may be adjusted to account for differences between the characteristics and performance of the collateral used by the rating agencies and the actual collateral in MBIA-insured transactions. The Company may also adjust rating agency assumptions based on the performance of the collateral manager and on empirical market data.

d. Nonperformance Risk

The Company's valuation methodology for insured credit derivative liabilities incorporates the Company's own nonperformance risk. The Company calculates the fair value by discounting the market value loss estimated through the BET Model at discount rates which include MBIA CDS spreads as of March 31, 2013. The CDS spreads assigned to each deal are based on the weighted average life of the deal. The Company limits the nonperformance impact so that the derivative liability could not be lower than the Company's recovery derivative price multiplied by the unadjusted derivative liability.

B. Description of Direct Price Model

1. Valuation Model Overview

The Direct Price Model uses quoted market prices of financial assets correlated to the underlying collateral of the pool of assets backing the liabilities guaranteed by certain insured derivative liabilities. These quoted market prices are adjusted to reflect the unique characteristics of the liabilities of the entities backed by the correlated assets and unique terms of the insured derivative contracts.

2. Model Inputs

Collateral prices

Fair value of collateral is based on quoted prices when available. When quoted prices are not available, a matrix pricing grid is used based on security type and rating to determine fair value of collateral which applies an average based on securities with the same rating and security type categories.

Interest rates

The present value of the market-implied potential losses was calculated assuming that MBIA deferred all principal losses to the legal final maturity. This was done through a cash flow model that calculated potential interest payments in each period and the potential principal loss at the legal final maturity. These cash flows were discounted using the LIBOR flat swap curve.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

Nonperformance risk

The methodology for calculating MBIA's nonperformance risk is the same as used for the BET Model. Due to the current level of MBIA CDS spread rates and the long tenure of these transactions, the derivative recovery rate was used to estimate nonperformance risk for all transactions marked by this model.

Overall Model Results

As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company's net insured derivative liability was \$3.0 billion and \$2.9 billion, respectively, and was primarily related to the fair values of insured credit derivatives, based on the results of the aforementioned pricing models. In the current environment, the most significant driver of changes in fair value is nonperformance risk. In aggregate, the nonperformance calculation resulted in a pre-tax net insured derivative liability that was \$3.2 billion and \$4.4 billion lower than the net liability that would have been estimated if the Company excluded nonperformance risk in its valuation as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Nonperformance risk is a fair value concept and does not contradict the Company's internal view, based on fundamental credit analysis of the Company's economic condition, that the Company will be able to pay all claims when due.

Warrants

Stock warrants issued by the Company are recorded at fair value based on a modified Black-Scholes model. Inputs into the warrant valuation include interest rates, stock volatilities and dividend data. As all significant inputs are market-based and observable, warrants are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Accrued Interest Expense

The fair value of the accrued interest expense on the surplus notes due 2033 is determined based on the scheduled interest payments discounted by the market's perception of the credit risk related to the repayment of the surplus notes. The credit risk related to the repayment of the surplus notes is based on recent trades of the surplus notes. The deferred interest payment will be due on the first business day on or after which the Company obtains approval to make such payment.

The carrying amounts of accrued interest expense on all other long-term debt approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

Financial Guarantees

Gross Financial Guarantees The fair value of gross financial guarantees is determined using discounted cash flow techniques based on inputs that include (i) assumptions of expected losses on financial guarantee policies where loss reserves have not been recognized, (ii) amount of losses expected on financial guarantee policies where loss reserves have been established, net of expected recoveries, (iii) the cost of capital reserves required to support the financial guarantee liability, (iv) operating expenses, and (v) discount rates. The MBIA Corp. CDS spread and recovery rate are used as the discount rate for MBIA Corp., while the CDS spread and recovery rate of a similar municipal insurance company are used as the discount rate for National, as National does not have a published CDS spread and recovery rate.

The carrying value of the Company's gross financial guarantees consists of unearned premium revenue and loss and LAE reserves, net of the insurance loss recoverable as reported on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.

Ceded Financial Guarantees The fair value of ceded financial guarantees is determined by applying the percentage ceded to reinsurers to the related fair value of the gross financial guarantees. The carrying value of ceded financial guarantees consists of prepaid reinsurance premiums

and reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses as reported within Other assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)****Significant Unobservable Inputs**

The following tables provide quantitative information regarding the significant unobservable inputs used by the Company for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. These tables exclude inputs used to measure fair value that are not developed by the Company, such as broker prices and other third-party pricing service valuations.

| In millions | Fair Value as of March 31, 2013 | Valuation Techniques | Unobservable Input | Range (Weighted Average) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | |
| Loans receivable at fair value | \$ 1,819 | Quoted market prices adjusted for financial guarantees provided to VIE obligations | Impact of financial guarantee | 0% - 21% (4%) |
| Loan repurchase commitments | 1,176 | Discounted cash flow | Recovery rates Breach rates | 10% - 75% (46%) 67% - 94% (78%) |
| Liabilities of consolidated VIEs: | | | | |
| Variable interest entity notes | 1,901 | Quoted market prices of VIE assets adjusted for financial guarantees provided | Impact of financial guarantee | 0% - 34% (8%) |
| Credit derivative liabilities, net: | | | | |
| CMBS | 1,851 | BET Model | Recovery rates Nonperformance risk Weighted average life (in years) CMBS spreads | 21% - 90% (51%) 20% - 48% (48%) 0.1 - 33.5 (4.3) 0.6% - 23.5% (13.1%) |
| Multi-sector CDO | 594 | Direct Price Model | Nonperformance risk | 48% - 48% (48%) |
| Other | 549 | BET Model | Recovery rates Nonperformance risk Weighted average life (in years) | 42% - 70% (47%) 37% - 48% (48%) 0.4 - 19.6 (2.8) |

| In millions | Fair Value as of December 31, 2012 | Valuation Techniques | Unobservable Input | Range (Weighted Average) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | |
| Loans receivable at fair value | \$ 1,881 | Quoted market prices adjusted for financial guarantees provided to VIE obligations | Impact of financial guarantee | 0% - 14% (3%) |
| Loan repurchase commitments | 1,086 | Discounted cash flow | Recovery rates | 10% - 75% (47%) |

| | | | Breach rates | 66% - 94% (78%) |
|--|-------|---|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Liabilities of consolidated VIEs: | | | | |
| Variable interest entity notes | 1,932 | Quoted market prices of VIE assets adjusted for financial guarantees provided | Impact of financial guarantee | 0% - 23% (6%) |
| Credit derivative liabilities, net: | | | | |
| CMBS | 1,590 | BET Model | Recovery rates | 21% - 90% (51%) |
| | | | Nonperformance risk | 19% - 59% (58%) |
| | | | Weighted average life (in years) | 0.1 - 5.6 (4.4) |
| | | | CMBS spreads | 1% - 23% (13%) |
| Multi-sector CDO | 525 | Direct Price Model | Nonperformance risk | 59% - 59% (59%) |
| Other | 806 | BET Model | Recovery rates | 42% - 75% (47%) |
| | | | Nonperformance risk | 42% - 59% (58%) |
| | | | Weighted average life (in years) | 0.1 - 19.6 (3.0) |

Sensitivity of Significant Unobservable Inputs

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the Company's loans receivable at fair value of consolidated VIEs is the impact of the financial guarantee. The fair value of loans receivable is calculated by subtracting the value of the financial guarantee from the market value of VIE liabilities. The value of a financial guarantee is estimated by the Company as the present value of expected cash payments under the policy. As expected cash payments provided by the Company under the insurance policy increase, there is a lower expected cash flow on the underlying loans receivable of the VIE. This results in a lower fair value of the loans receivable in relation to the obligations of the VIE.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)**

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's loan repurchase commitments of consolidated VIEs are the recovery rates and the breach rates. Recovery rates reflect the estimates of future cash flows reduced for litigation delays and risks and/or potential financial distress of the sellers/servicers. The estimated recoveries of the loan repurchase commitments may differ from the actual recoveries that may be received in the future. Breach rates represent the rate at which the mortgages fail to comply with stated representations and warranties of the sellers/servicers. Significant increases or decreases in the recovery rates and the breach rates would result in significantly higher or lower fair values of the loan repurchase commitments, respectively. Additionally, changes in the legal environment and the ability of the counterparties to pay would impact the recovery rate assumptions, which could significantly impact the fair value measurement. Any significant challenges by the counterparties to the Company's determination of breaches of representations and warranties could significantly adversely impact the fair value measurement. Recovery rates and breach rates are determined independently. Changes in one input will not necessarily have any impact on the other input.

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the Company's variable interest entity notes of consolidated VIEs is the impact of the financial guarantee. The fair value of VIE notes is calculated by adding the value of the financial guarantee to the market value of VIE assets. The value of a financial guarantee is estimated by the Company as the present value of expected cash payments under the policy. As the value of the guarantee provided by the Company to the obligations issued by the VIE increases, the credit support adds value to the liabilities of the VIE. This results in an increase in the fair value of the liabilities of the VIE.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's CMBS credit derivatives, which are valued using the BET Model, are CMBS spreads, recovery rates, nonperformance risk and weighted average life. The CMBS spread is an indicator of credit risk of the collateral securities. The recovery rate represents the percentage of notional expected to be recovered after an asset defaults, indicating the severity of a potential loss. The nonperformance risk is an assumption of the Company's own ability to pay and whether the Company will have the necessary resources to pay the obligations as they come due. Weighted average life is based on the Company's estimate of when the principal of the underlying collateral of the CMBS structure will be repaid. A significant increase in weighted average life can result in an increase or decrease in the fair value of the derivative liability, depending on the discount rate and the timing of significant losses. Any significant increase or decrease in recovery rates or the Company's nonperformance risk would result in a decrease or increase in the fair value of the derivative liabilities, respectively. CMBS spreads, recovery rates, nonperformance risk and weighted average lives are determined independently. Changes in one input will not necessarily have any impact on the other inputs.

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the Company's multi-sector CDO credit derivatives, which are valued using the Direct Price Model, is nonperformance risk. The nonperformance risk is an assumption of the Company's own ability to pay and whether the Company will have the necessary resources to pay the obligations as they come due. Any significant increase or decrease in the Company's nonperformance risk would result in a decrease or increase in the fair value of the derivative liabilities, respectively.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's other credit derivatives, which are valued using the BET Model, are recovery rates, nonperformance risk and weighted average life. The recovery rate represents the percentage of notional expected to be recovered after an asset defaults, indicating the severity of a potential loss. The nonperformance risk is an assumption of the Company's own ability to pay and whether the Company will have the necessary resources to pay the obligations as they come due. Weighted average life is based on the Company's estimate of when the principal of the underlying collateral will be repaid. A significant increase in weighted average life can result in an increase or decrease in the fair value of the derivative liability, depending on the discount rate and the timing of significant losses. Any significant increase or decrease in recovery rates or the Company's nonperformance risk would result in a decrease or increase in the fair value of the derivative liabilities, respectively. Recovery rates, nonperformance risk and weighted average lives are determined independently. Changes in one input will not necessarily have any impact on the other inputs.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)***Fair Value Measurements*

The following tables present the fair value of the Company's assets (including short-term investments) and liabilities measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| In millions | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | | | Balance as of March 31, 2013 |
|--|---|---|--|---|------------------------------------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Counterparty and Cash Collateral Netting | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Fixed-maturity investments: | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and government agency | \$ 641 | \$ 99 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 740 |
| State and municipal bonds | - | 1,504 | 23 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 1,527 |
| Foreign governments | 110 | 91 | 8 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 209 |
| Corporate obligations | - | 1,087 | 57 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 1,144 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed agency | - | 931 | - | - | 931 |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | - | 89 | 1 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 90 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed | - | 24 | 13 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 37 |
| Asset-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | - | 61 | 37 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 98 |
| Other asset-backed | - | 78 | 67 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 145 |
| Total fixed-maturity investments | 751 | 3,964 | 206 | - | 4,921 |
| Money market securities | 693 | 7 | - | - | 700 |
| Perpetual debt and equity securities | 27 | 12 | 10 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 49 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 862 | - | - | - | 862 |
| Derivative assets: | | | | | |
| Non-insured derivative assets: | | | | | |
| Interest rate derivatives | - | 81 | 4 ⁽¹⁾ | (81) | 4 |
| Total derivative assets | - | 81 | 4 | (81) | 4 |

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)**

| In millions | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | | | Balance as of March 31, 2013 |
|--|---|---|--|---|------------------------------------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Counterparty and Cash Collateral Netting | |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | |
| State and municipal bonds | - | 37 | - | - | 37 |
| Corporate obligations | - | 209 | 79 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 288 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | - | 893 | 6 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 899 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed | - | 416 | 28 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 444 |
| Asset-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | - | 207 | 117 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 324 |
| Other asset-backed | - | 117 | 47 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 164 |
| Money market securities | 220 | - | - | - | 220 |
| Cash | 72 | - | - | - | 72 |
| Loans receivable | - | - | 1,819 | - | 1,819 |
| Loan repurchase commitments | - | - | 1,176 | - | 1,176 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,625 | \$ 5,943 | \$ 3,492 | \$ (81) | \$ 11,979 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Medium-term notes | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 181 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ - | \$ 181 |
| Derivative liabilities: | | | | | |
| Insured derivatives: | | | | | |
| Credit derivatives | - | 12 | 2,994 | - | 3,006 |
| Non-insured derivatives: | | | | | |
| Interest rate derivatives | - | 265 | 3 ⁽¹⁾ | (269) | (1) |
| Currency derivatives | - | - | 1 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 1 |
| Other liabilities: | | | | | |
| Warrants | - | 12 | - | - | 12 |
| Liabilities of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | |
| Variable interest entity notes | - | 1,677 | 1,901 | - | 3,578 |
| Derivative liabilities: | | | | | |
| Interest rate derivatives | - | 126 | - | - | 126 |
| Currency derivatives | - | - | 23 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 23 |
| Total liabilities | \$ - | \$ 2,092 | \$ 5,103 | \$ (269) | \$ 6,926 |

(1) - Unobservable inputs are either not developed by the Company or do not significantly impact the overall fair values of the aggregate financial assets and liabilities.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)**

| In millions | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | | | Balance as of December 31, 2012 |
|--|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Counterparty and Cash Collateral Netting | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Fixed-maturity investments: | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and government agency | \$ 784 | \$ 100 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 884 |
| State and municipal bonds | - | 1,429 | 103 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 1,532 |
| Foreign governments | 86 | 107 | 3 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 196 |
| Corporate obligations | - | 1,140 | 76 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 1,216 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed agency | - | 988 | - | - | 988 |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | - | 94 | 4 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 98 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed | - | 20 | 28 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 48 |
| Asset-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | - | 65 | 31 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 96 |
| Other asset-backed | - | 119 | 26 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 145 |
| Total fixed-maturity investments | 870 | 4,062 | 271 | - | 5,203 |
| Money market securities | 585 | 8 | - | - | 593 |
| Perpetual debt and equity securities | 23 | 20 | 14 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 57 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 814 | - | - | - | 814 |
| Derivative assets: | | | | | |
| Non-insured derivative assets: | | | | | |
| Interest rate derivatives | - | 89 | 5 ⁽¹⁾ | (90) | 4 |
| Total derivative assets | - | 89 | 5 | (90) | 4 |

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)**

| In millions | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | | | Balance as of December 31, 2012 |
|--|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Counterparty and Cash Collateral Netting | |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | |
| State and municipal bonds | - | 41 | - | - | 41 |
| Corporate obligations | - | 215 | 78 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 293 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | - | 869 | 6 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 875 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed | - | 410 | 7 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 417 |
| Asset-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | - | 215 | 125 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 340 |
| Other asset-backed | - | 120 | 64 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 184 |
| Money market securities | 210 | - | - | - | 210 |
| Cash | 176 | - | - | - | 176 |
| Loans receivable | - | - | 1,881 | - | 1,881 |
| Loan repurchase commitments | - | - | 1,086 | - | 1,086 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,678 | \$ 6,049 | \$ 3,537 | \$ (90) | \$ 12,174 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Medium-term notes | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 165 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ - | \$ 165 |
| Derivative liabilities: | | | | | |
| Insured derivatives: | | | | | |
| Credit derivatives | - | 13 | 2,921 | - | 2,934 |
| Non-insured derivatives: | | | | | |
| Interest rate derivatives | - | 287 | 4 ⁽¹⁾ | (293) | (2) |
| Currency derivatives | - | 1 | 1 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 2 |
| Other liabilities: | | | | | |
| Warrants | - | 6 | - | - | 6 |
| Liabilities of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | |
| Variable interest entity notes | - | 1,727 | 1,932 | - | 3,659 |
| Derivative liabilities: | | | | | |
| Interest rate derivatives | - | 141 | - | - | 141 |
| Currency derivatives | - | - | 21 ⁽¹⁾ | - | 21 |
| Total liabilities | \$ - | \$ 2,175 | \$ 5,044 | \$ (293) | \$ 6,926 |

(1) - Unobservable inputs are either not developed by the Company or do not significantly impact the overall fair values of the aggregate financial assets and liabilities.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

Level 3 assets at fair value, as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 represented approximately 29% of total assets measured at fair value. Level 3 liabilities at fair value, as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 represented approximately 74% and 73%, respectively, of total liabilities measured at fair value.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)**

The following tables present the fair values and carrying values of the Company's assets and liabilities that are disclosed at fair value but not reported at fair value on the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| In millions | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | | | Fair Value Balance as of March 31, 2013 | Carry Value Balance as of March 31, 2013 |
|---|--|---|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Other Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Other investments | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 9 | \$ 9 | \$ 9 |
| Accrued investment income ⁽¹⁾ | 40 | - | - | - | 40 | 40 |
| Receivable for investments sold ⁽¹⁾ | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | 3 |
| Net cash collateral pledged ⁽¹⁾ | 58 | - | - | - | 58 | 58 |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | | |
| Investments held-to-maturity | - | - | - | 2,759 | 2,759 | 2,826 |
| Total assets | \$ 101 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,768 | \$ 2,869 | \$ 2,936 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Investment agreements | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 1,126 | 1,126 | 915 |
| Medium-term notes | - | - | - | 944 | 944 | 1,396 |
| Long-term debt | - | 801 | - | - | 801 | 1,661 |
| Payable for investments purchased ⁽²⁾ | 51 | - | - | - | 51 | 51 |
| Accrued interest expense ⁽²⁾ | 13 | 21 | - | - | 34 | 103 |
| Liabilities of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | | |
| Variable interest entity notes | - | - | - | 3,253 | 3,253 | 3,461 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 64 | \$ 822 | \$ - | \$ 5,323 | \$ 6,209 | \$ 7,587 |
| Financial Guarantees: | | | | | | |
| Gross | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 466 | 466 | (318) |
| Ceded | - | - | - | 100 | 100 | 87 |

(1) - Reported within Other assets on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.

(2) - Reported within Other liabilities on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)**

| In millions | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date | | | | | Fair Value Balance as of December 31, 2011 | Carry Value Balance as of December 31, 2012 |
|--|--|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|
| | Using | | | Significant Other Inputs | Significant Inputs | | |
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Other Inputs (Level 3) | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | | |
| Other investments | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 9 | \$ 9 | \$ 9 | \$ 9 | |
| Accrued investment income ⁽¹⁾ | 43 | - | - | 43 | 43 | 43 | |
| Receivable for investments sold ⁽¹⁾ | 17 | - | - | 17 | 17 | 17 | |
| Net cash collateral pledged ⁽¹⁾ | 66 | - | - | 66 | 66 | 66 | |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | | | |
| Investments held-to-maturity | - | - | 2,674 | 2,674 | 2,829 | 2,829 | |
| Total assets | \$ 126 | \$ - | \$ 2,683 | \$ 2,809 | \$ 2,964 | \$ 2,964 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | |
| Investment agreements | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,175 | \$ 1,175 | \$ 944 | \$ 944 | |
| Medium-term notes | - | - | 860 | 860 | 1,433 | 1,433 | |
| Long-term debt | - | 692 | - | 692 | 1,662 | 1,662 | |
| Payable for investments purchased ⁽²⁾ | 50 | - | - | 50 | 50 | 50 | |
| Accrued interest expense ⁽²⁾ | 9 | 10 | - | 19 | 70 | 70 | |
| Liabilities of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | | | |
| Variable interest entity notes | - | - | 3,147 | 3,147 | 3,465 | 3,465 | |
| Total liabilities | \$ 59 | \$ 702 | \$ 5,182 | \$ 5,943 | \$ 7,624 | \$ 7,624 | |
| Financial Guarantees: | | | | | | | |
| Gross | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 650 | \$ 650 | \$ 143 | \$ 143 | |
| Ceded | - | - | 97 | 97 | 91 | 91 | |

(1) - Reported within Other assets on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.

(2) - Reported within Other liabilities on MBIA's consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)**

The following tables present information about changes in Level 3 assets (including short-term investments) and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

Changes in Level 3 Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

| In millions | Balance, Beginning of Period | Realized Gains / (Losses) | Unrealized Gains / (Losses) Included in Earnings | Unrealized Gains / (Losses) Included in OCI | Foreign Exchange Recognized in OCI Earnings | Purchases | Issuances | Settlements | Sales | Transfers into Level 3 ⁽¹⁾ | Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽¹⁾ | Ending Balance | Change in Unrealized Gains (Losses) for the Period Included in Earnings for Assets still held as of March 31, 2013 |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------|--|--|-------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | March 31, 2013 |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign governments | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$ 3 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 8 | \$ (3) | \$ 8 | \$ - |
| Corporate obligations | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 76 | 2 | 4 | 1 | (2) | - | - | (1) | (23) | - | - | 57 | 4 |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | (3) | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Commercial mortgage-backed | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 28 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | (19) | 1 | (1) | 13 | - |
| Collateralized debt obligations | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 31 | (1) | - | 3 | - | - | - | (4) | - | 9 | (1) | 37 | - |
| Other asset-backed | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 26 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | (2) | - | 42 | - | 67 | - |
| State and municipal bonds | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 103 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | (1) | (13) | - | (68) | 23 | - |
| Perpetual debt and equity securities | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 14 | - | - | - | (1) | - | - | - | - | - | (3) | 10 | - |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate obligations | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 78 | - | (2) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 79 | - |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | (6) | - | 1 | (1) | 6 | 1 |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|------|-------|------|--------|------|------|---------|---------|-------|---------|----------|-------|
| Commercial mortgage-backed | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | - | 28 | 1 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 125 | - | (8) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | (1) | 117 | (4) |
| Other asset-backed | 64 | - | (14) | - | - | - | - | (3) | - | - | - | 47 | (9) |
| Loans receivable | 1,881 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | (70) | - | - | - | 1,819 | 8 |
| Loan repurchase commitments | 1,086 | - | 90 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,176 | 90 |
| Total assets | \$ 3,532 | \$ 3 | \$ 85 | \$ 9 | \$ (3) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (90) | \$ (55) | \$ 85 | \$ (78) | \$ 3,488 | \$ 91 |

| In millions | Balance, Beginning of Period | Realized / Losses | Unrealized (Gains) / Losses Included in Earnings | Unrealized (Gains) / Losses Included in OCI | Foreign Exchange Recognized in OCI or Earnings | Purchases | Issuances | Settlements | Sales | Transfers | | Ending Balance | Change in Unrealized (Gains) Losses for the Period Included in Earnings for Liabilities still held as of March 31, 2013 |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------|--|---|--|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | into Level 3 ⁽¹⁾ | out of Level 3 ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Medium-term notes | \$ 165 | \$ - | \$ 21 | \$ - | \$ (5) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 181 | \$ 16 |
| Credit derivatives, net | 2,921 | 1 | 73 | - | - | - | - | (1) | - | - | - | 2,994 | 131 |
| Interest rate derivatives, net | (1) | - | 1 | - | (1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | (1) | - |
| Currency derivatives, net | 1 | - | 1 | - | (1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Liabilities of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VIE notes | 1,932 | - | 122 | - | - | - | - | (153) | - | - | - | 1,901 | 122 |
| Currency derivatives, net | 21 | - | (1) | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 23 | 2 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 5,039 | \$ 1 | \$ 217 | \$ - | \$ (4) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (154) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,099 | \$ 271 |

(1) - Transferred in and out at the end of the period.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)****Changes in Level 3 Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012**

| In millions | Balance, Beginning of Period | Realized Gains / (Losses) | Unrealized Gains / (Losses) Included in Earnings | Unrealized Gains / (Losses) Included in OCI | Foreign Exchange Recognized in OCI or Earnings | Purchases | Issuances | Settlements | Sales | Transfers into Level 3 ⁽¹⁾ | Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽¹⁾ | Ending Balance | Change in |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------|--|--|-------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Unrealized Gains (Losses) for the Period Included in Earnings for Assets still held as of March 31, 2012 |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign governments | \$ 11 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1 | \$ 6 | \$ - | \$ (6) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 12 | \$ - |
| Corporate obligations | 206 | (13) | - | 17 | - | - | - | (1) | (24) | - | - | 185 | - |
| Residential mortgage-backed agency | 8 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | 17 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | (1) | - | - | (3) | 14 | - |
| Commercial mortgage-backed | 24 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | (1) | 25 | - |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 60 | (3) | - | 6 | - | - | - | (5) | (2) | 6 | (27) | 35 | - |
| Other asset-backed | 318 | (116) | - | 121 | - | 1 | - | (7) | (202) | 2 | (2) | 115 | - |
| State and municipal bonds | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | (1) | - | - | - | 27 | - |
| Perpetual debt and equity securities | 11 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | (2) | 10 | - |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate obligations | 69 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | (1) | - | 6 | - | 75 | 2 |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | 21 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | (2) | - | 2 | (1) | 25 | 4 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed | 22 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | (2) | - | - | (6) | 15 | - |
| | 203 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | 218 | 2 |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|--------|------|------|------|---------|----------|-------|---------|----------|-------|
| Collateralized debt obligations | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| asset-backed | 67 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 71 | 3 |
| Loans receivable | 2,046 | - | 39 | - | - | - | - | (60) | - | - | - | 2,025 | 39 |
| Loan repurchase commitments | 1,077 | - | (1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,076 | (1) |
| Total assets | \$ 4,188 | \$ (132) | \$ 51 | \$ 150 | \$ 1 | \$ 7 | \$ - | \$ (86) | \$ (228) | \$ 28 | \$ (42) | \$ 3,937 | \$ 49 |

| In millions | Balance, Beginning of Period | Realized (Gains) / Losses | Unrealized | | | Foreign Exchange Recognized in OCI | Purchases | Issuances | Settlements | Sales | Transfers | | Ending Balance | Change in Unrealized (Gains) Losses for the Period Included in Earnings for Liabilities still held as of March 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| | | | Losses Included in Earnings | (Gains) / (Losses) Included in OCI | Unrealized (Gains) / Losses | | | | | | into Level 3 ⁽¹⁾ | Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Medium-term notes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$ 165 | \$ - | \$ 5 | \$ - | \$ 4 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 174 | \$ 5 |
| Credit derivatives, net | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4,790 | 20 | (303) | - | - | - | - | (20) | - | - | - | - | 4,487 | (286) |
| Interest rate derivatives, net | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (3) | - | (1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (4) | 7 |
| Liabilities of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VIE notes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2,889 | - | 158 | - | - | - | - | (183) | - | - | - | - | 2,864 | 158 |
| Credit derivatives, net | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 80 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 82 | 2 |
| Currency derivatives, net | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 17 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | 2 |
| Total liabilities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$ 7,938 | \$ 20 | \$ (137) | \$ - | \$ 4 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (203) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 7,622 | \$ (112) |

(1) - Transferred in and out at the end of the period.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)**

Transfers into and out of Level 3 were \$85 million and \$78 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2013. Transfers into and out of Level 2 were \$78 million and \$85 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2013. Transfers into Level 3 were principally related to other asset-backed obligations, commercial mortgage-backed obligations and CDOs where inputs, which are significant to their valuation, became unobservable during the quarter. State and municipal bonds comprised the majority of the transferred instruments out of Level 3 where inputs, which are significant to their valuation, became observable during the quarter. These inputs included spreads, prepayment speeds, default speeds, default severities, yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, and market corroborated inputs. There were no transfers into or out of Level 1.

Transfers into and out of Level 3 were \$28 million and \$42 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Transfers into and out of Level 2 were \$42 million and \$28 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Transfers into Level 3 were principally related to CDOs and corporate obligations where inputs, which are significant to their valuation, became unobservable during the quarter. CDOs, commercial mortgage-backed and residential mortgage-backed non-agency comprised the majority of the transferred instruments out of Level 3 where inputs, which are significant to their valuation, became observable during the quarter. These inputs included spreads, prepayment speeds, default speeds, default severities, yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, and market corroborated inputs. There were no transfers into or out of Level 1.

All Level 1, 2 and 3 designations are made at the end of each accounting period.

Gains and losses (realized and unrealized) included in earnings related to Level 3 assets and liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are reported on the Company's consolidated statements of operations as follows:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | |
|--|--|---|
| | Total Gains (Losses) Included in Earnings | Change in Unrealized Gains (Losses) for the Period Included in Earnings for Assets and Liabilities still held as of March 31, 2013 |
| Revenues: | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives | \$ (73) | \$ (131) |
| Realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured derivatives | (1) | - |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | (16) | (12) |
| Revenues of consolidated VIEs: | | |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | (40) | (37) |
| Total | \$ (130) | \$ (180) |

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | |
|--------------------|--|---|
| | Total Gains (Losses) included | Change in Unrealized Gains (Losses) for the Period Included in Earnings for Assets |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | in Earnings | and Liabilities still held as of March 31, 2012 |
|--|--------------|--|
| Revenues: | | |
| Net investment income | \$ (5) | \$ - |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives | 303 | 286 |
| Realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured derivatives | (20) | - |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | (36) | (12) |
| Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments | (95) | - |
| Revenues of consolidated VIEs: | | |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | (111) | (113) |
| Total | \$ 36 | \$ 161 |

Fair Value Option

The Company elected to record at fair value certain financial instruments of VIEs that have been consolidated in connection with the adoption of the accounting guidance for consolidation of VIEs, among others.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)**

The following table presents the changes in fair value included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 for all financial instruments for which the fair value option was elected:

| In millions | Net Gains (Losses) on Financial Instruments | |
|--|---|--------|
| | at | |
| | Fair Value and Foreign Exchange Three Months Ended March 31, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Fixed-maturity securities held at fair value | \$ 18 | \$ (1) |
| Loans receivable at fair value: | | |
| Residential mortgage loans | (74) | 18 |
| Other loans | 13 | (39) |
| Loan repurchase commitments | 90 | - |
| Long-term debt | 81 | (7) |

Substantially all gains and losses included in earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2013 on loans receivable and VIE notes reported in the preceding table are attributable to credit risk. This is primarily due to the high rate of defaults on loans and the collateral supporting the VIE notes, resulting in depressed pricing of the financial instruments.

The following table reflects the difference between the aggregate fair value and the aggregate remaining contractual principal balance outstanding as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 for loans and VIE notes for which the fair value option was elected:

| In millions | As of March 31, 2013 | | | As of December 31, 2012 | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|------------|--------------------------|----------|------------|
| | Contractual | Fair | Difference | Contractual | Fair | Difference |
| | Outstanding Principal | Value | | Outstanding Principal | Value | |
| Loans receivable at fair value: | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage loans | \$ 2,220 | \$ 1,660 | \$ 560 | \$ 2,307 | \$ 1,735 | \$ 572 |
| Residential mortgage loans (90 days or more past due) | 244 | 54 | 190 | 244 | 54 | 190 |
| Other loans | 22 | 11 | 11 | 22 | 22 | - |
| Other loans (90 days or more past due) | 196 | 94 | 102 | 197 | 70 | 127 |
| Total loans receivable at fair value | \$ 2,682 | \$ 1,819 | \$ 863 | \$ 2,770 | \$ 1,881 | \$ 889 |
| Variable interest entity notes | \$ 8,813 | \$ 3,578 | \$ 5,235 | \$ 9,021 | \$ 3,659 | \$ 5,362 |

Note 7: Investments

Investments, excluding those elected under the fair value option, include debt and equity securities classified as either AFS or HTM. Other invested assets designated as AFS are primarily comprised of money market funds.

