

HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/

Form 10-Q

August 03, 2010

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission File Number 0-29480

**HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

<b>Washington</b> (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	<b>91-1857900</b> (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
<b>201 Fifth Avenue SW, Olympia, WA</b> (Address of principal executive offices)	<b>98501</b> (Zip Code)
<b>(360) 943-1500</b> (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the last practicable date:

As of July 20, 2010 there were 11,119,820 common shares outstanding, with no par value, of the registrant.

**Table of Contents**

**HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

**FORM 10-Q**

**INDEX**

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENT

	<b>Page</b>
PART I. Financial Statements	
Item 1. <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	6
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	7
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	8
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	28
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	29
PART II. <u>Other Information</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	29
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	29
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	30
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	30
Item 4. <u>[Removed and reserved]</u>	30
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	30
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	31
<u>Signatures</u>	32
Certifications	

## **Table of Contents**

### **Forward Looking Statements**

Safe Harbor statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995: This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to: the credit risks of lending activities, including changes in the level and trend of loan delinquencies and write-offs and changes in our allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses that may be impacted by deterioration in the housing and commercial real estate markets; changes in general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas; changes in the levels of general interest rates, and the relative differences between short and long term interest rates, deposit interest rates, our net interest margin and funding sources; fluctuations in the demand for loans, the number of unsold homes and other properties and fluctuations in real estate values in our market areas; results of examinations of us by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve Board ) and of our bank subsidiaries by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC ), the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Banks (the Washington DFI ) or other regulatory authorities, including the possibility that any such regulatory authority may, among other things, require us to increase our reserve for loan losses, write-down assets, change our regulatory capital position or affect our ability to borrow funds or maintain or increase deposits, which could adversely affect our liquidity and earnings; legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect our business including changes in regulatory policies and principles, including the interpretation of regulatory capital or other rules; our ability to control operating costs and expenses; the use of estimates in determining fair value of certain of our assets, which estimates may prove to be incorrect and result in significant declines in valuation; difficulties in reducing risk associated with the loans on our balance sheet; staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect our workforce and potential associated charges; computer systems on which we depend could fail or experience a security breach; our ability to retain key members of our senior management team; costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments; our ability to implement our expansion strategy; our ability to successfully integrate any assets, liabilities, customers, systems, and management personnel we have acquired or may in the future acquire into our operations and our ability to realize related revenue synergies and cost savings within expected time frames and any goodwill charges related thereto; changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits; the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules, or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions; adverse changes in the securities markets; inability of key third-party providers to perform their obligations to us; changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the financial institution regulatory agencies or the Financial Accounting Standards Board, including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods; other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and technological factors affecting our operations, pricing, products and services; future legislative changes in the United States Department of Treasury ( Treasury ) Troubled Asset Relief Program ( TARP ) Capital Purchase Program; and other risks detailed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. Moreover, you should treat these statements as speaking only as of the date they are made and based only on information then actually known to the Company. The Company does not undertake and specifically disclaims any obligation to revise any forward-looking statements to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements. These risks could cause our actual results for 2010 and beyond to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements by, or on behalf of, us, and could negatively affect the Company's operating and stock price performance.

As used throughout this report, the terms we , our , us , or the Company refer to Heritage Financial Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 1. HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION****HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION****(Dollars in thousands, except for per share amounts)****(Unaudited)**

	<b>June 30, 2010</b>	<b>December 31, 2009</b>
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash on hand and in banks	\$ 18,464	\$ 20,106
Interest earning deposits	93,867	87,125
Investment securities available for sale	91,262	90,736
Investment securities held to maturity (market value of \$14,828 and \$13,645)	14,302	13,636
Loans held for sale		825
Loans receivable	761,181	772,247
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(26,268)	(26,164)
Loans receivable, net	734,913	746,083
Other real estate owned	1,590	704
Premises and equipment, at cost, net	16,468	16,394
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost	3,566	3,566
Accrued interest receivable	3,922	4,018
Prepaid expenses and other assets	9,417	9,175
Deferred federal income taxes, net	8,673	9,133
Intangible assets, net	307	346
Goodwill	13,012	13,012
Total assets	\$ 1,009,763	\$ 1,014,859
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>		
Deposits	\$ 829,030	\$ 840,128
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	15,352	10,440
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	4,553	5,793
Total liabilities	848,935	856,361
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value, 2,500,000 shares authorized; Series A (liquidation preference \$1,000 per share); 24,000 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	23,550	23,487
Common stock, no par, 15,000,000 shares authorized; 11,119,820 and 11,057,972 shares outstanding at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	74,040	73,534
Unearned compensation ESOP and other	(225)	(270)
Retained earnings	62,868	61,980
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net	595	(233)
Total stockholders equity	160,828	158,498
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,009,763	\$ 1,014,859

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



**Table of Contents****HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(Dollars in thousands, except for per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
<b>INTEREST INCOME:</b>				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 11,903	\$ 12,637	\$ 23,873	\$ 25,532
Taxable interest on investment securities	675	558	1,420	1,005
Nontaxable interest on investment securities	78	58	151	113
Interest on federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits	60	56	120	100
Total interest income	12,716	13,309	25,564	26,750
<b>INTEREST EXPENSE:</b>				
Deposits	1,929	2,968	4,092	6,331
Other borrowings	21	6	41	6
Total interest expense	1,950	2,974	4,133	6,337
Net interest income	10,766	10,335	21,431	20,413
Provision for loan losses	3,150	4,540	6,900	9,790
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	7,616	5,795	14,531	10,623
<b>NON-INTEREST INCOME:</b>				
Gains on sales of loans, net	35	105	101	202
Service charges on deposits	1,082	1,030	2,107	2,019
Merchant Visa income	795	769	1,510	1,451
Other income	224	368	575	637
Total non-interest income	2,136	2,272	4,293	4,309
<b>NON-INTEREST EXPENSE:</b>				
Impairment loss on investment securities	68	467	263	642
Less: Portion recorded as other comprehensive income	(13)	(408)	(18)	(408)
Impairment loss on investment securities, net	55	59	245	234
Salaries and employee benefits	4,200	3,697	8,215	7,528
Occupancy and equipment	990	956	2,018	1,989
Data processing	416	428	835	837
Marketing	423	234	634	460
Merchant Visa	660	633	1,257	1,198
Professional services	338	182	625	323
State and local taxes	156	260	373	455
Federal deposit insurance	347	751	701	896
Other expense	889	826	1,647	1,986
Total non-interest expense	8,474	8,026	16,550	15,906
Income (loss) before federal income taxes	1,278	41	2,274	(974)

Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

Federal income tax (benefit) expense	423	(50)	723	(471)
Net income (loss)	\$ 855	\$ 91	\$ 1,551	\$ (503)
Dividends accrued and discount accreted on preferred shares	332	330	663	659
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	\$ 523	\$ (239)	\$ 888	\$ (1,162)
Earnings (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.04)	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.18)
Diluted	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.04)	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.18)
Dividends declared per common share:	\$	\$	\$	\$ 0.10

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



Table of Contents

## HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED

## JUNE 30, 2010 AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED

## JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

(Dollars and shares in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Number of preferred stock shares	Preferred stock	Number of common shares	Common stock	Unearned Compensation- ESOP and other	Retained earnings	Accumulated other comprehensive income, net	Total stockholders equity
Balance at December 31, 2009	24	\$ 23,487	11,058	\$ 73,534	\$ (270)	\$ 61,980	\$ (233)	\$ 158,498
Restricted stock awards issued			40					
Stock option compensation expense				114				114
Exercise of stock options (including tax benefits from nonqualified stock options)			17	202				202
Share based payment and earned ESOP			5	195	45			240
Tax provision associated with share based payment and unallocated ESOP				(5)				(5)
Accretion of preferred stock		63				(63)		
Net income						1,551		1,551
Change in fair value of securities available for sale, net of reclassification adjustments							701	701
Other-than-temporary impairment on securities held to maturity, net of tax							(12)	(12)
Accretion of other-than-temporary impairment on securities held to maturity, net of tax							139	139
Dividends accrued on preferred stock						(600)		(600)
Balance at June 30, 2010	24	\$ 23,550	11,120	\$ 74,040	\$ (225)	\$ 62,868	\$ 595	\$ 160,828

Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income (loss)	\$ 523	\$ 91	\$ 1,551	\$ (503)
Change in fair value of securities available for sale, net of tax of \$216, \$(81), \$378, \$(8)	402	(150)	701	(15)
Reclassification adjustment of net gain from sale of available for sale securities included in income, net of tax of \$0, \$0, \$0, \$3				6
Cumulative effect of adoption of FAS 115-2 relating to impairment of debt securities, net of tax of \$0, \$(80), \$0, \$(80)		(149)		(149)

Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

Other-than-temporary impairment on securities held-to-maturity, net of tax of \$(5), \$(143), \$(6), \$(143)	(8)	(265)	(12)	(265)
Accretion of other-than-temporary impairment in securities held-to-maturity, net of tax of \$21, \$0, \$75, \$0	38		139	
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 955	\$ (473)	\$ 2,379	\$ (926)

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents****HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

