PVR PARTNERS, L. P. Form 425 December 10, 2013

Filed by Regency Energy Partners LP pursuant

to Rule 425 under the Securities Act of 1933 and

deemed filed pursuant to Rule 14a-12 of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934.

Subject Company: PVR Partners, L.P.

Commission File No.: 001-16735

IMPORTANT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WILL BE FILED WITH THE SEC

INVESTORS AND SECURITY HOLDERS ARE URGED TO READ THE PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS AND THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT REGARDING THE TRANSACTION CAREFULLY WHEN THEY ARE AVAILABLE. These documents (when they become available), and any other documents filed by PVR or Regency with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), may be obtained free of charge at the SEC s website, at www.sec.gov. In addition, security holders will be able to obtain free copies of the proxy statement/prospectus (when available) from PVR by contacting Investor Relations by mail at Attention: Investor Relations, Three Radnor Corporate Center, Suite 301, 100 Matsonford Road, Radnor, Pennsylvania 19087.

PARTICIPANTS IN THE SOLICITATION

Regency and PVR, and their respective directors and executive officers, may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies in respect of the transactions contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger. Information regarding the directors and executive officers of Regency GP LLC, the general partner of Regency s general partner, is contained in Regency s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which has been filed with the SEC. Information regarding PVR s directors and executive officers is contained in PVR s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and its proxy statement filed on April 25, 2013, which are filed with the SEC. A more complete description will be available in the Registration Statement and the Proxy Statement/Prospectus.

SAFE HARBOR FOR FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements in this document regarding the proposed transaction between Regency and PVR, the expected timetable for completing the proposed transaction, future financial and operating results, benefits and synergies of the proposed transaction, future opportunities for the combined company and any other statements about Regency s or PVR s management s future expectations, beliefs, goals, plans or prospects constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Any statements that are not statements of historical fact (including statements containing the words believes, plans, anticipates, expects, estimates and similar expressions) should also be considered to be forward-looking statements.

Regency and PVR cannot give any assurance that expectations and projections about future events will prove to be correct. Forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of risks, uncertainties and assumptions. These risks and uncertainties include the risks that the proposed transaction may not be consummated or the benefits contemplated therefrom may not be realized. Additional risks include: the ability to obtain requisite regulatory and unitholder approval and the satisfaction of the other conditions to the consummation of the proposed transaction, the ability of

Regency to successfully integrate PVR s operations and employees and realize anticipated synergies and cost savings, the potential impact of the announcement or consummation of the proposed transaction on relationships, including with employees, suppliers, customers, competitors and credit rating agencies, the ability to achieve revenue, DCF and EBITDA growth, volatility in the price of oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids, declines in the credit markets and the availability of credit for the combined company as well as for producers connected to the combined company s system and its customers, the level of creditworthiness of, and performance by counterparties and customers, the ability to access capital to fund organic growth projects and acquisitions, including significant acquisitions, and the ability to obtain debt and equity financing on satisfactory terms, the use of derivative financial instruments to hedge commodity and interest rate risks, the amount of collateral required to be posted from time-to-time, changes in commodity prices, interest rates, and demand for the combined company s services, changes in laws and regulations impacting the midstream sector of the natural gas industry, weather and other natural phenomena, acts of terrorism and war, industry changes including the impact of consolidations and changes in competition, the ability to obtain required approvals for construction or modernization of facilities and

the timing of production from such facilities, and the effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by accounting standard setting boards. Therefore, actual results and outcomes may differ materially from those expressed in such forward-looking statements.

