KB HOME Form DEF 14A March 02, 2018 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x Check the appropriate box: oPreliminary Proxy Statement oConfidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) xDefinitive Proxy Statement oDefinitive Additional Materials oSoliciting Material under §240.14a-12

KB HOME

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant) Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box): xNo fee required. oFee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1)Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

⁽³⁾Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was

paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

Together with the Board of Directors and the management team of KB Home, I am pleased to invite you to our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The meeting will be held at 9:00 a.m., Pacific Time, on Thursday, April 12, 2018, at our Corporate Office, which is located at 10990 Wilshire Boulevard in Los Angeles, California. 2017 in Review

In many ways, 2017 was an exceptional year for our Company. It marked another year of significant growth in our revenues, profitability and returns, driving a total stockholder return of 99% - one of the best in our industry group. We were recognized for our continued work in sustainable homebuilding, focusing on efficient, healthy and technology-enabled homes. And, throughout the year, we celebrated the 60th anniversary of our founding. Our anniversary provided many opportunities to look back at our modest beginnings, progress through the years, and our strong position today to extend our profitable growth into the future.

Entering the year, our initial, high-level expectations were for housing revenues of approximately \$4 billion and a homebuilding operating income margin of approximately 6.0%. By year's end, we had expanded our housing revenues by 21% to \$4.3 billion, and produced a 140-basis point increase in our homebuilding operating income

margin to 7.1%, excluding inventory-related charges. The combined effect of increasing our scale and improving our profitability drove pretax income of \$290 million. Equally as important, we significantly expanded key returns metrics, with our return on invested capital increasing by 220 basis points to 7.4%, and return on equity improving by 370 basis points to 10%.

It was also the first year of our three-year Returns-Focused Growth Plan. The foundation of this Plan is our ability to produce substantial cash flow that we can then deploy in a balanced way to drive our continued profitable growth and reduce our debt. In executing our Plan in 2017, we generated considerable cash that enabled us to invest \$1.5 billion in land acquisition and development, lower our debt balance by more than \$300 million, and still end the year with over \$700 million in cash on our balance sheet and no cash borrowings outstanding on our revolving credit facility. Housing market conditions remained favorable in 2017, with job and wage growth, and increasing healthy demand from first-time buyers driving sales of new homes. At the same time, supply remained constrained, with existing home inventory nationally falling to a 3.2-month supply in December 2017, and below that in most of the markets in which we build. With these dynamics in place, our focus during the year was on maintaining our strong net order pace per community and increasing prices, where possible.

We were successful with this approach, increasing our year-over-year net order value by 17% to \$4.5 billion, on a 6% increase in net orders to 10,900. Our robust net order value growth contributed to our year-end backlog value expanding by 9% to \$1.7 billion, our highest year-end backlog in a little over a decade.

In 2017, our joint venture with Stearns Lending, KBHS, became operational and began offering mortgage banking services to homebuyers in our served markets. KBHS contributed to our results through an improving capture rate and growing income stream, by providing a consistent and reliable level of service to our homebuyers, and by supporting our divisions in achieving greater predictability in deliveries. Looking ahead, we expect KBHS to become a more impactful part of our business.

For additional details on our 2017 performance as well as our outlook for 2018 and related business risk factors, please refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2017. Sustainability and Innovation

In 2017, we continued our longstanding industry leadership in sustainability, which has become one of our core values and contributes to our goal of making owning a home more affordable for our buyers. Our commitment to sustainability is embedded into every home we build, and enables us to deliver homes that have superior energy and water efficiency, a healthier indoor environment, and enhanced integration of smart-home technologies, as compared to most resale homes.

Our accomplishments in this area were recognized with a number of industry-first awards, including seven consecutive ENERGY STAR® Partner of the Year - Sustained Excellence Awards, three ENERGY STAR Climate Communications awards, and three consecutive WaterSense® Sustained Excellence Awards. In addition, we earned 18 ENERGY STAR Certified Homes Market Leader awards in 2017, reflecting our leadership in building ENERGY STAR certified homes in each of our served markets.

Responding to the interests of our buyers as part of our customer-driven approach to homebuilding, we expanded our smart-home offerings and collaborations with leading technology companies in 2017. Our homes include Wi-Fi connected devices, such as thermostats, that are compatible with Apple®'s HomeKitTM and Amazon's Alexa®. In addition, we are increasingly working on designing homes that respond to and may even anticipate the homeowner's needs. We are excited about the possibilities that technology can enable within the homes we build, and look forward to further developing our expertise.

In Closing

We are making excellent progress on our Returns-Focused Growth Plan, and are poised to continue to do so in 2018. We believe our business is well positioned geographically in some of the fastest growing housing markets in the country, with products that are designed to appeal to the strongest demand segment-first-time homebuyers-which made up one-half of our deliveries in 2017. We remain committed to increasing stockholder value, and thank you for your continued support of KB Home. We hope to see you at our Annual Meeting.

Sincerely,

JEFFREY T. MEZGER Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer March 2, 2018

NOTICE OF 2018 ANNUAL M	MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
	9:00 a.m.,
Time and Date	Pacific Time,
Time and Date	on Thursday,
	April 12, 2018.
	KB Home
	Corporate
	Office, 10990
Location	Wilshire
	Boulevard, Los
	Angeles, CA
	90024.
	(1) Elect ten
Items of Business	directors, each
Items of Business	to serve for a
	one-year term.
	(2) Advisory
	vote to
	approve named
	executive
	officer
	compensation.
	(3) Approve
	the Amended
	and Restated
	Rights
	Agreement.
	(4) Ratify
	Ernst & Young
	LLP's
	appointment as
	KB Home's
	independent
	registered
	public
	accounting
	firm for the
	fiscal year
	ending
	November 30,
	2018.
	The
	accompanying
	Proxy
	Statement
	describes these

	items in more detail. We have not received notice of any other matters that may be properly presented at the meeting.
Record Date	You are entitled to vote
	at the meeting
	and at any
	adjournment or
	postponement
	of the meeting
	if you were a
	stockholder on
	February 9,
	2018.
Voting	Please vote as
	soon as
	possible, even
	if you plan to
	attend the
	meeting, to
	ensure your
	shares will be
	represented.
	You do not
	need to attend
	the meeting to
	vote if you
	vote before the
	meeting. If you are a holder of
	record, you
	may vote your
	shares via the
	Internet,
	telephone or
	mail. If your
	shares are held
	by a broker or
	financial
	institution, you
	must vote your
	shares using a
	method the

	broker or financial institution provides.
Attending the Meeting	Only stockholders on February 9, 2018, authorized proxy holders of such stockholders and invited guests of the Board of Directors ("Board") may attend the meeting in person. Picture identification and an admission ticket will be required to attend. The accompanying Proxy Statement describes how to request an admission ticket. We must receive written ticket requests by March 30, 2018.
Annual Report	Copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2017 ("Annual Report"), including audited financial

statements, are being made available to stockholders concurrently with the accompanying Proxy Statement. We anticipate these materials will first be made available on or about March 2, 2018.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting To Be Held on April 12, 2018: Our Proxy Statement and Annual Report are available at www.kbhome.com/investor/proxy. BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, WILLIAM A. (TONY) RICHELIEU Vice President, Corporate Secretary and Associate General Counsel Los Angeles, California March 2, 2018

KB HOME 10990 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90024 (NYSE:KBH)

PROXY STATEMENT

Your Board is furnishing this Proxy Statement and a proxy/voting instruction form or a Notice of Internet Availability, as applicable, to you to solicit your proxy for our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders ("Annual Meeting"). We anticipate these proxy materials will first be made available on or about March 2, 2018. Stockholders can vote via the Internet, telephone or mail or in person at the Annual Meeting, as described under "Annual Meeting, Voting and Other Information."

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
Annual Meeting Overview	<u>1</u>
Corporate Governance and Board Matters	<u>3</u>
Board Leadership	<u>3</u>
Director Independence	<u>3</u>
Board Committee Information	$ \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{3}{3} \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{5}{5} \\ 5$
Board Role in Risk Oversight	<u>5</u>
Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions	<u>5</u>
Director Qualifications and Nominations	<u>5</u>
Communicating with the Board	<u>5</u>
Director Compensation	<u>6</u>
Election of Directors	<u>8</u>
Ownership of KB Home Securities	<u>12</u>
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	<u>14</u>
Listening to Our Stockholders	<u>14</u>
Compensation Governance	<u>15</u>
Pay Program Overview	<u>16</u>
NEO Compensation Components	<u>17</u>
Executive Compensation Decision-Making Process and Policies	<u>21</u>
Severance, Change in Control and Post-Termination Arrangements and Benefits	<u>23</u>
Management Development and Compensation Committee Report	<u>24</u>
Summary Compensation Table	<u>24</u>
Grants of Plan-Based Awards During Fiscal Year 2017	<u>25</u>
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2017	<u>26</u>
Option Exercises and Stock Vested During Fiscal Year 2017	<u>28</u>
Pension Benefits During Fiscal Year 2017	<u>28</u>
Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation During Fiscal Year 2017	<u>29</u>
Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change in Control	<u>29</u>
Advisory Vote to Approve Named Executive Officer Compensation	<u>34</u>
Approve the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement	<u>34</u>
Audit Matters	<u>38</u>
Ratify Ernst & Young LLP's Appointment as Independent Auditor	<u>38</u>
Independent Auditor Fees and Services	<u>38</u>
Audit Committee Report	<u>39</u>
Annual Meeting, Voting and Other Information	<u>40</u>
Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures	Annex 1
Amended and Restated Rights Agreement	Attachment A

ANNUAL MEETING OVERVIEW

This overview provides a brief summary of the items of business for the Annual Meeting. Please review the more detailed information in this Proxy Statement and our Annual Report before voting. Items of Business Key Meeting Information

 ϕ^{Elect} ten directors, each to serve for a one-year

of term.		Thursday, April 12, 2018.
Advisory vote to approve named executive officer	Date	9:00 a.m., Pacific Time.
	Time	KB Home Corporate Office
$\phi^{\text{Approve the Amended and Restated Rights}}$	Location	Los Angeles, CA 90024.
Agreement.		You must request an admission ticket to attend the
Ratify Ernst & Young LLP's appointment as our	То	Annual Meeting in person. We must receive written
ϕ independent registered public accounting firm ϕ ("Independent Application") for the formula to the formu	Attend	ticket requests by March 30, 2018, as described under
¹⁰ ("Independent Auditor") for the fiscal year ending		"Admission to the Annual Meeting."

November 30, 2018.

Board of Directors Nominees

As discussed under "Election of Directors," below are the nominees for election to the Board at the Annual Meeting.

Name	Year First Elected	Primary Occupation	Committee Membership	
Dorene C. Dominguez	2017	Chairwoman and Chief Executive Officer, Vanir Group of Companies Inc.	^s ,ACC	
Timothy W. Finchem	2005	Board Chair, The First Tee; Former PGA TOUR Commissioner	MDCC NCGC (Chair)	
Dr. Stuart A. Gabriel	2016	Director, Richard S. Ziman Center for Real Estate at UCLA; and Professor of Finance and Arden Realty Chair, UCLA Anderson School of Management	ACC	
Dr. Thomas W. Gilligan	2012	Director, Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace	ACC NCGC	
Kenneth M. Jastrow, II	2001	Former Chairman and CEO, Temple-Inland Inc.	MDCC (Chair)	
Robert L. Johnson	2008	Founder and Chairman, The RLJ Companies	MDCC NCGC	
Melissa Lora	2004	President, Taco Bell International	ACC (Chair) MDCC	
Jeffery T. Mezger	2006	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), KB Home	e N/A	
James C. Weave	er2017	Chief Executive Officer, McCombs Partners	ACC	
Michael M. Wood	2014	Founder and Chairman, Redwood Investments LLC	ACC NCGC	
Committee Key: "ACC"=Audit and Compliance; "MDCC"=Management Development and Compensation;				

"NCGC"=Nominating and Corporate Governance

Your Board recommends a vote "FOR" the election of each director nominee

Advisory Vote to Approve NEO Compensation

As shown below, and as discussed under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," we believe we have a strong alignment of our executive compensation with performance that supports advisory approval of 2017 fiscal year NEO compensation.

FY2017 KEY PERF	FORMANCE METRICS		
Stockholder Deturn	Total Stockholder Return ("TSR") <u></u>	99%
Stockholder Returns	Return on Equity	11	10% (+370 basis points)
	Total Revenues		22%
Financial Results	Homebuilding Operating Income	ñ	86% 94%
	Total Pretax Income	11	94%
	Net Income		71%
Operating Results	Net Order Value	ñ	17% 9%
Operating Results	Year-End Backlog Value	11	9%

Your Board recommends an advisory vote "FOR" approval of NEO compensation

Approve the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement

As discussed under "Approve the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement," the Board believes it is important to maintain the protections of our Rights Agreement to help preserve the valuable tax benefits of our significant remaining net operating losses for up to three more years.

Your Board recommends a vote "FOR" approving the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement Independent Auditor Appointment

As discussed under "Audit Matters," we are seeking stockholder ratification of the Audit and Compliance Committee's appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our Independent Auditor for the fiscal year ending November 30, 2018. Your Board recommends a vote "FOR" ratifying Ernst & Young LLP's appointment

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

The Board is elected by our stockholders to oversee the management of our business and to assure that our stockholders' long-term interests are being served. The Board carries out this role subject to Delaware law (our state of incorporation), and in accordance with our Corporate Governance Principles. The Board held five meetings during 2017.

Corporate Governance Highlights

• All directors are independent, except our CEO, and

elected annually under a majority voting standard. • Our three standing Board committees are entirely composed of independent directors.

• Non-employee directors meet in executive sessions at each in-person Board meeting, and any non-employee director can request additional executive sessions.

• All directors, senior executives and employees are prohibited from pledging or hedging their holdings of ourstockholders. All directors serving at the time attended our securities.

• Beginning with 2017 awards, employee equity award agreements require double-trigger vesting in a change in control.

• Directors and senior executives are subject to strong stock ownership requirements.

• There is Board-level oversight of our political contributions.

• All directors, senior executives and employees must comply with the standards of conduct in our Ethics Policy.

• Each director attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and Board committees on which they served during 2017.

• We expect all directors to attend our annual meeting of 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on April 13, 2017.

- Executive officers are subject to an incentive compensation clawback policy.
- We have one class of voting securities and no supermajority voting requirements (except as provided by Delaware law).

Board Leadership

The Board believes that having an independent director serve as Chairman or Lead Independent Director is the most appropriate Board leadership structure to carry out its role and responsibilities. In August 2016, and again in April 2017, the non-employee directors elected Mr. Mezger, our CEO, as Chairman based on his fundamental understanding of our business model, effective operational leadership and capable service as a director since 2006, and their belief that his serving as Chairman and CEO would further enhance the execution of our core strategies to achieve our long-term growth goals. Board governance is balanced by a robust Lead Independent Director position with the duties listed below, which are designed to maintain the Board's steady independent oversight of our business. Ms. Lora was elected Lead Independent Director in November 2016 and again in April 2017.

Lead

Independent **Director Duties**

• Preside at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present, and at all executive sessions of the non-employee directors. • Serve as liaison between the Chairman and the non-employee directors.

• Consult with the Chairman, Board committee chairs and other non-employee directors (as appropriate) regarding meeting agendas and schedules to assure that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items, and regarding the content and flow of information to the Board. • Organize and preside at meetings of the non-employee directors at any time and for any purpose. • Provide Board leadership if there is (or there is perceived to be) a conflict of interest with respect to the role of a Chairman who is also the Chief Executive Officer. • If requested by major stockholders, being available to them for consultation and communication as appropriate. • Any such additional

responsibilities, duties and functions as set forth in our Corporate Governance Principles or By-Laws, or as may otherwise be determined by the Board from time to time. Director Independence

We believe that a substantial majority of our directors should be independent. To be independent, the Board must affirmatively determine that a director does not have any direct or indirect material commercial or charitable relationship with us based on all relevant facts and circumstances. The Board makes independence determinations from information supplied by directors, director nominees and other sources, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's prior review and recommendation, and certain categorical standards contained in our Corporate Governance Principles that are consistent with

New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") listing standards. The Board has determined that, other than Mr. Mezger, all directors who served in 2017 and all director nominees are independent. In making its independence determinations, the Board found that Ms. Dominguez's independence was not impaired by, and she did not have a direct or indirect material interest in, one of our division office's leasing from CIT Group (where she serves as a non-employee director) a nominal number of copy machines; and that Mr. Wood's independence was not impaired by, and he did not have a direct or indirect material interest in, our receipt of consulting services and research data in 2017 from a firm in which he owns a <1% passive equity interest.

