

INDEPENDENT BANK CORP /MI/

Form S-4/A

April 15, 2010

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission
on April 15, 2010

Registration No. 333-164546

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 4 to

FORM S-4

REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

Independent Bank Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Michigan
**(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)**

6021
**(Primary Standard Industrial
Classification Code Number)**

38-2032782
**(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)**

230 West Main Street

Ionia, Michigan 48846

(616) 527-9450

Edgar Filing: INDEPENDENT BANK CORP /MI/ - Form S-4/A

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code,
of registrant's principal executive offices)

Robert N. Shuster

Chief Financial Officer

230 West Main Street

Ionia, Michigan 48846

(616) 527-9450

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies to:

Michael G. Wooldridge

Varnum LLP

333 Bridge Street, P.O. Box 352

Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501-0352

(616) 336-6000

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale of the securities to the public: As soon as practicable after this registration statement becomes effective.

If the securities being registered on this form are being offered in connection with the formation of a holding company and there is compliance with General Instruction G, check the following box. "

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

PROSPECTUS

Offers to Exchange

Up to 180,200,000 Shares of Common Stock of Independent Bank Corporation for up to all Trust Preferred Securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II, IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance IV, and Midwest Guaranty Trust I

We are offering to exchange, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in this prospectus and in the related letter of transmittal, up to 180,200,000 newly issued shares of our common stock for properly tendered and accepted trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (Nasdaq: IBCPO), IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance IV, and Midwest Guaranty Trust I.

The exchange offers will expire at 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on June 1, 2010, unless extended or earlier terminated by us (such date and time, as it may be extended, the "Expiration Date"). In order to receive the applicable Early Tender Premium Value per Liquidation Amount shown in the table below, holders must tender by 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on May 17, 2010, unless that deadline is extended by us (such date and time, as it may be extended, the "Early Tender Premium Deadline"). Tenders may be withdrawn at any time prior to the Expiration Date.

For each trust preferred security that we accept for exchange in accordance with the terms of the applicable exchange offer, we will issue a number of shares of our common stock having an aggregate dollar value (the "Exchange Value") set forth in the table below or, in the case of a trust preferred security tendered on or prior to the Early Tender Premium Deadline, having an aggregate dollar value equal to the applicable Exchange Value plus the Early Tender Premium Value set forth in the table below.

We refer to the number of shares of common stock we will issue for each trust preferred security we accept for exchange as the "exchange ratio." In determining the exchange ratio, the value per share of common stock will be the "Relevant Price," which is equal to the average volume weighted average price per share, or "Average VWAP," of our common stock for the five consecutive trading day period ending on and including the second trading day immediately preceding the Expiration Date, as it may be extended (we refer to such five-day period as the "Pricing Period" and the second trading day immediately preceding the Expiration Date as the "Pricing Date"). We will announce the final exchange ratios (both for those trust preferred securities tendered before the Early Tender Premium Deadline and for those tendered after that deadline) by 9:00 a.m., Eastern Time, on the next trading day following the Pricing Date (the "Announcement Date"). Depending on the trading price of our common stock on the settlement date of an exchange offer compared to the price established by this procedure, the market value of the common stock we issue in exchange for each trust preferred security we accept for exchange may be less than, equal to, or greater than the applicable Exchange Value or Total Exchange Value referred to in the table below.

The table below sets forth certain information regarding the series of trust preferred securities that are the subject of the exchange offers. **You will be eligible to receive a number of shares of common stock with the Total**

Exchange Value set forth in the table below only if you validly tender your trust preferred securities on or prior to the Early Tender Premium Deadline and do not subsequently withdraw such trust preferred securities, subject to our completion of the applicable exchange offer pursuant to the terms described in this prospectus and the related letter of transmittal.

CUSIP	Title of Securities	Issuer	Liquidation			Early Tender	Total	Maximum No. of Common Shares Issuable
			Aggregate	Amount per	Exchange	Premium	Exchange	
			Liquidation Amount	Trust Preferred Security	Value	Value	Value	
			Outstanding		(per Liquidation Amount)			
44921B 20 8	8.25% Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities	IBC Capital Finance II	\$50,600,000	\$ 25	\$ 21.40	\$ 1.25	\$ 22.65	101,200,000
44921N AA 1	Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities	IBC Capital Finance III	\$12,000,000	\$ 1,000	\$811.11	\$ 50.00	\$861.11	24,000,000
44921T AA 8	Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities	IBC Capital Finance IV	\$20,000,000	\$ 1,000	\$814.54	\$ 50.00	\$864.54	40,000,000
N/A	Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities	Midwest Guaranty Trust I	\$ 7,500,000	\$ 1,000	\$821.26	\$ 50.00	\$871.26	15,000,000

We encourage you to read and carefully consider this prospectus in its entirety, in particular the risk factors beginning on page 16, for a discussion of factors that you should consider with respect to these offers.

The shares of common stock offered in the exchange offers are not savings accounts, deposits, or other obligations of any of our bank or non-bank subsidiaries and are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other governmental agency.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), any state securities commission, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, nor any other regulatory body has approved or disapproved of the exchange offers or of the securities to be issued in the exchange offers or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

Our obligation to complete the exchange offers is subject to a number of conditions that must be satisfied or, if permissible under applicable law, waived by us prior to the Expiration Date. Our obligation to complete the exchange offers is not subject to any minimum tender condition.

Our common stock is listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market ("Nasdaq GSM") under the symbol "IBCP". As of April 14, 2010, the closing sale price for our common stock on the Nasdaq GSM was \$0.715 per share. We currently expect that the shares of common stock to be issued in this exchange offer will be approved for listing on the Nasdaq GSM. However, our common stock may be delisted from the Nasdaq GSM in the near future. Please see "Market Price, Dividend, and Distribution Information" on page 126 for more information.

None of IBC, the trustees of IBC Capital Finance II, IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance IV, or Midwest Guaranty Trust I, the Dealer Manager, the Exchange Agent, the Information Agent, or any other person is making any recommendation as to whether you should tender all or any portion of your trust preferred securities. You must make your own decision after reading this prospectus and consulting with your advisors, if necessary.

The date of this prospectus is April 15, 2010.

Dealer Manager

Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Inc.

501 N. Broadway

St. Louis, MO 63102

Tel: (314) 342-4054

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Where You Can Find More Information	2
Questions and Answers About the Exchange Offers	3
Summary	8
Risk Factors	16
Forward-Looking Statements	27
Non-GAAP Financial Measures	28
Selected Financial Data	30
Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information	31
Use of Proceeds	41
Capitalization	41
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	44
Business	76
Management	96
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	109
Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions	109
The Exchange Offers	110
Dividend Policy	124
Market Price, Dividend, and Distribution Information	125
Description of Capital Stock	128
Comparison of Rights Between the Trust Preferred Securities and Our Common Stock	131
Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences	133
Benefit Plan Investor Considerations	138
Validity of Common Shares	139
Experts	139
Index to Audited Consolidated Financial Statements	F-1

IMPORTANT

All of the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II, IBC Capital Finance III, and IBC Capital Finance IV were issued in book-entry form and are currently represented by one or more global certificates held for the account of The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"). You may tender any of these trust preferred securities by transferring them through DTC's Automated Tender Offer Program ("ATOP") or by following the other procedures described under "The Exchange Offers Procedures for Tendering" on page 117 below. The trust preferred securities issued by Midwest Guaranty Trust I were issued in physical certificate form and must be tendered by contacting D.F. King & Co., Inc., as exchange agent for the exchange offers (the "Exchange Agent"), at the phone numbers shown on the back cover page of this prospectus.

We are not providing for guaranteed delivery procedures and therefore you must allow sufficient time for the necessary tender procedures to be completed during normal business hours of DTC on or prior to the Expiration Date of the exchange offers. If you hold your trust preferred securities through a broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company, or other nominee, you should consider that such entity may require you to take action with respect to the exchange offers a number of days before the Expiration Date in order for such entity to tender trust preferred securities on your behalf on or prior to the Expiration Date. Tenders not received by the Exchange Agent on or prior to the Expiration Date will be disregarded and of no effect.

Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references to "we," "us," "our," or similar references mean Independent Bank Corporation and its direct and indirect subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with information that is different. You should assume that the information contained in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date set forth above. We are not making an offer of these securities in any jurisdiction where such offer is not permitted.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

This prospectus, which forms a part of a registration statement filed with the SEC, does not contain all of the information set forth in the registration statement. For further information with respect to us and the securities to be exchanged, reference is made to the registration statement.

We file annual, quarterly, and current reports, proxy statements, and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy any document we file at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You can also request copies of the documents, upon payment of a duplicating fee, by writing the Public Reference Section of the SEC. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference room. These SEC filings are also available to the public from the SEC's web site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

The Exchange Agent for the exchange offers is:

D.F. King & Co., Inc.

By Facsimile (Eligible Institutions Only)

(212) 809-8838

(provide call back telephone number on fax cover sheet for confirmation)

Confirmation: (212) 493-6996

By Mail, Overnight Courier or Hand Delivery

D.F. King & Co., Inc.

48 Wall Street, 22nd Floor

New York, New York 10005

Attn: Elton Bagley

Questions and requests for assistance related to the exchange offers or additional copies of this prospectus or the related letter of transmittal may be directed to the Information Agent at its address or telephone numbers set forth below. You may also contact your broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee for assistance concerning the exchange offers.

The Information Agent for the exchange offers is:

D.F. King & Co., Inc.

48 Wall Street, 22nd Floor

New York, New York 10005

Banks and Brokers call: (212) 269-5550 (Collect)

All others call Toll-free: (800) 431-9643

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE EXCHANGE OFFERS

The following are certain questions regarding the exchange offers that you may have as a holder of trust preferred securities and the answers to those questions. To fully understand the exchange offers and the considerations that may be important to your decision whether to participate, you should carefully read this prospectus in its entirety, including the section entitled "Risk Factors" beginning on page 16 below.

What are the exchange offers?

We are offering to exchange, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in this prospectus and in the related letter of transmittal, up to 180,200,000 newly issued shares of our common stock for properly tendered and accepted trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (Nasdaq: IBCPO), IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance IV, and Midwest Guaranty Trust I.

What is the purpose of the exchange offers?

The exchange offers are a part of a more comprehensive Capital Restoration Plan that has been adopted by our Board of Directors (the "Capital Plan") with the primary objective of increasing our capital and meeting certain minimum capital ratios established by our Board. Due to recent events affecting the national economy and the Michigan economy in particular, we believe additional equity capital is necessary to maintain and strengthen our capital base as the effects of these events impact our business over the coming months and years. Although the regulatory capital ratios of our subsidiary bank remain at levels above federal regulatory "well capitalized" standards, because of the losses we have incurred in recent quarters, our elevated levels of non-performing loans and other real estate, the ongoing economic stress in Michigan, and anticipated losses for the foreseeable future, we believe increasing our capital is very important to our future success.

You can find more detail regarding our Capital Plan under "The Exchange Offers - Capital Plan" beginning on page 112 below. In short, our Capital Plan contemplates three primary initiatives intended to strengthen our capital structure:

1. The conversion of our shares of Series A Preferred Stock held by the United States Department of the Treasury (the "Treasury") into shares of our common stock. As discussed under "The Exchange Offers - Exchange Offer with the U.S. Treasury" below, the Treasury has agreed to this conversion provided we achieve a certain level of success with the other two initiatives described below;
2. The exchange offers described in this prospectus, in which we seek to exchange outstanding trust preferred securities for shares of our common stock; and

3. A public offering of our common stock for cash in which we currently intend to seek to raise up to \$150 million of new equity capital.

How important are these exchange offers to the success of the Capital Plan?

Our ability to successfully complete the three initiatives described above and achieve the objectives of our Capital Plan largely depends on the success of the exchange offers described in this prospectus. We believe a high rate of participation in these exchange offers is critical to successfully implement our Capital Plan.

More specifically, as described under "Exchange Offers – Importance of the Exchange Offers" below, we believe we need to exchange a minimum of \$40 million in aggregate Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities for common stock in these exchange offers in order for us to be able to achieve the objectives of our Capital Plan. Because of our expectations regarding the likelihood that holders of approximately 44% of the trust preferred securities will not participate (see "Risk Factors" below), the holders of at least \$40 million aggregate Liquidation Amount of the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (Nasdaq: IBCPO), or almost 80% of the aggregate \$50.6 million Liquidation Amount outstanding, may need to participate in the exchange offer in order for us to meet certain conditions in our agreement with the Treasury. If we do not get this level of participation, the Treasury may determine in its sole discretion to waive this condition, but we have no reason to believe that Treasury would be willing to do so.

We encourage you to read "The Exchange Offers – Importance of the Exchange Offers" below for more details on the importance of these exchange offers. In addition, you should carefully read "Risk Factors" below.

What are the key terms of the exchange offers?

We are offering to exchange up to 180,200,000 newly issued shares of our common stock for the outstanding trust preferred securities referenced in the table below on the terms set forth in such table, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this prospectus and in the related letter of transmittal.

CUSIP	Title of Securities	Issuer	Aggregate Amount Outstanding	Liquidation Amount per Trust Preferred Security	Exchange Value	Early Tender Premium Value	Total Exchange Value	Maximum
								No. of Common Shares Issuable
44921B 20 8	8.25% Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities	IBC Capital Finance II	50,000,000	\$ 25	\$ 21.40	\$ 1.25	\$ 22.65	101,200,000
44921N AA 1	Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities	IBC Capital Finance III	12,000,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 811.11	\$ 50.00	\$ 861.11	24,000,000
44921T AA 8	Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities	IBC Capital Finance IV	20,000,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 814.54	\$ 50.00	\$ 864.54	40,000,000
N/A	Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities	Midwest Guaranty Trust I	7,500,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 821.26	\$ 50.00	\$ 871.26	15,000,000

What consideration is being offered in exchange for the trust preferred securities?

We are offering to issue shares of our common stock in exchange for the trust preferred securities. The number of shares of our common stock you would be eligible to receive is explained in the next paragraph.

We refer to the liquidation amount of each of the trust preferred securities, as shown in the table above, as the "Liquidation Amount." The Liquidation Amount of each trust preferred security issued by IBC Capital Finance II is \$25. The Liquidation Amount of each trust preferred security issued by IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance

IV, and Midwest Guaranty Trust I is \$1,000. For each Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities that we accept for exchange in accordance with the terms of the exchange offers, we will issue a number of shares of our common stock having an aggregate dollar value (based on the Relevant Price, as described below) equal to the applicable Exchange Value set forth in the table above or, in the case of trust preferred securities tendered on or prior to the Early Tender Premium Deadline, having an aggregate dollar value (based on the Relevant Price) equal to the sum of the applicable Exchange Value plus the applicable Early Tender Premium Value set forth in the table above (such sum, the "Total Exchange Value"). We refer to the number of shares of our common stock we will issue for each Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities we accept in the exchange offers as the "exchange ratio." We will round each exchange ratio down to four decimal places.

The "Relevant Price" is equal to the average volume weighted average price per share, or "Average VWAP," of our stock for the five consecutive trading day period ending on and including the second trading day immediately preceding the Expiration Date, as it may be extended (we refer to such five-day period as the "Pricing Period" and the second trading day immediately preceding the Expiration Date as the "Pricing Date").

Depending on the trading price of our common stock on the settlement date for the exchange offers compared to the Relevant Price described above, the market value of the shares of common stock we issue in exchange for each Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities we accept for exchange may be less than, equal to, or greater than the applicable Exchange Value or Total Exchange Value.

How did you determine the amount of consideration being offered?

The Exchange Value represents 80% of the applicable Liquidation Amount of the trust preferred securities, plus 100% of the value of all accrued and unpaid dividends on such Liquidation Amount through May 31, 2010. We decided to calculate accrued dividends through May 31, 2010 based on our approximate expected completion of the exchange offers. Although the Expiration Date (which may be extended) is June 1, 2010, the Exchange Values will not be increased or otherwise change as a result of the exchange offers closing after the May 31, 2010 calculation date.

We established the Exchange Values being offered in consideration for the trust preferred securities by taking into account multiple considerations, including the fact that accrued and unpaid dividends will not be paid in cash to holders of the trust preferred securities who participate in the exchange offers. We also reviewed comparable transactions that have recently been completed. Most importantly, we have set the Exchange Values at a level we believe will solicit a high level of participation from the holders of our trust preferred securities, given the importance of the exchange offers to the success of our Capital Plan (as discussed under "Risk Factors" and "The Exchange Offers-Capital Plan" below). We believe achieving the goals of our Capital Plan is in the best interests of our shareholders.

The Early Tender Premium Value represents an additional 5% of the applicable Liquidation Amount of the trust preferred securities. We determined to offer this Early Tender Premium Value to holders of trust preferred securities who tender their trust preferred securities prior to the Early Tender Premium Deadline in order to encourage early participation in the exchange offers, which we hope will have a beneficial effect on the overall level of participation in the exchange offers.

How may I obtain information regarding the Relevant Price and applicable exchange ratio?

Throughout the exchange offers, the indicative Average VWAP, the resulting indicative Relevant Price, and the indicative exchange ratios will be available at www.independentbank.com/exchangeoffers and from our information agent, D.F. King & Co., Inc. (the "Information Agent") at one of its telephone numbers listed on the back cover page of this prospectus. We will announce the final exchange ratios (both for those trust preferred securities tendered before the Early Tender Premium Deadline and for those tendered after that deadline) by 9:00 a.m., Eastern Time, on the next trading day following the Pricing Date (the "Announcement Date"), and those final exchange ratios will also be available by that time at www.independentbank.com/exchangeoffers and from the Information Agent.

Will all trust preferred securities that I tender be accepted in the exchange offer?

We believe so. We believe we will be able to accept for exchange all trust preferred securities that are tendered in the exchange offers, but it is possible we may not be able to do so. The maximum number of shares of our common stock we will issue in exchange for each class of trust preferred securities is shown in the table above. Depending on the amount of trust preferred securities tendered in the exchange offers and the final exchange ratios, we may have to prorate the trust preferred securities that we accept in the exchange offers to remain within these limits. Any trust preferred securities not accepted for exchange as a result of proration will be returned to tendering holders promptly after the final proration factor is determined. See "The Exchange Offers Terms of the Exchange Offers Proration" for more details.

When are you going to resume making quarterly distributions on the trust preferred securities?

Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2009, we suspended all quarterly dividend payments on our outstanding trust preferred securities. If you participate in the exchange offers, you will be giving up your right to all distribution payments on the trust preferred securities you tender, including any distributions that have accrued but not been paid as a result of our recent suspension of quarterly payments.

We do not know if or when we will resume making payments on our trust preferred securities. For the reasons described in this prospectus, we do not anticipate resuming payments in the foreseeable future.

What happens to tendered securities that are not accepted for exchange?

If your tendered securities are not accepted for exchange for any reason pursuant to the terms and conditions of the exchange offers, such securities will be returned without expense to you or, in the case of securities tendered by book-entry transfer, such securities will be credited to an account maintained at DTC designated by the participant who delivered such securities, in each case, promptly following the Expiration Date or the termination of the exchange offers.

Will fractional shares be issued in the exchange offers?

No. We will not issue fractional shares of our common stock in the exchange offers. Instead, the number of shares of our common stock received by each registered holder whose trust preferred securities are accepted for exchange in the exchange offers will be rounded down to the nearest whole number.

Are the exchange offers subject to any minimum tender or other conditions?

Our obligation to exchange shares of our common stock for trust preferred securities tendered in the exchange offers is not subject to any minimum tender condition. In other words, we currently intend to complete and close the exchange offers regardless of the number of trust preferred securities tendered for exchange.

However, our obligation to exchange shares of our common stock for trust preferred securities tendered in the exchange offers is subject to a number of conditions that must be satisfied or, if permissible under applicable law, waived by us on or prior to the Expiration Date, including, among others, that there must not have been any change or development that in our reasonable judgment may materially reduce the anticipated benefits to us of the exchange offers or that has had, or could reasonably be expected to have, a material adverse effect on us, our business, condition (financial or otherwise), or prospects. See "Conditions of the Exchange Offers" on page 115 below for other conditions that apply.

How do I participate in the exchange offers?

You may tender your trust preferred securities by transferring the trust preferred securities through ATOP or following the other procedures described under "Procedures for Tendering" on page 117 below. Contact the Information Agent at the phone number on the back cover of this prospectus if you have any questions.

How do I participate if my trust preferred securities are held of record by a broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company, or other nominee?

If you wish to tender your trust preferred securities and they are held of record by a broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company, or other nominee, you should contact such entity promptly and instruct it to tender the trust preferred securities on your behalf. In some cases, the nominee may request submission of such instructions on a beneficial owner's instruction form. Please check with your nominee to determine the procedures for such form.

You are urged to instruct your broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company, or other nominee at least five business days prior to the Expiration Date in order to allow adequate processing time for your instruction.

In order to validly tender your trust preferred securities in the exchange offers, you or your broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company, or other nominee must follow the procedures described under "Procedures for Tendering" on page 117 below.

We are not providing for guaranteed delivery procedures and therefore you must allow sufficient time for the necessary tender procedures to be completed during normal business hours of DTC on or prior to the Expiration Date. If you hold your trust preferred securities through a broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee, you should consider that such entity may require you to take action with respect to the exchange offers a number of days before the Expiration Date in order for such entity to tender trust preferred securities on your behalf on or prior to the Expiration Date. Tenders not received by the Exchange Agent on or prior to the Expiration Date will be disregarded and of no effect.

May I tender only a portion of the trust preferred securities that I hold?

Yes. You do not have to tender all of your trust preferred securities to participate in the exchange offers.

Is IBC or anyone else making a recommendation regarding whether I should tender in the exchange offers?

No. Neither we, any trustee of our trust subsidiaries, the Dealer Manager, the Exchange Agent, the Information Agent, nor anyone else is making any recommendation regarding whether you should tender all or a portion of your

trust preferred securities in the exchange offers. Accordingly, you must make your own determination as to whether to tender your trust preferred securities in the exchange offers and, if so, the number of trust preferred securities to tender. Before making your decision, we urge you to carefully read this prospectus in its entirety, including the information set forth in the section of this prospectus entitled "Risk Factors."

When do the exchange offers expire?

The exchange offers will expire at 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on June 1, 2010, unless extended or earlier terminated by us. We refer to such time and date, as it may be extended, as the "Expiration Date." The Early Tender Premium Deadline (the date by which you must tender in order to be eligible to receive the applicable Early Tender Premium Value per Liquidation Amount listed on the cover page of this prospectus) is 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time, May 17, 2010, unless we extend it.

Under what circumstances can the exchange offers be extended, amended, or terminated?

We do not currently intend to extend or amend the exchange offers. However, we reserve the right to extend any one or more of the exchange offers for any reason or no reason at all. We also reserve the right, at any time or from time to time, to amend the terms of any one or more of the exchange offers in any respect prior to the Expiration Date. We also reserve the right to terminate any one or more of the exchange offers at any time prior to the Expiration Date if any of the conditions to our completion of the exchange offers is not satisfied. If any of the exchange offers are terminated, no trust preferred securities for that exchange offer will be accepted for exchange and any trust preferred securities that have been tendered for that exchange offer will be returned to the holder promptly after the termination. For more information regarding our right to extend, amend, or terminate the exchange offers, see "Expiration Date; Extension; Termination; Amendment" on page 116 below.

How will the Average VWAP be affected by an extension of the exchange offers?

Even if we extend the exchange offers, the Average VWAP will still be determined using the arithmetic average of the daily per-share volume weighted average price of our common stock for each of the five consecutive trading days ending on and including the Pricing Date, which is currently expected to be May 27, 2010 (subject to revision if the Expiration Date is extended). For information about the fluctuations in the share price of our common stock, see below under "Risk Factors."

How will I be notified if any exchange offer is extended, amended, or terminated?

If any one or more of the exchange offers is extended, amended, or terminated, we will issue a timely public announcement. For more information regarding notification of extensions, amendments, or the termination of the exchange offers, see "Expiration Date; Extension; Termination; Amendment" on page 116 below.

May I withdraw trust preferred securities that I tender in the exchange offers?

You may withdraw any trust preferred securities that you tender at any time prior to the Expiration Date. You may withdraw any trust preferred securities in accordance with the terms of the exchange offers by following the procedures described under the caption "Withdrawal of Tenders" on page 120 below.

With whom may I speak if I have questions about the exchange offers?

If you have questions regarding the procedures for tendering your trust preferred securities in the exchange offers, require additional materials, or require assistance in tendering your trust preferred securities, please contact D.F. King & Co., Inc., our Information Agent for the exchange offers. You can call the Information Agent at one of its phone numbers listed on the back cover page of this prospectus. You may also write to the Information Agent at the address set forth on the back cover page of this prospectus.

SUMMARY

This summary highlights the material information contained in this prospectus to help you understand our business and the exchange offers. It does not contain all of the information that may be important to you. You should carefully read this prospectus to understand fully the terms of the exchange offers, as well as the other considerations that are important to you in making your investment decision. You should pay special attention to the "Risk Factors" beginning on page 16.

About Independent Bank Corporation

Independent Bank Corporation, headquartered in Ionia, Michigan, is a regional bank holding company providing commercial banking services in Michigan. We offer a wide range of banking products and services, including transaction and savings deposits, commercial, consumer and real estate loans, mortgage origination services, and retail brokerage services. We serve individuals, small to medium-sized businesses, community organizations, and public entities.

Our wholly-owned banking subsidiary, Independent Bank, has banking offices located throughout Michigan, and the offices are primarily located in or near the Grand Rapids, Battle Creek, Lansing, Detroit, Bay City, and Saginaw metropolitan areas. In total, Independent Bank serves its markets through its main office and a total of 105 branches, 4 drive-thru facilities, and 5 loan production offices.

Our bank's activities cover all phases of commercial banking, including checking and savings accounts, commercial lending, direct and indirect consumer financing, mortgage lending, and safe deposit box services. Our bank's mortgage lending activities are primarily conducted through a separate mortgage bank subsidiary. In addition, Mepco Finance Corporation ("Mepco"), a subsidiary of our bank, acquires (on a full recourse basis) and services payment plans used by consumers to purchase vehicle service contracts and similar products provided and administered by third parties. We also offer title insurance services through a separate subsidiary of our bank and investment and insurance services through a third party agreement with PrimeVest Financial Services, Inc. Our bank does not offer trust services. Our principal markets are the rural and suburban communities across lower Michigan that are served by our bank's branch network.

Our principal executive offices are located at 230 West Main Street, Ionia, Michigan 48846, and our telephone number at that address is (616) 527-9450.

About the Trusts

Each of IBC Capital Finance II, IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance IV, and Midwest Guaranty Trust I (each one a "Trust," and collectively, the "Trusts") is a Delaware statutory trust. We are the sole holder of all the common securities of each of these Trusts. The sole asset and only source of funds to make payments on the trust preferred securities issued by each Trust are the junior subordinated debentures we issued to each Trust (the "Underlying Debentures"). To the extent that a Trust receives interest payments from us on the Underlying Debentures it holds, it is obligated to distribute those amounts to the holders of trust preferred securities of such Trust in the form of quarterly distributions. We have provided holders of the trust preferred securities of the Trusts a guarantee in support of each of the Trusts' obligation to make distributions on its trust preferred securities, but only to the extent such Trust otherwise has funds available for distribution.

We have currently suspended quarterly distributions on the trust preferred securities of the Trusts. We are unsure when distributions will resume. We have no plans to resume distributions in the foreseeable future.

Background to the Exchange Offers

Our subsidiary bank began to experience rising levels of non-performing loans and higher provisions for loan losses in 2006. The bank remained profitable through the second quarter of 2008. However, since the third quarter of 2008, the bank has incurred six consecutive quarterly losses, which have pressured its capital ratios. Although our bank still remains well-capitalized under federal regulatory guidelines, we project that, due to our past losses, continuing economic stress in Michigan, elevated levels of non-performing assets, and anticipated losses for the foreseeable future, an increase in equity capital is likely necessary in order for our bank to remain well-capitalized. Therefore, our Board recently adopted a Capital Restoration Plan (the "Capital Plan"). The Capital Plan documents our objectives for increasing the bank's capital ratios and the various methods to be employed to reach those objectives. The Capital Plan is described in more detail under "The Exchange Offers - Capital Plan" below.

The three primary initiatives of our Capital Plan are as follows:

The conversion of our shares of Series A Preferred Stock held by the United States Department of the Treasury (the "Treasury") into shares of our common stock. As discussed under "The Exchange Offers - Exchange Offer With the U.S. Treasury" below, the Treasury has agreed to this conversion provided we achieve a certain level of success with the other two initiatives described below;

An offer to exchange shares of our common stock for our outstanding trust preferred securities, as described in this prospectus; and

A public offering of our common stock for cash in which we currently intend to seek to raise up to \$150 million of new equity capital.

The first two initiatives described above do not result in any cash proceeds to us, although, if completed, they will allow us to reduce our future interest expense. It is the third initiative described above, a public offering of our common stock, that would result in cash proceeds to us. We would anticipate contributing all or substantially all of the net proceeds from such stock offering to our subsidiary bank. This contribution of new capital to the bank is what would increase the capital ratios of the bank in accordance with the objectives of our Capital Plan.

Although the first two initiatives do not directly affect the capital ratios of our subsidiary bank, we believe they are critical steps to our ability to successfully sell our common stock in a public offering. The conversion of the preferred stock held by the Treasury and the trust preferred securities into common stock would result in a reduction in our obligation to make quarterly distributions and an increase to the tangible common equity (TCE) of Independent Bank Corporation. We believe these effects will increase the likelihood that investors will purchase shares of our common stock for cash in a public offering. The magnitude of such effects will depend on the amount of trust preferred securities validly tendered and accepted for exchange in the exchange offers. We believe the more trust preferred securities tendered for exchange in these exchange offers, the better our opportunities will be to successfully raise new equity capital through a sale of our common stock. In addition, the Treasury has conditioned its willingness to convert the Series A Preferred Stock it holds into shares of our common stock on our ability to (1) issue common stock in the exchange offers described in this prospectus for at least \$40 million in aggregate Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities, and (2) raise at least \$100 million of proceeds from a sale of our common stock.

As described under "Risk Factors" below, we expect that holders of approximately 44% of the total outstanding trust preferred securities will not participate in these exchange offers. As a result, holders of at least \$40 million aggregate Liquidation Amount of the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (Nasdaq: IBCPO), or almost 80% of the aggregate \$50.6 million Liquidation Amount outstanding, may need to participate in the exchange offer in order for us to meet certain conditions in our agreement with the Treasury. If we do not get this level of participation, the Treasury may determine in its sole discretion to waive this condition, but we have no reason to believe that Treasury would be willing to do so. Please see "The Exchange Offers" below for more details.

In addition, we currently project that, after the completion of the exchange offers described in this prospectus, a public offering of our common stock for cash, and the issuance of common stock to the Treasury in exchange for the preferred stock it holds (if all of such transactions were to occur and assuming we were to issue the maximum 180.2 million shares of common stock in these exchange offers and the maximum 144 million shares of common stock to the Treasury), our current shareholders would most likely own less than 5% of our outstanding common stock.

Recent Developments

The following is a very summary description of recent developments that should be considered in assessing our financial condition and the prospects for our future operating results. We encourage you to review this entire prospectus, including the "Risk Factors" and "The Exchange Offers" sections below for more information.

Holding Company Resolutions. In December of 2009, our Board of Directors adopted resolutions that prohibit us from, among other things, paying any dividends on our common stock, our preferred stock, or our trust preferred securities without, in each case, the approval of our federal and state banking regulators.

Bank Resolutions. In December of 2009, the Board of Directors of our subsidiary bank adopted resolutions designed to enhance certain aspects of our operations, performance, and financial condition. Most importantly, these resolutions require our bank to achieve and thereafter maintain a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to average assets of 8% and a minimum ratio of total risk based capital to risk weighted assets of 11%. As of December 31, 2009, our bank had a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6.72% and a total risk based capital ratio of 10.36%. These resolutions were adopted in conjunction with discussions with our federal and state regulators and in response to issues highlighted in the most recent exam report issued by the Federal Reserve Bank, our bank's primary federal regulator. We may not rescind or materially modify any of these resolutions without notice to the federal and state banking regulators.

Deferral of Quarterly Distributions. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2009, we exercised our right to defer all quarterly distributions on our outstanding trust preferred securities and on all shares of preferred stock issued to the Treasury pursuant to the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP). We have also ceased any cash dividends on our common stock.

Special Shareholder Meeting. On January 29, 2010, we held a special shareholder meeting in Ionia, Michigan, where our shareholders approved the following three proposals: (1) approval of an amendment to our Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of shares of common stock we are authorized to issue from 60 million to 500 million, which we expect will allow us to raise the additional equity capital necessary to comply with the Board resolutions described above; (2) approval to implement the exchange offers described in this prospectus for the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance IV, and Midwest Guaranty Trust I and the issuance of our common stock in exchange for the outstanding shares of our preferred stock held by the Treasury; and (3) approval to implement an underwater option exchange program pursuant to which we will offer our current employees who hold eligible options (excluding our "named executive officers" and excluding our directors) to surrender such options in a value-for-value exchange for new options to purchase our common stock, which program is intended to motivate and retain key employees and to reinforce the alignment of our employees' interests with those of our shareholders. More details regarding these proposals can be found in the definitive proxy statement we filed with the SEC on December 18, 2009.

Adoption of Capital Plan. As required by the Board resolutions adopted by our bank in December described above, we adopted a comprehensive Capital Restoration Plan in January 2010. The primary objective of our Capital Plan is to achieve the minimum capital ratios imposed by our Board of Directors in the resolutions adopted in December. The Capital Plan outlines three primary capital raising initiatives designed to improve our capital position and achieve these minimum capital ratios. These three capital initiatives are described above.

Exchange Offers Critical to Capital Plan. We expect that if we are unable to raise additional capital by or within a reasonable time after June 30, 2010, our bank's capital levels will likely fall below those necessary to remain "well-capitalized" under federal regulatory standards. In that case, we also expect that our federal and state banking regulators would impose additional regulatory restrictions and requirements on us through a regulatory enforcement action. These consequences would likely materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. We view the exchange offers described in this prospectus as critical to our ability to successfully implement our Capital Plan.

Bankruptcy Filing by Significant Counterparty. In March of 2010, a counterparty to which Mepco has significant exposure filed for federal bankruptcy protection. In 2009, Mepco recorded an aggregate \$19.0 million expense to establish a reserve for losses related to this counterparty. In calculating the amount of such reserve, we took into account the significant likelihood that the counterparty would file for bankruptcy protection. As a result, we currently do not expect to increase the amount of our reserve as a result of the bankruptcy filing. However, in connection with the bankruptcy filing, Mepco committed to provide financing to the counterparty of up to an aggregate of approximately \$3 million. This was done as part of Mepco's overall efforts to minimize the loss associated with this counterparty. We believe the orderly wind-down of the counterparty's business is critical as it allows the counterparty to continue providing customer service to consumers who purchased vehicle service contracts from the counterparty. See "Risk Factors" below for more information.

Option Exchange Program. On March 1, 2010, the Company commenced an offer to exchange certain underwater stock options held by our employees (excluding our "named executive officers" and excluding our directors), which was approved by our shareholders at the January 29, 2010 special meeting mentioned above. The stock option exchange expired at 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on March 29, 2010. Upon completion of the stock option exchange, the Company accepted for cancellation and cancelled eligible options covering 547,138 shares. Subject to the terms and conditions of the offer to exchange, the Company issued 99,855 new options in exchange for the eligible options surrendered in the stock option exchange. The exercise price of the new options is \$0.70, which was the closing price of the Company's common stock on March 30, 2010, as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market. As of March 31, 2010, the Company has 633,461 shares subject to outstanding options under the Company's equity compensation plans, and 922,591 shares remaining available for future issuance under such plans.

Exchange Agreement with the Treasury. On April 2, 2010, we entered into an Exchange Agreement (the "Exchange Agreement") with the Treasury, pursuant to which the Treasury agreed to accept, subject to certain conditions, our newly issued shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock in exchange for the entire \$72 million in aggregate liquidation value of the shares of Series A Preferred Stock we issued to the Treasury under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP) of the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP), plus the value of all accrued and unpaid dividends on such shares of Series A Preferred Stock. If and when issued, the shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock are convertible into shares of our common stock. Subject to the satisfaction or waiver of certain closing conditions, we expect to complete the exchange of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock for our Series A Preferred Stock pursuant to the terms of the Exchange Agreement within the next 30 days. Once the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock is issued, subject to the receipt of any applicable approvals, the Treasury will have the right to convert the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into our common stock at any time. We will be able to compel a conversion of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into our common stock if the following conditions are met:

- i. we receive appropriate approvals from the Federal Reserve;
- ii. at least \$40 million aggregate Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities are exchanged for our common stock under the exchange offers described in this prospectus;
- iii. we complete a new cash equity raise of not less than \$100 million on terms acceptable to the Treasury in its sole discretion (other than with respect to the price offered per share); and
- iv. we make any required anti-dilution adjustments to the rate at which the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock is converted into our common stock.

If we are able to complete these conditions, the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock issued to the Treasury will convert into shares of our common stock at a 25% discount from the \$1,000 liquidation value, subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments.

Unless earlier converted, the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock will convert into shares of our common stock on the seventh anniversary of the issuance of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, subject to the prior receipt of any required regulatory and shareholder approvals. See "Description of Capital Stock" and "Risk Factors" below for more information.

Amended and Restated Warrant. As part of the terms of the Exchange Agreement, we also agreed to amend and restate the terms of the Warrant, dated December 12, 2008, issued to the Treasury to purchase 3,461,538 shares of our common stock. The Amended and Restated Warrant we will issue upon the closing of the Exchange Agreement will adjust the exercise price of the Warrant to be consistent with the conversion price applicable to the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock described above.

First Quarter of 2010. We currently expect to incur a loss during the first quarter of 2010, causing a further decline in shareholders' equity. Our tangible book value per share was \$1.27 at December 31, 2009. Despite the first quarter loss, due primarily to a decline in risk-based assets, we expect our subsidiary bank will continue to meet the requirements to be considered well capitalized under federal regulatory standards as of March 31, 2010. Our total assets will likely decline slightly from year-end 2009 levels as we have experienced a decline in total loans that is partially offset by an increase in short-term investments. We expect our asset quality trends to be stable or show slight improvement from 2009 year end levels. Our non-performing loans are expected to decline, but such decline is expected to be partially offset by an increase in other real estate (ORE) and repossessed assets. We believe the first quarter 2010 net loss will be at least \$15 million, or \$0.62 per share; however, the accounting processes for the first quarter 2010 have not yet been completed and we are unable to determine the size of the loss with any degree of certainty at this time. In particular, we are still evaluating the allowance and provision for loan losses and the reserve for vehicle service contract payment plan counterparty contingencies. The expected first quarter loss is driven primarily by a decline in net interest income, losses on ORE, high loan and collection costs, vehicle service contract payment plan counterparty contingency expenses and the provision for loan losses, which remains elevated, although it is expected to decline from the provision taken in the fourth quarter of 2009. Actual results are expected to be released in early- to mid-May in connection with the filing of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the first quarter of 2010. The release of actual results for the first quarter 2010 will occur prior to the Early Tender Premium Deadline.

More detail regarding some of these matters is set forth under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "The Exchange Offers" below.

Summary of the Terms of the Exchange Offers

The following summary is provided solely for the convenience of holders of the trust preferred securities. This summary is not intended to be complete and should be read in conjunction with the information appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. Holders of trust preferred securities are urged to read this prospectus in its entirety.

Terms of the Exchange Offers

Upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in this prospectus and the related letter of transmittal, we are offering to exchange up to 180,200,000 shares of our common stock for up to all of our outstanding trust preferred securities validly tendered and not properly withdrawn prior to the Expiration Date.

For each Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities we accept for exchange in accordance with the terms of the exchange offers, we will issue a number of shares of our common stock having a value (based on the Relevant Price) equal to the applicable Exchange Value plus, if the trust preferred securities have been tendered prior to the Early Tender Premium Deadline referred to below, the applicable Early Tender Premium Value. The number of shares of our common stock that we will issue for each Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities we accept in the exchange offers which we call the "exchange ratio" for that exchange offer will be an amount (rounded down to four decimals) equal to (i) the value calculated pursuant to the preceding sentence divided by (ii) the Relevant Price. The "Relevant Price" is equal to the Average VWAP of our common stock for the five consecutive trading day period ending on and including the second trading day immediately preceding the Expiration Date, as it may be extended (we refer to such five-day period as the "Pricing Period" and the second trading day immediately preceding the Expiration Date as the "Pricing Date").

We will accept properly tendered trust preferred securities for exchange at the applicable exchange ratio determined as described above, on the terms and subject to the conditions of the exchange offers. We will return any trust preferred securities that are not accepted for exchange promptly following the Expiration Date of the exchange offer or, in the event of termination of the exchange offer, promptly after such termination.

Depending on the trading price of our common stock on the settlement date for the exchange offers compared to the Relevant Price described above, the market value of the common stock we issue in exchange for each Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities we accept for exchange may be less than, equal to, or greater than the applicable Exchange Value or Total Exchange Value, as applicable, listed in the table on the cover page of this prospectus.

Early Tender Premium Deadline

In order to be eligible to receive the applicable Early Tender Premium Value listed on the cover page of this prospectus for each Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities you tender, you must validly tender your trust preferred securities (and not subsequently withdraw them) by 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on May 17, 2010. The term "Early Tender Premium Deadline" means such date and time or, if the Early Tender

Premium Deadline is extended, the latest date and time to which the Early Tender Premium Deadline is so extended.

Expiration Date and
Withdrawal Rights

The exchange offers will expire at 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on June 1, 2010 (unless we extend this deadline or earlier terminate the exchange offer). The term "Expiration Date" means such date and time or, if the exchange offers are extended, the latest date and time to which the exchange offers are so extended. You may withdraw any trust preferred securities that you tender at any time prior to the Expiration Date by following the procedures described under the caption "Withdrawal of Tenders" on page 120 below.

Publication of Exchange Ratio Information	<p>Throughout the exchange offers, the indicative Average VWAP, the resulting indicative Relevant Price, and applicable indicative exchange ratios will be available at www.independentbank.com/exchangeoffers and from the Information Agent at the phone numbers listed on the back cover page of this prospectus. We will announce the final exchange ratios for the trust preferred securities (both for those tendered before the Early Tender Premium Deadline and those tendered after that deadline) by 9:00 a.m., Eastern Time, on the Announcement Date, and such final exchange ratios will also be available by that time at www.independentbank.com/exchangeoffers and from the Information Agent.</p>
Extensions; Waivers; Amendments; Termination	<p>Subject to applicable law, we reserve the right to (i) extend the Expiration Date, (ii) waive any and all conditions to or amend the exchange offers in any respect, and (iii) terminate the exchange offers if any of the conditions to our completion of the exchange offers is not satisfied by the Expiration Date. Any extension, waiver, amendment, or termination will be publicly announced as promptly as practicable. In the case of an extension, the public announcement will be issued no later than 9:00 a.m., Eastern Time, on the next business day after the last previously scheduled Expiration Date. See "Expiration Date; Extension; Termination; Amendment" on page 116 below.</p>
Conditions to the Exchange Offers	<p>Our obligation to exchange shares of our common stock for trust preferred securities in the exchange offers is not subject to any minimum tender condition.</p> <p>Our obligation to exchange shares of our common stock for trust preferred securities in the exchange offers is subject to a number of conditions that must be satisfied or, if permissible under applicable law, waived by us, including, among others that there must not have been any change or development that in our reasonable judgment may materially reduce the anticipated benefits to us of the exchange offers or that has had, or could reasonably be expected to have, a material adverse effect on us, our business, condition (financial or otherwise), or prospects.</p>
Settlement Date	<p>The "settlement date" for the exchange offers will be a date promptly following the Expiration Date. We currently expect the settlement date to be within three business days after the Expiration Date.</p> <p>On the settlement date, for each trust preferred security validly tendered, we will issue shares of common stock representing (i) the applicable Exchange Value to be issued in consideration for such trust preferred security, plus (ii) if such security was validly tendered and not withdrawn prior to the Early Tender Premium Deadline, the applicable Early Tender Premium Value. These shares will be delivered in accordance with the procedures described under "The Exchange Offers – Acceptance of Trust Preferred Securities for Purchase; Delivery of Common Stock" below.</p>
Fractional Shares	

We will not issue fractional shares of common stock in the exchange offers. Instead, the number of shares of our common stock received by each registered holder whose trust preferred securities are accepted for exchange in the exchange offers will be rounded down to the nearest whole number.

