

HOLLY CORP  
Form 10-Q  
May 09, 2011

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011**

**OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission File Number 1-3876**

**HOLLY CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

75-1056913

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

100 Crescent Court, Suite 1600  
Dallas, Texas

75201-6915

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (214) 871-3555

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting  
company

(Do not check if a smaller  
reporting company)

Edgar Filing: HOLLY CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  
o No

53,312,273 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, were outstanding on April 29, 2011.

---

**HOLLY CORPORATION  
INDEX**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Forward-Looking Statements</u>	3
<u>Definitions</u>	4
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets March 31, 2011 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2010</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	7
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	8
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	9
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	10
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	27
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	42
<u>Reconciliations to Amounts Reported Under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles</u>	42
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	49
<b><u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	50
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	54
<u>Signatures</u>	55
<u>Index to Exhibits</u>	56
<u>EX-10.9</u>	
<u>EX-10.10</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

---

**Table of Contents**

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

References herein to Holly Corporation include Holly Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries. In accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) Plain English guidelines, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q has been written in the first person. In this document, the words we, our, ours and us refer only to Holly Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries or to Holly Corporation or an individual subsidiary and not to any other person. The words we, our, ours and us generally include Holly Energy Partners, L.P. (HEP) and its subsidiaries as consolidated subsidiaries of Holly Corporation with certain exceptions where there are transactions or obligations between HEP and Holly Corporation or its other subsidiaries. This document contains certain disclosures of agreements that are specific to HEP and its consolidated subsidiaries and do not necessarily represent obligations of Holly Corporation. When used in descriptions of agreements and transactions, HEP refers to HEP and its consolidated subsidiaries. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. All statements, other than statements of historical fact included in this Form 10-Q, including, but not limited to, those under Results of Operations, Liquidity and Capital Resources and Risk Management in Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Part I and those in Item 1 Legal Proceedings in Part II, are forward-looking statements. These statements are based on management's beliefs and assumptions using currently available information and expectations as of the date hereof, are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks and uncertainties. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that our expectations will prove to be correct. Therefore, actual outcomes and results could materially differ from what is expressed, implied or forecast in these statements. Any differences could be caused by a number of factors including, but not limited to:

- risks and uncertainties with respect to the actions of actual or potential competitive suppliers of refined petroleum products in our markets;

- the demand for and supply of crude oil and refined products;

- the spread between market prices for refined products and market prices for crude oil;

- the possibility of constraints on the transportation of refined products;

- the possibility of inefficiencies, curtailments or shutdowns in refinery operations or pipelines;

- effects of governmental and environmental regulations and policies;

- the availability and cost of our financing;

- the effectiveness of our capital investments and marketing strategies;

- our efficiency in carrying out construction projects;

- our ability to acquire refined product operations or pipeline and terminal operations on acceptable terms and to integrate any existing or future acquired operations;

- the possibility of terrorist attacks and the consequences of any such attacks;

- general economic conditions;

- risks and uncertainties with respect to our proposed merger of equals with Frontier Oil Corporation, including our ability to complete the merger in the anticipated timeframe or at all, the diversion of management in

connection with the merger and our ability to realize fully or at all the anticipated benefits of the merger; and

other financial, operational and legal risks and uncertainties detailed from time to time in our Securities and Exchange Commission filings.

Cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations are set forth in this Form 10-Q, including without limitation, the forward-looking statements that are referred to above. This summary discussion should be read in conjunction with the discussion of risk factors and other cautionary statements under the heading *Risk Factors* included in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and in conjunction with the discussion in this Form 10-Q in *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* under the heading *Liquidity and Capital Resources*. All forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-Q and all subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made and, other than as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

- 3 -

---

**Table of Contents**

**DEFINITIONS**

Within this report, the following terms have these specific meanings:

**Alkylation** means the reaction of propylene or butylene (olefins) with isobutane to form an iso-paraffinic gasoline (inverse of cracking).

**Aromatic oil** is long chain oil that is highly aromatic in nature that is used to manufacture tires and in the production of asphalt.

**BPD** means the number of barrels per calendar day of crude oil or petroleum products.

**BPSD** means the number of barrels per stream day (barrels of capacity in a 24 hour period) of crude oil or petroleum products.

**Black wax crude oil** is a low sulfur, low gravity crude oil produced in the Uintah Basin in Eastern Utah that has certain characteristics that require specific facilities to transport, store and refine into transportation fuels.

**Catalytic reforming** means a refinery process which uses a precious metal (such as platinum) based catalyst to convert low octane naphtha to high octane gasoline blendstock and hydrogen. The hydrogen produced from the reforming process is used to desulfurize other refinery oils and is a primary source of hydrogen for the refinery.

**Cracking** means the process of breaking down larger, heavier and more complex hydrocarbon molecules into simpler and lighter molecules.

**Crude distillation** means the process of distilling vapor from liquid crudes, usually by heating, and condensing the vapor slightly above atmospheric pressure turning it back to liquid in order to purify, fractionate or form the desired products.

**Delayed coker unit** is a refinery unit that removes carbon from the bottom cuts of crude oil to produce unfinished light transportation fuels and petroleum coke.

**Ethanol** means a high octane gasoline blend stock that is used to make various grades of gasoline.

**FCC**, or fluid catalytic cracking, means a refinery process that breaks down large complex hydrocarbon molecules into smaller more useful ones using a circulating bed of catalyst at relatively high temperatures.

**Hydrocracker** means a refinery unit that breaks down large complex hydrocarbon molecules into smaller more useful ones using a fixed bed of catalyst at high pressure and temperature with hydrogen.

**Hydrodesulfurization** means to remove sulfur and nitrogen compounds from oil or gas in the presence of hydrogen and a catalyst at relatively high temperatures.

**Hydrogen plant** means a refinery unit that converts natural gas and steam to high purity hydrogen, which is then used in the hydrodesulfurization, hydrocracking and isomerization processes.

**HF alkylation**, or hydrofluoric alkylation, means a refinery process which combines isobutane and C3/C4 olefins using HF acid as a catalyst to make high octane gasoline blend stock.

**Isomerization** means a refinery process for rearranging the structure of C5/C6 molecules without changing their size or chemical composition and is used to improve the octane of C5/C6 gasoline blendstocks.

**LPG** means liquid petroleum gases.

**LSG**, or low sulfur gasoline, means gasoline that contains less than 30 PPM of total sulfur.

**Table of Contents**

**Lube extraction unit** is a unit used in the lube process that separates aromatic oils from paraffinic oils using furfural as a solvent.

**Lubricant** or **lube** means a solvent neutral paraffinic product used in passenger and commercial vehicle engine oils, specialty products for metal working or heat transfer and other industrial applications.

**MEK** means a lube process that separates waxy oil from non-waxy oils using methyl ethyl ketone as a solvent.

**MMBTU** means one million British thermal units.

**MMSCFD** means one million standard cubic feet per day.

**MTBE** means methyl tertiary butyl ether, a high octane gasoline blend stock that is used to make various grades of gasoline.

**Natural gasoline** means a low octane gasoline blend stock that is purchased and used to blend with other high octane stocks produced to make various grades of gasoline.

**PPM** means parts-per-million.

**Paraffinic oil** is a high paraffinic, high gravity oil produced by extracting aromatic oils and waxes from gas oil and is used in producing high-grade lubricating oils.

**Refinery gross margin** means the difference between average net sales price and average product costs per produced barrel of refined products sold. This does not include the associated depreciation and amortization costs.

**Reforming** means the process of converting gasoline type molecules into aromatic, higher octane gasoline blend stocks while producing hydrogen in the process.

**Roofing flux** is produced from the bottom cut of crude oil and is the base oil used to make roofing shingles for the housing industry.

**RFS2** or advanced renewable fuel standard is a regulatory mandate required by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 that requires 36 billion gallons of renewable fuel to be blended into transportation fuels by 2022. New mandated blending requirements for this standard became effective July 1, 2010.

**ROSE**, or **Solvent deasphalter / residuum oil supercritical extraction**, means a refinery unit that uses a light hydrocarbon like propane or butane to extract non-asphaltene heavy oils from asphalt or atmospheric reduced crude. These deasphalted oils are then further converted to gasoline and diesel in the FCC process. The remaining asphaltenes are either sold, blended to fuel oil or blended with other asphalt as a hardener.

**Scanfiner** is a refinery unit that removes sulfur from gasoline to produce low sulfur gasoline blendstock.

**Sour crude oil** means crude oil containing quantities of sulfur greater than 0.4 percent by weight, while **sweet crude oil** means crude oil containing quantities of sulfur equal to or less than 0.4 percent by weight.

**ULSD**, or ultra low sulfur diesel, means diesel fuel that contains less than 15 PPM of total sulfur.

**Vacuum distillation** means the process of distilling vapor from liquid crudes, usually by heating, and condensing the vapor below atmospheric pressure turning it back to a liquid in order to purify, fractionate or form the desired products.



Table of ContentsItem 1. Financial Statements

**HOLLY CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(In thousands, except share data)

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
	(Unaudited)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current assets:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents <i>(HEP: \$1,502 and \$403, respectively)</i>	\$ 224,114	\$ 229,101
Marketable securities	48,947	1,343
Accounts receivable: Product and transportation <i>(HEP: \$23,475 and \$22,508, respectively)</i>	349,509	299,081
Crude oil resales	802,745	694,035
	1,152,254	993,116
Inventories: Crude oil and refined products	424,785	353,636
Materials and supplies <i>(HEP: \$185 and \$202, respectively)</i>	48,671	46,731
	473,456	400,367
Income taxes receivable	2,042	51,034
Prepayments and other <i>(HEP: \$360 and \$573, respectively)</i>	14,941	28,474
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>1,915,754</b>	<b>1,703,435</b>
Properties, plants and equipment, at cost <i>(HEP: \$563,834 and \$552,398, respectively)</i>	2,282,634	2,215,828
Less accumulated depreciation <i>(HEP: \$(66,995) and \$(60,300), respectively)</i>	(481,082)	(459,137)
	1,801,552	1,756,691
Marketable securities (long-term)	19,550	
Other assets: Turnaround costs	69,409	69,533
Goodwill <i>(HEP: \$81,602 and \$81,602)</i>	81,602	81,602
Intangibles and other <i>(HEP: \$75,138 and \$72,434, respectively)</i>	101,893	90,214
	252,904	241,349
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 3,989,760</b>	<b>\$ 3,701,475</b>

**LIABILITIES AND EQUITY****Current liabilities:**

Edgar Filing: HOLLY CORP - Form 10-Q

Accounts payable ( <i>HEP: \$10,325 and \$10,238, respectively</i> )	\$ 1,498,508	\$ 1,317,446
Accrued liabilities ( <i>HEP: \$13,691 and \$21,206, respectively</i> )	76,734	72,409
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>1,575,242</b>	<b>1,389,855</b>
Long-term debt ( <i>HEP: \$505,918 and \$482,271, respectively</i> )	834,213	810,561
Deferred income taxes	131,698	131,935
Other long-term liabilities ( <i>HEP: \$9,511 and \$10,809, respectively</i> )	80,657	80,985
<b>Equity:</b>		
<b>Holly Corporation stockholders equity:</b>		
Preferred stock, \$1.00 par value 1,000,000 shares authorized; none issued		
Common stock \$.01 par value 160,000,000 shares authorized; 76,346,432 shares issued as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	763	763
Additional capital	193,121	194,378
Retained earnings	1,283,021	1,206,328
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(25,866)	(26,246)
Common stock held in treasury, at cost 23,034,159 and 23,081,744 shares as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	(677,253)	(677,804)
<b>Total Holly Corporation stockholders equity</b>	<b>773,786</b>	<b>697,419</b>
<b>Noncontrolling interest</b>	<b>594,164</b>	<b>590,720</b>
<b>Total equity</b>	<b>1,367,950</b>	<b>1,288,139</b>
<b>Total liabilities and equity</b>	<b>\$ 3,989,760</b>	<b>\$ 3,701,475</b>

Parenthetical amounts represent asset and liability balances attributable to Holly Energy Partners, L.P. ( HEP ) as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. HEP is a consolidated variable interest entity.

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

**HOLLY CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share data)

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Sales and other revenues</b>	\$ 2,326,585	\$ 1,874,290
<b>Operating costs and expenses:</b>		
Cost of products sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	1,984,617	1,723,864
Operating expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	134,743	127,544
General and administrative expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	16,818	17,869
Depreciation and amortization	31,308	27,757
Total operating costs and expenses	2,167,486	1,897,034
<b>Income (loss) from operations</b>	159,099	(22,744)
<b>Other income (expense):</b>		
Equity in earnings of SLC Pipeline	740	481
Interest income	85	59
Interest expense	(16,204)	(17,722)
Merger transaction costs	(3,698)	
	(19,077)	(17,182)
<b>Income (loss) before income taxes</b>	140,022	(39,926)
Income tax provision (benefit):		
Current	49,489	5,361
Deferred	(478)	(22,033)
	49,011	(16,672)
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	91,011	(23,254)
Less net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	6,317	4,840
<b>Net income (loss) attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders</b>	\$ 84,694	\$ (28,094)
<b>Earnings per share attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders:</b>		
Basic	\$ 1.59	\$ (0.53)

Diluted	\$	1.58	\$	(0.53)
<b>Cash dividends declared per common share</b>	\$	0.15	\$	0.15
<b>Average number of common shares outstanding:</b>				
Basic		53,307		53,094
Diluted		53,633		53,094
See accompanying notes.				

- 7 -

**Table of Contents**

**HOLLY CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(Unaudited)  
(In thousands)

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$ 91,011	\$ (23,254)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	31,308	27,757
SLC Pipeline earnings, net of distributions	(365)	(481)
Deferred income taxes	(478)	(22,033)
Equity based compensation expense	1,754	2,907
Change in fair value derivative instruments	1,092	1,464
(Increase) decrease in current assets:		
Accounts receivable	(159,138)	(121,085)
Inventories	(73,089)	(117,509)
Income taxes receivable	48,992	7,824
Prepayments and other	6,978	(30,420)
Current assets of discontinued operations		2,195
Increase (decrease) in current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	181,045	180,298
Accrued liabilities	14,155	7,590
Turnaround expenditures	(16,924)	(7,257)
Other, net	4,201	1,980
<b>Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities</b>	<b>130,542</b>	<b>(90,024)</b>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Additions to properties, plants and equipment Holly Corporation	(62,563)	(29,187)
Additions to properties, plants and equipment Holly Energy Partners	(11,475)	(1,911)
Purchases of marketable securities	(98,937)	
Sales and maturities of marketable securities	31,925	
<b>Net cash used for investing activities</b>	<b>(141,050)</b>	<b>(31,098)</b>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Borrowings under credit agreement Holly Corporation		310,000
Repayments under credit agreement Holly Corporation		(310,000)
Borrowings under credit agreement Holly Energy Partners	30,000	33,000
Repayments under credit agreement Holly Energy Partners	(7,000)	(68,000)
Proceeds from issuance of senior notes Holly Energy Partners		147,540
Repayments under financing obligation Holly Corporation	(277)	(246)
Purchase of treasury stock	(2,051)	(1,055)
Contribution from joint venture partner	8,500	1,250
Dividends	(7,984)	(7,926)
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	(12,485)	(11,963)

Edgar Filing: HOLLY CORP - Form 10-Q

Excess tax benefit (expense) from equity based compensation	261	(1,045)
Purchase of units for restricted grants Holly Energy Partners	(399)	(1,745)
Deferred financing costs	(3,044)	(56)
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of options		61
<b>Net cash provided by financing activities</b>	<b>5,521</b>	<b>89,815</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents:</b>		
<b>Decrease for the period</b>	<b>(4,987)</b>	<b>(31,307)</b>
Beginning of period	229,101	124,596
<b>End of period</b>	<b>\$ 224,114</b>	<b>\$ 93,289</b>
<b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:</b>		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 12,602	\$ 11,879
Income taxes	\$ 8	\$
See accompanying notes.		

- 8 -

Table of Contents

**HOLLY CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**  
(Unaudited)  
(In thousands)

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	\$ 91,011	\$ (23,254)
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	142	244
Hedging instruments:		
Change in fair value of cash flow hedging instruments	1,321	(1,362)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes	1,463	(1,118)
Income tax expense (benefit)	242	318
Other comprehensive income (loss)	1,221	(1,436)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	92,232	(24,690)
Less noncontrolling interest in comprehensive income	7,159	2,904
<b>Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders</b>	<b>\$ 85,073</b>	<b>\$ (27,594)</b>

See accompanying notes.

**Table of Contents**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Unaudited)**

**NOTE 1: Description of Business and Presentation of Financial Statements**

References herein to Holly Corporation include Holly Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries. In accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) Plain English guidelines, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q has been written in the first person. In this document, the words we, our, ours and us refer only to Holly Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries or to Holly Corporation or an individual subsidiary and not to any other person. The words we, our, ours and us generally include HEP and its subsidiaries as consolidated subsidiaries of Holly Corporation with certain exceptions where there are transactions or obligations between HEP and Holly Corporation or its other subsidiaries. These financial statements contain certain disclosures of agreements that are specific to HEP and its consolidated subsidiaries and do not necessarily represent obligations of Holly Corporation. When used in descriptions of agreements and transactions, HEP refers to HEP and its consolidated subsidiaries.

As of March 31, 2011, we:

owned and operated three refineries consisting of a petroleum refinery in Artesia, New Mexico that is operated in conjunction with crude oil distillation and vacuum distillation and other facilities situated 65 miles away in Lovington, New Mexico (collectively, the Navajo Refinery), a refinery in Woods Cross, Utah (the Woods Cross Refinery) and our two refinery facilities located in Tulsa, Oklahoma (collectively, operated as the Tulsa Refinery);

owned and operated Holly Asphalt Company (Holly Asphalt) which manufactures and markets asphalt products from various terminals in Arizona, New Mexico and Texas;

owned a 75% interest in a 12-inch refined products pipeline project from Salt Lake City, Utah to Las Vegas, Nevada, together with terminal facilities in the Cedar City, Utah and North Las Vegas areas (the UNEV Pipeline); and

owned a 34% interest in HEP, a consolidated variable interest entity (VIE), which includes our 2% general partner interest. HEP has logistic assets including petroleum product and crude oil pipelines located in Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Utah; ten refined product terminals; a jet fuel terminal; loading rack facilities at each of our three refineries, a refined products tank farm facility and on-site crude oil tankage at our Navajo, Woods Cross and Tulsa Refineries. Additionally, HEP owns a 25% interest in SLC Pipeline LLC (SLC Pipeline), a new 95-mile intrastate pipeline system that serves refineries in the Salt Lake City area.

