

HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC.
Form DEF 14A
April 06, 2015
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(RULE 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of person(s) filing proxy statement, if other than the registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which the transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which the transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of the transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of the transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

April 6, 2015

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

I am pleased to invite you to our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc., which will be held at 11:00 a.m. on Thursday, May 14, 2015, at the Hyatt Regency in Reston, Virginia. The doors will open at 10:30 a.m. Our directors and management team will be available to answer questions.

The attendance of stockholders at our annual meeting is helpful in maintaining communication and an understanding of our business. We hope you will be able to join us. Whether or not you plan to attend, you can ensure that your shares are represented at the meeting by promptly voting and submitting your proxy by telephone or by Internet, or by completing, signing, dating and returning your proxy card. Instructions for these convenient ways to vote are set forth on the enclosed proxy card.

At the annual meeting we will ask you to elect our Board of Directors. We will also be considering ratification of the selection of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accountants, an advisory vote to approve executive compensation and one stockholder proposal. These proposals are described in detail in the attached Notice of 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement. Our 2014 Annual Report (including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission) is also enclosed. We encourage you to read our 2014 Annual Report which we hope you will find interesting and useful. Thank you for your continued interest in Host Hotels & Resorts and we look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

Richard E. Marriott

Chairman of the Board

Table of Contents

6903 Rockledge Drive, Suite 1500

Bethesda, Maryland 20817-1109

NOTICE OF 2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Meeting Date: Thursday, May 14, 2015
Meeting Time: 11:00 a.m., Doors open at 10:30 a.m.
Location: The Hyatt Regency Hotel, Reston

1800 Presidents Street, Reston, Virginia

Agenda

1. Election of nine directors;
2. Ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accountants for 2015;
3. An advisory resolution to approve executive compensation;
4. Consideration of a stockholder proposal, if properly presented at the meeting; and
5. Transaction of any other business that may be properly brought before the annual meeting.
The proxy statement more fully describes these proposals.

Record Date

You may vote if you were a holder of record of our common stock at the close of business on March 19, 2015, the record date.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Elizabeth A. Abdo

Secretary

April 6, 2015

REVIEW YOUR PROXY STATEMENT AND VOTE IN ONE OF FOUR WAYS:

VIA THE INTERNET

Go to the website address shown on your proxy card and vote via the Internet

BY TELEPHONE

Use the toll-free number shown on your proxy card (this call is toll-free if made in the United States or Canada)

BY MAIL

Mark, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card in the postage-paid envelope

IN PERSON

Attend the Annual Meeting in Reston, Virginia

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

PROXY STATEMENT
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PROXY SUMMARY</u>	1
<u>ATTENDANCE AND VOTING MATTERS</u>	4
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS</u>	8
<u>Corporate Governance and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics</u>	8
<u>Communications with Directors</u>	8
<u>Stockholder Outreach and Engagement</u>	8
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	9
<u>Independence of Directors</u>	9
<u>The Board's Role in Risk Oversight</u>	10
<u>Political Contributions</u>	10
<u>Meetings and Committees of the Board</u>	11
<u>Role of the Compensation Consultant</u>	13
<u>Compensation-Related Risks</u>	13
<u>Compensation Policy Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	13
<u>Identification and Evaluation of Director Candidates</u>	14
<u>PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE</u>	15
<u>Proposal One Election of Directors</u>	15
<u>Proposal Two Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accountants</u>	20
<u>Proposal Three Advisory Resolution to Approve Executive Compensation</u>	21
<u>Proposal Four Stockholder Proposal on Bylaw Amendments</u>	22
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	24
<u>2014 Company Performance Highlights</u>	24
<u>Results of 2014 Advisory Vote</u>	25
<u>Our Compensation Program</u>	25
<u>2014 Compensation Results</u>	28
<u>Additional Policies and Benefits</u>	38
<u>EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION</u>	42
<u>Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2014</u>	42
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2014</u>	45
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year 2014</u>	46
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal Year 2014</u>	47
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	47

<u>Severance and Change in Control Payments</u>	48
<u>Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans</u>	51

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
<u>REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION POLICY COMMITTEE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	51
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	52
<u>2014 Director Fees</u>	52
<u>Compensation Philosophy</u>	52
<u>REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE</u>	54
<u>AUDITOR FEES</u>	55
<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	55
<u>Pre-Approval Policy for Services of Independent Registered Public Accountants</u>	55
<u>Policy for Hiring Members of the Audit Engagement Team</u>	55
<u>Other Company Accountants and Auditors</u>	56
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	57
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS</u>	59
<u>Policy on Transactions and Arrangements with Related Persons</u>	59
<u>Related Person Transactions</u>	59
<u>STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR OUR NEXT ANNUAL MEETING</u>	63
<u>Proxy Statement Proposals</u>	63
<u>Other Proposals and Nominations</u>	63
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	63
<u>Other Business at the Annual Meeting</u>	63
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	63
<u>Hotel Information</u>	63
<u>Online Annual Report to Stockholders</u>	64

Proxy Statement. The Board of Directors of Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. is soliciting proxies to be voted at our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 14, 2015 and at any adjournment or postponement of the meeting. We expect that this Proxy Statement will be mailed and made available to stockholders beginning on or about April 6, 2015.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholders Meeting to be held on May 14, 2015. The Company's Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting, and our Annual Report to Stockholders for 2014 are both available free of charge at <http://www.hosthotels.com/investorrelations.asp>. References in this Proxy Statement and accompanying materials to Internet web sites are for the convenience of readers. Information available at or through these web sites is not incorporated by reference in this Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents**PROXY SUMMARY****PROXY SUMMARY**

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider, and you should read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting.

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS***Time and Date***

11:00 a.m., May 14, 2015

Place

Hyatt Regency

1800 Presidents Street, Reston, Virginia

Record Date

March 19, 2015

Number of Common Shares Eligible to Vote at the Meeting as of the Record Date

756,989,565

VOTING MATTERS

Matter	Board Recommendation	Page Reference (for more detail)
Election of Directors	FOR each director nominee	15
Ratification of Appointment of KPMG LLP	FOR	20
Advisory Resolution to Approve Executive Compensation	FOR	21
Stockholder proposal	AGAINST	22
BOARD NOMINEES		

The following table provides summary information about each director nominee. Directors are elected annually by a majority of votes cast.

Name, Age	Director Since	Principal Occupation	Committee Memberships*			Other Public Company Boards
			A	C	NCG	
Mary L. Baglivo, 57	2013	Chief Marketing Officer Northwestern University				PVH Corp.
Sheila C. Bair, 61	2012	Advisor to Pew Charitable Trusts, Former chair of the FDIC	(F)			Banco Santander Thomson Reuters
Terence C. Golden, 70	1995	Chairman of Bailey Capital Corporation				Pepco Holdings, Inc.
Ann McLaughlin Korologos, 73	1993	Former Chair of RAND Corporation Board of Trustees				Kellogg Company Michael Kors Harman International Industries
Richard E. Marriott, 76	1979	Chairman of the Board				
John B. Morse, Jr., 68	2003	Retired Vice President and CFO of The Washington Post Company	(F)			AES Corporation HSN, Inc.
Walter C. Rakowich, 57	2012	Retired Chief Executive Officer of Prologis	(F)			Iron Mountain Incorporated
Gordon H. Smith, 62	2009	President & CEO of the National Association of Broadcasters				
W. Edward Walter, 59	2007	President and Chief Executive Officer				AvalonBay Communities

* A Audit Committee
Chair of the Committee
(F) Financial Expert

C Compensation Policy Committee
NCG Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Table of Contents

PROXY SUMMARY

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE HIGHLIGHTS

The Company is committed to the values of effective corporate governance and high ethical standards. Our Board believes that these values are conducive to strong performance and creating long-term stockholder value. Our governance framework gives our highly experienced independent directors the structure necessary to provide oversight, advice and counsel to the Company. This framework is described in more detail in our Corporate Governance Guidelines and codes of conduct, which can be found in the governance section of our website.

Board Independence 7 out of 9 of our directors are independent

Our Chairman and CEO are the only management directors

Board Composition 33% of Board members are women

Annual Board self-assessments to review its effectiveness

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee leads the full Board in considering Board competencies and identification and evaluation of director candidates in light of Company strategy

Board Committees We have three Board committees Audit, Nominating and Corporate Governance and Compensation Policy

All committees are composed entirely of independent directors

Audit Committee members are all financial experts

Leadership Structure Chairman of the Board separate from CEO

Independent Lead Director (selected by the independent directors)

Among other duties, he/she convenes and chairs executive sessions of the independent directors to discuss certain matters without management present

Risk Oversight

Our full Board is responsible for risk oversight, and has designated committees to have particular oversight of certain key risks. Our Board oversees management as management fulfills its responsibilities for the assessment and mitigation of risks and for taking appropriate risks

Open Communication

We encourage open communication and strong working relationships among the Lead Director, Chairman, CEO and other directors.

Our directors have access to management and employees

Director Stock Ownership

Our directors are required to own common stock in an amount equal to five times the annual cash base retainer

Comprehensive insider trading policy

Accountability to

Prohibitions on hedging and pledging

We use majority voting in uncontested director elections

Stockholders

We have a fully non-classified board with annual election of directors

Strong stockholder engagement

No stockholder rights plan

Annual advisory vote on executive compensation

Opted out of the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act (which had provided certain takeover defenses)

Opted out of the provisions of the Maryland Unsolicited Takeover Act which would have permitted the Board to classify itself without a stockholder vote

Table of Contents**PROXY SUMMARY**

Management Comprehensive succession planning program

Succession Planning

The Board actively monitors our succession planning and people development and receives regular updates on employee engagement, diversity and retention matters

Sustainability and Corporate Responsibility The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee monitors our programs and initiatives on sustainability, environmental matters and social responsibility

2014 PERFORMANCE HIGHLIGHTS

2014 was another year of strong growth for the Company. We delivered excellent results for our stockholders and took strategic steps to position the Company for long-term, sustainable growth.

Total owned hotel revenues:	Maintained investment grade bond rating and achieved the strongest balance sheet (in terms of leverage and interest coverage) in the Company's history	Acquired and currently redeveloping the 155-room Powell Hotel in San Francisco.	Dividends authorized to stockholders:	Total one year stockholder return:
\$5.4 billion			\$0.75 per share	27%
(up 3.6% from 2013)		Sold five properties in predominantly non-target markets for \$519 million.	over \$565 million (a 63% increase over 2013)	

Table of Contents

ATTENDANCE AND VOTING MATTERS

ATTENDANCE AND VOTING MATTERS

What is a proxy?

It is your legal designation of another person to vote the stock you own. That other person is called a proxy. If you designate someone as your proxy in a written document, that document is also called a proxy or a proxy card. This proxy is being solicited by the Board of Directors, and we have designated Gregory J. Larson and Elizabeth A. Abdo as proxies for this annual meeting. When you properly sign your proxy card or vote via telephone or the Internet, you are giving the persons named on the card your direction to vote your shares of common stock at the annual meeting as you designate.

What is a proxy statement?

It is a document that summarizes information that we are required to provide you under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, when we ask you to vote your shares or designate a proxy. It is designed to assist you in voting.

What does it mean if I get more than one proxy card?

You should vote by completing and signing each proxy card you receive. You will receive separate proxy cards for all of the shares you hold in different ways, such as jointly with another person, or in trust, or in different brokerage accounts.

What is the difference between a stockholder of record and a beneficial owner of shares held in street name?

Stockholder of Record. If your shares are registered directly in your name with the Company's transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., or Computershare, you are considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares, and the Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and our 2014 Annual Report were sent directly to you by the Company.

Beneficial Owner of Shares Held in Street Name. If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank, broker-dealer, or other similar organization, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and the Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and our 2014 Annual Report were forwarded to you by that organization. The organization holding your shares is considered the stockholder of record for purposes of voting at the annual meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct that organization on how to vote the shares held in your account.

Who is entitled to vote?

Anyone who owned common stock of the Company at the close of business on March 19, 2015, the record date, can vote at the annual meeting.

How can I manage the number of Annual Reports I receive?

The included glossy 2014 Annual Report and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 filed with the SEC (which together comprise the 2014 Annual Report of the Company), is being mailed to stockholders with this Proxy Statement. If you share an address with any of our other stockholders, your household

might receive only one copy of these documents. To request individual copies for each stockholder in your household, please contact our Investor Relations department at 240-744-1000, by e-mail to ir@hosthotels.com, or by mail to Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc., 6903 Rockledge Drive, Suite 1500, Bethesda, MD 20817, Attn: Investor Relations. To ask that only one set of the documents be mailed to your household, please contact your bank, broker or other nominee or, if you are a stockholder of record, please call our transfer agent, Computershare at 866-367-6351 toll-free within the United States and Canada; outside the United States and Canada at 781-575-4320, or by mail at P.O. Box 30170, College Station, TX 77842-3170.

Table of Contents

ATTENDANCE AND VOTING MATTERS

How do I vote?

Voting in Person at the Meeting. If you are a stockholder of record as of the close of business on March 19, 2015 and attend the annual meeting, you may vote in person at the meeting. If your shares are held by a broker, bank or other nominee (i.e., in street name) and you wish to vote in person at the meeting, you will need to obtain a proxy form from the broker, bank or other nominee that holds your shares of record.

Voting by Proxy for Shares Registered Directly in the Name of the Stockholder. If you hold your shares in your own name as a holder of record, you may vote your shares as follows:

Vote by Telephone. You may vote by telephone by calling the toll-free number listed on the accompanying proxy card. Telephone voting is available 24 hours per day until 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on Wednesday, May 13, 2015. When you call, have your proxy card in hand and you will receive a series of voice instructions, which will allow you to vote your shares of common stock. You will be given the opportunity to confirm that your instructions have been properly recorded. **IF YOU VOTE BY TELEPHONE, YOU DO NOT NEED TO RETURN YOUR PROXY CARD.**

Vote by Internet. You also have the option to vote via the Internet. The website for Internet voting is printed on your proxy card. Internet voting is available 24 hours per day until 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on Wednesday, May 13, 2015. As with telephone voting, you will be given the opportunity to confirm that your instructions have been properly recorded. **IF YOU VOTE VIA THE INTERNET, YOU DO NOT NEED TO RETURN YOUR PROXY CARD.**

Vote by Mail. If you would like to vote by mail, mark your proxy card, sign and date it, and return it to Computershare in the postage-paid envelope provided.

Voting by Proxy for Shares Registered in Street Name. If your shares are held in street name, you will receive instructions from your broker, bank or other nominee which you must follow in order to have your shares of common stock voted.

Who is acting as my proxy and how will they vote my shares?

The individuals named on the enclosed proxy card are your proxies. They will vote your shares as you indicate. If you sign and return your proxy card but do not indicate how you wish to vote and you hold your shares in your own name as a holder of record, all of your shares will be voted as recommended by the Board of Directors.

However, if you hold your shares in street name, it is critical that you cast your vote in order for your vote to count. In the past, if you held your shares in street name and you did not indicate how you wanted to vote those shares, your bank or broker was allowed to vote those shares on your behalf in the election of directors and other routine matters as they deemed appropriate. Now, due to recent regulatory changes, your bank or broker is no longer able to vote your shares on a discretionary basis in most matters. If you hold your shares in street name and do not instruct your bank or broker how to vote, then no votes will be cast on your behalf for all matters other than the ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accountants for 2015 (proposal 2).

May I revoke my proxy?

You may revoke your proxy at any time before the annual meeting if you:

- (1) File a written notice of revocation dated after the date of your proxy with Computershare; or
- (2) Send Computershare by mail a later-dated proxy for the same shares of common stock; or
- (3) Submit a new vote by telephone or the Internet. The date of your last vote, by either of these methods or by mail, will be the one that is counted; or
- (4) Attend the annual meeting AND vote there in person.

The mailing address for Computershare is P.O. Box 30170, College Station, TX 77842-3170. The overnight delivery address for Computershare is: 211 Quality Circle, Suite 210 College Station, TX 77845.

Table of Contents

ATTENDANCE AND VOTING MATTERS

What vote is required to approve each proposal?

In the election of directors, each nominee must receive more For votes than Against votes in order to be elected as a director. The affirmative vote of a majority of votes cast at the meeting is required to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accountants for 2015, to approve the advisory resolution on executive compensation and to approve the stockholder proposal.

What constitutes a quorum ?

A majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote, present in person or by proxy, constitutes a quorum. We must have a quorum to conduct the annual meeting. If a quorum is not present or if we decide that more time is necessary for the solicitation of proxies, we may adjourn the annual meeting. We may do this with or without a stockholder vote. If there is a stockholder vote to adjourn, the named proxies will vote all shares of common stock for which they have voting authority in favor of the adjournment.

How are abstentions and broker non-votes treated?

Shares of our common stock represented by proxies that are marked abstain, or which constitute broker non-votes, will be counted as present at the meeting for the purpose of determining a quorum. Broker non-votes occur when a nominee holding shares of our common stock for a beneficial owner returns a properly executed proxy but has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner and such nominee does not possess or does not choose to exercise discretionary authority with respect to such shares. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the results of the vote on the election of directors or the results of the remaining proposals.

How can I obtain copies of documents referenced in this proxy statement?

Copies of the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, codes of conduct and other documents referenced in this proxy statement can be accessed in the Investor Relations Governance section of the Company's website at <http://www.hosthotels.com>. Copies of these documents are also available in print to stockholders upon request by writing to:

Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc.

6903 Rockledge Drive, Suite 1500

Bethesda, Maryland 20817

Attention: Investor Relations

How will voting on any other business be conducted?

Although we do not know of any other business to be considered at the annual meeting other than the proposals described in this proxy statement, if any other business is properly presented at the annual meeting your signed proxy card gives authority to Gregory J. Larson and Elizabeth A. Abdo, or either of them, to vote on such matters in their discretion. Unless otherwise required by our Charter or Bylaws or by applicable Maryland law, any other matter properly presented for a vote at the meeting will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast.

Who will count the votes?

Computershare Trust Company, N.A., our transfer agent, will act as the inspectors of election and will tabulate the votes.

Who pays the cost of this proxy solicitation?

We bear all expenses incurred in connection with the solicitation of proxies. We have hired the firm of MacKenzie Partners, Inc. to assist in the solicitation of proxies for a fee of \$12,500, plus expenses. We will reimburse brokers, fiduciaries and custodians for their reasonable expenses related to forwarding our proxy materials to those beneficial owners.

Table of Contents

ATTENDANCE AND VOTING MATTERS

Is this proxy statement the only way that proxies are being solicited?

No. In addition to mailing these proxy solicitation materials, our officers and employees may solicit proxies by further mailings or personal conversations, or by telephone, facsimile or other electronic means.

How can I find out the results of the voting at the annual meeting?

Preliminary voting results will be announced at the annual meeting. Final voting results will be disclosed on a Form 8-K filed with the SEC within four business days of the date of the annual meeting, which will be available on the Company's website at <http://www.hosthotels.com>.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

Corporate Governance and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Our Board of Directors oversees the management of the Company and its business for the benefit of our stockholders in order to enhance stockholder value over the long-term. The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines which are reviewed annually and periodically amended as the Board enhances the Company's corporate governance practices. The Board has also adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to all officers and employees of the Company and a code of business conduct and ethics and conflict of interest policy that applies to the Board. The purpose of these codes of conduct is to promote honest and ethical conduct; to promote full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in periodic reports required to be filed by the Company; and to promote compliance with all applicable rules and regulations that apply to the Company and its officers, employees and directors. The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, codes of conduct and other documents describing the Company's corporate governance practices can be accessed in the Investor Relations Governance section of the Company's website at <http://www.hosthotels.com>. Copies of these documents are also available in print to stockholders upon request.

Governance is a continuing focus of the Company. In past years the Board has implemented numerous corporate governance enhancements to serve the long-term interests of all stockholders. These have included adopting a majority vote standard for uncontested director elections, declassifying the Board, allowing the Company's rights plan to expire and opting out of the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. In addition, last year the Board filed Articles Supplementary with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland electing to opt out of the provisions of the Maryland Unsolicited Takeover Act (the Act) that permit the Board to classify itself without a stockholder vote. For more information on the Company's corporate governance practices, see the Corporate Governance Guidelines posted on our website.

Communications With Directors

The Company invites stockholders and other interested parties to communicate any concerns they may have about the Company directly and confidentially with any of the full Board of Directors, the Lead Director or the non-management directors as a group by writing to:

Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc.
Attention: Secretary
6903 Rockledge Dr., Suite 1500
Bethesda, MD 20817

The Secretary will review and forward all stockholder communications to the intended recipient except those unrelated to the duties and responsibilities of the Board, such as junk mail and mass mailings, resumes and other forms of job inquiries, surveys, new business suggestions, business solicitations or advertisements. In addition, material that is hostile, threatening, illegal or similarly unsuitable or outside the scope of Board matters or duplicative of other communications previously forwarded to the recipient will also be excluded. The Secretary shall retain for three years copies of all stockholder communications that are forwarded.

