

NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Form 10-K
March 06, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-33678

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

68-0454536

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5980 Horton Street, Suite 550, Emeryville CA 94608

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (510) 899-8800

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share	NYSE Mkt

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Edgar Filing: NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes
No

Indicate by a check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

1

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes No

As of June 30, 2013, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the last sale price of such stock as of such date on the NYSE Mkt, was approximately \$45,475,972. This figure excludes an aggregate of 4,462,067 shares of common stock held by officers and directors as of June 30, 2013. Exclusion of shares held by any of these persons should not be construed to indicate that such person possesses the power, direct or indirect, to direct or cause the direction of the management or policies of the registrant, or that such person is controlled by or under common control with the registrant.

As of March 3, 2014, there were 45,407,461 shares of the registrant’s common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant’s definitive Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K, are incorporated by reference in Part III, Items 10-14 of this Form 10-K.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
ITEM 1. <u>BUSINESS</u>	4
ITEM 1A. <u>RISK FACTORS</u>	11
ITEM 1B. <u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	25
ITEM 2. <u>PROPERTIES</u>	25
ITEM 3. <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	25
ITEM 4. <u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>	25
PART II	
ITEM 5. <u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	26
ITEM 6. <u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	28
ITEM 7. <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	29
ITEM 7A. <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	38
ITEM 8. <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	39
ITEM 9. <u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	69
ITEM 9A. <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	69
ITEM 9B. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	69
PART III	
ITEM 10. <u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	69
ITEM 11. <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	70
ITEM 12. <u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	70
ITEM 13. <u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	70
ITEM 14. <u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u>	70
PART IV	
ITEM 15. <u>EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	71

Unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to “we,” “our,” “us,” the “Company” and “NovaBay” refer to NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

NovaBay[®], NovaBay Pharma[®], AgaNase[®], Aganocide[®], NeutroPhase[®], AgaDerm[®], and Going Beyond Antibiotics[™] are trademarks of NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. All other trademarks and trade names are the property of their respective owners.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on our management's beliefs and assumptions and on information currently available to our management. These forward-looking statements include but are not limited to statements regarding our product candidates, market opportunities, competition, strategies, anticipated trends and challenges in our business and the markets in which we operate, and anticipated expenses and capital requirements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as "anticipates," "believes," "could," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "may," "plans," "potential," "predicts," "projects," "should," "will," "would" and similar expressions intended to identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performances or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. We discuss many of these risks in this report in greater detail under the heading "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of this report. Given these uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. You should read this report and the documents that we reference in this report and have filed as exhibits to the report completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect. Also, forward-looking statements represent our management's beliefs and assumptions only as of the date of this report. Except as required by law, we assume no obligation to update these forward-looking statements publicly, or to update the reasons actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements, even if new information becomes available in the future.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

NovaBay Pharmaceuticals is a clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on addressing the unmet therapeutic needs of the global, topical anti-infective market with its two distinct categories of products, Aganocides[®] and NeutroPhase[®].

We were incorporated under the laws of the State of California on January 19, 2000, as NovaCal Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and subsequently changed our name to NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. In June 2010, we changed the state in which we are incorporated (the Reincorporation), and are now incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. All references to "we," "us," "our," or "the Company" herein refer to the California corporation prior to the date of the Reincorporation, and to the Delaware corporation on and after the date of the Reincorporation.

Aganocide[®] Compounds

NovaBay's first-in-class Aganocid® compounds, led by auriclosene (NVC-422), are patented, synthetic molecules with a broad spectrum of activity against bacteria, viruses and fungi. Mimicking the mechanism of action that human white blood cells use against infections, Aganocides possess a reduced likelihood that bacteria or viruses will be able to develop resistance, which is critical for advanced anti-infectives. In recognition of NVC-422 first-in-class chemical structure and therapeutic characteristics, The World Health Organization (WHO) approved a new generic nomenclature by which NVC-422 would be universally identified. In February, 2013, NovaBay announced that WHO had approved *auriclosene* as the new International Non-Proprietary Name (INN) for NVC-422.

Having demonstrated therapeutic proof-of-concept, these compounds are well suited to treat and prevent a wide range of local, non-systemic infections. NovaBay is currently focusing its Aganocide compounds into three large therapeutic markets:

Urology – Statistically-significant and clinically-meaningful results from a Phase 2 clinical study of Auriclosene Irrigation Solution to reduce urinary catheter blockage and encrustation were announced in September 2013. Study CL1001 achieved the study's primary endpoints and showed clear benefits for patients with long-term indwelling catheters.

Ophthalmology - NovaBay is developing an eye drop formulation of auriclosene (NVC-422) for treating adenoviral conjunctivitis, for which there is currently no FDA-approved treatment. The ongoing global clinical trial for auriclosene in conjunctivitis is expected to be completed in the middle of 2014. The company also initiated a proof-of-concept study for bacterial conjunctivitis in the second quarter of 2013 with the same auriclosene (NVC-422) formulation.

Dermatology - Partnered with Galderma, a leading dermatology company, we are developing a gel formulation of auriclosene (NVC-422) for treating impetigo, a highly contagious skin infection. In November 2013, NovaBay announced that the auriclosene Phase 2b clinical study of impetigo had been completed. While the study showed that auriclosene is safe and well tolerated, it did not meet its primary clinical endpoint. Knowledge gained from two previous impetigo studies is expected to lead to the use of an optimized formulation of auriclosene for an upcoming pilot study. The Company will be responsible the planning, execution and the cost of the upcoming study, which is expect to be approximately \$1 to \$2 million. Based on the results of this study NovaBay and Galderma will determine the next steps in the development of auriclosene for this indication.

NeutroPhase[®]

NovaBay has also developed NeutroPhase, a distinct class of molecule from the Aganocides. NeutroPhase is an FDA 510(k)-cleared Advanced Skin and Wound Cleanser. NeutroPhase is a patented pure hypochlorous acid solution which has the potential to be the best suited product on the market to treat the six-million patients in the U.S. who suffer from chronic non-healing wounds, such as pressure, venous stasis and diabetic ulcers.

NovaBay has developed variations of the NeutroPhase product such as i-Lid[™] Cleanser (for use in ophthalmological applications) and CelleRx[™] (for use in aesthetic dermatology). We expect both to be launched in selected markets in 2014.

NovaBay has begun securing commercial partnerships for NeutroPhase. In January 2012, NovaBay announced it had entered into an exclusive distribution agreement with Pioneer Pharma Holdings Limited. (HK: 1345) (“Pioneer”), a Shanghai-based company that markets high-end pharmaceutical products in the Asia Pacific region. In December 2013, Pioneer invested \$5.7 million in NovaBay. Previously we had expanded the distribution agreement with Pioneer to include territories outside of China. The expanded agreement with Pioneer also includes licensing rights to the two new products variations, CelleRx[™] and i-Lid[™] Cleanser. The expanded partnership agreement covers the commercialization and distribution of these products in China and 11 countries in Southeast Asia. The expanded agreement with Pioneer also includes licensing rights to the two new products variations, CelleRx[™] and i-Lid[™] Cleanser. The expanded partnership agreement covers the commercialization and distribution of these products in China and 11 countries in Southeast Asia. In December 2013, Pioneer invested \$5.7 million in NovaBay.

In 2013 NovaBay entered into a distribution agreement with Shin Poong Pharmaceuticals, Co., Ltd. (Shin Poong), a South Korean based company to market NeutroPhase in South Korea. In 2013 NovaBay entered into a distribution agreement with Principal Business Enterprises Inc. (PBE) of Bowling Green, Ohio to market its NeutroPhase product in the US market. NovaBay expects to announce additional distribution agreements in select geographic markets around the world during 2014.

In November 2013 we announced the publication of a scientific paper by Dr. John R. Crew of Seton Medical Center, Daly City California, describing the first use of adjunctive NeutroPhase^{®*} irrigation therapy in conjunction with Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT) to successfully manage the severely-infected wound in the arm of a patient with a life-threatening “flesh-eating” disease.

Our Technology and Research

In 2002, the World Health Organization predicted that within ten years we would enter a “post-antibiotic” era, where there would be infections for which there are no effective antibiotic treatments. This prediction is proving to be true as there are now more multi-drug resistant bacteria (also known as Superbugs) appearing, and even a few pan-resistant species. Antibiotic compounds are naturally produced by microorganisms for billions of years as a way to defend against other invasive microorganisms. The first commercially available antibiotic, penicillin, was discovered by Sir Alexander Fleming in 1928. He found that the juice generated in certain molds killed Staph bacteria. This ushered the golden age of antibiotics, leading to synthesis and isolation of over 150 antibiotics. Within 20 years of wide use of penicillin, penicillin resistant staph has reached 80%. It is of no surprise that one or more bacteria have shown resistance to all of our 150 commercially available antibiotics. After all, bacteria have dealt with antibiotic assault for billions of years. They have adapted, survived and thrived and will continue to do so in the future. Many of the animal species including mammals with circulatory systems have evolved to be able to protect themselves by developing a unique series of molecules that are based on the chemistry of chlorine, such as chlorotaurines. Over millions of years, no pathogenic resistance has been seen with this class of compounds. Aganocide compounds being developed by NovaBay are a synthetic analog of this evolutionary compound produced by a mammal’s innate immune systems.

As more antibiotics are thrown into the environment, we expect pathogenic-resistance to increase as a result of natural evolution. NovaBay’s Aganocide compounds have the potential of replacing a number of topical non-systemic antibiotics, hence reducing selective pressure put on bacteria in the environment.

Furthermore sub-lethal exposure of antibiotic to pathogen produces a rapid rise to resistance after several passages, while in established peer reviewed passage studies, no such resistance can be developed to our lead Aganocide, auriclosene (NVC-422). All antibiotics will develop resistance at different rates. Aganocides, by virtue of their novel mechanism of action, are unlikely to be susceptible to pathogenic-resistance. We have subjected our lead compound auriclosene (NVC-422) to such serial passage with a number of pathogens and have confirmed that no resistance develops even after many passages. As expected, bacteria became susceptible to pathogenic-resistance to all antibiotics tested in parallel.

In preclinical studies, the Aganocide compounds have demonstrated efficacy against bacteria in biofilm. Biofilm is a cocoon-like shield that forms around a colony of bacteria. Once the biofilm is formed, bacteria in biofilm reproduce slowly and are protected from attack by the body's killer cells by their biofilm shield. We now understand that biofilm is a natural, ever present defense mechanism of bacteria. Single free floating bacteria are much easier to kill than colonies consisting of millions of bacteria as found in biofilm. Antibiotics are generally more effective against fast reproducing bacteria as opposed to bacteria colonized in biofilm. We continue to expand our understanding of the Aganocide's action on biofilm. In controlled laboratory studies, our Aganocide compounds were found to be effective at killing bacteria in biofilm. Furthermore, in animal studies our Aganocide compounds have been found to be more effective against biofilm colonization than mupirocin, a widely used topical antibiotic. We believe efficacy of Aganocide compounds in biofilm would be an important property that may contribute to their utility in many commercial applications.

Our Business Segments

Beginning in 2012, we began reporting our financial data for four reportable segments, coinciding with our four business units: dermatology, ophthalmology, urology and wound care. For financial information regarding our business segments, see Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Part II, Item 8 of this report.

Our Target Indications and Product Candidates

Our goal is to advance our product candidates through confirmatory Phase 2 proof of concept trials, after which we will evaluate further advancing each program on our own or entering a co-development collaboration or licensing agreement with a proven market leader. In the event that we enter into a co-development collaboration or licensing agreement with a proven market leader, this strategy provides the benefit of their product development expertise and proven commercial capabilities. In these collaborations, our strategy has been to defray the development costs while retaining participation in the long-term commercial economics of our products. This strategy enhances our probability of success in product and commercial development. In many instances, we believe we can build upon the safety data generated in one indication to accelerate early development of other indications. We are also learning from our own and our partners' experience in developing appropriate formulations and usage of our compounds. The more

development programs that are undertaken by our partners and by us, the greater product development synergy we expect to achieve.

By virtue of their anti-microbial versatility, the Aganocide compounds offer NovaBay an opportunity to potentially address a wide variety of topical, non-systemic indications in large, underserved markets. Topical indications include treatment and prevention of infections on any surface that may harbor pathogens, such as skin, bladder, sinus, ears, lungs, the eye, as well as medical devices such as catheters. We are currently focusing on four major market opportunities: ophthalmology, dermatology, urology and hospital infections. Our strategy is to build four distinct business units around these markets in the years to come.

Ophthalmology

Based on the findings in the Phase 2a study announced in May 2011, NovaBay is continuing the clinical development of auriclosene (NVC-422) eye drops by conducting a global Phase 2b clinical trial in adenoviral conjunctivitis. Enrollment into this study began in the U.S. during May 2012, in India during December 2012 and in Brazil during the first quarter 2013.

NovaBay is using contract research organizations (CROs) to manage the trial: Quintiles Research Ltd. (India and Sri Lanka), Chiltern International (Brazil) and Symbio, LLC (United States).

Globally, adenoviral conjunctivitis remains a significant unmet medical need across all ocular infections. NovaBay believes auriclosene (NVC-422) eye drops could represent a significant advancement in the treatment of this condition, particularly in treating sight-threatening epidemic keratoconjunctivitis, or EKC.

In view of the fact that auriclosene (NVC-422) possesses potent *in vitro* anti-bacterial activity in addition to its potent *in vitro* anti-viral activity, NovaBay also initiated a proof-of-concept bacterial conjunctivitis clinical study in the second quarter of 2013.

Dermatology

We are focused on developing products that will potentially eliminate the need to use antibiotic-based products in the dermatology market. Our technology goes beyond antibiotics: we are focused on developing non-antibiotic anti-microbial products which would be effective against drug-resistant pathogens. As resistance to antibiotics becomes a critical public health issue, NovaBay intends to aggressively pursue the development of non-antibiotic anti-microbials that are unlikely to be susceptible to resistance, as a first-line treatment for a range of topical

infections.

6

Galderma Collaboration

On March 25, 2009, we entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Galderma S.A. to develop and commercialize our Aganocide compounds, which covers acne and impetigo and potentially other major dermatological conditions, excluding onychomycosis (nail fungus), orphan drug indications, most post-surgical use and use in wound care. We amended this agreement in December 2009 and again in December 2010. Based on the Impetigo Phase 2a clinical trial results, in December 2010, NovaBay and Galderma S.A., agreed to expand their partnership to focus on the development of NovaBay's Aganocide compound auriclosene (NVC-422) for the topical treatment of impetigo. This expansion is intended to provide NovaBay with the additional funding and resources required for the clinical development of its auriclosene (NVC-422) proprietary topical formulation for impetigo and other topical infections.

The 2nd amendment distinguished the territory for impetigo and other collaboration products. Galderma has exclusive and worldwide jurisdiction over impetigo products with the exception of the Middle East and Japan and worldwide exclusive jurisdiction for other collaboration products with the exception of the Middle East and the Asia Pacific, as described in the next paragraph.

Galderma is responsible for the development costs of product candidate compounds, except for costs incurred in Japan. In Japan, Galderma has the option to request that we share such development costs. Under the original agreement, we were supporting the ongoing development program for impetigo; however under the second amendment, entered into on December 2, 2010, Galderma has exercised its option and increased its support to cover the cost of development for this indication. Upon the achievement of a specified milestone, Galderma will reimburse NovaBay for specified, previously incurred expenses related to the development of the impetigo program and Galderma has reimbursed the expenses in September 2012 NovaBay retains the right to co-market products resulting from the agreement in Japan. In addition, NovaBay has retained all rights to co-promote the products developed under the agreement in hospitals and other healthcare institutions in North America.

From the inception of the agreement to December 31, 2013, we have received \$23.3 million from Galderma including a technology access fee, continuation fee, milestone payments and research and development funding. NovaBay has the potential to receive up to \$62.0 million in predetermined fees, including milestones and personnel reimbursement, with additional funding available to cover product and clinical development. We are entitled to royalties ranging from 10% to 30% on cumulative net sales of products once commercialized, subject to some reductions based on any development costs incurred directly by Galderma. Upon the termination of the agreement under certain circumstances, Galderma will grant NovaBay certain technology licenses which would require NovaBay to make royalty payments to Galderma for such licenses with royalty rates in the low- to mid-single digits.

Impetigo

Impetigo is a highly contagious superficial bacterial infection of the skin that affects mostly children. Most cases are caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, or a mixture of both organisms. Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) is being observed with increasing frequency in this population. Impetigo is currently being treated with antibiotic ointments, though reports of drug-resistant bacteria in impetigo are increasing.

Under the terms of the second amendment to the agreement with Galderma, for the research and development of impetigo and acne, Galderma exercised its option to advance the clinical development of the impetigo program and paid a \$3.25 million continuation fee together with additional research and development funding through the development of the program. An exclusive licensing agreement provides Galderma with the right to commercialize impetigo products and an option to license auriclosene for use in other dermatological indications.

We believe that there is a significant market for the treatment of impetigo, with approximately 13 million prescriptions for the treatment of impetigo annually in US, Europe, Japan and Brazil, and 1.3 million prescriptions in the U.S. alone.

In November 2013, we announced that the auriclosene Phase 2b clinical study of impetigo had been completed. While the study showed that auriclosene is safe and well tolerated, it did not meet its primary clinical endpoint. Together with Galderma, we examined the results from this study and we are using the findings to guide the future course of the clinical development for auriclosene. Knowledge gained from two previous impetigo studies is expected to lead to the use of an optimized formulation of auriclosene for an upcoming pilot study. The Company will be responsible the planning, execution and the cost of the upcoming study, which is expect to be approximately \$1 to \$2 million. Based on the results of this study NovaBay and Galderma will determine the next steps in the development of auriclosene for this indication.

Urology

Auriclosene (NVC-422) catheter irrigation solution may provide significant clinical benefit by reducing the risk of complications associated with UCBE (urinary catheter blockage and encrustation), thereby greatly enhancing the quality of life for patients and their caregivers. Because of spinal cord injuries, multiple sclerosis, strokes, or other neurogenic bladder conditions, an estimated 74,000 patients in the U.S. that rely on indwelling catheters routinely suffer from blockage and encrustation. Indwelling catheters are also used in nursing homes and hospitals. NovaBay's product, if successfully developed and approved for commercialization, has the potential to reduce significantly the incidence of catheter blockage and the medical problems that can be caused or exacerbated by blockage, from urinary tract infections to autonomic dysreflexia.

Previously, NovaBay announced positive results from a Phase 2a clinical study of its irrigation solution containing auriclosene. Auriclosene demonstrated activity against uropathogens that form biofilm on urinary catheter surfaces and can cause UCBE due to formation of bladder stones and crystals that block the catheter.

In September 2013, we announced results from our Phase 2 clinical study for auriclosene to prevent urinary catheter blockage and encrustation of indwelling urinary catheters. Overall, the top-line results demonstrated that Auriclosene Irrigation Solution was effective at reducing the degree of catheter encrustation and maintaining the catheter patency over the course of the study. Primary endpoints in the study were achieved, showing statistically significant and clinically meaningful benefits for patients with long-term indwelling catheters. If commercialized, our auriclosene-based irrigation solution has the potential to mitigate an important medical problem, cut healthcare costs, and improve the quality of life for tens of thousands of patients in the U.S. alone. A catheter irrigation solution that significantly reduces catheter blockage and encrustation could greatly reduce what NovaBay estimates to be \$1.5 billion spent annually to help patients with indwelling urinary catheters deal with costs attributable to this debilitating condition. We also expect that such a product would also improve the quality of patients' lives by reducing the consequences of unexpected catheter blockages.

Advanced Wound Care

NovaBay has begun marketing its FDA 510(k)-cleared NeutroPhase skin and advanced wound cleanser for the chronic non-healing wound market, which represents a promising worldwide commercial opportunity. Potential applications for NeutroPhase that are covered by its four FDA 510(k) clearances include diabetic ulcers, venous stasis ulcers and pressure ulcer stages I-IV as well as surgical wounds and burns. NovaBay's marketing strategy for NeutroPhase is to collaborate with wound care companies with optimal infrastructure to maximize its commercial potential in each territory around the world.

In January 2012, NovaBay announced it had entered into a distribution agreement with Pioneer, with a value of up to \$1.3 million in pre-commercialization milestones related to the launch of NeutroPhase in mainland China, excluding Hong Kong, Macau and Taiwan. The agreement is for a term of five years with the option to renew for additional five years. The collaboration with Pioneer Pharma was amended in September 2012 to include South-East Asian markets, specifically, Hong Kong, Macau, Taiwan, Singapore, Malaysia, Indonesia, Myanmar, Philippines, Thailand, Vietnam, Brunei, Cambodia and Laos. Pioneer has access to 7,500 hospitals and 40,000 pharmacies with over 1,000 sales representatives.

We further amended our agreements with Pioneer in December 2013 to include exclusive licensing rights to two new products, CelleRx™ and i-Lid™ Cleanser, developed internally NovaBay. The amendments cover the commercialization and distribution of these products in China and 11 countries in Southeast Asia. CelleRx™ is a new product developed by NovaBay for use in the field of aesthetic dermatology. NovaBay's other new product, i-Lid™ cleanser, was designed for use in ophthalmological applications.

In 2013 NovaBay entered into an exclusive marketing agreement with Principle Business Enterprises Inc. (PBE) of Bowling Green, Ohio for its NeutroPhase brand of advanced wound cleanser in the US. The cost of treating chronic wounds is estimated at \$5 billion to \$7 billion in the U.S., and the occurrence of these wounds is increasing at a rate of 10% per year.

In 2013 NovaBay entered into an exclusive distribution agreement for NeutroPhase with Shin Poong Ltd. for the South Korean marketplace.

NovaBay is currently seeking commercial partners for NeutroPhase to cover the Canadian and South American, European, African, Middle Eastern, Australia /New Zealand and Japanese markets.

Research and Development

As of December 31, 2013, we had 16 employees dedicated to research and development. Our research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel-related expenses, laboratory supplies and contract research services provided to our research, development and clinical groups. We expense our research and development costs as they are incurred. Research and development expenses for 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$12.5 million, \$9.3 million and \$9.9 million, respectively. All of our research and development employees are engaged in drug research, development and clinical activities, including those related to the Galderma agreement as described above. We expect to incur significant research and development expenses for the foreseeable future.

Intellectual Property

We rely on a combination of patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret laws in the U.S. and other jurisdictions, as well as confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions, to protect our proprietary technology. We require our employees, consultants, contractors and third parties to enter into confidentiality and invention assignment agreements and we rigorously control access to our proprietary technology.

As of December 31, 2013, we owned seven (7) issued patents in the U.S, forty (40) issued foreign patents and seventy-six (76) pending patents in the U.S. and various foreign jurisdictions. The expiration dates of the patents are between 2020 and 2029. Patents that issue, if any, from our current pending patent applications will expire between 2025-2033, which is approximately twenty years from their individual filing dates. We intend to continue filing new patent applications in the U.S. and foreign jurisdictions to seek further protection of our technology.

Our patents and patent applications cover compositions of matter relating to our proprietary Aganocide[®] compounds, methods of use of NeutroPhase and/or our Aganocide compounds, method of manufacture and various corresponding formulations and utility. Several of these patents will have patent term extensions, depending on the length of time required to conduct clinical trials. Some of our patents provide coverage for a method of treating burns or promoting wound healing, tissue repair or tissue regeneration using a specific range of formulations of hypochlorous acid. Others provide composition-of-matter coverage for our lead development candidate, auriclosene (NVC-422), and other Aganocide compounds.

We have trademarks, both registered and unregistered, which provide distinctive identification of our products in the marketplace. NovaBay[®], NovaBay Pharma[®], AgaNase[®], Aganocide[®], AgaDerm[®], NeutroPhase[®], Going Beyond Antibiotics[®] are registered U.S. trademarks of NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and various other foreign jurisdictions. Applications for registration of the trademarks of OmniPhase[™], Phase One[™], CelleRx[™] and i-Lid[™] are pending in the U.S. and various corresponding foreign jurisdictions.

