

MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORP

Form 10-Q

May 10, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549
FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

**Commission file number: 001-14471
MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

52-1574808

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

7720 North Dobson Road
Scottsdale, Arizona 85256-2740

(Address of principal executive offices)
(602) 808-8800

(Registrant's telephone number,
including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2) Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Edgar Filing: MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Class
Class A Common Stock \$.014 Par Value

Outstanding at May 4, 2011
61,737,003 (a)

(a) includes 2,068,217 shares of unvested restricted stock awards

MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION
Table of Contents

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1 Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	1
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	4
<u>Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	5
<u>Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
<u>Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	39
<u>Item 4 Controls and Procedures</u>	39
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u>	40
<u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u>	45
<u>Item 6 Exhibits</u>	46
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	47
<u>EX-10.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

Table of Contents**Part I. Financial Information****Item 1. Financial Statements**

MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands)

	March 31, 2011 (unaudited)	December 31, 2010
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 306,809	\$ 218,362
Short-term investments	468,725	485,192
Accounts receivable, net	99,584	130,622
Inventories, net	34,404	35,282
Deferred tax assets, net	79,351	70,461
Other current assets	18,150	15,268
Assets held for sale from discontinued operations	10,054	13,127
 Total current assets	 1,017,077	 968,314
 Property and equipment, net	 24,077	 24,435
Net intangible assets	202,493	195,308
Goodwill	92,398	92,398
Deferred tax assets, net	33,103	36,898
Long-term investments	32,193	21,480
Other assets	2,991	2,991
	\$ 1,404,332	\$ 1,341,824

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS, Continued
(in thousands, except share amounts)

	March 31, 2011 (unaudited)	December 31, 2010
Liabilities		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 45,345	\$ 41,015
Reserve for sales returns	73,802	60,692
Accrued consumer rebates and loyalty programs	121,704	101,678
Managed care and Medicaid reserves	49,156	49,375
Income taxes payable	15,088	4,628
Other current liabilities	67,507	75,228
Liabilities held for sale from discontinued operations	5,936	7,276
Total current liabilities	378,538	339,892
Long-term liabilities:		
Contingent convertible senior notes	169,326	169,326
Other liabilities	4,960	5,084
Stockholders Equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; shares authorized: 5,000,000; issued and outstanding: none		
Class A common stock, \$0.014 par value; shares authorized: 150,000,000; issued and outstanding: 72,596,475 and 71,863,191 at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	1,000	995
Class B common stock, \$0.014 par value; shares authorized: 1,000,000; issued and outstanding: none		
Additional paid-in capital	728,806	715,651
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,949)	(2,149)
Accumulated earnings	475,164	460,716
Less: Treasury stock, 13,031,686 and 12,897,610 shares at cost at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	(351,513)	(347,691)
Total stockholders equity	851,508	827,522
	\$ 1,404,332	\$ 1,341,824

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(unaudited)
(in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Net product revenues	\$163,896	\$163,592
Net contract revenues	1,017	1,950
Net revenues	164,913	165,542
Cost of product revenues (1)	14,331	15,106
Gross profit	150,582	150,436
Operating expenses:		
Selling, general and administrative (2)	84,630	72,284
Research and development (3)	14,273	6,558
Depreciation and amortization	7,324	6,733
Operating income	44,355	64,861
Interest and investment income	(1,274)	(1,160)
Interest expense	1,058	1,058
Other expense, net		258
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense	44,571	64,705
Income tax expense	17,886	24,683
Net income from continuing operations	26,685	40,022
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit	7,325	4,650
Net income	\$ 19,360	\$ 35,372
Basic net income per share continuing operations	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.67
Basic net loss per share discontinued operations	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.08)

Edgar Filing: MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Basic net income per share	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.59
Diluted net income per share continuing operations	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.61
Diluted net loss per share discontinued operations	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.08)
Diluted net income per share	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.54
Cash dividend declared per common share	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.06
Common shares used in calculating:		
Basic net income per share	59,124	58,049
Diluted net income per share	65,381	64,192

(1) amounts exclude amortization of intangible assets related to acquired products	\$5,452	\$5,184
(2) amounts include share-based compensation expense	\$6,284	\$2,887
(3) amounts include share-based compensation expense	\$ 405	\$ 55

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited)
(in thousands)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Operating Activities:		
Net income	\$ 19,360	\$ 35,372
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit	7,325	4,650
Net income from continuing operations	26,685	40,022
Adjustments to reconcile net income from continuing operations to net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations:		
Depreciation and amortization	7,324	6,733
Adjustment of impairment of available-for-sale investments		260
Loss on sale of available-for-sale investments, net	7	34
Share-based compensation expense	6,689	2,942
Deferred income tax (benefit) expense	(5,124)	4,336
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock awards	658	47
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	(618)	(287)
(Decrease) increase in provision for sales discounts and chargebacks	(509)	666
Accretion of premium on investments	1,121	826
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	31,547	(15,454)
Inventories	878	(3,228)
Other current assets	(2,882)	(3,251)
Accounts payable	4,330	4,164
Reserve for sales returns	13,110	(4,346)
Income taxes payable	10,460	(856)
Other current liabilities	3,138	10,537
Other liabilities	(124)	(998)
Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations	96,690	42,147
Net cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations	(5,458)	(3,930)
Net cash provided by operating activities	91,232	38,217
Investing Activities:		
Purchase of property and equipment	(1,449)	(1,832)
Payments for purchase of product rights	(12,702)	273
Purchase of available-for-sale investments	(109,176)	(207,326)
Sale of available-for-sale investments	11,794	12,782
Maturity of available-for-sale investments	102,090	54,215

Edgar Filing: MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Net cash used in investing activities from continuing operations	(9,443)	(141,888)
Net cash used in investing activities from discontinued operations		(126)
Net cash used in investing activities	(9,443)	(142,014)
Financing Activities:		
Payment of dividends	(3,622)	(2,389)
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	618	287
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	9,515	850
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	6,511	(1,252)
Effect of exchange rate on cash and cash equivalents	147	161
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	88,447	(104,888)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	218,362	207,941
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 306,809	\$ 103,053

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
March 31, 2011
(unaudited)

1. NATURE OF BUSINESS

Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation (Medicis or the Company) is a leading specialty pharmaceutical company focusing primarily on the development and marketing of products in the United States (U.S.) for the treatment of dermatological and aesthetic conditions. Medicis also markets products in Canada for the treatment of dermatological and aesthetic conditions and began commercial efforts in Europe with the Company s acquisition of LipoSonix, Inc. (LipoSonix) in July 2008.

