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## Forward Looking Statements

Safe Harbor statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995: This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to: the credit and concentration risks of lending activities, including changes in the level and trend of loan delinquencies and write-offs and changes in our allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses that may be impacted by deterioration in the housing and commercial real estate markets; changes in general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas; changes in the levels of general interest rates, and the relative differences between short and long term interest rates, deposit interest rates, our net interest margin and funding sources; risks related to acquiring assets in or entering markets in which we have not previously operated and may not be familiar; fluctuations in the demand for loans, the number of unsold homes and other properties and fluctuations in real estate values in our market areas; results of examinations of us by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve Board ) and of our bank subsidiaries by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC ), the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Banks (the Washington DFI ) or other regulatory authorities, including the possibility that any such regulatory authority may, among other things, require us to increase our reserve for loan losses, write-down assets, change our regulatory capital position or affect our ability to borrow funds or maintain or increase deposits, or impose additional requirements and restrictions on us, any of which could adversely affect our liquidity and earnings; legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect our business including changes in regulatory policies and principles, including the interpretation of regulatory capital or other rules including changes related to Basel III; the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and implementing the regulations; our ability to control operating costs and expenses; the use of estimates in determining fair value of certain of our assets, which estimates may prove to be incorrect and result in significant declines in valuation; difficulties in reducing risk associated with the loans on our balance sheet; staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect our workforce and potential associated charges; computer systems on which we depend could fail or experience a security breach; our ability to recruit and retain key members of our senior management team and staff; costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments; our ability to implement our expansion strategy; our ability to successfully integrate any assets, liabilities, customers, systems, and management personnel we have acquired including the Cowlitz Bank and Pierce Commercial Bank transactions or may in the future acquire into our operations, including the proposed acquisition of Northwest Commercial Bank, and our ability to realize related revenue synergies and cost savings within expected time frames and any goodwill charges related thereto; risks relating to acquiring assets or entering markets in which we have not previously operated and may not be familiar; changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits; the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules, or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions; adverse changes in the securities markets; inability of key third-party providers to perform their obligations to us; changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the financial institution regulatory agencies or the Financial Accounting Standards Board, including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods; other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and technological factors affecting our operations, pricing, products and services; and other risks detailed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. Moreover, you should treat these statements as speaking only as of the date they are made and based only on information then actually known to the Company. The Company does not undertake and specifically disclaims any obligation to revise any forward-looking statements to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements. These risks could cause our actual results for the remainder of 2012 and beyond to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements by, or on behalf of, us, and could negatively affect the Company s operating and stock price performance.

As used throughout this report, the terms we , our , us , or the Company refer to Heritage Financial Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries unless the context otherwise requires.
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## ITEM 1. HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

## (Dollars in thousands, except for per share amounts)

## (Unaudited)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash on hand and in banks | \$ | 34,257 | \$ | 30,193 |
| Interest earning deposits |  | 82,648 |  | 93,566 |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  | 116,905 |  | 123,759 |
| Investment securities available for sale |  | 147,682 |  | 144,602 |
| Investment securities held to maturity (fair value of \$11,773 and \$12,881) |  | 10,833 |  | 12,093 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 1,411 |  | 1,828 |
| Originated loans receivable |  | 871,959 |  | 837,924 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses |  | $(20,533)$ |  | $(22,317)$ |
| Originated loans receivable, net |  | 851,426 |  | 815,607 |
| Purchased covered loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses of (\$4,137 and \$3,963) |  | 89,005 |  | 105,394 |
| Purchased non-covered loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses of (\$4,937 and \$4,635) |  | 65,592 |  | 83,479 |
| Total loans receivable, net |  | 1,006,023 |  | 1,004,480 |
| FDIC indemnification asset |  | 7,480 |  | 10,350 |
| Other real estate owned (\$260 and \$774 covered by FDIC loss share, respectively) |  | 7,285 |  | 4,484 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 22,886 |  | 22,975 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank ( FHLB ) stock, at cost |  | 5,545 |  | 5,594 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 5,178 |  | 5,117 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets |  | 10,502 |  | 8,190 |
| Deferred income taxes, net |  | 10,647 |  | 10,988 |
| Other intangible assets, net |  | 1,193 |  | 1,513 |
| Goodwill |  | 13,012 |  | 13,012 |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,366,582 | \$ | 1,368,985 |


| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposits | \$ 1,133,700 | \$ 1,136,044 |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 22,889 | 23,091 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 7,749 | 7,330 |
| Total liabilities | 1,164,338 | 1,166,465 |
| Stockholders equity: |  |  |
| Preferred stock, no par value, $2,500,000$ shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 |  |  |
| Common stock, no par value, $50,000,000$ shares authorized; $15,162,879$ and $15,456,297$ shares outstanding at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively | 122,275 | 126,622 |
| Unearned compensation Employee Stock Ownership Plan ( ESOP ) | (28) | (94) |
| Retained earnings | 78,086 | 74,256 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net | 1,911 | 1,736 |
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## HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

## (Dollars in thousands, except for per share amounts)

## (Unaudited)

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three Months Ended } \\ \text { September 30, } \\ \text { 2011 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Nine Months Ended } \\ \text { September 30, }\end{array} \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 2}\end{array}\right)$

## Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

| Income before income taxes |  | 4,172 |  | 2,537 | 15,070 |  | 5,897 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income tax expense |  | 1,309 |  | 701 | 4,843 |  | 1,611 |
| Net income | \$ | 2,863 | \$ | 1,836 | \$ 10,227 | \$ | 4,286 |
| Earnings per common share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.19 | \$ | 0.12 | \$ 0.67 | \$ | 0.27 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.19 | \$ | 0.12 | \$ 0.67 | \$ | 0.27 |
| Dividends declared per common share: | \$ | 0.08 | \$ | 0.05 | \$ 0.42 | \$ | 0.08 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Dollars in thousands, except for per share amounts)

## (Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comprehensive Income | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$ 2,863 | \$ 1,836 | \$ 10,227 | \$ 4,286 |
| Change in fair value of securities available for sale, net of tax of \$51, \$520, \$69 and \$695 | 95 | 400 | 128 | 1,294 |
| Reclassification adjustment of net gain from sale of available for sale securities included in income, net of tax of $\$ 0, \$ 0, \$ 0$ and $\$(8)$ |  |  |  | 14 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment on securities held to maturity, net of tax of $\$(5), \$ 0, \$(18)$ and \$(7) | (9) |  | (34) | (13) |
| Accretion of other-than-temporary impairment on securities held to maturity, net of tax of $\$ 15$, $\$ 18, \$ 43$ and $\$ 53$ | 29 | 34 | 81 | 98 |
| Other comprehensive income | \$ 115 | \$ 434 | \$ 175 | \$ 1,393 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 2,978 | \$ 2,270 | \$ 10,402 | \$ 5,679 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED

## SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

## (Dollars and shares in thousands)

## (Unaudited)

|  | Number of common shares | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Common } \\ & \text { stock } \end{aligned}$ | Unearned CompensationESOP |  | Retained earnings | Accumulated other comprehensive income, net |  | Totalstockholders <br> equity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 15,456 | \$ 126,622 | \$ | (94) | \$ 74,256 | \$ | 1,736 | \$ | 202,520 |
| Restricted stock awards issued, net of forfeitures | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock option compensation expense |  | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83 |
| Exercise of stock options (including tax benefits from nonqualified stock options) | 5 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |
| Share based payment and earned ESOP | 7 | 829 |  | 66 |  |  |  |  | 895 |
| Tax associated with share based payment and unallocated ESOP |  | (34) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (34) |
| Common stock repurchased and retired | (392) | $(5,278)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(5,278)$ |
| Net income |  |  |  |  | 10,227 |  |  |  | 10,227 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175 |  | 175 |
| Cash dividends declared on common stock (\$0.42 per share) |  |  |  |  | $(6,397)$ |  |  |  | $(6,397)$ |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | 15,163 | \$ 122,275 | \$ | (28) | \$ 78,086 | \$ | 1,911 | \$ | 202,244 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

## (Dollars in thousands)

## (Unaudited)

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 10,227 | \$ 4,286 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,027 | 1,255 |
| Change in net deferred loan fees | 56 | 249 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,317 | 11,113 |
| Net change in accrued interest receivable, prepaid expenses and other assets and accrued expenses and other liabilities | 882 | $(2,470)$ |
| Recognition of compensation related to ESOP shares and share based payment | 895 | 681 |
| Stock option compensation expense | 83 | 122 |
| Excess tax benefit realized from stock options exercised, share based payment and dividends on unallocated ESOP shares | 34 | 153 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 320 | 333 |
| Deferred income taxes | 247 | 94 |
| Gain on sale of investment securities |  | (23) |
| Impairment loss on investment securities | 60 | 73 |
| Origination of loans held for sale | $(15,136)$ | $(11,331)$ |
| Gains on sales of loans, net | (208) | (245) |
| Proceeds from sale of loans | 15,761 | 11,417 |
| Valuation adjustment on other real estate owned | 483 | 595 |
| Losses on sale of other real estate owned, net |  | 75 |
| Losses on sale of premises and equipment, net | 2 | 1 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 18,050 | 16,378 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |
| Loans originated, net of principal payments | $(8,895)$ | $(19,046)$ |
| Maturities of investment securities available for sale | 37,765 | 21,159 |
| Maturities of investment securities held to maturity | 1,424 | 1,805 |
| Purchases of investment securities available for sale | $(42,276)$ | $(36,144)$ |
| Purchases of investment securities held to maturity |  | (271) |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | $(1,464)$ | $(2,414)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned | 2,695 | 2,866 |
| Proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale |  | 412 |
| Proceeds from repurchase of FHLB stock | 49 |  |
| Net cash used in investing activities | $(10,702)$ | $(31,633)$ |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |
| Net (decrease) increase in deposits | $(2,344)$ | 1,169 |
| Common stock cash dividends paid | $(6,397)$ | $(1,253)$ |

## Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

| Net decrease in securities sold under agreement to repurchase | (202) | (257) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 53 |  |
| Excess tax benefits realized from stock options exercised, share based payment and dividends on unallocated ESOP shares | (34) | (153) |
| Repurchase of common stock | $(5,278)$ | (790) |
| Repurchase of common stock warrants |  | (450) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | $(14,202)$ | $(1,734)$ |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | $(6,854)$ | $(16,989)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 123,759 | 168,991 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 116,905 | \$ 152,002 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: |  |  |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 3,608 | \$ 5,334 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | 7,848 | 5,985 |
| Loans transferred to other real estate owned | \$ 5,979 | \$ 3,096 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

(Unaudited)

## NOTE 1. Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

## (a) Description of Business

Heritage Financial Corporation (the Company ) is a bank holding company incorporated in the State of Washington in August 1997. The Company is primarily engaged in the business of planning, directing and coordinating the business activities of its wholly owned subsidiaries: Heritage Bank and Central Valley Bank (the Banks ). The Banks are Washington-chartered commercial banks and their deposits are insured by the FDIC under the Deposit Insurance Fund ( DIF ). Heritage Bank conducts business from its main office in Olympia, Washington and its twenty-six branch offices located in western Washington and the greater Portland, Oregon area. Central Valley Bank conducts business from its main office in Toppenish, Washington and its five branch offices located in Yakima and Kittitas counties of Washington State.

The Company s business consists primarily of lending and deposit relationships with small businesses and their owners in its market areas and attracting deposits from the general public. The Company also makes real estate construction and land development loans, one-to-four family residential loans, and consumer loans and originates for sale or investment purposes first mortgage loans on residential properties located in western and central Washington State and the greater Portland, Oregon area.

Effective July 30, 2010, Heritage Bank entered into a definitive agreement with the FDIC, pursuant to which Heritage Bank acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of Cowlitz Bank, a Washington state-chartered bank headquartered in Longview, Washington (the Cowlitz Acquisition ). The Cowlitz Acquisition included nine branches of Cowlitz Bank, including its division Bay Bank, which opened as branches of Heritage Bank as of August 2, 2010. It also included the Trust Services Division of Cowlitz Bank. Effective November 5, 2010, Heritage Bank entered into a definitive agreement with the FDIC, pursuant to which Heritage Bank acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of Pierce Commercial Bank, a Washington state-chartered bank headquartered in Tacoma, Washington (the Pierce Commercial Acquisition ). The Pierce Commercial Acquisition included one branch, which opened as a branch of Heritage Bank as of November 8, 2010. The Cowlitz Acquisition and the Pierce Commercial Acquisition are collectively referred to as the Cowlitz and Pierce Acquisitions.

## (b) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ( GAAP ), for interim financial information, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read with our December 31, 2011 audited consolidated financial statements and its accompanying notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K ( Form 10-K ). In our opinion, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. In preparing the condensed consolidated financial statements, we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Estimates related to fair value measurements, the allowance for loan losses, expected cash flows from, and indemnification asset related to, purchased loans, other real estate owned, other-than-temporary impairment of investment securities, goodwill and other intangible assets, stock-based compensation and income taxes are particularly subject to change.

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period s presentation. Reclassifications had no effect on prior period net income or stockholders equity.
(c) Significant Accounting Policies

The significant accounting policies used in preparation of our consolidated financial statements are disclosed in our 2011 Annual Form 10-K. There have not been any material changes in our significant accounting policies compared to those contained in our Form 10-K disclosure for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## Edgar Filing: HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORP /WA/ - Form 10-Q

## (d) Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Accounting Standards Updates (ASU ) 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSS, was issued in May 2011 as a result of the FASB and International Accounting Standards Board s ( IASB ) goal to develop common requirements for measuring fair value and
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for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The provisions of this Update are effective during the interim or annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, and are to be applied prospectively. The adoption of the Update did not have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements, however, the additional disclosures are included in Note 10.

FASB ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income, was issued in June 2011 requiring that all non-owner changes in stockholders equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This Update also requires that reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income be presented on the face of the financial statements. The provisions of this Update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, and are to be applied retrospectively. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of the Update did not have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements at the date of adoption. The Company has presented condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 as a separate statement immediately following the condensed consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

FASB ASU 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, was issued in December 2011 updating and superseding certain pending paragraphs relating to the presentation on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income. This Update is effective concurrent with ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income, and did not have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements at the date of adoption.

FASB ASU 2012-06, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Subsequent Accounting for an Indemnification Asset Recognized at the Acquisition Date as a Result of a Government-Assisted Acquisition of a Financial Institution, was issued in October 2012. The objective of the Update is to address the diversity in practice about how to interpret the terms on the same basis and contractual limitations when subsequently measuring an indemnification asset. This Update is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning on or after December 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted, and adoption should be applied prospectively to indemnification assets existing as of the date of adoption. This Update will not have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements at the date of adoption.

## NOTE 2. Loans Receivable

The Company originates loans under the normal course of business. These loans are identified as originated loans. Disclosures related to the Company s recorded investment in originated loans receivable generally exclude accrued interest receivable and net deferred loan origination fees and costs due to their insignificance. The Company has also acquired loans through FDIC-assisted transactions. Loans acquired in a business acquisition are designated as purchased loans. The Company refers to the purchased loans subject to the shared-loss agreements as covered loans, and those loans without a shared-loss agreement are referred to as non-covered loans. Loans purchased with evidence of credit deterioration since origination for which it is probable that all contractually required payments will not be collected are accounted for under FASB Accounting Standards Codification ( FASB ASC ) 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality. These loans are identified as purchased impaired loans. Loans purchased that are not accounted for under FASB ASC 310-30 are accounted for under FASB ASC 310-20, Receivables Nonrefundable fees and Other Costs. These loans are identified as purchased other loans.

