

DEAN FOODS CO  
Form 10-Q  
August 10, 2015  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q  
(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2015

or  
 Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the Transition Period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission File Number 001-12755

Dean Foods Company  
(Exact name of the registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 75-2559681  
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. employer  
incorporation or organization) identification no.)

2711 North Haskell Avenue, Suite 3400  
Dallas, Texas 75204  
(214) 303-3400

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of the registrant's principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) Yes  No

As of August 6, 2015, the number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding was: 94,429,891.  
Common Stock, par value \$.01

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Part I — Financial Information</u>	
Item 1 — <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>3</u>
Item 2 — <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>25</u>
Item 3 — <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>36</u>
Item 4 — <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Part II — Other Information</u>	
Item 1 — <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>37</u>
Item 1A — <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>37</u>
Item 6 — <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>39</u>

Table of Contents

## Part I — Financial Information

## Item 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

## DEAN FOODS COMPANY

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except share data)

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 105,690	\$ 16,362
Receivables, net allowances of \$13,064 and \$14,850	614,075	747,630
Income tax receivable	16,365	64,443
Inventories	244,585	251,831
Deferred income taxes	45,090	50,362
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	39,003	49,432
Total current assets	1,064,808	1,180,060
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,140,784	1,172,596
Goodwill	86,841	86,841
Identifiable intangible and other assets, net	177,477	294,724
Deferred income taxes	39,009	35,415
Total	\$2,508,919	\$2,769,636
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 700,531	\$ 774,900
Current portion of debt	1,200	698
Current portion of litigation settlements	17,977	18,853
Total current liabilities	719,708	794,451
Long-term debt	838,066	916,481
Deferred income taxes	94,781	137,944
Other long-term liabilities	282,195	276,318
Long-term litigation settlements	—	17,124
Commitments and contingencies (Note 12)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, none issued	—	—
Common stock, 94,430,411 and 94,080,840 shares issued and outstanding, with a par value of \$0.01 per share	944	941
Additional paid-in capital	743,918	752,375
Accumulated deficit	(88,236	) (41,015 )
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(82,457	) (84,983 )
Total stockholders' equity	574,169	627,318
Total	\$2,508,919	\$2,769,636

See Notes to unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of ContentsDEAN FOODS COMPANY  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except share data)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net sales	\$2,014,706	\$2,393,869	\$4,065,468	\$4,734,909
Cost of sales	1,519,065	1,994,781	3,091,518	3,919,646
Gross profit	495,641	399,088	973,950	815,263
Operating costs and expenses:				
Selling and distribution	338,092	334,932	676,276	674,311
General and administrative	87,243	70,777	174,719	143,076
Amortization of intangibles	8,206	717	8,912	1,461
Facility closing and reorganization costs	5,408	728	6,653	1,705
Impairment of intangible assets	—	—	109,910	—
Litigation settlements	—	—	—	(2,521)
Other operating income	—	(4,535)	—	(4,535)
Total operating costs and expenses	438,949	402,619	976,470	813,497
Operating income (loss)	56,692	(3,531)	(2,520)	1,766
Other (income) expense:				
Interest expense	16,974	15,221	33,502	30,244
Loss on early retirement of long- term debt	—	—	43,609	—
Other (income) expense, net	(294)	48	(740)	(273)
Total other (income) expense	16,680	15,269	76,371	29,971
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	40,012	(18,800)	(78,891)	(28,205)
Income tax expense (benefit)	13,493	(17,837)	(31,759)	(17,450)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	26,519	(963)	(47,132)	(10,755)
Gain (loss) on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax	—	318	(89)	1,154
Net income (loss)	\$26,519	\$(645)	\$(47,221)	\$(9,601)
Average common shares:				
Basic	94,386,346	93,561,305	94,307,676	93,977,672
Diluted	94,900,339	93,561,305	94,307,676	93,977,672
Basic income (loss) per common share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.28	\$(0.01)	\$(0.50)	\$(0.11)
Income from discontinued operations	—	—	—	0.01
Net income (loss)	\$0.28	\$(0.01)	\$(0.50)	\$(0.10)
Diluted income (loss) per common share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.28	\$(0.01)	\$(0.50)	\$(0.11)
Income from discontinued operations	—	—	—	0.01
Net income (loss)	\$0.28	\$(0.01)	\$(0.50)	\$(0.10)
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$0.07	\$0.07	\$0.14	\$0.14

See Notes to unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DEAN FOODS COMPANY  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
 (Unaudited)  
 (In thousands)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net income (loss)	\$26,519	\$(645)	\$(47,221)	\$(9,601)
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Cumulative translation adjustments	(191)	) 166	(361)	) 721
Net change in fair value of derivative instruments, net of tax	—	(69)	) (87)	) (85)
Net pension and other postretirement liability adjustment, net of tax	1,510	832	2,974	1,537
Reclassification to income statement related to de-designation of cash flow hedges	—	—	—	(220)
Other comprehensive income	1,319	929	2,526	1,953
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$27,838	\$284	\$(44,695)	\$(7,648)

See Notes to unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DEAN FOODS COMPANY  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
 (Unaudited)  
 (In thousands, except share data)

	Common Stock			Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital			
Balance, December 31, 2014	94,080,840	\$941	\$ 752,375	\$ (41,015 )	\$ (84,983 )	\$ 627,318
Issuance of common stock, net of tax impact of share-based compensation	349,571	3	733	—	—	736
Share-based compensation expense	—	—	4,022	—	—	4,022
Cash dividends paid	—	—	(13,212 )	—	—	(13,212 )
Net loss	—	—	—	(47,221 )	—	(47,221 )
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Change in fair value of derivative instruments, net of tax benefit of \$54	—	—	—	—	(87 )	(87 )
Cumulative translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	(361 )	(361 )
Pension and other postretirement benefit liability adjustment, net of tax of \$1,803	—	—	—	—	2,974	2,974
Balance, June 30, 2015	94,430,411	\$944	\$ 743,918	\$ (88,236 )	\$ (82,457 )	\$ 574,169

See Notes to unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DEAN FOODS COMPANY  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
 (Unaudited)  
 (In thousands, except share data)

	Common Stock			Retained	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	
Balance, December 31, 2013	94,831,377	\$948	\$ 791,276	\$ (20,719 )	\$ (57,190 )	\$ 714,315
Issuance of common stock, net of tax impact of share-based compensation	652,799	7	4,170	—	—	4,177
Share-based compensation expense	—	—	2,247	—	—	2,247
Repurchase of common stock	(1,727,275 )	(17 )	(24,983 )	—	—	(25,000 )
Cash dividend paid	—	—	(13,089 )	—	—	(13,089 )
Net loss	—	—	—	(9,601 )	—	(9,601 )
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Change in fair value of derivative instruments, net of tax benefit of \$21	—	—	—	—	(85 )	(85 )
Amounts reclassified to income statement related to de-designation of cash flow hedges, net of tax benefit of \$139	—	—	—	—	(220 )	(220 )
Cumulative translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	721	721
Pension and other postretirement benefit liability adjustment, net of tax of \$1,531	—	—	—	—	1,537	1,537
Balance, June 30, 2014	93,756,901	\$938	\$ 759,621	\$ (30,320 )	\$ (55,237 )	\$ 675,002

See Notes to unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DEAN FOODS COMPANY  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 (Unaudited)  
 (In thousands)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30	
	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$(47,221	) \$(9,601
(Gain) loss on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax	89	(1,154
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows from operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	86,965	81,694
Share-based compensation expense	6,918	5,250
Gain on divestitures and other, net	(3,673	) (6,420
Impairment of intangible assets	109,910	—
Loss on early retirement of long-term debt	43,609	—
Deferred income taxes	(43,818	) 51,197
Litigation settlements	—	(2,521
Other, net	(432	) 1,834
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Receivables, net	133,109	32,633
Inventories	7,246	(6,778
Prepaid expenses and other assets	13,513	13,402
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(63,512	) (51,259
Income tax receivable/payable	47,921	(64,404
Long-term litigation settlements	(18,853	) (18,605
Net cash provided by operating activities	271,771	25,268
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Payments for property, plant and equipment	(48,051	) (53,622
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets	12,815	17,556
Net cash used in investing activities	(35,236	) (36,066
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repayments of debt, net	(600	) (329
Early retirement of long-term debt	(476,188	) —
Premiums paid on early retirement of debt	(37,309	) —
Payments of financing costs	(15,091	) (1,107
Proceeds from senior secured revolver	343,970	1,317,194
Payments for senior secured revolver	(414,271	) (1,315,935
Proceeds from receivables-backed facility	685,000	1,281,000
Payments for receivables-backed facility	(920,000	) (1,194,000
Proceeds from issuance of 2023 notes	700,000	—
Common stock repurchase	—	(25,000
Cash dividends paid	(13,212	) (13,089
Issuance of common stock, net of share repurchases for withholding taxes	939	4,953
Tax savings on share-based compensation	199	284
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(146,563	) 53,971
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(644	) (171
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	89,328	43,002
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	16,362	16,762



Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 105,690	\$ 59,764
--	------------	-----------

See Notes to unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DEAN FOODS COMPANY

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

(Unaudited)

1. General

**Nature of Our Business** — We are a leading food and beverage company and the largest processor and direct-to-store distributor of milk and other dairy and dairy case products in the United States. We have aligned our leadership teams, operating strategies and supply chain initiatives under a single operating and reportable segment. We manufacture, market and distribute a wide variety of branded and private label dairy case products, including fluid milk, ice cream, cultured dairy products, creamers, ice cream mix and other dairy products across the United States. Our portfolio includes DairyPure<sup>®</sup>, our recently launched national white milk brand; and TruMoo<sup>®</sup>, a leading national flavored milk brand, along with well-known regional dairy brands. In all, we have more than 50 local and regional dairy brands and private labels.

**Basis of Presentation** — The unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q have been prepared on the same basis as the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (the “2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K”), which we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 17, 2015. In our opinion, we have made all necessary adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) to present fairly, in all material respects, our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows as of the dates and for the periods presented. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) have been omitted. Our results of operations for the three and six month period ended June 30, 2015 may not be indicative of our operating results for the full year. The unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Unless otherwise indicated, references in this report to “we,” “us” or “our” or “the Company” refer to Dean Foods Company and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole.

**Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued FASB Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity, which changes the criteria for determining which disposals can be presented as discontinued operations and modifies related disclosure requirements. We were required to adopt the standard prospectively for new disposals and new classifications of disposal groups as held for sale beginning the first quarter of 2015. The adoption of this standard had no material impact on our financial statements as of June 30, 2015.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in “Revenue Recognition (Topic 605)”, and requires an entity to recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled to in exchange for those goods or services. In July 2015, the FASB deferred the effective date by one year to December 15, 2017, for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after that date. This standard also permits early adoption, but not before the original effective date of December 15, 2016. We are currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of this standard will have on our financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Compensation — Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period. ASU No. 2014-12 requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period should be treated as a performance condition. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance in Topic 718 as it relates to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting to account for such awards. As such, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. ASU 2014-12 is effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of this

standard will have on our financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. ASU 2014-15 provides guidance on determining when and how to disclose going concern uncertainties in the financial statements. The new standard requires management to perform interim and annual assessments of an entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year of the date the financial statements are issued. An entity must

Table of Contents

provide certain disclosures if conditions or events raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. ASU 2014-15 applies to all entities and is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016, and interim periods thereafter, with early adoption permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on our financial statements.

