

Edgar Filing: - Form

Form

Unknown document format

es, Serif">	1,331	\$2,667	\$256	\$430	\$501	\$3	\$91	\$249	\$375	\$5,903	Provision for loan							
losses (74)	262	(9)	(8)	53	—	—	(79)	(45)	100	Loans charged								
off (1)	(355)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(356)	Recoveries 23	10	—	—	—	—	—	—	33	Ending balance, June
2013	\$1,279	\$2,584	\$247	\$422	\$554	\$3	\$91	\$170	\$330	\$5,680								

21

Edgar Filing: - Form

The Company's aging analysis of the loan and lease portfolio at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are summarized below:

June 30, 2014

(dollars in thousands)

	Past Due				Past Due		Greater Than 90 Days and	
	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Greater Than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans	Accruing	Nonaccrual
	Past Due	Past Due	90 Days	Due				
Commercial:								
Commercial	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 666	\$ 666	\$24,112	\$ 24,778	—	\$ 701
Real estate:								
Commercial	845	—	145	990	184,384	185,374	—	654
Multi-family	—	—	—	—	10,224	10,224	—	—
Construction	—	—	—	—	5,927	5,927	—	—
Residential	—	—	—	—	16,595	16,595	—	—
Other:								
Leases	—	—	—	—	1,262	1,262	—	—
Agriculture	—	—	—	—	2,962	2,962	—	—
Consumer	257	—	—	257	4,852	5,109	—	151
Total	\$ 1,102	\$ —	\$ 811	\$ 1,913	\$250,318	\$ 252,231	\$ —	\$ 1,506

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

	Past Due				Past Due		Greater Than 90 Days and	
	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Greater Than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans	Accruing	Nonaccrual
	Past Due	Past Due	90 Days	Due				
Commercial:								
Commercial	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 798	\$ 798	\$23,747	\$ 24,545	—	\$ 766
Real estate:								
Commercial	1,598	336	713	2,647	181,557	184,204	80	977
Multi-family	—	—	—	—	11,085	11,085	—	—
Construction	—	—	—	—	9,633	9,633	—	—
Residential	—	—	—	—	17,703	17,703	—	—
Other:								
Leases	—	—	—	—	1,344	1,344	—	—
Agriculture	—	—	—	—	3,120	3,120	—	—
Consumer	25	1	90	116	5,656	5,772	—	156
Total	\$ 1,623	\$ 337	\$ 1,601	\$ 3,561	\$253,845	\$ 257,406	\$ 80	\$ 1,899

22

9. BORROWING ARRANGEMENTS

At June 30, 2014, the Company had \$17,000,000 of unsecured short-term borrowing arrangements with two of its correspondent banks. There were no advances under the borrowing arrangements as of June 30, 2014 or December 31, 2013.

The Company has a line of credit available with the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco (the "FHLB") which is secured by pledged mortgage loans and investment securities. Borrowings may include overnight advances as well as loans with terms of up to thirty years. Advances (both short-term and long-term) totaling \$11,000,000 were outstanding from the FHLB at June 30, 2014, bearing interest rates ranging from 0.24% to 1.91% and maturing between March 16, 2015 and July 12, 2019. Advances totaling \$16,000,000 were outstanding from the FHLB at December 31, 2013, bearing interest rates ranging from 1.19% to 2.73% and maturing between January 13, 2014 and July 12, 2019. Remaining amounts available under the borrowing arrangement with the FHLB at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 totaled \$69,941,000 and \$56,230,000, respectively. In addition, the Company has a secured borrowing agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. The borrowing can be secured by pledging selected loans and investment securities. Borrowings generally are short-term including overnight advances as well as loans with terms up to ninety days. Amounts available under this borrowing arrangement at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were \$19,842,000 and \$21,358,000, respectively. There were no advances outstanding under this borrowing arrangement as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

10. INCOME TAXES

The Company files its income taxes on a consolidated basis with its subsidiaries. The allocation of income tax expense (benefit) represents each entity's proportionate share of the consolidated provision for (benefit from) income taxes.

The Company accounts for income taxes using the balance sheet method, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the tax consequences of temporary differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment. On the consolidated balance sheet, net deferred tax assets are included in accrued interest receivable and other assets.

The benefit of a tax position is recognized in the financial statements in the period during which, based on all available evidence, management believes it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of appeals or litigation processes, if any. Tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with the applicable taxing authority. The portion of the benefits associated with tax positions taken that exceeds the amount measured as described above, if applicable, is reflected as a liability for unrecognized tax benefits in the accompanying balance sheet along with any associated interest and penalties that would be payable to the taxing authorities upon examination. The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits, if applicable, as a component of interest expense in the consolidated statement of income. There have been no unrecognized tax benefits or accrued interest and penalties for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Edgar Filing: - Form

The combined federal and state effective tax rate for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 was 34.7%, an increase from 28.5% for the second quarter of 2013. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, the combined federal and state effective tax rate was 34.7% compared to 24.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The higher combined federal and state effective tax rate in 2014 for the three-month and six-month periods resulted from higher pretax income in 2014 and significantly less benefits of Enterprise Zone credits on our State tax return as the program has been significantly reduced effective January 1, 2014. In addition, the 2013 tax provision benefited from the tax-free income related to the bank owned life insurance benefit.

11. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following tables present information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. They indicate the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

Estimated fair values are disclosed for financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate fair value. These estimates are made at a specific point in time based on relevant market data and information about the financial instruments. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument for sale at one time, nor do they attempt to estimate the value of anticipated future business related to the instruments. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in any of these estimates.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows (dollars in thousands):

June 30, 2014	Carrying Amount	Fair Value Measurements Using:			Total
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Financial assets:					
Cash and due from banks	\$19,107	\$19,107			\$19,107
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	1,000		\$1,003		1,003
Available-for-sale securities	279,986	88	279,898		279,986
Held-to-maturity securities	1,015		1,087		1,087
FHLB stock	3,686	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Net loans and leases:	246,521			\$249,738	249,738
Accrued interest receivable	1,840		1,165	675	1,840
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits:					
Noninterest-bearing	\$149,169	\$149,169			\$149,169
Savings	53,546	53,546			53,546

Edgar Filing: - Form

Money market	139,592	139,592		139,592
NOW accounts	59,757	59,757		59,757
Time, \$100,000 or more	66,031		\$66,659	66,659
Other time	22,763		22,922	22,922
Short-term borrowings	1,500	1,500		1,500
Long-term borrowings	9,500		9,702	9,702
Accrued interest payable	55		55	55

24

Edgar Filing: - Form

December 31, 2013	Carrying Amount	Fair Value Measurements Using:			Total
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Financial assets:					
Cash and due from banks	\$17,948	\$17,948			\$17,948
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	1,000		\$1,000		1,000
Available-for-sale securities	272,791	70	272,721		272,791
Held-to-maturity securities	1,185		1,263		1,263
FHLB stock	3,248	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Net loans and leases:	251,747			\$249,931	249,931
Accrued interest receivable	1,930		1,170	760	1,930
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits:					
Noninterest-bearing	\$145,516	\$145,516			\$145,516
Savings	51,733	51,733			51,733
Money market	135,169	135,169			135,169
NOW accounts	59,042	59,042			59,042
Time, \$100,000 or more	68,990		\$69,763		69,763
Other time	23,240		23,426		23,426
Short-term borrowings	8,000	8,000			8,000
Long-term borrowings	8,000		8,110		8,110
Accrued interest payable	125		125		125

Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the fair values presented.

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company to estimate the fair values of its financial instruments at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

Cash and due from banks: The carrying amounts of cash and short-term instruments approximate fair values and are classified as Level 1.

Interest-bearing deposits in banks: The fair values of interest-bearing deposits in banks are estimated by discounting their future cash flows using rates at each reporting date for instruments with similar remaining maturities offered by comparable financial institutions and are classified as Level 2.

Investment securities: For investment securities, fair values are based on quoted market prices, where available, and are classified as Level 1. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities and indications of value provided by brokers and are classified as Level 2.

Loans and leases: Fair values of loans, excluding loans held for sale, are estimated as follows: For variable rate loans that repriced frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values resulting in a Level 3 classification. Fair values for other loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest

Edgar Filing: - Form

rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality also resulting in a Level 3 classification. The methods utilized to estimate the fair value of loans do not necessarily represent an exit price.

FHLB stock: It is not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability.

25

Deposits: The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and non-interest checking, passbook savings, and certain types of money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amount) resulting in a Level 1 classification. For time deposits, the fair values for fixed rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow methodology that applies market interest rates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Short-term and long-term borrowings: The fair value of short-term borrowings is estimated to be the carrying amount and is classified as Level 1. The fair value of long-term borrowings is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis using interest rates currently available for similar debt instruments and are classified as Level 2.

Accrued interest receivable and payable: The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable approximates fair value resulting in a Level 3 classification and the carrying amount of accrued interest payable approximates fair value resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Off-balance sheet instruments: Fair values for off-balance sheet, credit-related financial instruments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing. The fair value of commitments was not material at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis along with any related gain or loss recognized in the income statement due to fair value changes are presented in the following table:

Description (dollars in thousands)	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total Gains (Losses)
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
June 30, 2014					
Assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis:					
Available-for-sale securities:					
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 251,032		\$ 251,032		
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	27,187		27,187		
Corporate bonds	1,630		1,630		
Corporate stock	137	\$ 88	49		
Total recurring	\$ 279,986	\$ 88	\$ 279,898	\$ —	\$ —
Assets and liabilities measured on a nonrecurring basis:					
Impaired loans:					
Commercial	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Real estate:					
Commercial	294	—	—	294	—
Multi-family	—	—	—	—	—
Construction	—	—	—	—	—
Residential	—	—	—	—	—
Other:					
Agriculture	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer	—	—	—	—	—
Other real estate owned	6,864	—	—	6,864	(106)

Edgar Filing: - Form

Total nonrecurring
26

\$7,158 \$ — \$ — \$ 7,158 \$ (106)

Description (dollars in thousands)	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total Gains (Losses)
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
December 31, 2013					
Assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis:					
Available-for-sale securities:					
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 244,160	\$ —	\$ 244,160	\$ —	\$ —
Corporate Debt securities	1,609	—	1,609	—	—
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	26,903	—	26,903	—	—
Corporate stock	119	70	49	—	—
Total recurring	\$ 272,791	\$ 70	\$ 272,721	\$ —	\$ —
Assets and liabilities measured on a nonrecurring basis:					
Impaired loans:					
Real estate:					
Commercial	\$ 306	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 306	\$ 6
Other real estate owned	6,621	—	—	6,621	(250)
Total nonrecurring	\$ 6,927	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 6,927	\$ (244)

There were no significant transfers between Levels 1 and 2 during the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 or the twelve months ended December 31, 2013.