The following tables present the amortized cost, fair value, corresponding gross unrealized gains and losses and other-than-temporary impairments (OTTI) for AFS and HTM investments in the Company's consolidated investment portfolio as of March 31, 2013 and December 31,

2012:

43

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 7: Investments (continued)**

| In millions | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | March 31, 2013 Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Other-Than- Temporary Impairments ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------|--|
| AFS Investments | | | | | |
| Fixed-maturity investments: | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and government agency | \$ 678 | \$ 37 | \$ (1) | \$ 714 | \$ - |
| State and municipal bonds | 1,457 | 76 | (8) | 1,525 | - |
| Foreign governments | 198 | 12 | - | 210 | - |
| Corporate obligations | 994 | 45 | (20) | 1,019 | 4 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed agency | 888 | 16 | (3) | 901 | - |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | 78 | 11 | (7) | 82 | 2 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed | 31 | 1 | (1) | 31 | - |
| Asset-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 158 | 1 | (65) | 94 | (21) |
| Other asset-backed | 141 | 3 | (11) | 133 | - |
| Total fixed-maturity investments | 4,623 | 202 | (116) | 4,709 | (15) |
| Money market securities | 688 | - | - | 688 | - |
| Perpetual debt and equity securities | 12 | 1 | - | 13 | - |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | |
| State and municipal bonds | 33 | 4 | - | 37 | - |
| Corporate obligations | 175 | 10 | (6) | 179 | - |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | 86 | - | (7) | 79 | - |
| Asset-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 94 | - | (6) | 88 | - |
| Other asset-backed | 20 | - | - | 20 | - |
| Money market securities | 220 | - | - | 220 | - |
| Total AFS investments | \$ 5,951 | \$ 217 | \$ (135) | \$ 6,033 | \$ (15) |
| HTM Investments | | | | | |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | |
| Corporate obligations | \$ 2,826 | \$ 26 | \$ (93) | \$ 2,759 | \$ - |
| Total HTM investments | \$ 2,826 | \$ 26 | \$ (93) | \$ 2,759 | \$ - |

(1) - Represents unrealized gains or losses on other than temporarily impaired securities recognized in AOCI, which includes the non-credit component of impairments, as well as all subsequent changes in fair value of such impaired securities reported in AOCI.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 7: Investments (continued)**

| In millions | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | December 31, 2012 | | Other-Than- Temporary Impairments ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--|
| | | | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | |
| AFS Investments | | | | | |
| Fixed-maturity investments: | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and government agency | \$ 819 | \$ 40 | \$ (1) | \$ 858 | \$ - |
| State and municipal bonds | 1,446 | 97 | (12) | 1,531 | - |
| Foreign governments | 183 | 13 | - | 196 | - |
| Corporate obligations | 1,058 | 54 | (20) | 1,092 | 5 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed agency | 939 | 19 | (1) | 957 | - |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | 86 | 11 | (8) | 89 | - |
| Commercial mortgage-backed | 46 | - | (4) | 42 | - |
| Asset-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 161 | 1 | (71) | 91 | (25) |
| Other asset-backed | 145 | 3 | (11) | 137 | - |
| Total fixed-maturity investments | 4,883 | 238 | (128) | 4,993 | (20) |
| Money market securities | 580 | - | - | 580 | - |
| Perpetual debt and equity securities | 22 | 1 | - | 23 | - |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | |
| State and municipal bonds | 38 | 3 | - | 41 | - |
| Corporate obligations | 177 | 9 | (6) | 180 | - |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | 92 | - | (10) | 82 | - |
| Asset-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 97 | - | (8) | 89 | - |
| Other asset-backed | 23 | - | - | 23 | - |
| Money market securities | 210 | - | - | 210 | - |
| Total AFS investments | \$ 6,122 | \$ 251 | \$ (152) | \$ 6,221 | \$ (20) |
| HTM Investments | | | | | |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | |
| Corporate obligations | \$ 2,829 | \$ 2 | \$ (157) | \$ 2,674 | \$ - |
| Total HTM investments | \$ 2,829 | \$ 2 | \$ (157) | \$ 2,674 | \$ - |

(1) - Represents unrealized gains or losses on other than temporarily impaired securities recognized in AOCI, which includes the non-credit component of impairments, as well as all subsequent changes in fair value of such impaired securities reported in AOCI.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 7: Investments (continued)**

The following table presents the distribution by contractual maturity of AFS and HTM fixed-maturity securities at amortized cost and fair value as of March 31, 2013. Contractual maturity may differ from expected maturity as borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations.

| In millions | AFS Securities Consolidated VIEs | | | | HTM Securities Consolidated VIEs | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Amortized | Fair Value | Amortized | Fair Value | Amortized | |
| | Cost | | Cost | | Cost | Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 274 | \$ 275 | \$ 17 | \$ 18 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Due after one year through five years | 898 | 921 | 107 | 116 | - | - |
| Due after five years through ten years | 794 | 831 | - | - | - | - |
| Due after ten years through fifteen years | 370 | 398 | 84 | 82 | - | - |
| Due after fifteen years | 991 | 1,043 | - | - | 2,826 | 2,759 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed | 1,296 | 1,241 | 200 | 187 | - | - |
| Total fixed-maturity investments | \$ 4,623 | \$ 4,709 | \$ 408 | \$ 403 | \$ 2,826 | \$ 2,759 |

Deposited and Pledged Securities

The fair value of securities on deposit with various regulatory authorities was \$11 million and \$10 million as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. These deposits are required to comply with state insurance laws.

The Company enters into securities borrowing and lending contracts in connection with MBIA's collateralized investment agreement activities. Such contracts are only transacted with high-quality dealer firms. It is the Company's policy to take possession of securities borrowed under these contracts. The Company minimizes credit risk from counterparties that might be unable to fulfill their contractual obligations by monitoring customer credit exposure and collateral values and requiring additional collateral to be deposited with the Company when deemed necessary.

Substantially all of the obligations under investment agreements require the Company to pledge securities as collateral. Securities pledged in connection with investment agreement activities may not be repledged by the investment agreement counterparty. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair value of securities pledged as collateral for these investment agreements approximated \$804 million and \$820 million, respectively. The Company's collateral as of March 31, 2013 consisted principally of RMBS, U.S. Treasury and government agency bonds and state and municipal bonds, and was primarily held with major U.S. banks. Additionally, the Company pledged cash and money market securities as collateral under investment agreements in the amount of \$122 million and \$144 million as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 7: Investments (continued)****Impaired Investments**

The following tables present the gross unrealized losses related to AFS and HTM investments as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| In millions | Less than 12 Months | | March 31, 2013 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| AFS Investments | | | | | | |
| Fixed-maturity investments: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and government agency | \$ 70 | \$ (1) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 70 | \$ (1) |
| State and municipal bonds | 196 | (2) | 85 | (6) | 281 | (8) |
| Foreign governments | 32 | - | - | - | 32 | - |
| Corporate obligations | 267 | (5) | 54 | (15) | 321 | (20) |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed agency | 403 | (3) | 36 | - | 439 | (3) |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | 2 | - | 27 | (7) | 29 | (7) |
| Commercial mortgage-backed | 3 | - | 1 | (1) | 4 | (1) |
| Asset-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 4 | - | 74 | (65) | 78 | (65) |
| Other asset-backed | 3 | - | 63 | (11) | 66 | (11) |
| Total fixed-maturity investments | 980 | (11) | 340 | (105) | 1,320 | (116) |
| Perpetual debt and equity securities | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | | |
| Corporate obligations | 10 | - | 21 | (6) | 31 | (6) |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | 3 | - | 76 | (7) | 79 | (7) |
| Asset-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | - | - | 83 | (6) | 83 | (6) |
| Total AFS investments | \$ 994 | \$ (11) | \$ 520 | \$ (124) | \$ 1,514 | \$ (135) |
| HTM Investments | | | | | | |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | | |
| Corporate obligations | \$ 311 | \$ (4) | \$ 1,336 | \$ (89) | \$ 1,647 | \$ (93) |
| Total HTM investments | \$ 311 | \$ (4) | \$ 1,336 | \$ (89) | \$ 1,647 | \$ (93) |

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 7: Investments (continued)**

| In millions | Less than 12 Months | | December 31, 2012 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| AFS Investments | | | | | | |
| Fixed-maturity investments: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and government agency | \$ 234 | \$ (1) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 234 | \$ (1) |
| State and municipal bonds | 69 | - | 87 | (12) | 156 | (12) |
| Foreign governments | 11 | - | 1 | - | 12 | - |
| Corporate obligations | 202 | (2) | 57 | (18) | 259 | (20) |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed agency | 173 | (1) | 38 | - | 211 | (1) |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | 4 | - | 28 | (8) | 32 | (8) |
| Commercial mortgage-backed | 3 | - | 27 | (4) | 30 | (4) |
| Asset-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 1 | - | 80 | (71) | 81 | (71) |
| Other asset-backed | 4 | - | 65 | (11) | 69 | (11) |
| Total fixed-maturity investments | 701 | (4) | 383 | (124) | 1,084 | (128) |
| Perpetual debt and equity securities | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | | |
| Corporate obligations | - | - | 31 | (6) | 31 | (6) |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed non-agency | - | - | 82 | (10) | 82 | (10) |
| Asset-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | - | - | 85 | (8) | 85 | (8) |
| Total AFS investments | \$ 702 | \$ (4) | \$ 582 | \$ (148) | \$ 1,284 | \$ (152) |
| HTM Investments | | | | | | |
| Assets of consolidated VIEs: | | | | | | |
| Corporate obligations | \$ 297 | \$ (19) | \$ 1,287 | \$ (138) | \$ 1,584 | \$ (157) |
| Total HTM investments | \$ 297 | \$ (19) | \$ 1,287 | \$ (138) | \$ 1,584 | \$ (157) |

Gross unrealized losses on AFS and HTM securities decreased as of March 31, 2013 compared with December 31, 2012 primarily due to market price appreciation.

With the weighting applied on the fair value of each security relative to the total fair value, the weighted average contractual maturity of securities in an unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was 24 and 23 years, respectively. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were 124 and 153 securities, respectively, that were in an unrealized loss position for a continuous twelve-month period or longer, of which the fair values of 68 and 89 securities, respectively, were below book value by more than 5%.

The following table presents the distribution of securities by percentage of fair value below book value by more than 5%:

| Percentage of Fair Value Below Book Value | Number of Securities | Book Value (in millions) | Fair Value (in millions) |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| > 5% to 15% | 18 | \$ 741 | \$ 641 |
| > 15% to 25% | 15 | 136 | 110 |
| > 25% to 50% | 15 | 56 | 40 |
| > 50% | 20 | 80 | 17 |
| Total | 68 | \$ 1,013 | \$ 808 |

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 7: Investments (continued)**

The following table presents the fair values and gross unrealized losses by credit rating category of ABS, MBS and corporate obligations included in the Company's consolidated AFS investment portfolio as of March 31, 2013 for which fair value was less than amortized cost. The credit ratings are based on ratings from Moody's as of March 31, 2013 or an alternate ratings source, such as S&P, when a security is not rated by Moody's. For investments that are insured by various third-party guarantee insurers, the credit rating reflects the higher of the insurer's rating or the underlying bond's rating.

| In millions Asset Type | Aaa | | Aa | | A | | Baa | | Below Investment Grade | | Not Rated | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss |
| ABS | \$ 25 | \$ (1) | \$ 64 | \$ (5) | \$ 3 | \$ - | \$ 1 | \$ - | \$ 134 | \$ (76) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 227 | \$ (82) |
| MBS | 439 | (4) | 4 | - | 78 | (7) | 2 | - | 13 | (2) | 15 | (5) | 551 | (18) |
| Corporate obligations | 5 | - | 72 | (1) | 196 | (11) | 65 | (13) | 14 | (1) | - | - | 352 | (26) |
| Total | \$ 469 | \$ (5) | \$ 140 | \$ (6) | \$ 277 | \$ (18) | \$ 68 | \$ (13) | \$ 161 | \$ (79) | \$ 15 | \$ (5) | \$ 1,130 | \$ (126) |

The total ABS, MBS and corporate obligations reported in the preceding table include those which are guaranteed by financial guarantors. In addition, the following table presents information on ABS, MBS and corporate obligations guaranteed by the Company and third-party financial guarantors.

| Asset Type | Average Credit Rating with the Effect of Guarantee | Average Credit Rating without the Effect of Guarantee | Insured Securities Rated Below Investment Grade without the Effect of Guarantee | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|------------|
| | | | (in millions) Fair Value | Percentage |
| ABS | Below investment grade | Below investment grade | \$ 100 | 64 % |
| MBS | A | Below investment grade | 83 | 95 % |
| Corporate obligations | Baa | Baa | - | - % |

Refer to the table in the Determination of Credit Loss Guaranteed by the Company and Other Third-Party Guarantors section within the OTTI section of this note for information on the insured securities included in the table above.

The Company concluded that it does not have the intent to sell securities in an unrealized loss position and it is more likely than not, that it would not have to sell these securities before recovery of their cost basis. In making this conclusion, the Company examined the cash flow projections for its investment portfolios, the potential sources and uses of cash in its businesses, and the cash resources available to its business other than sales of securities. It also considered the existence of any risk management or other plans as of March 31, 2013 that would require the sale of impaired securities. Impaired securities that the Company intends to sell before the expected recovery of such securities' fair values have been written down to fair value.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

Evaluating AFS Securities for OTTI

The Company has an ongoing review process for all securities in its investment portfolio, including a quarterly assessment of OTTI. This evaluation includes both qualitative and quantitative considerations. In assessing whether a decline in value is related to a credit loss, the Company considers several factors, including but not limited to (i) the magnitude and duration of declines in fair value; (ii) the reasons for the declines in fair value, such as general credit spread movements in each asset-backed sector, transaction-specific changes in credit spreads, credit rating downgrades, modeled defaults, and principal and interest payment priorities within each investment structure; and (iii) any guarantees associated with a security such as those provided by financial guarantee insurance companies, including MBIA Corp. and National.

In calculating credit-related losses, the Company utilizes cash flow modeling based on the type of security. The Company's cash flow analysis considers all sources of cash, including credit enhancement, that support the payment of amounts owed by an issuer of a security. This includes the consideration of cash expected to be provided by financial guarantors, including MBIA Corp., resulting from an actual or potential insurance policy claim. In general, any change in the amount and/or timing of cash flows received or expected to be received, whether or not such cash flows are contractually defined, is reflected in the Company's cash flow analysis for purposes of assessing an OTTI loss on an impaired security.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 7: Investments (continued)

Each quarter, an internal committee, comprising staff that is independent of the Company's evaluation process for determining OTTI of securities, reviews and approves the valuation of investments. Among other responsibilities, this committee ensures that the Company's process for identifying and calculating OTTI, including the use of models and assumptions, is reasonable and complies with the Company's internal policy.

Determination of Credit Loss on ABS, MBS and Corporate Obligations

Investments with unrealized losses that met the above criteria were tested for OTTI and principally related to ABS, MBS and corporate obligations.

ABS investments are evaluated for OTTI using historical collateral performance, deal waterfall and structural protections, credit ratings, and forward looking projections of collateral performance based on business and economic conditions specific to each collateral type and risk. The underlying collateral is evaluated to identify any specific performance concerns, and stress scenarios are considered in forecasting ultimate returns of principal. Based on this evaluation, if a principal default is projected for a security, estimated future cash flows are discounted at the security's interest rate used to recognize interest income on the security. For CDO investments, the Company utilizes the same tools as for RMBS investments discussed below, aggregating the bond level cash flows to the CDO investment level. If the present value of cash flows is less than the Company's amortized cost for the security, the difference is recorded as an OTTI loss.

RMBS investments are evaluated for OTTI using several quantitative tools. Loan level data are obtained and analyzed in a model that produces prepayment, default, and severity vectors. The model utilizes macro inputs, including housing price assumptions and interest rates. The vector outputs are used as inputs to a third-party cash flow model, which considers deal waterfall dynamics and structural features, to generate cash flows for an RMBS investment. The expected cash flows of the security are then discounted at the interest rate used to recognize interest income of the security to arrive at a present value amount. If the present value of the cash flows is less than the Company's amortized cost for the investment, the difference is recorded as an OTTI loss.

Corporate obligation investments are evaluated for OTTI using credit analysis techniques. The Company's analysis includes a detailed review of a number of quantitative and qualitative factors impacting the value of an individual security. These factors include the interest rate of the security (fixed or floating), the security's current market spread, any collateral supporting the security, the security's position in the issuer's capital structure, and credit rating upgrades or downgrades. Additionally, these factors include an assessment of various issuer-related credit metrics including market capitalization, earnings, cash flow, capitalization, interest coverage, leverage, liquidity, management and a third-party quantitative default probability model. The Company's analysis is augmented by comparing market prices for similar securities of other issuers in the same sector, as well as any recent corporate or government actions that may impact the ultimate return of principal. If the Company determines that, after considering these factors, a principal default is projected, a recovery analysis is performed using the above data. If the Company's estimated recovery value for the security is less than its amortized cost, the difference is recorded as an OTTI loss.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 7: Investments (continued)**

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the credit losses recognized in earnings were related to RMBS and CDOs. The following table presents a summary of the significant inputs considered in determining the measurement of the credit losses on securities in which a portion of the impairment is included in AOCI:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 |
|---|--|
| Asset-backed Securities | |
| Expected size of losses ⁽¹⁾ : | |
| Range ⁽²⁾ | 12.13% to 100.00% |
| Weighted average ⁽³⁾ | 90.60% |
| Current subordination levels ⁽⁴⁾ : | |
| Range ⁽²⁾ | 0.00% to 0.00% |
| Weighted average ⁽³⁾ | 0.00% |
| Prepayment speed (annual constant prepayment rate) ⁽⁵⁾ : | |
| Range ⁽²⁾ | 0.00% to 22.68% |
| Weighted average ⁽³⁾ | 10.21% |

(1) - Represents future expected credit losses on impaired assets expressed as a percentage of total outstanding balance.

(2) - Represents the range of inputs/assumptions based upon the individual securities within each category.

(3) - Calculated by weighting the relevant input/assumption for each individual security by the outstanding notional of the security.

(4) - Represents current level of credit protection (subordination) for the securities, expressed as a percentage of the balance of the collateral group backing the bond.

(5) - Values represent high and low points of lifetime vectors of constant prepayment rates.

Determination of Credit Loss Guaranteed by the Company and Other Third-Party Guarantors

The Company does not record OTTI related to credit concerns about issuers of securities insured by MBIA Corp. and National since investors in these securities, including MBIA, are guaranteed payment of principal and interest when due by MBIA. Securities insured by the Company, whether or not owned by the Company, are evaluated for impairment as part of its insurance surveillance process and, therefore, losses on securities insured by the Company are recorded in accordance with its loss reserving policy. Refer to Note 2: Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for information about the Company's loss reserving policy and Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves for information about loss reserves.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

In considering cash expected to be provided from other third-party financial guarantors, the Company assesses the financial guarantor's ability to make claim payments under a variety of scenarios that test the guarantor's ultimate claims paying ability. The weighted average outcome of these scenarios, combined with the cash flows provided by the insured security, are used to determine the recoverability of the Company's amortized cost.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 7: Investments (continued)**

The following table provides information about securities held by the Company as of March 31, 2013 that were in an unrealized loss position and insured by a financial guarantor, along with the amount of insurance loss reserves corresponding to the par amount owned by the Company:

| In millions | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Insurance Loss Reserve ⁽²⁾ |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Asset-backed: | | | |
| MBIA ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 146 | \$ (58) | \$ 16 |
| Other | 9 | (5) | - |
| Total asset-backed | 155 | (63) | 16 |
| Mortgage-backed: | | | |
| MBIA ⁽¹⁾ | 6 | - | - |
| Other | 82 | (7) | - |
| Total mortgage-backed | 88 | (7) | - |
| Corporate obligations: | | | |
| Other | 11 | (10) | - |
| Total corporate obligations | 11 | (10) | - |
| Other: | | | |
| MBIA ⁽¹⁾ | 68 | (6) | - |
| Other | 7 | - | - |
| Total other | 75 | (6) | - |
| Total | \$ 329 | \$ (86) | \$ 16 |

(1) - Includes investments insured by MBIA Corp. and National.

(2) - Insurance loss reserve estimates are based on the proportion of par value owned to the total amount of par value insured.

Credit Loss Rollforward

The portion of certain OTTI losses on fixed-maturity securities that does not represent credit losses is recognized in AOCI. For these impairments, the net amount recognized in earnings represents the difference between the amortized cost of the security and the net present value of its projected future discounted cash flows prior to impairment. Any remaining difference between the fair value and amortized cost is recognized in AOCI. The following table presents the amount of credit loss impairments recognized in earnings on fixed-maturity securities held by MBIA as of the dates indicated, for which a portion of the OTTI losses was recognized in AOCI, and the corresponding changes in such amounts.

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|------------------------------|--------|
| Credit Losses Recognized in Earnings Related to | | |
| Other-Than-Temporary Impairments | 2013 | 2012 |
| Beginning balance | \$ 197 | \$ 341 |
| Additions for credit loss impairments recognized in the current period on securities previously impaired | - | 2 |
| Reductions for credit loss impairments previously recognized on securities sold during the period | (2) | (7) |
| Reductions for credit loss impairments previously recognized on securities impaired to fair value during the period ⁽¹⁾ | - | (59) |
| Ending balance | \$ 195 | \$ 277 |

(1) - Represents circumstances where the Company determined in the current period that it intends to sell the security or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery of the security's amortized cost.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 7: Investments (continued)*****Sales of Available-For-Sale Investments***

Gross realized gains and losses are recorded in Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange in the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The proceeds and gross realized gains and losses from sales of AFS investments for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Proceeds from sales | \$ 878 | \$ 2,052 |
| Gross realized gains | \$ 36 | \$ 31 |
| Gross realized losses | \$ (3) | \$ (79) |

Note 8: Derivative Instruments***Overview***

MBIA has entered into derivative instruments through its financial guarantee of CDS and for purposes of hedging risks associated with existing assets and liabilities and forecasted transactions. The Company accounts for derivative instruments in accordance with the accounting principles for derivative and hedging activities, which requires that all such instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Refer to Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments for the method of determining the fair value of derivative instruments.

U.S. Public Finance Insurance

The Company's derivative exposure within its U.S. public finance insurance operations primarily consists of insured interest rate and inflation-linked swaps related to insured U.S. public finance debt issues. These derivatives do not qualify for the financial guarantee scope exception. The Company has also purchased certain investments containing embedded derivatives. All derivatives are recorded at fair value on the Company's consolidated balance sheets with the changes in fair value recorded on the Company's consolidated statements of operations within Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives, for the insured derivatives, or Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange for the embedded derivatives.

Structured Finance and International Insurance

The Company entered into derivative instruments that it viewed as an extension of its core financial guarantee business but which do not qualify for the financial guarantee scope exception and, therefore, must be recorded at fair value on the balance sheet. These insured CDS contracts, primarily referencing corporate, asset-backed, residential mortgage-backed, commercial mortgage-backed, CRE loans, and CDO securities, are intended to be held for the entire term of the contract absent a negotiated settlement with the counterparty.

Changes in the fair value of derivatives, excluding insured derivatives, are recorded each period in current earnings within Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange. Changes in the fair value of insured derivatives are recorded each period in current earnings within Net change in fair value of insured derivatives. The net change in the fair value of the Company's insured derivatives has two primary components: (i) realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured derivatives and (ii) unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives. Realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured derivatives include (i) premiums received and receivable on sold CDS contracts, (ii) premiums paid and payable to reinsurers in respect to CDS contracts, (iii) net amounts received or paid on reinsurance

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

commutations, (iv) losses paid and payable to CDS contract counterparties due to the occurrence of a credit event or settlement agreement, (v) losses recovered and recoverable on purchased CDS contracts due to the occurrence of a credit event or settlement agreement and (vi) fees relating to CDS contracts. The Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives include all other changes in fair value of the insured derivative contracts.

Variable Interest Entities

VIEs consolidated by the Company have entered into derivative instruments primarily consisting of interest rate swaps. Interest rate swaps are entered into to mitigate the risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates or fair values of certain contracts.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 8: Derivative Instruments (continued)***Asset/Liability Products*

The Company's asset/liability products business has entered into derivative instruments primarily consisting of interest rate swaps, cross currency swaps, and CDS contracts. Interest rate swaps are entered into to hedge the risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates or fair values of certain contracts. Cross currency swaps are entered into to hedge the variability in cash flows resulting from fluctuations in foreign currency rates. CDS contracts are entered into to hedge credit risk or to replicate investments in cash assets consistent with the Company's risk objectives and credit guidelines for its asset management business.

In certain instances, the Company's asset/liability products business purchased or issued securities that contain embedded derivatives. In accordance with the accounting guidance for derivative instruments and hedging activities, the balance sheet location of the Company's embedded derivative instruments is determined by the location of the related security.

Changes in the fair value of the Company's asset/liability products business derivatives are recorded on the Company's consolidated statements of operations within Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange.

Credit Derivatives Sold

The following tables present information about credit derivatives sold by the Company's insurance operations that were outstanding as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Credit ratings represent the lower of underlying ratings assigned to the collateral by Moody's, S&P or MBIA.

| \$ in millions | Weighted Average Remaining Expected Maturity | As of March 31, 2013 | | | | | Below Investment Grade | Total Notional | Fair Value Asset (Liability) |
|--------------------------------|--|----------------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | AAA | AA | A | BBB | Notional Value | | | |
| Credit Derivatives Sold | | | | | | | | | |
| Insured credit default swaps | 4.9 Years | \$ 10,007 | \$ 5,792 | \$ 3,109 | \$ 11,250 | \$ 14,228 | \$ 44,386 | \$ (2,960) | |
| Insured swaps | 19.2 Years | - | 85 | 3,632 | 1,958 | - | 5,675 | (8) | |
| All others | 32.6 Years | - | - | - | - | 195 | 195 | (38) | |
| Total notional | | \$ 10,007 | \$ 5,877 | \$ 6,741 | \$ 13,208 | \$ 14,423 | \$ 50,256 | | |
| Total fair value | | \$ (3) | \$ (83) | \$ (8) | \$ (534) | \$ (2,378) | | \$ (3,006) | |

| \$ in millions | Weighted Average | As of December 31, 2012 | | | | | Below Investment | Total Notional | Fair Value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----|---|-----|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | | AAA | AA | A | BBB | Notional Value | | | |
| Credit Derivatives Sold | | | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | Remaining Expected Maturity | | | | | Grade | | Asset (Liability) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Insured credit default swaps | 5.1 Years | \$ 10,457 | \$ 5,862 | \$ 5,253 | \$ 11,571 | \$ 13,859 | \$ 47,002 | \$ (2,858) |
| Insured swaps | 19.4 Years | - | 103 | 3,661 | 1,982 | 71 | 5,817 | (8) |
| All others | 1.8 Years | - | - | - | - | 195 | 195 | (68) |
| Total notional | | \$ 10,457 | \$ 5,965 | \$ 8,914 | \$ 13,553 | \$ 14,125 | \$ 53,014 | |
| Total fair value | | \$ (7) | \$ (70) | \$ (72) | \$ (732) | \$ (2,053) | | \$ (2,934) |

Internal credit ratings assigned by MBIA on the underlying collateral are derived by the Company's surveillance group. In assigning an internal rating, current status reports from issuers and trustees, as well as publicly available transaction-specific information, are reviewed. Also, where appropriate, cash flow analyses and collateral valuations are considered. The maximum potential amount of future payments (undiscounted) on CDS contracts are estimated as the notional value plus any additional debt service costs, such as interest or other amounts owing on CDS contracts. The maximum amount of future payments that MBIA may be required to make under these guarantees as of March 31, 2013 is \$48.5 billion. This amount is net of \$392 million of insured derivatives ceded under reinsurance agreements in which MBIA economically hedges a portion of the credit and market risk associated with its insured derivatives and offsetting agreements with a counterparty. The maximum potential amount of future payments (undiscounted) on insured swaps are estimated as the notional value of such contracts.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 8: Derivative Instruments (continued)**

MBIA may hold recourse provisions with third parties in derivative instruments through both reinsurance and subrogation rights. MBIA's reinsurance arrangements provide that in the event MBIA pays a claim under a guarantee of a derivative contract, MBIA has the right to collect amounts from any reinsurers that have reinsured the guarantee on either a proportional or non-proportional basis, depending upon the underlying reinsurance agreement. MBIA may also have recourse through subrogation rights whereby if MBIA makes a claim payment, it is entitled to any rights of the insured counterparty, including the right to any assets held as collateral.

Counterparty Credit Risk

The Company manages counterparty credit risk on an individual counterparty basis through master netting agreements covering derivative instruments in the asset/liability products segment. There are no master netting agreements in the Structured Finance and International Insurance or the U.S. Public Finance segments. The master netting agreements in the asset/liability products segment allow the Company to contractually net amounts due from a counterparty with those amounts due to such counterparty when certain triggering events occur. The Company only executes swaps under master netting agreements, which typically contain mutual credit downgrade provisions that generally provide the ability to require assignment or termination in the event either MBIA or the counterparty is downgraded below a specified credit rating.

Under these arrangements, the Company may receive or provide U.S. Treasury and other highly rated securities or cash to secure counterparties exposure to the Company or its exposure to counterparties, respectively. Such collateral is available to the holder to pay for replacing the counterparty in the event that the counterparty defaults. As of March 31, 2013, the Company did not hold cash collateral to derivative counterparties but posted cash collateral to derivative counterparties of \$262 million. Of this amount, \$188 million is netted within *Derivative liabilities*, \$16 million is included within *Other liabilities* as cash collateral netted against accrued interest on derivative liabilities and an additional \$58 million is included in *Other assets* on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2012, the Company did not hold cash collateral to derivative counterparties but posted cash collateral to derivative counterparties of \$285 million. Of this amount, \$203 million is netted within *Derivative liabilities*, \$16 million is included within *Other liabilities* as cash collateral netted against accrued interest on derivative liabilities and an additional \$66 million is included in *Other assets* on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company did not post securities to derivative counterparties.

As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair value on one positive Credit Support Annex (CSA) was \$4 million. This CSA governs collateral posting requirements between MBIA and its derivative counterparties. The Company did not receive collateral due to the Company's credit rating, which was below the CSA minimum credit ratings level for holding counterparty collateral. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the counterparty was rated A2 by Moody's and A by S&P.

Financial Statement Presentation

The fair value of amounts recognized for eligible derivative contracts executed with the same counterparty under a master netting agreement, including any cash collateral that may have been received or posted by the Company, is presented on a net basis in accordance with accounting guidance for the offsetting of fair value amounts related to derivative instruments. Insured CDSs and insured swaps are not subject to master netting agreements. VIE derivative assets and liabilities are not presented net of any master netting agreements.

As of March 31, 2013, the total fair value of the Company's derivative assets, after counterparty netting of \$81 million, was \$12 million, of which \$4 million was reported within *Other assets* and *Other assets-VIEs*. Embedded derivatives of \$8 million were reported within *Medium-term notes* on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

As of March 31, 2013, the total fair value of the Company's derivative liabilities, after counterparty netting of \$81 million and cash collateral posted by the Company of \$188 million was \$3.2 billion, which was reported within *Derivative liabilities* and *Derivative liabilities-VIEs* on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Embedded derivatives of \$37 million were reported within *Medium-term notes* on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

Counterparty netting of derivative assets and liabilities offsets balances in Interest rate and Currency swaps in the table below.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 8: Derivative Instruments (continued)**

The following table presents the total fair value of the Company's derivative assets and liabilities by instrument and balance sheet location, before counterparty netting and posting of cash collateral, as of March 31, 2013:

| In millions | Notional Amount Outstanding | Derivative Assets ⁽¹⁾ | | Derivative Liabilities ⁽¹⁾ | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
| Derivative Instruments | | | | | |
| Not designated as hedging instruments: | | | | | |
| Insured credit default swaps | \$ 44,705 | Other assets | \$ - | Derivative liabilities | \$ (2,960) |
| Insured swaps | 5,675 | Other assets | - | Derivative liabilities | (8) |
| Non-insured credit default swaps | 5 | Other assets | - | Derivative liabilities | - |
| Interest rate swaps | 1,604 | Other assets | 85 | Derivative liabilities | (269) |
| Interest rate swaps-VIE | 2,728 | Other assets-VIE | - | Derivative liabilities-VIE | (127) |
| Interest rate swaps-embedded | 468 | Medium-term notes | 8 | Medium-term notes | (37) |
| Currency swaps | 19 | Other assets | - | Derivative liabilities | - |
| Currency swaps-VIE | 107 | Other assets-VIE | - | Derivative liabilities-VIE | (23) |
| All other | 195 | Other assets | - | Derivative liabilities | (38) |
| All other-VIE | 280 | Other assets-VIE | - | Derivative liabilities-VIE | - |
| All other-embedded | 20 | Other investments | - | Other investments | - |
| Total non-designated derivatives | \$ 55,806 | | \$ 93 | | \$ (3,462) |

(1) - In accordance with the accounting guidance for derivative instruments and hedging activities, the balance sheet location of the Company's embedded derivative instruments is determined by the location of the related host contract.

As of December 31, 2012, the total fair value of the Company's derivative assets, after counterparty netting of \$90 million, was \$12 million, of which \$4 million was reported within Other assets and Other assets-VIEs. Embedded derivatives of \$8 million were reported within Medium-term notes on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

As of December 31, 2012, the total fair value of the Company's derivative liabilities, after counterparty netting of \$90 million and cash collateral posted by the Company of \$203 million, was \$3.1 billion which was reported within Derivative liabilities and Derivative liabilities-VIEs on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Embedded derivatives of \$36 million were reported within Medium-term notes and Other investments on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Counterparty netting of derivative assets and liabilities offsets balances in Interest rate swaps not designated as hedging instruments and Currency swaps in the table below.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 8: Derivative Instruments (continued)**

The following table presents the total fair value of the Company's derivative assets and liabilities by instrument and balance sheet location, before counterparty netting and posting of cash collateral, as of December 31, 2012:

| In millions | Notional Amount Outstanding | Derivative Assets ⁽¹⁾ | | Derivative Liabilities ⁽¹⁾ | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
| Derivative Instruments | | | | | |
| Not designated as hedging instruments: | | | | | |
| Insured credit default swaps | \$ 47,320 | Other assets | \$ - | Derivative liabilities | \$ (2,858) |
| Insured swaps | 5,817 | Other assets | - | Derivative liabilities | (8) |
| Non-insured credit default swaps | 10 | Other assets | - | Derivative liabilities | - |
| Interest rate swaps | 1,637 | Other assets | 94 | Derivative liabilities | (290) |
| Interest rate swaps-VIE | 2,728 | Other assets-VIE | - | Derivative liabilities-VIE | (141) |
| Interest rate swaps-embedded | 483 | Medium-term notes | 8 | Medium-term notes | (35) |
| Currency swaps | 40 | Other assets | - | Derivative liabilities | (3) |
| Currency swaps-VIE | 110 | Other assets-VIE | - | Derivative liabilities-VIE | (21) |
| All other | 195 | Other assets | - | Derivative liabilities | (68) |
| All other-VIE | 280 | Other assets-VIE | - | Derivative liabilities-VIE | - |
| All other-embedded | 20 | Other investments | - | Other investments | (1) |
| Total non-designated | \$ 58,640 | | \$ 102 | | \$ (3,425) |
| Total derivatives | \$ 58,640 | | \$ 102 | | \$ (3,425) |

(1) - In accordance with the accounting guidance for derivative instruments and hedging activities, the balance sheet location of the Company's embedded derivative instruments is determined by the location of the related host contract.

The following table presents the effect of derivative instruments on the consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

| In millions | | Net Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Derivatives Not Designated as | | |
| Hedging Instruments | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative | |
| Insured credit default swaps | Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives | \$ (103) |
| Insured credit default swaps | Realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured derivatives | 12 |
| Interest rate swaps | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 3 |
| Interest rate swaps-VIE | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange-VIE | 13 |
| Currency swaps | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 2 |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--------------------|--|---------|
| Currency swaps-VIE | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange-VIE | (1) |
| All other | Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives | 30 |
| Total | | \$ (44) |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the effect of derivative instruments on the consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012:

| In millions | Location of Gain (Loss) | | | |
|---------------------------|--|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Derivatives in Fair Value | Recognized in Income on | Gain (Loss) | Gain (Loss) | Net Gain (Loss) |
| Hedging Relationships | Derivative | Recognized in | Recognized in | Recognized in |
| | | Income on | Income on | Income |
| | | Derivative | Hedged | Income |
| | | | Item | |
| Interest rate swaps | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | \$ 27 | \$ (27) | \$ - |
| Interest rate swaps | Interest income (expense) | - | - | (2) |
| Total | | \$ 27 | \$ (27) | \$ (2) |

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 8: Derivative Instruments (continued)****In millions****Derivatives Not Designated as**

| Hedging Instruments | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative | Net Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Insured credit default swaps | Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives | \$ 301 |
| Insured credit default swaps | Realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured derivatives | (4) |
| Non-insured credit default swaps | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | (1) |
| Non-insured credit default swaps-VIE | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange-VIE | (1) |
| Interest rate swaps | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 10 |
| Interest rate swaps-VIE | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange-VIE | 21 |
| Currency swaps | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | (2) |
| Currency swaps-VIE | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange-VIE | (2) |
| All other | Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives | 2 |
| All other | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 11 |
| All other-VIE | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange-VIE | (1) |
| Total | | \$ 334 |

Note 9: Income Taxes

The Company's income taxes and the related effective tax rates for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 215 | \$ 21 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | \$ 51 | \$ 11 |
| Effective tax rate | 23.7% | 52.4% |

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company's effective tax rate applied to its pre-tax income was lower than the U.S. statutory tax rate primarily as a result of the decrease in the valuation allowance against its deferred tax asset.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company's effective tax rate applied to its pre-tax income was higher than the U.S. statutory tax rate as a result of the increase in the valuation allowance, partially offset by the benefit of tax exempt income and the release of a portion of the reserve for uncertain tax benefits.

