BLACKROCK MUNIHOLDINGS NEW YORK QUALITY FUND, INC.

Form N-CSRS May 01, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-08217

Name of Fund: BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund, Inc. (MHN)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock MuniHoldings

New York Quality Fund, Inc., 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 08/31/2014

Date of reporting period: 02/28/2014

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

FEBRUARY 28, 2014

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT (UNAUDITED)

BlackRock Maryland Municipal Bond Trust (BZM)

BlackRock Massachusetts Tax-Exempt Trust (MHE)

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund, Inc. (MHN)

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Bond Trust (BLJ)

BlackRock New York Municipal Bond Trust (BQH)

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Quality Trust (BSE)

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust II (BFY)

BlackRock Virginia Municipal Bond Trust (BHV)

Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank Guarantee

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Dear Shareholder</u>	3
Semi-Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	5
Derivative Financial Instruments	5
<u>Trust Summaries</u>	6
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	22
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	55
Statements of Operations	57
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	59
Statements of Cash Flows	63
<u>Financial Highlights</u>	65
Notes to Financial Statements	73
Officers and Trustees	82
Additional Information	83

2 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014

Dear Shareholder

One year ago, US financial markets were improving despite a sluggish global economy, as easy monetary policy gave investors enough conviction to take on more risk in their portfolios. Slow but positive growth in the US was sufficient to support corporate earnings, while uncomfortably high unemployment reinforced expectations that the Federal Reserve would continue its aggressive monetary stimulus programs. International markets were more volatile given uneven growth rates and more direct exposure to macro risks such as the banking crisis in Cyprus and a generally poor outlook for European economies. Emerging markets significantly lagged the rest of the world amid fears over slowing growth and debt problems.

Global financial markets were rattled in May when then-Fed Chairman Bernanke mentioned the possibility of reducing (or tapering) the central bank s asset purchase programs comments that were widely misinterpreted as signaling an end to the Fed s zero-interest-rate policy. US Treasury yields rose sharply, triggering a steep sell-off across fixed income markets. (Bond prices move in the opposite direction of yields.) Equity prices also suffered as investors feared the implications of a potential end of a program that had greatly supported the markets. Markets rebounded in late June, however, when the Fed s tone turned more dovish, and improving economic indicators and better corporate earnings helped extend gains through most of the summer.

Although autumn brought mixed events, it was a surprisingly positive period for most asset classes. Early on, the Fed defied market expectations with its decision to delay tapering, but higher volatility returned in late September when the US Treasury Department warned that the national debt would soon breach its statutory maximum. The ensuing political brinksmanship led to a partial government shutdown, roiling global financial markets through the first half of October. Equities and other so-called risk assets managed to resume their rally when politicians engineered a compromise to reopen the government and extend the debt ceiling, at least temporarily.

The remainder of 2013 was generally positive for stock markets in the developed world, although investors continued to grapple with uncertainty about when and how much the Fed would scale back on stimulus. When the long-awaited taper announcement ultimately came in mid-December, the Fed reduced the amount of its monthly asset purchases but at the same time reaffirmed its commitment to maintaining low short-term interest rates. Markets reacted positively, as the taper signaled the Fed s perception of real improvement in the economy, and investors were finally relieved from the anxiety that had gripped them for quite some time.

The start of the new year brought another turn in sentiment, as heightened volatility in emerging markets and mixed US economic data caused global equities to weaken in January while bond markets found renewed strength. Although these headwinds persisted, equities were back on the rise in February thanks to positive developments in Washington, DC. For one, Congress extended the nation s debt ceiling through mid-March 2015, thereby reducing some degree of fiscal uncertainty for the next year. Additionally, investors were encouraged by market-friendly comments in new Fed Chair Janet Yellen s Congressional testimony, giving further assurance that short-term rates would remain low for a prolonged period.

While accommodative monetary policy was the main driver behind positive market performance over the period, it was also a key cause of investor uncertainty. Developed market stocks were the strongest performers for the six- and 12-month periods ended February 28. In contrast, emerging markets were weighed down by uneven growth, high levels of debt and severe currency weakness, in addition to the broader concern about reduced global liquidity. The anticipation of Fed tapering during 2013 pressured US Treasury bonds and other high-quality fixed income sectors, including tax-exempt municipals and investment grade corporate bonds. High yield bonds, to the contrary, benefited from income-oriented investors—search for yield in the low-rate environment. Short-term interest rates remained near zero, keeping yields on money market securities close to historic lows.

At BlackRock, we believe investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes and be prepared to move freely as market conditions change over time. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit www.blackrock.com for further insight about investing in today s world.

Sincerel	lv.
Silicolo	٠,

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

While accommodative monetary policy was the main driver behind positive market performance over the period, it was also a key cause of investor uncertainty.

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Total Returns as of February 28, 2014

1 otal Returns as of February 28, 2014	6-month	12-month
US large cap equities	15.07%	25.37%
(S&P 500® Index)		
US small cap equities	17.75	31.56
(Russell 2000® Index) International equities	15.01	19.28
(MSCI Europe, Australasia,	15.01	19.20
(Misor Europe, Trustratusta,		
Far East Index)		
Emerging market equities	4.77	(6.01)
(MSCI Emerging Markets Index)		(***)
3-month Treasury bill	0.03	0.08
(BofA Merrill Lynch		
3-Month US Treasury		
Bill Index)		
US Treasury securities	2.61	(3.65)
(BofA Merrill Lynch		
10-Year US Treasury Index)	2.84	0.15
US investment grade	2.84	0.15
1 1 /D 1 1/0		
bonds (Barclays US		
A		
Aggregate Bond Index) Γax-exempt municipal	6.08	(0.27)
t ax-exempt municipal	0.08	(0.27)
bonds (S&P Municipal		
bolius (S&F Mullicipal		
Bond Index)		
US high yield bonds	7.46	8.36
	7.70	0.50
(Barclays US Corporate		
Date in you comportate		
High Yield 2% Issuer		
riigii 1 iciu 270 1880ei		
C		
Capped Index)		

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

Municipal Market Overview

For the Reporting Period Ended February 28, 2014 Municipal Market Conditions

In the earlier months of 2013, municipal bond supply was met with robust demand as investors were starved for yield in the low-rate, low-return environment and seeking tax-exempt investments in light of higher US tax rates that became effective at the turn of the year. Investors moved into municipal bond mutual funds, favoring long-duration and high-yield funds as they tend to provide higher levels of income.

However, market conditions turned less favorable in May when the US Federal Reserve initially mentioned the eventual reduction of its bond-buying stimulus program (which ultimately took effect in January 2014). Further signals from the Fed alluding to a retrenchment of asset purchases led to rising interest rates and waning municipal bond performance in June. (Bond prices fall as rates rise.) Municipal bond mutual funds saw strong outflows in the last six months of 2013, before investors again sought the relative safety of the asset class in the new year. For the 12-month period ended February 28, 2014, net outflows were approximately \$64 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

High levels of interest rate volatility resulted in a sharp curtailment of tax-exempt issuance in May through the end of the period. However, from a historical perspective, total new issuance for the 12 months ended February 28, 2014 remained relatively strong at \$315 billion (but meaningfully lower than the \$387 billion issued in the prior 12-month period). A significant portion of new supply during this period was attributable to refinancing activity (roughly 40%) as issuers took advantage of lower interest rates to reduce their borrowing costs.

S&P Municipal Bond Index Total Returns as of February 28, 2014

6 months: 6.08% 12 months: (0.27)%

A Closer Look at Yields

From February 28, 2013 to February 28, 2014, muni yields increased by 81 basis points (bps) from 2.91% to 3.72% on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds, while increasing 59 bps from 1.81% to 2.40% on 10-year bonds and rising another 23 bps from 0.77% to 1.00% on 5-year issues (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). Overall, the municipal yield curve remained relatively steep over the 12-month period as the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities widened by 86 bps and the spread between 2- and 10-year maturities widened by 64 bps.

During the same time period, US Treasury rates rose by 49 bps on 30-year and 77 bps on 10-year bonds, while moving up 74 bps in 5-years. Accordingly, tax-exempt municipal bonds underperformed Treasuries on the long end of the yield curve as investors sought to reduce interest rate risk later in the period. On the short end of the curve, the outperformance of municipal bonds versus Treasuries was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market and a rotation from long-duration assets (which are more sensitive to interest rate movements) into short- and intermediate-duration investments (which are less sensitive to interest rate movements). Additionally, municipal bonds benefited from the increased appeal of tax-exempt investing in the new higher tax rate environment. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise. The municipal market continues to be an attractive avenue for investors seeking yield in today s environment, particularly as the recent correction has restored value in the market and placed yields at levels not obtainable since early 2011. However, opportunities have not been as broad-based as in 2011 and 2012, warranting a more tactical approach going forward.

Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers Continue to Improve

Following an extended period of nation-wide austerity and de-leveraging as states sought to balance their budgets, 15 consecutive quarters of positive revenue growth coupled with the elimination of more than 750,000 jobs in recent years have put state and local governments in a better financial position. Many local municipalities, however, continue to face increased health care and pension costs passed down from the state level. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will be minimal and remain in the periphery, and that the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to recognize that careful credit research, appropriate structure and security selection remain imperative amid uncertainty in this tepid economic environment.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

4 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and net asset value (NAV) of their common shares (Common Shares). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which will be based on short-term interest rates, will normally be lower than the income earned by a Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Trust (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Trust shareholders will benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Trust s financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Trust with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, the Trust s costs of leverage are significantly lower than the income earned on the Trust s longer-term investments acquired from leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Trust-s return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders will be lower than if the Trust had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Trust-s portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Trust-s obligations under its leverage arrangement generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trust-s NAVs positively or negatively. Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that a Trust-s intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Trusts NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the net asset value and market price of a Trust s Common Shares than if the Trust were not leveraged. In addition, the Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Trust to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit the Trust s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. The Trust will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

To obtain leverage, each Trust has issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares (VRDP Shares) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts (TOBs) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the 1940 Act), each Trust is permitted to issue debt up to 33% of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Trust may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Trust may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares governing instrument or by rating agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Trusts may invest in various derivative financial instruments, including financial futures contracts, as specified in Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which may constitute forms of economic leverage. Such derivative financial instruments are used to obtain exposure to a security, index and/or market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Derivative financial instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative financial instrument. The Trusts ability to use a

derivative financial instrument successfully depends on the investment advisor s ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative financial instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Trust to sell or purchase portfolio investments at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment, may result in lower dividends paid to shareholders and/or may cause a Trust to hold an investment that it might otherwise sell. The Trusts investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

FEBRUARY 28, 2014

5

BlackRock Maryland Municipal Bond Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Maryland Municipal Bond Trust s (BZM) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income taxes and Maryland personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Maryland personal income taxes. The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six month period ended February 28, 2014, the Trust returned 8.73% based on market price and 11.13% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 8.34% based on market price and 10.35% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust's discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

The Trust s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) was the largest contributor to performance as municipal interest rates fell significantly during the period. (Bond prices rise as rates fall.) Additionally, the Trust s credit exposure had a positive impact on results as spreads tightened during the period amid seasonably low supply while investors remained focused on yield and income strategies.

Conversely, the use of US Treasury futures to protect the Trust against interest rate increases detracted from returns, although the impact was minimal.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information	
Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) MKT	BZM
Initial Offering Date	April 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of February 28, 2014 (\$13.37) ¹	5.61%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.52%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0625
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.7500
Economic Leverage as of February 28, 2014 ⁴	37%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 46.65%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- The monthly distribution per common share, declared on March 3, 2014, was decreased to \$0.0595 per share. The yield on closing market price, current monthly distribution per common share and current annualized distribution per common share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change in the future.

4 Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

6 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock Maryland Municipal Bond Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	2/28/14	8/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.37	\$ 12.66	5.61%	\$ 13.67	\$ 11.86
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.39	\$ 13.33	7.95%	\$ 14.39	\$ 13.22

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments		
Sector Allocation	2/28/14	8/31/13
Education	21%	17%
Transportation	19	19
Health	19	17
County/City/Special District/School District	16	16
Housing	15	15
Utilities	7	12
Corporate	2	1
State	1	3
Credit Quality Allocation ¹	2/28/14	8/31/13
AAA/Aaa	15%	15%
AA/Aa	39	40
A	22	20
BBB/Baa	10	11
BB/Ba	1	1
Not Rated ²	13	13

 $^{^{1}}$ Using the higher of Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) ratings.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	7%
2015	3
2016 2017	
2017	3
2018	9

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014 7

² The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of February 28, 2014 and August 31, 2013, the market value of these securities was \$1,070,400 and \$1,029,490, each representing 2%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

BlackRock Massachusetts Tax-Exempt Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Massachusetts Tax-Exempt Trust s (MHE) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide as high a level of current income exempt from both regular federal income taxes and Massachusetts personal income taxes as is consistent with the preservation of shareholders capital. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in Massachusetts tax-exempt obligations (including bonds, notes and capital lease obligations). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in obligations that are rated investment grade at the time of investment. Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests its assets so that at least 80% of the income generated by the Trust is exempt from federal income taxes, including federal alternative minimum tax, and Massachusetts personal income taxes. The Trust invests primarily in long term municipal obligations with maturities of more than ten years. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six month period ended February 28, 2014, the Trust returned 8.86% based on market price and 11.50% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 8.34% based on market price and 10.35% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

As the municipal yield curve flattened during the period (i.e., rates on longer-dated bonds fell more than rates on shorter-dated securities), the Trust s longer-dated holdings in health, transportation and education contributed positively to performance as these bonds experienced strong price appreciation. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.)

Conversely, the use of US Treasury futures to protect the Trust against interest rate increases detracted from returns, although the impact was minimal

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE MKT	MHE
Initial Offering Date	July 23, 1993
Yield on Closing Market Price as of February 28, 2014 (\$12.57) ¹	5.97%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.13%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0625
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.7500
Economic Leverage as of February 28, 2014 ⁴	37%

Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 46.37%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

4 Represents VRDP Shares as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

8 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock Massachusetts Tax-Exempt Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	2/28/14	8/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 12.57	\$ 11.91	5.54%	\$ 12.90	\$ 11.35
Net Asset Value	\$ 13.34	\$ 12.34	8.10%	\$ 13.34	\$ 12.22

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	2/28/14	8/31/13
Education	48%	53%
Health	16	21
State	13	16
Transportation	13	4
Housing	8	6
County/City/Special District/School District	2	
Credit Quality Allocation ¹	2/28/14	8/31/13
AAA/Aaa	10%	7%
AA/Aa	50	52
A	31	32
BBB/Baa	9	9

¹ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

Call/Maturity Schedule²

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	2%
2015	11
2016	2
2017	12
2018	6

Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund, Inc.

Trust Overview

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund, Inc. s (MHN) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal income tax and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in investment grade New York municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes (New York Municipal Bonds), except at times when, in the judgment of its investment adviser, New York Municipal Bonds of sufficient quality and quantity are unavailable for investment by the Trust. At all times, however, except during temporary defensive periods, the Trust invests at least 65% of its assets in New York Municipal Bonds. The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six month period ended February 28, 2014, the Trust returned 8.36% based market price and 11.81% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 8.99% based on market price and 10.07% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

As tax-exempt rates declined over the period, the Trust s longer-duration (higher sensitivity to interest rate movements) and longer-dated bond holdings tended to provide the largest returns. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) In this environment, the Trust s transportation, health and corporate holdings contributed positively to performance as these were among the better performing sectors. Exposure to lower-coupon and zero-coupon bonds also drove returns as they had strong price performance due to their relatively long durations for their respective maturities. The Trust s significant exposure to high-quality issues had a positive impact on results as the market s strongest performance during the period was concentrated in this quality segment. Additionally, the Trust benefited from income generated from coupon payments on its municipal bond holdings. The use of leverage allowed the Trust to enhance its level of income.

The Trust s exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits, although limited, was a detractor from results as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and a weak local economy. The Trust sold its exposure to these deteriorating securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE	MHN
Initial Offering Date	September 19, 1997
Yield on Closing Market Price as of February 28, 2014 (\$13.24) ¹	6.44%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	13.05%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.071
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.852
Economic Leverage as of February 28, 2014 ⁴	40%

Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 50.67%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

10 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund, Inc.

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	2/28/14	8/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.24	\$ 12.65	4.66%	\$ 13.31	\$ 12.00
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.19	\$ 13.14	7.99%	\$ 14.19	\$ 13.00

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments		
Sector Allocation	2/28/14	8/31/13
County/City/Special District/School District	26%	27%
Transportation	24	25
Education	18	17
State	10	11
Utilities	10	7
Health	6	6
Housing	4	5
Corporate	2	2
Credit Quality Allocation ¹	2/28/14	8/31/13
AAA/Aaa	14%	15%
AA/Aa	50	47
A	32	33
BBB/Baa	2	3
BB/Ba	1	1
Not Rated ²	1	1

¹ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	11%
2014 2015	10
2016	6
2017	11
2018	9

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014 11

The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of February 28, 2014 and August 31, 2013, the market value of these securities was \$1,043,920 and \$2,043,158, each representing less than 1%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Bond Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Bond Trust s (BLJ) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and New Jersey gross income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New Jersey gross income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six month period ended February 28, 2014, the Trust returned 6.02% based on market price and 12.12% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New Jersey Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 8.34% based on market price and 11.24% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

As the municipal yield curve flattened during the period (i.e., rates on longer-dated bonds fell more than rates on shorter-dated securities), the Trust s longer-dated holdings in tax development districts, health, education and transportation contributed positively to performance as these bonds experienced strong price appreciation. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.)

Conversely, the use of US Treasury futures to protect the Trust against interest rate increases detracted from returns, although the impact was minimal.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE MKT	BLJ
Initial Offering Date	April 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of February 28, 2014 (\$13.89) ¹	6.44%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	12.50%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0745
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8940
Economic Leverage as of February 28, 2014 ⁴	39%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 48.48%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- 4 Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of

Leveraging on page 5.

