EATON VANCE MICHIGAN MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST Form N-CSR January 27, 2012

### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549 Form N-CSR

# CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

**Investment Company Act File Number: 811-09153** 

**Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust** 

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

November 30

Date of Fiscal Year End

November 30, 2011

Date of Reporting Period

### **Item 1. Reports to Stockholders**

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

Annual Report November 30, 2011

> California (CEV) Massachusetts (MMV) Michigan (EMI) New Jersey (EVJ) New York (EVY) Ohio (EVO) Pennsylvania (EVP)

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

### **Annual Report** November 30, 2011

Eaton Vance

**Municipal Income Trusts** 

### **Table of Contents**

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
California	4
Massachusetts	5
Michigan	6
New Jersey	7
New York	8
Ohio	9
Pennsylvania	10
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	11
Financial Statements	12
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	61
Federal Tax Information	62
Notice to Shareholders	63
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	64
Management and Organization	66
Important Notices	69

Eaton Vance
Municipal Income Trusts
November 30, 2011
Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

#### **Economic and Market Conditions**

Early in the fiscal year, in the December 2010 through March 2011 timeframe, economic indicators seemed to show that a modest recovery was under way. But in the second quarter of 2011, Europe s sovereign debt problems began to intensify, and investors worried about the potential impact on the U.S. economy and U.S. banks.

Meanwhile, unemployment remained stubbornly high, the housing market was not recovering, and Congressional wrangling over the debt ceiling led Standard & Poor s to downgrade U.S. Treasuries. The potential for a double-dip recession began to look real. Reacting to this turmoil, the S&P 500 Index<sup>2</sup> fell more than 15% in just over two weeks during late July and early August and spent the rest of the period trying to claw its way back.

Against this backdrop, Treasury and municipal interest rates began to rise in the first part of the fiscal year, as people believed an economic recovery was well underway. When economic indicators began to suggest that the U.S. economy was not as strong as first perceived and European sovereign debt problems intensified, we saw a flight to safety beginning in the second quarter. Treasury prices rose and yields fell significantly as equity markets declined. Municipal bonds rallied as well, but not to the same degree, because investors were still concerned about the perceived ability of state and local governments to address historically large fiscal deficits and balance their budgets. As the period wore on, however, several factors caused performance of municipals to improve. The massive municipal defaults predicted by high-profile market analysts did not materialize, while the budget situation for many issuers began to recover. In addition, the supply-demand equation for municipal bonds improved as the number of new issues declined dramatically from that of 2010.

With extremely low Treasury yields driven by problems in Europe and the Fed s Operation Twist (central bank s swapping its short-term holdings for longer-term Treasury bonds), municipals during the period offered significantly higher taxable-equivalent yields than Treasuries. The ratio of AAA<sup>6</sup> municipal yields to Treasury yields which historically has averaged less than 100% because municipal yields are federally tax-exempt rose from 103.9% at the start of the period to 125.9% at period-end. The result was a pickup in municipal sales and prices as investors moved to lock in attractive municipal yields. For the one-year period as a whole, the Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index a broad measure of U.S. municipal bond performance rose 6.53%.

#### **Fund Performance**

For the fiscal year ending November 30, 2011, the Massachusetts, Michigan, New York, and Ohio Trusts shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the 8.32% return of the Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index (the Index), the Funds benchmark, while the California, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania Trusts shares underperformed the Index at NAV.

The Funds were hedged during the period to help mitigate the potential interest-rate risk associated with the Funds overall investment strategy. Generally speaking, the Funds—overall strategy is to invest primarily in bonds at the longer end of the maturity spectrum in order to capture their typically higher yields and greater income payments. The Funds tend to hedge to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the curve by using Treasury futures and interest-rate swaps to provide downside protection. For the 12-month period ending November 30, 2011, this hedging strategy was a drag on performance, as the ratio of municipal yields to U.S. Treasury yields of similar maturities remained relatively high and actually increased during the period. Thus, the more a Fund was hedged, the more that hedging detracted from the Fund—s performance. Hedging was a detractor from performance of all Funds except the Michigan Trust, where the negative effect of hedging was less.

In contrast, leverage<sup>5</sup> aided performance. The use of leverage has the effect of providing additional exposure to the municipal market. Leverage magnifies a Fund—s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down markets. During this period of strong performance by municipal bonds, leverage was a key positive contributor to all of the Funds—relative performance versus the benchmark.

