

DIRECT GENERAL CORP

Form 10-K

March 15, 2007

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

☒ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006**

**or**

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission File Number: 000-50360**

**DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Tennessee

62-1564496

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1281 Murfreesboro Road, Nashville, TN

37217

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(615) 399-0600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class  
Common stock, no par value

Name of each exchange on which registered  
The Nasdaq National Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information

Edgar Filing: DIRECT GENERAL CORP - Form 10-K

statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes ☐ No ☒

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the most recently completed second fiscal quarter (quotation date of June 30, 2006 \$16.92), based on the price at which the common equity was last sold on such date: \$250,104,131.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 20,347,675 shares of common stock, no par value, at March 12, 2007.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

All of the information called for by Part III of this report is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than April 30, 2007.

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PART I**

Item 1. Business

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Item 2. Properties

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

**PART II**

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Item 9B. Other Information

**PART III**

**PART IV**

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K

**SIGNATURE**

Ex-14 Code of Ethics and Policy on Business Conduct

Ex-21 List of Subsidiaries of the Company

Ex-23 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Ex-31.1 Section 302 Certification of the CEO

Ex-31.2 Section 302 Certification of CFO

Ex-32.1 Section 906 Certification of the CFO

Ex-32.2 Section 906 Certification of CFO

---

**Table of Contents**

**PART I**

**Item 1. Business.**

Direct General Corporation, headquartered in Nashville, Tennessee, was incorporated in 1993 and is a financial services holding company whose principal operating subsidiaries provide non-standard personal automobile insurance, term life insurance, premium finance and other consumer products and services primarily on a direct basis and primarily in the southeastern United States. Direct General Corporation owns five property/casualty insurance companies, two life/health insurance companies, two premium finance companies, twelve insurance agencies, two administrative service companies and one company that provides non-insurance consumer products and services. We are organized as a holding company system with all of our operations being conducted by our wholly-owned subsidiaries. Throughout this report the pronouns we, us, our, and similar words or phrases are sometimes used when collectively describing or referring to the operations conducted, financial measurements, geographical areas of operations, licensing and other regulatory obligations, etc. of Direct General Corporation and its subsidiaries that make up the Direct General holding company system as a whole. The reader of this report should understand that these generalized descriptions and references relate only to the Company (whether Direct General Corporation and/or one or more of its subsidiaries) within the Direct General holding company system to which the description or reference specifically applies and not literally to every corporate member of the Direct General group.

On December 4, 2006, we entered into a definitive merger agreement with Elara Holdings, Inc., or Elara, an affiliate of Fremont Partners and Texas Pacific Group, and Elara Merger Corporation, pursuant to which Elara agreed to acquire all of our outstanding stock. Upon completion of the merger, Elara will pay \$21.25 for each of our outstanding shares of common stock. Recently, Fremont Partners and Texas Pacific Group have changed their names to Calera Capital and TPG Capital, respectively. We sometimes refer to the proposed transaction herein as the Elara Merger.

Our Board of Directors approved, and on March 8, 2007, our stockholders voted to approve the Elara Merger. Upon completion of the Elara Merger, we will become a privately held company, and our common stock will no longer be publicly traded. Completion of the Elara Merger is subject to customary conditions to closing. In addition, state insurance, finance and other laws that apply to our various subsidiaries generally require that an acquiring party in a merger transaction obtain approval from the relevant state insurance and finance commissioner or banking or similar department prior to the acquisition. Accordingly, applications have been filed with the insurance, finance, banking or other required commissioners or departments of the state of domicile (or state of commercial domicile in the case of Florida) of our insurance company, finance company and small loan/payday lending subsidiaries. In addition, filings have been made under the insurance and finance laws of certain other states that require the filing of a pre-acquisition notice and the expiration or termination of a waiting period prior to the consummation of the Elara Merger.

**Our Business Model**

Our model emphasizes the distribution of our products and services through neighborhood sales offices staffed by employee-agents as opposed to commissioned agents. In contrast to the independent agency distribution model relied upon by many of our insurance competitors, our business model allows us to generate significant revenue from sources other than premiums from our core product, non-standard personal automobile insurance. These additional revenues include premium finance revenues, commissions from the sale of non-core insurance products and other revenues, none of which entails insurance underwriting risk. In the independent agency distribution model, these additional revenues would typically be paid to an unaffiliated premium finance company, independent agent, or other third party.

**Our Products and Services**

Our core business involves issuing non-standard personal automobile insurance policies. These policies, which generally are issued for the minimum limits of coverage required by state laws, provide coverage to drivers who generally cannot obtain insurance from standard carriers due to a variety of factors, including the lack of flexible payment plans, the failure to maintain continuous coverage, age, prior accidents, driving violations, occupation and type of vehicle.



**Table of Contents**

Through our premium finance subsidiaries, we finance the majority of the insurance policies that we sell. Premium finance involves making a loan to the customer backed by the unearned portion of the insurance premiums being financed, which is the portion of the loan attributable to future periods of coverage. We offer our customers a variety of flexible payment plans that allow for low down payments which we believe is a significant factor our customers consider when purchasing insurance.

We offer a variety of other insurance products designed to benefit and appeal to purchasers of our non-standard personal automobile insurance policies, including term life insurance offered through our wholly-owned life insurance subsidiaries, as well as vehicle protection insurance and hospital indemnity insurance underwritten by unaffiliated insurers for which we receive a commission but do not bear insurance underwriting risk. Since 2004, we have offered private labeled prepaid Visa® debit cards to our customers. The cards are administered by a third party processor, and we receive issuance and transaction fees. We also offer payday consumer loans in certain of our Florida, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri and Tennessee offices. These loans are not offered in every office located in these states because of regulatory or lease restrictions.

Our strategy also contemplates the sale of additional insurance products to our customers. In 2006, we commenced selling motorcycle policies in Tennessee, Arkansas and Illinois, and we plan to roll out motorcycle coverage to the majority of our other states during 2007. We are retaining the underwriting risk for this coverage. We are exploring the possibility of offering additional insurance products, such as renters , homeowners (including mobile homeowners ), and boat and personal watercraft policies. These additional insurance products may either be underwritten by us or by unaffiliated insurers from which we would receive a commission. We will assess the underwriting risk with respect to the products underwritten by us and may cede some portion of the risk to unaffiliated reinsurers.

The following table summarizes the components of our gross revenues for the periods indicated.

|                                                                       | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                                                       | <b>2006</b>                    | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                                                                       | <b>(\$ in millions)</b>        |             |             |
| Gross premiums:                                                       |                                |             |             |
| Gross premiums written automobile                                     | \$ 433.2                       | \$ 433.1    | \$ 463.5    |
| Gross premiums written life                                           | 22.7                           | 19.9        | 18.4        |
| Total gross premiums                                                  | 455.9                          | 453.0       | 481.9       |
| Ancillary income <sup>(1)</sup> :                                     |                                |             |             |
| Finance income                                                        | 43.9                           | 44.4        | 49.2        |
| Commission and service fee income                                     | 45.6                           | 46.8        | 48.6        |
| Total ancillary income                                                | 89.5                           | 91.2        | 97.8        |
| Net investment income excluding realized gains (losses) on securities | 19.0                           | 14.7        | 10.8        |
| Gross revenues <sup>(2)</sup>                                         | \$ 564.4                       | \$ 558.9    | \$ 590.5    |

<sup>(1)</sup> Ancillary income includes income derived from revenue sources that do not entail

insurance  
underwriting  
risk.

- (2) Gross revenues, which we consider to be a non-GAAP financial measure, is defined as gross premiums written, including direct premiums written and assumed premiums written, finance income, commission and service fee income, and net investment income (excluding net realized gains (losses) on securities). See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Measurement of Results .

#### **Our Favorable Cost Structure**

We emphasize the use of neighborhood sales offices staffed by employee-agents as opposed to commissioned agents, thereby replacing a variable operating cost structure with a largely fixed operating cost structure. Our sales offices staffed by company employees enable us to capture a significant source of ancillary income that does not entail insurance underwriting risk. Compared to companies operating under the traditional non-



**Table of Contents**

standard automobile insurance business model, where revenues from underwriting operations must cover the operating costs, our ancillary income provides us with a significant source of additional revenues.

Historically, we have relied on distribution relationships with independent agencies, generally as a transitional step in the acquisition of those agencies. In pursuing our strategy of expansion in selected states, since 1991, we have acquired 14 independent insurance agencies with over 250 sales offices in six states.

We seek to attract customers by developing strong brand name recognition in our markets through our television advertising campaigns that emphasize our low down payments, flexible payment plans, convenient neighborhood locations and customer service. Our television advertising campaign is designed to generate telephone inquiries to our neighborhood sales offices or our centralized call center where indications of estimated premiums are given to prospective customers, who are then directed to the nearest neighborhood sales office.

Our neighborhood offices serve as a channel for both product delivery and payment collection. Our widespread and convenient local presence appeals to our customers, most of whom would prefer to conduct business face-to-face rather than by telephone or the Internet. Policy applications are generally completed in the neighborhood sales offices, and most of our customers revisit these offices at least monthly to make their periodic payments.

We have also been pursuing initiatives to broaden our distribution to include sales over the telephone and through the Internet. While we believe that the majority of our business will continue to be conducted through our neighborhood sales offices, we also believe that some customers in the non-standard market prefer the convenience of being able to complete their transactions over the telephone or through the Internet. We commenced selling policies over the phone in the fourth quarter of 2005 and subsequently expanded this alternative distribution to all of our other states during 2006.

We have been testing Internet sales in Florida through an unaffiliated insurance agency over the past few years. In 2006, this agency produced \$6.4 million of automobile insurance premiums for us in Florida. We are in the process of expanding our Internet distribution to our other states through both our own website and the website of the unaffiliated agency. We offer online quotes in all markets and customers can purchase their policies through our website in approximately half of our states. Internet sales are now available in Georgia, Illinois, Tennessee, Mississippi, Missouri and Virginia. We expect to make Internet sales available in all of our markets by the end of 2007.

The following table summarizes our operating costs and the percentage of such costs covered by ancillary income for the periods indicated.

|                                                       | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                                       | <b>2006</b>                    | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                                                       | <b>(\$ in millions)</b>        |             |             |
| Ancillary income                                      | \$ 89.5                        | \$ 91.2     | \$ 97.8     |
| Operating expenses                                    |                                |             |             |
| Selling, general and administrative costs             | \$ 155.1                       | \$ 133.6    | \$ 108.5    |
| Interest expense                                      | 12.0                           | 8.3         | 5.5         |
| Total operating expenses                              | \$ 167.1                       | \$ 141.9    | \$ 114.0    |
| Ratio of ancillary income to total operating expenses | 53.6%                          | 64.3%       | 85.8%       |

**Our Strengths**

We believe that our strengths provide a foundation for profitable growth.

*Our integrated business model* enables us to better manage our business and capture a significant amount of premium finance revenues, term life insurance premiums, commissions from the sale of non-core insurance products and other revenues that would typically be paid, in an independent agency

## **Table of Contents**

distribution model, to an unaffiliated premium finance company, independent agent or other third party. *Our broad sales office network*, which emphasizes the use of employee-agents, is the cornerstone of our relationship with our customers, who typically would prefer to conduct business face-to-face than by telephone or on the Internet.

*Our premium finance operations*, which support the majority of the policies that we sell, provide attractive payment plans for our policyholders and allow us to adjust payment plan structures to meet changes in market demands more quickly than most of our competitors.

*Our favorable cost structure* enables us to leverage our largely fixed cost neighborhood sales offices staffed by company employees and reduce our marginal operating cost as we increase revenues.

*Our ancillary revenues*, including premium finance revenues, commissions from the sale of non-core insurance products and other revenues, none of which currently entails insurance underwriting risk, defray a significant amount of our operating expenses.

*Our claims settlement philosophy and procedures* have been designed with a clear emphasis on controlling costs through the use of our employee-staffed claims operations.

*Our controlled policy underwriting and pricing* are supported by an integrated point of sale agency system and back office control system.

*Strong name branding in our markets* results from our extensive use of television advertising and the presence of our neighborhood sales offices throughout the states in which we operate.

## **Our Future Growth**

We intend to continue our growth primarily through:

*Increasing Revenues in Existing Markets.* We are focused on increasing revenues in our existing markets by: generating new customers through our advertising campaigns; and

increasing the sales of our non-core insurance and non-insurance products and services.

*Expanding Our Product and Service Offerings.* We intend to expand the range of non-core insurance and non-insurance products and services we offer.

*Expanding Our Distribution Network.* We intend to expand into new states through acquisitions of local agencies and the opening of new sales offices. We also plan to continue to expand alternative distribution channels for our products including further development and enhancement of our Internet distribution to all of our states during 2007.

## **Our Market**

The personal automobile insurance market is comprised of preferred, standard and non-standard insurance segments. The coverages offered by these segments generally include liability (coverage for losses suffered by third parties), physical damage, personal injury protection (no-fault) and uninsured/underinsured motorist coverages. The non-standard automobile insurance coverages, which are generally issued for the minimum limits of coverage required by state laws, provide coverage to drivers who cannot obtain insurance from standard carriers due to a variety of factors, including lack of flexible payment plans, the failure to maintain continuous coverage, age, prior accidents, driving violations, occupation and type of vehicle. In general, customers in the non-standard market have higher average premiums for a comparable amount of coverage than customers who qualify for the standard market.

## **Table of Contents**

The higher average premiums compared to the standard market generally result from an increased frequency of losses, which is partially offset by the lower severity of losses resulting from lower limits of coverage. While there is no established industry-recognized demarcation between non-standard and other personal automobile insurance markets, based upon data compiled from A.M. Best, we believe that, as of December 31, 2005, the size of the non-standard automobile market segment in the United States was approximately \$37 billion, representing approximately 23% of the total personal automobile insurance market.

In our experience, customers of the non-standard segment generally consider four primary factors when purchasing a personal automobile insurance policy:

down payment;

payment frequency and amount;

total policy premium; and

customer service.

Our products, premium financing capabilities, neighborhood accessibility, policyholder service, and claims service are designed to meet the needs of our customers, in a manner that recognizes and accommodates our customers lifestyles and financial capabilities.

### **Our Competition**

The non-standard automobile insurance business is highly competitive. Since we emphasize sales of insurance policies through neighborhood sales offices staffed by employee-agents, we primarily compete against independent agencies that market insurance on behalf of a number of insurers. We compete with these other insurers based on factors such as price, availability of flexible payment plans, customer service, and claims service. Competition is also based on the availability and quality of products, financial strength, distribution systems and technical expertise.

Based upon data compiled from A.M. Best, we believe that, as of December 31, 2005 (the most recent data available), ten insurance groups accounted for approximately 74% of the approximately \$37 billion non-standard market segment. We believe that our primary insurance company competition comes not only from national companies or their subsidiaries, such as the Progressive insurance group, the Allstate insurance group, the Infinity insurance group, the State Farm insurance group, the Berkshire Hathaway insurance group (including GEICO) and the Bristol West insurance group, but also from non-standard insurers and independent agents that operate in a specific region or single state in which we operate. Based upon our direct written premiums for 2005, we believe that, as of December 31, 2005, we would be ranked 15th nationally and ninth in the twelve states in which we operated among non-standard automobile insurers, using the 2005 market data compiled from A.M. Best.

### **Marketing and Distribution**

Television advertising is our most heavily used and, we believe, our most effective advertising medium for reaching our customers. We believe that our local sales office presence, along with our extensive television and yellow page advertising, have allowed us to generate strong brand name recognition in our markets. In addition to our emphasis on television and yellow page advertising, we have begun to explore and implement grass roots marketing efforts that are designed to capitalize on the uniqueness of the designated marketing areas (DMA) in which we operate.

*Television Advertising.* Our commercials are frequently aired over all of our markets, primarily on network-affiliated stations and a limited number of cable networks. Our advertisements present potential customers with a local phone number, as well as a toll free number for our customer service center in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, and encourage the potential customer to call us for information. Indications of estimated premiums are provided by our employee-agents in our neighborhood sales offices or our representatives located in our customer service center in Baton Rouge. Once the preliminary estimates have been provided over the phone, prospective customers are

## **Table of Contents**

directed to the nearest neighborhood sales office where our employee-agents assist in the completion of the policy application, provide an explanation of coverages and policy options, perform an inspection of the insured vehicle and finalize the quote for the coverages selected. Employee-agents then complete the premium finance agreement and collect all amounts that are immediately due under the policy or premium finance agreement. With the implementation of our telephone sales initiative, customers have the option of completing their transaction over the phone by paying their premiums in full or by electing an installment billing option.

*Neighborhood Sales Offices.* Our neighborhood sales office distribution system is comprised of offices that we have developed, offices that we have obtained through strategic acquisitions of agency operations, and a small number of offices of other independent agents. Our strategy is to place our sales offices in a strip mall on a major thoroughfare in well-populated areas of cities and towns with a population of at least 12,000. We currently lease almost all of our offices subject to operating leases with terms ranging from one month to three years.

We have grown, and we intend to continue to grow, our business by expanding our neighborhood sales office network through the acquisition of independent insurance agencies and the opening of new neighborhood sales offices. The acquisition of independent insurance agencies generally involves the purchase of only the assets of the agency (including customer lists, rights to the agency name and the exclusive right to solicit the customer), and the assumption of certain agreed upon liabilities (generally, office space and equipment leases). We typically hire the employee-agents of the agency and in many cases hire key managers, as well. The acquisition purchase price, which is based on an arm's-length negotiation, generally varies with the volume of non-standard personal automobile insurance premiums produced by the agency over the twelve months preceding the acquisition.

Since our inception, we have used this expansion model to acquire the assets of 14 independent insurance agencies that included over 250 neighborhood offices in six states. Our most recent agency acquisitions occurred in January 2005, when we paid approximately \$5.6 million to acquire the assets of three independent insurance agencies operating through 82 sales offices in Texas.

We believe that our convenient neighborhood sales office concept is an essential component of our business model. Our licensed employee-agents have frequent direct contact with our customers. This direct contact gives us an opportunity to establish a personal relationship with the customer, who in our experience generally prefers face-to-face interaction, and helps us provide quality and efficient service. Our customers use neighborhood sales offices not only to purchase automobile insurance, but also as a convenient location to make their periodic payments and purchase other insurance and non-insurance products and services from us.

*Employee-Agents.* We believe that our emphasis on the use of employee-agents has made a significant contribution to the overall success of our business model. At our neighborhood sales offices, our employee-agents provide quotes on insurance premiums and payment plan options, sell non-standard personal automobile insurance policies and other insurance and ancillary products, process the relevant forms, inspect the customer's vehicle and collect and process payments. Additionally, our agents provide other customer support functions, such as contacting customers when they are late on their payments or advising customers when their policies are up for renewal. This level of personal interaction with our customers helps us identify opportunities to provide additional products and services.

*New State Expansion.* Historically, we have expanded into new states through either the development of neighborhood sales offices or the acquisition of independent agencies. The acquisition of independent agencies generally provides for immediate market recognition and an existing book of business that is able to support the agency expenditures and overhead. In contrast, newly developed neighborhood sales offices in new states where we have not yet established significant name brand recognition, typically operate at a loss during the first several months of operation until such time as we can develop a sufficient customer base to support the cost of the new sales offices.

*Alternative Distribution Channels.* We believe that a majority of our customer base prefers to conduct business through our neighborhood sales offices. However, we also believe that there is a segment of the non-standard market that has the ability and desire to conduct business over the telephone or through the Internet. Phone sales are available now in all states and sales through the Internet should be available in all of our states in 2007.

**Table of Contents****Our Products and Services*****Non-Standard Personal Automobile Insurance***

Non-standard personal automobile insurance policies constitute our core product. These policies, which generally are issued for the minimum limits of coverage required by state laws, provide coverage to drivers who cannot obtain insurance from standard carriers due to a variety of factors, including the lack of flexible payment plans, the failure to maintain continuous coverage, age, prior accidents, driving violations, occupation and type of vehicle. In general, customers in the non-standard market have higher average premiums for a comparable amount of coverage than customers who qualify for the standard market. The higher average premiums compared to the standard market generally result from an increased frequency of losses, which is partially offset by the lower severity of losses resulting from lower limits of coverage.

We believe that the majority of our customers do not qualify for insurance from standard carriers because of financial reasons, including the failure to maintain continuous coverage. Historically, over 75% of the drivers included under our insurance policies had no points associated with moving violations on their driving record at the time they purchased their policy.

The following table provides a summary of gross personal automobile insurance premiums written for the periods indicated.

|                        |            | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                        |            | <b>2006</b>                    | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                        |            | <b>(\$ in millions)</b>        |             |             |
| Gross premiums written | Automobile | \$433.2                        | \$433.1     | \$463.5     |

In 2006, we began issuing motorcycle coverage in Tennessee, Arkansas and Illinois. Because this product is very similar to automobile insurance and we have in-house expertise related to this coverage, we have decided to retain the underwriting risk for this product. We plan to make this product available in the majority of our states in 2007.

***Individual Term Life Insurance***

We offer our customers individual term life insurance policies with face amounts of \$10,000, \$15,000, \$20,000 or \$25,000. These are basic, one-year term policies that are guaranteed to be renewable for two additional one-year periods. Underwriting for this product generally consists of applicants answering certain health related questions. This product, which is sold in our neighborhood sales offices by our licensed employee-agents in each of the states in which we operate, is underwritten by our life insurance subsidiaries. Our employee-agents presently receive a small commission on the sale of this term life product.

The following table provides a summary of gross term life insurance premiums written for the periods indicated.

|                        |      | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|------------------------|------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                        |      | <b>2006</b>                    | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                        |      | <b>(\$ in millions)</b>        |             |             |
| Gross premiums written | Life | \$22.7                         | \$19.9      | \$18.4      |

***Premium Finance***

In 2006, our premium finance subsidiaries financed the premiums on over 95% of the insurance policies that we sold, excluding the monthly policies produced in the State of Texas, which are not financed. Premium

**Table of Contents**

finance involves making a loan to the customer that is backed by the unearned portion of the insurance premiums being financed. We offer our customers a variety of payment plans that allow for low down payments.

We believe that the amount of down payment and the availability of flexible payment plans are two of the primary factors that our customers consider when purchasing non-standard personal automobile insurance. Down payments and payment plans typically are offered by insurers and agents in the form of either installment billing or premium financing arrangements. Insurers typically use installment billing arrangements to bill for the premium of a single policy. Independent agents, who may offer policies from multiple insurers, use premium financing to finance multiple policies through one premium finance agreement. Under our business model, we generally choose to use premium financing versus installment billing because we believe it offers several advantages, including:

the ability to finance multiple policies through a single premium finance agreement,

returns comparable to or exceeding those of installment billing,

a greater flexibility of payment plan structure and down payment,

the ability to generate revenues in our non-insurance subsidiaries, and

a more defined regulatory framework for financing premiums.

In a typical premium finance arrangement, the premium finance company lends the amount of the premium (minus the insured's down payment) to the insured and pays it to the insurance company on behalf of the insured. The insured makes periodic payments to the premium finance company over the term of the finance agreement. Our payment plans and down payments are developed giving consideration to expected default rates and their timing and the amount of the unearned portion of the insurance premiums being financed, which provides security for the loan.

If an insurance policy is cancelled before its term expires, the policyholder has a right to receive a return of the unearned premium. Under a premium finance agreement, however, the policyholder assigns this right to the premium finance company to secure his or her obligations under the loan. If the policyholder defaults on a payment and, after being notified of the default, fails to cure the default within the prescribed time period, the premium finance company has the right to order the insurance company to cancel the policy and pay to the premium finance company the amount of any unearned premium on the policy. If the amount of unearned premium exceeds the balance due on the loan plus any interest and applicable fees owed by the policyholder to the premium finance company, then the premium finance company returns the excess amount to the policyholder in accordance with applicable law.

The regulatory framework under which our premium finance procedures are established is generally set forth in the premium finance statutes of the states in which we operate. Among other restrictions, the interest rate we may charge our customers for financing their premiums is limited by these state statutes. In Arkansas, which has not enacted premium finance legislation or established premium finance regulations, we are generally subject to the usury laws of that state that are applicable to consumer loans. See [Regulatory Environment](#) [Premium Finance Regulation](#) for additional information about state usury and other regulatory restrictions applicable to our premium finance operations.

We strive to mitigate the risk to us of potential losses from the insured's default under the premium finance agreement by designing payment plans that give consideration to the principal amount of the loan that is outstanding and the unearned premium securing the loan (as noted above). In addition, whenever a policyholder fails to timely cure a default on his or her premium finance loan, we act promptly to order the insurance company to cancel the insurance policy and return to us any unearned premium. Our premium finance operations are integrated with our sales office and insurance policy administration systems. Because of the efficiencies derived from the integration of these systems and the attractiveness of our payment plans, our overall profitability is enhanced by our premium finance operations.

**Table of Contents**

The following table provides a summary of our finance income for the periods indicated.

|                | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                | <b>2006</b>                    | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                | <b>(\$ in millions)</b>        |             |             |
| Finance income | \$43.9                         | \$44.4      | \$49.2      |

***Ancillary Insurance Products***

We also offer ancillary insurance products and services designed to meet the needs of our customers. In doing so, we take advantage of our largely fixed cost neighborhood sales offices staffed by company employees to generate commission income for us with minimal incremental cost. The unaffiliated insurance companies that underwrite these products bear the underwriting risk associated with these policies. The commission income generated from sales of these policies is a revenue source that is not typically available to non-standard personal automobile insurance companies that rely on the independent agency business model.

The ancillary insurance products we currently offer include vehicle protection, and hospital indemnity. These insurance policies generally provide coverage and options that include reimbursement for medical expenses and hospital room coverage as a result of injuries sustained in automobile accidents, reimbursement for premiums for bail bonds, ambulance assistance in the event of automobile accidents, automobile rental reimbursement if the insured vehicle is involved in an accident or is stolen, and reimbursement for personal effects losses caused by damage to rented automobiles.

Our agency and administrative subsidiaries produce and service non-standard personal automobile insurance and ancillary insurance products for other insurers. We receive administrative service fees for the agency, underwriting, policy administration and claims adjusting services performed on behalf of these unaffiliated insurers. Additionally, through reinsurance agreements, our insurance subsidiaries generally assume a portion of the non-standard personal automobile business, and in some cases assume the entire premium and related insurance risk.

The following table provides a summary of our commission and service fee income generated from sales of ancillary insurance products and the administration of products on behalf of unaffiliated insurers for the periods indicated.

|                                   | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                   | <b>2006</b>                    | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                                   | <b>(\$ in millions)</b>        |             |             |
| Commission and service fee income | \$45.6                         | \$46.8      | \$48.6      |

We are exploring the possibility of offering additional insurance products, such as renters , homeowners (including mobile homeowners ), boat and personal watercraft policies. These additional insurance products may either be underwritten by unaffiliated insurers, from which we would receive a sales commission, or underwritten by one of our insurance subsidiaries. We will assess the underwriting risk with respect to the products underwritten by us and may cede some portion of that risk to unaffiliated reinsurers.

***Other Products and Services***

We offer other non-insurance products and services designed to benefit and appeal to our customers. Our intention is to continue to expand the number of insurance and non-insurance products and services offered to our existing customers and to attract new customers to our neighborhood sales offices. We anticipate that the additional flow of potential customers will provide us with the increased opportunity to sell our core product and generate additional revenue streams that further leverage our largely fixed cost distribution system. Revenues from sales of our other non-insurance products and services have not been meaningful to date.

## **Table of Contents**

*Direct Prepaid Visa®*, a *Debit Card Program*. Our private label Visa® debit card program allows our customers to purchase a card that can be loaded with cash only while our customer is in one of our sales offices. The program, which is available in all of our states, is administered by a third party processor and we receive a fee upon the issuance of each card and on each subsequent transaction in which our customer uses the card. We retain some financial risk if amounts are improperly charged to the card in excess of the pre-funded balance on the card. During 2006, we sold about 178,000 cards and our customers loaded approximately \$44.6 million on their cards.

*Direct Cash Advance*, a *Payday Consumer Loan Program*. We offer payday consumer loans through our consumer products subsidiary in certain of our sales offices under the trade name *Direct Cash Advance*, in the states of Louisiana, Florida, Kentucky, Mississippi, Missouri and Tennessee. Our loans are generally available for amounts up to \$300 and mature two weeks from the date of issuance. We use a variety of underwriting guidelines in our loan approval process in order to mitigate the risk of loss on these transactions. We finance and service the loans, and we retain the risk for uncollectible amounts.

We have designed our *Direct Cash Advance* program to comply with each individual state's regulations and, as such, we will likely not offer this product in certain states due to the lack of state enabling legislation or due to other legislation that creates an unfavorable climate for the payday lending business. We may also be limited as to where we can offer this product for a variety of other reasons including certain lease restrictions related to our sales offices.

### **Underwriting and Pricing**

*Non-Standard Personal Automobile Insurance*. We strive to diligently price and closely control the underwriting standards for our non-standard personal automobile insurance policies that we sell. We generally do not sell personal automobile insurance policies to persons whom we deem to have an excessive number of points on their driving record. Our underwriting and rating systems are fully automated, including on-line driving records and on-line insurance scoring in the majority of the states in which we operate. We believe that our automated underwriting and pricing systems provide a significant competitive advantage to us, because these systems give us the ability to capture relevant pricing information, improve efficiencies, increase the accuracy and consistency of underwriting decisions and reduce training costs. Our systems can be changed easily on a state-by-state basis to reflect new rates and underwriting guidelines necessary to compete effectively in our markets.

We set premium rates based on specific type of vehicle, garage location and the driver's age, gender, marital status, driving experience and location. Currently, we only use insurance scoring as an additional rating factor in the majority of our states excluding Florida, Georgia, North Carolina and Texas. Ultimately, we plan to incorporate insurance scoring as a rating factor in all of our states unless it is specifically prohibited by state law. We seek to maintain competitive, but adequate, rates to attract those responsible drivers who we believe make up a significant portion of the non-standard market. We review loss trends in every state on a quarterly basis to identify changes in frequency and severity, and to assess the adequacy of our rates and underwriting standards. We are committed to maintaining discipline in our pricing by adjusting rates, as necessary, to maintain or improve profit margins in each market.

*Individual Term Life Insurance*. Our underwriting of individual term life insurance policies is limited, due to the maximum face amount of the policies being \$25,000. Applicants are required to provide proof of age and answer six underwriting questions that are designed to determine the possible existence of serious health conditions. Our guidelines prohibit issuance of a term life insurance policy to any applicant who currently has any of the conditions mentioned in the underwriting questions.

### **Claims Handling**

We believe that one of the most significant keys to our success is our disciplined focus on controlling the claims process and claims costs. Since non-standard personal automobile insurance customers as a whole generally have a higher frequency of claims than preferred and standard insurance customers, it is important that we successfully manage the claims process and claims costs to limit our losses. The entire claims process is managed by our in-house claims operation. By controlling this process, rather than having all or parts of it outsourced to third parties, we can quickly assess claims, identify loss trends early and manage against fraud. We can also readily capture information that is useful in establishing loss reserves and determining premium rates. We believe that our



## **Table of Contents**

claims process is designed to promote expedient, fair and consistent claims handling, while controlling loss adjustment expenses.

As of February 28, 2007, our claims operation had a staff of 557 employees, including adjusters, appraisers, re-inspectors, special investigators and claims administrative personnel. We conduct our claims operations out of two major regional claims centers and three smaller regional centers. Our employees handle all claims from the initial report of the claim until the final settlement. The regional claims offices are assigned geographic service areas with enough flexibility for any office to handle claims from other areas, as claims volume, workloads and available staff require. We believe that our in-house employment of salaried claims personnel, including appraisers and adjusters, and our control of the entire claims process result in a reduction of our ultimate loss payments, lower loss adjustment expenses and improved customer service.

All of our claims personnel are hired and trained in our in-house training program regardless of previous experience. In addition to initial training, we support continuing education of seasoned claims staff to ensure that they are up to date in all of the newest claims processes, fraud detection and legislative and litigation issues. In addition to other qualifications, our field and re-inspection appraisers typically have obtained hands-on experience with automobile body and mechanical repair before we employ them.

While we are strongly committed to promptly and fairly settling the meritorious claims of our customers and claimants, we are equally committed to defending against non-meritorious claims. Litigated claims and lawsuits are primarily managed by one of our specially trained litigation adjusters. Suspicious claims are referred to our special investigation unit, which we refer to as our SIU. Our SIU routinely investigates claims reflecting repetitive fact patterns or other unusual circumstances. Our SIU has been involved with investigations, assisting local authorities in combating fraud, organized crime and fraud rings in the states in which we conduct business.

We seek to control our claims litigation defense costs by carefully selecting outside counsel who specialize in automobile insurance claim defense. Generally, the representation fees cover all activity from opening a litigation file through final disposition of the case. We believe that our efforts to obtain high quality claims defense litigation services at a fixed or carefully controlled cost have helped us control claims losses and expenses.

### **Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves**

Automobile accidents generally result in insurance companies paying amounts to individuals or companies resulting from physical damage to an automobile or other property and an injury to a person. Months and sometimes years may elapse between the occurrence of an accident, reporting of the accident to the insurer and payment of the claim. Insurers record a liability for estimates of losses that will be paid for accidents reported to it, which we refer to as case reserves. In addition, since accidents are not always reported promptly upon the occurrence, insurers estimate liabilities for accidents that have occurred but have not been reported to the insurer, which we refer to as incurred but not reported, or IBNR, reserves.

We are directly liable for loss and loss adjustment expenses under the terms of the insurance policies underwritten by our insurance subsidiaries. Each of our insurance subsidiaries establishes a reserve for all unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, which we refer to as LAE, including case and IBNR reserves and estimates for the cost to settle the claims. We rely primarily on historical loss experience in determining reserve levels, on the assumption that historical loss experience provides a good indication of future loss experience. We also give consideration to various factors, such as inflation, historical claims, settlement patterns, legislative activity and litigation trends. We continually monitor these estimates and, if necessary, increase or decrease the level of our reserves as experience develops or new information becomes known.

We believe that the liabilities that we have recorded for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses are adequate to cover the ultimate net cost of losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred to date. We periodically review our methods of establishing case and IBNR reserves and update our estimates. Our actuarial staff performs quarterly comprehensive reviews of reserves and loss trends. In addition, our independent consulting actuary provides certification of our reserves at each year end.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents development information on changes in reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses of our insurance subsidiaries for the periods indicated.

|                                                             | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                                             | <b>2006</b>                    | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                                                             | <b>(\$ in millions)</b>        |             |             |
| Balance at beginning of period                              | \$ 131.4                       | \$ 124.9    | \$ 112.6    |
| Less reinsurance recoverables on unpaid losses              | 17.5                           | 22.9        | 37.9        |
| Net balance at beginning of period                          | 113.9                          | 102.0       | 74.7        |
| Add Losses and LAE incurred, net of reinsurance related to: |                                |             |             |
| Current period                                              | 321.2                          | 299.0       | 275.7       |
| Prior period                                                | (0.3)                          | 6.8         | 6.3         |
| Net losses and LAE incurred during the current year         | 320.9                          | 305.8       | 282.0       |
| Deduct losses and LAE paid, net of reinsurance, related to: |                                |             |             |
| Current period                                              | 221.7                          | 210.6       | 191.2       |
| Prior period                                                | 82.3                           | 83.3        | 63.5        |
| Net claim payments made during the current period           | 304.0                          | 293.9       | 254.7       |
| Net balance at end of period                                | 130.8                          | 113.9       | 102.0       |
| Plus reinsurance recoverables on unpaid losses              | 6.0                            | 17.5        | 22.9        |
| Balance at end of period                                    | \$ 136.8                       | \$ 131.4    | \$ 124.9    |

Net loss and LAE incurred included the impact of favorable development on prior years' reserves of \$0.3 million in 2006 and adverse development of \$6.8 million in 2005. Approximately \$5.8 million of the unfavorable development during 2005 was related to our business in Florida, and was attributable to higher than expected severity in the personal injury protection coverage and both higher than expected frequency and severity for the property damage coverage. For further discussion refer to Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Insurance Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses.

During 2006, approximately 73% of our net claim payments were related to accidents occurring in the current year and the remaining 27% were attributable to prior accident years. This represents a slight increase from 2005, when our net claim payments for the 2005 accident year represented 72% of the total and the remaining 28% were related to payments on accidents occurring in prior years.

The table provided after the following paragraph presents the development of reserves, net of reinsurance, from 1996 through 2006. The top line of the table presents the reserves at the balance sheet date for each of the years indicated. This represents the estimated amounts of losses and loss adjustment expenses for claims arising in all years that were unpaid at the balance sheet date, including losses that had been incurred but not yet reported to us. The upper portion of the table presents the cumulative amounts paid as of the end of each successive year with respect to those claims. The lower portion of the table presents the re-estimated amount of the previously recorded reserves based on experience as of the end of each succeeding year, including cumulative payments made since the end of the respective year. The estimate changes as more information becomes known about the payments, frequency and severity of claims for individual years. Favorable loss development, shown as a cumulative redundancy in the table, exists when the original reserve estimate is greater than the re-estimated reserves. Information with respect to the cumulative development of gross reserves (that is, without deduction for reinsurance ceded) also appears at the bottom portion of the table.

In evaluating the information in the table provided below, you should note that each amount entered incorporates the cumulative effects of all changes in amounts entered for prior periods. You should also note that the table does not present accident or policy year development data. In addition, conditions and trends that have affected the development of liability in the past may not necessarily recur in the future. The net cumulative deficiency of \$6.0 million in 1999 and \$10.6 million in 2000 included approximately \$0.9 million and \$6.5 million, respectively, related to the write-off of reinsurance recoverables from Reliance Insurance Company.