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015- 01, Extraordinary and Unusual Items - Simplifying Income Statement Presentation by Eliminating the Concept of Extraordinary Items. ASU 2015-01 eliminates the concept of extraordinary items and their segregation from the results of ordinary operations and expands presentation and disclosure guidance to include items that are both unusual in nature and occur infrequently. The new accounting standard is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2015 and we are assessing the potential impact to our financial statements and financial statement disclosures.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015- 03, Imputation of Interest - Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. ASU 2015-03 requires debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability to be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts or premiums. The recognition and measurement guidance for debt issuance costs are not affected by this ASU. The amendments in this ASU are effective retrospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently assessing the potential impact to our financial statements and financial statement disclosures.

## 2. Discontinued Operations

**WhiteWave** — We separated The WhiteWave Foods Company ("WhiteWave") from the Company in a series of three transactions: an initial public offering for 13.3% of the WhiteWave common stock in the fourth quarter of 2012 (the "WhiteWave IPO"); a tax-free spin-off of 66.8% of the WhiteWave common stock in the second quarter of 2013; and a tax-free debt-for-equity exchange of 19.9% of the WhiteWave common stock in the third quarter of 2013. Refer to Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information about the WhiteWave separation. While we are a party to certain commercial agreements with WhiteWave, we have determined that the continuing cash flows generated by these agreements (which ended in June 2015) did not constitute significant continuing involvement in the operations of WhiteWave and are not reflected in results from discontinued operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014.

**Other Discontinued Operations** — During the six months ended June 30, 2015, we recognized a \$0.1 million charge, net of tax, and during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, we recognized net gains of \$0.3 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, related to prior discontinued operations.

## 3. Inventories

Inventories, net of obsolescence reserves of \$0.9 million and \$0.7 million at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(In thousands)	
Raw materials and supplies	\$93,518	\$100,587
Finished goods	151,067	151,244
Total	\$244,585	\$251,831

## 4. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

As of June 30, 2015, the gross carrying value of goodwill was \$2.2 billion and accumulated impairment was \$2.1 billion. The company took an impairment charge of \$2.1 billion in 2011 with no impairment charges in subsequent years. The net carrying amount of goodwill at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 was \$86.8 million.

Table of Contents

The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of our intangible assets other than goodwill as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 are as follows:

	June 30, 2015				December 31, 2014		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Impairment	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
	(In thousands)						
Intangible assets with indefinite lives:							
Trademarks	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$221,681	\$—	\$221,681
Intangible assets with finite lives:							
Customer-related and other	49,225	—	(32,442 )	16,783	49,225	(31,153 )	18,072
Trademarks	229,777	(109,910 )	(12,938 )	106,929	8,096	(5,315 )	2,781
Total	\$279,002	\$(109,910 )	\$(45,380 )	\$123,712	\$279,002	\$(36,468 )	\$242,534

Prior to 2015, certain of our trademarks were not amortized because they had indefinite remaining useful lives as our intent was to continue to use these intangible assets indefinitely. During the first quarter of 2015, we approved the launch of DairyPure®; our fresh white milk national brand. In connection with the approval of the launch of DairyPure®, we changed our indefinite lived trademarks to finite lived, resulting in a triggering event for impairment testing purposes. Based upon our analysis, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$109.9 million and related income tax benefit of \$41.2 million in the first quarter of 2015. The remaining balance for these trademarks is currently being amortized on a straight-line basis over the next five years, which is our estimate of the remaining useful life of these assets. The impairment charge is reported on a separate line item, impairment of intangible assets, in our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

We estimated the fair value of these trademarks based on an income approach using the relief-from-royalty method. This approach is dependent on a number of factors, including estimates of future growth and trends, royalty rates in the category of intellectual property, discount rates and other variables. We base our fair value estimates on assumptions we believe to be reasonable, but which are unpredictable and inherently uncertain.

Amortization expense on intangible assets for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$8.2 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. Amortization expense on intangible assets for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$8.9 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. The amortization of intangible assets is reported on a separate line item in our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Estimated aggregate intangible asset amortization expense for the next five years is as follows (in millions):

2015	\$21.7
2016	25.3
2017	24.7
2018	24.6
2019	24.5

Table of Contents

## 5. Debt

Our outstanding debt as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2015			December 31, 2014		
	Amount	Interest		Amount	Interest	
	Outstanding	Rate		Outstanding	Rate	
(In thousands, except percentages)						
Dean Foods Company debt obligations:						
Senior secured credit facility	\$—	—	%	\$70,301	2.93	%*
Senior notes due 2016	—	—		475,819	7.00	
Senior notes due 2023	700,000	6.50		—	—	
	700,000			546,120		
Subsidiary debt obligations:						
Senior notes due 2017	136,038	6.90		134,913	6.90	
Receivables-backed facility	—	—		235,000	1.30	
Capital lease and other	3,228	—		1,146	—	
	139,266			371,059		
	839,266			917,179		
Less current portion	(1,200	)		(698	)	
Total long-term portion	\$838,066			\$916,481		

\*Represents a weighted average rate, including applicable interest rate margins, for the credit facility.

The scheduled debt maturities at June 30, 2015 were as follows (in thousands):

2015	\$1,200
2016	699
2017	142,586
2018	586
2019	157
Thereafter	700,000
Subtotal	845,228
Less discounts	(5,962)
Total outstanding debt	\$839,266

Dean Foods Company Senior Notes due 2023 — On February 25, 2015, we issued \$700 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.50% senior notes due 2023 (the “2023 Notes”) at an issue price of 100% of the principal amount of the 2023 Notes in a private placement for resale to “qualified institutional buyers” as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and in offshore transactions pursuant to Regulation S under the Securities Act.

In connection with the issuance of the 2023 Notes, the Company paid certain arrangement fees of approximately \$7.0 million to initial purchasers and other fees of approximately \$1.7 million, which were capitalized and will be amortized to interest expense over the remaining term of the 2023 Notes.

The 2023 Notes are senior unsecured obligations. Accordingly, the 2023 Notes rank equally in right of payment with all of our existing and future senior obligations and are effectively subordinated in right of payment to all of our existing and future secured obligations, including obligations under our senior secured credit facility and receivables-backed facility, to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such obligations. The 2023 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis, jointly and severally, by our subsidiaries that guarantee obligations under the senior secured credit facility.

The 2023 Notes will mature on March 15, 2023 and bear interest at an annual rate of 6.50%. Interest on the 2023 Notes will accrue from February 25, 2015 and is payable semi-annually in arrears in March and September of each year, commencing September 2015.

We may, at our option, redeem all or a portion of the 2023 Notes at any time on or after March 15, 2018 at the applicable redemption prices specified in the indenture governing the 2023 Notes (the "Indenture"), plus any accrued and

12

---

Table of Contents

unpaid interest to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date. We are also entitled to redeem up to 40% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2023 Notes before March 15, 2018 with the net cash proceeds that we receive from certain equity offerings at a redemption price equal to 106.5% of the principal amount of the 2023 Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date. In addition, prior to March 15, 2018, we may redeem all or a portion of the 2023 Notes, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus a “make-whole” premium and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date. If we undergo certain kinds of changes of control, holders of the 2023 Notes have the right to require us to repurchase all or any portion of such holder’s 2023 Notes at 101% of the principal amount of the notes being repurchased, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the date of repurchase.

The Indenture contains covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to: (i) create certain liens; (ii) enter into sale and lease-back transactions; (iii) assume, incur or guarantee indebtedness for borrowed money that is secured by a lien on certain principal properties (or on any shares of capital stock of our subsidiaries that own such principal property) without securing the 2023 Notes on a pari passu basis; and (iv) consolidate with or merge with or into, or sell, transfer, convey or lease all or substantially all of our properties and assets, taken as a whole, to another person. We used the net proceeds from the 2023 Notes to redeem all of our outstanding senior unsecured notes due 2016, as described below, and to repay a portion of the outstanding borrowings under our senior secured credit facility and receivables-backed facility.

**Senior Secured Credit Facility** — In July 2013, we executed a credit agreement pursuant to which the lenders provided us with a five-year senior secured revolving credit facility in the amount of up to \$750 million (the “Old Credit Facility”). The Old Credit Facility was amended in June 2014 and further amended in August 2014.

In March 2015, we terminated the Old Credit Facility, replacing it with the new credit facility described below. As a result of the termination, we recorded a write-off of unamortized debt issue costs of \$5.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015. The write-off was recorded in the loss on early retirement of long-term debt line in our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In March 2015, we executed a new credit agreement (the “Credit Agreement”) pursuant to which the lenders have provided us with a five-year revolving credit facility in the amount of up to \$450 million (the “New Credit Facility”). Under the Credit Agreement, we have the right to request an increase of the aggregate commitments under the New Credit Facility by up to \$200 million without the consent of any lenders not participating in such increase, subject to specified conditions. The New Credit Facility is available for the issuance of up to \$75 million of letters of credit and up to \$100 million of swing line loans. The New Credit Facility will terminate in March 2020.

In connection with the execution of the New Credit Facility, we paid certain arrangement fees of approximately \$4.8 million to lenders and other fees of approximately \$0.8 million, which were capitalized and will be amortized to interest expense over the remaining term of the facility.

Loans outstanding under the New Credit Facility will bear interest, at our option, at either (i) the LIBO Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement) plus a margin of between 2.25% and 2.75% (2.25% as of June 30, 2015) based on the Total Net Leverage Ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement), or (ii) the Alternate Base Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement) plus a margin of between 1.25% and 1.75% (1.25% as of June 30, 2015) based on the Total Net Leverage Ratio.

We may make optional prepayments of the loans, in whole or in part, without premium or penalty (other than applicable breakage costs). Subject to certain exceptions and conditions described in the Credit Agreement, we will be obligated to prepay the New Credit Facility, but without a corresponding commitment reduction, with the net cash proceeds of certain asset sales and with casualty insurance proceeds. The New Credit Facility is guaranteed by our existing and future domestic material restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the agreement), which are substantially all of our wholly-owned U.S. subsidiaries other than the receivables backed securitization subsidiaries (the “Guarantors”). The New Credit Facility is secured by a first priority perfected security interest in substantially all of our assets and the assets of the Guarantors, whether consisting of personal, tangible or intangible property, including a pledge of, and a perfected security interest in, (i) all of the shares of capital stock of the Guarantors and (ii) 65% of the shares of capital stock of the Guarantor’s first-tier foreign subsidiaries which are material restricted subsidiaries, in each case subject to certain exceptions as set forth in the Credit Agreement. The collateral does not include, among other things,



(a) any real property with an individual net book value below \$10 million, (b) the capital stock and any assets of any unrestricted subsidiary, (c) any capital stock of any direct or indirect subsidiary of Dean Holding Company ("Legacy Dean") which owns any real property, or (d) receivables sold pursuant to the receivables securitization facility.

Table of Contents

The Credit Agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants, including, but not limited to specified restrictions on indebtedness, liens, guarantee obligations, mergers, acquisitions, consolidations, liquidations and dissolutions, sales of assets, leases, payment of dividends and other restricted payments, investments, loans and advances, transactions with affiliates and sale and leaseback transactions. The Credit Agreement also contains customary events of default and related cure provisions. We are required to comply with (a) a maximum senior secured net leverage ratio of 2.50x (which, for purposes of calculating indebtedness, excludes borrowings under our receivables securitization facility); and (b) a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of 2.25x.

At June 30, 2015, there were no outstanding borrowings under the New Credit Facility. Our combined average daily balance during the six months ended June 30, 2015 under both the Old Credit Facility and New Credit Facility was \$11.8 million. There were no letters of credit issued under the New Credit Facility as of June 30, 2015.