For interim reporting purposes, the Company has calculated its effective tax rate for the full year of 2013 by adjusting annual forecasted pre-tax income for mark-to-market income, fair value adjustments, capital gains/losses, and other adjustments, when projecting its full year effective tax rate. The Company has accounted for these items at the federal applicable tax rate after applying the projected full year effective tax rate to actual three-month results before discrete items.

Deferred Tax Asset, Net of Valuation Allowance

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

The Company establishes a valuation allowance against its deferred tax asset when it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. All evidence, both positive and negative, needs to be identified and considered in making the determination. Future realization of the existing deferred tax asset ultimately depends, in part, on the existence of sufficient taxable income of appropriate character (for example, ordinary income versus capital gains) within the carryforward period available under the tax law.

As of March 31, 2013, the Company reported a net deferred tax asset of \$1.1 billion. The \$1.1 billion net deferred tax asset is net of a \$127 million valuation allowance. As of March 31, 2013, the Company had a valuation allowance against a portion of the deferred tax asset related to capital loss carryforwards and losses from asset impairments as these losses are considered capital losses, have a five-year carryforward period, once recognized, and can only offset capital gain income. The March 31, 2013 valuation allowance reflects a decrease of \$19 million from the December 31, 2012 valuation allowance of \$146 million. The decrease in the valuation allowance for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was primarily due to the generation of capital gain income from the termination of certain contracts.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 9: Income Taxes (continued)

The Company has concluded that it is more likely than not that its net deferred tax asset will be realized. In its conclusion, the Company considered the following evidence (both positive and negative):

Due to the long-tail nature of the financial guarantee business, MBIA Inc.'s insurance subsidiaries, without regard to any new business, will have a steady stream of scheduled premium earnings with respect to the existing insured portfolio. Additionally, MBIA Corp.'s announcement in February 2008 of a suspension in writing new structured finance transactions and a permanent cessation with respect to insuring new CDS contracts, except in transactions related to the reduction of existing derivative exposure, would not have an impact on the expected earnings related to the existing insured portfolio.

The Company performed taxable income projections over a twenty-year period to determine whether it will have sufficient income to offset its deferred tax asset that will generate future ordinary deductions. In this analysis, the Company concluded that premium earnings, even without regard to any new business, combined with investment income, less deductible expenses, will be sufficient to recover its net deferred tax asset. The Company's taxable income projections used to assess the recoverability of its deferred tax asset include an estimate of future loss and LAE equal to the present value discount of loss reserves already recognized on the balance sheet and an estimate of LAE which is generally insignificant. The Company does not assume additional losses, with the exception of the accretion of its existing present value loss reserves, because the Company establishes case basis reserves on a present value basis based on an estimate of probable losses on specifically identified credits that have defaulted or are expected to default.

While the ratings downgrades by the rating agencies have significantly adversely impacted the Company's ability to write new insurance business, the downgrades did not have a material impact on earnings from the existing insured portfolio, which the Company believes will be sufficient to absorb losses in the event that the cumulative unrealized losses become fully impaired.

With respect to installment policies, the Company generally does not have an automatic cancellation provision solely in connection with ratings downgrades. With regard to upfront policies, to the extent that the issuer chooses to terminate a policy, any unearned premium reserve with respect to that policy will be accelerated into earnings (i.e. refundings).

After reviewing all of the evidence available, both positive and negative, MBIA believes that it has appropriately valued the recoverability of its deferred tax assets, net of the valuation allowance, as of March 31, 2013. The Company continues to assess the adequacy of its valuation allowance as additional evidence becomes available. The Company's recent financial results have been volatile which has impacted management's ability to accurately project future taxable income. Continued volatility or losses beyond those projected may cause the Company to conclude that certain of the deferred tax assets within the total deferred tax assets of \$1.1 billion as of March 31, 2013 may not be realizable. The Company performs an analysis every quarter to review its conclusion as to the ability to realize the deferred tax asset.

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

The Company's policy is to record and disclose any change in unrecognized tax benefit (UTB) and related interest and/or penalties to income tax in the consolidated statements of operations.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

In millions

| | |
|--|-------|
| Unrecognized tax benefit as of December 31, 2012 | \$ 47 |
| The gross amount of the increase/(decrease) in the UTB as a result of tax positions taken: | |
| During a prior year | - |
| During the current year | 4 |
| The amounts of decreases in the UTB related to settlements with taxing authorities | - |
| The reduction in the UTB as a result of the applicable statute of limitations | - |
| | |
| Unrecognized tax benefit as of March 31, 2013 | \$ 51 |

MBIA's major tax jurisdictions include the U.S. and the U.K. MBIA and its U.S. subsidiaries file a U.S. consolidated federal income tax return.

The U.K. tax authorities are currently auditing tax years 2005 through 2011. On February 5, 2013, HM Revenue & Customs (HMRC) notified the Company of their request for a meeting to discuss the progress of the audit. The Company is responding in writing to the HMRC prior to setting a meeting date.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 10: Business Segments

MBIA manages its activities through three principal business operations: U.S. public finance insurance, structured finance and international insurance, and advisory services. The Company's U.S. public finance insurance business is operated through National, its structured finance and international insurance business is operated through MBIA Corp., and its advisory services business is primarily operated through Cutwater. MBIA Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries also manage certain other business activities, the results of which are reported in its corporate, asset/liability products, and conduit segments. The corporate segment includes revenues and expenses that arise from general corporate activities. While the asset/liability products and conduit businesses represent separate business segments, they may be referred to collectively as wind-down operations as the funding programs managed through those businesses are in wind-down.

As defined by segment reporting, an operating segment is a component of a company (i) that engages in business activities from which it earns revenue and incurs expenses, (ii) whose operating results are regularly reviewed by the Chief Operating Decision Maker to assess the performance of the segment and to make decisions about the allocation of resources to the segment and, (iii) for which discrete financial information is available. The following sections provide a description of each of the Company's reportable operating segments.

U.S. Public Finance Insurance

The Company's U.S. public finance insurance segment is principally conducted through National. The financial guarantees issued by National provide unconditional and irrevocable guarantees of the payment of principal of, and interest or other amounts owing on, U.S. public finance insured obligations when due. The obligations are generally not subject to acceleration, except that National may have the right, at its discretion, to accelerate insured obligations upon default or otherwise. National issues financial guarantees for municipal bonds, including tax-exempt and taxable indebtedness of U.S. political subdivisions, as well as utility districts, airports, health care institutions, higher educational facilities, student loan issuers, housing authorities and other similar agencies and obligations issued by private entities that finance projects that serve a substantial public purpose. Municipal bonds and privately issued bonds used for the financing of public purpose projects are generally supported by taxes, assessments, fees or tariffs related to the use of these projects, lease payments or other similar types of revenue streams. National has not written any meaningful amount of business since its formation in 2009.

Structured Finance and International Insurance

The Company's structured finance and international insurance segment is principally conducted through MBIA Corp. The financial guarantees issued by MBIA Corp. generally provide unconditional and irrevocable guarantees of the payment of principal of, and interest or other amounts owing on, global structured finance and non-U.S. public finance insured obligations when due, or in the event MBIA Corp. has the right, at its discretion, to accelerate insured obligations upon default or otherwise, upon MBIA Corp.'s acceleration. Certain guaranteed investment contracts written by MBIA Inc. are insured by MBIA Corp., and if MBIA Inc. were to have insufficient assets to pay amounts due upon maturity or termination, MBIA Corp. would make such payments. MBIA Corp. also insures debt obligations of the following affiliates:

MBIA Inc.;

GFL;

Meridian;

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

LaCrosse Financial Products, LLC, a wholly-owned affiliate, in which MBIA Corp. has written insurance policies guaranteeing the obligations under CDS, including termination payments that may become due upon certain events including the insolvency or payment default of the financial guarantor or the CDS issuer.

MBIA Corp. s guarantees insure structured finance and asset-backed obligations, privately issued bonds used for the financing of public purpose projects, which are primarily located outside of the U.S. and that include toll roads, bridges, airports, public transportation facilities, utilities and other types of infrastructure projects serving a substantial public purpose, and obligations of sovereign-related and sub-sovereign issuers. Structured finance and ABS typically are securities repayable from expected cash flows generated by a specified pool of assets, such as residential and commercial mortgages, insurance policies, consumer loans, corporate loans and bonds, trade and export receivables, leases for equipment, aircraft and real estate property. The Company is no longer insuring new credit derivative contracts except for transactions related to the reduction of existing derivative exposure. MBIA Corp. has not written any meaningful amount of business since 2008.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 10: Business Segments (continued)***Advisory Services*

The advisory services segment primarily consists of the operations of Cutwater Investor Services Corp. (Cutwater-ISC), Cutwater Asset Management Corp. (Cutwater-AMC), and Trifinium Advisors (UK) Limited (Trifinium). Cutwater-ISC and Cutwater-AMC provide advisory services, including cash management, discretionary asset management and structured products on a fee-for-service basis. Cutwater offers these services to public, not-for-profit, corporate and financial services clients, including MBIA Inc. and its subsidiaries, as well as portfolio accounting and reporting services. Cutwater-ISC and Cutwater-AMC are Securities and Exchange Commission registered investment advisers. Cutwater-AMC is also a Financial Industry Regulatory Authority member firm. Trifinium provides fee-based asset management and advisory services to the Company's foreign insurance affiliate and to third-party clients and investment structures. Trifinium is registered with the Financial Services Authority in the U.K.

Corporate

The Company's corporate segment is a reportable segment and includes revenues and expenses that arise from general corporate activities, such as fees, net investment income, net gains and losses, interest expense on MBIA Inc. debt and general corporate expenses. In the first quarter of 2010, MBIA established a service company, Optinuity Alliance Resources Corporation, which provides general support services to the corporate segment and other operating businesses. Employees of the service company provide various support services including management, legal, accounting, treasury, information technology, and insurance portfolio surveillance, among others, on a fee-for-service basis. The service company's revenues and expenses are included in the results of the corporate segment.

Wind-down Operations

The Company's wind-down operations consist of the asset/liability products and conduit segments. The asset/liability products segment principally consists of the activities of MBIA Inc., MBIA Investment Management Corp. (IMC) and GFL. IMC, along with MBIA Inc., provided customized investment agreements, guaranteed by MBIA Corp., for bond proceeds and other public funds for such purposes as construction, loan origination, escrow and debt service or other reserve fund requirements. It also provided customized products for funds that are invested as part of asset-backed or structured product transactions. GFL raised funds through the issuance of MTNs with varying maturities, which were, in turn, guaranteed by MBIA Corp. GFL lent the proceeds of these MTN issuances to MBIA Inc. (GFL Loans). MBIA Inc. invested the proceeds of investment agreements and GFL Loans in eligible investments, which consisted of investment grade securities rated investment grade at the time of purchase and maintained a minimum average double-A credit quality rating at the time of purchase. MBIA Inc. primarily purchases domestic securities, which are pledged to MBIA Corp. as security for its guarantees on investment agreements and MTNs.

The Company's conduit segment administers one conduit through MBIA Asset Finance, LLC. Assets financed by this conduit are currently funded by MTNs.

The ratings downgrades of MBIA Corp. have resulted in a substantial reduction of funding activities and the termination and collateralization of certain investment agreements, as well as winding down of existing asset/liability products and conduit obligations.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 10: Business Segments (continued)****Segments Results**

The following tables provide the Company's segment results for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | | | | | Consolidated |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | U.S. Public Finance Insurance | Structured Finance and International Insurance | Advisory Services | Corporate | Wind-down Operations | Eliminations | |
| Revenues ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 108 | \$ 42 | \$ 4 | \$ 1 | \$ 9 | \$ - | \$ 164 |
| Net change in fair value of insured derivatives | - | (61) | - | - | - | - | (61) |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 32 | 22 | - | 6 | 3 | - | 63 |
| Net gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 4 |
| Revenues of consolidated VIEs | - | 47 | - | - | 2 | - | 49 |
| Inter-segment revenues ⁽²⁾ | 46 | 20 | 7 | 26 | (2) | (97) | - |
| Total revenues | 186 | 70 | 11 | 33 | 16 | (97) | 219 |
| Losses and loss adjustment | 4 | (198) | - | - | - | - | (194) |
| Operating | 14 | 34 | 10 | 64 | - | - | 122 |
| Interest | - | 28 | - | 12 | 20 | - | 60 |
| Expenses of consolidated VIEs | - | 14 | - | - | 2 | - | 16 |
| Inter-segment expenses ⁽²⁾ | 26 | 56 | 2 | 3 | 13 | (100) | - |
| Total expenses | 44 | (66) | 12 | 79 | 35 | (100) | 4 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 142 | \$ 136 | \$ (1) | \$ (46) | \$ (19) | \$ 3 | \$ 215 |
| Identifiable assets | \$ 6,874 | \$ 17,149 | \$ 34 | \$ 861 | \$ 2,484 | \$ (5,810) ⁽³⁾ | \$ 21,592 |

(1) - Represents the sum of third-party financial guarantee net premiums earned, net investment income, insurance-related fees and reimbursements, investment management fees and other fees.

(2) - Represents intercompany premium income and expense, intercompany asset management fees and expenses, and intercompany interest income and expense pertaining to intercompany receivables and payables.

(3) - Consists of intercompany reinsurance balances, repurchase agreements and loans.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 10: Business Segments (continued)**

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | U.S. Public Finance Insurance | Structured Finance and International Insurance | Advisory Services | Corporate | Wind-down Operations | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| Revenues ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 125 | \$ 55 | \$ 6 | \$ 1 | \$ 19 | \$ - | \$ 206 |
| Net change in fair value of insured derivatives | - | 299 | - | - | - | - | 299 |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 10 | 46 | - | 5 | (80) | - | (19) |
| Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments | - | (40) | - | - | (54) | - | (94) |
| Other net realized gains (losses) | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Revenues of consolidated VIEs | - | (14) | - | - | 4 | - | (10) |
| Inter-segment revenues ⁽²⁾ | 36 | (7) | 7 | 23 | 3 | (62) | - |
| Total revenues | 171 | 340 | 13 | 29 | (108) | (62) | 383 |
| Losses and loss adjustment | 14 | 83 | - | - | - | - | 97 |
| Operating | 75 | 59 | 15 | 21 | 1 | - | 171 |
| Interest | - | 33 | - | 14 | 26 | - | 73 |
| Expenses of consolidated VIEs | - | 17 | - | - | 4 | - | 21 |
| Inter-segment expenses ⁽²⁾ | 27 | 46 | 2 | 4 | 8 | (87) | - |
| Total expenses | 116 | 238 | 17 | 39 | 39 | (87) | 362 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 55 | \$ 102 | \$ (4) | \$ (10) | \$ (147) | \$ 25 | \$ 21 |
| Identifiable assets | \$ 7,534 | \$ 19,632 | \$ 46 | \$ 670 | \$ 4,732 | \$ (6,488) ⁽³⁾ | \$ 26,126 |

(1) - Represents the sum of third-party financial guarantee net premiums earned, net investment income, insurance-related fees and reimbursements, investment management fees and other fees.

(2) - Represents intercompany premium income and expense, intercompany asset management fees and expenses, and intercompany interest income and expense pertaining to intercompany receivables and payables.

(3) - Consists of intercompany reinsurance balances, repurchase agreements and loans.

Premiums on financial guarantees and insured derivatives reported within the Company's insurance segments are generated within and outside the U.S. The following table summarizes premiums earned on financial guarantees and insured derivatives by geographic location of risk for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Total premiums earned: | | |
| United States | \$ 106 | \$ 119 |
| United Kingdom | 8 | 8 |
| Europe (excluding United Kingdom) | 3 | 5 |
| Internationally diversified | 3 | 5 |
| Central and South America | 8 | 11 |
| Asia | 1 | 1 |
| Other | 2 | 3 |
| Total | \$ 131 | \$ 152 |

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 10: Business Segments (continued)**

The following tables provide the results of the segments within the wind-down operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | | Total Wind-down Operations |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------|----------------------------|
| | Asset / Liability Products | Conduits | Eliminations | |
| Revenues ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 9 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 9 |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 3 | - | - | 3 |
| Net gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| Revenues of consolidated VIEs | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| Inter-segment revenues ⁽²⁾ | (2) | - | - | (2) |
| Total revenues | 14 | 2 | - | 16 |
| Interest | 20 | - | - | 20 |
| Expenses of consolidated VIEs | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| Inter-segment expenses ⁽²⁾ | 2 | 11 | - | 13 |
| Total expenses | 22 | 13 | - | 35 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$ (8) | \$ (11) | \$ - | \$ (19) |
| Identifiable assets | \$ 1,822 | \$ 692 | \$ (30) | \$ 2,484 |

(1) - Represents the sum of third-party interest income, investment management services fees and other fees.

(2) - Represents intercompany asset management fees and expenses plus intercompany interest income and expense pertaining to intercompany debt.

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | | | Total Wind-down Operations |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------|----------------------------|
| | Asset / Liability Products | Conduits | Eliminations | |
| Revenues ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 19 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 19 |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | (80) | - | - | (80) |
| Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments | (54) | - | - | (54) |
| Revenues of consolidated VIEs | - | 4 | - | 4 |
| Inter-segment revenues ⁽²⁾ | 4 | (1) | - | 3 |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Total revenues | (111) | 3 | - | (108) |
| Operating | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Interest | 26 | - | - | 26 |
| Expenses of consolidated VIEs | - | 4 | - | 4 |
| Inter-segment expenses ⁽²⁾ | 8 | - | - | 8 |
| Total expenses | 35 | 4 | - | 39 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$ (146) | \$ (1) | \$ - | \$ (147) |
| Identifiable assets | \$ 3,610 | \$ 1,177 | \$ (55) | \$ 4,732 |

(1) - Represents the sum of third-party interest income, investment management services fees and other fees.

(2) - Represents intercompany asset management fees and expenses plus intercompany interest income and expense pertaining to intercompany debt.

Note 11: Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the dilutive effect of all stock options and other items outstanding during the period that could potentially result in the issuance of common stock. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, there were 3,045,974 and 3,559,044, respectively, of stock options outstanding that were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation because they were antidilutive.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 11: Earnings Per Share (continued)**

The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| \$ in millions except share and per share amounts | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 164 | \$ 10 |
| Basic weighted average shares ⁽¹⁾ | 194,523,933 | 193,489,424 |
| Effect of common stock equivalents: | | |
| Stock options | 1,108,027 | 1,105,550 |
| Diluted weighted average shares | 195,631,960 | 194,594,974 |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.84 | \$ 0.05 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.84 | \$ 0.05 |

(1) - Includes 6,125,599 and 5,219,053 of unvested restricted stock and units that receive nonforfeitable dividends or dividend equivalents for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Note 12: Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The following table presents the changes in the components of AOCI for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

| In millions | Unrealized | Foreign Currency | Total |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|-------|
| | Gains (Losses) on AFS | Translation, | |
| | Securities, Net | Net | |
| Balance, January 1, 2013 | \$ 43 | \$ 13 | \$ 56 |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications | 12 | (43) | (31) |
| Amounts reclassified from AOCI | (16) | - | (16) |
| Net current period other comprehensive income | (4) | (43) | (47) |
| Balance, March 31, 2013 | \$ 39 | \$ (30) | \$ 9 |

The following table presents the details of the reclassifications from AOCI for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

In millions

| Details about AOCI Components | Amounts Reclassified from AOCI | Affected Line Item on the Consolidated Statements of Operations |
|---|---|--|
| Unrealized gains (losses) on AFS securities: | | |
| Realized gain on sale of securities | \$ 26 | Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange |
| Amortization on securities | (1) | Net investment income |
| | 25 | Income (loss) before income taxes |
| | 9 | Provision (benefit) for income taxes |
| Total reclassifications for the period | \$ 16 | Net income (loss) |

Note 13: Commitments and Contingencies

The following commitments and contingencies provide an update of those discussed in Note 20: Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, and should be read in conjunction with the complete descriptions provided in the aforementioned Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 13: Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

Recovery Litigation

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., et al.; Index No. 602825/08 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. County)

In May of 2013, the parties reached an agreement to resolve MBIA's claims in this action. A Stipulation of Discontinuance without Prejudice will be filed shortly. Refer to Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties included herein for a description of the comprehensive settlement agreement.

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. Bank of America Corp.; Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., Countrywide Securities Corp., and Countrywide Financial Corp. et al.; Case No. BC417572 (Ca. Super. Ct., County of Los Angeles)

In May of 2013, the parties reached an agreement to resolve MBIA's claims in this action. A Stipulation of Discontinuance without Prejudice will be filed shortly. Refer to Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties included herein for a description of the comprehensive settlement agreement.

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (in its corporate capacity and as conservator and receiver for IndyMac Federal Bank, F.S.B.); Civil Action No. 09-01011 (ABJ) (D.D.C.)

On March 8, 2013, the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit affirmed the district court's ruling dismissing MBIA Corp.'s amended complaint.

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, et al.; Index No. 603751/2009 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. County)

On March 8, 2013, the defendants filed their answer to the amended complaint.

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. J.P. Morgan Securities LLC (f/k/a Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc.); Index No. 64676/2012 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., County of Westchester)

On November 7, 2012, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC withdrew its motion to dismiss and filed its answer on March 26, 2013.

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. Ally Financial Inc. (f/k/a GMAC, LLC) et al.; 12-cv-02563 SRN/TNL (D. Minn.)

On February 15, 2013, the defendants filed their motion to dismiss. A hearing on the motion is scheduled for May 31, 2013.

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. Flagstar ABS, LLC, et al.; 13-cv-0262 (JSR) (S.D.N.Y.)

The parties filed a Stipulation of Discontinuance and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice of this action on May 2, 2013. Under the terms of the settlement, MBIA Corp. dismissed the lawsuit against Flagstar Bank in exchange for \$110 million in cash and other consideration.

Transformation Litigation

ABN AMRO Bank N.V. et al. v. Eric Dinallo et al.; Index no. 601846/09 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. County)

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

On March 4, 2013, the court issued a decision dismissing the Article 78 proceeding. On April 2, 2013, the remaining plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal to the Appellate Division, First Department. In May of 2013, following the Bank of America and Societe Generale settlements, all plaintiffs in this matter have agreed to dismiss their claims. A Stipulation of Discontinuance will be filed shortly. Refer to Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties included herein for a description of the settlement agreements.

ABN AMRO Bank N.V. et al. v. MBIA Inc. et al.; Index No. 601475/2009 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. County)

In May of 2013, following the Bank of America and Societe Generale settlements, all plaintiffs in this matter have agreed to dismiss their claims. A Stipulation of Discontinuance will be filed shortly. Refer to Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties included herein for a description of the settlement agreements.

Barclays Bank PLC., et al. v. Wrynn et al.; Index No. 651811/2010 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. County)

In May of 2013, following the Bank of America and Societe Generale settlements, all plaintiffs in this matter have agreed to dismiss their claims. A Stipulation of Discontinuance will be filed shortly. Refer to Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties included herein for a description of the settlement agreements.

CQS ABS Master Fund Ltd., CQS Select ABS Master Fund Ltd., and CQS ABS Alpha Master Fund Ltd. v. MBIA Inc. et al.; Civil Action No. 12-cv-6840 (R.S.) (S.D.N.Y.)

On March 18, 2013, argument was heard on MBIA's motion to disqualify plaintiffs' counsel, White & Case LLP.

Broadbill Partners LP, et al. v. MBIA Inc., et al.; Index No. 653865/2012 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. County)

On March 15, 2013, the briefing was completed on MBIA's motion to dismiss.

Corporate Litigation

Bank of America v. MBIA Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon, as Indenture Trustee; Index No. 70444/2012 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., Westchester County)

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 13: Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

In May of 2013, the parties reached an agreement to resolve their respective claims in this action. A Stipulation of Discontinuance without Prejudice will be filed shortly. Refer to Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties included herein for a description of the comprehensive settlement agreement.

MBIA Inc. v. Bank of America Corp. and Blue Ridge Investments, L.L.C.; Index No. 51664/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., Westchester County)

In May of 2013, the parties reached an agreement to resolve their respective claims in this action. A Stipulation of Discontinuance without Prejudice will be filed shortly. Refer to Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties included herein for a description of the comprehensive settlement agreement.

Mary Crescente v. Joseph Brown, et al.; Index No. 17595/2008 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., Westchester County)

On March 25, 2013, a stipulation of discontinuance was filed with the court resolving the litigation.

Ambac Bond Insurance Coverage Cases, Coordinated Proceeding Case No. JCCP 4555 (Super. Ct. of Cal., County of San Francisco)

On March 22, 2013, the court granted the Bond Insurer defendants' motion to strike pursuant to California's Anti-SLAPP statute dismissing the plaintiffs' claims under California's Cartwright Act.

The Company is defending against the aforementioned actions in which it is a defendant and expects ultimately to prevail on the merits. There is no assurance, however, that the Company will prevail in these actions. Adverse rulings in these actions could have a material adverse effect on the Company's ability to implement its strategy and on its business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition. At this stage of the litigation, there has not been a determination as to the amount, if any, of damages. Accordingly, the Company is not able to estimate any amount of loss or range of loss.

There are no other material lawsuits pending or, to the knowledge of the Company, threatened, to which the Company or any of its subsidiaries is a party.

Note 14: Subsequent Events

In May of 2013, MBIA and Bank of America entered into a comprehensive settlement agreement, which among other things, resolved litigation between MBIA and certain of its subsidiaries and Bank of America and certain of its subsidiaries. Refer to Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties for a description of the comprehensive settlement agreement.

In May of 2013, MBIA entered into an agreement with Societe Generale, which among other things, resolved litigation concerning Transformation. Refer to Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties for a description of the agreement.

Refer to Note 13: Commitments and Contingencies for information about legal proceedings that occurred after March 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

FORWARD-LOOKING AND CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS

This quarterly report of MBIA Inc. (MBIA , the Company , we , us or our) includes statements that are not historical or current facts and are forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The words believe , anticipate , project , plan , expect , estimate , intend , will likely result , looking forward , or will continue and similar expressions are used in the forward-looking statements. These statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical earnings and those presently anticipated or projected. MBIA cautions readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only to their respective dates. We undertake no obligation to publicly correct or update any forward-looking statement if the Company later becomes aware that such result is not likely to be achieved.

The following are some of the factors that could affect financial performance or could cause actual results to differ materially from estimates contained in or underlying the Company's forward-looking statements:

uncertainty regarding whether the Company will realize, or will be delayed in realizing, insurance loss recoveries expected in disputes with sellers/servicers of residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) transactions at the levels recorded in its consolidated financial statements;

the possibility that the Company will experience severe losses or liquidity needs due to increased deterioration in its insurance portfolios and in particular, due to the performance of collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) including multi-sector and commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) pools and commercial real estate (CRE) CDOs and RMBS;

failure to obtain regulatory approval to implement our risk reduction and liquidity strategies;

the possibility that loss reserve estimates are not adequate to cover potential claims;

the risk that MBIA Insurance Corporation will be placed in a rehabilitation or liquidation proceeding by the New York State Department of Financial Services (NYSDFS);

our ability to access capital and our exposure to significant fluctuations in liquidity and asset values within the global credit markets, in particular within our asset/liability products segment;

our ability to fully implement our strategic plan, including our ability to achieve high stable ratings for National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation and its subsidiaries (National) or any of our other insurance companies and our ability to commute certain of our insured exposures, including as a result of limited available liquidity;

the resolution of litigation claims against the Company;

the possibility of deterioration in the economic environment and financial markets in the United States (U.S.) or abroad, and adverse developments in real estate market performance, credit spreads, interest rates and foreign currency levels;

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

the possibility that unprecedented fiscal stress will result in credit losses or impairments on obligations of state and local governments that we insure;

changes in the Company's credit ratings;

competitive conditions for bond insurance, including potential entry into the public finance market of additional insurers of municipal bonds, and changes in the demand for financial guarantee insurance;

the effects of governmental regulation, including insurance laws, securities laws, tax laws, legal precedents and accounting rules; and

uncertainties that have not been identified at this time.

The above factors provide a summary of and are qualified in their entirety by the risk factors discussed under "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A of MBIA Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. In addition, refer to "Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of certain risks and uncertainties related to our financial statements.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW**

MBIA operates one of the largest financial guarantee insurance businesses in the industry and is a provider of asset management advisory services. These activities are managed through three business segments: U.S. public finance insurance; structured finance and international insurance; and advisory services. Our U.S. public finance insurance business is primarily operated through National, our structured finance and international insurance business is primarily operated through MBIA Insurance Corporation and its subsidiaries (MBIA Corp.), and our asset management advisory services business is primarily operated through Cutwater Holdings, LLC and its subsidiaries (Cutwater). We also manage certain business activities through our corporate, asset/liability products, and conduit segments. Our corporate segment includes revenues and expenses that arise from general corporate activities. Funding programs managed through our asset/liability products and conduit segments are in wind-down.

During the first quarter of 2013, our businesses continued to maintain adequate liquidity to meet their payment obligations despite minimal collections of recoveries in connection with ineligible mortgage loans in our insured RMBS securitizations. As of March 31, 2013, National and MBIA Corp. had \$440 million and \$258 million, respectively, of total liquidity without regard to the liquidity of their subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2012, National and MBIA Corp. had \$419 million and \$345 million, respectively, of total liquidity without regard to the liquidity of their subsidiaries. Total liquidity within our insurance businesses includes cash and short-term investments, as well as other assets that are readily available for liquidity purposes. Liquidity of MBIA consists of the liquidity positions of the Company's corporate segment and asset/liability products segment. As of March 31, 2013, MBIA had liquidity of \$373 million comprising cash and liquid assets of \$317 million available for general corporate liquidity purposes, excluding the amounts held in escrow under its tax sharing agreement, and \$56 million not pledged directly as collateral for its asset/liability products segment. As of December 31, 2012, MBIA had \$239 million of cash and liquid assets comprising \$170 million available for general corporate liquidity purposes, excluding the amounts held in escrow under its tax sharing agreement, and \$69 million not pledged directly as collateral for its asset/liability products segment. A detailed discussion of the Company's liquidity position is presented within the Liquidity section herein.

As of March 31, 2013, National was rated BB with a developing outlook by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC (S&P) and Baa2 with a review for downgrade by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's). The absence of S&P and Moody's highest ratings has adversely impacted our ability to write new insurance business and the premiums we can charge, and could diminish the future acceptance of our financial guarantee insurance products. In May of 2013, S&P upgraded National's rating to BBB with a credit watch positive outlook. In addition, in May of 2013, S&P upgraded MBIA Inc.'s rating to B- with a credit watch positive from B- with a negative outlook and upgraded MBIA Insurance Corporation's rating to B with a stable outlook from CCC with a negative outlook.

MBIA's Business Outlook and Recent Developments

Our financial results, prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), have been extremely volatile since the fourth quarter of 2007 primarily as a result of unrealized gains and losses from fair valuing our insured credit derivatives. We do not believe that the volatility caused by these unrealized gains and losses on our insured derivatives reflects the underlying economics of our business, and we fully expect that our reported financial results will remain volatile and uncertain during 2013 as a result of actual and perceived future performance of our insured credit derivatives and the perception of MBIA's credit risk. Our economic performance may also be volatile depending on changes in our loss estimates based on changes in macroeconomic conditions in the U.S. and abroad and deviations in collateral performance from our expectations.

Bank of America Settlement

In May of 2013, MBIA Inc., together with its subsidiaries MBIA Corp. and National, entered into a comprehensive settlement agreement and related agreements (the BofA Settlement Agreement) with Bank of America Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries (collectively, Bank of America). Under the terms of the BofA Settlement Agreement, MBIA Corp. received a payment of approximately \$1.7 billion, consisting of \$1.6 billion in cash and \$136 million principal amount of MBIA Inc.'s 5.70% Senior Notes due 2034. In exchange for such payment, MBIA Corp. agreed to dismiss the litigation commenced in September 2008 against Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (Countrywide), among other parties, and later amended to include claims against Bank of America, relating to breaches of representations and warranties on certain MBIA-insured securitizations sponsored by Countrywide. Bank of America and MBIA have also agreed to the commutation of all of the MBIA Corp. policies held by Bank of America, which have a notional insured amount of approximately \$7.4 billion, and of which \$6.1 billion are policies insuring credit default swaps (CDS) held by Bank of America referencing commercial real estate exposures. MBIA Corp. will have no further payment obligations under the commuted policies. The NYSDFS advised MBIA Corp. that the NYSDFS did not object to the BofA

Settlement Agreement.

Under the terms of the BofA Settlement Agreement, Bank of America received a five-year warrant to purchase 9.94 million shares of MBIA common stock at a price of \$9.59 per share. Bank of America has also agreed to dismiss the pending litigation between the parties concerning the restructuring transactions announced by MBIA on February 18, 2009 (the Transformation) and the pending litigation between the parties concerning the senior debt Consent Solicitation completed by MBIA in the fourth quarter of 2012. In addition, Bank of America agreed to withdraw the purported notice of default it sent in connection with such Consent Solicitation.

Under the terms of the BofA Settlement Agreement, the dismissals of the litigations referenced above are initially being filed on a without prejudice basis. The parties will refile such dismissals on a with prejudice basis provided that, within the one-year period following execution of the BofA Settlement Agreement, none of the claims released pursuant to the BofA Settlement Agreement are reinstated and neither party is required to make a payment on any such released claims. The Company views the likelihood of such an event as remote, and thus expects that the litigation dismissals will be filed on a with prejudice basis at the expiration of such one-year period.

MBIA Corp. s policies insuring the RMBS securitizations originated by Countrywide will continue to be in full force and effect, and MBIA Corp. will continue to make timely payments of principal and interest when due under such policies. Bank of America will have no further representation and warranty liability with respect to the origination of the mortgage loans in the MBIA-insured Countrywide and certain other securitizations.