The Company offers a broad range of products addressing various conditions or aesthetic improvements including facial wrinkles, glabellar lines, acne, fungal infections, hyperpigmentation, photoaging, psoriasis, seborrheic dermatitis and cosmesis (improvement in the texture and appearance of skin). Medicis currently offers 14 branded products. Its primary brands are DYSPORT®, PERLANE®, RESTYLANE®, SOLODYN®, VANOS® and ZIANA®. Medicis entered the non-invasive body contouring market with its acquisition of LipoSonix in July 2008. Beginning in the first quarter of 2011, the Company classifies the LipoSonix business as a discontinued operation for financial statement reporting purposes. See Note 2.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Medicis and its wholly owned subsidiaries. The Company does not have any subsidiaries in which it does not own 100% of the outstanding stock. All of the Company s subsidiaries are included in the consolidated financial statements. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying interim condensed consolidated financial statements of Medicis have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, consistent in all material respects with those applied in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The financial information is unaudited, but reflects all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments and accruals, which are, in the opinion of the Company s management, necessary to a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The information included in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

2. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On February 25, 2011, the Company announced that as a result of the Company s strategic planning process and the current regulatory and commercial capital equipment environment, the Company has determined to explore strategic alternatives for its LipoSonix business including, but not limited to, the sale of the stand-alone business. The Company has engaged an investment banking firm to assist the Company in its exploration of strategic alternatives for LipoSonix. The Company expects the disposal of the LipoSonix business to take place by February 2012 or before. As a result of this decision, the Company now classifies the LipoSonix business as a discontinued operation for financial statement reporting purposes, including comparable period results.

Intangible assets and property and equipment related to LipoSonix were determined to be impaired as of December 31, 2010, based on the Company s analysis of the long-lived assets carrying value and projected future cash flows. As a result of the impairment analysis, the Company recorded a write-down of approximately \$7.7 million related to LipoSonix intangible assets and \$2.1 million related to LipoSonix property and equipment during the three months ended December 31, 2010. The write-down of intangible assets and property and equipment related to LipoSonix represented the full carrying value of the respective assets as of December 31, 2010. Therefore, no depreciation or amortization expense was recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2011 related to the discontinued operations as the long-lived assets of the discontinued operations were written down to \$0 as of December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of loss from discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Net revenues	\$ 156	\$ 949
Cost of revenues	2,375	650
Gross profit	(2,219)	299
Operating expenses:		
Selling, general and administrative	5,863	3,759
Research and development	3,346	3,511
Depreciation and amortization		320
Loss from discontinued operations before income tax benefit	(11,428)	(7,291)
Income tax benefit	(4,103)	(2,641)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit	\$ (7,325)	\$(4,650)

The Company includes only revenues and costs directly attributable to the discontinued operations, and not those attributable to the ongoing entity. Accordingly, no interest expense or general corporate overhead costs have been allocated to the LipoSonix discontinued operations. Included in cost of revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was a \$1.9 million charge related to an increase in the valuation reserve for LipoSonix inventory that is not expected to be sold.

The following is a summary of assets and liabilities held for sale associated with the LipoSonix discontinued operations as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 508	\$ 629
Accounts receivable, net	37	129
Inventories, net	3,753	4,495
Deferred tax assets, net	5,500	7,328
Other assets	256	546
Assets held for sale from discontinued operations	\$ 10,054	\$ 13,127

Edgar Filing: MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Accounts payable	\$ 1,951	\$	1,802
Other liabilities	3,985		5,474
Liabilities held for sale from discontinued operations	\$ 5,936	\$	7,276

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of net cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit	\$ (7,325)	\$ (4,650)
Depreciation and amortization		320
Share-based compensation expense	728	153
Decrease in assets held for sale from discontinued operations	3,073	1,880
Decrease in liabilities held for sale from discontinued operations	(1,934)	(1,633)
Net cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations	\$ (5,458)	\$ (3,930)

Net cash used in investing activities from discontinued operations of \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 represents purchases of property and equipment.

3. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

At March 31, 2011, the Company had seven active share-based employee compensation plans. Of these seven share-based compensation plans, only the 2006 Incentive Award Plan is eligible for the granting of future awards.

Stock Option Awards

Stock option awards are granted at the fair market value on the date of grant. The option awards vest over a period determined at the time the options are granted, ranging from one to five years, and generally have a maximum term of ten years. Certain options provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the plans). When options are exercised, new shares of the Company's Class A common stock are issued.

The total value of the stock option awards is expensed ratably over the service period of the employees receiving the awards. As of March 31, 2011, total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock option awards, to be recognized as expense subsequent to March 31, 2011, was approximately \$1.1 million and the related weighted average period over which it is expected to be recognized is approximately 3.4 years. All of the unrecognized compensation cost related to stock option awards relates to continuing operations.

A summary of stock option activity within the Company's stock-based compensation plans and changes for the three months ended March 31, 2011, is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Balance at December 31, 2010	6,491,353	\$30.01		
Granted	32,039	\$31.33		
Exercised	(355,805)	\$26.74		
Terminated/expired	(26,505)	\$33.50		
Balance at March 31, 2011	6,141,082	\$30.19	2.5	\$22,587,779

Table of Contents

The intrinsic value of options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$1,578,802. Options exercisable under the Company's share-based compensation plans at March 31, 2011 were 5,930,164, with a weighted average exercise price of \$30.46, a weighted average remaining contractual term of 2.4 years, and an aggregate intrinsic value of \$20,590,567.

A summary of outstanding and exercisable stock options that are fully vested and are expected to vest, based on historical forfeiture rates, as of March 31, 2011, is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding, net of expected forfeitures	5,740,277	\$30.32	2.5	\$20,449,450
Exercisable, net of expected forfeitures	5,569,337	\$30.54	2.4	\$18,922,708

The fair value of each stock option award is estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Expected dividend yield	0.77%	1.06%
Expected stock price volatility	0.33	0.33
Risk-free interest rate	2.81%	3.04%
Expected life of options	7.0 Years	7.0 Years

The expected dividend yield is based on expected annual dividends to be paid by the Company as a percentage of the market value of the Company's stock as of the date of grant. The Company determined that a blend of implied volatility and historical volatility is more reflective of market conditions and a better indicator of expected volatility than using purely historical volatility. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. treasury security rate in effect as of the date of grant. The expected lives of options are based on historical data of the Company.

The weighted average fair value of stock options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, was \$11.45 and \$8.10, respectively.

Restricted Stock Awards

The Company also grants restricted stock awards to certain employees. Restricted stock awards are valued at the closing market value of the Company's Class A common stock on the date of grant, and the total value of the award is expensed ratably over the service period of the employees receiving the grants. As of March 31, 2011, the total amount of unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock awards, to be recognized as expense subsequent to March 31, 2011, was approximately \$43.9 million, and the related weighted average period over which it is expected to be recognized is approximately 3.6 years. Included in the \$43.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock awards is \$2.4 million related to discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

A summary of restricted stock activity within the Company's share-based compensation plans and changes for the three months ended March 31, 2011, is as follows:

Nonvested Shares	Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	1,794,445	\$ 17.94
Granted	736,048	\$ 31.33
Vested	(377,479)	\$ 18.93
Forfeited	(12,463)	\$ 22.92
Nonvested at March 31, 2011	2,140,551	\$ 22.34

The total fair value of restricted shares vested during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$7.1 million and \$5.4 million, respectively.

