

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC  
Form DEFA14A  
March 20, 2018

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**SCHEDULE 14A  
(Rule 14a-101)**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities  
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.     )

Filed by the Registrant ☒

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant ☐

Check the appropriate box:

☐ Preliminary Proxy Statement

☐ Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

☐ Definitive Proxy Statement

☒ Definitive Additional Materials

☐ Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

**Honeywell International Inc.**

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

☒ No fee required.

☐ Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5) Total fee paid:

Edgar Filing: HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

☐ Fee previously paid with preliminary materials.

☐ Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:



**Forward Looking Statements** This report contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. All statements, other than statements of fact, that address activities, events or developments that we or our management intend, expect, project, believe or anticipate will or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are based on management’s assumptions and assessments in light of past experience and trends, current economic and industry conditions, expected future developments and other relevant factors. They are not guarantees of future performance, and actual results, developments and business decisions may differ materially from those envisaged by our forward-looking statements. Our forward-looking statements are also subject to risks and uncertainties, which can affect our performance in both the near- and long-term. We identify the principal risks and uncertainties that affect our performance in our Form 10-K and other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. **Non-GAAP Disclaimer (and Use of Certain Non-GAAP Peer Data By Our Management Development and Compensation Committee)** This presentation contains financial measures presented on a non-GAAP basis. Honeywell’s non-GAAP financial measures used in this presentation are as follows: segment margin, on an overall Honeywell basis, which we define as segment profit divided by sales; organic sales growth, which we define as sales growth less the impacts from foreign currency translation and acquisitions and divestitures for the first 12 months following transaction date; free cash flow, which we define as cash flow from operations less capital expenditures and which we adjust to exclude separation costs and with respect to forward looking measures, adjustments to the provisional charge related to tax legislation, if and as noted in the presentation; free cash flow conversion, which we define as free cash flow divided by net income attributable to Honeywell excluding pension mark-to-market expenses, separation costs, the provisional charge related to tax legislation, and with respect to forward looking measures, adjustments to such provisional charge; and earnings per share, which we adjust to exclude pension mark-to-market expenses, as well as for other components, such as divestitures, debt refinancing, and exclusion of separation costs, the provisional charge related to tax legislation, and with respect to forward looking measures, adjustments to such provisional charge, if and as noted in the presentation. Other than references to reported earnings per share, all references to earnings per share in this presentation are so adjusted. The respective tax rates applied when adjusting earnings per share for these items are identified in the presentation or in the reconciliations presented in the Appendix. Management believes that, when considered together with reported amounts, these measures are useful to investors and management in understanding our ongoing operations and in the analysis of ongoing operating trends. These metrics should be considered in addition to, and not as replacement for, the most comparable GAAP measure. Refer to the Appendix attached to this presentation for reconciliations of non-GAAP financial measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measures. Forward looking quantitative reconciliations here include separation costs because management cannot reliably predict or precisely estimate, without unreasonable effort, those costs given the preliminary nature of the estimates and exclude any adjustments to the provisional charge related to tax legislation as such charge is provisional. In ensuring alignment between pay and performance, Honeywell’s Management, Development and Compensation Committee compares Honeywell’s financial performance to the median performance of both our 16 company compensation peer group (which we refer to here in as our “Peer Group”) and a multi-industry peer group comprised of General Electric (GE), Emerson Electric (EMR), 3M Corporation (MMM), and United Technologies (UTX) (which we refer to as our “Multi-Industry Peer Group”). This comparison is done using certain non-GAAP financial information that both Honeywell and each peer company utilizes in its financial disclosure and investor presentations. For Honeywell, we exclude the pension mark-to-market adjustment from Net Income, EPS and ROIC. With regard to the peer group medians, each peer company adjusts its GAAP financial results for net income and EPS in a different manner and their presentation of this non-GAAP

Information is subject to change from time to time.