Procedures for Tendering Trust Preferred Securities

You may tender your trust preferred securities by transferring the trust preferred securities through ATOP or by following the other procedures set forth below and described in more detail under "The Exchange Offers Procedures for Tendering." If you are tendering trust preferred securities issued by Midwest Guaranty Trust I, you must contact the Exchange Agent at the phone numbers shown on the back cover page of this prospectus.

Any beneficial owner whose trust preferred securities are held of record by a broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee and who wishes to tender trust preferred securities should contact such nominee promptly and instruct such nominee to tender trust preferred securities on such owner's behalf. In some cases, the nominee may request submission of such instructions on a beneficial owner's instruction form. Please check with your nominee to determine the procedures for such form.

You are urged to instruct your broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee at least five business days prior to the Expiration Date in order to allow adequate processing time for your instruction.

Should you have any questions as to the procedures for tendering your trust preferred securities, please call your broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee, or call our Information Agent, at its telephone number on the back cover page of this prospectus.

In order to validly tender your trust preferred securities in the exchange offers, you or your broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee must follow the procedures described under "The Exchange Offers Procedures for Tendering."

We are not providing for guaranteed delivery procedures and therefore you must allow sufficient time for the necessary tender procedures to be completed during normal business hours of DTC on or prior to the Expiration Date. Tenders not received by the Exchange Agent on or prior to the Expiration Date will be disregarded and of no effect.

United States Federal Income
Tax Considerations

Your exchange of trust preferred securities for shares of our common stock in the exchange offers will be treated as a recapitalization for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Therefore, you will not recognize any gain or loss upon consummation of the exchange offers. If you do not tender trust preferred securities in these exchange offers, you will likely be required to report "original issue discount" (OID) income as a result of our recent deferral of distributions on the trust preferred securities. This is true even though you have not received any cash distributions represented by such OID income. See "Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences below."

Consequences of Failure to
Exchange

Trust Preferred Securities

If there is not a high level of participation in the exchange offers described in this prospectus, it may be difficult or impossible for us to complete the other initiatives described in our Capital Plan and ultimately to achieve the minimum capital ratios set forth in the Capital Plan. See "Risk Factors" and "The Exchange Offers Importance of the Exchange Offers" below for more information. The Treasury has conditioned our ability to compel an early conversion of the preferred stock it holds into shares of our common stock on the exchange of a minimum of \$40 million in aggregate Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities in these exchange offers. If that minimum rate of participation is not reached and the Treasury otherwise refuses to voluntarily convert its shares of preferred stock into common stock in the near future, we believe it would be extremely difficult for us to raise enough cash proceeds in a stock offering for us to meet the objectives of our Capital Plan.

In that case, we would likely not be able to remain well-capitalized under federal regulatory standards and we would also expect our primary bank regulators to impose additional regulatory restrictions and requirements on us through a memorandum of understanding or other, more formal enforcement action. These consequences would likely have a material adverse effect on our business and the value of our securities and make it increasingly difficult for us to withstand the current economic conditions, any continued deterioration in our loan portfolio, or additional charges related to estimated potential losses for Mepco from vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies. In that case, we may be required to engage in a sale or other transaction with a third party or our subsidiary bank could be placed into receivership by bank regulators. Any such event could be expected to result in a loss of the entire value of our outstanding shares of common stock and could also result in a loss of the entire value of our outstanding trust preferred securities and preferred stock.

In addition, depending on the amount of trust preferred securities that are accepted for exchange in the exchange offers, the trading market for the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (Nasdaq: IBCPO) that remain outstanding after the exchange offers may be more limited. A reduced trading volume may decrease the price and increase the volatility of the trading price of such trust preferred securities that remain outstanding following the exchange offers.

We have currently suspended all distributions on the trust preferred securities in accordance with their terms. We are unsure when distributions will resume. We have no plans to resume distributions in the foreseeable future.

Comparison of the Rights of
Common Stock and Trust
Preferred Securities

There are material differences between the rights of a holder of our common stock and a holder of the trust preferred securities. See "Comparison of Rights Between the Trust Preferred Securities and Our Common Stock."

Market Trading

Our common stock is currently traded on the Nasdaq GSM under the symbol "IBCP". The last reported closing price of our common stock on April 14, 2010, the last trading day prior to the date of this prospectus, was \$0.715 per share. We will file an application with the Nasdaq GSM to list the common stock to be issued in the exchange offers. The trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II are listed for trading on the Nasdaq GSM under the symbol "IBCPO." The last reported closing price of these trust preferred securities on April 14, 2010, the last trading day prior to the date of this prospectus, was \$17.57 per share.

As noted above, our common stock is currently listed on the Nasdaq GSM. However, on December 21, 2009, we received a letter from The Nasdaq Stock Market notifying us that we no longer meet Nasdaq's continued listing requirements under Listing Rule 5450(a)(1) because the bid price for our common stock had closed below \$1.00 per share for 30 consecutive business days. We have until approximately June 21, 2010, to demonstrate compliance with this bid price rule by maintaining a minimum closing bid price of at least \$1.00 for a minimum of 10 consecutive business days. If we are unable to establish compliance with the bid price rule within such time period, our common stock will be subject to delisting from the Nasdaq GSM. However, in that event, we may be eligible for an additional grace period by transferring our common stock listing from the Nasdaq GSM to the Nasdaq Capital Market. This would require us to meet the initial listing criteria of the Nasdaq Capital Market, other than with respect to the minimum closing bid price requirement. If we are then permitted to transfer our listing to the Nasdaq Capital Market, we expect we would be granted an additional 180 calendar day period in which to demonstrate compliance with the minimum bid price rule. We have asked our shareholders to approve a reverse stock split at our annual meeting in April of 2010. If approved and implemented, this reverse stock split would likely have the effect of raising our stock price above the \$1.00 per share minimum; however, there is no assurance the price would be maintained at a level necessary for us to meet the bid price rule discussed above. Please see "Risk Factors" below.

Brokerage Commissions

You will not be required to pay brokerage commissions to the Dealer Manager, the Exchange Agent, the Information Agent, or us in connection with the exchange offers.

No Appraisal Rights

You will have no appraisal rights in connection with the exchange offers.

Dealer Manager

Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated

Information Agent

D.F. King & Co., Inc.

Exchange Agent

D.F. King & Co., Inc.

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below and all of the information contained in this prospectus before you decide whether to participate in the exchange offers.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

Our results of operations, financial condition, and business may be materially and adversely affected if we are unable to successfully implement our Capital Plan.

Our Capital Plan, which is described in more detail under "The Exchange Offers - Capital Plan" below, contemplates three primary initiatives that have been or will be undertaken in order to increase our common equity capital, decrease our expenses, and enable us to withstand and better respond to current market conditions and the potential for worsening market conditions. Those three initiatives are the exchange offers described in this prospectus, a conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock held by the Treasury into shares of our common stock, and a public offering of our common stock for cash. We cannot be sure we will be able to successfully execute on these identified initiatives in a timely manner or at all. The successful implementation of our Capital Plan is, in many respects, largely out of our control and depends on factors such as the aggregate amount of trust preferred securities tendered in these exchange offers, and our ability to sell our common stock or other securities for cash. These factors, in turn, may depend on factors outside of our control such as the stability of the financial markets, other macro economic conditions, and investors' perception of the ability of the Michigan economy to recover from the current recession.

If we are unable to achieve the minimum capital ratios set forth in our Capital Plan in the near future, it would likely materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and the value of our securities. An inability to improve our capital position would make it very difficult for us to withstand continued losses that we may incur and that may be increased or made more likely as a result of continued economic difficulties and other factors, as described elsewhere in this "Risk Factors" section.

In addition, we believe that if we are unable to achieve the minimum capital ratios set forth in our Capital Plan by or within a reasonable time after June 30, 2010 and if our financial condition and performance otherwise fail to improve significantly, it is likely our subsidiary bank will not be able to remain well-capitalized under federal regulatory standards. In that case, we also expect our primary bank regulators would impose additional regulatory restrictions and requirements on us through a regulatory enforcement action. If our subsidiary bank fails to remain well-capitalized under federal regulatory standards, it will be prohibited from accepting or renewing brokered deposits without the prior consent of the FDIC, which would likely have a material adverse impact on our business and financial condition. If our regulators take enforcement action against us, it would likely increase our expenses and could limit our business operations, as described under "The Exchange Offers - Importance of the Exchange Offers"

below. There could be other expenses associated with a continued deterioration of our capital, such as increased deposit insurance premiums payable to the FDIC.

These additional restrictions would make it increasingly difficult for us to withstand the current economic conditions, any continued deterioration in our loan portfolio, or additional charges related to estimated potential losses for Mepco from vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies. In that case, we may be required to engage in a sale or other transaction with a third party or our subsidiary bank could be placed into receivership by bank regulators. Any such event could be expected to result in a loss of the entire value of our outstanding shares of common stock, including any common stock issued in exchange for trust preferred securities in these exchange offers, and could also result in a loss of the entire value of our outstanding trust preferred securities and preferred stock.

We will likely be unable to successfully implement our Capital Plan absent high participation in the exchange offer by holders of the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (Nasdaq: IBCPO).

As described under "Exchange Offers Importance of the Exchange Offers" below, we believe we need to exchange a minimum of \$40 million in aggregate Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities for common stock in these exchange offers in order for us to be able to achieve the objectives of our Capital Plan. Approximately 44% of the total outstanding trust preferred securities were issued by IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance IV, and Midwest Guaranty Trust I, and are privately held by structured finance (or "pooling") vehicles, which funded the purchase of the trust preferred securities via the sale of collateralized debt obligations, or CDOs, backed by the purchased trust preferred securities. A pooling vehicle's decision whether to participate in an exchange offer, such as the exchange offers described in this prospectus, may be based upon considerations other than the individual merits of the specific exchange offer, including, for example, the terms of the pooling vehicle's governing documents, its investment policies, the liquidity of the new securities, its authority to participate in an exchange offer generally, and risks of litigation if it does participate. As a result, the pooling vehicles which currently hold trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance IV, and Midwest Guaranty Trust I may be unable or unwilling to participate in the exchange offers described in this prospectus, including for reasons unrelated to the financial terms of the exchange offers. Based upon discussions between the Dealer Manager and the collateral managers of some of these pooling vehicles, we do not expect that they will participate, although offers are being made in this prospectus to these pooling vehicles to participate in the exchange offers.

As a result, holders of at least \$40 million aggregate Liquidation Amount of the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (Nasdaq: IBCPO), or approximately 79.1% of the \$50.6 million aggregate liquidation amount outstanding, may need to participate in the exchange offer in order for us to meet certain conditions in our agreement with the Treasury. If we do not get this level of participation, the Treasury may determine in its sole discretion to waive this condition, but we have no reason to believe that Treasury would be willing to do so.

We have credit risk inherent in our asset portfolios, and our allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover actual loan losses.

Our loan customers may not repay their loans according to their respective terms, and the collateral securing the payment of these loans may be insufficient to assure repayment. We have experienced and may continue to experience significant credit losses which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results. We make various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of our loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of our borrowers and the value of the real estate and other assets serving as collateral for the repayment of many of our loans. In determining the size of the allowance for loan losses, we rely on our experience and our evaluation of current economic conditions. If our assumptions or judgments prove to be incorrect, our current allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover certain loan losses inherent in our loan portfolio, and adjustments may be necessary to account for different economic conditions or adverse developments in our loan portfolio. Material additions to our allowance would adversely impact our operating results.

In addition, federal and state regulators periodically review our allowance for loan losses and may require us to increase our provision for loan losses or recognize additional loan charge-offs. Any increase in our allowance for loan losses or loan charge-offs required by these regulatory agencies could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our business has been and may continue to be adversely affected by current conditions in the financial markets and economic conditions generally, and particularly by the continued economic slowdown in Michigan.

Our success depends to a great extent upon the general economic conditions in Michigan's Lower Peninsula. We have in general experienced a slowing economy in Michigan since 2001. Unlike larger banks that are more geographically diversified, we provide banking services to customers primarily in Michigan's Lower Peninsula. Our loan portfolio, the ability of the borrowers to repay these loans, and the value of the collateral securing these loans will be impacted by local economic conditions. The continued economic difficulties faced in Michigan has had and may continue to have many adverse consequences, including the following:

Loan delinquencies may increase;

Problem assets and foreclosures may increase;

Demand for our products and services may decline; and

Collateral for our loans may decline in value, in turn reducing customers' borrowing power and reducing the value of assets and collateral associated with existing loans.

Additionally, the overall capital and credit markets have been experiencing unprecedented levels of volatility and disruption during the past two years. In some cases, the markets have produced downward pressure on stock prices and credit availability for certain issuers without regard to those issuers' underlying financial strength. As a consequence of the U.S. recession, business activity across a wide range of industries faces serious difficulties due to the lack of consumer spending and the extreme lack of liquidity in the global credit markets. Unemployment has also increased significantly and may continue to increase. In particular, according to data published by the federal Bureau of Labor Statistics, Michigan's unemployment rate of nearly 15% is the worst among all states.

During the past year, the general business environment has continued to have an overall adverse effect on our business, and this environment is not expected to improve in the near term. Until conditions improve, we expect our businesses, financial condition and results of operations to continue to be adversely affected.

Current market developments, particularly in real estate markets, may adversely affect our industry, business and results of operations.

Dramatic declines in the housing market in recent years, with falling home prices and increasing foreclosures and unemployment, have resulted in, and may continue to result in, significant write-downs of asset values by us and other financial institutions. These write-downs have caused many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to merge with larger and stronger institutions and, in some cases, to fail. As a result of these conditions, many lenders and institutional investors have reduced, and in some cases, ceased to provide funding to borrowers including financial institutions.

This market turmoil and tightening of credit have led to an increased level of commercial and consumer delinquencies, lack of consumer confidence, increased market volatility and widespread reduction of business activity generally. The resulting lack of available credit, lack of confidence in the financial sector, increased volatility in the financial markets and reduced business activity could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Further negative market developments may continue to negatively affect consumer confidence levels and may continue to contribute to increases in delinquencies and default rates, which may impact our charge-offs and provisions for credit losses. A worsening of these conditions would likely exacerbate the adverse effects of these difficult market conditions on us and others in the financial services industry.

Recent events in the vehicle service contract industry have increased our credit risk and reputation risk and could expose us to significant losses.

One of our subsidiaries, Mepco Finance Corporation, is engaged in the business of acquiring (on a full recourse basis) and servicing payment plans for consumers who purchase vehicle service contracts and similar products. The receivables generated in this business involve a different, and generally higher, level of risk of delinquency or collection than generally associated with the loan portfolios of our bank. Upon cancellation of the payment plans acquired by Mepco (whether due to voluntary cancellation by the consumer or non-payment), the third party entities that provide the service contracts or other products to consumers become obligated to refund Mepco the unearned portion of the sales price previously funded by Mepco. The refund obligations of these counterparties are not fully secured.

In addition, several of these providers, including the counterparty described in the next risk factor below and other companies from which Mepco has purchased payment plans, have been sued or are under investigation for alleged violations of telemarketing laws and other consumer protection laws. The actions have been brought primarily by state attorneys general and the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) but there have also been class action and other private lawsuits filed. In some cases, the companies have been placed into receivership or have discontinued business. In addition, the allegations, particularly those relating to blatantly abusive telemarketing practices by a relatively small number of marketers, have resulted in a significant amount of negative publicity that has adversely affected and may in the future continue to adversely affect sales and customer cancellations of purchased products throughout the industry, which have already been negatively impacted by the economic recession. It is possible these events could also cause federal or state lawmakers to enact legislation to further regulate the industry.

These events have had and may continue to have an adverse impact on Mepco in several ways. First, we face increased risk with respect to certain counterparties defaulting in their contractual obligations to Mepco which could result in additional charges for losses if these counterparties go out of business. In 2009, we recorded a \$31.2 million charge related to estimated potential losses for vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies. We may incur similar charges in the future. Second, these events have negatively affected sales and customer cancellations in the industry, which has had and is expected to continue to have a negative impact on the profitability of Mepco's business. Largely as a result of these events, we wrote down all of the \$16.7 million of goodwill associated with Mepco that was being carried on our balance sheet. In addition, if any federal or state investigation is expanded to include finance companies such as Mepco, Mepco will face additional legal and other expenses in connection with any such investigation. An increased level of private actions in which Mepco is named as a defendant will also cause Mepco to incur additional legal expenses as well as potential liability. Finally, Mepco has incurred and will likely continue to incur additional legal and other expenses, in general, in dealing with these industry problems.

Mepco also faces unique operational and internal control challenges due to the relatively rapid turnover of its portfolio and high volume of new payment plans. Mepco's business is highly specialized, and its success will depend largely on the continued services of its executives and other key employees familiar with its business. In addition, because financing in this market is conducted primarily through relationships with unaffiliated automobile service contract direct marketers and administrators and because the customers are located nationwide, risk management and general supervisory oversight is generally more difficult than in our bank. The risk of third party fraud is also higher as a result of these factors. Acts of fraud are difficult to detect and deter, and we cannot assure investors that the risk management procedures and controls will prevent losses from fraudulent activity. Although we have an internal control system at Mepco, we may be exposed to the risk of significant loss in this business.

As of December 31, 2009, the net finance receivables held by Mepco represented approximately 13.7% of our consolidated assets.

Mepco has significant exposure to a single counterparty that recently filed bankruptcy. The failure of this counterparty is likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Over 40% of Mepco's current outstanding receivables were purchased from a single counterparty. Beginning in the second half of 2009, this counterparty experienced decreased sales (and ceased all new sales in December 2009) and significantly increased levels of customer cancellations. Customer cancellations trigger an obligation of this counterparty to us to repay the unearned portion of the sales price for the payment plan previously advanced by us to the counterparty. In addition, this counterparty is subject to a multi-state attorney general investigation regarding certain of the counterparty's business practices and multiple civil lawsuits. These events have increased costs for the counterparty, putting further pressure on its cash flow and profitability. This counterparty filed for bankruptcy on March 1, 2010.

Mepco is actively working to reduce its credit exposure to this counterparty. The amount of payment plans (finance receivables) purchased from this counterparty and outstanding at December 31, 2009 totaled approximately \$206.1 million (this amount had been reduced to \$147.4 million at March 31, 2010). In addition, as of December 31, 2009, this counterparty owed Mepco \$16.2 million for previously cancelled payment plans. The bankruptcy filing by this counterparty is likely to lead to substantial potential losses as this entity will not be in a position to honor its recourse obligations on payment plans that Mepco has purchased which are cancelled prior to payment in full. Mepco will seek to recover amounts owed by the counterparty from various co-obligors and guarantors and through the liquidation of certain collateral held by Mepco. However, we are not certain as to the amount of any such recoveries. In 2009, Mepco recorded an aggregate \$19.0 million expense (as part of vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies that is included in non-interest expense) to establish a reserve for losses related to this counterparty. In calculating the amount of such reserve, we took into account the significant likelihood that the counterparty would file for bankruptcy protection. As a result, we currently do not expect to increase the amount of our reserve as a result of the bankruptcy filing. However, in connection with the bankruptcy filing, Mepco committed to provide financing to the counterparty of up to an aggregate of approximately \$3 million. This was done as part of Mepco's overall efforts to minimize the loss associated with this counterparty. We believe the orderly wind-down of the counterparty's business is critical as it allows the counterparty to continue providing customer service to consumers who purchased vehicle service contracts from the counterparty.

In calculating the amount of the reserve related to the failure of this counterparty, we made a number of assumptions regarding, among other things, the cancellation rates for outstanding payment plans, the value of and our ability to collect certain collateral securing the amounts owed to Mepco, and our success in recovering amounts owed from various co-obligors and guarantors. These assumptions are difficult to make, largely because of the significant size of the potential loss and the fact that Mepco does not routinely need to take these types of collection actions in the ordinary course of its business. If any one or more of our assumptions prove to be incorrect in any material respect, our actual loss with respect to this counterparty could be greater than the amount reserved, which would result in additional losses.

Mepco has historically contributed a meaningful amount of profit to our consolidated results of operations, but we expect the size of its business to shrink significantly beginning in 2010.

For 2008 and 2007, Mepco had net income of \$10.7 million and \$5.5 million, respectively. With the counterparty losses experienced by Mepco late in 2009 (including those related to the counterparty described above) and a \$16.7 million goodwill impairment charge, Mepco incurred an \$11.7 million loss in 2009. As of December 31, 2009, the net finance receivables held by Mepco represented approximately 13.7% of our consolidated assets. However, as a result of the loss of business with the counterparty described above as well as our desire to reduce finance receivables as a percentage of total assets, we expect Mepco's total earning assets to decrease by approximately 50% in 2010. As a result, the reduction in the size of Mepco's business will adversely affect our financial results and make it more difficult for us to be profitable on a consolidated basis in the near future.

We face uncertainty with respect to efforts by the federal government to help stabilize the U.S. financial system.

Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2008, the federal government enacted new laws intended to strengthen and restore confidence in the U.S. financial system. See "Business Regulatory Developments" below for additional information regarding these developments. There can be no assurance, however, as to the actual impact that such programs will have on the financial markets, including the extreme levels of volatility and limited credit availability currently being experienced. The failure of these and other programs to stabilize the financial markets and a continuation or worsening of current financial market conditions could materially and adversely affect our businesses, financial condition, results of operations, access to credit or the trading price of our common stock.

In addition, these statutes are relatively new initiatives and, as such, are subject to change and evolving interpretation. There can be no assurances as to the effects that any such changes will have on the effectiveness of the federal government's efforts to stabilize the credit markets or on our business, financial condition or results of operations. These federal initiatives could involve regulatory changes that may have an adverse impact on our business.

We have credit risk inherent in our securities portfolio.

We maintain diversified securities portfolios, which include obligations of the Treasury and government-sponsored agencies as well as securities issued by states and political subdivisions, mortgage-backed securities, and asset-backed securities. We also invest in capital securities, which include preferred stocks and trust preferred securities. We seek to limit credit losses in our securities portfolios by generally purchasing only highly rated securities (rated "AA" or higher by a major debt rating agency) or by conducting significant due diligence on the issuer for unrated securities. However, we may, in the future, experience additional losses in our securities portfolio which may result in charges that could materially adversely affect our results of operations.

Our mortgage-banking revenues are susceptible to substantial variations dependent largely upon factors that we do not control, such as market interest rates.

A meaningful portion of our revenues are derived from gains on the sale of real estate mortgage loans. For 2009, these gains represented over 4% of our total revenues. These net gains primarily depend on the volume of loans we sell, which in turn depends on our ability to originate real estate mortgage loans and the demand for fixed-rate obligations and other loans that are outside of our established interest-rate risk parameters. Net gains on real estate mortgage loans are also dependent upon economic and competitive factors as well as our ability to effectively manage exposure to changes in interest rates. Consequently, they can often be a volatile part of our overall revenues.

Fluctuations in interest rates could reduce our profitability.

We realize income primarily from the difference between interest earned on loans and investments and the interest paid on deposits and borrowings. Our interest income and interest expense are affected by general economic conditions and by the policies of regulatory authorities. While we have taken measures intended to manage the risks of operating in a changing interest rate environment, there can be no assurance that these measures will be effective in avoiding undue interest rate risk. We expect that we will periodically experience "gaps" in the interest rate sensitivities of our assets and liabilities, meaning that either our interest-bearing liabilities will be more sensitive to changes in market interest rates than our interest-earning assets, or vice versa. In either event, if market interest rates should move contrary to our position, this "gap" will work against us, and our earnings may be negatively affected.

We are unable to predict fluctuations of market interest rates, which are affected by, among other factors, changes in the following:

- inflation or deflation rates;
- levels of business activity;
- recession;
- unemployment levels;
- money supply;
- domestic or foreign events; and
- instability in domestic and foreign financial markets.

Changes in accounting standards could impact our reported earnings.

Financial accounting and reporting standards are periodically changed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the SEC, and other regulatory authorities. Such changes affect how we are required to prepare and report our consolidated financial statements. These changes are often hard to predict and may materially impact our reported financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, we may be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in the restatement of prior period financial statements.

Our operations may be adversely affected if we are unable to secure adequate funding. Our use of wholesale funding sources exposes us to liquidity risk and potential earnings volatility.

We rely on wholesale funding, including Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings, brokered deposits, and Federal Reserve Bank borrowings, to augment our core deposits to fund our business. As of December 31, 2009, our use of such wholesale funding sources amounted to approximately \$760 million. Because wholesale funding sources are affected by general market conditions, the availability of funding from wholesale lenders may be dependent on the confidence these investors have in our commercial and consumer banking operations. The continued availability to us of these funding sources is uncertain, and brokered deposits may be difficult for us to retain or replace at attractive rates as they mature. Our liquidity will be constrained if we are unable to renew our wholesale funding sources or if adequate financing is not available in the future at acceptable rates of interest or at all. We may not have sufficient liquidity to continue to fund new loans, and we may need to liquidate loans or other assets unexpectedly, in order to repay obligations as they mature.

In addition, if we fail to remain "well-capitalized" under federal regulatory standards, which is likely if we are unable to successfully implement our Capital Plan (as discussed under "Importance of the Exchange Offers" on page 113 below), we will be prohibited from accepting or renewing brokered deposits without the prior consent of the FDIC. As of December 31, 2009, we had brokered deposits of approximately \$629 million. As a result, any such restrictions on our ability to access brokered deposits is likely to have a material adverse impact on our business and financial condition.

Moreover, we cannot be sure that we will be able to maintain our current level of core deposits. Our deposit customers could move their deposits in reaction to media reports about bank failures in general (as discussed in a separate Risk Factor below) or in reaction to negative publicity we may receive as a result of the pursuit of our capital raising initiatives or, particularly, if we are unable to successfully complete such initiatives. A reduction in core deposits would increase our need to rely on wholesale funding sources, at a time when our ability to do so may be more restricted, as described above.

Our financial performance will be materially affected if we are unable to maintain our access to funding or if we are required to rely more heavily on more expensive funding sources. In such case, our net interest income and results of operations would be adversely affected.

We rely heavily on our management team, and the unexpected loss of key managers may adversely affect our operations and the ability to implement our Capital Plan.

The continuity of our operations is influenced strongly by our ability to attract and to retain senior management experienced in banking and financial services. Our ability to retain executive officers and the current management teams of each of our lines of business will continue to be important to successful implementation of our Capital Plan and our strategies. We do not have employment or non-compete agreements with any of these key employees. In

addition, we face restrictions on our ability to compensate our executives as a result of our participation in the Treasury's Capital Purchase Program under the Troubled Asset Relief Program. Many of our competitors do not face these same restrictions. The unexpected loss of services of any key management personnel, or the inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future, could have an adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Competition with other financial institutions could adversely affect our profitability.

We face vigorous competition from banks and other financial institutions, including savings banks, finance companies, and credit unions. A number of these banks and other financial institutions have substantially greater resources and lending limits, larger branch systems, and a wider array of banking services. To a limited extent, we also compete with other providers of financial services, such as money market mutual funds, brokerage firms, consumer finance companies, and insurance companies, which are not subject to the same degree of regulation as that imposed on bank holding companies. As a result, these non-bank competitors may have an advantage over us in providing certain services, and this competition may reduce or limit our margins on banking services, reduce our market share, and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Our current capital position and the tough economic climate in Michigan will make future growth in the near term very challenging.

We have recently taken certain actions to deleverage our balance sheet, which has had and is expected to continue to have an adverse impact on our net interest income. Although we have also undertaken actions intended to reduce our expenses and continue to do so, we may not be able to reduce our expenses on a basis commensurate with the reduction in our net interest income, which causes a negative impact on our financial results. In addition, even if we are successful in raising additional capital through the initiatives described in our capital plan, our ability to achieve future growth in the near term will be very challenging in the current economic environment in Michigan.

We operate in a highly regulated environment and may be adversely affected by changes in federal and local laws and regulations.

We are generally subject to extensive regulation, supervision, and examination by federal and state banking authorities. The burden of regulatory compliance has increased under current legislation and banking regulations and is likely to continue to have a significant impact on the financial services industry. Recent legislative and regulatory changes as well as changes in regulatory enforcement policies and capital adequacy guidelines are likely to increase our cost of doing business. In addition, future legislative or regulatory changes could have a substantial impact on us and our bank and their operations. Additional legislation and regulations may be enacted or adopted in the future that could significantly affect our powers, authority, and operations, increase our costs of doing business and, as a result, give an advantage to our competitors who may not be subject to similar legislative and regulatory requirements. Further, regulators have significant discretion and power to prevent or remedy unsafe or unsound practices or violations of laws by banks and bank holding companies in the performance of their supervisory and enforcement duties. The exercise of regulatory power may have a negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

There have been numerous media reports about bank failures, which we expect will continue as additional banks fail. These reports have created concerns among certain of our customers, particularly those with deposit balances in excess of deposit insurance limits.

We have proactively sought to provide appropriate information to our deposit customers about our organization in order to retain our business and deposit relationships. The outflow of significant amounts of deposits could have an adverse impact on our liquidity and results of operations.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR EFFORTS TO RAISE CAPITAL

If successful, the initiatives set forth in our Capital Plan will be highly dilutive to our common shareholders.

Our Capital Plan contemplates capital raising initiatives that involve the issuance of a significant number of shares of our common stock. You should read "The Exchange Offers – Capital Plan" and "Capitalization" below for more information. The completion of any of these capital raising transactions will be highly dilutive to our common shareholders, including participants in the exchange offers. The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of the dilutive effect of the exchange offers or other capital raising transactions we may enter into or the perception that such transactions could occur.

The capital raising initiatives we are pursuing could result in the Treasury or one or more private investors owning a significant percentage of our stock and having the ability to exert significant influence over our management and operations.

One of the primary capital raising initiatives set forth in our Capital Plan consists of the conversion of the preferred stock held by the Treasury into shares of our common stock. As described under "Exchange Offers – Exchange Offer With the U.S. Treasury" below, we have entered into an Exchange Agreement with the Treasury. Pursuant to this Exchange Agreement, we expect within the next 30 days to issue new shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock to the Treasury in exchange for the outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock held by the Treasury. The Series B Convertible Preferred Stock to be issued will be convertible into shares of our common stock. There are various circumstances under which such shares would be convertible into common, as described in "Exchange Offers – Exchange Offer With the U.S. Treasury" below. As a result, the number of shares that would be issued to the Treasury upon conversion depends on a number of factors. However, any such conversion is likely to result in the Treasury owning a significant percentage of our outstanding common stock, perhaps over 50%.

It is also possible that one or more large investors, other than the Treasury, could end up as the owner of a significant portion of our common stock. This could occur, for example, if the Treasury transfers shares of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock we expect to issue to Treasury or, upon conversion of such stock, transfers to a third party the common stock issued upon conversion. It could also occur if one or more large investors makes a significant investment in our common stock in the public offering of our common stock we currently intend to conduct upon completion of the exchange offers described in this prospectus.

Any such significant shareholder could exercise significant influence on matters submitted to our shareholders for approval, including the election of directors. In addition, having a significant shareholder could make future transactions more difficult or even impossible to complete without the support of such shareholder, whose interests may not coincide with interests of smaller shareholders. These possibilities could have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

It is possible that one or more of the initiatives set forth in our Capital Plan could trigger an ownership change that will negatively affect our ability to utilize net operating loss carryforwards and other deferred tax assets in the future.

As of December 31, 2009, we had federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$42.8 million, and such amount may grow significantly prior to the Expiration Date. Under federal tax law, our ability to utilize these carryforwards and other deferred tax assets is limited if we are deemed to experience a change of ownership. This would result in our loss of the benefit of these deferred tax assets. Please see the more detailed discussion of these tax rules under "Capitalization," beginning on page 41 below. We anticipate it is likely that we will experience a change of ownership under these rules as a result of our pursuit of the capital initiatives set forth in our Capital Plan.

RISKS RELATED TO THE MARKET PRICE AND VALUE OF THE COMMON STOCK OFFERED

Although the number of shares of our common stock offered in the exchange offers will be determined based on the Average VWAP of our common stock during the Pricing Period, the market price of our common stock may fluctuate. As a result, the market price of the common stock upon settlement of the exchange offers could be less than the Relevant Price used to determine the number of shares of common stock issued in exchange for trust preferred securities accepted for exchange.

The number of shares of common stock issued in exchange for trust preferred securities tendered in the exchange offers will be determined based on the Average VWAP of our common stock during the Pricing Period and will not be adjusted regardless of any increase or decrease in the market price of the common stock or the trust preferred securities between the Expiration Date of the exchange offers and the settlement date. Therefore, the market price of the common stock at the time you receive your shares of common stock on the settlement date could be significantly

less than the price used to determine the number of shares of common stock you will receive. The market price of our common stock has recently been subject to significant fluctuations and volatility.

The trading price of our common stock may be subject to continued significant fluctuations and volatility.

The market price of our common stock could be subject to significant fluctuations due to, among other things:

announcements regarding significant transactions in which we may engage, including these exchange offers and the other capital raising initiatives that are part of our Capital Plan;

market assessments regarding such transactions, including the timing, terms, and likelihood of success of these exchange offers;

operating results that vary from the expectations of management, securities analysts, and investors, including with respect to further loan losses we may incur;

changes or perceived changes in our operations or business prospects;

legislative or regulatory changes affecting our industry generally or our businesses and operations;

the failure of general market and economic conditions to stabilize and recover, particularly with respect to economic conditions in Michigan, and the pace of any such stabilization and recovery;

the possible delisting of our common stock from Nasdaq or perceptions regarding the likelihood of such delisting;

the operating and share price performance of companies that investors consider to be comparable to us;

future offerings of debt, preferred stock, or additional trust preferred securities, each of which would be senior to our common stock upon liquidation and for purposes of dividend distributions; and

other changes in global financial markets, economies, and market conditions, such as interest or foreign exchange rates, stock, commodity, credit or asset valuations or volatility.

Stock markets in general, and our common stock in particular, have experienced significant volatility over approximately the past two years, and continue to experience significant price and volume volatility. As a result, the market price of our common stock may continue to be subject to similar market fluctuations that may or may not be related to our operating performance or prospects. Increased volatility could result in a decline in the market price of our common stock.

In addition, we have proposed that our shareholders approve a 1-for-10 reverse stock split at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in April of 2010. If approved and implemented, such reverse stock split would likely have a significant effect on the market price of our common stock. The primary objective of the reverse stock split is to raise the per share trading price of the Company's common stock sufficiently above the \$1.00 minimum bid price requirement discussed in the next risk factor; however, there is no assurance our shareholders will authorize the reverse stock split or, if authorized and made effective, that the reverse stock split will result in our ability to comply or thereafter maintain compliance with the Nasdaq minimum bid price rule.

We urge you to obtain current market quotations for our common stock when you consider the exchange offers.

Our common stock could be delisted from Nasdaq.

Our common stock is currently listed on the Nasdaq GSM. However, on December 21, 2009, we received a letter from The Nasdaq Stock Market notifying us that we no longer meet Nasdaq's continued listing requirements under Listing Rule 5450(a)(1) because the bid price for our common stock had closed below \$1.00 per share for 30 consecutive business days. We have until approximately June 21, 2010, to demonstrate compliance with this bid price rule by maintaining a minimum closing bid price of at least \$1.00 for a minimum of 10 consecutive business days. If we are unable to establish compliance with the bid price rule within such time period, our common stock will be subject to delisting from the Nasdaq GSM. However, in that event, we may be eligible for an additional grace period by transferring our common stock listing from the Nasdaq GSM to the Nasdaq Capital Market. This would require us to meet the initial listing criteria of the Nasdaq Capital Market, other than with respect to the minimum closing bid price requirement. If we are then permitted to transfer our listing to the Nasdaq Capital Market, we expect we would be granted an additional 180 calendar day period in which to demonstrate compliance with the minimum bid price rule.

The delisting of our common stock from Nasdaq, whether in connection with the foregoing or as a result of our future inability to meet any listing standards, would have an adverse effect on the liquidity of our common stock and, as a result, the market price of our common stock might become more volatile. Even the perception that our common stock may be delisted could affect its liquidity and market price. Delisting could also make it more difficult to raise additional capital.

If our common stock is delisted from the Nasdaq, it is likely that quotes for our common stock would continue to be available on the OTC Bulletin Board or on the "Pink Sheets." However, these alternatives are generally considered to be less efficient markets and it is likely that the liquidity of our common stock as well as our stock price would be adversely impacted as a result.

One of the proposals to be considered and voted upon at our annual meeting of shareholders in April of 2010 is a proposal to amend our Articles of Incorporation to effect a one 1-for-10 reverse split of our common stock. The primary objective of the reverse stock split is to raise the per share trading price of the Company's common stock sufficiently above the \$1.00 minimum bid price requirement for continued listing on The Nasdaq GSM. There can be no assurance our shareholders will authorize this amendment to our Articles of Incorporation or, if authorized and made effective, that the reverse stock split will result in our ability to comply or thereafter maintain compliance with the Nasdaq minimum bid price rule.

RISKS RELATED TO THE RIGHTS OF OUR COMMON STOCK COMPARED TO THE RIGHTS OF THE TRUST PREFERRED SECURITIES

The value of the common stock being offered in these exchange offers is lower than the Liquidation Amount of the trust preferred securities you would be tendering in exchange for the common stock.

We are offering to exchange for outstanding trust preferred securities newly issued shares of our common stock having a value equal to only 80% (or 85% if the trust preferred securities are validly tendered before the Early Premium Tender Deadline and not subsequently withdrawn) of the Liquidation Amount of the trust preferred securities tendered for exchange, plus a number of shares of common stock equal to the amount of accrued and unpaid dividends on such trust preferred securities through May 31, 2010. In addition, depending on the market value of our common stock on the settlement date of the exchange offers, the value of shares of common stock you receive could represent an even lower percentage of the Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities you are surrendering.

All of the trust preferred securities that remain outstanding after the exchange offers will have priority over our common stock with respect to payment in the event of a liquidation, dissolution, or winding-up and with respect to the payment of dividends.

In any liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of IBC, our outstanding shares of common stock would rank below all debt claims against us and claims of all of our outstanding shares of preferred stock and other senior equity securities, including the trust preferred securities that are not exchanged for common stock in the exchange offers described in this prospectus. As a result, holders of our common stock, including holders of trust preferred securities whose securities are accepted for exchange in the exchange offers, will not be entitled to receive any payment or other distribution of assets upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of IBC until after all our obligations to our debt holders have been satisfied and holders of senior equity securities have received any payment or distribution due to them.

If we engage in any sale transaction or business combination after completion of these exchange offers, trust preferred securities not tendered for exchange may have a greater value than the shares of common stock to be received in the exchange offers.

We do not currently intend to engage in any sale of our business or similar transaction. However, if we were to do so after completion of these exchange offers (which could be required if we are unable to successfully implement our Capital Plan, as discussed above in this "Risk Factors" section), the successor to our business would be required to assume all obligations on our outstanding trust preferred securities, including the obligation to make quarterly payments. The value of such trust preferred securities at that time may be greater than the value of the shares of our common stock you would receive if you tendered your trust preferred securities in these exchange offers. We currently believe, however, that such a sale transaction or other business combination is unlikely, due to current market conditions and due in part to the financial burden to any such acquirer associated with assuming all of the obligations with respect to our trust preferred securities.

Future offerings of debt, preferred stock, or additional trust preferred securities, each of which would be senior to our common stock upon liquidation and for purposes of dividend distributions, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We may attempt to increase our capital resources, or we or our banking subsidiary could be forced by federal and state bank regulators to raise additional capital, by making additional offerings of debt or preferred equity securities, including medium-term notes, trust preferred securities, senior or subordinated notes and preferred stock. Upon liquidation, holders of our debt securities and shares of preferred stock and lenders with respect to other borrowings will receive distributions of our available assets prior to the holders of our outstanding shares of common stock. Additional equity offerings may dilute the holdings of our existing shareholders or reduce the market price of our common stock, or both. Holders of our common stock are not entitled to preemptive rights or other protections against dilution.

Our board of directors is authorized to issue one or more classes or series of preferred stock from time to time without any action on the part of the shareholders. Our board of directors also has the power, without shareholder approval, to set the terms of any such classes or series of preferred stock that may be issued, including voting rights, dividend rights, and preferences over our common stock with respect to dividends or upon our dissolution, winding-up and liquidation and other terms. Therefore, if we issue preferred stock in the future that has a preference over our common stock with respect to the payment of dividends or upon our liquidation, dissolution, or winding up, or if we issue preferred stock with voting rights that dilute the voting power of our common stock, the rights of holders of our common stock or the market price of our common stock could be adversely affected.

Holders of trust preferred securities that participate in the exchange offers are giving up their right to future distributions on the trust preferred securities.

If you tender your trust preferred securities and these securities are accepted by us for exchange in the exchange offers, you will be giving up your right to any future distribution payments that are paid on the trust preferred securities on or after the Expiration Date. We have currently exercised our right to defer quarterly payments on all outstanding trust preferred securities. At this time, we are unable to state with any degree of certainty if or when we may resume quarterly distributions on the trust preferred securities that are not exchanged for shares of our common stock in these exchange offers. We do not currently intend to resume such payments in the foreseeable future. Pursuant to the documents governing the rights of the outstanding trust preferred securities, we will effectively be considered in default of the trust preferred securities and the related Underlying Debentures if we defer quarterly distributions for more than 20 consecutive quarterly periods. If we resume quarterly payments on our trust preferred securities in the future, we will be required to pay all accrued but unpaid distributions, including those distributions currently being deferred. By participating in the exchange offers, you will be giving up any right to receive any such distributions.

You may not receive dividends on the shares of common stock you receive in exchange for your trust preferred securities.

Holders of our common stock are only entitled to receive such dividends as our board of directors may declare out of funds legally available for such payments. We are currently prohibited from paying any cash dividends on our common stock. Even when such prohibitions end (which we do not expect to occur in the near term), there are restrictions on our ability to pay cash dividends that will likely continue to materially limit our ability to pay cash dividends. We cannot provide any assurances of when we may pay cash dividends in the future. Furthermore, our common shareholders are subject to the prior dividend rights of any holders of our preferred stock. See "Dividend Policy" below for more information.

Our Articles of Incorporation as well as certain banking laws may have an anti-takeover effect.

Provisions of our Articles of Incorporation and certain federal banking laws, including regulatory approval requirements, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would be perceived to be beneficial to our shareholders. The combination of these provisions may inhibit a non-negotiated merger or other business combination, which, in turn, could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

RISKS RELATED TO NOT PARTICIPATING IN THE EXCHANGE OFFERS

If we do not realize a high level of participation in these exchange offers, it is likely we will be unable to implement our Capital Plan, which could result in a loss of all or substantially all of the value of your trust preferred securities.