Stockholder Outreach and Engagement

The Company's relationship with its stockholders is an important part of our corporate governance program. Engaging with our stockholders helps us to understand how they view us, to set goals and expectations for our performance, and to identify emerging issues that may affect our strategies, corporate governance, compensation practices or other aspects of our operations. Our stockholder and investor outreach includes investor road shows, analyst meetings, and investor conferences and meetings. Last year we hosted an investor day which stockholders were able to listen to via our website. We also communicate with stockholders and other stakeholders through various media, including our annual report and SEC filings, proxy statement, news releases, and our website. Our conference calls for quarterly earnings releases are open to all. These calls are available in real time and as archived webcasts on our website for a period of time. We also seek stockholder views on governance and other matters throughout the year, concentrating our efforts on our largest stockholders.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

Board Leadership Structure

Our governance framework provides the Board with the flexibility to select the appropriate leadership structure for the Company. This will be driven by the needs of the Company as well as the particular makeup of the Board at any point in time. As a result, no policy exists requiring the combination or separation of leadership roles, and the Company's governing documents do not mandate a particular structure.

Our current leadership structure is comprised of the Chairman of the Board, a separate Chief Executive Officer, an independent director serving as Lead Director who presides over the non-management directors, and strong active independent directors. The CEO is responsible for setting the strategic direction of the Company and for the day to day leadership and management of the Company, while the Chairman of the Board provides guidance to the CEO, directs the agenda for Board meetings and presides over meetings of the full Board. This structure reflects the continued strong leadership, industry experience and energy brought to the Board by Richard E. Marriott, who has led the Company as Chair since its split with Marriott International in 1993. His over 49 year career at the Company uniquely provides him with a perspective and wealth of knowledge that is invaluable to the Board.

Another component of our leadership structure is the active role played by our independent directors in overseeing the Company's business, both at the Board and Committee level. Seven of nine of our current directors and director nominees are considered independent within the meaning of the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, non-management directors meet in executive session without the presence of the CEO, the Chairman of the Board or other executive officers. The purpose of these sessions is to promote open discussions among the independent directors concerning the business and affairs of the Company as well as matters concerning management, without any member of management present.

The Chair of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, currently Walter C. Rakowich, serves as our Lead Director. As such, he convenes and chairs all meetings of non-management directors in executive sessions on a quarterly basis and more, if needed, serves as the principal liaison between the non-employee directors and the CEO and Chairman, is available to consult with the CEO about any concerns of the Board, and serves as the director to whom correspondence may be directed on behalf of the non-management directors as a group, as described above under Communications with Directors. The position and role of the Lead Director is intended to expand lines of communication between the Board and members of management. It is not intended to reduce the free and open access and communications that each independent board member has with other board members and members of management.

At least annually, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee discusses the structure and composition of the Board of Directors and reviews the current leadership structure. This is discussed with the full Board as part of the Board's annual evaluation to assess its effectiveness and takes into account our current business plans and long-term strategy as well as the particular makeup of the Board at that time.

Independence of Directors

It is the Board's policy that a majority of the directors of the Company be independent. To be considered independent, a director must not have a material relationship with the Company that could interfere with a director's independent judgment. To be considered independent, directors must also be independent within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange's requirements. To assist the Board in determining whether a director is independent, the Board has adopted standards for independence set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines.

In determining the independence of our directors, the Board considers all relevant facts and circumstances, including, but not limited to, whether the director receives any compensation or other fees from the Company, other than the fees described under Director Compensation , whether the director, or an organization with which the director is affiliated, has entered into any commercial, consulting, or similar contracts with the Company, and any charitable contributions the Company made to non-profit organizations with which director nominees are associated. Consistent with these considerations, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviewed directors' responses to a questionnaire asking about their relationships with the Company, as well as those of their

Table of Contents**CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS**

immediate family members, and other potential conflicts of interest. The Committee determined that all of the director nominees other than Mr. Marriott and Mr. Walter are independent and recommended to the Board that Messrs. Golden, Morse, Smith and Rakowich and Mmes. Korologos, Bair and Baglivo have been determined to be independent. The Board approved the determination that seven of the Company's nine director nominees are independent. Messrs. Marriott and Walter are not independent because they are Company employees.

The Board's Role in Risk Oversight

Our Board of Directors has overall responsibility for risk oversight with a focus on the most significant risks facing the Company. Reviews of certain areas are conducted by the relevant Committees that report on their deliberations to the Board. Risks are considered in almost all business decisions and as part of the Company's business strategy. The Board recognizes that it is neither possible nor prudent to eliminate all risk. Indeed, appropriate risk-taking is essential for the Company to be competitive and to achieve its business objectives. The chart below summarizes the primary areas of risk oversight for the Board and its committees.

Board/Committee	Primary Areas of Risk Oversight
Full Board	Strategic, financial and execution risks and exposures associated with the annual business plan and strategic plan; major litigation and regulatory exposures, environmental and other current matters that may present material risk to the Company's operations, plans, prospects or reputation; investments, acquisitions and divestitures; capital market and joint ventures; and senior management succession planning.
Audit Committee	Discusses guidelines and policies with respect to the Company's risk assessment and risk management processes. Responsible for oversight of risks associated with financial matters, particularly the Company's financial statements, tax, accounting, and disclosure; risks associated with derivatives and hedging strategy; risks associated with the independence, qualifications and performance of the Company's outside auditor and internal auditors; and the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.
Compensation Policy Committee	Exposures associated with compensation of the Company's officers, stock ownership and incentive-compensation plans, executive retention, succession planning and employment related matters. As discussed in more detail in the Compensation Discussion & Analysis, the Committee reviews and approves compensation programs with features that are intended to mitigate risk without diminishing the incentive nature of compensation.
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	Risks and exposures relating to the identification of qualified candidates to become Board members; continuing oversight of Board composition; review of the structure, membership and charters of the Board committees; review of compensation for independent directors; and oversight of the evaluation of the Board and management.

The Board and its committees implement their oversight responsibilities through management reporting processes that are designed to provide visibility to the Board about the identification, assessment and management of critical risks and management's risk mitigation strategies. These areas of focus include strategic, operating, financial, legal,

compliance and reputational risk. Management communicates routinely with the Board, its Committees and individual directors on the significant risks identified through this process and how they are being managed.

Political Contributions

The Company has a longstanding policy against making direct contributions to political parties, political committees, political candidates, or organizations organized under Section 527 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. The Company does not have a political action committee.

Table of Contents**CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS**

The Company participates in trade associations for a variety of reasons, including networking, civic participation and monitoring of industry policies and trends. Company participation in trade associations, including membership on a trade association board, does not mean that the Company agrees with every position a trade association takes on an issue. In fact, from time to time our positions may differ from those of the trade associations of which we are members.

The Company makes payments to these associations, including membership fees and dues. The primary associations include the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, the American Hotel & Lodging Association, US Travel Association, the Real Estate Roundtable, and the Coalition To Insure Against Terrorism.

Meetings and Committees of the Board

The Board met five times in 2014. Each director attended at least 80% of the meetings of the Board and of the committees on which the director served. Under the Corporate Governance Guidelines, directors are expected to attend the annual meeting of stockholders, and all directors attended the annual meeting in 2014. Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, non-management directors meet in executive session without management and did so after each regularly scheduled Board meeting in 2014. Mr. Rakowich, the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Lead Director, presided over the executive sessions of the non-management directors.

The Board has established three standing committees to assist it in carrying out its responsibilities: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Policy Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Board has adopted a written charter for each committee, all of which are available on the Company's website (<http://www.hosthotels.com>). Copies of these charters are also available in print to stockholders upon request. See *How can I obtain copies of documents referenced in this proxy statement?* Each committee consists entirely of independent directors in accordance with New York Stock Exchange rules. The Board generally makes committee assignments in May after the annual meeting of stockholders, upon recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Board may from time to time appoint other committees as circumstances warrant. Any new committees will have authority and responsibility as delegated by the Board.

Members & Meetings**John B. Morse, Jr. (Chair)****Committee Functions**

Appoints and oversees the independent auditors;

Sheila C. Bair**Walter C. Rakowich**

Approves the scope of audits and other services to be performed by the independent and internal auditors;

Number of Meetings**in 2014: Seven**

Reviews and approves in advance the engagement fees of the outside auditor and all non-audit services and related fees, and assesses whether the performance of

non-audit services could impair the independence of the independent auditors;

Reviews the work and findings, if any, of the internal auditors;

Reviews the results of internal and external audits, the accounting principles applied in financial reporting, and financial and operational controls;

Meets with the independent auditors, management representatives and internal auditors;

Reviews interim financial statements each quarter before the Company files its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q with the SEC;

Reviews audited financial statements each year before the Company files its Annual Report on Form 10-K with the SEC; and

Reviews risk exposures and management policies.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

Each member of the Audit Committee, in the business judgment of the Board, meets the qualifications (including independence) and expertise requirements of the New York Stock Exchange and is an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of SEC rules. Our independent and internal auditors have unrestricted access to the Audit Committee. The Report of the Audit Committee appears later in this proxy statement.

Members & Meetings

Walter C. Rakowich (Chair)

John B. Morse, Jr.

Ann McLaughlin Korologos

Committee Functions

Makes recommendations to the Board on corporate governance matters and is responsible for keeping abreast of corporate governance developments;

Oversees the annual evaluation of the Board, its committees and management;

Number of Meetings

in 2014: Four

Reviews periodically the compensation and benefits of non-employee directors and makes recommendations to the Board or the Compensation Policy Committee of any modifications;

Reviews the composition of the Board and skills of directors and recommends nomination of Board members and addition of new members, as appropriate;

Ensures that the Board maintains its diversity;

Reviews policies and programs on matters of corporate responsibility and sustainability, including environmental, social and other matters; and

Fulfills an advisory function with respect to a range of matters affecting the Board and its committees, including making recommendations with respect to:

selection of committee chairs and committee assignments; and

implementation, compliance and enhancements to codes of conduct and the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines.

In addition, the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is the Lead Director and presides at all executive sessions of independent directors, determines the agenda for such discussions, and serves as liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer.

Members & Meetings

**Ann McLaughlin Korologos
(Chair)**

Committee Functions

Oversees compensation policies, plans and benefits for the Company's employees;

Mary L. Baglivo

Approves the goals and objectives for compensation of all executive officers of the Company and approves compensation for other members of senior management;

Gordon H. Smith

Number of Meetings

in 2014: Four

Advises our Board on the adoption of policies that govern the Company's annual compensation and stock ownership plans;

Reviews and approves the Company's goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the CEO and evaluates the CEO's performance in light of those goals and objectives;

Reviews and advises the Company on the process used for gathering information on the compensation paid by other similar businesses;

Reviews the Company's succession plans relating to the CEO and other senior management and discusses with the full Board; and

Reviews periodic reports from management on matters relating to the Company's personnel appointments and practices.

Table of Contents**CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS****Role of the Compensation Consultant**

Pursuant to its charter, the Compensation Policy Committee is authorized to engage, retain and terminate any consultant, as well as approve the consultant's fees, scope of work and other terms of retention. Starting in 2010, the Committee retained Pay Governance LLC as its advisor. Pay Governance advises and consults with the Committee on compensation issues, compensation design and trends, and keeps the Committee apprised of regulatory, legislative, and accounting developments and competitive practices related to executive compensation. Pay Governance assisted the Committee in the design, structure and implementation of the current annual executive compensation program, which was first introduced in 2012, and reviews, at the direction of the Committee, compensation levels, trends and practices annually. Pay Governance does not determine the exact amount or form of executive compensation for any executive officers. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Our Compensation Program. Pay Governance reports directly to the Committee, and a representative of Pay Governance, when requested, attends meetings of the Committee, is available to participate in executive sessions and communicates directly with the Committee Chair or its members outside of meetings. Pay Governance does no other work for the Company.

In compliance with the disclosure requirements of the SEC regarding the independence of compensation consultants, Pay Governance addressed each of the six independence factors established by the SEC with the Compensation Policy Committee. Its responses affirmed the independence of Pay Governance on executive compensation matters. Based on this assessment, the Committee determined that the engagement of Pay Governance does not raise any conflicts of interest or similar concerns. The Committee also evaluated the independence of other outside advisors to the Committee, including outside legal counsel, considering the same independence factors and concluded their work for the Committee does not raise any conflicts of interest.

The Compensation Policy Committee may delegate any or all of its responsibilities to a subcommittee, but did not do so in 2014. The Compensation Policy Committee's Report on Executive Compensation appears later in this proxy statement.

Compensation-Related Risks

The Compensation Policy Committee oversees the compensation policies and plans for all employees. Management, at the request of the Committee, has assessed the Company's compensation programs and has concluded that they do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. This risk assessment process included a review of all material compensation policies and practices, which were discussed with the Committee. The compensation programs of the Company are all centrally designed and centrally administered. The elements of compensation for senior management and upper middle management are also the same: base salary, annual cash incentive awards and long-term incentives. The performance measures for the annual cash incentive awards are (i) Company financial metrics that are based on an annual business plan and budget reviewed and approved by the Board and (ii) personal performance goals that are derived from the annual business plan and budget and Company strategic plan, which tie to measures of long-term success of the Company. The business plan and budget are reviewed quarterly with the Board and the strategic plan is addressed annually. The personal goals are drafted by each employee annually and approved by each manager with the intent that there is a common purpose and accountability throughout the Company. Performance measures for long-term incentives are personal goals, corporate goals, which are tied to the business plan and budget, and total stockholder return measured over a three year period. Total compensation is capped throughout our compensation programs, and the Compensation Policy Committee reviews all senior management compensation and that of any employee earning more than \$500,000 in annual target compensation, which would include salary, bonus and equity awards. Based on the foregoing, we believe that our compensation policies and practices do not create inappropriate or excessive risk-taking.

Compensation Policy Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the members of the Compensation Policy Committee is or has been an officer or employee of the Company or had any relationship that is required to be disclosed as a transaction with a related person.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

Identification and Evaluation of Director Candidates

Each year the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews with the Board of Directors the composition of the Board as a whole and makes a recommendation whether to renominate directors and whether to consider any new persons to be added to the Board. The Committee considers director candidates suggested by members of the Committee, other directors and management, and has engaged the services of a third party search firm in the past to assist in identifying and evaluating director candidates. The Committee will also consider any written suggestions of stockholders for director nominees. The recommendation must include the name and address of the candidate, a brief biographical description and a description of the person's qualifications. Recommendations should be mailed to Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc., 6903 Rockledge Drive, Suite 1500, Bethesda, MD 20817, Attn: Secretary. The Committee will evaluate in the same manner candidates suggested in accordance with this policy and those recommended by other sources. The Committee has full discretion in considering all nominations to the Board. Alternatively, stockholders who would like to nominate a candidate for director (in lieu of making a recommendation to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee) must comply with the requirements described in this proxy statement and the Company's Bylaws. See Stockholder Proposals for our Next Annual Meeting.

The evaluation of director candidates involves several steps, not necessarily in any particular order. Preliminary interviews of director candidates may be conducted by the Chair of the Committee or, at his request, any other member of the Committee, the Chairman of the Board, or other directors. Background material pertaining to director candidates is distributed to the members of the Committee for their review. References are checked and analyses are performed to identify potential conflicts of interest and appropriate independence from the Company. Director candidates who the Committee determines merit further consideration are interviewed by the Chair of the Committee and other Committee members, directors and executive officers as determined by the Chair of the Committee. The results of these interviews are considered by the Committee in its deliberations.

There are certain minimum qualifications for Board membership that director candidates should possess, including integrity and high ethical standards, mature and independent judgment, diverse business experience, familiarity with the issues affecting the Company's business, and a commitment to full participation on the Board and its committees. The Committee has adopted guidelines in its charter to be used in evaluating candidates in order to ensure a diverse and highly qualified Board. In addition to the characteristics mentioned above, the guidelines provide that the Committee may consider the following criteria, including: experience in running a major enterprise, sound business acumen, experience as a board member of another publicly held company, academic expertise in an area of the Company's operations, and a reputation, both personal and professional, consistent with the image and reputation of the Company. In addition, when considering new Board members, the Committee considers whether the candidate would qualify as an independent director under New York Stock Exchange rules and other applicable regulations.

The Board is also committed to a diversified membership, in terms of both the individuals involved and their experience. As stated in the Committee's charter, the Committee may take into account the overall diversity of the Board, including professional background, experience, perspective, age, tenure, gender, and ethnicity. The Board is satisfied that the current nominees reflect an appropriate diversity of gender, race, age, professional background and experience, but is committed to continuing to consider diversity issues in evaluating the composition of the Board.

Table of Contents

PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE

PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE

Proposal One Election of Directors

Our Board of Directors currently consists of nine members. Each director nominee stands for election every year. Each nominee has consented to serve if elected, but should any director nominee be unavailable to serve (an event which our Board does not now anticipate), the proxies named on your proxy card will vote for a substitute nominee recommended by the Board. Alternatively, should such circumstances arise, the Board, on the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, may decide to reduce the size of the Board and the number of nominees.

Except in a contested election, each director will be elected only if he or she receives more votes for than votes against. As set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, any director nominee who is not elected by the vote required and who is an incumbent director must immediately tender his or her resignation to the Board for consideration. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will then make a recommendation to the Board as to whether to accept or reject the tendered resignation, or whether other action is recommended. The Board will act on the tendered resignation within 90 days and will promptly disclose its decision and rationale as to whether to accept the resignation or the reasons for rejecting the resignation. If a director's resignation is accepted by the Board, or if a nominee for director is not elected and is not an incumbent director, the Board may fill the resulting vacancy or decrease the size of the Board.

It is the responsibility of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to identify, evaluate and recommend prospective director candidates for the Board, in accordance with the policy and procedures described in the Committee's Charter and the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Committee regularly reviews the composition of the Board in light of the Company's changing requirements and its assessment of the Board's performance. The Committee seeks to include a complementary mix of individuals with diverse backgrounds and skills reflecting the broad set of challenges that the Board confronts. For more information on this process, see Corporate Governance Identification and Evaluation of Director Candidates.

In assessing qualifications for nominees, the Committee expects all candidates to meet the qualifications described in the Committee's Charter and the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, including integrity, mature and independent judgment, diverse business experience, familiarity with the issues affecting the Company's business, and the requisite time and ability to attend meetings and fully participate in the activities of the Board. The Committee believes that each of the nominees possesses these key attributes that are important to an effective Board. Each director nominee holds or has held senior executive positions in large organizations or the government and has experience relevant to the Company's business. Our directors also serve on the boards of other public and private companies and have an understanding of corporate governance practices and trends. The Committee also takes into account diversity considerations in determining the slate of directors and believes that, as a group, the nominees bring a broad range of perspectives to Board deliberations. In addition to the above, the Committee also considered the specific experiences described in the biographical details that follow in determining to nominate the individuals set forth below for election as directors.

Table of Contents

PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** each of the nominees for director.

NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR

MARY L. BAGLIVO

Ms. Baglivo is Vice President for Global Marketing and Chief Marketing Officer for Northwestern University. Before that she was a partner with Brand Value Advisors, a strategic brand and digital marketing advisory firm. She previously served as Chair and Chief Executive Officer, the Americas at Saatchi & Saatchi Worldwide from 2008 to April 2013, and Chief Executive Officer, New York from 2004 to 2008. Prior to joining Saatchi & Saatchi, she was President of Arnold Worldwide from 2002-2004 and Chief Executive Officer of Panoramic Communications from 2001 until 2002. She currently serves on the board of directors of PVH Corp and is a member of its compensation and corporate social responsibility committees.

Age: 57

Director since: 2013

Committees:

Compensation

Skills and Expertise:

global marketing, advertising and branding experience

strategic planning expertise

extensive business and leadership experience of large complex companies, including as Chair and CEO of the Americas at Saatchi & Saatchi Worldwide

SHEILA C. BAIR

Ms. Bair is the former Chair of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, where she served in that capacity from 2006 to 2011. From 2002 to 2006 she was the Dean's Professor of Financial Regulatory Policy for the Isenberg School of Management at the University of Massachusetts-Amherst. She also served as Assistant Secretary for Financial Institutions at the U.S. Department of the Treasury (2001 to 2002), Senior Vice President for Government Relations of the New York Stock Exchange (1995 to 2000), Commissioner of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (1991 to 1995), and as counsel to Kansas Republican Senate Majority Leader Bob Dole (1981 to 1988). She continues her work on financial policy issues as a senior advisor to the Pew Charitable Trusts and as chair of the Systemic Risk Council, a public interest group which monitors progress on the implementation of financial reforms. She is also an accomplished author and has written

Age: 61

Director since: 2012

Committees:

Audit

several books on financial issues, including educational writings on money and finance for children. She is on the board of Banco Santander SA, headquartered in Madrid, Spain and the Thomson Reuters Corporation. In addition, she serves on the boards of the Volcker Alliance, the Rand Corporation and the Center for Responsible Lending.

