

AMAZON COM INC  
Form 10-Q  
April 25, 2008  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission File No. 000-22513

**Amazon.com, Inc.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Edgar Filing: AMAZON COM INC - Form 10-Q

**Delaware** **91-1646860**  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer  
**Incorporation or Organization)** **Identification No.)**  
**1200 12th Avenue South, Suite 1200, Seattle, Washington 98144-2734**  
**(206) 266-1000**  
(Address and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Registrant's Principal Executive Offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

417,679,712 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding as of April 18, 2008

**Table of Contents**

**AMAZON.COM, INC.**

**FORM 10-Q**

**For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2008**

**INDEX**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	5
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	16
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	34
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	35
<b>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	36
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	36
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	45
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	45
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	46
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	46
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	46
<u>Signatures</u>	47

**Table of Contents****PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****AMAZON.COM, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in millions)****(unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Twelve Months Ended March 31,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	\$ 2,539	\$ 1,022	\$ 748	\$ 507
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>				
Net income	143	111	508	249
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:				
Depreciation of fixed assets, including internal-use software and website development, and other amortization	65	62	249	227
Stock-based compensation	54	34	205	124
Other operating expense, net	6		15	7
Losses (gains) on sales of marketable securities, net	(3)		(3)	(3)
Remeasurements and other	(1)	3	7	(8)
Deferred income taxes	(19)	2	(119)	14
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(64)	(24)	(297)	(119)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Inventories	148	126	(281)	(189)
Accounts receivable, net and other	139	66	(182)	(87)
Accounts payable	(1,003)	(602)	528	241
Accrued expenses and other	(125)	(58)	362	248
Additions to unearned revenue	79	45	277	198
Amortization of previously unearned revenue	(64)	(44)	(230)	(176)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(645)	(279)	1,039	726
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>				
Purchases of fixed assets, including internal-use software and website development	(61)	(34)	(251)	(205)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired, and other	(355)	(1)	(430)	(4)
Sales and maturities of marketable securities and other investments	271	784	758	2,340
Purchases of marketable securities and other investments	(382)	(514)	(798)	(2,314)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(527)	235	(721)	(183)
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>				
Proceeds from exercises of stock options	2	9	85	37
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	64	24	297	119
Common stock repurchased		(248)		(500)
Proceeds from long-term debt and other	52		76	54
Repayments of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(26)	(17)	(83)	(44)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	92	(232)	375	(334)
Foreign-currency effect on cash and cash equivalents	37	2	55	32

## Edgar Filing: AMAZON COM INC - Form 10-Q

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(1,043)	(274)	748	241
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD</b>	<b>\$ 1,496</b>	<b>\$ 748</b>	<b>\$ 1,496</b>	<b>\$ 748</b>

### SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid for interest	\$ 46	\$ 43	\$ 70	\$ 66
Cash paid for income taxes	8	3	28	13
Fixed assets acquired under capital leases and other financing arrangements	15	11	78	76
Fixed assets acquired under build-to-suit leases	4		19	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****AMAZON.COM, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(in millions, except per share data)****(unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Net sales	\$ 4,135	\$ 3,015
Cost of sales	3,179	2,296
<b>Gross profit</b>	<b>956</b>	<b>719</b>
Operating expenses (1):		
Fulfillment	354	260
Marketing	103	72
Technology and content	234	186
General and administrative	61	56
Other operating expense, net	6	
<b>Total operating expenses</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>574</b>
<b>Income from operations</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>145</b>
Interest income	26	20
Interest expense	(22)	(19)
Other income, net	3	
Remeasurements and other	2	(2)
<b>Total non-operating income (expense)</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>(1)</b>
<b>Income before income taxes</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>144</b>
Provision for income taxes	62	33
Equity-method investment activity, net of tax	2	
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 143</b>	<b>\$ 111</b>
<b>Basic earnings per share</b>	<b>\$ 0.34</b>	<b>\$ 0.27</b>
<b>Diluted earnings per share</b>	<b>\$ 0.34</b>	<b>\$ 0.26</b>
<b>Weighted average shares used in computation of earnings per share:</b>		
Basic	417	412
Diluted	426	420

(1) Includes stock-based compensation as follows:

Fulfillment	\$ 11	\$ 7
Marketing	2	1

Edgar Filing: AMAZON COM INC - Form 10-Q

Technology and content	31	19
General and administrative	10	7
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

**Table of Contents****AMAZON.COM, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in millions, except per share data)

	March 31, 2008 (unaudited)	December 31, 2007
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,496	\$ 2,539
Marketable securities	655	573
Inventories	1,077	1,200
Accounts receivable, net and other	581	705
Deferred tax assets	156	147
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>3,965</b>	<b>5,164</b>
Fixed assets, net	594	543
Deferred tax assets	280	260
Goodwill	392	222
Other assets	652	296
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 5,883</b>	<b>\$ 6,485</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,864	\$ 2,795
Accrued expenses and other	781	902
Current portion of long-term debt	906	17
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>3,551</b>	<b>3,714</b>
Long-term debt	467	1,282
Other long-term liabilities	395	292
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value:		
Authorized shares 500		
Issued and outstanding shares none		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value:		
Authorized shares 5,000		
Issued shares 432 and 431		
Outstanding shares 417 and 416	4	4
Treasury stock, at cost	(500)	(500)
Additional paid-in capital	3,191	3,063
Accumulated other comprehensive income	7	5
Accumulated deficit	(1,232)	(1,375)
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>1,470</b>	<b>1,197</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 5,883</b>	<b>\$ 6,485</b>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.





**Table of Contents**

**AMAZON.COM, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(unaudited)**

**Note 1 Accounting Policies**

***Unaudited Interim Financial Information***

We have prepared the accompanying consolidated financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") for interim financial reporting. These consolidated financial statements are unaudited and, in our opinion, include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments and accruals necessary for a fair presentation of our consolidated balance sheets, operating results, and cash flows for the periods presented. Operating results for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for 2008 due to seasonal and other factors. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") have been omitted in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

***Principles of Consolidation***

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, and those entities (relating primarily to the Joyo Amazon websites) in which we have a variable interest. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

***Use of Estimates***

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent liabilities in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Estimates are used for, but not limited to, valuation of investments, receivables valuation, sales returns, incentive discount offers, inventory valuation, depreciable lives of fixed assets, internally-developed software, valuation of acquired intangibles, income taxes, stock-based compensation, and contingencies. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

***Business Combinations***

We acquired certain companies during Q1 2008 for an aggregate purchase price of \$319 million. The assets and liabilities of these acquisitions have been included in our consolidated financial statements at fair value, including acquired intangible assets of \$134 million with estimated useful lives between two and ten years. The excess of purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired was \$167 million and is classified as "Goodwill" on our consolidated balance sheets.

The purchase price allocation for each acquisition is preliminary and subject to revision, and any change to the fair value of net assets acquired will lead to a corresponding change to the purchase price allocable to goodwill. The results of operations of the acquired companies have been included in our consolidated results from each closing date forward. The effect of these acquisitions on consolidated net sales and operating income for Q1 2008 was not significant.

***Earnings per Share***

Basic earnings per share is calculated using our weighted-average outstanding common shares. Diluted earnings per share is calculated using our weighted-average outstanding common shares including the dilutive effect of stock awards as determined under the treasury stock method.

## **Table of Contents**

Our convertible debt instruments are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share as their effect under the if-converted method is anti-dilutive. See Note 3 Long-Term Debt.

### ***Treasury Stock***

We account for treasury stock under the cost method and include treasury stock as a component of stockholders' equity.

### ***Internal-use Software and Website Development***

Costs incurred to develop software for internal use are required to be capitalized and amortized over the estimated useful life of the software in accordance with Statement of Position (SOP) 98-1, *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use*. Costs related to design or maintenance of internal-use software are expensed as incurred. During Q1 2008 and Q1 2007, we capitalized \$57 million (including \$22 million acquired under business combinations and \$6 million of stock-based compensation) and \$29 million (including \$4 million of stock-based compensation) of costs associated with internal-use software and website development. Amortization of previously capitalized amounts was \$33 million and \$27 million for Q1 2008 and Q1 2007.

### ***Depreciation of Fixed Assets***

Fixed assets include assets such as furniture and fixtures, heavy equipment, technology infrastructure, internal-use software and website development. Depreciation is recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets (generally two years or less for assets such as internal-use software, two or three years for our technology infrastructure, five years for furniture and fixtures, and ten years for heavy equipment). Depreciation expense is generally classified within the corresponding operating expense categories on our consolidated statements of operations, and certain assets are amortized as Cost of sales. Depreciation expense for fixed assets was \$71 million and \$60 million for Q1 2008 and Q1 2007.

### ***Other Assets***

Included in Other assets on our consolidated balance sheets are amounts primarily related to marketable securities restricted for longer than one year, primarily attributable to collateralization of bank guarantees and debt related to our international operations; certain equity investments; intangible assets, net of amortization; and intellectual property rights, net of amortization. At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the cost basis and fair value of marketable securities restricted for longer than one year was \$245 million and \$197 million. At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, equity investments were \$171 million and \$17 million, intangible assets, net, were \$154 million and \$26 million, and intellectual property rights, net were \$39 million and \$28 million.

### ***Equity-method Investment Activity***

Investments are accounted for using the equity method of accounting if the investment gives us the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control, over an investee. We classify our investments in equity-method investees on our consolidated balance sheets as Other assets and our share of the investees' earnings or losses along with amortization of the related intangible assets, if any, as Equity-method investment activity, net of tax on our consolidated statements of operations.

### ***Accrued Expenses and Other***

Included in Accrued expenses and other at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 were liabilities of \$205 million and \$230 million for unredeemed gift certificates. We recognize revenue from a gift certificate

## **Table of Contents**

when a customer redeems it. If a gift certificate is not redeemed, we recognize revenue when it expires or, for a certificate without an expiration date, when the likelihood of its redemption becomes remote, generally two years from date of issuance.

### ***Unearned Revenue***

Unearned revenue is recorded when payments are received in advance of performing our service obligations and is recognized over the service period. Current unearned revenue is included in *Accrued expenses and other* and non-current unearned revenue is included in *Other long-term liabilities* on our consolidated balance sheets. Current unearned revenue was \$120 million and \$91 million at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007. Noncurrent deferred revenue was \$25 million and \$19 million at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

### ***Income Taxes***

Income tax expense includes U.S. and international income taxes. We do not provide for U.S. taxes on our undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries since we intend to invest such undistributed earnings indefinitely outside of the U.S. If such amounts were repatriated, determination of the amount of U.S. income taxes that would be incurred is not practicable due to the complexities associated with this calculation.

Under the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, deferred income tax balances reflect the effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases and are stated at enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when taxes are actually paid or recovered.

SFAS No. 109 requires that deferred tax assets be evaluated for future realization and be reduced by a valuation allowance to the extent we believe a portion will not be realized. We consider many factors when assessing the likelihood of future realization of our deferred tax assets, including our recent cumulative earnings experience and expectations of future taxable income by taxing jurisdiction, the carry-forward periods available to us for tax reporting purposes, and other relevant factors. In accordance with SFAS No. 109, we allocate our valuation allowance to current and long-term deferred tax assets on a pro-rata basis.

Effective January 1, 2007, we adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*. FIN 48 contains a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions (tax contingencies) accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 109. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount which is more than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. We consider many factors when evaluating and estimating our tax positions and tax benefits, which may require periodic adjustments and which may not accurately forecast actual outcomes. Our policy is to include interest and penalties related to our tax contingencies in income tax expense.

### ***Shipping Activities***

Outbound shipping charges to customers are included in *Net sales* and were \$192 million and \$151 million for Q1 2008 and Q1 2007. Outbound shipping-related costs are included in *Cost of sales* and totaled \$320 million and \$238 million for Q1 2008 and Q1 2007. The net cost to us of shipping activities was \$128 million and \$87 million for Q1 2008 and Q1 2007.

---

**Table of Contents*****Stock-Based Compensation***

We account for stock-based awards under SFAS No. 123(R), which requires measurement of compensation cost for all stock-based awards at fair value on date of grant and recognition of compensation over the service period for awards expected to vest. The fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock units is determined based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of our common stock. Such value is recognized as expense over the service period, net of estimated forfeitures, using the accelerated method. The estimation of stock awards that will ultimately vest requires judgment, and to the extent actual results or updated estimates differ from our current estimates, such amounts will be recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period estimates are revised. We consider many factors when estimating expected forfeitures, including types of awards, employee class, and historical experience. Actual results and future estimates may differ substantially from our current estimates.

