

OLYMPIC STEEL INC
Form 10-K
February 26, 2015

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For The Year Ended December 31, 2014

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For The Transition Period From _____ To _____

Commission File Number 0-23320

OLYMPIC STEEL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ohio 34-1245650
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

22901 Millcreek Boulevard, Suite 650, Highland Hills, OH 44122
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (216) 292-3800

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each Class | Name of each Exchange on which registered |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Common Stock, without par value | The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes () No (X)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes () No (X)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes (X) No ()

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes (X) No ()

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. (X)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one:)

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Large accelerated filer () | Accelerated filer (X) |
| Non-accelerated filed () | Small reporting company () |

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes () No (X)

As of June 30, 2014, the aggregate market value of voting stock held by nonaffiliates of the registrant based on the closing price at which such stock was sold on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on such date approximated \$225,236,063.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of February 26, 2015 was 10,991,276.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The registrant intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission a definitive Proxy Statement pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 within 120 days of the close of its fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, portions of which document shall be deemed to be incorporated by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K from the date such document is filed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| Part I | |
| Item 1. Business | 3 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 13 |
| Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments | 21 |
| Item 2. Properties | 22 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings | 23 |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures | 23 |
| Executive Officers of the Registrant | 24 |
| Part II | |
| Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 25 |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data | 26 |
| Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 27 |
| Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 41 |
| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 42 |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 70 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures | 70 |
| Item 9B. Other Information | 70 |
| Part III | |
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 71 |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation | 71 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 71 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 71 |
| Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services | 71 |
| Part IV | |
| Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules | 72 |
| Signatures | 73 |
| Index to Exhibits | 74 |

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The Company

We are a leading metals service center that operates in two reportable segments; flat products and tubular and pipe products. We provide metals processing and distribution services for a wide range of customers. Our primary flat products focus is on the direct sale and distribution of large volumes of processed carbon, coated, aluminum and stainless flat-rolled sheet, coil and plate products. Through our Chicago Tube and Iron subsidiary, or CTI, we distribute metal tubing, pipe, bar, valves and fittings and fabricate pressure parts supplied to various industrial markets. In recent years, we have increased our participation in the stainless and aluminum markets, which we refer to as specialty metals. As a result, based on how our chief operating decision maker, or CODM, is expected to make decisions, assess performance and allocate resources in the future, we expect to disclose three reportable segments beginning in 2015. The segments will be flat products, tubular and pipe products and specialty metals.

Products that require more value-added processing generally have a higher gross profit. Accordingly, our overall gross profit is affected by, among other things, product mix, the amount of processing performed, the demand for and availability of metals, and volatility in selling prices and material purchase costs. We also perform toll processing of customer-owned metals. We sell certain products internationally, primarily in Canada, Puerto Rico and Mexico. International sales are immaterial to our consolidated financial results and to the individual segments' results.

Segment reporting information is contained in Note 15 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which can be found in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and which is incorporated herein by reference.

We are incorporated under the laws of the State of Ohio. Our executive offices are located at 22901 Millcreek Boulevard, Suite 650, Highland Hills, Ohio 44122. Our telephone number is (216) 292-3800, and our website address is www.olysteel.com. We are not including the information on our website as a part of, or incorporating it by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Industry Overview

The metals industry is comprised of three types of entities: metals producers, intermediate metals processors and metals service centers. Metals producers have historically emphasized the sale of metals to volume purchasers and have generally viewed intermediate metals processors and metals service centers as part of their customer base. However, all three types of entities can compete for certain customers who purchase large quantities of metals. Intermediate metals processors tend to serve as processors in large quantities for metals producers and major industrial consumers of processed metals, including automobile and appliance manufacturers.

Services provided by metals service centers can range from storage and distribution of unprocessed metal products to complex, precision value-added metals processing. Metals service centers respond directly to customer needs and emphasize value-added processing of metals pursuant to specific customer demands, such as cutting-to-length, slitting, shearing, roll forming, shape correction and surface improvement, blanking, tempering, plate burning and stamping. These processes produce metals to specified lengths, widths, shapes and surface characteristics through the use of specialized equipment. Metals service centers typically have lower cost structures than, and provide services and value-added processing not otherwise available from, metals producers.

End product manufacturers and other metals users seek to purchase metals on shorter lead times and with more frequent and reliable deliveries than can normally be provided by metals producers. Metals service centers generally have lower labor costs than metals producers and consequently process metals on a more cost-effective basis. In addition, due to this lower cost structure, metals service centers are able to handle orders in quantities smaller than would be economical for metals producers. The benefits to customers purchasing products from metals service centers include lower inventory levels, lower overall cost of raw materials, more timely response and decreased manufacturing time and expense. Customers also benefit from a lower investment in buildings and equipment, which allows them to focus on the engineering, assembly and marketing of their products. We believe that customers' demands for just-in-time delivery have made the value-added inventory, processing and delivery functions performed by metals service centers increasingly important.

Corporate History

Our company was founded in 1954 by the Siegal family as a general steel service center. Michael Siegal, the son of one of the founders, began his career with us in the early 1970s and has served as our Chief Executive Officer since 1984, and as our Chairman of the Board of Directors since 1994. David Wolfort, our President and Chief Operating Officer, joined us as General Manager in 1984. In the late 1980s, our business strategy changed from a focus on warehousing and distributing steel from a single facility with no major processing equipment to a focus on growth, geographic and customer diversity and value-added processing. An integral part of our growth has been the acquisition and start-up of processing and sales operations, and the investment in processing equipment. In 1994, we completed an initial public offering and, in 1996, we completed a follow-on offering of our common stock. In July 2011, we acquired CTI, a private leading distributor of tubing, pipe, bar, valves, and fittings, which represents our tubular and pipe products segment.

Business Strategy and Objectives

We believe that the metals service center and processing industry is driven by four primary trends: (i) return of domestic manufacturing processes by North American original equipment manufacturers; (ii) shift by customers to fewer suppliers that are larger and financially strong; (iii) increased customer demand for more frequent, higher quality products and services; and (iv) consolidation and globalization of metals industry participants.

In recognition of these industry trends, our focus has been on achieving profitable geographic and product growth through the start-up and acquisition of service centers, processors, fabricators and related businesses, and investments in people, information systems, higher value-added processing equipment and services, while continuing our commitment to expanding and improving our operating efficiencies, sales and servicing efforts.

In 2015 we initiated a profit improvement program to reduce operating expenses and enhance margins. This plan includes improving underperforming divisions, lowering distribution costs, lowering labor and personnel expenses, as well as transportation and purchasing initiatives.

We are focusing on operational excellence initiatives in order to transform the order-to-delivery process and lower our costs by improving three key sub-systems:

Operating system: Focused on continuously improving processes through waste and variation elimination using Lean Six Sigma tools.

Cultural system: Focused on creating the environment to facilitate change and improve the way we work and create value.

Management system: Focused on creating the measurements and governance structure to support continuous improvement.

In addition, we are focused on specific operating objectives including: (i) growing our market share; (ii) investing in automation and value-added processing equipment; (iii) managing inventory turnover; (iv) managing operating expenses; (v) maintaining targeted cash turnover rates; (vi) investing in technology and business information systems; (vii) improving safety awareness; and (viii) improving on-time delivery and quality performance for our customers.

These operating objectives are supported by:

A set of core values, which are communicated, practiced and measured throughout the Company.

Our “flawless execution” program (Fe), which is an internal program that empowers employees to achieve profitable growth by delivering superior customer service and exceeding customer expectations and recognizes them for their efforts.

On-going business process enhancements and redesigns to improve efficiencies and reduce costs.

New systems and key metric reporting to focus managers on achieving specific operating objectives.

Alignment of compensation with the financial objectives and performance of the Company and the achievement of specific financial and operating objectives.

We believe our depth of management, facilities, locations, processing capabilities, inventory, focus on safety, quality and customer service, extensive and experienced sales force, and the strength of our customer and supplier relationships provide a strong foundation for implementation of our strategy and achievement of our objectives. Certain elements of our strategy are set forth in more detail below.

Investments and Acquisitions. Over the five year period from 2008 to 2012 we spent over \$125 million on capital investments for new facilities and processing equipment in support of our strategic growth initiatives. Since 2013, we have limited our capital spending to be less than our annual depreciation expense (which was approximately \$20 million in 2014). Within this self-imposed limitation, we continue to invest in processing and automation equipment to support customer demand and to respond to the growing trend among original equipment manufacturers (our customers) to outsource non-core production processes, such as plate processing, machining, welding and fabrication, in order to concentrate on engineering, design and assembly. When the results of sales and marketing efforts and our financial justifications indicate that there is sufficient customer demand for a particular product, process or service, we may purchase equipment to satisfy that demand. We also evaluate our existing equipment to ensure that it remains productive, and we upgrade, replace, redepoly or dispose of equipment when necessary.

During 2014, we placed an order for a stretcher leveling line as well as other processing equipment for our expanded value-added customer base in Winder, Georgia. The equipment is expected to be operational in 2015. In 2013, we opened a new facility in Latrobe, Pennsylvania and added tube and pipe distribution capabilities from our Cleveland, Ohio and Monterey, Mexico facilities. Our specialty metals facility in Streetsboro, Ohio became operational during the third quarter of 2012. Other capital expenditures were attributable to additional processing equipment and building improvements at our existing facilities.

Sales and Marketing. We believe that our commitments to quality, service, just-in-time delivery and field sales personnel have enabled us to build and maintain strong customer relationships. We continuously analyze our customer base to ensure that strategic customers are properly targeted and serviced, while focusing our efforts to supply and service our larger customers on a national basis, where we successfully service multi-location customers from multi-location Olympic facilities. We continue to service our customers with both flat and tubular and pipe products with cross-stocking of products in certain facilities.

We offer business solutions to our customers through value-added and value-engineered services. We also provide inventory stocking programs and in-plant Olympic Steel employees located at certain customer locations to help reduce customers' costs. Our expanding owned truck fleet further enhances our just-in-time deliveries based on our customers' requirements.

Our Fe program is a commitment to provide superior customer service while striving to exceed customer expectations. This program includes tracking actual on-time delivery and quality performance against objectives, and recognition of employee initiatives to improve efficiencies, streamline processes or reduce operating expenses at each operation.

We believe our sales force is among the largest and most experienced in the industry. Our sales force makes direct daily sales calls to customers throughout the continental United States and in Mexico. The continuous interaction between our sales force and active and prospective customers provides us with valuable market information and sales opportunities, including opportunities for outsourcing, improving customer service and increased sales.

Our sales efforts are further supported by metallurgists, engineers, technical service personnel and product specialists who have specific expertise in carbon and stainless steel, aluminum, alloy plate and steel fabrication as well as tubular and pipe products. We have expanded our stainless steel and aluminum products and services, and added sales personnel to grow sales in these areas. Our services for specific customers also include integration into our internal business systems to provide cost efficiencies for both us and our customers.

Management. We believe one of our strengths is the depth, knowledge and experience of our management team. In addition to our executive officers, members of our senior management team have a diversity of backgrounds within the metals industry, including management positions at metals producers and other metals service centers. They average 29 years of experience in the metals industry and 22 years with our company.

Products, Processing Services and Quality Standards

We maintain inventory of carbon, stainless and aluminum coil, plate and sheet products, and tubular and pipe products. Coil is in the form of a continuous sheet, typically 36 to 96 inches wide, between 0.015 and 0.625 inches thick, and rolled into 10 to 30 ton coils. Because of the size and weight of these coils and the equipment required to move and process them into smaller sizes, such coils do not meet the requirements, without further processing, of most customers. Plate is typically thicker than coil and is processed by laser, plasma or oxygen burning.

Through our CTI subsidiary, we maintain inventory of round, square, and rectangular mechanical and structural tubing; hydraulic and stainless tubing; boiler tubing; carbon, stainless, and aluminum pipe; and valves and fittings. Overall, CTI maintains over 30,000 line items within its inventory. CTI provides a variety of value added services to its tube and pipe product line, including saw cutting, laser cutting, threading and grooving. CTI also fabricates pressure components supplied to various industrial markets.

Customer orders are entered or electronically transmitted into computerized order entry systems, and appropriate inventory is selected and scheduled for processing in accordance with the customer’s specified delivery date. We attempt to maximize yield and equipment efficiency through the use of computer software and by combining customer orders for processing each coil, plate, tube or pipe to the fullest extent practicable.

Our services include both traditional service center processes of cutting-to-length, slitting, flattening, sawing and shearing and higher value-added processes of blanking, tempering, plate burning, laser cutting, precision machining, welding, fabricating, bending, polishing, kitting and painting to process metals to specified lengths, widths and shapes pursuant to specific customer orders. Cutting-to-length involves cutting metal along the width of the coil. Slitting involves cutting metal to specified widths along the length of the coil. Shearing is the process of cutting sheet metal. Blanking cuts the metal into specific shapes with close tolerances. Tempering improves the uniformity of the thickness and flatness of the metals through a cold rolling process. Plate and laser processing is the process of cutting metal into specific shapes and sizes. Our forming activities include bending metal. Our machining activities include drilling, milling, tapping, boring and sawing. Tube processing includes tube bending and end finishing. Finishing activities include shot blasting, grinding, edging and polishing. Our fabrication activities include additional machining, welding, assembly and painting of component parts.

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2014, the major pieces of processing equipment in operation by segment:

| Processing Equipment | Flat Products | Tubular and Pipe | Total |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|

| | | Products | |
|-------------------|-----|-----------------|-----|
| Cutting-to-length | 14 | 12 | 26 |
| Slitting | 10 | - | 10 |
| Shearing | 10 | - | 10 |
| Blanking | 4 | - | 4 |
| Tempering | 3 | - | 3 |
| Plate processing | 26 | - | 26 |
| Laser processing | 29 | 7 | 36 |
| Forming | 17 | - | 17 |
| Machining | 52 | 80 | 132 |
| Painting | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Tube processing | 2 | 32 | 34 |
| Finishing | 28 | 3 | 31 |
| Total | 198 | 135 | 333 |

Our quality assurance system, led by certified specialists and engineers, establishes controls and procedures covering all aspects of our products from the time the material is ordered through receipt, processing and shipment to the customer. These controls and procedures encompass periodic supplier audits, customer satisfaction surveys, workshops with customers, inspection equipment and criteria, preventative actions, traceability and certification. We have quality testing labs at several of our facilities, as well as adjacent to our temper mill facilities in Cleveland, Ohio and Bettendorf, Iowa.

In addition, 25 of our facilities have earned International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 9001:2008 certifications. Our Detroit operation is also TS-16949 certified. CTI has earned The American Society of Mechanical Engineers S Certification and The National Board of Boiler & Pressure Vessel Inspectors R Certification. We have met the requirements for ISO 14001 (environmental management) in most of our facilities. Our office building in Winder, Georgia has received Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification.

Customers and Distribution

We have a diverse customer and geographic base, which helps to reduce the inherent risk and cyclicity of our business. Net sales to our top three customers, in the aggregate, approximated 11.1%, 11.1% and 10.4% of our consolidated net sales in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We serve customers in metals consuming industries, including manufacturers and fabricators of transportation and material handling equipment, construction, mining and farm equipment, storage tanks, environmental and energy generation equipment, automobiles, food service and electrical equipment, military vehicles and equipment, as well as general and plate fabricators and metals service centers. The table below shows the percentage of our consolidated net sales to the largest industries for the past three years.

| Industry | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
|--|-------------|---|-------------|---|-------------|---|
| Industrial machinery and equipment manufacturers and their fabricators | 50.6 | % | 50.2 | % | 50.1 | % |
| Metals service centers | 9.1 | % | 7.4 | % | 7.6 | % |
| Residential and commercial construction | 8.2 | % | 8.2 | % | 6.1 | % |
| Automobile manufacturers and their suppliers | 7.9 | % | 8.9 | % | 8.4 | % |
| Transportation equipment manufacturers | 5.6 | % | 4.6 | % | 4.2 | % |
| All others <5% | 18.6 | % | 20.7 | % | 23.6 | % |

While we ship products throughout the United States, most of our customers are located in the midwestern, eastern and southern regions of the United States. Most domestic customers are located within a 250-mile radius of one of our processing facilities, thus enabling an efficient delivery system capable of handling a high frequency of short lead time orders. We transport our products directly to customers via our in-house truck fleet, which further supports our just-in-time delivery requirements imposed by our customers, and third-party trucking firms. Products sold to foreign customers, which have been immaterial to our consolidated results, are shipped either directly from metals producers to the customer or to an intermediate processor, and then to the customer by rail, truck or ocean carrier. With the addition of our facility in Monterrey, Mexico, we are able to stock material and service our customers in that country with shorter lead times.

We process our metals to specific customer orders as well as for stocking programs. Many of our larger customers commit to purchase on a regular basis at agreed upon or indexed prices for periods ranging from three to twelve

months. To help mitigate price volatility risks, these price commitments are generally matched with corresponding supply arrangements, or to a lesser, but increasing, degree by commodities hedging. Customers notify us of specific release dates as processed products are required. Customers typically notify us of release dates anywhere from a just-in-time basis to one month before the release date. Therefore, we are required to carry sufficient inventory to meet the short lead time and just-in-time delivery requirements of our customers. CTI produces engineered products for the industrial boiler industry. These products typically take several months to produce due to their size and complexity. Due to the time required for production, we may require progress payments throughout the construction period.

The current global economic environment has resulted in increased vendor scrutiny by our customers and potential customers. We believe our size, financial position, and our focus on quality and customer service are advantageous in maintaining our customer base and in securing new customers.

Raw Materials

Our principal raw materials are carbon, coated and stainless steel and aluminum, in the forms of pipe and tube, flat rolled sheet, coil and plate that we typically purchase from multiple primary metals producers. The metals industry as a whole is cyclical and at times pricing and availability of material can be volatile due to numerous factors beyond our control, including general domestic and global economic conditions, labor costs, sales levels, competition, consolidation of metals producers, fluctuations in the costs of raw materials necessary to produce metals, import duties and tariffs and currency exchange rates. This volatility can significantly affect the availability and cost of raw materials for us.

Inventory management is a key profitability driver in the metals service center industry. We, like many other metals service centers, maintain substantial inventories of metals to accommodate the short lead times and just-in-time delivery requirements of our customers. Accordingly, we purchase metals in an effort to maintain our inventory at levels that we believe to be appropriate to satisfy the anticipated needs of our customers based upon historic buying practices, contracts with customers and market conditions.

Our commitments to purchase metals are generally at prevailing market prices in effect at the time we place our orders. During the past three years, we have entered into nickel and carbon swaps at the request of our customers in order to mitigate our customers' risk of volatility in the price of metals. In 2014, we entered into metals hedges to mitigate our risk of volatility in the price of metals.

We have no long-term, fixed-price metals purchase contracts, except for commodity hedges. When metals prices increase, competitive conditions will influence how much of the price increase we can pass on to our customers. When metals prices decline, customer demands for lower prices and our competitors' responses to those demands could result in lower sale prices and, consequently, lower gross profits and earnings as we use existing metals inventory.

Suppliers

We concentrate on developing supply relationships with high-quality domestic and international metals producers, using a coordinated effort to be the customer of choice for business critical suppliers. We employ sourcing strategies that maximize the quality, production lead times and transportation economies of a global supply base. We are an important customer of flat-rolled coil and plate, pipe and tube for many of our principal suppliers, but we are not dependent on any one supplier. We purchase in bulk from metals producers in quantities that are efficient for such producers. This enables us to maintain a continued source of supply at what we believe to be competitive prices. We believe the access to our facilities and equipment, and our high quality customer services and solutions, combined with our long-standing and continuous prompt pay practices, will continue to be an important factor in maintaining strong relationships with metals suppliers.

The metals producing supply base has experienced significant consolidation, with a few suppliers accounting for a majority of the domestic carbon steel market. We purchased approximately 43% and 42% of our total metals requirements from our three largest suppliers in 2014 and 2013, respectively. Although we have no long-term supply commitments, we believe we have good relationships with our metals suppliers. If, in the future, we are unable to obtain sufficient amounts of metals on a timely basis, we may not be able to obtain metals from alternate sources at competitive prices. In addition, interruptions or reductions in our supply of metals could make it difficult to satisfy our customers' just-in-time delivery requirements, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial

condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Competition

Our principal markets are highly competitive. We compete with other regional and national metals service centers, single location service centers and, to a certain degree, metals producers and intermediate metals processors on a regional basis. We have different competitors for each of our products and within each region. We compete on the basis of price, product selection and availability, customer service, value-added capabilities, quality, financial strength and geographic proximity. Certain of our competitors have greater financial and operating resources than we have.

With the exception of certain Canadian or Mexican operations, foreign-located metals service centers are generally not a material competitive factor in our principal domestic markets.

Management Information Systems

Information systems are an important component of our strategy. We have invested in technologies and human resources as a foundation for growth. We depend on our Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems for financial reporting, management decision-making, inventory management, order tracking and fulfillment and production optimization. We continue to upgrade and consolidate our systems for optimal use of resources and to assure we are taking advantage of technology offerings.

Our information systems focus on the following core application areas:

Inventory Management. Our information systems track the status and cost of inventories by product, location and process on a daily basis. This information is essential to optimize management of inventory.

Differentiated Services To Customers. Our information systems support value-added services to customers, including quality control and on-time delivery monitoring and reporting, just-in-time inventory management and shipping services.

E-Commerce and Advanced Customer Interaction. We are actively participating in electronic commerce initiatives to reduce processing cost and time. In addition to full electronic data interchange (EDI) capabilities with our customers and vendors, we also have implemented extranet sites for specific customers which are integrated with our internal business systems.

System and Process Enhancements. We have completed development of business system alternatives to replace our legacy information systems and have successfully implemented new ERP systems at most of our locations and have decommissioned three legacy systems as of December 31, 2014. We continue to roll out these new systems to provide standardized business processes, enhanced inventory management, production cost, and sales administrative controls, and reduced technical support requirements. Our business analysts work with our ISO quality team to identify opportunities for efficiency and improved customer service. We collaborate across the metal supply chain, working with metal producers, service providers, customers, and industry-sponsored organizations to develop industry processing standards to drive cost out of the supply chain.

Information security and continuous availability of information processing are of highest priority. Our information professionals employ proven security and monitoring practices and tools. In case of physical emergency or threat, our new ERP systems, accounting system, internet and communications systems are duplicated at a secure off-site computing facility, with migration of our other systems now in progress.