These and other risks and uncertainties are discussed in more detail in filings made by Regency and PVR with the SEC, which are available to the public. Regency and PVR undertake no obligation to update publicly or to revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

2

Regency Energy Partners LP Wells Fargo MLP Conference December 10 -11, 2013

Forward-Looking Statements

2

Certain matters discussed in this report include forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are identified as any statement that does not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Statements using words such as anticipate, believe, intend, project, plan, expect, continue, estimate, goal, forecast, similar expressions help identify forward-looking statements. Although we believe our forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions and current expectations and projections about future events,

may or

we cannot give assurances that such expectations will prove to be correct. Forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Additional risks include, volatility in the price of oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids, declines in the credit markets and the availability of credit for the Partnership as well as for producers connected to the Partnership s system and its customers, the level of creditworthiness of, and performance by the Partnership s counterparties and customers, the Partnership's ability to access capital to fund organic growth projects and acquisitions, and the Partnership s ability to obtain debt and equity financing on satisfactory terms, the Partnership's use of derivative financial instruments to hedge commodity and interest rate risks, the amount of collateral required to be posted from time-to-time in the Partnership's transactions, changes in commodity prices, interest rates, and demand for the Partnership's services, changes in laws and regulations impacting the midstream sector of the natural gas industry, weather and other natural phenomena, industry changes including the impact of consolidations and changes in competition, the Partnership's ability to obtain required approvals for construction or modernization of the Partnership's facilities and the timing of production from such facilities, and the effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by accounting standard setting boards. Therefore, actual results and outcomes may differ materially from those expressed in such forward-looking information.

In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the events described in the forward-looking statements might not occur or might occur to a different extent or at a different time than the Partnership has described. The Partnership undertakes no obligation to update publicly or to revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Adjusted EBITDA increased 50% and DCF increased 67% over Legacy third quarter 2012 results, respectively³
Average volumes for the third quarter of 2013 increased by more than 50% and NGL production increased 166%, compared to third quarter 2012 volumes
Lone Star s NGL Transportation throughput increased 30% compared to the third quarter of 2012

Revenue generating horsepower reached an all-time high Key 2013 Highlights 3

3 1

Via Lone Star Joint Venture

2

Including assumption of net debt

3

Third quarter 2012 adjusted EBITDA results exclude SUGS In September, announced public offering of \$400 million of 5.75% Senior Notes due 2020

Had approximately \$1 billion of available liquidity at the end of the third quarter

Pro forma leverage ratio of 4.1x as of 9/30/2013

Completed the acquisition of SUGS in May, and integration is substantially complete

Completed construction of 209,000 Bbls/d Gateway NGL Pipeline and first two 100,000 Bbls/d Mont Belvieu fractionators¹

Added more than 410 MMcf/d of processing capacity and 150 MMcf/d of treating capacity via organic growth projects Completed projects continue ramp up in 2014

Creates a leading gathering and processing footprint in key, high-growth plays in the United States with a strong platform for additional growth

Adds a strong growth platform in the Marcellus and Utica Shales and significantly expands Regency s position in the Midcontinent

Expect approximately \$30 million in synergies per year Anticipate project cash flows will contribute to significant increase in DCF and EBITDA over time Further Strengthened Balance Sheet

Announced Plans to Purchase PVR Partners for \$5.6 Billion² Delivered solid financial and operating performance, driven by increased volumes in liquids-rich regions

Completed more than \$3 billion of major, organic growth

projects and acquisitions in the last 12 months

```
4
Expanding Asset Base
1
As of 9/30/12 and 9/30/2013, respectively
2
2012 throughput does not include SUGS
3
```

Via Haynesville Joint Venture, in which Regency has a 49.99% interest, and MEP Joint Venture, in which Regency has a 50% Harrison County, Texas to Caddo Parish, Louisiana

1

Via Lone Star Joint Venture, in which Regency has a 30% interest

Diversified asset portfolio is strategically positioned to benefit from drilling activity in liquids-rich plays

Asset Summary

 2012^{1}

20131

Gathering Pipeline (miles)

6,345

11,945

Gathering and Processing

Throughput

(Mmbtu/d)

2

1.4 million

2.2 million

Treating/Processing Plants

11

19

Processing Capacity (MMcf/d)

695

1,600

Transportation Pipeline

(miles)

3

960

960

Contract Compression (HP)

873,000

1,014,000

NGL

Transportation

(miles)

4

1,170

1,740

NGL

Fractionation

(Bbls/d)