We have prepared these consolidated financial statements without audit. In management's opinion, these consolidated financial statements include all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of our consolidated financial position as of March 31, 2011, the consolidated results of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 and consolidated cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC. Although certain notes and other information required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted, we believe that the disclosures in these consolidated financial statements are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the SEC.

Our results of operations for the first three months of 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

***Accounts Receivable***

Our accounts receivable consist of amounts due from customers that are primarily companies in the petroleum industry. Credit is extended based on our evaluation of the customer's financial condition and in certain circumstances, collateral, such as a letter of credit or guarantee, is required. Credit losses are charged to income



**Table of Contents**

when accounts are deemed uncollectible and historically have been minimal. At March 31, 2011, our allowance for doubtful accounts reserve was \$2.4 million.

***Inventories***

We use the last-in, first-out ( LIFO ) method of valuing inventory. Under the LIFO method, an actual valuation of inventory can only be made at the end of each year based on the inventory levels at that time. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations are based on management's estimates of expected year-end inventory levels and are subject to the final year-end LIFO inventory valuation.

**NOTE 2: Pending Holly Frontier Merger**

On February 21, 2011, we entered into a merger agreement providing for a merger of equals business combination of us and Frontier Oil Corporation ( Frontier ). Subject to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement which has been approved unanimously by both our and Frontier's board of directors, Frontier shareholders will receive 0.4811 shares of our common stock for each share of Frontier common stock if the merger is completed. Completion of the merger is subject to certain conditions, including, among others, (i) approval by our stockholders of the issuance of our common stock to Frontier's stockholders in connection with the merger, (ii) adoption of the merger agreement by Frontier's stockholders, (iii) the expiration or termination of the applicable waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, (iv) the registration statement on Form S-4 used to register the common stock to be issued as consideration for the merger having been declared effective by the SEC and (v) the entry into a new credit facility for the combined company.

In March 2011, the Federal Trade Commission ( FTC ) granted early termination of its Hart-Scott-Rodino antitrust review of the proposed merger.

**NOTE 3: Holly Energy Partners**

HEP, a consolidated VIE, is a publicly held master limited partnership that was formed to acquire, own and operate the petroleum product and crude oil pipeline and terminal, tankage and loading rack facilities that support our refining and marketing operations in west Texas, New Mexico, Utah, Oklahoma, Idaho and Arizona. HEP also owns and operates refined product pipelines and terminals, located primarily in Texas, that service Alon USA, Inc.'s ( Alon ) refinery in Big Spring, Texas.

As of March 31, 2011, we owned a 34% interest in HEP, including the 2% general partner interest. We are HEP's primary beneficiary and therefore we consolidate HEP. See Note 17 for supplemental guarantor/non-guarantor financial information, including HEP balances included in these consolidated financial statements. All intercompany transactions with HEP are eliminated in our consolidated balances.

HEP has two primary customers (including us) and generates revenues by charging tariffs for transporting petroleum products and crude oil through its pipelines, by charging fees for terminalling refined products and other hydrocarbons, and storing and providing other services at its storage tanks and terminals. Under our long-term transportation agreements with HEP (discussed further below), we accounted for 76% of HEP's total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011. We do not provide financial or equity support through any liquidity arrangements and /or guarantees to HEP.

HEP has outstanding debt under a senior secured revolving credit agreement and its senior notes. With the exception of the assets of HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries and HEP's general partner, HEP's creditors have no recourse to our assets. Any recourse to HEP's general partner would be limited to the extent of HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P.'s assets, which other than its investment in HEP, are not significant. Furthermore, our creditors have no recourse to the assets of HEP and its consolidated subsidiaries. See Note 10 for a description of HEP's debt obligations.

**Table of Contents**

We have pledged 6,000,000 of our HEP common units to collateralize certain crude oil purchases in 2011. HEP has risk associated with its operations. If a major shipper of HEP were to terminate its contracts or fail to meet desired shipping levels for an extended period time, revenue would be reduced and HEP could suffer substantial losses to the extent that a new customer is not found. In the event that HEP incurs a loss, our operating results will reflect HEP's loss, net of intercompany eliminations, to the extent of our ownership interest in HEP at that point in time.

***2010 Tulsa East / Lovington Storage Asset Transaction***

On March 31, 2010, HEP acquired from us certain storage assets for \$93 million, consisting of hydrocarbon storage tanks having approximately 2 million barrels of storage capacity, a rail loading rack and a truck unloading rack located at our Tulsa Refinery east facility and an asphalt loading rack facility located at our Navajo Refinery facility located in Lovington, New Mexico.

***Transportation Agreements***

HEP serves our refineries in New Mexico, Utah and Oklahoma under the following long-term pipeline and terminal, tankage and throughput agreements:

HEP PTA (pipelines and terminals throughput agreement expiring in 2019 that relates to the pipelines and terminal assets that we contributed to HEP upon its initial public offering in 2004);

HEP IPA (intermediate pipelines throughput agreement expiring in 2024 that relates to the intermediate pipelines sold to HEP in 2005 and 2009);

HEP CPTA (crude pipelines and tankage throughput agreement expiring in 2023 that relates to the crude pipelines and tankage assets sold to HEP in 2008);

HEP PTTA (pipeline, tankage and loading rack throughput agreement expiring in 2024 that relates to the Tulsa east storage tank and loading rack facilities acquired in 2009 and 2010);

HEP RPA (pipeline throughput agreement expiring in 2024 that relates to the Roadrunner Pipeline sold to HEP in 2009);

HEP ETA (equipment and throughput agreement expiring in 2024 that relates to the Tulsa west loading rack facilities sold to HEP in 2009);

HEP NPA (natural gas pipeline throughput agreement expiring in 2024); and

HEP ATA (loading rack throughput agreement expiring in 2025 that relates to the Lovington asphalt loading rack facility sold to HEP in March 2010).

Under these agreements, we pay HEP fees to transport, store and throughput volumes of refined product and crude oil on HEP's pipeline and terminal, tankage and loading rack facilities that result in minimum annual payments to HEP. These minimum annual payments are subject to annual tariff rate adjustments on July 1, based on the Producer Price Index ( PPI ) or Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ( FERC ) index, but with the exception of the HEP IPA, generally will not decrease as a result of a decrease in the PPI or FERC index. As of March 31, 2011, these agreements result in minimum annualized payments to HEP of \$133 million.

**NOTE 4: Financial Instruments**

Our financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, investments in marketable securities, accounts receivable, accounts payable, debt and derivative instruments. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments. Debt consists of borrowings outstanding under HEP's \$275 million revolving credit agreement (the HEP Credit Agreement ), our 9.875% senior notes due 2017 (the Holly 9.875% Senior Notes ), HEP's 6.25% senior notes due 2015 (the HEP 6.25% Senior Notes ) and HEP's 8.25% senior notes due 2018 (the HEP 8.25% Senior



**Table of Contents**

Notes ). The \$182 million carrying amount of borrowings outstanding under the HEP Credit Agreement approximates fair value as interest rates are reset frequently using current interest rates. At March 31, 2011, the estimated fair values of the Holly 9.875% Senior Notes, HEP 6.25% Senior Notes and HEP 8.25% Senior Notes were \$338.3 million, \$185 million and \$160.5 million, respectively. These fair value estimates are based on market quotes provided from a third-party bank. See Note 10 for additional information on these debt instruments.

Fair value measurements are derived using inputs (assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, including assumptions about risk). GAAP categorizes inputs used in fair value measurements into three broad levels as follows:

(Level 1) Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

(Level 2) Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active or can be corroborated by observable market data.

(Level 3) Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. This includes valuation techniques that involve significant unobservable inputs.

Our investments in marketable securities are measured at fair value using quoted market prices, a Level 1 input. See Note 7 for additional information on our investments in marketable securities, including fair value measurements. We have commodity price swaps and HEP has an interest rate swap that is measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 2 inputs. With respect to these instruments, fair value is based on the net present value of expected future cash flows related to both variable and fixed rate legs of the respective swap agreements. The measurements are computed using market-based observable inputs, quoted forward commodity prices with respect to our commodity price swaps and the forward London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ) yield curve with respect to HEP 's interest rate swap. See Note 11 for additional information on these swap contracts, including fair value measurements.

**NOTE 5: Earnings Per Share**

Basic earnings per share is calculated as net income attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders divided by the average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted earnings per share assumes, when dilutive, the issuance of the net incremental shares from stock options, variable restricted shares and variable performance shares. The following is a reconciliation of the denominators of the basic and diluted per share computations for net income attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders:

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
	(In thousands, except per share data)	
Net income attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders	\$ 84,694	\$ (28,094)
Average number of shares of common stock outstanding	53,307	53,094
Effect of dilutive stock options, variable restricted shares and performance share units	326	
Average number of shares of common stock outstanding assuming dilution	53,633	53,094
Basic earnings per share	\$ 1.59	\$ (0.53)
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 1.58	\$ (0.53)



**Table of Contents****NOTE 6: Stock-Based Compensation**

On March 31, 2011, we had two principal share-based compensation plans that are described below (collectively, the Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan ). The compensation cost that has been charged against income for these plans was \$1.1 million and \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement for share-based compensation arrangements was \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Our current accounting policy for the recognition of compensation expense for awards with pro-rata vesting (substantially all of our awards) is to expense the costs pro-rata over the vesting periods. We have proposed to a vote of shareholders, an amendment to the Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan that will extend the term of the plan and our ability to grant equity compensation awards until December 31, 2020.

Additionally, HEP maintains share-based compensation plans for HEP directors and select Holly Logistic Services, L.L.C. executives and employees. Compensation cost attributable to HEP's share-based compensation plans was \$0.7 million and \$1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

**Restricted Stock**

Under our Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan, we grant certain officers, other key employees and outside directors restricted stock awards with substantially all awards vesting generally over a period of one to five years. Although ownership of the shares does not transfer to the recipients until after the shares vest, recipients generally have dividend rights on these shares from the date of grant. The vesting for certain key executives is contingent upon certain performance targets being realized. The fair value of each share of restricted stock awarded, including the shares issued to the key executives, was measured based on the market price as of the date of grant and is being amortized over the respective vesting period.

A summary of restricted stock activity and changes during the three months ended March 31, 2011 is presented below:

<b>Restricted Stock</b>	<b>Grants</b>	<b>Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value</b>		<b>Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$000)</b>
Outstanding at January 1, 2011 (non-vested)	346,996	\$	29.31	
Vesting and transfer of ownership to recipients	(87,232)		29.80	
Forfeited	(12,965)		52.02	
Outstanding at March 31, 2011 (non-vested)	246,799	\$	27.94	\$ 14,996

The total fair value of restricted stock vested and transferred to recipients during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$2.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. As of March 31, 2011, there was \$1.7 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock grants. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 0.7 years.

**Performance Share Units**

Under our Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan, we grant certain officers and other key employees performance share units, which are payable in stock upon meeting certain criteria over the service period, and generally vest over a period of one to three years. Under the terms of our performance share unit grants, awards are subject to financial performance criteria.

The fair value of each performance share unit award is computed using the grant date closing stock price of each respective award grant and will apply to the number of units ultimately awarded. The number of shares ultimately issued for each award will be based on our financial performance as compared to peer group companies over the performance period and can range from zero to 200%. As of March 31, 2011, estimated share payouts for outstanding non-vested performance share unit awards ranged from 130% to 150%.

**Table of Contents**

A summary of performance share unit activity and changes during the three months ended March 31, 2011 is presented below:

<b>Performance Share Units</b>	<b>Grants</b>
Outstanding at January 1, 2011 (non-vested)	278,093
Vesting and transfer of ownership to recipients	(53,962)
Outstanding at March 31, 2011 (non-vested)	224,131

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we issued 75,007 shares of our common stock having a fair value of \$3.6 million related to vested performance share units, representing a 139% payout. Based on the weighted average grant date fair value of \$25.82, there was \$6.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested performance share units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.2 years.

**NOTE 7: Cash and Cash Equivalents and Investments in Marketable Securities**

Our investment portfolio at March 31, 2011, consisted of cash, cash equivalents and investments in debt securities primarily issued by government entities. We also hold 1,000,000 shares of Connacher Oil and Gas Limited common stock that were received as partial consideration upon our sale of our Montana refinery in 2006.

We invest in highly-rated marketable debt securities, primarily issued by government entities that have maturities at the date of purchase of greater than three months. We also invest in other marketable debt securities with the maximum maturity of any individual issue not greater than two years from the date of purchase. All of these instruments including investments in equity securities are classified as available-for-sale, and as a result, are reported at fair value using quoted market prices. Interest income is recorded as earned. Unrealized gains and losses, net of related income taxes, are considered temporary and are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. For investments in an unrealized loss position that are determined to be other than temporary, unrealized losses are reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income and into earnings as an impairment loss. Upon sale, realized gains and losses on the sale of marketable securities are computed based on the specific identification of the underlying cost of the securities sold and the unrealized gains and losses previously reported in other comprehensive income are reclassified to current earnings.

The following is a summary of our available-for-sale securities:

	<b>Available-for-Sale Securities</b>		
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Gain (In thousands)</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value (Net Carrying Amount)</b>
<b>March 31, 2011</b>			
State and political subdivision debt securities	\$ 67,012	\$ 8	\$ 67,020
Equity securities	610	867	1,477
Total marketable securities	\$ 67,622	\$ 875	\$ 68,497
<b>December 31, 2010</b>			
Equity securities	\$ 610	\$ 733	\$ 1,343

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we invested \$98.9 million in marketable debt securities and received a total of \$31.9 million related to sales and maturities of our investments in marketable debt securities.

- 15 -

---



**Table of Contents****NOTE 8: Inventories**

Inventory consists of the following components:

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
	(In thousands)	
Crude oil	\$ 83,513	\$ 96,570
Other raw materials and unfinished products <sup>(1)</sup>	69,485	68,792
Finished products <sup>(2)</sup>	271,787	188,274
Process chemicals <sup>(3)</sup>	22,532	22,512
Repairs and maintenance supplies and other	26,139	24,219
Total inventory	\$ 473,456	\$ 400,367

(1) Other raw materials and unfinished products include feedstocks and blendstocks, other than crude.

(2) Finished products include gasolines, jet fuels, diesels, lubricants, asphalts, LPG s and residual fuels.

(3) Process chemicals include catalysts, additives and other chemicals.

**NOTE 9: Environmental**

Consistent with our accounting policy for environmental remediation costs, we expensed \$1.1 million and \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, for environmental remediation obligations. The accrued environmental liability reflected in the consolidated balance sheets was \$25 million and \$26.2 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, of which \$19.4 million and \$20.4 million, respectively, were classified as other long-term liabilities. Costs of future expenditures for environmental remediation that are expected to be incurred over the next several years are not discounted to their present value.

**NOTE 10: Debt****Credit Facilities**

We have a \$400 million senior secured credit agreement expiring in March 2013 (the Holly Credit Agreement ) with Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent and one of a syndicate of lenders. The Holly Credit Agreement may be used to fund working capital requirements, capital expenditures, permitted acquisitions or other general corporate purposes. We were in compliance with all covenants at March 31, 2011. At March 31, 2011, we had no outstanding borrowings and outstanding letters of credit totaling \$70 million under the Holly Credit Agreement. At that level of usage, the unused commitment was \$330 million at March 31, 2011.

The \$275 million HEP Credit Agreement is available to fund capital expenditures, investments, acquisitions, distribution payments and working capital and for general partnership purposes. In February 2011, HEP amended its previous credit agreement (expiring in August 2011), slightly, reducing the size of the credit facility from \$300 million to \$275 million. The size was reduced based on management s review of past and forecasted utilization of the facility. The HEP Credit Agreement expires in February 2016; however, in the event that the HEP 6.25% Senior Notes (discussed below) are not repurchased, refinanced, extended or repaid prior to September 1, 2014, the HEP Credit Agreement shall expire on that date.

HEP s obligations under the HEP Credit Agreement are collateralized by substantially all of HEP s assets (presented parenthetically in our Consolidated Balance Sheets). Indebtedness under the HEP Credit Agreement is recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., its general partner, and guaranteed by HEP s material, wholly-owned subsidiaries. Any recourse to the general partner would be limited to the extent of HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P. s assets, which other than its investment in HEP, are not significant. HEP s creditors have no other recourse to our assets. Furthermore, our creditors have no recourse to the assets of HEP and its consolidated subsidiaries.



**Table of Contents*****Holly Senior Notes Due 2017***

Our \$300 million 9.875% Senior Notes mature in June 2017 and are unsecured and impose certain restrictive covenants, including limitations on our ability to incur additional debt, incur liens, enter into sale-and-leaseback transactions, pay dividends, enter into mergers, sell assets and enter into certain transactions with affiliates. At any time when the Holly 9.875% Senior Notes are rated investment grade by both Moody's and Standard & Poor's and no default or event of default exists, we will not be subject to many of the foregoing covenants. Additionally, we have certain redemption rights under the Holly 9.875% Senior Notes.

***HEP Senior Notes Due 2018 and 2015***

In March 2010, HEP issued \$150 million in aggregate principal amount of 8.25% Senior Notes which mature in March 2018. A portion of the \$147.5 million in net proceeds received was used to fund HEP's \$93 million purchase of certain storage assets at our Tulsa Refinery east facility and Navajo Refinery Lovington facility on March 31, 2010. Additionally, HEP used a portion to repay \$42 million in outstanding HEP Credit Agreement borrowings, with the remaining proceeds available for general partnership purposes, including working capital and capital expenditures. The HEP 6.25% Senior Notes having an aggregate principal amount of \$185 million outstanding mature in March 2015 and are registered with the SEC. The HEP 6.25% Senior Notes and HEP 8.25% Senior Notes (collectively, the HEP Senior Notes) are unsecured and impose certain restrictive covenants, including limitations on HEP's ability to incur additional indebtedness, make investments, sell assets, incur certain liens, pay distributions, enter into transactions with affiliates, and enter into mergers. At any time when the HEP Senior Notes are rated investment grade by both Moody's and Standard & Poor's and no default or event of default exists, HEP will not be subject to many of the foregoing covenants. Additionally, HEP has certain redemption rights under the HEP Senior Notes.

