

BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES  
Form 10-Q  
August 08, 2006

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: **841105-D**

BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maine

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

PO Box 400

82 Main Street, Bar Harbor, ME

(Address of principal executive offices)

01-0393663

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

04609-0400

(Zip Code)

-

(207) 288-3314

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Inapplicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES \_\_\_\_\_  
NO

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer \_\_\_\_\_ Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer \_\_\_\_\_

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in exchange act rule 12b-2): YES: \_\_\_\_\_ NO:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date:

<u>Class of Common Stock</u>	<u>Number of Shares Outstanding August 2, 2006</u>
\$2.00 Par Value	3,047,715

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
<b>PART I</b>	<b>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>
Item 1.	Financial Statements ( <i>unaudited</i> ):
	Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005
	3
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005
	4
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005
	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005
	6
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005
	7
	Notes to Consolidated Interim Financial Statements
	8-16
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
	17-42
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
	42-45
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures
	46
<b>PART II</b>	<b>OTHER INFORMATION</b>
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings
	46
Item 1A.	Risk Factors
	46
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
	46
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities
	47
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
	47
Item 5.	Other Information
	48
Item 6.	Exhibits
	48
Signatures	48

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**  
**Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
JUNE 30, 2006 AND DECEMBER 31, 2005  
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)  
*(unaudited)*

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 10,051	\$ 10,994
Overnight interest bearing money market funds	4,538	3,006
Total cash and cash equivalents	14,589	14,000
Securities available for sale, at fair value	204,315	183,300
Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock	12,649	11,324
Loans	543,731	514,866
Allowance for loan losses	(4,511)	(4,647)
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	539,220	510,219
Premises and equipment, net	11,665	11,785
Goodwill	3,158	3,158
Bank owned life insurance	6,001	5,945
Other assets	10,351	8,723
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$801,948</b>	<b>\$748,454</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
<b>Deposits</b>		
Demand deposits	\$ 53,156	\$ 55,451
NOW accounts	62,360	66,965
Savings and money market deposits	153,185	133,113
Time deposits	129,753	129,816
Brokered time deposits	89,110	60,386
Total deposits	487,564	445,731
Short-term borrowings	166,625	131,338
Long-term debt	85,513	108,358
Other liabilities	6,776	6,923
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>746,478</b>	<b>692,350</b>
<b>Shareholders' equity</b>		
Capital stock, par value \$2.00; authorized 10,000,000 shares; issued 3,643,614 shares at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005	7,287	7,287
Surplus	4,073	4,002
Retained earnings	56,864	55,181
Accumulated other comprehensive loss:		

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

Net unrealized depreciation on securities available for sale and derivative instruments, net of taxes of \$1,973 and \$895 at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively	(3,829)	(1,738)
Less: cost of 594,824 and 583,655 shares of treasury stock at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively	(8,925)	(8,628)
<b>TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>55,470</b>	<b>56,104</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>\$801,948</b>	<b>\$748,454</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated interim financial statements.

**BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**  
**FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 AND 2005**  
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)  
*(unaudited)*

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	2005	June 30, 2006	2005
Interest and dividend income:				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 8,743	\$7,180	\$17,006	\$13,813
Interest and dividends on securities and federal funds	2,581	1,765	5,012	3,673
Total interest and dividend income	11,324	8,945	22,018	17,486
Interest expense:				
Deposits	3,101	1,558	5,710	2,849
Short-term borrowings	1,734	573	3,053	1,055
Long-term borrowings	1,221	1,464	2,500	2,921
Total interest expense	6,056	3,595	11,263	6,825
Net interest income	5,268	5,350	10,755	10,661
Provision for loan losses	15	25	43	25
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	5,253	5,325	10,712	10,636
Non-interest income:				
Trust and other financial services	568	540	1,072	1,015
Service charges on deposit accounts	405	355	748	644
Other service charges, commissions and fees	57	73	110	123
Credit card service charges and fees	393	377	623	578

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

Net securities gains	---	521	310	542
Other operating income	102	87	266	154
Total non-interest income	1,525	1,953	3,129	3,056
Non-interest expenses:				
Salaries and employee benefits	2,273	2,484	4,717	5,098
Occupancy expense	337	294	649	601
Furniture and equipment expense	422	415	922	846
Credit card expenses	250	266	416	401
Other operating expense	1,168	1,406	2,631	2,702
Total non-interest expenses	4,450	4,865	9,335	9,648
Income before income taxes	2,328	2,413	4,506	4,044
Income taxes	682	713	1,297	1,131
Net income	\$ 1,646	\$ 1,700	\$ 3,209	\$ 2,913
EARNINGS PER SHARE:				
Basic	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.05	\$ 0.94
Diluted	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.54	\$ 1.03	\$ 0.92

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated interim financial statements.

BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 AND 2005  
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)  
(unaudited)

	Capital Stock	Surplus	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Treasury Stock	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance December 31, 2004	\$7,287	\$4,002	\$51,733	\$ 1,118	\$(8,098)	\$56,042
Net income	---	---	2,913	---	---	2,913
Total other comprehensive loss	---	---	---	(1,069)	---	(1,069)
Cash dividends declared (\$0.42 per share)	---	---	(1,295)	---	---	(1,295)
Purchase of treasury stock (30,031 shares)	---	---	---	---	(823)	(823)

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

Stock options exercised (28,477 shares)	---	---	(336)	---	791	455
Balance June 30, 2005	\$7,287	\$4,002	\$53,015	\$ 49	\$(8,130)	\$56,223
Balance December 31, 2005	\$7,287	\$4,002	\$55,181	\$(1,738)	\$(8,628)	\$56,104
Net income	---	---	3,209	---	---	3,209
Total other comprehensive loss	---	---	---	(2,091)	---	(2,091)
Cash dividends declared (\$0.445 per share)	---	---	(1,358)	---	---	(1,358)
Purchase of treasury stock (27,435 shares)	---	---	---	---	(764)	(764)
Stock options exercised (16,266 shares)	---	---	(168)	---	467	299
Recognition of stock option expense	---	71	---	---	---	71
Balance June 30, 2006	\$7,287	\$4,073	\$56,864	\$(3,829)	\$(8,925)	\$55,470

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated interim financial statements.

BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 AND 2005  
(Dollars in thousands)  
(unaudited)

	2006	2005
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 3,209	\$ 2,913
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment	671	846
Amortization of core deposit intangible	33	33
Provision for loan losses	43	25
Net realized gains on sales of securities available for sale	(310)	(542)
Net amortization of bond premiums	133	466
Venture capital fund investment impairment loss	1	18
Recognition of stock option expense	71	---
Net change in other assets	(630)	(1,435)
Net change in other liabilities	(395)	410
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,826	2,734

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of securities available for sale	(41,811)	(6,635)
Proceeds from maturities, calls and principal paydowns of securities available for sale	14,902	19,762
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale	3,140	11,982
Net increase in Federal Home Loan Bank stock	(1,325)	(300)
Net loans made to customers	(29,044)	(36,316)
Capital expenditures	(551)	(1,055)
Net cash used in investing activities	(54,689)	(12,562)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net increase in deposits	41,833	9,688
Net decrease in securities sold under repurchase agreements	(4,562)	(1,511)
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances	40,500	5,300
Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(23,496)	(1,719)
Purchases of treasury stock	(764)	(823)
Stock options exercised	299	455
Payments of dividends	(1,358)	(1,295)
Net cash provided by financing activities	52,452	10,095
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	589	267
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	14,000	9,571
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 14,589	\$ 9,838
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 10,896	\$ 6,700
Income taxes, net of refunds	700	875
Non-cash transactions:		
Unrealized depreciation on securities available for sale, net of reclassification adjustment, net of tax of \$996 and \$506, respectively	(1,935)	(982)
Net unrealized depreciation on interest rate derivatives, net of tax of \$84 and \$46 respectively	(163)	(90)
Amortization of net deferred loss related to interest rate derivatives, net of tax of \$3 and \$1, respectively	7	3

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated interim financial statements.

BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 AND 2005

(Dollars in thousands)

(*unaudited*)

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005
Net income	\$ 1,646	\$ 1,700
Unrealized (depreciation) appreciation on securities available for sale, net of reclassification adjustment, net of tax of (\$686) and \$145, respectively	(1,331)	282
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation on interest rate derivatives, net of tax of (\$20) and \$78, respectively	(40)	151
Amortization of net deferred loss related to interest rate derivatives, net of tax of \$3 and \$1, respectively	6	2
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(1,365)	435
Total comprehensive income	\$ 281	\$ 2,135

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005
Net income	\$ 3,209	\$ 2,913
Unrealized depreciation on securities available for sale, net of reclassification adjustment, net of tax of \$996 and \$506, respectively	(1,935)	(982)
Net unrealized depreciation on interest rate derivatives, net of tax of \$84 and \$46, respectively	(163)	(90)
Amortization of net deferred loss related to interest rate derivatives, net of tax of \$3 and \$1, respectively	7	3
Total other comprehensive loss	(2,091)	(1,069)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 1,118	\$ 1,844

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated interim financial statements.

BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 JUNE 30, 2006  
 (Dollars in thousands, except per share data)  
 (*unaudited*)

Note 1: Basis of Presentation



The accompanying consolidated interim financial statements are unaudited. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. All inter-company transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Amounts in the prior period financial statements are reclassified whenever necessary to conform to current period presentation. The net income reported for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 is not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2006, or any other interim periods.

The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2005 has been derived from audited consolidated financial statements at that date. The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, and notes thereto.

#### Note 2: Management's Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, income tax estimates, and the valuation of intangible assets.

#### Allowance For Loan Losses:

The allowance for loan losses (the "allowance") at the Company's wholly owned banking subsidiary, Bar Harbor Bank & Trust (the "Bank") is a significant accounting estimate used in the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements. The allowance is available to absorb losses on loans. The allowance is maintained at a level that, in management's judgment, is appropriate for the amount of risk inherent in the loan portfolio, given past and present conditions. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to operating expense and by recoveries on loans previously charged off.

Arriving at an appropriate level of allowance for loan losses involves a high degree of judgment. The determination of the adequacy of the allowance and provisioning for estimated losses is evaluated regularly based on review of loans, with particular emphasis on non-performing and other loans that management believes warrant special consideration. The ongoing evaluation process includes a formal analysis, which considers among other factors: the character and size of the loan portfolio, business and economic conditions, real estate market conditions, collateral values, changes in product offerings or loan terms, changes in underwriting and/or collection policies, loan growth, previous charge-off experience, delinquency trends, nonperforming loan trends, the performance of individual loans in relation to contract terms, and estimated fair values of collateral.

Reserves are established for specific loans including impaired loans, a pool of reserves based on historical charge-offs by loan types, and supplemental reserves that adjust historical loss experience to reflect current economic conditions, industry specific risks, and other observable data.

While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, changing economic conditions and the economic prospects of the borrowers may necessitate future additions or reductions to the allowance. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank's allowance, which also may necessitate future additions or reductions to the allowance, based on information available to them at

the time of their examination.

#### Income Taxes:

The Company estimates its income taxes for each period for which a statement of income is presented. The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. If current available information indicates that it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will not be realized, a valuation allowance is established. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Significant management judgment is required in determining income tax expense, and deferred tax assets and liabilities. As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, there was no valuation allowance for deferred tax assets. Deferred tax assets are included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet.

#### Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets:

In connection with acquisitions, the Company generally records as assets on its consolidated financial statements both goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, such as core deposit intangibles.

The Company evaluates whether the carrying value of its goodwill has become impaired, in which case the value is reduced through a charge to its earnings. Goodwill is evaluated for impairment at least annually, or upon a triggering event as defined by SFAS No. 142, using certain fair value techniques.

Identifiable intangible assets consist of core deposit intangibles amortized over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line method, which approximates the amount of economic benefits to the Company. These assets are reviewed for impairment at least annually, or whenever management believes events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. Furthermore, the determination of which intangible assets have finite lives is subjective, as is the determination of the amortization period for such intangible assets.

Any changes in the estimates used by the Company to determine the carrying value of its goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, or which otherwise adversely affect their value or estimated lives, would adversely affect the Company's consolidated results of operations.

#### Note 3: Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share have been computed in accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share."

Basic earnings per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the Company, such as the Company's dilutive stock options.