As described in more detail under "Importance of the Exchange Offers" beginning on page 113 below, we view these exchange offers as a critical step toward achieving the objectives of our Capital Plan. If there is not a high level of participation in these exchange offers, it may not be possible for us to meet the objectives of our Capital Plan. We need trust preferred securities with a minimum aggregate Liquidation Amount of \$40 million to be tendered and accepted for exchange in these exchange offers in order for us to meet a required condition of being able to convert the preferred stock held by the Treasury into our common stock in the near future, which is another critical component of our Capital Plan. If we fail to realize the objectives of our Capital Plan, our ability to withstand continued adverse economic conditions could be materially and adversely affected.

As described above, we do not anticipate participation in the exchange offers by the pooling vehicles that hold approximately 44% of the outstanding trust preferred securities. Thus, a high level of participation by the holders of the publicly-traded trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (Nasdaq: IBCPO), or approximately 79.1% of the \$50.6 million aggregate Liquidation Amount outstanding, may be necessary for our Capital Plan to be

successful.

We do not know if or when we will resume quarterly payments on our trust preferred securities.

Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2009, we exercised our right to defer quarterly interest payments on the Underlying Debentures held by each of the Trusts and, as a result, the Trusts were required to defer quarterly distributions to holders of outstanding trust preferred securities. We exercised this right in order to preserve our capital and reduce our interest expense. As described elsewhere in this prospectus, although we are pursuing several initiatives to increase our capital base, we expect to continue to face challenges in the near term in operating our business and resuming profitability. In addition, as described under "The Exchange Offers - Capital Plan" below, we are currently prohibited from paying quarterly dividends on our trust preferred securities without the prior consent of our federal and state bank regulators. As a result, we expect to continue to defer quarterly payments on the Underlying Debentures and the related trust preferred securities for the foreseeable future. We do not know if or when such payments will resume.

As long as quarterly payments on the trust preferred securities are deferred, you will likely have taxable "original issue discount" (OID) income even though you are not receiving cash distributions on the trust preferred securities.

There are complicated federal tax rules that apply when payments on instruments such as the trust preferred securities are deferred. These tax rules require the holder of the security to report a portion of the deferred quarterly payments as taxable income even though they are not receiving any cash distributions because of the deferral. For each year that we continue to exercise our right to defer payments on the trust preferred securities, you will be receiving IRS Form 1099-OID to reflect OID income that you should report to the IRS, and a copy of each IRS Form 1099-OID will be provided to the IRS annually. Failure to report taxable income, including OID, can subject taxpayers to penalties. See "Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences" below for more information. Because these rules are complicated, we urge you to consult a competent tax advisor.

The trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II may be delisted from Nasdaq.

As described above, we are at risk of having our common stock delisted from the Nasdaq GSM. If our common stock is delisted from Nasdaq, it would mean the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (Nasdaq: IBCPO) would also be delisted. The delisting of these trust preferred securities from Nasdaq would have an adverse effect on the liquidity of such securities.

If the exchange offers are successful, there may be a limited or no trading market for the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (Nasdaq: IBCPO) and the market price for such trust preferred securities may be depressed.

Depending on the amount of trust preferred securities that are accepted for exchange in the exchange offers, the trading market for the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (Nasdaq: IBCPO) that remain outstanding after the exchange offers may be more limited. A reduced trading volume may decrease the price and increase the volatility of the trading price of such trust preferred securities that remain outstanding following the exchange offers.

ADDITIONAL RISKS RELATED TO THE EXCHANGE OFFERS

We have not obtained a third-party determination that the exchange offers are fair to holders of the trust preferred securities.

Neither we, the trustees of any of our trust subsidiaries, the Dealer Manager, the Exchange Agent, the Information Agent, nor anyone else is making a recommendation as to whether you should exchange all or any portion of your trust preferred securities in the exchange offers. We have not retained, and do not intend to retain, any unaffiliated representative to act on behalf of the holders of the trust preferred securities for purposes of negotiating the exchange offers or preparing a report concerning the fairness of the exchange offers. You must make your own independent decision regarding your participation in the exchange offers.

Failure to complete the exchange offers successfully could negatively affect the price of our common stock.

Several conditions must be satisfied or, if permissible under applicable law, waived in order to complete the exchange offers, including those described below under "The Exchange Offers Conditions of the Exchange Offers." One or more of these conditions may not be satisfied, and if not satisfied or waived (where permissible), the exchange offers may not occur or may be delayed. If the exchange offers are not completed or are delayed, we may be subject to the

following material risks:

the market price of our common stock may decline to the extent that the current market price of our common stock reflects a market assumption that the exchange offers have been or will be completed;

the market price of our trust preferred securities may decline to the extent that the current market price of such trust preferred securities reflects a market assumption that the applicable exchange offers have been or will be completed;

we may not be able to increase our Tier 1 common equity by an amount that may be necessary to keep us well capitalized in the near term; and

our ability to successfully implement the other capital raising initiatives set forth in our Capital Plan may be adversely affected. For example, we believe our chances of being successful in raising additional equity through the sale of shares of our common stock increases with increased participation in these exchange offers.

Holders of a significant Liquidation Amount of trust preferred securities who participate in the exchange offers could become subject to regulatory restrictions on ownership of our common stock.

Under the federal Change in Bank Control Act, a person may be required to obtain prior approval from the FRB before acquiring the power to direct or indirectly control the management, operations, or policy of our Company or before acquiring 10% or more of our common stock. As a result, holders of a significant amount of trust preferred securities who seek to participate in the exchange offers should evaluate whether they could become subject to the approval and other requirements of this federal statute.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Discussions and statements in this prospectus that are not statements of historical fact, including, without limitation, statements that include terms such as "will," "may," "should," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "project," "intend," and "plan," and statements about future financial and operating results, plans, objectives, expectations, and intentions and other statements that are not historical facts, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements express management's current expectations, forecasts of future events, or long-term goals and, by their nature, are subject to assumptions, risks, and uncertainties. Although management believes that the expectations, forecasts, and goals reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results could differ materially for a variety of reasons, including the risks and uncertainties detailed under "Risk Factors" set forth above. The key risks are summarized as follows:

If we are unable to successfully raise new equity capital and otherwise implement our capital restoration plan, it will be extremely difficult for us to withstand current economic conditions and any further deterioration in our loan portfolio;

Future loan losses could exceed the reserves we maintain for such losses;

Economic conditions in Michigan are worse in many cases than national economic conditions and the ability of the Michigan economy to recover, and the pace of such recovery, is expected to have a material impact on our future financial success;

Conditions in regional and local real estate markets are expected to have a material impact on our future financial success;

Current turmoil in the vehicle service contract industry has increased the credit risk and reputation risk for our subsidiary, Mepco Finance Corporation, have led and may continue to lead to significant losses for Mepco, and will contribute to a decrease in the average earning assets of Mepco, which has historically operated at a profit and decreased the size of the losses we have incurred in recent periods;

Legislative and regulatory changes could increase our expenses, decrease our income, and otherwise have a negative impact on our results of operations;

Our use of wholesale funding sources exposes us to liquidity risk and potential earnings volatility;

The continued services of our management team are critical as we work through our asset quality issues and the implementation of our capital restoration plan, yet our ability to compensate our executives is subject to restrictions that do not apply to many of our competitors;

Media reports regarding ongoing bank failures and any negative publicity regarding our capital position could result in our loss of core deposits;

Our capital raising initiatives will result in significant dilution to our current shareholders;

Implementation of our capital plan could result in the Treasury or another large investor owning a significant percentage of our common stock, and such investor's interests could be different than the interests of our smaller shareholders;

Our common stock may be delisted from the Nasdaq GSM;

We have suspended all quarterly payments on our preferred stock and our trust preferred securities and we do not know if or when such payments will resume;

We are currently prohibited from paying cash dividends on our common stock and will, for the foreseeable future, be subject to material restrictions on our ability to pay cash dividends; and

The liquidity and market price of our common stock may be materially and adversely affected by our current financial condition and the capital raising initiatives we are pursuing.

You are urged to read the "Risk Factors" section carefully and not rely on the above summary.

In addition, other factors not currently anticipated may also materially and adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows, financial position, and prospects. We cannot assure you that our future results will meet expectations. While we believe the forward-looking statements in this prospectus are reasonable, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement. In addition, these statements speak only as of the date made. We do not undertake, and expressly disclaim, any obligation to update or alter any statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise, except as required by applicable law.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

The following table presents computations of certain financial measures related to "tangible common equity" and "Tier 1 common equity." The tangible common equity ratio has become a focus of some investors and management believes this ratio may assist investors in analyzing our capital position absent the effects of intangible assets and preferred stock. Traditionally, the Federal Reserve and other banking regulators have assessed a bank's capital adequacy based on Tier 1 capital, the calculation of which is codified in federal banking regulations. More recently, the banking regulators have also supplemented their assessment of the capital adequacy of a bank based on a variation of Tier 1 capital, known as Tier 1 common equity. Because tangible common equity and Tier 1 common equity are not formally defined by generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) or codified in the federal banking regulations, these measures are considered to be non-GAAP financial measures. Because analysts and banking regulators may assess our capital adequacy using tangible common equity and Tier 1 common equity, we believe it is useful to provide investors the ability to assess our capital adequacy on these same bases.

Tier 1 common equity is often expressed as a percentage of net risk-weighted assets. Under the risk-based capital framework, a bank's balance sheet assets and credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet items are assigned to one of four broad risk categories. The aggregated dollar amount in each category is then multiplied by the risk weight assigned to that category. The resulting weighted values from each of the four categories are added together and this sum is the risk-weighted assets total that, as adjusted, comprises the denominator of certain risk-based capital ratios. Tier 1 capital is then divided by this denominator (net risk-weighted assets) to determine the Tier 1 capital ratio. Adjustments are made to Tier 1 capital to arrive at Tier 1 common equity. Tier 1 common equity is also divided by net risk-weighted assets to determine the Tier 1 common equity ratio. The amounts disclosed as net risk-weighted assets are calculated consistent with banking regulatory requirements.

Non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations, are not required to be uniformly applied, and are not audited. To mitigate these limitations, we have procedures in place to ensure that these measures are calculated using the appropriate GAAP or regulatory components and to ensure that our capital performance is properly reflected to facilitate period-to-period comparisons. Although these non-GAAP financial measures are frequently used by investors in the evaluation of a company, they have limitations as analytical tools, and should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for analyses of results as reported under GAAP.

The following table provides reconciliations of the following:

Total assets (GAAP) to tangible assets (non-GAAP)

Total shareholders' equity (GAAP) to tangible common equity (non-GAAP)

Total shareholders' equity (GAAP) to Tier 1 common equity (non-GAAP)

	2009	2008	December 31, 2007 (Unaudited)	2006
(\$ in 000's)				
TANGIBLE COMMON EQUITY TO TANGIBLE ASSETS				
Total assets (GAAP)	\$ 2,965,364	\$ 2,956,245	\$ 3,247,516	\$ 3,406,390
Deduct: Goodwill		16,734	66,754	52,842
Deduct: Core deposit intangible assets (all other intangibles)	10,260	12,190	15,262	8,157
Deduct: Deferred taxes	691	6,892	18,572	10,597
Tangible assets (non-GAAP)	\$ 2,954,413	\$ 2,920,429	\$ 3,146,928	\$ 3,334,794
Total shareholders' equity (GAAP)	\$ 109,861	\$ 194,877	\$ 240,502	\$ 258,167
Deduct: Goodwill		16,734	66,754	52,842
Deduct: Core deposit intangible assets (all other intangibles)	10,260	12,190	15,262	8,157
Deduct: Deferred taxes	691	6,892	18,572	10,597
Deduct: Preferred stock	69,157	68,456	-	-
Tangible common equity (non-GAAP)	\$ 29,753	\$ 90,605	\$ 139,914	\$ 186,571
Tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio (non-GAAP)	1.01%	3.10%	4.45%	5.59%
TIER 1 COMMON EQUITY				
Total shareholders' equity (GAAP)	\$ 109,861	\$ 194,877	\$ 240,502	\$ 258,167
Add: Qualifying capital securities	41,880	72,751	80,309	62,350
Deduct: Goodwill		16,734	66,754	52,842
Deduct: Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(15,745)	(23,318)	(339)	3,370
Deduct: Intangible assets	10,260	12,190	15,262	8,157
Deduct: Disallowed servicing assets	559	1,018		
Deduct: Net unrealized losses on equity securities			3,155	
Deduct: Other	(35)	(59)	(86)	(139)
Tier 1 capital (regulatory)	156,702	261,063	236,065	256,287
Deduct: Qualifying capital securities	41,880	72,751	80,309	62,350
Deduct: Preferred stock	69,157	68,456	-	-
Tier 1 common equity (non-GAAP)	\$ 45,665	\$ 119,856	\$ 155,756	\$ 193,937
Net risk-weighted assets (regulatory)	\$ 2,204,157	\$ 2,365,082	\$ 2,525,594	\$ 2,664,931
Tier 1 common equity ratio (non-GAAP)	2.07%	5.07%	6.17%	7.28%

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Set forth below are highlights from our consolidated financial data as of and for the years ended December 31, 2005 through 2009. You should read this information in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included at page F 1 below, from which this information is derived.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)					
SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS					
Interest income	\$ 189,056	\$ 203,736	\$ 223,254	\$ 216,895	\$ 193,035
Interest expense	50,533	73,587	102,663	93,698	63,099
Net interest income	138,523	130,149	120,591	123,197	129,936
Provision for loan losses	103,032	71,321	43,160	16,344	7,806
Net gains (losses) on securities	3,744	(14,961)	(705)	171	1,484
Other non-interest income	54,915	44,682	47,850	44,679	41,342
Non-interest expenses	187,587	177,150	115,724	106,216	101,785
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax	(93,437)	(88,601)	8,852	45,487	63,171
Income tax expense (benefit)	(3,210)	3,063	(1,103)	11,662	17,466
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(90,227)	(91,664)	9,955	33,825	45,705
Discontinued operations, net of tax			402	(622)	1,207
Net income (loss)	(90,227)	(91,664)	10,357	33,203	46,912
Preferred dividends	4,301	215			
Net income (loss) applicable to common stock	\$ (94,528)	\$ (91,879)	\$ 10,357	\$ 33,203	\$ 46,912
PER COMMON SHARE DATA(1)					
Income (loss) per common share from continuing operations					
Basic	\$ (3.96)	\$ (4.00)	\$ 0.44	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.96
Diluted	(3.96)	(4.00)	0.44	1.45	1.92
Net income (loss) per common share					
Basic	\$ (3.96)	\$ (4.00)	\$ 0.46	\$ 1.45	\$ 2.01
Diluted	(3.96)	(4.00)	0.45	1.43	1.97
Cash dividends declared	0.03	0.14	0.84	0.78	0.71
Book value	1.69	5.49	10.62	11.29	10.75

SELECTED BALANCES

Assets	\$2,965,364	\$2,956,245	\$3,247,516	\$3,406,390	\$3,348,707
Loans	2,299,372	2,459,529	2,518,330	2,459,887	2,365,176
Allowance for loan losses	81,717	57,900	45,294	26,879	22,420
Deposits	2,565,768	2,066,479	2,505,127	2,602,791	2,474,239
Shareholders' equity	109,861	194,877	240,502	258,167	248,259
Long-term debt	0	0	1,000	3,000	5,000

SELECTED RATIOS

Tax equivalent net interest income to average interest earning assets	5.08%	4.63%	4.26%	4.41%	4.85%
Income (loss) from continuing operations to (2)					
Average common equity	(90.72)	(39.01)	3.96	13.06	18.63
Average assets	(3.17)	(2.88)	0.31	0.99	1.42
Net income (loss) to (2)					
Average common equity	(90.72)	(39.01)	4.12	12.82	19.12
Average assets	(3.17)	(2.88)	0.32	0.97	1.45
Average shareholders' equity to average assets	5.80	7.50	7.72	7.60	7.61
Tier 1 capital to average assets	5.27	8.61	7.44	7.62	7.40
Non-performing loans to Portfolio Loans	4.78	5.09	3.07	1.59	0.70

(1) Per share data has been adjusted for 5% stock dividends in 2006 and 2005.

(2) These amounts are calculated using income (loss) from continuing operations applicable to common stock and net income (loss) applicable to common stock.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following selected unaudited pro forma financial information has been presented to give effect to and show the pro forma impact on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2009, and on our earnings for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, of the following exchanges (collectively, the "Exchanges"):

the exchange offers for trust preferred securities described in this prospectus; and

our issuance of shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock to the Treasury in exchange for the entire \$72 million aggregate liquidation amount of Series A Preferred Stock, including a number of shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock issued in exchange for the value of all accrued and unpaid dividends on such shares of Series A Preferred Stock.

We have signed an Exchange Agreement with the Treasury to complete the exchange of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock for Series A Preferred Stock described above, and we expect such exchange to be completed within the next 30 days, subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the closing conditions set forth in the Exchange Agreement. If and when issued, the shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock are convertible into shares of our common stock, as described in more detail under "The Exchange Offers Exchange Offer With the U.S. Treasury" below. However, we have not reflected any impact of the conversion of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into our common stock in the pro forma financial information set forth below because our ability to compel such conversion in the near future is subject to a number of conditions, including a public offering of our stock, that have not yet been completed.

In addition, as described in this prospectus, our Board currently proposes to engage in a public offering to issue shares of our common stock for cash, to raise as much as \$150 million in aggregate gross proceeds. However, we have not reflected any impact of such a public offering in the pro forma financial information set forth below because we have not commenced such offering and do not intend to do so until after completion of the exchange offers described in this prospectus.

The unaudited pro forma financial information is presented for illustrative purposes only and does not necessarily indicate the financial position or results that would have been realized had the Exchanges been completed as of the dates indicated or that will be realized in the future when and if the Exchanges are consummated. The selected unaudited pro forma financial information has been derived from, and should be read in conjunction with, our historical consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus.

Our unaudited pro forma consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2009 have been presented as if the Exchanges had been completed on December 31, 2009, and our pro forma consolidated statements of income have

been presented as if the Exchanges had been completed on January 1, 2009.

Primary Assumptions

We have made a number of assumptions in preparing the pro forma information set forth below. The primary assumptions made are as follows:

a. For each of the exchange offers for trust preferred securities described in this prospectus, we have assumed we will issue shares of our common stock having a dollar value equal to the sum of (i) 85% of the Liquidation Amount of the trust preferred securities tendered and accepted for exchange, and (ii) the value of accrued and unpaid distributions on such trust preferred securities through May 31, 2010. This assumes all trust preferred securities tendered for exchange would be tendered prior to the Early Premium Tender Deadline.

b. We have assumed the aggregate liquidation amount of the shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock to be issued to the Treasury in exchange for the outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock held by the Treasury will be \$74.3 million, which is equal to the \$72.0 million in aggregate liquidation amount of the Series A Preferred Stock plus approximately \$2.3 million in accrued and unpaid dividends on such Series A Preferred Stock. For purposes only of calculating such aggregate liquidation amount, we have assumed such exchange of preferred stock occurs on March 31, 2010.

c. We have assumed that, for purposes of the exchange offers for trust preferred securities described in this prospectus, the Relevant Price (i.e., the value per share of our common stock used to calculate the number of shares of our common stock to be issued in the exchange offers) is \$0.75. This is the average volume weighted average price, or "Average VWAP," of our common stock assuming the Pricing Date for the determination of the Average VWAP is March 25, 2010. The Average VWAP of our common stock is the mathematical average of the volume weighted average price per share for the five consecutive trading days ending on and including the Pricing Date. The closing price of our common stock on April 14, 2010 was \$0.715 per share.

d. We have assumed there will be no material effect on such pro forma financial statements from the potential limitations related to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code as we have already established a full tax valuation allowance on our net deferred tax assets.

We have shown the pro forma impact of the Exchanges under a "Low Range Alternative" and a "High Range Alternative," as follows:

The "Low Range Alternative" assumes the tender and exchange of 25% of the outstanding trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II for shares of our common stock and assumes none of the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance IV, or Midwest Guaranty Trust I will be tendered for exchange.

The "High Range Alternative" assumes the tender and exchange of 80% of the outstanding trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II for shares of our common stock and assumes none of the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance IV, or Midwest Guaranty Trust I will be tendered for exchange.

We have assumed that none of the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance IV, or Midwest Guaranty Trust I will be tendered for exchange based on our expectations regarding the participation of the pooling vehicles that hold such trust preferred securities, as described in more detail under "Risk Factors" above. We have assumed the 25% and 80% participation rates for the exchange offers for the trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II based on the results of recently concluded similar exchange offers by similarly situated issuers and, with respect to the 80% participation rate, to reflect what we believe is the necessary level of participation in order for us to compel an early conversion of the preferred stock held by the Treasury into shares of our common stock, as described in more detail under "The Exchange Offers - Exchange Offer with the U.S. Treasury" below.

Additional assumptions are set forth in the footnotes to the tables below.

The inclusion of any particular transaction in the pro forma financial information does not necessarily indicate that such transaction is likely to occur or that it is likely to occur on the terms set forth below.

There can be no assurances that the foregoing assumptions will be realized in the future, including as to the amounts and percentages of trust preferred securities that will be tendered or as to the issuance of shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock in connection with the Exchange with the Treasury. If any one or more of the foregoing assumptions or assumptions in the footnotes to the tables below is not realized, it would likely result in a material impact on the pro forma information set forth below. **As a result, you should not place undue reliance on such pro forma information in deciding whether to tender your trust preferred securities in the exchange offers described in this prospectus or how many trust preferred securities to tender.**

Independent Bank Corporation

Pro Forma Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

Low Range Alternative (25% Participation of Publicly-Traded (IBCPO) Trust Preferred Securities)

(in thousands)	Actual December 31, 2009	Adjustments		Pro Forma December 31, 2009
		Exchange of Preferred Stock with Treasury (1)	Trust Preferred Exchange Offers (2)	
ASSETS				
Cash and due from banks	\$ 65,214	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 65,214
Interest bearing deposits	223,522	-	-	223,522
Investment securities	164,205	-	-	164,205
FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank stock	27,854	-	-	27,854
Loans held for sale	34,234	-	-	34,234
Net portfolio loans	2,217,655	-	-	2,217,655
Premises and equipment	72,616	-	-	72,616
Bank owned life insurance	46,514	-	-	46,514
Other real estate and repossessed assets	31,534	-	-	31,534
Capitalized originated mortgage loan servicing rights	15,273	-	-	15,273
Other intangible assets	10,260	-	-	10,260
Prepaid FDIC deposit insurance assessment	22,047	-	-	22,047
Other assets	34,436	-	(759) ⁽³⁾	33,677
Total assets	\$ 2,965,364	\$ -	\$ (759)	\$ 2,964,605
LIABILITIES				
Total deposits	\$ 2,565,768	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,565,768
Other borrowings	131,182	-	-	131,182
Financed premiums payable	21,309	-	-	21,309
Other liabilities	44,356	(1,356) ⁽⁴⁾	(261) ⁽⁴⁾	42,739
Subordinated debentures	92,888	-	(13,041) ⁽⁵⁾	79,847
Total liabilities	2,855,503	(1,356)	(13,302)	2,840,845
Preferred stock	69,157	1,356 ⁽⁶⁾	-	70,513
Common stock	225,481	-	11,013 ⁽⁷⁾	236,494
Retained earnings (deficit)	(169,098)	-	1,530 ⁽⁸⁾	(167,568)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(15,679)	-	-	(15,679)
Total shareholders' equity	109,861	1,356	12,543	123,760
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,965,364	\$ -	\$ (759)	\$ 2,964,605

Note: The inclusion of the Exchanges in the pro forma financial information does not necessarily indicate that such transactions are likely to occur.

- (1) Represents the exchange of Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share) plus accumulated and unpaid dividends of \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2009, for shares of Series B Fixed Rate Cumulative Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock (with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share). For purposes of this pro forma presentation, it is assumed the fair value of the Series B shares are equal to the fair value of the Series A shares at the exchange date. In addition, a warrant to purchase 3,461,538 shares of common stock will be repriced from \$3.12 per share to the initial conversion price of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, subject to any anti-dilution adjustments. This pro forma presentation assumes the fair value of the new warrant is similar to the old warrant.
- (2) Represents the increase in common stock outstanding due to the participation in this exchange offer of 25% of the outstanding trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (a net aggregate principal amount of \$12.65 million see footnote 7 below). For purposes of this pro forma information only, which is shown as of December 31, 2009, we have assumed we would issue common stock with a value of \$21.77 per \$25 liquidation amount (which represents 85% of the \$25 liquidation amount plus accrued and unpaid interest through December 31, 2009). The actual value offered for trust preferred securities tendered prior to the Early Premium Tender Deadline is \$22.65 per \$25 liquidation amount (which represents 85% of the \$25 liquidation amount plus accrued and unpaid interest through May 31, 2010). This value is fixed and will not change, even if the exchange offer is not completed by May 31, 2010. The Average VWAP used in this pro forma information is \$0.75, which we determined assuming the Pricing Date of March 25, 2010.

- (3) The estimated pro-rated adjustments related to the remaining unamortized debt issuance costs and the stock owned in the trust subsidiaries.
- (4) Estimated pro-rated accumulated and unpaid dividends.
- (5) 25% of the carrying amount of the retired securities.
- (6) Exchange of accumulated and unpaid dividends for Series B Shares.
- (7) Value of newly issued common stock.

(in thousands, except Average VWAP)

25% of net publicly traded trust preferred securities of \$50,600		12,650
15% discount on publicly traded trust preferred securities surrendered		(1,898)
	\$	10,752
Accrued and unpaid interest on 25% of publicly traded trust preferred securities surrendered		261
Value to be exchanged	\$	11,013
Average VWAP	\$	0.75
Pro forma shares issued		14,684

- (8) The excess of the carrying amount of the securities to be retired over the fair value of the common stock to be issued in the Exchanges, net of taxes (which are immaterial due to IBC's low effective tax rate as a result of its full valuation allowance against deferred tax assets). This amount would be recorded in the income statement for the period during which the Exchanges are consummated. See footnote 5 of Pro Forma Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited).

(in thousands)

15% discount on publicly traded trust preferred securities surrendered	\$	1,898
25% of unamortized expenses of publicly traded trust preferred securities (\$1,471)	\$	(368)
Net gain on exchange	\$	1,530

Independent Bank Corporation

Pro Forma Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

High Range Alternative (80% Participation of Publicly-Traded (IBCPO) Trust Preferred Securities)

	Actual	Adjustments		Pro Forma
		Exchange of	Trust	
(in thousands)	December 31, 2009	Preferred Stock with Treasury (1)	Preferred Exchange Offers (2)	December 31, 2009
ASSETS				
Cash and due from banks	\$ 65,214	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 65,214
Interest bearing deposits	223,522	-	-	223,522
Investment securities	164,205	-	-	164,205
FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank stock	27,854	-	-	27,854
Loans held for sale	34,234	-	-	34,234
Net portfolio loans	2,217,655	-	-	2,217,655
Premises and equipment	72,616	-	-	72,616
Bank owned life insurance	46,514	-	-	46,514
Other real estate and repossessed assets	31,534	-	-	31,534
Capitalized originated mortgage loan servicing rights	15,273	-	-	15,273
Other intangible assets	10,260	-	-	10,260
Prepaid FDIC deposit insurance assessment	22,047	-	-	22,047
Other assets	34,436	-	(2,429) ⁽³⁾	32,007
Total assets	\$ 2,965,364	\$ -	\$ (2,429)	\$ 2,962,935
LIABILITIES				
Total deposits	\$ 2,565,768	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,565,768
Other borrowings	131,182	-	-	131,182
Financed premiums payable	21,309	-	-	21,309
Other liabilities	44,356	(1,356) ⁽⁴⁾	(835) ⁽⁴⁾	42,165
Subordinated debentures	92,888	-	(41,732) ⁽⁵⁾	51,156
Total liabilities	2,855,503	(1,356)	(42,567)	2,811,580
Preferred stock	69,157	1,356 ⁽⁶⁾	-	70,513
Common stock	225,481	-	35,243 ⁽⁷⁾	260,724
Retained earnings (deficit)	(169,098)	-	4,895 ⁽⁸⁾	(164,203)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(15,679)	-	-	(15,679)
Total shareholders' equity	109,861	1,356	40,138	151,355

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,965,364	\$ -	\$ (2,429)	\$ 2,962,935
---	--------------	------	------------	--------------

Note: The inclusion of the Exchanges in the pro forma financial information does not necessarily indicate that such transactions are likely to occur.

- (1) Represents the exchange of Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share) plus accumulated and unpaid dividends of \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2009, for shares of Series B Fixed Rate Cumulative Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock (with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share). For purposes of this pro forma presentation, it is assumed the fair value of the Series B shares are equal to the fair value of the Series A shares at the exchange date. In addition, a warrant to purchase 3,461,538 shares of common stock will be repriced from \$3.12 per share to the initial conversion price of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, subject to any anti-dilution adjustments. This pro forma presentation assumes the fair value of the new warrant is similar to the old warrant.
- (2) Represents the increase in common stock outstanding due to the participation in this exchange offer of 80% of the outstanding trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (a net aggregate principal amount of \$40.48 million see footnote 7 below). For purposes of this pro forma information only, which is shown as of December 31, 2009, we have assumed we would issue common stock with a value of \$21.77 per \$25 liquidation amount (which represents 85% of the \$25 liquidation amount plus accrued and unpaid interest through December 31, 2009). The actual value offered for trust preferred securities tendered prior to the Early Premium Tender Deadline is \$22.65 per \$25 liquidation amount (which represents 85% of the \$25 liquidation amount plus accrued and unpaid interest through May 31, 2010). This value is fixed and will not change, even if the exchange offer is not completed by May 31, 2010. The Average VWAP used in this pro forma information is \$0.75, which we determined assuming the Pricing Date of March 25, 2010.

- (3) The estimated pro-rated adjustments related to the remaining unamortized debt issuance costs and the stock owned in the trust subsidiaries.
- (4) Estimated pro-rated accumulated and unpaid dividends.
- (5) 80% of the carrying amount of the retired securities.
- (6) Exchange of accumulated and unpaid dividends for Series B Shares.
- (7) Value of newly issued common stock.

(in thousands, except Average VWAP)

80% of net publicly traded trust preferred securities of \$50,600	\$	40,480
15% discount on publicly traded trust preferred securities surrendered		(6,072)
	\$	34,308
Accrued and unpaid interest on 80% of publicly traded trust preferred securities surrendered		835
Value to be exchanged	\$	35,243
Average VWAP	\$	0.75
Pro forma shares issued		46,991

- (8) The excess of the carrying amount of the securities to be retired over the fair value of the common stock to be issued in the Exchanges, net of taxes (which are immaterial due to IBC's low effective tax rate as a result of its full valuation allowance against deferred tax assets). This amount would be recorded in the income statement for the period during which the Exchanges are consummated. See footnote 5 of Pro Forma Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited).

(in thousands)

15% discount on publicly traded trust preferred securities surrendered	\$	6,072
80% of unamortized expenses of publicly traded trust preferred securities (\$1,471)		(1,177)
Net gain on exchange	\$	4,895

Independent Bank Corporation

Pro Forma Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

Low Range Alternative (25% Participation of Publicly-Traded (IBCPO) Trust Preferred Securities)

	Actual	Adjustments		Pro Forma
		Exchange of Preferred Stock with Treasury (1)	Trust Preferred Exchange Offers (2)	
(in thousands, except per share data)	2009			2009
INTEREST INCOME				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 177,948	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 177,948
Investments	11,108	-	-	11,108
Total Interest Income	189,056	-	-	189,056
INTEREST EXPENSE				
Deposits	35,405	-	-	35,405
Other borrowings	15,128	-	(1,092) ⁽³⁾	14,036
Total Interest Expense	50,533	-	(1,092)	49,441
NET INTEREST INCOME	138,523	-	1,092	139,615
Provision for loan losses	103,032	-	-	103,032
Net Interest Income After Provision for				
Loan Losses	35,491	-	1,092	36,583
NON-INTEREST INCOME	58,659	-	(32) ⁽⁴⁾	58,627
GAIN ON EXTINGUISHMENT OF CAPITAL INSTRUMENTS	-	-	1,530 ⁽⁵⁾	1,530
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE	187,587	-	-	187,587
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(93,437)	-	2,590	(90,847)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(3,210)	-	- ⁽⁶⁾	(3,210)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	(90,227)	-	2,590	(87,637)
Preferred dividends	4,301	68 ⁽⁷⁾	-	4,369
NET INCOME (LOSS) APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCK	\$ (94,528)	\$ (68)	\$ 2,590	\$ (92,006)
Per Common Share:				
Basic	\$ (3.96)	\$ -	\$ 0.18	\$ (2.39)
Diluted	(3.96)	-	0.18	(2.39)
Average Common Shares Outstanding:				
Basic	23,866	-	14,684 ⁽⁸⁾	38,550

Diluted	23,936	-	14,684 ⁽⁸⁾	38,620
---------	--------	---	-----------------------	--------

Note: The inclusion of the Exchanges in the pro forma financial information does not necessarily indicate that such transactions are likely to occur.

- (1) Represents the exchange of Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share) plus accumulated and unpaid dividends of \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2009, for shares of Series B Fixed Rate Cumulative Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock (with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share). For purposes of this pro forma presentation, it is assumed the fair value of the Series B shares are equal to the fair value of the Series A shares at the exchange date. In addition, a warrant to purchase 3,461,538 shares of common stock will be repriced from \$3.12 per share to the initial conversion price of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, subject to any anti-dilution adjustments. This pro forma presentation assumes the fair value of the new warrant is similar to the old warrant.
- (2) Represents the increase in common stock outstanding due to the participation in this exchange offer of 25% of the outstanding trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (a net aggregate principal amount of \$12.65 million). For purposes of this pro forma information only, which is shown as of December 31, 2009, we have assumed we would issue common stock with a value of \$21.77 per \$25 liquidation amount (which represents 85% of the \$25 liquidation amount plus accrued and unpaid interest through December 31, 2009). The actual value offered for trust preferred securities tendered prior to the Early Premium Tender Deadline is \$22.65 per \$25 liquidation amount (which represents 85% of the \$25 liquidation amount plus accrued and unpaid interest through May 31, 2010). This value is fixed and will not change, even if the exchange offer is not completed by May 31, 2010. The Average VWAP used in this pro forma information is \$0.75, which we determined assuming the Pricing Date of March 25, 2010.

- (3) Reduction in interest expense due to the exchange of the capital instruments for common stock assuming that the exchange occurred at the beginning of the period. The interest rate used was 8.25% which represents the current fixed interest rate on the publicly traded trust preferred securities.
- (4) Reduction in other non-interest income due to decline in dividends paid to the parent company related to the stock owned in the trust subsidiaries.
- (5) One-time gain (net of unamortized debt issuance costs) from exchange of the capital instruments for common stock. See footnote 8 of Pro Forma Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(in thousands)

15% discount on publicly traded trust preferred securities surrendered	\$	1,898
25% of unamortized expenses of publicly traded trust preferred securities (\$1,471)		(368)
Net gain on exchange	\$	1,530

- (6) Taxes are expected to be immaterial due to IBC's low effective tax rate as a result of its full valuation allowance against deferred tax assets.
- (7) Increase in preferred dividends due to increase in preferred shares outstanding.
- (8) Represents common stock issued in the applicable transaction.

(in thousands, except Average VWAP)

25% of net publicly traded trust preferred securities of \$50,600	\$	12,650
15% discount on publicly traded trust preferred securities surrendered		(1,898)
	\$	10,752
Accrued and unpaid interest on 25% of publicly traded trust preferred securities surrendered		261
Value to be exchanged	\$	11,013
Average VWAP	\$	0.75
Pro forma shares issued		14,684

Independent Bank Corporation

Pro Forma Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

High Range Alternative (80% Participation of Publicly-Traded (IBCPO) Trust Preferred Securities)

	Actual	Adjustments		Pro Forma
		Exchange of Preferred Stock with Treasury (1)	Trust Preferred Exchange Offers (2)	
(in thousands, except per share data)	2009			2009
INTEREST INCOME				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 177,948	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 177,948
Investments	11,108	-	-	11,108
Total Interest Income	189,056	-	-	189,056
INTEREST EXPENSE				
Deposits	35,405	-	-	35,405
Other borrowings	15,128	-	(3,494) ⁽³⁾	11,634
Total Interest Expense	50,533	-	(3,494)	47,039
NET INTEREST INCOME	138,523	-	3,494	142,017
Provision for loan losses	103,032	-	-	103,032
Net Interest Income After Provision for				
Loan Losses	35,491	-	3,494	38,985
NON-INTEREST INCOME	58,659	-	(103) ⁽⁴⁾	58,556
GAIN ON EXTINGUISHMENT OF CAPITAL INSTRUMENTS	-	-	4,895 ⁽⁵⁾	4,895
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE	187,587	-	-	187,587
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(93,437)	-	8,286	(85,151)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(3,210)	-	- ⁽⁶⁾	(3,210)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	(90,227)	-	8,286	(81,941)
Preferred dividends	4,301	68 ⁽⁷⁾	-	4,369
NET INCOME (LOSS) APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCK	\$ (94,528)	\$ (68)	\$ 8,286	\$ (86,310)
Per Common Share:				
Basic	\$ (3.96)	\$ -	\$ 0.18	\$ (1.22)
Diluted	(3.96)	-	0.18	(1.22)
Average Common Shares Outstanding:				
Basic	23,866	-	46,991 ⁽⁸⁾	70,857
Diluted	23,936	-	46,991 ⁽⁸⁾	70,927

Note: The inclusion of the Exchanges in the pro forma financial information does not necessarily indicate that such transactions are likely to occur.

- (1) Represents the exchange of Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share) plus accumulated and unpaid dividends of \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2009, for shares of Series B Fixed Rate Cumulative Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock (with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share). For purposes of this pro forma presentation, it is assumed the fair value of the Series B shares are equal to the fair value of the Series A shares at the exchange date. In addition, a warrant to purchase 3,461,538 shares of common stock will be repriced from \$3.12 per share to the initial conversion price of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, subject to any anti-dilution adjustments. This pro forma presentation assumes the fair value of the new warrant is similar to the old warrant.
- (2) Represents the increase in common stock outstanding due to the participation in this exchange offer of 80% of the outstanding trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II (a net aggregate principal amount of \$40.48 million). For purposes of this pro forma information only, which is shown as of December 31, 2009, we have assumed we would issue common stock with a value of \$21.77 per \$25 liquidation amount (which represents 85% of the \$25 liquidation amount plus accrued and unpaid interest through December 31, 2009). The actual value offered for trust preferred securities tendered prior to the Early Premium Tender Deadline is \$22.65 per \$25 liquidation amount (which represents 85% of the \$25 liquidation amount plus accrued and unpaid interest through May 31, 2010). This value is fixed and will not change, even if the exchange offer is not completed by May 31, 2010. The Average VWAP used in this pro forma information is \$0.75, which we determined assuming the Pricing Date of March 25, 2010.

- (3) Reduction in interest expense due to the exchange of the capital instruments for common stock assuming that the exchange occurred at the beginning of the period. The interest rate used was 8.25% which represents the current fixed interest rate on the publicly traded trust preferred securities.
- (4) Reduction in other non-interest income due to decline in dividends paid to the parent company related to the stock owned in the trust subsidiaries.
- (5) One-time gain (net of unamortized debt issuance costs) from exchange of the capital instruments for common stock. See footnote 8 of Pro Forma Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(in thousands)

15% discount on publicly traded trust preferred securities surrendered	\$	6,072
80% of unamortized expenses of publicly traded trust preferred securities (\$1,471)		(1,177)
Net gain on exchange	\$	4,895
(6) Taxes are expected to be immaterial due to IBC's low effective tax rate as a result of its full valuation allowance against deferred tax assets.		
(7) Increase in preferred dividends due to increase in preferred shares outstanding.		
(8) Represents common stock issued in the applicable transaction.		

(in thousands, except Average VWAP)

80% of net publicly traded trust preferred securities of \$50,600	\$	40,480
15% discount on publicly traded trust preferred securities surrendered		(6,072)
Accrued and unpaid interest on 80% of publicly traded trust preferred securities surrendered	\$	34,308
Value to be exchanged		835
Average VWAP	\$	35,243
Pro forma shares issued		0.75
		46,991

USE OF PROCEEDS

We will not receive any cash proceeds from the exchange offers.

CAPITALIZATION

The following tables set forth our capitalization, as of December 31, 2009, on an actual basis and on a pro forma basis to reflect completion of the exchange offers described in this prospectus under both the Low Range Alternative and the High Range Alternative described under "Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information" above. These tables should be read in conjunction with the information set forth under "Selected Financial Data" and "Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information" and our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, which are included in this prospectus beginning at page F 1 below. The following tables do not reflect the potential dilution in connection with any conversion of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock into common stock or any future offering of our common stock for cash, even though both such a conversion and a public offering are contemplated by our Capital Plan.

No. of Shares to be Issued**Low Range Alternative****(25% Participation of Publicly-Traded (IBCPO) Trust Preferred Securities)**

(Based on Assumptions in Footnotes to Table)

	Trust Preferred	Pro Forma Total:
Relevant Price (1)	Exchange Offers (2)	% of Total Outstanding (3)
\$1.00	11,369,820	32.12%
\$0.95	11,968,232	33.25%
\$0.90	12,633,133	34.46%
\$0.85	13,376,259	35.76%
\$0.80	14,212,275	37.17%
\$0.75	15,159,760	38.68%
\$0.70	16,242,600	40.33%
\$0.65	17,492,031	42.13%
\$0.60	18,949,700	44.09%

-
- (1) When used in this table, Relevant Price is the price per share of our common stock used to determine the number of shares of common stock that would be issued in exchange for the trust preferred securities tendered in these exchange offers. The actual Relevant Price to be used in the exchange offers will be determined as described under "The Exchange Offers" on page 110 below. The table contains only an estimated range of potential values for our common shares. The closing price of our common shares on the Nasdaq GSM on April 14, 2010, was \$0.715 per share.

- (2) Assumes that none of the outstanding trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance IV, and Midwest Guaranty Trust I will be tendered for exchange given our expectations regarding the participation of the pooling vehicles holding these securities, as described under "Risk Factors" above. Assumes that 25% of the outstanding trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II will be tendered for exchange and that for each \$25 Liquidation Amount tendered, a holder would receive common stock with a value equal to \$22.65 (which assumes all such trust preferred securities would be tendered prior to the Early Tender Premium Deadline).
- (3) This column shows the percentage of our total outstanding stock, after completion of the exchange offers described in this prospectus, that would have been issued in exchange for trust preferred securities tendered in the exchange offers. Assumes the number of shares of common stock outstanding, excluding shares to be issued in these transactions, is 24,028,505 (the number of shares of IBC common stock outstanding on December 31, 2009).