Employees

At December 31, 2014, we employed approximately 1,810 people. Approximately 317 of the hourly plant personnel are represented by nine separate collective bargaining units. The table below shows the expiration dates of the collective bargaining agreements.

| Facility | Expiration date |
|---|------------------------|
| Locust, North Carolina | March 4, 2015 |
| Romeoville, Illinois | May 31, 2015 |
| Minneapolis, Minnesota (coil facility) | September 30, 2015 |
| Indianapolis, Indiana | January 29, 2016 |
| Minneapolis, Minnesota (plate facility) | March 31, 2017 |
| Detroit, Michigan | August 31, 2017 |
| Duluth, Minnesota | December 21, 2017 |
| St. Paul, Minnesota | May 25, 2018 |
| Milan, Illinois | August 12, 2018 |

We have never experienced a work stoppage and we believe that our relationship with employees is good. However, any prolonged work stoppages by our personnel represented by collective bargaining units could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Service Marks, Trade Names and Patents

We conduct our business under the name “Olympic Steel.” A provision of federal law grants exclusive rights to the word “Olympic” to the U.S. Olympic Committee. The U.S. Supreme Court has recognized, however, that certain users may continue to use the word based on long-term and continuous use. We have used the name Olympic Steel since 1954, but are prevented from registering the name “Olympic” and from being qualified to do business as a foreign corporation under that name in certain states. In such states, we have registered under different names, including “Oly Steel” and “Olympia Steel.” Our wholly-owned subsidiary, Olympic Steel Lafayette, Inc., does business in certain states under the names “Olympic Steel Detroit,” “Lafayette Steel and Processing” and “Lafayette Steel.” Our wholly-owned subsidiary, Olympic Steel Iowa, Inc. does business in certain states under the name “Oly Steel Iowa, Inc.”. Our North Carolina operation conducts business under the name “Olympic Steel North Carolina.” Our Integrity Stainless operation conducts business under the name “Integrity Stainless”. Our CTI North Carolina operation conducts business under the name “CTI Power.” Our operation in Monterrey, Mexico operates under the name “Metales de Olympic S. de.R.L. de C.V.”

We also hold a trademark for our stainless steel sheet and plate product “OLY-FLATBRITE,” which has a unique combination of surface finish and flatness.

Government Regulation

Our operations are governed by many laws and regulations, including those relating to workplace safety and worker health, principally the Occupational Safety and Health Act and regulations thereunder. We believe that we are in material compliance with these laws and regulations and do not believe that future compliance with such laws and regulations will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Environmental

Our facilities are subject to certain federal, state and local requirements relating to the protection of the environment. We believe that we are in material compliance with all environmental laws, do not anticipate any material expenditures to meet environmental requirements and do not believe that compliance with such laws and regulations will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Seasonality

Seasonal factors may cause demand fluctuations within the year which could impact our results of operations. Typically, the first half of the year is stronger than the second half of the year, as it contains more ship days and is not impacted by the seasonal shut-downs in July, November and December due to holidays.

Effects of Inflation

Inflation generally affects us by increasing the cost of employee wages and benefits, transportation services, processing equipment, purchased metals, energy and borrowings under our credit facility. General inflation, excluding the price of metals and increased distribution and fuel expense, has not had a material effect on our financial results during the past three years.

Backlog

Because we conduct our operations generally on the basis of short-term orders, we do not believe that backlog is a material or meaningful indicator of future performance.

Available Information

We file annual, quarterly, and current reports, proxy statements, and other documents with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The public may read and copy any materials filed with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Also, the SEC maintains an Internet website that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The public can obtain any documents that are filed by the Company at <http://www.sec.gov>.

In addition, our annual reports on Form 10-K, as well as our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to all of the foregoing reports, are made available free of charge on or through the “Investor Relations” section of our website at www.olysteel.com as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC.

Information relating to our corporate governance at Olympic Steel, including our Business Ethics Policy, information concerning our executive officers, directors and Board committees (including committee charters), and transactions in our securities by directors and officers, is available free of charge on or through the “Investor Relations” section of our website at www.olysteel.com. We are not including the information on our website as a part of, or incorporating it by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Forward-Looking Information

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and other documents we file with the SEC contain various forward-looking statements that are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about our future performance, business, our beliefs and our management's assumptions. In addition, we, or others on our behalf, may make forward-looking statements in press releases or written statements, or in our communications and discussions with investors and analysts in the normal course of business through meetings, conferences, webcasts, phone calls and conference calls. Words such as "may," "will," "anticipate," "should," "intend," "expect," "believe," "estimate," "project," "pl" and "continue," as well as the negative of these terms or similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, which are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those implied by such statements including, but not limited to, those set forth in Item 1A (Risk Factors) below and the following:

- general and global business, economic, financial and political conditions, including the ongoing effects of the global economic recovery;
- competitive factors such as the availability, global production levels and pricing of metals, industry shipping and inventory levels and rapid fluctuations in customer demand and metals pricing;
- cyclicality and volatility within the metals industry;
- the availability and costs of transportation and logistical services;
- the successes of our strategic efforts and initiatives to increase sales volumes, maintain or improve working capital turnover and free cash flows, improve our customer service, and achieve cost savings, including our recently launched internal program to improve earnings;
- our ability to generate free cash flow through operations and limited future capital expenditures, reduce inventory and repay debt within anticipated time frames;
- events or circumstances that could impair or adversely impact the carrying value of any of our assets;
 - risks and uncertainties associated with intangible assets, including additional goodwill impairment charges;
- events or circumstances that could adversely impact the successful operation of our processing equipment and operations;
- the amounts, successes and our ability to continue our capital investments and strategic growth initiatives, including the Winder, Georgia project, and our business information system implementations;
- the successes of our operational excellence initiatives to improve our operating, cultural and management systems and reduce our costs;
- the ability to comply with the terms of our asset-based credit facility;
- the ability of our customers and third parties to honor their agreements related to derivative instruments;
- customer, supplier and competitor consolidation, bankruptcy or insolvency;
- reduced production schedules, layoffs or work stoppages by our own, our suppliers' or customers' personnel;
- the impacts of union organizing activities and the success of union contract renewals;
- the timing and outcomes of inventory lower of cost or market adjustments;
- the ability of our customers (especially those that may be highly leveraged, and those with inadequate liquidity) to maintain their credit availability;
- the inflation or deflation existing within the metals industry, as well as our product mix and inventory levels on hand, which can impact our cost of materials sold as a result of the fluctuations in the last-in, first-out, or LIFO, inventory

reserve;

the adequacy of our existing information technology and business system software, including duplication and security processes;

the adequacy of our efforts to mitigate cyber security threats;

access to capital and global credit markets;

our ability to pay regular quarterly cash dividends and the amounts and timing of any future dividends;

the enacted federal healthcare legislation's impact on the healthcare benefits required to be provided by us and the impact of such legislation on our compensation and administrative costs; and

unanticipated developments that could occur with respect to contingencies such as litigation and environmental matters, including any developments that would require any increase in our costs for such contingencies.

Should one or more of these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, intended, expected, believed, estimated, projected or planned. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to republish revised forward-looking statements to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events or circumstances after the date hereof, except as otherwise required by law.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and our other filings with the SEC, the following risk factors should be carefully considered in evaluating us and our business before investing in our common stock. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties, not presently known to us or otherwise, may also impair our business. If any of the risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and investors may lose all or part of their investment.

Risks Related to our Business

Volatile metals prices can cause significant fluctuations in our operating results. Our sales and operating income could decrease if metals prices decline or if we are unable to pass producer price increases on to our customers.

Our principal raw materials are carbon and stainless steel and aluminum flat rolled coil, sheet, plate, pipe and tube that we typically purchase from multiple primary metals producers. The metals industry as a whole is cyclical and, at times, pricing and availability of metals can be volatile due to numerous factors beyond our control, including general domestic and international economic conditions, labor costs, sales levels, competition, levels of inventory held by other metals service centers, consolidation of metals producers, higher raw material costs for the producers of metals, imports, import duties and tariffs and currency exchange rates. This volatility can significantly affect the availability and cost of raw materials for us.

We, like many other metals service centers, maintain substantial inventories of metals to accommodate the short lead times and just-in-time delivery requirements of our customers. Accordingly, we purchase metals in an effort to maintain our inventory at levels that we believe to be appropriate to satisfy the anticipated needs of our customers based upon historic buying practices, supply agreements with customers and market conditions. Our commitments to purchase metals are generally at prevailing market prices in effect at the time we place our orders. During 2014, we entered into metals hedges, which carry counterparty performance risk, in order to mitigate our risk of volatility in the price of metals. We have no long-term, fixed-price metals purchase contracts, except for metals hedges. Declining metals prices have generally adversely affected our net sales and net income, while increasing metals prices, have generally favorably affected our net sales and net income. When metals prices increase, competitive conditions will influence how much of the price increase we can pass on to our customers. To the extent we are unable to pass on future price increases in our raw materials to our customers, the net sales and profitability of our business could be adversely affected. When metals prices decline, customer demands for lower prices and our competitors' responses to those demands could result in lower sale prices and, consequently, lower gross profits and potentially inventory lower of cost or market adjustments as we use existing inventory. Significant or rapid declines in metals prices or reductions in sales volumes could adversely impact our ability to remain in compliance with certain financial covenants in our

revolving credit facility, as well as result in us incurring inventory or goodwill impairment charges. Changing metals prices therefore could significantly impact our net sales, gross profit, operating income and net income, and could impair or adversely impact the carrying value of any of our assets.

China is the world's largest producer and consumer of metals and metals products. Its expansion of metals production has significantly affected the global metals industry. The recent economic downturn in China, the slowing of its growth and decreased metals consumption has led to an increased supply of metals in the United States, which result in lower prices for our products. Actions by domestic and foreign producers, including metals companies in China, to further increase production could result in an increased supply of metals in the United States, which could result in lower prices for our products. A decline in metals prices could adversely affect our sales, gross profits and profitability.

We service industries that are highly cyclical, and any downturn in our customers' demand could reduce our sales, gross profits and profitability.

We sell our products in a variety of industries, including capital equipment manufacturers for industrial, agricultural and construction use, the automotive industry, the utilities industry, and manufacturers of fabricated metals products. Our largest category of customers is producers of industrial machinery and equipment. Numerous factors, such as general economic conditions, government stimulus or regulation, availability of adequate credit and financing, consumer confidence, significant business interruptions, labor shortages or work stoppages, energy prices, seasonality, customer inventory levels and other factors beyond our control, may cause significant demand fluctuations from one or more of these industries. Any decrease in demand within one or more of these industries may be significant and may last for a lengthy period of time. In periods of economic slowdown or recession in the United States, excess customer or service center inventory or a decrease in the prices that we can realize from sales of our products to customers in any of these industries could result in lower sales, gross profits and profitability.

Approximately 7.9% of our 2014 consolidated net sales were to automotive manufacturers or manufacturers of automotive components and parts, whom we refer to as automotive customers. Historically, due to the concentration of customers in the automotive industry, our gross profits on these sales have generally been less than our gross profits on sales to customers in other industries.

Our success is dependent upon our relationships with certain key customers.

We have derived and expect to continue to derive a significant portion of our revenues from a relatively limited number of customers. Collectively, our top three customers accounted for approximately 11.1% of our consolidated net sales in 2014 and 2013. Many of our larger customers commit to purchase on a regular basis at agreed upon prices over periods from three to twelve months. We generally do not have long-term contracts with our customers. As a result, the relationship, as well as particular orders, can generally be terminated with relatively little advance notice. The loss of any one of our major customers or decrease in demand by those customers or credit constraints placed on them could have a material adverse effect on our business, our results of operations and our cash flows.

We may not achieve the expected results of our profit improvement plan or Operational Excellence initiative.

In 2015 we initiated a profit improvement program to reduce operating expenses and enhance margins. This plan includes improving underperforming divisions, lowering distribution costs, lowering labor and personnel expenses, as well as transportation and purchasing initiatives.

In addition, we are in the process of an Operational Excellence initiative, which is expected to improve our operating systems, cultural systems and management systems, while lowering our costs. The initiative is focused on continuously improving processes through waste and variation elimination using Lean Six Sigma tools. The risks associated with these initiatives include, but are not limited to:

- a significant use of management and employee time;
- the possibility that the initiatives do not meet expectations; and
- the possibility that the initiatives do not provide the expected economic results.

Difficulties associated with our profit improvement plan and Operational Excellence initiative could adversely affect our business, our customer service, our results of operations and our cash flows.

Impairment in the carrying value of goodwill or other intangibles could result in the incurrence of impairment charges and negatively impact our results of operations.

As of December 31, 2014, we had goodwill of \$17.0 million and other intangible assets of \$33.6 million. The net carrying value of goodwill represents the fair value of acquired businesses in excess of identifiable assets and liabilities as of the acquisition date (or subsequent impairment date, if applicable). The net carrying value of other intangibles represents trade names and customer relationships, net of accumulated amortization. Goodwill and the trade name are expected to contribute indefinitely to our cash flows and are not amortized, but must be evaluated by management at least annually for impairment. Amortized intangible assets are evaluated for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstance indicate that the carrying amounts of these assets may not be recoverable. Impairments to goodwill and other intangible assets may be caused by factors outside our control, such as increased competitive pricing pressures, lower than expected revenue and profit growth rates, changes in industry EBITDA multiples, changes in discount rates based on changes in cost of capital (interest rates, etc.), or the bankruptcy of a significant customer and could result in the incurrence of impairment charges and negatively impact our results of operations.

During the fourth quarter of 2014, we concluded that the implied fair value of goodwill for the tubular and pipe products segment was less than its carrying value and a goodwill impairment of \$23.8 million was identified and recognized. The determination of fair value of the reporting units used to perform the impairment test requires judgment and involves significant estimates and assumptions about the expected future cash flows and the impact of market conditions on those assumptions. Due to the inherent uncertainty associated with these estimates, actual results could differ materially from these estimates. Although we believe the assumptions used in testing our reporting units' goodwill for impairment are reasonable, it is possible that market and economic conditions could deteriorate further or not improve as expected.

Following the goodwill impairment charge, the carrying value of goodwill in our tubular and pipe products segments is \$16.5 million.

We depend on third parties for transportation services, and increases in costs or the availability of transportation could adversely affect our business and operations.

We ship products throughout the United States via our in-house truck fleet or by third-party trucking firms. Products sold to foreign customers, are shipped either directly from metals producers to the customer or to an intermediate processor, and then to the customer by rail, truck or ocean carrier. Our business depends on the transportation of a large number of products. We depend to a certain extent on third parties for transportation of our products as well as delivery of our raw materials.

If any of these providers were to fail to deliver raw materials to us in a timely manner, we may be unable to process and deliver our products in response to customer demand. In addition, if any of these third parties were to cease operations or cease doing business with us, we may be unable to replace them at a reasonable cost. In addition, such failure of a third-party transportation provider could harm our reputation, negatively affect our customer relationships and have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

Expansions at our existing locations may be unable to achieve expected results, and events or circumstances that could adversely impact the successful operation of new processing equipment and operations could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We have invested in new processing equipment to support customer demand. Although we have successfully installed new processing equipment in the past, we can provide no assurance that the recent or future installations will be successful, or achieve expected results. Risks associated with the installations include, but are not limited to:

- a significant use of management and employee time;
- the possibility that the performance of new equipment does not meet expectations; and
- the possibility that disruptions from the installations may make it difficult for us to maintain relationships with our respective customers, employees or suppliers.

Difficulties associated with the installations of new processing equipment, including our 2015 equipment investments in Winder, Georgia, could adversely affect our business, our customer service, our results of operations and our cash flows.

Our implementation of new information systems could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows.

We are in the process of implementing new information systems and eliminating our legacy operating systems into the new systems. The objective is to standardize and streamline business processes and improve support for our growing service center and fabrication business. Risks associated with the phased implementation include, but are not limited to:

- a significant deployment of capital and a significant use of management and employee time;
- the possibility that the software vendors may not be able to support the project as planned;
- the possibility that the timelines, costs or complexities related to the new system implementations will be greater than expected;
- the possibility that the software, once fully implemented, does not work as planned;
- the possibility that benefits from the new systems may be less or take longer to realize than expected;
- the possibility that disruptions from the implementation may make it difficult for us to maintain relationships with our respective customers, employees or suppliers; and
- limitations on the availability and adequacy of proprietary software or consulting, training and project management services, as well as our ability to retain key personnel.

Although we have successfully initiated use of the new systems at most of our locations, we can provide no assurance that the rollout to the remaining locations will be successful or will occur as planned and without disruption to operations. Difficulties associated with the design and implementation of new information systems could adversely affect our business, our customer service, our results of operations and our cash flows.

The failure of our key computer-based systems could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Until our new systems implementations are completed, we maintain separate regional computer-based systems in the operation of our business and we depend on these systems to a significant degree, particularly for inventory management. These systems are vulnerable to, among other things, damage or interruption from fire, flood, tornado and other natural disasters, power loss, computer system and network failures, operator negligence, physical and electronic loss of data or security breaches and computer viruses. Although we have secure back-up systems off-site, the destruction or failure of any one of our computer-based systems for any significant period of time could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Risks associated with our growth strategy may adversely impact our ability to sustain our growth.

Historically, we have grown internally by increasing sales and services to our existing customers, aggressively pursuing new customers and services, building or purchasing new facilities and acquiring and upgrading processing equipment in order to expand the range of customer services and products that we offer. In addition, we have grown through the acquisition of other service centers and related businesses. We intend to actively pursue our growth strategy in the future.

We have completed a number of expansion projects in the past three years. These, or future expansion or construction projects, could have adverse effects on our results of operations due to the impact of the associated start-up costs and the potential for underutilization in the start-up phase of a facility. While we are pursuing potential acquisition targets, we are unable to predict whether or when any prospective acquisition candidate will become available or the likelihood that any acquisition will be completed. Moreover, in pursuing acquisition opportunities, we may compete for acquisition targets with other companies with similar growth strategies that may be larger and have greater financial and other resources than we have. Competition among potential acquirers could result in increased prices for acquisition targets. As a result, we may not be able to consummate acquisitions on satisfactory terms to us, or at all.

The pursuit of acquisitions and other growth initiatives may divert management's time and attention away from day-to-day operations. In order to achieve growth through acquisitions, expansion of current facilities, greenfield construction or otherwise, additional funding sources may be needed and we may not be able to obtain the additional capital necessary to pursue our growth strategy on terms that are satisfactory to us, or at all.

We depend on our senior management team and the loss of any member could prevent us from implementing our business strategy.

Our success is dependent upon the management and leadership skills of our senior management team. We have employment agreements, which include non-competition provisions, with our Chief Executive Officer, our President and Chief Operating Officer, the President of CTI, and our Chief Financial Officer that expire on January 1, 2018, January 1, 2016, July 1, 2016 and January 1, 2017, respectively. The loss of any member of our senior management team or the failure to attract and retain additional qualified personnel could prevent us from implementing our business strategy.

Customer and third-party credit constraints and credit losses could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Some of our customers may experience difficulty obtaining and/or maintaining credit availability. In particular, certain customers that are highly leveraged represent an increased credit risk. Some customers have reduced their purchases because of these credit constraints. Moreover, our disciplined credit policies have, in some instances, resulted in lost sales. If we have misjudged our credit estimations and they result in future credit losses, lost sales or lost customers, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and our allowance for doubtful accounts.

Although we expect to finance our future and in-process growth initiatives through borrowings under our credit facility, we may have to find additional sources of funding, which could be difficult. Additionally, increased leverage and borrowing rates could adversely impact our business and results of operations.

We expect to finance our future and in-process growth initiatives through borrowings under our credit facility, which matures on June 30, 2019. However, our credit facility may not be sufficient or available to finance our growth initiatives, and we may have to find additional sources of financing. It may be difficult for us in the future to obtain the necessary funds and liquidity to run and expand our business.

Additionally, if we incur substantial additional debt, including under our credit facility, our leverage could increase as could the risks associated with such leverage. A high degree of leverage could have important consequences to us. For example, it could:

- increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions;
- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of cash from operations to the payment of debt service, thereby reducing the availability of cash to fund working capital, capital expenditures, dividends and other general corporate purposes;
- limit our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, general corporate purposes or acquisitions;
- place us at a disadvantage compared to our competitors that are less leveraged; and
- increase our costs and limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business.

The borrowings under our credit facility are primarily at variable interest rates. If interest rates in the future were to increase 100 basis points (1.0%) from December 31, 2014 rates and, assuming no change in total debt from December 31, 2014 levels, the additional annual interest expense to us would be approximately \$2.0 million.

Labor disruptions at any of our facilities or those of major customers could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

At December 31, 2014, we employed approximately 1,810 people. Approximately 317 of the hourly plant personnel are represented by nine separate collective bargaining units. Any prolonged work stoppages by our personnel represented by collective bargaining units could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In addition, many of our larger customers, including those in the automotive industry, have unionized workforces and some have experienced significant labor disruptions in the past such as work stoppages, slow-downs and strikes. A labor disruption at one or more of our major customers could interrupt production or sales by that customer and cause that customer to halt or limit orders for our products. Any such reduction in the demand for our products could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

An interruption in the sources of our metals supply could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

In recent years, the metals producing supply base has experienced significant consolidation with a few domestic producers accounting for a majority of the domestic metals market. Collectively, we purchased approximately 43% and 42% of our total metals requirements from our three largest suppliers in 2014 and 2013, respectively. The number of available suppliers could be reduced in the future by factors such as further industry consolidation or bankruptcies affecting metals suppliers. Additionally, fewer available suppliers increases the risk of supply disruption through both scheduled and unscheduled supplier outages. We have no long-term supply commitments with our metals suppliers. If, in the future, we are unable to obtain sufficient amounts of metals on a timely basis, we may not be able to obtain metals from alternate sources at competitive prices. In addition, interruptions or reductions in our supply of metals could make it difficult to satisfy our customers' just-in-time delivery requirements, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Conversely, the addition of new mill sources and decreased domestic demand could lead to domestic over capacity, which could lead to a decrease in steel prices.

We may not be able to retain or expand our customer base if the U.S. manufacturing industry continues to erode or if the U.S. dollar continues to strengthen.

Our customer base primarily includes manufacturing and industrial firms in the United States, some of which are, or have considered, relocating production operations outside the United States or outsourcing particular functions outside the United States. Some customers have closed because they were unable to compete successfully with foreign competitors. Our facilities are primarily located in the United States and, therefore, to the extent that our customers relocate or move operations where we do not have a presence, we could lose their business.