4

25,000

225,000

47

47

Eagle Ford

Increased capacity of Eagle Ford

Expansion Project by 125 MMcf/d

and added 150 MMcf/d of treating

capacity

Permian

Acquired SUGS and completed 125 MMcf/d Ranch JV facility Haynesville/Cotton Valley Added 90 MMcf/d of processing capacity

Midcontinent

Granite Wash

Mississippi Lime

Marcellus

Utica

NGL

Storage

(MMBbls/d)

4

5 Gathering & Processing: West Texas Year-Over-Year Growth¹

Total volumes increased 410% over Legacy Q3 2012

NGL production increased 690% over Legacy Q3 2012

SUGS system integration complete

Processing capacity increased from 125 MMcf/d to 940 MMcf/d

Recently completed 140 MMcf/d of gathering expansions into Reeves County (Delaware Basin) 2014 Opportunities

Currently constructing 125 MMcf/d of additional gathering capacity into Andrews and Culberson counties (Delaware Basin)

Plans to add 200 MMcf/d of incremental processing capacity in early 2015

Expanding condensate stabilization and transportation systems

1

Comparison is third quarter 2012 versus third quarter 2013; third quarter 2012 results exclude SUGS Red Bluff Expansion Ranch JV Expansion

6
Gathering & Processing: South Texas
Year-Over-Year Growth¹

Total South Texas volumes have increased nearly 40%

Total NGL production has increased nearly 40%

Eagle Ford Expansion Project volumes have increased 60%

Increased total treating capacity from 170 MMcf/d to 320 MMcf/d

Added 17,000 Bbls/d of oil gathering capacity 2014 Opportunities

Continued volume ramp up across all systems

Pursuing approximately 70,000 Bbls/d of crude gathering

Expect to further increase treating capacity

1

 $Comparison\ is\ third\ quarter\ 2012\ versus\ third\ quarter\ 2013;\ third\ quarter\ 2012\ results\ exclude\ SUGS$

Eagle Ford Expansion

Edwards Lime

Expansion

Tilden

Expansion

7
Gathering & Processing: North Louisiana
Dubach
Expansion
Dubberly
Expansion
2014 Opportunities

Completing construction of 400 MMcf/d of additional gathering capacity by January 1, 2014 Completing 200 MMcf/d processing upgrade at Dubberly Planning to add 100 MMcf/d of gathering capacity Evaluating new cryogenic processing expansion at Dubberly Growth from Cotton Valley expected to bring new volumes to RIGS

1

Comparison is third quarter 2012 versus third quarter 2013; third quarter 2012 results exclude SUGS Dubach volumes have increased more than 60% Dubach NGL production has increased more than 50% Increased processing capacity from 140 MMcf/d to 210 MMcf/d Built 22 miles of high-pressure gathering pipeline with a capacity of 100 MMcf/d

8
Lone Star Opportunities
Expect Lone Star s EBITDA to increase significantly as projects come online and volumes ramp up in 2014 and 2015
West Texas Gateway NGL
Pipeline
Frac 1 & 2

Mariner

South

209,000 Bbls/d Gateway Pipeline in service and began

ramp up

Announced 6 million Bbls/month LPG export facility

(In service 2015)

Continued volume ramp up on Gateway and Fracs I and II

Permitting 100,000 Bbls/d Frac III

Evaluating expansion of NGL transportation capacity from

Permian Basin

Opportunities to expand downstream transportation

infrastructure and NGL purity product markets

Year-Over-Year Growth

2014

Opportunities

Added

200,000

Bbls/d

of

Fractionation

Capacity

(Frac

II

came

online

November

2013)

Contract Services Opportunities

New opportunities driving increased capex spending for compression due to continued strong demand, particularly in the Permian Basin, Eagle Ford, Niobrara and Appalachian Shales Contract Compression Growth Opportunities

As of November 1

st

, have approximately

50,000 HP booked to be set for the remainder of 2013
Larger gas-lift opportunities and turn-key facility installations, including for compression and production facilities, will drive growth in the Eagle Ford and Permian

