

PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO
Form 10-Q
November 12, 2003

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED September 27, 2003

Commission File No.: 0-22192

PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP COMPANY

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Tennessee

54-0402940

(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

12500 West Creek Parkway

Richmond, Virginia

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

23238

(Zip Code)

(804) 484 - 7700

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of November 7, 2003, 45,824,250 shares of the issuer's common stock were outstanding.

Independent Accountants' Review Report

The Board of Directors and Shareholders
Performance Food Group Company:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Performance Food Group Company and subsidiaries (the Company) as of September 27, 2003 and the related condensed consolidated statements of earnings for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 27, 2003 and September 28, 2002 and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 27, 2003 and September 28, 2002. These condensed consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and

making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the consolidated balance sheet of Performance Food Group Company and subsidiaries as of December 28, 2002, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, shareholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 3, 2003, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. Our report referred to changes in accounting for business combinations and goodwill and other intangibles. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 28, 2002 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/KPMG LLP

Richmond, Virginia
October 27, 2003

PART 1 - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

(In thousands)

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO - Form 10-Q

| | September 27, <u>2003</u> | December 28, <u>2002</u> |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 36,099 | \$ 33,660 |
| Accounts receivable, net, including retained interest in securitized receivables | 222,565 | 220,676 |
| Inventories | 246,684 | 239,846 |
| Other current assets | <u>36,754</u> | <u>34,964</u> |
| Total current assets | <u>542,102</u> | <u>529,146</u> |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 331,588 | 293,994 |
| Goodwill, net | 582,396 | 575,464 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 199,228 | 205,497 |
| Other assets | <u>9,696</u> | <u>13,616</u> |
| Total assets | \$ 1,665,010 | \$ 1,617,717 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Outstanding checks in excess of deposits | \$ 69,980 | \$ 74,759 |
| Current installments of long-term debt | 1,491 | 2,504 |
| Trade accounts payable | 258,818 | 236,438 |
| Other current liabilities | <u>143,467</u> | <u>134,363</u> |
| Total current liabilities | <u>473,756</u> | <u>448,064</u> |
| Long-term debt, excluding current installments | 303,423 | 370,095 |
| Deferred income taxes | <u>97,116</u> | <u>84,689</u> |
| Total liabilities | <u>874,295</u> | <u>902,848</u> |
| Shareholders' equity | <u>790,715</u> | <u>714,869</u> |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 1,665,010 | \$ 1,617,717 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Unaudited

)

| (In thousands, except per share amounts) | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | September 27, <u>2003</u> | September 28, <u>2002</u> | September 27, <u>2003</u> | September 28, <u>2002</u> |
| Net sales | \$ 1,388,334 | \$ 1,169,848 | \$ 4,024,322 | \$ 3,234,805 |
| Cost of goods sold | <u>1,172,950</u> | <u>981,148</u> | <u>3,394,596</u> | <u>2,710,686</u> |
| Gross profit | 215,384 | 188,700 | 629,726 | 524,119 |
| Operating expenses | <u>175,558</u> | <u>158,213</u> | <u>515,750</u> | <u>431,956</u> |
| Operating profit | 39,826 | 30,487 | 113,976 | 92,163 |
| Other income (expense), net: | | | | |
| Interest expense | (4,714) | (4,631) | (14,459) | (13,372) |
| Loss on sale of receivables | (478) | (444) | (1,180) | (1,375) |
| Other, net | <u>212</u> | <u>168</u> | <u>1,612</u> | <u>1,019</u> |
| Other expense, net | <u>(4,980)</u> | <u>(4,907)</u> | <u>(14,027)</u> | <u>(13,728)</u> |
| Earnings before income taxes | 34,846 | 25,580 | 99,949 | 78,435 |
| Income tax expense | <u>13,242</u> | <u>9,592</u> | <u>37,981</u> | <u>29,413</u> |
| Net earnings | \$ 21,604 | \$ 15,988 | \$ 61,968 | \$ 49,022 |

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|----|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | | 45,681 | 44,736 | 45,492 | 44,202 |
| Basic net earnings per common share | \$ | 0.47 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.11 |
| Weighted average common shares and dilutive potential common shares outstanding | | 53,186 | 52,220 | 52,909 | 51,797 |
| Diluted net earnings per common share | \$ | 0.44 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.05 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited

)

| (In thousands) | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| | September 27, 2003 | September 28, 2002 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 61,968 | \$ 49,022 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 31,355 | 26,738 |
| Amortization | 6,210 | 6,044 |
| Tax benefit from exercise of stock options | 3,598 | 2,140 |
| Gain on sale of investment | (956) | - |

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------------|-------------------|
| Change in operating assets and liabilities, net | 36,789 | 22,568 |
| Other | <u>1,731</u> | <u>590</u> |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | <u>140,695</u> | <u>107,102</u> |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Net cash paid for acquisitions | (11,179) | (199,543) |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | (69,509) | (42,602) |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment | 357 | 1,167 |
| Proceeds from sale of investment | 4,500 | - |
| Decrease (increase) in intangible assets | <u>57</u> | <u>(676)</u> |
| Net cash used in investing activities | <u>(75,774)</u> | <u>(241,654)</u> |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Decrease in outstanding checks in excess of deposits | (4,778) | (5,371) |
| Net (payments) borrowings on revolving credit facility | (65,488) | 97,000 |
| Principal payments on long-term debt | (2,196) | (1,948) |
| Cash paid for debt issuance costs | (732) | - |
| Employee stock option, incentive and purchase plans | <u>10,712</u> | <u>7,368</u> |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | <u>(62,482)</u> | <u>97,049</u> |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 2,439 | (37,503) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | <u>33,660</u> | <u>68,274</u> |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 36,099 | \$ 30,771 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of Performance Food Group Company and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of September 27, 2003, and for the three months and nine months ended September 27, 2003 and September 28, 2002, are unaudited. The unaudited December 28, 2002 condensed consolidated balance sheet was derived from the audited consolidated balance sheet included in the Company's latest Annual Report on Form 10-K. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial reporting and in accordance with Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X.

In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contained in this report reflect all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring accruals, which are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and the results of operations for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. References in this Form 10-Q to the 2003 and 2002 quarters and periods refer to the fiscal three-month and nine-month periods ended September 27, 2003 and September 28, 2002, respectively.

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, note disclosures and other information should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's latest Annual Report on Form 10-K.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. The most significant estimates used by management are related to the accounting for the allowance for doubtful accounts, business combinations, off balance sheet financing activities, incurred but not reported insurance claims, reserve for inventories, sales incentives, vendor rebates and other promotional incentives, bonus accruals, depreciation, amortization and tax liabilities. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Cost of goods sold

Cost of goods sold includes amounts paid to manufacturers and growers for products sold, plus the cost of transportation necessary to bring the products to the Company's distribution facilities. Cost of goods sold also includes direct processing costs and an allocation of indirect costs related to the Fresh-cut segment's fresh-cut produce processing operations.

Operating expenses

Operating expenses include warehouse, delivery, selling and administrative expenses, which include occupancy, insurance, depreciation, amortization, salaries and wages and employee benefits expenses that are not directly or indirectly related to or allocated to the Company's fresh-cut produce processing operations.

Stock-Based Compensation

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure*. SFAS No. 148 amended existing accounting literature to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair-value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. The statement also required additional disclosures in both interim and annual financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. The accounting provisions (if elected) and the disclosure provisions (required) of SFAS No. 148 were effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002. The Company has currently elected not to adopt the fair-value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation, but adopted the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 148 in 2002.

In the second quarter of 2003, the Company's shareholders approved the 2003 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2003 Plan"). The 2003 Plan provides for the award of up to 2,325,189 shares of common stock to officers, employees, directors and consultants of the Company, including a total of 125,189 shares carried over from the 1993 Plan and the Directors' Plan, defined below. Awards under the 2003 Plan may be in the form of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock or other stock-based awards. The 2003 Plan is described more fully in the Company's 2003 Proxy Statement, dated April 7, 2003.

Since May 2003, no options have been granted under the 1993 Employee Stock Incentive Plan (the "1993 Plan") or the 1993 Outside Directors Stock Option Plan (the "Directors' Plan"). In addition, the last stock options outstanding under the 1989 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan (the "1989 Plan") were exercised in the first quarter of 2003. The Company also maintains the Performance Food Group Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "Stock Purchase Plan"). The 1993 Plan, the Directors' Plan, the 1989 Plan and the Stock Purchase Plan are described more fully in the Company's 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company accounts for these plans under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations. Accordingly, the Company does not recognize stock-based employee compensation cost in net earnings in the condensed consolidated statements of earnings for option grants under these plans. The Company also sponsors the Performance Food Group Employee Savings and Stock Ownership Plan, described more fully in the Company's 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following table illustrates the effect on net earnings and net earnings per common share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, to all applicable stock-based employee compensation plans. The fair value of each option was estimated at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

| (In thousands, except per share amounts) | 2003 <u>Quarter</u> | 2002 <u>Quarter</u> | 2003 <u>Period</u> | 2002 <u>Period</u> |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|--|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----------------|----|-----------------|----|-----------------|----|-----------------|
| Net earnings, as reported | \$ | 21,604 | \$ | 15,988 | \$ | 61,968 | \$ | 49,022 |
| Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair-value based method for all awards, net of tax effects | | <u>(2,581)</u> | | <u>(2,027)</u> | | <u>(6,236)</u> | | <u>(5,727)</u> |
| Pro forma net earnings | \$ | 19,023 | \$ | 13,961 | \$ | 55,732 | \$ | 43,295 |
| Net earnings per common share: | | | | | | | | |
| Basic - As reported | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.36 | \$ | 1.36 | \$ | 1.11 |
| Basic - Pro forma | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 1.23 | \$ | 0.98 |
| Diluted - As reported | \$ | 0.44 | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 1.28 | \$ | 1.05 |
| Diluted - Pro forma | \$ | 0.39 | \$ | 0.30 | \$ | 1.16 | \$ | 0.94 |

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*. SFAS No. 143 addresses the accounting for legal obligations associated with the retirement of certain long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and the normal operation of long-lived assets. SFAS No. 143 requires that the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset and subsequently allocated to expense over the asset's useful life. The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 143 at the beginning of 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 143 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. ("FIN") 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*. FIN 45 elaborates on the existing disclosure requirements for most guarantees. It also clarifies that at the time a company issues a guarantee, it must recognize an initial liability for the fair value of the obligations it assumes under that guarantee and must disclose that information in its interim and annual financial statements. The Company adopted the accounting provisions of FIN 45 on a prospective basis for guarantees issued or modified after December 15, 2002, as required. The Company adopted the disclosure requirements of FIN 45 in 2002, as required, which are included in Note 11. The adoption of FIN 45 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In January 2003, the FASB issued FIN 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*. FIN 46 requires certain variable interest entities to be consolidated by the primary beneficiary of the entity if the equity investors in the entity do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. In June 2003, the Company terminated its two master operating lease facilities as discussed in Note 9. Therefore, the adoption of FIN 46 in the third quarter of 2003 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In January 2003, the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") of the FASB reached a final consensus on EITF No. 02-16, *Accounting by a Customer (Including a Reseller) for Certain Consideration Received from a Vendor*. EITF No. 02-16 clarifies certain aspects for accounting and recording by customers of consideration received from suppliers. Under EITF No. 02-16, consideration received related to growth rebates and agreements containing specified time period restrictions should be recorded as a reduction of cost of goods sold and recognized using a systematic and rational allocation if the amounts are probable and reasonably estimable. In addition, consideration received for certain promotional activities should be recorded as a reduction of those costs, with any excess being recorded as a reduction of cost of goods sold. The Company adopted EITF No. 02-16 at the beginning of 2003. The adoption of EITF No. 02-16 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, *Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. This statement amends and clarifies accounting for derivative instruments. In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity*. SFAS No. 150 clarifies the accounting for certain financial instruments that, under previous guidance, issuers could account for primarily as equity, but now must be classified as liabilities. The Company adopted SFAS No. 149 and SFAS No. 150 in the third quarter of 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 149 and SFAS No. 150 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

3. Business Combinations

In the 2003 period, the Company paid \$11.0 million to the former shareholders of Fresh International Corp. and its subsidiaries (collectively "Fresh Express"). These payments were related to certain contractual obligations in the purchase agreement for Fresh Express, which the Company acquired in 2001. Also in the 2003 period, the Company paid \$1.9 million and issued approximately 19,000 shares of its common stock, valued at \$625,000, related to contractual obligations in the purchase agreements for companies acquired in 2001 and 2000. The Company recorded these payments to Fresh Express and other companies as additional purchase price, with corresponding increases in goodwill.

