

DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC
Form 10-Q
March 26, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended February 22, 2009

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

1-13666

Commission File Number

DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

Florida
(State or other jurisdiction of

59-3305930
(I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

5900 Lake Ellenor Drive,

Orlando, Florida
(Address of principal executive offices)

32809
(Zip Code)

407-245-4000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Number of shares of common stock outstanding as of March 12, 2009: 137,125,537 (excluding 143,755,931 shares held in our treasury).

Table of Contents

DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Part I - Financial Information</u>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Earnings</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	21
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	36
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	37
<u>Part II - Other Information</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	37
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	38
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	39
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	39
<u>Signatures</u>	40
<u>Index to Exhibits</u>	41

Table of Contents**PART I****FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)****DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS****(In millions, except per share data)****(Unaudited)**

	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008
Sales	\$ 1,798.9	\$ 1,811.4	\$ 5,241.9	\$ 4,800.9
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales:				
Food and beverage	548.4	553.5	1,611.6	1,436.5
Restaurant labor	569.1	564.5	1,675.3	1,541.5
Restaurant expenses	276.5	280.2	841.5	742.1
Total cost of sales, excluding restaurant depreciation and amortization of \$67.5, \$64.0, \$198.5 and \$167.6, respectively	\$ 1,394.0	\$ 1,398.2	\$ 4,128.4	\$ 3,720.1
Selling, general and administrative	159.7	162.8	476.9	476.2
Depreciation and amortization	71.2	66.7	210.5	177.6
Interest, net	25.5	26.8	80.7	59.0
Total costs and expenses	\$ 1,650.4	\$ 1,654.5	\$ 4,896.5	\$ 4,432.9
Earnings before income taxes	148.5	156.9	345.4	368.0
Income taxes	(40.4)	(41.3)	(96.3)	(101.8)
Earnings from continuing operations	\$ 108.1	\$ 115.6	\$ 249.1	\$ 266.2
(Losses) earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax (benefit) expense of (\$0.4), \$6.1, \$0.1 and \$4.8, respectively	(0.6)	10.4	0.1	9.2
Net earnings	\$ 107.5	\$ 126.0	\$ 249.2	\$ 275.4
Basic net earnings per share:				
Earnings from continuing operations	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.82	\$ 1.81	\$ 1.89
Earnings from discontinued operations		0.08		0.06
Net earnings	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.90	\$ 1.81	\$ 1.95
Diluted net earnings per share:				
Earnings from continuing operations	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.80	\$ 1.78	\$ 1.83

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

Earnings from discontinued operations			0.08			0.07
Net earnings	\$	0.78	\$	0.88	\$	1.78
					\$	1.90
Average number of common shares outstanding:						
Basic		136.1		140.4		137.4
Diluted		138.6		143.7		140.3

See accompanying notes to our unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions)

	February 22, 2009 (unaudited)	May 25, 2008
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 107.3	\$ 43.2
Receivables, net	50.2	69.5
Inventories	310.0	216.7
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	52.7	46.7
Deferred income taxes	88.9	91.8
Total current assets	\$ 609.1	\$ 467.9
Land, buildings and equipment, net	3,276.7	3,066.0
Goodwill	519.7	519.9
Trademarks	454.7	455.0
Other assets	196.1	221.8
Total assets	\$ 5,056.3	\$ 4,730.6
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 297.1	\$ 245.1
Short-term debt	323.1	178.4
Accrued payroll	126.2	129.3
Accrued income taxes	5.8	2.4
Other accrued taxes	58.2	55.4
Unearned revenues	180.3	160.5
Other current liabilities	384.5	365.1
Total current liabilities	\$ 1,375.2	\$ 1,136.2
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,632.5	1,634.3
Deferred income taxes	214.2	197.6
Deferred rent	150.5	139.0
Obligations under capital leases, net of current installments	59.2	59.9
Other liabilities	146.1	154.5
Total liabilities	\$ 3,577.7	\$ 3,321.5
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock and surplus	\$ 2,121.3	\$ 2,074.9
Retained earnings	2,262.6	2,096.0
Treasury stock	(2,854.2)	(2,724.0)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(37.8)	(20.7)
Unearned compensation	(13.2)	(17.0)
Officer notes receivable	(0.1)	(0.1)
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 1,478.6	\$ 1,409.1

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	5,056.3	\$	4,730.6
--	----	---------	----	---------

See accompanying notes to our unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND****ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)****For the nine months ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008****(In millions)****(Unaudited)**

	Common Stock and Surplus	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Unearned Compensation	Officer Notes Receivable	Total Stockholders Equity
Balance at May 25, 2008	\$ 2,074.9	\$ 2,096.0	\$ (2,724.0)	\$ (20.7)	\$ (17.0)	\$ (0.1)	\$ 1,409.1
Comprehensive income:							
Net earnings		249.2					249.2
Other comprehensive income (loss):							
Foreign currency adjustment				(5.7)			(5.7)
Change in fair value of derivatives, net of tax of \$(8.3)				(13.9)			(13.9)
Amortization of unrecognized net actuarial loss, net of tax of \$1.5				2.5			2.5
Total comprehensive income							232.1
Cash dividends declared		(82.6)					(82.6)
Stock option exercises (0.7 shares)	12.7		0.9				13.6
Stock-based compensation	25.1						25.1
ESOP note receivable repayments					3.8		3.8
Income tax benefits credited to equity	4.5						4.5
Purchases of common stock for treasury (4.7 shares)			(132.7)				(132.7)
Issuance of treasury stock under Employee Stock Purchase Plan and other plans (0.3 shares)	4.1		1.6				5.7
Balance at February 22, 2009	\$ 2,121.3	\$ 2,262.6	\$ (2,854.2)	\$ (37.8)	\$ (13.2)	\$ (0.1)	\$ 1,478.6

	Common Stock and Surplus	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Unearned Compensation	Officer Notes Receivable	Total Stockholders Equity
Balance at May 27, 2007	\$ 1,904.3	\$ 1,820.4	\$ (2,576.5)	\$ (32.8)	\$ (20.6)	\$ (0.3)	\$ 1,094.5
Comprehensive income:							
Net earnings		275.4					275.4
Other comprehensive income (loss):							
Foreign currency adjustment				2.3			2.3
				(0.4)			(0.4)

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

Change in fair value of derivatives, net of tax of \$1.8								
Amortization of unrecognized net actuarial loss, net of tax of \$1.5				3.9				3.9
Total comprehensive income								281.2
Adjustment related to adoption of FIN 48, net of tax of \$0.4			(0.7)					(0.7)
Cash dividends declared			(75.9)					(75.9)
Stock option exercises (2.8 shares)	47.5			6.9				54.4
Stock-based compensation expense	36.2							36.2
Stock-based awards included in RARE acquisition cost	42.0							42.0
ESOP note receivable repayments					2.2			2.2
Income tax benefits credited to equity	26.6							26.6
Purchases of common stock for treasury (4.8 shares)				(153.3)				(153.3)
Issuance of treasury stock under Employee Stock Purchase Plan and other plans (0.6 shares)	4.9			0.9				5.8
Repayment of officer notes						0.2		0.2
Balance at February 24, 2008	\$ 2,061.5	\$ 2,019.2	\$ (2,722.0)	\$ (27.0)	\$ (18.4)	\$ (0.1)	\$	\$ 1,313.2

See accompanying notes to our unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In millions)****(Unaudited)**

	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008
Cash flows operating activities				
Net earnings	\$ 107.5	\$ 126.0	\$ 249.2	\$ 275.4
Losses (earnings) from discontinued operations, net of tax expense (benefit)	0.6	(10.4)	(0.1)	(9.2)
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to cash flows:				
Depreciation and amortization	71.2	66.7	210.5	177.6
Asset impairment			1.1	
Amortization of loan costs	0.8	1.5	2.7	2.1
Stock-based compensation expense	13.1	6.9	30.2	36.2
Change in current assets and liabilities	109.6	122.9	(17.1)	82.3
Contributions to postretirement plan	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.9)	(0.8)
Loss on disposal of land, buildings and equipment	3.4	2.8	4.3	1.7
Change in cash surrender value of trust owned life insurance	(0.2)	3.9	23.6	6.5
Deferred income taxes	10.9	28.0	16.4	4.1
Change in deferred rent	4.4	4.3	12.0	9.1
Change in other liabilities	10.5	(15.4)	9.5	(14.4)
Other, net	2.6	2.8	2.5	5.2
Net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations	\$ 334.1	\$ 339.7	\$ 543.9	\$ 575.8
Cash flows investing activities				
Proceeds from sale of investments	11.3	6.3	11.3	6.3
Purchases of land, buildings and equipment	(125.2)	(121.7)	(423.0)	(323.0)
Proceeds from disposal of land, buildings and equipment	1.0	2.4	4.0	3.0
Purchases of long-term investments			(25.1)	
Cash used in business combination, net of cash acquired				(1,198.3)
Increase in other assets	(1.9)	(3.7)	(1.9)	(6.5)
Net cash used in investing activities of continuing operations	\$ (114.8)	\$ (116.7)	\$ (434.7)	\$ (1,518.5)
Cash flows financing activities				
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	7.7	9.6	18.8	59.1
Dividends paid	(27.2)	(25.1)	(82.6)	(75.9)
Purchases of treasury stock	(5.9)	(108.9)	(132.7)	(153.3)
Income tax benefits credited to equity	1.3	1.6	4.5	26.6
Proceeds from issuance of Interim Credit Agreement				1,150.0
Repayment of Interim Credit Agreement				(1,150.0)
Proceeds from issuance of New Senior Notes				1,150.0
Payments of debt issuance costs				(16.0)
Repayment of acquired convertible notes				(125.0)
(Payments to) proceeds from issuance of short-term debt	(139.1)	(143.5)	144.7	37.1
ESOP note receivable repayment	0.9		3.8	2.2
Principal payments on capital leases	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.7)	(0.5)

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

Repayment of long-term debt	(0.9)		(3.8)	(2.2)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities of continuing operations	\$ (163.4)	\$ (266.6)	\$ (48.0)	\$ 902.1
Cash flows - discontinued operations				
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities of discontinued operations	0.1	(24.5)	(1.6)	(30.4)
Net cash provided by investing activities of discontinued operations		85.8	4.5	87.8
Net cash provided by discontinued operations	\$ 0.1	\$ 61.3	\$ 2.9	\$ 57.4
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	56.0	17.7	64.1	16.8
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of period	51.3	29.3	43.2	30.2
Cash and cash equivalents - end of period	\$ 107.3	\$ 47.0	\$ 107.3	\$ 47.0
Cash flows from changes in current assets and liabilities				
Receivables, net	(11.3)	7.9	19.1	4.8
Inventories	14.3	9.0	(93.1)	(59.1)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	5.6	2.7	(6.3)	3.8
Accounts payable	(4.5)	(18.3)	29.5	50.5
Accrued payroll	16.8	9.4	(3.3)	(6.2)
Accrued income taxes	(7.2)	12.4	4.3	8.3
Other accrued taxes	2.9	3.8	2.8	0.1
Unearned revenues	52.3	42.5	25.7	35.2
Other current liabilities	40.7	53.5	4.2	44.9
Change in current assets and liabilities	\$ 109.6	\$ 122.9	\$ (17.1)	\$ 82.3

Table of Contents

DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

Darden Restaurants, Inc. (we, our or the Company) owns and operates full-service dining restaurants in the United States and Canada under the trade names Red Lobster[®], Olive Garden[®], LongHorn Steakhouse[®], The Capital Grille[®], Bahama Breeze[®], Seasons 52[®], Hemenway's Seafood Grille & Oyster Bar[®] and The Old Grist Mill Tavern[®]. We have prepared these consolidated financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally presented in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included and are of a normal recurring nature. Operating results for the quarter and nine months ended February 22, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending May 31, 2009.

These statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes to consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 25, 2008. The accounting policies used in preparing these consolidated financial statements are the same as those described in our Form 10-K.

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of sales and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2008, we completed the acquisition of RARE Hospitality International, Inc. (RARE) for \$1.27 billion in total consideration. The results of operations, financial position and cash flows of RARE are included in our consolidated financial statements as of the date of acquisition and for all periods subsequent. See Note 2 Acquisition of RARE for additional information.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, we announced our intent to close or hold for sale all Smokey Bones Barbeque & Grill and Rocky River Grillhouse restaurants and, additionally, we closed nine Bahama Breeze restaurants. These restaurants and their related activities have been classified as discontinued operations. Therefore, for the quarters and nine months ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008, all impairment charges and disposal costs, gains and losses on disposition, along with the sales, costs and expenses and income taxes attributable to these restaurants, have been aggregated in a single caption entitled (Losses) earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax (benefit) expense on the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings. We have not allocated any general corporate overhead to amounts presented in discontinued operations, nor have we elected to allocate interest costs. See Note 4 Discontinued Operations for additional information.

Unless otherwise noted, amounts and disclosures throughout the notes to consolidated financial statements relate to our continuing operations.

Note 2. Acquisition of RARE

On October 1, 2007, we completed the acquisition of all of the outstanding common stock of RARE for an aggregate purchase price of \$1.27 billion. The acquired operations, which included 288 LongHorn Steakhouse restaurants, 29 The Capital Grille restaurants, one Hemenway's Seafood Grille & Oyster Bar restaurant, and one The Old Grist Mill Tavern, as well as the rights associated with the four franchised LongHorn Steakhouse restaurants, are included in the results of operations of our consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition and continue to operate under their trademarked names.

As a result of the RARE acquisition, we accrued \$4.7 million in employee termination benefits and \$5.2 million in employee relocation benefits, both of which were included in the cost of the acquisition. The following is a reconciliation of accrued employee termination and employee relocation benefit costs from May 25, 2008 to February 22, 2009, which are included in other current liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)**

(in millions)	Balance at May 25, 2008	Adjustments	Payments	Balance at February 22, 2009
Employee terminations	\$ 1.4	\$	\$ (0.9)	\$ 0.5
Employee relocations	2.4	(0.3)	(1.4)	0.7
Total	\$ 3.8	\$ (0.3)	\$ (2.3)	\$ 1.2

Note 3. Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangibles

We review our goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets, primarily our trademarks, for impairment annually, as of the first day of our fourth fiscal quarter or more frequently if indicators of impairment exist. Goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets not subject to amortization have been assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing. The reporting units are our restaurant concepts.