Stock Appreciation Rights

During 2009, the Company began granting cash-settled stock appreciation rights (SARs) to many of its employees. SARs generally vest over a graduated five-year period and expire seven years from the date of grant, unless such expiration occurs sooner due to the employee's termination of employment, as provided in the applicable SAR award agreement. SARs allow the holder to receive cash (less applicable tax withholding) upon the holder's exercise, equal to the excess, if any, of the market price of the Company's Class A common stock on the exercise date over the exercise price, multiplied by the number of shares relating to the SAR with respect to which the SAR is exercised. The exercise price of the SAR is the fair market value of a share of the Company's Class A common stock relating to the SAR on the date of grant. The total value of the SAR is expensed over the service period of the employee receiving the grant, and a liability is recognized in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets until settled. The fair value of SARs is required to be remeasured at the end of each reporting period until the award is settled, and changes in fair value must be recognized as compensation expense to the extent of vesting each reporting period based on the new fair value. As of March 31, 2011, the total measured amount of unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding SARs, to be recognized as expense subsequent to March 31, 2011, based on the remeasurement at March 31, 2011, was approximately \$36.2 million, and the related weighted average period over which it is expected to be recognized is approximately 3.4 years. Included in the \$36.2 million of total measured unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding SARs is \$4.6 million related to discontinued operations.

The fair value of each SAR was estimated on the date of the grant, and was remeasured at quarter-end, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	SARs Granted During the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011	SARs Granted During the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Remeasurement as of March 31, 2011
Expected dividend yield	0.87%	1.06%	1.00%

Edgar Filing: MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Expected stock price volatility	0.32	0.33	0.33
Risk-free interest rate	3.12%	3.04%	2.90%
Expected life of SARs	7.0 Years	7.0 Years	4.9 to 6.9 Years

The weighted average fair value of SARs granted during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, as of the respective grant dates, was \$9.90 and \$8.10, respectively. The weighted average fair value of all SARs outstanding as of the remeasurement date of March 31, 2011 was \$17.46.

9

Table of Contents

A summary of SARs activity for the three months ended March 31, 2011 is as follows:

	Number of SARs	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Balance at December 31, 2010	3,030,142	\$ 16.99		
Granted	48,985	\$ 27.56		
Exercised	(35,682)	\$ 14.04		
Terminated/expired	(84,828)	\$ 15.30		
Balance at March 31, 2011	2,958,617	\$ 17.25	5.4	\$ 43,756,601

The intrinsic value of SARs exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$606,400.

As of March 31, 2011, 313,006 SARs were exercisable, with a weighted average exercise price of \$15.65, a weighted average remaining contractual term of 5.3 years, and an aggregate intrinsic value of \$5,129,968.

Total share-based compensation expense related to continuing operations recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Stock options	\$ 250	\$ 453
Restricted stock awards	2,602	1,884
Stock appreciation rights	3,837	605
Total share-based compensation expense	\$ 6,689	\$ 2,942

4. SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS

The Company's policy for its short-term and long-term investments is to establish a high-quality portfolio that preserves principal, meets liquidity needs, avoids inappropriate concentrations and delivers an appropriate yield in relationship to the Company's investment guidelines and market conditions. Short-term and long-term investments consist of corporate and various government agency and municipal debt securities. The Company's investments in auction rate floating securities consist of investments in student loans. Management classifies the Company's short-term and long-term investments as available-for-sale. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported in stockholders' equity. Realized gains and losses and declines in value judged to be other than temporary, if any, are included in other expense in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. A decline in the market value of any available-for-sale security below cost that is deemed to be other than temporary, results in impairment of the fair value of the investment. The impairment is charged to earnings and a new cost basis for the security is established. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted over the life of the related available-for-sale security. Dividends and interest income are recognized when earned. The cost of securities sold is

calculated using the specific identification method. At March 31, 2011, the Company has recorded the estimated fair value of available-for-sale securities in short-term and long-term investments of approximately \$468.7 million and \$32.2 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Available-for-sale securities consist of the following at March 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011				
	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Other-Than- Temporary Impairment Losses	Fair Value
Corporate notes and bonds	\$ 182,089	\$ 388	\$ (64)	\$	\$ 182,413
Federal agency notes and bonds	264,011	695	(76)		264,630
Auction rate floating securities	27,475		(6,703)		20,772
Asset-backed securities	33,081	22			33,103
Total securities	\$ 506,656	\$ 1,105	\$ (6,843)	\$	\$ 500,918

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, there were no gross realized gains and losses on sales of available-for-sale securities. Gross unrealized gains and losses are determined based on the specific identification method. The net adjustment to unrealized gains during the three months ended March 31, 2011, on available-for-sale securities included in stockholders' equity totaled \$0.1 million. The amortized cost and estimated fair value of the available-for-sale securities at March 31, 2011, by maturity, are shown below (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	
	Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Available-for-sale		
Due in one year or less	\$ 300,290	\$ 301,192
Due after one year through five years	178,891	178,954
Due after 10 years	27,475	20,772
	\$ 506,656	\$ 500,918

Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to prepay obligations without prepayment penalties, and the Company views its available-for-sale securities as available for current operations. At March 31, 2011, approximately \$32.2 million in estimated fair value expected to mature greater than one year has been classified as long-term investments since these investments are in an unrealized loss position, and management has both the ability and intent to hold these investments until recovery of fair value, which may be maturity.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company's investments included auction rate floating securities with a fair value of \$20.8 million. The Company's auction rate floating securities are debt instruments with a long-term maturity and with an interest rate that is reset in short intervals through auctions. The negative conditions in the credit markets during 2008, 2009, 2010 and the first quarter of 2011 have prevented some investors from liquidating their holdings, including their holdings of auction rate floating securities. During the three months ended March 31, 2008, the Company was informed that there was insufficient demand at auction for the auction rate floating securities. As a result, these affected auction rate floating securities are now considered illiquid, and the Company could be required to hold them until they are redeemed by the holder at maturity. The Company may not be able to liquidate the securities until a future auction on these investments is successful.

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company became aware of new circumstances that directly impacted the valuation of an asset-backed security that is owned by the Company. An unrealized loss on the asset-backed security, based on the Company's intent to hold the security until recovery of the fair value, had previously been recorded in stockholders' equity. Based on the new circumstances related to the investment, the Company determined that the impairment of the asset-backed security was other-than-temporary, as the Company believed it would not recover its investment even if the asset were held to maturity. A \$0.3 million impairment

Table of Contents

charge was therefore recorded in other expense, net, during the three months ended March 31, 2010 related to the asset-backed security. The asset-backed security was sold in April 2010.