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument above:

Available-for-sale securities – Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices, if available, and are considered as Level 1, or evaluated using pricing models that vary by asset class and incorporate available trade, bid and other market information and are considered as Level 2. Pricing applications apply available information, as applicable, through processes such as benchmark curves, benchmarking to like securities, sector groupings and matrix pricing.

Impaired loans – The fair value of collateral dependent impaired loans adjusted for specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals and/or evaluations. These appraisals and/or evaluations may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales, cost and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income and other available data. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. The valuation technique used for all Level 3 nonrecurring loans consists of the appraised value less a reserve for past dues taxes and selling costs ranging from 8% to 10%.

Other real estate owned – Certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as OREO are measured at fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are based on real estate appraisals. These appraisals may use a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales, cost and the income approach. Adjustments are

Edgar Filing: - Form

routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income and other available data. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. The valuation technique used for all Level 3 nonrecurring OREO consists of the appraised value less selling costs ranging from 8% to 10%.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following is management's discussion and analysis of the significant changes in American River Bankshares' (the "Company") balance sheet accounts between December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2014 and its income and expense accounts for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. The discussion is designed to provide a better understanding of significant trends related to the Company's financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, capital resources and interest rate sensitivity. This discussion and supporting tables and the consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this report are unaudited. Interest income and net interest income are presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis (FTE) within management's discussion and analysis. Certain matters discussed or incorporated by reference in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q including, but not limited to, matters described in "Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and subject to the safe-harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements may contain words related to future projections including, but not limited to, words such as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "may," "will," "should," "could," "would," and variations of those words and similar words that are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ significantly from those projected. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, the following:

the duration of financial and economic instability and actions taken by the United States Congress and governmental agencies, including the United States Department of the Treasury, to deal with challenges to the U.S. financial system;

the risks presented by a continued economic recession, which could adversely affect credit quality, collateral values, including real estate collateral, investment values, liquidity and loan originations and loan portfolio delinquency rates;

variances in the actual versus projected growth in assets and return on assets;

potential continued or increasing loan and lease losses;

potential increasing levels of expenses associated with resolving nonperforming assets as well as regulatory changes;

changes in the interest rate environment including interest rates charged on loans, earned on securities investments and paid on deposits and other borrowed funds;

competitive effects;

potential declines in fee and other noninterest income earned associated with economic factors, as well as regulatory changes;

general economic conditions nationally, regionally, and within our operating markets could be less favorable than expected or could have a more direct and pronounced effect on us than expected and adversely affect our ability to continue internal growth at historical rates and maintain the quality of our earning assets;

changes in the regulatory environment including government intervention in the U.S. financial system;

changes in business conditions and inflation;

changes in securities markets, public debt markets, and other capital markets;

potential data processing and other operational systems failures or fraud;

potential decline in real estate values in our operating markets;

the effects of uncontrollable events such as terrorism, the threat of terrorism or the impact of the military conflicts in Afghanistan and Iraq and the conduct of the war on terrorism by the United States and its allies, worsening financial and economic conditions, natural disasters, and disruption of power supplies and communications;

changes in accounting standards, tax laws or regulations and interpretations of such standards, laws or regulations;

projected business increases following any future strategic expansion could be lower than expected;

the goodwill we have recorded in connection with acquisitions could become impaired, which may have an adverse impact on our earnings;

the reputation of the financial services industry could experience further deterioration, which could adversely affect our ability to access markets for funding and to acquire and retain customers;

the efficiencies we may expect to receive from any investments in personnel and infrastructure may not be realized;
and

downgrades in the credit rating of the United States by credit rating agencies.

The factors set forth under “Item 1A - Risk Factors” in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, and other cautionary statements and information set forth in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be carefully considered and understood as being applicable to all related forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, when evaluating the business prospects of the Company and its subsidiaries.

Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. By their nature, they involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. The future results and shareholder values may differ significantly from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. You are cautioned not to put undue reliance on any forward-looking statement. Any such statement speaks only as of the date of this report, and in the case of any documents that may be incorporated by reference, as of the date of those documents. We do not undertake any obligation to update or release any revisions to any forward-looking statements, to report any new information, future event or other circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except as required by law. However, your attention is directed to any further disclosures made on related subjects in our subsequent reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K.

Critical Accounting Policies

General

The Company’s financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). The financial information contained within our statements is, to a significant extent, financial information that is based on measures of the financial effects of transactions and events that have already occurred. In addition, GAAP itself may change from one previously acceptable method to another method. Although the economics of our transactions would be the same, the timing of events that would impact our transactions could change.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The allowance for loan and lease losses is an estimate of the probable incurred credit loss risk inherent in our loan and lease portfolio as of the balance sheet date. The allowance is based on two basic principles of accounting: (1) “Accounting for Contingencies,” which requires that losses be accrued when it is probable that a loss has occurred at the balance sheet date and such loss can be reasonably estimated; and (2) the “Receivables” topic, which requires that losses be accrued on impaired loans based on the differences between the value of collateral, present value of future cash flows or values that are observable in the secondary market and the loan or lease balance.

The allowance for loan and lease losses is determined based upon estimates that can and do change when the actual risk, loss events, or changes in other factors, occur. The analysis of the allowance uses an historical loss view as an indicator of future losses and as a result could differ from the actual losses incurred in the future. If the allowance for loan and lease losses falls below that deemed adequate (by reason of loan and lease growth, actual losses, the effect of changes in risk factors, or some combination of these), the Company has a strategy for supplementing the allowance for loan and lease losses, over the short-term. For further information regarding our allowance for loan and lease losses, see “Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses Activity” discussion later in [this Item 2](#).

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recognizes compensation expense over the vesting period in an amount equal to the fair value of all share-based payments which consist of stock options and restricted stock awarded to directors and employees. The fair value of each stock option award is estimated on the date of the award and amortized over the service period using a Black-Scholes-Merton based option valuation model that requires the use of assumptions. Critical assumptions that affect the estimated fair value of each award include expected stock price volatility, dividend yields, option life and

the risk-free interest rate.

29

Goodwill

Business combinations involving the Company's acquisition of equity interests or net assets of another enterprise or the assumption of net liabilities in an acquisition of branches constituting a business may give rise to goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquired entity over the net of the amounts assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The value of goodwill is ultimately derived from the Company's ability to generate net earnings after the acquisition and is not deductible for tax purposes. A decline in net earnings could be indicative of a decline in the fair value of goodwill and result in impairment. For that reason, goodwill is assessed for impairment on an annual basis. Impairment exists when a reporting unit's carrying value of goodwill exceeds its fair value. The most recent annual assessment was performed as of December 31, 2013, and at that time, the Company's reporting unit had positive equity and the Company elected to perform a qualitative assessment to determine if it was more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit exceeded its carrying value, including goodwill. The qualitative assessment indicated that it was more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit exceeded its carrying value, resulting in no impairment.

Income Taxes

The Company files its income taxes on a consolidated basis with its subsidiaries. The allocation of income tax expense (benefit) represents each entity's proportionate share of the consolidated provision for (benefit from) income taxes.

The Company accounts for income taxes using the balance sheet method, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the tax consequences of temporary differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment. On the consolidated balance sheet, net deferred tax assets are included in accrued interest receivable and other assets.

The benefit of a tax position is recognized in the financial statements in the period during which, based on all available evidence, management believes it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of appeals or litigation processes, if any. Tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with the applicable taxing authority. The portion of the benefits associated with tax positions taken that exceeds the amount measured as described above is, if applicable, reflected as a liability for unrecognized tax benefits in the accompanying balance sheet along with any associated interest and penalties that would be payable to the taxing authorities upon examination. The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits, if applicable, as a component of interest expense in the consolidated statement of income. There were no unrecognized tax benefits or accrued interest and penalties at June 30, 2014 or 2013 or for the three-month and six-month periods then ended.

General Development of Business

The Company is a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. The Company was incorporated under the laws of the State of California in 1995. As a bank holding company, the Company is authorized to engage in the activities permitted under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and regulations thereunder. Its principal office is located at 3100 Zinfandel Drive, Suite 450, Rancho Cordova, California 95670 and its telephone number is (916) 854-0123. The Company employed an equivalent of 103 full-time employees as of June 30, 2014.

The Company owns 100% of the issued and outstanding common shares of its banking subsidiary, American River Bank (the "Bank"), and American River Financial, a California corporation which has been inactive since its incorporation in 2003.

Edgar Filing: - Form

American River Bank was incorporated and commenced business in Fair Oaks, California, in 1983 and thereafter moved its headquarters to Sacramento, California in 1985. American River Bank operates five full service offices in Sacramento and Placer Counties including the main office located at 1545 River Park Drive, Suite 107, Sacramento and branch offices in Sacramento, Gold River, and Roseville; two full service offices in Sonoma County in Healdsburg and Santa Rosa; and three full service banking offices in Amador County in Jackson, Pioneer, and Ione.

In addition, American River Bank operates loan production offices in Santa Clara County, in the city of San Jose, and serves the Contra Costa and Alameda County markets through a loan production office in the city of Pleasanton.

In 2000, the Company acquired North Coast Bank as a separate bank subsidiary. North Coast Bank was incorporated and commenced business in 1990 as Windsor Oaks National Bank in Windsor, California. In 1997, the name was changed to North Coast Bank. Effective December 31, 2003, North Coast Bank was merged with and into American River Bank. On December 3, 2004, the Company acquired Bank of Amador located in Jackson, California. Bank of Amador was merged with and into American River Bank.

The Bank's deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") up to applicable legal limits. On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"). The Dodd-Frank Act includes a permanent increase to \$250,000 as the maximum FDIC insurance limit per depositor retroactive to January 1, 2008 and the extension of unlimited FDIC insurance for noninterest-bearing transaction accounts effective December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2012. On November 9, 2010, the FDIC implemented a final rule to permanently increase the maximum insurance limit to \$250,000 under the Dodd-Frank Act. The unlimited insurance coverage for noninterest bearing transaction accounts was not extended and terminated on December 31, 2012. The \$250,000 maximum deposit insurance amount per depositor remains in effect.

American River Bank does not offer trust services or international banking services and does not plan to do so in the near future. American River Bank's primary business is serving the commercial banking needs of small to mid-sized businesses within those counties listed above. American River Bank accepts checking and savings deposits, offers money market deposit accounts and certificates of deposit, makes secured and unsecured commercial, secured real estate, and other installment and term loans and offers other customary banking services. American River Bank also conducts lease financing for certain types of business equipment. American River Bank owns 100% of two inactive companies, ARBCO and American River Mortgage. ARBCO was formed in 1984 to conduct real estate development and has been inactive since 1995. American River Mortgage has been inactive since its formation in 1994. During 2014, the Company conducted no significant activities other than holding the shares of its subsidiaries. However, it is authorized, with the prior approval of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve Board"), the Company's principal regulator, to engage in a variety of activities which are deemed closely related to the business of banking. The common stock of the Company is registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and is listed and traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol "AMRB."