Indebtedness under the HEP Senior Notes is recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., its general partner, and guaranteed by HEP's wholly-owned subsidiaries. However, any recourse to the general partner would be limited to the extent of HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P.'s assets, which other than its investment in HEP, are not significant. HEP's creditors have no other recourse to our assets. Furthermore, our creditors have no recourse to the assets of HEP and its consolidated subsidiaries.

***Holly Financing Obligation***

In October 2009, we sold approximately 400,000 barrels of crude oil tankage at our Tulsa Refinery west facility as well as certain crude oil pipeline receiving facilities to Plains for \$40 million in cash. In connection with this transaction, we entered into a 15-year lease agreement with Plains, whereby we agreed to pay a fixed monthly fee for the exclusive use of this tankage as well as a fee for volumes received at the receiving facilities purchased by Plains. Additionally, we have a margin sharing agreement with Plains under which we will equally share contango profits for crude oil purchased by them and delivered to our Tulsa Refinery west facility for storage. Due to our continuing involvement in these assets, this transaction has been accounted for as a financing obligation. As a result, we retained these assets on our books and recorded a liability representing the \$40 million in proceeds received.

**Table of Contents**

The carrying amounts of long-term debt are as follows:

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
	(In thousands)	
Holly 9.875% Senior Notes		
Principal	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
Unamortized discount	(10,209)	(10,491)
	289,791	289,509
Holly financing obligation		
Principal	38,504	38,781
Total Holly long-term debt	328,295	328,290
HEP Credit Agreement	182,000	159,000
HEP 6.25% Senior Notes		
Principal	185,000	185,000
Unamortized discount	(10,304)	(10,961)
Unamortized premium    dedesignated fair value hedge	1,357	1,444
	176,053	175,483
HEP 8.25% Senior Notes		
Principal	150,000	150,000
Unamortized discount	(2,135)	(2,212)
	147,865	147,788
Total HEP long-term debt	505,918	482,271
Total long-term debt	\$ 834,213	\$ 810,561

**NOTE 11: Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*****Commodity Price Risk Management***

Our primary market risk is commodity price risk. We are exposed to market risks related to the volatility in crude oil and refined products, as well as volatility in the price of natural gas used in our refining operations.

We periodically enter into derivative contracts in the form of commodity price swaps to mitigate price exposure with respect to:

our inventory positions;

natural gas purchases;

costs of crude oil;

prices of refined products; and

our refining margins.

As of March 31, 2011, we have outstanding commodity price swap contracts serving as economic hedges to protect the value of a temporary crude oil inventory build of 105,000 barrels against price volatility and to protect refining margins on forecasted sales of 6.2 million barrels of produced gasoline. These contracts are measured quarterly at fair value with offsetting adjustments (gains / losses) recorded directly to cost of products sold.

- 18 -

---

**Table of Contents****Interest Rate Risk Management**

HEP uses interest rate swaps to manage its exposure to interest rate risk.

As of March 31, 2011, HEP has an interest rate swap that hedges its exposure to the cash flow risk caused by the effects of LIBOR changes on a \$155 million HEP Credit Agreement advance. This interest rate swap effectively converts \$155 million of LIBOR based debt to fixed rate debt having an interest rate of 3.74% plus an applicable margin, currently 2.5%, which equaled an effective interest rate of 6.24% as of March 31, 2011. This interest rate swap contract has been designated as a cash flow hedge and matures in February 2013.

This contract initially hedged variable LIBOR interest on \$171 million in outstanding HEP Credit Agreement debt. In May 2010, HEP repaid \$16 million of the HEP Credit Agreement debt and also settled a corresponding portion of its interest rate swap agreement having a notional amount of \$16 million for \$1.1 million. Upon payment, HEP reduced its swap liability and reclassified a \$1.1 million charge from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense, representing the application of hedge accounting prior to settlement.

The following table presents balance sheet locations and related fair values of outstanding derivative instruments.

Derivative Instruments	Balance Sheet		Fair Value	Location of Offsetting Balance (Dollars in thousands)	Offsetting Amount
	Location				
<b>March 31, 2011</b>					
<i>Derivative designated as cash flow hedging instrument:</i>					
Variable-to-fixed interest rate swap contract (\$155 million LIBOR based debt interest payments)	Other long-term liabilities		\$ 8,743	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ 8,743
<i>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:</i>					
Variable-to-fixed commodity price swap contracts (various inventory positions)	Prepayments and other current assets		\$ 6,555	Cost of products sold (decrease)	\$ 6,555
Fixed/variable-to-variable/fixed commodity price contracts (various inventory positions)	Accrued liabilities		\$ 5,960	Cost of products sold (increase)	\$ 5,960
<b>December 31, 2010</b>					
<i>Derivative designated as cash flow hedging instruments:</i>					
Variable-to-fixed commodity price swap contracts (forecasted volumes of natural gas purchases)	Accrued liabilities		\$ 38	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ 38
Table of Contents					30

Variable-to-fixed interest rate swap contract (\$155 million LIBOR based debt interest payments)	Other long-term liabilities	\$ 10,026	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ 10,026
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------	--------------------------------------	-----------

***Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:***

Fixed-to-variable rate swap contracts (various inventory positions)	Accrued liabilities	\$ 497	Cost of products sold (increase)	\$ 497
---------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------	--------	----------------------------------	--------

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, maturities and fair value adjustments attributable to our economic hedges resulted in a \$3.7 million increase to costs of products sold.

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, HEP recognized \$1.5 million in charges to interest expense as a result of fair value changes to interest rate swap contracts that were settled in the first quarter of 2010.

- 19 -

---

**Table of Contents****NOTE 12: Equity**

Changes to equity during the three months ended March 31, 2011 are presented below:

	<b>Holly Corporation Stockholders Equity</b>	<b>Noncontrolling Interest (In thousands)</b>	<b>Total Equity</b>
<b>Balance at December 31, 2010</b>	\$ 697,419	\$ 590,720	\$ 1,288,139
Net income	84,694	6,317	91,011
Dividends	(8,001)		(8,001)
Distributions to noncontrolling interest holders		(12,485)	(12,485)
Other comprehensive income	380	841	1,221
Contribution from joint venture partner		8,500	8,500
Equity based compensation	1,084	670	1,754
Tax benefit from equity based compensation arrangements	261		261
Purchase of HEP units for restricted grants		(399)	(399)
Purchase of treasury stock <sup>(1)</sup>	(2,051)		(2,051)
<b>Balance at March 31, 2011</b>	\$ 773,786	\$ 594,164	\$ 1,367,950

(1) Includes 40,673 shares purchased under the terms of restricted stock agreements to provide funds for the payment of payroll and income taxes due at vesting of restricted stock.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we repurchased shares of our common stock at market price from certain executives and employees costing \$2.1 million. These purchases were made under the terms of restricted stock and performance share unit agreements to provide funds for the payment of payroll and income taxes due at the vesting of restricted shares in the case of officers and employees who did not elect to satisfy such taxes by other means.

**NOTE 13: Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

The components and allocated tax effects of other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

	<b>Before-Tax</b>	<b>Tax Expense (Benefit) (In thousands)</b>	<b>After-Tax</b>
<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2011</b>			
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	\$ 142	\$ 55	\$ 87
Unrealized gain on hedging activities	1,321	187	1,134
Other comprehensive loss	1,463	242	1,221
Less other comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	841		841
Other comprehensive income attributable to Holly stockholders	\$ 622	\$ 242	\$ 380



**Three Months Ended March 31, 2010**

Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	\$ 244	\$ 94	\$ 150
Unrealized loss on hedging activities	(1,362)	224	(1,586)
Other comprehensive loss	(1,118)	318	(1,436)
Less other comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(1,936)		(1,936)
Other comprehensive income attributable to Holly stockholders	\$ 818	\$ 318	\$ 500

The temporary unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities is due to changes in market prices of securities.

- 20 -

---

**Table of Contents**

Accumulated other comprehensive loss in the equity section of our consolidated balance sheets includes:

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
	(In thousands)	
Pension obligation adjustment	\$ (22,672)	\$ (22,672)
Retiree medical obligation adjustment	(1,894)	(1,894)
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	538	451
Unrealized loss on hedging activities, net of noncontrolling interest	(1,838)	(2,131)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (25,866)	\$ (26,246)

**NOTE 14: Retirement Plan**

We have a non-contributory defined benefit retirement plan that covers most of our employees who were hired prior to January 1, 2007. Our policy is to make contributions annually of not less than the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. Benefits are based on the employee's years of service and compensation.

The retirement plan is closed to employees hired subsequent to 2006 and not covered by collective bargaining agreements with labor unions. To the extent a non-union employee was hired prior to January 1, 2007, and elected to participate in automatic contributions features under our defined contribution plan, their participation in future benefits of the retirement plan was frozen.

Effective July 1, 2010, the retirement plan was closed to all new employees covered by collective bargaining agreements with labor unions. To the extent a union employee was hired prior to July 1, 2010, the employee may elect to continue their participation in the retirement plan or to participate in our defined contribution plan whereby their participation in future benefits of the retirement plan will be frozen.

The net periodic pension expense consisted of the following components:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
	(In thousands)	
Service cost – benefit earned during the period	\$ 1,267	\$ 1,141
Interest cost on projected benefit obligations	1,281	1,286
Expected return on plan assets	(1,339)	(1,124)
Amortization of prior service cost	98	98
Amortization of net loss	533	624
Net periodic pension expense	\$ 1,840	\$ 2,025

The expected long-term annual rate of return on plan assets is 8.5%. This rate was used in measuring 2011 and 2010 net periodic benefit cost. We expect to contribute between zero and \$10 million to the retirement plan in 2011.

**NOTE 15: Contingencies**

In May 2007, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit ( Court of Appeals ) issued its decision on petitions for review, brought by us and other parties, concerning rulings by the FERC in proceedings brought by us and other parties against SFPP, L.P. ( SFPP ). These proceedings relate to tariffs of common carrier pipelines, which are owned and operated by SFPP, for shipments of refined products from El Paso, Texas to Tucson and Phoenix, Arizona and from points in California to points in Arizona. We are one of several refiners that regularly utilize the SFPP pipeline to ship refined products from El Paso, Texas to Tucson and Phoenix, Arizona on SFPP's East

Line. The Court of Appeals in its May 2007 decision approved a FERC position, which is adverse to us, on the treatment of income taxes in the calculation of allowable rates for

- 21 -

---

**Table of Contents**

pipelines operated by partnerships and ruled in our favor on an issue relating to our rights to reparations when it is determined that certain tariffs we paid to SFPP in the past were too high. The case was remanded to FERC and consolidated with other cases that together addressed SFPP's rates for the period from January 1992 through May 2006. In 2003 we received an initial payment of \$15.3 million from SFPP as reparations for the period from 1992 through July 2000. On April 16, 2010, a settlement among us, SFPP, and other shippers was filed with FERC for its approval. FERC approved the settlement on May 28, 2010. Pursuant to the settlement, we received an additional settlement payment of \$8.6 million. This settlement finally resolves the amount of additional payments SFPP owes us for the period January 1992 through May 2006.

We and other shippers also engaged in settlement discussions with SFPP relating to East Line service in the FERC proceedings that address periods after May 2006. A partial settlement covering the period June 2006 through November 2007, which became final in February 2008, resulted in a payment from SFPP to us of \$1.3 million in April 2008. On October 22, 2008, we and other shippers jointly filed at the FERC with SFPP a settlement covering the period from December 2008 through November 2010. The FERC approved the settlement on January 29, 2009. The settlement reduced SFPP's current rates and required SFPP to make additional payments to us of \$2.9 million, which were received on May 18, 2009.

On June 2, 2009, SFPP notified us that it would terminate the October 22, 2008 settlement, as provided under the settlement, effective August 31, 2009. On July 31, 2009, SFPP filed substantial rate increases for East Line service to become effective September 1, 2009. We and several other shippers filed protests at the FERC challenging the rate increase and asking the FERC to suspend the effectiveness of the increased rates. On August 31, 2009, the FERC issued an order suspending the effective date of the rate increase until January 1, 2010, on which date the rate increase was placed into effect subject to refund, and setting the rate increase for a full evidentiary hearing to be held in 2010. SFPP subsequently reduced its rates for the East Line service, effective September 1, 2010. The rates placed in effect on January 1, 2010, and the lower rates put into effect on September 1, 2010, remain subject to refund subject to the outcome of the evidentiary hearing. We are not in a position to predict the ultimate outcome of the rate proceeding. We are a party to various other litigation and proceedings which we believe, based on advice of counsel, will not either individually or in the aggregate have a materially adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**NOTE 16: Segment Information**

Our operations are currently organized into two reportable segments, Refining and HEP. Our operations that are not included in the Refining and HEP segments are included in Corporate and Other. Intersegment transactions are eliminated in our consolidated financial statements and are included in Consolidations and Eliminations.

The Refining segment includes the operations of our Navajo, Woods Cross, and Tulsa Refineries and Holly Asphalt and involves the purchase and refining of crude oil and wholesale and branded marketing of refined products, such as gasoline, diesel fuel and jet fuel. These petroleum products are primarily marketed in the Southwest, Rocky Mountain and Mid-Continent regions of the United States and northern Mexico. Additionally, the Refining segment includes specialty lubricant products produced at our Tulsa Refinery that are marketed throughout North America and are distributed in Central and South America. Holly Asphalt manufactures and markets asphalt and asphalt products in Arizona, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Kansas, Missouri, Texas and northern Mexico.

The HEP segment includes all of the operations of HEP, a consolidated VIE, which owns and operates a system of petroleum product and crude gathering pipelines in Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Utah, distribution terminals in Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Idaho, and Washington and refinery tankage in New Mexico, Utah and Oklahoma. Revenues are generated by charging tariffs for transporting petroleum products and crude oil through its pipelines, by leasing certain pipeline capacity to Alon USA, Inc., by charging fees for terminalling refined products and other hydrocarbons and storing and providing other services at its storage tanks and terminals. The HEP segment also includes a 25% interest in SLC Pipeline that services refineries in the Salt Lake

**Table of Contents**

City, Utah area. Revenues from the HEP segment are earned through transactions with unaffiliated parties for pipeline transportation, rental and terminalling operations as well as revenues relating to pipeline transportation services provided for our refining operations. Our revaluation of HEP's assets and liabilities at March 1, 2008 (date of reconsolidation) resulted in basis adjustments to our consolidated HEP balances. Therefore, our reported amounts for the HEP segment may not agree to amounts reported in HEP's periodic public filings.

The accounting policies for our segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

	<b>Refining</b>	<b>HEP<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Corporate and Other (In thousands)</b>	<b>Consolidations and Eliminations</b>	<b>Consolidated Total</b>
<b>Three Months Ended</b>					
<b>March 31, 2011</b>					
Sales and other revenues	\$ 2,315,092	\$ 45,005	\$ 648	\$ (34,160)	\$ 2,326,585
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 22,983	\$ 7,235	\$ 1,297	\$ (207)	\$ 31,308
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 152,104	\$ 23,611	\$ (16,098)	\$ (518)	\$ 159,099
Capital expenditures	\$ 22,965	\$ 11,475	\$ 39,598	\$	\$ 74,038
<b>Three Months Ended</b>					
<b>March 31, 2010</b>					
Sales and other revenues	\$ 1,867,174	\$ 40,689	\$ 66	\$ (33,639)	\$ 1,874,290
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 20,726	\$ 6,805	\$ 521	\$ (295)	\$ 27,757
Income (loss) from operations	\$ (24,579)	\$ 18,261	\$ (15,767)	\$ (659)	\$ (22,744)
Capital expenditures	\$ 19,209	\$ 1,911	\$ 9,978	\$	\$ 31,098
<b>March 31, 2011</b>					
Cash, cash equivalents and investments in marketable securities	\$	\$ 1,502	\$ 291,109	\$	\$ 292,611
Total assets	\$ 2,725,065	\$ 679,101	\$ 619,825	\$ (34,231)	\$ 3,989,760
Long-term debt	\$	\$ 505,918	\$ 345,108	\$ (16,813)	\$ 834,213
<b>December 31, 2010</b>					
Cash, cash equivalents and investments in marketable securities	\$	\$ 403	\$ 230,041	\$	\$ 230,444
Total assets	\$ 2,490,193	\$ 669,820	\$ 573,531	\$ (32,069)	\$ 3,701,475
Long-term debt	\$	\$ 482,271	\$ 345,215	\$ (16,925)	\$ 810,561

(1) HEP segment revenues from external customers were \$10.9 million and \$7.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

**NOTE 17: Supplemental Guarantor/Non-Guarantor Financial Information**

Our obligations under the Holly 9.875% Senior Notes have been jointly and severally guaranteed by the substantial majority of our existing and future restricted subsidiaries ( Guarantor Restricted Subsidiaries ). These guarantees are full and unconditional. HEP, in which we have a 34% ownership interest, and its subsidiaries (collectively,

Non-Guarantor Non-Restricted Subsidiaries ), and certain of our other subsidiaries ( Non-Guarantor Restricted Subsidiaries ) have not guaranteed these obligations.

The following financial information presents condensed consolidating balance sheets, statements of income, and statements of cash flows of Holly Corporation (the Parent ), the Guarantor Restricted Subsidiaries, the Non-Guarantor Restricted Subsidiaries and the Non-Guarantor Non-Restricted Subsidiaries. The information has been presented as if the Parent accounted for its ownership in the Guarantor Restricted Subsidiaries, and the Guarantor Restricted Subsidiaries accounted for the ownership of the Non-Guarantor Restricted Subsidiaries and Non-Guarantor Non-Restricted Subsidiaries, using the equity method of accounting. The Guarantor Restricted Subsidiaries and the Non-Guarantor Restricted Subsidiaries are collectively the Restricted Subsidiaries. Our revaluation of HEP's assets and liabilities at March 1, 2008 (date of reconsolidation) resulted in basis adjustments to our consolidated HEP balances. Therefore, our reported amounts for the HEP segment may not agree to amounts reported in HEP's periodic public filings.