Some customers have been able to continue to manufacture items in the United States for export to foreign markets, due to the relative strength of certain foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar. If the U.S. dollar continues to strengthen, products made by U.S. manufacturers could become less attractive to foreign buyers. Fewer purchases by foreign buyers could reduce our metals sales to those U.S. manufacturers.

Our business is highly competitive, and increased competition could reduce our market share and harm our financial performance.

Our business is highly competitive. We compete with metals service centers and, to a certain degree, metals producers and intermediate metals processors, on a regular basis, primarily on quality, price, inventory availability and the ability to meet the delivery schedules and service requirements of our customers. We have different competitors for each of our products and within each region. Certain of these competitors have financial and operating resources in excess of ours. Increased competition could lower our gross profits or reduce our market share and have a material adverse effect on our financial performance.

Increases in energy prices would increase our operating costs, and we may be unable to pass all these increases on to our customers in the form of higher prices.

If our energy costs increase disproportionately to our revenues, our earnings could be reduced. We use energy to process and transport our products. Our operating costs increase if energy costs, including electricity, diesel fuel and natural gas, rise. During periods of higher energy costs, we may not be able to recover our operating cost increases through price increases without reducing demand for our products. In addition, we generally do not hedge our exposure to higher prices via energy futures contracts. Increases in energy and fuel prices will increase our operating

costs and may reduce our profitability if we are unable to pass all of the increases on to our customers.

Our information technology systems could be negatively affected by cyber security threats.

Increased global information technology security requirements, vulnerabilities, threats and a rise in sophisticated and targeted computer crime pose a risk to the security of our systems, networks and the confidentiality, availability and integrity of our data. Despite our efforts to protect sensitive information and confidential and personal data, our facilities and systems and those of our third-party service providers may be vulnerable to security breaches. This could lead to disclosure, modification or destruction of proprietary and other key information, production downtimes and operational disruptions, which in turn could adversely affect our results of operations. We may face greater risks in this area than our competitors as we implement the ERP system because among other things, we must simultaneously protect both the ERP and legacy systems until the ERP project is complete.

Participation in multiemployer pension plans carry withdrawal liability risks which could impact our results of operations and financial condition.

Through our CTI subsidiary we contribute to one multiemployer pension plan. The risks of participating in the multiemployer plan are different from a single-employer plan in that 1) assets contributed to the multiemployer plan by one employer may be used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers, 2) if a participating employer stops contributing to the plan, the unfunded obligations of the plan may be borne by the remaining participating employers, and 3) if CTI chooses to stop participating in the multiemployer plan, CTI may be required to pay the plan an amount based on the unfunded status of the plan, referred to as a withdrawal liability.

We are subject to significant environmental, health and safety laws and regulations and related compliance expenditures and liabilities.

Our businesses are subject to many federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, particularly with respect to the use, handling, treatment, and disposal of substances and waste used or generated in our manufacturing processes. We have incurred and expect to continue to incur expenditures to comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations. Our failure to comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations and permit requirements could result in civil or criminal fines or penalties or enforcement actions, including regulatory or judicial orders enjoining or curtailing operations or requiring corrective measures, installation of pollution control equipment or remedial actions.

We may in the future be required to incur costs relating to the investigation or remediation of property, and for addressing environmental conditions. Some environmental laws and regulations impose liability and responsibility on present and former owners, operators or users of facilities and sites for contamination at such facilities and sites without regard to causation or knowledge of contamination. Consequently, we cannot assure you that existing or future circumstances, the development of new facts or the failure of third parties to address contamination at current or former facilities or properties will not require significant expenditures by us.

We expect to continue to be subject to environmental and health and safety laws and regulations. It is difficult to predict the future interpretation and development of environmental and health and safety laws and regulations or their impact on our future earnings and operations. We anticipate that compliance will continue to require increased capital expenditures and operating costs. Any increase in these costs, or unanticipated liabilities arising for example out of discovery of previously unknown conditions or more aggressive enforcement actions, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

The market price for our common stock may be volatile.

Historically, there has been volatility in the market price for our common stock. Furthermore, the market price of our common stock could fluctuate substantially in the future in response to a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the risk factors described herein. Examples include:

changes in commodity prices, especially metals;
announcement of our quarterly operating results or the operating results of other metals service centers;
changes in financial estimates or recommendations by stock market analysts regarding us or our competitors;
the operating and stock performance of other companies that investors may deem comparable;
developments affecting us, our customers or our suppliers;
press releases, earnings releases or publicity relating to us or our competitors or relating to trends in the metals service center industry;
inability to meet securities analysts' and investors' quarterly or annual estimates or targets of our performance;
sales of our common stock by large shareholders;
the amount of shares acquired for short-term investments;
general domestic or international economic, market and political conditions;
changes in the legal or regulatory environment affecting our business; and
announcements by us or our competitors of significant acquisitions, dispositions or joint ventures, or other material events impacting the domestic or global metals industry.

In the past, the stock market has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations. This volatility has had a significant effect on the market prices of securities issued by many companies for reasons unrelated to their specific operating performance. These factors may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock, regardless of actual operating performance.

In addition, stock markets from time to time experience extreme price and volume fluctuations that may be unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of companies. In the past, some shareholders have brought securities class action lawsuits against companies following periods of volatility in the market price of their securities. We may in the future be the target of similar litigation. Securities litigation, regardless of whether our defense is ultimately successful, could result in substantial costs and divert management's attention and resources.

Our quarterly results may be volatile.

Our operating results have varied on a quarterly basis during our operating history and are likely to fluctuate significantly in the future. Our operating results may be below the expectations of our investors or stock market analysts as a result of a variety of factors, many of which are outside of our control. Factors that may affect our quarterly operating results include, but are not limited to, the risk factors listed above.

Many factors could cause our revenues and operating results to vary significantly in the future. Accordingly, we believe that quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our operating results are not necessarily meaningful. Investors should not rely on the results of one quarter as an indication of our future performance. Further, it is our practice not to provide forward-looking sales or earnings guidance and not to endorse any analyst's sales or earnings estimates. Nonetheless, if our results of operations in any quarter do not meet analysts' expectations, our stock price could materially decrease.

Certain provisions in our charter documents and Ohio law could delay or prevent a change in management or a takeover attempt that you may consider to be in your best interest.

We are subject to Chapter 1704 of the Ohio Revised Code, which prohibits certain business combinations and transactions between an "issuing public corporation" and an "Ohio law interested shareholder" for at least three years after the Ohio law interested shareholder attains 10% ownership, unless the Board of Directors of the issuing public corporation approves the transaction before the Ohio law interest shareholder attains 10% ownership. We are also subject to Section 1701.831 of the Ohio Revised Code, which provides that certain notice and informational filings and special shareholder meeting and voting procedures must be followed prior to consummation of a proposed "control share acquisition." Assuming compliance with the notice and information filings prescribed by the statute, a proposed control share acquisition may be made only if the acquisition is approved by a majority of the voting power of the issuer represented at the meeting and at least a majority of the voting power remaining after excluding the combined

voting power of the “interested shares.”

Certain provisions contained in our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation and Amended and Restated Code of Regulations and Ohio law could delay or prevent the removal of directors and other management and could make a merger, tender offer or proxy contest involving us that you may consider to be in your best interest more difficult. For example, these provisions:

allow our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock without shareholder approval; provide for our Board of Directors to be divided into two classes of directors serving staggered terms; limit who can call a special meeting of shareholders; and establish advance notice requirements for nomination for election to the Board of Directors or for proposing matters to be acted upon at shareholder meetings.

These provisions may discourage potential takeover attempts, discourage bids for our common stock at a premium over market price or adversely affect the market price of, and the voting and other rights of the holders of our common stock. These provisions could also discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for you and other shareholders to elect directors other than the candidates nominated by our Board of Directors.

Principal shareholders who own a significant numbers of shares of our common stock may have interests that conflict with yours.

Michael D. Siegal, our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board and one of our largest shareholders, owned approximately 11.3% of our outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2014. Mr. Siegal may have the ability to significantly influence matters requiring shareholder approval. In deciding how to vote on such matters, Mr. Siegal may be influenced by interests that conflict with yours.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We believe that our properties are strategically situated relative to our domestic suppliers, our customers and each other, allowing us to support customers from multiple locations. Product is shipped from the most advantageous facility, regardless of where the customer order is taken. The facilities are located in the hubs of major metals consumption markets, and within a 250-mile radius of most of our customers, a distance approximating the one-day driving and delivery limit for truck shipments. During 2014, we ended the leases on our sales office in Jacksonville, Florida and our distribution center in Kansas City, Missouri.

The following table sets forth certain information concerning our principal properties within our flat products and tubular and pipe products segments:

Flat Products Segment Facilities

| Operation | Location | Square | Function | Owned |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---------|--|--------------|
| | | Feet | | or Leased |
| Cleveland | Bedford Heights, Ohio (1) | 127,000 | Corporate offices, coil processing and distribution center | Owned |
| | Bedford Heights, Ohio (1) | 121,500 | Coil and plate processing, distribution center for flat, tubular and pipe products and offices | Owned |
| | Bedford Heights, Ohio (1) | 59,500 | Plate processing, distribution center and offices | Leased (2) |
| | Dover, Ohio | 62,000 | Plate processing, fabrication and distribution center | Owned |
| Minneapolis | Plymouth, Minnesota | 196,800 | Coil and plate processing, distribution center and offices | Owned |
| | Plymouth, Minnesota | 112,200 | Plate processing, fabrication, distribution center and offices | Owned |
| | Roseville, Minnesota | 57,000 | Distribution center for flat and tubular and pipe products | Leased (3) |
| Chambersburg | Chambersburg, Pennsylvania | 157,000 | Plate processing, distribution center and offices | Owned |
| | Chambersburg, Pennsylvania | 150,000 | Plate processing, fabrication, distribution center and offices | Owned |
| Iowa | Bettendorf, Iowa | 244,000 | Coil and plate processing, fabrication, distribution center and offices | Owned |
| | Oklahoma City, Oklahoma | 33,000 | Distribution center | Leased (4) |
| Winder | Winder, Georgia | 285,000 | Coil and plate processing, fabrication, distribution center and offices | Owned |
| | Winder, Georgia | 31,800 | Distribution center | Leased (5) |
| Detroit | Detroit, Michigan | 256,000 | Coil processing, distribution center and offices | Owned |

Edgar Filing: OLYMPIC STEEL INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------|---|------------|
| Kentucky | Mt. Sterling, Kentucky | 100,000 | Plate processing, fabrication and distribution center | Owned |
| | Mt. Sterling, Kentucky | 107,000 | Distribution center for flat and tubular and pipe products, offices | Owned (6) |
| Gary | Gary, Indiana | 183,000 | Coil processing, distribution center and offices | Owned |
| Connecticut | Milford, Connecticut | 134,000 | Coil processing, distribution center and offices | Owned |
| Chicago | Schaumburg, Illinois | 80,500 | Coil and sheet processing, distribution center and offices | Owned |
| North Carolina | Siler City, North Carolina | 74,000 | Plate processing, fabrication, distribution center and offices | Owned |
| Streetsboro | Streetsboro, Ohio | 66,200 | Coil and sheet processing, distribution center and offices | Owned |
| | Latrobe, Pennsylvania | 43,200 | Coil and sheet processing, distribution center | Leased (7) |
| Washington | Moses Lake, Washington | 47,600 | Distribution center | Leased (8) |

Flat Products Segment Facilities

| Operation | Location | Square Feet | Function | Owned or Leased |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|------------------------|
| Mexico | Monterrey, Mexico | 15,000 | Distribution center for flat, tubular and pipe products | Leased (9) |

(1) The Bedford Heights facilities are all adjacent properties.

(2) This facility is leased from a related party. The lease expires on December 31, 2018, with renewal options.

(3) The lease on this facility expires on November 30, 2016, with renewal options.

(4) The lease on this facility expires on July 7, 2017.

(5) The lease on this facility expires on December 15, 2015, with a one-year renewal option.

(6) 50% of the facility is leased to an unrelated party whose lease expires on December 31, 2015.

(7) The lease on this facility expires on May 1, 2016.

(8) The Moses Lake location is comprised of five different facilities. The facilities are leased on a month-to-month basis.

(9) The lease on this facility expires on June 1, 2015.

Tubular and Pipe Products Segment Locations

| Operation | Location | Square Feet | Function | Owned or Leased |
|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------|
| Chicago | Romeoville, Illinois | 363,000 | Corporate offices, fabrication and distribution center | Owned |
| St. Paul | St. Paul, Minnesota | 132,000 | Distribution center and offices | Owned |
| Charlotte | Locust, North Carolina | 127,600 | Fabrication and offices | Owned |
| Fond du Lac | Fond du Lac, Wisconsin | 117,000 | Distribution center and offices | Owned |
| Indianapolis | Indianapolis, Indiana | 79,000 | Distribution center and offices | Owned |
| Quad Cities | Milan, Illinois | 57,600 | Distribution center and offices | Owned |
| Des Moines | Ankeny, Iowa | 50,000 | Distribution center and offices | Owned |
| Duluth | Proctor, Minnesota | 45,202 | Distribution center and offices | Leased (1) |
| Owatonna cutting | Owatonna, Minnesota | 23,000 | Production cutting center | Owned |

(1) The lease on this facility expires on April 30, 2019.

Our facilities in Cleveland, Ohio; Winder, Georgia; Mt. Sterling, Kentucky; Monterrey, Mexico and Fond du Lac, Wisconsin offer both flat products and tubular and pipe products. In addition to the facilities listed above, our executive office is located in Highland Hills, Ohio and we have sales offices located in Media, Pennsylvania; Miami, Florida; Houston, Texas; and Monterrey, Mexico. Management believes we will be able to accommodate our capacity needs for the immediate future at our existing facilities.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are party to various legal actions that we believe are ordinary in nature and incidental to the operation of our business. In the opinion of management, the outcome of the proceedings to which we are currently a party will not have a material adverse effect upon our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

This information is included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K pursuant to Instruction 3 of Item 401(b) of Regulation S-K. The following is a list of our executive officers and a brief description of their business experience. Each executive officer will hold office until his successor is chosen and qualified.

Michael D. Siegal, age 62, has served as our Chief Executive Officer since 1984, and as Chairman of our Board of Directors since 1994. From 1984 until January 2001, he also served as our President. He has been employed by us in a variety of capacities since 1974. Mr. Siegal serves on the Board of Directors of Cliffs Natural Resources, Inc. He is the Chair of the Board of Trustees of the Jewish Federations in North America. He is also the former Board Chair of the Jewish Federation of Cleveland and is currently on the Board of the Development Corporation for Israel, and the Rock and Roll Hall of Fame and Museum, in Cleveland, Ohio.

David A. Wolfort, age 62, has served as our President since January 2001 and Chief Operating Officer since 1995. He has been a director since 1987. He previously served as Vice President Commercial from 1987 to 1995, after having joined us in 1984 as General Manager. Prior thereto, he spent eight years with a primary steel producer in a variety of sales assignments. Mr. Wolfort is a past director of the Metals Service Center Institute and previously served as Chairman of its Political Action Committee and Governmental Affairs Committee. He is a trustee of the Board of the Musical Arts Association (Cleveland Orchestra) and of Ohio University and serves as the Vice-Chairman of The Board of Trustees and is a member of the Executive Committee. He also serves as a member of the United States International Trade Committee for Steel (ITAC).

Richard T. Marabito, age 51, serves as our Chief Financial Officer. He joined us in 1994 as Corporate Controller and served in this capacity until being named Chief Financial Officer in March 2000. He also served as Treasurer from 1994 through 2002 and again from 2010 through 2012. Prior to joining us, Mr. Marabito served as Corporate Controller for a publicly traded wholesale distribution company and was employed by a national accounting firm in its audit department. Mr. Marabito is a Governance board member and Treasurer of the Make-A-Wish Foundation of Ohio, Kentucky and Indiana and also serves as the Chair of its Northeast Ohio regional board. He previously served as a board member for Hawk Corporation. Mr. Marabito serves on the Board of Trustees and as Treasurer for Hawken School in Cleveland, Ohio. He is also a director and Executive Committee member of the Metals Service Center Institute and is a past Chair of its Foundation for Education and Research.

Richard A. Manson, age 46, has served as our Vice President and Treasurer since January 2013 and has been employed by us since 1996. From March 2010 through December 2012, he served as our Vice President of Human Resources and Administration. From January 2003 through March 2010, he served as our Treasurer and Corporate Controller. From 1996 through 2002, he served as our Director of Taxes and Risk Management. Prior to joining us, Mr. Manson was employed for seven years by a national accounting firm in its tax department. Mr. Manson is a Board Member and the Treasurer of the West Side Catholic Center. He also serves on the Board of Directors of the

Boys and Girls Clubs of Cleveland and the Cleveland Catholic Cemeteries Association. Mr. Manson is a certified public accountant and member of the Ohio Society of Certified Public Accountants and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Donald McNeeley, age 60, has served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of CTI, a wholly owned subsidiary of Olympic Steel, Inc., since the acquisition on July 1, 2011. He joined CTI in 1972 and has held several operational and executive positions within the company. After serving as CTI's Vice President of Operations and subsequently Executive Vice President, in 1990, Dr. McNeeley was appointed President and Chief Operating Officer. He is a former Chairman of the Metals Service Center Institute. Dr. McNeeley is an adjunct professor at Northwestern University where he teaches in the graduate engineering program. He serves on the board of directors of Saulsbury Industries in Odessa, Texas, where he chairs the Audit Committee. Dr. McNeeley also serves on the board of directors of Vail Rubber Industries in St. Joseph, Michigan, and is a former director of The Committee for Monetary Research in Greenwich, Connecticut.

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****Price Range of Common Stock**

Our common stock trades on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol "ZEUS." The following table sets forth, for each quarter in the two-year period ended December 31, 2014, the high and low sales prices of our common stock as reported by the Nasdaq Global Select Market:

| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
|----------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| First quarter | \$30.95 | \$25.84 | \$25.39 | \$18.52 |
| Second quarter | 29.58 | 20.88 | 26.83 | 19.54 |
| Third quarter | 25.83 | 20.57 | 29.48 | 24.46 |
| Fourth quarter | 21.39 | 15.75 | 31.68 | 24.56 |

Holders of Record

As of February 1, 2015, we estimate there were approximately 50 holders of record and 4,606 beneficial holders of our common stock.

Dividends

During 2014, our Board of Directors approved regular quarterly dividends of \$0.02 per share that were paid on March 17, 2014, June 16, 2014, September 16, 2014 and December 15, 2014.

During 2013, our Board of Directors approved regular quarterly dividends of \$0.02 per share that were paid on March 15, 2013, June 17, 2013, September 16, 2013 and December 16, 2013.

We expect to make regular quarterly dividend distributions in the future, subject to the continuing determination by our Board of Directors that the dividend remains in the best interest of our shareholders. The agreement governing our credit facility restricts the amount of dividends that we can pay to \$2.5 million annually. Any determinations by the Board of Directors to pay cash dividends in the future will take into account various factors, including our financial condition, results of operations, current and anticipated cash needs, plans for expansion and restrictions under our credit agreement and any agreements governing our future debt. We cannot assure you that dividends will be paid in the future or that, if paid, the dividends will be at the same amount or frequency.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

We did not repurchase any of our equity securities during the quarter ended December 31, 2014.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

We did not have any unregistered sales of equity securities during the quarter ended December 31, 2014.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth selected financial and other data of the Company for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2014. The data presented should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| Income Statement Data: | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$1,436,270 | \$1,263,331 | \$1,383,701 | \$1,261,872 | \$805,043 |
| Cost of materials sold | 1,160,310 | 999,207 | 1,113,852 | 1,008,462 | 650,398 |
| Gross profit (a) | 275,960 | 264,124 | 269,849 | 253,410 | 154,645 |
| Operating expenses (b) | 261,332 | 244,469 | 244,817 | 208,942 | 148,543 |
| Goodwill impairment | 23,836 | - | 6,583 | - | - |
| Operating income (loss) | (9,208) | 19,655 | 18,449 | 44,468 | 6,102 |
| Interest and other expense on debt | 6,780 | 6,703 | 8,357 | 5,953 | 2,305 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (16,114) | 12,924 | 10,139 | 37,485 | 3,797 |
| Net income (loss) | \$(19,064) | \$7,647 | \$2,277 | \$24,970 | \$2,132 |
| Per Share Data: | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) - basic (c) | \$(1.71) | \$0.69 | \$0.21 | \$2.28 | \$0.20 |
| Net income (loss) - diluted | (1.71) | 0.69 | 0.21 | 2.28 | 0.20 |
| Dividends declared | \$0.08 | \$0.08 | \$0.08 | \$0.08 | \$0.08 |
| Shares Outstanding: | | | | | |
| Weighted average shares - basic | 11,120 | 11,065 | 10,989 | 10,937 | 10,905 |
| Weighted average shares - diluted | 11,120 | 11,074 | 10,995 | 10,951 | 10,918 |
| Balance Sheet Data (as of December 31): | | | | | |
| Current assets | \$458,709 | \$417,631 | \$422,377 | \$420,859 | \$298,809 |
| Current liabilities | 131,977 | 165,633 | 142,442 | 139,575 | 102,625 |
| Working capital | 326,732 | 251,998 | 279,935 | 281,284 | 196,184 |
| Total assets | 700,748 | 697,349 | 705,994 | 707,499 | 429,438 |
| Total debt | 247,620 | 199,269 | 241,711 | 244,123 | 55,235 |
| Shareholders' equity | \$280,781 | \$298,616 | \$289,857 | \$286,576 | \$261,638 |

The data in the table above includes CTI information since the acquisition on July 1, 2011.