Competition

The market for topical, non-systemic anti-infective drugs is highly competitive. If developed, and commercialized, our Aganocide products would compete against a wide variety of existing products, products and technologies that are currently in development, and products and technologies that could be developed and reach the market before or after our products. In particular, we would be competing against existing topical antibiotics and anti-infective products that are sold by many major pharmaceutical companies, or generic equivalents that are being distributed, typically at low prices.

NeutroPhase is competing in a crowded skin and wound cleanser market with many old and low priced products with similar indications for use. However, we believe there is currently no dominant product in this indication.

Potential competitors for our Aganocides and for NeutroPhase include large and small pharmaceutical and medical device companies, such as Pfizer, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Abbott Grp. Plc., GlaxoSmithKline Plc, Sanofi-Aventis SA, Novartis AG, Smith & Nephew Plc, C.R. Bard, Molnlycke, Lohman and Roucher, Johnson and Johnson, 3M, Puricore and Oculus Innovative Sciences.

We believe the principal competitive advantage of our products in our target markets include the fact that in-vitro studies show their effectiveness in killing bacteria, fungi and viruses, including bacteria in biofilm, very low potential for the development of resistance, fast time to kill bacteria, wide safety margin, low side-effect profile and cost effectiveness. We believe that our Aganocide compounds may, if approved by the regulatory authorities, have significant advantages over existing compounds and compounds in development of which we are aware, because our Aganocide compounds could be used to prevent infections or to treat infections with bacterial and viral components, such as conjunctivitis.

Manufacturing and Supply

We do not currently operate manufacturing facilities for clinical or commercial production, as we rely on and leverage the manufacturing and distribution infrastructure of third parties. We have no plans to establish our own manufacturing facilities in the future. Third party vendors supply us with the Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient (API) of auriclosene (NVC-422) and the finished clinical trials materials for auriclosene, which are required to be manufactured in compliance with the FDA's "Current Good Manufacturing Practice", or cGMP, regulations. NeutroPhase is a medical device and is manufactured for us by third parties that are required to comply with FDA's Quality Systems Regulations (QSR). We also intend to work with third parties for future clinical trial materials and commercial supplies of auriclosene (NVC-422) and our other Aganocide compounds.

The Galderma agreement provides for the manufacture by Galderma of finished dosage forms of products incorporating Aganocide compounds for sale under our label in those markets where we have retained marketing rights.

Sales and Marketing

Our lead Aganocide product candidate, auriclosene (NVC-422), as well as many of the product candidates we expect to develop in the future, is primarily intended to address a variety of different non-systemic, anti-infective market segments, some of which are large, primary care markets. We do not currently have, nor do we intend in the near term to create, a commercialization organization capable of marketing, selling and distributing our targeted product candidates to large, primary care markets. This applies to markets in both the U.S. and elsewhere. Rather, we intend to establish commercialization partnerships with pharmaceutical, biotechnology or other leading organizations with the experience and resources to bring our products to market. In some cases, we may enter into agreements with these organizations during the development stage of a product candidate to further benefit from their clinical development, regulatory, market research, pre-marketing and other expertise, as is the case with Galderma. In other cases, we may enter into a distribution agreement, as we have done with Pioneer Pharma. As appropriate, we may establish a specialty sales force with expertise in marketing and selling any future approved products to specialty physicians for specific target indications. We may also establish other complementary capabilities related to marketing and selling targeted medicines, particularly where those capabilities may not currently exist at other organizations. In 2013, 2012 and 2011, substantially all of our revenues have been generated from Galderma and Alcon. Following the termination of the agreement with Alcon (located in Switzerland), we rely on Galderma for a significant portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future; Galderma is located in France. Substantially all of our long-lived assets are located in the U.S. Galderma accounted for 78%, 91% and 54% of our revenues, and Alcon accounted for 0%, 0% and 46% of our revenues, in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Additional information on our revenues, profit and loss and total assets is set forth in our financial statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on form 10-K.

Government Regulation

The testing, manufacturing, labeling, advertising, promotion, distribution, export and marketing of our product candidates are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA, state agencies and comparable regulatory authorities in other countries. Because our programs involve product candidates that are considered as drugs and others that are medical devices, we intend to submit applications to regulatory agencies for approval or clearance of both drug and medical device product candidates.

U.S. Government Regulation

In the U.S., the FDA regulates drugs and medical devices under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the agency's implementing regulations. If we fail to comply with the applicable U.S. requirements at any time during the product development process, clinical testing, and the approval process or after approval, we may become subject to administrative or judicial sanctions. These sanctions could include the FDA's refusal to approve pending applications, license suspension or revocation, withdrawal of an approval, warning letters, adverse publicity, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, injunctions, fines, civil penalties or criminal

prosecution. Any agency enforcement action could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our products are classified by the FDA as a drug or a medical device depending upon the mechanism of action and indications for use or claims. NeutroPhase skin and advanced wound cleanser is FDA cleared as a medical device. Preparations of auriclosene (NVC-422) in clinical trials are currently being reviewed by the FDA as drugs.

Drug Approval Process

The process required by the FDA before a drug may be marketed in the U.S. generally involves satisfactorily completing each of the following:

- preclinical laboratory tests, animal studies, toxicology and formulation studies all performed in accordance with the FDA's Good Laboratory Practice regulations;
- submission to the FDA of an Investigational New Drug (IND) application for human clinical testing, which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin;
- performance of adequate and well-controlled clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the product candidate for each proposed indication; these clinical trials must be conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice (GCP) Guidelines, including Institutional Review Board oversight of the consent of subjects and registration of applicable studies with clinicaltrials.gov; clinical trials generally progress through Phase 1, 2 and 3, testing, respectively, initial safety, population and dose finding, and finally, testing of the anticipated commercial dose, formulation and indication at multiple sites in randomized, placebo-controlled studies that must provide replicate evidence of safety and effectiveness;
- submission to the FDA of a New Drug Application (NDA) including payment of substantial User Fees;
- satisfactory completion of an FDA inspection of the manufacturing facility or facilities, including those of third-parties, at which the product is produced to assess compliance with strictly enforced current GMP regulations, as well as FDA audit for GCP compliance of one or more clinical investigator sites; and
- FDA review and approval of the NDA before any commercial marketing, sale or shipment of the product.

There is continuing and pervasive FDA regulation of drug product manufacturing, labeling, distribution, advertising and promotion once approved, and approval may be subject to additional required clinical studies or risk evaluation and mitigation strategies, or REMS.

Medical Devices

NeutroPhase is cleared by the FDA as a medical device. Unless an exception applies, each medical device we wish to commercialize in the U.S. will require either prior 510(k) clearance or premarket approval from the FDA. The FDA classifies medical devices into one of three classes. Devices deemed to pose lower risks are placed in either Class I or II, which requires the manufacturer to submit to the FDA a premarket notification requesting permission to commercially distribute the device. This process is generally known as 510(k) clearance. Some low risk devices are exempt from this requirement. Any post-clearance modifications made to a 510(k) device may require the submission of a new 510(k) notification prior to commercialization. Devices deemed by the FDA to pose the greatest risk, such as life-sustaining, life-supporting or implantable devices, or devices deemed not substantially equivalent to a previously cleared 510(k) device, are placed in Class III, requiring human clinical study prior to premarket approval. The 510(k) process is undergoing programmatic change at FDA and our ability to obtain 510(k) clearance for future device products may be adversely impacted by such regulatory changes. We are also preparing to register our products in the EU through the CE Marking process.

Continuing Food and Drug Administration Regulation of Medical Devices

After the FDA permits a device to enter commercial distribution, numerous regulatory requirements apply. These include:

- the FDA's Quality Systems Regulations (QSRs), which require manufacturers to follow stringent design, testing, production, control, labeling, packaging, storage, shipping, documentation and other quality assurance procedures during all aspects of the manufacturing process;
- labeling regulations which impose restrictions on labeling and promotional activities, and FDA prohibitions against the promotion of products for uncleared, unapproved, or "off-label" uses;
- requirements to determine whether a new 510(k) submission is necessary when modifications are made to devices that have previously received clearance;
- post-market surveillance requirements which apply when necessary to protect the public health or to provide additional safety and effectiveness data for the device;
- the FDA Medical Device Reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if it were to recur; and
- notices of correction or removal, and recall regulations.

In addition, we are required to register our facility and list our products with the FDA, and are subject to unannounced inspections by the FDA and the Food and Drug Branch of the California Department of Health Services to determine compliance with the QSRs and other regulations, and these inspections may include the manufacturing facilities of our subcontractors.

In 2013 we became an ISO 13485 medical device manufacturer. ISO 13485 is an International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standard, published in 2003, that represents the requirements for a comprehensive quality management system for the design and manufacture of medical devices.

International Regulation

In addition to being subject to the laws and regulations in the U.S., we and our distributors are subject to a variety of laws and regulations in those other countries in which we seek to study and commercialize products, including export of our NeutroPhase products. Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product, we or our distributors must obtain approval of a product by the comparable regulatory authorities of other countries before we can commence clinical trials or marketing of the product in those respective countries. The approval process may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA approval. The requirements governing pricing, reimbursement, clinical trials, and to a lesser extent, product licensing vary from country to country.

Third Party Reimbursement and Pricing Controls

In the U.S. and elsewhere, sales of pharmaceutical products depend in significant part on the availability of reimbursement to the consumer from third party payers, such as government and private insurance plans. Third party payers are increasingly challenging the prices charged for medical products and services. It will be time consuming and expensive for us to go through the process of seeking reimbursement from Medicare and private payers. Aganocide products from which we may receive revenue in the future may not be considered cost-effective, and reimbursement may not be available or sufficient to allow these products to be sold on a competitive and profitable basis.

Anti-Kickback and False Claims Laws

In the U.S., we are subject to various federal and state laws pertaining to healthcare “fraud and abuse,” including anti-kickback and false claims laws. The federal Anti-Kickback Law makes it illegal for any person, including a prescription drug or medical device manufacturer (or a party acting on its behalf) to knowingly and willfully solicit, offer, receive or pay any remuneration, directly or indirectly, in exchange for, or to induce, the referral of business, including the purchase, order or prescription of a particular drug or device, for which payment may be made under federal healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid. Violations of the law are punishable by up to five years

in prison, criminal fines, administrative civil money penalties, and exclusion from participation in federal healthcare programs. In addition, many states have adopted laws similar to the federal Anti-Kickback Law. Some of these state prohibitions apply to referral of patients for healthcare services reimbursed by any source, not only the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Due to the breadth of these laws, it is possible that our future sales and marketing practices or our future relationships with physicians might be challenged under anti-kickback laws, which could harm us.

False claims laws prohibit anyone from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, for payment to third party payers (including Medicare and Medicaid) claims for reimbursed items or services, including drugs and medical devices, that are false or fraudulent, claims for items or services not provided as claimed, or claims for medically unnecessary items or services. Our future activities relating to the reporting of prices for our products, the reporting of Medicaid rebate information and other information affecting federal, state and third party reimbursement of our products, and the sale and marketing of our products, will be subject to scrutiny under these laws. In addition, pharmaceutical and medical device companies have been prosecuted under the federal False Claims Act in connection with their off-label promotion of products. Suits filed under the False Claims Act, known as “qui tam” actions, can be brought by any individual on behalf of the government and such individuals (known as relators or, more commonly, as whistleblowers) may share in the amounts paid by the entity to the government in fines or settlement.

We are subject to healthcare compliance and transparency requirements concerning our marketing of products to healthcare providers. Any failure by us to comply with federal and state laws and regulations in this area may result in fines and the imposition of burdensome consent decrees or integrity agreements.

Employees

As of December 31, 2013, we had 29 full-time employees and 5 part-time employees, including 7 with doctoral degrees. Of our workforce, 16 full-time equivalents were engaged in research and development, 12 in finance, legal and administration and three were engaged in sales and marketing. None of our employees are represented by labor unions or covered by collective bargaining agreements. We consider our relationship with our employees to be good.

Available Information

Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Sections 13(a) and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are available free of charge on our corporate website, located at www.novabaypharma.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business is subject to a number of risks, the most important of which are discussed below. You should consider carefully the following risks in addition to the other information contained in this report and our other filings with the

SEC, before deciding to buy, sell or hold our common stock. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing our company. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe are not important may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected, the value of our common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Relating to Our Business

We may be unable to raise additional capital on acceptable terms in the future which may in turn limit our ability to develop and commercialize products and technologies.

As of December 31, 2013, we had cash, cash equivalents and short term investments of \$13.1 million, which we believe is sufficient to fund our operations through at least the next twelve months at our current level of spending. While we have reduced our staff levels and reduced both our research and general expenditures, we expect our capital outlays and operating expenditures to increase over at least the next several years as we expand our clinical and regulatory activities. Conducting clinical trials is very expensive, and we expect that we will need to raise additional capital, through future private or public equity offerings, strategic alliances or debt financing, before we achieve commercialization of auriclosene, our primary Aganocide compound, or any of any of our other Aganocide compounds. In addition, we may require even more significant capital outlays and operating expenditures if we do not continue to partner with third parties to develop and commercialize our products.

Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- the extent to which we receive milestone payments or other funding from corporate partners, if any;
- the scope, rate of progress and cost of our pre-clinical studies and clinical trials and other research and development activities;
- future clinical trial results;
- the terms and timing of any collaborative, licensing and other arrangements that we may establish;
- the cost and timing of regulatory approvals;
- the cost of establishing clinical and commercial supplies of our product candidates and any products that we may develop;
- the effect of competing technological and market developments;
- the cost of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights; and
- the extent to which we acquire or invest in businesses, products and technologies, although we currently have no commitments or agreements relating to any of these types of transactions.

We do not currently have any commitments for future external funding. Additional financing may not be available on favorable terms, or at all. Our ability to obtain additional financing may be negatively affected by the recent volatility in the financial markets, as well as the general downturn in the economy and decreased consumer confidence. Even if we succeed in selling additional securities to raise funds, our existing stockholders' ownership percentage would be diluted and new investors may demand rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing stockholders. If we raise additional capital through strategic alliance and licensing arrangements, we may have to trade our rights to our technology, intellectual property or products to others on terms that may not be favorable to us. If we raise additional capital through debt financing, the financing may involve covenants that restrict our business activities.

In addition, it is often the case that the cost of pharmaceutical development can be significantly greater than initially anticipated. This may be due to any of a large number of possible reasons, some of which could have been anticipated, while others may be caused by unpredictable circumstances. A significant increase in our costs would cause the amount of financing that would be required to enable us to achieve our goals to be likewise increased.

If we determine that we need to raise additional funds and we are not successful in doing so, we may be unable to complete the clinical development of some or all of our product candidates or to seek or obtain FDA approval of our product candidates. Such events could force us to discontinue product development, enter into a relationship with a strategic partner earlier than currently intended, reduce sales and marketing efforts or forego attractive business opportunities.

We are an early stage company with a history of losses and expect that we will incur net losses in the future, and that we may never achieve or maintain sustained profitability.

We have incurred net losses each year since our inception through December 31, 2013, with the exception of 2009. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we had net losses of approximately \$ 16.0 million, \$7.0 million and \$5.1 million, respectively. We were able to record a profit in 2009 due to our receipt of a \$3.75 million milestone payment under our agreement with Galderma; however, there is no assurance that we will receive any additional large milestone payments under this agreement and, as a result, may not be able to achieve or maintain profitability in the future. Through December 31, 2013, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$56.4 million. We have been, and expect to remain for the foreseeable future, mostly in a research and development stage as we proceed through clinical trials. We have incurred substantial research and development expenses, which were approximately \$12.5 million, \$9.3 million and \$9.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We expect to continue to make, for at least the next several years, significant expenditures for the development of products that incorporate our Aganocide compounds, as well as continued research into the biological activities of our Aganocide compounds, which expenditures are accounted for as research and development expenses. We expect to incur substantial losses for the foreseeable future, and we may never achieve or maintain sustained profitability. We anticipate that our expenses related to our clinical trials and regulatory activities will increase substantially in the foreseeable future as we:

conduct pre-clinical studies and clinical trials for our product candidates in different indications; develop, formulate, manufacture and commercialize our product candidates either independently or with partners; pursue, acquire or in-license additional compounds, products or technologies, or expand the use of our technology; maintain, defend and expand the scope of our intellectual property; and hire additional qualified personnel.

We will need to generate significant revenues to achieve and maintain profitability. If we cannot successfully develop, obtain regulatory approval for and commercialize our drug product candidates, either independently or with partners, we will not be able to generate such revenues or achieve or maintain profitability in the future. Our failure to achieve and subsequently maintain profitability could have a material adverse impact on the market price of our common stock.

We have limited data on the use of some of our products in humans and will need to perform costly and time consuming clinical trials to bring our products to market.

Much of the data that we have on our Aganocide product candidates is from in-vitro (laboratory) studies, in-vivo animal studies, Phase 1 human safety studies, or some small-scale Phase 2a or other exploratory clinical studies. We will need to conduct additional Phase 2 and Phase 3 human clinical trials to confirm such results in larger patient populations to obtain approval from the FDA of our Aganocide drug product candidates. Often, positive in-vitro, in-vivo animal studies, or early human clinical trials are not followed by positive results in later clinical trials, and we may not be able to demonstrate that our Aganocide product candidates are safe and effective for indicated uses in humans or that they are active against antibiotic resistant microbes, do not allow pathogens to develop resistance or are active against bacteria in biofilm. In addition, for each indication, we estimate that it will take between three and five years to conduct the necessary clinical trials.

If we are unable to develop and obtain regulatory approval for our Aganocide compounds, we may never generate product revenues.

To date, our revenues have been derived mainly from research and development collaboration and license agreements. We have generated only limited revenues from sales of NeutroPhase and we cannot guarantee that we will ever be able to generate substantial revenue from NeutroPhase. Our Aganocide compounds are still in development and we will not be able to generate commercial revenue from the sale of these product candidates until we have received regulatory approval for them. Satisfaction of all regulatory requirements applicable to our product candidates typically takes many years, is dependent upon the type, complexity, novelty and classification of the product candidates, and requires the expenditure of substantial resources for research and development and testing. We must demonstrate that our product candidates satisfy rigorous standards of safety and efficacy before we can submit for and gain approval from the FDA and regulatory authorities in other countries. In addition, to compete effectively, our products will need to be easy to use, cost-effective and economical to manufacture on a commercial scale. We may not achieve any of these objectives. We cannot be certain that the clinical development of any of our current product candidates or any other product that we may develop in the future will be successful, that they will receive the regulatory approvals required to commercialize them, or that any of our other in-licensing efforts or pre-clinical testing will yield a product suitable for entry into clinical trials. Our commercial revenues from sales of Aganocide products will be derived from sales of products that may not be commercially available for at least the next several years.

We have one commercialized product, NeutroPhase and if NeutroPhase does not gain market acceptance, our business will suffer.

A number of factors may affect the market acceptance of NeutroPhase or any other products we develop or acquire, including, among others:

- the price of our products relative to other products for the same or similar treatments;
- the perception by patients, physicians and other members of the health care community of the effectiveness and safety of our products for their indicated applications and treatments;
- our ability to find the right distributor; and
- the effectiveness of the sales and marketing efforts of our distributor.

If our products do not gain market acceptance, we may not be able to support funding of our future operations, including developing, testing and obtaining regulatory approval for new product candidates, which would cause our business to suffer.

We have limited experience in developing drugs and medical devices, and we may be unable to commercialize some of the products we develop.

Development and commercialization of drugs and medical devices involves a lengthy and complex process. We have limited experience in developing products and have only one commercialized product in the market. In addition, no one has ever developed or commercialized a product based on our Aganocide compounds, and we cannot assure you that it is possible to develop, obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize any products based on these compounds or that we will be successful in doing so.

Before we can develop and commercialize any new products, we will need to expend significant resources to:

- undertake and complete clinical trials to demonstrate the efficacy and safety of our product candidates;
- maintain and expand our intellectual property rights;
- obtain marketing and other approvals from the FDA and other regulatory agencies; and
- select collaborative partners with suitable manufacturing and commercial capabilities.

The process of developing new products takes several years. Our product development efforts may fail for many reasons, including:

- the failure of our product candidates to demonstrate safety and efficacy;
- the high cost of clinical trials and our lack of financial and other resources; and
- our inability to partner with firms with sufficient resources to assist us in conducting clinical trials.

Success in early clinical trials often is not replicated in later studies, and few research and development projects result in commercial products. At any point, we may abandon development of a product candidate or we may be required to expend considerable resources repeating clinical trials, which would eliminate or adversely impact the timing for revenues from those product candidates. If a clinical study fails to demonstrate the safety and effectiveness of our product candidates, we may abandon the development of the product or product feature that was the subject of the clinical trial, which could harm our business.

Even if we develop products for commercial use, these products may not be accepted by the medical and pharmaceutical marketplaces or be capable of being offered at prices that will enable us to become profitable. We cannot assure you that our products will be approved by regulatory authorities or ultimately prove to be useful for commercial markets, meet applicable regulatory standards, or be successfully marketed.

Our current collaboration with Galderma may fail, resulting in a decrease in funding and inhibition of our ability to continue developing products.

We have entered into an agreement with Galderma S.A. to develop and commercialize our Aganocide compounds, which covers acne and impetigo and potentially other major dermatological conditions, excluding onychomycosis (nail fungus) and orphan drug indications. Our collaboration with Galderma is our only major collaboration in the human field, and so unless and until we enter into additional collaborations or are able to market products on our own, we will be dependent on Galderma for the majority of our collaboration revenues.

In November 2013, we and Galderma announced that the auriclosene Phase 2b clinical study of impetigo had been completed, and that while the study showed that auriclosene is safe and well tolerated, it did not meet its primary clinical endpoint. While the collaboration is still intact, we cannot assure you that future clinical trials will be successful, or that we will receive the full amount of any remaining research funding, milestone payments or royalties, or that any commercially valuable intellectual property will be created, from this arrangement. If Galderma were to breach or terminate its agreement with us or otherwise fail to conduct its collaborative activities successfully and in a timely manner, the research contemplated by our collaboration with them could be delayed or terminated and our costs of performing studies may increase.

We are funding the development of our Aganocide compounds for application in connection with the eye and urinary tract, which we may not be able to do unless we are able to enter into a new collaboration with another collaboration partner.

As we continue the development of auriclosene (NVC-422) for application in connection with the eye, ear and sinus and for use in contact lens solutions, we have to fund such development unless we are able to enter into a collaboration with a collaboration partner, which we may not be able to do, especially because we previously had a collaboration and license agreement with Alcon, which we and Alcon terminated in June 2011. If we are not able to enter into a new collaboration with another collaboration partner and we continue the development of auriclosene (NVC-422) for application in connection with the eye, ear and sinus and for use in contact lens solutions, we will need to rely on our own funds, and any additional funds we may raise. If we are not able to enter into a new collaboration with another collaboration partner or are not able to raise additional funds, we may not be able to develop auriclosene (NVC-422) for these applications.

A key part of our business strategy is to establish collaborative relationships to commercialize and fund development of our product candidates. We may not succeed in establishing and maintaining collaborative relationships, which may significantly limit our ability to develop and commercialize our products successfully, if at all.