Agenda 2 • Overview of Honeywell and Recent Financial Performance • Update on Homes and Global Distribution and Transportation Systems Spin-offs • Long-Term Financial Plan Overview • Executive Compensation – Progress on Program Changes • Corporate Governance Topics: - Changes to Honeywell's Corporate Governance Guidelines - Board Leadership Structure: Retirement of Honeywell's Executive Chairman - Management Proposal to Reduce Shareowner Threshold Needed to Call Special Meeting from 20% to 15% - 2018 Shareowner Proposals • Board Oversight of Environmental and Social Issues

Honeywell Overview Building a Smarter, Safer, and More Sustainable World 3 Who is Honeywell? 817 % 15 - Yr Total Shareowner Return ~2.6x S&P 500 12% Dividend Increase in 2017 8th Double - Digit Increase Since 2010 x Leading technology supplier to aerospace, buildings, oil and gas, and industrial end markets x \$40.5B in sales from ~70 countries, ~131,000 employees x Evolving enterprise through organic growth, acquisitions, and portfolio enhancement x Transforming into the leading software - industrial enterprise worldwide Diversified Across End - Markets Recent Shareowner Highlights 19% 10% 17% 17% 11% Aerospace Defense Oil & Gas / PetChem 12% Non - Residential Industrial Productivity Vehicles 8% Residential

Honeywell Businesses at a Glance Aligned to Key Global Macro Trends 4 Sales by business shown under current reporting structure and are not adjusted for the proposed Homes and Global Distribution and Transportation Systems spins Aerospace Home and Building Technologies Performance Materials and Technologies Safety and Productivity Solutions Our products , software , and technologies are in more than 150 million homes and 10 million buildings worldwide helping customers control their comfort, security, and energy use. We develop advanced materials , process technologies , automation solutions , and industrial software that are revolutionizing industries around the world. We improve enterprise performance and worker safety and productivity with our scanning and mobile computers, software, warehouse automation solutions, and personal protective equipment. 27% UOP 34% PROCESS SOLUTIONS 16% FLUORINE PRODUCTS 11% SPECIALTY PRODUCTS 17% COMMERCIAL ORIGINAL EQUIPMENT 35% COMMERCIAL AFTERMARKET 20% U.S. DEFENSE 21% TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS 7% INT'L DEFENSE 12% SMART ENERGY 24% BUILDING SOLUTIONS 25% DISTRIBUTION 29% ENVIRONMENTAL & ENERGY SOLUTIONS 22% SECURITY & FIRE 35% INDUSTRIAL SAFETY 4% RETAIL 22% PRODUCTIVITY PRODUCTS 5% WORKFLOW SOLUTIONS 21% WAREHOUSE AUTOMATION 13% SENSING & IoT 2017 Sales by Business Our products are used on virtually every commercial and defense aircraft platform and in 100M+ vehicles worldwide and include aircraft propulsion, cockpit systems, satellite communications, and vehicle turbochargers. \$14.8B \$9.8B \$10.3B \$5.6B



Strong Performance in 2017 Consistent Performance vs. Peers 5 EPS, EPS V% exclude pension mark - to - market, 2016 divestitures, 4Q16 debt refinancing, separation costs, and the provisional charge related to tax legislation Return metrics reflect fiscal year 2015 - 2017 three - year average s; peer median reflects compensation peer group median; multi - industry peer median includes EMR, GE, MMM, and UTX. Reflects adjusted (non - GAAP) results. \* Return on Invested Capital (ROIC) = Adjusted Net Income Before Interest Net Investment (2 - Point Average) Adjusted Net Income Before Interest = Net Income (Honeywell Ex - Pension MTM) + After - Tax Interest Net Investment Book Value of Equity + Total Debt ROIC \* 6.3% 7.4% 9.9% Comp Peer Median Multi-Industry Peer Median HON Return On Assets 20.4% 20.6% 27.7% Comp Peer Median Multi-Industry Peer Median HON Return On Equity 12.6% 11.8% 16.8% Comp Peer Median Multi-Industry Peer Median HON \$7.11 Earnings Per Share Up 10% YoY 4% Organic Sales Growth 70 bps Segment Margin Expansion \$4.9B Free Cash Flow Up 12% YoY ~\$6.0B Capital Deployment, Including CapEx Three - Year Average Return Metrics Top - Tier Performance vs. Peers

Shareowner Value Creation Consistently Outperforming Peers and Market 6 15 - Year Total Shareowner Return  
817% 313% 387% 0% 100% 200% 300% 400% 500% 600% 700% 800% 900% 2003 2006 2009 2012 2015 12/31/17  
Honeywell Multi-Industry Peer Median S&P 500 Source: NASDAQ Multi - Industry peers include EMR, GE, MMM,  
and UTX 2017 Total Shareowner Return 35% 24% 22% 0% 5% 10% 15% 20% 25% 30% 35% 40% 1Q 2Q 3Q 4Q  
Honeywell Multi-Industry Peer Median S&P 500