- (a) Gross profit is calculated as net sales less the cost of materials sold (includes LIFO expense of \$365 in 2014 and LIFO income of \$3,572 in 2013).
- (b) Operating expenses are calculated as total costs and expenses less the cost of materials sold. It does not include the goodwill impairment shown separately below.
- (c) Calculated by dividing net income (loss) by weighted average shares outstanding.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause a difference include, but are not limited to, those discussed under Item 1A, Risk Factors in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The following section is qualified in its entirety by the more detailed information, including our financial statements and the notes thereto, which appears elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Overview

We are a leading metals service center that operates in two reportable segments; flat products and tubular and pipe products. We provide metals processing and distribution services for a wide range of customers. Our primary flat products focus is on the direct sale and distribution of large volumes of processed carbon, coated, aluminum and stainless flat-rolled sheet, coil and plate products. In recent years, we have increased our participation in the stainless and aluminum markets, which we refer to as specialty metals. As a result, based on how our chief operating decision maker, or CODM, is expected to make decisions, assess performance and allocate resources in the future, we expect to disclose three reportable segments in 2015. The segments will be flat products, tubular and pipe products and specialty metals. Through CTI, we distribute metal tubing, pipe, bar, valves and fittings and fabricate pressure parts supplied to various industrial markets. Products that require more value-added processing generally have a higher gross profit. In addition, tubular and pipe products segment gross profits are generally higher than our traditional flat products segment gross profits. Accordingly, our overall gross profit is affected by, among other things, product mix, the amount of processing performed, the demand for and availability of metals, and volatility in selling prices and material purchase costs. We also perform toll processing of customer-owned metals. We sell certain products internationally, primarily in North, Central and South America. International sales are immaterial to our consolidated financial results and to the individual segments' results.

Our results of operations are affected by numerous external factors including, but not limited to: general and global business, economic, financial, banking and political conditions; competition; metals pricing, demand, global production levels and availability; energy prices; pricing and availability of raw materials used in the production of metals; global supply and inventory held in the supply chain; customers' ability to manage their credit line availability; and layoffs or work stoppages by our own, our suppliers' or our customers' personnel. The metals industry also continues to be affected by the global consolidation of our suppliers, competitors and end-use customers.

Like other service centers, we maintain substantial inventories of metals to accommodate the short lead times and just-in-time delivery requirements of our customers. Accordingly, we purchase metals in an effort to maintain our inventory at levels that we believe to be appropriate to satisfy the anticipated needs of our customers based upon customer forecasts, historic buying practices, supply agreements with customers and market conditions. Our commitments to purchase metals are generally at prevailing market prices in effect at the time we place our orders. When metals prices increase, competitive conditions will influence how much of the price increase we can pass on to our customers. To the extent we are unable to pass on future price increases in our raw materials to our customers, the net sales and gross profits of our business could be adversely affected. When metals prices decline, customer demands for lower prices and our competitors' responses to those demands could result in lower sale prices and, consequently, lower gross profits as we sell existing metals inventory.

Reportable Segments

We currently operate in two reportable segments; flat products and tubular and pipe products. In recent years, we have increased our participation in the stainless and aluminum markets, which we refer to as specialty metals. As a result, based on how our CODM is expected to make decisions, assess performance and allocate resources in the future, we expect to disclose three reportable segments beginning in the first quarter of 2015. The segments will be flat products, tubular and pipe products, and specialty metals. We follow the accounting guidance that requires the utilization of a "management approach" to define and report the financial results of operating segments. The management approach defines operating segments along the lines used by our CODM to assess performance and make operating and resource allocation decisions. Our CODM evaluates performance and allocates resources based primarily on operating income (loss). Our operating segments are based on internal management reporting.

Commencing with the first quarter of 2013, corporate expenses are reported as a separate line item in the segment reporting. Corporate expenses include the unallocated expenses related to managing the entire Company (i.e., both segments), including payroll expenses for certain personnel, expenses related to being a publicly traded entity such as board of directors expenses, audit expenses, and various other professional fees. Prior to 2013, these expenses were included in the flat products segment's operating results. The 2012 financial information has been revised to reflect the new reporting structure.

Flat products

The primary focus of our flat products segment is on the direct sale and distribution of large volumes of processed carbon, coated, aluminum and stainless flat-rolled sheet, coil and plate products. We act as an intermediary between metals producers and manufacturers that require processed metals for their operations. We serve customers in most metals consuming industries, including manufacturers and fabricators of transportation and material handling equipment, construction and farm machinery, storage tanks, environmental and energy generation equipment, automobiles, food service and electrical equipment, military vehicles and equipment, as well as general and plate fabricators and metals service centers. We distribute these products primarily through a direct sales force.

The flat products segment has 25 strategically-located processing and distribution facilities in the United States and one in Monterrey, Mexico. This geographic footprint allows us to focus on regional customers and larger national and multi-national accounts, primarily located throughout the midwestern, eastern and southern United States. The flat products segment distributes these products primarily through a direct sales force.

Tubular and pipe products

The tubular and pipe products segment consists of the CTI business, acquired in 2011. Founded in 1914, CTI operates from nine locations in the midwestern and southeastern United States and distributes tube and pipe products from some flat products locations as well. The tubular and pipe products segment distributes its products primarily through a direct sales force. Through our tubular and pipe products segment, we distribute metals tubing, pipe, bar, valve and fittings and fabricate pressure parts supplied to various industrial markets.

Results of Operations

2014 Compared to 2013

The following table sets forth certain consolidated income statement data for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollars shown in thousands):

| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| | \$ | % of net sales | \$ | % of net sales |
| Net sales | \$1,436,270 | 100.0 | \$1,263,331 | 100.0 |
| Cost of materials sold (a) | 1,160,310 | 80.8 | 999,207 | 79.1 |
| Gross profit (b) | 275,960 | 19.2 | 264,124 | 20.9 |
| Operating expenses (c) | 285,168 | 19.8 | 244,469 | 19.3 |
| Operating income (loss) | (9,208) | (0.6) | 19,655 | 1.6 |
| Other income (loss), net | (126) | (0.0) | (28) | (0.0) |
| Interest and other expense on debt | 6,780 | 0.5 | 6,703 | 0.6 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (16,114) | (1.1) | 12,924 | 1.0 |
| Income taxes | 2,950 | 0.2 | 5,277 | 0.4 |
| Net income (loss) | \$(19,064) | (1.3) | \$7,647 | 0.6 |

(a) Includes \$365 of LIFO expense for 2014 and \$3,572 of LIFO income for 2013 (inclusive of a \$1,932 out-of-period LIFO adjustment recorded in 2013).

(b) Gross profit is calculated as net sales less the cost of materials sold.

(c) Operating expenses are calculated as total costs and expenses less the cost of materials sold. 2014 include a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$23,836 for the tubular and pipe products segment.

Net sales increased \$173 million, or 13.7%, to \$1.44 billion in 2014 from \$1.26 billion in 2013. Flat products net sales increased \$165 million, or 16.1%, and were 83.0% of total net sales in 2014 compared to 81.3% in 2013. Tubular and pipe products net sales increased \$8 million, or 3.4%, and were 17.0% of total net sales in 2014 compared to 18.7% of total net sales in 2013. The increase in sales for the year ended December 31, 2014 was due to a 13.3% increase in sales volume and a 0.3% increase in average selling prices in 2014 compared to 2013.

Cost of materials sold increased \$161 million, or 16.1%, to \$1.16 billion in 2014 from \$999 million in 2013. During 2014 we recorded LIFO expense of \$365 thousand compared to \$3.6 million of LIFO income recorded in 2013. In the first quarter of 2013, we made an out-of-period adjustment to record previously unrecognized LIFO adjustments, which resulted in a 2013 decrease to cost of materials sold of \$1.9 million. The increase in cost of materials sold in 2014 is primarily due to the increased sales volume of 13.3%, increased metals costs of 2.1% during 2014 and the impact of LIFO expense during 2014 compared to LIFO income in 2013.

As a percentage of net sales, gross profit (as defined in footnote (b) in the table above) decreased to 19.2% in 2014 from 20.9% in 2013. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales decreased in both segments. LIFO had no consolidated gross profit impact in 2014 and increased gross profit by 0.3% of net sales in 2013. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net sales during 2014 was primarily due to the cost of materials sold increasing more than selling prices in the flat rolled segment, as well as the impact of LIFO expense in 2014 compared to LIFO income in 2013.

Operating expenses in 2014 increased \$40.7 million, or 16.6%, from 2013. As a percentage of net sales, operating expenses increased to 19.8% in 2014 from 19.3% in 2013. The increase in operating expenses resulted primarily from a \$23.8 million non-cash goodwill impairment charge related to the tubular and pipe products segment. The goodwill impairment charge accounted for 58.6% of the operating expense increase. During 2014, distribution expense increased by \$6.2 million, or 17.8%, due to the increased volume during 2014 as well as the inflationary dynamics in the transportation industry. Warehouse and processing costs increased \$7.8 million, or 9.3%, primarily due to increased payroll and warehouse consumables expenses related to the 13.3% 2014 volume increase. Administrative costs increased by \$3.7 million, or 5.4%, primarily related to employee travel, education and training, non-income taxes and one-time costs related to the CTI centennial celebration in 2014. Selling expenses decreased \$0.1 million, or 0.4%, in 2014 compared to 2013 on a 13.7% sales increase as a result of decreased variable compensation associated with fewer sales employees and decreased discretionary spending, offset by \$467 thousand of increased bad debt expense. Occupancy expense increased \$657 thousand in 2014 compared to 2013 as a result of higher utility and snow removal costs during the harsh winter in the first quarter of 2014. Depreciation expense decreased \$1.5 million in 2014 as a result of certain assets becoming fully depreciated in 2014. In 2015 we initiated a profit improvement program to reduce operating expenses and enhance margins. This plan includes improving underperforming divisions, lowering distribution costs, lowering labor and personnel expenses, as well as transportation and purchasing initiatives.

Interest and other expense on debt totaled \$6.8 million in 2014 compared to \$6.7 million in 2013. Our effective borrowing rate, exclusive of deferred financing fees and commitment fees, was 2.4% in 2014 compared to 2.3% in 2013. The increase in interest and other expense on debt in 2014 was primarily attributable to the fixed interest rate hedge and higher average borrowings, offset by lower rate premiums under our credit facility.

For 2014, loss before income taxes totaled \$16.1 million compared to income before income taxes of \$12.9 million in 2013. 2014 included a goodwill impairment charge of \$23.8 million related to the tube and pipe segment and LIFO expense of \$365 thousand. 2013 income before taxes included LIFO income of \$3.6 million, inclusive of an out-of-period LIFO income adjustment of \$1.9 million recorded in the first quarter of 2013.

An income tax provision of (18.3%) was recorded for 2014, compared to an income tax provision of 40.8% in 2013. The 2014 effective income tax rate was unusual due to the non-deductibility of the goodwill impairment charge for the tubular and pipe products segment. The income tax provision for 2014 prior to the goodwill impairment charge was 38.2%. We expect our 2015 income tax rate to approximate 38%.

Net loss for 2014 totaled \$19.1 million or \$1.71 per basic and diluted share, compared to net income of \$7.6 million or \$0.69 per basic and diluted share for 2013. The goodwill impairment impacted earnings per share by \$2.14 per basic and diluted shares and the LIFO expense decreased earnings per share by \$0.02 per basic and diluted share. The impact of LIFO income in 2013 increased earnings per share by \$0.19 per basic and diluted shares. The out-of-period LIFO income adjustment accounted for \$0.10 per basic and diluted share of the increase.

Segment Results of Operations*Flat products*

The following table sets forth certain income statement data for the flat products segment for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollars shown in thousands, except per ton data):

| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| | | % of net sales | | % of net sales |
| Direct tons sold | 1,132,325 | | 1,007,511 | |
| Toll tons sold | 106,771 | | 81,226 | |
| Total tons sold | 1,239,096 | | 1,088,737 | |
| Net sales | \$1,191,731 | 100.0 | \$1,026,769 | 100.0 |
| Average selling price per ton | 962 | | 943 | |
| Cost of materials sold | 986,559 | 82.8 | 834,994 | 81.3 |
| Gross profit (a) | 205,172 | 17.2 | 191,775 | 18.7 |
| Operating expenses (b) | 192,757 | 16.2 | 179,669 | 17.5 |
| Operating income | \$12,415 | 1.0 | \$12,106 | 1.2 |

(a) Gross profit is calculated as net sales less the cost of materials sold.

(b) Operating expenses are calculated as total costs and expenses less the cost of materials sold.

Tons sold increased 13.8% to 1.24 million tons in 2014 from 1.09 million tons in 2013. The increase in tons sold was due to increased customer demand and increased market share. Toll tons sold increased 31.4% to 107 thousand tons in 2014 from 81 thousand tons in 2013. The increase in toll tons sold was due to a shift by some customers from direct sales to toll sales in 2014.

Net sales increased \$165 million, or 16.1%, to \$1.19 billion in 2014 from \$1.03 billion in 2013. Average selling prices in 2014 increased to \$962 per ton, compared to \$943 per ton in 2013. The increase in sales was due to a 13.8% increase in sales volume as well as a 2.0% increase in the average sell price during 2014. Market metals prices have declined in the fourth quarter of 2014 and into 2015. As such, we expect market metals prices in the first quarter of 2015 to be lower than both the first and fourth quarters of 2014.

Cost of materials sold increased \$151.6 million, or 18.2%, to \$986.6 million in 2014 from \$835.0 million in 2013. The increase in cost of materials sold was due to the volume increase of 13.8% as well as a 3.8% increase in the average cost of materials sold per ton during 2014 compared to 2013.

As a percentage of net sales, gross profit (as defined in footnote (a) in the table above) decreased to 17.2% in 2014 from 18.7% in 2013. The decrease in gross profit percentage in 2014 was primarily due to the cost of materials sold increasing more than selling prices. The average gross profit per ton sold totaled \$166 in 2014 and \$176 in 2013. The decrease in gross profit percentage in 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily due to the competitive market pressures associated with the growth in shipments and a higher mix of toll sales and lower gross margin percentage stainless and aluminum sales versus carbon sales.

Operating expenses in 2014 increased \$13.1 million, or 7.3%, from 2013. As a percentage of net sales, operating expenses decreased to 16.2% for 2014 from 17.5% in 2013. Freight and distribution expenses increased \$5.3 million, or 20.2%, as a result of increased volume as well as the inflationary dynamics in the transportation industry. Warehouse and processing expenses increased \$7.7 million, or 11.2%, as a result of higher sales volumes. Administrative and general expenses increased \$1.7 million, or 4.1%, primarily as a result of increased compensation expenses. Depreciation decreased \$1.8 million, or 10.8%, as a result of fully depreciated assets. Occupancy expenses increased as a result of higher utility and snow removal costs during the first quarter of 2014. In 2015 we initiated a profit improvement program to reduce operating expenses and enhance margins. This plan includes improving underperforming divisions, lowering distribution costs, lowering labor and personnel expenses, as well as transportation and purchasing initiatives.

Operating income for 2014 increased to \$12.4 million, or 1.0% of net sales, from \$12.1 million, or 1.2% of net sales in 2013.

Tubular and pipe products

The following table sets forth certain income statement data for the tubular and pipe products segment for 2014 and 2013 (dollars shown in thousands).

| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| | | % of net sales | | % of net sales |
| Net sales | \$244,539 | 100.0 | \$236,562 | 100.0 |
| Cost of materials sold (a) | 173,751 | 71.1 | 164,213 | 69.4 |
| Gross profit (b) | 70,788 | 28.9 | 72,349 | 30.6 |
| Operating expenses (c) | 84,439 | 34.5 | 57,368 | 24.3 |
| Operating income (loss) | \$(13,651) | (5.6) | \$14,981 | 6.3 |

(a) Includes \$365k of LIFO expense in 2014 and \$3,572 of LIFO income in 2013 (inclusive of a \$1,932 out-of-period LIFO adjustment)

(b) Gross profit is calculated as net sales less the cost of materials sold.

(c) Operating expenses are calculated as total costs and expenses less the cost of materials sold. 2014 include a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$23,836.

Net sales increased \$8.0 million, or 3.4%, to \$244.5 million in 2014 from \$236.6 million in 2013. The increase in net sales was due to a 6.2% increase in the sales volume offset by a 2.7% decrease in the average selling price during 2014.

Cost of materials sold increased \$9.5 million, or 5.8%, to \$173.8 million in 2014 from \$164.2 million in 2013. The increase in cost of materials sold was due to a 6.2% increase in sales volume and the impact of LIFO expense of \$365 thousand in 2014 compared to LIFO income of \$3.6 million in 2013, offset by a decrease in the average cost of materials sold of 2.7%.

As a percentage of net sales, gross profit (as defined in footnote (b) in the table above) totaled 28.9% in 2014 compared to 30.6%, in 2013. The LIFO expense recorded in 2014 decreased gross profit by 0.2% of net sales and the LIFO income recorded in 2013, increased gross profit by 1.5% of net sales, resulting in pre-LIFO gross margin as a percentage of net sales remaining constant at 29.1% in both 2014 and 2013.

Operating expenses increased \$27.1 million, or 47.2%, to \$84.4 million, or 34.5% of net sales, in 2014 compared to \$57.4 million, or 24.3%, of net sales in 2013. In 2014, we recorded a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$23.8 million. The impairment charge accounted for 88.0% of the operating expense increase. Distribution expense increased \$913 thousand, or 10.5%, as a result of the increased sales volume as well as the inflationary dynamics in the transportation industry. Warehouse and processing expense increased \$132 thousand, or 0.8%, on a 6.2% increase in sales volume. Selling, administrative and general expenses increased \$1.7 million, or 6.5%, due to non-recurring costs related to CTI's Centennial events in 2014 and increased payroll costs. Depreciation expense increased \$316 thousand, or 7.2%, as a result of the St. Paul facility expansion in 2013 and new processing equipment. In 2015 we initiated a profit improvement program to reduce operating expenses and enhance margins. This plan includes improving underperforming divisions, lowering distribution costs, lowering labor and personnel expenses, as well as transportation and purchasing initiatives.

Operating loss for 2014 totaled \$13.7 million, or (5.6%) of net sales, compared to operating income of \$15.0 million, or 6.3% of net sales, for 2013. The operating loss for 2014 was the result of the goodwill impairment of \$23.8 million as well as LIFO expense of \$365 thousand. Operating income for 2013 included LIFO income of \$3.6 million.

Corporate expenses

Corporate expenses increased \$540 thousand, or 7.3%, to \$8.0 million in 2014, compared to \$7.4 million in 2013. The increase in Corporate expenses in 2014 is mainly attributable to a full year of office rent and increased professional fees and travel costs.

2013 Compared to 2012

The following table sets forth certain consolidated income statement data for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (dollars shown in thousands):

| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| | \$ | % of net sales | \$ | % of net sales |
| Net sales | \$1,263,331 | 100.0 | \$1,383,701 | 100.0 |
| Cost of materials sold (a) | 999,207 | 79.1 | 1,113,852 | 80.5 |
| Gross profit (b) | 264,124 | 20.9 | 269,849 | 19.5 |
| Operating expenses (c) | 244,469 | 19.3 | 251,400 | 18.2 |
| Operating income | 19,655 | 1.6 | 18,449 | 1.3 |
| Other income (loss), net | (28) | (0.0) | 47 | 0.0 |
| Interest and other expense on debt | 6,703 | 0.6 | 8,357 | 0.6 |
| Income before income taxes | 12,924 | 1.0 | 10,139 | 0.7 |
| Income taxes | 5,277 | 0.4 | 7,862 | 0.5 |
| Net income | \$7,647 | 0.6 | \$2,277 | 0.2 |

(a) Includes \$3,572 of LIFO income for 2013 (inclusive of a \$1,932 out-of-period LIFO adjustment recorded in 2013)

(b) Gross profit is calculated as net sales less the cost of materials sold.

(c) Operating expenses are calculated as total costs and expenses less the cost of materials sold. 2012 operating expenses include \$6,583 of goodwill impairment charges related to the Company's flat-products segment.

Net sales decreased 8.7% to \$1.26 billion in 2013 from \$1.38 billion in 2012. Flat products net sales decreased 9.8%, or \$111 million, and were 81.3% of total net sales in 2013 compared to 82.2% in 2012. Tubular and pipe products net sales declined 3.7%, or \$9 million, and were 18.7% of total net sales in 2013 compared to 17.8% of total net sales in 2012. The decrease in sales for the year ended December 31, 2013 was due to a 4.1% decline in consolidated sales volume as well as a 4.8% decline in consolidated average selling prices in 2013 compared to 2012.

Cost of materials sold decreased 10.3% to \$999 million in 2013 from \$1.11 billion in 2012. The decrease in cost of materials sold during 2013 was due to a 4.1% decline in consolidated sales volume as well as a 6.4% decline in consolidated cost of materials sold in 2013 compared to 2012. In the first quarter of 2013, we made an out-of-period adjustment to record previously unrecognized LIFO income, which resulted in a decrease to cost of materials sold of \$1.9 million. The total impact of LIFO income in 2013 was a \$3.6 million decrease to cost of materials sold.

As a percentage of net sales, gross profit (as defined in footnote (b) in the table above) increased to 20.9% in 2013 from 19.5% in 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales increased in both segments. The impact of LIFO income increased gross profit by 0.3% of net sales in 2013. The increase in gross profit during 2013 was primarily due to the cost of materials sold decreasing more than selling prices, as well as the impact of LIFO income.

Operating expenses in 2013 decreased \$6.9 million, or 2.8%, from 2012. As a percentage of net sales, operating expenses increased to 19.3% in 2013 from 18.2% in 2012. The decrease in operating expenses resulted primarily from a \$6.6 million goodwill impairment charge related to the flat products segment's Southern region that was included in operating expenses in 2012. During 2013, expenses related to medical claims and workers compensation expenses increased \$1.0 million over 2012. These expenses are included in "Warehouse and processing", "Administrative and general" and "Selling" on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. Selling expenses decreased \$2.7 million in 2013 compared to 2012 as a result of decreased variable compensation, decreased discretionary spending and decreased bad debt expense. Depreciation and occupancy expense increased \$2.1 million in 2013 as a result of capital spending on new facilities and new processing equipment at existing facilities.

Interest and other expense on debt totaled \$6.7 million in 2013 compared to \$8.4 million in 2012. Our effective borrowing rate, exclusive of deferred financing fees and commitment fees, was 2.3% in 2013 compared to 2.7% in 2012. The decrease in interest and other expense on debt in 2013 was primarily attributable to lower average borrowings and lower rate premiums under our credit facility.

For 2013, income before income taxes totaled \$12.9 million compared to income before income taxes of \$10.1 million in 2012. 2013 included LIFO income of \$3.6 million, inclusive of an out-of-period LIFO income adjustment of \$1.9 million recorded in the first quarter of 2013.