A key part of our business strategy is to establish collaborative relationships to commercialize and fund development of our product candidates. We may not be able to negotiate additional collaborations on acceptable terms, if at all, and if we do enter into collaborations, these collaborations may not be successful. Our current and future success depends in part on our ability to enter into successful collaboration arrangements and maintain the collaboration arrangement we currently have with Galderma. The process of establishing and maintaining collaborative relationships is difficult, time-consuming and involves significant uncertainty, including:

- our partners may seek to renegotiate or terminate their relationships with us due to unsatisfactory clinical results, a change in business strategy, a change of control or other reasons;
- our shortage of capital resources may impact a willingness on the part of potential companies to collaborate with us;
- our contracts for collaborative arrangements may be terminable for convenience on written notice and may otherwise expire or terminate, and we may not have alternative funding available;
- our partners may choose to pursue alternative technologies, including those of our competitors;
- we may have disputes with a partner that could lead to litigation or arbitration;
- we do not have day-to-day control over the activities of our partners and have limited control over their decisions;
- our ability to receive milestones and royalties from our partners depends upon the abilities of our partners to establish the safety and efficacy of our drug candidates, obtain regulatory approvals and achieve market acceptance of products developed from our drug candidates;
- we or our partners may fail to properly initiate, maintain or defend our intellectual property rights, where applicable, or a party may utilize our proprietary information in such a way as to invite litigation that could jeopardize or potentially invalidate our proprietary information or expose us to potential liability;
- our partners may not devote sufficient capital or resources towards our product candidates; and
- our partners may not comply with applicable government regulatory requirements.

If we are unable to establish and maintain collaborative relationships on acceptable terms or to successfully transition terminated collaborative agreements, we may have to delay or discontinue further development of one or more of our product candidates, undertake development and commercialization activities at our own expense or find alternative sources of capital. Consequently, if we are unable to enter into, maintain or extend successful collaborations, our business may be harmed.

Our long-term success depends upon the successful development and commercialization of other products from our research and development activities.

Our long-term viability and growth will depend upon the successful development and commercialization of other products from our research and development activities. Product development and commercialization is very expensive and involves a high degree of risk. Only a small number of research and development programs result in the commercialization of a product. Success in early stage clinical trials or preclinical work does not ensure that later stage or larger scale clinical trials will be successful. Even if later stage clinical trials are successful, the risk remains that unexpected concerns may arise from additional data or analysis or that obstacles may arise or issues may be identified in connection with review of clinical data with regulatory authorities or that regulatory authorities may disagree with our view of the data or require additional data or information or additional studies.

Conducting clinical trials is a complex, time-consuming and expensive process. Our ability to complete our clinical trials in a timely fashion depends in large part on a number of key factors including protocol design, regulatory and institutional review board approval, the rate of patient enrollment in clinical trials, and compliance with extensive current good clinical practice requirements. We are in many cases using the services of third-party contract clinical trial providers. If we fail to adequately manage the design, execution and regulatory aspects of our clinical trials, our studies and ultimately our regulatory approvals may be delayed or we may fail to gain approval for our product candidates altogether.

If we do not successfully execute our growth initiatives through the acquisition, partnering and in-licensing of products, technologies or companies, our future performance could be adversely affected.

In addition to our internal development projects, we anticipate growing through external growth opportunities, which include the acquisition, partnering and in-licensing of products, technologies and companies or the entry into strategic alliances and collaborations. If we are unable to complete or manage these external growth opportunities successfully, we may not be able to grow our business in the way that we currently expect. The availability of high quality opportunities is limited and we are not certain that we will be able to identify suitable candidates or complete transactions on terms that are acceptable to us. To pursue such opportunities, we may require significant additional financing, which may not be available to us on favorable terms, if at all. The availability of such financing is limited by the recent tightening of the global credit markets.

We may acquire other businesses or form joint ventures or in-license compounds that could disrupt our business, harm our operating results, dilute your ownership interest in us, or cause us to incur debt or significant expense.

As part of our business strategy, we may pursue acquisitions of complementary businesses and assets, and enter into technology or pharmaceutical compound licensing arrangements. We also may pursue strategic alliances that leverage our core technology and industry experience to enhance our ability to commercialize our product candidates and expand our product offerings or distribution. We have no experience with respect to acquiring other companies and limited experience with respect to the formation of commercial partnering agreements, strategic alliances, joint ventures or in-licensing of compounds. If we make any acquisitions, we may not be able to integrate these acquisitions successfully into our existing business, and we could assume unknown or contingent liabilities. If we in-license any additional compounds, we may fail to develop the product candidates, and spend significant resources before determining whether a compound we have in-licensed will produce revenues. Any future acquisitions or in-licensing by us also could result in significant write-offs or the incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities, any of which could harm our operating results. Integration of an acquired company also may require management resources that otherwise would be available for ongoing development of our existing business. We may not identify or complete these transactions in a timely manner, on a cost-effective basis, or at all, and we may not realize the anticipated benefits of any acquisition, technology license, strategic alliance or joint venture.

To finance any acquisitions, we may choose to issue shares of our common stock as consideration, which would dilute your interest in us. If the price of our common stock is low or volatile, we may not be able to acquire other companies for stock. Alternatively, it may be necessary for us to raise additional funds for acquisitions by incurring indebtedness. Additional funds may not be available on terms that are favorable to us, or at all.

We do not have our own manufacturing capacity, and we plan to rely on partnering arrangements or third-party manufacturers for the manufacture of our potential products.

We do not currently operate manufacturing facilities for clinical or commercial production of our product candidates. We have no experience in drug formulation or manufacturing, and we lack the resources and the capabilities to manufacture any of our product candidates on a clinical or commercial scale. As a result, we have partnered and expect to partner with third parties to manufacture our products or rely on contract manufacturers to supply, store and distribute product supplies for our clinical trials. Any performance failure on the part of our commercial partners or future manufacturers could delay clinical development or regulatory approval of our product candidates or commercialization of our products, producing additional losses and reducing or delaying product revenues.

Our products, if developed and commercialized, will require precise, high quality manufacturing. The failure to achieve and maintain high manufacturing standards, including the incidence of manufacturing errors, could result in patient injury or death, product recalls or withdrawals, delays or failures in product testing or delivery, cost overruns or other problems that could seriously harm our business. Contract manufacturers and partners often encounter difficulties involving production yields, quality control and quality assurance, as well as shortages of qualified personnel. These manufacturers and partners are subject to ongoing periodic unannounced inspection by the FDA and corresponding state agencies to ensure strict compliance with current Good Manufacturing Practice and other applicable government regulations and corresponding foreign standards; however, we do not have control over third-party compliance with these regulations and standards. If any of our manufacturers or partners fails to maintain compliance, the production of our products could be interrupted, resulting in delays, additional costs and potentially lost revenues.

In addition, if the FDA or other regulatory agencies approve any of our product candidates for commercial sale, we will need to manufacture them in larger quantities. Significant scale-up of manufacturing will require validation studies, which the FDA must review and approve. If we are unable to successfully increase the manufacturing capacity for a product, the regulatory approval or commercial launch of any drugs may be delayed or there may be a shortage in supply and our business may be harmed as a result.

We depend on skilled and experienced personnel to operate our business effectively. If we are unable to recruit, hire and retain these employees, our ability to manage and expand our business will be harmed, which would impair our future revenue and profitability.

Our success largely depends on the skills, experience and efforts of our officers, especially our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Sr. Vice President for Ophthalmic Drug Development, Sr. Vice President for Advanced Wound Care, Chief Alliance Officer and Vice President of Product Development, Vice President of Medical Affairs, Sr. Vice President of Business and Corporate Development and other key employees. The efforts of each of these persons is critical to us as we continue to develop our technologies and as we attempt to transition into a

company with commercial products. Any of our officers and other key employees may terminate their employment at any time. The loss of any of our senior management team members could weaken our management expertise and harm our ability to compete effectively, develop our technologies and implement our business strategies.

Our ability to retain our skilled labor force and our success in attracting and hiring new skilled employees will be a critical factor in determining whether we will be successful in the future. Our research and development programs and collaborations depend on our ability to attract and retain highly skilled scientists and technicians. We may not be able to attract or retain qualified scientists and technicians in the future due to the intense competition for qualified personnel among life science businesses, particularly in the San Francisco Bay Area. We also face competition from universities and public and private research institutions in recruiting and retaining highly qualified scientific personnel. We have also encountered difficulties in recruiting qualified personnel from outside the San Francisco Bay Area, due to the high housing costs in the area.

If we grow and fail to manage our growth effectively, we may be unable to execute our business plan.

Our future growth, if any, may cause a significant strain on our management, and our operational, financial and other resources. Our ability to grow and manage our growth effectively will require us to implement and improve our operational, financial and management information systems and to expand, train, manage and motivate our employees. These demands may require the hiring of additional management personnel and the development of additional expertise by management. Any increase in resources devoted to research and product development without a corresponding increase in our operational, financial and management information systems could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

If our facilities become inoperable, we will be unable to perform our research and development activities, fulfill the requirements under our collaboration agreement and continue developing products and, as a result, our business will be harmed.

We do not have redundant laboratory facilities. We perform substantially all of our research, development and testing in our laboratory located in Emeryville, California. Emeryville is situated on or near active earthquake fault lines. Our facility and the equipment we use to perform our research, development and testing would be costly to replace and could require substantial lead time to repair or replace. The facility may be harmed or rendered inoperable by natural or man-made disasters, including earthquakes, flooding and power outages, which may render it difficult or impossible for us to perform our research, development and testing for some period of time. The inability to perform our research and development activities may result in the loss of partners or harm our reputation, and we may be unable to regain those partnerships in the future. Our insurance coverage for damage to our property and the disruption of our business may not be sufficient to cover all of our potential losses, including the loss of time as well as the costs of lost opportunities, and may not continue to be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

Obtaining regulatory approval in the United States does not ensure we will obtain regulatory approval in other countries.

We will aim to obtain regulatory approval in the U.S. as well as in other countries. To obtain regulatory approval to market our proposed products outside of the U.S., we and any collaborator must comply with numerous and varying regulatory requirements in other countries regarding safety and efficacy. Approval procedures vary among countries and can involve additional product testing and additional administrative review periods. The time required to obtain approval in other countries might differ significantly from that required to obtain FDA approval. The regulatory approval process in other countries includes all of the risk associated with FDA approval as well as additional, presently unanticipated risks. Regulatory approval in one country does not ensure regulatory approval in another, but a failure or delay in obtaining regulatory approval in one country may negatively impact the regulatory process in others. Failure to obtain regulatory approval in other countries or any delay or setback in obtaining such approval could have the same adverse effects associated with regulatory approval in the U.S., including the risk that our product candidates may not be approved for all indications requested and that such approval may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed. In addition, failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements in other countries can result in, among other things, warning letters, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, refusal of the government to renew marketing applications and criminal prosecution.

If we are unable to design, conduct and complete clinical trials successfully, we will not be able to obtain regulatory approval for our products.

To obtain FDA approval for our drug product candidates, we must submit to the FDA a New Drug Application, or NDA, demonstrating that the product candidate is safe and effective for its intended use. This demonstration requires significant research and animal tests, which are referred to as preclinical studies, as well as human tests, which are referred to as clinical trials.

Any clinical trials we conduct or that are conducted by our partners may not demonstrate the safety or efficacy of our product candidates. Success in pre-clinical testing and early clinical trials does not ensure that later clinical trials will be successful. Results of later clinical trials may not replicate the results of prior clinical trials and pre-clinical testing. Even if the results of one or more of our clinical trials are positive, we may have to commit substantial time and additional resources to conducting further preclinical studies or clinical trials before we can submit NDAs or obtain FDA approvals for our product candidates, and positive results of a clinical trial may not be replicated in subsequent trials.

Clinical trials are very expensive and difficult to design and implement. The clinical trial process is also time-consuming. Furthermore, if participating patients in clinical studies suffer drug-related adverse reactions during the course of such trials, or if we or the FDA believe that participating patients are being exposed to unacceptable

health risks, we will have to suspend or terminate our clinical trials. Failure can occur at any stage of the trials, and we could encounter problems that cause us to abandon clinical trials or to repeat clinical studies. Further, because our product candidates are all in the same class of compounds, failure in one clinical trial may cause us or our partners to have to suspend or terminate other clinical trials. For example, if toxicity issues were to arise in one clinical trial, it could indicate that all of our product candidates have toxicity issues.

In addition, the completion of clinical trials can be delayed by numerous factors, including:

delays in identifying and agreeing on acceptable terms with prospective clinical trial sites;
slower than expected rates of patient recruitment and enrollment;
increases in time required to complete monitoring of patients during or after participation in a trial; and
unexpected need for additional
patient-related data.

Any of these delays, if significant, could impact the timing, approval and commercialization of our product candidates and could significantly increase our overall costs of drug development.

Even if our clinical trials are completed as planned, their results may not support our expectations or intended marketing claims. The clinical trials process may fail to demonstrate that our products are safe and effective for indicated uses. Such failure would cause us to abandon a product candidate for some indications and could delay development of other product candidates.

Government agencies may establish usage guidelines that directly apply to our proposed products or change legislation or regulations to which we are subject.

Government usage guidelines typically address matters such as usage and dose, among other factors. Application of these guidelines could limit the use of products that we may develop. In addition there can be no assurance that government regulations applicable to our proposed products or the interpretation thereof will not change and thereby prevent the marketing of some or all of our products for a period of time or permanently. The FDA's policies may change and additional government regulations may be enacted that could prevent or delay regulatory approval of our product candidates. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of adverse government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative action, either in the U.S. or in other countries.

Our product candidates may be classified as a drug or a medical device, depending on the mechanism of action or indication for use and prior precedent, and a change in the classification may have an adverse impact on our revenues or our ability to obtain necessary regulatory approvals.

Several potential indications for our product candidates may be regulated under the medical device regulations of the FDA administered by the Center for Devices and Radiological Health and the same physical product may be regulated by the FDA's Center for Drug Evaluation and Research for another indication. Alternatively the products could be classified as combination products, in which case both the device and drug centers jointly review the submission. The products may be designated by the FDA as a drug or a medical device depending upon the regulatory definition of a drug and a device, their primary mode of action and the indications for use or product claims.

The use of NeutroPhase as a solution for cleansing and debriding wounds was cleared as a Class I medical device. The determination as to whether a particular indication is considered a drug or a device is also based in part upon precedent. A reclassification by the FDA of an indication from a device to a drug indication during our development for that indication could have a significant adverse impact due to the more rigorous and lengthy approval process required for drugs, as compared to medical devices. Such a change in classification can significantly increase development costs and prolong the time for development and approval, thus delaying revenues. A reclassification of an indication after approval from a drug to a device could result in a change in classification for reimbursement. In many cases, reimbursement for devices is significantly lower than for drugs and there could be a significant negative impact on our revenues.

We and our collaborators are and will be subject to ongoing FDA obligations and continued regulatory review, such as continued safety reporting requirements, and we and our collaborators may also be subject to additional FDA post-marketing obligations or new regulations, all of which may result in significant expense and which may limit our ability to commercialize our medical device and drug products and candidates.

Any regulatory approvals that we receive may also be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or contain requirements for potentially costly post-marketing follow-up studies. The FDA may require us to commit to perform lengthy Phase IV post-approval studies, for which we would have to expend additional resources, which could have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition. In addition, if the FDA approves any of our drug product candidates, the labeling, packaging, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion and record keeping for the drug will be subject to extensive regulatory requirements. The subsequent discovery of previously unknown problems with the drugs, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, may result in restrictions on the marketing of the drugs or the withdrawal of the drugs from the market. If we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we may be subject to fines, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, product recalls, seizure of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecution. Any of these events could prevent us from marketing any products we may develop and our business could suffer.

Conducting clinical trials of our product candidates may expose us to expensive liability claims, and we may not be able to maintain liability insurance on reasonable terms or at all.

The risk of clinical trial liability is inherent in the testing of pharmaceutical and medical device products. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against any clinical trial claims, we may incur substantial liabilities or be required to limit or terminate testing of one or more of our product candidates. Our inability to obtain sufficient clinical trial insurance at an acceptable cost to protect us against potential clinical trial claims could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of our product candidates. Our current clinical trial insurance covers individual and aggregate claims up to \$5.0 million. This insurance may not cover all claims and we may not be able to obtain additional insurance coverage at a reasonable cost, if at all, in the future. In addition, if our agreements with any future corporate collaborators entitle us to indemnification against product liability losses and clinical trial liability, such indemnification may not be available or adequate should any claim arise.

If we use biological and hazardous materials in a manner that causes injury, we could be liable for damages. Compliance with environmental regulations can be expensive, and noncompliance with these regulations may result in adverse publicity and potentially significant monetary damages and fines.

Our activities currently require the controlled use of potentially harmful biological materials and other hazardous materials and chemicals and may in the future require the use of radioactive compounds. We cannot eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury to employees or third parties from the use, storage, handling or disposal of these materials. In the event of contamination or injury, we could be held liable for any resulting damages, and any liability could exceed our resources or any applicable insurance coverage we may have. Additionally, we are subject, on an ongoing basis, to U.S. federal, state and local laws and regulations governing the use, storage, handling and disposal of these materials and specified waste products. The cost of compliance with these laws and regulations might be significant and could negatively affect our operating results. In addition, if more stringent laws and regulations are adopted in the future, the costs of compliance with these new laws and regulations could be substantial or could impose significant changes in our testing and production process.

The pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical industries are characterized by patent litigation and any litigation or claim against us may cause us to incur substantial costs, and could place a significant strain on our financial resources, divert the attention of management from our business and harm our reputation.

There has been substantial litigation in the pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical industries with respect to the manufacture, use and sale of new products that are the subject of conflicting patent rights. For the most part, these lawsuits relate to the validity, enforceability and infringement of patents. Generic companies are encouraged to challenge the patents of pharmaceutical products in the United States because a successful challenger can obtain six months of exclusivity as a generic product under the Hatch-Waxman Act. We expect that we will rely upon patents, trade secrets, know-how, continuing technological innovations and licensing opportunities to develop and maintain our competitive position and we may initiate claims to defend our intellectual property rights as a result. Other parties may have issued patents or be issued patents that may prevent the sale of our products or know-how or require us to license such patents and pay significant fees or royalties to produce our products. In addition, future patents may issue to third parties which our technology may infringe. Because patent applications can take many years to issue, there may be applications now pending of which we are unaware that may later result in issued patents that our products may infringe.

Intellectual property litigation, regardless of outcome, is expensive and time-consuming, could divert management's attention from our business and have a material negative effect on our business, operating results or financial condition. If such a dispute were to be resolved against us, we may be required to pay substantial damages, including treble damages and attorney's fees if we were to be found to have willfully infringed a third party's patent, to the party claiming infringement, develop non-infringing technology, stop selling any products we develop, cease using technology that contains the allegedly infringing intellectual property or enter into royalty or license agreements that may not be available on acceptable or commercially practical terms, if at all. Our failure to develop non-infringing technologies or license the proprietary rights on a timely basis could harm our business. Modification of any products we develop or development of new products thereafter could require us to conduct additional clinical trials and to revise our filings with the FDA and other regulatory bodies, which would be time-consuming and expensive. In addition, parties making infringement claims may be able to obtain an injunction that would prevent us from selling any products we develop, which could harm our business.

We may be subject to damages resulting from claims that we or our employees have wrongfully used or disclosed alleged trade secrets of their former employers.

Some of our employees may have been previously employed at universities or other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. Although no claims against us are currently pending, we may be subject to claims that these employees or we have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed trade secrets or other proprietary information of their former employers. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims. Even if we are successful in defending against these claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management. If we fail in defending such claims, in addition to paying money damages, we may lose valuable intellectual property rights or personnel. A loss of key research personnel or their work product could hamper

or prevent our ability to commercialize product candidates, which could severely harm our business.

If product liability lawsuits are brought against us, they could result in costly litigation and significant liabilities.

The product candidates we are developing or attempting to develop will, in most cases, undergo extensive clinical testing and will require approval from the applicable regulatory authorities prior to sale. However, despite all reasonable efforts to ensure safety, it is possible that we or our collaborators will sell products which are defective, to which patients react in an unexpected manner, or which are alleged to have side effects. The manufacture and sale of such products may expose us to potential liability, and the industries in which our products are likely to be sold have been subject to significant product liability litigation. Any claims, with or without merit, could result in costly litigation, reduced sales, significant liabilities and diversion of our management's time and attention and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, business and results of operations.

If a product liability claim is brought against us, we may be required to pay legal and other expenses to defend the claim and, if the claim is successful, damage awards may not be covered, in whole or in part, by our insurance. We may not have sufficient capital resources to pay a judgment, in which case our creditors could levy against our assets. We may also be obligated to indemnify our collaborators and make payments to other parties with respect to product liability damages and claims. Defending any product liability claims, or indemnifying others against those claims, could require us to expend significant financial and managerial resources.

Failure to obtain sufficient quantities of products and substances necessary for research and development, pre-clinical studies, human clinical trials and product commercialization that are of acceptable quality at reasonable prices or at all could constrain our product development and have a material adverse effect on our business.

We have relied and will continue to rely on contract manufacturers for the foreseeable future to produce quantities of products and substances necessary for research and development, pre-clinical trials, human clinical trials and product commercialization. It will be important to us that such products and substances can be manufactured at a cost and in quantities necessary to make them commercially viable. At this point in time, we have not attempted to identify, and do not know whether there will be, any third party manufacturers which will be able to meet our needs with respect to timing, quantity and quality for commercial production. In addition, if we are unable to contract for a sufficient supply or required products and substances on acceptable terms, or if we should encounter delays or difficulties in our relationships with manufacturers, our research and development, pre-clinical and clinical testing would be delayed, thereby delaying the submission of product candidates for regulatory approval or the market introduction and subsequent sales of products. Any such delay may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Because our clinical development activities rely heavily on sensitive and personal information, an area which is highly regulated by privacy laws, we may not be able to generate, maintain or access essential patient samples or data to continue our research and development efforts in the future on reasonable terms and conditions, which may adversely affect our business.

As a result of our clinical development, we will have access to very sensitive data regarding the patients enrolled in our clinical trials. This data will contain information that is personal in nature. The maintenance of this data is subject to certain privacy-related laws, which impose upon us administrative and financial burdens, and litigation risks. For instance, the rules promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, or HIPAA, creates national standards to protect patients' medical records and other personal information in the U.S. These rules require that healthcare providers and other covered entities obtain written authorizations from patients prior to disclosing protected health care information of the patient to companies like NovaBay. If the patient fails to execute an authorization or the authorization fails to contain all required provisions, then we will not be allowed access to the patient's information and our research efforts can be substantially delayed. Furthermore, use of protected health information that is provided to us pursuant to a valid patient authorization is subject to the limits set forth in the authorization (i.e., for use in research and in submissions to regulatory authorities for product approvals). As such, we are required to implement policies, procedures and reasonable and appropriate security measures to protect individually identifiable health information we receive from covered entities, and to ensure such information is used only as authorized by the patient. Any violations of these rules by us could subject us to civil and criminal penalties and adverse publicity, and could harm our ability to initiate and complete clinical studies required to support regulatory applications for our proposed products. In addition, HIPAA does not replace federal, state, or other laws that may grant individuals even greater privacy protections. We can provide no assurance that future legislation will not prevent us from generating or maintaining personal data or that patients will consent to the use of their personal information, either of which may prevent us from undertaking or publishing essential research. These burdens or risks may prove too great for us to reasonably bear, and may adversely affect our ability to

function profitably in the future.

We may be subject to fines, penalties, injunctions and other sanctions if we are deemed to be promoting the use of our products for non-FDA-approved, or off-label, uses.

Our business and future growth depend on the development, use and ultimate sale of products that are subject to FDA regulation, clearance and approval. Under the U.S. Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and other laws, we are prohibited from promoting our products for off-label uses. This means that we may not make claims about the safety or effectiveness of our products and may not proactively discuss or provide information on the use of our products, except as allowed by the FDA.

There is a risk that the FDA or other federal or state law enforcement authorities could determine that the nature and scope of our sales and marketing activities may constitute the promotion of our products for a non-FDA-approved use in violation of applicable law. We also face the risk that the FDA or other regulatory authorities might pursue enforcement based on past activities that we have discontinued or changed, including sales activities, arrangements with institutions and doctors, educational and training programs and other activities.

