NSTAR/MA Form 425 September 08, 2011

Filed by Northeast Utilities Pursuant to Rule 425

Under the Securities Act of 1933

Subject Company: NSTAR

Commission File No.: 333-170754

Barclays CEO Energy-Power Conference September 8, 2011 Jim Judge Senior Vice President and CFO David McHale Executive Vice President and CFO

NU Safe Harbor Provisions

This presentation contains statements concerning NU s expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, strategies, assumptions of future financial performance or growth and other statements that are not historical facts. These statements are forward-looking the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In some cases, a listener or reader can identify these forward-looking through the use of words or phrases such as estimate, expect, anticipate, intend, plan, project, be could, and other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are based on the current expectations, estimates, assumption of management and are not guarantees of future performance. These expectations, estimates, assumptions or projections may be a support of management and are not guarantees of future performance.

from actual results. Accordingly, any such statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to, and are accompanied by, t important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in our forward-looking statement limited to, actions or inaction of local, state and federal regulatory and taxing bodies; changes in business and economic conditions are stated as a second condition of local and stated are impact on interest rates, bad debt expense and demand for our products and services; changes in weather patterns; changes in 1 or regulatory policy; changes in levels and timing of capital expenditures; disruptions in the capital markets or other events tha to necessary capital more difficult or costly; developments in legal or public policy doctrines; technological developments; cha standards and financial reporting regulations; fluctuations in the value of our remaining competitive contracts; actions of rating effects and outcome of our pending merger with NSTAR; and other presently unknown or unforeseen factors. Other risk factor time to time in our reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Any forward-looking statement speaks only as such statement is made, and we undertake no obligation to update the information contained in any forward-looking statements developments or circumstances occurring after the statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. This presentation references actual and projected EPS by business. EPS by business is a non-GAAP (not determined using general section) and projected EPS by business. accounting principles) measure that is calculated by dividing the net income or loss attributable to controlling interests of each weighted average diluted NU parent common shares outstanding for the period. Management uses this non-GAAP financial m earnings results and to provide details of earnings results and guidance by business. This presentation also includes non-GAA measures referencing our 2006 - 2010 EPS excluding a number of factors that affected GAAP earnings in those years. In additional content of the content of t earnings guidance excludes certain non-recurring charges related to merger costs we expect to incur during 2011, which is a no measure. Management believes that these non-GAAP financial measurements are useful to investors to evaluate the actual and financial performance and contribution of NU s businesses. Non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered as alternative and contribution of NU s businesses. consolidated net income attributable to controlling interests or EPS determined in accordance with GAAP as indicators of NU performance.

1

NU Safe Harbor Provisions

Information Concerning Forward-Looking Statements Relating to the NU NSTAR Merger

Additional Information and Where To Find It

In addition to historical information, this communication may contain a number of forward-looking statements as defined in Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as anticipate, expect, project, intend, plan, believe, and words and terms of similar connection with any discussion of future plans, actions, or events identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements about the benefits of the proposed merger involving NSTAR and N

including future financial and operating results; NSTAR s and Northeast Utilities plans, objectives, expectations and intention timing of completion of the transaction; and other statements relating to the merger that are not historical facts. Forward-looki involve estimates, expectations and projections and, as a result, are subject to risks and uncertainties. There can be no assurance results will not materially differ from expectations. Important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those forward-looking statements. With respect to the proposed merger, these factors include, but are not limited to: the risk that NS Utilities may be unable to obtain governmental and regulatory approvals required for the merger, or required governmental and approvals may delay the merger or result in the imposition of conditions that could reduce the anticipated benefits from the me parties to abandon the merger; the risk that a condition to closing of the merger may not be satisfied; the length of time necessary the proposed merger; the risk that the businesses will not be integrated successfully; the risk that the cost savings and any other the transaction may not be fully realized or may take longer to realize than expected; disruption from the transaction making it maintain relationships with customers, employees or suppliers; the diversion of management time on merger-related issues; the regulatory or legislative actions on the companies; and the risk that the credit ratings of the combined company or its subsidiar from what the companies expect. These risks, as well as other risks associated with the merger, are more fully discussed in the statement/prospectus that is included in the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-170754) that was filed I Utilities with the SEC in connection with the merger. Additional risks and uncertainties are identified and discussed in NSTA Utilities reports filed with the SEC and available at the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Forward-looking statements include speak only as of the date of this document. Neither NSTAR nor Northeast Utilities undertakes any obligation to update its for statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this document.