No. of Shares to be Issued**High Range Alternative****(80% Participation of Publicly-Traded (IBCPO) Trust Preferred Securities)***(Based on Assumptions in Footnotes to Table)*

	Trust Preferred	Pro Forma Total:
Relevant Price (1)	Exchange Offers (2)	% of Total Outstanding (3)
\$1.00	36,383,424	60.23%
\$0.95	38,298,341	61.45%
\$0.90	40,426,027	62.72%
\$0.85	42,804,028	64.05%
\$0.80	45,479,280	65.43%
\$0.75	48,511,232	66.88%
\$0.70	51,976,320	68.39%
\$0.65	55,974,498	69.97%
\$0.60	60,639,040	71.62%

-
- (1) When used in this table, Relevant Price is the price per share of our common stock used to determine the number of shares of common stock that would be issued in exchange for the trust preferred securities tendered in these exchange offers. The actual Relevant Price to be used in the exchange offers will be determined as described under "The Exchange Offers" on page 110 below. The table contains only an estimated range of potential values for our common shares. The closing price of our common shares on the Nasdaq GSM on April 14, 2010, was \$0.715 per share.
- (2) Assumes that none of the outstanding trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance III, IBC Capital Finance IV, and Midwest Guaranty Trust I will be tendered for exchange given our expectations regarding the participation of the pooling vehicles holding these securities, as described under "Risk Factors" above. Assumes that 80% of the outstanding trust preferred securities issued by IBC Capital Finance II will be tendered for exchange and that for each \$25 Liquidation Amount tendered, a holder would receive common stock with a value equal to \$22.65 (which assumes all such trust preferred securities would be tendered prior to the Early Tender Premium Deadline).
- (3) This column shows the percentage of our total outstanding stock, after completion of the exchange offers described in this prospectus, that would have been issued in exchange for trust preferred securities tendered in the exchange offers. Assumes the number of shares of common stock outstanding, excluding shares to be issued in these transactions, is 24,028,505 (the number of shares of IBC common stock outstanding on December 31, 2009).
-

Based on the assumptions described in the footnotes to the tables above, upon completion of the exchange offers described in this prospectus, our existing shareholders would own between only 28% and 68% of our outstanding common stock. However, we have reserved the right to issue an even greater number of shares of our common stock (i.e., in the event one or more of the assumptions in the tables set forth above prove not to be true). We have reserved the right to issue up to 180.2 million shares of common stock in the exchange offers described in this prospectus. Using this maximum number and the Relevant Prices set forth in the tables above, our current shareholders may end

up owning only approximately 12% of our outstanding common stock. In addition, these dilution numbers do not take into account our proposal to sell additional shares of our common stock in a public offering for cash in which we currently intend to raise up to \$150 million or any conversion of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock held by the Treasury. We currently project that, after the completion of the exchange offers described in this prospectus, a public offering of our common stock for cash, and the issuance of common stock to the Treasury in exchange for the preferred stock it holds (if all of such transactions were to occur and assuming we were to issue the maximum 180.2 million shares of common stock in these exchange offers and the maximum 144 million shares of common stock to the Treasury), our current shareholders would most likely own less than 5% of our outstanding common stock.

In addition, the initiatives under consideration and referenced above or through other means, including the exchange offers for the trust preferred securities, may trigger an ownership change that would negatively affect our ability to utilize net operating loss carryforwards and other deferred tax assets in the future. As a result, we may suffer higher-than-anticipated tax expense, and consequently lower net income and cash flow, in those future years. As of December 31, 2009, we had federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$42.8 million, and such amounts may grow significantly prior to the Expiration Date. Companies are subject to a change of ownership test under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), that, if met, would limit the annual utilization of tax losses and credits carrying forward from pre-change of ownership periods, as well as the ability to deduct certain unrealized built-in losses that are subsequently realized. Generally, under Section 382, the yearly limitation on our ability to utilize such losses will be equal to the product of the applicable long-term tax exempt rate (presently 4.03%) and the value of all of our outstanding shares, including any shares owned by the Treasury, immediately before the ownership change. In addition to limits on the use of net operating loss carryforwards, our ability to utilize deductions related to bad debts and other losses for up to a five-year period following such an ownership change would also be limited under Section 382, to the extent that such deductions reflect a net loss that was "built-in" to our assets immediately prior to the ownership change. Similar rules under Section 383 of the Code will also limit utilization of any capital loss and tax credit carryforwards. The amount of these carryforwards was not material at December 31, 2009, but may grow significantly prior to the expiration of the offers. We anticipate it is likely that we will experience a change of ownership under these rules as a result of our pursuit of the capital initiatives set forth in our Capital Plan. In addition, we currently have a valuation allowance intended to fully offset these net operating loss carryforwards and other deferred tax assets. As a result of this allowance, we do not expect these tax rules to cause a material impact to our net income or loss in the near term.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis is intended as a review of significant factors affecting our financial condition and results of operations for the periods indicated. The discussion should be read in conjunction with the historical financial data included within this prospectus, including the consolidated financial statements (and notes thereto) beginning on page F-1 below and all other information set forth in this prospectus. Certain Selected Financial Data is set forth on page 30 above. In addition to historical information, the following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ significantly from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors discussed in this prospectus. Please see "Forward-Looking Statements" above.

Introduction. Our success depends to a great extent upon the economic conditions in Michigan's lower peninsula. We have in general experienced a slowing economy in Michigan since 2001. In particular, Michigan's current unemployment rate of nearly 15% is the worst among all states. Unlike larger banks that are more geographically diversified, we provide banking services to customers primarily in Michigan's lower peninsula. Our loan portfolio, the ability of the borrowers to repay these loans, and the value of the collateral securing these loans will be impacted by local economic conditions. The continued economic difficulties faced in Michigan has had and may continue to have many adverse consequences as described below in "Portfolio Loans and asset quality."

Dramatic declines in the housing market in recent years, with falling home prices and elevated levels of foreclosures and unemployment have resulted in and may continue to result in significant write-downs of asset values by us and other financial institutions. These write-downs have caused many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to merge with larger and stronger institutions and, in some cases, to fail.

Additionally, capital and credit markets have continued to experience elevated levels of volatility and disruption over the past two years. This market turmoil and tightening of credit have led to a lack of general consumer confidence and reduction of business activity.

In response to these difficult market conditions and the significant losses that we have incurred in the past two years that have depleted our capital, we have taken steps or initiated actions designed to restore our capital levels, improve our operations and augment our liquidity as described in more detail below.

On January 29, 2010, we held a special shareholders' meeting at which our shareholders approved an amendment to our Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of shares of common stock we are authorized to issue from 60 million to 500 million. They also approved the issuance of our common stock in exchange for certain of our trust preferred securities and in exchange for the shares of our preferred stock held by the U.S. Department of Treasury

("Treasury").

As described in more detail below under "Liquidity and capital resources," we adopted a capital restoration plan that contemplates three primary initiatives that have been or will be undertaken in order to increase our common equity capital, decrease our expenses, and enable us to withstand and better respond to current market conditions and the potential for worsening market conditions. Those three initiatives are: (i) the exchange offers described in this prospectus; (ii) the conversion of our shares of Series A Preferred Stock held by the Treasury into shares of our common stock, and (iii) a public offering of our common stock for cash. We cannot be sure we will be able to successfully execute on these identified initiatives in a timely manner or at all. The successful implementation of our capital restoration plan is, in many respects, largely out of our control and depends on factors such as the aggregate amount of trust preferred securities tendered in these exchange offers, and our ability to sell our common stock or other securities for cash. These factors, in turn, may depend on factors outside of our control such as the stability of the financial markets, other macro economic conditions, and investors' perception of the ability of the Michigan economy to recover from the current recession.

If we are not soon able to achieve the minimum capital ratios set forth in our capital restoration plan (as described below in "Liquidity and capital resources"), this inability would likely materially and adversely affect our business, our financial condition, and the value of our common stock. An inability to improve our capital position would make it very difficult for us to withstand continued losses that we may incur and that may be increased or made more likely as a result of continued economic difficulties and other factors.

In addition, we believe that if we are unable to achieve the minimum capital ratios set forth in our capital restoration plan within the next few months, and if our financial condition and performance otherwise fail to meaningfully improve, it is likely our subsidiary bank will not be able to remain well-capitalized under federal regulatory standards. In that case, we expect our primary bank regulators would also impose additional regulatory restrictions and requirements through a regulatory enforcement action. If our subsidiary bank fails to remain well-capitalized under federal regulatory standards, it will be prohibited from accepting or renewing brokered certificates of deposit ("Brokered CDs") without the prior consent of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), which would likely have a materially adverse impact on our business and financial condition. If our regulators take enforcement action against us, it would likely increase our expenses and could limit our business operations. There could be other expenses associated with a continued deterioration of our capital, such as increased deposit insurance premiums payable to the FDIC.

Additional restrictions would make it increasingly difficult for us to withstand the current economic conditions, any continued deterioration in our loan portfolio, or additional charges related to estimated potential losses for Mepco from vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies. We could then be required to engage in a sale or other transaction with a third party or our subsidiary bank could be placed into receivership by bank regulators. Any such event could be expected to result in a loss of the entire value of our outstanding shares of common stock, including any common stock issued in exchange for trust preferred securities in the exchange offers, and it could also result in a loss of the entire value of our outstanding trust preferred securities and preferred stock.

It is against this backdrop that we discuss our results of operations and financial condition in 2009 as compared to earlier periods.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Summary. We incurred a loss from continuing operations of \$90.2 million in 2009 compared to a loss of \$91.7 million in 2008 and compared to income from continuing operations of \$10.0 million in 2007. The net loss in 2009 and 2008 also totaled \$90.2 million and \$91.7 million, respectively, compared to net income of \$10.4 million. The net loss applicable to common stock was \$94.5 million and \$91.9 million in 2009 and 2008, respectively. The significant change in 2009 and 2008 compared to 2007 is due primarily to an increase in the provision for loan losses, impairment charges on goodwill, increases in vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies, loan and collection costs and losses on other real estate and repossessed assets, and a charge to income tax expense for a valuation allowance on most of our net deferred tax assets. These adverse changes were partially offset by an increase in net interest income.

On December 12, 2008 we issued 72,000 shares of preferred stock and 3,461,538 warrants to purchase our common stock (at a strike price of \$3.12 per share) to the Treasury in return for \$72.0 million under the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") Capital Purchase Program ("CPP"). (See "Liquidity and capital resources.") As a result, during periods in which this preferred stock remains outstanding (including any Series B Convertible Preferred Stock exchanged for the Series A Preferred Stock, as described elsewhere in this prospectus), we will also be reporting our net income (loss) applicable to common stock.

On January 15, 2007, Mepco Insurance Premium Financing, Inc., now known as Mepco Finance Corporation ("Mepco"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of our bank, sold substantially all of its assets related to the insurance premium finance business to Premium Financing Specialists, Inc. ("PFS"). Mepco continues to own and operate its vehicle service contract payment plan business. The assets, liabilities and operations of Mepco's insurance premium finance business are reported as discontinued operations for 2007.

We completed the acquisition of ten branches with total deposits of approximately \$241.4 million from TCF National Bank on March 23, 2007 (the "branch acquisition"). These branches are located in or near Battle Creek, Bay City and Saginaw, Michigan. As a result of this transaction, we received \$210.1 million of cash. We used the proceeds from

this transaction primarily to payoff higher costing short term borrowings and Brokered CDs. The acquisition of these branches resulted in an increase in non-interest income, particularly service charges on deposit accounts and VISA check card interchange income during the last nine months of 2007 and in 2008 and 2009. However, non-interest expenses also increased due to compensation and benefits for the employees at these branches as well as occupancy, furniture and equipment, data processing, communications, supplies and advertising expenses. As is customary in branch acquisitions, the purchase price (\$28.1 million) was based on acquired deposit balances. We also reimbursed the seller \$0.2 million for certain transaction related costs. Approximately \$10.8 million of the premium paid was recorded as deposit customer relationship value, including core deposit value and will be amortized over 15 years (the remainder of the premium paid was recorded as goodwill). We also incurred other transaction costs (primarily investment banking fees, legal fees, severance costs and data processing conversion fees) of approximately \$0.8 million, of which \$0.5 million was capitalized as part of the acquisition price and \$0.3 million was expensed. In addition, the transaction included \$3.7 million for the personal property and real estate associated with these branches. In the last quarter of 2008 we determined that all of the goodwill at our Independent Bank reporting unit, including the goodwill recorded as a part of this branch acquisition, was impaired, and we recorded a \$50.0 million goodwill impairment charge. (See "Non-interest expenses.")

In September 2007, we completed the consolidation of our four bank charters into one. The primary reasons for this bank consolidation were:

To better streamline our operations and corporate governance structure;

To enhance our risk management processes, particularly credit risk management through more centralized credit management functions;

To allow for more rapid development and deployment of new products and services; and

To improve productivity and resource utilization leading to lower non-interest expenses.

During the last half of 2007, we incurred approximately \$0.8 million of one-time expenses (primarily related to the data processing conversion and severance costs for employee positions that were eliminated) associated with this consolidation. To date, the benefit of the reductions in non-interest expenses due to the bank consolidation have been more than offset by higher loan and collection costs and increased staffing associated with the management of significantly higher levels of watch credits, non-performing loans and other real estate owned. (See "Portfolio Loans and asset quality.")

Key Performance Ratios

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Income (loss) from continuing operations			
Average common equity	(90.72)%	(39.01)%	3.96%
Average assets	(3.17)	(2.88)	0.31
Net income (loss) to			
Average common equity	(90.72)%	(39.01)%	4.12%
Average assets	(3.17)	(2.88)	0.32
Income (loss) per common share from continuing operations			
Basic	\$ (3.96)	\$ (4.00)	\$ 0.44
Diluted	(3.96)	(4.00)	0.44
Net income (loss) per share			
Basic	\$ (3.96)	\$ (4.00)	\$ 0.46
Diluted	(3.96)	(4.00)	0.45

Net interest income. Net interest income is the most important source of our earnings and thus is critical in evaluating our results of operations. Changes in our tax equivalent net interest income are primarily influenced by our level of interest-earning assets and the income or yield that we earn on those assets and the manner and cost of funding our interest-earning assets. Certain macro-economic factors can also influence our net interest income such as the level and direction of interest rates, the difference between short-term and long-term interest rates (the steepness of the yield curve) and the general strength of the economies in which we are doing business. Finally, risk management plays an important role in our level of net interest income. The ineffective management of credit risk and interest-rate risk in particular can adversely impact our net interest income.

Tax equivalent net interest income totaled \$140.8 million during 2009, compared to \$134.7 million and \$126.7 million during 2008 and 2007, respectively. We review yields on certain asset categories and our net interest margin on a fully taxable equivalent basis. This presentation is not in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") but is customary in the banking industry. In this non-GAAP presentation, net interest income is adjusted to reflect tax-exempt interest income on an equivalent before-tax basis. This measure ensures comparability of net interest income arising from both taxable and tax-exempt sources. The adjustments to determine tax equivalent net interest income were \$2.3 million, \$4.6 million and \$6.1 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and were computed using a 35% tax rate. The increase in tax equivalent net interest income in 2009 compared to 2008 reflects a 45 basis point rise in our tax equivalent net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets ("net interest margin") that was partially offset by a \$138.2 million decrease in average interest-earning assets. The increase in tax equivalent net interest income in 2008 compared to 2007 reflects a 37 basis point rise in our net interest margin that

was partially offset by a \$65.7 million decrease in average interest-earning assets. The decline in average interest-earning assets during 2009 and 2008 generally reflects our desire to reduce total assets in order to try to preserve our regulatory capital ratios in light of our recent losses.

From September 2007 to December 2008 the Federal Reserve Bank ("FRB") reduced the target federal funds rate from 5.25% to 0.25%, where it has since remained. In addition, the yield curve has steepened considerably. The current interest rate environment (lower short-term interest rates and steeper yield curve) has had a favorable impact on our net interest margin during 2008 and 2009 which more than offset the adverse impact of a declining level of average interest earnings assets, as described above. Our balance sheet during 2008 and much of 2009 was generally structured to benefit from lower short-term interest rates. For example, most of our Brokered CD's were callable which allowed us to call (retire) them and replace them at much lower interest rates. However, some of the benefits of the current interest rate environment are being partially offset by our increased level of non-accrual loans that create a drag on our net interest margin and tax equivalent net interest income. Average non-accrual loans totaled \$120.2 million, \$104.7 million and \$53.1 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

During the last half of 2009, we increased our level of lower-yielding interest bearing cash balances to augment our liquidity in response to our deteriorating financial condition (see "Liquidity and capital resources" below). In addition, due to the challenges facing Mepco (see "Noninterest expense" below), we expect the balance of finance receivables to decline by approximately 50% in 2010. These finance receivables are the highest yielding segment of our loan portfolio, with an average yield of approximately 13%. The combination of these two items (a higher level of lower-yielding interest bearing cash balances and a decline in the level of higher-yielding finance receivables) is expected to have an adverse impact on both our net interest income and net interest margin in 2010.

Average Balances And Tax Equivalent Rates

	2009			2008			2007		
	Average Balance	Interest	Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Rate
	(Dollars in thousands)								
ASSETS (1)									
Taxable loans	\$ 2,461,896	\$ 177,557	7.21%	\$ 2,558,621	\$ 186,259	7.28%	\$ 2,531,737	\$ 201,924	7.98%
Tax-exempt loans (2)	8,672	601	6.93	10,747	751	6.99	9,568	672	7.02
Taxable securities	111,558	6,333	5.68	144,265	8,467	5.87	179,878	9,635	5.36
Tax-exempt securities (2)	85,954	5,709	6.64	162,144	11,534	7.11	225,676	15,773	6.99
Cash interest bearing	72,606	174	0.24						
Other investments	28,304	932	3.29	31,425	1,284	4.09	26,017	1,338	5.14
Interest earning assets continuing operations	2,768,990	191,306	6.91	2,907,202	208,295	7.16	2,972,876	229,342	7.71
Cash and due from banks	55,451			53,873			57,174		
Taxable loans discontinued operations							8,542		
Other assets, net	157,762			227,969			218,553		
Total assets	\$ 2,982,203			\$ 3,189,044			\$ 3,257,145		
LIABILITIES									
Savings and NOW	\$ 992,529	5,751	0.58	\$ 968,180	10,262	1.06	\$ 971,807	18,768	1.93

Edgar Filing: INDEPENDENT BANK CORP /MI/ - Form S-4/A

Time deposits	1,019,624	29,654	2.91	917,403	36,435	3.97	1,439,177	70,292	4.88
Long-term debt				247	12	4.86	2,240	104	4.64
Other borrowings	394,975	15,128	3.83	682,884	26,878	3.94	205,811	13,499	6.56
Interest bearing liabilities continuing operations	2,407,128	50,533	2.10	2,568,714	73,587	2.86	2,619,035	102,663	3.92
Demand deposits	321,802			301,117			300,886		
Time deposits discontinued operations							6,166		
Other liabilities	80,281			79,929			79,750		
Shareholders' equity	172,992			239,284			251,308		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,982,203			\$ 3,189,044			\$ 3,257,145		
Net interest income		\$ 140,773			\$ 134,708			\$ 126,679	
Net interest income as a percent of average interest earning assets			5.08%			4.63%			4.26%

(1) All domestic, except for \$5.1 million of finance receivables in 2009 included in taxable loans from customers domiciled in Canada.

(2)

Interest on tax-exempt loans and securities is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis assuming a marginal tax rate of 35%.

Change in Tax Equivalent Net Interest Income

	2009 Compared to 2008			2008 Compared to 2007		
	Volume	Rate	Net	Volume	Rate	Net
	(In thousands)					
Increase (decrease) in interest income (1, 2)						
Taxable loans	\$ (6,989)	\$ (1,713)	\$ (8,702)	\$ 2,124	\$ (17,789)	\$ (15,665)
Tax-exempt loans (3)	(144)	(6)	(150)	82	(3)	79
Taxable securities	(1,865)	(269)	(2,134)	(2,031)	863	(1,168)
Tax-exempt securities (3)	(5,105)	(720)	(5,825)	(4,515)	276	(4,239)
Cash interest bearing	174	0	174			
Other investments	(119)	(233)	(352)	249	(303)	(54)
Total interest income	(14,048)	(2,941)	(16,989)	(4,091)	(16,956)	(21,047)
Increase (decrease) in interest expense (1)						
Savings and NOW	252	(4,763)	(4,511)	(70)	(8,436)	(8,506)
Time deposits	3,740	(10,521)	(6,781)	(22,342)	(11,515)	(33,857)
Long-term debt	(12)	0	(12)	(97)	5	(92)
Other borrowings	(11,046)	(704)	(11,750)	20,619	(7,240)	13,379
Total interest expense	(7,066)	(15,988)	(23,054)	(1,890)	(27,186)	(29,076)
Net interest income	\$ (6,982)	\$ 13,047	\$ 6,065	\$ (2,201)	\$ 10,230	\$ 8,029

- (1) The change in interest due to changes in both balance and rate has been allocated to change due to balance and change due to rate in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts of change in each.
- (2) All domestic, except for \$0.5 million of interest income in 2009 on finance receivables included in taxable loans from customers domiciled in Canada.

- (3) Interest on tax-exempt loans and securities is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis assuming a marginal tax rate of 35%.

Composition of Average Interest Earning Assets and Interest Bearing Liabilities

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
As a percent of average interest earning assets			
Loans (1)	89.2%	88.4%	85.5%
Other interest earning assets	10.8	11.6	14.5
Average interest earning assets	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Savings and NOW	35.8%	33.3%	32.7%
Time deposits	14.1	23.9	21.9
Brokered CDs	22.7	7.7	26.5
Other borrowings and long-term debt	14.3	23.5	7.0
Average interest bearing liabilities	86.9%	88.4%	88.1%
Earning asset ratio	92.9%	91.2%	91.3%
Free-funds ratio	13.1	11.6	11.9

- (1) All domestic, except for 0.2% of finance receivables in 2009 from customers domiciled in Canada.

Provision for loan losses. The provision for loan losses was \$103.0 million during 2009 compared to \$71.3 million and \$43.2 million during 2008 and 2007, respectively. Changes in the provision for loan losses reflect our assessment of the allowance for loan losses. The significant increases in the provision for loan losses over the last three years principally reflect a rise in the level of net loan charge-offs and an elevated level of non-performing loans. While we use relevant information to recognize losses on loans, additional provisions for related losses may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions, customer circumstances and other credit risk factors. (See "Portfolio Loans and asset quality.")

Non-interest income. Non-interest income is a significant element in assessing our results of operations. On a long-term basis we are attempting to grow non-interest income in order to diversify our revenues within the financial services industry. We regard net gains on mortgage loan sales as a core recurring source of revenue but they are quite cyclical and volatile. We regard net gains (losses) on securities as a "non-operating" component of non-interest income. As a result, we believe it is best to evaluate our success in growing non-interest income and diversifying our revenues by also comparing non-interest income when excluding net gains (losses) on assets (mortgage loans and securities).

Non-interest income totaled \$58.7 million during 2009 compared to \$29.7 million and \$47.1 million during 2008 and 2007, respectively. Excluding net gains and losses on mortgage loans and securities, non-interest income grew by 11.5% to \$44.1 million during 2009 and declined by 9.3% to \$39.5 million during 2008. These variances are primarily due to changes in the valuation allowance related to capitalized mortgage loan servicing rights.

Non-Interest Income

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(In thousands)		
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 24,370	\$ 24,223	\$ 24,251
Net gains (losses) on assets			
Mortgage loans	10,860	5,181	4,317
Securities	3,826	(14,795)	295
Other than temporary loss on securities available for sale			
Total impairment loss	(4,073)	(166)	(1,000)
Loss recognized in other comprehensive loss	3,991		
Net impairment loss recognized in earnings	(82)	(166)	(1,000)
VISA check card interchange income	5,922	5,728	4,905
Mortgage loan servicing	2,252	(2,071)	2,236
Mutual fund and annuity commissions	2,017	2,207	2,072
Bank owned life insurance	1,615	1,960	1,830
Title insurance fees	2,272	1,388	1,551
Other	5,607	6,066	6,688
Total non-interest income	\$ 58,659	\$ 29,721	\$ 47,145

Service charges on deposit accounts totaled \$24.4 million during 2009, compared to \$24.2 million and \$24.3 million during 2008 and 2007, respectively. The overall level of service charges on deposits has remained relatively consistent for the past three years. In late 2009 the Federal Reserve Board adopted rules that will require a written opt-in from customers before a bank can assess overdraft fees on ATM or debit card transactions. These rules are effective July 1, 2010. We believe that such legislation will have an adverse impact on our present level of service charges on deposits accounts.

We realized net gains of \$10.9 million on the sale of mortgage loans during 2009, compared to \$5.2 million and \$4.3 million during 2008 and 2007 respectively. Effective January 1, 2008, we implemented fair value accounting for mortgage loans held for sale and on commitments to originate mortgage loans.

The volume of loans sold is dependent upon our ability to originate mortgage loans as well as the demand for fixed-rate obligations and other loans that we cannot profitably fund within established interest-rate risk parameters. (See "Portfolio Loans and asset quality.") Net gains on mortgage loans are also dependent upon economic and competitive factors as well as our ability to effectively manage exposure to changes in interest rates and thus can often be a volatile part of our overall revenues. In 2009, mortgage loan origination and sales volumes increased from 2008 and 2007 reflecting generally lower interest rates that led to a significant increase in refinance volumes. Additionally, new tax credits for first-time home buyers during 2009 also spurred home sales and hence mortgage loan origination volume. These positive factors were partially offset by weak economic conditions, lower home values and more stringent underwriting criteria required by the secondary mortgage market, which reduced the number of applicants being approved for mortgage loans.

Mortgage Loan Activity

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Mortgage loans originated	\$ 576,018	\$ 368,517	\$ 507,211
Mortgage loans sold	540,713	267,216	288,826
Mortgage loans sold with servicing rights released	55,495	51,875	47,783
Net gains on the sale of mortgage loans	10,860	5,181	4,317
Net gains as a percent of mortgage loans sold	2.01%	1.94%	1.49%
Fair value adjustments included in the Loan Sales Margin	0.07	0.36	(0.06)

Net gains as a percentage of mortgage loans sold (our "Loan Sales Margin") are impacted by several factors including competition and the manner in which the loan is sold (with servicing rights retained or released). Our decision to sell or retain real estate mortgage loan servicing rights is primarily influenced by an evaluation of the price being paid for mortgage loan servicing by outside third parties compared to our calculation of the economic value of retaining such servicing. The sale of mortgage loan servicing rights may result in declines in mortgage loan servicing income in future periods. Gains on the sale of mortgage loans were also impacted by recording fair value accounting adjustments. Excluding the aforementioned accounting adjustments, the Loan Sales Margin would have been 1.94% in 2009, 1.58% in 2008 and 1.55% in 2007. The improved Loan Sales Margin in 2009 was generally due to more favorable competitive conditions in 2009 as many mortgage brokers left the market during 2008.

We generated securities net gains of \$3.7 million in 2009. The 2009 securities net gains were primarily due to increases in the fair value and gains on the sale of our Bank of America preferred stock as well as gains on the sale of municipal securities. We sold all of our Bank of America preferred stock in June 2009. The 2009 gains were partially offset by \$0.1 million of other than temporary impairment recognized on one private label mortgage-backed security and one trust preferred security.

We incurred securities net losses of \$15.0 million in 2008. These net losses were comprised of \$7.7 million of losses from the sale of securities, \$2.8 million of unrealized losses related to declines in the fair value of trading securities that were still being held at year-end, \$0.2 million of other than temporary impairment charges and a \$6.2 million charge related to the dissolution of a security as described below. These losses were partially offset by \$1.9 million of gains on sales of securities (primarily municipal securities sales). 2008 was an unusual year as we historically have not incurred any significant net losses on securities. We elected, effective January 1, 2008, to measure the majority of our preferred stock investments at fair value. As a result of this election, we recorded an after tax cumulative reduction of \$1.5 million to retained earnings associated with the initial adoption of fair value accounting for these preferred stocks. This preferred stock portfolio included issues of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Merrill Lynch and Goldman Sachs. During 2008 we recorded unrealized net losses on securities of \$2.8 million related to the decline in fair value of the preferred stocks that were still being held at year end. We also recorded realized net losses of \$7.6 million on the sale

of several of these preferred stocks. The 2008 securities net losses also include a write down of \$6.2 million (from a par value of \$10.0 million to a fair value of \$3.8 million) related to the dissolution of a money-market auction rate security and the distribution of the underlying Bank of America preferred stock. The conservatorship of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac in September 2008 resulted in the market values of the preferred stocks issued by these entities plummeting to low single digit prices per share. Prices on other preferred stocks that we owned also declined sharply as the market for these securities came under considerable stress. These were the primary factors leading to the large securities losses that we incurred during 2008.

The \$0.7 million of securities net losses in 2007 include \$1.0 million of other than temporary impairment charges. These charges related to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac preferred stocks. We also recorded securities gains of approximately \$0.3 million in 2007 primarily related to the sale of municipal securities.

GAINS AND LOSSES ON SECURITIES

	Proceeds	Year Ended December 31,		Net
		Gains	Losses(1)	
2009	\$ 43,525	\$ 3,957	\$ 213	\$ 3,744
2008	80,348	1,903	16,864	(14,961)
2007	61,520	327	\$ 1,032	(705)

- (1) Losses in 2009 include \$.08 million of other than temporary impairment charges while losses in 2008 include a \$6.2 million write-down related to the dissolution of a money-market auction rate security and the distribution of the underlying preferred stock, \$0.2 million of other than temporary impairment charges and \$2.8 million of losses recognized on trading securities still held at December 31, 2008 while losses in 2007 include \$1.0 million of other than temporary impairment charges.

VISA check card interchange income increased to \$5.9 million in 2009 compared to \$5.7 million in 2008 and \$4.9 million in 2007. The significant increase in 2009 and 2008 compared to 2007 is primarily due to the aforementioned branch acquisition (which occurred in March 2007). In addition, these results are also due to increases in the size of our card base due to growth in checking accounts as well as increases in the frequency of use of our VISA check card product by our customer base.

Mortgage loan servicing generated revenue of \$2.3 million and \$2.2 million in 2009 and 2007, respectively and an expense of \$2.1 million in 2008. These yearly comparative variances are primarily due to changes in the valuation allowance on capitalized mortgage loan servicing rights and the level of amortization of this asset. The period end valuation allowance is based on the valuation of the mortgage loan servicing portfolio and the amortization is primarily impacted by prepayment activity. In particular, mortgage loan interest rates declined significantly in December 2008 resulting in higher estimated future prepayment rates and a significant increase in the valuation allowance at the end of that year.

Capitalized Mortgage Loan Servicing Rights

2009	2008	2007
-------------	-------------	-------------

(In thousands)

Edgar Filing: INDEPENDENT BANK CORP /MI/ - Form S-4/A

Balance at January 1,	\$ 11,966	\$ 15,780	\$ 14,782
Originated servicing rights capitalized	5,213	2,405	2,873
Amortization	(4,255)	(1,887)	(1,624)
(Increase)/decrease in valuation allowance	2,349	(4,332)	(251)
Balance at December 31,	\$ 15,273	\$ 11,966	\$ 15,780
Valuation allowance at December 31,	\$ 2,302	\$ 4,651	\$ 319

At December 31, 2009 we were servicing approximately \$1.73 billion in mortgage loans for others on which servicing rights have been capitalized. This servicing portfolio had a weighted average coupon rate of 5.73% and a weighted average service fee of approximately 26 basis points. Remaining capitalized mortgage loan servicing rights at December 31, 2009 totaled \$15.3 million, representing approximately 89 basis points on the related amount of mortgage loans serviced for others. The capitalized mortgage loan servicing had an estimated fair market value of \$16.3 million at December 31, 2009.

Mutual fund and annuity commissions totaled \$2.0 million, \$2.2 million and \$2.1 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The decline in 2009 generally reflects difficult market conditions and reduced commission payouts on certain annuity products. The increase in 2008 is due to higher sales of these products as a result of growth in the number of our licensed sales representatives.

In August 2002 we acquired \$35.0 million in separate account bank owned life insurance on which we earned \$1.6 million, \$2.0 million and \$1.8 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, principally as a result of increases in cash surrender value. Our separate account is primarily invested in agency mortgage-backed securities. The reduced crediting rate in 2009 generally reflects lower interest rates on mortgage-backed securities. The total cash surrender value of our bank owned life insurance was \$46.5 million and \$44.9 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Title insurance fees totaled \$2.3 million in 2009, \$1.4 million in 2008 and \$1.6 million in 2007. The fluctuation in title insurance fees is primarily a function of the level of mortgage loans that we originated. The growth in 2009 reflects a significant increase in mortgage loan refinance volume.

Other non-interest income totaled \$5.6 million, \$6.1 million and \$6.7 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. 2009 other non-interest income includes \$1.0 million related to foreign currency transaction gains associated with Canadian dollar denominated finance receivables. The Canadian dollar appreciated significantly compared to the U.S. dollar during 2009. Total Canadian dollar denominated finance receivables had declined to \$1.7 million at December 31, 2009. As a result, we would expect future foreign currency transaction gains or losses to be relatively minor. These foreign currency transaction gains were substantially offset by the change in the results of our private mortgage reinsurance captive in 2009. Our private mortgage reinsurance captive incurred a loss of \$0.6 million in 2009 compared to income of \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million in 2008 and 2007, respectively. The 2009 loss reflects increased mortgage loan defaults and lower real estate values which lead to higher private mortgage insurance claims. 2008 other non-interest income included revenue of \$0.4 million from the redemption of 8,551 shares of Visa, Inc. Class B Common Stock as part of the Visa initial public offering. Other non-interest income also includes zero, \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, of fee income from our MoneyGram official checks program. This fee income is determined largely by the level of short-term interest rates. The very low short term interest rates have currently eliminated this source of revenue. Finally, 2007 also included \$0.3 million of income from interest rate swap or interest rate cap termination fees.

Non-interest expense. Non-interest expense is an important component of our results of operations. Historically, we primarily focused on revenue growth, and while we strive to efficiently manage our cost structure, our non-interest expenses generally increased from year to year because we expanded our operations through acquisitions and by opening new branches and loan production offices. Because of the current challenging economic environment that we are confronting, our expansion through acquisitions or by opening new branches is unlikely in the near term. Further, management is focused on a number of initiatives to reduce and contain non-interest expenses.

Non-interest expense totaled \$187.6 million during 2009, compared to \$177.2 million and \$115.7 million during 2008 and 2007, respectively. 2009 non-interest expense includes \$31.2 million for vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies and a \$16.7 million goodwill impairment charge. 2008 non-interest expense includes a \$50.0 million goodwill impairment charge. 2007 non-interest expense includes \$1.7 million of severance and other (primarily data processing and legal and professional fees) expenses associated with the aforementioned bank consolidation and staff reductions and \$0.3 million of goodwill impairment charges. In addition, the aforementioned branch acquisition resulted in increases in several categories of non-interest expenses in 2009 and 2008 compared to 2007. Loan and collection costs and losses on other real estate and repossessed assets have also increased reflecting higher levels of non-performing loans and other real estate.

Non-Interest Expense

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(In thousands)		
Compensation	\$ 40,053	\$ 40,181	\$ 40,373
Performance-based compensation and benefits	2,889	4,861	4,979
Other benefits	10,061	10,137	10,459

Edgar Filing: INDEPENDENT BANK CORP /MI/ - Form S-4/A

Compensation and benefits	53,003	55,179	55,811
Vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies	31,234	966	
Loan and collection	14,727	9,431	4,949
Occupancy, net	11,092	11,852	10,624
Loss on other real estate and repossessed assets	8,554	4,349	276
Data processing	8,386	7,148	6,957
Deposit Insurance	7,328	1,988	628
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	7,159	7,074	7,633
Credit card and bank service fees	6,608	4,818	3,913
Advertising	5,696	5,534	5,514
Communications	4,424	4,018	3,809
Legal and professional	3,222	2,032	1,978
Amortization of intangible assets	1,930	3,072	3,373
Supplies	1,835	2,030	2,411
Goodwill impairment	16,734	50,020	343
Other	5,655	7,639	7,505
Total non-interest expense	\$ 187,587	\$ 177,150	\$ 115,724

The decline in total compensation and benefits is primarily due to a reduction in performance based compensation. In addition, the deferral (as direct loan origination costs) of compensation and benefits has increased in 2009 as a result of the rise in mortgage loan origination activity. These compensation cost reductions were partially offset by additional staff added during 2009 to manage non-performing assets and loan collections. The reduction in performance based compensation reflects our near-term financial performance. In 2009, no employee stock ownership contribution was made and no bonuses were paid. In addition, executive and senior officer salaries were frozen at 2008 levels for 2009. In 2008, no executive officer bonuses were paid. Salaries in 2007 also include \$1.1 million of severance costs from staff reductions associated with the bank consolidation as well as downsizing initiatives.

We maintain performance-based compensation plans. In addition to commissions and cash incentive awards, such plans include an employee stock ownership plan and a long-term equity based incentive plan. The amount of expense recognized in 2009, 2008 and 2007 for share-based awards under our long-term equity based incentive plan was \$0.8 million, \$0.6 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

For 2010, no salary increases were granted for employees, the employee stock ownership contribution will again be eliminated and the match of employees' 401(k) plan contributions is also being eliminated.

We recorded an expense of \$31.2 million and \$1.0 million for vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies in 2009 and 2008, respectively (no such expense was recorded in 2007). This expense relates to Mepco's business activities.

Mepco purchases payment plans, on a full recourse basis, from companies (which we refer to as Mepco's "counterparties") that provide vehicle service contracts and similar products to consumers. The payment plans (which are classified as finance receivables in our consolidated statements of financial condition) permit a consumer to purchase a service contract by making installment payments, generally for a term of 12 to 24 months, to the sellers of those contracts (one of the "counterparties"). Mepco does not evaluate the creditworthiness of the individual customer but instead primarily relies on the payment plan collateral (the unearned vehicle service contract and unearned sales commission) in the event of default. When consumers stop making payments or exercise their right to voluntarily cancel the contract, the remaining unpaid balance of the payment plan is normally recouped by Mepco from the counterparties that sold the contract and provided the coverage. The refund obligations of these counterparties are not fully secured. We record losses, included in non-interest expenses, for estimated defaults by these counterparties in their recourse obligations to Mepco.

Over 40% of the payment plans currently held by Mepco were purchased from a single counterparty. Recently, this counterparty has experienced decreased sales (and eventually stopped all new sales efforts in December of 2009) and significantly increased levels of customer cancellations. In addition, this counterparty is subject to a multi-state attorney general investigation and multiple civil lawsuits (including class action lawsuits) regarding certain of its business practices. These events have increased costs for the counterparty, putting further pressure on its cash flow and profitability. This counterparty filed bankruptcy on March 1, 2010.

Mepco is actively working to reduce its credit exposure to this counterparty. The amount of payment plans (finance receivables) purchased from this counterparty and outstanding at December 31, 2009 totaled approximately \$206.1 million. In addition, as of December 31, 2009, this counterparty owes Mepco \$16.2 million for previously cancelled payment plans. The bankruptcy of this counterparty is likely to lead to substantial potential losses as this entity will not be in a position to honor its recourse obligations on payment plans that Mepco has purchased which are cancelled prior to payment in full. Mepco will seek to recover amounts owed by the counterparty from various co-obligors and guarantors and through the liquidation of certain collateral held by Mepco. However, we are not certain as to the amount of any such recoveries. In 2009, Mepco recorded a \$19.0 million expense (as part of vehicle

service contract counterparty contingencies that is included in non-interest expense) to establish a reserve for losses related to this counterparty. In calculating the amount of this reserve, we made a number of assumptions. If actual results differ from any one or more of these assumptions, the amount of this reserve may be too low and we may incur losses above the amount reserved. Please see "Risk Factors" above.

In addition, several of these vehicle service contract marketers, including the counterparty described above and other companies from which Mepco has purchased payment plans, have been sued or are under investigation for alleged violations of telemarketing laws and other consumer protection laws. The actions have been brought primarily by state attorneys general and the Federal Trade Commission but there have also been class action and other private lawsuits filed. In some cases, the companies have been placed into receivership or have discontinued business. In addition, the allegations, particularly those relating to blatantly abusive telemarketing practices by a relatively small number of marketers, have resulted in a significant amount of negative publicity that has adversely affected and may in the future continue to adversely affect sales and customer cancellations of purchased products throughout the industry, which have already been negatively impacted by the economic recession. It is possible these events could also cause federal or state lawmakers to enact legislation to further regulate the industry. In addition to the \$19.0 million expense described above, Mepco recorded an additional \$12.2 million of expense in 2009 for the default by other counterparties in their recourse obligations to Mepco. These charges are being classified in non-interest expense because they are associated with a default or potential default of a contractual obligation under our counterparty contracts as opposed to loss on the administration of the payment plan itself. Our estimate of probable losses from vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies requires a significant amount of judgment because a number of factors can influence the amount of loss that we may ultimately incur. These factors include our estimate of future cancellations of vehicle service contracts, our evaluation of collateral that may be available to recover funds due from our counterparties, and the amount collected from counterparties in connection with their contractual recourse obligations. We apply a rigorous process, based upon observable contract activity and past experience, to estimate probable losses and quantify the necessary reserves for our vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies, but there can be no assurance that our modeling process will successfully identify all such losses. As a result, we could record future losses associated with vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies that may be significantly different than the levels that we recorded in 2009.

The above described events have had and may continue to have an adverse impact on Mepco in several ways. First, we face increased risk with respect to certain counterparties defaulting in their contractual obligations to Mepco which could result in additional charges for losses if these counterparties go out of business. Second, these events have negatively affected sales and customer cancellations in the industry, which has had and is expected to continue to have a negative impact on the profitability of Mepco's business. As a result of these events and expected declines in Mepco's future profitability, in 2009, we wrote down all of the \$16.7 million of goodwill associated with Mepco. In addition, if any federal or state investigation is expanded to include finance companies such as Mepco, Mepco will face additional legal and other expenses in connection with any such investigation. An increased level of private actions in which Mepco is named as a defendant will also cause Mepco to incur additional legal expenses as well as potential liability. Finally, Mepco has incurred and will likely continue to incur additional legal and other expenses, in general, in dealing with these industry problems. As of December 31, 2009, the net finance receivables held by Mepco represented approximately 13.7% of our consolidated total assets. We expect that the amount of total payment plans (finance receivables) held by Mepco will decline by approximately 50% in 2010, due to the loss of business from the above described counterparty as well as our desire to reduce finance receivables as a percentage of total assets. This decline in finance receivables is expected to adversely impact our net interest income and net interest margin.

Loan and collection expenses primarily reflect collection costs related to non-performing or delinquent loans. The sharp rise in these expenses in 2009 and 2008, reflects our elevated level of non-performing loans and other real estate.

Occupancy expenses, net, totaled \$11.1 million, \$11.9 million and \$10.6 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. A portion of the increase in 2009 and 2008, is due to the above described branch acquisition that occurred in March 2007. In addition, we closed several loan production offices in 2008 and occupancy expenses in that year include \$0.2 million of costs associated with such office closings.

Loss on other real estate and repossessed assets primarily represents the loss on the sale or additional write downs on these assets subsequent to the transfer of the asset from our loan portfolio. This transfer occurs at the time we acquire the collateral that secured the loan. At the time of acquisition, the real estate or other repossessed asset is valued at fair value, less estimated costs to sell, which becomes the new basis for the asset. Any write-downs at the time of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan losses. The significant increase in loss on other real estate and repossessed assets in 2009 and 2008 compared to earlier years is primarily due to declines in the value of these assets subsequent to the acquisition date. These declines in value have been accentuated by the high inventory of foreclosed homes for sale in many of our markets as well as Michigan's weak economic conditions.

Data processing and communications expenses all generally increased over the periods presented as a result of the growth of the organization and from the branch acquisition. In addition, 2009 data processing expense includes \$0.6 million related to a revenue enhancement project performed by our core data processing company.