In addition, MBIA Corp. has entered into a \$500 million three-year secured revolving credit agreement with Blue Ridge Investments, L.L.C., an affiliate of Bank of America (the BofA Secured Loan). Borrowings may be used for general corporate purposes and will be secured by a pledge of collateral consisting of the following: (i) MBIA Corp. s right to receive put-back recoveries related to ineligible mortgage loans included in its insured RMBS transactions; (ii) MBIA Corp. s future recoveries on defaulted insured RMBS transactions resulting from expected excess spread generated by performing loans in such transactions; (iii) MBIA Corp. s future installment premiums; and (iv) 65% of the voting capital stock in MBIA Corp. s wholly-owned subsidiary, MBIA UK (Holdings) Limited. The BofA Secured Loan includes a number of provisions that govern MBIA Corp. s ability to draw on the loan and use the proceeds from the borrowings, limit the amount MBIA Corp. can use to fund commutations without the consent of Bank of America, and require it to prepay borrowings. The loan also includes customary representations and warranties and customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants, including a requirement that MBIA Corp. maintain at least \$750 million of statutory capital (defined as policyholders surplus plus contingency reserves).

The payment from Bank of America, including the MBIA Inc. bonds, was used to repay the remaining outstanding balance and accrued interest on MBIA Corp. s secured loan from National (the National Secured Loan) in accordance with its terms. The National Secured Loan balance of \$1.7 billion as of March 31, 2013 was reduced to approximately \$1.6 billion prior to the Bank of America settlement as a result of the receipt of \$110 million in settlement of Flagstar Bank s put-back obligation.

The value of the settlement is consistent with amounts recorded on MBIA Corp. s statutory balance sheet as of December 31, 2012. MBIA Corp. s liquidity and capital risk profile has substantially improved as a result of the settlement. While the Company has updated its estimates to reflect the BofA Settlement Agreement in its GAAP financial statements as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2013, certain of the agreements will be accounted for in the second quarter of 2013 as of the settlement date.

Pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of warrants that were issued by MBIA to Warburg Pincus Private Equity X, L.P. and certain of its affiliates (Warburg Pincus) pursuant to an Investment Agreement, dated as of December 10, 2007, as amended and restated as of February 6, 2008, by and between MBIA and Warburg Pincus, the strike price under such warrants will be decreased and the aggregate number of shares of MBIA common stock to be issued upon exercise of such warrants will be increased, in each case as a result of the issuance of the warrant to Bank of America. The adjustments to the strike price and number of such underlying shares are not expected to have a material dilutive effect on the MBIA common stock.

Societe Generale Settlement

In May of 2013, the Company entered into an agreement with Societe Generale pursuant to which MBIA commuted \$4.2 billion of gross insured exposure comprising asset-backed securities (ABS) CDOs, structured CMBS pools and CRE CDOs. The amount MBIA paid to Societe Generale in consideration of commuting its insured exposure was consistent with MBIA Corp. s aggregate statutory loss reserves for the exposures commuted. Also, pursuant to the agreement, Societe Generale agreed to dismiss the pending litigation between the parties concerning the Transformation, which includes any appeals of the decision denying the Article 78 petition and the plenary case.

Transformation Litigation Resolution

As a result of the Bank of America settlement and the Societe Generale settlement, all litigation brought originally by the group of eighteen domestic and international financial institutions, relating to the establishment of National, has been resolved.

Other

Our business and financial results have been significantly influenced by a number of factors including, but not limited to, the following. Refer to Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of significant risks and uncertainties that could affect amounts reported in the Company's financial statements in future periods.

Our expected liquidity and capital forecasts for MBIA Corp. for 2013 reflect adequate resources to pay expected claims. The comprehensive settlement with Bank of America, announced in May of 2013, substantially improved MBIA Corp.'s liquidity forecast and capital stability. However, there are risks to this forecast, as our RMBS and ABS CDO exposures, and remaining CMBS exposure may be volatile. Subsequent to March 31, 2013, a material claim was presented on a CMBS pool transaction by a counterparty; and we expect further claims on this exposure. In addition, there is risk around our assumed recoveries against sellers/servicers of insured RMBS exposures. While we believe MBIA Corp. will have adequate resources to pay expected claims, there can be no such assurance that it will have adequate resources. If MBIA Corp. experiences higher than expected claims payments or is unable to commute exposures that represent a substantial risk, MBIA Insurance Corporation could be placed in a rehabilitation or liquidation proceeding by the NYSDFS (an MBIA Corp. Proceeding). Refer to Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information about the impact of an MBIA Corp. Proceeding.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW (continued)**

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, we estimated an additional \$282 million of credit losses and loss adjustment expense (LAE) related to our insured CMBS exposure. This additional amount reflects the deterioration within some transactions. While aggregate average debt service coverage in transactions in our aggregate current portfolio remains satisfactory, some loans show signs of significant financial distress. Ultimate loss rates remain uncertain, and we have recorded additional impairments on our insured CMBS portfolio every quarter since the beginning of 2010 as our anticipated economic losses have increased during that time period. It is possible that we will experience severe losses or liquidity needs due to increased deterioration in our insured CMBS portfolio or our failure to commute these policies, primarily on the CMBS pools originally insured in 2007 primarily referencing BBB and lower rated collateral described below under RMBS Recoveries and Insured CMBS Portfolio.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, MBIA Corp. commuted \$2.1 billion of gross insured exposure, primarily comprising investment grade corporate CDOs. Subsequent to March 31, 2013, MBIA Corp. agreed to commute an additional \$13.4 billion of gross insured exposure, primarily comprising structured CMBS pools, ABS CDOs, first-lien subprime RMBS, high yield corporate CDOs, CRE CDOs, structured insurance securities, and first-lien alternative-A RMBS. In consideration for the commutation of insured transactions, the Company has made and may in the future make payments to the counterparties, the amounts of which, if any, may be less than or greater than any statutory loss reserves established for the respective transactions. The Company enters into commutations in the ordinary course of its business and generally does not intend to make contemporaneous disclosures regarding any such transactions regardless of the amounts paid to effect such commutations in relation to the statutory loss reserves established for the respective transactions. The Company's ability to commute insured transactions is limited by available liquidity, including the availability of the BofA Secured Loan, intercompany loans and the use of other available financing structures, some of which could be subject to regulatory approval by the NYSDFS. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to fund further commutations by borrowing or otherwise.

The commutations completed subsequent to March 31, 2013 will reduce MBIA Corp.'s statutory case loss reserves by \$1.5 billion. In addition, the settlements of the put-back litigation with Bank of America and Flagstar Bank will reduce MBIA Corp.'s statutory put-back recoverables by \$2.9 billion. Because these transactions were agreed upon in the period subsequent to March 31, 2013, but before MBIA Corp. published its statutory results, the March 31, 2013 amounts were adjusted to reflect the agreements. The net effect of the adjustments was to lower MBIA Corp.'s statutory capital by an immaterial amount. The commutation costs and the put-back receipts were consistent with both the related put-back recoverables and related case reserves that existed on MBIA Corp.'s balance sheet as of March 31, 2013.

Our ability to achieve high stable ratings for National is subject to rating agency criteria, including qualitative and quantitative factors, and the timing of any upgrade is uncertain. There is no assurance that we will be able to achieve such ratings. While there are currently two bond insurers actively engaged in the market, one of which was recently established, we have observed other new competitors indicating an interest in entering the bond insurance market and continue to consider strategies for launch. We will continue to monitor the impact that new market participants may have on our ability to compete in the U.S. public finance insurance market in the future.

Our U.S. public finance insured portfolio, in National, continues to perform as expected. We did experience increased stress in this portfolio in the three months ended March 31, 2013 as a portion of the obligations that we insure were issued by some of the state and local governments that continue to remain under extreme financial and budgetary stress. This financial stress on such states and municipalities could lead to an increase in defaults on the payment of their obligations and losses or impairments on a greater number of insured transactions in the future.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW (continued)*****RMBS Recoveries and Insured CMBS Portfolio***

MBIA Corp. has repurchase claims against sellers/servicers of RMBS securitizations related to sellers/servicers' improper inclusion of ineligible mortgage loans in MBIA-insured securitizations. We believe that, based on the strength of our contract claims, multiple positive court rulings in our favor, and the exceptionally high level of ineligible mortgage loans in our insured transactions, we are entitled to collect and/or assert a claim for the full amount of our incurred loss related to these ineligible mortgage loans and interest. However, our financial statements reflect that we may ultimately collect less than our cumulative incurred loss due to a variety of factors including the risks inherent in litigation, the possibility of legal settlements with some or all of the sellers/servicers, and the risk that one or more of the sellers/servicers will not be able to honor any claims or judgments that we secure against them. As of March 31, 2013, we recorded \$4.0 billion of put-back recoveries related to sellers/servicers' contractual obligations to cure, repurchase or replace ineligible mortgage loans. Our cumulative incurred loss related to ineligible mortgage loans totaled \$2.1 billion as of March 31, 2013, excluding incurred losses related to claims against Bank of America and Flagstar Bank that were settled in May of 2013. We are entitled to collect interest on amounts paid. We may further discount our expected put-back recoveries in the future based on a review of the creditworthiness of the sellers/servicers. We have recorded our largest repurchase claims related to liabilities of Bank of America as a result of its acquisition of Countrywide, which claims were settled in May of 2013 as part of the BofA Settlement Agreement.

Subsequent to March 31, 2013, a counterparty presented claims on an insured CMBS transaction. We expect to experience additional claims on this transaction. While the CMBS exposures held by Bank of America/Merrill Lynch were commuted in May of 2013, we continue to have significant CMBS exposure. While the risk of losses in the CMBS portfolio has been reduced substantially, due to this commutation, especially in the short-term, it is possible that we will experience significant losses and/or liquidity needs due to increased deterioration in our insured CMBS portfolio or our failure to commute these policies, and in particular if macroeconomic stress escalates. Depending on the amount of such claims and the amounts of claims on other policies issued by MBIA Corp., MBIA Corp. may not have sufficient liquid assets to pay such claims in the absence of the collection of its remaining put-back recoveries in a timely manner or the availability of other resources.

We have recorded substantial recoveries related to put-backs against two wholly-owned subsidiaries of Residential Capital, LLC (ResCap), GMAC Mortgage, LLC (GMAC) and Residential Funding Company, LLC (RFC), whose ultimate parent company is Ally Financial Inc. On May 14, 2012, ResCap, RFC and GMAC each filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. In the second quarter of 2012, as a result of the bankruptcy filings, MBIA reassessed its expected recoveries from GMAC and RFC and developed new probability-weighted scenarios. The revised scenarios are based on the following facts: a) we have a direct contractual relationship with GMAC and RFC related to our put-back claims; b) our claims against GMAC and RFC are based on a breach of contract and fraud which have withstood motions to dismiss; and c) we submitted expert reports in the RFC litigation which confirm a substantial degree of ineligible mortgage loans in MBIA insured securitizations and damages as a result thereof. As of March 31, 2013, the auction of the servicing platform and specific loans of the ResCap bankruptcy estate, as well as the corresponding sales associated with the auctions, have concluded and closed. However, an actual distribution of proceeds will not occur until a plan detailing the distribution of assets has been approved by the bankruptcy court. Consequently, the outcomes utilized by the Company continue to be based upon information that was available to the Company as of the filing date. A more detailed discussion of potential recoveries is presented within Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Accordingly, the Company has modeled scenario-based recoveries which are founded upon the strength of these claims as well as a range of estimated assets available to ResCap unsecured creditors, and as a result, our expected recoveries from GMAC and RFC have been reduced by approximately 4% since December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW (continued)**

Additionally, as of March 31, 2013, we recorded expected recoveries of \$811 million (on a present value basis) from excess spread (the difference between interest inflows on mortgage loan collateral and interest outflows on insured beneficial interests) in our second-lien RMBS transactions, in reimbursement of our past and future expected claims. Of this amount, \$747 million is included in Insurance loss recoverable and \$64 million is included in Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. The amount of excess spread depends on future interest rates, borrower refinancing and defaults. There can be no assurance that the \$811 million will be received in its entirety.

The reference herein to ineligible mortgage loans refers to those mortgage loans that the Company believes failed to comply with the representations and warranties made by the sellers/servicers of the securitizations to which those mortgage loans were sold (including mortgage loans that failed to comply with the related underwriting criteria), based on the Company's assessment of such mortgage loans' compliance with such representations and warranties, which included information provided by third-party review firms. The sellers/servicers have contractual obligations to cure, repurchase or replace such ineligible mortgage loans. These expected recoveries are generally referred to as put-backs and are calculated based on the Company's assessment of a range of possible collection outcomes. The Company's assessment of the ineligibility of individual mortgage loans has been challenged by the sellers/servicers of the securitizations in litigation and there is no assurance that the Company's determinations will prevail.

Economic and Financial Market Trends

We believe the three months ended March 31, 2013 continued to show improvements in the U.S. economy. Despite the automatic spending cuts from sequestration, the increase in gasoline prices and higher payroll taxes, consumer confidence remained relatively strong particularly in the automobile and housing sectors. In addition, the unemployment rate dropped to its lowest level in over four years. This continued improvement in the labor market should help to improve personal incomes. There still remains, however, uncertainty over Congress' ability to reach agreement on how to address the ever increasing federal debt. Economic activity in Europe remains weak, particularly in peripheral countries. Additionally, the recent setbacks in Cyprus indicate more work is needed before the Euro area resolves common currency issues and returns to financial stability. While confidence in the U.S. economy should continue to grow throughout 2013, sustained job growth and resolution of fiscal policies in Washington remain critical to a complete recovery. MBIA's business outlook should be viewed against this backdrop since these are some of the key economic conditions which, together with the ineligibility of mortgage loans supporting our insured RMBS transactions, realized losses on insured credit derivatives and the volatility of unrealized gains and losses on our insured credit derivatives, significantly impact our financial results.

Financial Highlights

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, we recorded consolidated net income of \$164 million or \$0.84 per diluted share compared with consolidated net income of \$10 million or \$0.05 per diluted share, for the same period of 2012.

We also use adjusted pre-tax income (loss), a non-GAAP measure, to supplement our analysis of our periodic results. We consider adjusted pre-tax income (loss) a fundamental measure of periodic financial performance, which we believe is useful for an understanding of our results. Adjusted pre-tax income (loss) adjusts GAAP pre-tax income (loss) to remove the effects of consolidating insured variable interest entities (VIEs) and gains and losses related to insured credit derivatives, which we believe will reverse over time, as well as to add in changes in the present value of insurance claims we expect to pay on insured credit derivatives based on our ongoing insurance loss monitoring. Adjusted pre-tax income (loss) is not a substitute for and should not be viewed in isolation of GAAP pre-tax income (loss), and our definition of adjusted pre-tax income (loss) may differ from that used by other companies. Refer to the following Results of Operations section for a reconciliation of adjusted pre-tax income (loss) to GAAP pre-tax income (loss).

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, consolidated adjusted pre-tax loss was \$20 million compared with an adjusted pre-tax loss of \$548 million for the same period of 2012.

Our consolidated shareholders' equity was \$3.3 billion as of March 31, 2013 compared with \$3.2 billion as of December 31, 2012. The increase was primarily the result of a benefit from losses and LAE based on increases in recoveries of actual and expected payments. Our consolidated

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

book value per share as of March 31, 2013 was \$17.04 compared with \$16.22 as of December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW (continued)

In addition to book value per share, we also analyze adjusted book value (ABV) per share, a non-GAAP measure. We consider ABV a measure of fundamental value of the Company and the change in ABV an important measure of financial performance. ABV adjusts GAAP book value to remove the impact of certain items which the Company believes will reverse from GAAP book value over time through the GAAP statements of operations and GAAP statements of comprehensive income, as well as to add in the impact of certain items which the Company believes will be realized in GAAP book value in future periods. The Company has limited such adjustments to those items that it deems to be important to fundamental value and performance and which the likelihood and amount can be reasonably estimated. ABV assumes no new business activity. We have presented ABV to allow investors and analysts to evaluate the Company using the same measure that MBIA's management regularly uses to measure financial performance and value. ABV is not a substitute for and should not be viewed in isolation of GAAP book value, and our definition of ABV may differ from that used by other companies. Refer to the following Results of Operations section for a further discussion of ABV and a reconciliation of GAAP book value per share to ABV per share.

As of March 31, 2013, ABV per share was \$30.56, down from \$30.68 as of December 31, 2012.

A detailed discussion of our financial results is presented within the Results of Operations section included herein. Refer to the Capital Resources Insurance Statutory Capital section for a discussion of National's and MBIA Corp.'s capital positions under statutory accounting principles (U.S. STAT).

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

We prepare our financial statements in accordance with GAAP, which requires the use of estimates and assumptions. Management has discussed and reviewed the development, selection, and disclosure of critical accounting estimates with the Company's Audit Committee. Management believes that the most critical accounting estimates, since these estimates require significant judgment, are loss and LAE reserves, valuation of financial instruments, and deferred income taxes. Financial results could be materially different if other methodologies were used or if management modified its assumptions.

For a discussion of the Company's critical accounting estimates, see Critical Accounting Estimates in Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. In addition, refer to Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a current description of estimates used in our insurance loss reserving process.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Refer to Note 3: Recent Accounting Pronouncements in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of accounting guidance recently adopted by the Company.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS***Summary of Consolidated Results*

The following table presents a summary of our consolidated financial results for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| In millions except for per share amounts | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Total revenues (losses) | \$ 219 | \$ 383 |
| Total expenses | 4 | 362 |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | 215 | 21 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 51 | 11 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 164 | \$ 10 |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.84 | \$ 0.05 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.84 | \$ 0.05 |

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, we recorded consolidated net income of \$164 million, or \$0.84 per share, compared with consolidated net income of \$10 million, or \$0.05 per share, for the same period of 2012. Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding totaled 196 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, up 1% from the same period of 2012. Consolidated total revenues (losses) for the three months ended March 31, 2013 included \$61 million of net losses on insured derivatives compared with \$299 million of net gains for the same period of 2012. The net losses on insured derivatives in 2013 were principally the result of the effects of MBIA's nonperformance risk on its derivative liabilities which resulted from a tightening of its own credit spreads and an improvement in the Company's recovery rate, partially offset by the effects of changes in the weighted average life of the portfolio and favorable movements in spreads and pricing on collateral transactions. The net gains on insured derivatives in 2012 were principally the result of commuting derivatives at prices below fair value and favorable movements in spreads and pricing on collateral within transactions, partially offset by the effects of MBIA's nonperformance risk on its derivative liabilities which resulted from a tightening of its own credit spreads and an improvement in the Company's recovery rate. Consolidated total expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 included a \$194 million benefit of net insurance loss and LAE compared with a \$97 million loss for the same period of 2012. The net insurance loss and LAE benefit in 2013 and loss in 2012 were principally related to our insured RMBS exposure.

Included in our consolidated net income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was \$33 million of income before income taxes related to consolidated VIEs, after the elimination of intercompany revenues and expenses, compared with losses before income taxes of \$31 million for the same period of 2012. The net effect of consolidated VIEs on our financial results will vary over time as VIEs are consolidated or deconsolidated by the Company, and as the values of consolidated VIE assets and liabilities change.

European Sovereign Debt Exposure

Uncertainties regarding the European sovereign debt crisis have affected the global economy. Outside the U.S., financial guarantee insurance has been used by issuers of sovereign-related and sub-sovereign bonds, structured finance securities, utility debt and financing for public purpose projects, among others. MBIA does not insure any direct European sovereign debt. However, we do insure both structured finance and public finance obligations in select international markets. MBIA's indirect European sovereign insured debt exposure totaled \$7.8 billion as of March 31, 2013 and included obligations of sovereign-related and sub-sovereign issuers, such as regions, departments, and sovereign-owned entities that are supported by a sovereign state, region or department. Of the \$7.8 billion of insured gross par outstanding, \$793 million, \$674 million, and \$249 million were related to Spain, Portugal, and Ireland, respectively. The remaining \$6.1 billion was related to the United Kingdom. We closely monitor our existing insured European portfolios on an ongoing basis. We consider country risk, including economic and political factors, the type and quality of local regulatory oversight, the strength of the legal framework in each country and the stability of the

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

local institutional framework. We also monitor local accounting, regulatory and legal requirements, local financial market developments, the impact of exchange rates and local demand dynamics. The Company has an immaterial amount of direct and indirect European sovereign debt holdings included in its investment portfolios. A default by one or more sovereign issuers could have an adverse effect on our insured debt exposures.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)****Adjusted Pre-Tax Income**

The following table presents our consolidated adjusted pre-tax income (loss) (a non-GAAP measure) and provides a reconciliation of adjusted pre-tax income (loss) to GAAP pre-tax income (loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Adjusted pre-tax income (loss) | \$ (20) | \$ (548) |
| Additions to adjusted pre-tax income (loss): | | |
| Impact of consolidating certain VIEs | 18 | 5 |
| Mark-to-market gains (losses) on insured credit derivatives | (73) | 303 |
| Subtractions from adjusted pre-tax income (loss): | | |
| Impairments on insured credit derivatives | (290) | (261) |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ 215 | \$ 21 |

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, our consolidated adjusted pre-tax loss decreased compared with the same period of 2012 primarily as a result of a decrease in insurance losses and LAE, the absence of net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments, gains on the sales of investments, and lower operating expenses due to lower legal and litigation related costs.

Adjusted Book Value

As of March 31, 2013, ABV per share (a non-GAAP measure) was \$30.56, down from \$30.68 as of December 31, 2012. The decrease in ABV per share was primarily driven by additional impairments on our insured credit derivatives.

The following table provides a reconciliation of consolidated book value per share to consolidated ABV per share:

| In millions except share and per share amounts | As of | As of |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| Total shareholders' equity of MBIA Inc. | \$ 3,288 | \$ 3,173 |
| Common shares outstanding | 192,957,686 | 195,671,508 |
| Book value per share | \$ 17.04 | \$ 16.22 |
| Adjustments for items included in book value per share (after-tax): | | |
| Cumulative net loss from consolidating certain VIEs ⁽¹⁾ | 0.53 | 0.59 |
| Cumulative unrealized loss on insured credit derivatives | 10.09 | 9.70 |
| Net unrealized (gains) losses included in other comprehensive income | (0.31) | (0.47) |
| Adjustments for items not included in book value per share (after-tax): | | |
| Net unearned premium revenue ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 9.52 | 9.92 |
| Present value of insured derivative installment revenue ⁽⁴⁾ | 0.55 | 0.60 |
| Cumulative impairments on insured credit derivatives ⁽⁴⁾ | (5.86) | (4.85) |
| Deferred acquisition costs | (1.00) | (1.03) |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|----------|----|-------|
| Total adjustments per share | | 13.52 | | 14.46 |
| Adjusted book value per share | | \$ 30.56 | \$ | 30.68 |

(1) - Represents the impact on book value per share of consolidated VIEs that are not considered a business enterprise of the Company.

(2) - Consists of financial guarantee premiums and fees.

(3) - The discount rate on financial guarantee installment premiums was the risk-free rate as defined by the accounting principles for financial guarantee insurance contracts.

(4) - The discount rate on insured derivative installment revenue and impairments was 5% as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Our Net unearned premium revenue adjustment to book value per share consists of unearned premium revenue net of prepaid reinsurance premiums related to financial guarantee insurance contracts, the unamortized portion of installment premiums collected on insured derivative contracts, and the unamortized portion of insurance-related deferred fee revenue. Our Present value of insured derivative installment revenue adjustment to book value per share consists of the present value of premiums not yet collected from insured derivative contracts, which are not recorded on our balance sheet in accordance with accounting principles for financial guarantee insurance contracts but which are contractually due to the Company.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)*****U.S. Public Finance Insurance***

Our U.S. public finance insurance business is primarily conducted through National. The financial guarantees issued by National provide unconditional and irrevocable guarantees of the payment of the principal of, and interest or other amounts owing on, insured obligations when due or, in the event National has exercised, at its discretion, the right to accelerate insured obligations upon default or otherwise. National's guarantees insure municipal bonds, including tax-exempt and taxable indebtedness of U.S. political subdivisions, as well as utility districts, airports, healthcare institutions, higher educational facilities, student loan issuers, housing authorities and other similar agencies and obligations issued by private entities that finance projects that serve a substantial public purpose. Municipal bonds and privately issued bonds used for the financing of public purpose projects are generally supported by taxes, assessments, user fees or tariffs related to the use of these projects, lease payments or other similar types of revenue streams.

A proposed novation agreement between Financial Guaranty Insurance Company (FGIC) and National, whereby FGIC transfers by novation to National all the rights and liabilities under each of the policies covered under a reinsurance agreement with FGIC, is currently pending. For a detailed discussion on this novation agreement, refer to Part 1 Business-Our Insurance Operations included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The following table presents our U.S. public finance insurance segment results for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Percent Change |
|--|------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 103 | \$ 106 | -3% |
| Net investment income | 49 | 54 | -9% |
| Fees and reimbursements | 2 | 1 | 100% |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 32 | 10 | n/m |
| Total revenues | 186 | 171 | 9% |
| Losses and loss adjustment | 4 | 14 | -71% |
| Amortization of deferred acquisition costs | 22 | 22 | -% |
| Operating | 18 | 80 | -78% |
| Total expenses | 44 | 116 | -62% |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ 142 | \$ 55 | n/m |

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, we did not write a meaningful amount of U.S. public finance insurance. The lack of insurance writings in our U.S. public finance segment reflects the insurance financial strength credit ratings assigned to National by major ratings agencies and the impact of litigation over the formation of National in 2009. We do not expect to write a material amount of new business prior to an upgrade of our insurance financial strength ratings and market acceptance that such ratings will be stable in the future. The timing of any such upgrade is uncertain and will depend on a variety of quantitative and qualitative factors used by the rating agencies in their evaluation, including the resolution of pending litigation. We believe that we will resume writing business in the U.S. public finance market

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

before actively re-engaging in the structured finance and international markets. On February 28, 2013, S&P lowered its rating on National to BB from BBB with a developing outlook. As a result of the downgrade of National, FGIC had the right to terminate its reinsurance agreement with National. In May of 2013, S&P upgraded National's rating to BBB with a credit watch positive outlook. S&P's upgrade of National to BBB removed FGIC's right to terminate its reinsurance agreement with National.

NET PREMIUMS EARNED Net premiums earned on non-derivative financial guarantees represent gross premiums earned net of premiums ceded to reinsurers, and include scheduled premium earnings and premium earnings from refunded issues. The decrease in net premiums earned for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 primarily resulted from a decrease in scheduled premiums earned of \$3 million. Scheduled premium earnings decreased due to the maturity of insured issues within our U.S. public finance portfolio with no material new insurance writings. Additionally, refunding activity over the past several years has accelerated premium earnings in prior periods and reduced the amount of premiums that would have been earned in recent periods.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

NET INVESTMENT INCOME The decrease in net investment income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 was primarily due to a decrease in the notional value of our simultaneous repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements (Asset Swap) with our asset/liability products segment and lower asset balances. The Asset Swap provides yield enhancement to our U.S. public finance insurance investment portfolio as a result of increased net interest earnings from these collective agreements.

The interest income on the National Secured Loan is included in our U.S. public finance net investment income and totaled \$29 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with \$20 million for the same period of 2012. The National Secured Loan enhances the overall yield of our U.S. public finance insurance investment portfolio as lower yielding investments were sold to fund the amount loaned under this agreement. While interest due on the National Secured Loan is recorded in the period during which it accrues, the payment of interest was being deferred. In connection with the BofA Settlement Agreement, MBIA Corp. repaid the remaining outstanding balance and accrued interest on the National Secured Loan. Refer to the Liquidity section included herein for additional information about these agreements.

Investment asset balances at amortized cost as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are presented in the following table:

| In millions | March 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Investments at Amortized Cost | Pre-tax Yield ⁽¹⁾ | Investments at Amortized Cost | Pre-tax Yield ⁽¹⁾ |
| Fixed-income securities: | | | | |
| Tax-exempt | \$ 375 | 3.89% | \$ 417 | 3.98% |
| Taxable | 2,409 | 2.82% | 2,378 | 2.98% |
| Short-term | 289 | 0.73% | 204 | 1.17% |
| Total fixed-income | 3,073 | 2.76% | 2,999 | 2.99% |
| Secured loan to an affiliate | 1,681 | | 1,652 | |
| Other | 16 | | 16 | |
| Total | \$ 4,770 | | \$ 4,667 | |

(1) - Estimated yield-to-maturity.

NET GAINS (LOSSES) ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AT FAIR VALUE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE The increase in net gains on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 was principally due to realized gains from sales of securities from the ongoing management of our U.S. public finance insurance investment portfolio.

LOSS AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES National's portfolio surveillance group is responsible for monitoring our U.S. public finance segment's insured obligations. The level and frequency of monitoring of any insured obligation depends on the type, size, rating and performance of the insured issue. Refer to Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of the Company's loss reserving policy and additional information related to its loss reserves.

Some municipalities experiencing significant financial distress, including Jefferson County, Alabama, San Bernardino, California and Stockton, California have entered into Chapter 9 bankruptcy proceedings of the United States Bankruptcy Code with the potential for, or stated intent to, impair payments to bondholders, and by extension cause losses to bond insurers. This could have an adverse impact on our loss reserves.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

The following table presents information about our U.S. public finance insurance loss and LAE expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Percent Change |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Loss and LAE related to actual and expected payments | \$ 5 | \$ 22 | -77% |
| Recoveries of actual and expected payments | (1) | (8) | -88% |
| Gross losses incurred | 4 | 14 | -71% |
| Reinsurance | - | - | - |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses | \$ 4 | \$ 14 | -71% |

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

The following tables present information about our U.S. public finance insurance loss and LAE reserves and recoverables as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| In millions | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | Percent Change |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Gross loss and LAE reserves | \$ 270 | \$ 267 | 1% |
| Expected recoveries on unpaid losses | (103) | (108) | -5% |
| Loss and LAE reserves | \$ 167 | \$ 159 | 5% |
| Insurance loss recoverable | \$ 261 | \$ 256 | 2% |
| Insurance loss recoverable ceded ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 7 | \$ 7 | -% |
| Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses | \$ 7 | \$ 8 | -13% |

(1) - Reported within Other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets.

Included in our U.S. public finance loss and LAE reserves are both reserves for insured obligations for which a payment default has occurred and National has already paid a claim and also for which a payment default has not yet occurred but a claim is expected in the future. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, loss and LAE reserves comprised the following:

| \$ in millions | Number of Issues ⁽¹⁾ | | Loss and LAE Reserve | | Par Outstanding | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| Gross of reinsurance: | | | | | | |
| Issues with defaults | 9 | 9 | \$ 142 | \$ 141 | \$ 750 | \$ 749 |
| Issues without defaults | 9 | 9 | 25 | 18 | 111 | 113 |
| Total gross of reinsurance | 18 | 18 | \$ 167 | \$ 159 | \$ 861 | \$ 862 |

(1) - An issue represents the aggregate of financial guarantee policies that share the same revenue source for purposes of making debt service payments. POLICY ACQUISITION COSTS AND OPERATING EXPENSES U.S. public finance insurance segment expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are presented in the following table:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | 2012 | Percent Change |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|------|-------------------|
|-------------|--------------------------------------|------|-------------------|

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--|-------|--------|------|
| Gross expenses | \$ 18 | \$ 80 | -78% |
| Amortization of deferred acquisition costs | \$ 22 | \$ 22 | -% |
| Operating | 18 | 80 | -78% |
| Total insurance operating expenses | \$ 40 | \$ 102 | -61% |

Gross expenses represent total insurance expenses before the deferral of any policy acquisition costs. Gross expenses decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 primarily due to decreases in legal and litigation related costs and expenses related to support services provided by Optinuity Alliance Resources Corp. (Optinuity).

Operating expenses decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012. This decrease was a result of the decrease in gross expenses as we did not defer a material amount of policy acquisition costs during the first quarters of 2013 and 2012.

INSURED PORTFOLIO EXPOSURE Financial guarantee insurance companies use a variety of approaches to assess the underlying credit risk profile of their insured portfolios. MBIA uses both an internally developed credit rating system as well as third-party rating sources in the analysis of credit quality measures of its insured portfolio. In evaluating credit risk, we obtain, when available, the underlying rating of the insured obligation before the benefit of its insurance policy from nationally recognized rating agencies, Moody s and S&P. Other companies within the financial guarantee industry may report credit quality information based upon internal ratings that would not be comparable to our presentation.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

The following table presents the credit quality distribution of MBIA's U.S. public finance outstanding gross par insured as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. All ratings are as of the period presented and represent S&P ratings. If transactions are not rated by S&P, a Moody's equivalent rating is used. If transactions are not rated by either S&P or Moody's, an MBIA equivalent rating is used.

| In millions | Gross Par Outstanding | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | March 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
| Rating | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| AAA | \$ 17,838 | 5.5% | \$ 18,518 | 5.5% |
| AA | 155,963 | 48.2% | 162,504 | 48.2% |
| A | 119,638 | 36.9% | 122,743 | 36.4% |
| BBB | 27,657 | 8.5% | 30,496 | 9.0% |
| Below investment grade | 2,755 | 0.9% | 2,853 | 0.9% |
| Total | \$ 323,851 | 100.0% | \$ 337,114 | 100.0% |

The credit quality distribution of our U.S. public finance insurance exposure as of March 31, 2013 remained relatively consistent with December 31, 2012. Total U.S. public finance insurance gross par outstanding rated A or above, before giving effect to National's guarantee, was approximately 90% and gross par outstanding rated below investment grade, before giving effect to National's guarantee, was less than 1% as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Structured Finance and International Insurance

Our structured finance and international insurance business is principally conducted through MBIA Corp. The financial guarantees issued by MBIA Corp. generally provide unconditional and irrevocable guarantees of the payment of the principal of, and interest or other amounts owing on, insured obligations when due or, in the event MBIA Corp. has the right, at its discretion, to accelerate insured obligations upon default or otherwise, upon MBIA Corp.'s acceleration. Certain guaranteed investment contracts written by MBIA Inc. or its subsidiaries are insured by MBIA Corp. If MBIA Inc. or such subsidiaries were to have insufficient assets to pay amounts due upon maturity or termination, MBIA Corp. would make such payments under its insurance policies. MBIA Corp. also insured debt obligations of other affiliates, including MBIA Global Funding, LLC (GFL) and Meridian Funding Company, LLC (Meridian), and provides reinsurance to its insurance subsidiaries. MBIA Corp. has also written insurance policies guaranteeing the obligations under CDS contracts of an affiliate, LaCrosse Financial Products, LLC, including termination payments that may become due upon certain events including the insolvency or payment default of the financial guarantor or the CDS issuer.