An overweighting in long-maturity bonds (20 years or more), which outperformed short- and medium-maturity issues during the period, also helped performance of all Funds except the Michigan Trust. See *Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report*.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts November 30, 2011

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance continued

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV had a total return of 7.99%, underperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. A key detractor was security selection in hospital bonds. Performance was helped, however, by security selection in the transportation sector and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds. Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV had a total return of 8.49%, outperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. Security selection in generally high quality general obligation bonds was positive for performance, while underweighting transportation as well as water & sewer, two sectors that did well over the period, hurt results.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV had a total return of 11.66%, outpacing the 8.32% return of the Index. Key drivers of performance included overweights in generally high quality local general obligation bonds, hospital bonds, and zero-coupon bonds, all of which did well during the period. Security selection in hospitals helped as well. But overweighting bonds with 10-20 year maturities, which performed well but not as strongly as longer-maturity issues, detracted from performance.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV returned 5.64%, trailing the 8.32% return of the Index. An underweighting in general obligation bonds, which outpaced the overall market, held back results. Contributors included an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds and security selection in industrial development bonds. Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV returned 9.06%, outperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. An overweighting in zero-coupon bonds and in 5.50% and 5.75% coupon bonds, which performed well during the period, aided performance. Security selection in the industrial development and transportation sectors, however, detracted from results.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV returned 9.21%, outperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. An overweighting in generally high quality local government general obligation bonds contributed to results, while exposure to lower-quality industrial development revenue bonds and an underweighting in the strong-performing transportation sector detracted.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV had a total return of 6.53%, underperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. Key detractors included security selection in the water & sewer and the industrial development sectors. In contrast, security selection and an overweighting in education bonds, as well as an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, aided results.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Fund at NAV	1/29/1999	7.99%	0.77%	4.93%
Fund at Market Price		11.04	3.14	5.30
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		8.32%	3.61%	5.34%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				2.91%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$0.886
Distribution Rate at NAV				7.14%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				12.25%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.94%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market				
Price				11.90%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)				31.83%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)				10.94

#### Fund Profile

### Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, the Fund scredit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

AAA	4.8%	BBB	7.2%
AA	52.8	BB	0.8
		Not	
A	27.5	Rated	6.9

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Fund at NAV	1/29/1999	8.49%	3.05%	6.04%
Fund at Market Price		13.45	5.95	6.46
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		8.32%	3.61%	5.34%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				6.01%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$0.906
Distribution Rate at NAV				6.49%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				10.54%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.12%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market				
Price				9.94%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
O				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)				31.67%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)				7.72

#### Fund Profile

### Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, the Fund scredit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

AAA	16.7%	BBB	9.6%
AA	37.2	BB	1.4
		Not	
A	31.5	Rated	3.6

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Fund at NAV	1/29/1999	11.66%	3.49%	5.57%
Fund at Market Price		10.60	3.84	5.96
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		8.32%	3.61%	5.34%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				-6.94%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$0.839
Distribution Rate at NAV				6.11%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				9.83%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.57%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market				
Price				10.57%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)				38.15%

#### Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

Fund Profile

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager Adam Weigold, CFA

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	1/29/1999	5.64% 6.39 8.32%	1.93% 3.95 3.61%	5.85% 6.55 5.34%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				2.69%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period Distribution Rate at NAV Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV Distribution Rate at Market Price Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				\$0.923 6.90% 11.66% 6.72% 11.36%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS) Residual Interest Bond (RIB)				32.26% 9.13

### Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, the Fund s credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

AAA	14.5%	BB	3.0%
AA	26.2	В	1.1
		Not	
A	43.4	Rated	0.8
BBB	11.0		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Fund at NAV	1/29/1999	9.06%	2.05%	5.84%
Fund at Market Price		8.18	3.34	6.12
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		8.32%	3.61%	5.34%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				1.01%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$0.910
Distribution Rate at NAV				6.84%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				11.56%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.77%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market				
Price				11.44%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)				26.58%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)				16.12

#### Fund Profile

### Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, the Fund scredit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

AAA	13.6%	BBB	11.8%
AA	38.0	BB	1.2
		Not	
A	27.3	Rated	8.1

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Fund at NAV	1/29/1999	9.21%	2.96%	5.79%
Fund at Market Price		6.25	4.26	6.04
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		8.32%	3.61%	5.34%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				-0.86%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$0.861
Distribution Rate at NAV				6.18%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				10.11%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.24%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market				
Price				10.20%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)				36.33%