## (a) Loan Origination/Risk Management

The Company originates loans in one of the four segments of the total loan portfolio: commercial business, real estate construction and land development, one-to-four family residential, and consumer. Within these segments are classes of loans to which management monitors and assesses credit risk in the loan portfolios. The Company has certain lending policies and procedures in place that are designed to maximize loan income within an acceptable level of risk. Management reviews and approves these policies and procedures on a regular basis. A reporting system supplements the review process by providing management with frequent reports related to loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies, and nonperforming and potential problem loans. The Company also conducts external loan reviews and validates the credit risk assessment on a periodic basis. Results of these reviews are presented to management. The loan review process complements and reinforces the risk identification and assessment decisions made by lenders and credit personnel, as well as the Company s policies and procedures.
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A discussion of the risk characteristics of each portfolio segments is as follows:

Commercial Business: There are three significant classes of loans in the commercial portfolio segment, including commercial and industrial loans, owner-occupied commercial real estate, and non-owner occupied commercial real estate. The owner and non-owner occupied commercial real estate are both considered commercial real estate loans. As the commercial and industrial loans carry different risk characteristics than the commercial real estate loans, they are discussed separately below.

Commercial and industrial. Commercial and industrial loans are primarily made based on the identified cash flows of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying collateral provided by the borrower. The cash flows of borrowers, however, may not be as expected and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. Most commercial and industrial loans are secured by the assets being financed or other business assets such as accounts receivable or inventory and may include a personal guarantee; however, some short-term loans may be made on an unsecured basis. In the case of loans secured by accounts receivable, the availability of funds for the repayment of these loans may be substantially dependent on the ability of the borrower to collect amounts due from its customers.

Commercial real estate. The Company originates commercial real estate loans within its primary market areas. These loans are subject to underwriting standards and processes similar to commercial and industrial loans, in addition to those of real estate loans. These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Commercial real estate involves more risk than other classes in that the lending typically involves higher loan principal amounts, and payments on loans secured by real estate properties are dependent on successful operation and management of the properties. Repayment of these loans may be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate market or the economy.

One-to-Four Family Residential: The majority of the Company s one-to four-family residential loans are secured by single-family residences located in its primary market areas. The Company s underwriting standards require that single-family portfolio loans generally are owner-occupied and do not exceed $80 \%$ of the lower of appraised value at origination or cost of the underlying collateral. Terms typically range from 15 to 30 years. The Company generally sells most single-family loans in the secondary market. Management determines to what extent the Company will retain or sell these loans and other fixed rate mortgages in order to control the Banks interest rate sensitivity position, growth and liquidity.

Real Estate Construction and Land Development: The Company originates construction loans for one-to-four family residential and for five or more residential properties and commercial properties. The one-to-four family residential construction loans generally include construction of custom homes whereby the home buyer is the borrower. The Company also provides financing to builders for the construction of pre-sold homes and, in selected cases, to builders for the construction of speculative residential property. Substantially all construction loans are short-term in nature and priced with a variable rate of interest. Construction lending can involve a higher level of risk than other types of lending because funds are advanced partially based upon the value of the project, which is uncertain prior to the project s completion. Because of the uncertainties inherent in estimating construction costs as well as the market value of a completed project and the effects of governmental regulation of real property, the Company s estimates with regards to the total funds required to complete a project and the related loan-to-value ratio may vary from actual results. As a result, construction loans often involve the disbursement of substantial funds with repayment dependent, in part, on the success of the ultimate project and the ability of the borrower to sell or lease the property or refinance the indebtedness. If the Company s estimate of the value of a project at completion proves to be overstated, it may have inadequate security for repayment of the loan and may incur a loss if the borrower does not repay the loan. Sources of repayment for these types of loans may be pre-committed permanent loans from approved long-term lenders, sales of developed property or an interim loan commitment from the Company until permanent financing is obtained. These loans are closely monitored by on-site inspections and are considered to have higher risks than other real estate loans due to their ultimate repayment being sensitive to interest rate changes, governmental regulation of real property, general economic conditions and the availability of long-term financing.

Consumer: The Company originates consumer loans and lines of credit that are both secured and unsecured. The underwriting process is developed to ensure a qualifying primary and secondary source of repayment. Underwriting standards for home equity loans are heavily influenced by statutory requirements, which include, but are not limited to, a maximum loan-to-value percentage of $80 \%$, collection remedies, the number of such loans a borrower can have at one time and documentation requirements. To monitor and manage consumer loan risk, policies and procedures are developed and modified, as needed. The majority of the consumer loans are relatively small amounts spread across many individual borrowers which minimizes the credit risk. Additionally, trend reports are reviewed by management on a regular basis.

## Table of Contents

Originated loans receivable at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted of the following portfolio segments and classes:

|  | September 30, 2012 <br> (In thousands) | December 31, 2011 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial business: | $\$ 280,513$ | $\$$ | 273,590 |
| Commercial and industrial | 191,798 | 166,881 |  |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 256,670 | 251,049 |  |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate | 728,981 |  |  |
| Total commercial business | 39,431 | 691,520 |  |
| One-to-four family residential | 25,045 | 37,960 |  |
| Real estate construction and land development: | 50,442 | 22,369 |  |
| One-to-four family residential | 75,487 | 54,954 |  |
| Five or more family residential and commercial properties | 29,976 | 77,323 |  |
| Total real estate construction and land development | 873,875 | 32,981 |  |
| Consumer | $(1,916)$ | 839,784 |  |
| Gross originated loans receivable |  | $(1,860)$ |  |
| Net deferred loan fees | $\$ 871,959$ | $\$$ | 837,924 |

The recorded investment in purchased covered loans receivable at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted of the following portfolio segments and classes:

|  | September 30, 2012 <br> (In thousands) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial business: | $\$ 29,198$ | $\$$ | 38,607 |
| Commercial and industrial | 35,231 | 38,067 |  |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 14,027 | 15,753 |  |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate | 78,456 |  |  |
|  | 5,042 | 92,427 |  |
| Total commercial business | 4,373 | 5,197 |  |
| One-to-four family residential |  | 5,786 |  |
| Real estate construction and land development: | 4,373 |  |  |
| One-to-four family residential | 5,271 | 5,786 |  |
| Five or more family residential and commercial properties | 93,142 | 5,947 |  |
| Total real estate construction and land development | $(4,137)$ | 109,357 |  |
| Consumer | $\$ 89,005$ | $\$$ | 105,394 |

The September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 gross recorded investment balance of purchased impaired covered loans accounted for under FASB ASC $310-30$ was $\$ 64.0$ million and $\$ 78.7$ million, respectively. The gross recorded investment balance of purchased other covered loans was $\$ 29.1$ million and $\$ 30.7$ million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the recorded investment balance of purchased covered loans which are no longer covered under the FDIC loss-sharing agreements was
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$\$ 3.2$ million and $\$ 3.8$ million, respectively.

Funds advanced on the purchased covered loans subsequent to acquisition are referred to as subsequent advances and are included in the purchased covered loan balances as these subsequent advances are covered under the loss-sharing agreements. These subsequent advances are not accounted for under FASB ASC 310-30. The total balance of subsequent advances on the purchased covered loans was $\$ 9.4$ million and $\$ 13.5$ million as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
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The recorded investment in purchased non-covered loans receivable at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted of the following portfolio segments and classes:

|  | (In thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 26,384 | \$ | 35,607 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 16,045 |  | 17,052 |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate | 12,484 |  | 12,833 |
| Total commercial business | 54,913 |  | 65,492 |
| One-to-four family residential | 3,036 |  | 2,743 |
| Real estate construction and land development: |  |  |  |
| One-to-four family residential | 667 |  | 1,381 |
| Five or more family residential and commercial properties | 949 |  | 1,078 |
| Total real estate construction and land development | 1,616 |  | 2,459 |
| Consumer | 10,964 |  | 17,420 |
| Total purchased non-covered loans receivable | 70,529 |  | 88,114 |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(4,937)$ |  | $(4,635)$ |
| Purchased non-covered loans receivable, net | \$ 65,592 | \$ | 83,479 |

The September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 gross recorded investment balance of purchased impaired non-covered loans accounted for under FASB ASC 310-30 was $\$ 43.7$ million and $\$ 56.1$ million, respectively. The recorded investment balance of purchased other non-covered loans was $\$ 26.8$ million and $\$ 32.0$ million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

## (b) Concentrations of Credit

Most of the Company s lending activity occurs within the State of Washington, and to a lesser extent the State of Oregon. The primary market areas include Thurston, Pierce, King, Mason, Cowlitz and Clark counties in Washington and Multnomah County in Oregon, as well as other markets. The majority of the Company s loan portfolio consists of commercial and industrial, non-owner occupied commercial real estate, and owner occupied commercial real estate. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no concentrations of loans related to any single industry in excess of $10 \%$ of total loans.

## (c) Credit Quality Indicators

As part of the on-going monitoring of the credit quality of the Company s loan portfolio, management tracks certain credit quality indicators including trends related to (i) the risk grade of the loans, (ii) the level of classified loans, (iii) net charge-offs, (iv) nonperforming loans, and (v) the general economic conditions of the United States of America, and specifically the states of Washington and Oregon. The Company utilizes a risk grading matrix to assign a risk grade to each of its loans. Loans are graded on a scale of 0 to 9 , and a W . A description of the general characteristics of the risk grades is as follows:

Grades 0 to 5: These grades are considered pass grade with negligible to above average but acceptable risk. These borrowers generally have strong to acceptable capital levels and consistent earnings and debt service capacity. Loans with the higher grades within the pass category may include borrowers who are experiencing unusual operating difficulties, but have acceptable payment performance to date. Increased monitoring of financials and/or collateral may be appropriate. Overall, loans with this grade show no immediate loss exposure.

Grade W : This grade is considered pass grade and includes loans on management s watch list and is intended to be utilized on a temporary basis for pass grade borrowers where a potentially significant risk-modifying action is anticipated in the near term.
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Grade 6: This grade includes Other Assets Especially Mentioned ( OAEM ) loans in accordance with regulatory guidelines, and is intended to highlight loans with elevated risks. Loans with this grade show signs of deteriorating profits and capital, and the borrower might not be strong enough to sustain a major setback. The borrower is typically higher than normally leveraged, and outside support might be modest and likely illiquid. The loan is at risk of further decline unless active measures are taken to correct the situation.

Grade 7: This grade includes Substandard loans, in accordance with regulatory guidelines, for which the loan has a high risk

The loan also has well-defined weaknesses which make payment default or principal exposure likely, but not yet certain. The borrower may have shown serious negative trends in financial ratios and performance. Such loans are apt to be dependent upon collateral liquidation, a secondary source of repayment or an event outside of the normal course of business. Loans with this grade can be accrual or nonaccrual status based on the Company s accrual policy.

Grade 8: This grade includes Doubtful loans in accordance with regulatory guidelines, and the Company has determined these loans to have excessive risk. Such loans are placed on nonaccrual status and may be dependent upon collateral having a value that is difficult to determine or upon some near-term event which lacks certainty. Additionally, these loans generally have a specific valuation allowance.
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Grade 9: This grade includes Loss loans in accordance with regulatory guidelines. These loans are determined to have the highest risk of loss. Such loans are charged-off or charged-down when payment is acknowledged to be uncertain or when the timing or value of payments cannot be determined. Loss is not intended to imply that the loan or some portion of it will never be paid, nor does it in any way imply that there has been a forgiveness of debt.

Loan grades for all commercial business loans and real estate construction and land development loans are established at the origination of the loan. One-to-four family residential loans and consumer loans ( non-commercial loans ) are not graded as a 0 to 9 at origination date as these loans are determined to be pass graded loans. These non-commercial loans may subsequently require a $0-9$ risk grade if the credit department has evaluated the credit and determined it necessary to classify the loan. Loan grades are reviewed on a quarterly basis, or more frequently if necessary, by the credit department. Typically, an individual loan grade will not be changed from the prior period unless there is a specific indication of credit deterioration or improvement. Credit deterioration is evidenced by delinquency, direct communications with the borrower, or other borrower information that becomes known to management. Credit improvements are evidenced by known facts regarding the borrower or the collateral property.

The loan grades relate to the likelihood of losses in that the higher the grade, the greater the loss potential. Loans with a pass grade may have some inherent losses in the portfolios, but to a lesser extent than the other loan grades. These pass graded loans may also have a zero percent loss based on historical experience and current market trends. The OAEM loan grade is transitory in that the Company is waiting on additional information to determine the likelihood and extent of the potential loss. However, the likelihood of loss is greater than Watch grade because there has been measurable credit deterioration. Loans with a Substandard grade are generally loans for which the Company has individually analyzed for potential impairment. For Doubtful and Loss graded loans, the Company is almost certain of the losses, and the unpaid principal balances are generally charged-off.

The following tables present the balance of the originated loans receivable by credit quality indicator as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

|  | Pass | OAEMSeptember 30, 2012 <br> Substandard <br> (In thousands) | Doubtful | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | $\$ 254,046$ | $\$$ | 3,665 | $\$$ | 21,733 | $\$$ |


|  | Pass | OAEMDecember 31, 2011 <br> Substandard <br> (In thousands) | Doubtful | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | $\$ 247,503$ | $\$ 2,770$ | $\$$ | 22,887 | $\$$ | 430 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 162,536 | 1,225 | 3,120 |  | 166,590 |  |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate | 240,096 | 2,063 | 8,890 |  | 251,049 |  |
| Total commercial business | 650,135 | 6,058 | 34,897 | 430 | 691,520 |  |
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The tables above include $\$ 30.9$ million and $\$ 37.1$ million of impaired loans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, as detailed in the impaired loans section below. These impaired loans have been individually reviewed for potential losses and have specific valuation allowance, as necessary. The tables above also include potential problem loans. Potential problem loans are those loans that are currently accruing interest and are not considered impaired, but which management is monitoring because the financial information of the borrower causes concern as to their ability to meet their loan repayment terms. Potential problem originated loans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were $\$ 29.4$ million and $\$ 29.7$ million, respectively. The balance of potential problem originated loans guaranteed by a governmental agency was $\$ 3.1$ million and $\$ 2.8$ million as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. This guarantee reduces the Company s credit exposure.