Government investigations concerning the promotion of off-label uses and related issues are typically expensive, disruptive and burdensome and generate negative publicity. If our promotional activities are found to be in violation of applicable law or if we agree to a settlement in connection with an enforcement action, we would likely face significant fines and penalties and would likely be required to substantially change our sales, promotion, grant and educational activities. In addition, were any enforcement actions against us or our senior officers to arise, we could be excluded from participation in U.S. government healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property, our competitors could develop and market products similar to ours that may reduce demand for our products.

Our success, competitive position and potential future revenues will depend in significant part on our ability to protect our intellectual property. We rely on the patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret laws of the U.S. and other countries, as well as confidentiality and nondisclosure agreements, to protect our intellectual property rights. We apply for patents covering our technologies as we deem appropriate.

NovaBay aggressively protects and enforces its patent rights worldwide. However, certain risks remain. There is no assurance that patents will issue from any of our applications or, for those patents we have or that do issue, that the claims will be sufficiently broad to protect our proprietary rights, or that it will be economically possible to pursue sufficient numbers of patents to afford significant protection. For example, we do not have any composition of matter patent directed to the NeutroPhase composition. If a potential competitor introduces a similar method of using NeutroPhase with a similar composition that does not fall within the scope of the method of treatment claims, then we or a potential marketing partner would be unable to rely on the allowed claims to protect its market position for the method of using the NeutroPhase composition, and any revenues arising from such protection would be adversely impacted.

In addition, there is no assurance that any patents issued to us or licensed or assigned to us by third parties will not be challenged, invalidated, found unenforceable or circumvented, or that the rights granted there under will provide competitive advantages to us. If we or our collaborators or licensors fail to file, prosecute or maintain certain patents, our competitors could market products that contain features and clinical benefits similar to those of any products we develop, and demand for our products could decline as a result. Further, although we have taken steps to protect our intellectual property and proprietary technology, third parties may be able to design around our patents or, if they do infringe upon our technology, we may not be successful or have sufficient resources in pursuing a claim of infringement against those third parties. Any pursuit of an infringement claim by us may involve substantial expense and diversion of management attention.

We also rely on trade secrets and proprietary know-how that we seek to protect by confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants and collaborators. If these agreements are not enforceable, or are breached, we may not have adequate remedies for any breach, and our trade secrets and proprietary know-how may become known or be independently discovered by competitors.

We operate in the State of California. The laws of the State prevent us from imposing a delay before an employee who may have access to trade secrets and proprietary know-how can commence employment with a competing company. Although we may be able to pursue legal action against competitive companies improperly using our proprietary information, we may not be aware of any use of our trade secrets and proprietary know-how until after significant damage has been done to our company.

Furthermore, the laws of foreign countries may not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the U.S. If our intellectual property does not provide significant protection against foreign or domestic competition, our competitors, including generic manufacturers, could compete more directly with us, which could result in a decrease in our market share. All of these factors may harm our competitive position.

If our competitors develop products similar to NeutroPhase, we may need to modify or alter our business strategy, which may delay the achievement of our goals.

Competitors may develop products with similar characteristics to NeutroPhase. Such similar products marketed by larger competitors can hinder our efforts to penetrate the market. As a result, we may be forced to modify or alter our business and regulatory strategy and sales and marketing plans, as a response to changes in the market, competition and technology limitations, among others. Such modifications may pose additional delays in achieving our goals.

If bacteria develop resistance to Aganocide compounds, our revenues could be significantly reduced.

Based on our understanding of the hypothesis of the mechanism of action of our Aganocide compounds, we do not expect bacteria to be able to develop resistance to Aganocide compounds. However, we cannot assure you that one or more strains of bacteria will not develop resistance to our compounds, either because our hypothesis of the mechanism of action is incorrect or because a strain of bacteria undergoes some unforeseen genetic mutation that permits it to survive. Since we expect lack of resistance to be a major factor in the commercialization of our product candidates, the discovery of such resistance would have a major adverse impact on the acceptability and sales of our products.

If physicians and patients do not accept and use our products, we will not achieve sufficient product revenues and our business will suffer.

Even if the FDA approves product candidates that we develop, physicians and patients may not accept and use them. Acceptance and use of our products may depend on a number of factors including:

perceptions by members of the healthcare community, including physicians, about the safety and effectiveness of our products;
published studies demonstrating the cost-effectiveness of our products relative to competing products;
availability of reimbursement for our products from government or healthcare payers; and
effectiveness of marketing and distribution efforts by us and our licensees and distributors, if any.

The failure of any of our products to find market acceptance would harm our business and could require us to seek additional financing.

If we are unable to develop our own sales, marketing and distribution capabilities, or if we are not successful in contracting with third parties for these services on favorable terms, or at all, revenues from any products we develop could be disappointing.

We currently have no internal sales, marketing or distribution capabilities. To commercialize any product candidates approved by the FDA, we will either have to develop such capabilities internally or collaborate with third parties who can perform these services for us, such as Principle Business Enterprises in the U.S. and Pioneer Pharma Co. Ltd. in China. If we decide to commercialize any products we develop such as NeutroPhase, we may not be able to hire the necessary experienced personnel and build sales, marketing and distribution operations which are capable of successfully launching new products and generating sufficient product revenues. In addition, establishing such operations will take time and involve significant expense.

If we decide to enter into co-promotion or other licensing arrangements with third parties, we may be unable to identify acceptable partners because the number of potential partners is limited and because of competition from others for similar alliances with potential partners. Even if we are able to identify one or more acceptable partners, we may not be able to enter into any partnering arrangements on favorable terms, or at all. If we enter into any partnering arrangements, our revenues are likely to be lower than if we marketed and sold our products ourselves.

In addition, any revenues we receive would depend upon our partners' efforts which may not be adequate due to lack of attention or resource commitments, management turnover, and change of strategic focus, further business combinations or other factors outside of our control. Depending upon the terms of our agreements, the remedies we have against an under-performing partner may be limited. If we were to terminate the relationship, it may be difficult or impossible to find a replacement partner on acceptable terms, or at all.

If we cannot compete successfully for market share against other companies, we may not achieve sufficient product revenues and our business will suffer.

The market for our product candidates is characterized by intense competition and rapid technological advances. If our product candidates receive FDA approval and are launched they will compete with a number of existing and future drugs, devices and therapies developed, manufactured and marketed by others. Existing or future competing products may provide greater therapeutic convenience or clinical or other benefits for a specific indication than our products, or may offer comparable performance at a lower cost. If our products are unable to capture and maintain market share, we may not achieve sufficient product revenues and our business will suffer.

We will compete for market share against fully integrated pharmaceutical and medical device companies or other companies that develop products independently or collaborate with larger pharmaceutical companies, academic institutions, government agencies and other public and private research organizations. In addition, many of these competitors, either alone or together with their collaborative partners, have substantially greater capital resources, larger research and development staffs and facilities, and greater financial resources than we do, as well as significantly greater experience in:

- developing drugs and devices;
- conducting preclinical testing and human clinical trials;
- obtaining FDA and other regulatory approvals of product candidates;
 - formulating and manufacturing
 - products; and
- launching, marketing, distributing and selling products.

Our competitors may:

- develop and patent processes or products earlier than we will;
- develop and commercialize products that are less expensive or more efficient than any products that we may develop;
 - obtain regulatory approvals for competing products more rapidly than we
 - will; and
- improve upon existing technological approaches or develop new or different approaches that render any technology or products we develop obsolete or uncompetitive.

We cannot assure you that our competitors will not succeed in developing technologies and products that are more effective than any developed by us or that would render our technologies and any products we develop obsolete. If we are unable to compete successfully against current or future competitors, we may be unable to obtain market acceptance for any product candidates that we create, which could prevent us from generating revenues or achieving profitability and could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

Our ability to generate revenues from any products we develop will be diminished if we fail to obtain acceptable prices or an adequate level of reimbursement for our products from healthcare payers.

Our ability to commercialize our product candidates will depend, in part, on the extent to which health insurers, government authorities and other third-party payers will reimburse the costs of products which may be developed by us or our partners. We expect that a portion of our economic return from partnering arrangements with pharmaceutical companies and other collaborators will be derived from royalties, fees or other revenues linked to final sales of products that we or our partners develop. Newly-approved pharmaceuticals and other products which are developed by us or our partners will not necessarily be reimbursed by third-party payers or may not be reimbursed at levels sufficient to generate significant sales. Government and other third-party payers are increasingly attempting to contain health care costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement for new drugs or medical devices. Cost control initiatives such as these could adversely affect our or our collaborators' ability to commercialize products. In addition, real or anticipated cost control initiatives for final products may reduce the willingness of pharmaceutical companies or other potential partners to collaborate with us on the development of new products.

Significant uncertainty exists as to the reimbursement status of newly-approved healthcare products. Healthcare payers, including Medicare, health maintenance organizations and managed care organizations, are challenging the prices charged for medical products or are seeking pharmacoeconomic data to justify formulary acceptance and reimbursement practices. We currently have not generated pharmacoeconomic data on any of our product candidates. Government and other healthcare payers increasingly are attempting to contain healthcare costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement for drugs and medical devices, and by refusing, in some cases, to provide coverage for uses of approved products for disease indications for which the FDA has or has not granted labeling approval. Adequate third-party insurance coverage may not be available to patients for any products we discover and develop, alone or with collaborators. If government and other healthcare payers do not provide adequate coverage and reimbursement levels for our products, market acceptance of our product candidates could be limited.

Risks Relating to Owning Our Common Stock

The price of our common stock may fluctuate substantially, which may result in losses to our stockholders.

The stock prices of many companies in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industry have generally experienced wide fluctuations, which are often unrelated to the operating performance of those companies. The market price of our common stock is likely to be volatile and could fluctuate in response to, among other things:

the results of preclinical or clinical trials relating to our product candidates;
the announcement of new products by us or our competitors;

announcement of partnering arrangements by us or our competitors;
quarterly variations in our or our competitors' results of operations;
announcements by us related to litigation;
changes in our earnings estimates, investors' perceptions, recommendations by securities analysts or our failure to achieve analysts' earnings estimates;
developments in our industry; and
general, economic and market conditions, including the recent volatility in the financial markets and decrease in consumer confidence and other factors unrelated to our operating performance or the operating performance of our competitors.

The volume of trading of our common stock may be low, leaving our common stock open to risk of high volatility.

The number of shares of our common stock being traded may be very low. Any stockholder wishing to sell his/her stock may cause a significant fluctuation in the price of our stock. In addition, low trading volume of a stock increases the possibility that, despite rules against such activity, the price of the stock may be manipulated by persons acting in their own self-interest. We may not have adequate market makers and market making activity to prevent manipulation.

Our directors, executive officers and principal stockholders have significant voting power and may take actions that may not be in the best interests of our other stockholders.

As of December 31, 2013, our officers and directors collectively controlled approximately 4,516,726 shares of our outstanding common stock (and approximately 7,595,349 shares of our common stock when including options held by them which were exercisable as of or within 60 days from December 31, 2013). Furthermore, as of December 31, 2013, our second largest stockholder is Dr. Ramin Najafi, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Dr. Najafi individually, and through his family trust which he jointly controls with his wife Mrs. Farideh Najafi, owns 4,147,389 shares, or 9.28 % of our outstanding common stock (including 601,415 options held by Dr. Najafi which are exercisable as of or within 60 days from December 31, 2013). As a result, Dr. Najafi, can significantly influence the management and affairs of our company and most matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions. This concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control and might adversely affect the market price of our common stock. This concentration of ownership may not be in the best interests of our other stockholders.

Our limited operating history may make it difficult for you to evaluate our business and to assess our future viability.

Our operations to date have been limited to organizing and staffing our company, developing our technology, researching and developing our compounds, and conducting preclinical studies and early-stage clinical trials of our compounds. We have not demonstrated the ability to succeed in achieving clinical endpoints, obtain regulatory approvals, formulate and manufacture products on a commercial scale or conduct sales and marketing activities. Consequently, any predictions you make about our future success or viability are unlikely to be as accurate as they could be if we had a longer operating history.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws and Delaware law, contain provisions that could discourage a third party from making a takeover offer that is beneficial to our stockholders.

Anti-takeover provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, amended and restated bylaws and Delaware law may have the effect of deterring or delaying attempts by our stockholders to remove or replace management, engage in proxy contests and effect changes in control. The provisions of our charter documents include:

a classified board so that only one of the three classes of directors on our Board of Directors is elected each year; elimination of cumulative voting in the election of directors; procedures for advance notification of stockholder nominations and proposals; the ability of our Board of Directors to amend our bylaws without stockholder approval; and the ability of our Board of Directors to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock without stockholder approval upon the terms and conditions and with the rights, privileges and preferences as our Board of Directors may determine.

In addition, as a Delaware corporation, we are subject to the Delaware General Corporation Law, which includes provisions that may have the effect of deterring hostile takeovers or delaying or preventing changes in control or management of our company. Provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire a majority of our outstanding voting stock by discouraging a hostile bid, or delaying, preventing or deterring a merger, acquisition or tender offer in which our stockholders could receive a premium for their shares, or effect a proxy contest for control of NovaBay or other changes in our management.

We have not paid dividends in the past and do not expect to pay dividends in the future, and any return on investment may be limited to the value of our stock.

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. The payment of dividends on our common stock will depend on our earnings, financial condition and other business and economic factors affecting us at such time as our Board of Directors may consider relevant. If we do not pay dividends, you will experience a return on your investment in our shares only if our stock price appreciates. We cannot assure you that you will receive a return on your investment when you do sell your shares or that you will not lose the entire amount of your investment.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our principal executive offices and our research and development and administrative operations are located in Emeryville, California. In total, we lease approximately 16,465 square feet of office space in the facility pursuant to a lease agreement expiring on October 31, 2020.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are currently not a party to, nor is our property the subject matter of, any pending or, to our knowledge, contemplated material legal proceedings. From time to time, we may become party to litigation and subject to claims arising in the ordinary course of our business.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable.

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT’S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****Market Information**

Our common stock is listed on the NYSE Mkt, under the symbol “NBY.” The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices for our common stock as reported by the NYSE Mkt:

	2013		2012	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	1.49	1.10	1.70	1.20
Second Quarter	1.60	1.20	1.36	0.90
Third Quarter	2.03	1.26	1.56	1.12
Fourth Quarter	1.87	0.76	1.76	1.05

Holder

As of March 3, 2014, there were approximately 330 holders of record of our common stock. This figure does not reflect persons or entities that hold their stock in nominee or “street” name through various brokerage firms.

Dividend Policy

We have not paid cash dividends on our common stock since our inception. We currently expect to retain earnings primarily for use in the operation and expansion of our business, and therefore, do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the near future. Any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will be dependent upon our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, restrictions under any existing indebtedness and other factors the Board of Directors deems relevant.

Purchase of Equity Securities by the Issuer

During the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company repurchased 13,949 shares from employees to satisfy the statutory withholding tax liability upon the vesting of restricted share-based awards.

Performance Graph(1)

The following graph compares our total stockholder returns for the past five years to two indices: the NYSE Mkt and the RDG MicroCap Biotechnology Index. The total return for each index assumes the reinvestment of all dividends, if any, paid by companies included in these indices and are calculated as of December 31 of each year.

As a member of the NYSE Mkt Composite Index, we are required under applicable regulations to use this index as a comparator, and we believe the RDG MicroCap Biotechnology Index is a relevant comparator since it is composed of peer companies in lines-of-business similar to ours.

The stockholder return shown on the graph below is not necessarily indicative of future performance, and we do not make or endorse any predictions as to future stockholder returns.

(1) This section is not “soliciting material,” is not deemed “filed” with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

	12/08	12/09	12/10	12/11	12/12	12/13
NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	100.00	201.96	162.75	131.37	110.78	120.59
NYSE MKT Composite	100.00	135.53	175.07	179.96	190.69	200.56
RDG MicroCap Biotechnology	100.00	136.93	128.00	124.38	102.77	91.60

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected financial information as of and for the dates and periods indicated have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The information set forth below is not necessarily indicative of results of future operations, and should be read in conjunction with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in Part II, Item 7 of this report and our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
Statements of Operations Data:					
Sales:					
Sales revenue	\$223	\$14	\$—	\$—	\$—
Cost of goods sold	162	8	—	—	—
Gross profit	61	6	—	—	—
Other revenue:					
License and collaboration revenue	3,045	6,855	10,993	9,754	15,684
Other revenue	209	78	26	—	—
Total other revenue	3,254	6,933	11,019	9,754	15,684
Operating expenses:					
Research and development	12,461	9,275	9,911	8,616	7,337
Selling, general and administrative	6,340	5,973	5,429	5,654	5,607
Total operating expenses	18,801	15,248	15,340	14,270	12,944
Operating income (loss)	(15,486)	(8,309)	(4,321)	(4,516)	2,740
Non-cash gain (loss) on change in fair value of warrants	(555)	1,439	(732)	—	—
Other income (expense), net	1	(155)	(30)	258	(36)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(16,040)	(7,025)	(5,083)	(4,258)	2,704
Provision for income taxes	(2)	(2)	(2)	(50)	(7)
Net income (loss)	\$(16,042)	\$(7,027)	\$(5,085)	\$(4,308)	\$2,697
Net income (loss) per share:					
Basic	\$(0.42)	\$(0.24)	\$(0.20)	\$(0.18)	\$0.12
Diluted	\$(0.42)	\$(0.24)	\$(0.20)	\$(0.18)	\$0.12
Shares used in computing net income (loss) per share:					
Basic	38,183	29,448	25,773	23,326	22,404
Diluted	38,183	29,448	25,773	23,326	23,115

Year Ended December 31,
2013 2012 2011 2010 2009
(in thousands)

Balance Sheet Data:

Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	\$ 13,053	\$ 16,870	\$ 14,138	\$ 12,806	\$ 11,292
Working capital	11,163	15,108	11,720	11,031	11,568
Total assets	15,650	19,235	15,963	15,516	17,523
Capital lease obligation—current and non-current	—	—	—	—	7
Equipment loan—current and non-current	—	—	—	106	470
Deferred revenue—current and non-current	1,871	1,892	2,250	3,689	2,167
Common stock and additional paid-in capital	64,884	54,373	42,672	38,703	37,236
Total stockholders' equity	8,516	14,049	9,344	10,490	13,345

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included in Part II, Item 8 of this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. As a result of many factors, such as those set forth under the section entitled "Risk Factors" in Item 1A and elsewhere in this report, our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements.

Overview

NovaBay Pharmaceuticals is a clinical-stage biotechnology company focused on addressing the large unmet therapeutic needs of the global anti-infective market.

To date, we have generated very little revenue from product sales, and we have financed our operations and internal growth primarily through the sale of our capital stock, and the fees received from Galderma and Alcon, prior to termination of our collaboration with Alcon Manufacturing Ltd. (Alcon), an affiliate of Alcon, Inc., in June 2011. As we are a development stage company, we have incurred significant losses since commencement of our operations in July 2002, since we have devoted substantially all of our resources to research and development. As of December 31, 2013, we had an accumulated deficit of \$56.4 million. This deficit resulted from research and development expenses as well as general and administrative expenses. We expect to incur net losses over the next several years as we continue our clinical and research and development activities and as we apply for patents and regulatory approvals.

Significant Events in 2013 and 2014

In January 2014 we announced that we had appointed Mark M. Sieczkarek and Dr. Massimo Radaelli to our Board of Directors.

In December 2013 we announced that we received ISO (International Organization for Standardization) 13485 certification from the notified body DEKRA, for our quality management system. This is an important milestone supporting the manufacturing and commercialization of our NeutroPhase® skin and advanced wound cleanser.

In December 2013 we announced that we have expanded our NeutroPhase® commercial partnership agreement with China Pioneer Pharma Holdings Limited. (HK: 1345) (“Pioneer”), a Shanghai-based company that markets high-end pharmaceutical products. The expanded agreement includes licensing rights to two new products, CelleRx™ and i-Lid™ Cleanser, developed by the MediBay Business Unit of NovaBay. The expanded partnership agreement covers the commercialization and distribution of these products in China and 11 countries in Southeast Asia.

In December 2013 we received \$5.7 million from Pioneer as part of a stock purchase agreement. As part of this agreement Pioneer purchased five million shares of our stock for \$1.14 a share. This agreement replaces the previous option to invest \$3 million in NovaBay through the exercise of outstanding warrants.

In November 2013 we announced the publication of a scientific paper by Dr. John R. Crew of Seton Medical Center, Daly City California, describing the first use of adjunctive NeutroPhase®* irrigation therapy in conjunction with Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT) to successfully manage the severely-infected wound in the arm of a patient with life-threatening “flesh-eating” disease.

In November 2013 we announced that the auriclosene Phase 2b clinical study of impetigo has been completed. While the study showed that auriclosene is safe and well tolerated, it did not meet its primary clinical endpoint. Together with Galderma, we examined the results from this study and we are using the findings to guide the future course of the clinical development for auriclosene. Knowledge gained from two previous impetigo studies is expected to lead to the use of an optimized formulation of auriclosene for an upcoming pilot study. The Company will be responsible the planning, execution and the cost of the upcoming study, which is expect to be approximately \$1 to \$2 million. Based on the results of this study NovaBay and Galderma will determine the next steps in the development of auriclosene for this indication.

In September 2013 we announced positive top-line results from our recently completed Phase 2 clinical study CL1001 for auriclosene (NVC-422) to prevent urinary catheter blockage and encrustation (UCBE) of indwelling urinary catheters. The top-line results show auriclosene was effective at reducing the degree of catheter encrustation and maintaining the catheter patency over the course of the study. Based on these study results, we plan to continue discussions with the FDA and move toward registration.

In June 2013 we announced our support for legislation proposed by U.S. Rep. Jim Matheson (D-UT) to combat the growing crisis of antibiotic resistance. We believe that there must be a multi-pronged, comprehensive approach to combating antimicrobial resistance and Rep. Matheson's bill is an important contribution. The Strategies to Address Antimicrobial Resistance (STAAR) Act, H.R. 2285, is aimed at improving the understanding and monitoring of the cause and spread of antimicrobial resistant infections and improving the rate at which new antibiotics are developed.

In May 2013 we announced that we had entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Virbac, a global veterinary product company. Virbac exercised its March 2012 Feasibility and Option Agreement, thereby acquiring exclusive worldwide rights to develop our proprietary compound, auriclosene (NVC-422), for global topical veterinary markets. We received an option exercise fee and we are entitled to receive additional future development and pre-commercial milestone payments as a result of the collaboration. We also expect to receive royalties on the sale of any commercial products in the companion animal field. Virbac's option exercise follows its extensive testing of auriclosene (NVC-422) for veterinary uses during the 12-month option period. This agreement with Virbac is an important part of NovaBay's strategy of exploring the uses of auriclosene (NVC-422) for a variety of indications, including veterinary medicine.

In April 2013 Shin Poong Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. announced that it signed an exclusive distribution agreement for Shin Poong Pharma to commercialize NeutroPhase[®], Skin and Advanced Wound Cleanser in South Korea for acute and chronic wounds.

In April 2013 we announced the enrollment of the first patients in Brazil into our global Phase 2b clinical trial, BAYnovation. The trial is investigating Auriclosene (NVC-422) Ophthalmic Solution as a treatment for adenoviral conjunctivitis, a highly contagious form of "pink eye" for which there is no approved treatment anywhere in the world.

In March 2013 we announced that Keith R. Bley, Ph.D., has joined NovaBay as Senior Vice President of Product Development, effective March 4, 2013. Dr. Bley has more than 20 years of experience in the pharmaceutical industry, holding management positions with increasing responsibility in research and product development.

In February 2013 we announced that the World Health Organization (WHO) has approved the international nonproprietary name (INN) "auriclosene" for our lead Aganoci[®] compound auriclosene (NVC-422). INNs facilitate the identification of active pharmaceutical ingredients, and each INN is a globally recognized unique name.

