

DUKE REALTY CORP  
Form 10-K  
February 21, 2014

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-K  
(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR  
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission File Number: 1-9044 (Duke Realty Corporation) 0-20625 (Duke Realty Limited Partnership)

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION  
DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Indiana (Duke Realty Corporation)                              | 35-1740409 (Duke Realty Corporation)         |
| Indiana (Duke Realty Limited Partnership)                      | 35-1898425 (Duke Realty Limited Partnership) |
| (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) | (IRS Employer Identification Number)         |
| 600 East 96 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 100                    | 46240  |
| Indianapolis, Indiana  | (Zip Code)                                   |

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (317) 808-6000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

|                                 | Title of Each Class:   | Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered: |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Duke Realty Corporation         | Common Stock (\$.01 par value)<br>Depositary Shares, each representing a 1/10 interest in a 6.625%                                 | New York Stock Exchange                    |
| Duke Realty Corporation         | Series J Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share (\$.01 par value)<br>Depositary Shares, each representing a 1/10 interest in a 6.5% | New York Stock Exchange                    |
| Duke Realty Corporation         | Series K Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share (\$.01 par value)<br>Depositary Shares, each representing a 1/10 interest in a 6.6% | New York Stock Exchange                    |
| Duke Realty Corporation         | Series L Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share (\$.01 par value)   | New York Stock Exchange                    |
| Duke Realty Limited Partnership | None   | None                                       |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation      Yes       No       Duke Realty Limited Partnership      Yes       No   
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Duke Realty Corporation      Yes       No       Duke Realty Limited Partnership      Yes       No   
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Duke Realty Corporation      Yes       No       Duke Realty Limited Partnership      Yes       No   
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

---

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation      Yes       No       Duke Realty Limited Partnership      Yes       No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Duke Realty Corporation:

Large accelerated filer       Accelerated filer       Non-accelerated filer       Smaller reporting company

Duke Realty Limited Partnership:

Large accelerated filer       Accelerated filer       Non-accelerated filer       Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Duke Realty Corporation      Yes       No       Duke Realty Limited Partnership      Yes       No

The aggregate market value of the voting shares of Duke Realty Corporation's outstanding common shares held by non-affiliates of Duke Realty Corporation is \$5.0 billion based on the last reported sale price on June 30, 2013.

The number of common shares of Duke Realty Corporation, \$.01 par value outstanding as of February 21, 2014 was 327,037,098.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Certain portions of Duke Realty Corporation's Definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "Proxy Statement") to be filed pursuant to Rule 14a-6 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K. Other than those portions of the Proxy Statement specifically incorporated by reference pursuant to Items 10 through 14 of Part III hereof, no other portions of the Proxy Statement shall be deemed so incorporated.

---

## EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the annual reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 of both Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty Limited Partnership. Unless stated otherwise or the context otherwise requires, references to "Duke Realty Corporation" or the "General Partner" mean Duke Realty Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries; and references to the "Partnership" mean Duke Realty Limited Partnership and its consolidated subsidiaries. The terms the "Company," "we," "us" and "our" refer to the General Partner and the Partnership, collectively, and those entities owned or controlled by the General Partner and/or the Partnership.

Duke Realty Corporation is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust ("REIT") and is the sole general partner of the Partnership, owning 98.7% of the common partnership interests of the Partnership ("General Partner Units") as of December 31, 2013. The remaining 1.3% of the common partnership interests ("Limited Partner Units" and, together with the General Partner Units, the "Common Units") are owned by limited partners. As the sole general partner of the Partnership, the General Partner has full, exclusive and complete responsibility and discretion in the day-to-day management and control of the Partnership. The General Partner also owns preferred partnership interests in the Partnership ("Preferred Units").

The General Partner and the Partnership are operated as one enterprise. The management of the General Partner consists of the same members as the management of the Partnership. As the sole general partner with control of the Partnership, the General Partner consolidates the Partnership for financial reporting purposes, and the General Partner does not have any significant assets other than its investment in the Partnership. Therefore, the assets and liabilities of the General Partner and the Partnership are substantially the same.

We believe combining the annual reports on Form 10-K of the General Partner and the Partnership into this single report results in the following benefits:

- enhances investors' understanding of the General Partner and the Partnership by enabling investors to view the business as a whole in the same manner as management views and operates the business;
- eliminates duplicative disclosure and provides a more streamlined and readable presentation of information since a substantial portion of the Company's disclosure applies to both the General Partner and the Partnership; and
- creates time and cost efficiencies through the preparation of one combined report instead of two separate reports.

We believe it is important to understand the few differences between the General Partner and the Partnership in the context of how we operate as an interrelated consolidated company. The General Partner's only material asset is its ownership of partnership interests in the Partnership. As a result, the General Partner does not conduct business itself, other than acting as the sole general partner of the Partnership and issuing public equity from time to time. The General Partner does not issue any indebtedness, but does guarantee the unsecured debt of the Partnership. The Partnership holds substantially all the assets of the business, directly or indirectly, and holds the ownership interests related to certain of the Company's investments. The Partnership conducts the operations of the business and has no publicly traded equity. Except for net proceeds from equity issuances by the General Partner, which are contributed to the Partnership in exchange for General Partner Units or Preferred Units, the Partnership generates the capital required by the business through its operations, its incurrence of indebtedness and the issuance of Limited Partner Units to third parties.

Noncontrolling interests, shareholders' equity and partners' capital are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of the General Partner and those of the Partnership. The noncontrolling interests in the Partnership's financial statements include the interests in consolidated investees not wholly owned by the Partnership. The noncontrolling interests in the General Partner's financial statements include the same noncontrolling interests at the Partnership level, as well as the common limited partnership interests in the Partnership, which are accounted for as partners' capital by the Partnership.

In order to highlight the differences between the General Partner and the Partnership, there are separate sections in this report, as applicable, that separately discuss the General Partner and the Partnership including separate financial statements, and separate Exhibit 31 and 32 certifications. In the sections that combine disclosure of the General Partner and the Partnership, this report refers to actions or holdings as being actions or holdings of the collective Company.

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Form 10-K

| Item No.        |   | Page(s)    |
|-----------------|---|------------|
| <u>PART I</u>   |   |            |
| 1               | <u>Business</u>   | <u>3</u>   |
| 1A.             | <u>Risk Factors</u>   | <u>6</u>   |
| 1B.             | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>  | <u>13</u>  |
| 2               | <u>Properties</u>   | <u>14</u>  |
| 3               | <u>Legal Proceedings</u>  | <u>17</u>  |
| 4               | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>  | <u>17</u>  |
| <u>PART II</u>  |   |            |
| 5               | <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>18</u>  |
| 6               | <u>Selected Financial Data</u>  | <u>18</u>  |
| 7               | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>                            | <u>20</u>  |
| 7A.             | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>   | <u>47</u>  |
| 8               | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>  | <u>48</u>  |
| 9               | <u>Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>                             | <u>48</u>  |
| 9A.             | <u>Controls and Procedures</u>  | <u>48</u>  |
| 9B.             | <u>Other Information</u>  | <u>49</u>  |
| <u>PART III</u> |   |            |
| 10              | <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>   | <u>49</u>  |
| 11              | <u>Executive Compensation</u>   | <u>50</u>  |
| 12              | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>                   | <u>50</u>  |
| 13              | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>  | <u>50</u>  |
| 14              | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>   | <u>50</u>  |
| <u>PART IV</u>  |   |            |
| 15              | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>   | <u>51</u>  |
|                 | <u>Signatures</u>   | <u>122</u> |

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT THIS REPORT

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K (this "Report") for Duke Realty Corporation (the "General Partner") and Duke Realty Limited Partnership (the "Partnership"), the terms the "Company," "we," "us" and "our" refer to the General Partner and the Partnership, collectively, and those entities owned or controlled by the General Partner and/or the Partnership.

### Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in or incorporated by reference into this Report, including, without limitation, those related to our future operations, constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The words "believe," "estimate," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "seek," "may" and similar expressions or statements regarding future periods are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to differ materially from any predictions of future results, performance or achievements that we express or imply in this Report or in the information incorporated by reference into this Report. Some of the risks, uncertainties and other important factors that may affect future results include, among others:

- Changes in general economic and business conditions, including the financial condition of our tenants and the value of our real estate assets;
- The General Partner's continued qualification as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") for U.S. federal income tax purposes;
- Heightened competition for tenants and potential decreases in property occupancy;
- Potential changes in the financial markets and interest rates;
- Volatility in the General Partner's stock price and trading volume;
- Our continuing ability to raise funds on favorable terms;
- Our ability to successfully identify, acquire, develop and/or manage properties on terms that are favorable to us;
- Potential increases in real estate construction costs;
- Our ability to successfully dispose of properties on terms that are favorable to us, including, without limitation, through one or more transactions that are consistent with our previously disclosed strategic plans;
- Our ability to retain our current credit ratings;
- Inherent risks in the real estate business, including, but not limited to, tenant defaults, potential liability relating to environmental matters and liquidity of real estate investments; and
- Other risks and uncertainties described herein, as well as those risks and uncertainties discussed from time to time in our other reports and other public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

Although we presently believe that the plans, expectations and results expressed in or suggested by the forward-looking statements are reasonable, all forward-looking statements are inherently subjective, uncertain and subject to change, as they involve substantial risks and uncertainties, including those beyond our control. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict the nature, or assess the potential impact, of each new factor on our business. Given these uncertainties, we caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any of our forward-looking statements for events or circumstances that arise after the statement is made, except as otherwise may be required by law.

This list of risks and uncertainties, however, is only a summary of some of the most important factors and is not intended to be exhaustive. Additional information regarding risk factors that may affect us is included under the caption "Risk Factors" in this Report, and is updated by us from time to time in Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and other filings that we make with the SEC.

## PART I

### Item 1. Business

#### Background

The General Partner is a self-administered and self-managed REIT, which began operations upon completion of an initial public offering in February 1986.

The Partnership was formed in October 1993, when the General Partner contributed all of its properties and related assets and liabilities, together with the net proceeds of \$309.2 million from an offering of an additional 14,000,833 shares of its common stock, to the Partnership. Simultaneously, the Partnership completed the acquisition of Duke Associates, a full-service commercial real estate firm operating in the Midwest whose operations began in 1972. The General Partner is the sole general partner of the Partnership, owning 98.7% of the common partnership interests of the Partnership ("General Partner Units") at December 31, 2013. The remaining 1.3% of the common partnership interests ("Limited Partner Units" and, together with the General Partner Units, the "Common Units") are owned by limited partners. Limited Partners have the right to redeem their Limited Partner Units, subject to certain restrictions. Pursuant to the Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, as amended (the "Partnership Agreement"), the General Partner is obligated to redeem the Limited Partner Units in shares of its common stock, unless it determines in its reasonable discretion that the issuance of shares of its common stock could cause it to fail to qualify as a REIT. Each Limited Partner Unit shall be redeemed for one share of the General Partner's common stock, or, in the event that the issuance of shares could cause the General Partner to fail to qualify as a REIT, cash equal to the fair market value of one share of the General Partner's common stock at the time of redemption, in each case, subject to certain adjustments described in the Partnership Agreement. The Limited Partner Units are not required, per the terms of the Partnership Agreement, to be redeemed in registered shares of the General Partner. The General Partner also owns preferred partnership interests in the Partnership ("Preferred Units" and, together with the Common Units, the "Units").

At December 31, 2013, our diversified portfolio of 754 rental properties (including 107 jointly controlled in-service properties with more than 22.5 million square feet, 22 consolidated properties under development with more than 4.3 million square feet and two jointly controlled properties under development with approximately 1.8 million square feet) encompassed approximately 152.6 million rentable square feet and was leased by a diverse base of approximately 2,900 tenants whose businesses include government services, manufacturing, retailing, wholesale trade, distribution, healthcare and professional services. We also owned, including through ownership interests in unconsolidated joint ventures, more than 4,100 acres of land and controlled an additional 1,600 acres through purchase options.

Our headquarters and executive offices are located in Indianapolis, Indiana. We additionally have regional offices or significant operations in 21 other geographic or metropolitan areas including Atlanta, Georgia; Baltimore, Maryland; Central Florida; Chicago, Illinois; Cincinnati, Ohio; Columbus, Ohio; Dallas, Texas; Houston, Texas; Minneapolis, Minnesota; Nashville, Tennessee; New Jersey; Northern and Southern California; Pennsylvania; Phoenix, Arizona; Raleigh, North Carolina; St. Louis, Missouri; Savannah, Georgia; Seattle, Washington; Washington D.C.; and Southern Florida. We had 790 employees at December 31, 2013.

See Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for information related to our operational, asset and capital strategies.

#### Reportable Operating Segments

We have four reportable operating segments at December 31, 2013, the first three of which consist of the ownership and rental of (i) industrial, (ii) office and (iii) medical office real estate investments. The operations of our



industrial, office and medical office properties, along with our retail properties, are collectively referred to as "Rental Operations." Our retail properties, as well as any other properties not included in our reportable segments, do not by themselves meet the quantitative thresholds for separate presentation as reportable segments. The fourth reportable segment consists of various real estate services such as property management, asset management, maintenance, leasing, development, general contractor and construction management to third-party property owners and joint ventures, and is collectively referred to as "Service Operations." Our reportable segments offer different products or services and are managed separately because each segment requires different operating strategies and management expertise. Our Service Operations segment also includes our taxable REIT subsidiary, a legal entity through which certain of the segment's aforementioned operations are conducted. See Item 6, "Selected Financial Data," Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" for financial information related to our reportable segments.

We assess and measure our overall operating results based upon a non-GAAP industry performance measure referred to as Funds From Operations ("FFO"), which management believes is a useful indicator of our consolidated operating performance. See Item 6, "Selected Financial Data," Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" for disclosures and financial information related to our use of FFO as an internal measure of operating performance.

#### Competitive Conditions

As a fully integrated commercial real estate firm, we provide in-house leasing, management, development and construction services which we believe, coupled with our significant base of commercially zoned and unencumbered land in existing business parks, should give us a competitive advantage as a real estate operator and in future development activities.

We believe that the management of real estate opportunities and risks can be done most effectively at regional or on local levels. As a result, we intend to continue our emphasis on increasing our market share, to the extent it is in markets or product types that align with our asset strategy (see Item 7), and effective rents in the primary markets where we own properties. We believe that this regional focus will allow us to assess market supply and demand for real estate more effectively as well as to capitalize on the strong relationships with our tenant base. In addition, we seek to further capitalize on strong customer relationships to provide third-party construction services across the United States. As a fully integrated real estate company, we are able to arrange for or provide to our industrial, office and medical office customers not only well located and well maintained facilities, but also additional services such as build-to-suit construction, tenant finish construction, and expansion flexibility.

All of our properties are located in areas that include competitive properties. Institutional investors, other REITs or local real estate operators generally own such properties; however, no single competitor or small group of competitors is dominant in our current markets. The supply and demand of similar available rental properties may affect the rental rates we will receive on our properties. Other competitive factors include the attractiveness of the property location, the quality of the property and tenant services provided, and the reputation of the owner and operator. In addition, our Service Operations face competition from a considerable number of other real estate companies that provide comparable services, some of whom may have greater marketing and financial resources than are available to us.

#### Corporate Governance

Since our inception, we not only have strived to be a top-performer operationally, but also to lead in issues important to investors such as disclosure and corporate governance. The General Partner's system of governance reinforces this commitment and, as a limited partnership that has one general partner owning over 90% of the Partnership's common interest, the governance of the Partnership is necessarily linked to the corporate governance of the General Partner. Summarized below are the highlights of the General Partner's Corporate Governance initiatives.

## Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| Board Composition | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The General Partner's Board is controlled by supermajority (91.7%) of "Independent Directors," as such term is defined under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") as of January 29, 2014 and thereafter</li></ul>   |
| Board Committees  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The General Partner's Board Committee members are all Independent Directors</li></ul>  |
| Lead Director     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The Chairman of the General Partner's Corporate Governance Committee serves as Lead Director of the Independent Directors</li></ul>  |
| Board Policies    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No Shareholder Rights Plan (Poison Pill)</li><li>• Code of Conduct applies to all Directors and employees of the General Partner, including the Chief Executive Officer and senior financial officers; waivers applied to executive officers require the vote of a majority of (i) the General Partner's Board of Directors or (ii) the General Partner's Corporate Governance Committee</li><li>• Orientation program for new Directors of the General Partner</li><li>• Independence of Directors of the General Partner is reviewed annually</li><li>• Independent Directors of the General Partner meet at least quarterly in executive sessions</li><li>• Independent Directors of the General Partner receive no compensation from the General Partner other than as Directors</li><li>• Equity-based compensation plans require the approval of the General Partner's shareholders</li><li>• Board effectiveness and performance is reviewed annually by the General Partner's Corporate Governance Committee</li><li>• The General Partner's Executive Compensation Committee conducts an annual review, as delegated by the Corporate Governance Committee, of the Chief Executive Officer succession plan</li><li>• Independent Directors and all Board Committees of the General Partner may retain outside advisors, as they deem appropriate</li><li>• Prohibition on repricing of outstanding stock options of the General Partner</li><li>• Directors of the General Partner required to offer resignation upon job change</li><li>• Majority voting for election of Directors of the General Partner</li><li>• Shareholder Communications Policy</li></ul> |

**Ownership** Minimum Stock Ownership Guidelines apply to all Directors and Executive Officers of the General Partner

The General Partner's Code of Conduct (which applies to all Directors and employees of the General Partner, including the Chief Executive Officer and senior financial officers) and the Corporate Governance Guidelines are available in the Investor Relations/Corporate Governance section of the General Partner's website at [www.dukerealty.com](http://www.dukerealty.com). A copy of these documents may also be obtained without charge by writing to Duke Realty Corporation, 600 East 96th Street, Suite 100, Indianapolis, Indiana 46240, Attention: Investor Relations. If we amend our Code of Conduct as it applies to the Directors, Chief Executive Officer or senior financial officers of the General Partner or grant a waiver from any provision of the Code of Conduct to any such person, we may, rather than filing a current report on Form 8-K, disclose such amendment or waiver in the Investor Relations/Corporate Governance section of the General Partner's website at [www.dukerealty.com](http://www.dukerealty.com).

### Additional Information

For additional information regarding our investments and operations, see Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data." For additional information about our business segments, see Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."



#### Available Information

In addition to this Report, we file quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. All documents that are filed with the SEC are available free of charge on the General Partner's corporate website, which is [www.dukerealty.com](http://www.dukerealty.com). We are not incorporating the information on the General Partner's website into this Report, and the General Partner's website and the information appearing on the General Partner's website is not included in, and is not part of, this Report. You may also read and copy any document filed at the public reference facilities of the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at (800) SEC-0330 for further information about the public reference facilities. These documents also may be accessed through the SEC's Interactive Data Electronic Application ("IDEA") via the SEC's home page on the Internet (<http://www.sec.gov>). In addition, since some of the General Partner's securities are listed on the NYSE, you may read the General Partner's SEC filings at the offices of the NYSE, 20 Broad Street, New York, New York 10005.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information contained in this Report, you should carefully consider, in consultation with your legal, financial and other professional advisors, the risks described below, as well as the risk factors and uncertainties discussed in our other public filings with the SEC under the caption "Risk Factors" in evaluating us and our business before making a decision regarding an investment in the General Partner's securities.

The risks contained in this Report are not the only risks that we face. Additional risks that are not presently known, or that we presently deem to be immaterial, also could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, business and prospects. The trading price of the General Partner's securities could decline due to the materialization of any of these risks, and its shareholders and/or the Partnership's unitholders may lose all or part of their investment.

This Report also contains forward-looking statements that may not be realized as a result of certain factors, including, but not limited to, the risks described herein and in our other public filings with the SEC. Please refer to the section in this Report entitled "Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" for additional information regarding forward-looking statements.

#### Risks Related to Our Business

Our use of debt financing could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

We are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risk that our cash flow will be insufficient to meet required principal and interest payments and the long-term risk that we will be unable to refinance our existing indebtedness, or that the terms of such refinancing will not be as favorable as the terms of existing indebtedness. Additionally, we may not be able to refinance borrowings by our unconsolidated subsidiaries on favorable terms or at all. If our debt cannot be paid, refinanced or extended, we may not be able to make distributions to shareholders and unitholders at expected levels. Further, if prevailing interest rates or other factors at the time of a refinancing result in higher interest rates or other restrictive financial covenants upon the refinancing, then such refinancing would adversely affect our cash flow and funds available for operation, development and distribution. We are also subject to financial covenants under our existing debt instruments. Should we fail to comply with the covenants in our existing debt instruments, then we would not only be in breach under the applicable debt instruments but we would also likely be unable to borrow any further amounts under our other debt instruments, which could adversely affect our ability to fund operations. We also have incurred, and may incur in the future, indebtedness that bears interest at variable rates. Thus, if market interest rates increase, so will our interest expense, which could reduce our cash flow and our ability to make distributions to shareholders and unitholders at expected levels.

Debt financing may not be available and equity issuances could be dilutive to our shareholders and unitholders. Our ability to execute our business strategy depends on our access to an appropriate blend of debt financing, including unsecured lines of credit and other forms of secured and unsecured debt, and equity financing, including common and preferred equity issued by the General Partner. Debt financing may not be available over a longer period of time in sufficient amounts, on favorable terms or at all. If the General Partner issues additional equity securities, instead of debt, to manage capital needs, the interests of our existing shareholders and unitholders could be diluted. Financial and other covenants under existing credit agreements could limit our flexibility and adversely affect our financial condition.

The terms of our various credit agreements and other indebtedness require that we comply with a number of customary financial and other covenants, such as maintaining debt service coverage and leverage ratios and maintaining insurance coverage. These covenants may limit our flexibility in our operations, and breaches of these covenants could result in defaults under the instruments governing the applicable indebtedness even if we have satisfied our payment obligations. If we are unable to refinance our indebtedness at maturity or meet our payment obligations, the amount of our distributable cash flow would be adversely affected.

Downgrades in our credit ratings could increase our borrowing costs or reduce our access to funding sources in the credit and capital markets.

We have a significant amount of debt outstanding, consisting mostly of unsecured debt. We are currently assigned corporate credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and Standard and Poor's Ratings Group based on their evaluation of our creditworthiness. All of our debt ratings remain investment grade, but there can be no assurance that we will not be downgraded or that any of our ratings will remain investment grade. If our credit ratings are downgraded or other negative action is taken, we could be required, among other things, to pay additional interest and fees on outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit agreement.

Credit rating reductions by one or more rating agencies could also adversely affect our access to funding sources, the cost and other terms of obtaining funding as well as our overall financial condition, operating results and cash flow. If we are unable to generate sufficient capital and liquidity, then we may be unable to pursue future development projects and other strategic initiatives.

To complete our ongoing and planned development projects, and to pursue our other strategic initiatives, we must continue to generate sufficient capital and liquidity to fund those activities. To generate that capital and liquidity, we rely upon funds from our existing operations, as well as funds that we raise through our capital raising activities. In the event that we are unable to generate sufficient capital and liquidity to meet our long-term needs, or if we are unable to generate capital and liquidity on terms that are favorable to us, then we may not be able to pursue development projects, acquisitions, or our other long-term strategic initiatives.

The General Partner's stock price and trading volume may be volatile, which could result in substantial losses to its shareholders and to the Partnership's unitholders, if and when they convert their Limited Partner Units to shares of the General Partner's common stock.

The market price of the General Partner's common and preferred stock could change in ways that may or may not be related to our business, our industry or our operating performance and financial condition. In addition, the trading volume in the General Partner's common stock may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. Some of the factors that could negatively affect the General Partner's share price, or result in fluctuations in the price or trading volume of the General Partner's common stock, include uncertainty in the markets, general market and economic conditions, as well as those factors described in these "Risk Factors" and in other reports that we file with the SEC.

Many of these factors are beyond our control, and we cannot predict their potential effects on the price of the General Partner's common and preferred stock. If the market prices of the General Partner's common and preferred stock decline, then its shareholders and the Partnership's unitholders, respectively, may be unable to resell their shares and units upon terms that are attractive to them. We cannot assure that the market price of the General Partner's common and preferred stock will not fluctuate or decline significantly in the future. In addition, the securities markets in general may experience considerable unexpected price and volume fluctuations.

We may issue debt and equity securities which are senior to the General Partner's common stock and preferred stock as to distributions and in liquidation, which could negatively affect the value of the General Partner's common and preferred stock and the Partnership's Common Units and Preferred Units.

In the future, we may attempt to increase our capital resources by entering into debt or debt-like financing that is unsecured or secured by certain of our assets, or by issuing debt or equity securities, which could include issuances of secured or unsecured commercial paper, medium-term notes, senior notes, subordinated notes, preferred stock or common stock. In the event of our liquidation, our lenders and holders of our debt securities would receive a distribution of our available assets before distributions to the holders of the General Partner's common stock and preferred stock and the Partnership's Common Units and Preferred Units. The General Partner's preferred stock and the Partnership's Preferred Units have a preference over the General Partner's common stock and the Partnership's Common Units with respect to distributions and upon liquidation, which could further limit our ability to make distributions to our common shareholders and unitholders. Any additional preferred stock or Preferred Units that the General Partner or the Partnership may issue may have a preference over the General Partner's common stock and existing series of preferred stock, as well as the Partnership's Common Units and Preferred Units, with respect to distributions and upon liquidation.

We may be required to seek commercial credit and issue debt securities to manage our capital needs. Because our decision to incur debt and issue securities in our future offerings will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future offerings and debt financings. Further, market conditions could require us to accept less favorable terms for the issuance of our securities in the future. Thus, our shareholders and unitholders, respectively, will bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the value of their shares of common stock and Common Units and diluting their interest in us.

Our use of joint ventures may negatively impact our jointly-owned investments.

We currently have joint ventures that are not consolidated with our financial statements. We may develop and acquire properties in joint ventures with other persons or entities when circumstances warrant the use of these structures. Our participation in joint ventures is subject to the risks that:

- We could become engaged in a dispute with any of our joint venture partners that might affect our ability to develop or operate a property;

- Our joint venture partners may have different objectives than we have regarding the appropriate timing and terms of any sale or refinancing of properties;

- Our joint venture partners may have competing interests in our markets that could create conflict of interest issues; and

- Maturities of debt encumbering our jointly owned investments may not be able to be refinanced at all or on terms that are as favorable as the current terms.

#### Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry

Our net earnings available for investment or distribution to shareholders and unitholders could decrease as a result of factors related to the ownership and operation of commercial real estate that are outside of our control.

Our business is subject to the risks incident to the ownership and operation of commercial real estate, many of which involve circumstances not within our control. Such risks include the following:

- Changes in the general economic climate;
- The availability of capital on favorable terms, or at all;
- Increases in interest rates;
- Local conditions such as oversupply of property or a reduction in demand;
- Competition for tenants;
- Changes in market rental rates;
- Oversupply or reduced demand for space in the areas where our properties are located;
- Delay or inability to collect rent from tenants who are bankrupt, insolvent or otherwise unwilling or unable to pay;
- Difficulty in leasing or re-leasing space quickly or on favorable terms;
- Costs associated with periodically renovating, repairing and reletting rental space;
- Our ability to provide adequate maintenance and insurance on our properties;
- Our ability to control variable operating costs;
- Changes in government regulations; and
- Potential liability under, and changes in, environmental, zoning, tax and other laws.

Further, a significant portion of our costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance costs and our debt service payments, are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a decrease in cash flow from our properties. Any one or more of these factors could result in a reduction in our net earnings available for investment or distribution to shareholders and unitholders.

Many real estate costs are fixed, even if income from properties decreases.

Our financial results depend on leasing space in our real estate to tenants on terms favorable to us. Our income and funds available for distribution to our shareholders and unitholders will decrease if a significant number of our tenants cannot meet their lease obligations to us or we are unable to lease properties on favorable terms. In addition, if a tenant does not pay its rent, we may not be able to enforce our rights as landlord without delays and we may incur substantial legal costs. Costs associated with real estate investment, such as real estate taxes and maintenance costs, generally are not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in income from the investment. As a result, we may have a reduction in our net earnings available for investment or distribution to our shareholders and unitholders.

Our real estate development activities are subject to risks particular to development.

We continue to selectively develop new, pre-leased properties for rental operations in our existing markets when accretive returns are present. These development activities generally require various government and other approvals, which we may not receive. In addition, we also are subject to the following risks associated with development activities:

- Unsuccessful development opportunities could result in direct expenses to us;
- Construction costs of a project may exceed original estimates, possibly making the project less profitable than originally estimated, or possibly unprofitable;
- Time required to complete the construction of a project or to lease up the completed project may be greater than originally anticipated, thereby adversely affecting our cash flow and liquidity;

Occupancy rates and rents of a completed project may not be sufficient to make the project profitable; and Favorable sources to fund our development activities may not be available.

We may be unsuccessful in operating completed real estate projects.

We face the risk that the real estate projects we develop or acquire will not perform in accordance with our expectations. This risk exists because of factors such as the following:

Prices paid for acquired facilities are based upon a series of market judgments; and

Costs of any improvements required to bring an acquired facility up to standards to establish the market position intended for that facility might exceed budgeted costs.

As a result, we may develop or acquire projects that are not profitable.

We are exposed to the risks of defaults by tenants.

Any of our tenants may experience a downturn in their businesses that may weaken their financial condition. In the event of default or the insolvency of a significant number of our tenants, we may experience a substantial loss of rental revenue and/or delays in collecting rent and incur substantial costs in enforcing our rights as landlord. If a tenant files for bankruptcy protection, a court could allow the tenant to reject and terminate its lease with us. Our income and distributable cash flow would be adversely affected if a significant number of our tenants became unable to meet their obligations to us, became insolvent or declared bankruptcy.

We may be unable to renew leases or relet space.

When our tenants decide not to renew their leases upon their expiration, we may not be able to relet the space. Even if our tenants do renew or we are able to relet the space, the terms of renewal or reletting (including the cost of renovations, if necessary) may be less favorable than current lease terms. If we are unable to promptly renew the leases or relet the space, or if the rental rates upon such renewal or reletting are significantly lower than current rates, then our income and distributable cash flow would be adversely affected, especially if we were unable to lease a significant amount of the space vacated by tenants in our properties.

Our insurance coverage on our properties may be inadequate.

We maintain comprehensive insurance on each of our facilities, including property, liability, and environmental coverage. We believe this coverage is of the type and amount customarily obtained for real property. However, there are certain types of losses, generally of a catastrophic nature, such as hurricanes, earthquakes and floods or acts of war or terrorism that may be uninsurable or not economically insurable. We use our discretion when determining amounts, coverage limits and deductibles for insurance. These terms are determined based on retaining an acceptable level of risk at a reasonable cost. This may result in insurance coverage that in the event of a substantial loss would not be sufficient to pay the full current replacement cost of our lost investment. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors also may make it unfeasible to use insurance proceeds to replace a facility after it has been damaged or destroyed. Under such circumstances, the insurance proceeds we receive may not be adequate to restore our economic position in a property. If an insured loss occurred, we could lose both our investment in and anticipated profits and cash flow from a property, and we would continue to be obligated on any mortgage indebtedness or other obligations related to the property. We are also subject to the risk that our insurance providers may be unwilling or unable to pay our claims when made.

Our acquisition and disposition activity may lead to long-term dilution.

Our asset strategy is to reposition our investment concentration among product types and further diversify our geographic presence. There can be no assurance that we will be able to execute the repositioning of our assets according to our strategy or that our execution will lead to improved results.



Acquired properties may expose us to unknown liability.

From time to time, we may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, with respect to unknown liabilities. As a result, if a liability were asserted against us based upon ownership of those properties, we might have to pay substantial sums to settle or contest it, which could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flow. Unknown liabilities with respect to acquired properties might include:

liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination;

claims by tenants, vendors or other persons against the former owners of the properties;

liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business; and

claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

We could be exposed to significant environmental liabilities as a result of conditions of which we currently are not aware.

As an owner and operator of real property, we may be liable under various federal, state and local laws for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous substances released on or in our property. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release of the hazardous substances. In addition, we could have greater difficulty in selling real estate on which hazardous substances were present or in obtaining borrowings using such real estate as collateral. It is our general policy to have Phase I environmental audits performed for all of our properties and land by qualified environmental consultants at the time of purchase. These Phase I environmental audits have not revealed any environmental liability that would have a material adverse effect on our business. However, a Phase I environmental audit does not involve invasive procedures such as soil sampling or ground water analysis, and we cannot be sure that the Phase I environmental audits did not fail to reveal a significant environmental liability or that a prior owner did not create a material environmental condition on our properties or land which has not yet been discovered. We could also incur environmental liability as a result of future uses or conditions of such real estate or changes in applicable environmental laws.

We are exposed to the potential impacts of future climate change and climate-change related risks.

We are exposed to potential physical risks from possible future changes in climate. Our properties may be exposed to rare catastrophic weather events, such as severe storms and/or floods. If the frequency of extreme weather events increases due to climate change, our exposure to these events could increase.

We do not currently consider that we are exposed to regulatory risk related to climate change. However, we may be adversely impacted as a real estate developer in the future by stricter energy efficiency standards for buildings.

#### Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

If the General Partner were to cease to qualify as a REIT, it and its shareholders would lose significant tax benefits. The General Partner intends to continue to operate so as to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). Qualification as a REIT provides significant tax advantages to the General Partner and its shareholders. However, in order for the General Partner to continue to qualify as a REIT, it must satisfy numerous requirements established under highly technical and complex Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. Satisfaction of these requirements also depends on various factual circumstances not entirely within our control. The fact that the General Partner holds its assets through the Partnership further complicates the application of the REIT requirements. Even a technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize the General Partner's REIT status. Although we believe that the General Partner can continue to operate so as to qualify as a REIT, we cannot offer any assurance that it will continue to do so or that legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not significantly change the qualification

requirements or the federal income tax consequences of qualification. If the General Partner were to fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it would have the following effects:

The General Partner would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to shareholders and would be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on its taxable income at regular corporate rates;

• Unless the General Partner was entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, it would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which it ceased to qualify as a REIT;

• The General Partner's net earnings available for investment or distribution to its shareholders would decrease due to the additional tax liability for the year or years involved; and

• The General Partner would no longer be required to make any distributions to shareholders in order to qualify as a REIT.

As such, the General Partner's failure to qualify as a REIT would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of the General Partner's securities and, consequently, the Partnership's Units.

REIT distribution requirements limit the amount of cash we have available for other business purposes, including amounts that we need to fund our future capital needs.

To maintain its qualification as a REIT under the Code, the General Partner must annually distribute to its shareholders at least 90% of its REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends-paid deduction and excluding net capital gains. The General Partner intends to continue to make distributions to its shareholders to comply with the 90% distribution requirement. However, this requirement limits our ability to accumulate capital for use for other business purposes. If we do not have sufficient cash or other liquid assets to meet the distribution requirements of the General Partner, we may have to borrow funds or sell properties on adverse terms in order to meet the distribution requirements. If the General Partner fails to make a required distribution, it would cease to qualify as a REIT.

U.S. federal income tax treatment of REITs and investments in REITs may change, which may result in the loss of our tax benefits of operating as a REIT.

The present U.S. federal income tax treatment of a REIT and an investment in a REIT may be modified by legislative, judicial or administrative action at any time. Revisions in U.S. federal income tax laws and interpretations of these laws could adversely affect us and the tax consequences of an investment in the General Partner's common shares.

We are subject to certain provisions that could discourage change-of-control transactions, which may reduce the likelihood of the General Partner's shareholders receiving a control premium for their shares.

Indiana anti-takeover legislation and certain provisions in our governing documents, as we discuss below, may discourage potential acquirers from pursuing a change-of-control transaction with us. As a result, the General Partner's shareholders may be less likely to receive a control premium for their shares.

Unissued Preferred Stock. The General Partner's charter permits its board of directors to classify unissued preferred stock by setting the rights and preferences of the shares at the time of issuance. This power enables the General Partner's board to adopt a shareholder rights plan, also known as a poison pill. Although the General Partner has repealed its previously existing poison pill and its current board of directors has adopted a policy not to issue preferred stock as an anti-takeover measure, the General Partner's board can change this policy at any time. The adoption of a poison pill would discourage a potential bidder from acquiring a significant position in the General Partner without the approval of its board.

Business-Combination Provisions of Indiana Law. The General Partner has not opted out of the business-combination provisions of the Indiana Business Corporation Law. As a result, potential bidders may have to

negotiate with the General Partner's board of directors before acquiring 10% of its stock. Without securing board approval of the proposed business combination before crossing the 10% ownership threshold, a bidder would not be permitted to complete a business combination for five years after becoming a 10% shareholder. Even after the five-year period, a business combination with the significant shareholder would either be required to meet certain per share price minimums as set forth in the Indiana Business Corporation Law or to receive the approval of a majority of the disinterested shareholders.

**Control-Share-Acquisition Provisions of Indiana Law.** The General Partner has not opted out of the provisions of the Indiana Business Corporation Law regarding acquisitions of control shares. Therefore, those who acquire a significant block (at least 20%) of the General Partner's shares may only vote a portion of their shares unless its other shareholders vote to accord full voting rights to the acquiring person. Moreover, if the other shareholders vote to give full voting rights with respect to the control shares and the acquiring person has acquired a majority of the General Partner's outstanding shares, the other shareholders would be entitled to special dissenters' rights.

**Supermajority Voting Provisions.** The General Partner's charter prohibits business combinations or significant disposition transactions with a holder of 10% of its shares unless:

• The holders of 80% of the General Partner's outstanding shares of capital stock approve the transaction;

• The transaction has been approved by three-fourths of those directors who served on the General Partner's board before the shareholder became a 10% owner; or

• The significant shareholder complies with the "fair price" provisions of the General Partner's charter.

Among the transactions with large shareholders requiring the supermajority shareholder approval are dispositions of assets with a value greater than or equal to \$1,000,000 and business combinations.

**Operating Partnership Provisions.** The limited partnership agreement of the Partnership contains provisions that could discourage change-of-control transactions, including a requirement that holders of at least 90% of the outstanding Common Units approve:

• Any voluntary sale, exchange, merger, consolidation or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Partnership in one or more transactions other than a disposition occurring upon a financing or refinancing of the Partnership;

• The General Partner's merger, consolidation or other business combination with another entity unless after the transaction substantially all of the assets of the surviving entity are contributed to the Partnership in exchange for Common Units;

• The General Partner's assignment of its interests in the Partnership other than to one of its wholly-owned subsidiaries; and

• Any reclassification or recapitalization or change of outstanding shares of the General Partner's common stock other than certain changes in par value, stock splits, stock dividends or combinations.

We are dependent on key personnel.

The General Partner's executive officers and other senior officers have a significant role in the success of our Company. Our ability to retain our management group or to attract suitable replacements should any members of the management group leave our Company is dependent on the competitive nature of the employment market. The loss of services from key members of the management group or a limitation in their availability could adversely impact our financial condition and cash flow. Further, such a loss could be negatively perceived in the capital markets.

#### Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

We have no unresolved comments with the SEC staff regarding our periodic or current reports under the Exchange Act.

Item 2. Properties

Product Review

As of December 31, 2013, we own interests in a diversified portfolio of 754 commercial properties encompassing approximately 152.6 million net rentable square feet (including 107 jointly controlled in-service properties with more than 22.5 million square feet, 22 consolidated properties under development with more than 4.3 million square feet and two jointly controlled properties under development with approximately 1.8 million square feet).

**Industrial Properties:** We own interests in 507 industrial properties encompassing more than 126.0 million square feet (82% of total square feet). These properties primarily consist of bulk warehouses (industrial warehouse/distribution centers with clear ceiling heights of 28 feet or more), but also include service center properties (also known as flex buildings or light industrial, having 12-18 foot clear ceiling heights and a combination of drive-up and dock-height loading access). Of these properties, 438 buildings with more than 107.7 million square feet are consolidated and 69 buildings with more than 18.3 million square feet are jointly controlled.

**Office Properties:** We own interests in 168 office buildings totaling more than 19.7 million square feet (13% of total square feet). These properties include primarily suburban office properties. Of these properties, 132 buildings with approximately 15.1 million square feet are consolidated and 36 buildings with more than 4.6 million square feet are jointly controlled.

**Medical Office Properties:** We own interests in 74 medical office buildings totaling approximately 5.9 million square feet (4% of total square feet). Of these properties, 72 buildings with approximately 5.2 million square feet are consolidated and two buildings with approximately 732,000 square feet are jointly controlled.

**Other Properties:** We own interests in five retail buildings totaling more than 936,000 square feet (1% of total square feet). Of these properties, three buildings with more than 348,000 square feet are consolidated and two buildings with more than 588,000 square feet are jointly controlled.

**Land:** We own, including through ownership interests in unconsolidated joint ventures, more than 4,100 acres of land and control an additional 1,600 acres through purchase options.

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Property Descriptions

The following tables represent the geographic highlights of consolidated and jointly controlled in-service properties in our primary markets.

Consolidated Properties

|   | Square Feet |            |                |         | Overall     | Percent of Overall | Annual Net Effective Rent (1) | Annual Net Effective Rent per Square Foot (2) | Percent of Annual Net Effective Rent |   |
|---|-------------|------------|----------------|---------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
|   | Industrial  | Office     | Medical Office | Other   |             |                    |                               |   |                                      |   |
| Primary Market                                |             |            |                |         |             |                    |                               |   |                                      |   |
| Indianapolis                                  | 15,054,561  | 2,913,149  | 371,505        | 38,366  | 18,377,581  | 14.8               | % \$89,154,092                | \$5.04  | 13.5                                 | % |
| Cincinnati                                    | 9,568,619   | 3,311,264  | 370,180        | —       | 13,250,063  | 10.7               | % 69,218,995                  | 5.51  | 10.5                                 | % |
| South Florida                                 | 4,915,895   | 1,406,411  | 107,000        | —       | 6,429,306   | 5.2                | % 53,101,994                  | 9.29  | 8.0                                  | % |
| Raleigh                                       | 2,800,680   | 2,272,744  | 356,836        | 20,061  | 5,450,321   | 4.4                | % 51,616,304                  | 9.94  | 7.8                                  | % |
| Atlanta                                       | 8,531,361   | 468,285    | 890,892        | —       | 9,890,538   | 8.0                | % 49,827,112                  | 5.62  | 7.5                                  | % |
| Chicago                                       | 11,447,070  | —          | 161,443        | —       | 11,608,513  | 9.4                | % 48,112,078                  | 4.20  | 7.3                                  | % |
| St. Louis                                     | 4,231,755   | 2,264,278  | —              | —       | 6,496,033   | 5.2                | % 37,444,216                  | 6.50  | 5.7                                  | % |
| Dallas  | 7,060,095   | —          | 666,971        | —       | 7,727,066   | 6.2                | % 37,146,276                  | 4.83  | 5.6                                  | % |
| Nashville                                     | 3,932,170   | 987,671    | 120,660        | —       | 5,040,501   | 4.1                | % 34,325,330                  | 7.62  | 5.2                                  | % |
| Columbus                                      | 8,103,817   | —          | 73,238         | —       | 8,177,055   | 6.6                | % 26,003,363                  | 3.18  | 3.9                                  | % |
| Central Florida                               | 3,360,479   | —          | 348,690        | —       | 3,709,169   | 3.0                | % 21,634,774                  | 5.97  | 3.3                                  | % |
| Other (3)                                     | 912,500     | —          | 829,044        | —       | 1,741,544   | 1.4                | % 20,670,591                  | 13.49   | 3.1                                  | % |
| Savannah                                      | 6,935,446   | —          | —              | —       | 6,935,446   | 5.6                | % 19,151,017                  | 3.29  | 2.9                                  | % |
| Houston                                       | 2,691,611   | 159,056    | 168,850        | —       | 3,019,517   | 2.4                | % 18,812,325                  | 6.29  | 2.8                                  | % |
| Minneapolis                                   | 3,720,250   | —          | —              | —       | 3,720,250   | 3.0                | % 16,018,032                  | 4.48  | 2.4                                  | % |
| New Jersey                                    | 2,351,204   | —          | —              | —       | 2,351,204   | 1.9                | % 12,355,127                  | 5.25  | 1.9                                  | % |
| Northern California                           | 2,571,630   | —          | —              | —       | 2,571,630   | 2.1                | % 10,953,257                  | 4.26  | 1.7                                  | % |
| Southern California                           | 2,339,379   | —          | —              | —       | 2,339,379   | 1.9                | % 10,914,228                  | 6.08  | 1.6                                  | % |
| Pennsylvania                                  | 1,368,500   | —          | —              | 289,855 | 1,658,355   | 1.3                | % 10,546,341                  | 6.52  | 1.6                                  | % |
| Seattle                                       | 1,136,109   | —          | —              | —       | 1,136,109   | 0.9                | % 10,256,153                  | 9.03  | 1.6                                  | % |
| Washington DC                                 | 78,560      | 219,464    | 100,952        | —       | 398,976     | 0.3                | % 4,363,284                   | 16.03   | 0.7                                  | % |
| Phoenix                                       | 1,048,965   | —          | —              | —       | 1,048,965   | 0.9                | % 4,215,397                   | 4.34  | 0.6                                  | % |
| Baltimore                                     | 462,070     | —          | —              | —       | 462,070     | 0.4                | % 2,696,875                   | 5.84  | 0.4                                  | % |
| Cleveland                                     | —           | 420,869    | —              | —       | 420,869     | 0.3                | % 2,494,840                   | 10.36   | 0.4                                  | % |
| Total   | 104,622,726 | 14,423,191 | 4,566,261      | 348,282 | 123,960,460 | 100.0              | % \$661,032,001               | \$5.67  | 100.0                                | % |
| Percent of Overall                            | 84.4        | % 11.6     | % 3.7          | % 0.3   | % 100.0     | %                  |                               |   |                                      |   |
| Annual Net Effective Rent per Square Foot (2) | \$3.93      | \$13.35    | \$22.51        | \$19.71 | \$5.67      |                    |                               |   |                                      |   |



Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Jointly Controlled Properties

|   | Square Feet |           |                |         | Overall    | Annual Net         |                    | Annual Net Effective Rent per Square Foot (2) | Percent of Annual Net Effective Rent |                |
|---|-------------|-----------|----------------|---------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------|
|   | Industrial  | Office    | Medical Office | Other   |            | Percent of Overall | Effective Rent (1) |   | Overall                              | Effective Rent |
| Primary Market                                |             |           |                |         |            |                    |                    |   |                                      |                |
| Washington DC                                 | 669,802     | 2,146,775 | —              | —       | 2,816,577  | 12.5               | % \$46,394,845     | \$19.02                                       | 28.6                                 | %              |
| Dallas  | 7,698,728   | —         | 458,396        | —       | 8,157,124  | 36.2               | % 32,390,508       | 4.06  | 19.9                                 | %              |
| Indianapolis                                  | 4,908,975   | —         | 273,479        | —       | 5,182,454  | 23.0               | % 22,227,756       | 4.55  | 13.7                                 | %              |
| Atlanta                                       | —           | 780,751   | —              | —       | 780,751    | 3.5                | % 12,360,642       | 17.70   | 7.6                                  | %              |
| Central Florida                               | 908,422     | 415,373   | —              | —       | 1,323,795  | 5.9                | % 9,218,936        | 7.16  | 5.7                                  | %              |
| Minneapolis                                   | —           | —         | —              | 381,922 | 381,922    | 1.7                | % 8,572,820        | 27.92   | 5.3                                  | %              |
| South Florida                                 | —           | 388,112   | —              | —       | 388,112    | 1.7                | % 8,286,695        | 21.85   | 5.1                                  | %              |
| Columbus                                      | 1,142,400   | 253,705   | —              | —       | 1,396,105  | 6.2                | % 5,913,073        | 4.46  | 3.6                                  | %              |
| Phoenix                                       | 1,009,351   | —         | —              | —       | 1,009,351  | 4.5                | % 4,691,802        | 4.65  | 2.9                                  | %              |
| Nashville                                     | —           | 180,147   | —              | —       | 180,147    | 0.8                | % 2,976,335        | 16.52   | 1.8                                  | %              |
| Chicago                                       | —           | 203,304   | —              | —       | 203,304    | 0.9                | % 2,919,936        | 16.81   | 1.8                                  | %              |
| Houston                                       | —           | 159,175   | —              | —       | 159,175    | 0.7                | % 2,559,523        | 16.08   | 1.6                                  | %              |
| Raleigh                                       | —           | 122,087   | —              | —       | 122,087    | 0.5                | % 2,130,574        | 17.45   | 1.3                                  | %              |
| Cincinnati                                    | 57,886      | —         | —              | 206,315 | 264,201    | 1.2                | % 1,225,314        | 4.64  | 0.8                                  | %              |
| Other (3)                                     | 152,944     | —         | —              | —       | 152,944    | 0.7                | % 512,362          | 3.35  | 0.3                                  | %              |
| Total   | 16,548,508  | 4,649,429 | 731,875        | 588,237 | 22,518,049 | 100.0              | % \$162,381,121    | \$7.60  | 100.0                                | %              |
| Percent of Overall                            | 73.5        | % 20.6    | % 3.3          | % 2.6   | % 100.0    | %                  |                    |   |                                      |                |
| Annual Net Effective Rent per Square Foot (2) | \$3.70      | \$19.56   | \$19.14        | \$18.31 | \$7.60     |                    |                    |   |                                      |                |

Occupancy %

|                | Consolidated Properties |        |                |        |         | Jointly Controlled Properties |        |                |       |         |   |
|----------------|-------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|---------|-------------------------------|--------|----------------|-------|---------|---|
|                | Industrial              | Office | Medical Office | Other  | Overall | Industrial                    | Office | Medical Office | Other | Overall |   |
| Primary Market |                         |        |                |        |         |                               |        |                |       |         |   |
| Indianapolis   | 96.8                    | % 93.7 | % 95.8         | % 92.1 | % 96.3  | % 93.9                        | % —    | 100.0          | % —   | 94.2    | % |
| Cincinnati     | 97.4                    | % 86.9 | % 98.4         | % —    | 94.8    | % 100.0                       | % —    | —              | 100.0 | % 100.0 | % |
| South Florida  | 88.3                    | % 90.4 | % 100.0        | % —    | 88.9    | % —                           | 97.7   | % —            | —     | 97.7    | % |
| Atlanta        | 88.7                    | % 94.5 | % 95.7         | % —    | 89.6    | % —                           | 89.4   | % —            | —     | 89.4    | % |
| Raleigh        | 95.3                    | % 95.1 | % 97.2         | % 71.7 | % 95.3  | % —                           | 100.0  | % —            | —     | 100.0   | % |
| Dallas         | 100.0                   | % —    | 95.4           | % —    | 99.6    | % 98.0                        | % —    | 94.9           | % —   | 97.8    | % |
| Chicago        | 98.7                    | % —    | 98.9           | % —    | 98.7    | % —                           | 85.4   | % —            | —     | 85.4    | % |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|                     |       |   |       |   |       |      |       |      |       |       |      |      |          |        |        |        |
|---------------------|-------|---|-------|---|-------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| St. Louis           | 94.6  | % | 77.7  | % | —     | —    | 88.7  | %    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —        |        |        |        |
| Nashville           | 88.2  | % | 92.9  | % | 100.0 | %    | 89.4  | %    | —     | 100.0 | %    | —    | 100.0 %  |        |        |        |
| Columbus            | 100.0 | % | —     |   | 100.0 | %    | 100.0 | %    | 100.0 | %     | 71.7 | %    | 94.9 %   |        |        |        |
| Central Florida     | 100.0 | % | —     |   | 75.0  | %    | 97.7  | %    | 100.0 | %     | 91.2 | %    | 97.2 %   |        |        |        |
| Minneapolis         | 96.1  | % | —     |   | —     | —    | 96.1  | %    | —     | —     | —    | 80.4 | % 80.4 % |        |        |        |
| Houston             | 100.0 | % | 100.0 | % | 84.4  | %    | 99.1  | %    | —     | 100.0 | %    | —    | 100.0 %  |        |        |        |
| Savannah            | 83.9  | % | —     |   | —     | —    | 83.9  | %    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —        |        |        |        |
| Washington DC       | 91.5  | % | 45.3  | % | 100.0 | %    | 68.2  | %    | 92.6  | %     | 84.7 | %    | 86.6 %   |        |        |        |
| New Jersey          | 100.0 | % | —     |   | —     | —    | 100.0 | %    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —        |        |        |        |
| Northern California | 100.0 | % | —     |   | —     | —    | 100.0 | %    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —        |        |        |        |
| Southern California | 76.8  | % | —     |   | —     | —    | 76.8  | %    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —        |        |        |        |
| Pennsylvania        | 100.0 | % | —     |   | —     | 85.9 | %     | 97.5 | %     | —     | —    | —    | —        |        |        |        |
| Seattle             | 100.0 | % | —     |   | —     | —    | 100.0 | %    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —        |        |        |        |
| Phoenix             | 92.7  | % | —     |   | —     | —    | 92.7  | %    | 100.0 | %     | —    | —    | 100.0 %  |        |        |        |
| Baltimore           | 100.0 | % | —     |   | —     | —    | 100.0 | %    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —        |        |        |        |
| Cleveland           | —     |   | 57.2  | % | —     | —    | 57.2  | %    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —        |        |        |        |
| Other (3)           | 87.8  | % | —     |   | 88.3  | %    | 88.0  | %    | 100.0 | %     | —    | —    | 100.0 %  |        |        |        |
| Total               | 95.0  | % | 87.8  | % | 93.2  | %    | 85.7  | %    | 94.1  | %     | 96.9 | %    | 88.0 %   | 96.8 % | 87.3 % | 94.8 % |



- Represents the average annual base rental payments, on a straight-line basis for the term of each lease, from space leased to tenants as of December 31, 2013, excluding additional amounts paid by tenants as reimbursement for
- (1) operating expenses. Joint venture properties are shown at 100% of square feet and net effective rents, without regard to our ownership percentage.
  - (2) Annual net effective rent per leased square foot.
  - (3) Represents properties not located in our primary markets, totaling 1.4% of the total square footage of our consolidated properties.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not subject to any material pending legal proceedings, other than routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. Our management expects that these ordinary routine legal proceedings will be covered by insurance and does not expect these legal proceedings to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The General Partner's common stock is listed for trading on the NYSE under the symbol "DRE." The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of the General Partner's common stock for the periods indicated and the dividend or distribution paid per share or Common Unit by the General Partner or the Partnership, respectively, during each such period. There is no established trading market for the Partnership's Common Units. As of February 21, 2014, there were 7,012 record holders of the General Partner's common stock and 142 record holders of the Partnership's Common Units.

| Quarter Ended | 2013    |         |                       | 2012    |         |                       |
|---------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|
|               | High    | Low     | Dividend/Distribution | High    | Low     | Dividend/Distribution |
| December 31   | \$17.23 | \$14.18 | \$ 0.17               | \$15.93 | \$12.71 | \$ 0.17               |
| September 30  | 17.56   | 14.12   | 0.17                  | 16.00   | 13.85   | 0.17                  |
| June 30       | 18.80   | 14.29   | 0.17                  | 15.31   | 13.06   | 0.17                  |
| March 31      | 17.16   | 13.94   | 0.17                  | 14.85   | 11.85   | 0.17                  |

On January 29, 2014, the General Partner declared a quarterly cash dividend or distribution of \$0.17 per share or Common Unit, payable by the General Partner or the Partnership, respectively, on February 28, 2014, to common shareholders or common unitholders of record on February 14, 2014.

