WELLCARE HEALTH PLANS, INC.

Form 10-O

November 01, 2013

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT

OF 1934

For the transition period from to Commission file number: 001-32209 WELLCARE HEALTH PLANS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 47-0937650 (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

8725 Henderson Road, Renaissance One

Tampa, Florida

33634

(Zip Code)

(813) 290-6200

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

As of October 30, 2013 there were 43,704,005 shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$.01 per share, outstanding.

WELLCARE HEALTH PLANS, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Part I —	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	<u>2</u>
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (unaudited) Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30,	<u>3</u> <u>5</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	<u>6</u>
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	<u>8</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>31</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>56</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>56</u>
Part II —	- OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	<u>57</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>57</u>
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>70</u>
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	<u>71</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>71</u>
Item 5.	Other Information	<u>71</u>
Item 6.	Exhibits	<u>71</u>
	Signatures	<u>72</u>
	Exhibit Index	<u>73</u>

Part I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

WELLCARE HEALTH PLANS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited, in thousands, except per share and share data)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine M September 30,	onths Ended	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Revenues:					
Premium	\$2,495,559	\$1,816,377	\$7,075,254	\$5,414,131	
Investment and other income	4,851	2,018	13,933	6,772	
Total revenues	2,500,410	1,818,395	7,089,187	5,420,903	
Expenses:					
Medical benefits	2,144,672	1,549,456	6,147,863	4,617,411	
Selling, general and administrative	218,790	176,797	637,590	497,493	
Medicaid premium taxes	16,947	20,581	59,161	61,048	
Depreciation and amortization	11,057	8,193	31,819	22,704	
Interest	2,171	1,016	5,932	3,163	
Total expenses	2,393,637	1,756,043	6,882,365	5,201,819	
Income before income taxes	106,773	62,352	206,822	219,084	
Income tax expense	42,779	24,065	74,410	83,123	
Net income	63,994	38,287	132,412	135,961	
Other comprehensive (loss) income, before tax:					
Change in net unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities	(26)	490	(1,018	1,746	
Income tax (benefit) expense related to other comprehensive (loss) income	(10	182	(377)	646	
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(16)	308	(641)	1,100	
Comprehensive income	\$63,978	\$38,595	\$131,771	\$137,061	
Net income per common share:					
Basic net income per share	\$1.47	\$0.89	\$3.05	\$3.16	
Diluted net income per share	\$1.45	\$0.87	\$3.01	\$3.11	
Weighted average common shares outstanding:					
Basic	43,608,626	43,149,455	43,470,758	43,070,113	
Diluted	44,037,922	43,844,223	43,972,446	43,785,424	

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

WELLCARE HEALTH PLANS, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Assets		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,390,563	\$1,100,495
Investments	317,587	220,344
Premiums receivable, net	449,809	387,294
Pharmacy rebates receivable, net	145,172	126,832
Funds receivable for the benefit of members	119,438	126,646
Income taxes receivable		15,615
Prepaid expenses and other current assets, net	111,594	96,276
Deferred income tax asset	25,058	27,208
Total current assets	2,559,221	2,100,710
Property, equipment and capitalized software, net	144,273	131,518
Goodwill	236,756	223,839
Other intangible assets, net	68,345	53,028
Long-term investments	86,994	96,700
Restricted investments	82,326	67,364
Other assets	2,637	2,357
Total Assets	\$3,180,552	\$2,675,516
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current Liabilities:		
Medical benefits payable	\$964,844	\$732,994
Unearned premiums	178	146
Accounts payable	16,441	18,582
Income taxes payable	27,484	_
Other accrued expenses and liabilities	168,357	221,055
Current portion of amount payable related to investigation resolution	35,958	37,305
Current portion of long-term debt	39,875	15,000
Other payables to government partners	65,417	88,344
Total current liabilities	1,318,554	1,113,426
Deferred income tax liability	50,264	42,058
Amount payable related to investigation resolution	33,828	68,171
Long-term debt	296,625	120,000
Other liabilities	6,678	8,697
Total liabilities	1,705,949	1,352,352
Commitments and contingencies (see Note 11)	_	_

WELLCARE HEALTH PLANS, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited, in thousands, except share data) - Continued

September 30,	December 31	,
2013	2012	
_	_	
437	432	
489,097	469,434	
986,498	854,086	
(1,429)	(788)
1,474,603	1,323,164	
\$3,180,552	\$2,675,516	
	2013 — 437 489,097 986,498 (1,429 1,474,603	2013 2012 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

WELLCARE HEALTH PLANS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

	Common Sto		Paid in	Retained	Accumulated Other	Total Stockholders'
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Earnings	Comprehensive Loss	Equity
Balance at January 1, 2013	43,212,375	\$432	\$469,434	\$854,086	\$ (788)	\$1,323,164
Common stock issued for exercised stock options	323,839	3	8,611	_	_	8,614
Repurchase and retirement of shares to satisfy tax withholding requirements	_	_	(4,069)	_	_	(4,069)
Common stock issued for vested restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance stock units	162,891	2	(2)	_	_	_
Equity-based compensation expense, net of forfeitures	_	_	12,438	_	_	12,438
Incremental tax benefit from equity-based compensation	_	_	2,685	_	_	2,685
Comprehensive income (loss) Balance at September 30, 2013	— 3 43,699,105	 \$437	 \$489,097	132,412 \$986,498	(641) \$ (1,429)	131,771 \$1,474,603

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

WELLCARE HEALTH PLANS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited, in thousands)

	For the Nine September 30	Months Ended	
	2013	2012	
Cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Net income	\$132,412	\$135,961	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating			
activities:	21.010	22.704	
Depreciation and amortization	31,819	22,704	
Equity-based compensation expense	12,438	13,534	
Loss on disposal of fixed assets, including asset impairment charges	9,036	164	`
Incremental tax benefit from equity-based compensation	(2,998)
Deferred taxes, net	9,142	15,296	
Provision for doubtful receivables	7,023	10,272	
Changes in operating accounts, net of effects from acquisitions:	(22.017	\ (104.622	,
Premiums receivable, net	(32,917) (184,632)
Pharmacy rebates receivable, net	(18,340) (12,046)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets, net	(10,652) (6,162)
Medical benefits payable	160,415)
Unearned premiums	32	(23)
Accounts payable and other accrued expenses	(54,980)
Other payables to government partners	(22,927) 20,172	
Amount payable related to investigation resolution	(35,690)
Income taxes receivable/payable, net	45,784)
Other, net	107	2,454	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	229,704	(134,394)
Cash used in investing activities:			
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(40,493) —	
Purchases of investments	(354,565) (357,214)
Proceeds from sale and maturities of investments	304,002	342,963	
Purchases of restricted investments	(41,714) (30,973)
Proceeds from maturities of restricted investments	28,391	24,821	
Additions to property, equipment and capitalized software, net	(48,952) (47,665)
Net cash used in investing activities	(153,331) (68,068)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities:			
Proceeds from debt, net of financing costs paid	228,513	(585)
Proceeds from exercises of stock options	8,614	9,227	
Incremental tax benefit from equity-based compensation	2,998	3,666	
Repurchase and retirement of shares to satisfy tax withholding requirements	(4,069) (6,344)
Payments on debt	(28,500) (7,500)
Payments on capital leases	(1,069) (1,538)
Funds received (paid) for the benefit of members, net	7,208	(57,222)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	213,695	(60,296)

WELLCARE HEALTH PLANS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited, in thousands) - Continued

	For the Nine N		
	September 30,		
	2013	2012	
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	290,068	(262,758)
Balance at beginning of period	1,100,495	1,325,098	
Balance at end of period	\$1,390,563	\$1,062,340	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid for taxes	\$22,316	\$100,010	
Cash paid for interest	\$5,291	\$2,707	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS:			
Non-cash additions to property, equipment, and capitalized software	\$2,384	\$1,898	

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

WELLCARE HEALTH PLANS, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited, in thousands, except member, per share and share data)

1. ORGANIZATION, BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

WellCare Health Plans, Inc. (the "Company," "we," "us," or "our"), provides managed care services exclusively to government-sponsored health care programs. The Company was formed as a Delaware limited liability company in May 2002 to acquire our Florida, New York and Connecticut health plans. We completed the acquisition of the health plans through two concurrent transactions in July 2002. In July 2004, immediately prior to the closing of our initial public offering, we merged the limited liability company into a Delaware corporation and changed our name to WellCare Health Plans, Inc.

As of September 30, 2013, we served approximately 2,824,000 members. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we operated Medicaid health plans in Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Kentucky, Missouri, New York, Ohio and South Carolina. In connection with our acquisitions of Medicaid plans in South Carolina and Missouri (see Note 2), our Medicaid operations in those states began in February 2013 and April 2013, respectively.

Our previous Medicaid contract in Missouri, which expired on June 30, 2012, was not renewed. Our previous Missouri Medicaid contract accounted for approximately \$20,000, or less than 1%, of our consolidated premium revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Our Medicaid contract in Ohio expired on June 30, 2012. We were not awarded a Medicaid contract in Ohio for the 2013 fiscal year; however, the state contracted with us to provide services to Ohio Medicaid beneficiaries through the transition period, which ended June 30, 2013. As of July 1, 2013, we no longer provided Medicaid services in Ohio. The Ohio Medicaid contract accounted for approximately \$127,000, or 1.8%, of our consolidated premium revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, and approximately \$68,000 and \$198,000, or 3.8% and 3.7%, respectively, of our consolidated premium revenue for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012.

As of September 30, 2013, we also operated Medicare Advantage ("MA") coordinated care plans ("CCPs") in Arizona, California, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio and Texas, as well as a stand-alone Medicare prescription drug plan ("PDP") in 49 states and the District of Columbia. In connection with our acquisitions of MA plans in California and Arizona during the fourth quarter of 2012, our MA operations in those states began in November 2012 and January 2013, respectively.

Pending Acquisitions

In September 2013, we announced that we had entered into an agreement to acquire Windsor Health Group, Inc. ("Windsor") from Munich Health North America, Inc., a part of Munich Re. Through its subsidiaries, Windsor serves Medicare beneficiaries with Medicare Advantage plans, Medicare prescription drug plan and Medicare Supplement products. Windsor offers Medicare Advantage plans in 297 counties primarily in the states of Mississippi, Tennessee, Arkansas, and South Carolina. Windsor has been approved to offer MA plans in 192 counties for 2014. In addition, one of Windsor's subsidiaries offers Medicare Supplement insurance policies through which it serves over 50,000 members in 40 states. The acquisition is expected to close during December 2013 or January 2014, subject to customary regulatory approvals.

In September 2013, we also entered into an agreement to acquire certain assets of Healthfirst Health Plan of New Jersey, Inc. ("Healthfirst NJ"). As of September 2013, Healthfirst NJ serves approximately 47,000 Medicaid members in 12 counties in the state. The acquisition is expected to close during the first quarter of 2014, subject to customary

regulatory approvals. Upon closure of the transaction, we will acquire Healthfirst NJ's membership and substantially all of its provider network.

Basis of Presentation and Use of Estimates

The accompanying unaudited consolidated balance sheets and statements of comprehensive income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows include the accounts of the Company and all of its majority-owned subsidiaries. We eliminated all intercompany accounts and transactions.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted. The accompanying unaudited consolidated interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in February 2013. Results for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the entire year or any other interim period.

In the opinion of management, the interim financial statements reflect all normal recurring adjustments that we consider necessary for the fair presentation of our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented. In accordance with GAAP, we make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated interim financial statements and accompanying notes. We base these estimates on our knowledge of current events and anticipated future events and evaluate and update our assumptions and estimates on an ongoing basis; however, actual results may differ from our estimates. We evaluated all material events subsequent to the date of these consolidated interim financial statements.

Significant Accounting Policies

Revenue Recognition

We earn premium revenue through our participation in Medicaid, Medicaid-related and Medicare programs.

State governments individually operate and implement and, together with the federal government's Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services ("CMS"), fund and regulate the Medicaid program. We provide benefits to low-income and disabled persons under the Medicaid program and are paid premiums based on contracts with government agencies in the states in which we operate health plans. Our Medicaid contracts are generally multi-year contracts subject to annual renewal provisions. Rate changes are typically made at the commencement of each new contract renewal period. In some instances, our fixed Medicaid premiums are subject to risk score adjustments based on the acuity of our membership. State agencies analyze encounter submissions of processed claims data to determine the acuity of our membership relative to the entire state's Medicaid membership.

We operate our MA plans under the Medicare Part C program and provide our eligible members with benefits comparable to those available under Medicare Parts A and B. Most of our MA plans and all of our PDP plans offer prescription drug benefits to eligible members under the Medicare Part D program. Premiums for each MA member are based on our annual bids, although the rates vary according to a combination of factors, including upper payment limits established by CMS, the member's geographic location, age, gender, medical history or condition, or the services rendered to the member. Our MA contracts with CMS generally have terms of one year and expire at the end of each calendar year. PDP premiums are also based upon a contract with CMS that has a term of one year and expires at the end of each calendar year. We provide annual written bids to CMS for our PDP plans, which reflect the estimated costs of providing prescription drug benefits over the plan year. Changes in MA and PDP members' health status also impact monthly premiums as described under "Risk-Adjusted Medicare Premiums" below. CMS pays all premium for Medicare Part C and substantially all of the premium for Medicare Part D coverage. We bill the remaining Medicare Part D premium to PDP and MA members with Part D benefits based on the plan year bid submitted to CMS. For qualifying low-income subsidy ("LIS") members, CMS pays for some or all of the LIS members' monthly premium. The CMS payment is dependent upon the member's income level as determined by the Social Security Administration.

We receive premiums from CMS and state agencies on a per member per month ("PMPM") basis for the members that are assigned to, or have selected, us to provide health care services under our Medicare and Medicaid contracts. We recognize premium revenue in the period in which we are obligated to provide services to our members. CMS and state agencies generally pay us in the month in which we provide services. We record premiums earned but not received as premiums receivable and record premiums received in advance of the period of service as unearned premiums in the consolidated balance sheets. Unearned premiums are recognized as revenue when we provide the related services. On a monthly basis, we bill members for any premiums for which they are responsible according to their respective plan. Member premiums are recognized as revenue in the period of service. We reduce recorded premium revenue and member premiums receivable by the amount we estimate may not be collectible, based on our evaluation of historical trends. We also routinely monitor the collectability of specific premiums receivable from CMS and state agencies, including Medicaid receivables for obstetric deliveries and newborns and net receivables for member retroactivity and reduce revenue and premiums receivable by the amount we estimate may not be collectible. We reported premiums receivable, net of an allowance for uncollectible premiums receivable, of \$16,725 and \$14,843, at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Historically, the allowance for member premiums receivable has not been material relative to consolidated premium revenue.

We record retroactive adjustments to revenues based on changes in the number and eligibility status of our members subsequent to when we recorded revenue related to those members and months of service. We receive premium payments based upon eligibility lists produced by CMS and state agencies. We verify these lists to determine whether we have been paid for the correct premium category and program. From time to time, CMS and state agencies require us to reimburse them for premiums that we received for individuals who were subsequently determined by us, or by CMS or state agencies, to be ineligible for any government-sponsored program or to belong to a plan other than ours. We receive additional premiums from CMS and state agencies for individuals who were subsequently determined to belong to our plan for periods in which we received no premium for those members. We estimate the amount of outstanding retroactivity adjustments and adjust premium revenue based on historical trends, premiums billed, the volume of member and contract renewal activity and other information. We record amounts receivable or payable in premiums receivable, net and other accrued expenses and liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

Supplemental Medicaid Premiums

We earn, or earned, supplemental premium payments for eligible obstetric deliveries and newborns of our Medicaid members in Georgia, Illinois, Kentucky, Missouri, New York, South Carolina and, until June 30, 2013, in Ohio. Each state Medicaid contract specifies how and when these supplemental payments are earned and paid. Upon delivery of a newborn, we notify the state agency according to the contract terms. We also earn supplemental Medicaid premium payments in some states for high cost drugs and certain services such as early childhood prevention screenings. We recognize supplemental premium revenue in the period we provide related services to our members.

Risk-Adjusted Medicare Premiums

CMS employs a risk-adjustment model to determine the premium amount it pays for each MA and PDP member. This model apportions premiums paid to all plans according to the health status of each beneficiary enrolled, resulting in higher scores for members with predictably higher costs. The model uses diagnosis data from inpatient and ambulatory treatment settings to calculate each risk score. We collect claims and encounter data for our MA members and submit the necessary diagnosis data to CMS within prescribed deadlines. After reviewing the respective submissions, CMS establishes the premium payments to MA plans at the beginning of the plan year, and then adjusts premium levels on a retroactive basis. The first retroactive adjustment for a given plan year generally occurs during the third quarter of that year and represents the update of risk scores for the current plan year based on the severity of claims incurred in the prior plan year. CMS then issues a final retroactive risk-adjusted premium settlement for that plan year in the following year.

We develop our estimates for risk-adjusted premiums utilizing historical experience and predictive models as sufficient member risk score data becomes available over the course of each CMS plan year. We populate our models with available risk score data on our members and base risk premium adjustments on risk score data from the previous year. We are not privy to risk score data for members new to our plans in the current plan year; therefore we include assumptions regarding these members' risk scores. We periodically revise our estimates of risk-adjusted premiums as additional diagnosis code information is reported to CMS and adjust our estimates to actual amounts when the ultimate adjustment settlements are either received from CMS or we receive notification from CMS of such settlement amounts. As a result of the variability of factors that determine our estimates for risk-adjusted premiums, the actual amount of the CMS retroactive payment could be materially more or less than our estimates and could have a material effect on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows. We record any changes in estimates in current operations as adjustments to premium revenue. Historically, we have not experienced significant differences between our estimates and amounts ultimately received. However, in the three months ended September 30, 2013, we recognized risk adjusted premium received as part of the 2012 final settlement that was higher than our original estimates, mainly related to members in our California MA plan that were new to Medicare in 2012. Additionally, the data provided to CMS to determine members' risk scores is subject to audit by CMS even after the annual settlements occur. An audit may result in the refund of premiums to CMS. While our experience to date has not resulted in a material refund, future refunds could materially reduce premium revenue in the year in which CMS determines a refund is required and could be material to our results of operations, financial position and cash flows. Premiums receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets include MA risk-adjusted premiums receivable of \$76,624 and \$74,767, and PDP risk-adjusted premiums receivable of \$2,896 and \$4,813, as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Minimum Medical Expense and Risk Corridor Provisions

We may be required to refund certain premium revenue to CMS and state government agencies under various contractual and plan arrangements. We estimate the impact of the following arrangements on a monthly basis and reflect any adjustments to premium revenues in current operations. We report the estimated net amounts due to CMS and state agencies in other payables to government partners in the consolidated balance sheets.

Certain of our Florida Medicaid contracts and our Illinois Medicaid contract require us to expend a minimum percentage of premiums on eligible medical benefits expense. To the extent that we expend less than the minimum percentage of the premiums on eligible medical benefits expense, we are required to refund to the state all or some portion of the difference between the minimum and our actual allowable medical benefits expense. We estimate the amounts due to the state agencies as a return of premium based on the terms of our contracts with the applicable state agency.

Our MA and PDP prescription drug plan premiums are subject to risk sharing through the CMS Medicare Part D risk corridor provisions. The risk corridor calculation compares our actual experience to the target amount of prescription drug costs, limited to costs under the standard coverage as defined by CMS, less rebates included in our submitted plan year bid. We receive additional premium from CMS if our actual experience is more than 5% above the target amount. We refund premiums to CMS if our actual experience is more than 5% below the target amount. After the close of the annual plan year, CMS performs the risk corridor calculation and any differences are settled between CMS and our plans. We have not historically experienced material differences between the subsequent CMS settlement amount and our estimates.

Medicare Part D Settlements

We receive certain Part D prospective subsidy payments from CMS for our MA and PDP members based on the estimated costs of providing prescription drug benefits over the plan year. After the close of the annual plan year, CMS reconciles our actual experience to the prospective payments we received and any differences are settled

between CMS and our plans. As such, these subsidies represent funding from CMS for which we assume no risk. We do not recognize the receipt of these subsidies as premium revenue and we do not recognize the payments of related prescription drug benefits as medical benefits expense. We report the subsidies received and benefits paid on a net basis as funds receivable (held) for the benefit of members in the consolidated balance sheets. We also report the net receipts and payments as a financing activity in our consolidated statements of cash flows. CMS pays the following subsidies prospectively as a fixed PMPM amount based upon the plan year bid submitted by us:

Low-Income Cost Sharing Subsidy—CMS reimburses us for all or a portion of qualifying LIS members' deductible, coinsurance and co-payment amounts above the out-of-pocket threshold.

Catastrophic Reinsurance Subsidy—CMS reimburses us for 80% of the drug costs after a member reaches his or her out-of-pocket catastrophic threshold through a catastrophic reinsurance subsidy.

Coverage Gap Discount Subsidy—We advance the pharmaceutical manufacturers gap coverage discounts at the point of sale. On a periodic basis, CMS bills pharmaceutical manufacturers for discounts advanced by us. Pharmaceutical manufacturers remit payments for invoiced amounts directly to us. CMS reduces subsequent prospective payments made to us by the discount amounts billed to manufacturers.

CMS generally performs the Part D payment reconciliation in the fourth quarter of the following plan year based on prescription drug event data we submit to CMS within prescribed deadlines. After the Part D payment reconciliation for coverage gap discount subsidies, we may continue to report discounts to CMS for 37 months following the end of the plan year. CMS will invoice manufacturers for these discounts and we will be paid through the quarterly manufacturer payments. Historically, we have not experienced material adjustments related to the CMS annual reconciliation of prior plan year low-income cost sharing, catastrophic reinsurance, and coverage gap discount subsidies.

Medical Benefits and Medical Benefits Payable

We recognize the cost of medical benefits in the period in which services are provided, including an estimate of the cost of medical benefits incurred but not reported ("IBNR"). Medical benefits expense includes direct medical expenses and certain medically-related administrative costs.

Direct medical expenses include amounts paid or payable to hospitals, physicians and providers of ancillary services, such as laboratories and pharmacies. We also record direct medical expenses for estimated referral claims related to health care providers under contract with us who are financially troubled or insolvent and who may not be able to honor their obligations for the costs of medical services provided by others. In these instances, we may be required to honor these obligations for legal or business reasons. Based on our current assessment of providers under contract with us, such losses have not been and are not expected to be significant. We record direct medical expense for our estimates of provider settlement due to clarification of contract terms, out-of-network reimbursement, claims payment differences and amounts due to contracted providers under risk-sharing arrangements. We estimate pharmacy rebates earned based on historical utilization of specific pharmaceuticals, current utilization and contract terms and record amounts as a reduction of recorded direct medical expenses.

Consistent with the criteria specified and defined in guidance issued by the Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS") for costs that qualify to be reported as medical benefits under the minimum medical loss ratio provision of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (collectively, the "Affordable Care Act"), we record certain medically-related administrative costs such as preventive health and wellness, care management, and other quality improvement costs, as medical benefits expense. All other medically-related administrative costs, such as utilization review services, network and provider credentialing and claims handling costs, are recorded in selling, general, and administrative expense.

Medical benefits payable represents amounts for claims fully adjudicated but not yet paid and estimates for IBNR. Our estimate of IBNR is the most significant estimate included in our consolidated financial statements. We determine our best estimate of the base liability for IBNR utilizing consistent standard actuarial methodologies based upon key assumptions which vary by business segment. Our assumptions include current payment experience, trend factors and completion factors. Trend factors in our standard actuarial methodologies include contractual requirements, historic utilization trends, the interval between the date services are rendered and the date claims are paid, denied claims activity, disputed claims activity, benefit changes, expected health care cost inflation, seasonality patterns, maturity of lines of business, changes in membership and other factors.

After determining an estimate of the base liability for IBNR, we make an additional estimate, also using standard actuarial techniques, to account for adverse conditions that may cause actual claims to be higher than the estimated base reserve. We refer to this additional liability as the provision for moderately adverse conditions. Our estimate of

the provision for moderately adverse conditions captures the potential adverse development from factors such as:

our entry into new geographical markets;

- our provision of services to new populations such as the aged, blind and disabled;
- variations in utilization of benefits and increasing medical costs;
- changes in provider reimbursement arrangements;
- variations in claims processing speed and patterns, claims payment and the severity of claims; and
- health epidemics or outbreaks of disease such as the flu.