- 23 -

---

**Table of Contents****Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet**

March 31, 2011	Parent	Non-Guarantor		Eliminations (In thousands)	Non-Guarantor Holly Corp. Before Non-Restricted Consolidation of Subsidiaries (HEP Segment)			Elimination Consolidated
		Guarantor Restricted Subsidiaries	Restricted Subsidiaries		HEP			
<b>ASSETS</b>								
Current assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 203,343	\$ (633)	\$ 19,902	\$	\$ 222,612	\$ 1,502	\$	\$ 224,114
Marketable securities	47,469	1,478			48,947			48,947
Accounts receivable	2,427	1,147,038	4,001		1,153,466	23,475	(24,687)	1,152,254
Intercompany accounts receivable (payable)	(1,474,477)	1,058,765	415,712					
Inventories		473,271			473,271	185		473,456
Income taxes receivable	2,042				2,042			2,042
Prepayments and other assets	8,283	10,084			18,367	360	(3,786)	14,941
Total current assets	(1,210,913)	2,690,003	439,615		1,918,705	25,522	(28,473)	1,915,754
Properties and equipment, net	17,279	1,019,342	274,994		1,311,615	496,839	(6,902)	1,801,552
Marketable securities (long-term)	19,550				19,550			19,550
Investment in subsidiaries	2,437,180	630,718	(394,511)	(2,673,387)				
Intangibles and other assets	7,800	87,220			95,020	156,740	1,144	252,904
Total assets	\$ 1,270,896	\$ 4,427,283	\$ 320,098	\$ (2,673,387)	\$ 3,344,890	\$ 679,101	\$ (34,231)	\$ 3,989,760
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>								
Current liabilities:								

Edgar Filing: HOLLY CORP - Form 10-Q

Accounts payable	\$ 5,707	\$ 1,496,835	\$ 10,328	\$	\$ 1,512,870	\$ 10,325	\$ (24,687)	\$ 1,498,508
Accrued liabilities	30,244	35,525	1,060		66,829	13,691	(3,786)	76,734
Total current liabilities	35,951	1,532,360	11,388		1,579,699	24,016	(28,473)	1,575,242
Long-term debt	289,792	55,316			345,108	505,918	(16,813)	834,213
Non-current liabilities	44,444	26,703			71,147	9,510		80,657
Deferred income taxes	125,685	325	737		126,747		4,951	131,698
Distributions in excess of inv in HEP		375,399			375,399		(375,399)	
Equity Holly Corporation	775,024	2,437,180	307,973	(2,745,153)	775,024	139,657	(140,895)	773,786
Equity noncontrolling interest				71,766	71,766		522,398	594,164
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 1,270,896	\$ 4,427,283	\$ 320,098	\$ (2,673,387)	\$ 3,344,890	\$ 679,101	\$ (34,231)	\$ 3,989,760

**Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet**

December 31, 2010	Parent	Non-Guarantor		Eliminations	Non-Guarantor		Elimination	Consolidated
		Guarantor Restricted	Guarantor Restricted		Holly Corp. Before Non-Restricted Consolidation of HEP	Subsidiaries (HEP Segment)		
<b>ASSETS</b>								
Current assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 230,082	\$ (9,035)	\$ 7,651	\$	\$ 228,698	\$ 403	\$	\$ 229,101
Marketable securities		1,343			1,343			1,343
Accounts receivable	1,683	991,778			993,461	22,508	(22,853)	993,116
Intercompany accounts receivable (payable)	(1,401,580)	981,691	419,889					
Inventories		400,165			400,165	202		400,367
Income taxes receivable	51,034				51,034			51,034
Prepayments and other assets	10,210	20,942			31,152	573	(3,251)	28,474



Edgar Filing: HOLLY CORP - Form 10-Q

Total current assets	(1,108,571)	2,386,884	427,540		1,705,853	23,686	(26,104)	1,703,435
Properties and equipment, net	17,177	1,017,877	236,648		1,271,702	492,098	(7,109)	1,756,691
Investment in subsidiaries	2,273,159	595,888	(393,011)	(2,476,036)				
Intangibles and other assets	8,569	77,600			86,169	154,036	1,144	241,349
Total assets	\$ 1,190,334	\$ 4,078,249	\$ 271,177	\$ (2,476,036)	\$ 3,063,724	\$ 669,820	\$ (32,069)	\$ 3,701,475

**LIABILITIES AND EQUITY**

Current liabilities:

Accounts payable	\$ 7,170	\$ 1,319,316	\$ 3,575	\$	\$ 1,330,061	\$ 10,238	\$ (22,853)	\$ 1,317,446
Accrued liabilities	25,512	28,145	797		54,454	21,206	(3,251)	72,409

Total current liabilities	32,682	1,347,461	4,372		1,384,515	31,444	(26,104)	1,389,855
---------------------------	--------	-----------	-------	--	-----------	--------	----------	-----------

Long-term debt	289,509	55,706			345,215	482,271	(16,925)	810,561
----------------	---------	--------	--	--	---------	---------	----------	---------

Non-current liabilities	42,655	27,521			70,176	10,809		80,985
-------------------------	--------	--------	--	--	--------	--------	--	--------

Deferred income taxes	126,160	259	565		126,984		4,951	131,935
-----------------------	---------	-----	-----	--	---------	--	-------	---------

Distributions in excess of inv in HEP		374,143			374,143		(374,143)	
---------------------------------------	--	---------	--	--	---------	--	-----------	--

Equity Holly Corporation	699,328	2,273,159	266,240	(2,539,399)	699,328	145,296	(147,205)	697,419
--------------------------	---------	-----------	---------	-------------	---------	---------	-----------	---------

Equity noncontrolling interest				63,363	63,363		527,357	590,720
--------------------------------	--	--	--	--------	--------	--	---------	---------

Total liabilities and equity	\$ 1,190,334	\$ 4,078,249	\$ 271,177	\$ (2,476,036)	\$ 3,063,724	\$ 669,820	\$ (32,069)	\$ 3,701,475
------------------------------	--------------	--------------	------------	----------------	--------------	------------	-------------	--------------

**Table of Contents****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income**

<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Non-Guarantor</b>				<b>Non-Guarantor</b>			<b>Consolidated</b>
	<b>Parent</b>	<b>Guarantor Restricted Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Non- Guarantor Restricted Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Holly Corp. Before Consolidation of HEP Segment</b>	<b>Non-Restricted Subsidiaries (HEP Eliminations)</b>		
<b>March 31, 2011</b>								
								(In thousands)
Sales and other revenues	\$ 648	\$ 2,315,092	\$	\$	\$ 2,315,740	\$ 45,005	\$ (34,160)	\$ 2,326,585
Operating costs and expenses:								
Cost of products sold		2,017,926			2,017,926		(33,309)	1,984,617
Operating expenses		121,685	388		122,073	12,796	(126)	134,743
General and administrative expenses	15,353	102			15,455	1,363		16,818
Depreciation and amortization	940	23,161	179		24,280	7,235	(207)	31,308
Total operating costs and expenses	16,293	2,162,874	567		2,179,734	21,394	(33,642)	2,167,486
Income (loss) from operations	(15,645)	152,218	(567)		136,006	23,611	(518)	159,099
Other income (expense):								
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries and joint venture	158,957	7,563	8,020	(166,520)	8,020	740	(8,020)	740
Interest income (expense)	(6,808)	(824)	13		(7,619)	(9,112)	612	(16,119)
Merger transaction costs	(3,698)				(3,698)			(3,698)
	148,451	6,739	8,033	(166,520)	(3,297)	(8,372)	(7,408)	(19,077)
Income before income taxes	132,806	158,957	7,466	(166,520)	132,709	15,239	(7,926)	140,022
Income tax provision	48,783				48,783	228		49,011



Edgar Filing: HOLLY CORP - Form 10-Q

Interest income (expense)	(9,143)	(1,279)	8		(10,414)	(8,104)	855	(17,663)
Other income (expense)						481		481
	(29,251)	5,201	5,937	13,628	(4,485)	(7,623)	(5,074)	(17,182)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(45,012)	(20,108)	6,661	13,628	(44,831)	10,638	(5,733)	(39,926)
Income tax provision	(16,766)				(16,766)	94		(16,672)
Net Income (loss)	(28,246)	(20,108)	6,661	13,628	(28,065)	10,544	(5,733)	(23,254)
Less net income attributable to noncontrolling interest				181	181		4,659	4,840
Net income (loss) attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders	\$ (28,246)	\$ (20,108)	\$ 6,661	\$ 13,447	\$ (28,246)	\$ 10,544	\$ (10,392)	\$ (28,094)

- 25 -

**Table of Contents****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows**

Three Months Ended	Guarantor		Non-Guarantor		Non-Guarantor		
	Parent	Subsidiaries	Restricted Subsidiaries	Restricted Subsidiaries	Holly Corp. Before Consolidation of HEP	Non-Restricted Subsidiaries (HEP Segment)	Elimination Consolidated
March 31, 2011							
Cash flows from operating activities	\$ 51,090	\$ 57,174	\$ 16,776	\$ 125,040	\$ 15,222	\$ (9,720)	\$ 130,542
Cash flows from investing activities							
Additions to properties, plants and equipment Holly	(1,043)	(22,995)	(38,525)	(62,563)			(62,563)
Additions to properties, plants and equipment HEP						(11,475)	(11,475)
Purchases of marketable securities	(98,937)			(98,937)			(98,937)
Sales and maturities of marketable securities	31,925			31,925			31,925
	(68,055)	(22,995)	(38,525)	(129,575)	(11,475)		(141,050)
Cash flows from financing activities							
Net borrowings under credit agreements HEP						23,000	23,000
Repayments under financing obligation Holly		(277)		(277)			(277)
Purchase of treasury stock	(2,051)			(2,051)			(2,051)
Contribution from joint venture partner		(25,500)	34,000	8,500			8,500
Dividends	(7,984)			(7,984)			(7,984)
Distributions to noncontrolling interest						(22,205)	(12,485)
Excess tax benefit from equity based compensation	261			261			261
Deferred financing costs						(3,044)	(3,044)

Purchase of units for HEP restricted grants					(399)		(399)
	(9,774)	(25,777)	34,000	(1,551)	(2,648)	9,720	5,521
Cash and cash equivalents							
Increase (decrease) for the period	(26,739)	8,402	12,251	(6,086)	1,099		(4,987)
Beginning of period	230,082	(9,035)	7,651	228,698	403		229,101
End of period	\$ 203,343	\$ (633)	\$ 19,902	\$ 222,612	\$ 1,502	\$	\$ 224,114

**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows**

Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Non-Guarantor Holly Corp. Guarantor Non-Guarantor Before Non-Restricted Consolidation Restricted Restricted of Subsidiaries (HEP)						
	Parent	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	HEP	Segment	Eliminations	Consolidated
	(In thousands)						
Cash flows from operating activities	\$ (43,478)	\$ (59,287)	\$ 2,660	\$ (100,105)	\$ 18,723	\$ (8,642)	\$ (90,024)
Cash flows from investing activities							
Additions to properties, plants and equipment Holly	(915)	(19,209)	(9,063)	(29,167)			(29,187)
Additions to properties, plants and equipment HEP					(39,145)	37,324	(1,911)
Proceeds from sale of assets		37,324		37,324		(37,324)	
	(915)	18,025	(9,063)	8,047	(39,145)		(31,098)
Cash flows from financing activities							
Net repayments under credit agreements HEP					(35,000)		(35,000)
Proceeds from issuance of senior notes HEP					147,540		147,540
Repayments under financing obligation Holly		(345)		(345)		99	(246)
Purchase of treasury stock	(1,055)			(1,055)			(1,055)
Contribution from joint venture partner		(3,750)	5,000	1,250			1,250
Dividends	(7,926)			(7,926)			(7,926)
		55,766		55,766	(55,766)		

Edgar Filing: HOLLY CORP - Form 10-Q

Purchase price in excess of transferred basis in assets							
Distributions to noncontrolling interest				(20,506)	8,543		(11,963)
Excess tax expense from equity based compensation	(1,045)			(1,045)			(1,045)
Deferred financing costs	(56)			(56)			(56)
Purchase of units for HEP restricted grants					(1,745)		(1,745)
Other	61			61			61
	(10,021)	51,671	5,000	46,650	34,523	8,642	89,815
Cash and cash equivalents							
Increase (decrease) for the period	(54,414)	10,409	(1,403)	(45,408)	14,101		(31,307)
Beginning of period	127,560	(12,477)	7,005	122,088	2,508		124,596
End of period	\$ 73,146	\$ (2,068)	\$ 5,602	\$ 76,680	\$ 16,609	\$	\$ 93,289

- 26 -

**Table of Contents****Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

This Item 2 contains forward-looking statements. See Forward-Looking Statements at the beginning of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. In this document, the words we, our, ours and us refer only to Holly Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries or to Holly Corporation or an individual subsidiary and not to any other person. The words we, our, ours and us generally include Holly Energy Partners, L.P. ( HEP ) and its subsidiaries as consolidated subsidiaries of Holly Corporation with certain exceptions where there are transactions or obligations between HEP and Holly Corporation or its other subsidiaries. This document contains certain disclosures of agreements that are specific to HEP and its consolidated subsidiaries and do not necessarily represent obligations of Holly Corporation. When used in descriptions of agreements and transactions, HEP refers to HEP and its consolidated subsidiaries.

**OVERVIEW**

We are principally an independent petroleum refiner that produces high value light products such as gasoline, diesel fuel, jet fuel, specialty lubricant products, and specialty and modified asphalt. Navajo Refining Company, L.L.C., one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, owns a petroleum refinery in Artesia, New Mexico, which operates in conjunction with crude, vacuum distillation and other facilities situated 65 miles away in Lovington, New Mexico (collectively, the Navajo Refinery ). The Navajo Refinery can process sour (high sulfur) crude oils and serves markets in the southwestern United States and northern Mexico. Our refinery located just north of Salt Lake City, Utah (the Woods Cross Refinery ) is operated by Holly Refining & Marketing Company Woods Cross, one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. This facility is a high conversion refinery that primarily processes regional sweet (lower sulfur) and sour Canadian crude oils. Our refinery located in Tulsa, Oklahoma (the Tulsa Refinery ) is comprised of two facilities, the Tulsa Refinery west and east facilities.

At March 31, 2011, we owned a 34% interest in HEP, a consolidated variable interest entity ( VIE ), which includes our 2% general partner interest. HEP has logistic assets including petroleum product and crude oil pipelines located in Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Utah; ten refined product terminals; a jet fuel terminal; loading rack facilities at each of our three refineries, a refined products tank farm facility and on-site crude oil tankage at our Navajo, Woods Cross and Tulsa Refineries. Additionally, HEP owns a 25% interest in SLC Pipeline LLC ( SLC Pipeline ), a new 95-mile intrastate pipeline system that serves refineries in the Salt Lake City area.

On February 21, 2011, we entered into a merger agreement providing for a merger of equals business combination of us and Frontier Oil Corporation ( Frontier ). Subject to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement which has been approved unanimously by both our and Frontier's board of directors, Frontier shareholders will receive 0.4811 shares of our common stock for each share of Frontier common stock if the merger is completed. Completion of the merger is subject to certain conditions, including, among others, (i) approval by our stockholders of the issuance of our common stock to Frontier's stockholders in connection with the merger, (ii) adoption of the merger agreement by Frontier's stockholders, (iii) the expiration or termination of the applicable waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, (iv) the registration statement on Form S-4 used to register the common stock to be issued as consideration for the merger having been declared effective by the SEC and (v) the entry into a new credit facility for the combined company. In March 2011, the Federal Trade Commission ( FTC ) granted early termination of its Hart-Scott-Rodino antitrust review of the proposed merger.

Our principal source of revenue is from the sale of high value light products such as gasoline, diesel fuel, jet fuel and asphalt products in markets in the Southwest, Rocky Mountain and Mid-Continent regions of the United States and northern Mexico. We also produce specialty lubricant products that are marketed throughout North America and are distributed in Central and South America. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, sales and other revenues were \$2,326.6 million and net income attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders was \$84.7 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, sales and other revenues were \$1,874.3 million and the net loss attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders was \$28.1 million. Our principal expenses are costs of products sold and operating expenses. Our total operating costs and expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2011 were \$2,167.5 million compared to \$1,897 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010.



**Table of Contents****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Financial Data (Unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Change from 2010</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>		<b>Change</b>	<b>Percent</b>
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>		
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
Sales and other revenues	\$ 2,326,585	\$ 1,874,290	\$ 452,295	24.1%
Operating costs and expenses:				
Cost of products sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	1,984,617	1,723,864	260,753	15.1
Operating expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	134,743	127,544	7,199	5.6
General and administrative expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	16,818	17,869	(1,051)	(5.9)
Depreciation and amortization	31,308	27,757	3,551	12.8
Total operating costs and expenses	2,167,486	1,897,034	270,452	14.3
Income (loss) from operations	159,099	(22,744)	181,843	799.5
Other income (expense):				
Equity in earnings of SLC Pipeline	740	481	259	53.8
Interest income	85	59	26	44.1
Interest expense	(16,204)	(17,722)	1,518	8.6
Merger transaction costs	(3,698)		(3,698)	(100.0)
	(19,077)	(17,182)	(1,895)	11.0
Income (loss) before income taxes	140,022	(39,926)	179,948	450.7
Income tax provision (benefit)	49,011	(16,672)	65,683	394.0
Net income (loss)	91,011	(23,254)	114,265	491.4
Less net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	6,317	4,840	1,477	30.5
Net income (loss) attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders	\$ 84,694	\$ (28,094)	\$ 112,788	401.5%
Earnings per share attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders:				

Edgar Filing: HOLLY CORP - Form 10-Q

Basic	\$	1.59	\$	(0.53)	\$	2.12	400.0%
Diluted	\$	1.58	\$	(0.53)	\$	2.11	398.1%
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$	0.15	\$	0.15	\$		%
Average number of common shares outstanding:							
Basic		53,307		53,094		213	0.4%
Diluted		53,633		53,094		539	1.0%

**Balance Sheet Data (Unaudited)**

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
	(In thousands)	
Cash, cash equivalents and investments in marketable securities	\$ 292,611	\$ 230,444
Working capital	\$ 340,512	\$ 313,580
Total assets	\$ 3,989,760	\$ 3,701,475
Long-term debt	\$ 834,213	\$ 810,561
Total equity	\$ 1,367,950	\$ 1,288,139

- 28 -

**Table of Contents****Other Financial Data (Unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
	(In thousands)	
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	\$ 130,542	\$ (90,024)
Net cash used for investing activities	\$ (141,050)	\$ (31,098)
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$ 5,521	\$ 89,815
Capital expenditures	\$ 74,038	\$ 31,098
EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 181,132	\$ 654

(1) Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, which we refer to as ( EBITDA ), is calculated as net income plus (i) interest expense, net of interest income, (ii) income tax provision, and (iii) depreciation and amortization. EBITDA is not a calculation provided for under GAAP; however, the amounts included in the EBITDA calculation are derived from amounts included in our consolidated financial statements. EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income or operating income as an indication of our operating performance or as an alternative to operating cash flow as a measure of liquidity. EBITDA is not necessarily comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. EBITDA is presented here because it is a widely used financial indicator used by investors and analysts to measure performance. EBITDA is also used by our management for internal analysis and as a basis for financial covenants. EBITDA presented above is reconciled to net income under Reconciliations to Amounts Reported Under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles following Item 3 of Part I of this Form 10-Q.