Board Committee Information

The Board has three standing committees — Audit and Compliance ("Audit Committee"); Management Development and Compensation ("Compensation Committee"); and Nominating and Corporate Governance ("Nominating Committee") — to which it has delegated various duties. The Board appoints the members of and has adopted a charter for each committee. At each regular Board meeting, the committee chairs report to the Board on their particular committee's activities. The Board and each committee conduct an annual evaluation of their respective performance, with each committee reporting on its own assessment to the full Board. Each committee member served during all of 2017, other than Ms. Dominguez and Mr. Weaver, who both joined the Audit Committee upon their election to the Board on July 12, 2017 and October 5, 2017, respectively. Robert L. Patton, Jr. served on the Audit Committee and the Nominating Committee during 2017 until his resignation from the Board effective April 13, 2017.

C	Audit Comm	ittee	Compensation Committee		Nominating Committee	
	Lora (Chair)	Gilligan	Jastrow (Chair)		Finchem (Chair)	
Members	Dominguez	Weaver	Finchem	Lora	Gilligan	Wood
	Gabriel	Wood	Johnson		Johnson	
FY2017 Meetings	6		5		4	
Key Duties	 Oversees of corporate acc and reporting practices and process, inclu our Independ Auditor's qualifications independence retention, compensation performance. Is authorize approve our incurring, guaranteeing redeeming de our entry into transactions. 	ed to or ebt, and	 Evaluates and determines our CEO's compenses Determines th compensation o CEO's direct re Oversees an a review of leader development an workforce succession plane all management levels, including the CEO. Evaluates and recommends non-employee director compensation a benefits. 	sation f our ports. nnual rship d s at g for	 Oversees our corporate govern policies and prac Reviews "relation of the second sec	etices. ed party al nittee uates s r
Other Items	- Each memb		– Each member	is a	– Regularly eval	uates
	financially lit		"non-employee	000	the skills and	c
	and an "audit		director" under		characteristics of	
	committee fin			itside	current and poter	ntial
	expert," per N		director" under		directors, and	. L
	listing standa	ards and	Internal Revenu	le	identified for eac	cn

Securities and	Code ("Code") Sectio	mulividual the Board
Exchange	162(m).	has nominated for
Commission ("SEC")	– Is assisted with its	election at the Annual
rules.	duties by our	Meeting certain
– It is a separately	management and an	specific skills and
designated standing	outside consultant,	qualifications that led
audit committee as	Frederic W. Cook &	to the Board's
defined in Section	Co., Inc. ("FWC").	determination that
3(a)(58)(A) of the	– May delegate its	each such nominee
Securities Exchange	duties to our	should serve as a
Act of 1934.	management, except	director, as described
– The Board	the authority to grant	under "Election of
determined that	equity-based awards,	Directors."
Dr. Gabriel's	or to a Board	
simultaneous service	subcommittee.	
on the audit	Compensation	
committees of three	Committee	
other public	Interlocks and	
companies does not	Insider Participation	
impair his ability to	None of our	
serve effectively on	directors or	
the Audit	executive officers	
Committee.	had any relationship	
	that would constitute	
	a "compensation	
	committee interlock"	
	under SEC rules.	

Board Role in Risk Oversight

As described below, the Board oversees our management's plans, policies and processes for identifying, assessing and addressing business risks while advancing our strategic goals. The Board has delegated its risk oversight responsibilities to the Audit Committee, except for employee compensation-related risks that are under the Compensation Committee's purview.

Audit Committee Role. The Audit Committee oversees our Compensation Committee Role. The Compensation management's performance of an annual enterprise risk management assessment that identifies significant risks in risk assessment performed by FWC together with our our business and operations, along with corresponding mitigating factors, and receives periodic updates upon request or as deemed appropriate. The Audit Committee chair reports to the Board on significant risks as deemed appropriate. In addition, at each of its regular meetings, the developed by our senior human resources personnel. The Audit Committee receives reports from our senior finance, Compensation Committee chair reports to the Board on accounting, legal and internal audit executives, and conducts separate executive sessions with each of those executives and with our Independent Auditor to discuss matters relevant to their respective duties and roles, including risk areas.

Committee oversees an annual employee compensation management that largely focuses on potential policy and program design and implementation risks. The Compensation Committee also reviews and, as appropriate, approves compensation arrangements significant risks as deemed appropriate. Based on this oversight approach and our most recent annual risk assessment, we do not believe that our present employee compensation policies and programs are likely to have a material adverse effect on us.

Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions

The Nominating Committee reviews any transactions, arrangements or relationships in which we participate and in which a director, director nominee, executive officer or beneficial owner of five percent or more of our common stock (or, in each case, an immediate family member) had or will have a direct or indirect material interest (a "Covered Transaction"), except as otherwise determined by the Board. Covered individuals and stockholders are expected to inform our Corporate Secretary of Covered Transactions, and we collect information from our directors, director nominees and executive officers about their and their family members' affiliations so that we can review our records for any such transactions.

Per the policy and procedures set forth in its Charter, the Nominating Committee will approve or ratify a Covered Transaction if, based on a review of all material facts and feasible alternatives, it deems the transaction to be in our and our stockholders' best interests. In addition, specified categories of transactions set forth in the Nominating Committee's Charter are deemed pre-approved/ratified, including those in which the total amount involved is less than or equal to \$120,000; and those that would not (a) need to be reported under federal securities laws, (b) be deemed to impair a director's independence under our Corporate Governance Principles or (c) be deemed to be a conflict of interest under our Ethics Policy. There were no Covered Transactions in 2017.

Director Qualifications and Nominations

The Nominating Committee evaluates and recommends individuals for election to the Board at regular or special meetings and at any point during the year, taking into consideration the attributes listed in our Corporate Governance Principles and diversity of background and personal experience, among other factors. Diversity may encompass race, ethnicity, national origin and gender, geographic residency, educational and professional history, community or public service, expertise or knowledge base and/or other tangible and intangible aspects of an individual in relation to the personal characteristics of current directors and other potential director nominees. There is no formal policy as to how diversity is applied, and an individual's background and personal experience, while important, do not necessarily outweigh any other factors.

Individuals may be nominated by current directors, and the Nominating Committee has retained professional search firms from time to time to assist with director recruitment. Current directors recommended Ms. Dominguez and Mr. Weaver as candidates prior to their respective elections to the Board in 2017. A professional firm assisted with our outreach to Ms. Dominguez. Security holders may propose director nominees by following the procedures set forth in our By-Laws, which require, among other things, timely advance written notice to our Corporate Secretary of any potential nominee that contains specified information about the nominee and the nominating security holder. Director nominees proposed by security holders are considered in the same manner as any other potential nominees. Communicating with the Board

As set forth in our Corporate Governance Principles, any interested party may write to the Board, to the Chairman of the Board, to the Lead Independent Director or to any director in care of our Corporate Secretary at KB Home, 10990 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90024.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Our directors (other than Mr. Mezger, who is not paid for his Board service) are primarily compensated under a plan the Board approved in October 2014, as described below. Director compensation is based on service for a "Director Year," a period that begins on the date of an annual meeting of stockholders and ends on the day before the next annual meeting.

Non-Employee Director Compensat	ion
Board Retainer	\$100,000
Equity Grant (value)	\$145,000
Lead Independent Director Retainer	\$40,000*
	\$25,000 (Audit Committee)
Committee Chair Retainers	\$18,000 (Compensation Committee)
	\$15,000 (Nominating Committee)
	\$10,000 (Audit Committee)
Committee Member Retainers	\$7,000 (Compensation Committee)
	\$5,000 (Nominating Committee)
Meeting Fees	\$1,500 (for each additional non-regularly scheduled meeting)

* The Board established the Lead Independent Director retainer in August 2016.

Non-Employee Director Compensation Components.

Retainers. Each director may elect to receive their respective retainers in equal quarterly cash installments, as a grant of unrestricted shares of our common stock and/or as a grant of fully vested deferred common stock awards ("stock units"), with any such equity-based grants made as described below. Committee retainer differences reflect relative workloads.

Equity Grant. Each director may generally elect to receive their equity grant in unrestricted shares of our common stock and/or in stock units. Grants are made on the first date of a Director Year, with the rounded number of shares/units granted based on our common stock's closing price on that date. Directors will receive a share of our common stock for each stock unit they hold on the earlier of a change in control or leaving the Board. Directors receive cash dividends on their common stock, and cash dividend equivalent payments on their stock units. Stock units have no voting rights. If a director has not satisfied the stock ownership requirement by the applicable time (as described under "Stock Ownership Requirements"), the director can only receive stock units for the equity grant, and cannot dispose of any shares of our common stock until the director satisfies the ownership requirement or leaves the Board.

Meeting Fees. These fees are payable to directors who attend any Board or committee meeting that is not a regularly scheduled meeting and have also attended the Board's or the applicable committee's prior Director Year meetings. No such fees were paid in 2017.

Directors elected to the Board other than at an annual meeting receive prorated compensation, with equity grants made on their election date. We also pay directors' travel-related expenses for Board meetings and Board activities. Director Compensation During Fiscal Year 2017

-		Stock All Other	Total
Name(a)	Paid in Cash	Awards Compensation $(f)(c)$	$\binom{1}{(\$)}$
	(\$)(b)	(\$)(c) (\$)	(Φ)
Ms. Dominguez	z\$ 55,000	\$72,500\$ -	-\$127,500
Mr. Finchem		267,000 —	267,000
Dr. Gabriel	110,000	145,000 —	255,000
Dr. Gilligan	115,000	145,000 —	260,000
Mr. Jastrow	118,000	145,000 —	263,000
Mr. Johnson	100,000	157,000 —	257,000
Ms. Lora	10,000	317,000 —	327,000
Mr. Weaver	36,250	27,500 —	63,750

- Mr. Wood 100,000 160,000 260,000
- (a) Ms. Dominguez was elected to the Board on July 12, 2017, and Mr. Weaver was elected to the Board on October 5, 2017. Therefore, each received prorated compensation during 2017.

Fees Earned or Paid in Cash. These amounts generally represent the cash retainers paid to directors per their(b)elections. The amount for Ms. Lora reflects her Lead Independent Director retainer paid in the 2017 first quarter for the 2016–2017 Director Year.

Stock Awards. These amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the unrestricted shares of our common stock or stock units granted to our directors in 2017. The grant date fair value of each such award is equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. All such grants were made on April 13, 2017, except

(c) that Ms. Dominguez's and Mr. Weaver's grants were made on the respective dates on which they were elected to the Board. The table below shows the respective grants of unrestricted shares of our common stock and/or stock units to our directors in 2017 and each director's total holdings of equity-based compensation awards as of February 16, 2018.

Name	2017 Common Stock Grants (#)	2017 Stock Unit Grants (#)	Total Holdings (#)(i)
Ms. Dominguez	3,051	_	3,051
Mr. Finchem		13,288	180,142
Dr. Gabriel	7,217	_	17,251
Dr. Gilligan	7,217	_	65,489
Mr. Jastrow		7,217	153,018
Mr. Johnson	7,814		156,682
Ms. Lora	_	15,777	223,749
Mr. Weaver	1,413	_	1,413
Mr. Wood	746	7,217	44,314

Total Holdings. These amounts reflect the directors' total respective outstanding holdings of equity-based compensation awards, consisting of grants of unrestricted shares of our common stock, stock units and stock options in the following amounts: Ms. Dominguez 3,051, 0 and 0; Mr. Finchem 0, 124,792 and 55,350; Dr. Gabriel 17,251, 0 and 0; Dr. Gilligan 17,251, 21,349 and 26,889; Mr. Jastrow 10,034, 87,634 and 55,350; Mr. Johnson 28,570, 34,769 and 93,343; Ms. Lora 0, 157,179 and 66,570; Mr. Weaver 1,413, 0 and 0; and Mr. Wood 1,784, 31,152 and 11,378. Director stock options were last granted in April 2014, as they ceased being a component of director compensation after that date; accordingly, no director stock options have been granted to Ms. Dominguez, Dr. Gabriel or Mr. Weaver. Some director stock options held by Mr. Johnson (37,993) and Ms. Lora (11,220) have

(i) 15-year terms. The remainder have ten-year terms. For directors who leave the Board due to retirement or disability (in each case as determined by the Compensation Committee), or death, their stock options will be exercisable for the options' respective remaining terms. Otherwise, director stock options must be exercised by the earlier of their respective terms or the first anniversary of a director's leaving the Board (for 15-year stock options), or the third anniversary of leaving the Board (for ten-year stock options). Based on the directors' respective elections, each director stock option represents a right to receive shares of our common stock equal in value to the positive difference between the option's stated exercise price and the fair market value of our common stock on an exercise date, and are therefore settled in a manner similar to stock appreciation rights (and are referred to in this Proxy Statement as "Director SARs"). No Director SARs held by current directors have been so settled.

Indemnification Agreements. We have agreements with our directors that provide them with indemnification and advancement of expenses to supplement what our Certificate of Incorporation and insurance policies provide, subject to certain limitations.

Directors' Legacy Program. We established the Directors' Legacy Program in 1995 to recognize our and our directors' interests in supporting educational institutions and other charitable organizations. The Board closed the program to new participants in 2007. As a result, Messrs. Finchem and Jastrow and Ms. Lora are the only current directors who are participants in the program. All program participants have fully vested in their donation amount; however, neither they nor their families receive any proceeds, compensation or tax savings associated with the program. Under the program, we will make a charitable donation on each participant's behalf of up to \$1 million to up to five participant-designated, qualifying institutions or organizations. Donations are paid in ten equal annual installments directly to the designated recipient institutions or organizations after a participant's death. We maintain life insurance

policies to help fund these donations, but no premium payments for the policies were required to be made in 2017. Premium payments, where required, vary depending on participants' respective ages and other factors. The total amount payable under the program at November 30, 2017 was \$14.9 million.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board will present as nominees at the Annual Meeting, and recommends our stockholders elect to the Board, each of the individuals named below for a one-year term ending at the election of directors at our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Each nominee has consented to being nominated and has agreed to serve as a director if elected. Each nominee is standing for re-election, except for Ms. Dominguez and Mr. Weaver, who were each elected to the Board in 2017. Should any of the nominees become unable to serve as a director prior to the Annual Meeting, the individuals named as proxies for the meeting will, unless otherwise directed, vote for the election of another person as the Board may recommend. On the date of the Annual Meeting, if the Board's nominees are elected, the Board will have ten directors. There are no term limits for directors.

Voting Standard To be elected, each nominee must receive a majority of votes cast in favor (i.e., the votes cast for a nominee's election must exceed the votes cast against their election).

Director Resignation Policy. Our Corporate Governance Principles provide that a director nominee who fails to win election to the Board in an uncontested election is expected to tender their resignation from the Board (or to have previously submitted a conditional tender). An "uncontested election" is one in which there is no director nominee that has been nominated by a stockholder in accordance with our By-laws. This election is an uncontested election. If an incumbent director fails to receive the required vote for election in an uncontested election, the Nominating Committee will act promptly to determine whether to accept the director's resignation and will submit its recommendation for the Board's consideration. The Board expects the director whose resignation is under consideration to abstain from participating in any decision on that resignation. The Nominating Committee and the Board may consider any relevant factors in deciding whether to accept a director's resignation. BOARD RECOMMENDATION: FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH DIRECTOR NOMINEE Provided below is information on each director nominee's skills and qualifications, and current and former professional experience, including current and any former (within the past five years) public company directorships.

Dorene C. Dominguez Chairwoman and Chief Executive Officer, Vanir Group of Companies, Inc.	Age: 55 Elected in 2017	Other Professional Experience: –Board Member, California Chamber of Commerce (2017-Present)
	Public Company Directorships: –KB Home	-Board Member, Pride Industries, nonprofit employer of individuals with disabilities
	-CIT Group Inc.	(2009-Present) –Latino Studies Advisory Board Member, University of Notre Dame (2007-Present)

Dorene C. Dominguez has served since 2004 as Chairwoman and Chief Executive Officer of the Vanir Group of Companies, Inc. and its subsidiaries Vanir Construction Management, Inc. and Vanir Development Company, Inc., which provide a wide range of program, project and construction management services for clients in the healthcare, education, justice, water/wastewater, public buildings, transportation and energy markets throughout the United States. Ms. Dominguez also serves as Chair of The Dominguez Dream, a nonprofit organization that provides academic enrichment programs in math, science, language arts and engineering to elementary schools in underserved communities.

Ms. Dominguez has extensive experience in executive management, finance, and civic engagement, as well as significant expertise in project and asset management and real estate development. She also has a substantial presence and is well-regarded in California, an important market for us.

Timothy W. Finchem	Age: 70	Other Professional Experience:
Board Chair, The First Tee; Former PGA	Director Since: 2005	–PGA TOUR Commissioner (1994-2016)
TOUR Commissioner	Public Company	-Deputy Advisor to the President, White House
	Directorships:	Office of Economic Affairs (1978-1979)

-KB Home

-Co-founder, National Marketing and Strategies Group (1980-1986)

Mr. Finchem has been Board Chair of The First Tee, a nonprofit youth development organization providing educational programs through the game of golf, since it was founded in 1997. He previously served as Commissioner of the PGA TOUR, a membership organization for professional golfers, from 1994 until his retirement in December 2016. He joined the PGA TOUR in 1987, and was promoted to Deputy Commissioner and Chief Operating Officer in 1989. Mr. Finchem has demonstrated success in broadening the popularity of professional golf among the demographic groups that make up our core homebuyers, and has experience in residential community development. He also has a substantial presence in Florida, one of our key markets.