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and the fair value of the Company's investments, with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at March 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Less Than 12 Months		Greater Than 12 Months	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Loss
Corporate notes and bonds	\$ 44,724	\$ 64	\$	\$
Federal agency notes and bonds	18,425	76		
Auction rate floating securities			20,772	6,703
Asset-backed securities	2,499			
Total securities	\$ 65,648	\$ 140	\$ 20,772	\$ 6,703

As of March 31, 2011, the Company has concluded that the unrealized losses on its investment securities are temporary in nature and are caused by changes in credit spreads and liquidity issues in the marketplace. Available-for-sale securities are reviewed quarterly for possible other-than-temporary impairment. This review includes an analysis of the facts and circumstances of each individual investment such as the severity of loss, the length of time the fair value has been below cost, the expectation for that security's performance and the creditworthiness of the issuer. Additionally, the Company does not intend to sell and it is not more-likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell any of the securities before the recovery of their amortized cost basis.

5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

As of March 31, 2011, the Company held certain assets that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. These included certain of the Company's short-term and long-term investments, including investments in auction rate floating securities.

The Company has invested in auction rate floating securities, which are classified as available-for-sale securities and reflected at fair value. Due to events in credit markets, the auction events for some of these instruments held by the Company failed during the three months ended March 31, 2008 (see Note 4). Therefore, the fair values of these auction rate floating securities, which are primarily rated AAA, are estimated utilizing a discounted cash flow analysis as of March 31, 2011. These analyses consider, among other items, the collateralization underlying the security investments, the creditworthiness of the counterparty, the timing of expected future cash flows, and the expectation of the next time the security is expected to have a successful auction. These investments were also compared, when possible, to other observable market data with similar characteristics to the securities held by the Company. Changes to these assumptions in future periods could result in additional declines in fair value of the auction rate floating securities.

Table of Contents

The Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis subject to the disclosure requirements of ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, at March 31, 2011, were as follows (in thousands):

	Mar. 31, 2011	Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Using Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Corporate notes and bonds	\$ 182,413	\$ 182,413	\$	\$
Federal agency notes and bonds	264,630	264,630		
Auction rate floating securities	20,772			20,772
Asset-backed securities	33,103	33,103		
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 500,918	\$ 480,146	\$	\$ 20,772

The following tables present the Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) Auction Rate Floating Securities
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 21,480
Transfers to (from) Level 3	
Total gains (losses) included in other (income) expense, net	
Total gains included in other comprehensive income	392
Purchases	
Settlements	(1,100)
Balance at March 31, 2011	\$ 20,772

6. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

All research and development costs, including payments related to products under development and research consulting agreements, are expensed as incurred. The Company may continue to make non-refundable payments to third parties for new technologies and for research and development work that has been completed. These payments may be expensed at the time of payment depending on the nature of the payment made.

The Company's policy on accounting for costs of strategic collaborations determines the timing of the recognition of certain development costs. In addition, this policy determines whether the cost is classified as development expense or capitalized as an asset. Management is required to form judgments with respect to the commercial status of such products in determining whether development costs meet the criteria for immediate expense or capitalization. For example, when the Company acquires certain products for which there is already an Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) or a New Drug Application (NDA) approval related directly to the product, and there is net realizable value based on projected sales for these products, the Company capitalizes the amount paid as an intangible asset. If the Company acquires product rights which are in the development phase and

Table of Contents

to which the Company has no assurance that the third party will successfully complete its development milestones, the Company expenses such payments.

Research and development expense for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Ongoing research and development costs	\$ 6,868	\$ 6,503
Payments related to strategic collaborations	7,000	
Share-based compensation expense	405	55
Total research and development	\$ 14,273	\$ 6,558

7. STRATEGIC COLLABORATIONS*Anacor*

On February 9, 2011, the Company entered into a research and development agreement with Anacor Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Anacor) for the discovery and development of boron-based small molecule compounds directed against a target for the potential treatment of acne. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company paid Anacor \$7.0 million in connection with the execution of the agreement, and will pay up to \$153.0 million upon the achievement of certain research, development, regulatory and commercial milestones, as well as royalties on sales by the Company. Anacor will be responsible for discovering and conducting the early development of product candidates which utilize Anacor's proprietary boron chemistry platform, while the Company will have an option to obtain an exclusive license for products covered by the agreement. The initial \$7.0 million payment was recognized as research and development expense during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

8. SEGMENT AND PRODUCT INFORMATION

The Company operates in one business segment: pharmaceuticals. The Company's current pharmaceutical franchises are divided between the dermatological and non-dermatological fields. The dermatological field represents products for the treatment of acne and acne-related dermatological conditions and non-acne dermatological conditions. The non-dermatological field represents products for the treatment of urea cycle disorder and contract revenue. The acne and acne-related dermatological product lines include DYNACIN[®], PLEXION[®], SOLODYN[®], TRIAZ[®] and ZIANA[®]. During early 2011, the Company discontinued its TRIAZ[®] branded products and decided to no longer promote its PLEXION[®] branded products. The non-acne dermatological product lines include DYSPORT[®], LOPROX[®], PERLANE[®], RESTYLANE[®] and VANOS[®]. The non-dermatological product lines include AMMONUL[®] and BUPHENYL[®]. The non-dermatological field also includes contract revenues associated with licensing agreements and authorized generics.

The Company's pharmaceutical products, with the exception of AMMONU[®] and BUPHENYL[®], are promoted to dermatologists and plastic surgeons. Such products are often prescribed by physicians outside these two specialties, including family practitioners, general practitioners, primary-care physicians and OB/GYNs, as well as hospitals, government agencies, and others. Currently, the Company's products are sold primarily to wholesalers and retail chain drug stores.

Table of Contents

Net revenues and the percentage of net revenues for each of the product categories are as follows (amounts in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Acne and acne-related dermatological products	\$103,462	\$120,213
Non-acne dermatological products	52,221	34,252
Non-dermatological products	9,230	11,077
Total net revenues	\$164,913	\$165,542

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Acne and acne-related dermatological products	63%	72%
Non-acne dermatological products	32	21
Non-dermatological products	5	7
Total net revenues	100%	100%

9. INVENTORIES

The Company primarily utilizes third parties to manufacture and package inventories held for sale, takes title to certain inventories once manufactured, and warehouses such goods until packaged for final distribution and sale. Inventories consist of salable products held at the Company's warehouses, as well as raw materials and components at the manufacturers' facilities, and are valued at the lower of cost or market using the first-in, first-out method. The Company provides valuation reserves for estimated obsolescence or unmarketable inventory in an amount equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions.

Inventory costs associated with products that have not yet received regulatory approval are capitalized if, in the view of the Company's management, there is probable future commercial use and future economic benefit. If future commercial use and future economic benefit are not considered probable, then costs associated with pre-launch inventory that has not yet received regulatory approval are expensed as research and development expense during the period the costs are incurred. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were no costs capitalized into inventory for products that had not yet received regulatory approval.