**Table of Contents**

|                                                             | As of December 31, |          |          |         |         |          |         |          |          |          |          |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                                                             | 1996               | 1997     | 1998     | 1999    | 2000    | 2001     | 2002    | 2003     | 2004     | 2005     | 2006     |
|                                                             | (\$ in millions)   |          |          |         |         |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| <b>Net reserves for losses and loss adjustment expense:</b> |                    |          |          |         |         |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| <b>Originally estimated</b>                                 | \$ 16.0            | \$ 18.4  | \$ 31.4  | \$ 33.9 | \$ 34.5 | \$ 37.0  | \$ 57.9 | \$ 74.7  | \$ 102.0 | \$ 113.9 | \$ 130.8 |
| <b>Cumulative amounts paid as of:</b>                       |                    |          |          |         |         |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| One year later                                              | 9.7                | 9.7      | 18.4     | 25.0    | 31.2    | 13.1     | 43.8    | 63.5     | 83.2     | 82.3     |          |
| Two years later                                             | 12.4               | 12.2     | 24.8     | 33.7    | 35.3    | 26.9     | 55.4    | 75.5     | 99.9     |          |          |
| Three years later                                           | 13.2               | 13.7     | 27.6     | 36.1    | 41.3    | 32.7     | 59.7    | 82.0     |          |          |          |
| Four years later                                            | 13.7               | 14.4     | 28.7     | 38.4    | 43.6    | 34.7     | 62.1    |          |          |          |          |
| Five years later                                            | 13.9               | 14.7     | 29.3     | 39.2    | 44.5    | 35.3     |         |          |          |          |          |
| Six years later                                             | 14.0               | 14.8     | 29.7     | 39.6    | 44.8    |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| Seven years later                                           | 14.1               | 14.9     | 29.8     | 39.7    |         |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| Eight years later                                           | 14.1               | 15.0     | 29.8     |         |         |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| Nine years later                                            | 14.1               | 15.0     |          |         |         |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| Ten years later                                             | 14.1               |          |          |         |         |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| <b>Reserves re-estimated as of:</b>                         |                    |          |          |         |         |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| One year later                                              | 15.7               | 16.6     | 29.1     | 36.2    | 43.1    | 31.5     | 58.0    | 81.0     | 108.8    | 113.6    |          |
| Two years later                                             | 15.6               | 15.6     | 28.8     | 38.3    | 42.7    | 33.6     | 61.2    | 84.1     | 112.3    |          |          |
| Three years later                                           | 14.9               | 15.3     | 29.4     | 38.7    | 43.8    | 35.1     | 62.8    | 86.5     |          |          |          |
| Four years later                                            | 14.6               | 15.1     | 29.5     | 39.3    | 44.6    | 35.9     | 64.1    |          |          |          |          |
| Five years later                                            | 14.3               | 14.8     | 29.7     | 39.7    | 45.1    | 36.0     |         |          |          |          |          |
| Six years later                                             | 14.1               | 14.9     | 29.8     | 39.9    | 45.2    |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| Seven years later                                           | 14.1               | 15.0     | 29.9     | 39.9    |         |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| Eight years later                                           | 14.1               | 15.0     | 29.9     |         |         |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| Nine years later                                            | 14.1               | 15.0     |          |         |         |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| Ten years later                                             | 14.1               |          |          |         |         |          |         |          |          |          |          |
| <b>Net cumulative deficiency/(redundancy):</b>              | \$ (1.9)           | \$ (3.5) | \$ (1.6) | \$ 6.0  | \$ 10.6 | \$ (1.0) | \$ 6.1  | \$ 11.8  | \$ 10.3  | \$ (0.3) |          |
| <b>Gross liability originally estimated</b>                 | \$ 21.0            | \$ 25.7  | \$ 39.9  | \$ 53.2 | \$ 74.0 | \$ 77.5  | \$ 86.9 | \$ 112.6 | \$ 124.9 | \$ 131.4 | \$ 136.8 |
| <b>Reinsurance recoverables</b>                             | 5.0                | 7.3      | 8.5      | 19.3    | 39.5    | 40.5     | 29.0    | 37.9     | 22.9     | 17.5     | 6.0      |
| <b>Net liability originally estimated</b>                   | \$ 16.0            | \$ 18.4  | \$ 31.4  | \$ 33.9 | \$ 34.5 | \$ 37.0  | \$ 57.9 | \$ 74.7  | \$ 102.0 | \$ 113.9 | \$ 130.8 |
| <b>Gross estimated liability latest</b>                     | \$ 18.3            | \$ 22.0  | \$ 38.3  | \$ 62.8 | \$ 83.4 | \$ 81.7  | \$ 94.8 | \$ 128.7 | \$ 136.3 | \$ 128.3 | \$ 136.8 |
| <b>Reinsurance recoverables latest</b>                      | 4.2                | 7.0      | 8.4      | 22.9    | 38.3    | 45.8     | 30.7    | 42.2     | 24.0     | 14.8     | 6.0      |
| <b>Net estimated liability as of 2006</b>                   | \$ 14.1            | \$ 15.0  | \$ 29.9  | \$ 39.9 | \$ 45.1 | \$ 35.9  | \$ 64.1 | \$ 86.5  | \$ 112.3 | \$ 113.6 | \$ 130.8 |
| <b>Gross cumulative deficiency/(redundancy)</b>             | \$ (2.7)           | \$ (3.7) | \$ (1.6) | \$ 9.6  | \$ 9.4  | \$ 4.2   | \$ 7.9  | \$ 16.1  | \$ 11.5  | \$ (2.7) |          |

Net cumulative deficiency/(redundancy): \$ (1.9) \$ (3.5) \$ (1.6) \$ 6.0 \$ 10.6 \$ (1.0) \$ 6.1 \$ 11.8 \$ 10.3 \$ (0.3)

## Reinsurance

### *Summary*

Reinsurance refers to an arrangement in which a company called a reinsurer agrees in a contract (often referred to as a treaty) to assume specified risks written by an insurance company (known as a ceding company) by paying the insurance company all or a portion of the insurance company's losses arising under specified classes of insurance policies. Insurance companies like us use reinsurance to reduce their exposures, to increase their underwriting capacity and to manage their capital more efficiently, among other reasons. We have historically relied on various quota share and excess of loss reinsurance treaties to maintain our exposure to loss at or below a level that is within the capacity of our capital resources to handle. In quota share reinsurance, the reinsurer agrees to assume a specified percentage of the ceding company's losses arising out of a defined class of business (for example, 50% of all losses arising from non-standard automobile insurance written in a particular state in a particular year), in exchange for a corresponding percentage of premium.

Historically, we have ceded a portion of our non-standard automobile insurance premiums and losses to unaffiliated reinsurers in accordance with these contracts. However, we have not ceded any portion of our life insurance premiums and losses to reinsurers since the life premium volume is relatively low and the maximum policy benefit offered is well within the capacity of our capital resources. Ceded premiums written were equal to (0.6)%, 11.6% and 15.0% of our gross premiums written for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Increases to our statutory surplus in the past several years have enabled us to retain more of the business we underwrote and ultimately, enabled us to eliminate our use of quota share reinsurance in 2006. Prior to 2005, we also ceded all of the premiums and losses associated with policies written for coverage limits in excess of the state required minimum coverages. Only a minimal amount of our non-standard automobile policies are written at these higher limits, and, beginning in 2005 we retained all the risk associated with the higher limit policies. We also maintain catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance that provides coverage for losses up to \$15 million, less our retention of 100% of the first \$2 million of losses, 12.5% of the next \$3 million of losses and 10.0% of the next \$10

**Table of Contents**

million of losses covered under this reinsurance arrangement in order to limit our exposure to losses from a single catastrophic event such as a hurricane, earthquake, or hailstorm.

The following amounts are reflected in our financial statements as a result of reinsurance arrangements:

|                  | Year Ended December 31, |          |          |          |          |          |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                  | 2006                    |          | 2005     |          | 2004     |          |
|                  | Written                 | Earned   | Written  | Earned   | Written  | Earned   |
|                  | (\$ in millions)        |          |          |          |          |          |
| Direct premiums  | \$ 435.1                | \$ 425.5 | \$ 424.6 | \$ 432.0 | \$ 442.6 | \$ 432.6 |
| Assumed premiums | 20.8                    | 21.0     | 28.4     | 29.6     | 39.3     | 39.2     |
| Gross premiums   | 455.9                   | 446.5    | 453.0    | 461.6    | 481.9    | 471.8    |
| Ceded premiums   | 2.7                     | (21.7)   | (52.4)   | (57.5)   | (72.5)   | (99.3)   |
| Net premiums     | \$ 458.6                | \$ 424.8 | \$ 400.6 | \$ 404.1 | \$ 409.4 | \$ 372.5 |

***Reinsurance Risks***

Reinsurance is subject to certain risks, particularly credit risk, which relates to our ability to collect the payments for reinsured losses due from our reinsurers, and market risk, which affects the cost and availability of reinsurance.

*Credit Risk.* We attempt to select financially strong reinsurers with an A.M. Best rating of A- or better and continue to evaluate their financial condition and monitor various credit risks to minimize our exposure to losses from reinsurer insolvencies. However, we remain obligated for amounts ceded in the event that the reinsurers do not meet their obligations. We have implemented several changes to our reinsurance program over the past several years designed to reduce our exposure to the credit risk from uncollectible reinsurance recoverables. These changes include shifting the settlement of reinsurance premiums to an earned basis versus a written basis, requiring reinsurers to fund a trust account or provide a letter of credit in the event of a downgrade by A.M. Best below a specified level and limiting the maximum participation by any one reinsurer.

Our reinsurance agreements provide us with a right of offset for balances due to or from our reinsurers. Accordingly, the funds we hold and the ceded premiums payable to our reinsurers are available to offset our reinsurance receivables. By applying this offset provision coupled with the decrease in ceded business, the net exposure to reinsurers decreased to \$6.0 million as of December 31, 2006 from \$25.2 million as of the prior year end. Some of our reinsurers have established letters of credit and trust accounts covering \$0.8 million of their obligations to us at December 31, 2006, which resulted in unsecured reinsurance recoverables of \$5.2 million and \$19.4 million as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The largest unsecured recoverable from a single reinsurer was \$2.3 million as of December 31, 2006, which was due from Dorinco Reinsurance, a subsidiary of Dow Chemical. All unsecured recoverables are due from companies rated at least A- (Excellent) by A.M. Best.

**Table of Contents**

The following table provides a summary of our reinsurance recoverables by reinsurer as of December 31, 2006.

| Reinsurer                     | A.M. Best Rating | Net<br>Recoverable<br>on<br>Paid<br>Losses | Net<br>Receivable<br>on<br>Reserves | Funds<br>Held<br>and<br>Ceded<br>Premiums<br>Payable<br>(\$ in millions) | Net<br>Exposure<br>to<br>Reinsurer | Amount<br>Secured<br>by<br>Letters<br>of<br>Credit<br>or<br>Trust<br>Accounts |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AXA Corporate Solutions       | A (Excellent)    | \$ 0.2                                     | \$ 0.5                              | \$ 0.7                                                                   | \$ 0.5                             | \$ 0.5                                                                        |
| Dorinco Reinsurance           | A-(Excellent)    | 0.8                                        | 2.7                                 | 2.0                                                                      | 2.6                                | 0.3                                                                           |
| National Union Fire Insurance | A+ (Superior)    | 0.3                                        | 1.3                                 | 0.8                                                                      | 1.2                                |                                                                               |
| Swiss Re America              | A+ (Superior)    | 0.3                                        | 1.3                                 | 0.8                                                                      | 1.2                                |                                                                               |
| Other Reinsurers              |                  | 0.2                                        | 0.3                                 | 0.4                                                                      | 0.5                                |                                                                               |
| Total                         |                  | \$ 1.8                                     | \$ 6.1                              | \$ 4.7                                                                   | \$ 6.0                             | \$ 0.8                                                                        |

**Market Risk.** Overall reinsurance capacity was in a period of decline as a result of inadequate pricing, poor underwriting results, and the significant losses incurred in conjunction with the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001. As a result of periods of increased pricing and the reinsurers' exit of certain lines of business, the reinsurance market has experienced improved underwriting results and increases to capital during the past several years. Despite the level of catastrophic losses experienced in the industry in 2004 and 2005, most reinsurers that suffered large losses have been able to replenish their capital, so we generally believe that there is adequate reinsurance capacity to support our needs for the foreseeable future.

During 2001 through 2005, we have managed the cost of our quota share reinsurance by including provisions for sliding scale reinsurance commissions, loss ratio corridors, and loss ratio caps in our quota share reinsurance agreements. These provisions have been structured to provide the reinsurers with some limit on the amount of potential loss being assumed, while maintaining the transfer of significant insurance risk with the possibility of a significant loss to the reinsurer. Provisions for sliding scale reinsurance commissions result in adjustments to the reinsurance commissions we receive for producing and administering the business based upon the reinsurers' loss experience. Loss ratio corridors provide for layers of losses in which the reinsurer does not participate in the losses while loss ratio caps cut off the reinsurer's liability for losses above a specified loss ratio. We believe our reinsurance arrangements qualify for reinsurance accounting in accordance with SFAS 113 Accounting for Reinsurance Contracts.

**2007 Reinsurance Program**

**Catastrophe Reinsurance.** We purchased property catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance that provides coverage for losses up to \$15 million, less our retention of 100% of the first \$2 million of losses, 12.5% of the next \$3 million of losses, and 10% of the next \$10 million of losses covered under this reinsurance arrangement. The contract covers in force, new, renewed, and assumed personal automobile physical damage business with the maximum value per vehicle covered of \$75,000. If we incur losses from a catastrophic event that results in recoveries under this contract, we have the option to purchase one reinstatement of coverage with a \$26 million aggregate limitation for all losses occurring during the term of the agreement. Based on computer modeling, we believe this level of coverage is more than sufficient to cover our probable maximum loss from a once in a thousand year catastrophic event. Losses from

terrorist events including nuclear, chemical and biochemical acts are excluded from coverage. Our catastrophe reinsurers for 2007 include Endurance Specialty Insurance Limited, rated A (Excellent) by A.M. Best, QBE Reinsurance Corporation, XL Re Limited, rated A+ (Superior) by A.M. Best, and certain syndicates from Lloyd's of London.

*Assumed Reinsurance.* We assume a 100% quota share percentage of certain personal automobile liability and physical damage business in Texas underwritten by Old American County Mutual Fire Insurance Company, an unaffiliated insurance company. We also assume a 100% quota share percentage of certain personal automobile physical damage business in North Carolina underwritten by an unaffiliated insurer, State National Insurance



## **Table of Contents**

Company. We are responsible for sales, service and claims administration related to the assumed business in Texas and North Carolina.

### **Technology**

The effectiveness of our business model depends in large part on the technology systems we have developed specifically to implement our business model. Our technology systems enable timely and efficient communication and data sharing among the various segments of our integrated operations. The coordination of the operations of our neighborhood sales offices, insurance companies, premium finance companies and claims company provides us with the opportunity to use technology more effectively than many of our competitors who must communicate with unaffiliated premium finance companies and with a large number of independent agents, many of which use different computer systems that may not be fully compatible with the insurance company's systems. Our central processing computer is an IBM iSeries located in Nashville, Tennessee, which was last upgraded in September 2006. As part of our business continuity plan, we also maintain a backup IBM iSeries in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. These systems maintain our official transaction records and are updated each night. The capacity of the iSeries computer permits historical detail to be maintained and available for use in rate analysis, projections and modeling.

*Sales Office Automation.* We strive to standardize and integrate the technology systems between and among our subsidiaries that facilitate the automated capture of information at the earliest point in the sales cycle. All of our neighborhood sales office computers transmit information directly to our central processing computer located in Nashville where policy information and premium finance agreement data are added to our systems with nominal additional manual handling. Our sales offices also have immediate on-line access to current information on policies and premium finance agreements by a common computer interface.

We strive to enhance the current sales office system and improve our back office integration. These systems include features for issuing policies and premium finance agreements, processing new business, renewals and endorsements, and generating all necessary documents at the neighborhood sales offices. Ultimately, we expect to eliminate the mailing of declaration pages, identification cards, payment coupon books and other documents from the main office. Connection to the iSeries is through an internal frame relay network.

*Integration of Insurance and Premium Finance Systems.* The integration of the policy processing and premium finance computer systems reduces much of the time consuming paper-based transactions that typically arise in this process. Technology is used to process policy cancellations, reinstatements and return premiums between our insurance subsidiaries and our premium finance companies, resulting in reduced overhead, more timely information and improved customer service. Throughout this process, our systems generate the appropriate statutory notices, which are mailed automatically to the insured and others as appropriate.

*Payment Processing.* Most of our customers revisit our sales offices at least monthly to make a periodic payment on their premium finance agreement. System generated receipts are required for all payments collected in our sales offices. Our sales offices generate balancing reports at the end of each day, prepare bank deposit documents and transmit all payment records to our Nashville office. Depository accounts are swept daily, which results in prompt availability of funds. Typically, premium finance agreement payments are automatically applied to the applicable premium finance agreement during the night following their collection in our sales offices. This results in fewer notices of intent to cancel being generated from the premium finance company and fewer policies being canceled by the insurance company, which must be reinstated if a customer's late payment is processed after cancellation. Thus, not only are mailing costs reduced, but we believe that unnecessary policy cancellations also are kept to a minimum, which in turn leads to better customer relations. Our customers can also make payments through the Internet, over the phone, or through automatic debits to their bank account.

### **Ratings**

A.M. Best rates insurance companies based on factors of concern to policyholders using a scale of 15 ratings, which currently range from A++ (Superior) to F (In Liquidation). With the sole exception of Direct National Insurance Company, which is currently not rated, all of our property and casualty and life insurance subsidiaries have been assigned a B (Fair) rating by A.M. Best.

**Table of Contents**

B (Fair) is the seventh highest rating. Publications of A.M. Best indicate that the B (Fair) rating is assigned to those companies that in A.M. Best's opinion have a fair ability to meet their current obligations to policyholders, but are financially vulnerable to adverse changes in underwriting and economic conditions. In evaluating a company's financial and operating performance, A.M. Best reviews the company's profitability, leverage and liquidity, as well as its book of business, the adequacy and soundness of its reinsurance, the quality and estimated market value of its assets, the adequacy of its loss reserves, the adequacy of its surplus, its capital structure, the experience and competence of its management and its market presence. A.M. Best's ratings reflect its opinion of an insurance company's financial strength, operating performance and ability to meet its obligations to policyholders, and are not evaluations directed to potential or current investors in our common stock and are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold our common stock.

Financial institutions and reinsurance companies use the A.M. Best ratings to help assess the financial strength and quality of insurance companies. The current ratings of our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries or their failure to maintain such ratings may dissuade a financial institution or reinsurance company from conducting business with us or increase our interest or reinsurance costs. We do not believe that most customers are motivated to purchase our products and services based on our A.M. Best rating.

**Insurance Subsidiaries**

We currently have seven insurance subsidiaries. Five are property and casualty insurance companies and two are life insurance companies. The following table lists our insurance subsidiaries and their states of domicile.

| <b>Subsidiary</b>                               | <b>State of Domicile</b>      |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Direct General Insurance Company                | South Carolina <sup>(1)</sup> |
| Direct Insurance Company                        | Tennessee                     |
| Direct General Insurance Company of Louisiana   | Louisiana                     |
| Direct General Insurance Company of Mississippi | Mississippi                   |
| Direct National Insurance Company               | Arkansas                      |
| Direct Life Insurance Company                   | Georgia                       |
| Direct General Life Insurance Company           | South Carolina                |

(1) Direct General Insurance Company is also commercially domiciled in Florida.

**Regulatory Environment**

*Insurance Regulation Generally.* We and our insurance subsidiaries are regulated by governmental agencies in the states in which we conduct business and also are subject to various federal statutes and regulations. State insurance regulations generally are designed to protect the interests of policyholders, state insurance consumers or claimants rather than shareholders or other investors. These state regulations vary by jurisdiction but, among other matters, usually involve:

- regulating premium rates and forms;
- setting minimum solvency standards;
- setting capital and surplus requirements;
- licensing companies, agents and, in some states, adjusters;
- setting requirements for and limiting the types and amounts of investments;

establishing requirements for the filing of annual statements and other financial reports;  
conducting periodic statutory examinations of the affairs of insurance companies;

## **Table of Contents**

requiring prior approval of changes in control and of certain transactions with affiliates;

limiting the amount of dividends that may be paid without prior regulatory approval; and

setting standards for advertising and other market conduct activities.

*Required Licensing.* We operate under licenses issued by various state insurance, consumer credit and banking and financial institution authorities. Such licenses may be of perpetual duration or renewable periodically, provided we continue to meet applicable regulatory requirements. The licenses govern, among other things, the types of insurance coverages, agency and claims services, premium finance products and consumer payday loan products that may be offered in the licensing state. Such licenses are typically issued only after the filing of an appropriate application and the satisfaction of prescribed criteria. All licenses that are material to our business are in good standing. Currently, we hold property and liability insurance licenses in 42 states and the District of Columbia. Also, we hold managing general agency licenses in Florida and Texas and life insurance licenses in 44 states and the District of Columbia. We hold the required insurance agency and premium finance licenses in all states in which we currently operate. We hold licenses to offer consumer payday loans in those states in which we offer that product, which are Florida, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri and Tennessee. We must apply for and obtain the appropriate new licenses before we can implement any plan to expand into a new state or offer a new line of insurance or other new product that requires separate licensing.

*Insurance Holding Company Regulation.* We operate as an insurance holding company system and are subject to regulation in the jurisdictions in which our insurance subsidiaries conduct business. These regulations require that each insurance company in the system register with the insurance department of its state of domicile and furnish information concerning the operations of companies within the holding company system which may materially affect the operations, management or financial condition of the insurers within the system domiciled in that state. We have insurance subsidiaries that are organized and domiciled or commercially domiciled under the insurance statutes of each of Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, South Carolina and Tennessee. The insurance laws in each of these states similarly provide that all transactions among members of a holding company system must be fair and reasonable. Transactions between insurance subsidiaries and their parents and affiliates generally must be disclosed to the state regulators, and prior approval of the applicable state insurance regulator generally is required for any material or extraordinary transaction. In addition, a change of control of a domestic insurer or of any controlling person requires the prior approval of the state insurance regulator. Generally, any person who acquires 10% or more of the outstanding voting securities of the insurer or its parent company (5% or more in Florida) is presumed to have acquired control of the domestic insurer.

*Restrictions on Paying Dividends.* We rely, in part, on receiving dividends from our insurance subsidiaries to meet our cash requirements. State insurance regulatory authorities require insurance companies to maintain specified levels of statutory capital and surplus. The amount of an insurer's surplus following payment of any dividends must be reasonable in relation to the insurer's outstanding liabilities and adequate to meet its financial needs. Prior approval from state insurance regulatory authorities is generally required in order for our insurance subsidiaries to declare and pay extraordinary dividends to us. The maximum amount of dividends our insurance subsidiaries can pay us during 2007 without regulatory approval is \$19.9 million. The payment of dividends is limited by the amount of surplus available to the insurer, as determined in accordance with state statutory accounting practices and other applicable limitations. State insurance regulatory authorities that have jurisdiction over the payment of dividends by our insurance subsidiaries may in the future adopt statutory provisions more restrictive than those currently in effect.

*Regulation of Rates and Policy Forms.* Most states in which our insurance subsidiaries operate have insurance laws requiring insurance companies to file premium rate schedules and policy or coverage forms for review and approval. In many cases, such rates and policy forms must be approved prior to use. State insurance regulators have broad discretion in judging whether an insurer's rates are adequate, not excessive and not unfairly discriminatory. Property and casualty insurers are generally unable to implement rate increases until they show that the costs associated with providing such coverage have increased. The speed at which an insurer can change rates in response to competition or to increasing costs depends, in part, on the method by which the applicable state's rating laws are administered. There

are three basic rate administration systems: (i) the insurer must file and obtain regulatory approval of the new rate before using it; (ii) the insurer may begin using the new rate and immediately

## Table of Contents

file it for regulatory review; or (iii) the insurer may begin using the new rate and file it within a specified period of time for regulatory review. Under all three rating systems, the state insurance regulators have the authority to disapprove the rate subsequent to its filing. Thus, insurers who begin using new rates before the rates are approved may be required to issue premium refunds or credits to policyholders if the new rates are ultimately deemed excessive and disapproved by the applicable state insurance authorities. In addition, in some states, there has been some pressure in the past to reduce premium rates for automobile and other personal insurance or to limit how often an insurer may request increases for such rates.

*Shared or Residual Markets.* As a condition of maintaining our automobile insurance licenses to do business in various states, like other insurers, we are required to participate in mandatory shared market mechanisms or state pooling arrangements. The purpose of these state-mandated arrangements is to provide insurance coverages to individuals who, because of poor driving records or other underwriting reasons, are unable to purchase such coverage voluntarily provided by private insurers. These risks are assigned to all insurers licensed in the state and the maximum volume of such risks that any one insurer may be assigned typically is based on that insurer's annual premium volume in that state. While this mandated business typically is not profitable for us, our underwriting results related to these states' organizations have not been material to our overall results of operations and financial condition.

*Guaranty Funds.* Under state insurance guaranty fund laws, insurers doing business in a state can be assessed for certain obligations of insolvent insurance companies to policyholders and claimants. Maximum contributions required by law in any one year generally vary between 1% and 2% of annual premiums written in that state. In most states guaranty fund assessments are recoverable either through future policy surcharges or offsets to state premium tax liability.

*Investment Regulation.* Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to state laws and regulations that require diversification of their investment portfolios and that limit the amount of investments in certain categories. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations would cause non-conforming investments to be treated as non-admitted assets for purposes of measuring statutory surplus and, in some instances, would require divestiture.

*Other Insurance Regulatory Initiatives and Proposed Legislation.* Regulation of insurance companies constantly changes as governmental agencies and legislatures react to real or perceived issues. In recent years, the state insurance regulatory framework has come under increased federal scrutiny, and some state legislatures have considered or enacted laws that alter and, in many cases, increase state authority to regulate insurance companies and insurance holding company systems. Further, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ( NAIC ) and state insurance regulators are re-examining existing laws and regulations specifically focusing on issues relating to the solvency of insurance companies, interpretations of existing laws and the development of new laws. Examples of these regulatory initiatives and proposals that may affect our business or operations include:

proposed federal legislation aimed at regulating insurance, such as:

the Insurance Industry Competition Act of 2007 and Insurance Industry Antitrust Enforcement Act of 2007, which would amend the McCarran-Ferguson Act to, among other things, allow the Federal Trade Commission ( FTC ) regulatory control over unfair methods of competition regardless of state law; grant FTC jurisdiction over other areas relating to the business of insurance to the extent it is not regulated by state law; and amend the FTC Act to remove the exemption for insurance under the FTC Act in terms of antitrust and other investigations, giving the FTC authority to investigate potential antitrust violations in the insurance industry. Enactment of such legislation would result in regulation of insurance at both the state and federal levels and could impact certain insurance activities, such as joint rate development, including risk classification, product and form standardization and loss costs, as well as joint underwriting and marketing;

the State Modernization and Regulatory Transparency Act (SMART Act) that would address industry matters such as market conduct, product availability, speed to market of new products, and insurance company and producer licensing; and

**Table of Contents**

the National Insurance Act of 2006, which would establish an optional federal charters for insurance companies and agencies and provide a comprehensive system for the regulation and supervision of National Insurers and National Agencies.

enactment of the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 that enables defendants to move large national class actions from state courts to federal courts. The legislation also purports to provide protections to consumer class members;

federal and state statutes and regulations concerning consumer privacy and data security, including the mandate for creating specific written programs to safeguard customers' privacy and legislation aimed at reducing the risk of identity theft such as, restrictions on use and publication of social security numbers and requiring companies to notify customers of data security breaches that pose a significant risk of identity theft;

restrictions on the use of credit scoring by insurance companies when deciding whether to cover, or how much premium to charge, potential customers, which have been in recent years, and continue to be, a frequent subject of state and federal legislation and regulation. A number of state insurance departments have issued bulletins, directives or regulations to regulate the use of credit by insurers;

the implementation of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act and the duties and responsibilities that will be imposed on insurers concerning affiliate sharing of information and adverse action notices and related enacted or proposed state legislation, such as the Tennessee Credit and Debit Card Number Identity Theft Prevention Act of 2005 that would make it unlawful for any person that accepts a credit card or debit card for a transaction of business to print more than five (5) digits of such card number or the expiration date upon any receipt provided to the cardholder or upon any merchant copy of the receipt that is signed by the cardholder and retained by the merchant following such transactions;

the interpretation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act particularly as it relates to when adverse action notices are required to be given; who is required to send adverse action notices; and the meaning of "willfulness" under the Act;

proposals for disclosure requirements concerning producer compensation that stemmed from a bid-rigging and agent/broker compensation investigation by the New York Attorney General;

the NAIC's ongoing consideration of proposals for structural changes to risk based capital (RBC) formulas (including proposals related to a principles-based RBC approach) (see Regulatory Environment Risk Based Capital );

various state legislative initiatives that purport to benefit or provide protection to automobile insurance consumers, such as proposed legislation to restrict insurer access to a vehicle's Event Data Recorder (EDR) data;

the uncertainty concerning the automobile insurance framework in the State of Florida as the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law, which requires mandatory minimum automobile insurance coverage for personal injury protection (PIP) and property damage liability, and which will automatically expire on October 1, 2007, unless the Florida legislature reenacts it during the 2007 legislative session; and

various proposed legislation in Tennessee, including initiatives to prohibit insurance companies from using zip codes for setting rates.

*Restrictions on Cancellation, Non-Renewal or Withdrawal.* Many states have laws and regulations that limit an insurer's ability to exit a market. For example, certain states limit an automobile insurer's ability to cancel or not renew

policies. Some states prohibit an insurer from withdrawing one or more lines of business from the state, except pursuant to a plan approved by the state insurance department. The state insurance department may disapprove a plan that may lead to market disruption. Laws and regulations that limit cancellations and non-renewals



**Table of Contents**

and that subject business withdrawals to prior approval requirements may restrict an insurer's ability to exit unprofitable markets.

*Premium Finance Regulation.* Our premium finance subsidiaries are regulated by governmental agencies in states in which they conduct business. The agency responsible for such regulation varies by state, but generally is the banking or financial institution department or the insurance department of the applicable state. These regulations, which generally are designed to protect the interests of our policyholders who elect to finance their insurance premiums, vary by jurisdiction, but, among other matters, usually involve:

regulating the interest rates, fees and service charges we may charge our customers;

imposing minimum capital requirements for our premium finance subsidiaries or requiring surety bonds in addition to or as an alternative to such capital requirements;

governing the form and content of our financing agreements;

prescribing minimum notice and cure periods before we may cancel a customer's policy for nonpayment under the terms of the financing agreement;

prescribing timing and notice procedures for collecting unearned premium from the insurance company, applying the unearned premium to our customer's premium finance account, and, if applicable, returning any refund due to our customer;

establishing standards for filing annual financial reports of our premium finance companies;

requiring our premium finance companies to qualify for and obtain a license and to renew the license each year;

conducting periodic financial and market conduct examinations and investigations of our premium finance companies and its operations; and

requiring prior notice to the regulating agency of any change of control of our premium finance companies.

The following table sets forth the maximum permissible interest rate in the states listed below:

| <b>State</b>   | <b>Permissible Interest Rate</b> |
|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Arkansas       | Variable <sup>(1)(2)</sup>       |
| Florida        | 12.0% <sup>(3)</sup>             |
| Georgia        | 12.0% <sup>(3)</sup>             |
| Illinois       | 10.0% <sup>(3)</sup>             |
| Kentucky       | 12.0% <sup>(3)</sup>             |
| Louisiana      | 36.0% <sup>(2)</sup>             |
| Mississippi    | 24.0% <sup>(2)</sup>             |
| Missouri       | 15.0% <sup>(3)</sup>             |
| North Carolina | 12.0% <sup>(3)</sup>             |
| South Carolina | 12.0% <sup>(3)</sup>             |
| Tennessee      | 24.0% <sup>(2)</sup>             |
| Texas          | 18.0% <sup>(3)</sup>             |
| Virginia       | 12.0% <sup>(3)</sup>             |

(1)

The maximum allowable interest rate in Arkansas is equal to 500 basis points above the Federal Reserve discount rate on 90-day commercial paper.

- (2) In these states the maximum permissible interest rate is calculated on an actuarial basis, meaning that the interest is calculated to apply to the average of balances outstanding throughout the period of indebtedness.

## **Table of Contents**

- (3) In these states the maximum permissible interest rate is calculated on an add-on basis, meaning that the annual interest rate is applied to the initial amount financed.

Seven of these states require our premium finance subsidiaries to maintain a specified minimum net worth, post a surety bond or deposit securities with the state regulator.

In addition, our premium finance business is subject to the federal Truth-in-Lending Act ( TILA ) and Regulation Z promulgated pursuant to the TILA and similar state statutes, and in Arkansas, which has not enacted a premium finance statute, we generally are subject to state usury laws that are applicable to consumer loans.

*Privacy and Information Safeguarding Regulations.* In 1999, the United States Congress enacted the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, which protects consumers from the unauthorized dissemination of certain personal information and requires state insurance regulators to establish and enforce appropriate standards relative to safeguarding the security and confidentiality of customer records and information. Subsequently, the majority of states have implemented additional regulations to address privacy and information safeguarding issues. These laws and regulations apply to all financial institutions, including insurance and finance companies, and require us to maintain appropriate procedures for managing and protecting certain personal information of our customers and to fully disclose our privacy practices to our customers. We may also be exposed to future privacy and information safeguarding laws and regulations, which could impose additional costs and impact our results of operations or financial condition.

*Regulation of Our Ancillary Product Vendors.* The vendors of the ancillary products and services we offer to our customers are also subject to various federal and state laws and regulations. The failure of any vendor to comply with such laws and regulations could affect our ability to sell the ancillary products or services of that particular vendor and create potential liability for us. However, we believe that there are adequate alternative vendors of all the material ancillary products and services sold by us.

*Licensing of Our Employee Agents and Adjustors.* Generally, all of our employees who sell, solicit or negotiate insurance are required to be licensed by the state in which they work for the applicable line or lines of insurance they offer. Our employee-agents generally must renew their licenses annually and complete a certain number of hours of continuing education. In certain states in which we operate, insurance claims adjusters are also required to be licensed and some must fulfill annual continuing education requirements.

*Trade Practices.* The manner in which insurance companies and insurance agents conduct the business of insurance is regulated by state statutes in an effort to prohibit practices that constitute unfair methods of competition or unfair or deceptive acts or practices. Prohibited practices include, but are not limited to:

disseminating false information or advertising;

defamation;

boycotting, coercion and intimidation;

false statements or entries;

unfair discrimination;

rebating;

lessening competition by a stock transaction;

improper replacement of life insurance;

improper use of proprietary information;

illegal dealings in premiums;

**Table of Contents**

excess or reduced charges for insurance; and

sliding, packaging and other deceptive sales conduct.

We set business conduct policies and provide regular training to make our employee-agents and other sales personnel aware of these prohibitions, and we require them to conduct their activities in compliance with these statutes.

*Claims Practices.* Generally, insurance companies, adjusting companies and individual claims adjusters are prohibited by state statutes from engaging in unfair claims practices on a flagrant basis or with such frequency to indicate a general business practice. Unfair claims practices include, but are not limited to:

misrepresenting pertinent facts or insurance policy provisions relating to coverages at issue;

failing to acknowledge and act reasonably promptly upon communications with respect to claims arising under insurance policies;

failing to affirm or deny coverage of claims within a reasonable time after proof of loss statements have been completed;

attempting to settle a claim for less than the amount to which a reasonable person would have believed such person was entitled;

attempting to settle claims on the basis of an application which was altered without notice to or knowledge or consent of the insured;

making known to insureds or claimants a policy of appealing from arbitration awards in favor of insureds or claimants for the purpose of compelling them to accept settlements or compromises less than the amount awarded in arbitration;

delaying the investigation or payment of claims by requiring an insured, claimant, or the physician of either to submit a preliminary claim report and then requiring the subsequent submission of formal proof of loss forms, both of which submissions contain substantially the same information;

failing to promptly settle claims, where liability has become reasonably clear, under one portion of the insurance policy coverage in order to influence settlements under other portions of the insurance policy coverage; and

not attempting in good faith to effectuate prompt, fair and equitable settlements of claims in which liability has become reasonably clear.

We set business conduct policies and conduct regular training to make our employee-adjusters and other claims personnel aware of these prohibitions, and we require them to conduct their activities in compliance with these statutes.

*Quarterly and Annual Financial Reporting.* We are required to file quarterly and annual financial reports with states utilizing statutory accounting practices that are different from GAAP, which reflect our insurance subsidiaries on a going concern basis. The statutory accounting practices used by state regulators, in keeping with the intent to assure policyholder protection, are generally based on a liquidation concept. For a summary of the significant differences for our insurance subsidiaries between statutory accounting practices and GAAP, see Note 11 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in this report.

*Periodic Financial and Market Conduct Examinations.* The state insurance departments that have jurisdiction over our insurance subsidiaries conduct on-site visits and examinations of the insurers' affairs, especially as to their financial condition, ability to fulfill their obligations to policyholders, market conduct, claims



**Table of Contents**

practices and compliance with other laws and applicable regulations. Typically, these examinations are conducted every three to five years. In addition, if circumstances dictate, regulators are authorized to conduct special or target examinations of insurers, insurance agencies and insurance adjusting companies to address particular concerns or issues. The results of these examinations can give rise to regulatory orders requiring remedial, injunctive or other corrective action on the part of the company that is the subject of the examination and in some cases the orders may include monetary fines or penalties.

*Risk Based Capital ( RBC ).* In order to enhance the regulation of insurer solvency, the NAIC has adopted a formula and model law to implement RBC requirements designed to assess the minimum amount of capital that an insurance company needs to support its overall business operations and to ensure that it has an acceptably low expectation of becoming financially impaired. RBC is used to set capital requirements considering the size and degree of risk taken by the insurer and taking into account various risk factors such as asset risk, credit risk, underwriting risk, interest rate risk and other relevant business risks. The NAIC model law for RBC applies to both life and property and casualty companies. The model law provides for increasing levels of regulatory intervention as the ratio of an insurer's total adjusted capital and surplus decreases relative to its risk based capital, culminating with mandatory control of the operations of the insurer by the domiciliary insurance department at the so-called mandatory control level. At December 31, 2006, each of our insurance subsidiaries maintained an RBC level that is in excess of an amount that would require any corrective actions on our part.

*IRIS Ratios.* The NAIC Insurance Regulatory Information System or IRIS is part of a collection of analytical tools designed to provide state insurance regulators with an integrated approach to screening and analyzing the financial condition of insurance companies operating in their respective states. IRIS is intended to assist state insurance regulators in targeting resources to those insurers in greatest need of regulatory attention. IRIS consists of two phases: statistical and analytical. In the statistical phase, the NAIC database generates key financial ratio results based on financial information obtained from insurers' annual statutory statements. The analytical phase is a review of the annual statements, financial ratios and other automated solvency tools. The primary goal of the analytical phase is to identify companies that appear to require immediate regulatory attention. A ratio result falling outside the usual range of IRIS ratios is not considered a failing result; rather, unusual values are viewed as part of the regulatory early monitoring system. Furthermore, in some years, it may not be unusual for financially sound companies to have several ratios with results outside the usual ranges. An insurance company may fall out of the usual range for one or more ratios because of specific transactions that are in themselves immaterial.

As of December 31, 2006, Direct Life Insurance Company and Direct General Life Insurance Company each had two IRIS ratios outside of the usual range related to changes in premiums and reserving caused by the continued shift of new business between these two companies during 2006. Each of these companies also had one ratio related to investment income falling outside of the normal range because of the type of business written in these companies. Direct Life Insurance Company had an additional two ratios fall outside the normal range related to changes in surplus as dividends were paid to its parent company as part of the shift of business to Direct General Life Insurance Company. Direct National Insurance Company had one ratio outside of the usual range related to changes in net premiums written as the result of beginning to write new business in this company in 2006. Direct General Insurance Company of Mississippi had two ratios fall outside the normal ranges. Both related to net premiums written and was primarily caused by the elimination of quota share reinsurance in 2006. We do not expect any material regulatory action as a result of these results that fell outside the usual range of IRIS ratios. Our other insurance companies: Direct General Insurance Company, Direct General Insurance Company of Louisiana and Direct Insurance Company did not have any IRIS ratios outside the usual range.