The following tables present the recorded balance of the purchased other covered and non-covered loans receivable by credit quality indicator as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

|  | September 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | OAEM | Substandard <br> (In thousands) |  | Doubtful | Total |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 12,470 | \$ 83 | \$ | 494 | \$ | \$ 13,047 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 25,630 | 2,414 |  | 339 |  | 28,383 |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate | 4,470 | 487 |  | 977 |  | 5,934 |
| Total commercial business | 42,570 | 2,984 |  | 1,810 |  | 47,364 |
| One-to-four family residential | 924 | 468 |  |  |  | 1,392 |
| Real estate construction and land development: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-to-four family residential | 47 |  |  |  |  | 47 |
| Five or more family residential and commercial properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total real estate construction and land development | 47 |  |  |  |  | 47 |
| Consumer | 6,860 | 3 |  | 172 | 31 | 7,066 |
| Gross purchased other loans | \$ 50,401 | \$ 3,455 | \$ | 1,982 | \$ 31 | \$ 55,869 |
|  | Pass | OAEM | December 31, 2011 <br> Substandard <br> (In thousands) |  | Doubtful | Total |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 11,781 | \$ 125 | \$ | 780 | \$ | \$ 12,686 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 29,791 |  |  | 587 |  | 30,378 |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate | 4,427 | 1,046 |  | 441 |  | 5,914 |
| Total commercial business | 45,999 | 1,171 |  | 1,808 |  | 48,978 |
| One-to-four family residential | 1,529 |  |  | 42 |  | 1,571 |
| Real estate construction and land development: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-to-four family residential | 50 |  |  |  |  | 50 |
| Five or more family residential and commercial properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total real estate construction and land development | 50 |  |  |  |  | 50 |
| Consumer | 11,435 |  |  | 674 |  | 12,109 |
| Gross purchased other loans | \$ 59,013 | \$ 1,171 | \$ | 2,524 | \$ | \$ 62,708 |
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(d) Nonaccrual loans

Originated nonaccrual loans, segregated by segments and classes of loans, were as follows as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

|  | September 30, <br> 2012(1) <br> (In thousands) | December 31, <br> 2011) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Commercial business: | $\$ 5,937$ | $\$$ |
| Commercial and industrial | 856 | 6,946 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 369 | 399 |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate | 7,162 | 921 |
| Total commercial business | 425 | 8,266 |
| One-to-four family residential | 3,392 | 5,150 |
| Real estate construction and land development: | 4,616 | 9,797 |
| One-to-four family residential | 8,008 | 14,947 |
| Five or more family residential and commercial properties | 87 | 125 |
| Total real estate construction and land development | $\$ 15,682$ | $\$$ |
| Consumer |  | 23,338 |

(1) $\$ 2.0$ million and $\$ 1.8$ million of nonaccrual originated loans were guaranteed by governmental agencies at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
The recorded investment balance of purchased other nonaccrual loans, segregated by segments and classes of loans, were as follows as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

|  | September 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | December 31, <br> 2011 <br> (In thousands) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Commercial business: | $\$ 254$ | $\$$ |
| Commercial and industrial | 142 |  |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 437 |  |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate | 833 |  |
| Total commercial business | 38 |  |
| Consumer | $\$ 871$ | $\$$ |
| Gross purchased other nonaccrual loans |  | 497 |

## (e) Past due loans

The Company performs aging analysis of past due loans using the categories of $30-89$ days past due and 90 or more days past due. This policy is consistent with regulatory reporting requirements. The balances of originated past due loans, segregated by segments and classes of loans, as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows:
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|  | 30-89 Days | 90 Days or Greater |  |  | September 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Past Due <br> (In | Current usands) | Total | 90 Days or More and Still Accruing |  |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,509 | \$ | 3,680 |  | \$ | 5,189 | \$ 275,324 | \$ 280,513 | \$ | 500 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 251 |  | 283 |  | 534 | 191,264 | 191,798 |  |  |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate |  |  | 369 |  | 369 | 256,301 | 256,670 |  |  |
| Total commercial business | 1,760 |  | 4,332 |  | 6,092 | 722,889 | 728,981 |  | 500 |
| One-to-four family residential | 390 |  | 404 |  | 794 | 38,637 | 39,431 |  |  |
| Real estate construction and land development: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-to-four family residential | 377 |  | 3,392 |  | 3,769 | 21,276 | 25,045 |  |  |
| Five or more family residential and commercial properties |  |  | 4,271 |  | 4,271 | 46,171 | 50,442 |  |  |
| Total real estate construction and land development | 377 |  | 7,663 |  | 8,040 | 67,447 | 75,487 |  |  |
| Consumer | 50 |  | 47 |  | 97 | 29,879 | 29,976 |  |  |
| Gross originated loans | \$ 2,577 | \$ | 12,446 | \$ | 15,023 | \$ 858,852 | \$ 873,875 | \$ | 500 |
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|  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30-89 Days | 90 Days or Greater |  |  | Past Due <br> (In th | Current ousands) | Total | 90 Days or More and Still Accruing |  |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 3,716 | \$ | 4,769 | \$ |  | 8,485 | \$ 265,105 | \$ 273,590 | \$ | 921 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 1,903 |  | 398 |  | 2,301 | 164,580 | 166,881 |  |  |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate | 369 |  |  |  | 369 | 250,680 | 251,049 |  |  |
| Total commercial business | 5,988 |  | 5,167 |  | 11,155 | 680,365 | 691,520 |  | 921 |
| One-to-four family residential | 1,251 |  | 404 |  | 1,655 | 36,305 | 37,960 |  | 404 |
| Real estate construction and land development: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-to-four family residential | 582 |  | 5,150 |  | 5,732 | 16,637 | 22,369 |  |  |
| Five or more family residential and commercial properties | 369 |  | 9,428 |  | 9,797 | 45,157 | 54,954 |  |  |
| Total real estate construction and land development | 951 |  | 14,578 |  | 15,529 | 61,794 | 77,323 |  |  |
| Consumer | 465 |  | 60 |  | 525 | 32,456 | 32,981 |  | 3 |
| Gross originated loans | \$8,655 | \$ | 20,209 | \$ | 28,864 | \$ 810,920 | \$ 839,784 | \$ | 1,328 |
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The balances of purchased other past due loans, segregated by segments and classes of loans, as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

|  |  |  | September 30, 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

December 31, 2011

|  |  | Days | 90 Days or <br> Greater |  | Total Past Due Current (In thousands) |  |  | Total | 90 Days or More and Still Accruing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 243 | \$ | 15 | \$ | 258 | \$ 12,428 | \$ 12,686 | \$ | 15 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate |  | 151 |  |  |  | 151 | 30,227 | 30,378 |  |  |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate |  | 441 |  |  |  | 441 | 5,473 | 5,914 |  |  |
| Total commercial business |  | 835 |  | 15 |  | 850 | 48,128 | 48,978 |  | 15 |
| One-to-four family residential |  | 42 |  |  |  | 42 | 1,529 | 1,571 |  |  |
| Real estate construction and land development: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-to-four family residential |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |  |
| Five or more family residential and commercial properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total real estate construction and land development |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 757 |  | 490 |  | 1,247 | 10,862 | 12,109 |  |  |
| Gross purchased other loans |  | ,634 | \$ | 505 | \$ | 2,139 | \$ 60,569 | \$ 62,708 | \$ | 15 |
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## (f) Impaired loans

Impaired originated loans (including restructured loans) at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are set forth in the following tables.

|  | Recorded Investment With No Specific Valuation Allowance | September 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Recorded Investment With Specific Valuation Allowance |  | Total Recorded Investment (n thousands) |  | Unpaid Contractual Principal Balance |  | Related <br> Specific Valuation Allowance |  |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 8,289 | \$ | 3,858 | \$ | 12,147 | \$ | 13,209 | \$ | 1,071 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 417 |  | 1,886 |  | 2,303 |  | 3,878 |  | 591 |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate | 2,952 |  | 4,252 |  | 7,204 |  | 7,204 |  | 1,411 |
| Total commercial business | 11,658 |  | 9,996 |  | 21,654 |  | 24,291 |  | 3,073 |
| One-to-four family residential | 424 |  | 425 |  | 849 |  | 1,767 |  | 91 |
| Real estate construction and land development: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-to-four family residential | 701 |  | 3,053 |  | 3,754 |  | 4,926 |  | 860 |
| Five or more family residential and commercial properties |  |  | 4,616 |  | 4,616 |  | 4,685 |  | 997 |
| Total real estate construction and land development | 701 |  | 7,669 |  | 8,370 |  | 9,611 |  | 1,857 |
| Consumer | 47 |  | 40 |  | 87 |  | 127 |  | 40 |
| Gross impaired originated loans | \$ 12,830 | \$ | 18,130 | \$ | 30,960 | \$ | 35,796 | \$ | 5,061 |



The Company had governmental guarantees of $\$ 2.0$ million and $\$ 1.8$ million related to the impaired originated loan balances at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
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The average recorded investment of impaired originated loans (including restructured loans) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are set forth in the following tables.

|  | Three Months Ended <br> September 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> (In thousands) | Nine Months Ended <br> September 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2011 |  |  |

Impaired purchased other loans (including restructured loans) at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are set forth in the following tables.
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The average recorded investment of impaired purchased other loans (including restructured loans) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are set forth in the following tables.

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 18 | \$ | \$ | 18 | \$ |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate | 534 |  |  | 356 |  |
| Total commercial business | 552 |  |  | 374 |  |
| One-to-four family residential | 234 |  |  | 156 |  |
| Consumer | 7 |  |  | 7 |  |
| Gross impaired purchased other loans | \$ 793 | \$ | \$ | 537 | \$ |

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 no interest income was recognized subsequent to a loan $s$ classification as impaired.

## (g) Troubled Debt Restructured Loans

A troubled debt restructured loan (TDR ) is a restructuring in which the Banks, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. TDRs are considered impaired and are separately measured for impairment under ASC 310-10-35, whether on accrual or nonaccrual status. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the balance of originated accruing TDRs was $\$ 15.3$ million and $\$ 13.8$ million, respectively. The related allowance for loan losses on the originated accruing TDRs was $\$ 2.3$ million and $\$ 1.4$ million as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. At September 30, 2012, originated non-accruing TDRs were $\$ 10.0$ million and had a related allowance for loan losses of $\$ 2.0$ million. At December 31, 2011, originated non-accruing TDRs of $\$ 11.7$ million had a related allowance for loan losses of $\$ 1.8$ million. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the balance of purchased other TDRs was $\$ 1.0$ million and $\$ 9,000$, respectively. Substantially all of the purchased other TDRs were accruing at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The related allowance for loan losses on the purchased other TDRs was $\$ 70,000$ and $\$ 5,000$ as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Originated loans that were modified as TDRs during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are set forth in the following tables:

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Contracts | 201 | ding Balance (Dollar | Number of Contracts thousands) | 201 Pri | nding Balance ) |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | 7 | \$ | 1,315 | 6 | \$ | 1,191 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 2 |  | 1,052 |  |  |  |
| Total commercial business | 9 |  | 2,367 | 6 |  | 1,191 |
| Total originated TDRs | 9 | \$ | 2,367 | 6 | \$ | 1,191 |
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|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |
|  | Number of Contracts <br> (2) | Outstanding Principal Balance (1)(2) |  | Number of Contracts (2) | Outstanding Principal Balance (1)(2) |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | 23 | \$ | 4,524 | 18 | \$ | 5,669 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 3 |  | 1,247 | 2 |  | 1,585 |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate |  |  |  | 1 |  | 669 |
| Total commercial business | 26 |  | 5,771 | 21 |  | 7,923 |
| One-to-four family residential |  |  |  | 2 |  | 842 |
| Real estate construction and land development: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-to-four family residential | 1 |  | 180 | 2 |  | 364 |
| Five or more family residential and commercial properties |  |  |  | 2 |  | 4,813 |
| Total real estate construction and land development | 1 |  | 180 | 4 |  | 5,177 |
| Total originated TDRs | 27 | \$ | 5,951 | 27 | \$ | 13,942 |

(1) Includes subsequent payments after modifications and reflects the balance as of September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. The Banks initial recorded investments in the loans did not change as a result of the modifications as the Banks did not forgive any principal or interest balance as part of the modifications.
(2) Number of contracts and outstanding principal balance represents loans which have balances as of September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 as certain loans may have been paid-down or charged-off during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

Of the nine loans modified during the three months ended September 30, 2012, five loans with outstanding principal balance of $\$ 1.2$ million were previously reported as TDRs as of June 30, 2012. Of the 27 loans modified during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, 18 loans with outstanding principal balance of $\$ 3.6$ million were previously reported as TDRs as of December 31, 2011. No loans modified during the three months ended September 30, 2011 were previously reported as TDRs. One loan modified during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 with outstanding principal balance of $\$ 400,000$ was previously reported as TDRs as of December 31, 2010. These previously reported TDRs were granted additional extensions during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, requiring that the loans again be reported as modified during the period. The Banks typically grant shorter extension periods to continually monitor the troubled credits despite the fact that the extended date might not be the date the Banks expect the cash flow. The Company does not consider these modifications a subsequent default of a TDR as new loan terms, specifically maturity dates, were granted. The potential losses related to these loans would have been considered in the period the loan was first reported as a TDR and adjusted, as necessary, in the current periods based on more recent information.

Purchased other loans that were modified as TDRs during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 are set forth in the following table:

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> of <br> Contract | Outstanding <br> Principal Balance <br> (1) <br> (Dollars in | Number of Contracts ands) |  | ing alance |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial |  | \$ | 1 | \$ | 17 |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate |  |  | 1 |  | 540 |
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| Total commercial business |  |  | 2 | 557 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One-to-four family residential | 1 | 468 | 1 | 468 |  |
| Total purchased other TDRs | 1 | $\$$ | 468 | 3 | $\$$ |

(1) Includes subsequent payments after modifications and reflects the balance as of September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. The Banks initial recorded investments in the loans did not change as a result of the modifications as the Banks did not forgive any principal or interest balance as part of the modifications.
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There were no purchased other TDRs modified during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2011.

The majority of the Banks TDRs are a result of granting extensions to troubled credits which have already been adversely classified. We grant such extensions to reassess the borrower s financial status and develop a plan for repayment. Certain modifications with extensions also include interest rate reductions, which is the second most prevalent concession. Certain TDRs were additionally re-amortized over a longer period of time. These modifications would all be considered a concession for a borrower that could not obtain similar financing terms from another source other than from the Banks.

The financial effects of each modification will vary based on the specific restructure. For the majority of the Banks TDRs, the loans were interest-only with a balloon payment at maturity. If the interest rate is not adjusted and the terms are consistent with market, the Banks might not experience any loss associated with the restructure. If, however, the restructure involves forbearance agreements or interest rate modifications, the Banks might not collect all the principal and interest based on the original contractual terms. The Banks estimate the necessary allowance for loan losses on TDRs using the same guidance as other impaired loans.

There were no originated or purchased other TDRs that had been modified within the previous twelve months ended September 30, 2012 that subsequently defaulted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. One commercial and industrial loan with outstanding principal balance of $\$ 600,000$ defaulted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. The default was due to the borrower s failure to pay the loan s principal and interest at the extended maturity date. The Banks determined that no allowance was necessary for this loan as it has sufficient collateral that is in the process of liquidation. There were no purchased other TDRs that had been modified within the previous twelve months ended September 30, 2011 that subsequently defaulted within the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

## (h) Impaired Purchased Loans

As indicated above, the Company purchased impaired loans from the Cowlitz and Pierce Acquisitions which are accounted for under FASB ASC 310-30.

The following tables reflect the outstanding principal balance at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 of the purchased impaired loans:

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Cowlitz Bank } \\ \text { December 31, } \\ \text { 2011 }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |  |
| (In thousands) |  |  |$]$
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The total balance of subsequent advances on the purchased impaired covered loans was $\$ 7.0$ million as of September 30, 2012 and $\$ 10.5$ million as of December 31, 2011. Heritage Bank has the option to modify certain purchased covered loans which may terminate the FDIC loss-share coverage on those modified loans. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the recorded investment balance of purchased impaired covered loans which are no longer covered under the FDIC loss-sharing agreements was $\$ 1.6$ million and $\$ 2.0$ million, respectively. Heritage Bank continues to report these loans in the covered portfolio as they are in a pool and they continue to be accounted for under FASB ASC 310-30. The FDIC indemnification asset has been properly adjusted to reflect the change in the loan status.
$\left.\begin{array}{lrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Pierce Commercial Bank } \\ \text { December 31, 2011 }\end{array} \\ \text { September 30, 2012 } \\ \text { (In thousands) }\end{array}\right]$

On the acquisition date, the amount by which the undiscounted expected cash flows of the purchased impaired loans exceed the estimate fair value of the loan is the accretable yield. The accretable yield is then measured at each financial reporting date and represents the difference between the remaining undiscounted expected cash flows and the current carrying value of the purchased impaired loan.

