PPL ELECTRIC UTILITIES CORP Form DEF 14C March 10, 2006

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14C

Information Statement Pursuant to Section 14(c) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Check the appropriate box:

- O Preliminary Information Statement
- O Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14c-5(d)(2))
- b Definitive Information Statement.

PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

yment (yment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):							
No	No fee required.							
Fee	computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14c-5(g) and 0-11							
(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:							
(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:							
(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):							
(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:							
(5)	Total fee paid:							

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o		ck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
	(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
	(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
	(3)	Filing Party:
	(4)	Date Filed:

PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Notice of Annual Meeting April 26, 2006 and Information Statement (including appended 2005 Financial Statements)

Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareowners

The Annual Meeting of Shareowners of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (PPL Electric Utilities or the Company) will be held at the offices of the Company at Two North Ninth Street, Allentown, Pennsylvania, on Wednesday, April 26, 2006, at 8 a.m. The Annual Meeting will be held for the purposes stated below and more fully described in the accompanying Information Statement, and to transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments thereof:

- 1. The election of directors.
- 2. The amendment of the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation.

The Board of Directors is not aware of any other matters to be presented for action at the Annual Meeting. Proxies are not being solicited from PPL Electric Utilities—shareowners because a quorum exists for the Annual Meeting based on the PPL Electric Utilities stock held by its parent, PPL Corporation (PPL). PPL owns all of the outstanding common stock and as a result 99% of the voting shares of PPL Electric Utilities, and intends to vote all of these shares in favor of the election of the Company s nominees as directors and for the amendment of the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation.

Only shareowners of record at the close of business on Tuesday, February 28, 2006, will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournments thereof. All shareowners are invited to attend the Annual Meeting in person. If the Annual Meeting is interrupted or delayed for any reason, the shareowners attending the adjourned Annual Meeting shall constitute a quorum and may act upon such business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Elizabeth Stevens Duane

Secretary

March 10, 2006

Information Statement

The Company s principal executive offices are located at Two North Ninth Street, Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101, telephone number 610-774-5151. This Information Statement was first released to shareowners on or about March 10, 2006.

PPL Electric Utilities parent, PPL Corporation (PPL), owns all of the shares of the Company s outstanding common stock, which represents 99% of PPL Electric Utilities outstanding voting shares. As a result, a quorum exists for the Annual Meeting based on PPL s stock ownership. **ACCORDINGLY, WE ARE NOT ASKING THE SHAREOWNERS FOR A PROXY, AND SHAREOWNERS ARE REQUESTED NOT TO SEND US A PROXY.**

OUTSTANDING STOCK AND VOTING RIGHTS

The Board of Directors has established Tuesday, February 28, 2006, as the record date for shareowners entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting (the Record Date). The transfer books of the Company will not be closed. PPL Electric Utilities Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (the Articles) divide its voting stock into four classes: 41/2% Preferred Stock, Series Preferred Stock, Preference Stock and Common Stock. There were no shares of Preference Stock outstanding on the Record Date. Each currently outstanding share of each class of stock entitles the holder to one vote upon any business properly presented to the Annual Meeting. A total of 78,535,052 shares was outstanding on the Record Date, consisting of 78,029,863 shares of Common Stock all owned by PPL, 247,524 shares of 41/2% Preferred Stock and 257,665 shares of Series Preferred Stock.

As of February 15, 2006, there are no entities known by the Company to be the beneficial owner of five percent (5%) or more of any class of the Company s voting stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. As discussed above, all of the holders of the preferred stock of the Company have less than one percent (1%) of the total voting power of the Company.

Although proxies are not being solicited, shareowners may attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person. If you plan to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person, we will give you a ballot when you arrive. However, if your shares are held in the name of your broker, bank or other nominee, you must bring an account statement or letter from the nominee indicating that you were the beneficial owner of the shares on February 28, 2006, the record date for voting. PPL intends to vote all of its shares of the Company s common stock, or 99% of the voting shares of the Company, in favor of election of each of the nominees for director (see Election of Directors), thereby assuring the election of these directors, and for the amendment of the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation.

To preserve voter confidentiality, the Company voluntarily limits access to shareowner voting records to certain designated employees of PPL Services Corporation. These employees sign a confidentiality agreement which prohibits them from disclosing the manner in which a shareowner has voted to any employee of Company affiliates or to any other person (except to the Judges of Election or the person in whose name the shares are registered), unless otherwise required by law.

With respect to the election of directors, shareowners have the unconditional right of cumulative voting. Shareowners may vote in this manner by multiplying the number of shares registered in their respective names on the Record Date by the total number of directors to be elected at the Annual Meeting and casting all of such votes for one nominee or distributing them among any two or more nominees. The nominees receiving the highest number of votes, up to the number of directors to be elected, will be elected. Authority to vote for any individual nominee can be withheld by striking a line through that person s name in the list of nominees on the ballot. Shares will be voted for the remaining nominees on a pro rata basis.

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The nominees this year are John R. Biggar, Dean A. Christiansen, Robert J. Grey, William F. Hecht, Rick L. Klingensmith, James H. Miller, and John F. Sipics, who are currently serving as directors. The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that any of the nominees will become unavailable for election, but, if any nominee should become unavailable prior to the meeting, PPL intends to vote its shares of PPL Electric Utilities

common stock for the election of such other person as the Board of Directors may recommend in place of that nominee.

The Board of Directors recommends that shareowners vote FOR Proposal 1

NOMINEES FOR DIRECTORS:

JOHN R. BIGGAR, 61, serves as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company's parent, PPL. He is also a director of PPL, and is a manager of PPL Energy Supply, LLC and PPL Transition Bond Company, LLC, each a subsidiary of PPL. He joined the Company in 1969. Before being named as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of PPL in 2001, Mr. Biggar served two years as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and 14 years as Vice President-Finance. Mr. Biggar earned a bachelor is degree in political science from Lycoming College and a Juris Doctor degree from the College of Law at Syracuse University. Mr. Biggar serves as a member of the Board of Trustees of Lycoming College. Mr. Biggar has been a Director since 2000.

DEAN A. CHRISTIANSEN, 46, is Managing Director of Sales and Marketing for Capital Markets Engineering and Trading, LLC (CMET), a New York-based investment banking boutique providing, among other services, structured finance securitization and financial engineering solutions to the capital markets. Prior to joining CMET in August 2004, Mr. Christiansen was the President of Acacia Capital, Inc., a New York City-based corporate finance advisory firm founded in 1990. From October 2000 to July 2003, he also served as President and a Director of Lord Securities Corporation of New York, a financial services and administration company with operations world-wide. Mr. Christiansen received a degree in government from the University of Notre Dame and has completed additional studies in Aerospace engineering. Mr. Christiansen is also a member of the board of PPL Transition Bond Company, LLC. He has been a Director since 2001.

ROBERT J. GREY, 55, serves as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of the Company's parent, PPL and is a manager of PPL Energy Supply, LLC. Mr. Grey earned his bachelor's degree from Columbia University, a law degree from Emory University, and a Master of Laws degree from George Washington University. Before being named as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of PPL and the Company in 1996, Mr. Grey served as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. Before joining the Company in 1995, Mr. Grey served as General Counsel for Long Island Lighting Company and was a partner with the law firm of Preston Gates & Ellis. He has been a Director since 2000.

WILLIAM F. HECHT, 63, is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company s parent, PPL and is Chairman of the Company. Mr. Hecht received bachelor s and master s degrees in electrical engineering from Lehigh University, and joined the Company in 1964. He was elected President and Chief Operating Officer in 1991 and was named Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company in 1993, and to his PPL position in February 1995. Mr. Hecht is a director of DENTSPLY International Inc., the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and PPL, is a manager of PPL Energy Supply, LLC and serves on the board of a number of civic and charitable organizations. Mr. Hecht has been a Director since 1990.

RICK L. KLINGENSMITH, 45, is president of PPL Global, LLC, the subsidiary of PPL that owns and operates electricity distribution businesses in Latin America and the United Kingdom. Mr. Klingensmith joined PPL Global in February 2000 as General Manager of Global Assets. In August 2000, he was promoted to Vice President-Finance, and served in this position until he was named President of PPL Global in August 2004. Prior to joining PPL Global, Mr. Klingensmith was Manager of Energy Systems Assets and Acquisitions for Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. in Allentown, Pennsylvania. Before joining Air Products, Mr. Klingensmith was an engineer in the power systems group of Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation. Mr. Klingensmith earned a bachelor s degree in engineering science and mechanics from Pennsylvania State University and a master s degree in business administration from the Darden School of the University of Virginia. He has been a Director since 2004.

JAMES H. MILLER, 57, is President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company s parent, PPL. Prior to his current appointment in August 2005, Mr. Miller was named Executive Vice President in January 2004, and Chief Operating Officer in September 2004, and also served as President of PPL Generation, LLC, a PPL subsidiary that operates power plants in the United States. He also serves as a director of PPL and as a manager of PPL Energy Supply, LLC. Mr. Miller earned a bachelor s degree in electrical engineering from the

University of Delaware and served in the U.S. Navy nuclear program. Before joining PPL Generation, LLC in February 2001, Mr. Miller served as Executive Vice President and Vice President, Production of USEC, Inc. from 1995 and prior to that time as President of ABB Environmental Systems, President of UC Operating Services, President of ABB Resource Recovery Systems and in various engineering and management positions at the former Delmarva Power and Light Co. Mr. Miller has been a Director since 2001.

JOHN F. SIPICS, 57, is President of the Company. He also serves as Chief Executive Officer of PPL Gas Utilities Corporation. Mr. Sipics earned bachelor s and master s degrees in electrical engineering from Lehigh University. He is also a registered professional engineer in Pennsylvania. Before being named to his current position in 2003, Mr. Sipics served as Vice President-Asset Management for two years and Vice President-Delivery Services and Economic Development, which later became Regulatory Support, for three years. Mr. Sipics joined the Company as an engineer in 1970 and served in a variety of positions prior to those described above. Mr. Sipics also serves on the boards and committees of a variety of industry associations, and is a director of the Greater Lehigh Valley Chapter of the United Way. Mr. Sipics has been a director since 2003.

GENERAL INFORMATION REGARDING DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS Director Attendance at Board Meetings

The Board of Directors held one Board meeting and two Executive Committee meetings during 2005. Each current director attended at least 75% of the meetings held by the Board and its Executive Committee during the year. The average attendance of current directors at the Board and Committee meetings held during 2005 was 100%. Directors are expected to regularly attend all meetings of the Board, its Executive Committee and shareowners.

Compensation of Directors

The Company pays Lord Securities Corporation an annual fee of \$7,000 for providing the services of its independent director, Dean A. Christiansen. Directors who are employees of the Company or its affiliates receive no separate compensation for service on the Board of Directors or its Executive Committee.

Stock Ownership

As noted above, all of the outstanding common stock of PPL Electric Utilities is owned by PPL. No directors or executive officers own any PPL Electric Utilities preferred stock.

Communications with the Board

Shareowners or other parties interested in communicating with the directors as a group may write to the Board of Directors c/o Corporate Secretary s Office, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation, Two North Ninth Street, Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101. The Secretary of the Company forwards all correspondence to the respective Board members, with the exception of commercial solicitations, advertisements or obvious junk mail. Concerns relating to accounting, internal controls or auditing matters are to be immediately brought to the attention of PPL s Office of Business Ethics and Compliance and are handled in accordance with procedures established by PPL s Audit Committee with respect to such matters.

Code of Ethics

The Company s parent maintains its *Standards of Conduct and Integrity*, which have been adopted by the Company and are applicable to all Board members and employees of the Company and its subsidiaries, including the principal executive officer, the principal financial officer and the principal accounting officer of the Company. The full text of the *Standards* can be found in the Corporate Governance section of PPL s Web site *(www.pplweb.com/about/corporate+governance.htm)*.