***Recent Accounting Pronouncements***

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. In February 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position (FSP) No. 157-2 which delays the effective date of SFAS 157 one year for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis.

Those assets and liabilities measured at fair value under SFAS 157 in Q1 2008 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. In accordance with FSP 157-2, we will measure the remaining assets and liabilities no later than Q1 2009, and have not yet determined this impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (R), *Business Combinations*, and SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements*. SFAS No. 141 (R) requires an acquirer to measure the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquired entity at their fair values on the acquisition date, with goodwill being the excess value over the net identifiable assets acquired. SFAS No. 160 clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. The calculation of earnings per share will continue to be based on income amounts attributable to the parent. SFAS No. 141 (R) and SFAS No. 160 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited. We have not yet determined the effect on our consolidated financial statements, if any, upon adoption of SFAS No. 141 (R) or SFAS No. 160.

**Note 2 Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Marketable Securities**

As of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities primarily consisted of cash, investment grade securities and AAA-rated money market mutual funds. Such amounts are recorded at fair value. As of March 31, 2008, the fair value of our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities based on quoted prices in active markets for identical instruments (Level 1 as defined under SFAS 157) was \$2.1 billion. As of March 31, 2008, the fair value of our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities based on quoted prices in active markets for similar instruments (Level 2 as defined under SFAS 157) was \$268 million.

We are required to pledge or otherwise restrict a portion of our marketable securities as collateral for standby letters of credit, guarantees, debt, and real estate lease agreements. See Note 4 Commitments and Contingencies.

**Table of Contents****Note 3 Long-Term Debt**

Our long-term debt is summarized as follows:

	March 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
	(in millions)	
4.75% Convertible Subordinated Notes due February 2009 (1)	\$ 899	\$ 899
6.875% PEACS due February 2010 (2)	379	350
Other long-term debt	95	50
	1,373	1,299
Less current portion of long-term debt	(906)	(17)
	\$ 467	\$ 1,282
Fair value of long-term debt (3)	\$ 1,425	\$ 1,466

- (1) The 4.75% Convertible Subordinated Notes due 2009 (the 4.75% Convertible Subordinated Notes) are convertible into our common stock at the holders' option at a conversion price of \$78.0275 per share. Total common stock issuable upon conversion of our outstanding 4.75% Convertible Subordinated Notes was 11.5 million shares, which is excluded from our calculation of earnings per share as its effect is currently anti-dilutive. We have the right to redeem the 4.75% Convertible Subordinated Notes, in whole or in part, by paying the principal and a redemption premium, plus any accrued and unpaid interest. At March 31, 2008, the redemption premium was 0.475%.
- (2) The 6.875% Premium Adjustable Convertible Securities (6.875% PEACS) are convertible into our common stock at the holders' option at a conversion price of \$84.883 per share (\$134.01 per share, based on the exchange rate as of March 31, 2008). Total common stock issuable upon conversion of our outstanding 6.875% PEACS is 2.8 million shares, which is excluded from our calculation of earnings per share as its effect is currently anti-dilutive. The U.S. Dollar equivalent principal, interest, and conversion price fluctuate based on the Euro/U.S. Dollar exchange ratio. We have the right to redeem the 6.875% PEACS, in whole or in part, by paying the principal plus any accrued and unpaid interest.
- (3) The fair values of our 4.75% Convertible Subordinated Notes was \$949 million and \$1.1 billion at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007. The fair value of our 6.875% PEACS was \$382 million and \$358 million at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007. Such amounts are determined based on quoted prices in active markets for similar instruments (Level 2 as defined under SFAS 157).

In February 2008 our Board of Directors authorized a debt repurchase program, replacing our previous debt repurchase authorization in its entirety, pursuant to which we may from time to time repurchase (through open market repurchases or private transactions), redeem, or otherwise retire up to an aggregate of all of our outstanding 4.75% Convertible Subordinated Notes and 6.875% PEACS.

**Note 4 Commitments and Contingencies****Commitments**

We lease office and fulfillment center facilities and fixed assets under non-cancelable operating and capital leases. Rental expense under operating lease agreements was \$39 million and \$34 million for Q1 2008 and Q1 2007.

**Table of Contents**

The following summarizes our principal contractual commitments, excluding open orders for inventory purchases that support normal operations, as of March 31, 2008:

	Year Ended December 31,						Total
	Nine Months Ended December 31, 2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Thereafter	
(in millions)							
<b>Operating and capital commitments:</b>							
Debt principal (1)	\$ 7	\$ 933	\$ 379	\$ 21	\$ 32	\$	\$ 1,372
Debt interest (1)	26	52	30	3			111
Capital leases, including interest	28	36	29	8	6	5	112
Operating leases	105	119	99	80	61	236	700
Other commitments (2)(3)	44	69	82	60	45	582	882
<b>Total commitments</b>	<b>\$ 210</b>	<b>\$ 1,209</b>	<b>\$ 619</b>	<b>\$ 172</b>	<b>\$ 144</b>	<b>\$ 823</b>	<b>\$ 3,177</b>

- (1) Under our 6.875% PEACS, the principal payment due in 2010 and the annual interest payments fluctuate based on the Euro/U.S. Dollar exchange ratio. At March 31, 2008, the Euro to U.S. Dollar exchange rate was 1.5788. Due to changes in the Euro/U.S. Dollar exchange ratio, our remaining principal debt obligation under this instrument since issuance in February 2000 has increased by \$142 million as of March 31, 2008. The principal and interest commitments reflect the partial redemptions of the 6.875% PEACS and 4.75% Convertible Subordinated Notes.
- (2) Includes the estimated timing and amounts of payments for rent, operating expenses, and tenant improvements associated with approximately 800,000 square feet of corporate office space being developed in Seattle, Washington with initial terms of up to 16 years commencing on completion of development in 2010 and 2011 and options to extend for two five year periods. We also have the right to occupy up to an additional approximately 800,000 square feet subject to a termination fee, estimated to be up to approximately \$40 million, if we do not elect to occupy this additional space. The amount of space available and our financial and other obligations under the lease agreements are affected by various factors, including government approvals and permits, interest rates, development costs and other expenses and our exercise of certain rights under the lease agreements.
- (3) Includes commitments to acquire intellectual property and tax contingencies under FIN 48, but excludes \$112 million of such tax contingencies for which we cannot make a reasonably reliable estimate of the amount and period of payment. See Note 1 Accounting Policies Income Taxes.

**Pledged Securities**

We are required to pledge or otherwise restrict a portion of our cash and marketable securities as collateral for standby letters of credit, guarantees, debt, and real estate leases. We classify cash and marketable securities with use restrictions of twelve months or longer as non-current Other assets on our consolidated balance sheets. The balance of pledged securities at March 31, 2008 consisted of \$13 million in Cash and cash equivalents and Marketable securities, and \$245 million in Other assets. The amount required to be pledged for certain real estate lease agreements changes over the life of our leases based on our credit rating and changes in our market capitalization (common shares outstanding multiplied by the closing price of our common stock). Information about collateral required to be pledged under these agreements is as follows:

	Standby and Trade Letters of Credit and Guarantees	Debt (1)	Real Estate Leases (2)	Total

## Edgar Filing: AMAZON COM INC - Form 10-Q

	(in millions)			
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 138	\$ 60	\$ 13	\$ 211
Net change in collateral pledged	(7)	54		47
Balance at March 31, 2008	\$ 131	\$ 114	\$ 13	\$ 258

(1) Represents collateral for certain debt related to our international operations.



---

**Table of Contents**

- (2) At March 31, 2008, our market capitalization was \$29.8 billion. The required amount of collateral to be pledged will increase by \$5 million if our market capitalization is equal to or below \$18 billion and by an additional \$6 million if our market capitalization is equal to or below \$13 billion.

***Legal Proceedings***

The Company is involved from time to time in claims, proceedings and litigation, including the following:

In June 2001, Audible, Inc., our subsidiary acquired in March 2008, was named as a defendant in a securities class-action filed in United States District Court for the Southern District of New York related to its initial public offering in July 1999. The lawsuit also named certain of the offering's underwriters, as well as Audible's officers and directors as defendants. Approximately 300 other issuers and their underwriters have had similar suits filed against them, all of which are included in a single coordinated proceeding in the Southern District of New York. The complaints allege that the prospectus and the registration statement for Audible's offering failed to disclose that the underwriters allegedly solicited and received excessive commissions from investors and that some investors allegedly agreed with the underwriters to buy additional shares in the aftermarket in order to inflate the price of the Company's stock. Audible and its officers and directors were named in the suits pursuant to Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and other related provisions. The complaints seek unspecified damages, attorney and expert fees, and other unspecified litigation costs. The Court has directed that the litigation proceed with a number of focus cases rather than all of the consolidated cases at once. Audible's case is not one of these focus cases. We dispute the allegations of wrongdoing in the complaint against Audible and its officers and directors and intend to vigorously defend ourselves in this matter.

In October 2002, Gary Gerlinger, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated consumers in the United States who, during the period from August 1, 2001 to the present, purchased books online from either Amazon.com or Borders.com, instituted an action against us and Borders in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The complaint alleges that the agreement pursuant to which an affiliate of Amazon.com operates Borders.com as a co-branded site violates federal anti-trust laws, California statutory law, and the common law of unjust enrichment. The complaint seeks injunctive relief, damages, including treble damages or statutory damages where applicable, attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements, disgorgement of all sums obtained by allegedly wrongful acts, interest, and declaratory relief. In November 2005, the Court dismissed all of the plaintiff's claims with prejudice. The plaintiff is appealing that dismissal. We dispute the allegations of wrongdoing in this complaint, and we will continue to defend ourselves vigorously in this matter.

Beginning in March 2003, we were served with complaints filed in several different states, including Illinois, by a private litigant, Beeler, Schad & Diamond, P.C., purportedly on behalf of the state governments under various state False Claims Acts. The complaints allege that we (along with other companies with which we have commercial agreements) wrongfully failed to collect and remit sales and use taxes for sales of personal property to customers in those states and knowingly created records and statements falsely stating we were not required to collect or remit such taxes. In December 2006, we learned that one additional complaint was filed in the state of Illinois by a different private litigant, Matthew T. Hurst, alleging similar violations of the Illinois state law. All of the complaints seek injunctive relief, unpaid taxes, interest, attorneys' fees, civil penalties of up to \$10,000 per violation, and treble or punitive damages under the various state False Claims Acts. It is possible that we have been or will be named in similar cases in other states as well. We dispute the allegations of wrongdoing in these complaints and intend to vigorously defend ourselves in these matters.

In May 2004, Toysrus.com LLC filed a complaint against us for breach of contract in the Superior Court of New Jersey. The complaint alleged that we breached our commercial agreement with Toysrus.com LLC by selling, and by permitting other third parties to sell, products that Toysrus.com LLC alleged it has an exclusive right to sell on our website. We disputed the allegations in the complaint and brought counterclaims alleging breach of contract and seeking damages and declaratory relief. The trial of both parties' claims concluded in

---

**Table of Contents**

November 2005. In March 2006, the Court entered a judgment in favor of Toysrus.com LLC, terminating the contract but declining to award damages to either party. We are pursuing an appeal of the lower court's rulings terminating the contract, declining to award us damages, and denying our motion to compel Toysrus.com to pay certain fees incurred during the wind-down period.

In December 2005, Registrar Systems LLC filed a complaint against us and Target Corporation for patent infringement in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. The complaint alleges that our website technology, including the method by which Amazon.com enables customers to use Amazon.com account information on websites that Amazon.com operates for third parties, such as Target.com, infringes two patents obtained by Registrar Systems purporting to cover methods and apparatuses for a World Wide Web Registration Information Processing System (U.S. Patent Nos. 5,790,785 and 6,823,327) and seeks injunctive relief, monetary damages in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty, prejudgment interest, costs, and attorneys' fees. We dispute the allegations of wrongdoing in this complaint and intend to vigorously defend ourselves in this matter. In September 2006, the Court entered an order staying the lawsuit pending the outcome of the Patent and Trademark Office's re-examination of the patents in suit.

In August 2006, Cordance Corporation filed a complaint against us for patent infringement in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The complaint alleges that our website technology, including our 1-Click ordering system, infringes a patent obtained by Cordance purporting to cover an Object-Based Online Transaction Infrastructure (U.S. Patent No. 6,757,710) and seeks injunctive relief, monetary damages in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty, treble damages for alleged willful infringement, prejudgment interest, costs, and attorneys' fees. In response, we asserted a declaratory judgment counterclaim in the same action alleging that a service that Cordance has advertised its intent to launch infringes a patent owned by us entitled Networked Personal Contact Manager (U.S. Patent No. 6,269,369). We dispute Cordance's allegations of wrongdoing and intend to vigorously defend ourselves in this matter.

