

XL CAPITAL LTD
Form 10-K
February 29, 2008

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number 1-10804

XL CAPITAL LTD

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Cayman Islands

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

98-0191089

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**XL House, One Bermudiana Road
Hamilton, Bermuda HM 11**

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

(441) 292-8515

(Registrant's telephone number, including area
code)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Class A Ordinary Shares, Par Value \$0.01 per Share	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.
7.00% Equity Security Units	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting common equity of the registrant held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2007 was approximately \$15.2 billion computed upon the basis of the closing sales price of the Class A Ordinary Shares on June 29, 2007. For purposes of this computation, ordinary shares held by directors and officers of the registrant have been excluded. Such exclusion is not intended, nor shall it be deemed, to be an admission that such persons are affiliates of the registrant.

As of February 27, 2008, there were outstanding 177,906,200 Class A Ordinary Shares, \$0.01 par value per share, of the registrant.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

The Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report relating to the annual meeting of ordinary shareholders to be held on April 25, 2008 is incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

XL CAPITAL LTD
TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I</u>		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	1
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	22
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	35
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	35
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	35
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	38
<u>PART II</u>		
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	40
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	42
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	44
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	92
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	98
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	164
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	164
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	164
<u>PART III</u>		
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	165
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	165
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	165
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	165
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	165
<u>PART IV</u>		
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	166
	<i>This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains "Forward-Looking Statements" as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. A non-exclusive list of the important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in such Forward-Looking Statements is set forth herein under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements."</i>	

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

History

XL Capital Ltd, through its subsidiaries (the Company or XL), is a leading provider of global insurance and reinsurance coverages to industrial, commercial and professional service firms, insurance companies and other enterprises on a worldwide basis. XL Capital Ltd was incorporated with limited liability under the Cayman Islands Companies Act on March 16, 1998, as EXEL Merger Company. XL Capital Ltd was formed as a result of the merger of EXEL Limited and Mid Ocean Limited on August 7, 1998, and the Company was named EXEL Limited on that date.

EXEL Limited and Mid Ocean are companies that were incorporated in the Cayman Islands in 1986 and 1992, respectively. At a special general meeting held on February 1, 1999, the shareholders of the Company approved a resolution changing the name of the Company to XL Capital Ltd.

On June 18, 1999, XL Capital Ltd merged with NAC Re Corp. (NAC), a Delaware corporation organized in 1985, in a stock merger. This merger was accounted for as a pooling of interests under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Following the merger, the Company changed its fiscal year end from November 30 to December 31 as a conforming pooling adjustment.

On July 25, 2001, the Company acquired certain Winterthur International insurance operations (Winterthur International) to extend its predominantly North American-based large corporate insurance business globally. Results of operations of Winterthur International have been included from July 1, 2001, the date from which the economic interest was transferred to the Company. In 2003, the Company re-branded XL Winterthur International to XL Insurance Global Risk.

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company increased its shareholding in Le Mans Ré from 49% to 67% in order to expand its international reinsurance operations. On September 3, 2003, the Company exercised its option to buy the remaining 33% from MMA and changed the name of Le Mans Ré to XL Re Europe S.A. On October 18, 2006, the Company received approval to form a new European company, XL Re Europe Ltd, based in Dublin, Ireland, which is licensed to write all classes of reinsurance business. XL Re Europe Ltd is the headquarters of the Company's European reinsurance platform with branch offices in France and the U.K.

On August 4, 2006, the Company completed the sale of 37% of XL Capital Assurance Ltd (XLCA) and XL Financial Assurance Ltd. (XLFA) through the initial public offering (IPO) of Security Capital Assurance (SCA). XLCA and XLFA represented the Company's financial guarantee insurance and financial guarantee reinsurance platforms, respectively. On June 6, 2007, the Company completed the sale of a portion of SCA's common shares owned by the Company through a secondary offering and thereby reduced its ownership of SCA's outstanding common shares from approximately 63% to approximately 46%. Following this sale, the Company's proportionate share of the results of SCA is reported as Net income (loss) from operating affiliates.

See further information under Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Business Combinations.

Segments

To better align the Company's operating and reporting structure with its current strategy, the Company revised its segment structure during the second quarter of 2007. Depending on the nature of the business written, the following product lines or transactions previously managed by and reported as part of the Financial Lines segment are now managed as part of the Insurance and Reinsurance segments and reported therewith: (i) structured indemnity products managed through the Company's financial solutions operations (XLFS), (ii) political risk products, (iii) weather and energy management products, and (iv) legacy financial guarantee business. The earnings on the Company's investment in Primus Guaranty Ltd. (Primus) and other financial operating affiliates as well as the results of certain structured finance products are reported as a part of the Corporate segment that includes the general investment and financing operations of the Company. In addition, the guaranteed investment contract and funding agreement businesses are now reported separately within the Other Financial Lines segment.

On June 6, 2007, the Company completed the sale of a portion of SCA's common shares owned by the Company through a secondary offering and thereby reduced its ownership of SCA's outstanding common shares from approximately 63% to approximately 46%. Subsequent to this date, SCA was no longer a consolidated subsidiary or a separate operating segment of the Company and its remaining investment in SCA was accounted for using the equity method of accounting. However, historical consolidated results of the Company include the separately reported net income of SCA through to June 6, 2007.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Given the changes in the Company's operating and reporting structure as described above, the Company is organized into four operating segments: Insurance, Reinsurance, Life Operations, and Other Financial Lines in addition to a Corporate segment that includes the general investment and financing operations of the Company.

The Company evaluates the performance for both the Insurance and Reinsurance segments based on underwriting profit and evaluates the contribution from each of the Life Operations and Other Financial Lines segments. Other items of revenue and

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

expenditure of the Company are not evaluated at the segment level for reporting purposes. In addition, the Company does not allocate investment assets by segment for its property and casualty operations. Investment assets related to (i) the Company's Life Operations and Other Financial Lines segments and (ii) certain structured products included in the Insurance and Reinsurance segments, are held in separately identified portfolios. As such, net investment income from these assets is included in the contribution from each of these segments.

The following table sets forth an analysis of gross premiums written by segment for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. Additional financial information about the Company's segments, including financial information about geographic areas, is included in Item 8, Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Segment Information.

Year ended December 31 (U.S. dollars in thousands)	2007 Gross Premiums Written	Percentage Change	2006 Gross Premiums Written (2) (3)	Percentage Change	2005 Premiums Gross Written (2) (3)
Insurance	\$ 5,434,266	(3.4)%	\$ 5,627,293	(3.8)%	\$ 5,848,121
Reinsurance	2,663,494	(13.1)%	3,066,138	(9.1)%	3,372,189
Life Operations	743,220	8.2%	686,906	(70.8)%	2,350,319
SCA (1)	156,983	(61.3)%	405,910	45.6%	278,868
	<u>\$ 8,997,963</u>	<u>(8.1)%</u>	<u>\$ 9,786,247</u>	<u>(17.4)%</u>	<u>\$ 11,849,497</u>

- (1) Gross premiums written relating to SCA in 2007 are for the period from January 1, 2007 through to June 6, 2007, prior to a secondary offering of SCA's common shares owned by the Company, which had the effect of reducing its ownership of SCA's outstanding common shares from approximately 63% to approximately 46%. Subsequent to June 6, 2007, the Company accounted for its remaining investment in SCA using the equity method of accounting.
- (2) To facilitate period to period comparisons, certain short-term Accident and Health business previously included in the Reinsurance segment has been reclassified to the Life Operations segment to conform to current segment management responsibilities.
- (3) Certain lines of business previously reported under the Financial Lines segment have been reclassified and reported under the Insurance and Reinsurance segments based on the nature of the business written.

Insurance Segment

General

The Company provides commercial property and casualty insurance products on a global basis. Products generally provide tailored coverages for complex corporate risks and include the following lines of business: Property, casualty, professional liability, environmental liability, aviation and satellite, marine and offshore energy, equine, fine art and specie, excess and surplus lines and other insurance coverages including program business.

Property and casualty products are typically written as global insurance programs for large multinational companies and institutions and include umbrella liability, product recall, U.S. workers' compensation, property catastrophe and primary master property and liability coverages. Property and casualty products generally provide large capacity on a primary, quota share or excess of loss basis. Global insurance programs are targeted to large worldwide companies in major industry groups including aerospace, automotive, consumer products, pharmaceutical, pulp and paper, high technology, telecommunications, transportation and basic metals. In North America, the casualty business written is generally long-tail, umbrella and high layer excess business, meaning that the Company's liability attaches after large deductibles, including self insurance or insurance from other companies. Primary casualty programs (including workers' compensation) generally require customers to take large deductibles or self-insured retentions. Outside of North America, casualty business is also written on a primary basis. Policies are written on an occurrence, claims-made and occurrence reported basis. The Company's property business written is short-tail by nature and written on both a primary and excess of loss basis. Property business written includes exposures to man-made and natural disasters, and generally, loss experience is characterized as low frequency and high severity. This may result in volatility in the Company's results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for further information.

Professional liability insurance includes directors' and officers' liability, errors and omissions liability and employment practices liability coverages. Policies are written on both a primary and excess of loss basis. Directors' and officers' coverage includes primary and excess directors' and officers' liability, employment practices liability, company securities and private company directors' and officers' liability. Products are

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

targeted at a variety of different sized companies, with a heavy concentration on small to medium-sized firms when written on a primary basis. Employment practices liability is written primarily for very large corporations and covers those firms for legal liability in regard to the treatment of employees. Errors and omissions coverage is written on a primary and excess basis. Errors and omissions insurance written on a primary basis is targeted to small to medium-sized firms and coverage is provided for various professional exposures, including, but not limited to, insurance brokers, consultants, architects and engineers, lawyers, public entities and real estate agents.

Environmental liability products include pollution and remediation legal liability, general and project-specific pollution and professional liability, commercial general property redevelopment and contractor's pollution liability. Business is written for both single and multiple years on a primary or excess of loss, claims-made or, less frequently, occurrence basis. Targeted industries include chemical facilities,

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

environmental service firms, contractors, healthcare facilities, manufacturing facilities, real estate redevelopment, transportation and construction. The Company also offers commercial general liability and automobile liability insurance to environmental businesses.

Aviation and satellite products include comprehensive airline hull and liability, airport liability, aviation manufacturers' product liability, aviation ground handler liability, large aircraft hull and liability, corporate non-owned aircraft liability, space third party liability and satellite risk including damage or malfunction during ascent to orbit and continual operation, and aviation war. Aviation liability and physical damage coverage is offered for large aviation risks on a proportional basis, while smaller general aviation risks are offered on a primary basis. Satellite risks are generally written on a proportional basis. The target markets for aviation and satellite products include airlines, aviation product manufacturers, aircraft service firms, general aviation operators and telecommunications firms.

Marine and offshore energy, equine and fine art and specie insurance are also provided by the Company. Marine and energy coverage includes marine hull and machinery, marine war, marine excess liability, cargo and offshore energy insurance. Equine products specialize in providing bloodstock, livestock and fish farm insurance. Fine art and specie coverages include fine art, jewelers block, cash in transit and related coverages for financial institutions.

Excess and surplus lines products include both general liability and property coverages. For general liability, most Insurance Services Office, Inc. products are written. For property, limits are relatively low and coverages exclude flood, earthquake and difference in conditions.

The Company exited the surety business in mid-2005. Prior to that time, business was written on a broad range of surety products and services throughout North America, with a focus on contract, commercial and international trade surety bonds, targeting all segments of the construction marketplace. Surety products included bid, performance, payment, maintenance and supply bonds, commercial surety bonds, U.S. customs and international trade surety bonds, license bonds, permit bonds, court bonds, public official bonds and other miscellaneous bonds.

The Company's program business specializes in insurance coverages for distinct market segments in North America, including program administrators and managing general agents who operate in a specialized market niche and have unique industry backgrounds or specialized underwriting capabilities. Products encompass automobile extended warranty and other property and casualty coverage. The Company implemented an exit strategy for its small commercial property catastrophe coverage program in 2006.

Certain structured indemnity products, structured by XLFS, are included within the results of the Insurance segment covering a range of insurance risks including property and casualty insurance, certain types of residual exposures and other market risk management products.

The excess nature of many of the Company's insurance products, coupled with historically large policy limits, results in a book of business that can have losses characterized as low frequency and high severity. As a result, large losses, though infrequent, can have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. The Company attempts to mitigate this risk by, among other things, using strict underwriting guidelines and various reinsurance arrangements, discussed below.

U.S. Terrorism

The U.S. Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (TRIA), as amended, established the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program (TRIP) which became effective on November 26, 2002 and was a three-year federal program effective through 2005. On December 22, 2005, President George Bush signed a bill extending TRIA (TRIAE) for two more years, continuing TRIP through 2007. On December 26, 2007, President George Bush signed the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 (TRIPRA) which further extended TRIP for 7 years until December 31, 2014 and also eliminated the distinction between foreign and domestic acts of terrorism.

TRIA voided in force terrorism exclusions as of November 26, 2002 for certified terrorism on all TRIA specified property and casualty business. TRIA required covered insurers to make coverage available for certified acts of terrorism (other than NBCR) on all new and renewal policies issued after TRIA was enacted. Legislation approved under TRIP, as noted above, allows the Company to assess a premium charge for terrorism coverage and, if the policyholder declines the coverage or fails to pay the buy-back premium, certified acts of terrorism may then be excluded from the policy, subject, however, to state specific requirements. Terrorism coverage cannot be excluded from workers' compensation policies. Subject to a premium-based deductible and provided that the Company has otherwise complied with all the requirements as specified under TRIPRA, the Company is eligible for reimbursement by the Federal Government for up to 85% of its covered terrorism-related losses arising from a certified terrorist attack. Such payment by the government will, in effect, provide reinsurance protection on a quota share basis. Entitlement to such reimbursement ends once the aggregated insured losses for the entire insurance industry exceed \$100 billion in a single program year.

The Company had, prior to the passage of TRIP and the related legislation, underwritten exposures under certain insurance policies that included coverage for terrorism. The passage of TRIP and the related legislation, has required the Company to make a mandatory offer of Certified terrorism coverage with respect to relevant covered insurance policies as specified under the related legislation.

Non-U.S. Terrorism

The Company provides coverage for terrorism under casualty policies on a case-by-case basis. The Company generally does not provide significant limits of coverage for terrorism under first party property policies outside of the U.S. unless required to do so by local law, or as required to comply with any national terrorism risk pool which may be available. Various countries have enacted legislation to provide insurance coverage for terrorism occurring within their borders, to protect registered property, and to protect citizens traveling abroad. The legislation typically requires registered direct insurers to provide terrorism coverage for specified coverage lines and then permits them to cede

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

the risk to a national risk pool. The Company has subsidiaries that participate in terrorism risk pools in various jurisdictions, including Australia, France, Spain, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom.

Underwriting

The Company underwrites and prices most risks individually following a review of the exposure and in accordance with the Company's underwriting guidelines. Most of the Company's insurance operations have underwriting guidelines that are industry-specific. The Company seeks to control its exposure on individual insurance contracts through terms and conditions, policy limits and sublimits, attachment points, and facultative and treaty reinsurance arrangements on certain types of risks.

The Company's underwriters generally evaluate each industry category and subgroups within each category. Premiums are set and adjusted for an insured based, in large part, on the industry group in which the insured is placed and the insured's perceived risk relative to the other risks in that group. Rates may vary significantly according to the industry group of the insured as well as the insured's risk relative to the group. The Company's rating methodology for individual insureds seeks to set premiums in accordance with claims potential as measured by past experience and future expectations, the attachment point and amount of underlying insurance, the nature and scope of the insured's operations, including the industry group in which the insured operates, exposures to loss, natural hazard exposures, risk management quality and other specific risk factors relevant in the judgment of the Company's underwriters to the type of business being written.

Underwriting and loss experience is reviewed regularly for, among other things, loss trends, emerging exposures, changes in the regulatory or legal environment as well as the efficacy of policy terms and conditions.

As the Company's insurance products are primarily specialized coverages, underwriting guidelines and policy forms differ by product offering as well as by legal jurisdiction. Liability insurance is written on both a primary and excess of loss basis, on occurrence, occurrence reported and claims-made policy forms. Occurrence reported policies typically cover occurrences causing unexpected and unintended personal injury or property damage to third parties arising from events or conditions that commence at or subsequent to an inception date, or retroactive date, if applicable, and prior to the expiration of the policy provided that proper notice is given during the term of the policy or the discovery period. Traditional occurrence coverage is also available for restricted classes of risk and is generally written on a follow-form basis where the policy adopts the terms, conditions and exclusions of the underlying policy. Property insurance risks are written on a lead or follow-form basis that usually provides coverage for all risks of physical damage and business interruption. Maximum limits are generally subject to sublimits for coverage in critical earthquake and flood zones, where the Company seeks to limit its liability in these areas.

Reinsurance Ceded

In certain cases, the risks assumed by the Company in the Insurance segment are partially reinsured with third party reinsurers. Reinsurance ceded varies by location and line of business based on a number of factors, including market conditions. The benefits of ceding risks to third party reinsurers include reducing exposure on individual risks, protecting against catastrophic risks, maintaining acceptable capital ratios and enabling the writing of additional business. Reinsurance ceded does not legally discharge the Company from its liabilities to the original policyholder in respect of the risk being reinsured.

The Company uses reinsurance to support the underwriting and retention guidelines of each of its subsidiaries as well as to control the aggregate exposure of the Company to a particular risk or class of risks. Reinsurance is purchased at several levels ranging from reinsurance of risks assumed on individual contracts to reinsurance covering the aggregate exposure on a portfolio of policies issued by groups of companies. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for further information.

Premiums

Premium rates and underwriting terms and conditions for all lines of business written vary by jurisdiction principally due to local market conditions, competitor product offerings and legal requirements.

The following table is an analysis of the Insurance segment's gross premiums written, net premiums written and net premiums earned, by line of business for the year ended December 31, 2007:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	Gross Premiums Written	Net Premiums Written	Net Premiums Earned
Casualty-professional lines	\$ 1,516,412	\$ 1,376,819	\$ 1,404,567
Casualty-other lines	1,342,817	848,919	819,687

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Property catastrophe	15,312	(7,460)	52,541
Other property	982,492	686,932	632,959
Marine, energy, aviation and satellite	809,073	623,085	638,162
Other specialty lines (1)	674,591	578,944	484,928
Other (2)	36,698	27,439	33,416
Structured indemnity	56,871	52,177	50,328
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	\$ 5,434,266	\$ 4,186,855	\$ 4,116,588
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

-
- (1) Other specialty lines includes environmental, programs, equine, warranty and excess and surplus lines of business.
 - (2) Other includes political risk, accident & health, surety and other discontinued lines of business.

Competition

The Company competes globally in the property and casualty reinsurance markets. Its competitors include the following companies and their affiliates: The ACE Group of Companies (ACE); Allianz Aktiengesellschaft (Allianz); American International Group, Inc. (AIG); Factory Mutual Global (FMG) for Property only; Hartford Financial Services (Hartford); Lloyd s of London Syndicates (Lloyd s); Munchener Ruckversicherungs-Gesellschaft Aktiengesellschaft (Munich Re); Swiss Reinsurance Company (Swiss Re); The Chubb Corporation (Chubb); The Travelers Companies (Travelers); and Zurich Financial Services Group (Zurich).

The Company s major geographical markets for its property and casualty insurance operations are North America, Europe and Bermuda. The Company s main competitors in each of these markets include the following:

North America AIG, ACE, Chubb, FMG, Zurich, Travelers, CNA Financial Corporation, Hartford, FMG, Liberty Mutual Group and Lloyd s.

Europe Allianz, AIG, FMG, Zurich, AXA, Munich Re, ACE, Lloyd s, Swiss Re, Assicurazioni Generali and HDI-Gerling Industrie Versicherung AG.

Bermuda ACE, Allied World Assurance Company, Axis Capital Group, Max Re Ltd., Endurance Specialty Insurance Ltd (Endurance) and Arch Capital Group Ltd.

See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Executive Overview for further discussion.

Marketing and Distribution

Clients (insureds) are referred to the Company through a large number of international, national and regional brokers, acting as their agents, and captive managers who receive from the insured or ceding company a set fee or brokerage commission usually equal to a percentage of gross premiums. In the past, the Company has also entered into contingent commission arrangements with some intermediaries that provide for the payment of additional commissions based on such variables as production of new and renewal business or the retention of business. These arrangements are currently limited to program business where additional commissions are generally based on profitability of business submitted to the Company. In general, the Company has no implied or explicit commitments to accept business from any particular broker and neither brokers nor any other third party have the authority to bind the Company, except in the case where underwriting authority may be delegated contractually to selected program administrators. Such administrators are subject to a financial and operational due diligence review prior to any such delegation of authority and ongoing reviews and audits are carried out as deemed necessary by the Company with the goal of assuring the continuing integrity of underwriting and related business operations. See Item 8, Note 18(a) to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information on the Company s major brokers, Commitments and Contingencies Concentrations of Credit Risk.

Claims Administration

Claims management for the insurance operations includes the review of initial loss reports, administration of claims databases, generation of appropriate responses to claims reports, identification and handling of coverage issues, determination of whether further investigation is required and, where appropriate, retention of claims counsel, establishment of case reserves, payment of claims and notification to reinsurers. With respect to the establishment of case reserves, when the Company is notified of insured losses, claims personnel record a case reserve as appropriate for the estimated amount of the exposure at that time. The estimate reflects the judgment of claims personnel based on general reserving practices, the experience and knowledge of such personnel regarding the nature of the specific claim and, where appropriate, advice of counsel. Reserves are also established to provide for the estimated expense of settling claims, including legal and other fees and the general expenses of administering the claims adjustment process.

Claims in respect of business written by the Company s Lloyd s syndicates are primarily notified by various central market bureaux. Where a syndicate is a leading syndicate on a Lloyd s policy, its underwriters and claims adjusters will deal with the broker or insured on behalf of itself and the following market for any particular claim. This may involve appointing attorneys or loss adjusters. The claims bureaux and the leading syndicate advise movement in loss reserves to all syndicates participating on the risk. The Company s claims department may adjust the case reserves it records from those advised by the bureaux as deemed necessary.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Certain of the Company's product lines have arrangements with third party administrators (TPAs) to provide claims handling services to the Company in respect of such product lines. These agreements set forth the duties of the TPA, limits of authority, protective indemnification language and various procedures that are required to meet statutory compliance. These arrangements are also subject to audit review by the Company's claim department.

Reinsurance Segment

General

The Company provides casualty, property risk (including energy and engineering), property catastrophe, marine, aviation, and other specialty reinsurance on a global basis with business being written on both a proportional and non-proportional basis. Business written on a non-proportional basis generally provides for an indemnification by the Company to the ceding company for a portion of losses both individually and in the aggregate, on policies with limits in excess of a specified individual or aggregate loss deductible. For business written on a proportional including quota share or surplus basis, the Company receives an agreed percentage of the premium and is liable for the same percentage of each/all incurred loss. For proportional business, the ceding company normally receives a ceding commission for the premiums ceded and may also, under certain circumstances, receive a profit commission. Occasionally this commission could be on a sliding scale depending on the loss ratio performance in which case there is generally no profit commission. Reinsurance may be written on a portfolio/treaty basis or on an individual risk/facultative basis. The treaty business is mainly underwritten using reinsurance intermediaries while the individual risk business is generally underwritten directly with the ceding companies, especially for business written in the U.S.

The Company's casualty reinsurance includes general liability, professional liability, automobile and workers' compensation. Professional liability includes directors' and officers', employment practices, medical malpractice, and environmental liability. Casualty lines are written as treaties, programs as well as on an individual risk basis and on both a proportional and a non-proportional basis. The treaty business includes clash programs which cover a number of underlying policies involved in one occurrence or a judgment above an underlying policy's limit, before suffering a loss.

The Company's property business, primarily short-tail in nature, is written on both a portfolio/treaty and individual/facultative basis and includes property catastrophe, property risk excess of loss and property proportional. A significant portion of the property business underwritten consists of large aggregate exposures to man-made and natural disasters and, generally, loss experience is characterized as low frequency and high severity. This may result in volatility in the Company's results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The Company seeks to manage its reinsurance exposures to catastrophic events by limiting the amount of exposure written in each geographic or peril zone worldwide, underwriting in excess of varying attachment points and requiring that contracts exposed to catastrophe loss provide for aggregate limits. The Company also seeks to protect its total aggregate exposures by peril and zone through the purchase of reinsurance programs.

The Company's property catastrophe reinsurance account is generally all risk in nature. As a result, the Company is exposed to losses from sources as diverse as hurricanes and other windstorms, earthquakes, freezing, riots, floods, industrial explosions, fires, and many other potential disasters. In accordance with market practice, the Company's policies generally exclude certain risks such as war, nuclear contamination or radiation. Following the terrorist attacks at the World Trade Center in New York City, in Washington, D.C. and in Pennsylvania on September 11, 2001 (collectively, the September 11 event), terrorism cover, including nuclear, biological, radiological and chemical, has been restricted or excluded in many territories and classes. Some U.S. States make it mandatory to provide some cover for Fire Following terrorism and some countries make terrorism coverage mandatory. The Company's predominant exposure under such coverage is to property damage.

The Company had, prior to the passing of TRIA, underwritten reinsurance exposures in the U.S. that included terrorism coverage. Since the passage of TRIA in the U.S., together with the TRIEA and TRIPRA extensions noted above, the Company has underwritten a very limited number of stand-alone terrorism coverage policies in addition to coverage included within non-stand-alone policies. In the U.S., in addition to nuclear, biological, radiological and chemical (NBRC) acts, the Company generally excludes coverage included under TRIA from the main catastrophe exposed policies. In other cases, both within and outside the U.S., the Company generally relies on either a terrorism exclusion clause, which does not include personal lines, excluding NBRC, or a similar clause that excludes terrorism completely. There are a limited number of classes underwritten where no terrorism exclusion exists.

Property catastrophe reinsurance provides coverage on an excess of loss basis when aggregate losses and loss adjustment expenses from a single occurrence of a covered event exceed the attachment point specified in the policy. Some of the Company's property catastrophe contracts limit coverage to one occurrence in any single policy year, but most contracts generally enable one reinstatement to be purchased by the reinsured.

The Company also writes property risk excess of loss reinsurance. Property risk excess of loss reinsurance covers a loss to the reinsured on a single risk of the type reinsured rather than to aggregate losses for all covered risks on a specific peril, as is the case with catastrophe reinsurance. The Company's property proportional account includes reinsurance of direct property insurance. The Company seeks to limit the catastrophe exposure from its proportional and per risk excess business through extensive use of occurrence and cession limits.

Other specialty reinsurance products include energy, marine, aviation, space, engineering, fidelity, trade credit, and political risk.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The Company underwrites a small portfolio of contracts covering political risk and trade credit. Exposure is assumed from a limited number of trade credit contracts and through Lloyd's quota shares. In addition, there are runoff exposures from discontinued writings in the Company's marine portfolio.

The results of certain transactions structured by XLFS that are generally written on an aggregate stop loss or excess of loss basis are included within the results of the Reinsurance segment.

Underwriting

Underwriting risks for the reinsurance property and casualty business are evaluated using a number of factors including, but not limited to, the type and layer of risk to be assumed, the actuarial evaluation of premium adequacy, the cedant's underwriting and claims experience, the cedant's financial condition and claims paying rating, the exposure and/or experience with the cedant, and the line of business to be reinsured.

Other factors assessed by the Company include the reputation of the proposed cedant, the geographic area in which the cedant does business and its market share, a detailed evaluation of catastrophe and risk exposures, and historical loss data for the cedant where available and for the industry as a whole in the relevant regions, in order to compare the cedant's historical loss experience to industry averages. On-site underwriting reviews are performed where it is deemed necessary to determine the quality of a current or prospective cedant's underwriting operations, with particular emphasis on proportional and working excess of loss placements.

For property catastrophe reinsurance business, the Company's underwriting guidelines generally limit the amount of exposure it will directly underwrite for any one reinsured and the amount of the aggregate exposure to catastrophic losses in any one geographic zone. The Company believes that it has defined geographic and peril zones such that a single occurrence, for example an earthquake or hurricane, should not affect more than one peril zone. While the exposure to multiple zones is considered remote for events such as a hurricane, the Company does manage its aggregate exposures for such a scenario where the Company considers it appropriate to do so. The definition of the Company's peril zones is subject to periodic review. The Company also generally seeks an attachment point for its property catastrophe reinsurance at a level that is high enough to produce a low frequency of loss. The Company seeks to limit its aggregate exposure in the proportional business through extensive use of occurrence and cession limits.

Reinsurance Retroceded

The Company uses third party reinsurance to support the underwriting and retention guidelines of each reinsurance subsidiary as well as seeking to limit the aggregate exposure of the Company to a particular risk or class of risks. Reinsurance is purchased at several levels ranging from reinsurance of risks assumed on individual contracts to reinsurance covering the aggregate exposures. The benefits of ceding risks to other reinsurers include reducing exposure on individual risks, protecting against catastrophic risks, maintaining acceptable capital ratios and enabling the writing of additional business. Reinsurance ceded does not legally discharge the Company from its liabilities in respect of the risk being reinsured. Reinsurance ceded varies by location and line of business based on factors including, among others, market conditions and the credit worthiness of the counterparty.

The Company's property catastrophe exposures are subject to a detailed review twice a year following the main January and July renewal seasons. Following the increased frequency of Atlantic hurricanes in 2004 and 2005, significant changes were made to the proprietary models used by the Company to both price and monitor catastrophe risk accumulations. In order to maximize the benefit from the new market environment, while limiting the Company's net risk appetite, the Company utilized capacity available from a reinsurance vehicle Cyrus Reinsurance Limited (Cyrus Re I), to which the Company ceded a share of its property catastrophe risks. In so doing, the Company was able to reduce its net retained risk and shares in the profitability of the reinsurance business ceded to this new vehicle in the form of market based profit commissions and other commissions for business ceded by the Company to this facility. Business was ceded to this vehicle on a risks attaching basis up to July 1, 2007, which includes the inception date of the majority of the Company's property catastrophe business. Effective January 1, 2008, the Company entered into a quota share reinsurance treaty with a newly-formed Bermuda reinsurance company, Cyrus Reinsurance II Limited (Cyrus Re II). Pursuant to the terms of the quota share reinsurance treaty, Cyrus Re II will assume a 10% cession of certain lines of property catastrophe reinsurance and retrocession business underwritten by certain operating subsidiaries of the Company for the 2008 underwriting year. In connection with such cessions, the Company will pay Cyrus Re II reinsurance premium less a ceding commission, which includes a reimbursement of direct acquisition expenses incurred by the Company as well as a commission to the Company for generating the business. The quota share reinsurance treaty also provides for a profit commission payable to the Company.

The traditional catastrophe retrocession program was renewed in June 2007 to cover certain of the Company's exposures net of Cyrus Re cessions. These covers give protection in various layers and excess of varying attachment points according to territorial exposure. The Company has co-reinsurance retentions within this program. The Company bought an additional structure with a restricted territorial scope for 12 months at July 2007. The Company continued to buy additional protection for the Company's marine and offshore energy exposures. These covers provide protection in various layers and excess of varying attachment points according to the scope of cover provided. The Company has co-reinsurance participations within this program.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The Company continues to buy specific reinsurances on its credit and bond, motor third party liability, property and aviation portfolios to manage its net exposures in these classes.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Reinsurance for further information.

Premiums

The following table is an analysis of the Reinsurance segment's gross premiums written, net premiums written and net premiums earned, by line of business for the year ended December 31, 2007:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	Gross Premiums Written	Net Premiums Written	Net Premiums Earned
Casualty-professional lines	\$ 256,280	\$ 256,327	\$ 273,494
Casualty-other lines	543,251	527,860	602,452
Property catastrophe	475,540	286,866	264,666
Other property	970,196	677,764	753,278
Marine, energy, aviation and satellite	150,548	128,942	141,619
Other (1)	239,418	204,845	240,049
Structured indemnity	28,261	28,261	26,481
Total	\$ 2,663,494	\$ 2,110,865	\$ 2,302,039

(1) Other includes political risk, surety, warranty, accident and health, and other lines of business.

Additional discussion and financial information about the Reinsurance segment is set forth in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Segment Information.

Competition

The Company competes globally in the property and casualty markets.

The Company's major geographical markets for its property and casualty reinsurance operations are North America, Europe, Bermuda and Emerging Markets (covering Asia/Pacific and South America). The main competitors in each of these markets include the following:

North America Berkshire Hathaway, Munich Re Corporation, Swiss Re America Corporation, Transatlantic Re, Everest Re Group Ltd, Hannover Re, and PartnerRe Ltd.

Europe Munich Re, Swiss Re, Lloyd's, SCOR Reinsurance Company, and PartnerRe Ltd.

Bermuda ACE Tempest Reinsurance Ltd, AXIS Specialty Limited, Arch Reinsurance Limited, Renaissance Reinsurance Limited, Montpelier Reinsurance Ltd, Platinum Underwriters Bermuda Ltd and PartnerRe Ltd.

See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Executive Overview for further discussion.

Marketing and Distribution

See Insurance Operations Marketing and Distribution and Item 8, Note 18(a) to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Commitments and Contingencies Concentrations of Credit Risk, for information in the Company's marketing and distribution procedures and information on the Company's major brokers.

Structure of Reinsurance Operations

The Company's reinsurance operations are structured geographically into Bermuda operations, North American operations, European operations and Emerging Markets operations (covering Asia/Pacific and South America).

Claims Administration

Claims management for the reinsurance operations includes the receipt of loss notifications, review and approval of claims through a claims approval process, establishment of loss reserves and approval of loss payments. Case reserves for reported claims are generally established based on reports received from ceding companies with additional case reserves being established when deemed appropriate. Additionally, claims audits are conducted for specific claims and claims procedures at the offices of selected ceding companies, particularly in the U.S. and the U.K.

Life Operations Segment

General

The Life Operations segment provides life reinsurance on business written by life insurance companies, principally to help them manage mortality, morbidity, survivorship, investment and lapse risks.

Products offered cover a broad range of underlying lines of life insurance business, including term assurances, group life, critical illness cover, immediate annuities, disability income, and short-term life, accident and health business. The segment also covers a range of geographic markets, with an emphasis on the U.K., U.S., and Continental Europe.

The portfolio has three particularly significant components:

1) The portfolio includes a small number of large contracts relating to closed blocks of U.K. and Irish fixed annuities in payment. In relation to certain of these contracts, the Company receives cash and investment assets at the inception of the reinsurance contract, relating to the future policy benefit reserves assumed. These contracts are long term in nature, and the expected claims payout period can span 30 to 40 years with average duration of around 10 years. The Company is exposed to investment and survivorship risk over the life of these arrangements; and

2) A growing element of the portfolio relates to life risks (in the U.S. and U.K.) and critical illness risks (in the U.K.) where the Company is exposed to the mortality, morbidity and lapse experience from the underlying business, again over the medium to long term; and

3) The third component relates to annually renewable business covering life, accident and health risks written in Continental Europe. These contracts are short term in nature and include both proportional and non-proportional reinsurance structures.

Underwriting & Claims Administration

Life reinsurance transactions fall into two distinct forms. The first relates to the reinsurance of an existing and closed block of risks (in-force deal), where the precise nature of the underlying exposure is known at the date of execution. The second relates to the reinsurance of liabilities which are yet to be written by the ceding company (new business treaty) where, provided the subsequent risks are within the agreed treaty parameters, these risks may be added to the portfolio.

The underwriting of an in-force deal is highly actuarial in nature, requiring detailed analytical appraisal of the key parameters which drive the ultimate profitability of the deal. This includes analysis of historic experience (claims, lapses, etc.) as well as the projection of these assumptions into the future.

For a new business treaty, in addition to the actuarial analysis required to set the terms, there is also a requirement to establish medical underwriting criteria which will apply to the new risks which may be added to the treaty. Once a treaty is accepted, there is then an ongoing need to monitor the risk selection by the medical underwriters at the ceding company and to ensure that the criteria are being met.

The team includes many members with specialized actuarial and medical underwriting knowledge. Claims administration also relies on experience and specific medical expertise.

The Company maintains comprehensive terms of trade guidelines for all core product lines, which are regularly monitored and refined. These guidelines describe the approach to be taken in assessing and underwriting opportunities, including the approach to be taken to the setting of core parameters and to the determination of appropriate pricing levels. The terms of trade are overseen by a separate team from the new business underwriters.

In addition, the Company maintains a medical underwriting manual which sets out the approach to be taken to underwriting specific medical impairments when setting terms for a new business treaty.

Reinsurance Retroceded

The Company purchases limited retrocession capacity on a per-life basis in the U.S. and Continental Europe in order to cap the maximum claim arising from the death of a single individual. Cover is purchased from professional retrocessionaires which meet the Company's criteria for counterparty exposures. Limited retrocession of fixed annuity business has also been used to manage aggregate longevity capacity on specific deals.

Premiums

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The following table is an analysis of the Life operations gross premiums written, net premiums written and net premiums earned for the year ended December 31, 2007:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	Gross Premiums Written	Net Premiums Written	Net Premiums Earned
Other Life	\$ 435,415	\$ 427,337	\$ 429,690
Annuity	307,805	271,356	271,357
Total	\$ 743,220	\$ 698,693	\$ 701,047

Additional discussion and financial information about the life operations is set forth in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Segment Information.

Competition

While the Life Operations have a global remit, at the present time the core activity is in the U.S., U.K. and Continental Europe.

Within the new business treaty arena, competition includes amongst others: Reinsurance Group of America; Transamerica Re; Munich Re; Swiss Re; General Re and Hannover Re.

For the fixed annuity business, competition has historically come from less traditional reinsurance entities, e.g. insurance entities such as Canada Life and Prudential (U.K.) or recently established entities such as Paternoster, Synesis, and PIC. However, during the last year, more traditional reinsurance players, in particular Swiss Re, have entered or re-entered this market.

Marketing and Distribution

The Company predominantly markets its long-term products directly to clients, with a smaller element sourced through reinsurance intermediaries. For these products, the marketing hinges on relationships developed by key officers and underwriters with their counterparts within client companies particularly through professional contacts within the actuarial and underwriting communities.

The Company primarily markets the short-term life, accident and health business through reinsurance intermediaries.

The Company is also open to opportunities presented by reinsurance intermediaries in respect of long-term products and it maintains good relations with the broking community as well as with a wide range of professional advisory firms (actuarial and accounting) from whom opportunities may be referred.

The Company's distribution strategy is to avoid any undue concentration on any single client or market. For treaties relating to new business, there is an effort to target ceding companies which are themselves strong and growing in their target segments. This prioritization of potential clients is based on analysis of public, market information.

Other Financial Lines Segment

The Other Financial Lines segment is comprised of the guaranteed investment contract (GIC) and funding agreement (FA) businesses. GICs are customized financial products that offer a guaranteed investment return to the purchaser. GIC issuance has typically been driven by municipal and structured finance bond issuers needing to reinvest bond proceeds. For example, a municipal entity that raises funds for a particular project may invest such funds in a GIC pending their drawdown to complete the project. FAs are very similar to GICs in that they have known cash flows. FAs are sold to institutional investors, typically through medium term note programs. GICs and FAs provide users guaranteed rates of interest on amounts invested with the Company. In addition to other investment related risks associated, the Company has investment risk related to its ability to generate sufficient investment income to enable the total invested assets to cover the payment of its estimated ultimate liability on such agreements.

As at December 31, 2007, the Company had approximately \$4.0 billion of deposit liabilities associated with GICs which were correspondingly matched with invested assets. XLCA provided financial guarantee insurance policies insuring timely payment of GICs issued by XL Asset Funding Company I LLC (XLAF), a subsidiary of the Company. Based on the terms and conditions of the underlying GICs, upon downgrades of XLCA below certain ratings levels, all or portions of outstanding principal balances on such GICs would come due. In January and February 2008, several rating agencies downgraded SCA and its subsidiaries and as a result, the Company will settle, by late March 2008, the majority of the GIC portfolio. Management had prepared for this possibility and does not expect this liquidity need to have any significant negative impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses

Certain aspects of the Company's business have loss experience characterized as low frequency and high severity. This may result in volatility in the Company's results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Loss reserves are established due to the significant periods of time that may lapse between the occurrence, reporting and payment of a loss. To recognize liabilities for unpaid losses and loss expenses, the Company estimates future amounts needed to pay claims and related expenses with respect to insured events. The Company's reserving practices and the establishment of any particular reserve reflects management's judgment concerning sound financial practice and do not represent any admission of liability with respect to any claim. Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves are established for reported claims (case reserves) and incurred but not reported (IBNR) claims.

The nature of the Company's high excess of loss liability and catastrophe business can result in loss payments that are both irregular and significant. Similarly, adjustments to reserves for individual years can be irregular and significant. Such adjustments are part of the normal course of business for the Company. Conditions and trends that have affected development of liabilities in the past may not necessarily occur in the future. Accordingly, it is inappropriate to extrapolate future redundancies or deficiencies based upon historical experience. See generally, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

The tables below present the development of the Company's unpaid losses and loss expense reserves (excluding financial guarantee reserves related to reinsurance agreements with SCA that are recorded within Net loss from operating affiliates) on both a net and gross basis. The cumulative redundancy (deficiency) calculated on a net basis differs from that calculated on a gross basis. As different reinsurance programs cover different underwriting years, net and gross loss experience will not develop proportionately. The top line of the tables shows the estimated liability, net of reinsurance recoveries, as at the year end balance sheet date for each of the indicated years. This represents the estimated amounts of losses and loss expenses, including IBNR, arising in the current and all prior years that are unpaid at the year end balance sheet date of the indicated year. The tables show the re-estimated amount of the previously recorded reserve liability based on experience as of the year end balance sheet date of each succeeding year. The estimate changes as more information becomes known about the frequency and severity of claims for individual years. The cumulative redundancy (deficiency) represents the aggregate change with respect to that liability originally estimated. The lower portion of the first table also reflects the cumulative paid losses relating to these reserves. Conditions and trends that have affected development of liabilities in the past may not necessarily occur in the future. Accordingly, it may not be appropriate to extrapolate redundancies or deficiencies into the future, based on the tables below. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

**Analysis of Losses and Loss Expense Reserve Development
Net of Reinsurance Recoveries**

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007 (1)
ESTIMATED LIABILITY FOR UNPAID LOSSES AND LOSS EXPENSES, NET OF REINSURANCE RECOVERABLES	\$ 3,609	\$ 4,303	\$ 4,537	\$ 4,207	\$ 7,004	\$ 8,313	\$ 10,532	\$ 12,671	\$ 17,201	\$ 17,900	\$ 18,191
LIABILITY RE-ESTIMATED AS OF:											
One year later	3,354	4,016	4,142	4,382	7,404	9,250	10,800	13,785	17,090	17,475	
Two years later	3,038	3,564	4,085	4,345	8,423	9,717	11,842	13,675	16,828		
Three years later	2,737	3,580	4,120	5,118	8,653	10,723	11,849	13,607			
Four years later	2,658	3,461	4,624	5,294	9,727	10,738	11,860				
Five years later	2,505	3,742	4,747	5,435	9,674	10,710					
Six years later	2,663	3,774	4,858	5,419	9,718						
Seven years later	2,704	3,872	4,872	5,508							
Eight years later	2,793	3,833	4,927								
Nine years later	2,828	3,868									
Ten years later	2,839										
CUMULATIVE REDUNDANCY (DEFICIENCY) (1)	770	435	(390)	(1,301)	(2,714)	(2,397)	(1,328)	(936)	373	425	
CUMULATIVE PAID LOSSES, NET OF REINSURANCE RECOVERIES, AS OF:											
One year later	\$ 458	\$ 812	\$ 1,252	\$ 1,184	\$ 2,011	\$ 2,521	\$ 1,985	\$ 2,008	\$ 3,437	\$ 3,188	
Two years later	932	1,594	1,828	1,920	3,984	3,800	2,867	3,884	5,759		
Three years later	1,404	1,928	2,306	2,683	4,703	4,163	4,380	5,181			
Four years later	1,525	2,249	2,824	3,038	4,641	5,365	5,286				
Five years later	1,732	2,555	3,035	3,290	5,526	6,018					
Six years later	1,903	2,741	2,807	3,774	5,969						
Seven years later	2,085	2,856	3,110	3,985							
Eight years later	2,187	3,059	3,240								
Nine years later	2,311	3,029									
Ten years later	2,347										

(1) See Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for further discussion.

**Analysis of Property and Casualty Losses and Loss Expense Reserve Development
Gross of Reinsurance Recoverables**

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007 (1)
ESTIMATED GROSS LIABILITY FOR UNPAID LOSSES AND LOSS EXPENSES	\$ 3,972	\$ 4,897	\$ 5,369	\$ 5,668	\$ 11,807	\$ 13,333	\$ 16,553	\$ 19,616	\$ 23,598	\$ 22,895	\$ 22,857
LIABILITY RE-ESTIMATED AS OF:											
One year later	3,763	4,735	5,266	6,118	12,352	15,204	18,189	19,987	23,209	\$ 22,458	
Two years later	3,496	4,352	5,147	6,105	14,003	16,994	18,520	19,533	22,937		
Three years later	3,243	4,316	5,176	6,909	15,377	17,210	18,324	19,525			
Four years later	3,139	4,232	5,663	7,086	15,441	17,048	18,362				
Five years later	2,979	4,508	5,798	7,240	15,267	17,106					
Six years later	3,132	4,568	5,890	7,223	15,401						
Seven years later	3,181	4,658	5,881	7,317							

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Eight years later	3,266	4,629	5,957							
Nine years later	3,273	4,681								
Ten years later	3,301									
CUMULATIVE REDUNDANCY (DEFICIENCY)	671	216	(588)	(1,649)	(3,594)	(3,773)	(1,809)	91	661	437

(1) Excludes \$351.0 million of financial guarantee reserves related to reinsurance agreements with SCA.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The following table presents an analysis of the Company's paid, unpaid and incurred losses and loss expenses and a reconciliation of beginning and ending unpaid losses and loss expenses for the years indicated:

Reconciliation of Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Unpaid losses and loss expenses at beginning of year	\$ 22,895,021	\$ 23,597,815	\$ 19,615,773
Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	4,995,373	6,396,984	6,944,300
Net unpaid losses and loss expenses at beginning of year	17,899,648	17,200,831	12,671,473
Increase (decrease) in net losses and loss expenses incurred in respect of losses occurring in:			
Current year	4,266,444	4,311,798	6,320,616
Prior years	(425,441)	(110,604)	1,113,720
Total net incurred losses and loss expenses	3,841,003	4,201,194	7,434,336
Exchange rate effects	421,575	355,500	(337,973)
Net loss reserves (disposed) acquired (1)	(155,259)	40,184	
Less net losses and loss expenses paid in respect of losses occurring in:			
Current year	627,748	460,853	559,392
Prior years	3,188,128	3,437,208	2,007,613
Total net paid losses	3,815,876	3,898,061	2,567,005
Net unpaid losses and loss expenses at end of year	18,191,091	17,899,648	17,200,831
Financial guarantee reserves related to reinsurance agreements with SCA that are recorded within Net loss from operating affiliates	350,988		
Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	4,665,615	4,995,373	6,396,984
Unpaid losses and loss expenses at end of year	\$ 23,207,694	\$ 22,895,021	\$ 23,597,815

The Company's net unpaid losses and losses expenses (excluding financial guarantee reserves related to reinsurance agreements with SCA that are recorded within Net loss from operating affiliates) relating to the Company's operating segments at December 31, 2007 and 2006 was as follows:

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	<u>December 31, 2007</u>	<u>December 31, 2006</u>
Insurance	\$ 11,138	\$ 10,608
Reinsurance	7,053	7,138
SCA		154
Net unpaid loss and loss expense reserves	\$ 18,191	\$ 17,900

Current year net losses incurred

Net losses incurred for 2007 (which exclude financial guarantee reserves related to reinsurance agreements with SCA that are recorded within Net loss from operating affiliates) decreased from 2006 due to a reduction in business volume as the Company's net premiums earned decreased by 4.6% from 2006 to 2007. However, the current year loss ratio increased by 2.4 loss percentage points from 2006 to 2007 as a result of an increase in attritional and catastrophe-related property experience as well as the impact of a softening rate environment. In 2007, six hurricanes formed in the Atlantic region including two Category 5 hurricanes, one of which, Hurricane Dean, resulted in a limited amount of insured damage to areas of Mexico affected by the hurricane. Other natural catastrophes in 2007 included European windstorms Kyrill and Per/Hanno, California wildfires, floods in the U.K. and Mexico, the Peruvian earthquake and five hurricanes in the Eastern Pacific region. In 2006, there were only five hurricanes in the Atlantic region and more importantly there was no significant insured damage for those hurricanes

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

that did make landfall. 2006 net losses incurred decreased from 2005 due primarily to the lack of natural catastrophes such as Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma that occurred in 2005.

Collectively, Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, Wilma and other smaller natural catastrophes in the third and fourth quarters of 2005 had a substantial impact on the results of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2005. The Company incurred in 2005 net losses of \$1.27 billion, \$357.9 million, \$247.1 and \$96.0 million, net of reinsurance recoveries, related to Hurricane Katrina, Rita, Wilma, and the combined impact of the other natural catastrophes, respectively, based on estimates of loss and damage.

Prior year net losses incurred

The following tables present the development of the Company's gross and net, losses and loss expense reserves, excluding financial guarantee reserves related to reinsurance agreements with SCA that are recorded within Net loss from operating affiliates. The tables also show the estimated reserves at the beginning of each fiscal year and the favorable or adverse development (prior year development) of those reserves during such fiscal year.

Gross <i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	2007	2006	2005
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves at the beginning of the year	\$ 22,895	\$ 23,598	\$ 19,616
Net (favorable) adverse development of those reserves during the year	(437)	(389)	371
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves re-estimated one year later	\$ 22,458	\$ 23,209	\$ 19,987

Net <i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	2007	2006	2005
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves at the beginning of the year	\$ 17,900	\$ 17,201	\$ 12,671
Net (favorable) adverse development of those reserves during the year	(425)	(111)	1,114
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves re-estimated one year later	\$ 17,475	\$ 17,090	\$ 13,785

As different reinsurance programs cover different underwriting years, contracts and lines of business, net and gross loss experience do not develop proportionately. In 2005, net adverse development on net unpaid losses and loss expense reserves was significantly greater than the net adverse development on gross unpaid losses and loss expense reserves due to the unfavorable conclusion of the independent actuarial process with Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company, as \$834.2 million of reinsurance recoverables related to post closing protection on the acquired Winterthur Business were rendered uncollectible.

The following table presents the net (favorable) adverse prior year loss development of the Company's loss and loss expense reserves by operating segment for each of the years indicated:

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	2007	2006	2005
Insurance segment	\$ (158.1)	\$ (13.2)	\$ 1,020.2
Reinsurance segment	(267.3)	(97.4)	93.5
Total	\$ (425.4)	\$ (110.6)	\$ 1,113.7

During 2007, the Company had net favorable prior year reserve development in property and casualty operations of \$425.4 million compared to \$110.6 million for the same period in 2006. Reinsurance net favorable development accounted for \$267.3 million of the release in 2007, while net favorable development within the Insurance segment totaled \$158.1 million for the same period. The corresponding prior year development on a gross basis was \$259.7 million for the Reinsurance segment and \$177.6 million for the Insurance segment.

Within the Reinsurance segment, reserve releases of \$188.7 million in property and other short-tail lines of business and \$87.4 million in casualty and other lines, were partially offset by adverse prior year development of \$8.8 million within the structured indemnity line of business. Releases in the short-tail lines relate primarily to the 2005 and 2006 accident years. The casualty and other lines favorable development of \$87.4 million reflects the net result of releases of \$121.4 million primarily in the US and non-US casualty portfolios, partially offset by net adverse development of \$13.0 million associated with the results of an external review of the Company's asbestos and environmental reserves and \$21.0 million related to a commutation of a stop loss reinsurance agreement.

The Insurance segment net prior year reserve releases consisted of \$95.0 million in property and \$162.1 million in casualty lines of business, partially offset by net adverse development of \$23.0 million, \$7.0 million and \$69.0 million in certain professional, marine and other

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

lines of business, respectively. Casualty releases relate primarily to the European casualty portfolio in accident years 2002-2005. The professional lines development is the net result of net adverse development of the Bermuda E&O portfolio and releases from the U.S. and European professional lines portfolios. Net adverse development in other insurance lines relates to adverse development in discontinued specialty lines, surety and environmental, partially offset by favorable development in aerospace and political risk.

During 2006, the Company had net favorable prior year reserve development in property and casualty operations of \$110.6 million. Within the Insurance segment, net overall favorable prior year reserve development for the year ended December 31, 2006, was \$13.2 million. This overall favorable development included reserve releases of \$94.4 million in property lines and \$91.1 million in casualty lines, partially offset by \$96.5 million, \$46.5 million and \$29.3 million of prior year adverse development in certain professional, marine, and other lines of business, respectively. Within the Reinsurance segment, net overall favorable prior year reserve development for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$97.4 million. This overall favorable development was made up of releases of \$133.0 million in property and other shorter-tail lines of business and partially offset by prior year adverse reserve development of \$7.3 million in casualty and other lines and \$28.3 million within structured indemnity lines. The overall net favorable development within property and other short-tail lines of \$133.0 million included \$75.4 million in adverse development specifically related to the 2005 catastrophes.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

During 2005, total net adverse prior year development within the Insurance Segment was \$1.02 billion of which \$834.2 million resulted from the negative outcome of the Winterthur Decision. The remaining \$186.0 million was comprised of net adverse development of \$259.7 million in professional lines and \$34.7 million within other specialty lines including runoff and discontinued business partially offset by releases of \$108.4 million primarily in European casualty business. During 2005, net prior year reserves across the Reinsurance segment developed adversely by \$93.5 million. Net adverse prior year development of \$266.9 million in casualty and professional lines was partially offset by releases in short-tail lines of business of \$173.4 million.

See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Losses and Loss Expenses, for further information regarding the developments in prior year loss reserve estimates for each of the years indicated within each of the Company's operating segments.

Net loss reserves (disposed) acquired

Net losses disposed in the amount of \$155.3 million in 2007 represent reserves associated with the de-consolidation of SCA following the secondary offering on June 6, 2007 of \$181.4 million, partially offset by net losses acquired of \$26.2 million related to a reinsurance to close loss portfolio transfer.

During 2006, the Company acquired \$40.2 million in losses through a loss portfolio transfer contract structured by XLFS.

Exchange rate effects

Exchange rate effects on net loss reserves in each of the three years ended December 31, 2007 related to the global operations of the Company primarily where reporting units have a functional currency that is not the U.S. dollar. The decrease in the value of the U.S. dollar in 2007 and 2006, combined with the increase in the value of the U.S. dollar during 2005 mainly compared to the Swiss franc, U.K. Sterling and the Euro has given rise to translation and revaluation exchange movements related to carried loss reserve balances of \$421.6 million, \$355.5 million and \$(338.0) million in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Net paid losses

Total net paid losses were \$3.8 billion, \$3.9 billion and \$2.6 billion in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Net paid losses in 2007 continued to be impacted by payments on the 2005 catastrophes. Similarly, in 2006, the increase in net paid losses was due to payments for catastrophes that occurred in 2005. See further information under Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Other loss related information

The Company's net incurred losses and loss expenses include actual and estimates of potential non-recoveries from reinsurers. As at December 31, 2007 and 2006, the reserve for potential non-recoveries from reinsurers was \$193.1 million and \$218.3 million, respectively.

Except for certain workers' compensation and certain financial guarantee liabilities, the Company does not discount its unpaid losses and loss expenses. The Company utilizes tabular reserving for workers' compensation unpaid losses that are considered fixed and determinable, and discounts such losses using an interest rate of 5% (2006: 5%). The Company has also used a rate of approximately 5% to discount financial guarantee liabilities. The tabular reserving methodology associated with workers' compensation liabilities results in applying uniform and consistent criteria for establishing expected future indemnity and medical payments (including an explicit factor for inflation) and the use of mortality tables to determine expected payment periods. Tabular unpaid losses and loss expenses, net of reinsurance, at December 31, 2007 and 2006 were \$813.7 million and \$812.1 million, respectively. The related discounted unpaid losses and loss expenses were \$377.0 million and \$367.1 million as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Financial guarantee case reserves at December 31, 2007 and 2006 were \$427.4 million and \$262.7 million, respectively.

Investments

Investment structure and strategy

The Company's investment operations are managed centrally by the Company's investment department. The Finance Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company approves the investment policy and guidelines, and reviews the implementation of the investment strategies on a regular basis.

The primary objectives of the investment strategy are to support the liabilities arising from the operations of the Company, generate stable investment income and build book value for the Company over the longer term. The strategy strives to maximize investment returns while taking

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

into account market and credit risk. Market risk may arise due to interest rate variability and exposure to foreign denominated currencies, which the Company seeks to manage through asset/liability management, and due to the allocation to risk assets, including global equity securities and alternative investments, which the Company seeks to manage through diversification. Credit risk arises from investments in fixed income securities and is managed with aggregate and portfolio limits and

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

by establishing minimum credit quality guidelines. The Company guidelines require a minimum Aa3/AA- weighted-average rating for its fixed income portfolio.

The Company's investment portfolio consists of exposures to fixed income securities, equities, alternative investments, derivatives, business and other investments and cash. These securities and investments are denominated in both U.S. dollar and foreign currencies. The Company's direct use of investment derivatives includes futures, forwards, swaps and option contracts that derive their value from underlying assets, indices, reference rates or a combination of these factors. When investment guidelines allow for the use of derivatives, these can generally only be used for the purpose of managing interest rate risk, foreign exchange rate risk, credit risk and replicating permitted investments, provided the use of such instruments is incorporated in the overall portfolio evaluation. The direct use of derivatives is generally not permitted to economically leverage the portfolio outside of the stated guidelines. Derivatives may also be used to add value to the investment portfolio where market inefficiencies are perceived to exist, to equitize cash holdings through the purchase of equity-indexed derivatives and to adjust the duration of a portfolio of fixed income securities to match the duration of related deposit liabilities.

The Company's investment portfolio is structured to take into account a number of variables including local regulatory requirements, business needs, collateral management and risk tolerance. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, total investments, cash and cash equivalents and accrued investment income, less net payable for investments purchased, were \$43.7 billion and \$44.7 billion, respectively.

Functionally, the Company's investment portfolio is divided into three principal components:

1) Asset/Liability Portfolio: The largest component is the asset/liability portfolio which is intended to support the liabilities arising from the property and casualty as well as the life operations of the Company. From a functional perspective, the asset/liability portfolio is allocated into two sub portfolios: a) the Property and Casualty (P&C) general account portfolio and b) the structured and spread product portfolio.

The P&C general account portfolio is the largest component of the Company's investment portfolio and primarily supports the property and casualty liabilities of the Company as well as provides liquidity to settle claims arising from the Company's property and casualty operations. The P&C general account portfolio is made up entirely of investment grade fixed income securities and its primary strategy focuses on diversification of asset maturities relative to the expected cash flows of the property and casualty operation. The P&C general account portfolio was approximately \$24.1 billion and \$25.0 billion at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. As at December 31, 2007, the general accounts portfolio was approximately 55.3% of the total investment portfolio (including cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net payable for investments purchased) as compared to approximately 56.0% as at December 31, 2006.

The structured and spread product portfolio consists of highly structured, actively managed investment portfolios that support specific transactions within the Company's P&C, Life and Other Financial Lines segments. These represent structured indemnity, annuity products, and Municipal GIC and Funding Agreement transactions. Assets supporting these transactions were approximately \$14.8 billion and \$15.3 billion at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. As at December 31, 2007, the structured and spread portfolio was approximately 33.8% of the total investment portfolio (including cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net payable for investments purchased) as compared to approximately 34.3% as at December 31, 2006.

2) The second component of the investment portfolio is the risk asset portfolio, which was approximately \$4.4 billion and \$3.9 billion at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The risk asset portfolio is that portion of the Company's surplus that is invested in risk assets to generate growth in the Company's book value over the longer term with the efficient utilization of risk. The Company utilizes a risk budgeting framework for the dynamic risk and asset allocation of the risk asset portfolio. The fundamental premise of the risk budgeting methodology for the risk asset portfolio is to maximize expected returns for a given level of risk. The risk asset portfolio includes four core strategy portfolios including: (i) the alternative investment portfolio; (ii) the high yield portfolio; (iii) the public equity portfolio; and (iv) the private investment portfolio.

As part of the overall risk asset portfolio, the Company sets specific constraints during the risk allocation process that reflect the Company's overall tolerance for risk, including guidelines on the level of Value at Risk (VaR) of the risk asset portfolio, stress testing and a maximum drawdown level attributable to the alternative investment portfolio. These levels are approved by the Finance Committee of the Company's Board of Directors annually. In addition, each of the core risk asset portfolios is subject to specific investment guidelines that are also approved by the Finance Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. These guidelines address the investment parameters and risk associated with each portfolio. The Company monitors the total risk and return of the risk asset portfolio and the four strategy portfolios to ensure compliance with the risk target guidelines as approved.

The alternative investment portfolio is a diversified portfolio of investments in limited partnerships and similar investment vehicles, with each fund generally pursuing absolute return investment mandates. These funds are typically investing in one or more of the traditional asset classes including equities, fixed income, credit, currency and commodity markets. For the majority of the portfolio, the Company owns minority investment interests that are accounted for under the equity method and are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet under Investments in affiliates. The objective of the alternative investment portfolio is to attain a high risk-adjusted total return while maintaining a moderate to low level of sensitivity to the movements in traditional asset classes and realizing a low volatility.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Within the alternative investment portfolio, various strategies can be pursued and the Company classifies each fund allocation into four general style categories as follows: (i) Event driven, which includes strategies that pursue merger arbitrage, distressed and special situations opportunities; (ii) Directional/tactical, which includes strategies that pursue long/short equity, managed futures and macro opportunities; (iii) Arbitrage, which includes strategies that pursue equity market neutral, fixed income arbitrage and convertible arbitrage opportunities; and (iv) Multi-strategy, which includes strategies incorporating several aspects of the above.

The alternative investment portfolio had more than 100 separate investments in different funds at December 31, 2007 with a total exposure of \$2.3 billion, making up approximately 5.2% of the investment portfolio (including cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net payable for investments purchased) as compared to December 31, 2006 where the Company had approximately 80 separate fund investments with a total exposure of \$1.9 billion representing approximately 4.3% of the investment portfolio.

At December 31, 2007, the alternative investment portfolio allocation was 47% in Directional/tactical strategies, 25% in Arbitrage strategies, 21% in Event driven strategies and 7% in Multi-strategy strategies. At December 31, 2006, the alternative investment style allocation was 44% in Directional/tactical strategies, 24% in Event driven strategies, 24% in Arbitrage strategies, and 8% in Multi-strategy strategies.

The high yield portfolio is invested in a diversified portfolio of below investment grade securities of predominantly corporate issuers. The high yield portfolio was approximately \$0.9 billion at December 31, 2007 and 2006. As at December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company's allocation to high yield securities was approximately 2.1% of the total investment portfolio (including cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net payable for investments purchased).

The equity portfolio is invested in a diversified portfolio of publicly traded equity securities. As at December 31, 2007, the Company's equity portfolio was \$0.8 billion as compared to \$0.7 billion as at December 31, 2006. This excludes fixed income fund investments and publicly traded alternative funds that generally do not have the risk characteristics of equity investments. As at December 31, 2007, the Company's allocation to equity securities was approximately 1.7% of the total investment portfolio (including cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net payable for investments purchased) as compared to approximately 1.6% as at December 31, 2006.

The private investment portfolio is invested in a selection of less liquid private investments that include venture capital, leveraged buy-outs, mezzanine and distressed debt, opportunistic real estate and equity tranches of collateralized loan obligations. As at December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company's exposure to private investments was approximately \$0.4 billion. Included in private investments are \$22.4 million representing equity tranches in pools of collateralized loan obligations. As at December 31, 2007, the Company's exposure to private investments consisted of approximately 1.0% of the total investment portfolio (including cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net payable for investments purchased), compared to approximately 0.7% as at December 31, 2006.

3) The third component of the Company's total investment portfolio, valued at \$0.4 billion at December 31, 2007 and \$0.5 billion at December 31, 2006, is related to insurance and financial affiliates and investments in investment management companies. As at December 31, 2007, the Company's allocation to insurance and financial affiliates and investments in investment management companies' securities was approximately 0.9% of the total investment portfolio (including cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net payable for investments purchased) as compared to approximately 1.0% as at December 31, 2006. At December 31, 2007, the Company's investment in insurance affiliates included investments in ARX Holding Corporation and ITAÚ XL Seguros Corporativos S.A., representing operations in the U.S. homeowners insurance and Brazilian commercial insurance markets, respectively. Financial affiliates as at December 31, 2007 include both SCA and Primus along with other smaller investments. At December 31, 2007, the Company owned minority stakes in eleven independent investment management companies. These ownership stakes are part of the Company's asset management strategy, pursuant to which the Company seeks to develop relationships with specialty investment management organizations, generally acquiring an equity interest in the business. In these investments, the Company seeks to achieve strong returns on capital while accessing the investment expertise of professionals to help manage portions of the Company's investment assets. In addition, the Company is active in the relationships with these managers, seeking to benefit from the intellectual capital in ways that will enhance the Company's overall financial performance and achieve broader strategic goals.

Where the Company maintains significant influence over the decisions of the investment management organization, through board representation or through certain voting and/or consent rights, the Company's proportionate share of the income or loss from these companies is reported as net income from operating affiliates. The Company's existing managers manage or sponsor a broad range of investment products, providing institutional and high net worth investor's access to a wide array of asset classes and investment strategies. It is a strategic objective of the Company to continue to expand the diversification of investment products offered by its affiliates by assisting existing affiliates in launching new products and new lines of business as well as by making additional ownership investments in other specialty asset managers. See Item 8, Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Investments.

Implementation of investment strategy

Although the Company's management is responsible for implementation of the investment strategy, the day-to-day management of the investment portfolio is outsourced to investment management service providers in accordance with detailed investment guidelines provided and

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

monitored by the Company. This allows the Company an active management of its investment portfolio with flexible access to top talents specializing in various investment products and markets. Managers are selected directly by the Company on the basis of various criteria including investment style, track record, performance, internal controls, operational risk, and diversification implications. Well-established, large institutional investment professionals manage the vast majority of the

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Company's investment portfolio. Each investment manager may manage one or more portfolios, each of which is governed by a detailed set of investment guidelines, including overall objectives, risk parameters, and diversification requirements that fall within the Company's overall investment policies and guidelines such as but not limited to exposures to eligible securities, prohibited investments/transactions, credit quality and general concentrations limits.

Investment performance

See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for discussion of the Company's investment performance.

Portfolio credit ratings, duration and maturity profile

It is the Company's policy to operate the aggregate fixed income portfolio with a minimum weighted average credit rating of Aa3/AA-. The aggregate credit rating is determined based on the weighted average rating of securities, where the lowest credit rating from A.M. Best Company, Inc, Fitch Ratings and Standard & Poor's is allocated to each security. The weighted average credit rating of the fixed income portfolio was AA at December 31, 2007 and 2006.

The Company did not have an aggregate direct investment in a single entity, other than the U.S. Government, in excess of 10% of shareholders' equity at December 31, 2007 or 2006.

The aggregate duration and currency of the fixed income portfolio is managed relative to liabilities. Duration measures bond price volatility and is an indicator of the sensitivity of the price of a bond (or a portfolio of bonds) to changes in interest rates, assuming a parallel change in all global yield curves reflecting the percentage change in price for a 100 basis point change in yield. Management believes that the duration of the fixed income portfolio is the best single measure of interest rate risk and the table below summarizes the weighted average duration in years and currency of the main components of the fixed income portfolio at December 31, 2007 and 2006:

Investment Portfolio Duration in Years	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Fixed income portfolio by Liability Type:		
Property and Casualty - General Account	3.8	3.3
Structured and Spread:		
- Property and Casualty Structured	3.3	3.6
- Other Financial Lines	0.4	0.4
- Life Operations	9.2	9.9
Total Fixed income portfolio	4.3	3.9
Fixed income portfolio by Liability Currency:		
U.S. Dollar	3.1	2.7
U.K. Sterling	7.9	8.2
Euro	5.9	6.3
Other	3.7	3.7
Total Fixed income portfolio	4.3	3.9

The maturity profile of the fixed income portfolio is a function of the maturity profile of liabilities, the expected operating cash flows of the Company and, to a lesser extent, the maturity profile of common fixed income benchmarks. For further information on the maturity profile of the fixed income portfolio see Item 8, Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Investments.

Ratings

The Company's ability to underwrite business is dependent upon the quality of its claims paying and financial strength ratings as evaluated by independent rating agencies.

In January 2008, A.M. Best Company, Inc. (A.M. Best) revised the financial strength rating of the Company's leading property and casualty operating subsidiaries to A from A+ and affirmed them with a stable outlook. The downgrade by A.M. Best was primarily due to their concerns regarding the Company's historic earnings volatility.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Consistent with the ratings actions noted above, Fitch Ratings (Fitch), in January 2008 revised the financial strength rating of the Company s leading property and casualty operating subsidiaries to A+ from AA- and affirmed them with a stable outlook. As well, in January 2008, Moody s Investors Service, Inc. (Moody s) downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of the Company s leading property and casualty operating companies to A1 from Aa3 .

In December 2007, Standard & Poor s (S&P), affirmed the Company s leading property and casualty operating companies financial strength ratings at A+ with a stable outlook. Subsequent the aforementioned fourth quarter 2007 charges, in January 2008, S&P announced it would be taking no rating actions and the Company s financial strength ratings remained unchanged.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The following table summarizes the financial strength and claims paying ratings, as noted above, from internationally recognized rating agencies in relation to the Company's principal insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries and pools as at February 27, 2008:

Rating agency	Agency's description of rating	Rating	Agency's rating definition	Ranking of Rating
S&P	A current opinion of the financial security characteristics of an insurance organization with respect to its ability to pay under its insurance policies and contracts in accordance with their terms.	A+ (Stable)	Strong financial security characteristics.	The A grouping is the third highest out of nine main ratings. Main ratings from AA to CCC are subdivided into three subcategories: + indicating the high end of the main rating; no modifier, indicating the mid range of the main rating; and indicating the lower end of the main rating.
Fitch	An assessment of the financial strength of an insurance organization, and its capacity to meet senior obligations to policyholders and contract holders on a timely basis.	A+ (Stable)	Strong capacity to meet policyholder and contract obligations.	The A rating is the third highest out of twelve ratings categories. A rated insurers are viewed as possessing strong capacity to meet policyholder and contract obligations. + or may be appended to a rating to indicate the relative position of a credit within the rating category.
A.M. Best	An opinion of an insurer's financial strength and ability to meet ongoing obligations to policyholders.	A (Stable)	Excellent ability to meet its ongoing obligations to policyholders.	The A grouping is the third highest ratings category out of fifteen. It is assigned to companies that have, in A.M. Best's opinion, an excellent ability to meet their ongoing obligations to policyholders.
Moody's	An opinion of the ability of insurance companies to repay punctually senior policyholder claims and obligations.	A1 (Stable)	Good financial security.	The A grouping is the third highest out of nine rating categories. Each rating category is subdivided into three subcategories. Moody's appends numerical modifiers 1, 2, and 3 to each generic rating classification from Aa through Caa. Numeric modifiers are used to refer to the ranking within a group with 1 being the highest and 3 being the lowest.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

In addition, as at February 15, 2008, XL Capital Ltd currently had the following long-term debt ratings: bbb (Stable) from A.M. Best, A- (Stable) from S&P, Baa1 (Stable) from Moody's and A (Stable) from Fitch.

The Company believes that the primary users of ratings include commercial and investment banks, policyholders, brokers, ceding companies and investors.

Tax Matters

See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Note 24 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Taxation.

Regulation

The Company's operations are subject to regulation and supervision in each of the jurisdictions where they are domiciled and licensed to conduct business. Generally, regulatory authorities can have broad supervisory and administrative powers over such matters as licenses, fitness of management, standards of solvency, material transactions between affiliates, premium rates, policy forms, investments, security deposits, methods of accounting, form and content of financial statements, reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, reinsurance, minimum capital and surplus requirements and/or risk based capital standards, dividends and other distributions to shareholders, periodic examinations and annual and other report filings. In general, such regulation is for the protection of policyholders rather than shareholders. The Company believes that it is in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations pertaining to its business that would have a material effect on its financial position in the event of non-compliance.

Bermuda Operations

The Insurance Act 1978 of Bermuda and related regulations, as amended (the Act), regulates the Company's operating subsidiaries in Bermuda, and it provides that no person may carry on any insurance business in or from within Bermuda unless registered as an insurer by the Bermuda Monetary Authority (the Authority) under the Act. Insurance as well as reinsurance is regulated under the Act.

The Act imposes on Bermuda insurance companies, solvency and liquidity standards, certain restrictions on the declaration and payment of dividends and distributions, certain restrictions on the reduction of statutory capital, auditing and reporting requirements, and grants the Authority powers to supervise, investigate and intervene in the affairs of insurance companies. Significant requirements include the appointment of an independent auditor, the appointment of a loss reserve specialist and the filing of the Annual Statutory Financial Return with the Authority. The Supervisor of Insurance is the chief administrative officer under the Act.

Under the Bermuda Companies Act 1981, as amended, a Bermuda company may not declare or pay a dividend or make a distribution out of contributed surplus if there are reasonable grounds for believing that: (a) the company is, or would after the payment be, unable to pay its liabilities as they become due; or (b) the realizable value of the company's assets would thereby be less than the aggregate of its liabilities and its issued share capital and share premium accounts.

United States

Within the United States, the Company's insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are subject to regulation and supervision by their respective states of incorporation and by other jurisdictions in which they do business. The methods of regulation vary, but in general have their source in statutes that delegate regulatory and supervisory powers to an insurance official. The regulation and supervision relate primarily to approval of policy forms and rates, the standards of solvency that must be met and maintained, including risk-based capital standards, material transactions between an insurer and its affiliates, the licensing of insurers, agents and brokers, restrictions on insurance policy terminations, the nature of and limitations on the amount of certain investments, limitations on the net amount of insurance of a single risk compared to the insurer's surplus, deposits of securities for the benefit of policyholders, methods of accounting, periodic examinations of the financial condition and market conduct of insurance companies, the form and content of reports of financial condition required to be filed, and reserves for unearned premiums, losses, expenses and other obligations. All transactions between or among the insurance and reinsurance company subsidiaries must be fair and equitable. In general, such regulation is for the protection of policyholders rather than shareholders.

Regulations generally require insurance and reinsurance companies to furnish information to their domestic state insurance department concerning activities that may materially affect the operations, management or financial condition and solvency of the company. Regulations vary from state to state but generally require that each primary insurance company obtain a license from the department of insurance of a state to conduct business in that state. A reinsurance company is not generally required to have an insurance license to reinsure a U.S. ceding company from outside the U.S. However, for a U.S. ceding company to obtain financial statement credit for reinsurance ceded, the reinsurer must obtain an insurance license or accredited status from the cedant's state of domicile or another U.S. state with equivalent insurance regulation or must post collateral to support the liabilities ceded. In addition, regulations for reinsurers vary somewhat from primary insurers in that the form and

rate of reinsurance contracts and the market conduct of reinsurers are not subject to regulator approval.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The Company's U.S. insurance subsidiaries are required to file detailed annual and, in most states, quarterly reports with state insurance regulators in each of the states in which they are licensed. Such annual and quarterly reports are required to be prepared on a calendar year basis. In addition, the U.S. insurance subsidiaries' operations and accounts are subject to financial condition and market conduct examination at regular intervals by state regulators. The respective reports filed in accordance with applicable insurance regulations with respect to the most recent periodic examinations of the U.S. insurance subsidiaries contained no material adverse findings.

Statutory surplus is an important measure utilized by the regulators and rating agencies to assess the Company's U.S. insurance subsidiaries' ability to support business operations and provide dividend capacity. The Company's U.S. insurance subsidiaries are subject to various state statutory and regulatory restrictions that limit the amount of dividends that may be paid from earned surplus without prior approval from regulatory authorities. These restrictions differ by state, but are generally based on a calculation of the lesser of 10% of statutory surplus or 100% of net investment income to the extent that it has not previously been distributed.

Most states have implemented laws that establish standards for current, as well as continued, state licensing or accreditation. In addition, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (the NAIC) promulgated and all states have adopted Risk-Based Capital (RBC) standards for property and casualty companies and life insurance companies as a means of monitoring certain aspects affecting the overall financial condition of insurance companies. RBC is designed to measure the adequacy of an insurer's statutory surplus in relation to the risks inherent in its business. The NAIC's RBC Model Law provides for four incremental levels of regulatory attention for insurers whose surplus is below the calculated RBC target. These levels of attention range in severity from requiring the insurer to submit a plan for corrective action to actually placing the insurer under regulatory control. The Company's current RBC ratios for its U.S. subsidiaries are satisfactory and such ratios are not expected to result in any adverse regulatory action. The Company is not aware of any such actions relative to it.