The following table summarizes the accretable yield on the Cowlitz Bank and Pierce Commercial Bank purchased impaired loans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011:
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three Months Ended } \\ \text { September 30, 2012 } \\ \text { Pierce }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Nine Months Ended } \\ \text { September 30, 2012 } \\ \text { Pierce }\end{array} \\ \text { Commercial } \\ \text { Bank } \\ \text { (In thousands) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Cowlitz } \\ \text { Bank }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Commercial } \\ \text { Bank }\end{array}\right]$
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|  | Three M Septemb <br> Cowlitz Bank | 30 <br> Co | nded <br> 2011 <br> Pierce <br> nmercial <br> Bank <br> (In th | Nine M Septem <br> Cowlitz Bank sands) | $\text { hs } 1$ | ded <br> 011 <br> ierce <br> mercial <br> Bank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at the beginning of period | \$ 22,222 | \$ | 16,275 | \$ 20,082 | \$ | 10,943 |
| Accretion | $(1,992)$ |  | $(1,828)$ | $(7,430)$ |  | $(4,684)$ |
| Disposals and other | (562) |  | $(1,138)$ | 494 |  | 514 |
| Change in accretable yield | 2,052 |  | 1,843 | 8,574 |  | 8,379 |
| Balance at the end of period | \$ 21,720 | \$ | 15,152 | \$ 21,720 | \$ | 15,152 |

## NOTE 3. Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level deemed appropriate by management to adequately provide for probable incurred losses from known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. A summary of the changes in the originated loans allowance for loan losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended <br> September 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> 2011 <br> (In thousands) | Nine Months Ended <br> September 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2011 |  |  |

A summary of the changes in the purchased loans allowance for loan losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (In thousands) |  |  | and | $2012$ <br> s) |  | 2011 |
| Balance at the beginning of period | \$ | 8,640 | \$ | 3,307 | \$ | 8,598 | \$ |  |
| Loans charged off |  | (158) |  | (80) |  | (426) |  | (80) |
| Provision charged to operations |  | 592 |  | 2,821 |  | 902 |  | 6,128 |
| Balance at the end of period | \$ | 9,074 | \$ | 6,048 | \$ | 9,074 |  | 6,048 |
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The following table details activity in the allowance for loan losses disaggregated on the basis of the Company simpairment method as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commercial } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { industrial } \end{gathered}$ |  | wner- <br> cupied <br> mercial <br> real <br> estate |  | -owner <br> upied <br> mercial <br> real <br> state |  | -to-four amily idential (I |  | Real <br> tate <br> ruction <br> land <br> opment: <br> to-four <br> mily <br> dential <br> usands) |  | Real state truction land opment: or more mily dential and mercial perties |  | Consumer | Una | ocated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan losses for the three months ended <br> September 30, 2012: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 30, 2012 | \$ 10,733 | \$ | 3,493 | \$ | 4,432 | \$ | 1,148 | \$ | 3,820 | \$ | 3,352 |  | \$ 1,577 | \$ | 928 | \$ 29,483 |
| Charge-offs | (323) |  | (40) |  |  |  | (94) |  |  |  |  |  | (289) |  |  | (746) |
| Recoveries | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 63 |
| Provisions | (6) |  | 585 |  | 806 |  | 137 |  | (374) |  | (553) |  | 357 |  | (145) | 807 |
| September 30, 2012 | \$ 10,461 |  | 4,038 | \$ | 5,238 | \$ | 1,191 | \$ | 3,446 | \$ | 2,799 |  | \$ 1,651 | \$ | 783 | \$ 29,607 |

Allowance for loan losses for the
nine months ended
September 30, 2012:

| December 31, 2011 | $\$ 11,805$ | $\$ 2,979$ | $\$ 4,394$ | $\$$ | 794 | $\$$ | 4,823 | $\$$ | 3,800 | $\$ 1,410$ | $\$$ | 910 | $\$ 30,915$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charge-offs | $(1,223)$ | $(1,040)$ | $(292)$ | $(212)$ |  | $(475)$ | $(445)$ | $(622)$ |  | $(4,309)$ |  |  |  |
| Recoveries | 1,514 | 8 | 11 |  |  | 125 |  | 26 |  | 1,684 |  |  |  |
| Provisions | $(1,635)$ | 2,091 | 1,125 | 609 | $(1,027)$ | $(556)$ | 837 | $(127)$ | 1,317 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September 30, 2012 | $\$ 10,461$ | $\$ 4,038$ | $\$ 5,238$ | $\$ 1,191$ | $\$$ | 3,446 | $\$$ | 2,799 | $\$$ | 1,651 | $\$$ | 783 | $\$ 29,607$ |

Allowance for loan losses as of
September 30, 2012 allocated to:
Originated loans individually

| evaluated for impairment | $\$ 1,071$ | $\$$ | 591 | $\$$ | 1,411 | $\$$ | 91 | $\$$ | 860 | $\$$ | 997 | $\$$ | 40 | $\$$ |  | $\$$ | 5,061 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Originated loans collectively
evaluated for impairment
Purchased other covered loans
individually evaluated for
impairment $46 \quad 3$

Purchased other covered loans collectively evaluated for

| impairment | 42 | 29 | 21 | 34 | 126 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Purchased other non-covered
loans individually evaluated for
impairment 21
Purchased other non-covered
loans collectively evaluated for
impairment
$85 \quad 52$

Purchased impaired covered
loans collectively evaluated for impairment
$1,095 \quad 919$
964
200
617
167
3,962
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The purchased loans acquired in the Cowlitz and Pierce Acquisitions are subject to the Company s internal and external credit review. If and when credit deterioration occurs subsequent to the acquisition dates, a provision for loan losses will be charged to earnings for the full amount without regard to the FDIC loss-sharing agreement for the covered loan balances. The portion of the estimated loss reimbursable from the FDIC is recorded in noninterest income and increases the FDIC indemnification asset.

The following table details the recorded investment balance of the loan receivables disaggregated on the basis of the Company s impairment method as of September 30, 2012:

|  |  |  |  |  | Real estate <br> construction <br> and land |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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The following table details the balance in the allowance for loan losses disaggregated on the basis of the Company s impairment method for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and as of December 31, 2011:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commercial } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { industrial } \end{gathered}$ | Owneroccupied commercial real estate |  | Non-owner occupied commercial real estate |  | One-to-four family residential |  | Real estate construction and land development: one-to-four family residential (In thousands) |  | Real estate construction and land development: five or more family residential and commercial properties |  | Consumer |  | Unallocated |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan losses <br> for the three months <br> ended September 30, 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 30, 2011 | \$ 11,037 | \$ | 2,693 | \$ | 3,314 | \$ | 547 | \$ | 4,265 | \$ | 1,696 | \$ | 1,098 | \$ | 668 | \$ 25,318 |
| Charge-offs | (80) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (43) |  |  | (123) |
| Recoveries | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 24 |
| Provisions | 1,337 |  | 151 |  | 875 |  | 225 |  | (245) |  | 438 |  | 336 |  | 99 | 3,216 |
| September 30, 2011 | \$ 12,310 | \$ | 2,844 | \$ | 4,189 | \$ | 772 | \$ | 4,020 | \$ | 2,134 | \$ | 1,399 | \$ | 767 | \$ 28,435 |


| Allowance for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 31, 2010 | \$ 10,487 | \$ | 1,674 | \$ | 2,189 | \$ | 500 | \$ | 4,321 | \$ | 1,114 | \$ | 846 | \$ | 931 | \$ 22,062 |
| Charge-offs | $(2,545)$ |  |  |  |  |  | (15) |  | $(2,053)$ |  | (895) |  | (161) |  |  | $(5,669)$ |
| Recoveries | 781 |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  | 103 |  | 20 |  |  | 929 |
| Provisions | 3,587 |  | 1,170 |  | 1,975 |  | 287 |  | 1,752 |  | 1,812 |  | 694 |  | (164) | 11,113 |
| September 30, 2011 | \$ 12,310 | \$ | 2,844 | \$ | 4,189 | \$ | 772 | \$ | 4,020 | \$ | 2,134 | \$ | 1,399 | \$ | 767 | \$ 28,435 |


| Allowance for loan losses as of December 31, 2011 allocated to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Originated loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 1,488 | \$ | 107 | \$ | 764 | \$ | 187 | \$ | 1,436 | \$ | 530 | \$ | 6 | \$ |  | \$ | 4,518 |
| Originated loans collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 6,519 |  | 1,690 |  | 2,320 |  | 229 |  | 2,427 |  | 3,163 |  | 541 |  | 910 |  | 17,799 |
| Purchased other covered loans individually evaluated for impairment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Purchased other covered loans collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 48 |  | 69 |  |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  | 170 |
| Purchased other non-covered loans collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 85 |  | 52 |  | 34 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |  | 225 |
|  |  | 1,282 |  | 712 |  | 900 |  | 123 |  | 645 |  |  |  | 126 |  |  |  | 3,788 |
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| Purchased impaired covered loans collectively evaluated for impairment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchased impaired non-covered loans collectively evaluated for impairment | 2,383 |  | 349 |  | 376 |  | 223 |  | 315 |  | 107 |  | 657 |  |  | 4,410 |
| December 31, 2011 | \$ 11,805 | \$ | 2,979 | \$ | 4,394 | \$ | 794 | \$ | 4,823 | \$ | 3,800 |  | 1,410 |  | 910 | \$ 30,915 |
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The following table details the recorded investment balance of the loan receivables disaggregated on the basis of the Company simpairment method as of December 31, 2011:

|  |  |  |  |  | Real estate <br> construction <br> and land |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## NOTE 4. FDIC Indemnification Asset

Changes in the FDIC indemnification asset during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Balance at the beginning of period | \$ 8,212 | \$ 14,485 | \$ 10,350 | \$ 16,071 |
| Cash payments received or receivable from the FDIC | (240) | (740) | $(2,160)$ | $(1,414)$ |
| (Decrease) increase in FDIC share of estimated losses | (46) | (187) | 720 | 1,123 |
| Net amortization | (446) | $(1,479)$ | $(1,430)$ | $(3,701)$ |
| Balance at the end of period | \$ 7,480 | \$ 12,079 | \$ 7,480 | \$ 12,079 |
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## NOTE 5. Stockholders Equity

## (a) Earnings Per Common Share

The following table illustrates the reconciliation of weighted average shares used for earnings per common share computations for the noted periods:

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Three Months Ended } \\ \text { September 30, }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Nine Months Ended } \\ \text { September 30, }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |$)$

Potential dilutive shares are excluded from the computation of earnings per common share if their effect is anti-dilutive. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 anti-dilutive shares outstanding related to options to acquire common stock totaled 227,044 and 257,231, respectively, as the assumed proceeds from exercise costs, excess tax benefits and future compensation was in excess of the market value. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 anti-dilutive shares outstanding related to options and warrants to acquire common stock totaled 415,257 and 486,439 , respectively, as the assumed proceeds from exercise cost, excess tax benefits and future compensation was in excess of the market value.

## (b) Dividends

Common Stock: The timing and amount of cash dividends paid on our common stock depends on the Company searnings, capital requirements, financial condition and other relevant factors. Dividends on common stock from the Company depend substantially upon receipt of dividends from the Banks, which are the Company s predominant sources of income. The following table summarizes the dividend activity for the ten months ended October 31, 2012.

|  | Declared | Cash Dividend <br> per Share | Record Date | Paid or Payable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February 1, 2012 |  | $\$$ | 0.06 | February 10, 2012 | February 24, 2012 |


| April 26, 2012 | $\$$ | 0.08 | May 10, 2012 | May 24, 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 26, 2012 | $\$$ | 0.20 | July 10, 2012 | July 24, 2012 |
| July 25, 2012 | $\$$ | 0.08 | August 14, 2012 | August 24, 2012 |
| October 30, 2012 | $\$$ | 0.08 | November 9, 2012 | November 21, 2012 |
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The FDIC and the DFI have the authority under their supervisory powers to prohibit the payment of dividends by Heritage Bank and Central Valley Bank to the Company. Additionally, current guidance from the Federal Reserve Board provides, among other things, that dividends per share on the Company s common stock generally should not exceed earnings per share, measured over the previous four fiscal quarters. Current regulations allow the Company and its subsidiary banks to pay dividends on their common stock if the Company s or Banks regulatory capital would not be reduced below the statutory capital requirements set by the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC.

## (c) Preferred Stock and Warrants

On November 21, 2008, the Company completed a sale to the U.S. Department of the Treasury ( Treasury ) of 24,000 shares of the Company s Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A ( preferred shares ), for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 24.0$ million in cash, with a related warrant to purchase 276,074 shares of the Company s common stock. On December 22, 2010, the Company redeemed the 24,000 preferred shares. The Company paid the Treasury a total of $\$ 24.1$ million, consisting of $\$ 24.0$ million of principal and $\$ 123,000$ of accrued and unpaid dividends.

Under the terms of the warrants, because the Company s September 2009 offering of common stock, described below, was a qualified equity offering resulting in aggregate gross proceeds of at least $\$ 24.0$ million, the number of shares of the Company s common stock underlying the warrant was reduced by $50 \%$ to 138,037 shares. On August 17, 2011, the Company repurchased the warrant from the Treasury for $\$ 450,000$. The warrant repurchase, together with the Company s earlier redemption of the entire amount of the preferred shares issued to the Treasury, represents full repayment of all TARP obligations and cancellation of all equity interests in the Company held by the Treasury.

## NOTE 6. Stock-Based Compensation

## (a) Stock Options

The Company measures the fair value of each stock option grant at the date of the grant, using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. There were no options granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recognized compensation expense related to stock options of $\$ 24,000$ and $\$ 83,000$, respectively, and a related tax benefit of $\$ 0$ and $\$ 1,000$, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 the Company recognized compensation expense related to stock options of $\$ 25,000$ and $\$ 121,000$, respectively, and a related tax benefit of $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 5,000$ respectively. As of September 30, 2012, the total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested stock options was $\$ 117,000$ and the related weighted average period over which it is expected to be recognized is approximately 1.4 years.

The following table summarizes stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

|  | Shares | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price | WeightedAverage Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 417,123 | \$ 18.33 |  |  |  |
| Granted |  | \$ |  |  |  |
| Exercised | $(4,705)$ | \$ 11.35 |  |  |  |
| Forfeited or expired | $(103,287)$ | \$ 21.53 |  |  |  |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2012 | 309,131 | \$ 17.37 | 3.6 years | \$ | 330 |
| Vested and expected to vest at September 30, 2012 | 308,714 | \$ 17.37 | 3.6 years | \$ | 330 |
| Exercisable at September 30, 2012 | 264,155 | \$ 17.81 | 2.9 years | \$ | 319 |
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The following table summarizes stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

|  | Shares | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price |  | Weighted- <br> Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 550,524 | \$ | 18.70 |  |  |
| Granted |  | \$ |  |  |  |
| Exercised | (50) | \$ | 11.35 |  |  |
| Forfeited or expired | $(113,891)$ | \$ | 19.97 |  |  |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2011 | 436,583 | \$ | 18.37 | 3.6 years | \$ |
| Vested and expected to vest at September 30, 2011 | 432,662 | \$ | 18.41 | 3.5 years | \$ |
| Exercisable at September 30, 2011 | 331,114 | \$ | 19.84 | 2.4 years | \$ |

(b) Restricted Stock Awards

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 the Company recognized compensation expense related to restricted stock awards of $\$ 243,000$ and $\$ 800,000$, respectively, and a related tax benefit of $\$ 85,000$ and $\$ 280,000$, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 the Company recognized compensation expense related to restricted stock awards of $\$ 165,000$ and $\$ 585,000$, respectively, and a related tax benefit of $\$ 58,000$ and $\$ 205,000$, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, the total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock awards was $\$ 1.9$ million and the related weighted average period over which it is expected to be recognized is approximately 2.3 years.