100,000

HP

potential

Oil and liquids activity is increasing Utica

Shale

opportunities

for

2014

40,000

HP

potential

Expect additional growth in the Niobrara Shale as customers solidify expansion plans

40,000

HP

potential

Other

20,000

HP

potential

9

Barnett/Haynesville

Marcellus/Utica

Niobrara

Fayetteville

Permian/Avalon/

Bone Spring

Granite Wash

Gulf Coast

Eagle Ford

Overview of PVR Partners Acquisition

Strategic Highlights

Combination of Regency and PVR creates a premiere, diversified gas gathering and processing MLP with scaled presence in the most economic, high-growth, oil and gas plays in North America

Increased Scale and

Basin Diversification

PVR

adds

a strategic presence in three prolific areas; the Marcellus and Utica Shales in the Appalachian Basin, and the Granite Wash in the Mid-Continent Meaningful Growth **Projects** PVR has several projects under development or construction which are expected to add significant volumes in 2015 Announced Utica Ohio River Project will provide a substantial growth opportunity with in-service date early 2015 First-mover advantage Significant upside Acquisition of Largely Fee-Based EBITDA Stable contracted, fee-based asset portfolio with a majority of PVR gross margin under fixed-fee contracts Marcellus expected to comprise ~55% of PVR EBITDA in 2013E and is all fee-based; significant demand charges on trunklines **Enhanced Long-Term** Value Creation Significant increase in EBITDA and DCF expected over time as the combined company benefits from project cash flows

Estimate achieving synergies of approximately \$30 million per year (beginning in year 1)

Transaction and pro forma credit profile is in keeping with Regency long-term investment grade rating objective

11

Combination strengthens Regency s position for long-term distribution growth

Expanding Asset Base
Pro Forma Asset Base
PVR combination will expand Regency s position in the major growth plays in the lower 48
Expands reach into
Cline Shale
Greatly expands

```
Midcontinent
into Granite Wash
Mississippi Lime
Adds major position in
Marcellus and
Utica Shales
As of 9/30/13
Operated within Regency s Gathering & Processing Segment
Via Haynesville Joint Venture, in which Regency has a 49.99% interest, and MEP Joint Venture, in which Regency has a 50%
Harrison County, Texas to Caddo Parish, Louisiana
Via Lone Star Joint Venture, in which Regency has a 30% interest
Announced Utica Ohio
River Project
Gathering Pipeline (miles)
16,738
Treating/Processing Plants
25
Transportation Pipeline (miles)
960
Contract Compression (HP)
1,014,000
NGL Transportation (miles)
1,740
NGL Fractionation (Bbls/d)
225,000
NGL Storage
(MMBbls/d)
47
Pro Forma Asset Summary
4
2
3
4
```

13

Marcellus Productivity: Finding the Sweet Spots

Source: U.S. Capital Advisors

Indicates county in which PVR has operations in service

Indicates county in which PVR has acreage dedications/systems under development

PVR s assets sit in most of the top producing counties in the Marcellus Shale

Marcellus Productivity Rankings
Source: U.S. Capital Advisors
Marcellus EUR Scatter by County
PVR has assets in 6 of the 10 counties generating the highest EURs in the Marcellus Shale
Indicates county in which PVR has operations
14

Summary 15 Extensive midstream portfolio and strong position
in
majority
of
high-growth
shale
plays
driving
expansion opportunities
Strong Visibility for
Continued Growth
High-Quality Assets
Integrated Midstream
Platform
Focused on Execution

Completed \$3+ billion in organic projects and acquisitions with continued ramp up in 2014 and beyond

PVR acquisition will add considerable scale and significant growth opportunities, which supports long-term distribution growth

New organic growth opportunities continue to develop across all operating areas

Assets strategically located in majority of the most prolific shale plays and basins

Strong position in oil and liquids-rich plays driving significant organic growth program

High percentage of fee-based margins

Comprehensive midstream service provider with significant presence across the midstream value chain