We consider the base actuarial model liability and the provision for moderately adverse conditions as part of our overall assessment of our IBNR estimate to properly reflect the complexity of our business, the number of states in which we operate, and the need to account for different health care benefit packages among those states. We evaluate our estimates of medical benefits payable as we obtain more complete claims information and medical expense trend data over time. Volatility in members' needs for medical services, provider claims submissions and our payment processes result in identifiable patterns emerging several months after the causes of deviations from our assumed trends occur. Changes in our estimates of medical benefits payable cannot typically be explained by any single factor, but are the result of a number of interrelated variables, all of which influence the resulting medical cost trend. We record differences between actual experience and estimates used to establish the liability, which we refer to as favorable and unfavorable prior period developments, as increases or decreases to medical benefits expense in the period we identify the differences.

Net unfavorable development related to prior periods amounted to \$47,513 for the three months ended September 30, 2013, which includes \$16,266 of unfavorable development related to prior fiscal years and \$31,247 of unfavorable development related to the first half of 2013. Net unfavorable development related to prior periods amounted to \$15,377 for the three months ended September 30, 2012, which includes approximately \$23,295 of unfavorable development related to the first half of 2012, partially offset by \$7,918 of favorable development related to prior fiscal years. The net unfavorable development recognized in the three month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was due mainly to higher than projected medical costs in our Medicaid segment. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, net unfavorable development related to prior fiscal years amounted to approximately \$7,115, while for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 net favorable development related to prior fiscal years amounted to approximately \$79,708. The net unfavorable development recognized in the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 was due to the 2012 medical cost trend emerging unfavorably compared to our previous estimates, mostly in our Medicare segment. The net favorable development recognized in the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 was due to the 2011 medical cost trend emerging favorably compared to our previous estimates, mostly in our Medicaid segment and to a lesser extent in our MA and PDP segments.

Reinsurance

We cede certain premiums and medical benefits to other insurance companies under various reinsurance agreements in order to increase our capacity to write larger risks and maintain our exposure to loss within our capital resources. We are contingently liable in the event the reinsurance companies do not meet their contractual obligations. We evaluate the financial condition of the reinsurance companies on a regular basis and only contract with well-known, well-established reinsurance companies that are supported by strong financial ratings. We account for reinsurance premiums and medical expense recoveries according to the terms of the underlying reinsurance contracts.

Equity-Based Employee Compensation

During the second quarter of 2013, our stockholders approved the WellCare Health Plans, Inc. 2013 Incentive Compensation Plan (the "2013 Plan"). Upon approval of the 2013 Plan, a total of 2,500,000 shares of our common stock were available for issuance pursuant to the 2013 Plan, minus any shares subject to outstanding awards granted on or after January 1, 2013 under our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan ("the Prior Plan"). In addition, shares subject to awards forfeited under the Prior Plan will become available for issuance under the 2013 Plan. No further awards are

permitted to be granted under our Prior Plan. The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors (the "Compensation Committee") awards certain equity-based compensation under our stock plans, including stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units ("RSUs"), performance stock units ("PSUs") and market stock units ("MSUs"). We estimate equity-based compensation expense based on awards ultimately expected to vest. We make assumptions of forfeiture rates at the time of grant and continuously reassess our assumptions based on actual forfeiture experience.

We estimate compensation cost for stock options, restricted stock, RSUs and MSUs based on the fair value at the time of grant and recognize expense over the vesting period of the award. For stock options, the grant date fair value is measured using the Black-Scholes options-pricing model. For restricted stock and RSUs, the grant date fair value is based on the closing price of our common stock on the grant date. For MSUs, the fair value at the grant date is measured using a Monte Carlo simulation approach which estimates the fair value of awards based on randomly generated simulated stock-price paths through a lattice-type structure. MSUs expected to vest are recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, which is generally three years. The number of shares of common stock earned upon vesting is determined based on the ratio of the Company's common stock price during the last 30 days market trading days of the calendar year immediately preceding the vesting date to the comparable common stock price as of the grant date, applied to the base units granted. The performance ratio is capped at 150% or 200%, depending on the grant date. If our common stock price declines by more than 50% over the performance period, no shares are earned by the recipient.

At its sole discretion, the Compensation Committee sets certain financial and quality-based performance goals and a target award amount for each award of PSUs. PSUs generally cliff-vest three years from the grant date based on the achievement of the performance goals and conditioned on the employee's continued service through the vesting date. The actual number of common stock shares earned upon vesting will range from zero shares up to 150% or 200% of the target award, depending on the award date. PSUs do not have a grant date or grant fair value for accounting purposes as the subjective nature of the terms of the PSUs precludes a mutual understanding of the key terms and conditions. We recognize expense for PSUs ultimately expected to vest over the requisite service period based on our estimates of progress made towards the achievement of the predetermined performance measures and changes in the market price of our common stock.

Medicaid Premium Taxes

Premium rates established in the Medicaid contracts with Georgia, Hawaii and New York, and, until June 30, 2013, Ohio, include, or included, an assessment or tax on Medicaid premiums. We recognize the premium tax assessment as expense in the period we earn the related premium revenue and remit the taxes back to the state agencies on a periodic basis.

Property, Equipment and Capitalized Software, net

Property, equipment and capitalized software are stated at historical cost, net of accumulated depreciation. We capitalize certain costs incurred in the development of internal-use software, including external direct costs of materials and services and payroll costs of employees devoted to specific software development. We expense other software development costs, such as training and data conversion costs, as incurred. We capitalize the costs of improvements that extend the useful lives of the related assets.

We record depreciation expense using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, which ranges from three to ten years for leasehold improvements, five for furniture and equipment, and three to five years for computer equipment and software. We include amortization of equipment under capital leases in depreciation expense. We record maintenance and repair costs as selling, general and administrative expense when incurred.

On an ongoing basis, we review events or changes in circumstances that may indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. If the carrying value of an asset exceeds the sum of estimated undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss in the current period for the difference between estimated fair value and carrying value. If assets are determined to be recoverable but the useful lives are shorter than we originally estimated, we depreciate the remaining net book value of the asset over the newly determined remaining useful lives. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we determined that we would be discontinuing certain projects going

forward and, as a result, the software and development costs acquired to support these projects would not be fully recoverable. In accordance with the guidance for the impairment of long-lived assets, we evaluated these assets for recovery and recorded a pre-tax asset impairment charge of \$8,997, which is included in selling, general and administrative expenses in our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost over the fair market value of net assets acquired and is attributable to our Medicare Advantage and Medicaid reporting segments. Other intangible assets include provider networks, broker networks, trademarks, state contracts, non-compete agreements, licenses and permits. We amortize other intangible assets over their estimated useful lives ranging from approximately one to 15 years. These assets are allocated to reporting segments for impairment testing purposes.

We review goodwill and intangible assets for impairment at least annually, or more frequently if events or changes in our business climate occur that may potentially affect the estimated useful life or the recoverability of the remaining balance of goodwill or intangible assets. Such events or changes in circumstances would include significant changes in membership, state funding, federal and state government contracts and provider networks. To determine whether goodwill is impaired, we perform a multi-step impairment test. First, we can elect to perform a qualitative assessment of each reporting unit to determine whether facts and circumstances support a determination that their fair values are greater than their carrying values. If the qualitative analysis is not conclusive, or if we elect to proceed directly with quantitative testing, we will then measure the fair values of the reporting units using a two-step approach. In the first step, we determine the fair value of the reporting unit using both income and market approaches. We calculate fair value based on our assumptions of key factors such as projected revenues and the discount factor. While we believe these assumptions and estimates are appropriate, other assumptions and estimates could be applied and may produce significantly different results. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying value, we measure and record the amount of the goodwill impairment, if any, by comparing the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill to the carrying value. We perform our annual goodwill impairment test based on our financial position and results of operations through the second quarter of each year, which generally coincides with the finalization of federal and state contract negotiations and our initial budgeting process.

We elected to bypass the optional qualitative fair value assessment and conducted our annual quantitative test for goodwill impairment during the third quarter of 2013. Based on the results of our quantitative test, we determined that the fair values of our reporting units exceeded their carrying values and therefore no impairment charges were recorded during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Income Taxes

We record income tax expense as incurred based on enacted tax rates, estimates of book-to-tax differences in income, and projections of income that will be earned in each taxing jurisdiction. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the estimated future tax consequences of differences between the carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. We measure deferred tax assets and liabilities using tax rates applicable to taxable income in the years in which we expect to recover or settle those temporary differences. We record a valuation allowance on deferred taxes if we determine it is more likely than not that we will not fully realize the future benefit of deferred tax assets. We file tax returns after the close of our fiscal year end and adjust our estimated tax receivable or liability to the actual tax receivable or due per the filed state and federal tax returns. Historically, we have not experienced significant differences between our estimates of income tax expense and actual amounts incurred.

State and federal taxing authorities may challenge the positions we take on our filed tax returns. We evaluate our tax positions and only recognize a tax benefit if it is more likely than not that a tax audit will sustain our conclusion. Based on our evaluation of tax positions, we believe that potential tax exposures have been recorded appropriately. State and federal taxing authorities may propose additional tax assessments based on periodic audits of our tax returns. We believe our tax positions comply with applicable tax law in all material aspects and we will vigorously defend our positions on audit. The ultimate resolution of these audits may materially impact our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We have not experienced material adjustments to our consolidated financial statements as a result of these audits.

We participate in the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") Compliance Assurance Process ("CAP"). The objective of CAP is to reduce taxpayer burden and uncertainty by working with the IRS to ensure tax return accuracy prior to filing, thereby reducing or eliminating the need for post-filing examinations.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities" and in January 2013 issued ASU 2013-01, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities," which limits the scope of the new offsetting disclosure requirements. This amended guidance requires an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. We adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2013. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, "Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment," which allows an entity to assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform a quantitative impairment test. An entity would not be required to calculate the fair value of indefinite-lived intangible assets unless the entity determines, based on qualitative assessment, that it is not more likely than not, the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. We adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2013. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income," which requires preparers to report information about reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"). The guidance also requires companies to report changes in AOCI balances. We adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2013. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, "Incomes Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists." This update addresses the diversity in practice regarding financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The guidance requires an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion thereof, to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. To the extent the deferred tax asset is not available at the reporting date to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position; the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with the deferred tax asset. The amendments in this standard are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013, with early adoption permitted. We do not believe the adoption of this standard will have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-04, "Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date." This update provides guidance for the recognition, measurement and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of the guidance is fixed at the reporting date. The guidance in this update also requires the entity to disclose the nature and amount of the obligation, as well as other information about such obligations. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2013, with early adoption permitted. We will adopt this guidance effective January 1, 2014. We do not believe the adoption of this standard will have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In July 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-06, "Other Expenses – Fees Paid to the Federal Government by Health Insurers." This update addresses accounting for the annual fees mandated by the Affordable Care Act. The Affordable Care Act imposes an annual fee on health insurers, payable to the U.S. government, calculated on net premiums and third-party administrative agreement fees. The updated standard requires that the liability for the fee be estimated and accrued in full once the entity provides qualifying health insurance in the applicable calendar year in which the fee is payable with a corresponding deferred cost that is amortized to expense. The fees are initiated for calendar years beginning January 1, 2014, and the amendments provided by this update become effective for calendar years beginning after December 31, 2013. We are unable to estimate the magnitude of this fee on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows at this time.

2. ACQUISITIONS

WellCare of South Carolina

On January 31, 2013, we acquired all outstanding stock of WellCare of South Carolina, Inc. ("WCSC"), formerly UnitedHealthcare of South Carolina, Inc., a South Carolina Medicaid subsidiary of UnitedHealth Group Incorporated. WCSC participates in the South Carolina Healthy Connections Choices program in 39 of the state's 46 counties. As of September 30, 2013, WCSC membership approximated 50,000. We included the results of WCSC's operations from the date of acquisition in our consolidated financial statements.

During the second quarter of 2013, we recorded \$2,020 of purchase accounting adjustments related to the WCSC acquisition, including a \$1,774 receivable to the Company from the seller which is included in prepaid expenses and other current assets, net, in our Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2013. These adjustments were offset to goodwill. We have not finalized the accounting for this acquisition and are in the process of validating the fair values of net tangible assets acquired and obtaining third-party valuations of intangible assets. As such, the preliminary measurements of net assets acquired, intangible assets and goodwill are subject to change.

The following table summarizes the preliminary estimated fair values of tangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date.

1				
Cash and cash equivalents				
Investments	37,949			
Premiums receivable, net	2,857			
Other assets	2,398			
Total assets acquired	54,744			
Medical benefits payable	(28,375)		
Accrued expenses and other payables	(716)		
Total liabilities assumed	(29,091)		
Fair value of net tangible assets acquired	\$25,653			

In connection with the WCSC acquisition, we recorded \$9,510 for the preliminary valuation of identified intangible assets, including state contracts of \$8,700 (10-year useful life) and provider networks of \$810 (15-year useful life). We valued the intangible assets using a discounted future cash flow analysis based on our consideration of historical financial results and expected industry and market trends. We discounted the future cash flows by a weighted-average cost of capital based on an analysis of the cost of capital for guideline companies within our industry. We amortize the intangible assets on a straight-line basis over the period we expect these assets to contribute directly or indirectly to the future cash flows. The weighted average amortization period for these intangibles was 10.4 years.

We recorded \$12,576 for the preliminary valuation of goodwill, assigned to our Medicaid segment, for the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets acquired. The recorded goodwill and other intangible assets related to the WCSC acquisition are deductible for tax purposes.

Missouri Care

On March 31, 2013, we acquired all outstanding stock of Missouri Care, Incorporated, a subsidiary of Aetna Inc. ("Missouri Care"), which participates in the Missouri HealthNet Medicaid program. We began serving Missouri Care members effective April 1, 2013. As of September 30, 2013, Missouri Care membership approximated 106,000.

We have not finalized the accounting for our acquisition of Missouri Care. We are in the process of validating the fair values of net tangible assets acquired and obtaining third-party valuations of intangible assets. As such, the preliminary measurements of net assets acquired, intangible assets and goodwill are subject to change.

The following table summarizes the preliminary estimated fair values of tangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date.

Cash and cash equivalents	\$17,823	
Premiums receivable, net	33,914	
Other assets	1,603	
Total assets acquired	53,340	
Medical benefits payable	(43,060)
Other accrued liabilities	(21)
Total liabilities assumed	(43,081)
Fair value of net tangible assets acquired	\$10,259	

In connection with the Missouri Care acquisition, we recorded \$7,060 for the preliminary valuation of identified intangible assets. Those definite-lived intangible assets include state contracts of \$4,800 (10-year useful life), provider networks of \$1,300 (15-year useful life) and trademarks of \$960 (15-year useful life). We valued the intangible assets using a discounted future cash flow analysis based on our consideration of historical financial results and expected industry and market trends. We discounted the future cash flows by a weighted-average cost of capital based on an analysis of the cost of capital for guideline companies within our industry. We amortize the intangible assets on a straight-line basis over the period we expect these assets to contribute directly or indirectly to the future cash flows. The weighted average amortization period for these intangibles was 11.6 years.

We recorded \$3,024 for the preliminary valuation of goodwill, assigned to our Medicaid segment, for the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets acquired. The recorded goodwill and other intangible assets related to the Missouri Care acquisition are deductible for tax purposes.

3. SEGMENT REPORTING

On a regular basis, we evaluate discrete financial information and assess the performance of our three reportable segments, Medicaid, MA and PDP, to determine the most appropriate use and allocation of Company resources.

Medicaid

Our Medicaid segment includes plans for beneficiaries of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families ("TANF"), Supplemental Security Income ("SSI"), Aged Blind and Disabled ("ABD") and other state-based programs that are not part of the Medicaid program, such as Children's Health Insurance Program ("CHIP"), Family Health Plus ("FHP"), and Managed Long-Term Care ("MLTC") programs. TANF generally provides assistance to low-income families with children. ABD and SSI generally provide assistance to low-income aged, blind or disabled individuals. CHIP and FHP programs provide assistance to qualifying families who are not eligible for Medicaid because their income exceeds the applicable income thresholds. The MLTC program is designed to help people with chronic illnesses or who have disabilities and need health and long-term care services, such as home care or adult day care, to enable them to stay in their homes and communities as long as possible.

Our Medicaid operations in certain states individually account for 10% or more of our consolidated premium revenue. Those states, and the respective Medicaid premium revenue as a percentage of total consolidated premium revenue, are as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Florida	12%	14%	12%	13%
Georgia	17%	19%	16%	20%

Kentucky 15% 10% 14% 9%

The state of Florida renewed certain of our Florida Medicaid contracts for a three-year period beginning September 1, 2012 through August 31, 2015. These contracts are expected to be terminated early in connection with the implementation of Florida's new Statewide Medicaid Managed Care ("SMMC") program, which will consist of a Long Term Care program and a Managed Medical Assistance program (the "MMA program"). In September and October 2013, we were notified that we had been recommended to receive contract awards to provide managed care services to Medicaid recipients in eight of the state's 11 regions as part of the MMA program. These regions include the Jacksonville, Miami, Orlando, St. Petersburg, Tallahassee and Tampa metropolitan areas. We expect that starting in the second quarter of 2014, two to three regions will be launched per month, and all regions should be launched by October 2014.

The Georgia Department of Community Health (the "Georgia DCH") exercised its option in June 2013 to extend the term of our Georgia Medicaid contract until June 30, 2014. The Georgia DCH also indicated its intent to amend our Georgia Medicaid contract to include two additional one-year renewal options, exercisable by the Georgia DCH, which could potentially extend the contract term to June 30, 2016.

Our primary Kentucky contract commenced in July 2011 and has an initial three-year term and provides for four additional one-year option terms, exercisable upon mutual agreement of the parties, which potentially extends the total term until July 2018. We began serving Medicaid beneficiaries in Region 3 of the Commonwealth of Kentucky on January 1, 2013.

MA

Medicare is a federal program that provides eligible persons age 65 and over and some disabled persons with a variety of hospital, medical and prescription drug benefits. MA is Medicare's managed care alternative to the original Medicare program, which provides individuals standard Medicare benefits directly through CMS. Our MA CCPs generally require members to seek health care services and select a primary care physician from a network of health care providers. In addition, we offer coverage of prescription drug benefits under the Medicare Part D program as a component of most of our MA plans.

PDP

We offer stand-alone Medicare Part D coverage to Medicare-eligible beneficiaries in our PDP segment. The Medicare Part D prescription drug benefit is supported by risk sharing with the federal government through risk corridors designed to limit the losses and gains of the participating drug plans and by reinsurance for catastrophic drug costs. The government subsidy is based on the national weighted average monthly bid for this coverage, adjusted for risk factor payments. Additional subsidies are provided for dually-eligible beneficiaries and specified low-income beneficiaries. The Part D program offers national in-network prescription drug coverage that is subject to limitations in certain circumstances.

Summary of Financial Information

We allocate goodwill and other intangible assets to our reportable operating segments. We do not allocate any other assets and liabilities, investment and other income, or selling, general and administrative, depreciation and amortization, or interest expense to our reportable operating segments. The Company's decision-makers primarily use premium revenue, medical benefits expense and gross margin to evaluate the performance of our reportable operating segments. A summary of financial information for our reportable operating segments through the gross margin level and a reconciliation to income before income taxes is presented in the tables below.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,			For the Nine M September 30,	lonths Ended	
	2013		2012		2013	2012
Premium revenue:						
Medicaid	\$1,492,362		\$1,096,929		\$4,184,737	\$3,269,010
MA	807,344		470,756		2,286,230	1,364,505
PDP	195,853		248,692		604,287	780,616
Total premium revenue	2,495,559		1,816,377		7,075,254	5,414,131
Medical benefits expense:						
Medicaid	1,314,643		980,016		3,636,283	2,844,469
MA	685,711		408,654		1,968,580	1,133,448
PDP	144,318		160,786		543,000	639,494
Total medical benefits expense	2,144,672		1,549,456		6,147,863	4,617,411
Gross margin:						
Medicaid	177,719		116,913		548,454	424,541
MA	121,633		62,102		317,650	231,057
PDP	51,535		87,906		61,287	141,122
Total gross margin	350,887		266,921		927,391	796,720
Investment and other income	4,851		2,018		13,933	6,772
Other expenses	(248,965)	(206,587)	(734,502)	(584,408)
Income before income taxes	\$106,773		\$62,352		\$206,822	\$219,084

4. NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE

We compute basic net income per common share on the basis of the weighted-average number of unrestricted common shares outstanding. We compute diluted net income per common share on the basis of the weighted-average number of unrestricted common shares outstanding plus the dilutive effect of outstanding stock options, restricted stock, RSUs, MSUs and PSUs using the treasury stock method.

The calculation of the weighted-average common shares outstanding — diluted is as follows:

	For the Three M September 30,	Months Ended	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Weighted-average common shares outstanding — b Dilutive effect of:	oas43,608,626	43,149,455	43,470,758	43,070,113	
Unvested restricted stock, restricted stock units, market stock and performance stock units	330,283	508,383	352,161	507,200	
Stock options	99,013	186,385	149,527	208,111	
Weighted-average common shares outstanding — diluted	44,037,922	43,844,223	43,972,446	43,785,424	
Anti-dilutive stock options, restricted stock and performance based awards excluded from computation	64,862	_	102,988	_	

5. INVESTMENTS

The Company considers all of its investments as available-for-sale securities. The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains or losses and estimated fair value of short-term and long term investments by security type are summarized in the following tables.

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses		mated Value
September 30, 2013					
Auction rate securities	\$34,150	\$—	\$(2,342) \$31	,808,
Certificates of deposit	4,300			4,30	00
Corporate debt and other securities	119,471	19	(182) 119	,308
Money market funds	43,377			43,3	377
Municipal securities	100,326	53	(47) 100	,332
Variable rate bond fund	85,000	275	(44) 85,2	231
U.S. government securities	20,184	91	(50) 20,2	225
	\$406,808	\$438	\$(2,665) \$40	4,581
December 31, 2012					
Auction rate securities	\$34,150	\$ —	\$(2,104) \$32	,046
Corporate debt and other securities	62,166	77	(13) 62,2	230
Money market funds	9,513			9,51	3
Municipal securities	118,765	44	(63) 118	,746
Variable rate bond fund	75,000	686		75,6	586
U.S. government securities	18,702	121		18,8	323
	\$318,296	\$928	\$(2,180) \$31	7,044

Realized gains and losses on sales and redemptions of investments were not material for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Contractual maturities of available-for-sale investments at September 30, 2013 are as follows:

	Total	Within	1 Through 5	5 Through 10	Thereafter	
	Total	1 Year	Years	Years	Therearter	
Auction rate securities	\$31,808	\$ —	\$ —	\$—	\$31,808	
Certificates of deposit	4,300	3,750	550	_	_	
Corporate debt and other securities	119,308	94,378	24,930	_	_	
Money market funds	43,377	43,377		_	_	
Municipal securities	100,332	86,921	13,411	_	_	
Variable rate bond fund	85,231	85,231		_	_	
U.S. government securities	20,225	3,930	16,295	_	_	
	\$404,581	\$317,587	\$55,186	\$ —	\$31,808	

Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities due to the exercise of pre-payment options.

Excluding investments in U.S. government securities, we are not exposed to any significant concentration of credit risk in our fixed maturities portfolio. Our long-term investments include \$31,808 estimated fair value of municipal note securities with an auction reset feature ("auction rate securities"), which were issued by various state and local municipal entities for the purpose of financing student loans, public projects and other activities. Liquidity for these auction rate securities is typically provided by an auction process which allows holders to sell their notes and resets the applicable interest rate at pre-determined intervals, usually every seven or 35 days. We consider our auction rate securities to be in an inactive market as auctions have continued to fail in 2013. Our auction rate securities have been in an unrealized loss position for more than twelve months. Two auction rate securities with an aggregate par value of \$22,550 have investment grade security credit ratings and one auction rate security with a par value of \$11,600 has a credit rating below investment grade. Our auction rate securities are covered by government guarantees or municipal bond insurance and we have the ability and intent to hold these securities until maturity or market stability is restored. Accordingly, we do not believe our auction rate securities are impaired and have not recorded any other-than-temporary impairment as of September 30, 2013.

There were no redemptions or sales of our auction rate securities during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, and accordingly, we realized no losses associated with our auction rate securities during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012.

6. RESTRICTED INVESTMENTS

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and fair value of our restricted investment securities are as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
September 30, 2013				
Money market funds	\$19,236	\$—	\$ —	\$19,236
Cash	39,877			39,877
Certificates of deposit	1,351			1,351
U.S. government securities	21,902	26	(66	21,862
	\$82,366	\$26	\$(66	\$82,326
December 31, 2012				
Money market funds	\$18,630	\$ —	\$ —	\$18,630

Cash	29,179	_	_	29,179
Certificates of deposit	1,551			1,551
U.S. government securities	18,003	2	(1) 18,004
	\$67,363	\$2	\$(1) \$67,364

No realized gains or losses were recorded on restricted investments for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012.