Board Committees

The Company does not have standing audit, nominating and compensation committees of the Board of Directors.

Executive Committee. During the periods between Board meetings, the Executive Committee s function is to act on behalf of the Board on appropriate matters that do not require full Board approval under the

Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law or the Company s articles of incorporation and bylaws. This Committee met two times during 2005. The members of the Executive Committee are Mr. Hecht (chair), and Messrs. Biggar and Sipics.

Nominations. The Board of Directors of the Company makes the nominations for election of directors for the Company and does not have a separate standing nominating committee. As PPL owns all of the shares of the Company s common stock, which represents 99% of the Company s outstanding voting shares, PPL has a quorum and voting power for the purpose of election of directors of the Company, and PPL recommends to the Board of Directors of the Company all of the nominees for directors of the Company. Therefore, the Board of Directors of the Company acts upon these recommendations and actions of PPL. Most of the directors nominated are officers of PPL and its subsidiaries, including the Company. In addition, because the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation require the Company to have at all times a director who is independent, the Board of Directors will nominate one independent director for election to the Board of Directors. The current independent director, Mr. Christiansen, was chosen by the Company s board, upon the recommendation of PPL. Because PPL controls the vote and the nomination of directors of the Company, the Company has not recently received any director recommendations from owners of voting preferred stock of the Company. Shareowners interested in recommending nominees for directors should submit their recommendations in writing to: Secretary, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation, Two North Ninth Street, Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101. In order to be considered, nominations by shareowners must be received by the Company 75 days prior to the 2007 Annual Meeting and must contain the information required by the Bylaws, such as the name and address of the shareowner making the nomination and of the proposed nominees and certain other information concerning the shareowner and the nominee.

In considering the candidates recommended by PPL, the Board of Directors seeks individuals who possess strong personal and professional ethics, high standards of integrity and values, independence of thought and judgment and who have senior corporate leadership experience, including within PPL. The Company believes that prior business experience is valuable and provides a necessary basis for consideration of the many complicated issues associated with PPL Electric Utilities—business and the impact of related decisions on PPL and other shareowners, customers, employees and the general public. In addition, the Board of Directors seeks individuals who have a broad range of demonstrated abilities and accomplishments beyond corporate leadership. These abilities include the skill and expertise sufficient to provide sound and prudent guidance with respect to all of the Company—s operations and interests. After completing the evaluation process, the Board of Directors votes on whether to approve the nominees. Each nominee to be elected who is named in this Information Statement was recommended by PPL in accordance with the practices described above.

Retirement Plans for Executive Officers

PPL Electric Utilities officers are eligible for benefits under the PPL Retirement Plan, a defined benefit plan, and the PPL Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) upon retirement from an affiliated company. For purposes of calculating benefits under the PPL Retirement Plan, the compensation used is base salary, plus certain cash incentive awards, less amounts deferred under the PPL Officers Deferred Compensation Plan. Base salary, including any amounts deferred, is listed in the Summary Compensation Table on page 6. For purposes of calculating benefits under the SERP, the compensation used is base salary, cash bonus, and, in some cases, the value of any restricted stock grant for the year in which earned (as described below), as well as dividends paid on restricted stock. To measure compensation for the last year of employment prior to retirement, the PPL Retirement Plan and the SERP use a pro-rated amount of an assumed cash incentive award.

Benefits payable under the PPL Retirement Plan are subject to limits set forth in the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) and are not subject to any deduction for Social Security benefits or any other offset. Benefits are computed on the basis of the life annuity form of pension at normal retirement age of 65. The SERP is an unfunded, non-contributory plan. Unlike the PPL Retirement Plan, the SERP provides for the

inclusion of earnings in excess of the limits contained in the Code, including deferred incentive compensation, in the calculation of final average earnings, and for benefits in excess of the limits provided under the Code. Except as described above, benefits payable under the SERP are computed on the same basis and are offset by PPL Retirement Plan benefits and for those officers eligible for benefits under the old formula described below, the maximum Social Security benefit payable at age 65. Benefits under both plans are reduced for

retirement prior to age 60. Generally, absent a specifically authorized exception, no benefit is payable under the SERP if years of credited service are less than 10 years.

The following table shows the estimated gross annual retirement benefits for the Named Executive Officers listed on page 6 payable under the PPL SERP formula.

Estimated Annual Retirement Benefits at Normal Retirement Age of 65

Five-Year Average Annual Compensation		Years of Service							
		15 Years		20 Years		25 Years		30 Years	
\$	300,000	\$	90,000	\$	120,000	\$	142,500	\$	165,000
-	350,000		105,000		140,000		166,250		192,500
	400,000		120,000		160,000		190,000		220,000
	450,000		135,000		180,000		213,750		247,500
	500,000		150,000		200,000		237,500		275,000
	550,000		165,000		220,000		261,250		302,500
	600,000		180,000		240,000		285,000		330,000
	650,000		195,000		260,000		308,750		357,500
	700,000		210,000		280,000		332,500		385,000

As of January 1, 2006, the years of credited service under the PPL Retirement Plan for Messrs. Sipics, Farr and Abel were 34, 1 and 31, respectively. Mr. Farr has a defined benefit from a subsidiary pension plan, which is estimated to pay him a fixed amount of \$8,352 annually beginning at age 65 and will be an offset to his SERP benefit. The years of credited service under the SERP for each of these officers were as follows: Mr. Sipics 27, Mr. Farr 7 and Mr. Abel 24. The total SERP benefit will not increase beyond 30 years for any participant.

For officers hired on or after January 1, 1998, including Mr. Farr, benefits under the SERP were revised as follows: (i) restricted stock grants are not included in compensation for purposes of calculating benefits under the SERP; (ii) the percentage of pay provided as a retirement benefit is changed from 2.7% for the first 20 years of service plus 1.0% for the next 10 years, to 2.0% for the first 20 years and 1.5% for the next 10 years; and (iii) credit for years of service will commence as of the employee s date of hire instead of at age 30.

For officers hired prior to January 1, 1998, benefits under the SERP are calculated under the greater of the old formula or the new formula, except that compensation for purposes of the old formula includes restricted stock grants only to the extent earned through December 31, 2001, and will be frozen as of December 31, 2001, and compensation for purposes of the new formula includes restricted stock grants only to the extent earned through December 31, 1997.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table summarizes all compensation for the President and the most highly compensated executive officers (Named Executive Officers) for the last three fiscal years. Messrs. Farr and Abel are not paid separately as officers of PPL Electric Utilities, but are employees of PPL Services Corporation. Prior to becoming an officer of the Company in August 2004, Mr. Farr served as Senior Vice President of PPL Global, LLC during 2004, and prior to that was a vice president of PPL Global. Restricted stock awards and stock options are for shares of PPL. All PPL common stock and stock option amounts and exercise prices of PPL stock options included in this information statement reflect the 2-for-1 common stock split that was completed by PPL in August 2005.

		Annual (Compensation	Long-Term Compensation			
Restricted							
				Other Annual	Stock		All Other
Name and Princi	nà leBo si	Salary ⁽¹⁾	Bonus ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ Cor (\$)		3) Award ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)	OptionsCo (#)	mpensation ⁽⁵⁾ (\$)
	pulauson		(4)	(4)	(4)	(")	(4)
John F. Sipics	2005	323,654	0	6,250	557,001	54,760	9,342
President	2004	288,769	50,730	5,577	408,893	47,300	8,987
	2003	210,954	0	4,808	236,691	23,980	8,226
Paul A. Farr Senior Vice	2005	308,248	96,150	0	424,766	50,980	6,562
President- Financial and	2004	244,700	81,750	7,458	342,035	22,280	4,283
Controller	2003	197,786	5,498	2,877	120,848	23,140	103,073
James E. Abel	2005	249,649	88,160	1,000	167,459	30,180	7,529
Treasurer	2004 2003	242,192 233,446	112,100 53,962	4,646 1,000	133,265 132,348	26,700 27,720	7,301 6,909

- Salary and bonus data include deferred cash compensation. Mr. Farr was elected Vice President and Controller effective August 23, 2004. Mr. Farr served as Vice President and Controller from August 2004 through July 2005. On August 1, 2005, he was elected as Senior Vice President-Financial and Controller. Effective January 30, 2006, a new controller was elected and Mr. Farr no longer serves as the controller of the Company. Mr. Farr deferred \$10,400 of salary in 2005, \$18,200 of salary in 2004, \$15,600 of salary and \$41,140 of bonus in 2003.
- Messrs. Sipics, Farr and Abel elected to implement an Exchange (as defined below) of \$206,900, \$96,150 and \$22,040 respectively, of their cash bonus for 2005 for restricted stock units under the Premium Exchange Program (as defined below). Messrs. Sipics and Farr elected to implement an Exchange of \$118,370 and \$81,750 respectively, of their cash bonus for 2004 for restricted stock units under the Premium Exchange Program. Messrs. Sipics, Farr and Abel elected to implement an Exchange of \$113,608, \$42,137 and \$44,151 respectively, of their cash bonuses for 2003 for restricted stock units under the Premium Exchange Program. See description of

the Premium Exchange Program under Compensation Report of the Board of Directors. The value of these restricted stock units are reflected under the Restricted Stock Award column of this table.

- Includes compensation for vacation earned, but not taken, for Mr. Sipics of \$6,250 in 2005, \$5,577 in 2004 and \$4,808 in 2003; for Mr. Farr of \$7,458 in 2004 and \$2,877 in 2003; and for Mr. Abel of \$3,746 in 2004. Also includes fees earned by Mr. Abel of \$1,000 for 2005, of \$900 for 2004, and \$1,000 in 2003 for serving as a director of Safe Harbor Water Power Corporation, an affiliate of the Company.
- The dollar value of restricted common stock awards was calculated by multiplying the number of shares or units awarded by the closing price per share or unit on the date of the grant. As of December 31, 2005, the officers listed in this table held the following number of shares of restricted common stock and restricted stock units, with the following values: Mr. Sipics 28,960 (\$851,424), Mr. Farr 46,140 (\$1,356,516), and Mr. Abel 14,540 (\$427,476). These year-end data do not include awards made in January 2006 for 2005 performance, or awards which had originally been restricted and for which the restriction periods have lapsed or been lifted. Dividends or dividend equivalents are paid currently on restricted stock awards. All outstanding restricted stock awards to these individuals have a restriction period of three years, except for 24,600 shares of restricted common stock for Mr. Farr that are restricted until April 27, 2027, under the retention agreement discussed below.
- ⁵ Includes Company contributions to the Officers Deferred Savings Plan and ESOP accounts. Also includes relocation expenses of \$101,069 paid to Mr. Farr in 2003.

6

OPTION GRANTS IN LAST FISCAL YEAR

The following table provides information on stock options for shares of PPL granted to the Named Executive Officers during 2005.

		Individual Grants ⁽¹⁾					rant Date Value
	Number of Securities Underlying	% of Total Options Granted to				Gı	rant Date
	Options	Employees		ercise or	Expiration	I	Present
Name	Granted	in 2005	Base Price		Date	,	Value ⁽²⁾
J. F. Sipics P. A. Farr J. E. Abel	54,760 50,980 30,180	3.4% 3.2 1.9	\$	26.66 26.66 26.66	1/26/2015 1/26/2015 1/26/2015	\$	463,817 431,801 255,625

Exercisable in three equal annual installments beginning January 27, 2006.