(Dollars in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,551	\$ (503)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	736	476
Deferred loan fees, net of amortization	(54)	(206)
Provision for loan losses	6,900	9,790
Net change in accrued interest receivable, prepaid expenses and other assets, accrued expenses and other liabilities	(1,390)	(12,372)
Recognition of compensation related to ESOP shares and share based payment	240	229
Stock option compensation expense	114	88
Tax provision realized from stock options exercised, share based payment and dividends on unallocated ESOP shares	5	85
Amortization of intangible assets	39	39
Deferred federal income tax	15	183
(Gain) loss on sale of investment securities	4	(2)
Impairment loss on investment securities	245	234
Origination of loans held for sale	(4,195)	(12,223)
Gain on sale of loans	(101)	(202)
Proceeds from sale of loans	5,121	10,298
Gain on sale of other real estate owned	(93)	(33)
Loss on sale of premises and equipment		1
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	9,137	(4,118)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Loans originated, net of principal payments	1,884	20,425
Maturities of investment securities available for sale	8,551	5,042
Maturities of investment securities held to maturity	1,229	1,241
Purchase of investment securities available for sale	(8,372)	(29,684)
Purchase of investment securities held to maturity	(1,826)	
Purchase of premises and equipment	(769)	(1,304)
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	1,647	3,897
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	209	113
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale		752
Net cash provided by investing activities	2,553	482
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net (decrease) increase in deposits	(11,098)	17,623
Cash dividends paid	(600)	(1,254)
Net increase in securities sold under agreement to repurchase	4,912	9,163
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	201	42

Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

Tax provision realized from stock options exercised, share based payment and dividends on unallocated ESOP shares	(5)	(85)
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(6,590)	25,489
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	5,100	21,853
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	107,231	60,634
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 112,331	\$ 82,487
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash payments for:		
Interest	\$ 4,143	\$ 6,738
Federal income taxes	1,283	3,502
Supplemental disclosures of noncash investing and financing activities:		
Loans transferred to other real estate owned	2,440	2,134

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents**

**HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009**

**(Unaudited)**

**NOTE 1. Description of Business and Basis of Presentation**

***(a) Description of Business***

Heritage Financial Corporation is a bank holding company that was incorporated in the State of Washington in August 1997. We were organized for the purpose of acquiring all of the capital stock of Heritage Savings Bank upon our reorganization from a mutual holding company form of organization to a stock holding company form of organization. Effective September 1, 2004, Heritage Savings Bank switched its charter from a state chartered savings bank to a state chartered commercial bank and changed its legal name from Heritage Savings Bank to Heritage Bank. Effective September 1, 2005, Central Valley Bank (acquired by the Company in March 1999) changed its charter from a nationally chartered commercial bank to a state chartered commercial bank.

We are primarily engaged in the business of planning, directing, and coordinating the business activities of our wholly owned subsidiaries: Heritage Bank and Central Valley Bank (the "Banks"). The deposits of Heritage Bank and Central Valley Bank are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). Heritage Bank conducts business from its main office in Olympia, Washington and its thirteen branch offices located in Thurston, Pierce, Mason and south King Counties of Washington State. Central Valley Bank conducts business from its main office in Toppenish, Washington and its five branch offices located in Yakima and Kittitas Counties of Washington State.

Our business consists primarily of lending and deposit relationships with small businesses and their owners in our market areas, and attracting deposits from the general public. We also make residential and commercial construction, income property, and consumer loans and originate for sale or investment purposes first mortgage loans on residential properties located in western and central Washington State.

***(b) Basis of Presentation***

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP for interim financial information, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read with our December 31, 2009 audited consolidated financial statements and its accompanying notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. In our opinion, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. In preparing the condensed consolidated financial statements, we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

***(c) Significant Accounting Policies***

The significant accounting policies used in preparation of our consolidated financial statements are disclosed in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There have not been any material changes in our significant accounting policies compared to those contained in our 2009 Form 10-K disclosure for the year ended December 31, 2009.

**Table of Contents**

*(d) Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements*

On July 21, 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses, which requires significant new disclosures about the allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of financing receivables. The requirements are intended to enhance transparency regarding credit losses and the credit quality of loan and lease receivables. Under this statement, allowance for credit losses and fair value are to be disclosed by portfolio segment, while credit quality information, impaired financing receivables and nonaccrual status are to be presented by class of financing receivable. Disclosure of the nature and extent, the financial impact and segment information of troubled debt restructurings will also be required. The disclosures are to be presented at the level of disaggregation that management uses when assessing and monitoring the portfolio's risk and performance. This ASU is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after December 15, 2010. The Company will include these disclosures in the notes to the financial statements beginning in the fourth quarter of 2010.

**Table of Contents****NOTE 2. Stockholders Equity****(a) Earnings Per Common Share**

The following table illustrates the reconciliation of weighted average shares used for earnings per common share computations for the noted periods:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Net income (loss):				
Net income (loss)	\$ 855	\$ 91	\$ 1,551	\$ (503)
Dividends accrued and discount accreted on preferred shares	(332)	(330)	(663)	(659)
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	523	(239)	888	(1,162)
Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities				(6)
Earnings (loss) allocated to common shareholders	\$ 523	\$ (239)	\$ 888	\$ (1,168)
Basic:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding	11,096,841	6,705,979	11,084,405	6,703,288
Less: Restricted stock awards	(85,171)	(89,990)	(77,752)	(89,990)
Total basic weighted average common shares outstanding	11,011,670	6,615,989	11,006,653	6,613,298
Diluted:				
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	11,011,670	6,615,989	11,006,653	6,613,298
Incremental shares from stock options, restricted stock awards and common stock warrant	50,576		46,867	
Weighted average common shares outstanding	11,062,246	6,615,989	11,053,520	6,613,298

Potential dilutive shares are excluded from the computation of earnings per share if their effect is anti-dilutive. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, anti-dilutive shares outstanding related to options and warrants to acquire common stock totaled 537,031 and 544,706, respectively, as the exercise price was in excess of the market value. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company recognized a net loss applicable to common shareholders and therefore all shares outstanding related to options and warrants to acquire common stock and all outstanding restricted stock awards were anti-dilutive and have been excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share.

**(b.) Dividends**

*Common Stock.* The timing and amount of cash dividends paid on our common stock depends on the Company's earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and other relevant factors. In this regard, in the second quarter of 2009, the Company's board of directors decided to suspend the quarterly common stock dividend after reviewing these factors and giving consideration to the current economic environment. Dividends on common stock from the Company depend substantially upon receipt of dividends from the Banks, which are the Company's predominant sources of income.

The FDIC and the Washington DFI have the authority under their supervisory powers to prohibit the payment of dividends by Heritage Bank and Central Valley Bank to the Company. In addition, for a period of three years after the November 21, 2008 closing date of the Securities Purchase Agreement between the Company and the Treasury, the Company cannot, without the consent of the Treasury, declare or pay regular quarterly cash dividends of more than the amount of the October 31, 2008 dividend per common share paid of \$0.14. Other than the specific restrictions mentioned above, current regulations allow the Company and the Banks to pay dividends on their common stock if the Company's or bank's regulatory capital would not be reduced below the statutory capital requirements set by the Federal Reserve Board (the Company's primary bank regulator) and the FDIC, respectively.

## Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

*Preferred Stock.* On November 21, 2008, the Company completed a sale to the Treasury of 24,000 shares of the Company's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, ( preferred shares ), for an aggregate purchase price of \$24.0 million in cash, with a related warrant to purchase 276,074 shares of the Company's common stock for a ten-year period at an exercise price of \$13.04 per share. During September 2009, the Company raised approximately \$46.6 million in a public offering of its common stock, which under the terms of the warrant reduced the number of shares of our common stock underlying the warrant by 50% to 138,037 shares.

The preferred shares pay a cumulative dividend of 5.0% per annum for the first five years and 9.0% per annum thereafter, if not redeemed within the first five years. The preferred securities can be redeemed at their liquidation preference (which is \$1,000 per share), plus all accrued and unpaid dividends. The discount on preferred shares will be accreted over a five-year term. If the preferred shares are redeemed during any period prior to the end of five years the unaccreted portion will be accreted during that period.



**Table of Contents****NOTE 3. Share Based Payment**

Total stock-based compensation expense (excluding ESOP expense) for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
	(In thousands)	
Compensation expense recognized	\$ 285	\$ 266
Related tax benefit recognized	70	74

As of June 30, 2010, the total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested stock awards was \$895,000 and the related weighted average period over which it is expected to be recognized is approximately 2.7 years.

The fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on the assumptions noted in the following table. The expected term of share options was derived from historical data and represents the period of time that share options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. Expected volatility is based on historical volatility of Company shares. Expected dividend yield is based on dividends expected to be paid during the expected term of the share options.

Six months ended	Weighted Average Risk Free Interest Rate	Expected Term in years	Expected Volatility	Expected Dividend Yield	Weighted Average Fair Value
June 30, 2010	2.45%	6.21	32%	2.72%	\$ 3.84
June 30, 2009	2.07%	5.00	31%	3.49%	\$ 2.33

**NOTE 4. Stock Option and Award Activity**

The following table summarizes stock option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

	Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	538,842	\$ 19.34		
Granted	105,082	14.79		
Exercised	(17,268)	11.67		
Forfeited or expired	(75,432)	19.49		
Outstanding at June 30, 2010	551,224	\$ 18.69	4.1	\$ 381
Exercisable at June 30, 2010	373,562	\$ 21.08	2.3	\$ 121

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$43,000.