Deposit insurance expense increased substantially in 2009, compared to the prior periods reflecting higher rates and an industry-wide special assessment of \$1.4 million in the second quarter of 2009. This special assessment was equal to 5 basis points on total assets less Tier 1 capital. In addition, our balance of total deposits increased during 2009. During 2007, we fully utilized the assessment credits that reduced our expense during that year.

As an FDIC insured institution, we are required to pay deposit insurance premium assessments to the FDIC. Under the FDIC's risk-based assessment system for deposit insurance premiums, all insured depository institutions are placed into one of four categories and assessed insurance premiums based primarily on their level of capital and supervisory evaluations. Insurance assessments ranged from 0.12% to 0.50% of total deposits for the first quarter 2009 assessment. Effective April 1, 2009, insurance assessments ranged from 0.07% to 0.78%, depending on an institution's risk classification and other factors.

Furniture, fixtures and equipment expense has generally declined since 2007, due in part to cost reduction initiatives. In addition, certain fixed assets became fully depreciated in 2008 and were not replaced. The decline in supplies expense since 2007, was due in part to somewhat lower business volumes relative to 2007 and the aforementioned cost reduction initiatives.

Advertising expense was relatively comparable across all years and primarily represents direct mail costs for our high performance checking program, costs associated with our VISA debit card rewards program and media advertising.

Credit card and bank service fees increased in each year presented primarily due to growth in the number of vehicle service contract payment plans being administered by Mepco. As described above, we expect payment plans at Mepco to decline in 2010, and would therefore expect these expenses to eventually decline as well.

Legal and professional fees increased substantially in 2009, over 2008 and 2007 levels due primarily to increased legal expenses associated with the issues described above related to Mepco and due to various regulatory matters and increased third-party costs principally associated with external reviews of our loan portfolio.

The amortization of intangible assets primarily relates to the branch acquisition and the amortization of the deposit customer relationship value, including core deposit value, that was acquired in this transaction.

During 2009, we recorded a \$16.7 million goodwill impairment charge at our Mepco segment. In the fourth quarter of 2009 we updated our goodwill impairment testing (interim tests had also been performed in each of the first three quarters of 2009). The results of the year end goodwill impairment testing showed that the estimated fair value of our Mepco reporting unit was now less than the carrying value of equity. The fair value of Mepco is principally based on estimated future earnings utilizing a discounted cash flow methodology. As described above and in the "Business segments" section below, Mepco recorded a substantial loss in the fourth quarter of 2009 (Mepco had been profitable during the first nine months of 2009). Further, Mepco's largest business counterparty, who accounted for nearly one-half of Mepco's payment plan business, defaulted in its obligations to Mepco and this counterparty filed bankruptcy on March 1, 2010. These factors adversely impacted the level of Mepco's expected future earnings and hence its fair value. A step 2 analysis and valuation was performed. Based on the step 2 analysis (which involved determining the fair value of Mepco's assets, liabilities and identifiable intangibles), we concluded that goodwill was now impaired, resulting in this \$16.7 million charge.

During 2008, we recorded a \$50.0 million goodwill impairment charge. In the fourth quarter of 2008 we updated our goodwill impairment testing (interim tests had also been performed in the second and third quarters of 2008). Our common stock price dropped even further in the fourth quarter of 2008 resulting in a wider difference between our market capitalization and book value. The results of the year end goodwill impairment testing showed that the estimated fair value of our bank reporting unit was less than the carrying value of equity. This necessitated a step 2 analysis and valuation. Based on the step 2 analysis (which involved determining the fair value of our bank's assets, liabilities and identifiable intangibles) we concluded that goodwill was now impaired, resulting in this \$50.0 million charge. The remaining goodwill at December 31, 2008 of \$16.7 million was at our Mepco reporting unit and the testing performed at that time indicated that this goodwill was not impaired. Mepco had net income from continuing operations of \$10.7 million and \$5.1 million in 2008 and 2007, respectively. Based primarily on Mepco's estimated future earnings, the fair value of this reporting unit (utilizing a discounted cash flow method) was determined to be in excess of its carrying value at the end of 2008. A portion of the \$50.0 goodwill impairment charge was tax deductible and a \$6.3 million tax benefit was recorded related to this charge.

During 2007 we recorded a \$0.3 million goodwill impairment charge. This charge related to writing off the remaining goodwill associated with our mobile home lending subsidiary, First Home Financial ("FHF"), that was dissolved in June 2007.

Other non-interest expense decreased to \$5.7 million in 2009, compared to \$7.6 million in 2008, and \$7.5 million in 2007. The decrease in 2009, compared to 2008, was primarily due to a decrease in costs associated with a deferred compensation plan, travel and entertainment expenses and bank courier costs while the decrease from 2007, was primarily attributed to decreases in branch conversion costs, travel and entertainment expenses and bank courier costs.

In July 2007, the State of Michigan replaced its Single Business Tax ("SBT") with a new Michigan Business Tax ("MBT") which became effective in 2008. Financial institutions are subject to an industry-specific tax which is based on net capital. Both the MBT and the SBT are recorded in other non-interest expenses in the consolidated statements of operations. Our MBT expense was \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million in 2009 and 2008, respectively. Our SBT expense was zero in 2007.

Income tax expense (benefit). Income tax expense (benefit) was \$(3.2) million, \$3.1 million, and \$(1.1) million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. A valuation allowance of \$24.0 million and \$27.6 million in 2009 and 2008, respectively, on deferred tax assets, largely offset the effect of pre-tax losses. The 2009 valuation allowance is net of a \$4.1 million allocation of deferred taxes on accumulated other comprehensive income.

We assess the need for a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets periodically. The realization of deferred tax assets (net of the recorded valuation allowance) is largely dependent upon future taxable income, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and the ability to carry-back losses to available tax years. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we consider all positive and negative evidence, including anticipated operating results,

taxable income in carry-back years, scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities and tax planning strategies. In 2008, our conclusion that we needed a valuation allowance was based on a number of factors, including our declining operating performance since 2005 and our net operating loss in 2008, overall negative trends in the banking industry and our expectation that our operating results will continue to be negatively affected by the overall economic environment. As a result, we recorded a valuation allowance in 2008, of \$36.2 million on our deferred tax assets which consisted of \$27.6 million recognized as income tax expense and \$8.6 million recognized through the accumulated other comprehensive loss component of shareholders' equity. The valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets at December 31, 2008 of \$36.2 million represented our entire net deferred tax asset except for that amount which could be carried back to 2007 and recovered in cash as well as for certain deferred tax assets at Mepco that relate to state income taxes and that can be recovered based on Mepco's individual earnings. During 2009, we concluded that we needed to continue to carry a valuation allowance based on similar factors discussed above. As a result we recorded an additional net valuation allowance of \$24.0 million recognized as income tax expense (which is net of a \$4.1 million allocation of deferred taxes on the accumulated other comprehensive loss component of shareholders' equity). The valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets totaled \$60.2 million at December 31, 2009. This valuation allowance represents our entire net deferred tax asset except for certain deferred tax assets at Mepco that relate to state income taxes and that can be recovered based on Mepco's individual earnings.

Despite the valuation allowance, these deferred tax assets remain available to offset future taxable income. Our deferred tax assets will be analyzed quarterly for changes affecting the valuation allowance, which may be adjusted in future periods accordingly. In making such judgments, significant weight will be given to evidence that can be objectively verified. We will analyze changes in near-term market conditions and consider both positive and negative evidence as well as other factors which may impact future operating results in making any decision to adjust this valuation allowance.

The capital initiatives summarized above in "Introduction" and detailed below under "Liquidity and capital resources" are likely to trigger an ownership change that would negatively affect our ability to utilize our net operating loss carryforwards and other deferred tax assets in the future. As a result, we may suffer higher-than-anticipated tax expense, and consequently lower net income and cash flow, in those future years. As of December 31, 2009, we had federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$42.8 million. Companies are subject to a change of ownership test under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), that, if met, would limit the annual utilization of tax losses and credits carrying forward from pre-change of ownership periods, as well as the ability to deduct certain unrealized built-in losses that are subsequently realized. Generally, under Section 382, the yearly limitation on our ability to utilize such losses will be equal to the product of the applicable long-term tax exempt rate (presently 4.03%) and the value of all of our outstanding shares, including any shares owned by the Treasury, immediately before the ownership change. In addition to limits on the use of net operating loss carryforwards, our ability to utilize deductions related to bad debts and other losses for up to a five-year period following such an ownership change would also be limited under Section 382, to the extent that such deductions reflect a net loss that was "built-in" to our assets immediately prior to the ownership change. We anticipate it is likely that we will experience a change of ownership under these rules as a result of our pursuit of the capital initiatives set forth in our Capital Plan.

Since we currently have a valuation allowance intended to fully offset these net operating loss carryforwards and other deferred tax assets, we do not expect these tax rules to cause a material impact to our net income or loss in the near term.

The income tax (benefit) of \$(1.1) million in 2007, and relative effective tax rate is principally attributed to tax exempt income representing a much high percentage of pre-tax income from continuing operations in that year.

Our actual federal income tax expense (benefit) is different than the amount computed by applying our statutory federal income tax rate to our pre-tax income from continuing operations primarily due to tax-exempt interest income and tax-exempt income from the increase in the cash surrender value on life insurance.

Income tax expense in the consolidated statements of operations also includes income taxes in a variety of other states due primarily to Mepco's operations. The amounts of such state income taxes were zero, \$1.0 million and \$0.4 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

Discontinued operations, net of tax. On January 15, 2007 we sold substantially all of the assets of Mepco's insurance premium finance business to PFS. We received \$176.0 million of cash that was utilized to payoff Brokered CDs and short-term borrowings at Mepco's parent company, Independent Bank. Under the terms of the sale, PFS also assumed approximately \$11.7 million in liabilities. We allocated \$4.1 million of goodwill and \$0.3 million of other intangible assets to this business. Revenues and expenses associated with Mepco's insurance premium finance business have been presented as discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations. Likewise, the assets and liabilities associated with this business have been reclassified to discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of financial condition. In 2007 the \$0.4 million of income from discontinued operations relates primarily to operations during the first 15 days of January 2007 and the recovery of certain previously charged-off insurance premium finance receivables.

We have elected to not make any reclassifications in the consolidated statements of cash flows for discontinued operations. Prior to the December 2006 announced sale, our insurance premium finance business was included in the Mepco segment.

Business segments. Our reportable segments are based upon legal entities. We currently have two reportable segments: Independent Bank and Mepco. These business segments are also differentiated based on the products and services provided. We evaluate performance based principally on net income of the respective reportable segments.

The following table presents net income (loss) by business segment.

56

Business Segments

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007(1)
Independent Bank	\$ (71,095)	\$ (92,551)	\$ 9,729
Mepco	(11,689)	10,729	5,070
Other (2)	(7,636)	(9,780)	(5,439)
Elimination	193	(62)	595
Net income (loss)	\$ (90,227)	\$ (91,664)	\$ 9,955

(1) 2007 represents income (loss) from continuing operations after income taxes and excludes \$0.4 million of income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes.

(2) Includes amounts relating to our parent company and certain insignificant operations.

The losses recorded by the Bank in 2009 and 2008 are primarily due to higher provisions for loan losses, loan and collection costs and losses on other real estate. The higher credit related costs reflect elevated levels of non-performing loans and loan net charge-offs. (See "Portfolio Loans and asset quality.") 2008 Bank results also included a \$50.0 million goodwill impairment charge. (See "Non-interest expense.") In addition, the Bank results included \$24.0 million and \$27.6 million in 2009 and 2008, respectively, of income tax expense for a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets. (See "Income tax expense (benefit).")

Mepco's net income had generally been increasing due to growth in finance receivables and lower short-term interest rates. However, in 2009, Mepco recorded \$31.2 million of vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies expense and a goodwill impairment charge of \$16.7 million, both as described above. (See "Non-interest expense.") All of Mepco's funding is provided by Independent Bank and is priced principally based on Brokered CD rates. It is unlikely that Mepco could obtain such favorable funding costs on its own in the open market.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Summary. Our total assets rose slightly to \$2.97 billion at December 31, 2009 compared to \$2.96 billion at December 31, 2008. The increase in total assets primarily reflects increases in cash and cash equivalents and in prepaid FDIC deposit insurance assessments that were substantially offset by decreases in securities available for sale, loans and goodwill. Loans, excluding loans held for sale ("Portfolio Loans") decreased \$184.0 million in 2009 as every category of loans declined except for finance receivables. Total deposits increased by \$499.3 million in 2009

principally as a result of an increase in checking and savings accounts and in Brokered CDs. Other borrowings decreased by \$410.8 million in 2009 as maturing borrowings from the FRB or Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") were replaced with Brokered CDs.

Securities. We maintain diversified securities portfolios, which include obligations of U.S. government-sponsored agencies, securities issued by states and political subdivisions, corporate securities, mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities. We also invest in capital securities, which include preferred stocks and trust preferred securities. We regularly evaluate asset/liability management needs and attempt to maintain a portfolio structure that provides sufficient liquidity and cash flow. We believe that the unrealized losses on securities available for sale are temporary in nature and are expected to be recovered within a reasonable time period. We believe that we have the ability to hold securities with unrealized losses to maturity or until such time as the unrealized losses reverse. (See "Asset/liability management.")

Securities available for sale declined during 2009 and 2008 because maturities and principal payments in the portfolio were not replaced with new purchases. We also sold municipal securities during 2009 and 2008 primarily because our current tax situation (net operating loss carry forward) negates the benefit of holding tax exempt securities.

As discussed earlier, we elected effective January 1, 2008, to measure the majority of our preferred stock investments at fair value. These investments are classified as trading securities in our consolidated statements of financial condition. During 2009 we recorded unrealized net gains on trading securities of \$0.04 million related to an increase in fair value of preferred stocks and recorded realized net gains of \$0.9 million on the sale of preferred stocks. During 2008 we recorded unrealized net losses on trading securities of \$2.8 million related to a decline in fair value of the preferred stocks. We also recorded realized net losses of \$7.6 million in 2008 on the sale of several of these preferred stocks. (See "Non-Interest Income"). At December 31, 2009 we only had \$0.1 million of trading securities remaining.

We recorded other than temporary impairment charges on securities of \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million, and \$1.0 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The 2009 impairment charge relates to a private label mortgage-backed security and a trust preferred security issued by a small Michigan-based community bank. The 2008 impairment charge relates to this same trust preferred security. In 2007, we recorded \$1.0 million of impairment charges on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac preferred securities. In these instances we believe that the decline in value is directly due to matters other than changes in interest rates, are not expected to be recovered within a reasonable timeframe based upon available information and are therefore other than temporary in nature. (See "Non-interest income" and "Asset/liability management.") In addition, in the fourth quarter of 2008 we recorded a write down of \$6.2 million (from a par value of \$10.0 million to a fair value of \$3.8 million) related to the dissolution of a money-market auction rate security and the distribution of the underlying Bank of America preferred stock.

Securities

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains Losses (In thousands)		Fair Value
Securities available for sale				
December 31, 2009	\$ 171,049	\$ 3,149	\$ 10,047	164,151
December 31, 2008	231,746	3,707	20,041	215,412
December 31, 2007	363,237	6,013	5,056	364,194

We evaluate securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least quarterly and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. In performing this review we consider (1) the length of time and extent that fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer, (3) the impact of changes in market interest rates on the fair value of the security and (4) an assessment of whether we intend to sell, or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If either of these criteria is met, the entire difference between amortized cost and fair value is recognized in earnings.

For securities that do not meet the aforementioned criteria, the amount of impairment recognized in earnings is limited to the amount related to credit losses, while impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income.

U.S. Agency residential mortgage-backed securities at December 31, 2009 we had five securities whose fair value is less than amortized cost. The unrealized losses are largely attributed to rising interest rates. As management does not intend to liquidate these securities and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell these securities prior to recovery of these unrealized losses, no declines are deemed to be other than temporary.

Private label residential mortgage and other asset-backed securities at December 31, 2009 we had 23 securities whose fair value is less than amortized cost. 22 of the issues are rated by a major rating agency as investment grade while one is below investment grade. Pricing conditions in the private label residential mortgage and asset-backed security markets are characterized by sporadic secondary market flow, significant implied liquidity risk premiums, a wide bid / ask spread and an absence of new issuances of similar securities. This market has been "closed" to new issuance since the third quarter of 2007. Investors in this asset class have suffered significant losses and at present, there are few active buyers for this product. During the fourth quarter of 2009, secondary market trading activity increased modestly. Prices for many securities improved. Much of this improvement is due to technical issues; namely negative new supply. One dealer reports that price improvements are generally met with increased selling which serves to mute sustained price recovery.

The unrealized losses are largely attributable to credit spread widening on these securities. The underlying loans within these securities include Jumbo (60%), Alt A (25%) and manufactured housing (15%).

	December 31,			
	2009	Net	2008	Net
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Gain (Loss)	Value	Gain (Loss)
	(In thousands)			
Private label residential mortgage-backed				
Jumbo	\$ 21,718	\$ (5,749)	\$ 26,139	\$ (9,349)
Alt-A	9,257	(1,807)	10,748	(2,685)
Other asset-backed Manufactured housing	5,505	(194)	7,421	(855)

All of the private label mortgage-backed transactions have geographic concentrations in California, ranging from 29% to 59% of the collateral pool. Typical exposure levels to California (median exposure is 43%) are consistent with overall market collateral characteristics. Six transactions have modest exposure to Florida, ranging from 5% to 11%, and one transaction has modest exposure to Arizona (5%). The underlying collateral pools do not have meaningful exposure to Nevada, Michigan or Ohio. None of the issues involve subprime mortgage collateral. Thus the impact of this market segment is only indirect, in that it has impacted liquidity and pricing in general for private label mortgage-backed securities. The majority of transactions are backed by fully amortizing loans. However, eight transactions have concentrations in interest only loans ranging from 31% to 94%. The structure of the mortgage and asset-backed securities portfolio provides protection to credit losses. The portfolio primarily consists of senior securities as demonstrated by the following: super senior (7%), senior (73%), senior support (12%) and mezzanine (8%). The mezzanine classes are from seasoned transactions (65 to 95 months) with significant levels of subordination (8% to 23%). Except for the additional discussion below relating to other than temporary impairment, each private label mortgage and asset-backed security has sufficient credit enhancement via subordination to reasonably assure full realization of book value. This assertion is based on a transaction level review of the portfolio. Individual security reviews include: external credit ratings, forecasted weighted average life, recent prepayment speeds, underwriting characteristics of the underlying collateral, the structure of the securitization and the credit performance of the underlying collateral. The review of underwriting characteristics considers: average loan size, type of loan (fixed or ARM), vintage, rate, FICO, loan-to-value, scheduled amortization, occupancy, purpose, geographic mix and loan documentation. The review of the securitization structure focuses on the priority of cash flows to the bond, the priority of the bond relative to the realization of credit losses and the level of subordination available to absorb credit losses. The review of credit performance includes: current period as well as cumulative realized losses; the level of severe payment problems, which includes other real estate (ORE), foreclosures, bankruptcy and 90 day delinquencies; and the level of less severe payment problems, which consists of 30 and 60 day delinquencies.

While the levels of identified payment problems increased modestly during 2009, the amount of subordination protection remains adequate. Nevertheless, the non-performing asset coverage ratio (credit subordination divided by non-performing assets) deteriorated for four structures with five bonds. This deterioration in structure accounts for the majority of the increase in unrealized loss late in 2009. All of these securities are receiving principal and interest payments. Most of these transactions are pass-through structures, receiving pro rata principal and interest payments from a dedicated collateral pool. The non-receipt of interest cash flows is not expected and thus not presently considered in our discounted cash flow methodology discussed below.

In addition to the review discussed above, certain securities, including the one security with a rating below investment grade, were reviewed for OTTI utilizing a cash flow projection. The scope of review included securities that account for 97% of the \$7.8 million in unrealized losses. In our analysis, recovery was evaluated by discounting the expected cash flows back at the book yield. If the present value of the future cash flows is less than amortized cost, then there would be a credit loss. Our cash flow analysis forecasted cash flow from the underlying loans in each transaction and then applied these cash flows to the bonds in the securitization. The cash flows from the underlying loans considered contractual payment terms (scheduled amortization), prepayments, defaults and severity of loss given default. The analysis used dynamic assumptions for prepayments, defaults and severity. Near term prepayment assumptions were based on recently observed prepayment rates. In many cases, recently observed prepayment rates are depressed due to a sharp decline in new jumbo loan issuance. This loan market is heavily dependent upon securitization for funding, and new securitization transactions have been minimal. Our model projects that prepayment rates gradually revert to historical levels. For seasoned ARM transactions normalized prepayment rates are estimated at 15% to 25% CPR. For fixed rate collateral, the analysis considers the spread differential between the collateral and the current market rate for conforming mortgages. Near term default assumptions were based on recent default observations as well as the volume of existing real-estate owned, pending foreclosures and severe delinquencies. Default levels generally are projected to remain elevated or increase for a period of time sufficient to address the level of distressed loans in the transaction. Our model expects defaults to then decline gradually as the housing market and the economy stabilize, generally after 2 to 3 years. Current severity assumptions are based on recent observations. Loss severity is expected to decline gradually as the housing market and the economy stabilize, generally after 2 to 3 years. Except for one below investment grade security discussed in further detail below, our cash flow analysis forecasts complete recovery of our cost basis for each reviewed security.

The private label mortgage-backed security with a below investment grade credit rating was evaluated for other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") using the cash flow analysis discussed above. At December 31, 2009 this security had a fair value of \$3.9 million and an unrealized loss of \$4.1 million (amortized cost of \$8.0 million). The underlying loans in this transaction are 30 year fixed rate jumbos with an average origination date FICO of 748 and an average origination date loan-to-value ratio of 73%. The loans backing this transaction were originated in 2007 and is our only security backed by 2007 vintage loans. We believe that this vintage is a key differentiating factor between this security and the others in our portfolio that are rated above investment grade. The bond is a senior security that is receiving principal and interest payments similar to principal reductions in the underlying collateral. The cash flow analysis described above calculated an OTTI of \$4.1 million at December 31, 2009, \$0.065 million of this amount was attributed to credit and was recognized in our consolidated statements of operations while the balance was attributed to other factors and reflected in our consolidated statements of other comprehensive income (loss).

As management does not intend to liquidate these securities and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell these securities prior to recovery of these unrealized losses, no other declines discussed above are deemed to be other than temporary.

Obligations of states and political subdivisions at December 31, 2009 we had 32 municipal securities whose fair value is less than amortized cost. The unrealized losses are largely attributed to a widening of market spreads and continued illiquidity for certain issues. The majority of the securities are not rated by a major rating agency. Approximately 75% of the non rated securities originally had a AAA credit rating by virtue of bond insurance. However, the insurance provider no longer has an investment grade rating. The remaining non rated issues are small local issues that did not receive a credit rating due to the size of the transaction. The non-rated securities have a periodic internal credit review according to established procedures. As management does not intend to liquidate these securities and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell these securities prior to recovery of these unrealized losses, no declines are deemed to be other than temporary.

Trust preferred securities at December 31, 2009 we had six securities whose fair value is less than amortized cost. All of our trust preferred securities are single issue securities issued by a trust subsidiary of a bank holding company. The pricing of trust preferred securities over the past two years has suffered from significant credit spread widening fueled by uncertainty regarding potential losses of financial companies, the absence of a liquid functioning secondary market and potential supply concerns from financial companies issuing new debt to recapitalize themselves. Since the end of the first quarter, although still showing signs of weakness, pricing has improved somewhat as some uncertainty has been taken out of the market. Two of the six securities are rated by a major rating agency as investment grade, while two are split rated (these securities are rated as investment grade by one major rating agency and below investment grade by another) and the other two are non-rated. The two non-rated issues are relatively small banks and neither of these issues were ever rated. The issuers on these trust preferred securities, which had a combined book value of \$2.8 million and a combined fair value of \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2009, continue to make interest payments and have satisfactory credit metrics.

Our OTTI analysis for trust preferred securities is based on a security level financial analysis of the issuer. This review considers: external credit ratings, maturity date of the instrument, the scope of the bank's operations, relevant financial metrics and recent issuer specific news. The analysis of relevant financial metrics includes: capital adequacy, assets quality, earnings and liquidity. We use the same OTTI review methodology for both rated and non-rated issues. During the first quarter of 2009 we recorded OTTI on an unrated trust preferred security whose fair value at December 31, 2009 now exceeds its amortized cost. Specifically, this issuer has deferred interest payments on all of its trust preferred securities and is operating under a written agreement with the regulatory agencies that specifically prohibit dividend payments. The issuer is a relatively small bank with operations centered in southeast Michigan. The issuer reported losses in 2009 and 2008 and has a high volume of nonperforming assets relative to tangible capital. This investment's amortized cost has been written down to a price of 26.75, or \$0.07 million, compared to a par value of 100.00, or \$0.25 million.

Portfolio Loans and asset quality. In addition to the communities served by our bank branch network, our principal lending markets also include nearby communities and metropolitan areas. Subject to established underwriting criteria, we also historically participated in commercial lending transactions with certain non-affiliated banks and also purchased mortgage loans from third-party originators. Currently, we are not engaging in any new commercial loan participations with non-affiliated banks or purchasing any mortgage loans from third party originators.

The senior management and board of directors of our bank retain authority and responsibility for credit decisions and we have adopted uniform underwriting standards. Our loan committee structure and the loan review process, attempt to provide requisite controls and promote compliance with such established underwriting standards. There can be no assurance that the aforementioned lending procedures and the use of uniform underwriting standards will prevent us from the possibility of incurring significant credit losses in our lending activities and in fact the provision for loan losses increased during 2009 as well as in 2008 and 2007 from prior historical levels.

We generally retain loans that may be profitably funded within established risk parameters. (See "Asset/liability management.") As a result, we may hold adjustable-rate and balloon real estate mortgage loans as Portfolio Loans, while 15- and 30-year, fixed-rate obligations are generally sold to mitigate exposure to changes in interest rates. (See

"Non-interest income.")

Loan Portfolio Composition

	2009	December 31,	2008
	(In thousands)		
Real estate(1)			
Residential first mortgages	\$ 684,567	\$	760,201
Residential home equity and other junior mortgages	203,222		229,865
Construction and land development	69,496		127,092
Other(2)	585,988		666,876
Finance receivables	406,341		286,836
Commercial	187,110		207,516
Consumer	156,213		171,747
Agricultural	6,435		9,396
Total loans	\$ 2,299,372	\$	2,459,529

- (1) Includes both residential and non-residential commercial loans secured by real estate.
- (2) Includes loans secured by multi-family residential and non-farm, non-residential property.

Future growth of overall Portfolio Loans is dependent upon a number of competitive and economic factors. Overall loan growth has slowed during the past two years reflecting both weak economic conditions in Michigan as well as our desire to reduce certain loan categories. Construction and land development loans have been declining recently because we are seeking to shrink this portion of our Portfolio Loans due to a very poor economic climate for real estate development, particularly residential real estate. Declines in Portfolio Loans or competition that leads to lower relative pricing on new Portfolio Loans could adversely impact our future operating results.

Non-Performing Assets

	2009	December 31, 2008	2007
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Non-accrual loans	\$ 105,965	\$ 122,639	\$ 72,682
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest	3,940	2,626	4,394
Total non-performing loans	109,905	125,265	77,076
Other real estate and repossessed assets	31,534	19,998	9,723
Total non-performing assets	\$ 141,439	\$ 145,263	\$ 86,799
As a percent of Portfolio Loans			
Non-performing loans	4.78%	5.09%	3.06%
Allowance for loan losses	3.55	2.35	1.80
Non-performing assets to total assets	4.77	4.91	2.67
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of non-performing loans	74	46	59

Non-performing loans have declined by \$15.4 million, or 12.3%, since year-end 2008. An increase in non-performing mortgage loans and consumer loans was more than offset by a decline in non-performing commercial loans. The decline in non-performing commercial loans is primarily due to net charge-offs and the payoff or other disposition of non-performing credits during 2009. Non-performing commercial loans largely reflect real estate-secured credit delinquencies caused primarily by cash flow difficulties encountered by real estate developers in Michigan as they confront a significant decline in sales. The elevated level of non-performing residential mortgage loans is primarily due to a rise in delinquencies and foreclosures reflecting both weak economic conditions and soft residential real estate values in many parts of Michigan.

Other real estate ("ORE") and repossessed assets totaled \$31.5 million at December 31, 2009, compared to \$20.0 million at December 31, 2008. This increase is the result of the migration of non-performing loans secured by real estate into ORE as the foreclosure process is completed and any redemption period expires. High foreclosure rates are evident nationwide, but Michigan has consistently had one of the higher foreclosure rates in the U.S. during the past two years. We believe that this high foreclosure rate is due to both weak economic conditions (Michigan has the highest unemployment rate in the U.S.) and declining residential real estate values (which has eroded or eliminated the equity that many mortgagors had in their home). Because the redemption period on foreclosures is relatively long in Michigan (six months to one year) and we have many non-performing loans that were in the process of foreclosure at December 31, 2009, we anticipate that our level of other real estate and repossessed assets will likely remain at elevated levels for some period of time. A high level of non-performing assets would be expected to adversely impact our tax equivalent net interest income.

We will place a loan that is 90 days or more past due on non-accrual, unless we believe the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. Accordingly, we have determined that the collection of the accrued and unpaid interest on any loans that are 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest is probable.

Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses

	2009	December 31, 2008 (In thousands)	2007
Specific allocations	\$ 29,593	\$ 16,788	\$ 10,713
Other adversely rated loans	14,481	9,511	10,804
Historical loss allocations	22,777	20,270	14,668
Additional allocations based on subjective factors	14,866	11,331	9,109
Total	\$ 81,717	\$ 57,900	\$ 45,294

In determining the allowance and the related provision for credit losses, we consider four principal elements: (i) specific allocations based upon probable losses identified during the review of the loan portfolio, (ii) allocations established for other adversely rated loans, (iii) allocations based principally on historical loan loss experience, and (iv) additional allowances based on subjective factors, including local and general economic business factors and trends, portfolio concentrations and changes in the size, mix and/or the general terms of the loan portfolios.

The first element reflects our estimate of probable losses based upon our systematic review of specific loans. These estimates are based upon a number of objective factors, such as payment history, financial condition of the borrower, and discounted collateral exposure.

The second element reflects the application of our loan rating system. This rating system is similar to those employed by state and federal banking regulators. Loans that are rated below a certain predetermined classification are assigned a loss allocation factor for each loan classification category that is based upon a historical analysis of both the probability of default and the expected loss rate ("loss given default"). The lower the rating assigned to a loan or category, the greater the allocation percentage that is applied. For higher rated loans ("non-watch credit") we again determine a probability of default and loss given default in order to apply an allocation percentage.

The third element is determined by assigning allocations to homogeneous loan groups based principally upon the five-year average of loss experience for each type of loan. Recent years are weighted more heavily in this average. Average losses may be further adjusted based on an analysis of delinquent loans. Loss analyses are conducted at least annually.

The fourth element is based on factors that cannot be associated with a specific credit or loan category and reflects our attempt to ensure that the overall allowance for loan losses appropriately reflects a margin for the imprecision necessarily inherent in the estimates of expected credit losses. We consider a number of subjective factors when

determining this fourth element, including local and general economic business factors and trends, portfolio concentrations and changes in the size, mix and the general terms of the loan portfolios. (See "Provision for credit losses.")

Mepco's allowance for loan losses is determined in a similar manner as discussed above and primarily takes into account historical loss experience and other subjective factors deemed relevant to their business as described in greater detail below.

Losses associated with the administration of Mepco's payment plans are included in the provision for loan losses. Such losses totaled \$0.3 million, \$0.04 million and \$0.4 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Mepco's allowance for loan losses totaled \$0.8 million and \$0.5 million at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Mepco has established procedures for payment plan servicing/administration and collections, including the timely cancellation of the vehicle service contract, in order to protect our collateral position in the event of payment default or voluntary cancellation by the customer. Mepco also has established procedures to attempt to prevent and detect fraud since the payment plan origination activities and initial customer contact is entirely done through unrelated third parties (vehicle service contract administrators and sellers or automobile dealerships). There can be no assurance that the aforementioned risk management policies and procedures will prevent us from the possibility of incurring significant credit or fraud related losses in this business segment.

The allowance for loan losses increased to 3.55% of total Portfolio Loans at December 31, 2009 from 2.35% at December 31, 2008. This increase is primarily due to increases in all of the components of the allowance for loan losses outlined above. The allowance for loan losses related to specific loans increased due to some larger reserves on some individual credits even though total non-performing commercial loans have declined since year end 2008. The allowance for loan losses related to other adversely rated loans increased primarily due to changes in the mix of commercial loan ratings. The allowance for loan losses related to historical losses increased due to higher loan net charge-offs (which was largely offset by declines in loan balances). Finally, the allowance for loan losses related to subjective factors increased primarily due to weaker economic conditions in Michigan that have contributed to elevated levels of non-performing loans and net loan charge-offs.

Allowance for Losses on Loans and Unfunded Commitments

	2009		2008		2007	
	Loan Losses	Unfunded Commitments	Loan Losses	Unfunded Commitments	Loan Losses	Unfunded Commitments
	(In thousands)					
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 57,900	\$ 2,144	\$ 45,294	\$ 1,936	\$ 26,879	\$ 1,881
Provision charged to operating expense	103,318	(286)	71,113	208	43,105	55
Recoveries credited to allowance	2,795		3,489		2,346	
Loans charged against the allowance	(82,296)		(61,996)		(27,036)	
Balance at end of year	\$ 81,717	\$ 1,858	\$ 57,900	\$ 2,144	\$ 45,294	\$ 1,936
Net loans charged against the allowance to average Portfolio Loans	3.28%		2.30%		0.98%	

The ratio of loan net charge-offs to average loans was 3.28% in 2009 (or \$79.5 million) compared to 2.30% in 2008 (or \$58.5 million). The rise in loan net charge-offs primarily reflects increases of \$9.3 million for commercial loans and \$10.5 million for residential mortgage loans. These increases in loan net charge-offs primarily reflect elevated levels of non-performing loans and lower collateral liquidation values, particularly on residential real estate or real estate held for development. We do not believe that the elevated level of total loan net charge-offs in 2009 is indicative of what we will experience in the future. Loan net charge-offs have moderated during 2009 with \$48.4 million in the first six months compared to \$31.1 million in the last six months. The majority of the loan net charge-offs in the first part of 2009 related to commercial loans and in particular several land or land development loans (due to significant drops in real estate values) and one large commercial credit (which defaulted in March 2009). Land and land development loans now total just \$59.8 million (or 2.0% of total assets) and approximately 56% of these loans are already in non-performing or watch credit status and the entire portfolio has been carefully evaluated and an appropriate allowance or charge-off has been recorded. Further, the commercial loan portfolio is thoroughly analyzed each quarter through our credit review process and an appropriate allowance and provision for loan losses is recorded based on such review and in light of prevailing market conditions.

We took a variety of steps beginning in 2007 (and which continued throughout 2008 and 2009) to address the credit issues identified above (elevated levels of watch credits, non-performing loans and other real estate and repossessed assets), including the following:

An enhanced quarterly watch credit review process to proactively manage higher risk loans.

Loan risk ratings are independently assigned and structure recommendations made upfront by our credit officers.

A Special Assets Group has been established to provide more effective management of our most troubled loans. A select group of law firms supports this team, providing professional advice and systemic feedback.

An independent loan review function provides portfolio/individual loan feedback to evaluate the effectiveness of processes by market.

Management (incentive) objectives for each commercial lender and senior commercial lender emphasize credit quality in addition to profitability.

Portfolio concentrations are monitored with select loan types encouraged and other loan types (such as residential real estate development) requiring significantly higher approval authorities.

Deposits and borrowings. Our competitive position within many of the markets served by our branch network limits our ability to materially increase deposits without adversely impacting the weighted-average cost of core deposits. Accordingly, we principally compete on the basis of convenience and personal service, while employing pricing tactics that are intended to enhance the value of core deposits.

To attract new core deposits, we have implemented a high-performance checking program that utilizes a combination of direct mail solicitations, in-branch merchandising, gifts for customers opening new checking accounts or referring business to our bank and branch staff sales training. This program has historically generated increases in customer relationships as well as deposit service charges. Over the past two to three years we have also expanded our treasury management products and services for commercial businesses and municipalities or other governmental units and have also increased our sales calling efforts in order to attract additional deposit relationships from these sectors. Despite these efforts our historic core deposit growth has not kept pace with the historic growth of our Portfolio Loans. We view long-term core deposit growth as a significant challenge. Core deposits generally provide a more stable and lower cost source of funds than alternative sources such as short-term borrowings. As a result, the continued funding of Portfolio Loans with alternative sources of funds (as opposed to core deposits) may erode certain of our profitability measures, such as return on assets, and may also adversely impact our liquidity. (See "Liquidity and capital resources.")

During the fourth quarter of 2009 we prepaid estimated quarterly deposit insurance premium assessments to the FDIC for periods through the fourth quarter of 2012. These estimated quarterly deposit insurance premium assessments were based on projected deposit balances over the assessment periods. The prepaid deposit insurance premium assessments totaled \$22.0 million at December 31, 2009 and will be expensed over the assessment period (through the fourth quarter of 2012). The actual expense over the assessment periods may be different from this prepaid amount due to various factors including variances in actual deposit balances and assessment rates used during each assessment period.

We have also implemented strategies that incorporate federal funds purchased, other borrowings and Brokered CDs to fund a portion of any increases in interest earning assets. The use of such alternate sources of funds supplements our core deposits and is also an integral part of our asset/liability management efforts.

Alternate Sources of Funds

	Amount	2009 Average Maturity	December 31,		2008 Average Maturity	Rate
			Rate (Dollars in thousands)	Amount		
Brokered CDs(1)	\$ 629,150	2.2 years	2.46%	\$ 182,283	1.1 years	3.63%
Fixed-rate FHLB advances(1)	27,382	5.5 years	6.59	95,714	2.2 years	3.64
Variable-rate FHLB advances(1)	67,000	1.4 years	0.32	218,500	2.3 years	3.43
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase(1)	35,000	.9 years	4.42	35,000	1.9 years	4.42
FRB borrowings				189,500	.1 years	0.54
Federal funds purchased				750	1 day	0.25
Total	\$ 758,532	2.2 years	2.51%	\$ 721,747	1.4 years	2.80%

(1) Certain of these items have had their average maturity and rate altered through the use of derivative instruments, such as pay-fixed interest-rate swaps.

Other borrowings, principally advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (the "FHLB"), borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank (the "FRB") and securities sold under agreements to repurchase ("Repurchase Agreements"), totaled \$131.2 million at December 31, 2009, compared to \$542.0 million at December 31, 2008. The \$410.8 million decrease in other borrowed funds principally reflects the payoff of borrowings from the FRB and FHLB with funds from new Brokered CDs or from the growth in other deposits. The increase in Brokered CDs and use of these funds to pay off borrowings from the FRB and FHLB is designed to improve our liquidity profile. The Brokered CDs that we are issuing do not require any collateral and have longer maturity dates (generally two to five years). By paying off FRB and FHLB borrowings (which do require collateral), we increase our secured borrowing capacity.

As described above, we rely on wholesale funding, including FRB and FHLB borrowings and Brokered CDs to augment our core deposits to fund our business. As of December 31, 2009, our use of such wholesale funding sources amounted to approximately \$760.3 million. Because wholesale funding sources are affected by general market conditions, the availability of funding from wholesale lenders may be dependent on the confidence these investors have in our financial condition and operations. The continued availability to us of these funding sources is uncertain, and Brokered CDs may be difficult for us to retain or replace at attractive rates as they mature. Our liquidity will be constrained if we are unable to renew our wholesale funding sources or if adequate financing is not available in the future at acceptable rates of interest or at all. We may not have sufficient liquidity to continue to fund new loans, and we may need to liquidate loans or other assets unexpectedly, in order to repay obligations as they mature.

In addition, if we fail to remain "well-capitalized" under federal regulatory standards, which is likely if we are unable to successfully raise additional capital as outlined below, we will be prohibited from accepting or renewing Brokered CDs without the prior consent of the FDIC. As of December 31, 2009, we had Brokered CDs of approximately \$629.2 million. Of this amount \$185.5 million mature during 2010. As a result, any such restrictions on our ability to access Brokered CDs is likely to have a material adverse impact on our business and financial condition.

Moreover, we cannot be sure that we will be able to maintain our current level of core deposits. Our deposit customers could move their deposits in reaction to media reports about bank failures in general (as discussed in "Liquidity and capital resources" below) or in reaction to negative publicity we may receive as a result of the pursuit of our capital raising initiatives or, particularly, if we are unable to successfully complete such initiatives. In particular, those deposits that are currently uninsured or those deposits in the FDIC Transaction Account Guarantee Program ("TAGP"), which is set to expire on June 30, 2010, may be particularly susceptible to outflow. At December 31, 2009 we had \$65.4 million of uninsured deposits and an additional \$188.3 million of deposits in the TAGP. A reduction in core deposits would increase our need to rely on wholesale funding sources, at a time when our ability to do so may be more restricted, as described above.

Our financial performance will be materially affected if we are unable to maintain our access to funding or if we are required to rely more heavily on more expensive funding sources. In such case, our net interest income and results of operations would be adversely affected.

Prior to April 2008, we had an unsecured revolving credit facility and term loan (that had a remaining balance of \$2.5 million). The lender elected to not renew the \$10.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility (which matured in April 2008) and required repayment of the term loan because we were out of compliance with certain financial covenants contained within the loan documents. The \$2.5 million term loan was repaid in full in April 2008 (it would have otherwise been repaid in full in accordance with the original terms in May 2009).

We employ derivative financial instruments to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates. At December 31, 2009, we employed interest-rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$160.0 million and interest rate caps with an aggregate notional amount of \$95.0 million.

Liquidity and capital resources. Liquidity risk is the risk of being unable to timely meet obligations as they come due at a reasonable funding cost or without incurring unacceptable losses. Our liquidity management involves the measurement and monitoring of a variety of sources and uses of funds. Our consolidated statements of cash flows categorize these sources and uses into operating, investing and financing activities. We primarily focus our liquidity management on developing access to a variety of borrowing sources to supplement our deposit gathering activities and provide funds for growing our investment and loan portfolios as well as to be able to respond to unforeseen liquidity needs.

Our sources of funds include our deposit base, secured advances from the FHLB, secured borrowings from the FRB, a federal funds purchased borrowing facility with another commercial bank, and access to the capital markets (for Brokered CDs).

At December 31, 2009 we had \$512.4 million of time deposits that mature in the next twelve months. Historically, a majority of these maturing time deposits are renewed by our customers or are Brokered CDs that we expect to replace. Additionally \$1.394 billion of our deposits at December 31, 2009 were in account types from which the customer could withdraw the funds on demand. Changes in the balances of deposits that can be withdrawn upon demand are usually predictable and the total balances of these accounts have generally grown or have been stable over time as a result of our marketing and promotional activities. There can be no assurance that historical patterns of renewing time deposits or overall growth in deposits will continue in the future.

In particular, media reports about bank failures have created concerns among depositors at banks throughout the country, including certain of our customers, particularly those with deposit balances in excess of deposit insurance limits. In response, the FDIC announced several programs during 2008 including increasing the deposit insurance

limit from \$100,000 to \$250,000 at least until December 31, 2013 and providing unlimited deposit insurance for balances in non-interest bearing demand deposit and certain low-interest (an interest rate of 0.50% or less) transaction accounts until June 30, 2010. We have proactively sought to provide appropriate information to our deposit customers about our organization in order to retain our business and deposit relationships. Despite these moves by the FDIC and our proactive communications efforts, the potential outflow of deposits remains as a significant liquidity risk, particularly since our recent losses and our elevated level of non-performing assets have reduced some of the financial ratings of our bank that are followed by our larger deposit customers, such as municipalities. The outflow of significant amounts of deposits could have an adverse impact on our liquidity and results of operations.