**Dean Foods Receivables-Backed Facility** — We have a \$550 million receivables securitization facility pursuant to which certain of our subsidiaries sell their accounts receivable to two wholly-owned entities intended to be bankruptcy-remote. The entities then transfer the receivables to third-party asset-backed commercial paper conduits sponsored by major financial institutions. The assets and liabilities of these two entities are fully reflected in our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the securitization is treated as a borrowing for accounting purposes. In June 2014, the receivables-backed facility was modified to, among other things, increase the amount available for the issuance of letters of credit from \$300 million to \$350 million and to extend the liquidity termination date from March 2015 to June 2017. The receivables-backed facility was further amended in August 2014 to be consistent with the amended financial covenants under the credit agreement governing the Old Credit Facility. In March 2015, the receivables-backed facility was further modified to, among other things, extend the liquidity termination date from June 2017 to March 2018 and modify the consolidated and senior secured net leverage ratio requirements to be consistent with those contained in the Credit Agreement described above.

In connection with the modification of the receivables-backed facility, we paid certain arrangement fees of approximately \$0.7 million to lenders, which were capitalized and will be amortized to interest expense over the remaining term of the facility.

Based on the monthly borrowing base formula, we had the ability to borrow up to \$493.1 million of the total commitment amount under the receivables-backed facility as of June 30, 2015. The total amount of receivables sold to these entities as of June 30, 2015 was \$562.6 million. During the first six months of 2015, we borrowed \$685.0 million and repaid \$920.0 million under the facility with no remaining drawn balance as of June 30, 2015. Excluding letters of credit in the aggregate amount of \$145.9 million, the remaining available borrowing capacity was \$347.2 million at June 30, 2015. Our average daily balance under this facility during the six months ended June 30, 2015 was \$87.1 million. The receivables-backed facility bears interest at a variable rate based upon commercial paper and one-month LIBO rates plus an applicable margin based on our net leverage ratio.

**Standby Letter of Credit** — In February 2012, in connection with a litigation settlement agreement we entered into with the plaintiffs in the Tennessee dairy farmer actions, we issued a standby letter of credit in the amount of \$80 million, representing the approximate amount of subsequent payments due under the terms of the settlement agreement. The total amount of the letter of credit will decrease proportionately as we make each of the four installment payments. We made our second and third annual installment payments in June of 2014 and 2015. As of June 30, 2015, the letter of credit has been reduced to \$18.9 million in respect of the final annual installment payment due in June 2016.

**Dean Foods Company Senior Notes due 2016** — In March 2015, we redeemed the remaining principal amount of \$476.2 million of our outstanding senior notes due 2016 at a total redemption price of approximately \$521.8 million. As a result, we recorded a \$38.3 million pre-tax loss on early retirement of long-term debt in the first quarter of 2015, which consisted of debt redemption premiums and unpaid interest of \$37.3 million, a write-off of unamortized long-term debt issue costs of \$0.8 million and write-off of the remaining bond discount and interest rate swaps of approximately \$0.2 million. The loss was recorded in the loss on early retirement of long-term debt line in our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The redemption was financed with proceeds from the issuance of the 2023 Notes.

**Subsidiary Senior Notes due 2017** — Legacy Dean had certain senior notes outstanding at the time of its acquisition, of which one series (\$142 million aggregate principal amount) remains outstanding and matures in October 2017. The

carrying value of these notes on June 30, 2015 was \$136.0 million at 6.90% interest. The indenture governing the Legacy Dean senior notes does not contain financial covenants but does contain certain restrictions, including a prohibition against Legacy Dean and its subsidiaries granting liens on certain of their real property interests and a prohibition against Legacy Dean granting liens on the stock of its subsidiaries. The Legacy Dean senior notes are not guaranteed by Dean Foods Company or

Table of Contents

Legacy Dean's wholly-owned subsidiaries.

See Note 6 for information regarding the fair value of the 2023 Notes and the subsidiary senior notes due 2017 as of June 30, 2015.

Capital Lease Obligations and Other — Capital lease obligations as of June 30, 2015, included our land and building lease, as well as leases for equipment. Capital lease obligations, as of December 31, 2014 included a lease for land and building related to one of our production facilities. See Note 12.

#### 6. Derivative Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements

##### Derivative Financial Instruments

Commodities — We are exposed to commodity price fluctuations, including milk, butterfat, sweeteners and other commodity costs used in the manufacturing, packaging and distribution of our products, such as natural gas, resin and diesel fuel. To secure adequate supplies of materials and bring greater stability to the cost of ingredients and their related manufacturing, packaging and distribution, we routinely enter into forward purchase contracts and other purchase arrangements with suppliers. Under the forward purchase contracts, we commit to purchasing agreed-upon quantities of ingredients and commodities at agreed-upon prices at specified future dates. The outstanding purchase commitment for these commodities at any point in time typically ranges from one month's to one year's anticipated requirements, depending on the ingredient or commodity. These contracts are considered normal purchases.

In addition to entering into forward purchase contracts, from time to time we may purchase over-the-counter contracts from our qualified banking partners or enter into exchange-traded commodity futures contracts for raw materials that are ingredients of our products or components of such ingredients. Effective January 1, 2014, we de-designated all open commodity derivative positions that were previously designated as cash flow hedges. During the first quarter of 2014, we reclassified \$0.2 million, net of tax, of hedging activity related to these commodities contracts from accumulated other comprehensive income into operating income. As of the de-designation date, all commodities contracts are now marked to market in our income statement at each reporting period and a derivative asset or liability is recorded on our balance sheet.

Although we may utilize forward purchase contracts and other instruments to mitigate the risks related to commodity price fluctuation, such strategies do not fully mitigate commodity price risk. Adverse movements in commodity prices over the terms of the contracts or instruments could decrease the economic benefits we derive from these strategies. At June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, our derivatives recorded at fair value in our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets consisted of the following:

	Derivative Assets		Derivative Liabilities	
	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(In thousands)			
Derivatives not Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Commodities contracts — current(1)	\$352	\$2	\$2,431	\$4,392
Total derivatives	\$352	\$2	\$2,431	\$4,392

Derivative assets and liabilities that have settlement dates equal to or less than 12 months from the respective (1) balance sheet date are included in prepaid expenses and other current assets and accounts payable and accrued expenses, respectively, in our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents

## Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering assumptions, we follow a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

Level 1 — Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 — Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active and model-derived valuations, in which all significant inputs are observable in active markets.

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

A summary of our derivative assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2015 is as follows (in thousands):

	Fair Value as of June 30, 2015	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Asset — Commodities contracts	\$352	\$—	\$352	\$—
Liability — Commodities contracts	2,431	—	2,431	—

A summary of our derivative assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2014 is as follows (in thousands):

	Fair Value as of December 31, 2014	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Asset — Commodities contracts	\$2	\$—	\$2	\$—
Liability — Commodities contracts	4,392	—	4,392	—

Due to their near-term maturities, the carrying amounts of accounts receivable and accounts payable are considered equivalent to fair value. In addition, because the interest rates on our New Credit Facility, receivables-backed facility, and certain other debt are variable, their fair values approximate their carrying values.

The fair values of our Dean Foods Company senior notes and subsidiary senior notes were determined based on quoted market prices obtained through an external pricing source which derives its price valuations from daily marketplace transactions, with adjustments to reflect the spreads of benchmark bonds, credit risk and certain other variables. We have determined these fair values to be Level 2 measurements as all significant inputs into the quotes provided by our pricing source are observable in active markets. The following table presents the carrying values and fair values of our senior and subsidiary senior notes at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	June 30, 2015		December 31, 2014	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
	(In thousands)			
Dean Foods Company senior notes due 2023	\$700,000	\$716,625	\$—	\$—
Dean Foods Company senior notes due 2016	—	—	475,819	507,140
Subsidiary senior notes due 2017	136,038	150,520	134,913	151,230

Table of Contents

Additionally, we maintain a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (“SERP”), which is a nonqualified deferred compensation arrangement for our executive officers and other employees earning compensation in excess of the maximum compensation that can be taken into account with respect to our 401(k) plan. The SERP is designed to provide these employees with retirement benefits from us that are equivalent, as a percentage of total compensation, to the benefits provided to other employees. The assets related to the SERP are primarily invested in money market and mutual funds and are held at fair value. We classify these assets as Level 2 as fair value can be corroborated based on quoted market prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active. The following table presents a summary of the SERP assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Money market	\$204	\$—	\$204	\$—
Mutual funds	1,948	—	1,948	—

The following table presents a summary of the SERP assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Money market	\$12	\$—	\$12	\$—
Mutual funds	1,929	—	1,929	—

#### 7. Common Stock and Share-Based Compensation

**Cash Dividends**— In November 2013, we announced that our Board of Directors had adopted a cash dividend policy. Under the policy, holders of our common stock will receive dividends when and as declared by our Board of Directors. Pursuant to the policy, we expect to pay quarterly dividends of \$0.07 per share (\$0.28 per share annually). Quarterly dividends of \$0.07 per share were paid in March and June of 2015 and 2014, totaling approximately \$13.2 million and \$13.1 million for the year, respectively. Our cash dividend policy is subject to modification, suspension or cancellation at any time.

Our authorized shares of capital stock include one million shares of preferred stock and 250 million shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.01 per share, which reflects the amendment to our charter in 2014 to reduce authorized shares in connection with our reverse stock split.

**Stock Repurchase Program** — Since 1998, our Board of Directors has from time to time authorized the repurchase of our common stock up to an aggregate of \$2.38 billion, excluding fees and commissions. We made no share repurchases during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015. We repurchased 1,727,275 shares during the six months ended June 30, 2014. As of June 30, 2015, \$275.0 million was available for repurchases under this program (excluding fees and commissions). Our management is authorized to purchase shares from time to time through open market transactions at prevailing prices or in privately negotiated transactions, subject to market conditions and other factors. Shares, when repurchased, are retired.

**Stock Options** — The following table summarizes stock option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2015:

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding at January 1, 2015	3,691,567	\$20.13		
Granted	—	—		
Forfeited and canceled	(249,940)	) 22.03		
Exercised	(128,360)	) 16.31		
Options outstanding at June 30, 2015	3,313,267	\$20.13	2.35	\$3,366,099
Options exercisable at June 30, 2015	3,310,738	\$20.14	2.25	\$2,831,364

We recognize share-based compensation expense for stock options ratably over the vesting period. The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes valuation model. During each of the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, there were no stock options granted.



Table of Contents

Restricted Stock Units — The following table summarizes restricted stock units ("RSU") activity during the six months ended June 30, 2015:

	Employees	Directors	Total
RSUs at January 1, 2015	898,550	112,579	1,011,129
RSUs issued	371,292	44,821	416,113
Shares issued upon vesting of RSUs	(155,055 )	(48,069 )	(203,124 )
RSUs canceled or forfeited(1)	(91,005 )	(1,629 )	(92,634 )
RSUs outstanding at June 30, 2015	1,023,782	107,702	1,131,484
Weighted average grant date fair value	\$15.38	\$15.04	\$15.35

Pursuant to the terms of our plans, employees have the option of forfeiting RSUs to cover their minimum statutory (1) tax withholding when shares are issued. Any RSUs surrendered or canceled in satisfaction of participants' tax withholding obligations are not available for future grants under the plans.