Inventories are as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Raw materials	\$ 13,803	\$ 15,801
Work-in-process	3,478	3,236

Edgar Filing: MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Finished goods	26,010	24,838
Valuation reserve	(8,887)	(8,593)
Total inventories	\$ 34,404	\$ 35,282

Table of Contents**10. OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES**

Other current liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Accrued incentives, including SARs liability	\$ 24,641	\$ 33,923
Deferred revenue	14,230	16,422
Other accrued expenses	28,636	24,883
	\$ 67,507	\$ 75,228

Deferred revenue is comprised of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Deferred revenue – aesthetics products, net of cost of revenue	\$ 7,712	\$ 10,334
Deferred contract revenue	2,209	3,014
Deferred revenue – sales into distribution channel in excess of eight weeks of projected demand	4,217	582
Other deferred revenue	92	2,492
	\$ 14,230	\$ 16,422

The Company defers revenue, and the related cost of revenue, of its aesthetics products, including DYSPORE[®], PERLANE[®] and RESTYLANE[®], until its exclusive U.S. distributor ships the product to physicians. Deferred contract revenue relates to the Company's strategic collaboration with Hyperion Therapeutics, Inc. The Company also defers the recognition of revenue for certain sales of inventory into the distribution channel that are in excess of eight (8) weeks of projected demand.

11. CONTINGENT CONVERTIBLE SENIOR NOTES

In June 2002, the Company sold \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 2.5% Contingent Convertible Senior Notes Due 2032 (the "Old Notes") in private transactions. As discussed below, approximately \$230.8 million in principal amount of the Old Notes was exchanged for New Notes on August 14, 2003. The Old Notes bear interest at a rate of 2.5% per annum, which is payable on June 4 and December 4 of each year, beginning on December 4, 2002. The Company also agreed to pay contingent interest at a rate equal to 0.5% per annum during any six-month period, with the initial six-month period commencing June 4, 2007, if the average trading price of the Old Notes reaches certain thresholds. No contingent interest related to the Old Notes was payable at March 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010. The Old Notes will mature on June 4, 2032.

The Company may redeem some or all of the Old Notes at any time on or after June 11, 2007, at a redemption price, payable in cash, of 100% of the principal amount of the Old Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, including contingent interest, if any. Holders of the Old Notes may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of their Old Notes on June 4, 2012 and June 4, 2017, or upon a change in control, as defined in the indenture governing the Old Notes, at 100% of the principal amount of the Old Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of the repurchase, payable in cash. Under GAAP, if an obligation is due on demand or will be due on demand within one year from the balance sheet date, even though liquidation may not be expected within that period, it should be classified as a current liability. Accordingly, the outstanding balance of Old Notes along with the deferred tax liability

associated with accelerated interest deductions on the Old Notes will be classified as a current liability during the respective twelve month periods prior to June 4, 2012 and June 4, 2017.

Table of Contents

The Old Notes are convertible, at the holders' option, prior to the maturity date into shares of the Company's Class A common stock in the following circumstances:

during any quarter commencing after June 30, 2002, if the closing price of the Company's Class A common stock over a specified number of trading days during the previous quarter, including the last trading day of such quarter, is more than 110% of the conversion price of the Old Notes, or \$31.96. The Old Notes are initially convertible at a conversion price of \$29.05 per share, which is equal to a conversion rate of approximately 34.4234 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of Old Notes, subject to adjustment;

if the Company has called the Old Notes for redemption;

during the five trading day period immediately following any nine consecutive day trading period in which the trading price of the Old Notes per \$1,000 principal amount for each day of such period was less than 95% of the product of the closing sale price of the Company's Class A common stock on that day multiplied by the number of shares of the Company's Class A common stock issuable upon conversion of \$1,000 principal amount of the Old Notes; or

upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions.

The Old Notes, which are unsecured, do not contain any restrictions on the payment of dividends, the incurrence of additional indebtedness or the repurchase of the Company's securities and do not contain any financial covenants.

The Company incurred \$12.6 million of fees and other origination costs related to the issuance of the Old Notes. The Company amortized these costs over the first five-year Put period, which ran through June 4, 2007.

On August 14, 2003, the Company exchanged approximately \$230.8 million in principal amount of its Old Notes for approximately \$283.9 million in principal amount of its 1.5% Contingent Convertible Senior Notes Due 2033 (the New Notes). Holders of Old Notes that accepted the Company's exchange offer received \$1,230 in principal amount of New Notes for each \$1,000 in principal amount of Old Notes. The terms of the New Notes are similar to the terms of the Old Notes, but have a different interest rate, conversion rate and maturity date. Holders of Old Notes that chose not to exchange continue to be subject to the terms of the Old Notes.

The New Notes bear interest at a rate of 1.5% per annum, which is payable on June 4 and December 4 of each year, beginning December 4, 2003. The Company will also pay contingent interest at a rate of 0.5% per annum during any six-month period, with the initial six-month period commencing June 4, 2008, if the average trading price of the New Notes reaches certain thresholds. No contingent interest related to the New Notes was payable at March 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010. The New Notes mature on June 4, 2033.

As a result of the exchange, the outstanding principal amounts of the Old Notes and the New Notes were \$169.2 million and \$283.9 million, respectively. The Company incurred approximately \$5.1 million of fees and other origination costs related to the issuance of the New Notes. The Company amortized these costs over the first five-year Put period, which ran through June 4, 2008.

Holders of the New Notes were able to require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of their New Notes on June 4, 2008, at 100% of the principal amount of the New Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, including contingent interest, if any, to the date of the repurchase, payable in cash. Holders of approximately \$283.7 million of New Notes elected to require the Company to repurchase their New Notes on June 4, 2008. The Company paid \$283.7 million, plus accrued and unpaid interest of approximately \$2.2 million, to the holders of New Notes that elected to require the Company to repurchase their New Notes. The Company was also required to pay an accumulated deferred tax liability of approximately \$34.9 million related to the repurchased New Notes. This \$34.9 million deferred tax liability was paid during the second half of 2008. Following the repurchase of these New Notes, \$181,000 of principal amount of New Notes remained outstanding as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The remaining New Notes are convertible, at the holders' option, prior to the maturity date into shares of the Company's Class A common stock in the following circumstances:

during any quarter commencing after September 30, 2003, if the closing price of the Company's Class A common stock over a specified number of trading days during the previous quarter, including the last trading day of such quarter, is more than 120% of the conversion price of the New Notes, or \$46.51. The Notes are initially convertible at a conversion price of \$38.76 per share, which is equal to a conversion rate of approximately 25.7998 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of New Notes, subject to adjustment;

if the Company has called the New Notes for redemption;

during the five trading day period immediately following any nine consecutive day trading period in which the trading price of the New Notes per \$1,000 principal amount for each day of such period was less than 95% of the product of the closing sale price of the Company's Class A common stock on that day multiplied by the number of shares of the Company's Class A common stock issuable upon conversion of \$1,000 principal amount of the New Notes; or

upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions.