Overview

The Company recorded net income of \$1,035,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, which was an increase of \$383,000 compared to \$652,000 reported for the same period of 2013. Diluted earnings per share for the second quarter of 2014 were \$0.13 compared to \$0.07 recorded in the second quarter of 2013. The return on average equity ("ROAE") and the return on average assets ("ROAA") for the second quarter of 2014 were 4.80% and 0.70%, respectively, as compared to 2.87% and 0.45%, respectively, for the same period in 2013.

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$2,041,000 and \$1,274,000, respectively, with diluted earnings per share of \$0.25 in 2014 and \$0.14 in 2013. For the first six months of 2014, ROAE was 4.74% and

Edgar Filing: - Form

ROAA was 0.69% compared to 2.79% and 0.44%, respectively, for the same period in 2013.

Total assets of the Company increased by \$1,981,000 (0.3%) from \$592,753,000 at December 31, 2013 to \$594,734,000 at June 30, 2014. Net loans totaled \$246,521,000 at June 30, 2014, down \$5,226,000 (2.1%) from \$251,747,000 at December 31, 2013. Deposit balances at June 30, 2014 totaled \$490,858,000, up \$7,168,000 (1.5%) from the \$483,690,000 at December 31, 2013.

The Company ended the second quarter of 2014 with a leverage capital ratio of 11.7%, a Tier 1 capital ratio of 21.4%, and a total risk-based capital ratio of 22.7% compared to 11.9%, 22.0%, and 23.2%, respectively, at December 31, 2013. Table One below provides a summary of the components of net income for the periods indicated (See the “Results of Operations” section that follows for an explanation of the fluctuations in the individual components).

Table One: Components of Net Income

(dollars in thousands)	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	June 30, 2014	2013	June 30, 2014	2013
Interest income*	\$ 5,137	\$ 4,627	\$ 10,199	\$ 9,351
Interest expense	(291)	(375)	(595)	(782)
Net interest income*	4,846	4,252	9,604	8,569
Provision for loan and lease losses	—	(100)	—	(200)
Noninterest income	508	448	1,010	1,073
Noninterest expense	(3,699)	(3,612)	(7,352)	(7,614)
Provision for income taxes	(550)	(260)	(1,085)	(405)
Tax equivalent adjustment	(70)	(76)	(136)	(149)
Net income	\$ 1,035	\$ 652	\$ 2,041	\$ 1,274

Average total assets	\$ 595,828	\$ 583,606	\$ 596,063	\$ 584,774
Net income (annualized) as a percentage of average total assets	0.70 %	0.45 %	0.69 %	0.44 %

* Fully taxable equivalent basis (FTE)

Results of Operations

Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin

Net interest income represents the excess of interest and fees earned on interest earning assets (loans and leases, securities, Federal funds sold and investments in time deposits) over the interest paid on interest-bearing deposits and borrowed funds. Net interest margin is net interest income expressed as a percentage of average earning assets. The Company's net interest margin was 3.66% for the three months ended June 30, 2014, 3.41% for the three months ended June 30, 2013, 3.63% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 3.47% for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

The fully taxable equivalent interest income component for the second quarter of 2014 increased \$510,000 (11.0%) to \$5,137,000 compared to \$4,627,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The increase in the fully taxable equivalent interest income for the second quarter of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013 is broken down by rate (up \$398,000) and volume (up \$112,000). The rate increase primarily occurred in the investment portfolio which can be attributed to a slowdown in the mortgage refinance market. As mortgage refinancing slows it also reduces the principal prepayments that the Company receives on the mortgage backed securities, which reduces the premium amounts amortized on the bonds. A lower amount of amortized premium results in higher interest income. Investment securities added \$393,000 in additional interest income related to rate. The average yield on investments increased from 1.79% from the second quarter of 2013 to 2.31% during the second quarter of 2014. The volume increase of \$112,000 was also related to the investments. When compared to the second quarter of 2013, average investment balances were up \$31,577,000 (12.7%) to \$280,394,000 for the second quarter of 2014.

Total fully taxable equivalent interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2014 increased \$848,000 (9.1%) to \$10,199,000 compared to \$9,351,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The breakdown of the fully taxable equivalent interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2014 over the same period in 2013 resulted from an increase in rate (up \$537,000) and an increase in volume (up \$311,000). Average earning assets increased \$36,454,000 (7.3%) during the first six months of 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. During the six month periods, the Company also experienced an increase in interest income due to the rates on investments (up \$788,000)

but this was partially offset by a reduction in rates on loans. While average loan balances increased by \$2,425,000 (1.0%) from \$252,057,000 during 2013 to \$254,497,000 during 2014, the Company did experience a drop in rates on these loans from 5.73% in 2013 to 5.53% in 2014. This decrease is caused by proceeds of principal pay downs and loan prepayments invested in loans yielding lower rates. The volume increase of \$311,000 is primarily related to an increase in investment balances of \$33,823,000 (13.8%) from \$244,808,000 in 2013 to \$278,631,000 in 2014.

Interest expense was \$94,000 (25.1%) lower in the second quarter of 2014 versus the prior year period, decreasing from \$375,000 to \$291,000. The average balances on interest bearing liabilities were \$351,833,000 (or \$6,666,000 and 1.9% higher) in the second quarter of 2014 compared to \$345,167,000 the same quarter in 2013. The higher balances did not significantly impact the overall interest expense, as these higher balances occurred in the lower cost checking and savings products while the Company experienced decreases in the average balances of time deposits and other borrowings. The Company focused its marketing efforts on replacing higher cost time deposits and borrowings with lower cost checking, savings, and money market accounts. Average time deposit balances were \$90,101,000 (down \$6,546,000 or 6.8%) during the second quarter of 2014 compared to \$96,647,000 during the second quarter of 2013 and average borrowings were \$10,956,000 (down \$6,214,000 or 36.2%) compared to \$17,170,000 during the same time period in 2013. Average interest checking, money market, and savings accounts increased \$19,426,000 (8.4%) from \$231,350,000 in the second quarter of 2013 to \$250,776,000 during the second quarter of 2014. Rates paid on interest bearing liabilities decreased 11 basis points from 0.44% to 0.33% for the second quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter of 2014.

Interest expense was \$595,000 in the six-month period ended June 30, 2014 (or \$187,000 and 23.9% lower) compared to \$782,000 in the prior year period. The average balances on interest-bearing liabilities were \$351,549,000 (up \$6,615,000 or 1.9% higher) in the six-month period ended June 30, 2014 compared \$344,934,000 in the same period in 2013. Although the average balances were higher, the increases occurred in the lower cost checking and savings products while the Company experienced decreases in the average balances of time deposits and other borrowings. Average time deposit balances were \$90,921,000 (down \$5,960,000 or 6.2%) during the first half of 2014 compared to \$96,881,000 during the first half of 2013 and average borrowings were \$11,481,000 (down \$6,102,000 or 34.7%) in the first half of 2014 from \$17,583,000 during the same time period in 2013. Average interest checking, money market, and savings accounts increased \$18,677,000 (8.1%) from \$230,470,000 in the first half of 2013 to \$249,147,000 during the first half of 2014. Rates paid on interest bearing liabilities decreased 12 basis points from 0.46% in the first half of 2013 to 0.34% for the first half of 2013.

Table Two, Analysis of Net Interest Margin on Earning Assets, and Table Three, Analysis of Volume and Rate Changes on Net Interest Income and Expenses, are provided to enable the reader to understand the components and trends of the Company's interest income and expenses. Table Two provides an analysis of net interest margin on earning assets setting forth average assets, liabilities and shareholders' equity; interest income earned and interest expense paid and average rates earned and paid; and the net interest margin on earning assets. Table Three sets forth a summary of the changes in interest income and interest expense from changes in average asset and liability balances (volume) and changes in average interest rates.

Table Two: Analysis of Net Interest Margin on Earning Assets

Three Months Ended June 30,	2014			2013		
(Taxable Equivalent Basis)	Avg		Avg	Avg		Avg
(dollars in thousands)	<u>Balance</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Yield</u> <u>(4)</u>	<u>Balance</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Yield</u> <u>(4)</u>
Assets						
Earning assets:						
Loans and leases (1)	\$250,190	\$3,520	5.64 %	\$250,200	\$3,516	5.65 %
Taxable investment Securities	253,204	1,340	2.12 %	219,057	805	1.48 %
Tax-exempt investment securities (2)	27,102	270	4.00 %	29,720	295	3.99 %
Corporate stock (2)	88	6	27.35 %	40	11	110.60 %
Federal funds sold	—	—	—	—	—	—
Investments in time deposits	1,000	1	0.40 %	838	—	—
Total earning assets	531,584	5,137	3.88 %	499,855	4,627	3.72 %
Cash & due from banks	27,904			41,613		
Other assets	41,864			48,115		
Allowance for loan & lease losses	(5,524)			(5,977)		
	\$595,828			\$583,606		
Liabilities & Shareholders' Equity						
Interest bearing liabilities:						
Interest checking and money market	\$197,753	104	0.21 %	\$180,993	120	0.27 %
Savings	53,023	9	0.07 %	50,357	18	0.14 %
Time deposits	90,101	141	0.63 %	96,647	163	0.68 %
Other borrowings	10,956	37	1.35 %	17,170	74	1.73 %
Total interest bearing liabilities	351,833	291	0.33 %	345,167	375	0.44 %
Noninterest bearing demand deposits	151,058			141,661		
Other liabilities	6,406			5,671		
Total liabilities	509,297			492,499		
Shareholders' equity	86,531			91,107		
	\$595,828			\$583,606		
Net interest income & margin (3)		\$4,846	3.66 %		\$4,252	3.41 %

(1) Loan interest includes loan fees of \$190,000 and \$14,000, respectively, during the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013. Average loan balances include non-performing loans.

(2) Includes taxable-equivalent adjustments that primarily relate to income on certain securities that is exempt from federal income taxes. The effective federal statutory tax rate was 34% for 2014 and 2013.

(3) Net interest margin is computed by dividing net interest income by total average earning assets.

(4) Average yield is calculated based on actual days in the period (91 days) and annualized to actual days in the year (365 days).