Phantom Shares — We grant phantom shares as part of our long-term incentive compensation program, which are similar to RSUs in that they are based on the price of our stock and vest ratably over a three-year period, but are cash-settled based upon the value of our stock at each vesting period. The fair value of the awards is remeasured at each reporting period. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period with a corresponding liability, which is recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses in our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following table summarizes the phantom share activity during the six months ended June 30, 2015:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2015	1,036,331	\$ 15.91
Granted	690,456	16.31
Converted/paid	(484,516 )	16.43
Forfeited	(60,889 )	15.72
Outstanding at June 30, 2015	1,181,382	\$ 15.94

Share-Based Compensation Expense — The following table summarizes the share-based compensation expense recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
	(In thousands)			
Stock options	\$17	\$118	\$78	\$263
RSUs	1,784	1,179	3,944	2,387
Phantom shares	2,212	2,258	2,896	2,600
Total	\$4,013	\$3,555	\$6,918	\$5,250



Table of Contents

## 8. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share (“EPS”) is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted EPS is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and the effect of all dilutive common stock equivalents outstanding during each period. Stock option conversions and RSUs were not included in the computation of diluted loss per share for each of the six months ended June 30, 2015 or three and six months ended June 30, 2014, as we incurred a loss from continuing operations for these periods and any effect on loss per share would have been anti-dilutive. The following table reconciles the numerators and denominators used in the computations of both basic and diluted EPS:

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
	(In thousands, except share data)			
Basic earnings (loss) per share computation:				
Numerator:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$26,519	\$(963 )	\$(47,132 )	\$(10,755 )
Denominator:				
Average common shares	94,386,346	93,561,305	94,307,676	93,977,672
Basic earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$0.28	\$(0.01 )	\$(0.50 )	\$(0.11 )
Diluted earnings (loss) per share computation:				
Numerator:				
Net income (loss)	\$26,519	\$(963 )	\$(47,132 )	\$(10,755 )
Denominator:				
Average common shares — basic	94,386,346	93,561,305	94,307,676	93,977,672
Stock option conversion(1)	254,734	—	—	—
RSUs(2)	259,259	—	—	—
Average common shares — diluted	94,900,339	93,561,305	94,307,676	93,977,672
Diluted earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$0.28	\$(0.01 )	\$(0.50 )	\$(0.11 )
(1) Anti-dilutive common shares excluded	2,310,106	3,649,711	3,058,686	3,752,341
(2) Anti-dilutive stock units excluded	5,316	201,976	267,113	298,959

## 9. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component, net of tax, during the three months ended June 30, 2015 were as follows (in thousands):

	Changes in Cash Flow Hedges	Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits Items	Foreign Currency Items	Total
Balance at March 31, 2015	\$—	\$(82,415 )	\$(1,361 )	\$(83,776 )
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	—	2,979	(191 )	2,788
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	—	(1,469 )	—	(1,469 )
Net current-period other comprehensive income (loss)	—	1,510	(191 )	1,319
Balance at June 30, 2015	\$—	\$(80,905 )	\$(1,552 )	\$(82,457 )



Table of Contents

The changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component, net of tax, during the three months ended June 30, 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

	Changes in Cash Flow Hedges	Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits Items	Foreign Currency Items	Total
Balance at March 31, 2014	\$ 187	\$(56,519)	\$ 166	\$(56,166)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(69)	1,760	166	1,857
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	—	(928)	(1) —	(928)
Net current-period other comprehensive income (loss)	(69)	832	166	929
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 118	\$(55,687)	\$ 332	\$(55,237)

The accumulated other comprehensive loss reclassification components are related to amortization of unrecognized (1) actuarial losses and prior service costs, both of which are included in the computation of net periodic pension cost. See Note 10.

The changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component, net of tax, during the six months ended June 30, 2015 were as follows (in thousands):

	Changes in Cash Flow Hedges	Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits Items	Foreign Currency Items	Total
Balance, December 31, 2014	\$ 87	\$(83,879)	\$(1,191)	\$(84,983)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(87)	5,912	(361)	5,464
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss(1)	—	(2,938)	(1) —	(2,938)
Net current-period other comprehensive income (loss)	(87)	2,974	(361)	2,526
Balance, June 30, 2015	\$—	\$(80,905)	\$(1,552)	\$(82,457)

The accumulated other comprehensive loss reclassification components are related to amortization of unrecognized (1) actuarial losses and prior service costs, both of which are included in the computation of net periodic pension cost. See Note 10.

Table of Contents

The changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component, net of tax, during the six months ended June 30, 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

	Changes in Cash Flow Hedges	Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits Items	Foreign Currency Items	Total
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$423	\$(57,224 )	\$(389 )	\$(57,190 )
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(85 )	3,392	721	4,028
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	(220 )	(1) (1,855 )	(2) —	(2,075 )
Net current-period other comprehensive income (loss)	(305 )	1,537	721	1,953
Balance, June 30, 2014	\$118	\$(55,687 )	\$332	\$(55,237 )

The accumulated other comprehensive loss component is related to the hedging activity amount at December 31, (1)2013 that was reclassified to operating income as we de-designated our cash flow hedges, effective January 1, 2014. See Note 6.

The accumulated other comprehensive loss reclassification components are related to amortization of unrecognized (2)actuarial losses and prior service costs, both of which are included in the computation of net periodic pension cost.

See Note 10.

#### 10. Employee Retirement and Postretirement Benefits

We sponsor various defined benefit and defined contribution retirement plans, including various employee savings and profit sharing plans, and contribute to various multiemployer pension plans on behalf of our employees. All full-time union and non-union employees who have met requirements pursuant to the plans are eligible to participate in one or more of these plans.

Defined Benefit Plans — The benefits under our defined benefit plans are based on years of service and employee compensation. The following table sets forth the components of net periodic benefit cost for our defined benefit plans during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
	(In thousands)			
Components of net periodic benefit cost:				
Service cost	\$908	\$770	\$1,816	\$1,540
Interest cost	3,434	3,495	6,868	6,990
Expected return on plan assets	(4,938 )	(4,690 )	(9,876 )	(9,380 )
Amortizations:				
Prior service cost	214	197	428	394
Unrecognized net loss	2,136	1,276	4,272	2,552
Net periodic benefit cost	\$1,754	\$1,048	\$3,508	\$2,096

Table of Contents

Postretirement Benefits — Certain of our subsidiaries provide health care benefits to certain retirees who are covered under specific group contracts. The following table sets forth the components of net periodic benefit cost for our postretirement benefit plans during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
	(In thousands)			
Components of net periodic benefit cost:				
Service cost	\$205	\$206	\$410	\$412
Interest cost	364	416	728	832
Amortizations:				
Prior service cost	23	16	46	32
Unrecognized net loss	16	19	32	38
Net periodic benefit cost	\$608	\$657	\$1,216	\$1,314

#### 11. Asset Impairment Charges and Facility Closing and Reorganization Costs

##### Asset Impairment Charges

We evaluate our long-lived assets for impairment when circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Indicators of impairment could include, among other factors, significant changes in the business environment or the planned closure of a facility. Considerable management judgment is necessary to evaluate the impact of operating changes and to estimate future cash flows. As a result of certain changes to our business and plans for consolidating our production network, we evaluated the impact that we expect these changes to have on our projected future cash flows as of June 30, 2015.

Testing the assets for recoverability involved developing estimates of future cash flows directly associated with, and that are expected to arise as a direct result of, the use and eventual disposition of the assets. Other inputs were based on assessment of an individual asset's alternative use within other production facilities, evaluation of recent market data and historical liquidation sales values for similar assets. As the inputs for testing for recoverability are largely based on management's judgments and are not generally observable in active markets, we consider such measurements to be Level 3 measurements in the fair value hierarchy. See Note 6.

The results of our analysis indicated no impairment of our plant, property and equipment, outside of facility closing and reorganization costs, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014. We can provide no assurance that we will not have impairment charges in future periods as a result of changes in our business environment, operating results or the assumptions and estimates utilized in our impairment tests.

##### Facility Closing and Reorganization Costs

Approved plans within our multi-year initiatives and ongoing network optimization strategies are summarized as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
	(In thousands)			
Closure of facilities(1)	\$5,408	\$728	\$6,653	\$1,705
Total	\$5,408	\$728	\$6,653	\$1,705

These charges in 2015 and 2014 primarily relate to facility closures in Rochester, Indiana; Sheboygan, Wisconsin; Riverside, California; Delta, Colorado; Denver, Colorado; Dallas, Texas; Waco, Texas; Springfield, Virginia; Buena Park, California; Ewart, Michigan; Bangor, Maine; Shreveport, Louisiana and Mendon, Massachusetts, as (1) well as other approved closures. We have incurred \$51.9 million of charges related to these initiatives through June 30, 2015. We expect to incur additional charges related to these facility closures of approximately \$4.0 million related to contract termination, shutdown and other costs. As we continue the evaluation of our supply chain and distribution network, it is likely that we will close additional facilities in the future.



Table of Contents

Activity with respect to facility closing and reorganization costs during the six months ended June 30, 2015 is summarized below and includes items expensed as incurred:

	Accrued Charges at December 31, 2014	Charges and Adjustments	Payments	Accrued Charges at June 30, 2015
	(In thousands)			
Cash charges:				
Workforce reduction costs	\$ 1,283	\$ 3,398	\$(1,659)	) \$ 3,022
Shutdown costs	—	1,371	(1,371)	) —
Lease obligations after shutdown	6,855	327	(937)	) 6,245
Other	—	229	(229)	) —
Subtotal	\$ 8,138	5,325	\$(4,196)	) \$ 9,267
Noncash charges:				
Write-down of assets (1)		3,524		
Gain on sale of related assets		(2,379)	)	
Other, net		183		
Total charges		\$ 6,653		

(1) The write-down of assets relates primarily to owned buildings, land and equipment of those facilities identified for closure. The assets were tested for recoverability at the time the decision to close the facilities was more likely than not to occur. Our methodology for testing the recoverability of the assets is consistent with the methodology described in the "Asset Impairment Charges" section above.

## 12. Commitments and Contingencies

**Contingent Obligations Related to Divested Operations** — We have divested certain businesses in recent years. In each case, we have retained certain known contingent obligations related to those businesses and/or assumed an obligation to indemnify the purchasers of the businesses for certain unknown contingent liabilities, including environmental liabilities. We believe that we have established adequate reserves, which are immaterial to the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, for potential liabilities and indemnifications related to our divested businesses. Moreover, we do not expect any liability that we may have for these retained liabilities, or any indemnification liability, to materially exceed amounts accrued.

**Contingent Obligations Related to Milk Supply Arrangements** — In 2001, in connection with our acquisition of Legacy Dean, we purchased Dairy Farmers of America's ("DFA") 33.8% interest in our operations. In connection with that transaction, we issued a contingent, subordinated promissory note to DFA in the original principal amount of \$40 million. The promissory note has a 20-year term and bears interest based on the consumer price index. Interest will not be paid in cash but will be added to the principal amount of the note annually, up to a maximum principal amount of \$96 million. We may prepay the note in whole or in part at any time, without penalty. The note will only become payable if we materially breach or terminate one of our related milk supply agreements with DFA without renewal or replacement. Otherwise, the note will expire in 2021, without any obligation to pay any portion of the principal or interest. Payments made under the note, if any, would be expensed as incurred. We have not terminated, and we have not materially breached, any of our milk supply agreements with DFA related to the promissory note. We have previously terminated unrelated supply agreements with respect to several plants that were supplied by DFA. In connection with our goals of accelerated cost control and increased supply chain efficiency, we continue to evaluate our sources of raw milk supply.

**Insurance** — We use a combination of insurance and self-insurance for a number of risks, including property, workers' compensation, general liability, automobile liability, product liability and employee health care utilizing high deductibles. Deductibles vary due to insurance market conditions and risk. Liabilities associated with these risks are estimated considering historical claims experience and other actuarial assumptions. Based on current information, we

believe that we have established adequate reserves to cover these claims.