In February 2013 we announced that our partner Galderma S.A., a global leading pharmaceutical company exclusively focused on dermatology, had initiated the South African arm of its Phase 2b clinical study of a proprietary topical formulation of auriclosene (NVC-422) for the treatment of impetigo.

In January 2013 we announced that the first patients had been enrolled in India in our global Phase 2b BAYnovation clinical study, investigating Auriclosene (NVC-422) Ophthalmic Solution as a treatment of adenoviral conjunctivitis, a highly contagious form of “pink eye” for which there is an unmet ocular medical need.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (U.S. GAAP). The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements as well as the reported revenues and expenses during the reporting periods.

In preparing these financial statements, management has made its best estimates and judgments of certain amounts included in the financial statements giving due consideration to materiality. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments related to revenue recognition, research and development costs, patent costs, stock-based compensation, income taxes, common stock warrant liabilities and other contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

While our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Part II, Item 8 of this report, we believe that the following accounting policies are most critical to fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results.

Revenue Recognition

License and collaboration revenue is primarily generated through agreements with strategic partners for the development and commercialization of our product candidates. The terms of the agreements typically include non-refundable upfront fees, funding of research and development activities, payments based upon achievement of certain milestones and royalties on net product sales. In accordance with authoritative guidance, we analyze our multiple element arrangements to determine whether the elements can be separated. We perform our analysis at the inception of the arrangement and as each product or service is delivered. If a product or service is not separable, the combined deliverables are accounted for as a single unit of accounting and revenue is recognized over the performance obligation period. Revenue is recognized when the following criteria have been met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery has occurred and risk of loss has passed; the seller’s price to the buyer is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured. If these factors were to vary the resulting change could have a material effect on our revenue recognition and on our results of operations.

Assuming the elements meet the revenue recognition guidelines, the revenue recognition methodology prescribed for each unit of accounting is summarized below:

Upfront Fees—We defer recognition of non-refundable upfront fees if we have continuing performance obligations without which the technology licensed has no utility to the licensee. If we have continuing performance obligations through research and development services that are required because our know-how and expertise related to the technology is proprietary to us, or can only be performed by us, then such up-front fees are deferred and recognized over the estimated period of the performance obligation. We base the estimate of the period of performance on factors in the contract. Actual time frames could vary and could result in material changes to our results of operations. When our collaboration partners request us to continue performing the research and development services in collaboration beyond the initial period of performance, the remaining unamortized deferred revenue and any new continuation or license fees are recognized over the extended period of performance.

Funded Research and Development—Revenue from research and development services is recognized during the period in which the services are performed and is based upon the number of full-time-equivalent personnel working on the specific project at the agreed-upon rate. The full-time equivalent amount can vary each year if the contracts allow for a percentage increase determined by relevant salary surveys, if applicable. Reimbursements from collaborative partners for agreed upon direct costs including direct materials and outsourced, or subcontracted, pre-clinical studies are classified as revenue and recognized in the period the reimbursable expenses are incurred. Payments received in advance are recorded as deferred revenue until the research and development services are performed or costs are incurred.

Milestones—Substantive milestone payments are considered to be performance bonuses that are recognized upon achievement of the milestone only if all of the following conditions are met: the milestone payments are non-refundable; achievement of the milestone involves a degree of risk and was not reasonably assured at the inception of the arrangement; substantive effort is involved in achieving the milestone; the amount of the milestone is reasonable in relation to the effort expended or the risk associated with achievement of the milestone; and a reasonable amount of time passes between the up-front license payment and the first milestone payment as well as between each subsequent milestone payment. If any of these conditions are not met, the milestone payments are deferred and recognized as revenue over the term of the arrangement as we complete our performance obligations.

Royalties—We recognize royalty revenues from licensed products upon the sale of the related products.

Research and Development Costs

We charge research and development costs to expense as incurred. These costs include salaries and benefits for research and development personnel, costs associated with clinical trials managed by contract research organizations, and other costs associated with research, development and regulatory activities. Research and development costs may vary depending on the type of item or service incurred, location of performance or production, or lack of availability of the item or service, and specificity required in production for certain compounds. We use external service providers to conduct clinical trials, to manufacture supplies of product candidates and to provide various other research and development-related products and services. Our on-going research, clinical and development activities are often performed under agreements we enter into with external service providers. We estimate and accrue the costs incurred under these agreements based on factors such as milestones achieved, patient enrollment, estimates of work performed, and historical data for similar arrangements. As actual costs are incurred we will adjust our accruals. Historically, our accruals have been consistent with management's estimates and no material adjustments to research and development expenses have been recognized. Subsequent changes in estimates may result in a material change in our expenses, which could also materially affect our results of operations.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation expense is measured at the grant date for all stock-based awards to employees and directors and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period, which is generally the vesting period. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and reduce compensation expense ratably over the vesting period. This estimate is adjusted periodically based on the extent to which actual forfeitures differ, or are expected to differ, from the previous estimate. See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding stock-based compensation expense and the assumptions used in estimating that expense. For stock options granted to employees, the fair value of the stock options is estimated using a Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model.

Stock-based compensation arrangements with non-employees are recorded at their fair value on the measurement date. The measurement of stock-based compensation is subject to periodic adjustment as the underlying equity instruments vest. Non-employee stock-based compensation charges are amortized over the vesting period on a straight-line basis. For stock options granted to non-employees, the fair value of the stock options is estimated using a Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is recognized if it is more likely than not that some portion or the entire deferred tax asset will not be recognized. Valuation allowances are based, in part, on estimates that management must make as to our results in future periods. The actual outcome may not be consistent with our estimate, which would require that we make changes in our valuation allowance.

Common Stock Warrant Liabilities

For warrants where there is a deemed possibility that we may have to settle the warrants in cash, we records the fair value of the issued warrants as a liability at each balance sheet date and records changes in the estimated fair value as a non-cash gain or loss in the consolidated statement of operations. The fair values of these warrants have been determined using the Binomial Lattice (“Lattice”) valuation model, and the changes in the fair value are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations. The Lattice model provides for assumptions regarding volatility, call and put features and risk-free interest rates within the total period to maturity. These values are subject to a significant degree of judgment on the part of management.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

There have been no new or pending accounting pronouncements that will materially impact our financial position or results of operations.

Results of Operations

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

License, Collaboration, Distribution and Sales Revenue

Total license, collaboration and distribution revenue was \$3.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$6.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, and \$11.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

License, collaboration and distribution revenue over the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is due to several different agreements entered into by NovaBay. Those agreements are:

- a license and collaboration agreement entered into with Galderma in 2009;
- a license and collaboration agreement with Alcon entered into in 2006 and terminated in 2011;
- a distribution agreement covering China entered into with Pioneer Pharma in Jan 2012;
- a second distribution agreement with Pioneer Pharma covering South East Asia along with a stock purchase agreement;
- a feasibility and option agreement with Virbac, a global animal health company, expanded to a collaboration in 2013;
- and
 - various distribution agreement entered into for the distribution of NeutroPhase.

Under the terms of the agreement entered into with Galderma in March 2009, Galderma will pay to NovaBay certain upfront fees, ongoing fees, reimbursements, and milestone payments related to achieving development and commercialization of its Aganocide compounds. We received an upfront technology access fee from Galderma of \$1.0 million in 2009, which was amortized on a straight-line basis into revenue over the initial 20 month period of the contract. In December 2010 we received a \$3.25 million continuation fee and \$500,000 license fee which were amortized on a straight-line basis into revenue over the additional three year funding term pursuant to the December 2010 amendment to the contract. In 2013, we recognized \$945,000 of the upfront technology access fee, continuation fee and license fee under the agreement and we also recognized \$1.2 million in ongoing research and development fees and \$393,000 in materials, equipment and contract study costs under the agreement. In 2012, we recognized \$1.3 million of the upfront technology access fee, continuation fee and license fee under the agreement and we also recognized \$1.6 million in ongoing research and development fees and \$3.5 million in materials, equipment and contract study costs under the agreement. In 2011, we recognized \$1.3 million of the upfront technology access fee, continuation fee and license fee under the agreement and we also recognized \$1.6 million in ongoing research and development fees and \$2.6 million in materials, equipment and contract study costs and \$500,000 in milestone payments under the agreement. We are currently planning to initiate a pilot study related to impetigo for which NovaBay is responsible for the funding. Following the completion of this study Galderma will have the option to continue the trials and funding.

In August 2006, we entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Alcon, which was terminated in 2011. The upfront technology access fee of \$10.0 million from Alcon was amortized into revenue on a straight-line basis over the four year funding term of the agreement, through August 2010. We recognized no revenue under this agreement during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. In 2011 we recognized a \$2.0 million termination payment in addition to \$2.8 million in ongoing research and development fees and \$246,000 in reimbursements for

materials, equipment and contract study costs under the agreement. As a result of the termination of the Alcon agreement, we will recognize no further revenue under this agreement.

In January 2012, we entered into a distribution agreement with Pioneer Pharma Co., Ltd., a Shanghai-based company that markets high-end pharmaceutical products into China, for the commercialization of NeutroPhase in this territory. Under the terms of the agreement, we received an upfront payment of \$312,500, which is recorded in deferred revenue until the final MAA approval is received as the payment is refundable if the SFDA does not provide MAA approval. The distribution agreement provides that Pioneer Pharma Co., Ltd. is entitled to receive cumulative purchase discounts of up to \$500,000 upon the purchase of the NeutroPhase product. The deferred revenue will be recognized as the purchase discounts are earned, with the remaining deferred revenue recognized ratably over the product distribution period. We also received \$312,500 in November 2012, related to the submission of the first marketing approval for the product to the SFDA. The deferred revenue will be recognized as the purchase discounts are earned, with the remaining deferred revenue recognized ratably over the product distribution period.

In September 2012, we entered into two agreements with Pioneer Pharma Co., Ltd. (“Pioneer”): (1) an international distribution agreement (“Distribution Agreement”) and (2) a unit purchase agreement (“Purchase Agreement”). These agreements were combined and accounted for as one arrangement with one unit of accounting for revenue recognition purposes. Pursuant to the terms of the Distribution Agreement, we agreed to grant to Pioneer over a period of 5.5 years the right to distribute Neutrophase, upon MAA Approval from a Regulatory Authority, in certain territories in Asia (other than China). Upon execution of the Distribution Agreement, we received an upfront payment of \$250,000 from Pioneer, which was recorded as deferred revenue. Pioneer is also obligated to make certain additional payments to us upon receipt of the MAA Approval. The Distribution Agreement further provides that Pioneer is entitled to a cumulative credit not to exceed \$500,000 upon the purchase of Neutrophase product; payable in NovaBay unregistered restricted common stock.

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, we also received \$2.5 million from Pioneer for the purchase of restricted units (comprising 1 share of common stock and a warrant for the purchase of 1 share of common stock). The unit purchase was completed in two tranches: (1) 800,000 units in September 2012 and (2) 1,200,000 units in October 2012, with both tranches at a purchase price of \$1.25 per share. The fair value of the total units sold was \$3.5 million, based upon the trading price of our common stock on the dates the units were purchased and a fair value of the warrants based on the Black-Scholes Merton option pricing model. Because the aggregate fair value of the units on the dates of purchase exceeded the \$2.5 million in proceeds received from the unit purchase by approximately \$1 million, we were required to reallocate the amounts received or earned, totaling \$600,000, from deferred revenue to stockholders’ equity as consideration for the purchase of the units.

In April 2012, we entered into a feasibility and option agreement with Virbac, a global animal health company for the development and potential commercialization of Aganocides for a number of veterinary uses. Under the terms of the agreement, we received an upfront payment of \$150,000 and we are entitled to additional support for research and development. In April 2013, the option was exercised and we entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Virbac. Under this new agreement Virbac acquired exclusive worldwide rights to develop our proprietary compound, auriclosene (NVC-422), for global veterinary markets. We received an option exercise fee and may receive future development and pre-commercial milestone payments as a result of the collaboration. We also expect to receive royalties on the sale of any commercial products in the companion animal field. Virbac’s option exercise follows its extensive testing of auriclosene (NVC-422) for veterinary uses during the 12-month option period. We are recognizing the option exercise fee over its expected performance period of 10 years.

To date, NovaBay has entered into three additional distribution agreements. Under the terms of these agreements NovaBay receives an upfront payments and will receive a additional payment as products ship.

We did not recognize any other significant revenues in 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Research and Development

At the end of 2010 NovaBay adopted a strategy of focusing on specific healthcare markets as we develop our compounds. NovaBay is developing commercial opportunities for its Aganocide portfolio of anti-infectives in four distinct healthcare markets: dermatology, ophthalmology, urology and hospital infections. Each of these market segments are underserved by current products and therefore the opportunity exists for improved treatments. NovaBay's strategy is to address these market opportunities either through partnerships and collaborations or by building an internal organization to strategically market its own products when appropriate from a commercial standpoint.

Historically, as we were developing our focus, we did not track our research and development costs by market or indication. Our research and development efforts crossed multiple programs and our programs were not clearly defined, making the tracking of program costs impractical. During 2011 we set up processes to allow us to track our costs based on these four specific healthcare markets and we began providing investors with detailed financial information pertaining to our efforts in each of these markets in 2012.

Total research and development expenses increased by 34% to \$12.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, from \$9.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase was primarily due to increased clinical activity as we conducted three clinical trials; one in UCBE and two in Ophthalmology.

Total research and development expenses decreased by 6% to \$9.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, from \$9.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This decrease was primarily due to the reduction of costs associated with the termination of Alcon in 2011, partially offset by the increase in costs in our conjunctivitis and UCBE trials.

We expect to incur increasing research and development expenses in 2014 and in subsequent years as we continue to increase our focus on developing product candidates, both independently and in collaboration with our partners. In particular, we expect to incur ongoing clinical, chemistry, and manufacturing expenses related to four healthcare markets in which we are pursuing opportunities: ophthalmology, dermatology, urology and advanced wound care.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses were \$6.3 million in 2013 compared to \$6.0 million in 2011 and \$5.4 million in 2010. These increases in 2013 and 2012 reflect an increase in marketing and gearing up for increased clinical trials and support for the distribution of NeutroPhase. We expect general and administrative to increase slightly as we continue to support trials and market our NeutroPhase product in 2014.

Non-Cash Gain (Loss) on Change in Fair Value of Warrants

The non-cash gain (loss) on the change in fair value of warrants relates to the fair value adjustment to the warrants issued with our July 2011 registered direct offering of common stock and warrants. This balance will fluctuate with market conditions and the price of our stock.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income (expense), net was: income of \$1,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013; an expense of \$155,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012; and an expense of \$30,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011. We expect that other income (expense), net will vary based on fluctuations in our cash balances and borrowings under equipment

loans and the interest rate paid on such balances and borrowings.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have incurred cumulative net losses of \$56.4 million since inception through December 31, 2013. We do not expect to generate significant revenue from product candidates for several years. Since inception, we have funded our operations primarily through the sales of our stock and funds received under our collaboration agreements. We raised total net proceeds of \$11.2 million from sales of our preferred stock in 2002 through 2006. In October 2007, we completed our IPO in which we raised a total of \$20.0 million, or approximately \$17.1 million in net cash proceeds after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions of \$1.4 million and other offering costs of \$1.5 million. In August 2009, we completed a registered direct offering and had net proceeds of \$1.9 million. In July 2011 we completed a second registered direct offering with gross proceeds of \$5.2 million, or approximately \$4.6 million in net proceeds after deducting underwriting commissions of \$288,000 and other offering costs of \$244,000. In December 2012, we completed a public offering with gross proceeds of \$7.4 million, or approximately \$6.6 million in net proceeds after deducting underwriting commissions of \$479,000 and other offering costs of \$240,000. In November 2013, we filed an ATM offering with gross proceeds in 2013 of \$378,000, or approximately \$352,000 in net proceeds. In December 2013, Pioneer purchased five million shares of our stock at \$1.14 per share through a stock purchase agreement generating \$5.7 million in cash. Additionally, cash received from our collaboration partners have totaled \$64.6 million. We believe the capital generated through these sources is sufficient to fund our planned operations for the next twelve months. Our capital requirements going forward will depend on numerous factors including:

- the number and characteristics of product development programs we pursue and the pace of each program;
- the scope, rate of progress, results and costs of clinical trials;
- the time, cost and outcome involved in seeking regulatory approvals;
- our ability to establish and maintain strategic collaborations or partnerships for clinical trials, manufacturing and marketing of our product candidates; and
- the cost of establishing clinical and commercial supplies of our product candidates and any products that we may develop.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

As of December 31, 2013, we had cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments of \$13.1 million, compared to \$16.9 million and \$14.1 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Cash Used in Operating Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2013, cash used in operating activities was \$13.0 million compared to \$6.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in 2013 of cash used in operating activities is primarily due to increased clinical activity in 2013 related to our conjunctivitis and UCBE trials.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, cash used in operating activities was \$6.5 million compared to \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in 2012 of cash used in operating activities is primarily due to increased clinical activity in 2012.

Cash Provided by Investing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2013, cash provided by investing activities of \$1.4 million was attributable to the net effect of purchases of short-term investments and sales and maturities.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, cash provided by investing activities of \$1.4 million was attributable to the net effect of purchases of short-term investments and sales and maturities.

Cash Provided by Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$9.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, was primarily attributable to the \$5.7 million provided by stock sales to Pioneer Pharma, \$375,000 in stock sales to another investor and \$2.9 million provided by exercises of warrants and stock options.

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$9.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily attributable to the \$6.7 million provided by our December 2012 financing and \$2.8 million provided by stock sales to Pioneer Pharma.

Quarterly Results of Operations (unaudited)

The following table presents unaudited quarterly results of operations for the eight most recent quarters ending with the quarter ended December 31, 2013. This information has been derived from our unaudited financial statements and has been prepared by us on a basis consistent with our audited annual financial statements and includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, which management considers necessary for a fair presentation of the information for the periods presented.

	Quarter Ended							
	Dec. 31,	Sept.	June	March	Dec. 31,	Sept.	June	March
	2013	2013	2013	2013	2012	2012	2012	2012
	(in thousands, except per share data)							
Statements of Operations								
Data:								
Sales:								
Sales revenue	\$144	\$(1)	\$17	\$63	\$14	\$—	\$—	\$—
Cost of goods sold	81	43	16	22	8	—	—	—
Gross profit	63	(44)	1	41	6	—	—	—
Other revenue:								
License, collaboration and distribution revenue	306	1,035	790	914	1,067	3,617	856	1,315
Other revenue	60	65	41	43	33	25	15	5
Total other revenue	366	1,100	831	957	1,100	3,642	871	1,320
Operating expenses:								
Research and development	4,006	2,513	2,937	2,925	2,119	2,514	2,378	2,264
Selling, general and administrative	1,210	1,525	2,045	1,560	1,830	1,234	1,368	1,541
Total operating expenses	5,296	4,038	4,982	4,485	3,949	3,748	3,746	3,805
Operating income (loss)	(4,867)	(2,982)	(4,150)	(3,487)	(2,843)	(106)	(2,875)	(2,485)
Non-cash gain (loss) on change in fair value of warrants	727	(866)	104	(520)	637	209	628	(35)
Other income (expense), net	(4)	—	5	—	(160)	(17)	27	(5)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(4,144)	(3,848)	(4,041)	(4,007)	(2,366)	86	(2,220)	(2,525)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	7	—	(7)	(2)	16	(6)	(6)	(6)
Net income (loss)	\$(4,137)	\$(3,848)	\$(4,048)	\$(4,009)	\$(2,350)	\$80	\$(2,226)	\$(2,531)
Net income (loss) per share:								
Basic	\$(0.10)	\$(0.10)	\$(0.11)	\$(0.11)	\$(0.07)	\$0.00	\$(0.08)	\$(0.09)
Diluted	\$(0.10)	\$(0.10)	\$(0.11)	\$(0.11)	\$(0.07)	\$0.00	\$(0.08)	\$(0.09)
Shares used in computing net income(loss) per share:								

Basic	41,200	37,467	37,266	#	36,756	31,671	28,861	28,671	#	28,572
Diluted	41,200	37,467	37,266		36,756	31,671	29,284	28,671		28,572

Net Operating Losses and Tax Credit Carryforwards

As of December 31, 2013, we had net operating loss carryforwards for federal and state income tax purposes of \$48.7 million and 50.5 million, respectively. If not utilized, the federal and state net operating loss carryforwards will begin expiring at various dates between 2015 and 2033. As of December 31, 2013, we also had tax credit carryforwards for federal income tax purposes of \$343,000.

Current federal and California tax laws include substantial restrictions on the utilization of net operating loss carryforwards in the event of an ownership change of a corporation. Accordingly, our ability to utilize net operating loss carryforwards may be limited as a result of such ownership changes. Such a limitation could result in the expiration of carryforwards before they are utilized.

Inflation

We do not believe that inflation has had a material impact on our business and operating results during the periods presented, and we do not expect it to have a material impact in the near future, though, there can be no assurances that our business will not be affected by inflation in the future.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2013.

Contractual Obligations

Our contractual cash commitments as of December 31, 2013, were as follows (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Total	Less than 1 year	1 - 3 years	3 - 5 years	More than 5 years
Operating leases	\$4,520	\$606	\$1,267	\$1,344	\$1,303
	\$4,520	\$606	\$1,267	\$1,344	\$1,303

Our commitments under the operating leases shown above consist of payments relating to our lease of laboratory and office space in one office building in Emeryville, California. This lease expires on October 31, 2020.

We believe our cash balance at December 31, 2013, is sufficient to fund our projected operating requirements through at least the next twelve months. However, we will need to raise additional capital or incur indebtedness to continue to fund our operations in the future. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- the scope, rate of progress and cost of our pre-clinical studies and clinical trials and other research and development activities;
- future clinical trial results;
- the terms and timing of any collaborative, licensing and other arrangements that we may establish;
- the cost and timing of regulatory approvals;
- the cost of establishing clinical and commercial supplies of our product candidates and any products that we may develop;
- the effect of competing technological and market developments;
- the cost of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights; and
- the extent to which we acquire or invest in businesses, products and technologies, although we currently have no commitments or agreements relating to any of these types of transactions.

We do not anticipate that we will generate significant product revenue for a number of years. Until we can generate sufficient product revenue, we expect to finance future cash needs through public or private equity offerings, debt financings or corporate collaboration and licensing arrangements, as well as through interest income earned on cash balances and short-term investments. To the extent that we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, our shareholders may experience dilution. In addition, debt financing, if available, may involve restrictive covenants. To the extent that we raise additional funds through collaboration and licensing arrangements, it may be necessary to relinquish some rights to our technologies or product candidates, or grant licenses on terms that are not favorable to us. If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to delay, reduce the scope of or eliminate one or more of our research or development programs or to obtain funds through collaborations for some of our technologies or product candidates that we would otherwise seek to develop on our own. Such collaborations may not be on favorable terms or they may require us to relinquish rights to our technologies or product candidates.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our market risk consists principally of interest rate risk on our cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments. Our exposure to market risk is limited primarily to interest income sensitivity, which is affected by changes in interest rates, particularly because the majority of our investments are in short-term debt securities.

Our investment policy restricts our investments to high-quality investments and limits the amounts invested with any one issuer, industry, or geographic area. The goals of our investment policy are as follows: preservation of capital; assurance of liquidity needs; best available return on invested capital; and minimization of capital taxation. Some of the securities in which we invest may be subject to market risk. This means that a change in prevailing interest rates may cause the principal amount of the investment to fluctuate. For example, if we hold a security that was issued with an interest rate fixed at the then-prevailing rate and the prevailing interest rate later rises, the principal amount of our investment will probably decline. To minimize this risk, in accordance with our investment policy, we maintain our cash and cash equivalents in short-term marketable securities, including money market mutual funds, Treasury bills, Treasury notes, certificates of deposit, commercial paper, and corporate and municipal bonds. The risk associated with fluctuating interest rates is limited to our investment portfolio. Due to the short-term nature of our investment portfolio, we believe we have minimal interest rate risk arising from our investments. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, a 10% change in interest rates would have had an immaterial effect on the value of our short-term marketable securities. We do not use derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio. We do not hold any instruments for trading purposes.