The remaining New Notes, which are unsecured, do not contain any restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness or the repurchase of the Company's securities and do not contain any financial covenants. The New Notes require an adjustment to the conversion price if the cumulative aggregate of all current and prior dividend increases above \$0.025 per share would result in at least a one percent (1%) increase in the conversion price. This threshold has not been reached and no adjustment to the conversion price has been made.

During the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Old Notes and New Notes did not meet the criteria for the right of conversion. At the end of each future quarter, the conversion rights will be reassessed in accordance with the bond indenture agreement to determine if the conversion trigger rights have been achieved.

12. INCOME TAXES

Income taxes are determined using an annual effective tax rate, which generally differs from the U.S. Federal statutory rate, primarily because of state and local income taxes, enhanced charitable contribution deductions for inventory, tax credits available in the U.S., the treatment of certain share-based payments that are not designed to normally result in tax deductions, various expenses that are not deductible for tax purposes, changes in valuation allowances against deferred tax assets and differences in tax rates in certain non-U.S. jurisdictions. The Company's effective tax rate may be subject to fluctuations during the year as new information is obtained which may affect the assumptions it uses to estimate its annual effective tax rate, including factors such as its mix of pre-tax earnings in the various tax jurisdictions in which it operates, changes in valuation allowances against deferred tax assets, reserves for tax audit issues and settlements, utilization of tax credits and changes in tax laws in jurisdictions where the Company conducts operations. The Company recognizes tax benefits only if the tax position is more likely than not of being sustained. The Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of its assets and liabilities, along with net operating losses and credit carryforwards. The Company records valuation allowances against its deferred tax assets to reduce the net carrying value to amounts that management believes is more likely than not to be realized.

At March 31, 2011, the Company has an unrealized tax loss of \$21.0 million related to the Company's option to acquire Revance or license Revance's topical product that is under development. The Company will not be able to determine the character of the loss until the Company exercises or fails to exercise its option. A realized loss characterized as a capital loss can only be utilized to offset capital gains. At March 31, 2011, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance of \$7.6 million against the deferred tax asset associated with this unrealized tax loss in order to reduce the carrying value of the deferred tax asset to \$0, which is the amount that management believes is more likely than not to be realized.

At March 31, 2011, the Company has an unrealized tax loss of \$16.4 million related to the Company's option to acquire a privately-held U.S. biotechnology company. If the Company fails to exercise its option, a capital loss will be recognized. A loss characterized as a capital loss can only be used to offset capital gains. At March 31,

Table of Contents

2011, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance of \$5.9 million against the deferred tax asset associated with this unrealized tax loss in order to reduce the carrying value of the deferred tax asset to \$0, which is the amount that management believes is more likely than not to be realized.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company made net tax payments of \$6.0 million and \$16.8 million, respectively.

The Company operates in multiple tax jurisdictions and is periodically subject to audit in these jurisdictions. These audits can involve complex issues that may require an extended period of time to resolve and may cover multiple years. The Company and its domestic subsidiaries file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return. Such returns have either been audited or settled through statute expiration through 2006. The state of California conducted an examination on the Company's tax returns for the periods ending June 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2007. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company reached a settlement for all periods with the state of California and paid approximately \$0.5 million. The state of California has also notified the Company of an upcoming examination of the Company's tax returns for the periods ending December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2009.

The Company owns two subsidiaries that file corporate tax returns in Sweden. The Swedish tax authorities examined the tax return of one of the subsidiaries for fiscal 2004. The examiners issued a no change letter, and the examination is complete. The Company's other subsidiary in Sweden has not been examined by the Swedish tax authorities. The Swedish statute of limitations may be open for up to five years from the date the tax return was filed. Thus, all returns filed for periods ending December 31, 2006 forward are open under the statute of limitations.

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had unrecognized tax benefits of \$1.0 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. The amount of unrecognized tax benefits which, if ultimately recognized, could favorably affect the Company's effective tax rate in a future period is \$0.6 million and \$0.9 million as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. During the next twelve months, the Company estimates that it is reasonably possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by \$0.7 million.

The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties, if applicable, related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. The Company had approximately \$0.5 million for the payment of interest and penalties accrued (net of tax benefit) at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

13. DIVIDENDS DECLARED ON COMMON STOCK

On March 22, 2011, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had declared a cash dividend of \$0.08 per issued and outstanding share of the Company's Class A common stock, which was paid on April 29, 2011, to stockholders of record at the close of business on April 1, 2011. The \$4.9 million dividend was recorded as a reduction of accumulated earnings and is included in other current liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2011. The Company has not adopted a dividend policy.

14. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Total comprehensive income includes net income and other comprehensive income (loss), which consists of foreign currency translation adjustments and unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale investments. Total comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, was \$19.6 million and \$35.9 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**15. NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE**

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per common share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	March 31, 2011		Three Months Ended		March 31, 2010	
	Continuing Operations	Discontinued Operations	Net Income	Continuing Operations	Discontinued Operations	Net Income
BASIC						
Net income (loss)	\$ 26,685	\$ (7,325)	\$ 19,360	\$ 40,022	\$ (4,650)	\$ 35,372
Less: income (loss) allocated to participating securities	799		562	1,318		1,163
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	25,886	(7,325)	18,798	38,704	(4,650)	34,209
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	59,124	59,124	59,124	58,049	58,049	58,049
Basic net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.44	\$ (0.12)	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.67	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.59
DILUTED						
Net income (loss)	\$ 26,685	\$ (7,325)	\$ 19,360	\$ 40,022	\$ (4,650)	\$ 35,372
Less: income (loss) allocated to participating securities	799		562	1,318		1,163
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	25,886	(7,325)	18,798	38,704	(4,650)	34,209
Less: Undistributed earnings allocated to unvested stockholders	(687)		(457)	(1,211)		(1,057)
Add: Undistributed earnings re-allocated to unvested stockholders	683		454	1,205		1,051
Add: Tax-effected interest expense and issue costs related to Old Notes	666		666	666		666

Edgar Filing: MEDICIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Net income (loss) assuming dilution	\$ 26,548	\$ (7,325)	\$ 19,461	\$ 39,364	\$ (4,650)	\$ 34,869
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	59,124	59,124	59,124	58,049	58,049	58,049
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Old Notes	5,823		5,823	5,823		5,823
New Notes	4		4	4		4
Stock options	430		430	316		316
Weighted average number of common shares assuming dilution	65,381	59,124	65,381	64,192	58,049	64,192
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.41	\$ (0.12)	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.61	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.54

Diluted net income per common share must be calculated using the if-converted method. Diluted net income per share using the if-converted method is calculated by adjusting net income for tax-effected net interest and issue costs on the Old Notes and New Notes, divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding assuming conversion.