The following is a reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

(in thousands, except number of shares and per share data)

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Net income	\$ 1,646	\$ 1,700	\$ 3,209	\$ 2,913
<u>Computation of Earnings Per Share:</u>				
Weighted average number of capital stock shares outstanding				
Basic	3,047,473	3,086,431	3,051,630	3,082,743
Effect of dilutive employee stock options	81,774	86,952	78,044	97,350
Diluted	3,129,247	3,173,383	3,129,674	3,180,093
EARNINGS PER SHARE:				
Basic	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.05	\$ 0.94
Diluted	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.54	\$ 1.03	\$ 0.92
Anti-dilutive options excluded from earnings per share calculation				
	80,476	53,398	82,874	17,821

Note 4: Stock Based Compensation

On October 3, 2000, the shareholders of the Company approved the Bar Harbor Bankshares and Subsidiaries Incentive Stock Option Plan of 2000 ("ISOP") for its officers and employees, which provides for the issuance of up to 450,000 shares of common stock. At June 30, 2006, 78,948 shares are still available for future stock option grants. The purchase price of the stock covered by each option shall be its fair market value, which must be equal to at least 100% of the fair market value on the date such option is granted. Vesting terms range from five to seven years. No option shall be granted after October 3, 2010, ten years after the effective date of the ISOP.

Implementation of New Accounting Standards:

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued a revision of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123. SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment," makes significant changes to accounting for "payments" involving employee compensation and "shares" or securities in the form of stock options, restricted stock or other arrangements settled in the reporting entity's securities. Most significant in the standard is the requirement that all stock options be measured at estimated fair value at the grant date and recorded as compensation expense over the requisite service period associated with the option, usually the vesting period. The revised standard was effective on January 1, 2006 for calendar-year public companies and is applied prospectively to stock options granted after the effective date and any unvested stock options at that date. The primary effect of the revised standard's implementation on the Company is recognition of compensation expense associated with stock options.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123(R), using the modified-prospective-transition method. Under that transition method, compensation expense that the Company recognizes beginning in 2006 includes: (a) the compensation expense for all stock-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value; and (b) the compensation expense for all share-based payments granted on or after January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value. Because the Company elected to use the modified-prospective-transition method, results for prior periods have not been restated. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", and related interpretations ("intrinsic value method"). Under the provisions of the Company's ISOP, the exercise price per share of each option granted cannot be less than the fair market value of the underlying common shares on the date of the grant. Accordingly, the Company previously did not recognize any stock-based employee compensation expense in net income prior to January 1, 2006.

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

Impact of the Adoption of SFAS No. 123(R):

As a result of adopting SFAS 123(R), for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company recorded expenses of \$36 and \$71, respectively.

Comparable Disclosures:

The following table illustrates the effect on the Company's net income and earnings per share had the Company applied fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) to its stock-based employee compensation in 2005:

Three Months Ended June 30, 2005:	Net Income	Earnings Per Share	
		Basic	Diluted
As reported	\$1,700	\$0.55	\$0.54
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effect.	66	0.02	0.02
Pro forma	\$1,634	\$0.53	\$0.52

  

Six Months Ended June 30, 2005:	Net Income	Earnings Per Share	
		Basic	Diluted
As reported	\$2,913	\$0.94	\$0.92
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effect.	87	0.03	0.03
Pro forma	\$2,826	\$0.91	\$0.89

The fair value was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions for stock option grants during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

	2006	2005
Risk free interest rate	5.05%	3.86%
Expected market volatility factor for the Company's stock	23.20%	10.05%
Dividend yield	2.95%	3.14%
Expected life of the options (years)	7.0	3.5
Options granted	8,000	18,500
Estimated fair value of options granted	\$ 6.98	\$ 2.83

Expected market price volatility for the grants in the six months ended June 30, 2006, was determined by using the Company's historical stock price volatility on a daily basis during the seven-year period ending June 30, 2006.

Stock Option Activity:

The following table summarizes the Company's stock option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2006:

Number of Stock Options Outstanding	Exercise Price Range	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Intrinsic Value
---	----------------------	---------------------------------------	--------------------

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

		From	To		
Outstanding at January 1, 2006	293,289	\$15.40	\$29.10	\$19.43	
Granted	8,000	\$28.20	\$28.20	\$29.30	
Exercised	(16,266)	\$15.40	\$23.20	\$18.37	
Cancelled	(7,538)	\$26.90	\$27.00	\$26.87	
Outstanding at June 30, 2006	277,485	\$15.40	\$29.80	\$19.58	\$ 9.39
Vested and expected to vest	234,076	\$15.40	\$29.80	\$18.92	\$10.04
Exercisable at June 30, 2006	120,896	\$15.40	\$29.80	\$17.18	\$11.77

The intrinsic value of the options exercised and cash received by the Company for options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2006 was approximately \$172 and \$299, respectively.

As of June 30, 2006, there was approximately \$427 of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock option awards net of estimated forfeitures. This is expected to be recognized as expense over the next 7 years.

Stock Options Outstanding:

The following table summarizes stock options outstanding by exercise price range at June 30, 2006:

Exercise Price or Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding As of 6/30/06	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable		
		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable As of 6/30/06	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term
\$15.40 \$15.80	58,893		\$15.43	32,312	\$15.42	
\$16.05 \$16.05	90,000		\$16.05	60,000	\$16.05	
\$17.75 \$25.80	57,906		\$19.55	20,433	\$19.24	
\$26.20 \$28.20	57,186		\$27.12	7,077	\$27.06	
\$28.90 \$29.80	13,500		\$29.34	1,074	\$29.09	
\$15.40 \$29.80	277,485	6.42	\$19.58	120,896	\$17.18	5.75

Note 5: Retirement Benefit Plans

The Company sponsors a limited post-retirement benefit program which funds medical coverage and life insurance benefits to a closed group of active and retired employees who meet minimum age and service requirements. It is the Company's policy to record the cost of post-retirement health care and life insurance plans based on actuarial estimates, which are dependent on claims and premiums paid. The cost of providing these benefits is accrued during the active service period of the employee.

The Company has non-qualified supplemental executive retirement plans for certain retired officers. These plans provide supplemental retirement benefits payable in installments over a period of years upon retirement or death. The Company recognized the net present value of payments associated with the agreements over the service periods of the participating officers. Interest costs continue to be recognized on the benefit obligations.

The Company also has supplemental executive retirement plans for certain executive officers. These plans provide a stream of future payments in accordance with individually defined vesting schedules upon retirement, termination, death, or in the event that the executive leaves the Company following a change of control event.

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the net periodic benefit costs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Health Care and Life Insurance		Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Three Months Ended				
Service cost	\$ ---	\$---	\$ 44	\$ 43
Interest cost	21	18	47	50
Amortization of actuarial loss	(4)	(4)	(1)	---
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 17	\$14	\$ 90	\$ 93
Six Months Ended				
Service cost	\$ ---	\$---	\$ 87	\$ 86
Interest cost	41	36	95	100
Amortization of actuarial loss	(8)	(8)	(2)	---
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 33	\$28	\$180	\$186

The Company is expected to contribute \$365 to the foregoing plans in 2006.

Note 6: Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

The Bank is a party to financial instruments in the normal course of business to meet financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, unused lines of credit, and standby letters of credit.

Commitments to originate loans, including unused lines of credit, are agreements to lend to a customer provided there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank uses the same credit policy to make such commitments as it uses for on-balance-sheet items, such as loans. The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower.

The Bank guarantees the obligations or performance of customers by issuing standby letters of credit to third parties. These standby letters of credit are primarily issued in support of third-party debt or obligations. The risk involved in issuing standby letters of credit is essentially the same as the credit risk involved in extending loan facilities to customers, and they are subject to the same credit origination, portfolio maintenance and management procedures in effect to monitor other credit and off-balance sheet instruments. Exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the counter-party to the financial instrument for standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. Typically, these standby letters of credit have terms of five years or less and expire unused; therefore, the total amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

The following table summarizes the contractual amounts of commitments and contingent liabilities as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Commitments to originate loans	\$27,058	\$40,779
Unused lines of credit	\$74,820	\$73,190
Un-advanced portions of construction loans	\$ 9,166	\$ 6,110
Standby letters of credit	\$ 115	\$ 115

## Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

As of June 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, the fair value of the standby letters of credit were not significant to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

### Note 7: Financial Derivative Instruments

As part of its overall asset and liability management strategy, the Bank periodically uses derivative instruments to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings and cash flows caused by interest rate volatility. The Bank's interest rate risk management strategy involves modifying the re-pricing characteristics of certain assets and liabilities so that changes in interest rates do not have a significant effect on net income.

The Company recognizes all of its derivative instruments on the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. On the date the derivative instrument is entered into, the Bank designates whether the derivative is part of a hedging relationship (i.e., cash flow or fair value hedge). The Bank formally documents relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking hedge transactions. The Bank also assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting the changes in cash flows or fair values of hedged items.

Changes in fair value of derivative instruments that are highly effective and qualify as a cash flow hedge are recorded in other comprehensive income or loss. Any ineffective portion is recorded in earnings. For fair value hedges that are highly effective, the gain or loss on the hedge and the loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are both recognized in earnings, with the differences (if any) representing hedge ineffectiveness. The Bank discontinues hedge accounting when it is determined that the derivative is no longer highly effective in offsetting changes of the hedged risk on the hedged item, or management determines that the designation of the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate.

At June 30, 2006 the Bank had four outstanding derivative instruments with notional principal amounts totaling \$50,000. These derivative instruments were interest rate swap agreements and interest rate floor agreements, with notional principal amounts totaling \$20,000 and \$30,000, respectively. The details are summarized as follows:

#### Interest Rate Swap Agreements:

Description	Maturity	Notional Amount	Fixed Interest Rate	Variable Interest Rate
Receive fixed rate, pay variable rate	09/01/07	\$10,000	6.04%	Prime (8.25%)
Receive fixed rate, pay variable rate	01/24/09	\$10,000	6.25%	Prime (8.25%)

The Bank is required to pay a counter-party monthly variable rate payments indexed to Prime, while receiving monthly fixed rate payments based upon interest rates of 6.04% and 6.25%, respectively, over the term of each agreement.

The interest rate swap agreements were designated as cash flow hedges in accordance with SFAS No. 133 Implementation Issue No. G25, "Cash Flow Hedges: Using the First-Payments Received Technique in Hedging the Variable Interest Payments on a Group of Non-Benchmark-Rate-Based Loans".

At June 30, 2006, the fair market value of the interest rate swap agreements was an unrealized loss of \$777 compared with \$641 and \$360 at December 31, and June 30, 2005, respectively. The fair market values of the interest rate swap agreements were included in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

## Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

At June 30, 2006, the net unrealized loss on the interest rate swap agreements included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, amounted to \$513, compared with \$423 and \$238 at December 31 and June 30, 2005, respectively. Also included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) at June 30, 2006, was a net deferred loss, net of tax, of \$7 related to the de-designation and re-designation of these interest rate swap agreements as cash flow hedges in 2004.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the total net cash flows (paid to) received from counter-parties amounted to (\$73) and (\$126), compared with \$22 and \$45 during the same periods in 2005. The net cash flows (paid to) received from counter-parties were recorded in interest income.

### Interest Rate Floor Agreements:

Notional Amount	Termination Date	Prime Strike Rate	Premium Paid
\$20,000	08/01/10	6.00%	\$186
\$10,000	11/01/10	6.50%	\$ 69

During 2005, interest rate floor agreements were purchased to limit the Bank's exposure to falling interest rates on two pools of loans indexed to the Prime interest rate. Under the terms of the agreements, the Bank paid premiums of \$186 and \$69 for the right to receive cash flow payments if the Prime interest rate falls below the floors of 6.00% and 6.50%, thus effectively ensuring interest income on the pools of prime-based loans at minimum rates of 6.00% and 6.50% for the duration of the agreements. The interest rate floor agreements were designated as cash flow hedges in accordance with SFAS 133.

At June 30, 2006, the total fair market value of the interest rate floor agreements was \$20 compared with \$131 at December 31, 2005. The fair market values of the interest rate floor agreements were included in other assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Pursuant to SFAS 133, changes in the fair market value, representing unrealized gains or losses, are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

The premiums paid on the interest rate floor agreements are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on the consolidated balance sheet and are being recognized in interest income over the duration of the agreements using the floorlet method, in accordance with SFAS 133. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, \$4 and \$6 of the premium was recognized in interest income, respectively. At June 30, 2006, the remaining unamortized premiums, net of tax, totaled \$163, compared with \$167 at December 31, 2005. During the next twelve months, \$30 of the premiums will be recognized in interest income, decreasing the interest income related to the hedged pool of Prime-based loans.

At June 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, the unamortized premium net of the unrealized loss on the interest rate floor agreement amounted to \$150 and \$80, net of tax, respectively, and was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss on the consolidated balance sheet.

### Note 8: Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

The following information addresses new or proposed accounting pronouncements that could have an impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, earnings per share, or cash flows.

#### Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes:

In July 2006, the FASB issued Financial Accounting Standards Interpretation No. 48 ("FIN 48"), "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes". FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes". FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attributable for the financial statement recognition and



measurement of a tax position taken, or expected to be taken, in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 (January 1, 2007 for the Company). The Company is currently analyzing the effects of FIN 48.