**Lease and Purchase Obligations** — We lease certain property, plant and equipment used in our operations under both capital and operating lease agreements. Such leases, which are primarily for machinery, equipment and vehicles, have lease terms ranging from one to 20 years. Certain of the operating lease agreements require the payment of additional rentals for maintenance, along with additional rentals based on miles driven or units produced. Certain leases require us to guarantee a minimum value of the leased asset at the end of the lease. Our maximum exposure under those guarantees is not a material amount.



## Table of Contents

We have entered into various contracts, in the normal course of business, obligating us to purchase minimum quantities of raw materials used in our production and distribution processes, including conventional raw milk, diesel fuel, sugar and other ingredients that are inputs into our finished products. We enter into these contracts from time to time to ensure a sufficient supply of raw ingredients. In addition, we have contractual obligations to purchase various services that are part of our production process.

### Litigation, Investigations and Audits

#### Tennessee Retailer and Indirect Purchaser Actions

A putative class action antitrust complaint (the “retailer action”) was filed against Dean Foods and other milk processors on August 9, 2007 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee. Plaintiffs allege generally that we, either acting alone or in conjunction with others in the milk industry, lessened competition in the Southeastern United States for the sale of processed fluid Grade A milk to retail outlets and other customers. Plaintiffs further allege that the defendants’ conduct artificially inflated wholesale prices paid by direct milk purchasers. In March 2012, the district court granted summary judgment in favor of defendants, including the Company, as to all counts then remaining. Plaintiffs appealed the district court’s decision, and in January 2014, the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit reversed the grant of summary judgment as to one of the five original counts in the Tennessee retailer action. Following the Sixth Circuit’s denial of our request to reconsider the case en banc, the Company petitioned the Supreme Court of the United States for review. On November 17, 2014, the Supreme Court denied our petition and the case returned to the district court. There are now various motions and briefs pending before the district court, including defendants’ motion for summary judgment on grounds previously raised but not yet decided, and plaintiffs’ motion for class certification. The district court held a hearing on these and other related issues in June 2015, and further argument is scheduled for September 2015.

On June 29, 2009, another putative class action lawsuit was filed in the Eastern District of Tennessee on behalf of indirect purchasers of processed fluid Grade A milk (the “indirect purchaser action”). This case was voluntarily dismissed, and the same plaintiffs filed a nearly identical complaint on January 17, 2013. The allegations in this complaint are similar to those in both the retailer action and the 2009 indirect purchaser action, but involve only claims arising under Tennessee law. The Company filed a motion to dismiss, and on September 11, 2014, the district court granted in part and denied in part that motion, dismissing the non-Tennessee plaintiffs’ claims. The Company filed its answer to the surviving claims on October 15, 2014. The parties have jointly proposed that further proceedings (including any discovery) in this case be deferred until after the district court rules on the summary judgment and class certification issues in the Tennessee retailer action.

At this time, it is not possible for us to predict the ultimate outcome of these matters. In addition to the pending legal proceedings set forth above, we are party from time to time to certain claims, litigations, audits and investigations. Potential liabilities associated with these other matters are not expected to have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

### 13. Segment, Geographic and Customers Information

We operate as a single reportable segment in manufacturing, marketing, selling and distributing a wide variety of branded and private label dairy case products. We operate 67 manufacturing facilities geographically located largely based on local and regional customer needs and other market factors. We manufacture, market and distribute a wide variety of branded and private label dairy case products, including fluid milk, ice cream, cultured dairy products, creamers, ice cream mix and other dairy products to retailers, distributors, foodservice outlets, educational institutions and governmental entities across the United States. Our products are primarily delivered through what we believe to be one of the most extensive refrigerated direct store delivery (“DSD”) systems in the United States. Our Chief Executive Officer evaluates the performance of our business based on sales and operating income or loss before gains and losses on the sale of businesses, facility closing and reorganization costs, litigation settlements, impairments of long-lived assets and other non-recurring gains and losses.

Geographic Information — Net sales related to our foreign operations comprised less than 1% of our consolidated net sales during each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. None of our long-lived assets are associated with our foreign operations.

Significant Customers — Our largest customer accounted for approximately 16% of our consolidated net sales in each of the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and approximately 16% and 17% of our consolidated net sales in the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents

## Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (this “Form 10-Q”) contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, which are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Forward-looking statements are predictions based on our current expectations and our projections about future events, and are not statements of historical fact. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning our business strategy, among other things, including anticipated trends and developments in, and management plans for, our business and the markets in which we operate. In some cases, you can identify these statements by forward-looking words, such as “estimate,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “project,” “plan,” “intend,” “believe,” “foreca,” “foresee,” “likely,” “may,” “should,” “goal,” “target,” “might,” “will,” “could,” “predict,” and “continue,” the negative or plural words and other comparable terminology. All forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-Q are based upon information available to us as of the filing date of this Form 10-Q, and we undertake no obligation to update any of these forward-looking statements for any reason. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these statements. These factors include the matters discussed in “Part I — Item 1A — Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (the “2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K”), and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described under these sections.

## Business Overview

We are a leading food and beverage company and the largest processor and direct-to-store distributor of fluid milk and other dairy and dairy case products in the United States, with a vision to be the most admired and trusted provider of wholesome, great-tasting dairy products at every occasion. As we continue to evaluate and seek to maximize the value of our national operational network and our leading brands and product offerings, we have aligned our leadership team, operating strategy, and sales, logistics and supply chain initiatives into a single operating and reportable segment.

We manufacture, market and distribute a wide variety of branded and private label dairy case products, including fluid milk, ice cream, cultured dairy products, creamers, ice cream mix and other dairy products to retailers, distributors, foodservice outlets, educational institutions and governmental entities across the United States. Our portfolio includes DairyPure<sup>®</sup>, our recently launched national white milk brand; and TruMoo<sup>®</sup>, a leading national flavored milk brand, along with well-known regional dairy brands such as Alta Dena<sup>®</sup>, Berkeley Farms<sup>®</sup>, Country Fresh<sup>®</sup>, Dean’s<sup>®</sup>, Garelick Farms<sup>®</sup>, LAND O LAKES<sup>®</sup> milk and cultured products (licensed brand), Lehigh Valley Dairy Farms<sup>®</sup>, Mayfield<sup>®</sup>, McArthur<sup>®</sup>, Meadow Gold<sup>®</sup>, Oak Farms<sup>®</sup>, PET<sup>®</sup> (licensed brand), T.G. Lee<sup>®</sup>, Tuscan<sup>®</sup> and more. In all, we have more than 50 local and regional dairy brands and private labels. Due to the perishable nature of our products, we deliver the majority of our products directly to our customers’ locations in refrigerated trucks or trailers that we own or lease. We believe that we have one of the most extensive refrigerated direct store delivery (“DSD”) systems in the United States. Our products are sold primarily on a local or regional basis through local and regional sales forces, although some national customer relationships are coordinated by a centralized corporate sales department.

## Recent Developments

Launch of DairyPure<sup>®</sup> — In April 2015, we launched the first and largest fresh, white milk national brand - DairyPure<sup>®</sup>. We believe DairyPure<sup>®</sup> will reinvigorate the dairy case and provides a number of clear benefits for the category, our retail customers, consumers and us. For the category, with its unprecedented scale, DairyPure<sup>®</sup> provides a national platform to educate consumers on the health and nutrition benefits of conventional white milk as a cost-effective source of protein in their diets. For our retail customers, DairyPure<sup>®</sup> enhances their efficiency and effectiveness and enables them to advertise the same branded features across the United States. For the consumer, DairyPure<sup>®</sup> is on trend with their desire for clean label, locally sourced, fresh, protein and nutrient packed products. DairyPure<sup>®</sup> is an easy transition for them, building on the regional brands they already know and value. For us, DairyPure<sup>®</sup> provides a national platform for advertising and promotional spending.



Table of Contents

## Results of Operations

Our key performance indicators are volume performance, brand mix and achieving low cost, which are realized within net sales, gross profit and operating income, respectively. We evaluate our financial performance based on sales and operating profit or loss before gains and losses on the sale of businesses, facility closing and reorganization costs, asset impairment charges, litigation settlements and other nonrecurring gains and losses. The following table presents certain information concerning our financial results, including information presented as a percentage of net sales:

	Three Months Ended June 30				Six Months Ended June 30				
	2015		2014		2015		2014		
	Dollars	Percent	Dollars	Percent	Dollars	Percent	Dollars	Percent	
	(Dollars in millions)								
Net sales	\$2,014.7	100.0	% \$2,393.9	100.0	% \$4,065.5	100.0	% \$4,734.9	100.0	%
Cost of sales	1,519.1	75.4	1,994.8	83.3	3,091.5	76.0	3,919.6	82.8	
Gross profit(1)	495.6	24.6	399.1	16.7	974.0	24.0	815.3	17.2	
Operating costs and expenses:									
Selling and distribution	338.1	16.8	334.9	14.0	676.3	16.6	674.3	14.2	
General and administrative	87.2	4.3	70.8	3.0	174.7	4.3	143.0	3.0	
Amortization of intangibles	8.2	0.4	0.7	—	8.9	0.2	1.5	—	
Facility closing and reorganization costs	5.4	0.3	0.7	—	6.7	0.2	1.7	—	
Impairment of intangible assets	—	—	—	—	109.9	2.7	—	—	
Litigation settlements	—	—	—	—	—	—	(2.5)	(0.1)	)
Other operating income	—	—	(4.5)	(0.2)	—	—	(4.5)	(0.1)	)
Total operating costs and expenses	438.9	21.8	402.6	16.8	976.5	24.0	813.5	17.2	
Operating income (loss)	\$56.7	2.8	% \$(3.5)	(0.1)	)% \$(2.5)	(0.1)	)% \$1.8	—	%

As disclosed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K, we include certain shipping and handling costs within selling and distribution expense. As a result, our gross profit (1) may not be comparable to other entities that present all shipping and handling costs as a component of cost of sales.

Quarter Ended June 30, 2015 Compared to Quarter Ended June 30, 2014

Net Sales — The change in net sales was due to the following:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015 vs. 2014 (In millions)
Volume	\$(75.0)
Pricing and product mix changes	(304.2)
Total decrease	\$(379.2)

Net sales decreased \$379.2 million, or 15.8%, during the second quarter of 2015 as compared to the second quarter of 2014, primarily due to decreased pricing, as a result of significant declines in dairy commodity costs from year-ago levels. On average, during the second quarter of 2015, the Class I raw milk price was approximately 33% below

prior-year levels. Net sales were further impacted by a sales volume decline of 3.1% from year-ago levels. Volume declines across our fluid milk business, which accounted for approximately 75% of our total sales volume, were impacted by continued category declines, channel dynamics, and our rate realization strategy on branded fluid milk products. Year-over-year volumes declines across our other, non-fluid milk product categories, were partially offset by an increase in our ice cream volume performance. Volumes also declined across all channel business, except food service.

Table of Contents

We generally increase or decrease the prices of our fluid dairy products on a monthly basis in correlation with fluctuations in the costs of raw materials, packaging supplies and delivery costs. However, in some cases, we are competitively or contractually constrained with respect to the means and/or timing of price increases. The following table sets forth the average monthly Class I “mover” and its components, as well as the average monthly Class II minimum prices for raw skim milk and butterfat for the second quarter of 2015 in comparison to the second quarter of 2014:

	Three Months Ended June 30*		
	2015	2014	% Change
Class I mover(1)	\$ 15.82	\$ 23.66	(33.1 )%
Class I raw skim milk mover(1)(2)	9.42	16.75	(43.8 )
Class I butterfat mover(2)(3)	1.92	2.14	(10.3 )
Class II raw skim milk minimum(1)(4)	8.05	16.97	(52.6 )
Class II butterfat minimum(3)(4)	2.03	2.29	(11.4 )

The prices noted in this table are not the prices that we actually pay. The federal order minimum prices applicable at any given location for Class I raw skim milk or Class I butterfat are based on the Class I mover prices plus a location differential. Class II prices noted in the table are federal minimum prices, applicable at all locations. Our actual cost

\*also includes producer premiums, procurement costs and other related charges that vary by location and supplier.