*Payday Consumer Loan Regulation.* The payday lending activities of our consumer products company are subject to state regulation and to the supervision of the applicable state regulatory agency in each jurisdiction in which we offer our *Direct Cash Advance* program to our customers. Currently we offer this program in Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Tennessee, Florida and Missouri. Payday loan regulations, which generally are designed to protect the interests of our payday loan customers, vary by jurisdiction, but among other matters, typically may involve:

requiring our consumer products company to qualify for and obtain a license, and to renew the license each year;





**Table of Contents**

imposing minimum capital requirements for our consumer products subsidiary, which sometimes requires a certain minimum amount of capital for each office location within the state at which we offer payday loans to our customers;

governing the form and content of our payday loan agreements;

restricting the amount of finance or service charges or other fees we may charge our customers in connection with any payday loan transaction;

preventing our customers from rolling over an existing loan and/or restricting the terms and manner in which our customer may pay off an existing loan and enter into a new loan;

limiting the amount that we may lend to any customer and/or restricting the number of loans we may make to a customer at one time or over a specified period of time;

specifying the minimum and maximum maturity dates for payday loans and, in some states, requiring mandatory cooling-off periods between transactions;

imposing financial control procedures and physical separation guidelines that are designed to ensure that we maintain a clear distinction between our payday lending activity and the other businesses we conduct in our sales office locations;

conducting periodic financial examinations and physical inspections of our payday loan company and its operations; and

subjecting our collection activities regarding past due loans to federal and state consumer protection laws and regulations relating to debt collection practices.

In addition, our payday consumer loan business is subject to the disclosure requirements of the Federal Truth In Lending Act ( TILA ) and Regulation Z promulgated pursuant to the TILA, as well as the disclosure requirements of certain state statutes, which typically are substantially similar to the federal TILA disclosure requirements.

*Payday Consumer Loan Regulatory Initiatives or Proposed Legislation.* Not all states permit lending activities of the type that are typically referred to as payday lending . Payday lending is enabled in those states that have enacted legislation exempting payday loans from state usury and other limitations on payday loans, small loans or deferred presentment transactions. We operate our payday lending activities only in states in which enabling legislation permits payday lending activities.

Payday lending activities are typically regulated by the state agencies that regulate banking and financial institutions. These agencies have broad regulatory and discretionary powers and may interpret laws in ways, or impose requirements on us, that increase the cost of operating our payday loan business. In the extreme case, an unfavorable interpretation of law by a regulator could force us to reduce or terminate our payday lending activities in a state.

Due primarily to heavy pro-payday lending lobbying by the payday loan industry and anti-payday lending lobbying by consumer groups that view payday lending as a predatory practice, state payday lending laws are often under pressure to change, and regulation of payday lending activity constantly fluctuates as governmental agencies and legislatures react to real or perceived issues. The evolving regulatory landscape creates various risks and inconsistencies for our payday lending business. During the past few years, legislation has been introduced in the U.S. Congress and in certain state legislatures, and proposals, or indications of possible proposals, have been made by regulatory authorities that would prohibit or severely restrict the availability of payday loans to consumers.

## **Table of Contents**

In 2006, the U.S. Congress passed the Talent-Nelson Amendment to the Defense Authorization Act, which placed a maximum limit on the fees that payday lenders can charge active military personnel and their dependents at an annualized percentage rate of 36 percent. We believe the cap renders payday lending to military personnel no longer economically viable for most payday lenders. A further consequence of this law is that at the state level, there is a push by various constituencies to encourage passage of state laws with interest rate caps on all payday loans to all consumers.

No state legislation that severely restricts or eliminates the ability to conduct payday lending was adopted in 2006 in those states in which we currently offer payday loans, but we cannot predict whether unfavorable legislation may be adopted in those states in the future. Also, to the extent that legislation unfavorable to payday lending is adopted in states in which we do not currently operate, it restricts the number of states into which we can expand our payday loan business.

*Electronic Fund Transfers ( EFT ) Regulation.* As an added convenience for the customers of some of our businesses, we afford them the opportunity in certain circumstances to make payments to us or our business associates using electronic fund transfers. The term "electronic fund transfer" generally refers to a transaction initiated through an electronic terminal, telephone, computer, or magnetic tape that instructs a financial institution either to credit or to debit a consumer's asset account. By providing this service, we generally are subject to federal Regulation E (12 CFR 205), which establishes the rights, liabilities, and responsibilities of participants in electronic fund transfer systems, including one-time and preauthorized recurring transfers from a consumer's checking or savings account.

*Electronic Records and Signatures.* On June 30, 2000, Congress enacted the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act to facilitate the use of electronic records and signatures in interstate commerce by ensuring the validity and legal effect of contracts entered into electronically. The states in which we operate generally have also enacted laws authorizing and regulating electronic commerce transactions. Because we rely on electronic records and we are expanding our electronic commerce initiatives to sell insurance policies and conduct other business transactions over the Internet, we generally must comply with the special requirements imposed by these laws on businesses that use electronic records or signatures in consumer transactions. These requirements include such obligations as obtaining consumers' consent to engage in the electronic transactions; providing procedures that allow consumers to withdraw their consent; explaining how consumers may obtain paper copies of electronic records; meeting certain minimum hardware and software requirements; and assuring that record accuracy and retention requirements are met.

### **Employees**

As of February 28, 2007, we employed approximately 2,426 employees. Our employees are not covered by any collective bargaining agreements.

### **Available Information**

Our website address is [www.directgeneral.com](http://www.directgeneral.com). We make available, free of charge, through the website our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after these reports are electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). In addition, we have provided copies of our Code of Ethics and Policy on Business Conduct, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Audit Committee charter and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee charter on our website.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

**Risks Related to Our Pending Merger**

***Completion of the Elara Merger is subject to various conditions; as a result we cannot assure you that the Elara Merger will be completed.***

The completion of the Elara Merger is subject to various conditions, including the following:

no statute, rule regulation, executive order, decree, injunction or other order may be enacted, issued, promulgated, enforced or entered by any governmental entity that is in effect and has the effect of making the merger illegal or otherwise prohibits or prevents consummation of the merger;

we and our subsidiaries and Elara and its subsidiaries must have received, with no conditions or restrictions, all authorizations, consents and approvals required from any department of insurance or department of financing in the states in which we and our subsidiaries are domiciled or where the conduct of our business requires the approval by such departments and all such authorizations, consents and approvals must be in full force and effect;

no suit, action or proceeding shall be pending or threatened by any governmental entity challenging or seeking to restrain or prohibit the Elara Merger.

Elara's closing on committed debt financing;

the accuracy of our representations and warranties and the representations and warranties of Elara in the merger agreement;

the performance in all material respects by us and Elara of all obligations required to be performed under the merger agreement at or prior to the effective time of the transaction;

the absence of an event, change, effect or development that, individually or in the aggregate, has had or would reasonably be expected to have, a material adverse effect on Direct General Corporation as defined in the merger agreement; and

if the closing of the merger does not occur on or before March 31, 2007, in certain circumstances we or Elara may elect not to complete the transaction.

Because of these conditions, the Elara Merger may not be completed. If the transaction is not completed for any reason, then we expect that our current management, under the direction of our Board of Directors, will continue to manage us.

***Failure to complete the Elara Merger could negatively impact the market price of our common stock and our ability to operate our business.***

If the Elara Merger is not completed for any reason, we will be subject to a number of material risks, including: the market price of our common stock could decline;

we must pay some of the costs related to the transaction, such as legal and accounting fees and, in specified circumstances, termination fees and expense reimbursement payments;

the diversion of management's attention from our day-to-day business and the unavoidable disruption to our business during the period before completion of the transaction may make it difficult for us to regain our financial and market position if the transaction does not occur; and

## **Table of Contents**

if we lose key management and other employees, who may be uncertain about their future roles and relationships with us following the completion of the Elara Merger, the loss could make it difficult for us to operate our business effectively and profitably, if we are unable to replace these employees.

If the Elara Merger is terminated and our Board of Directors seeks another transaction or business combination, we cannot assure you that we will be able to find an acquirer willing to pay an equivalent or better price than the price to be paid by Elara under the merger agreement.

### **Risks Related to Our Business**

***Because our core product is non-standard personal automobile insurance, our business may be adversely affected by negative developments in the conditions in this industry.***

Approximately 95% of our gross premiums written for 2006 were generated from sales of non-standard personal automobile insurance policies. As a result of our concentration in this line of business, negative developments in the economic, competitive or regulatory conditions affecting the non-standard personal automobile insurance industry could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, these developments could have a greater effect on us, compared to more diversified insurers that also sell other types of automobile insurance products.

For example, in the late 1990s, the automobile insurance industry experienced severe price competition, rapidly rising medical costs and high levels of fraudulent claims which resulted in a significant deterioration in underwriting profitability. According to the Insurance Information Institute, an insurance industry research organization, the cost of automobile insurance declined in 1998 and 1999. During this same period and in 2000, as indicated in this report, the amount of claims paid by automobile insurers rose sharply, particularly with respect to personal injury claims. The combination of these events adversely impacted our underwriting results and the underwriting results of most of our competitors.

Over the past few years, the non-standard personal automobile insurance industry has benefited from a period of improved underwriting profitability. Because of the currently favorable pricing conditions in our industry, competition has increased dramatically as existing insurers are attempting to increase market share by increasing advertising, and some insurers have reduced their rates in certain markets. In addition, several of our competitors have raised additional capital within the past two years in order to support their planned growth and it is possible that new competitors will enter the market. These conditions could cause us to lose market share or have a material adverse effect on our underwriting results due to a reduction in our underwriting margin.

***Because we write a substantial number of insurance policies in Florida and Tennessee, our business may be adversely affected by conditions in these states.***

In 2006, approximately 46% and 12% of our gross premiums written were generated from non-standard personal automobile and life insurance policies written in Florida and Tennessee, respectively. Our revenues and profitability are affected by the prevailing regulatory, economic, demographic, competitive and other conditions in these states. For example, our underwriting results in Florida have been adversely affected by high levels of fraudulent claims and increased price competition. Changes in any of these conditions could make it more costly or difficult for us to conduct our business. Adverse legislative or regulatory developments in Florida or Tennessee, which could include, among other things, reductions in the maximum rates permitted to be charged, restrictions on rate increases or fundamental changes to the design of our insurance products or implementation of the automobile insurance regulatory framework, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

***Severe weather conditions and other catastrophes may result in an increase in the number and amount of claims filed against us.***

Our business is exposed to the risk of severe weather conditions and other catastrophes. Catastrophes can be caused by various events, including natural events such as severe winter weather, hurricanes, tornadoes,

**Table of Contents**

windstorms, earthquakes, hailstorms, severe thunderstorms and fires, and other events such as explosions, terrorist attacks and riots. The incidence and severity of catastrophes and severe weather conditions are inherently unpredictable. Severe weather conditions generally result in higher incidence of automobile accidents and an increase in the number of claims filed as well as the amount of compensation sought by claimants.

Because some of our insureds live near the coastlines of the Atlantic Ocean and the Gulf of Mexico, we have potential exposure to catastrophic losses related to hurricanes and major coastal storms. We currently maintain property catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance, excluding terrorism and acts of war, that provides coverage for losses up to \$15 million, less our retention of both 100% of the first \$2.0 million of losses, 12.5% of the next \$3 million of losses, and 10.0% of the next \$10 million of losses covered under this reinsurance arrangement. In the event a major catastrophe were to occur resulting in property losses to us in excess of our coverage, our losses could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

***Our business is highly competitive, which may make it difficult for us to market our core products effectively and profitably.***

The non-standard personal automobile insurance business is highly competitive. We compete with other insurers that, in most cases, sell through independent agencies. We also compete with insurers that sell insurance policies directly to their customers. We believe that our primary insurance company competition comes not only from national companies or their subsidiaries, such as the Progressive insurance group, the Allstate insurance group, the Infinity insurance group, the State Farm insurance group, the Berkshire Hathaway insurance group (including GEICO) and the Bristol West insurance group, but also from non-standard insurers and independent agents that operate in a specific region or single state in which we operate.

Some of our competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources than we have, and they may offer a broader range of products or offer competing products at lower prices. Our results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected by a loss of business to competitors offering similar insurance products at lower prices or having other competitive advantages.

***Our results may fluctuate as a result of cyclical changes in the personal automobile insurance industry.***

The personal automobile insurance industry is cyclical in nature. In the past, the industry has been characterized by periods of price competition and excess capacity followed by periods of high premium rates and shortages of underwriting capacity. For example, we believe that during 2002 and 2003, the underwriting results in the personal automobile insurance industry improved as a result of favorable pricing and competitive conditions that allowed for broad increases in rate levels by insurers. We are now in a period of decreasing top-line growth as existing competitors are attempting to increase or maintain market share by increasing advertising and, in certain cases, lowering rates. In addition, new competitors may enter our markets. Such conditions would cause a further trend downward in the current softening cycle and could negatively impact our revenues and profitability.

***Current ratings of our insurance subsidiaries or any failure of our insurance subsidiaries to maintain current financial strength ratings could materially and adversely affect our business and our ability to obtain financing or reinsurance at favorable rates.***

A.M. Best provides a variety of products and services to the insurance industry and is generally considered to be a leading authority on insurance company ratings and information. Four of our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries and our two life insurance subsidiaries have been assigned a B (Fair) rating. A.M. Best assigns 15 ratings to insurance companies, which currently range from A++ (Superior) to F (In Liquidation). Our newly acquired property and casualty insurance subsidiary, Direct National Insurance Company is not currently rated by A.M. Best.

B (Fair) is the seventh highest rating issued by A.M. Best. Publications of A.M. Best indicate that the B (Fair) rating is assigned to those companies that in A.M. Best's opinion have a fair ability to meet their current obligations to policyholders, but are financially vulnerable to adverse changes in underwriting and economic conditions. In evaluating a company's financial and operating performance, A.M. Best reviews the company's profitability, leverage and liquidity, as well as its book of business, the adequacy and soundness of its reinsurance,

**Table of Contents**

the quality and estimated market value of its assets, the adequacy of its loss reserves, the adequacy of its surplus, its capital structure, the experience and competence of its management and its market presence. A.M. Best's ratings reflect its opinion of an insurance company's financial strength, operating performance, and ability to meet its obligations to policyholders and are not evaluations directed to potential or current investors in our common stock and are not recommendations to buy, sell, or hold our common stock.

Financial institutions and reinsurance companies use the A.M. Best insurance ratings to help assess the financial strength and quality of insurance companies. The current ratings of our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries or their failure to maintain such ratings may dissuade a financial institution or reinsurance company from conducting business with us or increase our interest or reinsurance costs. See **Business - Ratings** .

***Our principal shareholder has the ability to control our operations, including the election of our directors.***

As of March 9, 2007, the William C. Adair, Jr. Trust, over which Ms. Tammy Adair, our President, has sole voting control, beneficially owns approximately 23.8% of our common stock. Ms. Adair, as trustee, has the ability to affect significantly the composition of our board of directors and the approval of any action requiring a shareholder vote, including amendments to our charter or bylaws and approvals of mergers or sales of substantially all of our assets. The interests of the trustee and the beneficiaries of the trust may differ from the interests of our other shareholders.

***As a holding company, we are dependent on the results of operations of our operating subsidiaries and the regulatory and contractual capacity of our operating subsidiaries to pay dividends to us.***

We are a holding company and a legal entity separate and distinct from our operating subsidiaries. As a holding company without significant operations of our own, the principal sources of our funds are dividends and other payments from our operating subsidiaries. State insurance laws limit the ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends and require our insurance subsidiaries to maintain specified minimum levels of statutory capital and surplus. These restrictions affect the ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends to us without prior notice to, or approval of, regulatory authorities and the timing of such payments. During 2007, our insurance subsidiaries will be able to pay maximum dividends of \$19.9 million to Direct General Corporation without seeking regulatory approval. Dividends from our premium finance subsidiary are limited by the minimum capital requirements in applicable state regulations and by covenants in our loan agreements that require approval of our lenders. There are no other restrictions on payments of dividends from our subsidiaries except for typical state corporation law requirements. Consequently, our ability to repay debts, pay expenses and pay cash dividends to our shareholders may be limited. See

**Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations** **Financial Condition** **Liquidity and Capital Resources** **Sources and Uses of Funds** and **Business** **Regulatory Environment** **Restrictions on Paying Dividends** .

***We are subject to comprehensive regulation that may restrict our ability to earn profits.***

Our insurance, agency, premium finance and consumer products subsidiaries are subject to comprehensive regulation and supervision by the insurance and financial institution departments in the states where our subsidiaries are domiciled and where our subsidiaries sell insurance products, issue policies, finance premiums, handle claims and make payday consumer loans. Certain regulatory restrictions and prior approval requirements may affect our subsidiaries' ability to operate, innovate, obtain necessary rate adjustments in a timely manner or may increase our cost and reduce profitability.

The failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could result in actions by regulators or other law enforcement officials, fines and penalties, adverse publicity and damage to our reputations in the marketplace. We could be subject to individual and class action lawsuits by our insured and other parties for alleged violations of these laws or regulations.

In addition, changes in or new regulations or legislation (such as, in recent years, legislative and regulatory initiatives concerning the use of nonpublic consumer information and related privacy issues, the use of credit scoring in underwriting, changes in Florida's Motor Vehicle No Fault Law, and caps on fees that certain lenders can charge

## **Table of Contents**

active military personnel and their dependents) could adversely effect our operations, our ability to grow our business in certain states or our ability to maintain our profitability.

Supervision and regulation by insurance and financial institution departments extend, among other things, to:

*Required Licensing.* We operate under licenses issued by various state insurance, consumer credit and banking and financial institution authorities. These licenses govern, among other things, the types of insurance coverages, agency and claims services, consumer loan and premium finance products that we may offer consumers in these states. Such licenses typically are issued only after we file an appropriate application and satisfy prescribed criteria. We must apply for and obtain the appropriate new licenses before we can implement any plan to expand into a new state or offer a new line of insurance or other new product that requires separate licensing. If a regulatory authority denies or delays granting such new license, our ability to enter new markets quickly or offer new products we believe will be profitable can be substantially impaired. See Business Regulatory Environment Required Licensing.

*Transactions Between Insurance Companies and Their Affiliates.* We operate as an insurance holding company system and are subject to regulation in the jurisdictions in which our insurance subsidiaries conduct business. Our insurance subsidiaries are organized and domiciled or commercially domiciled under the insurance statutes of Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, South Carolina and Tennessee. The insurance laws in these states provide that all transactions among members of an insurance holding company system must be fair and reasonable. Transactions between our insurance subsidiaries and other members of our holding company system generally must be disclosed to the state regulators, and prior approval of the applicable regulator generally is required before any material or extraordinary transaction may be consummated. State regulators may refuse to approve or delay approval of such a transaction, which may impact our ability to innovate or operate efficiently. See Business Regulatory Environment Insurance Holding Company Regulation.

*Regulation of Premium Rates and Approval of Policy Forms.* The insurance laws of most states in which our insurance subsidiaries operate require insurance companies to file premium rate schedules and insurance policy forms for review and approval. State insurance regulators have broad discretion in judging whether our rates are adequate, not excessive and not unfairly discriminatory. The speed at which we can change our rates in response to competition or to increasing costs depends, in part, on the method by which the applicable state's rating laws are administered. Generally, state insurance regulators have the authority to disapprove our requested rates. Thus, if as permitted in some states, we begin using new rates before they are approved, we may be required to issue premium refunds or credits to our policyholders if the new rates are ultimately deemed excessive or unfair and disapproved by the applicable state regulator. In addition, in some states, there has been some pressure in past years to reduce premium rates for automobile and other personal insurance or to limit how often an insurer may request increases for such rates. In states where such pressure is applied, our ability to respond to market developments or increased costs in that state can be adversely affected. See Business Regulatory Environment Regulation of Rates and Policy Forms.

*Investment Restrictions.* Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to state laws and regulations that require diversification of their investment portfolios and that limit the amount of investments in certain categories. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations would cause non-conforming investments to be treated as non-admitted assets for purposes of measuring statutory surplus and, in some instances, would require divestiture. See Business Regulatory Environment Investment Regulation.

*Restrictions on Cancellation, Non-Renewal or Withdrawal.* Many states have laws and regulations that limit an insurer's ability to exit a market. For example, certain states limit an automobile insurer's ability to cancel or not renew policies. Some states prohibit an insurer from withdrawing from one or more lines of business in the state, except pursuant to a plan approved by the state insurance department. The state insurance department may disapprove a plan that may lead to market disruption. To date, none of these restrictions has had an impact on our operations or strategic planning in the states in which we operate. However, these laws and regulations that limit cancellations and non-renewals and that subject business withdrawals to prior approval restrictions could limit our ability to exit unprofitable markets or discontinue unprofitable products in the future. See Business Regulatory Environment - Restrictions on Cancellation, Non-Renewal or Withdrawal.

**Table of Contents**

*Other Regulations.* We must also comply with regulations involving, among other things:  
the use of non-public consumer information and related privacy issues;

the use of credit history in underwriting and rating;

the payment of dividends;

the acquisition or disposition of an insurance company or of any company controlling an insurance company;

the involuntary assignments of high-risk policies, participation in reinsurance facilities and underwriting associations, assessments and other governmental charges;

reporting to state regulators with respect to financial condition;

periodic reporting, corporate governance and other compliance requirements imposed by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and other federal laws and regulations applicable to publicly traded companies; and

rules and regulations of the Nasdaq National Market, on which our common stock is listed for trading.

In addition, our premium finance and payday consumer loan businesses are subject to the federal Truth-in-Lending Act and similar state statutes, and in states where premium finance statutes have not been enacted, we generally are subject to state usury laws that are applicable to consumer loans. See Business Regulatory Environment .

***The anticipated decision of the United States Supreme Court in the Safeco Insurance Company of America, et al. v. Burr and GEICO General Insurance Co., et al. v. Edo may adversely impact our business procedures.***

On January 16, 2007, the Supreme Court of the United States heard argument in two cases appealing the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals ( Ninth Circuit ) decision in *Reynolds v. Hartford Fin. Servs. Group*, 435 F.3d 1081 (9th Cir. 2006). The cases under the Supreme Court s review are *Safeco Insurance Company of America, et al. v. Burr* and *GEICO General Insurance Co., et al. v. Edo*. In the underlying cases, the Ninth Circuit held, among other things, that:

The Fair Credit Reporting Act ( FCRA ) requires an adverse action notice to a customer whenever a company uses credit information in determining the insurance rate that applies, and that rate is not the lowest rate available to customers generally, regardless of whether the rate is for a new policy or a renewal policy.

Adverse action notices must contain certain information not specifically outlined in FCRA.

Under the Ninth Circuit interpretation of FCRA, an insurer s failure to issue an adverse action notice in instances when required, or an insurer s failure to include adequate disclosure in an adverse action notice, can lead to liability of \$100 to \$1,000 per consumer. We believe we are in compliance with FCRA, because we provide adverse action notices to our new business customers when we use credit information in determining their insurance premium rate, and our best rate is not obtained by the customer due to the adverse underwriting effect of that credit information. However, the impact on our business if the Supreme Court upholds all or certain of the Ninth Circuit s interpretation of FCRA is not known at this time. The Supreme Court has not indicated when it will issue an opinion in this case.



**Table of Contents**

***The outcome of recent industry litigation and insurance regulatory investigations related to agent/broker compensation may adversely impact our business.***

In October 2004, the New York State Attorney General brought suit against Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc. alleging, among other things, that the firm manipulated the insurance market through specified conduct relating to bid-rigging and agent/broker compensation practices. The NAIC also continues to monitor this issue. During 2004 and 2005, we received document and information requests from certain state insurance regulators where we transact business regarding investigations into the relationships between insurers and brokers and agents, allegations of bid-rigging by certain brokers and other related matters. We have not been notified by any governmental or regulatory authority that we are the target of any such investigation. We cannot be certain what ultimate effect these investigations or increased regulatory oversight will have on the insurance industry as a whole, including our business.

***Regulation may become more extensive in the future, which may adversely affect our business.***

We cannot assure you that states will not make existing insurance laws and regulations more restrictive in the future or enact new restrictive laws. In such events, we may seek to reduce our writings in, or to withdraw entirely from, these states. In addition, from time to time, the United States Congress and certain federal agencies investigate the current condition of the insurance industry to determine whether federal regulation is necessary. As we develop and introduce new products and services, we may become subject to additional federal and state regulations. In addition, future legislation or regulations may restrict our ability to continue our current methods of operation or expand our operations and may have a negative effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. States may also seek to impose new licensing requirements or interpret or enforce existing licensing or other regulatory requirements in new ways. Our business is also subject to the risk of litigation and regulatory proceedings, which could generate adverse publicity or cause us to incur substantial expenditures or modify the way we conduct our business.

***Our insurance, premium finance and consumer products subsidiaries are subject to capital requirements, and our failure to meet these standards could subject us to regulatory actions.***

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to risk-based capital standards (which we refer to in this report as RBC standards) and other minimum capital and surplus requirements imposed under the laws of their states of domicile. The RBC standards, based upon the RBC Model Act adopted by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (which we refer to in this report as the NAIC) require our insurance subsidiaries to report their results of risk-based capital calculations to the state departments of insurance and the NAIC. Our premium finance subsidiaries are subject to minimum capital requirements imposed under the laws of some of the states in which they conduct business. Our consumer products subsidiary is subject to minimum capital requirements in some of the states in which it conducts or intends to conduct its payday loan business. In some states the minimum capital is based on the number of locations within the state that the payday loan product is offered to customers.

Failure to meet applicable risk-based capital requirements or minimum statutory capital requirements could subject our insurance, premium finance or consumer products subsidiaries to further examination or corrective action imposed by state regulators, including limitations on our writing of additional business or engaging in finance activities, state supervision or even liquidation. Any changes in existing RBC requirements or minimum statutory capital requirements may require us to increase our statutory capital levels, which we may be unable to do. As of December 31, 2006, each of our insurance subsidiaries maintained an RBC level that is in excess of an amount that would require any corrective actions on our part and our premium finance and consumer products subsidiaries were in compliance with the minimum capital requirements of the applicable state regulations. See Business Regulatory Environment .

## **Table of Contents**

***New pricing, claim, coverage and financing issues and class action litigation are continually emerging in the automobile insurance industry, and these new issues could adversely impact our revenues or our methods of doing business.***

As insurance and related industry practices and regulatory, judicial and consumer conditions change, unexpected and unintended issues related to claims, coverages, premium financing plans and other business practices may emerge. These issues can have an adverse effect on our business by changing the way we price our products, by extending coverage beyond our underwriting intent, or by increasing the size of claims or otherwise increase our cost of doing business. Recent examples of some emerging issues include:

concerns over the use of an applicant's zip code as a factor in making risk selections and pricing decisions as well as use of credit in underwriting and related requirements under the federal Fair Credit Reporting Act;

a growing trend of plaintiffs targeting automobile insurers, including us, in purported class action litigation relating to claims-handling practices, such as the permitted use of aftermarket (non-original equipment manufacturer) parts, total loss evaluation methodology, the alleged diminution in value to insureds' vehicles involved in accidents, and methods used for evaluating and paying bodily injury, medical payments and personal injury protection claims;

a relatively new trend of plaintiffs targeting insurers, including automobile insurers, in purported class action litigation which seek to recharacterize installment fees and other allowed charges related to insurers' installment billing programs as interest that violates state usury laws or other interest rate restrictions; and

attempts by plaintiffs to initiate purported class action litigation targeting premium finance operations relating to unearned interest rebates and the collection of service and finance charges.

The effects and costs of these and other unforeseen emerging issues could negatively affect our revenues, income or our methods of business.

***Our assumed reinsurance arrangements may be deemed to be the unauthorized business of insurance.***

Our agency subsidiaries sell non-standard automobile insurance for State National Specialty Insurance Company in North Carolina and we also service, finance and administer claims related to this business. One of our insurance subsidiaries reinsures a 100% quota share percentage of State National's physical damage business in North Carolina. In January 2003, we began assuming a 100% quota share percentage of the non-standard personal automobile liability and physical damage business in Texas underwritten by Old American County Mutual Fire Insurance Company, an unaffiliated insurance company, for which we are responsible for the sales, service, and claims administration related to such business.

We believe that these arrangements comply with applicable law and we are not aware of any pending regulatory or legislative action that could affect these arrangements. However, we can provide no assurance that the state legislatures or insurance regulators of North Carolina and Texas will continue to permit these types of assumed reinsurance arrangements whereby all or substantially all of the insurance risk is transferred to a single assuming reinsurer that is not a licensed insurer in that state. If not, then insurance regulators in these states could construe our assumed reinsurance arrangements as the conduct of the unauthorized business of insurance by us, or the aiding and abetting by the policy issuing companies of the unauthorized business of insurance. Any such adverse regulatory decision may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

***Our largely fixed cost structure would work to our disadvantage if our sales volume were to decline significantly.***

Because of our emphasis on the use of employee-agents, our cost of acquiring business is largely fixed. In times of increasing sales volume, our acquisition cost per policy decreases, improving our expense and combined ratios, which we believe is one of the significant advantages of our business model. However, in times of declining

**Table of Contents**

sales volume, the opposite would occur. A decline in sales volume could decrease our profitability, cause us to close some of our neighborhood sales offices or lay-off employee-agents to manage our expenses.

***Our inability to refinance our current lines of credit or obtain additional financing could have an adverse effect on our premium finance revenue.***

Through our premium finance subsidiaries, we finance almost all of the insurance policies we sell. Our working capital needs are substantially dependent on bank lines of credit that include covenants requiring us to pass specified financial tests and to refrain from certain kinds of actions. Such actions include incurring or guaranteeing additional indebtedness; granting mortgages or liens on our and certain of our subsidiaries' assets; selling our premium finance subsidiary receivables; merging into, consolidating with, or acquiring the assets of another business corporation outside defined limitations; disposing of all or a substantial portion of our assets; making loans or investments other than to our subsidiaries; or entering into a new line of business not related to insurance, financial and related services. In the event we fail to meet our covenants or are unable to refinance, replace or increase our bank line of credit on economically feasible terms, our income and the marketability of our insurance products could be adversely affected. An alternative to financing our policies through our premium finance subsidiary would be to finance or installment bill the policies through our insurance subsidiaries, which would eliminate the requirement for outside working capital. However, certain regulatory restrictions may make it more difficult to finance other insurance products which could adversely affect our results of operations.

***Our losses and loss adjustment expenses may exceed our reserves, which would adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition.***

We record reserve liabilities for the estimated payment of losses and loss adjustment expenses for both reported and unreported claims. The amount of reserves is based on historical claims information, industry statistics and other factors. The establishment of appropriate reserves is an inherently uncertain process. This uncertainty arises from a number of factors, including the difficulty in predicting the rate of inflation and the rate and direction of changes in trends, ongoing interpretation of insurance policy provisions by courts, inconsistent decisions in lawsuits regarding coverage and expanded theories of liability. In addition, ongoing changes in claims settlement practices can lead to changes in loss payment patterns, which are used to estimate reserve levels. If our reserves prove to be inadequate, we would be required to increase them and would charge the entire amount of such increase to our earnings in the period in which the deficiency is recognized. Due to the inherent uncertainty of estimating reserves, it has been necessary, and will over time continue to be necessary, to revise estimated future liabilities as reflected in our reserves for claims and policy expenses, and these revisions can cause our results to fluctuate. For the year ended December 31, 2006, our reserves for prior years developed favorably, which resulted in reductions in losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred of \$0.3 million. For the year ended December 31, 2005, we experienced adverse reserve development that increased our losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred by \$6.8 million. During the year ended December 31, 2004, we experienced adverse reserve development that increased our losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred by \$6.3 million. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Results of Operations—Expenses—Insurance Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses for a discussion of the reasons for these changes. The historic development of reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses may not necessarily reflect future trends in the development of these amounts. Consequently, ultimate losses could materially exceed loss reserves and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. See Business Regulatory Environment.

***We are party to litigation, which, if decided adversely to us, could affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.***

We are named as a defendant in various legal actions arising out of claims made in connection with our insurance policies, our premium finance agreements, other contracts we have entered into, and other matters. These legal actions include a purported class action lawsuit filed in Florida in April 2003 alleging we charged and collected unlawful service and finance charges with respect to the financing of purported automobile club memberships in violation of Florida law. The plaintiffs amended this action in 2006 to add a new claim of improper sales practices. Another purported class action lawsuit was filed in Florida in 2006 also alleging improper sales practices. The two cases have been consolidated.



## Table of Contents

We intend to vigorously defend this consolidated lawsuit. However, all litigation is unpredictable and the ultimate outcome of the case is uncertain. This matter is in the early discovery stages, and thus we are unable to predict the likelihood or range of our potential liability or the potential financial impact on our future operations, if we are not able to successfully defend or settle the case. Also, we are unable to predict the effect that these pending lawsuits, or similar lawsuits filed against us in the future, may have on our business, financial condition and results of operations. See [Business](#) [Legal Proceedings](#) .

In addition, one of our subsidiary companies, Direct General Insurance Company ( [DGIC](#) ), was a defendant to a lawsuit in which it is alleged that our chairman and chief executive officer made certain misrepresentations and breached a contract in connection with a health plan being marketed by the plaintiffs.

We, and certain of our officers and directors, have been named as defendants in a purported securities fraud class action lawsuit and two purported shareholder derivative class actions, state and federal, filed during the first quarter of 2005. In January 2007, a putative class action complaint was filed on behalf of all Direct General public shareholders on the Elara Merger, naming us and our directors, and Texas Pacific Group and Fremont Partners as defendants. In early 2007, we reached tentative agreements on the securities class action, the derivative cases and the class action filed in January 2007. See [Business](#) [Legal Proceedings](#) .

***If we lose key personnel or are unable to recruit qualified personnel, our ability to implement our business strategies could be delayed or hindered.***

Our future success will depend, in part, upon the efforts of our executive officers. The loss of any of these officers or other key personnel could prevent us from fully implementing our business strategies and could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. As we continue to grow, we will need to recruit and retain additional qualified management personnel, but we may not be able to do so. Our ability to recruit and retain such personnel will depend upon a number of factors, such as our results of operations and prospects and the level of competition then prevailing in the market for qualified personnel. See [Management](#) [Directors and Executive Officers](#) .

***Our investment portfolio may suffer reduced returns or losses, which could reduce our profitability.***

Our results of operations depend, in part, on the performance of our invested assets. As of December 31, 2006, 99.0% of the fair value of our investment portfolio was invested in debt securities, primarily in state, municipal, corporate and federal government bonds and 1.0% was invested in other short-term investments. Fluctuations in interest rates affect our returns on, and the fair value of, fixed income securities. Unrealized gains and losses on debt securities are recognized in other comprehensive income and increase or decrease our shareholders' equity. As of December 31, 2006, the fair value of our investment portfolio included pre-tax net unrealized losses of \$5.4 million. Comparatively, the fair value of our investment portfolio as of December 31, 2005, included pre-tax net unrealized losses of \$7.1 million. Interest rates in the United States, while still relatively low compared to historical levels, have been steadily increasing since May 2004. Based upon data compiled from Bloomberg, L.P., the pretax yield on U.S. Treasury securities with a five-year maturity has increased from approximately 3.6% at December 31, 2004 to approximately 4.5% at December 31, 2006. An increase in interest rates could reduce the fair value of our investments in debt securities. As of December 31, 2006, the impact of an immediate 100 basis point increase in market interest rates on our debt securities portfolio would have resulted in an estimated decrease in fair value of approximately \$16.1 million or 3.9%. In addition, defaults by third parties who fail to pay or perform obligations could reduce our investment income and realized investment gains and could result in investment losses to our portfolio. See [Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations](#) [Investments](#) .

***We may not be successful in reducing our risk and increasing our underwriting capacity through reinsurance arrangements, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.***

In order to reduce our underwriting risk and increase our underwriting capacity, we transfer portions of our insurance risk to other insurers through reinsurance contracts. Historically, we have ceded a portion of our non-standard automobile insurance premiums and losses to unaffiliated reinsurers in accordance with these contracts.

**Table of Contents**

However, we have not ceded any portion of our life insurance premiums and losses to reinsurers since the life premium volume is relatively low. Ceded premiums written were equal to (0.6%), 11.6% and 15.0% of our gross premiums written for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The availability, cost and structure of reinsurance protection is subject to changing market conditions, which are outside of our control. In order for these contracts to qualify for reinsurance accounting and thereby provide the additional underwriting capacity that we desire, the reinsurer generally must assume significant risk and have a reasonable possibility of a significant loss.

Although the reinsurer is liable to us to the extent we transfer, or cede, risk to the reinsurer, we remain ultimately liable to the policyholder on all risks reinsured. As a result, ceded reinsurance arrangements do not limit our ultimate obligations to policyholders to pay claims. We are subject to credit risks with respect to the financial strength of our reinsurers. We are also subject to the risk that our reinsurers may dispute their obligations to pay our claims. As a result, we may not recover claims made to our reinsurers in a timely manner, if at all. As of December 31, 2006, we had a total of \$6.0 million of unsecured reinsurance recoverables, which represented 2.3% of our total shareholders equity, and our largest unsecured recoverable from a single reinsurer was \$2.3 million, which represented 0.9% of our total shareholders equity. In addition, if insurance departments deem that under our existing or future reinsurance contracts the reinsurer does not assume significant risk and has a reasonable possibility of significant loss, we may not be able to increase our ability to write business based on this reinsurance. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Because we have reduced our use of reinsurance, we will retain more risk, which could result in losses.***

We historically used quota share reinsurance primarily to increase our underwriting capacity and to reduce our exposure to losses. Quota share reinsurance refers to a form of pro rata reinsurance arrangement pursuant to which the reinsurer participates in a specified percentage of the premiums and losses on every risk that comes within the scope of the reinsurance agreement. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Reinsurance and Business Reinsurance. For policies issued in 2006, we eliminated our use of quota share reinsurance and have retained all the risk on our policies written in 2006. Thus, we will retain and earn more of the premiums we write, but also retain more of the related losses. Eliminating our use of quota share reinsurance has increased our risk and exposure to such losses, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***We rely on our information technology and telecommunication systems, and the failure of these systems could materially and adversely affect our business.***

Our business is highly dependent upon the successful and uninterrupted functioning of our information technology and telecommunications systems. We rely on these systems to support our direct marketing operations, as well as to process new and renewal business, provide customer service, make claims payments, support premium financing activities, and facilitate collections and cancellations. These systems also enable us to perform actuarial and other modeling functions necessary for underwriting and rate development. We have a highly trained staff that is committed to the continual development and maintenance of these systems. However, the failure of these systems could interrupt our operations or materially impact our ability to evaluate and write new business. Because our information technology and telecommunications systems interface with and depend on third party systems, we could experience service denials if demand for such service exceeds capacity or such third party systems fail or experience interruptions. If sustained or repeated, a system failure or service denial could result in a deterioration of our ability to write and process new and renewal business and provide customer service or compromise our ability to pay claims in a timely manner. This could result in a material adverse effect on our business. See Business Technology.

We maintain insurance on our real property and other physical assets. This insurance will not compensate us for losses that may occur due to disruptions in service as a result of a computer, data processing or telecommunication systems failure that is unrelated to covered property damage, nor will such insurance necessarily compensate us for all losses resulting from covered events. We maintain redundant systems or facilities for our principal information services to help maintain functionality and reduce the risk of significant interruptions of our operations.