A summary of the tax characterization of the dividends paid per common share of the General Partner for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 follows:

|                                | 2013   | 2012    | 2011    |   |
|--------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---|
| Total dividends paid per share | \$0.68 | \$0.68  | \$0.68  |   |
| Ordinary income                | 52.6   | % 14.1  | % 3.3   | % |
| Return of capital              | 4.4    | % 85.9  | % 96.7  | % |
| Capital gains                  | 43.0   | % —     | % —     | % |
|                                | 100.0  | % 100.0 | % 100.0 | % |

Sales of Unregistered Securities

The General Partner did not sell any of its securities during the year ended December 31, 2013 that were not registered under the Securities Act.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected financial and operating information on a historical basis for each of the years in the five-year period ended December 31, 2013. The following information should be read in conjunction with Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" included in this Form 10-K (in thousands, except per share or per Common Unit):

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|  | 2013        | 2012         | 2011        | 2010        | 2009         |
|--|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| <b>Results of Operations:</b>                                    |             |              |             |             |              |
| <b>General Partner and Partnership</b>                           |             |              |             |             |              |
| <b>Revenues:</b>   |             |              |             |             |              |
| Rental and related revenue from continuing operations            | \$875,194   | \$771,625    | \$686,242   | \$618,315   | \$581,200    |
| General contractor and service fee revenue                       | 206,596     | 275,071      | 521,796     | 515,361     | 449,509      |
| Total revenues from continuing operations                        | \$1,081,790 | \$1,046,696  | \$1,208,038 | \$1,133,676 | \$1,030,709  |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations                         | \$61,546    | \$(85,549 )  | \$(3,096 )  | \$44,340    | \$(222,651 ) |
| <b>General Partner</b>   |             |              |             |             |              |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders            | \$153,044   | \$(126,145 ) | \$31,416    | \$(14,108 ) | \$(333,601 ) |
| <b>Partnership</b>   |             |              |             |             |              |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unitholders             | \$155,138   | \$(128,418 ) | \$32,275    | \$(14,459 ) | \$(344,700 ) |
| <b>General Partner</b>   |             |              |             |             |              |
| <b>Per Share Data:</b>   |             |              |             |             |              |
| <b>Basic income (loss) per common share:</b>                     |             |              |             |             |              |
| Continuing operations  | \$0.06      | \$(0.52 )    | \$(0.27 )   | \$(0.16 )   | \$(1.43 )    |
| Discontinued operations  | 0.41        | 0.04         | 0.38        | 0.09        | (0.24 )      |
| <b>Diluted income (loss) per common share:</b>                   |             |              |             |             |              |
| Continuing operations  | 0.06        | (0.52 )      | (0.27 )     | (0.16 )     | (1.43 )      |
| Discontinued operations  | 0.41        | 0.04         | 0.38        | 0.09        | (0.24 )      |
| Dividends paid per common share                                  | \$0.68      | \$0.68       | \$0.68      | \$0.68      | \$0.76       |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding                       | 322,133     | 267,900      | 252,694     | 238,920     | 201,206      |
| Weighted average common shares and potential dilutive securities | 326,712     | 267,900      | 259,598     | 238,920     | 201,206      |
| <b>Balance Sheet Data (at December 31):</b>                      |             |              |             |             |              |
| Total Assets   | \$7,752,614 | \$7,560,101  | \$7,004,437 | \$7,644,276 | \$7,304,279  |
| Total Debt   | 4,254,376   | 4,446,170    | 3,809,589   | 4,207,079   | 3,854,032    |
| Total Preferred Equity   | 447,683     | 625,638      | 793,910     | 904,540     | 1,016,625    |
| Total Shareholders' Equity                                       | 3,013,243   | 2,591,414    | 2,714,686   | 2,945,610   | 2,925,345    |
| Total Common Shares Outstanding                                  | 326,399     | 279,423      | 252,927     | 252,195     | 224,029      |
| <b>Other Data:</b>   |             |              |             |             |              |
| Funds from Operations attributable to common shareholders (1)    | \$347,041   | \$265,204    | \$274,616   | \$297,955   | \$142,597    |
| <b>Partnership</b>   |             |              |             |             |              |
| <b>Per Unit Data:</b>  |             |              |             |             |              |
| <b>Basic income (loss) per Common Unit:</b>                      |             |              |             |             |              |
| Continuing operations  | \$0.06      | \$(0.52 )    | \$(0.27 )   | \$(0.16 )   | \$(1.43 )    |
| Discontinued operations  | 0.41        | 0.04         | 0.38        | 0.09        | (0.24 )      |
| <b>Diluted income (loss) per Common Unit:</b>                    |             |              |             |             |              |
| Continuing operations  | 0.06        | (0.52 )      | (0.27 )     | (0.16 )     | (1.43 )      |
| Discontinued operations  | 0.41        | 0.04         | 0.38        | 0.09        | (0.24 )      |
| Distributions paid per Common Unit                               | \$0.68      | \$0.68       | \$0.68      | \$0.68      | \$0.76       |
| Weighted average Common Units outstanding                        | 326,525     | 272,729      | 259,598     | 244,870     | 207,893      |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |             |             |             |             |             |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Weighted average Common Units and potential dilutive securities | 326,712     | 272,729     | 259,598     | 244,870     | 207,893     |
| Balance Sheet Data (at December 31):                            |             |             |             |             |             |
| Total Assets  | \$7,752,614 | \$7,560,101 | \$7,003,982 | \$7,644,124 | \$7,304,493 |
| Total Debt  | 4,254,376   | 4,446,170   | 3,809,589   | 4,207,079   | 3,854,032   |
| Total Preferred Equity  | 447,683     | 625,638     | 793,910     | 904,540     | 1,016,625   |
| Total Partners' Equity  | 3,037,330   | 2,616,803   | 2,775,037   | 2,984,619   | 2,960,516   |
| Total Common Units Outstanding                                  | 330,786     | 283,842     | 259,872     | 257,426     | 230,638     |
| Other Data:   |             |             |             |             |             |
| Funds from Operations attributable to common unitholders (1)    | \$351,780   | \$269,985   | \$282,119   | \$305,375   | \$147,324   |

(1) In addition to net income (loss) computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), we assess and measure the overall operating results of the General Partner and the Partnership based upon Funds From Operations ("FFO"), which is an industry performance measure that management believes is a useful indicator of consolidated operating performance. FFO is used by industry analysts and investors as a supplemental operating performance measure of an equity real estate investment trust ("REIT") like Duke Realty Corporation. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") created FFO as a non-GAAP supplemental measure of REIT operating performance. FFO, as defined by NAREIT, represents GAAP net income (loss), excluding extraordinary items as defined under GAAP, gains or losses from sales of previously depreciated real estate assets, impairment charges related to depreciable real estate assets, plus certain non-cash items such as real estate asset depreciation and amortization, and after similar

adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. The most comparable GAAP measure is net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders. FFO attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders should not be considered as a substitute for net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders or any other measures derived in accordance with GAAP and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. FFO is calculated in accordance with the definition that was adopted by the Board of Governors of NAREIT.

Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry analysts and investors have considered presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. Management believes that the use of FFO attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders, combined with net income (which remains the primary measure of performance), improves the understanding of operating results of REITs among the investing public and makes comparisons of REIT operating results more meaningful. Management believes that the use of FFO as a performance measure enables investors and analysts to readily identify the operating results of the long-term assets that form the core of a REIT's activity and assist them in comparing these operating results between periods or between different companies.

See reconciliation of FFO to GAAP net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders under the caption "Year in Review" under Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

#### Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

##### Business Overview

The General Partner is a self-administered and self-managed REIT that began operations in 1986 and is the sole general partner of the Partnership. The Partnership is a limited partnership formed in 1993, at which time all of the properties and related assets and liabilities of the General Partner, as well as proceeds from a secondary offering of the General Partner's common shares, were contributed to the Partnership. Simultaneously, the Partnership completed the acquisition of Duke Associates, a full-service commercial real estate firm operating in the Midwest whose operations began in 1972. We operate the General Partner and the Partnership as one enterprise, and therefore, our discussion and analysis refers to the General Partner and its consolidated subsidiaries, including the Partnership, collectively.

At December 31, 2013, we:

Owned or jointly controlled 754 industrial, office, medical office and other properties, of which 730 properties with approximately 146.5 million square feet were in service and 24 properties with approximately 6.1 million square feet were under development. The 730 in-service properties were comprised of 623 consolidated properties with approximately 124.0 million square feet and 107 jointly controlled properties with more than 22.5 million square feet. The 24 properties under development consisted of 22 consolidated properties with more than 4.3 million square feet and two jointly controlled properties with approximately 1.8 million square feet.

Owned, including through ownership interests in unconsolidated joint ventures, more than 4,100 acres of land and controlled an additional 1,600 acres through purchase options.

A key component of our overall strategy is to increase our investment in quality industrial properties in both existing and select new markets and to reduce our investment in suburban office properties and other non-strategic assets. By the end of 2013, we had achieved the asset allocation objectives that we had established in late 2009 to increase our industrial assets to 60%, while reducing our office assets to 25% or less, of our total asset concentration.

We have four reportable operating segments at December 31, 2013, the first three of which consist of the ownership and rental of (i) industrial, (ii) office and (iii) medical office real estate investments. The operations of our industrial, office and medical office properties, along with our retail properties, are collectively referred to as "Rental Operations." Our retail properties, as well as any other properties not included in our reportable segments, do not by themselves meet the quantitative thresholds for separate presentation as a reportable segment. The fourth reportable segment consists of various real estate services such as property management, asset management, maintenance, leasing, development, general contractor and construction management to third-party property owners and joint ventures, and is collectively referred to as "Service Operations." Our reportable segments offer different products or services and are managed separately because each segment requires different operating strategies and



management expertise. Our Service Operations segment also includes our taxable REIT subsidiary, a legal entity through which certain of the segment's operations are conducted.

#### Operational Strategy

Our operational focus is to drive profitability by maximizing cash from operations as well as FFO through (i) maintaining and increasing property occupancy and rental rates, while also keeping lease-related capital costs contained, by effectively managing our portfolio of existing properties; (ii) selectively developing new build-to-suit, substantially pre-leased and, in limited circumstances, speculative development projects; (iii) leveraging our construction expertise to act as a general contractor or construction manager on a fee basis; and (iv) providing a full line of real estate services to our tenants and to third parties.

#### Asset Strategy

Our asset strategy is to reposition our investment concentration among product types and further diversify our geographic presence. Our strategic objectives include (i) increasing our investment in quality industrial properties in both existing markets and select new markets; (ii) managing our medical office portfolio nationally to focus on hospital system relationships in order to take advantage of demographic trends; (iii) increasing our asset investment in markets we believe provide the best potential for future rental growth; (iv) reducing our investment in suburban office properties located primarily in the Midwest as well as reducing our investment in other non-strategic assets; and (v) monetizing our land inventory through new development activity as well as sales of surplus land. We are continuing to execute our asset strategy through a disciplined approach by identifying development and acquisition opportunities, while continually evaluating our portfolio for disposition by regularly identifying assets that no longer meet our long-term objectives.

#### Capital Strategy

Our capital strategy is to maintain a strong balance sheet by actively managing the components of our capital structure, in coordination with the execution of our overall operational and asset strategies. We are focused on maintaining investment grade ratings from our credit rating agencies with the ultimate goal of further improving the key metrics that formulate our credit ratings.

In support of our capital strategy, we employ an asset disposition program to sell non-strategic real estate assets, which generates proceeds that can be recycled into new property investments that better fit our growth objectives or can be used to reduce leverage and otherwise manage our capital structure.

We continue to focus on improving our balance sheet by maintaining a balanced and flexible capital structure which includes: (i) extending and sequencing the maturity dates of our outstanding debt obligations; (ii) borrowing primarily at fixed rates by targeting a variable rate component of total debt less than 20%; and (iii) issuing common equity as needed to maintain appropriate leverage parameters or support significant strategic developments or acquisitions.

With our successes to date and continued focus on maintaining a strong balance sheet, we believe we are well-positioned for future growth.

#### Year in Review

The overall economic environment improved modestly in 2013. Unresolved issues of spending cuts, the national debt ceiling and the government shutdown led to uncertainty for the U.S. economy during much of the year. While some of these issues are now resolved for the short term, they did have an impact on the economy and our business. Despite these challenges, we believe 2013 to have been a very successful year across all aspects of our strategic focus. Our performance in 2013 included increasing the already strong level of occupancy at which we completed 2012 as well as increasing the size and pre-leased percentage of our development pipeline.

Net income attributable to the common shareholders of the General Partner for the year ended December 31, 2013, was \$153.0 million, or \$0.47 per share (diluted), compared to net loss of \$126.1 million, or \$0.48 per share (diluted) for the year ended December 31, 2012. Net income attributable to the common unitholders of the Partnership for the year ended December 31, 2013, was \$155.1 million, or \$0.47 per unit (diluted), compared to net loss of \$128.4 million, or \$0.48 per unit (diluted) for the year ended December 31, 2012. The net income position for 2013, when

compared to the net loss reported for 2012, was primarily the result of significant gains on property sales, for both consolidated properties and for our share of gains recognized within our unconsolidated joint ventures. The significant increase to gains from property sales was partially offset by increased depreciation expense in 2013 that resulted from carrying a larger base of properties. A substantial portion of the property sale activity occurred late in 2013, which mitigated the impact on operations from these dispositions.

FFO attributable to common shareholders of the General Partner totaled \$347.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$265.2 million for 2012. FFO attributable to common unitholders of the Partnership totaled \$351.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$270.0 million for 2012. We executed a 79-building suburban office portfolio sale (the "Blackstone Office Disposition") in late 2011, and the proceeds were not fully re-invested until the second half of 2012. Additionally, the General Partner issued 41.4 million shares of common stock in January 2013, generating net proceeds of approximately \$571.9 million, which were re-invested into new property acquisitions as well as used to redeem the General Partner's \$178.0 million of 8.375% Series O Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares ("Series O Shares"). The investment of both the proceeds from the Blackstone Office Disposition and the General Partner's January 2013 common stock offering resulted in the Company carrying a significantly higher base of real estate assets in 2013 compared to 2012, and therefore generating increased rental income throughout 2013. The higher base of real estate assets, coupled with the reduction of preferred dividends resulting from the aforementioned redemption, drove the increased FFO in 2013. Improved occupancy and operations throughout our real estate portfolio also contributed to the increase in FFO from 2012.

In addition to net income (loss) computed in accordance with GAAP, we assess and measure the overall operating results of the General Partner and the Partnership based upon FFO, which is an industry performance measure that management believes is a useful indicator of consolidated operating performance. FFO is used by industry analysts and investors as a supplemental operating performance measure of a REIT. NAREIT created FFO as a non-GAAP supplemental measure of REIT operating performance. FFO, as defined by NAREIT, represents GAAP net income (loss), excluding extraordinary items as defined under GAAP, gains or losses from sales of previously depreciated real estate assets, impairment charges related to depreciable real estate assets, plus certain non-cash items such as real estate asset depreciation and amortization, and after similar adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. The most comparable GAAP measure is net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders. FFO attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders should not be considered as a substitute for net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders or any other measures derived in accordance with GAAP and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. FFO is calculated in accordance with the definition that was adopted by the Board of Governors of NAREIT.

Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry analysts and investors have considered presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. Management believes that the use of FFO attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders, combined with net income (which remains the primary measure of performance), improves the understanding of operating results of REITs among the investing public and makes comparisons of REIT operating results more meaningful. Management believes that the use of FFO as a performance measure enables investors and analysts to readily identify the operating results of the long-term assets that form the core of a REIT's activity and assist them in comparing these operating results between periods or between different companies.

The following table shows a reconciliation of net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders to the calculation of FFO attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively (in thousands):



Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|  | 2013       | 2012         | 2011       |
|--|------------|--------------|------------|
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders of the General Partner   | \$ 153,044 | \$(126,145 ) | \$ 31,416  |
| Add back: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests - common limited partnership interests in the Partnership | 2,094      | (2,273 )     | 859        |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unitholders of the Partnership  | 155,138    | (128,418 )   | 32,275     |
| Adjustments:   |            |              |            |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 409,050    | 379,419      | 385,679    |
| Company share of joint venture depreciation and amortization   | 31,220     | 34,702       | 33,687     |
| Earnings from depreciable property sales—wholly owned  | (192,421 ) | (13,811 )    | (169,431 ) |
| Earnings from depreciable property sales—share of joint venture  | (51,207 )  | (1,907 )     | (91 )      |
| Funds From Operations attributable to common unitholders of the Partnership  | \$ 351,780 | \$ 269,985   | \$ 282,119 |
| Additional General Partner Adjustments:  |            |              |            |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests - common limited partnership interests in the Partnership           | (2,094 )   | 2,273        | (859 )     |
| Noncontrolling interest share of adjustments   | (2,645 )   | (7,054 )     | (6,644 )   |
| Funds From Operations attributable to common shareholders of the General Partner   | \$ 347,041 | \$ 265,204   | \$ 274,616 |

In accordance with our strategic plans, we increased our investment in industrial and medical office properties while reducing our investment in suburban office properties. Additionally, we continued to improve our operational metrics, which evidences the continued execution of our operational strategy. Highlights of our 2013 strategic and operational activities are as follows:

We had development starts with expected total costs of \$665.8 million during 2013 across all product types, which includes our share of expected total costs for two industrial development starts within a 50%-owned unconsolidated joint venture. These 2013 development starts were, in aggregate, 90.5% pre-leased.

During 2013, we placed 19 wholly-owned developments in service, across all product types, which totaled 4.4 million square feet with estimated total costs, after the properties are fully leased, of \$481.7 million. These properties were 90.5% leased at December 31, 2013.

We increased our level of development investment during 2013 as compared to the last few years. The total estimated cost of our consolidated properties under construction was \$572.6 million at December 31, 2013, with \$249.9 million of such costs incurred through that date. The total estimated cost for jointly controlled properties under construction was \$76.5 million at December 31, 2013, with \$10.9 million of costs incurred through that date. The consolidated properties under construction are 85% pre-leased, while the jointly controlled properties under construction are 100% pre-leased.

During 2013, we acquired 16 industrial properties, totaling 8.0 million rentable square feet, and one medical office property with a total combined value of \$553.3 million. These properties were, in aggregate, 99.8% leased at their acquisition dates.

We generated \$740.0 million of total net cash proceeds from the disposition of 38 consolidated buildings and 277 acres of wholly-owned undeveloped land. These dispositions included 18 medical office properties in markets, or associated with healthcare systems, where we did not anticipate significant future growth. An additional 13 of the properties sold during 2013 were suburban office properties, primarily located in the Midwest.

The percentage of total square feet leased for our in-service portfolio of consolidated properties increased from 92.7% at December 31, 2012 to 94.1% at December 31, 2013.

We continued to have strong total leasing activity for our consolidated properties, with total leasing activity of 24.5 million square feet in 2013 compared to 24.2 million square feet in 2012.

Total leasing activity for our consolidated properties in 2013 included 11.7 million square feet of renewals, which represented a 68.3% retention rate on a square foot basis, and resulted in a 2.4% increase in net

effective rents. Lease expirations for the year were, for the most part, backfilled with new tenants, and the increased second generation leasing volume more than compensated for a decreased level of renewals.

We executed a number of significant transactions in support of our capital strategy during 2013 in order to optimally sequence our unsecured debt maturities, manage our overall leverage profile, reduce our cost of capital and support our development and acquisition priorities in alignment with our asset strategy. Highlights of our key financing activities are as follows:

In January 2013, the General Partner completed a public offering of 41.4 million common shares at an issue price of \$14.25 per share, resulting in gross proceeds of \$590.0 million and, after deducting underwriting fees and offering costs, net proceeds of approximately \$571.9 million.

Throughout 2013, the General Partner issued 4.8 million shares of common stock pursuant to its at the market ("ATM") equity program at an average price of \$16.67 per share, generating gross proceeds of approximately \$79.3 million and, after considering commissions and other costs, net proceeds of approximately \$77.8 million.

In February 2013, the General Partner redeemed all of the outstanding shares of its Series O Shares, which were redeemable as of February 22, 2013, at a liquidation amount of \$178.0 million. The redemption of the Series O Shares resulted in an on-going annual reduction to preferred dividends of nearly \$15 million per year.

During 2013, we issued \$500.0 million of unsecured bonds at a weighted average stated and effective rate of 3.8%, and a \$250.0 million unsecured term loan that bears interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 1.35%.

During 2013, we repaid \$675.0 million of unsecured bonds, which had a weighted average stated interest rate of 5.57%. We also repaid twelve secured loans during 2013, totaling \$153.8 million, which had a weighted average stated interest rate of 5.52%.

#### Key Performance Indicators

Our operating results depend primarily upon rental income from our Rental Operations. The following discussion highlights the areas of Rental Operations that we consider critical drivers of future revenues.

Occupancy Analysis: As previously discussed, our ability to maintain high occupancy rates is a principal driver of maintaining and increasing rental revenue from continuing operations. The following table sets forth percent leased and average net effective rent information regarding our in-service portfolio of consolidated rental properties at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (in thousands, except percentage data):

| Type           | Total Square Feet |         | Percent of Total Square Feet |         | Percent Leased* |        | Average Annual Net Effective Rent** |         |
|----------------|-------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|-----------------|--------|-------------------------------------|---------|
|                | 2013              | 2012    | 2013                         | 2012    | 2013            | 2012   | 2013                                | 2012    |
| Industrial     | 104,623           | 94,080  | 84.4                         | % 81.4  | % 95.0          | % 94.3 | % \$3.93                            | \$3.89  |
| Office         | 14,423            | 15,715  | 11.6                         | % 13.6  | % 87.8          | % 84.3 | % \$13.35                           | \$13.30 |
| Medical Office | 4,566             | 5,048   | 3.7                          | % 4.4   | % 93.2          | % 91.1 | % \$22.51                           | \$21.57 |
| Other          | 348               | 739     | 0.3                          | % 0.6   | % 85.7          | % 88.1 | % \$19.71                           | \$24.24 |
| Total          | 123,960           | 115,582 | 100.0                        | % 100.0 | % 94.1          | % 92.7 | % \$5.67                            | \$5.94  |

\* Represents the percentage of total square feet leased based on executed leases and without regard to whether the leases have commenced.

\*\* Represents average annual base rental payments per leased square foot, on a straight-line basis for the term of each lease, from space leased to tenants at the end of the most recent reporting period. This amount excludes additional amounts paid by tenants as reimbursement for operating expenses.

The increase in occupancy at December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012 was driven by increased leasing activity, as well as acquisition and disposition activity in 2013. The 3.7 million square feet of properties that we

disposed of during 2013 were less than 90% leased in the aggregate, while the 8.1 million square feet of properties that we acquired during the year were nearly 100% leased.

The average annual net effective rent for our industrial, office and medical office properties increased from 2012 to 2013 within each of these product types. The decrease in our overall average annual net effective rent per square foot is primarily the result of a shift in product mix, as we have increased our concentration in industrial properties.

#### Total Leasing Activity

The initial leasing of development projects or vacant space in acquired properties is referred to as first generation lease activity. The leasing of such space that we have previously held under lease is referred to as second generation lease activity. The total leasing activity for our consolidated rental properties, expressed in square feet of leases signed during the period, is as follows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (in thousands):

|  | 2013   | 2012   |
|--|--------|--------|
| New Leasing Activity - First Generation  | 5,787  | 5,628  |
| New Leasing Activity - Second Generation | 7,019  | 4,911  |
| Renewal Leasing Activity                 | 11,684 | 13,626 |
| Total Leasing Activity                   | 24,490 | 24,165 |

We were able to quickly backfill expiring leases in 2013, which compensated for the decreased renewal volume, while slightly increasing our volume of first generation leases in new developments.

#### New Second Generation Leases

The following table sets forth the estimated costs of tenant improvements and leasing commissions, on a per square foot basis, that we are obligated to fulfill under the new second generation leases signed for our consolidated rental properties during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (square feet data in thousands):

|                | Square Feet of New Second Generation Leases Signed |       | Average Term in Years |      | Estimated Tenant Improvement Cost per Square Foot |         | Leasing Commissions per Square Foot |        |
|----------------|--|-------|-----------------------|------|---|---------|-------------------------------------|--------|
|                | 2013   | 2012  | 2013                  | 2012 | 2013  | 2012    | 2013                                | 2012   |
| Industrial     | 5,811  | 3,900 | 5.2                   | 7.0  | \$2.45  | \$2.65  | \$1.53                              | \$1.55 |
| Office         | 1,167  | 972   | 6.8                   | 6.7  | \$17.95   | \$17.36 | \$7.08                              | \$7.33 |
| Medical Office | 41   | 39    | 5.6                   | 6.6  | \$13.00   | \$15.41 | \$3.38                              | \$6.67 |
| Total          | 7,019  | 4,911 | 5.5                   | 6.9  | \$5.09  | \$5.66  | \$2.46                              | \$2.73 |

The increase in new second generation leases in 2013 was, to a large extent, correlated with the decrease in renewals and was driven by our ability to backfill several planned lease expirations throughout the year.

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Lease Renewals

The following table summarizes our lease renewal activity within our consolidated rental properties for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (square feet data in thousands):

|                | Square Feet of Leases Renewed |        | Percent of Expiring Leases Renewed |        | Average Term in Years |      | Growth (Decline) in Net Effective Rents* |       | Estimated Tenant Improvement Cost per Square Foot |        | Leasing Commissions per Square Foot |        |
|----------------|-------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|------|--|-------|---|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|
|                | 2013                          | 2012   | 2013                               | 2012   | 2013                  | 2012 | 2013                                     | 2012  | 2013  | 2012   | 2013                                | 2012   |
| Industrial     | 9,653                         | 12,168 | 66.2 %                             | 85.4 % | 4.3                   | 5.2  | 4.0 %                                    | 1.0 % | \$0.72  | \$0.42 | \$0.96                              | \$0.80 |
| Office         | 1,978                         | 1,431  | 83.0 %                             | 73.0 % | 4.8                   | 4.1  | — %                                      | 2.2 % | \$5.81  | \$3.35 | \$4.68                              | \$3.01 |
| Medical Office | 53                            | 27     | 38.5 %                             | 39.1 % | 3.8                   | 6.5  | 6.0 %                                    | 6.1 % | \$4.05  | \$1.59 | \$2.80                              | \$1.14 |
| Total          | 11,684                        | 13,626 | 68.3 %                             | 83.7 % | 4.4                   | 5.1  | 2.4 %                                    | 1.4 % | \$1.60  | \$0.73 | \$1.60                              | \$1.03 |

\* Represents the percentage change in net effective rent between the original leases and the renewal leases. Net effective rents represent average annual base rental payments, on a straight-line basis for the term of each lease, excluding operating expense reimbursements.

We experienced several lease expirations during 2013, including several significant industrial leases across several markets. As evidenced by the increased second generation leasing volume, we were able to backfill a significant component of our 2013 expirations.

Lease Expirations

Our ability to maintain and improve occupancy rates and net effective rents primarily depends upon our continuing ability to re-lease expiring space. The following table reflects our consolidated in-service portfolio lease expiration schedule, including square footage and annualized net effective rent, for expiring leases by property type at December 31, 2013 (in thousands, except percentage data):

| Year of Expiration          | Total Consolidated Portfolio |                    |              | Industrial  |                    | Office      |                    | Medical Office |                    | Other       |                    |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|
|                             | Square Feet                  | Ann. Rent Revenue* | % of Revenue | Square Feet | Ann. Rent Revenue* | Square Feet | Ann. Rent Revenue* | Square Feet    | Ann. Rent Revenue* | Square Feet | Ann. Rent Revenue* |
| 2014                        | 11,158                       | \$53,397           | 8 %          | 9,779       | \$36,679           | 1,243       | \$14,366           | 132            | \$2,232            | 4           | \$120              |
| 2015                        | 12,380                       | 62,816             | 10 %         | 10,666      | 40,325             | 1,646       | 21,119             | 60             | 1,196              | 8           | 176                |
| 2016                        | 14,543                       | 74,399             | 11 %         | 12,526      | 46,365             | 1,782       | 23,303             | 216            | 4,374              | 19          | 357                |
| 2017                        | 14,009                       | 74,291             | 11 %         | 12,308      | 48,617             | 1,384       | 18,796             | 244            | 5,169              | 73          | 1,709              |
| 2018                        | 12,589                       | 76,089             | 12 %         | 10,232      | 39,394             | 1,882       | 25,225             | 398            | 9,998              | 77          | 1,472              |
| 2019                        | 10,551                       | 57,864             | 9 %          | 8,945       | 33,917             | 1,366       | 17,875             | 228            | 5,788              | 12          | 284                |
| 2020                        | 10,751                       | 61,021             | 9 %          | 9,335       | 37,605             | 946         | 14,093             | 460            | 9,066              | 10          | 257                |
| 2021                        | 7,974                        | 44,174             | 7 %          | 6,804       | 26,733             | 919         | 11,593             | 238            | 5,576              | 13          | 272                |
| 2022                        | 5,675                        | 30,185             | 5 %          | 5,018       | 17,234             | 245         | 4,339              | 390            | 8,165              | 22          | 447                |
| 2023                        | 2,989                        | 26,731             | 4 %          | 2,101       | 10,462             | 464         | 7,395              | 418            | 8,725              | 6           | 149                |
| 2024 and Thereafter         | 13,973                       | 100,065            | 14 %         | 11,665      | 53,090             | 782         | 10,889             | 1,472          | 35,444             | 54          | 642                |
| Total Leased                | 116,592                      | \$661,032          | 100 %        | 99,379      | \$390,421          | 12,659      | \$168,993          | 4,256          | \$95,733           | 298         | \$5,885            |
| Total Portfolio Square Feet | 123,960                      |                    |              | 104,623     |                    | 14,423      |                    | 4,566          |                    | 348         |                    |
| Percent Leased              | 94.1 %                       |                    |              | 95.0 %      |                    | 87.8 %      |                    | 93.2 %         |                    | 85.7 %      |                    |

\* Annualized rental revenue represents average annual base rental payments, on a straight-line basis for the term of each lease, from space leased to tenants at the end of the most recent reporting period. Annualized rental revenue

excludes additional amounts paid by tenants as reimbursement for operating expenses.

Information on current market rents can be difficult to obtain, is highly subjective, and is often not directly comparable between properties. As a result, we believe the increase or decrease in net effective rent on lease

-26-

---

renewals, as previously defined, is the most objective and meaningful relationship between rents on leases expiring in the near-term and current market rents.

#### Acquisition Activity

Our decision process in determining whether or not to acquire a target property or portfolio involves several factors, including expected rent growth, multiple yield metrics, property locations and expected demographic growth in each location, current occupancy of the target properties, tenant profile and remaining terms of the in-place leases in the target properties. We pursue both brokered and non-brokered acquisitions, and it is difficult to predict which markets and product types may present acquisition opportunities that align with our strategy. Because of the numerous factors considered in our acquisition decisions, we do not establish specific target yields for future acquisitions.

We acquired 17 properties during the year ended December 31, 2013 and 37 properties during the year ended December 31, 2012. The following table summarizes the acquisition price, percent leased at time of acquisition and in-place yields, by product type, for these acquisitions (in thousands, except percentage data):

| Type           | 2013 Acquisitions  |                  |                                       | 2012 Acquisitions  |                  |                                       |
|----------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                | Acquisition Price* | In-Place Yield** | Percent Leased at Acquisition Date*** | Acquisition Price* | In-Place Yield** | Percent Leased at Acquisition Date*** |
| Industrial     | \$532,808          | 6.1              | % 100.0                               | % \$265,203        | 6.6              | % 94.9                                |
| Medical Office | 20,500             | 6.9              | % 82.3                                | % 514,455          | 6.5              | % 92.9                                |
| Total          | \$553,308          | 6.2              | % 99.8                                | % \$779,658        | 6.5              | % 94.4                                |

\* Includes real estate assets and net acquired lease-related intangible assets, including above or below market leases, but excludes other acquired working capital assets and liabilities.

\*\* In-place yields of completed acquisitions are calculated as the current annualized net rental payments from space leased to tenants at the date of acquisition, divided by the acquisition price of the acquired real estate. Annualized net rental payments are comprised of base rental payments, excluding additional amounts payable by tenants as reimbursement for operating expenses, less current annualized operating expenses not recovered through tenant reimbursements.

\*\*\* Represents percentage of total square feet leased based on executed leases and without regard to whether the leases have commenced, at the date of acquisition.

#### Disposition Activity

We regularly work to identify, consider and pursue opportunities to dispose of properties on an opportunistic basis and on a basis that is generally consistent with our strategic plans. We sold 38 buildings during the year ended December 31, 2013 and 28 buildings during the year ended December 31, 2012. The following table summarizes the sales prices, in-place yields and percent leased, by product type, of these buildings (in thousands, except percentage data):

| Type           | 2013 Dispositions |                 |                  | 2012 Dispositions |                 |                  |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|                | Sales Price       | In-Place Yield* | Percent Leased** | Sales Price       | In-Place Yield* | Percent Leased** |
| Industrial     | \$16,499          | 6.3             | % 50.1           | % \$60,913        | 8.4             | % 79.3           |
| Office         | 219,254           | 8.3             | % 91.8           | % 58,881          | 7.1             | % 79.4           |
| Medical Office | 285,850           | 6.4             | % 90.1           | % —               | —               | % —              |
| Other          | 188,000           | 5.0             | % 89.8           | % 11,400          | 9.0             | % 80.5           |
| Total          | \$709,603         | 6.6             | % 86.8           | % \$131,194       | 7.9             | % 79.4           |

\* In-place yields of completed dispositions are calculated as current annualized net rental payments from space leased to tenants at the date of sale, divided by the sales price of the real estate. Annualized net rental payments are comprised of base rental payments, excluding additional amounts payable by tenants as reimbursement for operating expenses, less current annualized operating expenses not recovered through tenant reimbursements.

\*\* Represents percentage of total square feet leased based on executed leases and without regard to whether the leases have commenced, at the date of sale.

-27-

---

During 2013, 18 medical office properties totaling 1.1 million square feet in various markets sold for \$285.9 million. The properties sold in these transactions were in markets, or were associated with health systems, where we did not believe there to be significant future growth potential.

In May 2013, we sold a 391,000 square foot retail property in South Florida for \$188.0 million.

Throughout 2013, 19 office properties and one industrial property were sold by two of our unconsolidated joint ventures for which capital distributions to us totaled \$92.3 million. Our share of gains from joint venture property sales, which are included in equity in earnings, related almost entirely to these sales and totaled \$51.2 million.

#### Development

Another source of our earnings growth is our wholly-owned and joint venture development activities. We expect to generate future earnings from Rental Operations as the development properties are placed in service and leased. We increased our development activities in 2013 for industrial and medical office properties with significant pre-leasing, as well as for speculative developments, in limited circumstances, in markets that we believe will provide future growth. We believe these two product lines will be the areas of greatest future growth.

We had 6.1 million square feet of consolidated or jointly controlled properties under development with total estimated costs upon completion of \$649.2 million at December 31, 2013, compared to 4.4 million square feet of property under development with total estimated costs of \$578.5 million at December 31, 2012. The square footage and estimated costs include both wholly-owned and joint venture development activity at 100%. The following table summarizes our properties under development at December 31, 2013 (in thousands, except percentage data):

| Ownership Type           | Square Feet | Percent Leased | Total Estimated Project Costs | Total Incurred to Date | Amount Remaining to be Spent |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| Consolidated properties  | 4,337       | 85             | % \$572,604                   | \$249,885              | \$322,719                    |
| Joint venture properties | 1,758       | 100            | % 76,547                      | 10,911                 | 65,636                       |
| Total                    | 6,095       | 89             | % \$649,151                   | \$260,796              | \$388,355                    |

We directly own over 3,200 acres of undeveloped land, of which we intend to develop approximately 2,500 acres. We believe that the land we intend to develop can support approximately 41.7 million square feet of primarily industrial, but also office and medical office, developments.



Results of Operations

A summary of our operating results and property statistics for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, is as follows (in thousands, except number of properties and per share or per Common Unit data):

|  | 2013      | 2012        | 2011      |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Rental and related revenue from continuing operations            | \$875,194 | \$771,625   | \$686,242 |
| General contractor and service fee revenue                       | 206,596   | 275,071     | 521,796   |
| Operating income   | 296,000   | 148,018     | 204,010   |
| General Partner  |           |             |           |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders            | \$153,044 | \$(126,145) | \$31,416  |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding                       | 322,133   | 267,900     | 252,694   |
| Weighted average common shares and potential dilutive securities | 326,712   | 267,900     | 259,598   |
| Partnership  |           |             |           |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unitholders             | \$155,138 | \$(128,418) | \$32,275  |
| Weighted average Common Units outstanding                        | 326,525   | 272,729     | 259,598   |
| Weighted average Common Units and potential dilutive securities  | 326,712   | 272,729     | 259,598   |
| General Partner and Partnership                                  |           |             |           |
| Basic income (loss) per common share or Common Unit:             |           |             |           |
| Continuing operations  | \$0.06    | \$(0.52)    | \$(0.27)  |
| Discontinued operations  | \$0.41    | \$0.04      | \$0.38    |
| Diluted income (loss) per common share or Common Unit:           |           |             |           |
| Continuing operations  | \$0.06    | \$(0.52)    | \$(0.27)  |
| Discontinued operations  | \$0.41    | \$0.04      | \$0.38    |
| Number of in-service consolidated properties at end of year      | 623       | 629         | 616       |
| In-service consolidated square footage at end of year            | 123,960   | 115,582     | 110,296   |
| Number of in-service joint venture properties at end of year     | 107       | 126         | 126       |
| In-service joint venture square footage at end of year           | 22,518    | 25,614      | 25,295    |

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2013 to Year Ended December 31, 2012

Rental and Related Revenue

The following table sets forth rental and related revenue from continuing operations by reportable segment, as well as total rental and related revenue from discontinued operations, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (in thousands):

|  | 2013      | 2012      |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Rental and related revenue:  |           |           |
| Industrial   | \$483,679 | \$431,277 |
| Office   | 251,270   | 242,719   |
| Medical Office   | 127,475   | 82,962    |
| Other  | 12,770    | 14,667    |
| Total rental and related revenue from continuing operations                  | \$875,194 | \$771,625 |
| Rental and related revenue from discontinued operations                      | 46,066    | 71,028    |
| Total rental and related revenue from continuing and discontinued operations | \$921,260 | \$842,653 |

The primary reasons for the increase in rental revenue from continuing operations, with specific references to a particular segment when applicable, are summarized below:

We acquired 54 properties, of which 26 were industrial and 28 were medical office, and placed 21 developments in service from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2013, which provided incremental revenues of \$94.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 over 2012.

Rental and related revenue includes lease termination fees, which relate to specific tenants who pay a fee to terminate their lease obligation before the end of the contractual lease term. Lease termination fees included in continuing operations increased from \$6.0 million in 2012 to \$8.7 million in 2013.

The remaining increase in rental and related revenue from continuing operations was primarily due to increased rental expense recoveries that were attributable to an increase in snow removal costs, as the winter months of 2012 were significantly milder for many of our markets than they were in 2013. An increase in recoverable repair and maintenance costs, increased occupancy and increased rental rates also contributed, to a lesser extent, to the remaining increase in rental and related revenue from continuing operations.

#### Rental Expenses and Real Estate Taxes

The following table sets forth rental expenses and real estate taxes from continuing operations by reportable segment, as well as total rental expenses and real estate taxes from discontinued operations, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (in thousands):

|   | 2013      | 2012      |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Rental expenses:  |           |           |
| Industrial  | \$49,165  | \$42,830  |
| Office  | 75,008    | 71,910    |
| Medical Office  | 30,455    | 19,386    |
| Other   | 4,380     | 3,671     |
| Total rental expenses from continuing operations                          | \$159,008 | \$137,797 |
| Rental expenses from discontinued operations                              | 12,049    | 17,593    |
| Total rental expenses from continuing and discontinued operations         | \$171,057 | \$155,390 |
| Real estate taxes:  |           |           |
| Industrial  | \$73,745  | \$66,074  |
| Office  | 29,550    | 29,693    |
| Medical Office  | 11,725    | 8,166     |
| Other   | 2,727     | 2,195     |
| Total real estate tax expense from continuing operations                  | \$117,747 | \$106,128 |
| Real estate tax expense from discontinued operations                      | 5,728     | 8,546     |
| Total real estate tax expense from continuing and discontinued operations | \$123,475 | \$114,674 |

Rental expenses from continuing operations increased by \$21.2 million in 2013 compared to 2012. We recognized incremental rental expenses of \$11.7 million associated with the 54 properties acquired and the 21 developments placed in service since January 1, 2012. The remaining increase in rental expenses was primarily a result of an increase in snow removal costs, as the winter months of 2012 were significantly milder for many of our markets than in 2013. An increase in repair and maintenance costs, increased insurance costs, as well as a slight increase due to higher occupancy, also contributed to the increased rental expenses from continuing operations.

Real estate taxes from continuing operations increased by \$11.6 million in 2013 compared to 2012. This increase was primarily due to the 54 properties acquired and the 21 developments placed in service since January 1, 2012, which resulted in incremental real estate tax expense of \$9.9 million.

#### Service Operations

The following table sets forth the components of the Service Operations reportable segment for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (in thousands):

|  | 2013       | 2012       |
|--|------------|------------|
| Service Operations:                            |            |            |
| General contractor and service fee revenue     | \$206,596  | \$275,071  |
| General contractor and other services expenses | (183,833 ) | (254,870 ) |
| Total  | \$22,763   | \$20,201   |

Service Operations primarily consist of the leasing, property management, asset management, development, construction management and general contractor services for joint venture properties and properties owned by third parties. Service Operations are heavily influenced by the current state of the economy, as leasing and property management fees are dependent upon occupancy, while construction and development services rely on the expansion of business operations of third-party property owners and joint venture partners.

The increase in our earnings from Service Operations in 2013 compared to 2012 was the result of a \$4.2 million recovery in 2013 from a sub-contractor on a previously completed third-party construction job. The impact of this recovery on Service Operations was partially offset by a decrease in third-party construction volume from 2012, although third-party construction projects were performed at overall higher margins during 2013. The lower third-party construction volume for 2013 was mainly driven by our increased focus on wholly-owned development projects as opposed to third-party construction.

#### Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Depreciation and amortization expense increased from \$349.0 million in 2012 to \$393.5 million in 2013 primarily due to depreciation related to additions to our continuing operations asset base from properties acquired, which have shorter depreciable lives relative to developed properties, and developments placed in service in 2012 and 2013.

#### Equity in Earnings

Equity in earnings represents our ownership share of net income or loss from investments in unconsolidated companies that generally own and operate rental properties. Equity in earnings increased from \$4.7 million in 2012 to \$54.1 million in 2013. The increase was largely due to the sale of properties by two of our unconsolidated joint ventures in 2013. In January 2013, one of our unconsolidated joint ventures sold its only property, and we recorded \$12.2 million to equity in earnings for our share of the net gain. In March 2013, we sold our interest in 17 properties within another of our unconsolidated joint ventures to our partner in that venture, resulting in \$36.4 million recorded to equity in earnings for our share of the net gain on sale.

#### Gain on Sale of Properties - Continuing Operations

We sold 13 properties during 2013 that are classified in continuing operations, recognizing total gains on sale of \$59.2 million. Because we maintained varying forms of continuing involvement after the sale, either through retained management agreements or a continuing equity ownership interest, these properties did not meet the criteria for inclusion in discontinued operations.

#### Impairment Charges

Impairment charges classified in continuing operations include the impairment of undeveloped land and buildings, investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and other real estate related assets. In 2013, we recognized an impairment charge of \$3.8 million related to 30 acres of land that was sold in early July 2013 at a price of \$22.2 million. This sale was the result of an unsolicited offer. We had not previously identified or actively marketed this land for disposition.

#### General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses consist of two components. The first component includes general corporate expenses, and the second component includes the indirect operating costs not allocated to, or absorbed by, the development or Rental Operations of our wholly-owned properties or our Service Operations. The indirect

operating costs that are either allocated to, or absorbed by, the development or Rental Operations of our wholly owned properties, or our Service Operations, are primarily comprised of employee compensation, including related costs such as benefits and wage-related taxes, but also include other ancillary costs such as travel and information technology support. Total indirect operating costs, prior to any allocation or absorption, and general corporate expenses are collectively referred to as our overall pool of overhead costs.

Those indirect costs not allocated to or absorbed by these operations are charged to general and administrative expenses. We regularly review our total overhead cost structure relative to our leasing, development and construction volume and adjust the level of total overhead, generally through changes in our level of staffing in various functional departments, as necessary in order to control overall general and administrative expense.

General and administrative expenses decreased from \$46.4 million in 2012 to \$42.7 million in 2013. The following table sets forth the factors that led to the decrease in general and administrative expenses from 2012 to 2013 (in millions):

|  |        |   |
|--|--------|---|
| General and administrative expenses - 2012   | \$46.4 |   |
| Reduction to overall pool of overhead costs  | (2.0)  | ) |
| Increased absorption of costs by wholly-owned development and leasing activities (1) | (8.0)  | ) |
| Reduced allocation of costs to Service Operations and Rental Operations (2)          | 6.3    |   |
| General and administrative expenses - 2013   | \$42.7 |   |

(1) We increased development volume for wholly owned properties, and also increased our leasing activity during 2013, which resulted in an increased absorption of overhead costs. We capitalized \$31.3 million and \$27.1 million of our total overhead costs to leasing and development, respectively, for consolidated properties during 2013, compared to capitalizing \$30.4 million and \$20.0 million of such costs, respectively, for 2012. Combined overhead costs capitalized to leasing and development totaled 35.7% and 31.1% of our overall pool of overhead costs for 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(2) The reduction in the allocation of overhead costs to Service Operations resulted from lower volume on third-party construction projects during 2013. We shifted our focus toward wholly-owned development activities, as opposed to third-party construction projects, during 2013.

#### Interest Expense

Interest expense allocable to continuing operations decreased from \$230.0 million in 2012 to \$228.9 million in 2013. We had \$18.3 million of interest expense allocated to discontinued operations in 2012, associated with the properties that were disposed of during 2012, compared to the allocation of \$10.9 million of interest expense to discontinued operations for 2013. The overall decrease to interest cost was driven by a lower weighted average cost of borrowing as well as increased capitalized interest due to the timing of development activities.

During 2013, we had more projects, which were financed in part by common equity issuances, that met the criteria for capitalization of interest. We capitalized \$16.8 million of interest costs during 2013 compared to \$9.4 million during 2012.