Our operations are currently organized into two reportable segments, Refining and HEP. Our operations that are not included in the Refining and HEP segment are included in Corporate and Other. Intersegment transactions are eliminated in our consolidated financial statements and are included in Eliminations.

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
	(In thousands)	
Sales and other revenues		
Refining <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 2,315,092	\$ 1,867,174
HEP <sup>(2)</sup>	45,005	40,689
Corporate and Other	648	66
Eliminations	(34,160)	(33,639)
Consolidated	\$ 2,326,585	\$ 1,874,290
Operating income (loss)		
Refining <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 152,104	\$ (24,579)
HEP <sup>(2)</sup>	23,611	18,261
Corporate and Other	(16,098)	(15,767)
Eliminations	(518)	(659)
Consolidated	\$ 159,099	\$ (22,744)

- (1) The Refining segment includes the operations of our Navajo, Woods Cross and Tulsa Refineries and Holly Asphalt Company ( Holly Asphalt ) and involves the purchase and refining of crude oil and wholesale and branded marketing of refined products, such as gasoline, diesel fuel, jet fuel, specialty lubricant products, and specialty and modified asphalt. The petroleum products are primarily marketed in the Southwest, Rocky Mountain and Mid-Continent regions of the United States and northern Mexico. Additionally, specialty lubricant products produced at our Tulsa Refinery are marketed throughout North America and are distributed in Central and South America. Holly Asphalt manufactures and markets asphalt and asphalt products in Arizona, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Kansas, Missouri, Texas and northern Mexico.
- (2) The HEP segment involves all of the operations of HEP which owns and operates a system of petroleum product and crude gathering pipelines and refinery tankage in Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Utah, and distribution terminals in Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Idaho, Oklahoma and Washington. Revenues are generated by charging tariffs for transporting petroleum products and crude oil through its pipelines and by charging fees for

- 29 -

---

**Table of Contents**

terminalling petroleum products and other hydrocarbons, and storing and providing other services at its storage tanks and terminals. Additionally, HEP owns a 25% interest in the SLC Pipeline that services refineries in the Salt Lake City, Utah area. Revenues from the HEP segment are earned through transactions with unaffiliated parties for pipeline transportation, rental and terminalling operations as well as revenues relating to pipeline transportation services provided for our refining operations.

**Refining Operating Data (Unaudited)**

Our refinery operations include the Navajo, Woods Cross and Tulsa Refineries. The following tables set forth information, including non-GAAP performance measures, about our consolidated refinery operations. The cost of products and refinery gross margin do not include the effect of depreciation and amortization. Reconciliations to amounts reported under GAAP are provided under Reconciliations to Amounts Reported Under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles following Item 3 of Part I of this Form 10-Q.

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b><i>Navajo Refinery</i></b>		
Crude charge (BPD) <sup>(1)</sup>	69,980	78,910
Refinery throughput (BPD) <sup>(2)</sup>	78,930	90,490
Refinery production (BPD) <sup>(3)</sup>	76,720	87,530
Sales of produced refined products (BPD)	79,840	86,930
Sales of refined products (BPD) <sup>(4)</sup>	86,700	90,120
Refinery utilization <sup>(5)</sup>	70.0%	78.9%
Average per produced barrel <sup>(6)</sup>		
Net sales	\$ 110.99	\$ 88.06
Cost of products <sup>(7)</sup>	95.60	82.96
Refinery gross margin	15.39	5.10
Refinery operating expenses <sup>(8)</sup>	6.34	5.18
Net operating margin	\$ 9.05	\$ (0.08)
Refinery operating expenses per throughput barrel	\$ 6.42	\$ 4.97
Feedstocks:		
Sour crude oil	73%	87%
Sweet crude oil	5%	4%
Heavy sour crude oil	11%	%
Other feedstocks and blends	11%	9%
Total	100%	100%
Sales of produced refined products:		
Gasolines	51%	59%
Diesel fuels	35%	30%
Jet fuels	1%	4%

Fuel oil	5%	4%
Asphalt	5%	1%
LPG and other	3%	2%
Total	100%	100%

***Woods Cross Refinery***

Crude charge (BPD) <sup>(1)</sup>	25,770	25,680
Refinery throughput (BPD) <sup>(2)</sup>	27,900	27,110
Refinery production (BPD) <sup>(3)</sup>	26,620	26,540
Sales of produced refined products (BPD)	26,650	28,170
Sales of refined products (BPD) <sup>(4)</sup>	26,740	28,360
Refinery utilization <sup>(5)</sup>	83.1%	82.8%

- 30 -

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average per produced barrel <sup>(6)</sup>		
Net sales	\$ 108.77	\$ 89.52
Cost of products <sup>(7)</sup>	89.87	74.72
Refinery gross margin	18.90	14.80
Refinery operating expenses <sup>(8)</sup>	6.43	6.20
Net operating margin	\$ 12.47	\$ 8.60
Refinery operating expenses per throughput barrel	\$ 6.14	\$ 6.45
Feedstocks:		
Sweet crude oil	57%	61%
Heavy sour crude oil	4%	7%
Black wax crude oil	31%	28%
Other feedstocks and blends	8%	4%
Total	100%	100%
Sales of produced refined products:		
Gasolines	61%	64%
Diesel fuels	29%	28%
Jet fuels	2%	1%
Fuel oil	2%	1%
Asphalt	3%	3%
LPG and other	3%	3%
Total	100%	100%
<b><i>Tulsa Refinery</i></b>		
Crude charge (BPD) <sup>(1)</sup>	105,600	103,600
Refinery throughput (BPD) <sup>(2)</sup>	106,690	104,810
Refinery production (BPD) <sup>(3)</sup>	106,160	102,890
Sales of produced refined products (BPD)	100,010	98,760
Sales of refined products (BPD) <sup>(4)</sup>	100,400	100,620
Refinery utilization <sup>(5)</sup>	84.5%	82.9%
Average per produced barrel <sup>(6)</sup>		
Net sales	\$ 115.29	\$ 86.22
Cost of products <sup>(7)</sup>	100.50	82.89

Edgar Filing: HOLLY CORP - Form 10-Q

Refinery gross margin	14.79	3.33
Refinery operating expenses <sup>(8)</sup>	5.98	5.91
Net operating margin	\$ 8.81	\$ (2.58)
Refinery operating expenses per throughput barrel	\$ 5.61	\$ 5.56
Feedstocks:		
Sweet crude oil	97%	99%
Heavy sour crude oil	2%	%
Other feedstocks and blends	1%	1%
Total	100%	100%
Sales of produced refined products:		
Gasolines	37%	41%
Diesel fuels	31%	30%
Jet fuels	8%	9%
Lubricants	11%	10%
Asphalt	4%	4%
Gas oil / intermediates	7%	2%
LPG and other	2%	4%
Total	100%	100%

- 31 -

---



**Table of Contents**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b><i>Consolidated</i></b>		
Crude charge (BPD) <sup>(1)</sup>	201,350	208,190
Refinery throughput (BPD) <sup>(2)</sup>	213,520	222,410
Refinery production (BPD) <sup>(3)</sup>	209,500	216,960
Sales of produced refined products (BPD)	206,500	213,860
Sales of refined products (BPD) <sup>(4)</sup>	213,840	219,100
Refinery utilization <sup>(5)</sup>	78.7%	81.3%
Average per produced barrel <sup>(6)</sup>		
Net sales	\$ 113.28	\$ 87.40
Cost of products <sup>(7)</sup>	97.56	81.84
Refinery gross margin	15.72	5.56
Refinery operating expenses <sup>(8)</sup>	6.24	5.65
Net operating margin	\$ 9.48	\$ (0.09)
Refinery operating expenses per throughput barrel	\$ 5.98	\$ 5.43
Feedstocks:		
Sour crude oil	27%	35%
Sweet crude oil	58%	56%
Heavy sour crude oil	5%	1%
Black wax crude oil	4%	3%
Other feedstocks and blends	6%	5%
Total	100%	100%
Sales of produced refined products:		
Gasolines	45%	51%
Diesel fuels	33%	30%
Jet fuels	4%	6%
Fuel oil	2%	2%
Asphalt	4%	3%
Lubricants	6%	4%
Gas oil / intermediates	3%	1%
LPG and other	3%	3%
Total	100%	100%

(1) Crude charge represents the barrels per day of crude oil processed at our refineries.

- (2) Refinery throughput represents the barrels per day of crude and other refinery feedstocks input to the crude units and other conversion units at our refineries.
- (3) Refinery production represents the barrels per day of refined products yielded from processing crude and other refinery feedstocks through the crude units and other conversion units at our refineries.
- (4) Includes refined products purchased for resale.
- (5) Represents crude charge divided by total crude capacity (BPSD). Our consolidated crude capacity is 256,000 BPSD.
- (6) Represents average per barrel amount for produced refined products sold, which is a non-GAAP measure. Reconciliations to amounts reported under GAAP are provided under Reconciliations to Amounts Reported Under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles following Item 3 of Part I of this Form 10-Q.
- (7) Transportation costs billed from HEP are included in cost of products.
- (8) Represents operating expenses of our refineries, exclusive of depreciation and amortization.

- 32 -

---

**Table of Contents****Results of Operations Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2010*****Summary***

Net income attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$84.7 million (\$1.59 per basic and \$1.58 per diluted share), a \$112.8 million increase compared to \$28.1 million net loss (\$(0.53) per basic and diluted share) for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Net income increased due principally to higher refinery gross margins during the three months ended March 31, 2011. This was partially offset by a decrease in volumes of produced refined products sold. Overall refinery gross margins for the three months ended March 31, 2011 increased to \$15.72 per produced barrel compared to \$5.56 for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Overall production levels for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased by 3% over the same period of 2010 due to the effects of production downtime at the Navajo Refinery during the current year first quarter that was partially offset by current year production increases at our Tulsa Refinery facilities. The Navajo Refinery experienced a plant-wide power outage in late January 2010. Inclement weather delayed the process of restoring production to planned operating levels during the month of February.

***Sales and Other Revenues***

Sales and other revenues increased 24% from \$1,874.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$2,326.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, due principally to the effects of increased sales prices of produced refined products sold that was partially offset by a decrease in year-over-year first quarter volumes of produced refined products sold. The average sales price we received per produced barrel sold increased 30% from \$87.40 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$113.28 for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Sales and other revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, include \$10.9 million and \$7.1 million, respectively, in HEP revenues attributable to pipeline and transportation services provided to unaffiliated parties.

***Cost of Products Sold***

Cost of products sold increased 15% from \$1,723.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$1,984.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, due principally to higher crude oil costs, offset by a 3% decrease in volumes of produced refined products sold. The average price we paid per barrel for crude oil and feedstocks and the transportation costs of moving the finished products to the market place increased 19% from \$81.84 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$97.56 for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

***Gross Refinery Margins***

Gross refinery margin per produced barrel increased 183% from \$5.56 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$15.72 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 due to the effects of an increase in the average sales price we received per barrel of produced refined products sold, partially offset by an increase in the average per barrel price we paid for crude oil and feedstocks. Our processing of 100% lower priced West Texas Intermediate related crude oil combined with strong diesel and unseasonably high gasoline margins at all of our refineries helped fuel this margin improvement. Gross refinery margin does not include the effects of depreciation and amortization. See

Reconciliations to Amounts Reported Under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles following Item 3 of Part 1 of this Form 10-Q for a reconciliation to the income statement of prices of refined products sold and cost of products purchased.

***Operating Expenses***

Operating expenses, exclusive of depreciation and amortization, increased 6% from \$127.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$134.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, due principally to increased repair and maintenance costs during the current year first quarter.

***General and Administrative Expenses***

General and administrative expenses decreased 6% from \$17.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$16.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, due principally to lower equity based compensation costs and fees for professional services.

**Table of Contents*****Depreciation and Amortization Expenses***

Depreciation and amortization increased 13% from \$27.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$31.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The increase was due principally to depreciation and amortization attributable to capitalized improvement projects in 2010.

***Interest Expense***

Interest expense was \$16.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$17.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease was due principally to interest capitalized on the UNEV Pipeline project. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, interest expense included \$9.1 million and \$8.1 million, respectively, in interest costs attributable to HEP operations.

***Income Taxes***

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 we recorded income tax expense of \$49 million compared to an income tax benefit of \$16.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES*****Holly Credit Agreement***

We have a \$400 million senior secured credit agreement expiring in March 2013 (the *Holly Credit Agreement*) with Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent and one of a syndicate of lenders. The *Holly Credit Agreement* may be used to fund working capital requirements, capital expenditures, permitted acquisitions or other general corporate purposes. We were in compliance with all covenants at March 31, 2011. At March 31, 2011, we had no outstanding borrowings and outstanding letters of credit totaling \$70 million under the *Holly Credit Agreement*. At that level of usage, the unused commitment was \$330 million.

If any particular lender could not honor its commitment, we believe the unused capacity that would be available from the remaining lenders would be sufficient to meet our borrowing needs. Additionally, we have reviewed publicly available information on our lenders in order to review and monitor their financial stability and assess their ongoing ability to honor their commitments under the *Holly Credit Agreement*. We have not experienced, nor do we expect to experience, any difficulty in the lenders' ability to honor their respective commitments, and if it were to become necessary, we believe there would be alternative lenders or options available.

***HEP Credit Agreement***

HEP has a \$275 million senior secured revolving Credit Agreement (the *HEP Credit Agreement*) that is available to fund capital expenditures, investments, acquisitions, distribution payments and working capital and for general partnership purposes. In February 2011, HEP amended its previous credit agreement (expiring in August 2011), slightly, reducing the size of the credit facility from \$300 million to \$275 million. The size was reduced based on management's review of past and forecasted utilization of the facility. The *HEP Credit Agreement* expires in February 2016; however, in the event that the 6.25% HEP Senior Notes (discussed later) are not repurchased, refinanced, extended or repaid prior to September 1, 2014, the *HEP Credit Agreement* will expire on that date. At March 31, 2011, HEP had outstanding borrowings totaling \$182 million under the *HEP Credit Agreement*, with unused borrowing capacity of \$93 million.

HEP's obligations under the *HEP Credit Agreement* are collateralized by substantially all of HEP's assets (presented parenthetically in our Consolidated Balance Sheets). Indebtedness under the *HEP Credit Agreement* is recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., its general partner, and guaranteed by HEP's wholly-owned subsidiaries. Any recourse to the general partner would be limited to the extent of HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P.'s assets, which other than its investment in HEP, are not significant. HEP's creditors have no other recourse to our assets. Furthermore, our creditors have no recourse to the assets of HEP and its consolidated subsidiaries.

If any particular lender could not honor its commitment under the *HEP Credit Agreement*, HEP believes the unused capacity that would be available from the remaining lenders would be sufficient to meet its borrowing needs.

Additionally, publicly available information on these lenders is reviewed in order to monitor their financial stability and assess their ongoing ability to honor their commitments under the *HEP Credit Agreement*.

**Table of Contents**

HEP does not expect to experience any difficulty in the lenders' ability to honor their respective commitments, and if it were to become necessary, HEP believes there would be alternative lenders or options available.

***Holly Senior Notes Due 2017***

Our \$300 million 9.875% senior notes (the Holly 9.875% Senior Notes) mature in June 2017 and are unsecured and impose certain restrictive covenants, including limitations on our ability to incur additional debt, incur liens, enter into sale-and-leaseback transactions, pay dividends, enter into mergers, sell assets and enter into certain transactions with affiliates. At any time when the Holly 9.875% Senior Notes are rated investment grade by both Moody's and Standard & Poor's and no default or event of default exists, we will not be subject to many of the foregoing covenants.

Additionally, we have certain redemption rights under the Holly 9.875% Senior Notes.

***HEP Senior Notes Due 2018 and 2015***

In March 2010, HEP issued \$150 million in aggregate principal amount of 8.25% senior notes maturing in March 2018 (the HEP 8.25% Senior Notes). A portion of the \$147.5 million in net proceeds received was used to fund HEP's \$93 million purchase of certain storage assets at our Tulsa Refinery east facility and Navajo Refinery Lovington facility on March 31, 2010. Additionally, HEP used a portion to repay \$42 million in outstanding HEP Credit Agreement borrowings, with the remaining proceeds available for general partnership purposes, including working capital and capital expenditures.