MBIA Corp.'s guarantees insure structured finance and asset-backed obligations, privately issued bonds used for the financing of public purpose projects that are primarily located outside of the U.S. which include toll roads, bridges, airports, public transportation facilities, utilities and other types of infrastructure projects serving a substantial public purpose, and obligations of sovereign-related and sub-sovereign issuers. Structured finance and ABS typically are securities repayable from expected cash flows generated by a specified pool of assets, such as residential and commercial mortgage loans, insurance policies, consumer loans, corporate loans and bonds, trade and export receivables, and leases and loans for equipment, aircraft and real property.

In certain cases, we may be required to consolidate entities established by issuers of insured obligations as part of securitizations when we insure the assets or liabilities of those entities and in connection with remediations under our insurance policies. These entities typically meet the definition of a VIE under accounting principles for the consolidation of VIEs. We do not believe there is any difference in the risks and profitability of financial guarantees provided to VIEs compared with other financial guarantees written by us.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

The following table presents our structured finance and international insurance segment results for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Percent Change |
|--|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 36 | \$ 47 | -23% |
| Net investment income | 5 | 8 | -38% |
| Fees and reimbursements | 24 | 25 | -4% |
| Change in fair value of insured derivatives: | | | |
| Realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured derivatives | 12 | (4) | n/m |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives | (73) | 303 | -124% |
| Net change in fair value of insured derivatives | (61) | 299 | -120% |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 22 | 18 | 22% |
| Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments | - | (40) | -100% |
| Other net realized gains (losses) | - | 1 | -100% |
| Revenues of consolidated VIEs: | | | |
| Net investment income | 13 | 13 | -% |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 31 | (31) | n/m |
| Total revenues | 70 | 340 | -79% |
| Losses and loss adjustment | (198) | 83 | n/m |
| Amortization of deferred acquisition costs | 33 | 27 | 22% |
| Operating | 26 | 57 | -54% |
| Interest | 58 | 53 | 9% |
| Expenses of consolidated VIEs: | | | |
| Operating | 5 | 7 | -29% |
| Interest | 10 | 11 | -9% |
| Total expenses | (66) | 238 | -128% |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ 136 | \$ 102 | 33% |

n/m - Percentage change not meaningful.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, we did not write a meaningful amount of structured finance and international insurance. The lack of insurance writings in our structured finance and international insurance segment reflects the impact of the downgrades of MBIA Corp.'s insurance financial strength ratings by the major rating agencies, which occurred in 2008, 2009, 2012 and the first quarter of 2013. The Company does not expect to write a material amount of new business prior to an upgrade of the insurance financial strength ratings of MBIA Corp. and market acceptance that such ratings will be stable in the future. The timing of any such upgrade is uncertain and will depend on a variety of quantitative and qualitative factors used by the rating agencies in their evaluation, including the resolution of pending litigation.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

Pre-tax income decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to the same period of 2012 primarily due to changes in the fair value of our insured credit derivatives, which reflects changes in the market perception of MBIA Corp.'s credit risk. This decrease was partially offset by a benefit from losses and LAE from increases in recoveries of actual and expected payments and lower legal and litigation related costs.

ADJUSTED PRE-TAX INCOME (LOSS) In addition to the above results, we also analyze the operating performance of our structured finance and international insurance segment using adjusted pre-tax income (loss), a non-GAAP measure. We believe adjusted pre-tax income (loss), as used by management, is useful for an understanding of the results of operations of our structured finance and international insurance segment. Adjusted pre-tax income (loss) is not a substitute for pre-tax income (loss) determined in accordance with GAAP, and our definition of adjusted pre-tax income (loss) may differ from that used by other companies.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

The following table presents the adjusted pre-tax income (loss) of our structured finance and international insurance segment, and a reconciliation of adjusted pre-tax income (loss) to GAAP pre-tax income (loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Percent Change |
|--|------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Adjusted pre-tax income (loss) | \$ (97) | \$ (446) | -78% |
| Additions to adjusted pre-tax income (loss): | | | |
| Impact of consolidating certain VIEs | 16 | (16) | n/m |
| Mark-to-market gain (loss) on insured credit derivatives | (73) | 303 | -124% |
| Subtractions from adjusted pre-tax income (loss): | | | |
| Impairments on insured credit derivatives | (290) | (261) | 11% |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ 136 | \$ 102 | 33% |

n/m - Percent Change not meaningful.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, our adjusted pre-tax loss decreased compared with the same period of 2012 primarily as a result of a decrease in insurance losses and LAE, the absence of net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments and lower operating expenses.

NET PREMIUMS EARNED Our structured finance and international insurance segment generates net premiums from insurance policies accounted for as financial guarantee contracts and insured derivative contracts, and certain of those premiums may be eliminated in our consolidated financial statements as a result of the Company consolidating VIEs. The following table provides net premiums earned by type of insurance contract for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net premiums earned: | | |
| Financial guarantee contracts | \$ 36 | \$ 47 |
| Insured derivative contracts ⁽¹⁾ | 12 | 15 |
| VIEs (eliminated in consolidation) | 3 | 5 |
| Total net premiums earned | \$ 51 | \$ 67 |

(1) - Premiums related to insured derivatives are included in Realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured derivatives on our consolidated statements of operations.

Net premiums earned on non-derivative financial guarantee contracts for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are presented in the following table. Net premiums earned represent gross premiums earned net of premiums ceded to reinsurers, and include scheduled premium

earnings and premium earnings from refunded issues.

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Percent Change |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Net premiums earned: | | | |
| U.S. | \$ 13 | \$ 19 | -32% |
| Non-U.S. | 23 | 28 | -18% |
| Total net premiums earned | \$ 36 | \$ 47 | -23% |

Structured finance and international net premiums earned decreased in the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 due to the maturity and early settlement of insured transactions with no material writings of new insurance policies.

NET INVESTMENT INCOME The decrease in net investment income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 was primarily due to declining average asset balances in 2013 due to sales to fund claim and commutation payments.

MBIA Corp., as lender, maintained a secured lending agreement with our asset/liability products segment (MBIA Corp. Secured Loan), which was fully repaid in May 2012 and had no further draws. Refer to the Liquidity section included herein for additional information about this agreement.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

Investment asset balances at amortized cost as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are presented in the following table:

| In millions | March 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Investments at Amortized Cost | Pre-tax Yield ⁽¹⁾ | Investments at Amortized Cost | Pre-tax Yield ⁽¹⁾ |
| Fixed-income securities: | | | | |
| Taxable | \$ 516 | 1.92% | \$ 725 | 1.60% |
| Short-term | 227 | 1.28% | 160 | 1.38% |
| Total fixed-income | 743 | 1.72% | 885 | 1.56% |
| Other | 2 | | 1 | |
| Total | \$ 745 | | \$ 886 | |

(1) - Estimated yield-to-maturity.

NET CHANGE IN FAIR VALUE OF INSURED DERIVATIVES The following table presents the net premiums and fees earned related to derivatives and the components of the net change in fair value of insured derivatives for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| In millions | Three Months Ended | | Percent Change |
|--|--------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | March 31, 2013 | March 31, 2012 | |
| Net premiums and fees earned on insured derivatives | \$ 13 | \$ 16 | -19% |
| Realized gains (losses) on insured derivatives | (1) | (20) | -95% |
| Realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured derivatives | 12 | (4) | n/m |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives | (73) | 303 | -124% |
| Net change in fair value of insured derivatives | \$ (61) | \$ 299 | -120% |

n/m - Percentage change not meaningful.

The Company no longer insures new credit derivative contracts except in transactions related to the restructuring or reduction of existing derivative exposure. Premiums earned related to insured credit derivatives will decrease over time as a result of settlements prior to maturity and scheduled amortizations. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, realized losses on insured derivatives of \$20 million resulted primarily from settlements and claim payments on CMBS and corporate transactions.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, unrealized losses on insured derivatives were principally associated with the effects of MBIA's nonperformance risk on its derivative liabilities and collateral erosion partially offset by shorter weighted average lives on transactions and favorable movements in spreads and pricing on collateral. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, unrealized gains on insured derivatives were principally associated with commutations and the result of favorable movements in spreads and pricing on collateral within transactions, partially offset by the effects of MBIA's nonperformance risk on its derivative liability which resulted from a tightening of its own credit spreads and an improvement in the Company's recovery rate.

As of March 31, 2013, MBIA Corp.'s five year CDS cost was 38.63% upfront plus 5% per annum compared with 26.50% upfront plus 5% per annum as of March 31, 2012. Our mark-to-market on insured credit derivatives uses the most appropriate of the one to ten year CDS cost for each transaction, and those costs ranged from 32.13% upfront plus 5% per annum to 40.00% upfront plus 5% per annum as of March 31, 2013. Those costs ranged from 11.88% upfront plus 5% per annum to 28.25% upfront plus 5% per annum as of March 31, 2012.

As of March 31, 2013, we had \$45.0 billion of gross par outstanding on insured credit derivatives compared with \$47.5 billion as of December 31, 2012. The decrease in gross par outstanding was primarily due to contractual terminations, amortizations and maturities. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, five insured issues, representing \$2.1 billion in gross par outstanding, either matured or were contractually settled prior to maturity.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

Since our insured credit derivatives have similar terms, conditions, risks, and economic profiles as our financial guarantee insurance policies, we evaluate them for impairment periodically in the same way that we estimate loss and LAE for our financial guarantee policies. Credit impairments on insured derivatives represent actual payments plus the present values of our estimates of expected future claim payments, net of expected future recoveries. MBIA Insurance Corporation's expected future claim payments were discounted using a rate of 5.72%, the same rate used to calculate its statutory loss reserves as of March 31, 2013. We estimated that additional credit impairments on insured derivatives (excluding LAE) for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were \$290 million across 10 CDO insured issues. Beginning with the fourth quarter of 2007 through March 31, 2013, total credit impairments on insured derivatives were estimated at \$5.9 billion across 73 insured CDO issues, inclusive of 65 insured issues for which we made settlement and claim payments of \$4.2 billion, net of reinsurance and collections. Accordingly, we expect to realize additional net losses of \$1.7 billion. Refer to the following "Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses" section for additional information about credit impairments on insured derivatives.

Our estimate of credit impairments, a non-GAAP measure, may differ from the fair values recorded in our consolidated financial statements. The Company believes its disclosure of credit impairments on insured derivatives provides additional meaningful information about potential realized losses on these contracts. The fair value of an insured derivative contract will be influenced by a variety of market and transaction-specific factors that may be unrelated to potential future claim payments. In the absence of credit impairments or the termination of derivatives at losses, the cumulative unrealized losses recorded from fair valuing insured derivatives should reverse before or at the maturity of the contracts. Contracts also may be settled prior to maturity at amounts that may be more or less than their recorded fair values. Those settlements can result in realized gains or losses, and will result in the reversal of unrealized gains or losses. The Company is not required to post collateral to counterparties of these contracts. Refer to "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A of MBIA Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for information on legislative changes that could require collateral posting by MBIA Corp. notwithstanding the contract terms.

Costs associated with mitigating credit impairments on insured derivatives are expensed as incurred and included within "Operating expenses" in our consolidated statements of operations. Such costs totaled \$1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

NET INVESTMENT LOSSES RELATED TO OTHER-THAN-TEMPORARY IMPAIRMENTS Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was primarily related to one impaired security that was written down to its fair value as it was our intent to sell the security before an expected recovery of fair value to its amortized cost. Refer to the "Liquidity" section included herein for additional information about impaired investments.

REVENUES OF CONSOLIDATED VIEs For the three months ended March 31, 2013, total revenues of consolidated VIEs were \$44 million compared with a loss of \$18 million for the same period of 2012. The increase in revenues of consolidated VIEs was primarily related to net gains on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange as a result of changes in the fair value of VIE assets and liabilities recorded through earnings.

LOSS AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES MBIA's insured portfolio management group within its structured finance and international insurance business is responsible for monitoring structured finance and international insured issues. The level and frequency of monitoring of any insured issue depends on the type, size, rating and performance of the insured issue. If we identify concerns with respect to the performance of an insured issue we may designate such insured issue as "Caution List-Low," "Caution List-Medium," "Caution List-High," or "Classified" depending on the likelihood of a loss. We establish case basis reserves in connection with insured issues designated as "Classified" credits.

The Company faces significant risks and uncertainties related to potential or actual losses from its CMBS and CRE CDO insured exposure, its second-lien RMBS insured exposure (due to the unpredictable performance of ineligible mortgage loans included in the transactions we insured) backed by home equity lines of credit (HELOC) or closed-end second mortgages (CES), its first-lien RMBS insured exposure and its ABS CDO insured exposure. Continued significant adverse developments and higher than expected payments on these exposures and/or lower than expected recoveries on the RMBS exposures, could result in a decline in the Company's liquidity and statutory capital position.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

The impact of insured exposures on the Company's liquidity position is best understood by assessing the ultimate amount of payments that the Company will be required to make with respect to these exposures. In this regard, the Company discloses the discounted expected future net cash flows to be made under all insurance contracts, irrespective of the legal form of the guarantee (i.e., financial guarantee insurance policy or insured derivative contract) or the GAAP accounting basis.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

All amounts presented in the following aggregate losses and LAE tables are calculated in accordance with GAAP, with the exception of those related to insured credit derivative impairments. The amounts reported for insured credit derivative impairments are calculated in accordance with U.S. STAT because GAAP does not contain a comparable measurement basis for these contracts. All losses and recoverables reported in the following tables are measured using discounted probability-weighted cash flows. Losses and recoverables on VIEs that are eliminated in consolidation are included because the consolidation of these VIEs does not impact whether or not we will be required to make payments under our insurance contracts. As a result of the different accounting bases of amounts included in the following tables, the total provided in each table represents a non-GAAP measure.

The following tables present the aggregate loss and LAE reserves and insurance loss recoverables as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and the aggregate change in the discounted values of net payments expected to be made on all insurance contracts for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

Aggregate Losses and LAE Roll Forward

| In millions | Financial Guarantee Insurance ⁽¹⁾ | Financial Guarantee Insurance Related to VIEs ⁽²⁾ | Insurance Credit Derivative Impairments and LAE ⁽³⁾ | Reinsurance ⁽⁴⁾ | Total ⁽⁵⁾ |
|--|--|---|--|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Gross loss and LAE reserves as of December 31, 2012 | \$ 694 | \$ 293 | \$ 1,458 | \$ (7) | \$ 2,438 |
| Gross insurance loss recoverable as of December 31, 2012 | (3,392) | (1,338) | (31) | 6 | (4,755) |
| Total reserves (recoverable) as of December 31, 2012 | (2,698) | (1,045) | 1,427 | (1) | (2,317) |
| Ceded reserves | (1) | - | - | 1 | - |
| Net reserves as of December 31, 2012 | (2,699) | (1,045) | 1,427 | - | (2,317) |
| Total aggregate losses and LAE incurred | (198) | 5 | 291 | - | 98 |
| (Payments) collections and other | (101) | (28) | (1) | - | (130) |
| Net reserves as of March 31, 2013 | (2,998) | (1,068) | 1,717 | - | (2,349) |
| Ceded reserves | 1 | - | - | (1) | - |
| Total reserves (recoverable) as of March 31, 2013 | \$ (2,997) | \$ (1,068) | \$ 1,717 | \$ (1) | \$ (2,349) |
| Gross loss and LAE reserves as of March 31, 2013 | \$ 618 | \$ 324 | \$ 1,748 | \$ (7) | \$ 2,683 |
| Gross insurance loss recoverable as of March 31, 2013 | (3,615) | (1,392) | (31) | 6 | (5,032) |
| Total reserves (recoverable) as of March 31, 2013 | \$ (2,997) | \$ (1,068) | \$ 1,717 | \$ (1) | \$ (2,349) |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

- (1) - Included in Losses and loss adjustment, Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves and Insurance loss recoverable on the Company's consolidated financial statements.
- (2) - Represents loss expense, reserves and insurance loss recoverable eliminated upon the consolidation of insured VIEs.
- (3) - Represents statutory losses and LAE and recoveries for insurance contracts accounted for as derivatives. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on these contracts under GAAP are recorded in Net change in fair value of insured derivatives on the Company's consolidated statements of operations and the fair value of these contracts are recorded in Derivative Liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.
- (4) - Represents Losses and loss adjustment, Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves and Insurance loss recoverable on the Company's consolidated financial statements and are ceded to third-party reinsurers under insurance contracts. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there was a \$1 million receivable related to insured credit derivative impairments and LAE reinsurance.
- (5) - Represents totals after ceding to third-party reinsurers under insurance contracts.

Aggregate Losses and LAE (change in discounted values of net payments)

| In millions | For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Second-lien RMBS ⁽¹⁾ | First-lien RMBS | ABS CDO | CMBS | Other | Total |
| Change in actual and expected payments | \$ 109 | \$ (12) | \$ (43) | \$ 285 | \$ (10) | \$ 329 |
| Change in actual and expected salvage | (255) | (4) | 5 | (3) | 26 | (231) |
| Total aggregate losses and LAE | \$ (146) | \$ (16) | \$ (38) | \$ 282 | \$ 16 | \$ 98 |

(1) - Includes HELOC loans and CES.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

| In millions | For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | | | | | Total |
|--|---|--------------------|---------|--------|-------|--------|
| | Second-lien RMBS ⁽¹⁾ | First-lien RMBS | ABS CDO | CMBS | Other | |
| Change in actual and expected payments | \$ 165 | \$ (5) | \$ (45) | \$ 296 | \$ 2 | \$ 413 |
| Change in actual and expected salvage | (32) | 5 | 15 | - | 1 | (11) |
| Total aggregate losses and LAE | \$ 133 | \$ - | \$ (30) | \$ 296 | \$ 3 | \$ 402 |

(1) - Includes HELOC loans and CES.

The decrease in total aggregate losses and LAE for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 was primarily due to increases in future expected recoveries on second-lien RMBS exposures, primarily driven by increases in recoveries resulting from ineligible mortgage loans that are subject to contractual obligations by sellers/servicers to repurchase or replace such mortgage loans.

In addition to the information presented above, the following tables present aggregate losses and LAE for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 by insurance type:

Aggregate Losses and LAE by Insurance Type (change in discounted values of net payments)

| In millions | For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | | | | Total |
|--|---|--------------------|---------|--------|-------|----------|
| | Second-lien RMBS ⁽¹⁾ | First-lien RMBS | ABS CDO | CMBS | Other | |
| Financial guarantee insurance ⁽²⁾ | \$ (153) | \$ (16) | \$ (37) | \$ (8) | \$ 16 | \$ (198) |
| Financial guarantee insurance related to consolidated VIEs (eliminated in consolidation) ⁽³⁾ | 7 | - | (2) | - | - | 5 |
| Insured credit derivatives (statutory basis) ⁽⁴⁾ | - | - | 1 | 290 | - | 291 |
| Total aggregate losses and LAE | \$ (146) | \$ (16) | \$ (38) | \$ 282 | \$ 16 | \$ 98 |

(1) - Includes HELOC loans and CES.

(2) - Included in Losses and loss adjustment as reported on the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

(3) - Represents losses eliminated upon the consolidation of insured VIEs.

(4) - Represents statutory losses and LAE for insurance contracts accounted for as derivatives. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on these contracts under GAAP are recorded in Net change in fair value of insured derivatives on the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

| In millions | For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | | | | | Total |
|--|---|--------------------|---------|--------|-------|--------|
| | Second-lien RMBS ⁽¹⁾ | First-lien RMBS | ABS CDO | CMBS | Other | |
| Financial guarantee insurance ⁽²⁾ | \$ 81 | \$ - | \$ (2) | \$ 1 | \$ 3 | \$ 83 |
| Financial guarantee insurance related to consolidated VIEs (eliminated in consolidation) ⁽³⁾ | 52 | - | (16) | - | - | 36 |
| Insured credit derivatives (statutory basis) ⁽⁴⁾ | - | - | (12) | 295 | - | 283 |
| Total aggregate losses and LAE | \$ 133 | \$ - | \$ (30) | \$ 296 | \$ 3 | \$ 402 |

(1) - Includes HELOC loans and CES.

(2) - Included in Losses and loss adjustment as reported on the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

(3) - Represents losses eliminated upon the consolidation of insured VIEs.

(4) - Represents statutory losses and LAE for insurance contracts accounted for as derivatives. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on these contracts under GAAP are recorded in Net change in fair value of insured derivatives on the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

Summary of Financial Guarantee Insurance Losses and LAE

The following information relates to financial guarantee insurance losses and LAE recorded in accordance with GAAP. Refer to Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of the Company's loss and LAE reserving policy and additional information related to its loss reserves.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

The following table presents information about our loss and LAE incurred for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Percent Change |
|--|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Loss and LAE related to actual and expected payments | \$ (1) | \$ 125 | -101% |
| Recoveries of actual and expected payments | (197) | (42) | n/m |
| Gross losses incurred | (198) | 83 | n/m |
| Reinsurance | - | - | - |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses | \$ (198) | \$ 83 | n/m |

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

Losses and LAE incurred in our structured finance and international insurance segment was a benefit of \$198 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. Included in the \$198 million benefit were increases in recoveries of actual and expected payments of \$197 million and decreases in gross losses related to actual and expected future payments of \$1 million. Included in the \$197 million in recoveries were \$220 million related to insured second-lien RMBS transactions. Included in the \$1 million of gross losses related to actual and expected future payments were decreases of \$39 million related to ABS CDO activity, \$12 million related to first-lien activity and \$17 million related to other activity. Partially offsetting these decreases were increases of \$67 million related to insured second-lien RMBS transactions. The \$220 million of recoveries related to second-lien RMBS transactions included \$306 million of recoveries resulting from ineligible mortgage loans included in insured exposures that are subject to contractual obligations by sellers/servicers to repurchase or replace such mortgage loans, partially offset by a \$94 million reduction in excess spread within the securitizations.

Losses and LAE incurred in our structured finance and international insurance segment totaled \$83 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Included in the \$83 million were gross losses related to actual and expected future payments of \$125 million, including \$129 million related to insured second-lien RMBS transactions. Partially offsetting these losses were recoveries of actual and expected payments of \$42 million, including \$48 million related to insured second-lien RMBS transactions. The \$48 million of recoveries related to second-lien RMBS transactions included \$49 million of recoveries resulting from ineligible mortgage loans included in insured exposures that are subject to contractual obligations by sellers/servicers to repurchase or replace such mortgage loans, partially offset by a \$1 million reduction in excess spread within the securitizations.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, losses and LAE incurred included the elimination of a \$5 million expense as a result of the consolidation of VIEs. The \$5 million elimination included gross losses related to actual and expected future payments of \$38 million, partially offset by recoveries of actual and expected payments of \$33 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, losses and LAE incurred included the elimination of a \$36 million expense as a result of the consolidation of VIEs. The \$36 million elimination included gross losses related to actual and expected future payments of \$18 million and a decrease in recoveries of actual and expected payments of \$18 million.

The following table presents information about our insurance reserves and recoverable as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The Company's insurance loss recoverable represents expected potential recoveries of paid claims based on probability-weighted net cash inflows discounted at applicable risk-free rates as of the measurement date. Our insurance loss recoverable includes expected recoveries related to put-backs of ineligible mortgage loans within second-lien RMBS transactions and other amounts due to MBIA under the terms and conditions of

the respective transactional documents (inclusive of subrogation rights).

| In millions | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | Percent Change |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Gross loss and LAE reserves | \$ 804 | \$ 918 | -12% |
| Expected recoveries on unpaid losses | (186) | (224) | -17% |
| Loss and LAE reserves | \$ 618 | \$ 694 | -11% |
| Insurance loss recoverable | \$ 3,615 | \$ 3,392 | 7% |
| Insurance loss recoverable - ceded ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 6 | \$ 6 | - % |
| Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses | \$ 7 | \$ 7 | - % |

(1) - Reported within Other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

Included in the Company's loss and LAE reserves are both reserves for insured obligations for which a payment default has occurred and MBIA Corp. has already paid a claim and also for which a payment default has not yet occurred but a claim is expected in the future. The following table includes LAE reserves as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 for four issues that had no expected future claim payments or par outstanding, but for which the Company is obligated to pay LAE incurred in prior periods. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, loss and LAE reserves comprised the following:

| \$ in millions | Number of Issues ⁽¹⁾ | | Loss and LAE Reserve | | Par Outstanding | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| Gross of reinsurance: | | | | | | |
| Issues with defaults | 101 | 97 | \$ 421 | \$ 448 | \$ 7,112 | \$ 7,194 |
| Issues without defaults | 24 | 25 | 197 | 246 | 1,273 | 1,402 |
| Total gross of reinsurance | 125 | 122 | \$ 618 | \$ 694 | \$ 8,385 | \$ 8,596 |

(1) - An issue represents the aggregate of financial guarantee policies that share the same revenue source for purposes of making debt service payments. MBIA reports expected potential recoveries of certain paid claims within Insurance loss recoverable and the corresponding estimated recovery amounts due to reinsurers within Other liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, our insurance loss recoverable in our structured finance and international insurance segment was \$3.6 billion and \$3.4 billion, respectively. The increase in our insurance loss recoverable principally resulted from an increase in expected potential recoveries related to certain sellers/servicers' obligation to repurchase ineligible mortgage loans related to insured second-lien transactions. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, our insurance loss recoverable also included estimated recoveries of approximately \$747 million and \$780 million, respectively, primarily from excess spread (the difference between interest inflows on mortgage loan collateral and interest outflows on insured beneficial interests) within insured second-lien RMBS securitizations. Insurance loss recoverables due to reinsurers totaled \$6 million as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Insurance loss recoverables are only paid to reinsurers upon receipt of such amounts by MBIA.

Residential Mortgage Exposure

MBIA Corp. insures mortgage-backed securities (MBS) backed by residential mortgage loans, including second-lien residential mortgage securitizations (revolving HELOC loans and CES). For the three months ended March 31, 2013, we recorded a \$153 million benefit related to insured second-lien RMBS transactions. The \$153 million benefit was due to gross recoveries of actual and expected payments of \$220 million, partially offset by gross losses and LAE related to actual and expected payments of \$67 million.

MBIA Corp. also insures MBS backed by first-lien subprime mortgage loans directly through RMBS securitizations. There has been considerable stress and continued deterioration in the subprime mortgage market since 2008 reflected by increased delinquencies and losses, particularly related to subprime mortgage loans originated during 2005, 2006 and 2007. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had \$2.2 billion of gross par outstanding from direct exposure to subprime mortgage loans. While subprime transactions directly guaranteed by MBIA Corp. include collateral comprising mortgage loans that originated during 2005, 2006, and 2007, we currently do not expect ultimate material losses on these transactions given the amount of subordination below MBIA Corp.'s insured portion of such transactions available to absorb losses from collateral defaults. As of March 31, 2013, the Company had \$308 million of gross par outstanding in six insured direct subprime mortgage transactions with 2005 or 2006 subprime mortgage collateral appearing on the Company's Classified or Caution Lists.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

The following table presents the gross par outstanding of MBIA Corp.'s total direct RMBS insured exposure as of March 31, 2013 by S&P credit rating category. Amounts include the gross par outstanding related to transactions that the Company consolidates under accounting guidance for VIEs.

| In millions | Gross Par Outstanding | | | | | Total |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | Prime First-lien | Alternative A-paper First-lien | Subprime First-lien | HELOC Second-lien | CES Second-lien | |
| AAA | \$ 79 | \$ 1,040 | \$ 939 | \$ - | \$ 8 | \$ 2,066 |
| AA | 16 | 262 | 152 | 6 | - | 436 |
| A | 38 | 147 | 379 | - | 17 | 581 |
| BBB | 71 | 365 | 205 | 1,485 | 1,626 | 3,752 |
| Below investment grade | - | 1,156 | 485 | 1,581 | 1,486 | 4,708 |
| Total gross par | \$ 204 | \$ 2,970 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 2,160 ⁽²⁾ | \$ 3,072 | \$ 3,137 | \$ 11,543 |

(1) - Includes international exposure of \$727 million.

(2) - Includes international exposure of \$10 million.

The following table presents the gross par outstanding by vintage year of MBIA Corp.'s total insured second-lien residential mortgage loan securitizations insured exposure as of March 31, 2013. Amounts include the gross par outstanding related to transactions that the Company consolidates under accounting guidance for VIEs.

| In millions | Gross Par Outstanding | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|
| | HELOC | % of Total HELOC | CES | % of Total CES |
| 2007 | \$ 421 | 14% | \$ 2,062 | 66% |
| 2006 | 1,115 | 36% | 1,015 | 32% |
| 2005 | 933 | 30% | - | -% |
| 2004 | 540 | 18% | 35 | 1% |
| 2003 and prior | 63 | 2% | 25 | 1% |
| Total gross par | \$ 3,072 | 100% | \$ 3,137 | 100% |

The HELOC and CES gross par outstanding in the above tables decreased from \$3.2 billion and \$3.3 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2012.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we paid approximately \$111 million, net of reinsurance and collections, on insured second-lien RMBS transactions, or \$84 million after eliminating \$27 million of net payments made on behalf of consolidated VIEs. Through March 31, 2013, we paid a cumulative total of \$6.7 billion, net of reinsurance and collections, or \$4.4 billion after eliminating \$2.3 billion of net payments on insured second-lien RMBS transactions that are currently consolidated as VIEs. As of March 31, 2013, we had loss and LAE reserves related to our remaining insured second-lien RMBS exposure of \$200 million before eliminating \$90 million of loss and LAE reserves related to our consolidated VIEs. The loss and LAE reserves represent the present value of the difference between cash payments we expect to make on the insured transactions and the cash receipts we expect from the performing mortgage loans in the securitizations. As payments are made, a portion of those expected future receipts is recorded within Insurance loss recoverable in our consolidated balance sheets. The payments that we make virtually all go to reduce the principal balances of the securitizations.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

The following table provides information about insured second-lien RMBS transactions included in MBIA Corp.'s insured portfolio for which it has made claim and LAE payments, net of collections, as of March 31, 2013 and for which it does not consolidate under accounting guidance for VIEs:

Second-Lien RMBS Transactions with Claim Payments (Excluding Consolidated VIEs)

| \$ in millions | Number of Issues | Original Par Insured | Gross Par Outstanding | Claim Payments and LAE Net of Collections Since Inception |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| HELOC | 14 | \$ 16,253 | \$ 1,998 | \$ 2,304 |
| CES | 9 | 8,198 | 1,955 | 2,155 |
| Total | 23 | \$ 24,451 | \$ 3,953 | \$ 4,459 |
| Total net of reinsurance | | | | \$ 4,350 |

The gross par outstanding on insured second-lien RMBS transactions included in the preceding table was \$4.0 billion as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. As of March 31, 2013, we expect to pay an additional \$223 million (on a present value basis) on these transactions and expect to receive a total of \$811 million (on a present value basis) in reimbursement of past and future expected claims through excess spread in these transactions. Of our expected reimbursement from excess spread, \$747 million is included in Insurance loss recoverable and \$64 million is included in Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. In addition, we expect to receive \$2.8 billion (on a present value basis) in respect of the sellers'/servicers' obligation to repurchase ineligible mortgage loans, which is included in Insurance loss recoverable.

Since September 2008, MBIA Corp. initiated litigation against multiple mortgage loan sellers/servicers alleging, among other things, that such sellers/servicers made material misrepresentations concerning the quality of loans made by these sellers/servicers, which were included in a number of MBIA Corp.-insured second-lien residential mortgage securitizations. In particular, complaints in these actions allege that a significant percentage of the defaulted loans in these securitizations were ineligible for inclusion and thus reflect breaches of the originators' representations with respect to such loans. In addition, the complaints allege that the sellers/servicers have failed to honor their contractual obligations regarding loan repurchases and ongoing servicing practices. For more information on these and other lawsuits commenced by MBIA Corp., as well as information related to the May 14, 2012 bankruptcy filing by ResCap, refer to Note 13: Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table provides information about insured second-lien RMBS transactions included in MBIA Corp.'s insured portfolio for which it has made claim payments and LAE, net of collections, as of March 31, 2013 and for which it consolidates under accounting guidance for VIEs. As such, these payments are not reflected as insurance losses in our consolidated financial statements subsequent to consolidation. Of the \$2.4 billion of total payments before reinsurance, \$857 million was eliminated subsequent to consolidation. As of March 31, 2013, the Company has recorded actual or expected put-back recoveries for amounts paid on all insured second-lien RMBS transactions included in the following table:

Second-Lien RMBS Transactions with Claim Payments (Consolidated VIEs)

| \$ in millions | Number of Issues | Original Par Insured | Gross Par Outstanding | Claim Payments and LAE Net of Collections Since Inception |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| HELOC | 6 | \$ 3,657 | \$ 923 | \$ 687 |
| CES | 7 | 5,068 | 1,158 | 1,703 |
| Total | 13 | \$ 8,725 | \$ 2,081 | \$ 2,390 |
| Total net of reinsurance | | | | \$ 2,318 |

As of March 31, 2013, we expect to pay an additional \$103 million (on a present value basis) on these transactions and expect to receive a total of \$241 million (on a present value basis) in reimbursement of past and future expected claims through excess spread in these transactions. In addition, we expect to receive \$1.2 billion (on a present value basis) as of March 31, 2013 from the contractual obligation of the sellers/servicers to repurchase ineligible mortgage loans, which is reported in Loan repurchase commitments under Assets of consolidated variable interest entities on the consolidated balance sheets.

Refer to Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about assumptions used to estimate recoveries on our RMBS exposure.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)*****Other***

We may seek to purchase, from time to time, directly or indirectly, obligations guaranteed by MBIA or seek to commute policies. The amount of insurance exposure reduced, if any, and the nature of any such actions will depend on market conditions, pricing levels from time to time, and other considerations. In some cases, these activities may result in a reduction of expected loss reserves, but in all cases they are intended to limit our ultimate losses and reduce the future volatility in loss development on the related policies. Our ability to purchase guaranteed obligations and to commute policies will depend on management's assessment of available liquidity.

POLICY ACQUISITION COSTS AND OPERATING EXPENSES Structured finance and international insurance segment expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are presented in the following table:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Percent |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Change |
| Gross expenses | \$ 29 | \$ 58 | -50% |
| Amortization of deferred acquisition costs | \$ 33 | \$ 27 | 22% |
| Operating | 26 | 57 | -54% |
| Total insurance operating expenses | \$ 59 | \$ 84 | -30% |

Gross expenses represent total insurance expenses before the deferral of any policy acquisition costs. Gross expenses decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 due to reductions in legal and litigation related costs and compensation expenses. The increase in the amortization of deferred acquisition costs for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 principally reflects the strengthening of the U.S. dollar related to our international policies.

Operating expenses decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 due to a decrease in gross expenses. We did not defer a material amount of policy acquisition costs during the first quarter of 2013 or 2012. Policy acquisition costs in these periods were primarily related to ceding commission income and premium taxes on installment policies written in prior periods.