Assumptions used for the discounted Black-Scholes option pricing model are as follows:

Risk-free interest rate	4.45%
Volatility	18.09%
Dividend yield	3.88%
Time of exercise	10 years
Risk of forfeiture	94.12%

AGGREGATED OPTION EXERCISES IN LAST FISCAL YEAR AND FISCAL YEAR-END OPTION VALUES

The following table summarizes information for the Named Executive Officers concerning exercises of stock options for shares of PPL during 2005 and the number and values of all unexercised stock options as of December 31, 2005.

		Number of Securities		
		Underlying Unexercised	Value of U	Jnexercised
Shares		Options at	In-the-Mon	ey Options at
Acquired		December 31, 2005	Decembe	er 31, 2005
on .	Value			
Exercise	Realized	Exercisable Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable

Values indicated are an estimate based on a discounted Black-Scholes option pricing model. The actual value realized, if any, will be determined by the excess of the stock price over the exercise price on the date the option is exercised. There is no certainty that the actual value realized will be at or near the value estimated by the discounted Black-Scholes option pricing model.

Edgar Filing: PPL ELECTRIC UTILITIES CORP - Form DEF 14C

Name	#	\$	#	#	\$	\$
J. F. Sipics	23,960	\$ 252,923	59,854	39,526	504,456	302,924
P. A. Farr	33,154	242,942	0	22,566	0	187,028
J. E. Abel	38,086	284,121	0	27,040	0	224,093

Value of unexercised options at fiscal year-end represents the difference between the exercise price of any outstanding in-the-money option grant and \$29.35, the average of the high and low price of PPL common stock on December 30, 2005, which was the last trading day of 2005 on which the New York Stock Exchange was open for business.

CHANGE-IN-CONTROL ARRANGEMENTS

PPL entered into agreements with each of the Named Executive Officers, which provide benefits to the officers upon certain terminations of employment following a change in control of PPL (as such term is defined in the agreements). The benefits provided under these agreements replace any other severance benefits provided to these officers by PPL, or any prior severance agreement.

Each of the agreements continues in effect until December 31, 2007, and the agreements generally are automatically extended for additional one-year periods. Upon the occurrence of a change in control, the agreements will expire no earlier than 36 months after the month in which the change in control occurs. Each

agreement provides that the officer will be entitled to the severance benefits described below if PPL terminates the officer s employment following a change in control for any reason other than death, disability, retirement or cause, or if the officer terminates employment for good reason (as such terms are defined in the agreements).

The benefits consist of a lump sum payment equal to three times the sum of (a) the officer s base salary in effect immediately prior to date of termination, or if higher, immediately prior to the first occurrence of an event or circumstance constituting good reason and (b) the highest annual bonus in respect of the last three fiscal years ending immediately prior to the fiscal year in which the change in control occurs, or if higher, the fiscal year immediately prior to the fiscal year in which first occurs an event or circumstance constituting good reason. In addition, under the terms of each agreement, PPL would provide the officer and dependents with continuation of welfare benefits for the 36-month period following separation (reduced to the extent the officer receives comparable benefits from another employer), and would pay the officer unpaid incentive compensation that has been allocated or awarded for a previous performance period, the maximum prorated awards for the current performance period, a lump sum payment having an actuarial present value equal to the additional pension benefits the officer would have received had the officer continued to be employed by the Company or PPL for an additional 36 months, outplacement services for up to three years and, for Messrs. Sipics and Farr, a gross-up payment for any excise tax imposed under the Internal Revenue Code. In addition, under the agreements, PPL would provide post-retirement health care and life insurance benefits to officers who would have become eligible for such benefits within the 36-month period following the change in control.

In addition, in the event of a change in control, the restriction period applicable to any outstanding PPL restricted stock or restricted stock unit awards lapses under PPL s Incentive Compensation Plan, and all restrictions on the exercise of any outstanding stock options lapse under PPL s Incentive Compensation Plan. PPL has irrevocable trust agreements in place with respect to the funding of benefits under the SERP, the Officers Deferred Compensation Plan and the DDCP. Currently, the trusts are not funded. The trusts provide that immediately prior to a change in control (as defined in the trust agreements), the Chief Executive Officer of PPL should authorize an irrevocable cash contribution sufficient to pay all benefits under these plans as of the date of the change in control. Furthermore, within 60 days of the end of each plan year after the change in control occurs, PPL is required to irrevocably deposit additional cash or property into the trusts in an amount sufficient to pay participants or beneficiaries the benefits that are payable under terms of the plan as of the close of each plan year. If funded, the assets of the trusts would be owned by PPL, any income on the trust assets would be taxed to PPL and not to the beneficiaries of the trusts, and such assets would be subject to the claims of general creditors in the event of PPL s insolvency.

RETENTION AGREEMENTS

PPL has executed an agreement with Mr. Farr granting him 40,000 shares of restricted PPL common stock. The restriction period will lapse on April 27, 2027. In the event of death or disability, the restriction period on a prorated portion of these shares will lapse immediately. In the event of a change in control of PPL, the restriction period on all of these shares will lapse immediately if there is an involuntary termination of employment that is not for cause (as such terms are defined in the agreements). In the event Mr. Farr is terminated for cause, or he terminates his employment with all PPL affiliated companies prior to April 27, 2027, all shares of this restricted stock will be forfeited.

COMPENSATION REPORT OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS GENERALLY

PPL Corporation (together with its subsidiaries, PPL) is the parent holding company for numerous subsidiaries. PPL s principal operating subsidiaries are PPL Electric Utilities, PPL EnergyPlus, LLC, PPL Generation, LLC and PPL Global, LLC.

The Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee of PPL s Board of Directors (the Committee) establishes compensation and benefit practices for the members of PPL s Corporate Leadership Council (which sets corporate policy for PPL), the presidents of PPL s principal operating subsidiaries, including

Mr. Sipics, and the senior vice presidents of PPL, including Mr. Farr (collectively, the executive officers). Mr. Sipics has no position with PPL but is a PPL executive officer by virtue of his position as President of the Company. This Committee is comprised entirely of independent outside directors.

Messrs. Farr and Abel were officers of the Company and certain other affiliated companies during 2005. Accordingly, their compensation discussed herein includes compensation earned for services to the Company and its affiliates.

COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY

The compensation practices for Named Executive Officers discussed below are intended to provide a balance of base salary, short-term incentive opportunities tied to achievement of specific corporate performance goals, and long-term awards intended to promote sustained performance over the medium and longer-term. During 2005, the annual cash incentive program continued to be based on objective, measurable goals. Effective for 2005 performance, the long-term incentive program, consisting of restricted stock units and stock options, was designed to balance sustained medium-term (three-year) performance goals, strategic objectives and longer-term growth in shareowner value.

While a meaningful ownership of PPL common stock by executives has always been an important part of the Company s compensation philosophy, during 2003 the Committee adopted specific ownership requirements under the Executive Equity Ownership Program (Equity Guidelines). The Equity Guidelines provide that executive officers should maintain levels of ownership of PPL Common Stock ranging in value from two times to five times base salary. Executive officers are generally expected to have achieved their minimum Equity Guidelines level by December 31, 2005. Executive officers with less than five years of service at a particular guideline level must attain their minimum Equity Guidelines level by the end of their five-year anniversary at that level. Until the minimum ownership amount is achieved, executive officers are expected to retain in PPL Common Stock (or PPL Common Stock units) 100% of the gain realized from the vesting of restricted stock and stock units and the exercise of options (net of taxes and, in the case of options, the cost of the exercise). To assist executive officers in achieving or surpassing their minimum ownership amount, in 2003 the Committee adopted the Cash Incentive Premium Exchange Program (Premium Exchange Program). Under this program, executives may elect to defer all or a portion of the annual cash incentive award for PPL restricted stock units equal to 140% of the amount so deferred (an Exchange). The PPL restricted stock units are subject to a three-year vesting period, with only the 40% premium portion subject to forfeiture during the restriction period. These two programs encourage increased stock ownership on the part of the executive officers, which further aligns the interests of management and shareowners. As of December 31, 2005, all Named Executive Officers were in compliance with the Equity Guidelines.

Other compensation components, including retirement, retention, when appropriate, and change-in-control benefits, are also maintained to enhance the Company s ability to attract and retain highly qualified executive talent. These compensation components are discussed under specific headings below.

COMMITTEE MEETINGS

The Committee reviews the current levels of compensation, appropriate market reference points and actual performance against approved goals for the performance period over the course of two Committee meetings. The Committee s independent, nationally recognized compensation consultant provides assistance during this evaluation. Additionally, in making individual pay decisions, the Committee uses evaluations of the Named Executive Officers conducted by the Chief Executive Officer of PPL.

BASE SALARIES

In general, the Committee s objective is to provide salary levels that are sufficiently competitive with comparable companies to enable the Company to attract and retain high-quality executive talent. To meet this objective, the Committee regularly reviews salary information for similar companies provided by its independent compensation consultant. In addition, the Committee annually reviews the performance of each executive officer to determine the appropriate level of base salary for that executive officer.

For Mr. Sipics, the Committee reviewed salary ranges by comparing salary levels with those at companies of comparable size to the Company in the energy industry. For Messrs. Farr and Abel, PPL s Corporate Leadership Council reviewed salary ranges by comparing their salary levels with those at companies of comparable size to PPL in the energy industry and in general industry.

After reviewing salary data for executive positions at comparable companies, the actual salary and the performance of Mr. Sipics, the Committee made an appropriate salary adjustment for him, effective as of

January 1, 2005. The base salaries for Messrs. Farr and Abel were approved by PPL Corporation s Corporate Leadership Council after a review of performance and competitive market data, effective as of February 14, 2005. Since Mr. Farr did not become Senior Vice President-Financial and Controller of PPL and the Company until August 1, 2005, the Committee adjusted his base salary at that time, after a review of market data, and consideration of experience, time in the position and other factors.

INCENTIVE AWARDS

Short-term Incentive Annual Cash Awards

Cash incentive awards are made to the Named Executive Officers for the achievement of specific, independent goals established for each calendar year. For 2005, the following award targets as a percentage of base salary were established for each Named Executive Officer: Messrs. Sipics and Farr 50%, and Mr. Abel 40%.

Annual awards are determined by applying these target percentages to the percentage of goal attainment. The performance goals for the year are established by the Committee, and the Committee reviews actual results at year-end to determine the appropriate goal attainment percentage to apply to the salary targets.

For Messrs. Sipics and Farr, the goal categories for 2005 included specific financial and operational measures for PPL and its subsidiaries. The weightings for each of these categories for Mr. Sipics are allocated 40% to PPL s earnings per share and enhanced shareowner value, 40% to the financial and operational performance of the Company, and 20% to certain operating subsidiaries of PPL. In the case of Mr. Farr, the weightings for each of these categories are allocated 60% to PPL s earnings per share and enhanced shareowner value, and 40% to the financial and operational performance of PPL s principal operating subsidiaries. In the case of Mr. Abel, the goal categories for 2005 included specific financial and operational measures for PPL and key subsidiaries, and also consideration of individual performance. The weightings for each of these categories are allocated 40% to PPL s earnings per share and enhanced shareowner value, 40% to the financial and operational performance of certain operating subsidiaries and 20% to individual performance. Included in the operating goals for all Named Executive Officers were specific requirements tied to compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The level of goal attainment was measured at the end of the year and the category weightings were multiplied by the annual award target for each position to determine each executive officer s cash award for 2005 performance.

Long-term Incentive Restricted Stock Unit and Stock Option Awards

Effective for 2005 performance, the long-term incentive program was restructured to reduce the weight of stock options and increase the use of restricted stock, and to adjust the basis on which restricted stock incentive awards are made.