12 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Bond Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	2/28/14	8/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.89	\$ 13.54	2.58%	\$ 14.03	\$ 12.88
Net Asset Value	\$ 15.33	\$ 14.13	8.49%	\$ 15.33	\$ 13.99

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments		
Sector Allocation	2/28/14	8/31/13
Transportation	25%	23%
State	22	19
Education	15	18
County/City/Special District/School District	15	14
Health	9	9
Corporate	8	9
Housing	6	7
Utilities		1
Credit Quality Allocation ¹	2/28/14	8/31/13
AAA/Aaa	8%	9%
AA/Aa	39	38
A	33	36
BBB/Baa	7	6
BB/Ba	5	4
В	4	4
Not Rated ²	4	3

¹ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	6%
2015	
2016	1
2017	2
2018	13

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014 13

² The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of February 28, 2014 and August 31, 2013, the market value of these securities was \$1,596,426 and \$980,770, each representing 2%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

BlackRock New York Municipal Bond Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock New York Municipal Bond Trust s (BQH) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income taxes and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six month period ended February 28, 2014, the Trust returned 9.51% based market price and 13.35% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 8.99% based on market price and 10.07% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust's discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

As tax-exempt rates declined over the period, the Trust s longer-duration (higher sensitivity to interest rate movements) and longer-dated bond holdings tended to provide the largest returns. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) In this environment, the Trust s transportation, health and corporate holdings contributed positively to performance as these were among the better performing sectors. Exposure to lower-coupon and zero-coupon bonds also drove returns as they had strong price performance due to their relatively long durations for their respective maturities. The Trust s significant exposure to high-quality issues had a positive impact on results as the market s strongest performance during the period was concentrated in this quality segment. Additionally, the Trust benefited from income generated from coupon payments on its municipal bond holdings. The use of leverage allowed the Trust to enhance its level of income.

The Trust s exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits, although limited, was a detractor from results as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and a weak local economy. The Trust sold its exposure to these deteriorating securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE	ВQН
Initial Offering Date	April 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of February 28, 2014 (\$13.22) ¹	6.04%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	12.24%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0665
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.7980
Economic Leverage as of February 28, 2014 ⁴	40%

Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 50.67%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

- 3 The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

14 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock New York Municipal Bond Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	2/28/14	8/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.22	\$ 12.45	6.18%	\$ 13.29	\$ 12.09
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.64	\$ 13.32	9.91%	\$ 14.64	\$ 13.19

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments		
Sector Allocation	2/28/14	8/31/13
County/City/Special District/School District	25%	28%
Education	21	21
Health	14	14
Transportation	13	10
Utilities	9	8
Corporate	8	10
Housing	5	5
State	5	4
Credit Quality Allocation ¹	2/28/14	8/31/13
AAA/Aaa	12%	14%
AA/Aa	37	37
A	32	31
BBB/Baa	7	8
BB/Ba	3	2
Not Rated ²	9	8

¹ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	6%
2015	2
2016	4
2017	8
2018	4

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014 15

² The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of February 28, 2014 and August 31, 2013, the market value of these securities was \$1,581,084, representing 2%, and \$487,168, representing 1%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Quality Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Quality Trust s (BSE) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from federal income tax, including the alternative minimum tax, and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (including the alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests primarily in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six month period ended February 28, 2014, the Trust returned 8.78% based market price and 12.08% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 8.99% based on market price and 10.07% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

As tax-exempt rates declined over the period, the Trust s longer-duration (higher sensitivity to interest rate movements) and longer-dated bond holdings tended to provide the largest returns. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) In this environment, the Trust s transportation, health and corporate holdings contributed positively to performance as these were among the better performing sectors. Exposure to lower-coupon and zero-coupon bonds also drove returns as they had strong price performance due to their relatively long durations for their respective maturities. The Trust s significant exposure to high-quality issues had a positive impact on results as the market s strongest performance during the period was concentrated in this quality segment. Additionally, the Trust benefited from income generated from coupon payments on its municipal bond holdings. The use of leverage allowed the Trust to enhance its level of income.

The Trust s exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits, although limited, was a detractor from results as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and a weak local economy. The Trust sold its exposure to these deteriorating securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BSE
Initial Offering Date	October 31, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of February 28, 2014 (\$12.70) ¹	5.91%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.98%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0625
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.7500
Economic Leverage as of February 28, 2014 ⁴	37%

Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

2

Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 50.67%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

16 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Quality Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	2/28/14	8/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 12.70	\$ 12.05	5.39%	\$ 12.81	\$ 11.58
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.03	\$ 12.92	8.59%	\$ 14.03	\$ 12.77

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	2/28/14	8/31/13
Education	24%	23%
County/City/Special District/School District	23	28
Transportation	19	19
Utilities	13	11
Health	10	9
State	8	8
Housing	2	1
Corporate	1	1

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	2/28/14	8/31/13
AAA/Aaa	17%	15%
AA/Aa	45	50
A	29	28
BBB/Baa	3	3
BB/Ba		2
В	2	
Not Rated ²	4	2

¹ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	11%
2015	5
2016	1

² The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of February 28, 2014 and August 31, 2013, the market value of these securities was \$1,565,880, representing 1%, and \$3,000,060, representing 2%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

2017	9
2018	8

3 Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014 17

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust II

Trust Overview

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust II s (BFY) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six month period ended February 28, 2014, the Trust returned 10.19% based market price and 13.02% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 8.99% based on market price and 10.07% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

As tax-exempt rates declined over the period, the Trust s longer-duration (higher sensitivity to interest rate movements) and longer-dated bond holdings tended to provide the largest returns. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) In this environment, the Trust s transportation, health and corporate holdings contributed positively to performance as these were among the better performing sectors. Exposure to lower-coupon and zero-coupon bonds also drove returns as they had strong price performance due to their relatively long durations for their respective maturities. The Trust s significant exposure to high-quality issues had a positive impact on results as the market s strongest performance during the period was concentrated in this quality segment. Additionally, the Trust benefited from income generated from coupon payments on its municipal bond holdings. The use of leverage allowed the Trust to enhance its level of income.

The Trust s exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits, although limited, was a detractor from results as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and a weak local economy. The Trust sold its exposure to these deteriorating securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE MKT	BFY
Initial Offering Date	July 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of February 28, 2014 (\$13.40) ¹	6.27%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	12.71%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.07
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.84
Economic Leverage as of February 28, 2014 ⁴	40%

Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

2

Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 50.67%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

18 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust II

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	2/28/14	8/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.40	\$ 12.56	6.69%	\$ 13.53	\$ 12.16
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.62	\$ 13.36	9.43%	\$ 14.62	\$ 13.23

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments		
Sector Allocation	2/28/14	8/31/13
County/City/Special District/School District	23%	23%
Education	15	13
Health	15	18
Transportation	14	11
Utilities	9	8
State	9	10
Corporate	9	10
Housing	6	7
Credit Quality Allocation ¹	2/28/14	8/31/13
AAA/Aaa	16%	13%
AA/Aa	32	33
A	36	34
BBB/Baa	6	7
BB/Ba	2	4
Not Rated ²	8	9

¹ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	4%
2015 2016 2017	6
2016	5
2017	10
2018	4

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

² The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of February 28, 2014 and August 31, 2013, the market value of these securities was \$3,825,909, representing 3%, and \$4,256,744, representing 4%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014

19

BlackRock Virginia Municipal Bond Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Virginia Municipal Bond Trust s (BHV) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and Virginia personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Virginia personal income taxes. The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six month period ended February 28, 2014, the Trust returned 10.09% based on market price and 11.56% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 8.34% based on market price and 10.35% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

The Trust s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) was the largest contributor to performance as municipal interest rates fell significantly during the period. (Bond prices rise as rates fall.) Additionally, the Trust s credit exposure had a positive impact on results as spreads tightened during the period amid seasonably low supply while investors remained focused on yield and income strategies.

Conversely, the use of US Treasury futures to protect the Trust against interest rate increases detracted from returns, although the impact was minimal. The persistently low interest rate environment exposed the Trust to reinvestment rate risk as it had to reinvest cash that resulted from bond calls and maturities at yields well below where these bonds were originally held. This resulted in a reduction to the income component of the Trust.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE MKT	BHV
Initial Offering Date	April 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of February 28, 2014 (\$15.94) ¹	5.38%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.08%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0715
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8580
Economic Leverage as of February 28, 2014 ⁴	38%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 46.65%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

20 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock Virginia Municipal Bond Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	2/28/14	8/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 15.94	\$ 14.91	6.91%	\$ 16.95	\$ 14.15
Net Asset Value	\$ 15.20	\$ 14.03	8.34%	\$ 15.20	\$ 13.92

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments		
Sector Allocation	2/28/14	8/31/13
Health	20%	20%
Education	19	18
County/City/Special District/School District	16	9
Transportation	16	15
Housing	11	12
State	7	9
Utilities	6	9
Corporate	5	8
Credit Quality Allocation ¹	2/28/14	8/31/13
AAA/Aaa	20%	20%
AA/Aa	51	45
A	11	15
BBB/Baa	7	7
Not Rated ²	11	13

¹ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	3%
2015	
2016 2017	1
2017	4
2018	20

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

² The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of February 28, 2014 and August 31, 2013, the market value of these securities was \$2,697,020 and \$2,638,768, each representing 7%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014

21

Schedule of Investments February 28, 2014 (Unaudited)

BlackRock Maryland Municipal Bond Trust (BZM)