The following table summarizes restricted stock award activity for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

	Shares	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	65,705	\$ 21.90
Granted	39,951	14.78
Vested	(2,000)	21.44
Forfeited		
Outstanding at June 30, 2010	103,656	\$ 19.17

**Table of Contents****NOTE 5. Investment Securities**

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair values of investment securities at the dates indicated were as follows:

Securities Available for Sale	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	(In thousands)			
<b>June 30, 2010</b>				
U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government agencies	\$ 30,466	\$ 354	\$	\$ 30,820
Municipal securities	7,473	177	(6)	7,644
Corporate securities	10,045	216		10,261
Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations:				
U.S. Government agencies	41,519	1,074	(56)	42,537
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 89,503</b>	<b>\$ 1,821</b>	<b>\$ (62)</b>	<b>\$ 91,262</b>
<b>December 31, 2009</b>				
U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government agencies	\$ 22,986	\$ 52	\$ (80)	\$ 22,958
Municipal securities	7,365	149	(54)	7,460
Corporate securities	10,060	127	(11)	10,176
Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations:				
U.S. Government agencies	49,645	695	(198)	50,142
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 90,056</b>	<b>\$ 1,023</b>	<b>\$ (343)</b>	<b>\$ 90,736</b>
Securities Held to Maturity	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	(In thousands)			
<b>June 30, 2010</b>				
U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government agencies	\$ 1,896	\$ 103	\$	\$ 1,999
Municipal securities	2,949	103		3,052
Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations:				
U.S. Government agencies	7,336	276	(1)	7,611
Private residential collateralized mortgage obligations	2,121	197	(152)	2,166
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 14,302</b>	<b>\$ 679</b>	<b>\$ (153)</b>	<b>\$ 14,828</b>
<b>December 31, 2009</b>				
U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government agencies	\$ 1,443	\$ 15	\$ (14)	\$ 1,444
Municipal securities	1,618	93		1,711
Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations:				
U.S. Government agencies	8,236	172	(57)	8,351
Private residential collateralized mortgage obligations	2,339	70	(270)	2,139
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 13,636</b>	<b>\$ 350</b>	<b>\$ (341)</b>	<b>\$ 13,645</b>

Available for sale and held to maturity investments with unrealized losses as of June 30, 2010 were as follows:

Less than 12 Months

Total

Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	12 Months or Longer		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
			Fair Value	Unrealized Losses		
			(In thousands)			
U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government agencies	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Municipal securities	1,505	6			1,505	6
Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations:						
U.S. Government agencies	6,777	57	31		6,808	57
Private residential collateralized mortgage obligations	23	1	1,539	151	1,562	152
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 8,305	\$ 64	\$ 1,570	\$ 151	\$ 9,875	\$ 215

**Table of Contents**

Available for sale and held to maturity investments with unrealized losses as of December 31, 2009 were as follows:

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
	(In thousands)					
U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government agencies	\$ 20,907	\$ 80	\$ 1,175	\$ 14	\$ 22,082	\$ 94
Municipal securities	2,993	54			2,993	54
Corporate securities	1,982	11			1,982	11
Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations:						
U.S. Government agencies	17,247	254	270	1	17,517	255
Private residential collateralized mortgage obligations	55	12	2,084	258	2,139	270
<b>Total temporarily impaired securities</b>	<b>\$ 43,184</b>	<b>\$ 411</b>	<b>\$ 3,529</b>	<b>\$ 273</b>	<b>\$ 46,713</b>	<b>\$ 684</b>

The Company has evaluated these securities and has determined that the decline in their value is temporary. The unrealized losses are primarily due to unusually large pricing spreads in the market for mortgage-related securities. The fair value of the mortgage backed securities and the collateralized mortgage obligations is expected to recover as the securities approach their maturity date and/or as the pricing spreads narrow on mortgage-related securities. The Company has the ability and intent to hold the investments until recovery of the market value.

The amortized cost and fair value of securities at June 30, 2010, by contractual maturity, are set forth below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Securities Available for Sale	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
	(In thousands)	
Due in one year or less	\$ 3,705	\$ 3,711
Due after one year through three years	39,538	40,158
Due after three years through five years	1,680	1,731
Due after five through ten years	8,849	9,011
Due after ten years	35,731	36,651
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$ 89,503</b>	<b>\$ 91,262</b>

  

Securities Held to Maturity	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
	(In thousands)	
Due after one year through three years	\$ 504	\$ 528
Due after three years through five years	879	939
Due after five years through ten years	3,276	3,407
Due after ten years	9,643	9,954
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$ 14,302</b>	<b>\$ 14,828</b>

Effective June 30, 2009, the Company adopted FASB ASC 320-10-65, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*, which provides for the bifurcation of other-than-temporary impairments into (a) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to a decrease in cash flows expected to be collected from the debt security (the credit loss) or (b) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors. As a result of adopting FASB ASC 320-10-65, the Company recorded \$830,000 in impairments on private residential collateralized mortgage obligations not related to credit losses through other comprehensive income rather than through earnings and \$500,000 in impairments related to credit losses through earnings during the year ended December 31, 2009. The Company also reclassified \$229,000 from retained earnings to other comprehensive income related to impairment charges on private residential collateralized

mortgage obligations at December 31, 2008 and March 31, 2009 that were not due to credit losses.

**Table of Contents**

For the private residential collateralized mortgage obligations we estimated expected future cash flows of the securities by estimating the expected future cash flows of the underlying collateral and applying those collateral cash flows, together with any credit enhancements such as subordination interests owned by third parties, to the security. The expected future cash flows of the underlying collateral are determined using the remaining contractual cash flows adjusted for future expected credit losses (which considers current delinquencies and nonperforming assets, future expected default rates and collateral value by vintage and geographic region) and prepayments. The expected cash flows of the security are then discounted at the interest rate used to recognize interest income on the security to arrive at a present value amount. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, ten private residential collateralized mortgage obligations were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired resulting in the Company recording \$18,000 in impairments on private collateralized mortgage obligations not related to credit losses through other comprehensive income rather than through earnings and \$245,000 in impairments related to credit losses through earnings. The average prepayment rate and discount interest rate used in the valuations of the present value were 6.0% and 10.3%, respectively.

The following table summarizes activity related to the amount of other-than-temporary impairments related to credit losses on held to maturity securities during the six months ended June 30, 2010:

	Gross Other- Than-Temporary Impairments	Other-Than- Temporary Impairments Included in Other Comprehensive Loss (In thousands)	Net Other- Than- Temporary Impairments Included in Earnings
December 31, 2009	\$ 1,999	\$ 1,060	\$ 939
Additions:			
Initial impairments	54	11	43
Subsequent impairments	209	7	202
June 30, 2010	\$ 2,262	\$ 1,078	\$ 1,184

Details of private residential collateralized mortgage obligation securities received in 2008 from the redemption-in-kind of the AMF Ultra Short Mortgage Fund ( Fund ) as of June 30, 2010 were as follows:

Type and Year of Issuance	Par Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Year-to-date Change in		Year-to-date Impairment Charge	Life-to-date Impairment Charge (1)	Ratings				Below Investment Grade
				Aggregate Unrealized Gain (loss)	Unrealized Gain (loss)			AAA	AA	A	BBB	
Alt-A												
2007	\$ 474	\$ 159	\$ 128	\$ (30)	\$ (24)	\$ 59	\$ 177					100%
2006	651	55	85	30	50	116	419					100%
2005	148	87	67	(20)	(12)		19					100%
2004 and earlier	8	8	8					37%	63%			
Total Alt-A	1,281	309	288	(20)	(14)	175	615	1%	2%			97%
Prime												
2008	61	59	56	(3)	7							100%
2007	447	173	187	14	36		137					100%
2006	1,046	699	786	87	71	16	134					100%
2005	844	419	399	(20)	17	11	243			18%		82%

Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

2004 and earlier	670	462	450	(13)	99	43	55	21%	23%	14%	36%	6%
Total Prime	3,068	1,812	1,878	65	230	70	569	5%	6%	4%	13%	72%
Totals	\$ 4,349	\$ 2,121	\$ 2,166	\$ 45	\$ 216	\$ 245	\$ 1,184	5%	5%	3%	11%	76%

(1) Life-to-date impairment charge represents impairment charges recognized subsequent to redemption of the Fund.



**Table of Contents****NOTE 6. Federal Home Loan Bank Stock**

The Banks are required to maintain an investment in the stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank ( FHLB ) of Seattle in an amount equal to the greater of \$500,000 or 0.50% of residential mortgage loans and pass-through securities or an advance requirement to be confirmed on the date of the advance and 5.0% of the outstanding balance of mortgage loans sold to the FHLB of Seattle. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company was required to maintain an investment in the stock of FHLB of Seattle of at least \$848,000 and \$826,000, respectively. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 the Company had an investment in FHLB stock carried at a cost basis (par value) of \$3.6 million.

The FHLB of Seattle had a deterioration in its financial position and as a result had a risk-based capital deficiency under the regulations of its primary federal regulator. Therefore, the Company evaluated its investment in FHLB of Seattle stock for other-than-temporary impairment, consistent with its accounting policy. Based on the Company's evaluation of the underlying investment, including the long-term nature of the investment, the liquidity position of the FHLB of Seattle, the actions being taken by the FHLB of Seattle to address its regulatory situation and the Company's intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to recover the par value, the Company did not recognize an other-than-temporary impairment loss on its FHLB of Seattle stock. Even though the Company did not recognize an other-than-temporary impairment loss on its FHLB of Seattle stock during the six months ended June 30, 2010, further deterioration in the FHLB of Seattle's financial position may result in future impairment losses.