This communication does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any securities or a solicitation of an In connection with the proposed merger between Northeast Utilities and NSTAR, Northeast Utilities filed with the SEC a Register Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-170754) that includes a joint proxy statement of Northeast Utilities and NSTAR that also conformed Northeast Utilities. Northeast Utilities and NSTAR mailed the definitive joint proxy statement/prospectus to their respective about January 5, 2011. Northeast Utilities and NSTAR urge investors and shareholders to read the joint proxy statement/prospeproposed merger, as well as other documents filed with the SEC, because they contain important information. You may obtain documents filed with the SEC regarding this proposed transaction, free of charge, at the SEC is website (www.sec.gov). You sthese documents, free of charge, from Northeast Utilities website (www.nu.com) under the tab Investors and then under the "Financial/SEC Reports. You may also obtain these documents, free of charge, from NSTAR is website (www.nstar.com) under the Relations.

Please refer to our reports to the SEC for further details concerning the matters described in this presentation.

4

NSTAR Safe Harbor Provisions
Information Concerning Forward-Looking Statements
Additional Information and Where to Find It

In addition to historical information, this presentation may contain a number of forward-looking statements as defined in the Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as anticipate, expect, project, intend, plan, believe, and words and terms of similar connection with any discussion of future plans, actions, or events identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements pending merger include, but are not limited to: statements about the benefits of the pending merger involving NSTAR and North

including future financial and operating results; NSTAR s and Northeast Utilities plans, objectives, expectations and intention timing of completion of the transaction; and other statements relating to the merger that are not historical facts. Forward-looki involve estimates, expectations and projections and, as a result, are subject to risks and uncertainties. There can be no assurance results will not materially differ from expectations. Important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those forward-looking statements. With respect to the pending merger, these factors include, but are not limited to: the risk that NST Utilities may be unable to obtain governmental and regulatory approvals required for the merger, or required governmental and approvals may delay the merger or result in the imposition of conditions that could reduce the anticipated benefits from the me parties to abandon the merger; the risk that a condition to closing of the merger may not be satisfied; the length of time necessary the pending merger; the risk that the businesses will not be integrated successfully; the risk that the cost savings and any other transaction may not be fully realized or may take longer to realize than expected; disruption from the transaction making it mo maintain relationships with customers, employees or suppliers; the diversion of management time on merger-related issues; the regulatory or legislative actions on the companies; and the risk that the credit ratings of the combined company or its subsidiar from what the companies expect. These risks, as well as other risks associated with the merger, are more fully discussed in the statement/prospectus that is included in the definitive proxy statement that was filed by NSTAR with the Securities and Excha (SEC) on January 5, 2011 and the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-170754) that was filed by Northe SEC in connection with the merger. Additional risks and uncertainties are identified and discussed in NSTAR s and Northeas with the SEC and available at the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Forward-looking statements included in this document spe date of this document. Neither NSTAR nor Northeast Utilities undertakes any obligation to update its forward-looking statement. or circumstances after the date of this document.

This presentation does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any securities. In connection with the between Northeast Utilities and NSTAR, Northeast Utilities filed with the SEC a Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration 170754) that includes a joint proxy statement of Northeast Utilities and NSTAR that also constitutes a prospectus of Northeast Utilities and NSTAR first mailed the definitive joint proxy statement/prospectus to their respective shareholders, on or about J. Northeast Utilities and NSTAR urge investors and shareholders to read the joint proxy statement/prospectus regarding the pendwell as other documents filed with the SEC, because they contain important information. You may obtain copies of all docum SEC regarding this proposed transaction, free of charge, at the SEC s website (www.sec.gov). You may also obtain these documents, from Northeast Utilities website (www.nu.com) under the tab Investors and then under the heading "Financial/SEC also obtain these documents, free of charge, from NSTAR s website (www.nstar.com) under the tab Investor Relations.