#### Fund Profile

#### Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

Residual Interest Bond (RIB)

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

2.33

Eaton Vance

Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager Adam Weigold, CFA

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price Fund at Market Price Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index  7.05 Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index  8.32% 3.61% 3.02%  Distributions  5.62%  7.05  8.32% 3.61% 5.34%  \$0.867	% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index 8.32% 3.61% 5.34%  **Premium/Discount to NAV*  3.02%  Distributions4  Total Distributions per share for the period \$0.867	Fund at NAV	1/29/1999	6.53%	2.99%	5.62%
% Premium/Discount to NAV  3.02%  Distributions <sup>4</sup> Total Distributions per share for the period \$0.867	Fund at Market Price		13.15	4.92	7.05
3.02%  Distributions <sup>4</sup> Total Distributions per share for the period \$0.867	Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		8.32%	3.61%	5.34%
Distributions <sup>4</sup> Total Distributions per share for the period \$0.867	% Premium/Discount to NAV				
Total Distributions per share for the period \$0.867					3.02%
1	Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Distribution Pote at NAV	Total Distributions per share for the period				\$0.867
Distribution rate at tray 0.34%	Distribution Rate at NAV				6.54%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV 10.38%	Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				10.38%
Distribution Rate at Market Price 6.35%					6.35%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market	•				
Price 10.08%	Price				10.08%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>	% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS) 35.99%	Auction Preferred Shares (APS)				35.99%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB) 2.80					2.80

#### Fund Profile

### Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, the Fund scredit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

AAA	3.8%	CC	0.6%
AA	47.7	C	0.1
		Not	
A	35.6	Rated	6.9
BBB	5.3		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

10

Eaton Vance
Municipal Income Trusts
November 30, 2011
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- <sup>2</sup> S&P 500 Index is an unmanaged index of large-cap stocks commonly used as a measure of U.S. stock market performance. Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- <sup>3</sup> Performance results reflect the effects of leverage.
- <sup>4</sup> The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be composed of tax-exempt income, ordinary income, net realized capital gains and return of capital. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest federal and state income tax rates, as applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rate(s) will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes. The distribution declared on December 30, 2011 reflects a reduction of the monthly distribution for Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Michigan Municipal Income Trust and New Jersey Municipal Income Trust.
- <sup>5</sup> Fund employs RIB financing and/or APS leverage. The leverage created by RIB investments and APS provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. APS leverage represents the liquidation value of the Fund s APS outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. The Fund is required to maintain prescribed asset coverage for its APS, which could be reduced if Fund asset values decline. Floating Rate Notes in both calculations reflect the effect of RIBs purchased in secondary market transactions, if applicable.
- <sup>6</sup> Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in

the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 164.1%

	Principal Amount	
Security	(000 s omitted)	Value
Education 18.6%		
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont		
McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/39	\$ 3,135	\$ 3,264,789
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd	107	200.067
College), 5.25%, 12/1/31 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd	195	208,867
College), 5.25%, 12/1/36	330	344,487
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola		2,
Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30	745	762,157
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Lutheran	2 (22	
University), 5.00%, 10/1/29	2,625	2,520,052
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 9/1/23	1,600	1,879,328
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San	1,000	1,077,320
Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36	235	260,042
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of		
Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39	2,490	2,676,725
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San	41.5	107.004
Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/31	415	427,824
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35	285	288,850
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San	203	200,030
Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26	810	877,570
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San		
Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27	850	908,880
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San	22.5	0.74 0.70
Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28	895	951,850
University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39	1,250	1,331,213

\$ 16,702,634

Electric Utilities 13.4%		
Chula Vista, (San Diego Gas and Electric), 5.875%, 2/15/34 Chula Vista, (San Diego Gas and Electric), (AMT),	\$ 270	\$ 297,478
5.00%, 12/1/27 Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System	2,275	2,350,576
Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/32	2,170	2,341,907
Northern California Power Agency, 5.25%, 8/1/24	1,500	1,654,095
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/27	1,335	1,445,364
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/28	1,795	1,926,933
Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton		
Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35	680	710,124
Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	1,300	1,296,477
		\$ 12,022,954
		,,,
Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.0%)		
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Providence		
Health System), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 6.50%, 10/1/38	\$ 25	\$ 32,813
		\$ 32,813
		\$ 52,013
General Obligations 14.3%		
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35	\$ 1,600	\$ 1,691,728
California, 6.00%, 4/1/38	750	830,190
California, (AMT), 5.05%, 12/1/36	1,590	1,564,481
California Department of Veterans Affairs, (AMT),	<b>)</b>	, ,
5.00%, 12/1/27	1,500	1,516,185
Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 <sup>(2)</sup>	3,655	3,891,405
Santa Clara County, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/39 <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	3,180	3,367,620