Dr. Stuart A. Gabriel Director, Richard S. Ziman Center for Real Estate at UCLA; and Professor of Finance and Arden Realty Chair, UCLA Anderson School of Management	Age: 64 Director Since: 2016 Public Company Directorships: –KB Home –KBS Real Estate Investment Trust, Inc. –KBS Real Estate Investment Trust II, Inc. –KBS Real Estate Investment Trust III, Inc.	Other Professional Experience: –Director and Lusk Chair, USC Lusk Center for Real Estate (1997-2007) –Associate Professor/Professor, Finance and Business Economics, USC Marshall School of Business (1990-1997) –Economics Staff Member, Federal Reserve Board (1986-1990)
Dr. Gabriel has been since 2007 the director of th	he Richard S. Ziman	Center for Real Estate at UCLA, and Professor

Dr. of Finance and Arden Realty Chair at the UCLA Anderson School of Management. With Dr. Gabriel's significant professional experience in and distinguished study of macroeconomics and real estate, mortgage and finance markets, he has considerable knowledge and insight with respect to the economic, regulatory and financial drivers that affect housing and homebuilding at local, regional and national levels. In addition, with his two decades of service in leadership roles at two of the most preeminent academic institutions in the country-UCLA and USC-he has substantial management and administrative expertise, and is highly respected for his perspective on housing and land use matters in California, an important market for us, and nationally.

	Age: 63	Other Professional Experience:
	Director Since: 2012	–Dean, McCombs School of Business
Dr. Thomas W. Gilligan	Public Company	(2008-2015)
Director, The Hoover Institution on War,	Directorships:	-Interim Dean, USC Marshall School of
Revolution and Peace	-KB Home	Business (2006-2007)
	-Southwest Airlines	-Staff Economist, White House Council of
	Co.	Economic Advisors (1983-1984)

Dr. Gilligan has been the Tad and Dianne Taube Director of The Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace at Stanford University since September 2015. The Hoover Institution is a public policy research center devoted to the advanced study of economics, politics, history and political economy, as well as international affairs. Dr. Gilligan has deep knowledge of and significant academic credentials in the fields of finance, economics and business administration, and brings extensive leadership skills and experience from his many years of service as a dean at two of the premier post-graduate business schools in the country and his current position as the head of a prominent public policy institution. In addition, he is well-known and highly regarded, professionally and personally, in both Texas and California, which are key markets for us.

Kenneth M. Jastrow, II	Age: 70	Other Professional Experience:
Former Chairman and CEO, Temple-Inland	Director Since: 2001	-Non-Executive Chairman, Forestar Group
Inc.	Public Company	Inc., a real estate and natural resources
	Directorships:	company (2007-2015)
	-KB Home	-Chairman and Chief Executive Officer,
	-MGIC Investment	Temple-Inland Inc., a paper, forest products
	Corporation	and financial services company (2000-2007)
	-Genesis Energy,	
	LLC	

–Forestar Group Inc. (2007-2015)

Kenneth M. Jastrow, II has extensive experience and leadership in the paper, building products, forestry, real estate and mortgage lending industries, enabling him to provide critical perspective on businesses that impact the homebuilding industry, and on sustainability practices. He also brings significant corporate governance expertise from his service on several public company boards, and has a substantial presence in Texas, a key market for us.

Robert L. Johnson Founder and Chairman, The RLJ Companies	Age: 71 Director Since: 2008 Public Company Directorships: -KB Home -Lowe's Companies, In -RLJ Entertainment, Ind -RLJ Lodging Trust -Strayer Education, Inc. (2003-2016)	Other Professional Experience: –Founder and Chief Executive Officer, Black Entertainment Television (BET), a television and entertainment network (1979-2006) –Museum Council Member, Smithsonian Institution's National Museum of African American History and Culture (2004-Present)
---	--	--

Robert L. Johnson is founder and chairman of The RLJ Companies, an innovative business network that owns or holds interests in a diverse portfolio of companies in the consumer financial services, private equity, investment banking, real estate, hospitality, professional sports, film production, gaming and automobile dealership industries. Prior to forming The RLJ Companies in 2004, Mr. Johnson was founder and chief executive officer of BET, which was acquired by Viacom Inc. in 2001. He continued to serve as chief executive officer of BET until 2006. Mr. Johnson has significant experience in real estate, finance, mortgage banking and brand-building enterprises and a unique and diverse background in a number of industry sectors.

Melissa Lora President, Taco Bell International	Age: 55 Director Since: 2004 Lead Independent Director Since: 2016 Public Company Directorships: –KB Home –MGIC Investment Corporation	Other Professional Experience: -Global Chief Financial and Development Officer, Taco Bell Corp. (2012-2014) -Chief Financial Officer, Taco Bell Corp. (2001-2012) -Regional Vice President and General Manager, Taco Bell Corp. (1998-2000)
---	--	--

Melissa Lora has been since 2013 the President of Taco Bell International, a segment of Taco Bell Corp., which is a division of Yum! Brands, Inc., one of the world's largest restaurant companies. Taco Bell Corp. recently announced that Ms. Lora will retire from her position in summer 2018. Ms. Lora is very knowledgeable of and has substantial experience and expertise in financial matters as well as in managing real estate assets. She has made significant contributions to the work of the Audit Committee since joining the Board and has provided strong leadership as its chair since 2008. In November 2016, the Board elected Ms. Lora as Lead Independent Director.

	Age: 62 Director Since: 2006 Chairman Since: 2016	Other Professional Experience: –Executive Board Member, USC Lusk Center for Real Estate (2000-2017)
Jeffrey T. Mezger Chairman, President and		–Policy Advisory Board Member, Fisher Center for Real Estate and Urban Economics at UC Berkeley Haas School of Business
Chief Executive Officer,	Public Company	(2010-present)
KB Home	Directorships: –KB Home	 –Policy Advisory Board Member, Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies (2004 -2017; Board Chair 2015-2016) –Founding Chairman, Leading Builders of America (2009-2013; Executive Committee member until 2016)

Jeffrey T. Mezger has been our President and Chief Executive Officer since November 2006, and was elected Chairman of the Board in 2016. Prior to becoming President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Mezger served as our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, a position he assumed in 1999. From 1995 until 1999, Mr. Mezger held a number of executive posts in our southwest region, including Division President, Arizona Division,

and Senior Vice President and Regional General Manager over Arizona and Nevada. Mr. Mezger joined us in 1993 as president of the Antelope Valley Division in Southern California. In 2012, Mr. Mezger was inducted into the California Homebuilding Foundation Hall of Fame. As our CEO, Mr. Mezger has demonstrated consistently strong operational leadership, and ownership of our business strategy and its results. He has also established himself as a leading voice in the industry through his nearly 40 years of experience in the public homebuilding sector.

	Age: 42 Elected in 2017	Other Professional Experience: –Board Member and Current Chair Pro Tem, San Antonio Branch, Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (Member 2014-Present; Chair
James C. Weaver Chief Executive Officer, McCombs Partners	Public Company Directorships: –KB Home	2016-Present) –Member, The University of Texas System Board of Regents (2017-Present) –Member, The McCombs School of Business Advisory Board
	-AD Home	(2014-Present) -San Antonio Chamber of Commerce Board of Directors (Member 2014-2017; Chairman 2016-2017)

James C. Weaver has been since 2006 the Chief Executive Officer of McCombs Partners, the investment management division of McCombs Enterprises, overseeing the implementation of the firm's investment strategies, including management of its direct investments in private operating businesses. He began his career at McCombs as an investment analyst in 2000. Mr. Weaver also serves as a director of several private companies, including Circuit of the Americas, Cox Enterprises, Inc. and Southern Towing Company. Mr. Weaver has considerable experience in executive leadership, business strategy and execution, financial planning and analysis, and asset/investment management across a broad range of industries, and brings critical insight on governance and economic and regulatory policymaking. In addition, with his professional achievements and significant community involvement, he has a substantial presence and is well-regarded in Texas, a core market for us.

	Age: 70 Director Since 201	Other Professional Experience:
Michael M. Wood Founder and Chairman, Redwood Investments LL	Public Company	 4 –Chairman, Winsight, LLC, a business-to-business publishing company (2012-2017) –U.S. Ambassador to Sweden (2006-2009) –Co-Founder and Chief Executive Officer, Hanley Wood LLC, a business-to-business publishing company (1976-2005)

Michael M. Wood is founder and chairman of Redwood Investments LLC, a Washington, D.C.-based investment company established in 2005 and concentrating in media, real estate and alternative energy. In 2009, Mr. Wood received from the King of Sweden the insignia of Commander Grand Cross, Order of the Polar Star medal given by Sweden's Royal Family to people of foreign birth who make significant contributions to Sweden. Prior to becoming the U.S. Ambassador to Sweden, Mr. Wood was co-founder and CEO of Hanley Wood LLC, the leading media company in the construction industry and one of the ten largest business-to-business media companies in the U.S. Mr. Wood has extensive knowledge of the homebuilding industry and significant experience in real estate and alternative energy investing, providing substantial insight and expertise with respect to our business operations and our longstanding commitment to sustainability. He also has a distinguished policymaking background.

OWNERSHIP OF KB HOME SECURITIES

The table below shows the amount and nature of our non-employee directors' and NEOs' respective beneficial ownership of our common stock as of February 16, 2018. Except as otherwise indicated below, the beneficial ownership is direct and each owner has sole voting and investment power with respect to the reported securities holdings.

c .	Total	Stock	Restricted
Non-Employee Directors	Ownership(a)		
Dorene C. Dominguez	3,051		_
Timothy W. Finchem	180,142	55,350	_
Dr. Stuart A. Gabriel	17,251		_
Dr. Thomas W. Gilligan	65,489	26,889	_
Kenneth M. Jastrow, II	153,018	55,350	_
Robert L. Johnson	156,682	93,343	_
Melissa Lora	225,792	66,570	
James C. Weaver	1,413	_	_
Michael M. Wood	44,314	11,378	
Named Executive Officers			
Jeffrey T. Mezger	4,256,314	3,495,027	
Jeff J. Kaminski	388,991	262,559	25,273
Albert Z. Praw	298,883	187,606	17,875
Brian J. Woram	576,551	437,461	17,155
William R. Hollinger	584,747	429,772	10,170
All directors and executive officers as a group (15 p	2000 a = 10 7 201 141	5 209 760	70 000

All directors and executive officers as a group (15 people) 7,201,141 5,308,769 78,898 No non-employee director or NEO owns more than 1% of our outstanding common stock, except for Mr. Mezger, who owns 4.3%. All non-employee directors and executive officers as a group own 8.6% of our outstanding common stock. The total ownership amounts reported for each non-employee director includes their respective

(a) aggregate equity-based compensation awards, as described under "Director Compensation." Dr. Gabriel, Ms. Lora, Mr. Wood and Mr. Kaminski each hold their respective reported total ownership amounts in family trusts over which they have shared voting and investment control with their respective spouses. The amounts reported in this column for directors include 2,043 shares of our common stock that Ms. Lora directly owns. The reported stock option amounts are the shares of our common stock that can be acquired within 60 days of

(b) stock option awards (for the NEOs). The respective reported Director SAR/stock option and restricted common

stock option awards (for the NEOS). The respective reported Director SAR/stock option and restricted common stock amounts are included in the total ownership amounts reported for each non-employee director and NEO. The table below shows the beneficial ownership of each stockholder known to us to beneficially own more than five percent of our common stock. Except for the Grantor Stock Ownership Trust ("GSOT"), the below information (including footnotes) is based solely on the stockholders' respective Schedule 13G or Schedule 13G/A filings with the SEC, and reflect their respective determinations of their and/or their respective affiliates' and subsidiaries' ownership as of December 31, 2017. Some of the percentage ownership figures below have been rounded.

Stockholder(a)	Total Ownership	Percent of Class
BlackRock, Inc. 55 East 52 nd Street, New York, NY 10055	10,649,861	
The Vanguard Group, Inc.	9,394,663	11%
100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355 KB Home Grantor Stock Ownership Trust(b)		
Wells Fargo Retirement and Trust Executive Benefits, One West Fourth Street, Winston-Salem, NC 27101	8,897,954	9%

(a) The stockholders' respective voting and dispositive power with respect to their reported ownership is presented below, excluding the GSOT.

		The
	Blackrock,	Vanguard
	Inc.(i)	Group,
		Inc.(ii)
Sole voting power	10,476,648	108,498
Shared voting power		11,562
Sole dispositive power	10,649,861	9,280,566
Shared dispositive power		114,097

(i) Blackrock, Inc. is a parent holding company. A BlackRock, Inc. subsidiary, BlackRock Fund Advisors, beneficially owned five percent or more of our outstanding shares.

The Vanguard Group, Inc. is an investment adviser to various investment companies. Its subsidiaries, Vanguard (ii)Fiduciary Trust Company and Vanguard Investments Australia, Ltd., beneficially own 102,535 and 17,525 shares, respectively.

The GSOT's percent of class figure is relative to the total number of shares of our common stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, as described under "Annual Meeting, Voting and Other Information." The GSOT holds these shares pursuant to a trust agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as trustee. Both the GSOT and the trustee disclaim beneficial ownership of the shares. Under the trust agreement, our employees who hold unexercised common stock options under our employee equity compensation plans determine the voting of the GSOT shares.

(b) The number of GSOT shares that any one employee can direct the vote of depends on how many eligible employees submit voting instructions to the trustee. Employees who are also directors cannot vote GSOT shares; therefore, Mr. Mezger cannot direct the vote of any GSOT shares. If all eligible employees submit voting instructions, our other NEOs can direct the vote of the following amounts of GSOT shares: Mr. Kaminski 749,737; Mr. Praw 531,055; Mr. Woram 1,052,597; and Mr. Hollinger 986,370; and all current executive officers as a group (excluding Mr. Mezger) 3,781,395.

Stock Ownership Requirements. Our non-employee directors and senior executives are subject to stock ownership requirements to better align their interests with those of our stockholders. Our Corporate Governance Principles require each of our non-employee directors to own at least five times the Board retainer (currently \$500,000) in value of our common stock or common stock equivalents by the fifth anniversary of joining the Board (the directors serving on the Board on October 9, 2014 must meet the ownership threshold by the fifth anniversary of that date). Our executive stock ownership policy requires designated senior executives, including our NEOs, to own a certain number of shares within five years of becoming subject to the policy. The policy is discussed under "Equity Stock Ownership Policy." Each of our non-employee directors and NEOs is in compliance with their respective requirements. Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance. Based solely on written representations furnished to us from reporting persons and our review of Forms 3, 4 and 5 and any amendments thereto furnished to us, we believe all such Forms required to be filed during 2017 under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended, were filed on a timely basis by our reporting persons.

* *

man

000

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Stockholder	One-Year TSR	99%		
	Returns	One-Year Return	ñ10	%
	Keturns	on Equity	(+:	370bps)
		Total Revenues		
		Homebuilding	22	2%
2017 Fiscal Year Performance Highlights	Short-Term	Operating Income	ñ 86	5%
In our 2017 fiscal year, we made great progress on our	Financial Results	Total Pretax	ⁿ 94	4%
aggressive performance goals under our Returns-Focused		Income	71	1%
Growth Plan, as discussed in our Annual Report, and produced		Net Income		
strong financial and operational results.		Annual Net Order		
These results were achieved across several short-term and	Short-Term	Value	_≈ 17	7%
long-term metrics, with many demonstrating considerable growth	hOperating Results	SYear-End Backlog	пç	9%
compared to the previous year and over the last three years.		Value		
We also generated significant returns for our stockholders in		Three-Year		
2017 with a substantial rise in our TSR.		Revenue Growth		
	Long-Term	Three-Year Pretax	82	2%
	Performance	Income Growth	ñ 20)5%
	Results	Three-Year	\$5	566M
		Cumulative Pretax		
		Income		

Pay for Performance — CEO Compensation

• Our CEO's compensation was nearly 90% performance-based.

• 100% of our CEO's long-term incentives were performance-based restricted stock units ("PSUs").

• Our CEO's annual incentive award of \$4.38 million was performance-based and formula-driven, and reflected our profitability growth and improved asset efficiency in 2017.

Listening to our Stockholders

In 2017, we continued our longstanding practice of reaching out to our stockholders, including nearly all of our 25 largest stockholders, directly engaging with holders representing over 50% of our outstanding shares ahead of our 2017 Annual Meeting. We also engaged with stockholders in early 2018 to solicit additional feedback on our executive compensation programs.