5 Topics for Today

NU Update

Transmission projects

Financial performance

Merger Update

NSTAR Financial Update

6
A Compelling Combination
Creates Largest Utility
Company in New England
Significant infrastructure investment opportunities
combined with balance sheet strength provides
for substantial growth potential
Larger, diverse and better positioned to support

economic growth and state public policy initiatives in New England
Expected to be accretive to earnings in Year 1, provides an enhanced total shareholder return proposition
Enhances service quality capabilities for the

largest customer base in New England
Highly experienced and complementary
leadership team with proven track record
100% stock transaction

1.312 shares of

Northeast Utilities per NSTAR share

56% Northeast Utilities shareholders

44% NSTAR shareholders

NSTAR Electric Service Area

NSTAR Gas Service Area

Northeast Utilities Electric Service Area

Northeast Utilities Gas Service Area

Combined Service Territory

3 million electric customers

500,000 natural gas customers

4,500 miles electric transmission

72,000 miles electric distribution

6,300 miles gas distribution MA

7 Transmission Update

8 NEEWS Projects Advance Current Status Report

Substation construction commenced in MA in Dec. 2010; in CT in July/August 2011

Commenced overhead site work in MA in February 2011

Commence overhead construction in CT in early 2012 following receipt of environmental permits

Projected in-service: late 2013

Total projected NU cost: \$718 million

Interstate Reliability Project

Joint project with National Grid (NU in CT; NGrid in MA & RI)

ISO-NE confirmed need in August 2010

File siting application in CT in late 2011

Siting decisions in 2013

Commence construction: late 2013/early 2014

Projected in-service: late 2015

Total projected NU cost: \$218 million

Central Connecticut Reliability Project

Expected review to be completed and needs identified in late 2011 with specific projects identified in late 2012

Total projected NU cost: \$301 million

SPRINGFIELD HARTFORD 345-kV Substation Generation Station 345-kV ROW 115-kV ROW

Central Connecticut

Reliability Project

Interstate

Reliability Project

Greater Springfield

Reliability Project

Greater Springfield Reliability Project

9 Northern Pass Transmission a \$1.1 Billion Capital Investment

To be owned by Northern Pass Transmission LLC

-

NU (75%) and NSTAR (25%)

1,200 MW transfer capability

Northern terminus at Des Cantons (Québec), southern terminus in Franklin (New Hampshire)

Québec terminal will convert the power from AC to DC (rectifier)

US terminal will convert the power from DC to AC (inverter)

345kV AC leg from Franklin to Deerfield, NH

TSA signed in October 2010 and accepted by FERC on February 11, 2011

Permitting process began October 14, 2010 with U.S. DOE application comment period recently extended; U.S. Forest Service application filed June 28

New Hampshire Senate voted June 2 to re-refer eminent domain bill

Capital cost estimate for US segment: \$1.1 billion

completion projected for late 2016
Des Cantons
HVDC Line
HVDC Converter
Station
345-kV Line
Existing Deerfield
Substation
Deerfield

Franklin

10

Review of FERC-Approved Transmission ROEs

10.00%

10.50%

11.00%

11.50%

12.00%

12.50%

13.00%
13.50%
Local Network
Service
Regional
Network Service
Base
Northern Pass
2005-2008
Regional
Projects
NEEWS
M-N
Underground
NU s Local Network Service Tariff ROE
NE RTO Incentive adder of 50 basis points on PTF assets
ISO-NE Planned Regional PTF projects in-service before 1/1/09
Middletown-Norwalk Advanced Tech. underground cable system
125 basis point NEEWS incentive
142 basis point Northern Pass incentive
11.14%
12.64%
12.89%
13.10%
12.56%
11.64%

11 NU Financial Update

12 \$82.1 \$158.2 \$1.1 \$75.0

\$200.9

-\$4.5

\$86.9

\$118.5 -\$20.0 \$0.0 \$20.0 \$40.0 \$60.0 \$80.0 \$100.0 \$120.0 \$140.0 \$160.0 \$180.0 \$200.0