HEP also has \$185 million in aggregate principal amount outstanding of 6.25% senior notes maturing in March 2015 (the HEP 6.25% Senior Notes) that are registered with the SEC. The HEP 6.25% Senior Notes and HEP 8.25% Senior Notes (collectively, the HEP Senior Notes) are unsecured and impose certain restrictive covenants, including limitations on HEP's ability to incur additional indebtedness, make investments, sell assets, incur certain liens, pay distributions, enter into transactions with affiliates, and enter into mergers. At any time when the HEP Senior Notes are rated investment grade by both Moody's and Standard & Poor's and no default or event of default exists, HEP will not be subject to many of the foregoing covenants. Additionally, HEP has certain redemption rights under the HEP Senior Notes.

Indebtedness under the HEP Senior Notes is recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., its general partner, and guaranteed by HEP's wholly-owned subsidiaries. However, any recourse to the general partner would be limited to the extent of HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P.'s assets, which other than its investment in HEP, are not significant. HEP's creditors have no other recourse to our assets. Furthermore, our creditors have no recourse to the assets of HEP and its consolidated subsidiaries.

See Risk Management for a discussion of HEP's interest rate swap contracts.

***Holly Financing Obligation***

In October 2009, we sold approximately 400,000 barrels of crude oil tankage at our Tulsa Refinery west facility as well as certain crude oil pipeline receiving facilities to an affiliate of Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. (Plains) for \$40 million in cash. In connection with this transaction, we entered into a 15-year lease agreement with Plains, whereby we agreed to pay a fixed monthly fee for the exclusive use of this tankage as well as a fee for volumes received at the receiving facilities purchased by Plains. Additionally, we have a margin sharing agreement with Plains under which we will equally share contango profits with Plains for crude oil purchased by them and delivered to our Tulsa Refinery west facility for storage. Due to our continuing involvement in these assets, this transaction has been accounted for as a financing obligation. As a result, we retained these assets on our books and recorded a liability representing the \$40 million in proceeds received.

***Liquidity***

We believe our current cash and cash equivalents, along with future internally generated cash flow and funds available under our credit facilities will provide sufficient resources to fund currently planned capital projects, including our planned integration of the Tulsa Refinery facilities, and our liquidity needs for the foreseeable future. In addition, components of our growth strategy may include construction of new refinery processing units and the expansion of existing units at our facilities and selective acquisition of complementary assets for our refining operations intended to increase earnings and cash flow. Our ability to acquire complementary assets will be dependent upon several factors, including our ability to identify attractive acquisition candidates,



**Table of Contents**

consummate acquisitions on favorable terms, successfully integrate acquired assets and obtain financing to fund acquisitions and to support our growth, and many other factors beyond our control.

We consider all highly-liquid instruments with a maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are stated at cost, which approximates market value, and are invested primarily in conservative, highly-rated instruments issued by financial institutions or government entities with strong credit standings. As of March 31, 2011, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$224.1 million and short-term investments in marketable securities of \$48.9 million.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011. Net cash used for investing activities of \$141 million exceeded cash provided by operating activities and financing activities of \$130.5 million and \$5.5 million, respectively. Working capital increased by \$26.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

**Cash Flows – Operating Activities*****Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2010***

Net cash flows provided by operating activities were \$130.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to net cash used by operating activities of \$90 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, an increase of \$220.5 million. Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$91 million, an increase of \$114.3 million compared to a net loss of \$23.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Non-cash adjustments consisting of depreciation and amortization, deferred income taxes, equity-based compensation expense and fair value adjustments to derivative instruments resulted in an increase to operating cash flows of \$33.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$10.1 million for the same period in 2010. Additionally, SLC Pipeline earnings, net of distributions decreased operating cash flows by \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively. Changes in working capital items increased cash flows by \$18.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to a decrease of \$71.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Additionally, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, turnaround expenditures increased to \$16.9 million from \$7.3 million in 2010 due to a major maintenance turnaround project at our Tulsa Refinery facilities that was completed in January 2011.

**Cash Flows – Investing Activities and Planned Capital Expenditures*****Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2010***

Net cash flows used for investing activities were \$141 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$31.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, an increase of \$109.9 million. Cash expenditures for properties, plants and equipment for the first three months of 2011 increased to \$74 million from \$31.1 million for the same period in 2010. These include HEP capital expenditures of \$11.5 million and \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Capital expenditures were significantly higher in the three months ending March 31, 2011 due to construction of the UNEV Pipeline system. Also, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, we invested \$98.9 million in marketable securities and received proceeds of \$31.9 million from the sale or maturity of marketable securities.

***Planned Capital Expenditures******Holly Corporation***

Each year our Board of Directors approves in our annual capital budget projects that our management is authorized to undertake. Additionally, at times when conditions warrant or as new opportunities arise, other or special projects may be approved. The funds allocated for a particular capital project may be expended over a period of several years, depending on the time required to complete the project. Therefore, our planned capital expenditures for a given year consist of expenditures approved for capital projects included in the current year's capital budget as well as, in certain cases, expenditures approved for capital projects in capital budgets for prior years. Our total capital budget for 2011 is \$142.4 million. Additionally, capital costs of \$11.7 million have been approved for refinery turnarounds and tank work. We expect to spend approximately \$185 million in capital costs in 2011, including capital projects approved in prior years. Our capital spending for 2011 is comprised of \$24 million for projects at the Navajo Refinery, \$13 million for projects at the Woods Cross Refinery, \$70





**Table of Contents**

million for projects at the Tulsa Refinery, \$69 million for our portion of the UNEV Pipeline project, \$3 million for asphalt plant projects and \$6 million for marketing-related and miscellaneous projects. The following summarizes our key capital projects.

We are proceeding with the integration project of our Tulsa Refinery west and east facilities. Upon completion, the Tulsa Refinery will have an integrated crude processing rate of 125,000 BPSD. The integration project involves the installation of interconnect pipelines that will permit us to transfer various intermediate streams between the two facilities. Currently, we are using an existing third-party line for the transfer of intermediates from the west facility to the east facility under a 10-year agreement. These interconnect lines will allow us to eliminate the sale of gas oil at a discount to WTI under our 5-year gas oil off take agreement with a third party, optimize gasoline blending, increase our utilization of better process technology, improve yields and reduce operating costs. HEP is currently constructing five additional interconnect pipelines and we are currently negotiating terms for a long-term agreement with HEP to transfer intermediate products via these pipelines that will commence upon completion of the project. Also, as part of the integration, during the first quarter of 2011 we completed the expansion of the diesel hydrotreating unit at the east facility at an expected cost of \$27 million. This expanded unit will permit the processing of all high sulfur diesel produced to ULSD once the interconnecting pipelines are complete and available to move high sulfur diesel and hydrogen produced in the west facility to the east facility. We are currently planning to complete the integration projects in the summer of 2011.

The combined Tulsa Refinery facilities also will be required to comply with new MSAT2 regulations in order to meet new federal benzene reduction requirements for gasoline. We have elected to largely use existing equipment at the Tulsa Refinery east facility to split reformat from reformers at both west and east facilities and install a new benzene saturation unit to achieve the required benzene reduction at an estimated cost of \$28.5 million. We will be required to buy benzene credits to get the gasoline pool below 0.62% by volume until this project is complete, as required by law, beginning in 2011. There is an additional requirement to meet 1.3% benzene levels on an annual average beginning in July 2012. We expect to complete this project well before then.

Our consent decree with the EPA requires recovery of sulfur from the refinery fuel gas system and the shutdown or replacement of two low pressure boilers at the Tulsa Refinery west facility by the end of 2013. Our Board of Directors have approved a project for \$44 million which would meet these requirements as well as increase our ability to run additional lower priced sour crude types at the Tulsa Refinery east facility. Also, we are evaluating the best solution to the low pressure boiler issue. In addition to the consent decree requirements, flare gas recovery and coker blowdown modifications are required to comply with new flare regulations at an estimated cost of \$10 million.

The Navajo Refinery currently plans to comply with the new MSAT2 regulations by the fractionation of naphtha with existing equipment to achieve benzene in gasoline levels below 1.3%. The Navajo Refinery will purchase or use credits generated at the Tulsa Refinery to reduce benzene content to the required 0.62%. Due to our acquisition of the Tulsa Refinery facilities from Sunoco and Sinclair, our Navajo Refinery has until the end of 2011 to comply with the MSAT2 regulations because we no longer qualify for the small refiner's exemption. Also, we will be installing a new storm water surge tank and upgrade several other processes at the refinery's Artesia waste water treatment plant. These projects are expected to cost approximately \$17 million.

Our Woods Cross Refinery is required to install a wet gas scrubber on its FCC unit by the end of 2012. We estimate the total cost to be \$12 million. The MSAT2 solution for the refinery involves revamping its naphtha fractionation unit and installing a benzene saturation unit at an estimated cost of \$10 million. These projects will reduce benzene levels in gasoline below the 1.3% annual average level. The Woods Cross Refinery will purchase credits to meet the 0.62% benzene requirement. Like our Navajo Refinery, our Woods Cross Refinery has until the end of 2011 to comply with the MSAT2 regulations.

Under a definitive agreement with Sinclair, we are jointly building the UNEV Pipeline, a 12-inch refined products pipeline from Salt Lake City, Utah to Las Vegas, Nevada, together with terminal facilities in the Cedar City, Utah and North Las Vegas areas. Under the agreement, we own a 75% interest in the joint venture pipeline with Sinclair, our joint venture partner, owning the remaining 25% interest. The initial capacity of the pipeline will be 62,000 BPD (based on gasoline equivalents), with the capacity for further expansion to 120,000 BPD.



**Table of Contents**

The current total cost of the pipeline project including terminals is expected to be approximately in the \$340 million range, with our share of the cost totaling \$255 million. This project includes the construction of ethanol blending and storage facilities at the Cedar City terminal. The pipeline is in the final construction phase and is expected to be mechanically complete in the third quarter of 2011.

In connection with this project, we have entered into a 10-year commitment to ship an annual average of 15,000 barrels per day of refined products on the UNEV Pipeline at an agreed tariff. Our commitment for each year is subject to reduction by up to 5,000 barrels per day in specified circumstances relating to shipments by other shippers. We have an option agreement with HEP granting them an option to purchase all of our equity interests in this joint venture pipeline effective for a 180-day period commencing when the UNEV Pipeline becomes operational, at a purchase price equal to our investment in this joint venture pipeline plus interest at 7% per annum.

Regulatory compliance items or other presently existing or future environmental regulations / consent decrees could cause us to make additional capital investments beyond those described above and incur additional operating costs to meet applicable requirements.

**HEP**

Each year the Holly Logistic Services, L.L.C. board of directors approves HEP's annual capital budget, which specifies capital projects that HEP management is authorized to undertake. Additionally, at times when conditions warrant or as new opportunities arise, special projects may be approved. The funds allocated for a particular capital project may be expended over a period of several years, depending on the time required to complete the project. Therefore, HEP's planned capital expenditures for a given year consist of expenditures approved for capital projects included in its current year capital budget as well as, in certain cases, expenditures approved for capital projects in capital budgets for prior years. The 2011 HEP capital budget is comprised of \$5.8 million for maintenance capital expenditures and \$20.1 million for expansion capital expenditures.

As described under our Tulsa Refinery integration project, HEP is currently constructing five interconnecting pipelines between our Tulsa east and west refining facilities. The project is expected to cost approximately \$35 million with completion in the summer of 2011. We are currently negotiating terms for a long-term agreement with HEP to transfer intermediate products via these pipelines that will commence upon completion of the project.

**Cash Flows Financing Activities*****Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2010***

Net cash flows provided by financing activities were \$5.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$89.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, a decrease of \$84.3 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we paid \$0.3 million under our financing obligation to Plains, purchased \$2.1 million in common stock from employees to provide funds for the payment of payroll and income taxes due upon the vesting of certain share-based incentive awards, paid \$8 million in dividends, received an \$8.5 million contribution from our UNEV Pipeline joint venture partner and recognized \$0.3 million excess tax benefit on our equity based compensation. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, HEP received \$30 million and repaid \$7 million under the HEP Credit Agreement, paid distributions of \$12.5 million to noncontrolling interests, incurred \$3 million in deferred financing costs and purchased \$0.4 million in HEP common units in the open market for recipients of its restricted unit grants. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, we received and repaid \$310 million in advances under the Holly Credit Agreement, paid \$0.2 million under our financing obligation to Plains, paid \$7.9 million in dividends, purchased \$1.1 million in common stock from employees to provide funds for the payment of payroll and income taxes due upon the vesting of certain share-based incentive awards, received a \$1.3 million contribution from our UNEV Pipeline joint venture partner and recognized \$1 million in excess tax expense on our equity based compensation. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, HEP received \$147.5 million in net proceeds upon the issuance of the HEP 8.25% Senior Notes, received \$33 million and repaid \$68 million under the HEP Credit Agreement, paid distributions of \$12 million to noncontrolling interests and purchased \$1.7 million in HEP common units in the open market for recipients of its restricted unit grants.

**Table of Contents**

**Contractual Obligations and Commitments**

***Holly Corporation***

There were no significant changes to our contractual obligations during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

***HEP***

In February 2011, HEP amended its previous credit agreement (expiring in August 2011), slightly, reducing the size of the credit facility from \$300 million to \$275 million. The size was reduced based on management's review of past and forecasted utilization of the facility. The HEP Credit Agreement expires in February 2016; however, in the event that the 6.25% HEP Senior Notes are not repurchased, refinanced, extended or repaid prior to September 1, 2014, the HEP Credit Agreement will expire on that date. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, HEP received net advances of \$23 million resulting in \$182 million of outstanding principal under the HEP Credit Agreement at March 31, 2011.

There were no other significant changes to HEP's long-term contractual obligations during this period.

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Our significant accounting policies are described in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Certain critical accounting policies that materially affect the amounts recorded in our consolidated financial statements are the use of the LIFO method of valuing certain inventories, the amortization of deferred costs for regular major maintenance and repairs at our refineries, assessing the possible impairment of certain long-lived assets, and assessing contingent liabilities for probable losses. There have been no changes to these policies in 2011.

We use the LIFO method of valuing inventory. Under the LIFO method, an actual valuation of inventory can only be made at the end of each year based on the inventory levels. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations are based on management's estimates of expected year-end inventory levels and are subject to the final year-end LIFO inventory valuation.

**RISK MANAGEMENT**

We use certain strategies to reduce some commodity price and operational risks. We do not attempt to eliminate all market risk exposures when we believe that the exposure relating to such risk would not be significant to our future earnings, financial position, capital resources or liquidity or that the cost of eliminating the exposure would outweigh the benefit.

***Commodity Price Risk Management***

Our primary market risk is commodity price risk. We are exposed to market risks related to the volatility in crude oil and refined products, as well as volatility in the price of natural gas used in our refining operations.

**Table of Contents**

We periodically enter into derivative contracts in the form of commodity price swaps to mitigate price exposure with respect to:

- our inventory positions;
- natural gas purchases;
- costs of crude oil;
- prices of refined products; and
- our refining margins.

As of March 31, 2011, we have outstanding commodity price swap contracts serving as economic hedges to protect the value of a temporary crude oil inventory build of 105,000 barrels against price volatility and to protect refining margins on forecasted sales of 6.2 million barrels of produced gasoline. These contracts are measured quarterly at fair value with offsetting adjustments (gains / losses) recorded directly to cost of products sold.

**Interest Rate Risk Management**

HEP uses interest rate swaps to manage its exposure to interest rate risk.

As of March 31, 2011, HEP has an interest rate swap that hedges its exposure to the cash flow risk caused by the effects of LIBOR changes on a \$155 million HEP Credit Agreement advance. This interest rate swap effectively converts \$155 million of LIBOR based debt to fixed rate debt having an interest rate of 3.74% plus an applicable margin, currently 2.5%, which equaled an effective interest rate of 6.24% as of March 31, 2011. This interest rate swap contract has been designated as a cash flow hedge and matures in February 2013.

This contract initially hedged variable LIBOR interest on \$171 million in outstanding HEP Credit Agreement debt. In May 2010, HEP repaid \$16 million of the HEP Credit Agreement debt and also settled a corresponding portion of its interest rate swap agreement having a notional amount of \$16 million for \$1.1 million. Upon payment, HEP reduced its swap liability and reclassified a \$1.1 million charge from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense, representing the application of hedge accounting prior to settlement.

The following table presents balance sheet locations and related fair values of outstanding derivative instruments.

<b>Derivative Instruments</b>	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>	<b>Location of Offsetting Balance</b>	<b>Offsetting Amount</b>
			(Dollars in thousands)	
<b>March 31, 2011</b>				
<i>Derivative designated as cash flow hedging instrument:</i>				
Variable-to-fixed interest rate swap contract (\$155 million LIBOR based debt interest payments)	Other long-term liabilities	\$ 8,743	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ 8,743
<i>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:</i>				
Variable-to-fixed commodity price swap contracts (various inventory positions)	Prepayments and other current assets	\$ 6,555	Cost of products sold (decrease)	\$ 6,555
Fixed/variable-to-variable/fixed commodity price contracts (various inventory positions)	Accrued liabilities	\$ 5,960	Cost of products sold (increase)	\$ 5,960



**Table of Contents**

<b>Derivative Instruments</b>	<b>Balance Sheet</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>	<b>Location of Offsetting Balance</b>	<b>Offsetting Amount</b>
	<b>Location</b>		<b>Balance</b>	
			(Dollars in thousands)	
<b>December 31, 2010</b>				
<i>Derivative designated as cash flow hedging instruments:</i>				
Variable-to-fixed commodity price swap contracts (forecasted volumes of natural gas purchases)	Accrued liabilities	\$ 38	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ 38
Variable-to-fixed interest rate swap contract (\$155 million LIBOR based debt interest payments)	Other long-term liabilities	\$ 10,026	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ 10,026
<i>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:</i>				
Fixed-to-variable rate swap contracts (various inventory positions)	Accrued liabilities	\$ 497	Cost of products sold (increase)	\$ 497

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, maturities and fair value adjustments attributable to our economic hedges resulted in a \$3.7 million increase to costs of products sold.

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, HEP recognized \$1.5 million in charges to interest expense as a result of fair value changes to interest rate swap contracts that were settled in the first quarter of 2010.

There was no ineffectiveness on the cash flow hedges during the periods covered in these consolidated financial statements.

Publicly available information is reviewed on the counterparties in order to review and monitor their financial stability and assess their ongoing ability to honor their commitments under the swap contracts. These counterparties are large financial institutions. We have not experienced, nor do we expect to experience, any difficulty in the counterparties honoring their commitments.