The following table summarizes restricted stock award activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

|  |  | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Grant <br> Date Fair <br> Value |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2011 | Shares | 164,880 | $\$$ |
| 16.29 |  |  |  |
| Granted | 90,676 | $\$$ | 14.02 |
| Vested | $(49,976)$ | $\$$ | 17.99 |
| Forfeited | $(3,496)$ | $\$$ | 15.32 |
| Nonvested at September 30, 2012 | 202,084 | $\$$ | 14.87 |

The vesting date fair value of restricted stock awards vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was $\$ 694,000$.
The following table summarizes restricted stock award activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Shares | Weighted- |
| :---: |
| Average |
| Grant |
| Date Fair |
| Value |
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| Nonvested at December 31, 2010 | 118,304 | $\$$ | 18.28 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Granted | 80,723 | $\$$ | 14.79 |
| Vested | $(28,008)$ | $\$$ | 20.74 |
| Forfeited | $(3,317)$ | $\$$ | 15.14 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Nonvested at September 30, 2011 | 167,702 | $\$$ | 16.25 |

The vesting date fair value of restricted stock awards vested during the nine months ended September 30,2011 was $\$ 380,000$.
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## NOTE 7. Investment Securities

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair values of investment securities at the dates indicated were as follows:

| Securities Available for Sale | Amortized Cost | Gross Gross <br> Unrealized Unrealized <br> Gains Losses <br> (In thousands)  |  |  |  | Fair <br> Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government-sponsored agencies | \$ 22,028 | \$ | 56 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 22,083 |
| Municipal securities | 38,088 |  | 1,853 |  | (2) |  | 39,939 |
| Corporate securities | 1,999 |  | 9 |  |  |  | 2,008 |
| Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations-residential: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored agencies | 82,131 |  | 1,733 |  | (212) |  | 83,652 |
| Total | \$ 144,246 | \$ | 3,651 | \$ | (215) |  | 47,682 |
| December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government-sponsored agencies | \$ 31,069 | \$ | 238 | \$ |  | \$ | 31,307 |
| Municipal securities | 31,847 |  | 1,578 |  | (2) |  | 33,423 |
| Corporate securities | 8,016 |  | 81 |  |  |  | 8,097 |
| Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations-residential: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored agencies | 70,431 |  | 1,541 |  | (197) |  | 71,775 |
| Total | \$ 141,363 | \$ | 3,438 | \$ | (199) |  | 44,602 |


| Securities Held to Maturity | Amortized Cost |  | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government-sponsored agencies | \$ | 1,755 | \$ | 288 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,043 |
| Municipal securities |  | 3,351 |  | 223 |  |  |  | 3,574 |
| Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations-residential: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored agencies |  | 4,543 |  | 320 |  |  |  | 4,863 |
| Private residential collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 1,184 |  | 164 |  | (55) |  | 1,293 |
| Total | \$ | 10,833 | \$ | 995 | \$ | (55) | \$ | 11,773 |
| December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government-sponsored agencies | \$ | 1,799 | \$ | 280 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,079 |
| Municipal securities |  | 3,566 |  | 237 |  |  |  | 3,803 |
| Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations-residential: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored agencies |  | 5,412 |  | 331 |  |  |  | 5,743 |
| Private residential collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 1,316 |  | 102 |  | (162) |  | 1,256 |
| Total | \$ | 12,093 | \$ | 950 | \$ | (162) | \$ | 12,881 |
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Available for sale investments with unrealized losses as of September 30, 2012, were as follows:

|  | Less than 12 Months |  |  |  | 12 Months or Longer |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Unrealized <br> Value  <br> Losses  <br> (In thousands)  |  |  | Fair Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  |
| U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government-sponsored agencies | \$ |  | \$ | 1 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | 499 | \$ | 1 |
| Municipal securities |  | 730 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 730 |  | 2 |
| Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations-residential: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored agencies |  | 1,087 |  | 131 | 5,518 |  | 81 |  | 6,605 |  | 212 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities |  | 2,316 | \$ | 134 | \$ 5,518 | \$ | 81 |  | 7,834 | \$ | 215 |

Held to maturity investments with unrealized losses as of September 30, 2012, were as follows:

|  | Less than 12 Months |  | 12 Months or Longer |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Fair }}{\text { Value }}$ | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value $\qquad$ | Un | lized es s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fair } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations-residential: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private residential collateralized mortgage obligations | \$ | \$ | \$ 323 | \$ | 55 | \$ 323 | \$ | 55 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ | \$ | \$ 323 | \$ | 55 | \$ 323 | \$ | 55 |

Available for sale investments with unrealized losses as of December 31, 2011, were as follows:

|  | Less th <br> Fair <br> Value |  |  |  |  | onth |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Unrealized <br> Value Losses <br> (In thousands)  |  |  | Fair <br> Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  |
| Municipal securities | \$ | 652 | \$ | 2 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | 652 | \$ | 2 |
| Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations-residential: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored agencies |  | 17,211 |  | 188 | 36 |  | 9 |  | ,247 |  | 197 |
| Private residential collateralized mortgage obligations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total temporarily impaired securities |  | 17,863 | \$ | 190 | \$ 36 | \$ | 9 |  | ,899 | \$ | 199 |

Held to maturity investments with unrealized losses as of December 31, 2011, were as follows:

Less than 12 Months
12 Months or
Longer
Total
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|  | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Unrealized <br> Value <br> Losses <br> (In thousands)  |  |  | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations-residential: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private residential collateralized mortgage obligations | \$ 134 | \$ | 14 | \$ 533 | \$ | 148 | \$ 667 | \$ | 162 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 134 | \$ | 14 | \$ 533 | \$ | 148 | \$ 667 | \$ | 162 |
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The Company has evaluated these securities and has determined that, other than the six securities discussed below, the decline in their value is temporary. The unrealized losses are primarily due to unusually large spreads in the market for mortgage-related products. The fair value of the mortgage backed securities and the collateralized mortgage obligations is expected to recover as the securities approach their maturity date and/or as the pricing spreads narrow on mortgage-related securities. The Company has the ability and intent to hold the investments until recovery of the market value.

The amortized cost and fair value of securities at September 30, 2012, by contractual maturity, are set forth below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| Securities Available for Sale | Amortized <br> Cost <br> (In thousands) | Fair <br> Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Due in one year or less | $\$ 25,262$ | $\$ 25,336$ |
| Due after one year through three years | 80,825 | 82,414 |
| Due after three years through five years | 1,675 | 1,704 |
| Due after five through ten years | 3,431 | 3,550 |
| Due after ten years | 33,053 | 34,678 |
|  |  |  |
| Totals | $\$ 144,246$ | $\$ 147,682$ |


| Securities Held to Maturity | Amortized <br> Cost <br> (In thousands) | Fair <br> Value |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Due in one year or less | $\$ 511$ | $\$$ | 516 |
| Due after one year through three years | 6,176 | 6,625 |  |
| Due after three years through five years | 825 | 865 |  |
| Due after five years through ten years | 648 | 700 |  |
| Due after ten years | 2,673 | 3,067 |  |
| Totals | $\$ 10,833$ | $\$ 11,773$ |  |

For the private residential collateralized mortgage obligations the Company estimated expected future cash flows of the securities by estimating the expected future cash flows of the underlying collateral and applying those collateral cash flows, together with any credit enhancements such as subordination interests owned by third parties, to the security. The expected future cash flows of the underlying collateral are determined using the remaining contractual cash flows adjusted for future expected credit losses (which considers current delinquencies and nonperforming assets, future expected default rates and collateral value by vintage and geographic region) and prepayments. The expected cash flows of the security are then discounted at the interest rate used to recognize interest income on the security to arrive at a present value amount. For the three months ended September 30, 2012, one private residential collateralized mortgage obligation was determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired and for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, six private residential collateralized mortgage obligations were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired. The Company recorded $\$ 14,000$ and $\$ 52,000$ in impairments on private residential collateralized mortgage obligations not related to credit losses through other comprehensive income rather than earnings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The average prepayment rate and discount interest rate used in the valuations of the present value were $6.00 \%$ and $7.42 \%$, respectively.

The following table summarizes activity related to the amount of other-than-temporary impairments on held to maturity securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

| Life-to-Date | Life-to-Date | Life-to-Date Net |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross | Other- | Other- |
| Other- | Than- | Than- |
| Than-Temporary | Temporary | Temporary |
|  |  | Impairments |
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|  | Impairments | Impairments <br> Included in <br> Other <br> Comprehensive <br> Income (Loss) <br> (In thousands) | Included in <br> Earnings |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| December 31, 2011 | $\$ 1,100$ | $\$$ | 1,335 |  |
| Additions: <br> Subsequent impairments | $\$ 2,435$ | 112 | 52 | 60 |
| September 30, 2012 | $\$ 2,547$ | $\$$ | 1,152 | $\$$ |
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Details of private residential collateralized mortgage obligation securities received in 2008 from the redemption-in-kind of the AMF Ultra Short Mortgage Fund ( Fund ) as of September 30, 2012 were as follows:

(1) Life-to-date impairment charge represents impairment charges recognized in earnings subsequent to redemption of the Fund.
(2) Level two valuation assumptions were used to determine the fair value of the held to maturity securities in the Fund.

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and fair value of securities pledged as collateral for the following obligations as of September 30, 2012:

|  | Amortized <br> Cost <br> (In thousands) | Fair <br> Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Washington and Oregon State to secure public deposits | $\$ 54,560$ | $\$ 57,239$ |
| Federal Reserve Bank to secure borrowings | 6,005 | 6,036 |
| Repurchase agreements | 18,547 | 18,690 |
| Total | $\$ 79,112$ | $\$ 81,965$ |
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## NOTE 8. Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The Banks are required to maintain an investment in the stock of the FHLB of Seattle in an amount equal to the greater of $\$ 500,000$ or $0.50 \%$ of residential mortgage loans and pass-through securities or an advance requirement to be confirmed on the date of the advance and $5.0 \%$ of the outstanding balance of mortgage loans sold to the FHLB of Seattle. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company was required to maintain an investment in the stock of FHLB of Seattle of at least $\$ 1.2$ million. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had an investment in FHLB stock carried at a cost basis (par value) of $\$ 5.5$ million.

The Company evaluated its investment in FHLB of Seattle stock for other-than-temporary impairment, consistent with its accounting policy. Based on the Company s evaluation of the underlying investment, including the long-term nature of the investment, the liquidity position of the FHLB of Seattle, the actions being taken by the FHLB of Seattle to address its regulatory situation and the Company s intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to recover the par value, the Company did not recognize an other-than-temporary impairment loss on its FHLB of Seattle stock. Further, during the quarter ended September 30, 2012, the Federal Housing Finance Agency ( Finance Agency ) granted the FHLB of Seattle authority to repurchase up to $\$ 25$ million of excess capital stock per quarter at par ( $\$ 100$ per share), provided they receive a non-objection for each quarter s repurchase from the Finance Agency. Even though the Company did not recognize an other-than-temporary impairment loss on its FHLB of Seattle stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 or September 30, 2011, further deterioration in the FHLB of Seattle s financial position may result in future impairment losses.

## NOTE 9. Goodwill

The Company s goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired in the purchases of North Pacific Bank in 1998 and Western Washington Bancorp in 2006. The Company s goodwill is assigned to Heritage Bank and is evaluated for impairment at the Heritage Bank level (reporting unit).

In accordance with ASU 2011-08 Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350), an entity is not required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. In other words, before the first step of the existing guidance, the entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that the fair value of goodwill is less than carrying value. The qualitative assessment includes adverse events or circumstances identified that could negatively affect the reporting units fair value as well as positive and mitigating events. Such indicators may include, among others: a significant change in legal factors or in the general business climate; significant change in the Company s stock price and market capitalization; unanticipated competition; and an action or assessment by a regulator. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step process is unnecessary. The entity has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment step for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to the first step of the exiting two-step process. The entity can resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period.

The first step of the goodwill impairment test is performed, when considered necessary, by comparing the reporting unit saggregate fair value to its carrying value. Absent other indicators of impairment, if the aggregate fair value exceeds the carrying value, goodwill is not considered impaired and no additional analysis is necessary. If the carrying value of the reporting unit were to exceed the aggregate fair value, a second step would be performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. To measure any impairment loss the implied fair value would be determined in the same manner as if the reporting unit were being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill an impairment charge would be recorded for the difference. No goodwill impairment charges were required for the year ended December 31, 2011. For the three and nine-months ended September 30, 2012, the Company s qualitative assessment concluded that a two-step impairment test of goodwill was not necessary. Even though there was no goodwill impairment at September 30, 2012, adverse events may impact the recoverability of goodwill and could result in a future impairment charge which could have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

## NOTE 10. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values:

Level 1: Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in active exchange markets, or interest in open-end mutual funds that allow the Company to sell its ownership interest back to the fund at net asset value on a daily basis. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets, liabilities, or funds.
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Level 2: Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in less active dealer, or broker markets, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities or quoted prices in markets that are not active.
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Level 3: Valuations for assets and liabilities that are derived from other valuation methodologies, such as option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques, and not based on market exchange, dealer, or broker traded transactions. Level 3 valuations incorporate certain assumptions and projections in determining the fair value assigned to such assets or liabilities.

The Company used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate fair value:

Investment Securities Available for Sale and Held to Maturity: The fair value of all investment securities are based upon the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the security. If available, investment securities are determined by quoted market prices (Level 1). For investment securities where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are calculated based on market prices on similar securities (Level 2). Level 2 includes U.S. Treasury, U.S. government and agency debt securities, municipal securities, corporate securities and mortgage-backed securities. For investment securities where quoted prices or market prices of similar securities are not available, fair values are calculated by using observable and unobservable inputs such as discounted cash flows or other market indicators (Level 3). Security valuations are obtained from third party pricing services for comparable assets or liabilities.

Impaired Loans: At the time a loan is considered impaired, it is valued at the lower of cost or fair value. Impaired loans carried at fair value generally receive specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses. For collateral dependent loans, fair value is commonly based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. Non-real estate collateral may be valued using an appraisal, net book value per the borrower s financial statements, or aging reports, adjusted or discounted based on management s historical knowledge, changes in market conditions from the time of the valuation, and management sexpertise and knowledge of the client and client s business (Level 3). Impaired loans are evaluated on a quarterly basis for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly.

Other Real Estate Owned: Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. These assets are subsequently accounted for at lower of cost or fair value less estimated costs to sell. Fair value is commonly based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available (Level 3).

Appraisals for both collateral-dependent impaired loans and other real estate owned are performed by certified general appraisers for commercial properties or certified residential appraisers for residential properties whose qualifications and licenses have been reviewed and verified by the Company. Once received, the Company reviews the assumptions and approaches utilized in the appraisal as well as the overall resulting fair value in comparison with independent data sources such as recent market data or industry-wide statistics. On a quarterly basis, the Company compares the actual selling price of collateral that has been liquidated to the most recent appraised value to determine what additional adjustment should be made to the appraisal value to arrive at fair value.

The following table summarizes the balances of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2012.

|  | Total | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Level } 1 \quad \text { Level } 2 \\ \text { (In thousands) } \end{array}$ |  | Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment Securities Available for Sale: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government-sponsored agencies | \$ 22,083 | \$ | \$ 22,083 | \$ |
| Municipal securities | 39,939 |  | 39,939 |  |
| Corporate securities | 2,008 |  | 2,008 |  |
| Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations - residential: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S Government-sponsored agencies | 83,652 |  | 83,652 |  |
| Total | \$ 147,682 | \$ | \$ 147,682 | \$ |

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2012.
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The following table summarizes the balances of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2011.

|  | Total | Level 1 Level 2 (In thousands) |  | Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment Securities Available for Sale: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government-sponsored agencies | \$ 31,307 | \$ | \$ 31,307 | \$ |
| Municipal securities | 33,423 |  | 33,423 |  |
| Corporate securities | 8,097 |  | 8,097 |  |
| Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations residential |  |  |  |  |
| U.S Government-sponsored agencies | 71,775 |  | 71,775 |  |
| Total | \$ 144,602 | \$ | \$ 144,602 | \$ |

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the year ended December 31, 2011.
The Company may be required to measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These adjustments to fair value usually result from application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets. The tables below represent assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the year ended December 31, 2011 that were still held in the balance sheet at the end of such periods.