In evaluating our executive compensation programs during 2017, the Compensation Committee considered the strong support stockholders have expressed through our annual NEO compensation advisory votes over the past few years for our approach to executive compensation. Therefore, for 2017, the Compensation Committee decided to retain the core components of our executive compensation programs and to apply the same general principles and philosophy as in the prior fiscal year with respect to its executive compensation decisions. In addition, taking stockholder views into account, the Compensation Committee approved in 2017 employee equity award agreements that require double-trigger vesting in a change in control, and, at its recommendation, the Board adopted in January 2018 an executive officer incentive compensation claw-back policy. The Compensation Committee welcomes and will continue to consider stockholders' perspectives on executive compensation in the future.

Table of Contents

EY FEATURES OF OUR EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAMS hat We Do What We Don't Do		
our executive pay programs.	Do not allow re-pricing or cash-out of underwater stock options without stockholder approval.	
Link annual NEO incentive pay to objective, üpre-established financial performance goals, which are three-year goals for long-term incentives.	Do not provide any new excise tax "gross-ups" to any ^x officer or employee.	
Grant all of our NEOs' long-term incentives in performance-based restricted stock units.	Do not allow our NEOs (or any employees or x non-employee directors) to hedge or pledge their holdings of our securities.	
Beginning with 2017 awards, employee equity award ü agreements require double-trigger vesting in a change in control.	under "Severance Arrangements."	
^ü Subject our executive officers to an incentive compensation claw-back policy.	Do not provide excessive perquisites. Perquisites are generally limited to market-competitive medical benefits and the opportunity to participate in a deferred compensation plan.	
Perform, under Compensation Committee oversight, annual risk assessments to determine that our employee compensation policies and programs are not likely to have a material adverse effect on us. Engage, at the sole direction of the Compensation Committee, an independent compensation consultant. Maintain robust stock ownership requirements for all NEOs. üMaintain a relevant industry peer group.	Do not pay dividends or dividend equivalents on x performance-based equity awards before they vest.	

Pay Program Overview

The components of, and rationale for, each element of our executive compensation program are described in the table below.

COMPENSATION TYPE	DESCRIPTION	RATIONALE
BASE SALARY	• Fixed compensation delivered in cash on a semi-monthly basis.	• A market-aligned component of the overall pay package to provide a baseline level of pay; key to attracting and retaining highly qualified executives.
ANNUAL INCENTIVE PROGRAM	• Our NEOs' 2017 annual incentive payouts were performance-based and formula-driven, focused on pretax income and asset efficiency measures.	• Motivates achievement of core strategic short-term financial results.
LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PSU: PROGRAM	 Constituted 100% of total grant date fair value for our NEOs, a shift from prior years' grants, which included other long-term incentive vehicles. 2017 grants have three separate three-year sperformance measures: cumulative adjusted earnings per share, average adjusted return on invested capital, and revenue growth versus our peer group. 	
RETIREMENT PROGRAMS AND PERQUISITES	 A 401(k) plan in which all eligible employees may participate. Legacy executive retirement and death benefit plans have been closed to new participants for over a decade. Market-competitive medical, dental and vision benefits and the opportunity to participate in a deferred compensation plan. 	 Programs are aligned with market practices. Focuses executives on earning rewards through performance pay elements, not through entitlements.
A		1 1 4 1 1 1 20017

As outlined above, we place a significant emphasis on at-risk, performance-based pay. As shown below, in 2017, our CEO received nearly 90% of his total direct compensation (i.e., value of base salary and annual and long-term incentives) in performance-based and/or at-risk vehicles. For our other NEOs, such vehicles made up, on average, nearly 80% of their total direct compensation.

NEO Compensation Components

Base Salaries. The Compensation Committee annually reviews and approves the base salaries of our CEO and our other NEOs. The Compensation Committee approves NEO base salaries after considering several factors, including an NEO's experience, specific responsibilities, capabilities, individual performance and expected future contributions; our current and expected financial and operational results; and market pay levels and trends to ensure competitiveness. In July 2017, based on an evaluation of these factors, our growth trajectory and our CEO's recommendations as to our other NEOs, the Compensation Committee approved base salary increases for each of our NEOs, with our CEO receiving a base salary increase for the first time in over 10 years.

2017 Annual Incentives. Our annual incentive program is structured to drive performance within a single fiscal year period. As with our 2015 and 2016 programs, if a threshold total adjusted pretax income ("API") goal was achieved, the 2017 program's formula-driven funding would be determined based on two components: (a) API performance relative to threshold and target goals, with the threshold performance goal considered to be reasonably achievable, yet uncertain to be met under then-expected market and business conditions in 2017, and the target performance goal designed to require significant management effort to achieve; and (b) API performance relative to an asset efficiency measure. API is our total pretax income excluding incentive and variable compensation expense and certain inventory impairment and land option contract abandonment charges.

We view API as a comprehensive short-term measure of our executive officers' performance, as it reflects their ability to generate profits by growing revenues, managing expenses and controlling fixed costs. The combination of the API and asset efficiency measures was designed to motivate our executive officers to generate profitable growth in alignment with the profitability and asset efficiency components of our Returns-Focused Growth Plan.

The 2017 target payout opportunities were set at the same 150% of base salary for our CEO and 80% - 100% of base salary for each of our other NEOs as with the 2015 and 2016 programs. Maximum payouts were limited to a multiple of target, with our CEO at four times, our CFO at three times and our other NEOs at two times. The target and maximum annual incentive opportunities were designed to generate cash payout levels that, if achieved, would appropriately reward strong performance for 2017, and together with base salary and long-term incentives, provide competitive total direct compensation.

2017 Annual Incentive Program Component Determinations. Because our 2017 API exceeded the applicable \$100 million threshold goal, our NEOs became eligible to receive annual incentive payouts based on our performance under the two components of the 2017 program, as discussed below.

API Performance Relative to Goals Component. The Compensation Committee set a challenging API performance target of \$260.0 million, which was approximately 10% higher than our actual 2016 API performance. Under the 2017 program, the Compensation Committee limited potential payouts under this component to no more than the NEOs' individual target amounts, even if our actual API exceeded the target performance level. This was done to ensure that our NEOs focused on advancing both program components — pretax income and asset efficiency — to be able to earn above their target annual incentive amount under the program as a whole.

We achieved API of \$356.2 million in 2017, or approximately 137% of target, which represented a significant 52% year-over-year increase in API. As explained above and shown in the table below, this performance led to strictly formula-based payouts of 100% of target to our NEOs under the API performance component. 2017 API Performance Levels and Payout Summary

	Threshold	Target	Actual Result
API Performance Levels	\$195.0 million	\$260.0 million	\$356.2 million
API Performance Levels Relative to Target	75%	100%	137%
Payout Level Ratios	50%	100%	100%

Participating executive officers could earn annual incentive payouts above their respective individual target payout levels (but limited to each such officer's respective maximum payout level) only if and to the extent our API performance exceeded a minimum asset efficiency objective, as described below.

API Performance Relative to Asset Efficiency Component. Under this component, two percent of each dollar of API over our minimum asset efficiency objective, and three percent of each dollar of API above the level of 115% of target, funded an additional annual incentive pool to be allocated among the participating executive officers. The

Compensation Committee set the 2017 minimum asset efficiency objective at a one percent return on inventory for 2017, which was approximately \$33.3 million. With the difference between our API and the minimum asset efficiency objective equal to about \$323 million, the asset efficiency performance pool was funded at a total level of approximately \$7.0 million.

The Compensation Committee determined the allocation of the asset efficiency performance pool among the participating executive officers based on pre-established potential payout ranges for each officer (0% - 60% for our CEO; 0% - 12% for our other NEOs) that took into consideration the officer's 2017 annual incentive payout opportunities at threshold, target and maximum levels; historical relative annual incentive payouts by functional role/seniority level; and competitive market pay information. In determining allocations of the pool to our NEOs, the Compensation Committee also considered each NEOs' individual performance contributions, which, other than for our CEO, were informed by our CEO's assessment of their performance, and established a corresponding individual performance factor ("IPF") within the above-described ranges. The Compensation Committee determined our CEO's award by also considering the CEO's leadership of the Company's strategy, and his significant contributions to the considerable growth of our business in 2017. The table below summarizes the individual contributions of each of the NEOs.

NEO IPF 2017 NEO Individual Performance Contributions Mr. Mezger provided excellent leadership in establishing and driving performance against our Returns-Focused Growth Plan objectives. In 2017, our pretax income grew by 94% and our net income rose 71%, with total revenues increasing 22%, in each case compared to 2016 levels. We Mr. 58.5% delivered 99% total stockholder return and 10% return on equity in 2017, a 370 basis point Mezger improvement from 2016. Mr. Mezger also continued to play a critical role in promoting the KB Home brand as both a premier homebuilder and company in sustainability and innovation. Mr. Kaminski effectively managed our corporate liquidity and balance sheet, as we generated more than \$500 million of net operating cash and reduced our debt by over \$300 million. He also played a 11.0%Mr. Kaminski large role in the successful execution to date of our Returns-Focused Growth Plan, as we are on target to achieve its primary profitability, returns, and balance sheet goals by 2019. Mr. Praw led our land investment and asset management efforts, allowing us to achieve 11% growth in deliveries as compared to 2016, and positioning us to meet our 2018 delivery goals, in a very 8.3% Mr. Praw competitive land market. He also spearheaded our initiatives to reduce land held for future development via strategic land sales and activations. Mr. Woram achieved significant cost recoveries via settlements and mediations and ensured Mr. responsive and skillful transactional support to our divisions. He also led efforts to reduce risk in the 8.3% Woram areas of construction-defect litigation, regulatory impacts and environmental issues. Mr. Hollinger played a primary and critical role in our efforts to improve the profitability of the

Mr. business and key financial metrics. He also continued to work collaboratively with our Information Hollinger Technology department to lead the implementation of new technology and systems designed to improve efficiency across the entire enterprise.

2017 Annual Incentive Payouts. The 2017 fiscal year annual incentive payouts the Compensation Committee approved for our NEOs are presented in the following table. Mr. Mezger's total payout reflects a 25% reduction, consistent with the previously reported Board determination of September 2017, from the amount the Compensation Committee approved for him based on his performance as described above.

2017 Annual Incentive Program Payout Levels and Actual Payouts(a)

NEO				Asset Efficiency		Total Payout	
NLO	Component Payout(a)		Compo	Component Payout			
Mr. Mezger	\$	1,725,000	\$	4,112,900	\$	4,378,425	
Mr. Kaminsk	i 700,000)	773,36	6	1,473	3,366	
Mr. Praw	585,000)	584,94	6	1,169	9,946	
Mr. Woram	585,000)	584,94	6	1,169	9,946	
Mr. Hollinger	r 333,600)	333,56	3	667,1	163	

(a) Annex 1 to this Proxy Statement contains a reconciliation of our pretax income calculated in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") to the non-GAAP financial measure of API.

2018 Annual Incentive Program. The 2018 annual incentive program will be similar to our 2017 program, including a formula-driven funding structure determined by API and asset efficiency performance measures, and a minimum API

performance level for our participating executive officers to qualify for any annual incentive payouts, with higher threshold performance levels and target performance levels set above our actual 2017 performance.

Long-Term Incentives. In October 2017, the Compensation Committee approved long-term incentive awards to our NEOs consisting solely of PSUs to reinforce the alignment of pay with our performance and stockholder value creation. In prior years, long-term incentive awards to our NEOs included stock options and, except for our CEO, shares of restricted common stock. Regardless of the mix of vehicles awarded, the overall grant date fair value of long-term incentive awards to our NEOs has been essentially flat over the past three years. **NEO Long-Term Incentives**

Granted in 2017

NEO	PSUs
NEO	#

\$ Mr. Mezger 156,006\$3,999,994

Mr. Kaminski 46,802 1,200,003

Mr. Praw 32,176 824,993

Mr. Woram 30,226 774,995

Mr. Hollinger 18,526 475,007

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units. We have granted PSUs to our executive officers each year since 2012. As with prior PSU grants, the PSUs granted in 2017 are designed to focus our executive officers on achieving important long-term financial objectives over a three-year period. The 2017 PSU measures described below are a combination of absolute and relative metrics that should generate positive outcomes for our business, are aligned with our Returns-Focused Growth Plan objectives, and, if achieved, are expected to be strong drivers of stockholder value creation.

PSU Measures

Weight Purpose

• Cumulative Adjusted Earnings Per Share ("AEPS") 50%

Measures profitability relative to capital deployed • Average Adjusted Return on Invested Capital ("AROIC'20% • Revenue Growth Rank Versus Peers 30%

Measures top-line growth relative to peers

Measures profitability trajectory over the period

The 2017 PSU amounts shown in the table above reflect a target award of shares of our common stock and the grant date fair value. Each 2017 PSU entitles a recipient to a grant of 0% to 200% of his target award depending on our performance relative to the above-noted performance measures over the three-year period of December 1, 2017 to November 30, 2020. The performance for both the AEPS and AROIC measures will be determined on a tax-effected basis that excludes only pre-specified categories of compensation expense/charges; certain inventory impairment and land option contract abandonment charges; and other extraordinary items that are subject to Compensation Committee approval. As with prior PSU grants, upon vesting, each recipient of a 2017 PSU is entitled to receive a proportionate amount of credited cash dividends that are paid in respect of one share of our common stock with a record date between the grant date and the date the Compensation Committee determines the applicable performance achievements, if any. Except for death, disability or certain retirement circumstances, a recipient will forfeit any rights with respect to 2017 PSU payouts if the recipient terminates service before the date the Compensation Committee determines the applicable performance achievements.

The following tables present our goals with respect to the performance measures for the PSUs granted in 2015, 2016 and 2017, which utilize identical measures for all three of the awards. As shown below, the goals for both the AEPS and AROIC measures have increased year-over-year at each performance level.

Performance Measure PSU Grant Year Threshold Goal Target Goal Maximum Goal

	2015	\$2.73	\$3.31	\$4.36
AEPS	2016	\$3.00	\$3.64	\$4.77
	2017	\$4.13	\$5.16	\$6.19
	2015	3.0%	3.5%	4.3%
AROIC	2016	3.1%	3.6%	4.4%
	2017	3.3%	3.8%	4.6%

The expanded relative revenue growth performance ranking scale shown below for the PSUs granted in 2016 and 2017 reflects an increase in the size of our peer group in 2016.

	2014—2015 H	PSU Awards	2016—2017 F	SU Awards
Performance Measure	$\begin{array}{cccc} (Rank) & Mul \\ 1 \text{ or } 2 & 200' \\ 3 & 178' \\ 4 & 156' \\ 5 & 134' \\ 6 & 113' \\ 6 & 113' \\ 7 & 90\% \\ 8 & 67\% \\ 9 & 44\% \\ \end{array}$	Target Award	Performance	Target Award
renormance measure	(Rank)	Multiplier	(Rank)	Multiplier
	1 or 2	200%	1 or 2	200%
	3	178%	3	180%
Relative Revenue Growth	4	156%	4	160%
	5	134%	5	140%
	6	113%	6	120%
(Adjustments to ranking levels and multipliers will be	7	90%	7	100%
	8	67%	8	80%
	9	44%	9	60%
	10	21%	10	40%
	11 or 12	0%	11	20%
			12 or 13	0%

The threshold performance levels outlined above are designed to be reasonably achievable, yet uncertain to be met under expected market and business conditions at the time of grant. Target performance levels are designed to require significant management effort to achieve, and maximum performance levels are designed to be measurably more difficult to achieve than target performance levels. Each of these performance levels directly scale to threshold, target and maximum payout opportunities.

As vesting for the PSUs granted in 2015–2017 will not be determined until after their respective performance periods end, we cannot predict the extent to which any shares under these awards will ultimately vest. With respect to the 2015 PSUs, the performance period of which runs until the end of our 2018 fiscal year, our AEPS and AROIC performance through the end of our 2017 fiscal year is between the target and maximum performance levels for each such metric. Relative revenue growth rank versus the applicable peer group for the 2015 PSUs will not be known until after the applicable performance period ends.