The market risk inherent in our fixed-rate debt and positions is the potential change arising from increases or decreases in interest rates as discussed below.

At March 31, 2011, outstanding principal under the Holly 9.875% Senior Notes, HEP 6.25% Senior Notes and HEP 8.25% Senior Notes was \$300 million, \$185 million and \$150 million, respectively. For these fixed rate notes, changes in interest rates will generally affect fair value of the debt, but not our earnings or cash flows. At March 31, 2011, the estimated fair values of the Holly 9.875% Senior Notes, HEP 6.25% Senior Notes and HEP 8.25% Senior Notes were \$338.3 million, \$185 million and \$160.5 million, respectively. We estimate that a hypothetical 10% change in the yield-to-maturity rates applicable to these notes would result in a fair value change to the notes of approximately \$12.5 million, \$4.2 million and \$6 million, respectively.

For the variable rate HEP Credit Agreement, changes in interest rates would affect cash flows, but not the fair value.

At March 31, 2011, borrowings outstanding under the HEP Credit Agreement were \$182 million. By means of its cash flow hedge, HEP has effectively converted the variable rate on \$155 million of outstanding principal to a fixed rate of 6.24%. For the unhedged \$27 million portion, a hypothetical 10% change in interest rates applicable to the HEP Credit Agreement would not materially affect cash flows.

At March 31, 2011, cash and cash equivalents included investments in investment grade, highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase and hence the interest rate market risk implicit in these cash investments is low. Due to the short-term nature of our cash and cash equivalents, a hypothetical 10% increase in interest rates would not have a material effect on the fair market value of our portfolio. Since we have the ability to liquidate this portfolio, we do not expect our operating results or cash flows to be materially affected by the effect of a sudden change in market interest rates on our investment portfolio.

Our operations are subject to normal hazards of operations, including fire, explosion and weather-related perils. We maintain various insurance coverages, including business interruption insurance, subject to certain deductibles. We are not fully insured against certain risks because such risks are not fully insurable, coverage is unavailable, or premium costs, in our judgment, do not justify such expenditures.

We have a risk management oversight committee that is made up of members from our senior management. This committee oversees our risk enterprise program, monitors our risk environment and provides direction for activities to mitigate identified risks that may adversely affect the achievement of our goals.

- 41 -

---



**Table of Contents****Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

See Risk Management under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

**Reconciliations to Amounts Reported Under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*****Reconciliations of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ( EBITDA ) to amounts reported under generally accepted accounting principles in financial statements.***

Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, which we refer to as EBITDA, is calculated as net income attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders plus (i) interest expense, net of interest income, (ii) income tax provision, and (iii) depreciation and amortization. EBITDA is not a calculation provided for under GAAP; however, the amounts included in the EBITDA calculation are derived from amounts included in our consolidated financial statements. EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income or operating income as an indication of our operating performance or as an alternative to operating cash flow as a measure of liquidity. EBITDA is not necessarily comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. EBITDA is presented here because it is a widely used financial indicator used by investors and analysts to measure performance. EBITDA is also used by our management for internal analysis and as a basis for financial covenants.

Set forth below is our calculation of EBITDA.

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
	(In thousands)	
Net income (loss) attributable to Holly Corporation stockholders	\$ 84,694	\$ (28,094)
Add income tax provision (subtract benefit)	49,011	(16,672)
Add interest expense	16,204	17,722
Subtract interest income	(85)	(59)
Add depreciation and amortization	31,308	27,757
<b>EBITDA</b>	<b>\$ 181,132</b>	<b>\$ 654</b>

***Reconciliations of refinery operating information (non-GAAP performance measures) to amounts reported under generally accepted accounting principles in financial statements.***

Refinery gross margin and net operating margin are non-GAAP performance measures that are used by our management and others to compare our refining performance to that of other companies in our industry. We believe these margin measures are helpful to investors in evaluating our refining performance on a relative and absolute basis. We calculate refinery gross margin and net operating margin using net sales, cost of products and operating expenses, in each case averaged per produced barrel sold. These two margins do not include the effect of depreciation and amortization. Each of these component performance measures can be reconciled directly to our Consolidated Statements of Income.

Other companies in our industry may not calculate these performance measures in the same manner.

**Table of Contents***Refinery Gross Margin*

Refinery gross margin per barrel is the difference between average net sales price and average cost of products per barrel of produced refined products. Refinery gross margin for each of our refineries and for our three refineries on a consolidated basis is calculated as shown below.

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average per produced barrel:		
<i>Navajo Refinery</i>		
Net sales	\$ 110.99	\$ 88.06
Less cost of products	95.60	82.96
Refinery gross margin	\$ 15.39	\$ 5.10
<i>Woods Cross Refinery</i>		
Net sales	\$ 108.77	\$ 89.52
Less cost of products	89.87	74.72
Refinery gross margin	\$ 18.90	\$ 14.80
<i>Tulsa Refinery</i>		
Net sales	\$ 115.29	\$ 86.22
Less cost of products	100.50	82.89
Refinery gross margin	\$ 14.79	\$ 3.33
<i>Consolidated</i>		
Net sales	\$ 113.28	\$ 87.40
Less cost of products	97.56	81.84
Refinery gross margin	\$ 15.72	\$ 5.56

*Net Operating Margin*

Net operating margin per barrel is the difference between refinery gross margin and refinery operating expenses per barrel of produced refined products. Net operating margin for each of our refineries and for our three refineries on a consolidated basis is calculated as shown below.

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average per produced barrel:		
<i>Navajo Refinery</i>		
Refinery gross margin	\$ 15.39	\$ 5.10

Less refinery operating expenses	6.34	5.18
Net operating margin	\$ 9.05	\$ (0.08)
 <b><i>Woods Cross Refinery</i></b>		
Refinery gross margin	\$ 18.90	\$ 14.80
Less refinery operating expenses	6.43	6.20
Net operating margin	\$ 12.47	\$ 8.60
 <b><i>Tulsa Refinery</i></b>		
Refinery gross margin	\$ 14.79	\$ 3.33
Less refinery operating expenses	5.98	5.91
Net operating margin	\$ 8.81	\$ (2.58)
 <b><i>Consolidated</i></b>		
Refinery gross margin	\$ 15.72	\$ 5.56
Less refinery operating expenses	6.24	5.65
Net operating margin	\$ 9.48	\$ (0.09)

**Table of Contents**

Below are reconciliations to our Consolidated Statements of Income for (i) net sales, cost of products and operating expenses, in each case averaged per produced barrel sold, and (ii) net operating margin and refinery gross margin. Due to rounding of reported numbers, some amounts may not calculate exactly.

**Reconciliations of refined product sales from produced products sold to total sales and other revenues**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b><i>Navajo Refinery</i></b>		
Average sales price per produced barrel sold	\$ 110.99	\$ 88.06
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	79,840	86,930
Times number of days in period	90	90
Refined product sales from produced products sold	\$ 797,530	\$ 688,955
<b><i>Woods Cross Refinery</i></b>		
Average sales price per produced barrel sold	\$ 108.77	\$ 89.52
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	26,650	28,170
Times number of days in period	90	90
Refined product sales from produced products sold	\$ 260,885	\$ 226,960
<b><i>Tulsa Refinery</i></b>		
Average sales price per produced barrel sold	\$ 115.29	\$ 86.22
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	100,010	98,760
Times number of days in period	90	90
Refined product sales from produced products sold	\$ 1,037,714	\$ 766,358
Sum of refined products sales from produced products sold from our three refineries <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 2,096,129	\$ 1,682,273
Add refined product sales from purchased products and rounding <sup>(2)</sup>	75,804	41,506
Total refined products sales	2,171,933	1,723,779
Add direct sales of excess crude oil <sup>(3)</sup>	135,409	134,862
Add other refining segment revenue <sup>(4)</sup>	7,750	8,533
Total refining segment revenue	2,315,092	1,867,174
Add HEP segment sales and other revenues	45,005	40,689
Add corporate and other revenues	648	66
Subtract consolidations and eliminations	(34,160)	(33,639)
Sales and other revenues	\$ 2,326,585	\$ 1,874,290

(1)

*The above calculations of refined product sales from produced products sold can also be computed on a consolidated basis. These amounts may not calculate exactly due to rounding of reported numbers.*

- (2) *We purchase finished products when opportunities arise that provide a profit on the sale of such products, or to meet delivery commitments.*
- (3) *We purchase crude oil that at times exceeds the supply needs of our refineries. Quantities in excess of our needs are sold at market prices to purchasers of crude oil that are recorded on a gross basis with the sales price recorded as revenues and the corresponding acquisition cost as inventory and then upon sale as cost of products sold. Additionally, we enter into buy/sell exchanges of crude oil with certain parties to facilitate the delivery of quantities to certain locations that are netted at carryover cost.*
- (4) *Other refining segment revenue includes the revenues associated with Holly Asphalt and revenue derived from feedstock and sulfur credit sales.*

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average sales price per produced barrel sold	\$ 113.28	\$ 87.40
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	206,500	213,860
Times number of days in period	90	90
Refined product sales from produced products sold	\$ 2,096,129	\$ 1,682,273

**Table of Contents****Reconciliation of average cost of products per produced barrel sold to total cost of products sold**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b><i>Navajo Refinery</i></b>		
Average cost of products per produced barrel sold	\$ 95.60	\$ 82.96
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	79,840	86,930
Times number of days in period	90	90
Cost of products for produced products sold	\$ 686,943	\$ 649,054
<b><i>Woods Cross Refinery</i></b>		
Average cost of products per produced barrel sold	\$ 89.87	\$ 74.72
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	26,650	28,170
Times number of days in period	90	90
Cost of products for produced products sold	\$ 215,553	\$ 189,438
<b><i>Tulsa Refinery</i></b>		
Average cost of products per produced barrel sold	\$ 100.50	\$ 82.89
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	100,010	98,760
Times number of days in period	90	90
Cost of products for produced products sold	\$ 904,590	\$ 736,759
Sum of cost of products for produced products sold from our three refineries <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,807,086	\$ 1,575,251
Add refined product costs from purchased products sold and rounding <sup>(2)</sup>	75,622	41,464
Total refined cost of products sold	1,882,708	1,616,715
Add crude oil cost of direct sales of excess crude oil <sup>(3)</sup>	132,880	133,667
Add other refining segment cost of products sold <sup>(4)</sup>	2,338	6,051
Total refining segment cost of products sold	2,017,926	1,756,433
Subtract consolidations and eliminations	(33,309)	(32,569)
Costs of products sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	\$ 1,984,617	\$ 1,723,864

(1) *The above calculations of cost of products for produced products sold can also be computed on a consolidated basis. These amounts may not calculate exactly due to rounding of reported numbers.*

(2) *We purchase finished products when opportunities arise that provide a profit on the sale of such products, or to meet delivery commitments.*

(3)

*We purchase crude oil that at times exceeds the supply needs of our refineries. Quantities in excess of our needs are sold at market prices to purchasers of crude oil that are recorded on a gross basis with the sales price recorded as revenues and the corresponding acquisition cost as inventory and then upon sale as cost of products sold. Additionally, we enter into buy/sell exchanges of crude oil with certain parties to facilitate the delivery of quantities to certain locations that are netted at carryover cost.*

- (4) *Other refining segment cost of products sold includes the cost of products for Holly Asphalt and costs attributable to feedstock and sulfur credit sales.*

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average cost of products per produced barrel sold	\$ 97.56	\$ 81.84
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	206,500	213,860
Times number of days in period	90	90
Cost of products for produced products sold	\$ 1,807,086	\$ 1,575,251

**Table of Contents****Reconciliation of average refinery operating expenses per produced barrel sold to total operating expenses**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b><i>Navajo Refinery</i></b>		
Average refinery operating expenses per produced barrel sold	\$ 6.34	\$ 5.18
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	79,840	86,930
Times number of days in period	90	90
Refinery operating expenses for produced products sold	\$ 45,557	\$ 40,527
<b><i>Woods Cross Refinery</i></b>		
Average refinery operating expenses per produced barrel sold	\$ 6.43	\$ 6.20
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	26,650	28,170
Times number of days in period	90	90
Refinery operating expenses for produced products sold	\$ 15,422	\$ 15,719
<b><i>Tulsa Refinery</i></b>		
Average refinery operating expenses per produced barrel sold	\$ 5.98	\$ 5.91
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	100,010	98,760
Times number of days in period	90	90
Refinery operating expenses for produced products sold	\$ 53,825	\$ 52,530
Sum of refinery operating expenses per produced products sold from our three refineries <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 114,804	\$ 108,776
Add other refining segment operating expenses and rounding <sup>(2)</sup>	7,275	5,818
Total refining segment operating expenses	122,079	114,594
Add HEP segment operating expenses	12,796	13,060
Add corporate and other costs	(6)	6
Subtract consolidations and eliminations	(126)	(116)
Operating expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	\$ 134,743	\$ 127,544

(1) *The above calculations of refinery operating expenses from produced products sold can also be computed on a consolidated basis. These amounts may not calculate exactly due to rounding of reported numbers.*

(2) *Other refining segment operating expenses include the marketing costs associated with our refining segment and the operating expenses of Holly Asphalt.*

**Three Months Ended  
March 31,**



	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average refinery operating expenses per produced barrel sold	\$ 6.24	\$ 5.65
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	206,500	213,860
Times number of days in period	90	90
Refinery operating expenses for produced products sold	\$ 114,804	\$ 108,776

- 46 -

---

**Table of Contents****Reconciliation of net operating margin per barrel to refinery gross margin per barrel to total sales and other revenues**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b><i>Navajo Refinery</i></b>		
Net operating margin per barrel	\$ 9.05	\$ (0.08)
Add average refinery operating expenses per produced barrel	6.34	5.18
Refinery gross margin per barrel	15.39	5.10
Add average cost of products per produced barrel sold	95.60	82.96
Average sales price per produced barrel sold	\$ 110.99	\$ 88.06
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	79,840	86,930
Times number of days in period	90	90
Refined products sales from produced products sold	\$ 797,530	\$ 688,955
<b><i>Woods Cross Refinery</i></b>		
Net operating margin per barrel	\$ 12.47	\$ 8.60
Add average refinery operating expenses per produced barrel	6.43	6.20
Refinery gross margin per barrel	18.90	14.80
Add average cost of products per produced barrel sold	89.87	74.72
Average sales price per produced barrel sold	\$ 108.77	\$ 89.52
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	26,650	28,170
Times number of days in period	90	90
Refined products sales from produced products sold	\$ 260,885	\$ 226,960
<b><i>Tulsa Refinery</i></b>		
Net operating margin per barrel	\$ 8.81	\$ (2.58)
Add average refinery operating expenses per produced barrel	5.98	5.91
Refinery gross margin per barrel	14.79	3.33
Add average cost of products per produced barrel sold	100.50	82.89
Average sales price per produced barrel sold	\$ 115.29	\$ 86.22
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	100,010	98,760
Times number of days in period	90	90
Refined products sales from produced products sold	\$ 1,037,714	\$ 766,358
Sum of refined products sales from produced products sold from our three refineries <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 2,096,129	\$ 1,682,273

Edgar Filing: HOLLY CORP - Form 10-Q

Add refined product sales from purchased products and rounding <sup>(2)</sup>	75,804	41,506
Total refined products sales	2,171,933	1,723,779
Add direct sales of excess crude oil <sup>(3)</sup>	135,409	134,862
Add other refining segment revenue <sup>(4)</sup>	7,750	8,533
Total refining segment revenue	2,315,092	1,867,174
Add HEP segment sales and other revenues	45,005	40,689
Add corporate and other revenues	648	66
Subtract consolidations and eliminations	(34,160)	(33,639)
Sales and other revenues	\$ 2,326,585	\$ 1,874,290

- (1) *The above calculations of refined product sales from produced products sold can also be computed on a consolidated basis. These amounts may not calculate exactly due to rounding of reported numbers.*
- (2) *We purchase finished products when opportunities arise that provide a profit on the sale of such products or to meet delivery commitments.*
- (3) *We purchase crude oil that at times exceeds the supply needs of our refineries. Quantities in excess of our needs are sold at market prices to purchasers of crude oil that are recorded on a gross basis with the sales price recorded as revenues and the corresponding acquisition cost as inventory and then upon sale as cost of products sold. Additionally, we enter into buy/sell exchanges of crude oil with certain parties to facilitate the delivery of quantities to certain locations that are netted at carryover cost.*
- (4) *Other refining segment revenue includes the revenues associated with Holly Asphalt and revenue derived from feedstock and sulfur credit sales.*

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Net operating margin per barrel	\$ 9.48	\$ (0.09)
Add average refinery operating expenses per produced barrel	6.24	5.65
Refinery gross margin per barrel	15.72	5.56
Add average cost of products per produced barrel sold	97.56	81.84
Average sales price per produced barrel sold	\$ 113.28	\$ 87.40
Times sales of produced refined products sold (BPD)	206,500	213,860
Times number of days in period	90	90
Refined product sales from produced products sold	\$ 2,096,129	\$ 1,682,273

- 48 -

**Table of Contents**

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

**Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.** Our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have evaluated, as required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information we are required to disclose in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Based upon the evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2011.

**Changes in internal control over financial reporting.** There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

- 49 -

---

**Table of Contents****PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings****Commitment and Contingency Reserves**

When deemed necessary, we establish reserves for certain legal proceedings. The establishment of a reserve involves an estimation process that includes the advice of legal counsel and subjective judgment of management. While management believes these reserves to be adequate, future changes in the facts and circumstances could result in the actual liability exceeding the estimated ranges of loss and amounts accrued.

While the outcome and impact on us cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes that the resolution of these proceedings through settlement or adverse judgment will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or cash flow. Operating results, however, could be significantly impacted in the reporting periods in which such matters are resolved.