Edgar Filing: - Form

Six Months Ended June 30, (Taxable Equivalent Basis) (dollars in thousands)	2014			2013		
	Avg		Avg	Avg		Avg
	<u>Balance</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Yield</u> <u>(4)</u>	<u>Balance</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Yield</u> <u>(4)</u>
Assets						
Earning assets:						
Loans and leases (1)	\$254,497	\$6,975	5.53 %	\$252,072	\$7,158	5.73 %
Taxable investment Securities	251,413	2,678	2.15 %	215,122	1,593	1.49 %
Tax-exempt investment securities (2)	27,131	537	3.99 %	29,658	588	4.00 %
Corporate stock (2)	87	7	16.23 %	28	11	79.22 %
Federal funds sold	—	—	—	—	—	—
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	1,000	2	0.40 %	794	1	0.25 %
Total earning assets	534,128	10,199	3.85 %	497,674	9,351	3.79 %
Cash & due from banks	24,784			43,563		
Other assets	42,643			49,456		
Allowance for loan & lease losses	(5,492)			(5,919)		
	\$596,063			\$584,774		
Liabilities & Shareholders' Equity						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Interest checking and money market	\$196,152	209	0.21 %	\$179,652	248	0.28 %
Savings	52,995	21	0.08 %	50,818	42	0.17 %
Time deposits	90,921	286	0.63 %	96,881	342	0.71 %
Other borrowings	11,481	79	1.39 %	17,583	150	1.72 %
Total interest-bearing liabilities	351,549	595	0.34 %	344,934	782	0.46 %
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	151,368			141,712		
Other liabilities	6,405			5,936		
Total liabilities	509,322			492,582		
Shareholders' equity	86,741			92,192		
	\$596,063			\$584,774		
Net interest income & margin (3)		\$9,604	3.63 %		\$8,569	3.47 %

(1) Loan interest includes loan fees of \$246,000 and \$74,000, respectively, during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013. Average loan balances include non-performing loans.

(2) Includes taxable-equivalent adjustments that primarily relate to income on certain securities that is exempt from federal income taxes. The effective federal statutory tax rate was 34% for 2014 and 2013.

(3) Net interest margin is computed by dividing net interest income by total average earning assets.

(4) Average yield is calculated based on actual days in the period (181 days) and annualized to actual days in the year (365 days).

Table Three: Analysis of Volume and Rate Changes on Net Interest Income and Expenses
Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 over 2013 (dollars in thousands)

Increase (decrease) due to change in:

Interest-earning assets:	Volume	Rate (4)	Net Change
Net loans (1)(2)	\$ —	\$ 4	\$ 4
Taxable investment securities	125	410	535
Tax exempt investment securities (3)	(26)	1	(25)
Corporate stock	13	(18)	(5)
Federal funds sold	—	—	—
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	—	1	1
Total	112	398	510
Interest-bearing liabilities:			
Interest checking and money market	11	(27)	(16)
Savings deposits	1	(10)	(9)
Time deposits	(11)	(11)	(22)
Other borrowings	(27)	(10)	(37)
Total	(26)	(58)	(84)
Interest differential	\$ 138	\$ 456	\$ 594

Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 over 2013 (dollars in thousands)

Increase (decrease) due to change in:

Interest-earning assets:	Volume	Rate (4)	Net Change
Net loans (1)(2)	\$ 69	\$ (252)	\$ (183)
Taxable investment securities	269	816	1,085
Tax exempt investment securities (3)	(50)	(1)	(51)
Corporate stock	23	(27)	(4)
Federal funds sold	—	—	—
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	—	1	1
Total	311	537	848
Interest-bearing liabilities:			
Interest checking and money market	23	(62)	(39)
Savings deposits	2	(23)	(21)
Time deposits	(21)	(35)	(56)
Other borrowings	(52)	(19)	(71)
Total	(48)	(139)	(187)
Interest differential	\$ 359	\$ 676	\$ 1,035

(1) The average balance of non-accruing loans is immaterial as a percentage of total loans and, as such, has been included in net loans.

(2) Loan fees of \$190,000 and \$14,000, respectively, during the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, and loan fees of \$246,000 and \$74,000, respectively, during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, have been included in the interest income computation.

(3) Includes taxable-equivalent adjustments that primarily relate to income on certain securities that is exempt from federal income taxes. The effective federal statutory tax rate was 34% for 2014 and 2013.

(4) The rate/volume variance has been included in the rate variance.
Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The Company did not provide any provision for loan and lease losses for the second quarter of 2014 as compared to \$100,000 for the second quarter of 2013. The Company experienced net loan and lease recoveries of \$89,000 or (0.14%) (on an annualized basis) of average loans and leases for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to net loan and lease losses of \$323,000 or 0.52% (on an annualized basis) of average loans and leases for the three months ended June 30, 2013. For the first six months of 2014, the Company did not make any provisions for loan and lease losses and net loan and lease recoveries were \$116,000 or (0.09%) (on an annualized basis) of average loans and leases outstanding. This compares to provisions for loan and lease losses of \$200,000 and net loan and lease losses of \$301,000 for the first six months of 2013 or 0.24% (on an annualized basis) of average loans and leases outstanding. The Company continued to experience an overall improvement in the credit quality of the loan and lease portfolio and a reduction of credit losses. For additional information see the "Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses Activity."

36

Noninterest Income

Table Four below provides a summary of the components of noninterest income for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):

Table Four: Components of Noninterest Income

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 149	\$ 147	\$ 305	\$ 298
Gain on sale/call of securities	17	3	17	3
Merchant fee income	107	111	209	218
Bank owned life insurance	64	51	129	241
Income from OREO properties	105	71	212	163
Other	66	65	138	150
Total noninterest income	\$ 508	\$ 448	\$ 1,010	\$ 1,073

Noninterest income increased \$60,000 (13.3%) to \$508,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$448,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The increase from the second quarter of 2013 to the second quarter of 2014 was primarily related to an increase in income from OREO properties from \$71,000 in the second quarter of 2013 to \$105,000 in 2014, and an increase in gain on sale of securities from \$3,000 in 2013 to \$17,000 in 2014. The increase in OREO income results from higher rents received from foreclosed office buildings due to increased occupancy and an additional rental property. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, noninterest income decreased \$63,000 (5.9%) to \$1,010,000. The decrease from the first six months of 2013 compared to the same period in 2014 was primarily related to proceeds of a life insurance policy on a former director, resulting in tax-free income of \$118,000 in the first quarter of 2013. The partial offset to this decrease was in rental income from OREO properties which increased from \$163,000 in 2013 to \$212,000 in 2014.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense increased \$87,000 (2.4%) to \$3,699,000 in the second quarter of 2014 compared to \$3,612,000 in the second quarter of 2013. Salary and employee benefits expense decreased \$85,000 (2.7%) from \$2,175,000 during the second quarter of 2013 to \$2,117,000 during the second quarter of 2014. The decrease in salaries and benefits resulted from a lower number of full-time equivalent employees ("FTE"). Average FTE decreased from 109 during the second quarter of 2013 to 103 during the second quarter of 2014. From the second quarter of 2013, to the second quarter of 2014, occupancy expense decreased \$5,000 and furniture and equipment expense decreased \$3,000. FDIC assessments increased from a credit balance of \$16,000 in the second quarter of 2013 to \$91,000 during the second quarter of 2014. The change in the FDIC assessments relates to an adjustment to the accrual in 2013 based upon an internal analysis which significantly lowered the 2013 expense. OREO related expenses decreased \$72,000 (36.9%) during the second quarter of 2014 to \$123,000, from \$195,000 in the second quarter of 2013. The primary reason for the decrease in OREO related expenses resulted from a lower amount of property valuation adjustments. In the second

Edgar Filing: - Form

quarter of 2013 updated appraisals were received requiring write-downs of \$95,000 and in the second quarter of 2014 there were no write-downs required. Other expenses increased \$118,000 (15.4%) to \$884,000 in the second quarter of 2014 compared to \$766,000 in the second quarter of 2013. The primary increase in other expenses relates to higher legal expense which increased from \$43,000 in the second quarter of 2013 to \$155,000 in the second quarter of 2014. The increase in legal expense was primarily the result of the resolution of issues associated with a former OREO property. The fully taxable equivalent efficiency ratio for the second quarter of 2014 decreased to 69.1% from 76.9% for the second quarter of 2013.

Noninterest expense for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014 was \$7,352,000 versus \$7,614,000 for the same period in 2013 for a decrease of \$262,000 (3.4%). Salaries and benefits expense decreased \$156,000 (3.6%) from \$4,393,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 to \$4,237,000 for the same period in 2014. Average FTE decreased from 111 during the first six months of 2013 to 102 during the same period in 2014. Occupancy expense increased \$1,000 and furniture and equipment expense decreased \$19,000. FDIC assessments increased \$84,000 (76.4%) during 2014 to \$194,000, from \$110,000 in 2013. The increase results from the adjustment in 2013, as described above. OREO related expenses decreased \$378,000 (75.6%) during 2014 to \$122,000, from \$500,000 in 2013. The decrease in OREO related expenses resulted from a lower amount of property valuation adjustments in 2014, as well as a sale of property in the first quarter of 2014 that resulted in a gain of \$106,000. The \$106,000 gain on sale is accounted for as a reduction in OREO expenses in 2014. Other expenses increased \$206,000 (12.7%) from \$1,624,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 to \$1,830,000 for the same period in 2014. The increase in other expenses results from higher legal expenses in 2014. Legal expenses increased from \$99,000 during the first six months of 2013 to \$319,000 during the same period in 2014. This increase is primarily related to problem loan credits and the resolution of issues associated with a former OREO property.

The overhead efficiency ratio (fully taxable equivalent), excluding the amortization of intangible assets, for the first six months of 2014 was 69.3% as compared to 79.0% in the same period of 2013.

Provision for Income Taxes

Federal and state income taxes for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 increased by \$290,000 from \$260,000 in the second quarter of 2013 to \$550,000 in the second quarter of 2014. The combined federal and state effective tax rate for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 was 34.7%, an increase from 28.5% for the second quarter of 2013. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, the provision for income taxes was \$1,085,000 with a combined federal and state effective tax rate of 34.7%, compared to a provision of \$405,000 and a combined federal and state effective tax rate of 24.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The higher level of income taxes and effective tax rate in 2014 resulted from the Company realizing significantly less benefits of Enterprise Zone credits on our State tax return as the program has been significantly reduced, an increase in taxable income in 2014, and 2013 included tax-free income related to the life insurance benefit as discussed above.

Balance Sheet Analysis

The Company's total assets were \$594,734,000 at June 30, 2014 compared to \$592,753,000 at December 31, 2013, representing an increase of \$1,981,000 (0.3%). The average assets for the three months ended June 30, 2014 were \$595,828,000, which represents an increase of \$12,222,000 or 2.1% over the balance of \$583,606,000 during the three-month period ended June 30, 2013. The average assets for the six months ended June 30, 2014 were \$596,063,000, which represents an increase of \$11,289,000 or 1.9% from the average balance of \$584,774,000 during the six-month period ended June 30, 2013.