Skills and Expertise:

extensive expertise in banking and finance as a result of her services as Chair of the FDIC

recognized leader and author on financial policy issues

broad government and regulatory experience both from her service at the FDIC as well as prior service in senior positions at the NYSE, CFTC and the U.S. Department of the Treasury

audit committee financial expert

Table of Contents

PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE

TERENCE C. GOLDEN

Age: 70

Director since: 1995

Mr. Golden served as our President and Chief Executive Officer from 1995 until his retirement in May 2000. He is the Chairman of Bailey Capital Corporation. He is a director of and a member of the audit and finance committees of Pepco Holdings, Inc., a member of the Federal City Council and chairman of KIPP-DC. In past years, Mr. Golden served as Chief Financial Officer of The Oliver Carr Company, as a director of Cousins Properties, Inc., as a member of the G2 Satellite Solutions Advisory Committee, as a trustee of the Washington Real Estate Investment Trust and he was also co-founder and national managing partner of Trammell Crow Residential Companies. He served as Administrator of the General Services Administration from 1985 to 1988 and was Assistant Secretary of the Treasury from 1984 to 1985.

Skills and Expertise:

in-depth knowledge of real estate, hospitality and construction industries through service as our President and CEO for five years and in senior management positions at The Oliver Carr Company and Trammell Crow Residential Companies

extensive accounting and financial management experience, including through his service as our former CEO and as CFO at The Oliver Carr Company

business leader who brings knowledge and experience of operations, finance, and other aspects of our industry and business to the Board

ANN MCLAUGHLIN KOROLOGOS

Age: 73

Director since: 1993

Ms. Korologos served as the Chair of the Board of Trustees of the RAND Corporation, an international public policy research organization from April 2004 to April 2009. From October 1996 to December 2005 she served as Senior Advisor to Benedetto, Gartland & Company, Inc., a private investment banking firm in New York. She formerly served as President of the Federal City Council from 1990 until 1995 and as Chairman of the Aspen Institute from 1996 until 2000. Ms. Korologos has served in several United States Administrations in such positions as Secretary of Labor from 1987 to 1989 and Under Secretary of the Department of the Interior from 1984 to 1987. She also serves as a director of Michael Kors, Kellogg Company and Harman International Industries, Inc. She previously served on the boards of AMR Corporation (and its subsidiary, American Airlines) and Vulcan Materials Company.

Committees:

Compensation (Chair)

Nominating and
Corporate Governance

Skills and Expertise:

significant experience as a director of large, diversified, global public companies

recognized expertise and leadership in the oversight of public companies (including specific experience in compensation, audit, diversity, governance, and social responsibility oversight)

through her high level U.S. Government service, she also provides knowledge of labor issues, international affairs and expertise in providing leadership to complex business organizations

public policy, social responsibility and succession issues expertise

vast knowledge of and long-term experience with the Company, serving as a director since 1993

Table of Contents

PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE

RICHARD E. MARRIOTT

Mr. Marriott is our Chairman of the Board. He is Chairman of the Board of First Media Corporation, the Chairman and a director of the J. Willard Marriott and Alice S. Marriott Foundation and a director of the Richard E. and Nancy P. Marriott Foundation. Mr. Marriott also serves on the Federal City Council and the National Advisory Council of Brigham Young University. He previously served on the Board of Marriott International, Inc. and is a past President of the National Restaurant Association and a past director of the Polynesian Cultural Center. In addition, Mr. Marriott is the President and a Trustee of the Marriott Foundation for People with Disabilities.

Chairman of the Board

Age: 76

Director since: 1979

Skills and Expertise:

comprehensive knowledge of the Company and unique perspective and insight into the hospitality industry based on a 49 year history with the Company and Marriott International

during his tenure, Mr. Marriott has served in various executive capacities, was elected to the Board of Directors in 1979, and has served as our Chairman since 1993

long history of successful management of the Company

JOHN B. MORSE, JR.

Mr. Morse served as Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer of The Washington Post Company (now Graham Holdings Company) from November 1989 until his retirement in December 2008. He also served as President of Washington Post Telecommunications, Inc. and Washington Post Productions Inc., both subsidiaries of The Washington Post Company. Prior to joining The Washington Post Company, Mr. Morse was a partner at PricewaterhouseCoopers. Mr. Morse is a Director of AES Corporation, where he is on the strategy and investment committee and chairman of the financial audit committee, and HSN, Inc., where he is chairman of the audit committee and a member of the compensation and human resources committees. He is a former Trustee and President of the College Foundation of the University of Virginia.

Age: 68

Director since: 2003

Committees:

Audit (Chair)

Skills and Expertise:

Nominating and
Corporate Governance

substantial financial expertise that includes extensive knowledge of the complex financial and operational issues facing large companies

in-depth understanding of accounting principles and financial reporting rules and regulations acquired in the course of serving as the CFO of The Washington Post Company and his years as a partner at PricewaterhouseCoopers

board oversight expertise as an audit committee financial expert and a member of the audit committees of other public company boards

Table of Contents

PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE

WALTER C. RAKOWICH

Age: 57

Director since: 2012

Lead Director

Committees:

Audit

Nominating and Corporate Governance (Chair)

Mr. Rakowich is the retired Chief Executive Officer of Prologis, where he also served as a director of its board upon completion of the merger with AMB Property Corporation in 2011, and prior to that merger, as a trustee of the board since 2004. At Prologis, Mr. Rakowich served as Co-Chief Executive Officer from June 2011 to December 2012; Chief Executive Officer from November 2008 to June 2011; President and Chief Operating Officer from 2005 to 2008, and was a Managing Director and Chief Financial Officer from 1998 to 2005. Prior to joining Prologis, Mr. Rakowich was a partner with real estate provider Trammell Crow Company, where he worked for nine years; before that he was a senior audit and tax consultant for Pricewaterhouse. Mr. Rakowich is also a director of Iron Mountain Incorporated and is a member of its audit and governance committees.

Skills and Expertise:

significant real estate and financial experience, including extensive knowledge of the issues facing large international real estate investment trusts

from 1998 to 2012, Mr. Rakowich served, over time, as chief financial officer, chief operating officer and chief executive officer of Prologis, a real estate investment trust focused on industrial real estate with extensive international operations

brings valuable experience to the Board on issues facing the Company as it expands its international presence

extensive experience in accounting through his years at Pricewaterhouse

audit committee financial expert

GORDON H. SMITH

Senator Smith is President and CEO of the National Association of Broadcasters. From March to October 2009 he was a senior advisor and resident at the Washington, D.C. office of Covington & Burling LLP as a member of the Government Affairs and International Trade practice groups. In 2008, Senator Smith completed his second term as a United States Senator from the State of Oregon, where he served on the Commerce, Science and

Age: 62

Director since: 2009

Committees:

Compensation

Transportation Committee; the Energy and Natural Resources Committee; the Finance Committee; and the Indian Affairs Committee. In addition, he was a ranking member of the Senate Finance Subcommittee on International Trade and Global Competitiveness and for six years chaired the Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee on European Affairs. Prior to his election to the United States Senate, he directed the operations of Smith Frozen Foods, his family's frozen food processing business and is currently Chairman of the Board of Smith Frozen Foods, which is privately held. In 1992, he was elected to the Oregon State Senate, of which he became president in 1995. He also previously practiced law in the states of New Mexico and Arizona.

Skills and Expertise:

high-level U.S. government experience and leadership as a United States Senator

extensive knowledge of public policy, international affairs and trade and law

significant business experience and knowledge of finance and accounting obtained through his management of Smith Frozen Foods, a leading producer of frozen foods

Table of Contents

PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE

**W. EDWARD
WALTER**

**President and Chief
Executive Officer**

Age: 59

Director since: 2007

Mr. Walter is our President and Chief Executive Officer. He joined our Company in 1996 as Senior Vice President for Acquisitions, and has since held a variety of positions, including Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer. He became our President and Chief Executive Officer in October 2007. Prior to joining our Company, Mr. Walter was a partner with Trammell Crow Residential Company and the President of Bailey Capital Corporation. He serves on the Board of Directors of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. where he is a member of the compensation committee and chairman of the investment and finance committee. He is a member of the executive board and served as past chair of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts. He is also on the board of the Real Estate Roundtable, and is an officer and board member of the Federal City Council. He serves on the board and is a past chairman of the National Kidney Foundation and is on the board of the Friendship Public Charter School, one of the larger charter school systems in the District of Columbia. He is also on the faculty at Georgetown University's McDonough School of Business.

Skills and Expertise:

extensive business and leadership experience

significant expertise in finance, capital markets, real estate and the hospitality industry

extensive knowledge of the Company as a member of senior management for over 18 years, serving in various roles within the Company, including acquisitions, finance, and culminating in his current service as CEO

Proposal Two Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accountants

The Audit Committee has unanimously approved and voted to recommend that the stockholders ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as independent registered public accountants of the Company for 2015. Representatives of KPMG LLP will be at the annual meeting and will be given the opportunity to make a statement, if they desire to do so, and to respond to questions.

KPMG LLP has been the Company's independent registered public accountant since 2002. A new Lead Audit Partner is designated at least every five years as required by the SEC to ensure independence, and to provide a fresh perspective. In determining whether to reappoint the independent accountant, the Audit Committee considers the length of time the firm has been engaged, in addition to considering the quality of the discussions with the independent accountant and an assessment of the past performance of both the Lead Audit Partner and KPMG LLP.

Although ratification is not required by our Bylaws, the Board is submitting the selection of KPMG LLP to our stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the selection is not ratified, the Audit Committee will consider whether it is appropriate to select another registered public accounting firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may select a different registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as independent registered public accountants of the Company for 2015.

Table of Contents

PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE

Proposal Three Advisory Resolution to Approve Executive Compensation

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act requires that the Company seek a non-binding advisory vote from its stockholders to approve executive compensation. Since the required vote is advisory, the result of the vote is not binding upon the Company or the Board. In 2011, the Board recommended that this advisory resolution to approve named executive officer compensation be conducted annually and stockholders voted in favor of this recommendation by a substantial majority. Accordingly, the Board has determined that it will hold an advisory resolution to approve named executive officer compensation annually until the next vote to determine the frequency of such an advisory vote.

We urge stockholders to read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, which describes how our executive compensation policies operate and how they are designed to achieve our compensation objectives, as well as the Summary Compensation Table and related compensation tables and narrative which provide detailed information on the compensation of our named executive officers. Our executive compensation program is designed to provide a competitive level of compensation necessary to attract, motivate and retain talented and experienced executives and to motivate them to achieve short-term and long-term corporate goals that enhance stockholder value. Highlights of the Company's compensation programs include the following:

As an executive officer's responsibility and ability to affect the financial results of the Company increases, the portion of his or her total compensation deemed at-risk increases;

A substantial portion of the named executive officers' stock compensation is linked to the Company's relative total stockholder return measured over a three-year period. In 2014, certain performance goals were not met and a significant portion of the stock award for the year was not earned; and

The Compensation Policy Committee regularly assesses the Company's individual and total compensation programs against peer companies, the general marketplace and other industry data, and the Compensation Policy Committee utilizes an independent consultant to engage in an ongoing independent review of all aspects of our executive compensation programs.

The Compensation Policy Committee and the Board believe that these policies are effective in implementing our compensation philosophy, in achieving its goals, and have been effective at incenting the achievement of the Company's strong financial performance.

For the reasons stated above, the Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR approval of the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of the Company approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and in the tabular disclosure regarding named executive officer compensation (together with the accompanying narrative disclosure) in this Proxy Statement.

Effect of Proposal

This advisory resolution to approve named executive officer compensation, commonly referred to as a say-on-pay resolution, is non-binding on the Board of Directors. The approval or disapproval of this proposal by stockholders will not require the Board, the Compensation Policy Committee or the Company to take any action regarding the Company's executive compensation practices. Although non-binding, the Board and the Compensation Policy Committee will carefully review and consider the voting results when evaluating our future executive compensation program.

Table of Contents

PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE

Proposal Four Stockholder Proposal on Bylaw Amendments

The Company has been advised that UNITE HERE intends to submit the following proposal for consideration at the annual meeting. UNITE HERE is the beneficial owner of 349 shares, 0.00005% of our shares outstanding, and their address is 275 Seventh Ave., 10th Floor, New York, NY 10001. As explained below, the Board recommends that you vote Against the stockholder proposal.

Request for Stockholder Power to Amend Bylaws

Be it resolved, that the shareholders of Host Hotels & Resorts (Company) recommend the board of directors take all steps necessary to allow shareholders to amend our bylaws by a vote of the majority of shares outstanding.

UNITE HERE provided the following supporting statement:

Supporting Statement

The ability to amend our Bylaws by a vote of the majority of shares outstanding is fundamental shareholder right, and one of the most effective tools shareholders have to hold Boards accountable. Unfortunately, it is not a right currently enjoyed at Host, where the Board retains the exclusive right to amend our Bylaws.

Without the independent right to amend bylaws, introducing shareholder-friendly governance changes can be a lengthy process fraught with uncertainty. At Hospitality Properties Trust, for example, a majority of shareholders called for annual director elections for five consecutive years before the Board began to declassify.

With the power to amend bylaws by majority vote, shareholders can not only achieve corporate governance reform more efficiently; they can make these reforms permanent by requiring shareholder approval to remove key shareholder rights. Otherwise, these rights can be stripped at the Board's convenience, as they were at Ashford Hospitality Trust where the board unilaterally removed longstanding shareholder rights days before announcing a major spin-off and restructuring.

The ability to establish and retain shareholder rights becomes increasingly important as lodging REITs enter a period of increased merger and acquisition activity. The US hotel industry has witnessed improved operating fundamentals for three consecutive years. Near the top of the previous cycle, nearly half of the publicly traded equity lodging REITs then in existence were bought out, often at substantial premiums for shareholders. For example:

In February 2006, Blackstone acquired Meristar REIT for a \$10.45 per share consideration, 20% above the average trading price the day before the announcement.

Eagle Hospitality REIT was acquired by an Apollo affiliate for \$13.36 per share, a 42% premium over share prices the eve of the announcement.

JER Realty acquired Highland Hospitality Corporation, a REIT, for \$19.50 a share, a premium of approximately 15% over Highland's three-month average closing share price.

We recommend shareholders vote FOR a recommendation that our Board give shareholders the right to amend our bylaws by a vote of the majority of shares outstanding.

Board of Directors Statement Opposing the Stockholder Proposal

After careful consideration, the Board of Directors and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believe that the above stockholder proposal is not in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

UNITE HERE suggests that this proposal is necessary for good governance at the Company and to make the directors more accountable to the stockholders. We disagree. The Board has consistently been engaged with stockholders, responsive to their concerns and a leader in corporate governance. We proceed cautiously, however, when issues are presented by special interest groups with minimal investment in the Company, such as UNITE HERE, which is the largest union representing hotel workers in the United States, including hotel workers who are

Table of Contents

PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE

employees of operators at some of our hotels. UNITE HERE owns 349 shares of stock (out of over 750 million shares that are outstanding), and its objectives and economic interests may not align with the long term interests of stockholders.

The Company's bylaws establish a number of fundamental corporate governance operating principles that have historically been the responsibility of the Board of Directors, including rules for meetings of directors and stockholders, and the election and responsibilities of directors and officers. An overwhelming majority of Maryland real estate investment trusts have bylaws providing for exclusive board control of bylaw amendments. The Company's charter and bylaws have provided this right to the Board since the Company's formation as a real estate investment trust in 1999, which was approved by stockholders.

We believe the benefits of the Board retaining this control outweigh any of the speculative concerns raised by UNITE HERE. Among these are, first and foremost, that each of our directors has duties to the Company and all its stockholders under Maryland law. Stockholders, by contrast, are subject to no such duty. This permits a stockholder to propose bylaw amendments regardless of whether the amendment is in the best interests of the Company or only in the stockholder's interest to advance its particular agenda. The Board has duties to consider and balance the interests of all stockholders, and is better positioned to ensure that any bylaw amendments are prudent and designed to protect and maximize long-term value for all stockholders. Second, the proposal does not require a minimum stock ownership threshold. If implemented, the proposal would permit a stockholder with one share of common stock to put forth a number of proposed bylaw amendments year after year, encouraging what may be disruptive and distracting behavior.

UNITE HERE cites no governance concerns with the Company. In fact, the Company has consistently demonstrated its commitment to sound corporate governance practices as well as its willingness to consider and act on constructive proposals from stockholders. Examples of our practices include,

the Board of Directors is not classified, and since 2005 each director has been subject to re-election annually;

directors are elected by majority vote in uncontested director elections, a standard adopted by the Board in 2007;

there is no stockholder rights plan, as the Board allowed the plan to expire in 2008;

the Board previously elected to opt out of the control share acquisition statute in the Maryland General Corporation Law (the MGCL), which provided certain takeover defenses that the Board felt were unnecessary; and

similarly, the Board determined in 2014 to opt the Company out of the provisions of the MGCL that would have allowed the Board of Directors to classify itself without a stockholder vote.

For more information on our corporate governance practices, please see the Corporate Governance Highlights in the Proxy Summary.

For the reasons stated above, the Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote *Against* the proposal.

Effect of Proposal

The affirmative vote of a majority of all the votes cast on the stockholder proposal at the annual meeting is necessary for approval of this proposal. If approved, the stockholder proposal would be a non-binding recommendation to the Board of Directors.

However, even if the stockholder proposal passes, implementation of the proposal may not be accomplished by Board of Director action alone. Both the Company's charter and bylaws provide the Board of Directors with the exclusive power to amend the bylaws. Accordingly, the Charter must be amended to permit the Board of Directors to implement the proposal, which would require a subsequent stockholder vote at the next annual meeting and approval by the stockholders at that meeting by the vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) provides you with information on the Company's executive compensation programs and practices, and the decisions that the Compensation Policy Committee of the Board of Directors (the Compensation Committee) has made under the program. The CD&A focuses on our named executive officers for 2014, who were:

W. Edward Walter	President and Chief Executive Officer
Gregory J. Larson	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
Minaz B. Abji	Executive Vice President, Asset Management
Struan B. Robertson	Executive Vice President, Chief Investment Officer
Elizabeth A. Abdo	Executive Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary

2014 Company Performance Highlights

In 2014, our hotel operating results continued to remain strong. We increased our revenues for the fifth year in a row and increased our dividend for the fourth year in a row. Some of the highlights for 2014 include:

Revenues increased 3.6% for the year to approximately \$5.35 billion.

Net income for the year was \$747 million, while diluted earnings per common share was \$0.96 per share.

Adjusted funds from operations per diluted share increased 14.5% to \$1.50 per diluted share.

We declared over \$565 million in dividends, or \$0.75 per share, in 2014, a 63% increase over 2013.

Our joint venture in Europe expanded its footprint across key European markets by acquiring and rebranding the Sheraton Berlin Grand Hotel Esplanade for 81 million in September. The joint venture now owns 19 properties in nine countries with total assets of approximately 2 billion.

We completed the development of the 131-unit Hyatt Ka'anapali Beach, a Hyatt Resident Club Resort adjacent to our Hyatt Regency Maui Resort & Spa. We contributed a combination of excess land and cash for a 67% interest in the joint venture that owns the timeshare project, which recognized \$54 million in sales in 2014.

We completed the development of the 149-room Novotel and the 256-room ibis Rio de Janeiro Parque Olimpico in Barra da Tijuca for \$65 million. Operated by Accor, these properties are ideally situated for guests attending the 2016 Olympic Games, as well as providing access to local retail and business districts.

In November, we activated the new 25,000 square foot, eight-story tall high-definition digital billboard at the New York Marriott Marquis, which was developed in conjunction with the redevelopment and lease of the retail space to Vornado Realty Trust. The approximately 45,000 square feet of retail space facing Broadway on Times Square is expected to be fully leased in late 2015.

We were able to take advantage of attractive pricing to dispose of five non-core properties for \$519 million. These transactions reduced our exposure to markets where we believe growth is constrained and our return on investment is lower.