In April 2007, SBJ Holdings 1, LLC filed a complaint against us in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The complaint alleges that our website technology infringes a patent obtained by SBJ Holdings 1 purporting to cover a Method, Memory, Product, and Code for Displaying Pre-Customized Content Associated with Visitor Data (U.S. Patent No. 6,330,592) and seeks injunctive relief, monetary damages, treble damages for alleged willful infringement, prejudgment and post-judgment interest, costs and attorneys' fees. We dispute the allegations of wrongdoing and intend to vigorously defend ourselves in the matter.

In October 2007, Digital Reg of Texas, LLC filed a complaint against our subsidiary, Audible, Inc., and several other defendants in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The complaint alleges that Audible's digital rights management technology infringes a patent obtained by Digital Reg purporting to cover a system for Regulating Access to Digital Content (U.S. Patent No. 6,389,541) and seeks injunctive relief, monetary damages, enhanced damages for alleged willful infringement, prejudgment and post-judgment interest, costs and attorneys' fees. We dispute the allegations of wrongdoing and intend to vigorously defend ourselves in the matter.

Depending on the amount and the timing, an unfavorable resolution of some or all of these matters could materially affect our business, results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

See also Note 8 Income Taxes.

**Note 5 Stockholders Equity**

***Stock Repurchase Activity***

We repurchased 6.3 million shares for \$248 million in Q1 2007 under a 24-month program authorized by our Board of Directors in August 2006. In April 2007, our Board authorized a new 24-month program to repurchase up to \$500 million of our common stock, which was replaced in February 2008 by a 24-month program to repurchase up to \$1 billion of our common stock.

**Table of Contents****Stock Award Activity**

We granted restricted stock units representing 1.0 million shares of common stock during both Q1 2008 and Q1 2007 with a per share weighted average fair value of \$74.72 and \$38.37. Our annual stock awards are granted in the second quarter.

Common shares underlying outstanding stock awards were as follows:

	March 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
	(in millions)	
Restricted stock units	16.3	16.3
Stock options (1)	1.8	1.9
<b>Total outstanding stock awards</b>	<b>18.1</b>	<b>18.2</b>

(1) The weighted average per share exercise price was \$22.47 and \$17.46 at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007. Common shares outstanding (which includes restricted stock), plus shares underlying outstanding stock options and restricted stock units totaled 435 million at both March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007. These totals include all stock-based awards outstanding, without regard for estimated forfeitures, consisting of vested and unvested awards, and in-the-money and out-of-the-money stock options.

The following table summarizes our restricted stock unit activity for the three months ended March 31, 2008 (in millions):

	Number of Units
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	16.3
Units granted	1.0
Units vested	(0.7)
Units cancelled	(0.3)
<b>Outstanding at March 31, 2008</b>	<b>16.3</b>

Scheduled vesting for outstanding restricted stock units at March 31, 2008 is as follows (in millions):

	Nine Months Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31,					Total
		2009	2010	2011	2012	Thereafter	
Scheduled vesting restricted stock units	4.9	5.9	3.2	1.6	0.5	0.2	16.3

As of March 31, 2008, there was \$250 million of net unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock-based compensation arrangements. This compensation is recognized on an accelerated basis resulting in approximately half of the compensation expected to be expensed in the next twelve months and has a weighted average recognition period of 1.1 years.

**Note 6 Comprehensive Income**

## Edgar Filing: AMAZON COM INC - Form 10-Q

Comprehensive income was \$145 million and \$114 million for Q1 2008 and Q1 2007. The primary differences between net income as reported and comprehensive income are foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax, and changes in unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, net of tax.

**Table of Contents****Note 7 Remeasurements and Other**

Remeasurements and other consisted of the following:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2008      2007 (in millions)</b>	
Foreign-currency loss on remeasurement of 6.875% PEACS	\$ (29)	\$ (4)
Foreign-currency gain on intercompany balances	32	3
Other	(1)	(1)
Total remeasurements and other	\$ 2	\$ (2)

**Note 8 Income Taxes**

Our tax provision for interim periods is determined using an estimate of our annual effective tax rate. Each quarter we update our estimate of the annual effective tax rate, and if our estimated tax rate changes we make a cumulative adjustment. The 2008 annual effective tax rate is estimated to be lower than the 35% U.S. federal statutory rate primarily due to anticipated earnings of our subsidiaries outside of the U.S. in jurisdictions where our effective tax rate is lower than in the U.S. Cash paid for income taxes was \$8 million and \$3 million in Q1 2008 and Q1 2007.

As of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, tax contingencies were \$118 million and \$112 million. Changes to these tax contingencies that are reasonably possible in the next 12 months are not significant.

We are under examination, or may be subject to examination, by the Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) for calendar years 2004 through 2007. Additionally, any net operating losses that were generated in prior years and utilized in these years may also be subject to examination by the IRS. We are under examination, or may be subject to examination, in the following major jurisdictions for the years specified: Kentucky for 2003 through 2007, France for 2005 through 2007, Germany for 2003 through 2007, Luxembourg for 2003 through 2007, and the United Kingdom for 1999 through 2007. In addition, in 2007, Japanese tax authorities assessed income tax, including penalties and interest, of approximately \$106 million against one of our U.S. subsidiaries for the years 2003 through 2005. We believe that these claims are without merit and are disputing the assessment. Further proceedings on the assessment will be stayed during negotiations between U.S. and Japanese authorities over the double taxation issues the assessment raises, and we have provided bank guarantees to suspend enforcement of the assessment. We also may be subject to income tax examination by Japanese tax authorities for 2006 and 2007.

**Note 9 Segment Information**

We have organized our operations into two principal segments: North America and International. We present our segment information along the same lines that our chief executive reviews our operating results in assessing performance and allocating resources.

We allocate to segment results the operating expenses Fulfillment, Marketing, Technology and content, and General and administrative, but exclude from our allocations the portions of these expense lines attributable to stock-based compensation. Additionally, we do not allocate the line item Other operating expense, net to our segment operating results. A significant majority of our costs for Technology and content are incurred in the United States and most of these costs are allocated to our North America segment. There are no internal revenue transactions between our reporting segments.

**Table of Contents**

Information on reportable segments and reconciliation to consolidated net income is as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2008	2007
	(in millions)	
<b>North America</b>		
Net sales	\$ 2,126	\$ 1,622
Cost of sales	1,557	1,183
Gross profit	569	439
Direct segment operating expenses	439	353
Segment operating income	\$ 130	\$ 86
<b>International</b>		
Net sales	\$ 2,009	\$ 1,393
Cost of sales	1,622	1,113
Gross profit	387	280
Direct segment operating expenses	259	187
Segment operating income	\$ 128	\$ 93
<b>Consolidated</b>		
Net sales	\$ 4,135	\$ 3,015
Cost of sales	3,179	2,296
Gross profit	956	719
Direct segment operating expenses	698	540
Segment operating income	258	179
Stock-based compensation	(54)	(34)
Other operating expense, net	(6)	
Income from operations	198	145
	(1,079 )	(2,866 )
Increase in inventories, deposits and other current assets	(1,906 )	(113 )
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	4,762	(575 )
Increase in billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	2,431	40
Increase (decrease) in accrued compensation and other liabilities	(2,303 )	395
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,378	5,446
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Additions to property and equipment	(5,910 )	(8,433 )
Purchases of short-term securities, available for sale	(9,779 )	(57,516 )
Sales of short-term securities, available for sale	11,325	27,364
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	3,306	182
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,058 )	(38,403 )

Edgar Filing: AMAZON COM INC - Form 10-Q

Cash flows from financing activities:

Cumulative daily drawdowns – Credit Facility	1,000	1,000
Cumulative daily repayments – Credit Facility	(1,000 )	(1,000 )
Distributions to noncontrolling interest owners	--	(3,900 )
Purchases of treasury stock	--	(196 )
Other	(81 )	(18 )
Net cash used in financing activities	(81 )	(4,114 )
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	239	(37,071 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	16,371	49,441
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 16,610	\$ 12,370
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$ 120	\$ 2
Cash paid during the period for income taxes	\$ 2,000	\$ 1,665
Non-cash items:		
Reclassification of amounts payable to noncontrolling interest owner	\$ --	\$ 1,054
Revaluation of noncontrolling interest – RLW put/call liability, net of tax	301	--

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STERLING CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. & SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Unaudited)

1. Summary of Business and Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

Sterling Construction Company, Inc. (“Sterling” or “the Company”), a Delaware corporation, is a leading heavy civil construction company that specializes in the building, reconstruction and repair of transportation and water infrastructure in markets in Texas, Utah, Nevada, Arizona, California and other states in which we see opportunities. Our transportation infrastructure projects include highways, roads, bridges and light and commuter rail foundations and structures, and our water infrastructure projects include water, wastewater and storm drainage systems. Sterling provides general contracting services, including excavating, concrete and asphalt paving, installation of large-diameter water and wastewater distribution systems, construction of bridges and similar large structures, construction of light and commuter rail infrastructure, concrete and asphalt batch plant operations, and concrete crushing and aggregate operations primarily to public sector clients. We purchase the necessary materials for our contracts, and perform the majority of the work required by our contracts with our own crews and equipment.

For a more detailed discussion of the Company’s business, readers of this Report are urged to review “Item 1. Business” of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (“2011 Form 10-K”) and the sections of this Report entitled “Backlog at March 31, 2012” and “Our Markets” under Item 2.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of subsidiaries and construction joint ventures in which the Company has a greater than 50% ownership interest or otherwise controls such entities, and all significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. For all periods presented, the Company had no subsidiaries where its ownership interests were less than 50%.

Under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”), the Company must determine whether each entity, including joint ventures in which it participates, is a variable interest entity. This determination focuses on identifying which owner or joint venture partner, if any, has the power to direct the activities of the entity and the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity disproportionate to its interest in the entity, which could have the effect of requiring us to consolidate the entity in which we have a non-majority variable interest.

On August 1, 2011, we acquired a 50% interest in a limited partnership which the Company determined to be a variable interest entity. Prior to this, the Company had no participation in an entity determined to be a variable interest entity. As discussed further in Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2011 Form 10-K, the Company determined that it exercises primary control over activities of Myers & Sons Construction, L.P. (“Myers”), and it is exposed to more than 50% of potential losses from the partnership. Therefore, the Company consolidates Myers in the consolidated financial statements and includes the other partners' interests in the equity and net income of the partnership in the balance sheet line item “Noncontrolling interests” in “Equity” and the statement of operations line item “Noncontrolling owners’ interests in earnings of subsidiaries and joint ventures,” respectively.

Where the Company is a noncontrolling joint venture partner, its share of the operations of such construction joint venture is accounted for on a pro rata basis in the consolidated statements of operations and as a single line item (“Receivables from and equity in construction joint ventures”) in the consolidated balance sheets. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2011 Form 10-K for further information regarding the Company’s construction joint ventures, including those where the Company does not have a controlling ownership interest.



The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by Sterling, without audit, in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and should be read in conjunction with the 2011 Form 10-K. Certain information and note disclosures prepared in accordance with GAAP have been either condensed or omitted pursuant to SEC rules and regulations. The condensed consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the Company’s financial position at March 31, 2012 and the results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The December 31, 2011 condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but, as discussed above, does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. Interim results may be subject to significant seasonal variations, and the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year or subsequent quarters.

Table of Contents

Our Markets

Demand for transportation and water infrastructure depends on a variety of factors, including overall population growth, economic expansion and the vitality of the market areas in which we operate, as well as unique local topographical, structural and environmental issues. In addition to these factors, demand for the replacement of infrastructure is driven by the general aging of infrastructure and the need for technical improvements to achieve more efficient or safer use of infrastructure and resources. Funding for this infrastructure depends on federal, state and local governmental resources, budgets and authorizations.

Since the economic downturn in late 2008 and throughout the years 2009, 2010, and 2011, the bidding environment in our markets has been much more competitive than in the past because of the following:

- Reduced federal, state and local spending on transportation and water-related infrastructure.
- Traditional competitors on larger transportation and water infrastructure projects appear to have been bidding at less than normal margins, sometimes at bid levels below our break-even pricing, in order to replenish their backlogs.
- While our business includes only minimal residential and commercial infrastructure work, the severe fall-off in new projects in those markets has resulted in some residential and commercial infrastructure contractors bidding on smaller public sector transportation and water infrastructure projects, sometimes at bid levels below our break-even pricing, thus increasing competition and creating downward pressure on bid prices in our markets.
  - The entry of new competitors from other states.