7. EQUITY-BASED COMPENSATION

Compensation expense related to our equity-based compensation awards was \$5,380 and \$12,438 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and \$3,992 and \$13,534 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. As of September 30, 2013, there was \$20,569 of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested equity-based compensation arrangements that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years.

A summary of stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, and the aggregate intrinsic value and weighted average remaining contractual term for stock options as of September 30, 2013, is presented in the table below.

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)
Outstanding as of January 1, 2013	435,876	\$26.40		
Granted	_	_		
Exercised	(328,487)	26.94		
Forfeited and expired	(8,905)	5.85		
Outstanding as of September 30, 2013 (1)	98,484	26.33	\$4,275	2.2

(1) All of the Company's outstanding stock options were vested and exercisable as of September 30, 2013.

A summary of restricted stock and RSU activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 is presented in the table below.

	Restricted Stock and RSUs	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Outstanding as of January 1, 2013	273,174	\$45.90
Granted	177,842	55.87
Vested	(145,110) 38.67
Forfeited and expired	(44,217) 51.56
Outstanding as of September 30, 2013	261,689	55.56

A summary of PSU activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 is presented in the table below.

		Weighted
	PSUs	Average
	1308	Grant-Date
		Fair Value
Outstanding as of January 1, 2013	421,566	\$46.81

Granted	177,991	57.36
Vested	(90,347) 29.73
Forfeited and expired	(106,538) 52.00
Outstanding as of September 30, 2013	402,672	54.09

A summary of our MSU activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 is presented in the table below.

		Weighted
	MSUs	Average
	MSOS	Grant-Date
		Fair Value
Outstanding as of January 1, 2013	62,193	\$74.03
Granted	68,588	81.59
Vested		_
Forfeited and expired	(24,758) 77.32
Outstanding as of September 30, 2013	106,023	78.18

8. DEBT

In August 2011, we entered into a \$300,000 senior secured credit agreement (as amended through February 12, 2013, "the Credit Agreement"), which provided for a \$150,000 term loan credit facility as well as a \$150,000 revolving credit facility. On February 12, 2013, we borrowed an additional \$230,000 in term loans in connection with the execution of an amendment that increased the total available credit facility under the Credit Agreement to \$515,000, including a \$365,000 term loan credit facility and a \$150,000 revolving credit facility. Both the term loan and revolving credit facilities are set to expire August 2016. Payments of principal on the term loan are due on a quarterly basis through July 31, 2016. A balance of \$336,500 remains outstanding under the Credit Agreement at September 30, 2013, including \$39,875 classified as a current liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

The annual interest rate on outstanding term loans was 1.94% and 1.75% as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Under the Credit Agreement, outstanding credit facility borrowings designated as Alternate Base Rate ("ABR") loans bear interest at a rate per annum equal to an applicable margin ranging from 0.50% to 2.25% plus the greatest of:

the prime rate in effect on such day;

the federal funds effective rate in effect on such day plus 0.50%; and

adjusted London Inter-Bank Offered Rate ("Adjusted LIBOR") for a one-month interest period on such day plus 1%.

Outstanding credit facility borrowings designated as Eurodollar loans bear interest at a rate per annum equal to the Adjusted LIBOR for the interest period in effect plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.50% to 3.25%. Our ratio of total consolidated debt to consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined in the Credit Agreement (our "Cash Flow Leverage Ratio") determines the applicable margin for both ABR and Eurodollar loans.

We incur a fee of 0.25% to 0.50% for unutilized commitments under the Credit Agreement, depending upon our Cash Flow Leverage Ratio. We recorded total interest expense under the Credit Agreement of \$5,087 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, including commitment fees and interest of \$314 and \$4,773, respectively. We make interest payments based on the LIBOR election period, which ranges from a period of one to six months, and pay the commitment fees quarterly.

We defer and amortize financing costs over the life of the Credit Agreement using the straight-line method. Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization, of \$2,980 and \$2,274, are included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. We recorded amortization expense of \$781 and \$442 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively.

The Credit Agreement includes customary covenants and restrictions which, among other things, limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness. We may incur additional senior and subordinated unsecured indebtedness provided that our Cash Flow Leverage Ratio, calculated to include any such debt incurred, is at least 0.25 times less than the maximum Cash Flow Leverage Ratio. In addition, the Credit Agreement requires that we maintain:

- a Cash Flow Leverage Ratio of not more than 2.75 times;
- a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 3.00 times;
- a minimum level of statutory net worth for our regulated subsidiaries; and cash in an amount equal to one year of payment obligations due and payable to the U.S. Department of Justice during the next twelve consecutive months, so long as such obligations remain outstanding. See Note 11 for more information regarding our obligations to the U.S. Department of Justice.

The Credit Agreement also contains customary representations and warranties and events of default. Payment of outstanding principal and related accrued interest thereon may be accelerated and become immediately due and payable upon our default of payment or other performance obligations, or our failure to comply with financial or other covenants in the Credit Agreement, subject to applicable notice requirements and cure periods.

As of the date of this filing, the revolving credit facility has not been drawn upon and we remain in compliance with all covenants.

9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Our consolidated balance sheets include the following financial instruments: cash and cash equivalents, investments, receivables, accounts payable, medical benefits payable, long-term debt and other liabilities. We consider the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, other current assets and current liabilities to approximate their fair value due to the short period of time between the origination of these instruments and the expected realization or payment.

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2013 are as follows:

	Carrying Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Investments:				
Auction rate securities	\$31,808	\$—	\$—	\$31,808
Corporate debt securities	117,081		117,081	
Certificates of deposit	4,300		4,300	
Commercial paper	_	_	_	_
Asset backed securities	2,227		2,227	
Money market funds	43,377	43,377		
Municipal securities	100,332		100,332	
Variable rate bond fund	85,231	85,231		
U.S. government securities	20,225	20,225		
Total investments	\$404,581	\$148,833	\$223,940	\$31,808
Restricted investments:				
Money market funds	\$19,236	\$19,236	\$ —	\$ —
Cash	39,877	39,877		
Certificates of deposit	1,351	_	1,351	_
U.S. government securities	21,862	21,862		_
Total restricted investments	\$82,326	\$80,975	\$1,351	\$ —
Amounts accrued related to investigation resolution	\$69,786	\$ —	\$69,786	\$ —

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2012 are as follows:

		Fair Value Mea		
	Carrying Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Investments:				
Auction rate securities	\$32,046	\$ —	\$ —	\$32,046
Corporate debt securities	57,705		57,705	
Asset backed securities	4,525		4,525	_
Money market funds	9,513	9,513		_
Municipal securities	118,746		118,746	_
Variable rate bond fund	75,686	75,686		_
U.S. government securities	18,823	18,823		
Total investments	\$317,044	\$104,022	\$180,976	\$32,046
Restricted investments:				
Money market funds	\$18,630	\$18,630	\$ —	\$ —
Cash	29,179	29,179		
Certificates of deposit	1,551	_	1,551	_
U.S. government securities	18,004	18,004		
Total restricted investments	\$67,364	\$65,813	\$1,551	\$ —
Amounts accrued related to investigation resolution	\$105,476	\$ —	\$105,476	\$—

The carrying value of our long-term debt was \$336,500 at September 30, 2013 and \$135,000 at December 31, 2012. Based on a discounted cash flow analysis, the approximate fair value of our long-term debt was \$324,706 at September 30, 2013 and \$131,770 at December 31, 2012.

The following table presents the changes in the fair value of our Level 3 auction rate securities for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Balance as of January 1, 2013	\$32,046	
Realized gains (losses) in earnings		
Unrealized gains (losses) in other comprehensive income	(238)
Purchases, sales and redemptions		
Net transfers in or (out) of Level 3		
Balance as of September 30, 2013	\$31,808	
1 '	' '	

As a result of the decrease in the fair value of our investments in auction rate securities, we recorded an unrealized loss of \$238, excluding income taxes, to accumulated other comprehensive loss during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase in net unrealized losses was driven by a change in market conditions in the municipal bond market and ratings during the year.

Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements

Non-financial assets and liabilities or financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis are subject to fair value adjustments only in certain circumstances, such as when we record an impairment. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we determined that we would be discontinuing certain projects going forward and, as a result, the software and development costs acquired to support these projects would not be fully recoverable. In accordance with the guidance for the impairment of long-lived assets, we evaluated these assets for recovery and recorded a pre-tax asset

impairment charge of \$8,997 to reduce the carrying value to \$0. The fair value assessment for such assets was based on an approach that relied heavily on management assumptions and qualitative observations and, therefore, would be classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

10. INCOME TAXES

Our effective income tax rate was 40.1% and 36.0% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to 38.6% and 37.9% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The effective tax rate is higher for the three month period ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 primarily due to the impact of non-deductible compensation costs. The effective tax rate for the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 is lower compared to the same period in 2012 due to a resolution agreement reached with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") during the first three months in 2013 regarding the tax treatment of certain investigation-related litigation and other resolution cost, partially offset by the impact of non-deductible compensation costs.

11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Government Investigations

Under the terms of settlement agreements entered into on April 26, 2011, and finalized on March 23, 2012, to resolve matters under investigation by the Civil Division of the U.S. Department of Justice ("Civil Division") and certain other federal and state enforcement agencies (the "Settlement"), we agreed to pay the Civil Division a total of \$137,500 in four annual installments of \$34,375 over 36 months, plus interest accrued at 3.125%.

The estimated fair value of the discounted remaining liability, and related interest, was \$69,786 at September 30, 2013, of which \$35,958 and \$33,828 has been included in the current and long-term portions, respectively, of amounts payable related to the investigation resolution in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2013.

The Settlement also provides for a contingent payment of an additional \$35,000 in the event that we are acquired or otherwise experience a change in control on or before April 30, 2015, provided that the change in control transaction exceeds certain minimum transaction value thresholds as specified in the Settlement. On April 12, 2012, joint stipulations of dismissal were filed, dismissing the qui tam complaints. On April 30, 2012, the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida entered an order dismissing the action.

Securities Class Action Complaint

In December 2010, we entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the "Stipulation Agreement") with the lead plaintiffs in the consolidated securities class action Eastwood Enterprises, L.L.C. v. Farha, et al., Case No. 8:07-cv-1940-VMC-EAJ. The Stipulation Agreement included two contingencies to which WellCare remains subject. If, on or before December 17, 2013, we are acquired or otherwise experience a change in control at a share price of \$30.00 or more, we must pay an additional \$25,000 to the class. The Stipulation Agreement also requires us to pay to the class 25% of any sums we recover from Todd Farha, Paul Behrens and/or Thaddeus Bereday related to the same facts and circumstances that gave rise to the consolidated securities class action. Messrs. Farha, Behrens and Bereday are three former executives that were implicated in the government investigations of the Company that commenced in 2007.

Corporate Integrity Agreement

We operate under a Corporate Integrity Agreement (the "Corporate Integrity Agreement") with the Office of Inspector General of the United States Department of Health and Human Services ("OIG-HHS"). The Corporate Integrity Agreement has a term of five years from its effective date of April 26, 2011 and mandates various ethics and compliance programs designed to help ensure our ongoing compliance with federal health care program requirements. The terms of the Corporate Integrity Agreement include certain organizational structure requirements, internal monitoring requirements, compliance training, screening processes for employees, reporting requirements to OIG-HHS, and the engagement of an independent review organization to review and prepare written reports regarding, among other things, WellCare's reporting practices and bid submissions to federal health care programs.

Indemnification Obligations

Under Delaware law, our charter and bylaws and certain indemnification agreements to which we are a party, we are obligated to indemnify, or we have otherwise agreed to indemnify, certain of our current and former directors, officers and associates with respect to current and future investigations and litigation, including the matters discussed in this footnote. The indemnification agreements for our directors and executive officers with respect to events occurring prior to May 2009 require us to indemnify an indemnitee to the fullest extent permitted by law if the indemnitee was or is or becomes a party to or witness or other participant in any proceeding by reason of any event or occurrence related to the indemnitee's status as a director, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of the Company or any of our subsidiaries and all expenses, including attorney's fees, judgments, fines, settlement amounts and interest and other charges, and any taxes as a result of the receipt of payments under the indemnification agreement. We will not indemnify the indemnitee if not permitted under applicable law. We are required to advance all expenses incurred by the indemnitee. We are entitled to reimbursement by an indemnitee of expenses advanced if the indemnitee is not permitted to be reimbursed under applicable law after a final judicial determination is made and all rights of appeal have been exhausted or lapsed.

We amended and restated our indemnification agreements in May 2009. The revised agreements apply to our officers and directors with respect to events occurring after that time. Pursuant to the 2009 indemnification agreements, we will indemnify the indemnitee against all expenses, including attorney's fees, judgments, penalties, fines, settlement amounts and any taxes imposed as a result of payments made under the indemnification agreement incurred in connection with any proceedings that relate to the indemnitee's status as a director, officer or employee of the Company or any of our subsidiaries or any other enterprise that the indemnitee was serving at our request. We will also indemnify for expenses incurred by the indemnitee if an indemnitee, by reason of his or her corporate status, is a witness in any proceeding. Further, we are required to indemnify for expenses incurred by an indemnitee in defense of a proceeding to the extent the indemnitee has been successful on the merits or otherwise. Finally, if the indemnitee is involved in certain proceedings as a result of the indemnitee's corporate status, we are required to advance the indemnitee's reasonable expenses incurred in connection with such proceeding, subject to the requirement that the indemnitee repay the expenses if it is ultimately determined that the indemnitee is not entitled to be indemnified. We are not obligated to indemnify an indemnitee for losses incurred in connection with any proceeding if a determination has not been made by the Board of Directors, a committee of disinterested directors or independent legal counsel in the specific case that the indemnitee has satisfied any standards of conduct required as a condition to indemnification under Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law.

Pursuant to our obligations, we have advanced, and will continue to advance, legal fees and related expenses to three former officers and two additional associates who were criminally indicted in connection with the government investigations of the Company that commenced in 2007 related to various federal criminal health care fraud charges including conspiracy to defraud the United States, false statements relating to health care matters, and health care fraud in connection with their defense of criminal charges. In June 2013, the jury in the criminal trial reached guilty verdicts on multiple charges for the four individuals that were tried in 2013. Sentencing is expected later this year or in early 2014. At this time, we do not know whether any of these four individuals will appeal. The fifth individual is expected to be tried at a future date.

We have also previously advanced legal fees and related expenses to some or all of these five individuals regarding disputes in Delaware Chancery Court related to whether we were legally obligated to advance fees or indemnify certain of these executives; the class actions titled Eastwood Enterprises, L.L.C. v. Farha, et al. and Hutton v. WellCare Health Plans, Inc. et al. filed in federal court; six stockholder derivative actions filed in federal and state courts between October 2007 and January 2008; an investigation by the United States Securities & Exchange Commission (the "Commission"); and an action by the Commission filed in January 2012 against Messrs. Farha, Behrens and Bereday. The Delaware Chancery Court cases have concluded. We settled the class actions in May 2011.

In 2010, we settled the stockholder derivative actions and we were realigned as the plaintiff to pursue our claims against Messrs. Farha, Behrens and Bereday. These actions, as well as the action by the Commission, have been stayed until at least 90 days after the conclusion of the criminal trial (including post-trial motions and proceedings).

In connection with these matters, we have advanced, to the five individuals, cumulative legal fees and related expenses of approximately \$149,002 from the inception of the investigations to September 30, 2013. We incurred \$5,712 and \$7,180 of these legal fees and related expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$39,115 and \$25,930 of these legal fees during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We expense these costs as incurred and classify the costs as selling, general and administrative expense incurred in connection with the investigations and related matters.

In August 2010, we entered into an agreement and release with the carriers of our directors and officers ("D&O") liability insurance relating to coverage we sought for claims relating to the previously disclosed government investigations and related litigation. We agreed to accept payment of \$32,500 in satisfaction of the \$45,000 face amount of the relevant D&O insurance policies and the carriers agreed to waive any rights they may have to challenge our coverage under the policies. As a result, we have exhausted our insurance policies related to reimbursement of our advancement of fees related to these matters. We received payment and recorded the receipt of the insurance proceeds as a reduction to selling, general and administrative expense prior to 2012.

We expect the continuing cost of our obligations to the five individuals in connection with their defense and appeal of criminal charges and related litigation to be significant and to continue for a number of years. We are unable to estimate the total amount of these costs or a range of possible loss. Accordingly, we continue to expense these costs as incurred. Even if it is eventually determined that we are entitled to reimbursement of the advanced expenses, it is possible that we may not be able to recover all or any portion of our advances. Our indemnification obligations and requirements to advance legal fees and expenses may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Other Lawsuits and Claims

Based on the nature of our business, we are subject to regulatory reviews or other investigations by various state insurance and health care regulatory authorities and other state and federal regulatory authorities. These authorities regularly scrutinize the business practices of health insurance and benefits companies and their reviews focus on numerous facets of our business, including claims payment practices, provider contracting, competitive practices, commission payments, privacy issues and utilization management practices, among others. Some of these reviews have historically resulted in fines imposed on us and some have required changes to our business practices. We continue to be subject to such reviews, which may result in additional fines and/or sanctions being imposed or additional changes in our business practices.

Separate and apart from the legal matters described above, we are also involved in other legal actions in the normal course of our business, including, without limitation, wage and hour claims and provider disputes regarding payment of claims. Some of these actions seek monetary damages including claims for liquidated or punitive damages, which are not covered by insurance. We review relevant information with respect to litigation matters and we update our estimates of reasonably possible losses and related disclosures. We accrue an estimate for contingent liabilities, including attorney's fees related to these matters, if a loss is probable and estimable. Currently, we do not expect that the resolution of any currently pending actions, either individually or in the aggregate, will differ materially from our current estimates or have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. However, the outcome of any legal actions cannot be predicted, and therefore, actual results may differ from those estimates.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Forward-Looking Statements

Statements contained in this Form 10-O for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013 ("2013 Form 10-O") that are not historical fact may be forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and we intend such statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained therein. Such statements, which may address, among other things, market acceptance of our products and services, product development, our ability to finance growth opportunities, our ability to respond to changes in laws and government regulations, implementation of our sales and marketing strategies, projected capital expenditures, liquidity and the availability of additional funding sources may be found in this section of this 2013 Form 10-Q and generally elsewhere in this report. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "targets," "predicts," "potential," "continues" or the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. You are cautioned that forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, including economic, regulatory, competitive and other factors that may affect our business. Please refer to Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 ("2012 Form 10-K") and in Part II, Item 1A of this 2013 Form 10-Q, for a discussion of certain risks which could materially affect our business, financial condition, cash flows, and results of operations. These forward-looking statements are inherently susceptible to uncertainty and changes in circumstances, as they are based on management's current expectations and beliefs about future events and circumstances. We undertake no obligation beyond that required by law to update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason, even if new information becomes available or other events occur in the future.

Our actual results may differ materially from those indicated by forward-looking statements as a result of various important factors including the expiration, cancellation or suspension of our state and federal contracts. In addition, our results of operations and estimates of future earnings depend, in large part, on accurately predicting and effectively managing health benefits and other operating expenses. A variety of factors, including potential reductions in Medicaid and Medicare revenue, including those due to sequestration, competition, changes in health care practices, changes in federal or state laws and regulations or their interpretations, inflation, provider contract changes, changes or suspensions or terminations of our contracts with government agencies, new technologies, government-imposed surcharges, taxes or assessments, reductions in provider payments by governmental payors, major epidemics, disasters and numerous other factors affecting the delivery and cost of health care, such as major health care providers' inability to maintain their operations, may affect our ability to control our medical costs and other operating expenses. Governmental action or inaction could result in premium revenues not increasing to offset any increase in medical costs or other operating expenses. Once set, premiums are generally fixed for one-year periods and, accordingly, unanticipated costs during such periods generally cannot be recovered through higher premiums. Furthermore, if we are unable to estimate accurately incurred but not reported medical costs in the current period, our future profitability may be affected. Due to these factors and risks, we cannot provide any assurance regarding our future premium levels, our ability to control our future medical costs or our profitability.

From time to time, at the federal and state government levels, legislative and regulatory proposals have been made related to, or potentially affecting, the health care industry, including, but not limited to, limitations on managed care organizations, including benefit mandates, and reform of the Medicaid and Medicare programs. Any such legislative or regulatory action, including benefit mandates or reform of the Medicaid and Medicare programs, could have the effect of reducing the premiums paid to us by governmental programs, increasing our medical and administrative costs or requiring us to materially alter the manner in which we operate. We are unable to predict the specific content of any future legislation, action or regulation that may be enacted or when any such future legislation or regulation will be adopted. Therefore, we cannot predict accurately the effect or ramifications of such future legislation, action or

regulation on our business.

OVERVIEW

Introduction

We are a leading provider of managed care services to government-sponsored health care programs, focusing on Medicaid and Medicare. Headquartered in Tampa, Florida, we offer a variety of health plans for families, children, and the aged, blind and disabled, as well as prescription drug plans. As of September 30, 2013, we served approximately 2.8 million members nationwide. We believe that our broad range of experience and exclusive government focus allows us to effectively serve our members, partner with our providers and government clients, and efficiently manage our ongoing operations.

Summary of Consolidated Financial Results

Summarized below are the key highlights for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. For additional information, refer to the "Results of Operations" section which discusses both consolidated and segment results in more detail.

Membership increased 10% compared to September 30, 2012 due to growth in our Medicaid segment, mainly attributable to growth in Kentucky and our acquisitions in South Carolina and Missouri in January 2013 and March 2013, respectively, and membership growth in our Medicaid Advantage ("MA") segment, driven by service area expansion, product design, marketing activities and our November 2012 acquisition in California. These increases were partially offset by lower prescription drug plan ("PDP") membership based on our 2013 bid results.

Premiums increased 37% and 31% for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012, reflecting the impact of both acquisitions and organic membership growth in our Medicaid and MA segments, as well as rate increases in certain of our Medicaid markets, mainly Kentucky, partially offset by the impact of lower PDP membership.

Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2013 increased 67% compared to the same period in 2012, primarily due to the increased premium revenue in our Medicaid and MA segments and a decrease in the SG&A ratio⁽¹⁾, partially offset by higher net unfavorable development in prior period medical benefits payable, mainly in the Medicaid segment, as well as a decrease in our PDP segment results, resulting mainly from lower membership and an increase in that segment's MBR⁽²⁾. Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 decreased 3% compared to the same period in 2012 mainly due to an increase in unfavorable development of prior years medical benefit payable recognized in 2013, lower results in our PDP segment and increases in investigation-related litigation costs, partially offset by the increased premium revenue in our Medicaid and MA segments.

Key Developments and Accomplishments

Presented below are key developments and accomplishments relating to progress on our strategic business priorities that occurred in 2013, or have impacted, or we expect will impact, our financial condition and results of operations during 2013 and future periods.

In September and October 2013, we were recommended by the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration ("AHCA") for contract awards to provide managed care services to Medicaid recipients in eight of the state's 11 regions as part of the state's Managed Medical Assistance (the "MMA program"). These regions include the Jacksonville, Miami, Orlando, Tallahassee and Tampa metropolitan areas. The MMA program represents a substantial redesign of the Florida Medicaid program. Our current Florida Medicaid contracts with AHCA, which expire in August 2015, are expected to be terminated early in connection with the implementation of the MMA program.

In September 2013, we announced that we entered into an agreement to acquire Windsor Health Group, Inc. ("Windsor") from Munich Health North America, Inc., a part of Munich Re. Through its subsidiaries, Windsor serves Medicare beneficiaries with Medicare Advantage, Medicare prescription drug plan, and Medicare Supplement products. Windsor offers Medicare Advantage plans in 297 counties primarily in the states of Mississippi, Tennessee, Arkansas, and South Carolina. Windsor has been approved to offer MA plans in 192 counties for 2014. In addition, one of Windsor's subsidiaries offers Medicare Supplement insurance policies through which it serves over 50,000 members in 40 states. The acquisition is expected to close during December 2013 or January 2014, subject to customary regulatory approvals.

•

In September 2013, we entered into an agreement to acquire certain assets of Healthfirst Health Plan of New Jersey, Inc. ("Healthfirst NJ"). As of September 2013, Healthfirst NJ serves approximately 47,000 Medicaid members in 12 counties in the state. The acquisition is expected to close during the first quarter of 2014, subject to customary regulatory approvals. Upon closure of the transaction, we will acquire Healthfirst NJ's membership and substantially all of its provider networks. In addition, we recently received approval from the state of New Jersey to offer Medicaid managed care in Essex, Hudson, Middlesex, Passaic and Union counties beginning December 1, 2013.

- (1) Selling, general and administrative expense ("SG&A"), as a percentage of total revenue, excluding premium taxes.
- (2) MBR measures the ratio of medical benefits expense to premium revenue.