NU

1H 2010 1H 2011

Strong First Half Earnings Distribution/Generation

Transmission

Parent/Other

Total

*Excludes \$9.5 million of after-tax merger-related expenses in 2011

*

*

13

Hurricane Irene Impacts on Second Half of 2011

Approximately 800,000 NU customers lost service at peak on August 28 due to Irene most damaging storm in NU s history

Restoration now virtually complete

CL&P suffered the most extensive damage, particularly along Long Island Sound and in Eastern Connecticut

1,800 line and tree crews worked on restoration

Total cost likely to exceed \$100 million

Will negatively affect cash flows in second half of 2011

Catastrophic storm deferral mechanisms in place for CL&P, PSNH and WMECO

14
2009 and 2010 Results and Standalone 2011 Guidance
2009
Actual
2010
Actual
Revised 2011
Guidance

(GAAP) \$1.91 \$2.19 \$2.10 -\$2.20 Distribution/Generation \$0.92 \$1.16 \$1.30 -\$1.35 Transmission \$0.95 \$1.00 \$1.05 \$1.10 Competitive \$0.09 \$0.05 N/A NU Parent/Other (\$0.05)(\$0.05)* (\$0.05)** NU Consolidated EPS (Non-GAAP) \$1.91 \$2.16*

\$2.30 \$2.40**

NU Consolidated EPS

Excludes a \$0.09/share fourth quarter non-recurring tax gain at NU Parent and approximately \$0.06 of NU-NSTAR merger-related expenses that were recorded in the fourth quarter.

^{**}Excludes \$0.20/share of expected NU-NSTAR merger-related costs. Includes competitive results.

15 NU Improved Earnings Have Resulted in Rising Dividends 62.5% 48.7% 44.3% 49.7%

```
$2.16
$1.862
$1.16^{1}
$1.59
$0.825
$0.775
$0.725
$0.95
Payout ratios
EPS
Dividends paid/declared per share
Excludes net income of competitive businesses, one-time CL&P tax reduction
Excludes litigation settlement charge
Excludes NU tax settlement, NU-NSTAR merger costs
$1.91
$1.025
47.5%
$2.30 -
2.40
4
$1.10
5
Excludes NU-NSTAR merger costs
Based on first, second and third quarter rate of
$0.275
$0.00
$0.25
$0.50
$0.75
$1.00
$1.25
$1.50
$1.75
$2.00
$2.25
$2.50
$2.75
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011E
0.0\%
```

10.0%	
20.0%	
30.0%	
40.0%	
50.0%	
60.0%	
70.0%	

16 Merger Update

17
Status of Merger Approvals
Securities and Exchange Commission
Shareholders
Department of Justice
Federal Communications Commission
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Maine DPUC

Massachusetts DPU
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Approvals Received
Applications Pending
Connecticut PURA
New Hampshire PUC
Determined Not To
Have Jurisdiction

18 Massachusetts Hearings on Merger

Hearings ended July 28

Net benefits study anticipates \$784M in net savings over 10 years Elimination of duplicative efforts Adoption of best practices

Attrition/retirements 350 positions over time

Attorney General s focus: Customer benefits

Other interveners

focus: Environmental benefits, labor impacts

Briefing schedule revised: Final briefs due by October 18

DOER s motion to stay proceedings Oral Arguments October 25

Companies continue integration work focused on Day 1 readiness

th

th

th

19 NSTAR Financial Update

20

\$14.0

\$114.5

\$100.5

\$123.4

\$17.6

\$105.8

\$5.0

\$15.0 \$25.0 \$35.0 \$45.0 \$55.0 \$65.0 \$75.0 \$85.0 \$95.0 \$105.0 \$115.0 \$125.0 Electric Gas Consolidated 1H 2010 1H 2011

NSTAR s Solid First Half Exceeds Expectations

Note: Results reflect continuing operations excluding merger-related costs of \$5.9 million.