For more complete information about our 2014 performance, please review the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K included in our mailing to stockholders. Adjusted FFO used by the Compensation Committee as a performance measure is also used by the Company as a non-GAAP supplemental measure of operating performance in its earnings releases, financial presentations and SEC filings. For more information on this measure and a reconciliation to the comparable GAAP measure, see the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Host Inc. Reconciliation of Net Income to NAREIT and Adjusted Funds From Operations per Diluted Share on page 76.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Results of 2014 Advisory Vote

Each year, the Compensation Committee considers the outcome of the stockholder advisory vote on executive compensation when making decisions relating to the compensation of the named executive officers and our executive compensation program design, structure and policies.

In 2014, stockholders continued their significant support for our executive compensation program with over 94% of the votes cast for approval of the say on pay proposal at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Compensation Committee believes that the voting results, together with the over 90% approval received in the previous two years, conveyed our stockholders' strong support of the philosophy, design and structure of our executive compensation program. The Committee will continue to consider the results of the stockholders' advisory votes on executive compensation when making decisions about our executive compensation program.

Our Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee has approved the design and structure of annual compensation programs, which allow for more flexibility in light of changing times and stockholder involvement. The philosophy of our compensation programs has remained constant for over a decade:

To foster a strong relationship between stockholder interests and executive compensation;

To provide annual and long-term incentives that emphasize performance-based compensation; and

To provide overall levels of compensation that attract and retain talented executives.

Elements

There were no changes to the design or structure of the annual compensation program for 2014. It continues to have three key elements, base salary, annual cash incentive, and long-term incentives. Importantly, it also:

Recognizes the need for flexibility to modify our compensation levels and program design as appropriate on an annual basis in response to annual feedback from our stockholders.

Seeks to maximize the alignment between stockholder results and our executive incentives.

Emphasizes variable pay tied to performance, with the majority of the opportunity based on long-term incentive compensation, not salary and annual bonuses, and includes:

An annual cash incentive award that is based on the achievement of financial measures and objective individual performance goals; and

A long-term incentive program delivered through a mix of restricted stock and stock options where the restricted stock comprises 90% of the target opportunity and is 100% performance-based, and stock options deliver 10% of the target opportunity. Multiple measures of corporate performance are incorporated in the long-term incentive program, including:

Corporate financial, operating and strategic objectives that are expected to drive long term value;

Individual performance objectives approved by the Compensation Committee that are aligned with our annual operating and strategic objectives; and

Relative total stockholder return measures (TSR) that compare the Company over a three-year term to multiple indices reflecting the Company's competitors for investment capital.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Best Practices

The compensation program incorporates our best practices:

What We Do	What We Don't Do
<p>Compensation Committee comprised solely of independent directors;</p>	<p>No employment contracts with executive officers;</p>
<p>An independent compensation consultant retained exclusively by the Committee and which has no ties to the Company;</p>	<p>No individual change in control agreements;</p>
<p>Annual advisory vote on executive compensation;</p>	<p>No tax gross up on change in control payments or severance payments;</p>
<p>Stock ownership requirements for senior management and directors;</p>	<p>No pledging, hedging or short sales of Company securities by directors, officers or employees.</p>
<p>Regular reviews of our compensation and relative TSR peer groups and indices;</p>	<p>No pension plans or SERPs;</p>
<p>Regular briefings from the independent consultant regarding key trends;</p>	<p>No dividends paid on unvested restricted stock awards unless the awards actually vest;</p>
<p>An annual review of the performance of the chief executive officer;</p>	<p>No counting of performance vesting restricted stock toward our stock ownership guidelines; and</p>
	<p>No option repricing without stockholder approval.</p>

Market-aligned severance policy for executives with a double trigger for any change in control payments under the plan;

Retention/sales restrictions on vested awards under our long-term incentive plan;

A policy authorizing recoupment of compensation that results from a misstatement of financial results; and

Modest perquisites.

Target Compensation

Total target direct compensation for 2014 is described below and consists of salary, annual cash incentives and restricted stock and option awards. It does not include other benefits.

2014 Target Direct Compensation

	Annual Cash		Long-Term	Total Target Compensation
	Salary	Incentive	Incentives ⁽¹⁾	
Mr. Walter	\$ 925,000	\$ 1,387,500	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 6,812,500
Mr. Larson	475,000	475,000	1,200,000	2,150,000
Mr. Abji	515,000	515,000	1,670,000	2,700,000
Mr. Robertson	475,000	475,000	1,500,000	2,450,000
Ms. Abdo	460,000	460,000	980,000	1,900,000

(1) This reflects the target level value of long-term incentives. These are equity-based awards, and 90% of the target value shown is in the form of performance vesting restricted stock and the remaining 10% is in the form of stock options. The Compensation Committee determines the dollar value. The number of shares of restricted stock is determined by dividing the value by the

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

average of the high and low price of the Company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange for the 60 calendar days preceding December 31, 2013, which was \$18.54. The Compensation Committee believes that an average price over a period of time is a better gauge of value as it mitigates volatility. Stock options are valued using the binomial method.

Determination of Target Compensation

The Compensation Committee's decisions on total target compensation were informed with the assistance of its independent consultant, Pay Governance. In 2013, Pay Governance, at the direction of the Committee, conducted a competitive review of the compensation provided to senior management. While the Committee reviews compensation levels, trends and practices every year, it requests a thorough review every two years as pay practices and market pay ranges generally do not change dramatically over a one year period. Further, the Committee prefers to take a broad view of the compensation landscape rather than respond hastily in one year. At the Committee's July and October meetings, it reviewed compensation design, trends and data sources for the compensation review. No changes in the design of the program were made. As in the past, data from three sources were used to assess and compare pay levels at the Company. These were (1) proxy pay data reported in recent proxy filings for 21 peer companies, (2) general industry data of companies for non-real estate specific functions, size adjusted based on revenue size, and (3) NAREIT survey data focused on companies of similar size in terms of total capitalization. The companies included in the proxy peer group were screened based on operating in the real estate and/or hospitality industry, comparable size to the Company, similar business operations as the Company and/or having a global portfolio, and which are a competitor for talent and investment capital. Upon review, adjustments were made to the companies that had been in the proxy peer group in 2012. DDR, Corp. was removed due to its relatively small size, and Federal Realty Investment Trust was added, as it is geographically relevant for Bethesda-based positions. Simon Property Group was also removed as it is significantly larger than the Company and its pay programs had received negative shareholder feedback in its last say on pay vote. Wyndham Worldwide was added as its size and scope were similar to the Company's and it is in the hospitality industry.

As a result, the proxy peer group from which the data were aggregated consisted of:

COMPENSATION PEER GROUP	
Apartment Investment & Management Company	Kimco Realty Corporation
AvalonBay Communities, Inc.	The Macerich Company
Boston Properties, Inc.	Marriott International, Inc.
Brookfield Office Properties Inc.	Prologis, Inc.
Duke Realty Corporation	Public Storage
Equity Residential	SL Green Realty Corp.
Federal Realty Investment Trust	Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc.
General Growth Properties, Inc.	Ventas, Inc.

HCP, Inc.

Vornado Realty Trust

Health Care REIT, Inc.

Wyndham Worldwide Corporation

Hyatt Hotels Corporation

The NAREIT survey data provided the Committee with industry specific references for a broad range of companies. It also reflected companies against which the Company competes directly for talent and investment capital. The general industry database presented information from a broader market than the real estate industry and is consistent with the Company's inclusion in the S&P 500 index. While the Committee reviewed compensation information derived from the general industry group and NAREIT samples, the Committee did not see the identity of any of the surveyed companies.

In December 2013 and January 2014, the Compensation Committee reviewed aggregated compensation data for the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles for the competitive market samples. The Compensation Committee did not target overall compensation to a certain percentile, or a range of percentiles, in any market sample. This was because market data have limitations, including not reflecting the experience of a person in a particular position, past performance, and elements of responsibility. The Committee, instead, used the collective data, in consultation with Pay Governance, to learn about the current levels of compensation in the market, and considered other factors, such as the credentials, length of service, experience, scope of responsibility, prior performance of each

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

individual and internal equity considerations among the senior management team. In considering salary increases, the Committee reviewed the individual executive's role, contribution to the management team, experience, performance, and progression in each role as well as comparisons of compensation to the external market. The Compensation Committee also consulted Mr. Walter and the Executive Vice President of Human Resources and discussed its recommendations for senior management with them. Mr. Walter was not involved in any discussions or determinations of his compensation. The Committee reviewed its determinations for all executives and Mr. Walter with the independent directors of the Board in executive session. The Compensation Committee did not adopt a specific formula in determining the mix of compensation but consistently emphasizes a program with a majority of the total opportunity based on variable long-term incentives, and a majority of those incentives tied to stock performance over a multi-year period.

2014 Compensation Results

Realized Pay

The table below, which supplements the Summary Compensation Table that appears on page 42, shows the compensation that might be realized for 2014 by each named executive officer. Our compensation program allows the named executive officers to earn compensation (except salary) at threshold, target and high levels based on performance on:

objective financial measures;

personal objectives;

corporate objective; and

three-year relative TSR.

In 2014 the overall compensation results were above target because we achieved an overall above target level of performance. As such, the Compensation Committee believes that the program functioned as designed with pay tied to performance. As we describe later in this CD&A, key performance results included:

We exceeded target performance under our annual cash incentive plan because we outperformed on our annual financial metrics with results between target and high. This is reflected in the table under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation.

On three-year TSR, which has the greatest impact on executives' compensation, we achieved above target compared to the NAREIT Index, just above threshold compared to the Lodging Index and target compared to the S&P 500 Index.

The stock price appreciated 22% in 2014, which increased the value of the restricted stock and options granted in January 2014 and which vested for performance year 2014. There is no assurance, however, that the named executive officers would actually realize the value attributed to these stock awards since the ultimate value of the stock awards will depend on when the released shares are sold.

2014 Realized Pay Table ⁽¹⁾

Name	Salary	Stock Awards ⁽²⁾	Option Awards ⁽³⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽¹⁾	All Other Compensation	Total Compensation Realized
W. Edward Walter	\$ 925,000	\$ 5,635,411	\$ 402,986	\$ 1,878,100	\$ 245,313	\$ 9,086,809
Gregory J. Larson	475,000	1,519,926	107,461	650,100	74,416	2,826,903
Minaz B. Abji	515,000	2,103,295	149,554	701,000	93,756	3,562,605
Struan B. Robertson	475,000	1,918,938	134,329	628,700	71,527	3,228,493
Elizabeth A. Abdo	460,000	1,236,617	87,763	677,300	62,764	2,524,444

(1) Amounts shown equal the amounts reported in the Salary, Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation and All Other Compensation columns of the Summary Compensation Table.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

- (2) Amounts shown represent the value of the annual restricted stock awards that vested for performance year 2014. It excludes shares that were forfeited. The value is calculated by multiplying the number of shares vested by the closing price of the Company's common stock on the vesting date of February 17, 2015, which was \$23.56. In addition, for Mr. Robertson the amount shown include the vesting of one-third of a three-year time based award that was granted as a sign-on bonus when he joined the company on January 18, 2013.
- (3) The value attributable to stock option awards is the difference between the exercise price of the options on the date of grant, January 22, 2014, which was \$19.57 per share, and the closing stock price as of the vesting date of the options, December 31, 2014, which was \$23.77 (an increase of \$4.20).
The difference between this supplemental table and the Summary Compensation Table on page 42 is as follows:

Stock Awards: First, the Summary Compensation Table values the entire stock award made to the named executive officers in 2014. In contrast, the Realized Pay Table shows amounts based on the value of the actual number of shares that vested and excludes forfeited shares. In addition, for Mr. Robertson the Realized Pay Table includes the value of only one-third of a three-year time based award that was granted as a sign-on bonus. Second, the amounts shown in the Summary Compensation Table for stock awards reflect the grant date fair value of stock awards at the time the stock awards were deemed to be granted for accounting purposes, which was January 22, 2014. The Realized Pay Table values the actual shares received based on fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of vesting, February 17, 2015, which was \$23.56 multiplied by the actual shares earned.

Stock Options: The amounts shown in the Summary Compensation Table reflect the value of the options on the dates of grant. In contrast, the Realized Pay Table shows the in-the-money value of stock options based on the difference between the market price of the Company's common stock on the date the options vested, December 31, 2014, and the exercise price of the options, which was the fair market value on the date of the grant.

For a detailed description of the grant date fair value of the stock awards and option awards, please see footnotes 2 and 3 to the Summary Compensation Table for 2014 starting on page 42. This table is not a substitute for the Summary Compensation Table and is intended to provide additional information that the Company believes is useful in facilitating an understanding of 2014 realized compensation amounts to executive officers.

Salary

Base salary is set at an annual rate, and increases ranged between 5% and 9% in 2014. The Committee first established total target compensation, as discussed previously, and then determined an appropriate allocation of the total target compensation to salary based on various factors, including peer level salary data and internal equity considerations. Salary decreased as a percentage of the named executive officers' total target compensation to between 14% and 24% in 2014 from 16% and 25% in 2013.

Edgar Filing: HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC. - Form DEF 14A

Name	Salary 2014	Salary 2013	Increase %
Mr. Walter	\$ 925,000	\$ 849,750	9%
Mr. Larson	475,000	450,000	6
Mr. Abji	515,000	489,250	5
Mr. Robertson	475,000	450,000	6
Ms. Abdo	460,000	437,750	5

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS***Annual Cash Incentive*

All employees participate in the annual cash incentive program. Any awards earned are based on (1) the Company's performance on two annual financial metrics, Adjusted FFO, and Return on Invested Capital (ROIC , defined below), and (2) performance on individual objectives. For the named executive officers, the annual cash incentive is weighted as follows:

The financial performance measures of Adjusted FFO and ROIC are key metrics for the Company and the most significant portion of executives' annual cash bonus is tied to the Company's financial performance for the year. FFO per diluted share is the predominant measure of operating performance used by real estate investment trusts and the Company uses the measure in accordance with National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts guidelines as a supplemental measure of operating performance in its earnings releases and financial presentations. ROIC provides an emphasis on investing capital effectively. In the cyclical real estate / hospitality market, this focus on using capital effectively enhances the opportunity for longer term stability and growth. The individual performance goals represent the smallest component of the named executive officers' annual incentive award opportunity, reflecting the Committee's continued belief that the incentive emphasis for senior executives should be primarily on Company performance. These goals create line of sight and motivate behaviors that support the Company's annual business plan and long-term strategy.

The target annual cash incentive represents 20%-25% of the named executive officers' total target compensation. The total amount that a named executive officer may earn depends on: (1) salary or eligible earnings, because the award is calculated and paid as a percentage of the annual salary or amount earned, (2) the level of performance achieved on Adjusted FFO and ROIC, and (3) the level of performance achieved on individual goals. Performance levels are set at threshold , target and high and results are interpolated between these levels. There is no bonus if performance is below threshold , and bonuses are capped at the high level. The chart below shows the target annual incentive award as a percentage of salary for each named executive officer in 2014.

Name	Salary	Target as % of Salary	Target Annual Incentive
Mr. Walter	\$ 925,000	150%	\$ 1,387,500
Mr. Larson	475,000	100	475,000
Mr. Abji	515,000	100	515,000
Mr. Robertson	475,000	100	475,000
Ms. Abdo	460,000	100	460,000

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

2014 Results on Financial Measures. The threshold, target and high goals for Adjusted FFO and ROIC were established in February 2014 by the Compensation Committee based on, and subject to review and approval of, the Company's 2014 business plan and budget by the Board of Directors. The chart below shows these measures and the Company's actual results for 2014, which were determined by the Compensation Committee in February 2015.

2014 Actual Results on Financial Measures

(1) ROIC is calculated as property-level EBITDA divided by the invested capital for all comparable consolidated properties. Property-level EBITDA is defined as the earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization of our comparable, owned hotels after eliminating corporate-level costs and expenses related to our capital structure. Invested capital is defined as the purchase price of a property plus all capital expenditures, excluding the furniture, fixture and equipment reserve contributions, which are typically 5% of gross revenues.

Based on these results, the named executive officers received the following:

Name	Actual FFO Award	FFO Award as a % of Target	ROIC Award	ROIC Award as a % of Target
Mr. Walter	\$ 1,184,000	152.38%	\$ 374,917	112.59%
Mr. Larson	405,334	152.38	128,350	112.59
Mr. Abji	439,467	152.38	139,158	112.59
Mr. Robertson	405,334	152.38	128,350	112.59
Ms. Abdo	392,534	152.38	124,296	112.59

2014 Results on Individual Performance Goals. At the beginning of each year, senior management proposes and drafts performance goals based on the annual business plan of the Company, its long-term strategy and individual department objectives. The Compensation Committee reviewed these proposed goals at its February 2014 meeting, adopted any revisions it deemed appropriate and approved the named executive officers' goals. Mr. Walter's goals are discussed with the independent directors of the full Board prior to approval. Since they are tied to the Company's plans and strategy, the goals are designed to be attainable at a target level. The Compensation Committee also conducted a mid-year review of the personal goals at its July meeting to ensure that they were still appropriate. No changes were made. The Compensation Committee discussed each executive's performance at its February 2015 meeting. Its assessments of the named executive officers, other than Mr. Walter, were based, in part, on Mr. Walter's judgment and recommendations, and on each executive's written assessment of his or her performance. Mr. Walter also wrote an assessment of his own performance for the Committee's consideration. The Committee discussed Mr. Walter's performance, each of the other named executive officer's performance and its recommendations with the independent directors in an executive session.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

Mr. Walter's individual goals in 2014 included (i) overseeing the remaining elements of the strategic planning process and completing the strategic plan; (ii) overseeing a review of the management team structure, which resulted in a realignment of functions along regional lines; (iii) enhancing the focus on value add opportunities; and (iv) participating in industry legislative initiatives, including an active role in advocating for the extension of terrorism risk insurance. Target for individual goals was 30% of base salary. Actual was 34.50%

Individual goals for Mr. Larson included (i) managing and implementing the Company's finance plan; (ii) designing and overseeing the 2014 investor day and investor relations plan for 2014; (iii) coordinating and overseeing the ground lease strategy; and (iv) reviewing the organizational structure, leadership and bench strength of various disciplines. Target for individual goals was 20% of base salary. Actual was 24.50%

Mr. Abji's individual goals in 2014 included (i) achieving budgeted operating objectives on RevPAR growth, margins and other metrics; (ii) achieving budgeted targets for certain specified hotels; (iii) assessing and implementing franchise and brand conversion opportunities; (iv) implementing the food and beverage plan; and (v) enhancing asset management involvement in the acquisition and investment process. Target for individual goals was 20% of base salary. Actual was 23.75%

Mr. Robertson's individual goals included (i) implementing the Company's 2014 investment plan for the U.S., Latin America, Europe and Asia; (ii) implementing Company's 2014 disposition plan; (iii) refining the investment team structure and processes; and (iv) overseeing the process and planning for the conversion of acquired independent hotels. Target for individual goals was 20% of base salary. Actual was 20.0%

Individual goals for Ms. Abdo in 2014 included (i) resolving key open litigation matters and claims which resulted in reversing a major adverse judgment against the Company, and prevailing or favorably settling several high profile disputes; (ii) coordinating legislative and regulatory activities; (iii) updating compliance policies and procedures; and (iv) conducting an organizational and efficiency review on certain matters in the department. Target for individual goals was 20% of base salary. Because of the achievements in resolving litigation and claims, the Committee chose to increase the amount associated with this objective. Nevertheless, the total amount awarded for individual performance was below the high level. The Committee did not increase the actual rating because that would have had the effect of increasing equity compensation as well. Actual was 24.0%

Based on the Compensation Committee's review, the named executive officers received the following cash incentive based on their personal performance objectives:

Name	Individual Performance Award	Award as % of Target
Mr. Walter	\$ 319,125	115.00%
Mr. Larson	116,375	122.50
Mr. Abji	122,313	118.75
Mr. Robertson	95,000	100.00
Ms. Abdo	160,400	174.00%

Summary of Annual Cash Incentive. The chart below summarizes all the components of the annual incentive cash award for 2014 under each of the metrics.

Name	Results 2014 Annual Incentive					
	Target as % of Salary	Target Bonus	FFO Award	ROIC Award	Individual Performance	Total Bonus ⁽¹⁾
Mr. Walter	150%	\$ 1,387,000	\$ 1,184,000	\$ 374,917	\$ 319,125	\$ 1,878,100
Mr. Larson	100	475,000	405,334	128,350	116,375	650,100
Mr. Abji	100	515,000	439,467	139,158	122,313	701,000
Mr. Robertson	100	475,000	405,334	128,350	95,000	628,700
Ms. Abdo	100	460,000	392,534	124,296	160,400	677,300

(1) Total bonus amounts are rounded up to the nearest \$100.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS***Long-Term Incentives*

The long-term incentives are equity-based awards and provide 90% of the target value in the form of performance vesting restricted stock and the remaining 10% in the form of stock options. In combination, these awards represent the largest component of the named executive officers' total target compensation, representing between 52% and 66% in 2014, an increase from between 50% and 61% in 2013. Dividends accrue on unvested shares, but are paid only when, and if, the restrictions on the awards lapse, that is, the shares vest based on performance and are released.