An income tax provision of 40.8% was recorded for 2013, compared to an income tax provision of 77.5% in 2012. The 2012 effective income tax rate was unusually high due to the non-deductibility of the goodwill impairment charge and the impact of permanent non-deductible tax items applied to a low pre-tax income level in 2012.

Net income for 2013 totaled \$7.6 million or \$0.69 per basic and diluted share, compared to net income of \$2.3 million or \$0.21 per basic and diluted share for 2012. The impact of LIFO income in 2013 increased earnings per share by \$0.19 per basic and diluted shares. The out-of-period LIFO income adjustment accounted for \$0.10 per basic and diluted share of the increase.

Segment Results of Operations

Flat products

The following table sets forth certain income statement data for the flat products segment for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (dollars shown in thousands, except per ton data):

| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | | % of net sales | | % of net sales |
| Direct tons sold | 1,007,511 | | 1,061,603 | |
| Toll tons sold | 81,226 | | 80,866 | |
| Total tons sold | 1,088,737 | | 1,142,469 | |
| Net sales | \$1,026,769 | 100.0 | \$1,138,063 | 100.0 |
| Average selling price per ton | 943 | | 996 | |
| Cost of materials sold | 834,994 | 81.3 | 941,192 | 82.7 |
| Gross profit (a) | 191,775 | 18.7 | 196,871 | 17.3 |
| Operating expenses (b) | 179,669 | 17.5 | 189,841 | 16.7 |
| Operating income | \$12,106 | 1.2 | \$7,030 | 0.6 |

(a) Gross profit is calculated as net sales less the cost of materials sold.

(b) Operating expenses are calculated as total costs and expenses less the cost of materials sold. 2012 operating expenses include a \$6,583 goodwill impairment charge related to the Southern region.

Tons sold decreased 4.7% to 1.09 million tons in 2013 from 1.14 million tons in 2012. Toll tons sold was flat at approximately 81,000 tons in 2013 and 2012. The decrease in tons sold was due to decreased customer demand during 2013.

Net sales decreased 9.8% to \$1.03 billion in 2013 from \$1.14 billion in 2012. Average selling prices in 2013 decreased to \$943 per ton, compared to \$996 per ton in 2012. The decrease in sales was due to a 4.7% decline in sales volume as well as a 5.3% decline in the average sell price during 2013.

Cost of materials sold decreased 11.3% to \$835.0 million in 2013 from \$941.2 million in 2012. The decrease in cost of materials sold was due to the volume decrease of 4.7% as well as a 6.9% decline in the average cost of materials sold per ton during 2013 compared to 2012.

As a percentage of net sales, gross profit (as defined in footnote (a) in the table above) increased to 18.7% in 2013 from 17.3% in 2012. The increase in gross profit percentage in 2013 was primarily due to the cost of materials sold decreasing more than selling prices. The average gross profit per ton sold totaled \$176 in 2013 and \$172 in 2012. During 2013, we increased the proportion of our product sales mix that contained more processing, which also contributed to the higher gross profit percentage and gross profit per ton sold in the current year as compared to 2012.

Operating expenses in 2013 decreased \$10.2 million, or 5.4%, from 2012. As a percentage of net sales, operating expenses increased to 17.5% for 2013 from 16.7% in 2012. Variable operating expenses, such as distribution, warehouse and processing, and selling expenses, decreased as a result of lower sales volume, net sales and gross profits. Depreciation and occupancy expenses increased as a result of the recent investments in new facilities. 2012 operating expenses included a goodwill impairment charge for the Southern region of \$6.6 million.

Operating income for 2013 increased to \$12.1 million, or 1.2% of net sales, from \$7.0 million, or 0.6% of net sales in 2012.

Tubular and pipe products

The following table sets forth certain income statement data for the tubular and pipe products segment for 2013 and 2012 (dollars shown in thousands).

| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| | | % of net sales | | % of net sales |
| Net sales | \$236,562 | 100.0 | \$245,638 | 100.0 |
| Cost of materials sold (a) | 164,213 | 69.4 | 172,660 | 70.3 |
| Gross profit (b) | 72,349 | 30.6 | 72,978 | 29.7 |
| Operating expenses (c) | 57,368 | 24.3 | 54,981 | 22.4 |
| Operating income | \$14,981 | 6.3 | \$17,997 | 7.3 |

(a) Includes \$3,572 of LIFO income in 2013 (inclusive of a \$1,932 out-of-period LIFO adjustment)

(b) Gross profit is calculated as net sales less the cost of materials sold.

(c) Operating expenses are calculated as total costs and expenses less the cost of materials sold.

Net sales decreased 3.7% to \$236.6 million in 2013 from \$245.6 million in 2012. The decrease in net sales was due to a 7.9% decline in the average selling price offset by a 4.6% increase in sales volume during 2013.

Cost of materials sold decreased 4.9% to \$164.2 million in 2013 from \$172.7 million in 2012. The decrease in cost of materials sold was due to a 9.1% decline in the average cost of materials sold per ton offset by a 4.6% increase in sales volume during 2013 compared to 2012. In the first quarter of 2013, we made an out-of-period adjustment to record previously unrecognized LIFO income, which resulted in a decrease to cost of materials sold of \$1.9 million. Due to the continued declining prices for metals in 2013, we recorded an additional \$1.7 million of LIFO income during 2013. Total LIFO income recorded in 2013 was \$3.6 million.

As a percentage of net sales, gross profit (as defined in footnote (b) in the table above) totaled 30.6% in 2013 compared to 29.7%, in 2012. The impact of LIFO income increased gross profit by 1.5% of sales in 2013, of which the out-of-period LIFO income adjustment amounted to 0.8%.

Operating expenses increased \$2.4 million to \$57.4 million, or 24.3% of net sales, in 2013 compared to \$55.0 million, or 22.4% of net sales in 2012. Variable operating expenses such as warehouse and processing, distribution and selling expenses increased as a result of increased sales volume in 2013.

Operating income for 2013 totaled \$15.0 million, or 6.3% of net sales, compared to \$18.0 million, or 7.3% of net sales, for 2012. Operating income for 2013 included the impact of LIFO income of \$3.6 million, inclusive of the \$1.9 million out-of-period LIFO income adjustment recorded in the first quarter of 2013.

Corporate expenses

Commencing with the first quarter of 2013, corporate expenses are reported as a separate line item in the segment reporting and are disclosed separately to reconcile segment operating income to consolidated operating income on the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. Corporate expenses include the unallocated expenses related to managing the entire Company (i.e., both segments), including payroll expenses for certain personnel, expenses related to being a publicly traded entity such as board of directors expenses, audit expenses, and various other professional fees. Prior to 2013, these expenses were included in the flat products segment's operating results.

Corporate expenses totaled \$7.4 million in 2013 compared to \$6.6 million for 2012. The increase in Corporate expenses in 2013 is attributable to higher variable incentive compensation, increased professional fees and the relocation of certain of the Company's executive offices from Bedford Heights, Ohio to Highland Hills, Ohio.

Liquidity, Capital Resources and Cash Flows

Our principal capital requirements include funding working capital needs, purchasing, upgrading and acquiring processing equipment and facilities, making acquisitions and paying dividends. We use cash generated from operations, leasing transactions and borrowings under our credit facility to fund these requirements.

We believe that funds available under our credit facility, lease arrangement proceeds and the sale of equity or debt securities, together with funds generated from operations, will be sufficient to provide us with the liquidity necessary to fund anticipated working capital requirements, capital expenditure requirements, our dividend payments and any business acquisitions over at least the next 12 months. In the future, we may as part of our business strategy, acquire and dispose of assets or other companies in the same or complementary lines of business, or enter into or exit strategic alliances and joint ventures. Accordingly, the timing and size of our capital requirements are subject to change as business conditions warrant and opportunities arise.

2014 Compared to 2013

Operating Activities

During 2014, we used \$39.6 million of cash from operations, of which \$25.4 million was generated from operating activities and \$65.0 million was used for working capital. During 2013, we generated \$54.7 million of net cash from operations, of which \$29.1 million was generated from operating activities and \$25.5 million was generated from working capital.

Net cash from operations totaled \$25.4 million during 2014 and was primarily generated from depreciation and amortization of \$21.8 million and the non-cash goodwill impairment of \$23.8 million, offset by the net loss of \$19.1 million. Net cash from operations totaled \$29.1 million during 2013 and was primarily generated from net income of \$7.6 million, and depreciation and amortization of \$23.6 million.

Working capital at December 31, 2014 totaled \$326.7 million, a \$74.7 million increase from December 31, 2013. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$24.7 million increase in inventory (a result of increased inventory tonnage related to increased sales), an \$8.5 million increase in accounts receivable (a result of increased sales), a \$34.8 million decrease in accounts payable (a result of less inventory purchases at the end of the year in 2014 compared to 2013), and a \$7.6 million increase in prepaid expenses and other, offset by a \$10.7 million increase in accrued payroll and other accrued liabilities. The increase in prepaid expenses and other and accrued payroll and other accrued liabilities is mainly related to the increase in metals derivatives.

Investing Activities

Net cash used for investing activities was \$7.8 million during 2014, compared to \$16.1 million during 2013. In 2014, capital expenditures were primarily attributable to additional processing equipment at our flat products and tube and pipe products existing facilities. During 2015, we expect to limit our capital spending to less than our annual depreciation expense (approximately \$20 million in 2014).

Financing Activities

In 2014, \$46.4 million of cash was generated from financing activities, which primarily consisted of \$49.2 million of net borrowings under our credit facility, including the payoff of our term loan of \$48.9 million upon refinancing and subsequent borrowings under our revolving credit facility, offset by \$1.2 million of additional deferred financing fees incurred as part of the June 30, 2014 amendment to the ABL Credit Facility (as defined below).

In February 2015, our Board of Directors approved a regular quarterly dividend of \$0.02 per share, which is payable on March 16, 2015 to shareholders of record as of March 2, 2015. Our Board previously approved 2014 regular quarterly dividends of \$0.02 per share, which were paid on each of March 17, 2014, June 16, 2014, September 16, 2014 and December 15, 2014. Dividend distributions in the future are subject to the availability of cash, the \$2.5 million annual limitation on cash dividends under our ABL Credit Facility and continuing determination by our Board of Directors that the payment of dividends remains in the best interest of our shareholders.

Debt Arrangements

On June 30, 2014, we amended our asset based credit facility (ABL Credit Facility). The amendment provides for, among other things: (i) a reduction in the applicable margin for loans under the Company's Loan and Security Agreement; (ii) a consolidation of the previous \$315.0 million revolver and then outstanding \$44.5 million term loan into a \$365 million revolving credit facility; (iii) the removal of the Company's real estate as collateral for borrowings; and (iv) the extension of the maturity date until June 30, 2019. Revolver borrowings are limited to the lesser of a borrowing base, comprised of eligible receivables and inventories, or \$365 million in the aggregate. The ABL Credit Facility matures on June 30, 2019.

The ABL Credit Facility requires us to comply with various covenants, the most significant of which include: (i) until maturity of the ABL Credit Facility, if any commitments or obligations are outstanding and our availability is less than the greater of \$30 million or 10.0% of the aggregate amount of revolver commitments (\$36.5 million at December 31, 2014), then we must maintain a ratio of EBITDA minus certain capital expenditures and cash taxes paid to fixed charges of at least 1.00 to 1.00 for the most recent twelve fiscal month period; (ii) limitations on dividend payments; and (iii) restrictions on additional indebtedness. We have the option to borrow under our revolver based on the agent's base rate plus a premium ranging from 0.00% to 0.25% or the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a premium ranging from 1.25% to 3.00%.

As of December 31, 2014, we were in compliance with our covenants and had approximately \$98 million of availability under the ABL Credit Facility.

As of December 31, 2014, \$3.5 million of bank financing fees, including \$1.2 million related to the ABL Credit Facility were included in "Prepaid expenses and other" and "Other long-term assets" on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The financing fees are being amortized over the remaining term of the ABL Credit Facility and are included in "Interest and other expense on debt" on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

In June 2012, we entered into a forward starting fixed rate interest rate hedge that commenced in June 2013 in order to eliminate the variability of cash interest payments on \$53.2 million of the outstanding LIBOR-based borrowings under the ABL Credit Facility. The hedge matures on June 1, 2016 and the notional amount is reduced monthly by the principal payments on the term loan. The hedge balance as of December 31, 2014 was \$40.1 million. The interest rate hedge fixed the rate at 1.21% plus a premium ranging from 1.25% to 1.75%. Although we are exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other parties to the interest rate hedge agreement, we anticipate performance by the counterparties.

As part of the CTI acquisition, we assumed approximately \$5.9 million of Industrial Revenue Bond (IRB) indebtedness issued through the Stanly County, North Carolina Industrial Revenue and Pollution Control Authority. The bond matures in April 2018, with the option to provide principal payments annually on April 1st. On April 1, 2014, we paid an optional principal payment of \$810 thousand. The IRB is remarketed annually and is included in "Current portion of long-term debt" on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Interest is payable monthly, with a variable rate that resets weekly. As a security for payment of the bonds, the Company obtained a direct pay letter of credit issued by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. The letter of credit reduces annually by the principal reduction amount. The interest rate at December 31, 2014 was 0.16% for the IRB debt.

We entered into an interest rate swap agreement to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on the above IRB. At December 31, 2014, the effect of the swap agreement on the bond was to fix the rate at 3.46%. The swap agreement matures April 2018, but the notional amount is reduced annually by the amount of the optional principal payments on

the bond. Although we are exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the interest rate swap agreement, we anticipate performance by the counterparty.

2013 Compared to 2012

Operating Activities

During 2013, we generated \$54.7 million of net cash from operations, of which \$29.1 million was generated from operating activities and \$25.5 million was generated from working capital. During 2012, we generated \$27.7 million of net cash from operations, of which \$31.5 million was generated from operating activities and \$3.7 million was used for working capital.

Net cash from operations totaled \$29.1 million during 2013 and was generated from net income of \$7.6 million, and depreciation and amortization of \$23.6 million. Net cash from operations totaled \$31.5 million during 2012 and was generated from net income of \$2.3 million, depreciation and amortization of \$22.2 million and \$6.6 million from the non-cash goodwill impairment in the Southern region.

Working capital at December 31, 2013 totaled \$252.0 million, a \$27.9 million decrease from December 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily attributable to a \$24.5 million increase in accounts payable, a \$4.6 million decrease in cash and a \$3.7 million decrease in inventory.

Investing Activities

Net cash used for investing activities was \$16.1 million during 2013, compared to \$22.9 million during 2012. In 2013, capital expenditures were primarily attributable to the expansion of our tubular and pipe products segment's St. Paul facility and additional processing equipment at our flat products and tube and pipe products existing facilities.

Financing Activities

In 2013, we used \$43.2 million for financing activities, which primarily consisted of \$42.4 million of net repayments under our credit facility, industrial revenue bond and capital lease obligations.

Our Board of Directors approved regular quarterly dividends of \$0.02 per share, which were paid on each of March 15, 2013, June 17, 2013, September 16, 2013 and December 16, 2013.

Contractual Obligations

The following table reflects our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2014:

| Contractual Obligations (amounts in thousands) | Total | Less than | | More than | |
|---|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| | | 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | 5 years |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Long-term debt obligations (a) | \$247,620 | \$840 | \$1,760 | \$245,020 | \$- |
| Interest obligations (b) | 21,224 | 5,030 | 9,360 | 6,834 | - |
| Unrecognized tax positions (c) | 62 | 26 | 36 | - | - |
| Other long-term liabilities (d) | 12,450 | 805 | 1,189 | - | 10,456 |
| Operating leases (e) | 28,052 | 5,874 | 10,325 | 6,617 | 5,236 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$309,408 | \$12,575 | \$22,670 | \$258,471 | \$15,692 |

(a) See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(b) Future interest obligations are calculated using the debt balances and interest rates in effect on December 31, 2014.

(c) See Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Classification is based on expected settlement dates and the expiration of certain statutes of limitations.

(d) Primarily consists of accrued bonuses, retirement liabilities and deferred compensation payable in future years.

(e) See Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

An off-balance sheet arrangement is any contractual arrangement involving an unconsolidated entity under which a company has (a) made guarantees, (b) a retained or a contingent interest in transferred assets, (c) any obligation under certain derivative instruments or (d) any obligation under a material variable interest in an unconsolidated entity that provides financing, liquidity, market risk or credit risk support to a company, or engages in leasing, hedging, or research and development services within a company.

Other than operating leases, which are disclosed above, and derivative instruments discussed in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, as of December 31, 2014, we had no material off-balance sheet arrangements.

Effects of Inflation

Inflation generally affects us by increasing the cost of employee wages and benefits, transportation services, processing equipment, purchased metals, energy and borrowings under our credit facility. General inflation, excluding increases in the price of metals and increased distribution expense, has not had a material effect on our financial results during the past three years.

Critical Accounting Policies

This discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. On an on-going basis, we monitor and evaluate our estimates and assumptions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts Receivable

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. The allowance is maintained at a level considered appropriate based on historical experience and specific customer collection issues that we have identified. Estimations are based upon the application of a historical collection rate to the outstanding accounts receivable balance, which remains fairly level from year to year, and judgments about the probable effects of economic conditions on certain customers, which can fluctuate significantly from year to year. We cannot be certain that the rate of future credit losses will be similar to past experience. We consider all available information when assessing the adequacy of our allowance for doubtful accounts each quarter.

Inventory Valuation

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market and include the costs of the purchased metals, inbound freight, external and internal processing and applicable labor and overhead costs. Costs of our flat product segment's inventories, including flat-rolled sheet, coil and plate products are determined using the specific identification method.

Certain of our tubular and pipe products inventory is stated under the LIFO method. At December 31, 2014, approximately \$46.6 million, or 15.0% of consolidated inventory, was reported under the LIFO method of accounting. The cost of the remainder of CTI's inventory is determined using a weighted average rolling first-in, first-out method.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price paid over the fair value of the net assets acquired. We perform an annual impairment test of goodwill for Integrity Stainless and our tubular and pipe products segment's operations and indefinite-lived intangible assets for our CTI operation in the fourth quarter, or more frequently if changes in circumstances or the occurrence of events indicate potential impairment. Events or changes in circumstances that could trigger an impairment review include significant nonperformance relative to the expected historical or projected future operating results, significant changes in the manner of the use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business or significant negative industry or economic trends. Management uses its judgment to determine whether to use a qualitative analysis or a quantitative fair value measurement for each of the Company's reporting units that carry goodwill. During 2014, we used a quantitative measurement (Step 1) for the annual goodwill impairment test for both Integrity Stainless and our tubular and pipe products segment.

If a quantitative fair value measurement is used, the fair value of each indefinite-lived intangible asset is compared to its carrying value and an impairment charge is recorded if the carrying value exceeds the fair value. Goodwill is tested by comparing the fair value of each reporting unit with its carrying value. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the implied value of goodwill is compared to its carrying value and impairment is recognized to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the implied fair value.

If a quantitative approach is utilized, we estimate the fair value of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets using a discounted cash flow methodology, an income approach, and a publicly traded companies guideline method, a market approach. Management's assumptions used for the calculations are based on historical results, projected financial information and recent economic events. Actual results could differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions which could adversely affect the reported value of goodwill.

During the fourth quarter of 2014, we engaged an independent third party valuation expert to assist with the completion of the annual goodwill impairment testing pursuant ASC Topic 350-20-35, "Goodwill – Subsequent measurement." Due to challenging market conditions, our recent financial performance and the decrease of our market capitalization, we decided to perform the two-step quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value of the Integrity Stainless and the tubular and pipe products segment with its carrying value.

The first step of the goodwill impairment test showed that the fair value of the Integrity Stainless operations was in excess of its carrying value and no goodwill impairment was identified. For the tubular and pipe products segment, it was determined that the carrying value of the operations was in excess of the fair value and a potential goodwill impairment was identified. Based on the second step of the impairment test, we concluded that the implied fair value of goodwill for the tubular and pipe products segment was less than its carrying value and a goodwill impairment of \$23.8 million was identified and recognized.

Long-Lived Assets

We evaluate the recoverability of long-lived assets and the related estimated remaining lives whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of its depreciable long-lived assets may not be recoverable. Management uses its judgment to determine whether to use a qualitative analysis or a quantitative fair value measurement for the analysis. If circumstances are determined to exist where we will do a quantitative fair value analysis, an estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows produced by the long-lived asset, or grouping of assets, is compared to the carrying value to determine whether impairment exists. If an asset is determined to be impaired, a loss is recognized to the extent that carrying value exceeds fair value. Fair value is measured based on quoted market prices in active markets, if available. If quoted market prices are not available, the estimate of fair value is based on various valuation techniques, including the discounted value of estimated future cash flows.

Due to the impairment of the tubular and pipe products segment's goodwill, a triggering event occurred for the long-lived assets of the Company. We performed an undiscounted cash flow analysis these indicated that there were no indicators of impairment of the long-lived assets of the Company.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes on the consolidated balance sheet include, as an offset to the estimated temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and the reported amounts on the consolidated balance sheets, the tax effect of operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. If we determine that we will not be able to fully realize a deferred tax asset, we will record a valuation allowance to reduce such deferred tax asset to its net realizable value.

Revenue Recognition

For both direct and toll shipments, revenue is recognized when title and risk of loss is transferred, which generally occurs upon delivery to our customers. Given the proximity of our customers to our facilities, substantially all of our sales are shipped and received within one day. Sales returns and allowances are treated as reductions to sales and are provided for based on historical experience and current estimates and are immaterial to the consolidated financial statements.