Restricted Stock Awards

Restricted stock incentive awards are based on the achievement of two components: (i) sustained financial and operational results and (ii) specific strategic objectives designed to enable PPL to continue to provide value to its shareowners. Sustained financial and operational achievement was determined by averaging the most recent three years of annual performance measures used for the annual cash awards. Strategic objectives were related to increasing shareowner value through implementation of certain long-term corporate initiatives, including actions to influence the evolution of government policies toward more competitive markets, develop an internal corporate structure to optimize PPL s wholesale hedging strategy, develop and retain management skills, and establish the financial profile necessary to optimize growth opportunities when the wholesale electricity markets strengthen.

Awards are made in the form of restricted stock units equivalent to the dollar value of the percentage applied to base pay in effect at the end of the year. Because of the three-year restriction period, this type of equity award encourages executive officers to continue their service at the Company or its affiliates. This program also encourages increased stock ownership on the part of the executives and aligns the interests of management and shareowners.

Stock Option Awards

The Committee may grant the executive officers options to purchase shares of PPL s common stock in the future. Because the exercise price for these options is based on the market price of the stock at the time of the grant, the ultimate value received by the option holders is directly tied to increases in the stock price. Therefore, stock options serve to closely link the interests of management and shareowners and motivate executives to make decisions that will serve to increase the long-term shareowner value. Additionally, the option grants include vesting and termination provisions that are designed to encourage the option holders to remain employees of the Company or its affiliates.

The following long-term incentive award targets as a percentage of base salary were established for each Named Executive Officer:

Long-term Incentive Program

Restricted Stock Units Stock Options

(Targets as % of Salary)

Name and Position	Sustained Financial and Operational Results	Strategic Objective Results	Stock Price Performance
President	40%	40%	80%
Senior Vice President-Financial and Controller	40%	40%	80%
Treasurer	26.25%	26.25%	52.5%

Based on its review of the incentive goals achieved for 2005, the Committee in January 2006 made the following incentive awards to Messrs. Sipics and Farr, and in February 2006, PPL s Corporate Leadership Council made the following incentive award to Mr. Abel:

* * * * *

2005 Short-term Incentive Cash Awards

Cash Incentive Awards

Name and Position	Performance Attained	Cash Bonus
John F. Sipics President ⁽¹⁾	118.2%	\$206,900
Paul A. Farr Senior Vice President-Financial and Controller ⁽¹⁾	109.9%	\$192,300

James E. Abel 109.9% \$110,200 Treasurer⁽¹⁾

(1) Messrs. Sipics, Farr and Abel elected to implement an Exchange of \$206,900, \$96,150 and \$22,040, respectively, for 9,600, 4,470 and 1,020 restricted stock units, respectively, under the terms of the Premium Exchange Program described above.

11

2005 Long-term Incentive Restricted Stock Unit and Stock Option Awards

Restricted Stock Unit Incentive Awards

	Sustained Financial & Operational Results		Strategic Res	Stock	
Name and Position	Performance Attained	Award Value	Performance Attained	Award Value	Option Awards
John F. Sipics President	110.2%	\$143,260	100%	\$130,000	54,760
Paul A. Farr Senior Vice President-Financial and Controller	110.2%	\$154,280	100%	\$140,000	50,980
James E. Abel Treasurer	110.2%	\$ 72,542	100%	\$ 65,828	30,180

COMPENSATION OF THE PRESIDENT

In establishing 2005 salary for Mr. Sipics, the Committee reviewed the salaries of presidents of comparable companies. As a result of this review, the Committee set his salary at \$325,000, effective January 1, 2005.

Based on the Company s performance on the specific corporate financial and operational goals and strategic objectives discussed above, Mr. Sipics received the cash and restricted stock unit awards outlined in the tables above. His cash award was equal to approximately 63.7% of his salary, and his restricted stock unit awards were equal to approximately 84.1% of his salary comprised of 44.1% for sustained financial and operational results and 40% for strategic objective results. In addition, Mr. Sipics was granted stock options in 2005, as described above.

The Board of Directors

William F. Hecht, Chairman John R. Biggar Dean A. Christiansen Robert J. Grey Rick L. Klingensmith James H. Miller John F. Sipics

PROPOSAL 2: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDED AND RESTATED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT

At the Annual Meeting, shareowners will vote upon a proposed amendment to Article V of the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (Proposed Amendment). The Proposed Amendment would have the effect of increasing the authorized amount of Preference Stock from 5,000,000 to 10,000,000 shares, without nominal

or par value. The amended Article V would read as follows:

ARTICLE V.

The aggregate number of shares which the Corporation shall have authority to issue is 190,629,936 shares, divided into 629,936 shares of 4½% Preferred Stock, par value \$100 per share; 10,000,000 shares of Series Preferred Stock, par value \$100 per share; 10,000,000 shares of Preference Stock, without nominal or par value; and 170,000,000 shares of Common Stock, without nominal or par value.

12

REASON FOR THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT

The Board of Directors believes that this increase in the number of authorized shares is in the best interest of the Company in that it will provide the Company with additional available Preference Stock for flexibility in the Company s financing plans. The Board of Directors is authorized, without further shareowner action, to issue shares of Preference Stock from time to time in one or more series and to determine the designations, preferences, limitations and special rights of any series including, but not limited to, the following: (a) the rate of dividend, if any; (b) the rights, if any, of the holders of stock of the series upon voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company (Liquidation); (c) the terms and conditions upon which stock may be converted into stock of other series or other capital stock, if issued with the privilege of conversion; (d) the price at and the terms and conditions upon which stock may be redeemed; and (e) the voting rights, if any. The Preference Stock is subordinate to the Company s \$\frac{4}{2}\%\$ Preferred Stock and Series Preferred Stock, but senior to the Common Stock, with respect to the payment of dividends and distribution of assets upon Liquidation. No shares of Preference Stock are currently outstanding.

The Board of Directors recommends that shareowners vote FOR Proposal 2 INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM CHANGE IN CERTIFYING ACCOUNTANT

Effective March 3, 2006, following the solicitation process described below, PPL dismissed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC) as the independent registered public accounting firm (independent auditor) for PPL and its subsidiaries, including the Company. PPL had previously announced that PPL s Audit Committee had determined on November 10, 2005 that PwC would be dismissed as the Company s independent auditor effective upon the completion of its procedures regarding the Company s financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2005 and the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K (in which such financial statements are included). PwC completed its procedures on March 3, 2006, coincident with the filing of the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

PwC s reports on the Company s financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005 did not contain any adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion, nor were such reports qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principle. During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, and through March 3, 2006, (i) there were no disagreements with PwC on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of PwC, would have caused PwC to make reference thereto in its reports on the Company s financial statements for such years, and (ii) there have been no reportable events as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

Also as previously announced, on November 10, 2005, PPL s Audit Committee, which consists entirely of independent directors who are not employees of the Company or its affiliates, appointed Ernst & Young LLP (E&Y) to serve as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm (independent auditor) as of and for the year ending December 31, 2006, for PPL and its subsidiaries, including the Company. This appointment followed a solicitation and review process conducted by PPL pursuant to the Audit Committee s previously announced policy to solicit competitive proposals for audit services from independent accounting firms at least once every seven years. During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, and prior to its engagement, (i) E&Y had not been engaged as the Company s principal accountant to audit its financial statements or as an independent accountant to audit a significant subsidiary of the Company, and (ii) the Company had not consulted with E&Y regarding (a) the application of accounting principles to any completed or proposed transaction, (b) the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the Company s financial statements for such periods, or (c) any other accounting, auditing or financial reporting matter described in Items 304(a)(2)(i) and (ii) of Regulation S-K.

If the shareowners of PPL do not ratify the appointment of E&Y, the selection of the independent auditor will be reconsidered by PPL s Audit Committee.

Services provided to the Company by PwC in 2005 are described under FEES TO INDEPENDENT AUDITOR FOR 2005 AND 2004 below.

FEES TO INDEPENDENT AUDITOR FOR 2005 AND 2004

The following table presents an allocation of fees billed by PwC to PPL for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company s annual financial statements and for fees billed for other services rendered by PwC.

	2	2005	2004
		(In thou	sands)
Audit fees ^(a)	\$	515	\$ 343
Audit-related fees ^(b)		27	84
Tax fees ^(c)			
All other fees ^(d)			

- (a) Includes audit of annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in the Company s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and for services in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, including comfort letters and consents for financings and filings made with the SEC.
- (b) Fees for audits of employee benefit plans and consultation to ensure appropriate accounting and reporting in connection with various business and financing transactions.
- (c) The independent auditor does not provide tax consulting and advisory services to the Company or any of its affiliates.
- (d) The independent auditor did not render any professional services for any other matters for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, other than Audit Fees and Audit-Related Fees included above.

Approval of Fees. PPL s Audit Committee has procedures for pre-approving audit and non-audit services to be provided by the independent auditor. The procedures are designed to ensure the continued independence of the independent auditor. More specifically, the use of the Company s independent auditor to perform either audit or non-audit services is prohibited unless specifically approved in advance by the Audit Committee of PPL. As a result of this approval process, PPL s Audit Committee has established specific categories of services and authorization levels. All services outside of the specified categories and all amounts exceeding the authorization levels are reviewed by the Chair of PPL s Audit Committee, who serves as the Committee designee to review and approve audit and non-audit related services during the year. A listing of the approved audit and non-audit services is reviewed with PPL s full Audit Committee no later than its next meeting.

PPL s Audit Committee approved 100% of the 2005 and 2004 audit and non-audit related fees.

Representatives of PwC and E&Y are not expected to be present at the Annual Meeting.

MISCELLANEOUS

The Board of Directors is not aware of any other matters to be presented for action at the Annual Meeting.

PROPOSALS FOR 2007 ANNUAL MEETING

To be included in the Information Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting, any proposal intended to be presented at that meeting by a shareowner must be received by the Secretary of the Company no later than November 10, 2006. To be properly brought before the Annual Meeting, any proposal must be received not later than 75 days in advance of the date of the 2007 Annual Meeting.

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company s annual financial statements and related management discussion are appended to this document.

By Order of the Board of Directors.

Elizabeth Stevens Duane

Secretary

March 10, 2006

14

Schedule A
PPL Electric Utilities Corporation
2005 Financial Statements

Contents

	Page
Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations	A-1
Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	A-3
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	A-19
Consolidated Statement of Income	A-20
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	A-21
Consolidated Balance Sheet	A-22
Consolidated Statement of Shareowner s Common Equity	A-24
Consolidated Statement of Long-Term Debt	A-25
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	A-26
Selected Financial and Operating Data	A-49
Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)	A-50
Executive Officers of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation	A-51
Shareowner and Investor Information	A-52

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

PPL Corporation and its current and former subsidiaries

- **PPL** PPL Corporation, the parent holding company of PPL Electric, PPL Energy Funding and other subsidiaries.
 - PPL Capital Funding PPL Capital Funding, Inc., a wholly owned financing subsidiary of PPL.
- **PPL Capital Funding Trust I** A Delaware statutory business trust created to issue the Preferred Security component of the PEPS Units. This trust was terminated in June 2004.
- **PPL Electric** PPL Electric Utilities Corporation, a regulated utility subsidiary of PPL that transmits and distributes electricity in its service territory and provides electric supply to retail customers in this territory as a PLR.
- **PPL Energy Funding** PPL Energy Funding Corporation, a subsidiary of PPL and the parent company of PPL Energy Supply.
- **PPL EnergyPlus** PPL EnergyPlus, LLC, a subsidiary of PPL Energy Supply that markets wholesale and retail electricity, and supplies energy and energy services in deregulated markets.
- **PPL Energy Supply** PPL Energy Supply, LLC, a subsidiary of PPL Energy Funding and the parent company of PPL Generation, PPL EnergyPlus, PPL Global and other subsidiaries.
- **PPL Gas Utilities** PPL Gas Utilities Corporation, a regulated utility subsidiary of PPL that specializes in natural gas distribution, transmission and storage services, and the competitive sale of propane.
- **PPL Generation** PPL Generation, LLC, a subsidiary of PPL Energy Supply that owns and operates U.S. generating facilities through various subsidiaries.
- **PPL Global** PPL Global, LLC, a subsidiary of PPL Energy Supply that owns and operates international energy businesses that are focused on the regulated distribution of electricity.
- **PPL Montana** PPL Montana, LLC, an indirect subsidiary of PPL Generation that generates electricity for wholesale sales in Montana and the Pacific Northwest.
- **PPL Services** PPL Services Corporation, a subsidiary of PPL that provides shared services for PPL and its subsidiaries.
- **PPL Transition Bond Company** PPL Transition Bond Company, LLC, a subsidiary of PPL Electric that was formed to issue transition bonds under the Customer Choice Act.