Spins Update Spins on Track 7 Homes Transportation Systems Business Overview • Home comfort solutions • Residential security solutions • ADI, the leading wholesale distributor of security and low - voltage products • Superior technology across engine types • Technologies to address alternative powertrains (i.e., hybrid) • Significant High Growth Region penetration Strategy / Growth Drivers • Connected Home • Comprehensive home experience portfolio • Expansion of ADI's distribution network • Market leader in turbo technologies • Increasing penetration across engine types and high growth regions • Early player in automotive cybersecurity and software vehicle maintenance offerings Timing • End of 2018 • Now likely end of 3Q \$4.5B\* 2017 Sales \$3.1B\* 2017 Sales • Targeting ~\$3B cash to Honeywell between both spins • Potential economic tradeoff between legacy liability payments from spins and dividend payment to Honeywell • Plan in place to eliminate 100% of stranded costs within 2 years • Organization model and leadership appointments underway Comments \* Sales are preliminary and approximate estimates and subject to finalization of the contours of the spun - off businesses and finalization of the carve - out audits

Long - Term Financial Plan Foundation for Long - Term Value Creation 8 • Targeting ~100% FCF cash conversion • World - class working capital management • Prioritizing high - ROI investments (CapEx, M&A) • Continued dividend growth and opportunistic share repurchases; however, first priority is bolt - on M&A Robust Cash Generation Aggressive Capital Deployment • Target 2.3X - 2.5X gross leverage (per Moody's) • Maintain premium credit rating Balance Sheet • Continued organic sales growth and margin expansion • EPS growth greater than peers Strong Growth Long - Term Targets 3% - 5% \* Organic Growth 30 - 50 bps Margin Expansion per Year ~100% Free Cash Flow Conversion Dividend Growth In - Line with Earnings Growth \*Given current economic conditions

Executive Compensation - Responsive to Shareowner Feedback Say on Pay Vote Improved from 67% in 2016 to 93% in 2017 9 Heard From Some Shareowners in 2016 • Wanted better visibility into how objective financial metrics and discretion factor in to determining payouts • Preferred resetting ICP baseline to target each year instead of using the prior year actual earned ICP award as the baseline Changes Made For 2017 and Disclosed in Proxy x 80 % of target ICP award based on performance against pre-establis hed goals for EPS/Net Income and Free Cash Flow x 20 % of target ICP award based on qualitative assessment of business and individual performance x Payouts capped at 200% of target x Reset the annual ICP baseline to be the individual's ICP target as a percentage of base pay (from 2017 onward) Annual Incentive Compensation Plan (ICP): Changes Fully Implemented in 2017

Executive Compensation - Responsive to Shareowner Feedback Say on Pay Vote Improved from 67% in 2016 to 93% in 2017 10 H e a r d f r o m S o m e S h a r e o w n e r s i n 2 0 1 6 L T I M i x a n d G r a n t P r a c t i c e s • W a n t e d l o w e r w e i g h t i n g i n s t o c k o p t i o n s • D i d n ' t l i k e o u r p r a c t i c e o f g r a n t i n g R S U s o n a b i e n n i a l b a s i s i n J u l y . A p p e a r e d o n e - o f f a n d r e s u l t e d i n l u m p y r e p o r t i n g C a s h - B a s e d L T I P ( G r o w t h P l a n ) • T h o u g h t 2 - y e a r n o n - o v e r l a p p i n g p e r f o r m a n c e c y c l e s w e r e t o o s h o r t • P r e f e r r e d s h a r e - b a s e d p r o g r a m v s . c a s h - b a s e d • W a n t e d a t l e a s t o n e r e l a t i v e m e t r i c , s u c h a s r e l a t i v e T S R , a d d e d t o t h e p l a n C h a n g e s M a d e • R e d u c e d w e i g h t i n g i n s t o c k o p t i o n s t o 31% o f L T I i n 2017. F u r t h e r r e d u c e d t o 25% o f L T I i n 2018 • D i s c o n t i n u e d b i e n n i a l R S U g r a n t s . N o R S U s g r a n t e d i n 2017. P h a s e d b a c k i n f o r 2018 a s p a r t o f a n n u a l L T I ( 25% o f L T I ) , w i t h a l l g r a n t s m a d e o n s a m e t i m i n g x E l i m i n a t e s l u m p y r e p o r t i n g a n d a p p e a r a n c e g r a n t s a r e o n e - o f f • R e p l a c e d t h e b i e n n i a l c a s h - b a s e d G r o w t h P l a n w i t h P e r f o r m a n c e S h a r e U n i t P l a n x G r a n t e d a n n u a l l y w i t h 3 - y e a r o v e r l a p p i n g p e r f o r m a n c e c y c l e s x 100% f o r m u l a i c w i t h p e r f o r m a n c e m e a s u r e d a g a i n s t t h r e e k e y o p e r a t i o n a l m e t r i c s a n d r e l a t i v e T S R ( e q u a l l y w e i g h t e d ) x 50% o f n e t s h a r e s e a r n e d p a i d i n s h a r e s s u b j e c t t o s t o c k h o l d i n g r e q u i r e m e n t s x T a r g e t w e i g h t o f 50% o f L T I b e g i n n i n g i n 2018 L o n g - T e r m I n c e n t i v e s :