We have developed contingency funding plans that stress tests our liquidity needs that may arise from certain events such as an adverse credit event or a disaster recovery situation. Our liquidity management also includes periodic monitoring that segregates assets between liquid and illiquid and classifies liabilities as core and non-core. This analysis compares our total level of illiquid assets to our core funding. It is our goal to have core funding sufficient to finance illiquid assets.

As a result of the liquidity risks described above and in "Deposits and borrowings" we have increased our level of overnight cash balances in interest-bearing accounts to \$223.5 million at December 31, 2009 from \$0.2 million at December 31, 2008. We have also issued longer-term (two to five years) callable Brokered CDs and paid down secured borrowings to increase available funding sources. We believe these actions will assist us in meeting our liquidity needs during 2010.

In the normal course of business, we enter into certain contractual obligations. Such obligations include requirements to make future payments on debt and lease arrangements, contractual commitments for capital expenditures, and service contracts. The table below summarizes our significant contractual obligations at December 31, 2009.

Contractual Commitments(1)

	1 Year			After	
	or Less	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	5 Years	Total
			(In thousands)		
Time deposit maturities	\$ 512,415	\$ 399,255	\$ 257,483	\$ 2,167	\$ 1,171,320
Other borrowings	42,800	69,634	4,240	14,508	131,182
Subordinated debentures				92,888	92,888
Operating lease obligations	1,179	1,979	1,658	4,813	9,629
Purchase obligations(2)	1,469	1,958			3,427
Total	\$ 557,863	\$ 472,826	\$ 263,381	\$ 114,376	\$ 1,408,446

(1) Excludes approximately \$0.9 million of accrued tax and interest relative to uncertain tax benefits due to the high degree of uncertainty as to when, or if, those amounts would be paid.

(2) Includes contracts with a minimum annual payment of \$1.0 million and are not cancellable within one year.

Effective management of capital resources is critical to our mission to create value for our shareholders. The cost of capital is an important factor in creating shareholder value and, accordingly, our capital structure includes cumulative trust preferred securities and cumulative preferred stock.

Capitalization

	2009	December 31,	2008
	(In thousands)		
Subordinated debentures	\$ 92,888		\$ 92,888
Amount not qualifying as regulatory capital	(2,788)		(2,788)
Amount qualifying as regulatory capital	90,100		90,100

Shareholders' equity		
Preferred stock	69,157	68,456
Common stock	23,863	22,791
Capital surplus	201,618	200,687
Accumulated deficit	(169,098)	(73,849)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(15,679)	(23,208)
Total shareholders' equity	109,861	194,877
Total capitalization	\$ 199,961	\$ 284,977

We have four special purpose entities that have issued \$90.1 million of cumulative trust preferred securities outside of IBC. Currently, at IBC, \$41.9 million of these securities qualify as Tier 1 capital and the balance qualify as Tier 2 capital. These entities have also issued common securities and capital to IBC, that, in turn, issued subordinated debentures to these special purpose entities equal to the trust preferred securities, common securities and capital issued. The subordinated debentures represent the sole asset of the special purpose entities. The common securities, capital and subordinated debentures are included in our consolidated statements of financial condition at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

The Federal Reserve Board has issued rules regarding trust preferred securities as a component of the Tier 1 capital of bank holding companies. The aggregate amount of trust preferred securities and certain other capital elements is limited to 25 percent of Tier 1 capital elements, net of goodwill (net of any associated deferred tax liability). The amount of trust preferred securities and certain other elements in excess of the limit could be included in the Tier 2 capital, subject to restrictions.

In December 2008, we issued 72,000 shares of Series A, no par value, \$1,000 liquidation preference, fixed rate cumulative perpetual preferred stock ("Series A Preferred Stock") and a warrant to purchase 3,461,538 shares (at \$3.12 per share) of our common stock ("Warrant") to the Treasury in return for \$72.0 million under the TARP CPP. Of the total proceeds, \$68.4 million was originally allocated to the Series A Preferred Stock and \$3.6 million was allocated to the Warrant (included in capital surplus) based on the relative fair value of each. The \$3.6 million discount on the Preferred Stock is being accreted using an effective yield method over five years. The accretion is being recorded as part of the Series A Preferred Stock dividend.

The Series A Preferred Stock pays a quarterly, cumulative cash dividend at a rate of 5% per annum on the \$1,000 liquidation preference to, but excluding February 15, 2014 and at a rate of 9% per annum thereafter. We are subject to various regulatory policies and requirements relating to the payment of dividends, including requirements to maintain adequate capital above regulatory minimums. Prior to December 12, 2011, even if we are current on the payment of dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock, we may not do either of the following without the prior written consent of the Treasury: (a) pay cash dividends on our common stock to shareholders of more than \$0.01 per share per quarter, as adjusted for any stock split, stock dividend, reverse stock split, reclassification or similar transaction; or (b) repurchase any of our common stock or redeem any of our trust preferred securities, other than certain excepted redemptions of common stock in connection with the administration of employee benefit plans in the ordinary course of business and consistent with past practice. These restrictions described in the preceding sentence expire in the event we redeem all shares of Series A Preferred Stock or in the event the Treasury transfers all of its shares of Series A Preferred Stock to an unaffiliated transferee. Holders of shares of the Series A Preferred Stock have no right to exchange or convert such shares into any other securities of IBC.

The annual 5% dividend on the Series A Preferred Stock together with the amortization of the discount will reduce net income (or increase the net loss) applicable to common stock by approximately \$4.3 million annually. The exercise price on the Warrant of \$3.12 per share is presently above both our book value per share and our tangible book value per share. If our market value per share exceeds the Warrant price, our diluted earnings per share will be reduced. However, the exercise of the Warrant would not presently be dilutive to our current book value per share.

On April 2, 2010, we entered into an Exchange Agreement with the Treasury, pursuant to which the Treasury agreed to accept, subject to certain conditions, our newly issued shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock in exchange for the Series A Preferred Stock, plus the value of all accrued and unpaid dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock. The terms of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock are substantially similar to the Series A Preferred Stock, except for the addition of a conversion feature. If and when issued, the shares of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock are convertible into shares of our common stock. See "Description of Capital Stock" below for more information.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, we took certain actions to improve our regulatory capital ratios and preserve capital and liquidity. Beginning in November of 2009, we eliminated the \$0.01 per share quarterly cash dividend on our common stock. In addition, we suspended payment of quarterly dividends on our Series A Preferred Stock held by the Treasury. The cash dividends payable to the Treasury amount to \$3.6 million per year until December of 2013, at which time they will increase to \$6.5 million per year. Also beginning in the fourth quarter of 2009, we exercised our right to defer all quarterly interest payments on the subordinated debentures we issued to our trust subsidiaries. As a result, all quarterly dividends on the related trust preferred securities (which are the trust preferred securities solicited for exchange in the exchange offers described herein) were also deferred. Based on current dividend rates, the cash dividends on all outstanding trust preferred securities amount to approximately \$5.4 million per year. These actions will preserve cash at IBC as we do not expect Independent Bank, our bank subsidiary, to be able to pay any cash dividends in the near term. Dividends from the bank are restricted by federal and state law and are further restricted by the Board resolutions adopted in December 2009, and described herein.

We do not have any current plans to resume dividend payments on our outstanding trust preferred securities or the outstanding shares of our Series A Preferred Stock. We do not know if or when any such payments will resume.

The terms of the Debentures and trust indentures (the "Indentures") allow us to defer payment of interest on the Debt Securities at any time or from time to time for up to 20 consecutive quarters provided no event of default (as defined in the Indentures) has occurred and is continuing. We are not in default with respect to the Indentures, and the deferral of interest does not constitute an event of default under the Indentures. While we defer the payment of interest, we will continue to accrue the interest expense owed at the applicable interest rate. Upon the expiration of the deferral, all accrued and unpaid interest is due and payable.

So long as any shares of Series A Preferred Stock (or the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock to be issued to the Treasury in exchange for the Series A Preferred Stock) remain outstanding, unless all accrued and unpaid dividends for all prior dividend periods have been paid or are contemporaneously declared and paid in full, (a) no dividend whatsoever may be paid or declared on our common stock or other junior stock, other than a dividend payable solely in common stock and other than certain dividends or distributions of rights in connection with a shareholders' rights plan; and (b) neither we nor any of our subsidiaries may purchase, redeem or otherwise acquire for consideration any shares of our common stock or other junior stock unless we have paid in full all accrued dividends on the preferred stock for all prior dividend periods, other than purchases, redemptions or other acquisitions of our common stock or other junior stock in connection with the administration of employee benefit plans in the ordinary course of business and consistent with past practice; pursuant to a publicly announced repurchase plan up to the increase in diluted shares outstanding resulting from the grant, vesting or exercise of equity-based compensation; any dividends or distributions of rights or junior stock in connection with any shareholders' rights plan, redemptions or repurchases of rights pursuant to any shareholders' rights plan; acquisition of record ownership of common stock or other junior stock or parity stock for the beneficial ownership of any other person who is not us or one of our subsidiaries, including as trustee or custodian; and the exchange or conversion of common stock or other junior stock for or into other junior stock or of parity stock for or into other parity stock or junior stock but only to the extent that such acquisition is required pursuant to binding contractual agreements entered into before December 12, 2008 or any subsequent agreement for the accelerated exercise, settlement or exchange thereof for common stock.

During the deferral period on the Debentures and the preferred stock held by the Treasury, we may not declare or pay any dividends or distributions on, or redeem, purchase, acquire or make a liquidation payment with respect to, any of our capital stock. Suspension of the common stock dividend will conserve an additional \$1.0 million on an annualized basis.

To supplement our balance sheet and capital management activities, we historically would repurchase our common stock. The level of share repurchases in a given time period generally reflected changes in our need for capital associated with our balance sheet growth and our level of earnings. The only share repurchases currently being executed are for our deferred compensation and stock purchase plan for non-employee directors. Such repurchases are funded by the director deferring a portion of his or her fees.

Shareholders' equity applicable to common stock declined to \$40.7 million at December 31, 2009 from \$126.4 million at December 31, 2008. Our tangible common equity ("TCE") totaled \$30.4 million and \$97.5 million, respectively, at those same dates. Our ratio of TCE to tangible assets was 1.03% at December 31, 2009 compared to 3.33% at December 31, 2008 (this calculation does not deduct any net deferred taxes). We are exploring various alternatives in order to increase our TCE and regulatory capital ratios as described below. Although our regulatory capital ratios remain at levels above "well capitalized" standards, because of: (a) the losses that we have incurred in recent quarters; (b) our elevated levels of non-performing loans and other real estate; (c) the ongoing economic stress in Michigan; and (d) our anticipated losses for the foreseeable future, we have taken or may take the following actions to improve our regulatory capital ratios and preserve liquidity at our holding company level:

Eliminated our cash dividend on our common stock;

Deferred the dividends on our Series A Preferred Stock;

Deferred the dividends on our Debentures;

Seek to convert all of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (if and when exchanged for our Series A Preferred Stock as described in this prospectus) and/or trust preferred securities into common stock; and

Attempt to raise additional capital, including the possibility of a significant and large issuance of common stock, which could be highly dilutive to our existing shareholders.

The actions taken with respect to the payment of dividends on our capital instruments as described above will preserve cash at our bank holding company as we do not expect our bank subsidiary to be able to pay any cash dividends in the near term. Although there are no specific regulations restricting dividend payments by bank holding companies (other than State corporate laws) the FRB (our primary federal regulator) has issued a policy statement on cash dividend payments. The FRB's view is that: "an organization experiencing earnings weaknesses or other financial pressures should not maintain a level of cash dividends that exceeds its net income, that is inconsistent with the organization's capital position, or that can only be funded in ways that may weaken the organization's financial health."

In December 2009, the Board of Directors of IBC adopted resolutions that impose the following restrictions:

We will not pay dividends on our outstanding common stock or the outstanding preferred stock held by the Treasury and we will not pay distributions on our outstanding trust preferred securities without, in each case, the prior written approval of the FRB and the Michigan Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation ("OFIR");

We will not incur or guarantee any additional indebtedness without the prior approval of the FRB;

We will not repurchase or redeem any of our common stock without the prior approval of the FRB; and

We will not rescind or materially modify any of these limitations without notice to the FRB and the Michigan OFIR.

In December 2009, the Board of Directors of Independent Bank, our subsidiary bank, adopted resolutions designed to enhance certain aspects of the bank's performance and, most importantly, to improve the bank's capital position. These resolutions require the following:

The adoption by the bank of a capital restoration plan as described below;

The enhancement of the bank's documentation of the rationale for discounts applied to collateral valuations on impaired loans and improved support for the identification, tracking, and reporting of loans classified as troubled debt restructurings;

The adoption of certain changes and enhancements to our liquidity monitoring and contingency planning and our interest rate risk management practices;

Additional reporting to the bank Board of Directors regarding initiatives and plans pursued by management to improve the bank's risk management practices;

Prior approval of the FRB and OFIR for any dividends or distributions to be paid by the bank to Independent Bank Corporation; and

Notice to the FRB and the OFIR of any rescission of or material modification to any of these resolutions.

The substance of all of the resolutions described above was developed in conjunction with discussions held with the FRB and the OFIR in response to the FRB's most recent examination report of Independent Bank, which was completed in October 2009. Based on those discussions, we acted proactively to adopt the resolutions described above to address those areas of the Bank's condition and operations that were highlighted in the examination report and that we believe most require our focus at this time. It is very possible that if we had not adopted these resolutions, the FRB and the OFIR may have imposed similar requirements on us through a memorandum of understanding or similar undertaking. We are not currently subject to any such regulatory agreement or enforcement action. However, we believe that if we are unable to substantially comply with the resolutions set forth above and if our financial condition and performance do not otherwise materially improve, we may face additional regulatory scrutiny and restrictions in the form of a memorandum of understanding or similar undertaking imposed by the regulators.

Subsequent to the adoption of the resolutions described above, the bank adopted the capital restoration plan required by the resolutions. This capital plan is described in more detail below. Other than fully implementing such capital plan and achieving the minimum capital ratios set forth in the resolutions, we believe we have already taken appropriate actions to fully comply with these Board resolutions.

In January 2010, we adopted a Capital Restoration Plan (the "Capital Plan"), as required by the Board resolutions adopted in December 2009, and described above, and submitted such Capital Plan to the FRB and the OFIR.

The primary objective of our Capital Plan is to achieve and thereafter maintain the minimum capital ratios required by the Board resolutions adopted in December 2009. As of December 31, 2009, our bank continued to meet the requirements to be considered "well-capitalized" under federal regulatory standards. However, the minimum capital ratios established by our Board are higher than the ratios required in order to be considered "well-capitalized" under federal standards. The Board imposed these higher ratios in order to ensure that we have sufficient capital to withstand potential continuing losses based on our elevated level of non-performing assets and given certain other risks and uncertainties we face. Set forth below are the actual capital ratios of our subsidiary bank as of December 31, 2009, the

minimum capital ratios imposed by the Board resolutions, and the minimum ratios necessary to be considered "well-capitalized" under federal regulatory standards:

	Independent Bank Actual as of 12/31/09	Minimum Ratios Established by Our Board	Required to be Well-Capitalized
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	10.36%	11.0%	10.0%
Tier 1 Capital to Average Total Assets	6.72%	8.0%	5.0%

The Capital Plan sets forth an objective of achieving these minimum capital ratios as soon as practicable and maintaining such capital ratios through at least the end of 2012.

The Capital Plan includes projections prepared by the bank's management that reflect forecasted financial data through 2012. Those projections anticipate a need for a minimum of \$60 million of new capital in order for us to achieve and maintain the minimum ratios established by our Board. The projections take into account the various risks and uncertainties we face. However, because the projections are based on assumptions regarding such risks and uncertainties, which assumptions may not prove to be true, the Capital Plan contains a target of \$100 million to \$125 million of new capital to be raised by IBC.

The Capital Plan sets forth certain initiatives to be pursued in order to raise new capital and meet the objectives of the Capital Plan. Based on discussions with the investment bankers we have retained to assist us in raising capital, our Capital Plan concludes that our best option for raising new capital is through the sale of additional shares of our common stock in a public offering. We anticipate that all or substantially all of the proceeds of such an offering would be contributed to the capital of our bank.

In anticipation of the capital raising initiatives described in the Capital Plan, we engaged an independent third party to perform a due diligence review (a "stress test") on our commercial loan portfolio and a separate independent third party to perform a similar review of our retail loan portfolio. These independent stress tests were concluded in January 2010. Each analysis included different scenarios based on expectations of future economic conditions. We engaged these independent reviews in order to ensure that the similar analyses we had performed internally in 2009, on which we based our projections for future expected loan losses and our need for additional capital, were reasonable and did not materially understate our projected loan losses. Based on the conclusions of these third party reviews, we determined that we did not need to modify our projections used for purposes of the Capital Plan.

In addition to contemplating a public offering of our common stock for cash, the Capital Plan contemplates two other primary initiatives: (1) the exchange offers for our outstanding trust preferred securities described in this prospectus, and (2) the conversion of our shares of Series A Preferred Stock held by the Treasury into shares of our common stock. These two initiatives are designed to do the following:

improve our holding company's ratio of tangible common equity (TCE) to tangible assets;

reduce required annual interest and dividend payments by reducing the aggregate principal amount of outstanding trust preferred securities and outstanding shares of preferred stock; and

improve our ability to successfully raise additional capital through a public offering of our common stock (which is required to improve the capital ratios of our Bank as the other initiatives contemplated by the Capital Plan would impact the capital ratios of the holding company only).

Our Capital Plan also outlines various contingency plans in case we do not succeed in raising all additional capital needed. These contingency plans include a possible further reduction in our assets (such as through a sale of branches, loans, and/or other operating divisions or subsidiaries), more significant expense reductions than those that have already been implemented and those that are currently being considered, and a sale of the bank. Because of current market conditions and based on discussions with our investment bankers and informal discussions we have held in the past with potential buyers for certain of our assets, we believe we are more likely to meet the minimum capital ratios set forth in the Capital Plan through raising new equity capital than we are through pursuing any of these contingency plans. However, the contingency plans were considered and included within the Capital Plan in recognition of the possibility that market conditions for these transactions may improve and that such transactions may be necessary or required by our regulators if we are unable to raise sufficient equity capital through the initiatives described above.

The Capital Plan concludes with a recognition that our strategy and focus for the near term will be to improve our asset quality and pursue the initiatives described above in order to strengthen our capital position.

Our bank holding company and our bank subsidiary both remain "well capitalized" (as defined by banking regulations) at December 31, 2009.

Bank Capital Ratios

	December 31,		Minimum Ratio for Adequately Capitalized Institutions	Minimum Ratio for Well Capitalized Institutions
	2009	2008		
Tier 1 capital to average assets	6.72%	8.25%	4.00%	5.00%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	9.08	10.62	4.00	6.00
Total risk-based capital	10.36	11.91	8.00	10.00

Shareholders' equity totaled \$109.9 million at December 31, 2009. The decrease from \$194.9 million at December 31, 2008 primarily reflects the loss that we incurred in 2009 that was partially offset by a decline in the accumulated other comprehensive loss. Shareholders' equity was equal to 3.70% of total assets at December 31, 2009, compared to 6.59% a year earlier.

Asset/liability management. Interest-rate risk is created by differences in the cash flow characteristics of our assets and liabilities. Options embedded in certain financial instruments, including caps on adjustable-rate loans as well as borrowers' rights to prepay fixed-rate loans also create interest-rate risk.

Our asset/liability management efforts identify and evaluate opportunities to structure the balance sheet in a manner that is consistent with our mission to maintain profitable financial leverage within established risk parameters. We evaluate various opportunities and alternate balance-sheet strategies carefully and consider the likely impact on our risk profile as well as the anticipated contribution to earnings. The marginal cost of funds is a principal consideration in the implementation of our balance-sheet management strategies, but such evaluations further consider interest-rate and liquidity risk as well as other pertinent factors. We have established parameters for interest-rate risk. We regularly monitor our interest-rate risk and report at least quarterly to our board of directors.

We employ simulation analyses to monitor our interest-rate risk profile and evaluate potential changes in our net interest income and market value of portfolio equity that result from changes in interest rates. The purpose of these simulations is to identify sources of interest-rate risk inherent in our balance sheet. The simulations do not anticipate any actions that we might initiate in response to changes in interest rates and, accordingly, the simulations do not provide a reliable forecast of anticipated results. The simulations are predicated on immediate, permanent and parallel shifts in interest rates and generally assume that current loan and deposit pricing relationships remain constant. The simulations further incorporate assumptions relating to changes in customer behavior, including changes in prepayment rates on certain assets and liabilities.

Changes in Market Value of Portfolio Equity and Tax Equivalent Net Interest Income

Change in Interest Rates	Market Value of Portfolio Equity(1)	Percent Change (Dollars in thousands)	Tax Equivalent Net Interest Income(2)	Percent Change
December 31, 2009				
200 basis point rise	\$ 160,500	16.14%	\$ 136,900	2.55%
100 basis point rise	150,400	8.83	134,100	0.45
Base-rate scenario	138,200		133,500	
100 basis point decline	128,100	(7.31)	132,600	(0.67)
200 basis point decline	126,300	(8.61)	131,500	(1.50)
December 31, 2008				
200 basis point rise	\$ 202,900	(2.50)%	\$ 129,700	(4.56)%
100 basis point rise	206,500	(0.77)	132,500	(2.50)
Base-rate scenario	208,100		135,900	
100 basis point decline	204,600	(1.68)	137,900	1.47
200 basis point decline	192,400	(7.54)	134,400	(1.10)

- (1) Simulation analyses calculate the change in the net present value of our assets and liabilities, including debt and related financial derivative instruments, under parallel shifts in interest rates by discounting the estimated future cash flows using a market-based discount rate. Cash flow estimates incorporate anticipated changes in prepayment speeds and other embedded options.
- (2) Simulation analyses calculate the change in net interest income under immediate parallel shifts in interest rates over the next twelve months, based upon a static balance sheet, which includes debt and related financial derivative instruments, and do not consider loan fees.

Management plans and expectations. As described earlier, we have adopted the Capital Plan which includes a series of actions designed to increase our common equity capital, decrease our expenses and enable us to withstand and better respond to current market conditions and the potential for worsening market conditions. However, based on our current forecasts, even absent additional capital, our bank subsidiary is expected to remain adequately capitalized throughout 2010 and our holding company would have sufficient cash on hand to meet expected obligations during 2010. These forecasts are based upon certain assumptions, including future levels of our provision for loan losses, vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies, the level of our risk based assets and other factors, and differences between our actual results and these assumptions will impact our actual capital levels.

FAIR VALUATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") topic 820 "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" ("FASB ASC topic 820") defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date.

We utilize fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial instruments and to determine fair value disclosures. FASB ASC topic 820 differentiates between those assets and liabilities required to be carried at fair value at every reporting period ("recurring") and those assets and liabilities that are only required to be adjusted to fair value under certain circumstances ("nonrecurring"). Trading securities, securities available-for-sale, loans held for sale, and derivatives are financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, we may be required to record at fair value other financial assets on a nonrecurring basis, such as loans held for investment, capitalized mortgage loan servicing rights and certain other assets. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve application of lower of cost or market accounting or write-downs of individual assets. Further, the notes to the consolidated financial statements include information about the extent to which fair value is used to measure assets and liabilities and the valuation methodologies used.

FASB ASC topic 820 established a three-level hierarchy for disclosure of assets and liabilities recorded at fair value. The classification of assets and liabilities within the hierarchy is based on whether the inputs to the valuation methodology used for measurement are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market-derived or market-based information obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect management's estimates about market data.

- Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets. Level 1 instruments include securities traded on active exchange markets, such as the New York Stock Exchange, as well as U.S. Treasury securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active over-the-counter markets.
- Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market. Level 2 instruments include securities traded in less active dealer or broker markets.
- Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use at least one significant assumption not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

For assets and liabilities recorded at fair value, it is our policy to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements, in accordance with the fair value hierarchy in FASB ASC topic 820. When available, we utilize quoted market prices to measure fair value. If market prices are not available, fair value measurement is based upon models that use primarily market-based or independently sourced market parameters, including interest rate yield curves, prepayment speeds, and option volatilities. Substantially all of our financial instruments use either of the foregoing methodologies, collectively Level 1 and Level 2 measurements, to determine fair value adjustments recorded in our financial statements. However, in certain cases, when market observable inputs for model-based valuation techniques may not be readily available, we are required to make judgments about assumptions market participants would use in estimating the fair value of the financial instrument. The models we use to determine fair value adjustments are periodically evaluated by management for relevance under current facts and circumstances.

The degree of management judgment involved in determining the fair value of a financial instrument is dependent upon the availability of quoted market prices or observable market parameters. For financial instruments that trade actively and have quoted market prices or observable market parameters, there is minimal subjectivity involved in measuring fair value. When observable market prices and parameters are not fully available, management judgment is necessary to estimate fair value. In addition, changes in market conditions may reduce the availability of quoted prices or observable data. For example, reduced liquidity in the capital markets or changes in the secondary market activities could result in observable market inputs becoming unavailable. Therefore, when market data is not available, we would use valuation techniques requiring more management judgment to estimate the appropriate fair value

measurement.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, \$199.4 million (or 6.7% of total assets) and \$246.0 million (or 8.3% of total assets), respectively, consisted of financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. At December 31, 2009, \$36.5 million of financial instruments (all private label residential mortgage-backed or other asset-backed securities) used Level 3 valuation measurements. All of the other financial instruments used valuation methodologies involving market-based or market-derived information, collectively Level 1 and 2 measurements, to measure fair value. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, \$4.3 million (or 0.1% of total liabilities) and \$6.5 million (or 0.2% of total liabilities), respectively, consisted of financial instruments (all derivative financial instruments) recorded at fair value on a recurring basis.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, \$88.7 million (or 3.0% of total assets) and \$69.8 million (or 2.4% of total assets), respectively, consisted of financial instruments recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. All of these financial instruments (comprised of impaired loans and capitalized mortgage loan servicing rights in both 2009 and 2008 as well as other real estate in 2009) used Level 2 and Level 3 measurement valuation methodologies involving market-based or market-derived information to measure fair value. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, no liabilities were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

In addition to FASB ASC topic 820, on January 1, 2008 we also adopted FASB ASC topic 825 "Financial Instruments" ("FASB ASC topic 825") for certain financial assets. We adopted FASB ASC topic 825 for loans held for sale (that prior to January 1, 2008 were recorded at the lower of cost or market) to correspond to the accounting for the related commitments to sell these loans. We also adopted FASB ASC topic 825 for certain preferred stock investments and utilize a quoted market price (Level 1) or significant other observable inputs (Level 2).

See Note 22 to the consolidated financial statements for a complete discussion on our use of fair valuation of financial instruments and the related measurement techniques.

LITIGATION MATTERS

We are involved in various litigation matters in the ordinary course of business and at the present time, we do not believe that any of these matters will have a significant impact on our financial condition or results of operation.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our accounting and reporting policies are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and conform to general practices within the banking industry. Accounting and reporting policies for other than temporary impairment of investment securities, the allowance for loan losses, originated mortgage loan servicing rights, derivative financial instruments, vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies, income taxes and goodwill are deemed critical since they involve the use of estimates and require significant management judgments. Application of assumptions different than those that we have used could result in material changes in our financial position or results of operations.

We are required to assess our investment securities for "other than temporary impairment" on a periodic basis. The determination of other than temporary impairment for an investment security requires judgment as to the cause of the impairment, the likelihood of recovery and the projected timing of the recovery. The topic of other than temporary impairment has been at the forefront of discussions within the accounting profession during 2008 and 2009 because of the dislocation of the credit markets that has occurred. On January 12, 2009 the FASB issued ASC 325-40-65-1 (formerly Staff Position No. EITF 99-20-1 "Amendments to the Impairment Guidance of EITF Issue No. 99-20.") This standard has been applicable to our financial statements since December 31, 2008. In particular, this standard strikes the language that required the use of market participant assumptions about future cash flows from previous guidance. This change now permits the use of reasonable management judgment about whether it is probable that all previously projected cash flows will not be collected in determining other than temporary impairment. Our assessment process resulted in recording other than temporary impairment charges of \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million, and \$1.0 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively, in our consolidated statements of operations. Further, we did elect (effective January 1, 2008) fair value accounting pursuant to FASB ASC topic 825 for certain of our preferred stock investments. We believe that our assumptions and judgments in assessing other than temporary impairment for our investment securities are reasonable and conform to general industry practices. Prices for investment securities are largely provided by a pricing service. These prices consider benchmark yields, reported trades, broker / dealer quotes and issuer spreads. Furthermore, prices for mortgage securities consider: TBA prices, monthly payment information

and collateral performance. As of December 31, 2009, the pricing service did not provide fair values for securities with a fair value of \$36.5 million. Management estimated the fair value of these securities using similar techniques including: observed prices, benchmark yields, dealer bids and TBA pricing. These estimates are subject to change and the resulting level 3 valued securities may be volatile as a result. At December 31, 2009 the cost basis of our investment securities classified as available for sale exceeded their estimated fair value at that same date by \$6.9 million (compared to \$16.3 million at December 31, 2008). This amount is included in the accumulated other comprehensive loss section of shareholders' equity.

Our methodology for determining the allowance and related provision for loan losses is described above in "Portfolio Loans and asset quality." In particular, this area of accounting requires a significant amount of judgment because a multitude of factors can influence the ultimate collection of a loan or other type of credit. It is extremely difficult to precisely measure the amount of losses that are probable in our loan portfolio. We use a rigorous process to attempt to accurately quantify the necessary allowance and related provision for loan losses, but there can be no assurance that our modeling process will successfully identify all of the losses that are probable in our loan portfolio. As a result, we could record future provisions for loan losses that may be significantly different than the levels that we recorded in 2009.

At December 31, 2009 we had approximately \$15.3 million of mortgage loan servicing rights capitalized on our balance sheet. There are several critical assumptions involved in establishing the value of this asset including estimated future prepayment speeds on the underlying mortgage loans, the interest rate used to discount the net cash flows from the mortgage loan servicing, the estimated amount of ancillary income that will be received in the future (such as late fees) and the estimated cost to service the mortgage loans. We believe the assumptions that we utilize in our valuation are reasonable based upon accepted industry practices for valuing mortgage loan servicing rights and represent neither the most conservative or aggressive assumptions. We recorded a decrease in the valuation allowance on capitalized mortgage loan servicing rights of \$2.3 million in 2009 (compared to an increase in such valuation allowance of \$4.3 million in 2008). Nearly all of our mortgage loans serviced for others at December 31, 2009 are for either Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. Because of our current financial condition, if our bank were to fall below "well capitalized" (as defined by banking regulations) it is possible that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could require us to very quickly sell or transfer such servicing rights to a third party or unilaterally strip us of such servicing rights if we cannot complete an approved transfer. Depending on the terms of any such transaction, this forced sale or transfer of such mortgage loan servicing rights could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

We use a variety of derivative instruments to manage our interest rate risk. These derivative instruments may include interest rate swaps, collars, floors and caps and mandatory forward commitments to sell mortgage loans. Under FASB ASC topic 815 "Derivatives and Hedging" the accounting for increases or decreases in the value of derivatives depends upon the use of the derivatives and whether the derivatives qualify for hedge accounting. At December 31, 2009 we had approximately \$160.0 million in notional amount of derivative financial instruments that qualified for hedge accounting under this standard. As a result, generally, changes in the fair market value of those derivative financial instruments qualifying as cash flow hedges are recorded in other comprehensive income. The changes in the fair value of those derivative financial instruments qualifying as fair value hedges are recorded in earnings and, generally, are offset by the change in the fair value of the hedged item which is also recorded in earnings (we currently do not have any fair value hedges). The fair value of derivative financial instruments qualifying for hedge accounting was a negative \$2.3 million at December 31, 2009.

Mepco purchases payment plans, on a full recourse basis, from companies (which we refer to as Mepco's "counterparties") that provide vehicle service contracts and similar products to consumers. The payment plans (which are classified as finance receivables in our consolidated statements of financial condition) permit a consumer to purchase a service contract by making installment payments, generally for a term of 12 to 24 months, to the sellers of those contracts (one of the "counterparties"). Mepco does not evaluate the creditworthiness of the individual customer but instead primarily relies on the payment plan collateral (the unearned vehicle service contract and unearned sales commission) in the event of default. When consumers stop making payments or exercise their right to voluntarily cancel the contract, the remaining unpaid balance of the payment plan is normally recouped by Mepco from the counterparties that sold the contract and provided the coverage. The refund obligations of these counterparties are not fully secured. We record losses, included in non-interest expenses, for estimated defaults by these counterparties in their recourse obligations to Mepco. These losses (which totaled \$31.2 million, \$1.0 million, and zero, in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively) are titled "vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies" in our consolidated statements of operations. This area of accounting requires a significant amount of judgment because a number of factors can influence the amount of loss that we may ultimately incur. These factors include our estimate of future cancellations of vehicle service contracts, our evaluation of collateral that may be available to recover funds due from our counterparties, and the amount collected from counterparties in connection with their contractual recourse obligations. We apply a rigorous process, based upon observable contract activity and past experience, to estimate probable losses and quantify the necessary reserves for our vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies, but there can be no assurance that our modeling process will successfully identify all such losses. As a result, we could record future

losses associated with vehicle service contract counterparty contingencies that may be significantly different than the levels that we recorded in 2009.

Our accounting for income taxes involves the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities primarily associated with differences in the timing of the recognition of revenues and expenses for financial reporting and tax purposes. At December 31, 2009 we had gross deferred tax assets of \$67.3 million, gross deferred tax liabilities of \$6.5 million and a valuation allowance of \$60.2 million (\$24.0 million of such valuation allowance was established in 2009 and \$36.2 million of which was established in 2008) resulting in a net deferred tax asset of \$0.7 million. This valuation allowance represents our entire net deferred tax asset except for certain deferred tax assets at Mepeco that relate to state income taxes and that can be recovered based on Mepeco's individual earnings. We are required to assess whether a valuation allowance should be established against their deferred tax assets based on the consideration of all available evidence using a "more likely than not" standard. In accordance with this standard, we reviewed our deferred tax assets and determined that based upon a number of factors including our declining operating performance since 2005 and our net loss in 2009 and 2008, overall negative trends in the banking industry and our expectation that our operating results will continue to be negatively affected by the overall economic environment, we should establish a valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets. In the last quarter of 2008, we recorded a \$36.2 million valuation allowance, which consisted of \$27.6 million recognized as income tax expense and \$8.6 million recognized through the accumulated other comprehensive loss component of shareholders' equity and in 2009 we recorded an additional \$24.0 million valuation allowance (which is net of a \$4.1 million allocation of deferred taxes on the accumulated other comprehensive loss component of shareholders' equity). We had recorded no valuation allowance on our net deferred tax asset in prior years because we believed that the tax benefits associated with this asset would more likely than not, be realized. Changes in tax laws, changes in tax rates and our future level of earnings can impact the ultimate realization of our net deferred tax asset as well as the valuation allowance that we have established.

At December 31, 2009 we had no remaining goodwill. We test our goodwill for impairment utilizing the methodology and guidelines established in this standard. This methodology involves assumptions regarding the valuation of the business segments that contain the acquired entities. We believe that the assumptions we utilize are reasonable. During 2009, we recorded a \$16.7 million goodwill impairment charge at our Mepco segment. In the fourth quarter of 2009 we updated our goodwill impairment testing (interim tests had also been performed in each of the first three quarters of 2009). The results of the year end goodwill impairment testing showed that the estimated fair value of our Mepco reporting unit was now less than the carrying value of equity. The fair value of Mepco is principally based on estimated future earnings utilizing a discounted cash flow methodology. As described above in "Non-interest expense" and in "Business segments", Mepco recorded a loss in the fourth quarter of 2009. Further, Mepco's largest business counterparty, who accounted for nearly one-half of Mepco's payment plan business, defaulted in its obligations to Mepco and this counterparty filed bankruptcy on March 1, 2010. These factors adversely impacted the level of Mepco's expected future earnings and hence its fair value. A step 2 analysis and valuation was performed. Based on the step 2 analysis (which involved determining the fair value of Mepco's assets, liabilities and identifiable intangibles), we concluded that goodwill was now impaired, resulting in this \$16.7 million charge. During 2008, we recorded a \$50.0 million goodwill impairment charge. In the fourth quarter of 2008, we updated our goodwill impairment testing (interim tests had also been performed in the second and third quarters of 2008). Our common stock price dropped even further in the fourth quarter of 2008, resulting in a wider difference between our market capitalization and book value. The results of the year end goodwill impairment testing showed that the estimated fair value of our bank reporting unit was less than the carrying value of equity. This necessitated a step 2 analysis and valuation. Based on the step 2 analysis (which involved determining the fair value of our bank's assets, liabilities and identifiable intangibles) we concluded that goodwill was now impaired, resulting in this \$50.0 million charge.

BUSINESS

Independent Bank Corporation was incorporated under the laws of the State of Michigan on September 17, 1973, for the purpose of becoming a bank holding company. We are registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and own the outstanding stock of Independent Bank which is organized under the laws of the State of Michigan. During 2007, we consolidated our existing four bank charters into one.

Aside from the stock of our bank, we have no other substantial assets. We conduct no business except for the collection of dividends from our bank and the payment of dividends to our shareholders. Certain employee retirement plans (including employee stock ownership and deferred compensation plans) as well as health and other insurance programs have been established by us. The costs of these plans are borne by our bank and its subsidiaries.

We have no material patents, trademarks, licenses or franchises except the corporate franchise of our bank which permits it to engage in commercial banking pursuant to Michigan law.

Our bank's main office location is Ionia, Michigan and it had total loans (excluding loans held for sale) and total deposits of \$2.299 billion and \$2.566 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2009.

Our bank transacts business in the single industry of commercial banking. Most of our bank's offices provide full-service lobby and drive-thru services in the communities which they serve. Automatic teller machines are also provided at most locations.

Our bank's activities cover all phases of banking, including checking and savings accounts, commercial lending, direct and indirect consumer financing, mortgage lending and safe deposit box services. Our bank's mortgage lending activities are primarily conducted through a separate mortgage bank subsidiary. Mepco Finance Corporation, a subsidiary of our bank, acquires (on a full recourse basis) and services payment plans used by consumers to purchase vehicle service contracts and similar products provided and administered by third parties. In addition, our bank offers title insurance services through a separate subsidiary and provides investment and insurance services through a third party agreement with PrimeVest Financial Services, Inc. Our bank does not offer trust services. Our principal markets are the rural and suburban communities across lower Michigan that are served by our bank's branch network. Our bank serves its markets through its main office and a total of 105 branches, 4 drive-thru facilities and 5 loan production offices. The ongoing economic stress in Michigan has adversely impacted many of our markets, which is manifested in higher levels of loan defaults and lower demand for credit.

Our bank competes with other commercial banks, savings banks, credit unions, mortgage banking companies, securities brokerage companies, insurance companies, and money market mutual funds. Many of these competitors have substantially greater resources than we do and offer certain services that we do not currently provide. Such competitors may also have greater lending limits than our bank. In addition, non-bank competitors are generally not subject to the extensive regulations applicable to us.

Price (the interest charged on loans and/or paid on deposits) remains a principal means of competition within the financial services industry. Our bank also competes on the basis of service and convenience in providing financial services.

The principal sources of revenue, on a consolidated basis, are interest and fees on loans, other interest income and non-interest income. The sources of revenue for the three most recent years are as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Interest and fees on loans	71.8%	80.0%	74.8%

Other interest income	4.5	7.3	7.7
Non-interest income	23.7	12.7	17.5
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

As of December 31, 2009, we had 1,034 full-time employees and 297 part-time employees.

Supervision and Regulation

The following is a summary of certain statutes and regulations affecting us. A change in applicable laws or regulations may have a material effect on us and our bank.

General

Financial institutions and their holding companies are extensively regulated under federal and state law. Consequently, our growth and earnings performance can be affected not only by management decisions and general and local economic conditions, but also by the statutes administered by, and the regulations and policies of, various governmental regulatory authorities. Those authorities include, but are not limited to, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve"), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC"), the Michigan Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation (the "Michigan OFIR"), the Internal Revenue Service, and state taxing authorities. The effect of such statutes, regulations and policies and any changes thereto can be significant and cannot be predicted.

Federal and state laws and regulations generally applicable to financial institutions and their holding companies regulate, among other things, the scope of business, investments, reserves against deposits, capital levels, lending activities and practices, the nature and amount of collateral for loans, the establishment of branches, mergers, consolidations and dividends. The system of supervision and regulation applicable to us establishes a comprehensive framework for our operations and is intended primarily for the protection of the FDIC's deposit insurance funds, our depositors, and the public, rather than our shareholders.

Federal law and regulations establish supervisory standards applicable to the lending activities of our bank, including internal controls, credit underwriting, loan documentation and loan-to-value ratios for loans secured by real property.

Regulatory Developments

Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008. On October 3, 2008, Congress enacted the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ("EESA"). EESA enables the federal government, under terms and conditions developed by the Secretary of the United States Department of the Treasury (the "Treasury"), to insure troubled assets, including mortgage-backed securities, and collect premiums from participating financial institutions. EESA includes, among other provisions: (a) the \$700 billion Troubled Assets Relief Program ("TARP"), under which the Secretary of the Treasury is authorized to purchase, insure, hold, and sell a wide variety of financial instruments, particularly those that are based on or related to residential or commercial mortgages originated or issued on or before March 14, 2008; and (b) an increase in the amount of deposit insurance provided by the FDIC. Both of these specific provisions are discussed in the below sections.

Troubled Assets Relief Program (TARP). Under TARP, the Treasury authorized a voluntary capital purchase program ("CPP") to purchase senior preferred shares of qualifying financial institutions that elected to participate. Participating companies must adopt certain standards for executive compensation, including (a) prohibiting "golden parachute" payments as defined in EESA to senior executive officers; (b) requiring recovery of any compensation paid to senior executive officers based on criteria that is later proven to be materially inaccurate; and (c) prohibiting incentive compensation that encourages unnecessary and excessive risks that threaten the value of the financial institution. The terms of the CPP also limit certain uses of capital by the issuer, including repurchases of company stock and increases in dividends.

On December 12, 2008, we participated in the CPP and issued \$72 million in capital to the Treasury in the form of non-voting cumulative preferred stock that pays cash dividends at the rate of 5% per annum for the first five years, and then pays cash dividends at the rate of 9% per annum thereafter. In addition, the Treasury received a warrant to purchase 3,461,538 shares of our common stock at a price of \$3.12 per share. Of the total proceeds, \$68.4 million was initially allocated to the preferred stock and \$3.6 million was allocated to the warrant (included in capital surplus) based on the relative fair value of each. The exercise price for the warrant was determined based on the average of closing prices of our common stock during the 20-trading day period ended November 20, 2008, the last trading day prior to the date the Treasury approved our participation in the CPP. The warrant is exercisable, in whole or in part, over a term of 10 years.