**Table of Contents*****We may have difficulties in managing our expansion into new markets, and we may not be successful in identifying agency acquisition candidates or integrating their operations.***

Our future growth plans include expanding into new states by acquiring the business and assets of local agencies, opening new sales offices, introducing additional insurance products, and retaining more of our insurance risk by reducing our use of reinsurance. Our future growth will face risks, including risks associated with obtaining necessary licenses, the proper design and pricing of our products, our ability to identify, hire and train new claims and sales employees and our ability to identify agency acquisition candidates or, if acquired, to integrate their operations. In addition, we may acquire business in states in which market and other conditions may not be favorable to us. For example, we commenced writing business in Florida in 1998 through the acquisition of the business and assets of a 64 office agency, and continued our expansion into Florida in 1999 by arranging to distribute our policies through a 42 office independent insurance agency, the assets and business of which we acquired in 2003. Our entrance into the Florida market was at the beginning of a period of significant deterioration of results due largely to increased levels of state-wide fraud in Florida's required personal injury protection coverage that was further compounded by a period of increased price competition. Accordingly, from 1998 to 2000, our overall loss ratio increased approximately 24 points due to the results of our Florida business.

Our inability to identify and acquire agency acquisition candidates could hinder our growth by slowing down our ability to expand into new states. If we do acquire additional agencies, we could suffer increased costs, disruption of our business and distraction of our management if we are unable to integrate the acquired agencies into our operations smoothly. Our expansion will also continue to place significant demands on our management, operations, systems, accounting, internal controls and financial resources. Any failure by us to manage our growth and to respond to changes in our business could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Our plans to introduce new insurance and ancillary products may prove unsuccessful or subject us to greater liability than anticipated.***

We commenced selling motorcycle coverage in Tennessee in early 2006 and more recently in Arkansas and Illinois and are exploring the possibility of offering additional insurance products, such as renters', homeowners' (including mobile homeowners'), boat and personal watercraft policies. These additional insurance products may either be underwritten by unaffiliated insurers from which we receive a commission or underwritten by us. We will assess the underwriting risk with respect to these products and may cede some portion of the risk to unaffiliated reinsurers from which we would receive a ceding commission.

Since we have no experience in providing many of the insurance products discussed above, our attempt to enter these markets may prove unsuccessful. In order to succeed in these new ventures, we may need to, among other things, establish operating procedures, attract and retain qualified employees with experience in handling these products, install management information and other systems relevant to supporting these products and complete other tasks necessary to conduct our intended business activities. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in accomplishing the tasks that will be necessary to make these new products successful. We may also encounter unforeseen situations that might lead to delays in providing the products. In addition, we may retain all or some portion of the underwriting risk related to the new insurance products we may offer. These products may subject us to greater liability than we currently anticipate.

***The frequent characterization of payday consumer lending by certain consumer advocacy groups and some media reports as being predatory or abusive to the consumer could negatively affect public perception of our business, and therefore affect our stock price, as well as our overall results of operations.***

Consumer advocacy groups and certain media reports have advocated for governmental action to prohibit or severely restrict certain types of payday consumer lending. Typically these consumer groups and media reports focus on lenders that charge consumers interest rates and fees that are higher than the rates and fees that many credit card issuers charge their more creditworthy consumers. These types of payday loans are frequently characterized by certain consumer advocacy groups and some media reports as predatory or abusive toward small loan consumers. If the general public were to accept this negative characterization of certain payday consumer loans and conclude that





## **Table of Contents**

the loans we provide to our customers under our *Direct Cash Advance* program fit this negative characterization, then such adverse publicity could affect the attitude of our investors and/or our customers toward this product and possibly reduce the demand for our stock and/or decrease our potential customer base. A reduction in the demand for our stock could have a negative affect on our stock prices, and our overall results of operations could be negatively affected if our potential customer base were to decrease.

### **Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.**

None.

### **Item 2. Properties.**

We lease 44,000 square feet of office space in Nashville, Tennessee for our corporate headquarters and 32,202 square feet of office space in Memphis, Tennessee for our executive offices and a claims center. We also lease an aggregate of 141,236 square feet of office space for additional claims centers in Columbia, South Carolina, Knoxville, Tennessee and Tampa, Florida and for our administrative and customer service center located in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. In addition, we lease office space for our numerous neighborhood sales offices, none of which is individually material to our business.

### **Item 3. Legal Proceedings.**

We and our subsidiaries are named from time to time as defendants in various legal actions that are incidental to our business and arise out of or are related to claims made in connection with our insurance policies, claims handling, premium finance agreements and other contracts, and employment related disputes. The plaintiffs in some of these lawsuits have alleged bad faith or extracontractual damages and some have claimed punitive damages. We believe that the resolution of these legal actions will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In addition to legal actions that are incidental to our business, we and one or more of our subsidiaries have been named as a defendant in a number of currently pending putative class action and derivative action lawsuits. These legal actions are described below. In addition, during August 2006, the Florida Department of Financial Services (the Florida Department ) issued a press release stating that it had filed administrative charges against certain agents and customer representatives employed or formerly employed by our agency subsidiary in Florida. The charges recited in the press release allege that some of these individuals had used improper sales practices in selling ancillary and other insurance products to customers in connection with their purchase of automobile insurance policies.

We previously reported a class action filed against us in Florida in April 2003 alleging, among other things, unlawful practices in connection with the financing of supposed automobile club memberships. The plaintiffs amended this action following the Florida Department's August 2006 press release, to add a new claim identical to one of the charges recited in the press release. Also following the Florida Department's press release, we received service of a complaint in a new purported class action lawsuit in Florida, *Julie Buell vs. Direct General Insurance Agency, Inc. and Direct General Insurance Company*, filed on August 28, 2006 in the Circuit Court of the 6<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit in and for Pasco County, Florida ( Buell ), alleging that the defendants used improper sales practices identical to some of the practices recited in the Florida Department's press release. On February 2, 2007, the class action that was filed against us in Florida in April 2003 was consolidated into *Buell*. We believe we have meritorious defenses and are vigorously defending against all claims made in *Buell*. At this time, however, the ultimate outcome of *Buell* remains uncertain.

In the first quarter of 2005, we, and certain of our officers and directors were named as defendants in six putative class action lawsuits filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee. These cases were consolidated and Lead Plaintiffs were appointed. Lead Plaintiffs allege that we and certain of our officers and directors made false and misleading statements with respect to liabilities that had been recorded for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses. Lead Plaintiffs assert claims under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Securities Act of 1933 and also name our independent auditors and our securities underwriters, who assisted us with certain public offerings of our common stock, as defendants in the class action lawsuit. Lead

## **Table of Contents**

Plaintiffs generally contend that we and certain of our officers and directors knew that certain legislation in Florida, which became effective in October 2003, would necessarily negatively impact our business by increasing our liability and risk of litigation and that we failed to timely strengthen our loss reserves to account for this alleged known increased future risk. Lead Plaintiffs further assert that certain officers and directors sold shares of our stock while they were aware of the allegedly known future negative impact of the legislation, but before the reserves were strengthened. In early 2007, we and other defendants engaged in mediation with Lead Plaintiffs that resulted in a tentative oral settlement agreement. On March 2, 2007, the parties to this consolidated class action entered into a Memorandum of Understanding ( March 2<sup>nd</sup> MOU ) that sets forth a settlement in principle of this action. The stipulated settlement amount in the March 2<sup>nd</sup> MOU is \$14.94 million, which is apportioned among the defendants, and the plaintiffs have agreed to dismiss with prejudice all claims against all defendants to the action. Neither we nor any other defendant in this action has admitted any liability or fault.

The March 2<sup>nd</sup> MOU is subject to several conditions, including approval by the District Court. In addition, the defendants may withdraw from the settlement, if a to be agreed upon percent of the class members opt out of the settlement. The parties will submit a written Stipulation of Settlement to the District Court seeking preliminary approval of the settlement.

Also in the first quarter of 2005, we, our directors and certain of our officers were named in three shareholder derivative actions filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, which have been consolidated, and three shareholder derivative actions filed in the Chancery Court for the State of Tennessee, which also have been consolidated. These actions assert various claims for breaches of fiduciary duties based upon the same alleged misleading statements and allegations that form the basis of the putative consolidated class action described above. The proceedings in the consolidated derivative action filed in Chancery Court have been stayed in favor of the first-filed consolidated derivative action filed in the United States District Court. On December 6, 2006, the plaintiffs in the Federal shareholder derivative action filed a motion for leave to amend the complaint to, among other things, add Elara Holdings, Inc. ( Parent ), Elara Merger Corporation ( Sub ), Texas Pacific Group and Fremont Partners as defendants in the action. The amended complaint alleges, among other things, that the consideration to be paid in the proposed merger between Direct General Corporation and Sub is unfair and is the result of an unfair process and that the merger was agreed to by our directors and officers in order to discharge their alleged personal liability. The amended complaint further alleges that our directors and officers breached their fiduciary duty by agreeing to a structure that is designed to deter higher offers from other bidders, for failing to obtain the highest and best price for our shareholders and for structuring the merger so that the individuals would receive undeserved change in control benefits, including the immediate vesting of unvested options. The amended complaint further alleges that Parent, Sub, Fremont Partners and Texas Pacific Group aided and abetted our officers and directors breach of fiduciary duty.

On January 29, 2007, the plaintiffs in the state shareholder derivative actions filed a motion for leave to amend their complaint. The amended complaint alleges, among other things, that our directors and officers breached their fiduciary duty by agreeing to structure the Elara Merger in a manner that is designed to obtain indemnification for their alleged prior misdeeds, to permit them to continue to receive benefits from Direct General Corporation and to circumvent plaintiff s derivative claims by depriving our shareholders standing to maintain a lawsuit against the defendants. The amended complaint further alleges that our officers and directors breached their fiduciary duty by failing to disclose all material information that would permit our shareholders to cast a fully informed vote on the merger and by agreeing to an unfair price, and that Parent aided and abetted the actions of our officers and directors in breaching their fiduciary duty to our shareholders.

On January 4, 2007, a putative class action complaint was filed against us in the Circuit Court of Davidson County, Tennessee entitled *Thompson v. Direct General Corporation* ( Thompson action ). The lawsuit purports to be brought on behalf of all Direct General Corporation public shareholders and names Direct General Corporation and all of our directors as the Direct General defendants and Parent, Sub, Texas Pacific Group and Fremont Partners as the Parent defendants. The complaint alleges that our board of directors violated fiduciary duties to our shareholders by entering into the merger agreement, and that the Parent defendants aided and abetted the alleged violation. Furthermore, the complaint alleges, among other things, that the consideration to be paid to our shareholders is grossly inadequate.



## **Table of Contents**

On March 6, 2007, we and the other defendants in the consolidated federal shareholder derivative action entered into a Memorandum of Understanding ( " March 6 MOU " ) with the Plaintiffs in that action. Pursuant to the terms of the March 6<sup>th</sup> MOU, the defendants will pay an award of attorney ' s fees and expenses of \$675,000, and the above state and federal derivative and Thompson action claims will either be voluntarily dismissed with prejudice, or the parties in the federal derivative litigation will take such action as is necessary to have such claims dismissed with prejudice. Neither we nor any other defendant in these actions has admitted any liability or fault. The parties also are negotiating terms that would provide the plaintiffs with certain benefits if Parent sells or divests Direct General Corporation within a certain time after the merger between Sub and Direct General Corporation closes. The settlement is subject to several conditions, including approval by the court in which the federal litigation is pending.

One of our subsidiary companies, Direct General Insurance Company ( " DGIC " ), was a defendant in a previously disclosed lawsuit filed against William C. Adair, Jr., our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, in Tennessee state court in November 2003. The original complaint alleged, among other things, that Mr. Adair induced the plaintiff to market a Health Plan, that Mr. Adair made certain misrepresentations to the plaintiff, that Mr. Adair breached a contract that resulted in a loss of commissions to the plaintiff, and that such alleged actions of Mr. Adair were in his capacity as an officer of DGIC and were on behalf of DGIC. Based on these allegations, plaintiff sought compensatory damages and an unspecified amount of punitive damages from each of the named defendants. In accordance with our bylaws and applicable Tennessee statutes, we agreed to indemnify (within parameters) Mr. Adair in connection with this suit. In 2006, we and the plaintiffs agreed to settle this action and the case has been dismissed with prejudice.

We and William C. Adair, Jr., our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, were named as defendants to a lawsuit filed by Holden and Company, Inc. ( " Holden " ) in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Georgia in December 2005. This lawsuit arises out of virtually the same factual circumstances giving rise to the lawsuit described above. Holden claims that Mr. Adair entered into certain agreements and made certain representations on our behalf in connection with certain employer health benefit plans (the " Plans " ), which induced Holden to become and remain the third party administrator for the Plans and to incur obligations and responsibilities as a result. Based on these allegations, plaintiff sought an order declaring that certain of its obligations in connection with the Plans are of no effect and are unenforceable, special damages and unspecified amount of punitive damages. In accordance with our bylaws and applicable Tennessee statutes, we agreed to indemnify (within parameters) Mr. Adair in connection with this suit. The parties have reached a tentative settlement agreement concerning this suit, and we are currently working on a written settlement agreement that is mutually agreeable to all the parties.

### **Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.**

No matter was submitted to a vote of the security holders by us during the fourth quarter of 2006.

**Table of Contents****PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.****Market Information**

Our common stock has been traded on the Nasdaq National Market under the symbol DRCT since our initial public offering on August 11, 2003. The initial public offering price of our common stock was \$21 per share. Under the terms of our merger agreement with Elara, we have ceased paying our regular quarterly cash dividends. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of our common stock as reported on the Nasdaq National Market and the quarterly cash dividends declared per share of common stock for the periods indicated:

|                                        | High    | Low     | Dividend |
|----------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| 2007                                   |         |         |          |
| First quarter (through March 12, 2007) | \$21.01 | \$20.50 | \$0.00   |
| 2006                                   |         |         |          |
| Fourth quarter                         | \$20.84 | \$12.84 | \$0.04   |
| Third quarter                          | \$17.56 | \$11.51 | \$0.04   |
| Second quarter                         | \$18.79 | \$15.96 | \$0.04   |
| First quarter                          | \$17.64 | \$15.10 | \$0.04   |
| 2005                                   |         |         |          |
| Fourth quarter                         | \$19.92 | \$15.12 | \$0.04   |
| Third quarter                          | \$20.43 | \$16.48 | \$0.04   |
| Second quarter                         | \$20.61 | \$15.50 | \$0.04   |
| First quarter                          | \$32.62 | \$18.47 | \$0.04   |
| 2004                                   |         |         |          |
| Fourth quarter                         | \$32.65 | \$27.90 | \$0.04   |
| Third quarter                          | \$32.72 | \$27.61 | \$0.04   |
| Second quarter                         | \$37.18 | \$32.06 | \$0.04   |
| First quarter                          | \$36.20 | \$30.64 | \$0.04   |

**Repurchases of Common Stock**

No common stock was repurchased during 2006.

**Holders**

On March 12, 2007, the last quoted sale price of our common stock as reported by the Nasdaq National Market was \$20.95 per share. As of March 13, 2007, there were 25 holders of record of our common stock.

**Dividends**

The declaration and payment of dividends is subject to the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our financial condition, results of operations, cash requirements, future prospects, and regulatory and contractual restrictions on the payment of dividends by our subsidiaries, and other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors. Further, we may enter into new agreements or incur additional indebtedness in the future which may further prohibit or restrict the payment of dividends. There is no requirement that we must, and we cannot assure you that we will, declare and pay any dividends in the future. Our board of directors may determine to retain such capital for general corporate or other purposes. In accordance with our covenants under the definitive merger agreement dated December 4, 2006 between Direct General Corporation and Elara Holdings, Inc. and Elara Merger Corporation, we have suspended dividend payments until consummation of the Elara Merger or termination of the

**Table of Contents**

merger agreement. For a discussion of our cash resources and needs, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition Liquidity and Capital Resources.

Direct General Corporation is a holding company and a legal entity separate and distinct from our operating subsidiaries. As a holding company without significant operations of our own, our principal sources of funds are dividends and other payments from our operating subsidiaries. The ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends is subject to limits under insurance laws of the states in which we conduct business. Furthermore, while there are no restrictions on payment of dividends from our agency, administrative, and consumer products subsidiaries, other than typical state corporation law requirements, dividends from our premium finance subsidiary are limited by the minimum capital requirements in state regulations and by covenants in our loan agreements that require approval of our lenders. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition Liquidity and Capital Resources and Business Regulatory Environment.

**Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans**

The following information is set forth with respect to our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2006.

**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

| Plan category                                                      | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options | Number of securities remaining for future issuance under equity compensation plans |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Equity compensation plans approved by security holders:</b>     |                                                                        |                                                        |                                                                                    |
| 2003 Equity Incentive Plan                                         | 907,200                                                                | \$ 20.76                                               | 744,000                                                                            |
| 1996 Employee Stock Incentive Plan                                 | 45,000                                                                 | \$ 2.71                                                | 0                                                                                  |
| <b>Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders:</b> |                                                                        |                                                        |                                                                                    |
| None                                                               |                                                                        |                                                        |                                                                                    |
| Total                                                              | 952,200                                                                | \$ 19.91                                               | 744,000                                                                            |

**Item 6. Selected Financial Data.**

The following tables provide selected historical consolidated financial and operating data of Direct General as of the dates and for the periods indicated. In conjunction with the data provided in the following tables and in order to more fully understand our historical consolidated financial and operating data, you should also read Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes included in this report. We derived our selected historical consolidated financial data as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 from our audited consolidated financial statements included in this report. We derived our selected historical consolidated financial data as of December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 from our audited consolidated financial statements not included in this report. The results for past accounting periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any future accounting period.

**Table of Contents**

|                                                                 | Year Ended December 31,                 |          |          |          |          |  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
|                                                                 | 2006                                    | 2005     | 2004     | 2003     | 2002     |  |
|                                                                 | (\$ in millions, except per share data) |          |          |          |          |  |
| <b>Statement of Operations Data:</b>                            |                                         |          |          |          |          |  |
| Premiums earned                                                 | \$ 424.8                                | \$ 404.1 | \$ 372.5 | \$ 228.5 | \$ 145.0 |  |
| Finance income                                                  | 43.8                                    | 44.4     | 49.2     | 44.9     | 35.7     |  |
| Commission and service fee income                               | 45.6                                    | 46.8     | 48.6     | 33.6     | 27.2     |  |
| Net investment income                                           | 19.0                                    | 14.7     | 10.8     | 6.7      | 5.3      |  |
| Net realized gains (losses) on securities and other             | (0.3)                                   | 0.1      | 0.0      | 3.4      | (0.1)    |  |
| Total revenues                                                  | 532.9                                   | 510.1    | 481.1    | 317.1    | 213.1    |  |
| Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses                   | 320.8                                   | 305.8    | 282.0    | 168.2    | 100.7    |  |
| Selling, general and administrative costs                       | 155.1                                   | 133.6    | 108.5    | 74.5     | 59.7     |  |
| Interest expense                                                | 12.0                                    | 8.3      | 5.5      | 6.4      | 6.2      |  |
| Total expenses                                                  | 487.9                                   | 447.7    | 396.0    | 249.1    | 166.6    |  |
| Income before income taxes                                      | 45.0                                    | 62.4     | 85.1     | 68.0     | 46.5     |  |
| Income tax expense                                              | 17.0                                    | 23.4     | 31.1     | 24.9     | 15.5     |  |
| Net income                                                      | \$ 28.0                                 | \$ 39.0  | \$ 54.0  | \$ 43.1  | \$ 31.0  |  |
| Net income available to common shareholders                     | \$ 28.0                                 | \$ 39.0  | \$ 54.0  | \$ 42.7  | \$ 30.5  |  |
| <b>Operating Data:</b>                                          |                                         |          |          |          |          |  |
| Gross premiums written <sup>(1)</sup>                           | \$ 455.9                                | \$ 453.0 | \$ 481.9 | \$ 435.2 | \$ 335.2 |  |
| Net premiums written <sup>(2)</sup>                             | 458.6                                   | 400.6    | 409.4    | 295.1    | 181.0    |  |
| Gross revenues <sup>(3)</sup>                                   | 564.4                                   | 558.9    | 590.5    | 520.4    | 403.4    |  |
| <b>Balance Sheet Data:</b>                                      |                                         |          |          |          |          |  |
| Cash, cash equivalents and total investments                    | \$ 494.5                                | \$ 456.2 | \$ 407.5 | \$ 353.6 | \$ 213.3 |  |
| Total assets <sup>(6)</sup>                                     | 851.0                                   | 841.9    | 788.4    | 751.2    | 569.1    |  |
| Total liabilities and redeemable preferred stock <sup>(6)</sup> | 587.9                                   | 606.1    | 545.0    | 573.8    | 509.9    |  |
| Total shareholders' equity <sup>(6)</sup>                       | 263.0                                   | 235.9    | 243.4    | 177.4    | 59.2     |  |
| <b>Per Share Data <sup>(4)</sup>:</b>                           |                                         |          |          |          |          |  |
| Earnings per common share:                                      |                                         |          |          |          |          |  |
| Basic                                                           | \$ 1.38                                 | \$ 1.83  | \$ 2.44  | \$ 2.74  | \$ 2.30  |  |
| Diluted <sup>(5)</sup>                                          | 1.37                                    | 1.82     | 2.38     | 2.20     | 1.68     |  |

|                                         |            |            |            |            |            |
|-----------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Book value per common share<br>(6)      | 12.93      | 11.60      | 10.89      | 8.31       | 4.12       |
| Weighted average shares<br>outstanding: |            |            |            |            |            |
| Basic                                   | 20,346,627 | 21,363,772 | 22,114,917 | 15,609,411 | 13,264,452 |
| Diluted <sup>(5)</sup>                  | 20,384,954 | 21,423,841 | 22,687,198 | 19,679,610 | 18,733,056 |
| Common shares outstanding               | 20,347,675 | 20,339,175 | 22,360,046 | 21,350,640 | 12,119,148 |

(1) Gross premiums written is the sum of direct premiums written and assumed premiums written. Direct premiums written is the sum of the total policy premium, net of cancellations, associated with policies underwritten and issued by our insurance subsidiaries. Assumed premiums written is the sum of total premiums associated with the insurance risk transferred to us by other insurance companies pursuant to reinsurance contracts. See Note 7 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in this report.

(2)



Net premiums written is the sum of direct premiums written and assumed premiums written less ceded premiums written. Ceded premiums written is the portion of our direct and assumed premiums that we transfer to our reinsurers in accordance with the terms of our reinsurance contracts based upon the risks they accept. See Note 7 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in this report.

- (3) Gross revenues is the sum of direct premiums written and assumed premiums written (which we refer to in this report as gross premiums written) plus other revenues including finance income, commission and service fee income, and net investment income

(excluding net realized gains (losses) on securities). We consider gross revenues to be a non-GAAP financial measure and have provided, in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Measurement of Results, an explanation of why we believe gross revenues is a financial measure that is useful to management and investors and a reconciliation of gross

**Table of Contents**

revenues to total  
revenues, which  
is the most  
directly  
comparable  
GAAP financial  
measure  
included in our  
financial  
statements.

(4) Adjusted to  
reflect a 12 for 1  
stock split that  
became  
effective prior  
to the closing of  
our initial public  
offering in  
August 2003.

(5) Includes the  
weighted  
average  
common shares  
outstanding and  
assumes  
conversion of  
our Series A  
redeemable  
preferred stock  
and Series B  
preferred stock  
because both  
series of  
preferred stock  
were convertible  
at the option of  
the holders and  
were dilutive.  
Also includes  
the dilutive  
effect of the  
common stock  
warrant which  
was exercised  
upon the closing  
of our initial  
public offering;

however, for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, the warrant was anti-dilutive and was not included in those periods.

- (6) The Company corrected the recorded liability for unearned policy fees as of January 2004 during 2006 and restated the January 1, 2004 Balance Sheet, which affected total assets, total liabilities, total stockholders equity and book value per share as previously reported for 2005 and 2004. See Note 1 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

*The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those discussed below and elsewhere in this report, particularly under the caption Risk Factors. Some tables may not foot or cross foot due to rounding.*

**Overview**

**Company's Operating Strategy**

We are a provider of non-standard personal automobile insurance, premium finance and other insurance and non-insurance products and services. Our operations are primarily concentrated in the southeastern part of the United States. Our business model integrates our insurance, premium finance and agency subsidiaries. Our model also emphasizes the distribution of our products and services through neighborhood sales offices staffed by employee-agents as opposed to commissioned agents. The expansion of our neighborhood sales offices in selected states includes the use of independent insurance agencies, which we generally have options to acquire in the future.

Our core business involves issuing non-standard personal automobile insurance policies. These policies, which are generally issued for the minimum limits of coverage required by state laws, provide coverage to drivers who cannot obtain insurance from standard carriers due to a variety of factors, including the lack of flexible payment plans, the failure to maintain continuous coverage, age, prior accidents, driving violations, occupation and type of vehicle. Customers in the non-standard market generally have higher average premiums for a comparable amount of coverage than customers who qualify for the standard market. The higher average premiums typically result from an increased frequency of losses, which is partially offset by the lower severity of losses resulting from lower limits of coverage. In some states, we produce personal automobile insurance policies for unaffiliated insurance companies through our distribution system. We assume this business from unaffiliated insurers through reinsurance agreements and earn service fees for our agency, underwriting, policy administration and claims adjustment services performed for the unaffiliated insurers.

Through our premium finance subsidiaries, we finance the premiums on the majority of the insurance policies that we sell by lending to customers the premium due to the insurance company. We earn fees and interest income from our premium finance operations. These loans are backed by the unearned portion of the insurance premiums being financed, which is the portion of the loan attributable to future periods of coverage. We generally structure our payment plans and integrate our systems in an attempt to minimize principal losses on our premium finance loans.

We seek to attract customers by developing strong brand name recognition in our markets through our television advertising campaigns that emphasize our low down payment, flexible payment plans, convenient neighborhood locations and customer service. Our neighborhood offices serve as a network for both product

## **Table of Contents**

delivery and payment collection. The majority of the policy applications are completed in the neighborhood sales offices, and most of our customers revisit these offices at least monthly to make their periodic payments.

Our business model provides our employee-agents with customer contact at the point of sale and when the customer returns to make periodic payments. This contact allows us to offer a variety of products in addition to our core product, non-standard personal automobile insurance. We provide term life insurance policies through our wholly-owned life insurance subsidiaries, as well as vehicle protection insurance and hospital indemnity insurance underwritten by unaffiliated insurers, for which we receive commission and service fee income. We also offer ancillary non-insurance products and services such as prepaid debit cards and, in select states, payday consumer loans.

Our revenues are derived principally from:

- premiums we earn from sales of direct and assumed non-standard personal automobile and term life insurance policies, which we refer to in this report as gross premiums, less the portion of those premiums that is ceded to other insurers, which we refer to in this report as ceded premiums, with the difference being what we refer to as net premiums;

- ancillary income, which includes:

  - interest and fees earned on the financing of insurance policies; and

  - commission and service fee income that we earn in connection with the sales and servicing of insurance products underwritten by other insurers and other income; and

- investment income that we earn on the invested assets of our subsidiaries.

Our expenses consist predominately of:

- insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses (which we sometimes refer to in this report as LAE) including estimates for losses incurred during the period and changes in estimates from prior periods related to direct and assumed non-standard personal automobile and term life insurance policies (which we refer to in this report as gross insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses), less the portion of those insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses that are ceded to other insurers (which we refer to in this report as ceded insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses) (we refer to the difference as net insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses); and

- operating expenses that include:

  - selling, general and administrative, or SGA costs, including salaries, advertising, commissions and other expenses of our employee-agent distribution channel reduced by ceding commissions received under our reinsurance agreements; and

  - interest expense primarily related to our premium finance revolving credit facility and debentures payable

## **Measurement of Results**

We evaluate our operations by monitoring key measures of growth and profitability. We measure our growth by examining our gross revenues, which are comprised of gross premiums written and revenues from all other sources produced through our distribution system. We generally measure our operating results by examining our net income, return on equity, and our loss, expense and combined ratios. In addition, we evaluate our performance by comparing the level of our ancillary income to premiums earned and to operating expenses. The following provides further explanation of the key measures that we use to evaluate our results:

**Table of Contents**

*Gross Premiums Written.* Gross premiums written is the sum of direct premiums written and assumed premiums written. Direct premiums written is the sum of the total policy premiums, net of cancellations, associated with policies underwritten and issued by our insurance subsidiaries. Assumed premiums written is the sum of total premiums associated with the insurance risk transferred to us by other insurance companies pursuant to reinsurance contracts. See Note 7 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in this report. We use gross premiums written, which excludes the impact of premiums ceded to reinsurers, as a measure of the underlying growth of our insurance business from period to period.

*Net Premiums Written.* Net premiums written is the sum of direct premiums written and assumed premiums written less ceded premiums written. Ceded premiums written is the portion of our direct and assumed premiums that we transfer to our reinsurers in accordance with the terms of our reinsurance contracts based upon the risks they accept. See Note 7 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in this report. We use net premiums written, primarily in relation to gross premiums written, to measure the amount of business retained after cessions to reinsurers.

*Gross Revenues (a non-GAAP financial measure).* Gross revenues is the sum of gross premiums written plus ancillary income (finance income and commission and service fee income) and net investment income (excluding net realized gains (losses) on securities). We use gross revenues as the primary measure of the underlying growth of our revenue streams from period to period. Gross revenues are reconciled to total revenues in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Results of Operations.

*Loss Ratio.* Loss ratio is the ratio (expressed as a percentage) of losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred to premiums earned and measures the underwriting profitability of a company's insurance business. Loss ratio generally is measured on both a gross (direct and assumed) and net (gross less ceded) basis. We use the gross loss ratio as a measure of the overall underwriting profitability of the insurance business we write and to assess the adequacy of our pricing. Our net loss ratio is meaningful in evaluating our financial results, which are net of ceded reinsurance, as reflected in our consolidated financial statements. Our loss ratios are generally calculated in the same way for GAAP and statutory accounting purposes.

*Expense Ratio.* Expense ratio is the ratio (expressed as a percentage) of net operating expenses to premiums earned and measures a company's operational efficiency in producing, underwriting and administering its insurance business. For statutory accounting purposes, operating expenses of an insurance company exclude investment expenses, and are reduced by other income. There is no such industry definition for determining an expense ratio for GAAP purposes. As a result, we apply the statutory definition to calculate our expense ratio on a GAAP basis. We reduce our operating expenses by ancillary income (excluding net investment income and realized gains (losses) on securities) to calculate our net operating expenses. Due to our historically high levels of reinsurance, we calculate our expense ratio on both a gross basis (before the effect of ceded reinsurance) and a net basis (after the effect of ceded reinsurance). Although the net basis is meaningful in evaluating our financial results that are net of ceded reinsurance, as reflected in our consolidated financial statements, we believe that the gross expense ratio better reflects the operational efficiency of the underlying business and is a better measure of future trends.

*Combined Ratio.* Combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio and the expense ratio and measures a company's overall underwriting profit. If the combined ratio is at or above 100, an insurance company cannot be profitable without investment income (and may not be profitable if investment income is insufficient). We use the GAAP combined ratio in evaluating our overall underwriting profitability and as a measure for comparison of our profitability relative to the profitability of our competitors.

*Ancillary Income Measures.* We have developed measures of our ability to generate ancillary income that reflect the differences between our business model and those used by our competitors. We measure our ancillary income as a percentage of premiums earned and as a percentage of our operating expenses. We believe that most of our competitors only achieve point of sale contact through an independent agent and are therefore typically unable to generate significant amounts of ancillary income.

**Table of Contents****Critical Accounting Policies**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements. As more information becomes known, these estimates and assumptions could change, thus having an impact on the amounts reported in the future. We view the estimates and assumptions used in establishing our reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses, valuation of investments, the estimates of future policy cancellations used in determining the amounts recorded as commissions and service fees and establishing the allowance for finance receivable losses as our critical accounting policies.

*Insurance Losses and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves.* Insurance loss and loss adjustment expense reserves represent the estimated ultimate net cost of all unpaid reported and unreported losses incurred as of the date of our balance sheet. Estimating our loss and LAE reserves is complex and requires us to make significant judgments and use many assumptions. Months and sometimes years may elapse between the occurrence of an automobile accident covered by one of our policies, reporting of the accident to us and our payment of the claim. We record a liability for estimates of losses that will be paid for accidents that have been reported to us, which we refer to in this report as case reserves. In addition, since accidents are not always reported when they occur, we estimate liabilities for accidents that have occurred but have not been reported to us, which we refer to in this report as incurred but not reported, or IBNR reserves. Our methodology for estimating our insurance losses and loss adjustment expense reserves is essentially the same for each interim reporting period and year-end.

The following table presents the components of our insurance losses and loss adjustment expense reserves by product at December 31:

|                                                             | <b>2006</b>      | <b>2005</b> |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
|                                                             | (\$ in millions) |             |
| Private passenger automobile insurance:                     |                  |             |
| Case reserves                                               | \$ 80.4          | \$ 67.4     |
| IBNR reserves                                               | 55.5             | 63.3        |
| Total private passenger automobile insurance                | 135.9            | 130.7       |
| Term life insurance, reserve for policy benefits            | 0.9              | 0.7         |
| Total insurance losses and loss adjustment expense reserves | \$ 136.8         | \$ 131.4    |

Our reserve for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses is comprised of case and IBNR reserves, which include an estimate for the costs to settle our claims. A description of the process we use to establish our reserves is provided below.

*Case reserves.* We use an automated reserving process to establish our case basis reserves for our private passenger automobile insurance business. We developed this program internally to allow our adjusters to focus on processing claims and to standardize the process for setting case reserves. Our actuaries have established a table of standard case reserves based on historical average paid data by state, coverage type, and age of individual claims. These standard case reserves are periodically adjusted to reflect changing trends. At each month end, this program categorizes all open claims by age and coverage in order to generate the appropriate standard case reserve. Claims supervisors have the ability to override the formula reserve and establish a manual reserve for an individually large claim when the exposure has the potential to exceed statutory minimum limits. Case reserves for loss adjustment expenses are not calculated by the automatic reserve program. These reserves are established by our claims adjusters, based on the specific details of each claim.

*IBNR reserves.* We rely primarily on historical loss experience in determining our IBNR reserve levels, on our assumption that historical loss experience provides a good indication of future loss experience. Our internal actuarial staff reviews our insurance subsidiaries' reserves quarterly for each accident quarter by state and coverage. We typically evaluate three different policy coverages for each state: bodily injury (coverage for medical claims to any injured party); property damage (coverage for damage to a third party's property); and physical damage (coverage for comprehensive, collision, rental and towing in conjunction with our insured's vehicle). In addition, we separately evaluate our policy coverage for personal injury protection (PIP) in the two states where PIP is a





## **Table of Contents**

required coverage, Florida and Kentucky. We believe that our quarterly reviews allow us to make timely adjustments to reserves to reflect new or changed facts and information that we receive. As part of these quarterly reviews, our actuarial staff estimates the ultimate average severity and frequency of claims for each coverage. Severity represents the average cost per claim and frequency represents the number of claims per policy.

Our actuarial analysis of loss reserves by state and coverage involves numerous actuarial methods including the following:

- a. Incurred Loss Development
- b. Paid Loss Development
- c. Hindsight Average Reserves
- d. Berquist Sherman
- e. Incurred Bornhuetter/Ferguson
- f. Paid Bornhuetter/Ferguson
- g. Claims Closure Model

The actuarial staff reviews the output from each of these methods giving consideration to current trends in frequency, severity, changes in claims closing patterns, post-closing payments, salvage and subrogation collections, and many other factors for each of the coverages within each state. Periodically, there may be extenuating circumstances that may cause certain methods to be more credible than others. In those instances, we may discount the results of certain models in favor of the output of more reliable models. For example, the Incurred Loss Development method and Incurred Bornhuetter/Ferguson method may not provide credible results in those periods where we make adjustments to our automated case reserves. These adjustments could artificially distort the results of these models if historical development trends are applied to the incurred data, which would cause us to place more reliance on the paid models and Claims Closure models. Conversely, when we make changes to claims staffing and processing, it could produce unintended changes in the historical settlement patterns that would cause the output from the paid models to be less reliable. In those instances where we may be understaffed, we could experience delays in claims settlement, which could inadvertently lead to an underestimation of ultimate losses under the paid models if the historical development patterns are applied. Alternatively, when there is an acceleration of claims payments, the paid models could produce inflated estimates of ultimate losses by applying historical development patterns. Therefore, in those periods where there have been changes in claims staffing or processing, we tend to place more reliance on the output from the Incurred models.

The actuarial selections of ultimate incurred losses are independently determined on an individual coverage basis for each state using the actuaries' best judgment considering the current trends in the underlying data, the credibility of the results from the various models, and other factors described above. We do not use a weighted average of the output to determine the selected reserve and, because reserves are set at the individual coverage level within each state, we do not establish a countrywide range of reserves. Due to limited historical data in states we have recently entered, we utilize the factors of other states with similar coverages, limits, and claims handling processes to determine the ultimate incurred losses for these states.

The actuarial selections of ultimate incurred losses are reviewed by management who gain an understanding of the underlying assumptions and evaluate the overall reasonableness of the loss and LAE ratios by accident year, by state, and by coverage. Our estimate of IBNR reserves is then determined by deducting paid losses to date and case reserves from the projection of ultimate incurred losses.

Our estimation of loss and LAE reserves is subject to variation as a result of factors such as inflation, claims settlement patterns, legislative activity and litigation trends. We make key assumptions regarding future claims emergence, the number of claims to be closed in the future, the future impact of inflation, amounts that may be

collected from subrogation or salvage and the number of claims that will be closed with or without payment. Changes in the assumptions we employ, or our estimates associated with such assumptions, could result in materially different amounts being reported as reserves. If necessary, we will increase or decrease the level of our reserves, as our experience develops or new information becomes known, in the period in which we determine changes to our estimates are necessary. Accordingly, our actual losses and loss adjustment expenses may differ materially from the estimates we have recorded. See [Business Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves](#) for additional information.

**Table of Contents**

In selecting the ultimate incurred losses for each coverage within a state, our actuarial staff estimates the ultimate average severity and frequency of claims. As discussed above, these amounts are subject to variation based upon a number of different factors. Historically, we have experienced the most variability in our selections for the personal injury protection coverage in Florida, where there have been a number of changes in the market, regulatory environment, and our claims processing. We typically have the least variability in our selections for the physical damage coverage because these claims are reported and settled quickly. The table below provides a summary, by coverage, of the potential variability in our selections of ultimate average frequency and severity and the potential impact on our loss reserves and pre-tax losses and LAE incurred, gross of reinsurance, as of December 31, 2006:

| Coverage                   | Variability in Selected Frequency |                                     | Variability in Selected Severity |                                     |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                            | % Change                          | Impact on Reserves (\$ in millions) | % Change                         | Impact on Reserves (\$ in millions) |
| Bodily Injury              | 3.00%                             | \$ 1.8                              | 2.50%                            | \$ 1.5                              |
| Personal Injury Protection | 2.50%                             | \$ 1.6                              | 4.00%                            | \$ 2.6                              |
| Property Damage            | 1.00%                             | \$ 0.9                              | 2.50%                            | \$ 2.2                              |
| Physical Damage            | 1.00%                             | \$ 0.9                              | 2.00%                            | \$ 1.7                              |

*Investments.* The Company's entire portfolio of debt securities is classified as available-for-sale and reported at fair value. This gives the Company the flexibility to sell its available-for-sale securities in response to changes in interest rates, risk/reward characteristics, liquidity needs, or other economic factors.