Diversity of business mix,

large scale, along with strategically located assets enhances stability of cash flows

SUGS integration substantially complete

PVR integration plan underway

Continue to develop and execute organic projects on time and within budget

Maintain strong balance sheet and financial flexibility Regency is well positioned for long-term distribution growth

Appendix

17
Recently Completed Expansions
1.
Represents Regency s 33.33% share
2.
Represents Regency s 30% share
3.

Represents Regency s 60% share Represents total project costs. Regency's expected costs since April 30, 2013 are expected to be \$69 million **Project RGP** Growth Capital (\$ in millions) **Estimated Completion Date** Expected Ramp Up Ranch JV Processing Facility \$33 Refrigeration Plant June 2012 Cryogenic Processing Plant Operational December 2012 Currently operating at 75% capacity; 100% contracted under firm contracts Lone Star Gateway NGL Pipeline 2 \$275 December 2012 Expect volumes to continue to continue to increase in 2014 and 2015 Lone Star Fractionator 1 2 \$118 December 2012 Throughput reached 72,000 Bbls/d for Q3 2013; 100% contracted Tilden Treating Plant Expansion \$40 January 2013 Volumes have increased more than 40% since the expansion came online in January 2013 **Dubach Expansion** \$75 JT Plant November 2012 Cryogenic Processing Plant June 2013 Legacy and expanded cryogenic operating at 100% capacity

Red

Bluff Expansion \$330 In service August 2013 Currently flowing at 70% of capacity which will increase with new gathering tie ins and additional expansion planned for the area Edwards Lime JV Gathering System Expansion 3 \$90 Online Q3 2013 Increased capacity of the treating facility by nearly 45% and Q3 2013 volumes have increased 28% over Q3 2012 Added 17,000 Bbls/d oil gathering system that is currently flowing 10,000 Bbls/d Lone Star Fractionator 2 2 \$105 Q4 2013 Expect volumes to tier up into 2015 **Eagle Ford Expansion** \$490 Ongoing; Final Completion Early 2014 Build out 90% complete; Moving over 400 million a day, handling three-phase flow of oil, gas and water **Total Growth Capital** \$1,556

Firm reservation charges provide a floor on returns

Additional volumetric fees based upon actual volumes

Gathering Pipeline / Trunkline Miles: 252 Well Connects: 261 (through July 31, 2013)

2013 Q2 Volume (MMcfd): 1,310

377,000+ dedicated acres with substantial producers committed

to development

Fresh water pipeline JV supplies producers

In May 2012, PVR acquired a membership interests of Chief Gathering LLC resulting in a major expansion of its pipeline

systems in the Eastern Midstream segment

Marcellus Systems Overview

NY

PA

PVR Eastern Midstream Overview

First large-diameter gathering system in north-central PA Marcellus

fairway

80 miles of 30-inch pipeline

850 MMcf/d capacity

Phase I began service Q1 2011

Phase II began service Q4 2011

Phase III began service Q4 2012

Total well connects of 125 through July 31, 2013

Lycoming System

Trunkline nature of the system provides bi-directional flows to

Transco and Tennessee Gas; adds optionality for producers and

effectively doubles capacity on the line

Running through Wyoming Co., PA enables access to 4 market

outlets via 2 major pipelines

Began service June 2010

89 miles of 24-inch pipeline in service since Q4 2012

750 MMcf/d capacity

Currently constructing system extension to service additional local

producers

Total well connects of 51 through July 31, 2013

Wyoming System

Asset Map

18

PVR Mid-Continent Midstream Overview Key Highlights Midcontinent Assets Traditional midstream gathering and processing business Assets are located in attractive natural gas basins with long-lived

reserves
460 MMcfd processing capacity
Hamlin and Crescent systems
positioned for growth in Cline Shale
and Mississippi Lime, respectively
19
Gathering Pipeline Miles: 4,541
Plants and Processing Capacity: 6
plants (460 MMcfd)
Key Statistics

```
1 Excludes corporate, eliminations and Gulf State contributions 2 Represents revenue from segment 3 Includes both gathering and processing and transportation
```