While the federal government does not directly regulate the insurance business (other than for flood, nuclear and reinsurance of losses from terrorism), federal legislation and administrative policies can affect the insurance industry. The federal government has also undertaken initiatives in several areas that may impact the insurance industry including tort reform, corporate governance and the taxation of insurance companies. In addition, legislation has been introduced from time to time in recent years that, if enacted, could result in the federal government assuming a more direct role in the regulation of the insurance industry, primarily as respects federal licensing in lieu of state licensing.

International Operations

A substantial portion of the Company's property and casualty insurance business and a majority of its life reinsurance business is carried on in foreign countries. The degree of regulation in foreign jurisdictions can vary. Generally, the Company's subsidiaries must satisfy local regulatory requirements. Licenses issued by foreign authorities to subsidiaries of the Company are subject to modification or revocation for cause by such authorities. The Company's subsidiaries could be prevented, for cause, from conducting business in certain of the jurisdictions where they currently operate. While each country imposes licensing, solvency, auditing and financial reporting requirements, the type and extent of the requirements differ substantially. Key areas where countries may differ include: (i) the type of financial reports to be filed; (ii) a requirement to use local intermediaries; (iii) the amount of reinsurance permissible; (iv) the scope of any regulation of policy forms and rates; and (v) the type and frequency of regulatory examinations.

In addition to these requirements, the Company's foreign operations are also regulated in various jurisdictions with respect to currency, amount and type of security deposits, amount and type of reserves, amount and type of local investment and limitations on the share of profits to be returned to policyholders on participating policies. Certain countries have established reinsurance institutions, wholly or partially owned by the state, to which admitted insurers are obligated to cede a portion of their business on terms which do not always allow foreign insurers full compensation. For further information see Item 8, Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Statutory Financial Data.

European Union

Financial services including insurance, reinsurance, securities and Lloyd's in the United Kingdom are regulated by the Financial Services Authority (FSA). The FSA's Handbook of Rules and Guidance (the FSA Rules) covers all aspects of regulation including capital adequacy, financial and non-financial reporting and certain activities of U.K.-regulated firms. The Company's subsidiaries carrying out regulated activities in the U.K. comply with the FSA Rules. The Company's Lloyd's managing agency, its managed syndicates and its associated corporate capital vehicles are subject to additional Lloyd's requirements.

FSA regulations also impact the Company as controller (an FSA defined term) of its U.K.-regulated subsidiaries. Through the FSA's Approved Persons regime, certain employees and Directors are subject to regulation by the FSA of their fitness and certain employees are individually registered at Lloyd's.

Subsidiaries in Ireland, Hungary and France are regulated in those jurisdictions. The Company's network of offices in the European Union consists mainly of branches of U.K. as well as Irish (regulated by the Irish Financial Services Regulatory Authority

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

ISFRA) companies that are principally regulated under European Directives from their home states, the U.K. and Ireland rather than by each individual jurisdiction.

Employees

At December 31, 2007, the Company had 4,011 employees. At that date, 316 of the Company's employees were represented by workers councils and 404 of the Company's employees were subject to collective bargaining agreements. The Company believes that it has a good relationship with its employees.

Available Information

The public can read and copy any materials the Company files with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public can obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, including the Company, that file electronically with the SEC. The address of the SEC's website is <http://www.sec.gov>.

The Company's Internet website address is <http://www.xlcapital.com>. The information contained on the Company's website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any other of the Company's documents filed with or furnished to the SEC.

The Company makes available free of charge, including through the Company's Internet website, the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

The Company adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, as well as written charters for each of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Finance Committee, the Nominating and Governance Committee, the Public Affairs Committee and the Succession Committee of the Board of Directors, as well as a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, a Code of Business Conduct & Ethics for employees and a related Compliance Program. Each of these documents is posted on the Company's web-site at <http://www.xlcapital.com>, and each is available in print to any shareholder who requests it by writing to the Company at Investor Relations Department, XL Capital Ltd, XL House, One Bermudiana Road, Hamilton HM 11, Bermuda.

The Company intends to post on its website at <http://www.xlcapital.com> any amendment to, or waiver of, a provision of its Code of Business Conduct & Ethics that applies to its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller or persons performing similar functions and that relates to any element of the code of ethics definition set forth in Item 406 of Regulation S-K of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Any of the following risk factors could have a significant or material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations and/or liquidity, in addition to the other information contained in this report. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to the Company

A downgrade in the Company's credit ratings by one or more rating agencies could materially and negatively impact its business, financial condition, results of operations and/or liquidity.

As the Company's ability to underwrite business is dependent upon the quality of its claims paying and financial strength ratings as evaluated by these independent rating agencies, a further downgrade by any of these institutions could cause its competitive position in the insurance and reinsurance industry to suffer and make it more difficult for the Company to market its products. A downgrade could also result in a substantial loss of business for the Company as ceding companies and brokers that place such business may move to other insurers and reinsurers with higher ratings.

A downgrade of the A.M. Best financial strength rating of XL Capital Ltd, XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd or XL Re Ltd below A- , which is currently two notches below the Company's current A.M. Best rating of A (A+ as at December 31, 2007), would constitute an event of default

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

under the Company's letter of credit and revolving credit facilities. As well, similar downgrades by A.M. Best or S&P have the ability to trigger cancellation provisions in the majority of the Company's assumed reinsurance contracts. See Risks Related to the Company. A decline in the Company's ratings may allow many of its clients to terminate their contracts with the Company, below. Either of these events could reduce the Company's financial flexibility and materially adversely affect its business, financial condition and results of operations. For details of the Company's current ratings and recent rating agency actions, refer to Part I, Item 1, Business.

A decline in the Company's ratings may allow many of its clients to terminate their contracts with the Company.

The majority of the Company's assumed reinsurance contracts contain provisions that would allow its clients to cancel the contract in the event of a downgrade in its ratings below specified levels by one or more rating agencies. Based on premium value, approximately 60% of the Company's reinsurance contracts that inceptioned at January 1, 2007 contained provisions allowing clients additional rights upon a decline in the Company's ratings.

Typically, the cancellation provisions in the Company's assumed reinsurance contracts would be triggered if S&P or A.M. Best were to downgrade the Company's financial strength ratings below A-, which is currently three levels below its current S&P rating of A+ and two levels below its current A.M. Best rating of A. Whether a client would exercise its cancellation rights after such a downgrade would likely depend, among other things, on the reasons for the downgrade, the extent of the downgrade, the prevailing market conditions, the degree of unexpired coverage, and the pricing and availability of replacement reinsurance coverage. In the event of such a downgrade, the Company cannot predict whether or how many of the Company's clients would actually exercise such cancellation rights or the extent to which any such cancellations would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or future prospects.

The Company's financial condition could be adversely affected by the occurrence of disasters.

The Company has substantial exposure to losses resulting from natural and man-made disasters and other catastrophic events. Catastrophes can be caused by various events, including hurricanes, earthquakes, floods, hailstorms, explosions, severe winter weather, fires, war, acts of terrorism, political instability and other natural or man-made disasters. The incidence and severity of catastrophes are inherently unpredictable and the Company's losses from catastrophes could be substantial.

The occurrence of claims from catastrophic events is likely to result in substantial volatility in the Company's financial condition and results of operations for the fiscal quarter or year in which a catastrophic event occurs, as well as subsequent fiscal periods, and could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations and its ability to write new business. This risk is exacerbated due to accounting principles and rules that do not permit reinsurers to reserve for such catastrophic events until they occur. We expect that increases in the values and concentrations of insured property will increase the severity of catastrophic events in the future. Although the Company attempts to manage its exposure to catastrophic events, a single catastrophic event could affect multiple geographic zones and lines of business and the frequency or severity of catastrophic events could exceed its estimates, in each case potentially having a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations. In addition, while the Company may, depending on market conditions, purchase catastrophe reinsurance and retrocessional protection, the occurrence of one or more major catastrophes in any given period could result in losses that exceed such reinsurance and retrocessional protection and have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations and result in substantial liquidation of investments and outflows of cash as losses are paid.

The failure of any of the underwriting risk management strategies that the Company employs could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations and/or liquidity.

The Company seeks to limit its loss exposure by, among other things, writing a number of its reinsurance contracts on an excess of loss basis, adhering to maximum limitations on reinsurance written in defined geographical zones, limiting program size for each client and prudently underwriting each program written. In addition, in the case of proportional treaties, the Company generally seeks to use per occurrence limitations or loss ratio caps to limit the impact of losses from any one event. The Company cannot be sure that all of these loss limitation methods will have the precise risk management impact intended. For instance, although the Company also seeks to limit its loss exposure by geographic diversification, geographic zone limitations involve significant underwriting judgments, including the determination of the area of the zones and the inclusion of a particular policy within a particular zone's limits. Underwriting involves the exercise of considerable judgment and the making of important assumptions about matters that are inherently unpredictable and beyond the Company's control, and for which historical experience and probability analysis may not provide sufficient guidance. The failure of any of the underwriting risk management strategies that the Company employs could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations. Also, the Company cannot provide assurance that various provisions of its policies, such as limitations or exclusions from coverage or choice of forum, will be enforceable in the manner that it intends and disputes relating to coverage and choice of legal forum may arise, which could materially adversely affect its financial condition and results of operations.

If actual claims exceed the Company's loss reserves, its financial results could be adversely affected.

The Company's results of operations and financial condition depend upon its ability to assess accurately the potential losses associated with the risks that it insures and reinsures. The Company establishes reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expense (LAE) liabilities, which are estimates of future payments of reported and unreported claims for losses and related expenses with respect to insured events that have occurred. The process of establishing reserves for property and casualty claims can be complex and is subject to considerable variability as it requires the use of informed estimates and judgments. Actuarial estimates of unpaid loss and LAE liabilities are subject to potential errors of estimation, which could be significant, due to the fact that the ultimate disposition of claims incurred prior to the date of such estimation, whether reported or not, is subject to the outcome of events that have not yet

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

occurred. Examples of these events include the accuracy of the factual information on which the estimates were based, especially as this develops, jury decisions, court interpretations, legislative changes, changes in the medical condition of claimants, public attitudes, and economic conditions such as inflation. Any estimate of future costs is subject to the inherent limitation on the ability to predict the aggregate course of future events. It should therefore be expected that the actual emergence of loss and LAE liabilities will vary, perhaps materially, from any estimate.

Similarly, the actual emergence of claims for life business may vary from the assumptions underlying the policy benefit reserves, in particular, the future mortality improvements on the blocks of in-payment annuities.

In relation to financial guarantee business and related exposures, primarily through the Company's exposures to reinsurance agreements with SCA, the Company establishes reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses on such business based on management's best estimate of the ultimate expected incurred losses. The Company's estimated ultimate expected incurred losses are comprised of: (i) case basis reserves, (ii) unallocated reserves, and (iii) cumulative paid losses to date. Establishment of such reserves requires the use and exercise of significant judgment by management, including with respect to estimates regarding the occurrence and amount of a loss on an insured or reinsured obligation. Estimates of losses may differ from actual results and such difference may be material, due to the fact that the ultimate dispositions of claims are subject to the outcome of events that have not yet occurred. Examples of these events include changes in the level of interest rates, credit deterioration of insured and reinsured obligations, and changes in the value of specific assets supporting insured and reinsured obligations. Both qualitative and quantitative factors are used in establishing such reserves. In determining the reserves, management considers all factors in the aggregate, and does not attribute the reserve provisions or any portion thereof to any specific factor. In general, guarantees written in credit default swap form are exposed to the same risks as noted above, except in events of default by the guarantor. Credit default swaps, however, do not qualify for the financial guarantee scope exception under FAS 133, and, therefore are reported at fair value with changes in the fair value included in earnings. Fair value for such swaps are determined based on methodologies further described in Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates. Under most of the credit default swap contracts insured by XLCA, and reinsured by the Company, it would be an event of default if XLCA should become insolvent. If there were an event of default, by XLCA, the holders of these swaps would have the right to terminate the swaps and to obtain a termination payment from XLCA, and possibly, the Company, under the Company's reinsurance agreements or guarantees, based on the market value of the swaps at the time of termination. Any estimate of future costs is subject to the inherent limitation on the Company's ability to predict the aggregate course of future events. It should therefore be expected that the actual emergence of losses and loss adjustment expenses will vary, perhaps materially, from any estimate.

The Company has an actuarial staff in each of its operating segments that regularly evaluates the levels of loss reserves, taking into consideration factors that may impact the ultimate losses incurred. Any such evaluation could result in future changes in estimates of losses or reinsurance recoverable and would be reflected in its results of operations in the period in which the estimates are changed. Losses and loss expenses, to the extent that they exceed the applicable reserves, are charged to income as incurred. The reserve for unpaid losses and loss expenses represents the estimated ultimate losses and loss expenses less paid losses and loss expenses, and comprises case reserves and incurred but not reported loss reserves (IBNR). During the loss settlement period, which can span many years in duration for casualty business, additional facts regarding individual claims and trends often will become known and case reserves may be adjusted by allocation from IBNR without any change in the overall reserve. In addition, application of statistical and actuarial methods may require the adjustment of the overall reserves upward or downward from time to time. Accordingly, the ultimate settlement of losses may be significantly greater than or less than reported loss and loss expense reserves.

If the Company's guarantee agreements with SCA are triggered, there may be a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and/or liquidity.

The Company has entered into certain agreements with subsidiaries of SCA which unconditionally and irrevocably guarantee: (i) XL Capital Assurance (XLCA) for the full and complete performance when due of all of XL Financial Assurance's (XLFA) obligations under its facultative quota share reinsurance agreement with XLCA, (ii) the full and complete payments when due of XLCA's obligations under certain financial guarantees issued by XLCA and arranged by XL Capital Assurance (U.K.) Limited and (iii) Financial Security Assurance (FSA) for the full and complete performance of XLFA's obligations under a FSA Master Facultative Agreement. These guarantee agreements terminated with respect to any new business produced through the underlying agreements after the effective date of the IPO, but the agreements remain in effect with respect to cessions or guarantees written under these agreements prior to the IPO. The aggregate net par value outstanding of business guaranteed by the Company under these agreements was approximately \$75.2 billion as of December 31, 2007. Upon the occurrence of both of the following events, the Company must pay obligations on the above noted guarantee agreements and such obligations could be material: a) the underlying guaranteed obligation must default on payments of interest or principal, and b) the relevant SCA subsidiary must fail to meet its obligations under the applicable reinsurance or guarantee. Based on all of the above factors, as well as the Company's current estimates of defaults on the relevant underlying obligations and the Company's judgment as to the current financial resources of the relevant SCA subsidiaries, the Company has concluded that no losses are expected under these guarantees and accordingly no loss reserves have been recorded. Future developments including, but not limited to, (i) higher than expected defaults or accelerated adverse cash flows on relevant underlying obligations of SCA's subsidiaries and the adequacy of the claims paying ability of those SCA subsidiaries or (ii) the accelerated adverse payments on certain credit default swaps occurring as a result of the insolvency of SCA could expose the Company to potential loss payments under one or more of the guarantees which could be material to the Company. In particular, under most of the credit default swaps

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

insured by XLCA, it would be an event of default if XLCA were to become insolvent. While this event of default continued, the holders of these credit default swaps would have the right to terminate these agreements and to obtain a termination payment from XLCA based on the present market value of the swaps at the time of termination. Under current market conditions, this would result in a substantial liability to XLCA which may be in excess of its ability to pay. If such an event were to occur, the likelihood of potential loss payments under one or more of the Company's various guarantees to subsidiaries of SCA would increase.

Operational risks, including human or systems failures, are inherent in the Company's business.

Operational risk and losses can result from, among other things, fraud, errors, failure to document transactions properly or to obtain proper internal authorization, failure to comply with regulatory requirements, information technology failures, or external events.

The Company believes that its modeling, underwriting and information technology and application systems are critical to its business. Moreover, the Company's information technology and application systems have been an important part of its underwriting

process and its ability to compete successfully. The Company has also licensed certain systems and data from third parties. The Company cannot be certain that it will have access to these, or comparable, service providers, or that its information technology or application systems will continue to operate as intended. A major defect or failure in its internal controls or information technology and application systems could result in management distraction, harm to the Company's reputation or increased expense.

In particular, the Company has outsourced the day-to-day management, custody and record-keeping of its investment portfolio to third-party managers and custodians that it believes to be reputable. A major defect in those investment managers' investment management strategy, information and technology systems, internal controls or decision-making could result in management distraction and/or significant financial loss. A major defect in custodian internal controls or information and technology systems could result in management distraction or significant financial loss.

The Company believes appropriate controls and mitigation procedures are in place to prevent significant risk of defect in its internal controls, information technology, application systems, investment management and custody and record-keeping, but internal controls provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance as to the absence of errors or irregularities and any ineffectiveness of such controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on its business.

The effects of emerging claim and coverage issues on the Company's business are uncertain.

As industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change, unexpected issues related to claims and coverage may emerge. These issues may adversely affect its business by either extending coverage beyond its underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims. In some instances, these changes may not become apparent until some time after the Company has issued the insurance or reinsurance contracts that are affected by the changes. For example, the Company's actual losses may vary materially from its current estimate of the loss based on a number of factors, including receipt of additional information from insureds or brokers, the attribution of losses to coverages that had not previously been considered as exposed and inflation in repair costs due to additional demand for labor and materials. As a result, the full extent of liability under an insurance or reinsurance contract may not be known for many years after such contract is issued and a loss occurs.

The Company may require additional capital in the future, which may not be available to it on satisfactory terms, on a timely basis or at all.

The Company's future capital requirements depend on many factors, including its ability to write new business successfully and to establish premium rates and reserves at levels sufficient to cover its losses. To the extent that the funds generated by its ongoing operations are insufficient to fund future operating requirements and cover claim payments, the Company may need to raise additional funds through financings or curtail its growth and reduce its assets. Any future equity or debt financing may not be available on terms that are favorable to the Company, if at all. Any future equity financings could be dilutive to the Company's existing shareholders or could result in the issuance of securities that have rights, preferences and privileges that are senior to those of its other securities. The Company's inability to obtain adequate capital could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations.

Under Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X, the Company is required to file separate audited financial statements of SCA (the "SCA Financials"). The SCA Financials were not available at the time of filing this Annual Report on Form 10-K (the "10-K"). The Company intends to file the SCA Financials as an exhibit to an amendment to the 10-K (the "10-K/A") as soon as they are available. Until such time, the Company may not satisfy the registrant requirements for use of Form S-3 and therefore may be ineligible to use such Form. Should the Company be unable to file the 10-K/A on or before March 17, 2008, the Company may be ineligible to use Form S-3 for a period of twelve months. This restriction could adversely affect the Company's ability to raise capital.

The Company may be unable to purchase reinsurance and, even if it is able to successfully purchase reinsurance, the Company is subject to the possibility of uncollectability.

The Company purchases reinsurance for its own account in order to mitigate the volatility that losses impose on its financial condition. The Company's clients purchase reinsurance from the Company to cover part of the risk originally written by them. Retrocessional reinsurance involves a reinsurer ceding to another reinsurer, the retrocessionaire, all or part of the reinsurance that the first reinsurer has assumed. Reinsurance, including retrocessional reinsurance, does not legally discharge the ceding company from its liability with respect to its obligations to its insureds or reinsureds. A reinsurer's or retrocessionaire's insolvency, inability or refusal to make timely payments under the terms of its agreements with the Company, therefore, could have a material adverse effect on the Company because it remains liable to its insureds and reinsureds. At December 31, 2007, the Company had approximately \$5.5 billion of reinsurance recoverables and reinsurance balances receivable, net of reserves for uncollectible recoverables. For further information regarding the Company's reinsurance exposure, see Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

From time to time, market conditions may limit or prevent the Company from obtaining the types and amounts of reinsurance that it considers adequate for its business needs such that it may not be able to obtain reinsurance or retrocessional reinsurance from entities with satisfactory creditworthiness in amounts that it deems desirable or on terms that it deems appropriate or acceptable.

Since the Company depends on a few brokers for a large portion of its revenues, loss of business provided by any one of them could adversely affect the Company.

The Company markets its insurance and reinsurance products worldwide primarily through insurance and reinsurance brokers. Marsh & McLennan Companies and AON Corporation and their respective subsidiaries provided approximately 18% and 17%, respectively, of its gross written premiums for property and casualty operations for the year ended December 31, 2007. Loss of all or a substantial portion of the business provided by one or more of these brokers could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business.

The Company's reliance on brokers subjects it to credit risk.

In certain jurisdictions, when an insured or ceding insurer pays premiums for policies of insurance or contracts of reinsurance to brokers for further payment to the Company, such premiums might be considered to have been paid and the insured or ceding insurer will no longer be liable to us for such amounts, whether or not the Company has actually received the premiums from the broker. In addition, in accordance with industry practice, the Company generally pays amounts owed on claims under its reinsurance contracts to brokers, and these brokers, in turn, pay these amounts over to the clients that have purchased reinsurance from it. Although the law is unsettled and depends upon the facts and circumstances of the particular case, in some jurisdictions, if a broker fails to make such a claims payment to the insured or ceding insurer, the Company might remain liable to the insured or ceding insurer for that non-payment. Consequently, the Company assumes a degree of credit risk associated with the brokers with whom it transacts business. Due to the unsettled and fact-specific nature of the law governing these types of scenarios, the Company is unable to quantify its exposure to this risk. To date, the Company has not experienced any material losses related to such credit risks.

The Company's investment performance may adversely affect its financial results and ability to conduct business.

The Company's assets are invested by a number of professional investment advisory management firms under the direction of its management team in accordance, in general, with detailed investment guidelines set by the Company. Although the Company's investment policies stress diversification of risks, conservation of principal and liquidity, its investments are subject to market-wide risks and fluctuations, as well as to risks inherent in particular securities. Investment losses could significantly decrease the Company's asset base, thereby adversely affecting its ability to conduct business and pay claims.

The Company is exposed to significant capital markets risk related to changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices and foreign exchange rates which may adversely affect its results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

The Company is exposed to significant capital markets risk related to changes in interest rates, credit spreads and defaults, market liquidity, equity prices and foreign currency exchange rates. If significant, changes in interest rates, changes in credit spreads and defaults, market liquidity, declines in equity prices, and the strengthening or weakening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar, individually or in tandem, could have a material adverse effect on its consolidated results of operations, financial condition or cash flows through realized losses, impairments, and changes in unrealized positions. Levels of write down or impairment are impacted by the Company's assessment of the intent and ability to hold securities which have declined in value until recovery.

The Company's exposure to interest rate risk relates primarily to the market price and cash flow variability associated with changes in interest rates. The Company's investment portfolio contains interest rate sensitive instruments, such as fixed income securities, which may be adversely affected by changes in interest rates from governmental monetary policies, domestic and international economic and political conditions and other factors beyond its control. A rise in interest rates would increase the net unrealized loss position of the Company's investment portfolio, offset by its ability to earn higher rates of return on funds reinvested. Conversely, a decline in interest rates would decrease the net unrealized loss position of the Company's investment portfolio, offset by lower rates of return on funds reinvested. The Company's mitigation efforts with respect to interest rate risk are primarily focused towards maintaining an investment portfolio with diversified maturities that has a weighted average duration that is approximately equal to the duration of its estimated liability cash flow profile. However, the Company's estimate of the liability cash flow profile may be inaccurate and the Company may be forced to liquidate investments prior to maturity at a loss in order to cover liabilities. Although the Company takes measures to manage the economic risks of investing in a changing interest rate environment, the Company may not be able to mitigate the interest rate risk of its assets relative to its liabilities.

The Company's fixed income portfolio is primarily invested in high quality, investment-grade securities. However, as part of its risk asset portfolio, the Company invests in below investment-grade high yield fixed income securities. These securities, which pay a higher rate of interest, also have a higher degree of credit or default risk. Certain sectors within the investment and below investment grade fixed income market, such as structured and corporate credit may be less liquid in times of economic weakness or market disruptions. While the Company has put in place procedures to monitor the credit risk and liquidity of its invested assets, in general and those impacted by recent credit market issues specifically, it is possible that, in periods of economic weakness or periods of turmoil in capital markets, the Company may experience default losses in its portfolio, in particular the structured credit portfolio. This may result in a material reduction of net income, capital and cash flows. Beginning in the latter half of 2007, increasing delinquencies in U.S. residential collateral in various securitized products has led to increased volatility and decreased liquidity across financial markets as a whole. Decreases in market liquidity have increased the difficulty and volatility in pricing across credit exposed markets. Such illiquidity volatility and related uncertainty may persist or even worsen in the future.

The Company invests a portion of its portfolio in common stock or equity-related securities such as hedge funds and private investments. The value of these assets fluctuates, among with other factors, with equity and credit markets. In times of economic weakness, the market value and liquidity of these assets may decline, and may impact net income, capital, and cash flows. In addition, certain of the products offered by the Company's Life Operations segment offer guaranteed benefits which increase its potential benefit exposure should equity markets decline.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The functional currencies of the Company's principal insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries include the U.S. dollar, U.K. sterling, the Euro, the Swiss Franc, and the Canadian dollar. Exchange rate fluctuations relative to the functional currencies may materially impact the Company's financial position and results of operations. Many of the Company's non-U.S. subsidiaries maintain both assets and liabilities in currencies different to their functional currency, which exposes it to changes in currency exchange rates.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

In addition, locally-required capital levels are invested in local currencies in order to satisfy regulatory requirements and to support local insurance operations regardless of currency fluctuations. Foreign exchange rate risk is reviewed as part of the Company's risk management process. While the Company utilizes derivative instruments such as futures, options and foreign currency forward contracts to, among other things, manage its foreign currency exposure, it is possible that these instruments will not effectively mitigate all or a substantial portion of its foreign exchange rate risk.

Current legal and regulatory activities relating to insurance brokers and agents, contingent commissions, the municipal guaranteed investment contract market and the financial guarantee market could adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, lawsuits, including putative class action lawsuits, have been filed against the Company by policyholders and security holders the ultimate outcome of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, future operating results and/or liquidity.

Contingent commission arrangements have been a focus of investigations by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), the U.S. Attorney's Offices, certain state Attorneys General and insurance departments. Due to various governmental investigations into contingent commission practices, various market participants have modified or eliminated acquisition expenses formerly arising from Placement Service Agreements ("PSAs"). As a result, it is possible that policy commissions or brokerage that the Company pays may increase in the future and/or that different forms of contingent commissions will develop in the future. Any such additional expense could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial conditions or results.

A subsidiary of the Company received a grand jury subpoena from the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York seeking documents in connection with an investigation into the municipal guaranteed investment contract ("GIC") market and related products. The Company is fully cooperating with this investigation. Recently, certain State Attorneys General have commenced investigations of providers of financial guarantee (re)insurance related to the (re)insurance of municipal bonds.

At this time, the Company is unable to predict the potential effects, if any, that these investigations may have upon us, the insurance and reinsurance markets in general or industry and reinsurance business practices or what, if any, changes may be made to laws and regulations regarding the industry. Any of the foregoing could also result in litigation or otherwise adversely affect its business, financial condition, or results of operations.

The Company is subject to lawsuits and arbitrations in the regular course of its business. In addition, lawsuits have been filed against the Company as detailed in "Legal Proceedings." The Company believes that the ultimate outcome of all outstanding litigation and arbitration will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, operating results and/or liquidity, although an adverse resolution of one or more of these items could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in a particular fiscal quarter or year.

The loss of one or more key executives or the inability to attract and retain qualified personnel could adversely affect the Company's ability to conduct business.

The Company's success depends on its ability to retain the services of its existing key executives and to attract and retain additional qualified personnel in the future. The loss of the services of any of the Company's key executives or the inability to hire and retain other highly qualified personnel in the future could adversely affect its ability to conduct its business. In addition, the Company does not maintain key man life insurance policies with respect to its employees.

In October 2007, a Succession Plan Committee of the Company's Board of Directors was created following the announcement that Mr. Brian O'Hara, President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of the Company, had informed the Company's Board of Directors of his decision to retire as President and CEO in mid-2008. The Succession Plan Committee continues to identify and evaluate potential candidates to succeed the current CEO.

Many of the Company's senior executives working in Bermuda are not Bermudian and the Company's success may depend in part on the continued services of key employees in Bermuda. Under Bermuda law, non-Bermudians (other than spouses of Bermudians and holders of permanent resident certificates) may not engage in any gainful occupation in Bermuda without an appropriate governmental work permit. A work permit may be granted or renewed by the Bermuda government for a specific period of time, upon showing that, after proper public advertisement, no Bermudian (or spouse of a Bermudian or holder of a permanent

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

resident certificate) is available who meets the minimum standards reasonably required by an employer with respect to a certain position. The government of Bermuda places a six-year term limit on individuals with work permits, subject to certain exemptions for key employees. No assurances can be given that any work permit will be issued or, if issued, renewed upon the expiration of the relevant term.

Because the Company is a holding company, if its subsidiaries do not make dividend and other payments to it, it may not be able to pay dividends or make payments on its debt securities and other obligations.

As a holding company with no direct operations or significant assets other than the capital stock of its subsidiaries, the Company relies on investment income, cash dividends and other permitted payments from its subsidiaries to make principal and interest payments on its debt, to pay operating expenses and common and preferred shareholder dividends and to pay certain of its other obligations that may arise from time to time. The Company expects future investment income, dividends and other permitted payments from these subsidiaries to be its principal source of funds to pay such expenses, preferred and common stock dividends and obligations. The payment of dividends to the Company by its insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries is limited under Bermuda laws and certain insurance statutes of various states in the United States in which its insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are licensed to transact business.

The Company's U.S. insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are subject to state regulatory restrictions that generally require cash dividends to be paid only out of earned statutory surplus. Further, the amount payable without the prior approval of the applicable state insurance department is generally limited to the greater of 10% of policyholders' surplus or statutory capital, or 100% of the subsidiary's prior year statutory net income. In addition, Bermuda insurance laws and regulations (i) require the Company's insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries to maintain certain minimum solvency margins and minimum liquidity ratios, (ii) prohibit dividends that would result in a breach of these requirements, and (iii) limit the amount by which the Company can reduce surplus without prior approval from the Bermuda Monetary Authority.

In addition, the ability of the Company's insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries to pay dividends could be constrained by its dependence on financial strength ratings from independent rating agencies. The Company's ratings from these agencies depend to a large extent on the capitalization levels of its insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries. Any such restriction on the Company's insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends to it could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations. The Company's insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries may not always be able to, or may not, pay preferred and common stock dividends to it sufficient to make its debt payments and pay its operating expenses, shareholder dividends or other obligations.

Risks Related to the Insurance and Reinsurance Industries

The insurance and reinsurance industries are historically cyclical and the Company may experience periods with excess underwriting capacity and unfavorable premium rates.

The insurance and reinsurance industries have historically been cyclical, characterized by periods of intense price competition due to excess underwriting capacity as well as periods when shortages of capacity permitted favorable premium levels. An increase in premium levels is often offset by an increasing supply of insurance and reinsurance capacity, either by capital provided by new entrants or by the commitment of additional capital by existing insurers or reinsurers, which may cause prices to decrease. Either of these factors could lead to a significant reduction in premium rates, less favorable policy terms and conditions and fewer submissions for the Company's underwriting services. In addition to these considerations, changes in the frequency and severity of losses suffered by insureds and insurers may affect the cycles of the insurance and reinsurance industries significantly.

Competition in the insurance and reinsurance industries could reduce the Company's operating margins.

The insurance and reinsurance industries are highly competitive. The Company competes on an international and regional basis with major U.S., Bermudian, European and other international insurers and reinsurers and with underwriting syndicates, some of which have greater financial and management resources than it does. The Company also competes with new companies that continue to be formed to enter the insurance and reinsurance markets. In addition, capital market participants have recently created alternative products that are intended to compete with reinsurance products. Increased competition could result in fewer submissions, lower premium rates and less favorable policy terms and conditions, which could reduce the Company's margins.

Unanticipated losses from terrorism and uncertainty surrounding the future of the TRIPRA could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

In response to the tightening of supply in certain insurance and reinsurance markets resulting from, among other things, the September 11 event, TRIP was created upon the enactment of TRIA in 2002 to ensure the availability of commercial insurance coverage for certain terrorist acts in the U.S.. This law established a federal assistance program through the end of 2005 to help the commercial property and casualty insurance industry cover claims related to future terrorism-related losses and required that coverage for terrorist acts be offered by insurers. TRIA was originally scheduled to expire at the end of 2005, but was extended in December 2005 for an additional two years under TRIAE. On December 26, 2007, President George Bush approved TRIPRA, extending TRIP through December 31, 2014 and also eliminated the distinction

between foreign and domestic acts of terrorism.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

TRIA voided in force terrorism exclusions as of November 26, 2002 for certified terrorism on all TRIA specified property and casualty business. TRIA required covered insurers to make coverage available for certified acts of terrorism on all new and renewal policies issued after TRIA was enacted. TRIA along with further extensions to TRIP, as noted above, allows the Company to assess a premium charge for terrorism coverage and, if the policyholder declines the coverage or fails to pay the buy-back premium, certified acts of terrorism may then be excluded from the policy, subject, however, to state specific requirements. Terrorism coverage cannot be excluded from workers' compensation policies. Subject to a premium-based deductible and provided that the Company has otherwise complied with all the requirements as specified under TRIPRA, the Company is eligible for reimbursement by the Federal Government for up to 85% of its covered terrorism-related losses arising from a certified terrorist attack. Such payment by the government will, in effect, provide reinsurance protection on a quota share basis. Entitlement to such reimbursement ends once the aggregated insured losses for the entire insurance industry exceed \$100 billion in a single program year.

The Company believes that TRIP and the related legislation has been an effective mechanism to assist policyholders and industry participants with the extreme contingent losses that might be caused by acts of terrorism. The Company cannot assure you that TRIPRA will be extended beyond 2014, and its expiration could have an adverse effect on the Company, its clients or the insurance industry.

Potential government intervention in the Company's industry as a result of recent events and instability in the marketplace for insurance products could hinder its flexibility and negatively affect the business opportunities that may be available to it in the market.

Government intervention and the possibility of future government intervention have created uncertainty in the insurance and reinsurance markets. Government regulators are generally concerned with the protection of policyholders to the exclusion of other constituencies, including shareholders of insurers and reinsurers. While the Company cannot predict the exact nature, timing or scope of possible governmental initiatives, such proposals could adversely affect its business by, among other things:

Providing insurance and reinsurance capacity in markets and to consumers that the Company targets, e.g., the creation or expansion of a state or federal catastrophe funds such as those in Florida;

Requiring the Company's participation in industry pools and guarantee associations;

Expanding the scope of coverage under existing policies;

Regulating the terms of insurance and reinsurance policies; or

Disproportionately benefiting the companies of one country over those of another.

The insurance industry is also affected by political, judicial and legal developments that may create new and expanded theories of liability, which may result in unexpected claims frequency & severity and delays or cancellations of products and services by insureds, insurers and reinsurers which could adversely affect the Company's business.

Consolidation in the insurance industry could adversely impact the Company.

Insurance industry participants may seek to expand through mergers and acquisitions. Continued consolidation within the insurance industry will further enhance the already competitive underwriting environment as the Company would likely experience more robust competition from larger, better capitalized competitors. These consolidated entities may use their enhanced market power and broader capital base to negotiate price reductions for the Company's products and services, and reduce their use of reinsurance, and as such, it may experience rate declines and possibly write less business.

Risks Related to Regulation

The regulatory regimes under which the Company operates, and potential changes thereto, could have a material adverse effect on its business.

The Company's insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries operate in 28 countries around the world as well as in all 50 U.S. states. The Company's operations in each of these jurisdictions are subject to varying degrees of regulation and supervision. The laws and regulations of the jurisdictions in which the Company's insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are domiciled require, among other things, that these subsidiaries maintain minimum levels of statutory capital, surplus and liquidity, meet solvency standards, submit to periodic examinations of their financial condition and restrict payments of dividends and reductions of capital. Statutes, regulations and policies that the Company's insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are subject to may also restrict the ability of these subsidiaries to write insurance and reinsurance policies, make certain investments and distribute funds.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

In recent years, the U.S. insurance regulatory framework has come under increased federal scrutiny. In addition, some state legislatures have considered or enacted laws that may alter or increase state regulation of insurance and reinsurance companies and

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

holding companies. Moreover, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, which is the organization of insurance regulators from the 50 U.S. states, the District of Columbia and the four U.S. territories, as well as state insurance regulators regularly reexamine existing laws and regulations.

The Company may not be able to comply fully with, or obtain desired exemptions from, revised statutes, regulations and policies that govern the conduct of its business. Failure to comply with, or to obtain desired authorizations and/or exemptions under, any applicable laws could result in restrictions on the Company's ability to do business or undertake activities that are regulated in one or more of the jurisdictions in which it operates and could subject it to fines and other sanctions. In addition, changes in the laws or regulations to which the Company's insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are subject, or in the interpretations thereof by enforcement or regulatory agencies, could have a material adverse effect on its business.

If the Company's Bermuda operating subsidiaries become subject to insurance statutes and regulations in jurisdictions other than Bermuda or if there is a change in Bermuda law or regulations or the application of Bermuda law or regulations, there could be a significant and negative impact on the Company's business.

XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, two of the Company's wholly-owned operating subsidiaries, are registered Bermuda Class 4 insurers. As such, they are subject to regulation and supervision in Bermuda. Bermuda insurance statutes and the regulations and policies of the Bermuda Monetary Authority require XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd to, among other things:

maintain a minimum level of capital and surplus;

maintain solvency margins and liquidity ratios;

restrict dividends and distributions;

obtain prior approval regarding the ownership and transfer of shares;

maintain a principal office and appoint and maintain a principal representative in Bermuda;

file an annual statutory financial return; and

allow for the performance of certain periodic examinations of XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd and their respective financial conditions.

These statutes and regulations may restrict the Company's ability to write insurance and reinsurance policies, distribute funds and pursue its investment strategy.

The Company does not presently intend for XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd to be admitted to do business in the United States, the United Kingdom or any jurisdiction other than Bermuda. However, the Company cannot provide assurance that insurance regulators in the United States, the United Kingdom or elsewhere will not review the activities of XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd or XL Re Ltd, their respective subsidiaries or their agents and claim that XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd or XL Re Ltd is subject to such jurisdiction's licensing requirements. If any such claim is successful and XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd or XL Re Ltd must obtain licenses in a jurisdiction other than Bermuda, the Company may be subject to taxation in such jurisdiction.

In addition, XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd are subject to indirect regulatory requirements imposed by jurisdictions that may limit their ability to provide insurance or reinsurance to that jurisdiction's domestic insurers or reinsurers. For example, the ability of XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd to write insurance or reinsurance may be subject, in certain cases, to a country's limits on how much reinsurance can be purchased from non-domestic reinsurers or requirements that such non-domestic reinsurers collateralize their payment obligations to domestic ceding companies. If the Company is unable to collateralize or provide other credit support for these reinsurance clients on commercially reasonable terms, it could be limited in its ability to write business for some of its clients. Proposed legislation and regulations may have the effect of imposing additional requirements upon, or restricting the market for, non-domestic insurers or reinsurers with whom domestic companies place business.

Generally, Bermuda insurance statutes and regulations applicable to XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd are less restrictive than those that would be applicable if they were governed by the laws of any state in the United States. If in the future the Company becomes subject to any insurance laws of the United States or any state thereof or of any other jurisdiction, it cannot provide assurance that it would be in compliance with such laws or that complying with such laws would not have a significant and negative effect on its business.

The process of obtaining licenses is very time consuming and costly and XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd may not be able to become licensed in jurisdictions other than Bermuda should the Company choose to do so. The modification of the conduct of the Company's business that would result if it were required or chose to become licensed in certain jurisdictions could significantly and negatively affect its

financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the Company's inability to comply with

insurance statutes and regulations could significantly and adversely affect its financial condition and results of operations by limiting its ability to conduct business as well as subjecting it to penalties and fines.

Because XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd are Bermuda companies, they are subject to changes in Bermuda law and regulation that may have an adverse impact on the Company's operations, including through the imposition of tax liability or increased regulatory supervision. In addition, XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd will be exposed to any changes in the political environment in Bermuda, including, without limitation, changes as a result of the independence issues currently being discussed in Bermuda. The Bermuda insurance and reinsurance regulatory framework recently has become subject to increased scrutiny in many jurisdictions, including the United Kingdom. While the Company cannot predict the future impact on its operations of changes in the laws and regulation to which it is or may become subject, any such changes could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations.

Changes in current accounting practices and future pronouncements may materially impact the Company's reported financial results.

Unanticipated developments in accounting practices may require the Company to incur considerable additional expenses to comply with such developments, particularly if it is required to prepare information relating to prior periods for comparative purposes or to apply the new requirements retroactively. The impact of changes in current accounting practices and future pronouncements cannot be predicted but may affect the calculation of net income, net equity, and other relevant financial statement line items. In particular, recent guidance and ongoing projects put in place by standard setters globally have indicated a possible move away from the current insurance accounting models toward more fair value based models which could introduce significant volatility in the earnings of insurance industry participants.

Furthermore, rules relating to certain accounting practices in the financial guarantee insurance and reinsurance industry are currently being reviewed by applicable regulatory bodies and any changes required by that review could have a material effect on the reported operating results and financial condition of the industry or particular market participants, including SCA.

For further details regarding risks related to financial guarantee insurance and reinsurance, refer to SCA's reports filed with the SEC.

Risks Related to Taxation

The Company and its Bermuda insurance subsidiaries may become subject to taxes in Bermuda after March 28, 2016, which may have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

The Company and its Bermuda insurance subsidiaries have received from the Ministry of Finance in Bermuda exemptions from any Bermuda taxes that might be imposed on profits, income or any capital asset, gain or appreciation until March 28, 2016. The exemptions are subject to the proviso that they are not construed so as to prevent the application of any tax or duty to such persons as are ordinarily resident in Bermuda (the Company and its Bermuda insurance subsidiaries are not so currently designated) and to prevent the application of any tax payable in accordance with the provisions of The Land Tax Act 1967 or otherwise payable in relation to the land leased to the Company and its Bermuda insurance subsidiaries. The Company, as a permit company under The Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda, have received similar exemptions, which are effective until March 28, 2016. The Company and its Bermuda insurance subsidiaries are required to pay certain annual Bermuda government fees and certain business fees as an insurer under The Insurance Act 1978 of Bermuda. Currently there is no Bermuda withholding tax on dividends paid by the Company's Bermuda insurance subsidiaries to the Company. Given the limited duration of the Ministry of Finance's assurance, the Company cannot be certain that the Company or its Bermuda insurance subsidiaries will not be subject to any Bermuda tax after March 28, 2016. Such taxation could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

The Company may become subject to taxes in the Cayman Islands after June 2, 2018, which may have a material adverse effect on its results of operations.

Under current Cayman Islands law, the Company is not obligated to pay any taxes in the Cayman Islands on its income or gains. The Company has received an undertaking from the Governor-in-Council of the Cayman Islands pursuant to the provisions of the Tax Concessions Law, as amended, that until June 2, 2018, (i) no subsequently enacted law imposing any tax on profits, income, gains or appreciation shall apply to it and (ii) no such tax and no tax in the nature of an estate duty or an inheritance tax shall be payable on any of its ordinary shares, debentures or other obligations. Under current law, no tax will be payable on the transfer or other disposition of the Company's ordinary shares. The Cayman Islands currently impose stamp duties on certain categories of documents; however, the Company's current operations do not involve the payment of stamp duties in any material amount. The Cayman Islands also currently impose an annual corporate fee upon all exempted companies incorporated in the Cayman Islands. Given the limited duration of the undertaking from the Governor-in-Council of the Cayman Islands, the Company cannot be certain that it will not be subject to any Cayman Islands tax after June 2, 2018. Such taxation could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company and its Bermuda insurance subsidiaries may become subject to U.S. tax, which may have a material adverse effect on its results of operations.

The Company takes the position that neither it nor any of its Bermuda insurance subsidiaries are engaged in a U.S. trade or business through a U.S. permanent establishment. Accordingly, the Company takes the position that none of its Bermuda insurance subsidiaries should be subject to U.S. tax (other than U.S. excise tax on insurance and reinsurance premium income attributable to insuring or reinsuring U.S. risks and U.S. withholding tax on some types of U.S. source investment income). However, because there is considerable uncertainty as to the activities that constitute being engaged in a trade or business within the United States, the Company cannot be certain that the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) will not contend successfully that it or any of its Bermuda insurance subsidiaries are engaged in a trade or business in the United States. If the Company or any of its Bermuda insurance subsidiaries were considered to be engaged in a trade or business in the United States, any such entity could be subject to U.S. corporate income and additional branch profits taxes on the portion of its earnings effectively connected to such U.S. business, in which case the Company's financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development is considering measures that might change the manner in which the Company is taxed.

On June 27, 2005 the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) issued a discussion draft, Attribution of Profits to a Permanent Establishment Release of Discussion Draft of Part IV (Insurance) (the Draft), which constitutes the fourth and final part of the report on OECD's project to establish a broad consensus regarding the interpretation and practical application of Article 7 (Article 7) of the OECD Model Tax Convention on Income and on Capital. Article 7 sets forth international tax principles for attributing profits to a permanent establishment and forms the basis of an extensive network of bilateral income tax treaties between OECD member countries and between many OECD member and non-member countries. On December 21 2006, the OECD published new versions of Parts I, II and III. They also announced that work on Part IV is ongoing and a revised draft was issued in August 2007, followed by a consultation in November 2007. Further consultation is anticipated in the first half of 2008. Once finalized, the conclusions of Parts I-IV of the report will be implemented through revision of the Commentary on Article 7 and/or Article 7 itself.

If an investor acquires ten percent or more of XL Capital Ltd's shares, they may be subject to taxation under the controlled foreign corporation (the CFC) rules.

Under certain circumstances, a U.S. person who owns ten percent or more of the voting power of a foreign corporation that is a CFC (a foreign corporation in which ten percent U.S. shareholders own more than 50 percent of the voting power of the foreign corporation or more than 25 percent of a foreign insurance company) for an uninterrupted period of 30 days or more during a taxable year must include in gross income for U.S. federal income tax purposes such 10 percent U.S. Shareholder's pro rata share of the CFC's subpart F income, even if the subpart F income is not distributed to such 10 percent U.S. Shareholder if such 10 percent U.S. Shareholder owns (directly or indirectly through foreign entities) any of the Company's shares on the last day of the Company's taxable year. Subpart F income of a foreign insurance corporation typically includes foreign personal holding company income (such as interest, dividends and other types of passive income), as well as insurance and reinsurance income (including underwriting and investment income) attributable to the insurance of risks situated outside the CFC's country of incorporation.

The Company believes that because of the dispersion of its share ownership, provisions in its organizational documents that limit voting power and other factors, no U.S. Person or U.S. Partnership who acquires its shares directly or indirectly through one or more foreign entities should be required to include its subpart F income in income under the CFC rules of the Code. It is possible, however, that the IRS could challenge the effectiveness of these provisions and that a court could sustain such a challenge, in which case an investor's investment could be materially adversely affected, if they own ten percent or more of the Company's stock.

U.S. Persons who hold shares will be subject to adverse tax consequences if the Company is considered to be a Passive Foreign Investment Company (PFIC) for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

If the Company is considered a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes, a U.S. Person who owns any shares of the Company will be subject to adverse tax consequences, including subjecting the investor to a greater tax liability than might otherwise apply and subjecting the investor to tax on amounts in advance of when tax would otherwise be imposed, in which case an investor's investment could be materially adversely affected. In addition, if the Company were considered a PFIC, upon the death of any U.S. individual owning shares, such individual's heirs or estate would not be entitled to a step-up in the basis of the shares which might otherwise be available under U.S. federal income tax laws. The Company believes that it is not, has not been, and currently does not expect to become, a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The Company cannot provide absolute assurance, however, that it will not be deemed a PFIC by the IRS. If the Company were considered a PFIC, it could have material adverse tax consequences for an investor that is subject to U.S. federal income taxation. There are currently no regulations regarding the application of the PFIC provisions to an insurance company. New regulations or pronouncements interpreting or clarifying these rules may be forthcoming. The Company cannot predict what impact, if any, such guidance would have on an investor that is subject to U.S. federal income taxation.

There is U.S. income tax risk associated with reinsurance between U.S. insurance companies and their Bermuda affiliates.

Congress has periodically considered legislation intended to eliminate certain perceived tax advantages of Bermuda insurance companies and U.S. insurance companies having Bermuda affiliates, including perceived tax benefits resulting principally from reinsurance between or among U.S. insurance companies and their Bermuda affiliates. In this regard, Section 845 of the Code was amended in 2004 to permit the IRS to reallocate, recharacterize or adjust items of income, deduction or certain other items related to a reinsurance agreement between related parties to reflect the proper source, character and amount for each item (in contrast to prior law, which only covered source and character). If the IRS were to successfully challenge the Company's reinsurance arrangements under Section 845, its financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

There are U.S. income tax risks associated with the related person insurance income of the Company's non-U.S. insurance subsidiaries.

If (i) the related person insurance income, which the Company refers to as RPII, of any one of its non-U.S. insurance subsidiaries were to equal or exceed 20% of that subsidiary's gross insurance income in any taxable year and (ii) U.S. persons were treated as owning 25% or more of the subsidiary's stock (by vote or value), a U.S. person who owns any ordinary shares, directly or indirectly, on the last day of such taxable year on which the 25% threshold is met would be required to include in its income for U.S. federal income tax purposes that person's ratable share of that subsidiary's RPII for the taxable year, determined as if that RPII were distributed proportionately only to U.S. holders at that date, regardless of whether that income is distributed. The amount of RPII earned by a subsidiary (generally, premium and related investment income from the direct or indirect insurance or reinsurance of any direct or indirect U.S. holder of shares of that subsidiary or any person related to that holder) would depend on a number of factors, including the identity of persons directly or indirectly insured or reinsured by that subsidiary. Although the Company does not believe that the 20% threshold will be met in respect of any of its non-U.S. insurance subsidiaries, some of the factors that may affect the result in any period may be beyond its control. Consequently, the Company cannot provide absolute assurance that it will not exceed the RPII threshold in any taxable year.

The RPII rules provide that if a holder who is a U.S. person disposes of shares in a non-U.S. insurance corporation that had RPII (even if the 20% threshold was not met) and met the 25% threshold at any time during the five-year period ending on the date of disposition, and the holder owned any stock at such time, any gain from the disposition will generally be treated as a dividend to the extent of the holder's share (taking into account certain rules for determining a U.S. holder's share of RPII) of the corporation's undistributed earnings and profits that were accumulated during the period that the holder owned the shares (possibly whether or not those earnings and profits are attributable to RPII). In addition, such a shareholder will be required to comply with specified reporting requirements, regardless of the amount of shares owned. The Company believes that these rules should not apply to dispositions of its ordinary shares because the Company is not itself directly engaged in the insurance business. The Company cannot provide absolute assurance, however, that the IRS will not successfully assert that these rules apply to dispositions of its ordinary shares.

Changes in U.S. tax law might adversely affect an investment in the Company's shares.

The tax treatment of non-U.S. companies and their U.S. and non-U.S. insurance subsidiaries has been the subject of Congressional discussion and legislative proposals. For example, one legislative proposal would impose additional limits on the deductibility of interest by foreign-owned U.S. corporations. Another legislative proposal would treat a non-U.S. corporation as a U.S. corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes if it were considered to be primarily managed and controlled in the U.S. We cannot assure you that future legislative action will not increase the amount of U.S. tax payable by us. If this happens, the Company's financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Additionally, the U.S. federal income tax laws and interpretations, including those regarding whether a company is engaged in a trade or business (or has a permanent establishment) within the United States or is a PFIC, or whether U.S. holders would be required to include in their gross income subpart F income or the RPII of a CFC, are subject to change, possibly on a retroactive basis. There are currently no regulations regarding the application of the PFIC rules to insurance companies and the regulations regarding RPII are still in proposed form. New regulations or pronouncements interpreting or clarifying such rules may be forthcoming. The Company cannot be certain if, when or in what form such regulations or pronouncements may be provided and whether such guidance will have a retroactive effect.

Risks Related to the Company's Ordinary Shares

The price and trading volume of the Company's ordinary shares may be subject to significant fluctuations.

The price and trading volume of the Company's ordinary shares may fluctuate in response to a number of events and factors, including:

catastrophes or other events that may impact or be perceived by investors as impacting the insurance and reinsurance industries;

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

exposure to capital markets risks related to changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices and foreign exchange rates;

quarterly variations in the Company's operating results and the results of its affiliates;

changes in the market's expectations about the Company's future operating results;

changes in financial estimates and recommendations by securities analysts concerning the Company or the insurance and reinsurance industries generally;

changes in the credit ratings assigned to the Company's claims-paying ability by S&P, A.M. Best or other independent rating agencies;

operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors may deem comparable to us;

news reports relating to the Company's business and trends in the markets in which the Company operates;

changes in the laws and regulations affecting the Company's business;

acquisitions and financings by the Company or others in the Company's industry; and,

sales or acquisitions of a substantial number of the Company's ordinary shares by its directors and executive officers or significant shareholders, or the perception that such sales could occur.

In addition, in recent years stock markets around the world have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. This volatility has had a significant effect on the market prices of securities issued by many companies for reasons unrelated to their operating performance. These broad market fluctuations may materially adversely affect the price of the Company's ordinary shares, regardless of its operating results.

Provisions in the Company's Articles of Association may reduce the voting rights of its ordinary shares.

The Company's Articles of Association generally provide that shareholders have one vote for each ordinary share held by them and are entitled to vote, on a non-cumulative basis, at all meetings of shareholders. However, the voting rights exercisable by a shareholder may be limited so that certain persons or groups are not deemed to hold 10% or more of the voting power conferred by its ordinary shares. Under these limitations, some shareholders may have less than one vote for each ordinary share held by them. Moreover, these limitations could have the effect of reducing the voting power of some shareholders who would not otherwise be subject to such limitations by virtue of their direct share ownership.

Provisions in the Company's Articles of Association may restrict the ownership and transfer of its ordinary shares.

The Company's Articles of Association provide that its Board of Directors shall decline to register a transfer of shares if it appears to its Board of Directors, whether before or after such transfer, that the effect of such transfer would be to increase the number of shares owned or controlled by any person to 10% or more of any class of voting shares, the total issued shares of XL Capital Ltd or the voting power of XL Capital Ltd. In addition, the Company's Articles of Association also provide that if, and for so long as, the votes conferred on any person by the ownership or control of the Company's shares (including any preference ordinary shares) constitute 10% or more of the votes conferred by its issued shares, each such share held by such person shall confer only a fraction of the vote that would otherwise be conferred, as determined by the formula described in its Articles of Association, and such voting rights will continue to be readjusted until no shareholder's voting rights exceed this limitation as a result of such reduction. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company's Board of Directors may make such final adjustments to the aggregate number of votes conferred on any person by the ownership or control of shares that they consider fair and reasonable, in the light of all applicable circumstances, to ensure that such votes represent less than 10% of the aggregate voting power of the votes conferred by all its issued shares. For these purposes, references to ownership or control of the Company's shares means ownership within the meaning of Section 958 of the Code and Section 13(d)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Certain provisions in the Company's charter documents and Rights Agreement could, among other things, impede an attempt to replace its directors or to effect a change of control, which could diminish the value of its ordinary shares.

The Company's articles of association contain provisions that may make it more difficult for shareholders to replace directors and could delay or prevent a change of control that a shareholder might consider favorable. These provisions include a staggered board of directors, limitations on the ability of shareholders to remove directors, limitations on voting rights and certain transfer restrictions on its ordinary shares. In addition, certain provisions in the Company's Rights Agreement could delay or prevent a change of control that a shareholder might consider favorable. These provisions may prevent a shareholder from receiving the benefit of any premium over the market price of the Company's ordinary shares offered by a bidder in connection with a potential takeover. Even in the absence of a takeover attempt or an attempt to effect a change in management, these provisions may adversely affect the prevailing market price of the Company's ordinary shares if they are viewed as discouraging takeover attempts in the future. See [Market for](#)

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities. In addition, insurance regulations in certain jurisdictions may also delay or prevent a change of control or limit the ability of a shareholder to acquire in excess of specified amounts of the Company's ordinary shares.

It may be difficult to enforce judgments against XL Capital Ltd or its directors and executive officers.

XL Capital Ltd is incorporated pursuant to the laws of the Cayman Islands and its principal executive offices are in Bermuda. In addition, certain of the Company's directors and officers reside outside the United States and a substantial portion of its assets and the assets of such directors and officers are located outside the United States. As such, it may be difficult or impossible to effect service of process within the United States upon those persons or to recover on judgments of U.S. courts against the Company or its directors and officers, including judgments predicated upon civil liability provisions of U.S. federal securities laws. The Company has been advised by Cayman counsel that there is doubt as to whether the courts of the Cayman Islands would enforce:

judgments of U.S. courts based upon the civil liability provisions of U.S. federal securities laws obtained in actions against XL Capital Ltd or its directors and officers who reside outside the United States; or

original actions brought in the Cayman Islands against these persons or XL Capital Ltd predicated solely upon U.S. federal securities laws.

We have also been advised that there is no treaty in effect between the United States and the Cayman Islands providing for such enforcement and there are grounds upon which Cayman Islands courts may not enforce judgments of United States courts. Some remedies available under the laws of U.S. jurisdictions, including some remedies available under U.S. federal securities laws, may not be allowed in Cayman Islands courts as contrary to public policy.

U.S. persons who own the Company's ordinary shares may have more difficulty protecting their interests than U.S. persons who are shareholders of a U.S. corporation.

The law applicable to companies established in the Cayman Islands, under which the Company is governed, differs in certain material respects from laws generally applicable to U.S. corporations and their shareholders. These differences include the manner in which directors must disclose transactions in which they have an interest and their ability to vote notwithstanding a conflict of interest, the rights of shareholders to bring class action and derivative lawsuits and the scope of indemnification available to directors and officers.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company operates in Bermuda, the United States, Europe and various other locations around the world. In 1997, the Company acquired commercial real estate in Hamilton, Bermuda for the purpose of securing long-term office space for its worldwide headquarters. The development was completed in April 2001. The total cost of this development, including land, was approximately \$126.6 million.

In July 2003, the Company acquired new offices at 70 Gracechurch Street, London, which have become the Company's new London headquarters. The acquisition was made through a purchase, sale and leaseback transaction. The move to the new offices was completed in 2004 and consolidated the Company's London businesses in one location. The Company has recorded a capital lease asset and liability of approximately \$150.0 million related to this transaction.

The majority of all other office facilities throughout the world that are occupied by the Company and its subsidiaries are leased.

Total rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$34.5 million, \$35.8 million and \$34.9 million, respectively. See Item 8, Note 18(d) to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Commitments and Contingencies Properties, for discussion of the Company's lease commitments for real property.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

On September 21, 2004, a consolidated and amended class action complaint (the "Amended Complaint") was served on the Company and certain of its present and former directors and officers as defendants in a putative class action (Malin et al. v. XL Capital Ltd et al.) filed in United States District Court, District of Connecticut (the "Malin Action"). The Malin Action purports to be on behalf of purchasers of the Company's common stock between November 1, 2001 and October 16, 2003, and alleges claims under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder (the "Securities Laws"). The Amended Complaint alleged that the defendants violated the Securities Laws by, among other things, failing to disclose in various public statements, reports to shareholders and other communications the alleged inadequacy of the Company's loss reserves for its NAC Re subsidiary (now known as XL Reinsurance America, Inc.) and that, as a consequence, the Company's earnings and assets were materially overstated. On August 26, 2005, the Court dismissed the Amended Complaint owing to its failure adequately to allege that the lead plaintiffs had sustained a loss, but provided leave for the plaintiffs to file a further amended complaint. The plaintiffs thereafter filed another amended complaint (the "Second Amended Complaint"), which is similar to the Amended Complaint in its substantive allegations. On December 31, 2005, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint. The plaintiffs opposed the motion. In addition, the plaintiffs filed a motion to strike certain documents and exhibits that the XL defendants had proffered in support of the motion to dismiss. By Order dated December 15, 2006, the Judge granted in part and denied in part plaintiffs' motion to strike and allowed limited discovery through March 2, 2007. The Judge denied defendants' motion to dismiss without prejudice to its renewal at the conclusion of such discovery. On March 22, 2007, the defendants filed their renewed motion to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint. By order dated July 21, 2007, the Judge granted defendants' renewed motion and dismissed the Second Amended Complaint. On August 30, 2007 plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal. Briefing on the Appeal will be concluded in March, after which argument will be held. The Company and the defendant present and former officers and directors will continue to vigorously defend the Malin Action.

In November 2006, a subsidiary of the Company received a grand jury subpoena from the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice and a subpoena from the SEC, both of which seek documents in connection with an investigation into the municipal guaranteed investment contract (GIC) market and related products. The Company is fully cooperating with these investigations.

From time to time, the Company has also received and responded to additional requests from Attorneys General, state insurance regulators and federal regulators for information relating to the Company's contingent commission arrangements with

brokers and agents and/or the Company's insurance and reinsurance practices in connection with certain finite-risk and loss mitigation products. Similarly, the Company's affiliates outside the United States have, from time to time, received and responded to requests from regulators relating to the Company's insurance and reinsurance practices regarding contingent commissions or finite-risk and loss mitigation products. The Company has fully cooperated with the regulators in these matters.

In August 2005, plaintiffs in a proposed class action (the Class Action) that was consolidated into a multidistrict litigation in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, captioned *In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1663, Civil Action No. 04-5184 (the MDL), filed a consolidated amended complaint (the Amended Complaint), which named as new defendants approximately 30 entities, including Greenwich Insurance Company, Indian Harbor Insurance Company and XL Capital Ltd. In the MDL, the Class Action plaintiffs asserted various claims purportedly on behalf of a class of commercial insureds against approximately 113 insurance companies and insurance brokers through which the named plaintiffs allegedly purchased insurance. The Amended Complaint alleged that the defendant insurance companies and insurance brokers conspired to manipulate bidding practices for insurance policies in certain insurance lines and failed to disclose certain commission arrangements. The named plaintiffs asserted statutory claims under the Sherman Act, various state antitrust laws and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), as well as common law claims alleging breach of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting a breach of fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment. Discovery in the MDL commenced in the latter part of 2005. Defendants filed motions to dismiss the Amended Complaint in late November 2005. By Opinion and Order dated October 3, 2006, the Court ruled on defendants' motions to dismiss the Amended Complaint, holding that plaintiffs' RICO and antitrust claims were deficient as pled and directing plaintiffs to file a supplemental RICO case statement and a supplemental statement of particularity as to their Sherman Act claims. Plaintiffs filed their supplemental pleadings on October 25, 2006 and on November 30, 2006, defendants filed motions to dismiss plaintiffs' supplemental pleadings. By Orders dated April 5, 2007, the Court dismissed plaintiffs' Sherman Act and RICO claims without prejudice to their filing final amended pleadings. By Order dated April 11, 2007, the Court stayed all proceedings in the MDL, including discovery, pending the disposition of defendants' motions to dismiss plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, which was filed on May 22, 2007 and contained allegations as to XL that were materially similar to those set forth in plaintiffs' October 2006 pleadings, but also purported to add as new defendants three other XL entities. On June 2007, XL (along with other defendants) filed motions to dismiss the Sherman Act and RICO claims alleged in the Second Amended Complaint and to strike the newly-named parties.

By Opinion and Order dated August 31, 2007, the Court dismissed the Class Action plaintiffs' Sherman Act claims with prejudice and, by Opinion and Order dated September 28, 2007, the Court dismissed the Class Action plaintiffs' RICO claims with prejudice. In its September 28, 2007, Opinion and Order, the Court declined to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the Class Action plaintiffs' state law claims. On October 10, 2007, the Class Action plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal, stating their intention to appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (the Third Circuit) from the District Court's October 3, 2006 Opinion and Order; April 5, 2007 Opinions and Order; August 31, 2007 Opinion and Order; and September 28, 2007 Opinion and Order. Plaintiffs' opening appellate brief was filed on February 19, 2008; defendants' opposition appellate brief is due March 20, 2008 and plaintiffs' reply appellate brief is due April 3, 2008. The District Court has not yet advised the parties whether it intends to continue its April 11, 2007 stay of all proceedings in the MDL pending a ruling on the appeal by the Third Circuit or set a schedule in connection with the various tag-along actions that have been consolidated into the MDL.

Various XL entities have been named as defendants in three of the many tag-along actions that have been consolidated into the MDL for pretrial purposes. On April 4, 2006, a tag-along Complaint was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia on behalf of New Cingular Wireless Headquarters LLC and several other corporations against approximately 100 defendants, including Greenwich Insurance Company, XL Specialty Insurance Company, XL Insurance America, Inc., XL Insurance Company Limited, Lloyd's syndicates 861, 588 and 1209 and XL Capital Ltd. (the New Cingular Lawsuit). The New Cingular Lawsuit is a tag-along action that does not purport to be a class action. The New Cingular Complaint, which made the same basic allegations as those alleged in the Class Action plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, asserted statutory claims under the Sherman Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, as well as common law claims alleging breach of fiduciary duty, inducement to breach fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment and fraud. On January 5, 2007, the plaintiffs in the New Cingular Lawsuit filed an Amended Complaint, a RICO Statement and a memorandum of law. In accordance with the Court's April 11, 2007 Order, all proceedings in the New Cingular Lawsuit, including discovery and motions by defendants to dismiss the New Cingular Amended Complaint, were stayed pending the Court's disposition of defendants' motions to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint filed in the MDL. The parties are awaiting the District Court's decision as to whether the New Cingular Lawsuit will continue to be stayed or be permitted to proceed pending the Third Circuit's disposition of the Class Action plaintiffs' pending appeal.

On or about May 21, 2007 a tag-along Complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey on behalf of Henley Management Company, Big Bear Properties, Inc., Northbrook Properties, Inc., RCK Properties, Inc., Kitchens, Inc., Aberfeldy LP and Payroll and Insurance Group, Inc. against multiple defendants, including XL Winterthur International (the Henley Lawsuit). The Complaint in the Henley Lawsuit, which contains certain of the basic allegations that are contained in the Class Action plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, alleges violations of Section 1 of the Sherman Act against all defendants and various other claims that are alleged against only defendant Marsh. The Henley Lawsuit is a tag-along action that does not purport to be a class action. By Order dated July 11, 2007, the Court ordered that the Henley Lawsuit be consolidated into the MDL, making the

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Henley Lawsuit subject to the Court's April 11, 2007 stay Order. The parties are awaiting the District Court's decision as to whether the Henley Lawsuit will continued to be stayed or be permitted to proceed pending the Third Circuit's disposition of the Class Action plaintiffs' pending appeal.

On October 12, 2007, a Complaint in a third tag-along action, captioned *Sears Roebuck & Co. v. Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc., et al.*, No. 1:07-CV-2535 (the Sears Lawsuit), was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia by Sears, Roebuck & Co., Sears Holdings Corporation, Kmart Corporation and Lands End Inc. Among the many named defendants are X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance America, Inc., XL Specialty Insurance Company and XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd. The Complaint in the Sears Lawsuit, which contains many of the basic allegations that were contained in the Class Action plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, alleges violations of the Sherman Act and RICO, as well as claims alleging breach of fiduciary duty against the broker defendants; inducement to breach fiduciary duty against the insurer defendants; breach of contract against the broker defendants; tortious interference with contract against the insurer defendants; unjust enrichment; common law fraud against the broker defendants; aiding and abetting common law fraud against the insurer defendants; violation of state consumer fraud statutes; and violation of state antitrust statutes. The Sears Lawsuit is a tag-along action that does not purport to be a class action. The parties are awaiting the District Court's decision as to whether the Sears Lawsuit will continued to be stayed or be permitted to proceed pending the Third Circuit's disposition of the Class Action plaintiffs' pending appeal.

Three similar class actions have been filed against XL Insurance Ltd. (XLI) and others in the United States District Court, for the Southern District of New York on behalf of shareholders of Security Capital Assurance, Inc. (SCA). The complaints, filed December 7, 2007, December 18, 2007 and January 8, 2008 name as defendants SCA, two SCA officers, (and in two of the cases) Goldman Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc., and Merrill Lynch, Pierce Fenner & Smith, Inc. All allege violations of various U.S. Securities laws against the defendants arising from purchases of SCA shares in the June 6, 2007 secondary offering and shortly before. The complaints allege, among other things, that the Registration Statement and other public disclosures made by SCA and individual defendants contained untrue statements of material facts and omitted other necessary facts to make the statements not misleading. The complaints allege that the disclosures failed to disclose that SCA was materially exposed to extremely risky securities (RMBS) relating to sub-prime real estate mortgages. The allegations against XLI claim it is liable as a selling shareholder and as a party that controlled SCA during the relevant time period. It is expected that the cases will be consolidated and an amended complaint may be filed. The Company intends to vigorously defend these claims.

The Company is also subject to litigation and arbitration in the normal course of its business. These lawsuits and arbitrations principally involve claims on policies of insurance and contracts of reinsurance and are typical for the Company and for the property and casualty insurance and reinsurance industry in general. Such legal proceedings are considered in connection with the Company's loss and loss expense reserves. Reserves in varying amounts may or may not be established in respect of particular claims proceedings based on many factors, including the legal merits thereof. In addition to claims litigation, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to lawsuits in the normal course of business that do not arise from or directly relate to claims on policies of insurance or contracts of reinsurance.

The Company believes that the ultimate outcome of all outstanding litigation and arbitration will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial condition, operating results and/or liquidity, although an adverse resolution of one or more of these items could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in a particular fiscal quarter or year.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of ordinary shareholders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report.

Executive Officers of the Company

The table below sets forth the names, ages and titles of the persons who were the executive officers of the Company at December 31, 2007:

Name	Age	Position
Brian M. O Hara	59	President, Chief Executive Officer and Acting Chairman of the Board
Henry C.V. Keeling	52	Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer
Fiona E. Luck	50	Executive Vice President, Chief of Staff
Brian W. Nocco	55	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
Michael C. Lobdell	50	Executive Vice President, Chief Executive Global Business Services
Sarah E. Street	45	Executive Vice President, Chief Investment Officer
Clive R. Tobin	58	Executive Vice President, Chief Executive of Insurance Operations
James H. Veghte	51	Executive Vice President, Chief Executive of Reinsurance Operations
Kirstin Romann Gould	41	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Brian M. O Hara has been President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 1994 and a Director of the Company since 1986, having previously served as Vice Chairman of the Company from 1987. He is Chairman of XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and was Chief Executive Officer of XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd until 1998, having previously served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer from 1994, President and Chief Executive Officer from 1992 and as President and Chief Operating Officer from 1986. In late October 2007, the Company announced that Mr. Brian M. O Hara had informed the Company's Board of Directors of his decision to retire as President and CEO in mid-2008. On December 28, 2007, Mr. Michael P. Esposito Jr., then Chairman of the Board, resigned from the Company and on the same day, Mr. O Hara was appointed as Acting Chairman of the Board.

Henry C.V. Keeling was appointed Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer in June 2006 having previously served as, Chief Executive of Reinsurance Operations from July 2000 until November 2004 when he was appointed Chief Executive of Reinsurance Operations and Global Head of Business Services, and January 2006 when he became Global Head of Business Services and Chief Executive, Reinsurance Life Operations. Mr. Keeling was Chief Executive Officer of XL Re Ltd since August 1998. Mr. Keeling was President and Chief Operating and Underwriting Officer of Mid Ocean Re (now known as XL Re Ltd) from 1992 to 1998. He previously served as a director of Taylor Clayton (Underwriting Agencies) Ltd and deputy underwriter for Syndicate 51 at Lloyd's from 1984 through 1992.

Fiona E. Luck was appointed Executive Vice President, Chief of Staff in June 2006 having previously served as Executive Vice President and Global Head of Corporate Services since November 2004 and Assistant Secretary since January 2002. In addition, Ms. Luck served as the Company's Interim Chief Financial Officer from March 2007 to August 2007. From 1999 to 2004, Ms. Luck was Executive Vice President of Group Operations of the Company. Ms. Luck was previously employed at ACE Bermuda as Executive Vice President from 1998, and Senior Vice President from 1997. From 1992 to 1997, Ms. Luck was the Managing Director of the Marsh & McLennan Global Broking office in Bermuda.

Brian W. Nocco was appointed Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer in August 2007. Mr. Nocco was previously employed at Nationwide Insurance Group as Chief Risk Officer from 2006 to 2007, and Senior Vice President and Treasurer from 2001 to 2005. Prior to Nationwide, Mr. Nocco served as Executive Vice President, Corporate Development of Imperial Bank and Chief Financial Officer of two of its subsidiaries. From 1994 to 1998, Mr. Nocco served as Senior Vice President, Chief Compliance Officer with The Chubb Corporation.

Michael C. Lobdell was appointed Executive Vice President, Chief Executive Global Business Services in July 2006. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Lobdell held numerous leadership positions at New York-based JPMorganChase, including Senior Partner of the Mergers & Acquisitions Group, Managing Director and Chief Operating Officer of Global Investment Banking, Chairman of JPMorgan North American Investment Banking Management Committee, Head of Risk Technology Operations for Europe, and Managing Director and Head of Chase Middle Market Treasury Service Integration Project.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Sarah E. Street was appointed to the position of Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer in October 2006. Ms. Street is also the Chief Executive Officer of XL Capital Investment Partners. Prior to joining XL, Ms. Street held numerous leadership positions at JPMorganChase and its predecessor organizations, working in a number of corporate finance units as well as in the capital markets business of the bank.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Clive R. Tobin has been Executive Vice President and Chief Executive of Insurance Operations since April 2004. Mr. Tobin was President and Chief Executive of XL Winterthur International from February 2002, having previously served as Deputy Chief Executive and Chief Underwriting Officer of XL Winterthur International following the Company's acquisition of the risk management business from Credit Suisse in 2001, and President and Chief Executive of XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd since July 1999. From 1995 to 1999, Mr. Tobin held a variety of senior management positions at XL. Prior to joining XL in 1995, Mr. Tobin served as President of Rockefeller Insurance Company and Acadia Risk Management Services, Inc., in New York. From 1979 to 1986, Mr. Tobin served as Vice President of Risk Management Services for Marsh & McLennan, Inc. On January 7, 2008, the Company announced that Mr. Tobin will retire effective April 1, 2008 from his role as Chief Executive of the Company's Insurance Operations, and will concentrate on assisting the Company with strategic opportunities in emerging markets. David B. Duclos, currently Chief Operating Officer of the Company's Insurance Operations, will succeed Mr. Tobin as Chief Executive.

James H. Veghte was appointed Executive Vice President, Chief Executive of Reinsurance Operations in January 2006. Mr. Veghte was Chief Executive Officer of XL Reinsurance America Inc. (XLRA) having previously served as Chief Operating Officer of XL's reinsurance operations and President, Chief Operating Officer & Chief Underwriting Officer of XL Re Ltd. Previously held roles with the Company include President of XL Re Latin America Ltd., Chief Operating Officer of Le Mans Re (now the French branch of XL Re Europe Ltd.), General Manager of XL Re Ltd's London branch and Executive Vice President and Underwriter of XL Mid Ocean Reinsurance Ltd in Bermuda. Prior to joining XL, Mr. Veghte was Senior Vice President and Chief Underwriting Officer of Winterthur Reinsurance Corp of America.

Kirstin Romann Gould was appointed to the position of Executive Vice President, General Counsel in September 2007 and this new position includes her prior responsibilities as General Counsel, Corporate Affairs and Corporate Secretary. Ms. Gould was previously Executive Vice President, General Counsel of Corporate Affairs from July 2006 to September 2007 and has also served as Chief Corporate Legal Officer and Associate General Counsel. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Gould was associated with the law firms of Clifford Chance and Dewey Ballantine in New York and London.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Company's Class A ordinary shares, \$0.01 par value, are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol XL.

The following table sets forth the high, low and closing sales prices per share of the Company's Class A ordinary shares per fiscal quarter, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Tape:

	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Close</u>
2007:			
1st Quarter	\$ 74.40	\$ 66.93	\$ 69.96
2nd Quarter	84.91	69.44	84.29
3rd Quarter	85.67	70.47	79.20
4th Quarter	82.10	48.16	50.31

	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Close</u>
2006:			
1st Quarter	\$ 71.97	\$ 63.80	\$ 64.11
2nd Quarter	67.30	60.67	61.30
3rd Quarter	69.33	59.82	68.70
4th Quarter	72.90	68.25	72.02

Each Class A ordinary share has one vote, except if, and so long as, the Controlled Shares (defined below) of any person constitute ten percent (10%) or more of the issued Class A ordinary shares, the voting rights with respect to the Controlled Shares owned by such person are limited, in the aggregate, to a voting power of approximately 10%, pursuant to a formula specified in the Company's Articles of Association.