Investment Securities

The Company classifies its investment securities as available-for-sale or held-to-maturity. The Company's intent is to hold all securities classified as held-to-maturity until maturity and management believes that it has the ability to do so. Securities available-for-sale may be sold to implement asset/liability management strategies and in response to changes in interest rates, prepayment rates and similar factors. Table Five below summarizes the values of the Company's investment securities held on June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table Five: Investment Securities Composition

(dollars in thousands)

Available-for-sale (at fair value)	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Debt securities:		
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 251,032	\$ 244,160
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	27,187	26,903
Corporate bonds	1,630	1,609
Corporate stock	137	119
Total available-for-sale investment securities	\$ 279,986	\$ 272,791
Held-to-maturity (at amortized cost)		
Debt securities:		
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 1,015	\$ 1,185
Total held-to-maturity investment securities	\$ 1,015	\$ 1,185

Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale investment securities totaling \$6,171,000 were recorded, net of \$2,468,000 in tax liabilities, as accumulated other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity at June 30, 2014 and net unrealized gains on available-for-sale investment securities totaling \$1,872,000 were recorded, net of \$748,000 in tax liabilities, as accumulated other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity at December 31, 2013.

Management periodically evaluates each investment security in a loss position for other than temporary impairment relying primarily on industry analyst reports, observation of market conditions and interest rate fluctuations. Management has the ability and intent to hold securities with established maturity dates until recovery of fair value, which may be until maturity, and believes it will be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms for all of the underlying investment securities; therefore, management does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

Loans and Leases

The Company's historical lending activities have been in the following principal areas: (1) commercial; (2) commercial real estate; (3) multi-family real estate; (4) real estate construction (both commercial and residential); (5) residential real estate; (6) lease financing receivable; (7) agriculture; and (8) consumer loans. The Company's continuing focus in our market area, new borrowers developed through the Company's marketing efforts, and credit extensions expanded to existing borrowers resulted in the Company originating \$31 million in new loans during the first half of 2014. Normal and unexpected pay downs and one loan transferred to OREO resulted in a net decrease in total loans and leases of \$5.2 million (2.0%) from December 31, 2013. The markets in which the Company operates are seeing marginal new loan volume, but existing borrowers continue to pay down debt and delay expansion plans. Table Six below summarizes the composition of the loan portfolio as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table Six: Loan and Lease Portfolio Composition

(dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013		Change in	Percentage
	\$	%	\$	%	dollars	change
Commercial Real estate	\$24,778	11 %	\$ 24,545	9 %	\$ 233	1.0 %
Commercial	185,374	71 %	184,204	72 %	1,170	0.7 %
Multi-family	10,224	4 %	11,085	4 %	(861)	(7.8 %)
Construction	5,927	3 %	9,633	4 %	(3,706)	(38.5 %)

Edgar Filing: - Form

Residential	16,595	7 %	17,703	7 %	(1,108)	(6.3 %)
Lease financing receivable	1,262	0 %	1,344	1 %	(82)	(6.1 %)
Agriculture	2,962	1 %	3,120	1 %	(158)	(5.1 %)
Consumer	5,109	3 %	5,772	2 %	(663)	(11.5 %)
Total loans and leases	252,231	100 %	257,406	100 %	(5,175)	(2.0 %)
Deferred loan and lease fees, net	(248)		(313)		65	
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(5,462)		(5,346)		(116)	
Total net loans and leases	\$246,521		\$ 251,747		\$ (5,226)	(2.1 %)

39

A significant portion of the Company's loans and leases are direct loans and leases made to individuals and local businesses. The Company relies substantially on local promotional activity and personal contacts by American River Bank officers, directors and employees to compete with other financial institutions. The Company makes loans and leases to borrowers whose applications include a sound purpose and a viable primary repayment source, generally supported by a secondary source of repayment.

Commercial loans consist of credit lines for operating needs, loans for equipment purchases, working capital, and various other business loan products. Consumer loans include a range of traditional consumer loan products such as personal lines of credit and homeowner equity lines of credit and loans to finance purchases of autos, boats, recreational vehicles, mobile homes and various other consumer items. Construction loans are generally comprised of commitments to customers within the Company's service area for construction of commercial properties, multi-family properties and custom and semi-custom single-family residences. Other real estate loans consist primarily of loans secured by first trust deeds on commercial, multi-family, and residential properties typically with maturities from 3 to 10 years and original loan-to-value ratios generally from 65% to 75%. Agriculture loans consist primarily of vineyard loans and development loans to plant vineyards. In general, except in the case of loans under SBA programs or Farm Services Agency guarantees, the Company does not make long-term mortgage loans.

"Subprime" real estate loans generally refer to residential mortgages made to higher-risk borrowers with lower credit and/or income histories. Within the banking industry, many of these loans were originated with adjustable interest rates that reset upward after an introductory period. These "subprime" loans coupled with declines in housing prices led to an increase in default rates resulting in many instances of increased foreclosure rates as the adjustable interest rates reset to higher levels. The Company did not have any such "subprime" loans at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Risk Elements

The Company assesses and manages credit risk on an ongoing basis through a total credit culture that emphasizes excellent credit quality, extensive internal monitoring and established formal lending policies. Additionally, the Company contracts with an outside loan review consultant to periodically review the existing loan and lease portfolio. Management believes its ability to identify and assess risk and return characteristics of the Company's loan and lease portfolio is critical for profitability and growth. Management strives to continue its emphasis on credit quality in the loan and lease approval process, through active credit administration and regular monitoring. With this in mind, management has designed and implemented a comprehensive loan and lease review and grading system that functions to continually assess the credit risk inherent in the loan and lease portfolio.

Ultimately, underlying trends in economic and business cycles influence credit quality. American River Bank's business is concentrated in the Sacramento Metropolitan Statistical Area, which is a diversified economy, but with a large State of California government presence and employment base; in Sonoma County, which is focused on businesses within the two communities in which the Bank has offices (Santa Rosa and Healdsburg); and in Amador County, in which the Bank is primarily focused on businesses within the three communities in which it has offices (Jackson, Pioneer, and Ione). The economy of Sonoma County is diversified with professional services, manufacturing, agriculture and real estate investment and construction, while the economy of Amador County is reliant upon government, services, retail trade, manufacturing industries and Indian gaming. The Company has recently entered the Santa Clara, Contra Costa, and Alameda County markets with loan production offices in Pleasanton and San Jose. The economies of Santa Clara, Contra Costa and Alameda Counties are diversified with professional services, manufacturing, technology related companies, real estate investment and construction.

The Company has significant extensions of credit and commitments to extend credit that are secured by real estate. The ultimate repayment of these loans is generally dependent on personal or business cash flows or the sale or refinancing of the real estate. The Company monitors the effects of current and expected market conditions and other

Edgar Filing: - Form

factors on the collectability of real estate loans. The more significant factors management considers involve the following: lease rates and terms, vacancy rates, absorption and sale rates and capitalization rates; real estate values, supply and demand factors, and rates of return; operating expenses; inflation and deflation; and sufficiency of repayment sources independent of the real estate including, in some instances, personal guarantees.

40

In extending credit and commitments to borrowers, the Company generally requires collateral and/or guarantees as security. The repayment of such loans is expected to come from cash flow or from proceeds from the sale of selected assets of the borrowers. The Company's requirement for collateral and/or guarantees is determined on a case-by-case basis in connection with management's evaluation of the creditworthiness of the borrower. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, income-producing properties, residences and other real property. The Company secures its collateral by perfecting its security interest in business assets, obtaining deeds of trust, or outright possession among other means.

In management's judgment, a concentration exists in real estate loans, which represented approximately 86% of the Company's loan and lease portfolio at June 30, 2014, a decrease from 87% at December 31, 2013. Management believes that the residential land and residential construction portion of the Company's loan portfolio carries more than the normal credit risk, due primarily to severely curtailed demand for new and resale residential property, a large supply of unsold residential land and new and resale homes, and observed reductions in values throughout the Company's market area. Management has responded by evaluating loans that it considers to carry any significant risk above the normal risk of collectability by taking actions where possible to reduce credit risk exposure by methods that include, but are not limited to, seeking liquidation of the loan by the borrower, seeking additional tangible collateral or other repayment support, converting the property through judicial or non-judicial foreclosure proceedings, and other collection techniques. Management currently believes that it maintains its allowance for loan and lease losses at levels adequate to reflect the loss risk inherent in its total loan portfolio.

A further decline in the economy in general, or a continued additional decline in real estate values in the Company's primary market areas, in particular, could continue to have an adverse impact on the collectability of real estate loans and require an increase in the provision for loan and lease losses. This could adversely affect the Company's future prospects, results of operations, profitability and stock price. Management believes that its lending practices and underwriting standards are structured with the intent to minimize losses; however, there is no assurance that losses will not occur. The Company's loan practices and underwriting standards include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) maintaining a thorough understanding of the Company's service area and originating a significant majority of its loans within that area, (2) maintaining a thorough understanding of borrowers' knowledge, capacity, and market position in their field of expertise, (3) basing real estate loan approvals not only on market demand for the project, but also on the borrowers' capacity to support the project financially in the event it does not perform to expectations (whether sale or income performance), and (4) maintaining conforming and prudent loan-to-value and loan-to-cost ratios based on independent outside appraisals and ongoing inspection and analysis by the Company's lending officers or contracted third-party professionals.

Nonperforming, Past Due and Restructured Loans and Leases

At June 30, 2014, nonperforming loans and leases (those loans and leases on nonaccrual status and those loans and leases still accruing and past due 90 days or more) were \$1,506,000 or 0.60% of total loans and leases. The \$1,506,000 in nonperforming loans and leases was made up of ten loans. Six of those loans totaling \$651,000 were current (less than 30 days past due pursuant to their original or modified terms). Nonperforming loans and leases were \$1,979,000 or 0.73% of total loans and leases at December 31, 2013. Specific reserves of \$255,000 were held on the nonperforming loans at June 30, 2014 and specific reserves of \$398,000 were held on the nonperforming loans at December 31, 2013.

The overall level of nonperforming loans decreased \$377,000 (20.0%) to \$1,506,000 at June 30, 2014 compared to \$1,883,000 at March 31, 2014. At March 31, 2014, the Company had nonperforming loans and leases consisting of four real estate loans totaling \$973,000; four commercial loans totaling \$758,000 and four consumer loans totaling \$152,000. During the second quarter of 2014, a single loan in the amount of \$189,000 was moved to OREO, two loans in the amount of \$259,000 were placed back on performing status; and a single loan in the amount of \$145,000 was

Edgar Filing: - Form

placed on nonperforming status. The Company also collected approximately \$74,000 in principal paydowns. The single loan added in the second quarter of 2014 was real estate secured and the loan balance that was transferred to OREO was adjusted based on an updated appraisal and the fair value the OREO is recorded at \$243,000.