\$ 12,861,609

### Hospital 16.8%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic		
Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,041,950
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic		
Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28	190	196,673
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic		
Healthcare West), 5.625%, 7/1/32	1,000	1,034,990
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Providence		
Health System), 6.50%, 10/1/38	1,475	1,666,735
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,		
(John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34	640	634,374
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,		
(John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/36	445	439,064
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,		
(Kaiser Permanente), 5.50%, 11/1/32	1,565	1,572,778
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,		
(Sonoma County Indian Health), 6.40%, 9/1/29	1,700	1,700,272
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,		
(Sutter Health), 5.50%, 8/15/28	1,500	1,508,100
Torrance, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31	1,900	1,903,952
Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32	2,780	2,701,549
Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	700	699,944

\$ 15,100,381

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Housing   1.1%	Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
6.50%, 12/1/29 \$ 682 \$ 650,274 Commerce, (Hermitage III Senior Apartments), 6.85%, 12/1/29 \$ 402 \$ 376,678  Industrial Development Revenue 3.5%  California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 \$ 1,235 \$ 1,268,975 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46 \$ 2,000 \$ 1,835,580  Insured Education 7.1%  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 \$ 1,250 \$ 1,468,225	Housing 1.1%		
6.85%, 12/1/29  Industrial Development Revenue 3.5%  California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 \$ 1,235 \$ 1,268,975 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46 2,000 1,835,580  Insured Education 7.1%  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225	6.50%, 12/1/29	\$ 682	\$ 650,274
Industrial Development Revenue 3.5%  California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 \$ 1,235 \$ 1,268,975 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46 2,000 1,835,580  Insured Education 7.1%  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225		402	376,678
California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 \$ 1,235 \$ 1,268,975 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46 2,000 1,835,580  Insured Education 7.1%  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225			\$ 1,026,952
California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 \$ 1,235 \$ 1,268,975 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46 2,000 1,835,580  Insured Education 7.1%  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225			
Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 \$ 1,235 \$ 1,268,975 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46 2,000 1,835,580 \$ 3,104,555 \$ 3,104,555 \$ 3,104,555 \$ California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225	Industrial Development Revenue 3.5%		
(Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46  2,000  1,835,580  \$ 3,104,555  Insured Education 7.1%  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23  1,250  1,468,225	Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23	\$ 1,235	\$ 1,268,975
Insured Education 7.1%  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,000	1,835,580
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225			\$ 3,104,555
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225			
University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225	Insured Education 7.1%		
University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225	University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35	\$ 2,660	\$ 2,750,041
	University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23	•	

	\$	6,377,804
Insured Electric Utilities 3.3%  Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/31 \$		2,932,485 <b>2,932,485</b>
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 3.5%  Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, Toll Road Bonds, (AGM), (RADIAN), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/26 \$	5,130 \$ \$	3,179,574 <b>3,179,574</b>
Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/35 Sweetwater Union High School District, (Election of 2000),	6,485 \$ 4,825 4,720 \$	1,625,401 1,135,419 2,240,159 <b>5,000,979</b>
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 <sup>(4)</sup> California Statewide Communities Development Authority,	2,900 \$ 750 3,735	2,934,162 752,648 3,738,660

California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/38 <sup>(4)</sup>	5,000	5,051,000 \$ 12,476,470
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 11.6%  Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Public Improvements), (AGM), 0.00%, 9/1/17	\$ 5,410	\$ 4,287,100
Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27 San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(4)</sup>	2,000 3,500	2,550,460 3,629,080
		\$ 10,466,640
Insured Special Tax Revenue 2.5%  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC),		
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 21,285 7,615	\$ 1,362,240 908,622
		\$ 2,270,862
Insured Transportation 9.2%		
Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 10/1/29 Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, (NPFG),	\$ 5,000	\$ 1,607,350
O.00%, 10/1/31  Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41 <sup>(4)</sup> San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, Toll Road	4,500	1,273,770
	740	743,848
Bonds, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/32	10,000	1,905,400
San Jose Airport, (AGM), (AMBAC), (BHAC), (AMT), 5.00%, 3/1/37 San Jose Airport, (AGM), (AMBAC), (BHAC), (AMT),	1,320	1,324,435
6.00%, 3/1/47	1,350	1,416,137

\$ 8,270,940

See Notes to Financial Statements.