Controlled Shares includes, among other things, all Class A ordinary shares which such person is deemed to beneficially own directly, indirectly or constructively (within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or Section 958 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended).

The number of record holders of Class A ordinary shares as of December 31, 2007 was 375. This figure does not represent the actual number of beneficial owners of the Company's Class A ordinary shares because such shares are frequently held in street name by securities dealers and others for the benefit of individual owners who may vote the shares.

In 2007, four quarterly dividends were paid at \$0.38 per share to all ordinary shareholders of record as of March 15, June 11, September 10 and December 10. In 2006, four quarterly dividends were paid at \$0.38 per share to all ordinary shareholders of record as of March 15, June 12, September 11 and December 11.

On January 25, 2008, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.38 per share payable on March 31, 2008 to shareholders of record on March 14, 2008.

The declaration and payment of future dividends by the Company will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend upon many factors, including the Company's earnings, financial condition, business needs, capital and surplus requirements of the Company's operating subsidiaries and regulatory and contractual restrictions.

As a holding company, the Company's principal source of income is dividends or other statutorily permissible payments from its subsidiaries. The ability to pay such dividends is limited by the applicable laws and regulations of the various countries that the Company operates in, including Bermuda, the United States and the U.K., and those of the Society of Lloyd's, and certain contractual provisions. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Statutory Financial Data, for further discussion.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Rights to purchase Class A ordinary shares (Rights) were distributed as a dividend at the rate of one Right for each Class A ordinary share held of record as of the close of business on October 31, 1998. Each Right entitles holders of Class A ordinary shares to buy one ordinary share at an exercise price of \$350. The Rights would be exercisable, and would detach from the Class A ordinary shares, only if a person or group were to acquire 20% or more of the Company s outstanding Class A ordinary shares, or were to announce a tender or exchange offer that, if consummated, would result in a person or group beneficially owning 20% or more of Class A ordinary shares. Upon a person or group without prior approval of the Board acquiring 20% or more of Class A ordinary shares, each Right would entitle the holder (other than such an acquiring person or group) to purchase Class A ordinary shares (or, in certain circumstances, Class A ordinary shares of the acquiring person) with a value of twice the Rights exercise price upon payment of the Rights exercise price. The Company will be entitled to redeem the Rights at \$0.01 per Right at any time until the close of business on the tenth day after the Rights become exercisable. The Rights will expire at the close of business on September 30, 2008,

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

and do not initially have a fair value. The Company has initially reserved 119,073,878 Class A ordinary shares being authorized and unissued for issue upon exercise of Rights.

Information concerning securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans appears in Part III, Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliate Purchases

The following table provides information about purchases by the Company during the quarter ended December 31, 2007 of equity securities that are registered by the Company pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
October	271,341	\$ 72.80	250,000	\$ 489.1 million
November	1,533,500	69.37	1,533,500	\$ 375.5 million
December	1,025	58.53		\$ 375.5 million
Total	1,805,866	\$ 69.88	1,783,500	\$ 375.5 million

- (1) On February 23, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a share repurchase program that authorized the Company to repurchase up to \$1.0 billion of its Class A ordinary shares and included the unused \$135.4 million allocated to the share repurchase program authorized by the Board of Directors in January of 2000. Under the program, the Company repurchased and cancelled 13.2 million shares during the first nine months of 2007 and fully utilized the \$1.0 billion authorized under the share repurchase program. On September 24, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a new share repurchase program, authorizing the Company to repurchase up to \$500.0 million of its Class A ordinary shares. As at December 31, 2007, the Company had repurchased 1.8 million shares for \$124.5 million under the new share repurchase program.

Class A Ordinary Share Performance Graph

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the yearly dollar change in the cumulative total shareholder return, with all dividends reinvested, over a five-year period on the Company's Class A ordinary shares from December 31, 2002 through December 31, 2007 as compared to the cumulative total return of the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index and the cumulative total return of the Standard & Poor's Property & Casualty Insurance Index.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected consolidated financial data below is based upon the Company's fiscal year end of December 31. The selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto presented under Item 8.

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006 (7)</u>	<u>2005 (7)</u>	<u>2004 (7)</u>	<u>2003 (7)</u>
<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>					
Income Statement Data:					
Net premiums earned	\$ 7,205,356	\$ 7,569,518	\$ 9,365,495	\$ 8,582,014	\$ 6,993,916
Net investment income	2,248,807	1,978,184	1,475,039	1,035,012	815,487
Net realized (losses) gains on investments	(603,268)	(116,458)	241,882	246,547	120,195
Net realized and unrealized (losses) gains on derivative instruments	(55,451)	101,183	28,858	73,493	6,073
Net income from investment fund affiliates (1)	326,007	269,036	154,844	124,008	119,200
Fee income and other	14,271	31,732	19,297	35,317	41,744
Net losses and loss expenses incurred (2)	3,841,003	4,201,194	7,434,336	4,865,102	4,652,064
Claims and policy benefits - life operations	888,658	807,255	2,510,029	1,526,921	835,817
Acquisition costs, operating expenses and foreign exchange gains and losses	2,188,889	2,374,358	2,188,357	2,277,321	1,926,393
Interest expense	621,905	552,275	403,849	292,234	233,929
Amortization of intangible assets	1,680	2,355	10,752	15,827	4,637
Income (loss) before minority interests, net income from operating affiliates and income tax expense	1,593,587	1,895,758	(1,261,908)	1,118,986	443,775
Net (loss) income from operating affiliates (1)(2)	(1,059,848)	111,670	67,426	143,357	8,923
Preference share dividends	69,514	40,322	40,322	40,321	40,321
Net income (loss) available to ordinary shareholders	\$ 206,375	\$ 1,722,445	\$ (1,292,298)	\$ 1,126,292	\$ 371,658
Per Share Data:					
Net income (loss) per ordinary share - basic (3)	\$ 1.16	\$ 9.63	\$ (9.14)	\$ 8.17	\$ 2.71
Net income (loss) per ordinary share - diluted (3)	\$ 1.15	\$ 9.60	\$ (9.14)	\$ 8.13	\$ 2.69
Weighted average ordinary shares outstanding - diluted (3)	179,693	179,450	141,406	138,582	138,187
Cash dividends per ordinary share	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.52	\$ 2.00	\$ 1.96	\$ 1.92

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006 (7)</u>	<u>2005 (7)</u>	<u>2004 (7)</u>	<u>2003 (7)</u>
--	-------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except per share amounts and ratios)

Balance Sheet Data:

Total investments available for sale	\$ 36,265,803	\$ 39,350,983	\$ 35,724,439	\$ 27,823,828	\$ 20,775,256
Cash and cash equivalents	3,880,030	2,223,748	3,693,475	2,203,726	2,722,405
Investments in affiliates	2,611,149	2,308,781	2,046,721	1,936,852	1,903,341
Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	4,697,471	5,027,772	6,441,522	6,971,356	6,045,025
Premiums receivable	3,637,452	3,591,238	3,799,041	3,838,228	3,487,322
Total assets	57,762,264	59,308,870	58,454,901	49,245,469	41,455,745
Unpaid losses and loss expenses	23,207,694	22,895,021	23,597,815	19,615,773	16,553,084
Future policy benefit reserves	6,772,042	6,476,057	5,776,318	4,556,952	3,443,885
Unearned premiums	4,681,989	5,652,897	5,388,996	5,191,368	4,729,989
Notes payable and debt	2,868,731	3,368,376	3,412,698	2,721,431	1,905,483
Shareholders' equity	9,948,142	10,131,166	8,471,811	7,738,695	6,936,915
Book value per ordinary share	\$ 50.30	\$ 53.12	\$ 44.31	\$ 51.98	\$ 46.74

Operating Ratios:

Loss and loss expense ratio (4)	59.8%	62.2%	107.4%	68.8%	75.6%
Underwriting expense ratio (5)	29.0%	27.3%	25.6%	27.3%	27.1%
Combined ratio (6)	88.8%	89.5%	133.0%	96.1%	102.7%

- (1) The Company generally records the income related to alternative fund affiliates on a one month lag and the private investment fund affiliates on a three month lag in order for the Company to meet the accelerated filing deadlines. The Company generally records the income related to operating affiliates on a three month lag.
- (2) In 2007, \$351.0 million of financial guarantee reserves related to reinsurance agreements with SCA were recorded within net loss from operating affiliates.
- (3) Net income per ordinary share is based on the basic and diluted weighted average number of Class A ordinary shares and share equivalents outstanding for each period. Net loss per ordinary share is based on the basic weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding.
- (4) The loss and loss expense ratio related to the property and casualty operations is calculated by dividing the losses and loss expenses incurred by the net premiums earned for the Insurance and Reinsurance segments.
- (5) The underwriting expense ratio related to the property and casualty operations is the sum of acquisition expenses and operating expenses for the Insurance and Reinsurance segments divided by net premiums earned for the Insurance and Reinsurance segments. See Item 8, Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Segment Information, for further information.
- (6) The combined ratio related to the property and casualty operations is the sum of the loss and loss expense ratio and the underwriting expense ratio. A combined ratio under 100% represents an underwriting profit and over 100% represents an underwriting loss.
- (7) Certain reclassifications to prior period information have been made to conform to current year presentation.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements which involve inherent risks and uncertainties. Statements that are not historical facts, including statements about the Company's beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements. These statements are based upon current plans, estimates and expectations. Actual results may differ materially from those projected in such forward-looking statements, and therefore undue reliance should not be placed on them. See

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements for a list of additional factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement.

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto presented under Item 8.

Certain aspects of the Company's business have loss experience characterized as low frequency and high severity. This may result in volatility in both the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

Index

<u>Executive Overview</u>	45
<u>Financial Measures</u>	47
<u>Results of Operations</u>	49
<u>Other Key Focuses of Management</u>	54
<u>Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates</u>	56
<u>Segments</u>	69
<u>Income Statement Analysis</u>	70
<u>Insurance</u>	70
<u>Reinsurance</u>	72
<u>Life Operations</u>	74
<u>Other Financial Lines</u>	76
<u>SCA</u>	76
<u>Investment Activities</u>	77
<u>Investment Performance</u>	78
<u>Net realized gains and losses on investments and other than temporary declines in the value of investments</u>	78
<u>Other Revenues and Expenses</u>	79
<u>Balances Sheet Analysis</u>	80
<u>Investments</u>	80
<u>Net unrealized gains and losses on investments</u>	81
<u>Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses</u>	82
<u>Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses Recoverable and Reinsurance Balances Receivable</u>	83
<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	84
<u>Cross-Default and Other Provisions in Debt Instruments</u>	89
<u>Long-Term Contractual Obligations</u>	90
<u>Variable Interest Entities and Other Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u>	91
<u>Recent Accounting Pronouncements</u>	91
<u>Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	91

Executive Overview

Background

The Company operates on a global basis and is a leading provider of insurance and reinsurance coverages to industrial, commercial and professional service firms, insurance companies and other enterprises, typically the global equivalent of the Fortune 2000. The Company operates in markets where it believes its underwriting expertise and financial strength represent a relative advantage.

The Company has grown through acquisitions and development of new business opportunities. Acquisitions included Global Capital Re in 1997, Mid Ocean Limited in 1998, ECS, Inc. and NAC Re Corp. in 1999, Winterthur International in 2001 and Le Mans Ré in 2002. All acquisitions have supported the Company's strategic plan to develop a global platform in insurance and reinsurance. The Company competes as an integrated global business with more than 4,000 employees in 28 countries.

Underwriting Environment

The property and casualty insurance and reinsurance markets have historically been cyclical, meaning that based on market conditions, there have been periods where premium rates are high and policy terms and conditions are more favorable to the Company (a "hard market") and there have been periods where premium rates decline and policy terms and conditions are less favorable to the Company (a "soft market"). Market conditions are driven primarily by competition in the marketplace, the supply of capital in the industry, investment yields and the frequency and severity of loss events. Management's goal is to build long-term shareholder value by capitalizing on current opportunities and managing through any cyclical downturns by reducing its property and casualty book of business and exposures if and when rates deteriorate to unprofitable levels. As part of its long-term strategy, the Company also looks to its Life Operations segment to provide a diversified stream of earnings that are not directly tied to the property and casualty cycle.

The Company earns its revenue primarily from net premiums written and earned. In 2005, downward pressure on rates across most non-catastrophe exposed lines continued throughout the year although the impact of the 2004 storm season, the backdrop of continued emergence of adverse development related to the market of the late 1990s and concerns about reinsurer financial strength, all served to reinforce a sense of discipline in the market.

Following the significant natural catastrophes of 2005, additional competitors were formed in the marketplace which had the effect of increasing the available capacity within the insurance and reinsurance markets during 2006. Although rates within some lines of business, such as catastrophe exposed property, experienced increases, an overall increasingly competitive environment and the entrance of these new competitors had a dampening effect on rates within many property and casualty lines of business, which ultimately contributed to reducing gross and net premiums written in 2006.

During 2007, all lines of business within the Insurance segment continued to experience rate declines as well as increased competition as the insurance market continued to soften. Rate changes on business renewed varied by line of business with decreases ranging from roughly 1% to 11%. Premiums were also reduced over 2006 by the decision not to renew ICAT, a program which offered property catastrophe insurance primarily to small business customers. The Insurance segment wrote some new business lines, notably Excess & Surplus lines and Private Company Directors and Officers' insurance, both in the U.S. Customer retention ratios were generally consistent with historic levels, with the exception of lines in which deliberate action had been taken to reduce exposure, primarily in catastrophe-prone businesses. The Insurance segment also saw an increase in the volume of long-term agreements compared with the previous year, most notably in casualty lines of business, and also benefited from changes in foreign exchange rates.

The following table provides an analysis of gross premiums written, net premiums written and net premiums earned for the Insurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

	2007			2006 (3)			2005 (3)		
	Gross Premiums Written	Net Premiums Written	Net Premiums Earned	Gross Premiums Written	Net Premiums Written	Net Premiums Earned	Gross Premiums Written	Net Premiums Written	Net Premiums Earned
(U.S. dollars in thousands)									
Casualty - professional lines	\$ 1,516,412	\$ 1,376,819	\$ 1,404,567	\$ 1,558,746	\$ 1,490,896	\$ 1,483,518	\$ 1,575,897	\$ 1,477,423	\$ 1,407,188
Casualty - other lines	1,342,817	848,919	819,687	1,304,245	799,957	847,834	1,567,825	985,331	919,268
Property catastrophe	15,312	(7,460)	52,541	149,436	68,847	56,714	157,491	69,119	57,027
Other property	982,492	686,932	632,959	958,942	595,783	585,366	913,970	602,841	561,135
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	809,073	623,085	638,162	942,974	695,391	676,009	929,174	650,649	661,260

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Other specialty lines (1)	674,591	578,944	484,928	656,765	489,322	415,749	585,056	421,352	435,662
Other (2)	36,698	27,439	33,416	10,167	5,776	60,784	93,541	78,350	101,883
Structured indemnity	56,871	52,177	50,328	46,018	39,400	33,349	25,167	25,167	22,285
Total	\$ 5,434,266	\$ 4,186,855	\$ 4,116,588	\$ 5,627,293	\$ 4,185,372	\$ 4,159,323	\$ 5,848,121	\$ 4,310,232	\$ 4,165,708

-
- (1) Other specialty lines within the Insurance segment includes: environmental, programs, equine, warranty, and excess and surplus lines.
- (2) Other includes employers liability, surety, political risk and other lines;
- (3) Certain lines of business previously reported under the Financial Lines segment have been reclassified and reported under the Insurance and Reinsurance segments based on the nature of the business written.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

During 2007, most lines of business within the Reinsurance segment experienced pricing erosion, increased retentions by cedants and competitive pressures in the form of other reinsurers, capital markets, and local governments. In addition, the Company continued to focus on its stated intention of defending rate adequacy in the reinsurance market and maintaining underwriting discipline. Within U.S. catastrophe exposed property lines of business, rates decreased approximately 5 to 10% off prior highs for wind exposure while rates for U.S. earthquake remained flat to down 5%. For non-U.S. non-catastrophe property lines of business, increased competition also led to price reductions of approximately 10 to 15%. Ocean marine pricing rates fell by approximately 10% except on programs that had experienced adverse claims. In addition, casualty pricing trends in the Reinsurance segment grew following those of the Insurance segment with an overall deterioration in rates and pressures on terms and conditions. Within the aviation market, rate reductions in the region of 5 to 10% continued to be experienced, although the declines experienced were less than those in the primary insurance market.

The following table provides an analysis of gross premiums written, net premiums written and net premiums earned for the Reinsurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	2007			2006 (2) (3)			2005 (2) (3)		
	Gross Premiums Written	Net Premiums Written	Net Premiums Earned	Gross Premiums Written	Net Premiums Written	Net Premiums Earned	Gross Premiums Written	Net Premiums Written	Net Premiums Earned
Casualty professional lines	\$ 256,280	\$ 256,327	\$ 273,494	\$ 297,962	\$ 296,221	\$ 346,870	\$ 346,758	\$ 343,562	\$ 356,937
Casualty other lines	543,251	527,860	602,452	660,455	613,056	723,854	861,113	810,971	858,651
Property catastrophe	475,540	286,866	264,666	449,347	234,724	234,965	481,765	309,293	302,291
Other property	970,196	677,764	753,278	1,044,316	730,445	749,714	1,008,333	724,837	701,459
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	150,548	128,942	141,619	176,928	139,748	154,313	241,045	192,445	194,646
Other (2)	239,418	204,845	240,049	365,844	298,860	294,532	396,274	320,526	288,403
Structured indemnity	28,261	28,261	26,481	71,286	71,286	66,711	36,901	31,531	31,774
Total	\$ 2,663,494	\$ 2,110,865	\$ 2,302,039	\$ 3,066,138	\$ 2,384,340	\$ 2,570,959	\$ 3,372,189	\$ 2,733,165	\$ 2,734,161

(1) Other includes employers liability, surety, political risk and other lines;

(2) To facilitate period to period comparisons, certain short-term Accident and Health business previously included in the Reinsurance segment has been reclassified to the Life Operations segment to conform to current segment management responsibilities.

(3) Certain lines of business previously reported under the Financial Lines segment have been reclassified and reported under the Insurance and Reinsurance segments based on the nature of the business written.

Going forward, management intends to maximize the Company's ability to achieve profitable growth by focusing on leveraging the Company's operational platforms and building out the Company's capabilities, teams and product offerings in its chosen markets. Such markets include excess & surplus, private company D&O, fine art and specie, U.S. term life reinsurance and other lines and regions where management believes positive market indicators exist. The following sets forth potential trends relevant to the Company's property and casualty operations in 2008:

2008 Underwriting Outlook

In the Insurance segment, January 2008 renewals continue to reflect increasing soft market conditions as premium rates across most lines of business continue to decrease within the market. In addition, competitive pressures continue to make new business more difficult to win. Initial indications based on January 2008 renewals indicate decreases in premium rates of approximately 5 to 20%, while certain casualty lines have experienced premium rate reductions of approximately 5 to 15%. In addition, premium rates within certain specialty lines and the U.S. Excess and Surplus line of business have decreased by up to 10%. While market competition continues to increase in most lines of business in early 2008, desired retentions have broadly been maintained. However, as a result of recent rating agency downgrades in late January 2008, as described above, it may be more difficult for the Company, going forward, to attract new business and/or retain previously written business, particularly within certain casualty and professional lines of business, however, initial reactions from customers and brokers have indicated this is likely to be limited.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

In the Reinsurance segment, initial indications based on January 1, 2008 renewals point to a decline in premium rates across most major lines of business, as market conditions continue to soften and the reinsurance industry continues to experience pricing erosion, increased competitive pressures and increased retentions by cedants. Consistent with 2007, renewals and new business in 2008 continue to be assessed against internal hurdle rates with a continued focus on underwriting discipline. U.S. catastrophe exposed property lines have experienced rate declines of approximately 10% to 15%, while rates for non-U.S. catastrophe exposed property lines of business have dropped by up to 10%. Other property lines of business have seen rates decrease by 10% to 15%. Ocean marine pricing rates remain flat to down 5%, while rates within the aviation market have decreased by up to 10%. Casualty pricing trends in the reinsurance market have experienced deterioration in rates of up to 15%. However, rate changes on business actually bound in this line of business have decreased between 10 to 15%.

Investment Environment

The Company seeks to generate revenue from investment activities through returns on its investment portfolio. The Company's current investment strategy seeks to support the liabilities arising from the operations of the Company, generate investment income and build book value over the longer term.

In 2007, the Company's net investment income increased as a result of an increase in the overall size of the investment portfolio combined with higher investment yields. However, net realized losses and impairments totaled \$603.3 million during 2007 of which \$385.1 million related to sub-prime and mortgage related structured assets held throughout the portfolios and primarily represented other-than-temporary impairments on securities with sub-prime non-agency collateral, second liens, ABS collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) with sub-prime collateral as well as Alt-A mortgage collateral holdings. In addition, net pre-tax unrealized loss position on available for sale assets deteriorated by \$772.1 million in 2007 primarily as a result of the impact on fixed income securities of the deterioration of global corporate and structured credit spreads during 2007, partially offset by the impact of declining government rates in the U.S. and the U.K. as well as losses realized.

The increase in the size of the investment portfolio resulted from positive cash flows from operations and positive net cash flow from the Company's financing activities. Higher investment yields on the Company's investment portfolio were due primarily to the full 2007 financial year impact of the higher interest rates experienced in the U.S., U.K. and Euro-zone, during the latter part of 2006 and the beginning part of 2007. The benchmark 5-year treasury fell from 4.69% to 3.44%, and the 30-year treasury fell from 4.81% to 4.45%. Interest rates also decreased in the U.K., where the yield on the benchmark 5-year Gilt fell from 5.06% to 4.41%. In the Euro-zone, the yield on the benchmark 5-year German Bund rose slightly from 3.92% to 4.12%.

In 2006, the Company's net investment income increased primarily due to the increase in the size of the investment portfolio and also a rise in the level of the U.S., U.K., and Euro zone interest rates. In 2006, the Company generated net realized losses from its investment portfolio primarily due to other-than-temporary impairments. Net gains from the equity and non-U.S. dollar fixed income portfolios were offset by net losses on U.S. dollar fixed income portfolios, reflecting higher U.S. interest rates. In 2005, the Company generated net realized gains from both its equity and fixed income portfolios, reflecting the then current and accumulated performance of the capital markets.

The Company expects stable net investment income from its property and casualty operations in 2008. The average asset base and yields are both expected to be stable relative to current levels.

Claims Environment

The Company's profitability in any given period is based upon its premium and investment revenues as noted above, less net losses incurred and expenses. Net losses incurred are based upon claims paid and changes to unpaid loss reserves. Unpaid loss reserves are estimated by the Company and include both reported loss reserves and reserves for losses incurred but not reported, or IBNR. The Company's positive underwriting results for 2007 and 2006 were partly a result of the lack of significant catastrophe events occurring throughout these years as well as net favorable development of prior year reserves, in various lines of business within the Company's Insurance and Reinsurance segments. This net favorable development was partially offset by the continuing increase in current period loss ratios in each of 2006 and 2007. These increases reflect the impact of the softening rate environment as well as higher levels of underlying attritional losses in those years. The Company's results for 2005 were significantly impacted by the unprecedented insured hurricane losses during the 2005 Atlantic hurricane season, the charge related to the conclusion of the independent actuarial process with Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company (the Winterthur Decision) and adverse development of prior year loss reserves in both insurance professional lines and reinsurance casualty lines. In 2008, claims activity within the D&O and E&O insurance markets overall, are expected to rise as a result of an increase in class action lawsuits filed against public companies due to market losses and related stock price depreciation associated with the sub-prime mortgage and credit crisis in the U.S. Management actively monitors its potential exposure to such events and gives due consideration to emerging claim trends in determining its loss reserve requirements at each quarter end. For further analysis of this exposure, see Results of Operations below.

Financial Measures

The following are some of the financial measures management considers important in evaluating the Company's operating performance in the Company's property and casualty operations:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands, except ratios and per share amounts)</i>	2007	2006 (3) (4)	2005 (3) (4)
Underwriting profit (loss) property and casualty operations	\$ 737,449	\$ 733,633	\$ (2,257,768)

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Combined ratio – property and casualty operations		88.8%		89.5%		133.0%
Net investment income – property and casualty operations (1)	\$	1,289,554	\$	1,090,785	\$	810,482
Book value per ordinary share	\$	50.30	\$	53.12	\$	44.31
Fully diluted book value per ordinary share (2)	\$	50.29	\$	53.01	\$	44.17
Return on average ordinary shareholders' equity		2.2%		19.6%		NA%

- (1) Net investment income relating to property and casualty operations does not include the net investment income related to the net results from structured products.
- (2) Fully diluted book value per ordinary share represents book value per ordinary share combined with the impact from dilution of share based compensation including in-the-money stock options at any period end. The Company believes that fully diluted book value per ordinary share is a financial measure important to investors and other interested parties who benefit from having a consistent basis for comparison with other companies within the industry. However, this measure may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by companies either outside or inside of the insurance industry.
- (3) To facilitate period to period comparisons, certain short-term Accident and Health business previously included in the Reinsurance segment has been reclassified to the Life Operations segment to conform to current segment management responsibilities.
- (4) Certain lines of business and transactions previously reported under the Financial Lines segment have been reclassified and reported under the Insurance, Reinsurance and Corporate (that includes the general investment and financing operations of the Company) segments based on the nature of the underlying business.

Underwriting profit (loss) property and casualty operations

One way that the Company evaluates the performance of its insurance and reinsurance operations is the underwriting profit or loss. The Company does not measure performance based on the amount of gross premiums written. Underwriting profit or loss is calculated from premiums earned and fee income, less net losses incurred and expenses related to underwriting activities, plus unrealized foreign exchange gains and losses on underwriting balances. As noted above, the Company's strong property and casualty underwriting profit for 2007 and 2006 were partly a result of the lack of significant catastrophe events occurring throughout these years and net favorable prior year loss development. The Company's underwriting loss in 2005 was negatively impacted by net losses incurred from catastrophic events, the results of the Winterthur Decision and adverse development of prior year loss reserves. Any changes to loss reserves affect the calculation of underwriting profit or loss but most often do not directly affect the Company's cash flow in the same period. See further discussion below.

Combined ratio property and casualty operations

The combined ratio for property and casualty operations is used by the Company and many other insurance and reinsurance companies as another measure of underwriting profitability. The combined ratio is calculated from the net losses incurred and underwriting expenses as a ratio of the net premiums earned for the Company's general insurance and reinsurance operations. A combined ratio of less than 100% indicates an underwriting profit and over 100% indicates an underwriting loss. The Company's combined ratio for the year ended December 31, 2007 was lower than the previous year, primarily as a result of a lower loss and loss expenses ratio partially offset by a higher underwriting expense ratio. The lower loss and loss expense ratio has resulted mainly from higher net favorable prior year development in the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the same period in 2006. The increased underwriting expense ratio has been driven largely by increased compensation costs and continued pricing pressures that have resulted in less premium earned. The Company's improved combined ratio in 2006 as compared to 2005 was primarily due to the lack of significant catastrophe events in 2006 relative to 2005 in which significant losses were incurred following Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma.

Net investment income property and casualty operations

Net investment income related to property and casualty operations is an important measure that affects the Company's overall profitability. The largest liability of the Company relates to its unpaid loss reserves, and the Company's investment portfolio provides liquidity for claims settlements of these reserves as they become due, and thus a significant part of the portfolio is in fixed income securities. Net investment income is influenced by a number of factors, including the amounts and timing of inward and outward cash flows, the level of interest rates and credit spreads and changes in overall asset allocation. The increase in net investment income in 2007, 2006 and 2005 is primarily due to several years of positive operating cash flows which have resulted in a higher overall average invested asset base. In addition, during 2007, portfolio yields increased in the Company's investment portfolio, due primarily to the full 2007 financial year impact of the higher interest rates experienced in the U.S., U.K. and Euro-zone, during the latter part of 2006 and the beginning part of 2007. In 2007, the U.S. yield curve shifted downwards with short-term U.S. interest rates decreasing markedly while medium to long-term interest rates experienced a less dramatic fall. U.K. interest rates also decreased in 2007 with the exception of long-term U.K. interest rates which rose slightly, while Euro-zone interest rates increased in 2007. In 2006, the U.S. yield curve shifted upwards with short-term U.S. interest rates increasing markedly while medium to long-term interest rates had a less dramatic rise. U.K. and the Euro zone interest rates also increased in 2006.

Book value per ordinary share

Management also views the change in the Company's book value per ordinary share as an additional measure of the Company's performance. Book value per share is calculated by dividing ordinary shareholders' equity by the number of outstanding ordinary shares at any period end. Book value per ordinary share is affected primarily by the Company's net income (loss), by any changes in the net unrealized gains

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

and losses on its investment portfolio, currency translation adjustments and also the impact of any share repurchase or issuance activity. Book value per ordinary share has decreased by \$2.82 during the year ended December 31, 2007 to \$50.30 from \$53.12, as compared to an increase of \$8.81 in 2006. The decrease in book value per share in 2007 was primarily as a result of an increase in net unrealized losses on investments of \$743.0 million, net of tax, partially offset by net income of \$206.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, which increased book value, together with an increase in currency translation adjustments due to the impact of the decline in the value of the U.S. dollar on the net assets of European-based subsidiaries. The increase in unrealized losses on investments was primarily as a result of widening credit spreads on corporate and structured credit assets, partially offset by declining U.S. and U.K. government interest rates in 2007. In addition, certain capital

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

and financing activities impacted book value per ordinary share, including the Company's share repurchase activity throughout 2007 which decreased book value per ordinary share, as the price paid for such shares was at a premium to book value; however, this was partially offset by the issuance of Class A ordinary shares upon maturity of the purchase contracts associated with the 6.5% Equity Security Units (the "6.5% Units"), as the ordinary shares were issued at a premium to book value.

Book value per ordinary share increased in 2006 mainly due to net income of \$1.7 billion and was offset by decreases of \$103.8 million, net of tax, in net unrealized gains associated with the Company's investment portfolio. The decrease in net unrealized gains in 2006 was generally due to interest rate increases, which were offset by the positive impact of equity and currency movements.

As noted above, fully diluted book value per ordinary share represents book value per ordinary share combined with the impact from dilution of share based compensation including in-the-money stock options at any period end. Fully diluted book value per ordinary share decreased by \$2.72 during the year ended December 31, 2007 as a result of the factors noted above and is generally consistent with the decrease in basic book value per ordinary share as the impact of in-the-money stock options at December 31, 2007 is negligible as a result of the reduction in market price of the Company's ordinary shares in late 2007.

Return on average ordinary shareholders' equity

Return on average ordinary shareholder's equity (ROE) is another financial measure that management considers important in evaluating the Company's operating performance. ROE is calculated by dividing the net income for any period by the average of the opening and closing ordinary shareholders' equity. The Company establishes minimum target ROEs for its total operations, segments and lines of business. If the Company's minimum ROE targets over the longer term are not met with respect to any line of business, the Company seeks to modify and/or exit these lines. In addition, the Company's compensation of its senior officers is significantly dependent on the achievement of the Company's performance goals to enhance shareholder value as measured by ROE (adjusted for certain items considered to be non-operating in nature). In 2007, ROE was 2.2%, 17.4 percentage points lower than the prior year, primarily as a result of losses recorded in the second half of 2007 in relation to adverse credit market conditions, including but not limited to, losses associated with the Company's investment in and reinsurance agreements with SCA and realized losses, including OTTI, on the Company's investment portfolio. For further details of these losses, see Results of Operations below. Partially offsetting these decreases were strong underwriting results in 2007 within the Company's core property and casualty operations and lower levels of shareholders equity resulting from capital activity and increases in unrealized losses on investments. In 2006, ROE was 19.6% due to solid underwriting results throughout its property and casualty operations combined with investment and affiliate income.

Results of Operations

The following table presents an analysis of the Company's net income (loss) available to ordinary shareholders and other financial measures (described below) for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Net income (loss) available to ordinary shareholders	\$ 206,375	\$ 1,722,445	\$ (1,292,298)
Earnings (loss) per ordinary share - basic	\$ 1.16	\$ 9.63	\$ (9.14)
Earnings (loss) per ordinary share - diluted	\$ 1.15	\$ 9.60	\$ (9.14)
Weighted average number of ordinary shares and ordinary share equivalents - basic	178,500	178,793	141,406
Weighted average number of ordinary shares and ordinary share equivalents - diluted	179,693	179,450	141,406

The Company's net income (loss) and other financial measures as shown above for the three years ended December 31 have been affected by among other things, the following significant items:

- 1) The impact due to the Company's investment in and relationships with SCA;
- 2) Impact of credit market movements on the Company's investment portfolio;
- 3) Prior year loss development;
- 4) Reduction in insured losses from natural catastrophes during 2007 and 2006 as compared to 2005;
- 5) The Company's growing invested asset base and higher net investment income.

1. Impact of the Company's investment in and relationships with SCA

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The Company has multiple relationships with SCA as a result of SCA's initial origins as a wholly-owned subsidiary and its subsequent IPO. These relationships are detailed in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Security Capital Assurance", and include, most significantly, a 46% investment along with certain guarantees and reinsurance arrangements. The Company is not a primary originator or insurer of mortgages, nor does it guarantee mortgages other than indirectly through the activities of SCA, and as such the negative impact to the Company during the year with respect to deterioration in the credit markets and its impact on credit enhancement activities is largely limited to the SCA investment and related reinsurance agreements and guarantees.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The financial guarantees issued by SCA are generally guarantees of payments under financial guarantee insurance policies or credit default swaps. The financial guarantee business model generally provides for a guarantee of payment of interest and principal over the defined expected life of a transaction, with no acceleration or need for collateralization by the guarantor in the case of any weakness or default by the underlying obligor. These characteristics reduce the need for liquidity within the financial guarantor in case of underlying deterioration in the guaranteed transaction, since the calendar of payments remains as set in the original transaction. As a general matter, the obligations of XLCA under credit default swaps issued in connection with their business accrue in a similar manner, except in events of default, however, they do not qualify for the financial guarantee insurance scope exception under FAS 133 and, therefore, are reported at fair value, with changes in fair value included in earnings. Under most of the credit default swap contracts insured by XLCA, it would be an event of default if XLCA should become insolvent. If there were an event of default, the holders of these credit default swap contracts would have the right to terminate the swaps and to obtain a termination payment from XLCA, based on the market value of the swaps at the time of termination.

The Company records 46.9% of the net income of SCA as earnings from operating affiliates. Subsequent to the secondary sale of shares in June 2007 and the associated deconsolidation of financial results, the Company reports such earnings on a one quarter lag due to the limited availability and timing of SCA's final audited financial results. Management expects to continue this practice on the same basis with appropriate consideration given by disclosure or otherwise to the effects of any known intervening events that materially affect the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Management has done extensive analysis of SCA's financial guarantee portfolio to evaluate the exposures and underlying possible losses arising from recent credit market turbulence. Given management's view of the potential scale of SCA's estimated losses along with the uncertainty facing the entire financial guarantee industry, the Company has reduced the reported value of its investment in SCA to nil from the reported equity method value of \$669.8 million as at September 30, 2007. Recent market developments with respect to monoline industry and industry analyst reports have indicated that the fair value of any investment in the financial guarantee sector has very uncertain value at this point in time. Subsequent to December 31, 2007, SCA was downgraded by several rating agencies, which significantly limits SCA's ability to write new business. In addition, the shares in SCA held by the Company are unregistered and thus illiquid. Based on the nature of the Company's investment in SCA and the resultant limitations on any future business models, management believes that the fair value of the Company's investment in SCA is substantially less than the traded market value at December 31, 2007 of \$3.89 per share. Management believe this decline in value is other than temporary.

In addition, net losses were recorded within loss from operating affiliates with respect to the excess of loss and facultative reinsurance of SCA subsidiaries in the amounts of \$300.0 million and \$51.0 million, respectively, and not within property and casualty underwriting results. These amounts represent the discounted value of expected losses net of related premiums and are discounted at approximately 5%. In the case of the excess of loss cover the recorded loss represents the discounted value of a \$500.0 million full limit loss. In addition, the Company incurred \$17.9 million in additional mark-to-market losses related to those underlying contracts in credit default swap form subject to the provisions noted above.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company's total net exposure under its facultative agreements with SCA subsidiaries was approximately \$7.7 billion of net par outstanding. Of this total, \$138.0 million and \$769.0 million of net par outstanding was related to RMBS and ABS CDOs, with greater than 50% RMBS collateral, respectively. Based on the Company's examination of exposures under these facultative agreements, the Company has reported loss reserves of \$51.0 million on a net present value basis.

The following tables present the net par outstanding related to the facultative reinsurance and the adverse development facultative reinsurance noted above at December 31, 2007 by credit quality and broad sector allocation:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	As at December 31, 2007	
	Net Par Outstanding	% of Total
Credit Quality:		
AAA	\$ 1,776.0	23.0%
AA	480.2	6.2%
A	981.6	12.7%
BBB	4,230.9	54.7%
BB and below	269.9	3.4%
	\$ 7,738.6	100.0%
Total*		

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

(U.S. dollars in millions)	As at December 31, 2007	
	Net Par Outstanding	% of Total
Broad Sector Allocation:		
International Finance	\$ 4,433.9	57.3%
Public Finance	824.1	10.6%
Structured Finance	2,480.6	32.1%
Total*	\$ 7,738.6	100.0%

* As of December 31, 2007, \$1.8 billion of the total \$7.7 billion in net par outstanding was originally written by SCA in credit derivative form.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

In addition, there are certain guarantees in place between the Company and SCA subsidiaries. It is important to note that the guarantees the Company has provided contain a dual trigger, such that losses are paid only if two events occur. First, the underlying guaranteed obligation must default on payments of interest and principal, and second, the relevant SCA subsidiary must fail to meet its obligations under the applicable reinsurance or guarantee. As of December 31, 2007, the Company's total net par outstanding under these guarantees was \$75.2 billion. Indirect consumer mortgages exposures as a result of these guarantee agreements totaled approximately \$2.9 billion related to RMBS and \$3.3 billion related to ABS CDOs with greater than 50% RMBS collateral. Again, it is important to note that SCA subsidiaries must fail to meet their obligations under the applicable reinsurance or guarantee before the Company would be required to respond to claims under these guarantees and as such, these exposures must be compared to the relevant SCA subsidiary's current financial resources.

Based on all of the above factors along with the Company's extensive analysis of the relevant exposures, the Company has concluded that no losses are expected under these guarantees and accordingly no loss reserves have been recorded. Recently published loss estimates by S&P appear to support this view.

The following tables present the net par outstanding related to the guarantees provided by the Company at December 31, 2007 by credit quality and broad sector allocation:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	As at December 31, 2007	
	Net Par Outstanding	% of Total
Credit Quality:		
AAA	\$ 20,214.9	26.9%
AA	11,784.0	15.7%
A	24,577.4	32.7%
BBB	17,734.5	23.6%
BB and below	851.8	1.1%
Total	\$ 75,162.6	100.0%

(U.S. dollars in millions)	As at December 31, 2007	
	Net Par Outstanding	% of Total
Broad Sector Allocation:		
International Finance	\$ 11,416.7	15.2%
Public Finance	36,691.4	48.8%
Structured Finance	27,054.5	36.0%
Total	\$ 75,162.6	100.0%

Where appropriate, further details of each of the items noted above can be found in the related section of See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Notes 3 and 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Security Capital Assurance and Investments in Affiliates.

2. Impact of credit market movements on the Company's investment portfolio

In 2007, financial market conditions were extremely challenging as the global credit crisis that begun in July 2007 continued to adversely impact global fixed income markets. Elements which contributed to this included continued weakness in the U.S. housing market and increased mortgage delinquencies, investor anxiety over recessionary pressures, rating agency downgrades of various structured products, unresolved issues with structured investment vehicles and a serious dislocation in the interbank market. All of these elements led to significant declines in mortgage and structured credit prices. Continued declines in government rates did little to alleviate the concerns in either residential mortgage markets or the short-term funding market during the fourth quarter of 2007. These elements were the primary drivers behind the \$603.3 million in net realized losses on investments reported for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The Company's management has been diligently engaged in market developments and has reduced the Company's exposure to lower rated investment grade 2005, 2006 and 2007 vintage sub-prime securities given concerns about future deterioration. Management has performed in depth analyses of the Company's holdings in all of the topical asset classes, which are defined to be structured assets with underlying collateral of sub-prime first liens, Alt-A, second liens, and asset-backed CDOs with sub-prime collateral. In addition to extensive holding level reviews with the Company's third party managers, the Company has undertaken an independent evaluation of its topical assets to help further identify areas of potential economic loss to principal due to potentially deteriorating underlying fundamentals.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

These market developments have negatively impacted the Company's results from operations through both impairment charges and realized losses largely in the specialised mortgage portfolios, spread business portfolios, losses related to exposure to the capital notes of a structured investment vehicle (SIV) and a loss recorded by one of the Company's investment manager affiliates.

Realized losses and impairments in the topical asset exposed portfolios totaled \$385.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2007 and represented a combination of losses on sub-prime non-agency securities, second liens, ABS CDOs with sub-prime collateral as well as Alt-A mortgage exposures. These losses and impairments were principally concentrated in 2005, 2006, and 2007 vintage securities.

The following table details the current exposures to the topical assets within the Company's fixed income portfolio as well as the current net unrealized gain/loss position as at December 31, 2007, and related earnings and comprehensive earnings impact in 2007:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	As at December 31, 2007			Year Ended December 31, 2007	
	Holding at Fair Value	Percent of Fixed Income Portfolio	Net Unrealized (Loss) Gain	Realized (Loss) and (Impairments)	Change in Net Unrealized (Loss) Gain
Topical assets:					
Sub-prime first lien mortgages	\$ 995,947	2.5%	\$ (145,785)	\$ (125,167)	\$ (146,929)
Alt-A mortgages	924,783	2.3%	(40,145)	(26,619)	(38,589)
Second lien mortgages (including sub-prime second lien mortgages)	97,647	0.3%	788	(133,003)	1,102
ABS CDOs with sub-prime collateral	39,317	0.1%	101	(100,326)	15
Total exposure to topical assets	\$ 2,057,694	5.2%	\$ (185,041)	\$ (385,115)	\$ (184,401)

The Company's sub-prime and Alt-A exposures remain primarily highly rated, have strong underlying loan characteristics and are supported by adequate subordination levels. The remaining net unrealized losses are primarily in higher rated securities, and the Company believes these losses are a result of technical spread widening rather than any fundamental deterioration. Based on the Company's stress testing, even in an extreme scenario, management believes principal impairments to these remaining pools is unlikely.

Net realized and unrealized losses on investment derivatives for 2007 resulted primarily from a mark-to-market loss of \$37.0 million in the third quarter with respect to a total return swap on an SIV impacted by the challenging credit markets. During the fourth quarter, the Company terminated the swap, recognized an additional \$3.9 million mark-to-market loss and took delivery of the underlying asset. The asset was subsequently written off to nil in the fourth quarter resulting in a realized loss on investments of \$57.3 million.

Much of the negative impact of credit markets during 2007 was contained in the Company's Other Financial Lines segment which comprises the GIC and FA businesses which are largely credit spread activities and have a higher component of floating rate structured credit assets. During 2007, net realized losses and impairments within the Other Financial Lines segment totaled \$370.7 million and net unrealized losses increased by \$329.6 million.

In addition to the impact on net income, the Company's book value also declined through the increase of net unrealized losses on the portfolio as a whole as a result of the overall widening of global credit spreads on corporate and structured credit assets, partially offset by declining U.S. and U.K. government interest rates for the year.

As at December 31, 2007, approximately 14.1% of the asset and mortgage-backed holdings were classified as part of the Company's exposure to topical assets. This represented approximately 5.2% of the total fixed income portfolio and 29.0% of the total net unrealized loss position. Of the topical asset securities, approximately 99% are rated as investment grade. All portfolio holdings, including those with sub-prime exposure, are reviewed as part of the ongoing other-than-temporary-impairment monitoring process. The Company continues to actively monitor its exposures, and to the extent market disruptions continue, including but not limited to residential mortgages, the Company's financial position could be negatively impacted.

3. Prior year loss development

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Net prior year favorable loss development occurs when there is a decrease to loss reserves recorded at the beginning of the year, resulting from actual or reported loss development for prior years that is less than expected loss development. Net prior year adverse loss development occurs when there is an increase to loss reserves recorded at the beginning of the year, resulting from actual or reported loss development for prior years exceeding expected loss development.

The following table presents the net (favorable) adverse prior year loss development of the Company's loss and loss expense reserves for its property and casualty operations which include the Insurance and Reinsurance segments for each of the years indicated:

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Insurance segment	\$ (158.1)	\$ (13.2)	\$ 1,020.2
Reinsurance segment	(267.3)	(97.4)	93.5
Total	\$ (425.4)	\$ (110.6)	\$ 1,113.7

During 2007, the Company had net favorable prior year reserve development in property and casualty operations of \$425.4 million compared to net favorable prior year reserve development of \$110.6 million for the same period in 2006. Reinsurance net favorable development accounted for \$267.3 million of the release in 2007, while net favorable development within the Insurance segment totaled \$158.1 million for the same period. The corresponding prior year development on a gross basis was \$259.7 million for the Reinsurance segment and \$177.6 million for the Insurance segment.

The Insurance segment net prior year reserve releases consisted of \$95.0 million in property and \$162.1 million in casualty lines of business, partially offset by net adverse development of \$23.0 million, \$7.0 million and \$69.0 million in certain professional, marine and other lines of business, respectively. Casualty releases relate primarily to the European casualty portfolio in accident years 2002-2005. The professional lines development reflects net adverse development of the Bermuda E&O portfolio and releases from the U.S. and European professional lines portfolios. Strengthening in other insurance lines relates to net adverse prior year development in discontinued specialty lines, surety and environmental, partially offset by favorable development in aerospace and political risk.

Within the Reinsurance segment, reserve releases of \$188.7 million in property and other short-tail lines of business and \$87.4 million in casualty and other lines, was partially offset by adverse prior year development of \$8.8 million within the structured indemnity line of business. Releases in the short-tail lines relate primarily to the 2005 and 2006 accident years. The casualty and other lines favorable development of \$87.4 million reflects the net result of releases of \$121.4 million primarily in the US and non-US casualty portfolios, partially offset by net adverse development of \$13.0 million associated with the results of an external review of the Company's asbestos and environmental reserves and \$21.0 million related to a commutation of a stop loss reinsurance agreement.

During 2006, the Company had net favorable prior year reserve development in property and casualty operations of \$110.6 million. Within the Insurance segment, net overall favorable prior year reserve development for the year ended December 31, 2006, was \$13.2 million. This overall favorable development included reserve releases of \$94.4 million in property lines and \$91.1 million in casualty lines, partially offset by \$96.5 million, \$46.5 million and \$29.3 million of prior year adverse development in certain professional, marine, and other lines of business, respectively. Within the Reinsurance segment, net overall favorable prior year reserve development for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$97.4 million. This overall favorable development was made up of releases of \$133.0 million in property and other shorter-tail lines of business and partially offset by prior year adverse reserve development of \$7.3 million in casualty and other lines and \$28.3 million within structured indemnity lines. The overall net favorable development within property and other short-tail lines of \$133.0 million included \$75.4 million in adverse development specifically related to the 2005 catastrophes.

During 2005, total net adverse prior year development within the Insurance Segment was \$1.02 billion of which \$834.2 million resulted from the negative outcome of the Winterthur Decision. The remaining \$186.0 million was comprised of net adverse development of \$259.7 million in professional lines and \$34.7 million within other specialty lines including runoff and discontinued business partially offset by releases of \$108.4 million primarily in European casualty business. During 2005, net prior year reserves across the Reinsurance segment developed adversely by \$93.5 million. Net adverse development of \$266.9 million in casualty and professional lines was partially offset by releases in short-tail lines of business of \$173.4 million.

See Item 8, Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and see further discussion under Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates .

4. Reduction in insured losses from natural catastrophes during 2007 and 2006 as compared to 2005

Overall, 2007 and 2006 experienced a limited number of natural catastrophes as compared to 2005; however, 2007 did result in an increase in overall natural catastrophe activity and insured losses as compared to 2006. In 2007, six hurricanes formed in the Atlantic region including two Category 5 hurricanes, while other natural catastrophes in 2007 included European windstorms Kyrill and Per/Hanno, California wildfires, floods in the U.K. and Mexico, the Peruvian earthquake and five hurricanes in the Eastern Pacific region. In 2006, there were only five hurricanes in the Atlantic region and more importantly there was no significant insured damage for those hurricanes that did make landfall.

During 2005, as a result of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, and other catastrophes, the Company incurred losses, net of reinsurance recoveries, of \$1.27 billion, \$357.9 million, \$247.1 million and \$96.0 million, respectively, as at year end December 31, 2005. Net reserves established for these events developed adversely in 2006 by \$119.4 million and were partially offset by additional loss sensitive premium received.

5. The Company's growing average investment asset base and higher net investment income

Net investment income was \$2.2 billion in 2007 as compared to \$2.0 billion in 2006. This increase was primarily due to a larger average investment asset base combined with higher investment yields. The increase in the average size of the investment portfolio primarily

resulted from positive cash flows from operations. Higher investment yields on the Company's investment portfolio were due primarily to the full 2007 financial year impact of the higher interest rates experienced in the U.S., U.K. and Euro-zone, during the latter part of 2006 and the beginning part of 2007.

Other Key Focuses of Management

Ratings and Capital Management

The Company's ability to underwrite business is largely dependent upon the quality of its claims paying and financial strength ratings as evaluated by independent rating agencies. As a result, in the event that the Company's financial strength rating is downgraded, its ability to write business may be adversely affected. In January 2008, A.M. Best Company, Inc. (A.M. Best) revised the financial strength rating of the Company's leading property and casualty operating subsidiaries to A from A+ and affirmed them with a stable outlook. The downgrade by A.M. Best was primarily due to their concerns regarding the Company's historic earnings volatility. In addition, both Fitch and Moody's downgraded the financial strength rating of the Company's leading property and casualty operating subsidiaries to A+ from AA- and to A1 from A3, respectively.

The Company has continued to work closely with the various rating agencies in late 2007 and early 2008 in order to manage and evaluate its capital needs to support the volume of business written in order to maintain its claims paying and financial strength ratings. The Company continues to address these needs by monitoring various risk management measures and implementing capital structuring initiatives intended to reduce volatility while, at the same time, improve the quality of the Company's risk adjusted returns. Such risk management measures and capital structuring initiatives in 2007 and 2006 have included several key business initiatives such as reinsurance structures as well as debt and capital transactions. Details of these transactions are further described below in the Liquidity and Capital Resources section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Enterprise Risk Management

The Company has in place an Enterprise Risk Management committee (the ERM Committee) to facilitate the management of firm-wide risks that the Company faces across all of its businesses. The ERM Committee is comprised of the most senior risk takers and managers of the Company. The objective of the ERM Committee is to make recommendations to the Executive Management Board on overall risk aggregates, correlated risk as well as risk allocation in order to proactively manage enterprise risks.

Talent Management and Succession Planning

The Company believes that effective talent management and succession planning processes are key to the long-term success of the Company. As such, the Company has implemented various development programs and succession planning efforts across the Company. The Company's development programs include the global development program for its high potential junior employees, the performance development program for its managers and team leaders, and the leadership development program for its senior managers. Succession planning efforts include identifying and monitoring the various talent pools within the Company to match the future needs and long-term strategy of the Company. In addition and as noted above, the Board of Directors in late 2007 implemented a CEO succession plan including the authorization of a Succession Committee to lead the new CEO selection process for the Company.

Execution of Refined Strategy

In the second quarter of 2007, the Company announced a refined strategy that will focus on its insurance, reinsurance and life reinsurance operations. Thus, the Company no longer pursues diversification outside of its insurance and reinsurance platforms unless it meets high hurdle rates and is connected to its business. Accordingly, the following product lines or transactions previously managed by and reported via the Financial Lines Segment are now, depending on the nature of the business written, managed as part of the Insurance and Reinsurance segments and reported therewith: (i) structured indemnity products managed through the Company's financial solutions operations (XLFS), (ii) political risk products, (iii) weather and energy management products, and (iv) legacy financial guarantee business. The earnings on the Company's investment in Primus Guaranty Ltd. (Primus) and other financial operating affiliates as well as the results of certain structured finance products are reported as part of the Corporate segment that includes the general investment and financing operations of the Company. The Company has exited and placed into run-off the political risk insurance business, but remains in the political risk reinsurance business. In addition, the GIC and funding agreement businesses are now reported separately within the Other Financial Lines segment.

In addition, in connection with its refined strategy, the Company completed the secondary public offering of 10,627,422 SCA common shares previously held by XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and received proceeds, net of offering costs, of approximately \$316.3 million and thereby reduced its ownership of SCA's outstanding common shares from approximately 63% to approximately 46%. Subsequent to the effective date of sale, June 6, 2007, SCA was no longer a consolidated subsidiary or operating segment of the Company and its remaining investment in SCA was accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

Evaluation of the impact of recent credit market volatility on core underwriting operations

In addition to the evaluation of the impact of the credit and sub prime markets on the Company's investment portfolio and financial guarantee exposures, as discussed above, management has also completed detailed assessments of the exposure

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

to these credit related issues in the core property and casualty insurance and reinsurance business, in particular the Insurance segment professional lines portfolio exposures through D&O and E&O policies.

The Company has a portfolio that is highly diversified by product and geographical region including growing European, Design, Select and Private Commercial portfolios. Total gross professional lines premiums written for 2007 were \$1.5 billion. The average policy limit for the Company's public D&O portfolio is approximately \$12 million, with higher average limits written in Bermuda and Europe and lower average limits in the United States. The Side-A only component of the Company's public D&O portfolio is significant, representing approximately one-third of the gross premiums written in 2007 and approximately 45% by policy limit.

The Company has considered all filed notices through year-end 2007, as well as potential further notice activity that it believes could arise from insureds that have been linked to sub-prime related matters in establishing the unpaid loss and loss expenses as at December 31, 2007.

In addition, clash events are considered by management in the underwriting, risk management and loss ratio selections. Specifically, with respect to financial institution exposures, the Company manages risk through limited line sizes, higher attachment points, risk-adjusted rate levels and increased use of Side-A policies. Furthermore, the 2007 professional lines reinsurance structure takes into account clash events, with \$270 million of coverage available in the \$35 million excess of \$15 million layer. The 2008 reinsurance program has renewed in January 2008 on substantially the same basis as 2007.

Management has also reviewed the potential sub-prime exposure in the reinsurance segment which is more limited and again, potential exposure was factored into establishing the year-end reserve position.

Liquidity, Cash Flow and Collateral Management

As an insurer, the Company must ensure that it has sufficient funds to settle claims promptly, especially upon the occurrence of a major catastrophic event or respond to other unscheduled significant liquidity needs. Management is focused on making sure that liquidity requirements are supported by having lines of credit facilities available to the Company as well as by the Company's general investment portfolio, the majority of which is invested in high grade fixed income securities. The Company has had positive cash flow from operations in the three years ended December 31, 2007 generated from its underwriting activities (premiums less claim and expense settlements) as well as the receipt of investment income on its portfolio. The Company expects cash flow from operations to be positive in 2008.

As at December 31, 2007, the Company had approximately \$4.0 billion of deposit liabilities associated with GICs which were correspondingly matched with invested assets. XLCA provided financial guarantee insurance policies insuring timely payment of GICs issued by XLAF. Based on the terms and conditions of the underlying GICs, upon downgrades of XLCA below certain ratings levels, all or portions of outstanding principal balances on such GICs would come due. In January and February 2008, several rating agencies downgraded SCA and its subsidiaries and as a result, the Company will settle, by late March 2008, the majority of the GIC portfolio. Management had prepared for this possibility and does not expect this liquidity need to have any significant negative impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Another important matter on which management is focused (and which also affects the Company's ability to underwrite business) is the availability of collateral. Collateral includes letters of credit, insurance trusts and other credit facilities required to provide security to cedants (which are companies who reinsure their liabilities to the Company), particularly as required by state insurance regulation in the U.S. and at Lloyd's, and also to provide the Company with liquidity as required. These facilities are provided to the Company from commercial banks. The Company's principal credit facilities have tenors of two, three, and five years. The Company has also utilized off balance sheet transactions in order to obtain more collateral capacity (these transactions are described below under Variable Interest Entities and Other Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements). As the Company writes more business, its collateral requirements will increase. A key challenge for management is to ensure that sufficient collateral capacity is available to support future writing of business.

Management Changes

In late October 2007, the Company announced that Mr. Brian M. O'Hara, President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Company, had informed the Company's Board of Directors of his decision to retire as President and CEO in mid-2008. Accordingly, the Board of Directors implemented a CEO succession plan including the authorization of a Succession Committee to lead the new CEO selection process. On December 28, 2007, Mr. Michael P. Esposito Jr., then Chairman of the Board, resigned from the Company and on the same day, Mr. O'Hara was appointed as Acting Chairman of the Board.

On February 4, 2008, the Company announced that Celia R. Brown was appointed to the Company's Executive Management Board. Ms. Brown will remain in her current role, Head of Global Human Resources and Corporate Relations, which oversees the Company's Human Resources, Marketing, Global Communications, Public Relations and Corporate Social Responsibility functions.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

On January 7, 2008, the Company announced that Clive R. Tobin will retire effective April 1, 2008 from the role of Chief Executive of the Company's Insurance Operations, and will concentrate on assisting XL with strategic opportunities in emerging markets. David B. Duclos, currently Chief Operating Officer of the Company's Insurance Operations, will succeed Mr. Tobin as Chief Executive of the Company's Insurance Operations.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

In September 2007, Ms. Kirstin Romann Gould was appointed to the role of General Counsel of the Company which also includes her prior responsibilities as General Counsel, Corporate Affairs and Corporate Secretary.

In late July 2007, Mr. Brian W. Nocco joined the Company and effective August 10, 2007 began serving as the Company's Chief Financial Officer (CFO). Ms. Fiona E. Luck, who served as the Company's Interim CFO prior to that date and following the departure of the Company's former CFO, Jerry de St. Paer, on March 5, 2007, will continue in her role as the Company's Chief of Staff.

Service Delivery and Operational Effectiveness

The Company is committed to achieving competitive differentiation through the strategic alignment of initiatives that will deliver flexible and robust technology platforms to the Company and its customers. The focal point of this strategy is the development and enhancement of a reliable infrastructure. Senior management continues to devote significant attention to the development of multiple programs and initiatives across all aspects of the Company that will improve operational efficiency, standardize processes and optimize costs.

For example, the Insurance segment has begun a multiple year operational transformation program that will result in enhanced product differentiation and business process standardization aligned with the segment's growth strategy. In addition, the Company continues to invest in the information technology infrastructure and efficient process design within the Reinsurance segment and its operations center based in India. The Company has implemented a standardized common desktop computing environment for all its professionals globally. Finally, a financial reporting and controls program has implemented a standardized global financial platform along with consistently integrated business and financial processes. This program which has led a transformation of the Company's financial architecture, also led to the implementation of a new chart of accounts and technical architecture centered around an upgraded general ledger system. As a result of this program, the Company has benefited from a stronger control environment and greater flexibility in its ability to analyze financial information.

The success of the above mentioned initiatives requires management focus and the investment of the Company's resources to achieve more consistent service delivery through the implementation of a reliable infrastructure.

Foreign Exchange Exposure

In the normal course of business, the Company is exposed to foreign exchange fluctuations on various items on its financial statements, in particular investments, future policy benefit reserves and unpaid losses and loss expenses. This exposure also exists on certain inter-company balances, which are eliminated for consolidation purposes. The Company attempts to manage this economic exposure through matching foreign currency denominated liabilities with foreign currency denominated assets. While unrealized foreign exchange gains and losses on underwriting balances are reported in earnings, the offsetting unrealized gains and losses on invested assets are recorded as a separate component of shareholders' equity, to the extent that the asset currency does not match that entity's functional currency. This results in an accounting mismatch, that will result in foreign exchange gains or loss in the consolidated statements of income depending on the movement in certain currencies. In order to improve administrative efficiencies as well as to address this accounting imbalance, the Company formed several branches with Euro and U.K. Sterling functional currencies during 2006 and 2007. Management continues to focus on attempting to limit this type of exposure in the future.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The following are considered to be the Company's critical accounting policies and estimates due to the judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of these policies and/or the likelihood that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. If actual events differ significantly from the underlying assumptions or estimates applied for any or all of the accounting policies (either individually or in the aggregate), there could be a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. These critical accounting policies have been discussed by management with the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors.

Other significant accounting policies are nevertheless important to an understanding of the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. Policies such as those related to revenue recognition, financial instruments and consolidation require difficult judgments on complex matters that are often subject to multiple sources of authoritative guidance. See Item 8, Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Significant Accounting Policies.

1) Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses and Unpaid Loss and Loss Expenses Recoverable

As the Company earns premiums for the underwriting risks it assumes, it also establishes an estimate of the expected ultimate losses related to the premium. Loss reserves or unpaid losses and loss expenses are established due to the significant periods of time that may lapse between the occurrence, reporting and settlement of a loss. The process of establishing reserves for unpaid property and casualty claims can be complex and is subject to considerable variability, as it requires the use of informed estimates and judgments. These estimates and judgments are

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

based on numerous factors, and may be revised as additional experience and other data become available and are reviewed, as new or improved methodologies are developed or as current laws change. Loss reserves include:

- a) Case reserves reserves for reported losses and loss expenses that have not yet been settled; and

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

b) Losses incurred but not reported (IBNR).

Case reserves for the Company's property and casualty operations are established by management based on amounts reported from insureds or ceding companies and consultation with legal counsel, and represent the estimated ultimate cost of events or conditions that have been reported to or specifically identified by the Company. The method of establishing case reserves for reported claims differs among the Company's operations.

With respect to the Company's insurance operations, the Company is notified of insured losses and records a case reserve for the estimated amount of the settlement, if any. The estimate reflects the judgment of claims personnel based on general reserving practices, the experience and knowledge of such personnel regarding the nature of the specific claim and, where appropriate, advice of legal counsel. Reserves are also established to provide for the estimated expense of settling claims, including legal and other fees and the general expenses of administering the claims adjustment process. With respect to the Company's reinsurance operations, case reserves for reported claims are generally established based on reports received from ceding companies. Additional case reserves may be established by the Company to reflect the estimated ultimate cost of a loss. The uncertainty in the reserving process for reinsurers is due, in part, to the time lags inherent in reporting from the original claimant to the primary insurer to the reinsurer. As a predominantly broker market reinsurer for both excess-of-loss and proportional contracts, the Company is subject to a potential additional time lag in the receipt of information as the primary insurer reports to the broker who in turn reports to the Company. As of December 31, 2007, the Company did not have any significant back-log related to its processing of assumed reinsurance information.

Since the Company relies on information regarding paid losses, case reserves and IBNR provided by ceding companies in order to assist it in estimating its liability for unpaid losses and LAE, the Company maintains certain procedures in order to help determine the completeness and accuracy of such information. Periodically, management assesses the reporting activities of these companies on the basis of qualitative and quantitative criteria. In addition to conferring with ceding companies or brokers on claims matters, the Company's claims personnel conduct periodic audits of specific claims and the overall claims procedures of its ceding companies at their offices. The Company relies on its ability to effectively monitor the claims handling and claims reserving practices of ceding companies in order to help establish the proper reinsurance premium for reinsurance agreements and to establish proper loss reserves. Disputes with ceding companies have been rare and generally have been resolved through negotiation.

As noted above, case reserves for the Company's reinsurance operations are generally established based on reports received from ceding companies. Additional case reserves may be established by the Company to reflect the Company's estimated ultimate cost of a loss. In addition to information received from ceding companies on reported claims, the Company also utilizes information on the pattern of ceding company loss reporting and loss settlements from previous catastrophic events in order to estimate the Company's ultimate liability related to these hurricane loss events. Commercial catastrophe model analyses and zonal aggregate exposures are utilized to assess potential client loss before and after an event. Initial cedant loss reports are generally obtained shortly after a catastrophic event, with subsequent updates received as new information becomes available. The Company actively requests loss updates from cedants periodically for the first year following an event. The Company's claim settlement processes also incorporate an update to the total loss reserve at the time a claim payment is made to a ceding company.

While the reliance on loss reports from ceding companies may increase the level of uncertainty associated with the estimation of total loss reserves for property reinsurance relative to direct property insurance, there are several factors which serve to reduce the uncertainty in loss reserve estimates for property reinsurance. First, for large natural catastrophe events such as Hurricane Katrina, aggregate limits in property catastrophe reinsurance contracts are generally fully exhausted by the loss reserve estimates. Second, as a reinsurer, the Company has access to information from a broad cross section of the insurance industry. The Company utilizes such information in order to perform consistency checks on the data provided by ceding companies and is able to identify trends in loss reporting and settlement activity and incorporate such information in its estimate of IBNR reserves. Finally, the Company also supplements the loss information received from cedants with loss estimates developed by market share techniques and/or from third party catastrophe models applied to exposure data supplied by cedants.

With respect to the Company's financial lines operations, financial guarantee claims incurred on policies written on an insurance basis are established consistent with the Company's insurance operations and financial guarantee claims incurred on policies written on a reinsurance basis are established consistent with the Company's reinsurance operations.

IBNR reserves represent management's best estimate, at a given point in time, of the amount in excess of case reserves that is needed for the future settlement and loss adjustment costs associated with claims incurred. It is possible that the ultimate liability may differ materially from these estimates. Because the ultimate amount of unpaid losses and LAE is uncertain, the Company believes that quantitative techniques to estimate these amounts are enhanced by professional and managerial judgment. Management reviews the IBNR estimates produced by the Company's actuaries and determines its best estimate of the liabilities to record in the Company's financial statements. The Company considers this single point estimate to be one that has an equal likelihood of developing a redundancy or deficiency as the loss experience matures.

IBNR reserves are estimated by the Company's actuaries using several standard actuarial methodologies including the loss ratio method, the loss development or chain ladder method, the Bornhuetter-Ferguson (BF) method and frequency and severity approaches. IBNR related to a specific event may be based on the Company's estimated exposure to an industry loss and may include the use of catastrophe modeling software.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

On a quarterly basis, IBNR reserves are reviewed by the Company's actuaries, and are adjusted as new information

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

becomes available. Any such adjustments are accounted for as changes in estimates and are reflected in the results of operations in the period in which they are made.

The Company's actuaries utilize one set of assumptions in determining its single point estimate, which includes actual loss data, loss development factors, loss ratios, reported claim frequency and severity. The actuarial reviews and documentation are completed in accordance with professional actuarial standards appropriate to the jurisdictions where the business is written. The selected assumptions reflect the actuary's judgment based on historical data and experience combined with information concerning current underwriting, economic, judicial, regulatory and other influences on ultimate claim settlements.

When estimating IBNR reserves, each of the Company's insurance and reinsurance business units segregate business into exposure classes (over 150 classes are reviewed in total). Within each class, the business is further segregated by either the year in which the contract inception (underwriting year), the year in which the claim occurred (accident year), or the year in which the claim is reported (report year). The majority of the Insurance segment is reviewed on an accident year basis. Professional lines insurance business is reviewed on a report year basis due to the claims made nature of the underlying policies. The majority of the Reinsurance segment is reviewed on an underwriting year basis.

Generally, initial actuarial estimates of IBNR reserves not related to a specific event are based on the loss ratio method applied to each class of business. Actual paid losses and case reserves (reported losses) are subtracted from expected ultimate losses to determine IBNR reserves. The initial expected ultimate losses involve management judgment and are based on historical information for that class of business; which includes loss ratios, market conditions, changes in pricing and conditions, underwriting changes, changes in claims emergence, and other factors that may influence expected ultimate losses.

Over time, as a greater number of claims are reported, actuarial estimates of IBNR are based on the BF and loss development techniques. The BF method utilizes actual loss data and the expected patterns of loss emergence, combined with an initial expectation of ultimate losses to determine an estimate of ultimate losses. This method may be appropriate when there is limited actual loss data and a relatively less stable pattern of loss emergence. The chain ladder method utilizes actual loss and expected patterns of loss emergence to determine an estimate of ultimate losses that is independent of the initial expectation of ultimate losses. This method may be appropriate when there is a relatively stable pattern of loss emergence and a relatively larger number of reported claims. Multiple estimates of ultimate losses using a variety of actuarial methods are calculated for many, but not all, of the Company's (150+) classes of business for each year of loss experience. The Company's actuaries look at each class and determine the most appropriate point estimate based on the characteristics of the particular class and other relevant factors, such as historical ultimate loss ratios, the presence of individual large losses, and known occurrences that have not yet resulted in reported losses. Once the Company's actuaries make their determination of the most appropriate point estimate for each class, this information is aggregated and presented to management for review and approval.

The pattern of loss emergence is determined using actuarial analysis, including judgment, and is based on the historical patterns of the recording of paid and reported losses by the Company, as well as industry information. Information that may cause historical patterns to differ from future patterns is considered and reflected in expected patterns as appropriate. For property, marine and aviation insurance, losses are general reported within 2 to 3 years from the beginning of the accident year. For casualty insurance, loss emergence patterns can vary from 3 years to over 20 years depending on the type of business. For other insurance, loss emergence patterns fall between the property and casualty insurance. For reinsurance business, loss reporting lags the corresponding insurance classes by at least one quarter due to the need for loss information to flow from the ceding companies to the Company generally via reinsurance intermediaries. Such lags in loss reporting are reflected in the actuary's selections of loss reporting patterns used in establishing the Company's reserves.

Such estimates are not precise because, among other things, they are based on predictions of future developments and estimates of future trends in claim severity, claim frequency, and other issues. In the process of estimating IBNR reserves, provisions for economic inflation and changes in the social and legal environment are considered, but involve considerable judgment. When estimating IBNR reserves, more judgement is typically required for lines of business with longer loss emergence patterns.

Due to the low frequency and high severity nature of some of the business underwritten by the Company, the Company's reserve estimates are highly dependent on actuarial and management judgment and are therefore uncertain. In property classes, there can be additional uncertainty in loss estimation related to large catastrophe events. With wind events, such as hurricanes, the damage assessment process may take more than a year. The cost of claims is subject to volatility due to supply shortages for construction materials and labor. In the case of earthquakes, the damage assessment process may take several years as buildings are discovered to have structural weaknesses not initially detected. The uncertainty inherent in IBNR reserve estimates is particularly pronounced for casualty coverages, such as excess liability, professional liability coverages, and workers compensation, where information emerges relatively slowly over time.

The Company's three types of property and casualty reserve exposure with the longest tails are:

- (1) high layer excess casualty insurance;

- (2) casualty reinsurance; and
- (3) discontinued asbestos and long-tail environmental business.

Certain aspects of the Company's casualty operations complicate the actuarial process for establishing reserves. Certain casualty business written by the Company's insurance operations is high layer excess casualty business, meaning that the Company's liability attaches after large deductibles including self insurance or insurance from sources other than the Company. The Company commenced writing this type of business in 1986 and issued policies in forms that were different from traditional policies used by the industry at that time. Initially, there was a lack of industry data available for this type of business. Consequently, the basis for establishing loss reserves by the Company for this type of business was largely judgmental and based upon the Company's own reported loss experience which was used as basis for determining ultimate losses, and therefore IBNR reserves. Over time, the amount of available historical loss experience data has increased. As a result, the Company has obtained a larger statistical base to assist in establishing reserves for these excess casualty insurance claims.

High layer excess casualty insurance claims typically involve claims relating to (i) a shock loss such as an explosion or transportation accident causing severe damage to persons and/or property over a short period of time, (ii) a non-shock loss where a large number of claimants are exposed to injurious conditions over a longer period of time, such as exposure to chemicals or pharmaceuticals or (iii) a professional liability loss such as a medical malpractice claim. In each case, these claims are ultimately settled following extensive negotiations and legal proceedings. This process can typically take 5 to 15 years following the date of loss.

Reinsurance operations by their nature add further complications to the reserving process, particularly for casualty business written, in that there is an inherent lag in the timing and reporting of a loss event from an insured or ceding company to the reinsurer. This reporting lag creates an even longer period of time between the policy inception and when a claim is finally settled. As a result, more judgment is required to establish reserves for ultimate claims in the Company's reinsurance operations.

In the Company's reinsurance operations, case reserves for reported claims are generally established based on reports received from ceding companies. Additional case reserves may be established by the Company to reflect the Company's estimated ultimate cost of a loss.

Casualty reinsurance business involves reserving methods that generally include historical aggregated claim information as reported by ceding companies, combined with the results of claims and underwriting reviews of a sample of the ceding company's claims and underwriting files. Therefore, the Company does not always receive detailed claim information for this line of business.

Discontinued asbestos and long-tail environment business had been previously written by NAC Re Corp. (now known as XL Reinsurance America Inc.), prior to its acquisition by the Company. As at December 31, 2007, total gross unpaid losses and loss expenses in respect of this business represented less than 1% of unpaid losses and loss expenses.

Except for certain workers' compensation liabilities, the Company does not discount its unpaid losses and loss expenses. The Company utilizes tabular reserving for workers' compensation unpaid losses that are considered fixed and determinable. For further discussion see the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Loss and loss expenses are charged to income as they are incurred. These charges include loss and loss expense payments and any changes in case and IBNR reserves. During the loss settlement period, additional facts regarding claims are reported. As these additional facts are reported, it may be necessary to increase or decrease the unpaid losses and loss expense reserves. The actual final liability may be significantly different than prior estimates.

As noted above, management reviews the IBNR estimates produced by the Company's actuaries and determines its best estimate of the liabilities to record in the Company's financial statements. The Company considers this single point estimate to be one that has an equal likelihood of developing a redundancy or deficiency as the loss experience matures. Management believes that the actuarial methods utilized adequately provide for loss development.

Management does not build in a provision for uncertainty outside of the estimates prepared by the Company's actuaries.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The Company's net unpaid losses and losses expenses (excluding financial guarantee reserves related to reinsurance agreements with SCA that are recorded within Net loss from operating affiliates) relating to the Company's operating segments at December 31, 2007 and 2006 was as follows:

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Insurance	\$ 11,138	\$ 10,608
Reinsurance	7,053	7,138
SCA		154
Net unpaid loss and loss expense reserves	\$ 18,191	\$ 17,900

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	Net Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses as at December 31, 2007		
	Case Reserves	IBNR Reserves	Total Reserves
Insurance			
Casualty-professional lines	\$ 1,563	\$ 2,386	\$ 3,949
Casualty-other lines	1,644	2,371	4,015
Property catastrophe (1)	22	28	50
Other property	446	158	604
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	649	558	1,207
Other specialty lines (2)	268	430	698
Other (3)	285	189	474
Structured Indemnity	54	87	141
Total	\$ 4,931	\$ 6,207	\$ 11,138
Reinsurance			
Casualty (4)	\$ 1,966	\$ 2,642	\$ 4,608
Property catastrophe (1)	122	131	253
Other property	543	447	990
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	488	33	521
Other (3)	297	296	593
Structured Indemnity		88	88
Total	\$ 3,416	\$ 3,637	\$ 7,053
TOTAL	\$ 8,347	\$ 9,844	\$ 18,191

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	Net Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses as at December 31, 2006 (5) (6)		
	Case Reserves	IBNR Reserves	Total Reserves
Insurance			
Casualty-professional lines	\$ 1,379	\$ 2,143	\$ 3,522
Casualty-other lines	1,613	2,198	3,811
Property catastrophe (1)	57	33	90

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Other property	548	238	786
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	609	474	1,083
Other specialty lines (2)	252	426	678
Other (3)	293	191	484
Structured Indemnity	15	139	154
Total	\$ 4,766	\$ 5,842	\$ 10,608
Reinsurance			
Casualty (4)	\$ 1,847	\$ 2,606	\$ 4,453
Property catastrophe (1)	288	165	453
Other property	456	432	888
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	513	67	580
Other (3)	318	315	633
Structured Indemnity		131	131
Total	\$ 3,422	\$ 3,716	\$ 7,138
SCA	72	82	154
TOTAL	\$ 8,260	\$ 9,640	\$ 17,900

(1) Property and catastrophe IBNR includes event specific reserves for losses that the Company's insureds and cedants have informed us they expect to incur but have not yet had reported known claims.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- (2) Other specialty lines within the Insurance segment includes: environmental, programs, equine, warranty, and excess and surplus lines.
- (3) Other includes employers liability, surety, political risk and other lines.
- (4) Within the Reinsurance segment, casualty-other and casualty-professional lines of business are shown in the aggregate.
- (5) To facilitate period to period comparisons, certain short-term Accident and Health business previously included in the Reinsurance segment has been reclassified to the Life Operations segment to conform to current segment management responsibilities.
- (6) Certain lines of business previously reported under the Financial Lines segment have been reclassified and reported under the Insurance and Reinsurance segments based on the nature of the business written.