To date, we have operated exclusively in the U.S. and have not had any material exposure to foreign currency rate fluctuations.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements required by this Item 8 are set forth below. Our quarterly financial information is set forth in Item 7 of this report and is hereby incorporated into this Item 8 by reference.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</u>	40
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012</u>	42
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and for the cumulative period from July 1, 2002 (inception) to December 31, 2013</u>	43
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the cumulative period from July 1, 2002 (inception) to December 31, 2013</u>	44
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and for the cumulative period from July 1, 2002 (inception) to December 31, 2013</u>	49
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	51

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 and for the period from July 1, 2002 (date of inception) to December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. The cumulative statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the period from July 1, 2002 (date of inception) to December 31, 2009 were audited by other auditors. Our report, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the period from July 1, 2002 to December 31, 2009, is based solely on the report of the other auditors.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of other auditors, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 and for the period from July 1, 2002 (date of inception) to December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ OUM & CO. LLP

San Francisco, California

March 4, 2014

40

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders of

NovaBay Pharmaceuticals Inc.

(a development stage company)

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the period from July 1, 2002 (date of development stage inception) to December 31, 2009 of NovaBay Pharmaceuticals Inc. (a development stage company). NovaBay Pharmaceuticals Inc.'s management is responsible for these financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the results of its operations and its cash flows for the period from July 1, 2002 (date of development stage inception) to December 31, 2009 of NovaBay Pharmaceuticals Inc. (a development stage company) in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/S/ Davidson & Company LLP

Vancouver, Canada Chartered Accountants

March 26, 2010

41

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(in thousands, except per share data)**

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$10,500	\$12,735
Short-term investments	2,553	4,135
Accounts receivable	784	943
Inventory	231	23
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	723	445
Total current assets	14,791	18,281
Property and equipment, net	718	891
Other assets	141	63
TOTAL ASSETS	\$15,650	\$19,235
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$1,674	\$455
Accrued liabilities	1,616	1,497
Deferred revenue	337	1,221
Total current liabilities	3,627	3,173
Deferred revenue - non-current	1,534	671
Deferred rent	136	60
Warrant liability	1,837	1,282
Total liabilities	7,134	5,186
Commitments and contingencies (Note 7)		
Stockholders' Equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 5,000 shares authorized; none outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 65,000 shares authorized; 44,624 and 36,915 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	446	369
Additional paid-in capital	64,438	54,004
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(15)	(13)
Accumulated deficit during development stage	(56,353)	(40,311)

Total stockholders' equity	8,516	14,049
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$15,650	\$19,235

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS****(in thousands, except per share data)**

	Year Ended December 31,			Cumulative Period from July 1, 2002 (inception) to December 31, 2013
	2013	2012	2011	
Sales:				
Sales revenue	\$223	\$14	\$—	\$ 237
Cost of goods sold	162	8	—	170
Gross profit	61	6	—	67
Other revenue:				
License, collaboration and distribution revenue	3,045	6,855	10,993	60,499
Other revenues	209	78	26	313
Total other revenue	3,254	6,933	11,019	60,812
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	12,461	9,275	9,911	72,607
Selling, general and administrative	6,340	5,973	5,429	45,967
Total operating expenses	18,801	15,248	15,340	118,574
Operating loss	(15,486)	(8,309)	(4,321)	(57,695)
Non-cash gain (loss) on change in fair value of warrants	(555)	1,439	(732)	152
Other income (expense), net	1	(155)	(30)	1,267
Loss before provision for income taxes	(16,040)	(7,025)	(5,083)	(56,276)
Provision for income taxes	(2)	(2)	(2)	(77)
Net loss	(16,042)	(7,027)	(5,085)	(56,353)
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities	(2)	31	(30)	(15)
Total comprehensive loss	\$(16,044)	\$(6,996)	\$(5,115)	\$(56,368)

Net loss per share:			
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.42)	\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.20)
Shares used in per share calculations:			
Basic and diluted	38,183	29,448	25,773

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY****(in thousands)**

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid- In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Accumulated Deficit During Development Stage	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
Balance at July 1, 2002	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—	\$ —
Cumulative net loss for the period from July 1, 2002 through December 31, 2008	—	—	—	—	—	—	(23,891)	(23,891)
Issuance of preferred stock and common stock	19,227	192	3,927	39	12,489	—	—	12,720
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock in connection with IPO	(19,227)	(192)	9,614	96	96	—	—	—
Issuance of stock and warrants in connection with IPO, net of offering costs	—	—	6,225	62	18,959	—	—	19,021
Issuance of stock for preferred stock offering costs	—	—	563	6	271	—	—	277
Issuance of stock for director compensation	—	—	57	1	152	—	—	153
Issuance of stock for option exercises	—	—	715	7	374	—	—	381
Issuance of stock for services	—	—	106	1	202	—	—	203
Issuance of stock for warrant exercises	—	—	1,608	16	1,434	—	—	1,450
Sale of stock warrants	—	—	—	—	10	—	—	10
Compensation expense for warrants issued for services	—	—	—	—	155	—	—	155
Stock-based compensation expense related to employee and director stock options	—	—	130	1	2,352	—	—	2,353
Stock-based compensation expense related to non-employee stock options	—	—	309	4	504	—	—	508
Tax benefit from stock plans	—	—	—	—	5	—	—	5
	—	—	23,254	233	37,003	—	(23,891)	13,345

**Balance at December 31,
2009**

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY – (Continued)****(in thousands)**

	Common Stock		Additional	Accumulated	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid- In Capital	Other Comprehensive Loss	Deficit During Development Stage	Stockholders' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2009	23,254	233	37,003	—	(23,891)	13,345
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(4,308)	(4,308)
Change in unrealized losses on investments	—	—	—	(14)	—	(14)
Costs related to shelf offering	—	—	(2)	—	—	(2)
Compensation expense for warrants issued for services	—	—	7	—	—	7
Issuance of stock for option exercises	105	1	80	—	—	81
Stock-based compensation expense related to employee and director stock options	—	—	1,129	—	—	1,129
Stock-based compensation expense related to non-employee stock and stock options	33	—	263	—	—	263
Other	—	—	(11)	—	—	(11)
Balance at December 31, 2010	23,392	234	38,469	(14)	(28,199)	10,490

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY – (Continued)****(in thousands)**

	Common Stock		Additional	Accumulated	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-	Other	Deficit	Stockholders'
			In	Comprehensive	During	Equity
			Capital	Loss	Development	
Balance at December 31, 2010	23,392	234	38,469	(14)	(28,199)	10,490
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(5,085)	(5,085)
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	—	—	—	(30)	—	(30)
Issuance of common stock in connection with shelf offering, net of offering costs	4,651	47	4,586	—	—	4,633
Issuance of warrants in connection with shelf offering recorded as a warrant liability	—	—	(1,988)	—	—	(1,988)
Issuance of stock for option exercises	319	3	100	—	—	103
Issuance of stock for services	43	—	74	—	—	74
Issuance of restricted stock awards for employee services	182	2	(2)	—	—	—
Stock-based compensation expense related to employee and director stock options	—	—	1,110	—	—	1,110
Stock-based compensation expense related to non-employee stock options	—	—	37	—	—	37
Balance at December 31, 2011	28,587	\$ 286	\$ 42,386	\$ (44)	\$ (33,284)	\$ 9,344

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY – (Continued)****(in thousands)**

	Common Stock		Additional Paid- In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Accumulated Deficit During Development Stage	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount				
Balance at December 31, 2011	28,587	\$ 286	\$ 42,386	\$ (44)	\$ (33,284)	\$ 9,344
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(7,027)	(7,027)
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	—	—	—	31	—	31
Issuance of common stock and warrants in connection with shelf offering, net of offering costs	5,900	59	6,597	—	—	6,656
Issuance of stock and warrants in connection with international distribution agreement	2,000	20	3,080	—	—	3,100
Conversion of liability to equity	43	1	49	—	—	50
Issuance of stock for option exercises	234	2	55	—	—	57
Issuance of stock for warrant exercises	22	—	30	—	—	30
Issuance of stock for services	28	—	—	—	—	—
Employee bonus paid in common stock	—	—	230	—	—	230
Issuance of restricted stock awards for employee services	17	—	—	—	—	—
Stock-based compensation expense related to warrants	—	—	38	—	—	38
Stock-based compensation expense related to employee and director stock options	—	—	1,297	—	—	1,297
Stock-based compensation expense related to non-employee stock options	84	1	242	—	—	243
Balance at December 31, 2012	36,915	\$ 369	\$ 54,004	\$ (13)	\$ (40,311)	\$ 14,049

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY – (Continued)****(in thousands)**

	Common Stock		Additional	Accumulated	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-	Other	Deficit	Stockholders'
			In	Comprehensive	During	Equity
			Capital	Loss	Development	
Balance at December 31, 2012	36,915	\$ 369	\$ 54,004	\$ (13) \$ (40,311) \$ 14,049
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(16,042) (16,042)
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	—	—	—	(2) —	(2)
Issuance of common stock in connection with shelf offering, net of offering costs	289	3	349	—	—	352
Issuance of stock to Pioneer	5,000	50	5,650	—	—	5,700
Issuance of stock to Feichter	300	3	372	—	—	375
Credits on sales of NeutroPhase	6	—	7	—	—	7
Issuance of stock for option exercises	266	3	123	—	—	126
Issuance of stock for warrant exercises	1,812	18	2,700	—	—	2,718
Issuance of stock to consultants for services	36	—	49	—	—	49
Stock-based compensation expense related to warrants			166	—	—	166
Stock-based compensation expense related to employee and director stock options	—	—	921	—	—	921
Stock-based compensation expense related to non-employee stock options	—	—	97	—	—	97
Balance at December 31, 2013	44,624	\$ 446	\$ 64,438	\$ (15) \$ (56,353) \$ 8,516

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)**

	Year Ended December 31,			Cumulative Period from July 1, 2002 (inception) to December 31, 2013
	2013	2012	2011	
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net loss	\$(16,042)	\$(7,027)	\$(5,085)	\$(56,353)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization	314	341	425	2,584
Accretion and amortization of short-term investments	—	—	—	(252)
Net realized loss on sales of short-term investments	21	91	25	137
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	—	180	12	313
Stock-based compensation expense for options and stock issued to employees and directors	921	1,297	1,110	6,962
Compensation expense for warrants issued for services	166	38	—	366
Stock-based compensation expense for options, warrants and stock issued to non-employees	97	243	111	1,339
Non-cash (gain) loss on change in fair value of warrants	555	(1,439)	732	(152)
Taxes paid by LLC	—	—	—	1
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	159	(940)	497	(784)
Purchase of inventory	(208)	(23)	—	(231)
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets	(345)	54	125	(666)
Increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,414	665	345	3,632
Increase (decrease) in deferred revenue	(21)	(8)	(1,439)	2,220
Net cash used in operating activities	(12,969)	(6,528)	(3,142)	(40,884)
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Purchases of property and equipment	(141)	(148)	(119)	(3,502)
Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment	—	6	—	52

Edgar Filing: NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. - Form 10-K

Purchases of short-term investments	(4,330)	(4,872)	(7,581)	(117,748)
Proceeds from maturities and sales of short-term investments	5,878	6,377	3,035	115,227
Cash acquired in purchase of LLC	—	—	—	516
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	1,407	1,363	(4,665)	(5,455)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Proceeds from preferred stock issuances, net	—	—	—	11,160
Proceeds from common stock issuances, net	6,075	2,800	—	8,892
Proceeds from exercise of options and warrants	2,900	87	103	5,006
Initial public offering costs, net	—	—	—	17,077
Proceeds from shelf offering, net	352	6,656	4,633	13,583
Proceeds from stock subscription receivable	—	—	—	873
Proceeds from issuance of notes	—	—	—	405
Principal payments on capital lease	—	—	—	(157)
Proceeds from short-term borrowing	—	—	88	88
Principal payments on short-term borrowing	—	(71)	(17)	(88)
Proceeds from borrowings under equipment loan	—	—	—	1,216
Principal payments on equipment loan	—	—	(106)	(1,216)
Net cash provided by financing activities	9,327	9,472	4,701	56,839
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2,235)	4,307	(3,106)	10,500
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	12,735	8,428	11,534	—
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$10,500	\$12,735	\$8,428	\$10,500

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS – (Continued)****(in thousands)**

	Year Ended December 31,			Cumulative Period from July 1, 2002 (inception) to December 31,
	2013	2012	2011	2013
Supplemental disclosure of non cash information				
Interest paid	\$3	\$ 18	\$ 18	\$ 294
Income taxes paid	\$2	\$ 2	\$ 23	\$ 98
Non-cash financing and investing activities				
Property and equipment acquired under capital lease obligations	\$—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 219
Issuance of stock options and warrants for stock option costs	\$—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,887

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(a development stage company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION

NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is a clinical-stage biotechnology company focused on addressing the large unmet therapeutic needs of the global anti-infective market.

The Company was incorporated under the laws of the State of California on January 19, 2000, as NovaCal Pharmaceuticals, Inc. We had no operations until July 1, 2002, on which date we acquired all of the operating assets of NovaCal Pharmaceuticals, LLC, a California limited liability company. In February 2007, we changed our name from NovaCal Pharmaceuticals, Inc. to NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. In August 2007, we formed two subsidiaries—NovaBay Pharmaceuticals Canada, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary incorporated under the laws of British Columbia (Canada), which may conduct research and development in Canada, and DermaBay, Inc., a wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary, which may explore and pursue dermatological opportunities. In June 2010, we changed the state in which we are incorporated (the Reincorporation), and are now incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. All references to “we,” “us,” “our,” or “the Company” herein refer to the California corporation prior to the date of the Reincorporation, and to the Delaware corporation on and after the date of the Reincorporation. We currently operate in four business segments; see Note 13 for further details.

NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) and are expressed in U.S. dollars. The financial statements have been prepared under the guidelines for Development Stage Entities. A development stage enterprise is one in which planned principal operations have not commenced, or if its operations have commenced, there have been no significant revenues therefrom. As of December 31, 2013, we continued to conduct clinical trials and had not commenced our planned principal operations.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, DermaBay, Inc. All inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates include useful lives for property and equipment and related depreciation calculations, estimated amortization period for payments received from product development and license agreements as they relate to revenue recognition, assumptions for valuing options and warrants, and income taxes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Short-Term Investments

The Company considers all highly liquid instruments with a stated maturity of three months or less to be cash and cash equivalents. As of December 31, 2013, cash and cash equivalents were held in financial institutions in the U.S. and include deposits in money market funds, which were unrestricted as to withdrawal or use.

The Company classifies all highly liquid investments with a stated maturity of greater than three months as short-term investments. Short-term investments generally consist of certificates of deposit and corporate debt securities. The Company has classified their short-term investments as available-for-sale. The Company does not intend to hold securities with stated maturities greater than twelve months until maturity. In response to changes in the availability of and the yield on alternative investments as well as liquidity requirements, they occasionally sell these securities prior to their stated maturities. These securities are carried at fair value, with the unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) until realized. Realized gains and losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities, if any, are determined on a specific identification basis. A decline in the market value below cost of any available-for-sale security that is determined to be other than temporary results in a revaluation of its carrying amount to fair value and an impairment charge to earnings, resulting in a new cost basis for the security. No such impairment charges were recorded for the periods presented. The interest income and realized gains and losses are included in other income (expense), net within the consolidated statements of operations. Interest income is recognized when earned.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(a development stage company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Concentrations of Credit Risk and Major Partners

Financial instruments which potentially subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and accounts receivable. The Company maintains deposits of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments with three highly-rated, major financial institutions in the United States.

Deposits in these banks may exceed the amount of federal insurance provided on such deposits. The Company does not believe they are exposed to significant credit risk due to the financial position of the financial institutions in which these deposits are held. Additionally, they have established guidelines regarding diversification and investment maturities, which are designed to maintain safety and liquidity.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, revenues were derived from two collaboration partners, two distribution partners, sales of NeutroPhase products and service revenues. During the year ended December 31, 2012, revenues were derived from two collaboration partners, two distribution partners and service revenues. During the year ended December 31, 2011 revenues were derived from two collaboration partners and service revenues.

As of December 31, 2013, 98% of accounts receivable was derived from one collaboration and one distribution partner. As of December 31, 2012, 96% of accounts receivable was derived from one collaboration and two distribution partners.

Fair Value of Financial Assets and Liabilities

Financial instruments, including accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities are carried at cost, which management believes approximates fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments. The fair value

of capital lease obligations and equipment loans approximates their carrying amounts because the obligations bear market rates of interest.

The Company measures the fair value of financial assets and liabilities based on U.S. GAAP guidance which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and requires disclosures about fair value measurements.

Under U.S. GAAP, fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. A fair value hierarchy is also established, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 – quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 – quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or inputs that are observable;

Level 3 – inputs that are unobservable (for example cash flow modeling inputs based on assumptions).

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets of five to seven years for office and laboratory equipment, three years for software and seven years for furniture and fixtures. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the shorter of seven years or the lease term. Depreciation of assets recorded under capital leases is included in depreciation expense.

The costs of normal maintenance, repairs, and minor replacements are charged to operations when incurred.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company accounts for long-lived assets in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which requires that companies consider whether events or changes in facts and circumstances, both internally and externally, may indicate that an impairment of long-lived assets held for use are present. Management periodically evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets and has determined that there was no impairment as of all periods presented. Determination of recoverability is based on the estimate of undiscounted future cash flows resulting from the use of the asset and its eventual

disposition. In the event that such cash flows are not expected to be sufficient to recover the carrying amount of the asset, the assets are written down to their estimated fair values and the loss is recognized in the statements of operations.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(a development stage company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

ASC 220, *Comprehensive Income* requires that an entity's change in equity or net assets during a period from transactions and other events from non-owner sources be reported. The Company reports unrealized gains and losses on its available-for-sale securities as other comprehensive income (loss).

Revenue Recognition

License and collaboration revenue is primarily generated through agreements with strategic partners for the development and commercialization of the Company's product candidates. The terms of the agreements typically include non-refundable upfront fees, funding of research and development activities, payments based upon achievement of certain milestones and royalties on net product sales. In accordance with revenue recognition criteria under U.S. GAAP, the Company analyzes its multiple element arrangements to determine whether the elements can be separated. The Company performs its analysis at the inception of the arrangement and as each product or service is delivered. If a product or service is not separable, the combined deliverables are

accounted for as a single unit of accounting and revenue is recognized over the performance obligation period. Revenue is recognized when the following criteria have been met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery has occurred and risk of loss has passed; the seller's price to the buyer is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured.

Assuming the elements meet the revenue recognition guidelines the revenue recognition methodology prescribed for each unit of accounting is summarized below:

Upfront Fees—The Company defers recognition of non-refundable upfront fees if they have continuing performance obligations without which the technology licensed has no utility to the licensee. If they have performance obligations

through research and development services that are required because their know-how and expertise related to the technology is proprietary to us, or can only be performed by them, then such up-front fees are deferred and recognized over the period of the performance obligations. The Company bases the estimate of the period of performance on factors in the contract. Actual time frames could vary and could result in material changes to their results of operations.

Funded Research and Development— Revenue from research and development services is recognized during the period in which the services are performed and is based upon the number of full-time-equivalent personnel working on the specific project at the agreed-upon rate. This revenue approximates the cost incurred. Reimbursements from collaborative partners for agreed-upon direct costs including direct materials and outsourced, or subcontracted, pre-clinical studies are classified as revenue and recognized in the period the reimbursable expenses are incurred. Payments received in advance are recorded as deferred revenue until the research and development services are performed or costs are incurred.

Milestones—Substantive milestone payments are considered to be performance bonuses that are recognized upon achievement of the milestone only if all of the following conditions are met: the milestone payments are non-refundable; achievement of the milestone involves a degree of risk and was not reasonably assured at the inception of the arrangement; substantive effort is involved in achieving the milestone; the amount of the milestone is reasonable in relation to the effort expended or the risk associated with achievement of the milestone; and a reasonable amount of time passes between the up-front license payment and the first milestone payment as well as between each subsequent milestone payment. If any of these conditions are not met, the milestone payments are deferred and recognized as revenue over the term of the arrangement as we complete our performance obligations.

Royalties—The Company recognizes royalty revenues from licensed products upon the sale of the related products.

Product Sales—The Company sells NeutroPhase, CelleRx and i-Lid Cleanser through a limited number of distributors. We generally record product sales upon shipment to distributors at which time title and risk of loss pass to the distributors.

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold includes third party manufacturing costs, shipping costs, cost of samples and other costs of goods sold. Cost of goods sold also includes any necessary allowances for excess inventory that may expire and become unsalable. We did not record an allowance for excess inventory as of December 31, 2013.

Research and Development Costs

The Company charges research and development costs to expense as incurred. These costs include salaries and benefits for research and development personnel, costs associated with clinical trials managed by contract research organizations, and other costs associated with research, development and regulatory activities. They use external service providers to conduct clinical trials, to manufacture supplies of product candidates and to provide various other research and development-related products and services. Research and development expenses under the collaborative agreements approximate the revenue recognized, excluding milestone and upfront payments received under such arrangements.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(a development stage company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Patent Costs

Patent costs, including legal expenses, are expensed in the period in which they are incurred. Patent expenses are included in general and administrative expenses in the statements of operations.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation under the provisions of ASC 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation*. Under the fair value recognition provisions, stock-based compensation expense is measured at the grant date for all stock-based awards to employees and directors and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period, which is generally the vesting period. Non-employee stock-based compensation charges are amortized over the vesting period on a straight-line basis. For stock options granted, the fair value of the stock options is estimated using a Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. See Note 10 for further information regarding stock-based compensation expense and the assumptions used in estimating that expense.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is recognized if it is more likely than not that some portion or the entire deferred tax asset will not be recognized.

Common Stock Warrant Liabilities

For warrants where there is a deemed possibility that the Company may have to settle the warrants in cash, the Company records the fair value of the issued warrants as a liability at each balance sheet date and records changes in the estimated fair value as a non-cash gain or loss in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. The fair values of these warrants have been determined using the Binomial Lattice (“Lattice”) valuation model, and the changes in the fair value are recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. The Lattice model provides for assumptions regarding volatility, call and put features and risk-free interest rates within the total period to maturity. These values are subject to a significant degree of judgment on the part of the Company.

Net Income (Loss) per Share

The Company computes net income (loss) per share by presenting both basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share (EPS).

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS gives effect to all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period including stock options and warrants, using the treasury stock method, using the if-converted method. In computing diluted EPS, the average stock price for the period is used in determining the number of shares assumed to be purchased from the exercise of stock options or warrants. Potentially dilutive common share equivalents are excluded from the diluted EPS computation in net loss periods since their effect would be anti-dilutive. During 2013, 2012 and 2011, there is no difference between basic and diluted net loss per share due to the Company’s net losses. The following table sets forth the reconciliation between basic EPS and diluted EPS:

(in thousands, except per share data)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net loss	\$ (16,042)	\$ (7,027)	\$ (5,085)
Basic shares	38,183	29,448	25,773
Add: shares issued upon assumed exercise of stock options and warrants	—	—	—
Diluted shares	38,183	29,448	25,773
Basic EPS	\$ (0.42)	\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.20)
Diluted EPS	\$ (0.42)	\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.20)

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)**

The following outstanding stock options and stock warrants were excluded from the diluted EPS computation as their effect would have been anti-dilutive:

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in thousands)	2013	2012	2011
Stock options	7,164	6,222	5,299
Stock warrants	4,765	11,190	4,863

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

We do not believe there are any new or pending pronouncements that will materially impact our financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 3. SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS

Short-term investments as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, consisted of the following:

	December 31, 2013			
	Amortized	Gross	Gross	Market
(in thousands)	Cost	Unrealized	Unrealized	Value
		Gains	Losses	
Corporate bonds	\$518	\$ —	\$ (14)) \$ 504
Certificates of deposit	2,050	—	(1)) 2,049
	\$2,569	\$ —	\$ (16)) \$ 2,553

(in thousands)	December 31, 2012			
	Amortized Cost	Gross	Gross	Market Value
		Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	
	Corporate bonds	\$514	\$ —	\$ (9)
Municipal Bonds	305	—	(2)) 303
Certificates of deposit	3,329	—	(2)) 3,327
	\$4,148	\$ —	\$ (13)) \$ 4,135

All short-term investments at December 31, 2013 and 2012, mature in less than one year. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we recognized a net realized losses of \$ 21,000, \$91,000, and \$25,000, respectively, included in other income (expense) on the statements of operations and comprehensive loss.