Accounting for Servicing Rights of Financial Assets:

In March 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 156, "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets". This statement amends Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities", with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS 156 requires companies to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract. The statement permits a company to choose either the amortized cost method or fair value measurement method for each class of separately recognized servicing assets. This statement is effective as of the beginning of a company's first fiscal year after September 15, 2006 (January 1, 2007 for the Company). The Company does not anticipate that the adoption of SFAS 156 will have a material impact on its financial condition or results of operations.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS

**OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, and selected financial and statistical information appearing elsewhere in this report on Form 10-Q. The purpose of this discussion is to highlight significant changes in the financial condition and results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries, and provide supplemental information and analysis.

Amounts in the prior period financial statements are reclassified whenever necessary to conform to current period presentation.

Unless otherwise noted, all dollars are expressed in thousands, except per share data.

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures:

Certain information discussed below is presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis. Specifically, included in second quarter 2006 and 2005 net interest income was \$475 and \$385, respectively, of tax-exempt interest income from certain investment securities and loans. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the amount of tax-exempt income included in net interest income was \$952 and \$821, respectively. An amount equal to the tax benefit derived from this tax-exempt income has been added back to the interest income and net interest income totals discussed in this Management's Discussion and Analysis, resulting in tax-equivalent adjustments of \$184 and \$151 in the second quarter of 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$371 and \$353 in tax-equivalent adjustments for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The analysis of net interest income tables included in this Form 10-Q provide a reconciliation of tax-equivalent financial information to the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Management believes the disclosure of tax-equivalent net interest income information improves the clarity of financial analysis, and is particularly useful to investors in understanding and evaluating the changes and trends in the Company's results of operations. Other financial institutions commonly present net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis. This adjustment is considered helpful in the comparison of one financial institution's net interest income to that of another institution, as each will have a different proportion of tax-exempt interest from their earning asset portfolios. Moreover, net interest income is a component of a second financial measure commonly used by financial

institutions, net interest margin, which is the ratio of net interest income to average earning assets. For purposes of this measure as well, other financial institutions generally use tax-equivalent net interest income to provide a better basis of comparison from institution to institution. The Company follows these practices.

#### FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS DISCLAIMER

Certain statements, as well as certain other discussions contained in this report on Form 10-Q, or incorporated herein by reference, contain statements which may be considered to be forward-looking within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the use of words like "strategy," "expects," "plans," "believes," "will," "estimates," "intends," "projects," "goals," "targets," and other words of similar meaning. You can also identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts.

Investors are cautioned that forward-looking statements are inherently uncertain. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, those made in connection with estimates with respect to the future results of operation, financial condition, and the business of the Company which are subject to change based on the impact of various factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected or suggested due to certain risks and uncertainties. Those factors include but are not limited to:

- (i) The Company's success is dependent to a significant extent upon general economic conditions in Maine, and Maine's ability to attract new business, as well as factors that affect tourism, a major source of economic activity in the Company's immediate market areas;
- (ii) The Company's earnings depend to a great extent on the level of net interest income (the difference between interest income earned on loans and investments and the interest expense paid on deposits and borrowings) generated by the Bank, and thus the Bank's results of operations may be adversely affected by increases or decreases in interest rates;
- (iii) The banking business is highly competitive and the profitability of the Company depends on the Bank's ability to attract loans and deposits in Maine, where the Bank competes with a variety of traditional banking and non-traditional institutions, such as credit unions and finance companies;
- (iv) A significant portion of the Bank's loan portfolio is comprised of commercial loans and loans secured by real estate, exposing the Company to the risks inherent in financings based upon analysis of credit risk, the value of underlying collateral, and other intangible factors which are considered in making commercial loans and, accordingly, the Company's profitability may be negatively impacted by judgment errors in risk analysis, by loan defaults, and the ability of certain borrowers to repay such loans during a downturn in general economic conditions;
- (v) A significant delay in or inability to execute strategic initiatives designed to increase revenues and or control expenses;
- (vi) The potential need to adapt to changes in information technology systems, on which the Company is highly dependent, could present operational issues or require significant capital spending;
- (vii) Significant changes in the Company's internal controls, or internal control failures;
- (viii) Acts or threats of terrorism and actions taken by the United States or other governments as a result of such threats, including military action, could further adversely affect business and economic conditions in the United States generally and in the Company's markets, which could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial performance and that of borrowers and on the financial markets and the price of the Company's common stock;
- (ix) Significant changes in the extensive laws, regulations, and policies governing bank holding companies and their subsidiaries could alter the Company's business environment or affect its operations; and
- (x) The Company's success in managing the risks involved in all of the foregoing matters.

The forward-looking statements contained herein represent the Company's judgment as of the date of this report on Form 10-Q, and the Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance on such statements. The Company

disclaims any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement contained in the succeeding discussion, or elsewhere in this report on Form 10-Q, except to the extent required by federal securities laws.

#### APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Management's discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition are based on the Consolidated Financial Statements, which are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of such financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management evaluates its estimates, including those related to the allowance for loan losses, on an ongoing basis. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis in making judgments about the carrying values of assets that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from the amount derived from management's estimates and assumptions under different assumptions or conditions.

The Company's significant accounting policies are more fully enumerated in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of its December 31, 2005 report on Form 10-K. The reader of the financial statements should review these policies to gain a greater understanding of how the Company's financial performance is reported. Management believes the following critical accounting policies represent the more significant estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements:

##### Allowance for Loan Losses

- Management believes the allowance for loan losses ("allowance") is a significant accounting estimate used in the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements. The allowance, which is established through a provision for loan loss expense, is based on management's evaluation of the level of allowance required in relation to the estimated inherent risk of loss in the loan portfolio. Management regularly evaluates the allowance for loan losses for adequacy by taking into consideration factors such as previous loss experience, the size and composition of the portfolio, current economic and real estate market conditions and the performance of individual loans in relation to contract terms and estimated fair values of collateral. The use of different estimates or assumptions could produce different provisions for loan losses. A smaller provision for loan losses results in higher net income, and when a greater amount of provision for loan losses is necessary the result is lower net income. Refer to Part I, Item 2 below, *Allowance for Loan Losses and Provision* in this report on Form 10-Q, for further discussion and analysis concerning the allowance.

##### Income Taxes

The Company estimates its income taxes for each period for which a statement of income is presented. This involves estimating the Company's actual current tax liability, as well as assessing temporary differences resulting from differing timing of recognition of expenses, income and tax credits, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The Company must also assess the likelihood that any deferred tax assets will be recovered from historical taxes paid and future taxable income and, to the extent that the recovery is not likely, a valuation allowance must be established. Significant management judgment is required in determining income tax expense, and deferred tax assets and liabilities. As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, there was no valuation allowance for deferred tax assets, which are included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet.

##### Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets -

The valuation techniques used by the Company to determine the carrying value of tangible and intangible assets acquired in acquisitions and the estimated lives of identifiable intangible assets involve estimates for discount rates,

projected future cash flows and time period calculations, all of which are susceptible to change based upon changes in economic conditions and other factors. Any changes in the estimates used by the Company to determine the carrying value of its goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, or which otherwise adversely affect their value or estimated lives, would adversely affect the Company's results of operations. Refer to Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this report on Form 10-Q for further details of the Company's accounting policies and estimates covering goodwill and other intangible assets.

## SUMMARY OVERVIEW

The Company reported consolidated net income of \$1.6 million or fully diluted earnings per share of \$0.53 for the three months ended June 30, 2006 compared with \$1.7 million or fully diluted earnings per share of \$0.54 for the same quarter in 2005, representing declines of \$54 thousand and \$0.01, or 3% and 2%, respectively. The annualized return on average equity ("ROE") and average assets ("ROA") amounted to 11.84% and 0.84%, respectively, compared with 12.11% and 1.01% for the same quarter in 2005.

As more fully enumerated below, the decline in second quarter 2006 net income compared with the same quarter in 2005 was affected by a \$521 thousand, or 100%, decline in realized gains on the sale of securities, substantially offset by a \$415 thousand decline in non-interest expense.

In addition, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (the "FHLB"), of which the Bank is a member and shareholder, did not declare a dividend on its stock during the second quarter of 2006. This action negatively impacted the Bank's second quarter net interest income by approximately \$168 thousand, based on historical dividend payout experience. Pursuant to the FHLB's "Dividend Schedule Transition Plan," the FHLB reported to its members and shareholders that it anticipates its third quarter 2006 dividend will be "grossed up" to an equivalent accrual period matching the number of days in the second and third quarters. In this manner, the FHLB anticipates declaring the equivalent of four quarterly dividends in 2006.

The foregoing declines in second quarter 2006 net income compared with the same quarter in 2005 were offset in part by a \$238 thousand or 17% decline in other operating expenses, a \$211 thousand or 15% decline in salaries and employee benefits expense, and a \$50 thousand or 14% increase in service charges on deposit accounts.

For the six months ended June 30, 2006, consolidated net income amounted to \$3.2 million or fully diluted earnings per share of \$1.03, compared with \$2.9 million or fully diluted earnings per share of \$0.92 for the same period in 2005, representing increases of \$296 thousand and \$0.11, or 10% and 12%, respectively. The ROE and ROA amounted to 11.50% and 0.84%, respectively, compared with 10.45% and 0.88% for the same period in 2005.

As more fully discussed below, the \$296 thousand increase in net income for the six months ended June 30, 2006 compared with the same period in 2005 was principally attributed to a \$313 thousand or 3% decline in non-interest expenses, a \$150 thousand gain on the sale of Bank owned real estate, and a \$104 thousand or 16% increase in service charges on deposit accounts.

The Company's total assets surpassed \$800 million during the second quarter. Total loans ended the second quarter at \$544 million, representing increases of \$29 million and \$59 million compared with December 31 and June 30, 2005, or 6% and 12%, respectively. Commercial loans continued to drive the overall growth of the Bank's loan portfolio, posting an increase of \$39 million, or 20%, compared with June 30, 2005.

At June 30, 2006, retail deposits totaled \$398 million, representing increases of \$13 million and \$34 million, or 3% and 9%, compared with December 31 and June 30, 2005, respectively.

The Company continued to exceed regulatory requirements for well-capitalized institutions, ending the second quarter with a Tier I Capital Ratio of 7.12%.

At June 30, 2006 the Company's tangible book value per share of common stock outstanding amounted to \$17.15, compared with \$17.24 at the same date in 2005.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the principal component of the Company's income stream and represents the difference or spread between interest generated from earning assets and the interest expense paid on deposits and borrowed funds. Net interest income is entirely generated by the Bank. Fluctuations in market interest rates as well as volume and mix changes in earning assets and interest bearing liabilities can materially impact net interest income.

For the three months ended June 30, 2006, net interest income on a fully tax-equivalent basis amounted to \$5,452, compared with \$5,501 during the second quarter of 2005, representing a decline of \$49, or 0.9%.

As previously discussed, the FHLB did not declare a dividend during the second quarter of 2006, which negatively impacted the Bank's second quarter net interest income by approximately \$168, or 5 basis points. As discussed below, the decline in second quarter 2006 net interest income was also attributed to a 56 basis point decline in the net interest margin compared with the same quarter in 2005.

For the six months ended June 30, 2006, net interest income on a fully tax-equivalent basis amounted to \$11,126, compared with \$11,014 during the same period in 2005, representing an increase of \$112, or 1.0%. The increase in net interest income was principally attributed to average earning asset growth, as the net interest margin declined 47 basis points to 3.02% compared with the six months ended June 30, 2005. As is widely the situation throughout the banking industry, the decline in the net interest margin was largely attributed to the successive increases in short-term interest rates by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and an inverted U.S. Treasury yield curve, the impact of which has caused the Bank's funding costs to increase at a faster pace than the yield on its earning asset portfolios.

Factors contributing to the changes in net interest income and the net interest margin are further enumerated in the following discussion and analysis.