While the proportion of unpaid losses and loss expenses represented by IBNR is sensitive to a number of factors, the most significant ones have historically been accelerated business growth and changes in business mix. Other factors that have affected the ratio in the past include additions to prior period reserves, catastrophic occurrences, settlement of large claims and changes in claims settlement patterns.

The ratio of IBNR to total reserves has increased in recent years due to the growth of casualty business written over that period. The ratio of IBNR to total reserves is higher for more recent years business because these immature years have relatively fewer claims reported and, as a result, a higher proportion of claims reserves are based on experience in respect of incurred but not reported losses. As each prior year of business matures and claims become known, the ratio of IBNR to total reserves will typically decline, all other factors remaining constant. Since the Company has experienced premium volume growth in recent years, the ratio of IBNR to total reserves has increased because the Company's aggregate exposure has become relatively less mature. Conversely, in a situation of declining premium volume, this ratio will typically decline, all other factors remaining constant. The Company writes insurance and reinsurance business in many different lines. Typically, the ratio of IBNR to total reserves is greater for casualty lines (which are longer-tail in nature) than for property lines due to the policy forms utilized and timing of loss reporting. In recent years, casualty lines have increased as a proportion of the Company's business when compared to property lines (which are shorter-tail in nature).

IBNR reserves are calculated by the Company's actuaries using standard actuarial methodologies as discussed above. Since the year ended December 31, 2003, the Company adopted a methodology that provides a single point reserve estimate separately for each line of business and also a range of possible outcomes across each single point reserve estimate. This is discussed further below.

The following table shows the recorded estimate and the high and low ends of the range of the Company's net unpaid losses and loss expenses (excluding financial guarantee reserves related to reinsurance agreements with SCA that are recorded within Net loss from operating affiliates) for each of the lines of business noted above at December 31, 2007:

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	Net Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses Recorded as at December 31, 2007	Range of Net Unpaid Losses & Loss Expenses Estimated as at December 31, 2007	Range of Net Unpaid Losses & Loss Expenses Estimated as at December 31, 2007
		High	Low
Insurance			
Casualty-professional lines	\$ 3,949	\$ 4,502	\$ 3,426
Casualty-other lines	4,015	4,558	3,504
Property catastrophe (1)	50	57	44
Other property	604	687	525
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	1,207	1,354	1,068
Other specialty lines (2)	698	747	652
Other (3)	474	573	384
Total (5)	\$ 10,997	\$ 12,085	\$ 9,953
Reinsurance			
Casualty (4)	\$ 4,608	\$ 5,167	\$ 4,122

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Property catastrophe (1)	253	298	212
Other property	990	1,078	908
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	521	574	474
Other (3)	593	652	543
	<hr/>		
Total (5)	\$ 6,965	\$ 7,590	\$ 6,413
	<hr/>		
Structured Indemnity (5)	\$ 229		
	<hr/>		
TOTAL	\$ 18,191		
	<hr/>		

-
- (1) Property and catastrophe IBNR includes event specific reserves for losses that the Company's insureds and cedants have informed it they expect to incur but have not yet had reported known claims.
 - (2) Other specialty lines within the Insurance segment includes: environmental, programs, equine, warranty, and excess and surplus lines.
 - (3) Other includes employers' liability, surety, political risk and other lines.
 - (4) Within the Reinsurance segment, casualty-other and casualty-professional lines of business are shown in the aggregate.
 - (5) The range for the total Insurance and Reinsurance segment reserves is narrower than the sum of the ranges for the lines of business shown in the table due to diversification benefits across the lines of business. In addition, the total for each of the Insurance and Reinsurance segments does not include reserves relating to structured indemnity business as the Company does not develop reserve ranges for this line of business.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Actual development of recorded reserves as of December 31, 2006 during 2007 was within the estimated reserve range.

There are factors that would cause reserves to increase or decrease within the context of the range provided. The magnitude of any change in ultimate losses would be determined by the magnitude of any changes to the Company's assumptions or combined impact of changes in assumptions. Factors that would increase reserves include, but are not limited to, increases in claim severity, increases in expected level of reported claims, changes to the regulatory environment which expand the exposure insured by the Company, changes in the litigation environment that increase claim awards, filings or verdicts, unexpected increases in loss inflation, and/or new types of claims being pursued against the Company. Factors that would decrease reserves include, but are not limited to, decreases in claim severity, reductions in the expected level of reported claims, changes to the regulatory environment which contract the exposure insured by the Company, changes in the litigation environment that decrease claim awards, filings or verdicts, and/or unexpected decreases in loss inflation.

During 2007, the Company undertook a review of its methodology for calculating reserve ranges around its single point reserve estimate. The Company modeled a statistical distribution of potential reserve outcomes over a one year run-off period for each of the approximately 50 lines of business. In doing so the Company evaluated a number of alternative models, and for each line of business the Company's actuaries selected the one deemed to be most appropriate. Factors affecting this decision included an assessment of the model fit, availability and relevance of data and the impact of changes in business mix. In addition, the Company commissioned an external review of its methodology which also included an independent assessment of potential reserve volatility. The Company used the modeled statistical distribution to calculate an 80% confidence interval for the potential reserve outcomes over this one year run-off period. The high and low end points of the ranges set forth in the above table are such that there is a 10% modeled probability that the reserve will develop higher than the high point and a 10% modeled probability that the reserve will develop lower than the low point. The results of the external review noted above are consistent with the one year run-off reserves ranges developed by the Company.

The development of a reserve range models the uncertainty of the claim environment as well as the limited predictive power of past loss data. These uncertainties and limitations are not specific to the Company. The ranges represent an estimate of the range of possible outcomes over a one year development period. A range of possible outcomes should not be confused with a range of best estimates. The range of best estimates will generally be much narrower than the range of possible outcomes as it will reflect reasonable actuarial and management best estimates of the expected reserve.

Reserve volatility was analyzed for each line of business (excluding structured indemnity) within each of the Reinsurance and Insurance segments using the Company's historical data supplemented by industry data. These ranges were then aggregated to the lines of business shown above taking into account correlation between lines of business. The practical result of the correlation approach to aggregation is that the ranges by line of business disclosed above, are narrower than the sum of the ranges of the individual lines of business. Similarly, the range for the Company's total reserves in the aggregate, is narrower than the sum of the ranges for the lines of business disclosed above.

During 2006, the Company reviewed the correlation assumptions between its various lines of business. There was growth in certain lines of business as well as changes in the groupings of the data used in the reserving process. These changes necessitated a review of the correlation matrix used to develop the Company's reserve ranges. The Company took a simplified approach of assigning ratings of low, medium or high to its correlation assumptions for each line of business pairing based on the judgment of the reserving actuaries, as there was both limited historical experience within its portfolio and limited applicable industry data. However, the Company's actual historical experience and industry data were used to judgmentally select a range of values for the low, medium and high correlations, respectively, of 15%, 30% and 50%. It should be noted that both the Company's own experience and the industry data exhibit negative correlations in reserve developments between certain lines of business. However, as a measure of prudence in evaluating the reserve ranges, the Company has used a minimum of 15% correlation between any two lines of business. The analysis of correlations and the reflection of potential diversification benefits across lines of business represent another area of uncertainty in the development of estimated reserve ranges.

The Company is not aware of any generally accepted model to perform the reserve range analysis described above, however, and other models may be employed to develop these ranges.

See further discussion under **Segments** below for prior year development of loss reserves.

Financial Guarantee Loss Reserving

The financial guarantees that the Company reinsures or otherwise guarantees relate almost exclusively to transactions with SCA which reinsure scheduled payments of principal and interest due on various types of financial obligations against payment default by the issuers of such obligations. Management establishes reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses on such business based on management's best estimate of the ultimate expected incurred losses. The Company's estimated ultimate expected incurred losses are comprised of:

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

(i) case basis reserves, (ii) unallocated reserves, and (iii) cumulative paid losses to date. Establishment of such reserves requires the use and exercise of significant judgment by management, including with respect to estimates regarding the occurrence and amount of a loss on an insured obligation. Estimates of losses may differ from actual results and such difference may be material, due to the fact that the ultimate dispositions of claims are subject to the outcome of events that have not yet occurred. Examples of these events include changes in the level of interest rates, credit deterioration of insured obligations, and changes in the value of specific assets supporting insured obligations. Both qualitative and quantitative factors are used in establishing such reserves. In determining the reserves, management considers all factors in the aggregate, and does not attribute the reserve provisions or any portion thereof to any specific factor.

Any estimate of future costs is subject to the inherent limitation on the Company's ability to predict the aggregate course of future events. It should therefore be expected that the actual emergence of losses and loss adjustment expenses will vary, perhaps materially, from any estimate.

Case basis reserves on financial guarantee reinsurance assumed are generally established upon notification from SCA who is the Company's principal ceding company. Case basis reserves are established by SCA and the Company's proportionate share of these reserves are reported on a quarterly basis. Based on our evaluation of the loss activity reported to the Company on a quarterly basis, it may, in management's judgment, establish case reserves greater than those reported by SCA. This information is recorded into the Company's records upon receipt of these statements. Due to the timing of the receipts of such statements from SCA, the Company may at times need to rely on its independent assessment based on previously reported data.

Case basis reserves on financial guarantee insurance business written by SCA and reinsured by the Company with respect to a specific policy or contract are established upon receipt of a claim notice or when management determines that (i) a claim is probable in the future based on credit events that have occurred and (ii) the amount of the ultimate loss that the Company will incur can be reasonably estimated. As specific case basis reserves are established, the Company considers whether any changes are required to the assumptions underlying the calculation of unallocated reserves (which are discussed below) as a result of such activity. Management would only expect such changes to occur in limited instances, such as economic events generating significant loss activity across a broad cross-section of the portfolio. Management has not viewed the Company's case basis reserve and unallocated reserve activity to date to warrant a change in the unallocated reserves. While material case basis reserves were established in 2007, these reserves were concentrated in certain sectors of the financial guarantee portfolio and were associated with unprecedented credit-market events. As such, these events did not alter the Company's perspective of the expected loss ratio associated with the remainder of the portfolio and the required level of unallocated reserves.

The amount of the case basis reserve is based on the net present value of the expected ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense payments that the Company expects to make, net of expected recoveries under salvage and subrogation rights. Case basis reserves are determined using cash flow or similar models to estimate the net present value of the anticipated shortfall between (i) scheduled payments on the insured obligation plus anticipated loss adjustment expenses and (ii) anticipated cash flow from the proceeds to be received on sales of any collateral supporting the obligation and other anticipated recoveries. A number of quantitative and qualitative factors are considered when determining or assessing, in management's judgment, the need for a case basis reserve. These factors may include the creditworthiness of the underlying issuer of the insured obligation, whether the obligation is secured or unsecured, the projected cash flow or market value of any assets that collateralize or secure the insured obligation, and the historical and projected loss rates on such assets. Other factors that may affect the actual ultimate loss include the state of the economy, changes in interest rates, rates of inflation and the salvage values of specific collateral. Case basis reserves are generally discounted at a rate reflecting the yield on the Company's investment portfolio during the period the case basis reserve is established. The Company believes this yield is an appropriate rate to discount the Company's reserves because it reflects the rate of return on the assets supporting such business. When a case basis reserve is established for a guaranteed obligation whose premium is paid on an upfront basis, the Company continues to record premium earnings on such policy over its remaining life, unless it has recorded a full limit loss with respect to such policy, in which case the remaining deferred premium revenue relating thereto is immediately reflected in earnings. When a case basis reserve is established for a guaranteed obligation whose premium is paid on an installment basis, those premiums, if expected to be received prospectively, are considered a form of recovery netted against the loss reserves and are no longer earned as premium revenue.

In addition to case basis reserves, the Company maintains an unallocated loss reserve for expected losses inherent in the Company's in-force business (consisting of both financial guarantee insurance and reinsurance business) that is expected to emerge in the future. The unallocated loss reserves are reported to the Company by SCA as the cedant and represent the Company's estimated ultimate liability from claims expected to be incurred in the future under in-force insured and reinsured policies less outstanding case basis reserves and cumulative paid claims to date on such policies.

The Company updates estimates of losses and loss adjustment expense reserves quarterly and any resulting changes in reserves are recorded as a charge or credit to earnings in the period such estimates are changed.

Management believes that its reserves are adequate to cover the Company's expected ultimate losses. However, due to the inherent uncertainties of estimating reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses, actual experience may differ from the estimates reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements, and the differences may be material. Differences exist within the

financial guarantee industry with regard to the methodology and measurement of such reserves. While management believes that the principles it applies are the most appropriate for its business and have applied them consistently within the periods presented, alternate methods may produce different estimates as compared to the current methodology currently used. Accounting practices in the financial guarantee insurance and reinsurance industry are currently being reviewed by applicable regulatory bodies and any changes required by that review could have a material effect on the reported operating results and financial condition of the industry or particular market participants, including the Company, depending on the extent to which current policies differ from those promulgated by such regulatory bodies.

The Company's risk management department is responsible for monitoring the performance of the in-force portfolio through coordination with SCA's surveillance system. They maintain a list of credits that they have determined need to be closely monitored and, for certain of those credits, the SCA surveillance team undertake remediation activities they determine to be appropriate in order to mitigate the likelihood and/or amount of any loss that the Company could incur with respect to such credits.

Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable

The recognition of unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable requires two key judgments. The first judgment involves the Company's estimation of the amount of gross IBNR to be ceded to reinsurers. Ceded IBNR is generally developed as part of the Company's loss reserving process and consequently, its estimation is subject to similar risks and uncertainties as the estimation of gross IBNR (see Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates - Unpaid losses and loss expenses and unpaid loss and loss expense recoverable). The second judgment involves the Company's estimate of the amount of the reinsurance recoverable balance that the Company will ultimately be unable to recover from related reinsurers due to insolvency, contractual dispute, or for other reasons. Amounts estimated to be uncollectible are reflected in a bad debt provision that reduces the reinsurance recoverable balance and shareholders' equity. Changes in the bad debt provision are reflected in net income. See Financial Condition and Liquidity and Item 8, Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Reinsurance, for further information.

The Company uses a default analysis to estimate uncollectible reinsurance. The primary components of the default analysis are reinsurance recoverable balances by reinsurer, net of collateral, and default factors used to determine the portion of a reinsurer's balance deemed uncollectible. The definition of collateral for this purpose requires some judgment and is generally limited to assets held in trust, letters of credit, and liabilities held by us with the same legal entity for which the Company believes there is a right of offset. Default factors require considerable judgment and are determined using the current rating, or rating equivalent, of each reinsurer as well as other key considerations and assumptions.

2) Future Policy Benefit Reserves

Future policy benefit reserves relate to the Company's life operations and are estimated using assumptions for investment yields, mortality, expenses and provisions for adverse loss deviation. Uncertainties related to interest rate volatility and mortality experience make it difficult to project and value the ultimate benefit payments.

Most of the Company's future policy benefit reserves relate to annuity portfolio reinsurance contracts under which the Company makes annuity payments throughout the term of the contract for a specified portfolio of policies.

For certain of these contracts, a single premium is paid at inception of the contract by way of a transfer of cash and investments to the Company.

The reserving methodology for these annuity portfolio reinsurance contracts is described in FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 60 Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises (FAS 60), as amended by FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 97 Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Contracts and for Realized Gains and Losses from the Sale of Investments (FAS 97). These contracts subject the Company to risks arising from policyholder mortality over a period that extends beyond the periods in which premiums are collected. Liabilities for future policy benefit reserves are established in accordance with the provisions of FAS 60.

Claims and expenses for individual policies within these annuity reinsurance contracts are projected over the lifetime of the contract to calculate a net present value of future cash flows. Assumptions for each element of the basis (mortality, expenses and interest) are determined at the issue of the contract and these assumptions are locked-in throughout the term of the contract unless a premium deficiency exists. The assumptions are best estimate assumptions plus provisions for adverse deviations on the key risk elements (i.e., mortality and interest). Provisions for adverse deviation are designed to cover reasonable deviations from the best estimate outcome of the contract. As the experience on the contracts emerges, the assumptions are reviewed. This occurs at least annually and includes both an analysis of experience and review of likely future experience. If such review would produce reserves in excess of those currently held then lock-in assumptions will be revised and a loss recognized. During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, there were no adjustments to the locked-in assumptions for these contracts.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The future policy benefit reserves for these annuity portfolio reinsurance contracts amounted to \$5.8 billion and \$5.7 billion at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company holds the investment assets backing these liabilities. These investments are primarily fixed income securities with maturities that closely match the expected claims settlement profile. A 0.1% decrease in the investment yield assumption would result in approximately a \$45 million increase in the value of future claims related to annuity portfolio reinsurance.

As stated above, the future policy benefit reserves include provisions for adverse deviation in excess of best estimate assumptions that amounted to approximately \$410 million and \$371 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The future policy benefit reserves would only be increased if these provisions for adverse deviation became insufficient in the light of emerging claims experience. The present value of future claims would increase by approximately \$21 million if mortality rates were to decrease by 1% in all future years, relative to the reserving assumptions.

The Company also provides reinsurance of disability income protection, for an in-force block of business. The future policy benefit reserves for these contracts amounted to approximately \$90 million and \$79 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Future policy benefit reserves are established in accordance with the provisions of FAS 60, including the lock-in of assumptions at inception with periodic review against experience. The liabilities relate to in-force blocks of business, comprising underlying insurance policies that provide an income if the policyholder becomes sick or disabled. The liabilities are therefore driven mainly by the rates at which policyholders become sick (where sickness is defined by the policy conditions) and by the rates at which these policyholders recover or die. A 1% increase in the incidence rate would increase the value of future claims by approximately \$0.6 million, while a 1% decrease in the termination rate would increase the value of future claims by approximately \$0.7 million. Following a review of claim termination experience, the reserving assumptions were revised during 2007. The overall effect of the revised assumptions was a reduction in income of \$10.0 million. No changes to the locked-in assumptions were made in 2006.

The Company also provides reinsurance of term assurance and critical illness policies written in the U.K. and Ireland. The future policy benefit reserves for these contracts amounted to approximately \$242 million and \$135 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Future policy benefit reserves are established in accordance with the provisions of FAS 60, including the lock-in of assumptions at inception with periodic review against experience. The provisions for adverse deviation in these reserves amounted to approximately \$22m and \$12m at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The liabilities relate to in-force blocks of business and to treaties accepting new business, comprising underlying insurance policies that provide mainly lump sum benefits if the policyholder dies or becomes sick. For term assurance, the liabilities are therefore driven by the rates of mortality and for critical illness cover, the liabilities are driven predominantly by the rates at which policyholders become sick, where sickness is defined by the treaty conditions (i.e., the morbidity rates). A 1% increase in the mortality rate relative to the reserving assumption would increase the value of future claims by approximately \$2.1 million, and a 1% increase in the morbidity rate would increase the value of future claims by approximately \$2.0 million.

The term assurance and critical illness treaties have been written using a variety of structures, some of which incur acquisition costs during an initial period. For such treaties, a deferred acquisition cost (DAC) asset has been established and an increase in future lapse rates could impact the recoverability of such costs from future premiums. The recoverability will also be influenced by the impact of lapses on future claims. An increase in the annual lapse rates by 1% could lead to a 5%-10% reduction in future margins available for amortizing the DAC asset.

The Company also provided reinsurance of a block of U.S. based term assurance, which was novated to the Company from an insurance affiliate in December 2002. The future policy benefit reserves for these contracts amounted to approximately \$245 million and \$204 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Future policy benefit reserves are established in accordance with the provisions of FAS 60, including the lock-in of assumptions at inception with periodic review against experience.

The liabilities relate to in-force blocks of business, which are comprised of underlying insurance policies that provide mainly lump sum benefits if the policyholder dies. The liabilities are therefore driven by the rates of mortality, and a 1% increase in the mortality rate relative to the reserving assumption would increase the value of future claims by approximately \$9 million. The liabilities are also affected by lapse experience, and a 1% decrease in lapse rates relative to the reserving assumption would increase the reserve by approximately \$1.4 million. Following a review of mortality and lapse experience, the locked-in assumptions were reviewed during 2007 and it was determined that the assumptions should be revised. The overall effect of the revised assumptions was a reduction in income of \$25.4 million. No changes to the locked-in assumptions were made in 2006.

During 2006, the Company also began to provide reinsurance of U.S. based term assurance derived from new business written by client companies. Future policy benefit reserves are established in accordance with the provisions of FAS 60, including the lock-in of assumptions at inception with periodic review against experience. As at December 31, 2007, the benefit reserves for these contracts were immaterial.

For further information see Item 8, Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Future Policy Benefit Reserves.

3) Deposit Liabilities

The Company's deposit liabilities at December 31, 2007 reflect obligations assumed under funding agreements, municipal guaranteed reinvestment contracts, payment undertaking agreements and certain structured insurance and reinsurance agreements. For each of these contracts, the Company establishes a deposit liability equal to the net cash received at inception. Each deposit liability accrues at a rate equal to the internal rate of return of the payment receipts and obligations due during the life of the agreement. Where the timing and/or amount of future payments are uncertain, cash flows reflecting the Company's actuarially determined best estimates are utilized. The ultimate size of underlying losses, the impact of the contractual limits upon settlement of such losses, and the impact of the underlying loss settlement process on the timing of payments are considered as appropriate. Where uncertainty is present, an increase in the ultimate claims cost or accelerated claims settlement would potentially lead to an increase in the deposit liability accretion rate or lead to incurred losses if significant. The interest expense reported due to the accretion of deposit liabilities associated with structured insurance and reinsurance contracts was \$76.6 million, \$37.8 million and \$49.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. For some of the Company's deposit liabilities, the accretion rate is recorded at its contractual maximum level. For all other contracts, a 1% increase in the average accretion rate would result in an increase in deposit liabilities and interest expense by \$25.5 million on an annualized basis. See Item 8, Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Deposit Liabilities.

4) Derivative Instruments

The Company conducts activities in three main types of derivative instruments: investment-related derivative instruments, embedded credit derivatives related to financial guarantee reinsurance agreements and weather and energy derivatives. The estimate of fair value for credit derivatives and weather and energy derivatives requires management's judgment. These two activities are discussed below:

a) Credit Derivatives

The Company is exposed to certain credit derivatives in connection with its financial guarantee reinsurance of subsidiaries of SCA. In determining fair value, the Company relies primarily on reported mark-to-market adjustments from SCA as well as its own analysis of the related exposures. The Company reviews the SCA methodology and its application. SCA's estimate of the fair value of its in-force CDS contracts is based on the use of valuation techniques involving management judgment in regard to a number of factors, including:

- estimates of rates of return which would be required by market participants to assume the risks in the current market environment;
- the amount of subordination in the CDS contracts before liability attaches that would be required by a market participant in order for it to assume the risks;
- the actual amount of subordination in the CDS contracts before liability attaches;
- the quality of the specific assets referenced in the CDS contracts at the measurement date;
- the market perception of risk associated with asset classes referenced in the CDS contracts;
- the remaining average life of the CDS contract;
- credit price indices, published by non-affiliated financial institutions, for the type(s) of assets;
- referenced in the CDS contracts (both in terms of type of assets and their credit rating);
- price discovery resulting from discussions and negotiations with market participants to commute or transfer the risks in any of the CDS contracts; and
- prices of guarantees issued in the retail market or commutations of contracts it has executed in proximity to the measurement date.

SCA management considers all available relevant evidence in forming its best estimate of the fair value of the CDSs, as new information becomes available they may consider new or different factors than those listed above and change its estimates in the future.

The weight ascribed to these factors in forming the best estimate of the fair value of SCA's CDS contracts may vary under changing circumstances. In periods prior to the quarter ended September 30, 2007, SCA principally considered price indices published by nonaffiliated financial institutions in forming the best estimate of the fair value of the CDS contracts. The fair value of the guarantee was determined by multiplying the percentage change in the applicable credit price index or indices applicable to the assets referenced in the CDS by the present value of the remaining expected future premiums to be received under the contract.

In forming the best estimate of the fair value of the CDS contracts subsequent to June 30, 2007, however, SCA management concluded that limited reliance could be placed on price indices because events and conditions in the credit markets associated with subprime mortgage collateral and corporate loans resulted in limited or no transaction activity in many financial instruments since June 30, 2007 (including CDOs) of high grade ABS, CLOs,

RMBS, and CDOs of CDOs, causing financial institutions which publish the indices that SCA management historically relied upon to estimate the fair value of credit derivatives either to refrain from updating such indices or base changes in the indices partly on judgments in regard to estimated price levels and not actual executed trades. In addition, evidence suggested that the limited price information available in the marketplace in regard to such instruments was influenced by trades resulting from margin calls and liquidity issues that are not part of the risks associated with SCA's business model or CDS contracts. As a result of that discussed above, the fair value of credit defaults swaps subsequent to June 30, 2007 were estimated by SCA management as follows:

- in instances where current market indices were reliable and available, the estimate of fair value was based on applying the percentage change in the applicable credit price index or indices applicable to the assets referenced in the CDS by the present value of the remaining expected future premiums to be received under the contract, and
- in substantially all other instances the estimate of the fair value of CDS contracts ascribed significant weight to judgments regarding rates of return required by market participants in the current market environment and the amount of subordination required by market participants before their liability would attach under the CDS contracts. The estimated fair value was calculated by adding any additional subordination, if required based on SCA's ratings or that of the rating agencies, to the amount of additional premium required to be paid to transfer the risk to achieve the selected rate of return. Such premium was calculated by adjusting the present value of the expected remaining future net cash flows under such contracts (which are comprised of the remaining expected future premiums to be received under the contract, less estimated maintenance expenses and a provision for expected losses that will manifest in the future) to reflect the best estimate of the rates of return that would be required by a counterparty to assume the risks on such contracts.

Changes in fair value are unrealized as the credit derivatives are not traded to realize this value. See Item 7A, [Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk](#) for sensitivity analysis and Item 8, Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, [Derivative Instruments](#).

b) Weather and Energy Derivatives

The fair value of weather and energy derivatives is determined through the use of quoted market prices where available. Where quoted market prices are unavailable, the fair value is estimated using available market data and internal pricing models using consistent statistical methodologies. Estimating fair value of instruments that do not have quoted market prices requires management's judgment in determining amounts that could reasonably be expected to be received from, or paid to, a third party in settlement of the contracts. The amounts could be materially different from the amounts that might be realized in an actual sale transaction. Fair values are subject to change in the near-term and reflect management's best estimate based on various factors including, but not limited to, actual and forecasted weather conditions, changes in commodity prices, changes in interest rates and other market factors. See Item 7A, [Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk](#) for sensitivity analysis and Item 8, Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, [Derivative Instruments](#).

5) Other Than Temporary Declines in Investments

The Company's process for identifying declines in the fair value of investments that are other than temporary involves consideration of several factors. These primary factors include (i) the time period during which there has been a significant decline in value, (ii) an analysis of the liquidity, business prospects and financial condition of the issuer, (iii) the significance of the decline, (iv) an analysis of the collateral structure and other credit support, as applicable, of the securities in question, (v) expected future interest rate movements, and (vi) the Company's intent and ability to hold the investment for a sufficient period of time for the value to recover. In addition, EITF 99-20 requires that other-than-temporary impairments for certain asset backed and mortgage backed securities are recognized if the fair value of the security is less than its cost or amortized cost and there has been a decrease in the present value of the expected cash flows since the last reporting period. Where the Company's analysis of the above factors results in

the Company's conclusion that declines in fair values are other than temporary, the cost of the security is written down to fair value and the previously unrealized loss is therefore realized in the period such determination is made.

With respect to securities where the decline in value is determined to be temporary and the security's value is not written down, a subsequent decision may be made to sell that security and realize a loss. Subsequent decisions on security sales are made within the context of overall risk monitoring, changing information, market conditions generally and assessing value relative to other comparable securities. Day-to-day management of the Company's investment portfolio is outsourced to third party investment managers. While these investment managers may, at a given point in time, believe that the preferred course of action is to hold securities with unrealized losses that are considered temporary until such losses are recovered, the dynamic nature of the portfolio management may result in a subsequent decision to sell the security and realize the loss, based upon a change in market and other factors described above. The Company believes that subsequent decisions to sell such securities are consistent with the classification of the Company's portfolio as available for sale.

As mentioned above, the Company considers its intent and ability to hold a security until the value recovers as part of the process of evaluating whether a security's unrealized loss represents an other than temporary decline. Management reviews any significant sales of securities for a loss to determine if such sale impacts the assertion with respect to the intent and ability to hold to recovery. For further details on management's assessment of other than temporary impairments, see Item 8, Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Investments. However, this factor on its own does not dictate whether or not the Company recognizes an impairment charge. The Company believes its ability to hold such securities is supported by positive and sufficient cash flow from its operations and from maturities within its investment portfolio in order to meet its claims payment obligations arising from its underwriting operations without selling such investments. In this regard, cash flow from operating activities was \$2.2 billion and \$2.4 billion in 2007 and 2006, respectively.

There are risks and uncertainties associated with determining whether declines in the fair value of investments are other than temporary. These include subsequent significant changes in general economic conditions as well as specific business conditions affecting particular issuers, the Company's liability profile, subjective assessment of issue-specific factors (seniority of claims, collateral value, etc.), future financial market effects, stability of foreign governments and economies, future rating agency actions and significant disclosure of accounting, fraud or corporate governance issues that may adversely affect certain investments. In addition, significant assumptions and management judgment are involved in determining if the decline is other than temporary. If management determines that a decline in fair value is temporary, then a security's value is not written down at that time. However, there are potential effects upon the Company's future earnings and financial position should management later conclude that some of the current declines in the fair value of the investments are other than temporary declines. See Investment Activities for further information on other than temporary declines in the value of investments and unrealized loss on investments.

6) Income Taxes

The Company utilizes the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effect of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The Company had capitalized net operating tax losses of \$396.9 million and \$334.0 million against which a valuation allowance of \$204.4 million and \$198.9 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, was established. The Company had capitalized realized and unrealized capital losses of approximately \$404 million and \$74 million, respectively, against which a valuation allowance of approximately \$167 million at December 31, 2007 was established. The deferral of benefits from tax losses is evaluated based upon management's estimates of the future profitability of the Company's taxable entities based on current forecasts, the character of income and the period for which losses may be carried forward. A valuation allowance may have to be established for any portion of a deferred tax asset that management believes will not be realized. Should the future income of these entities fall below expectations, a further valuation allowance would have to be established, which could be significant. In addition, if any further losses are generated by these entities, these losses may not be tax affected.

For further information see Other Revenues and Expenses and Item 8, Note 24 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Taxation.

7) Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are carried at or below estimated fair value. There are many assumptions and estimates underlying the fair value calculation. Principally, the Company identifies the business entity that the intangible asset is attributed to, and reviews historical and forecasted performance and other underlying factors affecting such analysis, including market conditions, premium rates and loss trends. Other assumptions used could produce a significantly different result which may result in a change in the value of the intangible asset and related amortization charge in the Consolidated Statement of Income. Based on the current expectations of profitability, an impairment charge would only be recognized in the event of a significant decline in the expected profitability of those operations where such intangible assets are applicable. At December 31, 2007, intangible assets were \$1.8 billion of which approximately \$1.4 billion and \$0.4 billion related to the Company's Reinsurance and Insurance segments, respectively. See Other Revenue and Expenses for further information.

8) Variable Interest Entities

The Company utilizes variable interest entities both directly and indirectly in the ordinary course of business. The Company must assess consolidation of variable interest entities based on whether the Company is the primary beneficiary of the entity. The company that absorbs a majority of the expected losses or residual rewards of the entity is deemed to be the primary beneficiary and is therefore required to consolidate the entity. The determination of expected losses and expected residual returns requires the Company to estimate the expected cash flows of the entities and measure the expected variability of these cash flows. The use of different assumptions to determine the expected cash flows and variability could affect the Company's determination of the primary beneficiary. The assumptions used to determine the expected cash flows depend on the type of structure and the nature of the Company's variable interest. The Company has made assumptions primarily regarding default rates, the timing of defaults and recovery rates to estimate these expected cash flows of the variable interest entities that it utilizes. See Item 8, Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Variable Interest Entities, for further information.

9) Reinsurance Premium Estimates

The Company writes business on both an excess of loss and proportional basis. In the case of excess of loss contracts, the subject written premium is generally outlined within the treaty and the Company receives a minimum and/or deposit premium on a quarterly basis which is normally followed by an adjustment premium based on the ultimate subject premium for the contract. The Company estimates the premium written on the basis of the expected subject premium and regularly reviews this against actual quarterly statements to revise the estimate based on the information provided by the cedant.

On proportional contracts, written premiums are estimated to expected ultimate premiums based on information provided by the ceding companies. An estimate of premium is recorded at the inception of the contract. The ceding company's premium estimate may be adjusted based on their history of providing accurate premium estimates. When the actual premium is reported by the ceding company, normally on a quarterly basis, it may be materially higher or lower than the estimate. Adjustments arising from the reporting of actual premium by the ceding companies are recorded in the period in which they are determined.

Written premiums on excess of loss contracts are earned in accordance with the loss occurring period defined within the treaty, normally 12 months following inception of the contract. Written premiums on proportional contracts are earned over the risk periods of the underlying policies issued and renewed, normally 24 months. For both excess of loss and proportional contracts, the earned premium is recognized ratably over the earning period, namely 12 - 24 months. The portion of the premium related to the unexpired portion of the policy at the end of any reporting period is reflected in unearned premiums.

Reinstatement premiums are recognized at the time a loss event occurs where coverage limits for the remaining life of the contract are reinstated under pre-defined contract terms and are fully earned when recognized. Accrual of reinstatement premiums is based on the Company's estimate of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, which involves management judgment as described below.

Reinsurance operations by their nature add further complications in that generally the ultimate premium due under a specific contract will not be known at the time the contract is entered into. As a result, more judgment and ongoing monitoring is required to establish premiums written and earned in the Company's reinsurance operations.

The amount of premiums receivable related to the Company's reinsurance operations amounted to \$2.0 billion as at December 31, 2007.

A significant portion of amounts included as premiums receivable, which represent estimated premiums written, net of commissions, are not currently due based on the terms of the underlying contracts. Management reviews the premiums receivable balance at least quarterly and provides a provision for amounts deemed to be uncollectible. The Company recorded a provision for uncollectible premiums receivable at December 31, 2007 of \$18.6 million.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The amount of proportional and excess of loss reinsurance gross premiums written and gross acquisition expenses recognized by the Company's reinsurance operations for each line of business for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was as follows:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	December 31, 2007		December 31, 2006 (2) (3)		December 31, 2005 (2) (3)	
	Gross Premiums Written	Gross Acquisition Expenses	Gross Premiums Written	Gross Acquisition Expenses	Gross Premiums Written	Gross Acquisition Expenses
Proportional Contracts:						
Property catastrophe	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Casualty-other lines	133,444	52,062	370,780	59,274	582,451	121,758
Casualty-professional lines	47,361	23,413	58,533	46,796	72,026	50,185
Other property	739,130	201,170	774,984	205,362	766,823	183,114
Marine, energy, aviation and satellite	47,359	14,981	56,866	11,092	88,485	16,087
Other (1)	222,374	37,177	340,122	72,668	350,465	65,316
Structured Indemnity						
Total Proportional contracts	\$ 1,189,668	\$ 328,803	\$ 1,601,285	\$ 395,192	\$ 1,860,250	\$ 436,460

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	December 31, 2007		December 31, 2006 (2) (3)		December 31, 2005 (2) (3)	
	Gross Premiums Written	Gross Acquisition Expenses	Gross Premiums Written	Gross Acquisition Expenses	Gross Premiums Written	Gross Acquisition Expenses
Excess of loss Contracts:						
Property catastrophe	\$ 475,540	\$ 46,621	\$ 449,312	\$ 39,368	\$ 481,765	\$ 24,989
Casualty-other lines	409,807	69,133	289,674	6,816	278,662	56,623
Casualty-professional lines	208,919	45,476	239,429	84,063	274,732	30,932
Other property	231,066	21,724	274,875	21,361	241,510	22,825
Marine, energy, aviation and satellite	103,189	11,121	120,061	13,002	152,560	12,021
Other (1)	17,044	2,956	20,216	2,530	45,809	6,403
Structured Indemnity	28,261	374	71,286	645	36,901	1,523
Total Excess of loss contracts	\$ 1,473,826	\$ 197,405	\$ 1,464,853	\$ 167,785	\$ 1,511,939	\$ 155,316

- (1) Other includes employers liability, surety, political risk and other lines;
- (2) To facilitate period to period comparisons, certain short-term Accident and Health business previously included in the Reinsurance segment has been reclassified to the Life Operations segment to conform to current segment management responsibilities.
- (3) Certain lines of business previously reported under the Financial Lines segment have been reclassified and reported under the Insurance and Reinsurance segments based on the nature of the business written.

Segments

To better align the Company's operating and reporting structure with its current strategy and subsequent to the secondary offering of SCA's common shares on June 6, 2007, the Company revised its segment structure during the second quarter of 2007. Depending on the nature of the business written, the following product lines or transactions previously managed by and reported as part of the Financial Lines segment, are now managed as part of the Insurance and Reinsurance segments and reported therewith: (i) structured indemnity products managed through the Company's financial solutions operations (XLFS), (ii) political risk products, (iii) weather and energy management products, and (iv) legacy financial guarantee business. Subsequent to June 6, 2007, SCA is no longer a consolidated subsidiary or a separate operating segment of the

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Company and its remaining investment in SCA is accounted for using the equity method of accounting. However, historical consolidated results of the Company include the separately reported net income of SCA through to June 6, 2007. The earnings on the Company's investment in Primus and other financial operating affiliates as well as the results of certain structured finance products are reported as part of the Corporate segment that includes the general investment and financing operations of the Company. In addition, the guaranteed investment contract and funding agreement businesses are now reported separately within the Other Financial Lines segment.

Given the changes in the Company's operating and reporting structure as described above, the Company is now organized into four operating segments: Insurance, Reinsurance, Life Operations, and Other Financial Lines in addition to a corporate segment that includes the general investment and financing operations of the Company.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The Company evaluates the performance for both the Insurance and Reinsurance segments based on underwriting profit and evaluates the contribution from each of the Life Operations and Other Financial Lines segments. Other items of revenue and expenditure of the Company are not evaluated at the segment level for reporting purposes. In addition, the Company does not allocate investment assets by segment for its property and casualty operations. Investment assets related to (i) the Company's Life Operations and Other Financial Lines segments and (ii) certain structured products included in the Insurance and Reinsurance segments, are held in separately identified portfolios. As such, net investment income from these assets is included in the contribution from each of these segments.

Income Statement Analysis

Insurance

The following table summarizes the underwriting profit (loss) for the Insurance segment:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>2007</u>	<u>% Change 07 vs 06</u>	<u>2006 (1)</u>	<u>% Change 06 vs 05</u>	<u>2005 (1)</u>
Gross premiums written	\$ 5,434,266	(3.4)%	\$ 5,627,293	(3.8)%	\$ 5,848,121
Net premiums written	4,186,855	0.1%	4,185,372	(2.9)%	4,310,232
Net premiums earned	4,116,588	(1.0)%	4,159,323	(0.2)%	4,165,708
Fee income and other	11,812	(51.6)%	24,425	60.6%	15,205
Net losses and loss expenses	2,594,812	(4.8)%	2,726,847	(41.0)%	4,624,664
Acquisition costs	484,014	(2.7)%	497,367	(3.7)%	516,622
Operating expenses	683,108	6.7%	640,470	23.1%	520,452
Underwriting profit (loss)	\$ 366,466	14.9%	\$ 319,064	NM*	\$ (1,480,825)
Exchange losses (gains)	\$ 29,567	(78.9)%	\$ 140,248	NM*	\$ (25,407)
Net results - structured products	\$ (2,480)	NM*	\$ 6,895	(60.8)%	\$ 17,568

(1) Certain lines of business previously reported under the Financial Lines segment have been reclassified and reported under the Insurance and Reinsurance segments based on the nature of the business written.

* NM - Not Meaningful

Gross premiums written decreased by 3.4% during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared with the year ended 2006, while net premiums written increased slightly during the same period. Decreases in gross premiums written were due primarily to a combination of a decrease in rates, reduced shares and selective non-renewals in certain property and marine lines of business, as well as the runoff of certain property catastrophe exposures. Partially offsetting these decreases was growth in the excess and surplus book of business in the U.S., increases in the number of long-term agreements, strong new business and higher renewal retentions within certain casualty lines of business, and favorable foreign exchange rate movements. Net premiums written increased slightly as the reduction in ceded premiums written was mostly offset by the reduction in gross premiums written noted above. Ceded premiums written decreased as a result of favorable outward reinsurance pricing conditions, increased retention related to certain captive programs and marine and energy treaties, as well as refunds associated with property catastrophe programs. Partially offsetting the decrease in ceded premiums was the impact of return premium associated with the commutation of ceded reinsurance policies in professional lines of business in 2006.

Gross and net premiums written decreased by 3.8% and 2.9%, respectively, in the year ended December 31, 2006 compared with the year ended December 31, 2005. The decrease in gross premiums written was primarily due to continued competitive pressures across most non-catastrophe exposed lines, corporate risk management initiatives to reduce catastrophe exposures, fewer multi-year contracts, and the impact of foreign exchange movements on premium. Decreases in casualty premiums and the discontinuation of the segment's surety business were partially offset by volume increases in certain specialty lines of business such as environmental and aerospace. The decrease in net premiums written was primarily a result of the factors impacting gross premiums written, partially offset by return premium associated with the commutation of ceded reinsurance policies in professional lines of business, the cancellation of certain property catastrophe reinsurance programs and the absence of catastrophe related ceded reinstatement premiums.

Net premiums earned decreased by 1.0% in the year ended December 31, 2007 compared with the year ended 2006 and reflected the earn-out of overall lower net premiums written in both 2006 and 2007.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Net premiums earned decreased marginally by 0.2% in the year ended December 31, 2006 compared with the year ended December 31, 2005. The decrease over the prior year was primarily attributable to the reasons noted above affecting net premiums written and partially offset by 2005 hurricane ceded reinstatement premiums recognized and earned in 2005.

Fee income and other decreased in 2007 as compared to 2006 and increased in 2006 as compared to 2005 primarily due to a favorable legal settlement recorded in 2006 in relation to a U.K. contract as well as certain foreign exchange adjustments recorded in 2006.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The following table presents the ratios for the Insurance segment for each of the last three years ended December 31:

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Loss and loss expense ratio	63.0%	65.6%	111.0%
Underwriting expense ratio	28.4%	27.4%	24.9%
Combined ratio	91.4%	93.0%	135.9%

The loss and loss expense ratio noted above includes net losses incurred for both the current year and any favorable or adverse prior year development of loss and loss expense reserves held at the beginning of the year.

The following table summarizes the net (favorable) adverse prior year development by line of business relating to the Insurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Property	\$ (95.0)	\$ (94.4)	\$ (45.4)
Casualty and Professional	(139.1)	5.4	1,030.9
Specialty and Other	76.0	75.8	34.7
Total	\$ (158.1)	\$ (13.2)	\$ 1,020.2
Loss and loss expense ratio excluding prior year development	66.9%	65.9%	86.5%

In addition, the following tables present the prior year (favorable) adverse development of the Company's gross and net loss and loss expense reserves within the Insurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Gross:			
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves at the beginning of the year	\$ 14,528	\$ 14,756	\$ 12,477
Net (favorable) adverse development of those reserves during the year	(178)	(371)	286
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves re-estimated one year later	\$ 14,350	\$ 14,385	\$ 12,763

(U.S. dollars in millions)	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Net:			
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves at the beginning of the year	\$ 10,608	\$ 9,950	\$ 7,047
Net (favorable) adverse development of those reserves during the year	(158)	(13)	1,020
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves re-estimated one year later	\$ 10,450	\$ 9,937	\$ 8,067

The loss ratio for the Insurance segment for the year ended December 31, 2007 decreased compared with the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily due to net favorable prior year development in 2007 being greater than the net favorable prior year development in 2006. The Insurance segment net prior year reserve releases consisted of \$95.0 million in property and \$162.1 million in casualty lines of business, partially offset by net adverse development of \$23.0 million, \$7.0 million and \$69.0 million in certain professional, marine and other lines of business, respectively. Casualty releases relate primarily to the European casualty portfolio in accident years 2002-2005. The professional lines development reflects net adverse prior year development of the Bermuda E&O portfolio and releases from the Hartford and European professional lines portfolios. Strengthening in other insurance lines relates to adverse development in discontinued specialty lines, surety and

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

environmental, partially offset by favorable development in aerospace and political risk. Gross prior year development was also favorable at \$177.6 million. Excluding prior year development in both years, the loss ratio increased by 1.0 points partly due to an increase in attritional and catastrophe-related property experience and partly due to softening market conditions primarily in certain specialty lines.

Within the Insurance segment, the loss and loss expense ratio for the year ended December 31, 2006 decreased compared with the year ended December 31, 2005, primarily as a result of the absence of large natural catastrophe events and the unfavorable result of Winterthur Decision during 2005. Excluding the impact of these events, the loss ratio in 2005 was 66.8%. The relative decrease in 2006 relates to net favorable prior year reserve releases. Net favorable prior year reserve development for the year ended 2006 was \$13.2 million. This overall favorable development included reserve releases of \$94.4 million in property lines and \$91.1 million in casualty lines, partially offset by \$96.5 million, \$46.5 million and \$29.3 million of prior year adverse development in certain professional, marine and other lines of business, respectively. The gross prior year favorable development for the Insurance segment was \$371.4 million. This gross favorable development exceeds the corresponding net development as gross reported losses in casualty have developed more favorably than net losses. Lower gross reported loss amounts reflect reductions in several large recoverable losses on older years and an absence of new large losses, with consequent reductions to reinsurance recoveries.

During 2005, total net adverse prior year development within the Insurance Segment was \$1.02 billion of which \$834.2 million resulted from the negative outcome of the Winterthur Decision. The remaining \$186.0 million was comprised of net adverse prior year development of \$259.7 million in professional lines and \$34.7 million within other specialty lines including runoff and discontinued business partially offset by releases of \$108.4 million primarily in European casualty business.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

There is no assurance that conditions and trends that have affected the development of liabilities in the past will continue. Accordingly, it is not appropriate to extrapolate future redundancies or deficiencies based on the Company's historical results.

The increase in the underwriting expense ratio in the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006 was due to an increase in the operating expense ratio of 1.2 points (16.6% as compared to 15.4%), partially offset by a decrease in the acquisition expense ratio of 0.2 points (11.8% as compared to 12.0%). The increase in the operating expense ratio was due primarily to higher compensation costs associated with higher head count and corporate allocations, and was partially offset by adjustments booked in 2007 relating to previously accrued regulatory costs. The decrease in the acquisition expense ratio resulted primarily from an increase in outward reinsurance profit commissions as well as favorable prior year premium adjustments. Offsetting these decreases was the impact of a reduction in certain ceded brokerage costs in 2006.

The underwriting expense ratio in the year ended December 31, 2006 increased compared to the same period in 2005, as a result of an increase in the operating expense ratio of 2.9 points (15.4% as compared to 12.5%) partially offset by a lower acquisition expense ratio. The increase in the operating expense ratio was due primarily to increased performance-based compensation costs. The lower acquisition expense ratio related primarily to a reduction in certain ceded brokerage costs in 2006 combined with the impact on the expense ratio of reinstatement premiums earned in 2005.

Foreign exchange losses in the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, and foreign exchange gains in the year ended December 31, 2005, were due primarily to the change in the value of the U.S. dollar against certain European currencies including the U.K. Sterling and the Euro on certain inter-company balances.

Net results from structured insurance products include certain structured indemnity contracts that are accounted for as deposit contracts. Results from these contracts for the year ended December 31, 2007, decreased compared to the same period in 2006 due to an unfavorable accretion adjustment recorded in 2007 based on changes in expected cash flows and the accelerated earnings recorded in 2006 resulting from a commutation. Offsetting these decreases was higher net investment income as a result of higher yields and overall larger investment asset balances. Results for these contracts for the year ended December 31, 2006, decreased as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, due to a favorable accretion adjustment recorded in 2005 based on changes in expected cash flows, partially offset by higher yields in 2006 and from the accelerated earnings in 2006 resulting from a commutation.

Reinsurance

The following table summarizes the underwriting profit (loss) for this segment:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	2007	% Change 07 vs 06	2006 (1) (2)	% Change 06 vs 05	2005 (1) (2)
Gross premiums written	\$ 2,663,494	(13.1)%	\$ 3,066,138	(9.1)%	\$ 3,372,189
Net premiums written	2,110,865	(11.5)%	2,384,340	(12.8)%	2,733,165
Net premiums earned	2,302,039	(10.5)%	2,570,959	(6.0)%	2,734,161
Fee income and other	1,698	(63.1)%	4,597	54.3%	2,979
Net losses and loss expenses	1,244,764	(14.7)%	1,459,309	(47.6)%	2,782,565
Acquisition costs	482,024	(5.7)%	511,133	(12.1)%	581,239
Operating expenses	205,966	8.1%	190,545	26.8%	150,279
Underwriting profit (loss)	\$ 370,983	(10.5)%	\$ 414,569	NM*	\$ (776,943)
Exchange (gains) losses	(48,219)	12.4%	(42,894)	NM*	33,183
Net results - structured products	25,520	(29.5)%	36,218	187.4%	12,604

* NM Not meaningful

(1) To facilitate period to period comparisons, certain short-term Accident and Health business previously included in the Reinsurance segment has been reclassified to the Life Operations segment to conform to current segment management responsibilities.

(2) Certain lines of business previously reported under the Financial Lines segment have been reclassified and reported under the Insurance and Reinsurance segments based on the nature of the business written.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Gross and net premiums written decreased by 13.1% and 11.5%, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared with the year ended December 31, 2006. These decreases resulted from the Company declining business due to competitive pressures continuing to drive certain rates below the Company's acceptable underwriting levels as well as certain favorable reinstatement premium and U.S. property program adjustments recorded in 2006. For the year ended December 31, 2007, premium rate decreases were most significant in certain casualty and non-U.S. property lines of business. Net premiums written reflect the above changes in gross premiums written, combined with a reduction in ceded premiums in 2007 as compared to 2006 as a result of higher retentions and a lower cost of external retrocession.

Gross and net premiums written decreased 9.1% and 12.8%, respectively, in the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to year ended December 31, 2005. The decrease in gross and net premiums written was primarily attributable to rate and volume reductions within the casualty line of business, and catastrophe gross and net reinstatement premiums of \$138.7 million and \$34.7

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

million, respectively, recorded in 2005. These decreases were partially offset by a change in accrual methodology and both written and ceded premiums adjustments for certain U.S. property programs in 2006. On a net premiums written basis, the decrease was also attributable to the catastrophe quota share reinsurance treaty with Cyrus Re. In addition, as part of the Company's aggregate risk reduction initiatives, there was a net reduction in catastrophe exposure and a restructuring of the Company's marine and energy exposures.

Net premiums earned in the year ended December 31, 2007 decreased 10.5% as compared to the same period in 2006. Net premiums earned in the year ended December 31, 2006 decreased 6.0% as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. The decrease in both 2007 and 2006 was a reflection of the overall reduction of net premiums written over the last three years.

The following table presents the ratios for the Reinsurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Loss and loss expense ratio	54.1%	56.8%	101.8%
Underwriting expense ratio	29.8%	27.3%	26.8%
Combined ratio	83.9%	84.1%	128.6%

The loss and loss expense ratio includes net losses incurred for both the current year and any favorable or adverse prior year development of loss reserves held at the beginning of the year.

The following table summarizes the net (favorable) adverse prior year development by line of business relating to the Reinsurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Property and other short-tail lines	\$ (188.7)	\$ (133.0)	\$ (161.4)
Casualty and other	(87.4)	7.3	254.9
Structured Indemnity	8.8	28.3	
Total	\$ (267.3)	\$ (97.4)	\$ 93.5
Loss and loss expense ratio excluding prior year development	65.7%	60.6%	98.4%

In addition, the following tables present the prior year (favorable) adverse development of the Company's gross and net loss and loss expense reserves within the Reinsurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Gross:			
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves at the beginning of the year	\$ 8,193	\$ 8,704	\$ 7,008
Net (favorable) adverse development of those reserves during the year	(260)	(18)	85
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves re-estimated one year later	\$ 7,933	\$ 8,686	\$ 7,093

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Net:			
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves at the beginning of the year	\$ 7,138	\$ 7,112	\$ 5,495
Net (favorable) adverse development of those reserves during the year	(267)	(98)	94

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves re-estimated one year later	\$ 6,871	\$ 7,014	\$ 5,589
---	----------	----------	----------

The decrease in the loss and loss expense ratio for the Reinsurance segment in the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006, is due primarily to net favorable prior year loss development. Within the Reinsurance segment, reserve releases of \$188.7 million primarily in property and other short-tail lines of business and \$87.4 million in casualty and other lines, was partially offset by adverse prior year development of \$8.8 million within the structured indemnity line of business. Releases in the short-tail lines relate primarily to the 2005 and 2006 accident years. The casualty and other lines favorable development of \$87.4 million reflects the net result of releases of \$121.4 million primarily in the US and non-US casualty portfolios, partially offset by net adverse development of \$13.0 million associated with the results of an external review of the Company's asbestos and environmental reserves and \$21.0 million related to a commutation of a stop loss reinsurance agreement. Excluding prior year development, the loss ratio for the year ended December 31, 2007 increased by 5.1 loss percentage points as compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of higher attritional losses within the property risk book of business as well as losses recorded relating to certain catastrophe losses including European windstorms Kyrill and Per/Hanno, California wildfires and the Peru earthquake. Favorable gross prior year development of \$259.7 million was in line with the net development.

Within the Reinsurance segment, the decrease in the loss and loss expense ratio in the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to the same period in 2005, primarily reflected the lack of large natural catastrophe events such as those that occurred

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

during 2005. In the year ended 2006, net favorable prior year development of \$97.4 million resulted primarily from net favorable development in property and other short-tail lines of \$133.0 million including adverse development on the loss estimates for the 2005 catastrophes of \$74.6 million. In addition, casualty lines reflected reserve releases of \$10.9 million while structured indemnity and other lines of business resulted in net adverse reserve development of \$28.3 million and \$18.2 million, respectively. The gross prior year favorable development for the Reinsurance segment was \$17.7 million. This gross favorable development was less than the corresponding net development as net adverse development on the 2005 catastrophes was less significant than the gross adverse development due to reinsurance recoveries.

The increase in the loss and loss expense ratio in the year ended December 31, 2005 primarily reflected the effects of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma combined with the Other Cats from which the Reinsurance segment incurred a combined \$977.9 million of net losses. In addition, during 2005, net prior year reserves across the Reinsurance segment developed adversely by \$93.5 million and included net adverse development of \$266.9 million in casualty and professional lines was partially offset by releases in short-tail lines of business of \$173.4 million.

The increase in the underwriting expense ratio in the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared with the year ended December 31, 2006, was due to an increase in both operating expense and acquisition expense ratios to 8.9% and 20.9%, respectively, as compared with 7.4% and 19.9%, respectively, in the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase in acquisition expenses was primarily due to a change in the mix of business as well as an adjustment related to the earning of acquisition expenses within the U.S. individual risk portfolio recorded in the first quarter of 2007. The operating expense ratio increase was due primarily to higher compensation expenses and corporate allocations, combined with a lower level of net premiums earned.

The increase in the underwriting expense ratio in year ended December 31, 2006, compared to the same period in 2005, was due to an increase in the operating expense ratio to 7.4%, as compared to 5.5% in the year ended December 31, 2006. The operating expense ratio increase was primarily due to an increase in performance-based compensation expenses and costs related to various strategic initiatives within the Reinsurance segment including the establishment of an integrated reinsurance platform in Europe. The increase in operating expenses was offset by a decrease in the acquisition expense ratio from 21.3% during the year ended December 31, 2005 to 19.9% in the same period in 2006. The decrease in the net acquisition expense ratio was due primarily to commissions received from Cyrus Re related to the property catastrophe retrocession.

Foreign exchange gains in the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 and foreign exchange losses in the year ended December 31, 2005 were due primarily to the change in the value of the U.S. dollar against certain European currencies including the U.K. Sterling and the Euro on certain inter-company balances.

Net results from structured reinsurance products include certain structured indemnity contracts that are accounted for as deposit contracts. Results from these products for the year ended December 31, 2007 decreased compared to the same period in 2006 mainly as a result of a higher accretion rate recorded in 2007 on deposit liabilities relating to certain workers' compensation coverage and partially offset by higher net investment income in 2007 as a result of an increase in the average size of investment asset balances as a result of new transactions. Net results from structured reinsurance products for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased compared to the same period in 2005 primarily as a result of higher net investment income from new transactions and a reduction in interest expense accretion in 2006 relating to certain deposit liabilities resulting from a change in the timing of estimated cash flows.

Life Operations

The following table summarizes the contribution from this segment:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	2007	% Change 07 vs 06	2006 (1)	% Change 06 vs 05	2005 (1)
Gross premiums written	\$ 743,220	8.2%	\$ 686,906	(70.8)%	\$ 2,350,319
Net premiums written	698,693	8.1%	646,608	(72.0)%	2,311,275
Net premiums earned	701,047	8.4%	646,450	(72.0)%	2,306,276
Fee income and other	698	113.5%	327	2.2%	320
Claims and policy benefits	888,658	10.1%	807,255	(67.8)%	2,510,029
Acquisition costs	90,923	21.8%	74,675	(7.4)%	80,636
Operating expenses	34,838	(2.5)%	35,720	52.1%	23,480
Exchange (gains) losses	(1,121)	NM*	(8,158)	NM*	1,421
Net investment income	390,227	10.9%	351,935	17.9%	298,408
Contribution from Life operations	\$ 78,674	(11.8) %	\$ 89,220	NM*	\$ (10,562)

(1) To facilitate period to period comparisons, certain short-term Accident and Health business previously included in the Reinsurance segment has been reclassified to the Life Operations segment to conform to current segment management responsibilities.

* NM Not meaningful

The following table is an analysis of the Life operations gross premiums written, net premiums written and net premiums earned for the last three years ended December 31:

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	2007			2006			2005		
	Gross Premiums Written	Net Premiums Written	Net Premiums Earned	Gross Premiums Written	Net Premiums Written	Net Premiums Earned	Gross Premiums Written	Net Premiums Written	Net Premiums Earned
Other Life	\$ 435,415	\$ 427,337	\$ 429,690	\$ 336,528	\$ 332,555	\$ 332,397	\$ 261,740	\$ 258,411	\$ 253,412
Annuity	307,805	271,356	271,357	350,378	314,053	314,053	2,088,579	2,052,864	2,052,864
Total	\$ 743,220	\$ 698,693	\$ 701,047	\$ 686,906	\$ 646,608	\$ 646,450	\$ 2,350,319	\$ 2,311,275	\$ 2,306,276

With respect to the Life Operations segment, gross and net premiums written, net premiums earned and claims and policy benefits in each of the three years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 included the assumption of the following long duration single premium annuity portfolios:

1. Second quarter of 2007 – one Irish immediate annuity portfolio bound, for which net premium earned totaled \$94.6 million.
2. Second and fourth quarters of 2006 - two Irish immediate annuity portfolios bound, for which net premium earned totaled \$152.5 million.
3. Second and fourth quarters of 2005 – A large U.K. immediate annuity portfolio contract bound representing \$1.8 billion in net premiums earned and two Irish immediate annuity portfolios which totaled \$96.2 million.

The Company acquired cash and investment assets related to the future policy benefit reserves assumed at inception of these large contracts. While the Company expects to write more of these contracts, the frequency of these transactions will likely continue to be irregular and their sizes will differ from transaction to transaction.

Gross premiums written relating to other life business increased by \$98.9 million or 29.4% in the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 primarily as a result of growth in the underlying book of term assurance and critical illness business of \$79.6 million, combined with favorable foreign exchange movements of \$19.3 million. Gross premiums written relating to annuity business decreased by \$42.6 million or 12.2% in the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended 2006 mainly due to a lower level of single premium annuity portfolio business of \$57.8 million, offset by favorable foreign exchange rate movements of \$15.2 million. Ceded premium ratios remained roughly consistent with the prior year. Gross premiums written relating to other life business increased by \$74.8 million or 28.6% in the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005 primarily due to growth in the underlying book of term assurance and critical illness business of \$57.6 million, growth in the underlying book of short-term accident and health business of \$11.2 million, and favorable foreign exchange movements of \$6.0 million. Gross premiums written relating to annuity business decreased by \$1,738.2 million or 83.2% in the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended 2005 mainly as a result of the large U.K. immediate annuity portfolio contract bound in 2005 representing \$1,797.3 million of gross premiums written, offset by an increase in Irish immediate annuity portfolio business of \$56.3 million and favorable foreign exchange rate movements of \$2.8 million. Ceded premium ratios remained roughly consistent with the prior year.

Net premiums earned in the year ended December 31, 2007 increased 8.4% as compared to the same period in 2006. This increase was consistent with the increase in gross and net premiums written as described above. Net premiums earned in the year ended December 31, 2006 decreased by 72.0% compared to the same period in 2005, mainly as a result of the assumption of the large U.K immediate annuity portfolio contract noted above.

Changes in claims and policy benefit reserves were generally consistent with movements in gross and net premiums written but also included the movement in policy benefit reserves related to other contracts (such as tranches of immediate annuity portfolios) where investment assets were acquired with the assumption of the policy benefit reserves at the inception of the contract. Claims and policy benefit reserves increased by \$81.4 million or 10.1% in the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the same period in 2006 mainly as a result of growth in the underlying block of term assurance and critical illness business increasing losses by \$65.2 million, unfavorable foreign exchange rate movements of \$52.8 million, a loss recognition adjustment of \$25.4 million relating to certain novated blocks of U.S.-based term life mortality reinsurance business, offset by lower losses relating to single premium immediate annuity business of \$62.0 million from fewer single premium portfolio contracts bound during the year.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

In accordance with U.S. GAAP treatment for life business, a loss recognition test process was carried out in 2007 on a block of U.S.-based term life mortality business, to determine if expected future premiums would be sufficient to pay for expected future losses. This process led to management's decision to unlock the then current policy benefit reserve basis and record a loss of \$25.4 million on this block of business. In 2005, \$37.4 million in losses were recorded relating to the same block of business as a result of a similar loss recognition test that was carried out during that year.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Claims and policy benefit reserves decreased significantly in 2006 as compared to 2005 mainly due to the large U.K. immediate annuity contract bound in 2005 as well as losses recorded relating to the novated blocks of U.S.-based term life mortality business noted above.

Acquisition costs increased by 21.8% in 2007 as compared to 2006 mainly as a result of the growth in the book of regular term assurance and critical illness treaties consistent with the earned premium variance, as well as the impact of a favorable profit commission adjustment recorded in 2006 relating to a term life treaty. Operating expenses decreased by 2.5% in 2007 as compared to 2006 primarily as a result of a decrease in both professional fees and corporate allocations, offset by unfavorable foreign exchange rate movements and higher compensation costs.

Acquisition costs decreased by 7.4% in 2006 as compared to 2005, primarily as a result of a \$25.9 million write-off of certain deferred costs related to the novated blocks of U.S. mortality business noted above in 2005 and partially offset by a higher volume of regular term assurance and critical illness business in 2006. Operating expenses increased in 2006 as compared to 2005 due to the build out of existing operations and start-up costs of new life operations, including the U.S. life reinsurance platform and the U.K. life fund integration team, as well as an increase in professional fees and corporate allocations related to these initiatives.

Net investment income is included in the calculation of contribution from Life Operations, as it relates to income earned on portfolios of separately identified and managed life investment assets and other allocated assets. Net investment income increased by \$38.3 million or 10.9% in 2007 as compared to 2006, primarily as a result of favorable foreign exchange rate movements of \$23.9 million and increases in the average size of investment asset balances. Net investment income increased by \$53.5 million or 17.9% in 2006 as compared to 2005, primarily as a result of an increase in the average size of investment asset balances, improved yields and favorable foreign exchange rate movements.

Other Financial Lines

The Other Financial Lines segment is comprised of the guaranteed investment contract and funding agreement businesses. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the net results from these structured products were \$48.1 million and included net investment income, interest expense and operating expenses of \$389.0 million, \$326.4 million, and \$14.5 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the net results from these structured products were \$34.7 million and included net investment income, interest expense and operating expenses of \$341.9 million, \$296.9 million, and \$10.4 million, respectively, while in 2005, the net results from these structured products were \$33.7 million and included net investment income, interest expense and operating expenses of \$213.3 million, \$166.4 million, and \$13.2 million, respectively. For 2007, net investment income increased primarily as a result of an increase in yields on invested assets. Interest expense increased due to an increase in the average deposit liability balance combined with an increase in crediting rates consistent with the yield changes noted above. Operating expenses increased by \$4.1 million during 2007 as compared to 2006 primarily due to reclassification of certain contract expenses and partially offset by reduced corporate allocations. Consistent with 2007, net investment income increased in 2006 as compared to 2005 as a result of an increase in the average asset balances while interest expense increased during the same period as a result of an increase in the average deposit liability balances.

While not reported within the contribution from the Other Financial Lines segment, it should be noted that approximately \$370.7 million of the reported realized losses on investments for 2007 related to portfolios associated with funding agreements and guaranteed investment contracts.

As noted above, as a result of the downgrades of XLCA, the Company will settle, by late March 2008, the majority of the GIC portfolio.

SCA

Prior to the secondary offering of SCA common shares on June 6, 2007, net income from SCA was consolidated with the Company's results and SCA was considered an operating segment of the Company. Subsequent to June 6, 2007, SCA was no longer a consolidated subsidiary or an operating segment of the Company and its remaining investment in SCA has been accounted for using the equity method of accounting, recorded on a one quarter lag through equity earnings (loss) from financial operating affiliates. For the period from June 6 to December 31, 2007, the recent credit market volatility and concern over residential mortgage markets have impacted SCA's results significantly. For further information on SCA, see Results of Operations and Other Revenues and Expenses within Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. In addition, see Notes 3 and 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Security Capital Assurance and Investments in Affiliates, for further information.

For the period from January 1 to June 6, 2007, continued growth in net premiums earned from SCA's financial guarantee business was offset by the absence of significant accelerated refunding premiums in 2007 that were reported in 2006. Net losses and loss expenses decreased mainly due to no significant new case basis provisions in the first five months of 2007 combined with a case reserve release recorded in the first quarter of 2007. Overall net income from SCA, however, decreased as net realized and unrealized losses related to outstanding credit derivatives increased significantly as market spreads widened during the first five months of 2007 which was partially offset by a decrease in realized investment losses associated with an impairment charge recorded in the first nine

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

months of 2006. In addition, operating expenses increased due to higher compensation expenses and the corporate costs associated with being a public company on a stand alone basis.

For the year ended 2006 as compared to the year ended 2005, net income from SCA increased by 28.6% to \$104.6 million from \$81.3 million, respectively, primarily as a result of an increase in net premiums earned, lower net losses and loss expenses and higher net investment income. Net premiums earned increased in 2006, as compared to 2005, as a result of growth of the in-force business (in particular, installment premium business written in prior periods) and earned premiums from the early retirement or refunding of insured securities. In addition, net losses and loss expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006, decreased compared to the same period in 2005 as a result of lower case basis reserves recorded in 2006 as compared to 2005, while net investment income increased in the same period due to increases in the investment asset balances in 2006.

Investment Activities

The following table illustrates the change in net investment income from property and casualty operations, net income from investment fund affiliates, net realized (losses) gains on investments, and net realized and unrealized (losses) gains on investment derivative instruments for each of the three years ended December 31:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	2007	% Change 07 vs 06	2006	% Change 06 vs 05	2005
Net investment income property and casualty operations (1)	\$ 1,289,554	18.2%	\$ 1,090,785	34.6%	\$ 810,482
Net income from investment fund affiliates (2)	326,007	21.2%	269,036	73.7%	154,844
Net realized (losses) gains on investments	(603,268)	NM*	(116,458)	NM*	241,882
Net realized and unrealized (losses) gains on investment and other derivative instruments (3)	(55,451)	NM*	101,183	NM*	28,858

(1) Net investment income relating to property and casualty operations does not include the net investment income related to the net results from structured products.

(2) The Company records the income related to alternative fund affiliates on a one month lag and the private investment fund affiliates on a three month lag in order for the Company to meet the accelerated filing deadlines.

(3) For a summary of realized and unrealized gains and losses on all derivative instruments, see Item 8, Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Derivative Instruments.

* NM Not meaningful

Net investment income related to property and casualty operations increased in 2007 as compared to 2006 due primarily to a higher investment base and increases in the yield of the portfolio. The market yield to maturity on the fixed income portfolio was 5.8% at December 31, 2007, as compared to 5.2% at December 31, 2006. The increase was primarily due to the full 2007 financial year impact of the higher interest rates experienced in the U.S., U.K. and Euro-zone, during the latter part of 2006 and the beginning part of 2007.

Net investment income related to property and casualty operations increased in 2006 as compared to 2005 due primarily to increases in the yield of the portfolio and a higher investment base. The market yield to maturity on the fixed income portfolio was 5.2% at December 31, 2006, as compared to 4.7% at December 31, 2005, due primarily to higher interest rates in the U.S., U.K., and Euro-zone. The growth in the investment base reflected the Company's issuance of ordinary shares and equity units in the fourth quarter of 2005 and the Company's positive cash flow from operations.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, total investments, cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net payable for investments purchased were \$43.7 billion and \$44.7 billion, respectively. This decrease of \$0.9 billion was primarily due to the mark-to-market effect as a result of the challenging credit markets as well as impact of the Company's financing activities, and was partially offset by positive cash flow from operations of \$2.2 billion. The annualized effective yield of the total investment portfolio (excluding non-recurring items) was 5.6% for 2007 as compared to 5.1% for 2006, a 0.5% increase. The increase in the effective yield of the total investment portfolio was primarily due to investment duration being lengthened in early 2007 prior to declining interest rates in the U.S. and the U.K.

The Company expects stable net investment income from its property and casualty operations in 2008, The average asset base and yields are both expected to be stable relative to current levels. For a further discussion see Liquidity and Capital Resources.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Net income from investment fund affiliates includes earnings from the Company's investments in closed-end investment funds and partnerships and similar vehicles that are equity accounted. Net income from investment fund affiliates increased in 2007 as compared to 2006 due primarily to exceptional results in the alternative portfolio and as a result of a higher investment asset base. Net income from investment fund affiliates increased in 2006 as compared to 2005 primarily due to a strong result in private investment portfolios due to a series of positive liquidity events and fair value adjustments, as well as good returns on the alternative portfolios.

In addition, derivative losses for the year ended December 31, 2007 include a mark-to-market loss of \$37.0 million in the third quarter with respect to a total return swap on the capital notes of a SIV impacted by the challenging credit markets. During the fourth quarter, the Company terminated the swap, recognized an additional \$3.9 million mark-to-market loss and took delivery of the underlying asset. The asset was subsequently written off to nil resulting in a realized loss on investments of \$57.3 million.

Investment Performance

The Company manages its investment grade fixed income securities using an asset/liability management framework. Due to the unique nature of the underlying liabilities, customized benchmarks are used to measure investment performance and comparison to standard market indices is not meaningful. Investment performance is not monitored for certain assets primarily consisting of operating cash and special regulatory deposits. The following is a summary of the investment portfolio returns for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 of the asset/liability portfolio and risk asset portfolios:

	<u>2007(1)</u>	<u>2006(1)</u>
Asset/Liability Portfolio		
USD fixed income portfolio	1.6%	4.6%
Non-USD fixed income portfolio	1.0%	2.9%
Risk Asset Portfolio		
Alternative portfolio (2)	17.0%	11.5%
Equity portfolio	10.1%	20.0%
High-Yield fixed income portfolio	0.9%	8.0%

(1) Portfolio returns are calculated by dividing the sum of the net investment income or net income from investment fund affiliates, realized gains (losses) and unrealized gains (losses) by the average market value of each portfolio. Performance is measured in either the underlying asset currency or the functional currency.

(2) Performance on the alternative portfolio reflects the twelve months ended November 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Net Realized Gains and Losses on Investments and Other than Temporary Declines in the Value of Investments

The Company's investment portfolio is classified as available for sale. Realized investment gains and losses occur through the normal turnover of the Company's investment portfolio. Realized investment losses include impairment charges relating to declines in value of securities that are considered to be other than temporary. See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates for further information.

In 2007, net realized losses on investments were \$603.3 million which included \$1,012.8 million of gross realized losses on fixed income and \$46.9 million of gross realized losses on equity securities. Gross realized losses in 2007 included \$611.0 million of provisions for declines in fair value considered to be other than temporary. Included in the balances noted below are realized losses and impairment in the sub-prime and related mortgage exposed portfolios totaling \$385.1 million. As a percentage of the total fixed income portfolio, the write-down for other than temporary declines was 1.5% in 2007 as compared to 0.3% in 2006 and 0.1% in 2005. Further details are included in Results of Operations.

In the U.S., the general level of corporate defaults was lower than in recent years. For example, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) reported that 12 issuers defaulted in 2007 compared with 23 issuers in 2006 and 30 issuers in 2005. The Company's exposure to these corporate defaults was limited in both 2007 and 2006, resulting in a low level in the write-down for other than temporary declines related to corporate credit events.

In 2006, net realized losses on investments were \$116.5 million which includes \$390.4 million of gross realized losses on fixed income and \$88.5 million of gross realized losses on equity securities. Gross realized losses in 2006 included \$151.4 million of provisions for declines in fair value considered to be other than temporary. In 2005, net realized gains on investments were \$241.9 million which includes \$250.8 million of gross realized losses on fixed income and \$54.1 million of gross realized losses on equity securities. Gross realized losses in 2005 included \$53.2 million of provisions for declines in fair value considered to be other than temporary.

With respect to those securities that were sold at a loss during the year ended December 31, 2007, the following is an analysis of the period of time that those securities had been in a continual unrealized loss position, the amount of the realized loss recorded in the Company's results of operations as of the sale date and the amount of the impairment charge taken in the year:

Length of time in a continual unrealized loss position (U.S. dollars in thousands)	<u>Fixed Income Securities</u>	<u>Equity Securities</u>
Less than 6 months	\$ 111,174	\$ 32,023
At least 6 months but less than 12 months	202,682	8,294

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

At least 12 months but less than 2 years	42,234	3,887
At least 2 years	48,393	126
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total gross realized loss from sales	404,483	44,330
Impairment charges for declines in value considered to be other than temporary	608,322	2,600
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total gross realized loss	\$ 1,012,805	\$ 46,930
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

With respect to those securities that were sold at a loss during the year ended December 31, 2006, the following is an analysis of the period of time that those securities had been in a continual unrealized loss position, the amount of the realized loss recorded in the Company's results of operations as of the sale date and the amount of the impairment charge taken in the year:

Length of time in a continual unrealized loss position <i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	Fixed Income Securities	Equity Securities
Less than 6 months	\$ 168,462	\$ 66,876
At least 6 months but less than 12 months	81,202	17,573
At least 12 months but less than 2 years	7,505	1,239
At least 2 years	73	
Total gross realized loss from sales	257,242	85,688
Impairment charges for declines in value considered to be other than temporary	133,185	2,831
Total gross realized loss	\$ 390,427	\$ 88,519

The Company's process for identifying declines in the fair value of investments that are other than temporary involves consideration of several factors, as discussed under "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates."

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company realized losses of \$90.6 million and \$4.0 million upon the sale of fixed income securities and equity securities, respectively, that had been in an unrealized loss position for greater than twelve months. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company realized losses of \$7.6 million and \$1.2 million upon the sale of fixed income securities and equity securities, respectively, that had been in an unrealized loss position for greater than twelve months. The decisions to sell these securities were made by the Company's investment managers based upon a change in market conditions and other factors (these decisions were independent of the Company's previously stated intent and ability to hold such securities).

Net realized and unrealized losses on investment derivatives for 2007 resulted primarily from losses associated with the capital notes of a SIV as noted above. For a further discussion see Item 7A, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" and "Liquidity and Capital Resources."

Other Revenues and Expenses

The following table sets forth other revenues and expenses of the Company for each of the three years ended December 31:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	2007	% Change 2007 vs 2006	2006	% Change 2006 vs 2005	2005
Net (loss) income from operating affiliates (1)	\$ (1,059,848)	NM*	\$ 111,670	65.6%	\$ 67,426
Amortization of intangible assets	1,680	(28.7)%	2,355	(78.1)%	10,752
Corporate operating expenses	139,469	(30.2)%	199,723	7.4%	185,936
Interest expense (2)	210,499	1.7%	207,066	10.5%	187,457
Income tax expense	233,922	6.5%	219,645	NM*	49,284
Minority interest	23,928	(4.3)%	25,016	204.7%	8,210

- (1) The Company records the income related to certain operating affiliates on a three month lag in order for the Company to meet accelerated filing deadlines.
- (2) Interest expense does not include interest expense related structured products as reported within the Insurance and Reinsurance segments.