For the 2014 plan year, we have expanded the geographic footprint of our MA plans to offer plans in a total of 210 counties in 14 states, including duals special needs plans ("D-SNPs") for those who are dually-eligible for Medicare and Medicaid in most of the MA markets we serve. This expansion is consistent with our focus on the lower-income demographic of the market and our ability over time to provide both the Medicaid- and Medicare-related coverage of these members.

Effective July 5, 2013, Centene Corporation ("Centene") terminated its Medicaid contract with the Commonwealth of Kentucky ("the Commonwealth") and is no longer serving members. Consequently, on July 6, 2013, the Commonwealth transferred approximately 57,000 members to us as part of its transition process. We began serving the members as of that date.

Effective January 1, 2013, we received a premium rate increase of approximately 7.0% for the Kentucky Medicaid program. The Commonwealth also accelerated to July 1, 2013 our 3.0% rate increase previously scheduled for October 1, 2013. These rate increases apply to all Medicaid geographic regions of the Commonwealth, other than Region 3. We believe that these activities will make our Kentucky Medicaid program more stable from a financial standpoint. Also, effective January 1, 2013, we began serving Medicaid beneficiaries in the Commonwealth's Medicaid Managed Care Region 3.

On March 31, 2013, we acquired Aetna Inc.'s Medicaid business in Missouri. Missouri Care, Incorporated ("Missouri Care") serves MO HealthNet Medicaid program members across the state. Missouri Care's provider network includes more than 50 hospitals and 9,500 physicians.

On January 31, 2013, we acquired UnitedHealth Group Incorporated's ("UnitedHealth") Medicaid business in South Carolina. WellCare of South Carolina, Inc. ("WCSC"), formerly UnitedHealthcare of South Carolina, Inc., participates in South Carolina's Healthy Connections Choices program across the majority of the state's 46 counties.

Easy Choice Health Plan, Inc. ("Easy Choice") increased its 2013 service area to 11 California counties, including the San Diego area and five counties in northern California.

Effective March 1, 2013, we expanded our Medicaid managed long-term care health plan into four new counties in the State of New York: Nassau, Richmond, Suffolk and Westchester counties.

Under Hawaii's Community Care Services Program, beginning on a statewide basis in March 2013, we case manage, authorize and facilitate the delivery of behavioral health services to Medicaid-eligible adults who have serious mental illnesses and who are participants in the state's QUEST Expanded Access (QExA) health program.

In January 2013, our Florida Medicaid and Medicare health plans earned a Commendable Accreditation status from the National Committee for Quality Assurance ("NCQA"). We continue to target accreditation for all of our health plans.

Business and Financial Outlook

General Economic and Political Environment and Health Care Reform

Pursuant to the sequestration provisions of the Budget Control Act of 2011, approximately \$1.2 trillion in domestic and defense spending reductions began in March 2013. Effective April 1, 2013, payments to MA and PDP plans were reduced by 2%. We have been able to partially offset this impact by a reduction in reimbursements to health care providers; however, our 2013 results of operations have been, and will continue to be, negatively impacted. In absence of further action by Congress, sequestration will continue annually for a 10-year period.

In October 2013, Congress and the President ended the government shutdown by funding the government until January 15, 2014 and raising the debt ceiling until February 7, 2014. Congress and the President continue to negotiate budget issues, and the sequester cuts continue to remain in place.

For the enrollment period for the 2014 plan year, 18 states are operating state-based exchanges under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (collectively, the "Affordable Care Act") and seven states are operating state partnership exchanges. Exchanges began taking enrollment for individuals and small groups in October 2013 for plans effective beginning on January 1, 2014. We do not plan to offer an exchange product in 2014.

The Affordable Care Act will impose an annual premium-based health insurance industry assessment (the "industry fee") on health insurers beginning in 2014. The total industry fee levied on the health insurance industry will be \$8 billion in 2014, with increasing annual amounts thereafter and growing to \$14.3 billion by 2018. After 2018, the industry fee increases according to an index based on net premium growth. The assessment will be levied on certain health insurers that provide insurance in the assessment year, and will be allocated to health insurers based on each health insurer's share of net premiums for all U.S health insurers in the year preceding the assessment. The industry fee will not be deductible for income tax purposes, which will significantly increase our effective income tax rate. We are uncertain as to the effect the industry fee will have on premium rates in 2014, therefore, we are unable to estimate the magnitude of this fee on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows at this time. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") is continuing its discussions regarding the statutory accounting treatment for the industry fee; therefore, we are not able to determine the final impact of the fee on the statutory capital and surplus of our regulated subsidiaries at this time.

Medicaid

A number of states are evaluating new strategies for their Medicaid programs. Given ongoing fiscal challenges, economic conditions, and the success of Medicaid managed care programs over the long run, states continue to recognize the value of collaborating with managed care plans to deliver quality, cost-effective health care solutions. Currently, 34 states and the District of Columbia contract with health plans for some portion of their Medicaid population.

Of the states in which we currently operate Medicaid plans:

Hawaii, Illinois, Kentucky and New York have stated their intention to expand Medicaid eligibility under the Affordable Care Act; and

Florida, Georgia, Missouri and South Carolina have stated their intention not to move forward with an expansion in 2014.

New Jersey, where we intend to begin operating Medicaid plans in December 2013, has also indicated it intends to expand Medicaid eligibility. How Hawaii, Illinois, Kentucky, New Jersey and New York implement their planned expansions will dictate whether those expansions impact our membership. If other states ultimately implement the Medicaid expansion, and depending on the mechanism by which they choose to implement the expansion, our membership could increase or decrease. At this time, we are unable to predict the ultimate impact to our Medicaid membership.

The State of Florida is in the process of procuring Medicaid managed care services. Florida's new Statewide Medicaid Managed Care ("SMMC") program will consist of a Long Term Care program and the MMA program. Florida has received final approval from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services ("CMS") for both programs. Current contracts between the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration ("AHCA") and managed care organizations ("MCOs") to offer Medicaid managed care services, such as our Florida Medicaid contracts that expire in August 2015, are expected to be terminated early, possibly as early as May 2014, in connection with the implementation of the new MMA program.

The SMMC program represents a substantial redesign of the Florida Medicaid program. Most significantly, the substantial majority of eligible beneficiaries for Florida Medicaid will be mandated to enroll in a managed care plan under the SMMC program. Currently, managed care enrollment is optional for most Medicaid beneficiaries. Florida's fee-for-service primary care case management program, MediPass, will be discontinued. Most Medicaid recipients who are not eligible for long-term care services will receive their services through the MMA component of the

SMMC program while those eligible for long-term care will receive services through the Long Term Care component or a comprehensive plan covering both components. We will not be participating in the Long Term Care component of SMMC. SMMC will include an "achieved savings rebate" in which MCOs will be required to rebate to AHCA half of their income (as determined in accordance with the plan contracts) between 5% and 10% of revenue and all of their income above 10% of revenue. In addition, capitated MCOs offering plans under MMA will be required to maintain a medical loss ratio of not less than 85% for at least the first full year of MMA program operation. MMA will also require MCOs to cover certain benefits they do not currently cover and will allow MCOs to offer expanded benefits. The number of MCOs offering Medicaid managed care plans will be limited to a small number of plans in each of 11 regions, while currently the number of plans is not limited. As discussed in "Key Developments and Accomplishments" above, our Staywell Health Plan has been recommended for contract awards by AHCA in eight out of the state's 11 regions. We expect that starting in May 2014, two to three regions will be launched per month, and all regions should be launched by October 2014.

Also in connection with Florida's Medicaid reform initiative, AHCA has implemented a new payment structure for covered inpatient services under Florida Medicaid's fee-for-service program. As of July 1, 2013, AHCA is reimbursing providers for such services based on a diagnosis related group ("DRG") schedule. This change impacts the payments we make to our contracted providers whose contracts with us are tied to Florida Medicaid fee-for-service rates. In addition, we are in the process of transitioning other contracted inpatient service providers in our Florida Medicaid network to this payment methodology. Although we currently anticipate this change will add less than 2% to our Florida Medicaid medical expense, this estimate is based on prior period utilization and other factors; the actual impact will depend, among other things, on actual utilization.

The New York Medicaid program changed its methodology for the risk adjustment of premiums, resulting in a rate reduction. The change was communicated to us in July 2013, and was effective April 1, 2013.

MA

On April 1, 2013, CMS announced revised proposed 2014 benchmark rates, which will result in a rate decrease of approximately 2.0% to 4.0% from 2013 rates.

In April 2013, CMS also announced changes to the MA and PDP Medicare risk adjustment system involving a risk coding recalibration which will be phased in over the 2014 and 2015 plan years. In addition, CMS will implement an MA coding intensity reduction of 4.91% for payment year 2014. This new risk adjustment model includes an adjustment to the calculation of health status cost risk based on each beneficiary's diagnosis codes that will reduce the positive adjustments for high-risk patients and increase the negative adjustments for low-risk patients. The change appears to most severely affect our rates for those individuals with complex medical conditions, including many of our dual-eligible and lower income members.

In 2014, CMS will continue to tier payments based on the quality ratings of MA plans, paying less to plans scoring less than 5 stars on the CMS star quality rating scale, as we do. CMS recently announced 2014 MA quality ratings, which reflected improvement for several of our plans. Based on these quality ratings, approximately 84% of our September 2013 MA membership will be served by plans rated 3 stars overall or greater for 2014. Our MA plans that operate at 3 stars will earn a 3% quality bonus demonstration percentage, compared to the 5% available to 4, 4.5 and 5 star plans.

In 2014, we plan to serve Medicare eligibles in 210 counties, up from 204 counties in 2013. This includes the addition of eight new counties in our newest MA markets in Arizona, California, and Kentucky, and the departure from one county in New Jersey and one county in Texas. New counties in Arizona and California leverage the acquisitions we completed in those two states during the fourth quarter of 2012, and the dual eligible beneficiaries that we serve in Kentucky's Medicaid program provide cross-selling opportunities for Medicare.

PDP

Our 2013 stand-alone PDP bids were below the benchmarks in 14 of the 34 CMS regions and within the de minimis range of the benchmark in five other CMS regions. In 2012, our plans were below the benchmark in five regions and within the de minimis range in 17 other regions. In 2013, we are being auto-assigned newly-eligible members into our plans for the 14 regions that are below the benchmark. We retained our previously auto-assigned members in the five regions in which we bid within the de minimis range; however, we are not being auto-assigned new members in those regions during 2013. Members previously auto-assigned to our PDP plans in regions for which our 2013 bids were not below the benchmark or within the de minimis range were reassigned to other plans in January 2013. Membership has declined to approximately 784,000 as of September 30, 2013, a decrease from 869,000 as of December 31, 2012, due

to the reassignment to other plans of members who were previously auto-assigned to us, primarily in California, offset in part by additional auto-assignments to us in other regions and an increase in the members who actively chose our PDP plans.

In April 2013, CMS announced changes for PDPs relating to applicable beneficiary and plan dispensing/vaccine administration fees for drug claims that straddle the coverage gap for the 2014 plan year. In addition, CMS decreased the Part D deductible, the initial coverage limit, and the out-of-pocket threshold for the catastrophic benefit. We are still evaluating the effect these changes will have on our 2014 PDP operations.

Our 2014 Medicare PDP bids were below the benchmarks in 30 of the 33 CMS regions for which we submitted bids. The favorable 2014 outcome resulted from the realignment of our benefit designs and cost structure to allow for more prudent, competitive bids. Given the outcome of the bids and the competitiveness of our products, we anticipate PDP membership to increase in 2014 compared to 2013. The Windsor acquisition will augment our organic growth.

Dual Eligibles

Fifteen states have been selected by CMS to implement a capitated Duals Financial Alignment Demonstration Program ("Duals Demonstration Program"), and an additional four are implementing a Duals Demonstration Program on a fee for service basis. Of the states that have signed agreements with CMS to implement a capitated Duals Demonstration Program, we operate D-SNPs in three but will not be participating in those states' Duals Demonstration Programs; however, we have received regulatory approval to continue to offer D-SNPs in those states.

We are in the process of applying to participate in the Duals Demonstration Programs in New York and South Carolina, but we may not be approved to participate.

For 2014, beneficiaries eligible for both Medicaid and Medicare, or dual-eligible beneficiaries, enrolled in WellCare products and subject to passive enrollment in a Duals Demonstration Program will have the opportunity to opt out of the program and remain in a WellCare plan up until the last day of the month prior to the effective date of enrollment.

Beneficiaries will also have the ability to opt out of the Duals Demonstration Program on a monthly basis, but they will not be able to enroll in a WellCare MA plan except during the annual open enrollment period or special election period, as none of our plans have 5 stars, but they may choose to enroll in our PDP plans.

For those states that have a Duals Demonstration Program in which we do not participate, the membership in our MA plans or PDP could be reduced, depending on the program design, eligible populations and state implementation time frame.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Consolidated Financial Results

The following tables set forth consolidated statements of operations data, as well as other key data used in our results of operations discussion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. These historical results are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any future period.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		Change			
	2013	2012	Dollars	Percentage		
Revenues:	(Dollars in millio	ons)		C		
Premium	\$2,495.6	\$1,816.4	\$679.2	37.4	%	
Investment and other income	4.8	2.0	2.8	140.0	%	
Total revenues	2,500.4	1,818.4	682.0	37.5	%	
Expenses:						
Medical benefits	2,144.7	1,549.4	595.3	38.4	%	
Selling, general and administrative	218.8	176.8	42.0	23.8	%	
Medicaid premium taxes	17.0	20.6	(3.6) (17.5	%	
Depreciation and amortization	11.0	8.2	2.8	34.1	%	
Interest	2.1	1.0	1.1	110.0	%	
Total expenses	2,393.6	1,756.0	637.6	36.3	%	
Income before income taxes	106.8	62.4	44.4	71.2	%	
Income tax expense	42.8	24.1	18.7	77.6	%	
Net income	\$64.0	\$38.3	\$25.7	67.1	%	

Effective tax rate 40.1 % 38.6 % 1.5 %

		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2013	2012	Dollars	Percentag	e
Revenues:	(Dollars in r	nillions)			
Premium	\$7,075.3	\$5,414.1	\$1,661.2	30.7	%
Investment and other income	13.9	6.8	7.1	104.4	%
Total revenues	7,089.2	5,420.9	1,668.3	30.8	%
Expenses:					
Medical benefits	6,147.9	4,617.4	1,530.5	33.1	%
Selling, general and administrative	637.6	497.5	140.1	28.2	%
Medicaid premium taxes	59.2	61.0	(1.8) (3.0)%
Depreciation and amortization	31.8	22.7	9.1	40.1	%
Interest	5.9	3.2	2.7	84.4	%
Total expenses	6,882.4	5,201.8	1,680.6	32.3	%
Income before income taxes	206.8	219.1	(12.3) (5.6)%
Income tax expense	74.4	83.1	(8.7) (10.5)%
Net income	\$132.4	\$136.0	\$(3.6) (2.6)%
Effective tax rate	36.0	% 37.9	%	(1.9)%

Membership

	September 30, 2013			December 31, 2012			September 30, 2012		
Segment	Membership	Percentage of Total		Membership	Percentage of Total	f	Membership	Percentage of Total	of
Medicaid	1,757,000	62.2	%	1,587,000	59.5	%	1,515,000	59.2	%
MA	283,000	10.0	%	213,000	8.0	%	167,000	6.5	%
PDP	784,000	27.8	%	869,000	32.5	%	879,000	34.3	%
Total	2,824,000	100.0	%	2,669,000	100.0	%	2,561,000	100.0	%

Membership as of September 30, 2013 increased approximately 155,000 members compared to December 31, 2012, and increased approximately 263,000 members compared to September 30, 2012. The growth in both periods was mainly driven by our acquisitions and organic membership growth in our Medicaid and MA segments, partially offset by a decline in PDP membership. Our acquisition of Medicaid plans in South Carolina and Missouri, including 51,000 related to the acquisition of UnitedHealth's South Carolina Medicaid business on January 31, 2013, and 108,000 related to the acquisition of Aetna's Missouri Medicaid business on March 31, 2013, accounted for approximately 159,000 of the growth for both periods. Approximately 55,000 and 4,000 of the MA segment membership increase compared to September 30, 2012 was due to the impact of the November 2012 Easy Choice acquisition in California and the December 2012 Desert Canyon acquisition in Arizona, respectively.

Medicaid membership increased in Florida as of September 30, 2013 by 20,000 compared to December 31, 2012, and by 40,000 compared to September 30, 2012. Membership in Kentucky as of September 30, 2013 increased by 84,000 and 132,000 compared to December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012, respectively, including approximately 57,000 transferred from Centene in July 2013 and 13,000 beneficiaries from Region 3 which we began serving effective January 1, 2013.

MA segment membership increased 70,000 compared to December 31, 2012, mainly from the results of the annual election period, which resulted in an increase of approximately 37,000 members effective January 1, 2013, as well as our continued focus on dually-eligible beneficiaries and expansion into new counties. In the PDP segment,

membership decreased by 85,000 compared to December 31, 2012 due to our 2013 PDP bids, which resulted in the reassignment to other plans, effective January 1, 2013, of certain members who were auto-assigned to us in 2012 or prior years.

Net Income

Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2013 increased by approximately \$25.7 million, or 67%, compared to the same period in 2012, primarily due to increased premium revenue in our Medicaid and MA segments and a decrease in the SG&A ratio, partially offset by higher net unfavorable development in prior period medical benefits payable in 2013, mainly in the Medicaid segment, as well as a decrease in our PDP segment results resulting mainly from lower membership and an increase in that segment's medical benefits ratio.

Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by approximately \$3.6 million, or 3%, compared to the same period in 2012, mainly due to an increase in unfavorable development of prior year's medical benefits payable in 2013, lower results in our PDP segment and increased investigation-related litigation costs, most of which was offset by the increased premium revenue in our Medicaid and MA segments.

Premium Revenue

Premium revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased by approximately \$679.2 million, or 37%, and \$1.7 billion, or 31%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012. The increase is primarily attributable to our acquisitions and organic membership growth in our Medicaid and MA segments, rate increases in certain of our Medicaid markets, including the 7% increase in Kentucky that was effective January 1, 2013 and Medicaid revenue from payment arrangements with certain states associated with primary care enhanced payments, as mandated by the Affordable Care Act. These increases were partially offset by the impact of lower membership in our PDP segment. Premium revenue includes \$17.0 million and \$59.2 million of Medicaid premium taxes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to \$20.6 million and \$61.0 million for the same three and nine months in 2012, respectively.

We now expect our consolidated premium revenue for the full year 2013 will be in the range of approximately \$9.35 to \$9.40 billion, which represents an increase of approximately 27% compared to 2012.

Medical Benefits Expense

The increase in medical benefits expense for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 was due mainly to increased membership in our Medicaid and MA segments, increased medical expense in the first three months of 2013 associated with the flu, Medicaid primary care enhanced payments, as mandated by the Affordable Care Act, and increased unfavorable development in prior period medical benefits payable, partially offset by a decrease in the PDP segment due to lower membership.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, net unfavorable development related to prior periods that impacted results of operations totaled \$47.5 million. Approximately \$16.3 million of this unfavorable development related to prior fiscal years and \$31.2 million of the unfavorable development related to the first and second quarters of 2013. For the three months ended September 30, 2012, net unfavorable development related to prior periods totaled \$15.4 million, which includes approximately \$23.3 million of unfavorable development related to the first and second quarters of 2012, partially offset by \$7.9 million of favorable development related to prior fiscal years. The net unfavorable development recognized in the three month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was due mainly to higher than projected medical costs in our Medicaid segment.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our results of operations was impacted by approximately \$7.1 million of net unfavorable development related to prior years, compared to \$79.7 million of net favorable development related to prior years recognized in 2012. The net unfavorable development recognized in the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 was due to the 2012 medical cost trend emerging unfavorably compared to our previous

estimates, mostly in our Medicare segment, and prior period revenue activity. The net favorable development recognized in the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 was due to the 2011 medical cost trend emerging favorably compared to our previous estimates, mostly in our Medicaid segment and to a lesser extent in our MA and PDP segments.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

SG&A expense includes aggregate costs related to the resolution of the previously disclosed governmental investigations and related litigation, such as settlement accruals and related fair value accretion, legal fees and other similar costs. Refer to Note 11 within the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs. We believe it is appropriate to evaluate SG&A expense exclusive of these investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs because we do not consider them to be indicative of long-term business operations.

The reconciliation of SG&A expense, including and excluding such costs, is as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended				
	September 30,				
	2013		2012		
SG&A expense	\$218.8		\$176.8		
Adjustments:					
Investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs	(0.5)	(0.8)	
Investigation-related administrative costs	(6.8)	(11.4)	
Total investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs	(7.3)	(12.2)	
SG&A expense, excluding investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs	\$211.5		\$164.6		
SG&A ratio	8.8	%	9.8	%	
SG&A ratio, excluding investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs	8.5	%	9.2	%	
	For the Nine Months Ended				
	September 30,				
	Septemen				
	2013		2012		
SG&A expense	•		2012 \$497.5		
SG&A expense Adjustments:	2013				
	2013))	
Adjustments:	2013 \$637.6)	\$497.5)	
Adjustments: Investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs	2013 \$637.6 (1.9)	\$497.5 (3.0))	
Adjustments: Investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs Investigation-related administrative costs	2013 \$637.6 (1.9 (46.6))	\$497.5 (3.0 (34.5))	
Adjustments: Investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs Investigation-related administrative costs Total investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs	2013 \$637.6 (1.9 (46.6 (48.5)))	\$497.5 (3.0 (34.5 (37.5)))	

Excluding total investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs, our SG&A expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, increased approximately \$46.9 million and \$129.1 million, respectively, or 28% for both periods, compared to the same periods in 2012. SG&A expense increased due to the growth in membership, investments in technology and infrastructure, including costs necessary to meet regulatory changes, investments related to our medical cost initiatives, increased spending related to the integration of recent acquisitions and our other growth and service initiatives. Additionally, during the third quarter, we determined that we would be discontinuing certain projects going forward and, as a result, the software and development costs acquired to support these projects would not be fully recoverable. Consequently, we recorded a pre-tax asset impairment charge of \$9.0 million. All these cost increases were partially offset by improvements in operating efficiency. Our SG&A ratio was 8.8% and 9.1% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to 9.8% and 9.3% for the same periods in 2012. After excluding the investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs, our SG&A ratio for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was 8.5% and 8.4%, respectively, compared to 9.2% and 8.6%, respectively, for the same periods in 2012.

We currently expect that our SG&A ratio, excluding the investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs, for the full year 2013 will be approximately 8.6%. Our organic growth, expenditures for the Florida MMA implementation and the integration of our recent acquisitions are driving a need for certain investments and expenditures. We are also making investments to meet the needs of our state and federal customers resulting from implementation of the Affordable Care Act.

Medicaid Premium Taxes

Medicaid premium taxes incurred for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 were \$16.9 million and \$59.2 million, respectively, compared to \$20.6 million and \$61.0 million for the same two periods in 2012. The increase in the 2013 periods corresponds to the increase in Medicaid premium revenues.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 includes approximately \$1.5 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, of amortization related to the intangible assets acquired in conjunction with the Desert Canyon, Easy Choice, Missouri Care and WCSC acquisitions.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$2.1 million and \$5.9 million, respectively, compared to \$1.0 million and \$3.2 million, respectively, for the same periods in 2012. The increase in interest expense is mainly due to the additional borrowings in February 2013 in connection with the second amendment to our credit agreement.

Income Tax Expense

Our effective income tax rate on pre-tax income was 40.1% and 36.0% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to 38.6% and 37.9%, respectively, for the same periods in 2012. The effective tax rate is higher for the three month period ended September 30, 2013 primarily due to the impact of non-deductible compensation costs. The effective tax rate for the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 is lower due to a resolution agreement reached with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") during the first three months in 2013 regarding the tax treatment of certain investigation-related litigation and other resolution cost, partially offset by the impact of non-deductible compensation costs.

Segment Reporting

Reportable operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which discrete financial information is available and evaluated on a regular basis by the enterprise's decision-makers to determine how resources should be allocated to an individual segment and to assess performance of those segments. Accordingly, we have three reportable segments: Medicaid, MA and PDP.

Segment Financial Performance Measures

We use three measures to assess the performance of our reportable operating segments: premium revenue, MBR and gross margin. MBR measures the ratio of medical benefits expense to premium revenue excluding Medicaid premium taxes. Gross margin is defined as premium revenue less medical benefits expense. For further information regarding premium revenues and medical benefits expense, please refer below to "Premium Revenue Recognition and Premiums Receivable", and "Medical Benefits Expense and Medical Benefits Payable" under "Critical Accounting Estimates."