In connection with the acquisition of Fresh Express, the Company adopted a plan for integration of the business. The Company initially established an accrual of \$8.9 million to provide for certain costs of this plan. This accrual was recorded as a part of the initial allocation of the purchase price of Fresh Express. The plan was to combine the Franklin Park, Illinois, and Chicago, Illinois, processing plants into one facility, convert the Greencastle, Pennsylvania, and Chicago facilities into distribution centers, dispose of certain processing equipment at the Chicago and Greencastle plants and eliminate approximately 500 processing-related jobs at the affected facilities. In the first quarter of 2003, the Company converted the Greencastle facility into a distribution center and eliminated approximately 300 processing-related jobs at that facility. In the second quarter of 2003, the Company decided not to convert the Chicago facility into a distribution center and will continue to use it for processing operations. In the third quarter of 2003, the Company completed the integration plan. The following table summarizes the activity related to the accrual for business integration:

| (In thousands) | Workforce <u>Reduction</u> | Consolidation of Facilities and Other <u>Charges</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Initial accrual | \$ 4,025 | \$ 4,825 | \$ 8,850 |
| Non-cash adjustments ⁽¹⁾ | (1,382) | (3,403) | (4,785) |
| Cash payments | <u>(2,643)</u> | <u>(1,422)</u> | <u>(4,065)</u> |
| Balance at September 27, 2003 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |

(1)

In 2002, the Company reduced its accrual for the integration plan by \$2.6 million, with a corresponding decrease in Fresh Express' goodwill, primarily due to adjustments to the Greencastle and Chicago integration plans. In addition, the Company decided not to dispose of certain leased office buildings in Salinas, California, as originally planned. In the second quarter of 2003, the Company reduced its accrual for the integration plan by \$2.2 million, with a corresponding decrease in Fresh Express' goodwill, due to the decision not to convert the Chicago facility into a distribution facility, as discussed above.

From inception through September 27, 2003, \$2.6 million of employee separation costs and \$1.4 million of professional fees, lease and related costs and dismantling costs have been charged against the accrual.

In the 2002 period, in addition to the acquisitions of Quality Foods completed in the second quarter of 2002, and TPC (as defined below) and Middendorf Meat (as defined below) completed in the third quarter of 2002, the Company paid a total of \$2.9 million in cash and issued approximately 15,000 shares of its common stock, valued at approximately \$500,000, to the former shareholders of Carroll County Foods, Inc. ("Carroll County Foods") and AFFLINK Incorporated (formerly Affiliated Paper Companies, Inc., "AFFLINK"), which were acquired in 2000 and 1998, respectively, as a result of certain contractual obligations in the purchase agreements related to those acquisitions. The Company recorded these payments to Carroll County Foods and AFFLINK as additional purchase price, with corresponding increases in goodwill.

In October 2002, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company's Pocahontas Foods USA subsidiary acquired all of the assets of All Kitchens, Inc. ("All Kitchens"), a privately owned procurement and merchandising firm based in Boise, Idaho. All Kitchens provides procurement and merchandising services to its distributors. The Company believes that this acquisition increases its services to existing Pocahontas and All Kitchens' distributors and expands the Company's geographic base of independent foodservice distributors. In connection with the acquisition of All Kitchens, the Company will be required to pay the prior owner of the acquired assets up to \$3.0 million in cash, if certain affiliate distributor targets are achieved through September 2005. The Company will record any earnout payments as additional purchase price, resulting in a corresponding increase in goodwill.

In July 2002, the Company acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Thoms-Proestler Company and TPC Logistics, Inc. (collectively "TPC"), a privately owned, broadline foodservice distributor based in Rock Island, Illinois. TPC services customers located throughout the states of Illinois, Indiana, Iowa and Wisconsin, including the major metropolitan area of Chicago. The Company believes that its acquisition of TPC extends its Broadline service area in the Midwest region. In connection with the acquisition of TPC, the Company entered into an earnout agreement whereby it will be required to pay the former shareholders of TPC up to \$7.0 million, consisting of cash and additional common shares, if TPC achieves certain targeted levels of growth in sales and operating profit margin, as defined, through July 2004. The Company will record any earnout payments as additional purchase price, resulting in a corresponding increase in goodwill.

In July 2002, the Company also acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Middendorf Meat Company ("Middendorf Meat"), a privately owned, broadline foodservice distributor based in St. Louis, Missouri, through the merger of Middendorf Meat with a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. Middendorf Meat distributes custom-cut steaks and other foodservice items to independent restaurants, private clubs, hotels and other foodservice establishments in St. Louis and surrounding areas. The Company believes that its acquisition of Middendorf Meat extends its service area to a region that is geographically contiguous to its other Broadline businesses. In connection with the

acquisition of Middendorf Meat, the Company entered into an earnout agreement whereby it will be required to pay the former shareholders of Middendorf Meat up to \$5.0 million, consisting of cash and additional common shares, if Middendorf Meat achieves certain targeted levels of growth in operating profit, as defined, over a period of up to six years following the acquisition. The Company will record any earnout payments as additional purchase price, resulting in a corresponding increase in goodwill. In the 2003 period, the Company finalized the purchase price of Middendorf Meat, resulting in the return of \$2.2 million in cash and \$1.4 million of the Company's common stock from the former owners of Middendorf Meat, related to the closing net worth adjustment and certain related claims. The Company recorded these adjustments to the purchase price as goodwill adjustments.

In May 2002, the Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of Quality Foods, Inc. ("Quality Foods"), a privately owned, broadline foodservice distributor based in Little Rock, Arkansas, with distribution centers in Little Rock and Batesville and Magee, Mississippi. Quality Foods provides products and services to traditional foodservice accounts in a region covering Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Oklahoma, Tennessee and Texas. The Company believes that its acquisition of Quality Foods extends its service area to a region that is geographically contiguous to its other Broadline businesses. The Company paid \$90.3 million, net of cash acquired, for Quality Foods. In connection with the acquisition of Quality Foods, the Company entered into an earnout agreement whereby it will be required to pay the former shareholders of Quality Foods up to \$24.0 million in cash if Quality Foods achieves certain targeted levels of growth in operating profit, as defined, over a three-year period following the acquisition. The Company will record any earnout payments as additional purchase price, resulting in a corresponding increase in goodwill.

The condensed consolidated statements of earnings and cash flows reflect the results of the All Kitchens, TPC, Middendorf Meat and Quality Foods acquisitions from the dates of acquisition through September 27, 2003. The unaudited consolidated results of operations on a pro forma basis as though these acquisitions had been consummated as of the beginning of 2002 are as follows:

| (In thousands, except per share amounts) | <u>2002</u> <u>Quarter</u> | <u>2002</u> <u>Period</u> |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Net sales | \$ 1,200,788 | \$ 3,583,079 |
| Gross profit | 195,424 | 586,294 |
| Net earnings | 15,445 | 46,825 |
| Basic net earnings per common share | 0.34 | 1.04 |
| Diluted net earnings per common share | 0.33 | 1.00 |

The above pro forma results are presented for information purposes only and may not be indicative of the operating results that would have occurred had these acquisitions been consummated as of the beginning of 2002. The allocation of the excess purchase price of All Kitchens is preliminary and subject to final adjustment, primarily because the Company is finalizing the opening balance sheet for this acquisition. The Company will complete the allocation of the excess purchase price of this acquisition during the fourth quarter of 2003.

4. Net Earnings Per Common Share

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO - Form 10-Q

Basic net earnings per common share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders (numerator) by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding (denominator) during the period. Diluted EPS is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares and dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. In computing diluted EPS, the average stock price for the period is used in determining the number of shares assumed to be repurchased upon the exercise of stock options.

In October 2001, the Company issued \$201.3 million aggregate principal amount of 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ % convertible subordinated notes due in 2008 (the "Convertible Notes"). The calculation of diluted EPS is done on an "if-converted" basis and without conversion of the Convertible Notes. If the calculation of diluted EPS is more dilutive assuming conversion of the Convertible Notes, the after-tax interest on the Convertible Notes is added to net income in the numerator, and the shares into which the Convertible Notes are convertible are added to the dilutive shares in the denominator. In the 2003 and 2002 quarters and periods, the Convertible Notes were dilutive and were included in the computation of diluted EPS. A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted EPS computations is as follows:

| (In thousands, except per share amounts) | <u>2003 Quarter</u> | | | <u>2002 Quarter</u> | | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| | <u>Net Earnings</u> (1) | <u>Shares</u> (2) | <u>Per- Share Amount</u> | <u>Net Earnings</u> (1) | <u>Shares</u> (2) | <u>Per- Share Amount</u> |
| Amounts reported for basic EPS | \$ 21,604 | 45,681 | \$ 0.47 | \$ 15,988 | 44,736 | \$ 0.36 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | | | |
| Stock options | - | 1,397 | | - | 1,376 | |
| Convertible Notes | <u>1,863</u> | <u>6,108</u> | | <u>1,877</u> | <u>6,108</u> | |
| Amounts reported for diluted EPS | \$ 23,467 | 53,186 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 17,865 | 52,220 | \$ 0.34 |

(1)

Numerator

(2) Denominator

Options to purchase 3,000 shares that were outstanding at September 27, 2003, were excluded from the computation of diluted shares because of their antidilutive effect on EPS for the 2003 quarter. The exercise price of these options is \$38.50. Options to purchase 668,000 shares that were outstanding at September 28, 2002, were excluded from the computation of diluted shares because of their antidilutive effect on EPS for the 2002 quarter. The exercise prices of these options ranged from \$34.15 to \$38.50.