13

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Insured Water and Sewer 4.1%		
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 <sup>(4)</sup> Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, (NPFG),	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,135,040
3.00%, 7/1/30	1,830	1,566,681
		\$ 3,701,721
Other Revenue 2.0%		
California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Performing Arts Center of Los Angeles), 5.00%, 12/1/32 California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank,	\$ 385	\$ 388,234
(Performing Arts Center of Los Angeles), 5.00%, 12/1/37 Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., 5.30%, (0.00%	315	309,821
until 12/1/12), 6/1/37 Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., 5.75%, 6/1/47	980 640	611,706 452,442
		\$ 1,762,203
Senior Living / Life Care 1.9%		
ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corporations, (Episcopal Senior Communities), 6.00%, 7/1/31	\$ 290 175	\$ 289,484 158,002

California Statewide Communities Development Authority,		
(Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 4.75%, 11/15/26		
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,		
(Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 4.875%, 11/15/36	700	581,049
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,		
(Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 7.25%, 11/15/41	600	643,554
		h
		\$ 1,672,089
Special Tax Revenue 15.4%		
Special Tax Tevende 151176		
Bonita Canyon Public Financing Authority, 5.375%, 9/1/28	\$ 1,000	\$ 986,340
Brentwood Infrastructure Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/2/26	285	240,477
Brentwood Infrastructure Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/2/34	460	352,981
Corona Public Financing Authority, 5.80%, 9/1/20	970	971,222
Eastern California Municipal Water District, Special Tax		,
Revenue, District No. 2004-27 Cottonwood, 5.00%, 9/1/27	200	188,530
Eastern California Municipal Water District, Special Tax		,
Revenue, District No. 2004-27 Cottonwood, 5.00%, 9/1/36	500	441,175
Fontana Redevelopment Agency, (Jurupa Hills),		,
5.60%, 10/1/27	1,590	1,591,081
Moreno Valley Unified School District, (Community School	1,000	1,001,001
District No. 2003-2), 5.75%, 9/1/24	420	420,382
Moreno Valley Unified School District, (Community School		,
District No. 2003-2), 5.90%, 9/1/29	750	750,217
Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority, 5.40%, 9/2/18	1,495	1,510,832
Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority, 5.50%, 9/2/24	900	906,885
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax		,
Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/28	2,400	2,645,280
Santaluz Community Facilities District No. 2, 6.10%, 9/1/21	250	250,838
Santaluz Community Facilities District No. 2, 6.20%, 9/1/30	490	490,652
Temecula Unified School District, 5.00%, 9/1/27	250	235,663
Temecula Unified School District, 5.00%, 9/1/37	400	351,636
Tustin Community Facilities District, 6.00%, 9/1/37	500	497,995
Whittier Public Financing Authority, (Greenleaf Avenue		,
Redevelopment), 5.50%, 11/1/23	1,000	1,001,100
1.000 (0.00), 0.00 (0.00)	1,000	1,001,100
		\$ 13,833,286
Transportation 12.1%		
Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco		
Pay Area 5 00% 4/1/21	\$ 2,000	\$ 2.103.680

Bay Area), 5.00%, 4/1/31

\$ 2,103,680

\$ 2,000

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE MICHIGAN MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSR

Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco		
Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29	1,000	1,087,020
Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles		
International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	2,120	2,234,247
Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles		
International Airport), (AMT), 5.375%, 5/15/30	1,500	1,586,160
Port of Redwood City, (AMT), 5.125%, 6/1/30	1,170	1,060,160
San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San		
Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35	2,760	2,829,469

\$ 10,900,736

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)		Value
Water and Sewer 4.2%			
California Department of Water Resources, 5.00%, 12/1/29 San Mateo, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 8/1/36	\$ 1,840 1,700	\$	1,988,727 1,795,302
		\$	3,784,029
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 164.1% (identified cost \$146,937,929)		<b>\$</b> 1	147,481,716
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends	(55.6)%	\$	(49,976,571)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (8.5)%		\$	(7,643,439)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$	89,861,706

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT - Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the

Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

BHAC - Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
CIFG - CIFG Assurance North America, Inc.
FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

RADIAN - Radian Group, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2011, 37.1% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 0.5% to 15.3% of total investments.