Other terms and abbreviations

1945 First Mortgage Bond Indenture PPL Electric s Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of October 1, 1945, to Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as trustee, as supplemented.

2001 Senior Secured Bond Indenture PPL Electric s Indenture, dated as of August 1, 2001, to JPMorgan Chase Bank, as trustee, as supplemented.

AFUDC (Allowance for Funds Used During Construction) the cost of equity and debt funds used to finance construction projects of regulated businesses, which is capitalized as part of construction cost.

- **APB** Accounting Principles Board.
- **ARB** Accounting Research Bulletin.
- ARO asset retirement obligation.
- **CTC** competitive transition charge on customer bills to recover allowable transition costs under the Customer Choice Act.

Customer Choice Act the Pennsylvania Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act, legislation enacted to restructure the state s electric utility industry to create retail access to a competitive market for generation of electricity.

- **DEP** Department of Environmental Protection, a state government agency.
- **DOE** Department of Energy, a U.S. government agency.
- **EMF** electric and magnetic fields.
- **EPA** Environmental Protection Agency, a U.S. government agency.
- ESOP Employee Stock Ownership Plan.

FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board, a rulemaking organization that establishes financial accounting and reporting standards.

A-1

FERC Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the federal agency that regulates interstate transmission and wholesale sales of electricity and related matters.

FIN FASB Interpretation.

Fitch Fitch, Inc.

FSP FASB Staff Position.

GAAP generally accepted accounting principles.

ICP Incentive Compensation Plan.

ICPKE Incentive Compensation Plan for Key Employees.

IRS Internal Revenue Service, a U.S. government agency.

ISO Independent System Operator.

ITC intangible transition charge on customer bills to recover intangible transition costs associated with securitizing stranded costs under the Customer Choice Act.

kWh kilowatt-hour, basic unit of electrical energy.

LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate.

Moody s Moody s Investors Service, Inc.

NUGs (Non-Utility Generators) generating plants not owned by public utilities, whose electrical output must be purchased by utilities under the PURPA if the plant meets certain criteria.

PCB polychlorinated biphenyl, an oil additive used in certain electrical equipment up to the late-1970s. It is now classified as a hazardous chemical.

PJM (PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.) operator of the electric transmission network and electric energy market in all or parts of Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia.

PLR (Provider of Last Resort) The role of PPL Electric in providing electricity to retail customers within its delivery territory who have not chosen to select an alternative electricity supplier under the Customer Choice Act.

PP&E property, plant and equipment.

Preferred Securities company-obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities issued by PPL Capital Funding Trust I, which solely held debentures of PPL Capital Funding.

PUC Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the state agency that regulates certain ratemaking, services, accounting and operations of Pennsylvania utilities.

PUC Final Order final order issued by the PUC on August 27, 1998, approving the settlement of PPL Electric s restructuring proceeding.

PUHCA Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, legislation passed by the U.S. Congress. Repealed effective February 2006 by the Energy Policy Act of 2005.

PURPA Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, legislation passed by the U.S. Congress to encourage energy conservation, efficient use of resources and equitable rates.

PURTA the Pennsylvania Public Utility Realty Tax Act.

RTO Regional Transmission Organization.

SEC Securities and Exchange Commission, a U.S. government agency whose primary mission is to protect investors and maintain the integrity of the securities markets.

SFAS Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, the accounting and financial reporting rules issued by the FASB.

S&P Standard & Poor s Ratings Services.

SPE special purpose entity.

Superfund federal environmental legislation that addresses remediation of contaminated sites; states also have similar statutes.

VEBA Voluntary Employee Benefit Association Trust, trust accounts for health and welfare plans for future benefit payments for employees, retirees or their beneficiaries.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF PPL ELECTRIC UTILITIES CORPORATION

Terms and abbreviations appearing here are explained in the glossary. Dollars are in millions, unless otherwise noted.

Forward-looking Information

Statements contained in these financial statements concerning expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance and underlying assumptions and other statements which are other than statements of historical facts are—forward-looking statements—within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Although PPL Electric believes that the expectations and assumptions reflected in these statements are reasonable, there can be no assurance that these expectations will prove to be correct. These forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. In addition to the specific factors discussed in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section herein, the following are among the important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements:

market demand and prices for energy, capacity and fuel;

weather conditions affecting customer energy usage and operating costs;

the effect of any business or industry restructuring;

PPL Electric s profitability and liquidity, including access to capital markets and credit facilities;

new accounting requirements or new interpretations or applications of existing requirements;

transmission and distribution system conditions and operating costs;

current and future environmental conditions and requirements and the related costs of compliance, including environmental capital expenditures and other expenses;

development of markets and technologies;

political, regulatory or economic conditions in regions where PPL Electric conducts business;

receipt of necessary governmental permits, approvals and rate relief;

new state or federal legislation, including new tax legislation;

state and federal regulatory developments;

impact of state or federal investigations applicable to PPL Electric and the energy industry;

capital market conditions, including changes in interest rates, and decisions regarding capital structure;

the market prices of equity securities and the impact on pension costs and resultant cash funding requirements for defined benefit pension plans;

securities and credit ratings;

the outcome of litigation against PPL Electric;

potential effects of threatened or actual terrorism or war or other hostilities; and

PPL Electric s commitments and liabilities.

Any such forward-looking statements should be considered in light of such important factors and in conjunction with other documents of PPL Electric on file with the SEC.

New factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for PPL Electric to predict all of such factors, or the extent to which any such factor or combination of factors may cause actual results to differ from those contained in any forward-looking statement. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and PPL Electric undertakes no obligation to update the information contained in such statement to reflect subsequent developments or information.

Overview

PPL Electric provides electricity delivery service in eastern and central Pennsylvania. Its headquarters are in Allentown, PA. PPL Electric s strategy and principal challenge is to own and operate its electricity delivery business at the highest level of customer service and reliability and at the most efficient cost.

PPL Electric s electricity delivery business is rate-regulated. Accordingly, PPL Electric is subject to regulatory risks in terms of the costs that it may recover and the investment returns that it may collect in customer rates.

A key challenge for PPL Electric is to maintain a strong credit profile. Investors, analysts and rating agencies that follow companies in the energy industry continue to be focused on the credit quality and liquidity position of these companies. PPL Electric continually focuses on strengthening its balance sheet and improving its liquidity position, thereby improving its credit profile.

The purpose of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is to provide information concerning PPL Electric s past and expected future performance in implementing the strategy and challenges mentioned above. Specifically:

Results of Operations provides an overview of PPL Electric s operating results in 2005, 2004 and 2003, including a review of earnings. It also provides a brief outlook for 2006.

Financial Condition Liquidity and Capital Resources provides an analysis of PPL Electric s liquidity position and credit profile, including its sources of cash (including bank credit facilities and sources of operating cash flow) and uses of cash (including contractual commitments and capital expenditure requirements) and the key risks and uncertainties that impact PPL Electric s past and future liquidity position and financial condition. This subsection also includes a listing of PPL Electric s current credit ratings.

Financial Condition Risk Management includes an explanation of PPL Electric s risk management activities regarding commodity price risk and interest rate risk.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies provides an overview of the accounting policies that are particularly important to the results of operations and financial condition of PPL Electric and that require its management to make significant estimates, assumptions and other judgments. Although PPL Electric s management believes that these estimates, assumptions and other judgments are appropriate, they relate to matters that are inherently uncertain. Accordingly, changes in the estimates, assumptions and other judgments applied to these accounting policies could have a significant impact on PPL Electric s results of operations and financial condition, as reflected in PPL Electric s Financial Statements.

The information provided in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with PPL Electric s Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes.

Results of Operations

Earnings

Income available to PPL was:

2	2004			2003		
\$	145	\$	74	\$ 25		

The after-tax changes in income available to PPL were due to:

		05 vs. 2004	2004 vs. 2003		
Delivery revenues (net of CTC/ITC amortization, interest expense on transition bonds and ancillary charges) Operation and maintenance expenses Taxes, other than income (excluding gross receipts tax) Change in tax reserves associated with stranded costs securitization (Note 2) Interest income on 2004 IRS tax settlement	\$	123 (6) (9) (15) (5)	\$	5 (3) 9 22 5	
Financing costs (excluding transition bond interest expense) Interest income on loans to affiliates Other Unusual items		4 6 2 (29)		2 4 5	
	\$	71	\$	49	

The following items, that management considers unusual, had a significant impact on earnings.

	2	005	2004	20	003
PJM billing dispute (Note 9)	\$	(27)			
Acceleration of stock-based compensation expense for periods prior to		(0)			
2005 (Note 1) Workforce reduction (Note 15)		(2)		\$	(5)
Workloice reduction (Note 13)				Ψ	(3)
Total	\$	(29)		\$	(5)

The year-to-year changes in significant earnings components are explained in the Statement of Income Analysis.

PPL Electric s 2005 earnings were impacted by a number of key factors, including:

In December 2004, the PUC approved an increase in PPL Electric s distribution rates of approximately \$137 million (based on a return on equity of 10.7%), and approved PPL Electric s proposed mechanism for collecting an additional \$57 million in transmission-related charges, for a total annual increase of approximately \$194 million, effective January 1, 2005.

Delivery revenues also increased in 2005 compared with 2004 due to a 4.3% increase in electricity delivery sales volumes.

In January 2005, severe ice storms hit PPL Electric s service territory. As a result, PPL Electric had to restore service to approximately 238,000 customers. The total cost of restoring service, excluding capitalized costs and regular payroll expenses, was approximately \$16 million.

On February 11, 2005, PPL Electric filed a petition with the PUC for authority to defer and amortize for regulatory accounting and reporting purposes these storm costs. On August 26, 2005, the PUC issued an order

granting PPL Electric s petition subject to certain conditions, including: (i) the PUC s authorization of deferred accounting is not an assurance of future rate recovery of the storm costs, (ii) PPL Electric must request recovery of the deferred storm costs in its next distribution base rate case, and (iii) PPL Electric must begin immediately to expense the deferred storm costs on a ten-year amortization schedule for regulatory accounting and reporting purposes. As a result of the PUC Order and in accordance with SFAS 71, Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation, in the third quarter of 2005, PPL Electric deferred approximately \$12 million of its previously expensed storm costs. The deferral was based on its assessment of the timing and likelihood of recovering the deferred costs in PPL Electric s next distribution base rate case. At this time, PPL Electric cannot be certain that it will recover the storm costs, nor can it predict whether future incidents of severe weather will cause significant facility damage and service disruptions that would also result in significant costs.

Operation and maintenance expense increased in 2005 compared with 2004, primarily due to increased system reliability work and tree trimming costs.