2017 Annual Incentive Compensation Plan (ICP) • Quantitative = Calculated Payout multiplied by 80% weighting = 120.16% x 80% = 96% • Qualitative = 20% at Target; can be up to 40% • Actual 2017 Award Payouts for Corporate NEOs ranged from 116% to 131% of Individual Target Award  
 Similar approach for Strategic Business Unit (SBG) Presidents, but 50% based on Total HON performance and 50% based on SBG performance. 2017 ACTUAL RESULTS – 80% QUANTITATIVE PORTION FOR CORPORATE NEOs: \* - 2016 Actual restated to exclude impact of 2016 HTSI divestiture and the 2016 spinoff of the Resins & Chemicals business. 11 ICP Goal 2017 ICP Goal (Target) EPS Free Cash Flow \$6.975 \$4.650 billion 2017 Actual Performance \$7.11 \$4.935 billion 2017 Performance Metric Payout Percentage 109.7% 130.6% Exceeded the Target ICP Goal for 2017 Represented a 10.1% increase over 2016 Actual\* New record-level of performance for the Company Exceeded the Target ICP Goal for 2017 Represented a 15% increase over 2016 Actual\* New record-level performance for the Company Total Calculated (Formulaic) Payout: Corporate NEOs 120.16% Corporate NEO Weighting 50% 50% Calculated Payout Percentage 54.84% 65.32%

LTI Mix Transition - CEO Compensation LTI Mix Transition Complete in 2018 12 2016 - Mr. Cote as CEO 2017 & 2018 Mr. Adamczyk as CEO Includes annualized payout value for final 2016 - 2017 Growth Plan cycle and 2017 portion (50%) of biennial RSUs granted in 2016 2016 - 2017 Growth Plan payout = 61% of Target Cash-Based Growth Plan eliminated. 50% in Performance Stock Unit Awards. RSUs vest over 6-years All awards granted in February 2018 Includes 2016 - 2017 Growth Plan at annualized target value



Summary of Improved Procedures in Guidelines Commitment to Refreshment: 5 New Directors Since 2012 (Including Mr. Angove in 2018) 13 • New Board evaluation and refreshment procedures to ensure appropriate evolution of board skills, experience and backgrounds: - Lead Director now jointly responsible for leading process (together with Chair of Governance Committee) - Ensure self - evaluation process is leading to adequate Board refreshment - Updated questionnaire to elicit information about matching of skills to needs • Continuity of best practices: - Results of director surveys and questionnaires shared verbatim on anonymous basis with entire Board - Results discussed with full Board in Executive Session • Before recommending re - nomination of incumbent directors, Governance Committee will evaluate whether incumbents' skills and perspectives meet Honeywell's needs, both individually and collectively • Greater detail on selection and recruitment process for new Board members: - Lead Director now formally charged with responsibility for new director recruitment - Formal identification and prioritization of skill sets - Emphasis on leadership traits, personality, work ethic, independence, business experience and diversity of background - Process has yielded recently announced new Board member: Duncan B. Angove Incumbent and New Board Nominees Annual Self - Evaluation Process