The engineered products produced by CTI typically take several months to manufacture due to their size and complexity. Substantially all projects are completed within six months. The Company may request advance payments from customers during the production of these products. These payments are included in "Other accrued liabilities" on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Due to their short-term nature, the Company uses the units of delivery method to account for these contracts. Revenue for the contracts is recognized when the product is shipped and title of the product transfers to the customers. Revenues for these engineered products accounted for approximately 1.7%, 1.9% and 1.3% of our net sales during 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued ASU No. 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers.” This ASU is a joint project initiated by the Financial Accounting Standards Board and the International Accounting Standards Board to clarify the principles for recognizing revenue and to develop a common revenue standard for U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and International Financial Reporting Standards that will remove inconsistencies and weaknesses in revenue requirements; provide a more robust framework for addressing revenue issues; improve comparability of revenue recognition practices across entities, industries, jurisdictions and capital markets; provide more useful information to users of financial statements through improved disclosure requirements; and simplify the preparation of financial statements by reducing the number of requirements to which an entity must refer. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. The Company is in the process of determining the method of adoption and assessing the impact of this ASU on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, “Presentation of Financial Statements – Going Concern”. This ASU contains new guidance on management’s responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. Management must evaluate whether it is probable that known conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, would raise substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. If such conditions or events are identified, the standard requires management's mitigation plans to alleviate the doubt or a statement of the substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern to be disclosed in the financial statements. This ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to impact the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our principal raw materials are carbon, coated and stainless steel, and aluminum, pipe and tube, flat rolled coil, sheet and plate that we typically purchase from multiple primary metals producers. The metals industry as a whole is cyclical and, at times, pricing and availability of metals can be volatile due to numerous factors beyond our control, including general domestic and international economic conditions, labor costs, sales levels, competition, levels of inventory held by other metals service centers, consolidation of metals producers, new global capacity by metals producers, volatility in raw material costs for the producers of metals, import duties and tariffs and currency exchange rates. This volatility can significantly affect the availability and cost of raw materials for us.

We, like many other metals service centers, maintain substantial inventories of metals to accommodate the short lead times and just-in-time delivery requirements of our customers. Accordingly, we purchase metals in an effort to maintain our inventory at levels that we believe to be appropriate to satisfy the anticipated needs of our customers based upon historic buying practices, supply agreements with customers and market conditions. Our commitments to purchase metals are generally at prevailing market prices in effect at the time we place our orders. We have no long-term, fixed-price metals purchase contracts, except for the metals hedges discussed in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. When metals prices increase, competitive conditions will influence how much of the price increase we can pass on to our customers. To the extent we are unable to pass on future price increases in our raw materials to our customers, the net sales and profitability of our business could be adversely affected. When metals prices decline, customer demands for lower prices and our competitors' responses to those demands could result in lower sale prices and, consequently, lower gross profits and inventory lower of cost or market adjustments as we sell existing inventory. Significant or rapid declines in metals prices or reductions in sales volumes could adversely impact our ability to remain in compliance with certain financial covenants in our credit facility, as well as result in us incurring asset or goodwill impairment charges. Changing metals prices therefore could significantly impact our net sales, gross profits, operating income and net income.

Rising prices result in higher working capital requirements for us and our customers. Some customers may not have sufficient credit lines or liquidity to absorb significant increases in the price of metals. While we have generally been successful in the past in passing on producers' price increases and surcharges to our customers, there is no guarantee that we will be able to pass on price increases to our customers in the future.

Declining metals prices have generally adversely affected our net sales and net income, while increasing metals prices, have generally favorably affected our net sales and net income.

Approximately 7.9% of our consolidated net sales in 2014 were directly to automotive manufacturers or manufacturers of automotive components and parts. Historically, due to the concentration of customers in the automotive industry, our gross profits on these sales have generally been less than our gross profits on sales to customers in other industries.

Inflation generally affects us by increasing the cost of employee wages and benefits, transportation services, processing equipment, energy and borrowings under our credit facility. General inflation, excluding increases in the price of steel and increased distribution expense, has not had a material effect on our financial results during the past three years.

We are exposed to the impact of fluctuating metals prices and interest rate changes. During 2014, 2013 and 2012, we entered into metals swaps at the request of customers. While these derivatives are intended to be effective in helping us manage risk, they have not been designated as hedging instruments. For certain customers, we enter into contractual relationships that entitle us to pass-through the economic effect of trading positions that we take with other third parties on our customers' behalf. In 2014, we entered into carbon swaps in order to mitigate the volatility in the price of metals. The carbon swaps are accounted for as cash flow hedges.

Our primary interest rate risk exposure results from variable rate debt. If interest rates in the future were to increase 100 basis points (1.0%) from December 31, 2014 rates and, assuming no change in total debt from December 31, 2014 levels, the additional annual interest expense to us would be approximately \$2.0 million. We have the option to enter into 30- to 180-day fixed base rate LIBOR loans under the ABL Credit Facility. The Company assumed an interest rate swap agreement on the \$5.9 million of CTI IRB. The swap agreement matures in April 2018, but the notional amount may be reduced annually by the amount of the optional principal payments on the IRB. In June 2012, the Company entered into a forward starting fixed rate interest rate hedge commencing July 2013 in order to eliminate the variability of cash interest payments on approximately \$53.2 million of the then outstanding LIBOR-based borrowings under the ABL Credit Facility. The balance as of December 31, 2014 was \$40.1 million. The hedge matures on June 1, 2016 and the notional amount is reduced monthly by \$729 thousand. The fixed rate interest rate hedge is accounted for as a cash flow hedging instrument for accounting purposes. The Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other parties to the interest rate swap and fixed interest rate hedge agreements. However, the Company does not anticipate nonperformance by the counterparties.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Olympic Steel, Inc.

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|--------------------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 43 |
| Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting | 44 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 | 45 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 | 46 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 | 47 |
| Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 | 48 |
| Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 | 49 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 | 50 |

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of Olympic Steel, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Olympic Steel, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in 2013. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Cleveland, Ohio

February 26, 2015

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in 2013. Based on our assessment, we concluded that, as of December 31, 2014, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

Olympic Steel, Inc.**Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income****For The Years Ended December 31,**

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net sales | \$1,436,270 | \$1,263,331 | \$1,383,701 |
| Costs and expenses | | | |
| Cost of materials sold (excludes items shown separately below) | 1,160,310 | 999,207 | 1,113,852 |
| Warehouse and processing | 92,170 | 84,332 | 84,389 |
| Administrative and general | 72,219 | 68,520 | 68,253 |
| Distribution | 41,312 | 35,076 | 35,009 |
| Selling | 24,799 | 24,905 | 27,635 |
| Occupancy | 10,052 | 9,395 | 8,671 |
| Depreciation | 19,891 | 21,352 | 19,971 |
| Amortization | 889 | 889 | 889 |
| Goodwill impairment | 23,836 | - | 6,583 |
| Total costs and expenses | 1,445,478 | 1,243,676 | 1,365,252 |
| Operating income (loss) | (9,208) | 19,655 | 18,449 |
| Asset impairment charge of joint venture real estate | - | - | (36) |
| Other income (loss), net | (126) | (28) | 83 |
| Income (loss) before interest and income taxes | (9,334) | 19,627 | 18,496 |
| Interest and other expense on debt | 6,780 | 6,703 | 8,357 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (16,114) | 12,924 | 10,139 |
| Income tax provision | 2,950 | 5,277 | 7,862 |
| Net income (loss) | \$(19,064) | \$7,647 | \$2,277 |
| Net gain (loss) on interest rate hedge | 324 | 231 | (941) |
| Net loss on cash flow hedges | (312) | - | - |
| Tax effect of hedges | (125) | (89) | 362 |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | \$(19,177) | \$7,789 | \$1,698 |
| Net income (loss) per share - basic | \$(1.71) | \$0.69 | \$0.21 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 11,120 | 11,065 | 10,989 |
| Net income (loss) per share - diluted | \$(1.71) | \$0.69 | \$0.21 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted | 11,120 | 11,074 | 10,995 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Olympic Steel, Inc.**Consolidated Balance Sheets****As of December 31,**

(in thousands)

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$2,238 | \$3,186 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 123,804 | 115,288 |
| Inventories, net (includes LIFO debit of \$3,207 and \$3,572 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively) | 311,108 | 286,371 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 20,434 | 12,786 |
| Assets held for sale | 1,125 | - |
| Total current assets | 458,709 | 417,631 |
| Property and equipment, at cost | 366,989 | 361,368 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (189,603) | (170,484) |
| Net property and equipment | 177,386 | 190,884 |
| Goodwill | 16,951 | 40,787 |
| Intangible assets, net | 33,646 | 34,535 |
| Other long-term assets | 14,056 | 13,512 |
| Total assets | \$700,748 | \$697,349 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$3,530 | \$13,090 |
| Accounts payable | 91,252 | 126,012 |
| Accrued payroll | 10,224 | 10,723 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 26,971 | 15,808 |
| Total current liabilities | 131,977 | 165,633 |
| Credit facility revolver | 244,090 | 146,075 |
| Long-term debt | - | 40,104 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 13,249 | 13,445 |
| Deferred income taxes | 30,651 | 33,476 |
| Total liabilities | 419,967 | 398,733 |
| Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Preferred stock, without par value, 5,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding | - | - |
| Common stock, without par value, 20,000 shares authorized, 10,984 and 10,964 shares issued and outstanding | 126,339 | 124,118 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (549) | (437) |
| Retained earnings | 154,991 | 174,935 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 280,781 | 298,616 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$700,748 | \$697,349 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these balance sheets.

Olympic Steel, Inc.**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****For The Years Ended December 31,**

(in thousands)

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash flows from (used for) operating activities: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(19,064) | \$7,647 | \$2,277 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash from operating activities - | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 21,840 | 23,582 | 22,156 |
| Goodwill impairment | 23,836 | - | 6,583 |
| Asset impairment of joint venture real estate | - | - | 36 |
| (Gain) loss on disposition of property and equipment | 248 | 169 | (198) |
| Stock-based compensation | 2,074 | 1,724 | 2,342 |
| Other long-term assets | (386) | (3,771) | (1,619) |
| Other long-term liabilities | (3,134) | (204) | (107) |
| | 25,414 | 29,147 | 31,470 |
| Changes in working capital: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (8,516) | (2,447) | 9,738 |
| Inventories | (24,737) | 3,652 | (12,258) |
| Prepaid expenses and other | (7,648) | (1,055) | 1,345 |
| Accounts payable | (24,090) | 9,282 | (2,828) |
| Change in outstanding checks | (10,670) | 15,259 | (126) |
| Accrued payroll and other accrued liabilities | 10,663 | 843 | 400 |
| | (64,998) | 25,534 | (3,729) |
| Net cash from (used for) operating activities | (39,584) | 54,681 | 27,741 |
| Cash flows from (used for) investing activities: | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (7,834) | (16,098) | (23,373) |
| Proceeds from disposition of property and equipment | 68 | 20 | 486 |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (7,766) | (16,078) | (22,887) |
| Cash flows from (used for) financing activities: | | | |
| Credit facility revolver borrowings | 632,726 | 423,232 | 535,360 |
| Credit facility revolver repayments | (534,711) | (454,732) | (528,190) |
| Principal payments under capital lease obligations | - | (1,407) | (170) |
| Term loan repayments | (48,854) | (8,750) | (8,749) |
| Industrial revenue bond repayments | (810) | (785) | (755) |
| Credit facility fees and expenses | (1,218) | (3) | (1,212) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options (including tax benefits) and employee stock purchases | 147 | 122 | 114 |
| Dividends paid | (878) | (876) | (873) |
| Net cash from (used for) financing activities | 46,402 | (43,199) | (4,475) |

Cash and cash equivalents:

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------|---|---------|---|---------|
| Net change | (948 |) | (4,596 |) | 379 |
| Beginning balance | 3,186 | | 7,782 | | 7,403 |
| Ending balance | \$2,238 | | \$3,186 | | \$7,782 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Olympic Steel, Inc.

Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information

For The Years Ended December 31,

(in thousands)

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| <i>Cash paid during the period</i> | | | |
| Interest paid | \$5,793 | \$5,537 | \$7,295 |
| Income taxes paid | \$4,658 | \$7,556 | \$6,940 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

Olympic Steel, Inc.**Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity****For The Years Ended December 31,**

(in thousands)

| | Common Stock | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Total Equity |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 119,816 | \$ 166,760 | \$ - | \$ 286,576 |
| Net income | \$- | \$ 2,277 | \$ - | \$ 2,277 |
| Payment of dividends | - | (873) | - | (873) |
| Exercise of stock options and employee stock purchases (36 shares) | 114 | - | - | 114 |
| Stock-based compensation | 2,342 | - | - | 2,342 |
| Change in fair value of interest rate hedge | - | - | (579) | (579) |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 122,272 | \$ 168,164 | \$ (579) | \$ 289,857 |
| Net income | \$- | \$ 7,647 | \$ - | \$ 7,647 |
| Payment of dividends | - | (876) | - | (876) |
| Exercise of stock options and employee stock purchases (12 shares) | 122 | - | - | 122 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,724 | - | - | 1,724 |
| Change in fair value of interest rate hedge | - | - | 142 | 142 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$ 124,118 | \$ 174,935 | \$ (437) | \$ 298,616 |
| Net income (loss) | \$- | \$(19,064) | \$ - | \$(19,064) |
| Payment of dividends | - | (878) | - | (878) |
| Exercise of stock options and employee stock purchases (7 shares) | 147 | - | - | 147 |
| Stock-based compensation | 2,074 | - | - | 2,074 |
| Changes in fair value of hedges | - | - | (113) | (113) |
| Other | - | (2) | 1 | (1) |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$ 126,339 | \$ 154,991 | \$ (549) | \$ 280,781 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Olympic Steel, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For The Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

Nature of Business

The Company is a leading U.S. metals service center specializing in the processing and distribution of large volumes of carbon, coated, aluminum and stainless steel, flat-rolled coil, sheet and plate products and tubular and pipe products from facilities throughout the United States. The Company operates in two reportable segments; flat products and tubular and pipe products. In recent years the Company has increased its participation in the stainless and aluminum markets, which the Company refers to as specialty metals. As a result, based on how the chief operating decision maker, or CODM, is expected to make decisions, assess performance and allocate resources in the future, the Company expects to disclose three reportable segments beginning in the first quarter of 2015. The segments will be flat products, tubular and pipe products, and specialty metals. Through its flat products segment, the Company sells and distributes large volumes of processed carbon, coated, aluminum and stainless flat-rolled sheet, coil and plate products. Through its tubular and pipe products segment, the Company distributes metals tubing, pipe, bar, valve and fittings and the fabrication of pressure parts supplied to various industrial markets.

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Olympic Steel, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, the Company or Olympic), after elimination of intercompany accounts and transactions.

Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentration Risks

The Company is a major customer of flat-rolled coil and plate and tubular and pipe steel for many of its principal suppliers, but is not dependent on any one supplier. The Company purchased approximately 43%, 42%, and 44% of its total steel requirements from its three largest suppliers in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company has a diversified customer and geographic base, which reduces the inherent risk and cyclicity of its business. The concentration of net sales to the Company's top 20 customers approximated 29%, 30% and 31% of consolidated net sales in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In addition, the Company's largest customer accounted for approximately 6%, 5% and 4% of consolidated net sales in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Sales to industrial machinery and equipment manufacturers and their fabricators accounted for 51%, 50% and 50% of consolidated net sales in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of short-term highly liquid investments, with a three month or less maturity, which are readily convertible into cash.

Fair Market Value

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. To measure fair value, the Company applies a fair value hierarchy that is based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, as follows:

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 – Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Financial instruments, such as cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and the credit facility revolver, are stated at their carrying value, which is a reasonable estimate of fair value. The fair value of marketable securities is based on quoted market prices.

Accounts Receivable

The Company's allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained at a level considered appropriate based on historical experience and specific customer collection issues that the Company has identified. Estimations are based upon a calculated percentage of accounts receivable, which remains fairly level from year to year, and judgments about the probable effects of economic conditions on certain customers, which can fluctuate significantly from year to year. The Company cannot guarantee that the rate of future credit losses will be similar to past experience.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market and include the costs of purchased metals, inbound freight, external processing and applicable labor and overhead costs. Costs of our flat products segment's inventories, including flat-rolled sheet, coil and plate products are determined using the specific identification method.

Certain of the Company's tubular and pipe products inventory is stated under the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method. At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, approximately \$46.6 million, or 15.0% of consolidated inventory, and \$43.9 million, or 15.3% of consolidated inventory, respectively, was reported under the LIFO method of accounting. The cost of the remainder of tubular and pipe product segment's inventory is determined using a weighted average rolling first-in, first-out (FIFO) method.

On the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, "Cost of materials sold (exclusive of items shown separately below)" consists of the cost of purchased metals, inbound and internal transfer freight, external processing costs, and LIFO income or expense.

Property and Equipment, and Depreciation

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from two to 30 years. The Company capitalizes the costs of obtaining or developing internal-use software, including directly related payroll costs. The Company amortizes those costs over five years, beginning when the software is ready for its intended use.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price paid over the fair value of the net assets acquired. The Company performs an annual impairment test of goodwill for our Integrity Stainless and tubular and pipe products segment and indefinite-lived intangible assets for the tubular and pipe products segment in the fourth quarter, or more frequently if changes in circumstances or the occurrence of events indicate potential impairment. Events or changes in circumstances that could trigger an impairment review include significant nonperformance relative to the expected historical or projected future operating results, significant changes in the manner of the use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business or significant negative industry or economic trends. Management uses judgment to determine whether to use a qualitative analysis or a quantitative fair value measurement for each of the Company's reporting units that carry goodwill.

If a quantitative fair value measurement is used, the fair value of each indefinite-lived intangible asset is compared to its carrying value and an impairment charge is recorded if the carrying value exceeds the fair value. Goodwill is tested by comparing the fair value of each reporting unit with its carrying value. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the implied value of goodwill is compared to its carrying value and impairment is recognized to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the implied fair value.

The Company estimates the fair value of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets using a discounted cash flow methodology, an income approach, and a publicly traded companies guideline method, a market approach. Management's assumptions used for the calculations are based on historical results, projected financial information and recent economic events. Actual results could differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions which could adversely affect the reported value of goodwill.

During the fourth quarter of 2014, the Company engaged an independent third party valuation expert to assist with the completion of the annual goodwill impairment testing pursuant ASC Topic 350-20-35, "Goodwill – Subsequent measurement." Due to challenging market conditions, the Company's recent financial performance and the decrease of the Company's market capitalization, the Company decided to perform the two-step quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value of the Integrity Stainless and tubular and pipe products segment with its carrying value.

The first step of the goodwill impairment test showed that the fair value of the Integrity Stainless operations was in excess of its carrying value and no goodwill impairment was identified. For the Company's tubular and pipe products segment, it was determined that the carrying value of the operations was in excess of the fair value and a potential goodwill impairment was identified. Based on the second step of the impairment test, the Company concluded that the implied fair value of goodwill for the tubular and pipe products segment was less than its carrying value and a goodwill impairment of \$23.8 million was identified and recognized.

Income Taxes

The Company, on its consolidated balance sheets, records as an offset to the estimated effect of temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and the reported amounts in its consolidated balance sheets, the tax effect of operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. If the Company determines that it will not be able to fully realize a deferred tax asset, it will record a valuation allowance to reduce such deferred tax asset to its realizable value. The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. Penalties, if incurred, would be recognized as a component of administrative and general expense.

Revenue Recognition

For both direct and toll shipments, revenue is recognized when title and risk of loss is transferred, which generally occurs upon delivery to our customers. Given the proximity of the Company's customers to its facilities, substantially all of the Company's sales are shipped and received within one day. Sales returns and allowances are treated as reductions to sales and are provided for based on historical experience and current estimates and are immaterial to the consolidated financial statements.

The engineered products produced by Chicago Tube and Iron Company (CTI) typically take several months to produce due to their size and complexity. Substantially all projects are completed within six months. The Company may request advance payments from customers during the production of these products. These payments are included in current short-term liabilities on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet. Due to their short-term nature, the Company uses the units of delivery method to account for these contracts. Revenue for the contracts is recognized when the product is shipped and title of the product transfers to the customers. Revenues for these engineered products accounted for approximately 1.7%, 1.9% and 1.3% of our net sales during 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs

Amounts charged to customers for shipping and other transportation services are included in net sales. The distribution expense line on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income is entirely comprised of all shipping and other transportation costs incurred by the Company in shipping goods to its customers.

Recoverability of Long-lived Assets

The Company evaluates the recoverability of long-lived assets and the related estimated remaining lives whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Events or changes in circumstances that could trigger an impairment review include significant underperformance relative to the expected historical or projected future operating results, significant changes in the manner of the use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business or significant negative industry or economic trends. The Company records an impairment or change in useful life whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable or the useful life has changed.

Due to the impairment of the tubular and pipe products segment's goodwill, a triggering event occurred for the Company's long-lived assets. We performed an undiscounted cash flow analysis which indicated that there were no indicators of impairment of the long-lived assets of the Company.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company records compensation expense for stock options issued to employees and directors. The Company has elected to use the modified prospective transition method where compensation expense is recorded prospectively. For additional information, see Note 11, Equity Plans.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU No. 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers." This ASU is a joint project initiated by the Financial Accounting Standards Board and the International Accounting Standards Board to clarify the principles for recognizing revenue and to develop a common revenue standard for U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and International Financial Reporting Standards that will remove inconsistencies and weaknesses in revenue requirements; provide a more robust framework for addressing revenue issues; improve comparability of revenue recognition practices across entities, industries, jurisdictions and capital markets; provide more useful information to users of financial statements through improved disclosure requirements; and simplify the preparation of financial statements by reducing the number of requirements to which an entity must refer. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. The Company is in the process of determining the method of adoption and assessing the impact of this ASU on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, "Presentation of Financial Statements – Going Concern". This ASU contains new guidance on management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. Management must evaluate whether it is probable that known conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, would raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. If such conditions or events are identified, the standard requires management's mitigation plans to alleviate the doubt or a statement of the substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern to be disclosed in the financial statements. This ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to impact the Company's consolidated financial statements.

2. Accounts Receivable:

Accounts receivable are presented net of allowances for doubtful accounts and unissued credits of \$2.9 million and \$3.2 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Bad debt expense totaled \$467 thousand in 2014, \$83 thousand in 2013 and \$322 thousand in 2012.

The Company's allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained at a level considered appropriate based on historical experience and specific customer collection issues that the Company has identified. Estimations are based upon a calculated percentage of accounts receivable, which remains fairly level from year to year, and judgments about the probable effects of economic conditions on certain customers, which can fluctuate significantly from year to year. The Company cannot guarantee that the rate of future credit losses will be similar to past experience. The Company considers all available information when assessing the adequacy of its allowance for doubtful accounts.

3. Inventories:

Inventories consisted of the following:

| | As of December 31, 2014 | As of December 31, 2013 |
|------------------------|--|--|
| (in thousands) | | |
| Unprocessed | \$ 238,226 | \$ 219,401 |
| Processed and finished | 72,882 | 66,970 |
| Totals | \$ 311,108 | \$ 286,371 |

During 2014, the Company recorded \$365 thousand of LIFO expense as a result of increased metals pricing during 2014. The LIFO expense decreased the Company's inventory balance and increased its cost of materials sold.