#### Loss on Debt Extinguishment

During 2013, we redeemed \$250.0 million in unsecured notes that had a scheduled maturity in August of 2014. We recognized a net loss on the extinguishment of these notes, totaling \$9.4 million, which was comprised of a make-whole payment to the bondholders of \$8.1 million as well as the write-off of unamortized deferred financing costs.

#### Discontinued Operations

Subject to certain criteria, the results of operations for properties sold during the year to unrelated parties, or classified as held-for-sale at the end of the period, are required to be classified as discontinued operations. The property-specific components of earnings that are classified as discontinued operations include rental revenues, rental expenses, real estate taxes, allocated interest expense and depreciation expense, as well as the net gain or loss on the disposition of the properties.

The operations of 165 buildings are currently classified as discontinued operations. These 165 buildings consist of 115 office, 39 industrial, eight medical office and three retail properties. As a result, we classified operating income, before gain on sales, of \$1.8 million in discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 and



operating losses, before gain on sales, of \$3.8 million and \$1.5 million in discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively.

Of these properties, 25 were sold during 2013, 28 properties were sold during 2012 and 101 properties were sold during 2011. The gains on disposal of these properties of \$133.2 million, \$13.5 million and \$100.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, are also reported in discontinued operations. There are eleven properties classified as held-for-sale and included in discontinued operations at December 31, 2013.

#### Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2012 to Year Ended December 31, 2011

##### Rental and Related Revenue

The following table sets forth rental and related revenue from continuing operations by reportable segment, as well as total rental and related revenue from discontinued operations, for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively (in thousands):

|  | 2012      | 2011      |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Rental and related revenue:  |           |           |
| Industrial   | \$431,277 | \$367,992 |
| Office   | 242,719   | 251,766   |
| Medical Office   | 82,962    | 47,309    |
| Other  | 14,667    | 19,175    |
| Total rental and related revenue from continuing operations                  | \$771,625 | \$686,242 |
| Rental and related revenue from discontinued operations                      | 71,028    | 250,807   |
| Total rental and related revenue from continuing and discontinued operations | \$842,653 | \$937,049 |

The primary reasons for the increase in rental revenue from continuing operations, with specific references to a particular segment when applicable, are summarized below:

We acquired 96 properties, of which 51 were industrial and 38 were medical office, and placed eleven developments in service from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012, which provided incremental revenues of \$86.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2012 over 2011.

The sale of 13 office properties to an unconsolidated joint venture in the first quarter of 2011 resulted in a \$10.1 million decrease in rental and related revenue from continuing operations in 2012, which partially offset the impact of newly acquired or developed properties.

The remaining increase in rental and related revenue from continuing operations is primarily due to improved results within the properties that have been in service for all of 2011 and 2012. Higher levels of occupancy primarily drove the overall improvement within these properties, as rental rates increased modestly but did not significantly contribute to the increase in revenues from continuing operations.

The overall shift of revenues and income from office properties to industrial and medical office properties is consistent with our continuing strategy to increase our asset concentration in industrial and medical office properties while reducing our overall investment in office properties.

The decrease in rental revenues from discontinued operations is primarily a result of the Blackstone Office Disposition that took place in December 2011.

##### Rental Expenses and Real Estate Taxes

The following table sets forth rental expenses and real estate taxes from continuing operations by reportable segment, as well as total rental expenses and real estate taxes from discontinued operations, for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively (in thousands):

|   | 2012      | 2011      |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Rental expenses:  |           |           |
| Industrial  | \$42,830  | \$38,354  |
| Office  | 71,910    | 71,760    |
| Medical Office  | 19,386    | 13,935    |
| Other   | 3,671     | 5,668     |
| Total rental expenses from continuing operations                          | \$137,797 | \$129,717 |
| Rental expenses from discontinued operations                              | 17,593    | 75,330    |
| Total rental expenses from continuing and discontinued operations         | \$155,390 | \$205,047 |
| Real estate taxes:  |           |           |
| Industrial  | \$66,074  | \$58,145  |
| Office  | 29,693    | 31,270    |
| Medical Office  | 8,166     | 4,350     |
| Other   | 2,195     | 1,901     |
| Total real estate tax expense from continuing operations                  | \$106,128 | \$95,666  |
| Real estate tax expense from discontinued operations                      | 8,546     | 35,304    |
| Total real estate tax expense from continuing and discontinued operations | \$114,674 | \$130,970 |

Overall, rental expenses from continuing operations increased by \$8.1 million in 2012 compared to 2011. While we recognized incremental rental expenses of \$9.0 million associated with the additional 96 properties acquired and eleven developments placed in service since January 1, 2011, we also sold 13 office properties to an unconsolidated joint venture in late March 2011, which resulted in a \$2.8 million decrease in rental expenses from continuing operations in 2012 as compared to 2011.

Overall, real estate taxes from continuing operations increased by \$10.5 million in 2012 compared to 2011. We recognized incremental real estate tax expense of \$11.8 million associated with the additional 96 properties acquired and eleven developments placed in service since January 1, 2011. This increase was partially offset by a \$1.6 million decrease in real estate taxes from continuing operations related to the 13 properties that were sold to an unconsolidated joint venture during the first quarter of 2011.

#### Service Operations

The following table sets forth the components of the Service Operations reportable segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively (in thousands):

|  | 2012       | 2011       |
|--|------------|------------|
| Service Operations:                            |            |            |
| General contractor and service fee revenue     | \$275,071  | \$521,796  |
| General contractor and other services expenses | (254,870 ) | (480,480 ) |
| Total  | \$20,201   | \$41,316   |

A significant decrease in third-party construction volume in 2012 compared to 2011, due to some significant third-party construction jobs being completed, drove the decrease in our earnings from Service Operations. In 2012, we focused more of our internal resources on the development and leasing of properties we own rather than on replacing the third-party construction contracts that were completed.

#### Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Depreciation and amortization expense increased from \$305.1 million in 2011 to \$349.0 million in 2012 primarily due to depreciation related to additions to our continuing operations asset base from acquisition activity, which have shorter depreciable lives relative to developed properties, and developments placed in service in 2011 and 2012.

### Gain on Sale of Properties - Continuing Operations

We sold 18 properties during 2011 that are classified in continuing operations, recognizing total gains on sale of \$68.5 million. Because we maintained varying forms of continuing involvement after the sale, either through continuing equity ownership interests or retained management agreements, or because the properties had insignificant operations prior to sale, these properties were not included in discontinued operations.

### General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased from \$43.1 million in 2011 to \$46.4 million in 2012. The following table sets forth the factors that led to the increase in general and administrative expenses from 2011 to 2012 (in millions):

|  |        |   |
|--|--------|---|
| General and administrative expenses - 2011   | \$43.1 |   |
| Reduction to overall pool of overhead costs (1)                                      | (11.0) | ) |
| Increased absorption of costs by wholly-owned development and leasing activities (2) | (14.7) | ) |
| Reduced allocation of costs to Service Operations and Rental Operations (3)          | 29.0   |   |
| General and administrative expenses - 2012   | \$46.4 |   |

(1) We reduced our total pool of overhead costs, through staff reductions and other measures, as the result of changes in our product mix and anticipated future levels of third-party construction, leasing, management and other operational activities.

(2) We increased our focus on development of wholly owned properties, and also significantly increased our leasing activity during 2012, which resulted in an increased absorption of overhead costs. We capitalized \$30.4 million and \$20.0 million of our total overhead costs to leasing and development, respectively, for consolidated properties during 2012, compared to capitalizing \$25.3 million and \$10.4 million of such costs, respectively, for 2011. Combined overhead costs capitalized to leasing and development totaled 31.1% and 20.6% of our overall pool of overhead costs for 2012 and 2011, respectively.

(3) The reduction in the allocation of overhead costs to Service Operations and Rental Operations resulted from reduced volumes of third-party construction projects as well as due to reducing our overall investment in office properties, which are more management intensive.

### Interest Expense

Interest expense allocable to continuing operations increased from \$206.8 million in 2011 to \$230.0 million in 2012. We had \$61.0 million of interest expense allocated to discontinued operations in 2011, associated with the properties that were disposed of during 2011, compared to the allocation of only \$18.3 million of interest expense to discontinued operations for 2012. Total interest expense, combined for continuing and discontinued operations, decreased from \$267.8 million in 2011 to \$248.3 million in 2012. The reduction in total interest expense was primarily the result of a lower weighted average borrowing rate in 2012, due to refinancing some higher rate bonds in 2011 and 2012, as well as a slight decrease in our average level of borrowings compared to 2011. Also, due to an increase in properties under development from 2011, which met the criteria for capitalization of interest and were financed in part by common equity issuances during 2012, a \$5.0 million increase in capitalized interest also contributed to the decrease in total interest expense in 2012.

### Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Our estimates, judgments and assumptions are inherently subjective and based on the existing business and market conditions, and are therefore continually evaluated based upon available information and experience. Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements includes further discussion of our significant accounting policies. Our management has assessed the accounting policies used in the preparation of our financial statements and discussed them with our Audit Committee and independent auditors. The following accounting policies are considered critical based upon materiality to the financial statements, degree of judgment involved in estimating reported amounts and sensitivity to changes in industry and economic conditions:



Accounting for Joint Ventures: We analyze our investments in joint ventures to determine if the joint venture is considered a variable interest entity (a "VIE") and would require consolidation. We (i) evaluate the sufficiency of

-35-

---

the total equity at risk, (ii) review the voting rights and decision-making authority of the equity investment holders as a group and whether there are any guaranteed returns, protection against losses, or capping of residual returns within the group and (iii) establish whether activities within the venture are on behalf of an investor with disproportionately few voting rights in making this VIE determination. To the extent that we (i) are the sole entity that has the power to direct the activities of the VIE and (ii) have the obligation or rights to absorb the VIE's losses or receive its benefits, then we would be determined to be the primary beneficiary of the VIE and would consolidate it. At each reporting period, we re-assess our conclusions as to which, if any, party within the VIE is considered the primary beneficiary. To the extent that our joint ventures do not qualify as VIEs, we further assess each partner's substantive participating rights to determine if the venture should be consolidated.

We have equity interests in unconsolidated joint ventures that own and operate rental properties and hold land for development. To the extent applicable, we consolidate those joint ventures that are considered to be VIEs where we are the primary beneficiary. For non-variable interest entities, we consolidate those joint ventures that we control through majority ownership interests or where we are the managing entity and our partner does not have substantive participating rights. Control is further demonstrated by the ability of the general partner to manage day-to-day operations, refinance debt and sell the assets of the joint venture without the consent of the limited partner and inability of the limited partner to replace the general partner. We use the equity method of accounting for those joint ventures where we do not have control over operating and financial policies. Under the equity method of accounting, our investment in each joint venture is included on our balance sheet; however, the assets and liabilities of the joint ventures for which we use the equity method are not included on our balance sheet.

To the extent that we contribute assets to a joint venture, our investment in the joint venture is recorded at our cost basis in the assets that were contributed to the joint venture. To the extent that our cost basis is different than the basis reflected at the joint venture level, the basis difference is amortized over the life of the related asset and included in our share of equity in earnings of the joint venture. We recognize gains on the contribution or sale of real estate to joint ventures, relating solely to the outside partner's interest, to the extent the economic substance of the transaction is a sale.

When circumstances indicate there may have been a reduction in the value of an equity investment, we evaluate whether the loss in value is other than temporary. If we conclude it is other than temporary we recognize an impairment charge to reflect the equity investment at fair value.

**Cost Capitalization:** Direct and certain indirect costs, including interest, clearly associated with the development, construction, leasing or expansion of real estate investments are capitalized as a cost of the property.

We capitalize interest and direct and indirect project costs associated with the initial construction of a property up to the time the property is substantially complete and ready for its intended use. We believe the completion of the building shell is the proper basis for determining substantial completion. The interest rate used to capitalize interest is based upon our average borrowing rate on existing debt.

We also capitalize direct and indirect costs, including interest costs, on vacant space during extended lease-up periods after construction of the building shell has been completed if costs are being incurred to ready the vacant space for its intended use. If costs and activities incurred to ready the vacant space cease, then cost capitalization is also discontinued until such activities are resumed. Once necessary work has been completed on a vacant space, project costs are no longer capitalized. We cease capitalization of all project costs on extended lease-up periods after the shorter of a one-year period after the completion of the building shell or when the property attains 90% occupancy. In addition, all leasing commissions paid to third parties for new leases or lease renewals are capitalized.

In assessing the amount of indirect costs to be capitalized, we first allocate payroll costs, on a department-by-department basis, among activities for which capitalization is warranted (i.e., construction, development and leasing) and those for which capitalization is not warranted (i.e., property management, maintenance, acquisitions and dispositions and general corporate functions). To the extent the employees of a department split their time between capitalizable and non-capitalizable activities, the allocations are made based on estimates of the actual amount of time spent in each activity. Once the payroll costs are allocated, the non-payroll costs of each department are allocated among the capitalizable and non-capitalizable activities in the same proportion as payroll costs.



To ensure that an appropriate amount of costs are capitalized, the amount of capitalized costs that are allocated to a specific project are limited to amounts using standards we developed. These standards consist of a percentage of the total development costs of a project and a percentage of the total gross lease amount payable under a specific lease. These standards are derived after considering the amounts that would be allocated if the personnel in the departments were working at full capacity. The use of these standards ensures that overhead costs attributable to downtime or to unsuccessful projects or leasing activities are not capitalized.

**Impairment of Real Estate Assets:** We evaluate our real estate assets, with the exception of those that are classified as held-for-sale, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. If such an evaluation is considered necessary, we compare the carrying amount of that real estate asset, or asset group, with the expected undiscounted cash flows that are directly associated with, and that are expected to arise as a direct result of, the use and eventual disposition of that asset, or asset group. Our estimate of the expected future cash flows used in testing for impairment is based on, among other things, our estimates regarding future market conditions, rental rates, occupancy levels, costs of tenant improvements, leasing commissions and other tenant concessions, assumptions regarding the residual value of our properties at the end of our anticipated holding period and the length of our anticipated holding period and is, therefore, subjective by nature. These assumptions could differ materially from actual results. If our strategy changes or if market conditions otherwise dictate a reduction in the holding period and an earlier sale date, an impairment loss could be recognized and such loss could be material. To the extent the carrying amount of a real estate asset, or asset group, exceeds the associated estimate of undiscounted cash flows, an impairment loss is recorded to reduce the carrying value of the asset to its fair value. The determination of the fair value of real estate assets is also highly subjective, especially in markets where there is a lack of recent comparable transactions. We primarily utilize the income approach to estimate the fair value of our income producing real estate assets. To the extent that the assumptions used in testing long-lived assets for impairment differ from those of a marketplace participant, the assumptions are modified in order to estimate the fair value of a real estate asset when an impairment charge is measured. In addition to determining future cash flows, which make the estimation of a real estate asset's undiscounted cash flows highly subjective, the selection of the discount rate and exit capitalization rate used in applying the income approach is also highly subjective. To the extent applicable marketplace data is available, we generally use the market approach in estimating the fair value of undeveloped land that is determined to be impaired.

Real estate assets that are classified as held-for-sale are reported at the lower of their carrying value or their fair value, less estimated costs to sell.

**Acquisition of Real Estate Property and Related Assets:** We allocate the purchase price of acquired properties to tangible and identified intangible assets based on their respective fair values, using all pertinent information available at the date of acquisition. The allocation to tangible assets (buildings, tenant improvements and land) is based upon management's determination of the value of the property as if it were vacant. This "as-if vacant" value is estimated using an income, or discounted cash flow, approach that relies upon internally determined assumptions that we believe are consistent with current market conditions for similar properties. The most important assumptions in determining the allocation of the purchase price to tangible assets are the exit capitalization rate, discount rate, estimated market rents, and hypothetical expected lease-up periods. The purchase price of real estate assets is also allocated to intangible assets consisting of the above or below market component of in-place leases and the value of in-place leases. The value allocable to the above or below market component of an acquired in-place lease is determined based upon the present value (using a discount rate which reflects the risks associated with the acquired leases) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be received pursuant to the lease over its remaining term and (ii) management's estimate of the amounts that would be received using fair market rates over the remaining term of the lease. The amounts allocated to above market leases are included in deferred leasing and other costs in the balance sheet and below market leases are included in other liabilities in the balance sheet; both are amortized to rental income over the remaining terms of the respective leases.

Factors considered in determining the value allocable to in-place leases include estimates, during hypothetical expected lease-up periods, of space that is actually leased at the time of acquisition, of lost rent at market rates, fixed operating costs that will be recovered from tenants, and theoretical leasing commissions required to execute similar leases. These intangible assets are included in deferred leasing and other costs in the balance sheet and are amortized over the remaining term of the existing lease.

We record assets acquired in step acquisitions at their full fair value and record a gain or loss for the difference between the fair value and the carrying value of our existing equity interest. Additionally, contingencies arising from a business combination are recorded at fair value if the acquisition date fair value can be determined during the measurement period.

**Valuation of Receivables:** We are subject to tenant defaults and bankruptcies that could affect the collection of rent due under leases or of outstanding receivables. In order to mitigate these risks, we perform credit reviews and analyses on major existing tenants and prospective tenants before leases are executed. We have established the following procedures and policies to evaluate the collectability of outstanding receivables and record allowances:

We maintain a tenant "watch list" containing a list of significant tenants for which the payment of receivables and future rent may be at risk. Various factors such as late rent payments, lease or debt instrument defaults, and indications of a deteriorating financial position are considered when determining whether to include a tenant on the watch list.

As a matter of policy, we reserve the entire receivable balance, including straight-line rent, of any tenant with an amount outstanding over 90 days.

Straight-line rent receivables for any tenant on the watch list or any other tenant identified as a potential long-term risk, regardless of the status of current rent receivables, are reviewed and reserved as necessary.

**Construction Contracts:** We recognize income on construction contracts where we serve as a general contractor on the percentage of completion method. Using this method, profits are recorded on the basis of our estimates of the overall profit and percentage of completion of individual contracts. A portion of the estimated profits is recognized based upon our estimates of the percentage of completion of the construction contract. To the extent that a fixed-price contract is estimated to result in a loss, the loss is recorded immediately. Cumulative revenues recognized may be less or greater than cumulative costs and profits billed at any point in time during a contract's term. This revenue recognition method involves inherent risks relating to profit and cost estimates with those risks reduced through approval and monitoring processes.

With regard to critical accounting policies, management has discussed the following with the Audit Committee:

• Criteria for identifying and selecting our critical accounting policies;

• Methodology in applying our critical accounting policies; and

• Impact of the critical accounting policies on our financial statements.

The Audit Committee has reviewed the critical accounting policies identified by management.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

##### Sources of Liquidity

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements over the next 12 months, including payments of dividends and distributions as well as the capital expenditures needed to maintain our current real estate assets, primarily through working capital, net cash provided by operating activities and proceeds received from real estate dispositions. At December 31, 2013 we held \$19.3 million of cash and we had \$88.0 million of outstanding borrowings on the Partnership's \$850.0 million unsecured line of credit.

In addition to our existing sources of liquidity, we expect to meet long-term liquidity requirements, such as scheduled mortgage and unsecured debt maturities, property acquisitions, financing of development activities and

other capital improvements, through multiple sources of capital including operating cash flow, proceeds from property dispositions, term loans and through accessing the public debt and equity markets.

#### Rental Operations

Cash flows from Rental Operations is our primary source of liquidity and provides a stable source of cash flow to fund operational expenses. We believe that this cash-based revenue stream is substantially aligned with revenue recognition (except for periodic straight-line rental income accruals and amortization of above or below market rents) as cash receipts from the leasing of rental properties are generally received in advance of, or a short time following, the actual revenue recognition.

We are subject to a number of risks related to general economic conditions, including reduced occupancy, tenant defaults and bankruptcies and potential reduction in rental rates upon renewal or re-letting of properties, any of which would result in reduced cash flow from operations.

#### Unsecured Debt and Equity Securities

Our unsecured line of credit at December 31, 2013 is described as follows (in thousands):

| Description                            | Borrowing Capacity | Maturity Date | Outstanding Balance at December 31, 2013 |
|--|--------------------|---------------|--|
| Unsecured Line of Credit – Partnership | \$850,000          | December 2015 | \$ 88,000                                |

The Partnership's unsecured line of credit has a borrowing capacity of \$850.0 million with the interest rate on borrowings of LIBOR plus 1.25% (equal to 1.42% for borrowings at December 31, 2013) and a maturity date of December 2015. Subject to certain conditions, the terms also include an option to increase the facility by up to an additional \$400.0 million, for a total of up to \$1.25 billion. This line of credit provides us with an option to obtain borrowings from financial institutions that participate in the line at rates that may be lower than the stated interest rate, subject to certain restrictions.

This line of credit contains financial covenants that require us to meet certain financial ratios and defined levels of performance, including those related to fixed charge coverage, unsecured interest expense coverage and debt-to-asset value (with asset value being defined in the Partnership's unsecured line of credit agreement). At December 31, 2013, we were in compliance with all covenants under this line of credit.

At December 31, 2013, we had on file with the SEC an automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3 relating to the offer and sale, from time to time, of an indeterminate amount of debt and equity securities (including guarantees of the Partnership's debt securities by the General Partner). Equity securities are offered and sold by the General Partner, and the net proceeds of such offerings are contributed to the Partnership in exchange for additional General Partner Units or Preferred Units. From time to time, we expect to issue additional securities under this automatic shelf registration statement to fund the repayment of long-term debt upon maturity and for other general corporate purposes.

The General Partner currently has an at the market equity program that allows it to issue new common shares from time to time, with an aggregate offering price of up to \$300.0 million. The General Partner entered into this at the market equity program on May 21, 2013, after fully utilizing its previous at the market equity program that it initiated in 2012. Throughout 2013, the General Partner issued approximately 4.8 million common shares under these programs, resulting in gross proceeds of approximately \$79.3 million. The General Partner has paid approximately \$1.1 million in commissions related to the sales of these common shares and, after deducting those commissions and other costs, generated net proceeds of approximately \$77.8 million from the offerings. The General Partner has a capacity of \$248.6 million remaining under its current at the market equity program.

In January 2013, the General Partner completed a public offering of 41.4 million common shares at an issue price of \$14.25 per share, resulting in gross proceeds of \$590.0 million and, after deducting underwriting fees and estimated offering costs, net proceeds of approximately \$571.9 million.

The indentures (and related supplemental indentures) governing our outstanding series of notes also require us to comply with financial ratios and other covenants regarding our operations. We were in compliance with all such covenants at December 31, 2013.

#### Sale of Real Estate Assets

We regularly work to identify, consider and pursue opportunities to dispose of non-strategic properties on an opportunistic basis and on a basis that is generally consistent with our strategic plans. Our ability to dispose of such properties on favorable terms, or at all, is dependent upon a number of factors including the availability of credit to potential buyers to purchase properties at prices that we consider acceptable. Although we believe that we have demonstrated our ability to generate significant liquidity through the disposition of non-strategic properties, potential future adverse changes to general market and economic conditions could negatively impact our further ability to dispose of such properties. Sales of land and depreciated property provided \$740.0 million in net proceeds in 2013, compared to \$138.1 million in 2012 and \$1.6 billion in 2011.

#### Transactions with Unconsolidated Entities

Transactions with unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures also provide a source of liquidity. From time to time we will sell properties to unconsolidated entities, while retaining a continuing interest in that entity, and receive proceeds commensurate to those interests that we do not own. Additionally, unconsolidated entities will from time to time obtain debt financing or sell properties and will then distribute to us, and our joint venture partners, all or a portion of the proceeds from such transactions. During 2013, we received sale and financing distributions of \$109.2 million.

#### Uses of Liquidity

Our principal uses of liquidity include the following:

- property investment;
- leasing/capital costs;
- dividends and distributions to shareholders and unitholders;
- long-term debt maturities;
- opportunistic repurchases of outstanding debt and preferred stock; and
- other contractual obligations.

#### Property Investment

We continue to pursue an asset repositioning strategy that involves increasing our investment concentration in industrial properties while reducing our investment concentration in suburban office properties in certain markets. Pursuant to this strategy, we evaluate development and acquisition opportunities based upon our market outlook, including general economic conditions, supply and long-term growth potential. Our ability to make future property investments, along with being dependent upon identifying suitable acquisition and development opportunities, is also dependent upon our continued access to our longer-term sources of liquidity, including issuances of debt or equity securities as well as generating cash flow by disposing of selected properties.

#### Leasing/Capital Costs

Tenant improvements and lease-related costs pertaining to our initial leasing of newly completed space, or vacant space in acquired properties, are referred to as first generation expenditures. Such first generation expenditures for tenant improvements are included within "development of real estate investments" in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, while such expenditures for lease-related costs are included within "other deferred leasing costs." Cash expenditures related to the construction of a building's shell, as well as the associated site improvements, are also included within "development of real estate investments" in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Tenant improvements and leasing costs to re-let rental space that we previously leased to tenants are referred to as second generation expenditures. Building improvements that are not specific to any tenant but serve to improve integral components of our real estate properties are also second generation expenditures.

One of our principal uses of our liquidity is to fund the second generation leasing/capital expenditures of our real estate investments. The following table summarizes our second generation capital expenditures by type of expenditure (in thousands):

|  | 2013      | 2012      | 2011      |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Second generation tenant improvements        | \$39,892  | \$26,643  | \$50,079  |
| Second generation leasing costs              | 38,617    | 31,059    | 38,130    |
| Building improvements                        | 13,289    | 6,182     | 11,055    |
| Total second generation capital expenditures | \$91,798  | \$63,884  | \$99,264  |
| Development of real estate investments       | \$427,355 | \$264,755 | \$162,070 |
| Other deferred leasing costs                 | \$35,376  | \$27,772  | \$26,311  |

Second generation tenant improvements and leasing costs increased due to a shift in industrial leasing volume from renewal leases to second generation leases (see data in the Key Performance Indicators section of Item 7), which are generally more capital intensive. Additionally, although the overall renewal volume was lower, renewals for office leases, which are generally more capital intensive than industrial leases, increased from 2012.

During 2013, we increased our investment across all product types in non-tenant specific building improvements.

The increase in capital expenditures for the development of real estate investments was the result of our increased focus on wholly owned development projects. We had wholly owned properties under development with an expected cost of \$572.6 million at December 31, 2013, compared to projects with an expected cost of \$468.8 million and \$124.2 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Cash outflows for real estate development investments were \$427.4 million, \$264.8 million and \$162.1 million for December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

We capitalized \$31.3 million, \$30.4 million and \$25.3 million of overhead costs related to leasing activities, including both first and second generation leases, during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We capitalized \$27.1 million, \$20.0 million and \$10.4 million of overhead costs related to development activities, including construction, development and tenant improvement projects on first and second generation space, during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Combined overhead costs capitalized to leasing and development totaled 35.7%, 31.1% and 20.6% of our overall pool of overhead costs at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Further discussion of the capitalization of overhead costs can be found herein, in the discussion of general and administrative expenses in the comparison sections of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

In addition to the capitalization of overhead costs discussed above, we also capitalized \$16.8 million, \$9.4 million and \$4.3 million of interest costs in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table summarizes our second generation capital expenditures by reportable operating segment (in thousands):

|   | 2013     | 2012     | 2011     |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Industrial                                | \$41,971 | \$33,095 | \$34,872 |
| Office                                    | 46,600   | 30,092   | 63,933   |
| Medical Office                            | 3,106    | 641      | 410      |
| Non-reportable Rental Operations segments | 121      | 56       | 49       |
| Total                                     | \$91,798 | \$63,884 | \$99,264 |

Both our first and second generation expenditures vary significantly between leases on a per square foot basis, dependent upon several factors including the product type, the nature of a tenant's operations, the specific physical



characteristics of each individual property as well as the market in which the property is located. Second generation expenditures related to the 79 suburban office buildings that were sold in the Blackstone Office Disposition totaled \$26.2 million in 2011.

#### Dividends and Distributions

The General Partner is required to meet the distribution requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), in order to maintain its REIT status. We paid dividends or distributions of \$0.68 per common share or Common Unit for each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. We expect to continue to distribute at least an amount equal to our taxable earnings, to meet the requirements to maintain the General Partner's REIT status, and additional amounts as determined by the General Partner's board of directors. Distributions are declared at the discretion of the General Partner's board of directors and are subject to actual cash available for distribution, our financial condition, capital requirements and such other factors as the General Partner's board of directors deems relevant.

At December 31, 2013 the General Partner had three series of preferred stock outstanding. The annual dividend rates on the General Partner's preferred shares range between 6.5% and 6.625% and are paid quarterly in arrears. In February 2013, the General Partner redeemed all of its outstanding Series O Shares for a total payment of \$178.0 million, thus reducing its future quarterly dividend commitments by \$3.7 million.

In March 2012, the General Partner redeemed all of its 6.950% Series M Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares ("Series M Shares") for a total payment of \$168.3 million, thus reducing its future quarterly dividend commitments by \$2.9 million.

In July 2011, the General Partner redeemed all of its 7.25% Series N Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares ("Series N Shares") for a total payment of \$108.6 million, thus reducing its future quarterly dividend commitments by \$2.0 million.

#### Debt Maturities

Debt outstanding at December 31, 2013 had a face value totaling \$4.3 billion with a weighted average interest rate of 5.49% and with maturity dates ranging between 2014 and 2028. Of this total amount, we had \$3.1 billion of unsecured debt, \$1.1 billion of secured debt and \$88.0 million outstanding on the Partnership's unsecured line of credit at December 31, 2013. We made scheduled and unscheduled principal payments of \$1.0 billion on outstanding debt during the year ended December 31, 2013.

The following table is a summary of the scheduled future amortization and maturities of our indebtedness at December 31, 2013 (in thousands, except percentage data):

| Year       | Future Repayments      |             |             | Weighted Average Interest Rate of Future Repayments |
|------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|---|
|            | Scheduled Amortization | Maturities  | Total       |   |
| 2014       | \$16,554               | \$67,506    | \$84,060    | 5.99%   |
| 2015       | 14,658                 | 531,346     | 546,004     | 5.68%   |
| 2016       | 12,307                 | 518,132     | 530,439     | 6.14%   |
| 2017       | 10,139                 | 558,129     | 568,268     | 5.89%   |
| 2018       | 7,937                  | 550,000     | 557,937     | 4.04%   |
| 2019       | 6,936                  | 518,438     | 525,374     | 7.97%   |
| 2020       | 5,381                  | 250,000     | 255,381     | 6.73%   |
| 2021       | 3,416                  | 259,047     | 262,463     | 3.99%   |
| 2022       | 3,611                  | 600,000     | 603,611     | 4.20%   |
| 2023       | 3,817                  | 250,000     | 253,817     | 3.75%   |
| 2024       | 4,036                  | —           | 4,036       | 5.63%   |
| Thereafter | 6,325                  | 50,000      | 56,325      | 7.12%   |
|            | \$95,117               | \$4,152,598 | \$4,247,715 | 5.49%   |

We anticipate generating capital to fund our debt maturities by using undistributed cash generated from our Rental Operations and property dispositions and by raising additional capital from future debt or equity transactions.



#### Repurchases of Outstanding Debt and Preferred Stock

The General Partner paid \$178.0 million in February 2013 to redeem its Series O Shares at par value.

During 2013, we redeemed \$250.0 million in unsecured notes that had a scheduled maturity in August of 2014. We recognized a net loss on the extinguishment of these notes, totaling \$9.4 million, which was comprised of a make-whole payment to the bondholders of \$8.1 million as well as the write-off of unamortized deferred financing costs.

To the extent that it supports our overall capital strategy, we may purchase certain of our outstanding unsecured debt prior to its stated maturity or the General Partner may redeem or repurchase certain of its outstanding series of preferred stock.

#### Guarantee Obligations

We are subject to various guarantee obligations in the normal course of business and, in most cases, do not anticipate these obligations to result in significant cash payments.

#### Historical Cash Flows

Cash and cash equivalents were \$19.3 million, \$33.9 million and \$213.8 million at December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 respectively. The following table highlights significant changes in net cash associated with our operating, investing and financing activities (in thousands):

|  | Years Ended December 31, |            |            |
|--|--------------------------|------------|------------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012       | 2011       |
| <b>General Partner</b>                               |                          |            |            |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities            | \$435,676                | \$299,157  | \$337,537  |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used for) Investing Activities | (319,382 )               | (967,616 ) | 750,935    |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used for) Financing Activities | (130,908 )               | 488,539    | (893,047 ) |
| <b>Partnership</b>                                   |                          |            |            |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities            | \$435,753                | \$299,256  | \$337,572  |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used for) Investing Activities | (319,382 )               | (967,616 ) | 750,935    |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used for) Financing Activities | (130,985 )               | 488,423    | (893,100 ) |
| <b>Operating Activities</b>                          |                          |            |            |

Cash flows from operating activities provide the cash necessary to meet normal operational requirements of our Rental Operations and Service Operations activities. The receipt of rental income from Rental Operations continues to provide the primary source of our revenues and operating cash flows.

The increase in cash flows from operations from 2012 to 2013, noted in the table above, was primarily due to carrying a higher overall base of properties throughout 2013, which resulted in an increase in rental revenues from continuing operations. Also contributing to the increase is timing of cash payments and receipts on third-party construction contracts.

The decrease in cash flows from operations from 2011 to 2012, noted in the table above, was primarily due to the overall reduction in rental revenues from discontinued operations, which was driven by the disposition of a significant portion of our office properties in December 2011. This overall change in product mix correspondingly drove a \$35.4 million decrease in cash outflows for second generation capital expenditures (classified within investing activities) during 2012.

#### Investing Activities

Investing activities are one of the primary uses of our liquidity. Development and acquisition activities typically generate additional rental revenues and provide cash flows for operational requirements. Highlights of significant cash sources and uses for investing activities are as follows:

Real estate development costs totaled \$427.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$264.8 million and \$162.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We increased our development activities in 2013 and 2012 across all product types.

During 2013, we paid cash of \$445.5 million for real estate acquisitions, compared to \$665.5 million in 2012 and \$544.8 million in 2011. In addition, we paid cash of \$76.7 million for undeveloped land acquisitions in 2013, compared to \$64.9 million in 2012 and \$14.1 million in 2011. The increase in land acquisitions in 2013 and 2012 is partially the result of land acquired for specific development projects that commenced shortly after acquisition.

Sales of land and depreciated property provided \$740.0 million in net proceeds in 2013, compared to \$138.1 million in 2012 and \$1.6 billion in 2011.

We received capital distributions from unconsolidated companies (as a result of the sale of properties or refinancing) of \$109.2 million in 2013, \$5.2 million in 2012 and \$59.3 million in 2011.

#### Financing Activities

The following items highlight significant capital transactions:

Throughout 2013, the General Partner issued 46.2 million shares of common stock for net proceeds of \$649.7 million, compared to 22.7 million shares of common stock in 2012 for net proceeds of \$315.3 million. The General Partner had no common stock issuances in 2011.

In February 2013, the General Partner redeemed all of its outstanding shares Series O Shares for a total payment of \$178.0 million. In March 2012, the General Partner redeemed all of its outstanding Series M Shares for a total payment of \$168.3 million. In July 2011, the General Partner redeemed all of its outstanding Series N Shares for a total payment of \$108.6 million.

In December 2013, we issued \$250.0 million of unsecured notes that bear interest at 3.875% and mature on February 15, 2021. In March 2013, we issued \$250.0 million of senior unsecured notes that bear interest at 3.625% and mature on April 15, 2023. In September 2012, we issued \$300.0 million of senior unsecured notes that bear interest at 3.875% and mature on October 15, 2022. In June 2012, we issued \$300.0 million of senior unsecured notes that bear interest at 4.375% and mature on June 15, 2022. We had no senior unsecured note issuances in 2011.

In May 2013, we issued and fully drew down on a term loan with an aggregate commitment of \$250.0 million that bears interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 1.35% and matures May 14, 2018.

During 2013, we repaid three unsecured notes with a weighted average effective rate of 6.37% totaling \$675.0 million. In October 2012, we repaid \$50.0 million of medium term notes, which had an effective interest rate of 5.45%, at their scheduled maturity date. In August 2012, we repaid \$150.0 million of senior unsecured notes, which had an effective interest rate of 6.01%, at their scheduled maturity date. In July 2012, one of our consolidated subsidiaries repaid \$21.0 million of variable rate unsecured debt, which bore interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 0.85%, at its scheduled maturity. In December 2011, we repaid the remaining \$167.6 million of our 3.75% Exchangeable Notes, which had an effective interest rate of 5.62%, at their scheduled maturity date. During 2011, we also repaid \$165.0 million of unsecured notes, which had a weighted average effective rate of 6.02%.

Throughout the year ended December 31, 2013, we repaid twelve secured loans totaling \$153.8 million, which had a weighted average stated interest rate of 5.52%. During 2012, we repaid five secured loans totaling \$102.1 million, which had a weighted average stated interest rate of 6.08%. In 2011, we repaid four individually insignificant secured loans totaling \$12.8 million.

We decreased net borrowings on the Partnership's \$850.0 million line of credit by \$197.0 million and increased it by \$285.0 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, compared to a decrease of \$175.0 million in 2011.

Changes in book drafts are classified as financing activities within our consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Book overdrafts were \$12.4 million at December 31, 2013, compared to \$45.3 million at December 31, 2012. We had no book overdrafts at December 31, 2011.

In June 2012, a newly formed subsidiary, consolidated by both the General Partner and the Partnership, borrowed \$13.3 million on a secured note bearing interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 2.5% and maturing in June 2017.

We paid cash dividends or distributions of \$0.68 per common share or per Common Unit in each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

#### Impact of Changes in Credit Ratings on Our Liquidity

We are currently assigned investment grade corporate credit ratings on our senior unsecured notes from Moody's Investors Service and Standard & Poor's Ratings Group. Our senior unsecured notes have been assigned a rating of Baa2 by Moody's Investors Service. In addition, our senior unsecured notes have been assigned a rating of BBB by Standard & Poor's Ratings Group, an upgrade from BBB- announced by Standard & Poor's on January 31, 2014. Our preferred shares carry ratings of BB+ and Baa3 from Standard and Poor's Ratings Group and Moody's Investors Service, respectively. The BB+ rating from Standard and Poor's represents an upgrade from BB announced on January 31, 2014.

The ratings of our senior unsecured notes and preferred shares could change based upon, among other things, the impact that prevailing economic conditions may have on our results of operations and financial condition. If our credit ratings are downgraded or other negative action is taken, we could be required, among other things, to pay additional interest and fees on outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit agreement. Credit rating reductions by one or more rating agencies could also adversely affect our access to funding sources, the cost and other terms of obtaining funding as well as our overall financial condition, operating results and cash flow.

#### Financial Instruments

We are exposed to capital market risk, such as changes in interest rates. In order to reduce the volatility relating to interest rate risk, we may enter into interest rate hedging arrangements from time to time. We do not utilize derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

#### Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

##### Investments in Unconsolidated Companies

We have equity interests in unconsolidated partnerships and limited liability companies that primarily own and operate rental properties and hold land for development. These unconsolidated joint ventures are primarily engaged in the operations and development of industrial, office and medical office real estate properties. The equity method of accounting (see Critical Accounting Policies) is used for these investments in which we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control, over operating and financial policies. As a result, the assets and liabilities of these entities are not included on our balance sheet.

Our investments in and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries represents approximately 4% and 5% of our total assets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We believe that these investments provide several benefits to us, including increased market share, tenant and property diversification and an additional source of capital to fund real estate projects.

The following table presents summarized financial information for unconsolidated companies for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (in thousands, except percentage data):

|  | Joint Ventures |             |
|--|----------------|-------------|
|  | 2013           | 2012        |
| Land, buildings and tenant improvements, net | \$1,656,231    | \$1,991,823 |
| Construction in progress                     | 12,338         | 61,663      |
| Undeveloped land                             | 126,556        | 175,143     |
| Other assets                                 | 206,414        | 289,173     |
|  | \$2,001,539    | \$2,517,802 |
| Indebtedness                                 | \$890,513      | \$1,314,502 |
| Other liabilities                            | 93,291         | 70,519      |
|  | 983,804        | 1,385,021   |
| Owners' equity                               | 1,017,735      | 1,132,781   |
|  | \$2,001,539    | \$2,517,802 |
| Rental revenue                               | \$240,064      | \$291,534   |
| Gain on sale of properties                   | \$121,404      | \$6,792     |
| Net income                                   | \$116,832      | \$3,125     |
| Total square feet                            | 24,276         | 26,487      |
| Percent leased*                              | 95.20          | % 92.15     |

\*Represents the percentage of total square feet leased based on executed leases and without regard to whether the leases have commenced.

We do not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships ("special purpose entities") that have been established solely for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements.

#### Contractual Obligations

At December 31, 2013, we were subject to certain contractual payment obligations as described in the following table:

| Contractual Obligations                          | Payments due by Period (in thousands) |           |           |           |           |           |             |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
|  | Total                                 | 2014      | 2015      | 2016      | 2017      | 2018      | Thereafter  |
| Long-term debt (1)                               | \$5,302,904                           | \$309,325 | \$669,184 | \$718,319 | \$717,136 | \$676,954 | \$2,211,986 |
| Line of credit (2)                               | 94,664                                | 3,422     | 91,242    | —         | —         | —         | —           |
| Share of unconsolidated joint ventures' debt (3) | 350,542                               | 95,602    | 74,673    | 41,848    | 104,256   | 2,571     | 31,592      |
| Ground leases                                    | 215,406                               | 3,816     | 3,964     | 4,010     | 4,027     | 4,055     | 195,534     |
| Development and construction backlog costs (4)   | 377,407                               | 361,531   | 7,938     | 7,938     | —         | —         | —           |
| Other  | 18,482                                | 3,893     | 3,936     | 3,711     | 2,916     | 2,778     | 1,248       |
| Total Contractual Obligations                    | \$6,359,405                           | \$777,589 | \$850,937 | \$775,826 | \$828,335 | \$686,358 | \$2,440,360 |

(1) Our long-term debt consists of both secured and unsecured debt and includes both principal and interest. Interest payments for variable rate debt were calculated using the interest rates as of December 31, 2013.

(2) Our unsecured line of credit consists of an operating line of credit that matures December 2015. Interest payments for our unsecured line of credit were calculated using the most recent stated interest rate that was in effect.

(3) Our share of unconsolidated joint venture debt includes both principal and interest. Interest expense for variable rate debt was calculated using the interest rate at December 31, 2013.

(4) Represents estimated remaining costs on the completion of owned development projects and third-party construction projects.

#### Related Party Transactions

We provide property and asset management, leasing, construction and other tenant-related services to unconsolidated companies in which we have equity interests. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, we earned management fees of \$9.0 million, \$11.0 million and \$10.1 million, leasing fees of \$2.3 million, \$3.4 million and \$4.4 million and construction and development fees of \$5.1 million, \$4.7 million and \$6.7 million from these companies, prior to elimination. We recorded these fees based on contractual terms that approximate market rates for these types of services and have eliminated our ownership percentages of these fees in the consolidated

financial statements.

Commitments and Contingencies

We have guaranteed the repayment of \$76.2 million of economic development bonds issued by various municipalities in connection with certain commercial developments. We will be required to make payments under our guarantees to the extent that incremental taxes from specified developments are not sufficient to pay the bond

-46-

---

debt service. Management does not believe that it is probable that we will be required to make any significant payments in satisfaction of these guarantees.

We also have guaranteed the repayment of secured and unsecured loans of four of our unconsolidated subsidiaries. At December 31, 2013, the maximum guarantee exposure for these loans was approximately \$188.4 million.

We lease certain land positions with terms extending to October 2105, with a total future payment obligation of \$215.4 million. No payments on these ground leases, which are classified as operating leases, are material in any individual year.

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of any ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not materially affect our consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

We own certain parcels of land that are subject to special property tax assessments levied by quasi municipal entities. To the extent that such special assessments are fixed and determinable, the discounted value of the full assessment is recorded as a liability. We have \$12.4 million of such special assessment liabilities, which are included within other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013.

#### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risks

We are exposed to interest rate changes primarily as a result of our line of credit and long-term borrowings. Our interest rate risk management objective is to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower overall borrowing costs. To achieve our objectives, we borrow primarily at fixed rates. We do not enter into derivative or interest rate transactions for speculative purposes. We have two outstanding swaps, which fix the rates on two of our variable rate loans and are not significant to our financial statements at December 31, 2013.

Our interest rate risk is monitored using a variety of techniques. The table below presents the principal amounts (in thousands) of the expected annual maturities, weighted average interest rates for the average debt outstanding in the specified period, fair values (in thousands) and other terms required to evaluate the expected cash flows and sensitivity to interest rate changes.

|                                | 2014     | 2015      | 2016      | 2017      | 2018      | Thereafter  | Total       | Fair Value  |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Fixed rate secured debt        | \$80,605 | \$205,036 | \$377,314 | \$102,016 | \$4,952   | \$304,450   | \$1,074,373 | \$1,145,717 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 6.06%    | 5.30%     | 5.91%     | 5.96%     | 6.49%     | 7.45%       |             |             |
| Variable rate secured debt     | \$1,363  | \$742     | \$755     | \$13,729  | \$300     | \$2,200     | \$19,089    | \$19,089    |
| Weighted average interest rate | 1.22%    | 2.12%     | 2.15%     | 3.41%     | 0.19%     | 0.19%       |             |             |
| Fixed rate unsecured debt      | \$2,092  | \$252,226 | \$152,370 | \$452,523 | \$302,685 | \$1,654,357 | \$2,816,253 | \$3,000,518 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 6.26%    | 7.49%     | 6.71%     | 5.95%     | 6.08%     | 5.20%       |             |             |
| Variable rate unsecured notes  | \$—      | \$—       | \$—       | \$—       | \$250,000 | \$—         | \$250,000   | \$250,000   |
| Rate at December 31, 2013      | N/A      | N/A       | N/A       | N/A       | 1.52%     | N/A         |             |             |



Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|                                 |     |          |     |     |     |     |          |          |
|---------------------------------|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------|----------|
| Unsecured<br>line of credit     | \$— | \$88,000 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$88,000 | \$88,383 |
| Rate at<br>December<br>31, 2013 | N/A | 1.42%    | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |          |          |

As the table incorporates only those exposures that existed at December 31, 2013, it does not consider those exposures or positions that could arise after that date. As a result, the ultimate impact of interest rate fluctuations will depend on future exposures that arise, our hedging strategies at that time to the extent we are party to interest

rate derivatives, and interest rates. Interest expense on our unsecured line of credit and our variable rate unsecured notes will be affected by fluctuations in LIBOR indices as well as changes in our credit rating. The interest rate at such point in the future as we may renew, extend or replace our unsecured line of credit will be heavily dependent upon the state of the credit environment.

At December 31, 2013, the face value of our unsecured debt was \$3.1 billion and we estimated the fair value of that unsecured debt to be \$3.3 billion. At December 31, 2012, the face value of our unsecured debt was \$3.0 billion and our estimate of the fair value of that debt was \$3.3 billion.

#### Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The financial statements and supplementary data are included under Item 15 of this Report.

#### Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

There was no change or disagreement with our accountants related to our accounting and financial disclosures.

#### Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

##### Controls and Procedures (General Partner)

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our "disclosure controls and procedures" as of the end of the period covered by this Report. The controls evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer.

Attached as exhibits to this Report are certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, which are required in accordance with Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. This Controls and Procedures section includes the information concerning the controls evaluation referred to in the certifications and it should be read in conjunction with the certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")) are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Based on the disclosure controls and procedures evaluation referenced above, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting and the audit report of our registered public accounting firm are included in Item 15 of Part IV under the headings "Management's Report on Internal Control" and "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm," respectively, and are incorporated herein by reference. There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2013, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

##### Controls and Procedures (Partnership)

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our "disclosure controls and procedures" as of the end of the period covered by this Report. The controls evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the General Partner's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

Attached as exhibits to this Report are certifications of the General Partner's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, which are required in accordance with Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. This Controls and Procedures section includes the information concerning the controls evaluation referred to in the certifications and it should be read in conjunction with the certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")) are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the General Partner's principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Based on the disclosure controls and procedures evaluation referenced above, the General Partner's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting and the audit report of our registered public accounting firm are included in Item 15 of Part IV under the headings "Management's Report on Internal Control" and "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm," respectively, and are incorporated herein by reference. There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2013, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

#### Item 9B. Other Information

There was no information required to be disclosed in a report on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of 2013 for which no Form 8-K was filed.

### PART III

#### Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following is a summary of the executive officers of the General Partner as of January 1, 2014:

Dennis D. Oklak, age 60. Mr. Oklak joined the General Partner in 1986. He has held various senior executive positions within the General Partner and was promoted to Chief Executive Officer of the General Partner and joined the General Partner's Board of Directors in 2004. In 2005, Mr. Oklak was appointed Chairman of the General Partner's Board of Directors. Mr. Oklak serves on the Executive Board of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or "NAREIT," the Board of Trustees of the Urban Land Institute and is a member of the Real Estate Roundtable. Mr. Oklak serves as Co-Chairman of the Central Indiana Corporate Partnership, the Board of Trustees of the Crossroads of America Council of the Boy Scouts of America Foundation and the Dean's Advisory Board for Ball State University's Miller College of Business. From 2003 to 2009, Mr. Oklak was a member of the board of directors of publicly-traded recreational vehicle manufacturer, Monaco Coach Corporation. Mr. Oklak has served as a director of the General Partner since 2004.

Mark A. Denien, age 46. Mr. Denien was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the General Partner in 2013. Prior to being named Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Denien was Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer of the General Partner from 2009 to 2013, and prior to that, served as Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller with the General Partner. Prior to joining the General Partner in 2005, Mr. Denien spent 16 years with KPMG LLP. Mr. Denien serves as a director and Treasurer of Goodwill Industries of Central Indiana, Inc.

James B. Connor, age 55. Mr. Connor was appointed Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the General Partner in 2013. His responsibilities include managing and leading the Company's industrial, office and medical office operations as well as overseeing the Company's construction group. Prior to being named Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Mr. Connor held various senior management positions with the General Partner, including Senior Regional Executive Vice President of the General Partner from 2011 to 2013, and Executive Vice President of the General Partner's Midwest region from 2003 and 2010. Prior to joining the General Partner in 1998, Mr. Connor held numerous executive and brokerage positions with Cushman & Wakefield, most recently serving as Senior Managing Director for the Midwest area. Mr. Connor serves on the Advisory Board of the Marshall Bennett Institute of Real Estate at Roosevelt University in Chicago as well as on the Editorial Board of the Illinois Real Estate Journal.

James D. Bremner, age 58. Mr. Bremner has served as the Company's President, Healthcare since 2007 when the Company acquired Bremner Healthcare Real Estate (formerly known as Bremner & Wiley), a national healthcare development and management firm that Mr. Bremner founded in 1987. Prior to and concurrently with founding his own firm, Mr. Bremner was a broker with Revel Companies, a commercial real estate firm, from 1980 until 1996. Mr. Bremner also serves as a director of Denison, Inc. a private parking management company located in Indianapolis, Indiana, and the Board of Trustees of The Children's Museum of Indianapolis.