Includes Eastern and Mid-Continent PVR segments 2013E Gross Margin 20 Improvements to Regency & PVR Business Profile Pro Forma Regency 1 PVR 2,3 3 2 3,4 G&P 48% **Contract Services** 20% Nat. Gas Trans. 20% **NGL** Services 12% Eastern 46% Mid-Continent 30% Coal 24% G&P 57% **Contract Services** Nat. Gas Trans. 14% **NGL** Services 8% Coal

7% 14%

21 Maintain Stable Cash Flows: DCF Sensitivities 1 As of November 22, 2013 Regency has length

in natural gas due to concerted effort to minimize keep-whole exposure A \$10.00 per Bbl movement in crude along with the same percentage change in NGL pricing would result in a \$12 million change in Regency s forecasted 2014 DCF A \$1.00 per MMbtu movement in natural gas pricing would result in a \$20 million change in Regency s forecasted 2014 DCF **DCF** Sensitivity Commodity Price Changes 2014 Decrease \$10.00 Flat Increase \$10.00 Decrease \$1.00 \$32M \$ 20M \$8M Flat \$ 12M \$0 \$ (12)M Increase \$1.00 \$ (8)M \$ (20)M \$(32)M Change in WTI Price (\$/Bbl)

22
Maintain Stable Cash Flows: Comprehensive Hedging Program 1
As of November 22, 2013. Based on exposures as of Q3 2013
Executed Hedges by Product¹
Balance of 2013
Full Year 2014

Natural Gas/Ethane

41%

51%

Propane

84%

66%

Normal Butane

54%

67%

C5+/Condensate

82%

49%

```
23
Maintain Stable Cash Flows: Comprehensive Hedging Program
1
As of October 16, 2013
Executed Hedges by Product
1
Balance of 2013
```

(\$/aa1)
(\$/gal)
Bbls/d
Price
(\$/gal)
Propane
-
3,150
\$0.96
2,500
·
\$1.01
Normal Butane
975
\$1.38
1,200
\$1.39
Bbls/d
Price
(\$/Bbl)
Bbls/d
Price
(\$/Bbl)
WTI
2,365
\$97.08
1,426
\$92.70
Cushing to Midland Basis
1,000
(0.35)
(0.35)
(0.35)
(0.35)
<u>-</u>
- - MMbtu/d
- MMbtu/d Price
- - MMbtu/d
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu)
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu)
MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub)
MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub) 23,000
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub) 23,000 \$3.92
MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub) 23,000
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub) 23,000 \$3.92 32,000
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub) 23,000 \$3.92 32,000 \$3.96
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub) 23,000 \$3.92 32,000 \$3.96 Natural Gas (Permian)
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub) 23,000 \$3.92 32,000 \$3.96
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub) 23,000 \$3.92 32,000 \$3.96 Natural Gas (Permian) 15,000
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub) 23,000 \$3.92 32,000 \$3.96 Natural Gas (Permian) 15,000 \$4.35
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub) 23,000 \$3.92 32,000 \$3.96 Natural Gas (Permian) 15,000 \$4.35 15,000
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub) 23,000 \$3.92 32,000 \$3.96 Natural Gas (Permian) 15,000 \$4.35
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub) 23,000 \$3.92 32,000 \$3.96 Natural Gas (Permian) 15,000 \$4.35 15,000
- MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) MMbtu/d Price (\$/MMbtu) Natural Gas (Henry Hub) 23,000 \$3.92 32,000 \$3.96 Natural Gas (Permian) 15,000 \$4.35 15,000

Full Year 2014

Bbls/d Price

24


Non-GAAP Reconciliation

Beginning in the second quarter of 2013, results have been retrospectively adjusted to combine Regency s results with SUGS commonly controlled entities. Results shown prior to the second quarter of 2013 exclude any impact related to the historical SU September 30, 2013