2003 Period

2002 Period

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO - Form 10-Q

| (In thousands, except per share amounts) | <u>Net Earnings</u> (1) | <u>Shares</u> (2) | <u>Per- Share Amount</u> | <u>Net Earnings</u> (1) | <u>Shares</u> (2) | <u>Per- Share Amount</u> |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Amounts reported for basic EPS | \$ 61,968 | 45,492 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 49,022 | 44,202 | \$ 1.11 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | | | |
| Stock options | - | 1,309 | | - | 1,487 | |
| Convertible Notes | <u>5,589</u> | <u>6,108</u> | | <u>5,631</u> | <u>6,108</u> | |
| Amounts reported for diluted EPS | \$ 67,557 | 52,909 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 54,653 | 51,797 | \$ 1.05 |

(1)

Numerator
(2) Denominator

Options to purchase 589,800 shares that were outstanding at September 27, 2003, were excluded from the computation of diluted shares because of their antidilutive effect on EPS for the 2003 period. The exercise prices of these options ranged from \$34.72 to \$38.50. Options to purchase 614,000 shares that were outstanding at September 28, 2002, were excluded from the computation of diluted shares because of their antidilutive effect on EPS for the 2002 period. The exercise prices of these options ranged from \$34.57 to \$38.50.

5. Cash Flow Information

The following table presents supplemental cash flow information:

| (In thousands) | <u>2003 Period</u> | <u>2002 Period</u> |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$ 9,713 | \$ 8,442 |
| Income taxes | \$ 24,175 | \$ 16,698 |

6. Receivables Facility

In July 2001, the Company entered into a receivables facility, under which PFG Receivables Corporation, a wholly owned, special-purpose subsidiary, sold an undivided interest in certain of the Company's trade receivables (the "Receivables Facility"). PFG Receivables Corporation was formed for the sole purpose of buying receivables generated by certain of the Company's operating units and selling an undivided interest in those receivables to a financial institution. Under the Receivables Facility, certain of the Company's operating units sell a portion of their accounts receivable to PFG

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO - Form 10-Q

Receivables Corporation, which in turn, subject to certain conditions, may from time to time sell an undivided interest in these receivables to the financial institution. The Company's operating units continue to service the receivables on behalf of the financial institution at estimated market rates. Accordingly, the Company has not recognized a servicing asset or liability.

The Company received \$78.0 million of proceeds from the sale of the undivided interest in receivables under the Receivables Facility in 2001, and continues to securitize its accounts receivable. Under the original terms of the Receivables Facility, the amount of the undivided interest in the receivables owned by the financial institution could not exceed \$90.0 million at any one time. On June 30, 2003, the Company extended the term of the Receivables Facility through June 28, 2004, and increased the amount of the undivided interest in the receivables that can be owned by the financial institution to \$165.0 million. On July 24, 2003, the Company sold an incremental undivided interest in receivables under the Receivables Facility and received an additional \$32.0 million of proceeds. These proceeds were used to repay borrowings under the Credit Facility, defined in Note 10, and to fund working capital needs.

At

September 27, 2003, securitized accounts receivable totaled \$175.9 million, including \$110.0 million sold to the financial institution and derecognized from the consolidated balance sheet and including the Company's residual interest in accounts receivable ("Residual Interest") of \$65.9 million. The Residual Interest represents the Company's retained interest in receivables held by PFG Receivables Corporation and is included in accounts receivable on the Company's consolidated balance sheet. The Residual Interest is measured using the estimated discounted cash flows of the underlying accounts receivable, based on estimated collections and a discount rate approximately equivalent to the Company's incremental borrowing rate. The loss on sale of the undivided interest in receivables of \$478,000 and \$444,000 in the 2003 and 2002 quarters, respectively, and \$1.2 million and \$1.4 million in the 2003 and 2002 periods, respectively, is included in other expense, net, in the consolidated statements of earnings and represents the Company's cost of securitizing those receivables with the financial institution.

The Company records the sale of the undivided interest in accounts receivable to the financial institution in accordance with SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*. Accordingly, at the time the undivided interest in receivables is sold, the receivables are removed from the Company's consolidated balance sheet. The Company records a loss on the sale of the undivided interest in these receivables, which includes a discount, based upon the receivables' credit quality and a financing cost for the financial institution, based upon a 30-day commercial-paper rate. At September 27, 2003, the rate under the Receivables Facility was 1.58% per annum.

The key economic assumptions used to measure the Residual Interest at September 27, 2003, were a discount rate of 3.00% per annum and an estimated life of approximately 1.5 months. At September 27, 2003, an immediate adverse change in the discount rate and estimated life of 10% and 20%, with other factors remaining constant, would result in a reduction in the fair value of the Residual Interest and corresponding increase in the loss on sale of receivables of approximately \$34,000 and \$70,000, respectively.

7. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The following table presents details of the Company's goodwill and other intangible assets as of September 27, 2003 and December 28, 2002:

| | <u>As of September 27, 2003</u> | | | <u>As of December 28, 2002</u> | | |
|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| (In thousands) | Gross Carrying <u>Amount</u> | Accumulated <u>Amortization</u> | <u>Net</u> | Gross Carrying <u>Amount</u> | Accumulated <u>Amortization</u> | <u>Net</u> |
| | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO - Form 10-Q

Intangible assets
with definite lives:

| | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Customer relationships | \$ 110,824 | \$ 12,998 | \$ 97,826 | \$ 110,509 | \$ 8,464 | \$ 102,045 |
| Tradenames and trademarks | 33,725 | 2,254 | 31,471 | 33,719 | 1,358 | 32,361 |
| Debt issuance costs | 9,348 | 2,635 | 6,713 | 8,836 | 1,764 | 7,072 |
| Non-compete agreements | 4,921 | 2,812 | 2,109 | 6,544 | 3,659 | 2,885 |
| Patents | <u>350</u> | <u>40</u> | <u>310</u> | <u>350</u> | <u>15</u> | <u>335</u> |
| Total intangible assets with definite lives | \$ 159,168 | \$ 20,739 | \$ 138,429 | \$ 159,958 | \$ 15,260 | \$ 144,698 |

Intangible assets
with indefinite lives:

| | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|------------|---------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| Goodwill ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 597,914 | \$ 15,518 | \$ 582,396 | \$ 590,982 | \$ 15,518 | \$ 575,464 |
| Tradenames ⁽¹⁾ | <u>60,934</u> | <u>135</u> | <u>60,799</u> | <u>60,934</u> | <u>135</u> | <u>60,799</u> |
| Total intangible assets with indefinite lives | \$ 658,848 | \$ 15,653 | \$ 643,195 | \$ 651,916 | \$ 15,653 | \$ 636,263 |
| Total intangible assets | \$ 818,016 | \$ 36,392 | \$ 781,624 | \$ 811,874 | \$ 30,913 | \$ 780,961 |

(1)

Accumulated amortization was recorded before the Company's adoption of SFAS No. 142.

The Company recorded amortization expense of \$2.4 million and \$2.2 million for the 2003 and 2002 quarters, respectively, and \$7.3 million and \$6.0 million for the 2003 and 2002 periods, respectively. Amortization expense for debt issuance costs is recorded in interest expense. The estimated future amortization expense for intangible assets as of September 27, 2003, is as follows:

| (In thousands) | <u>Amount</u> |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| 2003 (remaining quarter) | \$ 2,394 |
| 2004 | 9,472 |
| 2005 | 9,306 |
| 2006 | 8,967 |
| 2007 | 8,464 |
| 2008 | 7,865 |
| Thereafter | <u>91,961</u> |

Total \$ 138,429

The following table presents the changes in the net carrying amount of goodwill allocated to the Company's reportable segments, as defined in Note 12, during the 2003 period:

| (In thousands) | <u>Broadline Segment</u> | <u>Fresh-cut Segment</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Balance at December 28, 2002 | \$ 357,150 | \$ 218,314 | \$ 575,464 |
| Goodwill acquired, net | (1,185) | 11,032 | 9,847 |
| Purchase accounting adjustments | <u>(6,056)</u> | <u>3,141</u> | <u>(2,915)</u> |
| Balance at September 27, 2003 | \$ 349,909 | \$ 232,487 | \$ 582,396 |

In the 2003 period, Broadline segment goodwill decreased by \$7.3 million; \$1.2 million for the net effect of the purchase price adjustments and payments for Middendorf Meat and other companies and \$6.1 million for the net effect of purchase price allocation adjustments. The Company increased the goodwill in its Fresh-cut segment by \$14.1 million in the 2003 period; \$11.0 million for payments related to the acquisition of Fresh Express and \$3.1 million for the net effect of purchase price allocation adjustments. For further details on goodwill changes, see Note 3.

8. Inventories

The following table provides details of inventories as of September 27, 2003 and December 28, 2002:

| (In thousands) | <u>September 27, 2003</u> | <u>December 28, 2002</u> |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Finished goods | \$ 231,889 | \$ 224,477 |
| Raw materials, including growing crops | <u>14,795</u> | <u>15,369</u> |
| Inventories | \$ 246,684 | \$ 239,846 |

9. Leases

In June 2003, the Company terminated its two master operating lease facilities. In June 2003, the lessor sold two of the three distribution centers included in the first master operating lease facility (the "First Facility") to third parties unaffiliated with the Company. The Company concurrently entered into operating leases with those unaffiliated third parties for these distribution centers. The operating leases have initial terms of 22 years, plus five renewal options of five years each. In addition, the Company purchased the remaining distribution center in the First Facility from the lessor for \$10.4 million. This distribution center is recorded on the Company's consolidated balance sheet at September 27, 2003, in its Customized segment.

In June 2003, the lessor sold one of the distribution centers and the office building included in the Company's other master operating lease facility (the "Second Facility") to third parties unaffiliated

with the Company. The Company also sold land and a building under construction to one of those third parties unaffiliated with the Company. The Company concurrently entered into operating leases with those unaffiliated third parties for all of these properties. The operating leases have initial terms of 22 years, plus five renewal options of five years each. In addition, the Company purchased the remaining distribution center in the Second Facility from the lessor for \$4.9 million. This distribution center is recorded on the Company's consolidated balance sheet at September 27, 2003, in its Broadline segment.

As a result of the Company's termination of the two master operating lease facilities, the Company's four new operating leases and the Company's purchase of two distribution centers previously included in these facilities, the Company's future minimum lease payments increased by \$79.5 million, net. As of September 27, 2003, remaining payments totaled \$79.3 million, net: \$195,000 in the remainder of 2003; \$1.4 million in 2004; \$2.9 million in 2005; \$3.8 million in each of 2006, 2007 and 2008; and \$63.4 million thereafter. The four new operating leases discussed above do not contain residual value guarantees.