Steven R. Kennedy, age 57. Mr. Kennedy has served as Executive Vice President, Construction since 2004. From 1986 until 2004, he served in various capacities in the construction group, most recently as Senior Vice President. All other information required by this item will be included in the General Partner's 2014 proxy statement (the "2014 Proxy Statement") for the General Partner's Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 24, 2014, and is incorporated herein by reference. In addition, the General Partner's Code of Conduct (which applies to each of our associates, officers and directors) and the General Partner's Corporate Governance Guidelines are available in the investor information/corporate governance section of our website at [www.dukerealty.com](http://www.dukerealty.com). A copy of these documents may also be obtained without charge by writing to Duke Realty Corporation, 600 East 96<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 100, Indianapolis, Indiana 46240, Attention: Investor Relations.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation**

The information required by Item 11 of this Report will be included in our 2014 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

The information required by Item 12 of this Report will be included in our 2014 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence**

The information required to be furnished pursuant to Item 13 of this Report will be included in our 2014 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

**Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

The information required to be furnished pursuant to Item 14 of this Report will be included in our 2014 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Annual Report:

1. Consolidated Financial Statements

The following Consolidated Financial Statements, together with the Management's Report on Internal Control and the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm are listed below:

Duke Realty Corporation:

Management's Report on Internal Control

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Duke Realty Limited Partnership:

Management's Report on Internal Control

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Duke Realty Corporation:

Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income, Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity, Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Duke Realty Limited Partnership:

Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income, Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity, Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty Limited Partnership:

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty Limited Partnership:

Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

3. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed with this Form 10-K or incorporated herein by reference to the listed document previously filed with the SEC. Previously unfiled documents are noted with an asterisk (\*).

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

| Number   | Description   |
|----------|---|
| 3.1(i)   | Fourth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the General Partner (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the General Partner's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on July 30, 2009, and incorporated herein by this reference).  |
| 3.1(ii)  | Amendment to the Fourth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the General Partner (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the General Partner's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on July 22, 2011, and incorporated herein by this reference).   |
| 3.1(iii) | Second Amendment to the Fourth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the General Partner (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the General Partner's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on March 9, 2012, and incorporated herein by this reference).                                      |
| 3.1(iv)  | Third Amendment to the Fourth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the General Partner (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the combined Current Report on Form 8-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 26, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference). |
| 3.2      | Fourth Amended and Restated Bylaws of the General Partner (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the General Partner's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on July 30, 2009, and incorporated herein by this reference).   |
| 3.3      | Certificate of Limited Partnership of the Partnership, dated September 17, 1993 (filed as Exhibit 3.1(i) to the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 as filed with the SEC on March 13, 2007, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 000-20625). |
| 3.4(i)   | Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Partnership (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on November 3, 2009, and incorporated herein by this reference).  |
| 3.4(ii)  | First Amendment to Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Partnership (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on July 22, 2011, and incorporated herein by this reference).  |
| 3.4(iii) | Second Amendment to Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Partnership (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on March 9, 2012, and incorporated herein by this reference).   |
| 3.4(iv)  | Third Amendment to Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Partnership (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the combined Current Report on Form 8-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 26, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).  |
| 4.1(i)   | Indenture, dated September 19, 1995, between the Partnership and The First National Bank of Chicago, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the General Partner's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on September 22, 1995, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).    |
| 4.1(ii)  |   |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Nineteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2006, by and between the Partnership and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association (successor in interest to Bank One Trust Company, N.A.), including the form of global note evidencing the 5.5% Senior Notes Due 2016 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on March 3, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 000-20625).

4.1(iii) Twentieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 24, 2006, by and between the Partnership and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association (successor in interest to The First National Bank of Chicago), modifying certain financial covenants contained in Sections 1004 and 1005 of the Indenture, dated September 19, 1995, between the Partnership and The First National Bank of Chicago, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on July 28, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 000-20625).

4.2(i) Indenture, dated as of July 28, 2006, by and between the Partnership and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the General Partner's automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3 as filed with the SEC on July 31, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 333-136173).

4.2(ii) Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 24, 2006, by and between the Partnership and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association, including the form of global note evidencing the 5.95% Senior Notes Due 2017 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on August 30, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 000-20625).

- 4.2(iii) Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 11, 2007, by and between the Partnership and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association), including the form of global note evidencing the 6.50% Senior Notes Due 2018 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on September 12, 2007, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 000-20625).
- 4.2(iv) Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 11, 2009, by and between the Partnership and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association), including the form of global note evidencing the 7.375% Senior Notes Due 2015 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on August 12, 2009, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 4.2(v) Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 11, 2009, by and between the Partnership and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association), including the form of global note evidencing the 8.25% Senior Notes Due 2019 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on August 12, 2009, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 4.2(vi) Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 1, 2010, by and between the Partnership and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association), including the form of global note evidencing the 6.75% Senior Notes due 2020 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on April 1, 2010, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 4.2(vii) Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated June 11, 2012, by and between the Partnership and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association), including the form of global note evidencing the 4.375% Senior Notes Due 2022 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the General Partner's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on June 11, 2012, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 4.2(viii) Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated September 19, 2012, by and between the Partnership and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association), including the form of global note evidencing the 3.875% Senior Notes Due 2022 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the General Partner's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on September 19, 2012, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 4.2(ix) Tenth Supplemental Indenture, dated March 15, 2013, by and between the Partnership and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association), including the form of global note evidencing the 3.625% Senior Notes Due 2023 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the combined Current Report on Form 8-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 4.2(x) Eleventh Supplemental Indenture, dated December 3, 2013, by and between the Partnership and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association), including the form of global note evidencing the 3.875% Senior Notes Due 2021 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the combined Current Report on Form 8-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on December 3, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 10.1(i) Amended and Restated 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan of the General Partner (filed as Appendix A to the General Partner's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, dated March 18, 2009 as filed with



Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

the SEC on March 18, 2009, and incorporated herein by this reference).#

- 10.1(ii) 2009 Amendment to the General Partner's Amended and Restated 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the General Partner's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as filed with the SEC on May 6, 2010, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.1(iii) 2010 Amendment to the General Partner's Amended and Restated 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the General Partner's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on May 4, 2010, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.1(iv) 2011 Amendment to the General Partner's Amended and Restated 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the General Partner's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as filed with the SEC on August 5, 2011, and incorporated herein by this reference).#

-53-

---

## Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.2(i) Form of 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan Award Certificate for Restricted Stock Units (filed as Exhibit 10.3(i) to the combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.2(ii) Form of 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan Stock Option Award Certificate (filed as Exhibit 99.4 to the General Partner's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 3, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.2(iii) Form of 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Certificate for Non-Employee Directors (filed as Exhibit 99.6 to the General Partner's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 3, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.3(i) The General Partner's 2000 Performance Share Plan, Amended and Restated as of January 30, 2008, a sub-plan of the 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.4(i) to the combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.3(ii) Amendment to the 2004 Award Agreement under the General Partner's 2000 Performance Share Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.4(ii) to the combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.4(i) The General Partner's 2010 Performance Share Plan, a sub-plan of the 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.5(i) to the combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.4(ii) Award Certificate under the General Partner's 2010 Performance Share Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.5(ii) to the combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.5 The General Partner's 2005 Shareholder Value Plan, Amended and Restated as of January 30, 2008, a sub-plan of the 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.6 The General Partner's 2005 Dividend Increase Unit Replacement Plan, Amended and Restated as of January 30, 2008, a sub-plan of the 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.7 The General Partner's 2011 Non-Employee Directors Compensation Plan, a sub-plan of the 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the General Partner's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on May 6, 2011, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.8 Form of Forfeiture Agreement/Performance Unit Award Certificate (filed as Exhibit 99.2 to the General Partner's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on December 9, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.9(i) 1995 Key Employees' Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the General Partner's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995 as filed with the

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

SEC on February 21, 1996, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#

- 10.9(ii) Amendment One to the 1995 Key Employees' Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.19 to the General Partner's Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001 as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#

-54-

---

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.9(iii) Amendment Two to the 1995 Key Employees' Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the General Partner's Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001 as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.9(iv) Amendment Three to the 1995 Key Employees' Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.21 to the General Partner's Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001 as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.9(v) Amendment Four to the 1995 Key Employees' Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.22 to the General Partner's Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001 as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.9(vi) Amendment Five to the 1995 Key Employees' Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.23 to the General Partner's Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001 as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.9(vii) Amendment Six to the 1995 Key Employees' Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.24 to the General Partner's Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001 as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.9(viii) Amendment Seven to the 1995 Key Employees' Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the General Partner's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as filed with the SEC on November 13, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.9(ix) Amendment Eight to the 1995 Key Employees' Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as part of Appendix B of the General Partner's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, filed with the SEC on March 16, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.9(x) Amendment Nine to the 1995 Key Employees' Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the General Partner's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as filed with the SEC on October 9, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.9(xi) Amendment Ten to the 1995 Key Employees' Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the General Partner's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as filed with the SEC on November 8, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.9(xii) Amendment Eleven to the 1995 Key Employees' Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the General Partner's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on May 4, 2010, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.10(i) Dividend Increase Unit Plan of Duke Realty Services Limited Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.25 to the General Partner's Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001 as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.10(ii) Amendment One to the Dividend Increase Unit Plan of Duke Realty Services Limited Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.26 to the General Partner's Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001 as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.10(iii) Amendment Two to the Dividend Increase Unit Plan of Duke Realty Services Limited Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.27 to the General Partner's Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001 as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.10(iv) Amendment Three to the Dividend Increase Unit Plan of Duke Realty Services Limited Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the General Partner's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as filed with the SEC on November 13, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#

-55-

---

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.10(v) Amendment Four to the Dividend Increase Unit Plan of Duke Realty Services Limited Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.30 to the General Partner's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 as filed with the SEC on March 4, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.11(i) 1999 Directors' Stock Option and Dividend Increase Unit Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Annex F to the prospectus in the General Partner's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the SEC on May 4, 1999, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 333-77645).#
- 10.11(ii) Amendment One to the 1999 Directors' Stock Option and Dividend Increase Unit Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as part of Appendix C of the General Partner's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2001, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.11(iii) Amendment Two to the 1999 Directors' Stock Option and Dividend Increase Unit Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as part of Appendix B of the General Partner's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A as filed with the SEC on March 16, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.12(i) Executives' Deferred Compensation Plan of Duke Realty Services Limited Partnership, Amended and Restated as of December 5, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.13(i) to the combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.12(ii) Amendment Number One to the Executives' Deferred Compensation Plan of Duke Realty Services Limited Partnership, Amended and Restated as of December 5, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.13(ii) to the combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.13 Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan of Duke Realty Corporation, Amended and Restated as of January 30, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.14(i) Form of Letter Agreement Regarding Executive Severance, dated December 13, 2007, between the General Partner and the following executive officers: Dennis D. Oklak, Steven R. Kennedy and James B. Connor (filed as Exhibit 10.23 to the General Partner's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, filed with the SEC on February 29, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference) (File No. 001-09044).#
- 10.14(ii) First Amendment to Executive Severance Agreement, dated February 24, 2009, between the General Partner and the following executive officers: Dennis D. Oklak, Steven R. Kennedy and James B. Connor (filed as Exhibit 10.15(ii) to the combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.14(iii) Second Amendment to Executive Severance Agreement, dated December 21, 2011, between the General Partner and the following executive officers: Dennis D. Oklak, Steven R. Kennedy and James B. Connor (filed as Exhibit 10.15(iii) to the combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the General

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#

10.14(iv) Third Amendment to Executive Severance Letter, dated December 19, 2012, between the General Partner and the following executive officers: Dennis D. Oklak, Steven R. Kennedy and James B. Connor (filed as Exhibit 10.15(iv) to the combined Annual Report on Form 10-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#

10.15 Letter Agreement Regarding Executive Severance, dated March 19, 2013, between the General Partner and James D. Bremner (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the combined Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on May 3, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#

10.16 Letter Agreement Regarding Executive Severance, dated July 30, 2013, between the General Partner and Mark A. Denien (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the combined Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on November 1, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).#

10.17 Seventh Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement, dated November 18, 2011, among the Partnership, the General Partner, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. and the several banks, financial institutions and other entities from time to time parties thereto as lenders (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on November 22, 2011, and incorporated herein by this reference).

10.18 Equity Distribution Agreement, dated May 21, 2013, by and among the General Partner, the Partnership, Barclays Capital Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, RBC Capital Markets, LLC, Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC (filed as Exhibit 1.1 to the combined Current Report on Form 8-K of the General Partner and the Partnership as filed with the SEC on May 21, 2013, and incorporated herein by this reference).

- 12.1 Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends of the General Partner.\*
- 12.2 Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Distributions of the Partnership.\*
- 21.1 List of the Company's Subsidiaries.\*
- 23.1 Consent of KPMG LLP relating to the General Partner.\*
- 23.2 Consent of KPMG LLP relating to the Partnership.\*
- 24.1 Executed Powers of Attorney of certain directors.\*
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the General Partner.\*
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of the General Partner.\*
- 31.3 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer for the Partnership.\*
- 31.4 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer for the Partnership.\*
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the General Partner. \* \*\*
- 32.2 Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of the General Partner. \* \*\*
- 32.3 Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer for the Partnership. \* \*\*
- 32.4 Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer for the Partnership. \* \*\*
- 99.1 Selected Quarterly Financial Information.\*

101 The following materials from the General Partner's and the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

# Represents management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

\* Filed herewith.

\*\* The certifications attached as Exhibits 32.1, 32.2, 32.3 and 32.4 accompany this Report and are "furnished" to the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not be deemed "filed" by the General Partner or the Partnership, respectively, for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

We will furnish to any security holder, upon written request, copies of any exhibit incorporated by reference, for a fee of 15 cents per page, to cover the costs of furnishing the exhibits. Written requests should include a representation that the person making the request was the beneficial owner of securities entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

(b)Exhibits

The exhibits required to be filed with this Report pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K are listed under "Exhibits" in Part IV, Item 15(a)(3) of this Report and are incorporated herein by reference.

(c)Financial Statement Schedule



The Financial Statement Schedule required to be filed with this Report is listed under "Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules" in Part IV, Item 15(a)(2) of this Report, and is incorporated herein by reference.

-57-

---

### Management's Report on Internal Control

We, as management of Duke Realty Corporation and its subsidiaries (the "General Partner"), are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and includes those policies and procedures that:

• Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of assets of the company;

• Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and

• Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management has evaluated the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 based on the control criteria established in a report entitled Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992), issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on such evaluation, we have concluded that, as of December 31, 2013, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on these criteria.

The independent registered public accounting firm of KPMG LLP, as auditors of the General Partner's consolidated financial statements, has also issued an audit report on the General Partner's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Dennis D. Oklak  
Dennis D. Oklak  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Mark A. Denien  
Mark A. Denien  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm  
The Shareholders and Directors of  
Duke Realty Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Realty Corporation and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in equity for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the financial statement schedule III. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements and the financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying management's report on internal control. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and the financial statement schedule and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Duke Realty Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule III, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also, in our opinion, Duke Realty Corporation and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

/s/ KPMG LLP

Indianapolis, Indiana  
February 21, 2014

-59-

---

Management's Report on Internal Control

We, as management of Duke Realty Limited Partnership and its subsidiaries (the "Partnership"), are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of the principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, of Duke Realty Corporation (the "General Partner"), and effected by the General Partner's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of assets of the Partnership;
- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the General Partner; and
- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Partnership's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management has evaluated the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 based on the control criteria established in a report entitled Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992), issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on such evaluation, we have concluded that, as of December 31, 2013, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on these criteria.

The independent registered public accounting firm of KPMG LLP, as auditors of the Partnership's consolidated financial statements, has also issued an audit report on the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Dennis D. Oklak  
Dennis D. Oklak  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer  
of the General Partner

/s/ Mark A. Denien  
Mark A. Denien  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
of the General Partner

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Partners of

Duke Realty Limited Partnership:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Realty Limited Partnership and Subsidiaries (the "Partnership") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in equity for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the financial statement schedule III. We also have audited the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Partnership's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements and the financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying management's report on internal control. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and the financial statement schedule and an opinion on the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Duke Realty Limited Partnership and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule III, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also, in our opinion, Duke Realty Limited Partnership and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

/s/ KPMG LLP

Indianapolis, Indiana  
February 21, 2014

-61-

---

## DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

As of December 31,

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

|   | 2013         | 2012         |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>   |              |              |
| Real estate investments:  |              |              |
| Land and improvements   | \$1,438,007  | \$1,284,081  |
| Buildings and tenant improvements   | 5,531,726    | 5,398,886    |
| Construction in progress  | 256,895      | 234,918      |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated companies   | 342,947      | 372,256      |
| Undeveloped land  | 590,052      | 614,208      |
|   | 8,159,627    | 7,904,349    |
| Accumulated depreciation  | (1,368,406 ) | (1,296,396 ) |
| Net real estate investments   | 6,791,221    | 6,607,953    |
| Real estate investments and other assets held-for-sale  | 57,466       | 30,937       |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | 19,275       | 33,889       |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$1,576 and \$3,374  | 26,173       | 22,283       |
| Straight-line rent receivable, net of allowance of \$9,350 and \$6,091  | 118,251      | 120,303      |
| Receivables on construction contracts, including retentions   | 19,209       | 39,754       |
| Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$37,016 and \$48,218                            | 36,250       | 40,083       |
| Deferred leasing and other costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$394,049 and \$372,047                  | 466,979      | 497,827      |
| Escrow deposits and other assets  | 217,790      | 167,072      |
|   | \$7,752,614  | \$7,560,101  |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>   |              |              |
| Indebtedness:   |              |              |
| Secured debt  | \$1,100,124  | \$1,167,953  |
| Unsecured debt  | 3,066,252    | 2,993,217    |
| Unsecured line of credit  | 88,000       | 285,000      |
|   | 4,254,376    | 4,446,170    |
| Liabilities related to real estate investments held-for-sale  | 2,075        | 807          |
| Construction payables and amounts due subcontractors, including retentions                                    | 69,380       | 84,679       |
| Accrued real estate taxes   | 74,696       | 74,565       |
| Accrued interest  | 52,824       | 59,215       |
| Other accrued expenses  | 67,495       | 104,719      |
| Other liabilities   | 142,589      | 121,097      |
| Tenant security deposits and prepaid rents  | 44,550       | 42,731       |
| Total liabilities   | 4,707,985    | 4,933,983    |
| Shareholders' equity:   |              |              |
| Preferred shares (\$.01 par value); 5,000 shares authorized; 1,791 and 2,503 shares issued and outstanding    | 447,683      | 625,638      |
| Common shares (\$.01 par value); 400,000 shares authorized; 326,399 and 279,423 shares issued and outstanding | 3,264        | 2,794        |
| Additional paid-in capital  | 4,620,964    | 3,953,497    |



Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|  |              |              |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 4,119        | 2,691        |
| Distributions in excess of net income  | (2,062,787 ) | (1,993,206 ) |
| Total shareholders' equity             | 3,013,243    | 2,591,414    |
| Noncontrolling interests               | 31,386       | 34,704       |
| Total equity                           | 3,044,629    | 2,626,118    |
|  | \$7,752,614  | \$7,560,101  |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

-62-

---

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income  
For the Years Ended December 31,  
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | 2013       | 2012         | 2011       |
|--|------------|--------------|------------|
| Revenues:  |            |              |            |
| Rental and related revenue                                   | \$875,194  | \$771,625    | \$686,242  |
| General contractor and service fee revenue                   | 206,596    | 275,071      | 521,796    |
|  | 1,081,790  | 1,046,696    | 1,208,038  |
| Expenses:  |            |              |            |
| Rental expenses  | 159,008    | 137,797      | 129,717    |
| Real estate taxes  | 117,747    | 106,128      | 95,666     |
| General contractor and other services expenses               | 183,833    | 254,870      | 480,480    |
| Depreciation and amortization                                | 393,450    | 349,015      | 305,070    |
|  | 854,038    | 847,810      | 1,010,933  |
| Other operating activities:                                  |            |              |            |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated companies               | 54,116     | 4,674        | 4,565      |
| Gain on sale of properties                                   | 59,179     | 344          | 68,549     |
| Gain on land sales   | 9,547      | —            | —          |
| Undeveloped land carrying costs                              | (8,614 )   | (8,829 )     | (8,934 )   |
| Impairment charges   | (3,777 )   | —            | (12,931 )  |
| Other operating income (expenses)                            | 470        | (633 )       | (1,237 )   |
| General and administrative expenses                          | (42,673 )  | (46,424 )    | (43,107 )  |
|  | 68,248     | (50,868 )    | 6,905      |
| Operating income   | 296,000    | 148,018      | 204,010    |
| Other income (expenses):                                     |            |              |            |
| Interest and other income, net                               | 1,887      | 514          | 658        |
| Interest expense   | (228,895 ) | (229,992 )   | (206,770 ) |
| Loss on debt extinguishment                                  | (9,433 )   | —            | —          |
| Acquisition-related activity                                 | (3,093 )   | (4,192 )     | (1,188 )   |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 56,466     | (85,652 )    | (3,290 )   |
| Income tax benefit   | 5,080      | 103          | 194        |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations                     | 61,546     | (85,549 )    | (3,096 )   |
| Discontinued operations:                                     |            |              |            |
| Income (loss) before gain on sales                           | 1,761      | (3,786 )     | (1,477 )   |
| Gain on sale of depreciable properties                       | 133,242    | 13,467       | 100,882    |
| Income from discontinued operations                          | 135,003    | 9,681        | 99,405     |
| Net income (loss)  | 196,549    | (75,868 )    | 96,309     |
| Dividends on preferred shares                                | (31,616 )  | (46,438 )    | (60,353 )  |
| Adjustments for redemption/repurchase of preferred shares    | (5,932 )   | (5,730 )     | (3,796 )   |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests   | (5,957 )   | 1,891        | (744 )     |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders        | \$153,044  | \$(126,145 ) | \$31,416   |
| Basic net income (loss) per common share:                    |            |              |            |
| Continuing operations attributable to common shareholders    | \$0.06     | \$(0.52 )    | \$(0.27 )  |
| Discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders  | 0.41       | 0.04         | 0.38       |
| Total  | \$0.47     | \$(0.48 )    | \$0.11     |
| Diluted net income (loss) per common share:                  |            |              |            |
| Continuing operations attributable to common shareholders    | \$0.06     | \$(0.52 )    | \$(0.27 )  |
| Discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders  | 0.41       | 0.04         | 0.38       |
| Total  | \$0.47     | \$(0.48 )    | \$0.11     |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|  |            |             |           |
|--|------------|-------------|-----------|
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding                       | 322,133    | 267,900     | 252,694   |
| Weighted average number of common shares and potential dilutive securities | 326,712    | 267,900     | 259,598   |
| Comprehensive income (loss):   |            |             |           |
| Net income (loss)  | \$ 196,549 | \$(75,868 ) | \$ 96,309 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss):   |            |             |           |
| Amortization of interest contracts   | 451        | 1,829       | 1,829     |
| Other  | 977        | (125 )      | 590       |
| Total other comprehensive income   | 1,428      | 1,704       | 2,419     |
| Comprehensive income (loss)  | \$ 197,977 | \$(74,164 ) | \$ 98,728 |
| See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.               |            |             |           |

-63-

---

## DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Years Ended December 31,

(in thousands)

|  | 2013       | 2012        | 2011       |
|--|------------|-------------|------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities:  |            |             |            |
| Net income (loss)  | \$ 196,549 | \$(75,868 ) | \$ 96,309  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:                   |            |             |            |
| Depreciation of buildings and tenant improvements  | 288,583    | 262,825     | 267,222    |
| Amortization of deferred leasing and other costs   | 120,467    | 116,594     | 118,457    |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs   | 12,968     | 13,321      | 14,530     |
| Straight-line rent adjustment  | (14,633 )  | (19,546 )   | (23,877 )  |
| Impairment charges   | 3,777      | —           | 12,931     |
| Loss on debt extinguishment  | 9,433      | —           | —          |
| Gain on acquisitions   | (962 )     | —           | (1,057 )   |
| Gains on land and depreciated property sales   | (201,968 ) | (13,811 )   | (169,431 ) |
| Third-party construction contracts, net  | 31,920     | (10,837 )   | (17,352 )  |
| Other accrued revenues and expenses, net   | 21,706     | 13,300      | 24,001     |
| Operating distributions received in excess of (less than) equity in earnings from unconsolidated companies | (32,164 )  | 13,179      | 15,804     |
| Net cash provided by operating activities  | 435,676    | 299,157     | 337,537    |
| Cash flows from investing activities:  |            |             |            |
| Development of real estate investments   | (427,355 ) | (264,755 )  | (162,070 ) |
| Acquisition of real estate investments and related intangible assets                                       | (445,514 ) | (665,527 )  | (544,816 ) |
| Acquisition of undeveloped land  | (76,655 )  | (64,944 )   | (14,090 )  |
| Second generation tenant improvements, leasing costs and building improvements                             | (91,798 )  | (63,884 )   | (99,264 )  |
| Other deferred leasing costs   | (35,376 )  | (27,772 )   | (26,311 )  |
| Other assets   | (30,161 )  | 4,504       | 747        |
| Proceeds from land and depreciated property sales, net   | 740,039    | 138,118     | 1,572,093  |
| Capital distributions from unconsolidated companies  | 109,158    | 5,157       | 59,252     |
| Capital contributions and advances to unconsolidated companies   | (61,720 )  | (28,513 )   | (34,606 )  |
| Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities   | (319,382 ) | (967,616 )  | 750,935    |
| Cash flows from financing activities:  |            |             |            |
| Proceeds from issuance of common shares, net   | 649,690    | 315,295     | —          |
| Payments for redemption/repurchase of preferred shares   | (177,955 ) | (168,272 )  | (110,726 ) |
| Proceeds from unsecured debt   | 750,000    | 600,000     | —          |
| Payments on unsecured debt   | (685,022 ) | (222,846 )  | (334,432 ) |
| Proceeds from secured debt financings  | 1,933      | 13,336      | —          |
| Payments on secured indebtedness including principal amortization  | (169,188 ) | (117,287 )  | (29,025 )  |
| Borrowings (payments) on lines of credit, net  | (197,000 ) | 264,707     | (172,753 ) |
| Distributions to common shareholders   | (220,297 ) | (181,892 )  | (171,814 ) |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders  | (31,616 )  | (46,438 )   | (60,353 )  |
| Contributions from (distributions to) noncontrolling interests, net  | (8,944 )   | 2,179       | (5,292 )   |
| Buyout of noncontrolling interests   | —          | (6,208 )    | —          |
| Change in book overdrafts  | (32,823 )  | 45,272      | —          |
| Deferred financing costs   | (9,686 )   | (9,307 )    | (8,652 )   |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities   | (130,908 ) | 488,539     | (893,047 ) |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |           |            |           |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents                            | (14,614 ) | (179,920 ) | 195,425   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year                                  | 33,889    | 213,809    | 18,384    |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year  | \$19,275  | \$33,889   | \$213,809 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities:                                    |           |            |           |
| Assumption of indebtedness and other liabilities in real estate acquisitions    | \$107,992 | \$112,754  | \$177,082 |
| Carrying amount of pre-existing ownership interest in acquired property         | \$3,968   | \$—        | \$5,987   |
| Contribution of properties to, net of debt assumed by, unconsolidated companies | \$2,426   | \$—        | \$53,293  |
| Assumption of indebtedness by buyer in real estate dispositions                 | \$—       | \$—        | \$24,914  |
| Conversion of Limited Partner Units to common shares                            | \$331     | \$29,213   | \$3,130   |
| Issuance of Limited Partner Units for acquisition                               | \$—       | \$—        | \$28,357  |
| See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.                    |           |            |           |

-64-

---

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

(in thousands, except per share data)

|   | Common Shareholders |                 |                                  | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Income<br>(Loss) | Distributions<br>in Excess of<br>Net Income | Non-<br>Controlling<br>Interests | Total       |
|---|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|-------------|
|   | Preferred<br>Stock  | Common<br>Stock | Additional<br>Paid-in<br>Capital |   |   |                                  |             |
| Balance at December 31,<br>2010                               | \$904,540           | \$2,522         | \$3,573,720                      | \$(1,432)   | \$(1,533,740)                               | \$44,293                         | \$2,989,903 |
| Net income  | —                   | —               | —                                | —   | 95,565                                      | 744                              | 96,309      |
| Other comprehensive<br>income                                 | —                   | —               | —                                | 2,419   | —   | —                                | 2,419       |
| Issuance of Limited Partner<br>Units for acquisition          | —                   | —               | —                                | —   | —   | 28,357                           | 28,357      |
| Stock-based compensation<br>plan activity                     | —                   | 4               | 14,041                           | —   | (3,190)                                     | —                                | 10,855      |
| Conversion of Limited<br>Partner Units                        | —                   | 3               | 3,127                            | —   | —   | (3,130)                          | —           |
| Distributions to preferred<br>shareholders                    | —                   | —               | —                                | —   | (60,353)                                    | —                                | (60,353)    |
| Redemption/repurchase of<br>preferred shares                  | (110,630)           | —               | 3,700                            | —   | (3,796)                                     | —                                | (110,726)   |
| Distributions to common<br>shareholders (\$0.68 per<br>share) | —                   | —               | —                                | —   | (171,814)                                   | —                                | (171,814)   |
| Distributions to<br>noncontrolling interests                  | —                   | —               | —                                | —   | —   | (5,292)                          | (5,292)     |
| Balance at December 31,<br>2011                               | \$793,910           | \$2,529         | \$3,594,588                      | \$987   | \$(1,677,328)                               | \$64,972                         | \$2,779,658 |
| Net loss  | —                   | —               | —                                | —   | (73,977)                                    | (1,891)                          | (75,868)    |
| Other comprehensive<br>income                                 | —                   | —               | —                                | 1,704   | —   | —                                | 1,704       |
| Issuance of common shares                                     | —                   | 227             | 314,596                          | —   | —   | —                                | 314,823     |
| Stock-based compensation<br>plan activity                     | —                   | 13              | 9,395                            | —   | (2,976)                                     | —                                | 6,432       |
| Conversion of Limited<br>Partner Units                        | —                   | 25              | 29,188                           | —   | —   | (29,213)                         | —           |
| Distributions to preferred<br>shareholders                    | —                   | —               | —                                | —   | (46,438)                                    | —                                | (46,438)    |
| Redemption of preferred<br>shares                             | (168,272)           | —               | 5,730                            | —   | (5,730)                                     | —                                | (168,272)   |
| Distributions to common<br>shareholders (\$0.68 per<br>share) | —                   | —               | —                                | —   | (181,892)                                   | —                                | (181,892)   |
| Contributions from<br>noncontrolling interests, net           | —                   | —               | —                                | —   | —   | 2,179                            | 2,179       |
|   | —                   | —               | —                                | —   | (4,865)                                     | (1,343)                          | (6,208)     |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |           |         |             |         |               |          |             |
|---|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|---------------|----------|-------------|
| Buyout of noncontrolling interests                      |           |         |             |         |               |          |             |
| Balance at December 31, 2012                            | \$625,638 | \$2,794 | \$3,953,497 | \$2,691 | \$(1,993,206) | \$34,704 | \$2,626,118 |
| Net income  | —         | —       | —           | —       | 190,592       | 5,957    | 196,549     |
| Other comprehensive income                              | —         | —       | —           | 1,428   | —             | —        | 1,428       |
| Issuance of common shares                               | —         | 462     | 649,228     | —       | —             | —        | 649,690     |
| Stock-based compensation plan activity                  | —         | 8       | 11,976      | —       | (2,328)       | —        | 9,656       |
| Conversion of Limited Partner Units                     | —         | —       | 331         | —       | —             | (331)    | —           |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders                 | —         | —       | —           | —       | (31,616)      | —        | (31,616)    |
| Redemption of preferred shares                          | (177,955) | —       | 5,932       | —       | (5,932)       | —        | (177,955)   |
| Distributions to common shareholders (\$0.68 per share) | —         | —       | —           | —       | (220,297)     | —        | (220,297)   |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests, net          | —         | —       | —           | —       | —             | (8,944)  | (8,944)     |
| Balance at December 31, 2013                            | \$447,683 | \$3,264 | \$4,620,964 | \$4,119 | \$(2,062,787) | \$31,386 | \$3,044,629 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

As of December 31,  
(in thousands)

|  | 2013         | 2012         |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>  |              |              |
| Real estate investments:   |              |              |
| Land and improvements  | \$1,438,007  | \$1,284,081  |
| Buildings and tenant improvements  | 5,531,726    | 5,398,886    |
| Construction in progress   | 256,895      | 234,918      |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated companies                                      | 342,947      | 372,256      |
| Undeveloped land   | 590,052      | 614,208      |
|  | 8,159,627    | 7,904,349    |
| Accumulated depreciation   | (1,368,406 ) | (1,296,396 ) |
| Net real estate investments  | 6,791,221    | 6,607,953    |
| Real estate investments and other assets held-for-sale                                       | 57,466       | 30,937       |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | 19,275       | 33,889       |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$1,576 and \$3,374                                 | 26,173       | 22,283       |
| Straight-line rent receivable, net of allowance of \$9,350 and \$6,091                       | 118,251      | 120,303      |
| Receivables on construction contracts, including retentions                                  | 19,209       | 39,754       |
| Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$37,016 and \$48,218           | 36,250       | 40,083       |
| Deferred leasing and other costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$394,049 and \$372,047 | 466,979      | 497,827      |
| Escrow deposits and other assets   | 217,790      | 167,072      |
|  | \$7,752,614  | \$7,560,101  |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>  |              |              |
| Indebtedness:  |              |              |
| Secured debt   | \$1,100,124  | \$1,167,953  |
| Unsecured debt   | 3,066,252    | 2,993,217    |
| Unsecured line of credit   | 88,000       | 285,000      |
|  | 4,254,376    | 4,446,170    |
| Liabilities related to real estate investments held-for-sale                                 | 2,075        | 807          |
| Construction payables and amounts due subcontractors, including retentions                   | 69,380       | 84,679       |
| Accrued real estate taxes  | 74,696       | 74,565       |
| Accrued interest   | 52,824       | 59,215       |
| Other accrued expenses   | 67,739       | 104,886      |
| Other liabilities  | 142,589      | 121,097      |
| Tenant security deposits and prepaid rents   | 44,550       | 42,731       |
| Total liabilities  | 4,708,229    | 4,934,150    |
| Partners' equity:  |              |              |
| General Partner:   |              |              |
| Common equity (326,399 and 279,423 General Partner Units issued and outstanding)             | 2,565,370    | 1,967,091    |
| Preferred equity (1,791 and 2,503 Preferred Units issued and outstanding)                    | 447,683      | 625,638      |
|  | 3,013,053    | 2,592,729    |
|  | 20,158       | 21,383       |



Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Limited Partners' common equity (4,387 and 4,419 Limited Partner Units issued and outstanding)

|  |             |             |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 4,119       | 2,691       |
| Total partners' equity                 | 3,037,330   | 2,616,803   |
| Noncontrolling interests               | 7,055       | 9,148       |
| Total equity                           | 3,044,385   | 2,625,951   |
|  | \$7,752,614 | \$7,560,101 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

-66-

---

## DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income

For the Years Ended December 31,

(in thousands, except per unit amounts)

|  | 2013       | 2012         | 2011       |
|--|------------|--------------|------------|
| Revenues:  |            |              |            |
| Rental and related revenue                                   | \$875,194  | \$771,625    | \$686,242  |
| General contractor and service fee revenue                   | 206,596    | 275,071      | 521,796    |
|  | 1,081,790  | 1,046,696    | 1,208,038  |
| Expenses:  |            |              |            |
| Rental expenses  | 159,008    | 137,797      | 129,717    |
| Real estate taxes  | 117,747    | 106,128      | 95,666     |
| General contractor and other services expenses               | 183,833    | 254,870      | 480,480    |
| Depreciation and amortization                                | 393,450    | 349,015      | 305,070    |
|  | 854,038    | 847,810      | 1,010,933  |
| Other operating activities:                                  |            |              |            |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated companies               | 54,116     | 4,674        | 4,565      |
| Gain on sale of properties                                   | 59,179     | 344          | 68,549     |
| Gain on land sales   | 9,547      | —            | —          |
| Undeveloped land carrying costs                              | (8,614 )   | (8,829 )     | (8,934 )   |
| Impairment charges   | (3,777 )   | —            | (12,931 )  |
| Other operating income (expenses)                            | 470        | (633 )       | (1,237 )   |
| General and administrative expenses                          | (42,673 )  | (46,424 )    | (43,107 )  |
|  | 68,248     | (50,868 )    | 6,905      |
| Operating income   | 296,000    | 148,018      | 204,010    |
| Other income (expenses):                                     |            |              |            |
| Interest and other income, net                               | 1,887      | 514          | 658        |
| Interest expense   | (228,895 ) | (229,992 )   | (206,770 ) |
| Loss on debt extinguishment                                  | (9,433 )   | —            | —          |
| Acquisition-related activity                                 | (3,093 )   | (4,192 )     | (1,188 )   |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 56,466     | (85,652 )    | (3,290 )   |
| Income tax benefit   | 5,080      | 103          | 194        |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations                     | 61,546     | (85,549 )    | (3,096 )   |
| Discontinued operations:                                     |            |              |            |
| Income (loss) before gain on sales                           | 1,761      | (3,786 )     | (1,477 )   |
| Gain on sale of depreciable properties                       | 133,242    | 13,467       | 100,882    |
| Income from discontinued operations                          | 135,003    | 9,681        | 99,405     |
| Net income (loss)  | 196,549    | (75,868 )    | 96,309     |
| Distributions on Preferred Units                             | (31,616 )  | (46,438 )    | (60,353 )  |
| Adjustments for redemption/repurchase of Preferred Units     | (5,932 )   | (5,730 )     | (3,796 )   |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests   | (3,863 )   | (382 )       | 115        |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unitholders         | \$155,138  | \$(128,418 ) | \$32,275   |
| Basic net income (loss) per Common Unit:                     |            |              |            |
| Continuing operations attributable to common unitholders     | \$0.06     | \$(0.52 )    | \$(0.27 )  |
| Discontinued operations attributable to common unitholders   | 0.41       | 0.04         | 0.38       |
| Total  | \$0.47     | \$(0.48 )    | \$0.11     |
| Diluted net income (loss) per Common Unit:                   |            |              |            |
| Continuing operations attributable to common unitholders     | \$0.06     | \$(0.52 )    | \$(0.27 )  |
| Discontinued operations attributable to common unitholders   | 0.41       | 0.04         | 0.38       |
| Total  | \$0.47     | \$(0.48 )    | \$0.11     |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |            |             |           |
|---|------------|-------------|-----------|
| Weighted average number of Common Units outstanding                       | 326,525    | 272,729     | 259,598   |
| Weighted average number of Common Units and potential dilutive securities | 326,712    | 272,729     | 259,598   |
| Comprehensive income (loss):  |            |             |           |
| Net income (loss)   | \$ 196,549 | \$(75,868 ) | \$ 96,309 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss):  |            |             |           |
| Amortization of interest contracts  | 451        | 1,829       | 1,829     |
| Other   | 977        | (125 )      | 590       |
| Total other comprehensive income  | 1,428      | 1,704       | 2,419     |
| Comprehensive income (loss)   | \$ 197,977 | \$(74,164 ) | \$ 98,728 |
| See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.              |            |             |           |

-67-

---

## DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Years Ended December 31,

(in thousands)

|  | 2013       | 2012        | 2011       |
|--|------------|-------------|------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities:  |            |             |            |
| Net income (loss)  | \$ 196,549 | \$(75,868 ) | \$ 96,309  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:                   |            |             |            |
| Depreciation of buildings and tenant improvements  | 288,583    | 262,825     | 267,222    |
| Amortization of deferred leasing and other costs   | 120,467    | 116,594     | 118,457    |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs   | 12,968     | 13,321      | 14,530     |
| Straight-line rent adjustment  | (14,633 )  | (19,546 )   | (23,877 )  |
| Impairment charges   | 3,777      | —           | 12,931     |
| Loss on debt extinguishment  | 9,433      | —           | —          |
| Gain on acquisitions   | (962 )     | —           | (1,057 )   |
| Gains on land and depreciated property sales   | (201,968 ) | (13,811 )   | (169,431 ) |
| Third-party construction contracts, net  | 31,920     | (10,837 )   | (17,352 )  |
| Other accrued revenues and expenses, net   | 21,783     | 13,399      | 24,036     |
| Operating distributions received in excess of (less than) equity in earnings from unconsolidated companies | (32,164 )  | 13,179      | 15,804     |
| Net cash provided by operating activities  | 435,753    | 299,256     | 337,572    |
| Cash flows from investing activities:  |            |             |            |
| Development of real estate investments   | (427,355 ) | (264,755 )  | (162,070 ) |
| Acquisition of real estate investments and related intangible assets                                       | (445,514 ) | (665,527 )  | (544,816 ) |
| Acquisition of undeveloped land  | (76,655 )  | (64,944 )   | (14,090 )  |
| Second generation tenant improvements, leasing costs and building improvements                             | (91,798 )  | (63,884 )   | (99,264 )  |
| Other deferred leasing costs   | (35,376 )  | (27,772 )   | (26,311 )  |
| Other assets   | (30,161 )  | 4,504       | 747        |
| Proceeds from land and depreciated property sales, net   | 740,039    | 138,118     | 1,572,093  |
| Capital distributions from unconsolidated companies  | 109,158    | 5,157       | 59,252     |
| Capital contributions and advances to unconsolidated companies   | (61,720 )  | (28,513 )   | (34,606 )  |
| Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities   | (319,382 ) | (967,616 )  | 750,935    |
| Cash flows from financing activities:  |            |             |            |
| Contributions from the General Partner   | 649,690    | 315,295     | —          |
| Payments for redemption/repurchase of Preferred Units  | (177,955 ) | (168,272 )  | (110,726 ) |
| Proceeds from unsecured debt   | 750,000    | 600,000     | —          |
| Payments on unsecured debt   | (685,022 ) | (222,846 )  | (334,432 ) |
| Proceeds from secured debt financings  | 1,933      | 13,336      | —          |
| Payments on secured indebtedness including principal amortization  | (169,188 ) | (117,287 )  | (29,025 )  |
| Borrowings (payments) on lines of credit, net  | (197,000 ) | 264,707     | (172,753 ) |
| Distributions to common unitholders  | (223,362 ) | (185,299 )  | (176,593 ) |
| Distributions to preferred unitholders   | (31,616 )  | (46,438 )   | (60,353 )  |
| Contributions from (distributions to) noncontrolling interests, net  | (5,956 )   | 5,470       | (566 )     |
| Buyout of noncontrolling interests   | —          | (6,208 )    | —          |
| Change in book overdrafts  | (32,823 )  | 45,272      | —          |
| Deferred financing costs   | (9,686 )   | (9,307 )    | (8,652 )   |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities   | (130,985 ) | 488,423     | (893,100 ) |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |           |            |           |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents                            | (14,614 ) | (179,937 ) | 195,407   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year                                  | 33,889    | 213,826    | 18,419    |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year  | \$19,275  | \$33,889   | \$213,826 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities:                                    |           |            |           |
| Assumption of indebtedness and other liabilities for real estate acquisitions   | \$107,992 | \$112,754  | \$177,082 |
| Carrying amount of pre-existing ownership interest in acquired properties       | \$3,968   | —          | \$5,987   |
| Contribution of properties to, net of debt assumed by, unconsolidated companies | \$2,426   | \$—        | \$53,293  |
| Assumption of indebtedness by buyer in real estate dispositions                 | \$—       | \$—        | \$24,914  |
| Conversion of Limited Partner Units to common shares of the General Partner     | \$331     | \$29,213   | \$3,130   |
| Issuance of Limited Partner Units for acquisition                               | \$—       | \$—        | \$28,357  |
| See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.                    |           |            |           |

-68-

---

## DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

(in thousands, except per unit data)

|  | Common Unitholders                  |                     | Limited<br>Partners'<br>Common<br>Equity | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Income<br>(Loss) | Total<br>Partners'<br>Equity | Noncontrolling<br>Interests | Total<br>Equity |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
|  | General Partner<br>Common<br>Equity | Preferred<br>Equity |  |   |                              |                             |                 |
|  | Balance at December 31,<br>2010     | \$2,046,617         |  |   |                              |                             |                 |
| Net income (loss)  | 35,212                              | 60,353              | 859                                      | —   | 96,424                       | (115)                       | 96,309          |
| Other comprehensive<br>income  | —                                   | —                   | —  | 2,419   | 2,419                        | —                           | 2,419           |
| Issuance of Limited Partner<br>Units for acquisition                                 | —                                   | —                   | 28,357                                   | —   | 28,357                       | —                           | 28,357          |
| Stock-based compensation<br>plan activity  | 10,890                              | —                   | —  | —   | 10,890                       | —                           | 10,890          |
| Conversion of Limited<br>Partner Units to common<br>shares of the General<br>Partner | 3,130                               | —                   | (3,130)                                  | —   | —                            | —                           | —               |
| Distributions to Preferred<br>Unitholders  | —                                   | (60,353)            | —  | —   | (60,353)                     | —                           | (60,353)        |
| Redemption/repurchase of<br>Preferred Units  | (96)                                | (110,630)           | —  | —   | (110,726)                    | —                           | (110,726)       |
| Distributions to Partners<br>(\$0.68 per Common Unit)                                | (171,867)                           | —                   | (4,726)                                  | —   | (176,593)                    | —                           | (176,593)       |
| Distributions to<br>noncontrolling interests   | —                                   | —                   | —  | —   | —                            | (566)                       | (566)           |
| Balance at December 31,<br>2011  | \$1,923,886                         | \$793,910           | \$56,254                                 | \$987   | \$2,775,037                  | \$4,545                     | \$2,779,582     |
| Net loss   | (120,415)                           | 46,438              | (2,273)                                  | —   | (76,250)                     | 382                         | (75,868)        |
| Other comprehensive<br>income  | —                                   | —                   | —  | 1,704   | 1,704                        | —                           | 1,704           |
| Capital Contribution from<br>the General Partner                                     | 314,823                             | —                   | —  | —   | 314,823                      | —                           | 314,823         |
| Stock-based compensation<br>plan activity  | 6,457                               | —                   | —  | —   | 6,457                        | —                           | 6,457           |
| Conversion of Limited<br>Partner Units to common<br>shares of the General<br>Partner | 29,213                              | —                   | (29,213)                                 | —   | —                            | —                           | —               |
| Distributions to Preferred<br>Unitholders  | —                                   | (46,438)            | —  | —   | (46,438)                     | —                           | (46,438)        |
| Redemption of Preferred<br>Units   | —                                   | (168,272)           | —  | —   | (168,272)                    | —                           | (168,272)       |
| Distributions to Partners<br>(\$0.68 per Common Unit)                                | (182,008)                           | —                   | (3,291)                                  | —   | (185,299)                    | —                           | (185,299)       |
|  | —                                   | —                   | —  | —   | —                            | 5,470                       | 5,470           |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |             |            |          |         |             |          |             |
|---|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests, net                            |             |            |          |         |             |          |             |
| Buyout of noncontrolling interests  | (4,865 )    | —          | (94 )    | —       | (4,959 )    | (1,249 ) | (6,208 )    |
| Balance at December 31, 2012  | \$1,967,091 | \$625,638  | \$21,383 | \$2,691 | \$2,616,803 | \$9,148  | \$2,625,951 |
| Net income  | 158,976     | 31,616     | 2,094    | —       | 192,686     | 3,863    | 196,549     |
| Other comprehensive income  | —           | —          | —        | 1,428   | 1,428       | —        | 1,428       |
| Capital Contribution from the General Partner                               | 649,690     | —          | —        | —       | 649,690     | —        | 649,690     |
| Stock-based compensation plan activity                                      | 9,656       | —          | —        | —       | 9,656       | —        | 9,656       |
| Conversion of Limited Partner Units to common shares of the General Partner | 331         | —          | (331 )   | —       | —           | —        | —           |
| Distributions to Preferred Unitholders                                      | —           | (31,616 )  | —        | —       | (31,616 )   | —        | (31,616 )   |
| Redemption of Preferred Units   | —           | (177,955 ) | —        | —       | (177,955 )  | —        | (177,955 )  |
| Distributions to Partners (\$0.68 per Common Unit)                          | (220,374 )  | —          | (2,988 ) | —       | (223,362 )  | —        | (223,362 )  |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests, net                              | —           | —          | —        | —       | —           | (5,956 ) | (5,956 )    |
| Balance at December 31, 2013  | \$2,565,370 | \$447,683  | \$20,158 | \$4,119 | \$3,037,330 | \$7,055  | \$3,044,385 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) The Company

Duke Realty Corporation (the "General Partner") was formed in 1985, and we believe that it qualifies as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). Duke Realty Limited Partnership (the "Partnership") was formed on October 4, 1993, when the General Partner contributed all of its properties and related assets and liabilities, together with the net proceeds from an offering of additional shares of its common stock, to the Partnership. Unless otherwise indicated, the notes to the consolidated financial statements apply to both the General Partner and the Partnership. The terms "Company," "we," "us" and "our" refer to the General Partner and the Partnership, collectively, and those entities owned or controlled by the General Partner and/or the Partnership.

The General Partner is the sole general partner of the Partnership, owning approximately 98.7% of the common partnership interests of the Partnership ("General Partner Units") at December 31, 2013. The remaining 1.3% of the common partnership interests ("Limited Partner Units" and, together with the General Partner Units, the "Common Units") are owned by limited partners. As the sole general partner of the Partnership, the General Partner has full, exclusive and complete responsibility and discretion in the day-to-day management and control of the Partnership. The General Partner and the Partnership are operated as one enterprise. The management of the General Partner consists of the same members as the management of the Partnership. As the sole general partner with control of the Partnership, the General Partner consolidates the Partnership for financial reporting purposes, and the General Partner does not have any significant assets other than its investment in the Partnership. Therefore, the assets and liabilities of the General Partner and the Partnership are substantially the same.

Limited Partners have the right to redeem their Limited Partner Units, subject to certain restrictions. Pursuant to the Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, as amended (the "Partnership Agreement"), the General Partner is obligated to redeem the Limited Partner Units in shares of its common stock, unless it determines in its reasonable discretion that the issuance of shares of its common stock could cause it to fail to qualify as a REIT. Each Limited Partner Unit shall be redeemed for one share of the General Partner's common stock, or, in the event that the issuance of shares could cause the General Partner to fail to qualify as a REIT, cash equal to the fair market value of one share of the General Partner's common stock at the time of redemption, in each case, subject to certain adjustments described in the Partnership Agreement. The Limited Partner Units are not required, per the terms of the Partnership Agreement, to be redeemed in registered shares of the General Partner. The General Partner also owns preferred partnership interests in the Partnership ("Preferred Units").