All of our long-term incentive compensation is performance-based. Performance shares vest based on relative TSR, corporate, and individual performance. These measures provide a link to stockholder value, with recognition of the other companies that Host may be competing against for capital. Stock options provide a link to absolute stockholder value creation through a sole focus on stock price appreciation.

Restricted Stock

Our long-term incentive program provides an annual award of performance based restricted stock at the beginning of each year to more regularly incorporate stockholder feedback. Further, to remain consistent with our desire to maintain strong alignment with stockholder results, we grant the maximum number of shares that an executive may earn over the performance period.

In this structure, if our high performance goals are not achieved, the executive forfeits the right to earn the full number of shares granted. We believe this approach better communicates the loss an executive incurs in his/her earning opportunity if our goals are not achieved.

All awards of restricted stock vest based on performance on corporate and individual objectives approved by the Compensation Committee at the outset of the year and on three TSR measures. The program design uses a three-year performance period for TSR, and the grant for 2014 vests based on the three-year (2012-2014) cumulative relative TSR performance.

The vesting of the restricted shares awarded in 2014 was dependent upon:

2014 Restricted Stock Vesting

Achievement levels are set for threshold at which 25% of shares may be earned, target, at which 50% of the shares may be earned and high performance, at which all shares are earned. No shares are earned if performance is below threshold, and results will be interpolated between the levels of threshold, target and high.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

2014 Results on Individual Performance. Individual goals represent 16.67% of the target stock award and the results were consistent with those described above under Annual Cash Incentive . The chart below shows for each named executive officer, the total shares that were eligible to be earned for individual performance, the target level of shares, the actual shares earned and the shares forfeited by each named executive officer.

	Individual Performance Shares			
	Shares Granted (High)	Shares Granted (Target)	Shares Earned	Shares Forfeited
Mr. Walter	72,816	36,408	41,869	30,947
Mr. Larson	19,417	9,709	11,893	7,524
Mr. Abji	27,023	13,512	16,045	10,978
Mr. Robertson	24,272	12,136	12,135	12,137
Ms. Abdo	15,858	7,929	9,515	6,343

2014 Results on Corporate Performance Objectives. Corporate objectives represent 33.33% of the target stock award. As with individual performance goals, these are proposed by senior management and Mr. Walter at the beginning of the year and reviewed by the Compensation Committee at its February 2014 meeting. The goals are tied to the annual business plan and strategy of the Company with an emphasis on encouraging the behaviors and results that the Company believes will ultimately drive long-term stockholder value creation and preservation. Summarized below is an assessment of our Company's performance against the corporate level objectives established for 2014, the indicated level of achievement, plus the appropriate percentage award.

Weighting	Target	Achieved	Achievements
15%	5.0%	5.0%	Operating results were quite solid for the year. Revenue growth exceeded or equalled budget objectives for the consolidated portfolio, with RevPAR slightly above budget. Food and beverage performance was well above budget and margins exceeded budgeted targets.

Weighting	Target	Achieved	Achievements
15%	5.0%	2.5%	Few marketed assets met our criteria and competition for those that did pushed pricing to levels above what we believed to be prudent. As a result, we elected to make fewer investments and did not achieve our targeted investment volume.

Weighting	Target	Achieved	Achievements
15%	5.0%	3.75%	We sold 5 properties for approximately \$519 million. The properties were non-core assets where the Company believed the potential for growth would be constrained or where advantageous pricing could be opportunistically obtained in the market. We elected not to sell where we could not achieve pricing we deemed appropriate and, as a result, the disposition plan did not meet targeted objectives.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

Weighting	Target	Achieved	Achievements
20%	6.7%	8.4%	The Company extended its \$1 billion credit facility and \$500 million term loan and reduced the interest rate on both the term loan and revolver. It refinanced debt on assets owned by the European joint venture in Brussels at favorable rates and established additional relationships with European lenders. Analysis and refinement of the strategic plan was reviewed and discussed with the Board and then completed. The Company held its first investor day in over a decade, available to all via the web, to provide greater insight into the Company's strategy and plans for value creation.
25%	8.3%	12.5%	Significant progress was made in identifying, reviewing and implementing plans on value enhancement initiatives and development, including, among others: (i) completing construction of the 131-unit vacation ownership project in Maui, Hawaii adjacent to our Hyatt Regency Maui Resort & Spa, (ii) completing, together with our partner Vornado Realty Trust, construction of the retail space and new exterior signage at the New York Marriott Marquis, (iii) beginning construction on the new ballroom at the San Diego Marriott Marquis and Marina, (iv) franchising the Denver Marriott Tech Center Hotel and approving the capital investment plan for its redevelopment, (v) reaching agreement to rebrand the Four Seasons Philadelphia and developing a plan to convert the property to a lifestyle, luxury asset operated by Sage Hospitality and (vi) purchasing or restructuring multiple ground leases.
10%	3.3%	3.3%	A detailed corporate engagement survey was completed to identify ways to enhance and increase workforce engagement, the results of which were reviewed in detail with each department. In response to employee feedback, developed a new performance management process with more focus on coaching and feedback and increasing the alignment between individual goals and corporate strategy. Examined

methods for allocating resources, which led to the relocation of the Brazil office to Miami and to the alignment of asset managers, development/construction managers and investment professionals on a regional basis.

Totals for All Corporate Objectives

Weighting	Target	Achieved
100%	33.3%	35.5%

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

The chart below shows for each named executive officer, the total shares that were eligible to be earned on corporate objectives, the target level of shares, the actual shares earned and the shares forfeited by each named executive officer.

	Corporate Performance Shares			
	Shares Granted (High)	Shares Granted (Target)	Shares Earned	Shares Forfeited
Mr. Walter	145,631	72,816	77,512	68,119
Mr. Larson	38,835	19,418	20,670	18,165
Mr. Abji	54,045	27,023	28,765	25,280
Mr. Robertson	48,544	24,272	25,838	22,706
Ms. Abdoo	31,715	15,858	16,880	14,835

2014 Results on Relative TSR Measures. The Company's TSR was compared to the three market references for the three-year period January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014. Shares vested based on the performance of the Company's relative TSR (measured as a percentile) compared to each reference. No shares are earned if performance is below threshold, and results are interpolated between the levels of threshold, target and high, as is shown below.

2014 Actual TSR Results ⁽¹⁾

(1) TSR is the increase in the price of the Company's common stock at year end December 2014 over the price at year-end December 2012, plus dividends paid on the Company's common stock during the relevant years. The stock price will be calculated, in each case, as the average of the high and low price of the Company's common stock on the NYSE on the last 60 calendar days of the relevant year.

(2) The Lodging Index is comprised of the following companies: Marriott International, Inc., Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc., Hyatt Hotels Corporation, Strategic Hotels & Resorts, Inc., LaSalle Hotel Properties, Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Diamondrock Hospitality Co.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

The chart below shows for each named executive officer, the actual shares earned and the shares forfeited by each named executive officer.

	NAREIT Index Shares Earned	Lodging Index Shares Earned	TSR Shares S&P Index Shares Earned	Total TSR Shares Earned		Shares Forfeited
Mr. Walter	62,205	18,863	38,745	119,813		98,635
Mr. Larson	16,588	5,030	10,332	31,950		26,301
Mr. Abji	23,085	7,000	14,379	44,464		36,605
Mr. Robertson	20,735	6,288	12,915	39,938		32,878
Ms. Abdo	13,547	4,108	8,438	26,093		21,481

Summary of Restricted Stock Results. In summary, the chart below shows shares earned and forfeited by the named executive officers in 2014.

	Total Shares Granted (Target)	Total Shares Earned	Total Shares Forfeited
Mr. Walter	218,448	239,194	197,701
Mr. Larson	58,252	64,513	51,990
Mr. Abji	81,069	89,274	72,863
Mr. Robertson	72,816	77,911	67,721
Ms. Abdo	47,574	52,488	42,659

Shares would also vest in the event of an executive's death or disability or, under certain circumstances, under the Severance Plan. Please see the discussion under *Additional Policies and Benefits* Severance Plan. As described under *Additional Policies and Benefits* Perquisites and Other Individual Benefits, Mr. Walter agreed to accept proceeds under life insurance policies to offset long-term incentive compensation that would vest in the event of his death.

Stock Options

Stock options represent 10% of the total target equity value. Grants are made annually and vest at the end of the calendar year in which the grants were made. Stock options are valued using the binomial options pricing model. Options have a 10-year life. No cash dividends accrue or are paid on options. The Compensation Committee awarded the following stock options to the named executive officers on January 22, 2014 at an exercise price of \$19.57 per share, which was the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of the award. All options vested December 31, 2014.

Name

	Stock Options
Mr. Walter	95,949
Mr. Larson	25,586
Mr. Abji	35,608
Mr. Robertson	31,983
Ms. Abdo	20,896

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Risk Considerations

Both prior to continuing the annual program in 2014 and prior to making its determinations on results and payments under the program, the Compensation Committee considered whether the design and structure created incentives for senior management to engage in unnecessary or excessive risk taking. The executive compensation program is designed to reward the named executive officers and other members of senior management for reaching or exceeding financial, personal and corporate goals approved by the Compensation Committee. The Committee considered the following factors:

the pay mix is weighted toward long-term incentives that align senior management interests with stockholders;

total pay is capped including annual cash incentives and long-term incentives, which are granted at a high level of performance;

tally sheets, prepared by Pay Governance, the independent consulting firm retained by the Compensation Committee, are reviewed and address all elements of compensation for the named executive officers and potential outcomes under a range of scenarios from low to high performance;

stock ownership and retention guidelines ensure that senior management will retain an ownership stake and prohibit sales that would allow executives to fall below the ownership requirements;

internal policies prohibit use of margin accounts, hedging or pledges of stock;

a recoupment policy is in effect (as described in more detail below);

performance metrics are tied to key measures of short-term success (such as Adjusted FFO and ROIC) and long-term success (such as development of strategic plans, organizational bench strength and succession planning);

personal performance is emphasized, allowing line-of-site with controllable results;

financial performance is reviewed with the Audit Committee; and

the financial measures of Adjusted FFO and ROIC are tied to the annual budget and business plan which the Board reviews, discusses and approves.

The Compensation Committee has full responsibility for approving the goals and the resulting payouts and retains the discretion to reduce awards as appropriate. Based on these factors, the Company believes that the program appropriately focuses on executive performance and does not create an incentive for management to engage in unnecessary and excessive risk taking.

Additional Policies and Benefits

While the key elements of the executive compensation program and compensation actions are described above, the named executive officers are also eligible to participate in the Company's health and welfare programs, our tax-qualified Retirement and Savings Plan (401(k)), and other programs on the same basis as all other employees. There are also additional benefits and policies that apply only to the named executive officers and other senior executives, which are described here.

Stock Ownership and Retention Policy

All members of senior management must comply with the Company's equity ownership and retention policy which ensures that senior executives have a meaningful economic stake in the Company, while allowing for appropriate portfolio diversification.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Equity Ownership. The equity ownership guidelines provide that, within five years of joining the Company or being promoted to a position in senior management, members of senior management should own and retain stock equal to the following respective multiple of their annual salary rate:

CEO six times annual salary rate;

Executive Chair five times annual salary rate;

Executive Vice Presidents three times annual salary rate; and

Senior Vice Presidents two times annual salary rate.

Only certain types of equity are used in determining whether the guidelines are met, including stock owned directly by an employee or as a result of vesting in restricted stock. Unvested performance shares and options are not counted toward satisfying the equity ownership guidelines.

Retention. In addition to the number of shares required to satisfy the equity ownership guideline, each member of senior management is also required to retain direct ownership of shares equal in number to, (a) 100% of Covered Shares for a period of one full year from the date on which such Covered Shares vest, and (b) 50% of Covered Shares for two full years from the date on which such Covered Shares vest. For the purposes of the policy, Covered Shares means shares acquired by a member of senior management pursuant to any award of restricted stock granted after January 1, 2012 under any Company equity compensation plan or other written compensation arrangement, net of shares used to pay tax withholding requirements attributable to such award.

Senior management is prohibited from selling any shares (other than shares to satisfy tax obligations) if they are not in compliance with the policy or if the sale would result in holdings below the guidelines. None of senior management has a plan in place by which they sell Company stock on a periodic basis (referred to as a 10b5-1 plan). The Compensation Committee reviews compliance with the policy and all executives were in compliance with the guidelines in 2014.

Recoupment Policy

The Company adopted a policy, effective January 1, 2012, that requires the reimbursement of excess incentive compensation payments in the event that the Company is required to make a material restatement of its financial statements. The policy applies to all members of senior management and ensures that any fraud, intentional misconduct or illegal behavior leading to a restatement of the Company's financial result would be properly addressed. Under the policy, the Board would review all incentive plan compensation that was paid on the basis of having met or exceeded specific performance targets for performance periods in question. If the cash incentive awards or stock compensation received under the program would have been lower had they been calculated based on such restated results, it is the general policy of our Board to seek to recoup, for the benefit of the Company, the portion of the excess compensation that was received by any individual who engaged in fraud, intentional misconduct or illegal behavior in connection with the financial results that were restated. The Board will, in its reasonable business judgment, decide whether to pursue such recoupment from an individual based on those factors that our Board believes to be reasonable. The policy will be revised if required under the Dodd-Frank Act once the regulations implementing the recoupment policy requirements of that law have been issued.

Insider Trading Controls, Hedging, Short Sales and Pledging

Because we believe it is improper and inappropriate for any Company personnel to engage in short-term or speculative transactions involving our stock or other securities, the Company's Insider Trading Policy Statement, which was adopted in 2001, provides that directors, officers and employees, and family members sharing the same household, shall not engage in any of the following activities with respect to Company securities:

(1) In and out trading in Company securities; Company securities purchased in the open market must be held for a minimum of six months and ideally longer. (2) Short sales, including selling against the box transactions; (3) Buying or selling puts or calls (options) or other derivatives on our stock or other securities or entering into hedging transactions on Company securities; this does not pertain to the exercise of stock options granted by the Company to its employees, the terms of which prohibit such trading; and (4) Margin

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Accounts or Stock Pledges; the Company prohibits employees and directors from purchasing Company securities on margin or holding Company securities in a margin account or otherwise pledging Company securities as collateral for loans.

Executives and directors annually certify that they have complied with the policy, and no Company securities are currently pledged nor will executives and directors be permitted to pledge them in the future.

Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

We provide executive officers with perquisites that we believe to be fair, reasonable and primarily based on our business. They consist of (1) dining, complimentary rooms and other hotel services when on personal travel at hotels that we own or that are managed by our major operators, (2) financial planning and tax services, and (3) reimbursement for taxes associated with these benefits. We are in the lodging industry and we believe that it is appropriate to encourage our executives to continually enhance their understanding of our properties and the operations of our key managers at our properties and other hotels in the same class as our portfolio. This assists in portfolio development and improvements. In addition, we believe that offering financial planning and tax services represents a minimal cost while ensuring that executives are in compliance with tax requirements. Since we encourage our executives to use these perquisites and the Company gains benefits from their knowledge and feedback on our managers and properties, we feel that it is appropriate to reimburse them for the taxes incurred upon such benefits.

In connection with the restricted stock awards, at the Company's request Mr. Walter agreed to purchase a life insurance policy and to accept the proceeds under the policy to offset some or all of the stock compensation that would vest and be payable in the event of his death. The Company believes that it benefits from this policy because the proceeds from the life insurance policy would mitigate the effect on the Company's financial statements of the accelerated vesting of the restricted stock award, which would occur upon an executive's death. The Company reimburses Mr. Walter for the cost of the policy and the taxes payable as a result of the reimbursement.

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

This plan allows participants to save for retirement in excess of the limits applicable under our Retirement and Savings Plan. It is not a tax qualified plan. Eligible employees, including the named executive officers, may defer up to 100% of their cash compensation (that is, salary and bonus) in excess of the amounts first deferred into the Retirement and Savings Plan. We provide a match of \$.50 for each \$1.00 deferred under the plan, up to a maximum of 6% of the participant's compensation less the amount credited to the Retirement and Savings Plan. In addition, we may make a discretionary matching contribution of up to \$.50 on each \$1.00 up to 6% of the participant's compensation. This is the only non-qualified retirement plan offered to senior executives. The Company does not have a pension plan and does not have a supplemental executive retirement program.

Severance Plan

The Company has a severance plan that has been in effect since 2003 and applies to employees at the level of senior vice president and above. The Compensation Committee believes that a severance plan allows the Company to provide properly designed severance benefits on a consistent basis, which promotes stability and continuity of senior management. The provision of severance upon a change in control aligns the Company's interests with its stockholders by eliminating distractions that arise with the uncertainty of these transactions and avoiding the loss of key members of management during a critical period. The severance plan requires a "double trigger" for payment in the context of a change in control, that is, there must be both a change in control and a termination by the Company without "cause" or

by the executive for good reason in the one year period following a change in control. Significantly, the severance plan does not provide for tax gross-ups on any payments made in connection with a termination or a change in control. The cost of any excise tax that a member of senior management might incur related to a payment under the plan would be borne by the individual. The Company believes the severance plan is appropriate, and the Compensation Committee reviews annually trends in severance practices for executives. In addition, prior to its annual compensation determinations, the Compensation

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Committee reviews the level of severance pay and benefits that the named executive officers would receive under the plan and under stock and option agreements. Under the restricted stock agreements and stock option agreements, a change in control coupled with a triggering event would result in the acceleration and vesting of all long-term incentive awards.

For additional information regarding the severance plan, including an estimate of payments the named executive officers would have been entitled to receive on December 31, 2014 upon various termination events, see Executive Officer Compensation Severance and Change in Control Payments.

Tax and Accounting

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code precludes a public corporation from taking a deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million for its chief executive officer or any of its four other highest paid executive officers, unless such compensation is performance based and certain specific and detailed criteria are satisfied. Our executives, and all other employees, are employed by Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P., the operating partnership through which we conduct all operations, and its subsidiaries, and not directly by the Company. As a result, we believe that none of our employees are subject to the \$1 million compensation deduction limit under Section 162(m).

However, in the event that some portion of employee compensation is subject to Section 162(m) but fails to be deductible, our taxable income would increase to the extent of the disallowed deduction and we could be required to make additional dividend distributions to our stockholders or to pay tax on the undistributed income provided we have distributed at least 90% of our adjusted taxable income. In such event, the Compensation Committee may consider the anticipated tax treatment to the Company and the executive officers in its review and establishment of compensation programs and payments. However, the deductibility of some types of compensation payments can depend upon the timing of an executive's vesting or exercise of previously granted rights. Interpretations of, and changes in, applicable tax laws and regulations as well as other factors beyond the Committee's control also may affect deductibility of compensation. Accordingly, the Committee may determine that it is appropriate to structure compensation packages in a manner that may not be deductible under Section 162(m).

All restricted stock awards to senior executives have been classified as liability awards due to settlement features that allow the recipient to have a percentage of the restricted stock awards withheld to meet tax requirements in excess of the statutory minimum withholding. The Company therefore recognizes compensation expense over the requisite service period based on the fair value of the award at the balance sheet date. The value of all restricted stock awards is recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award the requisite service period (usually the vesting period). The Committee makes its assessments on the appropriate value of the restricted stock awards for target compensation based on the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant or a 60 day calendar average of high and low stock price of the Company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange and also considers the closing price of the stock on the date of grant. These values would not be reflected in the Company's financial statements because liability awards are re-measured to fair value each reporting period.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION****EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION****Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2014**

Name	Year	Salary ⁽¹⁾	Non-Equity				Total
			Stock Awards ⁽²⁾	Option Awards ⁽³⁾	Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽⁴⁾	All Other Compensation ⁽⁵⁾	
Richard E. Marriott ⁽⁶⁾							
Chairman of the Board							
	2014	\$ 385,220	\$	\$	\$ 382,400	\$ 60,957	\$ 828,577
	2013	385,220			374,500	124,748	884,468
	2012	374,000			402,873	102,214	879,087
W. Edward Walter							
President and Chief Executive Officer							
	2014	925,000	4,060,574	439,446	1,878,100	245,313	7,548,434
	2013	849,750	2,963,438	364,969	1,711,400	175,972	6,065,529
	2012	825,000	3,926,331	375,179	1,808,318	118,482	7,053,310
Gregory J. Larson							
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer							
	2014	475,000	1,082,799	117,184	650,100	74,416	2,399,499
	2013	435,529	942,104	119,432	587,400	73,267	2,157,732
	2012	400,000	1,152,131	110,090	581,080	50,470	2,293,771
Minaz B. Abji							
Executive Vice President, Asset Management							
	2014	515,000	1,506,928	163,085	701,000	93,756	2,979,769
	2013	489,250	1,189,960	146,553	656,100	94,102	2,575,875
	2012	475,000	1,576,599	150,649	685,853	84,263	2,972,364
Struan B. Robertson							
Executive Vice President, Chief Investment Officer							
	2014	475,000	1,353,528	146,482	628,700	71,527	2,675,237
	2013	429,041	1,404,466	146,553	571,100	144,414	2,695,573
Elizabeth A. Abdoo							
Executive Vice President, General Counsel							
	2014	460,000	884,312	95,704	677,300	62,764	2,180,079
	2013	437,750	778,033	95,821	587,100	63,626	1,962,329
	2012	425,000	1,030,850	98,500	623,220	44,227	2,221,796

- (1) Salary is established at an annual rate, determined on the basis of a 52-week year, and is paid bi-weekly. The amount listed in the salary column includes amounts deferred at the election of the named executive officer under our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan in any such year. The amount shown for 2013 for Mr. Larson reflects his prorated salary increase to \$450,000 effective May 20, 2013 when he became Chief Financial Officer. The amount shown for Mr. Robertson in 2013 reflects his prorated salary of \$450,000 from his date of hire, January 18, 2013.