Our primary tools for measuring profitability are gross margin and MBR. Changes in gross margin and MBR from period to period depend in large part on our ability to, among other things, effectively price our medical and prescription drug plans, manage medical costs and changes in estimates related to incurred but not reported claims ("IBNR"), predict and effectively manage medical benefits expense relative to the primarily fixed premiums we receive, negotiate competitive rates with our health care providers, and attract and retain members. In addition, factors

such as changes in health care laws, regulations and practices, changes in Medicaid and Medicare funding, changes in the mix of membership, escalating health care costs, competition, levels of use of health care services, general economic conditions, major epidemics, terrorism or bio-terrorism, new medical technologies and other external factors may affect our operations and may have a material impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We use gross margin and MBRs both to monitor our management of medical benefits and medical benefits expense and to make various business decisions, including which health care plans to offer, which geographic areas to enter or exit and which health care providers to select. Although gross margin and MBRs play an important role in our business strategy, we may be willing to enter new geographical markets and/or enter into provider arrangements that might produce a less favorable gross margin and MBR if those arrangements, such as capitation or risk sharing, would likely lower our exposure to variability in medical costs or for other reasons.

Reconciling Segment Results

The following table reconciles our reportable segment results to income before income taxes, as reported in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP").

	For the Three M September 30,	Months Ended	Change		
	2013	2012	Dollar	Percentage	
	(Dollars in mil	lions)			
Gross Margin:					
Medicaid	\$177.8	\$116.9	\$60.9	52.1	%
MA	121.6	62.1	59.5	95.8	%
PDP	51.5	87.9	(36.4) (41.4)%
Total gross margin	350.9	266.9	84.0	31.5	%
Investment and other income	4.8	2.0	2.8	140.0	%
Other expenses	(248.9)	(206.5)	(42.4) 20.5	%
Income before income taxes	\$106.8	\$62.4	\$44.4	71.2	%
	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		ionins Linded	Change		
		2012	Change Dollar	Percentage	
	September 30,	2012	C	Percentage	
Gross Margin:	September 30, 2013	2012	C	Percentage	
Gross Margin: Medicaid	September 30, 2013	2012	C	Percentage 29.2	%
•	September 30, 2013 (Dollars in mill	2012 lions)	Dollar	J	% %
Medicaid	September 30, 2013 (Dollars in mill \$548.5	2012 lions) \$424.5	Dollar \$124.0	29.2	
Medicaid MA	September 30, 2013 (Dollars in mill \$548.5 317.6	2012 lions) \$424.5 231.1	Dollar \$124.0 86.5	29.2 37.4	%
Medicaid MA PDP	September 30, 2013 (Dollars in mill) \$548.5 317.6 61.3	2012 lions) \$424.5 231.1 141.1	Dollar \$124.0 86.5 (79.8	29.2 37.4) (56.6	%)%
Medicaid MA PDP Total gross margin	September 30, 2013 (Dollars in mill) \$548.5 317.6 61.3 927.4	2012 lions) \$424.5 231.1 141.1 796.7 6.8	\$124.0 86.5 (79.8 130.7	29.2 37.4) (56.6 16.4	%)% %

Medicaid Segment Results

Our Medicaid segment includes plans for beneficiaries of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families ("TANF"), Supplemental Security Income ("SSI"), Aged Blind and Disabled ("ABD") and other state-based programs that are not part of the Medicaid program, such as Children's Health Insurance Program ("CHIP"), Family Health Plus ("FHP") and Managed Long-Term Care ("MLTC") programs. As of September 30, 2013, we operated Medicaid health plans in Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Kentucky, Missouri, New York and South Carolina. We began serving WCSC members on February 1, 2013, and Missouri Care members on April 1, 2013. As of July 1, 2013, we no longer provided Medicaid services in Ohio.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,			Change				
	2013		2012		Dollar		Percentage	
	(Dollars in millions)							
Premium revenue (1)	\$1,475.4		\$1,076.3		\$399.1		37.1	%
Medicaid premium taxes (1)	17.0		20.6		(3.6)	(17.5)%
Total premiums	1,492.4		1,096.9		395.5		36.1	%
Medical benefits expense	1,314.6		980.0		334.6		34.1	%
Gross margin	\$177.8		\$116.9		\$60.9		52.1	%
Medicaid MBR, including premium taxes	88.1	%	89.3	%			(1.2)%
Medicaid MBR (1)	89.1	%	91.1	%			(2.0)%
	For the Nine September 30		nths Ended		Change			
	2013		2012		Dollar		Percentage	
	(Dollars in millions)							
Premium revenue (1)	\$4,125.6		\$3,208.0		\$917.6		28.6	%
Medicaid premium taxes (1)	59.2		61.0		(1.8)	(3.0)%
Total premiums	4,184.8		3,269.0		915.8		28.0	%
Medical benefits expense	3,636.3		2,844.5		791.8		27.8	%
Gross margin	\$548.5		\$424.5		\$124.0		29.2	%
Medicaid MBR, including premium taxes	86.9	%	87.0	%			(0.1)%
Medicaid MBR (1)	88.1	%	88.7	%			(0.6)%
Medicaid membership at end of period:								
Georgia	552,000		566,000				(2.5)%
Florida	474,000		434,000				9.2	%
Kentucky	291,000		159,000				83.0	%
Other states	440,000		356,000				23.6	%
	1,757,000		1,515,000				16.0	%

MBR measures the ratio of our medical benefits expense to premium revenue excluding Medicaid premium taxes. Because Medicaid premium taxes are included in the premium rates established in certain of our Medicaid (1)contracts and also recognized separately as a component of expense, we exclude these taxes from premium revenue when calculating key ratios as we believe that their impact is not indicative of operating performance. For GAAP reporting purposes, Medicaid premium taxes are included in premium revenue.

Excluding Medicaid premium taxes, Medicaid premium revenue for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 increased 37% and 29%, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2012. In addition to acquisitions in South Carolina and Missouri, the increase in premium revenues was driven mainly by increased membership in the Kentucky and Florida programs, the 7% rate increase in Kentucky that was effective January 1, 2013, rate increases in certain other markets in late 2012, changes in geographic and demographic mix of members and Medicaid revenue from payment arrangements with certain states associated with primary care enhanced payments, as mandated by the Affordable Care Act. The increase in Kentucky Medicaid membership and premiums also reflect the commencement of services provided to beneficiaries in Region 3, which began on January 1, 2013, and the additional members received from Centene on July 6, 2013.

The increase in Medicaid medical benefits expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 when compared to the same periods in 2012 is consistent with the increase in memberships and premiums. Our Medicaid MBR for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 decreased by 200 and 60 basis points, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2012. The decrease in MBR for the three month period was due mainly to improved results in our Kentucky Medicaid program driven by a rate increase and the gross margin impact of additional premium associated with primary care enhanced payments, partially offset by the increase in unfavorable development of prior period medical benefits payable and higher utilization in Georgia and certain other programs. The decrease for the nine month period MBR mainly reflects the impact of improved results in Kentucky, partially offset by a lower amount of net favorable development of prior years' medical benefits payable in 2013 compared to 2012. The Missouri and South Carolina acquisitions also contributed to the decrease in MBR in the nine month period ended September 30, 2013, as the MBR for these programs was lower than the segment average.

Outlook

We currently expect our full year 2013 Medicaid segment premium revenue to increase approximately 26% compared to 2012, mainly as a result of the Kentucky program expansion, including the additional membership gains following Centene's exit from the program in July 2013, and the South Carolina and Missouri acquisitions. We currently expect the Medicaid segment MBR in 2013 to be approximately similar to, or slightly less than, the MBR in 2012 due to the improved performance for the Kentucky program, offset by the impact of a higher than anticipated MBR increases in certain other programs and the continuing shift in the mix of our Medicaid segment programs toward higher MBR populations.

MA Segment Results

We contract with CMS under the Medicare program to provide a comprehensive array of Part C and Part D benefits to Medicare eligible persons, provided through our MA plans. Our MA plans are comprised of coordinated care plans ("CCPs"), which are administered through HMOs and generally require members to seek health care services and select a primary care physician from a network of health care providers. In addition, we offer Medicare Part D coverage, which provides prescription drug benefits, as a component of our MA plans. As of September 30, 2013, we operated our MA CCPs in Arizona, California, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio and Texas.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		Change	Change		
			Change			
	2013	2012	Dollar	Percentag	ge	
	(Dollars in					
Premium revenue	\$807.3	\$470.8	\$336.5	71.5	%	
Medical benefits expense	685.7	408.7	277.0	67.8	%	
Gross margin	\$121.6	\$62.1	\$59.5	95.8	%	
MA MBR	84.9	% 86.8	%	(1.9)%	
	For the Nine Months Ended					
	September 30, Change					
	2013	2012	Dollar	Percenta	ige	
	(Dollars in millions)					
Premium revenue	\$2,286.2	\$1,364.5	\$921.7	67.5	%	
Medical benefits expense	1,968.6	1,133.4	835.2	73.7	%	
Gross margin	\$317.6	\$231.1	\$86.5	37.4	%	
MA MBR	86.1	% 83.1	%	3.0	%	
MA Membership	283,000	167,000		69.5	%	
_						

MA premium revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased 71% and 68%, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2012. In addition to the impact of the Easy Choice and Desert Canyon acquisitions, the increase in premium revenue for both periods was mainly due to organic membership growth associated with our service area expansion, higher risk adjusted premium, prior period revenue adjustments and the strengthening of our sales processes and our product design. Excluding the acquisitions in California and Arizona, our MA premium revenue increased by approximately \$176.6 million, or 37%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013, and increased by \$460.1 million, or 34%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The increase in MA medical benefits expense for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012 is consistent with the increase in membership and premiums. The MA segment MBR for the three months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by 190 basis points compared to the same period in 2012 due mainly to higher risk adjusted premiums and prior period revenue adjustments, partially offset by the impact of Easy Choice, which operates at a higher MBR relative to the segment average, our 2013 plan design and and the impact of the federal government's budget sequestration. The MA segment MBR for the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 increased compared to the same period in 2012 due to the impact of Easy Choice, our 2013 plan design and the impact of the federal government's budget sequestration, offset in part by prior period revenue adjustments.

Outlook

Currently, we expect MA segment membership to continue to grow during the remaining months of 2013, as we leverage our success in serving dually-eligible beneficiaries as well as the broader growth in the Medicare population. Consequently, we continue to expect MA premium revenue to increase by approximately 58% for the full year in 2013 compared to 2012. Our benefits and cost sharing terms for 2013 have been designed to achieve what we believe is an appropriate financial rate of return with plans that are attractive to both current and prospective members. We also continue to expect the MBR in 2013 to increase compared to 2012, driven mainly by the Easy Choice acquisition as well as higher MBRs in many of our other states, consistent with our expectations based on our 2013 bids, and the impact of the federal government's budget sequestration.

PDP Segment Results

We have contracted with CMS to serve as a plan sponsor offering stand-alone Medicare Part D PDP plans to Medicare eligible beneficiaries through our PDP segment. As of September 30, 2013, we offered PDP plans in 49 states and the District of Columbia. The PDP benefit design generally results in our incurring a greater portion of the responsibility for total prescription drug costs in the early stages of a plan year, and less in the latter stages of a plan year, due to the members' share of cumulative out-of-pocket costs increasing throughout the plan year. As a result, the PDP MBR generally decreases throughout the year. Also, the level and mix of members who are auto-assigned to us as and those who actively choose our PDP plans will impact the segment MBR pattern across periods.

	For the Thr September	ee Months Ende 30,	d Change	
	2013	2012	Dollar	Percentage
	(Dollars in	millions)		•
Premium revenue	\$195.9	\$248.7	\$(52.8) (21.2
Medical benefits expense	144.4	160.8	(16.4) (10.2
Gross margin	\$51.5	\$87.9	\$(36.4) (41.4)%
PDP MBR	73.7	% 64.7	%	9.0 %
	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		l Change	
	2013	2012	Dollar	Percentage
	(Dollars in millions)			
Premium revenue	\$604.3	\$780.6	\$(176.3) (22.6)%
Medical benefits expense	543.0	639.5	(96.5) (15.1)%
Gross margin	\$61.3	\$141.1	\$(79.8) (56.6)%
PDP MBR	89.9	% 81.9	%	8.0 %
PDP Membership	784,000	879,000		(10.8)%

PDP premium revenue decreased during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 when compared to the same periods in 2012, primarily due to the decline in membership and the outcome of our 2013 bids. PDP MBR for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 increased 900 basis points and 800 basis points, respectively, over the same periods in 2012 mainly due to the addition of our new enhanced product, designed for those who choose a PDP, and a shift in membership to this product, as well as higher drug unit costs and the outcome of our 2013 bids. Transition of care costs for the enhanced product also contributed to the increased MBR. The transition period concluded at the end of March.

Outlook

We expect PDP membership to grow modestly for the remainder of 2013 driven by membership in our enhanced product; however, we continue to expect membership to decrease compared to 2012. Combined with the decrease in premium rates in 2013, our PDP segment revenue in 2013 is expected to decrease approximately 21% when compared to 2012. We anticipate the PDP segment MBR for the full year 2013 to increase compared to 2012, due primarily to the outcome of our 2013 bids, the addition of our new enhanced product and the impact of the federal government's budget sequestration.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Each of our existing and anticipated sources of cash is impacted by operational and financial risks that influence the overall amount of cash generated and the capital available to us. For a further discussion of risks that can affect our liquidity, see Part I – Item 1A – "Risk Factors" included in our 2012 Form 10-K and Part II – Item 1A – Risk Factors in this 2013 Form 10-Q.

Liquidity

The Company maintains liquidity at two levels: the regulated subsidiary level and the non-regulated parent and subsidiary level.

Regulated subsidiaries

Our regulated subsidiaries' primary liquidity requirements include:

payment of medical claims and other health care services;

management fees paid to our non-regulated administrator subsidiary under intercompany services agreements and direct administrative costs, which are not covered by an intercompany services agreement, such as selling expenses and legal costs; and

• federal tax payments to the parent company under an intercompany tax sharing agreement.

Our regulated subsidiaries meet their liquidity needs by:

maintaining appropriate levels of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments; generating cash flows from operating activities, mainly from premium revenue; eash flows from investing activities, including investment income and sales of investments; and eapital contributions received from our non-regulated subsidiaries.

We refer collectively to the cash, cash equivalents and investment balances maintained by our regulated subsidiaries as "regulated cash and investments," respectively. Our regulated subsidiaries generally receive premiums in advance of payments of claims for medical and other health care services; however, regulated cash and cash equivalents can fluctuate significantly in a particular period depending on the timing of receipts for premiums from our government partners. Our unrestricted regulated cash and investments (which represent our regulated cash and investments not on deposit with a state in which we operate) was \$1,403.5 million as of September 30, 2013, an increase of \$179.5 million from \$1,224.0 at December 31, 2012. The increase is due mainly to cash flows from operating activities as well as \$40.5 million of contributions received from our non-regulated subsidiaries, partially offset by \$107.0 million in dividends paid to our non-regulated subsidiaries.

Our regulated subsidiaries are each subject to applicable state regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of capital and surplus. We continue to maintain significant levels of aggregate excess statutory capital and surplus in our regulated subsidiaries. See further discussion under Regulatory Capital and Dividend Restrictions below.

Parent and non-regulated subsidiaries

Liquidity requirements at the non-regulated parent and subsidiary level generally consist of:

payment of administrative costs not directly incurred by our regulated operations, including, but not limited to, staffing costs, business development, rent, branding and certain information technology services; eapital contributions paid to our regulated subsidiaries;

capital expenditures;

debt service; and

federal tax payments.

Our non-regulated parent and subsidiaries normally meet their liquidity requirements by:

management fees earned by our non-regulated administrator subsidiary under intercompany services agreements; dividends received from our regulated subsidiaries;

collecting federal tax payments from the regulated subsidiaries;

proceeds from issuance of debt and equity securities; and

eash flows from investing activities, including investment income and sales of investments.

Unregulated cash, cash equivalents and investments was approximately \$391.7 million as of September 30, 2013, an increase of \$198.2 million from a balance of \$193.5 million as of December 31, 2012. The increase is mainly attributable to the \$228.5 million of net proceeds received in connection with the second amendment of our senior secured credit facility and \$107.0 million in dividends received from our regulated subsidiaries, partially offset by cash used in relation to our recent acquisitions, \$40.5 million of capital contributions made to certain regulated subsidiaries, total payments of \$37.6 million made during the first half of 2013 in connection with our previously reported settlement with the Civil Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (the "Civil Division"), as well as other certain investigation-related litigation and other resolution costs.

Auction Rate Securities

As of September 30, 2013, \$31.8 million of our long-term investments were comprised of municipal note securities with an auction reset feature ("auction rate securities"), which are issued by various state and local municipal entities for the purpose of financing student loans, public projects and other activities and carry investment grade credit ratings. Liquidity for these auction rate securities is typically provided by an auction process which allows holders to sell their notes and resets the applicable interest rate at pre-determined intervals, usually every seven or 35 days. As of the date of this 2013 Form 10-Q, auctions have failed for our auction rate securities and there is no assurance that auctions will succeed in the future. An auction failure means that the parties wishing to sell their securities could not be matched with an adequate volume of buyers. In the event that there is a failed auction the indenture governing the security requires the issuer to pay interest at a contractually defined rate that is generally above market rates for other types of similar instruments. The securities for which auctions have failed will continue to accrue interest at the contractual rate and be auctioned every seven or 35 days until the auction succeeds, the issuer calls the securities, or they mature. As a result, our ability to liquidate and fully recover the carrying value of our remaining auction rate securities in the near term may be limited or non-existent. In addition, while all of our auction rate securities currently carry investment grade ratings, if the issuers are unable to successfully close future auctions and their credit ratings deteriorate, we may in the future be required to record an impairment charge on these investments.

Although auctions continue to fail, we believe we will be able to liquidate these securities without significant loss. There are government guarantees or municipal bond insurance in place and we have the ability and the present intent to hold these securities until maturity or market stability is restored. Accordingly, we do not believe our auction rate securities are impaired and as a result, we have not recorded any impairment losses for our auction rate securities. However, it could take until the final maturity of the underlying securities to realize our investments' recorded value. The final maturity of the underlying securities could be as long as 24 years. The weighted-average life of the underlying securities for our auction rate securities portfolio is 20 years.

Cash Flow Activities

Our cash flows are summarized as follows:

	September 30,			
	2013		2012	
	(In millions)			
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$229.7		\$(134.4)
Net cash used in investing activities	(153.3)	(68.1)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	213.7		(60.3)
Total net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$290.1		\$(262.8)

Net Cash Used in Operating Activities

For the Nine Months Ended

We generally receive premiums in advance of payments of claims for health care services; however, cash flows related to our operations can fluctuate significantly in a particular period depending on the timing of premiums receipts from our government partners or payments related to the resolution of government investigations and related litigation.

The improved cash flow from operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 resulted mostly from the increase in premiums associated with the growth in membership. Net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 included \$37.6 million in payments made to the Civil

Division in March 2013 and April 2013 under the terms of the settlement agreement discussed in Financial Impact of Government Investigation and Litigation below.

Net cash used in operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was negatively impacted by certain delayed Medicaid premiums, primarily associated with our Georgia Medicaid supplemental payments for obstetric deliveries and newborns, and the \$39.8 million payment made to the Civil Division on March 30, 2012.

Net Cash Used In Investing Activities

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, cash used in investing activities, excluding acquisitions, primarily reflects our investment in marketable securities and restricted investments of approximately \$396.3 million and purchases of property and equipment of \$49.0 million, partially offset by \$332.4 million of proceeds from maturities of marketable securities and restricted investments. Cash consideration paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired, was \$40.5 million in 2013 related to the WCSC and Missouri Care acquisitions.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, cash used in investing activities primarily reflects our investment in marketable securities and restricted investments of approximately \$388.2 million and purchases of property and equipment of \$47.7 million, partially offset by \$367.8 million of proceeds from maturities of marketable securities and restricted investments.

Net Cash Provided By Financing Activities

Net proceeds from additional borrowings under our senior secured credit agreement of \$228.5 million increased net cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period of the prior year, Additionally, net funds received for the benefit of members provided net cash of approximately \$7.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, while net cash paid for the benefit of members was approximately \$57.2 million for the same period in 2012. These funds represent subsidies received from CMS, net of related prescription drug benefits we paid, in connection with the low-income cost sharing, catastrophic reinsurance and coverage gap discount components of the Medicare Part D program for which we assume no risk. These additional proceeds were partially offset by \$28.5 million of payments on debt during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$7.5 million for the same period in 2012. The increased payments on debt during the 2013 period is due to the additional borrowings in February 2013 in connection with the second amendment to our credit agreement.

Financial Impact of Government Investigation and Litigation

Under the terms of settlement agreements entered into on April 26, 2011, and finalized on March 23, 2012, to resolve matters under investigation by the Civil Division and certain other federal and state enforcement agencies (the "Settlement"), WellCare agreed to pay the Civil Division a total of \$137.5 million in four equal annual principal payments, plus interest accrued at 3.125%. The estimated fair value of the discounted remaining liability was \$69.8 million at September 30, 2013.

The Settlement also provides for a contingent payment of an additional \$35.0 million in the event that we are acquired or otherwise experience a change in control within three years of the effective date of the Settlement, provided that the change in control transaction exceeds certain minimum transaction value thresholds as specified in the Settlement.

Capital Resources

Credit Facility

Our senior secured credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") provides for total available credit of \$515.0 million, comprised of a \$365.0 million term loan facility and a \$150.0 million revolving credit facility, which may be used for general corporate purposes. Each of the term loans and revolving credit facility are set to expire in August 2016. Payments of principal on the term loans are due on a quarterly basis through July 31, 2016. The annual interest rate on outstanding term loans was 1.94% and 1.75% as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

As of September 30, 2013, our outstanding term loan balance was \$336.5 million, of which \$39.9 million is included in the current portion of long-term debt and \$296.6 million in the long-term debt line items in our consolidated balance sheet. As of September 30, 2013 and as of the filing date of this 2013 Form 10-Q, we have not drawn any amounts under the revolving credit facility.

For additional information about our long-term debt, see Note 8 – Debt to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Shelf Registration Statement

In August 2012, we filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the Commission that became automatically effective covering the registration, issuance and sale of an indeterminate amount of our securities, including common stock, preferred stock, senior or subordinated debt securities, depository shares, securities purchase contracts, units or warrants. We may publicly offer securities in the future at prices and terms to be determined at the time of the offering.

Initiatives to Increase Our Unregulated Cash

We may pursue alternatives to raise additional unregulated cash. Some of these initiatives may include, but are not limited to, obtaining dividends from certain of our regulated subsidiaries, provided sufficient capital in excess of regulatory requirements exists in these subsidiaries, and/or accessing the debt and equity capital markets. However, we cannot provide any assurances that we will obtain applicable state regulatory approvals for additional dividends to our non-regulated subsidiaries by our regulated subsidiaries or be successful in accessing the capital markets if we determine to do so.

Regulatory Capital and Dividend Restrictions

Each of our HMO and insurance subsidiaries must maintain a minimum amount of statutory capital determined by statute or regulation. The minimum statutory capital requirements differ by state and are generally based on a percentage of annualized premium revenue, a percentage of annualized health care costs, a percentage of certain liabilities, a statutory minimum, risk-based capital ("RBC") requirements or other financial ratios. The RBC requirements are based on guidelines established by the NAIC, and have been adopted by most states. As of September 30, 2013, our operating HMO and insurance company subsidiaries in all states except California, New York and Florida were subject to RBC requirements. The RBC requirements may be modified as each state legislature deems appropriate for that state. The RBC formula, based on asset risk, underwriting risk, credit risk, business risk and other factors, generates the authorized control level ("ACL"), which represents the amount of capital required to support the regulated entity's business. For states in which the RBC requirements have been adopted, the regulated entity typically must maintain a minimum of the greater of 200% of the required ACL or the minimum statutory net worth requirement calculated pursuant to pre-RBC guidelines. Our subsidiaries operating in Texas and Ohio are required to maintain statutory capital at RBC levels equal to 225% and 300%, respectively, of the applicable ACL. Failure to maintain these requirements would trigger regulatory action by the state. At September 30, 2013, our HMO and insurance subsidiaries were in compliance with these minimum capital requirements.

The statutory framework for our regulated subsidiaries' minimum capital requirements changes over time. For instance, RBC requirements may be adopted by more of the states in which we operate. These subsidiaries are also subject to their state regulators' overall oversight powers. For example, the State of New York adopted regulations that increase the reserve requirement annually until 2018. In addition, regulators could require our subsidiaries to maintain minimum levels of statutory net worth in excess of the amount required under the applicable state laws if the regulators determine that maintaining such additional statutory net worth is in the best interest of our members and other constituencies. Moreover, if we expand our plan offerings in a state or pursue new business opportunities, we may be required to make additional statutory capital contributions.