A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator of impairment has occurred. Such indicators may include, among others: a significant decline in our expected future cash flows; a sustained, significant decline in our stock price and market capitalization; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate; unanticipated competition; the testing for recoverability of a significant asset group within a reporting unit; and slower growth rates. Any adverse change in these factors could have a significant impact on the recoverability of these assets and could have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

The goodwill impairment test involves a two-step process. The first step is a comparison of each reporting unit's fair value to its carrying value. We estimate fair value using the best information available, including market information and discounted cash flow projections also referred to as the income approach. The income approach uses a reporting unit's projection of estimated operating results and cash flows that is discounted using a weighted-average cost of capital that reflects current market conditions. The projection uses management's best estimates of economic and market conditions over the projected period including growth rates in sales, costs and number of units, estimates of future expected changes in operating margins and cash expenditures. Other significant estimates and assumptions include terminal value growth rates, future estimates of capital expenditures and changes in future working capital requirements. We validate our estimates of fair value under the income approach by comparing the values to fair value estimates using a market approach. A market approach estimates fair value by applying cash flow multiples to the reporting unit's operating performance. The multiples are derived from comparable publicly traded companies with similar operating and investment characteristics of the reporting units.

If the fair value of the reporting unit is higher than its carrying value, goodwill is deemed not to be impaired, and no further testing is required. If the carrying value of the reporting unit is higher than its fair value, there is an indication that impairment may exist and the second step must be performed to measure the amount of impairment loss. The amount of impairment is determined by comparing the implied fair value of reporting unit goodwill to the carrying value of the goodwill in the same manner as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. Specifically, we would allocate the fair value to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, including any unrecognized intangible assets, in a hypothetical analysis that would calculate the implied fair value of goodwill. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill, we would record an impairment charge for the difference.

Consistent with our accounting policy for goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangibles, we performed our annual impairment test of our goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets as of the first day of our fourth fiscal quarter. As of the beginning of our fourth fiscal quarter, we had six reporting units; Red Lobster, Olive Garden, LongHorn Steakhouse, The Capital Grille, Bahama Breeze and Seasons 52. Two of these reporting units, LongHorn Steakhouse and The Capital Grille, have a significant amount of goodwill. As part of our process for performing the step one impairment test of goodwill, we estimated the fair value of our reporting units utilizing the income approach described above, to derive an enterprise value of the Company. We reconciled the enterprise value to our overall estimated market capitalization. The estimated market capitalization considers recent trends in our market capitalization and an expected control premium, based on comparable transactional history. Based on the results of the step one impairment test, no impairment charges of goodwill were required.

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

We also performed sensitivity analyses on our estimated fair value using the income approach of LongHorn Steakhouse and The Capital Grille given the significance of goodwill related to these reporting units. A key assumption in our fair value estimate is the weighted average cost of capital utilized for discounting our cash flow

Table of Contents**DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)**

estimates in our income approach. We selected a weighted average cost of capital for LongHorn Steakhouse of 12.0 percent and The Capital Grille of 12.5 percent. We noted that an increase in the weighted average cost of capital of approximately 100 basis points on LongHorn Steakhouse would result in impairment of a portion of its goodwill. We also noted that an increase in the weighted average cost of capital of approximately 35 basis points on The Capital Grille would result in impairment of a portion of its goodwill.

The fair value of other indefinite-lived intangible assets, primarily trademarks, are estimated and compared to the carrying value. We estimate the fair value of these intangible assets using the relief-from-royalty method, which requires assumptions related to projected revenues from our annual long-range plan; assumed royalty rates that could be payable if we did not own the trademarks; and a discount rate. We recognize an impairment loss when the estimated fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying value. We completed our impairment test of our indefinite-lived intangibles and concluded as of the date of the test, there was no impairment of the trademarks for LongHorn Steakhouse and The Capital Grille. A key assumption in our fair value estimate is the discount rate utilized in the relief-from-royalty method. We selected a discount rate for LongHorn Steakhouse of 13.0 percent and The Capital Grille of 13.5 percent. We noted that an increase in the discount rate of approximately 10 basis points on LongHorn Steakhouse would result in impairment of a portion of its trademark. We also noted that an increase in the discount rate of approximately 25 basis points on The Capital Grille would result in impairment of a portion of its trademark.

Even though we determined that there was no goodwill or indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment as of the first day of our fourth fiscal quarter, continued declines in our market capitalization (reflected in our stock price) as well as in the market capitalization of others in the restaurant industry, declines in sales at our restaurants beyond our current forecasts, and significant adverse changes in the operating environment for the restaurant industry may result in a future impairment charge.

Changes in circumstances, existing at the measurement date or at other times in the future, or in the numerous estimates associated with management's judgments, assumptions and estimates made in assessing the fair value of our goodwill, could result in an impairment charge of a portion or all of our goodwill or other indefinite-lived intangible assets. If we recorded an impairment charge, our financial position and results of operations would be adversely affected and our leverage ratio for purposes of our credit agreement would increase. A leverage ratio exceeding the maximum permitted under our credit agreement would be a default under our credit agreement. At February 22, 2009, a write down of goodwill, other indefinite-lived intangible assets, or any other assets in excess of approximately \$560.0 million, on an after-tax basis, would have been required to cause our leverage ratio to exceed the permitted maximum. As our leverage ratio is determined on a quarterly basis and due to the seasonal nature of our business, a lesser amount of impairment in future quarters could cause our leverage ratio to exceed the permitted maximum.

Note 4. Discontinued Operations

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, we closed nine under-performing Bahama Breeze restaurants and announced the closure of 54 Smokey Bones and two Rocky River Grillhouse restaurants, as well as our intention to offer the remaining 73 operating Smokey Bones restaurants for sale. During fiscal 2008, we closed on the sale of the 73 operating Smokey Bones Barbeque & Grill restaurants to Barbeque Integrated, Inc., an affiliate of Sun Capital Partners, Inc., a worldwide private investment firm, for \$82.0 million, net of selling costs of approximately \$1.8 million.

(Losses) earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax, on the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings are comprised of the following:

(in millions)	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008
Sales	\$	\$ 20.3	\$	\$ 120.7

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

(Losses) earnings before income taxes	\$ (1.0)	\$ 16.5	\$ 0.2	\$ 14.0
Income tax benefit (expense)	0.4	(6.1)	(0.1)	(4.8)
(Losses) earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ (0.6)	\$ 10.4	\$ 0.1	\$ 9.2

Table of Contents

DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

As of February 22, 2009 and May 25, 2008, we had \$16.9 million and \$25.3 million, respectively, of assets associated with the closed restaurants reported as discontinued operations, which are included in land, buildings and equipment, net on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The following is a reconciliation of accrued exit and disposal costs from May 25, 2008 to February 22, 2009, which are included in other current liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and are expected to be paid by fiscal 2010.

(in millions)	Balance at May 25, 2008	Payments	Adjustments	Balance at February 22, 2009
Lease termination costs	\$ 3.3	\$ (1.6)	\$ 1.3	\$ 3.0

Note 5. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

(in millions)	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008
Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 12.5	\$ 15.8	\$ 66.1	\$ 33.4
Income taxes paid	21.2	16.8	58.8	75.3

Note 6. Stock-Based Compensation

We grant stock options for a fixed number of shares to certain employees and directors with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the shares at the date of grant. We also grant restricted stock, restricted stock units, and performance stock units with a fair value determined based on our closing stock price on the date of grant and cash-settled stock units which are classified as liabilities and are marked to market as of the end of each fiscal period.

The weighted-average fair value of options granted and the related assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option pricing model as of February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008, excluding options issued in conjunction with the RARE acquisition, were as follows:

	Stock Options Granted During the Nine Months Ended	
	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008
Weighted-average fair value	\$ 10.65	\$ 14.08
Risk-free interest rate	3.46%	4.63%
Expected volatility of stock	34.4%	32.6%
Dividend yield	2.10%	1.59%
Expected option life	6.4 years	6.4 years

The following table presents a summary of our stock-based compensation activity for the nine months ended February 22, 2009:

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

(in millions)	Stock Options	Restricted Stock/ Restricted Stock Units	Darden Stock Units	Performance Stock Units
Outstanding beginning of period	16.7	1.4	1.1	0.5
Awards granted	2.1	0.2	0.7	
Awards exercised	(0.7)	(0.5)	(0.2)	(0.1)
Awards cancelled	(0.4)		(0.1)	
Outstanding end of period	17.7	1.1	1.5	0.4

Table of Contents**DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)**

During the quarters and nine months ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008, we recognized expense from stock-based compensation as follows:

(in millions)	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008
Stock Options	\$ 6.5	\$ 3.7	\$ 15.9	\$ 19.1
Restricted Stock/Restricted Stock Units	2.1	2.9	6.9	8.8
Darden Stock Units	3.5	(1.1)	4.6	2.0
Performance Stock Units	0.4	1.0	0.4	4.3
Employee Stock Purchase Plan/Other	0.6	0.4	2.4	2.0
	\$ 13.1	\$ 6.9	\$ 30.2	\$ 36.2

On June 20, 2008, our Board of Directors adopted an amendment to our Darden Restaurants, Inc. 2002 Stock Incentive Plan (2002 Plan), which was approved by our shareholders at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders on September 12, 2008. The amendment increased the maximum number of shares that are authorized for issuance under the 2002 Plan from 9,550,000 to 12,700,000.

Note 7. Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate for the quarter and nine months ended February 22, 2009 was 27.2 percent and 27.9 percent, respectively, compared to an effective income tax rate of 26.3 percent and 27.7 percent for the quarter and nine months ended February 24, 2008, respectively. The increase in the effective income tax rate during the current fiscal quarter is primarily attributable to the favorable resolution of unrecognized income tax benefits during the quarter ended February 24, 2008, which exceeded the benefits recognized in the current quarter. The increase in the effective income tax rate in the current year is primarily attributable to the favorable resolution of unrecognized income tax benefits during the nine months ended February 24, 2008, which exceeded the benefits recognized in the current year and increases in losses on our trust owned life insurance and Darden equity forward contracts that cannot be deducted for tax purposes, which were partially offset by an increase in other deductible items.

Included in our remaining balance of unrecognized tax benefits is \$7.6 million related to tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts could materially change within the next twelve months based on the outcome of examinations or as a result of the expiration of the statute of limitations for specific jurisdictions.

Note 8. Long-Term Debt

We maintain a \$750.0 million revolving credit facility under a Credit Agreement (Revolving Credit Agreement) dated September 20, 2007 with Bank of America, N.A. (BOA), as administrative agent, and the lenders (Revolving Credit Lenders) and other agents party thereto. The Revolving Credit Agreement is a senior unsecured debt obligation of the Company and contains customary representations, affirmative and negative covenants (including limitations on liens and subsidiary debt, and a maximum consolidated lease adjusted total debt to total capitalization ratio of 0.75 to 1.00) and events of default usual for credit facilities of this type. As of February 22, 2009, we were in compliance with all covenants under the Revolving Credit Agreement.

The Revolving Credit Agreement matures on September 20, 2012, and the proceeds may be used for commercial paper back-up, working capital and capital expenditures, the refinancing of certain indebtedness as well as general corporate purposes. The Revolving Credit Agreement also contains a sub-limit of \$150.0 million for the issuance of letters of credit. The borrowings and letters of credit obtained under the Revolving Credit Agreement may be denominated in U.S. Dollars, Euro, Sterling, Yen, Canadian Dollars and each other currency approved by the

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

Revolving Credit Lenders. The Company may elect to increase the commitments under the Revolving Credit Agreement by up to \$250.0 million (to an aggregate amount of up to \$1.0 billion), subject to the Company obtaining commitments from new and existing lenders for the additional amounts.

Loans under the Revolving Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate of LIBOR plus a margin determined by reference to a ratings-based pricing grid, or the base rate (which is defined as the higher of the BOA prime rate and the Federal Funds rate plus 0.500 percent). Assuming a BBB equivalent credit rating level, the applicable margin under the Revolving Credit Agreement will be 0.350 percent. We may also request that loans under the Revolving Credit Agreement be made at interest rates offered by one or more of the Revolving Credit Lenders, which may vary from the

Table of Contents**DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)**

LIBOR or base rate, for up to \$100.0 million of borrowings. The Revolving Credit Agreement requires that we pay a facility fee on the total amount of such facility (ranging from 0.070 percent to 0.175 percent, based on our credit ratings) and, in the event that the outstanding amounts under the applicable Revolving Credit Agreement exceeds 50 percent of such Revolving Credit Agreement, a utilization fee on the total amount outstanding under such facility (ranging from 0.050 percent to 0.150 percent, based on our credit ratings). As of February 22, 2009, \$323.1 million was outstanding under the Revolving Credit Agreement. As of February 22, 2009, we had no outstanding commercial paper and \$47.4 million of letters of credit, which are backed by this facility.

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries (Lehman Brothers) have filed for bankruptcy protection. A subsidiary of Lehman Brothers is one of the Revolving Credit Lenders with a commitment of \$50.0 million, and has defaulted on its obligation to fund our request for borrowings under the Revolving Credit Agreement. Accordingly, as of February 22, 2009, we believe that our ability to borrow under the Revolving Credit Agreement is reduced by the amount of Lehman Brothers' commitment. After consideration of this reduction, in addition to amounts currently outstanding or backed by the Revolving Credit Agreement, as of February 22, 2009, we had \$329.5 million of availability under the Revolving Credit Agreement.

The interest rates on our \$350.0 million senior notes due October 2012, \$500.0 million senior notes due October 2017 and \$300.0 million senior notes due October 2037 (collectively, the New Senior Notes) are subject to adjustment from time to time if the debt rating assigned to such series of notes is downgraded below a certain rating level (or subsequently upgraded). The maximum adjustment is 2.000 percent above the initial interest rate and the interest rate cannot be reduced below the initial interest rate. As of February 22, 2009, no adjustments to these interest rates had been made.

Note 9. Net Earnings per Share

Outstanding stock options and restricted stock granted by us represent the only dilutive effect reflected in diluted weighted average shares outstanding. Options and restricted stock do not impact the numerator of the diluted net earnings per share computation. Options to purchase 10.2 million and 5.9 million shares of our common stock were excluded from the calculation of diluted net earnings per share for the quarters ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008, respectively, because the effect would have been anti-dilutive. Options to purchase 8.2 million and 2.5 million shares of our common stock were excluded from the calculation of diluted net earnings per share for the nine months ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008, respectively, for the same reason.

Note 10. Stockholders' Equity

Pursuant to the authorization of our Board of Directors to repurchase up to 162.4 million shares of our common stock in accordance with applicable securities law, we repurchased 0.2 million and 4.7 million shares of our common stock for \$5.9 million and \$132.7 million during the quarter and nine months ended February 22, 2009, respectively, resulting in a cumulative repurchase of 151.7 million shares as of February 22, 2009.

