BlackRock Municipal 2030 Target Term Trust Form N-CSR October 04, 2018

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT

INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-22603

Name of Fund: BlackRock Municipal 2030 Target Term Trust (BTT)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock Municipal 2030

Target Term Trust, 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2018

Date of reporting period: 07/31/2018

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

JULY 31, 2018

ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BFO)

BlackRock Municipal 2030 Target Term Trust (BTT)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust (BNY)

Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank Guarantee

The Markets in Review

Dear Shareholder,

In the 12 months ended July 31, 2018, the strongest corporate profits in seven years drove the equity market higher, while rising interest rates constrained bond returns. Though the market s appetite for risk remained healthy, risk-taking was tempered somewhat, as shorter-term, higher-quality securities led the bond market, and U.S. equities outperformed most international stock markets.

Strong equity performance worldwide was driven by synchronized economic growth across the most influential economies. However, volatility in emerging market stocks rose, as U.S.-China trade relations and debt concerns weighed heavily on the Chinese stock market, while Turkey became embroiled in a currency crisis shortly after the end of the reporting period.

Short-term U.S. Treasury interest rates rose the fastest, while longer-term rates slightly increased, leading to a negative return for long-term U.S. Treasuries and a substantial flattening of the yield curve. Many investors are concerned with the flattening yield curve as a harbinger of recession, but given the extraordinary monetary measures in the last decade, we believe a more accurate barometer for the economy is the returns along the risk spectrums in stock and bond markets. Although the fundamentals in credit markets remained relatively solid, investment-grade bonds declined slightly, and high-yield bonds posted modest returns.

In response to rising growth and inflation, the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) increased short-term interest rates three times during the reporting period. The Fed also reduced its \$4.3 trillion balance sheet by approximately \$180 billion during the reporting period, gradually reversing the unprecedented stimulus measures it enacted after the financial crisis. Meanwhile, the European Central Bank announced that its bond-purchasing program would conclude at the end of the year, while also expressing its commitment to low interest rates. In contrast, the Bank of Japan continued to expand its balance sheet through bond purchasing while lowering its expectations for inflation.

The U.S. economy continued to gain momentum despite the Fed s modest reduction of economic stimulus; unemployment declined to 3.9%, wages increased, and the number of job openings reached a record high. Strong economic performance may justify a more rapid pace of rate hikes in 2018, as the headline inflation rate and investors expectations for inflation have already surpassed the Fed s target of 2.0%.

While U.S. monetary policy is seeking to restrain economic growth and inflation, fiscal policy has produced new sources of growth that could nourish the economy for the next few years. Corporate tax cuts and repatriation of capital held abroad could encourage a virtuous cycle of business spending. Lower individual tax rates coupled with the robust job market may refresh consumer spending.

We continue to believe the primary risks to economic expansion are trade protectionism, rapidly rising interest rates, and geopolitical tension. Given the deflationary forces of technology and globalization, a substantial increase in inflation is unlikely to materialize as long as the unemployment rate remains above 3.0%. However, we are closely monitoring trade protectionism and the rise of populism in Western nations. In particular, the outcome of trade negotiations between the United States and China is likely to influence the global growth trajectory and set the tone for free trade in many other nations.

In this environment, investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes, and be nimble as market conditions change. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit **blackrock.com** for further insight about investing in today s markets.

Sincerely,

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Total Returns as of July 31, 2018

· ·	6-month	12-month
U.S. large cap equities	0.70%	16.24%
(S&P 500 [®] Index)		
U.S. small cap equities	6.75	18.73
(Russell 2000® Index)		
International equities	(5.12)	6.40
(MSCI Europe, Australasia,		
Far East Index)		
Emerging market equities	(11.94)	4.36
(MSCI Emerging Markets Index)		
3-month Treasury bills	0.85	1.43
(ICE BofAML 3-Month		
U.S. Treasury Bill Index)		
U.S. Treasury securities	(0.95)	(3.66)
(ICE BofAML 10-Year		
U.S. Treasury Index)		
U.S. investment grade bonds	(0.45)	(0.80)
(Bloomberg Barclays U.S.		
Aggregate Bond Index)		
Tax-exempt municipal bonds	1.20	1.21
(S&P Municipal Bond Index)		
U.S. high yield bonds	0.65	2.60
(Bloomberg Barclays		
U.S. Corporate High Yield		