- (2) The amounts in this column reflect the grant date fair value of restricted stock awards calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 and do not reflect the number or value of the shares that were actually earned. For a chart of 2014 realized pay for each of the named executive officers, see page 28 of the Compensation Discussion & Analysis (CD&A).

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION***Grant Date Fair Value*

The CD&A explains the performance conditions for vesting of restricted stock, which are the satisfaction of corporate performance objectives and annual personal performance objectives (Performance-based Awards) and the results of the Company's annual total stockholder return (Market-based Awards) compared against three indices, the NAREIT Equity Index, a lodging index of seven companies (Lodging Index), and the S&P 500 Index (S&P Index). Please see 2014 Compensation Results Long-Term Incentives. The supplemental chart below shows the restricted stock that was eligible to vest in 2014 as allocated between Performance-based Awards and Market-based Awards based on the fair value of the awards on the grant date. We have also included the fair value of the Performance-based Awards assuming that all possible shares had been earned at the high level of performance.

	Performance-based Awards		Market-based Awards	Total Fair Value	
	Target level ^(a)	High level ^(a)		Assumes Performance Based Awards earned at Target	Assuming All Awards earned at High
W. Edward Walter	\$ 2,137,504	\$ 4,275,008	\$ 1,923,071	\$ 4,060,574	\$ 6,198,078
Gregory J. Larson	569,996	1,139,992	512,803	1,082,799	1,652,795
Minaz B. Abji	793,250	1,586,501	713,677	1,506,928	2,300,178
Struan B. Robertson	712,505	1,425,009	641,024	1,353,528	2,066,033
Elizabeth A. Abdo	465,502	931,004	418,810	884,312	1,349,813

- (a) *Performance-based Awards:* Per FASB ASC Topic 718, performance conditions do not reduce the per share fair value of the award. However, awards are only recognized if achievement is probable. Therefore, we calculate the value of our performance awards based on the number of shares we expect to issue, which will generally be at the target level on the grant date, multiplied by the grant date stock price. Accordingly, for 2014, the grant date fair value is based on the stock price on January 22, 2014, the date the stock awards were deemed to be granted for accounting purposes, which is \$19.57, multiplied by the expected performance level, which we set at target , or 50%.

We have also included in the above table the total amount of awards that could be earned assuming a high level of performance, which is 100% of the award, at the stock price on the grant date of \$19.57. No similar disclosure has been made for the Market-based Awards as they have already been calculated as the maximum number of shares issued at the high level of performance multiplied by the grant date fair value. See Note (b).

- (b) *Market-based Awards:* Per FASB ASC Topic 718, market conditions will reduce the per share fair value of the award. However, the number of awards recognized for accounting purposes is not adjusted for the

probability of achievement. Therefore, the grant date fair value of the Market-based awards reflects the total number of shares that can be earned at a performance level of high (100% of the shares); while the value per share reflects the market conditions that must be achieved, and will, therefore, be less than the stock price on the grant date. Accordingly, for 2014, the grant date fair value is based on the fair value at January 22, 2014, the grant date, using a simulation, or MonteCarlo, method multiplied by the maximum number of shares that can be issued at high .

Grant Date Fair Value of Market-based Awards

January 22, 2014

NAREIT Equity Index		Lodging Index Shares		S&P Index Shares	
Shares					
Closing stock price on grant date	\$ 19.57	Closing stock price on grant date	\$ 19.57	Closing stock price on grant date	\$ 19.57
1-year Volatility of Host	21.3%	1-year Volatility of Host	21.3%	1-year Volatility of Host	21.3%
Risk free interest rate	0.11%	Risk free interest rate	0.11%	Risk free interest rate	0.11%
Stock Beta Compared to NAREIT Equity Index	1.09	Stock Beta compared to Lodging Equity Index	1.01	Stock Beta compared to S&P Equity Index	1.46
Grant date fair value	\$ 13.32	Grant date fair value	\$ 4.46	Grant date fair value	\$ 8.63

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION***Balance Sheet Date Fair Value*

The Company recognizes compensation expense in its financial statements for the restricted stock awards based on the fair value at the balance sheet date, not the grant date. This is because the awards are classified as liability awards, primarily due to settlement features that allow recipients to have a percentage of the earned award withheld to meet tax requirements in excess of the statutory minimum withholding. The supplemental chart below shows the fair value of the restricted stock awards at the December 31, 2014 balance sheet date. These amounts correspond to the expense recorded and disclosures in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

	Balance sheet fair value
W. Edward Walter	\$ 5,685,641
Gregory J. Larson	1,533,474
Minaz B. Abji	2,122,043
Struan B. Robertson	1,952,283
Elizabeth A. Abdo	1,247,640

For additional information on the assumptions used by the Company in calculating the fair value of the restricted stock awards as of the balance sheet date, please see Note 8 Employee Stock Plans Senior Executive Plan in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

- (3) Options were granted on January 22, 2014 and vested December 31, 2014. The amounts in this column reflect the grant date fair values of stock options computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For information on the assumptions used by the Company in calculating the fair value of the stock options, please see Note 8 Employee Stock Plans Stock Option Awards in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (4) These amounts reflect the annual cash incentive awards paid to each named executive officer, or deferred under the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (5) All Other Compensation consists of: Company contributions to the Retirement and Savings Plan (401(k) Plan), which is available to all employees, and the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan; perquisites and other personal benefits; and tax reimbursements. The amounts are as follows:

Matching contributions of \$7,800 made under the 401(k) Plan to each of Mr. Marriott, Mr. Walter, Mr. Abji, Mr. Larson, Mr. Robertson and Ms. Abdo.

Discretionary match of \$7,800 made under the 401(k) Plan to each of Mr. Marriott, Mr. Walter, Mr. Abji, Mr. Larson, Mr. Robertson and Ms. Abdo.

Matching contributions made under the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan as follows: Mr. Marriott, \$14,992; Mr. Walter, \$71,188; Mr. Abji, \$27,297; Mr. Larson, \$22,119, Mr. Robertson \$9,591 and Ms. Abdo, \$23,582.

Discretionary match made under the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan as follows: Mr. Marriott, \$14,992; Mr. Walter, \$71,188; Mr. Abji \$27,297; Mr. Larson, \$22,119, Mr. Robertson \$9,591 and Ms. Abdo, \$23,582.

Premiums associated with the life insurance policy for Mr. Walter. In connection with the long-term stock award granted under the executive compensation program, Mr. Walter agreed to purchase a life insurance policy and to accept proceeds under the policy which would offset restricted stock compensation that would vest and would be payable in the event of his death. The policy has been in place since 2003. The Company annually reimburses Mr. Walter for the cost of the policy and the taxes payable as a result of this reimbursement, and the costs have remained constant since the policy was purchased. In 2014, the total amounts reimbursed to Mr. Walter, excluding taxes, was \$12,161.

Total cost of perquisites that executive officers are eligible to receive. These perquisites consisted of financial planning and tax services, dining, complimentary rooms and other hotel services when on personal travel at hotels owned by us or managed by our major operators. The cost of each of these benefits is as follows:

	Mr. Marriott	Mr. Walter	Mr. Larson	Mr. Abji	Mr. Roberts	Ms. Abdo
Financial and Tax Planning	\$	\$ 2,000	\$	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000	\$
Dining, rooms & hotel services	7,548	31,516	7,158	10,383	16,042	

Tax reimbursements to each of the named executive officers associated with the perquisites, and with respect to Mr. Walter, tax reimbursement associated with the cost of the life insurance policy: Mr. Marriott, \$7,825; Mr. Walter, \$41,660; Mr. Abji, \$11,179; Mr. Larson, \$7,420 and Mr. Robertson, \$18,703.

- (6) Mr. Marriott is not a named executive officer under the SEC rules, but summary compensation information is provided in the interest of full disclosure.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION****Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2014**

The following table provides information about the possible payments under our annual cash incentive award in 2014 and the awards of options and restricted stock in 2014.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payments Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			Estimated Future Payments Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			All Other Exercise Awards ⁽³⁾	Option Price of Awards	Full Grant Date Fair Value ⁽⁴⁾
		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum			
		\$	\$	\$	#	#	#	#		
Richard E. Marriott	22-Jan-14	144,458	288,915	577,830						
Edward Walter	22-Jan-14	693,750	1,387,500	2,775,000						
	22-Jan-14				109,224	218,448	436,895			\$ 4,060,57
	22-Jan-14							95,949	\$ 19.57	\$ 439,44
Gregory J. Larson	22-Jan-14	237,500	475,000	950,000						
	22-Jan-14				29,129	58,254	116,503			\$ 1,082,79
	22-Jan-14							25,586	\$ 19.57	\$ 117,18
Munaz B. Abji	22-Jan-14	257,500	515,000	1,030,000						
	22-Jan-14				40,536	81,071	162,137			\$ 1,506,92
	22-Jan-14							35,608	\$ 19.57	\$ 163,08
Quanan B. Robertson	22-Jan-14	237,500	475,000	950,000						
	22-Jan-14				36,408	72,816	145,632			\$ 1,353,52
	22-Jan-14							31,983	\$ 19.57	\$ 146,48
Elizabeth A. Abdo	22-Jan-14	230,000	460,000	920,000						
	22-Jan-14				23,789	47,574	95,147			\$ 884,31
	22-Jan-14							20,896	\$ 19.57	\$ 95,70

(1) As described under "Annual Cash Incentive" in the CD&A, these are amounts that may be earned based on the financial performance of the Company, which is measured by Adjusted FFO and ROIC, and on the personal performance by each executive on objectives approved by the Compensation Policy Committee. Mr. Walter has an incentive target of 150% of base salary earned in the calendar year and executive vice presidents have an incentive target of 100% of base salary earned in the calendar year. The actual amounts earned by the named executive officers in 2014 are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table under the column "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" and are described in the CD&A under "2014 Compensation Results - Annual Cash Incentive."

(2) Under our 2014 compensation program, senior management received a restricted stock award on January 22, 2014, which was eligible to vest subject entirely to performance conditions. The performance conditions are the satisfaction of corporate performance objectives and personal performance objectives ("Performance-based Awards") and the results of the Company's annual total stockholder return ("Market-based Awards") compared against three indices, the NAREIT Equity Index, the Lodging Index, and the S&P Index. Dividends accrue on the shares, but are not paid unless the shares vest and are released.

(3) Options that were awarded on January 22, 2014 vested December 31, 2014.

(4) The amounts reflect the grant date fair value of restricted stock awards and stock options calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For information on the assumptions used in calculating the fair value of stock options, see Note 8 Employee Stock Plans Stock Option Awards in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K. See footnote 2 to Summary Compensation Table for the calculation of the grant date fair value of the restricted stock awards.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION****Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year 2014**

The following table summarizes all the equity awards made to the named executive officers that were outstanding as of December 31, 2014.

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾			Stock Awards ⁽²⁾		
		Number of Shares Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Shares Underlying Unexercised Options	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested
W. Edward Walter	20-Jan-12	48,979		\$ 16.23	20-Jan-22		
	5-Feb-13	85,875		\$ 16.55	5-Feb-23		
	22-Jan-14	95,949		\$ 19.57	22-Jan-24		
	22-Jan-14					436,895	\$ 9,519,576 ⁽³⁾
Gregory J. Larson	22-Jan-14	25,586		\$ 19.57	22-Jan-24		
	22-Jan-14					116,503	\$ 2,538,517 ⁽³⁾
Minaz B. Abji	22-Jan-14	35,608		\$ 19.57	22-Jan-24		
	22-Jan-14					162,137	\$ 3,532,840 ⁽³⁾
Struan B. Robertson	18-Jan-13					4,279	\$ 101,712 ⁽⁴⁾
	5-Feb-13	34,483		\$ 16.55	5-Feb-23		
	22-Jan-14	31,983		\$ 19.57	22-Jan-24		
	22-Jan-14					145,632	\$ 3,173,200 ⁽³⁾
Elizabeth A. Abdoo	20-Jan-12	12,859		\$ 16.23	20-Jan-22		
	5-Feb-13	22,546		\$ 16.55	5-Feb-23		
	22-Jan-14	20,896		\$ 19.57	22-Jan-24		
	22-Jan-14					95,147	\$ 2,073,172 ⁽³⁾

(1) All option awards vest based on continued service with the Company and have a 10-year life from the date of grant. Options granted in 2012 vested December 31, 2012. Options granted in 2013 vested December 31, 2013. Options granted in 2014 vested December 31, 2014.

(2)

The number of shares under this column includes all shares awarded under our 2014 compensation program, which are eligible to vest subject entirely to performance conditions. The performance conditions are the satisfaction of corporate performance objectives and personal performance objectives (Performance-based Awards) and the results of the Company s annual total stockholder return (Market-based Awards) compared against three indices, the NAREIT Equity Index, the Lodging Index, and the S&P Index. The determination of whether and to what extent those measures were satisfied was made by the Compensation Policy Committee in February 2015. The number of shares shown assumes maximum performance and includes shares that were forfeited under the program.

- (3) The value is calculated based the closing price of our stock on December 31, 2014 of \$23.77 multiplied by the vesting of shares attributable to (i) corporate and personal performance measures measure at the high level of performance based on our 2014 performance results, which were above target on each of these measures, (ii) the NAREIT Equity measure at the high level of performance based on 2014 result, which was above target, (iii) the Lodging Index measure at the target level of performance based on our 2014 result, which was above threshold and (iii) the S&P Index measures at high level of performance based on our 2014 result which was above target .
- (4) Mr. Robertson received an award of 12,837 shares as a sign on bonus when he joined the Company on January 18, 2013. This is a time-based award that vests over three years. The value is calculated on the closing price of our stock on December 31, 2014 of \$23.77 multiplied by the unvested shares.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION****Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal Year 2014**

The chart below shows options awards that were exercised in 2014 and stock awards that vested in 2014. The stock awards shown are from performance year 2013, which vested when the Compensation Committee made its determinations in February 2014. Restricted stock that was awarded in 2014 did not vest until February 5, 2015 when the Compensation Policy Committee met and made its determinations on performance measures.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise ⁽¹⁾	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting ⁽²⁾	Value Realized on Vesting ⁽³⁾
W. Edward Walter		\$	178,026	\$ 3,263,217
Gregory J. Larson	42,224	220,697	57,085	1,046,368
Minaz B. Abji	54,150	307,909	71,375	1,308,304
Struan B. Robertson			74,994 ⁽⁴⁾	1,379,561 ⁽⁴⁾
Elizabeth A. Abdo			46,668	855,424

(1) Represents the amounts realized based on the difference between the market price of our stock on the date of exercise and the exercise price.

(2) These are shares that were released on February 6, 2014, the date that the Compensation Policy Committee determined the results on performance measures for 2013.

(3) The value realized on vesting is determined by multiplying the shares released by \$18.33, the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of release, which was February 6, 2014.

(4) The amounts for Mr. Robertson also include 4,279 shares which vested on January 21, 2014 with a value realized on vesting of \$83,355, determined by multiplying the shares released by \$19.48, the closing price of the Company's common stock on January 21, 2014. The shares were granted on January 21, 2013 when Mr. Robertson joined the Company.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The Company has an Executive Deferred Compensation Plan in which the named officers participate. This is the only non-qualified retirement plan offered to senior executives. The Company does not have a pension plan and does not have a supplemental executive retirement program.

The following table summarizes the named executive officers' compensation under the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan as of December 31, 2014. The aggregate balance shown includes amounts earned prior to 2014 and voluntarily deferred.

Name	Executive	Company	Company	Aggregate	Aggregate	Aggregate
	Contributions	Contributions	Discretionary	Earnings		
	in Last	in Last	in Last	in Last	Fiscal	at Last Fiscal
	Fiscal	Fiscal	Fiscal	Fiscal	Year	Year-End
	Year	Year	Year ⁽¹⁾	Year	Distributions	Year-End
						⁽¹⁾
W. Edward Walter	\$ 150,940	\$ 71,188	\$ 71,188	\$ 119,814	\$	\$ 2,259,182
Gregory J. Larson	46,848	22,119	22,119	38,604		599,719
Minaz B. Abji	58,566	27,297	27,297	50,311	32,387	796,926
Struan B. Robertson	22,827	9,591	9,591	125		32,543
Elizabeth A. Abdoo	50,511	23,582	23,582	39,118		854,670

(1) Amounts reflect vested values as of December 31, 2014.

Under the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, participants may defer any portion of their base salary or any amounts awarded under the annual cash incentive award program. Participants direct their deferrals into investment funds, which are substantially the same funds available for investment under the 401(k) Plan. Participants' accounts may or may not appreciate, and may depreciate, depending on the performance of their

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

investment choices. The Company does not guarantee any returns and none of the investment choices provide interest at above-market rates. The Company matches \$.50 of each \$1.00 deferred, up to a maximum of 6% of the participant's compensation less the amount credited to the 401(k) Plan. The Company may provide an annual discretionary matching contribution of up to \$.50 on each \$1.00 deferred up to 6% of the participant's compensation.

Participants fully vest in Company contributions after four years of continued employment. The vesting schedule is 25% vesting after one year; 50% vesting after two years; 75% vesting after three years and 100% vesting after four years or more. All named executive officers are fully vested except Mr. Robertson who joined the Company in January 2013. Company contributions are fully vested (100%) for distributions related to normal retirement, death, disability and change of control.

The Executive Deferred Compensation Plan offers automatic lump sum distributions upon death or disability. The participant may elect to receive lump sum or installment distributions upon separation from service, or with respect to his or her deferrals only (no Company contributions) on such other dates certain that a participant may elect. Such elections are made at the time the participant elects to defer compensation for a year. However, key employee distributions payable upon separation from service will be delayed for six months. Participants may also elect to receive a lump sum distribution of their account in the event of change in control. Plan assets are held in a rabbi trust.

Severance and Change in Control Payments

Severance

The Company has a severance plan that applies to all senior executives in the United States, which was adopted in 2003. Severance is provided on a consistent basis. The plan provides for benefits in the event of a senior executive's death or disability, or where a senior executive leaves the Company under the following circumstances:

As a result of a termination without cause. Cause is defined broadly to include failure to perform assigned duties in a reasonable manner, or as a result of incompetence or neglect; engaging in any act of dishonesty or bad faith with respect to the Company or its affairs; committing any act that reflects unfavorably on the executive or the Company; or engaging in any other conduct that in the reasonable judgment of the Board justifies termination.

As a result of a voluntary termination by the executive for good reason. Good reason means that there has been a material diminution in such executive's authority, duties or responsibilities; a material diminution in an executive's overall compensation opportunity; or a material change in the geographic location at which an executive is required to perform his or her duties for the Company.

An executive or member of senior management who is terminated for cause or who voluntarily leaves without good reason is not entitled to any benefits under the Severance Plan.

The key benefits under the Severance Plan for a termination without cause or a voluntary departure for good reason, which are contingent on the execution of a release and a one-year non-competition agreement with the Company, are:

An executive would receive a payment equal to a multiple of his or her current annual base salary and the average cash incentive bonus that was paid over the prior three-years. For example:

Mr. Walter, as president and chief executive officer, would be entitled to receive a payment equal to 2x his current base salary and 2x his average annual cash incentive award over the prior three years.

All other executives would be entitled to receive a payment equal to 1x his or her current base salary and 1x his or her average annual cash incentive award over the prior three years.

We would pay for the continuation of the executive's health and welfare benefits for 18 months or until the executive is re-employed, whichever period is shorter.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION**

In addition, under the terms of the restricted stock agreements, the executives' restricted stock award would accelerate and vest at the target level and under the terms of the stock option agreements, all options would accelerate and vest.