PPL Electric recognized an after-tax charge of \$27 million in the first quarter of 2005 for a loss contingency related to the PJM billing dispute. See Note 9 for information concerning the settlement agreement reached by PPL Electric and Exelon Corporation to settle this litigation, which is subject to approval by the FERC. PPL Electric cannot be certain of the outcome of this matter or the impact on PPL Electric and its subsidiaries.

PPL Electric s earnings beyond 2005 are subject to various risks and uncertainties. See Forward-Looking Information, the rest of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Note 9 to the Financial Statements for a discussion of the risks, uncertainties and factors that may impact PPL Electric s future earnings.

2006 Outlook

PPL Electric is projecting flat delivery revenues due to projected modest load growth in 2006 compared with 2005 and because of higher sales in 2005 as a result of unusually warm weather. PPL Electric is also expecting to experience increased operation and maintenance expenses in 2006.

Statement of Income Analysis

Operating Revenues

Retail Electric

The increases in revenues from retail electric operations were attributable to:

	2005 vs. 2004		2004 vs. 2003	
PLR electric generation supply Electric delivery Delivery and PLR supply to PPL Generation Other	\$	122 201 1	\$	94 (7) (5) (2)
	\$	324	\$	80

The increase in revenues from retail electric operations for 2005 compared with 2004 was primarily due to: higher PLR revenues due to higher energy and capacity rates and a 6% increase in volume, in part due to the return of customers previously served by alternate suppliers; and

higher electric delivery revenues resulting from higher transmission and distribution customer rates effective January 1, 2005, and a 4.3% increase in volume.

The increase in revenues from retail electric operations for 2004 compared with 2003 was primarily due to: higher PLR revenues due to higher energy and capacity rates and a 3.6% increase in volume, in part due to the return of customers previously served by alternate suppliers; partially offset by

lower electric delivery revenues due to a decrease in ITC and CTC revenue as a result of lower ITC rates, and several rate groups reaching their cap; and

lower sales to PPL Generation. PPL Generation s power plants began self-supplying their station use in April 2003, rather than taking supply from PPL Electric.

Wholesale Electric

PPL Electric wholesale revenues were previously derived primarily from sales to municipalities. The \$23 million decrease in wholesale electric revenues in 2004 compared with 2003 was due to the expiration of all municipal purchase power agreements at the end of January 2004.

Wholesale Electric to Affiliate

PPL Electric has a contract to sell to PPL EnergyPlus the electricity that PPL Electric purchases under contracts with NUGs. The \$6 million decrease in wholesale revenue to affiliate in 2005 compared with 2004 was primarily due to an unplanned outage at a NUG facility during the second quarter of 2005. PPL Electric therefore had less electricity to sell to PPL EnergyPlus.

Energy Purchases

Energy purchases increased by \$47 million in 2005 compared with 2004 primarily due to the \$39 million accrual for the PJM billing dispute. See Note 9 to the Financial Statements for additional information regarding the loss accrual recorded for the PJM billing dispute. Also, the increase reflects a \$10 million charge to load-serving entities which began in May 2005, retroactive to December 2004. This charge minimizes the revenue impacts to transmission owners that result from the integration of the Midwest ISO and PJM markets and will continue until March 2006. These increases were partially offset by a \$7 million decrease due to an unplanned NUG outage in the second quarter of 2005.

Energy Purchases from Affiliate

Energy purchases from affiliate increased by \$90 million in 2005 compared with 2004 and by \$56 million in 2004 compared with 2003. The increases reflect an increase in PLR load, as well as higher prices for energy purchased under the power supply contracts with PPL EnergyPlus that was needed to support the PLR load.

Other Operation and Maintenance

The increases in other operation and maintenance expenses were due to:

		05 vs. 2004	2004 vs. 2003	
Costs associated with severe ice storms in January 2005 Subsequent deferral of a portion of costs associated with	\$	16		
January 2005 ice storms (Note 1)		(12)		
Increase in domestic system reliability work and tree trimming Accelerated amortization of stock-based compensation		10		
(Note 1)		5		
Increase in domestic pension costs Increase in allocation of certain corporate service costs		3	\$	5
(Note 10)		1		5
Write-off of Hurricane Isabel costs not approved for recovery by the PUC				4
Decrease in employee benefits due to transfer of field services employees to PPL Generation		(7)		
Decrease in other postretirement benefit expense Other		(2) (2)		(11) 5
	\$	12	\$	8

Depreciation

Depreciation increased by \$5 million in 2005 compared with 2004 and by \$4 million in 2004 compared with 2003 due to plant additions, including the Automated Meter Reading project.

Taxes, Other Than Income

In 2004, PPL Electric reversed a \$14 million accrued liability for 1998 and 1999 PURTA taxes that had been accrued based on potential exposure in the proceedings regarding the Susquehanna nuclear station tax assessment. The rights of third-party intervenors to further appeal expired in 2004. The reversal and a \$19 million increase in domestic gross receipts tax expense in 2005 are the primary reasons for the \$33 million increase in taxes, other than income, compared with 2004.

The reversal of the PURTA tax liability is the primary reason for the \$12 million decrease in taxes, other than income in 2004, compared with 2003. Also contributing to the decrease was lower capital stock tax

expense. These decreases were partially offset by higher gross receipts tax expense.

Workforce Reduction

See Note 15 to the Financial Statements for information on the \$9 million charge recorded in 2003.

Other Income net

See Note 11 to the Financial Statements for details of other income and deductions.

Interest Expense

Interest expense, including interest expense with affiliate, decreased by \$8 million in 2005 compared with 2004 and by \$21 million in 2004 compared with 2003. These decreases primarily reflect the net impact of long-term debt retirements and new issuances. Over the past two years, \$953 million of long-term debt retirements have occurred, while new issuances over the same period totaled \$424 million. The decrease in 2005 was partially offset by \$8 million of interest accrued for the PJM billing dispute and \$9 million of additional interest paid on collateral held by PPL Electric relating to the PLR contract. See Note 10 to the Financial Statements for further discussion of collateral held under the PLR contract.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense increased by \$61 million in 2005 compared with 2004. This increase was primarily attributable to:

a \$50 million increase in income tax expense related to higher pre-tax book income; and

a \$10 million reduction in tax benefits in 2005 related to federal and state income tax reserves that included a \$15 million decrease in tax benefits associated with stranded costs securitization, offset by a \$5 million increase in tax benefits associated with other income tax reserves, predicated upon management s reassessment of its best estimate of probable tax exposure relative to 2004.

Income tax expense decreased by \$10 million in 2004 compared with 2003. This decrease was primarily attributable to:

a \$22 million tax benefit recognized in 2004 related to a reduction in tax reserves associated with stranded costs securitization predicated upon management s reassessment of its best estimate of probable tax exposure relative to 2003; offset by

a \$15 million increase in income tax expense related to higher pre-tax book income.

Annual tax provisions include amounts considered sufficient to pay assessments that may result from examination of prior year tax returns by taxing authorities. However, the amount ultimately paid upon resolution of any issues raised by such authorities may differ materially from the amount accrued. In evaluating the exposure associated with various filing positions, PPL Electric accounts for changes in probable exposures based on management s best estimate of the amount that should be recognized. An allowance is maintained for the tax contingencies, the balance of which management believes to be adequate. During 2004, PPL Electric reached partial settlement with the IRS with respect to the tax years 1991 through 1995 and received a cash refund in the amount of \$45 million. As a result of this settlement, the net tax impact recorded in 2004 was not significant.

See Note 2 to the Financial Statements for details on effective income tax rates and other income tax related matters.

Financial Condition

Liquidity and Capital Resources

PPL Electric is focused on maintaining an appropriate liquidity position and strengthening its balance sheet, thereby continuing to improve its credit profile. PPL Electric believes that its cash on hand, short-term investments, operating cash flows, access to debt and equity capital markets and borrowing capacity, taken as a whole, provide sufficient resources to fund its ongoing operating requirements, future security maturities and estimated future capital expenditures. PPL Electric currently expects cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments at the end of 2006 to be approximately \$100 million, while maintaining approximately \$200 million in credit facilities and up to \$150 million in short-term debt capacity related to an asset-backed commercial paper program. However, PPL Electric s cash flows from operations and its access to cost effective bank and capital markets are subject to risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to:

unusual or extreme weather that may damage PPL Electric s transmission and distribution facilities or affect energy sales to customers;

ability to recover and the timeliness and adequacy of recovery of costs associated with regulated utility businesses;

any adverse outcome of legal proceedings and investigations currently being conducted with respect to PPL Electric s current and past business activities; and

a downgrade in PPL Electric s or its subsidiary s credit ratings that could negatively affect their ability to access capital and increase the cost of maintaining credit facilities and any new debt.

At December 31, 2005, PPL Electric had \$323 million of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments and \$42 million in short-term debt, compared with \$161 million in cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments and \$42 million of short-term debt at December 31, 2004, and \$162 million in cash and cash equivalents and no short-term investments or short-term debt at December 31, 2003. The \$42 million of short-term debt at December 31, 2005 and 2004, is entirely from loan proceeds attributable to the asset-backed commercial paper program, of which the full amount was used to cash collateralize letters of credit. The changes in cash and cash equivalents resulted from:

	2005	2004	2003
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities Net Cash Used in Investing Activities Net Cash Used in Financing Activities	\$ 580 (193) (240)	\$ 898 (523) (386)	\$ 528 (145) (250)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 147	\$ (11)	\$ 133

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$318 million in 2005 compared with 2004 and increased by \$370 million in 2004 compared with 2003, primarily as a result of receipts in 2004 of \$300 million in cash collateral related to the PLR energy supply agreements and a federal income tax refund in 2004. The decrease for 2005 compared with 2004 was partially mitigated by the 7.1% increase in distribution rates and transmission cost recoveries effective January 1, 2005.

An important element supporting the stability of PPL Electric s cash from operations is its long-term purchase contracts with PPL EnergyPlus. These contracts provide sufficient energy for PPL Electric to meet its PLR obligation through 2009, at the predetermined capped rates it is entitled to charge its customers over this period. These contracts require cash collateral or other credit enhancement, or reductions or terminations of a portion of the entire contract through cash settlement, in the event of adverse changes in market prices. Also under the contracts, PPL Energy Supply may request cash collateral or other credit enhancement, or reductions or terminations of a portion of the entire contract through cash settlement, in the event of a downgrade of PPL Electric s credit ratings. The maximum amount that PPL Electric would have to post under these contracts is \$300 million, and PPL Electric estimates that it would not have had to post any collateral if energy prices decreased by 10% from year-end 2005 or 2004 levels.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$330 million in 2005 compared with 2004, and increased by \$378 million in 2004 compared with 2003, primarily as a result of initiating a \$300 million demand loan to an affiliate in 2004. The primary use of cash in investing activities is capital expenditures. See Forecasted Uses of Cash for detail regarding capital expenditures in 2005 and projected expenditures for the years 2006 through 2010.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities decreased by \$146 million in 2005 compared with 2004, primarily due to a decrease of \$217 million in net debt retirements, partially offset by an increase of \$69 million in dividends paid to PPL Corporation. Net cash used in financing activities increased by \$136 million in 2004 compared with 2003, due to higher net retirements of long-term debt in 2004. See Forecasted Sources of Cash for a discussion of PPL Electric s

plans to issue debt and equity securities, as well as a discussion of credit facility capacity available to PPL Electric. Also see Forecasted Uses of Cash for a discussion of PPL Electric s plans to pay dividends on its common and preferred securities, as well as maturities of PPL Electric s long-term debt.