Best - in - Class Board with Right Mix of Skills and Experiences Highly Qualified Board and Balanced Leadership 14  
 Right Balance of Institutional Knowledge and Fresh Perspectives\* Independent and Highly D  
 iverse Oversight\* Of the Independent Directors: • 27% are Women • 27 % are Hispanic • 9 % are African Am  
 erican • 18 % are Non - U . S . Citizens Insider 8 % Independent 9 2% Average Tenure: ~ 7.8 Years \*  
 Reflects anticipated composition at '18 AGM 1 3 3 3 2 1 5 + Years 10 - 15 Years 6 - 9 Years 3 - 5 Years < 3  
 Years Broad Set of Backgrounds and Skills\* Name 2018 Tenure 2018 Age Senior Leadership Indus - trial  
 Global Financial Gov't Other Pub. Co. Director Exper. Risk Mgmt. Innovation & Technology Mar  
 keting D. Adamczyk ( President & CEO) 1 52 x x x x x J. Chico Pardo (Lead Director) 18 68 x x x x x  
 x D. Angelo 0 51 x x x x x W. Ayer 3 63 x x x x x x K. Burke 8 67 x x x x x x D. S. Davis 12 66 x x x x x  
 x x L. Deily 12 72 x x x x x x J. Gregg 7 71 x x x x x x C. Hollick 14 72 x x x x x G. Lieblein 5 57 x x x x x  
 G. Paz 9 62 x x x x x x R. Wasington 5 55 x x x x x

Board Structure Post Executive Chairman Cote Retirement Balanced Approach to Decision on Separation of Roles of Chair / CEO 15 Background • Honeywell's Chairman and former CEO, Dave Cote, is scheduled to retire at the April shareholder meeting • The Board conducted an open-minded, balanced discussion of whether to continue with separate roles of Chair and CEO or recombine roles • The Board concluded that shareholders are currently best served by having Mr. Adamczyk serve as Chairman and CEO Key Considerations • In midst of two significant spin-offs and strategic shift to becoming a leading software-industrial company, Honeywell will benefit from having a combined leadership structure • Honeywell's strong Lead Director counterbalances a combined CEO/Chairman leadership structure and provides an independent voice in the Board room • Our directors met 1:1 with many of our shareholders who have different perspectives on whether separating the roles of Chair/CEO creates shareholder and strategic value • No agreement among scholars as to whether a combined CEO/Chairman leadership structure creates shareholder value • The Board believes that Mr. Adamczyk has the character and quality of leadership to serve in both roles and that his service as both Chairman and CEO will enhance company performance • Honeywell's shareholders have historically benefited from a united leadership structure • Among Honeywell's 16 compensation peers, only one peer has separated the roles of Chair / CEO

Management Proposal to Reduce Threshold for Calling Special Meeting Management Special Meeting Proposal  
 Consistent with HON Governance Best Practices 16 • Honeywell's Board will seek shareholder approval to reduce threshold for calling a special meeting from 20% to 15% - Proponent who submitted shareholder proposal to effect a 10 % reduction agreed to withdraw • Management proposal also simplifies text in Honeywell's Certificate of Incorporation for determining whether 15% threshold has been met - Proposed amendment for determining what "ownership" means utilizes the same mechanism as the proxy access provision that is used to determine whether 3 % ownership threshold has been met • Rationale for management proposal: - Based on extensive engagement with shareholders, Board believes that a lower threshold will be appreciated by many shareholders - Board reviewed mechanisms for shareholder democracy at peers – e.g., right to call special meeting and action by written consent – and found that a 15% threshold is lower than most peers and the vast majority of S&P500 companies with special meeting rights - Board believes this is a measured, prudent way to increase shareholder democracy without risk that minority of shareholders will abuse governance mechanisms or be unduly disruptive

2018 Shareowner Proposals Year - Over - Year Shareowner Support for Management on These Proposals 17 Report on Lobbying Payments and Policy • HON in “First Tier” for fourth consecutive year according to CPA - Zicklin Index • Our rigorous compliance process ensures that HON’s political activities are lawful, properly disclosed and aligns with our Code of Business Conduct • HON’s lobbying activities and memberships in trade associations have not been a source of concern for our largest shareowners • HON has not made any political contributions using corporate funds since at least 2009 • HON submits public quarterly lobbying disclosures in accordance with federal law which provide timely and detailed information on lobbying expenditures • 6 th year that HON has received this proposal Independent Board Chairman • Board has chosen to recombine the roles of Chair and CEO in Darius Adamczyk • Board thoroughly explored the benefits and challenges of this decision • Factors the Board considered: » Degree to which a unified leadership structure would enable HON to successfully execute its significant portfolio restructuring » Evaluation of Mr. Adamczyk’s character and leadership skills » HON’s track record of outperformance under a unified leadership structure » Continuous strengthening of Lead Director role » View of most of HON’s shareowners that the Board has demonstrated the ability to make the right determination regarding its leadership structure • 10 th year that HON has received this proposal