We own and operate a portfolio primarily consisting of industrial and office properties and provide real estate services to third-party owners. Substantially all of our Rental Operations (see Note 8) are conducted through the Partnership. We conduct our Service Operations (see Note 8) through Duke Realty Services, LLC, Duke Realty Services Limited Partnership and Duke Construction Limited Partnership ("DCLP"), which are consolidated entities that are 100% owned by a combination of the General Partner and the Partnership. DCLP is owned through a taxable REIT subsidiary.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include our accounts and the accounts of our majority-owned or controlled subsidiaries. The equity interests in these controlled subsidiaries not owned by us are reflected as noncontrolling interests in the consolidated financial statements. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated financial statements. Investments in entities that we do not control, and variable interest entities ("VIEs") in which we are not the primary beneficiary, are not consolidated and are reflected as investments in unconsolidated companies under the equity method of reporting.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for 2012 and 2011 have been reclassified to conform to the 2013 consolidated financial statement presentation.





DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Real Estate Investments

Rental real property, including land, land improvements, buildings and tenant improvements, are included in real estate investments and are generally stated at cost. Construction in process and undeveloped land are included in real estate investments and are stated at cost. Real estate investments also include our equity interests in unconsolidated joint ventures that own and operate rental properties and hold land for development.

#### Depreciation

Buildings and land improvements are depreciated on the straight-line method over their estimated lives not to exceed 40 and 15 years, respectively, for properties that we develop, and not to exceed 30 and 10 years, respectively, for acquired properties. Tenant improvement costs are depreciated using the straight-line method over the shorter of the useful life of the asset or term of the related lease.

#### Cost Capitalization

Direct and certain indirect costs clearly associated with the development, construction, leasing or expansion of real estate investments are capitalized as a cost of the property. In addition, all leasing commissions paid to third parties for new leases or lease renewals are capitalized. We capitalize a portion of our indirect costs associated with our construction, development and leasing efforts. In assessing the amount of direct and indirect costs to be capitalized, allocations are made based on estimates of the actual amount of time spent in each activity. We do not capitalize any costs attributable to downtime or to unsuccessful projects.

We capitalize direct and indirect project costs associated with the initial construction of a property up to the time the property is substantially complete and ready for its intended use. In addition, we capitalize costs, including real estate taxes, insurance, and utilities, that have been allocated to vacant space based on the square footage of the portion of the building not held available for immediate occupancy during the extended lease-up periods after construction of the building shell has been completed if costs are being incurred to ready the vacant space for its intended use. If costs and activities incurred to ready the vacant space cease, then cost capitalization is also discontinued until such activities are resumed. Once necessary work has been completed on a vacant space, project costs are no longer capitalized. We cease capitalization of all project costs on extended lease-up periods when significant activities have ceased, which does not exceed the shorter of a one-year period after the completion of the building shell or when the property attains 90% occupancy.

#### Impairment

We evaluate our real estate assets, with the exception of those that are classified as held-for-sale, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. If such an evaluation is considered necessary, we compare the carrying amount of that real estate asset, or asset group, with the expected undiscounted cash flows that are directly associated with, and that are expected to arise as a direct result of, the use and eventual disposition of that asset, or asset group. Our estimate of the expected future cash flows used in testing for impairment is based on, among other things, our estimates regarding future market conditions, rental rates, occupancy levels, costs of tenant improvements, leasing commissions and other tenant concessions, assumptions regarding the residual value of our properties at the end of our anticipated holding period and the length of our anticipated holding period and is, therefore, subjective by nature. These assumptions could differ materially from actual results. If our strategy changes or if market conditions otherwise dictate a reduction in the holding period and an earlier sale date, an impairment loss could be recognized and such loss could be material. To the extent the carrying amount of a real estate asset, or asset group, exceeds the associated estimate of undiscounted cash flows, an impairment loss is recorded to reduce the carrying value of the asset to its fair value.

The determination of the fair value of real estate assets is also highly subjective, especially in markets where there is a lack of recent comparable transactions. We primarily utilize the income approach to estimate the fair value of our income producing real estate assets. We utilize marketplace participant assumptions to estimate the fair value of a real estate asset when an impairment charge is required to be measured. The estimation of future cash flows, as



DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

well as the selection of the discount rate and exit capitalization rate used in applying the income approach, are highly subjective measures in estimating fair value.

Real estate assets classified as held-for-sale are reported at the lower of their carrying value or their fair value, less estimated costs to sell. Once a property is designated as held-for-sale, no further depreciation expense is recorded.

#### Purchase Accounting

We expense acquisition related costs immediately as period costs. We record assets acquired in step acquisitions at their full fair value and record a gain or loss, within acquisition-related activity in our consolidated Statements of Operations, for the difference between the fair value and the carrying value of our existing equity interest.

Additionally, contingencies arising from a business combination are recorded at fair value if the acquisition date fair value can be determined during the measurement period.

We allocate the purchase price of acquired properties to tangible and identified intangible assets based on their respective fair values, using all pertinent information available at the date of acquisition. The allocation to tangible assets (buildings, tenant improvements and land) is based upon management's determination of the value of the property as if it were vacant. This "as-if vacant" value is estimated using an income, or discounted cash flow, approach that relies upon internally determined assumptions that we believe are consistent with current market conditions for similar properties. The most important assumptions in determining the allocation of the purchase price to tangible assets are the exit capitalization rate, discount rate, estimated market rents, and hypothetical expected lease-up periods. The purchase price of real estate assets is also allocated to intangible assets consisting of the above or below market component of in-place leases, the value of in-place leases as well as, to the extent applicable, acquired in-place leases that may have a customer relationship intangible value. There have been no customer relationship intangible assets related to any of our acquisitions to date.

The value allocable to the above or below market component of an acquired in-place lease is determined based upon the present value (using a discount rate which reflects the risks associated with the acquired leases) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be received pursuant to the lease over its remaining term and (ii) management's estimate of the amounts that would be received using fair market rates over the remaining term of the lease. The amounts allocated to above market leases are included in deferred leasing and other costs in the balance sheet and below market leases are included in other liabilities in the balance sheet; both are amortized to rental income over the remaining terms of the respective leases.

Factors considered in determining the value allocable to in-place leases include estimates, during hypothetical expected lease-up periods, of space that is actually leased at the time of acquisition, of lost rent at market rates, fixed operating costs that will be recovered from tenants, and theoretical leasing commissions required to execute similar leases. These intangible assets are included in deferred leasing and other costs in the balance sheet and are depreciated over the remaining term of the existing lease.

#### Joint Ventures

We have equity interests in unconsolidated joint ventures that primarily own and operate rental properties or hold land for development. We consolidate those joint ventures that are considered to be VIEs where we are the primary beneficiary. We analyze our investments in joint ventures to determine if the joint venture is considered a VIE and would require consolidation. We (i) evaluate the sufficiency of the total equity investment at risk, (ii) review the voting rights and decision-making authority of the equity investment holders as a group and whether there are any guaranteed returns, protection against losses, or capping of residual returns within the group and (iii) establish whether activities within the venture are on behalf of an investor with disproportionately few voting rights in making this VIE determination.

To the extent that we (i) are the sole entity that has the power to direct the activities of the VIE and (ii) have the obligation or rights to absorb the VIE's losses or receive its benefits, then we would be determined to be the primary beneficiary of the VIE and would consolidate it. At each reporting period, we re-assess our conclusions as to which, if any, party within the VIE is considered the primary beneficiary.



DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

At December 31, 2012, we had three unconsolidated joint ventures that met the criteria to be considered VIEs. In December 2013, one of those joint ventures sold assets and repaid all of its third-party debt, thus removing the subordinated financial support in the form of the guarantee of the joint venture's debt, which we had previously provided. As the result of these events, we re-evaluated the sufficiency of the joint venture's equity at risk and determined that it no longer met the criteria to be considered a VIE. As such, we evaluated the joint venture for consolidation under the voting interest model and determined that the equity method of accounting was still appropriate due to the fact that both we and our partner had substantive participating rights over the joint venture's operations.

After the aforementioned reconsideration event, there were two unconsolidated joint ventures at December 31, 2013 that met the criteria to be considered VIEs. These two unconsolidated joint ventures were formed with the sole purpose of developing, constructing, leasing, marketing and selling or operating properties. The business activities of these unconsolidated joint ventures have been financed through a combination of equity contributions, partner/member loans, and third-party debt that is guaranteed by a combination of us and the other partner/member of each entity. All significant decisions for these unconsolidated joint ventures, including those decisions that most significantly impact each venture's economic performance, require unanimous approval of each joint venture's partners or members. In certain cases, these decisions also require lender approval. Unanimous approval requirements for these unconsolidated joint ventures include entering into new leases, setting annual operating budgets, selling underlying properties, and incurring additional indebtedness. Because no single entity exercises control over the decisions that most significantly affect each joint venture's economic performance, we determined there to be no individual primary beneficiary and that the equity method of accounting is appropriate.

The following table provides a summary of the carrying value in our consolidated balance sheet, as well as our maximum loss exposure under guarantees for the unconsolidated subsidiaries that we have determined to be VIEs at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (in millions):

|  | Carrying Value    |                   | Maximum Loss Exposure |                   |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
|  | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2013     | December 31, 2012 |
| Investment in unconsolidated companies | \$7.5             | \$54.7            | \$7.5                 | \$54.7            |
| Guarantee obligations (1)              | \$(18.4)          | \$(23.3)          | \$(112.8)             | \$(144.8)         |

We are party to guarantees of the third-party debt of these joint ventures, and our maximum loss exposure is equal to the maximum monetary obligation pursuant to the guarantee agreements. We have also recorded a liability for our probable future obligation under a guarantee to the lender of one of these ventures, which is included within the (1) carrying value of our guarantee obligations. Pursuant to an agreement with the lender, we may make member loans to this joint venture that will reduce our maximum guarantee obligation, which is \$13.4 million at December 31, 2013, on a dollar-for-dollar basis. The carrying value of our recorded guarantee obligations is included in other liabilities in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

To the extent that our joint ventures do not qualify as VIEs, they are consolidated if we control them through majority ownership interests or if we are the managing entity (general partner or managing member) and our partner does not have substantive participating rights. Control is further demonstrated by our ability to unilaterally make significant operating decisions, refinance debt and sell the assets of the joint venture without the consent of the non-managing entity and the inability of the non-managing entity to remove us from our role as the managing entity. Consolidated joint ventures that are not VIEs are not significant in any period presented in these consolidated financial statements. We use the equity method of accounting for those joint ventures where we exercise significant influence but do not have control. Under the equity method of accounting, our investment in each joint venture is included on our balance sheet; however, the assets and liabilities of the joint ventures for which we use the equity method are not included on our balance sheet.

To the extent that we contribute assets to a joint venture, our investment in the joint venture is recorded at our cost basis in the assets that were contributed to the joint venture. To the extent that our cost basis is different than the basis reflected at the joint venture level, the basis difference is amortized over the life of the related asset and included in our share of equity in net income of the joint venture. We recognize gains on the contribution or sale of

-73-

---

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

real estate to joint ventures, relating solely to the outside partner's interest, to the extent the economic substance of the transaction is a sale.

When circumstances indicate there may have been a reduction in the value of an equity investment, we evaluate whether the loss in value is other than temporary. If we conclude it is other than temporary we recognize an impairment charge to reflect the equity investment at fair value.

**Cash Equivalents**

Investments with an original maturity of three months or less are classified as cash equivalents.

**Valuation of Receivables**

We reserve the entire receivable balance, including straight-line rent, of any tenant with an amount outstanding over 90 days. Additional reserves are recorded for more current amounts, as applicable, where we have determined collectability to be doubtful. Straight-line rent receivables for any tenant with long-term risk, regardless of the status of current rent receivables, are reviewed and reserved as necessary.

**Deferred Costs**

Costs incurred in connection with obtaining financing are deferred and are amortized to interest expense over the term of the related loan. All direct and indirect costs, including estimated internal costs, associated with the leasing of real estate investments owned by us are capitalized and amortized over the term of the related lease. We include lease incentive costs, which are payments made on behalf of a tenant to sign a lease, in deferred leasing costs and amortize them on a straight-line basis over the respective lease terms as a reduction of rental revenues. We include as lease incentives amounts funded to construct tenant improvements owned by the tenant. Unamortized costs are charged to expense upon the early termination of the lease or upon early payment of the financing.

Deferred leasing and other costs at December 31, 2013 and 2012, excluding such costs for properties classified as held-for-sale, were as follows (in thousands):

|   |            |   |            |
|---|------------|---|------------|
|   | 2013       |   | 2012       |
| Deferred leasing costs  | \$477,374  |   | \$466,856  |
| Acquired lease-related intangible assets                            | 383,654    |   | 403,018    |
|   | \$861,028  |   | \$869,874  |
| Accumulated amortization - deferred leasing costs                   | \$(247,081 | ) | \$(236,335 |
| Accumulated amortization - acquired lease-related intangible assets | (146,968   | ) | (135,712   |
| Total   | \$466,979  |   | \$497,827  |

The expected future amortization, or charge to rental income, of acquired lease-related intangible assets is summarized in the table below (in thousands):

| Year       | Amortization<br>Expense | Charge to<br>Rental<br>Income |
|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2014       | \$54,623                | \$2,265                       |
| 2015       | 41,420                  | 1,741                         |
| 2016       | 32,610                  | 1,419                         |
| 2017       | 26,159                  | 1,176                         |
| 2018       | 19,557                  | 1,007                         |
| Thereafter | 51,893                  | 2,816                         |
|            | \$226,262               | \$10,424                      |

**Convertible Debt Accounting**

Our 3.75% Exchangeable Senior Notes ("Exchangeable Notes") were issued in November 2006 and had an exchange rate of 20.47 common shares per \$1,000 principal amount of the notes, representing an exchange price of \$48.85 per common share. We repaid the Exchangeable Notes at the first contractual redemption date in December





DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2011. We accounted for the debt and equity components of our Exchangeable Notes separately, with the value assigned to the debt component equal to the estimated fair value of debt with similar contractual cash flows, but without the conversion feature, resulting in the debt being recorded at a discount. The resulting debt discount was amortized over the period from its issuance through the date of repayment as additional non-cash interest expense. Interest expense was recognized on the Exchangeable Notes at an effective rate of 5.62%. The increase to interest expense (in thousands) on the Exchangeable Notes, which led to a corresponding decrease to net income, for the year ended December 31, 2011 is summarized as follows:

|   |         |
|---|---------|
|   | 2011    |
| Interest expense on Exchangeable Notes, excluding effect of accounting for convertible debt | \$5,769 |
| Effect of accounting for convertible debt   | 2,090   |
| Total interest expense on Exchangeable Notes  | \$7,859 |

## Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests relate to the minority ownership interests in the Partnership and interests in consolidated property partnerships that are not wholly-owned by the General Partner or the Partnership. Noncontrolling interests are subsequently adjusted for additional contributions, distributions to noncontrolling holders and the noncontrolling holders' proportionate share of the net earnings or losses of each respective entity. We report noncontrolling interests as a component of total equity.

When a Unit is redeemed (Note 1), the change in ownership is treated as an equity transaction by the General Partner and there is no effect on its earnings or net assets.

## Revenue Recognition

## Rental and Related Revenue

The timing of revenue recognition under an operating lease is determined based upon ownership of the tenant improvements. If we are the owner of the tenant improvements, revenue recognition commences after the improvements are completed and the tenant takes possession or control of the space. If we determine that the tenant allowances or improvements we are funding are lease incentives, then we commence revenue recognition when possession or control of the space is turned over to the tenant. Rental income from leases is recognized on a straight-line basis.

We record lease termination fees when a tenant has executed a definitive termination agreement with us and the payment of the termination fee is not subject to any material conditions that must be met or waived before the fee is due to us.

## General Contractor and Service Fee Revenue

Management fees are based on a percentage of rental receipts of properties managed and are recognized as the rental receipts are collected. Maintenance fees are based upon established hourly rates and are recognized as the services are performed. Construction management and development fees represent fee-based third-party contracts and are recognized as earned based on the percentage of completion method.

We recognize income on construction contracts where we serve as a general contractor on the percentage of completion method. Using this method, profits are recorded based on our estimates of the percentage of completion of individual contracts, commencing when the work performed under the contracts reaches a point where the final costs can be estimated with reasonable accuracy. The percentage of completion estimates are based on a comparison of the contract expenditures incurred to the estimated final costs. Changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined. To the extent that a fixed-price contract is estimated to result in a loss, the loss is recorded immediately.

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Unbilled and overbilled receivables on construction contracts totaled \$9.9 million and \$7.8 million, respectively, at December 31, 2013 and \$18.4 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, at December 31, 2012. Overbilled receivables are included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Property Sales

Gains on sales of all properties are recognized in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 360-20. The specific timing of the sale of a building is measured against various criteria in FASB ASC 360-20 related to the terms of the transactions and any continuing involvement in the form of management or financial assistance from the seller associated with the properties. We make judgments based on the specific terms of each transaction as to the amount of the total profit from the transaction that we recognize considering factors such as continuing ownership interest we may have with the buyer ("partial sales") and our level of future involvement with the property or the buyer that acquires the assets. If the full accrual sales criteria are not met, we defer gain recognition and account for the continued operations of the property by applying the finance, installment or cost recovery methods, as appropriate, until the full accrual sales criteria are met. Estimated future costs to be incurred after completion of each sale are included in the determination of the gain on sales.

To the extent that a property has had operations prior to sale, and that we do not have continuing involvement with the property, gains from sales of depreciated property are included in discontinued operations and the proceeds from the sale of these held-for-rental properties are classified in the investing activities section of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Rental properties that do not meet the criteria for presentation as discontinued operations are classified as gain on sale of properties in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share or Common Unit

Basic net income (loss) per common share or Common Unit is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders, less dividends or distributions on share-based awards expected to vest (referred to as "participating securities" and primarily composed of unvested restricted stock units), by the weighted average number of common shares or Common Units outstanding for the period.

Diluted net income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing the sum of basic net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders and the noncontrolling interest in earnings allocable to Limited Partner Units (to the extent the Limited Partner Units are dilutive) by the sum of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and, to the extent they are dilutive, Units outstanding and any potential dilutive securities for the period. Diluted net income (loss) per Common Unit is computed by dividing the basic net income (loss) attributable to common unitholders by the sum of the weighted average number of Common Units outstanding and any potential dilutive securities for the period.

The following table reconciles the components of basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share or Common Unit (in thousands):

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

|  | 2013       | 2012         | 2011     |
|--|------------|--------------|----------|
| <b>General Partner</b>   |            |              |          |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders                      | \$ 153,044 | \$(126,145 ) | \$31,416 |
| Less: Dividends on participating securities                                | (2,678 )   | (3,075 )     | (3,243 ) |
| Basic net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders                | 150,366    | (129,220 )   | 28,173   |
| Noncontrolling interest in earnings of common unitholders                  | 2,094      | —            | 859      |
| Diluted net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders              | \$ 152,460 | \$(129,220 ) | \$29,032 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding                       | 322,133    | 267,900      | 252,694  |
| Weighted average Limited Partner Units outstanding                         | 4,392      | —            | 6,904    |
| Other potential dilutive shares  | 187        | —            | —        |
| Weighted average number of common shares and potential dilutive securities | 326,712    | 267,900      | 259,598  |
| <b>Partnership</b>   |            |              |          |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unitholders                       | \$ 155,138 | \$(128,418 ) | \$32,275 |
| Less: Distributions on participating securities                            | (2,678 )   | (3,075 )     | (3,243 ) |
| Basic and diluted net loss attributable to common unitholders              | \$ 152,460 | \$(131,493 ) | \$29,032 |
| Weighted average number of Common Units outstanding                        | 326,525    | 272,729      | 259,598  |
| Other potential dilutive units   | 187        | —            | —        |
| Weighted average number of Common Units and potential dilutive securities  | 326,712    | 272,729      | 259,598  |

The Limited Partner Units are anti-dilutive to the General Partner for the year ended December 31, 2012, as a result of the net loss for this period. In addition, substantially all potential shares related to our stock-based compensation plans are anti-dilutive for all years presented and potential shares related to our Exchangeable Notes, which were repaid in December 2011, were anti-dilutive for the year ended December 31, 2011. The following table summarizes the data that is excluded from the computation of net income (loss) per common share or Common Unit as a result of being anti-dilutive (in thousands):

|   | 2013  | 2012       | 2011  |
|---|-------|------------|-------|
| <b>General Partner</b>  |       |            |       |
| Noncontrolling interest in loss of common unitholders   | \$—   | \$(2,273 ) | \$—   |
| Weighted average Limited Partner Units outstanding  | —     | 4,829      | —     |
| <b>General Partner and Partnership</b>  |       |            |       |
| <b>Other potential dilutive shares or units:</b>  |       |            |       |
| Anti-dilutive outstanding potential shares or units under fixed stock option and other stock-based compensation plans | 1,373 | 1,859      | 1,677 |
| Anti-dilutive potential shares under the Exchangeable Notes   | —     | —          | 3,140 |
| Outstanding participating securities  | 3,871 | 4,099      | 4,780 |
| <b>Other Comprehensive Income</b>   |       |            |       |

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-02, Other Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("ASU 2013-02"), which was effective for us beginning with the three months ended March 31, 2013. ASU 2013-02 requires presentation of significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Activity within other comprehensive income or loss includes the amortization to interest expense, over the lives of previously hedged loans, of the values of interest rate swaps that have been settled, as well as changes in the fair values of currently outstanding interest rate swaps that we have designated as cash flow hedges. Activity within other comprehensive income is not material for any individual type of activity, as well as for all activities in the aggregate, for all periods presented in this Report.

Federal Income Taxes

General Partner

The General Partner has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. To qualify as a REIT, the General Partner must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its shareholders. Management intends to continue to adhere to these requirements and to maintain the General Partner's REIT status. As a REIT,

-77-

---

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

the General Partner is entitled to a tax deduction for the dividends it pays to shareholders. Accordingly, the General Partner generally will not be subject to federal income taxes as long as it currently distributes to shareholders an amount equal to or in excess of its taxable income. The General Partner is also generally subject to federal income taxes on any taxable income that is not currently distributed to its shareholders. If the General Partner fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal income taxes and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years.

REIT qualification reduces, but does not eliminate, the amount of state and local taxes we pay. In addition, our financial statements include the operations of taxable corporate subsidiaries that are not entitled to a dividends paid deduction and are subject to federal, state and local income taxes. As a REIT, the General Partner may also be subject to certain federal excise taxes if it engages in certain types of transactions.

The following table reconciles the General Partner's net income (loss) to taxable income (loss) before the dividends paid deduction, and subject to the 90% distribution requirement, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

|   | 2013       | 2012       | 2011      |
|---|------------|------------|-----------|
| Net income (loss)   | \$ 196,549 | \$(75,868) | \$96,309  |
| Book/tax differences  | 49,383     | 148,462    | (12,885)  |
| Taxable income before the dividends paid deduction                  | 245,932    | 72,594     | 83,424    |
| Less: capital gains   | (108,938)  | —          | —         |
| Adjusted taxable income subject to the 90% distribution requirement | \$ 136,994 | \$ 72,594  | \$ 83,424 |

The General Partner's dividends paid deduction is summarized below (in thousands):

|  | 2013       | 2012       | 2011       |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Total Cash dividends paid  | \$ 251,914 | \$ 228,330 | \$ 232,203 |
| Less: Return of capital  | (2,507)    | (152,670)  | (144,208)  |
| Dividends paid deduction   | 249,407    | 75,660     | 87,995     |
| Less: Capital gain distributions   | (108,938)  | —          | —          |
| Dividends paid deduction attributable to adjusted taxable income subject to the 90% distribution requirement | \$ 140,469 | \$ 75,660  | \$ 87,995  |

A summary of the tax characterization of the dividends paid by the General Partner for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 follows:

|                   | 2013  | 2012    | 2011    |
|-------------------|-------|---------|---------|
| Common Shares     |       |         |         |
| Ordinary income   | 52.6  | % 14.1  | % 3.3   |
| Return of capital | 4.4   | % 85.9  | % 96.7  |
| Capital gains     | 43.0  | % —     | % —     |
|                   | 100.0 | % 100.0 | % 100.0 |
| Preferred Shares  |       |         |         |
| Ordinary income   | 55.0  | % 100.0 | % 100.0 |
| Capital gains     | 45.0  | % —     | % —     |
|                   | 100.0 | % 100.0 | % 100.0 |

Partnership

For the Partnership, the allocated share of income and loss other than the operations of its taxable REIT subsidiary is included in the income tax returns of its partners; accordingly the only federal income taxes included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Partnership are in connection with its taxable REIT subsidiary.



DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Deferred Tax Assets

A full valuation allowance for the deferred tax assets of the taxable REIT subsidiary was maintained for 2013, 2012 and 2011. Based on the level of historical taxable income and projections of taxable income under our current operating strategy, management believes that it is more likely than not that the taxable REIT subsidiary will not generate sufficient taxable income to realize any of its deferred tax assets. Income taxes are not material to our operating results or financial position. Our taxable REIT subsidiary has no significant net deferred income tax positions or unrecognized tax benefit items.

#### Cash Paid for Income Taxes

We paid state and local income taxes of \$830,000, \$580,000 and \$340,000 in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

#### Fair Value Measurements

We follow the framework established under accounting standard FASB ASC 820 for measuring fair value of non-financial assets and liabilities that are not required or permitted to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis but only in certain circumstances, such as a business combination.

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets are categorized based on the inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities to which we have access.

Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which are typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity.

In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements requires management to make a number of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. The most significant estimates, as discussed within our Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, pertain to the critical assumptions utilized in testing real estate assets for impairment, estimating the fair value of real estate assets when an impairment event has taken place and allocating the purchase price of acquired properties to tangible and intangible assets based on their respective fair values. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### (3) Significant Acquisitions and Dispositions

Acquisitions and dispositions for the periods presented were completed in accordance with our strategy to reposition our investment concentration among product types and further diversify our geographic presence. With the exception of certain properties that have been sold or classified as held for sale, the results of operations for all acquired properties have been included in continuing operations within our consolidated financial statements since their respective dates of acquisition.



DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 2013 Acquisitions

We acquired 17 operating properties during the year ended December 31, 2013. These acquisitions consisted of one industrial property in South Florida, one industrial property in Chicago, Illinois, three industrial properties in Central and Southern New Jersey, three industrial properties in Southern California, two industrial properties in Central California, one industrial property in Houston, Texas, one industrial property near Kansas City, Missouri, one industrial property near St. Louis, Missouri, two industrial properties in Northeast and Central Pennsylvania, one industrial property near Indianapolis, Indiana and one medical office property in Central Florida. The following table summarizes the fair value of amounts recognized for each major class of asset and liability (in thousands) for these acquisitions:

|                                   |           |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Real estate assets                | \$488,294 |
| Lease-related intangible assets   | 67,167    |
| Total acquired assets             | 555,461   |
| Secured debt                      | 103,638   |
| Below market lease liability      | 2,153     |
| Other liabilities                 | 2,201     |
| Total assumed liabilities         | 107,992   |
| Fair value of acquired net assets | \$447,469 |

The leases in the acquired properties had a weighted average remaining life at acquisition of approximately 7.9 years. We have included \$24.7 million in rental revenues, \$1.4 million in rental expenses and \$3.6 million in real estate taxes in continuing operations during 2013 for these properties since their respective dates of acquisition.

## 2012 Acquisitions

We acquired 37 operating properties during the year ended December 31, 2012. These acquisitions consisted of three industrial properties near Chicago, Illinois, two industrial properties in Columbus, Ohio, one industrial property in Southern California, two industrial properties in Northern California, one industrial property in Atlanta, Georgia, one industrial property in Houston, Texas and 27 medical office properties in various markets. The following table summarizes our allocation of the fair value of amounts recognized for each major class of asset and liability (in thousands) for these acquisitions:

|                                   |           |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Real estate assets                | \$668,149 |
| Lease-related intangible assets   | 111,509   |
| Other assets                      | 5,714     |
| Total acquired assets             | 785,372   |
| Secured debt                      | 100,826   |
| Other liabilities                 | 11,928    |
| Total assumed liabilities         | 112,754   |
| Fair value of acquired net assets | \$672,618 |

The leases in the acquired properties had a weighted average remaining life at acquisition of approximately 8.8 years.

We have included \$28.5 million in rental revenues, \$3.6 million in rental expenses and \$3.8 million in real estate taxes in continuing operations during 2012 for these properties since their respective dates of acquisition.



DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Fair Value Measurements

The fair value estimates used in allocating the aggregate purchase price of each acquisition among the individual components of real estate assets and liabilities were determined primarily through calculating the "as-if vacant" value of each building, using the income approach, and relied significantly upon internally determined assumptions. We have determined these estimates to have been primarily based upon Level 3 inputs, which are unobservable inputs based on our own assumptions. The range of most significant assumptions utilized in making the lease-up and future disposition estimates used in calculating the "as-if vacant" value of each building acquired during 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

|  | 2013    |         | 2012    |         |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|  | Low     | High    | Low     | High    |
| Discount rate                                    | 6.49%   | 9.67%   | 7.13%   | 10.78%  |
| Exit capitalization rate                         | 5.09%   | 7.67%   | 5.75%   | 8.88%   |
| Lease-up period (months)                         | 12      | 24      | 6       | 36      |
| Net rental rate per square foot - Industrial     | \$2.90  | \$8.28  | \$2.75  | \$7.62  |
| Net rental rate per square foot - Medical Office | \$18.00 | \$18.00 | \$13.20 | \$26.14 |

## Acquisition-Related Activity

The acquisition-related activity in our consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income consisted of transaction costs for completed acquisitions, which are expensed as incurred, as well as gains or losses related to acquisitions where we had a pre-existing non-controlling ownership interest. Acquisition-related activity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 includes transaction costs of \$4.1 million, \$4.2 million and \$2.3 million, respectively. In 2013 and 2011, we recognized gains of \$962,000 and \$1.1 million, respectively, related to acquisitions of properties from unconsolidated joint ventures.

## Dispositions

We disposed of income-producing real estate assets and undeveloped land and received net cash proceeds of \$740.0 million, \$138.1 million and \$1.57 billion in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Included in the building dispositions in 2013 is the sale of 18 medical office properties in various markets, which totaled 1.1 million square feet and were sold for \$285.9 million. The properties sold were in markets, or were associated with health systems, where we did not believe there to be significant future growth potential.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, 19 office properties and one industrial property were sold from certain of our unconsolidated joint ventures for which our capital distributions totaled \$92.3 million. Our share of gains from joint venture property sales, which are included in equity in earnings, related almost entirely to these sales and totaled \$51.2 million.

Included in the building dispositions in 2011 is the sale of substantially all of our wholly-owned suburban office real estate properties in Atlanta, Chicago, Columbus, Dallas, Minneapolis, Orlando and Tampa, consisting of 79 buildings that had an aggregate of 9.8 million square feet, to affiliates of Blackstone Real Estate Partners. The sales price was approximately \$1.06 billion which, after settlement of certain working capital items and the payment of applicable transaction costs, was received in a combination of approximately \$1.02 billion in cash and the assumption by the buyer of mortgage debt with a face value of approximately \$24.9 million.

Also included in the building dispositions in 2011 is the sale of 13 suburban office buildings, totaling over 2.0 million square feet, to an existing 20%-owned unconsolidated joint venture. These buildings were sold to the unconsolidated joint venture for an agreed value of \$342.8 million, of which our 80% share of proceeds totaled \$273.7 million.

All other dispositions were not individually material.

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## (4) Related Party Transactions

We provide property management, asset management, leasing, construction and other tenant-related services to unconsolidated companies in which we have equity interests. We recorded the corresponding fees based on contractual terms that approximate market rates for these types of services and have eliminated our ownership percentage of these fees in the consolidated financial statements. The following table summarizes the fees earned from these companies, prior to elimination, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively (in thousands):

|                                   | 2013    | 2012     | 2011     |
|-----------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|
| Management fees                   | \$9,010 | \$11,018 | \$10,090 |
| Leasing fees                      | 2,260   | 3,411    | 4,417    |
| Construction and development fees | 5,138   | 4,739    | 6,711    |

## (5) Investments in Unconsolidated Companies

As of December 31, 2013, we had equity interests in 19 unconsolidated joint ventures that primarily own and operate rental properties and hold land for development.

Combined summarized financial information for the unconsolidated companies at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, are as follows (in thousands):

|   | 2013        | 2012        | 2011      |
|---|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Rental revenue  | \$240,064   | \$291,534   | \$272,937 |
| Gain on sale of properties                                  | \$121,404   | \$6,792     | \$2,304   |
| Net income  | \$116,832   | \$3,125     | \$10,709  |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated companies              | \$54,116    | \$4,674     | \$4,565   |
| Land, buildings and tenant improvements, net                | \$1,656,231 | \$1,991,823 |           |
| Construction in progress                                    | 12,338      | 61,663      |           |
| Undeveloped land  | 126,556     | 175,143     |           |
| Other assets  | 206,414     | 289,173     |           |
|   | \$2,001,539 | \$2,517,802 |           |
| Indebtedness  | \$890,513   | \$1,314,502 |           |
| Other liabilities   | 93,291      | 70,519      |           |
|   | 983,804     | 1,385,021   |           |
| Owners' equity  | 1,017,735   | 1,132,781   |           |
|   | \$2,001,539 | \$2,517,802 |           |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated companies (1) | \$342,947   | \$372,256   |           |

(1) Differences between the net investment in our unconsolidated joint ventures and our underlying equity in the net assets of the ventures are primarily a result of previous impairments related to our investment in the unconsolidated joint ventures, basis differences associated with the sales of properties to joint ventures in which we retained an ownership interest and loans we have made to the joint ventures. These adjustments have resulted in an aggregate difference reducing our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures by \$4.2 million and \$15.2 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Differences between historical cost basis and the basis reflected at the joint venture level (other than loans and impairments) are typically depreciated over the life of the related asset.



DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The scheduled principal payments of long term debt for the unconsolidated joint ventures for each of the next five years and thereafter as of December 31, 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

| Year       | Future Repayments |
|------------|-------------------|
| 2014       | \$178,112         |
| 2015       | 133,749           |
| 2016       | 116,492           |
| 2017       | 338,054           |
| 2018       | 3,769             |
| Thereafter | 119,112           |
|            | \$889,288         |

(6) Discontinued Operations and Assets Held for Sale

The following table illustrates the number of sold or held-for-sale properties included in, or excluded from, discontinued operations:

|  | Held For Sale at<br>December 31,<br>2013 | Sold in 2013 | Sold in 2012 | Sold in 2011 | Total |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Office   | 0  | 12           | 10           | 93           | 115   |
| Industrial   | 9  | 6            | 17           | 7            | 39    |
| Medical Office                                       | 2  | 6            | 0            | 0            | 8     |
| Retail   | 0  | 1            | 1            | 1            | 3     |
| Total properties included in discontinued operations | 11                                       | 25           | 28           | 101          | 165   |
| Properties excluded from discontinued operations     | 1  | 13           | 0            | 18           | 32    |
| Total properties sold or classified as held-for-sale | 12                                       | 38           | 28           | 119          | 197   |

We allocate interest expense to discontinued operations and have included such interest expense in computing income from discontinued operations. Interest expense allocable to discontinued operations includes interest on any secured debt for properties included in discontinued operations and an allocable share of our consolidated unsecured interest expense for unencumbered properties. The allocation of unsecured interest expense to discontinued operations was based upon the gross book value of the unencumbered real estate assets included in discontinued operations as it related to the total gross book value of our unencumbered real estate assets.

The following table illustrates the operations of the buildings reflected in discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively (in thousands):

|  | 2013      | 2012      | 2011       |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Revenues                               | \$46,066  | \$71,028  | \$250,807  |
| Operating expenses                     | (17,777 ) | (26,139 ) | (110,634 ) |
| Depreciation and amortization          | (15,600 ) | (30,404 ) | (80,609 )  |
| Operating income                       | 12,689    | 14,485    | 59,564     |
| Interest expense                       | (10,928 ) | (18,271 ) | (61,041 )  |
| Income (loss) before gain on sales     | 1,761     | (3,786 )  | (1,477 )   |
| Gain on sale of depreciable properties | 133,242   | 13,467    | 100,882    |
| Income from discontinued operations    | \$135,003 | \$9,681   | \$99,405   |

Dividends or distributions on preferred shares or Preferred Units and adjustments for the redemption or repurchase of preferred shares or Preferred Units are allocated entirely to continuing operations for both the General Partner and the

Partnership.

Allocation of Noncontrolling Interests - General Partner

The following table illustrates the General Partner's share of the income (loss) attributable to common shareholders from continuing operations and discontinued operations, reduced by the allocation of income or loss between

-83-

---

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

continuing and discontinued operations to noncontrolling interests, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively (in thousands):

|  | 2013      | 2012        | 2011       |
|--|-----------|-------------|------------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders | \$23,126  | \$(135,655) | \$(65,345) |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders      | 129,918   | 9,510       | 96,761     |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders                        | \$153,044 | \$(126,145) | \$31,416   |

Allocation of Noncontrolling Interests - Partnership

Substantially all of the income from discontinued operations for all periods presented in the Partnership's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income is attributable to the common unitholders, with the exception of the 2013 sale of a property from a consolidated real estate joint venture.

Properties Held for Sale

At December 31, 2013, we classified eleven in-service properties as held-for-sale, which were included in discontinued operations. Additionally, we have classified one in-service property as held-for-sale, but have included the results of operations of this property in continuing operations because of continuing involvement through a management agreement. At December 31, 2012, we classified two in-service properties as held-for-sale. The following table illustrates aggregate balance sheet information of these held-for-sale properties (in thousands):

|                                 | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Real estate investment, net     | \$47,592          | \$24,994          |
| Other assets                    | 9,874             | 5,943             |
| Total assets held-for-sale      | \$57,466          | \$30,937          |
| Accrued expenses                | \$1,481           | \$94              |
| Other liabilities               | 594               | 713               |
| Total liabilities held-for-sale | \$2,075           | \$807             |

(7)Indebtedness

All debt is held directly or indirectly by the Partnership. The General Partner itself does not have any indebtedness, but does guarantee the unsecured debt of the Partnership.

Indebtedness at December 31, 2013 and 2012 consists of the following (in thousands):

|                            | Maturity Date | Weighted              |                       | Weighted      |             |
|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|
|                            |               | Average Interest Rate | Average Interest Rate | 2013          | 2012        |
| Fixed rate secured debt    | 2014 to 2027  | 6.23                  | % 6.19                | % \$1,081,035 | \$1,149,541 |
| Variable rate secured debt | 2014 to 2025  | 2.11                  | % 2.01                | % 19,089      | 18,412      |
| Unsecured debt             | 2015 to 2028  | 5.36                  | % 6.17                | % 3,066,252   | 2,993,217   |
| Unsecured line of credit   | 2015          | 1.42                  | % 1.47                | % 88,000      | 285,000     |
|                            |               |                       |                       | \$4,254,376   | \$4,446,170 |

Secured Debt

At December 31, 2013, our secured debt was collateralized by rental properties with a carrying value of \$1.9 billion and by letters of credit in the amount of \$4.4 million.

The fair value of our fixed rate secured debt at December 31, 2013 was \$1.1 billion. Because our fixed rate secured debt is not actively traded in any marketplace, we utilized a discounted cash flow methodology to determine its fair value. Accordingly, we calculated fair value by applying an estimate of the current market rate to discount the debt's



remaining contractual cash flows. Our estimate of a current market rate, which is the most significant input in the

-84-

---

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

discounted cash flow calculation, is intended to replicate debt of similar maturity and loan-to-value relationship. The estimated rates ranged from 3.90% to 5.30%, depending on the attributes of the specific loans. The current market rates we utilized were internally estimated; therefore, we have concluded that our determination of fair value for our fixed rate secured debt was primarily based upon Level 3 inputs.

We assumed three secured loans in conjunction with our acquisition activity in 2013. These assumed loans had a total face value of \$99.3 million and a fair value of \$103.6 million. These assumed loans had a weighted average remaining term at acquisition of 1.8 years and carry a weighted average stated interest rate of 5.59%. We used an estimated market interest rate of 3.00% in determining the fair value of these loans. Between the date of acquisition and the end of the most recent reporting period, interest rates increased, resulting in our estimated market interest rate for these loans increasing to 3.90%.

We assumed nine secured loans in conjunction with our acquisition activity in 2012. These assumed loans had a total face value of \$96.1 million and fair value of \$100.8 million. These assumed loans carry a weighted average stated interest rate of 5.56% and had a weighted average remaining term at acquisition of 2.4 years. We used an estimated market rate of 3.50% in determining the fair value of these loans.

In 2012, a newly formed subsidiary, consolidated by both the General Partner and the Partnership, borrowed \$13.3 million on a secured note bearing interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 2.50% (equal to 2.67% for outstanding borrowings as of December 31, 2013) and maturing June 29, 2017.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we repaid twelve secured loans, at their maturity dates, totaling \$153.8 million. These loans had a weighted average stated interest rate of 5.52%.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we repaid five secured loans at their maturity dates totaling \$102.1 million. These loans had a weighted average stated interest rate of 6.08%.

#### Unsecured Debt

At December 31, 2013, with the exception of the \$250.0 million variable rate term note described below, all of our unsecured debt bore interest at fixed rates and primarily consisted of unsecured notes that are publicly traded. We utilized broker estimates in estimating the fair value of our fixed rate unsecured debt. Our unsecured notes are thinly traded and, in certain cases, the broker estimates were not based upon comparable transactions. The broker estimates took into account any recent trades within the same series of our fixed rate unsecured debt, comparisons to recent trades of other series of our fixed rate unsecured debt, trades of fixed rate unsecured debt from companies with profiles similar to ours, as well as overall economic conditions. We reviewed these broker estimates for reasonableness and accuracy, considering whether the estimates were based upon market participant assumptions within the principal and most advantageous market and whether any other observable inputs would be more accurate indicators of fair value than the broker estimates. We concluded that the broker estimates were representative of fair value. We have determined that our estimation of the fair value of our fixed rate unsecured debt was primarily based upon Level 3 inputs, as defined. The estimated trading values of our fixed rate unsecured debt, depending on the maturity and coupon rates, ranged from 92.00% to 124.00% of face value.

We utilize a discounted cash flow methodology in order to estimate the fair value of our variable rate term loan. The net present value of the difference between future contractual interest payments and future interest payments based on our estimate of a current market rate represents the difference between the book value and the fair value. Our estimate of a current market rate was based on estimated market spreads and the quoted yields on federal government treasury securities with similar maturity dates.

We took the following actions during 2013 and 2012 as it pertains to our unsecured indebtedness:

In December 2013, we issued \$250.0 million of unsecured notes that bear interest at 3.875%, have an effective rate of 3.91%, and mature on February 15, 2021.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we repaid three unsecured notes totaling \$675.0 million. These notes had a weighted average effective rate of 6.37% and a weighted average stated rate of 5.57%. An unsecured note was repaid prior to its maturity date, and we incurred a loss on extinguishment of \$9.4



DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

million, which related to a make-whole payment to the bondholders as well as the write-off of unamortized deferred financing costs.

In May 2013, we issued and fully drew down on a term loan with an aggregate commitment of \$250.0 million that bears interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 1.35% (equal to 1.52% for outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2013) and matures May 14, 2018.

In March 2013, we issued \$250.0 million of unsecured notes that bear interest at 3.625%, have an effective rate of 3.72%, and mature on April 15, 2023.

In October 2012, we repaid \$50.0 million of medium term notes, which had an effective interest rate of 5.45%, at their scheduled maturity date.

In September 2012, we issued \$300.0 million of unsecured notes that bear interest at 3.875%, have an effective rate of 3.925%, and mature on October 15, 2022.

In August 2012, we repaid \$150.0 million of senior unsecured notes, which had an effective interest rate of 6.01%, at their scheduled maturity date.

In July 2012, one of our consolidated subsidiaries repaid \$21.0 million of variable rate unsecured debt, which bore interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 0.85%, at its scheduled maturity date.

In June 2012, we issued \$300.0 million of senior unsecured notes that bear interest at 4.375%, have an effective rate of 4.466% and mature on June 15, 2022.

The indentures (and related supplemental indentures) governing our outstanding series of notes also require us to comply with financial ratios and other covenants regarding our operations. We were in compliance with all such covenants at December 31, 2013.

Unsecured Line of Credit

Our unsecured line of credit at December 31, 2013 is described as follows (in thousands):

| Description                            | Borrowing Capacity | Maturity Date | Outstanding Balance at December 31, 2013 |
|--|--------------------|---------------|--|
| Unsecured Line of Credit – Partnership | \$850,000          | December 2015 | \$88,000                                 |

The Partnership's unsecured line of credit has an interest rate on borrowings of LIBOR plus 1.25% (equal to 1.42% for borrowings at December 31, 2013) and a maturity date of December 2015. Subject to certain conditions, the terms also include an option to increase the facility by up to an additional \$400.0 million, for a total of up to \$1.25 billion. This line of credit provides us with an option to obtain borrowings from financial institutions that participate in the line at rates that may be lower than the stated interest rate, subject to certain restrictions.

This line of credit contains financial covenants that require us to meet certain financial ratios and defined levels of performance, including those related to total fixed charge coverage, unsecured interest expense coverage and debt-to-asset value (with asset value being defined in the Partnership's unsecured line of credit agreement). At December 31, 2013, we were in compliance with all covenants under this line of credit.

To the extent that there are outstanding borrowings, we utilize a discounted cash flow methodology in order to estimate the fair value of our unsecured line of credit. The net present value of the difference between future contractual interest payments and future interest payments based on our estimate of a current market rate represents the difference between the book value and the fair value. Our estimate of a current market rate was based on estimated market spreads and the quoted yields on federal government treasury securities with similar maturity dates. The current market rate of 1.47% that we utilized was internally estimated; therefore, we have concluded that our determination of fair value for our unsecured line of credit was primarily based upon Level 3 inputs.

Through July 2012, a consolidated subsidiary had an unsecured line of credit that allowed for borrowings up to \$30.0 million and bore interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 0.85%. This unsecured line of credit was used to fund development activities within the consolidated subsidiary and the outstanding balance of \$20.3 million was repaid at its maturity in July 2012.



DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Changes in Fair Value

As all of our fair value debt disclosures relied primarily on Level 3 inputs, the following table summarizes the book value and changes in the fair value of our debt for the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

|                            | Book Value<br>at<br>December 31,<br>2012 | Book Value<br>at<br>December 31,<br>2013 | Fair Value at<br>December 31,<br>2012 | Issuances<br>and<br>Assumptions | Payoffs       | Adjustments<br>to Fair<br>Value | Fair Value at<br>December 31,<br>2013 |
|----------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Fixed rate secured debt    | \$ 1,149,541                             | \$ 1,081,035                             | \$ 1,251,477                          | \$ 103,638                      | \$(167,932)   | \$(41,466)                      | \$ 1,145,717                          |
| Variable rate secured debt | 18,412                                   | 19,089                                   | 18,386                                | 1,933                           | (1,256)       | 26                              | 19,089                                |
| Unsecured debt             | 2,993,217                                | 3,066,252                                | 3,336,386                             | 750,000                         | (676,965)     | (158,903)                       | 3,250,518                             |
| Unsecured line of credit   | 285,000                                  | 88,000                                   | 285,632                               | —                               | (197,000)     | (249)                           | 88,383                                |
| Total                      | \$ 4,446,170                             | \$ 4,254,376                             | \$ 4,891,881                          | \$ 855,571                      | \$(1,043,153) | \$(200,592)                     | \$ 4,503,707                          |

## Scheduled Maturities and Interest Paid

At December 31, 2013, the scheduled amortization and maturities of all indebtedness, excluding fair value and other accounting adjustments, for the next five years and thereafter were as follows (in thousands):

| Year       | Amount      |
|------------|-------------|
| 2014       | \$84,060    |
| 2015       | 546,004     |
| 2016       | 530,439     |
| 2017       | 568,268     |
| 2018       | 557,937     |
| Thereafter | 1,961,007   |
|            | \$4,247,715 |

The amount of interest paid in 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$254.2 million, \$246.1 million and \$261.2 million, respectively. The amount of interest capitalized in 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$16.8 million, \$9.4 million and \$4.3 million, respectively.

## (8) Segment Reporting

We have four reportable operating segments at December 31, 2013, the first three of which consist of the ownership and rental of (i) industrial, (ii) office and (iii) medical office real estate investments. The operations of our industrial, office and medical office properties, along with our retail properties, are collectively referred to as "Rental Operations." Our retail properties, as well as any other properties not included in our reportable segments, do not by themselves meet the quantitative thresholds for separate presentation as a reportable segment. The fourth reportable segment consists of various real estate services such as property management, asset management, maintenance, leasing, development, general contracting and construction management to third-party property owners and joint ventures, and is collectively referred to as "Service Operations." Our reportable segments offer different products or services and are managed separately because each segment requires different operating strategies and management expertise.

We assess and measure the overall operating results of the General Partner and the Partnership based upon Funds From Operations ("FFO"), as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"). FFO is an industry performance measure that management believes is a useful indicator of consolidated operating performance. FFO is used by industry analysts and investors as a supplemental operating performance measure of a REIT. NAREIT created FFO as a non-GAAP supplemental measure of REIT operating performance. FFO, as defined

by NAREIT, represents GAAP net income (loss), excluding extraordinary items as defined under GAAP, gains or losses from sales of previously depreciated real estate assets, impairment charges related to depreciable real estate assets, plus certain non-cash items such as real estate asset depreciation and amortization, and after similar adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. The most comparable GAAP measure is net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders. FFO attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders should not be considered as a substitute for net income (loss) attributable to common

-87-

---

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

shareholders or common unitholders or any other measures derived in accordance with GAAP and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies.

Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry analysts and investors have considered presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. Management believes that the use of FFO attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders, combined with net income (which remains the primary measure of performance), improves the understanding of operating results of REITs among the investing public and makes comparisons of REIT operating results more meaningful. Management believes that the use of FFO as a performance measure enables investors and analysts to readily identify the operating results of the long-term assets that form the core of a REIT's activity and assist them in comparing these operating results between periods or between different companies.

Other revenue consists of other operating revenues not identified with one of our operating segments. We do not allocate interest expense and certain other non-property specific revenues and expenses ("Non-Segment Items," as shown in the table below) to our individual operating segments in determining our performance measure. Thus, the operational performance measure presented here on a segment-level basis represents net earnings, excluding depreciation expense and the Non-Segment Items not allocated, and is not meant to present FFO as defined by NAREIT.