There were no loan or lease concentrations in excess of 10% of total loans and leases not otherwise disclosed as a category of loans and leases as of June 30, 2014. Management is not aware of any potential problem loans, which were accruing and current at June 30, 2014, where serious doubt exists as to the ability of the borrower to comply with the present repayment terms and that would result in a significant loss to the Company. Table Seven below sets forth nonaccrual loans as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table Seven: Nonperforming Loans and Leases

(dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Past due 90 days or more and still accruing:		
Commercial	\$ —	\$ 80
Real estate	—	—
Lease financing receivable	—	—
Agriculture	—	—
Consumer	—	—
Nonaccrual:		
Commercial	701	766
Real estate	654	977
Lease financing receivable	—	—
Agriculture	—	—
Consumer	151	156
Total nonperforming loans	\$ 1,506	\$ 1,979

The net interest due on nonaccrual loans and leases but excluded from interest income was \$15,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared to foregone interest of \$109,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2013. The net interest due on nonaccrual loans and leases but excluded from interest income was \$54,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to foregone interest of \$168,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Impaired Loans and Leases

The Company considers a loan to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that it will be unable to collect all amounts due (principal and interest) according to the original contractual terms of the loan or lease agreement. The measurement of impairment may be based on (i) the present value of the expected cash flows of the impaired loan or lease discounted at the loan's or lease's original effective interest rate, (ii) the observable market price of the impaired loan or lease, or (iii) the fair value of the collateral of a collateral-dependent loan. The Company does not apply this definition to smaller-balance loans or leases that are collectively evaluated for credit risk. In assessing whether a loan or lease is impaired, the Company typically reviews loans or leases graded substandard or lower with outstanding principal balances in excess of \$100,000, as well as loans considered troubled debt restructures with outstanding principal balances in excess of \$25,000. The Company identifies troubled debt restructures by reviewing each renewal, modification, or extension of a loan with a screening document. This document is designed to identify any characteristic of such a loan that would qualify it as a troubled debt restructure. If the characteristics are not present that would qualify a loan as a troubled debt restructure, it is deemed to be a modification.

At June 30, 2014, the recorded investment in loans and leases that were considered to be impaired totaled \$26,157,000, which includes \$24,746,000 in performing loans and leases. Of the total impaired loans of \$26,157,000, loans totaling \$11,542,000 were deemed to require no specific reserve and loans totaling \$14,615,000 were deemed to require a related valuation allowance of \$1,541,000. Of the \$11,542,000 impaired loans that did not carry a specific

reserve there were \$294,000 in loans or leases that had previous partial charge-offs and \$11,248,000 in loans or leases that were analyzed and determined not to require a specific reserve or charge-off because the collateral value or discounted cash flow value exceeded the loan or lease balance. The recorded investment in loans and leases that were considered to be impaired totaled \$27,034,000 at December 31, 2013. Of the total impaired loans of \$27,034,000, loans totaling \$11,783,000 were deemed to require no specific reserve and loans totaling \$15,251,000 were deemed to require a related valuation allowance of \$1,598,000.

The Company has been operating in a market that has experienced significant decreases in real estate values of commercial, residential, land, and construction properties. As such, the Company is focused on monitoring collateral values for those loans considered collateral dependent. The collateral evaluations performed by the Company are updated as necessary, which is generally once every six months, and are reviewed by a qualified credit officer. In the second quarter of 2014, the Company had net recoveries of \$89,000 with no provision. In the second quarter of 2013, the Company had net charge-offs of \$323,000 with a provision of \$100,000.

At June 30, 2014, there were 11 loans and leases that were modified and are currently performing (less than ninety days past due) totaling \$13,898,000 and four loans and leases that are considered nonperforming (and included in Table Seven above), totaling \$756,000, that are considered troubled debt restructures (“TDR”). These TDRs have a specific reserve of \$828,000. As of June 30, 2014, of the 15 TDRs, there were seven rate reductions, three extensions, three changes in terms, and two lines of credit converted to term loans. All were performing as agreed except for two extensions and two term outs. The Company generally requires TDRs that are on non-accrual status to make six consecutive payments on the restructured loan or lease prior to returning the loan or lease to accrual status.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses Activity

The Company maintains an allowance for loan and lease losses (“ALLL”) to cover probable losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio, which is based upon management’s estimate of those losses. The ALLL is established through a provision for loan and lease losses and is increased by provisions charged against current earnings and recoveries and reduced by charge-offs. Actual losses for loans and leases can vary significantly from this estimate. The methodology and assumptions used to calculate the allowance are continually reviewed as to their appropriateness given the most recent losses realized and other factors that influence the estimation process. The model assumptions and resulting allowance level are adjusted accordingly as these factors change.

The adequacy of the ALLL and the level of the related provision for loan and lease losses is determined based on management’s judgment after consideration of numerous factors including, but not limited to: (i) local and regional economic conditions, (ii) the financial condition of the borrowers, (iii) loan impairment and the related level of expected charge-offs, (iv) evaluation of industry trends, (v) industry and other concentrations, (vi) loans and leases which are contractually current as to payment terms but demonstrate a higher degree of risk as identified by management, (vii) continuing evaluations of the performing loan portfolio, (viii) ongoing review and evaluation of problem loans identified as having loss potential, (ix) quarterly review by the Board of Directors, and (x) assessments by banking regulators and other third parties. Management and the Board of Directors evaluate the ALLL and determine its appropriate level considering objective and subjective measures, such as knowledge of the borrowers’ business, valuation of collateral, the determination of impaired loans or leases and exposure to potential losses.

The ALLL totaled \$5,462,000 or 2.17% of total loans and leases at June 30, 2014 compared to \$5,346,000 or 2.08% of total loans and leases at December 31, 2013. The Company establishes general and specific reserves in accordance with the generally accepted accounting principles. The ALLL is maintained by categories of the loan and lease portfolio based on loan type and loan rating; however, the entire allowance is available to cover actual loan and lease losses. While management uses available information to recognize possible losses on loans and leases, future additions to the allowance may be necessary, based on changes in economic conditions and other matters. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company’s ALLL. Such agencies may require the Company to provide additions to the allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

The ALLL as a percentage of non-performing loans and leases was 362.7% at June 30, 2014 and 270.1% at December 31, 2013. The ALLL as a percentage of impaired loans and leases was 20.9% at June 30, 2014 and 19.8% at December 31, 2013. Of the total non-performing and impaired loans and leases outstanding as of June 30, 2014, there were \$1,428,000 in loans or leases that had been reduced by partial charge-offs of \$291,000. As these loan or lease balances are charged off, the remaining balances, following analysis, normally do not initially require specific reserves and are not eligible for general reserves. The impact of this analysis on credit ratios is that the Company’s ALLL as a percentage may be lower, because the partial charge-offs have reduced the potential future losses related to those credits.

Edgar Filing: - Form

The Company's policy with regard to loan or lease charge-offs continues to be that a loan or lease is charged off against the ALLL when management believes that the collectability of the principal is unlikely. Generally, a loan or lease is charged off, or partially charged off, when estimated losses related to impaired loans and leases are identified. If the loan is collateralized by real estate the impaired portion will be charged off to the ALLL unless the loan or lease is in the process of collection, in which case a specific reserve may be warranted. If the collateral is other than real estate the Company will typically charge-off the impaired portion of a loan or lease, unless the loan or lease is in the process of collection, in which case a specific reserve may be warranted.

It is the policy of management to maintain the allowance for loan and lease losses at a level believed to be adequate for known and inherent risks in the portfolio. Our methodology incorporates a variety of risk considerations, both quantitative and qualitative, in establishing an allowance for loan and lease losses that management believes is appropriate at each reporting date. Based on information currently available to analyze inherent credit risk, including economic factors, overall credit quality, historical delinquencies and a history of actual charge-offs, management believes that the provision for loan and lease losses and the allowance for loan and lease losses are prudent and adequate. Adjustments may be made based on differences from estimated loan and lease growth, the types of loans constituting this growth, changes in risk ratings within the portfolio, and general economic conditions. However, no prediction of the ultimate level of loans and leases charged off in future periods can be made with any certainty. Table Eight below summarizes, for the periods indicated, the activity in the ALLL.

Table Eight: Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

(dollars in thousands)	Three Months		Six Months	
	Ended June 30,		Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Average loans and leases outstanding	\$250,190	\$250,200	\$254,497	\$252,072
Allowance for loan and lease losses at beginning of period	\$5,373	\$5,903	\$5,346	\$5,781
Loans and leases charged off:				
Commercial	—	—	—	(11)
Real estate	—	(356)	—	(393)
Lease financing receivable	—	—	—	—
Agriculture	—	—	—	—
Consumer	(73)	—	(74)	(5)
Total	(73)	(356)	(74)	(409)
Recoveries of loans and leases previously charged off:				
Commercial	121	22	141	97
Real estate	41	11	46	11
Lease financing receivable	—	—	3	—
Agriculture	—	—	—	—
Consumer	—	—	—	—
Total	162	33	190	108
Net loans and leases charged off	89	(323)	116	(301)
Additions to allowance charged to operating expenses	—	100	—	200
Allowance for loan and lease losses at end of period	\$5,462	\$5,680	\$5,462	\$5,680
Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans and leases outstanding (annualized)	-0.14 %	0.52 %	-0.09 %	0.24 %
Provision of allowance for loan and lease losses to average loans and leases outstanding (annualized)	0.00 %	0.16 %	0.00 %	0.16 %
Allowance for loan and lease losses to loans and leases net of deferred fees at end of period	2.17 %	2.24 %	2.17 %	2.24 %

Other Real Estate Owned

At June 30, 2014, the Company had ten other real estate owned (“OREO”) properties totaling \$6,864,000. This compares to nine properties totaling \$6,621,000 at December 31, 2013 and 14 properties totaling \$8,120,000 at June 30, 2013. During the second quarter of 2014, the Company added a single property with a fair value of \$243,000.

During the second quarter of 2014, there was no valuation adjustment to the book value of the existing OREO properties. At June 30, 2014, there was a \$105,000 valuation reserve. This compares to a reserve balance of zero at June 30, 2013 and \$105,000 at December 31, 2013. The Company believes that all ten OREO properties owned at June 30, 2014 are carried approximately at fair value.

Deposits

At June 30, 2014, total deposits were \$490,858,000 representing a \$7,168,000 (1.5%) increase from the December 31, 2013 balance of \$483,690,000. The Company's deposit growth plan for 2014 is to concentrate its efforts on increasing noninterest-bearing demand, interest-bearing money market and NOW accounts, and savings accounts while allowing higher cost time deposits to mature and close or renew at lower rates. During the first six months of 2014, the Company experienced increases in noninterest-bearing (\$3,653,000 or 2.5%), interest-bearing checking (\$715,000 or 1.2%), money market accounts (\$4,423,000 or 3.3%), and savings (\$1,813,000 or 3.5%), and a decrease in time deposits (\$3,436,000 or 3.7%).

Other Borrowed Funds

Other borrowings outstanding as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, consist of advances (both long-term and short-term) from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco ("FHLB"). Table Nine below summarizes these borrowings.