* NM Not meaningful

The following table sets forth the net income (loss) from operating affiliates for each of the three years ended December 31:

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>2007</u>	<u>% Change 2007 vs 2006</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>% Change 2006 vs 2005</u>	<u>2005</u>
Net (loss) income from financial operating affiliates	\$ (1,190,161)	NM*	\$ 23,461	NM*	\$ 5,055
Net income from investment manager affiliates	94,630	21.8%	77,690	47.8%	52,548
Net income from other strategic operating affiliates	35,683	239.2%	10,519	7.1%	9,823
Total	\$ (1,059,848)	NM*	\$ 111,670	65.6%	\$ 67,426

Net losses from financial operating affiliates in 2007 include the results and related write-downs associated with SCA and Primus as well as the impact of losses recorded on reinsurance transactions with SCA of approximately \$351.0 million. Further details are included in Results of Operations . In addition, see Notes 3 and 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Security Capital Assurance and Investments in Affiliates , for further information.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Investment manager affiliate income increased in 2007 as compared to 2006 mainly due to strong results generated from certain global equity and mortgage specialist investment manager affiliates, partially offset by the write-off of the Company's investment in a manager that managed a series of structured investment vehicles and conduits.

Net income from other strategic operating affiliates increased in 2007 as compared to 2006, primarily as a result of strong results from an insurance affiliate which writes largely U.S. homeowners insurance and the Company's Brazilian joint venture ITAUXL.

In 2006, the increase in net income from operating affiliates relative to 2005 was primarily due to the gain on sale of the FrontPoint Partners LLC, an investment management affiliate, of \$41.7 million. The results also include continued strong performance by the Company's investment management affiliates driven by strong growth in assets managed by these minority-owned managers.

Amortization of intangible assets decreased in 2007 as a result of certain intangible assets that were fully amortized during 2006.

Corporate operating expenses decreased by 30.2% in 2007 as compared to 2006 primarily as a result of certain compensation costs being allocated to the operating segments in 2007. Corporate operating expenses increased by 7.4% in 2006 as compared to 2005 primarily as a result of increased performance-based compensation costs and increased legal costs.

Interest expense from property and casualty operations includes costs related to the Company's debt and collateral facilities as well as certain deposit liability accretion. Interest expense was higher in 2007 as compared to 2006 primarily due to the issuance of the 2027 Senior Notes in early May 2007 as well as the costs associated with the retirement of the 2009 Senior Notes. The increase in interest expense in 2006 as compared to 2005 primarily reflected the increase in average outstanding debt. For more information on the Company's financial structure, see Liquidity and Capital Resources.

Income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2007 increased as compared to the same period in 2006, and increased from 2006 as compared to 2005, principally from an improvement in the profitability of the Company's U.S. and European property and casualty operations in those respective years. The Company's effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2007 increased from that in 2006 due primarily to non-deductible asset impairment charges recorded in Bermuda, the U.S. and the U.K. The Company's effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2006 decreased slightly from that in 2005 due to \$30.0 million of tax benefits associated with corrections in the year to reserves ceded to its Bermuda reinsurance entities. In addition, the Company recorded a tax charge in 2006 of \$6.0 million in connection with the IPO of SCA.

The deferral of tax losses is evaluated based upon the future profitability of the Company's taxable entities and, under current projections, the Company anticipates using this asset. The Company's net deferred tax asset at December 31, 2007 was \$370.4 million, which consisted primarily of net operating losses generated by subsidiaries in the U.K. Should the taxable income of these entities fall below expectations, a further valuation allowance may have to be established, which could be significant. In addition, if any further losses are generated by these entities, these losses may not be tax effected. See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates and Item 8, Note 24 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Taxation.

Minority interest expense in 2007 related primarily to the 37% ownership interest in SCA common shares held by the public prior to the secondary offering of SCA common shares on June 6, 2007, while minority interest expense in 2006 related primarily to the 37% ownership interest in SCA following the initial public offering in August 2006 as well as the minority interest ownership in preferred shares of XL Financial Assurance (XLFA). In addition, included in each of the respective years presented is minority interest in the common shares of XL International (Bermuda) Ltd.

Balance Sheet Analysis

Investments

The primary objectives of the investment strategy are to support the liabilities arising from the operations of the Company, generate stable investment income and to build book value for the Company over the longer term. The strategy strives to maximize investment returns while taking into account market and credit risk. The Company's overall investment portfolio is structured to take into account a number of variables including local regulatory requirements, business needs, collateral management and risk tolerance.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, total investments, cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net payable for investments purchased were \$43.7 billion and \$44.7 billion, respectively. The following table summarizes the composition of the Company's invested assets at December 31, 2007 and 2006:

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>Fair Value 2007</u>	<u>Percent of Total</u>	<u>Fair Value 2006</u>	<u>Percent of Total</u>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,880,030	8.9%	\$ 2,223,748	5.0%
Net payable for investments purchased	(191,472)	(0.4)%	(104,739)	(0.2)%
Accrued investment income	447,660	1.0%	432,618	1.0%
Short-term investments	1,803,198	4.1%	2,338,487	5.2%
Fixed maturities:				
U.S. Government and Government agency	\$ 2,685,773	6.1%	\$ 2,557,533	5.7%
Corporate	12,987,248	29.7%	14,185,964	31.7%
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	14,493,877	33.1%	16,236,893	36.3%
U.S. States and political subdivisions of the States	253,534	0.6%	65,545	0.2%
Non-U.S. Sovereign Government	3,187,358	7.3%	3,075,423	6.9%
Total fixed maturities	\$ 33,607,790	76.8%	\$ 36,121,358	80.8%
Equity securities	854,815	2.0%	891,138	2.0%
Investments in affiliates	2,611,149	6.0%	2,308,781	5.1%
Other investments	708,476	1.6%	476,889	1.1%
Total investments and cash and cash equivalents	\$ 43,721,646	100.0%	\$ 44,688,280	100.0%

The Company reviews on a regular basis its issuer concentration, credit quality and compliance with established guidelines. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the average credit quality of the Company's total fixed income portfolio (including fixed maturities, short-term investments, cash and cash equivalents and net payable for investments purchased) was AA. At December 31, 2007 approximately 53.4% of the fixed income portfolio (including fixed maturities, short-term investments, cash and cash equivalents and net payable for investments purchased) was rated AAA by one or more of the principal ratings agencies. Approximately 2.3% was below investment grade or not rated.

Refer to Results of Operations for further discussion surrounding the impact of credit market movements on the Company's investment portfolio and exposure to sub-prime related assets.

Net Unrealized Gains and Losses on Investments

At December 31, 2007, the Company had net (pre-tax) unrealized losses on fixed maturities and short-term investments of \$637.3 million and net unrealized gains on equity securities of \$190.6 million. Of these amounts, gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities and short-term investments and equities were \$1,069.5 million and \$14.9 million, respectively. At December 31, 2006, the Company had net (pre-tax) unrealized gains on fixed maturities and short-term investments of \$139.8 million and net unrealized gains on equity securities of \$185.6 million. Of these amounts, gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities and short-term investments and equities were \$244.1 million and \$5.4 million, respectively. The impact of the deteriorating corporate and structured credit spreads during the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, was the primary reason for the decline in the net unrealized position on fixed maturities and short-term investments, offset by the impact of declining government rates in the U.S. and the U.K.

The information shown below about the unrealized losses on the Company's investments at December 31, 2007 and 2006, concerns the potential effect upon future earnings and financial position should management later conclude that some of the current declines in the fair value of these investments are other than temporary.

The following is an analysis of how long each of those investment securities with an unrealized loss at December 31, 2007 and 2006 had been in a continual unrealized loss position:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>Length of time in a continual unrealized loss position</u>	<u>Amount of unrealized loss at December 31, 2007</u>	<u>Amount of unrealized loss at December 31, 2006</u>
Fixed-Maturities and Short-Term Investments	Less than six months	\$ 145,992	\$ 88,199
	At least 6 months but less than 12 months	575,913	44,555
	At least 12 months but less than 2 years	309,148	85,617
	2 years or more	38,446	25,720

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Total		\$ 1,069,499	\$ 244,091
Equities	Less than six months	\$ 10,400	\$ 2,983
	At least 6 months but less than 12 months	4,496	2,448
Total		\$ 14,896	\$ 5,431

At December 31, 2007, the total gross unrealized losses represented approximately 7,600 fixed income securities out of a total of approximately 17,100 fixed income securities (representing fixed maturities, short-term investments, cash and cash equivalents and net payable for investments purchased) and approximately 300 equity securities out of a total of approximately 1,000. At December 31, 2006, the total gross unrealized losses represented approximately 9,700 fixed income securities out of a total of approximately 17,300 and approximately 200 equity securities out of a total of approximately 1,300.

Of the gross unrealized investment losses on the fixed income and short term investments portfolio at December 31, 2007 of \$1,069.5 million, approximately \$546.1 million related to corporate fixed income securities. Within the corporate fixed income securities, approximately 68.0% of the losses were in the financial sector, 4.2% in the consumer non-cyclical sector and 4.0% in the industrial sector. No other sector was greater than 4%. The largest unrealized loss in the fixed income portfolio was \$7.9 million.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Factors considered in determining that additional other-than-temporary impairment charges were not warranted include; managements consideration of current and near term liquidity needs, an evaluation of the factors and time necessary for recovery, and the results of on-going retrospective reviews of security sales and the basis for such sales. Securities in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2007 are comprised of sub-prime first liens and Alt-A asset backed securities, CDOs with insignificant residential mortgage collateral, unrealized foreign exchange losses on US dollar assets held in foreign subsidiaries with little underlying value impairment and unrealized losses on investments supporting long-term liabilities which have no history of significant sales at a loss. Following a detailed review of the Company's underlying sub-prime residential and related mortgage exposures (topical assets) described above, as well as a consideration of the broader structured credit market, the Company has concluded that the remaining investments in these asset classes have decreased in value due to technical spreads widening and broader market sentiment, rather than fundamental collateral deterioration. Topical assets are held primarily in the Company's Other Financial Lines segment GIC portfolios and management has put in place a strategy to monitor and address the liquidity needs associated with these portfolios with the intent of holding the positions to recovery. The fact that the underlying securities cash flows continue to be in accordance with their contractual terms, combined with manager evaluations of the fundamentals supporting the underlying positions, have lead management to conclude that the values of the investments for which it reports unrealized losses as at December 31, 2007 are not other than temporarily impaired, as management currently has the intent and ability to hold these securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

No equity securities held by the Company at December 31, 2007 were in a continual unrealized loss position for 12 months. The largest individual unrealized loss in the equity portfolio was \$1.0 million.

Of the gross unrealized investment losses on the fixed income portfolio at December 31, 2006 of \$244.1 million, approximately \$122.5 million related to corporate fixed income securities. Within the corporate fixed income securities, approximately 52.9% of the losses were in the financial sector, 11.9% in the communications sector, 8.8% in the consumer non-cyclical sector, 5.4% in the utilities sector and 5.1% in the industrial sector. No other sector was greater than 5%. The largest unrealized loss in the fixed income portfolio was \$1.8 million.

No equity securities held by the Company at December 31, 2006 were in a continual unrealized loss position for 12 months. The largest individual unrealized loss in the equity portfolio was \$0.5 million.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the following table sets forth the maturity profile of the fixed income securities that were in a gross unrealized loss position:

Maturity profile in years of fixed maturity securities in a gross unrealized loss position

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	Amount of unrealized loss at December 31, 2007	Amount of unrealized loss at December 31, 2006
Less than 1 year remaining	\$ 23,695	\$ 8,462
At least 1 year but less than 5 years remaining	103,081	45,118
At least 5 years but less than 10 years remaining	150,786	61,283
More than 10 years but less than 20 years remaining	60,471	17,376
At least 20 years or more remaining	233,689	36,204
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	497,777	75,648
Total	\$ 1,069,499	\$ 244,091

Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses

Unpaid losses and loss expenses reserves relate primarily to the casualty insurance and reinsurance business written by the Company. The balance was \$23.2 billion at December 31, 2007, which is an increase of \$0.3 billion from December 31, 2006. The increase was due primarily to losses in 2007 of \$351.0 million associated with reinsurance agreements with SCA and partially offset by favorable net prior year development as well as a reduction in reserves related to payments made in 2007 relating to 2005 catastrophic events.

The table below represents a reconciliation of the Company's unpaid losses and loss expenses for the year ended December 31, 2007:

	Gross unpaid losses and loss expenses	Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	Net unpaid losses and loss expenses
(U.S. dollars in thousands)			

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Balance at December 31, 2006	\$	22,895,021	\$	(4,995,373)	\$	17,899,648
Losses and loss expenses incurred		5,058,485		(1,217,482)		3,841,003
Losses and loss expenses paid/recovered		5,410,635		(1,594,759)		3,815,876
Other (including losses disposed or acquired and foreign exchange revaluation)		313,835		(47,519)		266,316
Financial guarantee reserves related to reinsurance agreements with SCA that are recorded within Net loss from operating affiliates		350,988				350,988
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$	23,207,694	\$	(4,665,615)	\$	18,542,079

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The net income impact of losses incurred with respect reinsurance provided to SCA subsequent to the secondary sales of SCA shares on June 6, 2007 of \$351.0 million is included in net loss from operating affiliates, and the unpaid case reserves related to such losses are in unpaid losses and loss expenses. These losses are associated with credit enhancement of certain ABS CDO exposures as well as residential mortgage backed securities backed by home equity lines of credit collateral. Certain of these underlying exposures were written by SCA in derivative form as credit default swaps. Consistent with both the Company's and SCA's accounting policies the unrealized fair value liability on those contracts written in derivative form include both an insurance reserve component and a mark to market liability. A component of the overall fair value liability is classified as a case reserve once the loss is considered probable and can be reasonably estimated. Such case reserves are reported on a discounted basis and net of the present value of related future premiums. The remainder of the liability is classified as a derivative liability.

While the Company reviews the adequacy of established reserves for unpaid losses and loss expenses regularly, no assurance can be given that actual claims made and payments related thereto will not be in excess of the amounts reserved. In the future, if such reserves develop adversely, such deficiency would have a negative impact on future results of operations. See Item 1, Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses, Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates and Item 8, Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Losses and Loss Expenses, for further discussion.

Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses Recoverable and Reinsurance Balances Receivable

In the normal course of business, the Company seeks to reduce the potential amount of loss arising from claims events by reinsuring certain levels of risk assumed in various areas of exposure with other insurers or reinsurers. While reinsurance agreements are designed to limit the Company's losses from large exposures and permit recovery of a portion of direct unpaid losses, reinsurance does not relieve the Company of its ultimate liability to the Company's insureds. Accordingly, the losses and loss expense reserves on the balance sheet represent the Company's total unpaid gross losses. Unpaid losses and loss expense recoverable relates to estimated reinsurance recoveries on the unpaid loss and loss expense reserves.

Unpaid losses and loss expense recoverables were \$4.7 billion and \$5.0 billion at December 31, 2007, and 2006, respectively. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, reinsurance balances receivable were \$0.9 and \$1.1 billion, respectively. The table below presents the Company's net reinsurance recoverable at December 31, 2007 and 2006.

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Reinsurance balances receivable	\$ 872,465	\$ 1,128,721
Reinsurance recoverable on future policy benefits	31,856	15,296
Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses and loss expenses	4,804,209	5,208,749
Bad debt reserve on unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable	(193,128)	(218,343)
Net paid and unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable	\$ 5,515,402	\$ 6,134,423

The Company has credit risk should any of its reinsurers be unable or unwilling to settle amounts due. Of the \$5.5 billion total unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable at December 31, 2007, no individual reinsurer accounted for 10% or more of the total. The Company is the beneficiary of letters of credit, trust accounts and funds withheld in the aggregate amount of \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2007, collateralizing reinsurance recoverables with respect to certain reinsurers. The provision for uncollectible reinsurance is required principally due to the failure of reinsurers to indemnify the Company primarily because of disputes under reinsurance contracts and insolvencies. As at December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company had a reserve for potential non-recoveries from reinsurers of \$193.1 million and \$218.3 million, respectively.

Approximately 78% of the total unpaid loss and loss expense recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable (excluding collateral held) outstanding at December 31, 2007, were due from reinsurers rated A or better by S&P. The following is an analysis of the total recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable at December 31, 2007, by reinsurers owing more than 3% of such total:

Name of reinsurer	Standard and Poor's rating	% of total
Munich Reinsurance Company	AA-/Stable	8.97%
Swiss Reinsurance Company	AA-/Stable	7.60%
Lloyd's Syndicates	A+/Stable	5.72%
Employers Reinsurance Corporation	AA-/Stable	5.40%

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Transatlantic Reinsurance Company

AA-/Negative

3.69%

The following table sets forth the ratings profile of the reinsurers that support the unpaid loss and loss expense recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable net of security noted above.

Standard and Poor's rating	% of total
AAA	5.3%
AA	46.4%
A	25.9%
BBB	0.7%
BB and below	0.7%
Captives	17.2%
Not Rated	0.8%
Other	3.0%
Total	100%

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

Liquidity is a measure of a company's ability to generate cash flows sufficient to meet short-term and long-term cash requirements of its business operations.

As a holding company, the Company's assets consist primarily of its investments in subsidiaries and affiliates, and the Company's future cash flows depend upon the availability of dividends or other statutorily permissible payments from its subsidiaries and affiliates. The ability to pay such dividends is limited by the applicable laws and regulations of the various countries in which the Company operates, including, among others, Bermuda, the United States, Ireland, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, and those of the Society of Lloyd's and certain contractual provisions. See Item 8, Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Statutory Financial Data", for further discussion. No assurance can be given that the Company or its subsidiaries will be permitted to pay dividends in the future.

As an insurance company, one of the Company's principal responsibilities to its clients is to ensure that the Company has ready access to funds to settle large unforeseen claims. The Company would generally expect that positive cash flow from operations (underwriting activities and investment income) will be sufficient to cover cash outflows under most future loss scenarios. However, there is a possibility that unforeseen demands could be placed on the Company due to unforeseen claims activity. Potential events causing such a liquidity strain could be several significant catastrophes occurring in a relatively short period of time or large scale uncollectible reinsurance recoverables on paid losses (as a result of coverage disputes, reinsurers' credit problems or decreases in the value of collateral supporting reinsurance recoverables). Additional strain on liquidity could occur if the investments sold to fund loss payments were sold at depressed prices. Because each subsidiary focuses on a more limited number of specific product lines than is collectively available from the consolidated group of companies, the mix of business tends to be less diverse at the subsidiary level. As a result, the probability of a liquidity strain, as described above, may be greater for individual subsidiaries than when liquidity is assessed on a consolidated basis. If such a liquidity strain were to occur in a subsidiary, the Company may be required to contribute capital to the particular subsidiary and/or curtail dividends from the subsidiary to support holding company operations.

Sources of Liquidity

See Item 8 "Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows." There are three main sources of cash flows for the Company—those provided by operations, investing activities and financing activities.

Operating Cash Flows

Cash flow from operations is derived from two main sources:

- 1) The receipt of investment income on the Company's total investment portfolio; and
- 2) The net receipt of premiums less claims and expenses related to its underwriting activities in its property and casualty operations as well as its Life Operations segment.

Cash flow from operations was \$2.2 billion in 2007, as compared to \$2.4 billion in 2006. The decrease in 2007 is due primarily to the softening market impacts on premiums and partially offset by increased net investment income.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Net cash received from underwriting activities is comprised of receipt of premiums, minus payment of claims and operating and acquisition costs. Premiums and acquisition expenses are settled based on terms of trade as stipulated by an underwriting contract, and generally are received within the first year of inception of a policy when the premium is written, but can be up to three years on certain reinsurance business assumed. Operating expenses are generally paid within a year of being incurred. Claims especially for casualty business, may take a much longer time before they are reported and ultimately settled and this is why the Company establishes reserves for unpaid losses and loss expenses. Therefore, the amount of claims paid in any one year is not necessarily related to the amount of net losses incurred, as reported in the consolidated statement of income.

Total net paid losses were \$3.8 billion, \$3.9 billion and \$2.6 billion in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Net paid losses in 2007 continued to be impacted by payments on the 2005 catastrophes. Similarly, in 2006, the increase in net paid losses was due to payments for catastrophes that occurred in 2005. Net losses incurred were \$3.8 billion, \$4.2 billion and \$7.4 billion in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The payout of the Company's casualty reserves at December 31, 2007, could be as long as a thirty year period. Property claims are generally reported and settled within a much shorter period, usually up to three years.

Investing Cash Flows

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Generally, positive cash flow from operations and financing activities is invested in the Company's portfolio, including affiliates or acquisition of subsidiaries. Investing activities in 2007 and 2006, were primarily related to the purchase and sale of portfolio investments. In addition to purchases and sales of the Company's total investment portfolio, the Company sold a portion of its interest in SCA on June 6, 2007, through a secondary offering for \$316.3 million. However, as a result of the sale and subsequent deconsolidation of SCA, investing cash flows were reduced by \$110.8 million. In 2006, the Company sold a portion of its interest in SCA through a secondary offering for \$104.7 million and its interests in Frontpoint Investment Managers for \$66.8 million.

If paid losses accelerated beyond the Company's ability to fund such paid losses from current operating cash flows or events lead to negative cash flows from financing activities, the Company might need to either liquidate a portion of its investment portfolio or arrange for financing. To further ensure the sufficiency of funds to settle such claims, the Company holds a certain amount of invested assets in cash and short-term investments and maintains available credit facilities. In addition, for certain insurance, reinsurance, or deposit contracts that tend to have relatively large and reasonably predictable cash outflows, the Company attempts to establish dedicated portfolios of assets that are duration-matched with the related liabilities.

Financing Cash Flows

Cash flows related to financing activities include ordinary and preferred share related transactions, the payment of dividends, the issue or repayment of debt and deposit liability transactions. In 2007, the Company repurchased approximately \$1.1 billion of ordinary shares through its share repurchase programs and issued approximately \$825.0 million of ordinary shares in connection with the maturity of the purchase contracts associated with the 6.5% Units. In addition, the Company issued Series E preference ordinary shares for \$1 billion and redeemed both its Series A and Series B preference ordinary shares for \$230.0 million and \$287.5 million, respectively. With regards to debt transactions in 2007, the Company purchased and retired the 2009 Senior Notes for \$825.0 million in connection with the 6.5% Units and issued the 2027 Senior Notes for gross proceeds of approximately \$324.4 million. In 2007, dividends paid were \$274.0 million for ordinary shares and \$69.5 million for preferred shares. In 2007, the Company paid out \$360.0 million of net cash relating to deposit liability contracts. See below for further discussion surrounding recent and potential payments associated with GICs guaranteed by subsidiaries of SCA. In 2006, the Company received \$342.2 million related to the sale of a portion of its interest in SCA through a primary offering.

In addition, in the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company executed a number of debt and equity transactions which affected the cash flow from financing activities described below in *Capital Resources*, below.

As noted above, as a result of the downgrades of XLCA, the Company will settle, by late March 2008, the majority of the GIC portfolio.

The Company is considered a Well Known Seasoned Issuer (WKSI) under the rules of the SEC. The Company maintains shelf registration statement on Form S-3 and is eligible for automatically effective future registration statements for the potential offering and sale of an unlimited amount of debt and equity securities. The Registration Statement allows for the following types of securities to be offered: (i) debt securities, preferred stock, common stock, depository shares, warrants, stock purchase contracts, stock purchase units and junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures of the Company, (ii) preferred securities of any of one or more capital trusts organized by the Company and guarantees of such securities by the Company and (iii) debt securities of XL Capital Finance (Europe) plc and guarantees of such securities by the Company.

Under Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X, the Company is required to file separate audited financial statements of SCA (the *SCA Financials*). The *SCA Financials* were not available at the time of filing this Annual Report on Form 10-K (the *10-K*). The Company intends to file the *SCA Financials* as an exhibit to an amendment to the 10-K (the *10-K/A*) as soon as they are available. Until such time, the Company may not satisfy the registrant requirements for use of Form S-3 and therefore may be ineligible to use such Form. Should the Company be unable to file the 10-K/A on or before March 17, 2008, the Company may be ineligible to use Form S-3 for a period of twelve months.

In addition the Company maintains letter of credit facilities which provide liquidity. Details of these facilities are described below in *Capital Resources*.

Capital Resources

In addition to ordinary share capital, the Company depends on external sources of financing to support its underwriting activities in the form of:

- a. ordinary share capital;
- b. debt;
- c. preference shares;

- d. contingent capital; and
 - e. letter of Credit Facilities and other sources of collateral.
- In particular, the Company requires, among other things:

Sufficient capital to maintain its financial strength, claims paying and debt ratings, as issued by several ratings agencies, at levels considered necessary by management to enable the Company's key operating subsidiaries to compete.

Sufficient capital to enable its underwriting subsidiaries to meet the capital adequacy tests performed by statutory agencies in the U.S., the U.K., and other key markets.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Letters of credit and other forms of collateral that are required by the Company's operating companies that are non-admitted under U.S. state insurance regulations. The Company also uses letters of credit to support its operations at Lloyd's.

Revolving credit to meet short-term liquidity needs.

The majority of the Company's principal credit facilities are multi-year transactions that will expire in 2009, 2010 and 2012. The following risks are associated with the Company's requirement to renew these facilities in future years:

The credit available from banks may be reduced resulting in the Company's need to pledge its investment portfolio to customers. This could result in a lower investment yield.

The Company may be downgraded by one or more rating agencies which could materially and negatively impact the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations and/or liquidity.

The volume of business that the Company's non-admitted subsidiaries are able to transact could be reduced if the Company is unable to renew its letter of credit facilities at an appropriate amount.

Continued consolidation within the banking industry may result in the aggregate amount of credit provided to the Company being reduced. The Company attempts to mitigate this risk by identifying and/or selecting additional banks that can participate in the credit facilities upon renewal.

a) Ordinary Share Capital

On February 23, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a share repurchase program that authorized the Company to repurchase up to \$1.0 billion of its Class A ordinary shares and included the unused \$135.4 million allocated to the share repurchase program authorized by the Board of Directors in January of 2000. Under the program, the Company repurchased and cancelled approximately 13.2 million shares during the first nine months of 2007 and fully utilized the \$1.0 billion authorized under the share repurchase program. On September 24, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a new share repurchase program, authorizing the Company to repurchase up to \$500.0 million of its Class A ordinary shares. Repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, and the Company expects that those repurchases will be funded from cash on-hand. The timing and amount of the share repurchases under the program will depend on a variety of factors, including market conditions, legal requirements and other factors. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had repurchased 1.8 million shares for \$124.5 million under the new share repurchase program.

In connection with the maturity of the purchase contracts associated with the 6.5% Units, the Company issued 10,799,913 Class A ordinary shares for net proceeds of approximately \$823.0 million, a portion of which was used to retire the 2009 Senior Notes.

In December 2005, the Company issued 38.9 million ordinary shares at a price of \$65.0 per share to support capital requirements subsequent to the 2005 Atlantic hurricane losses and the loss related to the conclusion of the Winterthur Decision. The net proceeds from this issuance were \$2.4 billion. See Item 8, Note 20, to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Share Capital.

b) Debt

At December 31, 2007, banks and investors provided the Company and its subsidiaries with \$4.0 billion of debt capacity, of which \$2.9 billion was utilized by the Company. These facilities consist of:

Revolving credit facilities of \$1.1 billion in aggregate.

Senior Unsecured Notes of approximately \$2.9 billion. These notes require the Company to pay a fixed rate of interest during their lives. At December 31, 2007, there were six outstanding issues of senior unsecured notes:

\$255 million senior notes due April 2011, with a fixed coupon of 6.58%. These notes were privately placed and are not traded on a public exchange.

\$600 million senior notes due January 2012, with a fixed coupon of 6.5%. The security is publicly traded. The notes were issued at \$99.469 and gross proceeds were \$596.8 million. Related expenses of the offering amounted to \$7.9 million.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

\$600 million senior notes due September 2014, with a fixed coupon of 5.25%. The security is publicly traded. The notes were issued in two tranches of \$300 million aggregate principal amount each one tranche at 99.432% and the other at 98.419%. Aggregate gross proceeds were \$593.6 million. Related expenses of the offering amounted to \$4 million.

\$350 million senior notes due November 2024, with a fixed coupon of 6.375%. The security is publicly traded. The notes were issued at 100.0% and gross proceeds were \$350 million. Related expenses of the offering amounted to \$2 million.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

\$745 million of senior notes due February 2011 with a fixed coupon of 5.25%. These securities are a component of 7.00% Equity Security Units (the 7.00% Units) that are publicly traded. In addition to the coupon paid on the senior notes, contract adjustment payments of 1.75% per annum are paid on forward purchase contracts for the Company's common shares for a total distribution of 7.00% per annum. The purchase contracts mature in 2009, and the senior notes mature in 2011. In February 2009, the senior notes will be remarketed whereby the interest rate will be reset in order to generate sufficient remarketing proceeds to satisfy the 7.00% Unit holders' obligations under the purchase contract.

\$325 million of senior notes due 2027, with a fixed coupon of 6.25%. The security is publicly traded. The notes were issued at 99.805% and gross proceeds were \$324.4 million. Related expenses of the offering amounted to \$2.5 million.

The following tables present the Company's debt under outstanding securities and lenders' commitments as at December 31, 2007:

Notes Payable and Debt (U.S. dollars in thousands)	Commitment	In Use	Year of Expiry	Payments Due by Period			
				Less than 1 Year	1 to 3 Years	3 to 5 Years	After 5 Years
5-year revolvers (1)	1,000,000		2010/ 2012				
5-year revolver	100,000		2010				
5.25% Senior Notes	745,000	745,000	2011			745,000	
6.58% Guaranteed Senior Notes	255,000	255,000	2011			255,000	
6.50% Guaranteed Senior Notes	598,713	598,713	2012			600,000	
5.25% Senior Notes	595,631	595,631	2014				600,000
6.375% Senior Notes	350,000	350,000	2024				350,000
6.25% Senior Notes	324,387	324,387	2027				325,000
	<u>3,968,731</u>	<u>2,868,731</u>				<u>1,600,000</u>	<u>1,275,000</u>

Commitment and In Use data represent December 31, 2007 accreted values. Payments Due by Period data represent ultimate redemption values.

(1) The 2010 and 2012 5-year revolving credit facilities share a \$1.0 billion revolving credit sublimit.

c) Preferred shares

On March 15, 2007, the Company issued 1,000,000 Fixed/Floating Series E Perpetual Non-Cumulative Preference Ordinary Shares, par value \$0.01 each, with liquidation preference US\$1,000 per share (the Series E Preference Shares). The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$983.8 million from the offering. Until April 15, 2017, dividends on the Series E Preference Shares are payable semiannually on a non-cumulative basis, when, as and if declared by the Company's Board of Directors, on April 15 and October 15 of each year, commencing in 2007, at a fixed rate equal to 6.5% per annum on the liquidation preference. From and after April 15, 2017, dividends on the Series E Preference Shares are payable quarterly on a non-cumulative basis, when, as and if declared by the Company's Board of Directors, on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year at a floating rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 2.4575% on the liquidation preference. The Series E Preference Shares are perpetual securities with no fixed maturity date and are not convertible into any of the Company's other securities.

On August 14, 2007, the Company redeemed all of its outstanding 8.0% Series A Preference Ordinary Shares (the Series A Preference Shares) for approximately \$25.24 per Series A Preference Share including accrued dividends. The aggregate redemption price was

approximately \$232.2 million.

On November 18, 2007, the Company redeemed all of its outstanding 7.625% Series B Preference Ordinary Shares (the Series B Preference Shares) for approximately \$25.26 per Series B Preference Share including accrued dividends. The aggregate redemption price was approximately \$290.5 million.

d) Contingent Capital

In addition to funded debt transactions, the Company has entered into contingent capital transactions. No up-front proceeds were received by the Company under these transactions, however, in the event that the associated irrevocable put option agreements are exercised,

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

proceeds previously raised from investors from the issuance of pass-through trust securities would be received in return for the issuance of preferred shares.

On December 5, 2006, the Company and certain operating subsidiaries (Ceding Insurers) entered into a securities issuance agreement (the Securities Issuance Agreement), and certain of its foreign insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries (Ceding Insurers) entered into an excess of loss reinsurance agreement (the Reinsurance Agreement), Stoneheath Re (Stoneheath). The net effect of these agreements to the Company is the creation of a contingent put option to issue \$350.0 million of preference ordinary shares in the aggregate. The agreements provide the Company with a Reinsurance Collateral Account in support of certain Covered Perils named in the Reinsurance Agreement. The initial Covered Perils will include United States wind, European wind, California earthquake and terrorism worldwide. After an initial three-month period, the covered perils as well as the attachment points and aggregate retention amounts may be changed by the Ceding Insurers in their sole discretion. This may result in a material increase or decrease in the likelihood of payment under the Reinsurance Agreement. On each date on which a Ceding Insurer withdraws funds from the Reinsurance Collateral Account, the Company shall issue and deliver to Stoneheath an amount of XL Capital Ltd Series D Preference Shares (the XL Preferred Securities) having an aggregate liquidation preference that is equal to the amount of funds so withdrawn from the Collateral Account. The Company is obligated to reimburse Stoneheath for certain fees and ordinary expenses. The initial term of the Reinsurance Agreement will be for the period from the Closing Date through June 30, 2007, with four annual mandatory extensions through June 30, 2011 (unless coverage is exhausted there under prior to such date). The Ceding Insurers may thereafter extend the Reinsurance Agreement at their option for additional calendar quarters without limit (unless coverage is exhausted there under). The contingent put option is recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. The XL Preferred Securities, if issued, will pay dividends on a non-cumulative basis at a fixed rate of 6.868% per annum through October 15, 2011 and thereafter at a floating rate based on 3-month LIBOR, plus 3.12%.

In July 2003, the Company entered into a contingent capital transaction with an aggregate value of \$500.0 million. This transaction also provides the Company with an insurance trust that provides the Company with statutory relief under state insurance regulations in the U.S. Under the terms of this facility, the Company has acquired an irrevocable put option to issue preference ordinary shares into a trust in return for proceeds raised from investors. This put option may be exercised by the Company at any time. In addition, the Company may be required to issue preference ordinary shares to the trust under certain circumstances, including, but not limited to, the non-payment of the put option premium and a ratings downgrade of the Company. In connection with this transaction, the fair value of the put premiums and other related costs, in total of \$109.9 million was charged to Additional Paid in Capital and a deferred liability was established (included with Other liabilities) of \$102.5 million in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2003. The Company amortizes this liability that resulted in an interest expense of approximately \$2.3 million in 2007 and \$2.6 million in 2006.

e) Letter of Credit Facilities and other sources of collateral

At December 31, 2007, the Company had six letter of credit facilities in place with total availability of \$7.3 billion, of which \$3.7 billion was utilized.

Other Commercial Commitments (U.S. dollars in thousands)	Commitment	In Use	Year of Expiry	Amount of Commitment Expiration per period			
				Less than 1 Year	1 to 3 Years	3 to 5 Years	After 5 Years
Letter of Credit Facility	\$ 200,000	\$ 157,041	Continuous	\$ 200,000	\$	\$	\$
Letter of Credit Facility	541	541	2008	541			
Letter of Credit Facility	1,728	1,728	2008	1,728			
Letter of Credit Facility	893,790	686,044	2009		893,790		
Letter of Credit Facility (1)	2,250,000		2010		2,250,000		
Letter of Credit Facility (1)	4,000,000	2,842,497	2012			4,000,000	
Six letter of credit facilities	\$ 7,346,059	\$ 3,687,851		\$ 202,269	\$ 3,143,790	\$ 4,000,000	\$

(1) Of the total letter of credit facilities above, \$1 billion is also included in the revolvers under notes payable and debt.

It is anticipated that the commercial facilities will be renewed on expiry but such renewals are subject to the availability of credit from banks utilized by the Company, or other financial institutions. In the event that such credit support is insufficient, the Company could be required to provide alternative security to cedants. This could take the form of insurance trusts supported by the Company's investment portfolio

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

or funds withheld (amounts retained by ceding companies to collateralize loss or premium reserves) using the Company's cash resources or combinations thereof. The face amount of letters of credit required is driven by, among other things, loss development of existing reserves, the payment pattern of such reserves, the expansion of business written by the Company and the loss experience of such business. In addition to letters of credit, the Company has established insurance trusts in the U.S. that provide cedants with statutory relief required under state insurance regulation in the U.S.

The Company reviews current and projected collateral requirements on a regular basis, as well as new sources of collateral. Management's objective is to maintain an excess amount of collateral sources over expected uses. The Company also reviews its liquidity needs on a regular basis.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The following letter of credit facilities were originated or renewed during 2007:

On February 21, 2007, the Company amended the letter of credit facility supporting its syndicates at Lloyd's of London by reducing the aggregate commitments from the participating banks from £500 million to £450 million. On November 14, 2007, the Company renewed this facility for a further two years.

On March 22, 2007, the Company amended the \$500 million letter of credit facility that originally closed on May 9, 2006, by extending the expiration date to June 22, 2007.

On June 22, 2007, three facilities expired - a \$2 billion three-year unsecured credit and letter of credit facility, a \$500 million 364-day letter of credit facility, and a bilateral 364-day \$100 million credit and letter of credit facility.

On June 22, 2007, the Company closed a new \$4 billion unsecured revolving credit and letter of credit facility. The new facility has a term of five years. The facility is available in the form of revolving credit in the amount of \$1 billion (such limit is shared with the Company's other syndicated revolving credit facility that closed in June 2005) and in the form of letters of credit in the aggregate amount of \$4 billion.

In addition to the letter of credit facilities described above, the Company had the following facility at December 31, 2007 but was subsequently terminated in February 2008:

In February 2003, the Company entered into an aggregate of \$300.0 million of commercial paper-based credit facilities (the Credit Facilities). These facilities were increased to \$500.0 million in June 2003. The proceeds of advances under the Credit Facilities were used to fund a trust account (Trust) to collateralize the reinsurance obligations of the Company under an intercompany quota share reinsurance agreement. The Company could have faced additional obligations under the Credit Facilities prior to the stated maturity of February 25, 2008, if certain events had occurred, including, but not limited to the Company's insolvency, withdrawal of assets from the Regulation 114 trust by the ceding company, the downgrade of the Company's credit ratings below certain specified levels, or the failure of the agent to have a first priority perfected security interest in the collateral posted by the Company. The issued securities and the Company's repayment obligations were recorded as a net balance on the Company's balance sheet because the Company had a contractual legal right of offset. In February 2008, the Company terminated this arrangement, resulting in a repayment of \$500.0 million and termination of the Trust.

Cross-Default and Other Provisions in Debt Instruments

The following describes certain terms of the documents referred to below. All documents referred to below have been filed with the SEC and should be referred to for an assessment of the complete contractual obligations of the Company.

In general, all of the Company's bank facilities, indentures and other documents relating to the Company's outstanding indebtedness, including the Credit Facilities discussed above (collectively, the Company's Debt Documents), contain cross default provisions to each other and the Company's Debt Documents contain affirmative covenants. These covenants provide for, among other things, minimum required ratings of the Company's insurance and reinsurance operating subsidiaries and the level of secured indebtedness in the future. In addition, generally each of the Company's Debt Documents provide for an event of default in the event of a change of control of the Company or some events involving bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization of the Company. The Company's credit facilities and the 6.58% Guaranteed Senior Notes also contain minimum consolidated net worth covenants.

Under the Company's 2-year Lloyd's and five-year U.S. credit facilities placement notes described above, in the event that the XL Capital Group, XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd fail to maintain a financial strength rating of at least A- from A.M. Best, an event of default would occur. In January 2008, A.M. Best Company, Inc. (A.M. Best) revised the financial strength rating of the Company's leading property and casualty operating subsidiaries to A from A+ and affirmed them with a stable outlook. The downgrade by A.M. Best was primarily due to their concerns regarding the Company's historic earnings volatility.

Consistent with the ratings actions noted above, Fitch Ratings (Fitch), in 2008, revised the financial strength rating of the Company's leading property and casualty operating subsidiaries to A+ from AA- and affirmed them with a stable outlook. As well, in January 2008, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of the Company's core property and casualty operating companies to A1 from Aa3.

In December 2007, Standard & Poor's (S&P), affirmed the Company's core property and casualty operating companies' financial strength ratings at A+ with a stable outlook. Subsequent the aforementioned fourth quarter 2007 charges, in January 2008, S&P announced it would be taking no rating actions and the Company's financial strength ratings remained unchanged.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Under the Company's ten-year private placement notes described above, in the event that the Company fails to maintain a financial strength rating of at least A from A.M. Best or XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd fail to maintain a financial strength rating of at least A from S&P, an event of default would occur. The Company and its subsidiaries currently has an A+ (stable) rating from S&P and an A (stable) rating from A.M. Best.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The 6.5% Guaranteed Senior Notes indentures contains a cross default provision. In general, in the event that the Company defaults in the payment of indebtedness in the amount of \$50.0 million or more, an event of default would be triggered under the Guaranteed Senior Notes indentures. Given that all of the Company's Debt Documents contain cross default provisions, this may result in all holders declaring such debt due and payable and an acceleration of all debt due under those documents. If this were to occur, the Company may not have funds sufficient at that time to repay any or all of such indebtedness.

In addition, the Company's unsecured Lloyd's letter of credit facility provides that, in the event that the Company's insurance and reinsurance rated operating subsidiaries in Bermuda fall below a rating of A- from A.M. Best, the facility would then be required to be fully secured by the Company, at which time the Company would be required to either (i) provide an amount in cash to cover an amount equal to the aggregate letters of credit outstanding at that time or (ii) deposit assets in trust securing 105% of the aggregate letters of credit outstanding at that time. If this were to occur, the Company may not be able to provide the collateral required in order to maintain this facility.

Long-Term Contractual Obligations

The following table presents the Company's long term contractual obligations and related payments as at December 31, 2007, due by period. This table excludes further commitments of \$204.7 million to the Company's related investment funds and certain limited partnerships, and letter of credit facilities of \$3.7 billion. See Item 8, Note 15, Derivative Instruments, and Note 18, Commitments and Contingencies, to the Consolidated Financial Statements. See Item 8, Note 14, Notes Payable and Debt and Financing Arrangements, to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Contractual Obligations (U.S. dollars in thousands)	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	More than 5 years
Long-term debt obligations	\$ 2,875,000	\$	\$	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,275,000
Interest on long-term debt	1,361,012	169,017	347,811	241,215	602,969
Contingent capital facilities	98,610	17,450	38,960	30,840	11,360
Equity Units	16,297	13,038	3,259		
Alternative Collateral	8,910	8,910			
Operating lease obligations	229,040	35,241	61,216	43,919	88,664
Capital lease obligations	342,829	13,009	27,001	28,368	274,451
Deposit liabilities (1)	10,540,935	3,310,520	1,895,404	685,306	4,649,705
Future policy benefits (2)	10,840,888	409,505	829,142	852,887	8,749,354
Unpaid losses and loss expenses - property and casualty operations (3)	23,904,100	5,267,085	6,736,161	3,689,161	8,211,693
Total	\$ 50,217,621	\$ 9,243,775	\$ 9,938,954	\$ 7,171,696	\$ 23,863,196

- (1) Deposit liabilities on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2007 were \$7,920,085. The difference from the amount included above relates to the discount on payments due in the future. The payment related to these liabilities varies primarily based on interest rates. The ultimate payments associated with these liabilities could differ from the Company's estimate. As noted above, as a result of the downgrades of XLCA in January and February 2008, the Company will settle, by late March 2008, the majority of the GIC portfolio. See Item 8, Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Deposit Liabilities, for further information.
- (2) Future policy benefit reserves related to Life operations were \$6,772,042 on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2007. Amounts included above include an allowance for future premiums in respect of contracts under which premiums are payable throughout the life of the underlying policy. The value of the discount is also included for those lines of business that have reserves where future claim payments and future premium receipts can be estimated using actuarial principles. The timing and amounts of actual claims payments and premium receipts related to these reserves vary based on the underlying experience of the portfolio. Typical elements of the experience include mortality, morbidity and persistency. The ultimate amount of the claims payments and premium receipts could differ materially from the Company's estimated amounts.
- (3) The unpaid loss and loss expenses were \$23,207,694 on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2007. The timing and amounts of actual claims payments related to these P&C and financial guarantee reserves vary based on many factors including large individual losses, changes in the legal environment, as well as general market conditions. The ultimate amount of the claims payments could differ materially from the Company's estimated amounts. For information regarding the estimates for unpaid loss and loss expenses as well as factors effecting potential payment patterns of reserves for actual and potential claims related the Company's different lines of business see Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates above. Certain lines of business written by the Company, such as excess casualty, have loss experience characterized as low frequency and high severity. This may result in significant variability in loss payment patterns and, therefore, may impact the related asset/liability investment management process. In order to be in a

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

position, if necessary, to make these payments, the Company's liquidity requirements are supported by having revolving lines of credit facilities available to the Company and significant reinsurance programs, in addition to the Company's general high grade fixed income investment portfolio.

Variable Interest Entities and Other Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company utilizes variable interest entities both indirectly and directly in the ordinary course of the Company's business.

On December 5, 2006, the Company and certain operating subsidiaries (Ceding Insurers) entered into a securities issuance agreement (the Securities Issuance Agreement), and certain of its foreign insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries (Ceding Insurers) entered into an excess of loss reinsurance agreement (the Reinsurance Agreement), with Stoneheath Re (Stoneheath). The net effect of these agreements to the Company is the creation of a contingent put option in the amount of \$350.0 million in the aggregate.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The agreements provide the Company with a Reinsurance Collateral Account in support of certain Covered Perils named in the Reinsurance Agreement. The initial Covered Perils will include United States wind, European wind, California earthquake and terrorism worldwide. After an initial three-month period, the covered perils as well as the attachment points and aggregate retention amounts may be changed by the Ceding Insurers in their sole discretion. This may result in a material increase or decrease in the likelihood of payment under the Reinsurance Agreement. On each date on which a Ceding Insurer withdraws funds from the Reinsurance Collateral Account, the Company shall issue and deliver to the Issuer an amount of XL Capital Ltd Series D Preference Shares having an aggregate liquidation preference that is equal to the amount of funds so withdrawn from the Collateral Account. The Company is obligated to reimburse Stoneheath for certain fees and ordinary expenses. The initial term of the Reinsurance Agreement will be for the period from the Closing Date through June 30, 2007, with four annual mandatory extensions through June 30, 2011 (unless coverage is exhausted there under prior to such date). The Ceding Insurers may thereafter extend the Reinsurance Agreement at their option for additional calendar quarters without limit (unless coverage is exhausted there under). The contingent put option is recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. The Stoneheath preferred securities and, if issued, the XL Series D Preference Shares will pay dividends on a non-cumulative basis at a fixed rate of 6.868% per annum through October 15, 2011 and thereafter at a floating rate based on 3-month LIBOR, plus 3.12%.

The Company through SCA has historically provided various forms of credit enhancement including financial guarantee insurance and reinsurance of structured transactions backed by pools of assets of specified types, municipal obligations supported by the issuers' ability to charge fees for specified services or projects, and structured single risk-based obligations including essential infrastructure projects and obligations backed by receivables from future sales of commodities and other specified services. The obligations related to these transactions are often securitized through variable interest entities. Subsequent to June 6, 2007 and the deconsolidation of SCA, the Company's involvement with such variable interest entities is limited to its reinsurance of SCA. In synthetic transactions, the Company guarantees payment obligations of counterparties including special purpose vehicles under credit derivatives referencing asset portfolios. The Company invests in CDOs, and other investment vehicles that are issued through variable interest entities as part of the Company's risk asset portfolio.

In February 2003, the Company entered into an aggregate of \$300.0 million of commercial paper-based credit facilities (the "Credit Facilities"). These facilities were increased to \$500.0 million in June 2003. The proceeds of advances under the Credit Facilities were used to fund a trust account ("Trust") to collateralize the reinsurance obligations of the Company under an intercompany quota share reinsurance agreement. The issued securities and the Company's repayment obligations were recorded as a net balance on the Company's balance sheet because the Company had a contractual legal right of offset. In February 2008, the Company terminated this arrangement, resulting in a repayment of \$500.0 million and termination of the Trust.

In July 2003, the Company entered into a contingent capital transaction with an aggregate value of \$500.0 million. This transaction also provides the Company with an insurance trust that provides the Company with statutory relief under state insurance regulations in the U.S. Under the terms of this facility, the Company has acquired an irrevocable put option to issue preference ordinary shares into a trust in return for proceeds raised from investors. This put option may be exercised by the Company at any time. In addition, the Company may be required to issue preference ordinary shares to the trust under certain circumstances, including, but not limited to, the non-payment of the put option premium and a ratings downgrade of the Company.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Item 8, Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Significant Accounting Policies", for a discussion on recent accounting pronouncements.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("PSLRA") provides a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements. Any prospectus, prospectus supplement, the Company's Annual Report to ordinary shareholders, any proxy statement, any other Form 10-K, Form 10-Q or Form 8-K of the Company or any other written or oral statements made by or on behalf of the Company may include forward-looking statements that reflect the Company's current views with respect to future events and financial performance. Such statements include forward-looking statements both with respect to the Company in general, and to the insurance and reinsurance sectors in particular (both as to underwriting and investment matters). Statements that include the words "expect", "intend", "plan", "believe", "project", "anticipate", "will", "may", and similar statements of a forward-looking nature identify forward-looking statements for purposes of the PSLRA or otherwise.

All forward-looking statements address matters that involve risks and uncertainties. Accordingly, there are or will be important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in such statements. The Company believes that these factors include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) changes to the size of the Company's claims relating to natural catastrophes; (ii) the timely and full recoverability of reinsurance placed by the Company with third parties, or other amounts due to the Company; (iii) the projected amount of ceded reinsurance recoverables and the ratings and creditworthiness of reinsurers may change; (iv) the timing of claims payments being faster or the receipt of reinsurance recoverables being slower than anticipated by the Company; (v) higher than expected defaults on relevant underlying obligations of SCA's subsidiaries and the adequacy of the claims paying ability of those SCA subsidiaries; (vi) the potential for larger than expected mark-to-market movements on credit derivatives originally written by SCA and reinsured by the Company; (vii) ineffectiveness or

obsolescence of the Company's business strategy due to changes in current or future market conditions; (viii) increased competition on the basis of pricing, capacity, coverage terms or other factors; (ix) greater frequency or

severity of claims and loss activity, including as a result of natural or man-made catastrophic events, than the Company's underwriting, reserving or investment practices anticipate based on historical experience or industry data; (x) developments in the world's financial and capital markets that adversely affect the performance of the Company's investments and the Company's access to such markets including, but not limited to further market developments relating to sub-prime and residential mortgages (xi) the potential impact on the Company from government-mandated insurance coverage for acts of terrorism; (xii) the potential impact of variable interest entities or other off-balance sheet arrangements on the Company; (xiii) developments in bankruptcy proceedings or other developments related to bankruptcies of companies insofar as they affect property and casualty insurance and reinsurance coverages or claims that the Company may have as a counterparty; (xiv) availability of borrowings and letters of credit under the Company's credit facilities; (xv) changes in regulation or tax laws applicable to the Company or its subsidiaries, brokers or customers; (xvi) acceptance of the Company's products and services, including new products and services; (xvii) changes in the availability, cost or quality of reinsurance; (xviii) changes in the distribution or placement of risks due to increased consolidation of insurance and reinsurance brokers; (xix) loss of key personnel; (xx) the effects of mergers, acquisitions and divestitures; (xxi) changes in ratings, rating agency policies or practices; (xxii) changes in accounting policies or practices or the application thereof; (xxiii) legislative or regulatory developments; (xxiv) changes in general economic conditions, including inflation, foreign currency exchange rates and other factors; (xxv) the effects of business disruption or economic contraction due to war, terrorism or other hostilities; and (xxvi) the other factors set forth in the Company's other documents on file with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the

SEC). The foregoing review of important factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read in conjunction with the other cautionary statements that are included herein or elsewhere. The Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The following risk management discussion and the estimated amounts generated from the sensitivity and value-at-risk (VaR) analyses presented in this document are forward-looking statements of market risk assuming certain adverse market conditions occur. Actual results in the future may differ materially from these estimated results due to, among other things, actual developments in the global financial markets and changes in the composition of the Company's investment portfolio. The results of analysis used by the Company to assess and mitigate risk should not be considered projections of future events or losses. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

Market risk represents the potential for loss due to adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments. The Company is principally exposed to the following market risks: interest rate risk; foreign currency exchange rate risk; equity price risk; credit risk; weather and energy-related risk, and other related market risks.

The Company's investment market risk arises from its investment portfolio which consists of fixed income securities, alternative investments, public equities, private investments, derivatives, other investments, and cash, denominated in both U.S. and foreign currencies, which are sensitive to changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices, foreign currency exchange rates and other related market risks. The Company's fixed income and equity securities are classified as available-for-sale, and as such changes in interest rates, credit spreads on corporate and structured credit, equity prices, foreign currency exchange rates or other related market instruments will have an immediate effect on comprehensive income and shareholders' equity but will not ordinarily have an immediate effect on net income. Nevertheless, changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices and other related market instruments effect consolidated net income when, and if, a security is sold or impaired.

The Company enters into derivatives and other financial instruments primarily for risk management purposes. The Company conducts activities in three main types of derivative instruments: credit derivatives, weather and energy derivatives and investment-related derivative instruments. From time to time, the Company also uses investment derivative instruments such as futures, options, interest rate swaps, and foreign currency forward contracts to manage the duration of its investment portfolio and foreign currency exposures and also to obtain exposure to a particular financial market. The Company's derivative transactions can expose the Company to credit derivative risk, weather and energy risk, foreign currency exchange rate risk, etc.. The Company attempts to manage these risks based on guidelines established by senior management. Derivative instruments are carried at fair value with the resulting changes in fair value recognized in income in the period in which they occur.

The following is a discussion and measurement of the Company's primary market risk exposures at December 31, 2007 using, where applicable, sensitivity and VaR analyses. The Company's policies to address these risks in 2007 were not materially different from 2006. The Company does not currently anticipate significant changes in its primary market risk exposures or in how those exposures are managed in future reporting periods based upon what is known or expected to be in effect in future reporting periods.

Interest Rate Risk

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The Company's fixed income portfolio is exposed to interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is the price sensitivity of a fixed income security to changes in interest rates. The Company manages interest rate risk within the context of its overall asset liability management strategy by setting duration targets for its investment portfolio in line with the estimated duration of its liabilities, thus

mitigating the overall economic effect of interest rate risk. The Company remains nevertheless exposed to accounting interest rate risk since the assets are marked to market, thus subject to market conditions, while liabilities are accrued at a static rate. The hypothetical case of an immediate 100 basis point adverse parallel shift in global bond curves as at December 31, 2007, would decrease the fair value of the Company's fixed income portfolio by approximately 4.3% or \$1.7 billion.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Many of the Company's non-U.S. subsidiaries maintain both assets and liabilities in local currencies. Foreign currency exchange rate gains and losses arise for accounting purposes where net assets or liabilities are denominated in foreign currencies that differ from the functional currency of those subsidiaries. In addition, the Company's shareholders equity is impacted by movements in currency exchange rates through both the foreign exchange component of realized and unrealized gains and losses within the Company's investment portfolio, and the cumulative translation adjustments resulting from the translation of foreign subsidiary results.

Foreign currency exchange rate risk in general is reviewed as part of the Company's risk management process. Foreign exchange contracts within the investment portfolio are utilized to manage individual portfolio foreign exchange exposures, subject to investment manager guidelines established by management. These contracts are not designated as specific hedges for financial reporting purposes and, therefore, realized and unrealized gains and losses on these contracts are recorded in income in the period in which they occur. These contracts generally have maturities of three months or less. The Company also attempts to manage the foreign exchange volatility arising on certain transactions denominated in foreign currencies. These include, but are not limited to, premium receivable, reinsurance contracts, claims payable and investments in subsidiaries.

The principal currencies creating foreign exchange risk for us are the British pound sterling, the Euro, the Swiss Franc, and the Canadian dollar. The Company's net notional foreign currency denominated exposure on foreign exchange contracts was \$341.7 million and receivable of \$211.5 million as at December 31, 2007 and 2006 respectively, with a net unrealized gain of \$0.9 million and \$15.5 million as at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Equity Price Risk

The Company's equity portfolio as well as certain derivatives and certain affiliate investments are exposed to equity price risk. Equity price risk is the potential loss arising from changes in the market value of equities. An immediate hypothetical 10% change in the value of each equity position in the Company's equity portfolio would affect the fair value of the portfolio by approximately \$75.7 million as at December 31, 2007.

As at December 31, 2007, the Company's equity portfolio was \$757 million as compared to \$699 million as at December 31, 2006. This excludes fixed income fund investments and publicly traded alternative funds that generally do not have the risk characteristics of equity investments. As at December 31, 2007, the Company's allocation to equity securities was approximately 1.7% of the total investment portfolio (including cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net payable for investments purchased) as compared to approximately 1.6% as at December 31, 2006.

As at December 31, 2007, approximately 28.8% of the equity holdings was invested in U.S. companies as compared to approximately 45.0% as at December 31, 2006. As at December 31, 2007, the top ten equity holdings represented approximately 11.5% of the Company's total equity portfolio as compared to approximately 7.9% as at December 31, 2006.

For further discussion of the exposure to equity market movements in the Company's investment portfolio see the Investment Value-at-Risk section below.

Credit Risk

The Company's exposure to market movements related to credit risk is primarily due to its investment portfolio, credit derivatives embedded in financial guarantee reinsurance exposures, receivable and ceded reinsurance balances. Within the investment portfolio, credit risk is the exposure to adverse change in the creditworthiness of individual investment holdings, issuers, groups of issuers, industries or countries. In addition, credit risk pertains to adverse change in the creditworthiness of the Company's reinsurers and retrocessionaires, and their ability to pay certain reinsurance receivable and recoverable balances.

The Company manages credit risk in the investment portfolio, including fixed maturity, alternative and short term investment through the credit research performed primarily by the external investment professionals and limitations on the investment portfolio's exposure to individual credits. Credit limits for corporate exposures are based on an internal model that allows the limits to be linked to the Company's balance sheet credit risk taking capacity while also taking into consideration internal views on specific issuers and on current or expected market credit conditions. Credit limits for other sectors are derived from the corporate limits using multipliers as a way to quantify intrinsic credit quality characteristics differences with the corporate sector. Limits are reviewed annually and ensure that potential losses from individual defaults

should not exceed predetermined levels.

In the investment portfolio, the Company reviews on a regular basis its issuer concentration, credit quality and compliance with established credit limits. Any issuer over its credit limits, experiencing financial difficulties, material credit quality deterioration or potentially subject to forthcoming credit quality deterioration is placed on a Watch List for closer monitoring. Where appropriate, exposures are reduced or prevented from increasing.

The Company has also developed a proprietary model for the investment portfolio to assess corporate credit risk based upon its individual holdings mark-to-market exposure, credit rating, seniority in the capital structure, migration and default probabilities,

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

durations, default correlations and loss severity given a default event. Based upon these factors and related market based inputs, the Company can estimate the credit risk of the Company's investment portfolio and its various components. Assumptions are reviewed regularly to ensure the risk estimates are reasonable and reflect underlying exposures.

The table below shows the Company's fixed income portfolio by credit rating in percentage terms of the Company's total fixed income exposure (including fixed maturities, short-term investments, cash and cash equivalents and net payable for investments purchased) as at December 31, 2007.

	Total
AAA	53.4
AA	18.1
A	16.9
BBB	9.3
BB & below	2.2
NR	0.1
	100.0%
Total	100.0%

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the average credit quality of the Company's total fixed income portfolio was AA.

As at December 31, 2007, the top 10 corporate holdings, which exclude government guaranteed and government sponsored enterprises, represented approximately 4.8% of the total fixed income portfolio and approximately 13.4% of all corporate holdings. The top 10 corporate holdings listed below represent the direct exposure to the corporations listed below, including their subsidiaries, and excludes any securitized, credit enhanced and collateralized asset or mortgage backed securities, cash and cash equivalents, pooled notes and any over-the-counter (OTC) derivative counterparty exposures, if applicable.

Top 10 Corporate Holdings (2)	Percentage of Total Fixed Income Portfolio (1)
HBOS plc	0.6%
General Electric Company	0.6%
Citigroup Inc	0.6%
The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc	0.6%
Bank of America Corporation	0.5%
HSBC Holdings plc	0.5%
Lloyds TSB Group plc	0.4%
Merril Lynch & Co., Inc	0.4%
Barclays plc	0.3%
The Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc	0.3%

(1) Including fixed maturities, short-term investments, cash and cash equivalents and net payable for investments purchased.

The Company also has exposure to market movement related to credit risk associated with its mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. The table below shows the breakdown of the \$14.6 billion structured credit portfolio, of which 85.0% is AAA rated:

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	Fair Value	Percent of Portfolio
CMBS	\$ 4,073.6	27.9%
Agency	2,545.3	17.4%
Whole Loans	1,833.8	12.5%
Core CDO (non-ABS CDOs and CLOs)	1,085.1	7.4%
ABS - Other Real Estate	206.3	1.4%
Other ABS:		

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

ABS - Auto	1,155.5	7.9%
ABS Credit Cards	758.3	5.2%
ABS Other	908.9	6.2%
Topical:		
Sub-prime first lien	995.9	6.8%
Alt-A	924.8	6.3%
Second lien (including sub-prime second lien mortgages)	97.6	0.7%
ABS CDO s with sub-prime collateral	39.3	0.3%
Total	\$ 14,624.4	100.0%

For further discussion of the exposure to credit market movements in the Company's investment portfolio see the Investment Value-at-Risk section below.

The Company also has exposure to market movements as a result of credit risk exposures related to financial guarantee reinsurance of SCA. This exposure generally relates to certain specific facultative and excess of loss reinsurance agreements which are not, in their entirety, required to be marked to market. For further details surrounding this exposure, see Item 7 Management's Discussion

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Security Capital Assurance. A portion of the exposures resulting from this business represent risks written by SCA in credit derivative form which are reinsured by the Company. These components of the overall exposure are required to be marked to fair value. The fair value of such instruments is determined using models developed by SCA management and reviewed by the Company.

With regards to unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable, the Company has credit risk should any of its reinsurers be unable or unwilling to settlement amounts due to the Company, however, these exposures are not marked to market. For further information relating to reinsurer credit risk, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses Recoverable and Reinsurance Balances Receivable and Item 8, Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Commitments and Contingencies.

The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the other parties to its derivative instruments in general, however the Company does not anticipate non-performance. The difference between the notional principal amounts and the associated market value is the Company's maximum credit exposure.

Weather and Energy Risk

The Company offers weather and contingent energy risk management products in insurance or derivative form to end-users, while managing the risks in the over-the-counter and exchange traded derivatives markets or through the use of quota share or excess of loss arrangements.

The fair value of weather and energy derivatives is determined through the use of quoted market prices where available. Where quoted market prices are unavailable, the fair value is estimated using available market data and internal pricing models using consistent statistical methodologies. Estimating fair value of instruments that do not have quoted market prices requires management's judgment in determining amounts that could reasonably be expected to be received from, or paid to, a third party in settlement of the contracts. The amounts could be materially different from the amounts that might be realized in an actual sale transaction. Fair values are subject to change in the near-term and reflect management's best estimate based on various factors including, but not limited to, actual and forecasted weather conditions, changes in commodity prices, changes in interest rates and other market factors.

The Company manages its weather and energy risk portfolio through the employment of a variety of strategies. These include geographical and directional diversification of risk exposures and direct hedging within the capital and reinsurance markets. Risk management is undertaken on a portfolio-wide basis, to maintain a portfolio that the Company believes is well diversified and which remains within the aggregate risk tolerance established by the Company's senior management. At present, the Company's VaR related to these risks does not exceed \$20.0 million in any one season.

Other Market Risks

The Company's private investment portfolio is invested in limited partnerships and other entities which are not publicly traded. In addition to normal market risks, these positions may also be exposed to liquidity risk, risks related to distressed investments, and risks specific to startup or small companies.

As at December 31, 2007, the Company's exposure to private investments was \$427.5 million compared to \$330.2 million as at December 31, 2006.

At December 31, 2007, bond and stock index futures outstanding had a net short position of \$384.5 million as compared to a net long position of \$23.8 million at December 31, 2006. A 10% appreciation or depreciation of the underlying exposure to these derivative instruments would have resulted in realized gains or realized losses of \$38.5 million as at December 31, 2007 and \$2.4 million as at December 31, 2006, respectively. The Company may reduce its exposure to these futures through offsetting transactions, including options and forwards.

Investment Value-at-Risk (VaR)

Central to the Company's market risk management framework is VaR. VaR is a statistical risk measure, calculating the level of potential losses that could be expected to be exceeded, over a specified holding period and at a given level of confidence, in normal market conditions, due to adverse movements in the investment portfolio's underlying securities and investments valuations.

The Company uses VaR as a statistical risk measure of the two main components of its investment portfolio - the Asset Liability Portfolio and the Risk Portfolio. The Asset Liability Portfolio principal objectives are to support the liabilities arising from the Company's operations, to produce a steady stream of investment income and to preserve capital. The Asset Liability portfolio is invested in investment grade fixed income and cash securities (fixed income instruments maturing one year or less at the time of issuance). The Risk Portfolio principal objective is to build

book value over the long term. The Risk Portfolio is invested in equity securities, below investment grade fixed income securities, alternative investment strategies and private investments.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The Company calculates the VaR of the investment portfolio using a one month time horizon and a 95% level of confidence. This means that, on average, the Company could expect marked-to-market results greater than predicted by the VaR results 5% of the time, or once every 20 months. The calculation of VaR is performed monthly using a full valuation based on a Monte Carlo approach and it is expressed both in terms of U.S. dollars and as a percentage of the Company's investment portfolio.

The modeling of the risk of any portfolio, as measured by VaR, involves a number of assumptions and approximations. While the Company believes that its assumptions and approximations are appropriate, there is no uniform industry methodology for calculating VaR. The Company notes that different VaR results can be produced for the same portfolio dependent not only on the approach used but also on the assumptions employed when implementing the approach.

The VaR approach uses historical data to determine the sensitivity of each of the underlying securities to the risk factors incorporated into the models that are employed in the Monte Carlo simulations. In calculating these sensitivities, greater importance is placed on the more recent data points and information. Since the VaR approach is based on historical positions and market data, VaR results should not be viewed as an absolute and predictive gauge of future financial performance or as a way for the Company to predict risk. There is no assurance that the Company's actual future losses will not exceed its VaR and the Company expects that 5% of the time the VaR will be exceeded.

Additionally, the Company acknowledges the fact that risks associated with abnormal market events can be significantly different from the VaR results and these are by definition not reflected or assessed in the VaR analysis, rather this is evaluated using the Company's stress testing framework.

The VaR of the investment portfolio at December 31, 2007 was approximately \$648 million. The VaR of all investment related derivatives excluding investments in affiliates and other investments was approximately \$19.1 million.

In instances where the data or time series are insufficient to determine the risk factor sensitivities, the VaR approach uses proxy time series data available for similar instruments. As at December 31, 2007, approximately \$18.8 billion used proxy time series data. Approximately \$14.1 billion related to various fixed income portfolios (of which \$11.7 billion was in mortgage backed and asset backed securities), \$2.0 billion to various cash portfolios, \$2.1 billion to alternative investments, \$439.5 million to private investments, \$163.3 million to equity portfolios, and \$5.1 million to derivatives. The Company reviews the proxies to ensure that an appropriate data and time series is being used in the calculations and that the proxies used are conservative.

The following table shows the Company's average, minimum and maximum VaR in percentage and dollar terms for the investment portfolio during the year ended December 31, 2007, based upon the VaR at quarter end dates. The table also includes the Company's VaR in percentage and dollar terms, respectively, for the investment portfolio as at December 31, 2007. The Company's investment portfolio VaR as at December 31, 2007 is not necessarily indicative of future VaR levels. During 2007, the Company did not experience a single calendar month in which the monthly price return (representing net realized and unrealized gains and net income from affiliates excluding net investment income) on the investment portfolio exceeded the risk level indicated by the corresponding month's VaR. The Company uses VaR as a statistical risk measure of the two main components of its investment portfolio only - the Asset Liability Portfolio and the Risk Asset Portfolio.

	Average VaR % VaR(1)	Minimum VaR % VaR(1)	Maximum VaR % VaR(1)	At December 31, 2007 % VaR(1)
Asset Liability Portfolio	1.3%	1.1%	1.8%	1.8%
Risk Asset Portfolio	3.0%	1.9%	3.9%	3.7%
Undiversified VaR (2)	1.5%	1.2%	2.0%	2.0%
Diversification VaR (3)	0.3%	%	%	0.5%
Investment Portfolio VaR (4)(6)	1.2%	1.0%	1.5%	1.5%

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	Average VaR during 2007 \$VaR(5)	Minimum VaR during 2007 \$VaR(5)	Maximum VaR during 2007 \$VaR(5)	VaR as at December 31, 2007 \$VaR(5)
Investment Portfolio VaR (4)(6)	\$526.0	\$423.9	\$648.3	\$648.3

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- (1) Based on a 95% confidence level with a one month holding period and expressed as a percentage of the investment portfolio.
- (2) Average Undiversified VaR for the year ended December 31, 2007 and Undiversified VaR at December 31, 2007 is the summation of the individual VaRs for the Asset Liability and Risk Asset portfolios and, by construction, ignores any and all correlations between these portfolios (and their underlying asset classes). The Undiversified VaR therefore ignores diversification benefits that exist in between these portfolios (and their underlying asset classes). Maximum and Minimum Undiversified VaR is not necessarily the summation of the individual VaRs for each of the separate portfolios since the Maximum and Minimum VaR and the Maximum and Minimum Undiversified VaR do not necessarily refer to the same point in time.
- (3) Diversification VaR equals the difference between the Investment Portfolio VaR and the Undiversified VaR. As the former explicitly accounts for the correlations and diversification benefits that exist between the Asset Liability and Risk Asset portfolios and the latter explicitly does not, the difference in the two VaR results is due to the diversification benefits. These diversification benefits arise due to the risk reduction that occurs when different assets, that are not perfectly correlated, are combined in a portfolio. It will vary over time dependent on: allocations changes; changes in the

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

correlations between the different underlying asset classes; and changes in the underlying asset class risks. The NA reflects the fact that, since the Minimum and Maximum VaR do not refer to the same point in time, it is therefore not meaningful to calculate the Diversification VaR.

- (4) Investment Portfolio VaR is based on the prescribed methodology that explicitly accounts for the diversification benefits that occur when each of the allocations to the Asset Liability and Risk Asset portfolios (and their underlying asset classes) are included in the investment portfolio.
- (5) Based on a 95% confidence level with a one month holding period, expressed in millions of U.S. Dollars.
- (6) Investment Portfolio refers to the two main components of the Company's investment portfolio - the Asset Liability Portfolio and the Risk Asset Portfolio. The Company's total investment portfolio VaR is driven by: the size of the overall investment portfolio; the size of the allocations to the different asset classes and securities in the asset classes; the risks associated with each of the asset classes and securities; and the correlations and diversification benefits between each of the asset classes and securities. Changes in any of these variables will have a direct impact on the Company's VaR.

At December 31, 2007 the Company's investment portfolio VaR, Undiversified VaR and Asset Liability Portfolio VaR were all running at the maximum month end levels experienced in 2007 of 1.5%, 2.0% and 1.8%, respectively. The higher year end results were primarily a result of increased market volatilities across substantially all asset classes that the investment portfolio is exposed to.

Stress Testing

VaR does not provide the means to estimate the magnitude of the loss in the 5% of occurrences that the Company expects the VaR level to be exceeded. To complement the VaR analysis based on normal market environments, the Company considers the impact on the investment portfolio in several different historical stress periods to analyze the effect of unusual market conditions. The Company establishes certain historical stress test scenarios which are applied to the actual investment portfolio. As these stress tests and estimated gains and losses are based on historical events, they will not necessarily reflect future stress events or gains and losses from such events. The results of the stress test scenarios are reviewed on a regular basis to ensure they are appropriate, based on current shareholders equity, market conditions and the Company's total risk tolerance. It is important to note that when assessing the risk of the Company's investment portfolio, the Company does not take into account either the value or risk associated with the liabilities arising from the Company's operations. Since the principal objectives of the Asset Liability portfolio component of the investment portfolio are to support and generally offset or hedge certain of the risks associated with the liabilities.

The table below shows the maximum impact on the Company's investment portfolio if all events stress tested were to repeat themselves, given the actual investment portfolio's allocations at the quarters ended March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2007. The Company assumes that no action is taken during the stress period to either liquidate or rebalance the portfolio. The Company believes that this fairly reflects the potential decreased liquidity that is often associated with stressed market environments.

Stress Test	March 31, 2007	June 30, 2007	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2007
Maximum loss impact on portfolio	(5.3)%	(5.5)%	(5.7)%	(6.0)%
Maximum gain impact on portfolio	16.7%	16.7%	17.5%	18.1%

From the different scenarios that the Company analyzes, the largest downside event risk exposure during 2007 was 6.0%, based on the investment portfolio as at December 31, 2007. The largest upside risk exposure during 2007 was 18.1% as at December 31, 2007.

At December 31, 2007 the Company's maximum loss impact and maximum gain impact on the investment portfolio were at their maximum month end ranges. The higher year end results were primarily a result of changes in the level of interest rates, spread levels and other key risk factors during the quarter.