2014 PSU Awards. The PSUs granted to our executive officers in 2014 entitled recipients to a grant of 0% to 200% of a target award of shares of our common stock based on our AEPS performance, AROIC performance, and relative revenue growth performance (with the respective rankings and multipliers as shown in the above table) over the three-year period of December 1, 2014 to November 30, 2017. The applicable AEPS and AROIC performance measures and goals were as follows:

2014 PSU Performance Measure 2014 PSU Performance Goals 2014 PSU Target Award Multiplier

	\$4.00 and above	200%
	\$3.04	100%
AEPS	\$2.52	25%
	Below \$2.52	0%
	4.0% and above	200%
ADOIC	3.3%	100%
AROIC	2.8%	25%
	Below 2.8%	0%

Our actual performance with respect to the 2014 PSU measures is set forth in the table below. 2014 PSU Award Determinations

Performance Measure	Average Annual Performance	Aggregate Total Performance	Target Award Multiplier
AEPS (50% weight)	N/A	\$4.43	200%
AROIC (20% weight)	3.3%	N/A	100%
Relative Revenue Growth (30% weight)	N/A	1st in the peer group	200%
Weighted Cumulative Multiplier			180%

The cumulative AEPS performance result for the 2014 PSUs exceeded the challenging \$4.00 maximum goal level and reflected our emphasis on and success at growing our earnings over a three-year period amid an improving housing market. Strong, consistent profitability and cumulative net income of more than \$371 million over this period contributed to a solid adjusted return on invested capital over the same three-year span, in line with our target goal for this measure. The relative

revenue growth performance for the 2014 PSUs reflected our 82% revenue growth over the applicable period, a result that placed us in first place within the peer group. During 2015, two of the peer companies that were part of the original peer group for this performance measure merged to form a single peer company. Our ranking in the table above reflects our position at the end of the performance period among the post-merger peer group, consistent with the terms of the 2014 PSUs and based on the relative revenue growth performance rank-to-target award multiplier table above. All of these outcomes were uncertain at the time the 2014 PSUs were granted, and the Compensation Committee determined that they required significant management effort to achieve and sustain through the entirety of the performance period.

On February 14, 2018, the Compensation Committee certified the performance we achieved for the period ending November 30, 2017 and approved share grants with respect to the 2014 PSUs as set forth in the table below. 2014 PSU Awards(a)

NEO Target Award(#) Actual Award(#)

Mr. Mezger	195,622	352,120
Mr. Kaminski	15,048	27,086
Mr. Praw	10,602	19,084
Mr. Woram	10,602	19,084
Mr. Hollinger	6,156	11,081

Annex 1 to this Proxy Statement contains a reconciliation of our pretax income calculated in accordance with (a)GAAP to the non-GAAP financial measure of adjusted pretax income, adjusted net income and adjusted earnings per share used in computing the AEPS and AROIC performance measures.

Executive Compensation Decision-Making Process and Policies

The Compensation Committee oversees the decision-making process for our executive compensation and benefits policies and programs. In making executive compensation decisions, the Compensation Committee considers a variety of factors and data, most importantly our performance and individual executives' performance, and takes into account the totality of compensation that may be paid. Among the data the Compensation Committee considers are financial and operational performance metrics for us, including comparisons to prior years' performance and our current business plans, and to our peer group (which is described below); surveys and forecasts of comparative general industry and peer group compensation and benefits practices; and, at least annually, management-prepared tally sheets for senior executives with up to five years of compensation data.

Role of Our Management and Compensation Consultants. Our CEO and senior human resources and legal department executives provide information and recommendations to assist the Compensation Committee's decision-making, and also advise on compliance and disclosure requirements. FWC, which the Compensation Committee directly retains, assists the Compensation Committee in the executive compensation decision-making process, as well as on compliance and disclosure requirements. FWC attends Compensation Committee meetings as needed. To maintain its independence and avoid any conflicts of interest, FWC may not work directly for our management unless the Compensation Committee pre-approves the work, including fees. During 2017, FWC did not provide any services that would have required such pre-approval. Based on its consideration of factors under NYSE listing standards, the Compensation Committee determined that FWC's work did not raise any conflicts of interest, and therefore considered FWC to be independent.

Peer Group. Our peer group is composed solely of public companies that, like us, are engaged in high production homebuilding as their primary business. We compete with all of these companies for both homebuyers and management talent. The competition with these companies for human resources reflects our, and their, need to attract and retain high caliber management and other personnel with strong high production homebuilding expertise and experience to execute business activities nationally as well as in specific local markets. Therefore, a principal focus in designing our compensation and benefits programs is to meet this critical competitive need.

The Compensation Committee, in consultation with FWC and our management, periodically reviews and considers changes to our peer group. The Compensation Committee principally considers the competitive factors described above, as well as relative total revenues and market capitalization among the peer group companies. The Compensation Committee last adjusted our peer group during 2016, the members of which are shown below. As of

their most recently filed proxy statements before the date of this Proxy Statement, each member of our peer group included us in its own peer group.

Our Peer Group

- Beazer Homes USA, Inc.
- CalAtlantic Group, Inc.• D.R. Horton, Inc.
- Hovnanian Enterprises, Inc. Lennar Corp.
- Meritage Homes Corp.

- M.D.C. Holdings, Inc. • PulteGroup, Inc.
- NVR, Inc. • Taylor Morrison Home Corp. • Toll Brothers, Inc.
 - Tri Pointe Group, Inc.

As of December 31, 2017, the reported total revenues (on a trailing 12-month basis) of the companies in our peer group were within a range of approximately 0.4 to 3.3 times our total revenues, and our total revenues approximated the median of the peer group. Also as of December 31, 2017, the market capitalization of our peer group was within a range of approximately 0.2 to 6.1 times our market capitalization, and our market capitalization approximated the median of the peer group. On February 12, 2018, Lennar Corp. completed a merger with CalAtlantic Group, Inc. Therefore, CalAtlantic Group, Inc. has ceased to be a member of our peer group as of that date.

Equity Stock Ownership Policy. Our longstanding executive stock ownership policy is intended to encourage, and has encouraged, our executives to increase their ownership of our common stock over time and to align their interests with our stockholders' interests. Under the policy, designated senior executives are expected to achieve specific levels of common stock ownership within five years of joining us and, once achieved, maintain such ownership throughout their employment with us. The targeted common stock ownership levels for our NEOs are as follows:

Executive **Ownership Guideline** Mr. Mezger 6.0 times base salary

Messrs. Kaminski, Praw and Woram 2.0 times base salary Mr. Hollinger 1.0 times base salary

Common stock ownership includes shares directly owned by the NEO, and shares are valued at the greater of the most recent closing price on a valuation date, or the closing price on the date shares are acquired. Designated executives are required to hold all vested net (after-tax) shares of time-vesting and performance-vesting restricted stock and up to 100% of net shares acquired through stock option exercises until their applicable stock ownership requirement is met, absent a hardship or other qualified exception. Each of our NEOs is in compliance with the requirements of the policy. Prohibition on Hedging/Pledging of Our Securities. To further align their interests with those of our stockholders, our employees and non-employee directors cannot engage in short sales of our securities and cannot buy or sell puts, calls or any other financial instruments that are designed to hedge or offset decreases or increases in the value of our securities (including derivatives, prepaid variable forward contracts, equity swaps, collars and exchange funds). They also cannot hold our securities in a margin account or otherwise pledge our securities as collateral for any loan. Equity-Based Award Grant Policy. Our equity-based award grant policy governs the timing and establishes certain internal controls over the grant of equity-based awards. The policy requires that the Compensation Committee (or the Board) approve all grants of equity-based awards, and their terms. The policy does not permit any delegation of granting authority for equity-based awards to our management. Per the policy, the exercise price of any stock option award will not be less than the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on the grant date.

Claw-Back Policy. The Board adopted a policy in January 2018 to recoup compensation if our financial statements must be restated due to an executive officer's intentional misconduct or grossly negligent conduct. Under the policy, the Board (or a designated committee) has the authority, to the extent permitted by applicable law (including California law), to require reimbursement or forfeiture to us of the amount of bonus or incentive compensation (whether cash- or equity-based) such officer received during the three fiscal years preceding the year the restatement is determined to be required that exceeds what the officer would have received based on an applicable restated performance measure or target. In addition, under his Employment Agreement, our CEO must repay certain bonus and incentive- or equity-based compensation he receives if we are required to restate our financial statements as a result of his misconduct, consistent with Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. We will also recoup incentive-based compensation from our NEOs to the extent required under the Dodd-Frank Act and any rules, regulations and listing standards that may be issued under that Act.

Tax Implications of our Executive Compensation Program. In past years, including 2017, we have generally designed our incentive compensation plans in order to maintain federal tax deductibility for executive compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code, and the Compensation Committee considered the potential Section 162(m) impact when

approving the compensation paid to our NEOs. Prior to the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, enacted on December 22, 2017, Section 162(m) generally disallowed a tax deduction for compensation over \$1.0 million paid to certain executive officers unless it qualified as performance-based compensation. The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act effectively repealed the exemption for performance-based compensation with respect to tax years beginning after December 31, 2017 other than arrangements in place on November 2, 2017 that are not later

modified in any material respect. As such, beginning with our 2019 fiscal year, we will no longer be able to deduct compensation awarded after the effective date to certain of our executive officers above \$1.0 million. While we intend for our 2017 annual incentives and PSU grants made prior to November 2, 2017 to qualify for deductibility under Section 162(m), we cannot guarantee that any compensation intended to be deductible under Section 162(m) will qualify as such. Going forward, the Compensation Committee will approve compensation that may not be deductible under Section 162(m) where it believes it is in our and our stockholders' best interests to do so.

Indemnification Agreements. We have entered into agreements with each NEO and certain other senior executives that provide them with indemnification and advancement of expenses to supplement what our Certificate of Incorporation and insurance policies provide, subject to certain limitations.

Severance, Change in Control and Post-Termination Arrangements and Benefits

Severance Arrangements. Mr. Mezger's Employment Agreement and our Executive Severance Plan, in which all of our NEOs participate, provide certain severance benefits, as discussed under "Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change in Control." In considering our stockholders' approval of an advisory proposal, in 2008 we adopted a policy under which we will obtain stockholder approval before paying severance benefits to an executive officer under a future severance arrangement in excess of 2.99 times the sum of the executive officer's then-current base salary and target bonus. Future severance arrangements do not include arrangements existing when we adopted the policy or that we assume or acquire unless, in each case, any such severance arrangement is changed in a manner that materially increases its severance benefits.

Change in Control Arrangements. Since 2001, we have maintained, but have not modified the terms of a Change in Control Severance Plan ("CIC Plan") that provides participants with certain benefits and accelerated equity award vesting, as discussed under "Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change in Control." The CIC Plan is intended to enable and encourage our management to focus its attention on obtaining the best possible result for our stockholders in a change in control, to promote management continuity, and to provide income protection if there is an involuntary loss of employment. Beginning with 2017 awards, employee equity award agreements require double-trigger vesting in a change in control.

Death Benefits. Our Death Benefit Only Plan, in which Messrs. Mezger, Praw and Hollinger participate, provides a \$1.0 million death benefit to a participant's designated beneficiary (plus an additional tax restoration amount sufficient to pay taxes on the benefit and the additional amount). We closed the plan to new participants beginning in 2006. Only term life insurance, with a \$750,000 benefit level, has been made available to incoming eligible executives, including Messrs. Kaminski and Woram. We also maintain a \$400,000 life insurance death benefit for designated beneficiaries of Mr. Mezger.

Other Benefits. The majority of our health and welfare benefits are made available to all full-time employees, including our NEOs. During 2017, as in prior years, our NEOs were eligible to participate in a supplemental plan that reimburses them for qualified out-of-pocket expenses that exceed amounts payable under our standard medical, dental and vision plans. Certain of our NEOs, and other employees, also participate in our Deferred Compensation Plan ("DCP"). These market-competitive benefits are offered to attract and retain key executive talent.

Retirement Programs. The KB Home 401(k) Savings Plan ("401(k) Plan"), a qualified defined contribution plan, is the only post-employment benefit program we offer to all full-time employees. Our NEOs and certain other employees can also participate in the unfunded nonqualified DCP to defer compensation they receive. The DCP allows participants to make pretax contributions of up to 75% of their base salary and 75% of their annual incentive compensation, and to select from one or more investment options in which their deferred compensation is deemed to be invested. As we do not provide a guaranteed rate of return under the DCP, a participant's credited earnings depend on their investment elections. We provide a dollar-for-dollar match of 401(k) Plan and DCP contributions on up to an aggregate of 6% of a participant's base salary. Matching contributions generally fully vest after five years of service. Deferred amounts together with any credited investment returns under the DCP are paid out to participants in a lump sum or in installments, commencing either at a participant-specified date during employment or upon termination of employment. NEO deferrals under the DCP are shown in the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation During Fiscal Year 2017 table. We also maintain a supplemental non-qualified, unfunded retirement plan ("Retirement Plan") for certain executives, including Messrs. Mezger and Hollinger, whose participant is shown in the Pension Benefits

During Fiscal Year 2017 table. The Retirement Plan, closed to new participants since 2004 with no additional benefit accruals to participants (other than cost-of-living adjustments at the same level applied to federal social security benefits), provides each participant with specific annual payments for 20 years that begin upon the later of reaching age 55, the tenth anniversary of a participation commencement date or the termination of employment with us. Mr. Mezger's original annual benefit amount under the Retirement Plan was \$450,000, and Mr. Hollinger's was \$100,000.

MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Management Development and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed the above "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" with KB Home management. Based on this review and discussion, the Management Development and Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" be included in this Proxy Statement. Management Development and Compensation Committee

Kenneth M. Jastrow, II, Chair Timothy W. Finchem Robert L. Johnson Melissa Lora

Summary Compensation Table

							Change in		
							Pension		
						Non-Equity	Value		
	Einen	1 Colorry	Da	Stock	Opti	onIncentive	and	All Other	.Total
Name and Principal Position		1Salary	BO	nus Awards	Awa	rælan	Nonqualifie	e C ompensa	tiqn
-	y ear	(\$)(a)	(\$)	(\$)(b)	(\$)(t)Compensation	Deferred	(\$)(e)	(\$)
						(\$)(c)	Compensat	ion	
							Earnings		
							(\$)(d)		
Jeffrey T. Mezger	2017	\$1,062,500	0\$	\$3,999,994	4\$	-\$-4,378,425	\$ 428,586	\$ 75,008	\$9,944,513
Chairman, President and	2016	1,000,000		2,400,004	1,60	0, 8071 71,237	115,539	70,078	8,956,859
Chief Executive Officer	2015	1,000,000		1,984,360	1,82	8, 3,09 74,964		69,196	8,856,923
Jeff J. Kaminski	2017	688,333		1,200,003		1,473,366		56,048	3,417,750
Executive Vice President and	12016	671,250		719,999	480,	003100,285		52,893	3,024,430
Chief Financial Officer	2015	656,250		566,960	631,	431,386,191	_	51,156	3,291,988
Albert Z. Praw	2017	573,333		824,993		1,169,946		48,740	2,617,012
Executive Vice	2016	556,250		495,005	330,	0 95 1,323		45,585	2,378,164
President, Real Estate and	2015	541,250		417,760	420	2516200,439		12 802	2,642,508
Business Development	2013	341,230		417,700	439,	230200,439		43,803	2,042,308
Brian J. Woram	2017	576,250		774,995		1,169,946		49,518	2,570,709
Executive Vice President and	12016	564,167		464,984	310,	00013,869		46,468	2,299,488
General Counsel	2015	554,166		417,760	439,	2 5 6188,560		44,731	2,644,473
William R. Hollinger									
Senior Vice President and	2017	410,000	—	475,007	—	667,163	95,241	34,140	1,681,551
Chief Accounting Officer									
		a 1 1 1		o 11					

Salary. As discussed under "Base Salaries," NEO annual base salary levels were increased in July 2017 to the (a) following amounts: Mr. Mezger \$1,150,000; Mr. Kaminski \$700,000; Mr. Praw \$585,000; Mr. Woram \$585,000; and Mr. Hollinger \$417,000.

Stock Awards and Option Awards. These amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards (consisting of both restricted stock and PSUs) and common stock options (for those granted in 2015 and 2016) computed as described in Note 19 — Employee Benefit and Stock Plans in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report, except that estimates of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions (b) have here the estimates of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions

(b) have been disregarded. They do not represent realized compensation. The 2017 stock awards represent the grant date fair value of the probable award of shares of our common stock underlying the PSUs granted. The grant date fair value of the PSUs if maximum performance is achieved is as follows: Mr. Mezger \$7,999,988;
 Ma Kaminghi \$2,400,000 Mr. Durn \$1,640,086 Mr. Warner \$1,540,000 and Mr. Hallinger \$050,014

Mr. Kaminski \$2,400,006; Mr. Praw \$1,649,986; Mr. Woram \$1,549,990; and Mr. Hollinger \$950,014.

(c)

Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation. The 2017 and 2016 amounts reflect only annual incentive payouts. The 2015 amounts include the sum of the annual incentive and three-year performance cash award payouts made in that year, as summarized below. Performance cash awards have not been granted to senior executive officers, including our NEOs, since 2012.

NEO		Annual Incentive Payout (\$)	Performance Cash Award Payout (\$)	Total Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)
Mr. Mezger	2015	\$2,488,297	\$1,486,667	\$ 3,974,964
Mr. Kaminski	2015	940,191	446,000	1,386,191
Mr. Praw	2015	799,039	401,400	1,200,439
Mr. Woram	2015	787,160	401,400	1,188,560
<i>~</i> 1 1	- ·	T T 1	1.3.7 11.0	1

Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings. These amounts (as applicable) (d) reflect the increase in the actuarial present value of accumulated benefits under our Retirement Plan. These changes are tied to interest rate fluctuations and do not reflect any cash or other compensation received by Mr.