2% Issuer Capped Index)

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

Table of Contents

	Page
The Markets in Review	2
Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	5
<u>Derivative Financial Instruments</u>	5
<u>Trust Summaries</u>	6
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	16
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	45
Statements of Operations	46
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	47
Statements of Cash Flows	50
Financial Highlights	51
Notes to Financial Statements	56
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	67
<u>Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements</u>	68
Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plans	72
<u>Trustee and Officer Information</u>	73
Additional Information	76
Glossary of Terms Used in this Report	78

Municipal Market Overview For the Reporting Period Ended July 31, 2018

Municipal Market Conditions

Municipal bonds experienced positive performance during the period despite rising interest rates resulting from continued Fed monetary policy normalization, firmer economic data, and the anticipated impacts of fiscal stimulus. Ongoing reassurance from the Fed that rates would be increased gradually and would likely remain low overall resulted in continued demand for fixed income investments. More specifically, investors favored the tax-exempt income, diversification, quality, and value of municipal bonds amid fiscal policy uncertainty, which saw tax reform ultimately lower the top individual tax rate just 2.6% while eliminating deductions and increasing demand for tax shelter. During the 12 months ended July 31, 2018, municipal bond funds experienced net inflows of approximately \$24 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

For the same 12-month period, total new issuance was moderate from a historical perspective at \$370 billion (well below the \$408 billion issued in the prior 12-month period), but displayed significant month to month volatility. Notably, issuance in December posted the highest monthly total on record at \$56 billion, as issuers rushed deals to market ahead of the expected elimination of the tax-exemption for advanced refunding bonds and possibly private activity bonds (PABs). Ultimately, the final version of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act left PABs unchanged, though the elimination of advanced refundings has suppressed supply in 2018, providing a powerful technical tailwind.

S&P Municipal Bond Index Total Returns as of July 31, 2018

6 months: 1.20% 12 months: 1.21%

A Closer Look at Yields

From July 31, 2017 to July 31, 2018, yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds increased by 27 basis points (bps) from 2.74% to 3.01%, while 10-year rates increased by 50 bps from 1.95% to 2.45% and 5-year rates increased by 76 bps from 1.21% to 1.97% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). The municipal yield curve bear flattened over the 12-month period with the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities flattening by 41 bps, however remained a significant 72 bps steeper than the corresponding U.S. Treasury curve.

During the same time period, on a relative basis, tax-exempt municipal bonds strongly outperformed U.S. Treasuries with the greatest outperformance experienced in the front and intermediate portions of the yield curve. The relative positive performance of municipal bonds was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market as investors sought income and incremental yield in an environment where opportunities became increasingly scarce. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise.

Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers

4

The majority of municipal credits remain strong, despite well-publicized problems among a few issuers. Four of the five states with the largest amount of debt outstanding California, New York, Texas and Florida continue to exhibit improved credit fundamentals. However, several states with the largest unfunded pension liabilities are faced with elevated borrowing costs and difficult budgetary decisions. Across the country on the local level, property values support credit stability. Revenue bonds continue to drive performance as investors continue to seek higher yield bonds in the tobacco sector. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will remain minimal and in the periphery while the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to advocate careful credit research and believe that a thoughtful approach to structure and security selection remains imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

The opinions expressed are those of BlackRock as of July 31, 2018, and are subject to change at any time due to changes in market or economic conditions. The comments should not be construed as a recommendation of any individual holdings or market sectors. Investing involves risk including loss of principal. Bond values fluctuate in price so the value of your investment can go down depending on market conditions. Fixed income risks include interest-rate and credit risk. Typically, when interest rates rise, there is a corresponding decline in bond values. Credit risk refers to the possibility that the bond issuer will not be able to make principal and interest payments. There may be less information on the financial condition of municipal issuers than for public corporations. The market for municipal bonds may be less liquid than for taxable bonds. Some investors may be subject to Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT). Capital gains distributions, if any, are taxable.