Note 11. Retirement Plans

Components of net periodic benefit cost are as follows:

(in millions)	Defined Benefit Plans Quarter Ended		Postretirement Benefit Plan Quarter Ended	
	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008
Service cost	\$ 1.6	\$ 1.5	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.2

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

Interest cost	2.5	2.4	0.4	0.2
Expected return on plan assets	(4.1)	(3.6)		
Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost				
Recognized net actuarial loss	0.1	1.0	0.1	0.1
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 0.1	\$ 1.3	\$ 0.7	\$ 0.5

Table of Contents**DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)**

(in millions)	Defined Benefit Plans Nine Months Ended		Postretirement Benefit Plan Nine Months Ended	
	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008
Service cost	\$ 4.6	\$ 4.6	\$ 0.6	\$ 0.5
Interest cost	7.4	7.3	1.2	0.9
Expected return on plan assets	(12.2)	(11.1)		
Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost	0.1	0.1		
Recognized net actuarial loss	0.3	3.1	0.4	0.2
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 0.2	\$ 4.0	\$ 2.2	\$ 1.6

Note 12. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

In March 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 161,

Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 161 provides companies with requirements for enhanced disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities to enable investors to better understand their effects on a company's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. In accordance with the effective date of SFAS No. 161 we adopted the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 161 during the quarter ended February 22, 2009.

We enter into derivative instruments for risk management purposes only, including derivatives designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and those utilized as economic hedges. We use interest rate-related derivative instruments to manage our exposure to fluctuations of interest rates, as well as commodities derivatives to manage our exposure to commodity price fluctuations. We also use equity-related derivative instruments to manage our exposure on cash compensation arrangements indexed to the market price of our common stock. By using these instruments, we expose ourselves, from time to time, to credit risk and market risk. Credit risk is the failure of the counterparty to perform under the terms of the derivative contract. When the fair value of a derivative contract is positive, the counterparty owes us, which creates credit risk for us. We minimize this credit risk by entering into transactions with high quality counterparties. Market risk is the adverse effect on the value of a financial instrument that results from a change in interest rates, commodity prices, or the market price of our common stock. We minimize this market risk by establishing and monitoring parameters that limit the types and degree of market risk that may be undertaken.

Natural Gas Commodity Contracts

We enter into natural gas swap contracts to reduce the risk of variability in cash flows associated with fluctuations in the price of natural gas during the fiscal year. For a certain portion of our natural gas purchases, changes in the price we pay for natural gas is highly correlated with changes in the market price of natural gas. For these natural gas purchases, we designate natural gas swap derivative contracts as cash flow hedging instruments. To the extent these derivatives are effective in offsetting the variability of the hedged cash flows, changes in the derivatives fair value are not included in current earnings but are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). These changes in fair value are subsequently reclassified into earnings as a component of restaurant expenses when the natural gas is purchased and used by us in our operations. Ineffectiveness measured in the hedging relationship is recorded currently in earnings in the period it occurs. As of February 22, 2009 and May 25, 2008, we were party to natural gas swap contracts designated as effective cash flow hedging instruments with notional values of \$15.2 million and \$8.3 million, respectively. For the remaining portion of our natural gas purchases, changes in the price we pay for natural gas are not highly correlated with changes in the market price of natural gas, generally due to the timing of when changes in the market prices are reflected in the price we pay. For these natural gas purchases, we utilize natural gas swap contracts as economic hedges. All changes in the fair value of our economic hedge contracts are recorded currently in earnings in the period in which they occur. As of February 22, 2009 and May 25, 2008 we were party to natural gas swap contracts, which were not designated as cash flow hedging instruments, with notional values of \$2.4 million and \$3.1 million respectively.

Other Commodity Contracts

We enter into other commodity futures and swaps (typically for soybean oil, milk, diesel fuel and butter) to reduce the risk of fluctuations in the price we pay for these commodities, which are either used directly in our restaurants (i.e., class III milk contracts for cheese and soybean oil for salad dressing) or are components of the cost we pay for items used in our restaurants (i.e., diesel fuel contracts to mitigate risk related to diesel fuel surcharges)

Table of Contents

DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

charged by our distributors). To the extent these derivatives are effective in offsetting the variability of the hedged cash flows, and otherwise meet the hedge accounting criteria of SFAS No. 133, changes in the derivatives fair value are not included in current earnings but are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). These changes in fair value will subsequently be reclassified into earnings as a component of food and beverage expenses when the product is purchased for use in our restaurants. Ineffectiveness measured in the hedging relationship is recorded currently in earnings in the period it occurs. As of February 22, 2009 we were party to commodity contracts designated as effective cash flow hedging instruments with notional values of \$0.6 million. To the extent the hedge accounting criteria of SFAS No. 133 are not met, the commodity contracts are utilized as economic hedges and changes in the fair value of these contracts are recorded currently in earnings in the period in which they occur. As of February 22, 2009 and May 25, 2008, we were party to commodity contracts not designated as cash flow hedging instruments, with notional values of \$7.4 million and \$5.8 million, respectively.

Interest Rate Locks

We entered into treasury-lock derivative instruments with \$150.0 million of notional value to hedge a portion of the risk of changes in the benchmark interest rate associated with the expected issuance of long-term debt to refinance our \$150.0 million senior notes due August 2010 and our \$75.0 million medium-term notes due April 2011, as changes in the benchmark interest rate will cause variability in our forecasted interest payments. These derivative instruments are designated as cash flow hedges and to the extent they are effective in offsetting the variability of the hedged cash flows, changes in the derivatives fair value are not included in current earnings but are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). These changes in fair value will subsequently be reclassified into earnings as a component of interest expense as interest is incurred on the forecasted debt issuance. Ineffectiveness measured in the hedging relationship is recorded currently in earnings in the period it occurs.

We entered into treasury-lock derivative instruments with \$550.0 million of notional value to hedge a portion of the risk of changes in the benchmark interest rate prior to the issuance of the New Senior Notes, as changes in the benchmark interest rate would cause variability in our forecasted interest payments. These instruments were all settled at the issuance of the New Senior Notes during the second quarter of fiscal 2008 for a cumulative gain of \$6.2 million. These instruments were designated as effective cash flow hedges, therefore, the gain was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and will be reclassified into earnings as an adjustment to interest expense as interest on the New Senior Notes or similar debt is incurred.

Interest Rate Swaps

During the quarter ended November 23, 2008, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with \$200.0 million of notional value to hedge a portion of the risk of changes in the benchmark interest rate associated with amounts outstanding under our Revolving Credit Agreement. These derivative instruments, which expire in April 2009, are designated as cash flow hedges and to the extent they are effective in offsetting the variability of the hedged cash flows, changes in the derivatives fair value are not included in current earnings but are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). These changes in fair value will subsequently be reclassified into earnings as a component of interest expense as interest is incurred on amounts outstanding under the Revolving Credit Agreement. Ineffectiveness measured in the hedging relationship is recorded currently in earnings in the period it occurs.

During the quarter ended August 24, 2008, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with \$225.0 million of notional value to limit the risk of changes in fair value of our \$150.0 million senior notes due August 2010 and \$75.0 million medium-term notes due April 2011 attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate, between now and maturity of the related debt. The swap agreements effectively swap the fixed rate obligations for floating rate obligations, thereby mitigating changes in fair value of the related debt prior to maturity. The swap agreements were designated as fair value hedges of the related debt and met the requirements to be accounted for under the short-cut method, resulting in no ineffectiveness in the hedging relationship. During the quarter ended November 23, 2008, we terminated these interest rate swap agreements for a gain of approximately \$1.9 million, which will be recorded as a reduction to interest expense over the remaining life of the related long-term debt. During the quarter and nine months ended February 22, 2009, \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, were reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) to net earnings as a reduction to interest expense.

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

During fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004, we entered into interest rate swap agreements to hedge the risk of changes in interest rates on the cost of a future issuance of fixed-rate debt. The swaps, which had a \$100.0 million notional principal amount of indebtedness, were used to hedge a portion of the interest payments associated with \$150.0 million of unsecured 4.875 percent senior notes due in August 2010, which were issued in August 2005. The swaps were

Table of Contents**DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)**

settled at the time of the related debt issuance with a net loss of \$1.2 million being recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The net loss on the swaps is being amortized into earnings as an adjustment to interest expense over the same period in which the related interest costs on the related debt issuance are being recognized in earnings.

We also had interest rate swaps with a notional amount of \$200.0 million, which we used to convert variable rates on our long-term debt to fixed rates effective May 30, 1995, related to the issuance of our \$150.0 million 6.375 percent notes due February 2006 and our \$100.0 million 7.125 percent debentures due February 2016. We received the one-month commercial paper interest rate and paid fixed-rate interest ranging from 7.51 percent to 7.89 percent. The swaps were settled during January 1996 at a cost to us of \$27.7 million. A portion of the cost was recognized as an adjustment to interest expense over the term of our 10-year 6.375 percent notes that were settled at maturity in February 2006. The remaining portion continues to be recognized as an adjustment to interest expense over the term of our 20-year 7.125 percent debentures due 2016.

Equity Forwards

We enter into equity forward contracts to hedge the risk of changes in future cash flows associated with the unvested, unrecognized Darden stock units. The equity forward contracts will be settled at the end of the vesting periods of their underlying Darden stock units, which range between four and five years. The contracts were initially designated as cash flow hedges to the extent the Darden stock units are unvested and, therefore, unrecognized as a liability in our financial statements. In total, the equity forward contracts are indexed to 0.6 million shares of our common stock, at varying forward rates between \$19.52 per share and \$41.17 per share and can only be net settled in cash. To the extent the equity forward contracts are effective in offsetting the variability of the hedged cash flows, changes in the fair value of the equity forward contracts are not included in current earnings but are reported as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). As the Darden stock units vest, we will de-designate that portion of the equity forward contract that no longer qualifies for hedge accounting and changes in fair value associated with that portion of the equity forward contract will be recognized in current earnings. We periodically incur interest and receive dividends on the underlying shares. These amounts are recognized currently in earnings as they are incurred.

We entered into equity forward contracts to hedge the risk of changes in future cash flows associated with employee-directed investments in Darden stock within the non-qualified deferred compensation plan. The equity forward contracts are indexed to 0.2 million shares of our common stock at forward rates between \$23.41 and \$37.44 per share, can only be net settled in cash and expire between fiscal 2011 and 2013. We did not elect hedge accounting with the expectation that changes in the fair value of the equity forward contracts would offset changes in the fair value of the Darden stock investments in the non-qualified deferred compensation plan within selling, general and administrative expenses in our consolidated statements of earnings.

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

(in millions)	Balance Sheet Location	Derivative Assets		Derivative Liabilities	
		February 22, 2009	May 25, 2008	February 22, 2009	May 25, 2008
Derivative Contracts Designated as Hedging Instruments under SFAS No. 133					
Commodity Contracts	(1)	\$	\$ 0.1	\$ (4.1)	\$
Equity Forwards	(1)	0.1			(1.3)
Interest Rate Related	(1)		2.3	(14.7)	
		\$ 0.1	\$ 2.4	\$ (18.8)	\$ (1.3)

**Derivative Contracts Not Designated as Hedging Instruments
under SFAS No. 133**

Commodity Contracts	(1)	\$	\$	\$ (3.4)	\$
Equity Forwards	(1)	0.1			(2.9)
		\$ 0.1	\$	\$ (3.4)	\$ (2.9)
Total Derivative Contracts		\$ 0.2	\$ 2.4	\$ (22.2)	\$ (4.2)

- (1) Derivative assets and liabilities are included in Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets and Other Current Liabilities, respectively, on our consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)**

The Effect of Derivative Instruments in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships on the Consolidated Statements

of Earnings for the Quarters Ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008

(in millions)

	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in AOCI (effective portion)		Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI Income	Amount of Gain		Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income (ineffective portion)	(1) Amount of Gain	
				(Loss) Reclassified from AOCI to			(Loss) Recognized	
				Income (effective portion)			in Income (ineffective portion)	
	2009	2008		2009	2008		2009	2008
Commodity Contracts	\$ (4.4)	\$ 0.4	Cost of Sales	\$ (1.7)	\$ (0.1)	Cost of Sales	\$	\$
Equity Forwards	4.1	(1.6)	Cost of Sales			Cost of Sales		(0.2)
Interest Rate	(9.7)		Interest, net	0.1	0.1	Interest, net		
	\$ (10.0)	\$ (1.2)		\$ (1.6)	\$		\$	\$ (0.2)

The Effect of Derivative Instruments in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships on the Consolidated Statements

of Earnings for the Nine Months Ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008

(in millions)

	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in AOCI (effective portion)		Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI Income	Amount of Gain		Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income (ineffective portion)	(1) Amount of Gain	
				(Loss) Reclassified from AOCI to			(Loss) Recognized	
				Income (effective portion)			in Income (ineffective portion)	
	2009	2008		2009	2008		2009	2008
Commodity Contracts	\$ (8.1)	\$ (1.5)	Cost of Sales	\$ (3.8)	\$ (0.5)	Cost of Sales	\$	\$
Equity Forwards	(0.8)	(3.8)	Cost of Sales			Cost of Sales		(0.6)
Interest Rate	(14.7)		Interest, net	0.4	0.1	Interest, net		
	\$ (23.6)	\$ (5.3)		\$ (3.4)	\$ (0.4)		\$	\$ (0.6)

The Effect of Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings

for the Quarters and Nine Months Ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

(in millions)

	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income			
		Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
		February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008
Commodity Contracts	Cost of Sales	\$ (2.9)	\$	\$ (4.6)	\$
Equity Forwards	Cost of Sales	4.0	(1.5)	(0.6)	(2.1)
Equity Forwards	Selling, General and Administrative	2.9	(0.1)	(0.8)	(0.8)
		\$ 4.0	\$ (1.6)	\$ (6.0)	\$ (2.9)

(1) Generally, all of our derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges have some level of ineffectiveness, which is recognized currently in earnings. However, as these amounts are generally nominal and our consolidated financial statements are presented in millions, these amounts will generally appear as zero in this tabular presentation.

Based on the fair value of our derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges as of February 22, 2009, we expect to reclassify \$4.5 million of net losses on derivative instruments from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to earnings during the next twelve months based on the timing of our forecasted commodity purchases and maturity of equity forward instruments. However, the amounts ultimately realized in earnings will be dependent on the fair value of the contracts on the settlement dates. We are currently party to commodity derivative contracts designated as cash flow hedges to mitigate the risk of variability in our cash flows related to purchases of related commodities through May 2010.

Table of Contents**DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 13. Fair Value Measurements**

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements required under other accounting pronouncements, but does not change existing guidance as to whether or not an instrument is carried at fair value. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which permits a one-year deferral for the implementation of SFAS No. 157 with regard to non-financial assets and liabilities that are not recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. We elected to defer adoption of SFAS No. 157 for such items and we do not currently anticipate that full adoption in fiscal 2010 will materially impact our results of operations or financial position.