### Net Interest Income Analysis:

The following tables summarize the Company's average balance sheets and components of net interest income, including a reconciliation of tax equivalent adjustments, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively:

**AVERAGE BALANCE SHEET AND  
ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME  
THREE MONTHS ENDED  
JUNE 30, 2006 AND 2005**

	2006	2006	2006	2005	2005	2005
	Average	Interest	Average	Average	Interest	Average
	Balance		Rate	Balance		Rate
Interest Earning Assets:						
Loans (1,3)	\$535,636	\$ 8,764	6.56%	\$472,594	\$7,189	6.10%
Taxable investment securities	172,830	2,143	4.97%	124,867	1,281	4.11%

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

Non-taxable investment securities (3)	34,696	578	6.68%	29,790	502	6.76%
Total Investments	207,526	2,721	5.26%	154,657	1,783	4.62%
Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock	12,494	---	0.00%	10,745	109	4.07%
Fed funds sold, money market funds, and time deposits with other banks	1,841	23	5.01%	1,880	15	3.20%
Total Earning Assets	757,497	11,508	6.09%	639,876	9,096	5.70%
Non-Interest Earning Assets:						
Cash and due from banks	7,983			8,265		
Allowance for loan losses	(4,500)			(4,788)		
Other assets (2)	27,598			28,974		
Total Assets	\$788,578			\$672,327		
Interest Bearing Liabilities:						
Deposits	\$425,607	\$ 3,101	2.92%	\$354,672	\$1,558	1.76%
Securities sold under repurchase agreements and fed funds purchased	13,234	84	2.55%	13,216	60	1.82%
Borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank	239,230	2,871	4.81%	194,723	1,977	4.07%
Total Borrowings	252,464	2,955	4.69%	207,939	2,037	3.93%
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	678,071	6,056	3.58%	562,611	3,595	2.56%
Rate Spread			2.51%			3.14%
Non-Interest Bearing Liabilities:						
Demand deposits	48,572			47,675		
Other liabilities	6,197			5,731		
Total Liabilities	732,840			616,017		
Shareholders' equity	55,738			56,310		
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$788,578			\$672,327		
Net interest income and net interest margin (3)		5,452	2.89%		5,501	3.45%
Less: Tax Equivalent adjustment		(184)			(151)	
Net Interest Income		\$5,268	2.79%		\$5,350	3.35%

(1) For purposes of these computations, non-accrual loans are included in average loans.

(2) For purposes of these computations, unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities are recorded in other assets.

(3) For purposes of these computations, reported on a tax equivalent basis.

AVERAGE BALANCE SHEET AND  
ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME  
SIX MONTHS ENDED  
JUNE 30, 2006 AND 2005

	2006	2006	2006	2005	2005	2005
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate
Interest Earning Assets:						
Loans (1,3)	\$528,293	\$17,045	6.51%	\$461,828	\$13,831	6.04%
Taxable investment securities	164,380	3,982	4.89%	129,685	2,662	4.14%
Non-taxable investment securities (3)	35,404	1,177	6.70%	32,059	1,111	6.99%
Total Investments	199,784	5,159	5.21%	161,744	3,773	4.70%
Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock						
	12,145	149	2.47%	10,623	213	4.04%
Fed funds sold, money market funds, and time deposits with other banks						
	1,531	36	4.74%	1,563	22	2.84%
Total Earning Assets	741,753	22,389	6.09%	635,758	17,839	5.66%
Non-Interest Earning Assets:						
Cash and due from banks	7,740			8,170		
Allowance for loan losses	(4,577)			(4,809)		
Other assets (2)	28,249			29,071		
Total Assets	\$773,165			\$668,190		
Interest Bearing Liabilities:						
Deposits	\$415,624	\$ 5,710	2.77%	\$349,424	\$ 2,849	1.64%
Securities sold under repurchase agreements and fed funds purchased						
	13,963	173	2.50%	13,438	109	1.64%
Borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank						
	231,626	5,380	4.68%	195,335	3,867	3.99%
Total Borrowings	245,589	5,553	4.56%	208,773	3,976	3.84%
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	661,213	11,263	3.44%	558,197	6,825	2.47%
Rate Spread			2.65%			3.19%
Non-Interest Bearing Liabilities:						
Demand deposits	49,490			48,221		
Other liabilities	6,188			5,566		
Total Liabilities	716,891			611,984		
Shareholders' equity	56,274			56,206		
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$773,165			\$668,190		
Net interest income and net interest margin (3)						
		11,126	3.02%		11,014	3.49%
Less: Tax Equivalent adjustment		(371)			(353)	
Net Interest Income		\$10,755	2.92%		\$10,661	3.38%

(1) For purposes of these computations, non-accrual loans are included in average loans.

(2) For purposes of these computations, unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities are recorded in other assets.

(3) For purposes of these computations, reported on a tax equivalent basis.

#### Net Interest Margin:

The net interest margin, expressed on a tax-equivalent basis, represents the difference between interest and dividends earned on interest-earning assets and interest paid to depositors and other creditors, expressed as a percentage of average earning assets.

The net interest margin is determined by dividing tax-equivalent net interest income by average interest-earning assets. The interest rate spread represents the difference between the average tax-equivalent yield earned on interest earning-assets and the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities. The net interest margin is generally higher than the interest rate spread due to the additional income earned on those assets funded by non-interest bearing liabilities, primarily demand deposits and shareholders equity.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 the net interest margin amounted to 2.89% and 3.02%, compared with 3.45% and 3.49% during the same periods in 2005, representing declines of 56 and 47 basis points, respectively.

The following table summarizes the net interest margin components, on a quarterly basis, over the past two years. Factors contributing to the changes in the net interest margin are enumerated in the following discussion and analysis.

#### NET INTEREST MARGIN ANALYSIS FOR QUARTER ENDED

	2006			2005			2004	
	Average Rate			Average Rate			Average Rate	
	2nd Qtr	1st Qtr	4th Qtr	3rd Qtr	2nd Qtr	1st Qtr	4th Qtr	3rd Qtr
<b>Interest Earning Assets:</b>								
Loans (1,2)	6.56%	6.45%	6.34%	6.22%	6.10%	5.97%	5.91%	5.75%
Taxable investment securities	4.97%	4.79%	4.47%	4.09%	4.11%	4.16%	4.01%	4.00%
Non-taxable investment securities (2)	6.68%	6.74%	6.62%	6.84%	6.76%	7.19%	6.95%	6.86%
Total Investments	5.26%	5.16%	4.89%	4.57%	4.62%	4.78%	4.57%	4.51%
Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock	0.00%	5.12%	4.85%	4.41%	4.07%	4.02%	3.49%	3.02%
Fed Funds sold, money market funds, and time								
deposits with other banks	5.01%	3.41%	3.92%	3.46%	3.20%	2.28%	2.33%	1.84%
Total Earning Assets	6.09%	6.08%	5.94%	5.79%	5.70%	5.61%	5.48%	5.30%
<b>Interest Bearing Liabilities:</b>								
Deposits	2.92%	2.62%	2.27%	2.02%	1.76%	1.52%	1.41%	1.34%
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	2.55%	2.46%	2.15%	1.91%	1.82%	1.45%	1.11%	1.13%
Other borrowings	4.81%	4.54%	4.39%	4.27%	4.07%	3.91%	3.86%	3.43%
Total Borrowings	4.69%	4.42%	4.21%	4.10%	3.93%	3.75%	3.65%	3.33%
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	3.58%	3.29%	2.96%	2.76%	2.56%	2.37%	2.23%	2.12%
Rate Spread	2.51%	2.79%	2.98%	3.03%	3.14%	3.24%	3.25%	3.18%
Net Interest Margin (2)	2.89%	3.17%	3.36%	3.39%	3.45%	3.54%	3.55%	3.45%
Net Interest Margin without Tax Equivalent Adjustments	2.79%	3.06%	3.26%	3.30%	3.35%	3.41%	3.43%	3.33%



- (1) For purposes of these computations, non-accrual loans are included in average loans.
- (2) For purposes of these computations, reported on a tax equivalent basis.

In June of 2004, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve") began increasing short-term interest rates. Through June 30, 2006, the Federal Funds targeted rate had increased seventeen consecutive times for a total of 425 basis points, ending the second quarter of 2006 at 5.25%. However, during this same period of time, the benchmark 10-year U.S. Treasury note increased only 27 basis points, causing a dramatic 398 basis point flattening of the U.S. Treasury yield curve.

In the first quarter of 2005 the Bank's net interest margin began to decline and this decline has steadily continued through the second quarter of 2006. The declining net interest margin was principally attributed to the increases in the Bank's cost of funds outpacing the increases in yields on its interest earning assets, reflecting the re-pricing of a large portion of the Bank's funding base during a period of rapidly rising short-term interest rates, combined with highly competitive pricing pressures with respect to loans and deposits, a higher utilization of wholesale funding, and the inherent net interest margin challenges widely associated with a flat or inverted U.S. Treasury yield curve.

Comparing the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 with the same periods in 2005, the increases in the cost of the Bank's interest bearing liabilities exceeded the increases in yields on its earning asset portfolios by 63 and 54 basis points, respectively.

Bank management anticipates continued pressure on the net interest margin during 2006, and believes that continued balance sheet growth will be needed to meaningfully increase net interest income should short-term interest rates increase or continue at current levels, combined with a U.S. Treasury yield curve that is inverted or flat.

The Bank's interest rate sensitivity position is more fully described below in Part I, Item 3 of this report on Form 10-Q, *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*.

#### Interest Income

: For the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006, total interest income, on a fully tax-equivalent basis, amounted to \$11,508 and \$22,389 compared with \$9,096 and \$17,839 during the same periods in 2005, representing increases of \$2,412 and \$4,550, or 26.5% and 25.5%, respectively.

The increases in interest income were principally attributed to average earning asset growth of \$117,621 and \$105,995 or 18.4% and 16.7%, combined with 39 and 43 basis point increases in the weighted average earning asset yields, when comparing the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006 with the same periods in 2005, respectively. Principally reflecting the Federal Reserve's increases in short-term interest rates and its favorable impact on the Bank's variable rate loan portfolio, the weighted average yield on average earning assets amounted to 6.09% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, compared with 5.70% and 5.66% during the same periods in 2005, respectively.

Comparing the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 with the same periods in 2005, the weighted average yield on the Bank's loan portfolio increased 46 and 47 basis points to 6.56% and 6.51% respectively, while the weighted average yield on the securities portfolio increased 64 and 51 basis points to 5.26% and 5.21%, respectively.

#### Interest Expense:

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, total interest expense amounted to \$6,056 and \$11,263, compared with \$3,595 and \$6,825 during the same periods in 2005, representing increases of \$2,461 and \$4,438, or 68.5% and 65.0%, respectively.

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

The increases in interest expense were principally attributed to increases in average interest bearing liabilities amounting to \$115,460 and \$103,016 or 20.5% and 18.5%, combined with 102 and 97 basis point increases in the weighted average cost of funds, when comparing the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 with the same periods in 2005, respectively. The increase in the average cost of interest bearing funds was principally attributed to the increases in short-term market interest rates between periods and, to a lesser extent, a proportionately higher utilization of wholesale funding.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the weighted average cost of interest bearing liabilities amounted to 3.58% and 3.44%, compared with 2.56% and 2.47% during the same periods in 2005, respectively. The weighted average cost of borrowed funds increased 76 and 72 basis points to 4.69% and 4.56%, respectively, while the weighted average cost of interest bearing deposits increased 116 and 113 basis points to 2.92% and 2.77%, respectively. The increase in the weighted average cost of interest bearing deposits outpaced the weighted average cost of borrowed funds, reflecting the longer maturities in the Bank's borrowing base that were not as susceptible to movements in short-term market interest rates, combined with a higher utilization of brokered time deposits. In addition, given highly competitive pricing pressures and the need to strengthen customer relationships, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 the Bank more closely followed the market with respect to the upward re-pricing of maturity and non-maturity deposits, a trend that may continue in the future given the continuation of competitive market pressures.

Rate / Volume Analysis:

The following tables set forth a summary analysis of the relative impact on net interest income of changes in the average volume of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, and changes in average rates on such assets and liabilities. The income from tax-exempt assets has been adjusted to a fully tax equivalent basis, thereby allowing uniform comparisons to be made. Because of the numerous simultaneous volume and rate changes during the periods analyzed, it is not possible to precisely allocate changes to volume or rate. For presentation purposes, changes which are not solely due to volume changes or rate changes have been allocated to these categories in proportion to the relationships of the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each.

ANALYSIS OF VOLUME AND RATE CHANGES ON NET INTEREST INCOME  
THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 VERSUS JUNE 30, 2005  
INCREASES (DECREASES) DUE TO:

	Average Volume	Average Rate	Net Interest Income
Loans (1,2)	\$1,005	\$ 570	\$ 1,575
Taxable investment securities	559	303	862
Non-taxable investment securities (2)	82	(6)	76
Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock	21	(130)	(109)
Fed funds sold, money market funds, and time deposits with other banks	---	8	8
<b>TOTAL EARNING ASSETS</b>	<b>\$1,667</b>	<b>\$ 745</b>	<b>\$2,412</b>
Interest bearing deposits	359	1,184	1,543
Securities sold under repurchase agreements and fed funds purchased	---	24	24
Borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank	498	396	894
<b>TOTAL INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES</b>	<b>\$ 857</b>	<b>\$1,604</b>	<b>\$2,461</b>
<b>NET CHANGE IN NET INTEREST INCOME</b>	<b>\$ 810</b>	<b>\$ (859)</b>	<b>\$ (49)</b>

(1) For purposes of these computations, non-accrual loans are included in average loans.

(2) For the purposes of these computations, interest income is reported on a tax-equivalent basis.