10. Long-term Debt

In April 2003, the Company amended and restated its revolving credit facility (the "Credit Facility"), which, among other things, increased the facility to \$350.0 million from \$200.0 million. The Credit Facility expires in 2006, and bears interest at a floating rate equal to, at the Company's election, the agent bank's prime rate or a spread over LIBOR, which varies based upon our leverage ratio, as defined in the credit agreement. The Credit Facility has an annual commitment fee, ranging from 0.20% to 0.25% of the average daily unused portion of the total facility, based on the Company's leverage ratio, as defined in the credit agreement. The Credit Facility also requires the maintenance of certain financial ratios, as defined in the credit agreement, and contains customary events of default. Under the Credit Facility, the Company's subsidiaries are no longer required to guarantee borrowings, letters of credit or any other obligations, as had previously been required. The Credit Facility allows for the issuance of up to \$90.0 million of standby letters of credit, which reduce borrowings available under the Credit Facility. At September 27, 2003, the Company had \$32.5 million of borrowings outstanding, \$41.7 million of letters of credit outstanding and \$275.8 million available under the Credit Facility, subject to compliance with customary borrowing conditions. At September 27, 2003, the Company's borrowings under the Credit Facility bore interest at a rate of 1.62% per annum. Interest is payable monthly.

11. Commitments and Contingencies

At September 27, 2003, the Company's Fresh-cut segment had contracts to purchase produce totaling \$67.2 million, of which \$33.3 million expire in 2003 and \$33.9 million expire in 2004. Amounts due under these contracts are not included on the Company's consolidated balance sheets, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

From time to time, the Company is involved in legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the outcome of such proceedings and litigation currently pending will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

The Company has entered into various guarantee agreements. These agreements arose in transactions related to the acquisition of certain businesses and residual value guarantees on certain of its operating

leases. The Company adopted the accounting provisions of FIN 45 on a prospective basis for guarantees issued or modified after December 15, 2002, as required. The Company adopted the disclosure requirements of FIN 45 in 2002, as required, which are included below. FIN 45 is discussed in more detail in Note 2.

In connection with certain acquisitions, the Company has entered into earnout agreements with certain of the former owners of the businesses that the Company has acquired. These agreements are based upon certain net earnings and other targets, as defined in each agreement, and discussed in more detail in Note 3. These earnout payments are for companies acquired from 2000 through 2002, and may include payments in cash and/or shares of the Company's common stock. As of September 27, 2003, the maximum potential earnout obligation, assuming all future earnout targets are met in their earliest possible years, totaled \$38.3 million: \$3.3 million in the remainder of 2003, \$21.2 million in 2004, \$12.2 million in 2005 and \$1.6 million in 2006. These contingent payments are not recorded on the Company's consolidated balance sheet at September 27, 2003, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. If paid, these earnout payments would increase the goodwill of the companies acquired. If the future earnout targets are not met, these maximum amounts will be lower, or the Company may not be required to make any payments.

The Company has entered into numerous operating leases, including leases of buildings, equipment, tractors and trailers. In certain of these leases, the Company has provided residual value guarantees to the lessors. As of September 27, 2003, the undiscounted maximum amount of potential future payments under these guarantees is approximately \$5.9 million, which would be mitigated by the fair value of the leased assets at lease expiration. These leases have expiration dates ranging from 2003 to 2010.

12. Industry Segment Information

The Company has three operating segments: broadline foodservice distribution ("Broadline"); customized foodservice distribution ("Customized"); and fresh-cut produce processing ("Fresh-cut"). Broadline markets and distributes more than 58,000 national and proprietary brand food and non-food products to a total of approximately 46,000 street and chain customers. Broadline consists of 19 distribution facilities that design their own product mix, distribution routes and delivery schedules to accommodate the needs of a large number of customers whose individual purchases vary in size. In addition, Broadline operates three locations that provide merchandising services to independent foodservice distributors. Customized services family and casual-dining chain restaurants. These customers generally prefer centralized coordination, purchasing and information services that facilitate item and menu changes. The Customized distribution network distributes nationwide and internationally from seven distribution facilities. Fresh-cut purchases, processes, packages and distributes a variety of packaged, ready-to-eat salads and other fresh-cut produce nationwide to food retailers. Fresh-cut also distributes various fresh produce offerings, primarily to third-party distributors for resale mainly to quick-service restaurants located throughout the United States. Fresh-cut operations are conducted at eight processing/distribution facilities.

| <u>Broadline</u> | <u>Customized</u> | <u>Fresh-cut</u> | <u>Corporate & Intersegment</u> | <u>Consolidated</u> |
|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|---------------------|
|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|---------------------|

(In thousands)

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO - Form 10-Q

2003 Quarter

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|--------------|
| Net external sales | \$ 707,413 | \$ 450,866 | \$ 230,055 | \$ - | \$ 1,388,334 |
| Intersegment sales | 229 | 75 | 4,136 | (4,440) | - |
| Total sales | 707,642 | 450,941 | 234,191 | (4,440) | 1,388,334 |
| Operating profit | 19,508 | 5,682 | 18,001 | (3,365) | 39,826 |
| Total assets | 768,050 | 130,359 | 651,689 | 114,912 | 1,665,010 |
| Interest expense (income) | 2,892 | 124 | 4,569 | (2,871) | 4,714 |
| Loss (gain) on sale of receivables | 2,497 | 557 | - | (2,576) | 478 |
| Depreciation | 3,449 | 989 | 5,786 | 538 | 10,762 |
| Amortization | 931 | - | 1,135 | - | 2,066 |
| Capital expenditures | 2,776 | 2,916 | 8,996 | 1,700 | 16,388 |

Broadline Customized Fresh-cut Corporate & Intersegment Consolidated

(In thousands)

2002 Quarter

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|--------------|
| Net external sales | \$ 618,030 | \$ 347,041 | \$ 204,777 | \$ - | \$ 1,169,848 |
| Intersegment sales | 156 | - | 3,273 | (3,429) | - |
| Total sales | 618,186 | 347,041 | 208,050 | (3,429) | 1,169,848 |
| Operating profit | 11,033 | 4,326 | 18,295 | (3,167) | 30,487 |
| Total assets | 737,844 | 94,615 | 603,008 | 115,319 | 1,550,786 |
| Interest expense (income) | 3,510 | 82 | 5,175 | (4,136) | 4,631 |
| Loss (gain) on sale of receivables | 1,586 | 374 | - | (1,516) | 444 |
| Depreciation | 3,684 | 755 | 4,887 | 377 | 9,703 |
| Amortization | 775 | - | 1,135 | 299 | 2,209 |
| Capital expenditures | 2,493 | 1,170 | 9,175 | 1,155 | 13,993 |

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO - Form 10-Q

| | <u>Broadline</u> | <u>Customized</u> | <u>Fresh-cut</u> | <u>Corporate & Intersegment</u> | <u>Consolidated</u> |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | | |
| 2003 Period | | | | | |
| Net external sales | \$ 2,037,791 | \$ 1,306,272 | \$ 680,259 | \$ - | \$ 4,024,322 |
| Intersegment sales | 701 | 275 | 12,409 | (13,385) | - |
| Total sales | 2,038,492 | 1,306,547 | 692,668 | (13,385) | 4,024,322 |
| Operating profit | 52,090 | 16,510 | 57,770 | (12,394) | 113,976 |
| Total assets | 768,050 | 130,359 | 651,689 | 114,912 | 1,665,010 |
| Interest expense (income) | 10,451 | 240 | 14,165 | (10,397) | 14,459 |
| Loss (gain) on sale of receivables | 5,848 | 1,628 | - | (6,296) | 1,180 |
| Depreciation | 10,480 | 2,708 | 16,676 | 1,491 | 31,355 |
| Amortization | 2,816 | - | 3,394 | - | 6,210 |
| Capital expenditures | 11,031 | 19,183 | 36,940 | 2,355 | 69,509 |

| | <u>Broadline</u> | <u>Customized</u> | <u>Fresh-cut</u> | <u>Corporate & Intersegment</u> | <u>Consolidated</u> |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | | |
| 2002 Period | | | | | |
| Net external sales | \$ 1,569,241 | \$ 1,038,715 | \$ 626,849 | \$ - | \$ 3,234,805 |
| Intersegment sales | 448 | - | 8,792 | (9,240) | - |
| Total sales | 1,569,689 | 1,038,715 | 635,641 | (9,240) | 3,234,805 |
| Operating profit | 34,883 | 11,944 | 55,429 | (10,093) | 92,163 |
| Total assets | 737,844 | 94,615 | 603,008 | 115,319 | 1,550,786 |
| Interest expense (income) | 6,836 | 365 | 15,718 | (9,547) | 13,372 |
| Loss (gain) on sale of receivables | 4,631 | 1,244 | - | (4,500) | 1,375 |
| Depreciation | 9,450 | 2,250 | 14,043 | 995 | 26,738 |

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| Amortization | 1,731 | - | 3,392 | 921 | 6,044 |
| Capital expenditures | 6,538 | 5,062 | 27,247 | 3,755 | 42,062 |

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Unless this Form 10-Q indicates otherwise or the context otherwise requires, the terms "we," "our," "us," or "Performance Food Group" as used in this Form 10-Q refer to Performance Food Group Company and its subsidiaries. References in this Form 10-Q to the 2003 and 2002 quarters and periods refer to our fiscal three-month and nine-month periods ended September 27, 2003 and September 28, 2002, respectively, unless otherwise expressly stated or the context otherwise requires. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

Introduction

Performance Food Group was founded in 1987 as a result of the combination of various foodservice businesses, and has grown both internally through increased sales to existing and new customers and through acquisitions of existing businesses. We market and distribute over 61,000 national and proprietary brand food and non-food products to over 46,000 customers. Our extensive product line and distribution system allow us to service both of the major customer types in the foodservice industry: "street" foodservice customers, which include independent restaurants, hotels, cafeterias, schools, healthcare facilities and other institutional customers; and multi-unit, or "chain," customers, which include regional and national family and casual-dining and quick-service restaurants. In addition, we are a major processor of fresh-cut produce that we market and distribute to retail and foodservice customers. The principal components of our expenses include cost of goods sold and operating expenses. Cost of goods sold includes amounts paid to manufacturers and growers for products sold, plus the cost of transportation necessary to bring the products to our distribution facilities. Cost of goods sold also includes direct processing costs and an allocation of indirect costs related to our Fresh-cut segment's fresh-cut produce processing operations. Operating expenses include warehouse, delivery, selling and administrative expenses, which include occupancy, insurance, depreciation, amortization, salaries and wages and employee benefits expenses that are not directly or indirectly related to or allocated to our fresh-cut produce processing operations.

We have three operating segments: broadline foodservice distribution; customized foodservice distribution; and fresh-cut produce processing. Broadline markets and distributes more than 58,000 national and proprietary brand food and non-food products to a total of approximately 46,000 customers, including street customers and certain corporate-owned and franchisee locations of chains. Broadline consists of 19 distribution facilities that independently design their own product mix, distribution routes and delivery schedules to accommodate the needs of a large number of customers whose individual purchases vary in size. In addition, Broadline operates three locations that provide merchandising services to independent foodservice distributors. Customized focuses on serving family and casual-dining chain restaurants. These customers generally prefer centralized coordination, purchasing and information services that facilitate item and menu changes. The Customized distribution network distributes nationwide and internationally from seven distribution facilities. Fresh-cut purchases, processes, packages and distributes a variety of packaged, ready-to-eat salads and other fresh-cut produce nationwide from eight processing/distribution facilities located throughout the United States. Fresh-cut also distributes fresh-cut produce nationwide to food retailers, foodservice distributors, operators and quick-service restaurants, and to third-party distributors for resale mainly to quick-service restaurants located throughout the United States.