**NOTE 7. Goodwill**

Goodwill represents \$13.0 million of the Company's \$1.01 billion total assets as of June 30, 2010. The goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the net assets acquired in the purchases of North Pacific Bank and Western Washington Bancorp. The Company's goodwill is assigned to Heritage Bank and is evaluated for impairment at the Heritage Bank level (reporting unit). Goodwill is not amortized, but is reviewed for impairment annually and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that might indicate the Company's recorded value is more than its implied value. Such indicators may include, among others: a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the general business climate; significant decline in the Company's stock price and market capitalization; unanticipated competition; and an adverse action or assessment by a regulator. Any adverse changes in these factors could have a significant impact on the recoverability of goodwill and could have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

When required, the goodwill impairment test involves a two-step process. The first test for goodwill impairment is done by comparing the reporting unit's aggregate fair value to its carrying value. Absent other indicators of impairment, if the aggregate fair value exceeds the carrying value, goodwill is not considered impaired and no additional analysis is necessary. If the carrying value of the reporting unit were to exceed the aggregate fair value, a second test would be performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. To measure any impairment loss the implied fair value would be determined in the same manner as if the reporting unit were being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill an impairment charge would be recorded for the difference.

The Company's annual impairment test was performed during the quarter ended December 31, 2009. For the quarter ended June 30, 2010, the Company determined no triggering events had occurred and, therefore, did not conduct an interim impairment test of goodwill. Even though an interim impairment test was not performed during the quarter ended June 30, 2010, events could occur in future reporting periods which would require an interim impairment test and could result in a future impairment charge.

**NOTE 8. Fair Value Measurements**

Because broadly traded markets do not exist for most of the Company's financial instruments, the fair value calculations attempt to incorporate the effect of current market conditions at a specific time. These determinations are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and do not include tax ramifications; therefore, the results cannot be determined with precision, substantiated by comparison to independent markets and may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the instruments. There may be inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, could significantly affect the results. For all of these reasons, the aggregation of the fair value calculations presented herein do not represent, and should not be construed to represent, the underlying value of the Company.

***(a) Cash on Hand and in Banks and Interest Earning Deposits***

The fair value of financial instruments that are short-term or repriced frequently and that have little or no risk are considered to have a fair value equal to carrying value.

***(b) Investment Securities Available for Sale and Held to Maturity***

## Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

The fair value of all investment securities are based upon the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the security. Such assumptions include observable and unobservable inputs such as quoted market prices, dealer quotes and discounted cash flows.

**Table of Contents****(c) Federal Home Loan Bank Stock**

FHLB of Seattle stock is not publicly traded, however the recorded value of the stock holdings approximates the fair value, as the FHLB is required to pay par value upon re-acquiring this stock.

**(d) Loans Receivable and Loans Held for Sale**

For most loans, fair value is estimated using the Company's lending rates that would have been offered on June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 for loans, which mirror the attributes of the loans with similar rate structures and average maturities. Commercial loans and construction loans, which are variable rate and short-term are reflected with fair values equal to carrying value. Impaired loans are measured on a loan by loan basis by either the present value of expected future discounted cash flows, the loan's obtainable market price, or the market value (less selling costs) of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. While these methodologies are permitted under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles or GAAP for this disclosure, the amounts derived are not intended to reflect an exit price of the asset.

**(e) Deposits**

For deposits with no contractual maturity, the fair value is equal to the carrying value. The fair value of fixed maturity deposits is based on discounted cash flows using the difference between the deposit rate and the rates currently offered by the Company for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

**(f) Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase**

Securities sold under agreement to repurchase are short-term in nature, repricing on a daily basis. Fair value financial instruments that are short-term or reprice frequently and that have little or no risk are considered to have a fair value equal to carrying value.

**(g) Other Financial Instruments**

The majority of our commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and commitments to sell mortgage loans carry current market interest rates if converted to loans, as such, carrying value is assumed to equal fair value.

The table below presents the carrying value amount of the Company's financial instruments and their corresponding fair values:

	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
	(In thousands)			
<b><u>Financial Assets</u></b>				
Cash on hand and in banks	\$ 18,464	\$ 18,464	\$ 20,106	\$ 20,106
Interest earning deposits	93,867	93,867	87,125	87,125
Investment securities available for sale	91,262	91,262	90,736	90,736
Investment securities held to maturity	14,302	14,828	13,636	13,645
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,566	3,566	3,566	3,566
Loans receivable and loans held for sale	761,181	781,173	746,908	761,756
<b><u>Financial Liabilities</u></b>				
Deposits:				
Savings, money market and demand	\$ 534,068	\$ 534,068	\$ 536,215	\$ 536,215
Time certificates	294,962	295,704	303,913	304,542
Total deposits	\$ 829,030	\$ 829,772	\$ 840,128	\$ 840,757
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	\$ 15,352	\$ 15,352	\$ 10,440	\$ 10,440

We measure certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. These levels are:

Level 1 Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in active exchange markets, or interest in open-end mutual funds that allow the Company to sell its ownership interest back to the fund at net asset value ( NAV ) on a daily basis. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets, liabilities, or funds.

Level 2 Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in less active dealer, or broker markets, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities or quoted prices in markets that are not active. Level 2 includes U.S. Treasury, U.S. government and agency debt securities, and mortgage-backed securities. Valuations are usually obtained from third party pricing services for comparable assets or liabilities.

**Table of Contents**

Level 3 Valuations for assets and liabilities that are derived from other valuation methodologies, such as option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques, and not based on market exchange, dealer, or broker traded transactions. Level 3 valuations incorporate certain assumptions and projections in determining the fair value assigned to such assets or liabilities.

The following table summarizes the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2010.

	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(In thousands)			
Investment securities available for sale	\$ 91,262	\$	\$ 91,262	\$

The Company may be required, from time to time, to measure certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These adjustments to fair value usually result from application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets. For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and year ended December 31, 2009 that were still held in the balance sheet at the end of such periods, the following tables provide the level of valuation assumptions used to determine each adjustment and the carrying value of the related assets at the dates indicated.

	Fair Value at June 30, 2010				Six Months Ended June 30, 2010
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Losses
	(In thousands)				
Loans receivable (1)	\$ 15,981	\$	\$	\$ 15,981	\$ 7,245
Investment securities held to maturity (2)	40			40	245
Other real estate owned (3)	1,385			1,385	
Total	\$ 17,406	\$	\$	\$ 17,406	\$ 7,490

- (1) At June 30, 2010, a specific reserve of \$7.7 million was recorded on loans receivable identified as impaired. Impairment losses recorded were calculated based on the fair value of the collateral, less the costs to sell. Fair value of the loans collateral is determined by appraisals or independent valuation, which is then adjusted for the cost related to liquidation of the collateral.
- (2) Investment securities held to maturity with a carrying amount of \$303,000 were written down to their fair value of \$40,000 resulting in an impairment charge of \$245,000 to non-interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Impairment losses recorded were determined using cash flow models. We estimated expected future cash flows of the securities by estimating the expected future cash flows of the underlying collateral and applying those collateral cash flows, together with any credit enhancements such as subordination interests owned by third parties, to the security. The expected future cash flows of the underlying collateral are determined using the remaining contractual cash flows adjusted for future expected credit losses (which considers current delinquencies and nonperforming assets, future expected default rates and collateral value by vintage and geographic region) and prepayments. The expected cash flows of the security are then discounted at the interest rate used to recognize interest income on the security to arrive at a present value amount.
- (3) Loans with a carrying amount of \$2.2 million were written down to their fair value of \$1.4 million when they were transferred to other real estate owned during the six months ended June 30, 2010. The resulting losses, to the extent they impacted the provision for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2010, are included total losses for loans receivable for the six months ended June 30, 2010 shown above. The following table summarizes the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2009.

	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(In thousands)			
Investment securities available for sale	\$ 90,736	\$	\$ 90,736	\$

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at December 31, 2009, and the total losses resulting from these fair value adjustments for the year ended December 31, 2009.

	Fair Value at December 31, 2009				Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2009
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Losses
	(In thousands)				
Loans receivable(1)	\$ 12,758	\$	\$	\$ 12,758	\$ 15,904
Investment securities held to maturity(2)	1,078			1,078	500
Other real estate owned(3)	704			704	126
Total	\$ 12,722	\$	\$	\$ 12,722	\$ 16,414

- (1) At December 31, 2009, a specific reserve of \$7.4 million was recorded on loans receivable identified as impaired. Impairment losses recorded were calculated based on the fair value of the collateral, less the costs to sell. Fair value of the loans collateral is determined by appraisals or independent valuation, which is then adjusted for the cost related to liquidation of the collateral.
- (2) Investment securities held to maturity with a carrying amount of \$2.4 million were written down to their fair value of \$1.1 million resulting in an impairment charge of \$500,000 to non-interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2009.
- (3) Other real estate owned with a carrying amount of \$830,000 were written down to their fair value of \$704,000, resulting in impairment charge \$126,000 to non-interest expense. Impairment losses recorded were calculated based on the fair value of the collateral, less the costs to sell. The fair value of the collateral is generally based on estimated market prices from independently prepared appraisals or negotiated sales prices with potential buyers. When a current appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, such valuation inputs result in a fair value measurement that is categorized as a Level 3 measurement. The other real estate owned losses shown above are write-downs based on either an accepted purchase offer by an independent third party received after foreclosure or lowered listing prices based on management's expectation of local market conditions.

**NOTE 9. Subsequent Events**

On July 30, 2010, Heritage announced that its subsidiary, Heritage Bank, acquired most of the non-brokered deposits and certain assets of Cowlitz Bank, including its Bay Bank division, from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ), which was appointed receiver of Cowlitz Bank. The FDIC and Heritage Bank entered into a modified whole bank loss-share transaction to acquire approximately \$280 million of Cowlitz Bank's assets and approximately \$350 million in deposits. The FDIC excluded non-performing loans, other real estate owned and most brokered deposits from the transaction. As a result, Heritage Bank purchased only performing loans in the approximate amount of \$152 million. The acquired loans (other than consumer loans) are subject to 80% loss coverage by the FDIC.