ANALYSIS OF VOLUME AND RATE CHANGES ON NET INTEREST INCOME  
SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 VERSUS JUNE 30, 2005  
INCREASES (DECREASES) DUE TO:

	Average Volume	Average Rate	Net Interest Income
Loans (1,2)	\$ 2,091	\$ 1,123	\$ 3,214
Taxable investment securities	789	531	1,320
Non-taxable investment securities (2)	108	(42)	66
Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock	37	(101)	(64)
Fed funds sold, money market funds, and time deposits with other banks	---	14	14
<b>TOTAL EARNING ASSETS</b>	<b>\$3,025</b>	<b>\$ 1,525</b>	<b>\$4,550</b>
Interest bearing deposits	620	2,241	2,861
Securities sold under repurchase agreements and Fed funds purchased	4	60	64
Borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank	783	730	1,513
<b>TOTAL INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES</b>	<b>\$1,407</b>	<b>\$ 3,031</b>	<b>\$4,438</b>
<b>NET CHANGE IN NET INTEREST INCOME</b>	<b>\$1,618</b>	<b>\$(1,506)</b>	<b>\$ 112</b>

(1) For purposes of these computations, non-accrual loans are included in average loans.

(2) For purposes of these computations, reported on a tax-equivalent basis.

#### Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses reflects the amount necessary to maintain the allowance for loan losses (the "allowance") at a level that, in management's judgment, is appropriate for the amount of inherent risk of loss in the Bank's current loan portfolio.

The Bank's non-performing loans remained at low levels during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. Non-performing loans at June 30, 2006 amounted to \$652, compared with \$868 and \$816 at December 31, and June 30, 2005, respectively. Non-performing loans expressed as a percentage of total loans stood at 0.12% at June 30, 2006, compared with 0.17% at December 31 and June 30, 2005. The allowance expressed as a percentage of non-performing loans amounted to 692% at June 30, 2006, compared with 535% and 579% at December 31 and June 30, 2005, respectively.

The Bank's loan loss experience also remained at low levels during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, net loan charge-offs amounted to \$179, or annualized net charge-offs to average loans outstanding of 0.07%. While net loan charge-offs were \$50 higher than the same period in 2005, management does not consider this increase as significant, or reflective of a deterioration of credit quality. In this regard, \$193 thousand of the 2006 net charge-offs were attributed to one credit, which was 100% provided for in the Bank's previously recorded allowance.

Reflecting the continued stable performance of the loan portfolio, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the Bank recorded a provision for loan losses of \$15 and \$43, compared with \$25 during the same periods in 2005.

Refer to Part I, Item 2 below, *Allowance for Loan Losses*, in this report on Form 10-Q for further discussion and analysis regarding the allowance.

#### Non-interest Income

In addition to net interest income, non-interest income is a significant source of revenue for the Company and an important factor in its results of operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, total non-interest income amounted to \$1,525 and \$3,129, compared with \$1,953 and \$3,056 during the same periods in 2005, representing a decrease of \$428 or 21.9%, and an increase of \$73 or 2.4%, respectively.

Factors contributing to the changes in non-interest income are enumerated in the following discussion and analysis:

#### Trust and Other Financial Services:

Income from trust and financial services is principally derived from fee income based on a percentage of the market value of client assets under management and held in custody and, to a lesser extent, revenue from brokerage services conducted through Bar Harbor Financial Services, an independent third-party broker.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, income from trust and other financial services amounted to \$568 and \$1,072 compared with \$540 and \$1,015 during the same periods in 2005, representing increases of \$28 and \$57, or 5.2% and 5.6%, respectively.

The increases in fee income were driven by trust and investment management services, as revenue generated from third-party brokerage activities at Bar Harbor Financial Services posted small declines, reflecting lower trading volumes, including sales of mutual funds and annuity products.

At June 30, 2006, total managed assets at Bar Harbor Trust Services, a Maine chartered non-depository trust company and second tier subsidiary of the Company, stood at \$223,826 compared with \$220,537 and \$205,286 at December 31 and June 30, 2005, representing increases of \$3,289 and \$18,540, or 1.5% and 9.0%, respectively.

#### Service Charges on Deposits

: This income is principally derived from monthly deposit account maintenance and activity fees, overdraft fees, and a variety of other deposit account related fees.

Income generated from service charges on deposit accounts totaled \$405 and \$748 for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006, compared with \$355 and \$644 during the same periods in 2005, representing increases of \$50 and \$104, or 14.1% and 16.2%, respectively.

The increases in service charges on deposits were attributed, in part, to the introduction of a new overdraft protection service, which was launched by the Bank in the third quarter of 2005. The increases also reflect the continued growth

of the Bank's retail, non-maturity deposit base.

#### Credit Card Service Charges and Fees:

This income is principally derived from the Bank's merchant credit card processing services and, to a lesser extent, fees associated with its Visa credit card product. Historically, the Bank's merchant credit card processing activities have been seasonal in nature with transaction and fee income volumes peaking in the summer and autumn, while declining in the winter and spring.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, credit card service charges and fees amounted to \$393 and \$623 compared with \$377 and \$578 during the same periods in 2005, representing increases of \$16 and \$45, or 4.2% and 7.8%, respectively.

While merchant credit card processing profit margins have tightened over the past few years, with competition from large regional processors intensifying, the Bank has been able to generate higher levels of revenue through increased volumes of transactions, competitive pricing strategies, and the local support offered by a community bank.

#### Net Gains on the Sale of Securities:

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, realized gains on the sale of securities amounted to zero and \$310 compared with \$521 and \$542 during the same periods in 2005, representing declines of \$521 and \$232, or 100% and 42.8%, respectively.

There is no assurance that the recording of securities gains will continue in future reporting periods at current or historical levels. It is important to note, however, that the available for sale securities portfolio is managed on a total return basis, in concert with well-structured asset/liability management policies. Bank management will continue to respond to changes in market interest rates, changes in securities pre-payment or extension risk, changes in the availability of and yields on alternative investments, and the Bank's need for adequate liquidity.

#### Other Operating Income:

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, total other operating income amounted to \$102 and \$266, compared with \$87 and \$154 during the same periods in 2005, representing increases \$15 and \$112, or 17.2% and 72.7%, respectively.

The increase in other operating income was attributed to a \$150 gain on the sale of a parcel of Bank owned real estate adjacent to the Bank's Southwest Harbor, Maine branch office, recorded during the first quarter of 2006.

#### Non-interest Expense

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, total non-interest expenses amounted to \$4,450 and \$9,335, compared with \$4,865 and \$9,648 during the same periods in 2005, representing declines of \$415 and \$313, or 8.5% and 3.2%, respectively.

Factors contributing to the changes in non-interest expense are enumerated in the following discussion and analysis.

#### Salaries and Employee Benefit Expenses:

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, salaries and employee benefit expenses amounted to \$2,273 and \$4,717, compared with \$2,484 and \$5,098 during the same periods in 2005, representing declines of \$211 and \$381, or 8.5% and 7.5%, respectively.

The declines in salaries and employee benefit expenses were attributed to a variety of factors including: lower levels of health insurance contributions during the second quarter of 2006 due to favorable claims experience; certain previously reported employee severance costs recorded during the first quarter of 2005; changes in overall staffing levels and mix; and lower levels of incentive compensation.

Salaries and employee benefit expenses reflect the Company's January 1, 2006 adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123-R, "Share-Based Payments," which mandated the expensing of stock options and other equity awards. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 the Company recognized \$36 and \$71 of share-based compensation, respectively. There was no comparable expense recognized in 2005.

#### Occupancy Expenses:

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, total occupancy expenses amounted to \$337 and \$649, compared with \$294 and \$601 during the same periods in 2005, representing increases of \$43 and \$48, or 14.6% and 8.0%, respectively.

The increases in occupancy expenses reflect, in part, the renovation and opening of a new branch office in the community of Somesville, Maine, during the first quarter of 2006, offset in part by the favorable impact of relatively mild weather conditions in downeast and midcoast, Maine, during the first quarter of 2006 compared with the same period last year.

#### Furniture and Equipment Expenses

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, furniture and equipment expenses amounted to \$422 and \$922, compared with \$415 and \$846 during the same periods in 2005, representing increases of \$7 and \$76, or 1.7% and 9.0%, compared with the same periods in 2005, respectively.

The increases in furniture and equipment expenses was principally attributed to certain expenses associated with the upgrade and maintenance of the Company's technology infrastructure, and certain expenses associated with the Bank's renovation and opening of a new branch office in the community of Somesville, Maine during the first quarter of 2006.

#### Other Operating Expenses:

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, other operating expenses amounted to \$1,168 and \$2,631, compared with \$1,406 and \$2,702 during the same periods in 2005, representing declines of \$238 and \$71, or 16.9% and 2.6%, respectively.

Comparing the second quarter of 2006 with the same quarter in 2005, the \$238 decline in other operating expenses was principally attributed to declines in a variety of expense categories including professional services, loan collection expenses, charitable contributions, marketing and public relations, office supplies and insurance. The foregoing declines were offset, in part, by expenses associated with the Bank's outsourcing of its statement rendering function during the third quarter of 2005.

Comparing the six months ended June 30, 2006 with the same period in 2005, the \$71 decline in other operating expenses was principally attributed to declines in professional services, charitable contributions, and loan collection expenses. The foregoing declines were offset, in part, by expenses associated with the Bank's outsourcing of its statement rendering function during the third quarter of 2005.

#### Income Taxes

## Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, income taxes amounted to \$682 and \$1,297, compared with \$713 and \$1,131 during the same periods in 2005, representing a decline of \$31 or 4.4%, and an increase of \$166 or 14.7%, respectively.

Comparing the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 with the same periods in 2005, the percentage changes in income taxes were different than the percentage changes in pre-tax earnings principally due to non-taxable income generated from the Bank's securities and loan portfolios bearing different percentages of income before income taxes than the comparable periods in 2005. Additionally, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 the Company recorded share-based compensation expense that was not deductible for income tax purposes.

The Company's effective tax rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 amounted to 29.3% and 28.8%, compared with 29.5% and 28.0% for the same periods in 2005, respectively. The income tax provisions for these periods are less than the expense that would result from applying the federal statutory rate of 34% to income before income taxes principally because of the impact of tax exempt interest income on certain investment securities, loans and bank owned life insurance.

Comparing the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 with the same periods in 2005, the effective tax rates showed relatively small changes, principally due to non-taxable income and non-deductible expense bearing different percentages of income before income taxes than the comparable periods in 2005.

### FINANCIAL CONDITION

#### Total Assets

The Company's assets principally consist of loans and investment securities, which at June 30, 2006 represented 67.8% and 25.5% of total assets, compared with 71.4% and 22.1% at the same date in 2005, respectively.

At June 30, 2006, total assets amounted to \$801,948 compared with \$748,455 and \$679,160 at December 31 and June 30, 2005, representing increases of \$53,493 and \$122,788, or 7.2% and 18.1%, respectively.

#### Investment Securities

The investment securities portfolio is primarily comprised of mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. government agencies, U.S. government sponsored enterprises, and other corporate issuers. The portfolio also includes tax-exempt obligations of state and political subdivisions, and obligations of other U.S. government sponsored enterprises.

The securities available for sale portfolio is managed on a total return basis with the objective of exceeding the return that would be experienced if investing solely in U.S. Treasury instruments. The overall objectives of the Bank's strategy for the investment securities portfolio include maintaining an appropriate liquidity reserves, diversifying earning assets, managing interest rate risk, leveraging the Bank's strong capital position, and generating acceptable levels of net interest income.

Securities available for sale represented 100% of total investment securities at June 30, 2006 and 2005. Securities available for sale are reported at their fair value with unrealized gains or losses, net of taxes, excluded from earnings but shown separately as a component of shareholders' equity.

At June 30, 2006, total investment securities amounted to \$204,315, compared with \$183,300 and \$149,816 at December 31 and June 30, 2005, representing increases of \$21,015 and \$54,499, or 11.5% and 36.4%, respectively.

Market yields showed meaningful improvement during the six months ended June 30, 2006, with the benchmark 10-year U.S. Treasury note reaching a four year high and the 5-year U.S. Treasury note reaching a five year high. The

foregoing market conditions presented management with opportunities for increasing the Bank's earning assets and generating higher levels of net interest income.

## Loans

The loan portfolio is primarily secured by real estate in the counties of Hancock, Washington and Knox, Maine. The following table summarizes the components of the Bank's loan portfolio as of the dates indicated.

### LOAN PORTFOLIO SUMMARY

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005	June 30, 2005
Residential real estate mortgages	\$244,583	\$237,109	\$226,792
Commercial real estate mortgages	150,791	135,493	121,433
Commercial and industrial loans	63,495	58,637	57,926
Agricultural and other loans to farmers	18,217	18,997	14,500
Consumer loans	13,935	12,582	12,655
Home equity loans	46,358	49,307	48,764
Tax exempt loans	6,351	2,741	2,596
Total loans	543,731	514,866	484,665
Allowance for loan losses	(4,511)	(4,647)	(4,725)
Total loans net of allowance for loan losses	\$539,220	\$510,219	\$479,940

## Total Loans

: At June 30, 2006, total loans amounted to \$543,731, compared with \$514,866 and \$484,665 at December 31, and June 30, 2005, representing increases of \$28,865 and \$59,066, or 5.6% and 12.2%, respectively.