- (1) Amount is less than 0.05%
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1,626,867.
- (4) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 153.6%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 6.1%		
Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/33 Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/34	\$ 910 990	\$ 1,118,144 1,212,790
		\$ 2,330,934
Education 29.0%		
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Middlesex School), 5.00%, 9/1/33  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency,	\$ 600	\$ 604,908
(Milton Academy), 5.00%, 9/1/35	1,080	1,146,323
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (New England Conservatory of Music), 5.25%, 7/1/38  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,	895	891,142
(Berklee College of Music), 5.00%, 10/1/32	1,500	1,524,555
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,	1,640	1,966,163
(Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	1,500	1,620,570
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,	41.7	110 170
(Massachusetts Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/38	415 1,350	442,162 1,389,258

Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Northeastern University), 5.00%, 10/1/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Tufts University), 5.375%, 8/15/38 1,420 1,548,794 \$ 11,133,875 Electric Utilities 2.7% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Devens Electric System), 6.00%, 12/1/30 \$ 1,000 \$ 1,016,600 \$ 1,016,600 Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.1% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Western New England College), Prefunded to 12/1/12, 6.125%, 12/1/32 400 427,028 427,028 General Obligations 13.6% Boston, 4.00%, 4/1/24 \$ 300 325,278 Cambridge, 4.00%, 2/15/21 595 690,432 Danvers, 5.25%, 7/1/36 974,925 885 Newton, 5.00%, 4/1/36<sup>(2)</sup> 750 811,350 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/31 345 375,943 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/32 315 342,216 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/33 510 564,269 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/36 770 846,253 Winchester, 5.00%, 4/15/36 245 269,721

\$ 5,200,387

### Hospital 25.8%

Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Tufts Medical		
Center), 7.25%, 1/1/32	\$ 600	\$ 666,330
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency,		
(UMass Memorial), 5.50%, 7/1/31	370	375,905
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Baystate Medical Center, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/36	1,210	1,253,899
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Berkshire Health System), 6.25%, 10/1/31	400	402,192
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Children s Hospital), 5.25%, 12/1/39	500	520,025
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Dana-Farber Cancer Institute), 5.00%, 12/1/37	1,135	1,154,783
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Healthcare System-Covenant Health), 6.00%, 7/1/31	885	894,222
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Jordan Hospital), 6.75%, 10/1/33	755	757,937
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Lowell General Hospital), 5.125%, 7/1/35	970	877,724
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Partners Healthcare System), 5.00%, 7/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	2,000	2,046,360
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(South Shore Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/29	675	675,182
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Winchester Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/38	290	272,794

\$ 9,897,353

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Housing 6.7%		
Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, (AMT), 4.75%, 12/1/48	\$ 2,100	\$ 1,928,115
Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, (AMT), 5.00%, 12/1/28	650	654,251
		\$ 2,582,366
Industrial Development Revenue 1.5%		
Massachusetts Industrial Finance Agency, (American Hingham Water Co.), (AMT), 6.60%, 12/1/15	\$ 575	\$ 576,029
		\$ 576,029
Insured Education 10.3%		
Massachusetts College Building Authority, (XLCA), 5.50%, 5/1/39 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,182,010
Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	1,365	1,611,437

Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33	1,165	1,171,536
		\$ 3,964,983
Insured Electric Utilities 1.6%		
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$ 570	\$ 601,948
		\$ 601,948
Insured General Obligations 3.2%		
Massachusetts, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 8/1/30	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,231,100
		\$ 1,231,100
		. , ,
Insured Hospital 0.9%		
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Cape Cod Healthcare), (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/25	\$ 335	\$ 348,685
		\$ 348,685
Insured Other Revenue 2.0%		
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (WGBH Educational Foundation), (AMBAC), 5.75%, 1/1/42	\$ 705	\$ 773,336
		\$ 773,336
		φ 113,330