According to data compiled by a market research firm, consumer purchases of "food-away-from-home" in the United States currently represent approximately 50% of consumer spending on food purchases and are predicted to represent 51% of total U.S. projected consumer food and beverage spending by 2010, surpassing retail in its share of the consumer food dollar. We believe the trends that are fueling the demand for "food-away-from-home" include the aging "baby boomer" generation. According to industry research, older adults on average spend significantly more dollars on food away from home than younger adults do. Industry research shows that rising incomes and the increase

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO - Form 10-Q

in the number of women working also contribute to the growth in "food-away-from-home." In addition, our Fresh Express® branded products that are sold by retailers are targeting ready-to-eat convenience foods, which we believe is one of the fastest-growing categories for retailers. We believe that, over the last several years, we have experienced significantly greater growth rates than the U.S. foodservice industry as a whole, both through internal growth and through an active acquisition program. Our strategy is to continue to grow our foodservice business through both internal growth and acquisitions, and to improve our operating profit margin. Over the past decade, we have supplemented our internal growth through selective, strategic acquisitions. We believe that the consolidation trends in the foodservice distribution industry will continue to present acquisition opportunities for us.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the components of our condensed consolidated statements of earnings expressed as a percentage of net sales:

| | <u>2003 Quarter</u> | <u>2002 Quarter</u> | <u>2003 Period</u> | <u>2002 Period</u> |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Net sales | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % |
| Cost of goods sold | <u>84.5</u> | <u>83.9</u> | <u>84.4</u> | <u>83.8</u> |
| Gross profit | 15.5 | 16.1 | 15.6 | 16.2 |
| Operating expenses | <u>12.6</u> | <u>13.5</u> | <u>12.8</u> | <u>13.4</u> |
| Operating profit | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Other expense, net | <u>0.4</u> | <u>0.4</u> | <u>0.3</u> | <u>0.4</u> |
| Earnings before income taxes | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Income tax expense | <u>0.9</u> | <u>0.8</u> | <u>1.0</u> | <u>0.9</u> |
| Net earnings | 1.6 % | 1.4 % | 1.5 % | 1.5 % |

Comparison of the 2003 and 2002 Periods and Quarters

Net sales. Net sales increased \$218.5 million, or 18.7%, to \$1.39 billion in the 2003 quarter from net sales of \$1.17 billion in the 2002 quarter. Net sales increased \$789.5 million, or 24.4%, to \$4.02 billion in the 2003 period from net sales of \$3.23 billion in the 2002 period. Net sales in our existing operations for the 2003 quarter comprised 16.6% and 14.0% of our total sales growth for the 2003 quarter and period, respectively, while acquisitions contributed the remaining 2.1% and 10.4% of our total sales growth for the 2003 quarter and period, respectively. Net sales in existing operations exclude the net sales of an acquired business for the first 12 months following the acquisition date of that business. We estimate food price inflation was approximately 2.5% and 1.0% for the 2003 quarter and period, respectively.

Broadline net sales increased \$89.5 million, or 14.5%, to \$707.6 million in the 2003 quarter from \$618.2 million in the 2002 quarter. Net sales in the 2003 period increased \$468.8 million, or 29.9%, to \$2.04 billion, from \$1.57 billion in the 2002 period. Net sales in our existing Broadline operations for the 2003 quarter and period comprised 10.6% and 8.5%, respectively, of total Broadline sales growth. Broadline sales growth in our existing operations in the 2003 quarter and period was driven by increased sales to existing and new customers as a result of pursuing our strategy of increasing sales to independent restaurants and generating incremental growth from existing customers and markets. Acquisitions contributed the remaining 3.9% and 21.4% of total Broadline sales growth for the 2003 quarter and period, respectively. Broadline acquisition sales growth was the result of our acquisition of Quality Foods, Inc. in the second quarter of 2002 and the acquisitions of Middendorf Meat Company and Thoms-Proestler Company and TPC Logistics, Inc., collectively TPC, in the third quarter of 2002, discussed in "Business Combinations." Our Broadline

segment net sales represented 50.9% and 50.6% of our consolidated net sales in the 2003 quarter and period, respectively, compared to 52.8% and 48.5% of our consolidated net sales in the 2002 quarter and period, respectively. The decrease as a percentage of our consolidated net sales for the 2003 quarter is due primarily to increased sales in our Customized segment, discussed below. The increase as a percentage of our consolidated net sales for the 2003 period is due primarily to our 2002 acquisitions of Quality Foods, Middendorf Meat and TPC. We estimate that our Broadline segment experienced food price inflation of approximately 3.9% in the 2003 quarter and 2.0% in the 2003 period. Our Broadline segment expects to stop servicing certain Wendy's quick-service restaurant locations in the first quarter of fiscal 2004, a portion of which we expect to replace with new business. The estimated annual sales to these Wendy's locations totals approximately \$120 million.

Customized net sales increased \$103.9 million, or 29.9%, to \$450.9 million in the 2003 quarter from \$347.0 million in the 2002 quarter. Net sales increased \$267.8 million, or 25.8%, to \$1.31 billion in the 2003 period from \$1.04 billion in the 2002 period. The increase in net sales is primarily due to sales to approximately 200 additional Ruby Tuesday casual-dining chain restaurants beginning in the third quarter of 2002, sales to approximately 265 additional T.G.I. Friday's casual-dining restaurants beginning in the fourth quarter of 2002, sales to approximately 70 Mimi's Café casual-dining restaurants beginning in the first quarter of 2003, sales to approximately 100 additional Ruby Tuesday locations beginning in the second quarter of 2003, and the continued growth in sales to existing customers. Customized net sales represented 32.5% of our consolidated net sales in both the 2003 quarter and period, up from 29.7% and 32.1% of our consolidated net sales in the 2002 quarter and period, respectively. The increase as a percentage of our consolidated net sales is due primarily to sales to new customers. We estimate that our Customized segment experienced approximately 1% food price inflation in the 2003 quarter and nominal food price deflation in the 2003 period.

Fresh-cut net sales increased \$26.1 million, or 12.6%, to \$234.2 million in the 2003 quarter from \$208.1 million in the 2002 quarter. Net sales increased 9.0%, or \$57.0 million, to \$692.7 million in the 2003 period from \$635.6 million in the 2002 period. This increase in net sales is due primarily to increased sales of "Tender Leaf" premium salad products to quick-service restaurants and retail customers. Our Tender Leaf salad products include Baby Spinach, Spring Mix Blends, Blends Plus and foodservice premium salad products. Fresh-cut net sales represented 16.6% and 16.9% of our consolidated net sales in the 2003 quarter and period, respectively, down from 17.5% and 19.4% of our consolidated net sales in the 2002 quarter and period, respectively. The decrease as a percentage of our consolidated net sales is due primarily to increased sales in our Customized segment in the 2003 quarter, combined with additional sales from our 2002 Broadline segment acquisitions. We estimate that our Fresh-cut segment experienced approximately 2% food price inflation in the 2003 quarter and nominal food price inflation in the 2003 period.

Cost of goods sold.

Cost of goods sold increased \$191.8 million, or 19.5%, to \$1.17 billion in the 2003 quarter from \$981.1 million in the 2002 quarter. Cost of goods sold in the 2003 period increased \$683.9 million, or 25.2%, to \$3.39 billion from \$2.71 billion in the 2002 period. As a percentage of net sales, cost of goods sold increased to 84.5% and 84.4% in the 2003 quarter and period, respectively, from 83.9% and 83.8% in the 2002 quarter and period, respectively. The increase in cost of goods sold as a percentage of net sales is due to increased production costs in our Fresh-cut segment related to the growth of our Tender Leaf premium salad products in the 2003 period and increased lettuce costs in the second quarter of 2003. The growth in sales of our Tender Leaf salad products, discussed in "Net Sales," created inefficiencies in our processing and warehousing activities due to the slower speeds of the Tender Leaf processing equipment and the related physical capacity consumed by these processing lines. We are making improvements to a number of our existing production lines and will be installing two new Tender Leaf production lines to increase production capacity throughout the first and second quarters of 2004. In the second quarter of 2003, the cost of lettuce was affected by industry-wide increased demand from quick-service restaurants for new premium salad products supplied by our Fresh-cut segment. This level of demand was not anticipated when growers made their planting

decisions, which resulted in a significant increase in market prices for lettuce. While we routinely contract for the vast majority of our lettuce needs, the success of these products meant that we had to make additional market purchases at higher prices to satisfy increased customer demand. We recouped some of these increased costs in the 2003 quarter. Cost of goods sold in the 2003 quarter and period included costs related to our Fresh-cut segment's retail consumer test of our fresh-cut fruit product line, as discussed in "Operating profit." These fruit test costs were minimal in the 2002 quarter and period. Furthermore, the 2002 quarter and period included \$3.3 million of expenses attributable to damaged inventory as a result of an ammonia leak in July 2002 at our Springfield, Massachusetts Broadline facility. For further discussion of the ammonia leak, refer to our 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Gross profit.

Gross profit increased \$26.7 million, or 14.1%, to \$215.4 million in the 2003 quarter from \$188.7 million in the 2002 quarter. Gross profit in the 2003 period increased \$105.6 million, or 20.1%, to \$629.7 million from \$524.1 million in the 2002 period. The increase in gross profit in the 2003 quarter and period was primarily due to additional sales in our Broadline and Customized segments, as discussed in "Net Sales." Gross profit margin, which we define as gross profit as a percentage of net sales, decreased to 15.5% and 15.6% in the 2003 quarter and period, respectively, from 16.1% and 16.2% in the 2002 quarter and period, respectively. The decrease in gross profit margin in the 2003 quarter and period was due primarily to increased production costs for Tender Leaf products, the impact of lettuce costs and costs related to our retail consumer test of fresh-cut fruit in our Fresh-cut segment, as discussed in "Cost of goods sold."

Operating expenses.

Operating expenses increased \$17.3 million, or 11.0%, to \$175.6 million in the 2003 quarter, compared to \$158.2 million in the 2002 quarter. Operating expenses increased \$83.8 million, or 19.4%, to \$515.8 million in the 2003 period, compared to \$432.0 million in the 2002 period. As a percentage of net sales, operating expenses were 12.6% and 12.8% in the 2003 quarter and period, respectively, compared to 13.5% and 13.4% in the 2002 quarter and period, respectively. The decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net sales was driven primarily by improved efficiencies in our Broadline segment and reduced overhead costs in our Fresh-cut segment. In the 2002 quarter and period, operating expenses included \$1.5 million of costs directly attributable to the ammonia leak at our Springfield, Massachusetts Broadline facility.