Heritage Bank participated in a competitive bid process with the FDIC. The accepted bid included a 1% deposit premium (excluding brokered and market place deposits) and a negative bid of \$8.8 million on net assets acquired. Heritage Bank received regulatory approval to exercise trust powers and will continue to operate the Trust Division of Cowlitz Bank.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

*The following discussion is intended to assist in understanding the financial condition and results of the Company as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. The information contained in this section should be read with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and its accompanying notes, and the December 31, 2009 audited consolidated financial statements and its accompanying notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.*

**Overview**

Heritage Financial Corporation is a bank holding company, which primarily engages in the business activities of our wholly owned subsidiaries: Heritage Bank and Central Valley Bank. We provide financial services to our local communities with an ongoing strategic focus in expanding our commercial lending relationships, market expansion and a continual focus on asset quality. At June 30, 2010, we had total assets of \$1.0 billion and total stockholders' equity of \$160.8 million. The Company's business activities generally are limited to passive investment activities and oversight of its investment in the Banks. Accordingly, the information set forth in this report relates primarily to the Banks operations.

Our core profitability depends primarily on our net interest income after provision for loan losses. Net interest income is the difference between interest income, which is the income that we earn on interest-earning assets, comprised primarily of loans and investments, and interest expense, the amount we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities, which are primarily deposits and borrowings. The results of our operations may also be affected by local and general economic conditions. Changes in levels of interest rates affect our net interest income. Management strives to match the repricing characteristics of the interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities to protect net interest income from changes in market interest rates and changes in the shape of the yield curve.

The provision for loan losses is dependent on changes in the loan portfolio and management's assessment of the collectability of the loan portfolio as well as prevailing economic and market conditions. The provision for loan losses reflects the amount that the Company believes is adequate to cover potential credit losses in its loan portfolio. Additionally, net income is affected by non-interest income and non-interest expenses. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, non-interest income consisted of service charges on deposits, gains on the sale of loans, merchant Visa income and other operating income. Non-interest expenses consist primarily of salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment, merchant Visa, data processing, professional services, state and local taxes and deposit insurance premiums. Salaries and employee benefits consist primarily of the salaries and wages paid to our employees, payroll taxes, expenses for retirement and other employee benefits. Occupancy and equipment expenses, which are the fixed and variable costs of building and equipment, consist primarily of lease payments, taxes, depreciation charges, maintenance and costs of utilities.

Results of operations may also be affected significantly by general and local economic and competitive conditions, changes in market interest rates, governmental policies and actions of regulatory authorities.

Net interest income is affected by changes in the volume and mix of interest earning assets, interest earned on those assets, the volume and mix of interest bearing liabilities and interest paid on interest bearing liabilities. Other income and other expenses are impacted by growth of operations and growth in the number of loan and deposit accounts through both acquisitions and core banking business growth. Growth in operations affects other expenses primarily as a result of additional employees, branch facilities and marketing expense. Growth in the number of loan and deposit accounts affects other income, including service charges as well as other expenses such as data processing services, supplies, postage, telecommunications and other miscellaneous expenses.

Our business consists primarily of lending and deposit relationships with small businesses and their owners in our market areas and attracting deposits from the general public. We also make residential and commercial construction, multi-family and commercial real estate and consumer loans and originate for sale or investment purposes first mortgage loans on residential properties located in western and central Washington State.

**Earnings Summary**

Net income available to common shareholders was \$0.05 per diluted common share for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to a net loss of \$0.04 per diluted common share for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was \$855,000 compared to net income of \$91,000 for the same period in 2009. The increase was the result of a \$1.4 million decrease in the provision for loan losses and an increase of \$431,000 in net interest income partially offset by a decrease of \$136,000 in noninterest income and an increase of \$448,000 in noninterest expense. Net income available to common shareholders was \$0.08 per diluted common share for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to a net loss of \$0.18 per diluted common share for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$1.6 million compared to a net loss of \$503,000 for the same period in 2009. The increase was the result of a \$2.9 million decrease in the provision for loan losses and an increase of \$1.02 million in net interest income partially offset by a

## Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

\$16,000 decrease in noninterest income and an increase of \$644,000 in non-interest expense. As a result the Company's efficiency ratio increased to 65.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from 63.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and remained the same for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 at 64.3%.



**Table of Contents****Net Interest Income**

Net interest income increased \$431,000, or 4.2%, to \$10.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared with the same period in 2009 of \$10.3 million. Net interest income increased \$1.0 million, or 5.0%, to \$21.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared with the same period in 2009 of \$20.4 million. The increase in net interest income for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 resulted primarily from a decrease in interest expense due to lower cost of funds, partially offset by a decrease in interest income as a result of lower yields on interest-earning assets. Net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets (net interest margin) for the three months ended June 30, 2010 increased to 4.60% from 4.59% for the same period in 2009. Net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets (net interest margin) for the six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased to 4.59% from 4.64% for the same period in 2009.

The following table provides relevant net interest income information for selected time periods. The average loan balances presented in the table are net of allowances for loan losses. Nonaccrual loans have been included in the tables as loans carrying a zero yield. Yields on tax-exempt securities and loans have not been stated on a tax-equivalent basis.

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,					
	2010			2009		
	Average Balance	Interest Earned/Paid	Average Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest Earned/Paid	Average Yield/Rate
	(Dollars in thousands)					
<b>Interest Earning Assets:</b>						
Loans	\$ 733,233	\$ 11,903	6.51%	\$ 767,710	\$ 12,637	6.60%
Taxable securities	97,662	675	2.77%	54,060	558	4.14%
Nontaxable securities	9,971	78	3.14%	6,869	58	3.36%
Interest earning deposits	93,512	60	0.26%	71,228	56	0.32%
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,566		0.00%	3,566		0.00%
Total interest earning assets	\$ 937,944	\$ 12,716	5.44%	\$ 903,433	\$ 13,309	5.91%
Non-interest earning assets	70,831			64,348		
Total assets	\$ 1,008,775			\$ 967,781		
<b>Interest Bearing Liabilities:</b>						
Certificates of deposit	\$ 294,400	\$ 1,285	1.75%	\$ 321,851	\$ 2,059	2.57%
Savings accounts	83,726	124	0.59%	82,073	206	1.01%
Interest bearing demand and money market accounts	330,309	520	0.63%	322,468	703	0.87%
Total interest bearing deposits	708,435	1,929	1.09%	726,392	2,968	1.64%
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	13,457	21	0.63%	2,988	6	0.75%
Total interest bearing liabilities	\$ 721,892	\$ 1,950	1.08%	\$ 729,380	\$ 2,974	1.64%
Demand and other non-interest bearing deposits	122,270			119,985		
Other non-interest bearing liabilities	3,318			5,051		
Stockholders' equity	161,295			113,365		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,008,775			\$ 967,781		
Net interest income		\$ 10,766			\$ 10,335	
Net interest spread			4.35%			4.27%
Net interest margin			4.60%			4.59%
Average interest earning assets to average interest bearing liabilities			129.93%			123.86%



**Table of Contents**

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2010			2009		
	Average	Interest	Average	Average	Interest	Average
	Balance	Earned/ Paid	Rate	Balance	Earned/ Paid	Rate
(Dollars in thousands)						
<b>Interest Earning Assets:</b>						
Loans	\$ 735,648	\$ 23,873	6.54%	\$ 775,371	\$ 25,533	6.64%
Taxable securities	95,946	1,420	2.98%	45,676	1,005	4.44%
Nontaxable securities	9,516	151	3.20%	6,575	112	3.44%
Interest earning deposits	96,006	120	0.25%	56,955	100	0.36%
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,566		0.00%	3,566		0.00%
Total interest earning assets	\$ 940,682	\$ 25,564	5.48%	\$ 888,143	\$ 26,750	6.07%
Non-interest earning assets	70,111			68,877		
Total assets	\$ 1,010,793			\$ 957,020		
<b>Interest Bearing Liabilities:</b>						
Certificates of deposit	\$ 296,125	\$ 2,771	1.89%	\$ 329,751	\$ 4,307	2.63%
Savings accounts	83,548	256	0.62%	91,418	516	1.14%
Interest bearing demand and money market accounts	331,077	1,065	0.65%	300,534	1,508	1.01%
Total interest bearing deposits	710,750	4,092	1.16%	721,703	6,331	1.77%
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	12,282	41	0.67%	1,502	6	0.75%
Total interest bearing liabilities	\$ 723,032	\$ 4,133	1.15%	\$ 723,205	\$ 6,337	1.77%
Demand and other non-interest bearing deposits	123,442			115,062		
Other non-interest bearing liabilities	3,635			5,083		
Stockholders equity	160,684			113,670		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,010,793			\$ 957,020		
Net interest income		\$ 21,431			\$ 20,413	
Net interest spread			4.33%			4.31%
Net interest margin			4.59%			4.64%

Average interest earning assets to average interest bearing liabilities

130.10%

122.81%

Total interest income decreased \$593,000, or 4.5%, to \$12.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$13.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 as the yield on interest earning assets decreased to 5.44% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from 5.91% for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Total interest income decreased \$1.2 million, or 4.4%, to \$25.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$26.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as the yield on interest earning assets decreased to 5.48% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from 6.07% for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease in the yield on earning assets for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 reflects the significant changes in Federal Reserve monetary policy actions beginning in September 2007 to aggressively lower short-term interest rates and more recently to maintain the very low level of interest rates. Total average interest earning assets (including nonaccrual loans) increased by \$34.5 million to \$937.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$903.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease in interest income was due partially to an increase in nonaccrual loans. Nonaccruing loans reduced the yield earned on loans by approximately 27 basis points in the second quarter of 2010 compared to approximately 13 basis points in the second quarter of 2009. Total average interest earning assets (including nonaccrual loans) increased by \$52.5 million to \$940.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$888.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease in interest income was due partially to an increase in nonaccrual loans. Nonaccruing loans reduced the yield earned on loans by approximately 23 basis points for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to approximately 11 basis points in the same period of 2009. Nonaccrual loans totaled \$29.3 million at June 30, 2010 as compared to \$19.5 million at June 30, 2009.