The Company's investment portfolio is primarily exposed to interest rate risk and to a lesser extent market and credit risk. The fair value of our portfolio is directly impacted by changing interest rates, market conditions and financial conditions of the issuer. The Company examines its portfolio on at least a quarterly basis for evidence of impairment with a particular emphasis on those securities with unrealized losses that satisfy certain conditions. Some of the factors that the Company considers in evaluating a security for other than temporary impairment include the duration and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost, the reasons for the unrealized loss, including market conditions or changes in the financial condition of the issuer, and the Company's ability and intent to hold the investment until maturity, or at least until there is a recovery in fair value. If an investment becomes other than temporarily impaired, such impairment is treated as a realized loss and the investment is adjusted to fair value. Realized investment gains and losses are recognized using the specific identification method.

*Effect of Future Cancellations.* The insurance policies that we write through our insurance subsidiaries are subject to being cancelled by the policyholder prior to the policy expiration date. As a result, we estimate the effect of future cancellations in determining the amount of commission and service fee income that is recorded in our consolidated financial statements. We use historical cancellation rates that are updated quarterly to estimate future cancellations with the effect of any changes in our estimates being recorded in the period in which the change in the estimate is determined to be necessary. However, actual cancellations may differ materially from the cancellation estimates that we used. As of December 31, 2006, the reserve for return of commission and service fee income was \$5.1 million.

*Allowance for Finance Receivable Losses.* Losses on finance receivables include an estimate of future credit losses on premium finance accounts. Credit losses on premium finance accounts occur when the unearned premiums received from the insurer upon cancellation of a financed policy are inadequate to pay the balance of the premium finance account. The majority of these shortfalls result in the write-off of unrealized interest and premium finance acquisition fees. We review historical trends of such losses relative to finance receivable balances to develop estimates of future losses. However, actual write-offs may differ materially from the write-off estimates that we used. As of December 31, 2006, the allowance for finance receivable losses was \$6.8 million.

See Note 1 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in this report for a discussion of our other significant accounting policies.

**Table of Contents****Results of Operations**

The table below summarizes certain operating results and key measures we use in monitoring and evaluating our operations. The information provided is intended to summarize and supplement information contained in our consolidated financial statements and to assist the reader in gaining a better understanding of our results of operations.

|                                                     | Year Ended December 31, |          |          |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                                     | 2006                    | 2005     | 2004     |
|                                                     | (\$ in millions)        |          |          |
| Selected Financial Data                             |                         |          |          |
| Gross premiums written                              | \$ 455.9                | \$ 453.0 | \$ 481.9 |
| Ancillary income                                    | 89.5                    | 91.2     | 97.8     |
| Net investment income                               | 19.0                    | 14.7     | 10.8     |
|                                                     |                         |          |          |
| Gross revenues <sup>(1)</sup>                       | \$ 564.4                | \$ 558.9 | \$ 590.5 |
| Ceded premiums written                              | 2.6                     | (52.4)   | (72.5)   |
| Change in net unearned premiums                     | (33.8)                  | 3.5      | (36.9)   |
| Net realized (losses) gains on securities and other | (0.3)                   | 0.1      |          |
|                                                     |                         |          |          |
| Total revenues                                      | \$ 532.9                | \$ 510.1 | \$ 481.1 |
|                                                     |                         |          |          |
| Key Financial Ratios                                |                         |          |          |
| Loss ratio net                                      | 75.5%                   | 75.7%    | 75.7%    |
| Expense ratio net                                   | 18.3                    | 12.5     | 4.3      |
|                                                     |                         |          |          |
| Combined ratio net                                  | 93.8                    | 88.2     | 80.0     |
|                                                     |                         |          |          |
| Ancillary income to gross premiums earned           | 20.0                    | 19.8     | 20.7     |
| Ancillary income to net operating expenses          | 53.5                    | 64.3     | 85.8     |

(1) A non-GAAP financial measure.

**Overview of Operating Results**

*Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005.* Net income decreased 28.2% to \$28.0 million in 2006, compared to net income of \$39.0 million in 2005. The net increase in income from higher earned premium net of the corresponding increase in insurance losses and loss adjustment expense was more than offset by higher operating expenses. These higher operating costs were largely associated with our expansions in Texas, Missouri, and Virginia, higher advertising and interest costs, and non-recurring litigation and merger related expenses. Additionally, while ancillary income declined, it was more than offset by an increase in investment income resulting from and the increase in average invested assets and higher yields. There was minimal impact of realized gains and losses on securities and other during 2006 and 2005.

*Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004.* Net income decreased 27.8% to \$39.0 million in 2005, compared to net income of \$54.0 million in 2004. The most significant factors contributing to the decrease in net income during 2005 included higher operating costs, largely associated with our expansions in Texas, Missouri, and Virginia, higher advertising and interest costs, and a higher level of catastrophe losses. Additionally, while ancillary income declined, it was partially offset by more than a 30% increase in investment income as a result of the increase in average invested assets and higher yields. There was minimal impact of realized gains and losses on securities and other during either 2005 or 2004.

***Revenues***

***Premiums***

Premiums include non-standard personal automobile insurance premiums and term life insurance premiums underwritten by our insurance subsidiaries (which we refer to in this report as direct premiums) and a percentage of non-standard personal automobile insurance premiums assumed from other insurers generally in states where we do not currently have a licensed insurance subsidiary (which we refer to in this report as assumed premiums). We refer to direct and assumed premiums together as gross premiums. We manage virtually every aspect of the assumed

**Table of Contents**

business, including pricing and underwriting, claims settlement and customer service. We currently assume a significant portion of non-standard personal automobile insurance premiums for business produced in North Carolina and Texas.

Premiums written refers to the total amount of premiums billed to the policyholder less the amount of premiums returned, generally as a result of cancellations, during a given period. Premiums written become premiums earned as the policy ages. Barring premium rate changes, if an insurance company writes the same mix of business each year, premiums written and premiums earned will be equal, and the unearned premium reserve will remain constant. During periods of growth, the unearned premium reserve will increase, causing premiums earned to be less than premiums written. Conversely, during periods of decline, the unearned premium reserve will decrease, causing premiums earned to be greater than premiums written.

We have historically relied on quota share, excess of loss, and catastrophe reinsurance primarily to manage our regulatory capital requirements and also to limit our exposure to loss. Generally, we ceded a portion of our non-standard automobile insurance premiums to unaffiliated reinsurers in order to maintain a net premiums written to statutory surplus ratio of approximately 3 to 1. Over the past several years, the increasing capitalization of our insurance subsidiaries has enabled us to retain a higher percentage of our business and reduce our use of reinsurance. Our retention has been increasing each year and in 2006, we eliminated our use of quota share reinsurance entirely. We retain 100% of our term life insurance premiums. The following table presents our gross premiums written in our major markets and provides a summary of gross, ceded and net premiums written and earned for the periods indicated.

|                                                 | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                                 | <b>2006</b>                    | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                                                 | <b>(\$ in millions)</b>        |             |             |
| Gross premiums written                          |                                |             |             |
| Florida                                         | \$ 207.2                       | \$ 223.6    | \$ 243.3    |
| Tennessee                                       | 54.9                           | 58.7        | 64.5        |
| Texas                                           | 43.7                           | 39.1        | 29.6        |
| Georgia                                         | 30.3                           | 29.1        | 33.7        |
| Louisiana                                       | 26.6                           | 26.5        | 32.8        |
| Mississippi                                     | 27.9                           | 25.4        | 25.3        |
| All other states                                | 65.3                           | 50.6        | 52.7        |
| Gross premiums written                          | \$ 455.9                       | \$ 453.0    | \$ 481.9    |
| Ceded premiums written                          | 2.6                            | (52.4)      | (72.5)      |
| Net premiums written                            | \$ 458.5                       | \$ 400.6    | \$ 409.4    |
| Gross premiums earned                           | \$ 446.5                       | \$ 461.6    | \$ 471.8    |
| Ceded premiums earned                           | (21.7)                         | (57.5)      | (99.3)      |
| Net premiums earned                             | \$ 424.8                       | \$ 404.1    | \$ 372.5    |
| Net premiums written to gross premiums written  | 100.6%                         | 88.4%       | 85.0%       |
| Gross premiums earned to gross premiums written | 97.9                           | 101.9       | 97.9        |
| Net premiums earned to net premiums written     | 92.6                           | 100.9       | 91.0        |

***Gross Premiums***

*Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005.* During 2006, gross premiums written increased \$2.9 million or 0.6% to \$455.9 million from \$453.0 million in 2005. Over this same period, gross premiums earned, a function of gross premiums written over the current and prior periods, decreased to \$446.5 million from \$461.6 million. In 2006, we experienced declines in Florida and Tennessee, two of our core states, and increases

in most of our other states. Decreases in gross premium written in Florida and Tennessee totaled \$20.2 million, which was offset by increases in our expansion states of \$14.3 million and net increases of \$8.8 million in the other core states. While competition in the non-standard market has been intense, we believe that we remain very competitive in the market for our core customer as evidenced by our consistent hit ratio (the ratio of policies to quotes). However, we have experienced declines in quote volumes that we largely attribute to increased advertising by the large national carriers.



**Table of Contents**

*Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004.* During 2005, gross premiums written decreased \$28.9 million or 6.0% to \$453.0 million from \$481.9 million in 2004. Over this same period, gross premiums earned, a function of gross premiums written over the current and prior periods, decreased to \$461.6 million from \$471.8 million. In 2005, Florida represented 49.4% of our business and accounted for \$19.7 million of the decrease in gross premiums written with approximately \$4.7 million of this decline related to our decision, in October 2004, to stop writing new business policies in the Miami market. Competition in the non-standard market has been intense. Overall, we believe that we remain very competitive in the market for our core customer; however, we believe that the increased advertising and a focus on the higher credit profiles of the nonstandard market by some of the national carriers has resulted in our gross written premium declines. Our hit ratio (the ratio of policies to quotes) remains very good, and we have been implementing a number of changes to our marketing in order to increase our quote calls. We are also in the process of implementing a more sophisticated pricing structure, which coupled with the convenience of being able to complete transactions over the phone or through the Internet, should help us compete in the upper tiers of the nonstandard market.

During 2005, we continued our expansion into Texas with the acquisition of the assets of three independent agencies in January 2005 that provided us with 82 sales offices. We also developed an additional 18 sales offices in Texas. Beginning in March 2005, we started to convert our book of monthly policies in Texas to annual policies and, as of December 31, 2005, approximately 63.5% of the Texas business had been converted. Our broader distribution network, together with our increase in advertising and the conversion to annual policies resulted in a 32.1% increase in Texas premiums during 2005.

The declines in gross premiums written in most of our other existing states were primarily related to the competitive environment. However, we also experienced a 19.2% decline in Louisiana which we partially attributed to the impact of Hurricane Katrina. We believe that the displacement of a portion of the Louisianan population not only resulted in a premium decline in 2005, but we believe that the 2006 volumes in Louisiana were also impacted.

***Net Premiums***

*Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005.* Net premiums written increased 14.4% to \$458.5 million in 2005 from \$400.6 million in 2005. The ratio of net premiums written to gross premiums written increased to 100.6% in 2006 from 88.4% in 2005 as a result of the higher gross premiums written and the elimination of quota share reinsurance in 2006. Net premiums earned, a function of net premiums written over the current and prior periods, increased to \$424.8 million in 2006 from \$404.1 million in 2005.

*Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004.* Net premiums written decreased 2.1% to \$400.6 million in 2005 from \$409.4 million in 2004. The ratio of net premiums written to gross premiums written increased to 88.4% in 2005 from 85.0% in 2004 as we continued to reduce our use of quota share reinsurance. We expect to eliminate our quota share reinsurance in 2006 and retain nearly all gross premiums written. Net premiums earned, a function of net premiums written over the current and prior periods, increased to \$404.1 million in 2005 from \$372.5 million in 2004.

***Ancillary Income***

Ancillary income includes finance income, commission and service fee income and other income. Finance income primarily consists of interest, acquisition and service fees, and delinquency fees on the premium finance agreements related to the insurance policies we finance. Our agency and administrative subsidiaries produce and service non-standard personal automobile insurance business for other insurers from which we assume a portion of this business, and in some cases the entire premium, through reinsurance treaties. We receive service fees for agency, underwriting, policy administration, and claims adjusting services performed on behalf of these unaffiliated insurers. We also receive commission and service fee income on other insurance products produced for unaffiliated insurance companies on which we do not bear underwriting risk, including vehicle protection and hospital indemnity insurance policies. We also receive fees from two non-insurance products: Direct Prepaid Visa, a private labeled prepaid debit card, and Direct Cash Advance, a payday consumer loan program. Our business model allows us to generate a significant amount of ancillary income, which we measure as a percentage of gross earned premiums and as a percentage of our operating expenses. Our goal is to generate ancillary income in amounts that will offset a



**Table of Contents**

majority of our operating expenses. The following table summarizes the components of our ancillary income for the periods indicated.

|                                   | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                   | <b>2006</b>                    | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                                   | <b>(\$ in millions)</b>        |             |             |
| Finance income                    | \$ 43.9                        | \$ 44.4     | \$ 49.2     |
| Commission and service fee income | 45.6                           | 46.8        | 48.6        |
| Total ancillary income            | \$ 89.5                        | \$ 91.2     | \$ 97.8     |

*Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005.* Ancillary income decreased 1.9% to \$89.5 million in 2006 from \$91.2 million in 2005. This decrease is comprised of a \$0.5 million decrease in premium finance income and a \$1.2 million decrease in commission and service fee income. The decrease in finance income is primarily related to the decrease in gross premiums earned. The allowance for credit losses was 2.9% of gross finance receivables at the end of both 2006 and 2005.

The decrease in commission and service fee income can be attributed to a \$1.7 million reduction in fees earned for the administration of our assumed business in Texas due to the Company's decision to write more of its Texas business through one of its own insurance subsidiaries as opposed to a third party insurer. This change caused a corresponding reduction in operating expenses as assumed reinsurance commissions also decreased by \$1.7 million. The decrease was offset by a \$0.6 million increase in revenues from our consumer products, including our Direct prepaid Visa program and Direct Cash Advance.

For the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the ratio of ancillary income to gross premiums earned was 20.0% and 19.8%, respectively, and the ratio of ancillary income to operating expenses was 53.5% and 64.3%, respectively.

*Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004.* Ancillary income decreased 6.7% to \$91.2 million in 2005 from \$97.8 million in 2004. This decrease is comprised of a \$4.8 million decrease in premium finance income and a \$1.8 million decrease in commission and service fee income. The decrease in finance income is related, in part, to the decline in gross premiums earned and the Company's decision in early 2005 to temporarily reduce the minimum down payment requirements in most states in an effort to increase premium production. In 2005, average down payments as a percentage of financed premium declined to 12.8% compared to 14.5% in 2004. As a result of this change, we experienced an increase in the provision for finance receivable losses to \$10.3 million in 2005 from \$7.3 million in 2004. As part of the Company's efforts to achieve the optimal balance of maximum premium growth with minimal impact on finance income, the Company re-assessed its pay plans and increased down payment requirements in select states in late 2005. The allowance for credit losses was 2.9% and 2.8% of gross finance receivables at the end of 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The decrease in commission and service fee income can be attributed to a \$2.1 million reduction in fees earned for the administration of our assumed business in Texas due to the Company's decision to write more of its Texas business through one of its own insurance subsidiaries as opposed to a third party insurer. This change caused a corresponding reduction in operating expenses as assumed reinsurance commissions also decreased by \$2.1 million. The remainder of the change included a \$2.1 million increase in revenues from our consumer products, including our Direct prepaid Visa program and Direct Cash Advance, which was largely offset by lower commission and service fees related to a decline in the sale of ancillary insurance products.

For the year ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the ratio of ancillary income to gross premiums earned was 19.8% and 20.7%, respectively, and the ratio of ancillary income to operating expenses was 64.3% and 85.8%, respectively.

## **Table of Contents**

### *Net Investment Income*

Our investment portfolio is generally highly liquid and consists substantially of readily marketable, investment-grade debt securities. Net investment income is primarily comprised of interest earned on these securities, net of related investment expenses.

*Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005.* Net investment income increased to \$19.0 million in 2006 from \$14.7 million in 2005 primarily as a result of a 15.4% increase in average invested assets to \$413.3 million in 2006 from \$358.2 million in 2005. The increase in average invested assets is primarily the result of the cash flows from operations. The average investment yield increased to 4.6% in 2006 from 4.1% in 2005 primarily as the result of the higher interest rates available for new investments in 2006.

*Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004.* Net investment income increased to \$14.7 million in 2005 from \$10.8 million in 2004 primarily as a result of a 20.0% increase in average invested assets to \$358.2 million in 2005 from \$298.5 million in 2004. The increase in average invested assets is primarily the result of the cash flows from operations. The average investment yield increased to 4.1% in 2005 from 3.7% in 2004 primarily as the result of the higher interest rates available for new investments in 2005.

### *Realized Gains (Losses) on Securities and Other*

Realized gains and losses on securities are principally affected by changes in interest rates, the timing of sales of investments and changes in credit quality of the securities we hold as investments and change in the market value of our trading portfolio.

*Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005.* Gross gains on debt securities for the year ended December 31, 2006 were \$0.5 million, which were more than offset by gross losses of \$0.9 million. The sale of certain debt securities during the year were primarily driven by adjustments to the portfolio as we repositioned our bond portfolio based on our investment guidelines for changes in market conditions, interest rate environment and other factors. Comparatively, in 2005, we realized gross gains on debt securities of \$0.2 million, which were more than offset by gross losses of \$1.2 million,

The Company also has a trading portfolio primarily consisting of futures contracts, swaps, and other derivative instruments. In 2006, we also realized gross gains of \$0.8 million and gross losses of \$0.6 million on closed contracts in this trading portfolio, as compared to 2005 where we realized gross gains of \$2.6 million and gross losses of \$1.9 million. This represents a speculative investment and does not represent a hedge; accordingly, all open contracts are marked to market with the change in market values included in net realized (losses) gains on securities and other in our consolidated statement of operations. The net unrealized gain (loss) on open contracts decreased to a \$0.1 million gain in 2006 from a \$0.2 million gain in 2005. The 2006 net gain was comprised of gross unrealized gains of \$0.3 million and gross unrealized losses of \$0.2 million. For the year ended December 31, 2006, net realized (losses) gains on securities and other included a net loss of \$0.1 million related to the change in the market value of open contracts.

*Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004.* Gross gains on debt securities for the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$0.2 million, which were more than offset by gross losses of \$1.2 million. The sale of certain debt securities during the year were primarily driven by adjustments to the portfolio in conjunction with the changing interest rate environment. Comparatively, in 2004, we realized gross gains on debt securities of \$0.4 million, which were more than offset by gross losses of \$0.9 million, as we repositioned our bond portfolio based on our investment guidelines for changes in market conditions and other factors.

The Company also has a trading portfolio primarily consisting of futures contracts, swaps, and other derivative instruments. In 2005, we also realized gross gains of \$2.6 million and gross losses of \$1.9 million on closed contracts in this trading portfolio, as compared to 2004 where we realized gross gains of \$2.4 million and gross losses of \$2.2 million. This represents a speculative investment and does not represent a hedge; accordingly, all open contracts are marked to market with the change in market values included in net realized (losses) gains on securities and other in our consolidated statement of operations. The net unrealized gain (loss) on open contracts increased to a \$0.2 million gain in 2005 from a \$0.1 million loss in 2004. The 2005 net gain was comprised of gross

**Table of Contents**

unrealized gains of \$0.4 million and gross unrealized losses of \$0.2 million. For the year ended December 31, 2004, net realized (losses) gains on securities and other included a net gain of \$0.3 million related to the increase in the market value of open contracts.

***Expenses***

Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses represent our largest expense item and include payments made to settle claims, estimates for future claim payments and changes in those estimates for current and prior periods, as well as loss adjustment expenses incurred in connection with settling claims. Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses are influenced by many factors, such as claims frequency and severity trends, the impact of changes in estimates for prior accident years, and increases in the cost of medical treatment and automobile repairs among other factors. The anticipated impact of inflation is considered when we establish our premium rates and set loss reserves. We perform an actuarial analysis each quarter and establish or adjust (for prior accident quarters) reserves, based upon our estimate of the ultimate incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses to reflect loss development information and trends that have been updated for the most recent quarter's activity. Each quarter our estimate of ultimate loss and loss adjustment expenses is evaluated by accident quarter, by state and by major coverage grouping (e.g., bodily injury, personal injury protection, property damage, and physical damage) and changes in estimates are reflected in the period the additional information becomes known.

We have historically used reinsurance to manage our exposure to loss by ceding a portion of our gross losses and loss adjustment expenses to reinsurers. See *Business Reinsurance*. We remain obligated for amounts covered by reinsurance, however, in the event that the reinsurers do not meet their obligations under the agreements (due to, for example, disputes with the reinsurer or the reinsurer's insolvency). Since 2001, in an effort to manage the cost of reinsurance during a period of rising cost and limited availability, we have added provisions to our quota share reinsurance agreements that were structured to reduce the cost of reinsurance to us. In exchange for the reduced cost, our reinsurers were provided with some limit on the amount of potential loss being assumed, while maintaining the transfer of significant insurance risk with the possibility of a significant loss to the reinsurer. We believe our reinsurance arrangements qualify for reinsurance accounting in accordance with SFAS 113 *Accounting for Reinsurance Contracts*.

*Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005.* For the year ended December 31, 2006, insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses increased to \$320.8 million from \$305.8 million in 2005, an increase of \$15.0 million. This increase is generally consistent with the overall premium growth and increase in net business we retained. Our net loss ratio was 75.5% and 75.7% in 2006 and 2005, respectively. During 2006, weather-related losses increased our loss ratio by approximately 0.4 points, primarily as a result of tornadoes and hailstorms that hit Tennessee and Texas in the spring. Comparatively, there was an unusually high level of catastrophic losses, which increased our annual loss ratios by approximately 0.8 points in 2005.

*Reserve Analysis.* We perform an actuarial analysis of our loss reserves each quarter, which encompasses an analysis of expected ultimate frequency and severity by accident quarter for all coverages and states in which we operate. Our estimate of ultimate expected losses for the 2006 accident year included decreases in the expected ultimate frequency of claims for all coverages, ranging from a 3.4% improvement in bodily injury to a 6.9% projected improvement in PIP frequency as compared to the 2005 accident year. We believe these projected declines are supported by our growing renewal book of business, an increase in full-coverage business, which may be indicative of a more responsible driver, and, in the case of PIP, a significant reduction in the number of exposures in the Miami, Florida market. Our expected reduction in frequency for the 2006 accident year is also generally consistent with the current trends in the non-standard automobile insurance market. Partially offsetting the projected improved trends for frequency is a projected increase in claims severity. We estimate that average severity for property damage and physical damage claims will increase between 2.0% and 3.5%, while the average severity for bodily injury and PIP claims will increase between 0.5% and 1.5%.

During 2006, we decreased our estimate of incurred losses for prior accident years by approximately \$0.3 million. This nominal amount of favorable development was primarily comprised of favorable development for PIP in Florida and, to a lesser extent, bodily injury in both Tennessee and Texas that were largely offset by approximately \$1.2 million in adverse development in Louisiana and approximately \$0.5 million of adverse development in Mississippi.

The favorable development in Florida PIP was generally related to the 2005 accident

56

---

**Table of Contents**

year and was driven by a 3.1% reduction in ultimate expected frequency and a 2.7% reduction in average severity. Much of this improvement is attributable to our improved claims settlement processes and the elimination of new business in the Miami market. The adverse development in Louisiana included increases in expected frequency and severity for all coverages largely related to the 2005 accident year while the development in Mississippi was primarily related to the bodily injury and property damage coverages. Comparatively, we increased our estimate of incurred losses for prior accident years by approximately \$6.8 million in 2005, which resulted in a 1.7 point increase in our 2005 loss ratio.

*Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004.* For the year ended December 31, 2005, insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses increased to \$305.8 million from \$282.0 million in 2004, an increase of \$23.8 million. This increase is generally consistent with the overall premium growth and increase in net business we retained. Our net loss ratio was 75.7% in both 2005 and 2004. During both 2005 and 2004, there was an unusually high level of catastrophic losses, which increased our annual loss ratios by approximately 0.8 points and 0.7 points, respectively.

*Reserve Analysis.* We perform an actuarial analysis of our loss reserves each quarter, which encompasses an analysis of expected ultimate frequency and severity by accident quarter for all coverages and states in which we operate. Our estimate of ultimate expected losses for the 2005 accident year included an 11.9% decrease in the expected ultimate frequency of PIP claims as compared to the 2004 accident year, which we believe is supported by the new loss trends that exclude the impact of claims from Miami market where we ceased issuing new business policies in October 2004. Our estimate of expected ultimate severity for PIP remained fairly constant with the prior year. We also continued to experience a slight decline in the frequency for bodily injury and property damage claims, which is generally consistent with the trends in the non-standard insurance market.

During 2005, we increased our estimate of incurred losses for prior accident years by approximately \$6.8 million, which resulted in a 1.7 point increase in our 2005 loss ratio. Approximately \$3.8 million of this increase was attributable to the Florida PIP coverage, where we experienced an increase in expected ultimate severity, a portion of which related to the runoff of claims from the Miami market. We increased our estimate of ultimate severity for PIP by approximately 4.8% related to the 2004 accident year based upon additional paid loss data obtained during 2005. An additional \$2.0 million of increase in prior years' reserves related to the property damage coverage in Florida where we experienced a shift in claims settlement patterns that resulted in an increase in post-closing payments. The majority of the reserve development for both coverages was paid in the current year. Comparatively, during 2004 we increased our estimate of incurred losses for prior accident years by approximately \$6.3 million, which also resulted in a 1.7 point increase in our 2004 loss ratio.

We have taken a number of actions to improve our overall loss trends in Florida and address the sources of adverse loss reserve development. In October 2004, we ceased writing new business policies in the Miami market due to the high levels of fraudulent activity, which has improved our Florida loss experience and reduced some of the volatility in our underlying claims data. During 2005, we replaced a third party bill review system that we had been using in our personal injury protection unit to identify duplicate medical bills and charges for medical procedures that did not correspond with the diagnosis, with an internally developed program that essentially performs the same review. By eliminating the outside vendor, we have been able to expedite the settlement process while saving the cost of the service and reducing interest costs. We also reinforced our claims closure guidelines to reduce the number and amount of post-closing payments on property damage claims by keeping those files, which have been specifically identified as having the potential for subrogation payments, open for a longer period of time. Finally, we continued to refine our actuarial reserving methodology for personal injury protection claims that started as part of our fourth quarter 2004 review. We believe that these changes have enabled us to expedite the settlement process, reduce certain loss adjustment expenses, and provide a more refined set of data to be used in our loss reserve analysis.

***Operating Expenses***

Operating expenses include SGA costs, advertising and interest expense. These expenses include the amortization of policy acquisition and maintenance costs that are net of ceding commissions, costs associated with generating ancillary income and other revenues and corporate overhead. Our business model emphasizes the use of





## **Table of Contents**

our largely fixed cost neighborhood sales offices staffed by company employees, which results in only marginal increases in operating expenses as we increase our premiums and other revenues.

*Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005.* Operating expenses increased 17.8% to \$167.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$141.9 million in 2005. In an effort to generate additional revenues, we increased our advertising expenses by \$9.8 million, with approximately one-half of this increase attributable to our expansion states. Non-advertising expenses in our expansion states of Texas, Missouri, and Virginia increased \$2.4 million due to the additional number of offices being in operation, on average throughout the year. In an effort to rein in these costs, we closed 13 underperforming offices in Texas during the fourth quarter of 2006.

Interest expense increased \$3.7 million, due to a higher interest rate environment and the \$41.2 million junior subordinated debentures that were issued late in the third quarter of 2005. Reinsurance commissions received by the Company, which are a component of our net SGA costs, decreased \$4.7 million in 2006 due to the reduced level of premiums ceded to reinsurers. Non-recurring expenses relating to litigation settlements and accruals amounted to \$2.7 million in 2006. Finally, non-recurring expenses related to the pending merger transaction with Elara Holdings, Inc., amounted to \$1.8 million in 2006.

*Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004.* Operating expenses increased 24.5% to \$141.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 from \$114.0 million in 2004. The largest component of this increase related to ceded reinsurance commissions. The Company receives reinsurance commissions on the premiums ceded to its reinsurers, which represent the reinsurers reimbursement of underwriting expenses associated with the business they assume. These reinsurance commissions, which are included as a component of our net SGA costs, decreased to \$6.9 million in 2005 from \$15.4 million in 2004 as we continued to reduce the level of premiums ceded to reinsurers. Gross operating expenses, which exclude the effect of ceded reinsurance commissions, increased \$19.4 million or 15.0% during 2005. Approximately \$8.7 million of this increase was attributable to our expansion states, including approximately \$6.3 million in Texas and approximately \$2.4 million of costs related to our sales office development in Missouri and Virginia. The primary drivers of the remaining expense increases included a \$2.8 million increase in interest expense, generally caused by the interest on the new junior subordinated debentures and the higher interest rate environment; a \$2.2 million increase in advertising costs in our core states; a \$1.0 million increase in professional fees, primarily legal fees, and an overall increase in general corporate expenses.

Advertising costs in total increased to \$13.2 million in 2005 from \$8.5 million in 2004, with approximately \$2.5 million of this increase related to our expansion states where there was minimal advertising in the prior year. The remainder of the increase related to our marketing initiatives in our existing states including an increase in costs related to more targeted television media buys, an upgrade in the quality of the ads, and the outsourcing of certain creative components.

### *Income Taxes*

We file a consolidated federal income tax return that includes all of our subsidiaries other than our two life insurance subsidiaries, which file separate federal income tax returns. The statutory rate used in calculating our tax provision was 35% and our effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were 37.8%, 37.5%, and 36.6%, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

Income tax expense differed from the amounts computed at the statutory rate as demonstrated in the following table:

|                                           | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                           | <b>2006</b>                    | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                                           | <b>(\$ in millions)</b>        |             |             |
| Income before income taxes                | \$ 45.0                        | \$ 62.4     | \$ 85.1     |
| Provision for taxes at the statutory rate | \$ 15.8                        | \$ 21.8     | \$ 29.8     |
| Increase (reduction) in taxes for:        |                                |             |             |
| Tax-exempt interest income                | (0.6)                          | (0.5)       | (0.5)       |
| State income taxes                        | 1.2                            | 2.0         | 2.6         |
| Other, net                                | 0.6                            | 0.1         | (0.8)       |
| Income tax expense                        | \$ 17.0                        | \$ 23.4     | \$ 31.1     |

**Financial Condition*****Liquidity and Capital Resources******Sources and Uses of Funds***

We are organized as a holding company system with all of our operations being conducted by our wholly-owned insurance, premium finance, agency, administrative and consumer product subsidiaries. Accordingly, Direct General Corporation receives cash through loans from banks, issuance of equity securities, subsidiary dividends and other transactions. We may use the proceeds from these sources to contribute to the capital of our insurance subsidiaries and premium finance company in order to support premium growth, to repurchase our common stock, to retire our outstanding indebtedness, to pay interest, dividends, and taxes, and for other business purposes. We operate under an Intercompany Tax Allocation Agreement whereby our eligible subsidiaries compute tax provisions as if filing separate returns based on taxable income. Each subsidiary's resulting provision (or credit) will be currently payable to (or receivable from) Direct General Corporation.

Under state insurance laws, dividends, which must be paid from earned surplus, and capital distributions from our insurance companies are subject to restrictions relating to statutory surplus and earnings. Our insurance companies collectively paid dividends of \$7.2 million, \$1.7 million and \$1.0 million to Direct General Corporation in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. These dividends were generally reinvested in the capital of other insurance subsidiaries. Prior approval from state insurance regulatory authorities is generally required in order for our insurance companies to declare and pay extraordinary dividends to us. The maximum amount of dividend capacity available for payment to Direct General Corporation during 2007 by our insurance subsidiaries without seeking regulatory approval is \$19.9 million. Dividends from our premium finance subsidiary are limited by the minimum capital requirements in applicable state regulations and by covenants in our bank loan agreements, which require approval of our lenders. There are no restrictions on the payment of dividends from our agency, administrative and other non-insurance subsidiaries, other than typical state corporation law requirements to avoid insolvency. In addition, the NAIC Model Act for Risk Based Capital ( RBC ) provides formulas to determine the amount of capital that an insurance company needs to ensure that it has an acceptable expectation of not becoming financially impaired; a low RBC ratio would prevent an insurance company from paying dividends. At December 31, 2006, each of our insurance subsidiaries maintained an RBC level that is in excess of an amount that would require any corrective actions on our part.

Our operating subsidiaries' primary sources of funds are premiums received, finance income, commission and service fee income, investment income, borrowings under credit facilities and proceeds from the sale and redemption of investments. Funds are used to pay claims and operating expenses, to pay interest and principal repayments under the terms of our indebtedness for borrowed money, to purchase investments and to pay dividends to Direct General Corporation. We had positive cash flow from operations of approximately \$58.4 million in 2006, \$61.1 million in 2005 and \$67.0 million in 2004. We expect our cash flows to be positive in both the short-term and reasonably

foreseeable future.

## **Table of Contents**

### *Financing and Capital*

*Capital Raising Secondary Offering.* In March 2004, we completed a secondary offering whereby selling shareholders sold 3,314,015 shares of common stock. As a result of the exercise of the over-allotment option by the underwriters of the secondary offering, we issued and sold an additional 497,102 common shares in April 2004, which resulted in net proceeds to us (after deducting issuance costs) of approximately \$16.0 million. During 2004, we used approximately \$11.5 million of the proceeds to increase our investment in our insurance subsidiaries. The remaining proceeds have not been permanently deployed to date and generally have been used to reduce the amount outstanding under the premium finance revolving credit facility described below.

*Common Stock Repurchase.* During 2005, the Company repurchased 2,157,871 shares of its outstanding common stock for an aggregate price of approximately \$40.1 million. However, no common stock repurchases were made in 2006.

*Capital Raising Trust Preferred Securities.* In September 2005, Direct General Statutory Trust I ( DGST I ), a wholly owned unconsolidated subsidiary trust of the Company, issued 40,000 shares of preferred securities at \$1,000 per share to outside investors and 1,238 shares of common securities to the Company, also at \$1,000 per share. The sole assets of DGST I are \$41.2 million of junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company. The debentures will mature on September 15, 2035 and are redeemable by the Company in whole or in part beginning on September 15, 2010, at which time the preferred securities are callable. The debentures pay a fixed rate of 7.915% until September 15, 2010, after which the rate becomes variable.

The obligations of the Company under the junior subordinated debentures represent full and unconditional guarantees by the Company of DGST I's obligations for the preferred securities. Dividends on the preferred securities are cumulative, payable quarterly in arrears and are deferrable at the Company's option for up to five years. The dividends on these securities are the same as the interest on the debentures. The Company cannot pay dividends on its common stock during such deferments.

Approximately \$20.0 million of the proceeds from the issuance of the trust preferred securities were used for the stock repurchase program. The remainder, which was used to temporarily reduce the amount outstanding under the premium finance revolving credit facility, will ultimately be used for general corporate purposes including, but not limited to, pursuit of the Company's growth and operating strategy, stock repurchases and/or other general corporate purposes.

*Premium Finance Revolving Credit Facility.* Our premium finance operations are supported by a revolving credit agreement with a group of banks. The size of the facility was \$180.0 million, of which \$143.0 million was outstanding at December 31, 2006, and it has a maturity of June 30, 2009. We would expect to continue the practice of periodically increasing the size of the facility to support the growth in premiums, however, there is the risk that our attempt to increase this revolving credit line facility would not be successful, in which case we would be forced to seek alternative methods of financing premiums. The loan is principally secured by the premium finance receivables.

Our premium finance subsidiaries utilize the revolving credit facility by drawing on the facility at the end of every month to settle amounts due to the insurance companies. As payments from our customers are received, the revolving credit facility is reduced over the course of the month. This cycle repeats itself monthly, with historically, some seasonal fluctuation on total amounts drawn at the end of each month.

Amounts outstanding under this facility were \$143.0 million and \$150.0 million as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The average balances outstanding under this facility were \$109.50 million in 2006 and \$112.8 million in 2005. Interest on the facility is payable quarterly. The weighted average interest rate in effect was 7.0% in 2006 and 5.2% in 2005. The loan is principally secured by our finance receivables of our premium finance subsidiaries and is guaranteed by Direct General Corporation. See Note 6 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in this report for further discussion regarding our current outstanding debt.

**Table of Contents**

In an effort to manage our interest rate risk related to our premium finance revolving credit agreement, effective November 1, 2001, we purchased a derivative instrument known as a zero-cost collar from JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA. This derivative instrument requires monthly settlements whereby we pay the difference to the extent the then current 30-day LIBOR rate is below the floor of 5.05% on \$25 million and receive the excess of the 30-day LIBOR rate over the cap of 5.05% on \$50 million. This derivative instrument was designed to reduce our exposure to changes in LIBOR under our bank loan facilities. Payments associated with the floor were \$0.4 million and \$0.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and were reported in the income statement as interest expense. This derivative instrument terminated on November 1, 2005.

Effective August 1, 2005, the Company entered into an interest rate swap under which the Company pays a fixed rate of 4.255% and receives 1 month Libor. This swap qualifies as a hedge under SFAS 133, and therefore the fair market value of this derivative instrument is reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity on an after-tax basis. The pre-tax fair value of this derivative was an unrealized gain of \$1.1 million at December 31, 2006, substantially the same as December 31, 2005. Payments associated with the swap were net receipts of \$0.4 million and net payments of \$0.1 million in the year end December 31, 2006 and 2005 respectively. These amounts were reported in the income statement as interest expense. See Note 12 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in this report for further discussion on these derivative instruments.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, there were no repurchases of common stock, and the Company received \$0.6 million from the exercise of employee stock options. During 2006, we had net payments under our premium finance revolving credit facility of \$7.0 million and net proceeds of \$1.4 million of principal associated with other notes and capital lease obligations. Interest paid on outstanding indebtedness totaled \$8.5 million and dividends paid on common stock were \$3.4 million for the year.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, we received net proceeds of \$40.0 million from the issuance of the trust preferred securities. Repurchases of common stock totaled \$40.1 million and the Company received \$0.6 million from the exercise of employee stock options. During 2005, we had net proceeds under our premium finance revolving credit facility of \$14.8 million and net proceeds of \$1.4 million of principal associated with other notes and capital lease obligations. Interest paid on outstanding indebtedness totaled \$8.5 million and dividends paid on common stock were \$3.4 million for the year.