Board Oversight of Environmental and Social (E&S) Issues Honeywell's Board Takes Lead on E&S Issues 18 • Honeywell's Board oversees E&S issues in three principal ways: » Corporate Governance and Responsibility Committee (CGRC) has primary "jurisdiction" for managing risks and opportunities associated with E & S » Honeywell has a very robust, comprehensive Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) program which covers these topics with significant engagement from the entire Board » Regular updates to the entire Board by various members of senior management • CGRC regularly scheduled meetings: » CGRC meets at least annually with HON's Vice President, Health, Safety, Environment, Product Stewardship and Sustainability, Senior Vice President, Government Relations, and Senior Vice President, HR, responsible for compliance and diversity • ERM process includes 1:1 input from the Board (which has traditionally focused on E & S) which is then "flowed" down through the risk assessment process • Periodic presentations to the Board on E&S subjects. In past 12 months, these include: Overall Review of Sustainability Measures Sexual Harassment Compliance Programs Employee Diversity Initiatives Environmental Remediation Track Record Energy Efficiency Initiatives Safety Greenhouse Gas Reduction

Climate Change Reporting Robust CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions and Energy Efficiency Reporting 19 Honeywell reports on its global greenhouse gas emissions publicly through CDP (formerly Carbon Disclosure Project) and reports submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the United Kingdom Environment Agency. A qualified third party has provided limited assurance per ISO 14064 - 3 of Honeywell's 2011 - 2016 Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas emissions inventories. • Honeywell beat its first public goal to reduce global greenhouse gases by more than 30% and improve energy efficiency by more than 20% between 2004 and 2011. • A second five-year goal, set to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by an additional 15% per dollar of revenue from 2011 levels, was met three years early. • By 2019, Honeywell will reduce its greenhouse gas emissions per dollar of revenue from 2013 levels by an additional 10%.

Append i x 20



Reconciliation of EPS to EPS, Excluding Pension Mark - to - Market Expense, Debt Refinancing Expense, Separation Costs, Impacts from Tax Legislation ("Tax Reform"), and Earnings Attributable to 2016 Divestitures 21 (1) Utilizes weighted average shares of 775.3 million. Pension mark - to - market expense uses a blended tax rate of 21.3%, debt re financing expense uses a tax rate of 26.5%, and earnings attributable to 2016 divestitures uses a blended tax rate of 33.9%. (2) Utilizes weighted average shares of 772.1 million. Pension mark - to - market expense uses a blended tax rate of 23%. We believe EPS, excluding pension mark - to - market expense, debt refinancing expense, separation costs, impacts from tax reform, a nd earnings attributable to 2016 divestitures, is a measure that is useful to investors and management in understanding our ongoing operations and in analysis of ongoing operating trends. 2016 (1) 2017 (2) Earnings per share of common stock - assuming dilution (EPS) \$6.20 \$2.14 Pension Mark-to-Market Expense 0.28 0.09 Debt Refinancing Expense 0.12 - Impacts from Separation Costs - 0.02 Impacts from Tax Reform - 4.86 EPS, Excluding Pension Mark-to-Market Expense, Debt Refinancing Expense, Separation Costs and Impacts from Tax Reform \$6.60 \$7.11 EPS, Attributable to 2016 Divestitures (0.14) - EPS, Excluding Pension Mark-to-Market Expense, Debt Refinancing Expense, Separation Costs, Impacts from Tax Reform and 2016 Divestitures \$6.46 \$7.11

Reconciliation of Net Income Attributable to Honeywell to Net Income Attributable to Honeywell Excluding Pension Mark - to - Market Expense, Debt Refinancing Expense, Separation Costs, and Impacts from Tax Reform 22 (1) Pension mark - to - market expense uses a blended tax rate of 36.1%, 21.3% and 23% for 2015, 2016 and 2017. (2) Debt refinancing expense uses a tax rate of 26.5% for 2016. We believe net income , excluding pension mark - to - market expense, debt refinancing expense, separation costs, and impacts from tax reform, is a measure that is useful to investors and management in understanding our ongoing operations and in analysis of ongoing operating trends. (\$M)