On May 26, 2008, we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 related to financial assets and liabilities. The following table summarizes the fair values of financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis at February 22, 2009:

Items Measured at Fair Value

(in million)		Fair Value of assets (liabilities) at February 22, 2009	Quoted prices in active market for identical assets (liabilities) (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Long term investments	(1)	\$ 15.2	\$ 15.2		\$
Commodities futures and swaps	(2)	(7.5)		(7.5)	
Equity forwards	(3)	0.2		0.2	
Interest rate locks and swaps	(4)	(14.7)		(14.7)	
Total		\$ (6.8)	\$ 15.2	\$ (22.0)	\$

- (1) The fair value of our long-term investments is based on the closing market prices of the investments.
- (2) The fair value of our commodities futures and swaps is based on the closing futures market prices of the contracts, inclusive of the risk of nonperformance.
- (3) The fair value of our equity forwards is based on the closing market value of Darden stock, inclusive of the risk of nonperformance.
- (4) The fair value of our interest rate lock and swap agreements is based on the present value of expected future cash flows, inclusive of the risk of nonperformance, using a discount rate appropriate for the duration.

Note 14. Commitments and Contingencies

As collateral for performance on contracts and as credit guarantees to banks and insurers, we are contingently liable for guarantees of subsidiary obligations under standby letters of credit. As of February 22, 2009 and May 25, 2008, we had \$104.5 million and \$64.4 million, respectively, of standby letters of credit related to workers' compensation and general liabilities in our consolidated financial statements. As of February 22, 2009 and May 25, 2008, \$20.0 million and \$10.0 million, respectively, of standby letters of credit related to contractual operating lease obligations and other payments were outstanding. All standby letters of credit are renewable annually.

At February 22, 2009 and May 25, 2008, we had \$7.4 million and \$5.8 million, respectively, of guarantees associated with leased properties that have been assigned to third parties. These amounts represent the maximum potential amount of future payments under the guarantees. The fair

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

value of these potential payments discounted at our pre-tax cost of capital at February 22, 2009 and May 25, 2008, amounted to \$5.9 million and \$4.2 million, respectively. We did not accrue for the guarantees, as the likelihood of the third parties defaulting on the assignment agreements was deemed to be less than probable. In the event of default by a third party, the indemnity and default clauses in our assignment agreements govern our ability to recover from and pursue the third party for damages incurred as a result of its default. We do not hold any third-party assets as collateral related to these assignment agreements, except to the extent that the assignment allows us to repossess the building and personal property. These guarantees expire over their respective lease terms, which range from fiscal 2009 through fiscal 2021.

We are subject to private lawsuits, administrative proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of our business. A number of these lawsuits, proceedings and claims may exist at any given time. These matters typically

Table of Contents

DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

involve claims from guests, employees and others related to operational issues common to the restaurant industry, and can also involve infringement of, or challenges to, our trademarks. While the resolution of a lawsuit, proceeding or claim may have an impact on our financial results for the period in which it is resolved, we believe that the final disposition of the lawsuits, proceedings and claims in which we are currently involved, either individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity. The following is a brief description of the more significant of these matters. In view of the inherent uncertainties of litigation, the outcome of any unresolved matter described below cannot be predicted at this time, nor can the amount of any potential loss be reasonably estimated.

Like other restaurant companies and retail employers, in a few states we have been faced with allegations of purported class-wide wage and hour violations. In January 2004, a former food server filed a purported class action in California state court alleging that Red Lobster's server banking policies and practices (under which servers settle guest checks directly with customers throughout their shifts, and turn in collected monies at the shift's end) improperly required her and other food servers and bartenders to make up cash shortages and walkouts in violation of California law. In January 2007, plaintiffs' counsel filed in California state court a second purported class action lawsuit on behalf of servers and bartenders alleging that Olive Garden's server banking policy and its alleged failure to pay split shift premiums violated California law. Although we believed that our policies and practices were lawful and that we had strong defenses to both cases, following mediation with the plaintiffs, we reached a settlement of these claims under which we accrued approximately \$4.0 million during fiscal 2008, which was paid during the third quarter of fiscal 2009.

In August 2008, an action was filed in California state court by a former Red Lobster server alleging that Red Lobster's scheduling practices resulted in failure to properly pay reporting time (minimum shift) pay as well as to pay minimum wage, to provide itemized wage statements, and to timely pay employees upon the termination of their employment. The complaint sought to have the suit certified as a class action. Although we believed that our policies and practices were lawful, we reached a preliminary settlement of this matter under which we would pay approximately \$0.5 million. We expect to pay the settlement amount during fiscal 2009 at the completion of the settlement process.

In July 2008, an action was filed in California state court by a group of former Red Lobster managers alleging that the salaried general managers of the restaurants were not paid minimum wage for all hours worked because they were not paid for time spent attending various seminars and conferences. In addition, the managers claim that they were not provided with rest and meal breaks pursuant to California law. The complaint seeks to have the suit certified as a class action. We believe that our policies and practices were lawful, and we intend to vigorously defend our position in this action.

On September 18, 2008, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission filed suit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio alleging that African-American employees of the Bahama Breeze restaurant in Beachwood, Ohio were subjected to discriminatory employment practices in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991. The complaint seeks to enjoin the alleged discriminatory practices and seeks compensatory damages for the employees. We believe that our practices were lawful, and we intend to vigorously defend our position in this action.

On March 13, 2008, a purported class action complaint alleging violation of the federal securities laws was filed by an institutional shareholder against Darden and certain of our current officers, one of whom is also a director, in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida. The complaint was filed on behalf of all purchasers of Darden's common stock between June 19, 2007 and December 18, 2007 (the Class). The complaint alleges that during that period, the defendants issued false and misleading statements in press releases and public filings that misrepresented and failed to disclose certain information, and that as a result, had no reasonable basis for statements about Darden's prospects and guidance for fiscal 2008. The complaint alleges claims under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 thereunder. The plaintiff seeks to recover unspecified damages on behalf of the Class. We intend to vigorously defend our position in this action.

By letter dated May 9, 2008, a putative shareholder demanded that our Board of Directors take action to remedy alleged breaches of fiduciary duty to Darden by certain officers and directors. The letter contains similar allegations to those in the purported class action described above regarding the alleged issuance of false and misleading statements and omissions regarding Darden's financial results and sales growth. The Board

has formed a

Table of Contents

DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

special litigation committee to evaluate the claims in the letter. On September 10, 2008, this same putative shareholder on behalf of nominal defendant Darden filed a shareholder derivative civil action in the Circuit Court of the Ninth Judicial Circuit of Orange County, Florida against Darden, our Board of Directors, and several of our senior executives, including the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer. The allegations in the complaint arise out of the same facts alleged in the purported class action complaint referenced above. In particular, the complaint alleges that during the period June 19, 2007 and December 18, 2007, certain of the defendants issued false and misleading statements in press releases and public filings that misrepresented and failed to disclose certain information about Darden's prospects and earnings guidance for fiscal 2008, and that certain defendants benefited from these false and misleading statements in selling Darden stock at an inflated price. The complaint seeks to recover in favor of Darden, damages sustained by Darden as a result of the defendants' alleged breaches of fiduciary duty, and the imposition of a constructive trust in favor of Darden for the amount of proceeds realized by certain defendants from the sale of Darden stock. Fees and costs, as well as equitable relief, are also sought. We intend to vigorously defend our position in this action.

Note 15. Application of New Accounting Standards

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* (an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132R). Effective May 27, 2007, we implemented the recognition and measurement provision of SFAS No. 158. The purpose of SFAS No. 158 is to improve the overall financial statement presentation of pension and other postretirement plans, but SFAS No. 158 does not impact the determination of net periodic benefit cost or measurement of plan assets or obligations. SFAS No. 158 requires companies to recognize the over or under funded status of the plan as an asset or liability as measured by the difference between the fair value of the plan assets and the benefit obligation and requires any unrecognized prior service costs and actuarial gains and losses to be recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Additionally, SFAS No. 158 requires measurement of the funded status of pension and postretirement plans as of the date of a company's fiscal year ending after December 15, 2008, the year ended May 31, 2009 for Darden. Certain of our plans currently have measurement dates that do not coincide with our fiscal year end and thus we will be required to change their measurement dates in fiscal 2009. As permitted by SFAS No. 158, we will use the measurements performed in fiscal 2008 to estimate the effects of our changes to fiscal year end measurement dates. The impact of the transition to fiscal year end measurement dates, which will be recorded as an adjustment to retained earnings in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, is expected to be immaterial to our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. SFAS No. 159 provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, which required that we adopt these provisions in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. The adoption of SFAS No. 159 did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations*. SFAS No. 141R provides companies with principles and requirements on how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree as well as the recognition and measurement of goodwill acquired in a business combination. SFAS No. 141R also requires certain disclosures to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. Acquisition costs associated with the business combination will generally be expensed as incurred. SFAS No. 141R is effective for business combinations occurring in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, which will require us to adopt these provisions for business combinations occurring in fiscal 2010 and thereafter. Early adoption of SFAS No. 141R is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact SFAS No. 141R will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities*. FSP EITF 03-6-1 provides that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation method for computing earnings per share when an entity's capital structure includes either two or more classes of common stock or common stock and participating securities. It determines earnings per share based on dividends declared on common stock and participating securities

Table of Contents

DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

(i.e., distributed earnings) and participation rights of participating securities in any undistributed earnings. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, which will require us to adopt these provisions in fiscal 2010. We do not believe the adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1 will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP 132(R)-1, *Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets*, which expands the disclosure requirements about fair value measurements of plan assets for pension plans, postretirement medical plans, and other funded postretirement plans. This FSP is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009, which will require us to adopt these provisions in fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact FSP 132(R)-1 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 16. Subsequent Event

On March 12, 2009, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of twenty cents per share to be paid May 1, 2009 to all shareholders of record as of the close of business on April 10, 2009.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The discussion and analysis below for the Company should be read in conjunction with the unaudited financial statements and the notes to such financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. The discussion below contains forward looking statements which should be read in conjunction with Forward-Looking Statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. The following table sets forth selected operating data as a percent of sales for the periods indicated. All information is derived from the consolidated statements of earnings for the quarters and nine months ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008.

	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008	February 22, 2009	February 24, 2008
Sales	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales:				
Food and beverage	30.5	30.6	30.7	29.9
Restaurant labor	31.6	31.2	32.0	32.1
Restaurant expenses	15.4	15.4	16.1	15.5
Total cost of sales, excluding restaurant depreciation and amortization of 3.8%, 3.5%, 3.8% and 3.5%, respectively	77.5%	77.2%	78.8%	77.5%
Selling, general and administrative	8.9	9.0	9.1	9.9
Depreciation and amortization	3.9	3.7	4.0	3.7
Interest, net	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.2
Total costs and expenses	91.7%	91.3%	93.4%	92.3%
Earnings before income taxes	8.3	8.7	6.6	7.7
Income taxes	(2.3)	(2.3)	(1.8)	(2.1)
Earnings from continuing operations	6.0	6.4	4.8	5.6
(Losses) earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax		0.6		0.2
Net earnings	6.0%	7.0%	4.8%	5.8%

OVERVIEW OF OPERATIONS

Our sales from continuing operations were \$1.80 billion and \$5.24 billion for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2009, respectively, compared to \$1.81 billion and \$4.80 billion for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively. The 0.7 percent decrease in sales for the third quarter fiscal 2009 was driven primarily by a 3.2 percent combined same-restaurant sales decrease for Olive Garden, Red Lobster and LongHorn Steakhouse which was partially offset by the addition of 69 net new restaurants since the third quarter of fiscal 2008. The 9.2 percent increase in sales for the first nine months of fiscal 2009 was driven primarily by the acquisition of RARE Hospitality International, Inc. (RARE), which occurred in the second quarter of fiscal 2008, a net increase of 69 restaurants since the third quarter of fiscal 2008 and increased U.S. same-restaurant sales at Olive Garden. For the third quarter of fiscal 2009, our net earnings from continuing operations were \$108.1 million compared to \$115.6 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2008, a 6.4 percent decrease, and our diluted net earnings per share from continuing operations were \$0.78 for the third quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to \$0.80 for the third quarter of fiscal 2008, a 2.5 percent decrease. The decreases in net earnings from continuing operations and diluted net earnings per share from continuing operations for the third quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to the same periods in the prior year were primarily due to the decrease in sales. For the first nine months of fiscal 2009, our net earnings from continuing operations were \$249.1 million compared to \$266.2 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2008, a 6.4 percent decrease, and our diluted net earnings per share from continuing operations were \$1.78 for the first nine months of fiscal 2009 compared to \$1.83 for the first nine months of fiscal 2008, a 2.7 percent decrease. The decreases in net earnings from continuing operations and diluted net earnings per share from continuing operations for the nine months ended February 22, 2009 compared to the same period in the prior year were primarily due to an

Table of Contents

approximately 1.3 percent decline of blended same-restaurant sales results for Olive Garden, Red Lobster and LongHorn Steakhouse and increased food and beverage costs, wage rates, utilities and interest costs, which were only partially offset by pricing, reduced integration costs related to the RARE acquisition as compared with the prior year period, as well as a reduction in market and performance related employee benefit costs in the first nine months of fiscal 2009.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2008, we completed the acquisition of RARE for approximately \$1.27 billion in total purchase price. RARE owned two principal restaurant concepts, LongHorn Steakhouse and The Capital Grille, of which 288 and 29 locations, respectively, were in operation as of the date of acquisition. The acquisition was completed on October 1, 2007 and the acquired operations are included in our consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition.

During fiscal 2007 and 2008 we closed or sold all Smokey Bones Barbeque & Grill and Rocky River Grillhouse restaurants and we closed nine Bahama Breeze restaurants. These restaurants and their related activities have been classified as discontinued operations. Therefore, for the quarters and nine months ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008, all impairment charges and disposal costs, gains and losses on disposition, along with the sales, costs and expenses and income taxes attributable to these restaurants have been aggregated in a single caption entitled (Losses) earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax (benefit) expense on the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings.