Given the investment portfolio allocations as at December 31, 2007, the Company would expect to lose approximately 6.0% of the portfolio if the most damaging event stress tested was repeated, all other things held equal. Given the investment portfolio allocations as at December 31, 2007, the Company would expect to gain approximately 18.1% of the portfolio if the most favorable event stress tested was repeated, all other things held equal.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Related	Page
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as at December 31, 2007 and 2006</u>	99
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u>	100
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u>	101
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u>	102
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u>	104

98

XL CAPITAL LTD

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS AT DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND 2006

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except share amounts)

	2007	2006
ASSETS		
Investments:		
Fixed maturities at fair value (amortized cost: 2007, \$34,233,816; 2006, \$35,979,759)	\$ 33,607,790	\$ 36,121,358
Equity securities, at fair value (cost: 2007, \$664,213; 2006, \$705,517)	854,815	891,138
Short-term investments, at fair value (amortized cost: 2007, \$1,814,445; 2006, \$2,340,304)	1,803,198	2,338,487
Total investments available for sale	36,265,803	39,350,983
Investments in affiliates	2,611,149	2,308,781
Other investments (cost: 2007, \$614,848; 2006, \$417,762)	708,476	476,889
Total investments	39,585,428	42,136,653
Cash and cash equivalents	3,880,030	2,223,748
Accrued investment income	447,660	432,618
Deferred acquisition costs	756,854	870,237
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	972,516	1,178,516
Premiums receivable	3,637,452	3,591,238
Reinsurance balances receivable	817,931	1,106,651
Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	4,697,471	5,027,772
Goodwill and other intangible assets	1,841,591	1,818,096
Deferred tax asset, net	370,419	346,122
Other assets	754,912	577,219
Total assets	\$ 57,762,264	\$ 59,308,870
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
Unpaid losses and loss expenses	\$ 23,207,694	\$ 22,895,021
Deposit liabilities	7,920,085	7,857,827
Future policy benefit reserves	6,772,042	6,476,057
Unearned premiums	4,681,989	5,652,897
Notes payable and debt	2,868,731	3,368,376
Reinsurance balances payable	843,511	902,841
Net payable for investments purchased	191,472	104,739
Other liabilities	1,326,179	1,357,825
Total liabilities	\$ 47,811,703	\$ 48,615,583
Commitments and Contingencies		
Minority interest in equity of consolidated subsidiaries	\$ 2,419	\$ 562,121
Shareholders' Equity:		
Series A preference ordinary shares, 23,000,000 authorized, par value \$0.01 Issued and outstanding: (2007, nil; 2006, 9,200,000)	\$	\$ 92
Series B preference ordinary shares, 27,000,000 authorized, par value \$0.01 Issued and outstanding: (2007, nil; 2006, 11,500,000)		115
Series E preference ordinary shares, 1,000,000 authorized, par value \$0.01 Issued and outstanding: (2007, 1,000,000; 2006, nil)	10	
Class A ordinary shares, 999,990,000 authorized, par value \$0.01 Issued and outstanding: (2007, 177,910,151; 2006, 180,983,611)	1,779	1,810

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Additional paid in capital	7,358,801	6,451,569
Accumulated other comprehensive income	9,159	411,405
Retained earnings	2,578,393	3,266,175
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 9,948,142	\$ 10,131,166
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 57,762,264	\$ 59,308,870
	<hr/>	<hr/>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

XL CAPITAL LTD

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006 AND 2005**

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Revenues:			
Net premiums earned	\$ 7,205,356	\$ 7,569,518	\$ 9,365,495
Net investment income	2,248,807	1,978,184	1,475,039
Net realized (losses) gains on investments	(603,268)	(116,458)	241,882
Net realized and unrealized (losses) gains on derivative instruments	(55,451)	101,183	28,858
Net income from investment fund affiliates	326,007	269,036	154,844
Fee income and other	14,271	31,732	19,297
Total revenues	\$ 9,135,722	\$ 9,833,195	\$ 11,285,415
Expenses:			
Net losses and loss expenses incurred	\$ 3,841,003	\$ 4,201,194	\$ 7,434,336
Claims and policy benefits	888,658	807,255	2,510,029
Acquisition costs	1,063,713	1,102,046	1,195,344
Operating expenses	1,144,910	1,182,939	982,059
Exchange (gains) losses	(19,734)	89,373	10,954
Interest expense	621,905	552,275	403,849
Amortization of intangible assets	1,680	2,355	10,752
Total expenses	\$ 7,542,135	\$ 7,937,437	\$ 12,547,323
Income (loss) before minority interest, income tax and net income from operating affiliates	\$ 1,593,587	\$ 1,895,758	\$ (1,261,908)
Minority interest in net income of subsidiaries	23,928	25,016	8,210
Provision for income tax	233,922	219,645	49,284
Net (loss) income from operating affiliates	(1,059,848)	111,670	67,426
Net income (loss)	\$ 275,889	\$ 1,762,767	\$ (1,251,976)
Preference share dividends	(69,514)	(40,322)	(40,322)
Net income (loss) available to ordinary shareholders	\$ 206,375	\$ 1,722,445	\$ (1,292,298)
Net income (loss)	\$ 275,889	\$ 1,762,767	\$ (1,251,976)
Change in net unrealized (losses) on investments, net of tax	(747,941)	(103,834)	(314,719)
Additional pension liability	3,080	(9,809)	
Change in value of cash flow hedge	4,338	630	630
Change in net unrealized gain (loss) on future policy benefit reserves	16,005	94,904	(46,743)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	317,319	153,610	168,802
Realization of accumulated other comprehensive loss on sale of SCA	4,953	14,224	
Minority interest share in change in accumulated other comprehensive loss in SCA		(6,563)	
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (126,357)	\$ 1,905,929	\$ (1,444,006)
Weighted average ordinary shares and ordinary share equivalents outstanding basic	178,500	178,793	141,406
Weighted average ordinary shares and ordinary share equivalents outstanding diluted	179,693	179,450	141,406
Earnings (Loss) per ordinary share and ordinary share equivalent basic	\$ 1.16	\$ 9.63	\$ (9.14)

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Earnings (Loss) per ordinary share and ordinary share equivalent	diluted	\$	1.15	\$	9.60	\$	(9.14)
--	---------	----	------	----	------	----	--------

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

XL CAPITAL LTD

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006 AND 2005**
(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Series A, B and E Preference Ordinary Shares:			
Balance beginning of year	\$ 207	\$ 207	\$ 207
Issuance of Series E preference ordinary shares	10		
Redemption of Series A preference ordinary shares	(92)		
Redemption of Series B preference ordinary shares	(115)		
Balance end of year	<u>\$ 10</u>	<u>\$ 207</u>	<u>\$ 207</u>
Ordinary Shares:			
Balance beginning of year	\$ 1,810	\$ 1,795	\$ 1,389
Issuance of Class A ordinary shares	113	9	398
Exercise of stock options	9	7	9
Repurchase of Class A ordinary shares	(153)	(1)	(1)
Balance end of year	<u>\$ 1,779</u>	<u>\$ 1,810</u>	<u>\$ 1,795</u>
Additional paid in capital:			
Balance beginning of year	\$ 6,451,569	\$ 6,377,375	\$ 3,880,187
Issuance of Class A ordinary shares	874,172	56,308	2,504,872
Issuance of Series E preference ordinary shares	984,573		
Repurchase of Class A ordinary shares	(515,677)	(2,846)	(2,036)
Redemption of Series A preference ordinary shares	(229,908)		
Redemption of Series B preference ordinary shares	(287,385)		
Stock option expense	15,114	27,216	20,457
Exercise of stock options	65,832	33,062	36,293
Equity units / debt value			(36,922)
Net change in deferred compensation	511	1,554	(25,476)
Net loss on sale of SCA		(30,100)	
Realization of accumulated other comprehensive loss on sale of SCA		(11,000)	
Balance end of year	<u>\$ 7,358,801</u>	<u>\$ 6,451,569</u>	<u>\$ 6,377,375</u>
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income:			
Balance beginning of year	\$ 411,405	\$ 268,243	\$ 460,273
Net change in unrealized (losses) on investment portfolio, net of tax	(775,159)	(136,090)	(340,156)
Net change in unrealized gains on affiliate and other investments, net of tax	27,218	32,256	25,437
Additional pension liability	3,080	(9,809)	
Change in value of cash flow hedge	4,338	630	630
Change in net unrealized gain (loss) on future policy benefit reserves	16,005	94,904	(46,743)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	317,319	153,610	168,802
Realization of accumulated other comprehensive loss on sale of SCA	4,953	14,224	
Minority interest share in change in accumulated other comprehensive loss in SCA		(6,563)	
Balance end of year	<u>\$ 9,159</u>	<u>\$ 411,405</u>	<u>\$ 268,243</u>
Retained Earnings:			
Balance beginning of year	\$ 3,266,175	\$ 1,824,191	\$ 3,396,639
Net income (loss)	275,889	1,762,767	(1,251,976)
Dividends on Class A ordinary shares	(274,037)	(277,682)	(276,655)
Dividends on Series A, B and E preference ordinary shares	(69,514)	(40,322)	(40,322)

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Repurchase of shares	(620,120)	(2,779)	(3,495)
Balance end of year	<u>\$ 2,578,393</u>	<u>\$ 3,266,175</u>	<u>\$ 1,824,191</u>
Total shareholders equity	<u>\$ 9,948,142</u>	<u>\$ 10,131,166</u>	<u>\$ 8,471,811</u>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

XL CAPITAL LTD

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006 AND 2005

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	2007	2006	2005
Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 275,889	\$ 1,762,767	\$ (1,251,976)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Net realized losses (gains) on sales of investments	603,268	116,458	(241,882)
Net realized and unrealized losses (gains) on derivative instruments	55,451	(101,183)	(28,858)
Amortization of (premiums) discounts on fixed maturities	(86,826)	(29,435)	50,206
Amortization of intangible assets	1,680	2,355	10,752
Amortization of deferred compensation	49,666	56,163	33,004
Accretion of convertible debt	988	969	976
Accretion of deposit liabilities	421,074	350,053	227,743
Net loss (income) from investment and operating affiliates	733,841	(380,706)	(222,270)
Unpaid losses and loss expenses	(231,400)	(1,290,840)	4,715,950
Unearned premiums	(230,834)	130,836	334,392
Premiums receivable	33,879	341,720	(148,432)
Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	387,263	1,562,453	284,256
Future policy benefit reserves	93,668	105,395	1,733,856
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	173,123	(89,037)	(101,064)
Reinsurance balances receivable	307,432	(32,782)	(35,612)
Reinsurance balances payable	(78,486)	(528,697)	(70,855)
Deferred acquisition costs	3,925	21,479	(44,242)
Deferred tax asset	(20,100)	20,729	(3,122)
Other	(252,635)	419,958	(205,472)
Total adjustments	\$ 1,964,977	\$ 675,888	\$ 6,289,326
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 2,240,866	\$ 2,438,655	\$ 5,037,350
Cash Flows Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities:			
Proceeds from sale of fixed maturities and short-term investments	\$ 23,570,285	\$ 24,409,164	\$ 21,672,519
Proceeds from redemption of fixed maturities and short-term investments	1,923,459	1,451,695	2,416,539
Proceeds from sale of equity securities	937,994	1,130,234	1,179,866
Net proceeds from sale of SCA common shares		104,650	
Proceeds from sale of SCA common shares, net of cash sold upon de-consolidation	(110,843)		
Purchases of fixed maturities and short-term investments	(25,229,000)	(29,141,948)	(33,115,344)
Purchases of equity securities	(832,385)	(1,000,775)	(947,538)
Proceeds from sale of affiliates and dividends received	77,500	155,358	108,174
Acquisition of subsidiaries, net of cash acquired	(32,918)	(12,600)	
Other investments	(185,734)	(72,190)	15,768
Other assets		4,087	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$ 118,358	\$ (2,972,325)	\$ (8,670,016)

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

XL CAPITAL LTD

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Cash Flows (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and issuance of Class A ordinary shares	\$ 878,439	\$ 31,972	\$ 2,478,065
Proceeds from issuance of Series E preference ordinary shares	984,583		
Redemption of Series A preference ordinary shares	(230,000)		
Redemption of Series B preference ordinary shares	(287,500)		
Repurchase of Class A ordinary shares	(1,135,950)	(5,626)	(5,531)
Dividends paid on Class A ordinary shares	(274,037)	(277,682)	(276,655)
Dividends paid on preference ordinary shares	(69,514)	(40,322)	(40,322)
Proceeds from issuance of debt	322,836		790,291
Repayment of debt	(825,000)	(45,291)	(100,000)
Deposit liabilities	(360,029)	(734,185)	2,043,125
Net cash flow on securities lending	10,191	(239,281)	274,582
Proceeds from issuance of SCA common shares		342,227	
Proceeds from issuance of SCA Series A perpetual preference ordinary shares	247,248		
Dividends paid to minority shareholders of SCA	(16,130)		
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>\$ (754,863)</u>	<u>\$ (968,188)</u>	<u>\$ 5,163,555</u>
Effects of exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash	51,921	32,131	(41,140)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,656,282	(1,469,727)	1,489,749
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of year	2,223,748	3,693,475	2,203,726
Cash and cash equivalents end of year	<u>\$ 3,880,030</u>	<u>\$ 2,223,748</u>	<u>\$ 3,693,475</u>
Net taxes paid	\$ 156,315	\$ 194,217	\$ 34,500
Interest paid	\$ 171,677	\$ 170,286	\$ 143,437

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

XL CAPITAL LTD

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006 AND 2005**

1. General

XL Capital Ltd, through its operating subsidiaries (the Company or XL), is a leading provider of insurance and reinsurance coverages to industrial, commercial and professional service firms, insurance companies and other enterprises on a worldwide basis. The Company and its various subsidiaries operate globally in 28 countries, through its four business segments: Insurance, Reinsurance, Life Operations, and Other Financial lines. These segments are further discussed in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Segment Information.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Basis of Preparation and Consolidation

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all of its subsidiaries. These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Certain amounts in 2006 and 2005 have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The Company s most significant areas of estimation include:

Unpaid losses and loss expenses and unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable;

Future policy benefit reserves;

Deposit liabilities;

Certain derivative instruments;

Other than temporary declines in the value of investments;

Income taxes; and

Reinsurance premium estimates

While management believes that the amounts included in the consolidated financial statements reflect the Company s best estimates and assumptions, actual results could differ from these estimates.

On June 6, 2007, the Company completed the sale of a portion of SCA s common shares owned by the Company through a secondary offering and thereby reduced its ownership of SCA s outstanding common shares from approximately 63% to approximately 46%. Accordingly, SCA is no longer a consolidated subsidiary or operating segment of the Company and the Company accounts for its remaining investment in SCA using the equity method of accounting. For further information see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Security Capital Assurance (SCA).

(b) Premiums and Acquisition Costs

Insurance premiums written are recorded in accordance with the terms of the underlying policies. Reinsurance premiums written are recorded at the inception of the policy and are estimated based upon information received from ceding companies and any subsequent differences arising on such estimates are recorded in the period they are determined. Financial guarantee installment premiums are recorded as premiums written when due.

Premiums are earned on a pro-rata basis over the period the coverage is provided. Financial guarantee insurance premiums are earned pro-rata to the amount of risk outstanding over the life of the exposure. Unearned premiums represent the portion of premiums written applicable to the unexpired terms of policies in force. Net premiums earned are presented after deductions for reinsurance ceded, as applicable.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Mandatory reinstatement premiums are recognized and earned at the time a loss event occurs.

Life and annuity premiums from long duration contracts that transfer significant mortality or morbidity risks are recognized as revenue and earned when due from policyholders. Life and annuity premiums from long duration contracts that do not subject the Company to risks arising from policyholder mortality or morbidity are accounted for as investment contracts and presented within deposit liabilities.

The Company writes retroactive loss portfolio transfer (LPT) contracts. These contracts are evaluated to determine whether they meet the established criteria for reinsurance accounting, and if so, at inception, written premiums are fully earned and corresponding losses and loss expense recognized. The contracts can cause significant variances in gross premiums written, net premiums written, net premiums earned, and net incurred losses in the years in which they are written. Reinsurance contracts sold not meeting the established criteria for reinsurance accounting are recorded using the deposit method.

Acquisition costs, which vary with and are directly related to the acquisition of policies, consist primarily of commissions paid to

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**(b) Premiums and Acquisition Costs (continued)**

brokers and cedants and, with respect to SCA, certain underwriting costs and are deferred and amortized over the period that the premiums are earned. Acquisition costs are shown net of commissions earned on reinsurance ceded. Future earned premiums, the anticipated losses and other costs (and in the case of a premium deficiency, investment income) related to those premiums, are also considered in determining the level of acquisition costs to be deferred.

(c) Reinsurance

In the normal course of business, the Company seeks to reduce the potential amount of loss arising from claims events by reinsuring certain levels of risk assumed in various areas of exposure with other insurers or reinsurers. Reinsurance premiums ceded are expensed (and any commissions recorded thereon are earned) on a monthly pro-rata basis over the period the reinsurance coverage is provided. Prepaid reinsurance premiums represent the portion of premiums ceded applicable to the unexpired term of policies in force. Mandatory reinstatement premiums ceded are recorded at the time a loss event occurs. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the claim liability associated with the reinsured policy. Provisions are made for estimated unrecoverable reinsurance.

(d) Fee Income and Other

Fee income and other includes fees received for insurance and product structuring services provided and is earned over the service period of the contract. Any adjustments to fees earned or the service period are reflected in income in the period when determined.

(e) Other Than Temporary Declines in Investments

The Company reviews the fair value of its investment portfolio on a periodic basis to identify declines in fair value below the carrying value that are other than temporary. This review involves consideration of several factors including (i) the time period during which there has been a significant decline in fair value below carrying value, (ii) an analysis of the liquidity, business prospects and overall financial condition of the issuer, (iii) the significance of the decline, (iv) an analysis of the collateral structure and other credit support, as applicable, of the securities in question, (v) expected future interest rate movements, and (vi) the Company's intent and ability to hold the investment for a sufficient period of time for the value to recover. Where the Company concludes that declines in fair values are other than temporary, the cost of the security is written down to fair value below carrying value and the previously unrealized loss is therefore realized in the period such determination is made.

With respect to securities where the decline in value is determined to be temporary and the security's value is not written down, a subsequent decision may be made to sell that security and realize a loss. Subsequent decisions on security sales are made within the context of overall risk monitoring, changing information, market conditions generally and assessing value relative to other comparable securities. For further details on the factors considered in evaluation other than temporary impairment see Note 7 Investments .

(f) Derivative Instruments

The Company recognizes all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and measures those instruments at fair value. Non-exchange traded weather products are recorded at fair value. The changes in fair value of derivatives are shown in the consolidated statement of income as net realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments unless the derivatives are designated as hedging instruments. The accounting for derivatives which are designated as hedging instruments is discussed below. Changes in fair value of derivatives may create volatility in the Company's results of operations from period to period.

The Company conducts its derivative activities in three main areas: investment related derivatives, weather and energy derivatives and credit derivatives written by SCA and reinsured by the Company. For further details related to derivative instrument see Note 15 Derivative instruments .

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(f) Derivative Instruments (continued)

The Company's direct use of investment related derivatives includes futures, forwards, swaps and option contracts that derive their value from underlying assets, indices, reference rates or a combination of these factors. The Company uses investment derivatives to manage duration and foreign currency exposure for its investment portfolio as well as to add value to the investment portfolio through replicating permitted investments, provided the use of such investments is incorporated into the overall portfolio evaluation.

The Company also uses investment derivative instruments, primarily interest rate swaps, to manage the interest rate exposure associated with certain assets and liabilities. All derivatives are recorded at fair value. On the date the derivative contract is entered into, the Company may designate the derivative as a hedge of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability (fair value hedge); a hedge of the variability in cash flows of a forecasted transaction or of amounts to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability (cash flow hedge); a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation; or the Company may not designate any hedging relationship for a derivative contract.

Fair Value Hedges

Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a fair value hedge, along with the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that is attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in current period earnings (through net realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments) with any differences between the net change in fair value of the derivative and the hedged item representing the hedge ineffectiveness. Periodic derivative net coupon settlements are recorded in net investment income with the exception of hedges of Company issued debt which are recorded in interest expense.

Cash Flow Hedges

Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) and are reclassified into earnings when the variability of the cash flow of the hedged item impacts earnings. Gains and losses on derivative contracts that are reclassified from AOCI to current period earnings are included in the line item in the consolidated statements of operations in which the cash flows of the hedged item are recorded. Any hedge ineffectiveness is recorded immediately in current period earnings as net realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments. Periodic derivative net coupon settlements are recorded in net investment income.

Net Investment in a Foreign Operation Hedges

Changes in the fair value of a derivative used as a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation, to the extent effective as a hedge, are recorded in the foreign currency translation adjustments account within AOCI. Cumulative changes in fair value recorded in AOCI are reclassified into earnings upon the sale or complete or substantially complete liquidation of the foreign entity. Any hedge ineffectiveness is recorded immediately in current period earnings as net realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments. Periodic derivative net coupon settlements are recorded in net investment income.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**(f) Derivative Instruments and Weather Derivative Contracts (continued)**Hedge Documentation and Effectiveness Testing

To qualify for hedge accounting treatment, a derivative must be highly effective in mitigating the designated changes in value or cash flow of the hedged item. At hedge inception, the Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking each hedge transaction. The documentation process includes linking derivatives that are designated as fair value, cash flow, or net investment hedges to specific assets or liabilities on the balance sheet or to specific forecasted transactions. The Company also formally assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items. In addition, certain hedging relationships are considered highly effective if the changes in the fair value or discounted cash flows of the hedging instrument are within a ratio of 80-125% of the inverse changes in the fair value or discounted cash flows of the hedged item. Hedge ineffectiveness is measured using qualitative and quantitative methods. Qualitative methods may include comparison of critical terms of the derivative to the hedged item. Depending on the hedging strategy, quantitative methods may include the Change in Variable Cash Flows Method, the Change in Fair Value Method, the Hypothetical Derivative Method and the Dollar Offset Method.

Discontinuance of Hedge Accounting

The Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively when it is determined that the derivative is no longer highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of a hedged item; the derivative is dedesignated as a hedging instrument; or the derivative expires or is sold, terminated or exercised. When hedge accounting is discontinued because it is determined that the derivative no longer qualifies as an effective fair-value hedge, the derivative continues to be carried at fair value on the balance sheet with changes in its fair value recognized in current period earnings through net realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments. When hedge accounting is discontinued because the Company becomes aware that it is not probable that the forecasted transaction will occur, the derivative continues to be carried on the balance sheet at its fair value, and gains and losses that were accumulated in AOCI are recognized immediately in earnings.

The Company also has investment related derivatives embedded in certain reinsurance contracts. For a particular life reinsurance contract, the Company pays the ceding company a fixed amount equal to the estimated present value of the excess of guaranteed minimum income benefit (GMIB) over the account balance upon the policyholder's election to take the income benefit. The fair value of this derivative is determined based on the present value of expected cash flows. In addition, the Company has modified coinsurance and funds withheld reinsurance agreements that provide for a return based on a portfolio of fixed income securities; as such, the agreements contain embedded derivatives. The embedded derivative is bifurcated and recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings.

(g) Total InvestmentsInvestments Available For Sale

Investments that are considered available for sale are carried at fair value. The fair value of investments is based upon quoted market values where available, independent pricing services where quoted market values are not available, or by reference to broker or underwriter bid indications. The net unrealized appreciation or depreciation on investments, net of tax, is included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Any unrealized depreciation in value considered by management to be other than temporary is charged to income in the period that it is determined.

Short-term investments comprise investments with a remaining maturity less than one year. Equity securities include investments in open end mutual funds and shares of publicly traded alternative funds.

All investment transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on sales of equities and fixed income investments are determined on the basis of average cost and amortized cost, respectively. Investment income is recognized when earned and includes interest and dividend income together with the amortization of premium and discount on fixed maturities and short-term investments. For mortgage-backed securities, and any other holdings for which there is a prepayment risk, prepayment assumptions are evaluated and revised as necessary. Prepayment fees or call premiums that are only payable to the Company when a security is called prior to its maturity, are earned when received and reflected in net investment income.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**(g) Total Investments (continued)**Investment In Affiliates

Investments in which the Company has significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee are classified as investments in affiliates on the Company's balance sheet and are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Under this method, the Company records its proportionate share of income or loss from such investments in its results for the period as well as its portion of movements in certain investee's shareholder equity balances. When financial statements of the affiliate are not available on a timely basis to record the Company's share of income or loss for the same reporting periods as the Company, the most recent available financial statements are used. This lag in reporting is applied consistently until timely information becomes available. Significant influence is deemed to exist where the Company has an investment of 20% or more in the common stock of a corporation or an investment of 3% or greater in closed end funds, limited partnerships, LLC's or similar investment vehicles. Significant influence is considered for other strategic investments on a case-by-case basis.

Other investments

The Company accounts for its other investments which do not have readily determinable market values at estimated fair value as it has no significant influence over these entities. Fair values for other investments, principally other direct equity investments, investment funds and limited partnerships, are based on the net asset value or financial statements provided by the investment manager. The net unrealized appreciation or depreciation on investments, net of tax, is included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Any unrealized depreciation in value considered by management to be other than temporary is charged to income in the period that it is determined.

Securities lending

The Company engages in a securities lending program whereby certain securities from the Company's portfolio are loaned to other institutions for short periods of time. The market value of the loaned securities is monitored on a daily basis, with additional collateral obtained or refunded as the market value of the loaned securities changes. The Company's policy is to require fixed maturities and initial cash collateral equal to between 102% and 105% of the fair value of the loaned securities depending on the class of assets loaned. The Company continues to earn interest on the securities loaned. In addition, the Company shares a portion of the interest earned on the collateral with the lending agent. The securities lending collateral is included in cash and cash equivalents with a corresponding liability related to the Company's obligation to return the collateral plus interest included in net payable for investments purchased.

(h) Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include fixed interest deposits placed with a maturity of under 90 days when purchased.

(i) Foreign Currency Translation

Assets and liabilities of foreign operations whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar are translated at prevailing year end exchange rates. Revenue and expenses of such foreign operations are translated at average exchange rates during the year. The net effect of the translation adjustments for foreign operations, net of applicable deferred income taxes, as well as any gains or losses on intercompany balances for which settlement is not planned or anticipated in the foreseeable future, are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the applicable entity are revalued at the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date and revenues and expenses are translated at the exchange rate on the date the transaction occurs with the resulting foreign exchange gains and losses on settlement or revaluation recognized in income.

(j) Intangible Assets

Identifiable intangible assets with finite lives are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. The Company evaluates the recoverability of its intangible assets whenever changes in circumstances warrant it. If it is determined that an impairment exists, the excess of the unamortized balance over the fair value of the intangible asset will be charged to income at that time.

Intangible assets with an indefinite life and goodwill are not amortized. The Company continues to review the carrying value of goodwill related to all of its investments for any impairment as of June 30 and records an adjustment, if any, in the third quarter each year. If it is determined that an impairment exists, the Company adjusts the carrying value of goodwill to fair value. The impairment charge is recorded in income in the period in which it is determined. See Note 5, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets for further information.

(k) Losses and Loss Expenses

Unpaid losses and loss expenses include reserves for reported unpaid losses and loss expenses and for losses incurred but not reported. The reserve for reported unpaid losses and loss expenses for the Company's property and casualty operations is established by management based on amounts reported from insureds or ceding companies, and represents the estimated ultimate cost of events or conditions that have been reported to or specifically identified by the Company.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**(k) Losses and Loss Expenses (continued)**

The reserve for losses incurred but not reported is estimated by management based on loss development patterns determined by reference to the Company's underwriting practices, the policy form, type of program and historical experience. The Company's actuaries employ a variety of generally accepted methodologies to determine estimated ultimate loss reserves, including the Bornhuetter-Ferguson incurred loss method and frequency and severity approaches.

For the Company's financial guarantee reserves, a case basis reserve for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses is recorded at the net present value of an estimated loss when, in management's opinion, the likelihood of a future loss on a particular insured obligation is probable and determinable at a balance sheet date. The Company also maintains an unallocated reserve on its financial guarantee business which is based on actuarial reserving analysis. This non-specific reserve is established for expected levels of losses associated with currently insured credits and is based on a portion of premiums earned to date. The Company, on an ongoing basis, monitors these reserves and may periodically adjust such reserves based on the Company's actual loss experience, its future mix of business, and its view of future economic conditions.

Certain workers' compensation and financial guarantee case reserve contracts are considered fixed and determinable and are subject to tabular reserving. Reserves associated with these liabilities are discounted.

Management believes that the reserves for unpaid losses and loss expenses are sufficient to cover losses that fall within coverages assumed by the Company. However, there can be no assurance that losses will not exceed the Company's total reserves. The methodology of estimating loss reserves is periodically reviewed to ensure that the assumptions made continue to be appropriate and any adjustments resulting therefrom are reflected in income of the year in which the adjustments are made.

(l) Deposit liabilities

Contracts entered into by the Company with cedants which are not deemed to transfer significant underwriting and/or timing risk are accounted for as deposits, whereby liabilities are initially recorded at an amount equal to the assets received. The Company uses a portfolio rate of return of equivalent duration to the liabilities in determining risk transfer. An initial accretion rate is established based on actuarial estimates whereby the deposit liability is increased to the estimated amount payable over the term of the contract.

The deposit accretion rate is the rate of return required to fund expected future payment obligations (this is equivalent to the best estimate of future cash flows), which are determined actuarially based upon the nature of the underlying indemnifiable losses. Accretion of the liability is recorded as interest expense. The Company periodically reassesses the estimated ultimate liability. Any changes to this liability are reflected as adjustments to interest expense to reflect the cumulative effect of the period the contract has been in force, and by an adjustment to the future accretion rate of the liability over the remaining estimated contract term.

Guaranteed investment contracts are initially recorded at an amount equal to the value of assets received. The Company may use derivative instruments in order to hedge the Company's exposure to fluctuations in interest rates related to these contracts. Changes in the fair value of the hedging instrument are recognized in income. The change in the fair value of the hedged item, attributable to the hedged risk, is recorded as an adjustment to the carrying amount of the hedged item and is recognized in income.

(m) Future policy benefit reserves

The Company estimates the present value of future policy benefits related to long duration contracts using assumptions for investment yields, mortality, and expenses, including a provision for adverse deviation.

The assumptions used to determine future policy benefit reserves are best estimate assumptions that are determined at the inception of the contracts and are locked-in throughout the life of the contract unless a premium deficiency develops. As the experience on the contracts emerges, the assumptions are reviewed. If such review would produce reserves in excess of those currently held then the lock-in assumptions will be revised and a claim and policy benefit is recognized at that time.

Certain life insurance and annuity contracts provide the holder with a guarantee that the benefit received upon death will be no less than a minimum prescribed amount. The contracts are accounted for in accordance with SOP 03-1 Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for certain Long-Duration Contracts and for Separate Accounts, which requires that the best estimate of future experience be combined with

actual experience to determine the benefit ratio used to calculate the policy benefit reserve.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**(n) Income Taxes**

The Company utilizes the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effect of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The deferral of tax losses is evaluated based upon management's estimates of the future profitability of the Company's taxable entities based on current forecasts and the period for which losses may be carried forward. A valuation allowance is established for any portion of a deferred tax asset that management believes will not be realized. The Company continues to evaluate income generated in future periods by its subsidiaries in jurisdictions in determining the recoverability of its deferred tax asset. If it is determined that future income generated by these subsidiaries is insufficient to cause the realization of the net operating losses within a reasonable period, a valuation allowance is established at that time.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, on January 1, 2007. The Company did not recognize any liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits as a result of the implementation of FIN 48.

(o) Stock Plans

Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (FAS 123), as amended by FAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure* (FAS 148), under the prospective method for options granted subsequent to January 1, 2003. Prior to 2003, the Company accounted for options under the disclosure-only provisions of FAS 123 and no stock-based employee compensation cost was included in net income as all options granted had an exercise price equal to the market value of the Company's ordinary shares on the date of the grant. At December 31, 2007, the Company had several stock based Performance Incentive Programs, which are described more fully in Note 20, Share Capital. Stock-based compensation issued under these plans generally have a life of not longer than ten years and vest as set forth at the time of grant. Options currently vest annually over four years from the date of grant. The Company recognizes compensation costs for stock options and restricted stock on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period (usually the vesting period) for each award.

In 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No.123 (revised 2004) (FAS 123(r)), Share-Based Payment, which is a revision of FAS 123. FAS 123(r) superseded FAS 123 and APB 25, and amended FAS 95, Statement of Cash Flows. Generally, the approach to accounting for share-based payments in FAS 123(r) is similar to the approach described in FAS 123, which the Company adopted on a prospective basis in 2003. However, FAS 123(r) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options (for all grant years), to be recognized in the financial statements over the vesting period based on their grant date fair values.

The Company adopted FAS 123(r) effective January 1, 2006 using the modified-prospective method to account for share-based payments made to employees. The modified-prospective method is similar to the modified-prospective method described in FAS 148. Under this method, compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of FAS 123(r) for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of FAS 123(r) for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of FAS 123(r) that remain unvested on the effective date.

During 2006, the Company did not incur any additional stock based compensation due to the adoption of FAS 123(r) related to the vesting in 2006 of options granted prior to January 1, 2003, as all options granted prior to that date had been fully vested by December 31, 2006.

During November 2005, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 123(r)-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards. The Company has elected to adopt the alternative transition method provided in this FASB Staff Position for calculating the tax effects of share-based compensation pursuant to FAS 123 (r). The alternative transition method includes a simplified method to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool (APIC pool) related to the tax effects of employee share-based compensation, which is available to absorb tax deficiencies which could be recognized subsequent to the adoption of FAS 123(r).

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(o) Stock Plans (continued)

For all periods presented prior to 2006, and for all options granted prior to January 1, 2003, the Company accounted for stock option grants under the recognition and measurement principles of APB 25 and related interpretations and accordingly, recognized no compensation expense for these stock options granted to employees. The following table illustrates the effect on earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2005 if the Company had applied the fair value recognition to all of its stock-based employee compensation:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>	Year Ended December 31, 2005
Net (loss) available to ordinary shareholders as reported	\$ (1,292,298)
Add: Stock based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax	17,485
Deduct: Total stock based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(21,513)
Pro forma net (loss) available to ordinary shareholders	\$ (1,296,326)
(Loss) per ordinary share:	
Basic as reported	\$ (9.14)
Basic pro forma	\$ (9.17)
Diluted as reported	\$ (9.14)
Diluted pro forma	\$ (9.17)

FAS 123(r) requires that compensation costs be recognized for unvested stock-based compensation awards over the period through the date that the employee is no longer required to provide future services to earn the award, rather than over the explicit service period. Accordingly, the Company has adopted this policy of recognizing compensation cost to coincide with the date that the employee is eligible to retire, rather than the actual retirement date, for all stock based compensation granted.

(p) Per Share Data

Basic earnings per ordinary share is based on weighted average ordinary shares outstanding and excludes any dilutive effects of options and convertible securities. Diluted earnings per ordinary share assumes the exercise of all dilutive stock options and conversion of convertible securities where the contingency for conversion has occurred or been satisfied.

(q) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair values of investments and derivatives are based on published market values if available, estimates of fair values of similar issues, estimates of fair values provided by independent pricing services or estimates of fair values determined by the Company. Fair values of financial instruments for which quoted market prices are not available are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rates and the estimated amounts and timing of future cash flows. In such instances, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that would be realized in a current market exchange. Certain financial instruments, particularly insurance contracts, are excluded from fair value disclosure requirements of FAS 107, Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments. For further information on accounting policies relating to other financial instruments, investments, other investments, notes payable and debt, derivative instruments, and off-balance sheet arrangements, see Notes 2, 7, 9, 14, 15, and 16, respectively.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**(r) Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In September 2005, the Accounting Standards Executive Committee (AcSEC) issued Statement of Position 05-1, Accounting by Insurance Enterprises for Deferred Acquisition Costs in Connection with Modifications or Exchanges of Insurance Contracts (SOP 05-1). SOP 05-1 provides guidance on accounting by insurance enterprises for deferred acquisition costs on internal replacements of insurance and investment contracts. SOP 05-1 defines an internal replacement as a modification in product benefits, features, rights, or coverages that occurs by the exchange of a contract for a new contract, or by amendment, endorsement, or rider to a contract, or by the election of a feature or coverage within a contract. SOP 05-1 was effective for internal replacements occurring in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company adopted SOP 05-1 as of January 1, 2007. The application of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

In February 2006, the FASB issued FAS 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140. This standard permits fair value re-measurement of an entire hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation; narrows the scope exemption applicable to interest-only strips and principal-only strips from FAS 133; clarifies that only the simplest separations of interest payments and principal payments qualify as not being subject to the requirements of FAS 133; establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation; clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives; and amends FAS 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. This statement is intended to require more consistent accounting that eliminates exemptions and provides a means to simplify the accounting for hybrid financial instruments. This statement was effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after January 1, 2007. The Company has applied the fair value option to its investments in interest-only strips from the effective date of this standard. The fair value of interest only strips was \$8.9 million and \$17.3 million at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. The application of the fair value option did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS 157, Fair Value Measurements (FAS 157) which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FAS 157 is applicable in conjunction with other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, where the FASB previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, FAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. FAS No. 157 will be effective for interim and annual financial statements issued after January 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of FAS 157; however, it is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations as this guidance primarily addresses disclosure requirements.

In October 2006, the FASB issued proposed FASB Staff Position EITF 03-6-a, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities. This FASB Staff Position (FSP) addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions may be participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing basic earnings per share (EPS) pursuant to the two-class method described in paragraphs 60 and 61 of FASB Statement No. 128, Earnings per Share. A share-based payment award that contains a non-forfeitable right to receive cash when dividends are paid to common shareholders irrespective of whether that award ultimately vests or remains unvested shall be considered a participating security as these rights to dividends provide a non-contingent transfer of value to the holder of the share-based payment award. Accordingly, these awards should be included in the computation of basic EPS pursuant to the two-class method. The guidance in this proposed FSP would be effective in the first reporting period beginning after the FASB posts the final FSP to its website. All prior period EPS data will have to be adjusted retrospectively to reflect the provisions of the FSP. Under the terms of the Company's restricted stock awards, grantees are entitled to the right to receive dividends on the unvested portions of their awards. There is no requirement to return these dividends in the event the unvested awards are forfeited in the future. Accordingly, this FSP will have an impact on the Company's EPS calculations should the FASB issue the proposed guidance as final during 2008. The Company will continue to evaluate the potential impact of this guidance.

In December 2006, the FASB issued implementation guidance, FAS 133 Implementation Issue B40 Embedded Derivatives: Application of Paragraph 13(b) to Securitized Interests in Prepayable Financial Assets (DIG B40), which limits the circumstances in which prepayment risk within a securitized interest would be considered an embedded derivative. To facilitate transition, securitized interests issued before June 30, 2007, containing insignificant embedded derivatives are not subject to paragraph 13(b). The provisions of DIG B40 which became effective as of July 1, 2007 were adopted by the Company; however, the adoption of this provision did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(r) Recent Accounting Pronouncements (continued)

During February 2007, the FASB issued FAS 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (FAS 159), which permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. A company must report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. The fair value option may be applied on an instrument by instrument basis, with a few exceptions. The fair value option is irrevocable (unless a new election date occurs) and the fair value option may be applied only to entire instruments and not to portions of instruments. FAS 159 will be effective for interim and annual financial statements issued after January 1, 2008.

The Company is aware of the SEC's review of the loss reserving practices of the financial guarantee industry. The Company recognizes that there is diversity in practice among financial guarantee insurers and reinsurers with respect to their accounting policies. Current accounting literature, specifically FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 60 Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises (FAS 60) does not specifically address the unique characteristics of financial guarantee insurance contracts. Consequently, the accounting principles applied by the industry have evolved over time and incorporate the concepts of both short-duration and long-duration contracts accounting under the provisions of FAS 60 and other accounting literature such as FAS 5 and EITF 85-20. On April 18, 2007, the FASB issued its anticipated exposure draft, Accounting for Financial Guarantee Insurance Contracts, an interpretation of FAS 60 , which provides guidance for the accounting for financial guarantee insurance contracts under which it considers claims liability recognition, premium recognition, and the related amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs. This exposure draft was issued with a comment deadline of June 18, 2007. The Company has reviewed the exposure draft and will continue its loss reserving methodology as noted in Note 2 (k), until further guidance is finalized by the SEC or FASB. The Company had reserves for financial guarantee insurance contracts of \$427.4 million and \$262.7 million at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

In June 2007, the Accounting Standards Executive Committee of the AICPA issued Statement of Position 07-1, Clarification of the Scope of the Audit and Accounting Guide Investment Companies and Accounting by Parent Companies and Equity Method Investors for Investments in Investment Companies (SOP 07-1). SOP 07-1 provides guidance for determining whether an entity meets the definition of an investment company for financial reporting purposes and therefore should apply investment company accounting. In addition, the standard provides guidance to determine whether the specialized industry accounting principles for investment companies should be retained in the consolidated financial statements of a non-investment parent company or of an investor who has the ability to exercise significant influence over the investment company and accounts for its investment under the equity method. In addition, SOP 07-1 includes certain disclosure requirements for parent companies and equity method investors in investment companies that retain investment company accounting in the parent company's consolidated financial statements or the financial statements of an equity method investor. SOP 07-1 was expected to be effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2007, with earlier application encouraged, however, during its October meeting the FASB agreed to issue an exposure draft that would indefinitely defer the effective date of SOP 07-1 until the FASB can reassess SOP 07-1's provisions. SOP 07-1 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS 141(R), Business Combinations (FAS 141(R)). This statement retains the purchase method of accounting for acquisitions, and requires that an acquirer recognize and measure in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at their fair values as of the acquisition date. FAS 141(R) changes the recognition of assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contingencies, requires the expensing of acquisition-related costs as incurred, and establishes a framework for recognizing and measuring goodwill or a gain from a bargain purchase at the acquisition date. FAS 141(R) also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. FAS 141(R) will be effective for interim and annual financial statements issued after January 1, 2009 and applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is subsequent to January 1, 2009. Earlier adoption is prohibited. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of this guidance, which will apply only in the event of a future business combination.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**(r) Recent Accounting Pronouncements (continued)**

In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of ARB No. 51 (FAS 160), to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. FAS 160 requires a company to clearly identify and present ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the company in the consolidated financial statements within the equity section but separate from the company's equity. It also requires the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of income; requires any changes in ownership interest of the subsidiary be accounted for as equity transactions; and requires that when a subsidiary is deconsolidated, any retained noncontrolling equity investment in the former subsidiary and the gain or loss on the deconsolidation of the subsidiary be measured at fair value. FAS 160 will be effective for interim and annual financial statements issued after January 1, 2009. FAS 160 must be applied prospectively as of January 1, 2009, however, the presentation and disclosure standards must be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. Earlier adoption is prohibited. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of this guidance.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP FAS 157-2), which permits a one-year deferral of the application of FAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). FSP FAS 157-2 will be effective in conjunction with FAS 157 for interim and annual financial statements issued after January 1, 2008. Accordingly, the provisions of FAS 157 will not be applied to goodwill and other intangible assets held by the Company and measured annually for impairment testing purposes only.

3. Security Capital Assurance (SCA)

On August 4, 2006, the Company completed the sale of approximately 37% of its then financial guarantee reinsurance and insurance businesses through an initial public offering (IPO) of 23.4 million common shares of SCA for proceeds of approximately \$446.9 million. On June 6, 2007, the Company completed the sale of a portion of SCA's common shares still owned by the Company through a secondary offering and thereby reduced its ownership of SCA's outstanding common shares further from approximately 63% to approximately 46%. Accordingly, SCA is no longer a consolidated subsidiary or operating segment of the Company and the Company accounts for its remaining investment in SCA using the equity method of accounting. Upon completion of the secondary public offering on June 6, 2007 of 10.6 million SCA common shares, the Company received proceeds, net of offering costs, of approximately \$316.3 million. The transaction resulted in a gain on sale of \$81.3 million, representing the difference between the carrying value of the SCA shares sold and the secondary proceeds, net of offering costs. This gain includes the realization of \$5.0 million of SCA's other comprehensive loss, which had no effect on total shareholders' equity.

Management has done extensive analysis of SCA's underlying financial guarantee portfolio to evaluate the exposures and underlying possible losses arising from recent credit market turbulence. Given management's view of the potential scale of SCA's estimated losses along with the uncertainty facing the entire financial guarantee industry, the Company has reduced the reported value of its investment in SCA to nil from the reported equity method value of \$669.8 million as at September 30, 2007. Recent market developments with respect to monoline industry and industry analyst reports have indicated that the fair value of any investment in the financial guarantor sector has very uncertain value at this point in time. Subsequent to December 31, 2007, SCA was downgraded by several rating agencies, which significantly limits SCA's ability to write new business. In addition, the Company's shares in SCA are unregistered and thus illiquid. Based on the nature of the Company's investment in SCA and the resultant limitations on any future business models, management believes that the fair value of the Company's investment in SCA is substantially less than the traded market value at December 31, 2007 of \$3.89 per share. Management believe this decline in value is other than temporary.

Concurrent with the initial public offering (IPO) of SCA and subsequently, the Company has entered into certain service, reinsurance and guarantee arrangements with SCA and its subsidiaries, to govern certain aspects of the Company's relationship with SCA. Prior to the sale of SCA shares through the secondary offering on June 6, 2007, the effect of these arrangements have been eliminated upon consolidation of the Company's results.

The income statement impacts of all transactions with SCA have been included in Net (loss) income from operating affiliates.

Service agreements

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The Company has entered into a series of service agreements under which subsidiaries of the Company provide services to SCA and its subsidiaries such as (i) information technology support, (ii) consulting and management services, (iii) actuarial, finance, legal and internal audit services, (iv) certain long-term compensation costs and (v) certain investment management services or receive certain services for a period of time after the IPO. For the period from June 6, 2007 through December 31, 2007, the Company reported net fee income under the aforementioned agreements aggregating to approximately \$2.5 million.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

3. Security Capital Assurance (SCA) (continued)

Reinsurance agreements

The Company also provides certain reinsurance protections with respect to adverse development on certain transactions as well as indemnification under specific facultative and excess of loss coverages for subsidiaries of SCA: XL Financial Assurance (XLFA) and XL Capital Assurance (XLCA). The adverse development cover relates to a specific project financing transaction while the facultative covers generally reinsure certain policies up to the amount necessary for XLCA and XLFA to comply with certain regulatory and risk limits. The excess of loss reinsurance provides indemnification for the portion of any individual paid loss covered by XLFA in excess of 10% of XLFA's surplus (XLFA's surplus on a Bermuda statutory basis was approximately \$375 million as at September 30, 2007), up to an aggregate amount of \$500 million, and excludes coverage for liabilities arising other than pursuant to the terms of the underlying policies.

For the period from June 6, 2007 to December 31, 2007 the Company has incurred case losses of \$300.0 million and \$51.0 million on a net present value basis with respect to the excess of loss and facultative reinsurance agreements, respectively. In addition, the Company incurred \$17.9 million in additional mark to market losses related to those underlying contracts written in derivative form. See Note 15 Derivative Instruments for further details on embedded credit derivatives. These losses were based on the Company's examination of exposures under these agreements and have been recorded within Net loss from operating affiliates. These amounts represent the discounted value of expected losses net of related premiums and are discounted at approximately 5%. In the case of the excess of loss cover, the recorded loss represents the discounted value of a \$500 million full limit loss. In addition, the Company recorded aggregate earned premiums of \$2.0 million net of ceding commission related to the aforementioned agreements from June 6 to December 31, 2007. The Company also carried a net receivable of approximately \$17.6 million, net unearned premiums of \$39.6 million, net unpaid losses and loss expenses of \$425.9 million (of which \$351.0 million was incurred subsequent to the secondary offering on June 6, 2007) and derivative liabilities of \$17.9 million in relation to these agreements as at December 31, 2007.

As at December 31, 2007, the Company's total net exposure under its facultative agreements with SCA subsidiaries was approximately \$7.7 billion of net par outstanding. Of this total, approximately \$907 million of net par outstanding was related to RMBS and ABS CDOs.

The following tables present the net par outstanding related to the facultative reinsurance and the adverse development facultative reinsurance noted above at December 31, 2007 by credit quality and broad sector allocation:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	As at December 31, 2007	
	Net Par Outstanding	% of Total
Credit Quality:		
AAA	\$ 1,776.0	23.0%
AA	480.2	6.2%
A	981.6	12.7%
BBB	4,230.9	54.7%
BB and below	269.9	3.4%
Total*	\$ 7,738.6	100.0%

(U.S. dollars in millions)	As at December 31, 2007	
	Net Par Outstanding	% of Total
International Finance	4,433.9	57.3%
Public Finance	824.1	10.6%

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Structured Finance	2,480.6	32.1%
Total*	\$ 7,738.6	100.0%

*As at December 31, 2007, \$1.8 billion of the total \$7.7 billion in net par outstanding was originally written by SCA in derivative form.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

3. Security Capital Assurance (SCA) (continued)

Guarantee agreements

The Company has also entered into certain guarantee agreements with subsidiaries of SCA. These guarantee agreements terminated with respect to any new business produced by SCA through the underlying agreements after the effective date of SCA's IPO, but the agreements remain in effect with respect to cessions or guarantees written under these agreements prior to the IPO. The agreements unconditionally and irrevocably guarantee: (i) XLCA for the full and complete performance of XLFA's obligations under its facultative quota share reinsurance agreement with XLCA, (ii) the full and complete payments when due of XLCA's obligations under certain financial guarantees issued by XLCA and arranged by XL Capital Assurance (U.K.) Limited and (iii) Financial Security Assurance (FSA) for the full and complete performance of XLFA's obligations under a FSA Master Facultative Agreement. The guarantees the Company has provided contain a dual trigger, such that the guarantees respond only if two events occur. Firstly, the underlying guaranteed obligation must default on payments of interest and principal, and secondly, the relevant SCA subsidiary must fail to meet its obligations under the applicable reinsurance or guarantee. As at December 31, 2007, the Company's total net par outstanding falling under these guarantees was \$75.2 billion. Indirect consumer mortgages exposures related to these guarantee agreements totaled approximately \$2.9 billion related to RMBS and \$3.3 billion related to ABS CDOs with greater than 50% RMBS collateral. Again, it is important to note that SCA subsidiaries must fail to meet their obligations under the applicable reinsurance or guarantee before XL would be required to respond to claims under these guarantees and as such, these exposures must be compared to the relevant SCA subsidiary's current financial resources. In addition, based on all of the above factors, as well as the Company's current estimates of defaults on the relevant underlying obligations and the Company's judgment as to the current financial resources of the relevant SCA subsidiaries, the Company has concluded that no losses are expected under these guarantees and accordingly no loss reserves have been recorded. Future developments could expose the Company to potential payments under one or more of the guarantees which could be material to the Company.

The following tables present the net par outstanding related to the guarantees provided by the Company at December 31, 2007 by credit quality and broad sector allocation:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	As at December 31, 2007	
	Net Par Outstanding	% of Total
Credit Quality:		
AAA	\$ 20,214.9	26.9%
AA	11,784.0	15.7%
A	24,577.4	32.7%
BBB	17,734.5	23.6%
BB and below	851.8	1.1%
Total	\$ 75,162.6	100.0%

(U.S. dollars in millions)	As at December 31, 2007	
	Net Par Outstanding	% of Total
International Finance	\$ 11,416.7	15.2%
Public Finance	36,691.4	48.8%
Structured Finance	27,054.5	36.0%
Total	\$ 75,162.6	100.0%

Other agreements

As at December 31, 2007, the Company had approximately \$4.0 billion of deposit liabilities associated with GICs which were correspondingly matched with invested assets. XLCA provided financial guarantee insurance policies insuring timely payment of GICs issued by XL Asset Funding Company I LLC (XLAF), a subsidiary of the Company. Based on the terms and conditions of the underlying GICs, upon downgrades of XLCA, all or portions of outstanding principal balances on such GICs would come due. In January and February 2008, several rating agencies downgraded SCA and its subsidiaries and as a result, the Company will settle, by late March 2008, the majority of the GIC portfolio. Management had prepared for this possibility and does not expect this liquidity need to have any significant negative impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

For further information on the Company's investment in SCA, see Note 8 Investment in Affiliates .

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4. Segment Information

To better align the Company's operating and reporting structure with its current strategy and subsequent to the secondary offering of SCA's common shares on June 6, 2007, the Company revised its segment structure during the second quarter of 2007. Depending on the nature of the business written, the following product lines or transactions previously managed by and reported as part of the Financial Lines segment, are now managed as part of the Insurance and Reinsurance segments and reported therewith: (i) structured indemnity products managed through the Company's financial solutions operations (XLFS), (ii) political risk products, (iii) weather and energy management products, and (iv) legacy financial guarantee business. Subsequent to June 6, 2007, SCA was no longer a consolidated subsidiary or a separate operating segment of the Company and its remaining investment in SCA is accounted for using the equity method of accounting. However, historical consolidated results of the Company include the separately reported net income of SCA through to June 6, 2007.

The earnings on the Company's investment in Primus and other financial operating affiliates as well as the results of certain structured finance products are reported as part of the Corporate segment that includes the general investment and financing operations of the Company. In addition, the guaranteed investment contract and funding agreement businesses are now reported separately within the Other Financial Lines segment.

Given the changes in the Company's operating and reporting structure as described above, the Company is now organized into four operating segments: Insurance, Reinsurance, Life Operations, and Other Financial Lines in addition to a corporate segment that includes the general investment and financing operations of the Company.

The Company evaluates the performance for both the Insurance and Reinsurance segments based on underwriting profit and evaluates the contribution from each of the Life Operations and Other Financial Lines segments. Other items of revenue and expenditure of the Company are not evaluated at the segment level for reporting purposes. In addition, the Company does not allocate investment assets by segment for its property and casualty operations. Investment assets related to (i) the Company's Life Operations and Other Financial Lines segments and (ii) certain structured products included in the Insurance and Reinsurance segments, are held in separately identified portfolios. As such, net investment income from these assets is included in the contribution from each of these segments.

Insurance

Insurance business written includes risk management and specialty lines. Risk management products comprise global property and casualty insurance programs for large multinational companies, including umbrella liability, products recall, U.S. workers' compensation, property catastrophe, and primary property and liability coverages. Specialty lines products include the following lines of business: professional liability, environmental liability, political risk insurance, aviation and satellite, marine and offshore energy insurance, equine and other insurance coverages including program business. In addition, certain structured indemnity products structured by XLFS are included within the results of the Insurance segment covering a range of insurance risks including property and casualty insurance, certain types of residual value exposures and other market risk management products.

Reinsurance

Reinsurance business written includes treaty and facultative reinsurance to primary insurers of casualty and property risks, principally: general liability; professional liability; automobile and workers' compensation; commercial and personal property risks; specialty risks including fidelity and surety and ocean marine; property catastrophe; property excess of loss; property pro-rata; marine and energy; aviation and satellite; and various other reinsurance to insurers on a worldwide basis. In addition, the results of certain transactions structured by XLFS that are generally written on an aggregate stop loss or excess of loss basis are included within the results of the Reinsurance segment.

Life operations

Life operations covers life reinsurance business written with life insurance companies, principally to help them manage mortality, morbidity, survivorship, investment and lapse risks. This includes a broad range of underlying lines of life assurance business, including term assurances, group life, critical illness cover, immediate annuities, disability income cover, and short-term accident and health business. This also covers a range of geographic markets, with an emphasis on the U.K., U.S., and Continental Europe.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4. Segment Information (continued)

Other Financial lines

The Other Financial Lines segment is comprised of the guaranteed investment contract (GIC) and funding agreement (FA) businesses. GICs are customized financial products that offer a guaranteed investment return to the purchaser. GIC issuance is driven by municipal and structured finance bond issuers needing to reinvest bond proceeds. For example, a municipal entity that raises funds for a particular project may invest such funds in a GIC pending their drawdown to complete the project. FAs are very similar to GICs, have known cash flows and are sold to institutional investors, typically through medium term note programs. GICs and FAs provide users guaranteed rates of interest on amounts invested with the Company.

As noted above, as a result of the downgrades of XLCA, the Company will settle, by late March 2008, the majority of the GIC portfolio.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4. Segment Information (continued)

Year ended December 31, 2007:

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except ratios)

	<u>Insurance</u>	<u>Reinsurance</u>	<u>Total P&C</u>	<u>Life Operations</u>	<u>Other Financial Lines (7)</u>	<u>SCA (8)</u>	<u>Total</u>
Gross premiums written	\$ 5,434,266	\$ 2,663,494	\$ 8,097,760	\$ 743,220	\$	\$ 156,983	\$ 8,997,963
Net premiums written	4,186,855	2,110,865	6,297,720	698,693		130,445	7,126,858
Net premiums earned	4,116,588	2,302,039	6,418,627	701,047		85,682	7,205,356
Fee income and other	11,812	1,698	13,510	698		63	14,271
Net losses and loss expenses	2,594,812	1,244,764	3,839,576	888,658		1,427	4,729,661
Acquisition costs	484,014	482,024	966,038	90,923		6,752	1,063,713
Operating expenses (1)	683,108	205,966	889,074	34,838		43,546	967,458
Underwriting profit (loss)	\$ 366,466	\$ 370,983	\$ 737,449	\$ (312,674)	\$	\$ 34,020	\$ 458,795
Exchange losses (gains)	29,567	(48,219)	(18,652)	(1,121)		39	(19,734)
Net investment income			1,289,554	390,227		48,468	1,728,249
Net results from structured products (2)	(2,480)	25,520	23,040		48,140	(61)	71,119
Contribution from P&C, Life Operations, Other Financial Lines and SCA			\$ 2,068,695	\$ 78,674	\$ 48,140	\$ 82,388	\$ 2,277,897
Corporate & other:							
Net realized & unrealized (losses) on investment & derivative instruments (3)						\$ (20,482)	\$ (658,719)
Net (loss) from investment and operating affiliates (4)							(733,841)
Corporate operating expenses							139,469
Interest expense (5)							210,449
Minority interest						23,994	23,928
Income taxes & other						73	235,602
Net Income						\$ 37,839	\$ 275,889
Ratios P&C operations: (6)							
Loss and loss expense ratio	63.0%	54.1%	59.8%				
Underwriting expense ratio	28.4%	29.8%	29.0%				
Combined ratio	91.4%	83.9%	88.8%				

Notes:

(1) Operating expenses exclude corporate operating expenses, shown separately.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- (2) The net results from P&C structured products includes net investment income, interest expense and operating expenses of \$131.5 million, \$85.0 million, and \$23.5 million, respectively.
- (3) This includes net realized losses on investments of \$603.3 million, net realized and unrealized losses on investment and other derivatives of \$55.4 million, but does not include unrealized appreciation or depreciation on investments for which the decline was considered temporary, which are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).
- (4) Net (loss) from investment and operating affiliates includes the equity earnings from the Company's investment in SCA since June 6, 2007, reported on a one quarter lag as well losses, including OTTI, associated with this investment and losses relating to reinsurance agreements with SCA.
- (5) Interest expense excludes interest expense related to deposit liabilities recorded in the Insurance, Reinsurance, and Other Financial Lines segments.
- (6) Ratios are based on net premiums earned from property and casualty operations. The underwriting expense ratio excludes exchange gains and losses.
- (7) The Other Financial Lines segment is comprised of the guaranteed investment contract and funding agreement businesses. The net results from these products include net investment income, interest expense and operating expenses of \$389.0 million, \$326.4 million, and \$14.5 million, respectively. While not reported within the contribution from the Other Financial Lines segment, it should be noted that approximately \$370.7 million of the reported realized losses on investments for 2007 related to portfolios associated with funding agreements and guaranteed investment contracts.
- (8) Net income from SCA represents consolidated earnings for the period from January 1, 2007 through to June 6, 2007, prior to a secondary offering of SCA's common shares owned by the Company, which had the effect of reducing its ownership of SCA's outstanding common shares from approximately 63% to approximately 46%. Subsequent to June 6, 2007, the Company accounted for its remaining investment in SCA using the equity method of accounting.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4. Segment Information (continued)

Year ended December 31, 2006:

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except ratios)

	Insurance (2)	Reinsurance (2) (7)	Total P&C	Life Operations (7)	Other Financial Lines (8)	SCA	Total
Gross premiums written	\$ 5,627,293	\$ 3,066,138	\$ 8,693,431	\$ 686,906		\$ 405,910	\$ 9,786,247
Net premiums written	4,185,372	2,384,340	6,569,712	646,608		401,127	7,617,447
Net premiums earned	4,159,323	2,570,959	6,730,282	646,450		192,786	7,569,518
Fee income and other	24,425	4,597	29,022	327		2,383	31,732
Net losses and loss expenses	2,726,847	1,459,309	4,186,156	807,255		15,038	5,008,449
Acquisition costs	497,367	511,133	1,008,500	74,675		18,871	1,102,046
Operating expenses (1)	640,470	190,545	831,015	35,720		82,389	949,124
Underwriting profit (loss)	\$ 319,064	\$ 414,569	\$ 733,633	\$ (270,873)		\$ 78,871	\$ 541,631
Exchange losses (gains)	140,248	(42,894)	97,354	(8,158)		177	89,373
Net investment income (2)			1,090,785	351,935		78,348	1,521,068
Net results from structured products (3)	6,895	36,218	43,113		34,702		77,815
Contribution from P&C, Life Operations, Other Financial Lines and SCA			\$ 1,770,177	\$ 89,220	\$ 34,702	\$ 157,042	\$ 2,051,141
Corporate & other: (2)							
Net realized & unrealized (losses) gains on investment & derivative instruments (4)						\$ (24,202)	\$ (15,275)
Net income from investment and operating affiliates							380,706
Corporate operating expenses							199,723
Interest expense (5)							207,066
Minority interest						25,130	25,016
Income taxes & other						3,133	222,000
Net Income						\$ 104,577	\$ 1,762,767
Ratios P&C operations: (6)							
Loss and loss expense ratio	65.6%	56.8%	62.2%				
Underwriting expense ratio	27.4%	27.3%	27.3%				
Combined ratio	93.0%	84.1%	89.5%				

Notes:

(1) Operating expenses exclude corporate operating expenses, shown separately.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- (2) Certain lines of business and transactions previously reported under the Financial Lines segment have been reclassified and reported under the Insurance, Reinsurance and Corporate (that includes the general investment and financing operations of the Company) segments based on the nature of the underlying business.
- (3) The net results from P&C structured products includes net investment income, interest expense and operating expenses of \$115.2 million, \$48.4 million, and \$23.7 million, respectively.
- (4) This includes net realized losses on investments of \$116.5 million, net realized and unrealized gains on investment and other derivatives of \$101.2 million, but does not include unrealized appreciation or depreciation on investments, which are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).
- (5) Interest expense excludes interest expense related to deposit liabilities recorded in the Insurance, Reinsurance, and Other Financial Lines segments.
- (6) Ratios are based on net premiums earned from property and casualty operations. The underwriting expense ratio excludes exchange gains and losses.
- (7) To facilitate period to period comparisons, certain short-term Accident and Health business previously included in the Reinsurance segment has been reclassified to the Life Operations segment to conform to current segment management responsibilities.
- (8) The Other Financial Lines segment is comprised of the guaranteed investment contract and funding agreement businesses. The net results from these products include net investment income, interest expense and operating expenses of \$341.9 million, \$296.8 million, and \$10.4 million, respectively.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4. Segment Information (continued)

Year ended December 31, 2005:

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except ratios)

	Insurance (2)	Reinsurance (2) (7)	Total P&C	Life Operations (7)	Other Financial Lines (8)	SCA	Total
Gross premiums written	\$ 5,848,121	\$ 3,372,189	\$ 9,220,310	\$ 2,350,319	\$	\$ 278,868	\$ 11,849,497
Net premiums written	4,310,232	2,733,165	7,043,397	2,311,275	\$	262,787	9,617,459
Net premiums earned	4,165,708	2,734,161	6,899,869	2,306,276	\$	159,350	9,365,495
Fee income and other	15,205	2,979	18,184	320	\$	793	19,297
Net losses and loss expenses	4,624,664	2,782,565	7,407,229	2,510,029	\$	27,107	9,944,365
Acquisition costs	516,622	581,239	1,097,861	80,636	\$	16,847	1,195,344
Operating expenses (1)	520,452	150,279	670,731	23,480	\$	68,260	762,471
Underwriting profit (loss)	\$ (1,480,825)	\$ (776,943)	\$ (2,257,768)	\$ (307,549)	\$	\$ 47,929	\$ (2,517,388)
Exchange losses (gains)	(25,407)	33,183	7,776	1,421	\$	1,757	10,954
Net investment income (2)	\$	\$	810,482	298,408	\$	52,213	1,161,103
Net results from structured products (3)	17,568	12,604	30,172	\$	33,720	\$	63,892
Contribution from P&C, Life Operations, Other Financial Lines and SCA	\$	\$	\$ (1,424,890)	\$ (10,562)	\$ 33,720	\$ 98,385	\$ (1,303,347)

Corporate & other: (2)

Net realized & unrealized (losses) gains on investment & derivative instruments (4)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (9,936)	\$ 270,740
Net income from investment and operating affiliates	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	222,270
Corporate operating expenses	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	185,936
Interest expense (5)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	187,457
Minority interest	\$	\$	\$	\$	8,431	8,210
Income taxes & other	\$	\$	\$	\$	(1,278)	60,036
Net Income	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 81,296	\$ (1,251,976)

Ratios P&C operations: (6)

Loss and loss expense ratio	111.0%	101.8%	107.4%
Underwriting expense ratio	24.9%	26.8%	25.6%
Combined ratio	135.9%	128.6%	133.0%

Notes:

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- (1) Operating expenses exclude corporate operating expenses, shown separately.
- (2) Certain lines of business and transactions previously reported under the Financial Lines segment have been reclassified and reported under the Insurance, Reinsurance and Corporate (that includes the general investment and financing operations of the Company) segments based on the nature of the underlying business.
- (3) The net results from P&C structured products includes net investment income, interest expense and operating expenses of \$100.6 million, \$50.0 million, and \$20.4 million, respectively.
- (4) This includes net realized gains on investments of \$241.9 million, net realized and unrealized gains on investment and other derivatives of \$28.8 million, but does not include unrealized appreciation or depreciation on investments, which are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).
- (5) Interest expense excludes interest expense related to deposit liabilities recorded in the Insurance, Reinsurance, and Other Financial Lines segments.
- (6) Ratios are based on net premiums earned from property and casualty operations. The underwriting expense ratio excludes exchange gains and losses.
- (7) To facilitate period to period comparisons, certain short-term Accident and Health business previously included in the Reinsurance segment has been reclassified to the Life Operations segment to conform to current segment management responsibilities.
- (8) The Other Financial Lines segment is comprised of the guaranteed investment contract and funding agreement businesses. The net results from these products include net investment income, interest expense and operating expenses of \$213.3 million, \$166.4 million, and \$13.2 million, respectively.

121

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4. Segment Information (continued)

The following tables summarize the Company's net premiums earned by line of business:

Year ended December 31, 2007:

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except ratios)

	<u>Insurance</u>	<u>Reinsurance</u>	<u>Life Operations</u>	<u>SCA</u>	<u>Total</u>
P&C Operations:					
Casualty – professional lines	\$ 1,404,567	\$ 273,494	\$	\$	\$ 1,678,061
Casualty – other lines	819,687	602,452			1,422,139
Property catastrophe	52,541	264,666			317,207
Other property	632,959	753,278			1,386,237
Marine, energy, aviation and satellite	638,162	141,619			779,781
Other specialty lines (1)	484,928				484,928
Other (2)	33,416	240,049			273,465
Structured indemnity	50,328	26,481			76,809
	<u>\$ 4,116,588</u>	<u>\$ 2,302,039</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 6,418,627</u>
Life Operations:					
Other Life	\$	\$	\$ 429,690	\$	\$ 429,690
Annuity			271,357		271,357
	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 701,047</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 701,047</u>
Total Life Operations	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 701,047</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 701,047</u>
SCA	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 85,682</u>	<u>\$ 85,682</u>
Total	<u>\$ 4,116,588</u>	<u>\$ 2,302,039</u>	<u>\$ 701,047</u>	<u>\$ 85,682</u>	<u>\$ 7,205,356</u>

(1) Other specialty lines within the Insurance segment includes: environmental, programs, equine, warranty, and excess and surplus lines.

(2) Other includes employers' liability, surety, political risk and other lines.

Year ended December 31, 2006:

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except ratios)

	<u>Insurance</u>	<u>Reinsurance</u>	<u>Life Operations</u>	<u>SCA</u>	<u>Total</u>
P&C Operations:					
Casualty – professional lines	\$ 1,483,518	\$ 346,870	\$	\$	\$ 1,830,388
Casualty – other lines	847,834	723,854			1,571,688
Property catastrophe	56,714	234,965			291,679
Other property	585,366	749,714			1,335,080
Marine, energy, aviation and satellite	676,009	154,313			830,322
Other specialty lines (1)	415,749				415,749
Other (2)	60,784	294,532			355,316

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Structured indemnity	33,349	66,711			100,060
Total P&C Operations	\$ 4,159,323	\$ 2,570,959	\$	\$	\$ 6,730,282
Life Operations:					
Other Life	\$	\$	\$ 332,397	\$	\$ 332,397
Annuity			314,053		314,053
Total Life Operations	\$	\$	\$ 646,450	\$	\$ 646,450
SCA	\$	\$	\$	\$ 192,786	\$ 192,786
Total	\$ 4,159,323	\$ 2,570,959	\$ 646,450	\$ 192,786	\$ 7,569,518

(1) Other specialty lines within the Insurance segment includes: environmental, programs, equine, warranty, and excess and surplus lines.

(2) Other includes employers liability, surety, political risk and other lines

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4. Segment Information (continued)

Year ended December 31, 2005:*(U.S. dollars in thousands, except ratios)*

	<u>Insurance</u>	<u>Reinsurance</u>	<u>Life Operations</u>	<u>SCA</u>	<u>Total</u>
P&C Operations:					
Casualty professional lines	\$ 1,407,188	\$ 356,937	\$	\$	\$ 1,764,125
Casualty other lines	919,268	858,651			1,777,919
Property catastrophe	57,027	302,291			359,318
Other property	561,135	701,459			1,262,594
Marine, energy, aviation and satellite	661,260	194,646			855,906
Other specialty lines (1)	435,662				435,662
Other (2)	101,883	288,403			390,286
Structured indemnity	22,285	31,774			54,059
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total P&C Operations	\$ 4,165,708	\$ 2,734,161	\$	\$	\$ 6,899,869
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Life Operations:					
<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands, except ratios)</i>					
Other Life	\$	\$	\$ 253,412	\$	\$ 253,412
Annuity			2,052,864		2,052,864
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total Life Operations	\$	\$	\$ 2,306,276	\$	\$ 2,306,276
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
SCA	\$	\$	\$	\$ 159,350	\$ 159,350
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	\$ 4,165,708	\$ 2,734,161	\$ 2,306,276	\$ 159,350	\$ 9,365,495
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

(1) Other specialty lines within the Insurance segment includes: environmental, programs, equine, warranty, and excess and surplus lines.

(2) Other includes employers liability, surety, political risk and other lines.

The following table shows an analysis of the Company's net premiums written by geographical location of subsidiary where the premium is written for the years ended December 31:

P&C operations and SCA:*(U.S. dollars in thousands)*

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Bermuda	\$ 660,480	\$ 751,593	\$ 1,005,017
United States	3,141,680	3,683,810	3,498,113
Europe and other	2,626,005	2,535,436	2,803,054
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total P&C operations and SCA	\$ 6,428,165	\$ 6,970,839	\$ 7,306,184
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Life Operations:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

Bermuda	\$ 276,834	\$ 329,969	\$ 266,433
United States	5,232	265	
Europe and other	416,627	316,374	2,044,842
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total life operations	\$ 698,693	\$ 646,608	\$ 2,311,275
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	\$ 7,126,858	\$ 7,617,447	\$ 9,617,459
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

5. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The following table shows an analysis of intangible assets broken down between goodwill, intangible assets with an indefinite life and intangible assets with a definite life for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>Goodwill</u>	<u>Intangible assets with an indefinite life</u>	<u>Intangible assets with a definite life</u>	<u>Total</u>
Balance at December 31, 2004	\$ 1,779,454	\$ 26,961	\$ 21,367	\$ 1,827,782
Amortization			(10,752)	(10,752)
Foreign Currency Translation	(2,486)			(2,486)
Balance at December 31, 2005	\$ 1,776,968	\$ 26,961	\$ 10,615	\$ 1,814,544
Addition and write-down		3,234		3,234
Amortization			(2,355)	(2,355)
Foreign Currency Translation	2,673			2,673
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$ 1,779,641	\$ 30,195	\$ 8,260	\$ 1,818,096
Addition	35,112			35,112
Deduction of SCA goodwill upon deconsolidation	(11,529)			(11,529)
Amortization			(1,680)	(1,680)
Foreign Currency Translation	1,592			1,592
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 1,804,816	\$ 30,195	\$ 6,580	\$ 1,841,591

The addition to goodwill during 2007 was related to the Company's purchase of Global Asset Protection Services LLC (GAPS). See Note 6, Business Combinations for further details. As at December 31, 2007 and 2006, goodwill and other intangible assets primarily related to the Reinsurance and Insurance segments were \$1.4 billion and \$0.4 billion, respectively.

6. Business Combinations

Global Asset Protection Services LLC

On November 30, 2007, the Company purchased all of the issued and outstanding limited liability company interests in GAPS, a loss prevention consulting service provider. GAPS is a provider of unbundled loss prevention consulting services, offering individually tailored risk management solutions to risk managers, insurance brokers and insurance company clients operating on a global basis.

The GAPS operation and XL Insurance's existing loss prevention services will be merged to form XL GAPS. XL GAPS will employ more than 150 engineers worldwide, with a strong presence in America, Europe, Asia and Australia and will remain independent of XL's underwriting operations and will continue to provide loss prevention consulting services to existing clients as well as to clients of the Company. The acquisition is intended to help the Company achieve its long-term growth plans in both the loss prevention market and as a primary insurer. The focus is to offer XL GAPS clients high quality risk management solutions.

The aggregate purchase price was approximately \$34.1 million in cash. The final purchase price is subject to adjustment upon receipt of a closing balance sheet and the Company is in the process of obtaining third-party valuations of certain intangible assets, which are both expected to be completed during the first quarter of 2008; accordingly, both the final purchase price and its allocation are subject to refinement. Of the purchase price paid during 2007, (\$1.0) million was allocated to the identifiable net liabilities assumed, with the remaining \$35.1 million recorded as goodwill. GAPS results of operations were included in the Company's income statement from the date of purchase, however, they did not have a significant impact on the Company's results.

Winterthur International

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

On July 25, 2001, the Company completed the acquisition of certain Winterthur International insurance operations (the Winterthur Business) primarily to extend its predominantly North American-based large corporate insurance business globally. The Company provisionally paid to Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company (WSIC) \$405.6 million at closing for the Winterthur Business based on the audited U.S. GAAP financial statements of the Winterthur Business as at December 31, 2000 and the price was subject to final determination based on the audited U.S. GAAP financial statements of the acquired Winterthur Business operations as at June 30, 2001. In December 2003, the Company reached an agreement with WSIC as to the final purchase price, which was \$330.2 million. As a result, \$75.4 million in cash was returned to the Company.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

6. Business Combinations (continued)

Under the terms of the Sale and Purchase Agreement, as amended, between XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and WSIC, (the SPA) WSIC provided the Company with post-closing protection determined as of June 30, 2004 with respect to, among other things, adverse development of reserves and premium Winterthur Business. This protection was based upon net loss experience and development over a three-year, post-closing seasoning period based on actual loss development experience, collectible reinsurance and certain other factors set forth in the SPA. The SPA included a process for determining the amount due from WSIC by an independent actuarial process whereby the Independent Actuary develops a value of the seasoned net reserves. The actual final seasoned amount is the submission that is closest to the number developed by the Independent Actuary.

As the Company and WSIC were unable to come to an agreement, the Company submitted to WSIC notice to trigger the independent actuarial process as contemplated by the SPA. On February 3, 2005, both the Company and WSIC made submissions for the independent actuarial process. The Company's submission would have resulted in a net payable to the Company of approximately \$1.4 billion in aggregate and WSIC submission would have resulted in a net payable to the Company of \$541 million in aggregate.

On December 6, 2005 the Company received the report from the Independent Actuary in connection with the Company's post-closing protection. The Independent Actuary's report concluded that WSIC submitted Seasoned Net Reserve Amount (SNRA) and Net Premium Receivable Amount (NPRA) were closest to the Independent Actuary's determinations of SNRA and NPRA. These determinations resulted in the Company receiving a net lump sum payment in the amount of approximately \$575.0 million (including interest receivable) from WSIC. As the Company had recorded \$1.4 billion in unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable related to this protection, a loss of approximately \$808.9 million, net of interest, was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2005.

Under the terms of the SPA, WSIC also provided the Company with protection with respect to third party reinsurance receivables and recoverables related to the acquisition of certain Winterthur International insurance operations (the Winterthur Business). Such protection was provided in the form of Sellers Retrocession Agreements and a Liquidity Facility.

On June 7, 2006, subsidiaries of the Company, entered into an agreement (the Agreement) with WSIC. The purpose of this Agreement is to release all actual or potential disputes, claims or issues arising out of or related in any way to: (i) the Liquidity Facility and the Sellers Retrocession Agreements, as well as (ii) subject to certain exceptions, the SPA.

The Agreement further provides for a four-year term, collateralized escrow arrangement (the Fund) of up to \$185 million (plus interest) to protect certain subsidiaries from future nonperforming third party reinsurance related to the Winterthur Business. The Fund has been structured to align the parties' interests by providing for any sums remaining in the Fund at the end of its term to be shared in agreed percentages.

The Agreement replaces the protections provided to the Company from WSIC for reinsurance receivables and recoverables under the Liquidity Facility and Sellers Retrocession Agreements noted above and described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7. Investments

Net investment income is derived from the following sources:

Year Ended December 31

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	2007	2006	2005
Fixed maturities, short-term investments and cash equivalents	\$ 2,262,615	\$ 2,000,296	\$ 1,420,557
Equity securities and other investments	37,932	24,884	94,974
Funds withheld	20,854	23,589	19,722
Total gross investment income	2,321,401	2,048,769	1,535,253
Investment expenses	(72,594)	(70,585)	(60,214)
Net investment income	\$ 2,248,807	\$ 1,978,184	\$ 1,475,039

The following represents an analysis of net realized gains (losses) and the change in unrealized (losses) gains on investments:

Year Ended December 31

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	2007	2006	2005
Net realized gains (losses):			
Fixed maturities, short-term investments, cash and cash equivalents:			
Gross realized gains	\$ 251,265	\$ 182,916	\$ 401,163
Gross realized losses	(1,012,805)	(390,427)	(250,839)
Net realized (losses) gains	(761,540)	(207,511)	150,324
Equity securities:			
Gross realized gains	205,240	189,971	145,659
Gross realized losses	(46,930)	(88,519)	(54,081)
Net realized gains	158,310	101,452	91,578
Other investments:			
Gross realized gains		5,026	
Write-down of other investments (see Note 9, Other Investments)	(38)	(15,425)	(20)
Net realized (losses) gains on investments	(603,268)	(116,458)	241,882
Net realized and unrealized (losses) gains on investment derivative instruments	(30,481)	60,992	(29,418)
Net realized (losses) gains on investments and net realized and unrealized (losses) gains on investment derivative instruments	(633,749)	(55,466)	212,464
Change in unrealized (losses) gains:			
Fixed maturities and short-term investments	(777,055)	(179,192)	(350,742)
Equity securities	4,981	13,678	(12,860)
Affiliates and other investments	27,218	32,256	25,437
Net change in unrealized (losses) on investments	(744,856)	(133,258)	(338,165)
	\$ (1,378,605)	\$ (188,724)	\$ (125,701)

Total net realized (losses) gains on investments, net realized and unrealized (losses) gains on investment derivative instruments, and net change in unrealized (losses) on investments

Net realized gains and losses in 2007 and 2006 included a loss of \$611.0 million and \$151.4 million, respectively, relating to certain fixed income, equity securities and other investments where the Company determined that there was an other than temporary decline in the value of those investments.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7. Investments (continued)

The total amount of other than temporary declines in value in 2007 included \$608.3 million related to maturities and short-term investments, \$2.6 million on equity securities and \$0.1 million on other investments. The total amount of other than temporary declines in value in 2006 included \$133.2 million related to fixed income securities, \$2.8 million on equity securities, and \$15.4 million on other investments.