	Par		
	(000)		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Maryland 129.9%			
Corporate 2.8% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB:			
CNX Marine Terminals, Inc., 5.75%, 9/01/25	\$ 500	\$ 527,425	
Potomac Electric Power Co., 6.20%, 9/01/22	250	295,883	
1 Otoliide Electric 1 Ower Co., 0.20 %, 9/01/22	230	293,003	
		022 200	
0 4 104 10 1104 1401 104 14 27 27		823,308	
County/City/Special District/School District 25.3%	475	500 175	
City of Annapolis Maryland, Tax Allocation, Park Place Project, Series A, 5.35%, 1/01/15 (a)	475	500,175	
City of Baltimore Maryland, RB, Special Tax, Harborview Lot No. 2, 6.50%, 7/01/31	960	967,526	
County of Anne Arundel Maryland Consolidated Special Taxing District, Refunding, Special	500	526 615	
Tax, The Villages of Dorchester and Farmington Project, 5.00%, 7/01/32	300	536,615	
County of Frederick Maryland, RB, Jefferson Technology Park Project, Series B,	250	260.929	
7.13%, 7/01/43	250	260,828	
County of Montgomery Maryland, GO, Refunding, Consolidated Public Improvement,	400	462 124	
Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/26 County of Prince Courses a Marriand SQ Remoderating National Harbon President	400	463,124	
County of Prince George s Maryland, SO, Remarketing, National Harbor Project, 5.20%, 7/01/34	1,500	1,502,415	
•	1,300	1,302,413	
State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local Facilities Loan, Third Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20	500	607,010	
State of Maryland, GO, State & Local Facilities Loan:	300	007,010	
1st Series B, 5.00%, 3/15/22	250	288,190	
2nd Series B, 3.00%, 8/01/27	2,425	2,418,331	
Zild Sciles B, 3.00%, 6/01/27	2,423	2,418,331	
		7,544,214	
Education 31.6%			
County of Anne Arundel Maryland, Refunding RB, Maryland Economic Development, Anne			
Arundel Community College Project:			
4.00%, 9/01/27	510	528,748	
3.25%, 9/01/28	360	339,055	
Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, University Village at Sheppard Pratt, 5.00%, 7/01/33	1,000	1,009,440	
Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:	4.000	4 055 000	
Goucher College, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/34	1,000	1,057,920	
Johns Hopkins University Project, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/27	1,000	1,152,980	
	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Maryland (continued)			
Education (concluded)			
Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB (concluded):			
Johns Hopkins University Project, Series A, 4.00%, 7/01/37	\$ 500	\$ 507,430	
Loyola University Maryland, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/39	900	944,163	
Maryland Institute College of Art, 5.00%, 6/01/29	500	527,640	
Notre Dame Maryland University, 5.00%, 10/01/42	500	505,195	
Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, RB, Our Lady Of Good Counsel			
School, Series A, 6.00%, 5/01/35	1,000	1,025,450	
University System of Maryland, RB, Auxiliary Facility and Tuition, Series A, 5.00%, 4/01/24	1,000	1,217,020	
University System of Maryland, Refunding RB, Series D, 5.00%, 10/01/21	500	604,665	
		9,419,706	
Health 28.6%			
City of Gaithersburg Maryland, Refunding RB, Asbury Maryland Obligation, Series B,			
6.00%, 1/01/23	250	272,135	
County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage House Facility, Series A, 5.25%, 4/01/33	550	472,967	
County of Montgomery Maryland, Refunding RB, Trinity Health Credit Group,			
5.00%, 12/01/40	1,000	1,041,010	
	1,000	1,033,530	

Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Ascension Health Alliance,

Series B, 5.00%, 11/15/51

Series B, 5.0076, 11/15/51			
Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:			
Anne Arundel Health System, 5.00%, 7/01/27	500	542,900	
Anne Arundel Health System, 5.00%, 7/01/40	1,000	1,027,270	
Charlestown Community Project, 6.25%, 1/01/41	1,000	1,070,400	
Frederick Memorial Hospital, Series A, 4.00%, 7/01/38	1,250	1,044,150	
University of Maryland Medical System, 5.13%, 7/01/39	1,000	1,026,460	
University of Maryland Medical System, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/43	1,000	1,018,370	

8,549,192

Portfolio Abbreviations

To simplify the listings of portfolio
holdings in the Schedules of
Investments, the names and descriptions
of many of the securities have been
abbreviated according to the following
list:

AGC	Assured Guarantee Corp.	HFA	Housing Finance Agency
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.	HRB	Housing Revenue Bonds
AMBAC	American Municipal Bond Assurance	IBC	Insured Bond Certificates
	Corp.		
AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)	IDA	Industrial Development Authority
ARB	Airport Revenue Bonds	LRB	Lease Revenue Bonds
BARB	Building Aid Revenue Bonds	M/F	Multi-Family
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.	NPFGC	National Public Finance Guarantee
			Corp.
BOCES	Board of Cooperative Educational	PILOT	Payment in Lieu of Taxes
	Services		
CAB	Capital Appreciation Bonds	Radian	Radian Guaranty, Inc.
CIFG	CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty	RB	Revenue Bonds
COP	Certificates of Participation	S/F	Single Family
EDA	Economic Development Authority	SBPA	Stand-by Bond Purchase Agreements
EDC	Economic Development Corp.	SO	Special Obligation
ERB	Education Revenue Bonds	SONYMA	State of New York Mortgage Agency
FHA	Federal Housing Administration	Syncora	Syncora Guarantee
GO	General Obligation Bonds	VRDN	Variable Rate Demand Notes
HDA	Housing Development Authority		

See Notes to Financial Statements.

22 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

FEBRUARY 28, 2014

BlackRock Maryland Municipal Bond Trust (BZM)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Maryland (concluded)	(000)	v aruc	
Housing 15.3%			
Maryland Community Development Administration, HRB:			
Series A, 4.05%, 7/01/42	\$ 1,220	\$ 1,145,519	
Series H, AMT, 5.10%, 9/01/37	1,000	1,012,490	
Maryland Community Development Administration, RB, Residential:			
Series A, 5.05%, 9/01/39	500	513,570	
Series B, 4.75%, 9/01/39	150	152,248	
Maryland Community Development Administration, Refunding RB, Residential, Series B,			
5.25%, 9/01/35	1,690	1,754,271	
		4,578,098	
Transportation 15.3%		,	
Maryland EDC, RB:			
Term Project, Series B, 5.75%, 6/01/35	500	513,490	
Transportation Facilities Project, Series A, 5.75%, 6/01/35	500	513,490	
Maryland State Department of Transportation, RB, Consolidated, 4.00%, 5/15/22	1,000	1,088,050	
Maryland State Transportation Authority, RB, Baltimore/Washington International Thurgood			
Marshall Airport Project, Series A, AMT, 4.00%, 6/01/29	1,925	1,941,632	
Maryland State Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Baltimore/Washington International			
Thurgood Marshall Airport Project, Series B, AMT, 5.00%, 3/01/23	445	507,620	
		4,564,282	
Utilities 11.0%		1,501,202	
City of Baltimore Maryland, RB:			
Wastewater Project, Series C, 5.00%, 7/01/38	1,000	1,087,430	
Water Project, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/43	1,000	1,078,110	
County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Water Quality Protection Charge, Series A:			
5.00%, 4/01/31	500	561,460	
5.00%, 4/01/32	500	555,710	
		3,282,710	
Total Municipal Bonds in Maryland		38,761,510	
District of Columbia 3.6%			
Transportation 3.6%			
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.13%, 7/01/32	1,000	1,073,960	
	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Guam 2.3%	(111)		
State 2.3%			
Territory of Guam, RB, Series A:			
Business Privilege Tax Bonds, 5.13%, 1/01/42	\$ 250	\$ 253,610	
Limited Obligation Bonds, Section 30, 5.63%, 12/01/29	410	434,633	
		688,243	
Total Municipal Bonds in Guam		688,243	
•		., .	
Multi-State 6.9%			
Housing 6.9%			
Centerline Equity Issuer Trust, Series B-2, 7.20%, 11/15/14 (b)(c)	2,000	2,079,060	
Total Municipal Bonds 142.7%		42,602,773	

Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (d) 10.8%			
Maryland 10.8%			
Transportation 10.8%			
State of Maryland Transportation Authority, RB, Transportation Facilities Project (AGM),			
5.00%, 7/01/41	3,000	3,214,710	
Total Long-Term Investments			
(Cost \$45,480,145) 153.5%		45,817,483	
Short-Term Securities	Shares	4 0 4 0 4 0	
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.03% (e)(f)	1,042,343	1,042,343	
Total Short-Term Securities			
(Cost \$1,042,343) 3.5%		1,042,343	
Total Investments (Cost \$46,522,488) 157.0%		46,859,826	
Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.6%		487,315	
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest			
Expense and Fees Payable (5.0%)		(1,500,257)	
VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value (53.6%)		(16,000,000)	
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 29,846,884	

Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) US government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. These securities may be resold in transactions exempt from registration to qualified institutional investors.
- (c) Represents a beneficial interest in a trust. The collateral deposited into the trust is federally tax-exempt revenue bonds issued by various state or local governments, or their respective agencies or authorities. The security is subject to remarketing prior to its stated maturity.
- (d) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB. In exchange for which the Trust acquired residual interest certificates. These bonds serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (e) Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the six months ended February 28, 2014, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act, were as follows:

	Shares Held		Shares Held	
	at August 31,	Net	at February 28,	
Affiliate	2013	Activity	2014	Income
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund	421,659	620,684	1,042,343	\$ 214

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014

23

BlackRock Maryland Municipal Bond Trust (BZM)

(f) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts outstanding as of February 28, 2014 were as follows:

Contracts				Notional Unrealized	
Sold	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Value Depreciation	
(30)	10-Year US Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	June 2014	\$ 3,735,938 \$ (6,717)	

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the Trust has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Trust s policy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Trust s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of February 28, 2014:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Long-Term Investments ¹		\$ 45,817,483		\$ 45,817,483
Short-Term Securities	\$ 1,042,343			1,042,343
Total	\$ 1,042,343	\$ 45,817,483		\$ 46,859,826

¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

	L	evel 1	Level 2	Level 3	']	l'otal
Derivative Financial Instruments ²						
Liabilities:						
Interest rate contracts	\$	(6,717)			\$	(6,717)

² Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument. The carrying amount for certain of the Trust s assets and/or liabilities approximates fair value for financial statement purposes. As of February 28, 2014, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Tota	al
Assets:					
Cash	\$ 12,001			\$ 1	2,001
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts	47,000			4	17,000
Liabilities:					
TOB trust certificates		\$ (1,500,000)		(1,50	(000,000)
VRDP Shares		(16,000,000)		(16,00	(000,000
Total	\$ 59,001	\$ (17,500,000)		\$ (17,44	0,999)

There were no transfers between levels during the six months ended February 28, 2014.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

24 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

FEBRUARY 28, 2014

Schedule of Investments February 28, 2014 (Unaudited)

BlackRock Massachusetts Tax-Exempt Trust (MHE)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Massachusetts 154.2%			
County/City/Special District/School District 3.6%			
Town of Holyoke Massachusetts, GO, Refunding, 5.00%, 9/01/26	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,143,810	
Education 74.6%			
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, RB:			
Boston University, Series T-1 (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/01/39	1,000	1,041,300	
Foxborough Regional Charter School, Series A, 7.00%, 7/01/42	250	274,447	
Mount Holyoke College, Series B, 5.00%, 7/01/41	500	523,090	
Smith College, 5.00%, 7/01/35	2,000	2,091,800	
Wellesley College, Series J, 5.00%, 7/01/42	1,950	2,098,512	
WGBH Educational Foundation, Series A (AMBAC), 5.75%, 1/01/42	650	751,543	
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Refunding RB:			
Boston University, Series P, 5.45%, 5/15/59	1,500	1,609,035	
Clark University (Syncora), 5.13%, 10/01/35	500	523,985	
Emerson College, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/40	200	200,790	
Trustees of Deerfield Academy, 5.00%, 10/01/40	1,675	1,811,462	
Wheelock College, Series C, 5.25%, 10/01/37	1,000	1,008,080	
Williston Northampton School Project (Syncora), 5.00%, 10/01/25	500	507,715	
Worcester Polytechnic Institute (NPFGC), 5.00%, 9/01/27	1,985	2,158,350	
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB:			
Northeastern University, Series R, 5.00%, 10/01/33	225	240,145	
Tufts University, Series O, 5.38%, 8/15/38	1,000	1,113,460	
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:			
Berklee College of Music, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/37	1,000	1,057,170	
Harvard University, Series A, 5.50%, 11/15/36	100	113,080	
Harvard University, Series B, 5.00%, 10/01/38	400	437,996	
Northeastern University, Series T-1, 5.00%, 10/01/31	950	1,019,179	
Northeastern University, Series T-2, 5.00%, 10/01/32	500	533,410	
Springfield College, 5.63%, 10/15/40	500	525,645	
Tufts University, Series M, 5.50%, 2/15/27	1,000	1,228,730	
Massachusetts State College Building Authority, RB, Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/01/16 (a)	1,000	1,101,580	
Massachusetts State College Building Authority, Refunding RB, Series B (Syncora), 5.50%, 5/01/39	825	988,812	
University of Massachusetts Building Authority, RB, Senior-Series 2, 5.00%, 11/01/39	500	537,455	
		23,496,771	
Health 25.0%			
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, RB, First Mortgage, Edgecombe Project, Series A,			
6.75%, 7/01/21	730	731,650	
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Refunding RB:			
Carleton-Willard Village, 5.63%, 12/01/30	500	526,665	
Partners Healthcare System, Series L, 5.00%, 7/01/36	1,000	1,061,720	
Seven Hills Foundation & Affiliates (Radian), 5.00%, 9/01/35	240	217,944	
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB:			
Cape Cod Healthcare Obligated Group, Series D (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/31	1,000	1,042,270	
	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Massachusetts (concluded)	` ´		
Health (concluded)			
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB (concluded):			
Caregroup, Series E-1, 5.00%, 7/01/28	\$ 500	\$ 516,900	
Children s Hospital, Series M, 5.25%, 12/01/39	600	624,414	
Children s Hospital, Series M, 5.50%, 12/01/39	500	555,345	
Lahey Clinic Medical Center, Series D, 5.25%, 8/15/37	1,000	1,037,090	
Southcoast Health Obligation Group, Series D, 5.23 %, 6/13/37	500	510,535	
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Winchester Hospital, Series H,	500	210,000	
5.25%, 7/01/38	1,000	1,039,800	
	-,000	-,,000	

7,864,333

Housing 11.7%			
Massachusetts HFA, RB, M/F Housing, Series A (FHA), 5.25%, 12/01/35	185	195,060	
Massachusetts HFA, Refunding RB, AMT:			
Series C, 5.00%, 12/01/30	490	501,054	
Series C, 5.35%, 12/01/42	2,000	2,029,540	
Series F, 5.70%, 6/01/40	915	946,440	
		3,672,094	
State 20.1%		5,072,09	
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Senior Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/29	730	878,686	
Massachusetts School Building Authority, RB:		· ·	
Dedicated Sales Tax, Senior, Series A, 5.00%, 5/15/43	500	538,990	
Senior Series B, 5.00%, 10/15/41	1,000	1,074,680	
Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/15 (a)	1,000	1,070,210	
Massachusetts State College Building Authority, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 5/01/39	2,500	2,787,725	
		6,350,291	
Transportation 19.2%		0,000,201	
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 6/15/27	1.000	1,150,800	
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/38	500	545,670	
Massachusetts Department of Transportation, Refunding RB, Senior Series B:			
5.00%, 1/01/32	1,120	1,190,370	
5.00%, 1/01/37	1,000	1,043,640	
Massachusetts Port Authority, RB, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 7/01/42	1,000	1,038,630	
Metropolitan Boston Transit Parking Corp., Refunding RB, 5.25%, 7/01/36	1,000	1,087,410	
		6,056,520	
Total Municipal Bonds		0,000,020	
(Cost 46,390,437) 154.2%		48,583,819	
		-,,-	
Short-Term Securities			
Municipal Bonds 2.5%			
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, GO, Series A, VRDN, 0.03%, 3/11/14 (b)	300	300,000	
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB, VRDN, Partners Healthcare System, Series			
P2 (JPMorgan NA SBPA), 0.03%, 3/03/14 (b)	500	500,000	
Total Municipal Bonds 2.5%		800,000	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014 25

BlackRock Massachusetts Tax-Exempt Trust (MHE)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Money Market 0.0%	Shares	Value	
BIF Massachusetts Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (c)(d)	5	\$	5
Total Short-Term Securities			
(Cost \$800,005) 2.5%		800),005
Total Investments (Cost \$47,190,442) 156.7%		49,383	3,824
Other Assets Less Liabilities 2.0%		625	5,168
VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value (58.7%)		(18,500),000)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 31,508	3,992

Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) US government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) Variable rate security. Rate shown is as of report date and maturity shown is the date the principal owed can be recovered through demand.
- (c) Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the six months ended February 28, 2014, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act, were as follows:

	Shares Held at August 31,	Net	Shares Held at February 28,	
Affiliate	2013	Activity	2014	Income
BIF Massachusetts Municipal Money Fund	5		5	

(d) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts outstanding as of February 28, 2014 were as follows:

Contracts				Notional Unrealized	
Sold	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Value Depreciation	
(29)	10-Year US Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	June 2014	\$ 3,611,406 \$ (6,493)	

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the Trust has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Trust spolicy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust spolicy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Trust s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of February 28, 2014:

	Lev	el 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:					
Investments:					
Long-Term Investments ¹			\$ 48,583,819		\$ 48,583,819
Short-Term Securities	\$	5	800,000		800,005
Total	\$	5	\$ 49,383,819		\$ 49,383,824

¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Te	otal
Derivative Financial Instruments ²					
Liabilities:					
Interest rate contracts	\$ (6,493)			\$	(6,493)

² Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

26 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock Massachusetts Tax-Exempt Trust (MHE)

The carrying amount for certain of the Trust s assets and/or liabilities approximates fair value for financial statement purposes. As of February 28, 2014, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Cash	\$ 41,192			\$ 41,192
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts	45,000			45,000
Liabilities:				
VRDP Shares		\$ (18,500,000)		(18,500,000)
Total	\$ 86,192	\$ (18,500,000)		\$ (18,413,808)