These factors have limited our ability to replenish our backlog through successful bids for new projects and have compressed the profitability on the new projects where we submitted successful bids. While we have been more aggressive in reducing the anticipated margins we use to bid on some projects, we have not bid at anticipated loss margins in order to obtain new backlog.

Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Certain of the Company's accounting policies require higher degrees of judgment than others in their application. These include the recognition of revenue and earnings from construction contracts under the percentage-of-completion method, the valuation of long-term assets, and income taxes. Management continually evaluates all of its estimates and judgments based on available information and experience; however, actual amounts could differ from those estimates.

Other Accounting Policies

The Company's significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2011 Form 10-K. These accounting policies include, but are not limited to, those related to:

- contracts receivable, including retainage
  - revenue recognition
- valuation of property and equipment, goodwill and other long-lived assets
  - construction joint ventures

- income taxes
- segment reporting

There have been no material changes to significant accounting policies since December 31, 2011.

#### Construction Revenue Recognition

The Company is a general contractor which engages in various types of heavy civil construction projects principally for public (government) owners. Credit risk is minimal with public owners since the Company ascertains that funds have been appropriated by the governmental project owner prior to commencing work on such projects. While most public contracts are subject to termination at the election of the government entity, in the event of termination the Company is entitled to receive the contract price for completed work and reimbursement of termination-related costs. Credit risk with private owners is minimized because of statutory mechanics liens, which give the Company high priority in the event of lien foreclosures following financial difficulties of private owners.

## Table of Contents

Revenues are recognized on the percentage-of-completion method, measured by the ratio of costs incurred up to a given date to estimated total costs for each contract. Our contracts generally take 12 to 36 months to complete.

Contract costs include all direct material, labor, subcontract and other costs and those indirect costs related to contract performance, such as indirect salaries and wages, equipment repairs and depreciation, insurance and payroll taxes. Administrative and general expenses are charged to expense as incurred. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such losses are determined. Changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability, including those changes arising from contract penalty provisions and final contract settlements may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined. Changes in estimated revenues and gross margin on certain construction projects during the three months ended March 31, 2012 resulted in a net charge of \$3.9 million included in the operating results and a \$2.4 million after-tax charge or \$0.15 per diluted share attributable to Sterling common stockholders.

## Financial Instruments

The fair value of financial instruments is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties. The Company's financial instruments are cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, contracts receivable, derivatives, accounts payable, mortgage payable, a credit facility with Comerica Bank ("Credit Facility"), \$500,000 of demand notes payable, the put related to certain noncontrolling owners' interests in subsidiaries and an earn-out liability related to the acquisition of J. Banicki Construction, Inc. ("JBC"). The recorded values of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, contracts receivable and accounts payable approximate their fair values based on their short-term nature. The recorded value of the Credit Facility debt approximates its fair value, as interest approximates market rates. See Note 5 regarding the fair value of derivatives and Note 8 regarding the fair value of the put and the earn-out liability. We had one mortgage outstanding at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 with a remaining balance of \$318,000 and \$336,000, respectively. The mortgage was accruing interest at 3.50% at both March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and contains pre-payment penalties. At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 the fair value of the mortgage approximated the book value. To determine the fair value of the mortgage, the amount of future cash flows was discounted using the Company's borrowing rate on its Credit Facility. The recorded value of the demand notes payable approximates the fair value as the interest rate approximates market rates and as the notes are due upon demand (i.e., they are short-term in nature). See Note 10 for further information regarding the demand notes payable. The Company does not have any off-balance sheet financial instruments other than operating leases (see Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2011 Form 10-K).

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") amended authoritative guidance associated with fair value measurements. This amended guidance defines certain requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurement in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The amendments to authoritative guidance associated with fair value measurements were effective for the Company on January 1, 2012 and have been applied prospectively. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Reclassifications

Balances related to accrued accounts payable which had been included in "Other current liabilities" in the prior year balance sheet have been reclassified to "Accounts payable" to conform to current year presentation.

## 2. Cash and Cash Equivalents and Short-term Investments

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original or remaining maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. At March 31, 2012, \$7.6 million of cash and cash equivalents were fully insured by the FDIC under its standard maximum deposit insurance amount guidelines. At March 31, 2012, cash and cash equivalents included \$14.2 million belonging to majority-owned joint ventures consolidated in these financial statements, which generally cannot be used for purposes outside the joint ventures.

Short-term investments include mutual funds and government bonds which are considered available-for-sale securities and measured at fair value as required under applicable GAAP. Government bonds have maturity dates of 2014-2041. At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had short-term investments as follows (in thousands):

10

---

Table of Contents

	March 31, 2012				
	Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Gross Unrealized Gains (pre-tax)	Gross Unrealized Losses (pre-tax)
Mutual funds	\$22,925	\$22,925	\$--	\$230	\$8
Municipal bonds	20,657	--	20,657	614	34
Total securities available-for-sale	\$43,582	\$22,925	\$20,657	\$844	\$42

	December 31, 2011				
	Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Gross Unrealized Gains (pre-tax)	Gross Unrealized Losses (pre-tax)
Mutual funds	\$24,851	\$24,851	\$--	\$383	\$--
Municipal bonds	20,004	--	20,004	617	15
Total securities available-for-sale	\$44,855	\$24,851	\$20,004	\$1,000	\$15

The amortized cost basis of the above securities at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$42.9 million and \$44.3 million, respectively. Municipal bond securities are the only securities held by the Company where fair value does not equal amortized cost. The amortized cost for municipal bond securities was \$20.0 million and \$19.4 million at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The valuation inputs for Levels 1, 2 and 3 are as follows:

Level 1 Inputs – Valuation based upon quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs – Based upon quoted prices (other than Level 1) in active markets for similar assets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities and default rates and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Inputs – Based on unobservable inputs reflecting the Company’s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset based on the best information available.

The Company had no short-term investments valued with Level 3 inputs at either of the balance sheet dates.

Gains and losses realized on short-term investment securities are included in “Gains (losses) on sale of securities and other” in the accompanying statements of operations. Unrealized gains (losses) on short-term investments are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders’ equity, net of tax, as the gains and losses may be temporary. At March 31, 2012, the unrealized gains (losses) on short-term investments included in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes of \$281,000, was \$521,000. Upon the sale of short-term investments, the cost basis used to determine the gain or loss is based on the specific identification of the security sold. All items included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are at the corporate level, and no portion is attributable to noncontrolling interests.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company earned interest income of \$353,000, and \$378,000, respectively, on its cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments.

## 3. Construction Joint Ventures

We participate in various construction joint ventures. Generally, each construction joint venture is formed to accomplish a specific project and is jointly controlled by the joint venture partners. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2011 Form 10-K for further information. Condensed combined financial amounts of joint ventures in which the Company has a noncontrolling interest and the Company's share of such amounts which are included in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements are shown below (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Total combined:		
Current assets	\$121,501	\$ 108,458
Less current liabilities	(90,522 )	(86,023 )
Net assets	\$30,979	\$ 22,435
Backlog	\$454,053	\$ 539,844
Sterling's noncontrolling interest in backlog	\$112,445	\$ 127,130
Sterling's receivables from and equity in net assets of construction joint ventures	\$7,546	\$ 6,057

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Total combined:		
Revenues	\$ 90,374	\$ 86,686
Income before tax	8,562	6,927
Sterling's noncontrolling interest:		
Revenues	\$ 15,916	\$ 10,841
Income before tax	1,413	828

## 4. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Construction equipment	\$ 121,702	\$ 125,222
Transportation equipment	16,158	17,963
Buildings	7,260	4,729
Office equipment	1,305	1,077
Construction in progress	484	2,544
Land	4,186	3,026
Water rights	200	200
	151,295	154,761
Less accumulated depreciation	(68,615 )	(71,332 )
	\$ 82,680	\$ 83,429

Construction in progress at March 31, 2012 consisted primarily of expenditures for a new office in San Antonio, Texas. In addition to the expenditures for the new office in San Antonio, construction in progress at December 31, 2011 consisted primarily of expenditures for a new office in Dallas, Texas which was completed during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

## 5. Derivative Financial Instruments

During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, the Company began entering into various fixed rate commodity swap contracts in an effort to manage its exposure to price volatility of diesel fuel. Historically, fuel prices have been volatile because of supply and demand factors, worldwide political factors and general economic conditions. The objective of the Company in executing the hedge is to mitigate the fuel price volatility that could adversely affect forecasted cash flows and earnings related to construction contracts. Swaps are designed so that the Company receives or makes payments based on a differential between fixed and variable prices for off-road ultra-low sulfur diesel ("ULSD"). The Company has designated its commodity derivative contracts as cash flow hedges designed to achieve more predictable cash flows, as well as to reduce its exposure to price volatility. While the use of derivative instruments limits the downside risk of adverse price movements, they also limit future benefits from reductions in costs as a result of favorable market price movements.

All of the Company's outstanding derivative financial instruments are recognized in the balance sheet at their fair values. All changes in the fair value of outstanding derivatives, except any ineffective portion, are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until earnings are impacted by the hedged transaction. Amounts in



accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are reclassified to earnings when the related hedged items affect earnings or the anticipated transactions are no longer probable. All items included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are at the corporate level, and no portion is attributable to noncontrolling interests.

At March 31, 2012, pre-tax accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of related taxes of \$9,000, consisted of unrecognized gains of \$26,000 representing the unrealized change in mark-to-market value of the effective portion of the Company's commodity contracts, designated as cash flow hedges, as of the balance sheet date. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company recognized pre-tax net realized cash settlement losses on commodity contracts of \$3,000.

Table of Contents

At March 31, 2012, the Company had hedged its exposure to the variability in future cash flows from forecasted diesel fuel purchases totaling 660,000 gallons. The monthly volumes hedged range from 10,000 gallons to 30,000 gallons over the period from April 2012 to December 2013 at fixed prices per gallon ranging from \$2.99 to \$3.33.

The derivative instruments are recorded on the consolidated condensed balance sheet at fair value and include \$2,900 in other deposits and other current assets for the March 2012 contract which settled in April 2012. The fair values, excluding the \$2,900 settled in 2012, are as follows (in thousands):

Balance Sheet Location	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Derivative assets:		
Deposits and other current assets	\$ 27	\$ --
Other assets, net	--	--
	\$ 27	\$ --
Derivative liabilities:		
Other current liabilities	--	\$ 147
Other long-term liabilities	1	76
	\$ 1	\$ 223

The following table summarizes the effects of commodity derivative instruments on the condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2011
Increase in fair value of derivatives included in other comprehensive income (effective portion)	\$ 249	\$ --
Realized loss included in cost of revenues (effective portion)	(3 )	--
Increase (decrease) in fair value of derivatives included in cost of revenues (ineffective portion)	--	--

The Company's derivative instruments contain certain credit-risk-related contingent features which apply both to the Company and to the counterparties. The counterparty to the Company's derivative contracts is a high credit quality financial institution.

## Fair Value

Derivative financial instruments are carried at fair value as required by applicable GAAP. Commodity derivative instruments consist of fixed rate commodity swaps to hedge the price risk associated with changes in the price of diesel fuel. The Company's swaps are valued based on a discounted future cash flow model. The primary input for the model is the forecasted prices for ULSD. The Company's model is validated by the counterparty's mark-to-market statements. The swaps are designated as Level 2 within the valuation hierarchy. Refer to Note 2 for a description of the inputs used to value the information shown above.

At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company did not have any derivative assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis that meet the definition of Level 1 or Level 3.

## 6. Income Taxes

The Company and its subsidiaries file U.S. federal and various state income tax returns. The Company's 2007 through 2009 U.S. federal income tax returns are currently being examined by the I.R.S.; however, management expects there will be no material adjustments, interest or penalties from such examination. The Company's policy is to recognize interest related to any underpayment of taxes as interest expense, and any penalties as administrative expenses.

Table of Contents

The income tax expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements consists of the following (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Current tax benefit	\$ (1,025 )	\$ (1,102 )
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	(2,951 )	1,268
Total tax expense (benefit)	\$ (3,976 )	\$ 166

Current income tax expense (benefit) represents federal and state taxes based on income (loss) or a component thereof expected to be included in the tax returns for the years shown. The deferred tax benefit in the three months ended March 31, 2012 reflects, among other temporary timing differences, the tax impact of \$2,351,000 related to the \$6,717,000 increase in the net income attributable to RLW's noncontrolling interests for the amendment discussed in Note 8. The deferred income tax expense (benefit), based on temporary timing differences, is expected to be payable in future years.