Fair Value at September 30, 2012

|  | Basis (1) | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Net Losses <br> Recorded in Earnings During the Three Months Ended September 30E 2012 |  | Net Losses <br> Recorded in Earnings During the Nine Months <br> nded September 30 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired originated loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial business | \$ 13,131 | \$ 10,933 | \$ | \$ | \$ 10,933 | \$ | 1,041 | \$ | 1,361 |
| One-to-four family residential | 849 | 796 |  |  | 796 |  | (23) |  | 75 |
| Real estate construction and land development | 7,665 | 5,810 |  |  | 5,810 |  | (62) |  | 447 |
| Total impaired originated loans | 21,645 | 17,539 |  |  | 17,539 |  | 956 |  | 1,883 |
| Investment securities held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage back securities and collateralized mortgage obligations residential: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private residential collateralized mortgage obligations | 69 | 61 |  | 61 |  |  |  |  | 60 |
| Other real estate owned | 2,026 | 1,616 |  |  | 1,616 |  |  |  | 310 |
| Total | \$ 23,740 | \$ 19,216 | \$ | \$ 61 | \$ 19,155 | \$ | 956 | \$ | 2,253 |

(1) Basis represents the unpaid principal balance of impaired originated loans, amortized cost of investment securities held to maturity, and carrying value at ownership date of other real estate owned.
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|  |  | Total |  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Net Losses Recorded in Earnings During the Year Ended December 31, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired originated loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial business | \$ 12,058 | \$ | 9,741 | \$ | \$ | \$ 9,741 | \$ | 1,656 |
| One-to-four family residential | 835 |  | 648 |  |  | 648 |  | 187 |
| Real estate construction and land development | 13,600 |  | 11,633 |  |  | 11,633 |  | 2,677 |
| Total impaired originated loans | 26,493 |  | 22,022 |  |  | 22,022 |  | 4,520 |
| Investment securities held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage back securities and collateralized mortgage obligations residential: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private residential collateralized mortgage obligations | 191 |  | 106 |  | 106 |  |  | 98 |
| Other real estate owned | 594 |  | 494 |  |  | 494 |  | 99 |
| Total | \$ 27,278 |  | 22,622 | \$ | \$ 106 | \$ 22,516 | \$ | 4,717 |

(1) Basis represents the unpaid principal balance of impaired originated loans, amortized cost of investment securities held to maturity, and carrying value at ownership date of other real estate owned.
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The following table presents quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements for financial instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at the date indicated.

|  | September 30, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair <br> Value | Valuation Technique(s) | Unobservable Input(s) (Dollars in thousands) | Range (Weighted Average) |
| Impaired originated loans | Adjustment for differences between |  |  |  |
|  | \$ 9,620 | Market approach | the comparable sales | 1.6\%-100.0\% (29.2\%) |
| Other real estate owned | Adjustment for differences between |  |  |  |
|  | \$ 1,616 | Market approach | the comparable sales | 16.2\%-31.0\% (18.5\%) |

Because broadly traded markets do not exist for most of the Company s financial instruments, the fair value calculations attempt to incorporate the effect of current market conditions at a specific time. These determinations are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and do not include tax ramifications; therefore, the results cannot be determined with precision, substantiated by comparison to independent markets and may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the instruments. There may be inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, could significantly affect the results. For all of these reasons, the aggregation of the fair value calculations presented herein do not represent, and should not be construed to represent, the underlying value of the Company.

The tables below present the carrying value amount of the Company $s$ financial instruments and their corresponding estimated fair values at the dates indicated.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrr} & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { September 30, 2012 } \\
\text { Fair Value Measurements Using: } \\
\text { Level 1 } \\
\text { Level 2 }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(In thousands)\end{array}\right]\)| Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
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The methods and assumptions, not previously presented, used to estimate fair value are described as follows:

Cash on Hand and in Banks and Interest Earning Deposits: The fair value of financial instruments that are short-term or reprice frequently and accrued interest receivable and payable that have little or no risk are considered to have a fair value equal to carrying value (Level 1).

FHLB Stock: FHLB of Seattle stock is not publicly traded, as such, it is not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability.

Loans Receivable and Loans Held for Sale: Fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life (Level 3). While these methodologies are permitted under U.S. GAAP, they are not based on the exit price concept of the fair value required under ASC 820-10, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, and generally produces a higher value.

Accrued Interest Receivable/Payable: The fair value of accrued interest receivable/payable balances are determined using inputs and fair value measurements commensurate with the asset from which the accrued interest is generated. The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate fair value (Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3).

Deposits: For deposits with no contractual maturity, the fair value is assumed to equal the carrying value (Level 1). The fair value of fixed maturity deposits is based on discounted cash flows using the difference between the deposit rate and the rates offered by the Company for deposits of similar remaining maturities (Level 3).

Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase: Securities sold under agreement to repurchase are short-term in nature, repricing on a daily basis. Fair value financial instruments that are short-term or reprice frequently and that have little or no risk are considered to have a fair value equal to carrying value (Level 1).

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments: The majority of our commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and commitments to sell mortgage loans carry current market interest rates if converted to loans, as such, no premium or discount was ascribed to these commitments (Level 1).

## NOTE 11. Definitive Agreement

On September 14, 2012, the Company announced that it had entered into a definitive agreement along with its financial institution subsidiary, Heritage Bank, to acquire Northwest Commercial Bank ( NCB ) headquartered in Lakewood, Washington for cash consideration of $\$ 3.0$ million, or $\$ 5.50$ per share. Pursuant to the agreement, the NCB shareholders have the ability to potentially receive additional consideration based on an earn-out structure, which could provide an additional $\$ 1.8$ million, or $\$ 3.34$ per NCB share. Prior to the closing of the transaction, NCB will redeem its outstanding preferred stock of approximately $\$ 2.0$ million issued to the U.S. Treasury in connection with its participation in the Troubled Asset Relief Program Capital Purchase Plan.

The boards of the Company, Heritage Bank and NCB unanimously approved the acquisition, which is also subject to approval by NCB s shareholders, as well as regulatory approvals and other customary conditions of closing. The acquisition will be accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. Upon closing of the transaction, which is anticipated to take place in the fourth quarter of 2012, NCB will be merged with and into Heritage Bank. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had incurred $\$ 179,000$ of costs related to this acquisition.
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## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion is intended to assist in understanding the financial condition and results of the Company as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The information contained in this section should be read with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and its accompanying notes, and the December 31, 2011 audited consolidated financial statements and its accompanying notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## Overview

Heritage Financial Corporation is a bank holding company, which primarily engages in the business activities of our wholly owned subsidiaries: Heritage Bank and Central Valley Bank (collectively, the Banks ). We provide financial services to our local communities with an ongoing strategic focus in expanding our commercial lending relationships, market area and a continual focus on asset quality. At September 30, 2012, we had total assets of $\$ 1.37$ billion and total stockholders equity of $\$ 202.2$ million. The Company s business activities generally are limited to passive investment activities and oversight of its investment in the Banks. Accordingly, the information set forth in this report relates primarily to the Banks operations.

Our business consists primarily of lending and deposit relationships with small businesses and their owners in our market areas and attracting deposits from the general public. We also make real estate construction and land development loans, one-to-four family residential loans, and consumer loans and originate for sale or investment purposes first mortgage loans on residential properties located in western and central Washington State and the greater Portland, Oregon area.

Our core profitability depends primarily on our net interest income after provision for loan losses. Net interest income is the difference between interest income, which is the income that we earn on interest-earning assets, comprised primarily of loans and investments, and interest expense, the amount we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities, which are primarily deposits and borrowings. The results of our operations may also be affected by local and general economic conditions. Changes in levels of interest rates affect our net interest income. Management strives to match the repricing characteristics of the interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities to protect net interest income from changes in market interest rates and changes in the shape of the yield curve.

The provision for loan losses is dependent on changes in the loan portfolio and management s assessment of the collectability of the loan portfolio as well as prevailing economic and market conditions. The allowance for loan losses reflects the amount that the Company believes is appropriate to cover probable credit losses in its loan portfolio. Additionally, net income is affected by non-interest income and non-interest expenses. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, non-interest income consisted of gains on the sale of loans, service charges on deposits, merchant Visa income (net), change in the FDIC indemnification asset and other operating income. Non-interest expenses consist primarily of salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment, data processing, professional services and other expenses. Salaries and employee benefits consist primarily of the salaries and wages paid to our employees, payroll taxes, expenses for retirement and other employee benefits. Occupancy and equipment expenses, which are the fixed and variable costs of buildings and equipment, consist primarily of lease payments, taxes, depreciation charges, maintenance and costs of utilities.

Results of operations may also be affected significantly by general and local economic and competitive conditions, changes in market interest rates, governmental policies and actions of regulatory authorities.

Net interest income is affected by changes in the volume and mix of interest earning assets, interest earned on those assets, the volume and mix of interest bearing liabilities and interest paid on interest bearing liabilities. Other income and other expenses are impacted by growth of operations and growth in the number of loan and deposit accounts through both acquisitions and core banking business growth. Growth in operations affects other expenses primarily as a result of additional employees, branch facilities and marketing expense. Growth in the number of loan and deposit accounts affects other income, including service charges as well as other expenses such as data processing services, supplies, postage, telecommunications and other miscellaneous expenses.

## Earnings Summary

Net income available to shareholders was $\$ 0.19$ per diluted common share for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 0.12$ per diluted common share for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was $\$ 2.9$ million compared to net income of $\$ 1.8$ million for the same period in 2011. The increase was primarily the result of a $\$ 2.4$ million decrease in the provision for loan losses and a $\$ 1.3$ million increase in non-interest income partially offset by a $\$ 1.3$ million decrease in net interest income and a $\$ 718,000$ increase in non-interest expense.
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Net income available to shareholders was $\$ 0.67$ per diluted common share for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 0.27$ per diluted common share for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was $\$ 10.2$ million compared to net income of $\$ 4.3$ million for the same period in 2011. The increase was primarily the result of a $\$ 9.8$ million decrease in the provision for loan losses and a $\$ 2.1$ million increase in non-interest income partially offset by a $\$ 530,000$ increase in non-interest expense and a $\$ 2.2$ million decrease in net interest income.
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The Company s efficiency ratio increased to $71.5 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from $67.2 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2011, partially as a result of the decrease in net interest income. The Company s efficiency ratio increased to $69.9 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from $68.8 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, partially as a result of the decrease in net interest income. While growth strategies are being executed, the Company expects to incur higher expenses as evidenced by the current efficiency ratio. Expenses are expected to be more in line with revenue when these growth strategies begin producing long term results. The efficiency ratio consists of non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income before provision for loan losses plus non-interest income.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income decreased $\$ 1.3$ million, or $7.8 \%$, to $\$ 16.0$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared with $\$ 17.3$ million for the same period in 2011. Net interest income decreased $\$ 2.2$ million, or $4.3 \%$, to $\$ 48.9$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared with $\$ 51.1$ million for the same period in 2011. The decrease in net interest income for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily a result of a decrease in the net interest margin. Net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets (net interest margin) for the three months ended September 30, 2012, decreased 37 basis points to $5.10 \%$ from $5.47 \%$ for the same period in 2011. The net interest margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, decreased 26 basis points to $5.23 \%$ from $5.49 \%$ for the same period in 2011. The decrease in net interest margin for the both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to decreased loan yields partially offset by decreased costs of interest bearing deposits. The net interest spread for the three months ended September 30, 2012 decreased to $4.97 \%$ from $5.30 \%$ for the same period in 2011 . The net interest spread for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased to $5.09 \%$ from $5.31 \%$ for the same period in 2011.

The following table provides relevant net interest income information for the dates indicated. The average loan balances presented in the table are net of allowances for loan losses. Nonaccrual loans have been included in the tables as loans carrying a zero yield. Yields on tax-exempt securities and loans have not been stated on a tax-equivalent basis.

|  | Average Balance |  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  | Average Yield/Rate(1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2012 | Average Yield/Rate(1) <br> (Dollars in | Average <br> Balance housands) |  | 2011 <br> Interest <br> Earned/ <br> Paid |  |  |
|  |  |  | Interest <br> Earned/ <br> Paid |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Earning Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$ | 999,915 | \$ 16,181 | 6.44\% |  | 988,783 |  | 17,850 | 7.16\% |
| Taxable securities |  | 122,325 | 525 | 1.71 |  | 134,213 |  | 792 | 2.34 |
| Nontaxable securities |  | 38,695 | 274 | 2.81 |  | 25,784 |  | 214 | 3.30 |
| Interest earning deposits and Federal funds sold |  | 77,077 | 51 | 0.26 |  | 99,559 |  | 65 | 0.26 |
| FHLB stock |  | 5,590 |  |  |  | 5,594 |  |  |  |
| Total interest earning assets |  | 1,243,602 | \$ 17,031 | 5.45 |  | 1,253,933 |  | 18,921 | 5.99 |
| Non-interest earning assets |  | 107,403 |  |  |  | 102,420 |  |  |  |
| Total assets |  | 1,351,005 |  |  |  | 1,356,353 |  |  |  |
| Interest Bearing Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ | 301,289 | \$ 709 | 0.94 | \$ | 351,123 |  | 1,035 | 1.17 |
| Savings accounts |  | 113,096 | 46 | 0.16 |  | 101,080 |  | 80 | 0.32 |
| Interest bearing demand and money market accounts |  | 467,488 | 306 | 0.26 |  | 463,443 |  | 489 | 0.42 |
| Total interest bearing deposits |  | 881,873 | 1,061 | 0.48 |  | 915,646 |  | 1,604 | 0.70 |
| FHLB advances and other borrowings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase |  | 15,999 | 15 | 0.36 |  | 19,015 |  | 18 | 0.39 |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | \$ | 897,872 | \$ 1,076 | 0.48\% | \$ | 934,661 |  | 1,622 | 0.69\% |
| Demand and other non-interest bearing deposits |  | 242,478 |  |  |  | 208,666 |  |  |  |
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| Other non-interest bearing liabilities | 8,605 |  |  | 6,170 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stockholders equity | 202,050 |  |  | 206,856 |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 1,351,005 |  |  | \$ 1,356,353 |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$ 15,955 |  |  | \$ 17,299 |  |
| Net interest spread |  |  | 4.97\% |  |  | 5.30\% |
| Net interest margin |  |  | 5.10\% |  |  | 5.47\% |
| Average interest earning assets to average interest bearing liabilities |  |  | 138.51\% |  |  | 134.16\% |

(1) Annualized
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|  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |  |
|  |  | Interest <br> Earned/ Paid | Average <br> Yield/ <br> Rate(1) <br> (Dollars in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Balance } \\ & \text { housands) } \end{aligned}$ | Interest <br> Earned/ <br> Paid | Average <br> Yield/ <br> Rate(1) |
| Interest Earning Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$ 996,712 | \$ 49,664 | 6.66\% | \$ 978,011 | \$ 53,252 | 7.28\% |
| Taxable securities | 123,389 | 1,781 | 1.93 | 129,579 | 2,223 | 2.29 |
| Nontaxable securities | 36,768 | 797 | 2.90 | 23,624 | 592 | 3.35 |
| Interest earning deposits and Federal funds sold | 84,397 | 167 | 0.26 | 105,621 | 206 | 0.26 |
| FHLB stock | 5,593 |  |  | 5,594 |  |  |
| Total interest earning assets | \$ 1,246,859 | \$ 52,409 | 5.61 | \$ 1,242,429 | \$ 56,273 | 6.06 |
| Non-interest earning assets | 104,660 |  |  | 103,871 |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 1,351,519 |  |  | \$ 1,346,300 |  |  |
| Interest Bearing Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ 311,546 | \$ 2,376 | 1.02 | \$ 360,743 | \$ 3,345 | 1.24 |
| Savings accounts | 111,357 | 162 | 0.19 | 102,600 | 300 | 0.39 |
| Interest bearing demand and money market accounts | 466,569 | 963 | 0.28 | 450,681 | 1,516 | 0.45 |
| Total interest bearing deposits | 889,472 | 3,501 | 0.53 | 914,024 | 5,161 | 0.75 |
| FHLB advances and other borrowings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 17,992 | 49 | 0.36 | 19,166 | 61 | 0.42 |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | \$ 907,464 | \$ 3,550 | 0.52\% | \$ 933,190 | \$ 5,222 | 0.75\% |
| Demand and other non-interest bearing deposits | 232,301 |  |  | 199,853 |  |  |
| Other non-interest bearing liabilities | 7,729 |  |  | 7,669 |  |  |
| Stockholders equity | 204,025 |  |  | 205,588 |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 1,351,519 |  |  | \$ 1,346,300 |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$ 48,859 |  |  | \$ 51,051 |  |
| Net interest spread |  |  | 5.09\% |  |  | 5.31\% |
| Net interest margin |  |  | 5.23\% |  |  | 5.49\% |
| Average interest earning assets to average interest bearing liabilities |  |  | 137.40\% |  |  | 133.14\% |

## (1) Annualized

Total interest income decreased $\$ 1.9$ million, or $10.0 \%$, to $\$ 17.0$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, from $\$ 18.9$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Total interest income decreased $\$ 3.9$ million, or $6.9 \%$, to $\$ 52.4$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, from $\$ 56.3$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in interest income for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to lower yields on interest earning assets.