The Company does not gross up or pay any excise tax associated with these payments.

The table below quantifies the compensation that would become payable to a senior executive assuming employment ended on December 31, 2014. The compensation and benefits are in addition to benefits available generally to all employees, such as distributions under the Company's Retirement and Savings Plan (401(k) Plan) and accrued vacation pay.

Potential Severance Payments

	Mr. Walter	Mr. Larson	Mr. Abji	Mr. Robertson	Ms. Abdo
Termination payment ⁽¹⁾	\$ 5,448,545	\$ 1,081,193	\$ 1,195,984	\$ 1,074,900	\$ 1,089,207
Restricted Stock ⁽²⁾	5,192,509	1,384,674	1,927,034	1,832,548	1,130,834
Options ⁽³⁾					
Cost of benefit continuation ⁽⁴⁾	36,419	24,539	10,996	36,419	33,546
Deferred compensation balance ⁽⁵⁾	2,259,182	599,719	796,926	32,543	854,670
Total	\$ 12,936,655	\$ 3,090,125	\$ 3,930,940	\$ 2,976,410	\$ 3,108,256

(1) Amounts reflected are a multiple of base salary and average annual incentive award. Mr. Walter would receive two times his base salary and two times the average of his annual incentive award for 2012-2014. All other executives would receive one times his or her base salary and one times the average of his or her annual incentive award for 2012-2014.

(2) Amounts are based on the closing price of our stock on December 31, 2014 of \$23.77. Under the restricted stock agreements the awards would vest at the target level (50% of the shares awarded). All of the restricted stock underlying the value reflected has subsequently been earned or forfeited.

(3) Under the stock option agreements, all options would vest in the event of a termination of employment without cause or termination by the executive for good reason. However, no options were unvested at December 31, 2014.

(4) Amounts reflect the costs associated with continuation of coverage for group medical, vision and dental benefits for 18 months based on current COBRA rates.

(5) The named executive officers are fully vested in their deferred compensation accounts.

Change-In-Control

The Severance Plan also provides for certain payments in the event that there is a double trigger, that is a change in control of the Company *and* the occurrence of any of the following events in the year immediately following the

change in control:

A termination of the executive without cause, as previously explained above;

A voluntary termination by the executive for good reason, as previously explained above.
If a double trigger occurs, the key benefits under the Severance Plan, which are contingent on the execution of a release and a one-year noncompetition agreement with the Company, are:

An executive would receive a payment equal to a multiple of his or her current annual base salary and the average cash incentive bonus that was paid over the prior three-years. For example:

Mr. Walter, as president and chief executive officer, would be entitled to receive a payment equal to 3x his current base salary and 3x his average annual cash incentive award over the prior three years.

All other executives would be entitled to receive a payment equal to 2x his or her current base salary and 2x his or her average annual cash incentive award over the prior three years.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION**

An executive would be entitled to receive a pro-rata portion of his or her annual cash incentive award for the year based on a target level of performance on all measures.

We would pay for the continuation of the executive's health and welfare benefits for 18 months or until the executive is re-employed, whichever period is shorter.

In addition, under the terms of the restricted stock agreements, the executives' restricted stock award would accelerate and vest at the high level and under the terms of the stock option agreements, all options would accelerate and vest.

The Company does not provide any consideration for excise taxes that the named executive officers might incur as a result of these payments. The cost of any tax would be borne by the executive.

The table below quantifies the compensation that would become payable to a senior executive under these circumstances assuming that both triggering events occurred on December 31, 2014. The compensation and benefits are in addition to benefits available generally to all employees, such as distributions under the Company's 401(k) Plan and accrued vacation pay.

Potential Change in Control Payments

	Mr. Walter	Mr. Larson	Mr. Abji	Mr. Robertson	Ms. Abdo
Termination payment ⁽¹⁾	\$ 8,172,818	\$ 2,162,387	\$ 2,391,969	\$ 2,149,800	\$ 2,178,413
Target Annual Cash Incentive ⁽²⁾	1,387,500	475,000	515,000	475,000	460,000
Restricted Stock ⁽³⁾	10,384,994	2,769,276	3,853,996	3,563,384	2,261,644
Options ⁽⁴⁾					
Cost of benefit continuation ⁽⁵⁾	36,419	24,539	10,996	36,419	33,546
Deferred compensation balance ⁽⁶⁾	2,259,182	599,719	796,926	32,543	854,670
Total	\$ 22,240,913	\$ 6,030,921	\$ 7,568,887	\$ 6,257,147	\$ 5,788,273

(1) The termination payment is a multiple of base salary and average annual cash incentive award. Mr. Walter would receive three times his base salary and three times the average of his annual cash incentive award for the period 2012-2014. All other executives would receive two times his or her base salary and two times the average of his or her annual incentive award for 2012-2014.

(2) Under the severance plan, the named executive officers would receive a pro-rata portion of the annual incentive award at the target level of performance. The amount reflected here is for a full-year since the table is done as of December 31, 2014. This annual cash incentive would not otherwise be earned until the Compensation Policy Committee met and determined the results on the performance measures, which generally occurs in the February following the year of performance.

(3)

Under the restricted stock agreements, all unvested restricted stock would accelerate and vest in the event of a change in control and termination of employment without cause or termination by the executive for good reason. The chart below shows the unvested shares for each named executive officer as of December 31, 2014. The value is determined by multiplying the shares by \$23.77, the closing price of our stock on December 31, 2014.

	Unvested Shares As of 12/31/2014
Mr. Walter	436,895
Mr. Larson	116,503
Mr. Abji	162,137
Mr. Robertson	149,911
Ms. Abdo	95,147

All of the restricted stock underlying the value reflected has subsequently been earned or forfeited.

- (4) Under the stock option agreements, all options would vest upon a change in control and termination of employment without cause or termination by the executive for good reason. However, no options were unvested at December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION**

(5) Amounts reflect costs associated with the continuation of coverage for group medical, vision and dental benefits for 18 months based on current COBRA rates.

(6) The named executive officers are fully vested in their deferred compensation accounts.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table summarizes information as of December 31, 2014 relating to equity compensation plans of the Company pursuant to which grants of restricted stock, options, restricted stock units or other rights to acquire shares may be granted from time to time.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in the 1st column)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders ⁽¹⁾	2,702,589	\$ 17.32	16,631,305
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders			
TOTAL	2,702,589	\$ 17.32	16,631,305

(1) Shares indicated are the aggregate of those issuable under the Company's 1997 and 2009 Comprehensive Stock and Cash Incentive Plans, whereby we may award to officers and key employees: (i) options to purchase our common stock, (ii) deferred shares of our common stock, and (iii) restricted shares of our common stock. No shares remain available for future grants under the Company's 1997 Comprehensive Stock and Cash Incentive Plan.

REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION POLICY COMMITTEE

ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

To Our Stockholders:

The Compensation Policy Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis of the Company. Based on its review and discussions, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors of the Company that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2014 and this proxy statement.

The Compensation Policy Committee

Ann McLaughlin Korologos, Chair

Mary L. Baglivo

Gordon H. Smith

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION****DIRECTOR COMPENSATION****2014 Director Fees**

Directors who are employees receive no additional compensation for serving on the Board or its committees. In 2014, we provided the following annual compensation to our independent directors.

Name ⁽¹⁾	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ⁽²⁾	Stock Awards ⁽³⁾	All Other Compensation ⁽⁴⁾	Total
Mary L. Baglivo	\$ 73,000	\$ 115,000	\$ 51,920	\$ 239,920
Sheila C. Bair	77,000	115,000	51,000	243,000
Terence C. Golden	65,000	115,000	30,082	210,082
Ann McLaughlin Korologos	96,000	115,000	31,534	242,534
John B. Morse, Jr.	100,000	115,000	20,027	235,027
Walter C. Rakowich	102,500	115,000	20,650	238,150
Gordon H. Smith	73,000	115,000	67,480	255,480

(1) Mr. Marriott, Chairman of the Board, and Mr. Walter, President and CEO, are not included in this table because they are employees of the Company and thus receive no compensation for services as directors. The compensation received by Mr. Marriott and Mr. Walter as employees is shown in Executive Officer Compensation.

(2) Amount reflects an annual retainer for Board service, committee membership fees and retainers for committee chairs and lead director, as described below.

(3) Amount reflects annual stock awards made pursuant to the Non-Employee Directors Deferred Stock Compensation Plan in value equal to \$115,000. The annual stock awards are fully vested upon grant.

(4) Amount reflects:

Complimentary rooms, food and beverage and other hotel services for directors when they stay at properties owned by us or managed by our major operators as follows: Ms. Baglivo, \$24,926; Ms. Bair, \$25,041; Mr. Golden, \$14,770; Ms. Korologos, \$15,483; Mr. Morse, \$11,626; Mr. Rakowich \$11,032 and Mr. Smith \$33,133.

Reimbursement for taxes associated with the value of the above benefit as follows: Ms. Baglivo, \$26,994; Ms. Bair, \$25,959;; Mr. Golden, \$15,312; Ms. Korologos, \$16,051; Mr. Morse, \$8,401; Mr. Rakowich \$9,619 and Mr. Smith \$34,347.

Compensation Philosophy

Directors are compensated in cash and stock to align their interests with those of our stockholders. The components of director compensation are discussed below.

Cash Compensation

The Company provides non-employee directors the following cash compensation in addition to reimbursement of customary and usual travel expenses:

retainer of \$65,000 per year;

\$8,000 per year for membership on the Compensation Policy Committee or Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee;

\$12,000 per year for membership on the Audit Committee;

\$10,000 per year to the committee chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (Mr. Rakowich);

\$15,000 per year to the committee chair of the Compensation Policy Committee (Ms. Korologos);

\$15,000 per year to the committee chair of the Audit Committee (Mr. Morse); and

\$20,000 per year to the Lead Director (Mr. Rakowich).

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

There are no fees paid for attendance at the 5 regularly scheduled Board meetings, however, non-employee directors receive \$1,500 for attendance at any special meeting, of which there was none in 2014. Similarly, there are no fees paid for attendance at up to five meetings of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Compensation Policy Committee and up to seven meetings of the Audit Committee; however, non-employee directors receive \$1,500 for attendance at any special committee meetings in excess of those amounts, of which there were none in 2014.

Stock Compensation Annual Stock Award

Non-employee directors receive an annual director stock award under the Non-Employee Directors Deferred Stock Compensation Plan effective after election at the annual meeting. In 2014, the award equaled \$115,000, with the number of shares determined based on the fair market value of the Company's common stock on that date.

Under the Non-Employee Directors Deferred Stock Compensation Plan, directors will receive the annual stock award in fully-vested restricted stock, unless a director makes an election prior to the end of the year preceding the award to defer the award into stock units. Directors also elect at that time the date when the stock units would be payable, which is either upon termination of service from the Board in a lump sum or in annual installments up to 10 years, or in a lump sum payable the earlier of (i) termination of service or (ii) 3 or 5 years from the date of grant. All directors elected to defer the 2014 award into stock units. The closing price of our common stock on the annual meeting date of May 14, 2014 was \$21.46, so each director received either 5,358 shares or, if they deferred, they were credited with 5,358 stock units. Directors are also credited with dividend equivalents that are equal in value to the dividends paid on our common stock.

Stock Ownership Policy

Effective January 1, 2010, non-employee directors must own common stock of the Company (which includes stock units held under the Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan) equal in value to five times the annual cash retainer paid to directors. Compliance with these guidelines will be measured on the first trading day of each calendar year, using the annual cash retainer then in effect and the closing price of our common stock on that day. Any subsequent change in the value of the common stock will not affect the amount of stock that directors are required to hold during that year. In the event that the annual cash retainer increases, a non-employee director will have five years from the time of such increase to acquire any additional shares needed to meet these guidelines. There will be a transition period of five years for non-employee directors to achieve the ownership requirement. Mr. Marriott and Mr. Walter, as employees, are subject to separate stock ownership guidelines applicable to corporate officers. Because the policy provides for 5 years to attain the guideline, all directors are in compliance with the policy.

Perquisites

To encourage our directors to visit and personally evaluate and provide feedback on our properties and the managers of our properties, directors receive complimentary rooms, food and beverage and other hotel services when they stay at properties owned by us or managed by our major operators, subject to an annual limit of \$30,000 measured over a rolling three year period (i.e., \$90,000 over three years). In addition, directors are reimbursed for taxes associated with the value of this benefit.

Non-Employee Directors Deferred Stock Compensation Plan

In addition to the annual stock award, the Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Stock Compensation Plan allows directors to defer receipt of all or part of their annual cash retainer, committee fees, and committee chair fees until after their service on the Board has ended. Under this plan, the Company has established a stock unit account for each non-employee director and all deferred fees are credited to this account as of the date the fee would have been paid. Deferred fees are converted into stock units based on the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date the fee otherwise would have been paid. Dividends are reinvested in additional stock units and credited to the account in stock units based on the market price of the stock on the date dividends are paid.

Table of Contents

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Upon termination of service from the Board, a director's stock unit account is settled by delivering an amount of our shares of common stock equal to the number of stock units, and, with respect to any deferred cash fees, directors had the option to receive such shares as a lump sum or in substantially equal annual installments over a period not to exceed 10 years. With respect to annual stock awards, directors may also elect to defer payment of the award as set forth above.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

To Our Stockholders:

The Audit Committee serves as the representative of the Board of Directors of Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. (the Company) for general oversight of the Company's financial accounting and reporting, systems of internal control and audit processes. Management of the Company has responsibility for preparing the Company's financial statements, as well as for the Company's financial reporting process and internal controls. KPMG LLP, acting as independent registered public accountants, is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's financial statements and internal control over financial reporting and for expressing an opinion on the conformity of the Company's financial statements with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee is responsible for monitoring and overseeing these processes. The Audit Committee members are not professional accountants or auditors, and the Audit Committee's functions are not intended to duplicate or certify the activities of management and the independent registered public accountants. In this context, the Audit Committee has:

reviewed and discussed with management the audited financial statements for each of the Company and Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. for the year ended December 31, 2014, including discussions of the quality, not merely the acceptability, of the Company's accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant estimates and judgments, and the clarity of disclosure in the Company's financial statements;

discussed with the Company's internal and independent registered public accountants the overall scope for their respective audits and the results of their examinations, the evaluations of the Company's internal controls and financial reporting, and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting;

discussed with the independent registered public accountants the matters required to be discussed by the requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board;

received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accountants required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence; and

discussed with KPMG LLP their independence from the Company and its management.

In reliance on the reviews, reports and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors has approved, that the audited financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company and Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Annual Report on Form 10-K was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 25, 2015.

The Audit Committee

John B. Morse, Jr., Chair

Sheila C. Bair

Walter C. Rakowich

Table of Contents**AUDITOR FEES****AUDITOR FEES****Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

The Company was billed the following amounts for professional services by KPMG LLP, its independent registered public accountants, for 2014 and 2013:

	2014	2013
Audit fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 2,501,000	\$ 2,608,000
Audit-related fees ⁽²⁾	20,000	17,000
Audit and audit-related fees	2,521,000	2,625,000
Tax fees ⁽³⁾	20,000	15,000
All other fees		
Total Fees	\$ 2,541,000	\$ 2,640,000

(1) Audit fees consisted of fees for the audits of the Company's and Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P.'s annual consolidated financial statements, the audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, reviews of the Company's and Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P.'s quarterly condensed consolidated financial statements, audits of certain subsidiaries, reviews of SEC registration statements and other filings, comfort letters and consents, audit procedures related to acquisitions and dispositions, and accounting and reporting consultations.

Audit fees for 2014 and 2013 do not include approximately \$1.4 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, for the statutory audits of financial statements for entities not consolidated by the Company. These fees were paid to various KPMG International member firms by those entities.

(2) Audit-related fees consisted of fees for the audits of financial statements of our employee benefit plan.

(3) Tax fees consisted of fees for tax compliance services.

The Audit Committee concluded that the provision of audit-related and tax services is compatible with maintaining the independence of KPMG LLP. The Company does not engage KPMG LLP for any tax services unrelated to audit services or tax compliance.

Pre-Approval Policy for Services of Independent Registered Public Accountants

All services performed by KPMG LLP were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with its 2014 pre-approval policy. The policy describes the audit, audit-related, tax and other services permitted to be performed by the independent registered public accountants, subject to the Audit Committee's prior approval of the services and fees. On an annual basis, the Audit Committee will review and provide pre-approval for certain types of services that may be provided by the independent registered public accountants without obtaining specific pre-approval from the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has pre-approved certain services (and corresponding cost levels) in conjunction with Committee meetings, typically conducted in February of each year. If a type of service to be provided has not

received pre-approval during this annual process, it will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. Any proposed services exceeding pre-approved cost levels or budgeted amounts will also require separate pre-approval by the Committee.

The Audit Committee has designated the Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller to monitor the performance of all services provided by the independent registered public accountants and to determine whether such services are in compliance with the pre-approval policy.

Policy for Hiring Members of the Audit Engagement Team

The Audit Committee adopted a policy regarding the hiring of audit engagement team members to address the potential for impairment of auditor independence when partners and other members of the audit engagement team accept employment with the Company. Under the policy, the Company may not hire into a financial oversight role any individuals who were members of the Company's audit engagement team for the prior year. Individuals not subject to the one-year cooling off period include, among others, persons who provided less than 10 hours of audit services and individuals whose employment resulted from an emergency or other unusual situation. In all

Table of Contents

AUDITOR FEES

such cases, the Audit Committee must determine that the relationship is in the best interests of the Company. In addition, the Company may not appoint a director who is affiliated with or employed by a present or former auditor of the Company until three years after the affiliation or auditing relationship has ended.

Other Company Accountants and Auditors

The Company has engaged Ernst & Young LLP for tax consultation and tax compliance services and the Audit Committee has engaged PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's internal auditors. The purpose of the internal audit program is to provide the Audit Committee and Company management with ongoing assessments of the Company's risk management processes and to review the effectiveness and design of internal controls at our properties and the Company's corporate office.

Table of Contents

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth the number of shares of our common stock and of the partnership units of Host Hotels & Resorts, L.P. (our operating partnership) that were beneficially owned as of February 18, 2015 by:

each director and director nominee;

each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table;

all of our directors and executive officers as a group; and

beneficial owners of 5% or more of our common stock.

Information about the ownership of operating partnership units is included because the operating partnership units are redeemable by holders for cash or, at our election, for shares of the Company's common stock. As of February 18, 2015 the Company owns approximately 99% of the operating partnership units.

Name	Number of Shares of Common Stock	% of Shares of Common Stock ⁽¹⁾	Number of Operating Partnership Units	% of Common Stock and Operating Partnership Units ⁽²⁾
Directors:				
Mary L. Baglivo ⁽³⁾	10,470	*		*
Sheila C. Bair ⁽³⁾	14,455	*		*
Terence C. Golden ⁽³⁾	115,080	*		*
Ann McLaughlin Korologos ⁽³⁾	93,246	*		*
Richard E. Marriott ⁽⁴⁾	10,440,553	1.4	140,296	1.4
John B. Morse, Jr. ⁽³⁾	55,488	*		*
Walter C. Rakowich ⁽³⁾	16,617	*		*
Gordon H. Smith ⁽³⁾	32,481	*		*
W. Edward Walter ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	1,177,338	0.2		0.2
Non-Director Named Executive Officers:				
Elizabeth A. Abdoo ⁽⁵⁾	380,032	*		*
Minaz Abji ⁽⁵⁾	342,722	*		*
Gregory J. Larson ⁽⁵⁾	269,098	*		*
Struan B. Robertson ⁽⁵⁾	263,946	*		*

All Directors and Executive Officers as a group:

(16 persons, including the foregoing) ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	13,486,894	1.8	140,296	1.8
Certain Beneficial Owners:				
BlackRock, Inc. ⁽⁷⁾	79,748,706	10.5		10.5
The Vanguard Group, Inc. ⁽⁸⁾	100,828,930	13.3		13.3

* Reflects ownership of less than $\frac{1}{10}$ th of 1%.