SALES

Sales from continuing operations were \$1.80 billion and \$1.81 billion for the quarters ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008, respectively. The 0.7 percent decrease in sales for the third quarter fiscal 2009 was driven mostly due to a 3.2 percent combined same-restaurant sales decrease for Olive Garden, Red Lobster and LongHorn Steakhouse which was partially offset by the addition of 69 net new restaurants since the third quarter of fiscal 2008. Olive Garden's sales of \$826.9 million were 3.0 percent above last year's third quarter, driven primarily by revenue from 36 net new restaurants offset partially by a U.S. same-restaurant sales decrease of 1.4 percent. The decrease in U.S. same-restaurant sales resulted from a 3.5 percent decrease in same-restaurant guest counts, partially offset by a 2.1 percent increase in average check. Red Lobster's sales of \$643.1 million were 4.3 percent below last year's third quarter, which resulted primarily from a 4.6 percent decrease in U.S. same-restaurant sales. The decrease in U.S. same-restaurant sales resulted from a 6.8 percent decrease in same-restaurant guest counts, partially offset by a 2.2 percent increase in average check. LongHorn Steakhouse's sales of \$227.4 million were 1.4 percent above the prior year period, driven by sales from 19 net new restaurants, partially offset by a decrease in same-restaurant sales of 5.4 percent. The decrease in U.S. same-restaurant sales resulted from a 6.9 percent decrease in same-restaurant guest counts, partially offset by a 1.5 percent increase in average check. The Capital Grille's sales of \$60.9 million were 10.0 percent below the prior year period, driven by a same-restaurant sales decrease of 19.0 percent, partially offset by the addition of four new restaurants. Bahama Breeze sales of \$28.2 million were 9.1 percent below last year's third quarter, driven by an 8.8 percent decrease in same-restaurant sales. The shift of the Thanksgiving holiday week into the third fiscal quarter of this year adversely affected same-restaurant sales results by approximately 0.7 percentage points for the quarter ended February 22, 2009.

Sales were \$5.24 billion and \$4.80 billion for the nine months ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008, respectively. The 9.2 percent increase in sales for the first nine months of fiscal 2009 was primarily due to incremental sales from LongHorn Steakhouse and The Capital Grille during the first nine months of fiscal 2009, as we acquired RARE during the second quarter of fiscal 2008, a net increase of 69 restaurants since the third quarter of fiscal 2008 and increased U.S. same-restaurant sales at Olive Garden. Olive Garden's sales of \$2.40 billion were 5.7 percent above last year, driven primarily by 36 net new restaurants in operation since the third quarter of last year and a 0.6 percent increase in U.S. same-restaurant sales. The increase in U.S. same-restaurant sales resulted primarily from a 2.2 percent increase in average check, partially offset by a 1.6 percent decrease in same-restaurant guest counts. Red Lobster sales of \$1.89 billion were 2.6 percent below last year, which resulted primarily from a 2.8 percent decrease in U.S. same-restaurant sales. The decrease in U.S. same-restaurant sales resulted primarily from a 5.3 percent decrease in same-restaurant guest counts, partially offset by a 2.5 percent increase in average check. LongHorn Steakhouse's sales of \$649.3 million were 2.6 percent above the comparable prior year period (which were partially included in RARE's separately reported results of operations), driven by sales from 19 net new restaurants, partially offset by a decrease in same-restaurant sales of 5.3 percent. The decrease in U.S. same-restaurant sales resulted primarily from a 6.9 percent decrease in same-restaurant guest counts, partially offset by a 1.6 percent increase in average check. The Capital Grille's sales of \$175.9 million were 0.9 percent below the

Table of Contents

comparable prior year period (which were partially included in RARE's separately reported results of operations), driven by a same-restaurant sales decrease of 12.9 percent, partially offset by the addition of four new restaurants. Bahama Breeze sales of \$92.0 million were 6.7 percent below last year.

Same-restaurant sales is a year-over-year comparison of each period's sales volumes and is limited to restaurants open at least 16 months, including acquired restaurants, absent consideration of the date we acquired the restaurants.

COSTS AND EXPENSES*Quarter Ended February 22, 2009 Compared to Quarter Ended February 24, 2008*

Total costs and expenses were \$1.65 billion for the quarters ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008. As a percent of sales, total costs and expenses increased to 91.7 percent in the third quarter of fiscal 2009 as compared to 91.3 percent in the third quarter of fiscal 2008.

Food and beverage costs were \$548.4 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2009, a decrease of \$5.1 million, or 0.9 percent, from food and beverage costs of \$553.5 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2008. Food and beverage costs decreased, as a percent of sales, primarily as a result of favorable pricing, changes in menu mix, and reduction in waste partially offset by cost inflation. Restaurant labor costs were \$569.1 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2009, an increase of \$4.6 million, or 0.8 percent, from restaurant labor costs of \$564.5 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2008. Restaurant labor costs, as a percent of sales, increased primarily as a result of an increase in wage rates and a decrease in sales leveraging which were partially offset by an increase in productivity and lower employee insurance expenses. Restaurant expenses (which include utility, lease, property tax, maintenance, credit card, workers' compensation, insurance, new restaurant pre-opening and other restaurant-level operating expenses) were \$276.5 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2009, a decrease of \$3.7 million, or 1.3 percent, from restaurant expenses of \$280.2 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2008. As a percent of sales, restaurant expenses were flat between the third quarter of fiscal 2009 and the third quarter of fiscal 2008 mostly due to sales deleveraging offset by favorable credit card fees and a reduction in pre-opening expenses.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$159.7 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2009, a decrease of \$3.1 million, or 1.9 percent, from selling, general and administrative expenses of \$162.8 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2008. As a percent of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased in the third quarter of fiscal 2009 primarily as a result of integration costs incurred during the third quarter of fiscal 2008 as a result of the RARE acquisition and lower corporate level expenses as a result of savings initiatives, partially offset by increased marketing expenses, market driven changes in fair value related to our non-qualified deferred compensation plans, an increase in performance based incentive compensation, and sales deleveraging.

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$71.2 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2009, an increase of \$4.5 million, or 6.7 percent, from depreciation and amortization expense of \$66.7 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2008. As a percent of sales, depreciation and amortization expense increased between the third quarter of fiscal 2009 and the third quarter of fiscal 2008 as a result of new restaurant activity and sales deleveraging.

Net interest expense was \$25.5 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2009, a decrease of \$1.3 million, or 4.7 percent, from net interest expense of \$26.8 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2008. As a percent of sales, net interest was flat between the third quarter of fiscal 2009 and the third quarter of fiscal 2008.

Nine Months Ended February 22, 2009 Compared to Nine Months Ended February 24, 2008

Total costs and expenses were \$4.90 billion and \$4.43 billion for the nine months ended February 22, 2009 and February 24, 2008, respectively. As a percent of sales, total costs and expenses increased to 93.4 percent in the first nine months of fiscal 2009 as compared with 92.3 percent in the first nine months of fiscal 2008.

Food and beverage costs were \$1.61 billion during the first nine months of fiscal 2009, an increase of \$175.1 million, or 12.2 percent, from food and beverage costs of \$1.44 billion during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. As a percent of sales, food and beverage costs increased in the first nine months of fiscal 2009 primarily as a result of an increase in commodity costs. Food and beverage costs, as a percent of sales, also increased as a result of

Table of Contents

the acquisition of RARE, whose concepts have historically had higher food and beverage costs, as a percent of sales, compared to our consolidated average. These increases were only partially offset by increases in pricing. Restaurant labor costs were \$1.68 billion during the first nine months of fiscal 2009, an increase of \$133.8 million, or 8.7 percent, from restaurant labor costs of \$1.54 billion during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. Restaurant labor costs, as a percent of sales, decreased primarily as a result of the acquisition of RARE, whose concepts have historically had lower restaurant labor, as a percent of sales, compared to our consolidated average, partially offset by an increase in wage rates. Restaurant expenses (which include lease, property tax, maintenance, credit card, utility, workers' compensation, insurance, new restaurant pre-opening and other restaurant-level operating expenses) were \$841.5 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2009, an increase of \$99.4 million, or 13.4 percent, from restaurant expenses of \$742.1 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. As a percent of sales, restaurant expenses increased in the first nine months of fiscal 2009 primarily as a result of higher utility expenses, RARE's higher restaurant expenses as a percent of sales compared to our consolidated average and higher workers compensation and public liability expenses as compared with the prior year.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$476.9 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2009, an increase of \$0.7 million, or 0.1 percent, from selling, general and administrative expenses of \$476.2 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. As a percent of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased in the first nine months of fiscal 2009 primarily as a result of integration costs incurred during the first nine months of fiscal 2008 as a result of the RARE acquisition, a reduction in legal expenses and lower corporate level expenses as a result of savings initiatives, partially offset by increased marketing expenses.

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$210.5 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2009, an increase of \$32.9 million, or 18.6 percent, from depreciation and amortization expense of \$177.6 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. As a percent of sales, depreciation and amortization expense increased in the first nine months of fiscal 2009 due to the acquisition of RARE during the second fiscal quarter of 2008, new restaurant activity and sales deleveraging.

Net interest expense was \$80.7 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2009, an increase of \$21.7 million, or 36.8 percent, from interest expense of \$59.0 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2008. As a percent of sales, net interest expense increased in the first nine months of fiscal 2009 due to an increase in average debt balances, primarily as a result of the RARE acquisition in the second fiscal quarter of 2008.

INCOME TAXES

The effective income tax rate for the third fiscal quarter and first nine months of 2009 was 27.2 percent and 27.9 percent, respectively, compared to an effective income tax rate of 26.3 percent and 27.7 percent for the third fiscal quarter and first nine months of 2008, respectively. The increase in the effective income tax rate during the current fiscal quarter is primarily attributable to the favorable resolution of unrecognized income tax benefits during the quarter ended February 24, 2008, which exceeded the benefits recognized in the current quarter. The effective income tax rate increase in the current year is primarily attributable to the favorable resolution of unrecognized income tax benefits during the nine months ended February 24, 2008, which exceeded the benefits recognized in the current year and increases in losses on our trust owned life insurance and Darden equity forward contracts that cannot be deducted for tax purposes, which were partially offset by an increase in other deductible items.

NET EARNINGS AND NET EARNINGS PER SHARE FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS

For the third quarter of fiscal 2009, our net earnings from continuing operations were \$108.1 million compared to \$115.6 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2008, a 6.4 percent decrease, and our diluted net earnings per share from continuing operations were \$0.78 compared to \$0.80 in the third quarter of fiscal 2008, a 2.5 percent decrease. At Olive Garden, increased sales, lower restaurant labor costs, restaurant expenses and selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percent of sales were partially offset by increased food and beverage costs and depreciation expenses as a percent of sales. As a result, operating profit, as a percent of sales, increased for Olive Garden in the third quarter of fiscal 2009, compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2008. At Red Lobster, lower food and beverage costs as a percent of sales, only partially offset lower sales, increased restaurant labor costs, restaurant expenses, selling, general and administrative expenses and depreciation expenses as a percent of sales. As a result, operating profit, as a percent of sales, decreased for Red Lobster in the third quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2008. At LongHorn Steakhouse, higher sales and lower selling, general and administrative expenses as a percent of sales, only partially offset increased food and beverage costs, restaurant labor costs,

Table of Contents

restaurant expenses, and depreciation expenses as a percent of sales. As a result, operating profit, as a percent of sales, decreased for LongHorn Steakhouse in the third quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2008. The decrease in our net earnings from continuing operations and diluted net earnings per share from continuing operations for the third quarter of fiscal 2009 as compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2008 was primarily due to a decrease in blended same-restaurant sales results for Olive Garden, Red Lobster and LongHorn Steakhouse, higher restaurant labor costs and depreciation expenses as a percent of sales, only partially offset by sales from new restaurants, lower food and beverage costs, restaurant expenses and selling, general and administrative expenses as a percent of sales.

For the first nine months of fiscal 2009, our net earnings from continuing operations were \$249.1 million compared to \$266.2 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2008, a 6.4 percent decrease, and our diluted net earnings per share from continuing operations were \$1.78 compared to \$1.83 in the first nine months of fiscal 2008, a 2.7 percent decrease. At Olive Garden, increased sales and lower restaurant labor costs and selling, general and administrative expenses as a percent of sales only partially offset increased food and beverage costs, restaurant expenses and depreciation expenses as a percent of sales. As a result, operating profit, as a percent of sales, decreased for Olive Garden in the first nine months of fiscal 2009 from the first nine months of fiscal 2008. At Red Lobster, decreased sales, higher restaurant labor costs, restaurant expenses and depreciation expenses as a percent of sales, more than offset lower food and beverage costs and selling, general and administrative expenses as a percent of sales. As a result, operating profit, as a percent of sales, decreased for Red Lobster in the first nine months of fiscal 2009 compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2008. The decrease in our net earnings from continuing operations and diluted net earnings per share from continuing operations for the first nine months of fiscal 2009 as compared with the first nine months of fiscal 2008 was primarily due to a decrease in blended same-restaurant sales results for Olive Garden, Red Lobster and LongHorn Steakhouse and increased food and beverage costs, restaurant expenses, depreciation expenses and interest expense as a percent of sales, which were only partially offset by an increase in sales from new restaurants, lower restaurant labor costs and selling, general and administrative expenses as a percent of sales.

GOODWILL AND OTHER INDEFINITE-LIVED INTANGIBLE ASSET IMPAIRMENT TESTING

We review our goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets, primarily our trademarks, for impairment annually, as of the first day of our fourth fiscal quarter or more frequently if indicators of impairment exist. Goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets not subject to amortization have been assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing. The reporting units are our restaurant concepts.

A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator of impairment has occurred. Such indicators may include, among others: a significant decline in our expected future cash flows; a sustained, significant decline in our stock price and market capitalization; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate; unanticipated competition; the testing for recoverability of a significant asset group within a reporting unit; and slower growth rates. Any adverse change in these factors could have a significant impact on the recoverability of these assets and could have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

The goodwill impairment test involves a two-step process. The first step is a comparison of each reporting unit's fair value to its carrying value. We estimate fair value using the best information available, including market information and discounted cash flow projections also referred to as the income approach. The income approach uses a reporting unit's projection of estimated operating results and cash flows that is discounted using a weighted-average cost of capital that reflects current market conditions. The projection uses management's best estimates of economic and market conditions over the projected period including growth rates in sales, costs and number of units, estimates of future expected changes in operating margins and cash expenditures. Other significant estimates and assumptions include terminal value growth rates, future estimates of capital expenditures and changes in future working capital requirements. We validate our estimates of fair value under the income approach by comparing the values to fair value estimates using a market approach. A market approach estimates fair value by applying cash flow multiples to the reporting unit's operating performance. The multiples are derived from comparable publicly traded companies with similar operating and investment characteristics of the reporting units.

If the fair value of the reporting unit is higher than its carrying value, goodwill is deemed not to be impaired, and no further testing is required. If the carrying value of the reporting unit is higher than its fair value, there is an indication that impairment may exist and the second step must be performed to measure the amount of

Table of Contents

impairment loss. The amount of impairment is determined by comparing the implied fair value of reporting unit goodwill to the carrying value of the goodwill in the same manner as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. Specifically, we would allocate the fair value to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, including any unrecognized intangible assets, in a hypothetical analysis that would calculate the implied fair value of goodwill. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill, we would record an impairment charge for the difference.