The balance of average interest earning assets (including nonaccrual loans) decreased $\$ 10.3$ million, or $0.8 \%$, to $\$ 1.24$ billion for the three months ended September 30, 2012, from $\$ 1.25$ billion for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The balance of average interest earning assets (including nonaccrual loans) increased $\$ 4.4$ million, or $0.4 \%$, to $\$ 1.25$ billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, from $\$ 1.24$ billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in average interest earning assets for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to a decrease in interest earning deposits. The increase in average interest earning asset for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to an increase in originated loans.
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The yield on total interest earning assets decreased 54 basis points from $5.99 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2011 to $5.45 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The yield on total interest earning assets decreased 45 basis points from $6.06 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to $5.61 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The effect of discount accretion on loan yields for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 was approximately 61 basis points and 91 basis points, respectively. The effect of discount accretion on loan yields for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and
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September 30, 2011 was approximately 64 basis points and 88 basis points, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, originated nonaccruing loans reduced the yield earned on loans by approximately eight basis points and 13 basis points, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, originated nonaccruing loans reduced the yield earned on loans by approximately nine basis points and 15 basis points, respectively. Originated nonaccrual loans totaled $\$ 15.7$ million at September 30, 2012 as compared to $\$ 25.8$ million at September 30, 2011.

Total interest expense decreased by $\$ 546,000$, or $33.7 \%$, to $\$ 1.1$ million for the three months ended September 302012 from $\$ 1.6$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Total interest expense decreased by $\$ 1.7$ million, or $32.0 \%$, to $\$ 3.6$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from $\$ 5.2$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in interest expense for both the three and nine months was primarily attributable to lower average rates on interest bearing liabilities and to a lesser extent lower average balances of interest bearing liabilities.

The average cost of interest bearing liabilities decreased 21 basis points to $0.48 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from $0.69 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Total average interest bearing liabilities decreased by $\$ 36.8$ million, or $3.9 \%$, to $\$ 897.9$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from $\$ 934.7$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The average cost of interest bearing liabilities decreased 23 basis points to $0.52 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from $0.75 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Total average interest bearing liabilities decreased by $\$ 25.7$ million, or $2.8 \%$, to $\$ 907.5$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from $\$ 933.2$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decreases in average interest bearing liabilities for both the three and nine months were due primarily to certificate of deposit runoff.

Deposit interest expense decreased $\$ 543,000$, or $33.9 \%$, to $\$ 1.1$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 1.6$ million for the same quarter last year. Deposit interest expense decreased $\$ 1.7$ million, or $32.2 \%$, to $\$ 3.5$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 5.2$ million for the same period in 2011. Due to the low interest rate environment the decrease in deposit interest expense for both the three and nine months ended September 302012 is primarily a result of a 22 and 23 basis point decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing deposits, respectively, and to a lesser extent the certificate of deposit runoff.

## Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses decreased $\$ 2.4$ million, or $74.9 \%$, to $\$ 807,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from $\$ 3.2$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The provision for loan losses decreased $\$ 9.8$ million, or $88.1 \%$, to $\$ 1.3$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from $\$ 11.1$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

There was a $\$ 215,000$ and $\$ 415,000$ provision for loan losses on originated loans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to $\$ 395,000$ and $\$ 5.0$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The Banks had net charge-offs on originated loans of $\$ 525,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 19,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The ratio of net charge-offs to average total originated loans outstanding was $0.24 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and insignificant for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The Banks had net charge-offs on originated loans of $\$ 2.2$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 4.7$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The ratio of net charge-offs to average total originated loans outstanding was $0.26 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30,2012 and $0.59 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

The Banks have established comprehensive methodologies for determining the allowance for loan losses. On a quarterly basis the Banks perform an analysis taking into consideration pertinent factors underlying the credit quality of the loan portfolio. These factors include changes in the amount and composition of the loan portfolio, historical loss experience for various loan classes, changes in economic conditions, delinquency rates, a detailed analysis of individual loans on nonaccrual status, and other factors to determine the level of the allowance for loan losses. The allowance for loan losses on originated loans decreased by $\$ 1.8$ million, or $8.0 \%$, to $\$ 20.5$ million at September 30, 2012 from $\$ 22.3$ million at December 31, 2011. As of September 30, 2012, the Banks identified $\$ 30.9$ million of impaired originated loans, which includes $\$ 15.3$ million of restructured originated performing loans. Of those impaired loans, $\$ 12.8$ million have no allowances for credit losses as their estimated collateral value is equal to or exceeds their carrying costs. The remaining $\$ 18.1$ million have related allowances for credit losses totaling $\$ 5.1$ million.

Based on the comprehensive methodology, management deemed the allowance for loan losses on originated loans of $\$ 20.5$ million at September 30, 2012 ( $2.35 \%$ of total originated loans and $149.94 \%$ of nonperforming originated loans, net of amounts guaranteed by governmental agencies) appropriate to provide for probable incurred losses based on an evaluation of known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio at that date.
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The provision for loan losses on purchased loans for the three months ended September 30, 2012 totaled $\$ 592,000$ compared to $\$ 2.8$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The provision for loan losses on purchased loans for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 totaled $\$ 902,000$ compared to $\$ 6.1$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The reduction in provision expense for both the three and nine months periods was due substantially to less significant decreases in the estimated cash flows in certain pools of acquired loans compared to more significant decreases in such cash flow estimates in prior periods. As of the acquisition dates, purchased loans were recorded at their estimated fair value, incorporating our estimate of future expected cash
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flows until the ultimate resolution of these credits. To the extent actual or projected cash flows are less than previously estimated, additional provisions for loan losses on the purchased loan portfolios will be recognized immediately into earnings. To the extent actual or projected cash flows are more than previously estimated, the increase in cash flows is recognized immediately as a recapture of provision for loan losses up to the previously recognized provision for that pool of loans, if any, and then prospectively recognized in interest income as a yield adjustment.

While the Banks believe they have established their existing allowances for loan losses in accordance with GAAP, there can be no assurance that regulators, in reviewing the Banks loan portfolios, will not request the Banks to increase significantly their allowance for loan losses. In addition, because future events affecting borrowers and collateral cannot be predicted with certainty, there can be no assurance that the existing allowance for loan losses is appropriate or that increased provisions will not be necessary should the credit quality of the loans deteriorate. Any material increase in the allowance for loan losses would adversely affect the Company s financial condition and results of operations.

## Non-Interest Income

Total non-interest income increased $\$ 1.3$ million, or $538.9 \%$, to $\$ 1.5$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 239,000$ for the same period in 2011 . Total non-interest income increased $\$ 2.1$ million, or $61.7 \%$, to $\$ 5.5$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 3.4$ million for the same period in 2011. The increase for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was due substantially to the effects of the reduction in the amount of change in the FDIC indemnification asset. The change in FDIC indemnification asset was $\$(492,000)$ for the three months ended September 30,2012 compared to $\$(1.7)$ million during the same period in 2011. The change in FDIC indemnification asset was $\$(687,000)$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to $\$(2.6)$ million during the same period in 2011. Merchant Visa income and merchant Visa expense are now reported net in non-interest income (merchant Visa expense was previously reported as non-interest expense). For comparability purposes, prior year amounts have also been netted.

## Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense increased $\$ 718,000$, or $6.1 \%$, to $\$ 12.5$ million during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 11.8$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2011. Non-interest expense increased $\$ 530,000$, or $1.4 \%$, to $\$ 38.0$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 37.4$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The increase for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily the result of the following: increased salaries and benefits expense of $\$ 729,000$, increased occupancy and equipment of $\$ 131,000$ and increased professional services of $\$ 225,000$; and was partially offset by a $\$ 139,000$ decrease in Federal deposit insurance premium. The increase in salaries and benefits expense was due primarily to increased incentive compensation as well as new deferred compensation arrangements. The increase in professional services is substantially due to costs incurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 relating to the proposed acquisition of Northwest Commercial Bank. The acquisition is expected to close during the quarter ending December 31, 2012 subject to regulatory approval and the approval of Northwest Commercial Bank s shareholders. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily the result of the following: increased salaries and employee benefits expense of $\$ 1.5$ million, increased occupancy and equipment expense of $\$ 183,000$ and increased professional services of $\$ 360,000$; partially offset by a $\$ 489,000$ decrease in Federal deposit insurance premium, a $\$ 109,000$ decrease in data processing expense, a $\$ 109,000$ decrease in other real estate owned (net) expense and a $\$ 331,000$ decrease in other loan expense.

## Income Tax Expense

The provision for income taxes increased by $\$ 608,000$, or $86.7 \%$, to $\$ 1.3$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from $\$ 701,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The Company s effective tax rate was $31.4 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to $27.6 \%$ for the same period in 2011. The provision for income taxes increased by $\$ 3.2$ million, or $200.6 \%$, to $\$ 4.8$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from $\$ 1.6$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The Company s effective tax rate was $32.1 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to $27.3 \%$ for the same period in 2011. The increase in the Company s effective tax rate for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 is due substantially to an increase in taxable income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same periods in 2011, which caused nontaxable interest income on municipal securities to represent a smaller percentage of income before income taxes.

## Financial Condition Data

Total assets were $\$ 1.37$ billion as of September 30, 2012, relatively unchanged from December 31, 2011. Decreases in interest earning deposits and purchased loans receivable were primarily offset by an increase in originated loans receivable. For the same period, net loans, which excludes loans held for sale, but are net of the allowance for loan losses, remained unchanged at $\$ 1.00$ billion, reflecting an increase of $\$ 1.5$ million, or $0.2 \%$ due substantially to an increase in originated loans of $\$ 35.8$ million offset by a decrease of $\$ 34.3$ million in purchased loans.
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Deposits decreased by $\$ 2.3$ million, or $0.2 \%$, to $\$ 1.13$ billion as of September 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 1.14$ billion as of December 31, 2011. Securities sold under agreement to repurchase decreased $\$ 202,000$, or $0.9 \%$, to $\$ 22.9$ million as of September 30, 2012 from $\$ 23.1$ million as of December 31, 2011 primarily due to decreases in customer balances.
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Total stockholders equity decreased by $\$ 276,000$, or $0.1 \%$, to $\$ 202.2$ million as of September 30, 2012 from $\$ 202.5$ million at December 31, 2011 as a result of net income of $\$ 10.2$ million and stock compensation and earned ESOP in the amount of $\$ 997,000$, which was partially offset by common stock cash dividends of $\$ 6.4$ million and common stock repurchased of $\$ 5.3$ million. The Company s capital position remains strong at $14.8 \%$ of total assets as of September 30, 2012, unchanged from December 31, 2011.

## Lending Activities

As indicated in the table below, total loans receivable (not including loans held for sale) increased $\$ 235,000$, or $0.02 \%$, to $\$ 1.04$ billion at September 30, 2012 and were relatively unchanged from December 31, 2011. Total originated loans (not including loans held for sale) increased $\$ 34.0$ million, or $4.1 \%$, to $\$ 872.0$ million at September 30, 2012 from $\$ 837.9$ million at December 31, 2011.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { At } \\ \text { September 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | \% of <br> Total <br> (Dollars in | De | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At } \\ & \text { ember 31, } \\ & \text { 2011 } \\ & \text { nds) } \end{aligned}$ | $\% \text { of }$ Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Originated Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial business: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 280,513 | 32.2\% | \$ | 273,590 | 32.6\% |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 191,798 | 22.0 |  | 166,881 | 19.9 |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate | 256,670 | 29.4 |  | 251,049 | 30.0 |
| Total commercial business | 728,981 | 83.6 |  | 691,520 | 82.5 |
| One-to-four family residential mortgages | 39,431 | 4.5 |  | 37,960 | 4.5 |
| Real estate construction and land development: |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-to-four family residential | 25,045 | 2.9 |  | 22,369 | 2.7 |
| Multifamily residential and commercial properties | 50,442 | 5.8 |  | 54,954 | 6.6 |
| Total real estate construction and land development | 75,487 | 8.7 |  | 77,323 | 9.3 |
| Consumer | 29,976 | 3.4 |  | 32,981 | 3.9 |
| Gross originated loans receivable | 873,875 | 100.2 |  | 839,784 | 100.2 |
| Less: deferred loan fees | $(1,916)$ | (0.2) |  | $(1,860)$ | (0.2) |
| Total originated loans | 871,959 | 100.0\% |  | 837,924 | 100.0\% |
| Purchased covered loans | 93,142 |  |  | 109,357 |  |
| Purchased non-covered loans | 70,529 |  |  | 88,114 |  |
| Total loans receivable, net of deferred loan fees | \$ 1,035,630 |  | \$ | 1,035,395 |  |
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## Nonperforming Assets

The following table describes our nonperforming assets for the dates indicated.

(1) $\$ 10.0$ million and $\$ 11.7$ million of nonaccrual originated loans were considered TDRs at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
(2) $\$ 2.0$ million and $\$ 1.8$ million of nonaccrual originated loans were guaranteed by government agencies at September 30, 2012 and December 31 2011, respectively.
(3) $\$ 461,000$ and $\$ 592,000$ of restructured originated performing loans were guaranteed by government agencies at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
(4) There were no accruing originated loans past due 90 days or more that were guaranteed by government agencies at September 30, 2012 and there were $\$ 6,000$ accruing originated loans past due 90 days or more that were guaranteed by government agencies at December 31, 2011.
(5) $\$ 3.1$ million and $\$ 2.8$ million of potential problem originated loans were guaranteed by government agencies at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
(6) Excludes portions guaranteed by government agencies.

Nonperforming originated assets decreased to $\$ 22.7$ million, or $1.72 \%$ of total originated assets, at September 30, 2012 from $\$ 27.0$ million, or $2.14 \%$ of total originated assets, at December 31, 2011 due to a decrease in nonperforming originated loans which was partially offset by an increase in other real estate owned. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, there were $\$ 3.9$ million in charge-offs of originated loans of which $\$ 2.6$ million related to nonperforming commercial business loans and $\$ 920,000$ related to nonperforming real estate construction and land development loans. In addition, nonperforming loan balances totaling $\$ 5.0$ million were transferred to other real estate owned during the
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nine months ended September 30, 2012. Restructured originated performing loans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were $\$ 15.3$ million and $\$ 13.8$ million, respectively. Potential problem originated loans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were $\$ 29.4$ million and $\$ 29.7$ million, respectively. Potential problem loans are those loans that are currently accruing interest and are not considered impaired, but which we are monitoring because the borrower s financial information causes us concerns as to their ability to comply with their loan repayment terms. Loans that are past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest are both well secured and in the process of collection.
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## Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses

Management maintains an allowance for loan losses ( ALL ) to provide for estimated probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The adequacy of the ALL is monitored through our ongoing quarterly loan quality assessments.

We assess the estimated credit losses inherent in our loan portfolio by considering a number of elements including:

Historical loss experience in a number of homogeneous classes of the loan portfolio;

The impact of environmental factors, including:

Levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans;

Levels and trends in charge-offs and recoveries;

Effects of changes in risk selection and underwriting standards, and other changes in lending policies, procedures and practices;

Experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff;

National and local economic trends and conditions;

External factors such as competition, legal, and regulatory requirements; and

Effects of changes in credit concentrations.
We calculate an appropriate ALL for the non-classified and classified performing loans in our loan portfolio by applying historical loss factors for homogeneous classes of the portfolio, adjusted for changes to the above-noted environmental factors. We may record specific provisions for impaired loans, including loans on nonaccrual status and TDRs, after a careful analysis of each loan s credit and collateral factors. Our analysis of an appropriate ALL combines the provisions made for our non-classified loans, classified loans, and the specific provisions made for each impaired loan.