For the year ended December 31, 2004, we received net proceeds from the issuance of common stock of \$17.0 million, which included the \$16.0 million from the secondary offering and \$1.0 million from the exercise of employee stock options. During 2004, we made net payments under our premium finance revolving credit facility of \$12.8 million and repaid \$1.3 million of principal associated with other notes and capital lease obligations. Interest paid on outstanding indebtedness totaled \$5.5 million and dividends paid on common stock were \$3.5 million for the year.

*Contractual Obligations.* The following table summarizes estimated payments under our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2006.

|                                           | Total    | Payments due by Period |                                  |              |                         |
|-------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
|                                           |          | Less than<br>1 Year    | 1-3<br>Years<br>(\$ in millions) | 3-5<br>Years | More<br>than<br>5 Years |
| Premium finance revolving credit facility | \$ 159.2 | \$ 10.8                | \$ 148.4                         | \$           | \$                      |
| Other notes payable                       | 2.3      | 1.7                    | 0.6                              |              |                         |
| Debentures payable                        | 143.3    | 3.3                    | 6.5                              | 7.0          | 126.5                   |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves | 136.7    | 120.0                  | 14.7                             | 1.3          | 0.7                     |
| Capital leases obligations                | 1.5      | 1.2                    | 0.3                              |              |                         |
| Operating leases obligations              | 23.6     | 10.1                   | 10.7                             | 2.8          |                         |
| Total                                     | \$ 466.6 | \$ 147.1               | \$ 181.2                         | \$ 11.1      | \$ 127.2                |

The obligations under the premium finance revolving credit facility, other notes payable, and debentures payable include both principle and related interest. The estimated loss and loss adjustment expense payments under policies of insurance represents the estimated payout of our loss and loss adjustment expense reserve liabilities.

**Table of Contents**

These amounts are presented gross of reinsurance ceded because we remain contractually obligated to our policyholders in the event that our reinsurers do not meet their obligations. The timing and amount of these estimated payments may vary significantly from the above estimates.

*Reinsurance*

We cede premiums and losses to unaffiliated insurance companies under quota share, excess of loss and catastrophe reinsurance agreements. We evaluate the financial condition of our reinsurers and monitor various credit risks to minimize our exposure to losses from reinsurer insolvencies. However, we remain obligated for amounts ceded in the event that the reinsurers do not meet their obligations. The failure of one of our reinsurers to pay could have an adverse effect on our capital and our financial condition and results of operations. In order to mitigate this risk, our reinsurance program is designed to reduce our exposures to unsecured reinsurance recoverables by settling reinsurance premiums on an earned basis versus a written basis, requiring our reinsurers to fund a trust account in the event of a rating downgrade by A.M. Best, and limiting the maximum participation by any one reinsurer.

As of December 31, 2006, the amount of unsecured reinsurance recoverables was \$6.0 million or 2.3% of shareholders' equity as compared to \$19.4 million or 8.2% of shareholders' equity at December 31, 2005. All unsecured recoverables are with reinsurers with ratings of at least A (Excellent) by A.M. Best.

*Investments*

We had total debt securities, cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$494.5 million as of December 31, 2006. The following table summarizes our debt securities, cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments as of the dates indicated.

|                                     | <b>Amortized<br/>Cost</b> | <b>Fair<br/>Value<br/>(\$ in millions)</b> | <b>% of<br/>Total<br/>at Fair<br/>Value</b> |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| <b>December 31, 2006</b>            |                           |                                            |                                             |
| Debt securities, available for sale | \$ 420.9                  | \$ 415.4                                   | 84.0%                                       |
| Cash and cash equivalents           | 74.8                      | 74.8                                       | 15.1%                                       |
| Short-term and other investments    | 4.3                       | 4.3                                        | 0.9%                                        |
| <b>Total</b>                        | <b>\$ 500.0</b>           | <b>\$ 494.5</b>                            | <b>100.0%</b>                               |
| <b>December 31, 2005</b>            |                           |                                            |                                             |
| Debt securities, available for sale | \$ 395.2                  | \$ 388.0                                   | 85.1%                                       |
| Cash and cash equivalents           | 64.5                      | 64.5                                       | 14.1%                                       |
| Short-term and other investments    | 3.7                       | 3.7                                        | 0.8%                                        |
| <b>Total</b>                        | <b>\$ 463.4</b>           | <b>\$ 456.2</b>                            | <b>100.0%</b>                               |

*Investment Strategy.* We believe that our investment portfolio is highly liquid and consists substantially of readily marketable, investment-grade debt securities. We currently do not invest in equity securities or securities with exposure to foreign currency risk. Bank One Investment Advisors and U.S. Bancorp Asset Management provide our investment portfolio management advisory services. These advisors operate under investment guidelines approved by our investment committee. These guidelines emphasize:

preservation of capital with a focus on total return;

achieving the highest risk adjusted after tax return; and

diversification in order to reduce risk.

Our investment strategy recognizes our need to maintain capital adequate to support our insurance operations. Our investment objectives also include maximizing the benefit from premium tax credits. Certain of the states in which we do business provide relief from premium taxes to the extent we maintain qualifying investments in those states and their municipalities. As a result, historically a significant amount of debt securities in our



**Table of Contents**

portfolio were comprised of tax-exempt obligations of the states of Louisiana, Mississippi and Tennessee, in order to maximize these premium tax credits.

Our investment guidelines also permit us to invest up to 2% of the fair value of our investment portfolio in alternative investments, including commodities. Within those guidelines, we invested \$2.0 million in a managed trading account with a commodities trading company. Net realized gains from the trading activities have continually been reinvested and the fair value of this account has increased to \$5.4 million as of December 31, 2006. This investment represents approximately 1% of our entire investment portfolio. Generally, only 15% of the account balance is invested in commodities and related investments and the remaining portion of the account balance is held in cash, cash equivalents and U.S. Treasury obligations. Because this is a margin account, the ultimate losses generated by this investment may greatly exceed the portion of the account balance so invested. However, we reduce the risk of margin call by limiting our investment to 15% of the account balance. In addition, we closely monitor the performance of the account in an effort to reduce the risk of losses. During 2006, we realized gross gains of \$0.8 million and gross losses of \$0.6 million on closed contracts in our trading portfolio. Since the trading portfolio, which primarily consists of futures contracts, swaps, and other derivative instruments, represents a speculative investment and does not represent a hedge, all open contracts are marked to market with the change in market values included in net realized gains (losses) on securities and other in our consolidated statement of operations. The net unrealized gain (loss) on open contracts decreased to a net gain of \$0.1 million from a net gain of \$0.2 million in 2005. This net gain was comprised of gross unrealized gains of \$0.3 million and gross unrealized losses of \$0.2 million. For the year ended December 31, 2006, net realized (losses) gains on securities and other included a net loss of \$0.1 million related to the decrease in the market value of open contracts.

*Debt Securities.* Our investment portfolio consists primarily of debt securities, all of which are classified as available for sale and are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported in our financial statements as a separate component of shareholders' equity on an after-tax basis. As of December 31, 2006, the net unrealized loss on the fixed maturity portfolio was \$5.5 million, comprised of gross unrealized gains of \$1.6 million and gross unrealized losses of \$7.1 million. At December 31, 2005, the net unrealized loss on the fixed maturity portfolio was \$7.2 million, comprised of gross unrealized gains of \$0.7 million and gross unrealized losses of \$7.9 million. The decrease in net unrealized losses during 2006 was generally attributable to changes in the interest rate environment and not due to deterioration of the credit quality of the portfolio.

We realized a net pretax gain on the sale of securities of \$0.3 million in 2006. Comparatively, we realized pretax net losses of \$0.9 million in 2005. Most of these sales occur when we reposition our portfolio for changes in market conditions, to reallocate the portfolio among various asset classes and other factors. The weighted average book yield of the portfolio was 4.6% for 2006, 4.1% for 2005 and 3.9% for 2004. The weighted average life of our investment portfolio was 4.4 years at both December 31, 2006 and 2005.

We monitor our investment results by comparing the total return on our portfolio of debt securities to the total return for a customized benchmark based on a blend of Lehman indices. Total return is comprised of interest income and the change in the fair value of the securities. Because we have a portion of our portfolio invested in the municipal obligations of Tennessee, Louisiana, and Mississippi in an effort to maximize the premium tax credits available to us, we separately evaluate the total return of our taxable and tax-exempt securities by comparing them to customized benchmarks for each investment class. During 2006, our portfolio yield lagged the customized benchmark primarily as a result of holding higher quality and shorter duration securities than the index. The total return on our portfolio of debt securities was 5.0% in 2006, 4.1% in 2005, and 3.7% in 2004.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the composition by our internal industry classification of the amortized cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and fair value of debt securities in our investment portfolio as of the dates indicated.

| <b>December 31, 2006</b>                                                              | <b>Amortized</b> | <b>Gross<br/>Unrealized</b> | <b>Gross Unrealized<br/>Losses</b>     |                                       | <b>Fair</b>  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
|                                                                                       |                  |                             | <b>Less<br/>than<br/>12<br/>months</b> | <b>Greater<br/>than<br/>12 months</b> |              |
| (\$ in millions)                                                                      | <b>Cost</b>      | <b>Gains</b>                |                                        |                                       | <b>Value</b> |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies | \$ 87.6          | \$ 0.5                      | \$0.3                                  | \$ 1.1                                | \$ 86.7      |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions                                      | 72.2             | 0.4                         |                                        | 0.6                                   | 72.0         |
| Corporate debt securities                                                             |                  |                             |                                        |                                       |              |
| Banks and financial institutions                                                      | 106.2            | 0.3                         | 0.1                                    | 2.0                                   | 104.4        |
| Credit cards and auto loans                                                           | 74.7             | 0.2                         |                                        | 1.1                                   | 73.8         |
| Industrial                                                                            | 38.8             | 0.1                         |                                        | 0.9                                   | 38.0         |
| Telecommunications                                                                    | 17.1             | 0.1                         |                                        | 0.4                                   | 16.8         |
| Utilities and Electric Services                                                       | 14.7             |                             |                                        | 0.4                                   | 14.3         |
| Insurance                                                                             | 9.7              |                             |                                        | 0.3                                   | 9.4          |
| Corporate debt securities                                                             | 261.2            | 0.7                         | 0.1                                    | 4.9                                   | 256.7        |
| Total                                                                                 | \$421.0          | \$ 1.6                      | \$0.4                                  | \$ 6.6                                | \$415.4      |

| <b>December 31, 2005</b>                                                              | <b>Amortized</b> | <b>Gross<br/>Unrealized</b> | <b>Gross Unrealized<br/>Losses</b>     |                                       | <b>Fair</b>  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
|                                                                                       |                  |                             | <b>Less<br/>than<br/>12<br/>months</b> | <b>Greater<br/>than<br/>12 months</b> |              |
| (\$ in millions)                                                                      | <b>Cost</b>      | <b>Gains</b>                |                                        |                                       | <b>Value</b> |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies | \$ 67.8          | \$ 0.1                      | \$0.6                                  | \$ 0.8                                | \$ 66.5      |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions                                      | 70.2             | 0.4                         | 0.4                                    | 0.2                                   | 70.0         |
| Corporate debt securities                                                             |                  |                             |                                        |                                       |              |
| Banks and financial institutions                                                      | 96.1             | 0.1                         | 0.9                                    | 1.4                                   | 93.9         |
| Credit cards and auto loans                                                           | 81.3             |                             | 0.5                                    | 1.0                                   | 79.8         |
| Industrial                                                                            | 37.2             |                             | 0.4                                    | 0.5                                   | 36.3         |
| Telecommunications                                                                    | 16.0             | 0.1                         | 0.3                                    | 0.2                                   | 15.6         |
| Utilities and Electric Services                                                       | 17.4             |                             | 0.2                                    | 0.2                                   | 17.0         |
| Insurance                                                                             | 9.2              |                             |                                        | 0.3                                   | 8.9          |

Edgar Filing: DIRECT GENERAL CORP - Form 10-K

|                           |         |        |       |        |         |
|---------------------------|---------|--------|-------|--------|---------|
| Corporate debt securities | 257.2   | 0.2    | 2.3   | 3.6    | 251.5   |
| Total                     | \$395.2 | \$ 0.7 | \$3.3 | \$ 4.6 | \$388.0 |

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities in our investment portfolio as of December 31, 2006, by contractual maturity, is shown below.

|                        | <b>Amortized<br/>Cost</b> | <b>Fair Value</b> |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
|                        | <b>(\$ in millions)</b>   |                   |
| Years to maturity:     |                           |                   |
| One or less            | \$ 16.3                   | \$ 16.1           |
| After one through five | 183.2                     | 180.2             |
| After five through ten | 133.6                     | 132.4             |
| After ten              | 87.9                      | 86.7              |
| Total                  | \$ 421.0                  | \$ 415.4          |

**Table of Contents**

The Securities Valuation Office of the NAIC evaluates the bond investments of insurers for regulatory reporting purposes and assigns securities to one of six investment categories called NAIC designations. The NAIC designations generally parallel the credit ratings of the nationally recognized statistical rating organizations for marketable bonds. NAIC designations 1 and 2 include bonds considered to be investment grade, which are those rated BBB- or higher by Standard & Poor's (S&P), a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. NAIC designations 3 through 6 include bonds considered to be below investment grade, rated BB+ or lower by S&P.

All of the debt securities in our portfolio were rated investment grade by the NAIC and S&P as of December 31, 2006. Investment grade securities generally bear lower yields and lower degrees of risk than those that are unrated or are rated non-investment grade. The quality distribution of our investment portfolio as of December 31, 2006 was as follows:

| S&P Rating       | NAIC Rating | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | % of Total at Fair Value |
|------------------|-------------|----------------|------------|--------------------------|
| (\$ in millions) |             |                |            |                          |
| AAA              | 1           | \$ 165.2       | \$ 163.5   | 39.4%                    |
| AA               | 1           | 55.6           | 55.0       | 13.2%                    |
| A                | 1           | 80.6           | 79.1       | 19.0%                    |
| BBB              | 2           | 32.0           | 31.2       | 7.5%                     |
| B                | 2           |                |            | 0.0%                     |
| Agency           | 1           | 87.6           | 86.6       | 20.9%                    |
|                  |             | \$ 421.0       | \$ 415.4   | 100.0%                   |

We evaluate the risk versus reward tradeoffs of investment opportunities, measuring their effects on the stability, diversity, overall quality and liquidity of our investment portfolio. The primary market risk exposure to our debt securities portfolio is interest rate risk, which we strive to limit by managing duration to a defined range of three to four years. Interest rate risk includes the risk from movements in the underlying market rate and in credit spreads of the respective sectors of debt securities held in our portfolio. The fair value of our fixed maturity portfolio is directly impacted by changes in market interest rates.

As of December 31, 2006, the impact of an immediate 100 basis point increase in market interest rates on our debt securities portfolio would have resulted in an estimated decrease in fair value of 4.4%, or approximately \$16.1 million. As of the same date, the impact of an immediate 100 basis point decrease in market interest rates on our debt securities portfolio would have resulted in an estimated increase in fair value of 4.4%, or approximately \$16.1 million.

An additional exposure to our debt securities portfolio is credit risk. Our ability to manage credit risk is essential to our business and our profitability. We attempt to manage our credit risk through issuer and industry diversification. Our investment committee establishes our investment guidelines and supervises our investment activity. It regularly monitors our overall investment results, reviews compliance with our investment objectives and guidelines, and ultimately reports our overall investment results to our board of directors. Our investment guidelines include limitations on the minimum rating of debt securities in our investment portfolio as well as restrictions on investments in debt securities of a single issuer.

On a quarterly basis, we examine our investment portfolio for evidence of impairment. The assessment of whether such impairment has occurred is based on management's evaluation, on an individual security basis, of the underlying reasons for the decline in fair value. In such cases, changes in fair value are discussed with our investment advisors and evaluated to determine the extent to which such changes are attributable to interest rates, market-related factors other than interest rates, as well as financial conditions, business prospects and other fundamental factors specific to the issuer. Declines attributable to issuer fundamentals are reviewed in further detail. When one of our securities has a decline in fair value that is determined to be other than temporary, we reduce the carrying value of such security to its

current fair value as required by GAAP.

Based upon our analysis, we believe that we will recover all contractual principal and interest payments related to those securities that currently reflect unrealized losses and that we have the ability and intent to hold these securities until they mature or recover in value. Should either of these beliefs change with regard to a particular

## **Table of Contents**

security, a charge for impairment would likely be required. While it is not possible to accurately predict if or when a specific security will become impaired, charges for other than temporary impairment could be material to our results of operations in a future period. Management believes it is not likely that future impairment charges will have a significant effect on our liquidity.

As of December 31, 2006, cash and investments carried at fair value totaling approximately \$16.0 million were on deposit with state insurance regulatory authorities. Additionally, investments carried at fair value totaling approximately \$3.5 million had been pledged to an unaffiliated insurer to secure our assumed reinsurance obligations.

*Cash and Cash Equivalents.* Our balance in cash and cash equivalents was \$74.8 million and \$64.5 million as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. At December 31, 2006, cash and cash equivalents totaling approximately \$9.0 million were held in reinsurance security trust accounts for the benefit of certain unaffiliated insurers in order to secure our assumed reinsurance obligations.

*Short-Term Investments.* Our short-term investments primarily consist of investments in commercial paper, other interest-bearing time deposits with original maturities from three months to one year and our managed commodities trading account.

## **Forward-Looking Statements**

Some of the statements under the captions Risk Factors , Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations , Business and elsewhere in this report constitute forward-looking statements. You can identify these statements from our use of the words may , should , could , potential , continue , plan , f estimate , project , believe , intend , anticipate , expect , target , is likely , will , or the negative of these ter expressions. These statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements may include, among other things:

statements and assumptions relating to future growth, earnings, earnings per share and other financial performance measures, as well as management s short-term and long-term performance goals;

statements relating to the anticipated effects on results of operations or financial condition from recent and expected developments or events;

statements relating to our business and growth strategies; and

any other statements or assumptions that are not historical facts.

We believe that our expectations are based on reasonable assumptions. However, these forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to differ materially from our expectations of future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. In addition, our past results of operations do not necessarily indicate our future results. We discuss these and other uncertainties in the Risk Factors and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations sections of this report.

You should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. These statements speak only as of the date of this report. Except as otherwise required by applicable laws, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements or the risk factors described in this report, whether as a result of new information, future events, changed circumstances or any other reason after the date of this report.

## **Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

Please see the discussion under the caption Financial Condition Liquidity and Capital Resources in Part II, Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this report for our quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.**

**MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. The Company's internal control structure was designed to provide reasonable assurance that the Company's transactions have been accurately recorded to permit the preparation of its financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company's management carried out an evaluation, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. The framework on which such evaluation was based is contained in the report entitled "Internal Control - Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the "COSO Report"). Based upon the evaluation described above under the framework contained in the "COSO Report", the Company's management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2006.

Ernst & Young LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm has audited the financial statements presented in this Form 10-K. Ernst & Young LLP has also audited the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and management's assessment of that effectiveness as of December 31, 2006, as stated on their report included on the following page of this Form 10-K.

Direct General Corporation

March 12, 2007

**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM ON  
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

Board of Directors

Direct General Corporation and Subsidiaries

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Direct General Corporation and Subsidiaries maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Direct General Corporation and Subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Direct General Corporation and Subsidiaries maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO criteria. Also, in our opinion, Direct General Corporation and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Direct General Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, and our report dated March 14, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Nashville, Tennessee

March 14, 2007



**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

Board of Directors

Direct General Corporation and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Direct General Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Direct General Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2005 and statement of shareholders' equity as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 have been restated for an adjustment for unearned policy fees.

Also as discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2006, Direct General Corporation and subsidiaries changed its accounting for stock-based compensation in connection with the adoption of Statement of Financial Standards No. 123R, Share-Based Payments.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Direct General Corporation and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 14, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Nashville, Tennessee

March 14, 2007

Table of Contents

**DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

|                                                     | For the Years Ended December 31,         |            |            |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------|------------|
|                                                     | 2006                                     | 2005       | 2004       |
|                                                     | (In thousands, except per share amounts) |            |            |
| <b>Revenues</b>                                     |                                          |            |            |
| Premiums earned                                     | \$ 424,777                               | \$ 404,099 | \$ 372,506 |
| Finance income                                      | 43,856                                   | 44,401     | 49,190     |
| Commission and service fee income                   | 45,609                                   | 46,777     | 48,630     |
| Net investment income                               | 18,990                                   | 14,704     | 10,808     |
| Net realized (losses) gains on securities and other | (304)                                    | 71         | (43)       |
| Total revenues                                      | 532,928                                  | 510,052    | 481,091    |
| <b>Expenses</b>                                     |                                          |            |            |
| Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses       | 320,834                                  | 305,755    | 281,969    |
| Selling, general and administrative costs           | 155,093                                  | 133,588    | 108,532    |
| Interest expense                                    | 11,981                                   | 8,300      | 5,484      |
| Total expenses                                      | 487,908                                  | 447,643    | 395,985    |
| Income before income taxes                          | 45,020                                   | 62,409     | 85,106     |
| Income tax expense                                  | 17,019                                   | 23,398     | 31,121     |
| Net income                                          | \$ 28,001                                | \$ 39,011  | \$ 53,985  |
| <b>Earnings per Share</b>                           |                                          |            |            |
| Basic earnings per common share                     | \$ 1.38                                  | \$ 1.83    | \$ 2.44    |
| Diluted earnings per common share                   | \$ 1.37                                  | \$ 1.82    | \$ 2.38    |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

|                                                                                                                                       | <b>As of December 31,<br/>2005<br/>(Restated<br/>See Note 1)<br/>2006<br/>(In thousands)</b> |            |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| <b>Assets</b>                                                                                                                         |                                                                                              |            |
| Investments:                                                                                                                          |                                                                                              |            |
| Debt securities available-for-sale at fair value (amortized cost \$420,946 and \$395,159 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively) | \$ 415,443                                                                                   | \$ 388,032 |
| Short-term investments and other invested assets                                                                                      | 4,267                                                                                        | 3,688      |
| Total investments                                                                                                                     | 419,710                                                                                      | 391,720    |
| Cash and cash equivalents                                                                                                             | 74,753                                                                                       | 64,527     |
| Finance receivables, net                                                                                                              | 225,227                                                                                      | 214,796    |
| Reinsurance balances receivable                                                                                                       | 10,150                                                                                       | 27,083     |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums                                                                                                          | 116                                                                                          | 24,440     |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs                                                                                                     | 21,258                                                                                       | 13,804     |
| Income taxes recoverable                                                                                                              | 1,873                                                                                        | 4,692      |
| Deferred income taxes                                                                                                                 | 22,616                                                                                       | 22,820     |
| Property and equipment, net                                                                                                           | 15,720                                                                                       | 18,346     |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets, net                                                                                             | 31,621                                                                                       | 31,621     |
| Other assets                                                                                                                          | 27,919                                                                                       | 28,068     |
| Total assets                                                                                                                          | \$ 850,963                                                                                   | \$ 841,917 |
| <b>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>                                                                                           |                                                                                              |            |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves                                                                                             | \$ 136,764                                                                                   | \$ 131,408 |
| Unearned premiums                                                                                                                     | 226,746                                                                                      | 217,300    |
| Reinsurance balances payable and funds held                                                                                           | 7,632                                                                                        | 32,024     |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses                                                                                                 | 14,715                                                                                       | 12,550     |
| Notes payable                                                                                                                         | 145,272                                                                                      | 153,009    |
| Debentures payable                                                                                                                    | 41,238                                                                                       | 41,238     |
| Capital lease obligations                                                                                                             | 1,430                                                                                        | 2,636      |
| Payable for securities                                                                                                                |                                                                                              | 3,187      |
| Other liabilities                                                                                                                     | 14,134                                                                                       | 12,713     |
| Total liabilities                                                                                                                     | 587,931                                                                                      | 606,065    |
| Shareholders' equity                                                                                                                  |                                                                                              |            |
| Common stock, no par; authorized shares 100,000.0; issued shares 20,347.7 and 20,339.2 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively    | 71,008                                                                                       | 69,700     |
| Retained earnings                                                                                                                     | 194,845                                                                                      | 170,100    |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income:                                                                                        |                                                                                              |            |
| Net unrealized depreciation on investment securities                                                                                  | (3,577)                                                                                      | (4,633)    |
| Net gain on cash flow hedge                                                                                                           | 756                                                                                          | 685        |

|                                            |            |            |
|--------------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Total shareholders' equity                 | 263,032    | 235,852    |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 850,963 | \$ 841,917 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

71

---

Table of Contents

**DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY**

**For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004**

|                                                                                               | <b>Common</b> | <b>Retained</b> | <b>Accumulated<br/>Other<br/>Comprehensive<br/>Income<br/>(Loss)<br/>(In thousands)</b> | <b>Total<br/>Shareholders'<br/>Equity</b> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|                                                                                               | <b>Stock</b>  | <b>Earnings</b> |                                                                                         |                                           |
| Balances at December 31, 2003 as previously reported                                          | \$ 91,853     | \$ 85,735       | \$ (193)                                                                                | \$ 177,395                                |
| Correction of prior period error                                                              |               | (1,680)         |                                                                                         | (1,680)                                   |
| Balances at January 1, 2004, as adjusted                                                      | 91,853        | 84,055          | (193)                                                                                   | 175,715                                   |
| Comprehensive income:                                                                         |               |                 |                                                                                         |                                           |
| Net income                                                                                    |               | 53,985          |                                                                                         | 53,985                                    |
| Net unrealized depreciation on securities available-for-sale, net of deferred taxes           |               |                 | (665)                                                                                   | (665)                                     |
| Net change during the year related to cash flow hedge                                         |               |                 | 598                                                                                     | 598                                       |
| Total comprehensive income                                                                    |               |                 |                                                                                         | 53,918                                    |
| Dividends on common stock                                                                     |               | (3,542)         |                                                                                         | (3,542)                                   |
| Common stock issued pursuant to secondary offering, net of \$246.6 issue costs (497.1 shares) | 15,971        |                 |                                                                                         | 15,971                                    |
| Compensatory stock options                                                                    | 279           |                 |                                                                                         | 279                                       |
| Exercise of stock options (512.3 shares)                                                      | 1,060         |                 |                                                                                         | 1,060                                     |
| Balances at December 31, 2004 - restated                                                      | 109,163       | 134,498         | (260)                                                                                   | 243,401                                   |
| Comprehensive income:                                                                         |               |                 |                                                                                         |                                           |
| Net income                                                                                    |               | 39,011          |                                                                                         | 39,011                                    |
| Net unrealized depreciation on securities available-for-sale, net of deferred taxes           |               |                 | (4,663)                                                                                 | (4,663)                                   |
| Net change during the year related to cash flow hedge                                         |               |                 | 975                                                                                     | 975                                       |
| Total comprehensive income                                                                    |               |                 |                                                                                         | 35,323                                    |
| Dividends on common stock                                                                     |               | (3,409)         |                                                                                         | (3,409)                                   |
| Common stock repurchase (2,157.9 shares)                                                      | (40,075)      |                 |                                                                                         | (40,075)                                  |
| Compensatory stock options                                                                    | 55            |                 |                                                                                         | 55                                        |
| Exercise of stock options (137.0 shares)                                                      | 557           |                 |                                                                                         | 557                                       |
| Balances at December 31, 2005 - restated                                                      | 69,700        | 170,100         | (3,948)                                                                                 | 235,852                                   |
| Comprehensive income:                                                                         |               |                 |                                                                                         |                                           |
| Net income                                                                                    |               | 28,001          |                                                                                         | 28,001                                    |

Edgar Filing: DIRECT GENERAL CORP - Form 10-K

|                                                                                        |           |            |            |    |         |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|----|---------|
| Net unrealized depreciation on securities<br>available-for-sale, net of deferred taxes |           |            | 1,056      |    | 1,056   |
| Net change during the year related to cash flow<br>hedge                               |           |            | 71         |    | 71      |
| Total comprehensive income                                                             |           |            |            |    | 29,128  |
| Dividends on common stock                                                              |           | (3,256)    |            |    | (3,256) |
| Compensatory stock options                                                             | 1,285     |            |            |    | 1,285   |
| Exercise of stock options (8.5 shares)                                                 | 23        |            |            |    | 23      |
| Balances at December 31, 2006                                                          | \$ 71,008 | \$ 194,845 | \$ (2,821) | \$ | 263,032 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

72

**Table of Contents****DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

|                                                                                   | <b>For the Years Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                                                                   | <b>2006</b>                             | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                                                                                   | <b>(In thousands)</b>                   |             |             |
| <b>Operating activities</b>                                                       |                                         |             |             |
| Net income                                                                        | \$ 28,001                               | \$ 39,011   | \$ 53,985   |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |                                         |             |             |
| Net realized losses (gains) on securities and other                               | 304                                     | (71)        | 43          |
| Depreciation and amortization                                                     | 8,757                                   | 9,456       | 5,809       |
| Deferred income taxes                                                             | (402)                                   | 111         | (1,466)     |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities:                                      |                                         |             |             |
| Finance receivables, net                                                          | (10,431)                                | (616)       | (12,909)    |
| Reinsurance balances receivable                                                   | 16,933                                  | 8,466       | 21,801      |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums                                                      | 24,324                                  | 5,104       | 26,853      |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs                                                 | (7,454)                                 | (1,138)     | (1,234)     |
| Income taxes recoverable/payable                                                  | 2,819                                   | 2,760       | (9,546)     |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves                                         | 5,356                                   | 6,550       | 12,240      |
| Unearned premiums                                                                 | 9,446                                   | (8,588)     | 10,053      |
| Reinsurance balances payable and funds held                                       | (24,392)                                | (2,287)     | (28,227)    |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses                                             | 2,165                                   | 599         | (1,204)     |
| Other                                                                             | 2,964                                   | 1,771       | (9,221)     |
| Net cash provided by operating activities                                         | 58,390                                  | 61,128      | 66,917      |
| <b>Investing activities</b>                                                       |                                         |             |             |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of debt securities available-for-sale          | 76,071                                  | 81,141      | 117,194     |
| Purchase of debt securities available-for-sale                                    | (104,076)                               | (140,337)   | (185,100)   |
| Payable for securities                                                            | (3,187)                                 | 2,677       | 510         |
| Net (purchases) sales of short-term investments                                   | (475)                                   | 426         | (341)       |
| Purchase of common stock in trust                                                 |                                         | (1,238)     |             |
| Purchase of property and equipment, net                                           | (4,322)                                 | (8,879)     | (7,330)     |
| Purchase of insurance agency assets                                               |                                         | (5,560)     | (82,716)    |
| Purchase of insurance companies                                                   |                                         | (10,432)    | (7,330)     |
| Net cash used in investing activities                                             | (35,989)                                | (82,202)    | (82,716)    |
| <b>Financing activities</b>                                                       |                                         |             |             |
| Repurchase of common stock                                                        |                                         | (40,075)    |             |
| Issuances of common stock                                                         | 23                                      | 612         | 17,031      |
| Net proceeds from (payments on) borrowings                                        | (5,307)                                 | 18,258      | (12,511)    |
| Proceeds from debentures issued                                                   |                                         | 41,238      |             |
| Payment of principal on borrowing                                                 | (3,635)                                 | (2,011)     | (1,593)     |
| Payment of dividends on common stock                                              | (3,256)                                 | (3,409)     | (3,542)     |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities                               | (12,175)                                | 14,613      | (615)       |

|                                                      |           |           |           |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 10,226    | (6,461)   | (16,354)  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period     | 64,527    | 70,988    | 87,342    |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period           | \$ 74,753 | \$ 64,527 | \$ 70,988 |

**Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information**

Cash paid during the year for:

|              |           |          |          |
|--------------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Interest     | \$ 11,388 | \$ 8,451 | \$ 5,484 |
| Income taxes | 14,786    | 20,527   | 42,507   |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents**

**DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**1. Nature of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies**

Direct General Corporation, headquartered in Nashville, Tennessee, is a financial services holding company whose principal operating subsidiaries provide non-standard personal automobile insurance, term life insurance, premium finance and other consumer products and services primarily on a direct basis and primarily in the southeastern United States. Direct General Corporation owns five property/casualty insurance companies, two life/health insurance companies, two premium finance companies, twelve insurance agencies, two administrative service companies and one company that provides non-insurance consumer products and services. Direct General Corporation and its subsidiaries are sometimes collectively referred to herein as the Company.

***Basis of Presentation***

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Direct General Corporation and subsidiaries (collectively referred to as the Company or Direct General). These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

***Use of Estimates***

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Such estimates and assumptions could change in the future as more information becomes known that could impact the amounts reported and disclosed herein.

***Premiums Earned and Unearned Premiums***

Property and casualty insurance premiums and life insurance premiums are earned pro rata over the terms of the respective policies. The reserve for unearned premiums is determined on a daily pro rata basis.

***Insurance Losses and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves***

Insurance losses and loss adjustment expense reserves represent the estimated ultimate net cost of all unpaid reported and unreported losses incurred through December 31. The loss and loss adjustment expense reserves are estimated on an undiscounted basis, using individual case-basis valuations and statistical analyses. Those estimates, which are reported net of anticipated salvage and subrogation, are subject to the effects of trends in loss severity and frequency. Although considerable variability is inherent in such estimates, management believes the loss and loss adjustment expense reserves are adequate. The estimates are continually reviewed and adjusted as necessary as experience develops or new information becomes known; such adjustments are included in current operations.

***Reinsurance***

Reinsurance premiums, losses and loss adjustment expenses are accounted for on bases consistent with those used in accounting for the original policies issued and the terms of the reinsurance contracts. Ceding commissions are recognized net of a provision for return commissions on anticipated cancellations and the amount necessary to cover future maintenance costs.

***Finance Income and Receivables***

The Company finances the majority of the insurance policies sold under a variety of payment plans. Finance income consists of interest, installment fees, acquisition and service fees, and delinquency fees. Finance income, other than delinquency fees, is recognized using the interest (actuarial) method or similar methods that

**Table of Contents**

produce a level yield. Delinquency fees are earned when billed. Acquisition fees, net of directly related lending costs, are deferred and amortized into finance income over the remaining lives of the related loans. Upon cancellation of the underlying insurance policies, any uncollected earned interest or fees are charged off. The Company's allowance for finance receivable losses is comprised of a reserve for uncollected finance acquisition fees and a provision for the write-off of premium finance balances (primarily realized but uncollected interest and fees), which is calculated using the historical level of finance receivable losses as a percentage of outstanding balances under the premium finance agreements.

***Commission Income***

The Company's insurance agencies receive commission income on the sale of ancillary insurance products offered by non-affiliated insurance companies. Commissions are generally recognized into income when the ancillary insurance policies are issued, net of a provision for return commissions on anticipated cancellations. The commissions received are calculated as a straight percentage of premiums produced and are not contingent upon achieving volume, profitability or other financial goals.

***Service Fees***

The Company receives service fees for agency, underwriting, policy administration, and claims adjusting services performed on behalf of other insurance companies for insurance policies produced through the Company's insurance agency subsidiaries. Service fees are recorded net of the related fronting fees and premium taxes and are recognized as the services are performed, less amounts necessary to cover future processing and administrative costs.

***Investments***

The Company's entire portfolio of debt securities is classified as available-for-sale and reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of other comprehensive income, net of deferred income taxes. The Company may sell its available-for-sale securities in response to changes in interest rates, risk/reward characteristics, liquidity needs, or other economic factors.

Short-term investments, which are carried at cost, include investments in commercial paper, certificates of deposit, and other interest-bearing time deposits with original maturities of more than three months to one year. In addition, short-term investments include a trading portfolio, which consists primarily of futures contracts, swaps, and other derivative instruments. The trading portfolio represents a speculative investment and does not represent a hedge; accordingly, all contracts are marked to market with the change in market values reported as net realized gains (losses) on securities and other in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

The Company's investment portfolio is primarily exposed to interest rate risk and to a lesser extent market and credit risk. The fair value of our portfolio is directly impacted by changing interest rates, market conditions and financial conditions of the issuer. The Company examines its portfolio on at least a quarterly basis for evidence of impairment with a particular emphasis on those securities with unrealized losses that satisfy certain conditions. Some of the factors that the Company considers in evaluating a security for other than temporary impairment include the duration and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost, the reasons for the unrealized loss, including market conditions or changes in the financial condition of the issuer, and the Company's ability and intent to hold the investment until maturity, or at least until there is a recovery in fair value. If an investment becomes other than temporarily impaired, such impairment is treated as a realized loss and the investment is adjusted to fair value. Realized investment gains and losses are recognized using the specific identification method.

Cash and cash equivalents include interest-bearing time deposits with original maturities of three months or less.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs***

Policy acquisition costs include advertising, commissions, premium taxes and certain other underwriting and direct sales costs incurred in connection with writing business. These costs are deferred and are reported net of the related ceding commission received from reinsurers. Such deferred policy acquisition costs are being amortized over the effective period of the related insurance policies. Amortization charged to operations amounted to \$55,576,000, \$39,429,000 and \$33,328,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The Company considers anticipated investment income in determining the recoverability of these costs and believes they will be fully recovered over the next twelve months.

### ***Property and Equipment***

Property and equipment is recorded at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets, which are principally as follows: computer hardware and software (3-5 years), furniture and equipment (3-7 years), leasehold improvements (3-14 years based on the expected remaining life of the lease) and Company-owned buildings and related improvements (32 years). Property and equipment includes software developed and capitalized for internal use.

### ***Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets***

Goodwill represents the amount by which the cost of acquired net assets exceeds their related fair value. Other intangible assets includes the costs of specifically identifiable intangible assets, primarily licenses. In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, the carrying value of goodwill and other intangible assets is reviewed annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount might not be recoverable. If the fair value of the operations to which goodwill relates is less than the carrying amount of those operations, including unamortized goodwill, the carrying amount of goodwill is reduced accordingly with a charge to expense. Based on its most recent analysis, the Company believes that no impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets exists at December 31, 2006.

### ***Cash Flow Hedge***

The Company uses various derivative financial instruments known as interest rate collars and interest rate swaps primarily for the purpose of hedging exposures to the variability in future cash flows associated with fluctuating interest rates on its revolving credit agreement. At the inception of each derivative contract and at least quarterly thereafter, the Company formally documents and updates the risk management strategy, the underlying exposure of the hedge, and the effectiveness of the hedging transaction in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of the exposure being hedged. These derivatives are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet with the changes in fair value recognized in earnings or other comprehensive income. Gains or losses reported in other comprehensive income are reclassified into income in the period in which earnings are affected by the underlying hedged item. The ineffective portion of the hedges, if any, is recognized in earnings in the current period.

### ***Income Taxes***

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are established for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of assets and liabilities, at the enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when the temporary differences reverse. The principal assets and liabilities that generate these temporary differences are unearned premiums, loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, deferred policy acquisition costs, and non-deductible provisions for returns and allowances for finance losses. The effect of a tax rate change is recognized in income in the period of enactment. State income taxes are included in income tax expense.

A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is provided for all or some portion of the deferred tax asset when it is more likely than not that an amount will not be realized. An increase or decrease in a valuation allowance that results from a change in circumstances that causes a change in judgment about the realizability of the related deferred tax asset is included in income. The Company believes its deferred tax assets are fully realizable at December 31, 2006.