**Table of Contents**

Total interest expense decreased by \$1.0 million, or 34.4%, to \$2.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$3.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 as the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities decreased to 1.08% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from 1.64% for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Total interest expense decreased by \$2.2 million, or 34.8%, to \$4.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$6.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities decreased to 1.15% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from 1.77% for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Total average interest bearing liabilities decreased by \$173,000 to \$723.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$723.2 million for the six months ending June 30, 2009. Deposit interest expense decreased \$1.0 million, or 35.0%, to \$1.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$3.0 million for the same quarter last year. Deposit interest expense decreased \$2.2 million, or 35.4%, to \$4.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$6.3 million for the same period last year. The decrease in deposit interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 is mostly a result of a 55 and 61 basis point decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing deposits reflecting the relatively low interest rate environment.

***Provision for Loan Losses***

The provision for loan losses decreased \$1.4 million, or 30.6%, to \$3.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$4.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The provision for loan losses decreased \$2.9 million, or 29.5%, to \$6.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$9.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The Banks had net charge-offs of \$1.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to net charge-offs of \$1.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The Banks had net charge-offs of \$6.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to net charge-offs of \$1.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The ratio of net charge-offs to average total loans outstanding was 0.89% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 0.19% for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The increased amount of charge-offs for the three and six months ended were due mostly to the work through of several construction and commercial loans that were nonperforming as of December 31, 2010.

The Banks have established comprehensive methodologies for determining the provisions for loan losses. On a quarterly basis the Banks perform an analysis taking into consideration pertinent factors underlying the quality of the loan portfolio. These factors include changes in the amount and composition of the loan portfolio, historical loss experience for various loan segments, changes in economic conditions, delinquency rates, a detailed analysis of individual loans on nonaccrual status, and other factors to determine the level of the allowance for loan losses. The allowance for loan losses increased by \$104,000 to \$26.3 million at June 30, 2010 from \$26.2 million at December 31, 2009. The increased level of the allowance for loan losses was primarily attributable to an increase in the expected loss allocated to non-performing loans offset by decreases in performing loans classified as potential problem loans and total loans. As of June 30, 2010, we had identified \$29.9 million of impaired loans, including \$10.8 million of restructured loans. Of those impaired loans, \$5.4 million have no allowances for credit losses as their estimated collateral value is equal to or exceeds their carrying costs. The remaining \$24.5 million have related allowances for credit losses totaling \$7.7 million.

Based on the comprehensive methodology, management deemed the allowance for loan losses of \$26.3 million at June 30, 2010 (3.5% of total loans and 88.4% of nonperforming loans) adequate to provide for probable losses based on an evaluation of known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio at that date. While the Banks believe they have established their existing allowance for loan losses in accordance with GAAP, there can be no assurance that regulators, in reviewing the Banks' loan portfolio, will not request the Banks to increase significantly their allowance for loan losses. In addition, because future events affecting borrowers and collateral cannot be predicted with certainty, there can be no assurance that the existing allowance for loan losses is adequate or that increased provisions will not be necessary should the quality of the loans deteriorate. Any material increase in the allowance for loan losses would adversely affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

***Non-interest Income***

Total non-interest income decreased \$136,000, or 6.0%, to \$2.1 million, for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared with the same period in 2009. Total non-interest income decreased \$16,000, or 0.4%, to \$4.3 million, for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared with the same period in 2009. The decrease for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same three month period in 2009 was due substantially to a decrease of \$70,000 in the gain on sale of loans due to less loan sale activity and a decrease of \$142,000 in the gain on sale of other real estate owned due to property sales made in the second quarter of 2009. The decrease for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same six month period in 2009 was also due substantially to a decrease in the gain on sale of loans and the gain on sale of other real estate owned by \$101,000 and \$87,000, respectively.

***Non-interest Expense***

Non-interest expense increased \$448,000 or 5.6% to \$8.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$8.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Non-interest expense increased \$644,000 or 4.0% to \$16.6 million during the six months ended June 30,

## Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

2010 compared to \$15.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The increase for the three months was primarily due to increased salaries and benefits expense in the amount of \$503,000, increased marketing expense in the amount of \$189,000 resulting from additional expense associated with a checking acquisition program and increased professional services in the amount of \$156,000 due to additional legal and consulting expenses. These increases were partially offset by a \$404,000 decline in Federal Deposit Insurance mostly due to a special assessment imposed in 2009. The \$55,000 impairment loss on investment securities

**Table of Contents**

recorded during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 and \$245,000 impairment loss on investment securities recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2010 was the result of other-than-temporary impairment charge on the private residential collateralized mortgage obligations received in the redemption-in-kind of the AMF Ultra Short Mortgage Fund. The increase for the six months ended was due to increased salaries and benefits expense in the amount of \$687,000, increased marketing expense in the amount of \$174,000 resulting from additional expense associated with a checking acquisition program and increased professional services in the amount of \$302,000 mostly related to legal and consulting expense. These increases were partially offset by a \$195,000 decline in Federal Deposit Insurance mostly due to a special assessment imposed in the second quarter of 2009 and a decline in other expenses due to an assessment during the first quarter of 2009 in the amount of \$239,000 from the Washington Public Deposit Protection Commission due to uncollateralized public deposits of a failed bank.

The efficiency ratio for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 was 65.7% compared to 63.7% for the same period in the prior year. The increase was mostly related to the increase in non-interest expense. The efficiency ratio for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 was 64.3%. The efficiency ratio consists of non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income before provision for loan losses plus non-interest income.

**Table of Contents****Federal Income Tax Expense (Benefit)**

The provision for federal income taxes increased by \$473,000 to an expense of \$423,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from a net benefit of \$50,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The provision for federal income taxes increased by \$1.2 million to an expense of \$723,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from a net benefit of \$471,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Increases for both the three and six months ended were primarily a result of higher income before taxes. The Company's effective tax rate was 33.1% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 122.0% for the same period in 2009. The Company's effective tax rate was 31.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 48.4% for the same period in 2009. The decrease in the effective tax rate for both the three and six months ended was primarily attributable to an increased percentage of income (loss) before taxes that was non-taxable.

**Financial Condition Data**

Total assets decreased \$5.1 million, or 0.5%, to \$1.010 billion as of June 30, 2010 from the December 31, 2009 balance of \$1.015 billion. For the same period, net loans, which exclude loans held for sale but are net of the allowance for loan losses, decreased \$11.2 million, or 1.5%, to \$734.9 million as of June 30, 2010 from \$746.1 million at December 31, 2009 as a result of a decline in new originations and continuing payoffs on existing loans, transfers to other real estate own and charge offs. Deposits decreased \$11.1 million, or 1.3%, to \$829.0 million as of June 30, 2010 from the December 31, 2009 balance of \$840.1 million mostly due to a reduction in certificates of deposit. Securities sold under agreement to repurchase increased \$4.9 million, or 47.1%, to \$15.3 million as of June 30, 2010 from the December 31, 2009 balance of \$10.4 million mostly due to the addition of new customers.

Total stockholders' equity increased by \$2.3 million, or 1.5%, to \$160.8 million as of June 30, 2010 from the December 31, 2009 balance of \$158.5 million as a result of net income of \$1.6 million, change in fair value of securities available for sale, net of tax, in amount of \$701,000, exercise of stock options in the amount of \$202,000 and share based payment and earned ESOP in the amount of \$240,000, partially offset by preferred cash dividends of \$600,000. The Company's capital position remains strong at 15.9% of total assets as of June 30, 2010, an increase from 15.6% at December 31, 2009.

**Lending Activities**

As indicated in the table below, total loans (including loans held for sale) decreased \$11.9 million to \$761.2 million at June 30, 2010 from \$773.1 million at December 31, 2009. The largest declines in our loan portfolio occurred in the real estate construction portfolio in the amount of \$21.9 million as a result of a combination of net charge offs in the amount of \$3.8 million, transfers to other real estate owned of \$2.4 million and loan payoffs. Commercial loan balances increased \$10.6 million due substantially to an increase in commercial term loans, agricultural loans and SBA loans.

	At June 30, 2010	% of Total (Dollars in thousands)	At December 31, 2009	% of Total
Commercial	\$ 419,248	55.1%	\$ 408,622	52.8%
Real estate mortgages				
One-to-four family residential	50,332	6.6	54,448	7.0
Five or more family residential and commercial properties	198,403	26.1	194,613	25.2
Total real estate mortgages	248,735	32.7	249,061	32.2
Real estate construction				
One-to-four family residential	34,696	4.6	46,060	6.0
Five or more family residential and commercial properties	39,129	5.1	49,665	6.4
Total real estate construction	73,825	9.7	95,725	12.4
Consumer	20,916	2.7	21,261	2.8
Gross loans	762,724	100.2	774,669	100.2

Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

Less: deferred loan fees	(1,543)	(0.2)	(1,597)	(0.2)
Total loans	\$ 761,181	100.0%	\$ 773,072	100.0%



**Table of Contents****Nonperforming Assets**

The following table describes our nonperforming assets for the dates indicated.