Table of Contents

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

- (1) Any descriptions of ownership or aggregations of ownership of the Company's common stock within this proxy statement are based upon the disclosure requirements of federal securities laws. They do not indicate ownership of common stock under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or for purposes of the ownership limitations set forth in our Charter.
- (2) This column assumes that all operating partnership units held by the named person or group of persons are redeemed for shares of common stock, but that none of the operating partnership units held by others are redeemed for shares of common stock.
- (3) The number of shares of common stock listed here includes common stock equivalents: (1) awarded annually to non-employee directors under our Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Stock Compensation Plan; (2) resulting from non-employee directors' election to receive part of their annual retainer, committee chair fees and attendance fees in stock pursuant to the Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Stock Compensation Plan; (3) for Ann McLaughlin Korologos, 11,957 common stock equivalents from a one-time special stock award made in 1997 to all non-employee directors; and (4) common stock equivalents for dividends relating to common stock equivalents held by each director.
- (4) The number of shares of our common stock listed here for Richard E. Marriott includes:
- 1,062,381 shares held in trust for which Richard E. Marriott is a co-trustee;
- 76,957 shares held by the wife of Richard E. Marriott;
- 352,427 shares held in trust for which the wife of Richard E. Marriott is a co-trustee;
- 560,569 shares held by the J. Willard and Alice S. Marriott Foundation of which Richard E. Marriott is a co-trustee;
- 1,317,365 shares held by the Richard E. and Nancy P. Marriott Foundation of which Richard E. Marriott is a co-trustee; and
- 1,330,610 shares held by a limited partnership, the sole general partner of which is a corporation for which Richard E. Marriott is the controlling stockholder of the voting shares.

It does not include shares held by the adult children of Richard E. Marriott, as to which Mr. Marriott disclaims beneficial ownership.

- (5) The number of shares of our common stock listed here includes the shares of restricted stock granted under the 2009 Comprehensive Stock and Cash Incentive Plan which are subject to forfeiture if the vesting criteria are not satisfied. Also included are shares of common stock that may be acquired within 60 days of March 1, 2015 pursuant to the exercise of stock options granted under our 1997 and 2009 Comprehensive Stock and Cash Incentive Plan. Such shares, however, are not deemed outstanding for the purpose of computing the ownership percentage of any other person. The following are the amounts of vested exercisable options for each named executive officer:

Elizabeth A. Abdoo 56,301 vested options

Minaz Abji 35,608 vested options

Gregory J. Larson 25,586 vested options

Struan B. Robertson 66,466 vested options

W. Edward Walter 230,803 vested options

- (6) The number of shares of our common stock listed here for Mr. Edward Walter includes 45,000 shares held in the Walter Family Foundation. Mr. Walter has the power to vote these shares but does not have an economic interest in these shares and disclaims beneficial ownership as to these shares.
- (7) BlackRock, Inc. filed an amended Schedule 13G with the SEC on January 9, 2015 to report beneficial ownership of 79,748,706 shares of our common stock. BlackRock reports that it has the sole power to dispose of all such shares and has sole voting power with respect to 70,293,364 shares. BlackRock's business address is 55 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022.
- (8) The Vanguard Group, Inc. filed an amended Schedule 13G with the SEC on February 10, 2015 to report beneficial ownership of 100,828,930 shares of our common stock. Vanguard reports that it has the sole power to dispose of 98,890,531 shares, has shared power to dispose of 1,938,399 shares, has the sole power to vote with respect to 2,347,323 shares and has shared power to vote with respect to 614,243 shares. Vanguard's business address is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND

RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Policy on Transactions and Arrangements with Related Persons

In 2007 the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommended, and the Board of Directors adopted, a written policy with respect to related person transactions. The policy applies to any transaction, or series of transactions in which the Company, its subsidiaries or affiliates is or will be a participant, the amount involved exceeds \$100,000, and in which any related person has or will have a direct or indirect material interest. A related person for purposes of the policy includes:

any Company officer, senior manager, or director;

an owner of 5% or more of Company stock;

any immediate family member of any person listed above; or

any firm in which any of the foregoing persons is employed or is a principal in which such person has a 5% or greater interest.

Under the policy, the legal department will determine whether a transaction meets the requirements of a related person transaction. If so, the transaction will be reviewed by the Board of Directors, if it is part of a transaction which itself would require Board approval, or in all other circumstances the Audit Committee will review the transaction at its next meeting. In those instances in which the legal department, in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer, determines that it is not practicable or desirable for the Company to wait until the next Audit Committee meeting, then the transaction will be reviewed by the Chair of the Audit Committee. Based on its consideration of all the relevant facts and circumstances, each of the Board, Audit Committee or Chair will decide whether to approve the transaction.

As adopted, the policy has standing pre-approvals for transactions that meet specific criteria or are not considered related person transactions by the SEC. Pre-approved transactions include:

any transaction with another company at which a related person's only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer), director or beneficial owner of less than 10% of that company's shares, if the aggregate amount involved does not exceed the greater of \$1,000,000, or 2% of that company's total annual revenues;

any charitable contribution, grant or endowment by the Company to a charitable organization, foundation or university at which a related person's only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer) or a director, which has been approved pursuant to the Company's Charitable Contribution Policy if the aggregate

amount involved does not exceed the greater of \$1,000,000, or 2% of that charitable organization's total annual receipts;

any transaction involving a related person where the rates or charges involved are determined by competitive bids involving third parties who are not related persons; and

management agreements and franchise agreements entered into with Marriott International, Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries to manage Marriott and Ritz-Carlton hotels owned or leased by the Company or its subsidiaries, including modifications and amendments to existing agreements, if such agreements, amendments or modifications are on terms and conditions substantially consistent with the Company's then current agreements with Marriott International or other major third party operators.

Related Person Transactions

Prior to October 8, 1993, we and Marriott International, Inc. were operated as a single consolidated company. On October 8, 1993, in connection with the issuance of a special dividend, the consolidated company's businesses were split between Host Marriott Corporation (renamed Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. in 2006) and Marriott International.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Thereafter, we retained the lodging real estate business and the airport/toll road concessions business, while Marriott International took the lodging and service management businesses. On December 29, 1995, we distributed the airport/toll road concessions business to our stockholders.

Our ongoing relationships with Marriott International can be divided into two general categories:

distribution agreement and the related agreements stemming from our separation into two companies; and

lodging management and franchise agreements relating to our properties.

As of January 31, 2015, Richard E. Marriott, the Chairman of our Board, beneficially owned approximately 13.2% of the outstanding shares of common stock of Marriott International. Mr. Marriott's brother, J.W. Marriott, Jr., serves as Executive Chairman and Chairman of the Board of Marriott International and formerly served as Chief Executive Officer. By reason of Richard E. Marriott's ownership of such shares, and his brother's position at Marriott International, transactions between Marriott International and our Company are considered related person transactions within the meaning of our policy described above. A summary of our ongoing relationships with Marriott International is provided below.

Distribution Agreement and Related Agreements

In connection with the separation of our business from that of Marriott International, we entered into a distribution agreement with Marriott International that allocated the assumption of liabilities and cross-indemnities so that each company shouldered the financial and legal responsibility for its respective businesses. This distribution agreement has been amended from time to time. We also entered into other agreements with Marriott International in connection with the business separation which govern aspects of our ongoing relationships. These other agreements include:

Tax Sharing Agreement

We entered into a tax sharing agreement with Marriott International that allocates the parties' rights and obligations with respect to: (1) deficiencies and refunds of federal, state and other income or franchise taxes relating to our businesses for tax years prior to the separation; and (2) certain of our tax attributes after the separation. We have agreed to cooperate with each other and to share information in preparing tax returns and in dealing with other tax matters.

Leases

We currently sublease approximately 2,400 square feet of office space from Marriott International. The sublease was provided on market terms and conditions. In 2014, we paid Marriott International approximately \$98,811 in rental fees for this office space. In addition, in 2014 we paid Marriott International \$100,000 in ground rent under a lease in connection with property at the JW Marriott Desert Springs Resort & Spa.

Lodging Management and Franchise Agreements

Marriott International and certain of its subsidiaries have entered into management agreements with us and certain of our subsidiaries to manage Marriott-and Ritz-Carlton-branded full-service hotels owned or leased by us and our

subsidiaries. Marriott International has also entered into franchise agreements with us and our subsidiaries that allow us to use the Marriott brand, associated trademarks, reservation systems and other related items for two Marriott hotels for which we have entered into operating agreements with hotel management companies other than Marriott International. In 2014, we and our subsidiaries paid \$147 million in the aggregate in management and franchise fees to Marriott International. The initial term of our management agreements with Marriott International is generally 15 to 25 years with one or more renewal terms at the option of the manager. The majority of these management agreements condition the manager's right to exercise renewal options upon the satisfaction of specified economic performance criteria. Under each management agreement, Marriott International provides comprehensive management services for the hotels. These agreements typically include the terms described below.

Table of Contents**CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS**

Operational Services. Marriott International generally has sole responsibility and exclusive authority for all activities necessary for the day-to-day operation of hotels, including establishing all room rates, securing and processing reservations, procuring inventories, supplies and services, providing periodic inspection and consultation visits to the hotels by technical and operational experts and promoting and publicizing of the hotels. Marriott International receives compensation in the form of a base management fee which is calculated as a percentage (typically 3%) of annual gross revenues, and an incentive management fee, which is typically calculated as a percentage (generally 20%) of operating profit after we have received a priority return on our investment in the hotel.

Executive Supervision and Management Services. Marriott International provides all managerial and other employees for the hotels, reviews the operation and maintenance of the hotels, prepares reports, budgets and projections, provides other administrative and accounting support services to the hotels, such as planning and policy services, financial planning, divisional financial services, product planning and development, employee staffing and training, corporate executive management, and certain in-house legal services. We have certain approval rights over the budget, capital expenditures, significant leases and contractual commitments and other matters.

Chain Services. Marriott International furnishes chain services on a centralized basis. Such services include: (1) the development and operation of certain computer systems and reservation services; (2) regional management and administrative services, regional marketing and sales services, regional training services, manpower development and relocation of regional personnel; and (3) such additional central or regional services as may from time to time be more efficiently performed on a regional or group basis rather than on an individual hotel basis. Costs and expenses incurred in providing these services are generally allocated among all hotels managed by Marriott International or its affiliates that benefit from these services.

Working Capital and Fixed Asset Supplies. We are required to maintain working capital for each hotel and to fund the cost of certain fixed asset supplies (for example, linen, china, glassware, silver and uniforms). We are also responsible for providing funds to meet the cash needs for hotel operations if at any time the funds available from hotel operations are insufficient to meet the financial requirements of the hotels.

Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Replacements. We are required to provide all necessary furniture, fixtures and equipment for the operation of the hotels (including funding any required furniture, fixtures and equipment replacements). On an annual basis Marriott International will prepare a list of furniture, fixtures and equipment to be acquired and certain routine repairs and maintenance to be performed in the next year and an estimate of the funds that are necessary for each of their hotels, which is subject to our review and approval. For purposes of funding the furniture, fixtures and equipment replacements, a specified percentage (typically 5%) of the gross revenues of the hotel is deposited into an escrow account in our name, to which the manager has access. However, for 53 of our Marriott hotels, we have entered into an agreement with Marriott International to allow us to fund such expenditures directly as incurred from one account that we control, subject to maintaining a minimum balance of the greater of \$35.7 million or 30% of total annual specified contributions, rather than escrowing funds at accounts at each hotel.

Building Alterations, Improvements and Renewals. Marriott International is required to prepare an annual estimate of the expenditures necessary for major repairs, alterations, improvements, renewals and replacements to the structural, mechanical, electrical, heating, ventilating, air conditioning, plumbing and elevators of each hotel, along with alterations and improvements to the hotel as are required, in Marriott International's reasonable judgment, to keep the hotel in a competitive, efficient and economical operating condition consistent with Marriott's brand standards. We generally have approval authority over such budgets and expenditures.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Service Marks. During the term of the management agreements, the brand name, service mark, symbols and logos used by the manager may be used in the operation of the hotel. Any right to use the brand name, service marks, logos and symbols and related trademarks at a hotel will terminate with respect to that hotel upon termination of the applicable management or franchise agreement.

Territorial Protections. Certain management agreements impose restrictions for a specified period which limit Marriott International and its affiliates from owning, operating or licensing a hotel of the same brand within a specified area. The area restrictions vary with each hotel, from city blocks in urban areas to up to a multi-mile radius from the hotel in other areas.

Sale of the Hotel. Most of our management agreements with Marriott International limit our ability to sell, lease or otherwise transfer the hotels by requiring that the transferee assume the related management agreements and meet specified other conditions, including the condition that the transferee not be a competitor of Marriott International.

Termination on Sale. While most of our management agreements with Marriott International are not terminable prior to their full term, we have negotiated termination rights with respect to 15 specified Marriott-branded hotels in connection with the sale of these hotels subject to certain limitations (including the number of agreements that can be terminated per year, limitations measured by earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA), and limitations requiring that a significant portion of such hotels maintain the Marriott brand affiliation). The described termination rights may be exercised without payment of a termination fee, except for one of the specified hotels wherein a termination fee is required if it does not maintain the Marriott brand affiliation.

Performance Termination. The majority of our management agreements with Marriott International provide for termination rights in the case of the manager's failure to meet certain financial performance criteria, usually a specified threshold return on the owner's investment, along with a failure of the hotel to achieve a specified revenue per-available-room performance threshold established with reference to other competitive hotels in the market. Typically, such performance-based termination rights arise in the event the manager fails to achieve specified performance thresholds over a consecutive two-year period, and are subject to their ability to cure and avoid termination by payment to us of specified deficiency amounts (or, in some instances, waiver of the right to receive specified future management fees). We have agreed in the past, and may agree in the future, to waive certain of these termination rights in exchange for consideration from the hotel manager, which consideration could take the form of cash compensation or amendments to the management agreement. Similarly, the majority of our management agreements with Marriott International condition the manager's right to renew pre-determined extension terms upon the satisfaction of certain financial performance criteria as noted earlier.

Table of Contents

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR OUR NEXT ANNUAL MEETING
STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR OUR NEXT ANNUAL MEETING

Proxy Statement Proposals

If you wish to submit a proposal to be included in the proxy statement for our 2016 annual meeting, we must receive it no later than December 8, 2015. The proposal must comply with the SEC's proxy rules and should be sent to the attention of the Secretary at Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc., 6903 Rockledge Drive, Suite 1500, Bethesda, MD 20817.

Other Proposals and Nominations

Our Bylaws govern the submission of nominations for director or other business proposals that a stockholder wishes to have considered at the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, but which is not intended to be included in the Company's proxy statement for that meeting. Under our Bylaws, nominations for directors or other business proposals to be addressed at the next annual meeting may be made by a stockholder entitled to vote who has delivered written notice to the Secretary (at the above address), no earlier than November 8, 2015 and no later than December 8, 2015. Also, in the event that the number of directors to be elected is increased and public announcement occurs after November 28, 2015, then stockholders will have an additional 10 days from the date of the announcement to nominate candidates for director, but only with respect to any new positions created by the increase. All notices must contain all of the information required under our Bylaws, a copy of which is available, at no charge, from the Secretary, and is also available on our website (<http://www.hosthotels.com>).

OTHER MATTERS

Other Business at the Annual Meeting

Our Board is not aware of any other business that will be presented at the annual meeting. If any other business is properly brought before the annual meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof, proxies received will be voted in accordance with the recommendation of our Board. Discretionary authority with respect to such other matters is granted by execution of the enclosed proxy.

Section 16 (a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Federal securities laws require directors, executive officers, and owners of more than ten percent of our common stock to file reports with the SEC and with the New York Stock Exchange. These reports relate to the number of shares of our common stock that each of those persons beneficially owns, and any changes in their ownership. Based solely upon a review of copies of the forms furnished to the Company, we believe all persons required to file such forms have done so during 2014 except for the following: due to an administrative oversight on the part of the Company, the Company's fourth quarter 2013 dividend of \$0.13 per share credited on January 15, 2014 as additional stock units on stock deferred by non-employee directors under the Non-Employee Directors Deferred Stock Compensation Plan was not reported in a timely manner. This oversight was corrected by filings on January 7, 2014 for each of Messrs. Golden, Morse, Rakowich and Smith, and Mmes. Baglivo, Bair and Korologos.

Hotel Information

A special stockholder annual meeting rate is offered at the Hyatt Regency Reston where the annual meeting is being held for Wednesday, May 13, 2015. A limited number of rooms are available at this special rate of \$269, plus taxes and gratuities, single or double occupancy. To receive this special rate use this link:

<https://resweb.passkey.com/go/HostShareholders>. This rate is not available over the phone. All reservations should be received by the hotel no later than May 1, 2015. This discount may not be used in conjunction with any other discount, coupon or group rate.

Table of Contents

OTHER MATTERS

Online Annual Report to Stockholders

We have filed an Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 with the Securities and Exchange Commission. You may obtain, free of charge, a copy of the 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K (excluding exhibits) by writing to the Secretary, Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc., 6903 Rockledge Drive, Suite 1500, Bethesda, Maryland 20817-1109. We will charge an amount equal to the reproduction cost if the exhibits are requested. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K may also be accessed electronically on our website (<http://www.hosthotels.com>).

By Order Of the Board of Directors

Elizabeth A. Abdoo

Secretary

Dated: April 6, 2015

Table of Contents

**Annual Meeting Admission Ticket
Electronic Voting Instructions**

Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week!

Instead of mailing your proxy, you may choose one of the voting methods outlined below to vote your proxy.

VALIDATION DETAILS ARE LOCATED BELOW IN THE TITLE BAR.

Proxies submitted by the Internet or telephone must be received by 11:59 p.m., New York Time, on May 13, 2015.

Vote by Internet

Go to www.investorvote.com/HST
Or scan the QR code with your smartphone
Follow the steps outlined on the secure website

Vote by telephone

Call toll free 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) within the USA, US territories & Canada on a touch tone telephone

Follow the instructions provided by the recorded message

Using a **black ink** pen, mark your votes with an X as shown in this example. Please do not write outside the designated areas.

X

q IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. q

Proposals The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR all the nominees listed, FOR Proposals 2 and 3 and AGAINST Proposal 4.

1. Election of Directors:	For	Against	Abstain		For	Against	Abstain	
01 - Mary L. Baglivo	•	•	•	02 - Sheila C. Bair	•	•	•	03 - Terence C. Golden

04 - Ann M. Korologos	05 - Richard E. Marriott	06 - John B. Morse, Jr.
07 - Walter C. Rakowich	08 - Gordon H. Smith	09 - W. Edward Walter

	For	Against	Abstain		For	Against	Abstain
2. Ratify appointment of KPMG LLP as independent registered public accountants for 2015.	3. Advisory resolution to approve executive compensation.
4. Stockholder proposal relating to bylaw amendments.				

Non-Voting Items

Change of Address	Please print your new address below.	Comments	Please print your comments below.	Meeting Attendance
--------------------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------------

Mark the box to the right if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting.

Authorized Signatures This section must be completed for your vote to be counted. **Date and Sign Below**
 NOTE: Please sign exactly as name appears hereon. Joint owners should each sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee, guardian, officer of a corporation or in another representative capacity, please give full title as such.

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Please print date below. Signature 1 Please keep signature within the box. Signature 2 Please keep signature within the box.

/ /

Table of Contents

ADMISSION TICKET

HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC.

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

THURSDAY, MAY 14, 2015, 11:00 A.M.

Hyatt Regency Reston

Reston Town Center

1800 Presidents Street

Reston, VA 20190

AGENDA

1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

2. RATIFICATION OF KPMG LLP AS INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

3. AN ADVISORY RESOLUTION TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

4. STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL

TRANSACTION OF OTHER BUSINESS AS MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE MEETING

It is important that your shares be represented at this meeting, whether or not you attend the meeting in person.

To make sure your shares are represented, we urge you to submit your proxy instructions by telephone, via the Internet, or by completing and mailing the proxy card below. If you plan on attending the Annual Meeting,

please mark the appropriate box on the reverse side of the proxy card below.

Present this Admission Ticket to the Host Hotels & Resorts representative at the entrance.

Receive Future Proxy Materials Electronically

Help us make a difference by eliminating paper proxy mailings to your home or business. With your consent, we will send future proxy voting materials to you by email. To register for electronic delivery of future proxy materials, go to www.computershare.com/investor and sign up for electronic delivery.

qIF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. q

Proxy **HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC.**

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD THURSDAY, MAY 14, 2015, 11:00 A.M.

The undersigned appoints Elizabeth A. Abdoo and Gregory J. Larson, or either of them, as proxies. Each shall have the power to appoint his or her substitute. They are authorized to vote, as designated on the reverse side, all shares of Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. common stock held of record by the undersigned on March 19, 2015 at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 14, 2015, or any adjournment or postponement thereof, and to otherwise represent the undersigned at the meeting with all powers possessed by the undersigned if personally present at the meeting.

This proxy when properly executed will be voted in the manner directed herein. If this proxy is executed but no instruction is made, this proxy will be voted FOR the election of each director, FOR proposals 2 and 3, and AGAINST proposal 4. In their discretion, the proxies are authorized to vote and otherwise represent the undersigned on any other matter that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Your vote is important. Please vote immediately.

If you vote by telephone or the Internet, please DO NOT mail back this proxy card.