Table Nine: Other Borrowed Funds
(dollars in thousands)

	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate
Short-term borrowings:				
FHLB advances	\$1,500	0.24%	\$8,000	2.15%
Long-term borrowings:				
FHLB advances	\$9,500	1.40%	\$8,000	1.47%

The maximum amount of short-term borrowings at any month-end during the first six months of 2014 and 2013 was \$3,000,000 and \$8,000,000, respectively. The FHLB advances are collateralized by loans and securities pledged to the FHLB. The following is a breakdown of rates and maturities on FHLB advances (dollars in thousands):

	Short-term	Long-term
Amount	\$ 1,500	\$9,500
Maturity	2015	2015 to 2019
Weighted average rates	0.24 %	1.40 %

The Company has also been issued a total of \$5,800,000 in letters of credit by the FHLB which are pledged to secure Local Agency Deposits. The letters of credit act as a guarantee of payment to certain third parties in accordance with specified terms and conditions. The letters of credit were not drawn upon in 2014 or 2013 and management does not currently expect to draw upon these lines in the foreseeable future. See the "Liquidity" section that follows for additional information on FHLB borrowings.

Capital Resources

Edgar Filing: - Form

The Company and American River Bank are subject to certain regulatory capital requirements administered by the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the “FDIC”). Failure to meet these minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company’s consolidated financial statements. Under current capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, banks must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company’s and American River Bank’s capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

At June 30, 2014, shareholders' equity was \$87,564,000, representing an increase of \$544,000 (6.3%) from \$87,020,000 at December 31, 2013. The increase results from the addition of earnings of \$2,041,000 and an increase in the unrealized gain on securities of \$2,580,000 exceeding the stock repurchases of \$4,148,000 made under the 2014 Stock Repurchase Program. The ratio of total risk-based capital to risk adjusted assets was 22.7% at June 30, 2014 and 23.2% at December 31, 2013. Tier 1 risk-based capital to risk-adjusted assets was 21.4% at June 30, 2014 and 22.0% at December 31, 2013. The leverage ratio was 11.7% at June 30, 2014 and 11.9% at December 31, 2013.

Table Ten below lists the Company's actual capital ratios at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 as well as the minimum capital ratios for capital adequacy.

Table Ten: Capital Ratios

Capital to Risk-Adjusted Assets	At June 30, 2014		At December 31, 2013		Minimum Regulatory Capital Requirements	
Leverage ratio	11.7	%	11.9	%	4.00	%
Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital	21.4	%	22.0	%	4.00	%
Total Risk-Based Capital	22.7	%	23.2	%	8.00	%

Capital ratios are reviewed on a regular basis to ensure that capital exceeds the prescribed regulatory requirements and is adequate to meet future needs. Management believes that both the Company and American River Bank met all of their capital adequacy requirements as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

In July 2013, the federal bank regulatory agencies issued interim final rules which were subsequently adopted as final rules to revise and replace the current risk-based capital requirements in order to implement the "Basel III" regulatory capital reforms released by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010. The Basel III reforms reflected in the final rules include an increase in the risk-based capital requirements and certain changes to capital components and the calculation of risk-weighted assets.

Effective January 1, 2015, banking organizations like the Company and American River Bank must comply with new minimum capital ratio requirements to be phased-in between January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2019, which would consist of the following: (i) a new common equity Tier 1 capital to total risk weighted assets ratio of 4.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 capital to total risk weighted assets ratio of 6% (increased from 4%); (iii) a total capital to total risk weighted assets ratio of 8% (unchanged from current rules); and (iv) a Tier 1 capital to adjusted average total assets ("leverage") ratio of 4%.

In addition, a "capital conservation buffer," is established which when fully phased-in will require maintenance of a minimum of 2.5% of common equity Tier 1 capital to total risk weighted assets in excess of the regulatory minimum capital ratio requirements described above. The 2.5% buffer will increase the minimum capital ratios to (i) a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 7.0%, (ii) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5%, and (iii) a total capital ratio of 10.5%. The new buffer requirement will be phased-in between January 1, 2016 and January 1, 2019. If the capital ratio levels of a banking organization fall below the capital conservation buffer amount, the organization will be subject to limitations on (i) the payment of dividends; (ii) discretionary bonus payments; (iii) discretionary payments under Tier 1

instruments; and (iv) engaging in share repurchases.

The federal bank regulatory agencies also implemented changes to the prompt corrective action framework, which is designed to place restrictions on insured depository institutions if their capital ratios begin to show signs of weakness. These changes will take effect January 1, 2015 and will require insured depository institutions to meet the following increased capital ratio requirements in order to qualify as “well capitalized:” (i) a new common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 6.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 8% (increased from 6%); (iii) a total capital ratio of 10% (unchanged from current rules); and (iv) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 5% (increased from 4%).

Assuming the final rules were in effect at June 30, 2014 and based upon the Company’s capital position at June 30, 2014, management believes that the Company and American River Bank would be in compliance with the minimum capital requirements, including the fully phased-in capital conservation buffer requirement, of the final rules.

On January 17, 2014, the Company approved and authorized a stock repurchase program for 2014 (the “2014 Program”). See Part II, Item 2, for additional disclosure regarding the 2014 Program.

Inflation

The impact of inflation on a financial institution differs significantly from that exerted on manufacturing or other commercial concerns primarily because its assets and liabilities are largely monetary. In general, inflation primarily affects the Company and its subsidiaries through its effect on market rates of interest, which affects the Company's ability to attract loan customers. Inflation affects the growth of total assets by increasing the level of loan demand and potentially adversely affects capital adequacy because loan growth in inflationary periods can increase at rates higher than the rate that capital grows through retention of earnings which may be generated in the future. In addition to its effects on interest rates, inflation increases overall operating expenses. Inflation has not had a significant effect upon the results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries during the periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Liquidity

Liquidity management refers to the Company's ability to provide funds on an ongoing basis to meet fluctuations in deposit levels as well as the credit needs and requirements of its clients. Both assets and liabilities contribute to the Company's liquidity position. Federal funds lines, short-term investments and securities, and loan and lease repayments contribute to liquidity, along with deposit increases, while loan and lease funding and deposit withdrawals decrease liquidity. The Company assesses the likelihood of projected funding requirements by reviewing historical funding patterns, current and forecasted economic conditions and individual client funding needs. Commitments to fund loans and outstanding standby letters of credit at June 30, 2014 were approximately \$32,616,000 and \$6,285,000, respectively. Such loan commitments relate primarily to revolving lines of credit and other commercial loans and to real estate construction loans. Since some of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

The Company's sources of liquidity consist of cash and due from correspondent banks, overnight funds sold to correspondent banks, unpledged marketable investments and loans held for sale and/or pledged for secured borrowings. At June 30, 2014, consolidated liquid assets totaled \$233.2 million or 39.2% of total assets compared to \$221.6 million or 37.4% of total assets on December 31, 2014. In addition to liquid assets, the Company maintains two short-term unsecured lines of credit in the amount of \$17,000,000 with two of its correspondent banks. At June 30, 2014, the Company had \$17,000,000 available under these credit lines. Additionally, the Bank is a member of the FHLB. At June 30, 2014, the Bank could have arranged for up to \$81,741,000 in secured borrowings from the FHLB. These borrowings are secured by pledged mortgage loans and investment securities. At June 30, 2014, the Company had advances, borrowings and commitments (including letters of credit) outstanding of \$16.800,000, leaving \$64,941,000 available under these FHLB secured borrowing arrangements. American River Bank also has a secured borrowing arrangement with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. The borrowing can be secured by pledging selected loans and investment securities. At June 30, 2014, the Company's borrowing capacity at the Federal Reserve Bank was \$19,842,000. The Company serves primarily a business and professional customer base and, as such, its deposit base is susceptible to economic fluctuations. Accordingly, management strives to maintain a balanced position of liquid assets and borrowing capacity to offset the potential runoff of these volatile and/or cyclical deposits.

Liquidity is also affected by portfolio maturities and the effect of interest rate fluctuations on the marketability of both assets and liabilities. The Company can sell any of its unpledged securities held in the available-for-sale category to meet liquidity needs. The Bank has established a master repurchase agreement with a correspondent bank to enable such transactions. Furthermore, the Bank can pledge additional unencumbered securities to borrow from the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco and the FHLB.

Off-Balance Sheet Items

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business in order to meet the financing needs of its customers and to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. These financial instruments consist of commitments to extend credit and letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized on the balance sheet.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party for commitments to extend credit and letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company applies the same credit policies to commitments and letters of credit as it does for loans included on the consolidated balance sheet. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit were the only financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. The Company has not entered into any contracts for financial derivative instruments such as futures, swaps, options or similar instruments. Loan commitments and standby letters of credit were \$38,901,000 and \$38,044,000 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. As a percentage of net loans and leases these off-balance sheet items represent 15.8% and 15.1%, respectively.

The Company has certain ongoing commitments under operating leases. These commitments do not significantly impact operating results.

Website Access

American River Bankshares maintains a website where certain information about the Company is posted. Through the website, its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments thereto, as well as Section 16 Reports and amendments thereto, are available as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). These reports are free of charge and can be accessed through the address www.americanriverbank.com by clicking on the *Investor Relations/SEC Filings* link located at that address. Once you have selected the *SEC Filings* link you will have the option to access the Section 16 Reports or the other above-referenced reports filed by the Company by selecting the appropriate link.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Market Risk Management

Overview. Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and rates. The Company's market risk arises primarily from interest rate risk inherent in its loan, investment and deposit functions. The goal for managing the assets and liabilities of the Company is to maximize shareholder value and earnings while maintaining a high quality balance sheet without exposing the Company to undue interest rate risk. The Board of Directors has overall responsibility for the interest rate risk management policies. The Company has an Enterprise Risk Management Committee, made up of Company management that establishes and monitors guidelines to control the sensitivity of earnings to changes in interest rates.

Asset/Liability Management. Activities involved in asset/liability management include, but are not limited to, lending, accepting and placing deposits and investing in securities. Interest rate risk is the primary market risk associated with asset/liability management. Sensitivity of earnings to interest rate changes arises when yields on assets change in a different time period or in a different amount from that of interest costs on liabilities. To mitigate interest rate risk, the structure of the balance sheet is managed with the goal that movements of interest rates on assets and liabilities are correlated and contribute to earnings even in periods of volatile interest rates. The asset/liability management policy sets limits on the acceptable amount of variance in net interest margin and market value of equity under changing interest environments. The Company uses simulation models to forecast earnings, net interest margin and market value of equity.

Simulation of earnings is the primary tool used to measure the sensitivity of earnings to interest rate changes. Using computer-modeling techniques, with specialized software built for this specific purpose for financial institutions, the Company is able to estimate the potential impact of changing interest rates on earnings, net interest margin and market value of equity. A balance sheet is prepared using detailed inputs of actual loans, securities and interest-bearing liabilities (i.e. deposits/borrowings). The balance sheet is processed using multiple interest rate scenarios. The scenarios include a rising rate forecast, a flat rate forecast and a falling rate forecast which take place within a one-year time frame. The net interest income is measured over one-year and two-year periods assuming a gradual change in rates over the twelve-month horizon. The simulation modeling attempts to estimate changes in the Company's net interest income utilizing a detailed current balance sheet.