There were no transfers between levels during the six months ended February 28, 2014.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014 27

Schedule of Investments February 28, 2014 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund (MHN)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New York 140.4%	(444)		
Corporate 3.7%			
City of New York New York Industrial Development Agency, Refunding RB, AMT:			
Terminal One Group Association Project, 5.50%, 1/01/24 (a)	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,605,435	
Transportation Infrastructure Properties LLC, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/28	820	821,058	
County of Suffolk New York Industrial Development Agency, RB, KeySpan Generation			
LLC, Port Jefferson, AMT, 5.25%, 6/01/27	4,340	4,364,260	
New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, Goldman Sachs Headquarters, 5.25%, 10/01/35	5,500	6,025,580	
New York State Energy Research & Development Authority, Refunding RB, Brooklyn			
Union Gas/Keyspan, Series A, AMT (NPFGC), 4.70%, 2/01/24	3,340	3,521,162	
		16,337,495	
County/City/Special District/School District 36.7%			
Buffalo & County of Erie New York Industrial Land Development Corp., Refunding RB,			
Buffalo State College Foundation Housing Corp. Project, Series A, 5.38%, 10/01/41	1,000	1,063,180	
City of New York New York, GO, Refunding:	,	,,,,,,,	
Fiscal 2012, Series I, 5.00%, 8/01/32	490	530,205	
Series E, 5.50%, 8/01/25	5,500	6,600,220	
Series E, 5.00%, 8/01/30	2,000	2,200,840	
City of New York New York, GO:	2,000	2,200,010	
Series A-1, 5.00%, 8/01/35	2,350	2,502,703	
Sub-Series A-1, 5.00%, 10/01/34	1,630	1,752,380	
Fiscal 2012, Sub-Series D-1, 5.00%, 10/01/33	4,175	4,465,622	
Fiscal 2014, Sub-Series D-1, 5.00%, 8/01/31	945	1,038,035	
Refunding Fiscal 2014, Series E, 5.00%, 8/01/32	2,000	2,180,300	
City of New York New York Convention Center Development Corp., RB, Hotel Unit Fee	2,000	2,100,500	
Secured (AMBAC):			
5.00%, 11/15/30	2,100	2,205,315	
5.00%, 11/15/35	19,150	19,399,524	
5.00%, 11/15/44	6,105	6,154,389	
City of New York New York Housing Development Corp., RB, Fund Grant Program, New	0,100	0,12 1,505	
York City Housing Authority Program, Series B1:			
5.25%, 7/01/32	6,505	7,133,253	
5.00%, 7/01/33	1,375	1,461,391	
City of New York New York Industrial Development Agency, RB, PILOT:	1,575	1, 101,031	
CAB, Yankee Stadium Project, Series A (AGC), 0.00%, 3/01/39 (b)	1,380	374,491	
Queens Baseball Stadium (AGC), 6.38%, 1/01/39	800	883,104	
Queens Baseball Stadium (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/01/31	3,500	3,335,010	
Queens Baseball Stadium (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/01/36	6,640	6,180,512	
Yankee Stadium Project (NPFGC), 5.00%, 3/01/36	2,200	2,229,964	
Yankee Stadium Project (NPFGC), 5.00%, 3/01/46	9,500	9,526,505	
City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority Future Tax Secured, RB:	,,500	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
(NPFGC), 5.25%, 2/01/22	45	45,051	
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 11/15/26	180	180,720	
(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Par	200,120	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New York (continued)	(000)	value	
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)			
City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority Future Tax Secured, RB			
(concluded):			
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 2/01/33	\$ 1,015	\$ 1,018,745	
Sub-Series A1, 5.00%, 11/01/38	950	1,027,131	
Sub-Series B1, 5.00%, 11/01/35	2,100	2,299,773	
Sub-Series B1, 5.00%, 11/01/36	1,690	1,846,291	
County of Erie New York Industrial Development Agency, RB, City School District of			
Buffalo Project, Series A, 5.25%, 5/01/31	1,000	1,096,180	
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A:			

5.00%, 2/15/47	2,350	2,378,600	
(AGC), 5.00%, 2/15/47	7,370	7,500,596	
(AGC), 5.00%, 2/15/47	305	310,405	
(AGM), 5.00%, 2/15/47	7,530	7,663,432	
(NPFGC), 4.50%, 2/15/47	14,055	14,077,488	
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 2/15/47	1,500	1,518,255	
New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Liberty:			
4 World Trade Center Project, 5.00%, 11/15/31	1,710	1,833,000	
4 World Trade Center Project, 5.00%, 11/15/44	4,000	4,128,880	
4 World Trade Center Project, 5.75%, 11/15/51	2,080	2,263,269	
7 World Trade Center Project, Class 1, 4.00%, 9/15/35	885	895,930	
7 World Trade Center Project, Class 2, 5.00%, 9/15/43	3,530	3,626,369	
Sales Tax Asset Receivable Corp., Refunding RB, Series A (AMBAC):			
5.25%, 10/15/27	2,500	2,572,100	
5.00%, 10/15/32	21,175	21,713,268	
Syracuse New York Industrial Development Agency, RB, PILOT, Carousel Center Project,			
Series A, AMT (Syncora), 5.00%, 1/01/36	3,100	3,045,223	
		162,257,649	
Education 23.0%		102,237,049	
Amberst Development Corp. Refunding RR. University at Ruffalo Foundation		102,237,049	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation	4 975	, ,	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40	4,975	5,096,888	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 City of New York New York Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, Series A:	,	5,096,888	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 City of New York New York Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, Series A: American Museum of Natural History (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/36	6,300	5,096,888 6,378,120	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 City of New York New York Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, Series A: American Museum of Natural History (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/36 Carnegie Hall, 4.75%, 12/01/39	6,300 3,150	5,096,888 6,378,120 3,221,442	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 City of New York New York Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, Series A: American Museum of Natural History (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/36 Carnegie Hall, 4.75%, 12/01/39 Carnegie Hall, 5.00%, 12/01/39	6,300 3,150 1,850	5,096,888 6,378,120 3,221,442 1,922,002	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 City of New York New York Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, Series A: American Museum of Natural History (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/36 Carnegie Hall, 4.75%, 12/01/39 Carnegie Hall, 5.00%, 12/01/39 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/33	6,300 3,150 1,850 2,000	5,096,888 6,378,120 3,221,442 1,922,002 2,167,140	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 City of New York New York Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, Series A: American Museum of Natural History (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/36 Carnegie Hall, 4.75%, 12/01/39 Carnegie Hall, 5.00%, 12/01/39 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/33 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/42	6,300 3,150 1,850	5,096,888 6,378,120 3,221,442 1,922,002	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 City of New York New York Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, Series A: American Museum of Natural History (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/36 Carnegie Hall, 4.75%, 12/01/39 Carnegie Hall, 5.00%, 12/01/39 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/33 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/42 City of Troy New York Capital Resource Corp., Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic	6,300 3,150 1,850 2,000 2,840	5,096,888 6,378,120 3,221,442 1,922,002 2,167,140 3,006,168	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 City of New York New York Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, Series A: American Museum of Natural History (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/36 Carnegie Hall, 4.75%, 12/01/39 Carnegie Hall, 5.00%, 12/01/39 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/33 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/42 City of Troy New York Capital Resource Corp., Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Project, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40	6,300 3,150 1,850 2,000	5,096,888 6,378,120 3,221,442 1,922,002 2,167,140	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 City of New York New York Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, Series A: American Museum of Natural History (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/36 Carnegie Hall, 4.75%, 12/01/39 Carnegie Hall, 5.00%, 12/01/39 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/33 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/42 City of Troy New York Capital Resource Corp., Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Project, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40 County of Dutchess New York Local Development Corp., RB, Vassar College, Series A,	6,300 3,150 1,850 2,000 2,840	5,096,888 6,378,120 3,221,442 1,922,002 2,167,140 3,006,168 6,259,502	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 City of New York New York Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, Series A: American Museum of Natural History (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/36 Carnegie Hall, 4.75%, 12/01/39 Carnegie Hall, 5.00%, 12/01/39 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/33 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/42 City of Troy New York Capital Resource Corp., Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Project, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40 County of Dutchess New York Local Development Corp., RB, Vassar College, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/49	6,300 3,150 1,850 2,000 2,840	5,096,888 6,378,120 3,221,442 1,922,002 2,167,140 3,006,168	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 City of New York New York Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, Series A: American Museum of Natural History (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/36 Carnegie Hall, 4.75%, 12/01/39 Carnegie Hall, 5.00%, 12/01/39 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/33 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/42 City of Troy New York Capital Resource Corp., Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Project, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40 County of Dutchess New York Local Development Corp., RB, Vassar College, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/49 County of Madison New York Industrial Development Agency, RB, Colgate University	6,300 3,150 1,850 2,000 2,840 6,035	5,096,888 6,378,120 3,221,442 1,922,002 2,167,140 3,006,168 6,259,502 1,040,710	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 City of New York New York Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, Series A: American Museum of Natural History (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/36 Carnegie Hall, 4.75%, 12/01/39 Carnegie Hall, 5.00%, 12/01/39 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/33 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/42 City of Troy New York Capital Resource Corp., Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Project, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40 County of Dutchess New York Local Development Corp., RB, Vassar College, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/49 County of Madison New York Industrial Development Agency, RB, Colgate University Project, Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/30	6,300 3,150 1,850 2,000 2,840	5,096,888 6,378,120 3,221,442 1,922,002 2,167,140 3,006,168 6,259,502	
Amherst Development Corp., Refunding RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 City of New York New York Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, Series A: American Museum of Natural History (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/36 Carnegie Hall, 4.75%, 12/01/39 Carnegie Hall, 5.00%, 12/01/39 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/33 Wildlife Conservation Society, 5.00%, 8/01/42 City of Troy New York Capital Resource Corp., Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Project, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40 County of Dutchess New York Local Development Corp., RB, Vassar College, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/49 County of Madison New York Industrial Development Agency, RB, Colgate University	6,300 3,150 1,850 2,000 2,840 6,035	5,096,888 6,378,120 3,221,442 1,922,002 2,167,140 3,006,168 6,259,502 1,040,710	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