At June 30, 2006, total commercial loans amounted to \$232,504, compared with \$213,127 and \$193,858 at December 31 and June 30, 2005, representing increases of \$19,377 and \$38,645, or 9.1% and 19.9%, respectively.

Commercial loans represented 67.1% and 65.4% of total loan growth when comparing June 30, 2006 with December 31 and June 30, 2005, respectively. Commercial loan growth was principally driven by commercial real estate loans, which posted increases of \$15,298 and \$29,358, or 11.3% and 24.2%, when comparing June 30, 2006 with December 31 and June 30, 2005, respectively. Bank management attributes the overall growth in commercial loans, in part, to a mature commercial lending team, a variety of new business development initiatives, focused incentive compensation plans, and a relatively stable local economy.

At June 30, 2006, total consumer loans, which principally consisted of consumer real estate (residential mortgages) loans, amounted to \$304,876, compared with \$298,998 and \$288,211 at December 31 and June 30, 2005, representing increases of \$5,878 and \$16,665, or 2.0% and 5.8%, respectively. Comparing June 30, 2006 with the same date last year, consumer real estate mortgage loans contributed \$17,791 to the overall growth in consumer loans, offset in part by a \$2,406 decline in home equity loans.

Following record refinancing activity over the past few years and moderate increases in long-term interest rates, consumer real estate (residential mortgage) loan originations slowed during 2005 and this trend continued during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. However, consumer real estate loan growth continued during this period, as new purchase transactions accounted for an increasing proportion of loan originations. In general, consumer loan origination activity has benefited from a relatively stable local economy and initiatives designed to expand the Bank's product offerings and attract new customers while continuing to leverage its existing customer base.



Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

At June 30, 2006, consumer and commercial loans secured by real estate comprised 85.1% of the loan portfolio, compared with 85.2% and 85.5% at December 31 and June 30, 2005, respectively. The continued strength in the local real estate markets, both residential and commercial, has led to historically high property values in the Bank's market area. Recognizing the impact this trend may have on the loan portfolio and origination pipeline, the Bank periodically reviews its underwriting standards in an effort to ensure that the quality of the loan portfolio is not jeopardized by unrealistic loan to value ratios or debt service levels. There was no significant deterioration in the performance or risk characteristics of the real estate loan portfolio through the reporting period.

Credit Risk

: Credit risk is managed through loan officer authorities, loan policies, and oversight from the Bank's Senior Credit Officer, the Bank's Senior Loan Officers Committee, the Director's Loan Committee, and the Bank's Board of Directors. Management follows a policy of continually identifying, analyzing and grading credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio. An ongoing independent review, subsequent to management's review, of individual credits is performed by an independent loan review function, which reports to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

As a result of management's ongoing review of the loan portfolio, loans are placed on non-accrual status, either due to the delinquent status of principal and or interest, or a judgment by management that, although payments of principal and or interest are current, such action is prudent because collection in full of all outstanding principal and interest is in doubt. Loans are generally placed on non-accrual status when principal and or interest is 90 days overdue, or sooner if judged appropriate by management. Consumer loans are generally charged-off when principal and or interest payments are 120 days overdue, or sooner if judged appropriate by management.

Non-performing Loans:

Non-performing loans include loans on non-accrual status, loans that have been treated as troubled debt restructurings and loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest. There were no troubled debt restructurings in the loan portfolio during 2005 and this continued to be the case during the six months ended June 30, 2006. The following table sets forth the details of non-performing loans as of the dates indicated:

TOTAL NON-PERFORMING LOANS

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005	June 30, 2005
Loans accounted for on a non-accrual basis:			
Real estate loans:			
Construction and development	\$ ---	\$ ---	\$ ---
Mortgage	82	267	298
Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers	---	---	---
Commercial and industrial loans	533	593	431
Loans to individuals for household, family and other personal expenditures	19	5	18
Total non-accrual loans	634	865	747
Accruing loans contractually past due 90 days or more	18	3	69
Total non-performing loans	\$652	\$868	\$816
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans	692%	535%	579%
Non-performing loans to total loans	0.12%	0.17%	0.17%
Allowance to total loans	0.83%	0.90%	0.97%

During the quarter ended June 30, 2006, non-performing loans remained at relatively low levels. The Bank attributes this success to mature credit administration processes and underwriting standards, aided by a relatively stable local economy. The Bank maintains a centralized loan collection and managed asset department, providing timely and effective collection efforts for problem loans.

At June 30, 2006, total non-performing loans amounted to \$652, or 0.12% of total loans, compared with \$868 or 0.17% at December 31, 2005, and \$816 or 0.17% at June 30, 2005.

While the non-performing loan ratios continued to reflect the favorable quality of the loan portfolio at June 30, 2006, Bank management is cognizant of relatively soft economic conditions overall, and believes it is managing credit risk accordingly. Future levels of non-performing loans may be influenced by economic conditions, including the impact of those conditions on the Bank's customers, including higher interest rates and debt service levels, oil and gas prices, tourism activity, and other factors existing at the time. Management believes the economic activity and conditions in the local real estate markets will continue to be significant determinants of the quality of the loan portfolio in future periods and, thus, the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

#### Other Real Estate Owned:

When the Bank takes ownership of collateral property upon foreclosure of a real estate secured loan, the property is transferred from the loan portfolio to Other Real Estate Owned ("OREO") at its fair value. If the loan balance is higher than the fair value of the property, the difference is charged to the allowance for loan losses at the time of the transfer. OREO is classified on the consolidated balance sheet with Other Assets. At June 30, 2006, there was no OREO, unchanged from December 31 and June 30, 2005.

#### Allowance for Loan Losses

: The allowance for loan losses ("allowance") is available to absorb losses on loans. The determination of the adequacy of the allowance and provisioning for estimated losses is evaluated quarterly based on review of loans, with particular emphasis on non-performing and other loans that management believes warrant special consideration.

The allowance is maintained at a level that, in management's judgment, is appropriate for the amount of risk inherent in the current loan portfolio, and adequate to provide for estimated losses.

Specific reserves for impaired loans are determined in accordance with SFAS No. 114, "Accounting by Creditors For Impairment of a Loan," as amended by SFAS No. 118, "Accounting by Creditors For Impairment of a Loan-Income Recognition and Disclosures." The amount of loans considered to be impaired totaled \$533 as of June 30, 2006, compared with \$593 and \$431 as of December 31 and June 30, 2005, respectively. The related allowance for loan losses on these impaired loans amounted to \$142 as of June 30, 2006, compared with \$238 and \$171 at December 31 and June 30, 2005, respectively.

Management recognizes that early and accurate recognition of risk is the best means to reduce credit losses and maximize earnings. The Bank employs a comprehensive risk management structure to identify and manage the risk of loss. For consumer loans, the Bank identifies loan delinquency beginning at 10-day delinquency and provides appropriate follow-up by written correspondence or personal contact. Non-residential mortgage consumer loan losses are recognized no later than the point at which a loan is 120 days past due. Residential mortgage losses are recognized during the foreclosure process, or sooner, when that loss is quantifiable and reasonably assured. For commercial loans, the Bank applies a risk grading system, which stratifies the portfolio and allows management to focus appropriate efforts on the highest risk components of the portfolio. The risk grades include ratings that correlate with regulatory definitions of "Pass," "Other Assets Especially Mentioned," "Substandard," "Doubtful," and "Loss."

Loan loss provisions are recorded based upon overall aggregate data, and the allowance is increased when, on an aggregate basis, additional estimated losses are identified and deemed by management as being likely. No portion of the allowance is restricted to any loan or group of loans, and the entire allowance is available to absorb realized losses. The amount and timing of realized losses and future allowance allocations could vary from current estimates.

While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, changing economic conditions and the economic prospects of the borrowers may necessitate future additions or reductions to the allowance. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank's allowance, which also may necessitate future additions or reductions to the allowance, based on information available to them at the time of their examination.

The Bank's loan loss experience remained at low levels during six months ended June 30, 2006, with net loan charge-offs amounting to \$179, or annualized net charge-offs to average loans outstanding of 0.07%. While net loan charge-offs were \$50 higher than the same period in 2005, management does not consider this increase as significant, or reflective of a deterioration of the overall credit quality of the loan portfolio. In this regard, \$193 of the 2006 net charge-offs were attributed to one credit, which was 100% provided for in the Bank's previously recorded allowance.

There were no material changes in loan concentrations during the six months ended June 30, 2006.

The following table details changes in the allowance and summarizes loan loss experience by loan type for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES  
SIX MONTHS ENDED  
JUNE 30, 2006 AND 2005

	2006	2005
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 4,647	\$ 4,829
Charge-offs:		
Commercial, financial, agricultural, other loans to farmers	2	72
Real estate:		
Construction and development	---	---
Mortgage	193	---
Installments and other loans to individuals	38	88
Total charge-offs	233	160
Recoveries:		
Commercial, finance agricultural, other loans to farmers	1	10
Real estate:		
Construction and development	---	5
Mortgage	39	---
Installments and other loans to individuals	14	16
Total recoveries	54	31

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

Net charge-offs	179	129
Provision charged to operations	43	25
Balance at end of period	\$ 4,511	\$ 4,725
Average loans outstanding during period	\$528,292	\$472,594
Annualized net charge-offs to average loans outstanding	0.07%	0.05%

Based upon the process employed and giving recognition to all attendant factors associated with the loan portfolio, management believes the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2006, to be appropriate for the risks inherent in the loan portfolio and resident in the local and national economy as of those dates.

### Deposits

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the most significant funding source for the Bank's earning assets continued to be retail deposits, gathered through its network of twelve banking offices throughout downeast and midcoast Maine.

Historically, the banking business in the Bank's market area has been seasonal, with lower deposits in the winter and spring and higher deposits in summer and autumn. These seasonal swings have been fairly predictable and have not had a materially adverse impact on the Bank. Seasonal swings in deposits have been typically absorbed by the Bank's strong liquidity position, including borrowing capacity from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston ("FHLB") and cash flows from the securities portfolio.

At June 30, 2006, total deposits amounted to \$487,564, compared with \$445,731 and \$407,960 at December 31 and June 30, 2005, representing increases of \$41,833 and \$79,604, or 9.4% and 19.5%, respectively.

The increases in total deposits were principally attributed to certificates of deposit obtained from the national market ("brokered deposits"), which at June 30, 2006 totaled \$89,110, compared with \$60,386 and \$43,367 at December 31 and June 30, 2005, representing increases of \$28,724 and \$45,743, or 47.6% and 105.5%, respectively. The increases in brokered deposits were utilized to help fund the Bank's earning asset growth, which outpaced retail deposit growth. Additionally, during the latter part of 2005, the Bank modified its funding and liquidity strategies, providing more balance between brokered deposits and borrowed funds. Borrowed funds at June 30, 2006, showed increases of 5.2% and 20.6% compared with December 31, and June 30, 2005, respectively.

At June 30, 2006, retail deposits totaled \$398,454 compared with \$385,345 and \$364,593 at December 31 and June 30, 2005, representing increases of \$13,109 and \$33,861, or 3.4% and 9.3%, respectively. Comparing June 30, 2006 with the same date in 2005, savings and money market accounts led the overall growth in retail deposits, posting an increase of \$27,956, or 22.3%. The increase in savings and money market accounts was principally attributed to the successful introduction of a new variable rate money market account in January 2006, combined with increases in a money market product offered to clients of Bar Harbor Trust Services, the Bank's wholly owned subsidiary.

The rate of demand deposit growth continued to lag historical norms during the first and second quarters of 2006 compared with the same periods in 2005. During the later part of 2005, the Bank launched several new demand deposit products, including free business and personal checking products, which it believes are highly competitive.

Management believes these products will help generate a meaningful increase in demand deposits.

Management believes that competition from banks and non-banks has intensified, as savers and investors seek higher returns in an atmosphere of rising short-term interest rates, and that financial institutions in particular have been aggressively pricing their deposits in order to fund earning asset growth.

Since short-term rates began rising in June 2004, management has exercised restraint with respect to overly aggressive deposit pricing strategies, and has sought to achieve an appropriate balance between retail deposit growth and wholesale funding levels, while considering the associated impacts on the Bank's net interest margin and liquidity position.

At June 30, 2006, retail deposits represented 81.7% of total deposits, compared with 86.5% and 89.4% at December 31 and June 30, 2005, respectively.

#### Borrowed Funds

Borrowed funds principally consist of advances from the FHLB and, to a lesser extent, securities sold under agreements to repurchase. Advances from the FHLB are principally secured by stock in the FHLB, investment securities, and blanket liens on qualifying mortgage loans and home equity loans.