The securities purchase agreement, dated December 12, 2008, pursuant to which the securities issued to the Treasury under the CPP were sold, limits the payment of dividends on our common stock; limits our ability to repurchase shares of common stock (with certain exceptions); grants the holders of the preferred stock, the warrant and our common stock to be issued under the warrant certain registration rights; and subjects us to the executive compensation limitations included in the EESA. Beginning in December 2009, we suspended quarterly dividends on the preferred stock issued to the Treasury in order to preserve capital. As a result of this suspension of dividends, we are currently prohibited from paying any dividends on our common stock until all accrued and unpaid dividends have been paid on the preferred stock issued to the Treasury. Even after all such accrued dividends have been paid, the securities purchase agreement we entered into with the Treasury prohibits us from paying more than a \$0.01 per share quarterly dividend without the prior approval of the Treasury until the earlier of December 12, 2011, the date we redeem all of

such preferred stock from the Treasury, or the date the Treasury transfers all such preferred stock to a transferee that is not affiliated with the Treasury.

Federal Deposit Insurance Coverage. The EESA temporarily raised the limit on federal deposit insurance coverage from \$100,000 to \$250,000 per depositor and on May 20, 2009, this temporary increase in the insurance limit was extended until December 31, 2013. Separate from the EESA, in October 2008, the FDIC also announced the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program. Under one component of this program, the FDIC temporarily provides unlimited coverage for noninterest bearing transaction deposit accounts through June 30, 2010.

Financial Stability Plan. On February 10, 2009, the Treasury announced the Financial Stability Plan ("FSP"), which is a comprehensive set of measures intended to shore up the U.S. financial system and earmarks the balance of the unused funds originally authorized under the EESA. The major elements of the FSP include: (i) a capital assistance program that will invest in convertible preferred stock of certain qualifying institutions, (ii) a consumer and business lending initiative to fund new consumer loans, small business loans and commercial mortgage asset-backed securities issuances, (iii) a new public-private investment fund that will leverage public and private capital with public financing to purchase up to \$500 billion to \$1 trillion of legacy "toxic assets" from financial institutions, and (iv) assistance for homeowners by providing up to \$75 billion to reduce mortgage payments and interest rates and establishing loan modification guidelines for government and private programs.

Financial institutions receiving assistance under the FSP going forward will be subject to higher transparency and accountability standards, including restrictions on dividends, acquisitions and executive compensation and additional disclosure requirements. We cannot predict at this time the effect that the FSP may have on us or our business, financial condition or results of operations.

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. On February 17, 2009, Congress enacted the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("ARRA"). In enacting the ARRA, Congress intended to provide a stimulus to the U.S. economy in light of the significant economic downturn. The ARRA includes federal tax cuts, expansion of unemployment benefits and other social welfare provisions, and numerous domestic spending efforts in education, healthcare and infrastructure. The ARRA also includes numerous non-economic recovery related items, including a limitation on executive compensation in federally-aided financial institutions, including banks that have received or will receive assistance under TARP.

Under the ARRA, a financial institution will be subject to the following restrictions and standards throughout the period in which any obligation arising from financial assistance provided under TARP remains outstanding:

Limits on compensation incentives for risk-taking by senior executive officers;

Requirement of recovery of any compensation paid based on inaccurate financial information;

Prohibition on "golden parachute payments" as defined in the ARRA;

Prohibition on compensation plans that would encourage manipulation of reported earnings to enhance the compensation of employees;

Establishment of board compensation committees by publicly-registered TARP recipients comprised entirely of independent directors, for the purpose of reviewing employee compensation plans;

Prohibition on bonuses, retention awards, and incentive compensation, except for payments of long-term restricted stock; and

Limitation on luxury expenditures.

In addition, TARP recipients will be required to permit a separate shareholder vote to approve the compensation of executives. The chief executive officer and chief financial officer of each TARP recipient will be required to provide a written certification of compliance with these standards to the SEC.

The foregoing is a summary of requirements to be included in standards to be established by the Secretary of the Treasury.

Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan. On February 18, 2009, President Obama announced the Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan ("HASP"). The HASP is intended to support a recovery in the housing market and ensure that workers can continue to pay off their mortgages through the following elements:

Access to low-cost refinancing for responsible homeowners suffering from falling home prices;

A \$75 billion homeowner stability initiative to prevent foreclosure and help responsible families stay in their homes; and

Support low mortgage rates by strengthening confidence in Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

In addition, the U.S. Government, the Federal Reserve, the Treasury, the FDIC and other governmental and regulatory bodies have taken, or may be considering taking, other actions to address the financial crisis. There can be no assurance, however, as to the actual impact of these actions on the financial markets and their potential impact on our business.

Independent Bank Corporation

General

We are a bank holding company and, as such, are registered with, and subject to regulation by, the Federal Reserve under the Bank Holding Company Act, as amended (the "BHCA"). Under the BHCA, we are subject to periodic examination by the Federal Reserve, and are required to file periodic reports of operations and such additional information as the Federal Reserve may require.

In accordance with Federal Reserve policy, a bank holding company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to its subsidiary banks and to commit resources to support the subsidiary banks in circumstances where the bank holding company might not do so absent such policy.

In addition, if the Michigan OFIR deems a bank's capital to be impaired, the Michigan OFIR may require a bank to restore its capital by special assessment upon a bank holding company, as the bank's sole shareholder. If the bank holding company failed to pay such assessment, the directors of that bank would be required, under Michigan law, to sell the shares of bank stock owned by the bank holding company to the highest bidder at either public or private auction and use the proceeds of the sale to restore the bank's capital.

Any capital loans by a bank holding company to a subsidiary bank are subordinate in right of payment to deposits and to certain other indebtedness of such subsidiary bank. In the event of a bank holding company's bankruptcy, any commitment by the bank holding company to a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain the capital of a subsidiary bank will be assumed by the bankruptcy trustee and entitled to a priority of payment.

Investments and Activities

In general, any direct or indirect acquisition by a bank holding company of any voting shares of any bank which would result in the bank holding company's direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of any class of voting shares of such bank, and any merger or consolidation of the bank holding company with another bank holding company, will require the prior written approval of the Federal Reserve under the BHCA. In acting on such applications, the Federal Reserve must consider various statutory factors including the effect of the proposed transaction on competition in relevant geographic and product markets, and each party's financial condition, managerial resources, and record of performance under the Community Reinvestment Act.

In addition and subject to certain exceptions, the Change in the Bank Control Act ("Control Act") and regulations promulgated thereunder by the Federal Reserve, require any person acting directly or indirectly, or through or in concert with one or more persons, to give the Federal Reserve 60 days' written notice before acquiring control of a bank holding company. Transactions which are presumed to constitute the acquisition of control include the acquisition of any voting securities of a bank holding company having securities registered under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, if, after the transaction, the acquiring person (or persons acting in concert) owns, controls or holds with power to vote 10% or more of any class of voting securities of the institution. The acquisition may not be consummated subsequent to such notice if the Federal Reserve issues a notice within 60 days, or within certain extensions of such period, disapproving the acquisition.

The merger or consolidation of an existing bank subsidiary of a bank holding company with another bank, or the acquisition by such a subsidiary of the assets of another bank, or the assumption of the deposit and other liabilities by such a subsidiary requires the prior written approval of the responsible Federal depository institution regulatory agency under the Bank Merger Act, based upon a consideration of statutory factors similar to those outlined above with respect to the BHCA. In addition, in certain cases an application to, and the prior approval of, the Federal Reserve under the BHCA and/or OFIR under Michigan banking laws, may be required.

With certain limited exceptions, the BHCA prohibits any bank holding company from engaging, either directly or indirectly through a subsidiary, in any activity other than managing or controlling banks unless the proposed non-banking activity is one that the Federal Reserve has determined to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident thereto. Under current Federal Reserve regulations, such permissible non-banking activities include such things as mortgage banking, equipment leasing, securities brokerage, and consumer and commercial finance company operations. Well-capitalized and well-managed bank holding companies may, however, engage *de novo* in certain types of non-banking activities without prior notice to, or approval of, the Federal Reserve, provided that written notice of the new activity is given to the Federal Reserve within 10 business days after the activity is commenced. If a bank holding company wishes to engage in a non-banking activity by acquiring a going concern, prior notice and/or prior approval will be required, depending upon the activities in which the company to be acquired is engaged, the size of the company to be acquired and the financial and managerial condition of the acquiring bank company.

Eligible bank holding companies that elect to operate as financial holding companies may engage in, or own shares in companies engaged in, a wider range of nonbanking activities, including securities and insurance activities and any other activity that the Federal Reserve Board, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, determines by regulation or order is financial in nature, incidental to any such financial activity or complementary to any such financial activity and does not pose a substantial risk to the safety or soundness of depository institutions or the financial system generally. The Bank Holding Company Act generally does not place territorial restrictions on the domestic activities of non-bank subsidiaries of bank or financial holding companies. As of the date of this filing, we have not applied for approval to operate as a financial holding company and have no current intention of doing so.

Capital Requirements

The Federal Reserve uses capital adequacy guidelines in its examination and regulation of bank holding companies. If capital falls below minimum guidelines, a bank holding company may, among other things, be denied approval to acquire or establish additional banks or non-bank businesses.

The Federal Reserve's capital guidelines establish the following minimum regulatory capital requirements for bank holding companies: (i) a leverage capital requirement expressed as a percentage of total assets, and (ii) a risk-based requirement expressed as a percentage of total risk-weighted assets. The leverage capital requirement consists of a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital (which consists principally of shareholders' equity) to total assets of 3% for the most highly rated companies with minimum requirements of 4% to 5% for all others. The risk-based requirement consists of a minimum ratio of total capital to total risk-weighted assets of 8%, of which at least one-half must be Tier 1 capital.

The risk-based and leverage standards presently used by the Federal Reserve are minimum requirements, and higher capital levels will be required if warranted by the particular circumstances or risk profiles of individual banking organizations.

Included in our Tier 1 capital is \$41.9 million of trust preferred securities (classified on our balance sheet as "Subordinated debentures"). The Federal Reserve Board has issued rules regarding trust preferred securities as a component of the Tier 1 capital of bank holding companies. The aggregate amount of trust preferred securities and certain other capital elements is limited to 25 percent of Tier 1 capital elements, net of goodwill (net of any associated deferred tax liability). The amount of trust preferred securities and certain other elements in excess of the limit could be included in the Tier 2 capital, subject to restrictions.

The Federal bank regulatory agencies are required biennially to review risk-based capital standards to ensure that they adequately address interest rate risk, concentration of credit risk and risks from non-traditional activities.

Dividends

Most of our revenues are received in the form of dividends paid by our bank. Thus, our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders is indirectly limited by statutory restrictions on the ability of our bank to pay dividends, as discussed below. Further, in a policy statement, the Federal Reserve has expressed its view that a bank holding company experiencing earnings weaknesses should not pay cash dividends exceeding its net income or which can only be funded in ways that weaken the bank holding company's financial health, such as by borrowing. Additionally, the Federal Reserve possesses enforcement powers over bank holding companies and their non-bank subsidiaries to prevent or remedy actions that represent unsafe or unsound practices or violations of applicable statutes and regulations. Among these powers is the ability to proscribe the payment of dividends by banks and bank holding companies. The "prompt corrective action" provisions of federal law and regulation authorizes the Federal Reserve to restrict the amount of dividends that an insured bank can pay which fails to meet specified capital levels.

In addition to the restrictions on dividends imposed by the Federal Reserve, the Michigan Business Corporation Act provides that dividends may be legally declared or paid only if after the distribution, a corporation can pay its debts as they come due in the usual course of business and its total assets equal or exceed the sum of its liabilities plus the amount that would be needed to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of any holders of preferred stock whose preferential rights are superior to those receiving the distribution.

Finally, dividends on our common stock must be paid in accordance with the terms and restrictions of the CPP. Prior to December 12, 2011, unless we have redeemed all of the preferred stock issued to Treasury or unless the Treasury has transferred all the preferred stock to a third party, the consent of the Treasury will be required for us to declare or pay any dividend or make any distribution on common stock other than (i) regular quarterly cash dividends of not more than \$0.01 per share, as adjusted for any stock split, stock dividend, reverse stock split, reclassification or similar transaction, (ii) dividends payable solely in shares of our common stock, and (iii) dividends or distributions of rights or junior stock in connection with any shareholders' rights plan.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, because we have suspended all dividends on the shares of preferred stock issued to the Treasury and all quarterly payments on our outstanding trust preferred securities, we are currently prohibited from paying any cash dividends on our common stock. In addition, in December of 2009, our Board of Directors adopted resolutions that prohibit us from paying any dividends on our common stock without, in each case, the prior written approval of the FRB and the Michigan OFIR. See "Recent Developments" above and "Dividend Policy" below for more information.

Federal Securities Regulation

Our common stock is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). We are therefore subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading and other restrictions and requirements of the SEC under the Exchange Act. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 provides for numerous changes to the reporting, accounting, corporate governance and business practices of companies as well as financial and other professionals who have involvement with the U.S. public markets.

Our Bank

General

Our bank is a Michigan banking corporation, is a member of the Federal Reserve System and its deposit accounts are insured by the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF") of the FDIC. As a member of the Federal Reserve System, and a Michigan chartered bank, our bank is subject to the examination, supervision, reporting and enforcement requirements of the Federal Reserve Board as its primary federal regulator, and Michigan OFIR, as the chartering authority for Michigan banks. These agencies and the federal and state laws applicable to our bank and its operations, extensively regulate various aspects of the banking business including, among other things, permissible types and amounts of loans, investments and other activities, capital adequacy, branching, interest rates on loans and on deposits, the maintenance of non-interest bearing reserves on deposit accounts, and the safety and soundness of banking practices.

Deposit Insurance

As an FDIC-insured institution, our bank is required to pay deposit insurance premium assessments to the FDIC. Under the FDIC's risk-based assessment system for deposit insurance premiums, all insured depository institutions are placed into one of four categories and assessed insurance premiums based primarily on their level of capital and supervisory evaluations.

The FDIC is required to establish assessment rates for insured depository institutions at levels that will maintain the DIF at a Designated Reserve Ratio (DRR) selected by the FDIC within a range of 1.15% to 1.50%. The FDIC is allowed to manage the pace at which the reserve ratio varies within this range. The DRR is currently established at 1.25%.

Under the FDIC's prevailing rate schedule, assessments are made and adjusted based on risk. Premiums are assessed and collected quarterly by the FDIC. Beginning as of the second quarter of 2009, banks in the lowest risk category paid an initial base rate ranging from 12 to 16 basis points (calculated as an annual rate against the bank's deposit base) for insurance premiums, with certain potential adjustments based on certain risk factors affecting the bank. That base rate is subject to increase to 45 basis points for banks that pose significant supervisory concerns, with certain potential adjustments based on certain risk factors affecting the bank. FDIC insurance assessments could continue to increase in the future due to continued depletion of the DIF.

On May 22, 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule imposing a 5 basis point special assessment on each insured depository institution's assets minus Tier 1 capital as of June 30, 2009. This special assessment (which totaled \$1.4 million for our bank) was paid on September 30, 2009. The FDIC may impose additional special assessments under certain circumstances.

During the fourth quarter of 2009 we prepaid estimated quarterly deposit insurance premium assessments to the FDIC for periods through the fourth quarter of 2012. These estimated quarterly deposit insurance premium assessments were based on projected deposit balances over the assessment periods. The prepaid deposit insurance premium assessments totaled \$22.0 million at December 31, 2009 and will be expensed over the assessment period (through the fourth quarter of 2012). The actual expense over the assessment periods may be different from this prepaid amount due to various factors including variances in actual deposit balances and assessment rates used during each assessment period.

In addition, in 2008, the bank elected to participate in the FDIC's Transaction Account Guarantee Program (TAGP). Under the TAGP, funds in non-interest bearing transaction accounts, in interest-bearing transaction accounts with an interest rate of 0.50% or less, and in Interest on Lawyers Trust Accounts (IOLTA) will have a temporary (until June 30, 2010) unlimited guarantee from the FDIC. The coverage under the TAGP is in addition to and separate from the coverage available under the FDIC's general deposit insurance rules which insure accounts up to \$250,000. Participation in the TAGP requires the payment of additional insurance premiums to the FDIC.

FICO Assessments

Our bank, as a member of the DIF, is subject to assessments to cover the payments on outstanding obligations of the Financing Corporation ("FICO"). FICO was created to finance the recapitalization of the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation, the predecessor to the FDIC's Savings Association Insurance Fund which was created to insure the deposits of thrift institutions and was merged with the Bank Insurance Fund into the newly formed DIF in 2006. From now until the maturity of the outstanding FICO obligations in 2019, DIF members will share the cost of the interest on the FICO bonds on a pro rata basis. It is estimated that FICO assessments during this period will be approximately 0.011% of deposits.

Michigan OFIR Assessments

Michigan banks are required to pay supervisory fees to the Michigan OFIR to fund their operations. The amount of supervisory fees paid by a bank is based upon the bank's total assets.

Capital Requirements

The Federal Reserve has established the following minimum capital standards for state-chartered, FDIC-insured member banks, such as our bank: a leverage requirement consisting of a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to total assets of 3% for the most highly-rated banks with minimum requirements of 4% to 5% for all others, and a risk-based capital requirement consisting of a minimum ratio of total capital to total risk-weighted assets of 8%, at least one-half of which must be Tier 1 capital. Tier 1 capital consists principally of shareholders' equity. These capital requirements are minimum requirements. Higher capital levels will be required if warranted by the particular circumstances or risk profiles of individual institutions. For example, Federal Reserve regulations provide that higher capital may be required to take adequate account of, among other things, interest rate risk and the risks posed by concentrations of credit, nontraditional activities or securities trading activities.

Federal law provides the federal banking regulators with broad power to take prompt corrective action to resolve the problems of undercapitalized institutions. The extent of the regulators' powers depends on whether the institution in question is "well capitalized," "adequately capitalized," "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized," or "critically undercapitalized." Federal regulations define these capital categories as follows:

	Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio	Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio	Leverage Ratio
Well capitalized	10% or above	6% or above	5% or above
Adequately capitalized	8% or above	4% or above	4% or above
Undercapitalized	Less than 8%	Less than 4%	Less than 4%
Significantly undercapitalized	Less than 6%	Less than 3%	Less than 3%
Critically undercapitalized			A ratio of tangible equity to total assets of 2% or less

At December 31, 2009, our bank's ratios exceeded minimum requirements for the well-capitalized category.

In conjunction with its discussions with federal and state regulators, the Board of Directors of our Bank adopted resolutions in December of 2009 requiring our bank to achieve minimum capital ratios that are higher than the minimum requirements described in the Federal Reserve's capital guidelines. See "The Exchange Offers - Purpose of the Exchange Offers" below for more information. Our bank currently does not meet these higher capital ratios.

Depending upon the capital category to which an institution is assigned, the regulators' corrective powers include: requiring the submission of a capital restoration plan; placing limits on asset growth and restrictions on activities; requiring the institution to issue additional capital stock (including additional voting stock) or to be acquired; restricting transactions with affiliates; restricting the interest rates the institution may pay on deposits; ordering a new election of directors of the institution; requiring that senior executive officers or directors be dismissed; prohibiting the institution from accepting deposits from correspondent banks; requiring the institution to divest certain subsidiaries; prohibiting the payment of principal or interest on subordinated debt; and ultimately, appointing a receiver for the institution.

In general, a depository institution may be reclassified to a lower category than is indicated by its capital levels if the appropriate federal depository institution regulatory agency determines the institution to be otherwise in an unsafe or unsound condition or to be engaged in an unsafe or unsound practice. This could include a failure by the institution, following receipt of a less-than-satisfactory rating on its most recent examination report, to correct the deficiency.

Dividends

Under Michigan law, banks are restricted as to the maximum amount of dividends they may pay on their common stock. Our bank may not pay dividends except out of its net income after deducting its losses and bad debts. A Michigan state bank may not declare or pay a dividend unless the bank will have a surplus amounting to at least 20% of its capital after the payment of the dividend.

As a member of the Federal Reserve System, our bank is required to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board for the declaration or payment of a dividend if the total of all dividends declared in any year will exceed the total of (a) the bank's retained net income (as defined by federal regulation) for that year, *plus* (b) the bank's retained net income for the preceding two years. Federal law generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distribution (including payment of a dividend) or paying any management fee to its holding company if the

depository institution would thereafter be undercapitalized. In addition, the Federal Reserve may prohibit the payment of dividends by a bank, if such payment is determined, by reason of the financial condition of the bank, to be an unsafe and unsound banking practice or if the bank is in default of payment of any assessment due to the FDIC.

In addition to these restrictions, in December of 2009, the Board of Directors of our bank adopted resolutions that prohibit our bank from paying any dividends to our holding company without the prior written approval of the FRB and the Michigan OFIR. See "Recent Developments" above for more information.

Insider Transactions

Our bank is subject to certain restrictions imposed by the Federal Reserve Act on "covered transactions" with us or our subsidiaries, which include investments in our stock or other securities issued by us or our subsidiaries, the acceptance of our stock or other securities issued by us or our subsidiaries as collateral for loans and extensions of credit to us or our subsidiaries. Certain limitations and reporting requirements are also placed on extensions of credit by our bank to its directors and officers, to our directors and officers and those of our subsidiaries, to our principal shareholders, and to "related interests" of such directors, officers and principal shareholders. In addition, federal law and regulations may affect the terms upon which any person becoming one of our directors or officers or a principal shareholder may obtain credit from banks with which our bank maintains a correspondent relationship.

Safety and Soundness Standards

Pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 ("FDICIA"), the FDIC adopted guidelines to establish operational and managerial standards to promote the safety and soundness of federally insured depository institutions. The guidelines establish standards for internal controls, information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, compensation, fees and benefits, asset quality and earnings.

Investment and Other Activities

Under federal law and regulations, FDIC-insured state banks are prohibited, subject to certain exceptions, from making or retaining equity investments of a type, or in an amount, that are not permissible for a national bank. FDICIA, as implemented by FDIC regulations, also prohibits FDIC-insured state banks and their subsidiaries, subject to certain exceptions, from engaging as a principal in any activity that is not permitted for a national bank or its subsidiary, respectively, unless the bank meets, and continues to meet, its minimum regulatory capital requirements and the bank's primary federal regulator determines the activity would not pose a significant risk to the DIF. Impermissible investments and activities must be otherwise divested or discontinued within certain time frames set by the bank's primary federal regulator in accordance with federal law. These restrictions are not currently expected to have a material impact on the operations of our bank.

Consumer Banking

Our bank's business includes making a variety of types of loans to individuals. In making these loans, our Bank is subject to state usury and regulatory laws and to various federal statutes, including the privacy of consumer financial information provisions of the Gramm Leach-Bliley Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Truth in Lending Act, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, and the regulations promulgated under these statutes, which (among other things) prohibit discrimination, specify disclosures to be made to borrowers regarding credit and settlement costs, and regulate the mortgage loan servicing activities of our bank, including the maintenance and operation of escrow accounts and the transfer of mortgage loan servicing. In receiving deposits, our bank is subject to extensive regulation under state and federal law and regulations, including the Truth in Savings Act, the Expedited Funds Availability Act, the Bank Secrecy Act, the Electronic Funds Transfer Act, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Act. Violation of these laws could result in the imposition of significant damages and fines upon our Bank and its directors and officers.

Branching Authority

Michigan banks, such as our bank, have the authority under Michigan law to establish branches anywhere in the State of Michigan, subject to receipt of all required regulatory approvals. Banks may establish interstate branch networks through acquisitions of other banks. The establishment of *de novo* interstate branches or the acquisition of individual branches of a bank in another state (rather than the acquisition of an out-of-state bank in its entirety) is allowed only if specifically authorized by state law.

Michigan permits both U.S. and non-U.S. banks to establish branch offices in Michigan. The Michigan Banking Code permits, in appropriate circumstances and with the approval of the Michigan OFIR (1) acquisition of Michigan banks by FDIC-insured banks or savings banks located in other states, (2) sale by a Michigan bank of branches to an FDIC-insured bank or savings bank located in a state in which a Michigan bank could purchase branches of the purchasing entity, (3) consolidation of Michigan banks and FDIC-insured banks or savings banks located in other states having laws permitting such consolidation, (4) establishment of branches in Michigan by FDIC-insured banks located in other states, the District of Columbia or U.S. territories or protectorates having laws permitting a Michigan bank to establish a branch in such jurisdiction, and (5) establishment by foreign banks of branches located in Michigan.

Mepco Finance Corporation

Our subsidiary, Mepco Finance Corporation, is engaged in the business of acquiring (on a full recourse basis) and servicing payment plans used by consumers throughout the United States who have purchased a vehicle service contract and choose to make monthly payments for their coverage. In the typical transaction, no interest or other finance charge is charged to these consumers. As a result, Mepco is generally not subject to regulation under consumer lending laws. However, Mepco is subject to various federal and state laws designed to protect consumers, including laws against unfair and deceptive trade practices and laws regulating Mepco's payment processing activities, such as the Electronic Funds Transfer Act.

Mepco purchases these payment plans, on a full recourse basis, from companies (which we refer to as Mepco's counterparties) that provide vehicle service contracts and similar products to consumers. The payment plans (which are classified as finance receivables in our consolidated statements of financial condition) permit a consumer to purchase a service contract by making installment payments, generally for a term of 12 to 24 months, to the sellers of those contracts (one of the counterparties). Mepco does not evaluate the creditworthiness of the individual customer but instead primarily relies on the payment plan collateral (the unearned vehicle service contract and unearned sales commission) in the event of default. When consumers stop making payments or exercise their right to voluntarily cancel the contract, the remaining unpaid balance of the payment plan is normally recouped by Mepco from the counterparties that sold the contract and provided the coverage. The refund obligations of these counterparties are not fully secured. We record losses, included in non-interest expenses, for estimated defaults by these counterparties in their recourse obligations to Mepco.

Properties

We and our bank operate a total of 120 facilities in Michigan and 1 facility in Chicago, Illinois. The individual properties are not materially significant to us or our Bank's business or to the consolidated financial statements.

With the exception of the potential remodeling of certain facilities to provide for the efficient use of work space or to maintain an appropriate appearance, each property is considered reasonably adequate for current and anticipated needs.

Legal Proceedings

Due to the nature of our business, we are often subject to numerous legal actions. These legal actions, whether pending or threatened, arise through the normal course of business and are not considered unusual or material.

Statistical Disclosures**I. DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: INTEREST RATES AND INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL****AVERAGE BALANCES AND TAX EQUIVALENT RATES**

	2009			2008			2007		
	Average Balance	Interest	Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Rate
	(Dollars in thousands)								
ASSETS (1)									
Taxable loans	\$ 2,461,896	\$ 177,557	7.21%	\$ 2,558,621	\$ 186,259	7.28%	\$ 2,531,737	\$ 201,924	7.98%
Tax-exempt loans (2)	8,672	601	6.93	10,747	751	6.99	9,568	672	7.02
Taxable securities	111,558	6,333	5.68	144,265	8,467	5.87	179,878	9,635	5.36
Tax-exempt securities (2)	85,954	5,709	6.64	162,144	11,534	7.11	225,676	15,773	6.99
Cash interest bearing	72,606	174	0.24						
Other investments	28,304	932	3.29	31,425	1,284	4.09	26,017	1,338	5.14
Interest earning assets continuing operations	2,768,990	191,306	6.91	2,907,202	208,295	7.16	2,972,876	229,342	7.71
Cash and due from banks	55,451			53,873			57,174		
Taxable loans discontinued operations							8,542		
Other assets, net	157,762			227,969			218,553		
Total assets	\$ 2,982,203			\$ 3,189,044			\$ 3,257,145		
LIABILITIES									
Savings and NOW	\$ 992,529	5,751	0.58	\$ 968,180	10,262	1.06	\$ 971,807	18,768	1.93
Time deposits	1,019,624	29,654	2.91	917,403	36,435	3.97	1,439,177	70,292	4.88
				247	12	4.86	2,240	104	4.64

Long-term debt Other borrowings	394,975	15,128	3.83	682,884	26,878	3.94	205,811	13,499	6.56
Interest bearing liabilities continuing operations	2,407,128	50,533	2.10	2,568,714	73,587	2.86	2,619,035	102,663	3.92
Demand deposits	321,802			301,117			300,886		
Time deposits discontinued operations							6,166		
Other liabilities	80,281			79,929			79,750		
Shareholders' equity	172,992			239,284			251,308		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,982,203			\$ 3,189,044			\$ 3,257,145		
Net interest income		\$ 140,773			\$ 134,708			\$ 126,679	
Net interest income as a percent of average interest earning assets			5.08%			4.63%			4.26%

(1) All domestic, except for \$5.1 million of finance receivables in 2009 included in taxable loans from customers domiciled in Canada.

(2) Interest on tax-exempt loans and securities is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis assuming a marginal tax rate of 35%.

CHANGE IN TAX EQUIVALENT NET INTEREST INCOME

	2009 Compared to 2008			2008 Compared to 2007		
	Volume	Rate	Net	Volume	Rate	Net
	(In thousands)					
Increase (decrease) in interest income (1, 2)						
Taxable loans	\$ (6,989)	\$ (1,713)	\$ (8,702)	\$ 2,124	\$ (17,789)	\$ (15,665)
Tax-exempt loans (3)	(144)	(6)	(150)	82	(3)	79
Taxable securities	(1,865)	(269)	(2,134)	(2,031)	863	(1,168)
Tax-exempt securities (3)	(5,105)	(720)	(5,825)	(4,515)	276	(4,239)
Cash interest bearing	174	0	174			
Other investments	(119)	(233)	(352)	249	(303)	(54)
Total interest income	(14,048)	(2,941)	(16,989)	(4,091)	(16,956)	(21,047)
Increase (decrease) in interest expense (1)						
Savings and NOW	252	(4,763)	(4,511)	(70)	(8,436)	(8,506)
Time deposits	3,740	(10,521)	(6,781)	(22,342)	(11,515)	(33,857)
Long-term debt	(12)	0	(12)	(97)	5	(92)
Other borrowings	(11,046)	(704)	(11,750)	20,619	(7,240)	13,379
Total interest expense	(7,066)	(15,988)	(23,054)	(1,890)	(27,186)	(29,076)
Net interest income	\$ (6,982)	\$ 13,047	\$ 6,065	\$ (2,201)	\$ 10,230	\$ 8,029

- (1) The change in interest due to changes in both balance and rate has been allocated to change due to balance and change due to rate in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts of change in each.
- (2) All domestic, except for \$0.5 million of interest income in 2009 on finance receivables included in taxable loans from customers domiciled in Canada.

- (3) Interest on tax-exempt loans and securities is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis assuming a marginal tax rate of 35%.

COMPOSITION OF AVERAGE INTEREST EARNING ASSETS AND INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
As a percent of average interest earning assets			
Loans (1)	89.2%	88.4%	85.5%
Other interest earning assets	10.8	11.6	14.5
Average interest earning assets	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Savings and NOW	35.8%	33.3%	32.7%
Time deposits	14.1	23.9	21.9
Brokered CDs	22.7	7.7	26.5
Other borrowings and long-term debt	14.3	23.5	7.0
Average interest bearing liabilities	86.9%	88.4%	88.1%
Earning asset ratio	92.9%	91.2%	91.3%
Free-funds ratio	13.1	11.6	11.9

(1) All domestic, except for 0.2% of finance receivables in 2009 from customers domiciled in Canada.

87

II. INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO

(A) The following table sets forth the book value of securities at December 31:

	2009	2008 (in thousands)	2007
Trading Preferred stock	\$ 54	\$ 1,929	
Available for sale			
States and political subdivisions	\$ 67,132	\$ 105,553	\$ 208,132
U.S. agency mortgage-backed	47,522	48,029	59,004
Private label mortgage-backed	30,975	36,887	50,475
Other asset-backed	5,505	7,421	10,400
Trust preferred	13,017	12,706	9,985
Preferred stock		4,816	24,198
Other			2,000
Total	\$ 164,151	\$ 215,412	\$ 364,194

II. INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO (Continued)

(B) The following table sets forth contractual maturities of securities at December 31, 2009 and the weighted average yield of such securities:

	Maturing Within One Year		Maturing After One But Within Five Years		Maturing After Five But Within Ten Years		Maturing After Ten Years	
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
(dollars in thousands)								
Trading Preferred stock							\$ 54	0.00%
Tax equivalent adjustment for calculations of yield							\$ 0	
Available for sale States and political subdivisions	\$ 2,741	7.16%	\$ 13,320	7.48%	\$ 25,478	6.26%	\$ 25,593	6.37%
U.S. agency mortgage-backed	836	4.60	26,742	4.19	11,176	6.48	8,768	4.62
Private label mortgage-backed	565	4.83	24,094	4.83	6,316	5.08		
Other asset-backed Trust preferred			5,505	6.97			13,017	7.66
Total	\$ 4,142	6.33%	\$ 69,661	5.26%	\$ 42,970	6.14%	\$ 47,378	6.40%
Tax equivalent adjustment for calculations of yield	\$ 69		\$ 348		\$ 558		\$ 571	

The rates set forth in the tables above for obligations of state and political subdivisions and preferred stock have been restated on a tax equivalent basis assuming a marginal tax rate of 35%. The amount of the adjustment is as follows:

	Tax-Exempt Rate	Adjustment	Rate on Tax Equivalent Basis
Trading After 10 years	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Available for sale			
Under 1 year	4.66%	2.50%	7.16%
1-5 years	4.86	2.62	7.48
5-10 years	4.07	2.19	6.26
After 10 years	4.14	2.23	6.37

III. LOAN PORTFOLIO

(A) The following table sets forth total loans outstanding at December 31:

	2009	2008	2007 (in thousands)	2006	2005
Loans held for sale	\$ 34,234	\$ 27,603	\$ 33,960	\$ 31,846	\$ 28,569
Real estate mortgage	749,298	839,496	873,945	865,522	852,742
Commercial	840,367	976,391	1,066,276	1,083,921	1,030,095
Installment	303,366	356,806	368,478	350,273	304,053
Finance receivables	406,341	286,836	209,631	160,171	178,286
Total Loans	\$ 2,333,606	\$ 2,487,132	\$ 2,552,290	\$ 2,491,733	\$ 2,393,745

The loan portfolio is periodically and systematically reviewed, and the results of these reviews are reported to the Board of Directors of our Bank. The purpose of these reviews is to assist in assuring proper loan documentation, to facilitate compliance with consumer protection laws and regulations, to provide for the early identification of potential problem loans (which enhances collection prospects) and to evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses.

(B) The following table sets forth scheduled loan repayments (excluding 1-4 family residential mortgages and installment loans) at December 31, 2009:

	Due Within One Year	Due After One But Within Five Years	Due After Five Years	Total
	(in thousands)			
Real estate mortgage	\$ 39,153	\$ 18,145	\$ 6,068	\$ 63,366
Commercial	393,732	386,879	59,756	840,367
Finance receivables	119,119	287,222		406,341
Total	\$ 552,004	\$ 692,246	\$ 65,824	\$ 1,310,074

The following table sets forth loans due after one year which have predetermined (fixed) interest rates and/or adjustable (variable) interest rates at December 31, 2009:

	Fixed Rate	Variable Rate (in thousands)	Total
Due after one but within five years	\$ 674,252	\$ 17,994	\$ 692,246
Due after five years	60,089	5,735	65,824
Total	\$ 734,341	\$ 23,729	\$ 758,070

III. LOAN PORTFOLIO (Continued)

(C) The following table sets forth loans on non-accrual, loans ninety days or more past due and troubled debt restructured loans at December 31:

	2009	2008	2007 (in thousands)	2006	2005
(a) Loans accounted for on a non-accrual basis (1, 2)	\$ 105,965	\$ 122,639	\$ 72,682	\$ 35,683	\$ 11,546
(b) Aggregate amount of loans ninety days or more past due (excludes loans in (a) above)	3,940	2,626	4,394	3,479	4,862
(c) Loans not included above which are "troubled debt restructurings" as defined by accounting guidance	71,961	9,160	173	60	84
Total	\$ 181,866	\$ 134,425	\$ 77,249	\$ 39,222	\$ 16,492

- (1) The accrual of interest income is discontinued when a loan becomes 90 days past due and the borrower's capacity to repay the loan and collateral values appear insufficient. Non-accrual loans may be restored to accrual status when interest and principal payments are current and the loan appears otherwise collectible.
- (2) Interest in the amount of \$11,201,000 would have been earned in 2009 had loans in categories (a) and (c) remained at their original terms; however, only \$3,817,000 was included in interest income for the year with respect to these loans.

Other loans of concern identified by the loan review department which are not included as non-performing totaled approximately \$24,264,000 at December 31, 2009. These loans involve circumstances which have caused management to place increased scrutiny on the credits and may, in some instances, represent an increased risk of loss.

At December 31, 2009, there was no concentration of loans exceeding 10% of total loans which is not already disclosed as a category of loans in this section "Loan Portfolio" (Item III(A)).

There were no other interest-bearing assets at December 31, 2009, that would be required to be disclosed above (Item III(C)), if such assets were loans.

Total loans include \$1.7 million of finance receivables from customers domiciled in Canada. There were no other foreign loans outstanding at December 31, 2009.

IV. SUMMARY OF LOAN LOSS EXPERIENCE

(A) The following table sets forth loan balances and summarizes the changes in the allowance for loan losses for each of the years ended December 31:

	2009		2008		2007	
			(dollars in thousands)			
Total loans outstanding at the end of the year (net of unearned fees)	\$2,333,606		\$2,487,132		\$2,552,290	
Average total loans outstanding for the year (net of unearned fees)	\$2,470,568		\$2,569,368		\$2,541,305	
	Loan Losses	Unfunded Commitments	Loan Losses	Unfunded Commitments	Loan Losses	Unfunded Commitments
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 57,900	\$ 2,144	\$ 45,294	\$ 1,936	\$ 26,879	\$ 1,881
Loans charged-off						
Real estate mortgage	22,869		11,942		6,644	
Commercial	51,840		43,641		14,236	
Installment	7,562		6,364		5,943	
Finance receivables	25		49		213	
Total loans charged-off	82,296		61,996		27,036	
Recoveries of loans previously charged-off						
Real estate mortgage	791		318		381	
Commercial	731		1,800		328	
Installment	1,271		1,340		1,629	
Finance receivables	2		31		8	
Total recoveries	2,795		3,489		2,346	
Net loans charged-off	79,501		58,507		24,690	
Additions to allowance charged to operating expense	103,318	(286)	71,113	208	43,105	55
	\$ 81,717	\$ 1,858	\$ 57,900	\$ 2,144	\$ 45,294	\$ 1,936

Balance at end of
year

Net loans charged-off
as a percent of
average loans
outstanding (includes
loans held for sale)
for the year

3.22%

2.28%

.97%

Allowance for loan
losses as a percent of
loans outstanding
(includes loans held
for sale) at the end of
the year
92

3.50

2.33

1.77

IV. SUMMARY OF LOAN LOSS EXPERIENCE (Continued)

	2006	(dollars in thousands)		2005
Total loans outstanding at the end of the year (net of unearned fees)	\$2,491,733			\$2,393,745
Average total loans outstanding for the year (net of unearned fees)	\$2,472,091			\$2,268,846
	Loan	Unfunded	Loan	Unfunded
	Losses	Commit-	Losses	Commit-
		ments		ments
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 22,420	\$ 1,820	\$ 24,162	\$ 1,846
Loans charged-off				
Real estate mortgage	2,660		1,611	
Commercial	6,214		5,141	
Installment	4,913		4,246	
Finance receivables	274		94	
Total loans charged-off	14,061		11,092	
Recoveries of loans previously charged-off				
Real estate mortgage	215		97	
Commercial	496		226	
Installment	1,526		1,195	
Finance receivables				
Total recoveries	2,237		1,518	
Net loans charged-off	11,824		9,574	
Additions to allowance charged to operating expense	16,283	61	7,832	(26)
Balance at end of year	\$ 26,879	\$ 1,881	\$ 22,420	\$ 1,820
Net loans charged-off as a percent of average loans outstanding (includes loans held for sale) for the year	.48%		.42%	
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of loans outstanding (includes loans held for sale) at the end of the year	1.08		.94	

The allowance for loan losses reflected above is a valuation allowance in its entirety and the only allowance available to absorb probable loan losses.

Further discussion of the provision and allowance for loan losses (a critical accounting policy) as well as non-performing loans, is presented in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" above.

IV. SUMMARY OF LOAN LOSS EXPERIENCE (Continued)

(B) We have allocated the allowance for loan losses to provide for the possibility of losses being incurred within the categories of loans set forth in the table below. The amount of the allowance that is allocated and the ratio of loans within each category to total loans at December 31 follows:

	2009		2008		2007	
	Allowance Amount	Percent of Loans to Total Loans	Allowance Amount	Percent of Loans to Total Loans	Allowance Amount	Percent of Loans to Total Loans
	(dollars in thousands)					
Commercial	\$ 41,259	36.1%	\$ 33,090	39.3%	\$ 27,829	41.8%
Real estate mortgage	18,434	33.5	8,729	34.9	4,657	35.6
Installment	6,404	13.0	4,264	14.3	3,224	14.4
Finance receivables	754	17.4	486	11.5	475	8.2
Unallocated	14,866		11,331		9,109	
Total	\$ 81,717	100.0%	\$ 57,900	100.0%	\$ 45,294	100.0%

	2006		2005	
	Allowance Amount	Percent of Loans to Total Loans	Allowance Amount	Percent of Loans to Total Loans
	(dollars in thousands)			
Commercial	\$ 15,010	43.5%	\$ 11,735	43.0%
Real estate mortgage	1,645	36.0	1,156	36.8
Installment	2,469	14.1	2,835	12.7
Finance receivables	292	6.4	293	7.5
Unallocated	7,463		6,401	
Total	\$ 26,879	100.0%	\$ 22,420	100.0%

V. DEPOSITS

The following table sets forth average deposit balances and the weighted-average rates paid thereon for the years ended December 31:

	2009		2008		2007	
	Average Balance	Rate	Average Balance	Rate	Average Balance	Rate
			(dollars in thousands)			
Non-interest bearing demand	\$ 321,802		\$ 301,117		\$ 300,886	
Savings and NOW	992,529	0.58%	968,180	1.06%	971,807	1.93%
Time deposits	1,019,624	2.91	917,403	3.97	1,439,177	4.88
Total	\$ 2,333,955	1.52%	\$ 2,186,700	2.14%	\$ 2,711,870	3.28%

The following table summarizes time deposits in amounts of \$100,000 or more by time remaining until maturity at December 31, 2009:

	(in thousands)
Three months or less	\$ 25,646
Over three through six months	29,463
Over six months through one year	45,756
Over one year	66,797
Total	\$ 167,662

VI. RETURN ON EQUITY AND ASSETS

The ratio of net income (loss) to average shareholders' equity and to average total assets, and certain other ratios, for the years ended December 31 follow:

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Income (loss) from continuing operations as a percent of (1)					
Average common equity	(90.72)%	(39.01)%	3.96%	13.06%	18.63%
Average total assets	(3.17)	(2.88)	0.31	0.99	1.42
Net income (loss) as a percent of (1)					
Average common equity	(90.72)	(39.01)	4.12	12.82	19.12
Average total assets	(3.17)	(2.88)	0.32	0.97	1.45

Dividends declared per share as a percent of diluted net income per share	NM	NM	186.67	54.55	36.04
Average shareholders' equity as a percent of average total assets	5.80	7.50	7.72	7.60	7.61

(1) For 2009 and 2008, these amounts are calculated using loss from continuing operations applicable to common stock and net loss applicable to common stock.

NM Not meaningful.

Additional performance ratios are set forth in "Selected Financial Data," located on page 30 of this prospectus. Any significant changes in the current trend of the above ratios are reviewed in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" above.