28 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund (MHN)

Par

Par

Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New York (continued)			
Education (continued)			
County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse University Project:			
5.00%, 12/01/29	\$ 1,135	\$ 1,247,308	
5.00%, 12/01/36	1,100	1,182,555	
County of Orange New York Funding Corp., Refunding RB, Mount St. Mary College			
Project, Series A:			
5.00%, 7/01/37	715	729,121	
5.00%, 7/01/42	445	446,175	
County of St. Lawrence New York Industrial Development Agency, RB, Clarkson			
University Project:			
6.00%, 9/01/34	300	338,376	
5.38%, 9/01/41	125	131,578	
County of Tompkins New York Development Corp., RB, Ithaca College Project (AGM):			
5.50%, 7/01/33	500	546,040	
5.25%, 7/01/36	700	747,565	
County of Tompkins New York Industrial Development Agency, RB, Civic Facility Cornell			
University Project, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/37	500	542,300	
New York State Dormitory Authority, RB, Siena College, 5.13%, 7/01/39	1,345	1,396,083	
New York State Dormitory Authority, Refunding RB:			
Rochester Institute of Technology, 4.00%, 7/01/31	3,300	3,346,662	
St. John s University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/28	500	547,765	
State of New York Dormitory Authority, RB:			
Convent of the Sacred Heart, 5.75%, 11/01/40	1,770	1,942,911	
Fordham University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/28	175	193,169	
Fordham University, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/36	1,375	1,499,534	
General Purpose, Series A, 5.00%, 2/15/36	4,500	4,867,830	
Mount Sinai School of Medicine, 5.13%, 7/01/39	1,000	1,037,490	
New York University, Series 1 (AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/01/40	3,500	4,115,860	
New York University, Series B, 5.00%, 7/01/34	400	444,524	
New York University, Series B, 5.00%, 7/01/42	3,000	3,188,970	
New York University, Series C, 5.00%, 7/01/38	2,000	2,159,280	
State University Dormitory Facilities, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/35	750	802,440	
State University Dormitory Facilities, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/40	1,500	1,586,655	
State University Dormitory Facilities, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/41	1,500	1,587,690	
The New School, 5.50%, 7/01/43	3,265	3,498,970	
State of New York Dormitory Authority, Refunding RB:			
3rd General Resolution, State University Educational Facilities Issue, Series A,			
5.00%, 5/15/29	1,000	1,106,230	
Cornell University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/40	1,000	1,096,280	
Fordham University, 5.00%, 7/01/44 (c)	700	740,523	
New York University (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/35	4,500	4,672,035	
	D		

Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New York (continued)			
Education (concluded)			
State of New York Dormitory Authority, Refunding RB (concluded):			
New York University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/31	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,304,740	
New York University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/37	4,180	4,495,256	
Rochester Institute of Technology, 5.00%, 7/01/38	500	526,795	
Rochester Institute of Technology, 5.00%, 7/01/42	750	784,823	
Rockefeller University, Series B, 4.00%, 7/01/38	1,550	1,569,701	
St. John s University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/27	370	408,709	
State University Dormitory Facilities, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/31	4,755	5,292,695	
State University Dormitory Facilities, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/32	6,435	7,125,282	
State University Dormitory Facilities, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/42	1,490	1,583,855	

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIHOLDINGS NEW YORK QUALITY FUND, INC. - Form N-CSRS

		105,342,927	
Health 10.0%			
City of New York New York Health & Hospital Corp., Refunding RB, Health System,			
Series A, 5.00%, 2/15/30	1,800	1,915,254	
County of Dutchess New York Industrial Development Agency, RB, Vassar Brothers			
Medical Center (AGC), 5.50%, 4/01/34	500	533,805	
County of Monroe New York Industrial Development Corp., RB, Rochester General			
Hospital Project, Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/37	1,180	1,221,371	
County of Monroe New York Industrial Development Corp., Refunding RB:			
Rochester General Hospital Project, Series B, 3.60%, 12/01/32	795	674,860	
Unity Hospital of Rochester Project (FHA), 5.50%, 8/15/40	5,925	6,619,114	
State of New York Dormitory Authority, RB:			
Healthcare, Series A, 5.00%, 3/15/38	2,250	2,456,077	
Hudson Valley Hospital (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/15/36	5,500	5,829,890	
Montefiore Hospital (NPFGC, FHA), 5.00%, 8/01/33	1,000	1,004,180	
New York & Presbyterian Hospital (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/14 (d)	3,880	3,967,339	
New York University Hospitals Center, Series A, 5.75%, 7/01/31	2,680	2,926,131	
New York University Hospitals Center, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/40	1,800	1,966,068	
North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group, Series A, 5.50%, 5/01/37	1,825	1,935,084	
North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group, Series C, 4.25%, 5/01/39	1,000	986,250	
North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group, Series D, 4.25%, 5/01/39	185	182,456	
State of New York Dormitory Authority, Refunding RB:			
New York University Hospitals Center, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/36	1,000	1,022,450	
North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group, Series A, 5.00%, 5/01/32	2,000	2,099,380	
North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group, Series A, 5.25%, 5/01/34	7,375	7,850,024	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014 29

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund (MHN)

	Par		
W ** 10 1	(000)	X 7.1	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New York (continued)			
Health (concluded) State of New York Dormitory Authority, Refunding RB (concluded):			
St. Luke s Roosevelt Hospital (FHA), 4.90%, 8/15/31	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,043,920	
St. Luke 's Rooseven Hospital (PHA), 4.90%, 6/13/31	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,043,920	
YY		44,233,653	
Housing 6.5%			
City of New York New York Housing Development Corp., RB, M/F Housing AMT:	750	750 525	
Series A-1-A, 5.00%, 11/01/30	750 1,335	759,525	
Series A-1-A, 5.45%, 11/01/46 Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/26	1,250	1,342,329 1,269,888	
Series C, 5.05%, 11/01/26	2,000	2,023,220	
Series H-1, 4.70%, 11/01/40	1,000	1,000,440	
Series H-2-A, 5.20%, 11/01/35	835	844,310	
Series H-2-A, 5.35%, 5/01/41	600	614,172	
City of New York New York Housing Development Corp., Refunding RB, M/F Housing,	000	014,172	
Series L-2-A, 4.00%, 5/01/44	1,080	1,013,310	
City of Yonkers New York Industrial Development Agency, RB, Monastery Manor	1,000	1,010,010	
Associates LP Project, AMT (SONYMA), 5.25%, 4/01/37	2,000	2,010,220	
New York State HFA, RB, Affordable Housing, Series E, (SONYMA):	2,000	2,010,220	
3.40%, 11/01/37	4,755	4,028,626	
3.50%, 11/01/42	4,225	3,477,006	
State of New York HFA, RB, St. Philip s Housing, Series A, AMT (Fannie Mae),	, -	.,,	
4.65%, 11/15/38	1,000	1,000,440	
State of New York Mortgage Agency, RB, 49th Series, 4.00%, 10/01/43	3,200	3,071,104	
State of New York Mortgage Agency, Refunding RB:	,		
48th Series, 3.70%, 10/01/38	3,210	2,928,451	
133rd Series, AMT, 4.95%, 10/01/21	380	380,733	
143rd Series, AMT, 4.85%, 10/01/27	1,085	1,101,438	
143rd Series, AMT (NPFGC, IBC), 4.85%, 10/01/27	2,000	2,043,060	
		28,908,272	
State 15.5%			
City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority, BARB:			
Fiscal 2008, Series S-1, 4.50%, 1/15/38	1,510	1,534,115	
Fiscal 2008, Series S-4 (AGC), 5.50%, 1/15/33	3,000	3,366,390	
Fiscal 2009, Series S-1 (AGC), 5.50%, 7/15/38	4,000	4,476,600	
Fiscal 2009, Series S-4 (AGC), 5.50%, 1/15/39	1,250	1,399,025	
Series S-2 (AGM), 5.00%, 1/15/37	3,750	3,993,750	
Series S-2 (NPFGC), 4.25%, 1/15/34	4,000	4,031,120	
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Dedicated Tax Fund, Sub-Series	4.000		
B-1, 5.00%, 11/15/31	4,000	4,417,440	
New York State Dormitory Authority, RB:	2.500	2 641 150	
General Purpose, Series C, 5.00%, 3/15/41	2,500	2,641,150	
General Purpose, Series E, 5.00%, 2/15/31	2,645	2,903,231	
Mental Health Services Facilities Improvement, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 2/15/33 New York State Dormitory Authority, Refunding RB, Secured Hospital, North General	4,500	4,838,715	
	2,000	2 009 060	
Hospital (Syncora), 5.75%, 2/15/17 State of New York Dormitory Authority, ERB, Series C, 5.00%, 12/15/31	2,000 2,320	2,008,060 2,509,590	
State of New Tork Domintory Authority, ERB, Series C, 3.00%, 12/13/31	2,320 Par	2,309,390	
	ı aı		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New York (continued)	(300)	, and	
State (concluded)			
State of New York Dormitory Authority, RB:			
General Purpose, Series B, 5.00%, 3/15/37	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,219,570	
Master BOCES Program Lease (AGC), 5.00%, 8/15/28	250	270,178	
School Districts Financing Program, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/37	2,500	2,628,125	
	•	* *	