The Bank utilizes borrowed funds in leveraging its strong capital position and supporting its earning asset portfolios. Borrowed funds are principally utilized to support the Bank's investment securities portfolio and, to a lesser extent, fund loan growth. Borrowed funds also provide a means to help manage balance sheet interest rate risk, given the Bank's ability to select desired amounts, terms and maturities on a daily basis.

At June 30, 2006, total borrowings amounted to \$252,138, compared with \$239,696 and \$208,993 at December 31 and June 30, 2005, representing increases of \$12,442 and \$43,145, or 5.2% and 20.6%, respectively. The increases in borrowed funds were utilized to help support the Bank's earning asset growth, which outpaced retail deposit growth.

At June 30, 2006, total borrowings expressed as a percent of total assets amounted to 31.4%, compared with 32.0% and 30.8% at December 31 and June 30, 2005, respectively.

#### Capital Resources

Consistent with its long-term goal of operating a sound and profitable organization, during the first quarter of 2006 the Company maintained its strong capital position and continued to be a "well capitalized" financial institution according to applicable regulatory standards. Management believes this to be vital in promoting depositor and investor confidence and providing a solid foundation for future growth.

The Company and the Bank are subject to the risk based capital guidelines administered by the Company's and the Bank's principal regulators. The risk based capital guidelines are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banks and bank holding companies, to account for off-balance sheet exposure and to minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets. Under these guidelines, assets and off-balance sheet items are assigned to broad risk categories, each with appropriate weights. The resulting capital ratios represent capital as a percentage of risk weighted assets and off-balance sheet items. The guidelines require all banks and bank holding companies to maintain a minimum ratio of total risk based capital to risk weighted assets of 8%, including a minimum ratio of Tier I capital to total risk weighted assets of 4% and a Tier I capital to average assets of 4% ("Leverage Ratio"). Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

## Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

As of June 30, 2006, the Company and the Bank were considered well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. Under the capital adequacy guidelines, a well capitalized institution must maintain a minimum total risk based capital to total risk weighted assets ratio of at least 10%, a minimum Tier I capital to total risk weighted assets ratio of at least 6%, and a minimum Tier I leverage ratio of at least 5%.

The following table sets forth the Company's regulatory capital at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, under the rules applicable at that date.

	June 30, 2006		December 31, 2005	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total Capital to Risk Weighted Assets	\$60,418	11.32%	\$59,063	11.80%
Regulatory Requirement	42,711	8.00%	40,028	8.00%
Excess	\$17,707	3.32%	\$19,035	3.80%
Tier 1 Capital to Risk Weighted Assets	\$55,907	10.47%	\$54,416	10.88%
Regulatory Requirement	21,356	4.00%	20,014	4.00%
Excess	\$34,551	6.47%	\$34,402	6.88%
Tier 1 Capital to Average Assets	\$55,907	7.12%	\$54,416	7.55%
Regulatory Requirement	31,407	4.00%	28,847	4.00%
Excess	\$24,500	3.12%	\$25,569	3.55%

The Company's principal source of funds to pay cash dividends and support its commitments is derived from Bank operations. The Company declared dividends in the aggregate amount of \$1,358 and \$1,295 during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, at a rate of \$0.445 and \$0.420 per share, respectively.

In March 2004, the Company announced its second stock repurchase plan. The board of directors of the Company authorized open market and privately negotiated purchases of up to 10% of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock, or 310,000 shares. Purchases began on March 4, 2004 and continued through December 31, 2005. The Company's board of directors subsequently authorized the continuance of this stock repurchase plan through December 31, 2006. Depending on market conditions and other factors, these purchases may be commenced or suspended at any time, or from time-to-time, without prior notice. As of June 30, 2006, the Company had repurchased 131,167 shares of stock under this plan, or 42.3% of the total authorized, at a total cost of \$3,623 and an average price of \$27.62 per share. The Company records the repurchased shares as treasury stock.

The Company believes that a stock repurchase plan is a prudent use of capital at this time. Management anticipates the stock repurchase plan will be accretive to the return on average shareholders' equity and earnings per share.

### Contractual Obligations

The Company is a party to certain contractual obligations under which it is obligated to make future payments. These principally include borrowings from the FHLB, consisting of short and long-term fixed rate borrowings, and collateralized by all stock in the FHLB, a blanket lien on qualified collateral consisting primarily of loans with first and second mortgages secured by one-to-four family properties, and certain pledged investment securities. The Company has an obligation to repay all borrowings from the FHLB.

The Company is also obligated to make payments on operating leases for its branch office in Somesville and its office in Bangor, Maine.

The following table summarizes the Company's contractual obligations at June 30, 2006. Borrowings are stated at their contractual maturity due dates and do not reflect call features, or principal amortization features, on certain borrowings.

**CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS**  
(Dollars in thousands)

Description	Total Amount of Obligations	Payments Due By Period			
		< 1 Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	> 5 Years
Operating Leases	\$ 346	\$ 67	\$ 133	\$ 146	\$ ---
Borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank	240,262	154,749	57,783	10,700	17,030
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	11,876	11,876	---	---	---
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$252,484</b>	<b>\$166,692</b>	<b>\$57,916</b>	<b>\$10,846</b>	<b>\$17,030</b>

All FHLB advances are fixed-rate instruments. Advances are payable at their call dates or final maturity. Advances are stated at their contractual final maturity dates. At June 30, 2006, the Bank had \$30 million in callable advances.

In the normal course of its banking and financial services business, and in connection with providing products and services to its customers, the Company has entered into a variety of traditional third party contracts for support services. Examples of such contractual agreements would include services providing ATM, Visa debit and credit card processing, trust services accounting support, student loan servicing, check printing, statement rendering and the leasing of T-1 telecommunication lines supporting the Company's wide area technology network.

The majority of the Company's core operating systems and software applications are maintained "in-house" with traditional third party maintenance agreements of one year or less.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company is, from time to time, a party to certain off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on the Company's financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources, that may be material to investors.

At June 30, 2006, the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements were limited to standby letters of credit.

#### Standby Letters of Credit:

The Bank guarantees the obligations or performance of certain customers by issuing standby letters of credit to third parties. These letters of credit are sometimes issued in support of third party debt. The risk involved in issuing standby letters of credit is essentially the same as the credit risk involved in extending loan facilities to customers, and they are subject to the same origination, portfolio maintenance and management procedures in effect to monitor other credit products. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon issuance of a standby letter of credit, is based upon management's credit evaluation of the customer.

## Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

At June 30, 2006, commitments under existing standby letters of credit totaled \$115, compared with \$115 and \$1,241 at December 31 and June 30, 2005, respectively. The fair value of the standby letters of credit was not significant as of the foregoing dates.

### Off Balance Sheet Risk

The Bank is party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financial needs of its customers and to reduce its own exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and certain financial derivative instruments; namely, interest rate swap agreements and interest rate floor agreements.

### Commitments to Extend Credit:

Commitments to extend credit represent agreements by the Bank to lend to a customer provided there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. These commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee.

Since many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis using the same credit policies as it does for its balance sheet instruments. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon the issuance of commitment, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer.

The following table summarizes the Bank's commitments to extend credit as of the dates shown:

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005	June 30, 2005
Commitments to originate loans	\$ 27,058	\$ 40,779	\$18,364
Unused lines of credit	74,820	73,190	68,449
Un-advanced portions of construction loans	9,166	6,110	5,976
Total	\$111,044	\$120,079	\$92,789

### Financial Derivative Instruments:

As part of its overall asset and liability management strategy, the Bank periodically uses derivative instruments to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings and cash flows caused by interest rate volatility. The Bank's interest rate risk management strategy involves modifying the re-pricing characteristics of certain assets and liabilities so that changes in interest rates do not have a significant adverse effect on net interest income. Derivative instruments that management periodically uses as part of its interest rate risk management strategy include interest rate swap agreements and interest rate floor agreements. A policy statement, approved by the Board of Directors of the Bank, governs use of derivative instruments.

At June 30, 2006 the Bank had four outstanding derivative instruments with notional amounts totaling \$50,000. The notional amounts of the financial derivative instruments do not represent exposure to credit loss. The Bank is exposed to credit loss only to the extent the counter-party defaults in its responsibility to pay interest under the terms of the agreements. Management does not anticipate non-performance by the counter-parties to the agreements, and regularly reviews the credit quality of the counter-parties from which the instruments have been purchased.

The details of the Bank's financial derivative instruments as of June 30, 2006 are summarized below. Also refer to Note 7 of the consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this report on Form 10-Q.



## INTEREST RATE SWAP AGREEMENTS

Description	Maturity	Notional Amount	Fixed Interest Rate	Variable Interest Rate
Receive fixed rate, pay variable rate	09/01/07	\$10,000	6.040%	Prime (8.25%)
Receive fixed rate, pay variable rate	01/24/09	\$10,000	6.250%	Prime (8.25%)

The Bank is required to pay a counter-party monthly variable rate payments indexed to Prime, while receiving monthly fixed rate payments based upon interest rates of 6.04% and 6.25%, respectively, over the term of each respective agreement.

The following table summarizes the contractual cash flows of the interest rate swap agreements outstanding at June 30, 2006, based upon the then current Prime interest rate of 8.25%.

	Total	Less Than		
		1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years
Fixed payments due from counter-party	\$2,316	\$1,229	\$1,087	\$ ---
Variable payments due to counter-party based on prime rate	\$3,090	\$1,650	\$1,440	\$ ---
Net cash flow	(\$ 774)	(\$ 421)	(\$ 353)	\$ ---

## INTEREST RATE FLOOR AGREEMENTS

Notional Amount	Termination Date	Prime Strike Rate	Premium Paid
\$20,000	08/01/10	6.00%	\$186
\$10,000	11/01/10	6.50%	\$69

During 2005, interest rate floor agreements were purchased to limit the Bank's exposure to falling interest rates on two pools of loans indexed to the Prime interest rate. Under the terms of the agreements, the Bank paid premiums of \$186 and \$69 for the right to receive cash flow payments if the Prime interest rate falls below the floors of 6.00% and 6.50%, thus effectively ensuring interest income on the pools of prime-based loans at minimum rates of 6.00% and 6.50% for the duration of the agreements. The interest rate floor agreements were designated as cash flow hedges in accordance with SFAS 133.

## Liquidity

Liquidity is measured by the Company's ability to meet short-term cash needs at a reasonable cost or minimal loss. The Company seeks to obtain favorable sources of liabilities and to maintain prudent levels of liquid assets in order to satisfy varied liquidity demands. Besides serving as a funding source for maturing obligations, liquidity provides flexibility in responding to customer-initiated needs. Many factors affect the Company's ability to meet liquidity needs, including variations in the markets served by its network of offices, its mix of assets and liabilities, reputation and credit standing in the marketplace, and general economic conditions.

The Bank actively manages its liquidity position through target ratios established under its Asset Liability Management Policy. Continual monitoring of these ratios, both historical and through forecasts under multiple rate scenarios, allows the Bank to employ strategies necessary to maintain adequate liquidity.

The Bank uses a basic surplus model to measure its liquidity over 30 and 90-day time horizons. The relationship between liquid assets and short-term liabilities that are vulnerable to non-replacement are routinely monitored. The Bank's policy is to maintain its liquidity position at approximately 5% of total assets. At June 30, 2006, liquidity, as measured by the basic surplus/deficit model, was 6.1% over the 30-day horizon and 6.7% over the 90-day horizon.

At June 30, 2006, the Bank had unused lines of credit and net unencumbered qualifying collateral availability to support its credit line with the FHLB approximating \$40 million. The Bank also had capacity to borrow funds on a secured basis utilizing certain un-pledged securities in its investment securities portfolio. The Bank's loan portfolio provides an additional source of contingent liquidity that could be accessed in a reasonable time period through pledging or sales. The Bank also has access to the national brokered deposit market, and has been using this funding source to bolster its liquidity position.

Changes in economic conditions, including consumer savings habits and availability or access to the brokered deposit market could potentially have a significant impact on the Company's liquidity position.

The Bank maintains a liquidity contingency plan approved by the Bank's Board of Directors. This plan addresses the steps that would be taken in the event of a liquidity crisis, and identifies other sources of liquidity available to the Company.

#### Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented elsewhere in this report have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation.

Unlike many industrial companies, substantially all of the assets and virtually all of the liabilities of the Company are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on the Company's performance than the general level of inflation. Over short periods of time, interest rates and the U.S. Treasury yield curve may not necessarily move in the same direction or in the same magnitude as inflation.

While the financial nature of the Company's consolidated balance sheets and statements of income is more clearly affected by changes in interest rates than by inflation, inflation does affect the Company because as prices increase the money supply tends to increase, the size of loans requested tends to increase, total Company assets increase, and interest rates are affected by inflationary expectations. In addition, operating expenses tend to increase without a corresponding increase in productivity. There is no precise method, however, to measure the effects of inflation on the Company's financial statements. Accordingly, any examination or analysis of the financial statements should take into consideration the possible effects of inflation.

#### Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk of loss in a financial instrument arising from adverse changes in market rates/prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. Interest rate risk is the most significant market risk affecting the Company. Other types of market risk do not arise in the normal course of the Company's business activities.

##### Interest Rate Risk:

Interest rate risk can be defined as an exposure to movement in interest rates that could have an adverse impact on the Bank's net interest income. Interest rate risk arises from the imbalance in the re-pricing, maturity and/or cash flow characteristics of assets and liabilities. Management's objectives are to measure, monitor and develop strategies in

response to the interest rate risk profile inherent in the Bank's balance sheet. The objectives in managing the Bank's balance sheet are to preserve the sensitivity of net interest income to actual or potential changes in interest rates, and to enhance profitability through strategies that promote sufficient reward for understood and controlled risk.

The Bank's interest rate risk measurement and management techniques incorporate the re-pricing and cash flow attributes of balance sheet and off balance sheet instruments as they relate to current and potential changes in interest rates. The level of interest rate risk, measured in terms of the potential future effect on net interest income, is determined through the use of modeling and other techniques under multiple interest rate scenarios. Interest rate risk is evaluated in depth on a quarterly basis and reviewed by the Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO") and the Bank's Board of Directors.

The Bank's Asset Liability Management Policy, approved annually by the Bank's Board of Directors, establishes interest rate risk limits in terms of variability of net interest income under rising, flat, and decreasing rate scenarios. It is the role of ALCO to evaluate the overall risk profile and to determine actions to maintain and achieve a posture consistent with policy guidelines.

The Bank utilizes an interest rate risk model widely recognized in the financial industry to monitor and measure interest rate risk. The model simulates the behavior of interest income and expense of all balance sheet and off-balance sheet instruments, under different interest rate scenarios together with a dynamic future balance sheet. Interest rate risk is measured in terms of potential changes in net interest income based upon shifts in the yield curve.

The interest rate risk sensitivity model requires that assets and liabilities be broken down into components as to fixed, variable, and adjustable interest rates, as well as other homogeneous groupings, which are segregated as to maturity and type of instrument. The model includes assumptions about how the balance sheet is likely to evolve through time and in different interest rate environments. The model uses contractual re-pricing dates for variable products, contractual maturities for fixed rate products, and product specific assumptions for deposits accounts, such as money market accounts, that are subject to re-pricing based on current market conditions. Re-pricing margins are also determined for adjustable rate assets and incorporated in the model. Investment securities and borrowings with call provisions, are examined on an individual basis in each rate environment to estimate the likelihood of a call. Prepayment assumptions for mortgage loans and mortgage backed securities are developed from industry median estimates of prepayment speeds, based upon similar coupon ranges and seasoning. Cash flows and maturities are then determined, and for certain assets, prepayment assumptions are estimated under different interest rate scenarios. Interest income and interest expense are then simulated under several hypothetical interest rate conditions including:

- A flat interest rate scenario in which current prevailing rates are locked in and the only balance sheet fluctuations that occur are due to cash flows, maturities, new volumes, and re-pricing volumes consistent with this flat rate assumption.
- A 200 basis point rise or decline in interest rates applied against a parallel shift in the yield curve over a twelve-month period together with a dynamic balance sheet anticipated to be consistent with such interest rate changes.
- Various non-parallel shifts in the yield curve, including changes in either short-term or long-term rates over a twelve-month horizon, together with a dynamic balance sheet anticipated to be consistent with such interest rate changes.
- An extension of the foregoing simulations to each of two, three, four and five year horizons to determine the interest rate risk with the level of interest rates stabilizing in years two through five. Even though rates remain stable during this two to five year time period, re-pricing opportunities driven by maturities, cash flow, and adjustable rate products will continue to change the balance sheet profile for each of the rate conditions.

Changes in net interest income based upon the foregoing simulations are measured against the flat interest rate scenario and actions are taken to maintain the balance sheet interest rate risk within established policy guidelines.

The following table summarizes the Bank's net interest income sensitivity analysis as of June 30, 2006, over one and two-year horizons and under different interest rate scenarios.

INTEREST RATE RISK CHANGE IN NET INTEREST INCOME FROM THE FLAT RATE SCENARIO JUNE 30, 2006			
(Dollars in thousands)	-200 Basis Points Parallel Yield Curve Shift	+200 Basis Points Parallel Yield Curve Shift	-200 Basis Points Short Term Rates
Year 1			
Net interest income change (\$)	\$1,270	(\$1,935)	\$2,433
Net interest income change (%)	5.73%	(8.73%)	11.0%
Year 2			
Net interest income change vs. year one base (\$)	\$1,801	(\$2,565)	\$4,257
Net interest income change vs. year one base (%)	8.12%	(11.57%)	19.2%

The foregoing interest rate sensitivity modeling results indicate that the Bank's balance sheet is liability sensitive and is favorably positioned for declining interest rates over the one and two-year horizons. The interest rate sensitivity model also suggests that the Bank is exposed to a parallel increase in short-term and long-term rates over the one and two-year horizons but, as discussed below, management believes that this is a scenario that is less likely to occur.

At June 30, 2006, the U.S. Treasury yield curve was slightly inverted, with the two and ten-year U.S. Treasury's closing at 5.15% and 5.14%, respectively. Given this historical phenomenon, interest rate risk sensitivity modeling is more challenging than would traditionally be the case. Traditional modeling of parallel movements in the June 30, 2006 yield curve would suggest that it would remain flat in either an increasing or declining interest rate environment, a scenario management believes is not likely and one that has historically not occurred. These challenges are discussed in the following discussion and analysis covering the Bank's interest rate risk sensitivity.

Assuming interest rates remain at their current levels and the Bank maintains a static balance sheet, the interest rate sensitivity simulation model suggests that net interest income will trend moderately upward over the one and two-year horizons. The upward trend principally results from the re-investment of security and loan cash flows into higher current interest rate levels, while loans will "index up" in response to recent interest rate movements more quickly than funding costs. Although short-term market interest rates have risen with the recent increases in the Federal Funds rate, the Bank has generally lagged the market with the pricing of certain non-maturity deposit rates without a material run-off in balances. Margins could narrow if the Bank is prompted to increase deposit interest rates in response to competitive market pricing pressures. Management anticipates that continued earning asset growth would be needed to meaningfully increase the Bank's current level of net interest income, should interest rates remain at current levels.

Assuming short-term and long-term interest rates decline from current levels (i.e., a parallel yield curve shift) and the Bank maintains a static balance sheet, management believes net interest income will increase over the one and two-year horizons. The interest rate sensitivity simulation model suggests that over the one and two-year horizons, funding cost reductions will outpace falling asset yields, favorably impacting net interest income. Notwithstanding,

management anticipates continued earning asset growth will be needed to meaningfully increase the Bank's current level of net interest income.

The interest rate sensitivity model is used to evaluate the impact on net interest income given certain non-parallel shifts in the yield curve, including changes in either short-term or long-term interest rates. In view of the inverted U.S. Treasury yield curve at June 30, 2006 and in light of the seventeen successive increases in short term interest rates over the past two years, management modeled alternative future interest rate scenarios and the anticipated impact on net interest income. Assuming a static balance sheet, with the short-term Federal Funds interest rate declining 200 basis points, and with the balance of the yield curve returning to its historical ten-year average, the interest rate sensitivity model suggests that net interest income will post meaningful increases over the twelve-month horizon and continue to strengthen over the 24 month horizon. Management believes this scenario is more likely than a parallel 200 basis point decline in short and long-term interest rates, given the current shape of the yield curve and historical movements in the yield curve. Management also believes this scenario will meaningfully increase net interest income without significant earning asset growth.

Assuming that the Federal Reserve continues increasing short-term interest rates by 200 basis points and the balance of the yield curve shifts in parallel with these increases, management believes net interest income will come under significant pressure over the one and two-year horizons, but begin a steady recovery beyond the two-year horizon. The interest rate sensitivity simulation model suggests that as interest rates rise, the Bank's funding costs will re-price more quickly than its earning asset base over the one and two-year horizons, but this trend will begin reversing itself beyond the two-year horizon. Management believes that strong earning asset growth will be necessary to meaningfully increase the current level of net interest income should short and long-term interest rates rise in parallel. Management believes this is a scenario that is less likely to occur, given that the yield curve would have to remain flat over the one and two-year horizons, a phenomenon that has not ever historically occurred. Management also believes that the Federal Reserve is nearing the end of its short-term interest rate tightening cycle, which, historically, has preceded declines in short-term and long-term interest rates.

The preceding sensitivity analysis does not represent a Company forecast and should not be relied upon as being indicative of expected operating results. These hypothetical estimates are based upon numerous assumptions including: the nature and timing of interest rate levels and yield curve shape, prepayment speeds on loans and securities, deposit rates, pricing decisions on loans and deposits, reinvestment or replacement of asset and liability cash flows, and others. While assumptions are developed based upon current economic and local market conditions, the Company cannot make any assurances as to the predictive nature of these assumptions including how customer preferences or competitor influences might change.

As market conditions vary from those assumed in the sensitivity analysis, actual results may also differ due to: prepayment and refinancing levels deviating from those assumed; the impact of interest rate change caps or floors on adjustable rate assets; the potential effect of changing debt service levels on customers with adjustable rate loans; depositor early withdrawals and product preference changes; and other such variables. The sensitivity analysis also does not reflect additional actions that the Bank's ALCO and board of directors might take in responding to or anticipating changes in interest rates, and the anticipated impact on the Bank's net interest income.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Company management evaluated, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on such evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and regulations and are operating

in an effective manner.

No change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1: Legal Proceedings

The Company and its subsidiaries are parties to certain ordinary routine litigation incidental to the normal conduct of their respective businesses, which in the opinion of management based upon currently available information will have no material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

### Item 1A: Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the Company's risk factors from those disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of the Company's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) None

(b) None

(c) The following table sets forth information with respect to any purchase made by or on behalf of the Company or any "affiliated purchaser," as defined in Section 240.10b-18(a)(3) under the Exchange Act, of shares of Company's common stock during the periods indicated.

	Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 1	30, 2006	3,350	\$30.26	3,350	184,193
May 1	31, 2006	4,040	\$30.61	4,040	180,153
June 1	30, 2006	1,320	\$29.41	1,320	178,833

In February 2004, the Company's Board of Directors approved a program to repurchase up to 10% of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock, or approximately 310,000 shares. Purchases began March 4, 2004 and continued through December 31, 2005. In December 2005, the Company announced the continuation of this plan through December 31, 2006. Depending on market conditions and other factors, these purchases may be commenced or suspended at any time, or from time-to-time, without prior notice.

### Item 3: Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

### Item 4: Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

(a) The Annual Meeting of the Company's shareholders was held on May 16, 2006.

(b) Proxies for the meeting were solicited pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. There were no solicitations in opposition to the nominees for election to the Company's Board of Directors as listed in the proxy statement.

The votes for Directors were as follows:

Nominee Elected as Director	For	Withheld	Term Expires
Robert C. Carter	2,231,405	16,259	2009
Peter Dodge	2,232,177	15,487	2009
Lauri E. Fernald	2,216,499	28,720	2009
Clyde H. Lewis	2,232,262	14,702	2009
Scott G. Toothaker	2,217,483	30,181	2009
Jacquelyn S. Dearborn	2,229,223	17,741	2008
Gregg S. Hannah	2,230,983	16,681	2008

Continuing members of the Board of Directors were as follows:

Name	Position	Current Term Expires
Thomas A. Colwell	Chairman of the Board	2008
Martha T. Dudman	Director	2008
Joseph M. Murphy	Director	2007
Robert M. Phillips	Director	2007
Constance C. Shea	Director	2007
Kenneth E. Smith	Director	2007
David B. Woodside	Director	2008

The vote to set the number of Directors for the ensuing year at fourteen was as follows

:

For	Against	Abstain	Un-voted
2,220,730	15,134	11,804	0

**Item 5: Other Information**

(a) None

(b) None

**Item 6: Exhibits**

(a) Exhibits.

Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

EXHIBIT NUMBER		
3	3.1 Articles of Incorporation	Articles as amended July 11, 1995 are incorporated by reference to Form S-14 filed with the Commission March 26, 1984 (Commission Number 2-90171).
	3.2 Bylaws	Bylaws as amended to date are incorporated by reference to Form 10-K, Item 14 (a)(3) filed with the Commission March 28, 2002. (Commission Number 001-13349)
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer under Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)	Filed herewith.
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer under Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)	Filed herewith.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer under 18 U.S.C. Section 1350	Filed herewith.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer under 18 U.S.C. Section 1350	Filed herewith.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 8, 2006

Date: August 8, 2006

BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES  
(Registrant)  
/s/Joseph M. Murphy  
Joseph M. Murphy  
Chief Executive Officer  
/s/Gerald Shencavitz  
Gerald Shencavitz  
Chief Financial Officer