	At June 30, 2010	At December 31, 2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Nonaccrual loans:		
Commercial	\$ 5,251	\$ 7,266
Real estate construction	23,943	25,288
Consumer	128	
<b>Total nonaccrual loans (1)</b>	<b>29,322</b>	<b>32,554</b>
Restructured loans:		
Real estate mortgages	408	425
<b>Total nonperforming loans</b>	<b>29,730</b>	<b>32,979</b>
Other real estate owned	1,590	704
<b>Total nonperforming assets</b>	<b>\$ 31,320</b>	<b>\$ 33,683</b>
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 1,075	\$ 277
Potential problem loans	36,116	45,848
Allowance for loan losses	26,268	26,164
Nonperforming loans to total loans	3.91%	4.27%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	3.45%	3.38%
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	88.36%	79.34%
Nonperforming assets to total assets	3.10%	3.32%

(1) At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, nonaccrual loans of \$8.9 million and \$17.0 million, respectively, were considered troubled debt restructures.

Nonperforming assets decreased to \$31.3 million, or 3.10% of total assets, at June 30, 2010 from \$33.7 million, or 3.32% of total assets, at December 31, 2009 due to a decrease in nonperforming loans. The decrease of \$3.2 million in nonperforming loans was primarily attributable to \$7.2 million in charge-offs of which \$3.1 million related to nonperforming commercial loans and \$4.1 million related to nonperforming construction loans. In addition, nonperforming construction loan balances totaling \$2.4 million were transferred to other real estate owned during the six months ended June 30, 2010. This decrease in total nonperforming loans was partially offset by a \$2.9 million addition of a residential construction development loan in Mason County, Washington and a \$10.0 addition of residential construction development loans to one borrower in Pierce County, Washington. These loans were reported as a potential problem loans at December 31, 2009 and are the primary reason for the \$9.7 million decrease in potential problem loans during the six months ended June 30, 2010. Potential problem loans as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were \$36.1 million and \$45.8 million, respectively. Potential problem loans are those loans that are currently accruing interest and are not considered impaired, but which we are monitoring because the financial information of the borrower causes us concerns as to their ability to comply with their loan repayment terms. Loans that are past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest are both well secured and in the process of collection.

At June 30, 2010, our largest nonperforming relationship to one borrower had nonaccrual loans in the amount of \$9.9 million. The loans, which were categorized as potential problem loans at December 31, 2009, are to a builder/developer of a condominium project in Pierce County, Washington. While the Company has appraisals which justify current carrying values, due to the slow rate at which the individual units are selling, these loans were placed on nonaccrual status. While at this time we believe we have adequately reserved for these loans, should property values continue to deteriorate below their current values, additional loss provisions may be necessary.

## Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

At June 30, 2010, our second largest non-performing relationship to one borrower had nonaccrual loans in the amount of \$8.4 million. These loans, which were placed on nonaccrual status in 2009, are to a builder/developer of single family homes/lots primarily in Pierce County Washington. Pierce County has had a significant slowdown in home sales and this slowdown has affected the borrower's ability to sell lots and repay the loans as originally planned. We believe we are adequately reserved for these loans at this time.

**Table of Contents*****Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses***

Management maintains an allowance for loan losses to absorb estimated credit losses associated with the loan portfolio. We determine the adequacy of the allowance through our ongoing quarterly loan quality assessments.

We assess the estimated credit losses inherent in our non-classified and classified loan portfolio by considering a number of elements including:

Historical loss experience in the portfolio;

Levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans;

Levels and trends in charge-offs and recoveries;

Effects of changes in risk selection and underwriting standards, and other changes in lending policies, procedures and practices;

Experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff;

National and local economic trends and conditions;

External factors such as competition, legal and regulatory; and

Effects of changes in credit concentrations.

We calculate the allowance for the non-classified and classified portion of our loan portfolio based on an appropriate percentage loss factor that is calculated based on the above-noted elements and trends. We may record specific provisions for each impaired loan after a careful analysis of that loan's credit and collateral factors. Our analysis of an adequate allowance combines the provisions made for our non-classified loans, classified loans, and the specific provisions made for each impaired loan.

While we believe we use the best information available to determine the allowance for loan losses, our results of operations could be significantly affected if circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used in determining the allowance. A further decline in local and national economic conditions, or other factors, could result in a material increase in the allowance for loan losses and may adversely affect the Company's financial conditions and results of operations. In addition, the determination of the amount of the allowance for loan losses is subject to review by bank regulators, as part of the routine examination process, which may result in the establishment of additional reserves based upon their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

The following table summarizes the changes in our allowance for loan losses:

	Three Months Ended,		Six Months Ended,	
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Total loans outstanding at end of period (1)	\$ 761,181	\$ 784,867	\$ 761,181	\$ 784,867
Average total loans outstanding during period (1)	758,791	787,687	761,832	793,027
Allowance balance at beginning of period	24,797	20,155	26,164	15,423

Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

Provision for loan losses	3,150	4,540	6,900	9,790
<b>Charge offs:</b>				
Commercial	(103)	(960)	(3,082)	(1,462)
Real estate mortgages				
Real estate construction	(1,625)	(3)	(4,053)	(3)
Consumer	(8)	(106)	(38)	(143)
<b>Total charge offs</b>	<b>(1,736)</b>	<b>(1,069)</b>	<b>(7,173)</b>	<b>(1,608)</b>
<b>Recoveries:</b>				
Commercial	2		118	
Real estate mortgages		1		1
Real estate construction	45		235	
Consumer	10	80	24	101
<b>Total recoveries</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>Net charge offs</b>	<b>(1,679)</b>	<b>(988)</b>	<b>(6,796)</b>	<b>(1,506)</b>
<b>Allowance balance at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 26,268</b>	<b>\$ 23,707</b>	<b>\$ 26,268</b>	<b>\$ 23,707</b>
<b>Allowance for loan losses to total loans</b>	<b>3.45%</b>	<b>3.02%</b>	<b>3.45%</b>	<b>3.02%</b>
<b>Ratio of net charge offs during period to average total loans outstanding</b>	<b>(0.22)%</b>	<b>(0.13)%</b>	<b>(0.89)%</b>	<b>(0.19)%</b>

(1) Excludes loans held for sale.

**Table of Contents**

The allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2010 increased \$104,000 to \$26.3 million from \$26.2 million at December 31, 2009. The increase was due to the provision for loan losses exceeding net charge-offs during the six months ended and an increase in the provision for nonperforming loans and potential problem loans, partially offset by a decrease in loans receivable. Nonperforming loans to total loans decreased to 3.91% at June 30, 2010 from 4.27% as December 31, 2009 and the allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans increased to 88.36% at June 30, 2010 from 79.34% at December 31, 2009. Potential problem loans decreased \$9.7 million to \$36.1 million at June 30, 2010 from \$45.8 million at December 31, 2009. Based on management's assessment of loan quality and current economic conditions, the Company believes that its allowance for loan losses was adequate to absorb the known and inherent risks of loss in the loan portfolio at June 30, 2010.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our primary sources of funds are customer deposits, loan principal and interest payments, loan sales, interest earned on and proceeds from sales and maturities of investment securities, and advances from the FHLB of Seattle. These funds, together with retained earnings, equity and other borrowed funds, are used to make loans, acquire investment securities and other assets, and fund continuing operations. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans are a predictable source of funds, deposit flows and prepayments are greatly influenced by the level of interest rates, economic conditions, and competition. In addition to customer deposits, management may utilize the use of brokered deposits on an as-needed basis.

As indicated in the table below, total deposits decreased to \$829.0 million at June 30, 2010 from \$840.1 million at December 31, 2009.

	June 30, 2010	% of Total (Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2009	% of Total
Non-interest demand deposits	\$ 123,468	14.9%	\$ 133,169	15.8%
NOW accounts	224,174	27.0	211,509	25.2
Money market accounts	105,812	12.8	113,332	13.5
Savings accounts	80,614	9.7	78,205	9.3
<b>Total non-maturity deposits</b>	<b>534,068</b>	<b>64.4</b>	<b>536,215</b>	<b>63.8</b>
Certificate of deposit accounts	294,962	35.6	303,913	36.2
<b>Total deposits</b>	<b>\$ 829,030</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 840,128</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Since December 31, 2009, non-maturity deposits (total deposits less certificate of deposit accounts) have decreased \$2.1 million and certificate of deposit accounts have decreased \$9.0 million mostly due to the attrition of some certificates of deposits. As a result, the percentage of certificate of deposit accounts to total deposits decreased to 35.6% at June 30, 2010 from 36.2% at December 31, 2009.

Borrowings may also be used on a short-term basis to compensate for reductions in other sources of funds (such as deposit inflows at less than projected levels). Borrowings may also be used on a longer-term basis to support expanded lending activities and match the maturity of repricing intervals of assets. In addition, the Company is utilizing repurchase agreements as a supplement to our funding sources. Our repurchase agreements are secured by available for sale investment securities. At June 30, 2010, the Banks had securities sold under agreement to repurchase totaling \$15.4 million, an increase of \$4.9 million from \$10.4 million at December 31, 2009 due mostly to the addition of new customers.