June 30, 2013

March 31, 2013 December 31, 2012 September 30, 2012 June 30, 2012 March 31, 2012 Net income (loss) 42 11 (29)\$ (8) \$ (2) \$ 28 \$ 15 \$ Add: Interest expense, net 41 41 37 35 29 28 30 Depreciation and amortization 74 68 65 60 71 69 53 Income tax expense (benefit)

0



(20)
(34)
(32)
Non-cash (gain) loss from commodity and embedded derivatives (14)
(4)
18
(2)
7
(22)
(2)
Loss on debt refinancing, net
7
-
-
-
8
-
Other income, net (2)
3
1
2
1
1
2

Adjusted EBITDA
172 \$
155
\$
117
\$ 124
\$ \$
139
\$
138
\$ 123
\$
(1) Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization.
(2) 100% of Haynesville Joint Venture's Adjusted EBITDA and the Partnership's interest are calculated as follows:
Net income 18
\$
18
\$ 20
20 \$
14
\$
7
\$ 26
\$ \$
23
\$ Add (doduct):
Add (deduct): Depreciation and amortization
9
9
9
9
9
9
9

Interest expense, net

1	
-	
1	
1	
-	
1	
-	
Loss on sale of asset, net	
-	
-	
-	
1	
-	
-	
Impairment of property, plant and equipment -	
-	
-	
8	
14	
-	
-	
Other expense, net	
-	
-	

```
1
Adjusted EBITDA
28
$
27
$
30
$
33
$
31
$
36
$
32
Ownership interest
49.99%
49.99%
49.99%
49.99%
49.99%
49.99%
49.99%
Partnership's interest in Adjusted EBITDA
14
$
13
$
15
$
15
$
15
$
18
$
16
(3) 100% of MEP Joint Venture's Adjusted EBITDA and the Partnership's interest are calculated as follows:
Net income
21
```

```
$
21
$
21
$
21
$
21
$
21
$
21
$
Add:
Depreciation and amortization
17
17
18
17
17
17
17
Interest expense, net
13
13
13
13
13
13
13
Adjusted EBITDA
51
$
51
$
52
```

```
$
51
$
51
$
51
$
51
$
Ownership interest
50%
50%
50%
50%
50%
50%
50%
Partnership's interest in Adjusted EBITDA
26
$
26
$
26
$
26
$
26
$
26
$
26
$
We acquired a 49.9% interest in MEP Joint Venture in May 2010.
(4) 100% of Lone Star Joint Venture's Adjusted EBITDA and the Partnership's interest are calculated as follows:
Net income
60
$
44
$
55
$
37
$
31
$
41
$
38
$
Add (deduct):
```

Depreciation and amortization 21 20 20 14 13 13 12 Other (income) expense, net 1 1 (1) 1 Adjusted EBITDA 82 \$ 65 \$ 75 \$ 51 \$ 44 \$ 53 \$ 51 \$ Ownership interest 30% 30%

30% 30%

```
30%
30%
30%
Partnership's interest in Adjusted EBITDA
$
20
$
23
$
15
$
13
$
16
$
15
$
We acquired a 30% interest in Lone Star Joint Venture in May 2011.
(5) 100% of Ranch Joint Venture's Adjusted EBITDA and the Partnership's interest are calculated as follows:
Net loss
1
$
1
$
$
(1)
$
(1)
$
$
$
Add (deduct):
Depreciation and amortization
1
1
2
1
```

```
Adjusted EBITDA
$
2
$
2
$
$
(1)
$
Ownership interest
33%
33%
33%
33%
33%
33%
33%
Partnership's interest in Adjusted EBITDA
1
$
1
$
$
$
(0)
$
$
We acquired a 33.33% interest in Ranch Joint Venture in December 2011.
($ in millions)
```