EPS \$1.19 EPS \$1.07

NSTAR System Has Significant Transmission Investment Ahead

Transmission Rate Base is expected to double within 5 years to approximately \$1.6 billion

Growth/reliability spending averages \$100 million per year

Incremental Major Projects:

Cape Cod Line

Boston 345kV Connection

Mid Cape Line

Northern Pass \$120 million \$45-\$50 million

\$25-\$30 million

\$280 million

(2011-2012)

(2014-2015)

(2013-2014)

(2014-2016)

21

22
Highest Credit Rating in the Industry
#1 NSTAR
A+
*As published by EEI
NSTAR
A+
Southern Company

A
Consolidated Edison, Inc.
A-
Dominion Resources, Inc.
A-
DPL Inc.
A-
Duke Energy Corporation
A-
Energy East Corporation
A-
NextEra Energy, Inc.
A-
KeySpan Corp.
A-
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
A-
Vectren Corporation
A-
Wisconsin Energy Corporation
A-
Xcel Energy Inc.
ALLETE, Inc.
BBB+
Alliant Energy Corporation
BBB+
DTE Energy Company
BBB+
Integrys Energy Group, Inc.
BBB+
Kentucky Utilities Company
BBB+
Louisville Gas and Electric Company
BBB+
MDU Resources Group, Inc.
BBB+
MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company
BBB+
Northeast Utilities
BBB+
OGE Energy Corp.
BBB+
Pepco Holdings, Inc. BBB+
PG&E Corporation BBB+
PPL Corporation
BBB+
Progress Energy, Inc.
2.05.000 2.0015, 1.10.

BBB+ **SCANA** Corporation BBB+ Sempra Energy BBB+ TECO Energy, Inc. BBB+ American Electric Power Company, Inc. **BBB** Avista Corporation **BBB** CenterPoint Energy, Inc. **BBB** Cleco Corporation **BBB** El Paso Electric Company **BBB Entergy Corporation BBB Exelon Corporation BBB** Great Plains Energy Inc. **BBB** Green Mountain Power Corporation **BBB** IDACORP, Inc. **BBB** NorthWestern Corporation **BBB** Pinnacle West Capital Corporation **BBB** Portland General Electric Company **BBB** Public Service Enterprise Group Inc. **BBB UIL Holdings Corporation BBB** Westar Energy, Inc. **BBB** Allegheny Energy, Inc. BBB-Ameren Corporation BBB-**Black Hills Corporation** BBB-**CMS Energy Corporation** BBB-Constellation Energy Group, Inc. BBB-**Duquesne Light Company**

BBB-

Edison International

BBB-

Empire District Electric Company

BBB-

FirstEnergy Corp.

BBB-

Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.

BBB-

IPALCO Enterprises, Inc.

BBB-

NiSource Inc.

BBB-

Otter Tail Corporation

BBB-

Puget Energy, Inc.

BB+

NV Energy, Inc.

BB+

PNM Resources, Inc.

BB-

23

J.D. Power Survey Recognizes Customer Service Efforts

Company A

Company B

Company C

Company D

NSTAR

Company E

Company F

Company G

Company H

Company I

EAST LARGE AVERAGE

Company J

Company K

Company L

Company M

Company N

Company O

Company P

NSTAR Total Shareholder Return Outperforms the Industry 1996 2010 \$1,000 \$2,000

\$3,000 \$4,000

\$5,000 \$6,000 Only Company in Any Industry to Deliver 14 Consecutive Years of Positive Total Shareholder Return S&P 500 Utility Index NSTAR

25

Combines two highly successful energy delivery companies with similar strategies

Matches NSTAR s distribution expertise and strong cash flows with NU s nationally recognized transmission organization and significant investment opportunities

Larger, diverse and better-positioned company to support economic growth and public policy

initiatives for the region

Clearly

meets

new

Massachusetts

net

benefit

standard

with

customer

benefits

across

3

states:

Projected net cost savings of \$784M over 10 years

Significant environmental benefits expected

Attractive shareholder investment opportunity:

Track record of top performance

Low risk investment profile

Strong credit ratings

Greater liquidity for investors

In short, a truly compelling transaction with significant strategic, customer, regional and shareholder benefits

Key Takeaways on the Merger

Appendix

27
Improved Results Due In Part to Resolution of All
Three Electric Distribution Rate Cases

Five-year settlement approved by NHPUC on 6/28/2010

\$45.5 million increase on 7/1/10 in addition to 8/1/09 temporary increase of \$25.6 million