Consistent with our accounting policy for goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangibles, we performed our annual impairment test of our goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets as of the first day of our fourth fiscal quarter. As of the beginning of our fourth fiscal quarter, we had six reporting units; Red Lobster, Olive Garden, LongHorn Steakhouse, The Capital Grille, Bahama Breeze and Seasons 52. Two of these reporting units, LongHorn Steakhouse and The Capital Grille, have a significant amount of goodwill. As part of our process for performing the step one impairment test of goodwill, we estimated the fair value of our reporting units utilizing the income approach described above, to derive an enterprise value of the Company. We reconciled the enterprise value to our overall estimated market capitalization. The estimated market capitalization considers recent trends in our market capitalization and an expected control premium, based on comparable transactional history. Based on the results of the step one impairment test, no impairment charges of goodwill were required.

We also performed sensitivity analyses on our estimated fair value using the income approach of LongHorn Steakhouse and The Capital Grille given the significance of goodwill related to these reporting units. A key assumption in our fair value estimate is the weighted average cost of capital utilized for discounting our cash flow estimates in our income approach. We selected a weighted average cost of capital for LongHorn Steakhouse of 12.0 percent and The Capital Grille of 12.5 percent. We noted that an increase in the weighted average cost of capital of approximately 100 basis points on LongHorn Steakhouse would result in impairment of a portion of its goodwill. We also noted that an increase in the weighted average cost of capital of approximately 35 basis points on The Capital Grille would result in impairment of a portion of its goodwill.

The fair value of other indefinite-lived intangible assets, primarily trademarks, are estimated and compared to the carrying value. We estimate the fair value of these intangible assets using the relief-from-royalty method, which requires assumptions related to projected revenues from our annual long-range plan; assumed royalty rates that could be payable if we did not own the trademarks; and a discount rate. We recognize an impairment loss when the estimated fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying value. We completed our impairment test of our indefinite-lived intangibles and concluded as of the date of the test, there was no impairment of the trademarks for LongHorn Steakhouse and The Capital Grille. A key assumption in our fair value estimate is the discount rate utilized in the relief-from-royalty method. We selected a discount rate for LongHorn Steakhouse of 13.0 percent and The Capital Grille of 13.5 percent. We noted that an increase in the discount rate of approximately 10 basis points on LongHorn Steakhouse would result in impairment of a portion of its trademark. We also noted that an increase in the discount rate of approximately 25 basis points on The Capital Grille would result in impairment of a portion of its trademark.

Even though we determined that there was no goodwill or indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment as of the first day of our fourth fiscal quarter, continued declines in our market capitalization (reflected in our stock price) as well as in the market capitalization of others in the restaurant industry, declines in sales at our restaurants beyond our current forecasts, and significant adverse changes in the operating environment for the restaurant industry may result in a future impairment charge.

Changes in circumstances, existing at the measurement date or at other times in the future, or in the numerous estimates associated with management's judgments, assumptions and estimates made in assessing the fair value of our goodwill, could result in an impairment charge of a portion or all of our goodwill or other indefinite-lived intangible assets. If we recorded an impairment charge, our financial position and results of operations would be adversely affected and our leverage ratio for purposes of our credit agreement would increase. A leverage ratio exceeding the maximum permitted under our credit agreement would be a default under our credit agreement. At February 22, 2009, a write down of goodwill, other indefinite-lived intangible assets, or any other assets in excess of approximately \$560.0 million, on an after-tax basis, would have been required to cause our leverage ratio to exceed the permitted maximum. As our leverage ratio is determined on a quarterly basis and due to the seasonal nature of our business, a lesser amount of impairment in future quarters could cause our leverage ratio to exceed the permitted maximum.

Table of Contents**SEASONALITY**

Our sales volumes fluctuate seasonally. During fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, our average sales per restaurant were highest in the spring and winter, followed by the summer, and lowest in the fall. Holidays, severe weather and similar conditions may impact sales volumes seasonally in some operating regions. Because of the seasonality of our business, results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be achieved for the full fiscal year.

NUMBER OF RESTAURANTS

The following table details the number of restaurants currently reported in continuing operations that were open at the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2009, compared with the number open at the end of fiscal 2008 and the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2008.

	February 22, 2009	May 25, 2008	February 24, 2008
Red Lobster USA	659	651	649
Red Lobster Canada	29	29	29
Total	688	680	678
Olive Garden USA	673	647	637
Olive Garden Canada	6	6	6
Total	679	653	643
LongHorn Steakhouse	318	305	299
The Capital Grille	35	32	31
Bahama Breeze	23	23	23
Seasons 52	7	7	7
Other	2	2	2
Total	1,752	1,702	1,683

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash flows generated from operating activities provide us with a significant source of liquidity, which we use to finance the purchases of land, buildings and equipment, to pay dividends and to repurchase shares of our common stock. Since substantially all of our sales are for cash and cash equivalents and accounts payable are generally due in five to 30 days, we are able to carry current liabilities in excess of current assets. In addition to cash flows from operations, we use a combination of long-term and short-term borrowings to fund our capital needs.

We currently manage our business and financial ratios to maintain an investment grade bond rating, which has historically allowed flexible access to financing at reasonable costs. Currently, our publicly issued long-term debt carries Baa3 (Moody's Investors Service), BBB (Standard & Poor's) and BBB (Fitch) ratings. Our commercial paper has ratings of P-3 (Moody's Investors Service), A-2 (Standard & Poor's) and F-2 (Fitch). These ratings are as of the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q and have been obtained with the understanding that Moody's Investors Service, Standard & Poor's and Fitch will continue to monitor our credit and make future adjustments to these ratings to the extent warranted. The ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold our securities, may be changed, superseded or withdrawn at any time and should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

Our revolving credit facility and our commercial paper program serve as our primary source of short-term financing. Accordingly, we maintain a \$750.0 million revolving credit facility under a Credit Agreement (Revolving Credit Agreement) dated September 20, 2007 with Bank of America, N.A. (BOA), as administrative agent, and the lenders (Revolving Credit Lenders) and other agents party thereto. The Revolving Credit Agreement is a senior unsecured debt obligation of the Company and contains customary representations, affirmative and negative covenants (including limitations on liens and subsidiary debt, and a maximum consolidated lease adjusted total debt to total capitalization ratio of 0.75 to 1.00) and events of default usual for credit facilities of this type. As of February 22, 2009, we were in compliance with all covenants under the

Revolving Credit Agreement.

Table of Contents

The Revolving Credit Agreement matures on September 20, 2012, and the proceeds may be used for commercial paper back-up, working capital and capital expenditures, the refinancing of certain indebtedness as well as general corporate purposes. The Revolving Credit Agreement also contains a sub-limit of \$150.0 million for the issuance of letters of credit. The borrowings and letters of credit obtained under the Revolving Credit Agreement may be denominated in U.S. Dollars, Euro, Sterling, Yen, Canadian Dollars and each other currency approved by the Revolving Credit Lenders. The Company may elect to increase the commitments under the Revolving Credit Agreement by up to \$250.0 million (to an aggregate amount of up to \$1.0 billion), subject to the Company obtaining commitments from new and existing lenders for the additional amounts.

Loans under the Revolving Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate of LIBOR plus a margin determined by reference to a ratings-based pricing grid, or the base rate (which is defined as the higher of the BOA prime rate and the Federal Funds rate plus 0.500 percent). Assuming a BBB equivalent credit rating level, the applicable margin under the Revolving Credit Agreement will be 0.350 percent. We may also request that loans under the Revolving Credit Agreement be made at interest rates offered by one or more of the Revolving Credit Lenders, which may vary from the LIBOR or base rate, for up to \$100.0 million of borrowings. The Revolving Credit Agreement requires that we pay a facility fee on the total amount of such facility (ranging from 0.070 percent to 0.175 percent, based on our credit ratings) and, in the event that the outstanding amounts under the applicable Revolving Credit Agreement exceeds 50 percent of such Revolving Credit Agreement, a utilization fee on the total amount outstanding under such facility (ranging from 0.050 percent to 0.150 percent, based on our credit ratings). As of February 22, 2009, \$323.1 million was outstanding under the Revolving Credit Agreement. At February 22, 2009 we had no outstanding commercial paper and \$47.4 million of letters of credit, which are backed by this facility.

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries (Lehman Brothers) have filed for bankruptcy protection. A subsidiary of Lehman Brothers is one of the Revolving Credit Lenders with a commitment of \$50.0 million, and has defaulted on its obligation to fund our request for borrowings under the Revolving Credit Agreement. Accordingly, as of February 22, 2009, we believe that our ability to borrow under the Revolving Credit Agreement is reduced by the amount of Lehman Brothers' commitment. After consideration of this reduction, in addition to amounts currently outstanding or backed by the Revolving Credit Agreement, as of February 22, 2009, we had \$329.5 million of availability under the Revolving Credit Agreement.

At February 22, 2009, our long-term debt consisted principally of:

\$150.0 million of unsecured 4.875 percent senior notes due in August 2010;

\$75.0 million of unsecured 7.450 percent medium-term notes due in April 2011;

\$350.0 million of unsecured 5.625 percent senior notes due in October 2012;

\$100.0 million of unsecured 7.125 percent debentures due in February 2016;

\$500.0 million of unsecured 6.200 percent senior notes due in October 2017;

\$150.0 million of unsecured 6.000 percent senior notes due in August 2035;

\$300.0 million of unsecured 6.800 percent senior notes due in October 2037; and

An unsecured, variable rate \$11.8 million commercial bank loan due in December 2018 that is used to support two loans from us to the Employee Stock Ownership Plan portion of the Darden Savings Plan.

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

The interest rates on our \$350.0 million senior notes due October 2012, \$500.0 million senior notes due October 2017 and \$300.0 million senior notes due October 2037 are subject to adjustment from time to time if the debt rating assigned to such series of notes is downgraded below a certain rating level (or subsequently upgraded). The maximum adjustment is 2.000 percent above the initial interest rate and the interest rate cannot be reduced below the initial interest rate. As of February 22, 2009, no adjustments to these interest rates had been made.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 and the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we entered into treasury lock agreements with \$150.0 million of notional value to hedge a portion of the risk of changes in the benchmark interest rate associated with our forecasted interest payments on long-term debt we expect to issue during fiscal 2011 to refinance \$150.0 million of senior notes due August 2010 and \$75 million of medium-term notes due April 2011. As of February 22, 2009, the fair value of these treasury lock agreements was a loss of \$13.7 million and is recorded, net of tax, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

During the quarter ended November 23, 2008, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with \$200.0 million of notional value to hedge a portion of the risk of changes in the benchmark interest rate associated with amounts outstanding under our Revolving Credit Agreement. As of February 22, 2009, the fair value of these interest rate swap agreements, which expire in April 2009, was a loss of \$0.8 million and is recorded, net of tax, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on our consolidated financial statements.

A summary of our contractual obligations and commercial commitments at February 22, 2009 is as follows:

(in millions)	<i>Payments Due by Period</i>				
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Contractual Obligations					
Short-term debt	\$ 323.1	\$ 323.1	\$	\$	\$
Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾	2,900.1	104.8	419.3	504.7	1,871.3
Operating leases	717.5	121.0	203.9	148.7	243.9
Purchase obligations ⁽²⁾	709.6	703.1	6.3	0.2	
Capital lease obligations ⁽³⁾	111.3	4.9	10.2	10.7	85.5
Benefit obligations ⁽⁴⁾	256.8	17.9	39.8	46.3	152.8
Unrecognized income tax benefits ⁽⁵⁾	69.1	10.5	50.6	8.0	
Total contractual obligations	\$ 5,087.5	\$ 1,285.3	\$ 730.1	\$ 718.6	\$ 2,353.5

(in millions)	<i>Amount of Commitment Expiration per Period</i>				
	Total Amounts Committed	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Other Commercial Commitments					
Standby letters of credit ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 124.5	\$ 124.5	\$	\$	\$
Guarantees ⁽⁷⁾	7.4	1.5	2.2	1.6	2.1
Total commercial commitments	\$ 131.9	\$ 126.0	\$ 2.2	\$ 1.6	\$ 2.1

- (1) Includes interest payments associated with existing long-term debt, including the current portion. Variable-rate interest payments associated with the ESOP loan were estimated based on an average interest rate of 4.5 percent. Excludes issuance discount of \$5.7 million.
- (2) Includes commitments for food and beverage items, supplies, capital projects and other miscellaneous commitments.
- (3) Capital lease obligations include imputed interest of \$51.1 million over the life of the obligations.
- (4) Includes expected payments associated with our defined benefit plans, postretirement benefit plan and our non-qualified deferred compensation plan through fiscal 2019.
- (5) Includes interest on unrecognized income tax benefits of \$9.1 million, \$2.9 million of which relates to contingencies expected to be resolved within one year.
- (6) Includes letters of credit for \$104.5 million of workers' compensation and general liabilities accrued in our consolidated financial statements, \$47.4 million of which are backed by our Revolving Credit Agreement, letters of credit for \$2.6 million of lease payments included in the contractual operating lease obligation payments noted above and other letters of credit totaling \$17.4 million.
- (7) Consists solely of guarantees associated with leased properties that have been assigned to third parties. We are not aware of any non-performance under these arrangements that would result in us having to perform in accordance with the terms of the guarantees.

Our Board of Directors has authorized us to repurchase up to an aggregate of 162.4 million shares of our common stock. During the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2009, we repurchased 0.2 million and 4.7 million shares of our common stock, respectively, compared to 3.8 million and 4.8 million for the quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively. As of February 22, 2009, we have repurchased a total of 151.7 million shares of our common stock. The repurchased common stock is reflected as a reduction of stockholders' equity.

Table of Contents

Net cash flows provided by operating activities from continuing operations were \$334.1 million and \$543.9 million for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2009, respectively, compared to \$339.7 million and \$575.8 million in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively. These decreases were primarily a result of lower earnings in fiscal 2009.

Net cash flows used in investing activities from continuing operations included capital expenditures incurred principally for building new restaurants and our new Restaurant Support Center, replacing equipment and technology initiatives. Capital expenditures were \$125.2 million and \$423.0 million in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2009, respectively, compared to \$121.7 million and \$323.0 million in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively. The increased expenditures in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2009 resulted primarily from increased spending associated with new restaurants, our new Restaurant Support Center and the replacement of restaurant assets.