The income tax expense (benefit) for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 differ from the amounts using the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% of income before taxes and earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests for the following reasons (in thousands, except for percentages):

	2012		2011	
	Amount	%	Amount	%
Tax expense (benefit) at the U.S. federal statutory rate	\$ (1,323 )	35.0	\$ 577	35.0
State franchise and income tax based on income, net of refunds and federal benefits	(15 )	0.4	201	12.2
Taxes on subsidiaries' and joint ventures' earnings allocated to noncontrolling ownership interests	(2,694 )	71.2	(503 )	(30.5 )
Non-taxable interest income	(122 )	3.2	(128 )	(7.8 )
Other permanent differences	178	(4.6 )	19	1.2
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ (3,976 )	105.2 %	\$ 166	10.1 %

As a result of the Company's analysis, management has determined that the Company does not have any material uncertain tax positions.

#### 7. Contingencies Related to Litigation

In January 2010, a jury trial was held to resolve a dispute between Road and Highway Builders, LLC ("RHB") and a subcontractor. The jury rendered a verdict of \$1.0 million against RHB, exclusive of interest, court costs and attorney's fees. While the Company has recorded this verdict as an expense in 2009, the Company has appealed this judgment as it believes that as a matter of law, the jury erred in its decision. The Company has posted a bond of \$1.3 million to cover the judgment and estimated court costs and attorney's fees pending the results of the appeal. The appeal was heard by the Nevada Supreme Court on November 3, 2011, and the Company anticipates that the court will make its decision by mid-2012.

The Company is the subject of certain other claims and lawsuits occurring in the normal course of business. Management, after consultation with legal counsel, does not believe that the outcome of these other actions will have a material impact on the financial statements of the Company.

8. Acquisitions and Subsidiaries and Joint Ventures with Noncontrolling Owners' Interests

In connection with the August 1, 2011, acquisition of J. Banicki Construction, Inc. (“JBC”) by 80% owned Ralph L. Wadsworth Construction Company, LLC (“RLW”), RLW agreed to additional purchase price payments of up to \$5 million to be paid over a five-year period. The additional purchase price is in the form of an earn-out which is calculated generally as 50% of the amount by which earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”) exceeds \$2 million for each of the calendar years 2011 through 2015 and \$1.2 million for the seven months ended July 31, 2016. The discounted present value of the additional purchase price was estimated to be \$2.4 million as of August 1, 2011, the acquisition date. This liability is included in other long-term liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

On August 1, 2011, the Company purchased a 50% interest in Myers & Sons Construction, L.P. (“Myers”). Myers is a construction limited partnership located in California and was acquired in order to expand the geographic scope of the Company’s operations into California.

See Note 10 regarding the determination that Myers’ is a variable interest entity and the resulting impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements.

The following table shows the amounts of JBC’s and Myers’ revenue and earnings included in the Company’s condensed consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 as well as the revenue and earnings of the combined entity for the three months ended March 31, 2011 had the acquisition dates been January 1, 2011 (in thousands):

	Revenue	Net Income Attributable to Sterling Common Stockholders
JBC actual from January 1, 2012 to March 31, 2012	\$ 7,375	\$ 76
Myers actual from January 1, 2012 to March 31, 2012	4,514	(12 )
Supplemental pro forma results of the Company, JBC, and Myers on a combined basis for 1/1/2011 – 3/31/2011 (unaudited)	101,813	(137 )

In connection with the December 3, 2009 acquisition of RLW, the noncontrolling interest owners of RLW, who are related and also its executive management, have the right to require the Company to buy their remaining 20.0% interest in RLW in 2013, and concurrently, the Company has the right to require those owners to sell their 20.0% interest to the Company by July 2013 (the “RLW Put/Call”). The purchase price in each case is 20% of the product of the simple average of RLW’s EBITDA (income before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) for the calendar years 2010, 2011 and 2012 times a multiple of a minimum of 4 and a maximum of 4.5. The noncontrolling owners’ interests, including the obligation under the RLW Put/Call, were recorded at their estimated fair value at the date of acquisition as “Obligation for noncontrolling owners’ interests in subsidiaries and joint ventures” in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Annual interest is accreted for the RLW Put/Call obligation based on the Company’s borrowing rate under its Credit Facility plus two percent. Such accretion amounted to \$248,000 and \$212,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 and is recorded in “Interest expense” in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations. In addition, based on the estimated average of RLW’s EBITDA for the calendar years 2010, 2011 and 2012 and the expected multiple, the estimated fair value of the RLW Put/Call was decreased by \$463,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2012, and this change, net of tax of \$162,000, has been reported as a charge to retained earnings.

The obligation associated with the RLW Put/Call as well as any undistributed earnings to the noncontrolling interest owners is included in “Obligation for noncontrolling owners’ interests in subsidiaries and joint ventures” in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

On October 31, 2007, the Company purchased a 91.67% interest in RHB. The noncontrolling interest owner of RHB had the right to put, or require the Company to buy, his remaining 8.33% interest in the subsidiary and, concurrently, the Company had the right to require that the owner sell his 8.33% interest to the Company, in 2011. On March 17, 2011, the right to put/call the RHB noncontrolling interest was extended to anytime between that date and December 31, 2012. In addition the price was increased from \$7.1 million to \$8.2 million which settled \$1.1 million of accrued

amounts due to the noncontrolling interest owner under the October 31, 2007 purchase agreement. In September 2011, the noncontrolling owner exercised his right to put his remaining interest of 8.33% in RHB to the Company for \$8.2 million. This transaction was completed in December 2011 under the terms of the agreement.

See Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2011 Form 10-K for further information regarding the acquisitions discussed above.

Table of Contents

## Changes in Obligation for Noncontrolling Interests

The following table summarizes the changes in the obligation for noncontrolling owners' interests in subsidiaries and joint ventures (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2012	2011
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 16,848	\$ 28,724
Noncontrolling owners' interests in earnings of subsidiaries and joint ventures	7,713	1,438
Accretion of interest on RLW Put/Call	248	212
Change in fair value of RLW Put/Call	(463 )	--
Change in fair value of RHB Put/Call	--	1,054
Distributions to noncontrolling interest owners	--	(3,900 )
Balance, end of period	\$ 24,346	\$ 27,528

“Noncontrolling owners’ interest in earnings of subsidiaries and joint ventures” for the three months ended March 31, 2012 shown in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations of \$7,695,000 includes \$7,713,000 attributable to the RLW noncontrolling interest owners which is reflected in “Obligations for noncontrolling owners’ interests in subsidiaries and joint ventures” and a loss of \$18,000 attributable to other noncontrolling interest owners which is reflected in equity in “Noncontrolling interests” in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Subsequent to the issuance of the financial statements for December 31, 2011, the members of RLW, including the Company, agreed to amend their operating agreement effective January 1, 2012 to provide that any goodwill impairment, including the 2011 fourth quarter goodwill impairment, is not to be allocated to RLW for the purpose of calculating the distributions to be made to the RLW noncontrolling interest holders. This amendment resulted in an increase in the net income attributable to RLW’s noncontrolling interests of \$6,717,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2012. This increase is included in “Noncontrolling owners’ interests in earnings of subsidiaries and joint ventures” in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations with an increase in the “Obligation for noncontrolling owners’ interests in subsidiaries and joint ventures” in the condensed consolidated balance sheet. This increase has a related tax impact of \$2,351,000 which increased the tax benefit for the period.

## 9. Stockholders’ Equity

## Stock-Based Compensation Plan and Warrants

The Company has a stock-based incentive plan which is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. See Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2011 Form 10-K for further information. We recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$105,000 and \$125,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

At March 31, 2012, total unrecognized compensation expense related to restricted stock awards was \$456,000. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.7 years. There was no unrecognized compensation expense related to stock options at March 31, 2012. Proceeds received by the Company from the exercise of options and warrants for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were approximately \$5,000 and \$0, respectively. No options were granted in the three months ended March 31, 2012 or 2011.



At March 31, 2012, there were 71,480 and 52,100 shares of common stock covered by outstanding restricted stock and stock options, respectively. All of the stock options were vested while the restricted stock has not vested.

10. Variable Interest Entities

We own a 50% interest in Myers of which we are the primary beneficiary and have consolidated Myers into our financial statements. Because the Company exercises primary control over activities of the partnership and it is exposed to the majority of potential losses of the partnership, the Company has consolidated Myers within the Company's financial statements from August 1, 2011, the date of acquisition. See Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2011 Form 10-K for additional information on the acquisition of this limited partnership.

Table of Contents

The condensed financial information of Myers which is reflected in our condensed consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations is as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	December 31 2011
Assets:		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,091	\$ 1,365
Contracts receivable, including retainage	3,432	2,244
Other current assets	1,618	419
Total current assets	6,141	4,028
Property and equipment, net	1,268	926
Goodwill	1,541	1,541
Total assets	\$ 8,950	\$ 6,495
Liabilities:		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 4,147	\$ 1,134
Other current liabilities	1,825	2,323
Total current liabilities	5,972	3,457
Long-term liabilities:		
Other long-term liabilities	--	--
Total long-term liabilities	--	--
Total liabilities	\$ 5,972	\$ 3,457
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012	
Revenues	\$ 4,514	
Operating loss	(31 )	
Net loss attributable to Sterling common stockholders	(12 )	

Other current liabilities shown in the table above include \$500,000 in demand notes payable that are due to one of the noncontrolling interest owners.

#### 11. Net Income (Loss) per Share Attributable to Sterling Common Stockholders

Basic net income (loss) per share attributable to Sterling common stockholders is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributable to Sterling common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per common share attributable to Sterling common stockholders is the same as basic net income (loss) per share attributable to Sterling common stockholders but includes dilutive stock options and warrants using the treasury stock method. The following table reconciles the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted per common share computations for net income attributable to Sterling common stockholders (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011

## Numerator:

Net income (loss) attributable to Sterling common stockholders	\$	(7,500)	\$	44
Revaluation of noncontrolling interest put/call liability reflected in retained earnings, net of tax		301		--
	\$	(7,199)	\$	44

## Denominator:

Weighted average common shares outstanding — basic		16,322		16,465
Shares for dilutive stock options and warrants		--		160
Weighted average common shares outstanding and assumed conversions— diluted		16,322		16,625
Basic net income (loss) per share attributable to Sterling common stockholders	\$	(0.44)	\$	0.00
Diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to Sterling common stockholders	\$	(0.44)	\$	0.00

Table of Contents

There were 2,800 and 95,107 weighted average options outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 which were considered antidilutive as the option exercise price exceeded the average share market price and were therefore excluded from the denominator used for computing diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to Sterling common stockholders. In addition, and in accordance with the treasury stock method, 59,444 shares of stock options and restricted stock were excluded from the diluted weighted average common shares outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2012 as the Company incurred a loss during this period and the impact of such shares would have been antidilutive.

12. Subsequent Event

In January 2012, the Company assumed seven construction contracts with \$25.0 million of unearned revenues from Aggregate Industries – SWR, Inc. (“AI”), an unrelated third party. On April 27, 2012, RHB entered into a merger agreement with two companies that were wholly owned by Richard Buenting, the President and Chief Executive Officer of RHB. In exchange, the Company granted Mr. Buenting a 50% member interest in RHB. The assets of the acquired companies primarily consisted of construction related machinery and equipment and land with quarries with fair values totaling approximately \$12.0 million which had been acquired from AI in January 2012. In addition, AI entered into a two-year non-compete agreement with respect to Utah, Idaho and Montana as well as certain areas of Nevada. Based on a fairness opinion obtained by the Company, it was determined that the fair value of the companies acquired from Mr. Buenting exceeded the fair value of the 50% interest in RHB granted to him.

The Company also entered into a buy/sell and management agreement with Mr. Buenting. Under this agreement, the Company or Mr. Buenting may annually elect to make an offer to buy the other owner’s 50% interest in RHB or sell their 50% interest in RHB at a price which they specify. Upon receipt of an offer to buy their interest, the other owner may either accept the offer or elect to buy the other owner’s 50% interest. Similarly in the instance of an offer to sell, the other owner may either agree to buy the other owner’s 50% interest or require the other owner to buy their 50% interest. The agreement also provides that the Company will provide RHB with a \$5 million line of credit.

Table of Contents

Item Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

2.

Cautionary Comment Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Report includes statements that are, or may be considered to be, "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. These forward-looking statements are included throughout this Report, including in this section, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and relate to matters such as our industry, business strategy, goals and expectations concerning our market position, future operations, margins, profitability, capital expenditures, liquidity and capital resources and other financial and operating information. We have used the words "anticipate," "assume," "believe," "budget," "continue," "co-estimate," "expect," "forecast," "future," "intend," "may," "plan," "potential," "predict," "project," "should," "will," "would" and phrases to identify forward-looking statements in this Report.