PPL Electric s debt financing activity in 2005 was:

	Issu	Retirements		
PPL Transition Bond Company Transition Bonds PPL Electric First Mortgage Bonds PPL Electric First Mortgage Pollution Control Bonds PPL Electric Senior Secured Bonds	\$	224 200	\$	(266) (69) (224)
Total	\$	424	\$	(559)
Net reduction			\$	(135)

Debt issued during 2005 had stated interest rates ranging from 2.25% to 5.15% and with maturities from 2005 through 2029. See Note 5 to the Financial Statements for more detailed information regarding PPL Electric s financing activities.

Forecasted Sources of Cash

PPL Electric expects to continue to have significant sources of cash available in the near term, including various credit facilities, a commercial paper program, an asset-backed commercial paper program and operating leases. PPL Electric also expects to continue to have access to debt and equity capital markets, as necessary, for its long-term financing needs.

Credit Facilities

At December 31, 2005, PPL Electric s total committed borrowing capacity under credit facilities and the use of this borrowing capacity were:

			Letters of	
	Committed Capacity	Borrowed	Credit Issued (b)	Available Capacity
PPL Electric Credit Facilities (a)	\$ 300			\$ 300

(a) Borrowings under PPL Electric s credit facilities bear interest at LIBOR-based rates plus a spread, depending upon the company s public debt rating. PPL Electric also has the capability to cause the lenders to issue up to \$300 million of letters of credit under these facilities, which issuances reduce available borrowing capacity.

The credit facilities contain a financial covenant requiring debt to total capitalization to not exceed 70%. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, PPL Electric s consolidated debt to total capitalization percentages, as calculated in accordance with its credit facilities, were 55% and 54%. The credit facilities also contain standard representations and warranties that must be made for PPL Electric to borrow under them.

(b) PPL Electric has a reimbursement obligation to the extent any letters of credit are drawn upon.

In addition to the financial covenants noted in the table above, these credit agreements contain various other covenants. Failure to meet the covenants beyond applicable grace periods could result in acceleration of due dates of borrowings and/or termination of the agreements. PPL Electric monitors the covenants on a regular basis. At December 31, 2005, PPL Electric was in material compliance with those covenants. At this time PPL Electric believes

that these covenants and other borrowing conditions will not limit access to these funding sources. PPL Electric intends to renew and extend \$200 million of its credit facility capacity in 2006. See Note 5 to the Financial Statements for further discussion of PPL Electric scredit facilities.

Commercial Paper

PPL Electric maintains a commercial paper program for up to \$200 million to provide it with an additional financing source to fund its short-term liquidity needs, if and when necessary. Commercial paper issuances are supported by credit agreements of PPL Electric. PPL Electric had no commercial paper outstanding at December 31, 2005 and 2004. During 2006, PPL Electric may issue commercial paper from time to time to facilitate short-term cash flow needs.

Asset-Backed Commercial Paper Program

PPL Electric participates in an asset-backed commercial paper program through which PPL Electric obtains financing by selling and contributing its eligible accounts receivable and unbilled revenue to a special purpose, wholly owned subsidiary on an ongoing basis. The subsidiary pledges these assets to secure loans of up to an aggregate of \$150 million from a commercial paper conduit sponsored by a financial institution. PPL Electric uses the proceeds from the program for general corporate purposes and to cash collateralize letters of credit. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the loan balance outstanding was \$42 million, all of which was being used to cash collateralize letters of credit. See Note 5 to the Financial Statements for further discussion of the asset-backed commercial paper program.

Operating Leases

PPL Electric also has available funding sources that are provided through operating leases. PPL Electric leases vehicles, office space, land, buildings, personal computers and other equipment. These leasing structures provide PPL Electric with additional operating and financing flexibility. The operating leases contain covenants that are typical for these agreements, such as maintaining insurance, maintaining corporate existence and timely payment of rent and other fees. Failure to meet these covenants could limit or restrict access to these funds or require early payment of obligations. At this time, PPL Electric believes that these covenants will not limit access to these funding sources or cause acceleration or termination of the leases.

See Note 6 to the Financial Statements for further discussion of the operating leases.

Long-Term Debt and Equity Securities

PPL Electric currently does not plan to issue any long-term debt securities in 2006. Subject to market conditions in 2006, PPL Electric currently plans to sell \$250 million in preferred securities. PPL Electric expects to use the proceeds primarily to repurchase securities, including stock from its parent, and for general corporate purposes.

Forecasted Uses of Cash

In addition to expenditures required for normal operating activities, such as purchased power, payroll, and taxes, PPL Electric currently expects to incur future cash outflows for capital expenditures, various contractual obligations, payment of dividends on its common and preferred securities and the repurchase of a portion of its common stock.

Capital Expenditures

The table below shows PPL Electric s current capital expenditure projections for the years 2006 through 2010 and actual spending for the year 2005.

	Actual			Projected			
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Construction expenditures (a) Transmission and distribution facilities Other	\$ 164 10	\$ 213 13	\$ 231 13	\$ 211 15	\$ 246 11	\$ 296 13	
Total Capital Expenditures	\$ 174	\$ 226	\$ 244	\$ 226	\$ 257	\$ 309	

(a) Construction expenditures include AFUDC, which is expected to be approximately \$15 million for the 2006-2010 period.

PPL Electric s capital expenditure projections for the years 2006-2010 total approximately \$1.3 billion. Capital expenditure plans are revised periodically to reflect changes in market and regulatory conditions.

PPL Electric plans to fund all of its capital expenditures in 2006 with cash on hand and cash from operations.

Contractual Obligations

PPL Electric has assumed various financial obligations and commitments in the ordinary course of conducting its business. At December 31, 2005, the estimated contractual cash obligations of PPL Electric were:

				Less						
			Т	han 1		1-3	4	4-5	Afte 5	r
Contractual Cash Obligations	•	Total		Year	Y	ears	Y	ears	Year	S
Long-term Debt (a) Capital Lease Obligations	\$	2,412	\$	434	\$	950	\$	486	\$ 54	2
Operating Leases Purchase Obligations (b) Other Long-term Liabilities Reflected on the Balance Sheet under GAAP		54 9,443		14 1,704		20 3,558		12 4,181		8
Total Contractual Cash Obligations	\$	11,909	\$	2,152	\$	4,528	\$	4,679	\$ 55	0

- (a) Reflects principal maturities only. Includes \$892 million of transition bonds issued by PPL Transition Bond Company in 1999 to securitize a portion of PPL Electric s stranded costs. This debt is non-recourse to PPL Electric.
- (b) The payments reflected herein are subject to change, as the purchase obligation reflected is an estimate based on projected obligated quantities and projected pricing under the contract. Purchase orders made in the ordinary course of business are excluded from the amounts presented.

Dividends

From time to time, as determined by its Board of Directors, PPL Electric pays dividends on its common stock to its parent, PPL, which uses the dividends for general corporate purposes, including meeting its cash flow needs. PPL Electric expects to continue to pay quarterly dividends on its outstanding preferred stock, and to pay quarterly dividends on the preferred securities expected to be issued in 2006, in each case if and as declared by its Board of Directors.

PPL Electric s 2001 Senior Secured Bond Indenture restricts dividend payments in the event that PPL Electric fails to meet interest coverage ratios or fails to comply with certain requirements included in its Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws to maintain its separateness from PPL and PPL s other subsidiaries. PPL Electric does not, at this time, expect that any of such limitations would significantly impact its ability to declare dividends.

Common Stock Repurchase

PPL Electric plans to repurchase approximately \$200 million of common stock from its parent in 2006. The repurchase is expected to be funded with proceeds received from the sale of preferred securities.

Credit Ratings

Moody s, S&P and Fitch periodically review the credit ratings on the debt and preferred securities of PPL Electric and PPL Transition Bond Company. Based on their respective reviews, the rating agencies may make certain ratings revisions.

A credit rating reflects an assessment by the rating agency of the credit worthiness associated with particular securities issued by PPL Electric and PPL Transition Bond Company, based on information provided by PPL Electric and other sources. The ratings of Moody s, S&P and Fitch are not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any securities of PPL Electric or PPL Transition Bond Company. Such ratings may be subject to revisions or withdrawal by the agencies at any time and should be evaluated independently of each other and any other rating that may be assigned to

their securities. A downgrade in PPL Electric s or PPL Transition Bond Company s credit ratings could result in higher borrowing costs and reduced access to capital markets.

The following table summarizes the credit ratings of PPL Electric and PPL Transition Bond Company at December 31, 2005.

	Moody s	S&P	Fitch (b)
PPL Electric			
Senior Unsecured/ Issuer Rating	Baa2	A-	BBB
First Mortgage Bonds	Baa1	A-	A-
Pollution Control Bonds (a)	Aaa	AAA	
Senior Secured Bonds	Baa1	A-	A-
Commercial Paper	P-2	A-2	F2
Preferred Stock	Ba1	BBB	BBB+
Outlook	STABLE	STABLE	STABLE
PPL Transition Bond Company			
Transition Bonds	Aaa	AAA	AAA

- (a) Insured as to payment of principal and interest.
- (b) Issuer Rating for Fitch is an Issuer Default Rating.

Moody s did not take any actions on the debt and preferred securities of PPL Electric and PPL Transition Bond Company in 2005.

In January 2005, S&P affirmed PPL Electric s A-/A-2 corporate credit ratings and favorably revised its outlook on the company to stable from negative following the authorization of a \$194 million rate increase by the PUC. S&P indicated that the outlook revision reflects its expectations that the rate increase, effective January 1, 2005, will allow for material improvement in PPL Electric s financial profile, which had lagged S&P s expectations in recent years. S&P indicated that the stable outlook reflects its expectations that PPL Electric will rapidly improve and then maintain financial metrics more consistent with its ratings. S&P indicated that it expects PPL Electric s operations to remain stable through the expiration of the PLR agreement.

In October 2005, S&P affirmed its ratings of PPL Electric. The ratings affirmation is the result of S&P s annual review of PPL Electric, including its business and financial risk profiles.

In December 2005, Fitch assigned issuer default ratings (IDRs) for its North American global power portfolio of issuers with ratings of BB- or higher. The IDR reflects Fitch s assessment of an issuer s ability to meet all of its financial commitments on a timely basis, effectively becoming its benchmark probability of default. Fitch rates securities in an issuer s capital structure higher, lower or the same as the IDR based on Fitch s assessment of a particular security s relative recovery prospects. There were no changes in Fitch s securities ratings at PPL Electric as a result of Fitch s assignment of an IDR.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

PPL Electric has entered into certain guarantee agreements that are within the scope of FIN 45, Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, an Interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57, and 107 and Rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34. See Note 9 to the Financial Statements for a discussion on guarantees.

Risk Management

Market Risk

Commodity Price Risk PLR Contracts

PPL Electric and PPL EnergyPlus have power supply agreements under which PPL EnergyPlus sells to PPL Electric (under a predetermined pricing arrangement) energy and capacity to fulfill PPL Electric s PLR obligation through 2009. As a result, PPL Electric has shifted any electric price risk relating to its PLR obligation to PPL

EnergyPlus through 2009. See Note 10 to the Financial Statements for information on the PLR contracts. A-13

Interest Rate Risk

PPL Electric has issued debt to finance its operations, which increases its interest rate risk. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, PPL Electric s potential annual exposure to increased interest expense, based on a 10% increase in interest rates, was insignificant.

PPL Electric is also exposed to changes in the fair value of its debt portfolio. At December 31, 2005, PPL Electric estimated that its potential exposure to a change in the fair value of its debt portfolio, through a 10% adverse movement in interest rates, was approximately \$43 million, compared with \$50 million at December 31, 2004.

Related Party Transactions

PPL Electric is not aware of any material ownership interests or operating responsibility by senior management of PPL Electric in outside partnerships, including leasing transactions with variable interest entities, or other entities doing business with PPL Electric.

For additional information on related party transactions, see Note 10 to the Financial Statements.