While we believe we use the best information available to determine the allowance for loan losses, results of operations could be significantly affected if circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used in determining the allowance. A further decline in local and national economic conditions, or other factors, could result in a material increase in the allowance for loan losses and may adversely affect the Company s financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the determination of the amount of the allowance for loan losses is subject to review by bank regulators, as part of their routine examination process, which may result in the establishment of additional allowance allocations based upon their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

The following table provides information regarding changes in our allowance for originated loan losses at and for the indicated periods:
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|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Three } \mathrm{V} \\ \text { September 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | ded, tember 30, 2011 (Dollars in | $\quad$ Nine Mo September 30, 2012 sands) |  | ded, ember 30, 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Originated loans outstanding at end of period | \$ 871,959 | \$ | 802,941 | \$ 871,959 | \$ | 802,941 |
| Average originated loans receivable during period | 857,212 |  | 805,474 | 851,174 |  | 792,939 |
| Allowance for originated loan losses at beginning of period | 20,843 |  | 22,011 | 22,317 |  | 22,062 |
| Provision for loan losses on originated loans | 215 |  | 395 | 415 |  | 4,985 |
| Charge offs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial business | (363) |  |  | $(2,555)$ |  | $(2,466)$ |
| One-to-four family residential | (94) |  |  | (170) |  | (15) |
| Real estate construction and land development |  |  |  | (920) |  | $(2,948)$ |
| Consumer | (131) |  | (43) | (238) |  | (160) |
| Total charge offs | (588) |  | (43) | $(3,883)$ |  | $(5,589)$ |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial business | 57 |  | 17 | 1,533 |  | 807 |
| Real estate construction and land development |  |  |  | 125 |  | 103 |
| Consumer | 6 |  | 7 | 26 |  | 19 |
| Total recoveries | 63 |  | 24 | 1,684 |  | 929 |
| Net charge offs | (525) |  | (19) | $(2,199)$ |  | $(4,660)$ |
| Allowance for originated loan losses at end of period | \$ 20,533 | \$ | 22,387 | \$ 20,533 | \$ | 22,387 |
| Allowance for originated loan losses to total originated loans receivable | 2.35\% |  | 2.79\% | 2.35\% |  | 2.79\% |
| Ratio of net charge offs during period to average originated loans receivable | (0.06)\% |  | (0.00)\% | (0.26)\% |  | (0.59)\% |
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The allowance for loan losses for originated loans at September 30, 2012 decreased $\$ 1.8$ million to $\$ 20.5$ million from $\$ 22.3$ million at December 31, 2011. The decrease was substantially due to net charge-offs of $\$ 2.2$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, which was partially offset by a provision for originated loan losses of $\$ 415,000$. Nonperforming originated loans to total originated loans decreased to $1.57 \%$ at September 30, 2012 from 2.57\% at December 31, 2011 and the allowance for originated loan losses to nonperforming originated loans increased to $149.94 \%$ at September 30, 2012 from $103.52 \%$ at December 31, 2011. Potential problem originated loans decreased $\$ 368,000$ to $\$ 29.4$ million at September 30, 2012 from $\$ 29.7$ million at December 31, 2011. Based on management sassessment of loan quality and current economic conditions, the Company believes that its allowance for loan losses was appropriate to absorb the probable incurred losses and inherent risks of loss in the loan portfolio at September 30, 2012.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary sources of funds are customer deposits, loan principal and interest payments, loan sales, interest earned on and proceeds from sales and maturities of investment securities, and advances from the FHLB of Seattle. These funds, together with retained earnings, equity and other borrowed funds, are used to make loans, acquire investment securities and other assets, and fund continuing operations. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and prepayments are greatly influenced by the level of interest rates, economic conditions, and competition. In addition to customer deposits, management may utilize the use of brokered deposits on an as-needed basis.

As indicated in the table below, total deposits increased slightly and were $\$ 1.1$ billion at both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | \% of <br> Total <br> (Dollars in | De | cember 31, <br> 2011 <br> ands) | $\%$ of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-interest demand deposits | \$ | 248,937 | 22.0\% | \$ | 230,993 | 20.4\% |
| NOW accounts |  | 295,715 | 26.1 |  | 304,818 | 26.8 |
| Money market accounts |  | 173,362 | 15.3 |  | 166,913 | 14.7 |
| Savings accounts |  | 120,561 | 10.6 |  | 103,716 | 9.1 |
| Total non-maturity deposits |  | 838,575 | 74.0 |  | 806,440 | 71.0 |
| Certificate of deposit accounts |  | 295,125 | 26.0 |  | 329,604 | 29.0 |
| Total deposits |  | ,133,700 | 100.0\% | \$ | 1,136,044 | 100.0\% |

Since December 31, 2011, non-maturity deposits (total deposits less certificate of deposit accounts) have increased $\$ 32.1$ million to $\$ 838.6$ million and certificate of deposit accounts have decreased $\$ 34.5$ million to $\$ 295.1$ million. As a result, the percentage of certificate of deposit accounts to total deposits decreased to $26.0 \%$ at September 30, 2012 from 29.0\% at December 31, 2011.

Borrowings may also be used on a short-term basis to compensate for reductions in other sources of funds (such as deposit inflows at less than projected levels). Borrowings may also be used on a longer-term basis to support expanded lending activities and match the maturity of repricing intervals of assets. The Banks are utilizing securities sold under agreement to repurchase as a supplement to our funding sources. Our repurchase agreements are secured by available for sale investment securities. At September 30, 2012, the Banks had securities sold under agreement to repurchase totaling $\$ 22.9$ million, a decrease of $\$ 202,000$ from $\$ 23.1$ million at December 31, 2011.

We must maintain an adequate level of liquidity to ensure the availability of sufficient funds to fund loan originations and deposit withdrawals, satisfy other financial commitments, and fund operations. We generally maintain sufficient cash and short-term investments to meet short-term liquidity needs. At September 30, 2012, cash and cash equivalents totaled $\$ 116.9$ million, or $8.6 \%$ of total assets, and the fair value of investment securities classified as either available for sale or classified as held to maturity with

## Table of Contents

maturities of one year or less amounted to $\$ 148.2$ million, or $10.8 \%$ of total assets. At September 30, 2012, the Banks maintained an uncommitted credit facility with the FHLB of Seattle for $\$ 156.2$ million and an uncommitted credit facility with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco for $\$ 59.5$ million. The Banks also maintain advance lines with Zions Bank, Wells Fargo Bank, US Bank and Pacific Coast Bankers Bank to purchase federal funds totaling $\$ 57.8$ million as of September 30, 2012. There were no borrowings outstanding other than repurchase agreements as of September 30, 2012.

Stockholders equity at September 30, 2012 was $\$ 202.2$ million compared with $\$ 202.5$ million at December 31, 2011. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company realized net income of $\$ 10.2$ million, recorded stock compensation and earned ESOP totaling $\$ 997,000$, recorded $\$ 175,000$ in other comprehensive income, paid common stock dividends of $\$ 6.4$ million and repurchased $\$ 5.3$ million of common stock.

## Capital Requirements

The Company is a bank holding company under the supervision of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. Bank holding companies are subject to capital adequacy requirements of the Federal Reserve Board under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and the regulations of the Federal Reserve Board. Heritage Bank and Central Valley Bank are federally insured institutions and thereby subject to the capital requirements established by the FDIC. The Federal Reserve Board capital requirements generally parallel the FDIC requirements. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements and operations. Management believes the Company and the Banks meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

Pursuant to minimum capital requirements of the FDIC, Heritage Bank and Central Valley Bank are required to maintain a leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio) of $3 \%$ and risk-based capital ratios of Tier 1 capital and total capital (to total risk-weighted assets) of $4 \%$ and $8 \%$, respectively. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the most recent regulatory notifications categorized Heritage Bank and Central Valley Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Banks categories.

|  | Minimum Requirements |  | Capitalized Requirements |  | Actual |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { (Dollars in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { ousands) } \end{aligned}$ | \$ | \% |
| As of September 30, 2012: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Company consolidated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets | \$ 39,997 | 3.0\% | \$ N/A | N/A\% | \$ 186,126 | 14.0\% |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 38,898 | 4.0 | N/A | N/A | 186,126 | 19.1 |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 77,795 | 8.0 | N/A | N/A | 198,497 | 20.4 |
| Heritage Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets | 35,203 | 3.0 | 58,672 | 5.0 | 152,378 | 13.0 |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 33,559 | 4.0 | 50,338 | 6.0 | 152,378 | 18.2 |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 67,117 | 8.0 | 83,896 | 10.0 | 163,061 | 19.4 |
| Central Valley Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets | 4,873 | 3.0 | 8,122 | 5.0 | 17,739 | 10.9 |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 5,325 | 4.0 | 7,987 | 6.0 | 17,739 | 13.3 |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 10,650 | 8.0 | 13,313 | 10.0 | 19,423 | 14.6 |
| As of December 31, 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Company consolidated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets | \$ 40,431 | 3.0\% | \$ N/A | N/A\% | \$ 186,253 | 13.8\% |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 39,231 | 4.0 | N/A | N/A | 186,253 | 19.0 |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 78,461 | 8.0 | N/A | N/A | 198,743 | 20.3 |
| Heritage Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets | 35,443 | 3.0 | 59,071 | 5.0 | 148,423 | 12.6 |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 34,601 | 4.0 | 51,901 | 6.0 | 148,423 | 17.2 |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 69,201 | 8.0 | 86,501 | 10.0 | 159,447 | 18.4 |
| Central Valley Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets | 4,975 | 3.0 | 8,291 | 5.0 | 16,754 | 10.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 4,608 | 4.0 | 6,912 | 6.0 | 16,754 | 14.5 |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 9,216 | 8.0 | 11,521 | 10.0 | 18,214 | 15.8 |
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On June 7, 2012, the Federal banking regulatory agencies published notices of proposed rulemakings that would revise and replace the current capital requirements with new minimum capital requirements and certain additional proposed changes would affect the capital ratio requirements. The proposed rules would implement the Basel III regulatory capital reforms released by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. The proposed rules were subject to a comment period through September 7, 2012 and although the comment period has expired, the rules have not yet been finalized. Therefore, it is uncertain whether the proposed rules will be effective in the form proposed or modified in response to comments, including delay of the effective date or other changes that may have a material impact upon the proposed rules and their application to our subsidiary Banks. Considering the form proposed, the subsidiary Banks existing capital levels are above the Basel III capital levels. Additionally, the Banks do not expect our ratios to change significantly as a result of the proposed rules.

Quarterly, the Company reviews the potential payment of cash dividends to its common shareholders. The timing and amount of cash dividends paid on our common stock depends on the Company s earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and other relevant factors. Dividends on common stock from the Company depend substantially upon receipt of dividends from the Banks, which are the Company spredominant sources of income. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company s Board of Directors declared dividends totaling $\$ 0.42$ per share. Additionally, on October 30, 2012, the Company s Board of Directors declared a dividend of $\$ 0.08$ per share payable on November 21, 2012, to shareholders of record on November 9, 2012.

## ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our results of operations are highly dependent upon our ability to manage interest rate risk. We consider interest rate risk to be a significant market risk that could have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Interest rate risk is measured and assessed on a quarterly basis. In our opinion, there has not been a material change in our interest rate risk exposure since the information disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year-ended at December 31, 2011.

We do not maintain a trading account for any class of financial instrument nor do we engage in hedging activities or purchase high-risk derivative instruments. Moreover, we have no material foreign currency exchange rate risk or commodity price risk.

## ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An evaluation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedure (as defined in Section 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Act )) was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the Company s Disclosure Committee as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. In designing and evaluating the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Based on their evaluation, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2012 are effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Act is (i) accumulated and communicated to the Company s management (including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) in a timely manner, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms.

## (b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Act) that occurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, that have materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting. The Company does not expect that its internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control procedure, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control procedure are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control procedures, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake.
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Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any control procedure also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control procedure, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.
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## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is a party to certain legal proceedings incidental to its business. Management believes that the outcome of such currently pending proceedings, in the aggregate, will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors set forth in Part I. Item 1A of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The Company has had various stock repurchase programs since March 1999. In August 2011, the Board of Directors approved the ninth stock repurchase plan, allowing the Company to repurchase up to $5 \%$ of the then outstanding shares, or approximately 782,000 shares over a period of twelve months. During the quarter ended September 30, 2012, the Company did not repurchase shares under the ninth stock repurchase plan. In total, the Company repurchased 590,832 shares at an average price of $\$ 12.83$ per share under this plan. On August 30, 2012, the Board of Directors approved the Company s tenth stock repurchase plan, authorizing the repurchase of up to $5 \%$ of the Company soutstanding shares or approximately 757,000 shares. No shares have been repurchased under this plan

The following table sets forth information about the Company s purchases of its outstanding common stock during the quarter ended September 30, 2012.
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll} & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total Number of } \\ \text { Shares Purchased as } \\ \text { Part of Publicly } \\ \text { Announced } \\ \text { Plans or }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Maximum Number } \\ \text { of Shares that May } \\ \text { Yet Be } \\ \text { Purchased } \\ \text { Under the } \\ \text { Plans or }\end{array} \\ \text { Programs }\end{array}\right]$
(1) Common shares repurchased by the Company between July 1, 2012 and September 30, 2012 included the cancellation of 585 shares of restricted stock to pay withholding taxes at an average price of \$13.87.

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

## Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable
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Item 5. Other Information
None
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## Item 6. Exhibits

## Exhibit

No.
2.1 Purchase and Assumption Agreement for Cowlitz Bank Transaction(1)
2.2 Purchase and Assumption Agreement for Pierce Commercial Bank Transaction (2)
3.1 Articles of Incorporation(3)
3.2 Bylaws of the Company(4)
4.2 Warrant for purchase(5)
10.1 1998 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Award Plan(6)
10.2 1997 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Award Plan(7)
10.3 2002 Incentive Stock Option Plan, Director Nonqualified Stock Option Plan, and Restricted Stock Option Plan(8)
10.4 2006 Incentive Stock Option Plan, Director Nonqualified Stock Option Plan, and Restricted Stock Option Plan(9)
10.5 Employment Agreement between Central Valley Bank and D. Michael Broadhead, effective December 3, 2010(10)
10.6 Letter of Understanding between Heritage Financial Corporation and Donald V. Rhodes dated August 18, 2009(11)
10.7 Annual Incentive Compensation Plan(14)
10.8 2010 Omnibus Equity Plan(13)
10.9 Deferred Compensation Plan and Participation Agreements for Brian L. Vance, Jeffrey J. Deuel and Donald J. Hinson (15)
10.10 Employment Agreements for Brian L. Vance, Jeffrey J. Deuel and Donald J. Hinson (15)
10.11 Change in Control Agreement by and between Heritage Bank and Gregory D. Patjens (15)
14.0 Code of Ethics and Conduct Policy (12)
31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1 Certification of Principal Financial Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101 The following financial information from Heritage Financial Corporation s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 is formatted in XBRL: (i) the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (iv) the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity, (v) the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements(16)
(1) Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 5, 2010.
(2) Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2010.
(3) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Reg. No. 333-35573) declared effective on November 12, 1997; as amended, said Amendments being incorporated by reference to the Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Heritage Financial Corporation filed with the Current Reports on Form 8-K dated November 25, 2008 and May 14, 2010.
(4) Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 29, 2007.
(5) Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 25, 2008.
(6) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Reg. No. 333-71415).
(7) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Reg. No. 333-57513).
(8) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Reg. No. 333-88980; 333-88982; 333-88976).
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(9) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Reg. No. 333-134473; 333-134474; 333-134475).
(10) Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 3, 2010.
(11) Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 20, 2009.
(12) Registrant elects to satisfy Regulation S-K $\S 229.406$ (c) by posting its Code of Ethics on its website at www.HF-WA.com in the section titled Investor Information: Corporate Governance.
(13) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Reg. No. 33-167146).
(14) Incorporated by reference to the Yearly Report on Form 10-K dated March 2, 2010.
(15) Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 7, 2012.

## Table of Contents

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 7, 2012

Date: November 7, 2012

HERITAGE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
/s/ Brian L. Vance
Brian L. Vance
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ Donald J. Hinson
Donald J. Hinson
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
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## EXHIBIT INDEX

## Exhibit

## No.

## Description of Exhibit

31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101 The following financial information from Heritage Financial Corporation s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 is formatted in XBRL: (i) the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity, (v) the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