Net cash flows used in financing activities included \$27.2 million and \$82.6 million in dividends paid in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2009, respectively, compared to \$25.1 million and \$75.9 million in dividends for the same periods in fiscal 2008, respectively. On June 20, 2008, the Board of Directors approved an increase in the quarterly dividend to \$0.20 per share, which indicates an annual dividend of \$0.80 per share in fiscal 2009. In fiscal 2008, we paid quarterly dividends of \$0.18 per share. Purchases of treasury stock were \$5.9 million and \$132.7 million during the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2009, respectively, a decrease from purchases of \$108.9 million and \$153.3 million during the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2008, respectively. Cash flows used in financing activities for the third quarter of fiscal 2009 were less than the cash flows used in by financing activities for the third quarter of fiscal 2008 due primarily to a reduction in shares repurchased in the third quarter 2009 compared to the third quarter 2008. Cash flows used in financing activities for the first nine months of fiscal 2009 were less than the cash flows provided by financing activities for the first nine months of fiscal 2008 due primarily to proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt in the second quarter of fiscal 2008, which were utilized to fund the acquisition of RARE.

We are not a party to any off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, sales or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources. We are not aware of any trends or events that would materially affect our capital requirements or liquidity. We believe that our Revolving Credit Agreement and internal cash generating capabilities will be sufficient to finance our ongoing capital expenditures, dividends, stock repurchase program and other operating activities through fiscal 2009.

It is possible that changes in circumstances, existing as of the end of our third fiscal quarter of 2009 or at other times in the future, or in the numerous estimates associated with management's judgments, assumptions and estimates made in assessing the fair value of our goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets, could result in an impairment charge of a portion or all of our goodwill or other indefinite-lived intangible assets. If we recorded an impairment charge, our financial position and results of operations would be adversely affected and our leverage ratio for purposes of our credit agreement would increase. If such leverage ratio were to exceed the maximum permitted under our credit agreement, we would be in default under our credit agreement. At February 22, 2009, a write down of goodwill, other indefinite-lived intangible assets, or any other assets in excess of approximately \$560.0 million, on an after-tax basis, would have been required to cause our leverage ratio to exceed the permitted maximum. Due to the seasonal nature of our business, a lesser amount of impairment in future quarters could cause our leverage ratio to exceed the permitted maximum.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Our current assets totaled \$609.1 million at February 22, 2009, compared to \$467.9 million at May 25, 2008. This increase was primarily due to an increase in inventories which were \$310.0 million at February 22, 2009 compared to \$216.7 million at May 25, 2008, principally due to seasonality and the timing of promotions, and an increase in cash and cash equivalents which were \$107.3 million at February 22, 2009, compared to \$43.2 million. The increases in inventories and cash and cash equivalents were partially offset by a decrease in receivables, net which were \$50.2 million at February 22, 2009 compared to \$69.5 million at May 25, 2008.

Our current liabilities totaled \$1.38 billion at February 22, 2009, compared to \$1.14 billion at May 25, 2008. Accounts payable and short-term debt totaled \$297.1 million and \$323.1 million, respectively, at February 22, 2009, compared to \$245.1 million and \$178.4 million, respectively, at May 25, 2008. The increases in accounts

Table of Contents

payable and short-term debt are principally due to the timing and terms of seasonal inventory purchases, share repurchases, capital expenditures and related payments during the first nine months of fiscal 2009 and the use of short-term borrowings to manage to desired debt leverage targets. Unearned revenues of \$180.3 million at February 22, 2009 increased from \$160.5 million at May 25, 2008 principally due to seasonal fluctuations in sales and redemptions of our gift cards, partially offset by lower sales of gift cards in the third quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2008. Other current liabilities of \$384.5 million at February 22, 2009 increased from \$365.1 million at May 25, 2008 principally due to an increase in losses on our interest rate and commodity derivative instruments, higher workers compensation and public liability accruals and accrued interest, partially offset by a reduction in the fair value of our non-qualified deferred compensation plans.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of sales and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Critical accounting policies are those we believe are both most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and operating results and require our most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of those policies may result in materially different amounts being reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. We consider the following policies to be most critical in understanding the judgments that are involved in preparing our consolidated financial statements.

Land, Buildings and Equipment

Land, buildings and equipment are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation. Building components are depreciated over estimated useful lives ranging from seven to 40 years using the straight-line method. Leasehold improvements, which are reflected on our consolidated balance sheets as a component of buildings, are amortized over the lesser of the expected lease term, including cancelable option periods, or the estimated useful lives of the related assets using the straight-line method. Equipment is depreciated over estimated useful lives ranging from two to 10 years, also using the straight-line method.

Our accounting policies regarding land, buildings and equipment, including leasehold improvements, include our judgments regarding the estimated useful lives of these assets, the residual values to which the assets are depreciated or amortized, the determination of what constitutes expected lease term and the determination as to what constitutes enhancing the value of or increasing the life of existing assets. These judgments and estimates may produce materially different amounts of reported depreciation and amortization expense if different assumptions were used. As discussed further below, these judgments may also impact our need to recognize an impairment charge on the carrying amount of these assets as the cash flows associated with the assets are realized, or as our expectations of estimated future cash flows change.

Leases

We are obligated under various lease agreements for certain restaurants. For operating leases, we recognize rent expense on a straight-line basis over the expected lease term, including option periods as described below. Capital leases are recorded as an asset and an obligation at an amount equal to the present value of the minimum lease payments during the lease term.

Within the provisions of certain of our leases, there are rent holidays and/or escalations in payments over the base lease term, as well as renewal periods. The effects of the holidays and escalations have been reflected in rent expense on a straight-line basis over the expected lease term, which includes option periods we are reasonably assured to exercise because failure to exercise such options would result in an economic penalty to the Company. The lease term commences on the date when we have the right to control the use of the leased property, which is typically before rent payments are due under the terms of the lease. The leasehold improvements and property held under capital leases for each restaurant facility are amortized on the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated life of the asset or the same expected lease term used for lease accounting purposes. Many of our leases

Table of Contents

have renewal periods totaling five to 20 years, exercisable at our option, and require payment of property taxes, insurance and maintenance costs in addition to the rent payments. The consolidated financial statements reflect the same lease term for amortizing leasehold improvements as we use to determine capital versus operating lease classifications and in calculating straight-line rent expense for each restaurant. Percentage rent expense is generally based upon sales levels and is accrued at the point in time we determine that it is probable that such sales levels will be achieved.

Our judgments related to the probable term for each restaurant affect the classification and accounting for leases as capital versus operating, the rent holidays and escalation in payments that are included in the calculation of straight-line rent and the term over which leasehold improvements for each restaurant facility are amortized. These judgments may produce materially different amounts of depreciation, amortization and rent expense than would be reported if different assumed lease terms were used.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Land, buildings and equipment and certain other assets, including capitalized software costs and liquor licenses, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the assets to the future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. Identifiable cash flows are measured at the lowest level for which they are largely independent of the cash flows of other groups of assets and liabilities, generally at the restaurant level. If these assets are determined to be impaired, the amount of impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds their fair value. Fair value is generally determined by appraisals or sales prices of comparable assets. Restaurant sites and certain other assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of their carrying amount or fair value, less estimated costs to sell. Restaurant sites and certain other assets to be disposed of are included in assets held for sale when certain criteria are met. These criteria include the requirement that the likelihood of disposing of these assets within one year is probable. For assets that meet the held for sale criteria, we separately evaluate whether those assets also meet the requirements to be reported as discontinued operations. Principally, if we discontinue cash flows and no longer have any significant continuing involvement with respect to the operations of the assets, we classify the assets and related results of operations as discontinued. We consider guest transfer (an increase in guests at another location as a result of the closure of a location) as continuing cash flows and evaluate the significance of expected guest transfer when evaluating a restaurant for discontinued operations reporting. To the extent we dispose of enough assets where classification between continuing operations and discontinued operations would be material to our consolidated financial statements, we utilize the reporting provisions for discontinued operations. Assets whose disposal is not probable within one year remain in land, buildings and equipment until their disposal is probable within one year.

The judgments we make related to the expected useful lives of long-lived assets and our ability to realize undiscounted cash flows in excess of the carrying amounts of these assets are affected by factors such as the ongoing maintenance and improvements of the assets, changes in economic conditions, changes in usage or operating performance, desirability of the restaurant sites and other factors, such as our ability to sell our assets held for sale. As we assess the ongoing expected cash flows and carrying amounts of our long-lived assets, significant adverse changes in these factors could cause us to realize a material impairment charge.

Valuation and Recoverability of Goodwill and Indefinite Lived Intangible Assets

Intangible assets with indefinite useful lives represented \$974.4 million of our \$5.06 billion in total assets as of February 22, 2009, comprised of \$519.7 million and \$454.7 million of goodwill and trademarks, respectively. We have identified LongHorn Steakhouse® and The Capital Grille® trademarks as indefinite-lived intangible assets, in addition to our goodwill, after considering the expected use of the assets and the regulatory and economic environment within which they are being used. We review our goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets annually, as of the first day of our fourth fiscal quarter, for impairment, or more frequently if indicators of impairment exist. We continually assess whether any indicators of impairment exist. A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator of impairment has occurred. Such indicators may include, among others: a significant decline in our expected future cash flows; a sustained, significant decline in our stock price and market capitalization; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate; unanticipated competition; the testing for recoverability of a significant asset group within a reporting unit; and slower growth rates. Any adverse change in these factors could have a significant impact on the recoverability of these assets and could have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

When required, we first test goodwill for impairment by comparing the fair value of the restaurant concepts with their carrying amounts. If the fair value of the concepts exceeds the carrying amount of the concepts, goodwill is not deemed to be impaired, and no further testing would be necessary. If the carrying amount of these concepts were to exceed their fair value, we would perform a second test to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. To measure the amount of any impairment loss, we would determine the implied fair value of goodwill in the same manner as if these concepts were being acquired in a business combination. Specifically, we would allocate the fair value of each of these concepts to all of the assets and liabilities of each concept, including any unrecognized intangible assets, in a hypothetical calculation that would calculate the implied fair value of goodwill. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill, we would record an impairment charge for the difference.

When required, we test other indefinite-lived intangible assets, primarily trademarks, for impairment by comparing the assets' respective carrying values to estimates of fair value, determined based on an income valuation model using the relief from royalty method, which requires assumptions related to projected revenues from our annual long-range plan; assumed royalty rates that could be payable if we did not own the trademarks; and a discount rate. Our trademarks are tested separately as a single unit of accounting as prescribed by Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 02-7, Unit of Accounting for Testing Impairment of Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets.

The accounting estimates related to our goodwill and other indefinite lived intangible assets require us to make significant assumptions about fair values. Our assumptions regarding fair values require significant judgment about economic factors, industry factors and technology considerations, as well as our views regarding the prospects of our concepts. Changes in these judgments may have a significant effect on the estimated fair values.

Consistent with our policy described above for goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangibles, we performed our annual impairment test of our goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets as of the first day of our fourth fiscal quarter. We performed our annual impairment testing and reached a conclusion as to whether any of our goodwill or other indefinite-lived intangibles were impaired prior to filing our third quarter interim unaudited consolidated financial statements on Form 10-Q. Based on the results of the test, no write downs or impairment charges were required at that time in relation to goodwill or our other indefinite-lived intangible assets. Please refer to Note 3 of the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Insurance Accruals

Through the use of insurance program deductibles and self-insurance, we retain a significant portion of expected losses under our workers compensation, employee medical and general liability programs. However, we carry insurance for individual workers' compensation and general liability claims that generally exceed \$0.50 million and \$0.25 million, respectively. Accrued liabilities have been recorded based on our estimates of the anticipated ultimate costs to settle all claims, both reported and not yet reported.

Our accounting policies regarding these insurance programs include our judgments and independent actuarial assumptions about economic conditions, the frequency or severity of claims and claim development patterns and claim reserve, management and settlement practices. Unanticipated changes in these factors may produce materially different amounts of reported expense under these programs.

Stock-Based Compensation

Beginning in fiscal 2007, we account for stock-based compensation in accordance with the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which requires the input of subjective assumptions. These assumptions include estimating the length of time employees will retain their vested stock options before exercising them (expected term), the volatility of our common stock price over the expected term and the number of options that will ultimately not complete their vesting requirements (forfeitures). From year to year, our determination of these subjective assumptions can materially affect the estimate of fair value of our stock-based compensation and, consequently, the related amount recognized in our consolidated statements of earnings during each period.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

We estimate certain components of our provision for income taxes. These estimates include, among other items, depreciation and amortization expense allowable for tax purposes, allowable tax credits for items such as taxes paid on reported employee tip income, effective rates for state and local income taxes and the tax deductibility of certain other items. We adjust our annual effective income tax rate as additional information on outcomes or events becomes available.

Effective May 28, 2007, we adopted FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. FIN 48 requires that a position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return be recognized (or derecognized) in the financial statements when it is more likely than not (i.e., a likelihood of more than fifty percent) that the position would be sustained upon examination by tax authorities. A recognized tax position is then measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement.

We base our estimates on the best available information at the time we prepare the provision. We generally file our annual income tax returns several months after our fiscal year-end. Income tax returns are subject to audit by federal, state and local governments, generally years after the returns are filed. These returns could be subject to material adjustments or differing interpretations of the tax laws.

The major jurisdictions in which the Company files income tax returns include the U.S. federal jurisdiction, Canada, and most states in the U.S. that have an income tax. With a few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2001.