Forward-looking statements reflect our current expectations as of the date of this Report regarding future events, results or outcomes. These expectations may or may not be realized. Some of these expectations may be based upon assumptions or judgments that prove to be incorrect. In addition, our business and operations involve numerous risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, that could result in our expectations not being realized or otherwise could materially affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Actual events, results and outcomes may differ materially from our expectations due to a variety of factors. Although it is not possible to identify all of these factors, they include, among others, the following:

- changes in general economic conditions, including recessions, reductions in federal, state and local government funding for infrastructure services and changes in those governments' budgets, practices, laws and regulations;
- delays or difficulties related to the completion of our projects, including additional costs, reductions in revenues or the payment of liquidated damages, or delays or difficulties related to obtaining required governmental permits and approvals;
- actions of suppliers, subcontractors, design engineers, joint venture partners, customers, competitors, banks, surety companies and others which are beyond our control, including suppliers', subcontractors', and joint venture partners' failure to perform;
- the effects of estimates inherent in our percentage-of-completion accounting policies, including onsite conditions that differ materially from those assumed in our original bid, contract modifications, mechanical problems with our machinery or equipment and effects of other risks discussed in this document;
  - design/build contracts which subject us to the risk of design errors and omissions;
- cost escalations associated with our contracts, including changes in availability, proximity and cost of materials such as steel, cement, concrete, aggregates, oil, fuel and other construction materials, and cost escalations associated with subcontractors and labor;
  - our dependence on a limited number of significant customers;
- adverse weather conditions; although we prepare our budgets and bid contracts based on historical rain and snowfall patterns, the incidence of rain, snow, hurricanes, etc., may differ materially from these expectations;
- the presence of competitors with greater financial resources or lower margin requirements than ours, and the impact of competitive bidders on our ability to obtain new backlog at reasonable margins acceptable to us;
  - our ability to successfully identify, finance, complete and integrate acquisitions;
- citations issued by any governmental authority, including the Occupational Safety and Health Administration;
- federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations where non-compliance can result in penalties and/or termination of contracts as well as civil and criminal liability;

the instability of certain financial institutions, which could cause losses on our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments;

adverse economic conditions in our markets; and

the other factors discussed in more detail in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (“2011 Form 10-K”) under “Item 1A. —Risk Factors.”

In reading this Report, you should consider these factors carefully in evaluating any forward-looking statements and you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. Although we believe that our plans, intentions and expectations reflected in, or suggested by, the forward-looking statements that we make in this Report are reasonable, we can provide no assurance that they will be achieved.

## Table of Contents

The forward-looking statements included in this Report are made only as of the date of this Report, and we undertake no obligation to update any information contained in this Report or to publicly release the results of any revisions to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that occur, or that we become aware of after the date of this Report, except as may be required by applicable securities laws.

### Overview

Sterling is a leading heavy civil construction company that specializes in the building, reconstruction and repair of transportation and water infrastructure. Transportation infrastructure projects include highways, roads, bridges, light rail and commuter rail. Water infrastructure projects include water, wastewater and storm drainage systems. Sterling provides general contracting services, including excavating, concrete and asphalt paving, installation of large-diameter water and wastewater distribution systems, construction of bridges and similar large structures, construction of light and commuter rail infrastructure, concrete and asphalt batch plant operations, concrete crushing and aggregates operations. Sterling performs the majority of the work required by its contracts with its own crews and equipment.

Sterling has grown its operations by expanding both its service profile and market areas. This involved adding services, such as concrete operations, in order to capture a greater percentage of available work in current and potential markets. It also involved strategically expanding operations, either by establishing a branch office in a new market, often after having successfully bid on and completed a project in that market, or by acquiring a company that gives us an immediate entry into a market. Sterling extended both its service profile and its geographic market reach with the 2009 acquisition of Ralph L. Wadsworth Construction Company, LLC (“RLW”), which has operations primarily in Utah, and the 2011 acquisitions of J. Banicki Construction, Inc. (“JBC”) and Myers & Sons Construction, L.P. (“Myers”) with operations in Arizona and California, respectively.

Sterling operates primarily in Texas, Utah, Nevada, Arizona and California, states that management believes benefit from both positive long-term demographic trends as well as a historical commitment to funding transportation and water infrastructure projects. Currently, the Company also has highway construction contracts in Hawaii, Montana and Louisiana. From 2005 to 2010, the populations of Texas, Utah, Nevada, Arizona and California grew 10.2%, 15.8%, 14.8%, 9.1% and 3.5%, respectively, compared to approximately 4.5% for the national average. While the near-term funding available to these markets is currently limited, management anticipates that long-term population growth and increases in required spending for infrastructure in these markets will positively affect business opportunities over the coming years.

For a more detailed discussion of the Company’s business, readers of this report are advised to review “Item 1, Business,” of the 2011 Form 10-K.

For purposes of the discussions which follow, “Current Quarter” refers to the three month period ended March 31, 2012 and “Prior Quarter” refers to the three month period ended March 31, 2011.

### Financial Results for 2010, 2011 and the Current Quarter, Operational Issues and Outlook for 2012 Financial Results

As discussed further in the 2011 Form 10-K, the Company’s 2011 results were well below those of 2010 as a result of a pre-tax charge of \$67.0 million related to the impairment of goodwill and a decline in our overall margins which were adversely affected by production issues on a number of construction projects, primarily in the fourth quarter of 2011. These declines were in part a result of revisions to estimated profitability on construction projects, both favorable and unfavorable, which resulted in a net pre-tax charge of \$11.8 million in 2011.

In the Current Quarter, the Company had an operating loss of \$4.6 million, loss before income taxes and earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest owners of \$3.8 million, net loss attributable to Sterling common stockholders of

\$7.5 million and net loss per diluted share attributable to Sterling common stockholders of \$0.44. Included in the net loss attributable to Sterling common stockholders is additional earnings allocated to noncontrolling interest owners of \$6.7 million, or \$4.4 million net of tax, resulting from an amendment to the RLW member agreement to change the treatment of goodwill impairments for purposes of determining net income distributable to RLW's members. This is discussed further in Note 8.

Although revenues for the Current Quarter decreased less than 1% from the Prior Quarter, our overall margins continued to be adversely affected by production issues which affected a number of construction projects. These declines in revenues and gross margins were in part a result of revisions to estimated profitability on certain construction projects, both favorable and unfavorable, which resulted in a net pre-tax charge of \$3.9 million in the Current Quarter.



Table of Contents

The majority of our revenues and backlog is derived from fixed unit price contracts. Some of our revenues are derived from lump sum contracts. Fixed unit price contracts require us to provide materials and services at a fixed unit price based on approved quantities irrespective of our actual per unit costs. Lump sum contracts require that the total amount of work be performed for a single price irrespective of our actual costs. As discussed in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in the 2011 Form 10-K, we realize a profit on our contracts only if we accurately estimate our costs and then successfully control actual costs and avoid cost overruns, and our revenues exceed actual costs. If our cost estimates for a contract are inaccurate, or if we do not execute the contract within our cost estimates, then cost overruns may cause the contract not to be as profitable as we expected or result in a loss, negatively affecting our cash flow, earnings and financial position. While there are a number of factors which cause the costs incurred and gross profit realized on our contracts to vary, sometimes substantially, from our original projections, the primary factors which caused the net charge related to the revision in estimated revenues and gross profits in the Current Quarter were:

conditions or contract requirements that differed from those assumed in the original bid or contract;

increases in equipment costs;

lower than expected productivity levels;

failure by certain suppliers, subcontractors or customers to perform certain of their obligations;

shortages in the availability of skilled workers in the geographic location of certain projects, especially due to the rapid expansion of our business in certain markets; and

delays in quickly identifying and taking measures to address issues that arose during construction.

In view of the significant revisions to estimated gross profits on contracts identified in the fourth quarter of 2011, management undertook a thorough review and determined that some of these revisions related to prior periods, but the impact of revising these estimates would not have had a material impact on revenues or gross profit reported in those prior periods had the changes been made in the appropriate period. Management also determined that the procedures performed by operating personnel to make periodic revisions in estimates, and the reviews of those estimates by operations management, were not adequate or timely enough in some instances to ensure that a material impact on the financial statements resulting from such revisions in estimates would be recognized in the proper period. Management determined that this deficiency in our internal controls was such that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements would not have been prevented or detected on a timely basis and, therefore, this deficiency constituted a material weakness as of December 31, 2011. Management has determined that this material weakness continued to exist as of March 31, 2012.

While the risks of cost overruns and changes in estimated contract revenues are an inherent part of the construction business, management believes that there are internal changes that we can make in order to improve the profitability of our projects, reduce the variability in profitability of our projects in the future and strengthen the internal control environment. We are undertaking changes in the following areas:

changing roles and responsibilities to improve functional support and controls;

developing management tools designed to improve the estimating process and increase the oversight of that process;

implementing processes designed to better identify, evaluate and quantify risks for individual projects;

improving the methodologies for allocating overhead, indirect costs and equipment costs to individual projects; and

improving the timeliness and content of reporting available to operations management.

Although improvements have been made to the estimation process, there has not yet been an adequate period of time since the changes have been implemented to evaluate the effectiveness of these changes, and we have determined that the material weakness continues to exist as of March 31, 2012.

In addition to the factors discussed above which impact the profitability on individual projects, there are other factors which have adversely affected our ability to secure construction projects at favorable margins. Contracts for our highway and related bridge work are generally funded through federal and state authorizations. The federal government enacted the SAFETEA-LU bill in 2005, which authorized \$244 billion for transportation spending through 2009. The SAFETEA-LU bill expired on September 30, 2009, and the federal government has been extending financial assistance to the states on an interim basis, most recently through June 30, 2012. However, the federal government has not enacted a long-term, multi-year highway bill with adequate funding to enable the states to know that funding will be sufficient for the states to award large, two to four-year highway and bridge construction contracts. We are unable to predict when or on what terms the federal government might ultimately enact long-term legislation similar to the SAFETEA-LU bill. The failure to enact a long-term, multi-year highway bill with adequate funding has adversely affected the levels of transportation and water infrastructure capital expenditures in our markets, reducing opportunities to replace backlog at reasonable margins and increasing competition for new projects.

## Table of Contents

While we expect that implementation of the internal changes discussed above will improve profitability in the future, we do not expect to see a substantial impact on our 2012 results. In addition, the continuing failure of the federal government to enact a long-term multi-year highway bill with adequate funding is expected to adversely affect infrastructure capital expenditures in all our markets in 2012, and we expect continued pressure on our gross margins on new contract awards until this situation is alleviated. Projects in our backlog generally take 12 to 36 months to complete, and we currently estimate that \$565 million of our \$868 million in backlog at March 31, 2012 will be constructed in the remainder of 2012. Based on our current estimates, the gross margin in our backlog for 2012 is lower than the gross margin of 8.0% realized in 2011, partly as a result of the operational issues in 2011 and the Current Quarter which resulted in the downward revisions of estimated gross profits on a number of construction projects that were in progress at December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2012 and partly as a result of competitive bidding pressures when the contracts were added to backlog.

We expect that revenues will increase more than 25% from 2011 to 2012 as a result of the higher backlog at the end of 2011 as compared to 2010, the impact of a full year of operations for JBC and Myers, both of which were acquired in August 2011, and contract awards of \$194 million from January 1, 2012 through March 31, 2012. However, as discussed above, based on estimated gross margins in our current backlog, we expect our overall gross margins for 2012 to be lower than the 8.0% reported for 2011. As a result, we anticipate that our net income and diluted earnings per common share of stock attributable to Sterling common stockholders for the period from April 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012 will be comparable to the \$5.9 million and \$0.31 per share reported for the same period in 2011 (after excluding the \$41.8 million and \$2.55 per share impact of the goodwill impairment).

## Our Markets

Demand for transportation and water infrastructure depends on a variety of factors, including overall population growth, economic expansion and the vitality of the market areas in which we operate, as well as unique local topographical, structural and environmental issues. In addition to these factors, demand for the replacement of infrastructure is driven by the general aging of infrastructure and the need for technical improvements to achieve more efficient or safer use of infrastructure and resources. Funding for this infrastructure depends on federal, state and local governmental resources, budgets and authorizations. Each of these factors is discussed more fully in “Item 1. Business—Our Markets” in the 2011 Form 10-K.