Please see “Part I — Item 1. Business — Government Regulation — Milk Industry Regulation” in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K and “— Known Trends and Uncertainties — Prices of Conventional Raw Milk and Other Inputs” below for a more complete description of raw milk pricing.

(1) Prices are per hundredweight.

(2) We process Class I raw skim milk and butterfat into fluid milk products.

(3) Prices are per pound.

(4) We process Class II raw skim milk and butterfat into products such as cottage cheese, creams and creamers, ice cream and sour cream.

**Cost of Sales** — All expenses incurred to bring a product to completion are included in cost of sales, such as raw material, ingredient and packaging costs; labor costs; and plant and equipment costs. Cost of sales decreased by 23.8% in the second quarter of 2015 compared to the second quarter of 2014, primarily due to decreased dairy commodity costs. The Class I raw milk price was approximately 33% below prior-year levels. In addition, this decrease was due to our ongoing cost and efficiency initiatives and lower sales volumes.

**Gross Profit** — Our gross profit percentage increased to 24.6% in the second quarter of 2015 as compared to 16.7% in the second quarter of 2014. This increase was primarily due to declining commodity costs and pricing mechanisms for our Class II products, price adjustments for our private label products, pricing actions and increased margin pool for our branded products, and declining shrink costs resulting from the decline in dairy commodity costs. Increases to gross profit were partially offset by volume declines discussed above.

**Operating Costs and Expenses** — Operating costs and expenses increased by 9.0% in the second quarter of 2015 as compared to the second quarter of 2014. Significant changes within operating costs and expenses in the second quarter of 2015 include the following:

• Selling, distribution, and general and administrative costs increased \$19.6 million primarily due to higher incentive based compensation associated with higher expected earnings for the full year of 2015.

• Facility closing and reorganization costs increased by \$4.7 million. See Note 11 to our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

• Amortization of intangibles increased by \$7.5 million. See Note 4 to our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Other (Income) Expense** — Other (income) expense increased by \$1.4 million during the second quarter of 2015 as compared to the second quarter of 2014. This increase in expense was primarily due to higher interest expense due to a higher average weighted debt maturity profile resulting from the issuance of our 2023 Notes. See Note 5 to our

unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding our debt activities during the quarter.

Income Taxes — Income tax expense was recorded at an effective rate of 33.7% for the second quarter of 2015 compared to a 94.9% effective tax benefit rate for the second quarter of 2014. Generally, our effective tax rate varies primarily



Table of Contents

based on our profitability level and the relative earnings of our business units. In the second quarter of 2014, our effective tax rate was also impacted by settlements of various taxing authority examinations. Excluding the benefits related to these settlements, our effective tax benefit rate was 37.1% in the second quarter of 2014.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

Net Sales — The change in net sales was due to the following:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 vs. 2014 (In millions)	
Volume	\$ (151.8)	)
Pricing and product mix changes	(517.6)	)
Total decrease	\$ (669.4)	)

Net sales decreased \$669.4 million, or 14.1%, during the first six months of 2015 as compared to the first six months of 2014, primarily due to decreased pricing, as a result of significant declines in dairy commodity costs from year-ago levels. Net sales were further impacted by a sales volume decline of 3.2% from year-ago levels. Volume declines across our fluid milk business, which accounted for approximately 77% of our total sales volume, were impacted by continued category declines, channel dynamics, and our rate realization strategy on branded fluid milk products. Volumes also declined across all channel business, except food service.

We generally increase or decrease the prices of our fluid dairy products on a monthly basis in correlation with fluctuations in the costs of raw materials, packaging supplies and delivery costs. However, in some cases, we are competitively or contractually constrained with respect to the means and/or timing of price increases. This can have a negative impact on our profitability. The following table sets forth the average monthly Class I “mover” and its components, as well as the average monthly Class II minimum prices for raw skim milk and butterfat for the first six months of 2015 in comparison to the first six months of 2014:

	Six Months Ended June 30*		
	2015	2014	% Change
Class I mover(1)	\$ 16.31	\$ 23.02	(29.1 )%
Class I raw skim milk mover(1)(2)	9.99	16.63	(39.9 )
Class I butterfat mover(2)(3)	1.90	1.99	(4.5 )
Class II raw skim milk minimum(1)(4)	8.57	17.06	(49.8 )
Class II butterfat minimum(3)(4)	1.91	2.12	(9.9 )

The prices noted in this table are not the prices that we actually pay. The federal order minimum prices applicable at any given location for Class I raw skim milk or Class I butterfat are based on the Class I mover prices plus a location differential. Class II prices noted in the table are federal minimum prices, applicable at all locations. Our actual cost \*also includes producer premiums, procurement costs and other related charges that vary by location and supplier.

Please see “Part I — Item 1. Business — Government Regulation — Milk Industry Regulation” in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K and “— Known Trends and Uncertainties — Prices of Conventional Raw Milk and Other Inputs” below for a more complete description of raw milk pricing.

(1) Prices are per hundredweight.

(2) We process Class I raw skim milk and butterfat into fluid milk products.

(3) Prices are per pound.

(4) We process Class II raw skim milk and butterfat into products such as cottage cheese, creams and creamers, ice cream and sour cream.

Cost of Sales — All expenses incurred to bring a product to completion are included in cost of sales, such as raw material, ingredient and packaging costs; labor costs; and plant and equipment costs. Cost of sales decreased by 21.1% in the first six months of 2015 compared to the first six months of 2014, primarily due to decreased dairy commodity costs. The Class I raw milk price was approximately 29.1% below prior-year levels. In addition this decrease was due

to our ongoing cost and efficiency initiatives and lower sales volumes.

Gross Profit — Our gross profit percentage increased to 24.0% for the first six months of 2015 as compared to 17.2% for the first six months of 2014. This increase was primarily due to declining commodity costs and pricing mechanisms

28

---

Table of Contents

for our Class II products, price adjustments for our private label products, pricing actions and increased margin pool for our branded products, and declining shrink costs resulting from the decline in dairy commodity costs. Increases to gross profit were partially offset by volume declines discussed above.

Operating Costs and Expenses — Operating costs and expenses increased by 20.0% in the first six months of 2015 as compared to the first six months of 2014. Significant changes to operating costs and expenses in the first six months of 2015 include the following:

- Selling, distribution, and general and administrative costs increased \$33.7 million primarily due to higher incentive-based compensation associated with higher expected earnings for the full year of 2015.

- Facility closing and reorganization costs increased by \$5.0 million. See Note 11 to our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

- Impairment and amortization of intangibles assets of \$109.9 million and \$7.4 million, respectively. See Note 4 to our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other (Income) Expense — Other (income) expense increased by \$46.4 million during the first six months of 2015 as compared to the first six months of 2014. This increase in expense was primarily due to the loss on early retirement of long-term debt of \$43.6 million recorded on the early retirement of our 2016 senior notes and extinguishment of our Old Credit Facility (as defined below), which occurred during the first quarter of 2015. Additionally, interest expense increased by \$3.3 million in the first half of 2015 compared to the first half of 2014 primarily due to a higher average weighted debt maturity profile resulting from the issuance of our 2023 Notes. See Note 5 to our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding our debt activities during the first half of 2015.

Income Taxes – Income tax benefit was recorded at an effective rate of 40.3% for the first half of 2015 compared to a 61.9% effective tax benefit rate for the first half of 2014. Generally, our effective tax rate varies primarily based on our profitability level and the relative earnings of our business units. In the first half of 2014, our effective tax rate was also impacted by settlements of various taxing authority examinations and several state tax law changes. Excluding the net benefit related to these items, our effective tax benefit rate was 36.6% in the first half of 2014.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

We believe that our cash on hand coupled with future cash flows from operations and other available sources of liquidity, including our \$450 million senior secured revolving credit facility and our amended \$550 million receivables-backed facility, together will provide sufficient liquidity to allow us to meet our cash requirements in the next twelve months. Our anticipated uses of cash include capital expenditures; working capital; pension contributions; financial obligations, including tax payments; and certain other costs that may be necessary to execute our cost reduction initiatives. On an ongoing basis, we will evaluate and consider strategic acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures, or other transactions to create shareholder value and enhance financial performance. As discussed below, we have also instituted a regular quarterly cash dividend policy and may repurchase shares of our common stock opportunistically.

Under our cash dividend policy, holders of our common stock will receive dividends when and as declared by our Board of Directors. Pursuant to the policy, we expect to pay quarterly dividends of \$0.07 per share (\$0.28 per share annually). Dividends totaling approximately \$13.2 million and \$13.1 million were paid in the first six months of 2015 and 2014, respectively. Our cash dividend policy is subject to modification, suspension or cancellation in any manner and at any time. Please see Note 7 to our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional details regarding the dividend policy.

Additionally, since 1998, our Board of Directors has from time to time authorized the repurchase of our common stock up to an aggregate of \$2.38 billion, excluding fees and commissions. We made no share repurchases during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, and we repurchased 1,727,275 shares during the six months ended June 30, 2014 for \$25.0 million. The repurchase was funded using borrowings under both the receivables-backed facility and the senior secured credit facility. As of June 30, 2015, \$275.0 million was available for repurchases under this program (excluding fees and commissions). Management is authorized to purchase shares from time to time through open market transactions at prevailing prices or in privately negotiated transactions, subject to market conditions and other factors. Shares, when repurchased, are retired.

As of June 30, 2015, \$10.7 million of our total cash on hand of \$105.7 million was attributable to our foreign operations. We are evaluating strategies and alternatives with respect to the cash attributable to our foreign operations. We believe that our existing sources of liquidity, as described more fully above, will enable us to meet our cash requirements for the next twelve months.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2015, we had \$838.1 million of outstanding long-term debt obligations. We had total cash on hand of \$105.7 million and an additional \$797.2 million of combined available future borrowing capacity under our senior secured credit facility and receivables-backed facility, subject to compliance with the covenants in our credit agreements. Based on our current expectations, we believe our liquidity and capital resources will be sufficient to operate our business. However, we may, from time to time, raise additional funds through borrowings or public or private sales of debt or equity securities. The amount, nature and timing of any borrowings or sales of debt or equity securities will depend on our operating performance and other circumstances; our then-current commitments and obligations; the amount, nature and timing of our capital requirements; any limitations imposed by our current credit arrangements; and overall market conditions.

**Dean Foods Company Senior Notes due 2023** — On February 25, 2015, we issued \$700 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.50% senior notes due 2023 (the “2023 Notes”) at an issue price of 100% of the principal amount of the 2023 Notes in a private placement for resale to “qualified institutional buyers” as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and in offshore transactions pursuant to Regulation S under the Securities Act.

In connection with the issuance of the 2023 Notes, the Company paid certain arrangement fees of approximately \$7.0 million to initial purchasers and other fees of approximately \$1.7 million, which were capitalized and will be amortized to interest expense over the remaining term of the 2023 Notes.

The 2023 Notes are senior unsecured obligations. Accordingly, the 2023 Notes rank equally in right of payment with all of our existing and future senior obligations and are effectively subordinated in right of payment to all of our existing and future secured obligations, including obligations under our senior secured credit facility and receivables-backed facility, to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such obligations. The 2023 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis, jointly and severally, by our subsidiaries that guarantee obligations under the senior secured credit facility.