Three Months Ended

Non-GAAP Reconciliation 2013 2012 Ranch Joint Venture Net income (loss) 1

```
$
(1)
$
Add:
Operation and maintenance
1
Depreciation and amortization
Total Segment Margin
3
$
Three Months Ended September 30,
($ in millions)
*Ranch Joint Venture's Refrigeration Processing Plant started its operation in June
2012 and the full facility began operations in December 2012.
2013
2012
Lone Star Joint Venture
Net income
61
$
31
$
Add:
Operation and maintenance
21
General and administrative
8
5
Depreciation and amortization
21
13
Income tax expense
1
Total Segment Margin
112
$
65
Three Months Ended September 30,
($ in millions)
2013
2012
MEP Joint Venture
```

```
Net income
21
$
21
$
Add:
Operation and maintenance
4
General and administrative
Depreciation and amortization
17
17
Interest expense, net
13
13
Total Segment Margin
61
$
61
Three Months Ended September 30,
($ in millions)
2013
2012
Haynesville Joint Venture
Net income
18
$
7
$
Add:
Operation and maintenance
5
5
General and administrative
4
5
Depreciation and amortization
9
Interest expense, net
14
Other income and deductions, net
1
```

Total Segment Margin

```
37
$
41
$
Three Months Ended September 30,
($ in millions)
```

```
Non-GAAP Reconciliation<sup>1</sup>
September 2013
June 2013
March 2013
December 2012
September 2012
June 2012
March 2012
Net cash flows provided by operating activities 183
$
112
$
```

67 \$ 71 \$ 79 \$ 46 \$ \$ 56 \$ Add (deduct): Depreciation and amortization, including debt issuance cost amortization and bond premium write-off and amortization (75)
(68)
(50)
(62)
(47)
(45)
(54)
Income from unconsolidated affiliates 37
31
35
27
21
33
32
Derivative valuation change 14
1
(18)
2

(7)
22
3
Loss on asset sales, net 2
(1)
(1)
(1)
-
(2)
-
Unit-based compensation expenses (2)
(1)
(2)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
Cash flow changes in current assets and liabilities: Trade accounts receivables, accrued revenues, and related party receivables 32
27
8
4
10

(14)
(7)
Other current assets and other current liabilities (25)
137
(13)
1
(7)
6
(5)
Trade accounts payable, accrued cost of gas and liquids, related party payables and deferred revenues (89)
(57)
4
(22)
(20)
18
34
Distributions of earnings received from unconsolidated affiliates (37)
(35)
(36)
(29)
(29)
(34)
(29)

Other assets and liabilities (135)1 1 Net (Loss) Income 42 \$ 11 \$ (5) \$ (9) (1) \$ 29 \$ 29 \$ Add: Interest expense, net 41 41 37 36 29 28 30

Depreciation and amortization

74

```
68
48
59
46
45
51
Income tax expense (benefit)
2
(2)
1
EBITDA
159
$
120
$
78
$
87
$
74
$
102
$
110
Add (deduct):
Partnership's interest in unconsolidated affiliates' adjusted
EBITDA
66
60
63
```

57
54
59
57
Income from unconsolidated affiliates (37)
(31)
(35)
(27)
(21)
(34)
(32)
Non-cash loss (gain) from commodity and embedded derivatives (14)
(4)
18
(2)
7
(22)
(2)
Other income, net (2)
10
3
2
1

```
10
1
Adjusted EBITDA
172
$
155
$
127
$
117
$
115
$
115
$
134
$
Add (deduct):
Interest expense, excluding capitalized interest
(40)
(46)
(42)
(41)
(34)
(41)
(35)
Maintenance capital expenditures
(9)
(13)
(7)
(8)
(11)
(7)
```

(7)

SUGS Contribution Agreement adjustment *
9
14
-
-
-
-
Proceeds from asset sales
5
12
4
2
7
13
Other adjustments (8)
(9)
(3)
(4)
(3)
(3)
(2)
Distributable cash flow 115 \$ 101

\$ 101 \$ 68 \$ 69 \$ 71 \$ 103 \$

(\$ in millions)

1 Historical results have been retrospectively adjusted to combine Regency s results with SUGS results due to the as-if pooli

^{*} Includes an adjustment to CAFD related to the historical SUGS operations for the time period prior to the Partnership's acquire Three Months Ended