|      |      |      |                                      |         |         |         |                                                |    |     |    |                                          |   |    |   |                                           |   |   |    |                         |   |   |       |                                                                                                                                                        |         |         |         |
|------|------|------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------------------------------|----|-----|----|------------------------------------------|---|----|---|-------------------------------------------|---|---|----|-------------------------|---|---|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Net Income Attributable to Honeywell | \$4,768 | \$4,809 | \$1,655 | Pension Mark-to-Market Expense, Net of Tax (1) | 43 | 215 | 67 | Debt Refinancing Expense, Net of Tax (2) | - | 93 | - | Impacts from Separation Costs, Net of Tax | - | - | 14 | Impacts from Tax Reform | - | - | 3,754 | Net Income Attributable to Honeywell, Excluding Pension Mark-to-Market Expense, Debt Refinancing Expense, Separation Costs and Impacts from Tax Reform | \$4,811 | \$5,117 | \$5,490 |
|------|------|------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------------------------------|----|-----|----|------------------------------------------|---|----|---|-------------------------------------------|---|---|----|-------------------------|---|---|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|

Reconciliation of Organic Sales % Change 23 We define organic sales percent as the year - over - year change in reported sales relative to the comparable period, excluding the impact on sales from foreign currency translation and acquisitions, net of divestitures. We believe this measure is useful to investors and management in understanding our ongoing operations and in analysis of ongoing operating trends. A quantitative reconciliation of reported sales percent change to organic sales percent change has not been provided for forward - looking measures of organic sales percent change because management cannot reliably predict or estimate, without unreasonable effort, the fluctuations in global currency markets that impact foreign currency translation, nor is it reasonable for management to predict the timing, occurrence and impact of acquisition and divestiture transactions, all of which could significantly impact our reported sales percent change . 2017 Reported sales % change 3% Less: Foreign Currency Translation - Less: Acquisitions and Divestitures, Net (1%) Organic Sales % Change 4%

Reconciliation of Segment Profit to Operating Income and Calculation of Segment Profit and Operating Income Margins 24 (1) Included in cost of products and services sold and selling, general and administrative expenses. (2) Includes repositioning, asbestos, environmental expenses and equity income adjustment. (3) Included in cost of products and services sold, selling, general and administrative expenses, and other income/expense. We define segment profit as operating income, excluding stock compensation expense, pension ongoing income or expense, pension mark-to-market expense, other postretirement income or expense, and repositioning and other charges. We believe these measures are useful to investors and management in understanding our ongoing operations and in analysis of ongoing operating trends. A quantitative reconciliation of segment profit, on an overall Honeywell basis, to operating income has not been provided for all forward-looking measures of segment profit and segment margin included herewithin. Management cannot reliably predict or estimate, without unreasonable effort, the impact and timing on future operating results arising from items excluded from segment profit, particularly pension mark-to-market expense as it is dependent on macroeconomic factors, such as interest rates and the return generated on invested pension plan assets. The information that is unavailable to provide a quantitative reconciliation could have a significant impact on our reported financial results. To the extent quantitative information becomes available without unreasonable effort in the future, and closer to the period to which the forward-looking measures pertain, a reconciliation of segment profit to operating income will be included within future presentations. (\$M) 2016 2017 Segment profit \$7,186 \$7,690 Stock compensation expense (1) (184) (176) Repositioning and other (2,3) (679) (1,010) Pension ongoing income (1) 601 713 Pension mark-to-market expense (1) (273) (87) Other postretirement income (1) 32 21 Operating income \$6,683 \$7,151 Segment profit \$7,186 \$7,690 ÷ Sales \$39,302 \$40,534 Segment profit margin % 18.3% 19.0% Operating income \$6,683 \$7,151 ÷ Sales \$39,302 \$40,534 Operating income margin % 17.0% 17.6%

Reconciliation of Cash Provided by Operating Activities to Free Cash Flow 25 We define free cash flow as cash provided by operating activities less cash expenditures for property, plant and equipment. We believe that this metric is useful to investors and management as a measure of cash generated by business operations that will be used to repay scheduled debt maturities and can be used to invest in future growth through new business development activities or acquisitions, pay dividends, repurchase stock or repay debt obligations prior to their maturities. This metric can also be used to evaluate our ability to generate cash flow from business operations and the impact that this cash flow has on our liquidity. (\$M) 2016 2017 Cash Provided by Operating Activities \$5,498 \$5,966 Expenditures for Property, Plant and Equipment (1,095) (1,031) Free Cash Flow \$4,403 \$4,935