Table of Contents

Unvested share-based payment awards that contain rights to receive nonforfeitable dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities, and thus, are included in the two-class method of computing earnings per share. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that treats a participating security as having rights to earnings that would otherwise have been available to common stockholders. Restricted stock granted to certain employees by the Company (see Note 3) participate in dividends on the same basis as common shares, and these dividends are not forfeitable by the holders of the restricted stock. As a result, the restricted stock grants meet the definition of a participating security.

The diluted net income per common share computation for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 excludes 5,032,879 and 8,483,156 shares of stock, respectively, that represented outstanding stock options whose impact would be anti-dilutive.

Due to the net loss from discontinued operations during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, diluted earnings per share and basic earnings per share from discontinued operations are the same, as the effect of potentially dilutive securities would be anti-dilutive.

16. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**Legal Matters**

The Company is currently party to various legal proceedings, including those noted in this section. Unless specifically noted below, any possible range of loss associated with the legal proceedings described below is not reasonably estimable at this time. The Company is engaged in numerous other legal actions not described below arising in the ordinary course of its business and, while there can be no assurance, the Company believes that the ultimate outcome of these actions will not have a material adverse effect on its operating results, liquidity or financial position.

From time to time the Company may conclude it is in the best interests of its stockholders, employees, and customers to settle one or more litigation matters, and any such settlement could include substantial payments; however, other than as noted below, the Company has not reached this conclusion with respect to any particular matter at this time. There are a variety of factors that influence the Company's decisions to settle and the amount the Company may choose to pay, including the strength of its case, developments in the litigation, the behavior of other interested parties, the demand on management time and the possible distraction of the Company's employees associated with the case and/or the possibility that the Company may be subject to an injunction or other equitable remedy. It is difficult to predict whether a settlement is possible, the amount of an appropriate settlement or when is the opportune time to settle a matter in light of the numerous factors that go into the settlement decision. Unless otherwise specified below, any settlement payment made pursuant to any of the completed settlement agreements described below is immaterial to the Company for financial reporting purposes.

Impax SOLODYN[®] Litigation and Settlement

On November 26, 2008, the Company and Impax Laboratories, Inc. (Impax) entered into a Settlement and License Agreement (the First Impax Settlement Agreement) that terminated all legal disputes between them relating to SOLODYN[®]. Under the terms of the First Impax Settlement Agreement, Impax will have a license to market its generic versions of SOLODYN[®] in 45mg, 90mg and 135mg strengths under the SOLODYN[®] intellectual property rights belonging to the Company upon the occurrence of certain events and no later than November 2011. On June 23, 2009, the Company and Impax entered into a second Settlement Agreement (the Second Impax Settlement Agreement) and an Amendment No. 2 to the First Impax Settlement Agreement. Pursuant to the Second Impax Settlement Agreement, both Impax and the Company released, acquitted, covenanted not to sue and forever discharged one another and their affiliates from any and all liabilities relating to the litigation that Impax commenced after the First Impax Settlement Agreement. On July 27, 2010, Impax filed an action in the Superior Court of the State of Arizona in and for the County of Maricopa seeking a declaration that certain rights of Impax under the First and Second Impax Settlement Agreements have been triggered. Impax filed an amended complaint and the Company filed counterclaims against Impax. On January 21, 2011, the Company and Impax entered into a Settlement Agreement (the

Third Impax Settlement Agreement) which terminated the disputes between the Company and Impax relating to the First and Second Impax Settlement Agreements. The Third Impax Settlement Agreement also amended certain provisions of the Joint Development Agreement between the Company and Impax.

Table of Contents

The parties filed a stipulation to dismiss with prejudice all claims in the amended complaint and the counterclaims. On February 4, 2011, the Court granted the order dismissing the action in its entirety with prejudice.

Genzyme RESTYLANE®/PERLANE® Litigation

On October 15, 2010, the Company received notice that Genzyme Corporation (Genzyme) had filed a lawsuit against the Company in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts alleging that the Company has infringed, contributorily infringed and/or induced the infringement by others of one or more claims of Genzyme's U.S. Patent No. 5,399,351 by using, selling, offering to sell and/or importing RESTYLANE®, PERLANE®, RESTYLANE-L® and/or PERLANE-L® (the RESTYLANE® family of products) in the United States and/or advising others with respect to such activities. The Company acquired exclusive U.S. and Canadian rights to the RESTYLANE® family of products through certain license agreements with Q-Med AB, a Swedish biotechnology and medical device company and its affiliates (collectively Q-Med), in March 2003, and first launched RESTYLANE® in January 2004 following approval by the FDA in December 2003. PERLANE® was approved by the FDA and launched in May 2007. RESTYLANE-L® and PERLANE-L® were approved by the FDA in January 2010 and launched in February 2010. The RESTYLANE® family of products is covered by a U.S. patent that expires in 2015 or later. Pursuant to the Company's license agreement with Q-Med, Q-Med elected to assume the defense of Genzyme's claim. On February 14, 2011, Q-Med, the Company and Genzyme entered into a written settlement agreement whereby none of the parties admits any liability or wrongdoing relating to the claims in the lawsuit, and pursuant to which Genzyme has agreed to dismiss the case and release the Company and Q-Med from any liability relating to the lawsuit, and has also agreed to a certain covenant not to sue in exchange for a lump sum payment by Q-Med to Genzyme. The Company is not required to make any payment to Genzyme or Q-Med under the terms of the settlement agreement. Pursuant to the settlement agreement entered into among the parties, the Court dismissed this action on February 22, 2011.

Stockholder Class Action Litigation

On October 3, 10 and 27, 2008, purported stockholder class action lawsuits styled Andrew Hall v. Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp., et al. (Case No. 2:08-cv-01821-MHB); Steamfitters Local 449 Pension Fund v. Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp., et al. (Case No. 2:08-cv-01870-DKD); and Darlene Oliver v. Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp., et al. (Case No. 2:08-cv-01964-JAT) were filed in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona on behalf of stockholders who purchased securities of the Company during the period between October 30, 2003 and approximately September 24, 2008. The Court consolidated these actions into a single proceeding and on May 18, 2009 an amended complaint was filed alleging violations of the federal securities laws arising out of the Company's restatement of its consolidated financial statements in 2008. On December 2, 2009, the Court granted the Company's and other defendants' dismissal motions and dismissed the consolidated amended complaint without prejudice. On January 18, 2010 the lead plaintiff filed a second amended complaint, and on or about August 9, 2010, the Court denied the Company's and other defendants' related dismissal motions. On December 17, 2010, the lead plaintiff filed a motion for class certification, and the defendants filed an opposition to the motion on March 8, 2011. The Company is in active discussions with the plaintiffs in the lawsuit, as well as the plaintiffs in the derivative lawsuits described below, in pursuit of a collective resolution of the class action and the derivative lawsuits. The parties have agreed to stay the class action plaintiffs' reply in support of the motion for class action certification pending final settlement. In the event the matter is not settled, the Company will continue to vigorously defend the claims in the class action and derivative lawsuits. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in its settlement discussions or in defending the lawsuits, and an adverse resolution of the lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations in the period in which the lawsuits are resolved.