Mezger or Mr. Hollinger. The respective amounts attributed to the change in

actuarial present value in 2017, 2016 and 2015 were \$428,586, \$115,539 and \$(84,667), respectively, for Mr. Mezger, and \$95,241 in 2017 for Mr. Hollinger.

All Other Compensation. The amounts shown consist of minimal incremental costs associated with spousal travel (e)expenses in connection with a business-related event for each NEO other than Mr. Hollinger, and the following items:

401(k) Plan and DCP Matching Contributions. The respective aggregate 2017, 2016 and 2015 401(k) Plan and DCP matching contributions we made to our NEOs were as follows: Mr. Mezger \$58,700, \$55,900 and \$55,900; Mr. Kaminski \$41,300, \$40,275 and \$39,375; Mr. Praw \$34,400, \$33,375 and \$32,475; Mr. Woram \$34,575, \$33,850 and \$32,950; and Mr. Hollinger \$24,601.

Premium Payments. The respective aggregate premiums we paid for our NEOs in 2017, 2016 and 2015 for a supplemental medical expense reimbursement plan and life insurance policies, as described under "Other Benefits," were as follows: Mr. Mezger \$14,742, \$14,178 and \$13,296; Mr. Kaminski \$13,182, \$12,618 and \$11,781; Mr. Praw \$12,774, \$12,210 and \$11,328; Mr. Woram \$13,182, \$12,618 and \$11,781; and Mr. Hollinger \$9,539. Grants of Plan-Based Awards During Fiscal Year 2017

		C C		d Possible I	Payouts		ated Pos		Grant
			Under			Payou	ts Under	•	Date
			Non-Equ	ity Incentiv	e Plan	Equity	/ Incentiv	ve Plan	Fair
Name	Grant	Type of	Awards(b)		Award	ds(c)		Value of
Indiffe	Date(a)	Award							Stock and
			Threshol	dTarget	Maximum	Thres	halladrget	Maximun	nOption
			(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	Awards
									(\$)(d)
Ma Manaan	2/15/2017	7 Annual Incentive	e\$862,500)\$1,725,00	0\$6,900,000)			
Mr. Mezger	10/5/2017	7PSUs				63,962	2156,006	5312,012	\$3,999,994
M. K	. 2/15/2017	7 Annual Incentive	e 350,000	700,000	2,100,000				
Mr. Kaminsk	¹ 10/5/2017	7PSUs				19,189	946,802	93,604	1,200,003
MD	2/15/2017	7 Annual Incentive	292,500	585,000	1,170,000				
Mr. Praw	10/5/2017	7PSUs				13,192	232,176	64,352	824,993
N / XX7	2/15/2017	7 Annual Incentive	292,500	585,000	1,170,000				
Mr. Woram	10/5/2017	7PSUs				12,393	330,226	60,452	774,995
N II 11.	2/15/2017	7 Annual Incentive	e 166,800	333,600	667,200				
Mr. Hollinger	r 10/5/2017	7PSUs	·			7,596	18,526	37,052	475,007
(a) Creat Date	The date	chown for each a	word in th	a data tha C		,	,	,	

(a) Grant Date. The date shown for each award is the date the Compensation Committee approved the award. Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards. The 2017 target payouts were set at 150% of base salary for our CEO and at 80% – 100% of base salary for each of our other NEOs. Maximum payouts were

(b) limited to a multiple of target, with our CEO at four times, our CFO at three times and our other NEOs at two times. "Threshold" represents the lowest possible payout if threshold performance is achieved for each performance measure. The performance measures are described under "2017 Annual Incentives."Estimated Possible Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards. If there is a payout of the PSUs, "Threshold" represents the lowest possible payout if threshold performance is achieved for each performance measure, and

(c) "Maximum" reflects the highest possible payout (200% of the target award of shares granted). The performance measures are described under "Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units." If threshold performance is not achieved on all three measures, the NEOs will not receive any payout of the PSUs.

Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards. The grant date fair value for each award is computed as (d)described in footnote (b) to the Summary Compensation Table. The 2017 stock awards represent the grant date fair value of the probable award of shares of our common stock underlying the PSUs granted as of the grant date.

Stock Awards

Table of Contents

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2017 Option Awards

Equity Equity Incentive Incentive Plan Plan Number Market Equity Awards: Awards: Incentive Market or of Number Number of Plan Number Value of Payout Shares Shares or of Awards: Option Securities or Units Value Securities Underlying Number Exercise Units of Unearned Option of of Grant Date Underlying Unexercisedof Name Stock Shares, Expiration Unearned Stock Unexercised Options Price That Units or Securities Date Shares, That Options Exercisable (#)(a) Unexercised Unexercised Have Other Have Units Not **Rights** Unexercised Not or Other Vested That Unearned Vested Rights (\$)(c)Have Options (#)(b)That Not (#) Have Not Vested Vested (#)(d) (\$)(d) 10/24/200374,667 \$33.24 (e)10/24/2018 10/24/2003149,333 34.05 (e)10/24/2018 40.90 10/22/200480,750 10/22/2019 40.90 10/22/2019 10/22/2004119,250 15.44 10/1/2009 489,258 10/1/2019 8/13/2010 397,818 19.90 10/2/2018(f)10/7/2010 240,000 11.06 10/7/2020 10/7/2010 260,000 11.06 10/7/2020 6.32 10/6/2011 335,000 10/6/2021 Mr. Mezger 10/6/2011 365,000 6.32 10/6/2021 10/10/2013150.000 16.63 10/10/2023 10/9/2014 520,300 14.62 10/9/2024 10/9/2014 352,120\$11,042,483 14.92 10/8/2015 222,000111,000 10/8/2025 10/8/2015 133,000 \$4,170,880 10/6/2016 91.651 183.301 16.21 10/6/2026 10/6/2016 148,057 4,643,068 156,006 4,892,348 10/5/2017 6.32 10/6/2011 125,000 10/6/2021 10/10/2013 50,000 16.63 10/10/2023 10/9/2014 108.396 14.62 10/9/2024 10/9/2014 27,086 849,417 Mr. 10/8/2015 76,667 38,333 14.92 10/8/2025 Kaminski 439,040 10/8/2015 8,000 250,880 14,000 10/6/2016 27,496 54,990 16.21 10/6/2026 10/6/2016 17,273 541,681 18,507 580,380 10/5/2017 46,802 1,467,711

		Option	Awards					Stock	Awards		
Name	Grant Date	Securit Underly Unexer	r Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisat able (#)(a)	Awards: Number lof Securitie	Optio Exer Prices (\$) ng sed	cise	Option Expiration Date	or Units of Stock That Have Not	Market S Value of Shares of Units of Stock That Have	r of	Awards: Market or Payout Value
	10/10/201	339.000			\$	16.63	10/10/2023				(\$)(U)
	10/9/2014	-			⁺ 14.62		10/9/2024				
	10/9/2014	,						19,084	4\$598,474	1	
	10/8/2015	53,333	26,667		14.92	2	10/8/2025	,	. ,		
Mr. Praw	10/8/2015		,					6,000	188,160	10,000	\$313,600
	10/6/2016	18,903	37,806		16.2	1	10/6/2026	,	,	,	. ,
	10/6/2016		,					11,875	5372,400	12,724	399,025
	10/5/2017							,	,	32,176	1,009,039
	7/15/2010	30,000			11.20	5	7/15/2020			,	, ,
	10/7/2010	-)		11.00		10/7/2020				
	10/6/2011	-			6.32		10/6/2021				
	10/10/201	-			16.6	3	10/10/2023				
	10/9/2014				14.62		10/9/2024				
Mr. Woram	10/9/2014							19,084	4598,474		
	10/8/2015	53,333	26,667		14.92	2	10/8/2025	,	,		
	10/8/2015	,	,					6,000	188,160	10,000	313,600
	10/6/2016	17,758	35,514		16.2	1	10/6/2026				
	10/6/2016							11,155	5349,821	11,952	374,815
	10/5/2017									30,226	947,887
	10/24/200	39,334			33.24	4 (e)	10/24/2018				
	10/24/200	318,666			34.05	5 (e)	10/24/2018				
	10/22/2004	424,000			40.90)	10/22/2019				
	10/1/2009	68,147			15.44	4	10/1/2019				
	8/13/2010	79,564			19.90)	10/2/2018(f))			
	10/7/2010	-			11.00		10/7/2020				
Mr.	10/6/2011				6.32		10/6/2021				
Hollinger	10/10/201				16.63		10/10/2023				
manifor	10/9/2014	44,344			14.62	2	10/9/2024				
	10/9/2014					_		11,08	1347,500		
	10/8/2015	33,333	16,667		14.92	2	10/8/2025		101	6.065	100 1 55
	10/8/2015							3,333	104,523	6,000	188,160

10/6/2016	10,884	21,767	16.21	10/6/2026				
10/6/2016					6,837	214,408	7,326	229,743
10/5/2017							18,526	580,975

(a) Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options-Unexercisable. Stock option awards generally vest in equal installment amounts (i.e., ratably) over a three-year period.

Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested. Includes restricted stock grants and the shares of our common stock the Compensation Committee approved for grant on February 14, 2018 pursuant to the 2014 PSUs based on our performance through the performance period, as described under "2014 PSU Awards." Upon the shares

(b) being approved for grant to the recipients, the earned 2014 PSU-related shares became fully vested, with no restrictions on transferability or otherwise. The 2015 and 2016 restricted stock awards vest in three equal annual installments, with the remaining vesting dates of October 25, 2018 and 2019, respectively, subject to the recipients being employed through each vesting date.

(c) Market Value of Shares That Have Not Vested. The market value shown is based on the closing price of our common stock on November 30, 2017, which was \$31.36.

Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number and Market Value of Unearned Units. The awards shown are the PSUs granted to our NEOs in 2015, 2016 and 2017, reflecting target award amounts as of November 30, 2017 and the (d) closing price of our common stock on November 30, 2017, which was \$31.36. These PSUs will vest based on our

achievement of certain performance measures over an applicable three-year performance period and subject to the recipients being employed through the date that the Compensation Committee determines the number of shares that were earned pursuant to the PSUs.

As a result of an internal review of our employee stock option grant practices in 2006, we adjusted the exercise (e) prices of certain of our employee stock options in order to comply with Section 409A of the Code. The exercise price for a certain portion of the stock option grant made on October 24, 2003 was not adjusted.

Through participation in two exchange offers that we conducted in 2010, these common stock options replaced cash-settled stock appreciation right awards that had been previously granted to the NEO as long-term incentives. Each common stock option has an exercise price equal to the replaced award's exercise price, and the same number

(f) of underlying shares, vesting schedule and expiration date as each replaced award. The exchange offers did not include a re-pricing or any other changes impacting the value of the awards to the NEO, no additional grants or awards were made to the NEO, and the issuance of the common stock options did not result in any incremental fair value to the NEO.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested During Fiscal Year 2017

-	Option	Awards	Stock Awards						
	Number	r	Number						
	of	Value	of	Value					
Name	Shares	Realized	Shares	Realized					
	Acquireon Exercise Acquireon Vesting								
	on Exer	(15)(a)	on Vesting (c)						
	(#)		(#)(b)						
Mr. Mezger	400,000	\$ 521,013	352,120)\$11,137,556					
Mr. Kaminski	163,017	2,002,382	66,295	1,898,859					
Mr. Praw	150,000)2,929,785	46,925	1,343,684					
Mr. Woram	49,529	785,134	46,565	1,333,990					

Mr. Hollinger 60,000 101,088 27,067 775,363

The value realized on exercise is the difference between the closing price of our common stock at exercise and the exercise price of each award.

(b) The shares reported are the total number of shares each NEO acquired upon the following vesting events with respect to 2017:

	2014 PSUs	Rest Stoc	ricted k	Restricted Stock	Restricted Stock		
Name	Granted Vest on on October Febr 9, 2014 14, 2	on Octo ruary 9	Vested ber October 9 2017	Granted on Vested October 8, Octobe 8, 25, 201	6	Shares	
Mr. Mezger	195,622352,		r 			352,120	
Mr. Kaminsk			7222,572	24,0008,000	25,9108,637	66,295	
Mr. Praw	10,602 19,0	15,9	0315,903	18,0006,000	17,8135,938	46,925	
Mr. Woram	10,602 19,0	084 15,9	0315,903	18,0006,000	16,7335,578	46,565	
Mr. Hollinger	6,156 11,0	9,23	4 9,234	10,0003,333	10,2563,419	27,067	

The amount shown is the total gross dollar value realized upon the vesting of the restricted stock and PSUs, based (c) on the closing price of our common stock on the vesting date, and the applicable Dividend Equivalents paid on the earned PSUs.

Pension Benefits During Fiscal Year 2017

		Number	Present	Payments
		of Years	Value of	During
Name*	Plan Name	Credited	Accumulated	lLast Fiscal
		Service	Benefit	Year
		(#)(a)	(\$)(b)	(\$)
Mr. Mezger	Retirement Plan	24	\$10,590,859	\$
Mr. Hollinger	Retirement Plan	30	2,353,524	

(a) Number of Years of Credited Service. This is as of the valuation date. As of November 30, 2017, Mr. Mezger and Mr. Hollinger are fully vested in their respective Retirement Plan benefit.

Present Value of Accumulated Benefit. This amount represents the actuarial present value of the total retirement benefit that would be payable to Mr. Mezger and Mr. Hollinger under the Retirement Plan as of November 30, 2017. The payment of Retirement Plan benefits is described under "Retirement Programs." The following key

⁽⁰⁾2017. The payment of Retirement Plan benefits is described under "Retirement Programs." The following key actuarial assumptions and methodologies were used to calculate this

present value: the base benefit is assumed to begin as of the earliest possible date (generally the later of age 55 or the tenth anniversary of the commencement of participation); the base benefit is adjusted by past and future cost of living adjustments including a 2.0% increase for fiscal year ending November 30, 2018 and an assumed 2.5% increase thereafter, until the last benefits are paid. The discount rate used to calculate the present value of the accumulated benefit shown in table was 3.27%. Messrs. Mezger and Hollinger are entitled to receive a lump sum payment of the actuarial value (as specified under the Retirement Plan) of their respective plan benefits in the event of a change in control or death. If any such event occurred on November 30, 2017, the payment to Mr. Mezger would be \$11,290,156, and the payment to Mr. Hollinger would be \$2,508,923, in each case using a 3.27% Applicable Federal Rate discount rate, as specified under the Retirement Plan.

* Messrs. Kaminski, Praw and Woram are not participants in the Retirement Plan, as the plan was open for a limited period of time and closed to new participants in 2004.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation During Fiscal Year 2017

	Executive	Registrant	Aggregate	Aggregate	Aggregate
	Contribution	s Contribution	sEarnings	Aggregate Withdrawals	Balance
Name	in Last	in Last	in Last	Distributions	at Last
	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	r (\$)	Fiscal Year End
	(\$)(a)	(\$)(b)	(\$)(c)	(\$)	(\$)(d)
Mr. Mezger	\$ 42,500	\$ 42,500	\$315,303	\$ -	-\$ 2,115,094
Mr. Kaminsk	i 41,300	25,100	51,602		404,214
Mr. Praw	35,392	18,200	42,155		276,017
Mr. Woram	34,575	18,375	64,081		456,096
Mr. Hollinger	r 41,000	13,463	96,402		2,028,441

(a) Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year. These amounts reflect compensation the NEOs earned in 2017 that they have voluntarily deferred and are included in the Summary Compensation Table.

Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year. These amounts are matching contributions we made to the NEOs' (b)voluntary contributions to our DCP and are included in the Summary Compensation Table. The DCP is discussed under "Retirement Programs."

(c) Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year. These amounts do not include any above-market or preferential earnings. Accordingly, these amounts are not reported in the Summary Compensation Table.

Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End. These amounts reflect compensation the NEOs earned in 2017 or in prior years, but which they voluntarily elected to defer receipt, adjusted for changes in the value of their

(d)investments and distributions, if any. All of the NEOs are fully vested in their respective balances. A portion of these amounts was previously reported as deferred compensation in the Summary Compensation Tables in our proxy statements for our 2015 and 2016 Annual Meetings of Stockholders.

Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change in Control

Based on the terms of certain of our employee benefit plans — primarily our Executive Severance Plan and our CIC Plan — our NEOs are entitled to certain payments and other benefits if their employment is terminated under certain circumstances and/or if we experience a change in control. Mr. Mezger is also entitled to certain payments and other benefits in such circumstances under the terms of his Employment Agreement. Per Section 409A of the Code, certain payments to our NEOs would not commence for six months following a termination of employment.