# coo	5.005.100	
450	477,639	
0 = 00		
	· '	
,		
2,740	3,025,015	
1,000	1,087,240	
1,660		
2,000	2,004,620	
	68.328.812	
	00,020,012	
1.620	1.782.940	
7		
,		
1,000	1,130,330	
2 500	2 659 250	
003	750,207	
11 500	12 247 845	
11,500	12,247,043	
1 600	1 733 056	
1,000	1,733,030	
2 500	2 536 000	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· '	
2,300	2,007,323	
7.830	8 108 703	
7,030	0,170,773	
4 000	4 006 990	
4,000	4,000,000	
10.725	10 949 291	
19,723	19,040,201	
	5,000 450 8,700 1,000 2,740 1,000 1,660 2,000 1,660 2,000 1,620 6,015 1,300 2,000 8,750 1,000 1,000 2,500 885 11,500 1,600 2,500	450 477,639 8,700 9,411,660 1,000 1,100,900 2,740 3,025,015 1,000 1,087,240 1,660 1,677,579 2,000 2,004,620 68,328,812 1,620 1,782,940 1,000 1,103,080 5,500 5,964,200 1,620 1,774,742 6,015 7,168,015 1,300 1,192,308 2,000 2,127,540 8,750 9,245,862 1,000 1,136,820 1,000 1,136,820 1,000 1,138,590 2,500 2,659,250 885 956,287 11,500 12,247,845 1,600 1,733,056 2,500 2,536,000 2,500 2,536,000 2,500 2,536,000 2,500 2,587,525 7,830 8,198,793 4,000 4,006,880

See Notes to Financial Statements.

30 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund (MHN)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New York (continued)	(444)		
Transportation (concluded)			
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding ARB:			
179th Series, 5.00%, 12/01/38	\$ 1,390	\$ 1,510,680	
Consolidated, 147th Series, AMT, 4.75%, 4/15/37	2,250	2,268,113	
Consolidated, 177th Series, AMT, 4.00%, 1/15/43	1,350	1,231,268	
Consolidated, 178th Series, AMT, 5.00%, 12/01/43	750	783,525	
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding RB, AMT:			
5.00%, 12/01/33	1,000	1,065,920	
Consolidated, 177th Series, 3.50%, 7/15/35	1,600	1,376,944	
State of New York Thruway Authority, Refunding RB:			
General, Series G (AGM), 4.75%, 1/01/29	1,250	1,308,525	
General, Series G (AGM), 4.75%, 1/01/30	1,030	1,079,080	
General, Series G (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/32	15,450	16,142,314	
General, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/24	1,000	1,145,560	
General, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/37	5,635	5,960,252	
General, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/42	4,270	4,455,403	
Series F (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/01/15 (d)	3,330	3,465,198	
Series F (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/01/30	1,670	1,723,824	
Triborough Bridge & Tunnel Authority, Refunding RB:			
General, CAB, Series B, 0.00%, 11/15/32 (b)	7,400	3,261,624	
General, Remarketing, Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/36	1,000	1,079,780	
Series C, 5.00%, 11/15/38	1,385	1,470,441	
Sub-Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/28	2,500	2,782,625	
Sub-Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/29	875	965,239	
		140,584,329	
Utilities 12.3%			
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB, Water & Sewer	2.500	2 727 020	
System, Series B, 5.00%, 6/15/36	3,500	3,727,920	
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Water &			
Sewer System 2nd General Resolution:	1.500	1 671 020	
Fiscal 2010, Series FF, 5.00%, 6/15/31	1,500	1,671,930	
Fiscal 2011, Series BB, 5.00%, 6/15/31	1,000	1,114,620	
Series DD, 5.00%, 6/15/32	5,750	6,102,820	
Series FF, 4.00%, 6/15/45	1,375	1,315,738	
Long Island Power Authority, RB, Electric System Series A:	2 000	2 072 500	
(AMBAC), 5.00%, 9/01/14 (d)	3,000	3,073,590	
General (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/36 Long Island Power Authority, Refunding RR.	2,375	2,485,532	
Long Island Power Authority, Refunding RB:	1 000	1,127,050	
Electric System, Series A (AGC), 5.75%, 4/01/39 General Electric System, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 5/01/33	1,000 1,500	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
General, Electric System, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 5/01/33 General, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/35	3,500	1,711,335 3,705,870	
New York State Environmental Facilities Corp., RB, Long Island Water Corp. Project,	3,300	3,703,870	
Series A, AMT (NPFGC), 4.90%, 10/01/34	6,000	6,014,640	
State of New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Refunding RB, Revolving Funds New	0,000	0,014,040	
York City Municipal Water Finance Authority Projects, 2nd General Resolution, Series B,			
5.00%, 6/15/36	3,200	3,465,856	
State of New York Power Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/38	2,580	2,790,554	
State of Trew Tork Lower Authority, Returning RD, Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/58	Par	2,170,334	
W ID	(000)	X7.1	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New York (concluded)			
Utilities (concluded)			
Utility Debt Securitization Authority, Refunding RB, Restructuring, Series E, 5.00%,	¢ 14.400	¢ 15.012.000	
12/15/41	\$ 14,490	\$ 15,813,806	

54,121,261

Total Municipal Bonds in New York		620,114,398	
Guam 0.3%			
Utility 0.3%			
Guam Power Authority, RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/37	1,175	1,203,423	
Puerto Rico 0.5%			
Housing 0.5% Durate Rice Henrica Finance Authority Refunding RR. Subardinete Conited Fund			
Puerto Rico Housing Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Subordinate, Capital Fund Modernization, 5.13%, 12/01/27	2,500	2,502,400	
Total Municipal Bonds 141.2%	2,300	623,820,221	
Total Maintepar 2000 1112 //		023,020,221	
Municipal Bonds Transferred to			
Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)			
New York 22.9%			
County/City/Special District/School District 5.3%			
City of New York New York, GO:			
Series J, 5.00%, 5/15/23	6,800	6,863,036	
Sub-Series C-3 (AGC), 5.75%, 8/15/28 (f)	10,000	11,803,800	
City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Future Tax Secured, Fiscal 2012,			
Sub-Series D-1, 5.00%, 11/01/38	1,650	1,767,034	
New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Liberty, 7 World Trade Center			
Project, Class 1, 5.00%, 9/15/40	2,610	2,780,616	
		23,214,486	
Education 5.7%			
State of New York Dormitory Authority, ERB, Series B, 5.75%, 3/15/36	5,000	5,701,800	
State of New York Dormitory Authority, LRB, State University Dormitory Facilities, New			
York University, Series A:	5,000	E 459.250	
5.25%, 7/01/29 5.00%, 7/01/35	5,000 4,448	5,458,350 4,792,941	
State of New York Dormitory Authority, RB:	4,440	4,792,941	
(AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/37	2.999	3,250,151	
New York University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/38	5,498	5,876,942	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
		25,080,184	
State 1.3%		23,000,101	
State of New York Dormitory Authority, RB, Mental Health Services Facilities, Series C,			
AMT (AGM), 5.40%, 2/15/33	5,458	5,975,486	
Transportation 7.2%			
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Fiscal 2012, Series A, 5.75%, 2/15/47 (f)	6,000	6,546,192	
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, RB, Dedicated Tax, Series A (NPFGC),			
5.00%, 11/15/31	7,002	7,529,753	
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, ARB, Consolidated, 169th Series, AMT,	0.005	0.050.001	
5.00%, 10/15/25	8,005	8,859,821	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2014 31

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund (MHN)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds Transferred to			
Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)	(000)	Value	
New York (concluded)			
Transportation (concluded)			
State of New York Thruway Authority, Refunding RB, General, Series H (AGM), 5.00%,			
1/01/37	\$ 8,500	\$ 9,026,830	
		31,962,596	
Utilities 3.4%		,	
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB, Water & Sewer			
System, Fiscal 2009, Series A, 5.75%, 6/15/40	4,004	4,500,574	
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Water &			
Sewer System 2nd General Resolution:			
Fiscal 2011, Series HH, 5.00%, 6/15/32	7,151	7,793,071	
Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40	2,400	2,600,767	
		14,894,412	
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to			
Tender Option Bond Trusts 22.9%		101,127,164	
Total Long-Term Investments			
(Cost \$700,163,842) 164.1%		724,947,385	
Short-Term Securities	Shares	Value	