NOTE 4. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Company measures the fair value of financial assets and liabilities based on authoritative guidance which defines fair value, establishes a framework consisting of three levels for measuring fair value, and requires disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date.

The Company's cash equivalents and investments are classified within Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices in active markets, broker or dealer quotations, or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. The types of investments that are generally classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy include money market securities. The types of investments that are generally classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy include corporate securities, certificates of deposits and U.S. government securities.

The Company's warrant liability is classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy because the value is calculated using significant judgment based on our own assumptions in the valuation of this liability.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)**

The following table presents the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013:

(in thousands)	Balance at December 31, 2013	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Items (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets				
Cash equivalents	\$ 10,500	\$ 10,500	\$ —	\$ —
Short-term investments:				
Corporate bonds	504	—	504	—
Certificates of deposit	2,049	—	2,049	—
Total short-term investments	2,553	—	2,553	—
Total assets	\$ 13,053	\$ 10,500	\$ 2,553	\$ —
Liabilities				
Warrant liability	\$ 1,837	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,837
Total liabilities	\$ 1,837	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,837

For the year ended December 31, 2013, as a result of the fair value adjustment of the warrant liability, the Company recorded a non-cash loss on a change in the fair value of \$555,000 in its consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. See Note 8 for further discussion on the calculation of the fair value of the warrant liability.

(in thousands)

Warrant liability

Fair value of warrants at December 31, 2011	2,721
Decrease in fair value at December 31, 2012	(1,439)
Total warrant liability at December 31, 2012	1,282
Increase in fair value at December 31, 2013	555
Total warrant liability at December 31, 2013	\$ 1,837

NOTE 5. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consisted of the following:

(in thousands)	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Office and laboratory equipment	\$ 2,101	\$ 1,988
Furniture and fixtures	83	63
Software	11	11
Leasehold improvement	171	164
Total property and equipment, at cost	2,366	2,226
Less: accumulated depreciation	(1,648)	(1,335)
Total property and equipment, net	\$ 718	\$ 891

Depreciation expense was \$314,000, \$341,000 and \$425,000 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$2.6 million for the cumulative period from July 1, 2002 (inception) to December 31, 2013.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)****NOTE 6. ACCRUED LIABILITIES**

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following:

(in thousands)	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Research and development	\$ 550	\$ 441
Employee payroll and benefits	780	807
Professional fees	69	95
Other	217	154
Total accrued liabilities	\$ 1,616	\$ 1,497

NOTE 7. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES*Operating Leases*

We lease laboratory facilities and office space under an operating lease, which expires on October 31, 2020. Rent expense was \$966,000, \$804,000, and \$1.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The future minimum lease payments under this non-cancellable operating lease were as follows as of December 31, 2013:

(in thousands)	Lease Commitment
Year ending December 31:	
2014	\$ 606
2015	624

2016	643
2017	662
2018	682
thereafter	1,303
Total lease commitment	\$ 4,520

The Company's monthly rent payments fluctuate under the master lease agreement. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis, and records deferred rent for the difference between the amounts paid and recorded as expense. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had \$136,000 and \$60,000 of deferred rent, respectively.

Directors and Officers Indemnity

As permitted under Delaware law and in accordance with our bylaws, we indemnify our officers and directors for certain events or occurrences while the officer or director is or was serving at our request in such capacity. The term of the indemnification period is for the officer's or director's lifetime. The maximum amount of potential future indemnification is unlimited; however, we have a director or officer insurance policy that limits our exposure and may enable us to recover a portion of any future payments. We believe the fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal. Accordingly, we have not recorded any liabilities for these agreements as of December 31, 2013.

In the normal course of business, we provide indemnifications of varying scope under our agreements with other companies, typically our clinical research organizations, investigators, clinical sites, suppliers and others. Pursuant to these agreements, we generally indemnify, hold harmless, and agree to reimburse the indemnified parties for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified parties in connection with use or testing of our products or product candidates or with any U.S. patent or any copyright or other intellectual property infringement claims by any third party with respect to our products. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual. The potential future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. Historically, costs related to these indemnification provisions have been immaterial. We also maintain various liability insurance policies that limit our exposure. As a result, we believe the fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal. Accordingly, we have not recorded any liabilities for these agreements as of December 31, 2013.

Legal Matters

From time to time, the Company may be involved in various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. There are no matters at December 31, 2013, that, in the opinion of management, would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)****NOTE 8. WARRANT LIABILITY**

In July 2011, the Company sold common stock and warrants in a registered direct financing. As part of this transaction, 3,488,005 warrants were issued with an exercise price of \$1.33 and are exercisable on January 1, 2012, and expire on July 5, 2016. The terms of the warrants require registered shares to be delivered upon each warrant's exercise and also require possible cash payments to the warrant holders (in lieu of the warrant's exercise) upon specified fundamental transactions involving the Company's common stock, such as in an acquisition of the Company. Under ASC 480, "Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity" ("ASC 480"), the Company's ability to deliver registered shares upon an exercise of the warrants and the Company's potential obligation to cash-settle the warrants if specified fundamental transactions occur are deemed to be beyond the Company's control. The warrants contain a provision where the warrant holder would have the option to receive cash, equal to the Black Scholes fair value of the remaining unexercised portion of the warrant, as cash settlement in the event that there is a fundamental transaction (contractually defined to include various merger, acquisition or stock transfer activities). Due to this provision, ASC 480 requires that these warrants be classified as liabilities. The fair values of these warrants have been determined using the Binomial Lattice ("Lattice") valuation model, and the changes in the fair value are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations. The Lattice model provides for assumptions regarding volatility and risk-free interest rates within the total period to maturity. In addition, after January 5, 2012, and if the closing bid price per share of the common stock in the principal market equals or exceeds \$2.66 for any ten trading days (which do not have to be consecutive) in a period of fifteen consecutive trading days, the Company has the right to require the exercise of one-third of the warrants then held by the warrant holders, which would result in gross proceeds to the Company of approximately \$1.5 million.

The key assumptions used to value the warrants were as follows:

Assumption	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Expected price volatility	80 %	55 %
Expected term (in years)	2.51	3.51
Risk-free interest rate	0.59%	0.45%
Dividend yield	0.00%	0.00%
Weighted-average fair value of warrants	\$0.53	\$0.37

NOTE 9. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Preferred Stock

Under the Company's amended articles of incorporation, the Company is authorized to issue of up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock in such series and with such rights and preferences as may be approved by the board of directors. As of December 31, 2013, there were no shares of preferred stock outstanding.

Common Stock

Under the Company's amended articles of incorporation, the Company is authorized to issue 65,000,000 shares of \$.01 par value common stock. Each holder of common stock has the right to one vote but does not have cumulative voting rights. Shares of common stock are not subject to any redemption or sinking fund provisions, nor do they have any preemptive, subscription or conversion rights. Holders of common stock are entitled to receive dividends whenever funds are legally available and when declared by the board of directors, subject to the prior rights of holders of all classes of stock outstanding having priority rights as to dividends. No dividends have been declared or paid as of December 31, 2013.

On July 5, 2011, the Company closed a registered direct offering for the sale of 4,650,675 units (The "July 2011 Registered Direct Financing"), each unit consisting of (i) one share of common stock and (ii) one warrant to purchase 0.75 of a share of common stock (or a total of 3,488,005 shares), at a purchase price of \$1.11 per unit. The warrants will be exercisable 180 days after issuance for \$1.33 per share and will expire five years from the date of issuance. All of the shares of common stock and warrants issued in the offering (and the shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants) were offered pursuant to a shelf registration statement filed with, and declared effective by, the Securities and Exchange Commission. The shares of common stock and the warrants were immediately separable and were issued separately, but were purchased together in the July 2011 Registered Direct Financing. The Company raised a total of \$5.2 million from the July 2011 Registered Direct Financing, or approximately \$4.6 million in net proceeds after deducting underwriting commissions of \$288,000 and other offering costs of \$244,000.

On December 6, 2012, the Company closed a public offering for the sale of 5,900,000 units, each unit consisting of (i) one share of common stock and (ii) one warrant to purchase 0.75 of a share of common stock (or a total of 4,425,000 shares), at a purchase price of \$1.25 per unit. The warrants were immediately exercisable for \$1.50 per share and will expire one year from the date of issuance. All of the shares of common stock and warrants issued in the offering (and the shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants) were offered pursuant to a shelf registration statement filed with, and declared effective by, the Securities and Exchange Commission. The shares of common stock and the warrants were immediately separable and were issued separately, but were purchased together. The Company raised a total of \$7.4 million from this offering, or approximately \$6.6 million in net proceeds after deducting underwriting commissions of \$479,000 and other offering costs of \$240,000.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(a development stage company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

On November 14, 2013, the Company entered into an At-The-Market Offering Agreement (“ATM Agreement”), with Ascendant Capital Markets (“Ascendant”), as its agent, and filed a prospectus supplement to its shelf registration statement, pursuant to which the Company may offer and sell shares of our common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$5.0 million from time to time. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company sold 289,492 shares for gross proceeds of \$378,000, or approximately \$352,000 in net proceeds after deducting offering costs and commissions of \$26,000. Under the terms of the ATM Agreement, the Company pays to Ascendant 3% of the gross proceeds of any sales made under the prospectus supplement.

On December 2, 2013 the Company entered into a stock purchase agreement with Pioneer to purchased five million shares of NovaBay stock at \$1.14 per share, resulting in a cash payment to NovaBay of \$5.7 million.

Stock Warrants

At December 31, 2013, there were outstanding warrants to purchase 1,225,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$2.75 per share expiring on August 21, 2014. These outstanding warrants were exercisable at December 31, 2012.

In July 2011, 3,488,005 warrants were issued in connection with our July 2011 Registered Direct Financing. These warrants were issued with an exercise price of \$1.33 and expire on July 5, 2016. These outstanding warrants were exercisable at December 31, 2013. During 2012, 22,500 of these warrants were exercised and the company received \$30,000 in cash for the warrants. See Note 8 for further details on these warrants.

In January 2012, warrants to purchase 60,000 shares were issued to a vendor. These warrants were issued with an exercise price of \$2.50 per share for 30,000 of the shares and \$3.75 per share for the remaining 30,000 shares and became exercisable monthly through June 30, 2012, and expire on January 2, 2016. The warrants were valued at approximately \$34,000 using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model based upon the following assumptions: (1) expected price volatility of 75% and 89%, respectively, (2) a risk-free interest rate of 0.30% and 0.36% respectively and (3) an expected life of 2.36 and 2.98 years, respectively. The Company accounts for the fair value of

these warrants as an expense amortized over the vesting period of the warrants. The Company recognized the full \$34,000 in expense during the year ended December 31, 2012, related to these warrants.

In September 2012 and October 2012, warrants to purchase 800,000 and 1,200,000 shares, respectively, were issued to Pioneer Pharma Co., Ltd as part of a unit purchase agreement that was accounted for along with an expanded distribution agreement. These warrants were issued with an exercise price of \$1.50 per share, are immediately exercisable, and expire on August 31, 2013. The warrants were valued at approximately \$360,000 and \$330,000, respectively, using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model based upon the following assumptions: (1) expected price volatility of 79% and 71%, respectively, (2) a risk-free interest rate of 0.17% and 0.17%, respectively and (3) an expected life of 0.96 and 0.83 years, respectively. Due to the combined accounting of this agreement along with the expanded distribution agreement, the Company accounted for the fair value of the common stock and warrants as equity. In May 2013 the terms of these warrants were modified to extend the expiration date to November 29, 2013 and in exchange for this extension Pioneer agreed to exercise the warrant. As a result of this change NovaBay booked an additional expense related to these warrants of \$163,000 during the year ended December 31, 2013. In November, 2013 these warrants were cancelled and replaced with a stock purchase agreement.

In October 2012, warrants to purchase 15,000 shares were issued to a vendor. These warrants were issued with an exercise price of \$2.50 per share and 5,000 shares became exercisable on each of October 30, 2012, November 30, 2012 and December 30, 2012, and they all expired on September 30, 2014. The warrants were valued at approximately \$4,000 using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model based upon the following assumptions: (1) expected price volatility of 72%, (2) a risk-free interest rate of 0.27% and (3) an expected life of 2.00 years. The Company accounts for the fair value of these warrants as an expense amortized over the vesting period of the warrants. The Company recognized the full \$4,000 in expense during the year ended December 31, 2012, related to these warrants.

On December 6, 2012, 4,425,000 warrants were issued in connection with our July public offering. These warrants were issued with an exercise price of \$1.50 and expire on December 6, 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2013, 1,811,800 of these warrants were exercised and the Company received \$2.7 million in cash upon exercise of the warrants. The remainder of these warrants expired prior to December 31, 2013.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)**

The following table summarizes information about the Company's warrants outstanding at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and activity during the three years then ended.

(in thousands, except per share data)	Warrants	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	4,863	\$ 1.77
Warrants granted	6,500	\$ 1.52
Warrants expired	(150)	\$ 4.00
Warrants exercised	(23)	\$ 1.33
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	11,190	\$ 1.59
Warrants granted	20	\$ 1.63
Warrants expired	(4,633)	\$ 1.50
Warrants exercised	(1,812)	\$ 1.50
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	\$ 4,765	\$ 1.72

NOTE 10. EQUITY-BASED COMPENSATION***Equity Compensation Plans***

Prior to October 2007, the Company had two equity incentive plans in place: the 2002 Stock Option Plan and the 2005 Stock Option Plan. In October 2007, the Company adopted the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the 2007 Plan) to provide for the granting of stock awards, such as stock options, unrestricted and restricted common stock, stock units, dividend equivalent rights, and stock appreciation rights to employees, directors and outside consultants as determined by the board of directors. In conjunction with the adoption of the 2007 Plan, no further option awards may be granted from the 2002 or 2005 Stock Option Plans and any option cancellations or expirations from the 2002 or 2005 Stock Option Plans may not be reissued. At the inception of the 2007 Plan, 2,000,000 shares were reserved for issuance under the Plan.

For the years from 2009 to 2012, the number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the 2007 Plan increases annually in an amount equal to the lesser of (a) 1,000,000 shares or (b) 4% of the number of shares of the Company's common stock outstanding on the last day of the preceding year or (c) such lesser number as determined by the board of directors. Accordingly, an additional 1,000,000, 935,665, and 930,177 shares of common stock were authorized for issuance under the 2007 Plan in January 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Beginning in 2013, the shareholders voted to remove the 1,000,000 share cap and the 2007 Plan increases annually by 4% of the number of shares of the Company's common stock outstanding on the last day of the preceding year. Accordingly, an additional 1,478,924 shares of common stock were authorized for issuance under the 2007 Plan in January 2013. As of December 31, 2013, there were 39,163 shares available for future grant under the 2007 Plan.

Under the terms of the 2007 Plan, the exercise price of incentive stock options may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant and, if granted to an owner of more than 10% of the Company's stock, then not less than 110%. Stock options granted under the 2007 Plan expire no later than ten years from the date of grant. Stock options granted to employees generally vest over four years while options granted to directors and consultants typically vest over a shorter period, subject to continued service. All of the options granted prior to October 2007 include early exercise provisions that allow for full exercise of the option prior to the option vesting, subject to certain repurchase provisions. The Company issues new shares to satisfy option exercises under the plans.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)***Stock Options Summary*

The following table summarizes information about the Company's stock options outstanding at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and activity during the three years then ended:

(in thousands, except per share data)	Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	4,968	\$ 1.78		
Options granted	1,357	\$ 0.91		
Options exercised	(319)	\$ 0.32		
Options forfeited/cancelled	(707)	\$ 2.04		
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	5,299	\$ 1.62		
Options granted	1,232	\$ 1.22		
Options exercised	(234)	\$ 0.25		
Restricted stock units vested	(28)	\$ —		
Options forfeited/cancelled	(47)	\$ 1.64		
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	6,222	\$ 1.62		
Options granted	1,777	\$ 1.39		
Options exercised	(262)	\$ 0.44		
Restricted stock units vested	(249)	\$ —		
Options forfeited/cancelled	(324)	\$ 1.70		
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	7,164	\$ 1.66	6.6	\$ 422
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2013	6,910	\$ 1.67	6.5	\$ 385
Vested at December 31, 2013	5,028	\$ 1.78	5.6	\$ 237
Exercisable at December 31, 2013	5,028	\$ 1.78	5.6	\$ 237

The aggregate intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the underlying stock option awards and the closing market price of the Company's common stock as quoted on the NYSE Mkt as of December 31,

2013, for options that have a quoted market price in excess of the exercise price (“in-the-money options”). The Company received cash payments for the exercise of stock options in the amount of \$ 114,000, \$57,000 and \$103,000 during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock option awards exercised was \$ 261,000, \$271,000, and \$317,000 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, as determined at the date of option exercise.

Stock Option Awards to Employees and Directors

The Company grants options to purchase common stock to some of its employees and directors at prices equal to or greater than the market value of the stock on the dates the options are granted. The Company has estimated the value of certain stock option awards as of the date of the grant by using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. The application of this valuation model involves assumptions that are judgmental and subjective in nature.

The weighted average assumptions used in determining the value of options granted and a summary of the methodology applied to develop each assumption are as follows:

Assumption	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Expected price volatility	80.15 %	93.90 %	90.60 %
Expected term (in years)	5.1	4.6	5.6
Risk-free interest rate	1.13 %	0.70 %	1.28 %
Dividend yield	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the period	\$0.92	\$0.91	\$0.85

Expected Price Volatility—This is a measure of the amount by which the stock price has fluctuated or is expected to fluctuate. The computation of expected volatility was based on the historical volatility of our own stock and comparable companies from a representative peer group selected based on industry and market capitalization data.

Expected Term—This is the period of time over which the options granted are expected to remain outstanding. The expected life assumption is based on the Company’s historical data.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(a development stage company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Risk-Free Interest Rate—This is the U.S. Treasury rate for the week of the grant having a term approximating the expected life of the option.

Dividend Yield—We have not made any dividend payments nor do we have plans to pay dividends in the foreseeable future.

Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and reduce compensation expense ratably over the vesting period. This estimate is adjusted periodically based on the extent to which actual forfeitures differ, or are expected to differ, from the previous estimate.

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$921,000, \$1.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, for option awards to employees and directors. As of December 31, 2013, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options to employees and directors was \$1.5 million. This amount is expected to be recognized as stock-based compensation expense in our statements of operations over the remaining weighted average vesting period of 2.9 years.

Stock-Based Awards to Non-Employees

During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company granted options to purchase an aggregate of 184,000, 98,000, and 55,000 shares of common stock, respectively, to non-employees in exchange for advisory and consulting services. The stock options are recorded at their fair value on the measurement date and recognized over the respective service or vesting period. The fair value of the stock options granted was calculated using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model based upon the following assumptions:

**Year Ended December
31,**

Assumption	2013	2012	2011
Expected price volatility	78.89%	88.52%	90.39%
Expected term (in years)	8.5	9.1	7.1
Risk-free interest rate	2.75 %	1.53 %	1.83 %
Dividend yield	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the period	\$0.95	\$1.06	\$1.37

In addition the Company granted restricted stock to non-employees totaling 43,000, 154,000 and 43,000 shares of common stock in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, in exchange for advisory and consulting services.

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$97,000, \$243,000 and \$111,000, respectively, related to non-employee options and restricted stock grants. As of December 31, 2013, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options to non employees was \$35,000. This amount is expected to be recognized as stock-based compensation expense in our statements of operations over the remaining weighted average vesting period of 1.3 years.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

A summary of the stock-based compensation expense included in results of operations for the option and stock awards discussed above is as follows:

(in thousands)	Year ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Research and development	\$381	\$450	\$352
General and administrative	637	1,090	869
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$1,018	\$1,540	\$1,221

Since the Company has operating losses and net operating loss carryforwards, there are no tax benefits associated with stock-based compensation expense.

NOTE 11. LICENSE, COLLABORATION AND DISTRIBUTION AGREEMENTS

Galderma

On March 25, 2009, the Company entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Galderma S.A. to develop and commercialize the Company's Aganocide compounds, which covers acne and impetigo and potentially other major dermatological conditions, excluding onychomycosis (nail fungus), orphan drug indications and most post surgical use and use in wound care. The Company amended this agreement in December 2009 and again in December 2010. Based on the Impetigo Phase 2a clinical trial results, in December 2010, NovaBay and Galderma S.A., agreed to expand their partnership to focus on the development of NovaBay's Aganocide compound auriclosene (NVC-422) for the topical treatment of impetigo. This expansion was intended to provide NovaBay with the additional funding and resources required for the clinical development of its auriclosene (NVC-422) topical gel formulation for impetigo and other topical infections. In November 2013, the Company announced that the auriclosene Phase 2b clinical study of impetigo had been completed. While the study showed that auriclosene is safe and well tolerated, it did not meet its primary clinical endpoint. Knowledge gained from two previous impetigo studies is expected to lead to the use of an optimized formulation of auriclosene for an upcoming pilot study. The Company will be responsible the planning, execution and the cost of the upcoming study, which is expect to be approximately \$1 to \$2 million. Based on the results of this study NovaBay and Galderma will determine the next steps in the development of auriclosene for this indication.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)**

With the exception of the pilot study expected to be initiated in 2014 for impetigo, for which NovaBay will be responsible for all costs, Galderma is responsible for the development costs of product candidate compounds, except for costs incurred in Japan. In Japan, Galderma has the option to request that the Company share such development costs. NovaBay retains the right to co-market products resulting from the agreement in Japan. In addition, NovaBay has retained all rights to co-promote the products developed under the agreement in hospitals and other healthcare institutions in North America.

Galderma will pay to NovaBay certain upfront fees, ongoing fees, reimbursements, and milestone payments related to achieving development and commercialization of its Aganocide compounds. If products are commercialized under the agreement, NovaBay's royalties will escalate as sales increase. The Company received a \$1.0 million upfront technology access fee payment in the first quarter of 2009 and a \$3.25 million continuation fee and a \$500,000 fee to expand the license to include the Asia-Pacific Territory in December 2010. These fees were recorded as deferred revenues and recognized as earned on a straight-line basis over the Company's expected performance period. The initial upfront technology access fee was recognized over the initial 20 month funding term of the agreement through October 2010, and the continuation and license fees were recognized over the additional three year funding term of the agreement through November 2013.

Revenue has been recognized under the Galderma agreement as follows:

(in thousands)	Year Ended December		
	31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Amortization of upfront technology access fee, continuation fee and license fee	\$945	\$1,259	\$1,259
On-going Research and Development	1,228	1,604	1,551
Materials, Equipment, and Contract Study Costs	393	3,485	2,609
Milestone payments	—	—	500
	\$2,566	\$6,348	\$5,919

The Company had deferred revenue balances of \$1,000, \$957,000 and \$2.2 million and respectively, at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, related to the Galderma agreement, which consisted of the unamortized balances on the upfront technology and access fee and the continuation and license fee and support for ongoing research and development. As

of December 31, 2013, the Company has earned \$4.25 million in milestone payments. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has not earned or received any royalty payments under the Galderma agreement.