**SFPP Litigation****a. The Early Complaint Cases**

In May 2007, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit ( Court of Appeals ) issued its decision on petitions for review, brought by us and other parties, concerning rulings by the FERC in proceedings brought by us and other parties against SFPP. These proceedings relate to tariffs of common carrier pipelines, which are owned and operated by SFPP, for shipments of refined products from El Paso, Texas to Tucson and Phoenix, Arizona and from points in California to points in Arizona. We are one of several refiners that regularly utilize the SFPP pipeline to ship refined products from El Paso, Texas to Tucson and Phoenix, Arizona on SFPP's East Line. The Court of Appeals in its May 2007 decision approved a FERC position, which is adverse to us, on the treatment of income taxes in the calculation of allowable rates for pipelines operated as limited partnerships and ruled in our favor on an issue relating to our rights to reparations when it is determined that certain tariffs we paid to SFPP in the past were too high. The case was remanded to FERC and consolidated with other cases that together addressed SFPP's rates for the period from January 1992 through May 2006. In 2003 we received an initial payment of \$15.3 million from SFPP as reparations for the period from 1992 through July 2000. On April 16, 2010, a settlement among us, SFPP, and other shippers was filed with FERC for its approval. FERC approved the settlement on May 28, 2010. Pursuant to the settlement, we received an additional settlement payment of \$8.6 million. This settlement finally resolves the amount of additional payments SFPP owes us for the period January 1992 through May 2006.

**b. Other Settlements**

We and other shippers also engaged in settlement discussions with SFPP relating to East Line service in the FERC proceedings that address periods after May 2006. A partial settlement regarding the East Line's Phase I expansion rates covering the period June 2006 through November 2007, which became final in February 2008, resulted in a payment from SFPP to us of \$1.3 million in April 2008. On October 22, 2008, we and other shippers jointly filed at the FERC with SFPP a settlement regarding the East Line's Phase II expansion rates covering the period from December 2007 through November 2010. The FERC approved the settlement on January 29, 2009. The settlement reduced SFPP's current rates and required SFPP to make additional payments to us of \$2.9 million, which were received on May 18, 2009.

**c. The Latest Rate Proceeding**

On June 2, 2009, SFPP notified us that it would terminate the October 22, 2008 settlement, as provided under the settlement, effective August 31, 2009. On July 31, 2009, SFPP filed substantial rate increases for East Line service to become effective September 1, 2009. We and several other shippers filed protests at the FERC, challenging the rate increase and asking the FERC to suspend the effectiveness of the increased rates. On August 31, 2009, the FERC issued an order suspending the effective date of the rate increase until January 1,

**Table of Contents**

2010, on which date the rate increase was placed into effect subject to refund, and setting the rate increase for a full evidentiary hearing. The hearing was held from June 29, 2010 to August 2, 2010. On September 15, 2010, the FERC approved an interim partial settlement pursuant to which SFPP reduced its rates for the East Line service, effective September 1, 2010. The rates placed in effect on January 1, 2010, and the lower rates put into effect on September 1, 2010, remain subject to refund subject to the outcome of the evidentiary hearing. On February 10, 2011, the Administrative Law Judge that presided over the evidentiary hearing issued an initial decision holding that certain elements of SFPP's rate increases are unjust and unreasonable. The initial decision is subject to review by the FERC and the courts. We are not in a position to predict the ultimate outcome of the rate proceeding.

**Cut Bank Hill Environmental Claims**

Prior to the sale by Holly Corporation of the Montana Refining Company ( MRC ) assets in 2006, MRC, along with other companies was the subject of several environmental claims at the Cut Bank Hill site in Montana. These claims include: (1) a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency administrative order requiring MRC and other companies to undertake cleanup actions; (2) a U.S. Coast Guard claim against MRC and other companies for response costs of \$0.3 million in connection with its cleanup efforts at the Cut Bank Hill site; and (3) a unilateral order by the Montana Department of Environmental Quality ( MDEQ ) directing MRC and other companies to complete a remedial investigation and a request by the MDEQ that MRC and other companies pay \$0.2 million to reimburse the State's costs for remedial actions. MRC has denied responsibility for the requested EPA and the MDEQ cleanup actions and the MDEQ and Coast Guard response costs. MRC is considering an invitation by the other companies to participate in the group based on an allocation of 9.16 percent of the group's past and ongoing investigation and other costs.

**Navajo Tank Fire**

On March 2, 2010, a tank caught fire while under construction. At the time of the incident, four individuals were working on top of the tank. These individuals were all employees of a third-party contractor who was placing insulation on the tank. Two individuals sustained injuries and two individuals died as a result of the incident. Two wrongful death lawsuits and two personal injury lawsuits seeking damages, including punitive damages, were filed on behalf of the estates of the two deceased workers and on behalf of the two survivors in state court in Dallas County, Texas (two lawsuits) and state court in Eddy County, New Mexico (two lawsuits). The two Texas cases have been consolidated and are set for trial in September of 2011. One of the cases in New Mexico is set for trial in March of 2012. At the date of this report, it is not possible to predict the likely outcome of this litigation. This matter is being reported due to the serious nature of the injuries. Because of our insurance coverage, the total cost to the Company for these cases is not expected to be material.

**New Mexico OHSB Complaint - Navajo Tank Fire**

On March 3, 2010, the New Mexico Occupational Health and Safety Bureau ( OHSB ), the New Mexico regulatory agency responsible for enforcing certain state occupational health and safety regulations, which are identical to Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration ( OSHA ) regulations, commenced an inspection in relation to the tank fire that took place on March 2, 2010 at the Navajo facility in Artesia, New Mexico. On August 31, 2010, OHSB issued two citations to Navajo Refining Company, LLC ( Navajo ), alleging 10 willful violations and 1 serious violation of various construction safety standards. OHSB proposed penalties in the amount of \$0.7 million. Navajo filed a notice of contest, challenging the citations. An informal administrative review of the citations took place on November 17, 2010, at which time counsel for the parties discussed possible settlement options. The parties were unable to reach an agreement. Thus, OHSB filed an administrative complaint with New Mexico's Occupational Health and Safety Review Commission ( OHSRC ) on December 20, 2010. Navajo will challenge the citations before the OHSRC, and filed its answer to the complaint on January 6, 2011. Discovery is under way at this time. OHSRC granted the parties' joint request that a hearing commence no sooner than September 5, 2011, but the specific hearing date has not yet been established.

**Table of Contents****OSHA Inspections Tulsa Refinery**

In June 2007, OSHA announced a national emphasis program ( NEP ) for inspecting approximately 80 refineries within its jurisdiction. As part of the NEP, OSHA conducted an inspection of Sinclair Tulsa Refining Company s ( Sinclair Tulsa ) refinery in Tulsa, Oklahoma (our Tulsa Refinery east facility) from February 4, 2009 through August 3, 2009. On August 4, 2009, OSHA issued two citations to Sinclair Tulsa, alleging 51 serious violations and 1 willful violation of various safety standards including the Process Safety Management ( PSM ) standard and the General Duty Clause. OSHA proposed penalties totaling \$0.2 million. Sinclair filed a notice of contest, challenging the citations.

Our subsidiary, Holly Refining & Marketing Tulsa LLC ( HRM-Tulsa ), entered into an Asset Sale & Purchase Agreement (the Agreement ) with Sinclair Tulsa dated October 19, 2009 to acquire the Tulsa Refinery east facility, and the sale closed on December 1, 2009. HRM-Tulsa intervened in the case against Sinclair Tulsa pending before the OHSRC shortly after the sale closed. Under the terms of the Agreement, Sinclair retains responsibility for defending the OSHA citations and paying any penalties, and HRM-Tulsa has the discretion to select the means and methods of improving the PSM program. HRM-Tulsa has evaluated the feasibility of various PSM program improvements and developed a plan to implement a number of safety enhancements at the Tulsa Refinery east facility. HRM-Tulsa management presented its safety improvement plan to OSHA and OSHA approved the plan. HRM-Tulsa and OSHA negotiated a settlement agreement which memorializes OSHA s approval of the safety improvement plan. The settlement agreement between HRM-Tulsa and OSHA was filed with the OHSRC on August 11, 2010. On August 23, 2010, the OHSRC entered an order approving both the settlement agreement between Sinclair Tulsa and OSHA and the agreement between HRM-Tulsa and OSHA.

OSHA conducted an inspection of our Tulsa Refinery west facility from January 20, 2010 through June 9, 2010. On July 12, 2010, OSHA issued a citation, alleging 10 serious violations of various safety standards, including the PSM standard. OSHA proposed penalties totaling \$57,150. HRM-Tulsa filed a notice of contest, and challenged each citation item. The matter has been assigned to Judge Patrick B. Augustine. Discovery is currently underway, and the hearing in this matter is scheduled to begin July 25, 2011.

OSHA began the NEP inspection of our Tulsa Refinery west facility on September 14, 2010. On March 14, 2011, OSHA issued a citation alleging 15 serious violations of federal workplace standards. OSHA proposed penalties totaling \$62,500. On April 4, a settlement was reached that was favorable to HRM-Tulsa and the penalty was reduced to \$31,750.

On March 28, 2011, OSHA issued a serious citation to HRM-Tulsa with respect to the Tulsa west facility, alleging one facility siting and two housekeeping violations, which stemmed from its investigation of an employee complaint that it received during the NEP inspection. OSHA proposed penalties of \$6,275. HRM Tulsa is engaged in informal settlement negotiations with OSHA, but was unable to reach a resolution and filed its notice of contest, challenging each citation item, on April 18, 2011. It is too early to predict the likely outcome or cost, if any, of this matter.

**Discharge Permit Appeal Tulsa Refinery West Facility**

Our subsidiary, HRM-Tulsa is party to parallel Oklahoma administrative and state district court proceedings involving a challenge to the terms of the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality ( ODEQ ) permit that governs the discharge of industrial wastewater from our Tulsa Refinery west facility. Pursuant to a settlement agreement between HRM-Tulsa and ODEQ, both proceedings have been stayed to allow ODEQ to issue a revised permit that modifies the existing permit s requirements for toxicity testing and for managing storm flows. The parties are now in discussions regarding the appropriate changes in the permit language to accomplish these modifications. Once agreed-upon revisions are made and become effective, both proceedings will be dismissed. Any changes to refinery processes that result from the permit revisions are subject to regulatory review and approval. Accordingly, it is not possible to estimate the costs of compliance with the new permit provisions at this time.



**Table of Contents****Clean Air Act Notice of Violation – Tulsa Refinery East and West Facilities**

HRM Tulsa received a notification from the ODEQ that the agency intends to seek a fine of \$192,500 for alleged violations of the Clean Air Act at the Tulsa Refinery West Facility. The ODEQ's primary area of concern is the number of valves that the facility has classed as Difficult to Monitor. The agency maintains that no more than 3% of valves can be so designated. HRM Tulsa interprets the applicable regulation as instead only imposing the 3% cap on new units. The parties have agreed to ask for a formal regulatory interpretation from the Environmental Protection Agency to assist them in resolving the dispute. HRM Tulsa believes that even if the ODEQ's interpretation is correct, the proposed fine is excessive. The company will seek to have the fine reduced. The same notification also disclosed the agency's intent to seek a separate fine of \$17,500 for alleged Clean Air Act violations at the Tulsa Refinery East Facility. These alleged violations include a failure to conduct monthly monitoring of components previously found to be leaking and the discovery of three open ended lines, one of which was alleged to be leaking at the time of discovery. HRM Tulsa is currently in discussions with ODEQ regarding the alleged violations at the East Facility. It is not possible at this point to estimate what amount, if any, will ultimately be assessed for any of the foregoing items.

**Litigation Related to the Merger with Frontier Oil Corporation**

Twelve substantially similar shareholder lawsuits styled as class actions have been filed by alleged Frontier shareholders challenging our proposed merger of equals with Frontier and naming as defendants Frontier, its board of directors and, in certain instances, us and our wholly owned subsidiary, North Acquisition, Inc., as aiders and abettors. To date, such shareholder actions have been filed in Harris County, Texas, Laramie County, Wyoming, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, and the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas. The lawsuits filed in the District Courts of Harris County, Texas are entitled: Adam Walker, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated vs. Frontier Oil Corporation, et al. (filed February 22, 2011), Andrew Goldberg, on Behalf of Himself and All Other Similarly Situated Shareholders of Frontier Oil Corporation v. Frontier Oil Corporation, et al. (filed February 24, 2011), L.A. Murphy, On Behalf of Herself and All Others Similarly Situated v. Paul B. Loyd, Jr., et al. (filed February 24, 2011), Zhixin Huang v. Frontier Oil Corp., et al. (filed February 24, 2011), Robert Pettigrew, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Frontier Oil Corporation, et al. (filed February 25, 2011), Walter E. Ryan, Jr., On Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated v. Frontier Oil Corporation, et al. (filed February 25, 2011), Christopher Borrelli, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. Frontier Oil Corporation, et al. (filed March 2, 2011), and Randy Whitman, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Frontier Oil Corporation, et al. (filed on March 8, 2011). The lawsuit filed in the District Court of Laramie County, Wyoming is entitled Thomas Greulich, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. Frontier Oil Corporation, et al. (filed March 1, 2011). The lawsuit filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas is entitled Angelo Chiarelli, On Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated v. Holly Corporation, et al. (filed on March 2, 2011). The lawsuits filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas are entitled Tim Wilcox, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Frontier Oil Corporation, et al. (filed on March 7, 2011), and Jackie A. Rhymes, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated v. Michael Jennings, et al. (filed on March 17, 2011).

These lawsuits generally allege that (1) the consideration to be received by Frontier's shareholders in the merger is inadequate, (2) the Frontier directors breached their fiduciary duties by, among other things, approving the merger at an inadequate price under circumstances involving certain alleged conflicts of interest, (3) the merger agreement includes preclusive deal protection provisions, and (4) Frontier, and in some cases we and North Acquisition, Inc., aided and abetted Frontier's board of directors in breaching its fiduciary duties to Frontier's shareholders. The shareholder actions seek various remedies, including enjoining the transaction from being consummated in accordance with its agreed-upon terms, compensatory damages, and costs and disbursements relating to the lawsuits.

In the cases pending in Texas state court, on March 21, 2011, plaintiff in the Walker lawsuit filed an amended petition alleging that Frontier's current directors also breached their fiduciary duties by failing to disclose

**Table of Contents**

material information or making materially inadequate disclosures concerning the proposed merger in the registration statement on Form S-4. On March 25, 2011, the lawsuits pending in the District Court of Harris County, Texas, were consolidated under the style In re: Frontier Oil Corp., Cause No. 2011-11451, and interim class counsel was appointed on April 12, 2011.

With respect to the federal lawsuits, on March 24, 2011, plaintiffs in the lawsuits pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas filed a motion to consolidate the Wilcox and Rhymes cases pending in that district and to appoint interim lead counsel. On April 7, 2011, plaintiffs in the Wilcox and Rhymes cases filed substantially similar amended complaints. In addition to the claims described in general above, these lawsuits also allege that the defendants violated Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act by making untrue statements of material fact and omitting to state material facts necessary to make the statements that were made not misleading in the registration statement on Form S-4.

The defendants intend to vigorously defend these and any future lawsuits, as they believe that they have valid defenses to all claims and that the lawsuits are entirely without merit.

**Unclaimed Property Audit**

A multi-state audit of our unclaimed property compliance and reporting is being conducted by Kelmar Associates, LLC on behalf of eleven states. We are currently in the third year of this ongoing audit that covers the period 1981-2004. It is not yet possible to accurately estimate the amount, if any, that is owed to each of the states.

**Other**

We are a party to various other litigation and proceedings that we believe, based on advice of counsel, will not either individually or in the aggregate have a materially adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

The Exhibit Index on page 56 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q lists the exhibits that are filed or furnished, as applicable, as part of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**HOLLY CORPORATION**

(Registrant)

Date: May 6, 2011

/s/ Bruce R. Shaw  
Bruce R. Shaw  
Senior Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ Scott C. Surplus  
Scott C. Surplus  
Vice President and Controller  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

- 55 -

---

**Table of Contents****Exhibit Index**

Exhibit Number	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of February 21, 2011, among Holly Corporation, North Acquisition, Inc. and Frontier Oil Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February, 22, 2011, File No. 1-03876).
10.1	Assignment and Assumption Agreement (Amended and Restated Intermediate Pipelines Agreement), effective January 1, 2011, between Navajo Refining Company, L.L.C. and Holly Refining & Marketing Company LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, File No. 1-03876).
10.2	Assignment and Assumption Agreement (Tulsa Equipment and Throughput Agreement), effective January 1, 2011, between Holly Refining & Marketing - Tulsa, LLC and Holly Refining & Marketing Company LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, File No. 1-03876).
10.3	Assignment and Assumption Agreement (Amended and Restated Refined Product Pipelines and Terminals Agreement), effective January 1, 2011, among Navajo Refining Company, L.L.C., Holly Refining & Marketing-Woods Cross and Holly Refining & Marketing Company LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, File No. 1-03876).
10.4	Assignment and Assumption Agreement (Pipeline Throughput Agreement (Roadrunner)), effective January 1, 2011, between Navajo Refining Company, L.L.C. and Holly Refining & Marketing Company LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, File No. 1-03876).
10.5	Assignment and Assumption Agreement (First Amended and Restated Pipelines, Tankage and Loading Rack Throughput Agreement (Tulsa East)), effective January 1, 2011, between Holly Refining & Marketing-Tulsa LLC and Holly Refining & Marketing Company LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, File No. 1-03876).
10.6	Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of February 14, 2011, among Holly Energy Partners - Operating, L.P., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and an issuing bank, Union Bank, N.A., as syndication agent, BBVA Compass Bank and U.S. Bank N.A., as co-documentation agents, and certain other lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Holly Energy Partners, L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 18, 2011, File No. 1-32225).
10.7*	Holly Corporation Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement Policy (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 1, 2011, File No. 1-03876).
10.8*	Holly Corporation Form of Change in Control Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 20, 2008, File No. 1-03876).

**Table of Contents**

Exhibit Number	Description
10.9* +	Waiver Agreement, dated as of February 21, 2011, by and between Holly Corporation and Matthew P. Clifton
10.10* +	Waiver Agreement, dated as of February 21, 2011, by and between Holly Corporation and Bruce R. Shaw
31.1+	Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2+	Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1++	Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2++	Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101**	The following financial information from Holly Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, and (v) Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (tagged as blocks of text).

+ Filed herewith.

++ Furnished herewith.

\* Constitutes management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements

\*\* Furnished electronically herewith.