During 2013, the Company recorded \$3.6 million of LIFO income as a result of the continued decline of metals pricing in 2013. The LIFO income increased the Company's inventory balance and decreased its cost of materials sold. In the first quarter of 2013, the Company made an out-of-period adjustment to record previously unrecognized LIFO income of \$1.9 million, which resulted in an increase to after-tax income of \$1.2 million. The Company determined that this adjustment was not material to its 2013 or prior period consolidated financial statements.

If the FIFO method had been in use, inventories would have been \$3.2 million and \$3.6 million lower than reported at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

4. Property and Equipment:

Property and equipment consists of the following:

| (in thousands) | Depreciable Lives | December 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Land | - | \$ 16,001 | \$ 16,193 |
| Land improvements | 5-10 | 2,764 | 2,650 |

Edgar Filing: OLYMPIC STEEL INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|
| Buildings and improvements | 7-30 | 131,107 | 132,299 |
| Machinery and equipment | 2-15 | 181,378 | 172,671 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 3-7 | 6,550 | 6,422 |
| Computer software and equipment | 2-5 | 26,842 | 25,844 |
| Vehicles | 2-5 | 1,247 | 1,220 |
| Construction in progress | - | 1,100 | 4,069 |
| | | 366,989 | 361,368 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | | (189,603) | (170,484) |
| Net property and equipment | | \$177,386 | \$190,884 |

Leasehold improvements are included with buildings and improvements and are depreciated over the life of the lease or seven years, whichever is less.

Construction in progress, as of December 31, 2014, primarily consisted of payments for additional processing equipment at our existing facilities that was not yet placed into service.

5. Intangible Assets:

Intangible assets, net, consisted of the following as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2014 | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Intangible Assets, Net |
| Customer relationships - subject to amortization | \$ 13,332 | \$ (3,111 |) \$ 10,221 |
| Trade name - not subject to amortization | 23,425 | - | 23,425 |
| | \$ 36,757 | \$ (3,111 |) \$ 33,646 |

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2013 | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Intangible Assets, Net |
| Customer relationships - subject to amortization | \$ 13,332 | \$ (2,222 |) \$ 11,110 |
| Trade name - not subject to amortization | 23,425 | - | 23,425 |
| | \$ 36,757 | \$ (2,222 |) \$ 34,535 |

All of the Company's intangible assets were recorded in connection with its July 1, 2011 acquisition of CTI. The intangible assets noted above were evaluated on the premise of highest and best use to a market participant, primarily utilizing the income approach valuation methodology. The useful life of the CTI trade name was determined to be indefinite primarily due to its history and reputation in the marketplace, the Company's expectation that the CTI trade name will continue to be used throughout the life of CTI, and the conclusion that there are currently no other factors identified that would limit its useful life. The useful life of the CTI customer relationships was determined to be fifteen years, based primarily on the consistent and predictable revenue source associated with the existing CTI customer base, the present value of which extends through the fifteen year amortization period. The Company will continue to evaluate the useful life assigned to our amortizable customer relationships in future periods.

Due to the impairment of the tubular and pipe segment's goodwill, a triggering event occurred for the intangible assets subject to amortization and an impairment test was completed. Additionally, the indefinite lived intangible asset was subject to the Company's annual impairment test. These tests revealed no impairment to the Company's intangible assets.

The Company estimates that amortization expense for its intangible assets subject to amortization will be \$0.9 million per year in each of the next five years.

6. Goodwill:

In accordance with the Accounting Standards Codification (ASC), on an annual basis, an impairment test of goodwill is performed in the fourth quarter or more frequently if changes in circumstances or the occurrence of events indicate potential impairment. Events or changes in circumstances that could trigger an impairment review include significant nonperformance relative to the expected historical or projected future operating results, significant changes in the manner of the use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business or significant negative industry or economic trends.

During the fourth quarter of 2014, the Company engaged an independent third party valuation expert to assist with the completion of the annual goodwill impairment testing pursuant ASC Topic 350-20-35, "Goodwill – Subsequent measurement." Due to challenging fourth quarter market conditions, the Company's recent financial performance and the decrease of the Company's market capitalization, the Company decided to perform the two-step quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value of the Integrity Stainless and tubular and pipe products segment with its carrying value.

The first step of the goodwill impairment test showed that the fair value of the Integrity Stainless operations was in excess of its carrying value and no goodwill impairment was identified. For the Company's tubular and pipe products segment, it was determined that the carrying value of the operations was in excess of the fair value and a potential goodwill impairment was identified. Based on the second step of the impairment test, the Company concluded that the implied fair value of goodwill for the tubular and pipe products segment was less than its carrying value and a goodwill impairment of \$23.8 million was recorded.

The determination of fair value of the reporting units used to perform the first step of the impairment test requires judgment and involves significant estimates and assumptions about the expected future cash flows and the impact of market conditions on those assumptions. Due to the inherent uncertainty associated with these estimates, actual results could differ materially from these estimates. Although management believes the assumptions used in testing the Company's reporting units' goodwill for impairment are reasonable, it is possible that market and economic conditions could deteriorate further or not improve as expected. Additional declines in or a lack of recovery in market conditions from current levels, weaker than anticipated Company financial performance, or an increase in the market-based weighted average cost of capital, among other factors, could significantly impact the impairment analysis and may result in further goodwill impairment charges that, if incurred, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. A 1% change to the weighted average cost of capital would impact the goodwill impairment by \$16 million to \$20 million and a 1% change in the terminal growth rate would impact the goodwill impairment by \$10 million to \$13 million.

Goodwill, by reportable segment, was as follows as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

| (in thousands) | Flat Products | Tubular and Pipe Products | Total |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2012 | \$ 500 | \$ 40,287 | \$ 40,787 |
| Acquisitions | - | - | - |
| Impairments | - | - | - |
| Balance as of December 31, 2013 | \$ 500 | \$ 40,287 | \$ 40,787 |
| Acquisitions | - | - | - |
| Impairments | - | (23,836) | (23,836) |
| Balance as of December 31, 2014 | \$ 500 | \$ 16,451 | \$ 16,951 |

The goodwill is not deductible for income tax purposes. The goodwill represents the excess of cost over the fair value of net tangible and intangible assets acquired. The Company paid goodwill in conjunction with the acquisitions, as they enhance the Company's commercial opportunities by adding new product offerings to an expanded customer base and by increasing the Company's distribution footprint.

7. Debt:

The Company's debt is comprised of the following components:

| (in thousands) | As of December 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Asset-based revolving credit facility due June 30, 2019 | \$ 244,090 | \$ - |
| Asset-based revolving credit facility due June 30, 2016 | - | 146,075 |
| Term loan due June 30, 2016 | - | 48,854 |
| Industrial revenue bond due April 1, 2018 | 3,530 | 4,340 |
| Total debt | 247,620 | 199,269 |
| Less current amount | (3,530) | (13,090) |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 244,090 | \$ 186,179 |

On June 30, 2014, the Company amended its existing asset-based credit facility (ABL Credit Facility). The ABL Credit Facility amendment provides for, among other things: (i) a reduction in the applicable margin for loans under the Company's Loan and Security Agreement; (ii) a consolidation of the previous \$315.0 million revolver and then

outstanding \$44.5 million term loan into a \$365 million revolving credit facility; (iii) the removal of the Company's real estate as collateral for borrowings; and (iv) the extension of the maturity date until June 30, 2019. Revolver borrowings are limited to the lesser of a borrowing base, comprised of eligible receivables and inventories, or \$365 million in the aggregate. The ABL Credit Facility matures on June 30, 2019.

The ABL Credit Facility requires the Company to comply with various covenants, the most significant of which include: (i) until maturity of the ABL Credit Facility, if any commitments or obligations are outstanding and the Company's availability is less than the greater of \$30 million or 10.0% of the aggregate amount of revolver commitments (\$36.5 million at December 31, 2014) then the Company must maintain a ratio of EBITDA minus certain capital expenditures and cash taxes paid to fixed charges of at least 1.00 to 1.00 for the most recent twelve fiscal month period; (ii) limitations on dividend payments; and (iii) restrictions on additional indebtedness. The Company has the option to borrow under its revolver based on the agent's base rate plus a premium ranging from 0.00% to 0.25% or the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a premium ranging from 1.25% to 3.00%.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company was in compliance with its covenants and had approximately \$98 million of availability under the ABL Credit Facility.

As of December 31, 2014, \$3.5 million of bank financing fees, including \$1.2 million related to the amendment of the ABL Credit Facility, were included in "Prepaid expenses and other" and "Other long-term assets" on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The financing fees are being amortized over the five-year term of the ABL Credit facility and are included in "Interest and other expense on debt" on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

In June 2012, the Company entered into a forward starting fixed rate interest rate hedge that commenced June 2013, in order to eliminate the variability of cash interest payments on \$53.2 million of then outstanding LIBOR-based borrowings under the ABL Credit Facility. The hedge matures on June 1, 2016 and the notional amount is reduced monthly by \$729 thousand. The hedged balance as of December 31, 2014 was \$40.1 million. The interest rate hedge fixed the rate at 1.21% plus a premium ranging from 1.75% to 2.25%. Although the Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other parties to the interest rate hedge agreement, the Company anticipates performance by the counterparties.

As part of CTI acquisition in July 2011, the Company assumed approximately \$5.9 million of Industrial Revenue Bond (IRB) indebtedness issued through the Stanly County, North Carolina Industrial Revenue and Pollution Control Authority. The bond matures in April 2018, with the option to provide principal payments annually on April 1st. On April 1, 2014, the Company paid an optional principal payment of \$810 thousand. Since the IRB is remarketed annually, it is included in "Current portion of long-term debt" on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Interest is payable monthly, with a variable rate that resets weekly. As a security for payment of the bonds, the Company obtained a direct pay letter of credit issued by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. The letter of credit reduces annually by the principal reduction amount. The interest rate at December 31, 2014 was 0.16% for the IRB debt.

CTI entered into an interest rate swap agreement to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on the above IRB. At December 31, 2014, the effect of the swap agreement on the bond was to fix the rate at 3.46%. The swap agreement matures in April 2018, and is reduced annually by the amount of the optional principal payments on the bond. The Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other parties to the interest rate swap agreement. However, the Company does not anticipate nonperformance by the counterparties.

Scheduled Debt Maturities, Interest, Debt Carrying Values

The Company's principal payments over the next five years and thereafter are detailed in the table below:

| (in thousands) | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Thereafter | Total |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Revolver | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$244,090 | \$ - | \$244,090 |
| Industrial revenue bond | 840 | 865 | 895 | 930 | - | - | 3,530 |
| Total principal payments | \$840 | \$865 | \$895 | \$930 | \$244,090 | \$ - | \$247,620 |

The overall effective interest rate for all debt, exclusive of deferred financing fees and deferred commitment fees, amounted to 2.4%, 2.3% and 2.7% in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Interest paid totaled \$5.8 million, \$5.5 million and \$7.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Average total debt outstanding was \$234.7 million, \$219.2 million and \$254.2 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

8. Derivative Instruments:

Metals swaps

During 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company entered into nickel swaps indexed to the London Metal Exchange (LME) price of nickel with third-party brokers. In 2014, the Company entered into carbon swaps indexed to the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) price of U.S. Midwest Domestic Hot-Rolled Coil Steel with third-party brokers. The nickel and carbon swaps are treated as derivatives for accounting purposes. The Company entered into the swaps to mitigate its customers' risk of volatility in the price of metals. The outstanding nickel swaps have one to seventeen months remaining and the outstanding carbon swaps have one to twelve months remaining. The swaps are settled with the brokers at maturity. The economic benefit or loss arising from the changes in fair value of the swaps is contractually passed through to the customer. The primary risk associated with the metals swaps is the ability of customers or third-party brokers to honor their agreements with the Company related to derivative instruments. If the customer or third-party brokers are unable to honor their agreements, the Company's risk of loss is the fair value of the metals swaps.

While these derivatives are intended to help the Company manage risk, they have not been designated as hedging instruments. The periodic changes in fair value of the metals and embedded customer derivative instruments are included in “Cost of materials sold” in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. The Company recognizes derivative positions with both the customer and the third party for the derivatives and classifies cash settlement amounts associated with them as part of “Cost of materials sold” in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. The cumulative change in fair value of the metals swaps that have not yet settled are included in “Other accrued liabilities”, and the embedded customer derivatives are included in “Accounts receivable, net” on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

In 2014, the Company entered into carbon swaps to mitigate its risk of volatility in the price of metals. The swaps are indexed to the NYMEX price of U.S. Midwest Domestic Hot-Rolled Coil Steel with third-party brokers. The outstanding carbon swaps have four to twelve months remaining. The metals swaps are accounted for as cash flow hedges and are included in “Other accrued liabilities” and “Prepaid expenses and other” on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2014. The periodic change in fair value of the metals hedges are included in “Accumulated other comprehensive loss” on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2014.

Interest rate swap

CTI entered into an interest rate swap to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on its IRB. The swap agreement matures April 2018, the same time as the IRB, but the notional amount is reduced annually by the optional principal payments on the IRB. Although the Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other parties to the interest rate swap agreement, the Company anticipates performance by the counterparties. The interest rate swap is not treated as a hedging instrument for accounting purposes.

The periodic changes in fair value of the interest rate swap and cash settlement amounts associated with the interest rate swap are included in “Interest and other expense on debt” in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

Fixed rate interest rate hedge

In June 2012, the Company entered into a forward starting fixed rate interest rate hedge that commenced June 2013 in order to eliminate the variability of cash interest payments on \$53.2 million of the outstanding LIBOR-based borrowings under the ABL Credit Facility. The balance as of December 31, 2014 was \$40.1 million. The hedge matures on June 1, 2016 and the notional amount is reduced monthly by \$729 thousand. The interest rate hedge fixed the rate at 1.21% plus a premium ranging from 1.25% to 1.75%. Although the Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other parties to the interest rate hedge agreement, the Company anticipates

performance by the counterparties. The fixed interest rate hedge is accounted for as a cash flow hedging instrument for accounting purposes.

The table below shows the total net gain or (loss) recognized in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income of the derivatives for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

| (in thousands) | Net Gain (Loss) | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Recognized | | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Interest rate swap (CTI) | \$(100) | \$(167) | \$(46) |
| Fixed interest rate swap (ABL) | (472) | (309) | - |
| Metals swaps | 622 | (1,037) | (113) |
| Embedded customer derivatives | (934) | 1,037 | 113 |
| Total gain (loss) | \$(884) | \$(476) | \$(46) |

9. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities:

The Company's financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, short-term trade receivables, derivative instruments, accounts payable and debt instruments. For short-term instruments, other than those required to be reported at fair value on a recurring basis and for which additional disclosures are included below, management concluded the historical carrying value is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the short period of time between the origination of such instruments and their expected realization.

During 2014 and 2013, there were no transfers of financial assets between Levels 1, 2 or 3 fair value measurements. There have been no changes in the methodologies used at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

Metals swaps and embedded customer derivatives – Determined by using Level 2 inputs that include the price of nickel indexed to the LME and the price of Hot Rolled Coil Steel indexed to the NYMEX. The fair value is determined based on quoted market prices and reflects the estimated amounts the Company would pay or receive to terminate the nickel swaps.

Interest rate swap – Based on the present value of the expected future cash flows, considering the risks involved, and using discount rates appropriate for the maturity date. Market observable Level 2 inputs are used to determine the present value of future cash flows.

The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company:

| (in thousands) | Value of Items Recorded at Fair Value As of December 31, 2014 | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Embedded customer derivatives | \$- | \$487 | \$ - | \$487 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$- | \$487 | \$ - | \$487 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Metals swaps | \$- | \$487 | \$ - | \$487 |
| Interest rate swap (CTI) | - | 178 | - | 178 |

| | | | | |
|---|-----|---------|-----|---------|
| Fixed interest rate swap (ABL) | - | 386 | - | 386 |
| Total liabilities recorded at fair value | \$- | \$1,051 | \$- | \$1,051 |

**Value of Items Not Recorded at
Fair Value**

As of December 31, 2014

| (in thousands) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|---|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| IRB | \$3,530 | \$- | \$- | \$3,530 |
| ABL Credit Facility | - | 244,090 | - | 244,090 |
| Total liabilities not recorded at fair value | \$3,530 | \$244,090 | \$- | \$247,620 |

The value of the items not recorded at fair value represent the carrying value of the liabilities.

| (in thousands) | Value of Items Recorded at Fair Value As of December 31, 2013 | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Embedded customer derivatives | \$- | \$614 | \$ - | \$614 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$- | \$614 | \$ - | \$614 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Metals swaps | \$- | \$614 | \$ - | \$614 |
| Interest rate swap (CTI) | - | 279 | - | 279 |
| Fixed interest rate swap (ABL) | - | 710 | - | 710 |
| Total liabilities recorded at fair value | \$- | \$1,603 | \$ - | \$1,603 |

| (in thousands) | Value of Items Not Recorded at Fair Value As of December 31, 2013 | | | |
|---|--|----------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| IRB | \$4,340 | \$- | \$ - | \$4,340 |
| Term loan | - | 48,854 | - | 48,854 |
| ABL Credit Facility | - | 146,075 | - | 146,075 |
| Total liabilities not recorded at fair value | \$4,340 | \$194,929 | \$ - | \$199,269 |

The value of the items not recorded at fair value represent the carrying value of the liabilities.

The fair value of the IRB is determined using Level 1 inputs. The carrying value and the fair value of the IRB that qualify as financial instruments were \$3.5 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

The fair values of the revolver and term loan are determined using Level 2 inputs. The carrying value of the revolver was \$244.1 million at December 31, 2014. The carrying values of the revolver and the term loan were \$146.1 million and \$48.9 million, respectively, at December 31, 2013. The Level 2 fair value of the Company's long-term debt was estimated using prevailing market interest rates on debt with similar creditworthiness, terms and maturities.

**Assets Measured at Fair Value on a
Nonrecurring Basis**

**Total
Gain/**

| | 12/31/2014 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | (Loss) |
|--|------------|---------|---------|----------|------------|
| Goodwill (tubular and pipe products segment) | \$16,451 | \$ - | \$ - | \$16,451 | \$(23,836) |
| Total | \$16,451 | \$ - | \$ - | \$16,451 | \$(23,836) |

The fair value of Goodwill is using level 3 inputs. See note 6 for the key assumptions in determining fair value.

10. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss:

In June 2012, the Company entered into a forward starting fixed rate interest rate hedge commencing July 2013 in order to eliminate the variability of cash interest payments on \$53.2 million of the outstanding LIBOR-based borrowings under the ABL Credit Facility. The hedge matures on June 1, 2016 and the notional amount is reduced monthly by \$729 thousand. The balance as of December 31, 2014 was \$40.1 million. The fixed rate interest rate hedge is accounted for as a cash flow hedging instrument for accounting purposes. The fair value of the interest rate hedge is included in "Accumulated other comprehensive loss" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

During 2014, the Company entered into carbon swaps indexed to the NYMEX price of U.S. Midwest Domestic Hot-Rolled Coil Steel with third party brokers. The Company entered into the carbon swaps in order to mitigate the volatility in the price of metals. The carbon swaps are accounted for as cash flow hedges and are included in "Other current assets" and "Other current liabilities" on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014. The change in the fair value of the carbon swaps is included in "Accumulated other comprehensive loss" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

11. Equity Plans:**Stock Options**

The following table summarizes stock-based award activity during the year ended December 31, 2014:

| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2013 | 27,170 | \$ 27.40 | | |
| Granted | - | - | | |
| Exercised | (7,000) | 12.32 | | |
| Canceled | - | - | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2014 | 20,170 | \$ 32.63 | 2.3 | \$ - |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2014 | 20,170 | \$ 32.63 | 2.3 | \$ - |

There were 7,000, 11,667 and 4,168 stock options exercised during 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$103 thousand, \$218 thousand and \$56 thousand, respectively. Net cash proceeds from the exercise of stock options, exclusive of income tax benefits, were \$86 thousand, \$86 thousand and \$34 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Income tax benefits of \$40 thousand, \$83 thousand and \$21 thousand were realized from stock option exercises during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Restricted Stock Units

Pursuant to the Olympic Steel 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (Plan), the Company may grant stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted shares, restricted share units, performance shares, and other stock- and cash-based awards to employees and Directors of, and consultants to, the Company and its affiliates. Under the Plan, 500,000 shares of common stock are available for equity grants.

On March 1, 2014, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved the grant of 2,544 restricted stock units (RSUs) to each non-employee Director. In addition, on each of January 2, 2013 and January 3, 2012, the grant of 1,800 RSUs to each non-employee Director was approved. Subject to the terms of the Plan and the RSU agreement, the RSUs vest after one year of service (from the date of grant). The RSUs are not converted into

shares of common stock until the Director either resigns or is terminated from the Board of Directors.

The fair value of each RSU was estimated to be the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant, which was \$27.51, \$23.41 and \$25.55 for the grants on March 1, 2014, January 2, 2013 and January 3, 2012, respectively.

The Company's Senior Management Compensation Program includes an equity component in order to encourage more ownership of common stock by the senior management. The Senior Management Compensation Program imposes stock ownership requirements upon the participants. Each participant is required to own at least 750 shares of common stock for each year that the participant participates in the Senior Management Compensation Program. Any participant that fails to meet the stock ownership requirements will be ineligible to receive any equity awards under the Company's equity compensation plans, including the Plan, until the participant satisfies the ownership requirements. To assist participants in meeting the stock ownership requirements, on an annual basis, if a participant purchases 500 shares of common stock on the open market, the Company will award that participant 250 shares of common stock. During 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company matched 9,875, 8,500 and 7,250 shares, respectively. Additionally, any participant who continues to comply with the stock ownership requirements as of the five-year, 10-year, 15-year, 20-year and 25-year anniversaries of the participant's participation in the Senior Management Compensation Program will receive a restricted stock unit award with a dollar value of \$25 thousand, \$50 thousand, \$75 thousand, \$100 thousand and \$100 thousand, respectively. Restricted stock unit awards will convert into the right to receive shares of common stock upon a participant's retirement, or earlier upon the executive's death or disability or upon a change in control of the Company.