Termination of Employment. If we terminate Mr. Mezger without cause or he resigns for good reason (each as defined in his Employment Agreement), or if we terminate any of the other NEOs without cause (as defined in the Executive Severance Plan), each is entitled to receive a cash severance payment equal to a multiple of base salary and average bonus. For Mr. Mezger, the severance amount is 2.0 times the sum of his annual salary plus average annual bonus earned for the prior three years, with the total payment capped at \$6.0 million. Under certain circumstances, Mr. Mezger would also receive a prorated bonus for the year in which his employment terminates. For Messrs. Kaminski, Praw and Woram, the severance amount is 2.0 times, and for Mr. Hollinger, the severance amount is 1.5 times, the sum of their respective annual base salary in effect at the time of termination and average bonus. The applicable average bonus is the lesser of (a) the average of the annual cash bonuses, if any, paid to them for the three most recent

completed fiscal years prior to termination; or (b) for Messrs. Kaminski, Praw and Woram, 3.0 times annual base salary; for Mr. Hollinger, 2.0 times annual base salary. In addition to a severance payment, each of Messrs. Mezger, Kaminski, Praw and Woram is entitled to a continuation of health coverage for up to two years. Mr. Hollinger is entitled to 1.5 years of such continuation.

For equity awards granted to Mr. Mezger on and after the effective date of his Employment Agreement, he is entitled to (a) two years of additional service credited to compute equity vesting plus full vesting for any equity issued to him in lieu of cash bonuses; (b) the earlier of 36 months and the original term duration of each equity grant to exercise any such outstanding equity; and (c) performance shares paid as if the performance period closed on the termination date if the performance period would otherwise close in the next 24 months. Outstanding equity awards granted to Mr. Mezger before the effective date of the Employment Agreement are governed by their respective terms and conditions with respect to his termination of employment.

Change in Control. If Mr. Mezger's employment is terminated without cause (or he resigns for good reason) in connection with a change in control (generally, per his Employment Agreement, during the period starting three months before and ending twelve months after a change in control), he is entitled to (a) a severance payment as described above, except the applicable multiple is 3.0 times rather than 2.0 times and the total payment is capped at \$12.0 million; and under certain circumstances, a prorated bonus for the year in which his employment terminates; (b) a continuation of health coverage for up to two years; (c) full vesting of unvested equity granted to him on or after the effective date of his Employment Agreement and prior to 2017, with earlier equity awards governed by their respective terms and conditions; (d) performance shares granted before 2017 paid as earned with the applicable performance period closing as of the date of the change in control; (e) full vesting and lump sum cash payment of deferred compensation, retirement or other employee benefits per the relevant arrangements, provided that lump sum payments subject to Section 409A of the Code are permitted only as provided by the specific terms of those arrangements; and (f) an additional amount to compensate for any excise taxes under Section 280G of the Code ("Section 280G").

If a change of control occurs, each of our other NEOs is entitled to receive (a) if in the 18-month period following a change in control his employment is terminated other than for cause or disability, or he terminates his employment for good reason (in each case, as defined in the CIC Plan), a severance benefit of 2.0 times the sum of his average base salary and average actual annual cash bonus for the three fiscal years prior to the year in which the change in control occurs; and (b) accelerated vesting of any options and the lapse of any restricted period with respect to any restricted stock or other equity awards awarded to him before 2017. While Mr. Mezger is a participant in the CIC Plan, he is entitled only to CIC Plan benefits that do not duplicate benefits provided under his Employment Agreement if there is a change in control, and the total severance payment benefit that he may be entitled to under the CIC Plan is capped at \$12.0 million.

Per the terms of each recipient's award agreement, the vesting of the equity awards granted to our NEOs in 2017 will not accelerate upon a change in control unless the recipient is terminated without cause or resigns for good reason within 18 months of the change in control event. Generally, if such a termination occurs (a) within one year of the award's performance period, the recipient will get a target payout of the award; (b) after the first year of the award's performance period, the recipient will get a prorated payout of the award; and (c) before the award's performance period begins, the recipient will get no payout of the award.

In addition, under the CIC Plan, only Messrs. Mezger and Hollinger and five other senior executives are currently eligible to potentially receive an additional tax restoration amount to compensate for any excise taxes imposed on them under Section 280G and for any taxes on the additional amount. Pursuant to a Board policy, since April 7, 2011, we have not extended this tax restoration benefit to any other officer or employee, including all of the other NEOs, even though they are participants under the CIC Plan.

Other Change in Control and Employment Termination Provisions. The individual award agreements governing outstanding unvested common stock options provide for accelerated vesting upon the recipient's retirement, death or disability, as defined under the agreements. The individual award agreements governing outstanding restricted stock awards provide for accelerated vesting upon the recipient's death or disability, as defined under the agreements. The individual award agreements governing outstanding restricted stock awards provide for accelerated vesting upon the recipient's death or disability, as defined under the agreements. The individual award agreements governing outstanding PSU awards provide for pro-rata vesting if the recipient retires under certain circumstances, and for accelerated vesting upon the recipient's death or disability, as defined under the agreements; provided in each case that payout, if any, is delayed until the performance period is completed. In addition, different provisions govern the length of time a recipient has to exercise a common stock option after termination of employment, depending on the reason for termination. For example, the exercise period may be limited to five days in the case of a termination for cause; while for retirement, death or disability, the exercise period may be the end of the common stock option's original term.

Our DCP provides for full vesting of benefits if there is a change in control or disability, as those terms are defined under the plan, or death. Our Retirement Plan provides that a participant will immediately receive a lump sum payment of the actuarial value (as specified under the Retirement Plan) of the participant's plan benefits if there is a change in control or death. Our Death Benefit Only Plan provides for (a) distribution of an insurance contract to a participant sufficient to pay the death benefit (if the participant dies any time before age 100); and (b) an additional

tax restoration amount sufficient to pay specified taxes caused by the distribution of the insurance contract and the additional amount, if there is a change in control as defined in the plan. We also maintain term life insurance policies that pay benefits to the designated beneficiaries of certain of our NEOs upon their deaths as described under "Death Benefits."

The tables below show payments our NEOs may receive assuming various employment termination and change in control scenarios occurred on November 30, 2017; accordingly, equity awards are valued using the closing price of our common stock on that date, which was \$31.36. The amounts shown do not include the value of vested and unexercised stock options reported in the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2017 table, accrued Retirement Plan and DCP amounts reported in the Pension Benefits During Fiscal Year 2017 table and the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation During Fiscal Year 2017 table (and associated footnotes), respectively, term life insurance benefits, or generally available employee benefits.

Post-Employment Payments - Mr. Mezger

Executive Payments and Benefits upon Termination or Change in Control	Voluntary Terminati	Termina	Involuntary taTerminatio ttiWithout Ca Terminatio for Good Reason	nChange in ucce/ntrol	for Good Reason	ontrol Death	Disability
Severance	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 8,764,	7 6 1 —	\$ 14,509	,0\$45 —	\$ —
Long-term Incentives (a)							
Stock Options	4,601,850		4,601,850	4,601,850	4,601,850	4,601,850	4,601,850
PSUs	15,542,29	5—	20,019,289	20,019,28	920,019,289	25,024,98	025,024,980
Death Benefit Only Plan (b)				1,177,849	1,177,849	1,909,607	' <u> </u>
Health Benefits (c)			73,050		73,050		
Credited Vacation (d)	88,462	88,462	88,462		88,462	88,462	88,462
Excise Tax Restoration (e)	_				9,609,615		
Total	\$ 20,23	2 ,\$ 0788,4	62 33,547	7, \$ 12 25,798	8 ,9 88 50,079	, \$60 31,62	4,\$\$9929,715,292

Assumes for the applicable scenarios that Mr. Mezger's 2014 PSUs pay out at 180% of the target value and that all other outstanding grants pay out at 100% of the target values. Except for the death and disability scenarios, assumes that (i) Mr. Mezger's 2017 PSUs would have no value as the performance period would not have started by November 30, 2017; and (ii) Mr. Mezger's termination would be considered a retirement under the applicable award (a)

- (a) agreements. Therefore, his stock options would become immediately exercisable, and in the voluntary termination scenario Mr. Mezger would receive full payout of his 2014 PSUs (at 180% of target), a prorated portion (two-thirds) of his 2015 PSUs and (one-third) of his 2016 PSUs based on his months of service through November 30, 2017 and our actual performance through the end of the respective performance periods. Mr. Mezger's designated beneficiaries would be entitled to receive an estimated death benefit of \$1,909,607 (\$1,000,000 benefit plus an income tax restoration payment of \$909,607) upon his death. The present value of the benefit as of November 30, 2017 is approximately \$779,035 based on a 3.81% discount factor and the RP-2014
- (b) Top Quartile Employee and Healthy Annuitant Table (M/F), with the MP-2016 generational projection scales for life expectancy (consistent with mortality tables and rates used for Accounting Standards Codification Topic No. 715, "Compensation Retirement Benefits" ("ASC 715") valuations). For the change in control scenarios, the amounts shown are estimated based on the cash surrender value of the underlying life insurance policy as of November 30, 2017 of \$575,130, and an estimated income- and payroll-related tax restoration payment of \$602,719.
- ^(c)\$3,044 per month.

(d)

Assumes payout of 160 hours of vacation benefits as Mr. Mezger is credited with this number of vacation hours during his employment with us, regardless of actual vacation time taken.

Based on Mr. Mezger's five-year historical average compensation, Mr. Mezger is assumed under this scenario to be entitled to a hypothetical excise tax restoration payment under his Employment Agreement. Whether or not Mr. Mezger will be assumed to be entitled to such a payment will depend on his then-five-year average compensation, his future compensation and other factors. Under his Employment Agreement, we will provide Mr. Mezger with such a tax restoration payment to compensate him for any excise taxes under Section 280G on payments due in

(e) connection with a change in control. For purposes of calculating the amounts shown, the following major assumptions are used: (i) stock options paid out based on a value of \$31.36 less applicable exercise prices, and other equity awards valued with a fair market value of \$31.36; (ii) accelerated payment of Retirement Plan and Death Benefit Only Plan benefits, a bonus specified in his Employment Agreement that is payable in lieu of a 2017 fiscal year annual incentive, and his 2014 PSUs, in each case valued using Treas. Reg. Section 1.280G-1 Q&A 24(b); and (iii) prorated portions (two-thirds) of his 2015 PSUs and (one-third) of his 2016 PSUs considered reasonable compensation for services performed prior to the change in control.

Post-Employment Payments - Mr. Kaminski

Executive Payments and Benefits upon Termination or Change in Control	Volu		in Tany n With Terr	nination Good	Change i seControl Without Terminat		Change in Co With Termination for Good Reason or Without Cause	ontrol Death	Disability
Severance	\$	-\$	-\$	3,350,5	2 \$ —		\$ 3,249,	417\$ —	\$ —
Long-term Incentives (a)									
Stock Options		—	—		1,463,29	3	1,463,293	1,463,293	1,463,293
Restricted Stock			—		792,561		792,561	792,561	792,561
PSUs	—		—		1,883,11	5	1,883,115	3,373,798	3,373,798
Health Benefits (b)			67,4	23					_
Total	\$	-\$	-\$-	3,417,9	5\$ 4,13	38,9	7,388 ,	386\$ 5,629,	6 \$ 2 5,629,652

Assumes for the applicable scenarios that Mr. Kaminski's 2014 PSUs pay out at 180% of the target value and that (a) all other outstanding grants pay out at 100% of the target values. Except for the death and disability scenarios,

^(a) assumes that Mr. Kaminski's 2017 PSUs would have no value as the performance period would not have started by November 30, 2017.

(b) Assumes we make 24 months of contributions for health benefits of approximately \$2,809 per month. Post-Employment Payments — Mr. Praw

Executive Payments and Benefits upon Termination or Change in Control	Voluntary Terminatio	Termi	nation Cause/	n Change in	Terminatio	Death	Disability
Severance	\$ —	\$ -	-\$ 2,822,	6 9 7 —	\$ 2,737,	1\$41 —	\$ —
Long-term Incentives (a)							
Stock Options	1,011,166		1,011,166	1,011,166	1,011,166	1,011,166	1,011,166
Restricted Stock				560,560	560,560	560,560	560,560
PSUs	951,034		951,034	1,321,129	1,321,129	2,346,020	2,346,020
Death Benefit Only Plan (b)				1,404,405	1,404,405	1,909,607	
Health Benefits (c)			55,867	—	—		_
Total	\$ 1,962,2	2 \$ 0 —	-\$ 4,840,	7 \$ 4 4,297,	2 \$ 0 7,034,	4 9 1 5,827,	353 3,917,746

Assumes for the applicable scenarios that Mr. Praw's 2014 PSUs pay out at 180% of the target value and that all other outstanding grants pay out at 100% of the target values. Except for the death and disability scenarios, assumes that (i) Mr. Praw's 2017 PSUs would have no value as the performance period would not have started by

(a) November 30, 2017; and (ii) Mr. Praw's termination would be considered a retirement under the applicable award agreements. Therefore, his stock options would become immediately exercisable, and in the voluntary termination scenario Mr. Praw would receive full payout of his 2014 PSUs (at 180% of target), a prorated portion (two-thirds) of his 2015 PSUs and (one-third) of his 2016 PSUs based on his months of service through November 30, 2016 and our actual performance through the end of the respective performance periods.

(b)Mr. Praw's designated beneficiaries would be entitled to receive an estimated death benefit of \$1,909,607 (\$1,000,000 benefit plus an income tax restoration payment of \$909,607) upon his death. The present value of the benefit as of November 30, 2017 is approximately \$976,690 based on a 3.81% discount factor and the RP-2014 Top Quartile Employee and Healthy Annuitant Table (M/F), with the MP-2016 generational projection scale tables

for life expectancy (consistent with mortality tables and rates used for ASC 715 valuations). For the change in control scenarios, the amounts shown are estimated based on the cash surrender value of the underlying life insurance policy as of November 30, 2017 of \$685,754 and an estimated income and payroll-related tax restoration payment of \$663,673 associated with the distribution of the policies, valued using Treas. Reg. Section 1.280G-1 Q&A 24(b).

(c)Assumes we make 24 months of contributions for health benefits of approximately \$2,328 per month.

Post-Employment Payments - Mr. Woram

Executive Payments and Benefits upon Termination or Change in Control		n Taeryn n XV oth Tern	nination Good	Change in e/Control Without Termination	Change in Control Wit Termination for Good Reason or Without Cause		Disability
Severance	\$ -\$	-\$-	2,803,4	29\$ —	\$ 2,741,7	76\$ —	\$ —
Long-term Incentives (a)							
Stock Options	 			976,443	976,443	976,443	976,443
Restricted Stock	 _			537,981	537,981	537,981	537,981
PSUs	 _			1,296,823	1,296,823	2,259,705	2,259,705
Health Benefits (b)	 	67,4	16				
Total	\$ -\$	-\$	2,870,8	45\$ 2,811,2	24\$ 5,553,0	3,774 ,	129 3,774,129

Assumes for the applicable scenarios that Mr. Woram's 2014 PSUs pay out at 180% of the target value and that all (a) other outstanding grants pay out at 100% of the target values. Except for the death and disability scenarios,

^(a) assumes that Mr. Woram's 2017 PSUs would have no value as the performance period would not have started by November 30, 2017.

(b)Assumes we make 24 months of contributions for health benefits of approximately \$2,809 per month. Post-Employment Payments — Mr. Hollinger

					Change in					
			Involuntary	Control						
Encontine Derus onto and		Invo	lun Tary nination	Change in	With	With				
Executive Payments and	Voluntary	Term	nin Wijohnout Cau	us C ontrol	Terminatio	D' - 1 114-				
Benefits upon Termination or	Terminatio	onfor	Death	Disability						
Change in Control		Cause for Good TerminationReason or								
	Reason				Without					
					Cause(d)					
Severance	\$—	\$	-\$ 1,380, ⁷	77\$\$ —	\$ 1,789,	9 \$ 1 —	\$ —			
Long-term Incentives (a)										
Stock Options	603,776		603,776	603,776	603,776	603,776	603,776			
Restricted Stock				318,931	318,931	318,931	318,931			
PSUs	555,648		555,648	771,264	771,264	1,361,399	1,361,399			
Performance Cash										
Death Benefit Only Plan (b)				1,117,159	1,117,159	1,909,607				
Health Benefits (c)			25,717							
Total	\$1,159,42	4\$	-\$ 2,565,9	91 9 2,811,	1 \$ 0 4,601,	1 \$1 4,193,	7\$3 2,284,106			

Assumes for the applicable scenarios that Mr. Hollinger's 2014 PSUs pay out at 180% of the target value and that all other outstanding grants pay out at 100% of the target values. Except for the death and disability scenarios, assumes that (i) Mr. Hollinger's 2017 PSUs would have no value as the performance period would not have started

(a) by November 30, 2017; and (ii) Mr. Hollinger's termination would be considered a retirement under the applicable award agreements. Therefore, his stock options would become immediately exercisable, and in the voluntary termination scenario Mr. Hollinger would receive full payout of his 2014 PSUs (at 180% of target), a prorated portion (two-thirds) of his 2015 PSUs and (one-third) of his 2016 PSUs based on his months of service through November 30, 2016 and our actual performance through the end of the respective performance periods.