APPLICATION OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements required under other accounting pronouncements, but does not change existing guidance as to whether or not an instrument is carried at fair value. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which permits a one-year deferral for the implementation of SFAS No. 157 with regard to non-financial assets and liabilities that are not recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. We elected to defer adoption of SFAS No. 157 for such items and we do not currently anticipate that full adoption in fiscal 2010 will materially impact our consolidated financial statements. Effective May 24, 2008 and as disclosed in Note 13 Fair Value Measurements, we have adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and liabilities.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans (an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132R). Effective May 27, 2007, we implemented the recognition and measurement provision of SFAS No. 158. The purpose of SFAS No. 158 is to improve the overall financial statement presentation of pension and other postretirement plans, but SFAS No. 158 does not impact the determination of net periodic benefit cost or measurement of plan assets or obligations. SFAS No. 158 requires companies to recognize the over or under funded status of the plan as an asset or liability as measured by the difference between the fair value of the plan assets and the benefit obligation and requires any unrecognized prior service costs and actuarial gains and losses to be recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Additionally, SFAS No. 158 requires measurement of the funded status of pension and postretirement plans as of the date of a company's fiscal year ending after December 15, 2008, the year ended May 31, 2009 for Darden. Certain of our plans currently have measurement dates that do not coincide with our fiscal year end and thus we will be required to change their measurement dates in fiscal 2009. As permitted by SFAS No. 158, we will use the measurements performed in fiscal 2008 to estimate the effects of our changes to fiscal year end measurement dates. The impact of the transition to fiscal year end measurement dates, which will be recorded as an adjustment to retained earnings in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, is expected to be immaterial to our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. SFAS No. 159 provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been

Table of Contents

elections are reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, which required that we adopt these provisions in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. The adoption of SFAS No. 159 did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations*. SFAS No. 141R provides companies with principles and requirements on how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree as well as the recognition and measurement of goodwill acquired in a business combination. SFAS No. 141R also requires certain disclosures to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. Acquisition costs associated with the business combination will generally be expensed as incurred. SFAS No. 141R is effective for business combinations occurring in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, which will require us to adopt these provisions for business combinations occurring in fiscal 2010 and thereafter. Early adoption of SFAS No. 141R is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact SFAS No. 141R will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. SFAS No. 161 provides companies with requirements for enhanced disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities to enable investors to better understand their effects on a company's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. These requirements include the disclosure of the fair values of derivative instruments and their gains and losses in a tabular format. We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 161 during the quarter ended February 22, 2009 as disclosed in Note 12 *Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities*. FSP EITF 03-6-1 provides that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation method for computing earnings per share when an entity's capital structure includes either two or more classes of common stock or common stock and participating securities. It determines earnings per share based on dividends declared on common stock and participating securities (i.e., distributed earnings) and participation rights of participating securities in any undistributed earnings. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, which will require us to adopt these provisions in fiscal 2010. We do not believe the adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1 will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP 132(R)-1, *Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets*, which expands the disclosure requirements about fair value measurements of plan assets for pension plans, postretirement medical plans, and other funded postretirement plans. This FSP is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009, which will require us to adopt these provisions in fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact FSP 132(R)-1 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements included in this report and other materials filed or to be filed by us with the SEC (as well as information included in oral or written statements made or to be made by us) may contain statements that are forward-looking within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as codified in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Words or phrases such as *believe*, *plan*, *will*, *expect*, *intend*, *estimate*, and *project* and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. All of these statements, and any other statements in this report that are not historical facts, are forward-looking. We wish to caution investors not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made, and we undertake no obligation to update such statements to reflect events or circumstances arising after such date. These forward-looking statements are based on assumptions concerning important factors, risks and uncertainties that could significantly affect anticipated results in the future and, accordingly, could cause the actual results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. These factors, risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to those discussed below and in Part I, Item 1A *Risk Factors* in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended May 25, 2008:

The intensely competitive nature of the restaurant industry, especially pricing, service, location, personnel and type and quality of food;

Table of Contents

Economic and business factors, both specific to the restaurant industry and generally, that are largely out of our control, including changes in consumer preferences, demographic trends, severe weather conditions including hurricanes, a protracted economic slowdown or worsening economy, unemployment, energy prices, interest rates, industry-wide cost pressures and public safety conditions, including actual or threatened armed conflicts or terrorist attacks;

The price and availability of food, ingredients and utilities, including the general risk of inflation;

The impact of shortages or interruptions in the delivery of food and other supplies;

Labor and insurance costs, including increased labor costs as a result of federal and state-mandated increases in minimum wage rates and increased insurance costs as a result of increases in our current insurance premiums;

The loss of key personnel or difficulties recruiting and retaining qualified personnel;

A material information technology interruption or security failure;

Increased advertising and marketing costs;

Higher-than-anticipated costs to open, close, relocate or remodel restaurants;

Litigation by employees, consumers, suppliers, shareholders or others, regardless of whether the allegations made against us are valid or we are ultimately found liable;

Unfavorable publicity relating to food safety or other concerns;

A lack of suitable new restaurant locations or a decline in the quality of the locations of our current restaurants;

Federal, state and local regulation of our business, including laws and regulations relating to our relationships with our employees, zoning, land use, environmental matters and liquor licenses;

Factors impacting our growth objectives, including lower-than-expected sales and profitability of newly-opened restaurants, our ability to develop or acquire new concepts and our ability to manage risks relating to the opening of new restaurants, including real estate development and construction activities, union activities, the issuance and renewal of licenses and permits and the availability and cost of funds to finance growth;

Our plans to expand newer concepts like Bahama Breeze and Seasons 52 that have not yet proven their long-term viability may not be successful and could require us to make substantial further investments in these concepts;

Edgar Filing: DARDEN RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

Our ability to combine and integrate the business of RARE into our operations in a successful and timely manner and to achieve synergies following the completion of the acquisition, including the ultimate realization of goodwill;

The impact of the substantial indebtedness we incurred in connection with the acquisition of RARE;

A failure of our internal controls over financial reporting;

The impact of disruptions in the financial markets, including an increase in pension costs;

The negative effect of a possible change in the assumptions used to value our goodwill or other intangible assets; and

The impact of volatility in the market value of derivatives we use to hedge commodity prices.

Since it is not possible to foresee all such factors, risks and uncertainties, investors should not consider these factors to be a complete list of all risks or uncertainties.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to a variety of market risks, including fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, compensation and commodity prices. To manage this exposure, we periodically enter into interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate, equity forward and commodity instruments for other than trading purposes.

We use the variance/covariance method to measure value at risk, over time horizons ranging from one week to one year, at the 95 percent confidence level. As of February 22, 2009, our potential losses in future net earnings resulting from changes in floating rate debt interest rate, interest rate instrument, foreign currency exchange rate, equity forwards and commodity instrument exposures were approximately \$19.1 million over a period of one year. The value at risk from an increase in the fair value of all of our long-term fixed rate debt, over a period of one year, was approximately \$154.0 million. The fair value of our long-term fixed rate debt during the first nine months of fiscal 2009 averaged \$1.54 billion, with a high of \$1.60 billion and a low of \$1.41 billion.

Table of Contents

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 and the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we entered into treasury lock agreements with \$150.0 million of notional value to hedge a portion of the risk of changes in the benchmark interest rate associated with our forecasted interest payments on long-term debt we expect to issue during fiscal 2011 to refinance \$150.0 million of senior notes due August 2010 and \$75 million of medium-term notes due April 2011. As of February 22, 2009, the fair value of these treasury lock agreements was a loss of \$13.7 million and is recorded, net of tax, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on our consolidated financial statements.

During the quarter ended November 23, 2008, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with \$200.0 million of notional value to hedge a portion of the risk of changes in the benchmark interest rate associated with amounts outstanding under our Revolving Credit Agreement. As of February 22, 2009, the fair value of these interest rate swap agreements, which expire in April 2009, was a loss of \$0.8 million and is recorded, net of tax, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on our consolidated financial statements.

Our interest rate risk management objective is to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows by targeting an appropriate mix of variable and fixed rate debt.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of February 22, 2009, the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of February 22, 2009.

During the fiscal quarter ended February 22, 2009, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to private lawsuits, administrative proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of our business. A number of these lawsuits, proceedings and claims may exist at any given time. These matters typically involve claims from guests, employees and others related to operational issues common to the restaurant industry, and can also involve infringement of, or challenges to, our trademarks. While the resolution of a lawsuit, proceeding or claim may have an impact on our financial results for the period in which it is resolved, we believe that the final disposition of the lawsuits, proceedings and claims in which we are currently involved, either individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity. The following is a brief description of the more significant of these matters. In view of the inherent uncertainties of litigation, the outcome of any unresolved matter described below cannot be predicted at this time, nor can the amount of any potential loss be reasonably estimated.

Like other restaurant companies and retail employers, in a few states we have been faced with allegations of purported class-wide wage and hour violations. In January 2004, a former food server filed a purported class action in California state court alleging that Red Lobster's server banking policies and practices (under which servers settle guest checks directly with customers throughout their shifts, and turn in collected monies at the shift's end) improperly required her and other food servers and bartenders to make up cash shortages and walkouts in violation of California law. In January 2007, plaintiffs' counsel filed in California state court a second purported class action lawsuit on behalf of servers and bartenders alleging that Olive Garden's server banking policy and its alleged failure to pay split shift premiums violated California law. Although we believed that our policies and practices were lawful and that we had strong defenses to both cases, following mediation with the plaintiffs, we reached a settlement of these claims under which we accrued approximately \$4.0 million during fiscal 2008, which was paid during the third quarter of fiscal 2009.

Table of Contents

In August 2008, an action was filed in California state court by a former Red Lobster server alleging that Red Lobster's scheduling practices resulted in failure to properly pay reporting time (minimum shift) pay as well as to pay minimum wage, to provide itemized wage statements, and to timely pay employees upon the termination of their employment. The complaint sought to have the suit certified as a class action. Although we believed that our policies and practices were lawful, we reached a preliminary settlement of this matter under which we would pay approximately \$0.5 million. We expect to pay the settlement amount during fiscal 2009 at the completion of the settlement process.

In July 2008, an action was filed in California state court by a group of former Red Lobster managers alleging that the salaried general managers of the restaurants were not paid minimum wage for all hours worked because they were not paid for time spent attending various seminars and conferences. In addition, the managers claim that they were not provided with rest and meal breaks pursuant to California law. The complaint seeks to have the suit certified as a class action. We believe that our policies and practices were lawful, and we intend to vigorously defend our position in this action.

On September 18, 2008, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission filed suit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio alleging that African-American employees of the Bahama Breeze restaurant in Beachwood, Ohio were subjected to discriminatory employment practices in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991. The complaint seeks to enjoin the alleged discriminatory practices and seeks compensatory damages for the employees. We believe that our practices were lawful, and we intend to vigorously defend our position in this action.

On March 13, 2008, a purported class action complaint alleging violation of the federal securities laws was filed by an institutional shareholder against Darden and certain of our current officers, one of whom is also a director, in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida. The complaint was filed on behalf of all purchasers of Darden's common stock between June 19, 2007 and December 18, 2007 (the Class). The complaint alleges that during that period, the defendants issued false and misleading statements in press releases and public filings that misrepresented and failed to disclose certain information, and that as a result, had no reasonable basis for statements about Darden's prospects and guidance for fiscal 2008. The complaint alleges claims under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 thereunder. The plaintiff seeks to recover unspecified damages on behalf of the Class. We intend to vigorously defend our position in this action.

By letter dated May 9, 2008, a putative shareholder demanded that our Board of Directors take action to remedy alleged breaches of fiduciary duty to Darden by certain officers and directors. The letter contains similar allegations to those in the purported class action described above regarding the alleged issuance of false and misleading statements and omissions regarding Darden's financial results and sales growth. The Board has formed a special litigation committee to evaluate the claims in the letter. On September 10, 2008, this same putative shareholder on behalf of nominal defendant Darden filed a shareholder derivative civil action in the Circuit Court of the Ninth Judicial Circuit of Orange County, Florida against Darden, our Board of Directors, and several of our senior executives, including the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer. The allegations in the complaint arise out of the same facts alleged in the purported class action complaint referenced above. In particular, the complaint alleges that during the period June 19, 2007 and December 18, 2007, certain of the defendants issued false and misleading statements in press releases and public filings that misrepresented and failed to disclose certain information about Darden's prospects and earnings guidance for fiscal 2008, and that certain defendants benefited from these false and misleading statements in selling Darden stock at an inflated price. The complaint seeks to recover in favor of Darden, damages sustained by Darden as a result of the defendants' alleged breaches of fiduciary duty, and the imposition of a constructive trust in favor of Darden for the amount of proceeds realized by certain defendants from the sale of Darden stock. Fees and costs, as well as equitable relief, are also sought. We intend to vigorously defend our position in this action.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended May 25, 2008 and in Part II, Item 1a Risk Factors in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended November 23, 2008.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

The table below provides information concerning our repurchase of shares of our common stock during the quarter ended February 22, 2009. Since commencing repurchases in December 1995, we have repurchased a total of 151.7 million shares through February 22, 2009 under authorizations from our Board of Directors to repurchase an aggregate of 162.4 million shares.

	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (2)
November 24, 2008 through December 28, 2008	229,136	\$ 23.39	229,136	10,745,428
December 29, 2008 through January 25, 2009	4,579	\$ 27.56	4,579	10,740,849
January 26, 2008 through February 22, 2009	15,158	\$ 28.47	15,158	10,725,691
Total	248,873	\$ 23.77	248,873	10,725,691

- (1) All of the shares purchased during the quarter ended February 22, 2009 were purchased as part of our repurchase program, the most recent increased authority for which was announced in a press release issued on June 20, 2006. There is no expiration date for our program. The number of shares purchased includes shares withheld for taxes on vesting of restricted stock, shares delivered or deemed to be delivered to us on tender of stock in payment for the exercise price of options, and shares reacquired pursuant to tax withholding on option exercises. These shares are included as part of our repurchase program and deplete the repurchase authority granted by our Board. The number of shares repurchased excludes shares we reacquired pursuant to forfeiture of restricted stock.
- (2) Repurchases are subject to prevailing market prices, may be made in open market or private transactions and may occur or be discontinued at any time. There can be no assurance that we will repurchase any shares.

Item 6. Exhibits

- Exhibit 10(a)* Darden Restaurants, Inc. Management and Professional Incentive Plan, as amended.
- Exhibit 10(b)* Form of Amended and Restated Management Continuity Agreement between Darden Restaurants, Inc. and certain of our executive officers.
- Exhibit 10(c)* Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Award Agreement under the Darden Restaurants, Inc. 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended.
- Exhibit 10(d)* Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Award Agreement under the RARE Hospitality International, Inc. 2002 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended.
- Exhibit 10(e)* Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the RARE Hospitality International, Inc. 2002 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended.
- Exhibit 12 Computation of Ratio of Consolidated Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- Exhibit 31(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- Exhibit 31(b) Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- Exhibit 32(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- Exhibit 32(b) Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Items marked with an asterisk are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements required to be filed as an exhibit pursuant to Item 601(b)(10)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.

Dated: March 26, 2009

By: /s/ Paula J. Shives
Paula J. Shives
*Senior Vice President,
General Counsel and Secretary*

Dated: March 26, 2009

By: /s/ C. Bradford Richmond
C. Bradford Richmond
*Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal financial officer)*

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10(a)*	Darden Restaurants, Inc. Management and Professional Incentive Plan, as amended.
10(b)*	Form of Amended and Restated Management Continuity Agreement between Darden Restaurants, Inc. and certain of our executive officers.
10(c)*	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Award Agreement under the Darden Restaurants, Inc. 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended.
10(d)*	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Award Agreement under the RARE Hospitality International, Inc. 2002 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended.
10(e)*	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the RARE Hospitality International, Inc. 2002 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended.
12	Computation of Ratio of Consolidated Earnings to Fixed Charges.
31(a)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31(b)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32(a)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32(b)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Items marked with an asterisk are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements required to be filed as an exhibit pursuant to Item 601(b)(10)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K.