Operating profit. Operating profit increased \$9.3 million, or 30.6%, to \$39.8 million in the 2003 quarter from \$30.5 million in the 2002 quarter. Operating profit increased \$21.8 million, or 23.7%, to \$114.0 million in the 2003 period from \$92.2 million in the 2002 period. Operating profit margin, which we define as operating profit as a percentage of net sales, increased to 2.9% in the 2003 quarter from 2.6% in the 2002 quarter and was 2.8% in both the 2003 and 2002 periods.

Operating profit margin in our Broadline segment increased to 2.8% in the 2003 quarter from 1.8% in the 2002 quarter, and increased to 2.6% in the 2003 period from 2.2% in the 2002 period. In the 2002 quarter and period, our Broadline segment operating profit margin was adversely affected by \$4.8 million of costs attributable to the ammonia leak at our Springfield facility, discussed in "Cost of goods sold" and "Operating expenses."

Operating profit margin in our Customized segment was 1.3% in both the 2003 and 2002 quarters and increased to 1.3% in the 2003 period from 1.2% in the 2002 period. This improvement in operating profit margin resulted primarily from changes in pricing and improved operating efficiencies. Subsequent to the end of the 2003 quarter, our Customized distribution facility in Elkton, Maryland has been affected by a work stoppage by certain of its drivers. Currently, approximately 42% of the 90 drivers who are represented by the union have returned to work. In our fiscal 2003 fourth quarter, we will incur incremental costs to engage replacement drivers to maintain the service level to our customers and to provide additional security at the facility.

Operating profit margin in our Fresh-cut segment decreased to 7.7% in the 2003 quarter from 8.8% in the 2002 quarter, and decreased to 8.3% in the 2003 period from 8.7% in the 2002 period. The decrease in operating profit margin in the 2003 quarter and period is due mainly to increased production costs for Tender Leaf premium salad products, the increase in lettuce costs as a result of increased demand from quick-service restaurants for new premium salad products in the second quarter of 2003 and costs related to our retail consumer test of fresh-cut fruit, partially offset by reduced operating expenses due to improved efficiencies, all discussed in "Cost of goods sold" and "Operating expenses." As discussed in "Cost of goods sold," we are working to increase our production capacity for Tender Leaf premium salad products in the remainder of 2003 and the first half of 2004. In addition, as discussed in "Cost of goods sold," we recouped some of the increased lettuce costs created by increased demand for premium salad products at quick-service restaurants in the 2003 quarter. Finally, we had net costs of \$2.7 million and \$9.3 million in the 2003 quarter and period, respectively, related to our retail consumer test of fresh-cut fruit. We expect the impact of these start-up costs to continue to decline in the fourth quarter of 2003 as we introduce this fresh-cut fruit into more retail locations. In addition, we added fruit processing capacity to our Midwest operations in the 2003 quarter.

Other expense, net.

Other expense, net, increased to \$5.0 million in the 2003 quarter from \$4.9 million in the 2002 quarter, and to \$14.0 million in the 2003 period from \$13.7 million in the 2002 period. Interest expense was \$4.7 million in the 2003 quarter, compared to \$4.6 million in the 2002 quarter, and \$14.5 million in the 2003 period, compared to \$13.4 million in the 2002 period. Interest expense was higher in the 2003 quarter and period because of higher average borrowing levels as a result of our acquisitions in 2002, partially offset by lower interest rates. The loss on the sale of the undivided interest in receivables increased to \$478,000 in the 2003 quarter from \$444,000 in the 2002 quarter, and decreased to \$1.2 million in the 2003 period from \$1.4 million in the 2002 period. The increase in the loss for the 2003 quarter was due to higher levels of accounts receivable securitized than in the 2002 quarter. The decrease in this loss for the 2003 period was due to lower financing costs in the 2003 period. The loss on the sale of the undivided interest in receivables is related to the receivables purchase facility, referred to as the Receivables Facility, discussed in "Liquidity and Capital Resources." Other, net, in the 2003 period also included a gain of \$956,000 on the sale of our investment in a fresh-cut produce facility sold in the first quarter of 2003.

Income tax expense.

Income tax expense increased to \$13.2 million in the 2003 quarter from \$9.6 million in the 2002 quarter, and to \$38.0 million in the 2003 period from \$29.4 million in the 2002 period. As a percentage of earnings before income taxes, the provision for income taxes was 38.0% for the 2003 quarter and period and 37.5% for the 2002 quarter and period. The increase in the effective tax rate in 2003 is the result of increased state income taxes due to acquisitions completed in previous years.

Net earnings. Net earnings increased \$5.6 million, or 35.1%, to \$21.6 million in the 2003 quarter, compared to \$16.0 million in the 2002 quarter. In the 2003 period, net earnings increased \$12.9 million, or 26.4%, to \$62.0 million from \$49.0 million in the 2002 period. As a percentage of net sales, net earnings increased to 1.6% in the 2003 quarter from 1.4% in the 2002 quarter, and were 1.5% in both the 2003 and 2002 periods.

Diluted net earnings per common share.

Diluted net earnings per common share, or EPS, is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders plus dilutive after-tax interest on the 5 $\frac{12}{100}$ convertible subordinated notes due in 2008, the Convertible Notes, by the weighted-average number of common shares and dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS increased 29.4% to \$0.44 in the 2003 quarter, compared to \$0.34 in the 2002 quarter. In the 2003 period, diluted EPS increased 21.9% to \$1.28 from \$1.05 in the 2002 period. After-tax

interest expense of \$1.9 million and \$5.6 million for the 2003 and 2002 quarters and periods, respectively, and common share equivalents of 6.1 million related to the Convertible Notes are included in the computation of diluted EPS in the 2003 and 2002 quarters and periods, because of the Convertible Notes' dilutive effect on EPS.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have historically financed our operations and growth primarily with cash flows from operations, borrowings under our credit facilities, the issuance of long-term debt, operating leases, normal trade credit terms and the sale of our common stock. Despite our growth in net sales, we have reduced our working capital needs by financing our investment in inventory principally with accounts payable and outstanding checks in excess of deposits. We typically fund our acquisitions and expect to fund future acquisitions with our existing cash, additional borrowings under our credit facility and the issuance of debt or equity securities.

Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$36.1 million at September 27, 2003, an increase of \$2.4 million from December 28, 2002. The increase was comprised of cash provided by operating activities of \$140.7 million, partially offset by net cash used in investing activities of \$75.8 million and net cash used in financing activities of \$62.5 million.

Cash flows from operating activities.

Cash provided by operating activities was \$140.7 million in the 2003 period. In the 2003 period, the primary sources of cash from operating activities were net earnings and increased levels of trade accounts payable and accrued expenses and decreased levels of deferred income taxes, partially offset by increased levels of inventories and prepaid expenses. The change in operating assets and liabilities, net, includes proceeds received of \$32.0 million in the 2003 quarter from the sale of an additional undivided interest in our Receivables Facility, discussed in "Off Balance Sheet Financing Activities." Cash provided by operating activities was \$107.1 million in the 2002 period. In the 2002 period, the primary sources of cash from operations were net earnings and increased levels of income taxes payable, trade payables, accrued expenses and decreased levels of other current assets, partially offset by increased levels of trade receivables, deferred tax assets and prepaid expenses.

Cash used in investing activities.

Cash used in investing activities was \$75.8 million in the 2003 period. Capital expenditures were \$69.5 million in the 2003 period. Capital expenditures in the 2003 period included the purchase of two distribution centers from our master operating lease facilities totaling \$15.3 million, discussed in "Off Balance Sheet Financing Activities." Capital expenditures in the 2003 period also included the purchase of additional fresh-cut processing equipment and an expansion of our Customized facility in Maryland. We anticipate that total capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions, for fiscal 2003 will be between \$95 million and \$105 million. Net cash paid for acquisitions in the 2003 period included \$11.0 million paid to the former shareholders of Fresh International Corp. and its subsidiaries, collectively "Fresh Express," related to certain contractual obligations in the purchase agreement for Fresh Express, which we acquired in 2001. Also in the 2003 period, net cash paid for acquisitions included \$1.9 million related to contractual obligations in the purchase agreements for companies acquired in 2001 and 2000. In the 2003 period, net cash paid for acquisitions also included \$2.2 million received related to the closing net worth adjustment and certain related claims in connection with our 2002 acquisition of Middendorf Meat. In the 2003 period, we received proceeds of \$4.5 million from the sale of our investment in a fresh-cut produce facility and recorded a gain on the sale of \$956,000. Cash used in investing activities in the 2002 period was \$241.7 million. Capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions of other businesses, for the 2002 period were \$42.6 million. Cash used in investing activities in the 2002 period also included \$199.5 million of cash paid for acquisitions, consisting of \$84.1 million, \$22.2 million and \$90.3 million paid for the acquisitions of TPC, Middendorf Meat and Quality Foods, respectively, net of cash acquired, and \$2.9 million paid to the former shareholders of Carroll County Foods, Inc. and AFFLINK Incorporated (formerly Affiliated Paper Companies, Inc.), which were acquired in 2000 and 1998, respectively, as a result of certain contractual obligations under the purchase agreements relating to those acquisitions.

Cash used in financing activities. Cash used in financing activities was \$62.5 million in the 2003 period, consisting primarily of net payments of \$65.5 million on our revolving credit facility and a decrease in outstanding checks in excess of deposits of \$4.8 million. We paid \$732,000 in the 2003 period for debt issuance costs related to the revolving credit facility, discussed in "Financing Activities." In the 2003 period, cash flows from financing activities consisted of \$10.7 million of proceeds from the exercise of stock options and purchases under our employee stock purchase plan. Cash provided by financing activities was \$97.0 million in the 2002 period. Cash flows from financing activities included net borrowings of \$97.0 million on our revolving credit facility and proceeds of \$7.4 million from the exercise of stock options and purchases under our employee stock purchase plan. Cash used in financing activities in the 2002 period included a decrease in outstanding checks in excess of deposits of \$5.4 million.

Financing Activities

In April 2003, we amended and restated our credit facility, referred to as the Credit Facility, which, among other things, increased the facility to \$350.0 million from \$200.0 million. The Credit Facility expires in 2006 and bears interest at a floating rate equal to, at our election, the agent bank's prime rate or a spread over LIBOR, which varies based upon our leverage ratio, as defined in the credit agreement. The Credit Facility has an annual commitment fee, ranging from 0.20% to 0.25% of the average daily unused portion of the total facility, based on our leverage ratio, as defined in the credit agreement. The Credit Facility also requires the maintenance of certain financial ratios, as defined in the credit agreement, and contains customary events of default. Under the Credit Facility, our subsidiaries are no longer required to guarantee borrowings, letters of credit or any other obligations, as had previously been required. The Credit Facility allows for the issuance of up to \$90.0 million of standby letters of credit, which reduce borrowings available under the Credit Facility. At September 27, 2003, we had \$32.5 million of borrowings outstanding, \$41.7 million of letters of credit outstanding and \$275.8 million available under the Credit Facility, subject to compliance with customary borrowing conditions. At September 27, 2003, our borrowings under the Credit Facility bore interest at a rate of 1.62% per annum. Interest is payable monthly.