The following table shows (i) the revenues for each of the reportable segments and (ii) a reconciliation of FFO attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders to net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders or common unitholders for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):



DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

|  | 2013        | 2012        | 2011        |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Revenues   |             |             |             |
| Rental Operations:   |             |             |             |
| Industrial   | \$483,679   | \$431,277   | \$367,992   |
| Office   | 251,270     | 242,719     | 251,766     |
| Medical Office   | 127,475     | 82,962      | 47,309      |
| Non-reportable Rental Operations   | 7,206       | 7,246       | 7,631       |
| Service Operations   | 206,596     | 275,071     | 521,796     |
| Total segment revenues   | 1,076,226   | 1,039,275   | 1,196,494   |
| Other revenue  | 5,564       | 7,421       | 11,544      |
| Consolidated revenue from continuing operations  | 1,081,790   | 1,046,696   | 1,208,038   |
| Discontinued operations  | 46,066      | 71,028      | 250,807     |
| Consolidated revenue   | \$1,127,856 | \$1,117,724 | \$1,458,845 |
| Reconciliation of Funds From Operations  |             |             |             |
| Net earnings excluding depreciation and Non-Segment Items:   |             |             |             |
| Industrial   | \$360,769   | \$322,373   | \$271,493   |
| Office   | 146,712     | 141,116     | 148,736     |
| Medical Office   | 85,295      | 55,410      | 29,024      |
| Non-reportable Rental Operations   | 4,634       | 5,073       | 5,475       |
| Service Operations   | 22,763      | 20,201      | 41,316      |
|  | 620,173     | 544,173     | 496,044     |
| Non-Segment Items:   |             |             |             |
| Interest expense   | (228,895 )  | (229,992 )  | (206,770 )  |
| Impairment charges on non-depreciable properties   | (3,777 )    | —           | (12,931 )   |
| Interest and other income, net   | 1,887       | 514         | 658         |
| Other operating income (expenses)  | 470         | (633 )      | (1,237 )    |
| General and administrative expenses  | (42,673 )   | (46,424 )   | (43,107 )   |
| Gain on land sales   | 9,547       | —           | —           |
| Undeveloped land carrying costs  | (8,614 )    | (8,829 )    | (8,934 )    |
| Loss on debt extinguishment  | (9,433 )    | —           | —           |
| Acquisition-related activity   | (3,093 )    | (4,192 )    | (1,188 )    |
| Income tax benefit   | 5,080       | 103         | 194         |
| Other non-segment income   | 1,029       | 3,728       | 6,131       |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests - consolidated entities not wholly owned by the Partnership | (3,863 )    | (382 )      | 115         |
| Joint venture items  | 34,129      | 37,469      | 38,161      |
| Dividends on preferred shares/Preferred Units  | (31,616 )   | (46,438 )   | (60,353 )   |
| Adjustments for redemption/repurchase of preferred shares/Preferred Units  | (5,932 )    | (5,730 )    | (3,796 )    |
| Discontinued operations  | 17,361      | 26,618      | 79,132      |
| FFO attributable to common unitholders of the Partnership  | 351,780     | 269,985     | 282,119     |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests - common limited partnership interests in the Partnership   | (2,094 )    | 2,273       | (859 )      |
| Noncontrolling interest share of FFO adjustments   | (2,645 )    | (7,054 )    | (6,644 )    |
| FFO attributable to common shareholders of the General Partner   | 347,041     | 265,204     | 274,616     |
| Depreciation and amortization on continuing operations   | (393,450 )  | (349,015 )  | (305,070 )  |
| Depreciation and amortization on discontinued operations   | (15,600 )   | (30,404 )   | (80,609 )   |
| Company's share of joint venture adjustments   | (31,220 )   | (34,702 )   | (33,687 )   |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|  |            |              |           |
|--|------------|--------------|-----------|
| Earnings from depreciated property sales on continuing operations  | 59,179     | 344          | 68,549    |
| Earnings from depreciated property sales on discontinued operations  | 133,242    | 13,467       | 100,882   |
| Earnings from depreciated property sales - share of joint venture  | 51,207     | 1,907        | 91        |
| Noncontrolling interest share of FFO adjustments   | 2,645      | 7,054        | 6,644     |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders of the General Partner   | \$ 153,044 | \$(126,145 ) | \$ 31,416 |
| Add back: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests - common limited partnership interests in the Partnership | 2,094      | (2,273 )     | 859       |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unitholders of the Partnership  | \$ 155,138 | \$(128,418 ) | \$ 32,275 |

-89-

---

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The assets for each of the reportable segments at December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

|                                  | December 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets                           |                      |                      |
| Rental Operations:               |                      |                      |
| Industrial                       | \$4,414,740          | \$3,836,721          |
| Office                           | 1,524,501            | 1,683,314            |
| Medical Office                   | 1,170,420            | 1,202,929            |
| Non-reportable Rental Operations | 81,056               | 175,197              |
| Service Operations               | 145,222              | 162,219              |
| Total segment assets             | 7,335,939            | 7,060,380            |
| Non-segment assets               | 416,675              | 499,721              |
| Consolidated assets              | \$7,752,614          | \$7,560,101          |

Tenant improvements and leasing costs to re-let rental space that we previously leased to tenants are referred to as second generation expenditures. Building improvements that are not specific to any tenant but serve to improve integral components of our real estate properties are also second generation expenditures. In addition to revenues and FFO, we also review our second generation capital expenditures in measuring the performance of our individual Rental Operations segments. We review these expenditures to determine the costs associated with re-leasing vacant space and maintaining the condition of our properties. Our second generation capital expenditures by segment are summarized as follows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

|   | 2013     | 2012     | 2011     |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Second Generation Capital Expenditures    |          |          |          |
| Industrial                                | \$41,971 | \$33,095 | \$34,872 |
| Office                                    | 46,600   | 30,092   | 63,933   |
| Medical Office                            | 3,106    | 641      | 410      |
| Non-reportable Rental Operations segments | 121      | 56       | 49       |
| Total                                     | \$91,798 | \$63,884 | \$99,264 |

## (9)Leasing Activity

Future minimum rents due to us under non-cancelable operating leases at December 31, 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

| Year       | Amount      |
|------------|-------------|
| 2014       | \$703,876   |
| 2015       | 689,296     |
| 2016       | 621,198     |
| 2017       | 545,905     |
| 2018       | 462,986     |
| Thereafter | 1,885,474   |
|            | \$4,908,735 |

In addition to minimum rents, certain leases require reimbursements of specified operating expenses that amounted to \$196.3 million, \$174.2 million and \$190.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

## (10)Employee Benefit Plans

We maintain a 401(k) plan for our eligible employees. We make matching contributions up to an amount equal to three percent of the employee's salary and may also make annual discretionary contributions. In February 2013, we revised the Company's matching program, changing the matching contributions from 100% of the employee salary

deferral contributions up to two percent of eligible compensation to 50% of the employee salary deferral contributions up to six percent of eligible compensation. Also, a discretionary contribution was declared at the end of 2013, 2012 and 2011. The total expense recognized for this plan was \$2.9 million, \$2.2 million and \$2.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

-90-

---

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We make contributions to a contributory health and welfare plan as necessary to fund claims not covered by employee contributions. The total expense we recognized related to this plan was \$7.9 million, \$7.5 million and \$9.5 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These expense amounts include estimates based upon the historical experience of claims incurred but not reported as of year-end.

(11) Shareholders' Equity of the General Partner and Partners' Capital of the Partnership

General Partner

The General Partner periodically uses the public equity markets to fund the development and acquisition of additional rental properties or to pay down debt. The proceeds of these offerings are contributed to the Partnership in exchange for an additional interest in the Partnership.

In January 2013, the General Partner completed a public offering of 41.4 million common shares at an issue price of \$14.25 per share, resulting in gross proceeds of \$590.0 million and, after deducting underwriting fees and estimated offering costs, net proceeds of approximately \$571.9 million. A portion of the net proceeds from this offering were used to repay all of the outstanding borrowings under the Partnership's existing revolving credit facility, which had an outstanding balance of \$285.0 million at December 31, 2012, and the remaining proceeds were used to redeem all of the General Partner's outstanding 8.375% Series O Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares ("Series O Shares") and for general corporate purposes.

Throughout 2013, the General Partner issued 4.8 million shares of common stock pursuant to its at the market equity program, generating gross proceeds of approximately \$79.3 million and, after deducting commissions and other costs, net proceeds of approximately \$77.8 million. The proceeds from these offerings were used for general corporate purposes, which include the funding of development costs.

In February 2013, the General Partner redeemed all of the outstanding shares of its Series O Shares at their liquidation amount of \$178.0 million. Original offering costs of \$5.9 million were included as a reduction to net income attributable to common shareholders in conjunction with the redemption of these shares.

Throughout 2012, the General Partner issued 22.7 million shares of common stock pursuant to its at the market equity program, generating gross proceeds of approximately \$322.2 million and, after considering commissions and other costs, net proceeds of approximately \$315.3 million. The proceeds from these offerings were used for acquisitions, general corporate purposes and redemption of preferred shares and fixed rate secured debt.

In March 2012, the General Partner redeemed all of the outstanding shares of its 6.950% Series M Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares at a liquidation amount of \$168.3 million. Offering costs of \$5.7 million were included as an increase to net loss attributable to common shareholders in conjunction with the redemption of these shares.

In July 2011, the General Partner redeemed all of the outstanding shares of its 7.250% Series N Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares at a liquidation amount of \$108.6 million. Offering costs of \$3.6 million were included as a reduction to net income attributable to common shareholders in conjunction with the redemption of these shares.

In February 2011, the General Partner repurchased 80,000 shares of its Series O Shares. The Series O Shares that were repurchased had a total redemption value of \$2.0 million and were repurchased for \$2.1 million. An adjustment of approximately \$163,000, which included a ratable portion of original issuance costs, was included as a reduction to net income attributable to common shareholders.

The following series of preferred shares were outstanding as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands, except percentage data):

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| Description        | Shares<br>Outstanding | Dividend<br>Rate | Optional<br>Redemption<br>Date | Liquidation<br>Preference |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Series J Preferred | 396                   | 6.625            | % August 29, 2008              | \$99,058                  |
| Series K Preferred | 598                   | 6.500            | % February 13, 2009            | \$149,550                 |
| Series L Preferred | 796                   | 6.600            | % November 30, 2009            | \$199,075                 |

All series of preferred shares require cumulative distributions and have no stated maturity date (although the General Partner may redeem all such preferred shares on or following their optional redemption dates at its option, in whole or in part).

## Partnership

For each common share or preferred share that the General Partner issues, the Partnership issues a corresponding General Partner Unit or Preferred Unit, as applicable, to the General Partner in exchange for the contribution of the proceeds from the stock issuance. Similarly, when the General Partner redeems or repurchases common shares or preferred shares, the Partnership redeems the corresponding Common Units or Preferred Units held by the General Partner at the same price.

## (12) Stock Based Compensation

We are authorized to issue up to 9.7 million shares of the General Partner's common stock under our stock-based employee and non-employee compensation plans.

## Restricted Stock Units

Under our 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan and our 2005 Non-Employee Directors Compensation Plan (collectively, the "Compensation Plans") approved by the General Partner's shareholders in April 2005, RSUs may be granted to non-employee directors, executive officers and selected management employees. A RSU is economically equivalent to a share of the General Partner's common stock.

RSUs granted to employees generally vest 20% per year over five years, have contractual lives of five years and are payable in shares of our common stock with a new share of such common stock issued upon each RSU's vesting. RSUs granted to existing non-employee directors vest 100% over one year, and have contractual lives of one year. To the extent that a recipient of a RSU grant is not determined to be retirement eligible, as defined by the Compensation Plans, we recognize expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. Expense is recognized immediately at the date of grant to the extent a recipient is retirement eligible and expense is accelerated to the extent that a participant will become retirement eligible prior to the end of the contractual life of granted RSUs.

The following table summarizes transactions for our RSUs, excluding dividend equivalents, for 2013:

| Restricted Stock Units    | Number of<br>RSUs | Weighted<br>Average<br>Grant Date<br>Fair Value |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---|
| RSUs at December 31, 2012 | 2,680,765         | \$12.26   |
| Granted                   | 834,435           | \$16.32   |
| Vested                    | (974,476)         | ) \$12.00                                       |
| Forfeited                 | (196,043)         | ) \$13.47                                       |
| RSUs at December 31, 2013 | 2,344,681         | \$13.71   |

Compensation cost recognized for RSUs totaled \$13.3 million, \$11.5 million and \$11.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

As of December 31, 2013, there was \$12.7 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested RSUs granted under the Plan, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.9 years.



**(13) Financial Instruments**

We are exposed to capital market risk, such as changes in interest rates. In an effort to manage interest rate risk, we may enter into interest rate hedging arrangements from time to time. We do not utilize derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

The effectiveness of our hedges is evaluated throughout their lives using the hypothetical derivative method under which the change in fair value of the actual swap designated as the hedging instrument is compared to the change in fair value of a hypothetical swap. We had no material interest rate derivatives, when considering the fair value of the hedging instruments, in any period presented.

**(14) Commitments and Contingencies**

We have guaranteed the repayment of \$76.2 million of economic development bonds issued by various municipalities in connection with certain commercial developments. We will be required to make payments under our guarantees to the extent that incremental taxes from specified developments are not sufficient to pay the bond debt service.

Management does not believe that it is probable that we will be required to make any significant payments in satisfaction of these guarantees.

We also have guaranteed the repayment of secured and unsecured loans of four of our unconsolidated subsidiaries. At December 31, 2013, the maximum guarantee exposure for these loans was approximately \$188.4 million.

We lease certain land positions with terms extending to October 2105, with a total future payment obligation of \$215.4 million. No payments on these ground leases, which are classified as operating leases, are material in any individual year.

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of any ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not materially affect our consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

We own certain parcels of land that are subject to special property tax assessments levied by quasi municipal entities. To the extent that such special assessments are fixed and determinable, the discounted value of the full assessment is recorded as a liability. We have \$12.4 million of such special assessment liabilities, which are included within other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013.

**(15) Subsequent Events****Declaration of Dividends/Distributions**

The General Partner's board of directors declared the following dividends/distributions at its regularly scheduled board meeting held on January 29, 2014:

| Class of stock/units              | Quarterly<br>Amount per Share<br>or Unit | Record Date       | Payment Date      |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Common                            | \$0.170000                               | February 14, 2014 | February 28, 2014 |
| Preferred (per depository share): |  |                   |                   |
| Series J                          | \$0.414063                               | February 14, 2014 | February 28, 2014 |
| Series K                          | \$0.406250                               | February 14, 2014 | February 28, 2014 |
| Series L                          | \$0.412500                               | February 14, 2014 | February 28, 2014 |



Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
 Limited Partnership  
 Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
 December 31, 2013  
 (in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name  | Building Type | Encumbrances | Initial Cost   |             | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to 12/31/13 |             | Book Value |        | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|---|---------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|---|-------------|------------|--------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|   |               |              | Land/Buildings | Development | Land/Buildings                          | Development |            |        |           |                  |                            |               |
| Anaheim, California<br>Kraemer Bldg 1           | Industrial    | —            | 6,648          | 7,008       | 86                                      | 6,648       | 7,094      | 13,742 | 216       | 1999             |                            | 2013          |
| Aurora, Illinois<br>525 North Enterprise Street | Industrial    | —            | 342            | 1,678       | 110                                     | 342         | 1,788      | 2,130  | 837       | 1984             |                            | 1999          |
| 615 North Enterprise Street                     | Industrial    | —            | 468            | 2,408       | 741                                     | 468         | 3,149      | 3,617  | 1,441     | 1984             |                            | 1999          |
| 3737 East Exchange                              | Industrial    | —            | 598            | 2,543       | 523                                     | 598         | 3,066      | 3,664  | 1,399     | 1985             |                            | 1999          |
| 880 North Enterprise Street                     | Industrial    | 3,543        | 1,150          | 5,066       | 969                                     | 1,150       | 6,035      | 7,185  | 2,400     | 2000             |                            | 2000          |
| Meridian Office Service Center                  | Industrial    | —            | 567            | 1,083       | 1,688                                   | 567         | 2,771      | 3,338  | 1,453     | 2001             |                            | 2001          |
| Genera Corporation                              | Industrial    | 3,161        | 1,957          | 3,827       | 25                                      | 1,957       | 3,852      | 5,809  | 1,692     | 2004             |                            | 2004          |
| Butterfield 550                                 | Industrial    | 13,328       | 9,185          | 10,795      | 6,042                                   | 9,188       | 16,834     | 26,022 | 3,940     | 2008             |                            | 2008          |
| 940 N. Enterprise                               | Industrial    | —            | 2,674          | 6,962       | 1,180                                   | 2,674       | 8,142      | 10,816 | 444       | 1998             |                            | 2012          |
| Austell, Georgia<br>Hartman Business Center V   | Industrial    | —            | 2,640          | 21,471      | —                                       | 2,640       | 21,471     | 24,111 | 1,440     | 2008             |                            | 2012          |
| Avon, Indiana<br>AllPoints Midwest Bldg 4       | Industrial    | —            | 4,111          | 9,943       | —                                       | 4,111       | 9,943      | 14,054 | 644       | 2012             |                            | 2013          |
| Baltimore, Maryland                             | Industrial    | —            | 3,345          | 4,220       | 3,349                                   | 3,345       | 7,569      | 10,914 | 3,135     | 2008             |                            | 2008          |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |             |        |        |       |       |        |        |        |       |      |      |
|---|-------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| 5901 Holabird Ave<br>5003 Holabird Ave                | Industrial— | 6,488  | 9,162  | 1,885 | 6,488 | 11,047 | 17,535 | 3,096  | 2008  | 2008 |      |
| Baytown, Texas<br>Cedar Crossing                      | Industrial  | 10,015 | 9,323  | 5,934 | —     | 9,323  | 5,934  | 15,257 | 2,469 | 2005 | 2007 |
| Bloomington, Minnesota<br>Hampshire Dist Center North | Industrial— | 779    | 4,474  | 1,320 | 779   | 5,794  | 6,573  | 2,412  | 1979  | 1997 |      |
| Hampshire Dist Center South                           | Industrial— | 901    | 5,010  | 516   | 900   | 5,527  | 6,427  | 2,356  | 1979  | 1997 |      |
| Blue Ash, Ohio<br>Lake Forest Place                   | Office —    | 1,953  | 18,315 | 7,587 | 1,953 | 25,902 | 27,855 | 12,019 | 1985  | 1996 |      |
| Northmark Bldg 1                                      | Office —    | 1,452  | 2,456  | 1,347 | 1,452 | 3,803  | 5,255  | 1,526  | 1987  | 2004 |      |
| Westlake Center                                       | Office —    | 2,459  | 13,848 | 5,615 | 2,459 | 19,463 | 21,922 | 9,169  | 1981  | 1996 |      |
| Bolingbrook, Illinois<br>555 St. James Gate           | Industrial  | 5,760  | 2,184  | 9,263 | 859   | 2,332  | 9,974  | 12,306 | 3,429 | 2002 | 2002 |
| Dawes Transportation                                  | Industrial— | 3,050  | 4,453  | 142   | 3,050 | 4,595  | 7,645  | 2,175  | 2005  | 2005 |      |
| 515 Crossroads Parkway                                | Industrial  | 2,692  | 917    | 4,237 | 568   | 917    | 4,805  | 5,722  | 1,423 | 1999 | 2002 |
| Crossroads 1  | Industrial  | 3,743  | 1,418  | 5,794 | 675   | 1,418  | 6,469  | 7,887  | 901   | 1998 | 2010 |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
 Limited Partnership  
 Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
 December 31, 2013  
 (in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name                   | Building Type | Encumbered Lands | Initial Cost |           | Costs Subsequent to Development Acquisition | Gross Book Value at 12/31/13 |           |        | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|---|------------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|                        |               |                  | Lands        | Buildings |   | Land/Landfills               | Buildings | Total  |           |                  |                            |               |
| Crossroads 3370        | Industrial    | 2,693            | 1,330        | 4,450     | 61  | 1,330                        | 4,511     | 5,841  | 654       | 2000             |                            | 2010          |
| Crossroads Parkway 605 | Industrial    | —                | 2,409        | 5,324     | 348   | 2,409                        | 5,672     | 8,081  | 827       | 1989             |                            | 2011          |
| Crossroads Parkway 335 | Industrial    | —                | 3,656        | 7,832     | 201   | 3,656                        | 8,033     | 11,689 | 752       | 1998             |                            | 2011          |
| Crossroads Parkway     | Industrial    | —                | 2,574        | 8,384     | 395   | 2,574                        | 8,779     | 11,353 | 404       | 1997             |                            | 2012          |
| Boynton Beach, Florida |               |                  |              |           |   |                              |           |        |           |                  |                            |               |
| Gateway Center 1       | Industrial    | —                | 4,271        | 6,119     | 291   | 4,271                        | 6,410     | 10,681 | 994       | 2002             |                            | 2010          |
| Gateway Center 2       | Industrial    | —                | 2,006        | 5,030     | 127   | 2,006                        | 5,157     | 7,163  | 778       | 2002             |                            | 2010          |
| Gateway Center 3       | Industrial    | —                | 2,381        | 3,251     | 46  | 2,381                        | 3,297     | 5,678  | 426       | 2002             |                            | 2010          |
| Gateway Center 4       | Industrial    | —                | 1,800        | 2,675     | 86  | 1,800                        | 2,761     | 4,561  | 351       | 2000             |                            | 2010          |
| Gateway Center 5       | Industrial    | —                | 1,238        | 2,027     | 1,032                                       | 1,238                        | 3,059     | 4,297  | 435       | 2000             |                            | 2010          |
| Gateway Center 6       | Industrial    | —                | 1,238        | 1,940     | 601   | 1,238                        | 2,541     | 3,779  | 389       | 2000             |                            | 2010          |
| Gateway Center 7       | Industrial    | —                | 1,800        | 2,925     | 41  | 1,800                        | 2,966     | 4,766  | 521       | 2000             |                            | 2010          |
| Gateway Center 8       | Industrial    | 9,559            | 4,781        | 10,352    | 593   | 4,781                        | 10,945    | 15,726 | 1,313     | 2004             |                            | 2010          |
| Braselton, Georgia     |               |                  |              |           |   |                              |           |        |           |                  |                            |               |
| Braselton II 625       | Industrial    | —                | 1,365        | 8,692     | 2,296                                       | 1,884                        | 10,469    | 12,353 | 4,013     | 2001             |                            | 2001          |
| Braselton Pkwy 1350    | Industrial    | 19,045           | 9,855        | 21,458    | 5,302                                       | 11,062                       | 25,553    | 36,615 | 7,838     | 2006             |                            | 2005          |
| Braselton              | Industrial    | —                | 8,227        | 8,874     | 5,193                                       | 8,227                        | 14,067    | 22,294 | 4,716     | 2008             |                            | 2008          |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|                             |            |       |       |       |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
|-----------------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Parkway                     |            |       |       |       |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| Brentwood, Tennessee        |            |       |       |       |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| Brentwood South Bus Ctr I   | Industrial | —     | 1,065 | 4,949 | 1,501 | 1,065 | 6,450  | 7,515  | 2,404 | 1987 | 1999 |
| Brentwood South Bus Ctr II  | Industrial | —     | 1,065 | 2,410 | 1,539 | 1,065 | 3,949  | 5,014  | 1,493 | 1987 | 1999 |
| Brentwood South Bus Ctr III | Industrial | —     | 848   | 3,493 | 1,157 | 848   | 4,650  | 5,498  | 1,681 | 1989 | 1999 |
| Creekside Crossing I        | Office     | —     | 1,566 | 6,950 | 1,949 | 1,566 | 8,899  | 10,465 | 3,939 | 1998 | 1998 |
| Creekside Crossing II       | Office     | —     | 2,087 | 6,457 | 2,431 | 2,087 | 8,888  | 10,975 | 3,592 | 2000 | 2000 |
| Creekside Crossing III      | Office     | —     | 2,969 | 6,874 | 2,947 | 2,969 | 9,821  | 12,790 | 2,599 | 2006 | 2006 |
| Creekside Crossing IV       | Office     | —     | 2,966 | 5,832 | 6,051 | 2,877 | 11,972 | 14,849 | 3,043 | 2007 | 2007 |
| Bridgeton, Missouri         |            |       |       |       |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| DukePort I                  | Industrial | —     | 2,124 | 5,357 | 345   | 2,124 | 5,702  | 7,826  | 932   | 1996 | 2010 |
| DukePort II                 | Industrial | —     | 1,470 | 2,869 | 32    | 1,470 | 2,901  | 4,371  | 535   | 1997 | 2010 |
| DukePort V                  | Industrial | —     | 600   | 2,918 | 145   | 600   | 3,063  | 3,663  | 400   | 1998 | 2010 |
| DukePort VI                 | Industrial | —     | 1,664 | 6,146 | 117   | 1,664 | 6,263  | 7,927  | 1,045 | 1999 | 2010 |
| DukePort VII                | Industrial | —     | 834   | 4,102 | 57    | 834   | 4,159  | 4,993  | 681   | 1999 | 2010 |
| DukePort IX                 | Industrial | —     | 2,475 | 5,740 | 1,667 | 2,475 | 7,407  | 9,882  | 982   | 2001 | 2010 |
| Brooklyn Park, Minnesota    |            |       |       |       |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| 7300 Northland Drive        | Industrial | —     | 700   | 5,655 | 366   | 703   | 6,018  | 6,721  | 2,427 | 1999 | 1998 |
| Crosstown North Bus. Ctr. 1 | Industrial | 3,445 | 835   | 4,852 | 1,392 | 1,286 | 5,793  | 7,079  | 2,483 | 1998 | 1999 |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
 Limited Partnership  
 Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation Schedule III  
 December 31, 2013  
 (in thousands)

| Name   | Building Type  | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to 12/31/13 |                             |        | Total Book Value (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|  |                |              | Land         | Buildings | Development                             | Land/Leasehold Improvements |        |                      |                  |                            |               |
| Crosstown North Bus. Ctr. 2                    | Industrial     | —            | 449          | 2,455     | 835                                     | 599                         | 3,140  | 3,739                | 1,266            | 1998                       | 1999          |
| Crosstown North Bus. Ctr. 4                    | Industrial     | 4,887        | 2,079        | 5,830     | 1,759                                   | 2,397                       | 7,271  | 9,668                | 2,922            | 1999                       | 1999          |
| Crosstown North Bus. Ctr. 5                    | Industrial     | 2,857        | 1,079        | 3,983     | 849                                     | 1,354                       | 4,557  | 5,911                | 1,776            | 2000                       | 2000          |
| Crosstown North Bus. Ctr. 6                    | Industrial     | —            | 788          | 1,127     | 2,413                                   | 1,031                       | 3,297  | 4,328                | 1,192            | 2000                       | 2000          |
| Crosstown North Bus. Ctr. 10                   | Industrial     | 3,910        | 2,757        | 3,949     | 1,219                                   | 2,723                       | 5,202  | 7,925                | 2,579            | 2005                       | 2005          |
| Crosstown North Bus. Ctr. 12                   | Industrial     | 6,783        | 4,564        | 7,852     | 1,079                                   | 4,564                       | 8,931  | 13,495               | 2,743            | 2005                       | 2005          |
| Burr Ridge, Illinois Burr Ridge Medical Center | Medical Office | —            | 5,392        | 31,506    | 777                                     | 5,392                       | 32,283 | 37,675               | 2,542            | 2010                       | 2012          |
| Carmel, Indiana Hamilton Crossing I            | Office         | —            | 833          | 2,682     | 3,176                                   | 845                         | 5,846  | 6,691                | 3,079            | 2000                       | 1993          |
| Hamilton Crossing II                           | Office         | —            | 313          | 491       | 1,719                                   | 313                         | 2,210  | 2,523                | 1,018            | 1997                       | 1997          |
| Hamilton Crossing III                          | Office         | —            | 890          | 7,014     | 3,073                                   | 890                         | 10,087 | 10,977               | 3,943            | 2000                       | 2000          |
| Hamilton Crossing IV                           | Office         | —            | 515          | 4,773     | 854                                     | 598                         | 5,544  | 6,142                | 2,306            | 1999                       | 1999          |
| Hamilton Crossing VI                           | Office         | —            | 1,044        | 12,783    | 1,363                                   | 1,068                       | 14,122 | 15,190               | 4,968            | 2004                       | 2004          |
| Carol Stream,                                  |                |              |              |           |   |                             |        |                      |                  |                            |               |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|                              |                |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
|------------------------------|----------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Illinois                     |                |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| Carol Stream IV              | Industrial     | 8,479  | 3,204 | 12,499 | 1,308 | 3,204 | 13,807 | 17,011 | 4,009 | 2004 | 2003 |
| Carol Stream I               | Industrial     | —      | 1,095 | 3,438  | —     | 1,095 | 3,438  | 4,533  | 620   | 1998 | 2010 |
| Carol Stream III             | Industrial     | —      | 1,556 | 6,331  | 32    | 1,556 | 6,363  | 7,919  | 874   | 2002 | 2010 |
| 250 Kehoe Blvd, Carol Stream | Industrial     | —      | 1,715 | 7,560  | 21    | 1,715 | 7,581  | 9,296  | 630   | 2008 | 2011 |
| 720 Center Avenue            | Industrial     | —      | 4,031 | 20,735 | 1,025 | 4,756 | 21,035 | 25,791 | 2,522 | 1999 | 2011 |
| 189-199 Easy Street          | Industrial     | —      | 1,075 | 3,739  | 11    | 1,075 | 3,750  | 4,825  | 308   | 1995 | 2011 |
| Cary, North Carolina         |                |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| 200 Regency Forest Drive     | Office         | —      | 1,230 | 11,922 | 3,409 | 1,461 | 15,100 | 16,561 | 5,582 | 1999 | 1999 |
| 100 Regency Forest Drive     | Office         | —      | 1,538 | 9,327  | 3,068 | 1,644 | 12,289 | 13,933 | 4,649 | 1997 | 1999 |
| Cedar Park, Texas            |                |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| Cedar Park MOB I             | Medical Office | —      | 576   | 15,666 | 812   | 576   | 16,478 | 17,054 | 2,021 | 2007 | 2011 |
| Cedartown, Georgia           |                |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| Harbin Clinic Cedartown MOB  | Medical Office | —      | 755   | 3,121  | —     | 755   | 3,121  | 3,876  | 195   | 2007 | 2012 |
| Celebration, Florida         |                |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| Celebration Medical Plaza    | Medical Office | 12,810 | 558   | 17,335 | 354   | 558   | 17,689 | 18,247 | 1,392 | 2006 | 2012 |
| Chantilly, Virginia          |                |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| 15002 Northridge Dr.         | Office         | —      | 2,082 | 1,663  | 1,831 | 2,082 | 3,494  | 5,576  | 1,504 | 2007 | 2007 |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
 Limited Partnership  
 Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation Schedule III  
 December 31, 2013  
 (in thousands)

| Name  | Building Type  | Encumbrances | Initial Cost   |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition |           |                | Gross Book Value 12/31/13 |        | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|---|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|--|-----------|----------------|---------------------------|--------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|   |                |              | Land/Buildings | Buildings | Land/Buildings                             | Buildings | Land/Buildings | Buildings                 |        |           |                  |                            |               |
| 15004 Northridge Dr.                                  | Office         | —            | 2,366          | 1,920     | 2,184                                      | 2,366     | 4,104          | 6,470                     | 1,286  | 2007      | 2007             |                            |               |
| 15006 Northridge Dr.                                  | Office         | —            | 2,920          | 1,892     | 2,359                                      | 2,920     | 4,251          | 7,171                     | 1,281  | 2007      | 2007             |                            |               |
| Charlotte, North Carolina<br>Morehead Medical Plaza I | Medical Office | —            | 191            | 39,047    | 73   | 191       | 39,120         | 39,311                    | 5,142  | 2006      | 2010             |                            |               |
| Chillicothe, Ohio<br>Adena Health Pavilion            | Medical Office | —            | —              | 14,428    | 238  | —         | 14,666         | 14,666                    | 5,382  | 2006      | 2007             |                            |               |
| Chino, California<br>Chino I                          | Industrial     | —            | 14,046         | 8,236     | 542  | 14,046    | 8,778          | 22,824                    | 589    | 2013      | 2013             |                            |               |
| Cincinnati, Ohio<br>311 Elm Blue Ash Office           | Office         | —            | 339            | 5,163     | 1,469                                      | —         | 6,971          | 6,971                     | 5,191  | 1986      | 1993             |                            |               |
| Center VI Towers of Kenwood                           | Office         | —            | 518            | 2,356     | 765  | 518       | 3,121          | 3,639                     | 1,353  | 1989      | 1997             |                            |               |
| 8790 Governor's Hill                                  | Office         | —            | 4,891          | 40,430    | 4,063                                      | 4,891     | 44,493         | 49,384                    | 14,929 | 1989      | 2003             |                            |               |
| 8600/8650 Governor's Hill Dr.                         | Office         | —            | 400            | 4,193     | 1,472                                      | 408       | 5,657          | 6,065                     | 3,083  | 1985      | 1993             |                            |               |
| 8230 Kenwood  | Office         | 2,355        | 638            | 3,863     | 1,325                                      | 638       | 5,188          | 5,826                     | 3,648  | 1986      | 1993             |                            |               |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |                   |       |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
|---|-------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Commons<br>8280<br>Kenwood<br>Commons<br>Kenwood              | Office            | 1,345 | 638   | 2,555  | 811   | 638   | 3,366  | 4,004  | 2,153 | 1986 | 1993 |
| Medical<br>Office<br>Bldg.                                    | Medical<br>Office | —     | —     | 7,663  | 100   | —     | 7,763  | 7,763  | 3,041 | 1999 | 1999 |
| Pfeiffer<br>Woods<br>Remington<br>Park<br>Building A<br>and B | Office            | —     | 1,450 | 11,834 | 2,125 | 2,131 | 13,278 | 15,409 | 5,725 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Triangle<br>Office Park                                       | Office            | 635   | 1,018 | 9,869  | 2,438 | 1,018 | 12,307 | 13,325 | 8,541 | 1985 | 1993 |
| World Park<br>Bldg 8  | Industrial        | —     | 1,095 | 2,640  | 301   | 1,095 | 2,941  | 4,036  | 440   | 1989 | 2010 |
| World Park<br>Bldg 9  | Industrial        | —     | 335   | 1,825  | 230   | 335   | 2,055  | 2,390  | 351   | 1989 | 2010 |
| World Park<br>Bldg 11   | Industrial        | —     | 674   | 2,032  | 296   | 674   | 2,328  | 3,002  | 363   | 1989 | 2010 |
| World Park<br>Bldg 14   | Industrial        | —     | 668   | 3,617  | 157   | 668   | 3,774  | 4,442  | 601   | 1989 | 2010 |
| World Park<br>Bldg 15   | Industrial        | —     | 488   | 1,769  | 16    | 488   | 1,785  | 2,273  | 247   | 1990 | 2010 |
| World Park<br>Bldg 16   | Industrial        | —     | 525   | 2,086  | 1     | 525   | 2,087  | 2,612  | 319   | 1989 | 2010 |
| World Park<br>Bldg 17   | Industrial        | —     | 1,133 | 5,648  | —     | 1,133 | 5,648  | 6,781  | 799   | 1994 | 2010 |
| World Park<br>Bldg 18   | Industrial        | —     | 1,268 | 5,200  | 96    | 1,268 | 5,296  | 6,564  | 694   | 1997 | 2010 |
| World Park<br>Bldg 28   | Industrial        | —     | 870   | 5,293  | 101   | 870   | 5,394  | 6,264  | 684   | 1998 | 2010 |
| World Park<br>Bldg 29   | Industrial        | —     | 1,605 | 10,220 | 5     | 1,605 | 10,225 | 11,830 | 1,308 | 1998 | 2010 |
| World Park<br>Bldg 30   | Industrial        | —     | 2,492 | 11,964 | 447   | 2,492 | 12,411 | 14,903 | 1,789 | 1999 | 2010 |
| World Park<br>Bldg 31   | Industrial        | —     | 533   | 2,531  | 354   | 533   | 2,885  | 3,418  | 399   | 1998 | 2010 |
| Western<br>Ridge<br>Western<br>Ridge<br>MOB II                | Medical<br>Office | —     | 1,894 | 8,028  | 764   | 1,915 | 8,771  | 10,686 | 1,305 | 2010 | 2010 |
| Good<br>Samaritan<br>Clifton                                  | Medical<br>Office | —     | 50    | 8,438  | —     | 50    | 8,438  | 8,488  | 466   | 1992 | 2012 |
| TriHealth<br>Cardiology<br>Anderson                           | Medical<br>Office | —     | 1,095 | 3,852  | 74    | 1,095 | 3,926  | 5,021  | 104   | 2013 | 2013 |





Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
 Limited Partnership  
 Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation Schedule III  
 December 31, 2013  
 (in thousands)

| Name   | Building<br>Type  | Encumbrance | Initial Cost |          | Cost Capitalized<br>Subsequent to<br>Development<br>Acquisition | Grossed<br>Up<br>12/31/13 |           | Total<br>Book Value<br>(1) | Accum. Year<br>Depr. (2) | Year<br>Constructed/<br>Renovated<br>Acquired |      |
|--|-------------------|-------------|--------------|----------|---|---------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|------|
|  |                   |             | Urban        | Building |   | Land                      | Buildings |                            |                          |   |      |
| Clayton,<br>Missouri<br>101 South<br>Hanley                          | Office            | —           | 6,150        | 37,865   | 8,873   | 6,150                     | 46,738    | 52,888                     | 15,320                   | 1986  | 2002 |
| College<br>Station, Texas<br>College<br>Station<br>Medical<br>Center | Medical<br>Office | —           | 5,551        | 33,770   | 1,840   | 5,551                     | 35,610    | 41,161                     | 933                      | 2013  | 2013 |
| Columbus,<br>Ohio<br>4343 Easton<br>Commons<br>land lot              | Grounds           | —           | 796          | —        | —   | 796                       | —         | 796                        | —                        | n/a   | 2007 |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name                           | Building Type  | Encumbrances | Initial Cost   |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to 12/31/13 |                        |           | Carrying Book Value |        | Total Depr. (1) | Accumulated Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|---|------------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|                                |                |              | Land/Buildings | Buildings | Development                             | Land/Land Improvements | Buildings |                     |        |                 |                       |                            |               |
| Coppell, Texas                 |                |              |                |           |   |                        |           |                     |        |                 |                       |                            |               |
| Freeport X                     | Industrial     | 15,066       | 8,198          | 16,886    | 3,271                                   | 8,198                  | 20,157    | 28,355              | 10,805 | 2004            |                       | 2004                       |               |
| Point West VI                  | Industrial     | 16,844       | 10,181         | 17,905    | 6,719                                   | 10,181                 | 24,624    | 34,805              | 8,068  | 2008            |                       | 2008                       |               |
| Point West VII                 | Industrial     | 13,635       | 6,785          | 13,668    | 6,622                                   | 7,201                  | 19,874    | 27,075              | 6,654  | 2008            |                       | 2008                       |               |
| Samsung Pkg Lot-PWT7           | Grounds        | —            | 306            | —         | 61                                      | 367                    | —         | 367                 | 202    | n/a             |                       | 2009                       |               |
| Corona, California             |                |              |                |           |   |                        |           |                     |        |                 |                       |                            |               |
| 1283 Sherborn Street           | Industrial     | —            | 8,677          | 16,778    | 40                                      | 8,677                  | 16,818    | 25,495              | 2,149  | 2005            |                       | 2011                       |               |
| Cranbury, New Jersey           |                |              |                |           |   |                        |           |                     |        |                 |                       |                            |               |
| 311 Half Acre Road             | Industrial     | —            | 6,600          | 14,636    | —                                       | 6,600                  | 14,636    | 21,236              | 466    | 2004            |                       | 2013                       |               |
| 315 Half Acre Road             | Industrial     | —            | 14,100         | 30,084    | —                                       | 14,100                 | 30,084    | 44,184              | 947    | 2004            |                       | 2013                       |               |
| Dallas, Texas                  |                |              |                |           |   |                        |           |                     |        |                 |                       |                            |               |
| Baylor Administration Building | Medical Office | —            | 50             | 14,435    | 100                                     | 150                    | 14,435    | 14,585              | 2,351  | 2009            |                       | 2009                       |               |
| Davenport, Florida             |                |              |                |           |   |                        |           |                     |        |                 |                       |                            |               |
| Park 27 Distribution Center I  | Industrial     | —            | 2,449          | 5,224     | 181                                     | 2,449                  | 5,405     | 7,854               | 2,362  | 2003            |                       | 2003                       |               |
| Park 27 Distribution Center II | Industrial     | —            | 4,374          | 8,218     | 4,948                                   | 4,415                  | 13,125    | 17,540              | 4,941  | 2007            |                       | 2007                       |               |
| Davie, Florida                 |                |              |                |           |   |                        |           |                     |        |                 |                       |                            |               |
| Westport Business Park 1       | Industrial     | 2,114        | 1,200          | 1,317     | 59                                      | 1,200                  | 1,376     | 2,576               | 251    | 1991            |                       | 2011                       |               |
| Westport Business Park 2       | Industrial     | 1,805        | 1,088          | 818       | 228                                     | 1,088                  | 1,046     | 2,134               | 178    | 1991            |                       | 2011                       |               |
| Westport Business Park 3       | Industrial     | 5,149        | 2,363          | 6,353     | 495                                     | 2,363                  | 6,848     | 9,211               | 844    | 1991            |                       | 2011                       |               |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|                          |            |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |        |      |      |
|--------------------------|------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|
| Deerfield Township, Ohio |            |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |        |      |      |
| Deerfield Crossing A     | Office     | —      | 1,493 | 10,604 | 2,261 | 1,493 | 12,865 | 14,358 | 5,209  | 1999 | 1999 |
| Deerfield Crossing B     | Office     | —      | 1,069 | 9,473  | 1,022 | 1,069 | 10,495 | 11,564 | 3,599  | 2001 | 2001 |
| Governor's Pointe 4770   | Office     | —      | 586   | 7,339  | 1,249 | 596   | 8,578  | 9,174  | 5,574  | 1986 | 1993 |
| Governor's Pointe 4705   | Office     | —      | 719   | 5,642  | 4,123 | 928   | 9,556  | 10,484 | 5,486  | 1988 | 1993 |
| Governor's Pointe 4605   | Office     | —      | 578   | 15,757 | 4,881 | 996   | 20,220 | 21,216 | 11,225 | 1990 | 1993 |
| Governor's Pointe 4660   | Office     | —      | 385   | 3,805  | 627   | 385   | 4,432  | 4,817  | 1,858  | 1997 | 1997 |
| Governor's Pointe 4680   | Office     | —      | 811   | 6,088  | 2,398 | 811   | 8,486  | 9,297  | 3,272  | 1998 | 1998 |
| Deer Park, Texas         |            |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |        |      |      |
| 801 Seaco Court          | Industrial | —      | 2,331 | 5,158  | —     | 2,331 | 5,158  | 7,489  | 429    | 2006 | 2012 |
| Duluth, Georgia          |            |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |        |      |      |
| 2775 Premiere Parkway    | Industrial | 6,382  | 560   | 4,421  | 441   | 565   | 4,857  | 5,422  | 1,767  | 1997 | 1999 |
| 3079 Premiere Parkway    | Industrial | 10,038 | 776   | 4,844  | 2,531 | 783   | 7,368  | 8,151  | 2,767  | 1998 | 1999 |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name  | Building Type | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Development or Acquisition |                       | Gross Book Value as of 12/31/13 |        | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|---|---------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|   |               |              | Land         | Buildings | Land/Land Improvements                                    | Buildings/Development |                                 |        |           |                  |                            |               |
| 2855 Premiere Parkway                               | Industrial    | 6,180        | 765          | 3,110     | 1,112   | 770                   | 4,217                           | 4,987  | 1,535     | 1999             | 1999                       |               |
| 6655 Sugarloaf Parkway                              | Industrial    | 13,437       | 1,651        | 6,985     | 1,075   | 1,659                 | 8,052                           | 9,711  | 2,558     | 1998             | 2001                       |               |
| 6650 Sugarloaf Parkway                              | Office        | 5,183        | 1,573        | 4,240     | 536   | 1,573                 | 4,776                           | 6,349  | 744       | 2004             | 2011                       |               |
| 2450 Meadowbrook Parkway                            | Industrial    | —            | 383          | 1,622     | 618   | 383                   | 2,240                           | 2,623  | 329       | 1989             | 2010                       |               |
| 2625 Pinemeadow Court                               | Industrial    | —            | 861          | 4,025     | 107   | 861                   | 4,132                           | 4,993  | 1,146     | 1994             | 2010                       |               |
| 2660 Pinemeadow Court                               | Industrial    | —            | 540          | 2,302     | 41  | 540                   | 2,343                           | 2,883  | 446       | 1996             | 2010                       |               |
| 2450 Satellite Boulevard                            | Industrial    | —            | 556          | 2,484     | 104   | 556                   | 2,588                           | 3,144  | 585       | 1994             | 2010                       |               |
| DuPont, WA<br>Amazon DuPont                         | Industrial    | —            | 34,634       | 39,342    | 942   | 34,804                | 40,114                          | 74,918 | 731       | 2013             | 2013                       |               |
| Durham, North Carolina<br>1805 T.W. Alexander Drive | Industrial    | —            | 4,110        | 10,497    | 103   | 4,110                 | 10,600                          | 14,710 | 961       | 2000             | 2011                       |               |
| 1757 T.W. Alexander Drive                           | Industrial    | 8,850        | 2,998        | 9,095     | —   | 2,998                 | 9,095                           | 12,093 | 888       | 2007             | 2011                       |               |
| Eagan, Minnesota<br>Apollo Industrial Ctr I         | Industrial    | 3,369        | 866          | 4,091     | 1,844   | 880                   | 5,921                           | 6,801  | 2,673     | 1997             | 1997                       |               |
| Apollo Industrial Ctr II                            | Industrial    | 1,563        | 474          | 2,332     | 264   | 474                   | 2,596                           | 3,070  | 943       | 2000             | 2000                       |               |
| Apollo Industrial Ctr III                           | Industrial    | 3,786        | 1,432        | 6,107     | 25  | 1,432                 | 6,132                           | 7,564  | 2,176     | 2000             | 2000                       |               |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|                                |            |        |       |        |        |       |        |        |       |      |      |
|--------------------------------|------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Silver Bell Commons Trapp Road | Industrial | —      | 1,807 | 5,527  | 2,429  | 1,941 | 7,822  | 9,763  | 3,465 | 1999 | 1999 |
| Commerce Center I              | Industrial | 2,275  | 671   | 3,754  | 507    | 691   | 4,241  | 4,932  | 1,759 | 1996 | 1998 |
| Commerce Center II             | Industrial | 4,008  | 1,250 | 5,917  | 1,426  | 1,250 | 7,343  | 8,593  | 2,888 | 1998 | 1998 |
| Earth City, Missouri           |            |        |       |        |        |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| Rider Trail                    | Office     | —      | 2,615 | 9,779  | 3,834  | 2,615 | 13,613 | 16,228 | 6,115 | 1987 | 1997 |
| 3300 Pointe 70                 | Office     | —      | 1,186 | 5,995  | 2,933  | 1,186 | 8,928  | 10,114 | 4,251 | 1989 | 1997 |
| Corporate Center, Earth City   | Industrial | —      | 783   | 1,282  | 2,329  | 783   | 3,611  | 4,394  | 1,394 | 2000 | 2000 |
| Corporate Trail Distribution   | Industrial | —      | 2,850 | 6,163  | 2,239  | 2,875 | 8,377  | 11,252 | 3,248 | 2006 | 2006 |
| East Point, Georgia            |            |        |       |        |        |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| Camp Creek Bldg 1400           | Office     | 5,523  | 561   | 2,419  | 1,593  | 609   | 3,964  | 4,573  | 1,449 | 1988 | 2001 |
| Camp Creek Bldg 1800           | Office     | 4,724  | 462   | 2,440  | 925    | 497   | 3,330  | 3,827  | 1,203 | 1989 | 2001 |
| Camp Creek Bldg 2000           | Office     | 5,071  | 395   | 2,249  | 1,210  | 491   | 3,363  | 3,854  | 1,205 | 1989 | 2001 |
| Camp Creek Bldg 2400           | Industrial | 3,459  | 296   | 1,369  | 1,056  | 344   | 2,377  | 2,721  | 888   | 1988 | 2001 |
| Camp Creek Bldg 2600           | Industrial | 5,410  | 364   | 2,014  | 2,065  | 1,664 | 2,779  | 4,443  | 1,354 | 1990 | 2001 |
| 3201 Centre Parkway            | Industrial | 20,975 | 4,406 | 9,506  | 3,567  | 5,304 | 12,175 | 17,479 | 5,027 | 2004 | 2004 |
| Camp Creek Bldg 1200           | Office     | —      | 1,334 | 738    | 1,224  | 1,377 | 1,919  | 3,296  | 975   | 2005 | 2005 |
| 3900 North Commerce            | Industrial | 5,200  | 1,059 | 2,966  | 119    | 1,158 | 2,986  | 4,144  | 1,111 | 2005 | 2005 |
| 3909 North Commerce            | Industrial | —      | 5,687 | 10,192 | 12,885 | 9,334 | 19,430 | 28,764 | 9,918 | 2006 | 2006 |
| 4200 North Commerce            | Industrial | 10,958 | 2,065 | 7,076  | 347    | 2,294 | 7,194  | 9,488  | 2,074 | 2006 | 2006 |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name   | Building Type  | Encumbered Lands | Initial Cost |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to 12/31/13 |                |              | Book Value |       | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|--|----------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|---|----------------|--------------|------------|-------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|  |                |                  | Land         | Buildings | Development                             | Land/Buildings | Improvements |            |       |           |                  |                            |               |
| Camp Creek Building 1000                               | Office         | —                | 1,537        | 2,459     | 1,188                                   | 1,583          | 3,601        | 5,184      | 2,383 | 2006      | 2006             |                            |               |
| 3000 Centre Parkway                                    | Industrial     | —                | 1,163        | 1,072     | 1,220                                   | 1,224          | 2,231        | 3,455      | 791   | 2007      | 2007             |                            |               |
| 1500 Centre Parkway                                    | Office         | —                | 1,683        | 5,564     | 3,396                                   | 1,774          | 8,869        | 10,643     | 3,855 | 2008      | 2008             |                            |               |
| 1100 Centre Parkway                                    | Office         | —                | 1,309        | 4,881     | 512                                     | 1,364          | 5,338        | 6,702      | 1,254 | 2008      | 2008             |                            |               |
| 4800 N. Commerce Dr. (Site Q)                          | Industrial     | —                | 2,476        | 4,650     | 1,974                                   | 2,639          | 6,461        | 9,100      | 1,753 | 2008      | 2008             |                            |               |
| 4100 North Commerce Drive                              | Industrial     | —                | 3,130        | 9,115     | 430                                     | 3,227          | 9,448        | 12,675     | 284   | 2013      | 2013             |                            |               |
| Edwardsville, Illinois<br>Lakeview Commerce Building I | Industrial     | —                | 4,561        | 18,604    | —                                       | 4,561          | 18,604       | 23,165     | 629   | 2006      | 2013             |                            |               |
| Elk Grove Village, Illinois<br>1717 Busse Road         | Industrial     | 13,542           | 3,602        | 19,016    | —                                       | 3,602          | 19,016       | 22,618     | 1,712 | 2004      | 2011             |                            |               |
| Yusen BTS  | Industrial     | —                | 8,152        | 9,948     | 263                                     | 8,158          | 10,205       | 18,363     | 437   | 2013      | 2013             |                            |               |
| Ellabell, Georgia<br>1086 Orafold Pkwy                 | Industrial     | 9,328            | 2,042        | 13,104    | 640                                     | 2,046          | 13,740       | 15,786     | 3,173 | 2006      | 2008             |                            |               |
| Fairfax, Virginia<br>Fair Oaks MOB                     | Medical Office | —                | 808          | 28,570    | 207                                     | 808            | 28,777       | 29,585     | 2,067 | 2009      | 2012             |                            |               |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |                     |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
|---|---------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Fairfield,<br>Ohio<br>Union<br>Centre<br>Industrial<br>Park 2 | Industrial—         | 5,635 | 8,709  | 2,267 | 5,635 | 10,976 | 16,611 | 3,288 | 2008 | 2008 |
| Fishers,<br>Indiana<br>Exit 5<br>Building 1                   | Industrial—         | 822   | 2,612  | 446   | 822   | 3,058  | 3,880  | 1,363 | 1999 | 1999 |
| Exit 5<br>Building 2  | Industrial—         | 749   | 3,003  | 1,082 | 749   | 4,085  | 4,834  | 1,771 | 2000 | 2000 |
| St. Vincent<br>Northeast<br>MOB                               | Medical<br>Office — | —     | 23,101 | 4,773 | 4,235 | 23,639 | 27,874 | 8,736 | 2008 | 2008 |
| Flower<br>Mound,<br>Texas<br>Lakeside<br>Ranch Bldg<br>20     | Industrial—         | 9,861 | 20,994 | 340   | 9,861 | 21,334 | 31,195 | 3,138 | 2007 | 2011 |
| Fort Worth,<br>Texas<br>Riverpark<br>Bldg 700                 | Industrial—         | 3,975 | 10,766 | 65    | 3,975 | 10,831 | 14,806 | 1,617 | 2007 | 2011 |
| Franklin,<br>Tennessee<br>Aspen<br>Grove<br>Business<br>Ctr I | Industrial—         | 936   | 5,855  | 3,671 | 936   | 9,526  | 10,462 | 4,756 | 1996 | 1999 |
| Aspen<br>Grove<br>Business<br>Ctr II                          | Industrial—         | 1,151 | 6,061  | 953   | 1,151 | 7,014  | 8,165  | 2,554 | 1996 | 1999 |
| Aspen<br>Grove<br>Business<br>Ctr III                         | Industrial—         | 970   | 5,321  | 756   | 970   | 6,077  | 7,047  | 2,331 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Aspen<br>Grove<br>Business<br>Center IV                       | Industrial—         | 492   | 2,234  | 597   | 492   | 2,831  | 3,323  | 788   | 2002 | 2002 |
| Aspen<br>Grove<br>Business<br>Ctr V                           | Industrial—         | 943   | 5,024  | 2,594 | 943   | 7,618  | 8,561  | 3,644 | 1996 | 1999 |





Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
 Limited Partnership  
 Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
 December 31, 2013  
 (in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name                         | Building Type | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition |                |          | Book Value |       | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--|----------------|----------|------------|-------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|                              |               |              | Land         | Buildings | Development                                | Land/Buildings | 12/31/13 | 12/31/13   |       |           |                  |                            |               |
| Aspen Grove Flex Center II   | Industrial    | —            | 240          | 1,052     | 495  | 240            | 1,547    | 1,787      | 135   | 1999      |                  | 1999                       |               |
| Aspen Grove Office Center I  | Office        | —            | 950          | 5,505     | 2,850                                      | 950            | 8,355    | 9,305      | 3,150 | 1999      |                  | 1999                       |               |
| Aspen Grove Flex Center I    | Industrial    | —            | 301          | 1,061     | 914  | 301            | 1,975    | 2,276      | 699   | 1999      |                  | 1999                       |               |
| Aspen Grove Flex Center III  | Industrial    | —            | 327          | 855       | 1,099                                      | 327            | 1,954    | 2,281      | 639   | 2001      |                  | 2001                       |               |
| Aspen Grove Flex Center IV   | Industrial    | —            | 205          | 821       | 242  | 205            | 1,063    | 1,268      | 339   | 2001      |                  | 2001                       |               |
| Aspen Corporate Center 100   | Office        | —            | 723          | 2,358     | 308  | 723            | 2,666    | 3,389      | 659   | 2004      |                  | 2004                       |               |
| Aspen Corporate Center 200   | Office        | —            | 1,306        | 1,290     | 1,655                                      | 1,306          | 2,945    | 4,251      | 1,480 | 2006      |                  | 2006                       |               |
| Aspen Corporate Center 300   | Office        | —            | 1,451        | 2,050     | 1,902                                      | 1,460          | 3,943    | 5,403      | 1,220 | 2008      |                  | 2008                       |               |
| Aspen Corporate Center 400   | Office        | —            | 1,833        | 1,961     | 2,515                                      | 1,833          | 4,476    | 6,309      | 1,487 | 2007      |                  | 2007                       |               |
| Aspen Grove Office Center II | Office        | —            | 2,320        | 5,218     | 4,066                                      | 2,320          | 9,284    | 11,604     | 2,180 | 2007      |                  | 2007                       |               |
| Brentwood South Bus Ctr IV   | Industrial    | —            | 569          | 2,046     | 1,560                                      | 705            | 3,470    | 4,175      | 1,634 | 1990      |                  | 1999                       |               |
| Brentwood South Bus Ctr V    | Industrial    | —            | 445          | 1,846     | 301  | 445            | 2,147    | 2,592      | 804   | 1990      |                  | 1999                       |               |
| Brentwood South Bus Ctr VI   | Industrial    | 1,251        | 489          | 1,102     | 1,065                                      | 489            | 2,167    | 2,656      | 726   | 1990      |                  | 1999                       |               |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |                     |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
|---|---------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Franklin Park,<br>Illinois<br>O'Hare<br>Distribution<br>Ctr   | Industrial —        | 3,900 | 2,702  | 1,346 | 3,900 | 4,048  | 7,948  | 883   | 2007 | 2007 |
| Ft. Wayne,<br>Indiana<br>Parkview<br>Ambulatory<br>Svcs - MOB | Medical<br>Office — | 937   | 10,661 | 4,420 | 937   | 15,081 | 16,018 | 3,534 | 2007 | 2007 |
| Garden City,<br>Georgia<br>Aviation<br>Court Land             | Grounds —           | 1,509 | —      | —     | 1,509 | —      | 1,509  | 151   | n/a  | 2006 |
| Garner, North<br>Carolina<br>600<br>Greenfield<br>North       | Industrial —        | 597   | 3,049  | 189   | 597   | 3,238  | 3,835  | 487   | 2006 | 2011 |

-102-

---

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name   | Building Type | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |                        | Cost Capitalized |                        | Gross Book Value |        | Total Accum. Depr. (1) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|--|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|  |               |              | Buildings    | Land/Land Improvements | Development      | Land/Land Improvements |                  |        |                        |                            |               |
| 700 Greenfield North                         | Industrial    | —            | 468          | 2,664                  | 12               | 468                    | 2,676            | 3,144  | 409                    | 2007                       | 2011          |
| 800 Greenfield North                         | Industrial    | —            | 438          | 5,872                  | 92               | 438                    | 5,964            | 6,402  | 553                    | 2004                       | 2011          |
| 900 Greenfield North                         | Industrial    | —            | 422          | 6,532                  | 202              | 422                    | 6,734            | 7,156  | 737                    | 2007                       | 2011          |
| Geneva, Illinois<br>1800 Averill Road        | Industrial    | —            | 3,189        | 11,890                 | 7,531            | 4,778                  | 17,832           | 22,610 | 1,291                  | 2000                       | 2011          |
| Goodyear, Arizona<br>Goodyear One            | Industrial    | —            | 5,142        | 4,661                  | 1,874            | 5,142                  | 6,535            | 11,677 | 2,368                  | 2008                       | 2008          |
| Gouldsboro, Pennsylvania<br>400 First Avenue | Industrial    | 27,961       | 9,500        | 51,645                 | —                | 9,500                  | 51,645           | 61,145 | 1,114                  | 2007                       | 2013          |
| Grand Prairie, Texas<br>Grand Lakes I        | Industrial    | —            | 8,106        | 10,632                 | 2,527            | 8,040                  | 13,225           | 21,265 | 4,674                  | 2006                       | 2006          |
| Grand Lakes II                               | Industrial    | —            | 11,853       | 16,714                 | 8,392            | 11,853                 | 25,106           | 36,959 | 10,091                 | 2008                       | 2008          |
| Pioneer 161 Building                         | Industrial    | —            | 7,381        | 17,628                 | 13               | 7,381                  | 17,641           | 25,022 | 2,555                  | 2008                       | 2011          |
| Grove City, Ohio<br>SouthPointe Building A   | Industrial    | —            | 844          | 5,606                  | 6                | 844                    | 5,612            | 6,456  | 961                    | 1995                       | 2010          |
| SouthPointe Building B                       | Industrial    | —            | 790          | 5,284                  | —                | 790                    | 5,284            | 6,074  | 891                    | 1996                       | 2010          |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |                |       |       |        |       |       |        |        |        |      |      |
|---|----------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|
| SouthPointe Building C                        | Industrial     | —     | 754   | 6,418  | —     | 754   | 6,418  | 7,172  | 851    | 1996 | 2010 |
| Groveport, Ohio<br>6600 Port Road             | Industrial     | —     | 2,725 | 21,768 | 2,378 | 3,213 | 23,658 | 26,871 | 10,110 | 1998 | 1997 |
| Groveport Commerce Center #437                | Industrial     | 5,183 | 1,049 | 6,759  | 2,861 | 1,065 | 9,604  | 10,669 | 3,270  | 1999 | 1999 |
| Groveport Commerce Center #168                | Industrial     | 2,330 | 510   | 2,808  | 1,440 | 510   | 4,248  | 4,758  | 1,596  | 2000 | 2000 |
| Groveport Commerce Center #345                | Industrial     | 4,360 | 1,045 | 6,123  | 1,453 | 1,045 | 7,576  | 8,621  | 3,252  | 2000 | 2000 |
| Groveport Commerce Center #667                | Industrial     | 9,763 | 4,420 | 14,172 | 982   | 4,420 | 15,154 | 19,574 | 7,226  | 2005 | 2005 |
| Rickenbacker 936                              | Industrial     | —     | 5,680 | 23,872 | —     | 5,680 | 23,872 | 29,552 | 2,514  | 2008 | 2010 |
| Hamilton, Ohio<br>Bethesda Specialty Hospital | Medical Office | 4,144 | 1,499 | 4,990  | 112   | 1,499 | 5,102  | 6,601  | 512    | 2000 | 2012 |
| Bethesda Imaging/ED                           | Medical Office | 4,595 | 751   | 3,316  | 3,918 | 1,239 | 6,746  | 7,985  | 413    | 2006 | 2012 |
| Bethesda Sleep Center                         | Medical Office | 1,821 | 501   | 2,220  | 24    | 501   | 2,244  | 2,745  | 178    | 2008 | 2012 |
| Bethesda Condo 1                              | Medical Office | 478   | —     | 664    | —     | —     | 664    | 664    | 51     | 2004 | 2012 |
| Bethesda Condo 2                              | Medical Office | 2,757 | —     | 3,478  | 1,208 | —     | 4,686  | 4,686  | 347    | 2008 | 2012 |
| 3090 McBride Road                             | Medical Office | 959   | 375   | 1,208  | 53    | 375   | 1,261  | 1,636  | 144    | 2008 | 2012 |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name  | Building Type  | Encumbrance | Initial Cost |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Development Acquisition | Gross Book Value |           | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|---|----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|--|------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|   |                |             | Land         | Buildings |  | Land             | Buildings |           |                  |                            |               |
| Hazelwood, Missouri<br>Lindbergh Distribution Center      | Industrial     | —           | 8,200        | 9,884     | 3,523  | 8,491            | 13,116    | 21,607    | 3,698            | 2007                       | 2007          |
| Hebron, Kentucky<br>Southpark Building 4                  | Industrial     | —           | 779          | 3,113     | 1,339  | 779              | 4,452     | 5,231     | 2,085            | 1994                       | 1994          |
| CR Services   | Industrial     | —           | 1,085        | 4,054     | 1,499  | 1,085            | 5,553     | 6,638     | 2,759            | 1994                       | 1994          |
| Hebron Building 1   | Industrial     | —           | 8,855        | 10,961    | 392  | 8,855            | 11,353    | 20,208    | 4,810            | 2006                       | 2006          |
| Hebron Building 2   | Industrial     | —           | 6,790        | 9,037     | 3,852  | 6,813            | 12,866    | 19,679    | 4,642            | 2007                       | 2007          |
| Skyport Building 1  | Industrial     | —           | 1,057        | 5,876     | —  | 1,057            | 5,876     | 6,933     | 750              | 1997                       | 2010          |
| Skyport Building 2  | Industrial     | —           | 1,400        | 9,114     | 181  | 1,400            | 9,295     | 10,695    | 1,263            | 1998                       | 2010          |
| Skyport Building 3  | Industrial     | —           | 2,016        | 9,114     | 244  | 2,016            | 9,358     | 11,374    | 1,666            | 2000                       | 2010          |
| Skyport Building 5  | Industrial     | —           | 2,878        | 7,408     | 589  | 2,878            | 7,997     | 10,875    | 2,031            | 2006                       | 2010          |
| Southpark Building 1                                      | Industrial     | —           | 553          | 1,706     | 164  | 553              | 1,870     | 2,423     | 330              | 1990                       | 2010          |
| Southpark Building 3                                      | Industrial     | —           | 755          | 3,975     | 18   | 755              | 3,993     | 4,748     | 614              | 1991                       | 2010          |
| Hillsdale, Illinois<br>4160 Madison Street                | Industrial     | —           | 1,069        | 866       | 55   | 1,069            | 921       | 1,990     | 245              | 1974                       | 2011          |
| Holly Springs, North Carolina<br>REX Holly Springs Office | Medical Office | —           | 11           | 7,724     | 129  | 11               | 7,853     | 7,864     | 671              | 2011                       | 2011          |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

MOB

|  |            |       |       |        |         |       |        |        |       |      |      |
|--|------------|-------|-------|--------|---------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Hopkins,<br>Minnesota<br>Cornerstone<br>Business<br>Center | Industrial | 1,942 | 1,469 | 8,186  | 1,677   | 1,454 | 9,878  | 11,332 | 3,791 | 1996 | 1997 |
| Houston,<br>Texas<br>Point North<br>One                    | Industrial | —     | 3,125 | 3,420  | 2,169   | 3,125 | 5,589  | 8,714  | 2,417 | 2008 | 2008 |
| Point North<br>Two   | Industrial | —     | 4,210 | 5,651  | 49      | 4,210 | 5,700  | 9,910  | —     | 2013 | 2013 |
| Sam<br>Houston<br>Crossing<br>Two                          | Office     | —     | 2,088 | 17,392 | 1,615   | 2,088 | 19,007 | 21,095 | 599   | 2013 | 2013 |
| Westland I   | Industrial | —     | 4,183 | 4,837  | 3,317   | 4,233 | 8,104  | 12,337 | 2,995 | 2008 | 2008 |
| Westland II  | Industrial | —     | 3,439 | 8,890  | 501     | 3,246 | 9,584  | 12,830 | 1,403 | 2011 | 2011 |
| Hutchins,<br>Texas<br>Duke<br>Intermodal I                 | Industrial | 9,442 | 5,290 | 9,242  | 2,579   | 5,290 | 11,821 | 17,111 | 3,763 | 2006 | 2006 |
| Independence,<br>Ohio<br>Freedom<br>Square I               | Office     | —     | 595   | 3,454  | (1,543) | 595   | 1,911  | 2,506  | 1,843 | 1980 | 1996 |
| Freedom<br>Square II                                       | Office     | —     | 1,746 | 11,368 | (1,168) | 1,746 | 10,200 | 11,946 | 6,599 | 1987 | 1996 |
| Freedom<br>Square III                                      | Office     | —     | 701   | 5,151  | (1,083) | 701   | 4,068  | 4,769  | 2,306 | 1997 | 1997 |
| Oak Tree<br>Place  | Office     | —     | 703   | 4,256  | 1,000   | 703   | 5,256  | 5,959  | 2,382 | 1995 | 1997 |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty Limited  
Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name                          | Building Type  | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to 12/31/13 |           | Gross Book Value |           | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|---|-----------|------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|                               |                |              | Land         | Buildings | Land                                    | Buildings | Land             | Buildings |           |                  |                            |               |
| Indianapolis, Indiana         |                |              |              |           |   |           |                  |           |           |                  |                            |               |
| 6061 Guion Rd                 | Industrial     | —            | 274          | 1,770     | 495                                     | 274       | 2,265            | 2,539     | 1,111     | 1974             |                            | 1995          |
| St. Vincent Max Simon MOB     | Medical Office | —            | 3,209        | 11,575    | 325                                     | 3,209     | 11,900           | 15,109    | 1,910     | 2007             |                            | 2011          |
| Centerre/Community Rehab Hosp | Medical Office | —            | 1,150        | 16,709    | 171                                     | 1,150     | 16,880           | 18,030    | 508       | 2013             |                            | 2013          |
| Park 100 Bldg 31              | Industrial     | —            | 64           | 337       | 223                                     | 64        | 560              | 624       | 145       | 1978             |                            | 2005          |
| Park 100 Building 96          | Industrial     | 7,808        | 1,171        | 13,804    | 113                                     | 1,424     | 13,664           | 15,088    | 6,933     | 1997             |                            | 1995          |
| Park 100 Building 98          | Industrial     | —            | 273          | 7,482     | 2,828                                   | 273       | 10,310           | 10,583    | 6,088     | 1995             |                            | 1994          |
| Park 100 Building 100         | Industrial     | —            | 103          | 1,889     | 896                                     | 103       | 2,785            | 2,888     | 1,429     | 1995             |                            | 1995          |
| Park 100 Building 102         | Office         | —            | 182          | 1,087     | 432                                     | 182       | 1,519            | 1,701     | 507       | 1982             |                            | 2005          |
| Park 100 Building 109         | Industrial     | —            | 240          | 1,636     | 627                                     | 246       | 2,257            | 2,503     | 1,570     | 1985             |                            | 1986          |
| Park 100 Building 116         | Office         | —            | 341          | 2,817     | 608                                     | 348       | 3,418            | 3,766     | 2,205     | 1988             |                            | 1988          |
| Park 100 Building 118         | Office         | —            | 226          | 1,859     | 1,142                                   | 230       | 2,997            | 3,227     | 1,682     | 1988             |                            | 1993          |
| Park 100 Building 122         | Industrial     | —            | 284          | 3,154     | 1,406                                   | 290       | 4,554            | 4,844     | 2,449     | 1990             |                            | 1993          |
| Park 100 Building 124         | Office         | —            | 227          | 2,143     | 776                                     | 227       | 2,919            | 3,146     | 919       | 1992             |                            | 2002          |
| Park 100 Building 127         | Industrial     | —            | 96           | 1,485     | 672                                     | 96        | 2,157            | 2,253     | 1,073     | 1995             |                            | 1995          |
| Park 100 Building 141         | Industrial     | 1,982        | 1,120        | 2,562     | 273                                     | 1,120     | 2,835            | 3,955     | 1,097     | 2005             |                            | 2005          |
| Hewlett-Packard Land Lease    | Grounds        | —            | 252          | —         | —                                       | 252       | —                | 252       | 73        | n/a              |                            | 2003          |
| Park 100 Bldg 121 Land Lease  | Grounds        | —            | 5            | —         | —                                       | 5         | —                | 5         | 1         | n/a              |                            | 2003          |
| Hewlett Packard Land Lse-62   | Grounds        | —            | 45           | —         | —                                       | 45        | —                | 45        | 13        | n/a              |                            | 2003          |
| West 79th St. Parking Lot LL  | Grounds        | —            | 350          | —         | 699                                     | 1,049     | —                | 1,049     | 390       | n/a              |                            | 2006          |
| Park Fletcher Building 33     | Industrial     | —            | 847          | 5,264     | 593                                     | 847       | 5,857            | 6,704     | 1,441     | 1997             |                            | 2006          |
|                               | Industrial     | —            | 910          | 5,427     | 667                                     | 910       | 6,094            | 7,004     | 1,482     | 1997             |                            | 2006          |



Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|                           |             |        |        |        |       |        |        |        |       |      |      |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Park Fletcher Building 34 |             |        |        |        |       |        |        |        |       |      |      |
| Park Fletcher Building 35 | Industrial— | 260    | 1,422  | 134    | 260   | 1,556  | 1,816  | 383    | 1997  |      | 2006 |
| Park Fletcher Building 36 | Industrial— | 326    | 2,326  | 88     | 326   | 2,414  | 2,740  | 573    | 1997  |      | 2006 |
| Park Fletcher Building 37 | Industrial— | 196    | 653    | 9      | 196   | 662    | 858    | 159    | 1998  |      | 2006 |
| Park Fletcher Building 38 | Industrial— | 1,428  | 5,908  | 168    | 1,428 | 6,076  | 7,504  | 1,797  | 1999  |      | 2006 |
| Park Fletcher Building 39 | Industrial— | 570    | 2,054  | 292    | 570   | 2,346  | 2,916  | 767    | 1999  |      | 2006 |
| Park Fletcher Building 40 | Industrial— | 761    | 2,965  | 642    | 761   | 3,607  | 4,368  | 1,021  | 1999  |      | 2006 |
| Park Fletcher Building 41 | Industrial— | 952    | 4,131  | 428    | 952   | 4,559  | 5,511  | 1,172  | 2001  |      | 2006 |
| Park Fletcher Building 42 | Industrial— | 2,095  | 8,273  | 232    | 2,095 | 8,505  | 10,600 | 2,186  | 2001  |      | 2006 |
| One Parkwood Crossing     | Office —    | 1,018  | 9,130  | 2,316  | 1,018 | 11,446 | 12,464 | 5,395  | 1989  |      | 1995 |
| Three Parkwood Crossing   | Office —    | 1,377  | 7,256  | 2,044  | 1,316 | 9,361  | 10,677 | 4,407  | 1997  |      | 1997 |
| Four Parkwood Crossing    | Office —    | 1,383  | 10,586 | 1,866  | 1,431 | 12,404 | 13,835 | 5,306  | 1998  |      | 1998 |
| Five Parkwood Crossing    | Office —    | 1,485  | 10,119 | 2,347  | 1,528 | 12,423 | 13,951 | 4,212  | 1999  |      | 1999 |
| Six Parkwood Crossing     | Office —    | 1,960  | 12,993 | 2,110  | 1,960 | 15,103 | 17,063 | 5,480  | 2000  |      | 2000 |
| Seven Parkwood Crossing   | Office —    | 1,877  | 4,121  | 1,186  | 1,877 | 5,307  | 7,184  | 660    | 2000  |      | 2011 |
| Eight Parkwood Crossing   | Office —    | 6,435  | 15,340 | 776    | 6,435 | 16,116 | 22,551 | 7,802  | 2003  |      | 2003 |
| Nine Parkwood Crossing    | Office —    | 6,046  | 12,971 | 2,831  | 6,047 | 15,801 | 21,848 | 4,896  | 2005  |      | 2005 |
| One West                  | Office      | 14,322 | 5,361  | 16,182 | 5,003 | 5,361  | 21,185 | 26,546 | 4,768 | 2007 | 2007 |
| PWW Granite City Lease    | Grounds —   | 1,846  | 856    | 143    | 1,989 | 856    | 2,845  | 477    | 2008  |      | 2009 |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
 Limited Partnership  
 Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation Schedule III  
 December 31, 2013  
 (in thousands)

| Name                        | Building Type | Initial Cost |       |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to 12/31/13 |                |        | Book Value (1) | Total Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------|-----------|---|----------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|                             |               | Encumbrances | Land  | Buildings | Development                             | Land/Buildings |        |                |                 |                            |               |
| One West Parking Garage     | Grounds       | —            | —     | 1,616     | —                                       | —              | 1,616  | 1,616          | 98              | 2007                       | 2011          |
| River Road Building I       | Office        | —            | 856   | 6,162     | 3,119                                   | 856            | 9,281  | 10,137         | 4,953           | 1998                       | 1998          |
| River Road Building II      | Office        | —            | 1,827 | 8,416     | 3,095                                   | 1,886          | 11,452 | 13,338         | 2,984           | 2008                       | 2008          |
| Woodland Corporate Park I   | Office        | —            | 290   | 3,399     | 1,474                                   | 290            | 4,873  | 5,163          | 2,070           | 1998                       | 1998          |
| Woodland Corporate Park II  | Office        | —            | 271   | 2,914     | 2,056                                   | 297            | 4,944  | 5,241          | 1,884           | 1999                       | 1999          |
| Woodland Corporate Park III | Office        | —            | 1,227 | 3,322     | 706                                     | 1,227          | 4,028  | 5,255          | 1,340           | 2000                       | 2000          |
| Woodland Corporate Park V   | Office        | —            | 768   | 9,976     | 94                                      | 768            | 10,070 | 10,838         | 4,083           | 2003                       | 2003          |
| Woodland Corporate Park VI  | Office        | —            | 2,145 | 10,163    | 4,299                                   | 2,145          | 14,462 | 16,607         | 4,293           | 2008                       | 2008          |
| Georgetown Rd. Bldg 1       | Industrial    | —            | 468   | 2,060     | 219                                     | 468            | 2,279  | 2,747          | 359             | 1987                       | 2010          |
| Georgetown Rd. Bldg 2       | Industrial    | —            | 465   | 2,174     | 607                                     | 465            | 2,781  | 3,246          | 344             | 1987                       | 2010          |
| Georgetown Rd. Bldg 3       | Industrial    | —            | 408   | 1,036     | 87                                      | 408            | 1,123  | 1,531          | 176             | 1987                       | 2010          |
| North Airport Park Bldg 2   | Industrial    | —            | 1,800 | 4,989     | 344                                     | 1,800          | 5,333  | 7,133          | 896             | 1997                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 39        | Industrial    | —            | 628   | 2,284     | 36                                      | 628            | 2,320  | 2,948          | 388             | 1987                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 48        | Industrial    | —            | 690   | 1,730     | 406                                     | 690            | 2,136  | 2,826          | 304             | 1984                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 49        | Industrial    | —            | 364   | 1,687     | 159                                     | 364            | 1,846  | 2,210          | 284             | 1982                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 50        | Industrial    | —            | 327   | 786       | 70                                      | 327            | 856    | 1,183          | 129             | 1982                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 52        | Industrial    | —            | 216   | 189       | —                                       | 216            | 189    | 405            | 37              | 1983                       | 2010          |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|                         |              |     |       |     |     |       |       |     |      |      |
|-------------------------|--------------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Park 100<br>Building 53 | Industrial — | 338 | 1,513 | 121 | 338 | 1,634 | 1,972 | 260 | 1984 | 2010 |
| Park 100<br>Building 54 | Industrial — | 354 | 1,390 | 233 | 354 | 1,623 | 1,977 | 208 | 1984 | 2010 |
| Park 100<br>Building 57 | Industrial — | 616 | 1,183 | 165 | 616 | 1,348 | 1,964 | 202 | 1984 | 2010 |
| Park 100<br>Building 58 | Industrial — | 642 | 2,222 | 102 | 642 | 2,324 | 2,966 | 389 | 1984 | 2010 |
| Park 100<br>Building 59 | Industrial — | 411 | 1,451 | 165 | 411 | 1,616 | 2,027 | 243 | 1985 | 2010 |
| Park 100<br>Building 60 | Industrial — | 382 | 1,526 | 82  | 382 | 1,608 | 1,990 | 266 | 1985 | 2010 |
| Park 100<br>Building 62 | Industrial — | 616 | 718   | 36  | 616 | 754   | 1,370 | 360 | 1986 | 2010 |
| Park 100<br>Building 63 | Industrial — | 388 | 1,049 | 40  | 388 | 1,089 | 1,477 | 224 | 1987 | 2010 |
| Park 100<br>Building 64 | Industrial — | 389 | 1,071 | 62  | 389 | 1,133 | 1,522 | 182 | 1987 | 2010 |
| Park 100<br>Building 66 | Industrial — | 424 | 1,303 | 13  | 424 | 1,316 | 1,740 | 270 | 1987 | 2010 |
| Park 100<br>Building 67 | Industrial — | 338 | 710   | 169 | 338 | 879   | 1,217 | 147 | 1987 | 2010 |
| Park 100<br>Building 68 | Industrial — | 338 | 1,200 | 67  | 338 | 1,267 | 1,605 | 169 | 1987 | 2010 |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name                            | Building Type | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Development or Acquisition | Cost Basis Book Value 12/31/13 |              | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|---|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|                                 |               |              | Land         | Buildings |   | Land/Land Improvements         | Buildings/FF |           |                  |                            |               |
| Park 100 Building 79            | Industrial    | —            | 358          | 1,781     | 129   | 358                            | 1,910        | 2,268     | 260              | 1988                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 80            | Industrial    | —            | 358          | 1,811     | 83  | 358                            | 1,894        | 2,252     | 244              | 1988                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 83            | Industrial    | —            | 427          | 1,488     | 118   | 427                            | 1,606        | 2,033     | 321              | 1989                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 84            | Industrial    | —            | 427          | 1,894     | 135   | 427                            | 2,029        | 2,456     | 271              | 1989                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 87            | Industrial    | —            | 1,136        | 7,008     | 382   | 1,136                          | 7,390        | 8,526     | 1,398            | 1989                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 97            | Industrial    | —            | 1,070        | 4,993     | 196   | 1,070                          | 5,189        | 6,259     | 696              | 1994                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 110           | Office        | —            | 376          | 1,706     | 25  | 376                            | 1,731        | 2,107     | 246              | 1987                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 111           | Office        | —            | 633          | 3,128     | 285   | 633                            | 3,413        | 4,046     | 715              | 1987                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 112           | Industrial    | —            | 356          | 836       | 23  | 356                            | 859          | 1,215     | 132              | 1987                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 128           | Industrial    | 9,946        | 1,152        | 16,581    | 65  | 1,152                          | 16,646       | 17,798    | 4,076            | 1996                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 129           | Industrial    | 5,335        | 1,280        | 9,062     | 642   | 1,280                          | 9,704        | 10,984    | 1,246            | 2000                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 131           | Industrial    | 6,057        | 1,680        | 10,834    | 198   | 1,680                          | 11,032       | 12,712    | 1,335            | 1997                       | 2010          |
| Park 100 Building 133           | Industrial    | —            | 104          | 1,157     | —   | 104                            | 1,157        | 1,261     | 139              | 1997                       | 2010          |
| Itasca, Illinois 751 Expressway | Industrial    | —            | 1,208        | 2,424     | (23 )   | 1,208                          | 2,401        | 3,609     | 264              | 1978                       | 2011          |
| Katy, Texas                     |               |              |              |           |   |                                |              |           |                  |                            |               |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |                   |        |        |        |       |        |        |        |       |      |      |
|---|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Christus St.<br>Catherine<br>Plaza 1                              | Medical<br>Office | —      | 47     | 9,092  | 169   | 47     | 9,261  | 9,308  | 1,043 | 2001 | 2011 |
| Christus St.<br>Catherine<br>Plaza 2                              | Medical<br>Office | —      | 122    | 12,009 | 243   | 122    | 12,252 | 12,374 | 1,170 | 2004 | 2011 |
| Christus St.<br>Catherine<br>Plaza 3                              | Medical<br>Office | —      | 131    | 9,963  | 55    | 131    | 10,018 | 10,149 | 1,395 | 2006 | 2011 |
| Keller, Texas<br>Baylor<br>Emergency<br>@ Keller                  | Medical<br>Office | —      | 2,365  | 10,028 | —     | 2,365  | 10,028 | 12,393 | —     | 2013 | 2013 |
| Kissimmee,<br>Florida<br>Kissimmee<br>Medical<br>Plaza            | Medical<br>Office | 10,712 | 763    | 18,221 | 85    | 763    | 18,306 | 19,069 | 1,096 | 2009 | 2012 |
| Kyle, Texas<br>Seton Hays<br>MOB I                                | Medical<br>Office | —      | 165    | 11,736 | 3,480 | 165    | 15,216 | 15,381 | 2,035 | 2009 | 2009 |
| La Miranda,<br>California<br>Trojan Way                           | Industrial        | —      | 23,503 | 33,342 | 125   | 23,503 | 33,467 | 56,970 | 2,475 | 2002 | 2012 |
| LaPorte,<br>Texas<br>Bayport<br>Container<br>Lot                  | Grounds           | —      | 3,334  | —      | —     | 3,334  | —      | 3,334  | —     | n/a  | 2010 |
| Las Cruces,<br>New Mexico<br>Mountain<br>View<br>Medical<br>Plaza | Medical<br>Office | 12,182 | 430    | 20,298 | 45    | 430    | 20,343 | 20,773 | 1,687 | 2003 | 2012 |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name                             | Building Type | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |           | Buildings | Development | Cost of Land/Land Acquisition | Capitalized Costs | Book Value | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|                                  |               |              | Tracts       | Buildings |           |             |                               |                   |            |           |                  |                            |               |
| Lawrenceville, Georgia           |               |              |              |           |           |             |                               |                   |            |           |                  |                            |               |
| Weyerhaeuser BTS                 | Industrial    | 9,283        | 3,974        | 3,101     | 22        | 3,982       | 3,115                         | 7,097             | 2,326      | 2004      |                  | 2004                       |               |
| Lebanon, Indiana                 |               |              |              |           |           |             |                               |                   |            |           |                  |                            |               |
| Lebanon Building 4               | Industrial    | 10,928       | 305          | 8,954     | 113       | 177         | 9,195                         | 9,372             | 3,716      | 2000      |                  | 1997                       |               |
| Lebanon Building 9               | Industrial    | 11,007       | 554          | 6,675     | 1,253     | 554         | 7,928                         | 8,482             | 3,008      | 1999      |                  | 1999                       |               |
| Lebanon Building 12              | Industrial    | 25,477       | 5,163        | 12,851    | 740       | 5,163       | 13,591                        | 18,754            | 6,899      | 2003      |                  | 2003                       |               |
| Lebanon Building 13              | Industrial    | 9,931        | 561          | 6,473     | 396       | 1,901       | 5,529                         | 7,430             | 3,181      | 2003      |                  | 2003                       |               |
| Lebanon Building 14              | Industrial    | 19,207       | 2,813        | 11,145    | 1,453     | 2,813       | 12,598                        | 15,411            | 4,025      | 2005      |                  | 2005                       |               |
| Lebanon Building 1(Amer Air)     | Industrial    | —            | 312          | 3,799     | 36        | 312         | 3,835                         | 4,147             | 596        | 1996      |                  | 2010                       |               |
| Lebanon Building 2               | Industrial    | —            | 948          | 19,037    | 144       | 948         | 19,181                        | 20,129            | 2,417      | 2007      |                  | 2010                       |               |
| Lebanon Building 6               | Industrial    | 10,644       | 699          | 8,250     | —         | 699         | 8,250                         | 8,949             | 1,287      | 1998      |                  | 2010                       |               |
| Lebanon, Tennessee               |               |              |              |           |           |             |                               |                   |            |           |                  |                            |               |
| Park 840 Logistics Cnt. Bldg 653 | Industrial    | —            | 6,776        | 9,066     | 3,925     | 6,776       | 12,991                        | 19,767            | 4,732      | 2006      |                  | 2006                       |               |
| Park 840 East Log. Ctr Bld 300   | Industrial    | —            | 7,731        | 14,881    | 527       | 7,731       | 15,408                        | 23,139            | 589        | 2013      |                  | 2013                       |               |
| Lockbourne, Ohio                 |               |              |              |           |           |             |                               |                   |            |           |                  |                            |               |
| Creekside XXII                   | Industrial    | —            | 2,868        | 17,032    | 207       | 2,868       | 17,239                        | 20,107            | 1,615      | 2008      |                  | 2012                       |               |
| Creekside XIV                    | Industrial    | —            | 1,947        | 12,630    | 75        | 1,947       | 12,705                        | 14,652            | 1,522      | 2005      |                  | 2012                       |               |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |                   |        |        |        |     |        |        |        |       |      |      |
|---|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Logan<br>Township,<br>New Jersey<br>1130<br>Commerce<br>Boulevard | Industrial        | 10,740 | 3,770  | 19,338 | —   | 3,770  | 19,338 | 23,108 | 446   | 2002 | 2013 |
| Long Beach,<br>California<br>3700 Cover<br>Street                 | Industrial        | —      | 7,280  | 6,954  | —   | 7,280  | 6,954  | 14,234 | 139   | 2012 | 2013 |
| Longview,<br>Texas<br>Longview<br>MOB                             | Medical<br>Office | 15,051 | 403    | 26,792 | —   | 403    | 26,792 | 27,195 | 2,071 | 2003 | 2012 |
| Lynwood,<br>California<br>Century<br>Distribution<br>Center       | Industrial        | —      | 16,847 | 17,881 | 30  | 16,847 | 17,911 | 34,758 | 1,963 | 2007 | 2011 |
| Manteca,<br>California<br>600 Spreckels<br>Ave                    | Industrial        | —      | 4,851  | 19,703 | 67  | 4,851  | 19,770 | 24,621 | 1,124 | 1999 | 2012 |
| Marble Falls,<br>Texas<br>Marble Falls<br>Medical<br>Center       | Medical<br>Office | —      | 1,519  | 18,836 | 585 | 1,519  | 19,421 | 20,940 | 631   | 2013 | 2013 |

-108-

---

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name                         | Building Type  | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |                        | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to 12/31/13 |                        | Book Value |                        | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|                              |                |              | Buildings    | Land/Land Acquisitions | Buildings                               | Land/Land Acquisitions | Buildings  | Land/Land Acquisitions |           |                  |                            |               |
| Maryland Heights, Missouri   |                |              |              |                        |   |                        |            |                        |           |                  |                            |               |
| Riverport Tower              | Office         | —            | 3,549        | 27,553                 | 8,829                                   | 3,549                  | 36,382     | 39,931                 | 17,590    | 1991             |                            | 1997          |
| Riverport Distribution 14000 | Industrial     | —            | 242          | 2,217                  | 1,402                                   | 242                    | 3,619      | 3,861                  | 1,734     | 1990             |                            | 1997          |
| Riverport Dr 13900           | Industrial     | —            | 1,197        | 8,590                  | 427                                     | 1,197                  | 9,017      | 10,214                 | 4,109     | 1992             |                            | 1997          |
| Riverport Dr                 | Office         | —            | 2,285        | 9,473                  | 891                                     | 2,285                  | 10,364     | 12,649                 | 4,534     | 1999             |                            | 1999          |
| Riverport I                  | Industrial     | —            | 900          | 2,568                  | 637                                     | 900                    | 3,205      | 4,105                  | 1,571     | 1999             |                            | 1999          |
| Riverport II                 | Industrial     | —            | 1,238        | 4,128                  | 1,581                                   | 1,238                  | 5,709      | 6,947                  | 2,108     | 2000             |                            | 2000          |
| Riverport III                | Industrial     | —            | 1,269        | 1,904                  | 2,375                                   | 1,269                  | 4,279      | 5,548                  | 1,837     | 2001             |                            | 2001          |
| Riverport IV                 | Industrial     | —            | 1,864        | 3,362                  | 1,736                                   | 1,864                  | 5,098      | 6,962                  | 1,711     | 2007             |                            | 2007          |
| McDonough, Georgia           |                |              |              |                        |   |                        |            |                        |           |                  |                            |               |
| 120 Declaration Dr           | Industrial     | —            | 615          | 8,377                  | 1,126                                   | 615                    | 9,503      | 10,118                 | 3,280     | 1997             |                            | 1999          |
| 250 Declaration Dr           | Industrial     | 19,539       | 2,273        | 11,552                 | 2,860                                   | 2,312                  | 14,373     | 16,685                 | 4,609     | 2001             |                            | 2001          |
| McKinney, Texas              |                |              |              |                        |   |                        |            |                        |           |                  |                            |               |
| Baylor McKinney MOB I        | Medical Office | —            | 313          | 18,762                 | 6,255                                   | 313                    | 25,017     | 25,330                 | 1,465     | 2012             |                            | 2012          |
| Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania  |                |              |              |                        |   |                        |            |                        |           |                  |                            |               |
| 500 Independence Avenue      | Industrial     | —            | 4,494        | 15,711                 | —                                       | 4,494                  | 15,711     | 20,205                 | 289       | 2008             |                            | 2013          |
| Melrose Park, Illinois       |                |              |              |                        |   |                        |            |                        |           |                  |                            |               |
|                              | Industrial     | —            | 5,907        | 17,578                 | (18)                                    | 5,907                  | 17,560     | 23,467                 | 2,183     | 2000             |                            | 2010          |



|  |                     |        |        |     |        |        |        |       |      |  |      |
|--|---------------------|--------|--------|-----|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|--|------|
| Melrose<br>Business<br>Center  |                     |        |        |     |        |        |        |       |      |  |      |
| Mendota<br>Heights,<br>Minnesota<br>Enterprise<br>Industrial<br>Center | Industrial—         | 864    | 4,888  | 730 | 864    | 5,618  | 6,482  | 2,468 | 1979 |  | 1997 |
| Mequon,<br>Wisconsin<br>Seton<br>Professional<br>Building              | Medical<br>Office — | 560    | 13,281 | 93  | 560    | 13,374 | 13,934 | 929   | 1994 |  | 2012 |
| Miami, Florida<br>9601 NW 112<br>Ave - Dade<br>Paper                   | Industrial—         | 11,626 | 14,651 | —   | 11,626 | 14,651 | 26,277 | 132   | 2003 |  | 2013 |
| Middletown,<br>Delaware<br>560 Merrimac<br>Ave.                        | Industrial—         | 25,138 | 40,561 | 627 | 25,139 | 41,187 | 66,326 | 2,771 | 2012 |  | 2012 |
| Milwaukee,<br>Wisconsin<br>Water Tower<br>Medical<br>Commons           | Medical<br>Office — | 1,024  | 43,728 | 72  | 1,024  | 43,800 | 44,824 | 2,438 | 2007 |  | 2012 |
| Minooka,<br>Illinois<br>801 Midpoint<br>Rd                             | Industrial—         | 6,282  | 33,196 | —   | 6,282  | 33,196 | 39,478 | —     | 2008 |  | 2013 |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name   | Building Type | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |           | Development | Cost Capitalized      |           | Book Value |        | Accum. Depr. (1) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|--|---------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------|--------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|  |               |              | Branches     | Buildings |             | Land/Land Acquisition | Buildings | Total      |        |                  |                            |               |
| Modesto,<br>California<br>1000 Oates<br>Court                    | Industrial    | 14,273       | 10,115       | 18,397    | —           | 10,115                | 18,397    | 28,512     | 1,251  | 2002             |                            | 2012          |
| Moosic,<br>Pennsylvania<br>Shoppes at<br>Montage                 | Retail        | —            | 21,347       | 36,367    | 3,134       | 21,347                | 39,501    | 60,848     | 19,063 | 2007             |                            | 2009          |
| Morgans<br>Point, Texas<br>Barbours Cut<br>I                     | Industrial    | —            | 1,482        | 8,209     | —           | 1,482                 | 8,209     | 9,691      | 1,226  | 2004             |                            | 2010          |
| Barbours Cut<br>II   | Industrial    | —            | 1,447        | 8,471     | —           | 1,447                 | 8,471     | 9,918      | 1,265  | 2005             |                            | 2010          |
| Morrisville,<br>North<br>Carolina<br>507 Airport<br>Blvd<br>5151 | Industrial    | —            | 1,327        | 6,885     | 1,981       | 1,351                 | 8,842     | 10,193     | 3,226  | 1993             |                            | 1999          |
| McCrimmon<br>Pkwy<br>2600  | Office        | —            | 1,318        | 6,584     | 3,260       | 1,342                 | 9,820     | 11,162     | 3,553  | 1995             |                            | 1999          |
| Perimeter<br>Park Dr<br>2400                                     | Industrial    | —            | 975          | 4,997     | 1,444       | 991                   | 6,425     | 7,416      | 2,612  | 1997             |                            | 1999          |
| Perimeter<br>Park Drive<br>3000                                  | Office        | —            | 760          | 5,417     | 1,939       | 778                   | 7,338     | 8,116      | 2,622  | 1999             |                            | 1999          |
| Perimeter<br>Park Dr (Met<br>1)<br>2900                          | Industrial    | —            | 482          | 2,385     | 1,343       | 491                   | 3,719     | 4,210      | 1,491  | 1989             |                            | 1999          |
| Perimeter<br>Park Dr (Met<br>2)                                  | Industrial    | —            | 235          | 1,587     | 1,371       | 264                   | 2,929     | 3,193      | 1,153  | 1990             |                            | 1999          |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |            |   |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
|---|------------|---|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| 2800<br>Perimeter<br>Park Dr (Met<br>3) | Industrial | — | 777   | 4,341  | 1,184 | 843   | 5,459  | 6,302  | 2,050 | 1992 | 1999 |
| 1100<br>Perimeter<br>Park Drive         | Office     | — | 777   | 5,447  | 2,645 | 794   | 8,075  | 8,869  | 3,024 | 1990 | 1999 |
| 1500<br>Perimeter<br>Park Drive         | Office     | — | 1,148 | 10,072 | 2,029 | 1,177 | 12,072 | 13,249 | 4,457 | 1996 | 1999 |
| 1600<br>Perimeter<br>Park Drive         | Office     | — | 1,463 | 9,169  | 2,445 | 1,513 | 11,564 | 13,077 | 4,850 | 1994 | 1999 |
| 1800<br>Perimeter<br>Park Drive         | Office     | — | 907   | 4,930  | 2,027 | 993   | 6,871  | 7,864  | 2,639 | 1994 | 1999 |
| 2000<br>Perimeter<br>Park Drive         | Office     | — | 788   | 5,038  | 1,095 | 842   | 6,079  | 6,921  | 2,500 | 1997 | 1999 |
| 1700<br>Perimeter<br>Park Drive         | Office     | — | 1,230 | 8,838  | 4,022 | 1,260 | 12,830 | 14,090 | 4,840 | 1997 | 1999 |
| 5200 East<br>Paramount<br>Parkway       | Office     | — | 1,748 | 9,093  | 1,475 | 1,797 | 10,519 | 12,316 | 559   | 1999 | 1999 |
| 2700<br>Perimeter<br>Park               | Industrial | — | 662   | 1,250  | 1,920 | 662   | 3,170  | 3,832  | 1,063 | 2001 | 2001 |
| 5200 West<br>Paramount                  | Office     | — | 1,831 | 10,001 | 1,831 | 1,831 | 11,832 | 13,663 | 3,873 | 2001 | 2001 |
| 2450<br>Perimeter<br>Park Drive         | Office     | — | 669   | 2,259  | 178   | 669   | 2,437  | 3,106  | 735   | 2002 | 2002 |
| 3800<br>Paramount<br>Parkway            | Office     | — | 2,657 | 4,399  | 3,666 | 2,657 | 8,065  | 10,722 | 2,256 | 2006 | 2006 |
| Lenovo BTS<br>I                         | Office     | — | 1,439 | 16,956 | 1,518 | 1,439 | 18,474 | 19,913 | 6,290 | 2006 | 2006 |
| Lenovo BTS<br>II                        | Office     | — | 1,725 | 16,804 | 2,004 | 1,725 | 18,808 | 20,533 | 5,750 | 2007 | 2007 |
| 5221<br>Paramount<br>Parkway            | Office     | — | 1,661 | 13,600 | 3,005 | 1,661 | 16,605 | 18,266 | 4,041 | 2008 | 2008 |
| 2250<br>Perimeter<br>Park               | Office     | — | 2,290 | 6,642  | 2,445 | 2,290 | 9,087  | 11,377 | 3,400 | 2008 | 2008 |
| Perimeter<br>One                        | Office     | — | 5,880 | 9,831  | 9,165 | 5,750 | 19,126 | 24,876 | 6,386 | 2007 | 2007 |
| The Market<br>at Perimeter<br>Park      | Retail     | — | 1,149 | 1,688  | 524   | 1,149 | 2,212  | 3,361  | 584   | 2009 | 2009 |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|                   |             |     |       |     |     |       |       |       |      |      |
|-------------------|-------------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| 100<br>Innovation | Industrial— | 633 | 3,748 | 681 | 633 | 4,429 | 5,062 | 1,794 | 1994 | 1999 |
| 101<br>Innovation | Industrial— | 615 | 3,958 | 190 | 615 | 4,148 | 4,763 | 1,489 | 1997 | 1999 |
| 200<br>Innovation | Industrial— | 357 | 3,949 | 311 | 357 | 4,260 | 4,617 | 1,552 | 1999 | 1999 |
| 501<br>Innovation | Industrial— | 640 | 5,571 | 220 | 640 | 5,791 | 6,431 | 2,085 | 1999 | 1999 |