(b)Mr. Hollinger's designated beneficiaries would be entitled to receive an estimated death benefit of \$1,909,607 (\$1,000,000 benefit plus an income tax restoration payment of \$909,607) upon his death. The present value of the benefit as of November 30, 2017 is approximately \$707,308 based on a 3.81% discount factor and the RP-2014

Top Quartile Employee and Healthy Annuitant Table (M/F), with the MP-2016 generational projection scale tables for life expectancy (consistent with mortality tables and rates used for ASC 715 valuations). For the change in control scenarios, the amounts shown are estimated based on the cash surrender value of the underlying life insurance policy as of November 30, 2017 of \$571,664 and an estimated income and payroll-related tax restoration payment of \$663,673 associated with the distribution of the policies, valued using Treas. Reg. Section 1.280G-1 Q&A 24(b).

(c) Assumes we make 18 months of contributions for health benefits of approximately \$1,429 per month.

We determined that we would not need to pay any excise tax restoration payment to Mr. Hollinger if we $\begin{pmatrix} d \end{pmatrix}$ experienced a change in control for the purposes of Change in Control Plan.

ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

Pursuant to Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we are

seeking an advisory vote from our stockholders on the following resolution to approve our NEOs' 2017 fiscal year compensation: RESOLVED, that the stockholders of KB Home approve, on an

Voting Standard

This non-binding advisory resolution will be considered approved based upon the

advisory basis, the compensation paid to its named executive officers, as affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities our common stock present or represented, and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and and entitled to vote thereon, at the Annual Analysis, compensation tables and the related narrative discussion set Meeting. forth in this Proxy Statement.

We believe that our CEO's and each of our other NEO's 2017 fiscal year compensation was well-aligned with our performance and stockholders' interests, as detailed under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." In considering this advisory vote, we encourage you to read that section of this Proxy Statement. We also believe that the design and implementation of our executive compensation programs reflect our longstanding significant outreach to, and positive interactions with, our stockholders over the past few years. In turn, our stockholders have expressed strong support through our annual NEO compensation advisory votes over the past few years for our approach to linking executive compensation to our strategic and operational goals as well as to stockholder value creation.

We intend to offer this non-binding advisory vote at each of our annual meetings. Although it is not binding, we and the Board welcome our stockholders' views on our NEOs' compensation and, as in past years, will carefully consider the outcome of this advisory vote consistent with the best interests of all stockholders. As an advisory vote, it is not intended to have any use, application or effect for or on behalf of KB Home or its stockholders outside of this Annual Meeting except as permitted by the Board.

BOARD RECOMMENDATION: FOR APPROVAL OF NEO COMPENSATION

APPROVE THE AMENDED AND RESTATED RIGHTS AGREEMENT

We have significant deferred tax assets comprised primarily of net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs"), built-in losses, temporary book-to-tax differences and tax credit&oting Standard Under applicable law, we can use our NOLs and tax credits to offset our future income The Amended and Restated tax liability. However, this benefit could be significantly impaired if we experience an Rights Agreement will be "ownership change," as discussed below. To help protect against an "ownership change," considered approved based stockholders approved our Rights Agreement at our 2009 Annual Meeting, with a term upon the affirmative vote of expiring on March 5, 2019. Because we expect to have substantial deferred tax assets a majority of the shares of beyond March 5, 2019, which is before the likely April date of our 2019 Annual our common stock present or Meeting, the Board approved, subject to stockholder approval, an Amended and Restated represented, and entitled to Rights Agreement to replace our current Rights Agreement (with similar terms) effective vote thereon, at the Annual as of this Annual Meeting and continuing until April 30, 2021. Therefore, we are seeking Meeting. stockholder approval of the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement. Background

During the housing downturn that generally began in mid-2006, we generated significant NOLs and other deferred tax assets. NOLs generated during those years can be used to offset income tax on our future taxable income for up to 20 years after the tax year in which the loss occurred. Applicable state tax laws are similar, though the allowed carryforward period for some states may be shorter than 20 years.

At November 30, 2017, we had deferred tax assets of approximately \$633.6 million (net of a \$23.6 million valuation allowance), constituting nearly 13% of our total assets. As of November 30, 2017, federal NOL carryforwards of \$105.8 million, if not utilized, will begin to expire in 2031 through 2033. Depending on their applicable statutory period, state NOL carryforwards of \$130.4 million, if not utilized, will begin to expire between 2018 and 2037. In addition, we had approximately \$112.4 million of certain tax credits that, if not utilized, will begin to expire in 2026

through 2037.

With our steadily profitable results over the last three years, we have utilized approximately \$196 million of deferred tax assets to offset income tax obligations. In our 2017 fiscal year, we produced approximately \$290 million of pretax income, and

utilized approximately \$109 million of deferred tax assets to offset income tax obligations. As of November 30, 2017, we could offset approximately \$1.6 billion of pretax income in future periods before 2038 with our deferred tax assets. Given their ability to potentially offset income tax liability on this amount of income, we consider our NOLs to be a very valuable asset. However, the benefits of our NOLs could be reduced or eliminated, and our use of our NOLs could be substantially delayed or negated, if we experience an "ownership change," as determined under Section 382 of the Code. A Section 382 "ownership change" occurs if a stockholder or a group of stockholders who are deemed to own at least 5% of our common stock (each, a "5-percent stockholder") increase or decrease their ownership percentage by more than 50 percentage points over their lowest ownership percentage within a rolling three-year period. If an "ownership change" occurs, Section 382 would impose an annual limit on the amount of our NOLs we can use to offset income tax equal to the product of the total value of our outstanding equity immediately prior to the "ownership change" (reduced by certain items specified in Section 382) and the federal long-term tax-exempt interest rate in effect for the month of the "ownership change." A number of special rules apply to calculating this annual limit that are beyond the scope of this discussion. We believe that if an "ownership change" were to occur, in addition to potentially increasing the cash taxes we would need to pay, the annual limitations Section 382 imposes could result in a material amount of our NOLs expiring unused, and/or delaying our ability to use them, thereby significantly reducing their value. While the complexity of Section 382's provisions and the limited knowledge any public company has about the ownership of its publicly traded stock make it difficult to determine whether an "ownership change" has occurred, we currently believe that an "ownership change" has not occurred.

Protecting our Deferred Tax Assets

In order to help protect against the risk of a Section 382 "ownership change," in 2009, the Board recommended, and our stockholders approved, both the adoption of a protective amendment to our certificate of incorporation (now Article Ninth in our Restated Certificate of Incorporation), which is designed to block transfers of our common stock that could result in an "ownership change," and our current Rights Agreement. We believe these measures have together been effective in preventing an "ownership change" from occurring, and the Board plans to keep Article Ninth in effect. However, neither measure offers a complete solution, and an "ownership change" may occur even with Article Ninth's provisions and the current Rights Agreement in place. There are limitations on the enforceability of Article Ninth that may allow an "ownership change" to occur, and the Rights Agreement may deter, but ultimately cannot block, transfers of our common stock that might result in an "ownership change." Because of their individual limitations, the Board believes that both measures are needed to help prevent an "ownership change" that could reduce or eliminate the significant long-term potential benefits of our NOLs, and substantially delay or negate our use of our NOLs. Accordingly, the Board strongly recommends that stockholders approve the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement to keep such protections in place for up to three years from the date of this Annual Meeting.

We are seeking approval of the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement. Except for the applicable expiration date, where April 30, 2021 would replace March 5, 2019, as noted below, and conforming date changes in certain other sections of the document, the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement's terms are substantively the same as those of our current Rights Agreement. The following description of the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to its text, which can be found in the accompanying Attachment A. Please read the attached Amended and Restated Rights Agreement in its entirety as the discussion below is only a summary. General. The Amended and Restated Rights Agreement is intended to act as a deterrent to any person or group acquiring 4.9% or more of our outstanding common stock (an "Acquiring Person") without the approval of the Board. Stockholders who owned 4.9% or more of our common stock as of the close of business on March 5, 2009 will not trigger the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement so long as they do not (i) acquire any additional shares of our common stock or (ii) fall under 4.9% ownership of our common stock and then re-acquire 4.9% or more of our common stock. The Amended and Restated Rights Agreement does not exempt any acquisitions of our common stock after March 5, 2009 by such persons. Any rights held by an Acquiring Person are void and may not be exercised. The Board may, in its sole discretion, exempt any person or group from being deemed an Acquiring Person, and since the current Rights Agreement was approved by our stockholders in 2009, the Board has granted exemptions to certain stockholders whose holdings of our common stock were deemed not to jeopardize or endanger the availability to KB

Home of any tax benefits arising from its deferred tax assets so long as each such stockholder complied with certain restrictions regarding its ownership of our common stock. If such stockholders adhere to their respective ownership restrictions, their ownership of more than 4.9% of our common stock will not trigger the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement while it is in effect.

The Rights. The Board authorized the issuance of one right per each outstanding share of our common stock payable to our stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 5, 2009. Subject to the terms, provisions and conditions of the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement, if these rights become exercisable, each right would initially represent the right to purchase from us one one-hundredth of a share of our Series A Participating Cumulative Preferred Stock for a purchase price of

\$85.00 ("Purchase Price"). If issued, each fractional share of preferred stock would generally give its holder approximately the same dividend, voting and liquidation rights as does one share of our common stock. However, prior to exercise, a right does not give its holder any rights as a stockholder, including without limitation any dividend, voting or liquidation rights.

Exercisability. The rights will not be exercisable until the earlier of (i) ten calendar days after a public announcement by us that a person or group has become an Acquiring Person and (ii) ten business days after the commencement of a tender or exchange offer by a person or group if upon consummation of the offer the person or group would beneficially own 4.9% or more of our outstanding common stock. In this Proxy Statement, we refer to the date on which the rights become exercisable as the "Distribution Date." Until the Distribution Date, common stock certificates and/or book-entry shares will evidence the rights and may contain a notation to that effect. Any transfer of shares of our common stock prior to the Distribution Date will constitute a transfer of the associated rights. After the Distribution Date, the rights may be transferred other than in connection with the transfer of the underlying shares of our common stock. If there is an Acquiring Person on the Distribution Date or a person or group becomes an Acquiring Person after the Distribution Date, each holder of a right, other than rights that are or were beneficially owned by an Acquiring Person, which will be void, will thereafter have the right to receive upon exercise of a right and payment of the Purchase Price, that number of shares of our common stock having essentially a market value of two times the Purchase Price.

Exchange. After the later of the Distribution Date and the time we publicly announce that an Acquiring Person has become such, the Board may exchange the rights, other than rights that are or were beneficially owned by an Acquiring Person, which will be void, in whole or in part, at an exchange ratio of one share of common stock per right, subject to adjustment.

Redemption. At any time prior to the later of the Distribution Date and the time we publicly announce that an Acquiring Person becomes such, the Board may redeem all of the then-outstanding rights in whole, but not in part, at a price of \$0.001 per right, subject to adjustment ("Redemption Price"). The redemption will be effective immediately upon the Board action, unless the action provides that such redemption will be effective at a subsequent time or upon the occurrence or nonoccurrence of one or more specified events, in which case the redemption will be effective in accordance with the provisions of the action. Immediately upon the effectiveness of the redemption of the rights, the right to exercise the rights will terminate and the only right of the holders of rights will be to receive the Redemption Price, with interest thereon.

Anti-Dilution Provisions. The Purchase Price of the preferred shares, the number of preferred shares issuable and the number of outstanding rights are subject to adjustment to prevent dilution that may occur as a result of certain events, including among others, a stock dividend, a stock split or a reclassification of the preferred shares or common stock. No adjustments to the Purchase Price of less than 1% will be made.

Amendments. Prior to the time the rights cease to be redeemable, the Board may amend or supplement the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement without the consent of the holders of the rights. From and after the time the rights cease to be redeemable, the Board may amend or supplement the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement only to cure an ambiguity, to correct or supplement inconsistent provisions, to alter time period provisions, or to make any other changes to the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement, but only to the extent that those changes do not impair or adversely affect any rights holder as such (other than an Acquiring Person), and no amendment may cause the rights to become redeemable or amendable other than in accordance with this sentence.

Expiration. If approved by stockholders, as recommended, the rights governed by the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement will expire on the earliest of (i) the close of business on April 30, 2021, (ii) the time at which the rights are redeemed, (iii) the time at which the rights are exchanged, (iv) the time at which the Board determines that Article Ninth is no longer necessary, and (v) the close of business on the first day of a taxable year of the company to which the Board determines that no tax benefits may be carried forward.

If stockholders do not approve the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement, the current Rights Agreement's provisions would not change and its expiration date would remain the close of business on March 5, 2019, unless the Board takes action prior to such time to extend the term of the Rights Agreement.

Certain Considerations Regarding the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement

The Board believes that approving the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement to protect the tax benefits of our NOLs and tax credits as described above is in our and our stockholders' best interests. However, we cannot eliminate the possibility that an "ownership change" will occur even if the term extension is approved. Please consider the points below in voting on this item.

The Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") could challenge the amount of our remaining NOLs or claim we experienced an "ownership change," which could reduce the amount of our NOLs that we can use or eliminate our ability to use them altogether. The IRS has not audited or otherwise validated the amount of our remaining NOLs. The IRS could challenge the amount of our NOLs, which could limit our ability to use our NOLs to reduce our future income tax liability. Therefore, we cannot assure you that the IRS will not claim that we experienced an "ownership change" and attempt to reduce or eliminate

the benefit of our remaining NOLs even if Article Ninth and the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement are in place through to April 30, 2021.

Continued Risk of "Ownership Change." Although Article Ninth and the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement are intended to reduce the likelihood of an "ownership change," we cannot assure you that they would prevent all transfers of our common stock that could result in such an "ownership change." In particular, absent a court determination, we cannot assure you that Article Ninth's restrictions on acquisition of our common stock will be enforceable against all our stockholders, and they may be subject to challenge on equitable grounds.

Impact of Recent Federal Tax Legislation. On December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act was enacted into law. The Act's reduction of the federal corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21%, effective January 1, 2018, will result in our recording a one-time, non-cash charge of approximately \$115 million to our provision for income taxes in the 2018 first quarter, and reducing the balance of our deferred tax assets to approximately 10% of our total assets at November 30, 2017. The non-cash charge is solely due to the accounting re-measurement of our deferred tax assets based on the lower income tax rate. Despite the non-cash charge, we believe the Act will not impact the ability of our deferred tax assets, as re-measured, to reduce the amount of cash federal income taxes payable in 2018 and beyond. However, with the reduced federal income tax rate, the federal tax credits within our deferred tax assets could allow us to offset more pretax income in future periods before 2038 with our deferred tax assets.

Delaware Law and Other Factors Potentially Affecting Business Combinations. Stockholders should be aware that we are subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which provides, in general, that a transaction constituting a "business combination" within the meaning of Section 203 involving a person owning 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock (referred to as an "interested stockholder"), cannot be completed for a period of three years after the date on which the person became an interested stockholder unless (i) the Board approved either the business combination or the transaction that resulted in the person becoming an interested stockholder prior to such business combination or transaction, (ii) upon consummation of the transaction that resulted in the person becoming an interested stockholder, that person owned at least 85% of our outstanding voting stock (excluding shares owned by persons who are both directors and officers of KB Home and shares owned by certain of our employee benefit plans), or (iii) the business combination was approved by the Board and by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 66-2/3% of our outstanding voting stock not owned by the interested stockholder. In addition, our Restated Certificate of Incorporation and our Amended and Restated By-laws contain the following provisions that may be deemed to have a potential "anti-takeover" effect:

Cumulative voting is not permitted in the election of directors

Stockholders may not call or request special meetings of stockholders

Stockholders may not take action by written consent in lieu of a meeting of stockholders

Stockholders have no preemptive right to acquire our securities;

The Board may fix the designation, rights, preferences and limitations of the shares of each series of our preferred stock

While Article Ninth and the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement are intended to preserve the long-term value of our NOLs, each could be deemed to have an "anti-takeover" effect because, among other things, Article Ninth is designed to restrict the ability of a person, entity or group to accumulate more than 5% of our common stock and the ability of persons, entities or groups now owning more than 5% of our common stock from acquiring additional shares of our common stock without the approval of the Board to waive the provisions of Article Ninth and the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement in its business judgment. Although the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement is not intended to prevent a takeover, because an Acquiring Person may be diluted upon the occurrence of a triggering event, it does have a potential anti-takeover effect. Accordingly, the overall effects of Article Ninth and the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement may be to render more difficult, or discourage, a merger, tender offer, proxy contest or assumption of control by a substantial holder of our securities. Maintaining the protections of Article Ninth and proposing the approval of the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement are not part of a plan by us to adopt a series of anti-takeover measures, and we do not presently intend to propose or adopt any other anti-takeover measures. BOARD RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE THE AMENDED AND RESTATED RIGHTS AGREEMENT