We must maintain an adequate level of liquidity to ensure the availability of sufficient funds to fund loan originations and deposit withdrawals, satisfy other financial commitments, and fund operations. We generally maintain sufficient cash and short-term investments to meet short-term liquidity needs. At June 30, 2010, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$112.3 million, or 11.1% of total assets and investment securities classified as either available for sale or held to maturity with maturities of one year or less amounted to \$3.7 million, or 0.4% of total assets. At June 30, 2010, the Banks maintained an uncommitted credit facility with the FHLB of Seattle for \$148.8 million and an uncommitted credit facility with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco for \$55.1 million. The Banks also maintain advance lines with Key Bank, US Bank and Pacific Coast Bankers Bank to purchase federal funds totaling \$22.8 million as of June 30, 2010. There were no borrowings outstanding other than repurchase agreements as of June 30, 2010.

Stockholders' equity at June 30, 2010 was \$160.8 million compared with \$158.5 million at December 31, 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company accrued preferred stock dividends of \$600,000, realized net income of \$1.6 million, recorded \$701,000 in

Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

unrealized gains on securities available for sale, net of tax, and realized the effects of exercising stock options, stock option compensation and earned ESOP and restricted stock shares totaling \$551,000.

**Table of Contents****Capital Requirements**

The Company is a bank holding company under the supervision of the Federal Reserve Bank. Bank holding companies are subject to capital adequacy requirements of the Federal Reserve Board under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and the regulations of the Federal Reserve Board. Heritage Bank and Central Valley Bank are federally insured institutions and thereby subject to the capital requirements established by the FDIC. The Federal Reserve Board requirements generally parallel the FDIC requirements. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial statements and operations.

Pursuant to minimum capital requirements of the FDIC, Heritage Bank and Central Valley Bank are required to maintain a leverage ratio (capital to assets ratio) of 3% and risk-based capital ratios of Tier 1 capital and total capital (to total risk-weighted assets) of 4% and 8%, respectively. As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, Heritage Bank and Central Valley Bank were all classified as well capitalized under applicable regulatory capital guidelines.

	Minimum Requirements		Well-Capitalized Requirements		Actual	
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
<b>(Dollars in thousands)</b>						
<b>As of June 30, 2010:</b>						
The Company consolidated						
Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets	\$ 29,864	3.0%	\$ 49,773	5.0%	\$ 146,901	14.8%
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets	29,139	4.0	43,709	6.0	146,901	20.2
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	58,278	8.0	72,848	10.0	156,219	21.4
Heritage Bank						
Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets	25,212	3.0	42,020	5.0	102,049	12.1
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets	24,788	4.0	37,182	6.0	102,049	16.5
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	49,576	8.0	61,970	10.0	109,994	17.7
Central Valley Bank						
Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets	4,633	3.0	7,722	5.0	14,791	9.6
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets	4,326	4.0	6,488	6.0	14,791	13.7
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	8,651	8.0	10,814	10.0	16,156	14.9
<b>As of December 31, 2009:</b>						
The Company consolidated						
Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets	\$ 30,276	3.0	\$ 50,460	5.0	\$ 145,354	14.6%
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets	29,956	4.0	44,933	6.0	145,354	19.4
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	59,911	8.0	74,889	10.0	154,923	20.7
Heritage Bank						
Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets	25,709	3.0	42,848	5.0	100,751	11.8
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets	25,851	4.0	38,776	6.0	100,751	15.6
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	51,701	8.0	64,627	10.0	109,024	16.9
Central Valley Bank						
Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets	4,545	3.0	7,575	5.0	13,762	9.1
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets	4,075	4.0	6,113	6.0	13,762	13.5
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	8,151	8.0	10,188	10.0	15,048	14.8

Quarterly, we review the potential payment of cash dividends to common shareholders. The timing and amount of cash dividends paid on our common stock depends on the Company's earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and other relevant factors. In this regard, in the second quarter of 2009, the Company's board of directors decided to suspend the quarterly common stock dividend after reviewing these factors and giving consideration to the current economic environment and to preserve our strong capital position.

**ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Our results of operations are highly dependent upon our ability to manage interest rate risk. We consider interest rate risk to be a significant market risk that could have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Interest rate risk is measured and assessed on a quarterly basis. In our opinion, there has not been a material change in our interest rate risk exposure since the information disclosed in our annual report for the year-ended at December 31, 2009.

We do not maintain a trading account for any class of financial instrument nor do we engage in hedging activities or purchase high-risk derivative instruments. Moreover, we have no material risk with foreign currency exchange rate risk or commodity price risk.



**Table of Contents****ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures**

(a) *Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.* An evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedure (as defined in Section 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")) was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the Company's Disclosure Committee as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. In designing and evaluating the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Based on their evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2010 are effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Act is (i) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management (including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) in a timely manner, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

(b) *Changes in internal control over financial reporting.* There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Act) that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2010, that have materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The Company does not expect that its internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control procedure, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control procedure are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control procedures, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any control procedure also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control procedure, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

The Company is a party to certain legal proceedings incidental to its business. Management believes that the outcome of such currently pending proceedings, in the aggregate, will not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There have been no material changes to the risk factors set forth in Part I. Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, except as described below:

On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("the Act"). The Act will institute far-reaching reforms, including the creation of an independent Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection inside the Federal Reserve Board and new federal government power to wind down large, failing financial institutions.

The Act permanently raises the current standard maximum deposit insurance amount to \$250,000. The standard maximum insurance amount of \$100,000 had been temporarily raised to \$250,000 until December 31, 2013. This permanent increase in deposit insurance limits could increase the Company's future insurance assessments.

The Act requires the Federal Reserve to issue regulations to ensure that fees charged to merchants for debit card transactions are reasonable and proportional to the cost of processing those transactions. While institutions with less than \$10 billion in assets are exempt from these regulations, the effect of competition on the fee levels has the potential for making that illusory. In all likelihood, all banks will see a loss of revenue from changes that will occur with interchange fees.

## Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

The Act will establish a 10-member Financial Stability Oversight Council. The duties of this council include monitoring financial regulatory proposals and accounting issues, facilitating coordination among the regulatory agencies, requiring Federal Reserve supervision of systemically significant non-bank financial companies, recommending new standards and reviewing accounting principles.

**Table of Contents**

The Act places new limits, known as the Volcker Rule, on the amount of money a bank can invest in hedge funds and private equity funds. It also discourages financial institutions from excessive risk-taking by imposing tough new capital and leverage requirements. Further, it allows the Government Accountability Office to conduct a one-time audit of the Federal Reserve's emergency lending activities during the financial crisis and establishes the Federal Insurance Office to supervise insurance products, other than health insurance, at the Federal level.

Other provisions will establish closer oversight of the over-the-counter derivatives market, including mandatory clearing and trading and real-time reporting of derivatives trades. Among other measures, the bill will institute numerous investor protections, including stricter oversight of credit rating agencies, securitization reforms and expanded Securities and Exchange Commission enforcement powers. The legislation establishes mortgage protections requiring lenders to ensure that their borrowers can repay their loans by establishing minimum federal standards for all home loans.

No assurance can be given as to the ultimate effect that the Act, or any of its provisions, may have on the Company, the financial services industry or the nation's economy.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**  
None

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**  
None

**Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]**

**Item 5. Other Information**  
None

**Table of Contents**

**Item 6. Exhibits**

**Exhibit**

<b>No.</b>	
3.1	Articles of Incorporation(1)
3.2	Bylaws of the Company(2)
4.1	Form of Certificate for Preferred Stock(3)
4.2	Warrant for purchase(3)
10.1	1998 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Award Plan(4)
10.6	1997 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Award Plan(5)
10.10	2002 Incentive Stock Option Plan, Director Nonqualified Stock Option Plan, and Restricted Stock Option Plan(6)
10.12	2006 Incentive Stock Option Plan, Director Nonqualified Stock Option Plan, and Restricted Stock Option Plan(7)
10.13	Employment Agreement between the Company and Brian L. Vance, effective October 1, 2006 as amended and restated in February 2007(8)
10.14	Employment Agreement between Central Valley Bank and D. Michael Broadhead, effective April 1, 2007(8)
10.16	Severance Agreement between Heritage Bank and Gregory D. Patjens, effective April 1, 2007(8)
10.17	Severance Agreement between Heritage Bank and Donald J. Hinson, effective August 1, 2007(9)
10.18	Letter Agreement between Heritage Financial Corporation and the United States Department of the Treasury dated November 21, 2008 in connection with the Company's participation in the Troubled Asset Relief Program Capital Purchase Program, and related documents(3)
10.19	Letter of Understanding between Heritage Financial Corporation and Donald V. Rhodes dated August 18, 2009(10)
10.20	Annual Incentive Compensation Plan(12)
10.21	Compensation Modification Agreements between Heritage Financial Corporation and Brian L. Vance, Donald V. Rhodes, Donald J. Hinson, D. Michael Broadhead, Gregory Patjens and Dave Spurling dated September 29, 2009(11)
14.0	Code of Ethics and Conduct Policy(12)
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Principal Financial Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Reg. No. 333-35573) declared effective on November 12, 1997; as amended, said Amendment being incorporated by reference to the Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Heritage Financial Corporation filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 25, 2008.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 29, 2007.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 25, 2008.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Reg. No. 333-71415).
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Reg. No. 333-57513).
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Reg. No. 333-88980; 333-88982; 333-88976).
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Reg. No. 333-134473; 333-134474; 333-134475).
- (8) Incorporated by reference to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated May 1, 2007.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated November 2, 2007.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 20, 2009.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 2, 2009.

(12) Incorporated by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 2, 2010.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Date: August 3, 2010

/s/ **BRIAN L. VANCE**  
**Brian L. Vance**  
**President and Chief Executive Officer**

**(Duly Authorized Officer)**

/s/ **DONALD J. HINSON**  
**Donald J. Hinson**  
**Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer**

**(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)**

**Table of Contents**

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

**Exhibit**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Description of Exhibit</b>
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002