We believe that our cash flows from operations, borrowings under our credit facilities and the sale of the undivided interest in receivables under the Receivables Facility, discussed below, will be sufficient to fund our operations and capital expenditures for the foreseeable future. However, we will likely require additional sources of financing to the extent that we make acquisitions in the future.

Off Balance Sheet Financing Activities

We have a Receivables Facility, which is generally described as off balance sheet financing. The Receivables Facility represents off balance sheet financing because the transaction and the financial institution's ownership interest in certain of our accounts receivable results in assets being removed from our consolidated balance sheet to the extent that the transaction qualifies for sale treatment under generally accepted accounting principles. This treatment requires us to account for the transaction with the financial institution as a sale of the undivided interest in the accounts receivable instead of reflecting the financial institution's net investment of \$110.0 million as debt.

In July 2001, we entered into the Receivables Facility, under which PFG Receivables Corporation, a wholly owned, special-purpose subsidiary, sold an undivided interest in certain of our trade receivables. PFG Receivables Corporation was formed for the sole purpose of buying receivables generated by certain of our operating units and selling an undivided interest in those receivables to a financial institution. Under the Receivables Facility, certain of our operating units sell a portion of their accounts receivable to PFG Receivables Corporation, which in turn, subject to certain conditions, may from time to time sell an undivided interest in these receivables to the financial institution. Our operating units continue to service the receivables on behalf of the financial institution at estimated market rates. Accordingly, we have not recognized a servicing asset or liability.

We received \$78.0 million of proceeds from the sale of the undivided interest in receivables under the Receivables Facility in 2001, and we continue to securitize our accounts receivable. Under the original terms of the Receivables Facility, the amount of the undivided interest in the receivables owned by the financial institution could not exceed \$90.0 million at any one time. On June 30, 2003, we extended the term of the Receivables Facility through June 28, 2004, and increased the amount of the undivided interest in the receivables that can be owned by the financial institution to \$165.0 million. On July 24, 2003, we sold an incremental undivided interest in receivables under the Receivables Facility and received an additional \$32.0 million in proceeds. We used these proceeds to repay borrowings under our Credit Facility and to fund working capital needs.

At September 27, 2003, securitized accounts receivable totaled \$175.9 million, including \$110.0 million sold to the financial institution and derecognized from the consolidated balance sheet and including our residual interest in accounts receivable of \$65.9 million. The Residual Interest represents our retained interest in receivables held by PFG Receivables Corporation and is included in accounts receivable on our consolidated balance sheet. We measure the Residual Interest using the estimated discounted cash flows of the underlying accounts receivable, based on estimated collections and a discount rate approximately equivalent to our incremental borrowing rate. The loss on sale of the undivided interest in receivables of \$478,000 and \$444,000 in the 2003 and 2002 quarters, respectively, and \$1.2 million and \$1.4 million in the 2003 and 2002 periods, respectively, is included in other expense, net, in our consolidated statements of earnings and represents our cost of securitizing those receivables with the financial institution.

We record the sale of the undivided interest in accounts receivable to the financial institution according to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*. Accordingly, at the time the undivided interest in receivables is sold, the receivables are removed from our consolidated balance sheet. We record a loss on the sale of the undivided interest in these receivables, which includes a discount, based upon the receivables' credit quality and a financing cost for the financial institution, based upon a 30-day commercial paper rate. At September 27, 2003, the rate under the Receivables Facility was 1.58% per annum.

In June 2003, we terminated our two master operating lease facilities. In June 2003, the lessor sold two of the three distribution centers included in the first master operating lease facility (the "First Facility") to third parties unaffiliated with us. We concurrently entered into operating leases with those unaffiliated third parties for these distribution centers. The operating leases have initial terms of 22 years, plus five renewal options of five years each. In addition, we purchased the remaining distribution center in the First Facility from the lessor for \$10.4 million. This distribution center is recorded on our consolidated balance sheet at September 27, 2003, in our Customized segment.

In June 2003, the lessor sold one of the distribution centers and the office building included in our other master operating lease facility (the "Second Facility") to third parties unaffiliated with us. We also sold land and a building under construction to a third party unaffiliated with us. We concurrently entered into operating leases with those unaffiliated third parties for all of these properties. The operating leases have initial terms of 22 years, plus five renewal options of five years each. In addition, we purchased the remaining distribution center in the Second Facility from the lessor for \$4.9 million. This distribution center is recorded on our consolidated balance sheet at September 27, 2003, in our Broadline segment.

As a result of our termination of the two master operating lease facilities, our four new operating leases and our purchase of two distribution centers previously included in these facilities, our future minimum lease payments increased by \$79.5 million, net. As of September 27, 2003, these remaining payments totaled \$79.3 million, net: \$195,000 in the remainder of 2003; \$1.4 million in 2004; \$2.9 million in 2005; \$3.8 million in each of 2006, 2007 and 2008; and \$63.4 million thereafter. The four new operating leases discussed above do not contain residual value guarantees.

Contractual Obligations

At September 27, 2003, our Fresh-cut segment had contracts to purchase produce totaling \$67.2 million, of which \$33.3 million expire in 2003 and \$33.9 million expire in 2004. Amounts due under these contracts are not included on our consolidated balance sheets, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

In connection with certain acquisitions, we have entered into earnout agreements with certain of the former owners of the businesses that we have acquired. These agreements are based upon certain net earnings and other targets, as defined in each agreement, and discussed in more detail below in "Business Combinations." These earnout payments are for companies acquired from 2000 through 2002, and may include payments in cash and/or shares of our common stock. As of September 27, 2003, the maximum potential earnout obligation, assuming all future earnout targets are met in their earliest possible years, totaled \$38.3 million: \$3.3 million in the remainder of 2003; \$21.2 million in 2004; \$12.2 million in 2005; and \$1.6 million in 2006. These contingent payments are not recorded on our consolidated balance sheets in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. If paid, these earnout payments would increase the goodwill of the companies acquired. If the future earnout targets are not met, these maximum amounts will be lower, or we may not be required to make any payments.

We have entered into numerous operating leases, including leases for buildings, equipment, tractors and trailers. In certain of these leases, we have provided residual value guarantees to the lessors. As of September 27, 2003, the undiscounted maximum amount of potential future payments under these guarantees is approximately \$5.9 million, which would be mitigated by the fair value of the leased assets at lease expiration. These leases have expiration dates ranging from 2003 to 2010.

Business Combinations

In the 2003 period, we paid \$11.0 million to the former shareholders of Fresh Express. These payments were related to certain contractual obligations in the purchase agreement for Fresh Express, which we acquired in 2001. Also in the 2003 period, we paid \$1.9 million and issued approximately 19,000 shares of our common stock, valued at \$625,000, related to contractual obligations in the purchase agreements for companies acquired in 2001 and 2000. We recorded these payments to Fresh Express and other companies as additional purchase price, with corresponding increases in goodwill.

In connection with the acquisition of Fresh Express, we adopted a plan for integration of the business. We initially established an accrual of \$8.9 million to provide for certain costs of this plan. This accrual was recorded as a part of the initial allocation of the purchase price of Fresh Express. The plan was to combine our Franklin Park, Illinois, and our Chicago, Illinois, processing plants into one facility, convert our Greencastle, Pennsylvania, and our Chicago facilities into distribution centers, dispose of certain processing equipment at the Chicago and Greencastle plants and eliminate approximately 500 processing-related jobs at the affected facilities. In the first quarter of 2003, we converted the Greencastle facility into a distribution center and eliminated approximately 300 processing-related jobs at that facility. In the second quarter of 2003, we decided not to convert the Chicago facility into a distribution center and will continue to use it for processing operations. As a result, in the second quarter of 2003, we reduced our accrual for the integration plan by \$2.2 million, with a corresponding decrease in Fresh Express' goodwill. In the third quarter of 2003, we completed the integration plan. Through September 27, 2003, \$2.6 million of employee separation costs and \$1.4 million of professional fees, lease and related costs and dismantling costs have been charged against the accrual.

In the 2002 period, in addition to the acquisitions of Quality Foods completed in the second quarter of 2002, and TPC and Middendorf Meat completed in the third quarter of 2002, discussed below, we paid a total of \$2.9 million in cash and issued approximately 15,000 shares of our common stock, valued at approximately \$500,000, to the former shareholders of Carroll County Foods and AFFLINK Incorporated, which we acquired in 2000 and 1998, respectively, as a result of certain contractual obligations in the purchase agreements related to those acquisitions. We recorded these payments to Carroll County Foods and AFFLINK as additional purchase price, with corresponding increases in goodwill.

In October 2002, a wholly owned subsidiary of our Pocahontas Foods USA subsidiary acquired all of the assets of All

Kitchens, Inc., a privately owned procurement and merchandising firm based in Boise, Idaho. All Kitchens provides procurement and merchandising services to its distributors. We believe that this acquisition increases our services to existing Pocahontas and All Kitchens' distributors and expands our geographic base of independent foodservice distributors. In connection with the acquisition of All Kitchens, we will be required to pay the prior owner of the acquired assets up to \$3.0 million in cash, if certain affiliate distributor targets are achieved through September 2005. We will record any earnout payments as additional purchase price, resulting in a corresponding increase in goodwill.

In July 2002, we acquired all of the outstanding common stock of TPC, a privately owned, broadline foodservice distributor based in Rock Island, Illinois. TPC services customers located throughout the states of Illinois, Indiana, Iowa and Wisconsin, including the major metropolitan area of Chicago. We believe that our acquisition of TPC extends our Broadline service area in the Midwest region. In connection with the acquisition of TPC, we entered into an earnout agreement whereby we will be required to pay the former shareholders of TPC up to \$7.0 million, consisting of cash and additional common shares, if TPC achieves certain targeted levels of growth in sales and operating profit margin, as defined, through July 2004. We will record any earnout payments as additional purchase price, resulting in a corresponding increase in goodwill.

In July 2002, we also acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Middendorf Meat, a privately owned, broadline foodservice distributor based in St. Louis, Missouri, through the merger of Middendorf Meat with a wholly owned subsidiary of ours. Middendorf Meat distributes custom-cut steaks and other foodservice items to independent restaurants, private clubs, hotels and other foodservice establishments in St. Louis and surrounding areas. We believe that our acquisition of Middendorf Meat extends our service area to a region that is geographically contiguous to our other Broadline businesses. In connection with the acquisition of Middendorf Meat, we entered into an earnout agreement whereby we will be required to pay the former shareholders of Middendorf Meat up to \$5.0 million, consisting of cash and additional common shares, if Middendorf Meat achieves certain targeted levels of growth in operating profit, as defined, over a period of up to six years following the acquisition. We will record any earnout payments as additional purchase price, resulting in a corresponding increase in goodwill. In the 2003 period, we finalized the purchase price of Middendorf Meat, resulting in the return of \$2.2 million in cash and \$1.4 million in our common stock from the former owners of Middendorf Meat, related to the closing net worth adjustment and certain related claims. We recorded this adjustment to the purchase price as a reduction of goodwill.