-110-

---

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name  | Building Type  | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |           | Cost of Development | Capitalized Subsequent Land/Building Acquisition | Book Value 12/31/13 | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------|--|---------------------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|   |                |              | Land         | Buildings |                     |  |                     |           |                  |                            |               |
| 1000 Innovation                                       | Industrial     | —            | 514          | 2,926     | 231                 | 514  | 3,157               | 3,671     | 969              | 1996                       | 2002          |
| 1200 Innovation                                       | Industrial     | —            | 740          | 4,416     | 362                 | 740  | 4,778               | 5,518     | 1,466            | 1996                       | 2002          |
| 400 Innovation  | Industrial     | —            | 908          | 1,240     | 387                 | 908  | 1,627               | 2,535     | 870              | 2004                       | 2004          |
| Murfreesboro, Tennessee<br>Middle Tenn Med Ctr - MOB  | Medical Office | —            | —            | 20,564    | 5,048               | 7  | 25,605              | 25,612    | 5,705            | 2008                       | 2008          |
| Naperville, Illinois<br>1835 Jefferson 175            | Industrial     | —            | 3,180        | 7,959     | 5                   | 3,184  | 7,960               | 11,144    | 2,629            | 2005                       | 2003          |
| Ambassador Dr   | Industrial     | —            | 4,778        | 11,252    | 11                  | 4,778  | 11,263              | 16,041    | 1,936            | 2006                       | 2010          |
| 1860 W. Jefferson                                     | Industrial     | 18,223       | 7,016        | 35,581    | 9                   | 7,016  | 35,590              | 42,606    | 3,109            | 2000                       | 2012          |
| Nashville, Tennessee<br>Airpark East-800 Commerce Dr. | Industrial     | 2,209        | 1,564        | 2,363     | 1,093               | 1,564  | 3,456               | 5,020     | 954              | 2002                       | 2002          |
| Riverview Office Building                             | Office         | —            | 847          | 4,739     | 2,099               | 847  | 6,838               | 7,685     | 2,449            | 1983                       | 1999          |
| Nashville Business Center I                           | Industrial     | —            | 936          | 5,871     | 1,280               | 936  | 7,151               | 8,087     | 2,898            | 1997                       | 1999          |
| Nashville Business Center II                          | Industrial     | —            | 5,659        | 8,804     | 954                 | 5,659  | 9,758               | 15,417    | 3,688            | 2005                       | 2005          |
| Four-Forty Business Center I                          | Industrial     | —            | 938          | 6,428     | 125                 | 938  | 6,553               | 7,491     | 2,403            | 1997                       | 1999          |
| Four-Forty Business                                   | Industrial     | —            | 1,812        | 7,102     | 1,275               | 1,812  | 8,377               | 10,189    | 3,179            | 1998                       | 1999          |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|  |             |       |        |        |       |        |        |        |       |      |      |
|--|-------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Center III<br>Four-Forty<br>Business<br>Center IV<br>Four-Forty<br>Business<br>Center V<br>Four-Forty<br>Business<br>Center II | Industrial— | 1,522 | 5,175  | 1,094  | 1,522 | 6,269  | 7,791  | 2,169  | 1997  | 1999 |      |
|  | Industrial— | 471   | 2,236  | 746    | 471   | 2,982  | 3,453  | 1,117  | 1999  | 1999 |      |
|  | Industrial  | 2,451 | 1,108  | 4,829  | 3     | 1,108  | 4,832  | 5,940  | 546   | 1996 | 2010 |
| New Century,<br>Kansas<br>New Century<br>Building One  | Industrial  | 9,317 | 1,710  | 18,279 | 123   | 1,710  | 18,402 | 20,112 | 567   | 2007 | 2013 |
| Norfolk,<br>Virginia<br>1400 Sewells<br>Point Rd   | Industrial  | 1,584 | 1,463  | 5,723  | 575   | 1,463  | 6,298  | 7,761  | 1,357 | 1983 | 2007 |
| Northlake,<br>Illinois<br>Northlake I<br>Northlake<br>III-Grnd Whse<br>200 Champion<br>Way                                     | Industrial  | 7,753 | 5,721  | 9,123  | 871   | 5,721  | 9,994  | 15,715 | 2,802 | 2002 | 2002 |
|  | Industrial  | 5,553 | 5,382  | 5,708  | 253   | 5,382  | 5,961  | 11,343 | 2,295 | 2006 | 2006 |
|  | Industrial— | 3,554 | 12,262 | 22     | 3,554 | 12,284 | 15,838 | 1,178  | 1997  | 2011 |      |
| Orlando,<br>Florida<br>Southcenter<br>I-Brede/Allied<br>BTS  | Industrial— | 3,094 | 3,337  | 121    | 3,094 | 3,458  | 6,552  | 1,485  | 2003  | 2003 |      |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty Limited  
Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name  | Building Type  | Encumbrances | Initial Cost   |           | Cost Capitalized |             | Book Value |        | Accum. Depr. (1) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|---|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|------------|--------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|   |                |              | Land/Buildings | Buildings | Land/Buildings   | Development | Total      |        |                  |                            |               |
| Parksouth Distribution Ctr. B                         | Industrial     | —            | 565            | 4,471     | 550              | 570         | 5,016      | 5,586  | 1,859            | 1996                       | 1999          |
| Parksouth Distribution Ctr. A                         | Industrial     | —            | 493            | 4,331     | 834              | 498         | 5,160      | 5,658  | 1,820            | 1997                       | 1999          |
| Parksouth Distribution Ctr. D                         | Industrial     | —            | 593            | 4,056     | 996              | 597         | 5,048      | 5,645  | 1,835            | 1998                       | 1999          |
| Parksouth Distribution Ctr. E                         | Industrial     | —            | 649            | 4,422     | 1,204            | 677         | 5,598      | 6,275  | 1,970            | 1997                       | 1999          |
| Parksouth Distribution Ctr. F                         | Industrial     | —            | 1,030          | 4,695     | 1,773            | 1,232       | 6,266      | 7,498  | 2,556            | 1999                       | 1999          |
| Parksouth Distribution Ctr. H                         | Industrial     | —            | 725            | 3,017     | 823              | 754         | 3,811      | 4,565  | 1,282            | 2000                       | 2000          |
| Parksouth Distribution Ctr. C                         | Industrial     | —            | 598            | 1,766     | 1,695            | 674         | 3,385      | 4,059  | 1,199            | 2003                       | 2001          |
| Parksouth-Benjamin Moore BTS                          | Industrial     | —            | 708            | 2,067     | 62               | 1,129       | 1,708      | 2,837  | 825              | 2003                       | 2003          |
| Crossroads VII  | Industrial     | —            | 2,803          | 5,891     | 3,257            | 2,803       | 9,148      | 11,951 | 3,881            | 2006                       | 2006          |
| Crossroads VIII                                       | Industrial     | —            | 2,701          | 4,424     | 1,914            | 2,701       | 6,338      | 9,039  | 1,693            | 2007                       | 2007          |
| E Orlando Med Surgery Plaza                           | Medical Office | —            | 683            | 14,011    | 37               | 683         | 14,048     | 14,731 | 911              | 2009                       | 2012          |
| Otsego, Minnesota Gateway North 1                     | Industrial     | —            | 2,243          | 3,959     | 1,253            | 2,287       | 5,168      | 7,455  | 1,629            | 2007                       | 2007          |
| Pasadena, Texas Interport Bldg I                      | Industrial     | —            | 5,715          | 32,523    | 35               | 5,715       | 32,558     | 38,273 | 875              | 2007                       | 2013          |
| Pembroke Pines, Florida PNC Ground Lease-Nursery Site | Grounds        | —            | 1,752          | —         | 5                | 1,757       | —          | 1,757  | 91               | n/a                        | 2011          |
| Phoenix, Arizona Estrella Buckeye                     | Industrial     | —            | 1,796          | 5,889     | 411              | 1,796       | 6,300      | 8,096  | 1,564            | 1996                       | 2010          |
| Riverside Business Center                             | Industrial     | —            | 5,349          | 13,154    | 884              | 5,349       | 14,038     | 19,387 | 3,018            | 2007                       | 2011          |
| Plainfield, Illinois Edward Plainfield MOB I          | Medical Office | —            | —              | 8,688     | 1,542            | —           | 10,230     | 10,230 | 3,388            | 2006                       | 2007          |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|                     |            |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |
|---------------------|------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|
| Plainfield, Indiana |            |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |
| Plainfield Building | Industrial | 15,451 | 1,104 | 11,151 | 455   | 1,104 | 11,606 | 12,710 | 4,430 | 2000 |
| 1                   |            |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       | 2000 |
| Plainfield Building | Industrial | 15,495 | 1,387 | 7,863  | 3,253 | 2,868 | 9,635  | 12,503 | 4,939 | 2000 |
| 2                   |            |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       | 2000 |
| Plainfield Building | Industrial | 15,826 | 2,016 | 8,852  | 2,637 | 2,016 | 11,489 | 13,505 | 3,057 | 2002 |
| 3                   |            |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       | 2002 |
| Plainfield Building | Industrial | 12,095 | 2,726 | 6,488  | 989   | 2,726 | 7,477  | 10,203 | 3,103 | 2004 |
| 5                   |            |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       | 2004 |
| Plainfield Building | Industrial | 21,170 | 4,527 | 11,088 | 1,105 | 4,527 | 12,193 | 16,720 | 3,582 | 2006 |
| 8                   |            |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       | 2006 |

-112-

---



Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name  | Building Type  | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |           | Cost Capitalized |                        | Gross Book Value |            | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|   |                |              | Land         | Buildings | Development      | Land/Land Acquisitions | Buildings        | Intangible |           |                  |                            |               |
| Plano, Texas<br>Baylor<br>Plano<br>MOB                      | Medical Office | —            | 16           | 28,010    | 4,066            | 49                     | 32,043           | 32,092     | 4,083     | 2009             |                            | 2009          |
| Plantation, Florida<br>Royal<br>Palm I                      | Office         | —            | 10,209       | 30,823    | 952              | 10,209                 | 31,775           | 41,984     | 8,177     | 2001             |                            | 2010          |
| Royal<br>Palm II  | Office         | —            | 8,935        | 29,954    | 2,462            | 8,935                  | 32,416           | 41,351     | 6,956     | 2007             |                            | 2010          |
| Crossroads<br>Business<br>Park 1                            | Office         | 10,721       | 3,735        | 11,407    | 1,219            | 3,735                  | 12,626           | 16,361     | 2,040     | 1997             |                            | 2011          |
| Crossroads<br>Business<br>Park 2                            | Office         | 14,505       | 2,610        | 12,018    | 1,180            | 2,610                  | 13,198           | 15,808     | 2,301     | 1998             |                            | 2011          |
| Crossroads<br>Business<br>Park 3                            | Office         | 16,147       | 3,938        | 13,085    | 3,081            | 3,938                  | 16,166           | 20,104     | 1,865     | 1999             |                            | 2011          |
| Crossroads<br>Business<br>Park 4                            | Office         | —            | 3,037        | 11,462    | 3,787            | 3,037                  | 15,249           | 18,286     | 1,498     | 2001             |                            | 2011          |
| Crossroads<br>Bus.<br>Pk.-So.<br>Trust                      | Grounds        | —            | 864          | —         | —                | 864                    | —                | 864        | 10        | n/a              |                            | 2011          |
| Plymouth, Minnesota<br>Medicine<br>Lake<br>Indus.<br>Center | Industrial     | —            | 1,145        | 5,662     | 1,890            | 1,145                  | 7,552            | 8,697      | 3,418     | 1970             |                            | 1997          |
| Pompano<br>Beach,<br>Florida                                | Industrial     | —            | 3,165        | 8,949     | 1,185            | 3,165                  | 10,134           | 13,299     | 1,129     | 2000             |                            | 2010          |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|                             |            |       |       |       |     |       |       |        |       |      |      |
|-----------------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Atlantic Business Center 1  | Industrial | —     | 2,663 | 8,751 | 684 | 2,663 | 9,435 | 12,098 | 1,188 | 2001 | 2010 |
| Atlantic Business Center 2  | Industrial | —     | 2,764 | 8,553 | 152 | 2,764 | 8,705 | 11,469 | 1,189 | 2001 | 2010 |
| Atlantic Business Center 3  | Industrial | —     | 1,804 | 6,156 | 31  | 1,804 | 6,187 | 7,991  | 787   | 2002 | 2010 |
| Atlantic Business Center 4A | Industrial | 4,331 | 1,834 | 5,531 | 30  | 1,834 | 5,561 | 7,395  | 739   | 2002 | 2010 |
| Atlantic Business Center 4B | Industrial | —     | 1,980 | 6,139 | 203 | 1,980 | 6,342 | 8,322  | 834   | 2002 | 2010 |
| Atlantic Business Center 5A | Industrial | —     | 1,995 | 6,257 | 4   | 1,995 | 6,261 | 8,256  | 708   | 2004 | 2010 |
| Atlantic Business Center 5B | Industrial | —     | 1,999 | 6,256 | 50  | 1,999 | 6,306 | 8,305  | 839   | 2004 | 2010 |
| Atlantic Business Center 6A | Industrial | —     | 1,988 | 6,337 | 30  | 1,988 | 6,367 | 8,355  | 846   | 2002 | 2010 |
| Atlantic Business Center 6B | Industrial | —     | 2,194 | 4,205 | 2   | 2,194 | 4,207 | 6,401  | 523   | 2005 | 2010 |
| Atlantic Business Center 7A | Industrial | —     | 2,066 | 6,925 | 4   | 2,066 | 6,929 | 8,995  | 868   | 2004 | 2010 |
| Atlantic Business Center 7B | Industrial | 4,589 | 1,616 | 3,785 | 48  | 1,616 | 3,833 | 5,449  | 560   | 2005 | 2010 |
| Atlantic Business Center 8  | Industrial | 2,831 | 1,429 | 2,329 | 27  | 1,429 | 2,356 | 3,785  | 307   | 2006 | 2010 |
| Atlantic Business Center 9  | Industrial | 4,045 | 1,710 | 3,804 | 86  | 1,710 | 3,890 | 5,600  | 482   | 1989 | 2010 |
| Copans Business Park 3      | Industrial | 4,159 | 1,781 | 3,435 | 38  | 1,781 | 3,473 | 5,254  | 497   | 1989 | 2010 |
| Copans Business Park 4      | Office     | 5,657 | 1,613 | 4,569 | 781 | 1,613 | 5,350 | 6,963  | 712   | 1985 | 2010 |
| Central Business Park 1     | Industrial | 1,022 | 634   | 502   | 37  | 634   | 539   | 1,173  | 82    | 1982 | 2010 |
| Central Business Park 2     | Industrial | —     | 2,663 | 8,751 | 684 | 2,663 | 9,435 | 12,098 | 1,188 | 2001 | 2010 |

Business  
Park 2  
Park  
Central  
Business  
Park 3

|            |       |     |       |    |     |       |       |     |      |      |
|------------|-------|-----|-------|----|-----|-------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Industrial | 1,314 | 638 | 1,007 | 42 | 638 | 1,049 | 1,687 | 129 | 1982 | 2010 |
|------------|-------|-----|-------|----|-----|-------|-------|-----|------|------|

-113-

---

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
 Limited Partnership  
 Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
 December 31, 2013  
 (in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name                     | Building Type | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |       | Development or Acquisition | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to 12/31/13 | Gross Book Value Land/Buildings | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|--------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|                          |               |              | Buildings    | Land  |                            |   |                                 |           |                  |                            |               |
| Park Central Business    | Industrial    | 1,858        | 938          | 1,076 | 231                        | 938                                     | 1,307                           | 2,245     | 157              | 1985                       | 2010          |
| Park 4                   |               |              |              |       |                            |   |                                 |           |                  |                            |               |
| Park Central Business    | Industrial    | 2,595        | 1,125        | 1,430 | 482                        | 1,125                                   | 1,912                           | 3,037     | 230              | 1986                       | 2010          |
| Park 5                   |               |              |              |       |                            |   |                                 |           |                  |                            |               |
| Park Central Business    | Industrial    | 1,757        | 1,088        | 1,002 | 103                        | 1,088                                   | 1,105                           | 2,193     | 186              | 1986                       | 2010          |
| Park 6                   |               |              |              |       |                            |   |                                 |           |                  |                            |               |
| Park Central Business    | Industrial    | 2,110        | 979          | 950   | 27                         | 979                                     | 977                             | 1,956     | 259              | 1986                       | 2010          |
| Park 7                   |               |              |              |       |                            |   |                                 |           |                  |                            |               |
| Park Central Business    | Industrial    | 3,619        | 1,688        | 2,299 | (4)                        | 1,688                                   | 2,295                           | 3,983     | 472              | 1999                       | 2010          |
| Park 10                  |               |              |              |       |                            |   |                                 |           |                  |                            |               |
| Park Central Business    | Industrial    | 5,685        | 3,098        | 3,454 | 1,002                      | 3,098                                   | 4,456                           | 7,554     | 589              | 1995                       | 2010          |
| Park 11                  |               |              |              |       |                            |   |                                 |           |                  |                            |               |
| Pompano Commerce Ctr I   | Industrial    | —            | 3,250        | 5,425 | 319                        | 3,250                                   | 5,744                           | 8,994     | 1,235            | 2010                       | 2010          |
| Pompano Commerce Ctr III | Industrial    | —            | 3,250        | 5,704 | —                          | 3,250                                   | 5,704                           | 8,954     | 1,268            | 2010                       | 2010          |
| Sample 95 Business       | Industrial    | 6,882        | 3,300        | 6,423 | 43                         | 3,300                                   | 6,466                           | 9,766     | 802              | 1999                       | 2010          |
| Park 1                   |               |              |              |       |                            |   |                                 |           |                  |                            |               |
| Sample 95 Business       | Industrial    | 10,202       | 2,963        | 6,367 | 23                         | 2,963                                   | 6,390                           | 9,353     | 788              | 1999                       | 2011          |
| Park 2                   |               |              |              |       |                            |   |                                 |           |                  |                            |               |
| Sample 95 Business       | Industrial    | 8,812        | 3,713        | 4,465 | 154                        | 3,713                                   | 4,619                           | 8,332     | 665              | 1999                       | 2011          |
| Park 3                   |               |              |              |       |                            |   |                                 |           |                  |                            |               |
| Sample 95 Business       | Industrial    | —            | 1,688        | 5,408 | 115                        | 1,688                                   | 5,523                           | 7,211     | 833              | 1999                       | 2010          |
| Park 4                   |               |              |              |       |                            |   |                                 |           |                  |                            |               |
| Copans Business          | Industrial    | —            | 1,856        | 3,236 | 506                        | 1,856                                   | 3,742                           | 5,598     | 504              | 1989                       | 2011          |
| Park 1                   | Industrial    | —            | 1,988        | 3,528 | 139                        | 1,988                                   | 3,667                           | 5,655     | 456              | 1989                       | 2011          |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|  |            |       |       |        |     |       |        |        |       |      |      |
|--|------------|-------|-------|--------|-----|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Copans<br>Business<br>Park 2<br>Park Central<br>Business | Industrial | 6,918 | 4,136 | 6,592  | 439 | 4,136 | 7,031  | 11,167 | 862   | 1998 | 2011 |
| Park 8-9<br>Park Central<br>Business                     | Industrial | 8,143 | 2,696 | 6,170  | 61  | 2,696 | 6,231  | 8,927  | 728   | 1998 | 2011 |
| Park 12<br>Park Central<br>Business                      | Industrial | 2,786 | 1,635 | 2,910  | 68  | 1,635 | 2,978  | 4,613  | 363   | 1996 | 2011 |
| Park 14<br>Park Central<br>Business                      | Industrial | 2,289 | 1,500 | 2,150  | 223 | 1,500 | 2,373  | 3,873  | 282   | 1998 | 2011 |
| Park 15<br>Park Central<br>Business                      | Industrial | 3,957 | 2,438 | 3,311  | 210 | 2,438 | 3,521  | 5,959  | 545   | 1997 | 2011 |
| Park 33<br>Atlantic<br>Business Ctr.<br>10-KFC           | Grounds    | —     | 771   | —      | —   | 771   | —      | 771    | 13    | n/a  | 2010 |
| Port<br>Wentworth,<br>Georgia<br>318 Grange<br>Road      | Industrial | 1,148 | 957   | 4,157  | 98  | 957   | 4,255  | 5,212  | 935   | 2001 | 2006 |
| 246 Grange<br>Road                                       | Industrial | 4,830 | 1,191 | 8,294  | 10  | 1,191 | 8,304  | 9,495  | 2,201 | 2006 | 2006 |
| 100 Ocean<br>Link<br>Way-Godley<br>Rd                    | Industrial | 8,741 | 2,306 | 12,075 | 797 | 2,336 | 12,842 | 15,178 | 2,431 | 2006 | 2006 |
| 500<br>Expansion<br>Blvd                                 | Industrial | 3,777 | 649   | 6,282  | 130 | 649   | 6,412  | 7,061  | 1,183 | 2006 | 2008 |
| 400<br>Expansion<br>Blvd                                 | Industrial | 8,714 | 1,636 | 14,506 | 19  | 1,636 | 14,525 | 16,161 | 2,866 | 2007 | 2008 |
| 605<br>Expansion<br>Blvd                                 | Industrial | 5,134 | 1,615 | 7,456  | 25  | 1,615 | 7,481  | 9,096  | 1,533 | 2007 | 2008 |
| 405<br>Expansion<br>Blvd                                 | Industrial | 2,016 | 535   | 3,194  | —   | 535   | 3,194  | 3,729  | 394   | 2008 | 2009 |
| 600<br>Expansion<br>Blvd                                 | Industrial | 5,774 | 1,248 | 9,392  | —   | 1,248 | 9,392  | 10,640 | 1,148 | 2008 | 2009 |
| 602<br>Expansion<br>Blvd                                 | Industrial | —     | 1,840 | 10,981 | 27  | 1,859 | 10,989 | 12,848 | 1,242 | 2009 | 2009 |

Raleigh,  
North  
Carolina  
Crabtree  
Overlook

|        |   |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
|--------|---|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| Office | — | 2,164 | 14,571 | 1,354 | 2,164 | 15,925 | 18,089 | 5,070 | 2001 | 2001 |
|--------|---|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|

-114-

---

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
 Limited Partnership  
 Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation Schedule III  
 December 31, 2013  
 (in thousands)

| Name  | Building Type  | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |              | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Development | Grossed Up Land/Land Acquisition | Book Value |          | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|----------------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|   |                |              | Buildings    | Improvements |  |                                  | 12/31/13   | 12/31/13 |           |                  |                            |               |
| WakeMed Brier Creek Healthplex                | Medical Office | —            | 10           | 6,653        | 401  | 10                               | 7,054      | 7,064    | 465       | 2011             | 2011                       |               |
| WakeMed Raleigh Medical Park                  | Medical Office | —            | 15           | 12,078       | 3,294                                      | 15                               | 15,372     | 15,387   | 733       | 2012             | 2012                       |               |
| Walnut Creek Business Park I                  | Industrial     | —            | 419          | 1,780        | 662  | 442                              | 2,419      | 2,861    | 801       | 2001             | 2001                       |               |
| Walnut Creek Business Park II                 | Industrial     | —            | 456          | 2,276        | 437  | 487                              | 2,682      | 3,169    | 891       | 2001             | 2001                       |               |
| Walnut Creek Business Park III                | Industrial     | —            | 679          | 2,927        | 1,372                                      | 719                              | 4,259      | 4,978    | 1,256     | 2001             | 2001                       |               |
| Walnut Creek Business Park IV                 | Industrial     | —            | 2,038        | 1,843        | 1,452                                      | 2,083                            | 3,250      | 5,333    | 1,826     | 2004             | 2004                       |               |
| Walnut Creek Business Park V                  | Industrial     | —            | 1,718        | 2,976        | 602  | 1,718                            | 3,578      | 5,296    | 1,079     | 2008             | 2008                       |               |
| Redlands, California Redlands Commerce Center | Industrial     | 17,379       | 20,031       | 18,893       | 29   | 20,031                           | 18,922     | 38,953   | 615       | 2001             | 2013                       |               |
| Rome, Georgia Harbin Cancer Center            | Medical Office | —            | 718          | 14,032       | —  | 718                              | 14,032     | 14,750   | 881       | 2010             | 2012                       |               |
| Harbin Clinic Heart Center                    | Medical Office | —            | 2,556        | 10,363       | —  | 2,556                            | 10,363     | 12,919   | 466       | 1994             | 2012                       |               |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |                   |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |      |      |
|---|-------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|
| Harbin Clinic<br>1825<br>MarthaBerry                        | Medical<br>Office | —     | —      | 28,714 | 18     | —      | 28,732 | 28,732 | 1,124  | 1960 | 2012 |
| Harbin Clinic<br>Rome<br>Dialysis                           | Medical<br>Office | —     | 190    | 765    | —      | 190    | 765    | 955    | 51     | 2005 | 2012 |
| Harbin<br>Specialty<br>Center                               | Medical<br>Office | —     | 2,203  | 14,764 | —      | 2,203  | 14,764 | 16,967 | 838    | 2007 | 2012 |
| Romeoville,<br>Illinois<br>Park 55<br>Bldg. 1               | Industrial        | 7,307 | 6,433  | 7,707  | 1,278  | 6,433  | 8,985  | 15,418 | 3,386  | 2005 | 2005 |
| Crossroads 2  | Industrial        | 6,183 | 2,938  | 9,791  | 201    | 2,938  | 9,992  | 12,930 | 1,481  | 1999 | 2010 |
| Crossroads 5  | Industrial        | —     | 5,296  | 6,199  | 272    | 5,296  | 6,471  | 11,767 | 2,287  | 2009 | 2010 |
| Roseville,<br>Minnesota<br>I-35 Business<br>Center 1        | Industrial        | —     | 1,655  | 5,966  | 107    | 1,655  | 6,073  | 7,728  | 557    | 1998 | 2011 |
| I-35 Business<br>Center 2                                   | Industrial        | —     | 1,373  | 4,220  | 31     | 1,373  | 4,251  | 5,624  | 451    | 2000 | 2011 |
| Roswell,<br>Georgia<br>North Fulton<br>Medical<br>Plaza     | Medical<br>Office | —     | 291    | 10,908 | 772    | 291    | 11,680 | 11,971 | 720    | 2012 | 2012 |
| San Antonio,<br>Texas<br>Christus<br>Santa Rosa<br>Hospital | Medical<br>Office | 9,825 | 5,267  | 10,660 | 197    | 5,267  | 10,857 | 16,124 | 1,481  | 2005 | 2011 |
| Sandy<br>Springs,<br>Georgia<br>Center Pointe<br>I & II     | Medical<br>Office | —     | 13,552 | 18,788 | 22,953 | 13,562 | 41,731 | 55,293 | 12,924 | 2010 | 2007 |



Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
 Limited Partnership  
 Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
 December 31, 2013  
 (in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name               | Building Type | Encumbered Lands | Initial Cost |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to 12/31/13 |                            | Book Value 12/31/13 |                | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|--------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|---|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|                    |               |                  | Lands        | Buildings | Development                             | Land/Leasehold Acquisition | Buildings           | Land/Leasehold |           |                  |                            |               |
| Savannah, Georgia  |               |                  |              |           |   |                            |                     |                |           |                  |                            |               |
| 198 Gulfstream     | Industrial    | 5,359            | 549          | 3,805     | 154                                     | 549                        | 3,959               | 4,508          | 885       | 1997             |                            | 2006          |
| 194 Gulfstream     | Industrial    | —                | 412          | 2,514     | 15                                      | 412                        | 2,529               | 2,941          | 539       | 1998             |                            | 2006          |
| 190 Gulfstream     | Industrial    | 391              | 689          | 4,391     | 181                                     | 689                        | 4,572               | 5,261          | 940       | 1999             |                            | 2006          |
| 250 Grange Road    | Industrial    | 2,211            | 928          | 8,648     | 7                                       | 928                        | 8,655               | 9,583          | 2,217     | 2002             |                            | 2006          |
| 248 Grange Road    | Industrial    | 942              | 664          | 3,496     | 8                                       | 664                        | 3,504               | 4,168          | 904       | 2002             |                            | 2006          |
| 163 Portside Court | Industrial    | 20,205           | 8,433        | 8,366     | 35                                      | 8,433                      | 8,401               | 16,834         | 3,824     | 2004             |                            | 2006          |
| 151 Portside Court | Industrial    | 1,896            | 966          | 7,140     | 137                                     | 966                        | 7,277               | 8,243          | 1,489     | 2003             |                            | 2006          |
| 175 Portside Court | Industrial    | 10,708           | 4,300        | 15,696    | 679                                     | 4,791                      | 15,884              | 20,675         | 4,659     | 2005             |                            | 2006          |
| 150 Portside Court | Industrial    | —                | 3,071        | 22,520    | 1,367                                   | 3,071                      | 23,887              | 26,958         | 6,443     | 2001             |                            | 2006          |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name                                      | Building Type  | Encumbrance | Initial Cost |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to 12/31/13 | Gross Book Value |           | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|---|----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|---|------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|   |                |             | Land         | Buildings |   | Land             | Buildings |           |                  |                            |               |
| 235 Jimmy Deloach Parkway                 | Industrial     | —           | 1,074        | 8,442     | 44                                      | 1,074            | 8,486     | 9,560     | 2,208            | 2001                       | 2006          |
| 239 Jimmy Deloach Parkway                 | Industrial     | —           | 1,074        | 7,141     | 37                                      | 1,074            | 7,178     | 8,252     | 1,889            | 2001                       | 2006          |
| 246 Jimmy Deloach Parkway                 | Industrial     | 2,935       | 992          | 5,383     | 81                                      | 992              | 5,464     | 6,456     | 1,450            | 2006                       | 2006          |
| 200 Ocean Link Way                        | Industrial     | 5,750       | 878          | 10,021    | 90                                      | 883              | 10,106    | 10,989    | 2,089            | 2006                       | 2008          |
| 2509 Dean Forest Rd - Westport            | Industrial     | —           | 2,392        | 8,303     | 641                                     | 2,959            | 8,377     | 11,336    | 1,271            | 2008                       | 2011          |
| 276 Jimmy Deloach Land                    | Grounds        | —           | 2,267        | —         | 3                                       | 2,270            | —         | 2,270     | 358              | n/a                        | 2006          |
| Sea Brook, Texas Bayport Logistics Center | Industrial     | —           | 2,629        | 13,284    | —                                       | 2,629            | 13,284    | 15,913    | 2,048            | 2009                       | 2010          |
| Sebring, Florida Sebring Medical Pavilion | Medical Office | —           | 393          | 6,870     | 35                                      | 393              | 6,905     | 7,298     | 409              | 2008                       | 2012          |
| Seven Hills, Ohio Rock Run North          | Office         | —           | 837          | 5,239     | (299)                                   | 837              | 4,940     | 5,777     | 2,530            | 1984                       | 1996          |
| Rock Run Center                           | Office         | —           | 1,046        | 6,251     | (2,723)                                 | 1,046            | 3,528     | 4,574     | 2,937            | 1985                       | 1996          |
| Shakopee, Minnesota                       | Industrial     | —           | 1,496        | 6,309     | —                                       | 1,496            | 6,309     | 7,805     | 680              | 2000                       | 2011          |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |                   |       |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
|---|-------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|
| MN Valley<br>West                       |                   |       |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| Sharonville,<br>Ohio                    |                   |       |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| Mosteller<br>Distribution<br>Ctr. II    | Industrial—       | 828   | 3,199 | 1,479  | 408   | 5,098 | 5,506  | 2,202  | 1997  |      | 1997 |
| Snellville,<br>Georgia                  |                   |       |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| New<br>Hampton<br>Place                 | Medical<br>Office | —     | 27    | 6,076  | 531   | 27    | 6,607  | 6,634  | 817   | 2011 | 2011 |
| St. Louis,<br>Missouri                  |                   |       |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |      |
| Lakeside<br>Crossing<br>Building<br>One | Industrial—       | 547   | 812   | 728    | 431   | 1,656 | 2,087  | 436    | 2002  |      | 2002 |
| Lakeside<br>Crossing<br>Building II     | Industrial—       | 732   | 1,105 | 57     | 731   | 1,163 | 1,894  | 527    | 2003  |      | 2003 |
| Lakeside<br>Crossing<br>Building III    | Industrial—       | 1,784 | 3,453 | 543    | 1,502 | 4,278 | 5,780  | 1,362  | 2002  |      | 2002 |
| 530<br>Maryville<br>Centre<br>550       | Office            | —     | 2,219 | 13,972 | 3,652 | 2,219 | 17,624 | 19,843 | 7,695 | 1990 | 1997 |
| Maryville<br>Centre<br>635-645          | Office            | —     | 1,996 | 10,323 | 2,825 | 1,996 | 13,148 | 15,144 | 5,429 | 1988 | 1997 |
| Maryville<br>Centre<br>655              | Office            | —     | 3,048 | 16,794 | 4,521 | 3,048 | 21,315 | 24,363 | 9,143 | 1987 | 1997 |
| Maryville<br>Centre<br>540              | Office            | —     | 1,860 | 13,067 | 2,489 | 1,860 | 15,556 | 17,416 | 6,818 | 1994 | 1997 |
| Maryville<br>Centre<br>520              | Office            | —     | 2,219 | 13,313 | 2,997 | 2,219 | 16,310 | 18,529 | 7,462 | 1990 | 1997 |
| Maryville<br>Centre<br>625              | Office            | —     | 2,404 | 13,843 | 2,618 | 2,404 | 16,461 | 18,865 | 6,225 | 1999 | 1999 |
| Maryville<br>Centre                     | Office            | —     | 2,509 | 10,694 | 2,265 | 2,509 | 12,959 | 15,468 | 4,557 | 1996 | 2002 |
| Westport<br>Center I                    | Industrial—       | 1,315 | 4,420 | 1,348  | 1,315 | 5,768 | 7,083  | 2,363  | 1998  |      | 1998 |
|   | Industrial—       | 707   | 1,763 | 609    | 707   | 2,372 | 3,079  | 976    | 1998  |      | 1998 |

|   |             |       |       |     |       |       |       |       |      |      |
|---|-------------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| Westport<br>Center II<br>Westport<br>Center III | Industrial— | 1,206 | 2,623 | 953 | 1,206 | 3,576 | 4,782 | 1,681 | 1999 | 1999 |
|---|-------------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|

-117-

---

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name   | Building Type  | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to 12/31/13 |           | Gross Book Value |        | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|---|-----------|------------------|--------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|  |                |              | Land         | Buildings | Land/Land Acquisition                   | Buildings |                  |        |           |                  |                            |               |
| Westport Center V                                      | Industrial     | —            | 493          | 1,274     | 429                                     | 493       | 1,703            | 2,196  | 619       | 2000             | 2000                       |               |
| Westmark   | Office         | —            | 1,491        | 9,064     | 2,870                                   | 1,336     | 12,089           | 13,425 | 6,133     | 1987             | 1995                       |               |
| Westview Place   | Office         | —            | 669          | 6,320     | 4,671                                   | 669       | 10,991           | 11,660 | 5,715     | 1988             | 1995                       |               |
| Woodsmill Commons II (400)                             | Office         | —            | 1,718        | 6,776     | 1,256                                   | 1,718     | 8,032            | 9,750  | 2,716     | 1985             | 2003                       |               |
| Woodsmill Commons I (424)                              | Office         | —            | 1,836        | 6,614     | 1,452                                   | 1,836     | 8,066            | 9,902  | 2,770     | 1985             | 2003                       |               |
| Stafford, Texas<br>Stafford Distribution Center        | Industrial     | —            | 3,502        | 4,232     | 3,321                                   | 3,502     | 7,553            | 11,055 | 2,598     | 2008             | 2008                       |               |
| Sterling, Virginia<br>22800 Davis Drive                | Office         | —            | 2,550        | 11,250    | 31                                      | 2,550     | 11,281           | 13,831 | 2,518     | 1989             | 2006                       |               |
| 22714 Glenn Drive                                      | Industrial     | —            | 3,973        | 3,617     | 1,047                                   | 3,973     | 4,664            | 8,637  | 1,329     | 2007             | 2007                       |               |
| Suffolk, Virginia<br>101 Industrial Dr, Bldg. A        | Industrial     | —            | 1,558        | 8,080     | 24                                      | 1,558     | 8,104            | 9,662  | 1,230     | 2007             | 2007                       |               |
| 103 Industrial Dr                                      | Industrial     | —            | 1,558        | 8,080     | 60                                      | 1,558     | 8,140            | 9,698  | 1,229     | 2007             | 2007                       |               |
| Summerville, Georgia<br>Harbin Clinic Summerville Dial | Medical Office | —            | 195          | 1,182     | —                                       | 195       | 1,182            | 1,377  | 126       | 2007             | 2012                       |               |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |                   |        |        |        |       |        |        |        |        |      |      |
|---|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|
| Sumner,<br>Washington<br>Sumner<br>Transit      | Industrial        | 14,829 | 16,032 | 5,935  | 278   | 16,032 | 6,213  | 22,245 | 2,716  | 2005 | 2007 |
| Sunrise,<br>Florida<br>Sawgrass -<br>Building B | Office            | —      | 1,211  | 4,263  | 2,785 | 1,211  | 7,048  | 8,259  | 2,436  | 1999 | 2001 |
| Sawgrass -<br>Building A                        | Office            | —      | 1,147  | 3,849  | 722   | 1,147  | 4,571  | 5,718  | 1,609  | 2000 | 2001 |
| Sawgrass<br>Pointe I                            | Office            | —      | 3,484  | 18,313 | 9,796 | 3,484  | 28,109 | 31,593 | 11,377 | 2002 | 2002 |
| Sawgrass<br>Pointe II                           | Office            | —      | 3,481  | 11,973 | (11 ) | 3,481  | 11,962 | 15,443 | 4,676  | 2009 | 2009 |
| VA<br>Outpatient                                | Medical<br>Office | —      | 5,132  | 20,887 | 218   | 5,132  | 21,105 | 26,237 | 1,240  | 2008 | 2012 |
| Suwanee,<br>Georgia<br>90 Horizon<br>Drive      | Industrial        | —      | 180    | 1,274  | 107   | 180    | 1,381  | 1,561  | 192    | 2001 | 2010 |
| 225 Horizon<br>Drive                            | Industrial        | —      | 457    | 2,089  | 134   | 457    | 2,223  | 2,680  | 286    | 1990 | 2010 |
| 250 Horizon<br>Drive                            | Industrial        | —      | 1,625  | 6,470  | 130   | 1,625  | 6,600  | 8,225  | 982    | 1997 | 2010 |
| 70 Crestridge<br>Drive                          | Industrial        | —      | 956    | 3,537  | 134   | 956    | 3,671  | 4,627  | 522    | 1998 | 2010 |
| 2780<br>Horizon<br>Ridge                        | Industrial        | —      | 1,143  | 5,834  | 126   | 1,143  | 5,960  | 7,103  | 870    | 1997 | 2010 |
| 2800 Vista<br>Ridge Drive                       | Industrial        | —      | 1,557  | 2,354  | 433   | 1,557  | 2,787  | 4,344  | 395    | 1995 | 2010 |
| 25 Crestridge<br>Drive                          | Industrial        | —      | 723    | 2,564  | 1,043 | 723    | 3,607  | 4,330  | 368    | 1999 | 2010 |
| Genera Corp.<br>BTS<br>1000                     | Industrial        | —      | 1,505  | 4,958  | —     | 1,505  | 4,958  | 6,463  | 834    | 2006 | 2010 |
| Northbrook<br>Parkway                           | Industrial        | —      | 756    | 3,970  | 346   | 756    | 4,316  | 5,072  | 702    | 1986 | 2010 |

-118-

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty  
Limited Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name                            | Building Type | Encumbrances | Initial Cost |           | Costs Incurred Subsequent to Acquisition |                | Gross Book Value |        | Total (1) | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed/Renovated | Year Acquired |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--|----------------|------------------|--------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|                                 |               |              | Land         | Buildings | Development                              | Land/Buildings | 12/31/13         |        |           |                  |                            |               |
| Tampa, Florida                  |               |              |              |           |  |                |                  |        |           |                  |                            |               |
| Fairfield Distribution Ctr I    | Industrial    | 1,630        | 483          | 2,539     | 315                                      | 487            | 2,850            | 3,337  | 993       | 1998             |                            | 1999          |
| Fairfield Distribution Ctr II   | Industrial    | 2,782        | 530          | 4,794     | 271                                      | 534            | 5,061            | 5,595  | 1,830     | 1998             |                            | 1999          |
| Fairfield Distribution Ctr III  | Industrial    | 1,626        | 334          | 2,745     | 134                                      | 338            | 2,875            | 3,213  | 1,068     | 1999             |                            | 1999          |
| Fairfield Distribution Ctr IV   | Industrial    | 1,660        | 600          | 1,516     | 1,318                                    | 604            | 2,830            | 3,434  | 1,103     | 1999             |                            | 1999          |
| Fairfield Distribution Ctr V    | Industrial    | 1,742        | 488          | 2,620     | 263                                      | 488            | 2,883            | 3,371  | 1,046     | 2000             |                            | 2000          |
| Fairfield Distribution Ctr VI   | Industrial    | 2,577        | 555          | 3,575     | 872                                      | 555            | 4,447            | 5,002  | 1,425     | 2001             |                            | 2001          |
| Fairfield Distribution Ctr VII  | Industrial    | 1,472        | 394          | 1,853     | 814                                      | 394            | 2,667            | 3,061  | 852       | 2001             |                            | 2001          |
| Fairfield Distribution Ctr VIII | Industrial    | 1,798        | 1,082        | 2,071     | 420                                      | 1,082          | 2,491            | 3,573  | 1,117     | 2004             |                            | 2004          |
| Eagle Creek Business Ctr. I     | Industrial    | —            | 3,705        | 2,355     | 1,052                                    | 3,705          | 3,407            | 7,112  | 1,787     | 2006             |                            | 2006          |
| Eagle Creek Business Ctr. II    | Industrial    | —            | 2,354        | 1,669     | 977                                      | 2,354          | 2,646            | 5,000  | 1,153     | 2007             |                            | 2007          |
| Eagle Creek Business Ctr. III   | Industrial    | —            | 2,332        | 2,237     | 1,739                                    | 2,332          | 3,976            | 6,308  | 1,616     | 2007             |                            | 2007          |
| Temple, Texas                   |               | —            | 1,534        | 17,382    | 641                                      | 1,613          | 17,944           | 19,557 | 247       | 2013             |                            | 2013          |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|  |                   |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |  |      |
|--|-------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|--|------|
| Bone & Joint Medical<br>Institute Office         |                   |        |       |        |       |       |        |        |       |      |  |      |
| Tracy,<br>California<br>1400<br>Pescadero<br>Ave | Industrial        | 22,958 | 9,633 | 39,644 | —     | 9,633 | 39,644 | 49,277 | 1,029 | 2008 |  | 2013 |
| Visalia,<br>California<br>2500 North<br>Plaza Dr | Industrial        | 13,669 | 2,746 | 22,503 | —     | 2,746 | 22,503 | 25,249 | 563   | 2001 |  | 2013 |
| Waco, Texas<br>Hillcrest<br>MOB 1                | Medical<br>Office | —      | 812   | 25,050 | 1,760 | 812   | 26,810 | 27,622 | 1,931 | 2009 |  | 2012 |
| Hillcrest<br>MOB 2                               | Medical<br>Office | —      | 502   | 12,243 | —     | 502   | 12,243 | 12,745 | 827   | 2009 |  | 2012 |
| Hillcrest<br>Cancer<br>Center @<br>Waco          | Medical<br>Office | —      | 1,844 | 11,006 | 388   | 1,926 | 11,312 | 13,238 | 112   | 2013 |  | 2013 |
| West Chester,<br>Ohio<br>Centre<br>Pointe I      | Office            | —      | 2,501 | 7,441  | 899   | 2,501 | 8,340  | 10,841 | 2,655 | 2000 |  | 2004 |
| Centre<br>Pointe II                              | Office            | —      | 2,056 | 8,103  | 1,005 | 2,056 | 9,108  | 11,164 | 2,753 | 2001 |  | 2004 |
| Centre<br>Pointe III                             | Office            | —      | 2,048 | 7,013  | 2,050 | 2,048 | 9,063  | 11,111 | 2,821 | 2002 |  | 2004 |
| Centre<br>Pointe IV                              | Office            | —      | 2,013 | 8,715  | 1,540 | 2,932 | 9,336  | 12,268 | 3,938 | 2005 |  | 2005 |
| Centre<br>Pointe VI                              | Office            | —      | 2,759 | 7,955  | 3,987 | 2,759 | 11,942 | 14,701 | 3,713 | 2008 |  | 2008 |
| World Park<br>at Union<br>Centre 10              | Industrial        | —      | 2,150 | 5,503  | 7,408 | 2,151 | 12,910 | 15,061 | 6,154 | 2006 |  | 2006 |
| World Park<br>at Union<br>Centre 11              | Industrial        | —      | 2,592 | 6,923  | 47    | 2,592 | 6,970  | 9,562  | 3,502 | 2004 |  | 2004 |
| World Park<br>at Union<br>Centre 2               | Industrial        | —      | 287   | 2,338  | 205   | 287   | 2,543  | 2,830  | 333   | 1999 |  | 2010 |
| World Park<br>at Union<br>Centre 3               | Industrial        | —      | 1,125 | 6,042  | —     | 1,125 | 6,042  | 7,167  | 789   | 1998 |  | 2010 |
| World Park<br>at Union<br>Centre 5               | Industrial        | —      | 482   | 2,528  | 15    | 482   | 2,543  | 3,025  | 408   | 1999 |  | 2010 |



|                                    |             |       |       |     |       |       |       |     |      |      |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|------|------|
| World Park<br>at Union<br>Centre 6 | Industrial— | 1,219 | 6,415 | 214 | 1,219 | 6,629 | 7,848 | 896 | 1999 | 2010 |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|------|------|

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Duke Realty Corporation and Duke Realty Limited  
Partnership  
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

Schedule III

| Name   | Building Type  | Encumbrance | Initial Cost |           | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Development or Acquisition | Gross Book Value 12/31/13 |                  |           | Accum. Depr. (2) | Year Constructed |
|--|----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|---|---------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|
|  |                |             | Land         | Buildings |   | Land/Land Improvements    | Buildings/Trails | Total (1) |                  |                  |
| World Park at Union Centre 7                         | Industrial     | —           | 1,918        | 5,230     | 299   | 1,918                     | 5,529            | 7,447     | 1,094            | 2005             |
| World Park at Union Centre 8                         | Industrial     | —           | 1,160        | 6,028     | —   | 1,160                     | 6,028            | 7,188     | 796              | 1999             |
| World Park at Union Centre 9                         | Industrial     | —           | 1,189        | 6,165     | 125   | 1,189                     | 6,290            | 7,479     | 1,014            | 2001             |
| Wesley Chapel, Florida<br>Wesley Chapel Wellness MOB | Medical Office | —           | —            | 15,699    | 911   | —                         | 16,610           | 16,610    | 752              | 2012             |
| West Chicago, Illinois<br>1250 Carolina Drive        | Industrial     | —           | 1,246        | 4,073     | 124   | 1,246                     | 4,197            | 5,443     | 386              | 1990             |
| West Jefferson, Ohio<br>Restoration Hardware BTS     | Industrial     | —           | 6,454        | 24,812    | 16,006  | 9,989                     | 37,283           | 47,272    | 6,782            | 2008             |
| 15 Commerce Parkway                                  | Industrial     | —           | 10,439       | 27,143    | 63  | 10,439                    | 27,206           | 37,645    | 3,978            | 2011             |
| West Palm Beach, Florida<br>Park of Commerce 1       | Industrial     | —           | 1,635        | 2,486     | 148   | 1,635                     | 2,634            | 4,269     | 485              | 2010             |
| Park of Commerce 3                                   | Industrial     | —           | 2,160        | 4,340     | 506   | 2,320                     | 4,686            | 7,006     | 712              | 2010             |
| Airport Center 1                                     | Industrial     | —           | 2,437        | 6,212     | 16  | 2,437                     | 6,228            | 8,665     | 916              | 2002             |
| Airport Center 2                                     | Industrial     | —           | 1,706        | 4,495     | 11  | 1,706                     | 4,506            | 6,212     | 533              | 2002             |
| Airport Center 3                                     | Industrial     | —           | 1,500        | 4,750     | 335   | 1,500                     | 5,085            | 6,585     | 693              | 2002             |

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   |                |           |           |           |          |           |           |           |           |      |
|---|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|
| Park of Commerce 4                                | Grounds        | 5,732     | 5,934     | —         | —        | 5,934     | —         | 5,934     | 14        | n/a  |
| Park of Commerce 5                                | Grounds        | 6,034     | 6,308     | —         | —        | 6,308     | —         | 6,308     | 13        | n/a  |
| Whitestown, Indiana<br>AllPoints Anson Bldg 14    | Industrial     | —         | 2,127     | 8,155     | —        | 2,127     | 8,155     | 10,282    | 1,106     | 2007 |
| Woodstock, Georgia<br>NSH Cherokee Towne Lake MOB | Medical Office | —         | 21        | 16,026    | 1,279    | 21        | 17,305    | 17,326    | 327       | 2013 |
| Zionsville, Indiana<br>Marketplace at Anson       | Retail         | —         | 2,147     | 2,478     | 2,378    | 2,147     | 4,856     | 7,003     | 1,420     | 2007 |
| Accum. Depr. on Improvements of Undeveloped Land  |                | —         | —         | —         | —        | —         | —         | —         | 26,979    |      |
| Eliminations                                      |                | —         | —         | —         | (1,895 ) | (21 )     | (1,874 )  | (1,895 )  | (2,514 )  |      |
|   |                | 1,100,124 | 1,415,910 | 4,900,073 | 715,677  | 1,446,610 | 5,585,050 | 7,031,660 | 1,382,757 |      |

(1) The tax basis (in thousands) of our real estate assets at December 31, 2013 was approximately \$7,447,684 for federal income tax purposes.

Depreciation of real estate is computed using the straight-line method over 40 years for buildings and 15 years for land improvements for properties that we develop, 30 years for buildings and 10 years for land improvements for (2) properties that we acquire, and shorter periods based on lease terms (generally 3 to 10 years) for tenant improvements.

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

|   | Real Estate Assets |             |              | Accumulated Depreciation |             |             |
|---|--------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|   | 2013               | 2012        | 2011         | 2013                     | 2012        | 2011        |
| Balance at beginning of year                          | \$6,708,250        | \$6,038,107 | \$7,032,889  | \$1,296,685              | \$1,127,595 | \$1,406,437 |
| Acquisitions  | 474,213            | 658,917     | 669,631      |                          |             |             |
| Construction costs and tenant improvements            | 498,097            | 211,460     | 184,533      |                          |             |             |
| Depreciation expense                                  |                    |             |              | 288,583                  | 262,825     | 267,222     |
| Consolidation of previously unconsolidated properties | 14,081             | —           | 5,988        |                          |             |             |
| Cost of real estate sold or contributed               | (591,966 )         | (157,630 )  | (1,774,576 ) | (131,496 )               | (51,131 )   | (465,353 )  |
| Write-off of fully amortized assets                   | (71,015 )          | (42,604 )   | (80,358 )    | (71,015 )                | (42,604 )   | (80,711 )   |
| Balance at end of year                                | \$7,031,660        | \$6,708,250 | \$6,038,107  | \$1,382,757              | \$1,296,685 | \$1,127,595 |

See Accompanying Notes to Independent Auditors' Report

-121-

---

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION

/s/ Dennis D. Oklak  
Dennis D. Oklak  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Mark A. Denien  
Mark A. Denien  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

DUKE REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

By: DUKE REALTY CORPORATION, its general partner

/s/ Dennis D. Oklak  
Dennis D. Oklak  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the General Partner

/s/ Mark A. Denien  
Mark A. Denien  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the General Partner

Date: February 21, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

| Signature   | Date      | Title    |
|---|-----------|----------|
| /s/ Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr.*<br>Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr. | 1/29/2014 | Director |
| /s/ William Cavanaugh III*<br>William Cavanaugh III       | 2/4/2014  | Director |
| /s/ Alan H. Cohen*<br>Alan H. Cohen                       | 1/29/2014 | Director |
| /s/ Ngaire E. Cuneo*<br>Ngaire E. Cuneo                   | 1/29/2014 | Director |
| /s/ Charles R. Eitel*<br>Charles R. Eitel                 | 1/29/2014 | Director |
| /s/ Martin C. Jischke*<br>Martin C. Jischke               | 1/29/2014 | Director |
| /s/ Melanie R. Sabelhaus*<br>Melanie R. Sabelhaus         | 1/29/2014 | Director |
| /s/ Peter M. Scott III*<br>Peter M. Scott III             | 1/29/2014 | Director |
| /s/ Jack R. Shaw*<br>Jack R. Shaw                         | 1/29/2014 | Director |
| /s/ Lynn C. Thurber*<br>Lynn C. Thurber                   | 1/29/2014 | Director |
| /s/ Robert J. Woodward, Jr.*<br>Robert J. Woodward, Jr.   | 1/29/2014 | Director |

\* By Dennis D. Oklak, Attorney-in-Fact

/s/ Dennis D. Oklak