**Table of Contents*****Stock Options***

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004) Share Based Payments. The impact of this pronouncement, which requires the Company to expense the cost resulting from all share-based payments in its financial statements, is provided in Note 18. Prior to 2006, the Company followed the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, to account for its stock option activity in the financial statements. Using the intrinsic value method under APB No. 25, generally no compensation expense is recorded for employee options that are granted with an exercise price that equals or exceeds the market price at the date of grant. The disclosure provisions required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation is provided in Note 10.

***Segment Reporting***

The Company has determined that it has two reportable segments. The primary reportable segment is comprised of principally all of the Company's operations. The Company's primary operating segments have been aggregated into this primary reportable segment. The other reportable segment consists of corporate operations and is not material to either revenues or net income.

The Company monitors, controls and manages its business lines as an integrated entity offering one product. Management believes that the finance and insurance businesses are dependent on each other. The premium finance companies only provide financing for the Company's insurance customers and insurance policy sales are dependent, in part, upon the flexible payment plan structures of the finance companies. The Company's agency subsidiaries complete the premium finance paperwork at the same time they are selling the underlying insurance policy. In addition, because our systems are integrated, any change to the underlying insurance policy such as a cancellation or endorsement will automatically result in a corresponding change to the premium finance agreement.

The Company primarily analyzes its results on a state-by-state basis because the insurance rates and finance plan structures are subject to state regulation. All revenue and expense streams are considered when analyzing a particular state's operating results. Accordingly, management may change any number of factors to achieve the desired results in a state including the premium rates, finance plan structure, amount of advertising, or other factors. The Company's state operating segments constitute one primary reporting segment that can be appropriately aggregated because each of the state operating segments has identical operations and products; however, varying state regulations require the operations to be divided geographically.

***Restatement of the December 31, 2005 Balance Sheet***

During 2006, the Company corrected its accounting for unearned policy fees as of January 1, 2004 and restated the relevant December 31, 2005 balance sheet amounts. In prior years, the impact of the unearned policy fee calculation difference was not material. However, this difference became material in 2006 because of the decline in operations. The impact of the adjustment on the statement of operations for any of the years presented was not material.

The following is a summary of the line items impacted by the restatement of the December 31, 2005 balance sheet:

|                                            | <b>December 31, 2005</b>              |                    |                 |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|                                            | <b>As<br/>Previously<br/>Reported</b> | <b>Adjustments</b> | <b>Restated</b> |
| Deferred income taxes                      | \$ 21,915                             | \$ 905             | \$ 22,820       |
| Total assets                               | 841,012                               | 905                | 841,917         |
| Unearned premiums                          | 214,715                               | 2,585              | 217,300         |
| Total liabilities                          | 603,480                               | 2,585              | 606,065         |
| Retained earnings                          | 171,780                               | (1,680)            | 170,100         |
| Total shareholders' equity                 | 237,532                               | (1,680)            | 235,852         |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | 841,012                               | 905                | 841,917         |

**Table of Contents****2. Investments**

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses, and fair value of debt securities available-for-sale at December 31 are as follows:

|                                                                                       | <b>Amortized<br/>Cost</b> | <b>Gross<br/>Unrealized<br/>Gains</b> | <b>Gross<br/>Unrealized<br/>Losses<br/>Less than<br/>12<br/>months<br/>(In thousands)</b> | <b>Gross<br/>Unrealized<br/>Losses<br/>Greater<br/>than<br/>12 months</b> | <b>Fair<br/>Value</b> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>2006</b>                                                                           |                           |                                       |                                                                                           |                                                                           |                       |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies | \$ 87,593                 | \$ 453                                | \$ 263                                                                                    | \$ 1,134                                                                  | \$ 86,649             |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions                                      | 72,195                    | 422                                   | 14                                                                                        | 541                                                                       | 72,062                |
| Corporate debt securities                                                             | 261,158                   | 688                                   | 165                                                                                       | 4,949                                                                     | 256,732               |
| Total                                                                                 | \$ 420,946                | \$ 1,563                              | \$ 442                                                                                    | \$ 6,624                                                                  | \$ 415,443            |
| <b>2005</b>                                                                           |                           |                                       |                                                                                           |                                                                           |                       |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies | \$ 67,748                 | \$ 51                                 | \$ 618                                                                                    | \$ 731                                                                    | \$ 66,450             |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions                                      | 70,161                    | 435                                   | 444                                                                                       | 199                                                                       | 69,953                |
| Corporate debt securities                                                             | 257,250                   | 233                                   | 2,282                                                                                     | 3,572                                                                     | 251,629               |
| Total                                                                                 | \$ 395,159                | \$ 719                                | \$ 3,344                                                                                  | \$ 4,502                                                                  | \$ 388,032            |

As of December 31, 2006, there were 463 securities with gross unrealized losses for more than 12 months and 88 securities with gross unrealized losses for less than 12 months. The Company has determined that all of the unrealized losses in the table above were temporary. There were no fundamental issues with any of these securities and the Company has the ability and intent to hold the securities until there is a recovery in fair value. There were no securities with unrealized losses of greater than 10% of book value.

The components of pretax net investment income and net realized gains (losses) on sales of securities for the years ended December 31 were:

|                                             | <b>2006</b> | <b>2005<br/>(In thousands)</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Gross investment income:                    |             |                                |             |
| Debt securities available-for-sale          | \$ 17,800   | \$ 14,147                      | \$ 10,963   |
| Short-term investments and cash equivalents | 1,875       | 1,200                          | 390         |
| Gross investment income                     | 19,675      | 15,347                         | 11,353      |
| Investment expenses                         | 685         | 643                            | 545         |

|                                                     |           |           |           |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net investment income                               | \$ 18,990 | \$ 14,704 | \$ 10,808 |
| Gross realized gains on investments                 | \$ 1,243  | \$ 2,843  | \$ 2,838  |
| Gross realized losses on investments                | (1,478)   | (3,049)   | (3,162)   |
| Net unrealized (loss) gain on trading portfolio     | (69)      | 277       | 281       |
| Net realized (losses) gains on securities and other | \$ (304)  | \$ 71     | \$ (43)   |

Realized losses on investments in 2005 include a \$15,000 write-down on a security that was determined to have an other-than-temporary decline in fair value. There were no such write-downs in 2006.

**Table of Contents**

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2006, by contractual maturity, is shown below:

|                        | <b>Amortized<br/>Cost<br/>(In thousands)</b> | <b>Fair<br/>Value</b> |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Years to maturity:     |                                              |                       |
| One or less            | \$ 16,299                                    | \$ 16,106             |
| After one through five | 183,187                                      | 180,151               |
| After five through ten | 133,588                                      | 132,443               |
| After ten              | 87,872                                       | 86,743                |
| Total                  | \$ 420,946                                   | \$ 415,443            |

At December 31, 2006, investments carried at market value of \$13,950,000 and cash of approximately \$2,056,000 were on deposit with regulatory authorities. Additionally, investments carried at market value of \$3,485,000 were pledged to an unaffiliated insurer to secure our assumed reinsurance obligations.

**3. Finance Receivables**

Finance receivables, net of unearned interest, unearned acquisition fees and deferred costs for the years ended December 31 were:

|                           | <b>2006<br/>(In thousands)</b> | <b>2005</b> |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Finance receivables       | \$ 232,039                     | \$ 221,215  |
| Less allowance for losses | (6,812)                        | (6,419)     |
| Finance receivables, net  | \$ 225,227                     | \$ 214,796  |

A progression of the allowance for finance receivable losses is as follows:

|                                         | <b>2006</b> | <b>2005<br/>(In thousands)</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Balance at January 1                    | \$ 6,419    | \$ 6,174                       | \$ 5,942    |
| Provision for finance receivable losses | 11,322      | 10,266                         | 7,270       |
| Charge-offs, net of recoveries          | (10,929)    | (10,021)                       | (7,038)     |
| Balance at December 31                  | \$ 6,812    | \$ 6,419                       | \$ 6,174    |

Geographic concentrations of finance receivables at December 31 are as follows:

|                        | <b>2006</b> | <b>2005</b> |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Florida                | 44.4%       | 49.1%       |
| Tennessee              | 13.4        | 14.0        |
| Texas                  | 6.3         | 4.3         |
| Georgia                | 7.0         | 7.0         |
| Mississippi            | 6.2         | 6.0         |
| Louisiana              | 6.0         | 5.9         |
| Other states, combined | 16.7        | 13.7        |

|       |        |        |
|-------|--------|--------|
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% |
|-------|--------|--------|

Finance receivables are collateralized by the unearned premiums of the related insurance policies. These finance receivables have an average remaining contractual maturity of approximately six months, with the longest contractual maturity being approximately eleven months.



**Table of Contents****4. Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment at December 31 is as follows:

|                                | <b>2006</b>           | <b>2005</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
|                                | <b>(In thousands)</b> |             |
| Land                           | \$ 223                | \$ 223      |
| Buildings and improvements     | 9,484                 | 8,867       |
| Computer hardware and software | 23,850                | 22,296      |
| Furniture and equipment        | 8,620                 | 8,403       |
| Projects in progress           | 1,718                 | 767         |
| Construction in progress       | 2,361                 | 2,126       |
| Capital leases:                |                       |             |
| Building                       | 6,364                 | 6,364       |
| Equipment                      | 4,644                 | 4,495       |
|                                | 57,264                | 53,541      |
| Less accumulated depreciation  | (41,544)              | (35,195)    |
| Property and equipment, net    | \$ 15,720             | \$ 18,346   |

Depreciation expense was approximately \$6,948,000, \$6,602,000 and \$5,601,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

During April 1998, the Company entered into a sale and leaseback transaction of its building located in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. The gain of \$1,068,000 is being amortized over the term of the lease. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the unamortized portion of the deferred gain was \$136,000 and \$242,800, respectively, and is included as a component of other liabilities. The building under capital lease was capitalized using the Company's average borrowing rate at inception of the lease. Depreciation expense on the capitalized building lease was \$769,000 during 2006 and \$636,000 during 2005 and 2004, and related accumulated depreciation on the building under capital lease was approximately \$5,542,000 and \$4,773,000 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company also leases certain computer equipment under capital lease arrangements. Depreciation expense on capitalized equipment leases during 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$475,000, \$491,000 and \$414,000 respectively, and related accumulated depreciation as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, was \$4,314,000 and \$3,839,000, respectively.

**5. Insurance Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses**

The following table provides a reconciliation of insurance loss and loss adjustment expense (LAE) reserves, net of reinsurance recoverables:

|                                                             | <b>2006</b>           | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                                             | <b>(In thousands)</b> |             |             |
| Balance at January 1                                        | \$ 131,408            | \$ 124,858  | \$ 112,618  |
| Less reinsurance recoverables on unpaid losses              | 17,552                | 22,859      | 37,955      |
| Net balance at January 1                                    | 113,856               | 101,999     | 74,663      |
| Add losses and LAE incurred, net of reinsurance related to: |                       |             |             |
| Current year                                                | 321,150               | 298,993     | 275,651     |
| Prior years                                                 | (316)                 | 6,762       | 6,318       |
| Net losses and LAE incurred during the current year         | 320,834               | 305,755     | 281,969     |

Deduct losses and LAE paid, net of reinsurance, related to:

Edgar Filing: DIRECT GENERAL CORP - Form 10-K

|                                                 |            |            |            |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Current year                                    | 221,661    | 210,655    | 191,176    |
| Prior years                                     | 82,292     | 83,243     | 63,457     |
| Net claim payments made during the current year | 303,953    | 293,898    | 254,633    |
| Net balance at December 31                      | 130,737    | 113,856    | 101,999    |
| Plus reinsurance recoverables on unpaid losses  | 6,027      | 17,552     | 22,859     |
| Balance at December 31                          | \$ 136,764 | \$ 131,408 | \$ 124,858 |

**Table of Contents**

The Company's net loss and LAE reserve development was primarily attributable to revisions to the Company's estimate of ultimate frequency and severity trends. While development in 2006 was negligible, in 2005, approximately \$5,800,000 of the unfavorable development was related to our Florida business, generally attributable to higher than expected severity trends on personal injury protection and property damage coverages. In 2004, approximately \$4,500,000 of the unfavorable development was related to our Florida business and approximately \$1,100,000 was related to Tennessee. The Florida development was largely attributable to increases in expected frequency and severity trends related to the personal injury protection and property damage coverages while the Tennessee development was generally evenly split between increases to expected bodily injury frequency trends and increases to the expected average severity of property damage claims.

The anticipated effect of inflation is implicitly considered when estimating liabilities for losses and LAE. While anticipated price increases due to inflation are considered in estimating the ultimate claim costs, the increase in average severities of claims is caused by a number of factors that vary with the individual type of policy written. Future average severities are projected based on historical trends adjusted for implemented changes in underwriting standards, policy provisions, and general economic trends. Those anticipated trends are monitored based on actual development and are modified if necessary.

The Company is exposed to natural catastrophes such as hurricanes, earthquakes, and hailstorms. While the Company's results from operations would be negatively impacted by a major catastrophe, the Company maintains catastrophe insurance that limits its exposure to such events. The Company is generally not exposed to asbestos and environmental claims since it writes only personal automobile and life insurance.

**6. Notes Payable**

Notes payable at December 31 were as follows:

|                                           | 2006           | 2005       |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
|                                           | (In thousands) |            |
| Premium Finance revolving credit facility | \$ 143,000     | \$ 150,000 |
| Other                                     | 2,272          | 3,009      |
| Total                                     | \$ 145,272     | \$ 153,009 |

***Premium Finance Revolving Credit Facility***

The Company has maintained a revolving credit facility with a consortium of banks to fund the working capital needs of the Company's premium finance operations since 1994. Under the terms of the current facility, interest is payable quarterly at a rate elected by the Company of either the base commercial rate of First Tennessee Bank, N.A., as Agent, or at 1.5% to 2.0% above LIBOR, depending on the Company's Loan Amount to Net Worth ratio (as defined in the agreement). At December 31, 2006, the weighted average rate in effect was 7.55%. The loan is principally secured by premium finance receivables.

During 2006, the Company and its banks agreed to various amendments of this credit facility that collectively extended the maturity to June 30, 2009, approved the proposed merger with Elara Holdings, Inc. and Elara Merger Corporation, and increased the credit facility to \$195,000,000 effective January 1, 2007. At December 31, 2006, the Company may borrow up to \$180,000,000 and the unused amount was \$37,000,000.

The revolving credit facility contains covenants which, among other matters 1) limit the Company's ability to incur or guarantee indebtedness, merge, consolidate and acquire or sell assets without bank approval; 2) require the Company to satisfy certain financial ratios, including those related to net worth, debt-to-equity, and profitability; and 3) restrict the payment of cash dividends on common stock. As of December 31, 2006, the Company was in compliance with all covenants related to the credit agreement.

**Table of Contents*****Corporate Revolving Credit Facility***

In December 2004, the Company entered into a \$30,000,000 revolving credit facility with a consortium of banks. Under the terms of the credit facility the Company may periodically obtain advances until June 30, 2007. Interest is payable quarterly at a rate elected by the Company of either the base commercial rate of First Tennessee Bank, N.A., as Agent, or at 2.5% above LIBOR. Principal payments begin 18 months after advances under the credit facility reach \$10,000,000 and will be determined based upon a five-year amortization schedule. The credit facility matures on June 30, 2007, with all remaining principal and interest payments being due at that time. There were no amounts outstanding under this facility as of December 31, 2006 or 2005.

***Debentures payable***

In September 2005, Direct General Statutory Trust I ( DGST I ), a wholly owned unconsolidated subsidiary trust of the Company, issued 40,000 shares of preferred securities at \$1,000 per share to outside investors and 1,238 shares of common securities to the Company, also at \$1,000 per share. The sole assets of DGST I are \$41,238,000 of junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company. The debentures will mature on September 15, 2035 and are redeemable by the Company in whole or in part beginning on September 15, 2010, at which time the preferred securities are callable. The debentures pay a fixed rate of 7.915% until September 15, 2010, after which the rate becomes variable.

The obligations of the Company under the junior subordinated debentures represent full and unconditional guarantees by the Company of DGST I's obligations for the preferred securities. Dividends on the preferred securities are cumulative, payable quarterly in arrears and are deferrable at the Company's option for up to five years. The dividends on these securities are the same as the interest on the debentures. The Company cannot pay dividends on its common stock during such deferments.

The debentures are classified as debentures payable on the Company's statements of financial position and the interest paid on these debentures is classified as interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

***Other***

The Company enters into various other credit agreements from time to time, primarily to finance the purchase of computer equipment and other assets. As of December 31, 2006, the Company has three credit agreements bearing interest at rates ranging from 4.50% to 4.60%. Principal and interest are payable monthly, with principal totaling \$1,641,000 and \$631,000 due in 2007 and 2008, respectively. Notes in the amount of \$1,280,000 are secured by computer equipment.

***Scheduled Maturities***

Aggregate principal payments due on debt outstanding at December 31, 2006 is as follows:

|                | <b>Principle<br/>Payments<br/>Due<br/>(in thousands)</b> |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Years due:     |                                                          |
| 2007           | \$ 1,641                                                 |
| 2008           | 631                                                      |
| 2009           | 143,000                                                  |
| 2010           |                                                          |
| 2011 and after | 41,238                                                   |
| Total          | \$ 186,510                                               |

**Table of Contents****7. Reinsurance*****Ceded Reinsurance***

The Company cedes certain premiums and risks of loss to other insurance companies under quota share, excess of loss, and catastrophe forms of reinsurance agreements. The ceded reinsurance agreements provide the Company with increased capacity to write additional business while limiting its exposure to loss within its capital resources. The Company evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and monitors various credit risks to minimize its exposure to significant losses from reinsurer insolvencies. The Company remains obligated for amounts ceded in the event that the reinsurers do not meet their obligations.

The Company is the beneficiary of letters of credit totaling approximately \$2,404,000 and trust accounts totaling \$95,000 in accordance with the terms of its reinsurance agreements. The letters of credit and trust accounts were unused at December 31, 2006. The largest unsecured reinsurance recoverable from a single reinsurer was \$2,339,000 as of December 31, 2006, which was due from Dorinco Reinsurance Company, a subsidiary of Dow Chemical.

***Assumed Reinsurance***

The Company assumed, under quota-share reinsurance agreements, a percentage of personal automobile insurance produced for other insurers (see Note 8). As of December 31, 2006, the Company provided an unsecured letter of credit in the amount of \$4,000,000, pledged investments totaling \$3,485,000, and provided reinsurance security trust accounts totaling \$6,465,000 for the benefit of certain unaffiliated insurers with respect to its obligations under the assumed reinsurance agreements.

The following amounts are reflected in the financial statements as a result of reinsurance arrangements for the years ended December 31:

|             | <b>Premiums<br/>Written</b> | <b>Premiums<br/>Earned<br/>(In thousands)</b> | <b>Losses and<br/>LAE</b> |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| <b>2006</b> |                             |                                               |                           |
| Direct      | \$ 435,154                  | \$ 425,478                                    | \$ 319,244                |
| Assumed     | 20,795                      | 21,027                                        | 14,186                    |
| Ceded       | 2,597                       | (21,728)                                      | (12,596)                  |
| Net         | \$ 458,546                  | \$ 424,777                                    | \$ 320,834                |
| <b>2005</b> |                             |                                               |                           |
| Direct      | \$ 424,606                  | \$ 431,974                                    | \$ 336,581                |
| Assumed     | 28,367                      | 29,588                                        | 18,769                    |
| Ceded       | (52,359)                    | (57,463)                                      | (49,595)                  |
| Net         | \$ 400,614                  | \$ 404,099                                    | \$ 305,755                |
| <b>2004</b> |                             |                                               |                           |
| Direct      | \$ 442,577                  | \$ 432,577                                    | \$ 334,570                |
| Assumed     | 39,300                      | 39,246                                        | 23,946                    |
| Ceded       | (72,464)                    | (99,317)                                      | (76,547)                  |
| Net         | \$ 409,413                  | \$ 372,506                                    | \$ 281,969                |

**8. Administrative Services**

The Company's agency subsidiaries and administrative service subsidiaries produce and administer personal automobile insurance business for other insurers. The Company receives service fees for its underwriting, policyholder administration and claims processing services. Administrative service fees earned, net of the related fronting fees and premium taxes, were approximately \$6,046,000, \$7,891,000 and \$10,653,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004 respectively, and are included in the caption commissions and service fee income in the Statements of Operations.

**Table of Contents**

Premiums associated with personal automobile insurance produced for other insurers and related assumed premiums are as follows:

|                                      | <b>2006</b> | <b>2005</b><br><b>(In thousands)</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Premiums produced for other carriers |             |                                      |             |
| Written premiums                     | \$28,755    | \$36,176                             | \$47,413    |
| Earned premiums                      | 28,868      | 37,991                               | 47,399      |
| Premiums assumed by the Company      |             |                                      |             |
| Written premiums                     | \$20,795    | \$28,367                             | \$39,300    |
| Earned premiums                      | 21,027      | 29,588                               | 39,246      |

**9. Income Taxes**

The Company and its non-life subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return, while its life subsidiaries, Direct Life Insurance Company and Direct General Life Insurance Company, file separate federal income tax returns.

Income tax expense (benefit) is as follows:

|                          | <b>2006</b> | <b>2005</b><br><b>(In thousands)</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Current:                 |             |                                      |             |
| Federal                  | \$ 15,274   | \$ 20,354                            | \$ 28,228   |
| State                    | 2,147       | 2,933                                | 4,359       |
| Total current            | 17,421      | 23,287                               | 32,587      |
| Deferred:                |             |                                      |             |
| Federal                  | (54)        | 20                                   | (1,180)     |
| State                    | (348)       | 91                                   | (286)       |
| Total deferred           | (402)       | 111                                  | (1,466)     |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 17,019   | \$ 23,398                            | \$ 31,121   |

The provision for income taxes in the accompanying consolidated statements of income differed from the statutory rate of 35% as follows:

|                                      | <b>2006</b> | <b>2005</b><br><b>(In thousands)</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Income before income taxes           | \$ 45,020   | \$ 62,409                            | \$ 85,106   |
| Income tax expense at statutory rate | \$ 15,757   | \$ 21,843                            | \$ 29,787   |
| Tax effect of:                       |             |                                      |             |
| State income taxes                   | 1,188       | 1,998                                | 2,548       |
| Tax exempt interest                  | (606)       | (538)                                | (476)       |
| Other, net                           | 680         | 95                                   | (738)       |
| Total income tax expense             | \$ 17,019   | \$ 23,398                            | \$ 31,121   |

**Table of Contents**

The balance sheets reflect net deferred income tax asset amounts that resulted from temporary differences as of December 31 as follows:

|                                                           | <b>2006</b> | <b>2005<br/>(Restated<br/>See Note 1)<br/>(In thousands)</b> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| Deferred income tax assets:                               |             |                                                              |
| Unearned premiums                                         | \$ 14,707   | \$ 12,492                                                    |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves                 | 2,666       | 2,809                                                        |
| Provision for return and unearned reinsurance commissions |             | 652                                                          |
| Provision for return and unearned service fees            | 995         | 997                                                          |
| Provision for return commissions                          | 936         | 932                                                          |
| Allowance for losses on finance receivables               | 2,384       | 2,247                                                        |
| Depreciation                                              | 1,780       | 912                                                          |
| Net unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities      | 1,926       | 2,495                                                        |
| Unearned policy fees                                      | 2,047       | 1,764                                                        |
| Policy acquisition costs                                  | 1,303       | 1,083                                                        |
| Other                                                     | 2,286       | 1,335                                                        |
| Total deferred income tax assets                          | 31,030      | 27,718                                                       |
| Deferred income tax liabilities:                          |             |                                                              |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs                         | 7,440       | 4,832                                                        |
| Unrealized gain related to cash flow hedge                | 407         | 369                                                          |
| Amortization                                              | 2,401       | 1,253                                                        |
| Other                                                     | 505         | 435                                                          |
| Total deferred income tax liabilities                     | 10,753      | 6,889                                                        |
| Net federal deferred income tax asset                     | 20,277      | 20,829                                                       |
| State deferred income tax asset                           | 2,339       | 1,991                                                        |
| Net deferred income tax asset                             | \$ 22,616   | \$ 22,820                                                    |

**10. Capital Stock*****Public Offerings***

In March 2004, the Company completed a secondary offering whereby selling shareholders sold 3,314,015 shares of common stock. As a result of the exercise of the over-allotment option by the underwriters of the secondary offering, the Company issued and sold an additional 497,102 common shares in April 2004, which resulted in net proceeds to the Company (after deducting issuance costs) of \$15,971,000. From the proceeds of this offer, Direct General Corporation used \$11,500,000 to increase its investment in insurance subsidiaries and approximately \$600,000 to increase the capitalization of its non-insurance subsidiaries in 2004.

***Common Stock Repurchase***

During 2005, the Company repurchased 2,157,871 shares of its outstanding common stock for an aggregate price of approximately \$40,075,000.

***Stock Option Plans***

In April 2003, the Company's board of directors adopted and the shareholders approved the 2003 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2003 Plan"). Under terms of the 2003 Plan, 1,651,200 shares of common stock are reserved and available for



distribution. Under the 2003 Plan, incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options may be granted. Exercise provisions may vary, however, the term shall not exceed ten years from the date of grant.

On August 11, 2003, the Company granted options to purchase 924,000 shares of the Company's common stock under the 2003 Plan to certain of its executive officers and employees, the exercise price of which equals the initial public offering price of \$21 per share. Each of the options issued in August 2003 have a ten-year term with a five-year vesting schedule.

**Table of Contents**

On April 29, 1996, the shareholders of Direct General Corporation approved the 1996 Employee Stock Incentive Plan (the 1996 Plan). Under the 1996 Plan, incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options were granted. Exercise provisions varied, but most options are exercisable, in whole or in part, beginning six months after grant and ending ten years after grant. In April 2003, upon approval of the 2003 Plan, no further awards could be granted under the 1996 Plan.

As of December 31, 2006, the weighted average remaining contractual life of options outstanding under both plans is approximately seven years.

A summary of the status of the Company's stock option plans as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, and changes during the years ending on these dates is as follows:

|                                                             | <b>Number of<br/>Shares</b> | <b>Weighted<br/>Average<br/>Exercise<br/>Price</b> |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Options outstanding January 1, 2004 (610,008 exercisable)   | 1,602,000                   | \$12.93                                            |
| Granted                                                     | 131,000                     | 31.10                                              |
| Exercised                                                   | 516,300                     | 2.11                                               |
| Forfeited                                                   | 24,000                      | 21.00                                              |
| Options outstanding December 31, 2004 (317,700 exercisable) | 1,192,700                   | 19.45                                              |
| Granted                                                     | 40,000                      | 18.06                                              |
| Exercised                                                   | 137,000                     | 4.25                                               |
| Surrendered                                                 | 100,000                     | 30.96                                              |
| Forfeited                                                   | 25,000                      | 31.00                                              |
| Options outstanding December 31, 2005 (385,300 exercisable) | 970,700                     | 20.05                                              |
| Granted                                                     | 50,000                      | 17.50                                              |
| Exercised                                                   | 8,500                       | 2.71                                               |
| Forfeited                                                   | 60,000                      | 21.00                                              |
| Options outstanding December 31, 2006 (529,700 exercisable) | 952,200                     | \$19.91                                            |

The Company has historically used and plans to continue to use stock options as a component of its overall compensation to employees. The Company may grant incentive stock options that qualify for certain favorable tax treatment under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. It may also grant stock options that do not qualify for such favorable tax treatment. The Company grants employee incentive stock options at an exercise price equal to the market price at the date of grant.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, which was issued in December 2004 and is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2005. This statement requires the Company to expense the cost resulting from all share-based payment arrangements, including employee stock options, in its financial statements. The Company adopted the provisions of this statement using the modified prospective approach. This approach requires that compensation expense to be recorded for all unvested stock options and restricted stock that exist upon adoption of the statement as they vest. New stock options that are granted are recognized as expense in the financial statements based on their fair values at the grant date. The Company recorded pre-tax compensation expense of \$1,285,000 for 2006.

Prior to the adoption of the provisions of this statement, the Company followed the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, to account for its employee stock option activity in the financial statements.



**Table of Contents**

If the accounting for compensation expense based on the fair value of stock options at the grant date as prescribed by SFAS No. 123 would have been in effect for prior periods, net income available to common shareholders and basic and diluted earnings per share would have been reported as presented in the following table.

|                                                                                                                                  | <b>2005</b>                                     | <b>2004</b> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|
|                                                                                                                                  | <b>(In thousands, except per share amounts)</b> |             |
| Net income available to common shareholders, as reported                                                                         | \$ 39,011                                       | \$ 53,985   |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value based method, net of related tax effects | (865)                                           | (878)       |
| Net income available to common shareholders and income for purposes of computing diluted earnings per share, pro forma           | \$ 38,146                                       | \$ 53,107   |
| Earnings per share                                                                                                               |                                                 |             |
| Basic as reported                                                                                                                | \$ 1.83                                         | \$ 2.44     |
| Basic pro forma                                                                                                                  | \$ 1.79                                         | \$ 2.40     |
| Diluted as reported                                                                                                              | \$ 1.82                                         | \$ 2.38     |
| Diluted pro forma                                                                                                                | \$ 1.78                                         | \$ 2.34     |

The Company uses the Black-Scholes pricing model to calculate the fair value of the options awarded as of the date of grant based on the following factors:

|                                                    | <b>2006</b>        | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b>    |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Expected option term                               | 5 years            | 5 years     | 5 years        |
| Annualized volatility rate                         | 14% to 21%         | 31.5%       | 35%            |
| Risk-free rate of return                           | 4.57% to 5.00%     | 4.12%       | 3.1% to 3.5%   |
| Fair value at the date of grant (weighted-average) | \$15.57 to \$18.79 | \$18.06     | \$31.80        |
| Dividend yield                                     | 0.63% to 0.77%     | 0.66%       | 0.76%          |
| Black-Scholes value                                | 22.9% to 26%       | 32.9%       | 33.3% to 33.9% |

**Reserved Shares**

As of December 31, 2006, shares of common stock have been reserved for the following:

|                                               |           |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Exercise of stock options under the 1996 Plan | 45,000    |
| Exercise of stock options under the 2003 Plan | 1,651,200 |
| Total common shares reserved                  | 1,696,200 |

**11. Statutory Financial Information and Accounting Policies**

The Company's statutory-basis financial statements of its insurance subsidiaries are prepared in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Department of Insurance in each state of domicile. Each state of domicile required that insurance companies domiciled in those states prepare their statutory basis financial statements in accordance with the NAIC *Accounting Principles and Procedures Manual* subject to any deviations prescribed or permitted by the insurance commissioner in each state of domicile. As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company's

insurance subsidiaries did not have any prescribed or permitted accounting practices that differed from NAIC statutory accounting practices.

**Table of Contents**

Statutory accounting practices differ from GAAP. Significant differences for the Company's insurance subsidiaries were as follows:

|                                                          | Net Income              |           |           | Surplus December 31,  |            |            |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|------------|------------|
|                                                          | Year ended December 31, |           |           | 2005                  |            |            |
|                                                          | 2006                    | 2005      | 2004      | 2006                  | 2005       | 2004       |
|                                                          |                         |           |           | (Restated See Note 1) |            |            |
|                                                          | (In thousands)          |           |           |                       |            |            |
| Statutory basis amounts                                  | \$ 21,789               | \$ 23,685 | \$ 16,121 | \$ 185,507            | \$ 169,249 | \$ 141,646 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs                        | 7,454                   | 1,138     | 1,234     | 21,258                | 13,804     | 12,666     |
| Deferred income taxes                                    | (494)                   | (475)     | 1,231     | 3,644                 | 6,659      | 3,394      |
| Reinsurance commissions                                  | 1,862                   | 451       | 3,002     |                       | (1,862)    | (2,313)    |
| Guaranty fund assessments                                | 97                      | 96        | 714       | (147)                 | (244)      | (338)      |
| Unearned policy fees                                     | (809)                   | (1,193)   | (1,261)   | (5,848)               | (5,039)    | (3,846)    |
| Nonadmitted assets                                       |                         |           |           | 1,256                 | 887        | 1,370      |
| Unrealized appreciation of securities available-for-sale |                         |           |           | (5,101)               | (6,859)    | 169        |
| Other                                                    | (159)                   | 297       | 211       | (65)                  | 13         | 134        |
| GAAP amounts                                             | \$ 29,740               | \$ 23,999 | \$ 21,252 | \$ 200,504            | \$ 176,608 | \$ 152,882 |

The Company's insurance subsidiaries are restricted by state insurance laws as to the amounts they may pay as dividends without prior approval from their respective state insurance departments. For 2007, \$19,854,000 in dividends is available to be paid to the Company from insurance subsidiaries without prior approval. Property and casualty insurance and life insurance companies are subject to certain Risk-Based Capital (RBC) requirements as specified by the NAIC. Under those requirements, the amount of capital maintained by an insurance company is to be determined based on the various risk factors related to it. At December 31, 2006, each of the Company's insurance subsidiaries exceeds the RBC requirements.

**12. Cash Flow Hedge**

The Company maintains a \$195,000,000 variable rate revolving credit agreement with a group of banks (Note 6), which subjects the Company to exposures from fluctuations in interest rates under the agreement. Effective August 1, 2005, the Company purchased an interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$50,000,000 which has been designated as a hedge of variable cash flows associated with that portion of the revolving credit agreement. This derivative instrument requires monthly settlements whereby the Company pays a fixed rate of 4.255% and receives 30-day LIBOR rate, reset monthly. The derivative expires on August 2, 2010.

Effective November 1, 2001, the Company purchased an interest rate cap and sold an interest rate floor in a combined derivative instrument known as a zero-cost collar (derivative), which was designated as a hedge of variable cash flows associated with interest rate increases above the 30-day LIBOR rate of 5.05% on a notional amount of \$50,000,000 (cap) and interest rate decreases below the 30-day LIBOR rate of 5.05% on a notional amount of \$25,000,000 (floor). This derivative expired on November 1, 2005.

The Company has designated both of these derivative instruments as cash flow hedges in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* as subsequently amended by SFAS No. 138, *Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities* an amendment of SFAS No. 133. The credit risk associated with these contracts is limited to the uncollected interest payments and the fair market value of the derivative to the extent it has become favorable to the Company.

**Table of Contents**

The table below summarizes the amounts included in the accompanying financial statements related to these derivatives:

|                                                | <b>As of December 31,</b> |             |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
|                                                | <b>2006</b>               | <b>2005</b> |
|                                                | <b>(In thousands)</b>     |             |
| Carrying value of derivative asset (liability) |                           |             |
| Interest rate swap                             | \$ 1,162                  | \$ 1,055    |
| Total                                          | \$ 1,162                  | \$ 1,055    |

|                                               | <b>As of December 31,</b> |             |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
|                                               | <b>2006</b>               | <b>2005</b> |
|                                               | <b>(In thousands)</b>     |             |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax |                           |             |
| Interest rate swap                            | \$ 756                    | \$ 685      |
| Total                                         | \$ 756                    | \$ 685      |

|                                | <b>For the year ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                | <b>2006</b>                            | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                                | <b>(In thousands)</b>                  |             |             |
| Amounts transferred to income: |                                        |             |             |
| Interest expense               |                                        |             |             |
| Interest rate collar           | \$                                     | \$ 402      | \$ 922      |
| Interest rate swap             | (402)                                  | 78          |             |
| Total interest                 | \$ (402)                               | \$ 480      | \$ 922      |

**13. Commitments and Contingencies*****Commitments***

The Company leases various office space and equipment. Total rent expense was approximately \$13,561,000, \$11,594,000 and \$7,786,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Approximate future minimum lease commitments for leases having an initial or remaining term of more than one year are as follows at December 31, 2006:

|                              | <b>Capital<br/>Leases</b> | <b>Operating<br/>Leases</b> |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                              | <b>(In thousands)</b>     |                             |
| 2007                         | \$ 1,209                  | \$ 10,092                   |
| 2008                         | 259                       | 6,845                       |
| 2009                         | 30                        | 3,901                       |
| 2010                         |                           | 1,866                       |
| 2011                         |                           | 903                         |
| 2012                         |                           | 26                          |
| Total minimum lease payments | 1,498                     | \$ 23,633                   |

|                                         |          |
|-----------------------------------------|----------|
| Less amount representing interest       | 68       |
| Present value of minimum lease payments | \$ 1,430 |

***Contingencies***

*Baton Rouge Facility.* The Company currently leases a facility in Baton Rouge, Louisiana for its administrative and customer service center. This lease is scheduled to mature in April 2008. The Company plans to expand its administrative and customer service operations to support its future growth and, in conjunction with these plans, the Louisiana Local Government Environmental and Community Development Authority ( Authority ) will construct a new facility ( Facility ) and the Company will, in turn, lease the Facility from the Authority. On October 29, 2004, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries invested \$10,000,000 in Louisiana Taxable Lease Revenue Bonds ( Bonds ) in order to provide the Authority with funds to finance the acquisition of land and the construction of the Facility. Under the terms of the agreements, the ownership of the Facility will transfer to the Company and the lease will expire upon the full repayment of all principal and interest related to the Bonds, which



**Table of Contents**

have a scheduled maturity of June 1, 2024. Construction of the Facility began in the summer of 2006 and is expected to be completed by the end of 2007.

In a related transaction, the Louisiana Economic Development Corporation ( Corporation ) granted the Company an Economic Development Award in the amount of \$1,837,000 to be used in the construction of a new facility in Baton Rouge. Under the Economic Development Award agreement, the Company must fulfill certain commitments for employees and payroll. In the event the Company does not meet these commitments, all or a portion of the award may be required to be returned to the Corporation.

*Litigation.* The Company is named as defendant in various legal actions including a consolidated federal securities class action, a consolidated federal shareholder derivative action, and a consolidated state shareholder derivative action filed in early 2005 and other lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business. Estimates of losses from legal actions pertaining to claims made under insurance policies underwritten by the Company are included in loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. The parties have reached tentative settlement agreements in the pending class and derivative action lawsuits. Management believes that the resolution of all other actions will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

**14. Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

The following methods and assumptions are used by the Company in estimating the fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

*Debt Securities* Additional fair value disclosures for debt securities are included in Note 2.

*Short-Term Investments and Other Invested Assets* The carrying values reported in the accompanying balance sheets for these financial instruments approximate their fair values.

*Finance Receivables, Net* Fair value of finance receivables, which approximates carrying value, was estimated using projected cash flows, discounted at the weighted-average rates currently being offered for similar finance receivables. Cash flows were based on contractual payment terms adjusted for delinquencies and losses.

*Reinsurance Balances Receivable and Reinsurance Balances Payable* The carrying values reported in the accompanying balance sheets for these financial instruments approximate their fair values.

*Notes payable* The notes payable are primarily floating-rate instruments and their carrying value approximates their fair value.

*Debentures payable* The fair values for these financial instruments were estimated using projected cash flows, discounted at rates currently being offered for similar financial instruments.