In recognition of their performance and dedicated years of service, on December 31, 2011, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors granted 81,475 RSUs to Messrs. Siegal, Wolfort and Marabito. The RSUs have a vesting period of five years and will be fully vested on December 31, 2016. Except in limited circumstances, the RSUs will not convert into shares of common stock until the retirements of Messrs. Siegal, Wolfort and Marabito, respectively. These RSUs are not a part of the 2011 Senior Management Compensation Program discussed above. The fair value of each RSU was estimated to be the closing price of the common stock on the date of the grant, which was \$23.32 on December 31, 2011.

Stock-based compensation expense recognized on RSUs is summarized in the following table:

| (in thousands) | For the years ended | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|---------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| RSU expense before taxes | \$1,252 | \$936 | \$1,238 |
| RSU expense after taxes | \$774 | \$554 | \$278 |
| Impact per basic share | \$0.07 | \$0.05 | \$0.03 |
| Impact per diluted share | \$0.07 | \$0.05 | \$0.03 |

All pre-tax charges related to RSUs were included in the caption "Administrative and general" on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

The following table summarizes the activity related to RSUs for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|--|---|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2013 | 209,389 | \$ 24.58 | |
| Granted | 35,939 | \$ 27.13 | |
| Converted into shares | (6,805) | \$ 19.55 | |
| Forfeited | (500) | \$ 22.27 | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2014 | 238,023 | \$ 25.11 | \$ 3 |
| Vested at December 31, 2014 | 227,002 | \$ 26.01 | \$ 3 |

Of the RSUs granted in 2014, 2013 and 2012, 21,506, 28,341 and 31,243, respectively, were used to fund supplemental executive retirement plan contributions. There was no intrinsic value for the RSUs that were converted into shares in 2014 and 2012. There were no RSUs converted into shares during 2013.

All pre-tax charges related to RSUs were included in the caption “Administrative and general” on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

12. Commitments and Contingencies:

Operating Leases

The Company leases certain warehouses, sales offices, machinery and equipment and vehicles under long-term operating lease agreements. The leases expire at various dates through 2023. In some cases the leases include options to extend. Rent and lease expense was \$8.2 million, \$7.5 million and \$7.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The future annual minimum lease payments as of December 31, 2014 are as follows:

| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Thereafter | Total |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|----------|
| Lease payments | \$5,874 | \$5,616 | \$4,709 | \$3,805 | \$2,812 | \$ 5,236 | \$28,052 |

Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is party to various legal actions that it believes are ordinary in nature and incidental to the operation of its business. In the opinion of management, the outcome of the proceedings to which the Company is currently a party will not have a material adverse effect upon its results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

In the normal course of business, the Company periodically enters into agreements that incorporate indemnification provisions. While the maximum amount to which the Company may be exposed under such agreements cannot be estimated, it is the opinion of management that these indemnifications are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition.

At December 31, 2014, approximately 317 of the hourly plant personnel are represented by nine separate collective bargaining units. The table below shows the expiration dates of the collective bargaining agreements.

| Facility | Expiration date |
|---|------------------------|
| Locust, North Carolina | March 4, 2015 |
| Romeoville, Illinois | May 31, 2015 |
| Minneapolis, Minnesota (coil facility) | September 30, 2015 |
| Indianapolis, Indiana | January 29, 2016 |
| Minneapolis, Minnesota (plate facility) | March 31, 2017 |
| Detroit, Michigan | August 31, 2017 |
| Duluth, Minnesota | December 21, 2017 |
| St. Paul, Minnesota | May 25, 2018 |
| Milan, Illinois | August 12, 2018 |

13. Income Taxes:

The components of the Company's provision (benefit) for income taxes from continuing operations were as follows:

| (in thousands) | As of December 31, | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$4,859 | \$6,207 | \$8,058 |
| State and local | 657 | 1,265 | 1,021 |
| | 5,516 | 7,472 | 9,079 |
| Deferred | (2,566) | (2,195) | (1,217) |
| Income tax provision | \$2,950 | \$5,277 | \$7,862 |

The components of the Company's short and long-term deferred income taxes at December 31 are as follows:

| (in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Inventory (excluding LIFO reserve) | \$2,881 | \$2,588 |
| Net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards | 2,971 | 3,044 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 519 | 585 |
| Accrued expenses | 7,642 | 7,459 |
| Other | 83 | 83 |
| | 14,096 | 13,759 |
| Valuation reserve | (1,381) | (1,298) |
| Total deferred tax assets | 12,715 | 12,461 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| LIFO reserve | (6,049) | (6,213) |
| Property and equipment | (22,684) | (24,339) |
| Intangibles | (14,930) | (15,259) |
| Other | 24 | (24) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (43,639) | (45,835) |
| Deferred tax liabilities, net | \$(30,924) | \$(33,374) |

The deferred tax liability decreased by \$125 thousand related to the interest rate swap.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the Company's gross unrecognized tax benefits:

| (in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|------|-------|-------|
| Balance as of January 1 | \$75 | \$112 | \$75 |
| Decreases related to prior year tax positions | (17) | (37) | - |
| Increases related to current year tax positions | 13 | 25 | 61 |
| Decreases related to lapsing of statute of limitations | (13) | (25) | (24) |
| Balance as of December 31 | \$58 | \$75 | \$112 |

It is expected that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will not materially change in the next twelve months. The tax years 2011 through 2013 remain open to examination by major taxing jurisdictions to which the Company is subject.

The Company recognized interest related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. As of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had approximately \$4 thousand of gross accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions, respectively.

The following table reconciles the U.S. federal statutory rate to the Company's effective tax rate:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| U.S. federal statutory rate | 35.0 % | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State and local taxes, net of federal benefit | (1.6 %) | 3.0 % | 6.9 % |
| Goodwill impairment | (52.0%) | - | 22.7% |
| Valuation allowance | - | - | 8.5 % |
| Change in unrecognized tax benefits | (0.1 %) | (0.2 %) | - |
| All other, net | 0.4 % | 3.0 % | 4.4 % |
| Effective income tax rate | (18.3%) | 40.8% | 77.5% |

Income taxes paid in 2014, 2013 and 2012 totaled \$4.7 million, \$7.6 million and \$6.9 million, respectively. Some subsidiaries of the Company's consolidated group file state tax returns on a separate company basis and have state net operating loss carryforwards expiring over the next seven to 20 years. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce certain deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

14. Shares Outstanding and Earnings Per Share:

Earnings per share have been calculated based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding as set forth below:

| (in thousands, except per share data) | For the years ended | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Weighted average basic shares outstanding | 11,120 | 11,065 | 10,989 |
| Assumed exercise of stock options and issuance of stock awards | - | 9 | 6 |
| Weighted average diluted shares outstanding | 11,120 | 11,074 | 10,995 |
| Net income (loss) | \$(19,064) | \$7,647 | \$2,277 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | \$(1.71) | \$0.69 | \$0.21 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | \$(1.71) | \$0.69 | \$0.21 |
| Anti-dilutive securities outstanding | - | 201 | 194 |

15. Segment Information:

The Company follows the accounting guidance that requires the utilization of a “management approach” to define and report the financial results of operating segments. The management approach defines operating segments along the lines used by the Company’s CODM to assess performance and make operating and resource allocation decisions. The Company’s CODM evaluates performance and allocates resources based primarily on operating income (loss). The Company’s operating segments are based on internal management reporting.

The Company operates in two reportable segments: flat products and tubular and pipe products. Through its flat products segment, the Company sells and distributes large volumes of processed carbon, coated, aluminum and stainless flat-rolled sheet, coil and plate products. Through its tubular and pipe products segment, the Company distributes metal tubing, pipe, bar, valve and fittings and fabricates pressure parts supplied to various industrial markets. In recent years, the Company has increased its participation in the stainless and aluminum markets, which the Company refers to as specialty metals. As a result, based on how the CODM is expected to make decisions, assess performance and allocate resources in the future, the Company is expect to disclose three reportable segments beginning in the first quarter of 2015. The segments will be flat products, tubular and pipe products, and specialty metals.

Commencing with the first quarter of 2013, corporate expenses are reported as a separate line item in the segment reporting. Corporate expenses include the unallocated expenses related to managing the entire Company (i.e., both segments), including payroll expenses for certain personnel, expenses related to being a publicly traded entity such as board of directors expenses, audit expenses, and various other professional fees. Prior to 2013, these expenses were included in the flat products segment's operating results. The 2012 financial information below has been revised to reflect the new reporting structure.

The following table provides financial information by segment and reconciles the Company's operating income by segment to the consolidated income before income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. The Company assesses the performance of the segments based on operating income.

| (in thousands) | For the Year Ended | | |
|--|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | December 31, 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net sales | | | |
| Flat products | \$1,191,731 | \$1,026,769 | \$1,138,063 |
| Tubular and pipe products | 244,539 | 236,562 | 245,638 |
| Total net sales | \$1,436,270 | \$1,263,331 | \$1,383,701 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | |
| Flat products | \$15,055 | \$16,883 | \$16,065 |
| Tubular and pipe products | 5,624 | 5,308 | 4,795 |
| Corporate | 101 | 50 | - |
| Total depreciation and amortization | \$20,780 | \$22,241 | \$20,860 |
| Operating income | | | |
| Flat products | \$12,415 | \$12,106 | \$13,613 |
| Tubular and pipe products | 10,185 | 14,981 | 17,997 |
| Corporate | (7,972) | (7,432) | (6,578) |
| Goodwill impairment (a) | (23,836) | - | (6,583) |
| Total operating income (loss) | \$(9,208) | \$19,655 | \$18,449 |
| Asset impairment charge of joint venture real estate | - | - | (36) |
| Other income (loss), net | (126) | (28) | 83 |
| Income (loss) before interest and income taxes | (9,334) | 19,627 | 18,496 |
| Interest and other expense on debt | 6,780 | 6,703 | 8,357 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$(16,114) | \$12,924 | \$10,139 |

(a) The goodwill impairment in 2014 related to the tubular and pipe products segment. The goodwill impairment in 2012 related the to flat products segment's Southern region.

| (in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Capital expenditures | | | |
| Flat products | \$4,540 | \$3,794 | \$17,004 |
| Tubular and pipe products | 3,273 | 11,616 | 6,369 |
| Corporate | 21 | 688 | - |
| Total capital expenditures | \$7,834 | \$16,098 | \$23,373 |
| Goodwill | | | |
| Flat products | \$500 | \$500 | |
| Tubular and pipe products | 16,451 | 40,287 | |
| Total goodwill | \$16,951 | \$40,787 | |

| | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Assets | | |
| Flat products | \$496,253 | \$473,397 |
| Tubular and pipe products | 203,937 | 223,314 |
| Corporate | 558 | 638 |
| Total assets | \$700,748 | \$697,349 |

There were no material revenue transactions between the flat products and tubular and pipe products segments for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

The Company sells certain products internationally, primarily in Canada, Puerto Rico and Mexico. International sales have been immaterial to the consolidated financial results and to the individual segment's results.

16. Retirement Plans:

The Company's retirement plans consist of two 401(k) plans covering certain non-union employees, two separate 401(k) plans covering all union employees, two profit sharing plans, a multi-employer pension plan covering certain CTI employees and a supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP) covering certain executive officers of the Company.

The 401(k) retirement plans allow eligible employees to contribute up to the statutory maximum. The Company's non-union 401(k) matching contribution is determined annually by the Board of Directors and is based on a percentage of eligible employees' earnings and contributions. For the non-union flat rolled segment's 401(k) retirement plan, the Company matched one-half of each eligible employee's contribution, limited to the first 6% of eligible compensation.

For the 401(k) retirement plan at our CTI locations, the Company matched one-half of each eligible employee's first 3% of eligible compensation and 20% of the next 3% of eligible compensation.

All union employees now participate in the profit-sharing plan on a discretionary basis, like all non-union employees. Company contributions to the non-union profit-sharing plan are discretionary amounts as determined annually by the Board of Directors.

In 2005, the Board of Directors adopted the SERP. Contributions to the SERP are based on: (i) a portion of the participants' compensation multiplied by 13%; and (ii) for certain participants a portion of the participants' compensation multiplied by a factor which is contingent upon the Company's return on invested capital. Benefits are subject to a vesting schedule of up to five years.

The Company, through its CTI subsidiary, contributes to one multiemployer pension plan – the Plumbing and Heating Wholesalers Retirement Income Plan for the Benefit of the Shopmen's Division of Pipe Fitters' Association Local Union 597, EIN 36-6511016, Plan Number 001 (the Multiemployer Plan). The risks of participating in the Multiemployer Plan are different from a single-employer plan in that 1) assets contributed to the multiemployer plan by one employer may be used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers, 2) if a participating employer stops contributing to the plan, the unfunded obligations of the plan may be borne by the remaining participating employers, and 3) if CTI chooses to stop participating in the Multiemployer Plan, CTI may be required to pay the plan an amount based on the unfunded status of the plan, referred to as a withdrawal liability.

The most recent Pension Protection Act zone status available is for the plan year beginning January 1, 2013, and the Multiemployer Plan's actuary has certified that the Multiemployer Plan is neither in critical status nor endangered status and that it is in the green zone. The zone status is based on information that CTI received from the Multiemployer Plan and is certified by the Multiemployer Plan's actuary. Among other factors, plans in the green zone are at least 80 percent funded.

CTI contributes to the Multiemployer Plan under the terms of a collective bargaining agreement that covers certain of its union employees, and which expires May 31, 2015. CTI contributions to the Multiemployer Plan were immaterial for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Retirement plan expense, which includes all Company 401(k), profit-sharing, SERP defined contributions and the Multiemployer Plan, amounted to \$2.2 million, \$2.2 million and \$2.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

17. Related-Party Transactions:

The Company's Chief Executive Officer owns 50% of an entity that owns one of the Cleveland warehouses and leases it to the Company at a fair market value annual rental of \$204 thousand. The lease expires on December 31, 2018 with four five-year renewal options.

Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

(in thousands)

| Description | Balance at Beginning of Period | Additions Charged to Costs and Expenses | Charged to Other Accounts | Deductions | Balance at End of Period |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------|---|
| Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 1,727 | \$ 322 | \$ - | \$ (452) |) \$ 1,597 |
| Tax valuation reserve | \$ 401 | \$ 799 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,200 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 1,597 | \$ 83 | \$ - | \$ (161) |) \$ 1,519 |
| Tax valuation reserve | \$ 1,200 | \$ 98 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,298 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2014 | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 1,519 | \$ 467 | \$ - | \$ (638) |) \$ 1,348 |
| Tax valuation reserve | \$ 1,298 | \$ 83 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,381 |

SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION***Unaudited Quarterly Results of Operations***

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| <u>2014</u> | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Year |
|---|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net sales | \$346,913 | \$386,047 | \$376,617 | \$326,693 | \$1,436,270 |
| Operating income (loss) (a) | 6,229 | 7,108 | 4,117 | (26,662) | (9,208) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 4,477 | 5,325 | 2,495 | (28,411) | (16,114) |
| Net income (loss) | \$2,777 | \$3,494 | \$1,556 | \$(26,891) | \$(19,064) |
| Basic net income (loss) per share | \$0.25 | \$0.32 | \$0.14 | \$(2.42) | \$(1.71) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 11,089 | 11,089 | 11,120 | 11,127 | 11,120 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share | \$0.25 | \$0.32 | \$0.14 | \$(2.42) | \$(1.71) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted | 11,090 | 11,089 | 11,120 | 11,127 | 11,120 |
| Market price of common stock: (b) | | | | | |
| High | \$30.95 | \$29.58 | \$25.83 | \$21.39 | \$30.95 |
| Low | 25.84 | 20.88 | 20.57 | 15.75 | 15.75 |

| <u>2013</u> | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Year |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Net sales | \$338,064 | \$330,804 | \$303,990 | \$290,473 | \$1,263,331 |
| Operating income (loss) (a) | 9,581 | 6,024 | 4,174 | (124) | 19,655 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 7,906 | 4,315 | 2,484 | (1,781) | 12,924 |
| Net income (loss) | \$5,163 | \$2,525 | \$1,340 | \$(1,381) | \$7,647 |
| Basic net income (loss) per share | \$0.47 | \$0.23 | \$0.12 | \$(0.12) | \$0.69 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 11,034 | 11,062 | 11,066 | 11,075 | 11,065 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share | \$0.47 | \$0.23 | \$0.12 | \$(0.12) | \$0.69 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted | 11,042 | 11,072 | 11,077 | 11,075 | 11,074 |
| Market price of common stock: (b) | | | | | |
| High | \$25.39 | \$26.83 | \$29.48 | \$31.68 | \$31.68 |
| Low | 18.52 | 19.54 | 24.46 | 24.56 | 18.52 |

(a) Operating income includes \$365 of LIFO expense in 2014 and \$3,572 of LIFO income in 2013 and a \$23,836 goodwill impairment charge related to the Company's tubular and pipe products segment in the fourth quarter of

2014.

(b) Represents the high and low sales prices of our common stock as reported by the Nasdaq Global Select Market.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Evaluations required by Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report have been carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based upon such evaluations, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2014 in providing reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting is set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting that is set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report and is incorporated herein by reference.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

70

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information required by Item 10 as to the executive officers is provided in Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated by reference into this section. Other information required by Item 10 will be incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information required by Item 11 will be incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information required by Item 12 will be incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information required by Item 13 will be incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information required by Item 14 will be incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth in our definitive proxy statement for our 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

71

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) The following financial statements are included in Part II, Item 8:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules.

Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

(a)(3) Exhibits. The Exhibits filed herewith are set forth on the Index to Exhibits filed as part of this Annual Report and incorporated herein by reference.

Edgar Filing: OLYMPIC STEEL INC - Form 10-K

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| February 26, 2015 | /s/ Arthur F. Anton * Arthur F. Anton, Director |
| February 26, 2015 | /s/ Dirk A. Kempthorne * Dirk A. Kempthorne, Director |
| February 26, 2015 | /s/ James B. Meathe * James B. Meathe, Director |
| February 26, 2015 | /s/ Howard L. Goldstein * Howard L. Goldstein, Director |

* The undersigned, by signing his name hereto, does sign and execute this Annual Report on Form 10-K pursuant to the Powers of Attorney executed by the above-named officers and directors of the Company and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on behalf of such officers and directors.

By: /s/ Richard T. Marabito
Richard T. Marabito, Attorney-in-Fact

February 26, 2015

OLYMPIC STEEL, INC.**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

| <u>Exhibit</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Reference</u> |
|----------------|--|--|
| 2.2 | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated May 18, 2011, by and among OLYAC II, Inc., Olympic Steel, Inc., Chicago Tube and Iron Company, the Stockholders of Chicago Tube and Iron Company listed on Schedule I, and Dr. Donald McNeeley, as the Representative of the Stockholders. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Company's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on May 20, 2011 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 3.1(i) | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1(i) to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 33-73992) filed with the Commission on January 12, 1994. |
| 3.1(ii) | Amended and Restated Code of Regulations | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-1439001) filed with the Commission on June 20, 2007. |
| 4.22 | Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2011, by and among the Registrant, the financial institutions from time to time party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other agents from time to time party thereto. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.21 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on July 8, 2011 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 4.23 | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of March 16, 2012, by and among the Registrant, the financial institutions from time to time party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other agents from time to time party thereto. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.23 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on March 21, 2012 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 4.24 | Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of March 22, 2013, by and among Olympic Steel, Inc. and certain subsidiaries thereof, the financial institutions from time to time party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other agents from time to time party thereto. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.24 to Registrant's Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on May 3, 2013 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 4.25 | Second Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2014, by and among the Registrant, the financial institutions from time to time party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other agents from time to time party thereto. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.25 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on July 3, 2014 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.1 * | Olympic Steel, Inc. Stock Option Plan | |

Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 33-73992) filed with the Commission on January 12, 1994.

10.8 * Form of Management Retention Agreement for Senior Executive Officers of the Company

Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Registrant's Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on August 7, 2000 (Commission File No. 0-23320).

10.9 * Form of Management Retention Agreement for Other Officers of the Company

Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Registrant's Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on August 7, 2000 (Commission File No. 0-23320).

| <u>Exhibit</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Reference</u> |
|----------------|--|---|
| 10.14 * | Olympic Steel, Inc. Executive Deferred Compensation Plan dated December 15, 2004 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 14, 2005 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.15 * | Form of Non-Solicitation Agreements | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on March 4, 2005 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.16 * | Form of Management Retention Agreement | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to Registrant's Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on August 8, 2005 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.17 * | Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Term Sheet | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on January 5, 2006 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.20 * | Olympic Steel, Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on April 28, 2006 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.21 * | Olympic Steel, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on May 3, 2007 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.27* | Form of Performance-Earned Restricted Stock Unit (PERS Unit) Agreement for Messrs. Siegal, Wolfort and Marabito. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to Registrant's Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on May 5, 2009 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.28* | Form of Performance-Earned Restricted Stock Unit (PERS Unit) Agreement for Mr. Manson and Ms. Potash. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to Registrant's Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on May 5, 2009 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.30 * | Olympic Steel, Inc. Senior Manager Compensation Plan | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to Registrant's Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on May 6, 2011 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.31 * | David A. Wolfort Employment Agreement effective as of January 1, 2011 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to Registrant's Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on May 6, 2011 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.32 * | Donald McNeeley Employment Agreement effective as of July 1, 2011 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to Registrant's Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on November 4, 2011 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.33 * | Richard T. Marabito Employment Agreement effective as of November 23, 2011 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on November 23, 2011 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |

Edgar Filing: OLYMPIC STEEL INC - Form 10-K

| <u>Exhibit</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Reference</u> |
|----------------|--|--|
| 10.34 * | Form of RSU Agreements for Messrs. Siegal, Wolfort and Marabito. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 23, 2012 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.35 * | Michael D. Siegal Employment Agreement effective as of December 1, 2012 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on November 21, 2012 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 10.36 * | Departure of Directors or Certain Officers; Election of Directors; Appointment of Certain Officers; Compensatory Arrangements of Certain Officers. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 30, 2014 (Commission File No. 0-23320). |
| 21 | List of Subsidiaries | Filed herewith |
| 23 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | Filed herewith |
| 24 | Directors and Officers Powers of Attorney | Filed herewith |
| 31.1 | Certification of the Principal Executive Officer of the Company, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Principal Financial Officer of the Company, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| 32.1 | Written Statement of Michael D. Siegal, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Furnished herewith |
| 32.2 | Written Statement of Richard T. Marabito, Chief Financial Officer of the Company pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Furnished herewith |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document | |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document | |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document | |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition | |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document | |
| 101.PRE | | |

XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase
Document

* This exhibit is a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

76