The 2023 Notes will mature on March 15, 2023 and bear interest at an annual rate of 6.50%. Interest on the 2023 Notes will accrue from February 25, 2015 and is payable semi-annually in arrears in March and September of each year, commencing September 2015.

We may, at our option, redeem all or a portion of the 2023 Notes at any time on or after March 15, 2018 at the applicable redemption prices specified in the indenture governing the 2023 Notes (the “Indenture”), plus any accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date. We are also entitled to redeem up to 40% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2023 Notes before March 15, 2018 with the net cash proceeds that we receive from certain equity offerings at a redemption price equal to 106.5% of the principal amount of the 2023 Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date. In addition, prior to March 15, 2018, we may redeem all or a portion of the 2023 Notes, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus a “make-whole” premium and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date. If we undergo certain kinds of changes of control, holders of the 2023 Notes have the right to require us to repurchase all or any portion of such holder’s 2023 Notes at 101% of the principal amount of the notes being repurchased, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the date of repurchase.

The Indenture contains covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to: (i) create certain liens; (ii) enter into sale and lease-back transactions; (iii) assume, incur or guarantee indebtedness for borrowed money that is secured by a lien on certain principal properties (or on any shares of capital stock of our subsidiaries that own such principal property) without securing the 2023 Notes on a pari passu basis; and (iv) consolidate with or merge with or into, or sell, transfer, convey or lease all or substantially all of our properties and assets, taken as a whole, to another person. We used the net proceeds from the 2023 Notes to redeem all of our outstanding senior unsecured notes due 2016, as described below, and to repay a portion of the outstanding borrowings under our senior secured credit facility and receivables-backed facility.

**Senior Secured Credit Facility** — In July 2013, we executed a credit agreement pursuant to which the lenders provided us with a five-year senior secured revolving credit facility in the amount of up to \$750 million (the “Old Credit Facility”). The Old Credit Facility was amended in June 2014 and further amended in August 2014.

In March 2015, we terminated the Old Credit Facility, replacing it with the new credit facility described below. As a result of the termination, we recorded a write-off of unamortized debt issue costs of \$5.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2015. The write-off was recorded in the loss on early retirement of long-term debt line in our unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents

In March 2015, we executed a new credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") pursuant to which the lenders have provided us with a five-year revolving credit facility in the amount of up to \$450 million (the "New Credit Facility"). Under the Credit Agreement, we had the right to request an increase of the aggregate commitments under the New Credit Facility by up to \$200 million without the consent of any lenders not participating in such increase, subject to specified conditions. The New Credit Facility is available for the issuance of up to \$75 million of letters of credit and up to \$100 million of swing line loans. The New Credit Facility will terminate in March 2020.

In connection with the execution of the New Credit Facility, we paid certain arrangement fees of approximately \$4.8 million to lenders and other fees of approximately \$0.8 million, which were capitalized and will be amortized to interest expense over the remaining term of the facility.

Loans outstanding under the New Credit Facility will bear interest, at our option, at either (i) the LIBO Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement) plus a margin of between 2.25% and 2.75% (2.25% as of June 30, 2015) based on the Total Net Leverage Ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement), or (ii) the Alternate Base Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement) plus a margin of between 1.25% and 1.75% (1.25% as of June 30, 2015) based on the Total Net Leverage Ratio.

We may make optional prepayments of the loans, in whole or in part, without premium or penalty (other than applicable breakage costs). Subject to certain exceptions and conditions described in the agreement, we will be obligated to prepay the New Credit Facility, but without a corresponding commitment reduction, with the net cash proceeds of certain asset sales and with casualty insurance proceeds. The New Credit Facility is guaranteed by our existing and future domestic material restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the agreement), which are substantially all of our wholly-owned U.S. subsidiaries other than the receivables securitization subsidiaries (the "Guarantors").

The New Credit Facility is secured by a first priority perfected security interest in substantially all of our assets and the assets of the Guarantors, whether consisting of personal, tangible or intangible property, including a pledge of, and a perfected security interest in, (i) all of the shares of capital stock of the Guarantors and (ii) 65% of the shares of capital stock of the Guarantor's first-tier foreign subsidiaries which are material restricted subsidiaries, in each case subject to certain exceptions as set forth in the Credit Agreement. The collateral does not include, among other things, (a) any real property with an individual net book value below \$10 million, (b) the capital stock and any assets of any unrestricted subsidiary, (c) any capital stock of any direct or indirect subsidiary of Dean Holding Company ("Legacy Dean") which owns any real property, or (d) receivables sold pursuant to the receivables securitization facility.

The Credit Agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants, including, but not limited to specified restrictions on indebtedness, liens, guarantee obligations, mergers, acquisitions, consolidations, liquidations and dissolutions, sales of assets, leases, payment of dividends and other restricted payments, investments, loans and advances, transactions with affiliates and sale and leaseback transactions. The Credit Agreement also contains customary events of default and related cure provisions. We are required to comply with (a) a maximum senior secured net leverage ratio of 2.50x (which, for purposes of calculating indebtedness, excludes borrowings under our receivables securitization facility); and (b) a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of 2.25x.

At June 30, 2015, there were no outstanding borrowings under the New Credit Facility. Our average daily balance under the New Credit Facility during the six months ended June 30, 2015 was \$11.8 million. There were no letters of credit issued under the New Credit Facility as of June 30, 2015.

**Dean Foods Receivables-Backed Facility** — We have a \$550 million receivables securitization facility pursuant to which certain of our subsidiaries sell their accounts receivable to two wholly-owned entities intended to be bankruptcy-remote. The entities then transfer the receivables to third-party asset-backed commercial paper conduits sponsored by major financial institutions. The assets and liabilities of these two entities are fully reflected in our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the securitization is treated as a borrowing for accounting purposes. In June 2014, the receivables-backed facility was modified to, among other things, increase the amount available for the issuance of letters of credit from \$300 million to \$350 million and to extend the liquidity termination date from March 2015 to June 2017. The receivables-backed facility was further amended in August 2014 to be consistent with the amended financial covenants under the credit agreement governing the Old Credit Facility. In March 2015, the receivables-backed facility was further modified to, among other things, extend the liquidity termination date from June 2017 to March 2018 and modify the consolidated and senior secured net leverage ratio

requirements to be consistent with those contained in the Credit Agreement described above.

In connection with the modification of the receivable-backed facility, we paid certain arrangement fees of approximately \$0.7 million to lenders, which were capitalized and will be amortized to interest expense over the remaining term of the facility.



Table of Contents

There were no outstanding borrowings under the receivables-backed facility, as amended, as of June 30, 2015. Excluding letters of credit in the aggregate amount of \$145.9 million that were issued but undrawn, remaining available borrowing capacity was \$347.2 million at June 30, 2015. Availability under the receivables-backed facility is calculated using the current receivables balance for the seller entities, less adjustments for customer concentration limits, reserve requirements, and other adjustments as described in the amended and restated receivables repurchase agreement, not to exceed the total commitment amount less current borrowings and outstanding letters of credit. The receivables-backed facility bears interest at a variable rate based upon commercial paper and one-month LIBO rates plus an applicable margin.

At August 6, 2015, we had no outstanding borrowings under the New Credit Facility and the receivables-backed facility, excluding letters of credit in the aggregate amount of \$145.9 million that were issued but undrawn.

Dean Foods Company Senior Notes due 2016 — In March 2015, we redeemed the remaining principal amount of \$476.2 million of our outstanding Senior Notes due 2016 at a total redemption price of approximately \$521.8 million. As a result, we recorded a \$38.3 million pre-tax loss on early extinguishment of debt in the first quarter of 2015, which consisted of debt redemption premiums of \$37.3 million, a write-off of unamortized long-term debt issue costs of \$0.8 million and write-off of the remaining bond discount and interest rate swaps of approximately \$0.2 million. The loss was recorded in the loss on early retirement of long-term debt line in our unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations. The redemption was financed with proceeds from the issuance of the 2023 Notes.

Our senior secured net leverage ratio, as defined in our credit agreement, was zero times as of June 30, 2015, against a financial covenant 2.50 times. As described in more detail in our credit agreement, the senior secured net leverage ratio is calculated as the ratio of consolidated senior secured indebtedness, less cash up to \$50 million to the extent held by us and our restricted subsidiaries and less any borrowings under our receivables-backed facility, to consolidated EBITDA for the period of four consecutive fiscal quarters ended on the measurement date. Consolidated senior secured indebtedness is comprised of our outstanding indebtedness and the outstanding indebtedness of certain of our subsidiaries, excluding our unrestricted subsidiaries, that is secured by a lien on any our assets. Consolidated EBITDA is comprised of net income for us and our restricted subsidiaries plus interest expense, taxes, depreciation and amortization expense and other non-cash expenses, and certain other add-backs for non-recurring charges and other adjustments permitted in calculating covenant compliance under the credit agreement, and is calculated on a pro-forma basis to give effect to any acquisitions, divestitures or relevant changes in our composition or the composition of certain of our subsidiaries. In addition, the calculation of consolidated EBITDA may include adjustments related to other charges reasonably acceptable to the administrative agent.

Our interest coverage ratio at June 30, 2015 was 5.43 times consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense for the prior four consecutive quarters, as defined in our credit agreement. As described in more detail in our credit agreement and the purchase agreement governing our receivables-backed facility, our interest coverage ratio is calculated as the ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense for the period of four consecutive fiscal quarters ended on the measurement date. Consolidated EBITDA is calculated as described above in the discussion of our leverage ratio. Consolidated interest expense is comprised of consolidated interest expense paid or payable in cash by us and our restricted subsidiaries, as calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, but excluding write-offs or amortization of deferred financing fees and amounts paid on early termination of swap agreements.

Our total net leverage ratio at June 30, 2015 was 2.47 times consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated EBITDA for the prior four consecutive quarters, as defined in our credit agreement. Although we do not have a financial covenant tied to our total net leverage ratio, it is used in determining pricing. In addition, we have certain restrictions on our ability to make restricted payments in the event our total net leverage ratio is in excess of 3.25 times.

We are currently in compliance with all covenants under our credit agreements.

Table of Contents

## Historical Cash Flow

The following table summarizes our cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities:

	Six Months Ended June 30		Change
	2015	2014	
	(In thousands)		
Net cash flows from continuing operations:			
Operating activities	\$271,771	\$25,268	\$246,503
Investing activities	(35,236)	) (36,066)	) 830
Financing activities	(146,563)	) 53,971	(200,534)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(644)	) (171)	) (473)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$89,328	\$43,002	\$46,326

## Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$271.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015 compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$25.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The increase was primarily due to higher gross profit during the six months ended June 30, 2015 compared to the same period ended June 30, 2014. Additionally, the increase was attributable to the early receipt of our 2014 income tax refund of \$56.5 million during the first quarter of 2015.

## Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$0.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2015 in comparison to the six months ended June 30, 2014 and is primarily attributable to decreased capital expenditures.

## Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities of \$146.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2015 changed from net cash provided by financing activities of \$54.0 million in the year-ago period. This change was driven by net debt payments of \$305.9 million in the first half of 2015 as compared to net proceeds from borrowings of \$87.9 million for the first half of 2014. In addition, we made payments on the early retirement of long-term debt of \$513.5 million, offset by proceeds from the issuance of \$700 million aggregate principal amount of the 2023 Notes.

## Contractual Obligations

The following is an update, as of June 30, 2015, to certain contractual obligations for indebtedness, and related cash interest payments, from those reported in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K:

	Payments Due by Period						Thereafter
	Total	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
	(in millions)						
Senior secured credit facility	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Dean Foods Company senior notes(1)	700.0	—	—	—	—	—	700.0
Interest payments (2)	418.5	54.7	61.4	59.3	48.5	47.6	147.0
Total	\$1,118.5	\$54.7	\$61.4	\$59.3	\$48.5	\$47.6	\$847.0

(1) Represents face amount.