INSURED PORTFOLIO EXPOSURE The credit quality of our structured finance and international insured portfolio is assessed in the same manner as our U.S. public finance insured portfolio. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, 27% and 26%, respectively, of our structured finance and international insured portfolio, was rated below investment grade, before giving effect to MBIA's guarantees, based on MBIA's internal ratings, which are more current than the underlying ratings provided by S&P and Moody's for this subset of our insured portfolio.

Structured Finance and International Insurance Selected Portfolio Exposures

The following is a summary of selected significant exposures within the insured portfolio of our structured finance and international insurance segment. The Company has large exposures to many of these sectors. Moreover, many of these sectors are and have been considered volatile over the past several years. As described below, considerable incurred losses and future expected payments are attributable to many of these sectors.

Collateralized Debt Obligations and Related Instruments

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

As part of our structured finance and international insurance activities, MBIA Corp. typically provided guarantees on senior and, in a limited number of cases, mezzanine tranches of CDOs, as well as protection on structured CMBS pools and corporate securities, and CDS referencing such securities. The following discussion, including reported amounts and percentages, includes insured CDO transactions consolidated by the Company as VIEs.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

MBIA Corp.'s \$49.1 billion CDO portfolio represented 46% of its total insured gross par outstanding of \$107.9 billion as of March 31, 2013. The distribution of the Company's insured CDO and related instruments portfolio by collateral type is presented in the following table:

| In billions | Gross Par Outstanding as of March 31, 2013 |
|---|--|
| Collateral Type | |
| Multi-sector CDOs ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 4.1 |
| Investment grade CDOs and structured corporate credit pools | 21.8 |
| High yield corporate CDOs | 5.6 |
| Commercial real estate pools and CRE CDOs | 17.6 |
| Total | \$ 49.1 |

(1) - Includes one multi-sector CDO-squared transaction with gross par of \$84 million as of March 31, 2013

Multi-Sector CDOs

Multi-sector CDOs are transactions that include a variety of structured finance asset classes in their collateral pools. The underlying collateral in MBIA Corp.'s insured multi-sector CDO transactions, including one CDO-squared transaction, comprises RMBS, CDOs of ABS (multi-sector CDOs), corporate CDOs, collateralized loan obligations (CLOs), ABS (e.g., securitizations of auto receivables, credit cards, etc.), CRE CDOs, CMBS and corporate credits. Our insured multi-sector CDO transactions primarily rely on underlying collateral originally rated single-A or above (CDOs of high-grade U.S. ABS) and collateral originally rated triple-B (CDOs of mezzanine U.S. ABS).

Generally, we are subject to a claim on a multi-sector CDO when the insured tranche incurs an interest or principal shortfall. Such shortfalls result once the underlying collateral supporting the transaction no longer generates enough cash flow to support the insured notes. MBIA Corp.'s payment obligation after a default generally insures current interest and ultimate principal.

Total gross par exposure in our multi-sector CDO portfolio was \$37.3 billion as of December 31, 2007. Since the end of 2007 through March 31, 2013, our multi-sector CDO gross par exposure has decreased by approximately \$33.2 billion primarily from negotiated commutations of \$21.2 billion and contractual terminations without any payment from MBIA Corp. of \$5.4 billion. The remaining reduction in gross par was due to the amortization and maturity of transactions. As of March 31, 2013, our gross par exposure to multi-sector CDOs of \$4.1 billion represented 8% of MBIA Corp.'s CDO exposure and 4% of MBIA Corp.'s total gross par insured.

The following table presents the collateral as a percent of the performing pool balances for all MBIA Corp.-insured multi-sector CDO transactions:

| \$ in millions Year Insured | Collateral as a % of Performing Pool Balance as of March 31, 2013 | |
|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | RMBS | Total |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | # of CDOs | Gross Par Outstanding | Other Collateral | | | Current Insured Tranche Subordination Range Below MBIA | Original Insured Tranche Subordination Range Below MBIA | Net Derivative / Asset (Liability) | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--|-----|------|--|---|------------------------------------|-----|
| CDOs of High-Grade U.S. ABS | | | | | | | | | |
| 2003 - 2004 | 2 | \$ 163 ⁽¹⁾ | 100% | 0% | 100% | 9.4-44.4% | 10.0% | \$ (10) | |
| 2005 - 2007 | 3 | 2,204 | 61% | 39% | 100% | 0.0% | 13.0-20.0% | (573) | |
| Subtotal | 5 | 2,367 | | | | | | (583) | |
| CDOs of Mezzanine U.S. ABS | | | | | | | | | |
| 2002 - 2004 | 11 | 1,051 | 65% | 35% | 100% | 0.0-70.1% | 13.8-30.5% | (21) | |
| Total | 16 | 3,418 | | | | | | (604) | |
| | | 285 | Multi-Sector CDO European Mezzanine and Other Collateral (1 CDO) | | | | | | (2) |
| | | 446 | Multi-Sector CDO insured in the Secondary Market prior to 2005 (27 CDOs) | | | | | | - |
| Grand Total | | \$ 4,149 | | | | | | \$ (606) | |

(1) - Includes one multi-sector CDO-squared transaction with gross par of \$84 million as of March 31, 2013.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

The significant erosion of subordination in our multi-sector CDO transactions principally resulted from the underperformance of RMBS and CDO collateral. As discussed above, the erosion of Insured Tranche Subordination in these transactions increases the likelihood that MBIA Corp. will pay claims. As of March 31, 2013, there were credit impairment estimates for 26 classified multi-sector CDO transactions for which MBIA Corp. expects to incur actual net claims in the future (16 of which are insured in the secondary market), representing 59% of all MBIA Corp.-insured multi-sector CDO transactions (including both CDS and non-CDS contracts). Of the remaining transactions, 18% are on our Caution List and 23% continue to perform at or close to our original expectations. In the event of further performance deterioration of the collateral referenced or held in our multi-sector CDO transactions, the amount of credit impairments could increase materially.

As of March 31, 2013, the ratings distribution of our insured multi-sector CDO transactions is presented in the following table. These ratings are intended to reflect the past and expected future performance of the underlying collateral within each transaction.

| Insured Exposure Rating⁽¹⁾ | Original | Current |
|--|-----------------|----------------|
| AAA | 100% | 0% |
| AA | 0% | 2% |
| A | 0% | 0% |
| BBB | 0% | 7% |
| Below investment grade | 0% | 91% |
| Total | 100% | 100% |

(1) - All ratings are current. Ratings are derived using the most conservative rating among Moody's, S&P or internal ratings.

Investment Grade Corporate CDOs and Structured Corporate Credit Pools

Our investment grade corporate CDO exposure references pools of predominantly investment grade corporate credits. Additionally, some of these pools may include limited exposure to other asset classes, including structured finance securities (such as RMBS and CDOs). Most of our investment grade corporate CDO policies guarantee coverage of losses on collateral assets once Insured Tranche Subordination in the form of a deductible has been eroded, and are generally highly customized structures. Our gross par exposure to investment grade corporate CDOs of \$21.8 billion represents 44% of MBIA Corp.'s CDO exposure and 20% of MBIA Corp.'s total gross par insured. The Company's insured investment grade corporate CDOs have experienced Insured Tranche Subordination erosion due to the default of underlying referenced corporate obligors, as well as certain structured finance securities, but we currently do not expect losses on MBIA Corp.'s insured tranches. As of March 31, 2013, the collateral amount in the portfolio exceeds the gross par outstanding as a result of credit enhancement (such as over-collateralization and Insured Tranche Subordination).

Our gross par of insured investment grade corporate CDOs includes \$10.8 billion that was typically structured to include buckets (typically 30% to 35% of the overall CDO) of references to specific tranches of other investment grade corporate CDOs (monotranches). In such transactions, MBIA Corp.'s insured investment grade corporate CDOs include, among direct corporate or structured credit reference risks, a monotranch or single layer of credit risk referencing a diverse pool of corporate assets or obligors with a specific attachment and a specific detachment point. The referenced monotranches in such CDOs were typically rated double-A and sized to approximately 3% of the overall reference risk pool. The inner referenced monotranches are not typically subject to acceleration and do not give control rights to a senior investor. The inner referenced monotranches have experienced Insured Tranche Subordination erosion due to the default of their referenced corporate assets.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

The following table presents the collateral as a percent of the performing pool balances for all MBIA Corp.-insured investment grade corporate CDOs and structured corporate credit pool transactions:

| \$ in millions | # of CDOs | Gross Par Outstanding | Corporate Collateral | Other Collateral | Total | As of March 31, 2013 | | Net Derivative / Asset (Liability) |
|----------------|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | Current Insured Tranche Subordination Range Below MBIA | Original Insured Tranche Subordination Range Below MBIA | |
| 2005 | 2 | \$ 2,763 | 85% | 15% | 100% | 20.0-23.3% | 25.0-27.5% | \$ (28) |
| 2006 | 4 | 6,766 | 94% | 6% | 100% | 11.4-18.1% | 16.0-25.0% | (218) |
| 2007 | 10 | 12,278 | 98% | 2% | 100% | 11.1-27.9% | 15.0-30.0% | (279) |
| Total | 16 | 21,807 | | | | | | (525) |

High Yield Corporate CDOs

Our high yield corporate CDO portfolio, totaling \$5.6 billion of gross par exposure, largely comprises middle-market/special- opportunity corporate loan transactions and broadly syndicated CLOs. Our gross par exposure to high yield corporate CDOs represents 11% of MBIA Corp.'s CDO exposure and 5% of MBIA Corp.'s total gross par insured as of March 31, 2013.

There have been some declines in Insured Tranche Subordination levels as a result of defaults in underlying collateral, as well as sales of underlying collateral at discounted prices. Insured Tranche Subordination within CDOs may decline over time as a result of collateral deterioration. There are no losses on MBIA Corp.'s insured high yield corporate CDO tranches at this time. However, there can be no assurance that the Company will not incur significant losses as a result of deterioration in Insured Tranche Subordination.

The following table presents the collateral as a percent of the performing pool balances for all MBIA Corp.-insured high yield corporate CDO transactions:

| \$ in millions | # of CDOs | Gross Par Outstanding | Corporate Collateral | As of March 31, 2013 | | Net Derivative / Asset (Liability) ⁽¹⁾ |
|----------------|-----------|-----------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | Current Insured Tranche Subordination Range Below MBIA | Original Insured Tranche Subordination Range Below MBIA | |
| 2003 - 2007 | 6 | \$ 5,629 | 100% | 10.1-33.1% | 21.8-33.3% | \$ - |
| | | 16 | High Yield Corporate CDO insured in the Secondary Market prior to 2003 (2 CDOs) - | | | |
| Total | | \$ 5,645 | | | | \$ - |

(1) - Net derivative amounts are immaterial due to the positive performance of the credit derivative transactions.

Commercial Real Estate Pools and CRE CDOs

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

As of March 31, 2013, we had \$17.6 billion of gross par exposure to the CRE sector through insured structured transactions primarily comprising CRE collateral. Our CRE portfolio can be largely sub-divided into two distinct categories: structured CMBS pools and CRE CDOs. In addition, MBIA Corp. insures approximately \$2.6 billion in CRE loan pools, primarily comprising European assets. These CRE loans are not included in the following Structured CMBS Pools and CRE CDOs sections.

Refer to Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of credit impairments on our CRE pools and CDO exposure, including the methodology used to calculate these impairments.

Structured CMBS Pools

As of March 31, 2013, our gross par exposure to structured CMBS pools totaled \$15.6 billion and represented approximately 15% of MBIA Corp. s total gross par insured. Since the end of 2007 through March 31, 2013, our structured CMBS pools gross par exposure has decreased by approximately \$25.1 billion, primarily from negotiated commutations and early settlements. Our structured CMBS pool insured transactions are pools of CMBS bonds, Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) debt and other CRE CDOs structured with first loss deductibles such that MBIA Corp. s obligation attached at a minimum of a triple-A level when the policies were issued. The deductible sizing was a function of the underlying collateral ratings and certain structural attributes. MBIA Corp. s guarantees for most structured CMBS pool transactions cover losses on collateral assets once the deductibles have been eroded. These deductibles provide credit enhancement and subordination to MBIA s insured position.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

The collateral in the pools are generally CMBS bonds or CDSs referencing CMBS bonds (collectively, "CMBS bonds"). MBIA Corp.'s guarantee generally is in the form of a CDS referencing the static pooled transactions. MBIA Corp. would have a payment obligation if the volume of CMBS bond defaults exceeds the deductible level in the transaction. Each pool comprising CMBS bonds is ultimately backed by the commercial mortgage loans securitized within each CMBS trust. The same CMBS bonds may be referenced in multiple pools. The Company's structured CMBS pools are static, meaning that the collateral pool of securitizations cannot be and has not been changed since the origination of the policy. Most transactions comprised similarly rated underlying tranches at inception. The deductible for each transaction varies according to the ratings of the underlying collateral. For example, a transaction which comprised originally BBB rated underlying CMBS bonds would typically include a 30-35% deductible to MBIA Corp.'s position whereas a transaction comprising all originally AAA rated underlying CMBS bonds would typically have required a 5-10% deductible.

The following table presents the collateral as a percentage of the pool balances, as well as the current deductible, as of March 31, 2013 for all MBIA Corp.-insured structured CMBS pool transactions:

| Year Insured | # of Pools | Gross Par Outstanding | As of March 31, 2013 | | | | | | Net Derivative / Asset (Liability) | |
|--------------|------------|-----------------------|---|-----------|-------|-------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | | | CMBS | REIT Debt | Other | Total | Current Deductible | Original Deductible | | |
| 2003 | 1 | \$ 50 | 69% | 16% | 15% | 100% | 66.4% | 26.0% | \$ - | |
| 2006 | 5 | 1,669 | 97% | 0% | 3% | 100% | 3.8-48.9% | 10.0-54.2% | (38) | |
| 2007 | 15 | 13,827 | 98% | 0% | 2% | 100% | 2.5-83.0% | 5.0-82.3% | (1,682) | |
| Subtotal | 21 | 15,546 | | | | | | | (1,720) | |
| | | 14 | Structured CMBS Pools insured in the Secondary Market prior to 2005 (2 pools) | | | | | | | - |
| Grand Total | | \$ 15,560 | | | | | | | \$ (1,720) | |

While on an aggregate basis the deductible levels in the above table show little erosion, certain policies reflected in the table have experienced significant deductible erosion. This significant deductible erosion was largely due to liquidations of underlying loan collateral in those transactions over the past two years. Subsequent to March 31, 2013, one transaction experienced deterioration such that all the remaining deductible was eliminated and a claim for this transaction was presented to MBIA.

In addition, we have experienced ratings erosion in the total CMBS collateral underlying our insured static pools. Whereas approximately 32% of the total CMBS collateral underlying the pools outstanding as of March 31, 2013 was originally rated BBB and below and approximately 50% was originally rated AAA, approximately 58% of the total CMBS collateral underlying these pools as of March 31, 2013 was rated below investment grade. The higher risk of the collateral that was originally rated BBB and below was intended to be offset by the diversification in the collateral pool and the level of the deductible, whereas pools backed by all AAA collateral benefited from diversification, as well as higher credit quality, and required smaller deductibles. In all cases, regardless of the underlying collateral rating, MBIA Corp.'s insured position was rated AAA at origination of the transaction by at least one of the Moody's, S&P or Fitch ratings companies.

As of March 31, 2013, we had exposure to eight static CMBS pools, having \$6.0 billion of gross par outstanding, that were originally insured in 2006 and 2007, and in which substantially all of the underlying collateral comprised CMBS tranches originally rated BBB and lower. The remainder of the collateral in these eight pools consisted of higher rated CMBS bonds, REIT debt and other securities. The BBB and below rated CMBS bonds underlying these eight pools had an original weighted average credit enhancement level of 2.9%, compared with a weighted average credit enhancement level of 1.8% as of March 31, 2013. MBIA Corp.'s original policy level deductibles for these eight insured pools ranged from 23.0% to 82.3% with an original weighted average deductible by gross par outstanding of 37.7%, compared with deductibles that

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

range from 2.5% to 83.0% with a weighted average deductible by gross par outstanding of 20.8% as of March 31, 2013. As of March 31, 2013, most of MBIA Corp.'s estimated credit impairments for our static CMBS pools relate to a subset of these eight pools, of which the vast majority relate to a single counterparty, Bank of America and its subsidiary Merrill Lynch. Subsequent to March 31, 2013, MBIA commuted these exposures as part of the BofA Settlement Agreement. After all commutations agreed to subsequent to March 31, 2013, we have exposure to four static CMBS pools that were originally insured in 2006 and 2007, and in which substantially all of the underlying collateral comprised CMBS tranches originally rated BBB and lower. Total gross par outstanding on these pools was \$914 million as of March 31, 2013.

Additionally, we insure two static CMBS pools, totaling \$3.0 billion of gross par outstanding as of March 31, 2013, that were originally insured in 2007, and are comprised of CMBS collateral which was originally rated A. Although deductible erosion at the policy level has been minimal to date, we do expect additional erosion. While ultimate loss rates remain uncertain and if the economy does not improve, it is possible that we will experience severe losses, particularly if the underlying loans are unable to pay off at their expected maturity dates.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

The following table presents the vintage and original rating composition of the CMBS collateral in our static CMBS pools:

| Original Rating | CMBS Collateral Vintage | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| | 2004 and Prior | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | Total |
| AAA | 8.2% | 4.5% | 23.6% | 14.0% | 50.3% |
| AA | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.0% | 3.3% | 4.3% |
| A | 0.0% | 2.4% | 9.2% | 1.7% | 13.3% |
| BBB | 1.7% | 4.5% | 16.9% | 4.6% | 27.7% |
| Below investment grade or not rated | 1.7% | 1.2% | 0.6% | 0.9% | 4.4% |
| Total | 11.6% | 12.6% | 51.3% | 24.5% | 100.0% |

As of March 31, 2013, our structured CMBS pool portfolio comprised approximately 35,400 loans. The current weighted average debt service coverage ratio (DSCR) of underlying mortgage loans in the CMBS pools was 1.45 based on net operating income derived from the most recent property level financial statements. As of March 31, 2013, some properties still show signs of significant financial distress as evidenced by the fact that approximately 17% of the properties have reported a DSCR less than 1.0. The majority of the loans are long-term and fixed-rate in nature. Approximately ten percent of the loans mature in the next twelve months and these loans have a weighted average DSCR of 1.80. The large shifts in the Company's structured CMBS pool portfolio due to early settlements reached over the past several months render comparison to previous periods less meaningful.

Delinquencies have increased markedly in the CRE market over the last three years given the economic climate and the shortage of financing. As of March 31, 2013, 30-day and over delinquencies decreased in the fixed-rate conduit CMBS market to 8.8% and decreased in MBIA Corp.'s insured static pooled CMBS portfolio to 11.3%. The higher delinquency rate in MBIA Corp.'s portfolio was primarily due to a concentration in the 2006 and early 2007 vintages. Additionally, the market includes newer vintage transactions from 2010 to 2012, which have virtually no material delinquencies. Although we have also seen stabilization in the pace of increases in the delinquency rate over the past several months, some of the deceleration is attributable to the loan modifications and extensions granted by the special servicers for these CMBS loans as well as increased liquidations. The special servicers are responsible for managing loans that have defaulted and for conducting the remediation and foreclosure process with the objective of maximizing proceeds for all bondholders by avoiding or minimizing loan level losses.

Actual losses will be a function of the proportion of loans in the pools that are foreclosed and liquidated and the loss severities associated with those liquidations. If the deductibles in the Company's insured transactions and underlying referenced CMBS transactions are fully eroded, additional property level losses upon foreclosures and liquidations could result in substantial losses for MBIA. Ultimate loss rates remain uncertain and it is possible that we will experience severe losses or liquidity needs due to increased deterioration in our insured CMBS portfolio or our failure to commute the policies, in particular if macroeconomic stress escalates, there is a new recession, increased delinquencies, higher levels of liquidations of delinquent loans, and/or higher severities of loss upon liquidation. Although we still believe the likelihood of a new recession is low, we do consider the possibility in our estimates for future claims.

CRE CDOs

As of March 31, 2013, our gross par exposure to CRE CDOs totaled \$2.0 billion and represented approximately 2% of MBIA Corp.'s total gross par insured. CRE CDOs are managed pools of CMBS, CRE whole loans, B-Notes, mezzanine loans, REIT debt, and other securities (including, in some instances, buckets for RMBS and CRE CDOs) that allow for reinvestment during a defined time period. Most of these transactions benefit from typical CDO structural features such as cash diversion triggers, collateral quality tests, and manager replacement provisions. Typically, MBIA Corp. guarantees timely interest and ultimate principal of these CDOs. As with our other insured CDOs, these transactions

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

were generally structured with credit protection originally rated triple-A, or a multiple of triple-A, below our guarantee. As of March 31, 2013, our CRE CDO insured portfolio did not contain any CDOs of ABS exposures. Some of the CRE CDO transactions do contain some RMBS collateral, but overall this comprises 1% of the collateral in the CRE CDO portfolio.

Within our CRE CDO portfolio, we had one transaction with 2005, 2006, and 2007 vintage collateral totaling \$344 million of gross par outstanding as of March 31, 2013 in which substantially all of the collateral originally comprised BBB or BBB- rated tranches of CMBS. Although the transaction originally allowed for some manager flexibility, no underlying securities were ever traded, nor will they be going forward. Subsequent to March 31, 2013, MBIA commuted this exposure as part of the BofA Settlement Agreement.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

The following table presents the collateral as a percentage of the performing pool balances as of March 31, 2013 for all MBIA Corp.-insured CRE CDO transactions:

| Year Insured | # of CRE CDOs | Gross Par Outstanding | As of March 31, 2013 | | | | | | | Net Derivative / Asset (Liability) |
|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------|-------|-------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | CMBS | Whole Loans | REIT Debt | Other | Total | Current Enhancement | Original Enhancement | |
| 2004 | 2 | \$ 30 | 62% | 0% | 14% | 24% | 100% | 21.1-46.4% | 22.0-22.4% | \$ - |
| 2005 | 1 | 44 | 90% | 0% | 0% | 10% | 100% | 35.5% | 22.7% | (1) |
| 2006 | 7 | 407 | 28% | 67% | 1% | 4% | 100% | 0.0-56.9% | 24.0-50.0% | - |
| 2007 | 6 | 1,550 | 66% | 28% | 1% | 5% | 100% | 8.1-38.2% | 21.7-50.0% | (130) |
| Total | 16 | \$ 2,031 | | | | | | | | \$ (131) |

U.S. Public Finance and Structured Finance and International Reinsurance

Reinsurance enables the Company to cede exposure for purposes of syndicating risk and increasing its capacity to write new business while complying with its single risk and credit guidelines. When a reinsurer is downgraded by one or more of the rating agencies, less capital credit is given to MBIA under rating agency models and the overall value of the reinsurance to MBIA is reduced. The Company generally retains the right to reassume the business ceded to reinsurers under certain circumstances, including a reinsurer's rating downgrade below specified thresholds. The following table presents information about our reinsurance agreements as of March 31, 2013 for our U.S. public finance and structured finance and international insurance operations:

| In millions | Standard & Poor's Rating (Status) | Moody's Rating (Status) | Ceded Par Outstanding | Letters of Credit/Trust Accounts | Reinsurance Recoverable ⁽¹⁾ |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Assured Guaranty Corp. | AA- | A3 | | | |
| | (Stable Outlook) | (Stable Outlook) | \$ 2,331 | \$ - | \$ 14 |
| Assured Guaranty Re Ltd. | AA- | Baa1 | | | |
| | (Stable Outlook) | (Stable Outlook) | 494 | 5 | - |
| Overseas Private Investment Corporation | AA+ | Aaa | | | |
| | (Negative Outlook) | (Negative Outlook) | 358 | - | - |
| Export Development Canada | AAA | Aaa | | | |
| | (Stable Outlook) | (Stable Outlook) | 55 | 1 | - |
| Others | A+ or above | A2 or above | 60 | 1 | - |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|-------|----|-------|----|---|----|----|
| Total | \$ | 3,298 | \$ | 7 | \$ | 14 |
|-------|----|-------|----|---|----|----|

(1) - Amount comprises recoverables on unpaid losses.

MBIA requires certain unauthorized reinsurers to maintain bank letters of credit or establish trust accounts to cover liabilities ceded to such reinsurers under reinsurance contracts. As of March 31, 2013, the total amount available under these letters of credit and trust accounts was \$7 million. The Company remains liable on a primary basis for all reinsured risk, and although MBIA believes that its reinsurers remain capable of meeting their obligations, there can be no assurance of such in the future.

As of March 31, 2013, the aggregate amount of insured par outstanding ceded by MBIA to reinsurers under reinsurance agreements was \$3.3 billion compared with \$3.4 billion as of December 31, 2012. Of the \$3.3 billion of ceded par outstanding as of March 31, 2013, \$1.8 billion was ceded from our U.S. public finance insurance segment and \$1.5 billion was ceded from our structured finance and international insurance segment. Under National's reinsurance agreement with MBIA Corp., if a reinsurer of MBIA Corp. is unable to pay claims ceded by MBIA Corp. on U.S. public finance exposure, National will assume liability for such ceded claim payments. As of March 31, 2013, the total amount for which National would be liable in the event that the reinsurers of MBIA Corp. were unable to meet their obligations is \$1.8 billion. For FGIC policies assigned to National from MBIA Insurance Corporation, National maintains the right to receive third-party reinsurance totaling \$4.3 billion.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)***Advisory Services*

Our asset management advisory business is primarily conducted through Cutwater and Trifinium Advisors (UK) Limited (Trifinium). Cutwater provides advisory services, including cash management, discretionary asset management and structured products on a fee-for-service basis. Cutwater offers these services to public, not-for-profit, corporate and financial services clients, including MBIA Inc. and its other subsidiaries. Trifinium provides fee-based asset management and advisory services to the Company's foreign insurance affiliate and to third-party clients and investment structures. During the first quarter of 2013, Trifinium began managing a financing program that provides loans to the U.K. social housing sector. Trifinium earns fees for management and other services provided to the program.

The following table summarizes the results and assets under management of our advisory services segment for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. These results include revenues and expenses from transactions with the Company's insurance, corporate, and wind-down operations.

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Percent |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Change |
| Fees | \$ 11 | \$ 13 | -15% |
| Operating expenses | 12 | 17 | -29% |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ (1) | \$ (4) | -75% |
| Ending assets under management: | | | |
| Third-party | \$ 17,494 | \$ 20,601 | -15% |
| Insurance and corporate | 7,224 | 7,522 | -4% |
| Asset/liability products and conduits | 4,717 | 7,470 | -37% |
| Total ending assets under management | \$ 29,435 | \$ 35,593 | -17% |

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the decrease in pre-tax loss compared with the same period of 2012 was primarily driven by a decrease in operating expenses, principally due to lower compensation expenses.

Average third-party assets under management for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$17.7 billion and \$21.0 billion, respectively. This decrease was principally due to declines in our pool products and CDO management business.

Corporate

General corporate activities are conducted through our corporate segment. Our corporate operations primarily consist of holding company activities, including our service company, Optinuity. Revenues and expenses for Optinuity are included in the results of our corporate segment. Optinuity provides support services such as management, legal, accounting, treasury, information technology, and insurance portfolio surveillance, among others, to our corporate segment and other operating businesses on a fee-for-service basis.

The following table summarizes the consolidated results of our corporate segment for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. The results include revenues and expenses that arise from general corporate activities and from providing support to our other segments.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Percent |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Change |
| Net investment income | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | -% |
| Fees | 27 | 23 | 17% |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 5 | 5 | -% |
| Total revenues | 33 | 29 | 14% |
| Operating | 67 | 25 | n/m |
| Interest | 12 | 14 | -14% |
| Total expenses | 79 | 39 | 103% |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ (46) | \$ (10) | n/m |

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

FEES Fees are generated from support services provided to business units within the Company on a fee-for-service basis. Fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 increased compared with the same period of 2012 primarily due to a fee paid in 2013 by our conduit segment for administrative and other services of \$10 million. Such fees may vary significantly from period to period.

OPERATING EXPENSES Operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 increased compared with the same period of 2012 due to significantly higher legal and litigation related costs.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)*****Wind-down Operations***

We operate an asset/liability products business in which we historically issued debt and investment agreements insured by MBIA Corp. to capital markets and municipal investors. The proceeds of the debt and investment agreements were used initially to purchase assets that largely matched the duration of those liabilities. We also operate a conduit business in which we historically funded transactions by issuing debt insured by MBIA Corp. The rating downgrades of MBIA Corp. resulted in the termination and collateralization of certain derivatives and investment agreements and, together with the rising cost and declining availability of funding and liquidity within many of the asset classes in which proceeds were invested, caused the Company to begin winding down its asset/liability products and conduit businesses in 2008. Since the downgrades of MBIA Corp., we have not issued debt in connection with either business and, as a result, the outstanding liability balances and corresponding asset balances will continue to decline over time as liabilities mature, terminate or are repurchased by us.

Asset/Liability Products

The following table presents the results of our asset/liability products segment for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. These results include revenues and expenses from transactions with the Company's insurance and corporate operations.

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Percent |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Change |
| Net investment income | \$ 7 | \$ 17 | -59% |
| Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange | 3 | (73) | -104% |
| Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments | - | (55) | -100% |
| Net gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt | 4 | - | n/m |
| Total revenues | 14 | (111) | -113% |
| Operating | 2 | 4 | -50% |
| Interest expense | 20 | 31 | -35% |
| Total expenses | 22 | 35 | -37% |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ (8) | \$ (146) | -95% |

n/m - Percentage change not meaningful.

NET INVESTMENT INCOME The decrease in net investment income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 was primarily due to lower average asset balances as investments were sold to generate liquidity and repay liabilities.

NET GAINS (LOSSES) ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AT FAIR VALUE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE The favorable change in net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 was primarily the result of a decline in losses related to the sale of investments and favorable movements in foreign exchange rates on medium-term notes (MTNs), partially offset by a decline in gains on derivatives.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

NET INVESTMENT LOSSES RELATED TO OTHER-THAN-TEMPORARY IMPAIRMENTS The Company has an ongoing review process for all securities to assess whether a decline in value is related to a credit loss. The Company utilizes cash flow modeling for purposes of assessing other-than-temporary impairments. Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments for the three months ended March 31, 2012 related to impairing certain securities to their fair values, as it was our intent to sell these securities before a recovery of their fair values to amortized cost. Refer to the **Liquidity** section included herein for additional information about impaired investments.

NET GAINS (LOSSES) ON EXTINGUISHMENT OF DEBT For the three months ended March 31, 2013, net gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt was primarily due to gains from the repurchase of MTNs issued by the Company.

INTEREST EXPENSE The decrease in interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 was primarily due to the continued maturity and repurchases of liabilities by the Company.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)***Conduits*

The following table presents the results of our conduit segment for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. These results include revenues and expenses from transactions with the Company's insurance and corporate operations.

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Percent |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Change |
| Revenues of consolidated VIEs: | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 2 | \$ 3 | -33% |
| Total revenues | 2 | 3 | -33% |
| Expenses of consolidated VIEs: | | | |
| Operating | 11 | - | n/m |
| Interest | 2 | 4 | -50% |
| Total expenses | 13 | 4 | n/m |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ (11) | \$ (1) | n/m |

n/m - Percentage change not meaningful.

Our conduit segment is principally operated through Meridian. Certain of MBIA's consolidated subsidiaries have received compensation for services provided to our conduits.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, total revenues decreased compared with the same period of 2012 as a result of lower asset balances, and total expenses increased compared with the same period of 2012 primarily as a result of a \$10 million fee paid to our corporate segment for administrative and other services.

Taxes*Provision for Income Taxes*

The Company's income taxes and the related effective tax rates for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are presented in the following table:

Three Months Ended March 31,

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| In millions | 2013 | 2012 |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ 215 | \$ 21 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | \$ 51 | \$ 11 |
| Effective tax rate | 23.7% | 52.4% |

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, our effective tax rate applied to our pre-tax income was lower than the U.S. statutory tax rate of 35% primarily due to a reduction in our valuation allowance against our deferred tax asset.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, our effective tax rate applied to our pre-tax income was higher than the U.S. statutory tax rate of 35%, as a result of the increase in the valuation allowance, partially offset by the benefit of tax exempt income and the release of a portion of the reserve for uncertain tax benefits.

Refer to Note 9: Income Taxes in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a further discussion of income taxes, including the Company's valuation allowance against deferred tax assets and its accounting for tax uncertainties.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company manages its capital resources to minimize its cost of capital while maintaining appropriate claims-paying resources (CPR) for National and MBIA Corp. The Company's capital resources consist of total shareholders' equity, total debt issued by MBIA Inc. for general corporate purposes, and surplus notes issued by MBIA Insurance Corporation. Total capital resources were \$4.9 billion and \$4.8 billion as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. MBIA Inc. utilizes its capital resources to support the business activities of its subsidiaries. As of March 31, 2013, MBIA Inc.'s investments in subsidiaries totaled \$4.3 billion.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****CAPITAL RESOURCES (continued)**

In addition to managing the capital resources of MBIA Inc.'s subsidiaries, we also manage the capital resources of MBIA Inc. supporting our corporate and asset/liability products segments. This includes our corporate unsecured debt issued for general corporate purposes and debt and investment agreements for operating leverage purposes in support of our asset/liability products business. MBIA Inc. seeks to maintain sufficient liquidity and capital resources to meet its general corporate needs as well as the needs of the asset/liability products business. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the combined net debt of MBIA Inc.'s corporate segment and asset/liability products segment, which primarily comprised long-term debt, MTNs, investment agreements and derivative liabilities net of cash and investments at amortized cost and a tax receivable from subsidiaries, totaled \$1.2 billion. The Company expects that MBIA Inc. will generate sufficient cash to satisfy its net debt and its general corporate needs over time from distributions from its operating subsidiaries and by raising third-party capital, although there can be no assurance that such factors will generate sufficient cash to satisfy its net debt. Refer to the following "Liquidity MBIA Inc. Liquidity" section for additional information about MBIA Inc.'s liquidity.

Securities Repurchases

Repurchases of debt and/or common stock may be made from time to time in the open market or in private transactions as permitted by securities laws and other legal requirements. We believe that debt and/or share repurchases can be an appropriate deployment of capital in excess of amounts needed to support our liquidity while maintaining the CPR of MBIA Corp. and National as well as other business needs.

Debt securities

MBIA Inc. or its subsidiaries may repurchase or terminate their outstanding debt at prices that we deem to be economically advantageous. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we repurchased approximately \$24 million par value outstanding of MTNs issued by our asset/liability segment at a weighted average cost of approximately 94% of par value.