According to 2010 U.S. Census Bureau information, Texas, Utah, Nevada, Arizona and California each experienced significant population growth from 2005 to 2010 and over the long-term these states are expected to continue to experience population increases from 25.1 million, 2.8 million, 2.7 million, 6.4 million and 37 million people in 2010, respectively, to populations of over 33 million, 3 million, 4 million, 10 million and 46 million, respectively, by 2030.

The U.S. Department of Transportation (“U.S.DOT”) had actual appropriations of \$41.8 billion for federal highway financial assistance to the states for 2011, has authority to spend \$41.5 billion in the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012 and has requested authority to spend \$42.6 billion in the fiscal year ending September 30, 2013 for highways and bridges. Spending for fiscal year 2013 is subject to appropriations by the federal government.

In January 2009, the 2030 Committee, appointed by the Texas Department of Transportation (“TxDOT”) at the request of the Governor of the State of Texas, submitted its draft report of the transportation needs of Texas, which at that time had over 193,000 lane-miles and 50,000 bridges in its state highway system. The report stated that “With [the] population increase expected by 2030, transportation modes, costs and congestion are considered a possible roadblock to Texas’s projected growth and prosperity.” The report further indicated that Texas needs to spend approximately \$315.0 billion (in 2008 dollars) for the period 2009 through 2030 to prevent worsening congestion and maintain economic competitiveness on its urban highways and roads, improve congestion/safety and partial connectivity on its rural highways, and to replace bridges.

In 2007, the voters of the State of Texas approved \$5.0 billion of bonds for highway construction (“Prop 12 Bonds”) to be repaid out of the State's general funds. The transportation construction expenditures for 2010 and 2011 were partially funded by \$2.0 billion of proceeds from the Prop 12 Bonds, and the budget for the biennium 2012-2013 includes the remaining \$3.0 billion of proceeds from the Prop 12 Bonds.

Table of Contents

TxDOT contract awards (“lettings”) for transportation construction projects are estimated to be \$4.2 billion in 2012 and \$4.1 billion in 2013, including a statewide and local portion of the Prop 12 Bonds discussed above.

In Texas, substantial funds for transportation infrastructure spending are also being provided by toll road and regional mobility authorities for construction of toll roads, which provides Sterling with additional construction contracting opportunities; however, such spending could be limited by federal, state and local funding limitations.

Spending for highway and bridge construction in Utah was \$1.3 billion in 2011, and \$700.9 million has been authorized for 2012. The details of the capital spending for 2013 have not been released; however the Utah Governor’s recommendation for total capital spending in 2013 is approximately \$911 million compared with \$1.4 billion recommended for 2012.

Nevada’s budget for construction of roadways and facilities is \$377 million in 2012 and \$369 million in 2013 compared with expenditures of between \$300 million and \$400 million in each of the 2010 and 2011 fiscal years.

Arizona’s expenditures for transportation construction were \$326 million in 2011, appropriations are \$326 million in 2012 and a budget of \$332 million has been requested for 2013.

California’s transportation capital outlays and local assistance were \$5.0 billion in 2011, while such expenditures are estimated to be \$10.2 billion in 2012 and \$6.2 billion in 2013. A substantial portion of the decrease between 2012 and 2013 is due to a reduction in expected Federal Trust highway funds.

Since 2008, the bidding environment in our markets has been much more competitive than in the past because of the following:

Reduced federal, state and local spending on transportation and water-related infrastructure.

Traditional competitors on larger transportation and water infrastructure projects appear to have been bidding at less than normal margins, sometimes at bid levels below our break-even pricing, in order to replenish their backlogs.

While our business includes only minimal residential and commercial infrastructure work, the severe fall-off in new projects in those markets has resulted in some residential and commercial infrastructure contractors bidding on smaller public sector transportation and water infrastructure projects, sometimes at bid levels below our break-even pricing, thus increasing competition and creating downward pressure on bid prices in our markets.

The entry of new competitors from other states.

These factors have limited our ability to replenish our backlog through successful bids for new projects and have compressed the profitability on many new projects where we submitted successful bids. While we have been more aggressive in bidding for some projects, we have not bid at prices where we anticipated we would incur loss margins in order to obtain new backlog. Nevertheless, in some instances we determined subsequent to the award that a job would most likely have a loss margin. Consistent with our policy, these losses are recorded when they become known.

Recent reductions in miles driven in the U.S. and more fuel efficient vehicles have reduced federal and state gasoline taxes and tolls collected. In addition, the federal government has been extending financial assistance to the states on an interim basis, most recently through June 30, 2012, and continued deferral of new funding legislation or reductions in federal funding may negatively impact the states’ highway and bridge construction contract awards for their fiscal years 2012 and beyond. We are unable to predict when or on what terms the federal government might enact long-term infrastructure funding legislation. The ongoing disagreements in Congress over balancing the federal

budget in the short-term and long-term as well as reducing the federal deficit add to the uncertainties surrounding the renewal or enactment of federal highway funding legislation.

Further, the nationwide decline in home sales, the increase in foreclosures and the prolonged recession have resulted in decreases in property taxes and some other local taxes, which are among the sources of funding for municipal road, bridge and water infrastructure construction. Expenditures by municipalities may also be limited due to federal, state and local funding limitations in the current economic environment.

These and other factors have adversely affected the levels of transportation and water infrastructure capital awards and expenditures in our markets, reducing opportunities to replace backlog at reasonable margins and increasing competition for new projects. See “Recent Developments – Financial Results for 2011, Operational Issues and Outlook for 2012 Financial Results” in the 2011 Form 10-K for further discussion of the impact on our financial results.

Table of Contents

While we do expect that our markets will ultimately recover from the conditions described above and that our backlog and revenues will grow and gross margins, net income and earnings per share will return to levels more consistent with historical rates of return, we cannot predict the timing of such a return to historical normalcy in our markets. We believe that the Company is in sound financial condition and has the resources and management experience to weather current market conditions and to continue to compete successfully for projects as they become available at acceptable profit margin levels. See “Business–Markets,” “Business–Our Customers” and “Business–Competition” in the 2011 Form 10-K for a more detailed discussion of our markets and their funding sources.

Results of Operations

Backlog at March 31, 2012

Backlog is our estimate of the revenues that we expect to earn in future periods on our construction projects. We generally add the anticipated revenue value of each new project to our backlog when management reasonably determines that we will be awarded the contract and there are no known impediments to being awarded the contract. As construction on our projects progresses, we also increase or decrease backlog to take into account our estimates of the effects of changes in estimated quantities, changed conditions, change orders and other variations from initially anticipated contract revenues, including completion penalties and incentives. During the Current Quarter, we were awarded or were the apparent low bidder on \$194 million in contracts, and at March 31, 2012, our backlog of \$868 million included approximately \$11 million of expected revenues for which the contracts had not yet been officially awarded. Historically, very few contracts that we have added to backlog have not subsequently been awarded and these have not materially affected our results of operations or financial condition.

Substantially all of the contracts in our contract backlog may be canceled at the election of the customer; however, we have not been materially adversely affected by contract cancellations or modifications in the past.

Table of Contents

## Results of Operations for the Current Quarter as Compared to the Prior Quarter

	Three Months Ended March 31,		%
	2012	2011	
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)		
Revenues	\$ 98,425	99,242	(0.8 )
Gross profit	\$ 1,873	\$ 7,599	(75.4 )
General and administrative expenses	(7,666 )	(6,056 )	26.6
Other income	1,231	145	NM
Operating income (loss)	(4,562 )	1,688	NM
Gains (losses) on the sale of securities and other	750	(204 )	NM
Interest income	416	378	10.1
Interest expense	(385 )	(214 )	79.9
Income (loss) before taxes	(3,781 )	1,648	NM
Income tax benefit (expense)	3,976	(166 )	NM
Net income	195	1,482	NM
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest in earnings of subsidiaries	(7,695 )	(1,438 )	NM
Net income (loss) attributable to Sterling common stockholders	\$ (7,500 )	\$ 44	NM
Gross margin	1.9 %	7.7 %	(75.3 )
Operating margin	(4.6 )%	1.7 %	NM

	Amount as of	
	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Contract backlog, end of period	\$ 868,000	\$ 741,000

NM – Not meaningful.

## Revenues

Revenues were slightly lower for the Current Quarter compared with the Prior Quarter. While we had \$11.9 million in revenues in Arizona and California attributable to JBC and Myers which were acquired on August 1, 2011, revenues from contracts in Texas, Nevada and Utah declined from the prior year. Most of the decline was in Texas.

## Gross Profit

Gross profit decreased \$5.7 million for the Current Quarter compared with the Prior Quarter and gross margins declined to 1.9% in the Current Quarter from 7.7% in the Prior Quarter due to net downward revisions of estimated revenues and gross profit on a number of construction projects, primarily in Texas. The net revisions to contract estimates were the result of different factors affecting various contracts, some positively and some negatively. While there are a number of factors which cause the costs incurred and gross profit realized on our contracts to vary, sometimes substantially, from our original projections, the primary factors which caused the net charge in the Current Quarter were:

- conditions or contract requirements that differed from those assumed in the original bid or contract;
- increases in equipment costs;
- lower than expected productivity levels;
- failure by certain suppliers, subcontractors or customers to perform certain of their obligations;



shortages in the availability of skilled workers in the geographic location of certain projects, especially due to the rapid expansion of our business in certain markets; and  
delays in quickly identifying and taking measures to address issues which arose during construction.

Table of Contents

At March 31, 2012, we had approximately 96 contracts-in-progress which were less than 90% complete of various sizes, of different expected profitability and in various stages of completion. The nearer a contract progresses toward completion, the more visibility we have in refining our estimate of total revenues (including incentives, delay penalties and change orders), costs and gross profit. Thus gross profit as a percent of revenues can increase or decrease from comparable and sequential quarters due to variations among contracts and depending upon the stage of completion of contracts.

General and administrative expenses, net of other income

General and administrative expenses for Current Quarter included general and administrative expenses for JBC and Myers which we acquired on August 1, 2011 as well as an increase in professional fees. As a percent of revenues, general and administrative expenses increased to 7.8% in the Current Quarter compared with 6.1% in the Prior Quarter.

Income taxes

Our effective income tax rates for the Current and Prior Quarters were 105.2% and 10.1%, respectively, and varied from the statutory rate primarily as a result of net income attributable to noncontrolling interest owners which is taxed to those owners rather than Sterling, the impact of applying the estimated overall effective rate for 2012 to Current Quarter pretax income in order to allocate the tax provision within the current annual period and the impact of nontaxable interest income. Excluding the impact of net income attributable to noncontrolling interest owners, our effective income tax rates for the Current and Prior Quarters were 34.0% and 40.6%, respectively.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests

The increase in net income attributable to noncontrolling interest owners in the Current Quarter compared with the Prior Quarter is primarily related to net income attributable to the 20% noncontrolling interest owners in RLW, our 80% owned subsidiary. As discussed further in Note 8 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, the members of RLW, including the Company, agreed to amend their operating agreement effective January 1, 2012 to provide that any goodwill impairment, including the 2011 fourth quarter goodwill impairment, is not to be allocated to RLW for the purpose of calculating the distributions to be made to the RLW noncontrolling interest holders. This amendment resulted in an increase in the net income attributable to RLW's noncontrolling interests of \$6,717,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2012. This increase is included in "Noncontrolling owners' interests in earnings of subsidiaries and joint ventures" in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations with an increase in the "Obligation for noncontrolling owners' interests in subsidiaries and joint ventures" in the condensed consolidated balance sheet. This increase has a related tax impact of \$2,351,000 which increased the tax benefit for the period.

Table of Contents

## Historical Cash Flows

The following table sets forth information about our cash flows and liquidity (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 1,378	\$ 5,446
Capital expenditures	(5,910 )	(8,433 )
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	3,306	182
Net sales (purchases) of short-term securities	1,546	(30,152 )
Financing activities	(81 )	(4,114 )
Total	\$ 239	\$ (37,071 )
	Amount as of	
	March 31,	December 31,
	2012	2011
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 16,610	\$ 16,371
Working capital	\$ 92,134	\$ 94,738

## Operating Activities

Significant non-cash items included in operating activities are:

depreciation and amortization which increased to \$4.5 million in the Current Quarter as compared to \$4.2 million in the Prior Quarter as a result of an increase in capital expenditures as well as depreciation associated with JBC and Myers which were acquired August 1, 2011; and deferred tax benefit of \$3.0 million in the Current Quarter is primarily attributable to the \$2.4 million tax impact of the additional earnings to noncontrolling interest owners of \$6.7 million discussed in Note 8; deferred tax expense of \$1.3 million in the Prior Quarter is primarily the result of recognizing accelerated depreciation methods used on equipment for tax purposes as compared to straight-line depreciation used for financial reporting purposes and amortizing goodwill for tax return purposes but not for financial reporting purposes.