Includes fixed rate interest obligations and interest on variable rate debt based on the rates in effect at June 30, 2015. Interest that may be due in the future on variable rate borrowings under the New Credit Facility and (2) receivables-backed facility will vary based on the interest rate in effect at the time and the borrowings outstanding at the time.

Obligations for Indebtedness and Related Interest Payments — In March 2015, we executed a Credit Agreement pursuant to which the lenders have provided us with a five-year revolving credit facility in the amount of up to \$450 million. Under the Credit Agreement, we have the right to request an increase of the aggregate commitments under the New Credit Facility by up to \$200 million without the consent of any lenders not participating in such increase, subject to specified



## Table of Contents

conditions. The New Credit Facility is available for the issuance of up to \$75 million of letters of credit and up to \$100 million of swing line loans. The New Credit Facility replaced our prior credit facility and will terminate in March 2020.

In March 2015, the receivables-backed facility was further modified to, among other things, extend the liquidity termination date from June 2017 to March 2018 and modify the consolidated and senior secured net leverage ratio requirements to be consistent with those contained in the Credit Agreement described above. There were no outstanding borrowings under the receivables-backed facility at June 30, 2015.

On February 25, 2015, we issued \$700 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.50% senior notes due 2023 at an issue price of 100% of the principal amount of the 2023 Notes in a private placement for resale to “qualified institutional buyers” as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and in offshore transactions pursuant to Regulation S under the Securities Act. We used the net proceeds from the 2023 Notes to redeem all of our outstanding senior unsecured notes due 2016.

### Other Long-Term Liabilities

We offer pension benefits through various defined benefit pension plans and also offer certain health care and life insurance benefits to eligible employees and their eligible dependents upon the retirement of such employees. Reported costs of providing non-contributory defined pension benefits and other postretirement benefits are dependent upon numerous factors, assumptions and estimates. For example, these costs are impacted by actual employee demographics (including age, compensation levels and employment periods), the level of contributions made to the plan and earnings on plan assets. Pension and postretirement costs also may be significantly affected by changes in key actuarial assumptions, including anticipated rates of return on plan assets and the discount rates used in determining the projected benefit obligation and annual periodic pension costs.

We expect to contribute approximately \$5.4 million to the pension plans and approximately \$2.6 million to the postretirement health plans in 2015.

### Other Commitments and Contingencies

In 2001, in connection with our acquisition of Legacy Dean, we purchased Dairy Farmers of America’s (“DFA”) 33.8% interest in our operations. In connection with that transaction, we issued a contingent, subordinated promissory note to DFA in the original principal amount of \$40 million. The promissory note has a 20-year term and bears interest based on the consumer price index. Interest will not be paid in cash but will be added to the principal amount of the note annually, up to a maximum principal amount of \$96 million. We may prepay the note in whole or in part at any time, without penalty. The note will only become payable if we materially breach or terminate one of our related milk supply agreements with DFA without renewal or replacement. Otherwise, the note will expire in 2021, without any obligation to pay any portion of the principal or interest. Payments made under the note, if any, would be expensed as incurred. We have not terminated, and we have not materially breached, any of our related milk supply agreements with DFA related to the promissory note. We have previously terminated unrelated supply agreements with respect to several plants that were supplied by DFA. In connection with our goals of accelerated cost control and increased supply chain efficiency, we continue to evaluate our sources of raw milk supply.

We also have the following commitments and contingent liabilities, in addition to contingent liabilities related to ordinary course litigation, investigations and audits:

- certain indemnification obligations related to businesses that we have divested;
- certain lease obligations, which require us to guarantee the minimum value of the leased asset at the end of the lease;
- selected levels of property and casualty risks, primarily related to employee health care, workers’ compensation claims and other casualty losses; and
- certain litigation-related contingencies.

See Note 12 to our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for more information about our commitments and contingent obligations, including our litigation contingencies.

### Future Capital Requirements

During 2015, we intend to invest a total of approximately \$150 million in capital expenditures primarily for our existing manufacturing facilities and distribution capabilities.



## Table of Contents

On an ongoing basis, we will evaluate and consider strategic acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures, or other transactions to create shareholder value and enhance financial performance. We have also instituted a regular quarterly cash dividend policy and may repurchase shares of our common stock opportunistically.

### Known Trends and Uncertainties

#### Prices of Conventional Raw Milk and Other Inputs

**Conventional Raw Milk and Butterfat** — The primary raw materials used in the products we manufacture, distribute and sell are conventional milk (which contains both raw milk and butterfat) and bulk cream. On a monthly basis, the federal government and certain state governments set minimum prices for raw milk. The regulated minimum prices differ based on how the raw milk is utilized. Raw milk processed into fluid milk is priced at the Class I price and raw milk processed into products such as cottage cheese, creams and creamers, ice cream and sour cream is priced at the Class II price. Generally, we pay the federal minimum prices for raw milk, plus certain producer premiums (or “over-order” premiums) and location differentials. We also incur other raw milk procurement costs in some locations (such as hauling, field personnel, etc.). A change in the federal minimum price does not necessarily mean an identical change in our total raw milk costs as over-order premiums may increase or decrease. This relationship is different in every region of the country and can sometimes differ within a region based on supplier arrangements. However, in general, the overall change in our raw milk costs can be linked to the change in federal minimum prices. Because our Class II products typically have a higher fat content than that contained in raw milk, we also purchase bulk cream for use in some of our Class II products. Bulk cream is typically purchased based on a multiple of the Grade AA butter price on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange.

Prices for conventional raw milk in the second quarter were 33% lower than year-ago levels and declined 6% sequentially from the first quarter of 2015. In regards to the dairy commodity environment, we believe both domestic and international supply growth will continue to outpace demand. Accordingly, in the near term, we see U.S. raw milk prices continuing to trade in a relatively tight band with limited potential for price escalation. We expect that raw milk costs will continue to be significantly lower than prior year levels and will remain relatively stable in the third quarter of 2015. For the foreseeable future, we see cheese, or Class III, continuing to be the driver of Class 1 raw milk costs. However, with the current large cost differentials between U.S and International dairy products as well as the price gap between Class III and Class IV, domestically, the market is subject to volatility beyond the third quarter of 2015.

**Fuel and Resin Costs** — We purchase diesel fuel to operate our extensive DSD system, and we incur fuel surcharge expense related to the products we deliver through third-party carriers. Although we may utilize forward purchase contracts and other instruments to mitigate the risks related to commodity price fluctuations, such strategies do not fully mitigate commodity price risk. Adverse movements in commodity prices over the terms of the contracts or instruments could decrease the economic benefits we derive from these strategies.

Another significant raw material we use is resin, which is a fossil fuel based product used to make plastic bottles. The prices of diesel and resin are subject to fluctuations based on changes in crude oil and natural gas prices. For 2015, we expect our fuel and resin costs to be lower than the prior year.

#### Retail and Customer Environment and Fluid Milk Volumes

As conventional raw milk prices have fallen, retailers have restored some of the margin over milk (the difference between retail milk prices and the cost of raw milk). With raw milk costs declining rapidly and retailers only marginally reflecting lower private label retail prices on the shelf, we expected soft volume categories in the second quarter of 2015. As a result, we continued our focus on net price realization within our branded portfolio. With this focus, we realized increased profitability in our branded white milk portfolio in the second quarter of 2015 compared to the second quarter of the prior year, despite a volume decline of low single digits.

The fluid milk industry remains highly competitive. Fluid milk category volumes remained soft, and overall category volume declines have continued. Total volumes across all products declined 3% during the first half of 2015 as compared to the first half of the prior year, with a modest deterioration from our run rate in the second half of 2014. We expect to continue to experience volume declines in fluid milk, in line with recent category trends, that will drive

low single digit declines for our total volume performance in the second half of 2015. In terms of our branded versus private-label mix, our first half of 2015 branded white milk volumes were approximately 31%.

Table of Contents

Tax Rate

Income tax benefit was recorded at an effective rate of 40.3% the first six months of 2015 compared to a 61.9% effective tax benefit rate in the first six months of 2014. Changes in our profitability levels and the relative earnings of our business units, as well as changes to federal, state, and foreign tax laws, may cause the rate to change from historical rates.

See “Part I — Item 1A — Risk Factors” in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q herein for a description of various other risks and uncertainties concerning our business.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no material changes in our quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk as set forth in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Controls Evaluation and Related Certifications

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”), referred to herein as “Disclosure Controls”) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. The controls evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”). Based upon our most recent controls evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that our Disclosure Controls were effective as of June 30, 2015.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the period covered by this quarterly report, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.



Table of Contents

Part II — Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Tennessee Retailer and Indirect Purchaser Actions

A putative class action antitrust complaint (the “retailer action”) was filed against Dean Foods and other milk processors on August 9, 2007 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee. Plaintiffs allege generally that we, either acting alone or in conjunction with others in the milk industry, lessened competition in the Southeastern United States for the sale of processed fluid Grade A milk to retail outlets and other customers. Plaintiffs further allege that the defendants’ conduct artificially inflated wholesale prices paid by direct milk purchasers. In March 2012, the district court granted summary judgment in favor of defendants, including the Company, as to all counts then remaining. Plaintiffs appealed the district court’s decision, and in January 2014, the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit reversed the grant of summary judgment as to one of the five original counts in the Tennessee retailer action. Following the Sixth Circuit’s denial of our request to reconsider the case en banc, the Company petitioned the Supreme Court of the United States for review. On November 17, 2014, the Supreme Court denied our petition and the case returned to the district court. There are now various motions and briefs pending before the district court, including defendants’ motion for summary judgment on grounds previously raised but not yet decided, and plaintiffs’ motion for class certification. The district court held a hearing on these and other related issues in June 2015, and further argument is scheduled for September 2015.

On June 29, 2009, another putative class action lawsuit was filed in the Eastern District of Tennessee on behalf of indirect purchasers of processed fluid Grade A milk (the “indirect purchaser action”). This case was voluntarily dismissed, and the same plaintiffs filed a nearly identical complaint on January 17, 2013. The allegations in this complaint are similar to those in both the retailer action and the 2009 indirect purchaser action, but involve only claims arising under Tennessee law. The Company filed a motion to dismiss, and on September 11, 2014, the district court granted in part and denied in part that motion, dismissing the non-Tennessee plaintiffs’ claims. The Company filed its answer to the surviving claims on October 15, 2014. The parties have jointly proposed that further proceedings (including any discovery) in this case be deferred until after the district court rules on the summary judgment and class certification issues in the Tennessee retailer action.

At this time, it is not possible for us to predict the ultimate outcome of these matters. In addition to the pending legal proceedings set forth above, we are party from time to time to certain claims, litigations, audits and investigations. Potential liabilities associated with these other matters are not expected to have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the Company's risk factors from those set forth in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
- 99 Supplemental Financial Information for Dean Holding Company (filed herewith).

101.INS XBRL Instance Document(1).

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document(1).

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document(1).

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document(1).

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document(1).

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document(1).

(1) Submitted electronically herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DEAN FOODS COMPANY

/S/ SCOTT K. VOPNI

Scott K. Vopni

Senior Vice President Investor Relations and Chief  
Accounting Officer

August 10, 2015

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
- 99 Supplemental Financial Information for Dean Holding Company (filed herewith).

101.INS XBRL Instance Document(1).

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document(1).

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document(1).

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document(1).

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document(1).

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document(1).

(1)Submitted electronically herewith.