Edgar Filing: - Form

After a review of the model results as of June 30, 2014, the Company does not consider the fluctuations from the base case, to have a material impact on the Company's projected results and are within the tolerance levels outlined in the Company's interest rate risk policies. The simulations of earnings do not incorporate any management actions, which might moderate the negative consequences of interest rate deviations. Therefore, they do not reflect likely actual results, but serve as reasonable estimates of interest rate risk.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

The Company, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of June 30, 2014. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely making known to them material information relating to the Company and the Company's consolidated subsidiaries required to be disclosed in the Company's reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2014, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have significantly affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, these controls.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

From time to time, the Company and/or its subsidiaries is a party to claims and legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company's management is not aware of any significant pending legal proceedings to which either it or its subsidiaries may be a party or has recently been a party, which will have a significant adverse effect on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company or its subsidiaries, taken as a whole.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no significant changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2014.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

During 2013, the Company approved and authorized a stock repurchase program for 2013 (the "2013 Program"). The 2013 Program authorized the repurchase during 2013 of up to 10% of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock, or approximately 932,700 shares. During 2013, the Company repurchased 894,404 shares of its common stock at an average price of \$8.24 per share. On January 17, 2014, the Company approved and authorized a stock repurchase program for 2014 (the "2014 Program"). The 2014 Program authorizes the repurchase during 2014 of up to 5% of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock, or approximately 424,462 shares based on the 8,489,247 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2013. Any repurchases under the 2014 Program will be made from

Edgar Filing: - Form

time to time by the Company in the open market as conditions allow. All such transactions will be structured to comply with SEC Rule 10b-18 and all shares repurchased under the 2014 Program will be retired. The number, price and timing of the repurchases will be at the Company's sole discretion and the 2014 Program may be re-evaluated depending on market conditions, capital and liquidity needs or other factors. Based on such re-evaluation, the Board of Directors may suspend, terminate, modify or cancel the 2014 Program at any time without notice. As of June 30, 2014, the Company repurchased 424,462 shares of its common stock (or five percent of the outstanding shares) under the 2014 Program at an average price of \$9.77 per share.

The following table lists shares repurchased during the quarter ended June 30, 2014 and the maximum amount available to repurchase under the repurchase plan.

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid Per Share (or Unit)	(c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
Month #1 April 1 through April 30, 2014	25,372	\$ 9.65	25,372	68,252
Month #2 May 1 through May 31, 2014	68,252	9.60	68,252	—
Month #3 June 1 through June 30, 2014	—	—	—	—
Total	93,624	\$ 9.61	93,624	N/A

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit Number	Document Description
(2.1)	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization and Merger by and among the Registrant, ARH Interim National Bank and North Coast Bank, N.A., dated as of March 1, 2000 (included as Annex A). **
(2.2)	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization and Merger by and among the Registrant, American River Bank and Bank of Amador, dated as of July 8, 2004 (included as Annex A). ***
(3.1)	Articles of Incorporation, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2011, filed with the Commission on May 10, 2011.
(3.2)	Bylaws, as amended, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2013, filed with the Commission on May 9, 2013.
(4.1)	Specimen of the Registrant's common stock certificate, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004, filed with the Commission on August 11, 2004.
(10.1)	Lease agreement between American River Bank and Spieker Properties, L.P., a California limited partnership, dated April 1, 2000, related to 1545 River Park Drive, Suite 107, Sacramento, California (**) and the Second Amendment thereto dated August 27, 2010, with HINES VAF II SACRAMENTO PROPERTIES, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, the successor to Spieker Properties, L.P., incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on August 30, 2010.

Edgar Filing: - Form

- (10.2) Lease agreement between American River Bank and Bradshaw Plaza Associates, Inc. dated November 27, 2006, related to 9750 Business Park Drive, Sacramento, California, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on November 28, 2006.
- (10.3) Lease agreement between American River Bank and LUM YIP KEE, Limited (formerly Sandalwood Land Company) dated August 28, 1996, related to 2240 Douglas Boulevard, Suite 100, Roseville, California (**) and Amendment No. 1 thereto dated July 28, 2006, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on July 31, 2006.
- *(10.4) Registrant's Deferred Compensation Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 3, 2012.
- *(10.5) Registrant's Deferred Fee Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 3, 2012.
- (10.6) Lease agreement between American River Bank and 520 Capitol Mall, Inc., dated August 19, 2003, related to 520 Capitol Mall, Suite 100, Sacramento, California, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.29 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2003, filed with the Commission on November 7, 2003 and the First Amendment thereto dated April 21, 2004, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.37 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004, filed with the Commission on August 11, 2004.
- *(10.7) Employment Agreement between Registrant and David T. Taber dated June 2, 2006, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on May 30, 2006.
- *(10.8) Salary Continuation Agreement, as amended on December 31, 2012, between American River Bank and Mitchell A. Derenzo, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 2, 2013.
- *(10.9) Salary Continuation Agreement, as amended on December 31, 2012, between the Registrant and David T. Taber, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 2, 2013.
- *(10.10) Salary Continuation Agreement, as amended on February 21, 2008, between American River Bank and Douglas E. Tow, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on February 22, 2008.
- *(10.11) Registrant's 2000 Stock Option Plan with forms of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement and Incentive Stock Option Agreement. **
- *(10.12) Registrant's 401(k) Plan dated December 23, 2008, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on December 24, 2008.
- (10.13) Lease agreement between Bank of Amador, a division of American River Bank, and the United States Postal Service, dated May 24, 2011, related to 424 Sutter Street, Jackson, California, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on May 25, 2011.
- *(10.14) Salary Continuation Agreement, as amended on February 21, 2008, between Bank of Amador, a division of American River Bank, and Larry D. Standing and related Endorsement Split Dollar Agreement, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.4 to the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on February 22, 2008.

- Director Retirement Agreement, as amended on February 21, 2008, between Bank of Amador, a division of
- *(10.15) American River Bank, and Larry D. Standing, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.5 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on February 22, 2008.
- Item Processing Agreement between American River Bank and Fidelity Information Services, Inc., dated
- (10.16) April 30, 2012, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on May 4, 2012.
- Lease agreement between Registrant and One Capital Center, a California limited partnership, dated May 17, 2005, related to 3100 Zinfandel Drive, Rancho Cordova, California, incorporated by reference from Exhibit
- (10.17) 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on May 18, 2005 and the First Amendment thereto dated April 23, 2010, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on April 23, 2010.
- Managed Services Agreement between American River Bankshares and ProNet Solutions, Inc., dated June
- (10.18) 25, 2012, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on June 27, 2012.

- American River Bankshares 2005 Executive Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on October 27, 2005; the First Amendment thereto, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on March 17, 2006; the Second Amendment thereto, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on March 23, 2007; the Third Amendment thereto, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on February 22, 2008; the Fourth Amendment thereto, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form
- *(10.19) 8-K, filed with the Commission on March 20, 2009; the Fifth Amendment thereto, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2010; the Sixth Amendment thereto, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on March 17, 2011; the Seventh Amendment thereto, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on February 17, 2012; the Eight Amendment thereto, incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 31, 2013, and the Ninth Amendment thereto, incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 16, 2014.
- American River Bankshares Director Emeritus Program, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.33 to the
- *(10.20) Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2006, filed with the Commission on August 8, 2006.
- Employment Agreement dated September 20, 2006, between American River Bankshares and Mitchell A.
- *(10.21) Derenzo, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on September 20, 2006.
- Employment Agreement dated September 20, 2006, between American River Bankshares and Kevin B.
- *(10.22) Bender, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on September 20, 2006.
- Salary Continuation Agreement, as amended on December 31, 2012, between American River Bank and
- *(10.23) Kevin B. Bender, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 2, 2013.

Edgar Filing: - Form

Salary Continuation Agreement, as amended on February 21, 2008, between American River Bank and
*(10.24) Raymond F. Byrne, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.7 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on February 22, 2008.

Lease agreement dated May 23, 2007 between Bank of Amador, a division of American River Bank, and Joseph Bellamy, Trustee of the Joseph T. Bellamy 2005 Trust, related to 26395 Buckhorn Ridge Road, Pioneer, California, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on May 24, 2007 and the First Amendment thereto, dated October 15, 2007, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on October 16, 2007.
(10.25)

Lease agreement dated December 23, 2008, between North Coast Bank, a division of American River Bank, and 90 E Street LLC, related to 90 E Street, Santa Rosa, California, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on December 24, 2008.
(10.26)

Customer Service Agreement dated January 4, 2010, between American River Bankshares and TriNet HR Corporation, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 5, 2010.
(10.27)

Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into on January 20, 2010, between American River Bankshares and its Directors and certain named executive officers, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 22, 2010.
*(10.28)

Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into on January 20, 2010, between American River Bank and its Directors and certain named executive officers, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 22, 2010.
*(10.29)

Registrant's 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement for its 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, filed with the Commission on April 9, 2010.
*(10.30)

Subscription and Services Agreement between American River Bank and Postilion, Inc., dated June 19, 2012, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on June 21, 2012.
(10.31)

Salary Continuation Agreement between American River Bank and Robert H. Muttera, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on February 4, 2013.
*(10.32)

Lease agreement dated February 6, 2014, between American River Bank and Gold River Village Associates, a California Limited Partnership, related to 11220 Gold River Express Drive, Gold River, California, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on February 10, 2014.
(10.33)

Lease agreement dated February 12, 2014, between American River Bank and 520 Capitol Mall Inc., a Delaware corporation, related to 520 Capitol Mall, Suite 200, Sacramento, California, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on February 18, 2014.
(10.34)

Employment Agreement dated June 2, 2014, between American River Bank and Loren E. Hunter, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on June 2, 2014.
*(10.35)

Salary Continuation Agreement between American River Bank and Loren E. Hunter, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on July 11, 2014.
*(10.36)

- (14.1) Registrant's Code of Ethics, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 14.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2003, filed with the Commission on March 19, 2004.
- (21.1) The Registrant's only subsidiaries are American River Bank, a California banking corporation, and American River Financial, a California corporation.
- (23.1) Consent of Crowe Horwath LLP
- (31.1) Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (31.2) Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (32.1) Certification of American River Bankshares by its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101.INS XBRL Instance Document****
101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema****
101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation****
101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition****
101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label****
101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation****

*Denotes management contracts, compensatory plans or arrangements.

**Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (No. 333-36326) filed with the Commission on May 5, 2000.

***Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (No. 333-119085) filed with the Commission on September 17, 2004.

****These interactive data files shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AMERICAN RIVER BANKSHARES

August 4, 2014 By: /s/ DAVID T. TABER

David T. Taber
President and
Chief Executive Officer

AMERICAN RIVER BANKSHARES

August 4, 2014 By: /s/ MITCHELL A. DERENZO

Mitchell A. Derenzo
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description	Page
31.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	57
31.2	Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	58
32.1	Certification of American River Bankshares by its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	59
56		