Insurance Statutory Capital

National and MBIA Insurance Corporation are incorporated and licensed in, and are subject to primary insurance regulation and supervision by, the State of New York. National and MBIA Insurance Corporation each are required to file detailed annual financial statements, as well as interim financial statements, with the NYSDFS and similar supervisory agencies in each of the other jurisdictions in which it is licensed. These financial statements are prepared in accordance with New York State and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners' statements of U.S. STAT and assist our regulators in evaluating minimum standards of solvency, including minimum capital requirements, and business conduct. U.S. STAT differs from GAAP in a number of ways. Refer to the statutory accounting practices note to consolidated financial statements of National and MBIA Corp. within exhibits 99.1 and 99.2, respectively, of MBIA Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for an explanation of the differences between U.S. STAT and GAAP.

National**Capital and Surplus**

National reported total statutory capital of \$3.3 billion as of March 31, 2013 compared with \$3.2 billion as of December 31, 2012. As of March 31, 2013, statutory capital comprised \$1.2 billion of contingency reserves and \$2.1 billion of policyholders' surplus. The increase in National's statutory capital is primarily due to statutory net income of \$100 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. Consistent with our plan to transform our insurance business, the Company received approval from the NYSDFS to reset National's unassigned surplus to zero, which was effective January 1, 2010. As of March 31, 2013, National's unassigned surplus was \$1.5 billion. In October 2010, the plaintiffs in the litigation challenging the establishment of National initiated a court proceeding challenging the approval of the surplus reset. Refer to "Note 13: Commitments and Contingencies" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of this action.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

In order to maintain its New York State financial guarantee insurance license, National is required to maintain a minimum of \$65 million of policyholders' surplus. National is also required to maintain contingency reserves to provide protection to policyholders in the event of extreme losses in adverse economic events. Refer to the following MBIA Insurance Corporation Capital and Surplus section for additional information about contingency reserves under the New York Insurance Law (NYIL). National's policyholders' surplus will grow over time from the recognition of unearned premiums and investment income and the expected release of the contingency reserves. Conversely, incurred losses would reduce policyholders' surplus. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, National was not in compliance with its single risk limits requirements but was in compliance with its aggregate risk limits.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

CAPITAL RESOURCES (continued)

NYIL regulates the payment of dividends by financial guarantee insurance companies and provides that such companies may not declare or distribute dividends except out of statutory earned surplus. Under NYIL, the sum of (i) the amount of dividends declared or distributed during the preceding 12-month period and (ii) the dividend to be declared may not exceed the lesser of (a) 10% of policyholders' surplus, as reported in the latest statutory financial statements or (b) 100% of adjusted net investment income for such 12-month period (the net investment income for such 12-month period plus the excess, if any, of net investment income over dividends declared or distributed during the two-year period preceding such 12-month period), unless the Superintendent of the NYSDFS approves a greater dividend distribution based upon a finding that the insurer will retain sufficient surplus to support its obligations.

National is subject to NYIL with respect to the payment of dividends as described above. National had a positive earned surplus as of March 31, 2013, which provides National with dividend capacity. National did not declare or pay any dividends during the first quarter of 2013. In connection with the court proceeding challenging the approval of the National surplus reset described above, we agreed that National will not pay dividends during the current adjournment of the proceeding (i.e., through June 19, 2013). In addition, in connection with the approval of a release of excessive contingency reserves during 2011 for MBIA Insurance Corporation, the Company agreed that National will not pay dividends without the prior regulatory approval of the NYSDFS prior to July 19, 2013.

National's statutory policyholders' surplus was lower than its GAAP shareholder's equity by \$1.8 billion as of March 31, 2013. U.S. STAT differs from GAAP in certain respects. Refer to Note 11: Statutory Accounting Practices in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of National within exhibit 99.1 of MBIA Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for an explanation of the differences between U.S. STAT and GAAP.

Claims-Paying Resources (Statutory Basis)

CPR is a key measure of the resources available to National to pay claims under its insurance policies. CPR consists of total financial resources and reserves calculated on a statutory basis. CPR has been a common measure used by financial guarantee insurance companies to report and compare resources and continues to be used by MBIA's management to evaluate changes in such resources. We have provided CPR to allow investors and analysts to evaluate National using the same measure that MBIA's management uses to evaluate National's resources to pay claims under its insurance policies. There is no directly comparable GAAP measure. Our calculation of CPR may differ from the calculation of CPR reported by other companies.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****CAPITAL RESOURCES (continued)**

National's CPR and components thereto, as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are presented in the following table:

| In millions | As of March 31, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Policyholders' surplus | \$ 2,104 | \$ 1,999 |
| Contingency reserves | 1,245 | 1,249 |
| Statutory capital | 3,349 | 3,248 |
| Unearned premium reserve | 1,951 | 2,041 |
| Present value of installment premiums ⁽¹⁾ | 213 | 217 |
| Premium resources ⁽²⁾ | 2,164 | 2,258 |
| Net loss and LAE reserves ⁽¹⁾ | (103) | (109) |
| Salvage reserves | 263 | 262 |
| Gross loss and LAE reserve | 160 | 153 |
| Total claims-paying resources | \$ 5,673 | \$ 5,659 |

(1) - Calculated using a discount rate 4.54% as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(2) - Includes financial guarantee and insured credit derivative related premiums.

MBIA Insurance Corporation**Capital and Surplus**

MBIA Insurance Corporation reported total statutory capital of \$1.3 billion as of March 31, 2013 compared with \$1.5 billion as of December 31, 2012. As of March 31, 2013, statutory capital comprised \$478 million of contingency reserves and \$784 million of policyholders' surplus. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, MBIA Insurance Corporation had a statutory net loss of \$185 million, primarily due to losses and LAE incurred and interest expense on the National Secured Loan, partially offset by net premiums earned. MBIA Insurance Corporation's policyholders' surplus as of March 31, 2013 included a negative unassigned surplus of \$1.2 billion.

As of March 31, 2013, MBIA Insurance Corporation recognized estimated recoveries of \$2.6 billion, net of reinsurance and income taxes at a rate of 35%, on a statutory basis related to put-backs of ineligible mortgage loans in its insured transactions. These expected insurance recoveries represented 203% of MBIA Insurance Corporation's statutory capital as of March 31, 2013. There can be no assurance that we will be successful or that we will not be delayed in realizing these recoveries. Refer to Executive Overview MBIA's Business Outlook included herein for factors that may influence MBIA Corp.'s ability to realize these recoveries.

In order to maintain its New York State financial guarantee insurance license, MBIA Insurance Corporation is required to maintain a minimum of \$65 million of policyholders' surplus. MBIA Insurance Corporation's policyholders' surplus is expected to grow over time from the recognition of unearned premiums and investment income and the expected release of the contingency reserves. In addition, MBIA Insurance Corporation's

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

policyholders' surplus could be enhanced by the settlement, commutation or repurchase of insured transactions at prices less than its statutory loss reserves for such transactions. Conversely, incurred losses or an inability to collect on our ineligible mortgage loan put-back claims would reduce policyholders' surplus.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****CAPITAL RESOURCES (continued)**

Under NYIL, MBIA Insurance Corporation is also required to establish a contingency reserve to provide protection to policyholders in the event of extreme losses in adverse economic events. The amount of the reserve is based on the percentage of principal insured or premiums earned, depending on the type of obligation (net of collateral, reinsurance, refunding, refinancings and certain insured securities). Under NYIL, MBIA Insurance Corporation is required to invest its minimum surplus and contingency reserves, and 50% of its loss reserves and unearned premium reserves, in certain qualifying assets. Reductions in the contingency reserve may be recognized based on excessive reserves and under certain stipulated conditions, subject to the approval of the Superintendent of the NYSDFS. As of March 31, 2013, MBIA Insurance Corporation had a deficit of \$209 million of qualifying assets required to support its contingency reserves. The deficit was caused by the failure of certain mortgage originators, to honor contractual obligations to repurchase ineligible mortgage loans from securitizations we insured thus requiring MBIA Insurance Corporation to sell liquid assets in order to make claim payments. The deficit may grow as additional commutation and claim payments are made in the future. MBIA Insurance Corporation reported the deficit and requested approval from the NYSDFS to release excess contingency reserves as of September 30, 2012, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2013, but to date has not received approval. For risks associated with MBIA Insurance Corporation's failure to meet its contingency reserve requirement, see Item 1A Risk Factors Capital, Liquidity and Market Related Risk Factors. If our insurance companies fail to meet regulatory capital requirements they may become subject to regulatory action in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

As of March 31, 2013, MBIA Insurance Corporation exceeded its aggregate risk limits under the NYIL by \$174 million. The overage was caused by the decrease in statutory capital described above. MBIA Insurance Corporation previously notified the NYSDFS of the overage and submitted a plan to achieve compliance with the limits in accordance with the NYIL. If MBIA Insurance Corporation is not in compliance with its aggregate risk limits, the NYSDFS may prevent MBIA Insurance Corporation from transacting any new financial guarantee insurance business until it no longer exceeds the limitations. In 2013 and 2012, MBIA Insurance Corporation reported additional overages to the NYSDFS with respect to its single risk limits due to changes in its statutory capital.

In connection with MBIA Insurance Corporation obtaining approval from the NYSDFS to release excessive contingency reserves as discussed above, MBIA Insurance Corporation agreed that it would not pay any dividends without prior approval from the NYSDFS. Due to its significant negative earned surplus, MBIA Insurance Corporation has not had the statutory capacity to pay dividends since December 31, 2009 and is not expected to have any statutory capacity to pay any dividends in the near term.

MBIA Insurance Corporation's statutory policyholders' surplus is higher than its GAAP shareholders' equity by \$178 million as of March 31, 2013. U.S. STAT differs from GAAP in certain respects. Refer to Note 14: Statutory Accounting Practices in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of MBIA Corp. within exhibit 99.2 of MBIA Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for an explanation of the differences between U.S. STAT and GAAP.

Claims-Paying Resources (Statutory Basis)

CPR is a key measure of the resources available to MBIA Insurance Corporation to pay claims under its insurance policies. CPR consists of total financial resources and reserves calculated on a statutory basis. CPR has been a common measure used by financial guarantee insurance companies to report and compare resources, and continues to be used by MBIA's management to evaluate changes in such resources. We have provided CPR to allow investors and analysts to evaluate MBIA Insurance Corporation, using the same measure that MBIA's management uses to evaluate MBIA Insurance Corporation's resources to pay claims under its insurance policies. There is no directly comparable GAAP measure. Our calculation of CPR may differ from the calculation of CPR reported by other companies.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****CAPITAL RESOURCES (continued)**

MBIA Insurance Corporation's CPR and components thereto, as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are presented in the following table:

| In millions | As of March 31, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Policyholders' surplus | \$ 784 | \$ 965 |
| Contingency reserves | 478 | 493 |
| Statutory capital | 1,262 | 1,458 |
| Unearned premium reserve | 585 | 600 |
| Present value of installment premiums ⁽¹⁾ | 979 | 1,035 |
| Premium resources ⁽²⁾ | 1,564 | 1,635 |
| Net loss and LAE reserves ⁽¹⁾ | (2,378) | (2,448) |
| Salvage reserves ⁽³⁾ | 4,893 | 4,628 |
| Gross loss and LAE reserve | 2,515 | 2,180 |
| Total claims-paying resources | \$ 5,341 | \$ 5,273 |

(1) - Calculated using a discount rate of 5.72% as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(2) - Includes financial guarantee and insured credit derivative related premiums.

(3) - This amount primarily consists of expected recoveries related to the Company's put-back claims.

LIQUIDITY

As a financial services company, MBIA has been materially adversely affected by conditions in global financial markets. Current conditions and events in these markets, in addition to the failure by the originators of RMBS to repurchase the ineligible mortgage loans in securitizations that we had insured, have put substantial stress on our liquidity resources.

We have utilized a liquidity risk management framework, the primary objectives of which are to monitor liquidity positions and projections in our legal entities and guide the matching of liquidity resources to needs. We monitor our cash and liquid asset resources using stress-scenario testing. Members of MBIA's senior management meet regularly to review liquidity metrics, discuss contingency plans and establish target liquidity cushions on an enterprise-wide basis. As part of our liquidity risk management framework, we evaluate and manage liquidity on a legal-entity basis to take into account the legal, regulatory and other limitations on available liquidity resources within the enterprise.

The majority of our liquidity management efforts focus on:

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

The liquidity resources of MBIA Inc., which are subject to: uncertainty in the timing and amount of cash inflows from dividends paid by National and MBIA Insurance Corporation; the necessity of having to meet the scheduled principal and interest on its corporate debt and investment agreements issued by the asset/liability products business; payments on derivative contracts related to the asset/liability products business; and ongoing operating expense needs. The asset/liability products business of MBIA Inc. is subject to ongoing negative cash flow and has a deficit of invested assets to liabilities. In addition, the liquidity resources of MBIA Inc. are subject to collateralization requirements in connection with the liabilities it has issued to third parties and affiliates and in connection with third-party derivative contracts.

The liquidity resources of MBIA Corp., which are subject to: ongoing payments related to second-lien RMBS exposures; payments on its remaining CMBS exposures, due to the deterioration in such exposures; delays in the collection of recoveries related to ineligible mortgage loans in certain insured RMBS transactions; payments to counterparties in consideration for the commutation of insured transactions; and payments on insured exposures that in some cases may be large bullet payments. MBIA Corp. is currently subject to negative cash flow as a result of these payments and delays in collecting recoveries.

We also monitor the liquidity resources of National, for which we have not observed material liquidity risk to date, in order to ensure it maintains sufficient liquidity to pay claims and satisfy its other obligations. National's liquidity resources are subject to loss payments on its insured transactions and negative cash flow, primarily due to tax payments resulting from embedded earnings and investment income.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****LIQUIDITY (continued)**

In order to efficiently manage liquidity across the entire enterprise, certain of our subsidiaries which are less liquidity constrained have entered into intercompany agreements that provide resources to subsidiaries that are more liquidity constrained. These resources include intercompany agreements described further below between our primary insurance subsidiaries and between these insurance subsidiaries and MBIA Inc., which in each case were approved by the NYSDFS and are subject to ongoing monitoring by the NYSDFS. MBIA Corp. may also draw on liquidity through the BofA Secured Loan described below.

Key Lending Agreements**BofA Secured Loan**

In connection with the BofA Settlement Agreement in May of 2013, MBIA Corp. and Blue Ridge Investments, L.L.C., an affiliate of Bank of America (Blue Ridge), entered into the BofA Secured Loan, pursuant to which Blue Ridge agreed to make revolving loans to MBIA Corp. in an aggregate amount of up to \$500 million. The following is a summary of the material terms of the Loan Agreement. This summary is not complete and is subject to the full text of the documents described below.

Use of Proceeds

The proceeds of the BofA Secured Loan can be used for general corporate purposes. Once the BofA Secured Loan outstanding exceeds \$50 million, the proceeds must be used for the purpose of meeting ordinary course liquidity requirements expected to arise during the 30 days following such borrowing.

Conditions to Borrowings

Blue Ridge's obligation to make loans is subject to usual and customary conditions precedent, including that on the date of the borrowing (i) no default is continuing or would occur as a result of that borrowing and (ii) the representations and warranties specified in the BofA Secured Loan agreement are true and accurate in all material respects.

Interest Rate and Fees

Loans will bear interest at a rate per annum equal to, at MBIA Corp.'s option, of (i) the adjusted LIBOR rate (LIBOR Rate Loans) plus an applicable margin, or (ii) the base rate (Base Rate Loans), which is determined by reference to the highest of (A) Bank of America's prime rate and (B) the sum of the federal funds effective rate plus ½ of 1.0%, and (C) the adjusted LIBOR rate plus 1.00%, plus an applicable margin. Interest accrues daily and is payable (x) in the case of Base Rate Loans, quarterly in arrears, and (y) in the case of LIBOR Rate Loans, on the last day of each interest period applicable to such LIBOR Rate Loan (unless the interest period is longer than three months, in which case interest is payable on the last day of each three-month period). The applicable margin is 7.50% per annum (in the case of LIBOR Rate Loans) and 6.50% (in the case of Base Rate Loans). With respect to any available but undrawn amounts under the Loan Agreement, MBIA Corp. is obligated to pay a commitment fee on such undrawn amounts of 2.00% per annum.

Security

The Loans are secured by (i) recoveries for claims paid on insured RMBS arising from satisfaction by various originators of such securities of their obligations to buy back ineligible loans (Put Back Recoveries), (ii) future installment premiums in respect of all of MBIA Corp.'s outstanding financial guaranty insurance policies, (iii) salvage or subrogation recoveries that result from excess interest or other income generated on performing loans in RMBS insured by MBIA Corp. and (iv) 65% of the voting capital stock of MBIA UK (Holdings) Limited (the collateral described in clauses (ii) and (iii) above, the Other Prepayment Collateral). Under the BofA Secured Loan agreement, the value of the collateral described in clauses (i) through (iii) above must at all times be greater than \$1.0 billion. As of March 31, 2013, the value of the Collateral was approximately \$2.5 billion (after giving effect to the BofA Settlement Agreement and Flagstar Bank settlement).

Scheduled Repayment

The maturity date of the BofA Secured Loan is three years from the closing date, at which time any then outstanding loans will be due and payable.

Mandatory Prepayments

Loans are required to be prepaid (and Blue Ridge's commitments reduced) in an amount equal to the following: (i) 100% of the proceeds of any put-back recoveries and (ii) on and after the first anniversary of the closing date, from the proceeds of any Other Prepayment Collateral in an amount equal to (x) from the first anniversary of the closing date to the second anniversary of the closing date, 50% of such proceeds and (y) from the second anniversary of the closing date to the maturity date, 100% of such proceeds. In addition, loans must be prepaid (and Blue Ridge's commitments reduced) in an amount equal to 100% of the proceeds of certain disposals of assets to the extent exceeding \$1 million in aggregate for all such disposals. Finally, loans must be prepaid (but without any commitment reduction) to the extent the proceeds of any borrowing that is a liquidity borrowing are not in fact used for such purposes and have not otherwise been used to repay loans within the required 30-day period.

Representations and Warranties

The BofA Secured Loan agreement contains customary representations and warranties for loan facilities of this type, which are given on the closing date and at each borrowing under the BofA Secured Loan agreement, including with respect to organization of MBIA Corp., power and authority, enforceability of the loan documents, receipt of any necessary governmental approvals, financial condition and solvency, and compliance with laws.

Covenants

The BofA Secured Loan agreement contains certain affirmative and negative covenants which are customary for loan facilities of this type in relation to, among other matters, delivery of financial statements, notice of material events, existence and conduct of business, payment of taxes and other obligations, maintenance of books and records, compliance with all material laws, and maintenance of insurance, and includes a requirement that MBIA Corp. maintain at least \$750 million of statutory capital (defined as policyholders' surplus plus contingency reserves).

In addition, MBIA Corp. may not, without Blue Ridge's consent, consummate any amendments, compromises or commutations with respect to insurance obligations and settlements of litigation to the extent (x) that payments made in respect of such remediation efforts exceed \$500 million in the aggregate, (y) after giving effect thereto and to any borrowings of loans in connection therewith, the aggregate principal amount of loans outstanding would exceed \$200 million or (z) after giving effect thereto, all remediation efforts taken as a whole since the closing date, would, cumulatively, have reduced MBIA Corp.'s statutory capital by \$100 million or more.

In addition, MBIA Corp. may not prepay, redeem, purchase, defease or otherwise satisfy prior to the scheduled maturity thereof in any manner, or make any payment of principal, interest or fees or any other payment on, any of its indebtedness (including the Surplus Notes), except for payments of the loans under the BofA Secured Loan agreement and except for certain refinancings and refundings of its indebtedness.

Change of Control

MBIA Corp. may be required to prepay all amounts outstanding under the BofA Secured Loan agreement upon the occurrence of a change of control.

Events of Default

The BofA Secured Loan agreement contains certain events of default which are customary for loan facilities of this type (and with customary cure periods), including failure to pay principal, interest or fees on the loans, misrepresentation, failure to observe covenants or conditions, failure to pay other material indebtedness, insolvency and bankruptcy matters, and unlawfulness or invalidity of the loan documents.

National Secured Loan

In 2011, National provided a \$1.1 billion National Secured Loan to MBIA Insurance Corporation in order to enable MBIA Insurance Corporation to fund settlements and commutations of its insurance policies. This loan was approved by the NYSDFS as well as by the boards of directors of MBIA Inc., MBIA Insurance Corporation and National. The National Secured Loan has a fixed annual interest rate of 7% and a maturity date of December 2016. MBIA Insurance Corporation has the option to defer payments of interest when due by capitalizing interest amounts to the loan balance, subject to the collateral value exceeding certain thresholds. MBIA Insurance Corporation has elected to defer the interest payments due under the loan. MBIA Insurance Corporation's obligation to repay the loan is secured by a pledge of collateral having an

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

estimated value in excess of the notional amount of the loan as of March 31, 2013, which collateral comprised the following future receivables of MBIA Corp.: (i) its right to receive put-back recoveries related to ineligible mortgage loans included in its insured second-lien RMBS transactions; (ii) future recoveries on defaulted insured second-lien RMBS transactions resulting from expected excess spread generated by performing loans in such transactions; and (iii) future installment premiums. During 2012, MBIA Insurance Corporation borrowed an additional \$443 million under the National Secured Loan with the approval of the NYSDFS at the same terms as the original loan to fund additional commutations of its insurance policies. As of March 31, 2013 the outstanding principal amount, which includes capitalized interest, was \$1.7 billion. In connection with the BofA Settlement Agreement, in May of 2013, MBIA Insurance Corporation repaid this loan in full and it was extinguished.

Asset Swap

National maintains the Asset Swap (simultaneous repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements) with MBIA Inc. for up to \$2.0 billion based on the fair value of securities borrowed. The Asset Swap provides MBIA Inc. with eligible assets to pledge under investment agreements and derivative contracts in the asset/liability products business. As of March 31, 2013, the notional amount utilized under each of these agreements was \$474 million and the fair value of collateral pledged by National and MBIA Inc. under these agreements was \$497 million and \$517 million, respectively. The net average interest rate on these transactions was 0.10% and 0.88% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The NYSDFS approved the Asset Swap in connection with the re-domestication of National to New York. National has committed to the NYSDFS to use commercially reasonable efforts to reduce the amount of the Asset Swap over time.

MBIA Corp. Secured Loan

MBIA Corp., as lender, maintains a master repurchase agreement, the MBIA Corp. Secured Loan, with MBIA Inc. for the benefit of MBIA Inc. s asset/liability products business, which totaled \$2.0 billion at inception and was scheduled to mature in May 2012, as amended. This loan was repaid in May 2012 and there have been no further draws. The average interest rate on the MBIA Corp. Secured Loan was 2.53% for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Also in May 2012, the NYSDFS approved the maturity extension of the MBIA Corp. Secured Loan facility to May 2013 with a maximum outstanding amount of \$450 million. This loan facility expired on May 3, 2013.

Conduit Repurchase Agreement

MBIA Inc. maintains a repurchase agreement with Meridian (Conduit Repurchase Agreement), with a maximum funded amount of \$1.0 billion, subject to a pledge of collateral. The Conduit Repurchase Agreement had an average interest rate during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 of 2.30% and 2.51%, respectively. As of March 31, 2013, the amount drawn by MBIA Inc. under this agreement and the fair value of the collateral pledged by MBIA Inc. were \$30 million and \$38 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****LIQUIDITY (continued)*****MBIA Inc. Liquidity***

MBIA Inc.'s liquidity resources support our corporate and asset/liability products segments. The activities of MBIA Inc. consist of holding and managing investments, servicing outstanding corporate debt instruments, investment agreements and MTNs issued by the asset/liability products and conduit segments, posting collateral under financing and hedging arrangements and investment agreements, making payments and collateral postings related to interest rate and foreign exchange swaps, and paying operating expenses. The primary sources of cash within MBIA Inc. used to meet its liquidity needs include available cash and liquid assets not subject to collateral posting requirements, scheduled principal and interest on assets held in its investment portfolio, dividends from subsidiaries, payments under tax sharing agreements with these subsidiaries (once the payments become unrestricted) and the ability to issue debt and equity. There can be no assurance as to the amount and timing of any such dividends or payments under the tax sharing agreements. MBIA Inc.'s corporate debt, investment agreements, MTNs, and derivatives may be accelerated by the holders of such instruments upon the occurrence of certain events, such as a breach of covenant or representation, a bankruptcy of MBIA Inc. or the filing of an insolvency proceeding with respect to National, in the case of the corporate debt, or MBIA Insurance Corporation, in the cases of the obligations it insures. MBIA Inc.'s obligations under its loans from GFL may be accelerated only upon the occurrence of a bankruptcy or liquidation of MBIA Inc. Refer to Note 10: Business Segments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of the GFL loans. In the event of any acceleration of our obligations, including under our corporate debt, investment agreements, MTNs, or derivatives, we likely would not have sufficient liquid resources to pay amounts due with respect to our corporate debt and other obligations.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, pursuant to the tax sharing agreement, National settled its taxes related to the 2012 tax year of \$16 million with MBIA Inc. Consistent with the tax sharing agreement, this amount was placed in an escrow account until the expiration of National's two-year net operating loss (NOL) carry-back period under U.S. tax rules. At the expiration of National's carry-back period, any funds remaining after any reimbursement to National in respect of any NOL carry-backs would be available for general corporate purposes, including to satisfy any other obligations under the tax sharing agreement. During the first quarter of 2013, MBIA Inc. received \$115 million that was previously held in escrow under the MBIA group tax sharing agreement. The amount represents National's liability under the tax sharing agreement for the 2010 tax year, and was released pursuant to the terms of the agreement following the expiration of National's two-year NOL carry-back period under U.S. tax rules. As of March 31, 2013, \$346 million remained in escrow for the 2011 and 2012 tax years.

MBIA Inc. is subject to material liquidity risks and uncertainty. To mitigate these risks, we seek to maintain cash and liquidity resources that we believe will be sufficient to make all payments due on our obligations and to meet other financial requirements, such as posting collateral, at least through the next twelve months.

Liquidity risk within MBIA Inc. is primarily a result of the following factors:

Currently, the majority of the cash and securities of MBIA Inc. is pledged against investment agreement liabilities, intercompany financing arrangements and derivatives, which limit its ability to raise liquidity through asset sales. In addition, if the market value or rating eligibility of the assets which are pledged against these obligations were to decline, we would be required to pledge additional eligible assets in order to meet minimum required collateral amounts against these liabilities. In such event, we may sell additional assets, potentially with substantial losses, finance unencumbered assets through intercompany facilities, or use free cash or other assets, in some cases with NYSDFS approval, although there can be no assurance that these strategies will be available or adequate to meet liquidity requirements.

Uncertainty of the timing and amount of cash inflows from dividends paid by MBIA's principal operating subsidiaries. Refer to the Capital Resources-Insurance Statutory Capital section for a discussion on our insurance subsidiaries' dividend restrictions.

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

Certain investment agreements issued by MBIA Inc. may accelerate (including, in some cases, with make-whole payments), in the event of the commencement of a rehabilitation or liquidation proceedings against MBIA Insurance Corporation. The settlement of these amounts due in such a circumstance could further reduce MBIA Inc.'s liquidity resources.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****LIQUIDITY (continued)**

Because most of MBIA Inc.'s assets are pledged against the obligations described above, the widening of credit spreads would have an adverse impact on the market value of these assets and increase collateralization requirements for the portfolio. The following table presents the estimated pre-tax change in the aggregate fair value of the asset/liability products business's assets as of March 31, 2013 from instantaneous shifts in credit spread curves. This table assumes that all credit spreads move by the same amount; however, it is more likely that the actual changes in credit spreads will vary by investment sector and individual security. The table presents hypothetical increases and decreases in credit spreads of 50 and 200 basis points. Because downward movements of these amounts in some cases would result in negative spreads, a floor was assumed for minimum spreads.

| In millions | Change in Credit Spreads (Asset/Liability Products Business) | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | 200 Basis Point Decrease | 50 Basis Point Decrease | 50 Basis Point Increase | 200 Basis Point Increase |
| Estimated change in fair value | \$ 107 | \$ 37 | \$ (34) | \$ (122) |

During 2013, MBIA Inc. maintained three intercompany financing facilities to provide it with additional resources to meet its liquidity requirements within the asset/liability products business: the Asset Swap, the MBIA Corp. Secured Loan and the Conduit Repurchase Agreement. Refer to the preceding "Key Intercompany Lending Agreements" section for a description of these facilities.

We believe that asset sales undertaken during 2012 have reduced volatility in MBIA Inc.'s portfolio in the event of stressed market conditions. However, stressed credit market conditions could cause MBIA Inc. to have insufficient resources to cover collateral and/or other liquidity requirements. Management has identified certain actions to mitigate this risk. These contingent actions include: (1) additional sales of invested assets exposed to credit spread stress risk, which may occur at losses and increase the deficit of invested assets to liabilities; (2) termination and settlement of interest rate swap agreements; and (3) other available advances from subsidiaries. These actions, if taken, are expected to result in either additional liquidity or reduced exposure to adverse credit spread movements. There can be no assurance that these actions will be sufficient to fully mitigate this risk. In the event that we cannot implement the contingent actions identified above to raise liquidity, or eliminate the deficit, we may have insufficient assets to make all payments on our obligations as they come due, which could result in a default by MBIA Inc. on its obligations and the potential for MBIA Corp., as guarantor of the investment agreements and GFL MTNs, to be called upon to satisfy obligations on those instruments as they come due.

As of March 31, 2013, the liquidity position of MBIA Inc., which consists of the liquidity positions of its corporate and asset/liability products activities, was \$373 million and comprised cash and liquid assets of \$317 million available for general corporate liquidity purposes, excluding the amounts held in escrow under its tax sharing agreement, and \$56 million not pledged directly as collateral for its asset/liability products activities. As of December 31, 2012, MBIA Inc. had \$239 million of cash and liquid assets comprising \$170 million available for general corporate liquidity purposes, excluding the amounts held in escrow under its tax sharing agreement, and \$69 million not pledged directly as collateral for its asset/liability products activities. We believe this liquidity position provides MBIA Inc. with sufficient funds to cover expected obligations at least through the next twelve months.

MBIA Corp. Liquidity

Liquidity available in the structured finance and international insurance segment is affected by our ability to collect on recoveries associated with loss payments, the payment of claims on insured exposures, payments made to commute insured exposures, the repayment of outstanding borrowings, a reduction in investment income, any unanticipated expenses, or the impairment or a significant decline in the fair value of invested assets. We may also experience liquidity constraints as a result of NYIL requirements that we maintain specified, high quality assets to back our reserves and surplus.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****LIQUIDITY (continued)**

We believe the current liquidity position of MBIA Corp. is adequate to make expected future claim payments. MBIA Corp.'s liquidity position has been substantially strengthened as a result of the BofA Settlement Agreement, including the elimination of potential claims on the Bank of America/Merrill Lynch CMBS exposures and the execution of the BofA Secured Loan entered into in May of 2013. The liquidity position of MBIA Corp. has been stressed due to ongoing payments on second-lien RMBS exposures, payments on its remaining CMBS exposures and the payments to counterparties in consideration for the commutation of insured transactions, which have resulted in a substantial reduction of exposure and potential loss volatility. While MBIA Corp. has made and may in the future make payments to counterparties in consideration for the commutation of insured transactions, MBIA Corp.'s ability to commute insured transactions will depend on management's assessment of available liquidity or ability to secure other sources of financing. Depending on the amounts of claims on policies issued by MBIA Corp., MBIA Corp. may not have sufficient liquid assets to pay such claims.

Payment requirements for the structured finance and international financial guarantee contracts fall into three categories: (i) timely interest and ultimate principal; (ii) ultimate principal only at final maturity; and (iii) payments upon settlement of individual collateral losses as they occur after any deductible or subordination has been exhausted, which payments are unscheduled and therefore more difficult to predict, and which category applies to most of the transactions on which we have recorded loss reserves. Insured transactions that require payment in full of the principal insured at maturity could present liquidity risks for MBIA Corp. since payment of the principal is due at maturity but any salvage could be recovered over time after payment of the principal amount. MBIA Corp. has insured transactions with substantial principal amounts due at maturity that are scheduled to mature in the near term. While MBIA Corp. expects the transactions to be refinanced on or prior to the maturity date, there is no assurance that such refinancing will occur. In the event that the refinancing does not occur, MBIA Corp. will be required to pay the full insured principal amount at maturity. MBIA Corp. is generally required to satisfy claims within one to three business days, and as a result seeks to identify potential claims in advance through our monitoring process. While our financial guarantee policies generally cannot be accelerated, thereby helping to mitigate liquidity risk, the insurance of CDS contracts may, in certain circumstances, including the occurrence of certain insolvency or payment defaults under the CDS contracts, be subject to termination by the counterparty, triggering a claim for the fair value of the contract. In order to monitor liquidity risk and maintain appropriate liquidity resources, we use the same methodology as we use to monitor credit quality and losses within our insured portfolio, including stress scenarios. Refer to Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of our loss process.

Our structured finance and international insurance segment also requires cash for the payment of operating expenses, as well as principal and interest related to its surplus notes. Pursuant to Section 1307 of the NYIL and the Fiscal Agency Agreement governing MBIA Corp.'s surplus notes, any payment on the notes may be made only with the prior approval of the Superintendent of the NYSDFS whenever, in his judgment, the financial condition of [MBIA Corp.] warrants and payment may be made only out of MBIA Corp.'s free and divisible surplus. If these conditions are not met, MBIA Corp. is not permitted to make any applicable interest payment and no default or event of default would occur under the Fiscal Agency Agreement or any of the Company's other agreements. MBIA Corp.'s request for approval of the January 15 and April 15, 2013 note interest payments were denied by the NYSDFS. In accordance with the terms of the Fiscal Agency Agreement, MBIA Corp. is required to provide, and has provided, notice to the Fiscal Agent that it has not made these scheduled interest payments. The deferred interest payments will become due on the first business day on or after which MBIA Corp. obtains approval to make such payments. No interest will accrue on the deferred interest. There can be no assurance that the NYSDFS will approve these or any subsequent payments, or that it will approve any payment by the scheduled interest payment date or by the date on which the notice is required to be delivered to the registered holders and the Fiscal Agent, nor can there be any assurance that the NYSDFS will approve any optional redemption payment that MBIA Corp. may seek to make.