The cost (amortized cost for fixed maturities and short-term investments), fair value, gross unrealized gains and gross unrealized (losses) of investments are as follows:

December 31, 2007 (U.S. dollars in thousands)	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Fixed maturities				
U.S. Government and Government agency	\$ 2,585,336	\$ 102,135	\$ (1,698)	\$ 2,685,773
Corporate	13,407,142	104,967	(524,861)	12,987,248
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	14,881,988	109,666	(497,777)	14,493,877
U.S. States and political subdivisions of the States	252,818	2,803	(2,087)	253,534
Non-U.S. Sovereign Government	3,106,532	100,207	(19,381)	3,187,358
Total fixed maturities	\$ 34,233,816	\$ 419,778	\$ (1,045,804)	\$ 33,607,790
Total short-term investments	\$ 1,814,445	\$ 12,448	\$ (23,695)	\$ 1,803,198
Total equity securities	\$ 664,213	\$ 205,498	\$ (14,896)	\$ 854,815
December 31, 2006 (U.S. dollars in thousands)	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Fixed maturities				
U.S. Government and Government agency	\$ 2,569,734	\$ 12,916	\$ (25,117)	\$ 2,557,533
Corporate	14,111,548	192,654	(118,238)	14,185,964
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	16,262,489	50,052	(75,648)	16,236,893
U.S. States and political subdivisions of the States	65,388	727	(570)	65,545
Non-U.S. Sovereign Government	2,970,600	120,879	(16,056)	3,075,423
Total fixed maturities	\$ 35,979,759	\$ 377,228	\$ (235,629)	\$ 36,121,358
Total short-term investments	\$ 2,340,304	\$ 6,645	\$ (8,462)	\$ 2,338,487
Total equity securities	\$ 705,517	\$ 191,052	\$ (5,431)	\$ 891,138

The Company had gross unrealized losses totaling \$1,084.4 million at December 31, 2007, which it considers to be temporary impairments. Individual security positions comprising this balance have been evaluated by management, based on specified criteria, to determine if these impairments should be considered other than temporary. These criteria include an assessment of the severity and length of time securities have been impaired, along with management's ability and intent to hold the securities to recovery, among other factors included below.

Factors considered in determining that additional other-than-temporary impairment charges were not warranted include; managements consideration of current and near term liquidity needs, an evaluation of the factors and time necessary for recovery, and the results of on-going retrospective reviews of security sales and the basis for such sales. Securities in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2007 are comprised of sub-prime first liens and Alt-A asset backed securities, CDOs with insignificant residential mortgage collateral, unrealized foreign exchange

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

losses on U.S. dollar investments held in foreign subsidiaries with little underlying value impairment and unrealized losses on investments supporting long-term liabilities which have no history of significant sales at a loss. Following a detailed review of the Company's underlying sub-prime and related residential mortgage exposures (topical assets) described above, as well as a consideration of the broader structured credit market, the Company has concluded that the remaining investments in these asset classes have decreased in value due to technical spreads widening and broader market sentiment, rather than fundamental collateral deterioration. Topical assets are held primarily in the Company's Other Financial Lines segment portfolios and management has put in place a strategy to monitor and address the liquidity needs associated with these portfolios with the intent of holding the positions to recovery. The fact that the underlying securities cash flows continue to be in accordance with their contractual terms, combined with manager evaluations of the fundamentals supporting the underlying positions, have lead management to conclude that the values of the investments for which it reports unrealized losses as at December 31, 2007 are not other than temporarily impaired, as management currently has the intent and ability to hold these securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7. Investments (continued)

At December 31, 2007, there were \$1,069.5 million of gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities and short-term investments, and \$14.9 million of gross unrealized losses on equity securities. At December 31, 2006, there were \$244.1 million of gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities and short-term investments, and \$5.4 million of gross unrealized losses on equity securities. At both December 31, 2007 and 2006, approximately 2.3% of the Company's fixed income investment portfolio was invested in securities which were below investment grade or not rated. Approximately 6.4% of the unrealized losses in the Company's fixed income securities portfolio at December 31, 2007 related to securities that were below investment grade or not rated. The information shown below about the unrealized losses on the Company's investments at December 31, 2007 concerns the potential affect upon future earnings and financial position should management later conclude that some of the current declines in the fair value of these investments are other than temporary declines.

The following is an analysis of how long each of those securities at December 31, 2007 had been in a continual unrealized loss position:

December 31, 2007 (U.S. dollars in thousands)	Less than 12 months		Equal to or greater than 12 months	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
Fixed maturities and short-term investments:				
U.S. Government and Government agency	\$ 122,199	\$ 552	\$ 31,795	\$ 1,166
Corporate	6,495,775	306,047	3,060,081	240,055
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	5,716,732	408,108	1,975,361	91,731
U.S. States and political subdivisions of the States	68,028	1,844	4,202	253
Non-U.S. Sovereign Government	454,992	5,354	531,052	14,389
Total fixed maturities and short-term investments	\$ 12,857,726	\$ 721,905	\$ 5,602,491	\$ 347,594
Total equity securities	\$ 195,703	\$ 14,896	\$	\$

The following is an analysis of how long each of those securities at December 31, 2006 had been in a continual unrealized loss position:

December 31, 2006 (U.S. dollars in thousands)	Less than 12 months		Equal to or greater than 12 months	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
Fixed maturities and short-term investments:				
U.S. Government and Government agency	\$ 1,205,081	\$ 11,470	\$ 1,032,586	\$ 15,660
Corporate	4,250,650	78,928	2,806,375	43,531
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	4,534,790	26,529	3,698,578	50,239
U.S. States and political subdivisions of the States	37,384	564	30,158	407
Non-U.S. Sovereign Government	1,420,476	15,263	90,357	1,500
Total fixed maturities and short-term investments	\$ 11,448,381	\$ 132,754	\$ 7,658,054	\$ 111,337
Total equity securities	\$ 54,386	\$ 5,431	\$	\$

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The contractual maturities of fixed maturity securities are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	December 31, 2007		December 31, 2006	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>				
Due after 1 through 5 years	\$ 5,980,146	\$ 5,946,528	\$ 6,744,180	\$ 6,783,611
Due after 5 through 10 years	6,559,877	6,536,884	5,810,974	5,778,200
Due after 10 years	6,811,805	6,630,501	7,162,116	7,322,654
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	14,881,988	14,493,877	16,262,489	16,236,893
	<u>\$ 34,233,816</u>	<u>\$ 33,607,790</u>	<u>\$ 35,979,759</u>	<u>\$ 36,121,358</u>

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7. Investments (continued)

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, approximately \$144.3 million and \$142.4 million, respectively, of securities included in investments available for sale were loaned to various counter-parties through the Company's securities lending program. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, approximately \$146.2 million and \$147.2 million, respectively, of cash collateral held in connection with these loans, is included in cash and cash equivalents, with a corresponding liability reflected in net payable for investments purchased.

Securities in an unrealized loss position were comprised of approximately 7,800 securities, of which 83% of the unrealized loss were securities with fair value to amortized cost ratios at or greater than 90%. The majority of these securities are high quality investment grade fixed maturities depressed due to broad overall market widening of credit spreads seen in the third and fourth quarter of 2007.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, approximately \$704.7 million and \$698.1 million, respectively, of securities were on deposit with various U.S. state or government insurance departments in order to comply with relevant insurance regulations.

The fair values of securities on loan at December 31, 2007 and 2006 are detailed below.

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	2007	2006
Fixed maturities	\$ 142,095	\$ 117,007
Equities	2,158	25,371
Total	\$ 144,253	\$ 142,378

The Company has three facilities available for the issue of letters of credit collateralized against the Company's investment portfolio with a value of \$1,549.5 million at December 31, 2007 and \$1,336.0 million at December 31, 2006. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, approximately \$159.3 million and \$171.9 million, respectively, of letters of credit were issued and outstanding under these facilities.

8. Investments in Affiliates

The Company's investment in affiliates and equity in net income (loss) from such affiliates are summarized below:

	December 31, 2007		December 31, 2006		December 31, 2005	
	Carrying Value	Equity in Net Income (Loss) for the Year	Carrying Value	Equity in Net Income (Loss) for the Year	Carrying Value	Equity in Net Income (Loss) for the Year
(U.S. dollars in thousands)						
Investment fund affiliates	\$ 2,209,954	\$ 326,007	\$ 1,884,609	\$ 269,036	\$ 1,727,612	\$ 154,844
Operating affiliates:						
Financial operating affiliates	21,045	(1,190,161)	151,795	23,461	122,162	5,055
Other strategic operating affiliates	132,444	35,683	94,620	10,519	22,490	9,823
Investment manager affiliates	247,706	94,630	177,757	77,690	174,457	52,548
Total	\$ 2,611,149	\$ (733,841)	\$ 2,308,781	\$ 380,706	\$ 2,046,721	\$ 222,270

When financial statements of the affiliate are not available on a timely basis to record the Company's share of income or loss for the same reporting periods as the Company, the most recent available financial statements are used. This lag in reporting is applied consistently until timely information becomes available.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

The Company has invested in certain closed end funds, certain limited partnerships, LLCs and similar investment vehicles, including funds managed by certain of its investment manager affiliates. Collectively, these investments in funds, partnerships and other vehicles are classified as investment fund affiliates. At December 31, 2007, investments affiliates totaled \$2.2 billion of which \$1.8 billion was invested in the alternative investment portfolio and \$0.4 billion consisted of private investments. At December 31, 2006, investment fund affiliates totaled \$1.9 billion of which \$1.6 billion was invested in the alternative investment portfolio and \$0.3 billion consisted of private investments.

The Company's significant financial operating affiliate investments at December 31, 2007 included SCA and Primus Guaranty, Ltd. (Primus) with ownership of 46.9% and 33.1%, respectively. Subsequent to the secondary offering of SCA common shares on June 6, 2007, SCA was no longer a consolidated subsidiary or a separate operating segment of the Company and its remaining investment in SCA was accounted for, prospectively, using the equity method of accounting and is included within the results of the Company's financial operating affiliates. However, historical consolidated results of the Company continue to include the separately reported net income of SCA through to June 6, 2007.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Investments in Affiliates (continued)

For the period from June 6, 2007 to December 31, 2007 the Company has incurred case losses of \$300.0 million and \$51.0 million on a net present value basis with respect to the excess of loss and facultative reinsurance agreements with SCA, respectively. In addition, the Company incurred \$17.9 million in additional mark to market losses related to those underlying contracts written in derivative form. See Note 15 Derivative Instruments for further details on embedded credit derivatives. These losses were based on the Company's examination of exposures under these agreements and have been recorded within Net loss from operating affiliates and not within property and casualty underwriting results. These amounts represent the discounted value of expected losses net of related premiums and are discounted at approximately 5%. In the case of the excess of loss cover, the recorded loss represents the discounted value of a \$500 million full limit loss.

Management has done extensive analysis of SCA's underlying financial guarantee portfolio to evaluate the exposures and underlying possible losses arising from recent credit market turbulence. Given Management's view of the potential scale of SCA's estimated losses along with the uncertainty facing the entire financial guarantee industry, the Company has reduced the reported value of its investment in SCA to nil from the reported equity method value of \$669.8 million as at September 30, 2007. Recent market developments with respect to monoline industry and industry analyst reports have indicated that the fair value of any investment in the financial guarantee sector has very uncertain value at this point in time. Subsequent to December 31, 2007 SCA was downgraded by several ratings agencies, which significantly limits SCA's ability to write new business. In addition, the shares in SCA held by the Company are unregistered and thus illiquid. Based on the nature of the Company's investment in SCA and the resultant limitations on any future business models, management believes that the fair value of the Company's investment in SCA is substantially less than the aggregate fair value of \$117.0 million based upon the traded market value at December 31, 2007 of \$3.89 per share. Management believe this decline in value is other than temporary.

The reported results of SCA are not sufficiently timely for the equity method to be applied currently, therefore as allowed under U.S GAAP, the Company reports such earnings on a one quarter lag. Management expects to continue this practice in future periods with appropriate consideration given by disclosure or otherwise to the effects of any known intervening events that materially affect the Company's financial position or results of operations. The current write down in the reported investment value of SCA is based, in part, on the estimated losses SCA are expected to record in the fourth quarter of 2007 and as such management does not expect to report these losses again in the first quarter of 2008.

As at September 30, 2007, SCA had total assets of \$2.99 billion, total liabilities of \$1.35 billion, outstanding preferred share equity of \$246.6 million, and common shareholders equity of \$1.35 billion. For the period from June 6, 2007 to September 30, 2007 SCA had net earned premiums of \$73.1 million, total revenues of \$(40.4) million, and a net loss to common shareholders before minority interest of \$77.4 million. For further details of the results of SCA and the Company's related transactions see Note 3 Security Capital Assurance.

Recent Primus press releases indicate that the general widening of market credit spreads have significantly impacted Primus' mark to market credit derivative liabilities resulting in a negative book value. The results of Primus have historically been reported on a one quarter lag, however, management believes that based on the significance of the underlying book value change, it is appropriate at this time to write the investment down to nil consistent with the recently disclosed Primus fourth quarter results. As at December 31, 2007, the aggregate fair value of the Company's investment in Primus would have been \$104.5 million based upon the traded market value of Primus of \$7.01 per share. As at December 31, 2007, Primus had total assets of \$882.7 million, total liabilities of \$852.7 million, outstanding preferred shares of \$98.5 million and common shareholders deficit of \$93.5 million. For the year ended December 31, 2007, Primus reported net credit default swap losses of \$535.1 million and a net loss available to common shareholders of \$563.5 million.

The Company's other strategic operating affiliates at December 31, 2007 included investments of \$53.5 million in ARX Holding Corporation and \$78.1 million in ITAÚ XL Seguros Corporativos S.A., with ownership in these entities at 46.4% and 49.9%, respectively.

The Company's investment manager affiliates include Highfields Capital Management LP, a global equity investment firm, MKP Capital Management, a fixed income investment manager, specializing in mortgage-backed securities, Stanfield Capital Partners, a credit-oriented asset management firm specializing in collateralized loan obligations, OneCapital Management Partners, a diversified alternative investment management firm, Banquo Credit Management LLP, a European-based credit asset management firm, Artemis Advisors LLC, a U.S. focused equity firm, Polar Capital Holdings plc, an investment firm offering traditional and alternative products, Cinnamon Capital Management LLP, an asset management firm that invests in the residuals of European asset backed securities, HighVista Strategies LLC, a diversified wealth management firm, and Finisterre Cayman Limited, an emerging market specialist asset management firm.

During 2006, the Company sold its interest in FrontPoint Partners LLC, an integrated alternative asset firm, resulting in equity earnings of \$41.7 million recorded in 2006 related to the initial sale and \$32.4 million recorded in 2007, primarily related to the receipt of contingent proceeds.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Investments in Affiliates (continued)

In addition to the writedowns noted above, during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, the Company recorded other than temporary declines in the values of certain affiliates totaling \$63.5 million, \$19.8 million, and \$2.5 million, respectively. Other than temporary declines in 2007, 2006 and 2005 related primarily to investment management affiliates.

In certain investments, the carrying value is different from the share of the investee's underlying net assets. The difference represents goodwill on acquisition or other-than-temporary impairments recorded with respect to the investment.

See Note 18(c), Commitments and Contingencies - Investments in Affiliates for further information regarding commitments related to investment in affiliates.

9. Other Investments

Other investments include investments over which the Company does not have significant influence and whose fair value is generally unquoted. This includes investments in limited partnerships where the Company does not participate in the management of the partnerships, and investments in non-rated collateralized loan obligations. Income from other investments was \$17.1 million and \$9.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Unrealized gains from these limited private investment partnerships was \$13.6 million and \$10.0 million as at December 31, 2007 and 2006 respectively.

Income on unrated tranches of collateralized loan obligations is reflected only to the extent the Company's principal has been fully recovered. Income on alternative investments included in other investments is realized only on ultimate sale of these investments. The Company had net unrealized gains of \$78.9 million and \$49.1 million, respectively, at December 31, 2007 and 2006, related to these alternative investments. In 2007 and 2006, the Company had realized gains related to the alternative investments of nil and \$5.0 million, respectively.

See Note 18(b), Commitments and Contingencies - Other Investments, for further information regarding commitments related to other investments.

The Company regularly reviews the performance of these other investments. The Company recorded losses of \$0.1 million, \$15.4 million and \$0.1 million in the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, due to other than temporary declines in values of these other investments.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10. Losses and Loss Expenses

Unpaid losses and loss expenses are comprised of:

Year Ended December 31

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	2007	2006	2005
Reserve for reported losses and loss expenses	\$ 10,956,851	\$ 10,851,227	\$ 10,480,378
Reserve for losses incurred but not reported	12,250,843	12,043,794	13,117,437
Unpaid losses and loss expenses	\$ 23,207,694	\$ 22,895,021	\$ 23,597,815

Net losses and loss expenses incurred are comprised of:

Year Ended December 31

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	2007	2006	2005
Loss and loss expenses payments	\$ 5,410,635	\$ 6,078,309	\$ 4,795,569
Change in unpaid losses and loss expenses (1)	(352,150)	(1,139,272)	4,764,531
Change in unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	377,277	1,442,405	102,800
Paid loss recoveries	(1,594,759)	(2,180,248)	(2,228,564)
Net losses and loss expenses incurred	\$ 3,841,003	\$ 4,201,194	\$ 7,434,336

(1) Does not include \$351.0 million of losses incurred related to the facultative and excess of loss reinsurance of SCA. These losses are included in Net loss from operating affiliates.

The following table represents an analysis of the Company's paid and unpaid losses and loss expenses incurred and a reconciliation of the beginning and ending unpaid losses and loss expenses for the years indicated:

Year Ended December 31

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	2007	2006	2005
Unpaid losses and loss expenses at beginning of year	\$ 22,895,021	\$ 23,597,815	\$ 19,615,773
Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	4,995,373	6,396,984	6,944,300
Net unpaid losses and loss expenses at beginning of year	17,899,648	17,200,831	12,671,473
Increase (decrease) in net losses and loss expenses incurred in respect of losses occurring in:			
Current year	4,266,444	4,311,798	6,320,616
Prior years	(425,441)	(110,604)	1,113,720
Total net incurred losses and loss expenses	3,841,003	4,201,194	7,434,336
Exchange rate effects	421,575	355,500	(337,973)
Net loss reserves (disposed) acquired	(155,259)	40,184	
Less net losses and loss expenses paid in respect of losses occurring in:			
Current year	627,748	460,853	559,392
Prior years	3,188,128	3,437,208	2,007,613
Total net paid losses	3,815,876	3,898,061	2,567,005

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Net unpaid losses and loss expenses at end of year	18,191,091	17,899,648	17,200,831
Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	4,665,615	4,995,373	6,396,984
Financial guarantee losses recorded within net income from operating affiliates	350,988		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Unpaid losses and loss expenses at end of year	\$ 23,207,694	\$ 22,895,021	\$ 23,597,815
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10. Losses and Loss Expenses (continued)

The following table presents the net (favorable) adverse prior years loss development of the Company's loss and loss expense reserves for its property and casualty operations by operating segment for each of the years indicated:

<i>(U.S. dollars in millions)</i>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Insurance segment	\$ (158.1)	\$ (13.2)	\$ 1,020.2
Reinsurance segment	(267.3)	(97.4)	93.5
Total	<u>\$ (425.4)</u>	<u>\$ (110.6)</u>	<u>\$ 1,113.7</u>

The significant developments in prior year loss reserve year estimates for each the years indicated within the Company's Insurance and Reinsurance segments are discussed below.

Insurance Segment

The Insurance segment net prior year reserve releases consisted of \$95.0 million in property and \$162.1 million in casualty lines of business, partially offset by net adverse development of \$23.0 million, \$7.0 million and \$69.0 million in certain professional, marine and other lines of business, respectively. Casualty releases relate primarily to the European casualty portfolio in accident years 2002-2005. The professional lines development reflects net adverse prior year development of the Bermuda E&O portfolio and releases from the U.S. and European professional lines portfolios. Net adverse prior year development in other insurance lines relates to adverse development in discontinued specialty lines, surety and environmental, partially offset by favorable development in aerospace and political risk. Gross prior year releases totaled \$177.6 million for the Insurance segment during 2007.

In 2006, net favorable prior year reserve development in the Insurance segment was \$13.2 million and consisted of reserve releases of \$94.4 million in property lines and \$91.1 million in casualty lines, partially offset by \$96.5 million, \$46.5 million and \$29.3 million of prior year adverse development in certain professional, marine and other lines of business, respectively. The gross prior year favorable development for the Insurance segment was \$371.4 million. This gross favorable development exceeds the corresponding net development as gross reported losses in casualty developed more favorably than net losses. Lower gross reported loss amounts reflect reductions in several large recoverable losses on older years and an absence of new large losses, with consequent reductions to reinsurance recoveries.

During 2005, total net adverse prior year development within the Insurance Segment was \$1.02 billion of which \$834.2 million resulted from the negative outcome of the Winterthur Decision. The remaining \$186.0 million was comprised of net adverse development of \$259.7 million in professional lines and \$34.7 million within other specialty lines including runoff and discontinued business partially offset by releases of \$108.4 million primarily in European casualty business.

There is no assurance that conditions and trends that have affected the development of liabilities in the past will continue. Accordingly, it may not be appropriate to extrapolate future redundancies or deficiencies based on the Company's historical results.

Reinsurance Segment

Within the Reinsurance segment, net prior year releases of \$267.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2007 consisted of \$188.7 million primarily in property and other short-tail lines of business and \$87.4 million in casualty and other lines, was partially offset by adverse prior year development of \$8.8 million within the structured indemnity line of business. Releases in the short-tail lines relate primarily to the 2005 and 2006 accident years. The casualty and other lines favorable development of \$87.4 million reflects the net result of releases of \$121.4 million primarily in the US and non-US casualty portfolios, partially offset by net adverse development of \$13.0 million associated with the results of an external review of the Company's asbestos and environmental reserves and \$21.0 million related to a commutation of a stop loss reinsurance agreement. Favorable gross prior year development of \$259.7 million was in line with the net development.

During 2006, net overall favorable prior year reserve development for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$97.4 million. This overall favorable development was made up of releases of \$133.0 million in property and other shorter-tail lines of business and partially offset by prior year adverse reserve development of \$7.3 million in casualty and other lines and \$28.3 million within structured indemnity lines. The overall net

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

favorable development within property and other short-tail lines of \$133.0 million included \$75.4 million in adverse development specifically related to the 2005 catastrophes. The gross prior year development for the Reinsurance segment totaled \$17.7 million favorable. This gross favorable development is less than the corresponding net development as net adverse development on the 2005 catastrophes was less significant than the gross adverse development due to reinsurance recoveries.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10. Losses and Loss Expenses (continued)

During 2005, net prior year reserves across the Reinsurance segment developed adversely by \$93.5 million and consisted of reserve strengthening of \$266.9 million in casualty and professional lines, and was partially offset by releases in other lines of business of \$173.4 million.

There is no assurance that conditions and trends that have affected the development of liabilities in the past will continue. Accordingly, it may not be appropriate to extrapolate future redundancies or deficiencies based on the Company's historical results.

Other loss information

Net losses disposed in 2007 in the amount of \$155.3 million represent reserves associated with the de-consolidation of SCA following the secondary offering on June 6, 2007 of \$181.4 million, partially offset by net losses acquired of \$26.2 million related to a reinsurance-to-close loss portfolio transfer.

The Company's net unpaid losses and loss expenses included estimates of actual and potential non-recoveries from reinsurers. As at December 31, 2007 and 2006, the reserve for potential non-recoveries from reinsurers was \$193.1 million and \$218.3 million, respectively.

Except for certain financial guarantee and workers' compensation liabilities, the Company does not discount its unpaid losses and loss expenses.

With respect to financial guarantee liabilities the amount of the case basis reserve is based on the net present value of the expected ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense payments that the Company expects to make, net of expected recoveries under salvage and subrogation rights. Case basis reserves are determined using cash flow or similar models to estimate the net present value of the anticipated shortfall between (i) scheduled payments on the insured obligation plus anticipated loss adjustment expenses and (ii) anticipated cash flow from the proceeds to be received on sales of any collateral supporting the obligation and other anticipated recoveries.

The net income impact of case basis reserves with respect to reinsurance provided to SCA subsequent to the secondary sale of SCA common shares on June 6, 2007 of \$351.0 million is included in "Net loss from operating affiliates", and the unpaid case reserves related to such losses are in unpaid losses and loss expenses. These losses are associated with the credit enhancement of certain ABS CDO exposures as well as residential mortgage backed securities backed by home equity lines of credit collateral. Certain of these underlying exposures were written by SCA in derivative form as credit default swaps. Consistent with both the Company's and SCA's accounting policies the unrealized fair value liability on those contracts written in derivative form include both an insurance reserve component and a mark to market liability. A component of the overall fair value liability is classified as a case reserve once the loss is considered probable and can be reasonably estimated. Such case reserves are reported on a discounted basis and net of the present value of related future premiums. The Company discounts such reserves using a discount rate of approximately 5%. The remainder of the liability is classified as a derivative liability. As at December 31, 2007 the derivative liability recorded by the Company with respect to these contracts was \$17.9 million.

The Company utilizes tabular reserving for workers' compensation unpaid losses that are considered fixed and determinable, and discounts such losses using an interest rate of 5% (2006: 5%). The interest rate approximates the average yield to maturity on specific fixed income investments that support these liabilities. The tabular reserving methodology results in applying uniform and consistent criteria for establishing expected future indemnity and medical payments (including an explicit factor for inflation) and the use of mortality tables to determine expected payment periods. Tabular unpaid losses and loss expenses, net of reinsurance, at December 31, 2007 and 2006 were \$813.7 million and \$812.1 million, respectively. The related discounted unpaid losses and loss expenses were \$377.0 million and \$367.1 million as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The nature of the Company's high excess of loss liability and catastrophe business can result in loss events that are both irregular and significant. Similarly, adjustments to reserves for individual years can be irregular and significant. Such adjustments are part of the normal course of business for the Company. Conditions and trends that have affected development of liability in the past may not continue in the future.

Accordingly, it is inappropriate to extrapolate future redundancies or deficiencies based upon historical experience.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10. Losses and Loss Expenses (continued)

Asbestos and Environmental Related Claims

The Company's reserving process includes a continuing evaluation of the potential impact on unpaid liabilities from exposure to asbestos and environmental claims, including related loss adjustment expenses. Liabilities are established to cover both known and incurred but not reported claims.

A reconciliation of the opening and closing unpaid losses and loss expenses related to asbestos and environmental exposure claims for the years indicated is as follows:

Year Ended December 31,

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	2007	2006	2005
Net unpaid losses and loss expenses at beginning of year	\$ 112,963	\$ 51,260	\$ 57,400
Net incurred losses and loss expenses	16,618	54,570	
Less net paid losses and loss expenses	8,925	11,153	6,140
Net losses and loss expenses acquired		18,286	
Net increase (decrease) in unpaid losses and loss expenses	7,693	61,703	(6,140)
Net unpaid losses and loss expenses at end of year	120,656	112,963	51,260
Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable at end of year	204,192	98,998	72,741
Gross unpaid losses and loss expenses at end of year	\$ 324,848	\$ 211,961	\$ 124,001

Incurred but not reported losses, net of reinsurance, included in the above table was \$73.1 million in 2007, \$64.1 million in 2006 and \$16.7 million in 2005. Unpaid losses recoverable are net of potential uncollectible amounts.

During 2006, the Company acquired \$40.2 million in losses through a loss portfolio transfer contract of which \$18.3 million in losses related to asbestos and environmental claims. Given the terms of the policy, the combined aggregate limit on the total acquired reserves is limited to \$60.0 million, not including coverage for claims handling costs over a defined period.

The Company utilizes industry standard asbestos and environmental claims models to estimate its ultimate liability for these exposures.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had 1,716 open claim files for potential asbestos exposures and 623 open claim files for potential environmental exposures. Approximately 50% and 56% of the open claim files are due to precautionary claim notices in 2007 and 2006, respectively. Precautionary claim notices are submitted by the ceding companies in order to preserve their right to receive coverage under the reinsurance contract. Such notices do not contain an incurred loss amount to the Company. The development of the number of open claim files for potential asbestos and environmental claims is as follows:

	Asbestos Claims	Environmental Claims
Total number of claims outstanding at December 31, 2004	832	446
New claims reported in 2005	152	31
Claims resolved in 2005	106	63
Total number of claims outstanding at December 31, 2005	878	414
New claims reported in 2006 (1)	113	33
Claims acquired through LPT in 2006 (2)	553	290
Claims resolved in 2006	97	148

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Total number of claims outstanding at December 31, 2006	1,447	589
New claims reported in 2007 (1)	338	128
Claims resolved in 2007	69	94
Total number of claims outstanding at December 31, 2007	1,716	623

-
- (1) A review in 2006 and 2007 of older policy years revealed outstanding claims reported in prior years that were deemed to have asbestos exposure.
- (2) The claim count information included in the above table for the business acquired during 2006 was the claim count information relating to 80% of the acquired reserves. The claim count information for the other 20% of reserves was not available.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10. Losses and Loss Expenses (continued)

The Company's exposure to asbestos and environmental claims arises from contracts written, both on a proportional and excess basis, after 1972. The Company discontinued writing contracts with these exposures in 1985. Business written was across many different policies, each with a relatively small contract limit. The Company's reported asbestos claims related to both traditional products and premises and operations coverage.

The estimation of loss and loss expense liabilities for asbestos and environmental exposures is subject to much greater uncertainty than is normally associated with the establishment of liabilities for certain other exposures due to several factors, including: (i) uncertain legal interpretation and application of insurance and reinsurance coverage and liability; (ii) the lack of reliability of available historical claims data as an indicator of future claims development; (iii) an uncertain political climate which may impact, among other areas, the nature and amount of costs for remediating waste sites; and (iv) the potential of insurers and reinsurers to reach agreements in order to avoid further significant legal costs. Due to the potential significance of these uncertainties, the Company believes that no meaningful range of loss and loss expense liabilities beyond recorded reserves can be established. As the Company's net unpaid loss and loss expense reserves related to asbestos and environmental exposures are less than 1% of the total net reserves at December 31, 2007 and 2006, further adverse development is not expected to be material to the Company's overall net loss reserves. The Company believes it has made reasonable provision for its asbestos and environmental exposures and is unaware of any specific issues that would significantly affect its estimate for loss and loss expenses.

11. Reinsurance

The Company utilizes reinsurance and retrocession agreements principally to increase aggregate capacity and to reduce the risk of loss on business assumed. The Company's reinsurance and retrocession agreements provide for recovery of a portion of losses and loss expenses from reinsurers and reinsurance recoverables are recorded as assets. The Company is liable if the reinsurers are unable to satisfy their obligations under the agreements. Under its reinsurance security policy, the Company seeks to cede business to reinsurers generally rated A or better by Standard & Poor's (S&P) or, in the case of Lloyd's syndicates, B+ from Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's). The Company considers reinsurers that are not rated or do not fall within the above rating categories and may grant exceptions to the Company's general policy on a case-by-case basis.

The effect of reinsurance and retrocessional activity on premiums written and earned from property and casualty operations is shown below:

	Premiums Written Year Ended December 31,			Premiums Earned Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005	2007	2006	2005
<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>						
Direct	\$ 5,341,906	\$ 5,672,921	\$ 5,782,907	\$ 5,428,753	\$ 5,557,832	\$ 5,510,301
Assumed	2,755,854	3,020,510	3,437,403	2,977,516	3,200,623	3,466,061
Ceded	(1,800,040)	(2,123,719)	(2,176,913)	(1,987,642)	(2,028,173)	(2,076,493)
Net	\$ 6,297,720	\$ 6,569,712	\$ 7,043,397	\$ 6,418,627	\$ 6,730,282	\$ 6,899,869

The Company recorded reinsurance recoveries on losses and loss expenses incurred of \$1.2 billion, \$0.8 billion and \$1.7 billion for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following table presents an analysis of total unpaid losses and loss expenses and future policy benefit reserves recoverable for the year ended December 31:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	2007	2006
P&C operations	\$ 4,665,615	\$ 4,972,703

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Life operations	31,856	32,399
SCA		22,670
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	\$ 4,697,471	\$ 5,027,772
	<hr/>	<hr/>

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

11. Reinsurance (continued)

At December 31, 2007, the total reinsurance assets of \$5.5 billion included reinsurance receivables for paid losses and loss expenses of \$0.8 billion and \$4.7 billion with respect to the ceded reserve for losses and loss expenses, including ceded losses incurred but not reported. Although the contractual obligation of individual reinsurers to pay their reinsurance obligations is based on specific contract provisions, the collectibility of such amounts requires significant estimation by management. The majority of the balance the Company has accrued as recoverable will not be due for collection until sometime in the future. Over this period of time, economic conditions and operational performance of a particular reinsurer may impact their ability to meet these obligations and while they may continue to acknowledge their contractual obligation to do so, they may not have the financial resources or willingness to fully meet their obligation to the Company.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the provision for uncollectible reinsurance relating to both reinsurance balances receivable and unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable was \$193.1 million and \$218.3 million, respectively. To estimate the provision for uncollectible reinsurance recoverable, the reinsurance recoverable must first be allocated to applicable reinsurers. This determination is based on a process rather than an estimate, although an element of judgment must be applied. As part of this process, ceded IBNR is allocated by reinsurer. The allocations are generally based on historical relationships between gross and ceded losses. If actual experience varies materially from historical experience, the allocation of reinsurance recoverable by reinsurer will change.

The Company uses a default analysis to estimate uncollectible reinsurance. The primary components of the default analysis are reinsurance recoverable balances by reinsurer, net of collateral, and default factors used to determine the portion of a reinsurer's balance deemed uncollectible. The definition of collateral for this purpose requires some judgment and is generally limited to assets held in trust, letters of credit, and liabilities held by the Company with the same legal entity for which the Company believes there is a right of offset. The Company is the beneficiary of letters of credit, trust accounts and funds withheld in the aggregate amount of \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2007, collateralizing reinsurance recoverables with respect to certain reinsurers. In addition, the Company has a four year collateralized escrow agreement with WSIC for up to \$185 million to protect certain subsidiaries from non-performing third party reinsurers related to the Winterthur Business. For further information see Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Business Combinations. Default factors require considerable judgment and are determined using the current rating, or rating equivalent, of each reinsurer as well as other key considerations and assumptions.

At December 31, 2007, the use of different assumptions within the model could have a material effect on the bad debt provision reflected in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. To the extent the creditworthiness of the Company's reinsurers was to deteriorate due to an adverse event affecting the reinsurance industry, such as a large number of major catastrophes, actual uncollectible amounts could be significantly greater than the Company's bad debt provision. Such an event could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, and liquidity.

Approximately 78% of the total unpaid loss and loss expense recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable (excluding collateral held) outstanding at December 31, 2007, were due from reinsurers rated A or better by S&P. The following is an analysis of the total recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable at December 31, 2007, by reinsurers owing more than 3% of such total:

Name of reinsurer	Standard and Poor's rating	% of total
Munich Reinsurance Company	AA-/Stable	8.97%
Swiss Reinsurance Company	AA-/Stable	7.60%
Lloyd's Syndicates	A+/Stable	5.72%
Employers Reinsurance Corporation	AA-/Stable	5.40%
Transatlantic Reinsurance Company	AA-/Negative	3.69%

The following table sets forth the ratings profile of the reinsurers that support the unpaid loss and loss expense recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable net of security noted above.

Standard and Poor's rating	% of total
AAA	5.3%
AA	46.4%

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

A	25.9%
BBB	0.7%
BB and below	0.7%
Captives	17.2%
Not Rated	0.8%
Other	3.0%
Total	100%

137

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

12. Deposit Liabilities

Deposit liabilities include guaranteed investment contracts and funding agreements as well as reinsurance and insurance deposits. The Company has entered into investment contracts such as GICs and FAs that do not meet the definition of an insurance contract under FAS No. 97. These contracts are sold with a guaranteed rate of return. The proceeds are then invested with the intent of realizing a greater return than is called for in the investment contracts. The Company has also entered into certain insurance and reinsurance policies that transfer insufficient risk under FAS No. 113 to be accounted for as insurance or reinsurance transactions and are deposit accounted. These structured property and casualty agreements, guaranteed investment contracts and funding agreements have been recorded as deposit liabilities and are initially matched by an equivalent amount of investments. The Company has investment risk related to its ability to generate sufficient investment income to enable the total invested assets to cover the payment of the ultimate liability. Each deposit liability accrues at a rate equal to the internal rate of return of the payment receipts and obligations due during the life of the agreement. Where the timing and/or amount of future payments are uncertain, cash flows reflecting the Company's actuarially determined best estimates are utilized. Deposit liabilities are initially recorded at an amount equal to the assets received.

Total deposit liabilities are comprised of the following:

Year ended December 31,*(U.S. dollars in thousands)*

	2007	2006
Reinsurance and insurance deposit liabilities	\$ 1,745,483	\$ 1,635,497
Guaranteed investment contract and funding agreement deposit liabilities	6,174,602	6,222,330
Total deposit liabilities	\$ 7,920,085	\$ 7,857,827

Interest expense of \$421.1 million, \$350.1 million and \$227.7 million was recorded related to the accretion of deposit liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

As noted above, as a result of the downgrades of XLCA, the Company will settle, by late March 2008, the majority of the GIC portfolio.

13. Future Policy Benefit Reserves

The Company enters into long duration contracts that subject the Company to mortality and morbidity risks and which were accounted for as life premiums earned. Future policy benefit reserves were established using appropriate assumptions for investment yields, mortality, and expenses, including a provision for adverse deviation. The average interest rate used for the determination of the future policy benefits for these contracts was 4.5% and 4.7% at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Total future policy benefit reserves for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 were \$6.8 billion and \$6.5 billion, respectively.

Future policy benefit reserves are comprised of the following:

Year ended December 31,*(U.S. dollars in thousands)*

	2007	2006
Traditional Life	\$ 936,392	\$ 715,640
Annuities	5,835,650	5,760,417
Total future policy benefit reserves	\$ 6,772,042	\$ 6,476,057

14. Notes Payable and Debt and Financing Arrangements

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

As at December 31, 2007, the Company had bank and loan facilities available from a variety of sources, including commercial banks, totaling \$4.0 billion (2006: \$4.8 billion) of which \$2.9 billion (2006: \$3.4 billion) of debt was outstanding. In addition, the Company has letter of credit facilities totaling \$7.3 billion available of which \$3.7 billion (2006: \$3.8 billion) were outstanding as at December 31, 2007, 4.3% (2006: 4.5%) of which were collateralized by certain of the Company's investment portfolios, supporting U.S. non-admitted business and the Company's Lloyd's Syndicates' capital requirements. Of these amounts, \$1.0 billion was available in the form of revolving credit or letters of credit.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

14. Notes Payable and Debt and Financing Arrangements (continued)

The fair value of the Company's notes payable and debt outstanding as at December 31, 2007 and 2006, is estimated to be \$2.6 billion and \$3.4 billion, respectively, and is based on quoted prices.

The financing structure at December 31, 2007 was as follows:

Facility

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>Commitment (1)</u>	<u>In Use/ Outstanding (1)</u>
Debt:		
5-year revolvers expiring 2010/2012 (2)	\$ 1,000,000	\$
5-year revolver expiring 2010	100,000	
5.25% Senior Notes due 2011	745,000	745,000
6.58% Guaranteed Senior Notes due 2011	255,000	255,000
6.50% Guaranteed Senior Notes due 2012	598,713	598,713
5.25% Senior Notes due 2014	595,631	595,631
6.375% Senior Notes due 2024	350,000	350,000
6.25% Senior Notes due 2027	324,387	324,387
	<u>3,968,731</u>	<u>2,868,731</u>
Total debt	\$ 3,968,731	\$ 2,868,731
Letters of Credit:		
6 facilities-total	\$ 7,346,059	\$ 3,687,851

(1) Commitment and In Use data represent December 31, 2007 accreted values.

(2) The 2010 and 2012 5-year revolving credit facilities share a \$1 billion revolving credit sub-limit. The revolving credit facilities were unutilized at December 31, 2007.

The financing structure at December 31, 2006 was as follows:

Facility

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>Commitment (1)</u>	<u>In Use/ Outstanding (1)</u>
Debt:		
364-day Revolver	\$ 100,000	\$
5 and 3-year Revolvers	1,000,000	
5-year Revolver	100,000	
5-year Revolver (2)	250,000	
2.53% Senior Notes due 2009	825,000	825,000
6.58% Guaranteed Senior Notes due 2011	255,000	255,000

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

5.25% Senior Notes due 2011	745,000	745,000
6.50% Guaranteed Senior Notes due 2012	598,396	598,396
5.25% Senior Notes due 2014	594,980	594,980
6.375% Senior Notes due 2024	350,000	350,000
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total debt	\$ 4,818,376	\$ 3,368,376
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Letters of Credit:		
10 facilities-total	\$ 6,433,473	\$ 3,848,004
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

(1) Commitment and In Use data represent December 31, 2006 accreted values.

(2) Was available for SCA group only.
The revolving credit facilities were unutilized at December 31, 2006.

On February 15, 2007, the Company amended the letter of credit facility supporting its syndicates at Lloyd's of London by reducing the aggregate commitments from the participating banks from £500 million to £450 million. On November 14, 2007, the Company renewed the £450 million Funds at Lloyd's facility for a further two years.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

14. Notes Payable and Debt and Financing Arrangements (continued)

On June 22, 2007, three facilities expired - a \$2 billion three-year unsecured credit and letter of credit facility issued in 2004, a \$500 million 364-day letter of credit facility issued in 2006, and a bilateral 364-day \$100 million credit and letter of credit facility issued in 2006.

On June 22, 2007, the Company closed a new \$4 billion unsecured revolving credit and letter of credit facility. The new facility has a term of five years. The facility is available in the form of revolving credit in the amount of \$1 billion (such limit is shared with the Company's other syndicated revolving credit facility that closed in June 2005) and in the form of letters of credit in the aggregate amount of \$4 billion.

On December 31, 2007, a \$150 million unsecured letter of credit facility expired and was not replaced.

In March 2004, the Company issued 33 million 6.5% Units in a public offering. Each 6.5% Unit had a stated amount of \$25 and consisted of (a) a purchase contract pursuant to which the holder agreed to purchase, for \$25, a variable number of shares of the Company's Class A ordinary shares on May 15, 2007 and (b) a one-fortieth, or 2.5%, ownership interest in a 2.53% senior note with a principal amount of \$1,000, issued by the Company due May 15, 2009 (the 2009 Senior Notes). The 2009 Senior Notes were pledged by the holders to secure their obligations under the purchase contracts. The number of shares issued under the purchase contracts was adjustable based on, among other things, the average share price of the Company for the twenty consecutive trading days ending on the third trading day immediately preceding the stock purchase date and the dividend rate of the Company. On May 2, 2007, the 2009 Senior Notes were remarketed whereby the interest rate was reset in order to generate sufficient remarketing proceeds to satisfy the 6.5% Unit holders' obligations under the purchase contracts. The Company purchased and retired the aggregate principal amount of the 2009 Senior Notes as a part of that remarketing. On May 15, 2007, the purchase contracts matured and the Company issued Class A ordinary shares in connection therewith. Each purchase contract provided for the sale by the Company of 0.32727 Class A Ordinary shares (the Shares) at a price of \$25.00. The settlement of the purchase contracts resulted in the Company's issuance of an aggregate of 10,799,913 Shares for net proceeds of approximately \$823 million, a portion of which was used to retire the 2009 Senior Notes.

On May 7, 2007, the Company issued \$325.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.25% senior notes due 2027 (the 2027 Senior Notes). The 2027 Senior Notes were issued at 99.805% and gross proceeds were approximately \$324.4 million. Related expenses of the offering, including underwriting discounts, amounted to approximately \$2.5 million. Interest on the 2027 Senior Notes is payable on May 15, and November 15 of each year, beginning November 15, 2007. The Company may redeem the 2027 Senior Notes in whole at any time, or in part from time to time, at a make-whole redemption price. The Company may also redeem the 2027 Senior Notes in whole, but not in part, at any time upon the occurrence of certain tax events. The 2027 Senior Notes are unsecured and rank equally with all the Company's existing and future unsecured senior debt.

In addition, during March 2007, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement in anticipation of the issuance of the 2027 Senior Notes. This transaction, which met the requirements of a cash flow hedge of a forecasted transaction under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, was entered into to mitigate the interest rate risk associated with the subsequent issuance of the 2027 Senior Notes. The gain on the settlement of the swap transaction on May 2, 2007 of \$3.8 million was credited to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and is being amortized to interest expense over the 20-year term of the related debt.

On December 19, 2006, the Company replaced a \$150 million letter of credit facility that matured in December 2006 with a new 364-day facility of the same amount.

On December 16, 2006, the Company replaced a \$100 million credit facility that matured in December 2006 with a new 364-day facility of the same amount.

At December 31, 2005, \$45 million was drawn under the syndicated revolving credit facility at an average interest rate in 2005 of 4.5%, and this amount was repaid in January 2006.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

14. Notes Payable and Debt and Financing Arrangements (continued)

In December 2005, the Company issued 29.8 million 7.0% Equity Security Units (the 7.0% Units) in a public offering. The Company received approximately \$722.7 million in net proceeds from the sale of the 7.0% Units after deducting underwriting discounts. Each 7.0% Unit has a stated amount of \$25 and consists of (a) a purchase contract pursuant to which the holder agreed to purchase, for \$25, a variable number of shares of the Company's Class A Ordinary Shares on February 15, 2009 and (b) a one-fortieth, or 2.5%, ownership interest in a senior note issued by the Company due February 15, 2011 with a principal amount of \$1,000. The senior notes are pledged by the holders to secure their obligations under the purchase contract. The number of shares issued under the purchase contract is contingently adjustable based on, among other things, the share price of the Company on the stock purchase date and the dividend rate of the Company. The Company will make quarterly payments at the annual rate of 1.75% and 5.25% under the purchase contracts and senior notes, respectively. For all periods reported, the Company may defer the contract payments on the purchase contract, but not the senior notes, until the stock purchase date. In February 2009, the senior notes will be remarketed whereby the interest rate on the senior notes will be reset in order to generate sufficient remarketing proceeds to satisfy the 7.0% Unit holders' obligations under the purchase contract. If the senior notes are not successfully remarketed, then the Company will exercise its rights as a secured party and may retain or dispose of the senior notes to satisfy, in full, the 7.0% Unit holders' obligations to purchase its ordinary shares under the purchase contracts.

In connection with this transaction, \$36.9 million, which is the estimated fair value of the purchase contract, was charged to Additional paid in capital and a corresponding liability was established. Of the \$23.9 million total costs associated with the issuance of the 7.0% Units, \$21.1 million was charged to Additional paid in capital with the remainder deferred and amortized over the term of the senior debt.

The number of ordinary shares to be issued under each purchase contract depends on, among other things, the average market price of the ordinary shares. The maximum number of ordinary shares to be issued under the purchase contracts is approximately 11.5 million. The Company accounts for the effect on the number of weighted average ordinary shares, assuming dilution, using the treasury stock method. The purchase contract component of the 7.0% Units will have no effect on the number of weighted average ordinary shares, assuming dilution, except when the average market price of the Company's ordinary shares is above the threshold appreciation price of \$80.6 per share. Because the average market price of the Company's ordinary shares during the period the 7.0% Units were outstanding was below this price, the shares issuable under the purchase contracts were excluded from the computation of net income per ordinary share assuming dilution for the year ended December 31, 2007.

In November 2005, the Company repaid its 7.15% senior notes on maturity.

In June 2005, the Company replaced its \$1.0 billion 364-day credit and letter of credit facility with a \$2.35 billion facility with a tenor of five years. Of the aggregate amount of \$2.35 billion, \$1.0 billion is available as revolving credit and up to \$2.25 billion is available in the form of letters of credit, with the combined total not to exceed \$2.35 billion. As at December 31, 2005, approximately \$2.1 billion of the 5-year facility was in use in the form of letters of credit.

In November 2004, the Company issued the second tranche of \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 5.25% Senior Notes due September 2014. The bonds were issued at 98.419% and gross proceeds were \$298.7 million. Related expenses of the offering amounted to approximately \$2.0 million. Proceeds of these notes, together with proceeds from the issuance of the 2024 senior notes described below, were used to redeem the Zero Coupon Convertible Debentures (CARZ) as described below.

In November 2004, the Company issued \$350 million aggregate principal amount of 6.375% Senior Notes due November 2024. The bonds were issued at par and gross proceeds were \$350 million. Related expenses of the offering amounted to approximately \$2.0 million. Proceeds of these notes, together with proceeds from the issuance of the second tranche of 2014 senior notes described above, were used to redeem the CARZ as described below.

In August 2004, the Company issued the first tranche of \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 5.25% Senior Notes due September 2014. The bonds were issued at 99.432% and gross proceeds were \$298.3 million. Related expenses of the offering amounted to approximately \$1.7 million. Proceeds of these notes were used to redeem the Liquid Yield Option Notes (LYONs), as described below.

In January 2002, the Company issued \$600.0 million par value 6.50% Guaranteed Senior Notes due January 2012. The notes were issued at \$99.469 and gross proceeds were \$596.8 million. Related expenses of the offering amounted to \$7.9 million. Proceeds of the notes were used to pay down two 5-year revolvers of \$350.0 million and for general corporate purposes. These credit facilities were subsequently cancelled.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

In April 2001, the Company issued at par \$255.0 million of 6.58% Guaranteed Senior Notes due April 2011 through a private placement to institutional investors. Proceeds of the debt were used for general corporate purposes.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

14. Notes Payable and Debt and Financing Arrangements (continued)

In September 2001, the Company issued \$508.8 million principal amount at maturity (subsequently increased to \$514.6 million under the provisions of an upward interest adjustment provision) of LYONs. The accretion rate on the LYONs was originally 2.875% per annum on a semi-annual basis or 2.896% per annum on an annual basis. The accretion rate for the year to September 7, 2004, was increased by 0.645% per annum on a semi-annual basis to 3.52% on a semi-annual basis and 3.551% per annum on an annual basis as a result of the Company's ordinary share price in the 30-day period leading up to the second put date in September 2003. On September 7, 2004, the Company redeemed the entire issue of LYONs at their accreted value of approximately \$317 million, using proceeds from the issuance of the first tranche of Senior Notes due 2014, as described above.

In May 2001, the Company issued \$1,010.8 million principal amount at maturity of CARZ. On May 26, 2004, the Company made a one-time cash payment to holders of the CARZ for not exercising their put rights. On November 8, 2004, the Company redeemed the entire issue of CARZ at a total cost of approximately \$657.6 million using proceeds from the issuance of the second tranche of Senior Notes due 2104 and the Senior Notes due 2024, as described above.

The Company has several letter of credit facilities provided on a syndicated and bilateral basis from commercial banks. These facilities are utilized to support non-admitted insurance and reinsurance operations in the U.S. and capital requirements at Lloyd's. The commercial facilities are scheduled for renewal during 2009 through 2012. In addition to letters of credit, the Company has established insurance trusts in the U.S. that provide cedants with statutory relief required under state insurance regulation in the U.S. It is anticipated that the commercial facilities will be renewed on expiry but such renewals are subject to the availability of credit from banks utilized by the Company. In the event that such credit support is insufficient, the Company could be required to provide alternative security to cedants. This could take the form of additional insurance trusts supported by the Company's investment portfolio or funds withheld using the Company's cash resources. The value of letters of credit required is driven by, among other things, loss development of existing reserves, the payment pattern of such reserves, the expansion of business written by the Company and the loss experience of such business.

In general, all of the Company's bank facilities, indentures and other documents relating to the Company's outstanding indebtedness (collectively, the Company's Debt Documents), as described above, contain cross default provisions to each other and the Company's Debt Documents (other than the 6.5% Guaranteed Senior Notes indentures) contain affirmative covenants. These covenants provide for, among other things, minimum required ratings of the Company's insurance and reinsurance operating subsidiaries and the level of secured indebtedness in the future. In addition, generally each of the Company's Debt Documents provide for an event of default in the event of a change of control of the Company or some events involving bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization of the Company. The Company's credit facilities and the 6.58% Guaranteed Senior Notes also contain minimum consolidated net worth covenants.

Under the Company's 364-day facilities, three-year credit facility, and five-year credit facilities described above, in the event that the Company XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd fail to maintain a financial strength rating of at least A- from A.M. Best, an event of default would occur.

Under the Company's ten-year private placement notes described above, in the event that the Company fails to maintain a financial strength rating of at least A from A.M. Best or XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd fail to maintain a financial strength rating of at least A from S&P, an event of default would occur. The Company currently has an A+ rating from S&P and an A (stable) rating from A.M. Best.

The 6.5% Guaranteed Senior Notes indenture contain a cross default provision. In general, in the event that the Company defaults in the payment of indebtedness in the amount of \$50.0 million or more, an event of default would be triggered under both the 6.5% Guaranteed Senior Notes indentures.

Given that all of the Company's Debt Documents contain cross default provisions, this may result in all holders declaring such debt due and payable and an acceleration of all debt due under those documents. If this were to occur, the Company may not have funds sufficient at that time to repay any or all of such indebtedness.

In addition, the Company's unsecured Lloyd's letter of credit facility provides that, in the event that the Company's insurance and reinsurance rated operating subsidiaries in Bermuda fall below A- (as measured by the financial strength rating from A.M. Best at any time), the facility would then be required to be fully secured by the Company, at which time the Company would be required to either (i) provide an amount in cash to cover an amount equal to the aggregate letters of credit outstanding at that time or (ii) deposit assets in trust securing 105% of the aggregate letters of credit outstanding at that time. If this were to occur, the Company may not be able to provide the collateral required in order to maintain this facility.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

In addition, the Company maintains off-balance sheet financing arrangements in the form of contingent capital and alternative collateral facilities. For details of these facilities see Note 16, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

15. Derivative Instruments

The Company enters into derivative instruments for both risk management and trading purposes. The Company is exposed to potential loss from various market risks, and manages its market risks based on guidelines established by management. These derivative instruments are carried at fair value with the resulting gains and losses recognized in income in the period in which they occur.

The following table summarizes these instruments and the effect on net income in the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Credit derivatives	\$ (28,892)	\$ 3,906	\$ 10,522
Weather and energy derivatives	19,636	28,746	17,824
Other non-investment derivatives	(15,714)	7,539	29,930
Investment derivatives (1)	(30,481)	60,992	(29,418)
Net realized and unrealized (losses) gains on derivatives	<u>\$ (55,451)</u>	<u>\$ 101,183</u>	<u>\$ 28,858</u>

(1) Includes derivatives entered into by the Company's investment portfolio managers.

(a) Credit Derivatives

Credit derivatives have been entered into by both SCA and through the Company's investment portfolio and have been recorded at fair value. Following the secondary sale of SCA common shares, the Company retained some of these exposures through reinsurance agreements that have certain of these derivatives embedded within them. Valuation of investment related credit derivatives is provided by independent pricing services. Subsequent to June 6, 2007, the Company has received derivative fair values from SCA management and has reviewed the methodology applied in developing those estimates. SCA estimates fair value for investment grade exposures by

monitoring changes in credit quality and selecting appropriate market indices to determine credit spread movements over the life of the contracts. The determination of the credit spread movements is the basis for calculating the fair value when appropriate active market indices are available. For credit derivatives where no appropriate market indices are readily available, the Company uses an alternative fair value methodology. Under this methodology, the fair value is determined using a model which is dependent upon a number of factors, including changes in interest rates, future default rates, credit spreads, changes in credit quality, future expected recovery rates and other market factors. In addition, judgment is applied to factors necessary to determine the rates of return and levels of subordination required by a market participant to assume the risks. Where relevant, recent transactions or contract discussions related to similar risks are considered. In general, SCA holds credit derivatives to maturity. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of such credit derivatives are unrealized. Subsequent to June 6, 2007, the change in value of the embedded derivative portion of the financial guarantee reinsurance agreements the Company has with SCA are included in Net (loss) income from operating affiliates. For further information on the results recorded relating to the period from June 6, 2007, to December 31, 2007, see Note 3, Security Capital Assurance (SCA).

For the periods prior to the deconsolidation of SCA on June 6, 2007, the Company presents certain credit derivative transactions in the consolidated statements of income to include certain components of the change in fair value in gross premiums written, net premiums earned and net losses and loss expenses incurred, and in the consolidated balance sheet, certain components of the fair value in unearned premiums and unpaid loss and loss expenses. This presentation is applicable only to credit default swaps issued by the Company that are investment grade and that the Company intends, and has the ability, to hold to maturity, and is consistent with practices in the financial guarantee insurance industry for reporting the results of such instruments.

The following tables summarize insurance activities related to credit default swap derivative instruments excluding gains and losses on credit default swaps within the investment portfolio.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31, 2007	Year Ended December 31, 2006	Year Ended December 31, 2005
Statement of Income:			
Net premiums earned	\$ 15,832	\$ 25,412	\$ 27,712
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 1,637	\$ 11,247	\$ 2,295
Net realized and unrealized (losses) gains on credit derivatives	\$ (28,892)	\$ 3,906	\$ 10,522

143

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

15. Derivative Instruments (continued)**(b) Weather and Energy Derivatives**

Weather and energy derivatives are recorded at fair value, which is determined through the use of quoted market prices where available. Where quoted market prices are unavailable, the fair values are estimated using available market data and internal pricing models using consistent statistical methodologies. Estimating fair value of instruments that do not have quoted market prices requires management's judgment in determining amounts that could reasonably be expected to be received from, or paid to, a third party in settlement of the contracts. The amounts could be materially different from the amounts that might be realized in an actual sale transaction. Fair values are subject to change in the near-term and reflect management's best estimate based on various factors including, but not limited to, actual and forecasted weather conditions, changes in commodity prices, changes in interest rates and other market factors.

The change in fair value recorded for the weather and energy derivatives was a gain of \$19.6 million, \$28.7 million and \$17.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

(c) Foreign Exchange Exposure

The Company uses foreign exchange contracts to manage its exposure to the effects of fluctuating foreign currencies on the value of certain of its foreign currency fixed maturities and equity securities investments. These contracts are not designated as specific hedges for financial reporting purposes and therefore, realized and unrealized gains and losses on these contracts are recorded in income in the period in which they occur. These contracts generally have maturities of twelve months or less. In addition, certain of the Company's investment managers may, subject to investment guidelines, enter into forward contracts where potential gains may exist. The Company has exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations through its operations and in its investment portfolio. The Company's net notional foreign currency denominated exposure on foreign exchange contracts was \$341.7 million and a payable of \$211.5 million as at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, with a net unrealized gain of \$0.9 million and \$15.5 million as at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

(d) Interest Rate Exposure

The Company utilizes a risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative financial instruments primarily to reduce its exposure to interest rate risks associated with certain of its assets and liabilities. The Company uses interest rate swaps to convert certain liabilities from a fixed rate to a variable rate of interest and to convert a variable rate of interest from one basis to another. The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counterparties to the swap contracts.

The Company designates certain of its derivative instruments as fair value hedges or cash flow hedges and formally and contemporaneously documents all relationships between the hedging instruments and hedged items and links the hedge to specific assets and liabilities. The Company assesses the effectiveness of the hedge, both at inception and on an on-going basis and determines whether the hedge is highly effective in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows of the linked hedged item.

A portion of the Company's fixed debt is hedged against changes in the applicable designated benchmark interest rate. In addition, interest rate swaps are used to hedge the changes in fair value of certain fixed rate liabilities and fixed maturity securities due to changes in the designated benchmark interest rate. At December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, contracts designated as fair value hedges were in a net unrealized gain position of \$143.3 million, \$28.1 million, and \$23.5 million, respectively. As a result of the fair value hedges, deposit liabilities were increased by \$86.0 million at December 31, 2007, decreased by \$13.6 million at December 31, 2006 and increased by \$27.6 million at December 31, 2005. These interest rate swap hedges resulted in a net increase in net investment income (net of interest expense) of \$14.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, a net increase of \$5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and a net decrease of \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. The ineffective portion of the hedges amounted to \$17.3 million as part of net realized gains at December 31, 2007, \$5.5 million as part of net realized gains at December 31, 2006 and \$5.0 million as part of net realized losses at December 31, 2005.

Interest rate swaps are used to hedge a portion of the Company's floating rate guaranteed investment contracts. These derivatives convert the floating rate guaranteed investment contract payments to a different floating rate basis to better match the cash receipts earned from the supporting investment portfolio. At December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, contracts designated as cash flow hedges were in a net unrealized gain position of \$3.0 million, \$11.4 million, and nil, respectively. These interest rate swap hedges resulted in an increase in interest expense of \$6.0 million, a reduction of \$6.1 million, and nil for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. The ineffective portion of the hedges amounted to \$8.4 million as part of net realized losses at December 31, 2007, \$3.5 million as part of net realized losses at December 31, 2006 and nil at December 31, 2005.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

15. Derivative Instruments (continued)**(d) Interest Rate Exposure (continued)**

In March 2007 the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement in anticipation of the issuance of the 2027 Senior Notes. This transaction, which met the requirements of a cash flow hedge of a forecasted transaction under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, was entered into to mitigate the interest rate risk associated with the subsequent issuance of the 2027 Senior Notes. The gain on the settlement of the swap transaction on May 2, 2007 of \$3.8 million was credited to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and will be amortized to interest expense over the 20-year term of the related debt.

In August 2004, the Company entered into a treasury rate guarantee agreement in anticipation of the issuance of fixed-rate debt. This transaction, which met the requirements of a cash flow hedge of a forecasted transaction under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, was entered into to mitigate the interest rate risk associated with the subsequent issuance of \$300.0 million of 5.25% Senior Notes due September 15, 2014 (see Note 14 above). The loss on the settlement of the treasury rate guarantee transaction on August 18, 2004 of \$6.3 million was charged to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and is being amortized to interest expense over the 10-year term of the related debt.

In 2002, the Company entered into a treasury rate lock agreement with the underwriters of the 6.50% Guaranteed Senior Notes due 2012 (2001: 6.58% Guaranteed Senior Notes due 2011). The Notes were priced using a margin over the yield of a U.S. Treasury note with a similar maturity. The treasury rate lock agreement was designed to eliminate underlying pricing risk of the Company's debt that would have resulted from an increase in the yield of the comparable U.S. Treasury issue between the initiation of the transaction and the pricing of the transaction. The total cost of the hedge was \$4.2 million. In 2001, a loss of \$5.6 million was recorded in net realized and unrealized gains and losses related to the cancellation of the treasury lock agreement relating to the 6.58% notes due 2011 due to the September 11, 2001 event.

(e) Financial Market Exposure

The Company also uses single name and index credit default swaps, bond and stock index futures to add value to the portfolio where market inefficiencies are believed to exist and to equitize cash holdings of equity managers. These instruments are marked to market and changes in fair values are recorded through net realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments. The Company measures potential losses in fair values using various statistical techniques. The change in fair value recorded for the bond and stock index futures was a loss of \$7.1 million and a gain of \$18.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

(f) Other Derivatives

In addition, the Company enters into derivatives as part of its contingent capital facilities including put options, interest rate swaps, and asset return swaps. These derivatives are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings.

The Company also has investment related derivatives embedded in certain reinsurance contracts. For a particular life reinsurance contract, the Company pays the ceding company a fixed amount equal to the estimated present value of the excess of guaranteed benefit GMIB over the account balance upon the policyholder's election to take the income benefit. The fair value of this derivative is determined based on the present value of expected cash flows. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the fair value was represented by liabilities of \$12.4 million and \$14.3 million, respectively. The change in fair value recorded for the GMIB was a gain of \$1.9 million and \$2.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. In addition, the Company has modified coinsurance and funds withheld reinsurance agreements that provide for a return based on a portfolio of fixed income securities; as such, the agreements contain embedded derivatives. The embedded derivative is bifurcated from the funds withheld balance and recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings through net realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative instrument. The change in fair value recorded for these agreements was not material for either of the years ended December 31, 2007 or 2006.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

16. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

On December 5, 2006, the Company and certain operating subsidiaries (Ceding Insurers) entered into a securities issuance agreement (the Securities Issuance Agreement), and certain of its foreign insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries (Ceding Insurers) entered into an excess of loss reinsurance agreement (the Reinsurance Agreement) with Stoneheath Re (Stoneheath or the Issuer). The net effect of these agreements to the Company is the creation of a contingent put option in the amount of \$350.0 million in the aggregate. The agreements provide the Company with a Reinsurance Collateral Account in support of certain Covered Perils named in the Reinsurance Agreement. The initial Covered Perils will include United States wind, European wind, California earthquake and terrorism worldwide. The covered perils as well as the attachment points and aggregate retention amounts may be changed by the Ceding Insurers in their sole discretion. This may result in a material increase or decrease in the likelihood of payment under the Reinsurance Agreement. On each date on which a Ceding Insurer withdraws funds from the Reinsurance Collateral Account, the Company shall issue and deliver to the Issuer an amount of XL Capital Ltd Series D Preference Shares having an aggregate liquidation preference that is equal to the amount of funds so withdrawn from the Collateral Account. The Company is obligated to reimburse Stoneheath for certain fees and ordinary expenses. The initial term of the Reinsurance Agreement was for the period from the Closing Date through June 30, 2007, with four annual mandatory extensions through June 30, 2011 (unless coverage is exhausted thereunder prior to such date). The Ceding Insurers may thereafter extend the Reinsurance Agreement at their option for additional calendar quarters without limit (unless coverage is exhausted there under). The contingent put option is recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. For the year ended December 31, 2007, a charge of \$8.2 million was recorded in relation to this option. The Stoneheath Preferred Securities and, if issued, the XL Capital Ltd Series D Preference Shares will pay dividends on a non-cumulative basis at a fixed rate of 6.868% per annum through October 15, 2011 and thereafter at a floating rate based on 3-month LIBOR, plus 3.12%.

In July 2003, the Company entered into a contingent capital transaction with an aggregate value of \$500.0 million. This transaction also provides the Company with an insurance trust that provides the Company with statutory relief under state insurance regulations in the U.S. Under the terms of this facility, the Company has acquired an irrevocable put option to issue preference ordinary shares into a trust in return for proceeds raised from investors. This put option may be exercised by the Company at any time. In addition, the Company may be required to issue preference ordinary shares to the trust under certain circumstances, including, but not limited to, the non-payment of the put option premium and a ratings downgrade of the Company. In connection with this transaction, the fair value of the put premiums and other related costs, in total of \$109.9 million was charged to Additional Paid in Capital and a deferred liability (included with Other liabilities) of \$60.8 million is recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2007.

In February 2003, the Company entered into an aggregate of \$300.0 million of commercial paper-based credit facilities (the Credit Facilities). These facilities were increased to \$500.0 million in June 2003. The proceeds of advances under the Credit Facilities were used to fund a trust account (Trust) to collateralize the reinsurance obligations of the Company under an intercompany quota share reinsurance agreement. The issued securities and the Company's repayment obligations were recorded as a net balance on the Company's balance sheet because the Company had a contractual legal right of offset. In February 2008, the Company terminated this arrangement, resulting in a repayment of \$500.0 million and termination of the Trust.

17. Variable Interest Entities

The Company utilizes variable interest entities both indirectly and directly in the ordinary course of business. The Company, through SCA, has historically provided various forms of credit enhancement including financial guarantee insurance and reinsurance of structured transactions backed by pools of assets of specified types, municipal obligations supported by the issuers' ability to charge fees for specified services or projects, and structured single risk based obligations including essential infrastructure projects and obligations backed by receivables from future sales of commodities and other specified services. The obligations related to these transactions are often securitized through variable interest entities. Subsequent to June 6, 2007 and the deconsolidation of SCA, the Company's involvement with such variable interest entities is limited to its reinsurance of SCA. In synthetic transactions the Company guarantees payment obligations of counterparties including special purpose vehicles under credit derivatives referencing asset portfolios. The Company invests in equity tranches (or similar instruments) of collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), collateralized bond obligations (CBOs) and other investment vehicles that are issued through variable interest entities as part of the Company's risk asset portfolio. Certain collateral facilities and contingent capital facilities are also structured using variable interest entities, in which the Company has a variable interest. The Company was not the primary beneficiary of any of these entities. In addition, the Company does not believe that any of such interests would be characterized as significant to the Company. The Company believes that the significance of the variability it absorbs as contemplated in paragraph 24 of FIN 46(R), must be considered in the context of the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company considers the significance of its share of the entity's expected losses and expected residual returns in relation to the Company's consolidated results of operations, whether the Company holds a first loss portion in the entity, and the rating of its exposure and probability of loss.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

18. Commitments and Contingencies**(a) Concentrations of Credit Risk**

The creditworthiness of any counterparty is evaluated by the Company, taking into account credit ratings assigned by rating agencies. The credit approval process involves an assessment of factors including, among others, the counterparty, country and industry credit exposure limits. Collateral may be required, at the discretion of the Company, on certain transactions based on the creditworthiness of the counterparty.

The areas where significant concentrations of credit risk may exist include unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable (collectively, reinsurance assets), investments balances and certain guarantee relationships with SCA.

The Company's reinsurance assets at December 31, 2007 and 2006 amounted to \$5.5 billion and \$6.1 billion respectively and resulted from reinsurance arrangements in the course of its operations. A credit exposure exists with respect to reinsurance assets as they may be uncollectible. The Company manages its credit risk in its reinsurance relationships by transacting with reinsurers that it considers financially sound, and if necessary, the Company may hold collateral in the form of funds, trust accounts and/or irrevocable letters of credit. This collateral can be drawn on for amounts that remain unpaid beyond specified time periods on an individual reinsurer basis.

The Company's available for sale investment portfolio is managed by external managers in accordance with guidelines that have been tailored to meet specific investment strategies, including standards of diversification, which limit the allowable holdings of any single issue. The Company did not have an aggregate investment in a single entity, other than the U.S. Government, in excess of 10% of the Company's shareholders' equity at December 31, 2007, 2006, or 2005.

The Company is exposed to the credit risk of certain SCA subsidiaries though certain guarantees provided to SCA and its subsidiaries. Based on the nature of the commitment combined with the Company's extensive analysis of the relevant exposures, we have concluded that no losses are expected under these guarantees and accordingly no loss reserves have been recorded. For further details regarding these nature of these guarantees as well and managements assessment of the exposure see Note 3 Security Capital Assurance.

In addition, the Company underwrites a significant amount of its insurance and reinsurance property and casualty business through brokers and a credit risk exists should any of these brokers be unable to fulfill their contractual obligations with respect to the payments of insurance and reinsurance balances to the Company. During the three years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, approximately 18%, 18% and 21%, respectively, of the Company's consolidated gross written premiums from property and casualty operations were generated from or placed by Marsh & McLennan Companies. During 2007, 2006 and 2005, approximately 17%, 16% and 17%, respectively, of the Company's consolidated gross written premiums from property and casualty operations were generated from or placed by AON Corporation and its subsidiaries. Both of these companies are large, well established companies and there are no indications that either of them is financially troubled. No other broker and no one insured or reinsured accounted for more than 10% of gross premiums written from property and casualty operations in any of the three years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, or 2005.

(b) Other Investments

The Company has committed to invest in several limited partnerships as part of its overall corporate strategy. As of December 31, 2007, the Company has commitments which include potential additional add-on clauses, to invest a further \$76.3 million over the next five years.

(c) Investments in Affiliates

The Company owns a minority interest in certain closed-end funds, certain limited partnerships and similar investment vehicles, including funds managed by those companies. The Company has commitments, which include potential additional add-on clauses, to invest a further \$128.4 million over the next five years.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

18. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

(d) Properties

The Company rents space for certain of its offices under leases that expire up to 2025. Total rent expense under operating leases for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was approximately \$34.5 million, \$35.8 million and \$34.9 million, respectively. Future minimum rental commitments under existing operating leases are expected to be as follows:

Year Ended December 31,
(U.S. dollars in thousands)

2008	\$ 35,241
2009	33,410
2010	27,806
2011	23,705
2012	20,214
2013-2025	88,664
Total minimum future rentals	\$ 229,040

During 2003, the Company entered into a purchase, sale and leaseback transaction to acquire new office space in London. The Company has recognized a capital lease asset of \$160.8 million and \$166.3 million, and deferred a gain of \$48.9 million and \$50.4 million related to this lease at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The gain is being amortized to income in line with the amortization of the asset. The future minimum lease payments in the aggregate are expected to be \$342.8 million and annually for the next five years are as follows:

Year Ended December 31,
(U.S. dollars in thousands)

2008	\$ 13,009
2009	13,334
2010	13,667
2011	14,009
2012	14,359
2013-2028	274,451
Total future minimum lease payments	\$ 342,829

(e) Tax Matters

The Company is a Cayman Islands corporation and, except as described below, neither it nor its non-U.S. subsidiaries have paid United States corporate income taxes (other than withholding taxes on dividend income) on the basis that they are not engaged in a trade or business or otherwise subject to taxation in the United States. However, because definitive identification of activities which constitute being engaged in a trade or business in the United States is not provided by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, regulations or court decisions, there can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service will not contend that the Company or its non-U.S. subsidiaries are engaged in a trade or business or otherwise subject to taxation in the United States. If the Company or its non-U.S. subsidiaries were considered to be engaged in a trade or business in the United States (and, if the Company or such subsidiaries were to qualify for the benefits under the income tax treaty between the United States and Bermuda and other countries in which the Company operates, such businesses were attributable to a permanent establishment in the United States), the Company or such subsidiaries could be subject to U.S. tax at regular tax rates on its taxable income that is effectively connected with its U.S. trade or business plus an additional 30% branch profits tax on such income remaining after the regular tax, in which case there could be a significant adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

(f) Letters of Credit

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, \$3.7 billion and \$3.8 billion of letters of credit, respectively, were outstanding, 4.3% and 4.5% of which were collateralized by the Company's investment portfolios, supporting U.S. non-admitted business and the Company's Lloyd's Syndicates' capital

requirements.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

18. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

(g) Claims and Other Litigation

The Company is also subject to litigation and arbitration in the normal course of its business. These lawsuits and arbitrations principally involve claims on policies of insurance and contracts of reinsurance and are typical for the Company and for the property and casualty insurance and reinsurance industry in general. Such legal proceedings are considered in connection with the Company's loss and loss expense reserves. Reserves in varying amounts may or may not be established in respect of particular claims proceedings based on many factors, including the legal merits thereof. In addition to claims litigation, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to lawsuits in the normal course of business that do not arise from or directly relate to claims on policies of insurance or contracts of reinsurance. In addition to litigation relating to insurance and reinsurance claims, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to lawsuits and regulatory actions in the normal course of business that do not arise from or directly relate to claims on insurance or reinsurance policies. This category of business litigation typically involves, amongst other things, allegations of underwriting errors or misconduct, employment claims, regulatory activity, shareholder disputes or disputes arising from business ventures. The status of these legal actions is actively monitored by management. If management believed, based on available information, that an adverse outcome upon resolution of a given legal action was probable and the amount of that adverse outcome was reasonable to estimate, a loss would be recognized and a related liability recorded. No such liabilities were recorded by the Company at December 31, 2007 and 2006. The Company believes that the expected ultimate outcome of all outstanding litigation and arbitration will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial condition, operating results and/or liquidity, although an adverse resolution of one or more of these items could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in a particular fiscal quarter or year.