VII. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

Short-term borrowings are discussed in note 10 to the consolidated financial statements included at page 26 of this prospectus.

MANAGEMENT**Executive Officers and Directors**

Listed below are the executive officers and directors of the Company as of December 31, 2009.

Name (Age)	Position
Jeffrey A. Bratsburg (age 66)	Chairman of the Board of Directors
Michael M. Magee, Jr. (54)	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
James E. McCarty (age 62)	Director
Donna J. Banks, Ph.D. (age 52)	Director
Robert L. Hetzler (age 64)	Director
Charles C. Van Loan (age 62)	Director
Stephen L. Gulis, Jr. (age 52)	Director
Terry L. Haske (age 61)	Director
Clarke B. Maxson (age 70)	Director
Charles A. Palmer (age 65)	Director
Robert N. Shuster (52)	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Stefanie M. Kimball (50)	Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer
William B. Kessel (45)	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
David C. Reglin (50)	Executive Vice President, Retail Banking
Richard E. Butler (58)	Senior Vice President, Operations
Mark L. Collins (52)	Senior Vice President, General Counsel
Peter R. Graves (52)	Senior Vice President, Chief Information Officer
James J. Twarozynski (44)	Senior Vice President, Controller

Mr. Bratsburg is the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Independent Bank Corporation. Mr. Bratsburg served as President and CEO of Independent Bank West Michigan (one of our former subsidiary banks whose charter was consolidated with the charter of Independent Bank in 2007) from 1985 until his retirement in 1999. He became a Director in 2000.

Mr. Magee is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Independent Bank Corporation. Prior to his appointment as President and CEO as of January 1, 2005, Mr. Magee served as Chief Operating Officer since February 2004 and prior to that he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Independent Bank since 1993 (prior to the consolidation of our four banks into Independent Bank). He became a Director in 2005.

Mr. McCarty is the retired President of McCarty Communications (commercial printing). He became a Director in 2002.

Dr. Banks is a retired Senior Vice President of the Kellogg Company. She became a Director in 2005.

Mr. Hetzler is the retired President of Monitor Sugar Company (food processor). He became a Director in 2000.

Mr. Van Loan served as President and CEO of Independent Bank Corporation from 1993 until 2004 and as executive Chairman during 2005. He retired on December 31, 2005. He became a Director in 1992.

Mr. Gulis is the retired Executive Vice President and President of Wolverine Worldwide Global Operations Group. He became a Director in 2004.

Mr. Haske is a CPA and Principal with Anderson, Tuckey, Bernhardt & Doran, P.C. since 2008. Prior to 2008 he was the President of Ricker & Haske, CPAs, and P.C. He became a Director in 1996.

Mr. Maxson served as Chairman, President and CEO of Midwest Guaranty Bancorp, Inc. ("Midwest") from its founding in 1988 until July 2004 when he retired. Midwest was acquired by Independent Bank Corporation in July 2004, at which time Mr. Maxson joined the Board of Directors of Independent Bank East Michigan (which merged into Independent Bank in September 2007). He was appointed as a Director of the Company in September 2007.

Mr. Palmer is an attorney and a professor of law at Thomas M. Cooley Law School. He became a Director in 1991.

Mr. Shuster has served as Executive Vice President and the Chief Financial Officer of Independent Bank Corporation since 2001. Prior to joining Independent Bank Corporation, Mr. Shuster was President and CEO of Independent Bank MSB, which was acquired by Independent Bank Corporation in 1999.

Ms. Kimball, prior to being named Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer in 2007, was a Senior Vice President at Comerica Incorporated since 1998.

Mr. Kessel, prior to being named Executive Vice President and Chief Operations Officer in 2007, was President and Chief Executive Officer of Independent Bank since 2004 (prior to the consolidation of our four banks into Independent Bank) and was Senior Vice President since 1996.

Mr. Reglin was named Executive Vice President for Retail Banking in 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Reglin had served as President and CEO of Independent Bank West Michigan since 1998, which was consolidated with Independent Bank in 2007.

Mr. Butler joined Independent Bank in 1998 as Senior Vice President. Prior to that time, he served as Vice President of Mortgage Servicing Operations at the former First of America Bank Michigan, N.A.

Mr. Collins, prior to being named Senior Vice President, General Counsel in 2009, was a Partner with Varnum LLP, a Grand Rapids, Michigan based law firm, where he specialized in commercial law.

Mr. Graves served as Vice President of our Commercial Loan Services Department until 1999, when he was appointed as Senior Vice President. He was appointed as Chief Information Officer in 2007.

Mr. Twarozynski was appointed Senior Vice President in 2002 and served as Vice President and Controller prior to that time.

Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview and Objectives

The primary objectives of our executive compensation program are to (1) attract and retain talented executives, (2) motivate and reward executives for achieving our business goals, (3) align our executives' incentives with our strategies and goals, as well as the creation of shareholder value, and (4) provide competitive compensation at a reasonable cost. Consequently, our executive compensation plans are designed to achieve these objectives.

As described in more detail below, our executive compensation program has three primary components: base salary; an annual cash incentive bonus; and long-term incentive compensation that is payable in cash, stock options and stock grant awards. The compensation committee of our Board has not established policies or guidelines with respect to the specific mix or allocation of total compensation among base salary, annual incentive bonuses, and long-term compensation. However, as part of our long-standing "pay-for-performance" compensation philosophy, we typically set the base salaries of our executives somewhat below market median base salaries in return for above market median incentive opportunities. Combined, our five Named Executives have served the Company for a total of 84 years.

The compensation committee of the Board has utilized the services of third-party consultants from time to time to assist in the design of our executive compensation programs and render advice on compensation matters generally. In 2006, the compensation committee engaged the services of Mercer Human Resource Consulting ("Mercer") to review our executive compensation programs. As part of those services, Mercer (1) reviewed our existing compensation strategies and plans, (2) conducted a study of peer group compensation, including the competitiveness and effectiveness of each element of our compensation program, as well as our historical performance relative to that peer group, and (3) recommended changes to our compensation program, including those directly applicable to our executive officers. Neither the Company, the Board, nor any committee of the Board retained any compensation consultants during 2009.

Restrictions on Executive Compensation Under Federal Law

On December 12, 2008, the Company sold \$72 million of its preferred stock and warrants to Treasury under the Capital Purchase Program of the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP"). Participants in TARP are subject to a number of limitations and restrictions on executive compensation, including certain provisions of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("ARRA"). Under the ARRA, Treasury established standards regarding executive compensation relative to the requirements listed below on June 15, 2009. The substance of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis is based upon the existing guidance issued by Treasury. The compensation committee of our Board conducted the required review of our Named Executives incentive compensation arrangements with our senior risk officers, within the ninety day period following our sale of securities with Treasury under TARP.

As a general matter, until such time that the Company is no longer a TARP participant, we will be subject to the following requirements, among others:

- Our incentive compensation program may not include incentives for our Named Executives (defined below) to take unnecessary and excessive risks that threaten the value of the Company;
- The Company is entitled to recover any bonus, retention award, or incentive compensation paid to any of its 25 most highly compensated employees based upon statements of earnings, revenues, gains, or other criteria that are later found to be materially inaccurate;
- The Company is prohibited from making any golden parachute payments to any of its 10 most highly compensated employees;
- The Company is prohibited from paying to any Named Executive or the next 20 most highly compensated employees any tax "gross-ups" on compensation such as perquisites.
- Our compensation program may not encourage the manipulation of reported earnings to enhance the compensation of our employees;
- The Company may not pay or accrue any bonus, retention award, or incentive compensation to any of our Named Executives, other than payments made in the form of restricted stock, subject to the further condition that any

such awards may not vest while the Company is a participant in TARP and that any award not have a value greater than one-third of the Named Executives total annual compensation; and

- Our shareholders must be given the opportunity to vote on an advisory (non-binding) resolution at the Annual Meeting to approve the compensation of our executives.

The foregoing discussion is intended to provide a background and context for the information that follows regarding our existing compensation programs to those persons who served as our executive officers during 2009 and to assist in understanding the information included in the executive compensation tables included below.

Components of Compensation

The principal components of compensation we pay to our executives consist of the following:

- Base Salary;
- Annual Cash Incentive; and
- Long-Term Incentive Compensation, generally payable in the form of a combination of cash, stock options and restricted stock.

Base Salary

Base salaries are established each year for our executive officers. None of our executive officers has a separate employment agreement. In determining base salaries, we consider a variety of factors. Peer group compensation is a primary factor, but additional factors include an individual's performance, experience, expertise, and tenure with the Company. The executive compensation review conducted by Mercer, including its update in 2008, revealed that the base salaries of most of our executives are at or below competitive rates and market median levels.

Each year the compensation committee recommends the base salary for our President and CEO for consideration and approval by the full Board. For 2009, the committee approved management's recommendation to freeze the base salary levels of all of our executive officers, including Mr. Magee. Similarly, for 2010, the base salary levels of our Named Executives were frozen at the 2008 levels. Accordingly, Mr. Magee's salary of \$382,000 has remained unchanged since 2008.

The base salaries of other executive officers are established by our President and CEO. In setting base salaries, our President and CEO considers peer group compensation, as well as the individual performance of each respective executive officer. For the reasons noted above, the base salaries of our other Named Executives for 2009 remained unchanged from 2008 and were as follows: Mr. Shuster \$230,000; Mr. Reglin \$226,000; Mr. Kessel \$226,000; and Ms. Kimball \$226,000. These salaries will remain the same for 2010.

Annual Cash Incentives

Annual cash incentives are paid under the terms of our Management Incentive Compensation Plan. This Plan sets forth performance incentives that are designed to provide for annual cash awards that are payable if we meet or exceed the annual performance objectives established by our Board. Under this Plan, our Board establishes annual performance levels as follows: (1) threshold represents the performance level of what must be achieved before any incentive awards are payable; (2) target performance is defined as a desired level of performance in view of all relevant factors, as described in more detail below; and (3) the maximum represents that which reflects outstanding performance. As noted above, target performance under this Plan is intended to provide for aggregate annual cash compensation (salary and bonus) that approximates peer level compensation.

Threshold performance would result in earning 50 percent of the target incentive, target would be 100 percent, and maximum would be 200 percent, with compensation prorated between these award levels. Target incentive is defined as 65 percent of base salary for our CEO and 50 percent of base salary for our other Named Executives.

For 2009, 75 percent of the performance goal was based upon Company performance, while 25 percent was based upon predetermined individual goals. The corporate performance standards for 2009 were based upon the Company's

success in after-tax EPS, its success in reducing its loan loss provision and success in growing core deposits. Each of the factors were weighted 25 percent. For 2009, the performance goals for the Company were as follows:

	EPS	Loan Loss Provision	Core Deposits
Threshold	\$ 0.00	\$ 51 million	\$ 1.9 billion
Target	0.30	45 million	2.0 billion
Maximum	1.00	16 million	2.2 billion

Following the adoption of the ARRA, discussed above, none of the Named Executives are currently eligible to receive any payments under our annual Management Incentive Compensation Plan. Given the Company's performance during 2009, no bonuses were paid to any of our employees for 2009. Annually, the committee is to set these performance goals not later than the 60th day of each year. The performance goals for 2010 have not been established. The awards are paid in full following certification of the Company's financial results for the performance period.

Long-Term Incentive Program

Following the committee's and Board's review and analysis of the Mercer report, effective January 1, 2007, the Board adopted a long-term incentive program that includes three separate components: stock options, restricted stock, and long-term cash, each of which comprise one-third of the total long-term incentive grant each year. The target value of the cumulative amount of these awards is set at 100 percent of our CEO's salary and 50 percent for each of our other Named Executives. Because the first possible payout under the cash portion of the long-term program cannot be made until 2010 (the year after the first three-year performance period), the committee elected to grant stock options and restricted stock having a value equal to the aggregate target bonuses under the long-term incentive program for both 2007 and 2008. For 2009, and as explained in more detail below, the committee authorized only the grant of stock options under this program at a target value well below two-thirds of the target bonus.

Cash Incentive Elements. The committee adopted performance goals for the cash portion of this long-term incentive program, based upon the Company's three-year total shareholder return (TSR). TSR is determined by dividing the sum of our stock price appreciation and dividends by our stock price at the beginning of the performance period. The first performance period is the three year period beginning January 1, 2007. For purposes of determining achievement, the Company's TSR is measured against the Nasdaq Bank Index median TSR over the same period. The committee established the three target levels of performance, with threshold at the 50th percentile, target at the 70th percentile and maximum at the 90th percentile.

Equity-Based Incentive Element. The other two-thirds of the program are made up of stock options and shares of restricted stock, each of which are awarded under the terms of our Long-Term Incentive Plan. As a general practice, these awards are recommended by the committee, and approved by the Board, at the Board's first meeting in each calendar year and after the announcement of our earnings for the immediately preceding year. Under this Plan, the committee has the authority to grant a wide variety of stock-based awards. The exercise price of options granted under this Plan may not be less than the fair market value of our common stock at the date of grant; options are restricted as to transferability and generally expire ten years after the date of grant. The Plan is intended to assist our executive officers in the achievement of our share ownership guidelines. Under these guidelines (1) our CEO is expected to own Company stock having a market value equal to twice his base salary, (2) our executive vice presidents are to own stock having a market value of not less than 125 percent of their respective base salaries, and (3) our senior vice presidents are to own stock having a market value of not less than 50 percent of their respective base salaries. Once these guidelines are achieved, the failure to maintain the guidelines due to decreases in the market value of our common stock does not mandate additional purchases; rather, further sales of our common stock are prohibited until the employee again reaches the required level of ownership. Not more than 75 percent of the shares held by an executive in our ESOP may count toward the achievement of these guidelines, and only "in the money" stock options granted after January 1, 2004, count as well. These guidelines apply ratably over a five-year period commencing January 1, 2004, or the date of hire or promotion to one of these positions.

The value of the options that make up one-third of our long-term incentive program are measured under ASC topic 718, "Compensation - Stock Compensation" and vest ratably over three years. The value of the shares of the restricted stock that make up the final one-third of our long-term incentive program is based upon the grant date value of the shares of our common stock. These shares do not vest until the fifth anniversary of the grant date.

Due to the limited number of shares available for issuance under the terms of our Long-Term Incentive Plan, the committee elected to grant the entire amount of the equity portion of the long-term incentive program in the form of restricted shares of common stock for 2008. The value of the shares of restricted stock, based upon the grant date values, equaled 100 percent of our CEO's base compensation and 50 percent of the base compensation of each of our other Named Executives. As of the time of the annual grant for equity-based awards under the Plan in 2009, there remained approximately 300,000 shares available for grant under the Plan. Due to the limited number of remaining shares available for award, and due to the fact that the committee utilized restricted stock awards exclusively in 2008, the committee approved the grant of options covering a total of 299,987 shares for 2009, which were allocated among participants in accordance with their respective target bonuses under the Long-Term Incentive Program. Based upon the restrictions imposed by ARRA, our Named Executives may only receive awards under the Plan in the form of restricted stock, subject to the further limitation that those shares may not vest while the Company is a TARP participant and the value of any award may not exceed one-third of that employee's total annual compensation. No

awards under the Long-Term Incentive Program have been made or authorized for 2010.

Severance and Change in Control Payments

The Company has in place Management Continuity Agreements for each of our executive officers. These agreements provide severance benefits if an individual's employment is terminated within 36 months after a change in control or within six months before a change in control and if the individual's employment is terminated or constructively terminated in contemplation of a change in control for three years thereafter. For purposes of these agreements, a "change in control" is defined to mean any occurrence reportable as such in a proxy statement under applicable rules of the SEC, and would include, without limitation, the acquisition of beneficial ownership of 20 percent or more of our voting securities by any person, certain extraordinary changes in the composition of our Board, or a merger or consolidation in which we are not the surviving entity, or our sale or liquidation.

Severance benefits are not payable if an individual's employment is terminated for cause, employment terminates due to an individual's death or disability, or the individual resigns without "good reason." An individual may resign with "good reason" after a change in control and receive his or her severance benefits if an individual's salary or bonus is reduced, his or her duties and responsibilities are inconsistent with his or her prior position, or there is a material, adverse change in the terms or conditions of the individual's employment. The agreements are for self-renewing terms of three years unless we elect not to renew the agreement. The agreements are automatically extended for a three-year term from the date of a change in control. These agreements provide for a severance benefit in a lump sum payment equal to 18 months to three years' salary and bonus and a continuation of benefits' coverage for 18 months to three years. These benefits are limited, however, to one dollar less than three times an executive's "base amount" compensation as defined in Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

Following the adoption of the ARRA, discussed above, none of the 10 most highly compensated employees will be eligible to receive any severance or change in control benefits due to the prohibition related to "golden parachute payments" for the period during which any obligation arising under TARP remains outstanding.

Other Benefits

We believe that other components of our compensation program, which are generally provided to other full-time employees, are an important factor in attracting and retaining highly qualified personnel. Executive officers are eligible to participate in all of our employee benefit plans, such as medical, group life and accidental death and dismemberment insurance and our 401(k) Plan, and in each case on the same basis as other employees. We also maintain an ESOP that provides substantially all full-time employees with an equity interest in our Company. Contributions to the ESOP are determined annually and are subject to the approval of our Board. No Company contributions were made to the plan for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Perquisites

Our Board and compensation committee regularly reviews the perquisites offered to our executive officers. The committee believes that the cost of such perquisites is relatively minimal. Under the standards established by Treasury on June 15, 2009, we may not pay to any Named Executive or the next 20 most highly compensated employees any tax "gross-ups" on compensation such as perquisites.

Summary Compensation Table 2009

The following table shows certain information regarding the compensation for our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and the three most highly compensated executive officers other than our CEO and CFO (the "Named Executives").

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary(1)	Bonus	Stock Awards(2)	Option Awards(2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	All Other Compensation(3)	Totals
Michael M. Magee President and Chief Executive Officer	2009	\$382,000		\$	\$ 42,677	\$	\$ 26,853	\$ 451,530
	2008	382,000		349,996			35,904	767,900
Robert N. Shuster Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2009	230,000			12,848		28,959	271,807
	2008	230,000		109,994			24,318	364,312
David C. Reglin Executive Vice President - Retail Banking	2009	226,000			12,624		24,612	263,236
	2008	226,000		109,994			27,415	363,409
Stefanie M. Kimball(4) Executive Vice President - Chief Lending Officer	2009	226,000			12,624		14,414	253,038
	2008	226,000		99,999			16,558	342,557
William B. Kessel Executive Vice President -	2009	226,000			12,624		22,363	260,987
	2008	226,000		107,499			27,431	360,930
	2007	215,000		53,742	53,748	32,500	25,494	380,484

Chief
Operations
Officer

- (1) Includes elective deferrals by employees pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Service Code and elective deferrals pursuant to a non-qualified deferred compensation plan.
- (2) Amounts set forth in the stock award and option award columns represent the aggregate fair value of the awards as of the grant date, computed in accordance with FASB ASC topic 718, "Compensation - Stock Compensation." The assumptions used in calculating these amounts are set forth in Note 15, in the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009, included in this prospectus.
- (3) Amounts include our contributions to the ESOP (subject to certain age and service requirements, all employees are eligible to participate in the plan), matching contributions to qualified defined contribution plans, IRS determined personal use of company owned automobiles, country club and other social club dues and restricted stock dividends.
- (4) Ms. Kimball began employment with us on April 25, 2007.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards 2009

This table sets forth information on equity awards granted by the Company to the Named Executives during 2009 under our Long-Term Incentive Plan. The Compensation Discussion and Analysis provides further details on these awards under the Long-Term Incentive Plan. As noted in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, our Named Executives are not eligible to participate in our Management Incentive Compensation Plan.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock	All Other Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options	Exercise Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(4)
		Threshold \$	Target \$	Maximum \$	Threshold \$	Target \$	Maximum \$				
Michael M. Magee	1/30/09	(1)58,333	116,667	233,334				61,655		\$1.59	\$42,678
Robert N. Shuster	1/30/09	(1)18,333	36,667	73,333				18,561		1.59	12,848
David C. Reglin	1/30/09	(1)18,333	36,667	73,333				18,238		1.59	12,624
Stefanie M. Kimball	1/30/09	(1)16,667	33,333	66,667				18,238		1.59	12,624
William B. Kessel	1/30/09	(1)17,917	35,833	71,667				18,238		1.59	12,624

(1)

Represents awards granted under our Long Term Incentive program. The referenced payouts are dependent upon our three-year total shareholder return ("TSR") as described in our Compensation Discussion and Analysis above for the period ending December 31, 2010, relative to the Nasdaq Bank Index median TSR over the same period.

- (2) Each option has a term of ten years and vests pro rata over three years.
- (3) The exercise price of all stock options equals the market value of the Company's common stock on the grant date.
- (4) Grant date values are computed in accordance with ASC topic 718, "Compensation - Stock Compensation."

As shown in the Summary Compensation Table above, each Named Executive's base salary generally constitutes the majority of his or her respective compensation for 2009, 2008 and 2007. This is due to the fact that no annual bonus was paid in 2008 or 2009 under the Management Incentive Compensation Plan and bonuses earned under that plan for 2007 were attributable to the achievement of certain individual performance goals. Effective January 1, 2007, our Management Incentive Compensation Plan was modified to permit our executives to earn relatively modest bonuses based upon individual achievement, irrespective of whether the Company achieved its financial performance targets.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table shows the option and restricted stock awards that were outstanding as of December 31, 2009. The table shows both exercisable and unexercisable options, as well as shares of restricted stock that have not yet vested, all of which were granted under our Long-Term Incentive Plan. During 2009, our Named Executives voluntarily surrendered, for no consideration, options providing for the purchase of 335,645 shares of our common stock. Each of these options had an exercise price of \$10.00 or greater and an expiration date of greater than one year from the date of surrender.

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards			Stock Awards	
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Option Exercise Price	Option Exercise Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested(2)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested(3)
Michael M. Magee	01/21/01	10,218	\$ 9.79	01/21/11		
	04/24/07				10,485	\$ 7,549
	01/15/08				45,871	33,027
	01/30/09	61,655	1.59	01/30/19		
Robert N. Shuster	04/17/01	4,765	9.97	04/17/11		
	05/11/04	1,686	22.13	04/20/10		
	04/24/07				3,295	2,372
	01/15/08				14,416	10,380
	01/30/09	18,561	1.59	01/30/19		
David C. Reglin	01/21/01	9,298	9.79	01/21/11		
	04/17/01	6,047	9.97	04/17/11		
	05/21/01	3,267	11.97	01/18/10		
	04/24/07				3,295	2,372
	01/15/08				14,416	10,380
	01/30/09	18,238	1.59	01/30/19		
Stefanie M. Kimball	04/24/07				2,995	2,156
	01/15/08				13,106	9,436

	01/30/09	18,238	1.59	01/30/19		
William						
B. Kessel	04/24/07				3,220	2,318
	01/15/08				14,089	10,144
	01/30/09	18,238	1.59	01/30/19		

- (1) The options granted on January 30, 2009, vest ratably over the three-year period beginning January 30, 2010.
- (2) The shares of restricted stock are subject to risks of forfeiture until they vest, in full, on the fifth anniversary of the grant date.
- (3) The market value of the shares of restricted stock that have not vested is based on the closing price of our common stock as of December 31, 2009.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested 2009

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting
Michael M. Magee				
Robert N. Shuster				
David C. Reglin				
Stefanie M. Kimball				
William B. Kessel				

None of our Named Executives exercised any options during 2009, nor were any restricted stock awards vested during 2009.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The table below provides certain information relating to each defined contribution plan that provides for the deferral of compensation on a basis that is not tax qualified.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY	Registrant Contributions in Last FY	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE
Michael M. Magee			\$(14,482)	\$	\$ 7,505
Robert N. Shuster			5,446	(8,512)	52,416
David C. Reglin					
Stefanie M. Kimball					
William B. Kessel			107	(23,057)	

Certain of our officers, including the Named Executives, can contribute, on a tax deferred basis, up to 80% of his or her base salary and 100% of his or her annual cash bonus into our executive non-qualified excess plan. The Company makes no contributions to this plan and contributions by participants may be directed into various investment options as selected by each participant. Earnings on the investments accrue to the participants on a tax deferred basis. Participants can withdraw balances from their accounts in accordance with plan provisions.

Other Potential Post-Employment Payments

Executive Name	(1)	(2)
	Estimated Liability for Severance Payments & Benefit Amounts Under Continuity Agreements	Payment Limitation Based on IRS Section 280G Limitation on Severance Amounts
Michael M. Magee	\$1,302,958	\$1,141,078
Robert N. Shuster	810,064	707,834
David C. Reglin	790,798	704,045
Stefanie M. Kimball	794,285	642,490
William B. Kessel	789,688	778,298

- (1) The Corporation has entered into Management Continuity Agreements with each of the above Named Executives that provide for defined severance compensation and other benefits if they are terminated following a change of control of the Company. The Agreements provide for a lump sum payout of the severance compensation and a continuation of certain health and medical insurance related benefits for a period of three years. For further detailed information, see the section titled "Severance and Change in Control Payments" included as part of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in this Proxy Statement.
- (2) The total amounts which may be due under the Management Continuity Agreements are subject to and limited by Internal Revenue Service Code Section 280G. This column indicates the estimated payout based on IRS limitations.

As long as the Corporation has any obligation outstanding arising under TARP, none of the potential payments described above can be paid due to the prohibition related to "golden parachute payments" under ARRA, as discussed above.

Director Compensation

During 2009, in response to the prevailing, uncertain economic conditions, the Board reduced by ten percent the annual retainer paid to non-employee directors as well as the annual retainer payable to non-employee directors of our bank subsidiary. As a result, these amounts were \$40,500 and \$10,800, respectively for 2009, and will remain the same for 2010. Half of the combined retainer is paid in cash and the other half is paid under our Deferred Compensation and Stock Purchase Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "Purchase Plan") described below until that director achieves the required share ownership under our share ownership guidelines. Once a director has achieved the requisite level of share ownership under those guidelines, each director then has a choice of receiving his or her director compensation in cash or deferred share units under our Purchase Plan, at his or her discretion. The Board approved the payment of additional retainers of \$5,000, \$3,000, and \$2,000 to the Chairpersons of the Board's audit committee, compensation committee, and nominating and corporate governance committee, respectively. No fees are

payable for attendance at either Board or committee meetings.

Pursuant to our Long-Term Incentive Plan, the compensation committee may grant options to purchase shares of Independent Bank Corporation common stock to each non-employee director. No such stock options were granted during 2009, 2008 or 2007.

The Purchase Plan provides that non-employee directors may defer payment of all or a part of their director fees ("Fees") or receive shares of common stock in lieu of cash payment of Fees. Under the Purchase Plan, each non-employee director may elect to participate in a Current Stock Purchase Account, a Deferred Cash Investment Account or a Deferred Stock Account.

A Current Stock Purchase Account is credited with shares of Independent Bank Corporation common stock having a fair market value equal to the Fees otherwise payable. A Deferred Cash Investment Account is credited with an amount equal to the Fees deferred and on each quarterly credit date with an appreciation factor that may not exceed the prime rate of interest charged by Independent Bank. A Deferred Stock Account is credited with the amount of Fees deferred and converted into stock units based on the fair market value of our common stock at the time of the deferral. Amounts in the Deferred Stock Account are credited with cash dividends and other distributions on our common stock. Fees credited to a Deferred Cash Investment Account or a Deferred Stock Account are deferred for income tax purposes. The Purchase Plan does not provide for distributions of amounts deferred prior to a participant's termination as a non-employee director. Participants may generally elect either a lump sum or installment distributions.

Director Compensation 2009

Name	Fees Earned or		Option	Totals	Aggregate
	Paid in Cash	Awards(1)			Stock Options
					Held
					as of 12/31/09
Donna J. Banks	\$ 51,300	\$	\$	51,300	
Jeffrey A. Bratsburg	51,300			51,300	30,993
Stephen L. Gulis, Jr.(2)	71,300			71,300	
Terry L. Haske(3)	59,300			59,300	16,455
Robert L. Hetzler(4)	51,800			51,800	16,455
Clarke B. Maxson	51,300			51,300	
James E. McCarty(5)	54,300			54,300	
Charles A. Palmer(6)	53,300			53,300	16,455
Charles C. Van Loan(4)	59,800			59,800	
Totals	\$ 503,700	\$	\$	503,700	80,358

- (1) No stock options were awarded to the Board during 2009, 2008, or 2007. No amounts were recognized as compensation expense in 2009 for financial reporting purposes with respect to stock options granted to directors in accordance with SFAS No. 123R.
- (2) Includes additional retainer for service as chairperson of the audit committee and service on ad hoc special committee of the Board.
- (3) Includes additional retainer for service on ad hoc special committee of the Board.
- (4) Includes fees received for attendance at Mepco Finance Corporation board meetings during 2009.
- (5) Includes additional retainer for service as chairperson of the compensation committee.
- (6) Includes additional retainer for service as chairperson of the nominating and corporate governance committee and for service on ad hoc special committee of the Board.

Director Independence

For many years, our Board of Directors has been committed to sound and effective corporate governance practices. The Board has documented those practices in our Corporate Governance Principles. These principles address director qualifications, periodic performance evaluations, stock ownership guidelines and other corporate governance matters. Under those principles, a majority of the members of our Board must qualify as independent under the rules

established by the NASDAQ stock market on which our stock trades. Our principles also require the Board to have an audit committee, compensation committee and a nominating and corporate governance committee, and that each member of those committees qualifies as independent under the NASDAQ rules. Our Corporate Governance Principles, as well as the charters of each of the foregoing committees are available for review on our website at www.IndependentBank.com under the "Investor Relations" tab.

As required by our Corporate Governance Principles, our Board has determined that each of the following directors qualifies as an "Independent Director", as such term is defined in Market Place Rule 5605(a)(2) of The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC: Donna J. Banks, Jeffrey A. Bratsburg, Stephen L. Gulis, Terry L. Haske, Robert L. Hetzler, Clarke B. Maxson, James E. McCarty, Charles A. Palmer and Charles C. Van Loan. Our Board has also determined that each member of the three committees of the Board meets the independence requirements applicable to those committees as prescribed by the Nasdaq listing requirements, and, as to the audit committee, under the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. There are no family relationships between or among our directors, nominees or executive officers.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Our compensation committee, which met on five occasions in 2009, consists of directors Banks, Gulis, Hetzler, Van Loan, and McCarty (Chairman). Mr. Van Loan previously served as CEO of IBC. None of our directors has interlocking or other relationships with other boards, compensation committees, or our executive officers that require disclosure under Item 407(e)(4) of Regulation S-K.

Our compensation committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Board on executive compensation matters, including any benefits to be paid to our executives and officers. At the beginning of each year, the Committee meets to review our CEO's performance against the Company's goals and objectives for the preceding year and also to review and approve the corporate goals and objectives that relate to CEO compensation for the forthcoming year. The Committee also evaluates the CEO and other key executives' payouts against (a) pre-established, measurable performance goals and budgets, (b) generally comparable groups of executives, and (c) external market trends. Following this review, the Committee recommends to the full Board, the annual base salary, annual incentive compensation, total compensation and benefits for our CEO. This Committee is also responsible for approving equity-based compensation awards under our Long-Term Incentive Plan. Base salaries of executive officers, other than our CEO, are established by our CEO.

This committee is also responsible to recommend to the full Board, the amount and form of compensation payable to directors. From time to time, the committee relies upon third party consulting firms to assist the committee in its oversight of the Company's executive compensation policy and our Board compensation. This is discussed in more detail above.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

As of February 26, 2010, no person was known by us to be the beneficial owner of 5% or more of our common stock, except as follows:

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Approximate Percent of Class
Common Stock, no par value	Independent Bank Corporation Employee Stock Ownership Trust ("ESOT") 230 West Main Street Ionia, Michigan 48846	2,236,834	9.31%

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of our common stock by our named executives, set forth in the compensation table above, and by all directors and executive officers as a group as of February 26, 2010:

Name	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(1)	Percent of Outstanding
Michael M. Magee	154,441(2)	. 61
Robert N. Shuster	117,699	. 47
David C. Reglin	98,260	. 39
William B. Kessel	38,260	. 15
Stefanie M. Kimball	26,793	. 11
All executive officers and directors as a group (consisting of 18 persons)	3,218,512(3)	12.78

- (1) In addition to shares held directly or under joint ownership with their spouses, beneficial ownership includes shares that are issuable under options exercisable within 60 days, and shares that are allocated to their accounts as participants in the ESOP.
- (2) Includes 10,424 common stock units held in a deferred compensation plan.
- (3) Beneficial ownership is disclaimed as to 2,070,440 shares, all of which are held by the ESOT.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Our Board of Directors and executive officers and their associates were customers of, and had transactions with, our bank subsidiary in the ordinary course of business during 2009. All loans and commitments included in such transactions were made in the ordinary course of business on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons and do not involve an unusual risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features. Such loans totaled \$599,000 at December 31, 2009, equal to 0.5% of shareholders' equity.

THE EXCHANGE OFFERS

Purpose of the Exchange Offers

We are making the exchange offers described in this prospectus as part of the more comprehensive Capital Plan recently adopted by our Board of Directors and described below. The primary objective of our Capital Plan is to achieve and thereafter maintain the minimum capital ratios established by our Board pursuant to resolutions adopted in December of 2009.

Adoption of Board Resolutions

In December of 2009, the Board of Directors of Independent Bank, our subsidiary bank, adopted resolutions designed to enhance certain aspects of the bank's performance and, most importantly, to improve its capital position. Our subsidiary bank began to experience rising levels of non-performing loans and higher provisions for loan losses in 2006. Although the bank remained profitable through the second quarter of 2008, it has incurred six consecutive quarterly losses since then (and anticipates future losses), which have pressured its capital ratios. In response to these losses, continuing economic stress in Michigan, and elevated levels of non-performing assets, and in conjunction with discussions with the Federal Reserve Bank ("FRB"), as the bank's primary federal regulator, and the Michigan Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation ("OFIR"), as the bank's state regulator, the Board of Directors of the Bank adopted resolutions that require the following:

- The adoption by the bank of a capital restoration plan designed to achieve a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to average assets of 8% and a minimum ratio of total risk based capital to risk weighted assets of 11%, and a regular periodic review and evaluation of such capital plan by the Board of the bank thereafter;
- The enhancement of the bank's documentation of the rationale for discounts applied to collateral valuations on impaired loans and improved support for the identification, tracking, and reporting of loans classified as troubled debt restructurings;
- The adoption of certain changes and enhancements to our liquidity monitoring and contingency planning and our interest rate risk management practices;
- Additional reporting to the Board regarding initiatives and plans pursued by management to improve the bank's risk management practices;
- Prior approval of the FRB and OFIR for any dividends or distributions to be paid by the bank to IBC; and
- Notice to the FRB and OFIR of any rescission of or material modification to any of these resolutions.

In addition to these resolutions adopted for our bank, the Board of Directors of IBC (which is comprised of the same members as the bank's Board) adopted resolutions in December of 2009 that impose the following restrictions:

- We will not pay dividends on our outstanding common stock or the outstanding preferred stock held by the Treasury and we will not pay distributions on our outstanding trust preferred securities without, in each case, the prior written approval of the FRB and OFIR;
- We will not incur or guarantee any additional indebtedness without the prior approval of the FRB;
- We will not repurchase or redeem any of our common stock without the prior approval of the FRB; and
- We will not rescind or materially modify any of these limitations without notice to the FRB and OFIR.

The substance of all of the resolutions described above was developed in conjunction with discussions held with the FRB and OFIR in response to the FRB's most recent examination report of Independent Bank, which was completed in October of 2009. Based on those discussions, we acted proactively to adopt the resolutions described above to address those areas of the bank's condition and operations that were highlighted in the exam report and that we believe most require our focus at this time. It is very possible that if we had not adopted these resolutions, the FRB and OFIR may have imposed similar requirements on us through a memorandum of understanding or similar undertaking. We are not currently subject to any such regulatory agreement or enforcement action. However, we believe that if we are unable to substantially comply with the resolutions set forth above and if our financial condition and performance do not otherwise materially improve, it is likely our primary bank regulators would impose additional regulatory restrictions and requirements on us through a regulatory enforcement action.

Subsequent to the adoption of the resolutions described above, the bank adopted the capital restoration plan required by the resolutions. This Capital Plan is described in more detail below. Other than fully implementing such Capital Plan and achieving the minimum capital ratios set forth in the resolutions, we believe we have already taken appropriate actions to fully comply with these Board resolutions.

Capital Plan

In January of 2010, we adopted a Capital Restoration Plan (the "Capital Plan"), as required by the Board resolutions adopted in December of 2009 and described above, and submitted such Capital Plan to the FRB and OFIR

The primary objective of our Capital Plan is to achieve and thereafter maintain the minimum capital ratios required by the Board resolutions adopted in December of 2009. As of December 31, 2009, our bank continued to be meet the requirements to be considered "well-capitalized" under federal regulatory standards. However, the minimum capital ratios established by our Board are higher than the ratios required in order to be considered "well-capitalized" under federal standards. The Board imposed these higher ratios in order to ensure we have sufficient capital to withstand potential continuing losses based on our elevated level of non-performing assets and given the other risks and uncertainties we face, as described in this prospectus. Set forth below are the actual capital ratios of our subsidiary bank as of December 31, 2009, the minimum capital ratios imposed by the Board resolutions, and the minimum ratios necessary to be considered "well-capitalized" under federal regulatory standards:

	Independent Bank Actual as of 12/31/09	Minimum Ratios Established by Our Board	Required to be Well-Capitalized
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	10.36%	11.0%	10.0%
Tier 1 Capital to Average Total Assets	6.72%	8.0%	5.0%

The Capital Plan sets forth an objective of achieving these minimum capital ratios as soon as practicable and maintaining such capital ratios though at least the end of 2012. Although our board initially set a deadline of April 30, 2010 to achieve these minimum capital ratios, it recently approved an extension of the deadline to June 30, 2010.

The Capital Plan includes projections prepared by the Bank's management that reflect forecasted financial data through 2012. Those projections anticipate a need of a minimum of \$60 million of new capital in order for us to achieve the minimum ratios established by our Board. The projections take into account the various risks and uncertainties we face, as described in this prospectus. However, because the projections are based on assumptions regarding these risks and uncertainties, which assumptions may not prove to be true, the Capital Plan contains a target of \$100 million to \$125 million of new capital to be raised by IBC.

In anticipation of the capital raising initiatives described in the Capital Plan, we engaged an independent third party to perform a due diligence review (a "stress test") on our commercial loan portfolio and a separate independent third party to perform a similar review of our retail loan portfolio. These independent stress tests were concluded in January of 2010. Each analysis included different scenarios based on expectations of future economic conditions. We engaged these independent reviews in order to ensure that the similar analyses we had performed internally in 2009, on which we based our projections for future expected loan losses and our need for additional capital, were reasonable and did not materially understate our projected loan losses. Based on the conclusions of these third party reviews, we determined that we did not need to modify our projections used for purposes of the Capital Plan. Even though we have had independent third party review of these loan portfolios, we cannot be sure that our allowance for loan losses and the additional provisions we anticipate taking to increase such allowance will be sufficient to absorb all loan losses.

The Capital Plan sets forth certain initiatives to be pursued in order to raise new capital and meet the objectives of the Capital Plan. Based on discussions with the investment bankers we have retained to assist us in raising capital, our Capital Plan concludes that our best option for raising new capital is through the sale of additional shares of our common stock in a public offering. We anticipate that all or substantially all of the proceeds of such an offering would be contributed to the capital of our subsidiary bank.

In addition to contemplating a public offering of our common stock for cash, the Capital Plan contemplates two other primary initiatives: (1) an offer to exchange shares of our common stock for any or all of our outstanding trust preferred securities (as described in this prospectus), and (2) the conversion of the shares of Series A Preferred Stock held by the Treasury into shares of our common stock. These two initiatives will reduce required annual interest and dividend payments by reducing the aggregate principal amount of outstanding trust preferred securities and outstanding shares of preferred stock. In addition, they will improve our holding company's ratio of tangible common equity (TCE) to tangible assets. We believe both of these effects will improve our ability to successfully raise additional capital through a public offering of our common stock. Conversely, our failure to exchange a meaningful amount of trust preferred securities for common stock or to convert the preferred stock held by the Treasury into common stock will likely have a significantly adverse effect on our ability to raise a sufficient amount of new capital through a public offering of our stock. See "Importance of the Exchange Offers" below for more information.

Our Capital Plan also outlines various contingency plans in case we do not succeed in raising all additional capital needed. These contingency plans include a possible further reduction in our assets (such as through a sale of branches, loans, and/or other operating divisions or subsidiaries), more significant expense reductions than those that have already been implemented and those that are currently being considered, and a sale of Independent Bank. Because of current market conditions and based on discussions with our investment bankers and informal discussions we have held in the past with potential buyers for certain of our assets, we believe we are more likely to meet the minimum capital ratios set forth in the Capital Plan through raising new equity capital than we are through pursuing any of these contingency plans. However, the contingency plans were considered and included within the Capital Plan in recognition of the possibility that market conditions for these transactions may improve and that such transactions may be necessary or required by our regulators if we are unable to raise sufficient equity capital through the initiatives described above.

The Capital Plan concludes with a recognition that our strategy and focus for the near term will be to improve our asset quality and pursue the initiatives described above in order to strengthen our capital position.

Suspension of Quarterly Dividends and Distributions

We have recently taken certain actions to improve our regulatory capital ratios and preserve capital and liquidity. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2009, we eliminated the \$0.01 per share quarterly cash dividend on our common stock. In addition, we suspended payment of quarterly dividends on our preferred stock held by the Treasury. The cash dividends payable to the Treasury amount to \$3.6 million per year until December of 2013, at which time they will increase to \$6.5 million per year. We also have exercised our right to defer all quarterly interest payments on the subordinated debentures we issued to our trust subsidiaries. As a result, all quarterly dividends on the related trust preferred securities (which are the trust preferred securities solicited for exchange in the exchange offers described in this prospectus) were also deferred. Based on current dividend rates, the cash dividends on all outstanding trust preferred securities amount to approximately \$5.4 million per year. These actions will preserve cash at IBC as we do not expect Independent Bank, our bank subsidiary, to be able to pay any cash dividends in the near term. Dividends from Independent Bank are restricted by federal and state law and are further restricted by the Board resolutions adopted in December and described above. For additional information on restrictions on the ability of Independent Bank and IBC to pay dividends and similar distributions, please see "Dividend Policy" below.

We do not have any current plans to resume dividend payments on our outstanding trust preferred securities or the outstanding shares of our preferred stock. We do not know if or when any such payments will resume.

Exchange Offer with the U.S. Treasury

In December of 2009, we made a proposal to the Treasury to exchange all of the shares of the Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock purchased by the Treasury in December of 2008 under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP) of the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) (the "Series A Preferred Stock") for shares of our

common stock with a value (based on market prices at the time of the exchange) equal to 75% of the aggregate liquidation value of the preferred stock surrendered in the exchange. The aggregate liquidation value of the preferred stock held by the Treasury is \$72 million.

As a result of our discussions with the Treasury, on April 2, 2010, we entered into an Exchange Agreement with the Treasury. Under the Exchange Agreement, the Treasury has agreed to accept, subject to certain conditions, our newly issued shares of Series B Fixed Rate Cumulative Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Series B Convertible Preferred Stock") in exchange for the entire \$72 million in aggregate liquidation value of the shares of Series A Preferred Stock, plus the value of all accrued and unpaid dividends on such shares of Series A Preferred Stock. If and when issued, the shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock will have an aggregate liquidation preference equal to the sum of \$72.0 million plus an amount equal to accrued and unpaid dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock as of