Besides the net income (loss) in the Current and Prior Quarters and the non-cash items discussed above, other significant components of cash flows from operations (which excludes the impact of changes attributable to the net assets of acquired companies) were:

contracts receivable decreased by \$2.0 million in the Current Quarter and \$5.4 million in the Prior Quarter while the excess of billings over costs incurred and estimated earnings increased by \$1.1 million in the Current Quarter and \$4.6 million in the Prior Quarter; the increase in income tax receivable of \$1.1 million in the Current Quarter is the result of estimated benefit from carrying back the tax net operating loss forecasted for 2012; the increase in income tax receivable of \$2.9 million in the Prior Quarter is the result of estimated tax payments which were refunded in connection with the filing of our 2010 tax return; accounts payable increased by \$4.8 million in the Current Quarter and decreased by \$0.6 million in the Prior Quarter; and accrued compensation and other liabilities decreased by \$2.3 million in the Current Quarter and increased by \$0.4 million in the Prior Quarter.

## Investing Activities

Capital equipment is acquired as needed to support increased levels of production activities and to replace retiring equipment. Expenditures for the replacement of certain equipment and to expand our construction fleet totaled \$5.9 million for the Current Quarter. Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment totaled \$3.3 million for the Current Quarter with an associated net gain of \$1.2 million. For the year ended December 31, 2011, capital expenditures totaled \$24.0 million while proceeds from the sale of property and equipment totaled \$1.3 million. Management expects capital expenditures in 2012 to be higher than 2011 to support our higher level of operations and to replace equipment. In addition, proceeds from sales of property and equipment in the Current Quarter have been higher than in previous periods as management undertook a program to dispose of underutilized and aging equipment.

Table of Contents

During the Current Quarter, the Company had net sales of short-term securities of \$1.5 million as compared to net purchases of short-term securities of \$30.2 million in the Prior Quarter. The net purchases in the Prior Quarter were primarily due to the investment of cash generated by operations, after capital expenditures.

## Financing Activities

Financing activities in the Current Quarter consisted of drawdowns and repayments on the Credit Facility. Financing Activities in the Prior Quarter primarily reflect distributions to noncontrolling interest owners of \$3.9 million. Subsequent to March 31, 2012, the members of RLW approved the distribution of net income for the three months ended December 31, 2011 in accordance with the RLW operating agreement as amended. The amount attributable to the noncontrolling interest owners was \$765,000.

## Liquidity and Sources of Capital

The level of working capital for our construction business varies due to fluctuations in:

customer receivables and contract retentions;  
costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings;  
billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings;  
investments in our unconsolidated construction joint ventures;  
the size and status of contract mobilization payments and progress billings; and  
the amounts owed to suppliers and subcontractors.

Some of these fluctuations can be significant. As of March 31, 2012, we had working capital of \$92.1 million, a decrease of \$2.6 million over December 31, 2011. The decrease in working capital of \$2.6 million in the Current Quarter was the result of the following (in thousands):

Net income	\$ 195
Depreciation and amortization	4,530
Deferred tax benefit	(2,951 )
Capital expenditures	(5,910 )
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment, net of gain	2,102
Other	(570 )
Total decrease in working capital	\$(2,604 )

In addition to our available cash and cash equivalents, short term investments and cash provided by operations, from time to time we use borrowings under our \$50.0 million Credit Facility with Comerica Bank to finance our capital expenditures and working capital needs. The Credit Facility has a maturity date of September 30, 2016. Subject to the conditions under the terms of the Credit Facility, including the financial covenants discussed below, up to \$50 million in borrowings and letters of credit is available under the amended Credit Facility with, under certain circumstances, an optional increase of \$50 million. Borrowings under the Credit Facility are secured by all assets of the Company, other than proceeds and other rights under our construction contracts which are pledged to our bond surety. At March 31, 2012, there were no borrowings outstanding under the Credit Facility; however, there was a letter of credit of \$1.8 million outstanding which reduced availability under the Credit Facility to \$48.2 million.

Average borrowings under the Credit Facility for the Current Quarter were \$11,000 and the largest amount of borrowings under the Credit Facility was \$1.0 million on February 23, 2012. Average borrowings under the Credit Facility for the fiscal year 2011 were \$104,000, and the largest amount of borrowings under the Credit Facility was \$8.0 million on September 30, 2011.

The Credit Facility is subject to our compliance with certain covenants, including financial covenants at quarter-end relating to leverage, tangible net worth, and asset coverage. The Credit Facility contains restrictions on our ability to:

Make distributions or pay dividends;  
Incur liens and encumbrances;  
Incur further indebtedness;  
Guarantee obligations;

Table of Contents

Dispose of a material portion of assets or merge with a third party; and  
Make investments in securities.

To date the Company has not experienced any difficulty in borrowing under the Credit Facility, and the Company was in compliance with all covenants under the Credit Facility as of March 31, 2012.

Management believes that the Company has sufficient liquid financial resources, including the unused portion of its Credit Facility, to fund its requirements for the next twelve months of operations, including its bonding requirements, and the Company expects no material adverse change in its liquidity. Future developments or events, such as an increase in our level of purchases of equipment to support significantly higher backlog or an acquisition of another company could, however, affect our level of working capital and tangible net worth. See “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation—Sources of Capital” in the 2011 Form 10-K for further discussion of the covenants and restrictions under the Credit Facility.

**Inflation**

Until 2008, inflation had not had a material impact on our financial results; however, that year’s increases in oil and fuel prices affected our cost of operations. While the prices we have paid for oil and fuel and, generally, for other materials have decreased since 2008, in 2011 we saw the prices of oil and fuel rise once again, and we have seen increases in steel prices in other years. Anticipated cost increases and reductions are considered in our bids to customers on proposed new construction projects.

In order to mitigate our exposure to increases in fuel prices, in April 2011, we commenced a program to hedge our exposure to increases in diesel fuel prices by entering into swap contracts for diesel fuel. We believe that the gains and losses on these contracts will tend to offset increases and decreases in the price we pay for diesel fuel and reduce the volatility of such fuel costs in our operations. As of March 31, 2012, we had diesel futures contracts for 660,000 gallons which fixed prices at an average of \$3.14 per gallon. This compares to the March 31, 2012 price for off-road ultra-low sulfur diesel published by Platts of \$3.24. We will continue to evaluate this strategy and may increase or decrease our commitments depending on our forecast of the diesel fuel market and other operational considerations. There can be no assurance that this strategy will be successful.

Where we are the successful bidder on a project, we execute purchase orders with material suppliers and contracts with subcontractors covering the prices of most materials and services, other than oil and fuel products, thereby mitigating future price increases and supply disruptions. These purchase orders and subcontracts do not contain quantity guarantees and we have no obligation to the suppliers or subcontractors for materials and services beyond those required to complete the contracts with our customers. There can be no assurance that increases in prices of oil and fuel used in our business will be adequately covered by the estimated escalation we have included in our bids or derivative contracts entered into to hedge against certain such increases, and there can be no assurance that all of our vendors will fulfill their pricing and supply commitments under their purchase orders and contracts with the Company. We adjust our total estimated costs on our projects when we believe it is probable that we will have cost increases which will not be recovered from customers, vendors or through project re-engineering.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Joint Ventures**

As discussed further in “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation—Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Joint Ventures” in the 2011 Form 10-K, we participate in various construction joint venture partnerships in order to share expertise, risk and resources for certain highly complex projects. The venture’s contract with the project owner typically requires joint and several liability among the joint venture partners. Although our agreements with our joint venture partners provide that each party will assume and

fund its share of any losses resulting from a project, if one of our partners was unable to pay its share we would be fully liable for such share under our contract with the project owner. Circumstances that could lead to a loss under these guarantee arrangements include a partner's inability to contribute additional funds to the venture in the event that the project incurred a loss or additional costs that we could incur should the partner fail to provide the services and resources toward project completion that had been committed to in the joint venture agreement.

At March 31, 2012, there was approximately \$454.1 million of construction work to be completed on unconsolidated construction joint venture contracts, of which \$112.4 million represented our proportionate share. Due to the joint and several liability under our joint venture arrangements, if one of our joint venture partners fails to perform, we and the remaining joint venture partners would be responsible for completion of the outstanding work. As of March 31, 2012, we are not aware of any situation that would require us to fulfill responsibilities of our joint venture partners pursuant to the joint and several liability under our contracts.

Off-balance sheet arrangements related to operating leases are discussed in "Contractual Obligations" in the 2011 Form 10-K.



Table of Contents

## Item Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

3.

Changes in interest rates are one of our sources of market risks. Outstanding indebtedness under our Credit Facility bears interest at floating rates. The average borrowings under this facility during 2011 were \$104,000. Based on our expected levels of borrowings for 2012, we do not expect that a change in our interest rate would have a material impact on our results from operations.

We are exposed to market risk from changes in commodity prices. In the normal course of business, we enter into derivative transactions, specifically cash flow hedges, to mitigate our exposure to diesel fuel commodity price movements. We do not participate in these transactions for trading or speculative purposes. While the use of these arrangements may limit the benefit to us of decreases in the prices of diesel fuel, it also limits the risk of adverse price movements. The following represents the outstanding contracts at March 31, 2012:

Beginning	Ending	Range	Price Per Gallon		Remaining Volume (gallons)	Fair Value of Derivatives at March 31, 2012 (in thousands)
			Weighted Average			
April 1, 2012	December 31, 2012	3.02 – 3.33	3.16		390,000	22
January 1, 2013	December 31, 2013	2.99 – 3.29	3.13		270,000	4
						\$ 26

See “Inflation” above regarding risks associated with materials and fuel purchases required to complete our construction contracts.

## Item Controls and Procedures

4.

## Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company’s principal executive officer and principal financial officer reviewed and evaluated the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of December 31, 2011. Based on the identification of a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting described in “Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting” in the 2011 Form 10-K, the Company’s principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at December 31, 2011 due to this material weakness. While some improvements have been made to the estimating process, there has not been an adequate period of time to evaluate the effectiveness of these changes, and the Company’s principal executive officer and principal financial officer are continuing to evaluate what, if any, additional changes should be made to the internal controls in order to address the material weakness. Furthermore, the Company’s principal executive officer and principal financial officer have determined that the previously reported material weakness continues to exist as of March 31, 2012 and that no other material weakness existed as of March 31, 2012.

### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We maintain a system of internal control over financial reporting that is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Based on the most recent evaluation, except for certain changes made related to the estimation of revenues and gross profits on construction projects, we have concluded that no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2012 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect all errors and all fraud. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness of internal control to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are and may in the future be involved as a party to various legal proceedings that are incidental to the ordinary course of business. We regularly analyze current information about these proceedings and, as necessary, provide accruals for probable liabilities on the eventual disposition of these matters.

In the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel, there are currently no threatened or pending legal matters that would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse impact on our condensed consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Item 1A.Risk Factors

There have not been any material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A of the 2011 Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

31

---

Table of Contents

Item 6.

Exhibits

Exhibit No. Description

31.1*	Certification of Patrick T. Manning, Chief Executive Officer of Sterling Construction Company, Inc.
31.2*	Certification of Elizabeth D. Brumley, Chief Financial Officer of Sterling Construction Company, Inc.
32*	Certification pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) of Patrick T. Manning, Chief Executive Officer, and Elizabeth D. Brumley, Chief Financial Officer
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

---

\* Filed herewith.

\*\* Submitted electronically herewith.

In accordance with Rule 402 of Regulation S-T, the XBRL information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed to be filed for the purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

32

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

STERLING CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.

Date May 9, 2012

By: /s/ Patrick T. Manning  
Patrick T. Manning.  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date May 9, 2012

By: /s/ Elizabeth D. Brumley  
Elizabeth D. Brumley  
Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

STERLING CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.  
 Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for Period Ended March 31, 2012  
 Exhibit Index

Exhibit No.	Description
<u>31.1*</u>	Certification of Patrick T. Manning, Chief Executive Officer of Sterling Construction Company, Inc.
<u>31.2*</u>	Certification of Elizabeth D. Brumley, Chief Financial Officer of Sterling Construction Company, Inc.
<u>32*</u>	Certification pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) of Patrick T. Manning, Chief Executive Officer, and Elizabeth D. Brumley, Chief Financial Officer.
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

---

\*Filed herewith.

\*\* Submitted electronically herewith.

In accordance with Rule 402 of Regulation S-T, the XBRL information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed to be filed for the purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.