\$2.3 million decrease on 7/1/11

2012 & 2013 projected increases of \$9.5 million & \$11.1 million

Authorized ROE remains 9.67%

Recovery of ice storm costs over 7 years

Trailing 12-month ROE = 10.3%

Final decision 6/30/2010

\$63.4 million increase effective 7/1/10

\$38.5 million increase effective 7/1/11

Authorized 9.4% ROE at 6/30/10

49.2% equity in capital structure

Initial increase deferred until 1/1/11

Capex plan approved

Trailing 12-month ROE = 9.8%
PSNH
CL&P

Final decision 1/31/11

\$16.8 million increase effective 2/1/11

Authorized 9.6% ROE

Decoupling approved

\$2.1 million write-off taken in fourth quarter 2010

Trailing 12-month ROE = 6.5% WMECO

28 Yankee Gas Rate Decision Less Supportive The Good

Supported full projected cost of Waterbury to Wallingford line

Supported ramp-up to \$40 million annual spending for pipeline replacement

Authorized capital structure of 52.2% equity; 47.8%

debt

The

Not

So

Good

8.83% authorized ROE

First-year rate cut of about \$0.5 million; second-year increase of \$6.7 million

Request was \$29.1 million increase Year One and \$10.3 million increase Year Two

Numerous disallowed costs

Imputation of \$1.6 million of merger savings Year One and \$3 million in Year Two

Motion

for

Reconsideration

Filed

With

the

PURA

Reconsideration was granted August 2 - ADIT impacts only

29

NU Weather-Adjusted Retail Sales Trends

- -2.8
- -2.1
- -1.8
- -0.6
- 0.4

CL&P

2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 YTD -1.4 -1.8 -0.2 -1.6 0.6 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 YTD **PSNH** -0.6 -0.6 -3.4 -3.5 -0.4 WMECO 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 YTD 3.4 6.2 6.6 5.0 3.1 2007 2008

2009 2010 2011 YTD

Yankee Gas (firm)

30 2006 2011 NU Consolidating EPS GAAP / Non-GAAP Reconciliation (0.20) (0.06) N/A N/A

N/A N/A **NU-NSTAR Merger Expenses** N/A 0.09 N/A N/A N/A N/A NU Tax Settlement \$2.19 N/A N/A N/A \$2.16 0.05 \$2.11 (0.05)2.16 1.00 \$1.16 2010 Actual \$2.10 -\$2.20 N/A N/A N/A \$2.30 \$2.40 N/A \$2.30 \$2.40 (0.05)2.35 2.45 1.05 1.10 \$1.30 \$1.35 2011 Guidance \$1.91 \$1.67 \$1.59 \$3.05 NU Consolidated (GAAP) N/A (0.19)N/A N/A

Litigation Charge

N/A N/A N/A 2.04 Gain on Sale of Competitive Generation N/A N/A N/A 0.48 CL&P Income Tax Reduction \$1.91 \$1.86 \$1.59 \$0.53 **NU Consolidated Operating** Results (Non-GAAP) 0.09 0.08 0.08 (0.63)Competitive \$1.82 \$1.78 \$1.51 \$1.16 Total Regulated and Parent (0.05)(0.07)0.04 (0.03)NU Parent/Other 1.87 1.85 1.47 1.19 Total Regulated 0.95 0.89 0.53 0.39 Transmission \$0.92 \$0.96 \$0.94 \$0.80 Distribution/Generation 2009 Actual 2008 Actual 2007 Actual

2006 Actual

31
NSTAR 20 Consecutive Years of Operating Earnings Growth
\$1.93
\$2.07
\$2.22
\$2.37
\$2.56

Guidance

\$2.60

\$2.75

```
$1.30

$1.40

$1.50

$1.60

$1.70

NSTAR

Consistent, Above Average Dividend Growth

13 Consecutive Years of Increase

2006

2007

2008

2009
```

33 NSTAR Rate Cases

Effective January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2012

Customers overall delivery rates

flat

Annual inflation-adjusted distribution rate change offset by transition charge

Allowed ROE of 10.5% (8.5% to 12.5% band)

Recovery of Incremental Safety & Reliability Program Costs

Included new service quality and additional service programs

Last rate case effective November 1, 1991 Electric Gas