The carrying values and fair values of certain of the Company's financial instruments as of December 31 were as follows:

|                                                  | 2006           |            | 2005           |            |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|
|                                                  | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
|                                                  | (In thousands) |            |                |            |
| <b>Assets</b>                                    |                |            |                |            |
| Debt securities available-for-sale               | \$415,443      | \$415,443  | \$388,032      | \$388,032  |
| Short-term investments and other invested assets | 4,267          | 4,267      | 3,688          | 3,688      |
| Finance receivables, net                         | 225,227        | 225,227    | 214,796        | 214,796    |
| Reinsurance balances receivable                  | 10,150         | 10,150     | 27,083         | 27,083     |
| <b>Liabilities</b>                               |                |            |                |            |
| Reinsurance balances payable and funds held      | 7,632          | 7,632      | 32,024         | 32,024     |
| Notes payable                                    | 145,272        | 145,272    | 153,009        | 153,009    |
| Capital lease obligations                        | 1,430          | 1,430      | 2,636          | 2,636      |
| Debentures payable                               | 41,238         | 40,397     | 41,238         | 40,558     |

**Table of Contents****15. Earnings per Share**

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

|                                                                                                        | 2006                                     | 2005      | 2004      |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                                                                                                        | (In thousands, except per share amounts) |           |           |
| Numerator:                                                                                             |                                          |           |           |
| Net income available to common shareholders                                                            | \$ 28,001                                | \$ 39,011 | \$ 53,985 |
| Denominator:                                                                                           |                                          |           |           |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding                                                             | 20,346.6                                 | 21,363.8  | 22,114.9  |
| Dilutive stock options                                                                                 | 38.4                                     | 60.1      | 572.3     |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding for purposes of computing diluted earnings per common share | 20,385.0                                 | 21,423.9  | 22,687.2  |
| Basic earnings per common share                                                                        | \$ 1.38                                  | \$ 1.83   | \$ 2.44   |
| Diluted earnings per common share                                                                      | \$ 1.37                                  | \$ 1.82   | \$ 2.38   |

**16. Acquisitions**

During January 2005, the Company acquired certain assets of All American General Agency, Inc., operating primarily under the name AMCO, and the assets of two other insurance agencies, collectively operating through 82 sales offices in the state of Texas. The assets acquired included customer records and renewal rights and the operating assets of the sales offices used to conduct their agency business. The total purchase price, the majority of which was recorded as goodwill, was \$5,560,000.

In August 2005, the Company acquired a property and casualty insurance company, the assets of which consist of debt securities and licenses to conduct property and casualty business in 38 states and the District of Columbia. The purchase price was \$10,400,000, of which approximately \$4,400,000 was allocated to the value of the licenses acquired.

On January 30, 2004, the Company acquired an inactive life insurance company for a total purchase price of \$7,330,000 of which approximately \$1,348,000 was attributable to the value of the licenses acquired. The assets of this life insurance company consist of debt securities and licenses to conduct life and/or accident and health insurance business in 43 states and the District of Columbia.

**17. Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)**

Quarterly results of operations for 2006 and 2005 are summarized as follows:

|             | Total                                    | Net      | Basic                  | Diluted                |          | Stock                |          |
|-------------|------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|
|             | Revenues                                 | Income   | Earnings Per Share (1) | Earnings Per Share (1) | High     | Price <sup>(2)</sup> | Low      |
|             |                                          |          |                        |                        |          |                      | Close    |
|             | (In thousands, except per share amounts) |          |                        |                        |          |                      |          |
| <b>2006</b> |                                          |          |                        |                        |          |                      |          |
| Q1          | \$ 130,813                               | \$ 9,160 | \$ 0.45                | \$ 0.45                | \$ 17.64 | \$ 15.10             | \$ 17.01 |
| Q2          | 134,117                                  | 7,152    | 0.35                   | 0.35                   | 18.79    | 15.96                | 16.92    |
| Q3          | 133,394                                  | 7,035    | 0.35                   | 0.35                   | 17.56    | 11.51                | 13.46    |
| Q4          | 134,604                                  | 4,654    | 0.23                   | 0.23                   | 20.84    | 12.84                | 20.64    |

Edgar Filing: DIRECT GENERAL CORP - Form 10-K

|            |           |    |      |    |      |          |    |       |          |
|------------|-----------|----|------|----|------|----------|----|-------|----------|
| \$ 532,928 | \$ 28,001 | \$ | 1.38 | \$ | 1.37 | \$ 20.84 | \$ | 11.51 | \$ 20.64 |
|------------|-----------|----|------|----|------|----------|----|-------|----------|

91

---

**Table of Contents**

|             |            |           | Basic                                    | Diluted                      |          | Stock<br>Price <sup>(2)</sup> |          |
|-------------|------------|-----------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|----------|
|             | Total      | Net       | Earnings<br>Per Share<br>(1)             | Earnings<br>Per Share<br>(1) | High     | Low                           | Close    |
|             | Revenues   | Income    | (In thousands, except per share amounts) |                              |          |                               |          |
| <b>2005</b> |            |           |                                          |                              |          |                               |          |
| Q1          | \$ 131,711 | \$ 13,583 | \$ 0.61                                  | \$ 0.61                      | \$ 32.62 | \$ 18.47                      | \$ 20.54 |
| Q2          | 131,243    | 11,496    | 0.53                                     | 0.53                         | 20.61    | 15.50                         | 18.61    |
| Q3          | 125,930    | 7,260     | 0.34                                     | 0.34                         | 20.43    | 16.48                         | 19.73    |
| Q4          | 121,168    | 6,672     | 0.33                                     | 0.33                         | 19.92    | 15.12                         | 16.90    |
|             | \$ 510,052 | \$ 39,011 | \$ 1.83                                  | \$ 1.82                      | \$ 32.62 | \$ 15.12                      | \$ 16.90 |

(1) As a result of rounding and weighted averages, the total of the four quarters earnings per share may not equal the earnings per share for the full year.

(2) The Company's Common Shares are listed on the NASDAQ National Market under the symbol of DRCT.

### **18. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

The Company periodically reviews recent accounting pronouncements issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Emerging Issues Task Force, and Staff Accounting Bulletins issued by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission to determine the potential impact on the Company's financial statements. Based on its most recent review, the Company has determined that the majority of these recently issued accounting standards either do not apply to the Company or will not have a material impact on its financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" (FIN 48), an interpretation of FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes" (SFAS No. 109). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109. The interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement

of a tax provision taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Also, the interpretation provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. The adoption of FIN 48 will be effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company does not expect that the adoption of FIN 48 will have a material impact on the financial statements of the Company.

In September, 2005, the AICPA has issued Statement of Position ( SOP ) 05-1, Accounting by Insurance Enterprises for Deferred Acquisition Costs in Connection with Modifications or Exchanges of Insurance Contracts. This SOP provides guidance on accounting by insurance enterprises for deferred acquisition costs on internal replacements of insurance and investment contracts other than those specifically described in FASB Statement No. 97, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Contracts and for Realized Gains and Losses from the Sale of Investments. The provisions in SOP 05-1 are effective for internal replacements occurring in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company does not expect that the adoption of SOP 05-1 will have a material impact on the financial statements of the Company.

#### **19. Pending Merger**

On December 4, 2006, the Company entered into a definitive merger agreement with Elara Holdings, Inc., or Elara, an affiliate of Calera Capital and TPG Capital (formerly known as Fremont Partners and Texas Pacific Group respectively), and Elara Merger Corporation, pursuant to which Elara agreed to acquire all of the Company's outstanding stock (the Elara Merger ). Upon completion of the Elara Merger, the Company's shareholders will receive \$21.25 in cash for each share of the Company's common stock they hold, a 28.71% premium to the closing price of \$16.51 on December 4, 2006. The total value of the Elara Merger, including debt, is approximately \$629,200,000. Our Board of Directors has approved the transaction and on March 8, 2007, our stockholders voted to approve the merger. The merger is subject to customary conditions, including approval from the relevant state insurance and finance commissioner or banking or similar department prior to the acquisition. All required filings have been made.

**Table of Contents****20. Subsequent Events    Litigation Settlements**

In early 2007, we engaged in mediation with lead plaintiffs in a pending consolidated federal class action that resulted in a tentative oral settlement agreement. On March 2, 2007 the parties to the consolidated class action entered into a Memorandum of Understanding ( " March 2<sup>nd</sup> MOU " ) that sets forth a settlement in principle of this action. The stipulated settlement amount in the March 2<sup>nd</sup> MOU is \$14.94 million, which is apportioned among the defendants, and the plaintiffs have agreed to dismiss with prejudice all claims against all defendants to the action. Neither we nor any other defendant in this action has admitted any liability or fault. The March 2<sup>nd</sup> MOU is subject to several conditions, including approval by the Federal District Court. In addition, the defendants may withdraw from the settlement, if a to be agreed upon percent of the class members opt out of the settlement. The parties will submit a written Stipulation of Settlement to the Federal District Court seeking preliminary approval of the settlement.

On March 6, 2007, we and the other defendants in the consolidated federal shareholder derivative action entered into a Memorandum of Understanding ( " March 6<sup>th</sup> MOU " ) with the Plaintiffs in that action. Pursuant to the terms of the March 6<sup>th</sup> MOU, the defendants will pay an award of attorney ' s fees and expenses of \$675,000, and the federal derivative action, and related state derivative and state class actions, claims will either be voluntarily dismissed with prejudice, or the parties in the federal derivative litigation will take such action as is necessary to have such claims dismissed with prejudice. Neither we nor any other defendant in these actions has admitted any liability or fault. The parties also are negotiating terms that would provide the plaintiffs with certain benefits if Elara sells or divests Direct General Corporation within a certain time after the Elara Merger closes. The settlement is subject to several conditions, including approval by the court in which the federal litigation is pending.

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.**

None.

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.***Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the " Exchange Act " ). Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company ' s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company ' s management carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company ' s disclosure controls and procedures, as of December 31, 2006. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2006, the Company ' s disclosure controls and procedures were effective in providing reasonable assurance that material information required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is made known to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

*Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*

The Company ' s management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. As required by Rule 13a-15(c) under the Exchange Act, the Company ' s management carried out an evaluation, with the participation of the Company ' s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. Based upon this evaluation, the Company ' s management concluded that the Company ' s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2006. Management ' s Report on Internal Control is included on page 67 of this Form 10-K.

Ernst & Young LLP, the Company ' s independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements in this Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on management ' s assessment of the Company ' s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. This attestation report is included on page 68 of this Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents**

*Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting*

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the Company's fourth fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**Item 9B. Other Information.**

None.

**PART III**

All of the information called for by Part III of this report is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than April 30, 2007.

**PART IV**

**Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K. [to be reviewed]**

- (a)(1) Financial Statements The following consolidated financial statements and supplementary data of the Registrant and its subsidiaries included in Part II, Item 8 in the Registrant's 2005 Annual Report are incorporated by reference:

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Statements of Operations For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Balance Sheets As of December 31, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2006 and 2004

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

- (a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules The following financial statement schedules of the Registrant and its subsidiaries, Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm and Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm are included in Item 15(d):

Schedule I Summary of Investments Other Than Investments in Related Parties

Schedule II Condensed Financial Information of Registrant

Schedule IV Reinsurance

Schedule V Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Schedule VI Supplemental Information Concerning Property and Casualty Insurance Operations

**Table of Contents**

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

No other schedules are required to be filed herewith pursuant to Article 7 of Regulation S-X.

(a)(3) List of exhibits:

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Elara Holdings, Inc., Elara Merger Corporation and Direct General Corporation, dated as of December 4, 2006 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 5, 2006.
- 2.2 Form of Limited Guarantee in favor of Direct General Corporation, dated as of December 4, 2006 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.2 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 5, 2006.
- 3.1 Second Amended and Restated Charter of Direct General Corporation incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registration Statement No. 333-113289 filed with the SEC on March 4, 2004.
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Direct General Corporation incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Registration Statement No. 333-113289 filed with the SEC on March 4, 2004.
- 4.1 Specimen stock certificate representing the common stock, no par value per share of Direct General Corporation incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on August 1, 2003.
- 10.1 Employment Agreement by and between Direct General Corporation and William C. Adair, Jr. dated as of July 21, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on July 21, 2003.
- 10.2 Employment Agreement by and between Direct General Corporation and Jacqueline C. Adair dated as of July 21, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on July 21, 2003.
- 10.3 Employment Agreement by and between Direct General Corporation and Tammy R. Adair dated as of July 21, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on July 21, 2003.
- 10.4 Employment Agreement by and between Direct General Corporation and William J. Harter dated as of July 21, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on July 21, 2003.
- 10.5 Employment Agreement by and between Direct General Corporation, Elara Holdings, Inc., and Tammy R. Adair dated as of December 4, 2006 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 5, 2006.
- 10.6 Employment Agreement by and between Direct General Corporation, Elara Holdings, Inc., and J. Todd Hagely dated as of December 4, 2006 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 5, 2006.



Edgar Filing: DIRECT GENERAL CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.7 Resignation and Restrictive Covenants Agreement by and between Direct General Corporation, Elara Holdings, Inc. and William C. Adair dated as of December 4, 2006 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 5, 2006.
- 10.8 Resignation and Restrictive Covenants Agreement by and between Direct General Corporation, Elara Holdings, Inc. and Jacqueline C. Adair dated as of December 4, 2006 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 5, 2006.
- 10.9 Employment Agreement by and between Direct General Corporation and J. Todd Hagely dated as of August 15, 2005 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on November 9, 2005.

**Table of Contents**

- 10.10 Direct General Corporation 1996 Employee Stock Incentive Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on May 23, 2003.
- 10.11 Direct General Corporation 2003 Equity Incentive Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on May 23, 2003.
- 10.12 Excess of Liability Reinsurance Agreement between Direct General Insurance Company and State National Specialty Insurance Company dated as of October 1, 2002 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on June 27, 2003.
- 10.13 Quota Share Reinsurance Agreement between Direct General Insurance Company and State National Specialty Insurance Company dated as of October 1, 2002 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on May 23, 2003.
- 10.14 Quota Share Reinsurance Agreement between Direct General Insurance Company and Old American County Mutual Fire Insurance Company dated as of January 1, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on June 27, 2003.
- 10.15 Eighth Amended and Restated Loan Agreement by and among Direct General Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and First Tennessee Bank National Association, as agent, and other banks described therein dated as of October 31, 2002, as amended by the First Amendment to the Eighth Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of March 31, 2003 and the Second Amendment to the Eighth Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of May 28, 2003 and the Third Amendment to the Eighth Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of June 30, 2003 and the Fourth Amendment to the Eighth Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of July 17, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on August 1, 2003, the Fifth Amendment to the Eighth Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of November 26, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registration Statement No. 333-113289 filed with the SEC on March 4, 2004, the Sixth Amendment to the Eighth Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of June 30, 2004, the Seventh Amendment to the Eighth Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of December 3, 2004, the Eighth Amendment to the Eighth Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of June 30, 2006 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 8, 2006, and the Ninth Amendment to the Eighth Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of November 22, 2006, but effective as of the effective date defined therein, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006.
- 10.16 Seventh Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement made by Direct General Corporation in favor of First Tennessee Bank National Association, as agent, and other banks described therein dated as of October 31, 2002, as amended by the First Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of March 31, 2003 and the Second Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of May 28, 2003 and the Third Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of June 30, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on August 1, 2003, the Fourth Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of November 26, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registration Statement No. 333-113289 filed with the SEC on March 4, 2004, the Fifth Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of June 30, 2004, the Sixth Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Pledge and Security

Agreement dated December 3, 2004, the Seventh Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated  
Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of June 30, 2006 incorporated herein by

**Table of Contents**

reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 8, 2006, and the Eighth Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of November 22, 2006, but effective as of the effective date defined therein, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006.

- 10.17 Seventh Amended and Restated Security Agreement by and between Direct General Financial Services, Inc. and First Tennessee Bank National Association, as agent, and other banks described therein dated as of October 31, 2002, as amended by the First Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Security Agreement dated as of March 31, 2003 and the Second Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Security Agreement dated as of May 28, 2003 and the Third Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Security Agreement dated as of June 30, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on August 1, 2003, the Fourth Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Security Agreement dated as of November 26, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registration Statement No. 333-113289 filed with the SEC on March 4, 2004, the Fifth Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Security Agreement dated as of June 30, 2004, the Sixth Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Security Agreement dated as of December 3, 2004, the Seventh Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restate Security Agreement dated as of June 30, 2006 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 8, 2006, and the Eighth Amendment to the Seventh Amended and Restated Security Agreement dated as of November 22, 2006, but effective as of the effective date defined therein, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006.
- 10.18 Fifteenth Amended and Restated Guaranty Agreement by and between Direct General Financial Services, Inc., Direct General Premium Finance Company, First Tennessee Bank National Association, as agent, and other banks described therein dated as of June 30, 2006, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 8, 2006.
- 10.19 Third Amended and Restated Loan Agreement among Direct General Corporation, Direct General Financial Services, Inc., First Tennessee Bank National Association and Hibernia National Bank dated as of October 31, 2002 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on June 27, 2003.
- 10.20 Florida MGA Agreement between Direct General Insurance Company, Inc. and the Maitland Underwriters dated as of August 16, 1999 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on June 27, 2003.
- 10.21 Option Agreement between Direct General Insurance Agency, Inc. and LR3 Enterprises, Inc. and Maitland Underwriters, Inc. dated as of August 16, 1999 as amended by the Letter Agreements dated January 9, 2001 and February 20, 2002 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.23 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on June 27, 2003.
- 10.22 Managing General Agency Agreement between Direct General Insurance Agency Inc. and Old American County Mutual Fire Insurance Company dated as of January 1, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.24 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on June 27, 2003.

10.23

Edgar Filing: DIRECT GENERAL CORP - Form 10-K

Option Agreement between Direct General Insurance Agency, Inc. and All American General Agency, Inc., Guaranteed Insurance Agency, Inc., and certain guarantors described therein dated as of January 1, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.25 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on June 27, 2003.

- 10.24 Texas Sub-Producers Agreement between Direct General Insurance Agency, Inc. and All American General Agency, Inc. dated as of January 1, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.26 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on June 27, 2003.

**Table of Contents**

- 10.25 Security Trust Agreement between Direct General Insurance Company and Old American County Mutual Fire Insurance Company and First Tennessee Bank National Association dated as of January 1, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.27 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on June 27, 2003.
- 10.26 Stock Purchase Agreement and Letter between Direct General Corporation and Mutual Service Casualty Insurance Company dated as of December 2, 2002 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.28 of the Registration Statement No. 333-105505 filed with the SEC on June 27, 2003.
- 10.27 Stock Purchase Agreement among Direct General Corporation, New York Life and Health Insurance Company, NYLCare Health Plans, Inc. and Aetna Inc. dated as of June 26, 2003 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.31 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on September 23, 2003.
- 10.28 Indenture by and between Direct General Corporation and Wilmington Trust Company dated as of September 15, 2005 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on November 9, 2005.
- 10.29 Guarantee Agreement by and between Direct General Corporation and Wilmington Trust Company dated as of September 15, 2005 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on November 9, 2005.
- 10.30 Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust by and among Wilmington Trust Company, Direct General Corporation and William J. Harter, J. Todd Hagely and Matthew P. McClure dated as of September 15, 2005 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on November 9, 2005.
- 10.31 Form of Director Indemnification Agreement entered into between Direct General Corporation and directors William C. Adair, Jr., Jacqueline C. Adair, Fred H. Medling, Raymond L. Osterhout and Stephen L. Rohde, dated December 4, 2006 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 5, 2006.
- 10.32 Form of Special Committee Indemnification Agreement entered into between Direct General Corporation and Special Committee members Fred H. Medling, Raymond L. Osterhout and Stephen L. Rohde, dated December 4, 2006 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 5, 2006.
- 14 \*Code of Ethics and Policy on Business Conduct.
- 21 \*List of Subsidiaries of the Company.
- 23 \*Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 31.1 \*Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002).
- 31.2 \*Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002).
- 32.1 \*Rule 1350 Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002).

32.2 \*Rule 1350 Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002).

\* Filed herewith.

(b) Exhibits:

The applicable exhibits are included immediately after the signature pages.

(c) Financial Statement Schedules:

The applicable financial statement schedules are included immediately after the signature pages.

98

---

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Sections 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**DIRECT GENERAL  
CORPORATION  
(Registrant)**

March 14, 2007  
Date

By: /s/ William C. Adair, Jr.

(Signature)

Name: William C. Adair, Jr.

Title: Chairman, Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

March 14, 2007  
Date

By: /s/ William C. Adair, Jr.

(Signature)

Name: William C. Adair, Jr.

Title: Chairman, Chief Executive Officer

March 14, 2007  
Date

By: /s/ Jacqueline C. Adair

(Signature)

Name: Jacqueline C. Adair

Title: Director, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer

March 14, 2007  
Date

By: /s/ Fred H. Medling

(Signature)

Name: Fred H. Medling

Title: Director

March 14, 2007  
Date

By: /s/ Raymond L. Osterhout

(Signature)

Name: Raymond L. Osterhout

Title: Director

March 14, 2007  
Date

By: /s/ Stephen L. Rohde

(Signature)

Name: Stephen L. Rohde

Title: Director

March 14, 2007  
Date

By: /s/ J. Todd Hagely



(Signature)

Name: J. Todd Hagely

Title: Senior Vice President and Chief Financial  
Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)

99

---

Table of Contents

**DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**SCHEDULE I. SUMMARY OF INVESTMENTS**  
**OTHER THAN INVESTMENTS IN RELATED PARTIES**  
**December 31, 2006**

| <b>Type of Investment</b>                                           | <b>Cost</b> | <b>Value<br/>(In thousands)</b> | <b>Amount at<br/>Which Shown<br/>in<br/>the Balance<br/>Sheet</b> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Available-for-sale fixed maturity securities:                       |             |                                 |                                                                   |
| Bonds:                                                              |             |                                 |                                                                   |
| United States government and government agencies and<br>authorities | \$ 87,593   | \$ 86,649                       | \$ 86,649                                                         |
| States, municipalities, and political subdivisions                  | 72,195      | 72,062                          | 72,062                                                            |
| Public utilities                                                    | 14,685      | 14,334                          | 14,334                                                            |
| All other corporate bonds                                           | 246,473     | 242,398                         | 242,398                                                           |
| Total fixed maturities                                              | 420,946     | 415,443                         | 415,443                                                           |
| Short-term investments and other invested assets                    | 4,267       | 4,267                           | 4,267                                                             |
| Total investments                                                   | \$ 425,213  | \$ 419,710                      | \$ 419,710                                                        |

Table of Contents

**DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION (PARENT COMPANY)**  
**SCHEDULE II. CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT**  
**Condensed Statements of Operations**

|                                                                   | <b>For the Years Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                                                   | <b>2006</b>                             | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                                                                   | <b>(In thousands)</b>                   |             |             |
| <b>Revenues</b>                                                   |                                         |             |             |
| Management fees from non-insurance subsidiaries                   | \$ 1,576                                | \$ 6,288    | \$ 17,690   |
| Dividends from insurance subsidiaries                             | 7,200                                   | 11,650      | 1,000       |
| Other income                                                      | 244                                     | 187         | 128         |
| Total revenues                                                    | 9,020                                   | 18,125      | 18,818      |
| <b>Expenses</b>                                                   |                                         |             |             |
| General and administrative expenses                               | 1,408                                   | 44          | 978         |
| Interest expense                                                  | 3,465                                   | 1,124       |             |
| Total expenses                                                    | 4,873                                   | 1,168       | 978         |
| Income before income taxes and equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 4,147                                   | 16,957      | 17,840      |
| Income tax (benefit) expense                                      | (1,134)                                 | 1,501       | 6,633       |
| Income before equity in earnings of subsidiaries                  | 5,281                                   | 15,456      | 11,207      |
| Equity earnings in subsidiaries                                   | 22,720                                  | 23,555      | 42,778      |
| Net income                                                        | \$ 28,001                               | \$ 39,011   | \$ 53,985   |

See notes to condensed financial statements

Table of Contents

**DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION (PARENT COMPANY)**  
**SCHEDULE II. CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT**  
**Condensed Balance Sheets**

|                                                                                                                     | As of December 31,<br>2005<br>(Restated<br>See Note 1)<br>2006<br>(In thousands) |            |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| <b>Assets</b>                                                                                                       |                                                                                  |            |
| Debt securities available-for-sale (amortized cost \$2,800 and \$2,900 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively) | \$ 2,800                                                                         | \$ 2,900   |
| Other invested assets                                                                                               | 1,238                                                                            | 1,238      |
| Cash and cash equivalents                                                                                           | 476                                                                              | 1,157      |
| Receivables from affiliated companies, net                                                                          | 28,623                                                                           | 19,623     |
| Investment in subsidiaries                                                                                          | 265,094                                                                          | 240,948    |
| Income tax recoverable                                                                                              | 1,611                                                                            | 6,492      |
| Other assets                                                                                                        | 5,814                                                                            | 6,049      |
| Total assets                                                                                                        | \$ 305,656                                                                       | \$ 278,407 |
| <b>Liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>                                                                         |                                                                                  |            |
| Deferred income taxes                                                                                               | \$ 129                                                                           | \$ 26      |
| Notes and debentures payable                                                                                        | 42,230                                                                           | 42,346     |
| Other liabilities                                                                                                   | 265                                                                              | 183        |
| Total liabilities                                                                                                   | 42,624                                                                           | 42,555     |
| <b>Shareholders' equity</b>                                                                                         |                                                                                  |            |
| Common stock                                                                                                        | 71,008                                                                           | 69,700     |
| Retained earnings                                                                                                   | 194,845                                                                          | 170,100    |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss                                                                                | (2,821)                                                                          | (3,948)    |
| Total shareholders' equity                                                                                          | 263,032                                                                          | 235,852    |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity                                                                          | \$ 305,656                                                                       | \$ 278,407 |

See notes to condensed financial statements

Table of Contents

**DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION (PARENT COMPANY)**  
**SCHEDULE II. CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT**  
**Condensed Statements of Cash Flows**

|                                                            | <b>For the Years Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                                            | <b>2006</b>                             | <b>2005</b> | <b>2004</b> |
|                                                            | <b>(In thousands)</b>                   |             |             |
| <b>Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities</b> | \$ (5,835)                              | \$ 2,231    | \$ 8,574    |
| <b>Investing activities</b>                                |                                         |             |             |
| Investment in subsidiaries                                 | (300)                                   | (11,807)    | (11,500)    |
| Advance to subsidiary to paydown on credit facility        |                                         |             | (13,000)    |
| Dividends received from insurance subsidiaries             | 7,200                                   | 1,650       | 1,000       |
| Dividends received from non-insurance subsidiaries         | 218                                     | 10,000      |             |
| Purchase of common stock in trust                          |                                         | (1,238)     |             |
| Sale (purchase) of debt securities available-for-sale      | 100                                     | 100         | (3,000)     |
| Net cash provided by (used in) by investing activities     | 7,218                                   | (1,295)     | (26,500)    |
| <b>Financing activities</b>                                |                                         |             |             |
| Issuances of common stock                                  | 1,308                                   | 612         | 17,031      |
| Repurchase of common stock                                 |                                         | (40,075)    |             |
| (Payment) and proceeds from debentures issued              |                                         | 41,238      |             |
| Proceeds from other notes payable                          | (116)                                   | 1,108       |             |
| Payment of common stock dividends                          | (3,256)                                 | (3,409)     | (3,542)     |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities        | (2,064)                                 | (526)       | 13,489      |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents       | (681)                                   | 410         | (4,437)     |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year     | 1,157                                   | 747         | 5,184       |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year           | \$ 476                                  | \$ 1,157    | \$ 747      |

See notes to condensed financial statements

**Table of Contents**

**DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION (PARENT COMPANY)**  
**SCHEDULE II. CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT**  
**Note to Condensed Financial Statements**

**1. Basis of Presentation**

The condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of Direct General Corporation and Subsidiaries.

In the Direct General Corporation ( Parent Company ) financial statements, the Parent Company's investment in subsidiaries is stated at cost plus equity in undistributed income of subsidiaries since the date of acquisition, net unrealized gains/losses on the subsidiaries' debt securities available-for-sale, and other changes in subsidiaries accumulated other comprehensive income.

During 2006, the company corrected its accounting for unearned policy fees as of January 1, 2004 and restated the relevant December 31, 2005 balance sheet amounts. In prior years, the impact of the unearned policy fee calculation difference was not material. However, this difference became material in 2006 because of the decline in operations. The impact of the adjustment on the statement of operations for any of the years presented was not material.

The following is a summary of the line items impacted by the restatement of the December 31, 2005 balance sheet:

|                                            | <b>December 31, 2005</b>              |                    |                 |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|                                            | <b>As<br/>Previously<br/>Reported</b> | <b>Adjustments</b> | <b>Restated</b> |
| Investment in subsidiary                   | \$242,678                             | \$(1,680)          | \$240,948       |
| Total assets                               | 280,087                               | (1,680)            | 278,407         |
| Retained earnings                          | 171,780                               | (1,680)            | 170,100         |
| Total shareholders' equity                 | 237,532                               | (1,680)            | 235,852         |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | 280,087                               | (1,680)            | 278,407         |

**2. Notes and Debentures Payable**

In December 2004, the Parent Company entered into a \$30,000,000 revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2006, no amounts were outstanding. See Note 6 to consolidated financial statements for a description of this credit facility.

In September 2005, Direct General Statutory Trust I ( DGST I ), a wholly owned unconsolidated subsidiary trust of the Company, issued 40,000 shares of preferred securities at \$1,000 per share to outside investors and 1,238 shares of common securities to the Company, also at \$1,000 per share. The sole assets of DGST I are \$41,238,000 of junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company. The debentures will mature on September 15, 2035 and are redeemable by the Company in whole or in part beginning on September 15, 2010, at which time the preferred securities are callable. The debentures pay a fixed rate of 7.915% until September 15, 2010, after which the rate becomes variable. Interest expense of \$3,385,000 is included in the accompanying financial statements related to these debentures. See Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements for more information.

**3. Capital Stock**

During 2005, the Company repurchased 2,157,871 shares of its outstanding common stock for an aggregate price of approximately \$40,075,000.

See Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements for a description of the Parent Company's capital structure.

**Table of Contents**

**4. Pending Merger**

On December 4, 2006, the Company entered into a definitive merger agreement with Elara Holdings, Inc., or Elara, an affiliate of Calera Capital and TPG Capital (formerly known as Fremont Partners and Texas Pacific Group respectively), and Elara Merger Corporation, pursuant to which Elara agreed to acquire all of the Company's outstanding stock (the "Elara Merger"). Upon completion of the Elara Merger, the Company's shareholders will receive \$21.25 in cash for each share of the Company's common stock they hold, a 28.71% premium to the closing price of \$16.51 on December 4, 2006. The total value of the Elara Merger, including debt, is approximately \$629,200,000. Our Board of Directors has approved the transaction and on March 8, 2007, our stockholders voted to approve the merger. The merger is subject to customary conditions, including approval from the relevant state insurance and finance commissioner or banking or similar department prior to the acquisition. All required filings have been made.

**5. Subsequent Events    Litigation Settlements**

In early 2007, we engaged in mediation with lead plaintiffs in a pending consolidated federal class action that resulted in a tentative oral settlement agreement. On March 2, 2007 the parties to the consolidated class action entered into a Memorandum of Understanding ( "March 2<sup>nd</sup> MOU" ) that sets forth a settlement in principle of this action. The stipulated settlement amount in the March 2<sup>nd</sup> MOU is \$14.94 million, which is apportioned among the defendants, and the plaintiffs have agreed to dismiss with prejudice all claims against all defendants to the action. Neither we nor any other defendant in this action has admitted any liability or fault. The March 2<sup>nd</sup> MOU is subject to several conditions, including approval by the Federal District Court. In addition, the defendants may withdraw from the settlement, if a to be agreed upon percent of the class members opt out of the settlement. The parties will submit a written Stipulation of Settlement to the Federal District Court seeking preliminary approval of the settlement.

On March 6, 2007, we and the other defendants in the consolidated federal shareholder derivative action entered into a Memorandum of Understanding ( "March 6<sup>th</sup> MOU" ) with the Plaintiffs in that action. Pursuant to the terms of the March 6<sup>th</sup> MOU, the defendants will pay an award of attorney's fees and expenses of \$675,000, and the federal derivative action, and related state derivative and state class actions, claims will either be voluntarily dismissed with prejudice, or the parties in the federal derivative litigation will take such action as is necessary to have such claims dismissed with prejudice. Neither we nor any other defendant in these actions has admitted any liability or fault. The parties also are negotiating terms that would provide the plaintiffs with certain benefits if Elara sells or divests Direct General Corporation within a certain time after the Elara Merger closes. The settlement is subject to several conditions, including approval by the court in which the federal litigation is pending.



Table of Contents**DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
SCHEDULE IV. REINSURANCE**

|                                     |                 | Ceded to           | Assumed<br>from                      |               | Percentage<br>of<br>Amount<br>Assumed<br>to<br>Net |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------|
|                                     | Gross<br>Amount | Other<br>Companies | Other<br>Companies<br>(In thousands) | Net<br>Amount |                                                    |
| <b>Year ended December 31, 2006</b> |                 |                    |                                      |               |                                                    |
| Life insurance in force             | \$ 1,678,905    | \$                 | \$                                   | \$ 1,678,905  | 0.0%                                               |
| Premiums earned:                    |                 |                    |                                      |               |                                                    |
| Life insurance                      | \$ 20,950       | \$                 | \$                                   | \$ 20,950     | 0.0%                                               |
| Property and liability insurance    | 404,528         | 21,728             | 21,027                               | 403,827       | 5.2%                                               |
| Total premiums earned               | \$ 425,478      | \$ 21,728          | \$ 21,027                            | \$ 424,777    | 5.0%                                               |
| <b>Year ended December 31, 2005</b> |                 |                    |                                      |               |                                                    |
| Life insurance in force             | \$ 1,471,075    | \$                 | \$                                   | \$ 1,471,075  | 0.0%                                               |
| Premiums earned:                    |                 |                    |                                      |               |                                                    |
| Life insurance                      | \$ 18,761       | \$                 | \$                                   | \$ 18,761     | 0.0%                                               |
| Property and liability insurance    | 413,213         | 57,463             | 29,588                               | 385,338       | 7.7%                                               |
| Total premiums earned               | \$ 431,974      | \$ 57,463          | \$ 29,588                            | \$ 404,099    | 7.3%                                               |
| <b>Year ended December 31, 2004</b> |                 |                    |                                      |               |                                                    |
| Life insurance in force             | \$ 1,374,490    | \$                 | \$                                   | \$ 1,374,490  | 0.0%                                               |
| Premiums earned:                    |                 |                    |                                      |               |                                                    |
| Life insurance                      | \$ 16,272       | \$                 | \$                                   | \$ 16,272     | 0.0%                                               |
| Property and liability insurance    | 416,305         | 99,317             | 39,246                               | 356,234       | 11.0%                                              |
| Total premiums earned               | \$ 432,577      | \$ 99,317          | \$ 39,246                            | \$ 372,506    | 10.5%                                              |

**Table of Contents****DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
SCHEDULE V. VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

| <b>Description</b>                                | <b>Balance<br/>at<br/>Beginning<br/>of<br/>Period</b> | <b>Charged to<br/>Costs and<br/>Expenses</b> | <b>Charged to<br/>Other<br/>Accounts<br/>(In thousands)</b> | <b>Deductions</b>         | <b>Balance at<br/>End of<br/>Period</b> |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| <b>Year ended December 31, 2006</b>               |                                                       |                                              |                                                             |                           |                                         |
| Allowance for finance receivable losses           | \$6,419                                               | \$                                           | \$ 11,322 <sup>(a)</sup>                                    | \$(10,929) <sup>(c)</sup> | \$ 6,812                                |
| Provision for return ceding commissions           | 1,007                                                 | (1,007)                                      |                                                             |                           |                                         |
| Provision for return commissions and service fees | 5,038                                                 |                                              | 476 <sup>(b)</sup>                                          |                           | 5,514                                   |
| <b>Year ended December 31, 2005</b>               |                                                       |                                              |                                                             |                           |                                         |
| Allowance for finance receivable losses           | \$6,174                                               | \$                                           | \$ 10,266 <sup>(a)</sup>                                    | \$(10,021) <sup>(c)</sup> | \$ 6,419                                |
| Provision for return ceding commissions           | 1,136                                                 | (129)                                        |                                                             |                           | 1,007                                   |
| Provision for return commissions and service fees | 4,982                                                 |                                              | 56 <sup>(b)</sup>                                           |                           | 5,038                                   |
| <b>Year ended December 31, 2004</b>               |                                                       |                                              |                                                             |                           |                                         |
| Allowance for finance receivable losses           | \$5,942                                               | \$                                           | \$ 7,270 <sup>(a)</sup>                                     | \$ (7,038) <sup>(c)</sup> | \$ 6,174                                |
| Provision for return ceding commissions           | 3,091                                                 | (1,955)                                      |                                                             |                           | 1,136                                   |
| Provision for return commissions and service fees | 4,929                                                 |                                              | 53 <sup>(b)</sup>                                           |                           | 4,982                                   |

<sup>(a)</sup> provision for finance receivable losses charged to finance income

<sup>(b)</sup> provision for return commissions and administrative fees charged to commission and service fee

income

(c) charge-offs, net  
of recoveries

107

---

Table of Contents**DIRECT GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****SCHEDULE VI. SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

| Affiliation with<br>Registrant           | Acquisition<br>Costs | Reserves<br>for Unpaid<br>Policy Claims<br>Adjustment | Discount,<br>if any,<br>Deductible<br>In Col. C | Unearned<br>Premiums | Earned<br>Premiums | Investment<br>Income<br>(In thousands) | Claims and<br>Claim<br>Adjustment<br>Expenses<br>Incurred to | Amortization<br>of<br>Deferred<br>Policy | Paid<br>Claims<br>and<br>Claim | Written<br>Premium |            |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
|                                          |                      |                                                       |                                                 |                      |                    |                                        | Current                                                      | Prior Acquisition                        | Adjustment                     |                    |            |
|                                          |                      |                                                       |                                                 |                      |                    |                                        | Year                                                         | Years                                    | Costs                          |                    | Expenses   |
| Consolidated property casualty entities: |                      |                                                       |                                                 |                      |                    |                                        |                                                              |                                          |                                |                    |            |
| December 31,<br>2006                     | \$ 20,633            | \$ 135,829                                            | \$ 0                                            | \$ 215,033           | \$ 403,827         | \$ 17,501                              | \$ 318,615                                                   | \$ (316)                                 | \$ 53,413                      | \$ 301,663         | \$ 435,864 |
| December 31,<br>2005                     | \$ 13,294            | \$ 130,718                                            | \$ 0                                            | \$ 204,734           | \$ 385,339         | \$ 13,558                              | \$ 296,923                                                   | \$ 6,972                                 | \$ 37,625                      | \$ 291,998         | \$ 380,749 |
| December 31,<br>2004                     | \$ 12,202            | \$ 124,128                                            | \$ 0                                            | \$ 214,426           | \$ 356,234         | \$ 10,224                              | \$ 273,771                                                   | \$ 6,497                                 | \$ 31,633                      | \$ 253,152         | \$ 391,023 |