Since the fourth quarter of 2007 through March 31, 2013, MBIA Corp. has made \$11.8 billion of cash payments, before reinsurance and collections and excluding LAE (including payments made to debt holders of consolidated VIEs), associated with second-lien RMBS securitizations and with commutations and claim payments primarily relating to CDS contracts. These cash payments include loss payments of \$964 million made on behalf of MBIA Corp.'s consolidated VIEs. Of the \$11.8 billion, MBIA Corp. has paid \$6.8 billion of gross claims (before reinsurance and collections and excluding LAE) on policies insuring second-lien RMBS securitizations, driven primarily by an extensive number of ineligible mortgage loans being placed in the securitizations in breach of the representations and warranties of the sellers/servicers. In addition, MBIA Corp. has paid \$5.0 billion of gross settlement and claim payments (before reinsurance and collections and excluding LAE) on insured credit derivatives. Also, since the fourth quarter of 2007 through March 31, 2013, MBIA Corp. has collected \$201 million of excess spread before reinsurance.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****LIQUIDITY (continued)**

MBIA Corp. is seeking to enforce its rights to have mortgage sellers/servicers cure, replace or repurchase ineligible mortgage loans from securitizations and has recorded a total of \$4.0 billion of related expected recoveries on our consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2013, including expected recoveries recorded in our consolidated VIEs. These put-back claims have been disputed by the loan sellers/servicers and MBIA Corp. is seeking to enforce its rights through litigations with Ally Financial, RFC, GMAC (which is currently stayed subject to the ResCap Chapter 11 bankruptcy), and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and certain other parties, as discussed more fully in Note 13: Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. On May 14, 2012, ResCap and its wholly-owned subsidiary companies, RFC and GMAC each filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code; the Company is a member of the Unsecured Creditors Committee. Additionally, there is some risk that the sellers/servicers or other responsible parties might not be able to fully satisfy any judgment we secure in litigation and/or through resolution of the ResCap bankruptcy. Further, there can be no assurance that we will be successful or that we will not be delayed in realizing these recoveries. Such risks are contemplated in the scenarios we utilize to calculate these recoveries, which are recognized on our consolidated balance sheets. We collected our put-back claim against Bank of America subsequent to March 31, 2013, which substantially reduced our remaining consolidated expected recoveries.

A portion of the commutation payments made since the fourth quarter of 2011 were financed through the National Secured Loan, which was paid in full and extinguished in May of 2013 in connection with the BofA Settlement Agreement and the settlement of Flagstar Bank's put-back obligation. Future commutation payments may be financed through draws on the BofA Secured Loan. MBIA Insurance Corporation's ability to repay the BofA Secured Loan and any accrued interest will be largely dependent on MBIA Corp.'s ability to collect on its future receivables, including its ability to successfully enforce its rights to have the mortgage sellers/servicers cure, replace or repurchase ineligible mortgage loans from insured securitizations.

MBIA Corp. also insures third-party holders of our asset/liability products segment's obligations. If we were unable to meet payment or collateral requirements associated with these obligations, the holders thereof could make claims under the MBIA Corp. insurance policies. In 2008, to provide additional liquidity to the asset/liability products business, MBIA Corp. lent \$2.0 billion to the segment on a secured basis under the MBIA Corp. Secured Loan. As of March 31, 2013, the outstanding balance of the MBIA Corp. Secured Loan was repaid in full. In May 2012, the NYSDFS approved the maturity extension of the MBIA Corp. Secured Loan facility to May 2013 with a maximum outstanding amount of \$450 million. This loan facility expired on May 3, 2013.

As of March 31, 2013, MBIA Corp. held cash and available-for-sale investments of \$1.2 billion, of which \$258 million comprised cash and highly liquid assets. As of December 31, 2012, MBIA Corp. held cash and available-for-sale investments of \$1.3 billion, of which \$345 million comprised cash and highly liquid assets. We believe that MBIA Corp.'s liquidity resources, including expected cash inflows, will adequately provide for anticipated cash outflows. Depending on the amount of actual claims on the policies issued by MBIA Corp., including claims on insured exposures that in some cases may require large bullet payments, MBIA Corp. may not have sufficient liquid assets to pay such claims. In the event of unexpected liquidity requirements, we may have insufficient resources to meet our obligations or insufficient qualifying assets to support our surplus and reserves, and may seek to increase our cash holdings position by selling or financing assets, or raising external capital, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to draw on these additional sources of liquidity. See Part I, Item 1A of MBIA Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, Risk Factors Capital, Liquidity and Market Related Risk Factors MBIA Insurance Corporation may be placed in a rehabilitation or liquidation proceeding as a result of, among other things, a lack of liquid assets available to pay claims due to the failure by RMBS sellers/servicers to honor their contractual obligation to repurchase ineligible mortgage loans, combined with the substantial RMBS claims payments and other claims and commutation payments to date, as well as the increased probability that MBIA Corp. will experience claims payments on certain of its CMBS exposures in the future.

National Liquidity

Despite continued adverse macroeconomic conditions in the U.S., the incidence of default among U.S. public finance issuers remains extremely low and we believe that the liquidity position of our U.S. public finance insurance segment is sufficient to meet cash requirements in the ordinary course of business.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****LIQUIDITY (continued)**

Liquidity risk arises in our U.S. public finance insurance segment primarily from the following:

The insurance policies issued or reinsured by National, the entity from which we conduct our U.S. public finance insurance business, provide unconditional and irrevocable guarantees of payments of the principal of, and interest or other amounts owing on, insured obligations when due or, in the event that the insurance company has the right, at its discretion, to accelerate insured obligations upon default or otherwise, upon the insurance company's election to accelerate. In the event of a default in payment of principal, interest or other insured amounts by an issuer, National generally promises to make funds available in the insured amount within one to three business days following notification. In some cases, the amount due can be substantial, particularly if the default occurs on a transaction to which National has a large notional exposure or on a transaction structured with large, bullet-type principal maturities. The fact that the U.S. public finance insurance segment's financial guarantee contracts generally cannot be accelerated by a party other than the insurer helps to mitigate liquidity risk in this segment.

National has entered into certain intercompany transactions to support the liquidity needs of its affiliates. These transactions include the National Secured Loan to MBIA Insurance Corporation and the Asset Swap through which National exchanges liquid assets with MBIA Inc. As a result of these transactions, National is subject to repayment risk, which may adversely affect its liquidity. The National Secured Loan was paid in full and extinguished in May of 2013 in connection with the BofA Settlement Agreement. In addition, changes in the market value of securities sold to National under its Asset Swap with the asset/liability products business may adversely affect its liquidity position if MBIA Inc. were unable to pledge additional eligible assets in order to meet minimum required collateral amounts.

National held cash and short-term investments of \$512 million as of March 31, 2013, of which \$440 million was highly liquid and consisted predominantly of highly rated municipal, U.S. agency and corporate bonds. As of December 31, 2012, National held cash and short-term investments of \$470 million, of which \$419 million was highly liquid and consisted predominantly of highly rated municipal, U.S. agency and corporate bonds. With the exception of its loan to MBIA Insurance Corporation, as of March 31, 2013, most of National's investments, including those encumbered by the Asset Swap, are liquid and highly rated.

Consolidated Cash Flows

Information about our consolidated cash flows by category is presented on our consolidated statements of cash flows. The following table presents a summary of our consolidated cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

| In millions | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Percent Change |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Net cash provided (used) by: | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ (78) | \$ (233) | -67% |
| Investing activities | 323 | 1,210 | -73% |
| Financing activities | (301) | (755) | -60% |

Operating activities

Net cash used by operating activities decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 primarily due to decreases in financial guarantee losses and loss adjustment expenses paid and interest paid. Partially offsetting these decreases were reductions in cash received from net investment income and premiums.

Investing activities

Net cash provided by investing activities decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 primarily due to declines in the sale and redemption of fixed-maturity securities and redemptions of held-to-maturity investments.

Financing activities

Net cash used by financing activities decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 primarily due to decreases in payments for the retirement of debt related to our conduit segment and payments for securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****LIQUIDITY (continued)****Investments**

The following discussion of investments, including references to consolidated investments, excludes cash and investments reported under Assets of consolidated variable interest entities on our consolidated balance sheets. Cash and investments of VIEs support the repayment of VIE obligations and are not available to settle obligations of MBIA.

Our available-for-sale investments comprise high-quality fixed-income securities and short-term investments. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair values of our consolidated available-for-sale investments were \$5.4 billion and \$5.6 billion, respectively, as presented in the following table. Additionally, consolidated cash and cash equivalents as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were \$862 million and \$814 million, respectively.

| In millions | As of March 31, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 | Percent Change |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Available-for-sale investments: | | | |
| U.S. public finance insurance | | | |
| Amortized cost | \$ 3,080 | \$ 3,006 | 2% |
| Unrealized net gain (loss) | 69 | 105 | -34% |
| Fair value | 3,149 | 3,111 | 1% |
| Structured finance and international insurance | | | |
| Amortized cost | 746 | 886 | -16% |
| Unrealized net gain (loss) | 22 | 22 | -% |
| Fair value | 768 | 908 | -15% |
| Corporate | | | |
| Amortized cost | 498 | 543 | -8% |
| Unrealized net gain (loss) | (35) | (36) | -3% |
| Fair value | 463 | 507 | -9% |
| Advisory services | | | |
| Amortized cost | 9 | 11 | -18% |
| Unrealized net gain (loss) | - | - | n/m |
| Fair value | 9 | 11 | -18% |
| Wind-down operations | | | |
| Amortized cost | 990 | 1,039 | -5% |
| Unrealized net gain (loss) | 31 | 20 | 55% |
| Fair value | 1,021 | 1,059 | -4% |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--|-------|-------|------|
| Total available-for-sale investments: | | | |
| Amortized cost | 5,323 | 5,485 | -3% |
| Unrealized net gain (loss) | 87 | 111 | -22% |
| Total available-for-sale investments at fair value | 5,410 | 5,596 | -3% |

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****LIQUIDITY (continued)**

| In millions | As of March 31, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 | Percent Change |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Investments carried at fair value: | | | |
| U.S. public finance insurance | | | |
| Amortized cost | 193 | 190 | 2% |
| Unrealized net gain (loss) | (1) | - | n/m |
| Fair value | 192 | 190 | 1% |
| Structured finance and international insurance | | | |
| Amortized cost | 25 | 27 | -7% |
| Unrealized net gain (loss) | 2 | 3 | -33% |
| Fair value | 27 | 30 | -10% |
| Corporate | | | |
| Amortized cost | 38 | 38 | -% |
| Unrealized net gain (loss) | (5) | (10) | -50% |
| Fair value | 33 | 28 | 18% |
| Advisory services | | | |
| Amortized cost | 4 | 4 | -% |
| Unrealized net gain (loss) | - | - | n/m |
| Fair value | 4 | 4 | -% |
| Wind-down operations | | | |
| Amortized cost | 3 | 5 | -40% |
| Unrealized net gain (loss) | 1 | - | n/m |
| Fair value | 4 | 5 | -20% |
| Total investments carried at fair value: | | | |
| Amortized cost | 263 | 264 | -% |
| Unrealized net gain (loss) | (3) | (7) | -57% |
| Total investments carried at fair value | 260 | 257 | 1% |
| Other investments at amortized cost: | | | |
| U.S. public finance insurance operations segment | 9 | 9 | -% |
| Total other investments at amortized cost | 9 | 9 | -% |
| Consolidated investments at carrying value | \$ 5,679 | \$ 5,862 | -3% |

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

The fair value of the Company's investments is based on prices which include quoted prices in active markets and prices based on market-based inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable, as well as prices from dealers in relevant markets. Differences between fair value and amortized cost arise primarily as a result of changes in interest rates and general market credit spreads occurring after a fixed-income security is purchased, although other factors may also influence fair value, including specific credit-related changes, supply and demand forces and other market factors. When the Company holds an available-for-sale investment to maturity, any unrealized gain or loss currently recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the shareholders' equity section of the balance sheet is reversed. As a result, the Company would realize a value substantially equal to amortized cost. However, when investments are sold prior to maturity, the Company will realize any difference between amortized cost and the sale price of an investment as a realized gain or loss within its consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****LIQUIDITY (continued)***Credit Quality*

The credit quality distribution of the Company's fixed-income investment portfolios, excluding short-term investments, based on ratings from Moody's as of March 31, 2013 is presented in the following table. Alternate ratings sources, such as S&P or the best estimate of the ratings assigned by the Company, have been used for a small percentage of securities that are not rated by Moody's.

| In millions | U.S. Public Finance | | Structured Finance and International | | Advisory Services | | Corporate | | Wind-down Operations | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | % of Fixed-Income Investments | Fair Value | % of Fixed-Income Investments | Fair Value | % of Fixed-Income Investments | Fair Value | % of Fixed-Income Investments | Fair Value | % of Fixed-Income Investments | Fair Value | % of Fixed-Income Investments |
| Available-for-sale: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aaa | \$ 1,479 | 52% | \$ 372 | 70% | \$ 1 | 50% | \$ - | 0% | \$ 224 | 27% | \$ 2,076 | 48% |
| Aa | 822 | 29% | 92 | 17% | - | 0% | - | 0% | 91 | 11% | 1,005 | 23% |
| A | 390 | 14% | 7 | 1% | 1 | 50% | 11 | 7% | 219 | 26% | 628 | 14% |
| Baa | 135 | 4% | 12 | 2% | - | 0% | 20 | 13% | 232 | 28% | 399 | 9% |
| Below investment grade | 22 | 1% | 45 | 9% | - | 0% | 106 | 70% | 46 | 6% | 219 | 5% |
| Not rated | 4 | 0% | 5 | 1% | - | 0% | 15 | 10% | 16 | 2% | 40 | 1% |
| Total | \$ 2,852 | 100% | \$ 533 | 100% | \$ 2 | 100% | \$ 152 | 100% | \$ 828 | 100% | \$ 4,367 | 100% |
| Short-term investments | 289 | | 233 | | 7 | | 311 | | 189 | | 1,029 | |
| Investments held-to-maturity | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | |
| Investments held at fair value | 192 | | 27 | | 4 | | 33 | | 4 | | 260 | |
| Other investments | 16 | | 2 | | 1 | | - | | 4 | | 23 | |
| Consolidated investments at carrying value | \$ 3,349 | | \$ 795 | | \$ 14 | | \$ 496 | | \$ 1,025 | | \$ 5,679 | |

As of March 31, 2013, the weighted average credit quality of the Company's available-for-sale investment portfolios, excluding short-term and other investments, as presented in the preceding table are as follows:

| | U.S. Public Finance | Structured Finance and International Insurance | Advisory Services | Corporate | Wind-down Operations |
|---|---------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Weighted average credit quality ratings | Aa | Aa | Aa | Below investment grade | A |

Insured Investments

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

MBIA's consolidated investment portfolio includes investments that are insured by various financial guarantee insurers (Insured Investments), including investments insured by MBIA Corp. and National (Company-Insured Investments). As of March 31, 2013, Insured Investments at fair value represented \$620 million or 11% of consolidated investments, of which \$364 million or 6% of consolidated investments were Company-Insured Investments.

As of March 31, 2013, based on the actual or estimated underlying ratings of our consolidated investment portfolio, without giving effect to financial guarantees, the weighted average rating of the consolidated investment portfolio would be in the Aa range, the weighted average rating of only the Insured Investments in the investment portfolio would be in the Baa range, and 3% of the total investment portfolio would be rated below investment grade in the Insured Investments.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****LIQUIDITY (continued)**

The distribution of the Company's Insured Investments by financial guarantee insurer as of March 31, 2013 is presented in the following table:

| In millions | U.S. Public Finance | | Structured Finance and International | | Corporate | | Wind-Down Operations | | Total | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| | Fair Value | % of Total Investments | Fair Value | % of Total Investments | Fair Value | % of Total Investments | Fair Value | % of Total Investments | Fair Value | % of Total Investments |
| MBIA Corp. | \$ - | 0% | \$ 20 | 0% | \$ 68 | 1% | \$ 143 | 3% | \$ 231 | 4% |
| National | 72 | 1% | - | 0% | - | 0% | 61 | 1% | 133 | 2% |
| Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. | 47 | 1% | - | 0% | - | 0% | 135 | 2% | 182 | 3% |
| Ambac Financial Group, Inc. | 9 | 0% | - | 0% | 1 | 0% | 32 | 1% | 42 | 1% |
| FGIC | 3 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 12 | 1% | 8 | 0% | 25 | 1% |
| Other | 2 | 0% | - | 0% | 5 | 0% | - | 0% | 7 | 0% |
| Total | \$ 133 | 2% | \$ 22 | 0% | \$ 86 | 2% | \$ 379 | 7% | \$ 620 | 11% |

In purchasing Insured Investments, the Company independently assesses the underlying credit quality, structure and liquidity of each investment, in addition to the creditworthiness of the insurer. Insured Investments are diverse by sector, issuer and size of holding. The Company assigns underlying ratings to its Insured Investments without giving effect to financial guarantees based on underlying ratings assigned by Moody's, or another external agency when a rating is not published by Moody's. When an external underlying rating is not available, the underlying rating is based on the Company's best estimate of the rating of such investment. A downgrade of a financial guarantee insurer will likely have an adverse affect on the fair value of investments insured by the downgraded financial guarantee insurer. If MBIA determines that declines in the fair values of Insured Investments are other-than-temporary, the Company will record a realized loss through earnings.

The underlying ratings of the Company-Insured Investments as of March 31, 2013 are reflected in the following table. Amounts represent the fair value of such investments including the benefit of the MBIA guarantee. The ratings in the following table are based on ratings from Moody's. Alternate ratings sources, such as S&P, have been used for a small percentage of securities that are not rated by Moody's.

| Underlying Ratings Scale | In millions | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | U.S. Public Finance Insurance | Structured Finance and International Insurance | Corporate | Wind-down Operations | Total |
| National: | | | | | |
| Aa | \$ 31 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 15 | \$ 46 |
| A | 20 | - | - | 16 | 36 |
| Baa | 21 | - | - | 30 | 51 |
| Total National | \$ 72 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 61 | \$ 133 |

MBIA Corp.:

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| A | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 13 | \$ 13 |
| Baa | - | - | - | 117 | 117 |
| Below investment grade | - | 20 | 68 | 13 | 101 |
| Total MBIA Corp. | \$ - | \$ 20 | \$ 68 | \$ 143 | \$ 231 |
| Total MBIA Insured Investments | \$ 72 | \$ 20 | \$ 68 | \$ 204 | \$ 364 |

Without giving effect to the MBIA guarantee of the Company-Insured Investments in the consolidated investment portfolio, as of March 31, 2013, based on actual or estimated underlying ratings, the weighted average rating of the consolidated investment portfolio was in the Aa range, the weighted average rating of only the Company-Insured Investments was in the Baa range, and investments rated as below investment grade in the Company-Insured Investments were 3% of the total consolidated investment portfolio.

Impaired Investments

As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we held impaired available-for-sale investments (investments for which fair value was less than amortized cost) with a fair value of \$1.5 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)****LIQUIDITY (continued)**

We analyze impaired investments within our investment portfolio for other-than-temporary impairments on a quarterly basis. Key factors considered when assessing other-than-temporary impairments include but are not limited to: (a) structural and economic factors among security types that represent our largest exposure to credit impairment losses; (b) the duration and severity of the unrealized losses (i.e., a decline in the market value of a security by 20% or more at the time of the review, or 5% impaired at the time of review with a fair value below amortized cost for a consecutive 12-month period); and (c) the results of various cash flow modeling techniques. Our cash flow analysis considers all sources of cash, including credit enhancement, that support the payment of amounts owed by an issuer of a security. This includes the consideration of cash expected to be provided by financial guarantors, including MBIA Corp., resulting from an actual or potential insurance policy claim.

Refer to Note 7: Investments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a detailed discussion about impaired investments.

Debt Obligations

Principal payments due under our debt obligations in the nine months ending December 31, 2013 and each of the subsequent four years ending December 31 and thereafter are presented in the following table. The repayment of principal on our surplus notes is reflected in 2018, which is the next call date. Principal payments under investment agreements are based on expected withdrawal dates. All other principal payments are based on contractual maturity dates. Foreign currency denominated liabilities are presented in U.S. dollars using applicable exchange rates as of March 31, 2013, and liabilities issued at a discount reflect principal amounts due at maturity.

| In millions | As of March 31, 2013 | | | | | | Total |
|---|---|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Nine Months Ending December 31, 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Thereafter | |
| Structured finance and international insurance segment: | | | | | | | |
| Variable interest entity notes | \$ 343 | \$ 393 | \$ 569 | \$ 561 | \$ 608 | \$ 6,008 | \$ 8,482 |
| Surplus notes | - | - | - | - | - | 940 | 940 |
| Corporate segment: | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | - | - | - | - | - | 722 | 722 |
| Asset/liability products segment: | | | | | | | |
| Investment agreements | 170 | 140 | 46 | 50 | 60 | 539 | 1,005 |
| Medium-term notes | 16 | 46 | 253 | 125 | 53 | 1,828 | 2,321 |
| Conduit segment: | | | | | | | |
| Medium-term notes | - | 150 | - | 336 | - | 149 | 635 |
| Total | \$ 529 | \$ 729 | \$ 868 | \$ 1,072 | \$ 721 | \$ 10,186 | \$ 14,105 |

Table of Contents**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

In general, MBIA's market risk relates to changes in the value of financial instruments that arise from adverse movements in factors such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates and credit spreads. MBIA is exposed to changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and credit spreads that affect the fair value of its financial instruments, namely investment securities, investment agreement liabilities, MTNs, debentures and certain derivative transactions. The Company's investment portfolio holdings are primarily U.S. dollar-denominated fixed-income securities including municipal bonds, U.S. government bonds, MBS, collateralized mortgage obligations, corporate bonds and ABS. In periods of rising and/or volatile interest rates, foreign exchange rates and credit spreads, profitability could be adversely affected should the Company have to liquidate these securities.

MBIA minimizes its exposure to interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk and credit spread movement through active portfolio management to ensure a proper mix of the types of securities held and to stagger the maturities of its fixed-income securities. In addition, the Company enters into various swap agreements that hedge the risk of loss due to interest rate and foreign currency volatility.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Interest rate sensitivity can be estimated by projecting a hypothetical instantaneous increase or decrease in interest rates. The following table presents the estimated pre-tax change in fair value of the Company's financial instruments as of March 31, 2013 from instantaneous shifts in interest rates:

| In millions | Change in Interest Rates | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | 300 Basis Point Decrease | 200 Basis Point Decrease | 100 Basis Point Decrease | 100 Basis Point Increase | 200 Basis Point Increase | 300 Basis Point Increase |
| Estimated change in fair value | \$ (51) | \$ (11) | \$ 10 | \$ (42) | \$ (91) | \$ (143) |

Foreign Exchange Sensitivity

Foreign exchange rate sensitivity can be estimated by projecting a hypothetical instantaneous increase or decrease in foreign exchange rates. The following table presents the estimated pre-tax change in fair value of the Company's financial instruments as of March 31, 2013 from instantaneous shifts in foreign exchange rates:

| In millions | Change in Foreign Exchange Rates | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|--------------------|-------|
| | Dollar Weakens | | Dollar Strengthens | |
| | 20% | 10% | 10% | 20% |
| Estimated change in fair value | \$ (60) | \$ (30) | \$ 30 | \$ 60 |

Credit Spread Sensitivity

Credit spread sensitivity can be estimated by projecting a hypothetical instantaneous increase or decrease in credit spreads. The following table presents the estimated pre-tax change in fair value of the Company's financial instruments as of March 31, 2013 from instantaneous shifts in credit spread curves. For this table it was assumed that all credit spreads move by the same amount. It is more likely that the actual changes in credit spreads will vary by security. National's investment portfolio would generally be expected to experience lower credit spread volatility than the investment portfolio of the asset/liability products segment because of higher credit quality and portfolio composition in sectors that have been less volatile historically. The table shows hypothetical increases and decreases in credit spreads of 50 and 200 basis points. Because downward movements of these amounts in some cases would result in negative spreads, a floor was assumed for minimum spreads. The changes in fair value reflect partially offsetting effects as the value of the investment portfolios generally changes in an opposite direction from the liability portfolio.

Table of Contents**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk (continued)**

| In millions | 200 Basis Point Decrease | Change in Credit Spreads | | 200 Basis Point Increase |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | 50 Basis Point Decrease | 50 Basis Point Increase | |
| Estimated change in fair value | \$ (67) | \$ 21 | \$ (36) | \$ (145) |

Credit Derivatives Sensitivity

MBIA issued insurance policies insuring payments due on structured credit derivative contracts and directly entered into credit derivative contracts, which are marked-to-market through earnings under the accounting principles for derivatives and hedging activities. All these transactions were insured by the Company's structured finance and international insurance operations. The majority of these structured CDSs related to structured finance transactions with underlying reference obligations of cash securities and CDSs referencing liabilities of corporations or of other structured finance securitizations. The asset classes of the underlying reference obligations included corporate, asset-backed, residential mortgage-backed and commercial mortgage-backed securities. These transactions were usually underwritten at or above a triple-A credit rating level. As of March 31, 2013, approximately 22% of the tranches insured by the Company were rated triple-A. Additionally, MBIA's wind-down operations entered into single-name CDSs as part of its asset management activities. In the first three months of 2013, the value of the Company's credit derivative contracts was principally affected by the movements of MBIA's nonperformance risk on its derivative liabilities which resulted from a tightening of its own credit spreads and an increase in the Company's recovery rate and collateral erosion partially offset by shorter weighted average lives on transactions and favorable movements in spreads and pricing on collateral within transactions. As risk factors change, the values of credit derivative contracts will change and the resulting gains or losses will be recorded within net income.

In the first three months of 2013, the Company has observed a tightening of its own credit spreads. As changes in fair value can be caused by factors unrelated to the performance of MBIA's business and credit portfolio, including general market conditions and perceptions of credit risk, as well as market use of credit derivatives for hedging purposes unrelated to the specific referenced credits in addition to events that affect particular credit derivative exposures, the application of fair value accounting will cause the Company's earnings to be more volatile than would be suggested by the underlying performance of MBIA's business operations and credit portfolio.

The following tables reflect sensitivities to changes in credit spreads, collateral prices, rating migrations, recovery rates and the Company's own credit spreads and recovery rates. Each table stands on its own and should be read independently of each other. Refer to Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information about the Company's financial assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value, including valuation techniques and disclosures required by GAAP.

Sensitivity to changes in credit spreads can be estimated by projecting a hypothetical instantaneous shift in credit spread curves. The following table presents the estimated pre-tax change in fair value and the cumulative estimated net fair value of the Company's credit derivatives portfolio of instantaneous shifts in credit spreads as of March 31, 2013. In scenarios where credit spreads decreased, a floor of zero was used.

| In millions | Change in Credit Spreads | | | | | | 600 Basis Point Increase |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 600 Basis Point Decrease | 200 Basis Point Decrease | 50 Basis Point Decrease | 0 Basis Point Change | 50 Basis Point Increase | 200 Basis Point Increase | |
| Estimated pre-tax net gains (losses) | \$ 1,063 | \$ 391 | \$ 98 | \$ - | \$ (100) | \$ (424) | \$ (1,350) |
| Estimated net fair value | \$ (1,936) | \$ (2,608) | \$ (2,901) | \$ (2,999) | \$ (3,099) | \$ (3,423) | \$ (4,349) |

Actual shifts in credit spread curves will vary based on the credit quality of the underlying reference obligations. In general, within any asset class, higher credit rated reference obligations will exhibit less credit spread movement than lower credit rated reference obligations. Additionally, the degree of credit spread movement can vary significantly for different asset classes. The basis point change presented in the preceding table, however, represents a fixed basis point change in referenced obligation credit spreads across all credit quality rating categories and asset classes and, therefore, the actual impact of spread changes would vary from this presentation depending on the credit rating and distribution across asset classes, both of which will adjust over time depending on new business written and runoff of the existing portfolio.

Table of Contents**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk (continued)**

Since the Company is now using collateral prices as an input into the Direct Price Model for certain multi-sector insured CDOs, a sensitivity analysis below shows the estimated pre-tax change in fair value and the cumulative estimated net fair value of the Company's insured credit derivatives portfolio of a 10% and 20% change in collateral prices as of March 31, 2013.

| In millions | Change in Collateral Prices (Structured Finance and International Insurance) | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 20% Increase | 10% Increase | No Change | 10% Decrease | 20% Decrease |
| Estimated pre-tax net gains (losses) | \$ 48 | \$ 24 | \$ - | \$ (24) | \$ (48) |
| Estimated net fair value | \$ (2,951) | \$ (2,975) | \$ (2,999) | \$ (3,023) | \$ (3,047) |

Sensitivity to changes in the collateral portfolio credit quality can be estimated by projecting a hypothetical change in rating migrations. The following table presents the estimated pre-tax change in fair value and the cumulative estimated net fair value of the Company's insured credit derivatives portfolio of a one and three notch rating change in the credit quality as of March 31, 2013. A notch represents a one step movement up or down in the credit rating.

| In millions | Change in Credit Ratings (Structured Finance and International Insurance) | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | Three Notch Increase | One Notch Increase | No Change | One Notch Decrease | Three Notch Decrease |
| Estimated pre-tax net gains (losses) | \$ 924 | \$ 122 | \$ - | \$ (271) | \$ (833) |
| Estimated net fair value | \$ (2,075) | \$ (2,877) | \$ (2,999) | \$ (3,270) | \$ (3,832) |

Recovery rates on defaulted collateral are an input into the Company's valuation model. Sensitivity to changes in the recovery rate assumptions used by the Company can be estimated by projecting a hypothetical change in these assumptions. The following table presents the estimated pre-tax change in fair value and the cumulative estimated net fair value of the Company's insured credit derivatives portfolio of a 10% and 20% change in the recovery rate assumptions as of March 31, 2013.

| In millions | Change in Recovery Rates (Structured Finance and International Insurance) | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 20% Increase | 10% Increase | No Change | 10% Decrease | 20% Decrease |
| Estimated pre-tax net gains (losses) | \$ 222 | \$ 106 | \$ - | \$ (96) | \$ (186) |
| Estimated net fair value | \$ (2,777) | \$ (2,893) | \$ (2,999) | \$ (3,095) | \$ (3,185) |

Accounting principles for fair value measurements require the Company to incorporate its own nonperformance risk in its valuation methodology. Sensitivity to changes in the Company's credit spreads can be estimated by projecting a hypothetical change in this assumption. The following table presents the estimated pre-tax change in fair value and the cumulative estimated net fair value of the Company's insured credit derivative portfolio using upfront credit spreads of 0%, an increase of 50%, and a decrease of 50%. The actual upfront spread used in the valuation as of March 31, 2013 ranged from 32.13% to 40.00% based on the tenor of each transaction. The below amounts include an additional annual running credit spread of 5%.

| In millions | MBIA Upfront Credit Spread (Structured Finance and International Insurance) | | | |
|-------------|--|-----------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Increase by 50 | No Change | Decrease by 50 Percent | Decrease to 0 Percentage |
| | | | | |

Edgar Filing: MBIA INC - Form 10-Q

| | Percent | | | Points |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Estimated pre-tax net gains (losses) | \$ 3 | \$ - | \$ (469) | \$ (1,321) |
| Estimated net fair value | \$ (2,996) | \$ (2,999) | \$ (3,468) | \$ (4,320) |

With the inclusion of the MBIA recovery rate in the calculation of nonperformance risk for the Company's insured credit derivatives portfolio, the following sensitivity table presents the estimated pre-tax change in fair value of insured credit derivatives due to changes in that recovery rate. The values shown below reflect an approximate trading range of the MBIA recovery rate.

| In millions | MBIA's Recovery Rate (Structured Finance and International Insurance) | | |
|--------------------------|--|------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Decrease to 30 Percentage Points | No Change | Increase to 60 Percentage Points |
| | Estimated pre-tax net gains (losses) | \$ 581 | \$ - |
| Estimated net fair value | \$ (2,418) | \$ (2,999) | \$ (3,430) |

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk (continued)

MBIA Corp.'s insurance of structured credit derivatives typically remain in place until the maturity of the derivative. With respect to MBIA Corp.'s insured structured credit derivatives, in the absence of credit impairments or the termination of derivatives at losses, the cumulative unrealized losses should reverse before or at maturity of the contracts. Additionally, in the event of the termination and settlement of a contract prior to maturity, any resulting gain or loss upon settlement will be recorded in our consolidated financial statements. In February 2008, the Company announced its intention not to insure credit derivatives in the future, except in transactions that are intended to reduce its overall exposure to insured derivatives.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's senior management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. In addition, there have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

For a discussion of the Company's litigation and related matters, see Note 13: Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries in Part I, Item 1. In the normal course of operating its businesses, MBIA Inc. may be involved in various legal proceedings. As a courtesy, the Company posts on its website under the section Legal Proceedings, selected information and documents in reference to selected legal proceedings in which the Company is the plaintiff or the defendant. The Company will not necessarily post all documents for each proceeding and undertakes no obligation to revise or update them to reflect changes in events or expectations. The complete official court docket can be publicly accessed by contacting the clerk's office of the respective court where each litigation is pending.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The table below presents repurchases made by the Company in each month during the first quarter of 2013:

| Month | Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾ | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan | Maximum Amount That May Be Purchased Under the Plan (in millions) ⁽²⁾ |
|----------|---|------------------------------|---|--|
| January | - | \$ - | - | \$ 23 |
| February | 4,836 | 9.97 | - | 23 |
| March | 182,412 | 11.55 | - | 23 |
| | 187,248 | \$ 11.51 | - | 23 |

(1) - 180,681 shares were repurchased by the Company in open market transactions for settling awards under the Company's long-term incentive plans and 6,567 net shares were purchased in open market transactions as an investment in the Company's non-qualified deferred compensation plan.

(2) - On February 1, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of common stock up to \$1 billion under a new share repurchase program, which superseded the previously authorized program.

Item 5. Other Information

The information contained in Part I, Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Executive Overview Bank of America Settlement in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q concerning the issuance of a five-year warrant to Bank of America to purchase 9.94 million shares of MBIA common stock at a price of \$9.59 per share, and the information contained in Part I, Item 2,

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity Key Lending Agreements National Secured Loan in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q concerning the entry by MBIA Corp. into the BofA Secured Loan is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

- +4.1. Warrant Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2013, between MBIA Inc. and Blue Ridge Investments, L.L.C.
- +10.1. Loan Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2013, between MBIA Insurance Corporation and Blue Ridge Investments, L.L.C.
- +10.2. Security Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2013, between MBIA Insurance Corporation and Blue Ridge Investments, L.L.C.
- +31.1. Chief Executive Officer - Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- +31.2. Chief Financial Officer - Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *32.1. Chief Executive Officer - Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *32.2. Chief Financial Officer - Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- +99.1. Additional Exhibits - National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation and Subsidiaries GAAP Consolidated
Financial Statements.
- +99.2. Additional Exhibits - MBIA Insurance Corporation and Subsidiaries GAAP Consolidated Financial Statements.
- +101. Additional Exhibits - MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated
Financial Statements from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended
March 31, 2013, formatted in XBRL.

+ Filed Herewith

* Furnished Herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MBIA Inc.

Registrant

Date: May 9, 2013

/s/ C. Edward Chaplin
C. Edward Chaplin
Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 9, 2013

/s/ Douglas C. Hamilton
Douglas C. Hamilton
Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)