Environmental Matters

See Note 9 to the Financial Statements for a discussion of environmental matters.

New Accounting Standards

See Note 18 to the Financial Statements for a discussion of new accounting standards recently adopted or pending adoption.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

PPL Electric s financial condition and results of operations are impacted by the methods, assumptions and estimates used in the application of critical accounting policies. The following accounting policies are particularly important to the financial condition or results of operations of PPL Electric, and require estimates or other judgments of matters inherently uncertain. Changes in the estimates or other judgments included within these accounting policies could result in a significant change to the information presented in the financial statements. (These accounting policies are also discussed in Note 1 to the Financial Statements.) PPL s senior management has reviewed these critical accounting policies, and the estimates and assumptions regarding them, with its Audit Committee. In addition, PPL s senior management has reviewed the following disclosures regarding the application of these critical accounting policies with the Audit Committee.

1) Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

As described in Note 8 to the Financial Statements, PPL Electric participates in, and is allocated a significant portion of the liability and net periodic pension cost of the PPL Retirement Plan and the PPL Postretirement Benefit Plan. PPL follows the guidance of SFAS 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions, and SFAS 106, Employers Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions, when accounting for these benefits. Under these accounting standards, assumptions are made regarding the valuation of benefit obligations and performance of plan assets. Delayed recognition of differences between actual results and expected or estimated results is a guiding principle of these standards. This delayed recognition of actual results allows for a smoothed recognition of changes in benefit obligations and plan performance over the working lives of the employees who benefit under the plans. The primary assumptions are:

Discount Rate The discount rate is used in calculating the present value of benefits, which are based on projections of benefit payments to be made in the future. The objective in selecting the discount rate is to measure the single amount that, if invested at the measurement date in a portfolio of high-quality debt instruments, would provide the necessary future cash flows to pay the accumulated benefits when due.

Expected Return on Plan Assets Management projects the future return on plan assets considering prior performance, but primarily based upon the plans mix of assets and expectations for the long-term

returns on those asset classes. These projected returns reduce the net benefit costs PPL Electric records currently.

Rate of Compensation Increase Management projects employees annual pay increases, which are used to project employees pension benefits at retirement.

Health Care Cost Trend Rate Management projects the expected increases in the cost of health care. In selecting discount rates, PPL considers the expected cash outflows of its plans matched against appropriate fixed-income security yield rates. This information is first matched against a spot-rate yield curve. A portfolio of nearly 600 Moody s Aa-graded non-callable corporate bonds, with a total outstanding float in excess of \$300 billion, serves as the base from which those with the lowest and highest yields are eliminated to develop the ultimate yield curve. The results of this analysis are considered in conjunction with other economic data and consideration of movements in the Moody s Aa bond index to determine the discount rate assumption. At December 31, 2005, PPL decreased the discount rate for its domestic plans from 5.75% to 5.70% as a result of decreased long duration fixed-income security returns.

In selecting an expected return on plan assets, PPL considers tax implications, past performance and economic forecasts for the types of investments held by the plans. At December 31, 2005, PPL s expected return on plan assets was decreased from 8.75% to 8.50% for its domestic pension plans and increased to 8.00% from 7.90% for its other postretirement benefit plans. PPL s domestic pension plans have significantly exceeded the expected return on plan assets for 2004 and 2005. However, the expected return on plan assets assumption was decreased to reflect a long-term view of equity markets and an expected increase in long-term bond yields.

In selecting a rate of compensation increase, PPL considers past experience in light of movements in inflation rates. At December 31, 2005, PPL s rate of compensation increase was moved to 4.75% from 4.00% for its domestic plans.

In selecting health care cost trend rates, PPL considers past performance and forecasts of health care costs. At December 31, 2005, PPL s health care cost trend rates were 10.0% for 2006, gradually declining to 5.5% for 2011.

A variance in the assumptions listed above could have a significant impact on the accrued pension and other postretirement benefit liabilities and reported annual net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit cost allocated to PPL Electric. The following chart reflects the sensitivities in the 2005 financial statements associated with a change in certain assumptions. While the chart below reflects either an increase or decrease in each assumption, the inverse of this change would impact the accrued pension and other postretirement benefit liabilities and reported annual net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit cost by a similar amount in the opposite direction. Each sensitivity below reflects an evaluation of the change based solely on a change in that assumption.

Increase (Decrease)

Actuarial Assumption	Change in Assumption	Impact on Liabilities		Impact on Cost	
Discount Rate	(0.25)%	\$	1	\$	1
Expected Return on Plan Assets	(0.25)%	·	2	•	2
Rate of Compensation Increase	0.25%		1		1

At December 31, 2005, PPL Electric s Balance Sheet reflected a net liability of \$75 million for pension liabilities and prepaid other postretirement benefit costs allocated from plans sponsored by PPL Services.

In 2005, PPL Electric was allocated net periodic pension and other postretirement costs charged to operating expense of \$11 million. This amount represents a \$1 million increase compared with the charge recognized during 2004. This increase was primarily due to the decrease in the discount rate at December 31, 2004.

Refer to Note 8 to the Financial Statements for additional information regarding pension and other postretirement benefits.

2) Loss Accruals

PPL Electric periodically accrues losses for the estimated impacts of various conditions, situations or circumstances involving uncertain outcomes. These events are called contingencies, and PPL Electric s accounting for such events is prescribed by SFAS 5, Accounting for Contingencies, and other related accounting guidance. SFAS 5 defines a contingency as an existing condition, situation, or set of circumstances involving uncertainty as to possible gain or loss to an enterprise that will ultimately be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur.

For loss contingencies, the loss must be accrued if (1) information is available that indicates it is probable that the loss has been incurred, given the likelihood of the uncertain future events and (2) the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. FASB defines probable as cases in which the future event or events are likely to occur. SFAS 5 does not permit the accrual of contingencies that might result in gains. PPL Electric continuously assesses potential loss contingencies for environmental remediation, litigation claims, income taxes, regulatory penalties and other events.

The accounting aspects of estimated loss accruals include: (1) the initial identification and recording of the loss; (2) the determination of triggering events for reducing a recorded loss accrual; and (3) the ongoing assessment as to whether a recorded loss accrual is sufficient. All three of these aspects of accounting for loss accruals require significant judgment by PPL Electric s management.

Initial Identification and Recording of the Loss Accrual

PPL Electric uses its internal expertise and outside experts (such as lawyers, tax specialists and engineers), as necessary, to help estimate the probability that a loss has been incurred and the amount (or range) of the loss.

In 2005, a significant loss accrual was recorded for the PJM billing dispute. Significant judgment was required by PPL Electric s management to perform the initial assessment of this contingency. In December 2004, Exelon Corporation, on behalf of its subsidiary, PECO Energy, Inc. (PECO), filed a complaint against PJM and PPL Electric with the FERC, alleging that PJM had overcharged PECO from April 1998 through May 2003 as a result of an error by PJM. The complaint requested the FERC, among other things, to direct PPL Electric to refund to PJM \$39 million, plus interest of approximately \$8 million, and for PJM to refund these same amounts to PECO. In April 2005, the FERC issued an Order Establishing Hearing and Settlement Judge Proceedings (the Order). In the Order, the FERC determined that PECO was entitled to reimbursement for the transmission congestion charges that PECO asserted PJM erroneously billed. The FERC ordered settlement discussions, before a judge, to determine the amount of the overcharge to PECO and the parties responsible for reimbursement to PECO.

Based on an evaluation of FERC s Order, PPL Electric s management concluded that it was probable that a loss had been incurred in connection with the PJM billing dispute. PPL Electric recorded a loss accrual of \$47 million, the amount of PECO s claim, in the first quarter of 2005. See Note 9 to the Financial Statements for additional information.

See Ongoing Assessment of Recorded Loss Accruals for a discussion of the year-end 2005 assessment of this contingency.

PPL Electric has identified certain other events that could give rise to a loss, but that do not meet the conditions for accrual under SFAS 5. SFAS 5 requires disclosure, but not a recording, of potential losses when it is reasonably possible that a loss has been incurred. The FASB defines reasonably possible as cases in which the chance of the future event or events occurring is more than remote but less than likely. See Note 9 to the Financial Statements for disclosure of other potential loss contingencies that have not met the criteria for accrual under SFAS 5.

Reducing Recorded Loss Accruals

When an estimated loss is accrued, PPL Electric identifies, where applicable, the triggering events for subsequently reducing the loss accrual. The triggering events generally occur when the contingency has been

resolved and the actual loss is incurred, or when the risk of loss has diminished or been eliminated. The following are some of the triggering events that provide for the reduction of certain recorded loss accruals:

Allowances for excess or obsolete inventory are reduced as the inventory items are pulled from the warehouse shelves and sold as scrap or otherwise disposed.

Allowances for uncollectible accounts are reduced when accounts are written off after prescribed collection procedures have been exhausted or when underlying amounts are ultimately collected.

Environmental and other litigation contingencies are reduced when the contingency is resolved and PPL Electric makes actual payments or the loss is no longer considered probable.

Ongoing Assessment of Recorded Loss Accruals

PPL Electric reviews its loss accruals on a regular basis to assure that the recorded potential loss exposures are sufficient. This involves ongoing communication and analyses with internal and external legal counsel, engineers, tax specialists, operation management and other parties.

Significant management judgment is required in developing PPL Electric s contingencies, or reserves, for income taxes and valuation allowances for deferred tax assets. The ongoing assessment of tax contingencies is intended to result in management s best estimate of the ultimate settled tax position for each tax year. Annual tax provisions include amounts considered sufficient to pay assessments that may result from examination of prior year tax returns by taxing authorities. However, the amount ultimately paid upon resolution of any issues raised by such authorities may differ from the amount accrued. In evaluating the exposure associated with various filing positions, PPL Electric accounts for changes in probable exposures based on management s best estimate of the amount that should be recognized. An allowance is maintained for the tax contingencies, the balance of which management believes to be adequate. The ongoing assessment of valuation allowances is based on an assessment of whether deferred tax assets will ultimately be realized. Management considers a number of factors in assessing the ultimate realization of deferred tax assets, including forecasts of taxable income in future periods.

As part of the year-end preparation of its financial statements, PPL Electric s management re-assessed the loss accrual related to the PJM billing dispute, described above under Initial Identification and Recording of the Loss Accrual. In re-assessing the PJM billing dispute, PPL Electric s management considered the proposed settlement agreement that was filed with FERC in September 2005. Under the settlement agreement, PPL Electric would pay \$33 million plus interest over a four-year period to PJM through a new transmission charge that, under applicable law, is recoverable from PPL Electric s retail customers. Also, all PJM market participants would pay approximately \$8 million plus interest over a four-year period to PJM through a new market adjustment charge. PJM would forward amounts collected under the two new charges to PECO. See Note 9 to the Financial Statements for additional information. Numerous parties filed comments with the FERC opposing the settlement agreement, and the FERC has not yet acted on the proposed settlement agreement. Accordingly, PPL Electric s management had no basis to revise the loss accrual that was recorded in the first quarter of 2005. PPL Electric s management will continue to assess the loss accrual for this contingency for future periods.

Other Information

PPL s Audit Committee has approved the independent auditor to provide audit and audit-related services and other services permitted by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and SEC rules. The audit and audit-related services include services in connection with statutory and regulatory filings, reviews of offering documents and registration statements, employee benefit plan audits and internal control reviews.

[THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY]

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareowner of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheet and consolidated statement of long-term debt and the related consolidated statements of income, of shareowner is common equity and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation and its subsidiaries (PPL Electric) at December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company is management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations*, in 2005. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania February 24, 2006

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries (Millions of Dollars)

2005 2004 2003