In addition to the foregoing requirements, our regulated subsidiaries are subject to restrictions on their ability to make dividend payments, loans and other transfers of cash. Dividend restrictions vary by state, but the maximum amount of dividends which can be paid without prior approval from the applicable state is subject to restrictions relating to statutory capital, surplus and net income for the previous year. Some states require prior approval of all dividends, regardless of amount. States may disapprove any dividend that, together with other dividends paid by a subsidiary in the prior 12 months, exceeds the regulatory maximum as computed for the subsidiary based on its statutory surplus and net income. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we received \$107.0 million in cash dividends from our regulated subsidiaries.

For additional information on regulatory requirements, see Note 16 – Regulatory Capital and Dividend Restrictions to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Contractual Obligations

In our 2012 Form 10-K, we reported our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2012. Since then, the Company borrowed an additional \$230.0 million in term loans in connection with the amendment of our Credit Agreement on February 12, 2013. See Note 8 – Debt within the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information. As of September 30, 2013, our contractual obligations for long-term debt are as follows:

Payments due within:

The current year	\$9.5
1 - 3 years	327.0
	\$336.5

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

In the ordinary course of business, we make a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of our results of operations and financial condition in conformity with GAAP. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that our accounting estimates relating to premium revenue recognition, medical benefits expense and medical benefits payable, and goodwill and intangible assets, are those that are most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results and require management's most difficult, subjective and complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. We have not changed our methodology in deriving these critical accounting estimates from those previously disclosed in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Revenue Recognition

We earn premium revenue through our participation in Medicaid, Medicaid-related and Medicare programs.

State governments individually operate and implement and, together with the federal government's CMS, fund and regulate the Medicaid program. We provide benefits to low-income and disabled persons under the Medicaid program and are paid premiums based on contracts with government agencies in the states in which we operate health plans. Our Medicaid contracts are generally multi-year contracts subject to annual renewal provisions. Rate changes are typically made at the commencement of each new contract renewal period. In some instances, our fixed Medicaid premiums are subject to risk score adjustments based on the acuity of our membership. State agencies analyze encounter submissions of processed claims data to determine the acuity of our membership relative to the entire state's Medicaid membership.

We operate our MA plans under the Medicare Part C program and provide our eligible members with benefits comparable to those available under Medicare Parts A and B. Most of our MA plans and all of our PDP plans offer prescription drug benefits to eligible members under the Medicare Part D program. Premiums for each MA member are established by contract, although the rates vary according to a combination of factors, including upper payment limits established by CMS, the member's geographic location, age, gender, medical history or condition, or the services rendered to the member. Our MA contracts with CMS generally have terms of one year and expire at the end of each calendar year. PDP premiums are also based upon a contract with CMS that has a term of one year and expires at the end of each calendar year. We provide annual written bids to CMS for our PDP plans, which reflect the estimated costs of providing prescription drug benefits over the plan year. Changes in MA and PDP members' health status also impact monthly premiums as described under "Risk-Adjusted Medicare Premiums" below. CMS pays all premium for Medicare Part C and substantially all of the premium for Medicare Part D coverage. We bill the remaining Medicare Part D premium to PDP and MA members with Part D benefits based on the plan year bid submitted to CMS. For qualifying low-income subsidy ("LIS") members, CMS pays for some or all of the LIS member's monthly premium. The CMS payment is dependent upon the member's income level as determined by the Social Security Administration.

We receive premiums from CMS and state agencies on a per member per month ("PMPM") basis for the members that are assigned to, or have selected, us to provide health care services under our Medicare and Medicaid contracts. We recognize premium revenue in the period in which we are obligated to provide services to our members. CMS and state agencies generally pay us in the month in which we provide services. We record premiums earned but not received as premiums receivable and record premiums received in advance of the period of service as unearned premiums in the consolidated balance sheets. Unearned premiums are recognized as revenue when we provide the related services. On a monthly basis, we bill members for any premiums for which they are responsible according to their respective plan. Member premiums are recognized as revenue in the period of service. We reduce recorded premium revenue and member premiums receivable by the amount we estimate may not be collectible, based on our evaluation of historical trends. We also routinely monitor the collectability of specific premiums receivable from CMS and state agencies, including Medicaid receivables for obstetric deliveries and newborns and net receivables for member retroactivity and reduce revenue and premiums receivable by the amount we estimate may not be collectible. Historically, the allowance for member premiums receivable has not been material relative to consolidated premium revenue.

We record retroactive adjustments to revenues based on changes in the number and eligibility status of our members subsequent to when we recorded revenue related to those members and months of service. We receive premium payments based upon eligibility lists produced by CMS and state agencies. We verify these lists to determine whether we have been paid for the correct premium category and program. From time to time, CMS and state agencies require us to reimburse them for premiums that we received for individuals who were subsequently determined by us, or by CMS or state agencies, to be ineligible for any government-sponsored program or to belong to a plan other than ours. We receive additional premiums from CMS and state agencies for individuals who were subsequently determined to belong to our plan for periods in which we received no premium for those members. We estimate the amount of outstanding retroactivity adjustments and adjust premium revenue based on historical trends, premiums billed, the volume of member and contract renewal activity and other information. We record amounts receivable or payable in premiums receivable, net and other accrued expenses and liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

Risk-Adjusted Medicare Premiums

CMS employs a risk-adjustment model to determine the premium amount it pays for each MA and PDP member. This model apportions premiums paid to all plans according to the health status of each beneficiary enrolled, resulting in higher scores for members with predictably higher costs. The model uses diagnosis data from inpatient and

ambulatory treatment settings to calculate each risk score. We collect claims and encounter data for our MA members and submit the necessary diagnosis data to CMS within prescribed deadlines. After reviewing the respective submissions, CMS establishes the premium payments to MA plans at the beginning of the plan year, and then adjusts premium levels on a retroactive basis. The first retroactive adjustment for a given plan year generally occurs during the third quarter of that year and represents the update of risk scores for the current plan year based on the severity of claims incurred in the prior plan year. CMS then issues a final retroactive risk-adjusted premium settlement for that plan year in the following year.

We develop our estimates for risk-adjusted premiums utilizing historical experience and predictive models as sufficient member risk score data becomes available over the course of each CMS plan year. We populate our models with available risk score data on our members and base risk premium adjustments on risk score data from the previous year. We are not privy to risk score data for members new to our plans in the current plan year; therefore we include assumptions regarding these members' risk scores. We periodically revise our estimates of risk-adjusted premiums as additional diagnosis code information is reported to CMS and adjust our estimates to actual amounts when the ultimate adjustment settlements are either received from CMS or we receive notification from CMS of such settlement amounts. As a result of the variability of factors that determine our estimates for risk-adjusted premiums, the actual amount of the CMS retroactive payment could be materially more or less than our estimates and could have a material effect on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows. We record any changes in estimates in current operations as adjustments to premium revenue. Historically, we have not experienced significant differences between our estimates and amounts ultimately received. However, in the three months ended September 30, 2013, we recognized risk adjusted premium received as part of the 2012 final settlement that was higher than our original estimates, mainly related to members in our California MA plan that were new to Medicare in 2012. Additionally, the data provided to CMS to determine members' risk scores is subject to audit by CMS even after the annual settlements occur. An audit may result in the refund of premiums to CMS. While our experience to date has not resulted in a material refund, future refunds could materially reduce premium revenue in the year in which CMS determines a refund is required and could be material to our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Minimum Medical Expense and Risk Corridor Provisions

We may be required to refund certain premium revenue to CMS and state government agencies under various contractual and plan arrangements. We estimate the impact of the following arrangements on a monthly basis and reflect any adjustments to premium revenues in current operations. We report the estimated net amounts due to CMS and state agencies in other payables to government partners in the consolidated balance sheets.

Certain of our Florida Medicaid contracts and our Illinois Medicaid contract require us to expend a minimum percentage of premiums on eligible medical benefits expense. To the extent that we expend less than the minimum percentage of the premiums on eligible medical benefits expense, we are required to refund to the state all or some portion of the difference between the minimum and our actual allowable medical benefits expense. We estimate the amounts due to the state agencies as a return of premium based on the terms of our contracts with the applicable state agency.

Our MA and PDP prescription drug plan premiums are subject to risk sharing through the CMS Medicare Part D risk corridor provisions. The risk corridor calculation compares our actual experience to the target amount of prescription drug costs, limited to costs under the standard coverage as defined by CMS, less rebates included in our submitted plan year bid. We receive additional premium from CMS if our actual experience is more than 5% above the target amount. We refund premiums to CMS if our actual experience is more than 5% below the target amount. After the close of the annual plan year, CMS performs the risk corridor calculation and any differences are settled between CMS and our plans. We have not historically experienced material differences between the subsequent CMS settlement amount and our estimates.

Medicare Part D Settlements

We receive certain Part D prospective subsidy payments from CMS for our MA and PDP members based on the estimated costs of providing prescription drug benefits over the plan year. After the close of the annual plan year, CMS reconciles our actual experience to the prospective payments we received and any differences are settled between CMS and our plans. As such, these subsidies represent funding from CMS for which we assume no risk. We do not recognize the receipt of these subsidies as premium revenue and we do not recognize the payments of related

prescription drug benefits as medical benefits expense. We report the subsidies received and benefits paid on a net basis as funds receivable (held) for the benefit of members in the consolidated balance sheets. We also report the net receipts and payments as a financing activity in our consolidated statements of cash flows. CMS pays the following subsidies prospectively as a fixed PMPM amount based upon the plan year bid submitted by us:

Low-Income Cost Sharing Subsidy—CMS reimburses us for all or a portion of qualifying LIS members' deductible, coinsurance and co-payment amounts above the out-of-pocket threshold.

Catastrophic Reinsurance Subsidy—CMS reimburses us for 80% of the drug costs after a member reaches his or her out-of-pocket catastrophic threshold through a catastrophic reinsurance subsidy.

Coverage Gap Discount Subsidy—We advance the pharmaceutical manufacturers gap coverage discounts at the point of sale. On a periodic basis, CMS bills pharmaceutical manufacturers for discounts advanced by us. Pharmaceutical manufacturers remit payments for invoiced amounts directly to us. CMS reduces subsequent prospective payments made to us by the discount amounts billed to manufacturers.

CMS generally performs the Part D payment reconciliation in the fourth quarter of the following plan year based on prescription drug event data we submit to CMS within prescribed deadlines. After the Part D payment reconciliation for coverage gap discount subsidies, we may continue to report discounts to CMS for 37 months following the end of the plan year. CMS will invoice manufacturers for these discounts and we will be paid through the quarterly manufacturer payments. Historically, we have not experienced material adjustments related to the CMS annual reconciliation of prior plan year low-income cost sharing, catastrophic reinsurance and coverage gap discount subsidies.

Medical Benefits Expense and Medical Benefits Payable

Medical benefits payable is the most significant estimate included in the consolidated financial statements. We use a consistent methodology to record management's best estimate of medical benefits payable based on the experience and information available to us at the time. This estimate is determined utilizing standard actuarial methodologies based upon historical experience and key assumptions consisting of trend factors and completion factors using an assumption of moderately adverse conditions, which vary by business segment. These standard actuarial methodologies include:

contractual requirements;

historic utilization trends;

the interval between the date services are rendered and the date claims are paid;

denied and disputed claims activity and changes in benefits;

expected health care cost inflation;

seasonality patterns;

maturity of lines of business; and

changes in membership.

Many aspects of the managed care business are not predictable. These aspects include incidences of illness or disease (such as congestive heart failure cases, cases of upper respiratory illness, the length and severity of the flu season, diabetes cases, the number of full-term versus premature births and the number of neonatal intensive care babies). Therefore, we must continually monitor our historical experience in determining our trend assumptions to reflect the ever-changing mix, needs and size of our membership. Among the factors considered by management are:

changes in the level of benefits provided to members;

seasonal variations in utilization;

identified industry trends; and

changes in provider reimbursement arrangements, including changes in the percentage of reimbursements made on a capitation as opposed to a fee-for-service basis.

The factors and assumptions described above that are used to develop our estimate of medical benefits expense and medical benefits payable inherently are subject to greater variability when there is more limited experience or information available to us. The ultimate claims payment amounts, patterns and trends for new products and geographic areas cannot be precisely predicted at their onset, since we, the providers and the members do not have experience in these products or geographic areas. Standard accepted actuarial methodologies, discussed above, would allow for this inherent variability. This can result in larger differences between the originally estimated medical

benefits payable and the actual claims amounts paid. Conversely, during periods where our products and geographies are more stable and mature, we have more reliable claims payment patterns and trend experience. With more reliable data, we should be able to more closely estimate the ultimate claims payment amounts; therefore, we may experience smaller differences between our original estimate of medical benefits payable and the actual claim amounts paid.

In developing our estimates, we apply different estimation methods depending on the month for which incurred claims are being estimated. For the more recent months, which constitute the majority of the amount of the medical benefits payable, we estimate claims incurred by applying observed trend factors to the fixed fee PMPM costs for prior months, which costs have been estimated using completion factors, in order to estimate the PMPM costs for the most recent months. We validate our estimates of the most recent PMPM costs by comparing the most recent months' utilization levels to the utilization levels in prior months and actuarial techniques that incorporate a historical analysis of claim payments, including trends in cost of care provided and timeliness of submission and processing of claims.

These considerations are reflected in the trends in our medical benefits expense. Other external factors such as government-mandated benefits or other regulatory changes, catastrophes and epidemics may impact medical cost trends. Other internal factors such as system conversions and claims processing interruptions may impact our ability to accurately predict estimates of historical completion factors or medical cost trends. Medical cost trends potentially are more volatile than other segments of the economy. Management uses considerable judgment in determining medical benefits expense trends and other actuarial model inputs. We believe that the amount of medical benefits payable as of September 30, 2013 is adequate to cover our ultimate liability for unpaid claims as of that date; however, actual payments may differ from established estimates. If the completion factors we used in estimating our IBNR for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were decreased by 1%, our net income would decrease by approximately \$74.3 million. If the completion factors were increased by 1%, our net income would increase by approximately \$72.6 million.

Changes in medical benefits payable estimates are primarily the result of obtaining more complete claims information and medical expense trend data over time. Volatility in members' needs for medical services, provider claims submissions and our payment processes result in identifiable patterns emerging several months after the causes of deviations from assumed trends occur. Since our estimates are based upon PMPM claims experience, changes cannot typically be explained by any single factor, but are the result of a number of interrelated variables, all of which influence the resulting medical cost trend. Differences between actual experience and estimates used to establish the liability, which we refer to as prior period developments, are recorded in the period when such differences become known and have the effect of increasing or decreasing the reported medical benefits expense in such periods.

Because of the complexity of our business, the number of states in which we operate, and the need to account for different health care benefit packages among those states, we make an overall assessment of IBNR after considering the base actuarial model reserves and the provision for moderately adverse conditions. We consistently apply our IBNR estimation methodology from period to period. We review our overall estimates of IBNR on a monthly basis. As additional information becomes known to us, we adjust our assumptions accordingly to change our estimate of IBNR. Therefore, if moderately adverse conditions do not occur, evidenced by more complete claims information in the following period, then our prior period estimates will be revised downward, resulting in favorable development. However, when a portion of the development related to the prior year incurred claims is offset by an increase determined to address moderately adverse conditions for the current year incurred claims, we do not consider that development amount as having any impact on net income during the period. If moderately adverse conditions occur and are more than we estimated, then our prior period estimates will be revised upward, resulting in unfavorable development, which would decrease current period net income.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, we recognized approximately \$47.5 million of net unfavorable development, which includes approximately \$16.3 million of unfavorable development related to prior fiscal years and \$31.2 million of unfavorable development relating to the first half of 2013. For the three months ended September 30, 2012, medical benefits expense was impacted by approximately \$15.4 million of net unfavorable development, which includes approximately \$23.3 million of unfavorable development related to the first half of 2012, partially offset by \$7.9 million of favorable development related to prior fiscal years. The net unfavorable development recognized in the three month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was due mainly to higher than projected

medical costs in our Medicaid segment. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, medical benefits expense was impacted by approximately \$7.1 million of net unfavorable development while for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, net favorable development related to prior years impacted medical expense by \$79.7 million. The net unfavorable development recognized in the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 was due to the 2012 medical cost trend emerging unfavorably compared to our previous estimates, mostly in our Medicare segment. The net favorable development recognized in the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 was due to the 2011 medical cost trend emerging favorably compared to our previous estimates, mostly in our Medicaid segment and to a lesser extent in our MA and PDP segments.

See Note 1 – Organization, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies, to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding assumptions and methods used to estimate this liability.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost over the fair market value of net assets acquired and is attributable to our Medicare Advantage and Medicaid reporting segments. Other intangible assets include provider networks, broker networks, trademarks, state contracts, non-compete agreements, licenses and permits. We amortize other intangible assets over their estimated useful lives ranging from approximately one to 15 years. These assets are allocated to reporting segments for impairment testing purposes.

We review goodwill and intangible assets for impairment at least annually, or more frequently if events or changes in our business climate occur that may potentially affect the estimated useful life or the recoverability of the remaining balance of goodwill or intangible assets. Such events or changes in circumstances would include significant changes in membership, state funding, federal and state government contracts and provider networks. To determine whether goodwill is impaired, we perform a multi-step impairment test. First, we can elect to perform a qualitative assessment of each reporting unit to determine whether facts and circumstances support a determination that their fair values are greater than their carrying values. If the qualitative analysis is not conclusive, or if we elect to proceed directly with quantitative testing, we will then measure the fair values of the reporting units using a two-step approach. In the first step, we determine the fair value of the reporting unit using both income and market approaches. We calculate fair value based on our assumptions of key factors such as projected revenues and the discount factor. While we believe these assumptions and estimates are appropriate, other assumptions and estimates could be applied and may produce significantly different results. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying value, we measure and record the amount of the goodwill impairment, if any, by comparing the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill to the carrying value. We perform our annual goodwill impairment test based on our financial position and results of operations through the second quarter of each year, which generally coincides with the finalization of federal and state contract negotiations and our initial budgeting process.

We elected to bypass the optional qualitative fair value assessment and conducted our annual quantitative test for goodwill impairment during the third quarter of 2013. Based on the results of our quantitative test, we determined that the fair values of our reporting units exceeded their carrying values and therefore no impairment charges were recorded during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Commitments and Contingencies

Based on the nature of our business, we are subject to regulatory reviews or other investigations by various state insurance and health care regulatory authorities and other state and federal regulatory authorities. These authorities regularly scrutinize the business practices of health insurance and benefits companies and their reviews focus on numerous facets of our business, including claims payment practices, provider contracting, competitive practices, commission payments, privacy issues and utilization management practices, among others. Some of these reviews have historically resulted in fines imposed on us and some have required changes to our business practices. We continue to be subject to such reviews, which may result in additional fines and/or sanctions being imposed or additional changes in our business practices.

We are also involved in other legal actions in the normal course of our business, including, without limitation, wage and hour claims and provider disputes regarding payment of claims. Some of these actions seek monetary damages including claims for liquidated or punitive damages, which are not covered by insurance. We review relevant information with respect to litigation matters and we update our estimates of reasonably possible losses and related disclosures. We accrue an estimate for contingent liabilities, including attorney's fees related to these matters, if a loss is probable or estimable. Currently, we do not expect that the resolution of any currently pending actions, either individually or in the aggregate, will differ materially from our current estimates or have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. However, the outcome of any legal actions cannot be

predicted, and therefore, actual results may differ from those estimates.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Investment Return Market Risk

As of September 30, 2013, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$1,390.6 million, investments classified as current assets of \$317.6 million, long-term investments of \$87.0 million and restricted investments on deposit for licensure of \$82.3 million. The short-term investments classified as current assets consist of highly liquid securities with maturities between three and twelve months and longer term bonds with floating interest rates that are considered available for sale. Restricted assets consist of cash and cash equivalents and U.S. Treasury instruments deposited or pledged to state agencies in accordance with state rules and regulations. These restricted assets are classified as long-term regardless of the contractual maturity date due to the nature of the states' requirements. The investments classified as long term are subject to interest rate risk and will decrease in value if market rates increase. Because of their contractual maturity dates, however, we would not expect the value of these investments to decline significantly as a result of a sudden change in market interest rates. Assuming a hypothetical and immediate 1% increase in market interest rates at September 30, 2013, the fair value of our fixed income investments would decrease by approximately \$3.6 million. Similarly, a 1% decrease in market interest rates at September 30, 2013 would increase the fair value of our investments by approximately \$4.0 million.

Interest Rate Market Risk

We are exposed to changes in interest rates under our Credit Agreement which is subject to variable interest rates dependent upon Adjusted LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement) for the interest period in effect for such borrowing plus the applicable margin, which ranges from 1.50% to 3.25% per annum for Eurodollar Loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement). Interest rate changes impact the amount of our interest payments and, therefore, our future earnings and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant. At September 30, 2013, a 100 basis point increase in assumed interest rates on borrowings under our Credit Agreement would have an annual impact of \$3.4 million in increased interest expense. Similarly, a 100 basis point decrease in assumed interest rates at September 30, 2013 would decrease interest expense by \$3.4 million.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management carried out an evaluation required by Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act, under the leadership and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act ("Disclosure Controls"). Based on the evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our Disclosure Controls were effective as of the end of the period covered by this 2013 Form 10-Q.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15(d) under the Exchange Act during the quarter ended September 30, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

For information regarding legal proceedings, see Note 11 – Commitments and Contingencies, included in the Consolidated Financial Statements of this 2013 Form 10-Q.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Certain risk factors may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and you should carefully consider them. The discussion in "Item 2. Forward Looking Financial Statements" is incorporated herein by reference. The following amends and restates the risk factors disclosed in Part I – Item 1A – Risk Factors included in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Failure to maintain satisfactory quality scores could negatively impact our premium rates, subject us to penalties, limit or reduce our membership, impede our ability to compete for new business in existing or new markets or result in the termination of our contracts, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, rate of growth and results of operations.

Quality scores are used by certain agencies to establish premium rates or, in the case of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS"), to pay bonuses to better-performing Medicare Advantage ("MA") plans that enable those plans to offer improved member health benefits to attract more members. In certain states, plans that do not meet the quality measures can be required to refund premiums previously received, or pay penalties, or the plan may be subject to enrollment limitations, including suspension of auto assignment of members, or termination of the contract. In 2013, our MA plans in Arizona, Georgia, Louisiana and Missouri each received a quality score, or "Star Rating", of 2.5. If our star ratings do not improve, these plans may be terminated by CMS as early as plan year 2015. In addition, if the state determines that we have failed to meet the contractual requirements, these contracts may be subject to termination, or other remedies, at the discretion of the state. We are unable to predict what actions the state may take, if any, when assessing our contractual performance.

In addition, lower quality scores compared to our competitors may result in us losing potential new business in new markets, failing to obtain regulatory approval for acquisitions and expansions, and dissuading potential members from choosing our plan in markets in which we already compete. As a result, lower quality scores compared to our competitors could have a material adverse effect on our business, rate of growth and results of operations. Medicaid premiums are fixed by contract and do not permit us to increase our premiums during the contract term, therefore, if we are unable to estimate and manage medical benefits expense effectively, our profitability likely will be reduced or we could cease to be profitable.

Our profitability depends, to a significant degree, on our ability to predict and effectively manage our costs related to the provision of health care services. Relatively small changes in the ratio of our expenses related to health care services to the premiums we receive (the "medical benefits ratio" or "MBR") can create significant changes in our financial results. Factors that may cause medical benefits expense to exceed our estimates include: the addition of new members, whether by acquisition, new enrollment, program startup or expansion, whose risk profiles are uncertain or unknown and for whom initiatives to manage their care take longer than expected; an increase in the cost of health care services and supplies, including pharmaceuticals, whether as a result of inflation

higher-than-expected utilization of health care services;

or otherwise;

periodic renegotiation of hospital, physician and other provider contracts;

the occurrence of catastrophes, major epidemics, terrorism or bio-terrorism;

changes in the demographics of our members and medical trends affecting them; and

new mandated benefits, increased mandated provider reimbursement rates or other changes in health care laws, regulations and/or practices.

If our medical benefits expense increases and we are unable to manage these medical costs effectively in the future, our profits would likely be reduced or we may not remain profitable.