Virbac

In April 2012, the Company entered into a feasibility and option agreement with Virbac, a global animal health company for the development and potential commercialization of Aganocides for a number of veterinary uses. Under the terms of the agreement, NovaBay received an upfront payment and is entitled to additional support for research and development. The company will conduct veterinary studies using NovaBay's Aganocide compounds to assess feasibility for treating several veterinary indications.

In April 2013, the option was exercised and the Company entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Virbac. Under this new agreement Virbac acquired exclusive worldwide rights to develop the Company's proprietary compound, auriclosene (NVC-422), for global veterinary markets. The Company received an option exercise fee and may receive future development and pre-commercial milestone payments as a result of the collaboration. The Company also expects to receive royalties on the sale of any commercial products in the companion animal field. Virbac's option exercise follows its extensive testing of auriclosene (NVC-422) for veterinary uses during the 12-month option period. The Company is recognizing the option exercise fee over its expected performance period of 10 years.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)**

Revenue has been recognized under the agreement as follows:

(in thousands)	Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Amortization of upfront technology access fee, continuation fee and license fee	\$42	\$113	\$ —
On-going Research and Development	87	262	—
Materials, Equipment, and Contract Study Costs	8	42	—
	\$137	\$417	\$ —

The Company had deferred revenue balances of \$246,000, \$125,000 and \$0, respectively, at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, related to this agreement, which consisted of the unamortized balances on the upfront technology and access fee and the support for ongoing research and development.

NeutroPhase Distribution Agreements

In January 2012, the Company entered into a distribution agreement with Pioneer Pharma Co., Ltd., a Shanghai-based company that markets high-end pharmaceutical products into China, for the commercialization of NeutroPhase in this territory. Under the terms of the agreement, NovaBay received an upfront payment of \$312,500. NovaBay also received \$312,500 in January 2013, related to the submission of the first marketing approval for the product to the CFDA (formerly the SFDA, State Food and Drug Administration), which was submitted in December 2012. The distribution agreement provides that Pioneer Pharma Co., Ltd is entitled to receive cumulative purchase discounts of up to \$500,000 upon the purchase of NeutroPhase product. The deferred revenue will be recognized as the purchase discounts are earned, with the remaining deferred revenue recognized ratably over the product distribution period. In addition, NovaBay is entitled to receive \$625,000 upon receipt of an MAA approval of the product from the CFDA.

In September 2012, the Company entered into two agreements with Pioneer Pharma Co., Ltd. (“Pioneer”): (1) an international distribution agreement (“Distribution Agreement”) and (2) a unit purchase agreement (“Purchase Agreement”). These agreements were combined and accounted for as one arrangement with one unit of accounting for

revenue recognition purposes.

Pursuant to the terms of the Distribution Agreement, Pioneer has the right to distribute NeutroPhase, upon MAA Approval from a Regulatory Authority, in certain territories in Asia (other than China). Upon execution of the Distribution Agreement, we received an upfront payment, which was recorded as deferred revenue. Pioneer is also obligated to make certain additional payments to us upon receipt of the MAA Approval. The Distribution Agreement further provides that Pioneer is entitled to a cumulative purchase discount not to exceed \$500,000 upon the purchase of NeutroPhase product; payable in NovaBay unregistered restricted common stock.

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, we also received \$2.5 million from Pioneer for the purchase of restricted units (comprising 1 share of common stock and a warrant for the purchase of 1 share of common stock). The unit purchase was completed in two tranches: (1) 800,000 units in September 2012; and (2) 1,200,000 units in October 2012, with both tranches at a purchase price of \$1.25 per share. The fair value of the total units sold was \$3.5 million, based upon the trading price of our common stock on the dates the units were purchased and fair value of the warrants based on the Black-Scholes Merton option pricing model. Because the aggregate fair value of the units on the dates of purchase exceeded the \$2.5 million in proceeds received from the unit purchase by approximately \$1 million, we reallocated \$600,000 from deferred revenue to stockholders' equity as consideration for the purchase of the units.

In December 2013, the Company announced it had expanded its NeutroPhase commercial partnership agreement with Pioneer. The expanded agreement includes licensing rights to two new products, CelleRx™ and i-Lid™ Cleanser, developed internally by NovaBay. The expanded partnership agreement covers the commercialization and distribution of these products in China and 11 countries in Southeast Asia.

In addition to the Pioneer Pharma agreements, the Company has entered into three other smaller agreements and continues to seek additional distribution agreements.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)**

Revenue has been recognized under these agreements as follows:

(in thousands)	Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Amortization of upfront technology access fee	\$62	\$ 46	\$ —
On-going Research and Development	148	44	—
	\$210	\$ 90	\$ —

The Company had deferred revenue balances of \$1.6 million, \$810,000, and \$0, respectively, at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, related to these agreements, which consisted of the unamortized balances on the upfront technology and access fee and the support for ongoing research and development.

Alcon Manufacturing, Ltd.

In August 2006, the Company entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Alcon Manufacturing, Ltd. (Alcon) to license to Alcon the exclusive rights to develop, manufacture and commercialize products incorporating the Aganocide compounds for application in connection with the eye, ear and sinus and for use in contact lens solution. This agreement was terminated in 2011. Under the terms of the agreement, we receive semi-annual payments to support on-going research and development activities over the term of the agreement. The research and development support payments include amounts to fund a specified number of personnel engaged in collaboration activities and to reimburse for qualified equipment, materials and contract study costs.

Revenue has been recognized as follows:

Year Ended
December 31,

(in thousands)	2012	2011
On-going Research and Development	—	— 2,828
Materials, Equipment, and Contract Study Costs	—	— 246
Termination payment	—	— 2,000
	\$—	—\$5,074

At December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we had no deferred revenue balances related to the Alcon agreement.

NOTE 12. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

We have a 401(k) plan covering all eligible employees. We are not required to contribute to the plan and have made no contributions through December 31, 2013.

NOTE 13. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Beginning in 2012, the Company began reporting financial data for four reportable segments, coinciding with our four business units: dermatology, ophthalmology, urology and wound care. The dermatology segment includes all aspects of our business around the dermatology arena including the collaboration with Galderma and our impetigo clinical trial. The ophthalmology segment includes our clinical trial on ophthalmology which we are conducting on our own at the time. This segment also includes our i-case product which is currently in development phases. The urology segment covers our UCBE trials. The wound care segment encompasses the business around our NeutroPhase product, which went on the market in December 2012. Our remaining activities are immaterial and are shown as an aggregate.

The Company discloses information about its reportable segments based on the measures it uses in assessing the performance of each segment. The Company uses “segment net income (loss)” to measure the performance of its business units. Segment net income (loss) includes the allocation of certain corporate expense. These expenses have been allocated based on the FTE allocations to each individual segment or business unit.

The Company does not segregate specific assets to each business unit as we do not have a reasonable way to allocate the corporate assets to each unit and the Company does not use this as a measure of segment performance. In addition, we reorganized our business in 2012 to provide the business unit approach and the segment reporting. Prior to this time we did not segregate any costs to our different segments and we do not have practical way to do this for periods before 2012. Based on this we do not show segment information for periods prior to 2012.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)**

(in thousands)	Year Ended	
	2013	2012
Revenues:		
Dermatology	\$2,697	\$6,348
Ophthalmology	—	—
Urology	—	—
Wound Care	433	104
Other	347	495
	\$3,477	\$6,947
Segment net income (loss):		
Dermatology	\$(264)	\$3,908
Ophthalmology	(6,199)	(3,426)
Urology	(2,933)	(3,498)
Wound Care	(4,853)	(3,162)
Other	(1,237)	(2,131)
	\$(15,486)	\$(8,309)

A reconciliation of total segment net loss to consolidated net loss is as follows:

(in thousands)	Year Ended	
	2013	2012
Segment net income (loss)	\$(15,486)	\$(8,309)
Non-cash gain on change in fair value of warrants	(555)	1,439
of warrants		
Other income (expense), net	1	(155)
Provision for income taxes	(2)	(2)
Net loss	\$(16,042)	\$(7,027)

NOTE 14. INCOME TAXES

The federal and state income tax provision is summarized as follows (in thousands):

(in thousands)	Year Ending December 31		
	2013	2012	2011
Current			
Federal	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
State	2	2	2
Other	—	—	—
Total current tax expense	2	2	2
Deferred			
Federal	—	—	—
State	—	—	—
Other	—	—	—
Total deferred tax expense	—	—	—
Income tax provision	\$2	\$ 2	\$ 2

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of (a) temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes, and (b) operating losses and tax credit carryforwards.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)**

The tax effects of significant items comprising the Company's deferred taxes as of December 31 are as follows:

(in thousands)	December 31	
	2013	2012
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating losses	\$19,053	\$13,099
Accruals	96	85
Deferred revenue	117	381
Stock options	1,097	1,009
Other deferred tax assets	412	197
Total deferred tax assets	20,775	14,771
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Property and equipment	(159)	(251)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(159)	(251)
Valuation allowance	(20,616)	(14,520)
Net deferred taxes	\$—	\$—

The Company records the tax benefit of net operating loss carryforwards and temporary differences as an asset to the extent that management assesses that realization is "more likely than not." Realization of the future tax benefits is dependent on the Company's ability to generate sufficient taxable income within the carryforward period. Because of the Company's recent history of operating losses, management believes that recognition of the deferred tax assets is currently not likely to be realized and, accordingly, has provided a valuation allowance.

The valuation allowance increased by the following amounts (in thousands):

2013	2012	2011
\$6,096	\$3,018	\$1,445

In accordance with ASC 718 *Compensation – Stock Compensation*, the Company has excluded from deferred tax assets benefits attributable to employee stock option exercises. Therefore, these amounts are not included in gross or net deferred tax assets. The benefit of these net operating loss carryforwards, totaling \$1.1 million at December 31, 2013, will only be recorded to equity when they reduce cash taxes payable.

Net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards as of December 31, 2013, are as follows (in thousands):

	Amount	Expiration
		Years
Net operating losses, federal	\$ 48,690	2024 - 2033
Net operating losses, state	\$ 50,465	2019 - 2033
Tax credits, federal	\$ 343	2033

Under U.S. federal tax law, the amount and availability of tax benefits are subject to a variety of interpretations and restrictive tests. Utilization of the net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to ownership changes that have occurred previously or that could occur in the future, as provided by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and similar state provisions. Ownership changes may limit the amount of NOL carryforwards that can be utilized annually to offset future taxable income and tax, respectively. In general, an ownership change, as defined by Section 382, results from transactions increasing the ownership of certain shareholders or public groups in the stock of a corporation by more than 50 percentage points over a three-year period. Since the Company's formation, the Company has raised capital through the issuance of capital stock on two occasions which, combined with the purchasing shareholders' subsequent disposition of those shares, may have resulted in one or more changes of control, as defined by Section 382. The Company has not currently completed a study to assess whether any change of control has occurred, or whether there have been multiple changes of control since the Company's formation, due to the significant complexity and cost associated with the study. If the Company has experienced a change of control at any time since its formation, its NOL carryforwards and tax credits may not be available, or their utilization could be subject to an annual limitation under Section 382. A full valuation allowance has been provided against the Company's NOL carryforwards, and if an adjustment is required, this adjustment would be offset by an adjustment to the valuation allowance. Accordingly, there would be no impact on the consolidated balance sheet or statement of operations if an adjustment is required.

NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**(a development stage company)****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)**

The effective tax rate of the Company's provision (benefit) for income taxes differs from the federal statutory rate as follows:

(in thousands)	Year Ending December 31		
	2013	2012	2011
Income tax provision (benefit) at federal statutory rate	\$(5,425)	\$(2,389)	\$(1,728)
State tax	(836)	(443)	(232)
ISO-related expense for GAAP	178	194	230
Change in valuation allowance	6,095	3,020	1,445
Revaluation of warrant liability	189	(489)	249
Tax credits	(285)	(58)	—
Other	86	167	38
Total	\$2	\$2	\$2

Uncertain Income Tax Positions

The Company adopted the provisions of ASC 740-10, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, on January 1, 2007. There was no impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows as a result of adoption. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the unrecognized tax benefits during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

(in thousands)	Year ended	
	December	
	31,	
	2013	2012
Unrecognized benefit - beginning of period	\$770	\$475
Gross increases - current period tax positions	96	—
Gross decreases - prior period tax positions	—	(273)
Gross increases - prior period tax positions	—	568

Unrecognized benefit - end of period	\$866	\$770
--------------------------------------	-------	-------

Our policy will be to recognize interest and penalties related to income taxes as a component of income tax expense. We are subject to income tax examinations for U.S. incomes taxes and state income taxes from 2004 forward. We do not anticipate that total unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change prior to December 31, 2014.

NOTE 15. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

We evaluated subsequent events through the issuance date of the financial statements. We are not aware of any significant events that occurred subsequent to the balance sheet date but prior to the filing of this Annual Report on Form 10-K that would have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 and 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act was accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Assessing the costs and benefits of such controls and procedures necessarily involves the exercise of judgment by management. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. Our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. Our

management utilized the criteria set forth in “Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992)” issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission to conduct an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. Our management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2013, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on these criteria.

This annual report provides only management’s report and does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. As a result of the Wall Street Reform Act enacted in July 2010, we are not required to provide an attestation report by our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting for this fiscal year, or thereafter, until such time as we no longer qualify for this exemption.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the fourth quarter of 2013, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting which has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item with respect to Executive Officers may be found under the caption, “Executive Compensation and Other Information” appearing in the definitive Proxy Statement to be delivered to NovaBay’s stockholders in connection with the solicitation of proxies for NovaBay’s 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Proxy Statement). The information required by this Item with respect to Directors, including information with respect to our audit committee, audit committee financial experts, risk management and procedures for Board nominations, is incorporated herein by reference from the information under the caption, “Proposal One: Election of Directors” and “Corporate Governance” appearing in the Proxy Statement.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

The information required by this Item with respect to compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act is incorporated herein by reference from the section captioned “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” contained in the Proxy Statement.

Code of Ethics and Business Conduct

The information required by this Item with respect to our code of ethics and business conduct is incorporated herein by reference from the section captioned “Corporate Governance” contained in the Proxy Statement.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is set forth in the Proxy Statement under the caption, “Executive Compensation and Other Information.” Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item with respect to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is set forth in the Proxy Statement under the caption, “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” and “Equity Compensation Plan Information.” Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item is set forth in the Proxy Statement under the headings “Proposal 1: Election of Directors” and “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.” Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is set forth in the Proxy Statement under the heading “Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.” Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Consistent with Section 10A(i)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as added by Section 202 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we are responsible for listing the non-audit services approved by our Audit Committee to be performed by OUM & Co. LLP, our external auditor. Non-audit services are defined as services other than those provided in connection with an audit or a review of our financial statements

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Documents filed as part of this report:

(1) *Financial Statements*. The financial statements listed in the Index for Item 8 hereof are filed as part of this report.

(2) *Financial Statement Schedules*. All schedules have been omitted because they are not required or the required information is included in our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

(3) *Exhibits*. See the Exhibit Index which follows the signature page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 5, 2014 NOVABAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

By: /S/ RAMIN NAJAFI

Ramin (Ron) Najafi
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

We, the undersigned officers and directors of NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc., do hereby constitute and appoint Ramin (Ron) Najafi and Thomas J. Paulson, and each of them, our true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, each with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this report, and to file the same, with exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite or necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby, ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report on Form 10-K has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/S/ RAMIN NAJAFI Ramin (Ron) Najafi	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)	March 5, 2014
/S/ THOMAS PAULSON Thomas J. Paulson	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (principal financial and accounting officer)	March 5, 2014
/S/ CHARLES J. CASHION Charles J. Cashion	Director	March 5, 2014
/S/ ANTHONY DAILLEY	Director	March 5, 2014

Anthony Dailley, DDS

/S/ PAUL FREIMAN Director March 5, 2014
Paul E. Freiman

/S/ ALEX MCPHERSON Director March 5, 2014
Alex McPherson, MD, Ph.D

/S/ ROBERT R. TUFTS Director March 5, 2014
Robert R. Tufts

/S/ TONY WICKS Director March 5, 2014
Tony Wicks

/S/ GAIL MADERIS Director March 5, 2014
Gail Maderis

/S/ MARK M. SIECZKAREK Director March 5, 2014
Mark M. Sieczkarek

/S/ MASSIMO RADAELLI Director March 5, 2014
Massimo Radaelli, Ph.D

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

No.	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger between NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a California corporation, and NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Delaware corporation, dated as of June 25, 2010 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 from the Company's Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to the registration statement on Form S-3 filed with the SEC on July 1, 2010 (File Nos. 333-159917)).
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 from the Company's current report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on June 29, 2010 (SEC File No. 001-33678)).
3.2	Bylaws of NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 from the Company's current report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on June 29, 2010 (SEC File No. 001-33678)).

4.1* Specimen common stock certificate.

4.2 Form of Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued in August 2009. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on August 21, 2009 (SEC File No. 001-33678).)

4.3 Form of Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued in June 2011. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on June 29, 2011 (SEC File No. 001-33678).)

10.1*+ 2002 Stock Option Plan, and forms of agreements thereto.

10.2*+ 2005 Stock Option Plan, and forms of agreements thereto.

10.3+ 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan, and forms of agreements thereto ((the Plan is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 from the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, as filed with the SEC on August 9, 2012 (SEC File No. 001-33678), and the forms of agreements thereto are incorporated by reference to the exhibit referencing the Plan from the Company's

amendment to registration
statement of Form S-1
(File No. 333-140714)
filed with the Securities
and Exchange
Commission on May 29,
2007, as amended.) .

NovaBay
Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Executive Officer Cash
Bonus Structure.

10.4+ (Incorporated by
reference to Exhibit 10.4
to the Company's current
report on Form 10-K as
filed with the SEC on
March 27, 2012 (SEC File
No. 001-33678)).

10.5* Office Lease dated June 3,
2004 by and between the
Company and Emery
Station Associates II,
LLC, as amended.

10.6 Fifth Amendment dated November 20, 2007 to Office Lease dated June 3, 2004 by and between the Company and Emery Station Associates II, LLC, as amended (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 from the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 as filed with the SEC on March 14, 2008 (SEC File No. 001-33678)).

10.7 Sixth Amendment to Lease between Emery Station Office II, LLC and Novacal Pharmaceuticals, Inc., effective September 1, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 from the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 as filed with the SEC on November 14, 2008 (SEC File No. 001-33678)).

10.8 Seventh Amendment to the Lease Agreement between Emery Station Office II, LLC and NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc., effective March 1, 2012. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 from the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q

for the quarter ended
June 30, 2012 as filed
with the SEC on
August 9, 2012 (SEC
File No. 001-33678)).

10.9† Collaboration and
License Agreement, by
and between the
Company and
Galderma S.A., dated
as of March 20, 2009
(Incorporated by
reference to Exhibit
10.2 from the
Company's quarterly
report on Form 10-Q/A
for the quarter ended
March 31, 2009, as
filed with the SEC on
August 4, 2009 (SEC
File No. 001-33678)).

10.10+ Director Compensation
Plan (As amended on
January 16, 2014).

10.11 Reserved

10.12* Master Security
Agreement dated April
23, 2007 by and
between the Company
and General Electric
Capital Corporation.

10.13† Amendment No. 1 to
the Collaboration and
License Agreement,
dated as of December
1, 2009, between the
Company and
Galderma S.A.
(Incorporated by
reference to Exhibit
10.18 from the
Company's annual
report on Form 10-K
for the year end
December 31, 2009 as
filed with the SEC on

March 30, 2010 (SEC File No. 001-33678)).

10.14*+ Executive Officer Cash Compensation Arrangements (Bonus structure is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on March 27, 2012 (SEC File No. 001-33678); and 2012 bonuses and 2013 cash compensation arrangements are incorporated by reference to the description in Item 5.02 of the Company's current report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on February 15, 2013 (SEC File No. 001-33678)).

10.15*+ Form of Indemnification Agreement between NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and its Directors and Officers. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 from the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, as filed with the SEC on August 12, 2010 (SEC File No. 001-33678)).

10.16† Amendment No. 2 to the Collaboration and License Agreement, dated as of December 2, 2010, between the Company and

Galderma S.A.
(Incorporated by
reference to Exhibit
10.24 from the
Company's annual
report on Form 10-K
for the year ended
December 31, 2010, as
filed with the SEC on
March 10, 2011 (SEC
File No. 001-33678).).

10.17 Reserved

10.18 Reserved

74

Distribution Agreement, by and between the Company and Pioneer Pharma Co. Ltd., dated as of January 9, 2012.

(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on March 27, 2012, SEC File No. 001-33678)).

Employment Agreement dated February 17, 2012 by and between the Registrant and Ramin (Ron) Najafi.

(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on March 27, 2012, SEC File No. 001-33678)).

10.21* Employment Agreement dated February 17, 2012 by and between the Registrant and Thomas J. Paulson.

(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed

with the SEC on
March 27, 2012,
SEC File No.
001-33678)).

10.22 Reserved

Employment
Agreement dated
February 17, 2012
by and between the
Registrant and Roy
Wu. (incorporated
by reference to

10.23* Exhibit 10.22 to
the Company's
Annual Report on
Form 10-K, filed
with the SEC on
March 27, 2012,
SEC File No.
001-33678).

Distribution
Agreement by and
between the
Company and
Naqu Area Pioneer
Pharma Co. Ltd.
dated as of
September 13,
2012 (incorporated
by reference to
Exhibit 10.1 to the

10.24† Company's
Quarterly Report
on Form 10-Q,
filed with the SEC
on November 1,
2012, SEC File No.
001-33678);
confidential
treatment has been
requested for
portions of this
agreement.

10.25† Unit Purchase
Agreement entered
into by and
between the
Company and

Pioneer Pharma
(Singapore) Pte.
Ltd. dated
September 13,
2012 (incorporated
by reference to
Exhibit 10.2 to the
Company's
Quarterly Report
on Form 10-Q,
filed with the SEC
on November 1,
2012, SEC File No.
001-33678)

10.26 Warrant
Amendment
Agreement, dated
May 9, 2013, by
and among
NovaBay
Pharmaceuticals,
Inc., and Pioneer
Pharma
(Singapore) Pte
Ltd. (incorporated
by reference to
Exhibit 10.1 to the
Company's
Quarterly Report
on Form 10-Q,
filed with the SEC
on August 1, 2013,
SEC File No.
001-33678).

10.27 Long Term
Strategic Bonus for
Executives
(incorporated by
reference to the
description in Item
5.02 of the
Company's Current
Report on Form
8-K, filed with the
SEC on April 24,
2013, SEC File No.
001-33678).

10.28

At-The-Market
Offering
Agreement, dated
November 13,
2013, between
NovaBay
Pharmaceuticals,
Inc. and
Ascendant Capital
Markets, LLC
(incorporated by
reference to
Exhibit 1.1 to the
Company's
Quarterly Report
on Form 10-Q,
filed with the SEC
on November 14,
2013, SEC File No.
001-33678).

10.29 On November 25,
2013, NovaBay
Pharmaceuticals,
Inc. entered into a
Common Stock
Purchase
Agreement, dated
November 25,
2013, between
NovaBay
Pharmaceuticals,
Inc. and Pioneer
Pharma
(Singapore) Pte.
Ltd

23.1 Consent of OUM
& Co. LLP.

23.2 Consent of
Davidson &
Company, LLP.

24.1 Power of
Attorney (included
on the signature
pages hereto).

31.1 Certification of the
principal executive

officer pursuant to
Section 302 of the
Sarbanes-Oxley
Act of 2002.

75

- 31.2 Certification of the principal financial officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of the chief executive officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of the chief financial officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS* XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

Incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same description from the Company's registration statement of Form *S-1 (File No. 333-140714) initially filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2007, as amended.

+Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

NovaBay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. has been granted confidential treatment with respect to certain portions of this exhibit (indicated by asterisks), which have been separately filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.