The Standard & Poor s Municipal Bond Index, a broad, market value-weighted index, seeks to measure the performance of the U.S. municipal bond market. All bonds in the index are exempt from U.S. federal income taxes or subject to the AMT. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

2018 BLACKROCK ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the distribution rate on, and net asset value (NAV) of, their common shares (Common Shares). However, there is no guarantee that these objectives can be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which is based on short-term interest rates, is normally lower than the income earned by a Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Trusts (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Trusts—shareholders benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, a Trust s financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by a Trust with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, a Trust s financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on a Trust s longer-term investments acquired from such leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Trusts—return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders is lower than if the Trusts had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Trusts—portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Trusts—obligations under their respective leverage arrangements generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trusts—NAVs positively or negatively. Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that the Trusts intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

The use of leverage also generally causes greater changes in each Trust s NAV, market price and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the NAV and market price of a Trust s Common Shares than if the Trust were not leveraged. In addition, each Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Trusts to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit a Trust s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. Each Trust incurs expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares. Moreover, to the extent the calculation of the Trusts investment advisory fees includes assets purchased with the proceeds of leverage, the investment advisory fees payable to the Trusts investment adviser will be higher than if the Trusts did not use leverage.

To obtain leverage, each Trust has issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares (VRDP Shares), Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares), Remarketable Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (RVMTP Shares) (collectively, Preferred Shares) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts (TOB)

Trusts) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), each Trust is permitted to issue debt up to 33 1/3% of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Trust may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Trust may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares—governing instruments or by agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

If a Trust segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of a Trust s obligations under the TOB Trust (including accrued interest), then the TOB Trust is not considered a senior security and is not subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements imposed by the 1940 Act.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Trusts may invest in various derivative financial instruments. These instruments are used to obtain exposure to a security, commodity, index, market, and/or other assets without owning or taking physical custody of securities, commodities and/or other referenced assets or to manage market, equity, credit, interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate, commodity and/or other risks. Derivative financial instruments may give rise to a form of economic leverage and involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the instrument. BTT may use economic leverage of up to 100% of its net assets (50% of its total managed assets). The Trusts—successful use of a derivative financial instrument depends on the investment adviser—s ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of these instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment and/or may result in lower distributions paid to shareholders. The Trusts—investments in these instruments, if any, are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

THE BENEFITS AND RISKS OF LEVERAGING

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2018

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust s (BFZ) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal income and California income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal obligations exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and California income taxes. The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations that are investment grade quality, or are considered by the Trust s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	BFZ
Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2018 (\$12.75) ^(a)	4.42%
Tax Equivalent Yield ^(b)	9.63%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ^(c)	\$0.0470
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share(c)	\$0.5640
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2018 ^(d)	41%

- (a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- (b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal and state tax rate of 54.10%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- (c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change. A portion of the distribution may be deemed a return of capital or net realized gain.
- (d) Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended July 31, 2018 were as follows:

	Returns Bas	Returns Based On		
	Market Price	NAV		
$BFZ^{(a)(b)}$	(8.95)%	1.41%		
Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds ^(c)	(6.07)	1.15		

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Trust s discount to NAV widened during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

The U.S. municipal bond market posted a narrow gain in the 12-month period, with the contribution from yield offsetting negative price performance. Although tax-exempt issues sold off sharply in early 2018 due to a spike in U.S. Treasury yields, the market was supported by the improving fundamentals of state and local issuers, as well as low new-issue supply from January onward. Short-term bonds, which have above-average sensitivity to Fed policy, generally lagged longer-term issues.

After a period of outperformance, California municipal bonds trailed the national market over the past 12 months due to the combination of richer valuations, tighter yield spreads, and significant new-issue supply.

Income was the primary driver of the Trust s positive return, as it offset the effects of falling bond prices and the amortization of bond premiums. (When a bond s price trades at a premium over its face value, the difference is amortized over time. A premium occurs when the price of the bond has increased due to a decline in interest rates.)

Holdings that were purchased in a higher-rate environment and that have lower duration characteristics contributed to performance. These positions generate generous income and are less sensitive to the negative effects of rising interest rates. (Duration is a measure of interest rate sensitivity.)

The Trust sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Since Treasury yields rose (as prices fell), this aspect of the Trust spositioning had a positive impact on returns.

The Trust s use of leverage, while amplifying the effect of falling prices, contributed to performance by augmenting portfolio income. However, the cost of leverage increased due to rising short-term rates.