Most of our revenues are generated by premiums consisting of fixed monthly payments per member and supplemental payments for other services such as maternity deliveries, determined by the types of members in our plans. These payments are fixed by contract and we are obligated during the contract period, which is generally one to four years, to provide or arrange for the provision of health care services as established by states and the federal government. The payments are generally set based on an

estimation of the medical costs using actuarial methods based on historical data. Actual experience, however, could differ from the assumptions used in the premium-setting process, which could result in premiums being insufficient to cover our medical benefits expense. If our medical benefits expense exceeds our estimates or our regulators' actuarial pricing assumptions, and we are unable to adjust the premiums we receive under our current contracts, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

In addition, there are sometimes wide variations in the established rates per member in both our Medicaid and Medicare lines of business. For instance, the rates we receive for a Supplemental Security Income ("SSI") member are generally significantly higher than for a non-SSI member who is otherwise similarly situated. As the composition of our membership base changes as the result of programmatic, competitive, regulatory, benefit design, economic or other changes, there is a corresponding change to our premium revenue, costs and margins, which may have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and results of operations.

Our membership is concentrated in certain geographic areas in the U.S., and unfavorable changes in health care or other benefit costs or reimbursement rates or increased competition in those geographic areas could therefore have a disproportionately adverse effect on our operating results. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012, three of our Medicaid customers each accounted for greater than 10% of our consolidated premium revenue, net of premium taxes: Florida, Georgia and Kentucky.

Some provider contracts are directly tied to state Medicaid fee schedules, which the state or CMS may increase without granting a corresponding increase in premiums to us. For example, in connection with Florida's Medicaid reform initiative, the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration ("AHCA") has recently implemented a new payment structure for covered inpatient services under Florida Medicaid's fee-for-service program. As of July 1, 2013, AHCA is reimbursing providers for such services based on a diagnosis related group ("DRG") schedule. This change impacts the payments we make to our contracted providers whose contracts with us are tied to Florida Medicaid fee-for-service rates. In addition, we are in the process of transitioning other contracted inpatient service providers in our Florida Medicaid network to this payment methodology. We have experienced similar types of adjustments in other states in which we operate. Unless such adjustments are mitigated by an increase in premiums, or if this were to occur in any more of the states in which we operate, our profitability will be negatively impacted.

Also, in some rural areas, it is difficult to maintain a provider network sufficient to meet regulatory requirements. In situations where we have a deficiency in our provider network, regulators require us to allow members to obtain care from out-of-network providers at no additional cost, which could have a material adverse effect on our ability to manage expenses. In some states, with respect to certain services, the amount that the health plan must pay to out-of-network providers for services provided to our members is defined by law or regulation, but in certain instances it is either not defined or it is established by a standard that is not clearly translatable into dollar terms. Out-of-network providers may believe they are underpaid for their services and may either litigate or arbitrate their dispute with the health plan. The uncertainty of the amount to pay and the possibility of subsequent adjustments of the payment could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Although we maintain reinsurance to protect us against certain severe or catastrophic medical claims, we cannot assure you that such reinsurance coverage currently is or will be adequate or available to us in the future or that the cost of such reinsurance will not limit our ability to obtain it.

We may be unable to offset the reductions in premium revenue of our MA and our PDP plans due to sequestration and the effect on our results of operations may be material.

Pursuant to the sequestration provisions of the Budget Control Act of 2011, approximately \$1.2 trillion in domestic and defense spending reductions began in March 2013. A 2% rate reduction to the Medicare program began on April 1, 2013, which will decrease our premium revenue for our MA and prescription drug plan ("PDP") segments. The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2014 included continued spending reductions and sequestration may continue annually for a 10-year period, in the absence of further legislative action. We may be unable to offset this reduction in premium revenue, and the effect on our results of operations may be material.

Difficulties in successfully executing acquisitions, expansions and other significant transactions may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

As part of our growth strategy, we identify potential acquisition targets, bid and negotiate acquisition terms, work with regulators to receive regulatory approval for the acquisition and once the transaction is closed, we must integrate the acquisition into our operations. In 2012, we completed two acquisitions, Easy Choice in California and Desert Canyon in Arizona, and in the first quarter of 2013, we completed our acquisition of UnitedHealth Group Incorporated's South Carolina Medicaid plan and Aetna, Inc.'s Missouri Medicaid plan.

In September 2013, we announced that we entered into an agreement to acquire Windsor Health Group, Inc. ("Windsor") from Munich Health North America, Inc, a part of Munich Re. Through its subsidiaries, Windsor serves Medicare beneficiaries with Medicare Advantage, Prescription Drug Plan and Medicare Supplement products. We currently expect this transaction to close during December 2013 or January 2014, subject to customary regulatory approvals. Also, in September 2013, we entered into an agreement to acquire certain assets of Healthfirst Health Plan of New Jersey, Inc., which operates a Medicaid health plan in 12 counties in New Jersey. We expect the acquisition to close during the first quarter of 2014, subject to customary regulatory approvals.

Once an attractive acquisition target is identified, we may not be successful in bidding against competitors. Other potential acquirers may have greater financial resources or different profitability criteria than we have. Depending on the transaction size, we may not be able to obtain appropriate financing, especially in light of the volatility in the capital markets over the past several years.

Even if we are successful in bidding against competitors, we may not be able to obtain the regulatory approval from federal and state agencies required to complete the acquisition. We may not be able to comply with the regulatory requirements necessary for approval of the acquisition or state regulators may give preference to competing offers made by locally-owned entities, competitors with higher quality scores or not-for-profit entities.

Once acquired, we may have difficulties integrating the businesses within our existing operations, due to:

new associates who must become familiar with our operations and corporate culture;

acquired provider networks that operate on different terms than our existing networks and whose contracts may need to be renegotiated;

existing members who decide to switch to another health care plan;

disparate administrative and information technology systems; and

difficulties implementing our operations strategy to operate the acquired businesses profitably.

Furthermore, we may incur significant transaction expenses in connection with a potential acquisition or expansion opportunity which may not be successful. These expenses could impact our selling, general and administrative expense ratio. If we are unable to effectively execute our acquisition strategy or integrate acquired businesses, our future growth may suffer and our profitability may decrease.

Our rate of expansion into other geographic areas may also be inhibited by:

the time and costs associated with obtaining the necessary license to operate in the new area or the expansion of our licensed service area, if necessary;

Hower quality scores compared to our competitors;

participation in fewer lines of business compared to our competitors;

our inability to develop a network of physicians, hospitals and other health care providers that meets our requirements and those of government regulators;

CMS or state contract provisions regarding quality measures, such as CMS star ratings ("Star Ratings");

competition, which increases the cost of recruiting members;

the cost of providing health care services in those areas;

demographics and population density; and

applicable state regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of capital and surplus.

In any program start-up, acquisition, expansion, or re-bid, the implementation of the contract as designed may be affected by factors beyond our control. These include political considerations, network development, contract appeals, incumbency, participation in other lines of business, membership assignment (allocation of members who do not self-select), errors in the bidding process, difficulties experienced by other private vendors involved in the implementation, such as enrollment brokers, and noncompliance with contractual requirements with which we do not yet have experience and similar risks. Our business, particularly plans for expansion or increased membership levels, could be negatively impacted by these delays or changes.

In addition, when making award determinations and regulatory approvals of acquisitions and expansions, regulators frequently consider the plan's historical regulatory compliance, litigation and reputation and we are required to disclose material investigations and litigation, including in some cases investigations and litigation that occurred in the past. As a result of the previous federal and state investigations, stockholder and derivative litigation, the restatement during 2009 of our previously issued financial statements and related matters, and the criminal trial of certain of our former executives and employees that concluded in

the second quarter of 2013, we have been, and may continue to be, the subject of negative publicity. As a result, continuing negative publicity and other negative perceptions regarding these matters may adversely affect our ability to grow.

Growth could also place a significant strain on our management and on other resources and we are likely to incur additional costs if we enter states or counties where we do not currently operate. Our ability to manage our growth may depend on our ability to retain and strengthen our management team; attract, train and retain skilled associates; and implement and improve operational, financial and management information systems on a timely basis. If we are unable to manage our growth effectively, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. In addition, due to the initial substantial costs related to acquisitions and expansions, such growth could materially adversely affect our short-term profitability and liquidity.

Furthermore, we may incur unusual or non-recurring expenses in connection with the integration and execution of acquisitions, expansions, and other significant transactions, as well as the ongoing management of our business and operations.

Future changes in health care law present challenges for our business that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows.

Future changes in existing health care laws or regulations, or their interpretations, or the enactment of new laws or the issuance of new regulations could materially reduce our revenue and/or profitability by, among other things:

imposing additional license, registration and/or capital requirements;

increasing our administrative and other costs;

requiring us to change our operating structure;

increasing mandated benefits;

further limiting our ability to engage in intra-company transactions with our affiliates and subsidiaries;

restricting our revenue and enrollment growth;

requiring us to restructure our relationships with providers; and

requiring us to implement additional or different programs and systems.

Requirements relating to increased plan information disclosure, expedited appeals and grievance procedures, third party review of certain medical decisions, health plan liability, access to specialists, "clean claim" (claims for which no additional information is needed) payment methodologies and timing, mandatory network inclusion of certain providers, mandated increases in provider reimbursement rates, physician collective bargaining rights and confidentiality of medical records either have been enacted or are under consideration. Changes in state law, regulations and rules also may materially adversely affect our profitability.

The requirements of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (the "Affordable Care Act") may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows.

We believe the Affordable Care Act will bring about significant changes to the American health care system. These measures are intended to expand the number of United States residents covered by health insurance and make other coverage, delivery, and payment changes to the current health care system. The costs of implementing the Affordable Care Act will be financed, in part, from substantial additional fees and taxes on us and other health insurers, health plans and individuals, as well as reductions in certain levels of payments to us and other health plans under Medicare.

The Affordable Care Act will also impose certain new taxes and fees, including limitations on the amount of compensation that is tax deductible and annual assessments on all health insurers, worth approximately \$8 billion beginning in 2014, which will increase in subsequent years. The imposition of this assessment will adversely impact our operating margins. In addition, in states in which we compete with not-for profit Medicaid health plans, we may need to reduce margins in order to assure price competitiveness. As a result, this new tax may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

On June 28, 2012, the U.S. Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the individual mandate contained in the Affordable Care Act and modified the Medicaid expansion provisions to make the expansion optional for states. Some states have decided not to participate in the Medicaid expansion, and more states may choose not to participate in the future. Congress may also withhold the funding necessary to fully implement the Affordable Care Act or may attempt to replace the legislation with amended provisions or repeal it altogether. Given the breadth of possible changes and the uncertainties of interpretation, implementation, and timing of these changes, which we expect to occur over the next several years, the Affordable Care Act could change the way we do business, potentially impacting our pricing, benefit design, product mix, geographic mix, and distribution channels.

Regulations related to the Affordable Care Act, as well as future legislative changes, in the aggregate may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows by:

restricting revenue, enrollment and premium growth in certain products and market segments;

restricting our ability to expand into new markets;

increasing our medical and administrative costs; and

lowering our Medicare payment rates and/or increasing our expenses associated with the non-deductible federal premium tax and other assessments.

In addition, the response of other companies to the Affordable Care Act and adjustments to their offerings, if any, could have a meaningful impact in the health care markets.

The Affordable Care Act includes a number of changes that could impact the way MA plans will operate, such as:

CMS Star Ratings. Certain provisions in the Affordable Care Act tie MA premiums to the achievement of Star Ratings. Beginning in 2012, MA plans with an overall Star Rating of three or more stars (out of five) are eligible for a quality bonus in their basic premium rates. Initially, quality bonuses were limited to the few plans that achieved a four or higher overall Star Rating, but CMS expanded the quality bonus to plans with a three overall Star Rating for a three year period through 2014. Plans that receive quality bonuses may have a competitive advantage in the Medicare market, as they may be able to offer more attractive benefit packages to members and/or achieve higher profit margins. Also, beginning with open enrollment for the 2014 plan year, Part C or Part D Medicare plans with Star Ratings of less than three stars for three consecutive years will be excluded from mention in the CMS "Medicare and You" handbook, denoted as "low performing" plans on the CMS website, and excluded from on-line enrollment through the Medicare Plan Finder website. These actions may adversely impact these plans' ability to maintain or increase membership. In addition, Part C and Part D Medicare plans with Star Ratings of less than three stars for three consecutive years may be terminated at CMS' discretion beginning on January 1, 2015. Our plans in Georgia, Louisiana, Missouri and Arizona have less than three stars. While we are continuing efforts to improve our Star Ratings and other quality measures, there is no guarantee that we will be able to maintain or improve our Star Ratings.

Minimum MLRs. Beginning in 2014, the Affordable Care Act requires the establishment of a minimum medical loss ratio ("MLR") for MA plans, including Part D plans, requiring them to spend not less than 85% of premiums on medical benefits. The rules implementing the minimum MLR impose financial and other penalties for failing to achieve the minimum MLR, including requirements to refund to CMS shortfalls in amounts spent on medical benefits and termination of a plan's MA contract for prolonged failure to achieve the minimum MLR. MLR is determined by adding a plan's total reimbursement for clinical services plus its total spending on quality improvement activities and dividing the total by earned premiums (after subtracting specific identified taxes and other fees).

From 2010 through 2020, the "coverage gap" (i.e., the dollar threshold at which an individual has to pay full price for his or her medications) under Part D will be gradually closed, with beneficiaries retaining a 25% co-pay. While this change ultimately results in increased insurance coverage for beneficiaries, such improved benefits could result in changes in member behavior with respect to drug utilization. Such actions could impact the cost structure of our Part D programs.

The health reforms in the Affordable Care Act present challenges for our Medicaid business. The reforms allow states to expand eligibility for Medicaid programs. However, state budgets continue to be strained due to economic conditions and uncertain levels of federal financing for current populations. As a result, the effects of any potential future expansions are uncertain, making it difficult to determine whether the net impact of the Affordable Care Act will be positive or negative for our Medicaid business.

Recent changes in our senior management, workforce and operations may cause uncertainty in, or be disruptive to, our business.

We have recently experienced significant changes in our senior management, workforce and operations. Effective as of October 31, 2013, Alec Cunningham's employment was terminated, without cause, and he is no longer serving as our Chief Executive Officer. On October 31, 2013, David Gallitano, our Chairman of the Board, was named our Chief Executive Officer on an interim basis. We are retaining an executive search firm to identify a new Chief Executive Officer. In September 2013, we terminated, without cause, the employment of Walter Cooper, the Chief Administrative Officer, and Dan Paquin, President National Health Plans. Michael Polen was promoted to a newly created position of Senior Vice President, Operations. In October 2013 we announced that Blair Todt, Senior Vice President, Chief Compliance Officer would fill the newly created role of Senior

Vice President, External Affairs and Cyndi Baily would be promoted to Senior Vice President, Chief Compliance Officer. In addition, we also eliminated approximately 280 positions and aligned complementary functions to reduce cost and optimize performance. These changes in our senior management, workforce and operations may be disruptive to our business and, during the transition period, there may be uncertainty among investors, employees and others concerning our future direction and performance. Any such disruption or uncertainty could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition and the market price of our common stock. Additionally, we may not be able to fully realize the expected cost savings or improved operational efficiency.

We encounter significant competition for program participation, members, network providers, key personnel and sales personnel and our failure to compete successfully may limit our ability to increase or maintain membership in the markets we serve, or have a material adverse effect on our business, growth prospects and results of operations.

We operate in a highly competitive industry. Some of our competitors are more established in the insurance and health care industries, with larger market share, greater financial resources and better quality scores than we have in some markets. We operate in, or may attempt to acquire business in, programs or markets in which premiums are determined on the basis of a competitive bidding process. In these programs or markets, funding levels established by bidders with significantly different cost structures, target profitability margins or aggressive bidding strategies could negatively impact our ability to maintain or acquire profitable businesses which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Regulatory reform or other initiatives may bring additional competitors into our markets. Regulators may prefer companies that operate in multiple lines of business when we bid on new business or renewals of existing business, in which we may not operate.

We compete for members principally on the basis of size and quality of provider network, benefits provided and quality of service. We may not be able to develop innovative products and services which are attractive to members. We cannot be sure that we will continue to remain competitive, nor can we be sure that we will be able to successfully acquire members for our products and services at current levels of profitability.

In addition, we compete with other health plans to contract with hospitals, physicians, pharmacies and other providers for inclusion in our networks that serve government program beneficiaries. We believe providers select plans in which they participate based on several criteria including reimbursement rates, timeliness and accuracy of claims payment, potential to deliver new patient volume and/or retain existing patients, effectiveness of resolution of calls and complaints and other factors. We cannot be sure that we will be able to successfully attract or retain providers to maintain a competitive network in the geographic areas we serve.

We may not be able to attract or retain qualified management, clinical and commercial personnel in the future due to the intense competition for qualified personnel in the managed care and health care industry and other businesses. If we are not able to attract and retain necessary personnel to accomplish our business objectives, we may experience constraints that will significantly impede the achievement of our objectives, our ability to raise additional capital and our ability to implement our business strategy. In particular, if we lose any members of our senior management team, we may not be able to find suitable replacements, and our business may be harmed as a result. In addition, we have in the past and may in the future modify our senior management structure, which could impact our retention of employees and management.

Our MA plans are sold primarily through our sales personnel, who frequently work with independent brokers, consultants and agents who assist in the production and servicing of business. The independent brokers, consultants and agents generally are not dedicated to us exclusively and may frequently also recommend and/or market health care benefits products of our competitors, and we must compete intensely for their services and allegiance. Our sales could be adversely affected if we are unable to attract or retain sales personnel and third-party brokers, consultants and agents or if we do not adequately provide support, training and education to this sales network regarding our product portfolio, which is complex, or if our sales strategy is not appropriately aligned across distribution channels. To the extent that competition intensifies in any market that we serve, our ability to retain or increase members and providers, maintain or increase our revenue growth, and control medical cost trends, and/or our pricing flexibility,

may be adversely affected. Failure to compete successfully in the markets we serve may have a material adverse effect on our business, growth prospects and results of operations.

Risk-adjustment payment systems make our revenue and results of operations more difficult to predict and could result in material retroactive adjustments that have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Most of our government customers employ risk-adjustment models to determine the premium amount they pay for each member. This model pays more for members with predictably higher costs according to the health status of each beneficiary enrolled. Premium payments are generally established at fixed intervals according to the contract terms, and then adjusted on a retroactive basis. We reassess the estimates of the risk adjustment settlements each reporting period and any resulting adjustments are made to premium revenue.

As a result of the variability of certain factors that determine estimates for risk-adjusted premiums, including plan risk scores, the actual amount of retroactive payment could be materially more or less than our estimates. Consequently, our estimate of our plans' risk scores for any period, and any resulting change in our accrual of premium revenues related thereto, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows. The data provided to our government customers to determine the risk score are subject to audit by them even after the annual settlements occur. These audits may result in the refund of premiums to the government customer previously received by us, which could be significant and would reduce our premium revenue in the year that repayment is required.

Government customers have performed and continue to perform audits of selected plans to validate the provider coding practices under the risk adjustment model used to calculate the premium paid for each member. It is likely that a payment adjustment will occur as a result of these audits, and that any such adjustment could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

Our Medicaid operations are concentrated in a limited number of states. Loss of a material contract, reduced premium rates, or delayed payment of earned premiums may adversely impact our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our concentration of operations in a limited number of states could cause our revenue, profitability or cash flow to change suddenly and unexpectedly as a result of significant premium rate reductions or payment delays, a loss of a material contract, legislative actions, changes in Medicaid eligibility methodologies, catastrophic claims, an epidemic or pandemic, or an unexpected increase in utilization, general economic conditions and similar factors in those states. Our inability to continue to operate in any of these states, or a significant change in the nature of our existing operations, could adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

We provide managed care programs and selected services to individuals receiving benefits under federal assistance programs, including Medicare Advantage, Medicaid, Children's Health Insurance Program ("CHIP") and Aged, Blind and Disabled ("ABD"). We provide those health care services under contracts with regulatory entities in the areas in which we operate. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012, our Medicaid operations in Florida, Georgia and Kentucky each accounted for greater than 10% of our consolidated premium revenue, net of premium taxes. These customers accounted for contracts that have terms of between one and three years with varying expiration dates.

Our Florida Medicaid contracts expire in August 2015, however we currently anticipate that these will be terminated early in connection with the implementation of the Managed Medical Assistance program (the "MMA program"), which replaces the prior Medicaid program. Our Staywell Health Plan has been recommended for contract awards by AHCA in eight out of the state's 11 regions. We expect that starting in the second quarter of 2014, two to three regions will be launched per month, and all regions should be launched by October 2014.

Our contracts with other states are generally intended to run for one to three years and in some cases may be extended for additional years if the state or other sponsoring agency elects to do so. Our current state contracts are set to expire or renew between January 2014 and December 2015. When our state contracts expire, they may be opened for bidding by competing health care providers. There is no guarantee that our contracts will be renewed or extended. Further, our contracts with the states are subject to cancellation by the state after a short notice period in the event of unavailability of state funds. Our contracts could also be terminated if we fail to perform in accordance with the standards set by

state regulatory agencies. If any of our contracts are terminated, not renewed, renewed on less favorable terms, or not renewed on a timely basis, or if an increased number of competitors were awarded contracts in these states, our business will suffer, and our financial position, results of operations or cash flows may be materially affected. State governments generally are experiencing tight budgetary conditions within their Medicaid programs due to difficult macroeconomic conditions and increases in the Medicaid eligible population. We anticipate this will require government agencies with which we contract to find funding alternatives, which may result in reductions in funding. If any state in which we operate were to decrease premiums paid to us, or pay us less than the amount necessary to keep pace with our cost trends, it could have a

material adverse effect on our revenues and results of operations. Economic conditions affecting state governments and agencies could also result in delays in receiving premium payments. If there is a significant delay in our receipt of premiums to pay health benefit costs, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and liquidity.

A significant percentage of our Medicaid plan enrollment results from mandatory enrollment in Medicaid managed care plans. States may mandate that certain types of Medicaid beneficiaries enroll in Medicaid managed care through CMS-approved plan amendments or, for certain groups, through federal waivers or demonstrations. Waivers and programs under demonstrations are generally approved for two- to five-year periods, and can be renewed on an ongoing basis if the state applies and the waiver request is approved or renewed by CMS. We have no control over this renewal process. If a state in which we operate does not mandate managed care enrollment in its state plan or does not renew an existing managed care waiver, our membership would likely decrease, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We derive a significant portion of our Medicare revenue from our PDP operations, for which we submit annual bids for participation. The results of our bids could materially impact our revenue and profits.

A significant portion of our PDP membership is obtained from the auto-assignment of beneficiaries in CMS-designated regions where our PDP premium bids are below benchmarks of other plans' bids. In general, our premium bids are based on assumptions regarding PDP membership, utilization, drug costs, drug rebates and other factors for each region. If our future Part D premium bids are not below the CMS benchmarks, we risk losing PDP members who were previously assigned to us and we may not have additional PDP members auto-assigned to us, which would materially reduce our revenue and profits.

Based on the outcome of our 2013 Medicare PDP bids, our plans are below the benchmarks in 14 of the 34 CMS regions and within the de minimis range of the benchmark in five other CMS regions. In 2013, newly-eligible members are being auto-assigned into our plans for the 14 regions that are below the benchmark. We retained our auto-assigned members in the five regions in which we bid within the de minimis range; however, we are not being auto-assigned new members in those regions during 2013. As of January 1, 2013, the beneficiaries who had previously been auto-assigned to our plans in the 15 regions in which our bids were neither below the benchmark nor within the de minimis range were reassigned to other plans. Our 2014 Medicare PDP bids were below the benchmarks in 30 of the 33 CMS regions for which we submitted bids, which resulted from the realignment of our benefit designs and cost structure.

We are subject to extensive government regulation and risk of litigation, and any violation by us of the terms of our contracts, applicable laws or regulations could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Our business is extensively regulated by the federal government and the states in which we operate. The laws and regulations governing our operations are generally intended to benefit and protect health plan members and providers rather than stockholders and creditors. The government agencies administering these laws and regulations have broad latitude to enforce them. These laws and regulations, along with the terms of our government contracts, regulate how we do business, what services we offer, and how we interact with our members, providers and the public. Any violation by us of applicable laws or regulations could reduce our revenues and profitability, thereby having a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We face a significant risk of class action lawsuits and other litigation and regulatory investigations and actions in the ordinary course of operating our businesses. The following are examples of types of potential litigation and regulatory investigations we face:

- claims by government agencies relating to compliance with laws and regulations;
- claims relating to sales practices;
- claims relating to the methodologies for calculating premiums;
- claims relating to the denial or delay of health care benefit payments;
- claims relating to claims payments and procedures;

claims relating to provider marketing;

anti-kickback claims;

medical malpractice or negligence actions based on our medical necessity decisions or brought against us on the theory that we are liable for our providers' alleged malpractice or negligence;

allegations of anti-competitive and unfair business activities;

provider disputes over compensation and termination of provider contracts;

allegations of discrimination;

allegations of breaches of duties;

elaims relating to inadequate or incorrect disclosure or accounting in our public filings;

allegations of agent misconduct;

claims related to deceptive trade practices; and

claims relating to audits and contract performance.