Three similar class actions have been filed against XL Insurance Ltd. (XLI) and others in the United States District Court, for the Southern District of New York on behalf of shareholders of Security Capital Assurance, Inc. (SCA). The complaints, filed December 7, 2007, December 18, 2007 and January 8, 2008 name as defendants SCA, two SCA officers, (and in two of the cases) Goldman Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc., and Merrill Lynch, Pierce Fenner & Smith, Inc. All allege violations of various U.S. Securities laws against the defendants arising from purchases of SCA shares in the June 6, 2007 secondary offering and shortly before. The complaints allege, among other things, that the Registration Statement and other public disclosures made by SCA and individual defendants contained untrue statements of material facts and omitted other necessary facts to make the statements not misleading. The complaints allege that the disclosures failed to disclose that SCA was materially exposed to extremely risky securities (RMBS) relating to sub-prime real estate mortgages. The allegations against XLI claim it is liable as a selling shareholder and as a party that controlled SCA during the relevant time period. It is expected that the cases will be consolidated and an amended complaint may be filed. The Company intends to vigorously defend these claims.

Legal actions are subject to inherent uncertainties, and future events could change management's assessment of the probability or estimated amount of potential losses from pending or threatened legal actions. Based on available information, it is the opinion of management that the ultimate resolution of pending or threatened legal actions, both individually and in the aggregate, will not result in losses having a material effect on the Company's financial position at December 31, 2007.

19. Minority interest in equity of consolidated subsidiaries

As at December 31, 2007, minority interest in equity of consolidated subsidiaries included third party interests in XL International (Bermuda) Ltd. As at December 31, 2006, minority interest in equity of consolidated subsidiaries included third party interests in the common shares in SCA and XL International (Bermuda) Ltd., and preferred stock issued by XLFA. The balances are summarized as follows:

	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Minority interest in common shares of SCA (1)	\$	\$ 505,612
Minority interest in common shares of XL International (Bermuda) Ltd.	2,419	2,493
Minority interest in preferred shares of XLFA (1)		54,016
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	\$ 2,419	\$ 562,121
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

-
- (1) On June 6, 2007, the Company completed the sale of a portion of SCA's common shares owned by the Company through a secondary offering and thereby reduced its ownership of SCA's outstanding common shares from approximately 63% to approximately 46%. Accordingly, SCA is no longer a consolidated subsidiary or operating segment of the Company and the Company now accounts for its remaining investment in SCA using the equity method of accounting.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

20. Share Capital

(a) Authorized and Issued

The authorized share capital is 999,990,000 ordinary shares of a par value of \$0.01 each 20,000,000 Series C preference ordinary shares of par value \$0.01 each, 350,000 Series D preference shares of par value \$0.01 each, and 1,000,000 Series E preferable ordinary shares of par value \$0.01 each. Holders of Class A ordinary shares are entitled to one vote for each share. All ordinary shares in issue at December 31, 2007 are Class A ordinary shares.

The following table is a summary of Class A ordinary shares issued and outstanding:

Year Ended December 31 (in thousands)	2007	2006	2005
Balance beginning of year	180,984	179,529	138,932
Exercise of options	855	684	738
Issue of restricted shares	418	860	896
Issue of shares Employee stock purchase plan		(3)	114
Repurchase of shares (1)	(15,147)	(86)	(74)
Issue of shares	10,800		38,923
Balance end of year	177,910	180,984	179,529

(1) Includes share repurchases associated with authorized share repurchase programs as well as purchases related to satisfying tax withholding obligations of employees in connection with the vesting of restricted shares granted under the Company's restricted stock plan.

In connection with the maturity of the purchase contracts associated with the 6.5% Units in May 2007, the Company issued 10,799,913 Class A ordinary shares for net proceeds of approximately \$823.0 million, a portion of which was used to retire the 2009 Senior Notes.

On March 15, 2007, the Company issued 1.0 million Fixed/Floating Series E Perpetual Non-Cumulative Preference Ordinary Shares, par value \$0.01 each, with liquidation preference US\$1,000 per share (the Series E Preference Shares). The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$983.8 million from the offering. The Series E Preference Shares are perpetual securities with no fixed maturity date and are not convertible into any of the Company's other securities.

In December 2005, the Company issued 38.9 million ordinary shares at a price of \$65.00 per share to support capital requirements subsequent to the insured hurricane losses during the 2005 Atlantic hurricane season and the conclusion of the loss related to the independent actuarial process with Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company. The net proceeds from this issuance was \$2.4 billion.

In August 2002, the Company issued 9.2 million 8.00% Series A Preference Ordinary Shares at \$25.00 per share. Gross proceeds were \$230.0 million and related expenses were \$7.2 million. On August 14, 2007, the Company redeemed all of its outstanding 8.0% Series A Preference Ordinary Shares (the Series A Preference Shares) for approximately \$25.24 per Series A Preference Share including accrued dividends. The aggregate redemption price was approximately \$232.2 million.

In November 2002, the Company issued 11.5 million 7.625% Series B Preference Ordinary Shares at \$25.00 per share. Gross proceeds were \$287.5 million and related expenses were \$9.1 million. On November 18, 2007, the Company redeemed all of its outstanding 7.625% Series B Preference Ordinary Shares (the Series B Preference Shares) for approximately \$25.26 per Series B Preference Share including accrued dividends. The aggregate redemption price was approximately \$290.5 million.

(b) Share Repurchases

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

On February 23, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a share repurchase program that authorized the Company to repurchase up to \$1.0 billion of its Class A ordinary shares and included the unused \$135.4 million allocated to the share repurchase program authorized by the Board of Directors in January of 2000. Under the program, the Company repurchased and cancelled approximately 13.2 million shares during the first nine months of 2007 and fully utilized the \$1.0 billion authorized under the share repurchase program. On September 24, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a new share repurchase program, authorizing the Company to repurchase up to \$500.0 million of its Class A ordinary shares. Repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, and the Company expects that those repurchases will be funded from cash on-hand. The timing and amount of the share repurchases under the program will depend on a variety of factors, including market conditions, legal requirements and other factors. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had repurchased 1.8 million shares for \$124.5 million under the new share repurchase program.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

20. Share Capital (continued)

(c) Stock Plans

The Company's 1991 Performance Incentive Program, as amended and restated effective March 7, 2003, provides for grants of non-qualified or incentive stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units and stock appreciation rights (SARs). The plan is administered by the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. Stock options may be granted with or without SARs. No SARs have been granted to date. Grant prices are established at the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. Options and SARs have a life of not longer than ten years and vest as set forth at the time of grant. Options currently vest annually over four years from date of grant.

The Company's 1999 Performance Incentive Program for Employees (the 1999 Program) provides for grants of non-statutory stock options, restricted stock, performance shares and performance units to employees of the Company and its subsidiaries who are not subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The 1999 Program is administered by the Board of Directors of the Company or the Compensation Committee, as determined from time to time by the Board of Directors. See Note 20(d), Share Capital Options, for additional disclosures of stock option awards.

Restricted stock awards issued under the 1991 and 1999 Performance Incentive Programs vest as set forth in the applicable award agreements. These shares contained certain restrictions, prior to vesting, relating to, among other things, forfeiture in the event of termination of employment and transferability. The award recipients generally have the rights and privileges of a shareholder as to the restricted stock, including the right to receive dividends and the right to vote such restricted stock. The recipients are not entitled to receive delivery of a stock certificate prior to vesting, nor may any restricted stock be sold, transferred, pledged, or otherwise disposed of prior to the satisfaction of all vesting requirements. As the shares are issued, deferred compensation equivalent to the fair market value on the date of the grant is charged to shareholders' equity and subsequently amortized over the vesting period. See Note 20(e), Share Capital Restricted Stock, for additional disclosures of restricted stock awards.

Prior to December 31, 2003, all options granted to non-employee directors were granted under the 1991 Performance Incentive Program. Since January 1, 2004, all options have been granted under the Directors Stock & Option Plan. All options vest immediately on the grant date. Directors may also make an irrevocable election preceding the beginning of each fiscal year to defer cash compensation that would otherwise be payable as his or her annual retainer in increments of 10%. The deferred payments are credited in the form of ordinary share units calculated by dividing 100% of the deferred payment by the market value of the Company's stock on the date the compensation would otherwise have been paid. These shares are distributed under the terms of the plan. Shares issued under the plan totaled 3,653, 4,975; and 3,814 in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

A second stock plan is provided for the directors that grants share units equal to their annual retainer divided by the market price of the Company's ordinary stock on January 1 of each year. These units receive dividends in the form of additional units equal to the cash value divided by the market price on the payment date. Stock units totaling 8,688, 8,410, and 10,027 were issued in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Total units are granted as shares upon retirement from the Board.

Following the merger with NAC, new option plans were created in the Company to adopt the existing NAC plans. Options generally had a five or six year vesting schedule, with the majority expiring ten years from the date of grant; the remainder having no expiration.

A stock plan is also maintained for non-employee directors. Options expire ten years from the date of grant and are fully exercisable as of the grant date.

In 1999, the Company adopted the 1999 Performance Incentive Plan under which 1,250,000 options were available for issuance to employees who were not directors or executive officers of the Company.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

20. Share Capital (continued)

(c) Stock Plans (continued)

The Employee Share Purchase Plan (the ESPP) was approved by the shareholders of the Company on May 10, 2002; however, the Company discontinued the ESPP effective January 1, 2006. The ESPP was administered by a committee which consisted of members of the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. The ESPP had two offering periods a year. The first period commenced on July 1, 2002. All employees of the Company and its designated participating subsidiaries, were eligible to participate in the ESPP provided they had been employed at least one month prior to the start of the offering period and they did not hold more than 5% of the Company's total stock, including stock acquired in the current period. Employees could invest up to 20% of their total monthly cash compensation towards the purchase of the Company's shares up to a total market value (measured on the first day of the offering period) of U.S. \$25,000. The total number of shares purchased in any offering period could not exceed 1,000 shares. Employees who enrolled in the ESPP could purchase the Company's shares at a 15% discount on the lesser of the market price at the beginning or at the end of the six month offering period. Once purchased, employees could sell or transfer their shares to someone else's name only during an Open Trading Window. Participants in the ESPP were eligible to receive dividends on the Company's shares. A total of 1,255,000 ordinary shares were authorized to be issued under the ESPP. The number of shares issued during the year ended December 31, 2005 was 114,177.

In connection with the SCA IPO during 2006, XL Capital and SCA offered eligible employees of SCA the opportunity to exchange all of their outstanding unvested restricted Class A Ordinary Shares of XL Capital and options to purchase Class A Ordinary Shares of XL Capital, which were awarded to such employees under the Company's plans prior to the IPO, for a long term incentive plan award (the SCA LTIP Award) from SCA to be granted under SCA's Plan (the Exchange Offer). Elections under the Exchange Offer became effective on December 12, 2006. Based on the final count of the depository for the offer, 443,532 eligible options and 97,144 shares of eligible restricted stock of the Company were exchanged under the Exchange Offer and SCA issued SCA LTIP Awards with an aggregate target amount of \$4.6 million and \$6.8 million, respectively. In the prior year's financial statements, these options and restricted stock exchanged under the Exchange Offer were included in the cancelled and forfeited line items in the continuity schedules disclosed for stock options and unvested restricted stock, respectively. Approximately \$0.5 million of eligible outstanding unvested restricted Class A Ordinary Shares of XL Capital remained outstanding as at December 31, 2007. No options remained outstanding as at December 31, 2007.

Apart from the Exchange Offer, awards of restricted stock and stock options under XL Capital plans to certain senior executives and officers of SCA were involuntarily converted to SCA options and restricted stock awards at the IPO date. The aggregate values of such awards at the IPO date were \$2.6 million and \$2.2 million for stock options and restricted stock, respectively.

(d) Options

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Dividend yield	2.10%	2.10%	2.60%
Risk free interest rate	4.54%	4.70%	4.00%
Expected volatility	27.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Expected lives	6.0 years	5.5 years	5.5 years

The risk free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury rates. The expected lives are estimated using the historical exercise behavior of grant recipients. The expected volatility is determined based upon a combination of the historical volatility of the Company's stock and the implied volatility derived from publicly traded options.

During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the Company granted 52,500, 187,804 and 1,877,500 options, respectively, to purchase its ordinary shares to directors and employees related to incentive compensation plans, with a weighted average grant-date fair value of \$20.98, \$16.95 and \$17.17, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, the Company recognized \$13.1 million, \$23.4 million and \$17.6 million, respectively, of compensation expense, net of tax, related to its stock option plan. Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$13.5 million, \$14.7 million and \$17.4 million, respectively.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

20. Share Capital (continued)

(d) Options (continued)

The following is a summary of stock options as of December 31, 2007, and related activity for the year then ended for the Company:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (000s)
Outstanding beginning of year	10,928,626	\$ 76.45	5.1 years	
Granted	52,500	75.19		
Exercised	855,480	63.40		
Cancelled	762,949	79.80		
Outstanding end of year	9,362,697	\$ 77.46	4.6 years	\$ 319
Options exercisable	8,396,552	\$ 77.67	4.4 years	\$ 319
Options available for grant*	12,139,896			

* Available for grant includes shares that may be granted as either stock options or restricted stock.

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the 2007 fiscal year and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money-options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2007. Total unrecognized stock based compensation expense related to non-vested stock options was approximately \$8.6 million as of the end of December 31, 2007, related to approximately 1.0 million options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.3 years. The Company received cash of \$53.4 million from exercises of stock options and recorded associated tax benefits of \$1.6 million on stock options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2007.

The exercise price of the Company's options granted is the market price of the Company's Class A ordinary shares on the grant date, except that during 2004, 295,000 options were granted with an exercise price of \$88.00 and the market price was \$77.10.

(e) Restricted Stock

Non-vested restricted stock awards as of December 31, 2007 and for the year then ended for the Company were as follows:

	Number of shares (thousands)	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested at December 31, 2006	1,794	\$ 71.70
Granted	645	\$ 70.86
Vested	(595)	\$ 72.83
Forfeited	(227)	\$ 72.79

Unvested at December 31, 2007	1,617	\$	70.69
-------------------------------	-------	----	-------

(f) Voting

The Company's Articles of Association restrict the voting power of any person to less than approximately 10% of total voting power.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

20. Share Capital (continued)**(g) Share Rights Plan**

Rights to purchase Class A ordinary shares (the Rights) were distributed as a dividend at the rate of one Right for each Class A ordinary share held of record as of the close of business on October 31, 1998. Each Right entitles holders of Class A ordinary shares to buy additional ordinary shares with a value of \$700 at the then current market price, at an exercise price of \$350. The Rights would be exercisable, and would detach from the Class A ordinary shares, only if a person or group were to acquire 20% or more of the Company's outstanding Class A ordinary shares, or were to announce a tender or exchange offer that, if consummated, would result in a person or group beneficially owning 20% or more of Class A ordinary shares. Upon a person or group without prior approval of the Board acquiring 20% or more of Class A ordinary shares, each Right would entitle the holder (other than such an acquiring person or group) to purchase Class A ordinary shares (or, in certain circumstances, Class A ordinary shares of the acquiring person) with a value of twice the Rights exercise price upon payment of the Rights exercise price. The Company will be entitled to redeem the Rights at \$0.01 per Right at any time until the close of business on the tenth day after the Rights become exercisable. The Rights will expire at the close of business on September 30, 2008, and do not have a fair value. The Company has reserved 119,073,878 Class A ordinary shares being authorized and unissued for issue upon exercise of Rights.

21. Retirement Plans

The Company provides pension benefits to eligible employees through various defined contribution and defined benefit retirement plans sponsored by the Company, which vary for each subsidiary. Plan assets are invested principally in equity securities and fixed maturities.

Defined contribution plans

The Company has qualified defined contribution plans which are managed externally and whereby employees and the Company contribute a certain percentage of the employee's gross salary into the plan each month. The Company's contribution generally vests over five years. The Company's expenses for its qualified contributory defined contribution retirement plans were \$46.5 million, \$47.3 million and \$40.9 million in the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 respectively.

Defined benefit plans

The Company maintains defined contribution plans that cover certain employees, as follows:

U.S. Plan

A qualified non-contributory defined benefit pension plan exists to cover a number of its U.S. employees. This plan also includes a non-qualified supplemental defined benefit plan designed to compensate individuals to the extent that their benefits under the Company's qualified plan are curtailed due to Internal Revenue Code limitations. Benefits are based on years of service and compensation, as defined in the plan, during the highest consecutive three years of the employee's last ten years of employment. Under these plans, the Company's policy is to make annual contributions to the plan that are deductible for federal income tax purposes and that meet the minimum funding standards required by law. The contribution level is determined by utilizing the entry age cost method and different actuarial assumptions than those used for pension expense purposes.

In addition, certain former employees have received benefit type guarantees, not formally a part of any established plan. These agreements are not included in the tables below, however, the liability recorded with respect to these agreements as at December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$5.5 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, representing the entire unfunded projected benefit obligations.

U.K. Plans

A contributory defined benefit pension plan exists in the U.K., but has been closed to new entrants since 1996. Membership comprises six active participants, eighteen pensioner participants (receiving payments), and seventy-three terminated and vested participants. Benefits are based on length of service and compensation as defined in the Trust Deed and Rules, and the Plan is subject to triennial funding valuations, the next of which will be conducted in 2010. Current contribution rates are 14.9% and 3% of pensionable salary for employer and employee respectively.

In addition during 2003 six members who are still employed in by the Company in the U.K. transferred from a defined benefit plan into a

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

defined contribution plan. These employees have a contractual agreement with the Company that provides a no worse than final salary pension guarantee in the event that they are employed by the Company until retirement, whereby the Company guarantees to top-up their defined contribution pension to the level of pension that they would have been entitled to receive had they remained in the defined benefit scheme. This arrangement is not included in the tables below, however, the pension liability recorded with respect to these individuals as at December 31, 2007 was \$3.5 million representing the entire unfunded projected obligation.

European Plans

Certain contributory defined benefit pension plans exist in several European Countries, most notably Germany, which are closed to new entrants. Benefits are generally based on length of service and compensation defined in the related agreements.

As a part of the purchase of GAPS, as described in Note 6, Business Combinations, the Company acquired certain defined benefit pension liabilities. The related balances are not included in the tables below as the liabilities are insured under an annuity type contract.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

21. Retirement Plans (continued)

The status of the above mentioned plans at December 31, 2007 and 2006 is as follows:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Change in projected benefit obligation:		
Projected benefit obligation beginning of year	\$ 51,756	\$ 49,266
Service cost (1)	1,229	1,295
Interest cost	2,841	2,617
Actuarial (gain)	(3,583)	(3,772)
Benefits and expenses paid	(895)	(159)
Foreign currency losses (gains)	1,344	2,509
	<u>52,692</u>	<u>51,756</u>
Projected benefit obligation end of year	\$ 52,692	\$ 51,756

(1) Service costs include cost of living adjustments on curtailed plans.

Change in plan assets:		
Fair value of plan assets beginning of year	\$ 25,085	\$ 19,642
Actual return on plan assets	1,211	2,416
Employer contributions	4,228	1,278
Benefits and expenses paid	(1,153)	0
Foreign currency gains (losses)	590	903
Other transfers to defined contribution plan	898	846
	<u>30,859</u>	<u>25,085</u>
Fair value of plan assets end of year	\$ 30,859	\$ 25,085
Funded status end of year	\$ (21,833)	\$ (26,671)
Accrued pension liability	\$ 21,833	\$ 26,671

The components of the net benefit cost for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 are as follows:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Components of net benefit cost:		
Service cost	\$ 1,050	\$ 1,168
Interest cost	2,310	2,168
Expected return on plan assets	(1,258)	(1,237)
Amortization of net actuarial loss	264	557
	<u>2,366</u>	<u>2,656</u>
Net benefit cost	\$ 2,366	\$ 2,656

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

22. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The related tax effects allocated to each component of the change in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were as follows:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>Before Tax Amount</u>	<u>Tax (Benefit) Expense</u>	<u>Net of Tax Amount</u>
Year Ended December 31, 2007:			
Unrealized (losses) on investments:			
Unrealized (losses) arising during year	\$ (1,391,797)	\$ (94,829)	\$ (1,296,968)
Less reclassification for (losses) realized in income	(603,268)	(54,241)	(549,027)
	<u>(788,529)</u>	<u>(40,588)</u>	<u>(747,941)</u>
Net unrealized (losses) on investments	(788,529)	(40,588)	(747,941)
Change in value of cash flow hedge	4,338		4,338
Change in net unrealized gain on future policy benefit reserves	16,005		16,005
Realization of accumulated other comprehensive loss on sale of SCA	4,953		4,953
Additional pension liability	3,080		3,080
Foreign currency translation adjustments	300,283	(17,036)	317,319
	<u>300,283</u>	<u>(17,036)</u>	<u>317,319</u>
Change in accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ (459,870)	\$ (57,624)	\$ (402,246)
	<u>(459,870)</u>	<u>(57,624)</u>	<u>(402,246)</u>
Year Ended December 31, 2006:			
Unrealized (losses) on investments:			
Unrealized (losses) arising during year	\$ (233,883)	\$ (16,731)	\$ (217,152)
Less reclassification for (losses) realized in income	(116,458)	(3,140)	(113,318)
	<u>(117,425)</u>	<u>(13,591)</u>	<u>(103,834)</u>
Net unrealized (losses) on investments	(117,425)	(13,591)	(103,834)
Change in value of cash flow hedge	630		630
Change in net unrealized gain on future policy benefit reserves	94,904		94,904
Realization of accumulated other comprehensive loss on sale of SCA	14,224		14,224
Minority interest share in change in accumulated other comprehensive loss in SCA	(6,563)		(6,563)
Additional pension liability	(9,809)		(9,809)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	110,532	(43,078)	153,610
	<u>110,532</u>	<u>(43,078)</u>	<u>153,610</u>
Change in accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 86,493	\$ (56,669)	\$ 143,162
	<u>86,493</u>	<u>(56,669)</u>	<u>143,162</u>
Year Ended December 31, 2005:			
Unrealized (losses) on investments:			
Unrealized (losses) arising during year	\$ (96,343)	\$ (13,672)	\$ (82,671)
Less reclassification for gains realized in income	241,822	9,774	232,048
	<u>(338,165)</u>	<u>(23,446)</u>	<u>(314,719)</u>
Net unrealized (losses) on investments	(338,165)	(23,446)	(314,719)
Change in value of cash flow hedge	630		630
Change in net unrealized (loss) on future policy benefit reserves	(46,743)		(46,743)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	198,270	29,468	168,802
	<u>198,270</u>	<u>29,468</u>	<u>168,802</u>
Change in accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ (186,008)	\$ 6,022	\$ (192,030)
	<u>(186,008)</u>	<u>6,022</u>	<u>(192,030)</u>

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

23. Dividends

In 2007, four quarterly dividends were paid at \$0.38 per share to all ordinary shareholders of record as of March 15, June 11, September 10 and December 10.

In 2007, the Company paid dividends of \$69.5 million, respectively, to Series A, Series B and Series E preference shareholders.

In 2006, four quarterly dividends were paid at \$0.38 per share to all ordinary shareholders of record as of March 15, June 12, September 11 and December 11.

In 2006 and 2005, the Company paid dividends of \$40.3 million, respectively, to Series A and Series B preference shareholders.

In 2005, four quarterly dividends were paid at \$0.50 per share to all ordinary shareholders of record as of March 10, June 9, September 8 and December 8.

24. Taxation

The Company is not subject to any taxes in the Cayman Islands on either income or capital gains under current Cayman law. The Company has received an undertaking that the Company will be exempted from Cayman Islands income or capital gains taxes until June 2018 in the event of any such taxes being imposed.

The Company's Bermuda subsidiaries are not subject to any income, withholding or capital gains taxes under current Bermuda law. In the event that there is a change such that these taxes are imposed, the Bermuda subsidiaries would be exempted from any such tax until March 2016 pursuant to the Bermuda Exempted Undertakings Tax Protection Act of 1966, and Amended Act of 1987.

The Company's Indian subsidiary is not subject to certain income and capital gains taxes under current Indian law. This subsidiary is exempt from these taxes until March 31, 2009 pursuant to the Income Tax Act 1961. The subsidiary is subject to a Minimum Alternative Tax as of April 1, 2007.

The Company's U.S. subsidiaries are subject to federal, state and local corporate income taxes and other taxes applicable to U.S. corporations. The provision for federal income taxes has been determined under the principles of the consolidated tax provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and Regulations thereunder. Should the U.S. subsidiaries pay a dividend to the Company, withholding taxes will apply.

The Company has operations in subsidiary and branch form in various other jurisdictions around the world, including but not limited to the U.K., Switzerland, Ireland, Germany, France, India, Luxembourg and various countries in Latin America that are subject to relevant taxes in those jurisdictions.

Deferred income taxes have not been accrued with respect to undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries. If the earnings were to be distributed, as dividends or otherwise, such amounts may be subject to withholding taxation in the state of the paying entity. Currently however, no withholding taxes are accrued with respect to the earnings, as it is the intention that such earnings will remain reinvested indefinitely.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, on January 1, 2007. The Company did not recognize any liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits as a result of the implementation of FIN 48.

The Company has no open examinations by tax authorities in the major jurisdictions in which the Company operates except in the U.K. where the U.K. taxation authority, HM Revenue and Customs, currently has ongoing examinations of the Company's U.K. income tax returns for the years ended December 31, 2001 to December 31, 2005. The Company believes it is more likely than not that these examinations will be concluded within the next 12 months; however, it is not currently possible to estimate the outcome.

The Company's policy is to recognize any interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of interest expense and penalties in the tax charge. As at December 31, 2007, the Company has accrued liabilities, relating to interest and penalties, of \$1.0 million.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

24. Taxation (continued)

The income tax provisions for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

Year Ended December 31 (U.S. dollars in thousands)	2007	2006	2005
Current Expense:			
U.S.	\$ 120,325	\$ 93,336	\$ 30,535
Non U.S.	152,632	70,732	69,072
Total current expense	\$ 272,957	\$ 164,068	\$ 99,607
Deferred Expense (Benefit):			
U.S.	\$ 16,270	\$ (1,949)	\$ 24,784
Non U.S.	(55,305)	57,526	(75,107)
Total deferred expense (benefit)	\$ (39,035)	\$ 55,577	\$ (50,323)
Total Tax Expense	\$ 233,922	\$ 219,645	\$ 49,284

The weighted average expected tax provision has been calculated using the pre-tax accounting income (loss) in each jurisdiction multiplied by that jurisdiction's applicable statutory tax rate. Reconciliation of the difference between the provision for income taxes and the expected tax provision at the weighted average tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 is provided below:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	2007	2006
Expected tax provision at weighted average rate	\$ 40,708	\$ 224,588
Permanent differences:		
Non taxable investment income	(1,562)	673
Non taxable income		(72,300)
Prior year adjustments	23,209	7,336
State, local and foreign taxes	27,974	15,759
Valuation allowance	84,323	97
Transfer pricing adjustments	18,836	5,567
Stock options	2,630	2,526
Non deductible expenses	24,698	39,458
Contingency reserve	1,106	(5,500)
Non-deductible losses	12,000	
Other		1,441
Total tax expense	\$ 233,922	\$ 219,645

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

24. Taxation (continued)

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Deferred Tax Asset:		
Net unpaid loss reserve discount	\$ 43,142	\$ 53,039
Net unearned premiums	66,743	67,132
Compensation liabilities	30,727	14,793
Net operating losses	375,464	274,710
Investment adjustments	14,723	17,465
Deferred commission	48,649	94,383
Pension	6,653	5,702
Bad debt reserve	9,541	4,089
Guarantee fund recoupment	5,195	6,165
Currency translation adjustments	17,812	59,367
Net unrealized depreciation on investments	116,298	19,946
Stock options	10,090	9,399
Depreciation	17,995	14,128
Net unrealised capital losses	66,047	
Capital losses	29,550	14,807
Other	19,791	9,746
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Deferred tax asset, gross of valuation allowance	\$ 878,420	\$ 664,871
Valuation allowance	370,510	218,629
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Deferred tax asset, net of valuation allowance	\$ 507,910	\$ 446,242
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Deferred Tax Liability:		
Net unrealized appreciation on investments	2,488	6,925
Deferred acquisition costs	4,135	6,419
Currency translation adjustments	33,476	795
Deferred gain on investments	1,613	1,613
Investment adjustments	974	272
Regulatory reserves	94,805	77,682
Other		6,414
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Deferred tax liability	\$ 137,491	\$ 100,120
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net Deferred Tax Asset	<u>\$ 370,419</u>	<u>\$ 346,122</u>

The valuation allowance at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 of \$370.5 million and \$218.6 million, respectively, related to net operating loss carry forwards in Switzerland and net unrealized capital losses and realized capital loss carry forwards in the U.S. that may not be realized within a reasonable period. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had net unrealized capital losses and realized capital loss carry forwards of approximately \$404 million and \$74 million respectively in the U.S., against which a valuation allowance of approximately \$167 million had been established. Management believes it is more likely than not that the tax benefit of the remaining net deferred tax assets will be realized.

As of December 31, 2007, net operating loss carry forwards in the U.K. were approximately \$624.4 million (inclusive of cumulative currency translation adjustments) and have no expiration. As of December 31, 2007, net operating loss carry forwards in Switzerland were approximately \$974.7 million and will expire in future years through 2014. During 2007, the payment of an intra-group dividend resulted in the utilization of \$129.9 million of the net operating loss carry forwards in Switzerland.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

24. Taxation (continued)

Management is required to determine if there is sufficient positive evidence to conclude that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset attributable to its U.K. group net operating losses would be utilized within a reasonable period. Management has reviewed historical taxable income and future taxable income projections for its U.K. group and has determined that in its judgment, the net operating losses will more likely than not be realized as reductions of future taxable income within a reasonable period. Specifically with regard to the U.K. group, management has determined that the projected U.K. group taxable income (using U.K. rules for group loss relief) will be sufficient to utilize the net operating losses of approximately \$624.4 million. Management will continue to evaluate income generated in future periods by the U.K. group in determining the reasonableness of its position. If management determines that future income generated by the U.K. group is insufficient to cause the realization of the net operating losses within a reasonable period, a valuation allowance would be required for the U.K. portion of the net deferred tax asset, in the amount of \$183.8 million.

Shareholders' equity at December 31, 2007 and 2006 reflected tax benefits of \$2.4 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, related to compensation expense deductions for stock options exercised by the Company's U.S. subsidiaries.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

25. Statutory Financial Data

The Company's ability to pay dividends is subject to certain regulatory restrictions on the payment of dividends by its subsidiaries. The payment of such dividends is limited by applicable laws and statutory requirements of the various countries the Company operates in, including Bermuda, the U.S. and the U.K., among others. Statutory capital and surplus, based on draft unaudited and audited (where available) filings for the principal operating subsidiaries of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was as follows:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	Bermuda		U.S. (1)		U.K., Europe and Other	
	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006
Required statutory capital and surplus	\$ 2,706,559	\$ 2,827,029	\$ 643,703	\$ 722,840	\$ 1,904,348	\$ 1,871,095
Actual statutory capital and surplus(2)	\$ 12,650,575	\$ 11,918,388	\$ 2,070,531	\$ 2,432,944	\$ 2,895,898	\$ 2,630,396

(1) Required statutory capital and surplus represents 100% RBC level for principle U.S. operating subsidiaries.

(2) Statutory assets in Bermuda include investments in other U.S. and international subsidiaries reported separately herein.

The difference between statutory financial statements and statements prepared in accordance with GAAP vary by jurisdiction however the primary difference is that statutory financial statements do not reflect deferred policy acquisition costs, deferred income tax net assets, intangible assets, unrealized appreciation on investments and any unauthorized/authorized reinsurance charges.

Certain statutory restrictions on the payment of dividends from retained earnings by the Company's subsidiaries are further detailed below.

U.S. Property and Casualty Operations

Unless permitted by the New York Superintendent of Insurance, the Company's lead property and casualty subsidiary in the United States (XLRA) may not pay dividends to shareholders which in any twelve month period exceed the lesser of 10 percent of XLRA's statutory policyholders' surplus or 100 percent of its adjusted net investment income, as defined. The New York State insurance law also provides that any distribution that is a dividend may only be paid out of statutory earned surplus. At December 31, 2007, and 2006, XLRA had statutory earned surplus of \$91.3 million and \$273.7 million, respectively. At December 31, 2007, XLRA's statutory policyholders' surplus was \$2.1 billion, and accordingly, the maximum amount of dividends XLRA can declare and pay in 2008, without prior regulatory approval, is \$91.3 million. At December 31, 2007, three of the seven property and casualty subsidiaries directly or indirectly owned by XLRA had a statutory earned deficits ranging from \$1.7 million to \$21.5 million and two of the seven subsidiaries had statutory earned deficits ranging from \$14.4 million to \$14.6 million at December 31, 2006.

U.S. Life Insurance Operations

Dividends are non-cumulative and paid as declared by the Board of Directors of XL Life Insurance and Annuity Company (XLLIAC). Pursuant to regulatory requirements, the payment of dividends without prior approval from the Illinois Department of Insurance for life insurance companies is limited to the greater of 10 percent of XLLIAC's policyholder surplus as of the preceding December 31, or the net gain from operations for the preceding 12-month period ending December 31. The payment of dividends cannot be made except from XLLIAC's surplus profits arising from its business. As at December 31, 2007, XLLIAC's surplus profits were negative. Under these restrictions, XLLIAC cannot declare or distribute any dividends in 2008. Under these circumstances, XLLIAC would need to request permission for an extraordinary dividend from the Illinois Department of Insurance.

Bermuda Operations

Unless permitted by the Registrar of Companies, the Company's significant Bermuda based subsidiaries may not pay dividends which would exceed 25% of their total statutory capital and surplus at the prior year end.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

25. Statutory Financial Data (continued)

International Operations

The Company's international subsidiaries prepare statutory financial statements based on local laws and regulations. Some jurisdictions impose complex regulatory requirements on insurance companies while other jurisdictions impose fewer requirements. In some countries, the Company must obtain licenses issued by governmental authorities to conduct local insurance business. These licenses may be subject to reserves and minimum capital and solvency tests. Jurisdictions may impose fines, censure, and/or impose criminal sanctions for violation of regulatory requirements.

26. Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

Year Ended December 31

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	2007	2006	2005
Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Ordinary Share:			
Net income (loss) available to ordinary shareholders	\$ 206,375	\$ 1,722,445	\$ (1,292,298)
Weighted average ordinary shares outstanding	178,500	178,793	141,406
Basic earnings (loss) per ordinary share	\$ 1.16	\$ 9.63	\$ (9.14)
Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Ordinary Share:			
Net income (loss) available to ordinary shareholders	\$ 206,375	\$ 1,722,445	\$ (1,292,298)
Weighted average ordinary shares outstanding - basic	178,500	178,793	141,406
Average stock options outstanding (1)	1,193	657	
Weighted average ordinary shares outstanding - diluted	179,693	179,450	141,406
Diluted earnings (loss) per ordinary share	\$ 1.15	\$ 9.60	\$ (9.14)

(1) Net of shares repurchased under the treasury stock method.

27. Related Party Transactions

For detailed information regarding the Company's transactions with SCA see Note 3 - Security Capital Assurance

At December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the Company owned minority stakes in eleven, nine and eight independent investment management companies (Investment Manager Affiliates), respectively. These ownership stakes are part of the Company's asset management strategy, pursuant to which the Company seeks to develop relationships with specialty investment management organizations, generally acquiring an equity interest in the business. The Company also invests in certain of the funds and limited partnerships and other legal entities managed by these affiliates and through these funds and partnerships pay management and performance fees to the Company's Investment Manager Affiliates.

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into certain quota share reinsurance contracts with a subsidiary of one of its other strategic affiliates, ARX Holding Corporation. During the year ended December 31, 2007, these contracts resulted in reported net premiums of \$100.7 million, net paid claims of \$29.7 million and reported acquisition costs of \$52.9 million. During the same period in 2006, these contracts resulted in reported net premiums of \$102.0 million, net paid claims of \$12.8 million and reported acquisition costs of \$51.0 million. Management believes that these transactions are conducted at market rates consistent with negotiated arms-length contracts.

XL CAPITAL LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

27. Related Party Transactions (continued)

In addition, the Company entered into a reinsurance contract with another strategic affiliate, ITAÚ XL Seguros Corporativos S.A., which resulted in reported net premiums of approximately \$0.35 million, loss reserves of nil, and reported acquisition costs of \$0.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2007.

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into cost sharing and service level agreement transactions with certain other strategic affiliates, which management believes to be conducted consistent with arms-length rates. Such transactions, individually and in the aggregate, are not material to the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

28. XL Capital Finance (Europe) plc

XL Capital Finance (Europe) plc (XLFE) is a wholly owned finance subsidiary of the Company. In January 2002, XLFE issued \$600 million par value 6.5% Guaranteed Senior Notes due January 2012. These Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Company. The Company's ability to obtain funds from its subsidiaries to satisfy any of its obligations under this guarantee is subject to certain contractual restrictions, applicable laws and statutory requirements of the various countries in which the Company operates including Bermuda, the U.S. and the U.K., among others. Required statutory capital and surplus for the principal operating subsidiaries of the Company was \$5.3 billion as of December 31, 2007.

29. Unaudited Quarterly Financial Data

The following is a summary of the unaudited quarterly financial data for 2007 and 2006:

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007				
Net premiums earned property and casualty operations (1) (2)	\$ 1,597,671	\$ 1,651,149	\$ 1,583,343	\$ 1,586,464
Net premiums earned life operations (2)	146,994	239,853	147,239	166,961
Net premiums earned SCA	46,379	39,303		
Underwriting profit property and casualty operations (1)	160,430	232,011	236,888	108,120
Net income (loss) available to ordinary shareholders	549,718	544,535	327,975	(1,215,853)
Net income (loss) per ordinary share and ordinary share equivalent basic	\$ 3.07	\$ 3.05	\$ 1.83	\$ (6.88)
Net income (loss) per ordinary share and ordinary share equivalent diluted	\$ 3.06	\$ 3.00	\$ 1.82	\$ (6.88)
2006				
Net premiums earned property and casualty operations (1) (2)	\$ 1,666,336	\$ 1,723,231	\$ 1,688,687	\$ 1,652,028
Net premiums earned life operations (2)	108,636	201,911	120,922	214,981
Net premiums earned SCA	43,577	59,448	45,455	44,306
Underwriting profit (loss) property and casualty operations (1)	169,040	145,600	227,701	191,292
Net income (loss) available to ordinary shareholders	458,503	377,089	415,789	471,064
Net income (loss) per ordinary share and ordinary share equivalent basic	\$ 2.57	\$ 2.11	\$ 2.33	\$ 2.63
Net income (loss) per ordinary share and ordinary share equivalent diluted	\$ 2.56	\$ 2.10	\$ 2.32	\$ 2.62

(1) Certain lines of business and transactions previously reported under the Financial Lines segment which formed part of the Company's financial operations, have been reclassified and reported under property and casualty operations based on the nature of the underlying business.

(2) To facilitate period to period comparisons, certain short-term Accident and Health business previously included in property and casualty operations have been reclassified to life operations to conform to current segment management responsibilities.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

During the fourth quarter of 2007 the Company recorded significant losses related to the overall credit market conditions. These losses included the impairment in the value of the Company's investments in SCA and Primus, losses with respect to the facultative and excess of loss reinsurance agreements with SCA, and significant net realized losses on investments primarily related to estimated charges for other than temporary impairments related primarily to deterioration in structured credit assets. For details regarding these losses see Note 3 Security Capital Assurance and Note 7 Investments .

ITEM 9.

CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

There have been no changes in or any disagreements with accountants regarding accounting and financial disclosure within the twenty-four months ending December 31, 2007.

ITEM 9A.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that all material information relating to the Company required to be filed in this report has been made known to them in a timely fashion.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

The Company's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007. In making this assessment, it used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (the Framework). Based on its assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2007, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on the Framework criteria.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears on page 181.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the Company's evaluation required pursuant to Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B.

OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Certain of the information required by this item relating to the executive officers of the Company may be found under Item 4, Submission to Matters to a Vote of Security Holders Executive Officers of the Company. The balance of the information required by this item is omitted because a definitive proxy statement that involves the election of directors will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

This item is omitted because a definitive proxy statement that involves the election of directors will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table summarizes the Company's equity compensation plan information as of December 31, 2007:

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column a)
Plan Category	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	9,212,519	\$ 77.91	12,124,921 (1)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders (2)	150,178	\$ 50.00	14,975
Total	9,362,697	\$ 77.46	12,139,896

- (1) Of such maximum number of ordinary shares, 3.7 million can be issued as stock options or SARs, nil can be issued as Restricted Stock, Restricted Stock Units or Performance Shares, and the remaining 8.4 million ordinary shares can be issued as any form of Award, except that, in the case of Awards granted out of such 10.5 million ordinary shares allotment for each Restricted Stock, Restricted Stock Unit, Stock Appreciation Right, or Performance Share Award issued, the number of ordinary shares available under the Program will be reduced by three ordinary shares.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- (2) The Company's 1999 Performance Incentive Program for Employees (the 1999 Program) provides for grants of non-statutory stock options, restricted stock, performance shares and performance units to employees of the Company and its subsidiaries who are not subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The 1999 Program is administered by the Board of Directors of the Company or the Compensation Committee, as determined from time to time by the Board of Directors.

The remaining information required by this Item is omitted because a definitive proxy statement that involves the election of directors will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A, which information is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

This item is omitted because a definitive proxy statement that involves the election of directors will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

This item is omitted because a definitive proxy statement that involves the election of directors will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement is incorporated by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Financial Statements, Financial Statement Schedules and Exhibits.

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	181

1. Financial Statements

Included in Part II See Item 8 of this report.

2. Financial Statement Schedules

Included in Part IV of this report:

	<u>Schedule Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
<u>Consolidated Summary of Investments Other than Investments in Related Parties, as at December 31, 2007</u>	I	182
<u>Condensed Financial Information of Registrant, as at December 31, 2007 and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005</u>	II	183
<u>Reinsurance, for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005</u>	IV	186
<u>Supplementary Information Concerning Property/Casualty Insurance Operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u>	VI	187

Other Schedules have been omitted as they are not applicable to the Company

Under Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X, the Company is required to file separate audited financial statements of SCA (the SCA Financials). The SCA Financials were not available at the time of filing this Annual Report on Form 10-K (the 10-K). The Company intends to file the SCA Financials as an exhibit to an amendment to the 10-K (the 10-K/A) as soon as they are available. Until such time, the Company may not satisfy the registrant requirements for use of Form S-3 and therefore may be ineligible to use such Form. Should the Company be unable to file the 10-K/A on or before March 17, 2008, the Company may be ineligible to use Form S-3 for a period of twelve months.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

3. Exhibits

Exhibit	Description
3.1	Memorandum of Association of the Company, incorporated by reference to Appendix G to the Joint Proxy Statement of EXEL Limited and Mid Ocean Limited on Schedule 14A filed on July 2, 1998.
3.2	Articles of Association of the Company, incorporated by reference to Appendix G to the Joint Proxy Statement of EXEL Limited and Mid Ocean Limited on Schedule 14A filed on July 2, 1998.
4.1	Rights Agreement, dated as of September 11, 1998, between EXEL Limited and ChaseMellon Shareholder Services, L.L.C., as Rights Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 21, 1998.
4.2	Indenture, dated as of January 10, 2002, among XL Capital Finance (Europe) plc, XL Capital Ltd and State Street Bank and Trust Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.16(a) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002.
4.3	Form of XL Capital Finance (Europe) plc Debt Security, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.14 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002.
4.4	Excerpts from the Authorizing Resolutions of the Board of Directors of XL Capital Finance (Europe) plc, dated January 7, 2002, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.16(b) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002.
4.5	Form of Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 12, 2001, relating to the 6.58% Guaranteed Senior Notes due April 12, 2011 of X.L. America, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14.43 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2001.
4.6	Amendment No. 1, dated as of May 31, 2006, to the Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 12, 2001, relating to X.L. America, Inc.'s 6.58% guaranteed senior notes due April 12, 2011, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 6, 2006.
4.7	Form of Indenture between NAC Re Corp. and Shawmut Bank Connecticut, National Association, relating to the 7.15% Notes due November 15, 2005 of NAC Re Corp., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 of NAC Re Corp. (No. 33-97878) filed on November 3, 1995.
4.8	Excerpts from the Authorizing Resolutions of the Special Finance Committee of XL Capital Ltd, dated

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

July 29, 2002, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.16(c) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 14, 2002.

- 4.9 Excerpts from the Authorizing Resolutions of the Special Finance Committee of XL Capital Ltd, dated November 6, 2002, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.18 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 14, 2002.
- 4.10 Indenture, dated as of January 23, 2003, between XL Capital Ltd and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-101288) filed on January 23, 2003.
- 4.11 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 23, 2004, to the Indenture, dated as of January 23, 2003, between XL Capital Ltd and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 24, 2004.
- 4.12 Purchase Contract Agreement, dated as of March 23, 2004, between XL Capital Ltd and U.S. Bank National Association, as Purchase Contract Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 24, 2004.
- 4.13 Pledge Agreement, dated as of March 23, 2004, among XL Capital Ltd and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Collateral Agent, Custodial Agent and Securities Intermediary, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Purchase Contract Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 24, 2004.
- 4.14 Form of Normal Units Certificate (included in Exhibit 4.11 hereto), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 24, 2004.
- 4.15 Form of Stripped Units Certificate (included in Exhibit 4.11 hereto), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 24, 2004.
- 4.16 Form of 2.53% Senior Note due 2009 (included in Exhibit 4.10 hereto), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 24, 2004.
- 4.17 Indenture, dated as of June 2, 2004, between XL Capital Ltd and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-116245) filed on June 7, 2004.
- 4.18 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 23, 2004, to the Indenture, dated as of June 2, 2004, between XL Capital Ltd and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 23, 2004.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- 4.19 Form of 5.25% Senior Note due 2014 (included in Exhibit 4.17 hereto), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 23, 2004.
- 4.20 Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 12, 2004, to the Indenture, dated as of June 2, 2004, between XL Capital Ltd and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 15, 2004.
- 4.21 Form of 6.375% Senior Note due 2024 (included in Exhibit 4.19 hereto), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 15, 2004.
- 4.22 Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated May 7, 2007, to the Indenture, dated as of June 2, 2004, between the Registrant and The Bank of New York, as trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2007.
- 4.23 Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 9, 2005, to the Indenture, dated as of June 2, 2004, between XL Capital Ltd and The Bank of New York, as trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2005.
- 4.24 Purchase Contract Agreement, dated as of December 9, 2005, between XL Capital Ltd and The Bank of New York, as Purchase Contract Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2005.
- 4.25 Pledge Agreement, dated as of December 9, 2005, by and among XL Capital Ltd and The Bank of New York, as Collateral Agent, Custodial Agent and Securities Intermediary, and The Bank of New York, as Purchase Contract Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2005.
- 4.26 Form of Normal Units Certificate (included in Exhibit 4.22 hereto), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2005.
- 4.27 Form of Stripped Units Certificate (included in Exhibit 4.22 hereto), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2005.
- 4.28 Form of 5.25% Senior Note due 2011 (included in Exhibit 4.21 hereto), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2005.
- 4.29 Form of 6.25% Senior Note due 2027, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2007.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- 10.1 1991 Performance Incentive Plan (as amended and restated effective March 7, 2003), incorporated by reference to Appendix B to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on April 4, 2003.
- 10.2 1991 Performance Incentive Program (as amended and restated effective April 29, 2005), incorporated by reference to Appendix C to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on March 24, 2005.
- 10.3 Retirement Plan for Non-employee Directors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 1996.
- 10.4 Amended and Restated Directors Stock & Option Plan, incorporated by reference to Appendix C to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on April 4, 2003.
- 10.5 Stock Plan for Non-employee Directors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 1996.
- 10.6 Mid Ocean Limited 1993 Long Term Incentive and Share Award Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 1998.
- 10.7 Amendment to Mid Ocean Limited 1993 Long Term Incentive and Share Award Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 1998.
- 10.8 Mid Ocean Limited Stock & Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-employee Directors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 1998.
- 10.9 NAC Re Corp. 1993 Stock Option Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
- 10.10 First Amendment to NAC Re Corp. 1993 Stock Option Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
- 10.11 Dividend Reinvestment and Share Purchase Plan, incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-76988) filed on January 18, 2002.
- 10.12 Dividend Reinvestment and Share Purchase Plan, incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-130537) filed on December 21, 2005.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- 10.13 Nicholas M. Brown, Jr. Retirement Agreement, dated April 1, 2002, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.59 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2002.
- 10.14 Nicholas M. Brown, Jr. Supplemental Retirement Benefit Agreement, dated March 26, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2004.
- 10.15 Jerry de St. Paer Employment Agreement, dated March 1, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14.37 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2001.
- 10.16 Form of Employment Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 8, 2005.
- 10.17 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2005, between XL Capital Ltd and Paul S. Giordano, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2005.
- 10.18 First Amendment to the Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2005, between XL Capital Ltd and Paul S. Giordano, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 14, 2005.
- 10.19 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2005, between XL Capital Ltd and Henry C.V. Keeling, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2005.
- 10.20 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2005, between XL Capital Ltd and Fiona E. Luck, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2005.
- 10.21 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2005, between XL Capital Ltd and Clive Tobin, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2005.
- 10.22 Employment Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2006, between XL Capital Ltd and Sarah E. Street, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006.
- 10.23 Employment Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2006, between XL Capital Ltd and James H. Veghte, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- 10.24 Consulting Agreement, dated as of September 15, 2006, between XL Capital Ltd and Christopher V. Greetham, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006.
- 10.25 Employment Agreement, dated as of September 1, 2006, between XL Capital Ltd and Michael C. Lobdell, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006.
- 10.26 Agreement of Tenants in Common between James H. Veghte and 37 Lambert Road LLC, dated as of June 23, 2004. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.
- 10.27 First Amendment, dated as of July 21, 2004, to the Agreement of Tenants in Common between James H. Veghte and 37 Lambert Road LLC, dated as of June 23, 2004. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.
- 10.28 Form of Non-Statutory Stock Option Agreement (One-Time Vesting), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.29 Form of Non-Statutory Stock Option Agreement (Incremental Vesting), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.30 Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.31 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.32 Form of Non-Statutory Stock Option Agreement (Renewal Form), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.33 Form of Non-Statutory Stock Option Agreement (Non-Employee Director Renewal Form), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.34 Form of Directors Restricted Stock Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.35 Form of Performance Restricted Stock Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.36 Form of Performance Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.

- 10.37 Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.38 Form of Director Stock Option Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.39 Insurance Letters of Credit Master Agreement between XL Mid Ocean Reinsurance Ltd and Citibank, N.A., dated May 19, 1993, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of Mid Ocean Limited (No. 333-63298) filed on June 25, 1993.
- 10.40 Sellers Retrocession Agreement (in respect of the period to 31 December 2000), dated July 24, 2001, between Winterthur International, as Principal Reinsured, and Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company, as Reinsurer, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2005.
- 10.41 Amended and Restated Sellers Retrocession Agreement (in respect of the period to 30 June 2001), dated February 8, 2002, between XL Winterthur International Re, as Principal Reinsured, and Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company, as Reinsurer, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2005.
- 10.42 SRA Amendment Letter, dated December 24, 2003, between XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd, Vitodurum Reinsurance Company and Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2005.
- 10.43 Limited Recourse Receivables Financing Facility Agreement, dated July 24, 2001, between Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company and Winterthur International, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2005.
- 10.44 Agreement, dated December 24, 2003, between Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company and XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd (including the Schedules thereto), relating to the Second Amended and Restated Agreement for the Sale and Purchase of Winterthur International, dated February 15, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2005.
- 10.45 Second Amended and Restated Agreement for the Sale and Purchase of Winterthur International, dated as of February 15, 2001, between Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company and XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd (formerly XL Insurance Ltd), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99(a) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 9, 2001.
- 10.46 Amendment Agreement, dated July 27, 2004, between Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company and XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd, relating to the Second Amended and Restated Agreement for the Sale and

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- Purchase of Winterthur International, dated as of February 15, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.47 Amendment Agreement, dated July 19, 2002, between Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company and XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.48 Agreement, dated December 24, 2003, between Winterthur Swiss Insurance Company and XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd (including Schedule B thereto), relating to the Second Amended and Restated Agreement for the Sale and Purchase of Winterthur International, dated as of February 15, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.49 Pledge Agreement, dated as of December 18, 2001, made by XL Investments Ltd, XL Re Ltd, XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Europe Ltd, as Grantors, in favor of Citibank, N.A., as Bank, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.54 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.
- 10.50 Amendment No. 1, dated as of July 1, 2003, to the Pledge Agreement, dated December 18, 2001, made by XL Investments Ltd, XL Re Ltd, XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd, and XL Europe Ltd, as Grantors, in favor of Citibank, N.A., as Bank, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.67 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2003.
- 10.51 Limited Liability Company Agreement of XL Capital Principal Partners I, L.L.C., dated June 26, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.55 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.
- 10.52 Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of XL Capital Partners I, L.P., dated as of May 31, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.
- 10.53 First Amendment, dated as of October 30, 2002, to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, dated as of May 31, 2001, of XL Capital Partners I, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.50 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.54 Second Amendment, dated as of March 6, 2003, to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, dated as of May 31, 2001 of XL Capital Partners I, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.51 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.55 Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of XL Principal Partners I, L.P., dated June 28, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- 10.56 First Amendment, dated as of October 30, 2002, to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, dated as of June 28, 2001, of XL Principal Partners I, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.53 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.57 Second Amendment, dated as of March 6, 2003, to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, dated as of June 28, 2001, of XL Principal Partners I, L.P., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.54 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.58 364-Day Credit Agreement, dated as of June 23, 2004, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties and Guarantors, the Lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.59 Letter of Credit Facility and Reimbursement Agreement, dated November 17, 2004, between XL Capital Ltd, as Account Party, XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Guarantors, the Lenders party thereto and Citibank International plc, as Agent and Security Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.60 Amendment No. 1, dated as of December 23, 2005, to the Letter of Credit Facility and Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of November 17, 2004, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Obligors, the Lenders party thereto, and Citibank International plc, as Agent and Security Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 23, 2005.
- 10.61 Master Standby Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2005, by and among XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties, and National Australia Bank Limited, New York Branch, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 5, 2005.
- 10.62 Amendment Agreement, dated as of December 30, 2005, to the Master Standby Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement dated as of September 30, 2005, by and among XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties, and National Australia Bank Limited, New York Branch, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 18, 2006.
- 10.63 Second Amendment Agreement dated as of May 26, 2006, to the Master Standby Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2005, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties and Guarantors, and National Australia Bank Limited, New York Branch, as the Bank, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 30, 2006.
- 10.64 Third Amendment Agreement, dated as of December 19, 2006, to the Master Standby Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2005, by and between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties, and National Australia Bank Limited, New York Branch, as the Bank, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2006.

- 10.65 Amended and Restated Standby Letter of Credit Agreement, dated June 30, 2004, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties, and National Australia Bank Limited, New York Branch, as Bank, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.66 Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of February 25, 2003, among XL Re Ltd, as Borrower, Corporate Asset Funding Company, Inc., Corporate Receivables Corporation, Charta Corporation and Ciesco, L.P., as Lenders, and Citibank, N.A. and the other Secondary Lenders from time to time parties thereto, as Secondary Lenders, and Citicorp North America, Inc., as Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.67 Agreement of Amendment, dated as of February 23, 2004, to the Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of February 25, 2003, among XL Re Ltd, as Borrower, CAFCO, LLC (formerly Corporate Asset Funding Company, Inc.), CRC Funding, LLC (formerly Corporate Receivables Corporation), CHARTA, LLC (formerly CHARTA Corporation) and CIESCO, LLC (formerly CIESCO, L.P.), as Lenders, Citibank, N.A. and the other Secondary Lenders from time to time parties thereto, as Secondary Lenders, and Citicorp North America, Inc., as Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 23, 2005.
- 10.68 Agreement of Amendment, dated as of May 10, 2004, to (i) the Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of February 25, 2003, among XL Re Ltd, as Borrower, CAFCO, LLC (formerly Corporate Asset Funding Company, Inc.), CRC Funding, LLC (formerly Corporate Receivables Corporation), CHARTA, LLC (formerly CHARTA Corporation) and CIESCO, LLC (formerly CIESCO, L.P.), as Lenders, Citibank, N.A. and the other Secondary Lenders from time to time parties thereto, as Secondary Lenders, and Citicorp North America, Inc., as Agent, and (ii) the Control Agreement, dated as of February 25, 2003, among XL Re Ltd, as Borrower, Citicorp North America, Inc., as Agent, and Mellon Bank, N.A., as Securities Intermediary, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.69 Agreement of Amendment, dated as of February 18, 2005, to the Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of February 25, 2003, among XL Re Ltd, as Borrower, CAFCO, LLC (formerly Corporate Asset Funding Company, Inc.), CRC Funding, LLC (formerly Corporate Receivables Corporation), CHARTA, LLC (formerly CHARTA Corporation) and CIESCO, LLC (formerly CIESCO, L.P.), as Lenders, Citibank, N.A. and the other Secondary Lenders from time to time parties thereto, as Secondary Lenders, and Citicorp North America, Inc., as Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 23, 2005.
- 10.70 Agreement of Amendment, dated as of February 16, 2006, to (i) the Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of February 25, 2003, among XL Re Ltd, as Borrower, CAFCO, LLC (formerly Corporate Asset Funding Company, Inc.), CRC Funding, LLC (formerly Corporate Receivables Corporation), CHARTA, LLC (formerly CHARTA Corporation) and CIESCO, LLC (formerly CIESCO, L.P.), as Lenders, Citibank, N.A. and the other Secondary Lenders from time to time parties thereto, as Secondary Lenders, and Citicorp North America, Inc., as Agent, and (ii) the Control Agreement, dated as of February 25, 2003, among XL Re Ltd, as Borrower, Citicorp North America, Inc., as Agent, and Mellon Bank, N.A., as Securities Intermediary, incorporated by

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 17, 2006.

- 10.71 Agreement of Amendment dated as of September 29, 2006, to the Revolving Credit and Security Agreement dated as of February 25, 2003, among XL Re Ltd as the borrower, CAFCO, LLC, CRC Funding, LLC, CHARTA, LLC, CIESCO, LLC, Citibank, N.A. and Citicorp North America, Inc., as the agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2007.
- 10.72 Agreement of Amendment dated as of February 14, 2007, to the Revolving Credit and Security Agreement dated as of February 25, 2003, among XL Re Ltd as the borrower, CAFCO, LLC, CRC Funding, LLC, CHARTA, LLC, CIESCO, LLC, Citibank, N.A. and Citicorp North America, Inc., as the agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2007.
- 10.73 Control Agreement, dated as of February 25, 2003, between XL Re Ltd and Citicorp North America, Inc., as Agent, and Mellon Bank, N.A., as Securities Intermediary, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.61 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.74 Three-Year Credit Agreement, dated as of June 23, 2004, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties and Guarantors, the Lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.75 Amendment No. 1, dated as of June 22, 2005, to the Three-Year Credit Agreement, dated as of June 23, 2004, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties and Guarantors, the lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 27, 2005.
- 10.76 Amendment No. 2, dated as of May 5, 2006, to the Three-Year Credit Agreement, dated as of June 23, 2004, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties and Guarantors, the Lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 11, 2006.
- 10.77 364-Day Credit Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2004, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties and Guarantors, and Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, as Lender, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004.
- 10.78 364-Day Credit Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2005, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties and Guarantors, and Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, as Lender, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 23, 2005.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- 10.79 Amendment No. 1, dated as of May 15, 2006, to the 364-Day Credit Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2005, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties and Guarantors, and Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, as the Lender, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2006.
- 10.80 364-Day Credit Agreement, dated as of December 19, 2006, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties and Guarantors, and Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, as the Lender, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2006.
- 10.81 Put Option Agreement, dated as of December 10, 2004, between XL Financial Assurance Ltd. and Twin Reefs Asset Trust, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 14, 2004.
- 10.82 Asset Trust Expense Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of December 10, 2004, between XL Financial Assurance Ltd. and Twin Reefs Asset Trust, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 14, 2004.
- 10.83 Five-Year Credit Agreement, dated as of June 22, 2005, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties and Guarantors, the lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 27, 2005.
- 10.84 Amendment No. 1, dated as of May 5, 2006, to the Five-Year Credit Agreement, dated as of June 22, 2005, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties and Guarantors, the Lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 11, 2006.
- 10.85 Credit Agreement, dated as of August 3, 2005, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Borrowers and Guarantors, the Lenders party thereto and Bear Stearns Corporate Lending Inc., as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2005.
- 10.86 Amendment No. 1, dated as of May 9, 2006, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of August 3, 2005, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Borrowers and Guarantors, the Lenders party thereto and Bear Stearns Corporate Lending Inc. as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 11, 2006.
- 10.87 Credit Agreement, dated as of May 9, 2006, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties and Guarantors, the Lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 11, 2006.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- 10.88 Letter of Credit Facility and Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of March 14, 2006, by and among XL Capital Ltd, as Account Party, XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Guarantors, the Lenders party thereto and Citibank International plc, as Agent and Security Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 17, 2006.
- 10.89 Letter of Amendment, dated as of May 16, 2006, to the Letter of Credit Facility and Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of March 14, 2006, by and among XL Capital Ltd, as Account Party, XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Guarantors, the Lenders party thereto and Citibank International plc, as Agent and Security Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2006.
- 10.90 Subscription Agreement, dated as of December 5, 2006, among XL Capital Ltd, Stoneheath Re and Goldman Sachs International, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 11, 2006.
- 10.91 Excess of Loss Reinsurance Agreement, dated as of December 12, 2006, by and among XLIB, Insurance Switzerland, XL Europe Limited, XL Insurance Company Limited, XL Re Latin America Ltd, XL Insurance Argentina S.A. Compania de Seguros, XL Insurance Company Ltd, XL Re Ltd, XL Re Europe Limited, Vitodurum Reinsurance Company, Underwriting Members of Lloyd's Syndicate #1209 and Stoneheath Re, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2006.
- 10.92 Securities Insurance Agreement, dated as of December 12, 2006 between XL Capital Ltd and Stoneheath Re, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2006.
- 10.93 Trust Agreement, dated as of December 12, 2006 among The Asset Swap Counterparty, The Ceding Insurers and XL Capital Ltd as Beneficiaries and Stoneheath Re, as Guarantor and Beneficiary and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2006.
- 10.94 Replacement Capital Covenant, dated March 15, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 15, 2007.
- 10.95 Employment Agreement dated as of July 9, 2007 between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. Global Services, Inc. and Brian W. Nocco incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 10, 2007.
- 10.96 Service Agreement Relative to Sureties, Letters of Guarantees and International Stand-By Letters of Credit, dated April 25, 2003, between Société Le Mans Re and Credit Lyonnais, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.62 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2003.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

- 10.97 First Renewal, dated November 27, 2000, between Le Mans Re and BNP Paribas, to the Reinsurance Stand-By Letter of Credit Agreement, dated October 7, 1999, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2003.
- 10.98 Credit Agreement, dated as of June 21, 2007, between XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as Account Parties and Guarantors, the Lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 25, 2007.
- 10.99 Letter of Credit Facility and Reimbursement Agreement dated November 14, 2007, between XL Capital Ltd, as account party and XL Capital Ltd, X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, as guarantors and Citibank International plc, as agent and trustee for the lenders party thereto, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 20, 2007.
- 12* Statements regarding computation of ratios.
- 21* List of subsidiaries of the Registrant.
- 23* Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
- 31* Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications.
- 32* Section 1350 Certifications.

* Filed herewith

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of XL Capital Ltd:

We have completed integrated audits of XL Capital Ltd's consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinion, based on our audits, is presented below.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements, listed in the index appearing under Item 15 (1), present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of XL Capital Ltd and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules listed in the index appearing under Item 15 (2) present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedules, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedules, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
New York, New York
February 29, 2008

XL CAPITAL LTD

**SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE I
CONSOLIDATED SUMMARY OF INVESTMENTS OTHER THAN
INVESTMENTS IN RELATED PARTIES**

As at December 31, 2007

Type of Investment <i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	Cost or Amortized Cost (1)	Fair Value	Amount Presented in the Balance Sheet
Fixed Maturities:			
Bonds and notes:			
U.S. Government and Government agency	\$ 2,585,336	\$ 2,685,773	\$ 2,685,773
Corporate	13,407,142	12,987,248	12,987,248
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	14,881,988	14,493,877	14,493,877
U.S. States and political subdivisions of the States	252,818	253,534	253,534
Non-U.S. Sovereign Government	3,106,532	3,187,358	3,187,358
Total fixed maturities (1)	\$ 34,233,816	\$ 33,607,790	\$ 33,607,790
Equity Securities	\$ 664,213	\$ 854,815	\$ 854,815
Short-term investments (1)	1,814,445	1,803,198	1,803,198
Other investments	614,848	708,476	708,476
Total investments other than investments in related parties	\$ 37,327,322	\$ 36,974,279	\$ 36,974,279

(1) Investments in fixed maturities and short-term investments are shown at amortized cost.

XL CAPITAL LTD

SCHEDULE II

CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS PARENT COMPANY ONLY

As at December 31, 2007 and 2006

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	2007	2006
Assets		
Investments available for sale:		
Fixed maturities at fair value (amortized cost: 2007, \$271,404; 2006, \$352,390)	\$ 270,891	\$ 352,300
Equity securities at fair value (cost: 2007, \$238; 2006, \$238)	1,717	1,586
Short-term investments at fair value (amortized cost: 2007, \$105,674; 2006, \$234,440)	105,093	234,424
Total investments available for sale	377,701	588,310
Cash and cash equivalents	370,079	44,539
Investments in subsidiaries on an equity basis	14,223,710	14,075,057
Investment in affiliates	2,096	2,815
Investments in limited partnerships	12,250	21,668
Accrued investment income	362	2,165
Fixed assets	115	
Other assets	63,393	62,929
Total assets	\$ 15,049,706	\$ 14,797,483
Liabilities		
Amount due to subsidiaries	\$ 2,877,999	\$ 1,949,085
Notes payable and debt	2,015,018	2,514,980
Net payable for investments purchased	206	9,558
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	208,341	192,694
Total liabilities	\$ 5,101,564	\$ 4,666,317
Shareholders Equity		
Series A preference shares, 23,000,000 authorized, par value \$0.01 Issued and outstanding: (2007, nil; 2006 9,200,000)	\$	\$ 92
Series B preference shares, 27,000,000 authorized, par value \$0.01 Issued and outstanding: (2007, nil; 2006 11,500,000)		115
Series E preference shares, 1,000,000 authorized, par value \$0.01 Issued and outstanding: (2007, 1,000,000; 2006, nil)	10	
Class A ordinary shares, 999,990,000 authorized, par value \$0.01 Issued and outstanding: (2007, 177,910,151; 2006, 180,983,611)	1,779	1,810
Additional paid in capital	7,358,801	6,451,569
Accumulated other comprehensive income	9,159	411,405
Retained earnings	2,578,393	3,266,175
Total shareholders equity	\$ 9,948,142	\$ 10,131,166
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 15,049,706	\$ 14,797,483

XL CAPITAL LTD

SCHEDULE II

CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT (CONTINUED)

STATEMENT OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME PARENT COMPANY ONLY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	2007	2006	2005
Net investment income	\$ 32,151	\$ 22,769	\$ 4,944
Net realized (losses) gains on investments and derivative instruments	(11,693)	2,833	5,980
Equity in net earnings (losses) of subsidiaries (Dividends were nil in 2007, \$500,000 in 2006 and nil in 2005)	529,566	2,011,554	(1,015,592)
Equity in net earnings of affiliates	202	4,113	854
Total revenues	\$ 550,226	\$ 2,041,269	\$ (1,003,814)
Operating expenses	147,846	157,847	166,902
Foreign exchange (gains) losses	(1,495)	50	
Interest expense	128,580	123,945	86,849
Total expenses	\$ 274,931	\$ 281,842	\$ 253,751
Income (loss) before income tax	275,295	1,759,427	(1,257,565)
Tax (benefit)	(594)	(3,340)	(5,589)
Net income (loss)	\$ 275,889	\$ 1,762,767	\$ (1,251,976)
Preference share dividends	(69,514)	(40,322)	(40,322)
Net income (loss) available to ordinary shareholders	\$ 206,375	\$ 1,722,445	\$ (1,292,298)
Net income (loss)	\$ 275,889	\$ 1,762,767	\$ (1,251,976)
Change in net unrealized (losses) on investment portfolio, net of tax	(747,941)	(103,834)	(314,719)
Additional pension liability	3,080	(9,809)	
Change in value of cash flow hedge	4,338	630	630
Net unrealized gain (loss) on future policy benefit reserves	16,005	94,904	(46,743)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	317,319	153,610	168,802
Realization of accumulated other comprehensive loss on sale of SCA	4,953	14,224	
Minority interest share in change in accumulated other comprehensive loss in SCA		(6,563)	
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (126,357)	\$ 1,905,929	\$ (1,444,006)

XL CAPITAL LTD

SCHEDULE II

CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT (CONTINUED)

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS PARENT COMPANY ONLY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 275,889	\$ 1,762,767	\$ (1,251,976)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Net realized (gains) losses on investments and derivative instruments	11,693	(2,833)	(5,980)
Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries	(529,566)	(2,011,554)	1,019,200
Equity in net loss (income) of affiliates	(202)	(4,113)	(854)
Amortization of deferred compensation	49,666	56,163	33,004
Amortization of discounts on fixed maturities	21,927	(9,406)	(3,224)
Accretion of notes payable and debt	672	651	651
Accrued investment income	1,803	1,077	(1,254)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	15,647	(26,542)	(58,726)
Amounts due (to) from subsidiaries(1)	928,914	(278,235)	1,308,721
Dividends received from subsidiaries(1)		500,000	
Other	4,627	52,931	16,471
	<u>505,181</u>	<u>(1,721,861)</u>	<u>2,308,009</u>
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>\$ 781,070</u>	<u>\$ 40,906</u>	<u>\$ 1,056,033</u>
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of fixed maturities and short-term investments	\$ 1,501,835	\$ 772,383	\$ 307,386
Proceeds from redemption of fixed maturities and short-term investments	778,231	330,155	258,473
Purchases of fixed maturities and short term investments	(2,094,646)	(1,146,727)	(902,520)
Investment in subsidiaries	(20,000)	(500,000)	(2,841,000)
Investment in affiliates	1,985	1,943	5,297
Investment in limited partnerships	13,208	4,106	5,245
	<u>180,613</u>	<u>(538,140)</u>	<u>(3,167,119)</u>
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>\$ 180,613</u>	<u>\$ (538,140)</u>	<u>\$ (3,167,119)</u>
Cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities:			
Proceeds from exercise of options and issuance of common shares	\$ 878,439	\$ 31,972	\$ 2,478,065
Net proceeds on issuance of preference shares	984,583		
Redemption of preference shares	(517,500)		
Dividends paid	(343,551)	(318,004)	(316,977)
Repurchase of shares	(1,135,950)	(5,626)	(5,532)
Proceeds from loans	322,836		745,000
Repayment of loans	(825,000)		
	<u>(636,143)</u>	<u>(291,658)</u>	<u>2,900,556</u>
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>\$ (636,143)</u>	<u>\$ (291,658)</u>	<u>\$ 2,900,556</u>
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	325,540	(788,892)	789,470

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents	beginning of year	44,539	833,431	43,961
Cash and cash equivalents	end of year	\$ 370,079	\$ 44,539	\$ 833,431

(1) Dividends received from, and amounts due (to) from subsidiaries have been appropriately classified as operating activities in 2007 and 2006, with conforming changes to 2005 which were reported previously as financing activities.

XL CAPITAL LTD

SCHEDULE IV REINSURANCE

For the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

<i>(U.S. dollars in thousands)</i>	<u>Gross Amount</u>	<u>Ceded to Other Companies</u>	<u>Assumed from Other Companies</u>	<u>Net Amount</u>	<u>Percentage of Amount Assumed to Net</u>
2007					
Life reinsurance in force(1)	\$	1,213,281	150,876,977	149,663,696	100.8%
Premiums Earned:					
Property and casualty operations	\$ 5,428,753	1,987,642	2,977,516	6,418,627	46.4%
Life operations		44,615	745,662	701,047	106.4%
SCA	90,249	16,803	12,236	85,682	14.3%
Total premiums earned:	\$ 5,519,002	2,049,060	3,735,414	7,205,356	51.8%
2006					
Life reinsurance in force(1)	\$	\$ 855,973	\$ 118,850,396	\$ 117,994,423	100.7%
Premiums Earned:					
Property and casualty operations	\$ 5,557,832	\$ 2,028,173	\$ 3,200,623	\$ 6,730,282	47.6%
Life operations		40,314	686,764	646,450	106.2%
SCA	180,052	11,461	24,195	192,786	12.6%
Total premiums earned:	\$ 5,737,884	\$ 2,079,948	\$ 3,911,582	\$ 7,569,518	51.7%
2005					
Life reinsurance in force(1)	\$	\$ 763,982	\$ 89,287,299	\$ 88,523,317	100.9%
Premiums Earned:					
Property and casualty operations	\$ 5,510,301	\$ 2,076,493	\$ 3,466,061	\$ 6,899,869	50.2%
Life operations		39,689	2,345,965	2,306,276	101.7%
SCA	146,191	11,213	24,372	159,350	15.3%
Total premiums earned:	\$ 5,656,492	\$ 2,127,395	\$ 5,836,398	\$ 9,365,495	62.3%

(1) Represents the sum face value outstanding of in force life reinsurance policies.

XL CAPITAL LTD

SCHEDULE VI

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

CONCERNING PROPERTY/CASUALTY (RE)INSURANCE OPERATIONS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	Deferred Acquisition Costs	Reserves For Losses and Loss Expenses	Reserves for Unearned Premiums	Net Earned Premiums	Net Investment Income	Losses and Loss Expenses incurred related to		Net Paid Losses and Loss Expenses	Amortization of Deferred Acquisition Costs	Net Premiums Written
						Current Year	Prior Year			
2007(1)	\$ 603,454	\$ 23,207,694	\$ 4,654,874	\$ 6,418,627	\$ 1,289,554	\$ 4,265,017	\$ (425,441)	\$ 3,815,082	\$ 966,038	\$ 6,297,720
2006(1)	\$ 665,060	\$ 22,718,827	\$ 4,862,968	\$ 6,730,282	\$ 1,090,785	\$ 4,296,760	\$ (110,604)	\$ 3,895,909	\$ 1,008,500	\$ 6,569,712
2005(1)	\$ 715,211	\$ 23,455,882	\$ 4,834,766	\$ 6,899,869	\$ 810,482	\$ 6,293,509	\$ 1,113,720	\$ 2,565,023	\$ 1,097,861	\$ 7,043,397

(1) The information presented above includes balances from the property and casualty operations. The life operations and balances relating to SCA prior to the secondary offering on June 6, 2007 have been excluded.

Edgar Filing: XL CAPITAL LTD - Form 10-K

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

XL Capital Ltd
(Registrant)

Date: February 29, 2008

/s/ BRIAN M. O HARA

Name: Brian M. O Hara
Title: *President and Chief Executive Officer and Acting
Chairman*
XL Capital Ltd

Date: February 29, 2008

/s/ BRIAN W. NOCCO

Name: Brian W. Nocco
Title: *Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer*
XL Capital Ltd
188

POWER OF ATTORNEY

We, the undersigned directors and executive officers of XL Capital Ltd, hereby severally constitute Brian M. O Hara, Brian Nocco and Kirstin Romann Gould, and each of them singly, our true and lawful attorneys with full power to them and each of them to sign for us, and in our names in the capacities indicated below, any and all amendments to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming our signatures as they may be signed by our said attorneys to any and all amendments to said Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signaturess</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<hr/> <i>/s/ BRIAN M. O HARA</i> <hr/> Brian M. O Hara	President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) and Acting Chairman	February 29, 2008
<hr/> <i>/s/ BRIAN W. NOCCO</i> <hr/> Brian W. Nocco	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	February 29, 2008
<hr/> <i>/s/ DALE R. COMEY</i> <hr/> Dale R. Comey	Director	February 29, 2008
<hr/> <i>/s/ ROBERT R. GLAUBER</i> <hr/> Robert R. Glauber	Director	February 29, 2008
<hr/> <i>/s/ HERBERT HAAG</i> <hr/> Herbert Haag	Director	February 29, 2008
<hr/> <i>/s/ JOSEPH MAURIELLO</i> <hr/> Joseph Mauriello	Director	February 29, 2008
<hr/> <i>/s/ EUGENE M. MCQUADE</i> <hr/> Eugene M. McQuade	Director	February 29, 2008
<hr/> <i>/s/ ROBERT S. PARKER</i> <hr/> Robert S. Parker	Director	February 29, 2008
<hr/> <i>/s/ CYRIL E. RANCE</i> <hr/> Cyril E. Rance	Director	February 29, 2008
<hr/> <i>/s/ ALAN Z. SENTER</i> <hr/> Alan Z. Senter	Director	February 29, 2008
<hr/> <i>/s/ JOHN T. THORNTON</i>	Director	February 29, 2008

John T. Thornton

/s/ ELLEN E. THROWER

Director

February 29, 2008

Ellen E. Thrower

/s/ SIR JOHN VEREKER

Director

February 29, 2008

Sir John Vereker