In May 2002, we acquired all of the outstanding stock of Quality Foods, a privately owned, broadline foodservice distributor based in Little Rock, Arkansas, with distribution centers in Little Rock and Batesville and Magee, Mississippi. Quality Foods provides products and services to traditional foodservice accounts in a region covering Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Oklahoma, Tennessee and Texas. We believe that our acquisition of Quality Foods extends our service area to a region that is geographically contiguous to our other Broadline businesses. We paid \$90.3 million, net of cash acquired, for Quality Foods. In connection with the acquisition of Quality Foods, we entered into an earnout agreement whereby we will be required to pay the former shareholders of Quality Foods up to \$24.0 million in cash if Quality Foods achieves certain targeted levels of growth in operating profit, as defined, over a three-year period following the acquisition. We will record any earnout payments as additional purchase price, resulting in a corresponding increase in goodwill.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a consistent basis. The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. We continually evaluate the accounting policies and estimates we use to prepare our financial statements. Management's estimates are generally based upon historical experience and various other assumptions that we determine to be reasonable in light of the relevant facts and circumstances. Because of the uncertainty inherent in such estimates, actual results may differ. We believe that our critical accounting policies

and estimates include accounting for business combinations, off balance sheet financing activities, allowance for doubtful accounts, incurred but not reported insurance claims, reserve for inventories, sales incentives and vendor rebates and other promotional incentives.

Accounting for Business Combinations

. Our goodwill and other intangible assets include the cost of acquired subsidiaries in excess of the fair value of the tangible net assets recorded in connection with acquisitions. Other intangible assets include trade names, trademarks, patents, non-compete agreements and customer relationships. We use estimates and assumptions in determining the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, assigning lives to acquired intangibles and evaluating those assets for impairment after acquisition. These estimates and assumptions include indicators that would trigger an impairment of assets and whether those indicators are temporary and economic or competitive factors that affect valuation, discount rates and cash flow estimates. When the carrying value of such assets are not expected to be recoverable or the estimated lives assigned to such assets are determined to be improper, we must reduce the carrying value of the assets to the net realizable value or adjust the amortization period of the asset, recording any adjustment in our consolidated statements of earnings. As of September 27, 2003, our unamortized goodwill was \$582.4 million and other intangible assets totaled \$199.2 million, net.

Accounting for Off Balance Sheet Financing Activities

. We have a source of funding that is generally described as an off balance sheet financing activity - the Receivables Facility, described in "Off Balance Sheet Financing Activities." The sale of the undivided interest in our accounts receivable qualifies for sale treatment under generally accepted accounting principles; therefore, these receivables have been removed from our consolidated balance sheets. We measure the Residual Interest in the undivided interest in receivables sold under our Receivables Facility using the estimated discounted cash flows of the underlying accounts receivable, based on estimated collections and a discount rate approximately equivalent to our incremental borrowing rate. Significant changes in our estimates and assumptions could result in a change in earnings. See Note 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the Receivables Facility.

Allowance For Doubtful Accounts

. We evaluate the collectibility of our accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. We regularly analyze our significant customer accounts, and when we become aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations to us, such as in the case of bankruptcy filings or deterioration in the customer's operating results or financial position, we record a specific reserve for bad debt to reduce the related receivable to the amount we reasonably believe is collectible. We also record reserves for bad debt for all other customers based on a variety of factors, including the length of time the receivables are past due, the financial health of the customer, macroeconomic considerations and historical experience. If circumstances related to specific customers change, our estimates of the recoverability of receivables could be further adjusted. In the 2003 and 2002 periods, we wrote off uncollectible accounts receivable of \$3.8 and \$4.5 million, respectively, against the allowance for doubtful accounts. In both the 2003 and 2002 periods, we charged estimates of \$2.4 million to operating expenses to increase our allowance for doubtful accounts.

Incurred But Not Reported Insurance Claims

. We maintain a self-insurance program covering portions of our general liability, vehicle liability and damage, workers' compensation and group medical insurance. The amounts in excess of the self-insured levels are fully insured, subject to certain limitations and exclusions. We accrue an estimated liability for these self-insured programs, including an estimate for incurred but not reported claims based on known claims and past claims history. These accruals are included in other current liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The provisions for insurance claims include estimates of the frequency and timing of claim occurrences, as well as the ultimate amounts to be paid. These estimates could be significantly affected if paid claims differ from past claims history.

Reserve for Inventories.

We maintain reserves for slow-moving and obsolete inventories. These reserves are based upon inventory category, age of inventory, specifically identified items and overall economic conditions. A sudden and unexpected change in consumer preferences could result in a significant change in the reserve balance and a corresponding charge to earnings.

Sales Incentives.

We, primarily through our Fresh-cut segment, offer sales incentives and promotions to our customers (resellers) and to consumers. These incentives primarily include volume and growth rebates, exclusivity and placement fees (fees paid to retailers for product display), consumer

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP CO - Form 10-Q

coupons and promotional discounts. We follow the requirements of Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, No. 01-9, *Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)*. Consideration given to customers and consumers related to sales incentives is recorded as a reduction of sales. Changes in the estimated amount of incentives to be paid are treated as changes in estimates and are recognized in the period of change. The cost of volume and growth rebates and exclusivity and placement fees is recorded using a systematic and rational allocation of the cost of the incentives to each of the underlying revenue transactions that resulted in progress by the customer toward earning the incentives to be paid. If we cannot reasonably estimate the amount of future incentives to be paid to the customer, we record the maximum potential amount. We use a customer's prior purchasing volume, as well as other factors, to assist in estimating the total incentives to be paid, if any. The cost of consumer coupons is recorded at either the date the coupon is offered or the date the related revenue is recognized by us. The amount recorded is based on the estimated amount of refunds or rebates that will be redeemed by consumers. We primarily use historical coupon redemption data and forecasted sales volumes to estimate the amount to be redeemed. If we cannot reasonably and reliably estimate the amount to be redeemed, we record the maximum potential amount. Promotional discounts are primarily recorded as a reduction to the customer's invoice for goods purchased based on an underlying agreement with the customer. A change in our estimates and assumptions related to consumer coupon redemption rates and customer purchase volumes, among other factors, may result in a change in our sales and earnings.

Vendor Rebates and other Promotional Incentives.

We participate in various rebate and promotional incentives with our suppliers, primarily including volume and growth rebates, annual and multi-year incentives and promotional programs. Consideration received under these incentives is generally recorded as a reduction of cost of goods sold. However, in certain circumstances the consideration is recorded as a reduction of costs incurred by us. Consideration received may be in the form of cash and/or invoice deductions. Changes in the estimated amount of incentives to be received are treated as changes in estimates and are recognized in the period of change.

Consideration received for incentives that contain volume and growth rebates and annual and multi-year incentives is recorded as a reduction of cost of goods sold. The consideration is recorded by us based upon a systematic and rational allocation of these incentives to each of the underlying transactions that results in progress by us toward earning the incentives, provided the amounts are probable and reasonably estimable. If the incentives are not probable and reasonably estimable, we record the incentives as the underlying objectives or milestones are achieved. We record annual and multi-year incentives when earned, which is generally over the agreement period. We use current and historical purchasing data, forecasted purchasing volumes and other factors in estimating whether the underlying objectives or milestones will be achieved. Consideration received to promote and sell the supplier's products is typically a reimbursement of costs incurred by us and is then recorded as a reduction of our costs. If the amount of consideration received from the suppliers exceeds our costs, any excess is recorded as a reduction of cost of goods sold. A change to our estimates and assumptions of future purchasing volumes and the amount of promotional costs, among other factors, may result in a change in our earnings.

In January 2003, the EITF reached a final consensus on EITF Issue No. 02-16, *Accounting by a Customer (Including a Reseller) for Certain Consideration Received from a Vendor*. EITF No. 02-16 clarifies certain aspects for accounting and recording by customers of consideration received from suppliers. The adoption of EITF No. 02-16 in the 2003 period did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations. Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements discusses EITF No. 02-16 in more detail.

Management has discussed the development and selection of these critical accounting estimates with the audit committee of the board of directors and the audit committee has reviewed the above disclosure. In addition, our financial statements contain other items that require estimation, but are not as critical as those discussed above. These include our calculations for bonus accruals, depreciation, amortization and tax liabilities. Changes in estimates and assumptions used in these and other items could have an effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q and the documents incorporated by reference herein contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Forward-looking statements, which are based on assumptions and estimates and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by the use of the words "anticipate," "will," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "seek," "should," "could," "may," "would," or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements may address, among other things, our anticipated earnings, capital expenditures, contributions to our net sales by acquired companies, sales momentum, customer and product sales mix, expected efficiencies in our business and our ability to realize expected synergies from acquisitions. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions, all as detailed from time to time in the reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if any underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results, performance or achievements may vary materially from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements attributable to us, or persons acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements in this section. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect future events or developments.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks

Our primary market risks are related to fluctuations in interest rates. Our primary interest rate risk is from changing interest rates related to our outstanding debt. We currently manage this risk through a combination of fixed and floating rates on these obligations. At September 27, 2003, our total debt of \$304.9 million consisted of fixed and floating-rate debt of \$257.1 million and \$47.8 million, respectively. In addition, the rate on our Receivables Facility is a floating rate. Substantially all of our floating rates are based on LIBOR, with the exception of the rate on the Receivables Facility, which is based upon a 30-day commercial-paper rate. A 100 basis-point increase in market interest rates on all of our floating-rate debt and our Receivables Facility would result in a decrease in net earnings and cash flow of approximately \$1.0 million per annum, holding other variables constant.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We announced in March 2002 that we had identified certain accounting errors at one of our Broadline operating subsidiaries, as more fully described in our 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K. While no claims have been made to date, it is possible that claims may be brought by shareholders against us in connection with the accounting errors and

costs related to the claims, including defense costs, could have an adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. In addition, at the time of the announcement of the identification of the accounting errors, we contacted the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, to inform them of our identification of the errors and of our intention to cooperate with the staff of the SEC with respect to any review or inquiry they may conduct. Since that time, we have provided and continue to provide, updates to the staff of the SEC as well as certain documents and testimony requested by the staff. We have conducted an inquiry into the accounting errors and have taken appropriate remedial actions in connection with the investigation. Although we believe that we have fully cooperated with the SEC, the SEC could bring enforcement or other action against us. The costs associated with an SEC enforcement action or inquiry or an adverse outcome of any such enforcement action or inquiry could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

From time to time, we are involved in legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the outcome of such proceedings and litigation currently pending will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

- (a) No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the quarter ended September 27, 2003.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.

(a) Exhibits:

- 15 Letter regarding unaudited information from KPMG LLP.
- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K filed during the quarter ended September 27, 2003:

On July 29, 2003, we furnished a Current Report on Form 8-K pursuant to Item 12 thereof relating to our results of operations for the quarter ended June 28, 2003.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, information furnished under Items 9 and 12 of our Current Reports on Form 8-K, including the related exhibits, shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP COMPANY

By: /s/ John D. Austin

John D. Austin

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer

Date: November 12, 2003