As we contract with various governmental agencies to provide managed health care services, we are subject to various reviews, audits and investigations to verify our compliance with the contracts and applicable laws and regulations.

Any adverse review, audit, investigation or adverse result from litigation could result in:

forfeiture or recoupment of amounts we have been paid pursuant to our government contracts;

imposition of significant civil or criminal penalties, fines or other sanctions on us and/or our key associates; reduction or limitation of our membership;

loss of our right to participate in government-sponsored programs, including Medicaid and Medicare;

damage to our reputation in various markets;

increased difficulty in marketing our products and services;

•nability to obtain approval for future acquisitions or service or geographic expansion; and suspension or loss of one or more of our licenses to act as an insurer, HMO or third party administrator or to otherwise provide a service.

In particular, because we receive payments from federal and state governmental agencies, we are subject to various laws commonly referred to as "fraud and abuse" laws, including the federal False Claims Act, which permit agencies and enforcement authorities to institute suit against us for violations and, in some cases, to seek treble damages, penalties and assessments. Many states, including states where we currently operate, have enacted parallel legislation. Liability under such federal and state statutes and regulations may arise if we know, or it is found that we should have known, that information we provide to form the basis for a claim for government payment is false or fraudulent. Some courts have permitted False Claims Act suits to proceed if the claimant was out of compliance with program requirements. Liability for such matters could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Qui tam actions under federal and state law can be brought by any individual on behalf of the government. Qui tam actions have increased significantly in recent years, causing greater numbers of health care companies to defend false claim actions, pay fines or be excluded from Medicare, Medicaid or other state or federal health care programs as a result of investigations arising out of such actions.

For example, in October 2008, the Civil Division of the United States Department of Justice (the "Civil Division") informed us that as part of its civil inquiry, it was investigating four qui tam complaints filed by relators against us under the whistleblower provisions of the False Claims Act. We also learned from a docket search that a former employee filed a qui tam action in state court for Leon County, Florida against several defendants, including us and one of our subsidiaries. With respect to these actions, in April 2012, we announced that we reached a settlement with the Civil Division, the Civil Division of the United States Attorney's Office for the Middle District of Florida, and the Civil Division of the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Connecticut. However, other qui tam actions may have been filed against us of which we are presently unaware, or other qui tam actions may be filed against us in the future.

We are currently undergoing standard periodic audits by several state agencies and CMS to verify compliance with our contracts and applicable laws and regulations. For additional risks associated with these audits, see "Risk-adjustment payment systems make our revenue and results of operations more difficult to predict and could result in material retroactive adjustments that have a material adverse effect on our results of operations" above. In addition, there have been a number of investigations regarding the marketing practices of brokers and agents selling health care and other insurance products and the payments they receive. These have resulted in enforcement actions against companies in our industry and brokers and agents marketing and selling those companies' products. For example, CMS and state departments of insurance have increased their scrutiny of the marketing practices of brokers and agents who market Medicare products. These investigations and enforcement actions could result in penalties and the imposition of corrective action plans and/or changes to industry practices, which could adversely affect our ability to market our products.

We rely on a number of third parties, and failure of any one of the third parties to perform in accordance with our contracts could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Our care and service delivery model is designed to optimize our use of our personnel versus third parties based on an evaluation of factors, including cost, compliance, quality and procurement success. As a result, we have contracted with a number of third parties to provide significant operational support including, but not limited to, pharmacy benefit management and behavioral health services for our members as well as certain enrollment, billing, call center, benefit administration, claims processing functions, sales and marketing and certain aspects of utilization management. We have limited ability to control the

performance of these third parties. If a third party provides services that we are required to provide under a contract with a government client, we are responsible for such performance and will be held accountable by the government client for any failure of performance by our vendors. Significant failure by a third party to perform in accordance with the terms of our contracts could subject us to fines or other sanctions or otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. In addition, upon termination of a third party contract, we may encounter difficulties in replacing the third party on favorable terms, or in assuming those responsibilities ourselves, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, quality scores and results of operations. Further, we rely on state-operated systems and sub-contractors to qualify and assign eligible members into our health plan. Ineffectiveness of these state operations and sub-contractors can have a material adverse effect on our enrollment.

We rely on the accuracy of eligibility lists provided by our government clients to collect premiums, and any inaccuracies in those lists may cause states to recoup premium payments from us, which could materially reduce our revenues and results of operations.

Premium payments that we receive are based upon eligibility lists produced by our government clients. A state will require us to reimburse it for premiums that we received from the state based on an eligibility list that it later discovers contains individuals who were not eligible for any government-sponsored program, have been enrolled twice in the same program or are eligible for a different premium category or a different program. Our review of remittance files may not identify all member eligibility errors and could result in repayment of premiums in years subsequent to the year in which the revenue was recorded.

In addition to recoupment of premiums previously paid, we also face the risk that a state could fail to pay us for members for whom we are entitled to payment. Our results of operations would be reduced as a result of the state's failure to pay us for related payments we made to providers and were unable to recoup. We have established a reserve in anticipation of recoupment by the states of previously paid premiums that we believe to be erroneous, but ultimately our reserve may not be sufficient to cover the amount, if any, of recoupments. If the amount of any recoupment exceeds our reserves, our revenues could be materially reduced and it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Our encounter data may be inaccurate or incomplete, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and ability to bid for, and continue to participate in, certain programs.

To the extent that our encounter data is inaccurate or incomplete, we have expended and may continue to expend additional effort and incur significant additional costs to collect or correct this data and have been and could be exposed to operating sanctions and financial fines and penalties for noncompliance. The accurate and timely reporting of encounter data is increasingly important to the success of our programs because more states are using encounter data to determine compliance with performance standards and, in part, to set premium rates. In some instances, our government clients have established retroactive requirements for the encounter data we must submit. There also may be periods of time in which we are unable to meet existing requirements. In either case, it may be prohibitively expensive or impossible for us to collect or reconstruct this historical data.

We have experienced challenges in obtaining complete and accurate encounter data, due to difficulties with providers and third-party vendors submitting claims in a timely fashion in the proper format, and with state agencies in coordinating such submissions. As states increase their reliance on encounter data these difficulties could affect the premium rates we receive and how membership is assigned to us, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and our ability to bid for, and continue to participate in, certain programs. If we are unable to have access to sufficient capital, whether as a result of difficulties finding acceptable public or private financing, restrictions due to our existing credit agreement, restrictions on dividend payments from our subsidiaries, or higher statutory capital levels, we may be unable to grow or maintain our business, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Our business strategy has been defined by three primary initiatives, one of which includes our ability to enter new markets by pursuing attractive growth opportunities for our existing product lines. We may need to access the debt or equity markets and receive dividends from our subsidiaries to fund these growth activities.

Our ability to enter new markets may be hindered in situations where we need to access the public markets and financing may not be available on terms that are favorable to us. Financing may only be available to us with unfavorable terms such as high rates of interest, restrictive covenants and other restrictions that could impede our ability to profitably operate our business and increase the expected rate of return we require to enter new markets, making such efforts unfeasible.

Our credit agreement has restrictions on our ability to secure additional capital. Our substantial indebtedness and restrictive covenants:

limit our ability to borrow additional funds for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and general corporate or other purposes; and

expose us to greater interest rate risk since the interest rate on borrowings under our senior credit facilities is variable.

Our debt service obligations require us to use a portion of our operating cash flow to pay interest and principal on indebtedness instead of for other corporate purposes, including funding future expansion of our business and ongoing capital expenditures, which could impede our growth. If our operating cash flow and capital resources are insufficient to comply with the financial covenants in the credit agreement or to service our debt obligations, we may be forced to sell assets, seek additional equity or debt financing or restructure our debt which could harm our long-term business prospects.

Our credit agreement contains various restrictions and covenants that restrict our financial and operating flexibility, including our ability to grow our business or declare dividends without lender approval. If we fail to pay any of our indebtedness when due, or if we breach any of the other covenants in the instruments governing our indebtedness, one or more events of default may be triggered. If we are unable to obtain a waiver, these events of default could permit our creditors to declare all amounts owed to be immediately due and payable. If we were unable to repay indebtedness owed to our secured creditors, they could proceed against the collateral securing that indebtedness.

In addition, in most states, we are required to seek the prior approval of state regulatory authorities to transfer money or pay dividends from our regulated subsidiaries in excess of specified amounts or, in some states, any amount. Extraordinary dividends require approval by state regulators prior to declaration. If our state regulators do not approve payments of dividends and/or distributions by certain of our regulated subsidiaries to us or our non-regulated subsidiaries, our liquidity, unregulated cash flows, business and financial condition may be materially adversely affected.

Our licensed HMO and insurance subsidiaries are subject to state regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of statutory capital and maintenance of certain financial ratios, as defined by each state. One or more of these states may raise the statutory capital level from time to time, which could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows and liquidity.

Our subsidiaries also may be required to maintain higher levels of statutory capital due to the adoption of risk-based capital requirements by other states in which we operate. Our subsidiaries are subject to their state regulators' general oversight powers. Regardless of whether a state adopts the risk-based capital requirements, the state's regulators can require our subsidiaries to maintain minimum levels of statutory net worth in excess of amounts required under the applicable state laws if they determine that maintaining such additional statutory net worth is in the best interests of our members and other constituents. For example, if premium rates are inadequate, reduced profits or losses in our regulated subsidiaries may cause regulators to increase the amount of capital required. Any additional capital contribution made to one or more of the affected subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, cash flows and growth potential. In addition, increases of statutory capital requirements could cause us to withdraw from certain programs or markets where it becomes economically difficult to continue operating profitably. If we commit a material breach of our Corporate Integrity Agreement, we may be excluded from certain programs, resulting in the revocation or termination of contracts and/or licenses potentially having a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

On April 26, 2011, we entered into a Corporate Integrity Agreement (the "Corporate Integrity Agreement") with the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services ("OIG-HHS"). The Corporate Integrity Agreement has a term of five years and concludes the previously disclosed matters relating to us under review by OIG-HHS. The Corporate Integrity Agreement requires us to maintain various ethics and compliance

programs that are designed to help ensure our ongoing compliance with federal health care program requirements. The terms of the Corporate Integrity Agreement include certain organizational structure requirements, internal monitoring requirements, compliance training, screening processes for new employees, who we call associates, requirements for reporting to OIG-HHS, and the engagement of an independent review organization to review and prepare written reports regarding, among other things, our reporting practices and bid submissions to federal health care programs. If we fail to comply with the terms of the Corporate Integrity Agreement, we may be required to pay certain monetary penalties. Furthermore, if we commit a material breach of the Corporate Integrity Agreement, OIG-HHS may exclude us from participating in federal health care programs. Any such exclusion would result in the revocation or termination of contracts and/or licenses and potentially have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Our indemnification obligations and the limitations of our director and officer liability insurance may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Under Delaware law, our charter and bylaws and certain indemnification agreements to which we are a party, we have an obligation to indemnify, or we have otherwise agreed to indemnify, certain of our current and former directors, officers and associates with respect to current and future investigations and litigation. In connection with some of these pending matters, including the recent criminal trial of certain of our former executives and associates, we are required to, or we have otherwise agreed to, advance, and have advanced, significant legal fees and related expenses and expect to continue to do so while these matters are pending. We have exhausted our insurance for the expenses associated with the criminal trial of our former executive officers and associates, and the related government investigations that commenced in 2007, and expenses incurred by us for these matters will not be further reimbursed. We currently maintain insurance in the amount of \$125.0 million which provides coverage for our independent directors and officers hired after January 24, 2008 for certain potential matters to the extent they occur after October 2007. We cannot provide any assurances that pending claims, or claims yet to arise, will not exceed the limits of our insurance policies, that such claims are covered by the terms of our insurance policies or that our insurance carrier will be able to cover our claims.

We are exposed to fluctuations in the securities and debt markets, which could impact our investment portfolio.

Our investment portfolio represents a significant portion of our assets and is subject to general credit, liquidity, market and interest rate risks. Market fluctuations in the securities and credit markets could impact the value or liquidity of our investment portfolio and adversely impact interest income. As a result, we may experience a reduction in value or loss of liquidity which may materially impact our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Risks Related to Ownership of Our Stock

We are subject to laws, government regulations and agreements that may delay, deter or prevent a change in control of our Company, which could have a material adverse effect on our ability to enter into transactions favorable to stockholders.

Our operating subsidiaries are subject to state laws that require prior regulatory approval for any change of control of an HMO or insurance company. For purposes of these laws, in most states "control" is presumed to exist when a person, group of persons or entity acquires the power to vote 10% or more of the voting securities of another entity, subject to certain exceptions. These laws may discourage acquisition proposals and may delay, deter or prevent a change of control of our Company, including through transactions, and in particular through unsolicited transactions, which could have a material adverse effect on our ability to enter into transactions that some or all of our stockholders find favorable.

In addition, certain of our settlements require us to make additional payments upon the occurrence of certain change of control events. In the settlement with the Civil Division, we agreed to pay \$35.0 million in the event that we are acquired or otherwise experience a change in control on or before April 30, 2015, provided the transaction exceeds certain thresholds. Additionally, if, on or before December 17, 2013, we are acquired or otherwise experience a change in control at a share price of \$30.00 or more, we will be required to pay an additional \$25.0 million in connection with the securities class action.

Our stock price and trading volume may be volatile and future sales of our common stock could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

From time to time, the price and trading volume of our common stock, as well as the stock of other companies in the health care industry, may experience periods of significant volatility. Company-specific issues and developments generally in the health care industry (including the regulatory environment) and the capital markets and the economy in general may cause this volatility. Our stock price and trading volume may fluctuate in response to a number of events and factors, including:

variations in our operating results;

changes in the market's expectations about our future operating results;

•

changes in financial estimates and recommendations by securities analysts concerning our Company or the health care industry generally;

- operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors may deem comparable;
- news reports relating to trends in our markets;
- changes or proposed changes in the laws and regulations affecting our business;
- acquisitions and financings by us or others in our industry; and
- sales of substantial amounts of our common stock by our directors and executive officers or principal stockholders, or the perception that such sales could occur.

We may issue equity securities in the future, including securities that are convertible into or exchangeable for, or that represent the right to receive, common stock. We have an effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3 filed with the SEC under which

we may offer from time to time an indeterminate amount of any combination of debt securities, common and preferred stock and warrants. The registration statement allows us to seek additional financing, subject to the SEC's rules and regulations relating to eligibility to use Form S-3. Additional equity financing will be dilutive to stockholders, and debt financing, if available, may involve restrictive covenants.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock or other equity securities, including sales of shares in connection with any future acquisitions, could be substantially dilutive to our stockholders. These sales may have a harmful effect on prevailing market prices for our common stock and our ability to raise additional capital in the financial markets at a time and price favorable to us. Holders of shares of our common stock have no preemptive rights that entitle them to purchase a pro rata share of any offering of shares of any class or series and, therefore, such sales or offerings could result in increased dilution to our stockholders. Our certificate of incorporation provides that we have authority to issue 100,000,000 shares of common stock and 20,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Risks Related to Information Technology

If we are unable to maintain effective and secure management information systems and applications, successfully update or expand processing capability or develop new capabilities to meet our business needs we could experience operational disruptions and other materially adverse consequences to our business and results of operations.

Our business depends on effective and secure information systems, applications and operations. The information gathered, processed and stored by our management information systems assists us in, among other things, marketing and sales and membership tracking, billing, claims processing, medical management, medical care cost and utilization trending, financial and management accounting, reporting, and planning and analysis. These systems also support our customer service functions, provider and member administrative functions and support tracking and extensive analysis of medical expenses and outcome data. These systems remain subject to unexpected interruptions resulting from occurrences such as hardware failures or increased demand. There can be no assurance that such interruptions will not occur in the future, and any such interruptions could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Moreover, operating and other issues can lead to data problems that affect the performance of important functions, including, but not limited to, claims payment, customer service and financial reporting.

There can also be no assurance that our process of improving existing systems, developing new systems to support our operations and improving service levels will not be delayed or that system issues will not arise in the future. Our information systems and applications require continual maintenance, upgrading and enhancement to meet our operational needs. If we are unable to maintain or expand our systems, we could suffer from, among other things, operational disruptions, such as the inability to pay claims or to make claims payments on a timely basis, loss of members, difficulty in attracting new members, regulatory problems and increases in administrative expenses.

Additionally, events outside our control, including terrorism or acts of nature such as hurricanes, earthquakes, or fires, could significantly impair our information systems, applications and critical business functions. To help ensure continued operations in the event that our primary operations are rendered inoperable, we have a disaster recovery plan to recover critical business functionality within stated timelines. Our plan may not operate effectively during an actual disaster and our operations and critical business functions could be disrupted, which would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Our costs to comply with laws governing the transmission, security and privacy of health information could be significant, and any disruptions or security breaches in our information technology systems could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Our business requires the secure transmission of confidential information over public networks. Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other events or developments could result in compromises or breaches of our security systems and client data stored in our information systems. Anyone who circumvents our

security measures could misappropriate our confidential information or cause interruptions in services or operations. The Internet is a public network, and data is sent over this network from many sources. In the past, computer viruses or software programs that disable or impair computers have been distributed and have rapidly spread over the Internet. Computer viruses could be introduced into our systems, or those of our providers or regulators, which could disrupt our operations, or make our systems inaccessible to our providers or regulators. We may be required to expend significant capital and other resources to protect against the threat of security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by breaches.

Failure to keep our computer networks, information technology systems, computers and programs and our members' and customers' sensitive information secure from attack, damage or unauthorized access, whether as a result of our action or inaction

or that of one of our business associates or other vendors, could adversely affect our reputation, membership and revenues and also expose us to mandatory disclosure to the media, contract termination, litigation (including class action litigation), and other enforcement proceedings, material fines, penalties and/or remediation costs, and compensatory, special, punitive and statutory damages, consent orders, adverse actions against our licenses to do business and/or injunctive relief, any of which could adversely affect our business, cash flows, operating results or financial condition.

Our measures to prevent security breaches may not be successful. As we expand our business, including through acquisitions and organic growth, increase the amount of information we make available to members and consumers on mobile devices and expand our use of social media, our exposure to these data security and related cybersecurity risks, including the risk of undetected attacks, damage or unauthorized access, increases, and the cost of attempting to protect against these risks also increases.

The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (the "HITECH Act"), one part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("ARRA"), modified certain provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA") by, among other things, extending the privacy and security provisions to business associates, mandating new regulations around electronic health records, expanding enforcement mechanisms, and increasing penalties for violations. Civil penalties for HIPAA violations by covered entities are up to an annual maximum of \$1.5 million for uncorrected violations based on willful neglect. HHS is required to conduct periodic audits to confirm compliance. Investigations of violations that indicate willful neglect, for which penalties became mandatory in February 2011, are statutorily required. In addition, state attorneys general are authorized to bring civil actions seeking either injunctions or damages in response to violations of HIPAA privacy and security regulations that threaten the privacy of state residents. Initially monies collected will be transferred to a division of HHS for further enforcement and, within three years, a methodology will be adopted for distributing a percentage of those monies to affected individuals to fund enforcement and provide incentive for individuals to report violations.

In addition, the HITECH Act requires us to notify affected individuals, HHS, and in some cases the media when unsecured personal health information is subject to a security breach.

The HITECH Act also contains a number of provisions that provide incentives for states to initiate certain programs related to health care and health care technology, such as electronic health records. While provisions such as these do not apply to us directly, states wishing to apply for grants under the HITECH Act, or otherwise participating in such programs, may impose new health care technology requirements on us through our contracts with state Medicaid agencies. We are unable to predict what such requirements may entail or what their effect on our business may be.

On January 25, 2013, HHS, as required by the HITECH Act, issued the Final Omnibus Rules that provide final modifications to HIPAA rules to implement the HITECH Act. The various requirements of the HITECH Act have different compliance dates, some of which have passed and some of which will occur in the future. We will continue to assess our compliance obligations as regulations under HIPAA as modified by the HITECH Act continue to become effective and more guidance becomes available from HHS and other federal agencies. The evolving privacy and security requirements, however, may require substantial operational and systems changes, associate education and resources and there is no guarantee that we will be able to implement them adequately or prior to their effective date. Given HIPAA's complexity and the evolving regulations, which may be subject to changing and perhaps conflicting interpretation, our ongoing ability to comply with all of the HIPAA requirements is uncertain, which may expose us to the criminal and increased civil penalties provided under the HITECH Act and may require us to incur significant costs in order to seek to comply with its requirements.

Our business could be adversely impacted by adoption of the new ICD-10 standardized coding set for diagnoses.

HHS has released rules pursuant to HIPAA which mandate the use of standard formats in electronic health care transactions. HHS also has published rules requiring the use of standardized code sets and unique identifiers for providers. By October 1, 2014, the federal government will require that health care organizations, including health insurers, upgrade to updated and expanded standardized code sets used for documenting health conditions. These new standardized code sets, known as ICD-10, will require substantial investments from health care organizations, including us. While use of the ICD-10 code sets will require significant administrative changes, we believe that the cost of compliance with these regulations has not had and is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, financial position or results of operations. However, these changes may result in errors and otherwise negatively impact our service levels, and we may experience complications related to supporting customers that are not fully compliant with the revised requirements as of the applicable compliance date. Furthermore, if physicians fail to provide appropriate codes for services provided as a result of the new coding set, we may not be reimbursed, or adequately reimbursed, for such services.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities
None.
Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities
None.
Dividends
We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain any future earnings to fund our business, and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. In addition, our Credit Agreement prohibits us from declaring or paying any cash dividends.
Our ability to pay dividends is partially dependent on, among other things, our receipt of cash dividends from our regulated subsidiaries. The ability of our regulated subsidiaries to pay dividends to us is limited by the state departments of insurance in the states in which we operate or may operate, as well as requirements of the government-sponsored health programs in which we participate. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our board and will depend upon, among other factors, our results of operations, financial condition, capital requirements and contractual restrictions. For more information regarding restrictions on the ability of our regulated subsidiaries to pay dividends to us, please see Part I – Financial Information, Item 2 – Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources.
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.
Not Applicable.
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.
Not Applicable.
Item 5. Other Information.
Not Applicable.
Item 6. Exhibits.
Exhibits are incorporated herein by reference or are filed with this report as set forth in the Exhibit Index.
71

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized in Tampa, Florida on November 1, 2013.

WELLCARE HEALTH PLANS, INC.

By: /s/ Thomas L. Tran Thomas L. Tran

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial

Officer)

By: /s/ Maurice S. Hebert

Maurice S. Hebert

Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

		INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE		
Exhibit			Filing Date	Exhibit
Number	Description	Form	with SEC	Number
	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of February 12,			
2.1	2004, between WellCare Holdings, LLC and WellCare	S-1/A	June 8, 2004	2.1
	Group, Inc.			
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the	2 10-Q	August 13, 2004	3.1
0.12	Registrant	10 €	1148450 10, 200	0.1
3.1.1	Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of	10-Q	November 4, 2009	3.1.1
	Incorporation	_	•	
3.2	Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant	8-K	November 2, 2010	3.2
4.1	Specimen common stock certificate	10-Q	November 4, 2010	4.1
10.1	Contract S5967 between the Centers for Medicare &	0.17	0 1 2 2012	10.1
10.1	Medicaid Services and WellCare Prescription Insurance,	8-K	October 2, 2013	10.1
	Inc.			
10.2	Contract H1032 between the Centers for Medicare &	8-K	October 2, 2013	10.2
	Medicaid Services and WellCare of Florida, Inc.		•	
10.3	Non-Executive Officer Severance Plan*†			
10.4	Amended and Restated Non-Employee Director			
	Compensation Policy*†			
	Amendment No. 4 to Contract No. FA971 by and			
10.5	between the State of Florida, Agency for Health Care			
10.5	Administration ("AHCA") and WellCare of Florida, Inc.			
	d/b/a Staywell Health Plan of Florida ("Contract No.			
10.6	FA971") †			
10.6	Amendment No. 5 to Contract No. FA971 †			
10.7	Amendment No. 3 to Contract No. FA972 by and			
10.7	between AHCA and WellCare of Florida, Inc. d/b/a			
	HealthEase †			
31.1	Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer			
	pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 †			
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to			
	Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 † Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer			
32.1				
	pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 †			
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to			
101.INS	Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 † XBRL Taxonomy Instance Document ††			
101.INS 101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document ††			
101.5C11	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase			
101.CAL	Document ††			
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document †			
IUI.LAD	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase	1		
101.PRE	Document ††			
	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase			
101.DEF	Document ††			
	Document			

- * Denotes a management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.
- ** Portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment.
- † Filed herewith.
- †† Furnished herewith and not filed for purposes of Section
- 11 and Section 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.