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE MICHIGAN MUNICIPAL	. INCOME TRUST - F	orm N-CSR
Insured Special Tax Revenue 12.5%		
Martha s Vineyard Land Bank, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/1/32	\$ 1,450	\$ 1,487,932
Massachusetts, Special Obligation, Dedicated Tax Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.50%, 1/1/29	1,000	1,118,930
Massachusetts School Building Authority, Dedicated Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	1,340	1,394,860
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54	7,595	486,080
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	2,735	326,340
		\$ 4,814,142
Insured Student Loan 5.7%		
Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.35%, 1/1/30	\$ 405	\$ 431,507
Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AMBAC), (AMT), 4.70%, 1/1/33	1,885	1,749,337
(11.11), 11.000, 17.1700	1,000	1,7 13,557
		\$ 2,180,844
Insured Transportation 3.4%		
Massachusetts Port Authority, (Bosfuel Project), (FGIC),		
(NPFG), (AMT), 5.00%, 7/1/32 Massachusetts Port Authority, (Bosfuel Project), (FGIC),	\$ 315	\$ 312,389
(NPFG), (AMT), 5.00%, 7/1/38	1,010	981,740
		\$ 1,294,129
Nursing Home 1.3%		
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Christopher House), 6.875%, 1/1/29	\$ 535	\$ 514,970

\$ 514,970

### Other Revenue 2.9%

Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/22	\$ 500	\$ 563,195
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/25	505	548,778

\$ 1,111,973

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Senior Living / Life Care 5.8%		
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.15%, 7/1/31 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire	\$ 250	\$ 222,008
Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.625%, 7/1/29 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency,	1,500	1,429,920
(Carleton-Willard Village), 5.625%, 12/1/30 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (First Mortgage	125	127,336
VOA Concord), 5.125%, 11/1/27 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (First Mortgage	140	112,616
VOA Concord), 5.20%, 11/1/41	475	344,612
		\$ 2,236,492
Special Tax Revenue 7.9%		
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/34 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax	\$ 140	\$ 150,669
Revenue, 0.00%, 7/1/31 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax	1,665	662,570
Revenue, 0.00%, 7/1/34	5,195	1,778,404
Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/39	75	69,331
Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	335	359,244

\$	3.	020	,218
Ψ		<b>U</b>	,_,

Transportation	7.3%
----------------	------

Transportation 7.3%		
Massachusetts Department of Transportation, (Metropolitan Highway System), 5.00%, 1/1/37 Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/28 Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/34	\$ 1,500 500 670	\$ 1,552,875 547,235 703,594
		\$ 2,803,704
Water and Sewer 2.3%		
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, 4.00%, 8/1/46	\$ 960	\$ 886,848
		\$ 886,848
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 153.6% (identified cost \$57,244,089)		\$ 58,947,944
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (52.2)%		\$ (20,050,234)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (1.4)%		\$ (525,316)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 38,372,394

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

- Assured Guaranty Corp. AGC

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT - Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the

Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Massachusetts municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2011, 25.8% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.3% to 14.8% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 151.5%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 2.3%		
Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, (Clean Water Revenue), 5.00%, 10/1/29 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 600	\$ 652,824
		\$ 652,824
Education 10.0%		
Grand Valley State University, 5.625%, 12/1/29	\$ 525	\$ 563,456
Grand Valley State University, 5.75%, 12/1/34 Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, (Hillsdale	525	558,574
College), 5.00%, 3/1/35	200	200,470
Michigan State University, 5.00%, 2/15/40	1,000	1,048,270
Michigan State University, 5.00%, 2/15/44	460	477,687
		\$ 2,848,457

Electric Utilities 1.9%

Lansing Board of Water and Light, 5.50%, 7/1/41	\$ 500	\$ 548,150
		\$ 548,150
Escrowed / Prerefunded 8.9%		
Macomb County Hospital Finance Authority, (Mount Clemens General Hospital), Prerefunded to 11/15/13, 5.875%, 11/15/34 Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, (Creative	\$ 560	\$ 619,164
Studies), Prerefunded to 6/1/12, 5.90%, 12/1/27	1,250	1,285,263
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Prerefunded to 7/1/12, 5.25%, 7/1/31	600	623,712
		\$ 2,528,139
General Obligations 26.1%		
Ann Arbor School District, 4.50%, 5/1/24 Charter County of Wayne, 6.75%, 11/1/39 Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/28	\$ 350 490	\$ 364,133 529,719