On the negative side, the Trust s positions in shorter-term bonds detracted from performance. Higher-quality investment-grade holdings (those rated AA and AAA), which fared worse than those rated below investment grade, also detracted from performance. Strong inflows into high-yield products fueled greater price appreciation for lower-rated issues. Believing this translated to minimal value in high-yield California municipal bonds, the investment adviser maintained an underweight in this area.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

6

2018 BLACKROCK ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2018 (continued)

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	07/31/18	07/31/17	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 12.75	\$ 14.71	(13.32)%	\$ 14.81	\$12.73
Net Asset Value	14.81	15.34	(3.46)	15.48	14.66

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments

SECTOR ALLOCATION

Sector	07/31/18	07/31/17
County/City/Special District/School District	29%	29%
Transportation	18	13
Education	16	13
Health	12	12
Utilities	12	21
State	9	7
Tobacco	4	5
Housing ^(b)		

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

CALL /MATURITY SCHEDULE (c)

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2018	2%
2019	22
2020	3
2021	9
2022	5

⁽c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

* Excludes short-term securities.

CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION (a)

Credit Rating	07/31/18	07/31/17
AAA/Aaa	7%	9%
AA/Aa	72	71
A	14	13
BBB/Baa	1	(b)
BB/Ba	1	1
В	1	4
N/R	4	2

^(a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

(b) Represents less than 1% of the Trust s total investments.

Trust Summary 7

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2018

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust s (BFO) (the Trust) investment objectives are to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal income tax and Florida intangible personal property tax and to return \$15.00 per common share (the initial offering price per share) to holders of common shares on or about December 31, 2020. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality, or are considered by the Trust s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. The Trust actively manages the maturity of its bonds to seek to have a dollar-weighted average effective maturity approximately equal to the Trust s maturity date. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Effective January 1, 2007, the Florida intangible personal property tax was repealed.

There is no assurance that the Trust will achieve its investment objective of returning \$15.00 per share.

On June 6, 2018, the Board of Trustees approved a proposal, effective December 31, 2018, to change the Trust s fiscal year end from July 31 to December 31.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BFO
Initial Offering Date	September 30, 2003
Termination Date (on or about)	December 31, 2020
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2018 (\$14.21)(a)	2.20%
Tax Equivalent Yield ^(b)	3.72%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share(c)	\$0.0260
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ^(c)	\$0.3120

- (a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- (b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 40.80%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- (c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended July 31, 2018 were as follows:

Returns Based On		
Market Price	NAV	
(3.42)%	(0.02)%	

Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds(c)

(7.04)

0.69%

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Trust moved from neither a premium nor discount to a discount to NAV during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Since the Trust is scheduled to terminate on or about December 31, 2020, its holdings therefore consist of short-term securities with minimal sensitivity to market movements and attractive yields relative to prevailing interest rates. Although short-term bonds faced the headwind of interest-rate increases by the Fed, the Trust was less vulnerable to broader price weakness due to its short duration (lower interest-rate sensitivity).

At a time of falling prices, the income generated by bonds held in the portfolio made the largest contribution to returns.

Although yields rose during the period, reinvestment had an adverse effect on the Trust s income as the proceeds of higher-yielding bonds that matured or were called needed to be reinvested at lower prevailing rates.

Negative amortization of the premium on short-term bonds was a drag on performance. (When a bond s price trades at a premium over its face value, the difference is amortized over time. A premium occurs when the price of the bond has increased due to a decline in interest rates.)

The Trust s largest sector concentrations were in utilities, county/city/special district/school district and healthcare.

Cash equivalents made up nearly 8% of the portfolio at the end of the period, reflecting the limited opportunities to pick up yield by investing in one-and two-year notes. The investment adviser believed yields on the front end of the yield curve were low relative to the more liquid cash equivalents, indicating that investors were not being properly compensated for holding one- and two-year issues relative to cash. This position had no significant impact to Trust performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2018 (continued)

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	07/31/18	07/31/17	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 14.21	\$ 15.05	(5.58)%	\$ 15.18	\$13.46
Net Asset Value	14.71	15.05	(2.26)	15.08	14.66

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments

SECTOR ALLOCATION

Sector	07/31/18	07/31/17
Utilities	22%	16%
County/City/Special District/School District	20	35
Health	19	18
Transportation	16	11
State	11	11
Corporate	7	4
Education	5	5
Housing ^(b)		

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.