Stockholder Derivative Lawsuits

On January 21, 2009, the Company received a letter from an alleged stockholder demanding that its Board of Directors take certain actions, including potentially legal action, in connection with the restatement of its consolidated financial statements in 2008. The letter stated that, if the Board of Directors did not take the demanded action, the alleged stockholder would commence a derivative action on behalf of the Company. The Company's Board of Directors reviewed the letter during the course of 2009 and established a special committee of the Board of Directors, comprised of directors who are independent and disinterested with respect to the allegations in the letter, to assess the

allegations contained in the letter. The special committee engaged outside counsel to assist with the investigation. The special committee completed its investigation, and on or about February 16, 2010, the Board of

Table of Contents

Directors, pursuant to the report and recommendation of the special committee, resolved to decline the derivative demand. On February 26, 2010, Company counsel sent a declination letter to opposing counsel. On or about October 21, 2010, the stockholder filed a derivative complaint against the Company and its directors and certain officers in the Superior Court of the State of Arizona in and for the County of Maricopa, alleging that such individuals breached their fiduciary duties to the Company in connection with the restatement. The stockholder seeks to recover unspecified damages and costs, including counsel and expert fees.

On or about October 20, 2010, a second alleged stockholder of the Company filed a derivative complaint against the Company and its directors and certain officers in the Superior Court of the State of Arizona in and for the County of Maricopa. The complaint alleges, among other things, that such individuals breached their fiduciary duties to the Company in connection with the restatement. The complaint further alleges that a demand upon the Board of Directors to institute an action in the Company's name would be futile and that the stockholder is therefore excused under Delaware law from making such a demand prior to filing the complaint. The stockholder seeks, among other things, to recover unspecified damages and costs, including counsel and expert fees.

The Company is in active discussions with the plaintiffs in both derivative lawsuits, as well as the plaintiffs in the class action lawsuit described above, in pursuit of a collective resolution of the derivative and class action lawsuits. The Company and the plaintiffs in the derivative lawsuits have agreed to stay the derivative lawsuits pending final settlement. In the event the lawsuits are not settled and the stay is lifted, the Company will continue to vigorously defend the claims in the derivative and class action lawsuits. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in its settlement discussions or in defending the lawsuits, and an adverse resolution of the lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations in the period in which the lawsuits are resolved.

In addition to the matters discussed above, in the ordinary course of business, the Company is involved in a number of legal actions, both as plaintiff and defendant, and could incur uninsured liability in any one or more of them. Although the outcome of these actions is not presently determinable, it is the opinion of the Company's management, based upon the information available at this time, that the expected outcome of these matters, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition or cash flows of the Company.

17. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In October 2009, the FASB approved for issuance Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-13, *Revenue Recognition (ASC 605) Multiple Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, a consensus of EITF 08-01, *Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. This guidance modifies the fair value requirements of ASC subtopic 605-25 *Revenue Recognition Multiple Element Arrangements* by providing principles for allocation of consideration among its multiple-elements, allowing more flexibility in identifying and accounting for separate deliverables under an arrangement. An estimated selling price method is introduced for valuing the elements of a bundled arrangement if vendor-specific objective evidence or third-party evidence of selling price is not available, and significantly expands related disclosure requirements. This updated guidance is effective on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Alternatively, adoption may be on a retrospective basis, and early application is permitted. The adoption of the guidance on January 1, 2011 did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

In March 2010, the FASB approved for issuance ASU No. 2010-17, *Revenue Recognition-Milestone Method (Topic 605): Milestone Method of Revenue Recognition*. The updated guidance recognizes the milestone method as an acceptable revenue recognition method for substantive milestones in research or development transactions, and is effective on a prospective basis for milestones achieved in fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of the guidance on January 1, 2011 did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

18. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date of issuance of its financial statements.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Executive Summary

We are a leading independent specialty pharmaceutical company focused primarily on helping patients attain a healthy and youthful appearance and self-image through the development and marketing in the U.S. of products for the treatment of dermatological and aesthetic conditions. We also market products in Canada for the treatment of dermatological and aesthetic conditions and began commercial efforts in Europe with our acquisition of LipoSonix in July 2008. We offer a broad range of products addressing various conditions or aesthetics improvements, including facial wrinkles, acne, fungal infections, hyperpigmentation, photoaging, psoriasis, seborrheic dermatitis and cosmesis (improvement in the texture and appearance of skin).

Our current product lines are divided between the dermatological and non-dermatological fields. The dermatological field represents products for the treatment of acne and acne-related dermatological conditions and non-acne dermatological conditions. The non-dermatological field represents products for the treatment of urea cycle disorder and contract revenue. Our acne and acne-related dermatological product lines include DYNACIN®, PLEXION®, SOLODYN®, TRIAZ® and ZIANA®. During early 2011, we discontinued our TRIAZ® branded products and decided to no longer promote our PLEXION® branded products. Our non-acne dermatological product lines include DYSPORT®, LOPROX®, PERLANE®, RESTYLANE® and VANOS®. Our non-dermatological product lines include AMMONUL® and BUPHENYL®. Our non-dermatological field also includes contract revenues associated with licensing agreements and authorized generic agreements.

Financial Information About Segments

We operate in one business segment: pharmaceuticals. Our current pharmaceutical franchises are divided between the dermatological and non-dermatological fields. Information on revenues, operating income, identifiable assets and supplemental revenue of our business franchises appears in the condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 hereof.

Key Aspects of Our Business

We derive a majority of our revenue from our primary products: DYSPORT®, PERLANE®, RESTYLANE®, SOLODYN®, VANOS® and ZIANA®. We believe that sales of our primary products will constitute a significant portion of our revenue for 2011.

We have built our business by executing a four-part growth strategy: promoting existing brands, developing new products and important product line extensions, entering into strategic collaborations and acquiring complementary products, technologies and businesses. Our core philosophy is to cultivate high integrity relationships of trust and confidence with the foremost dermatologists and the leading plastic surgeons in the U.S. We rely on third parties to manufacture our products (except for the LIPOSONIX™ system).

We estimate customer demand for our prescription products primarily through use of third party syndicated data sources which track prescriptions written by health care providers and dispensed by licensed pharmacies. The data represents extrapolations from information provided only by certain pharmacies and are estimates of historical demand levels. We estimate customer demand for our non-prescription products primarily through internal data that we compile. We observe trends from these data and, coupled with certain proprietary information, prepare demand forecasts that are the basis for purchase orders for finished and component inventory from our third party manufacturers and suppliers. Our forecasts may fail to accurately anticipate ultimate customer demand for our products. Overestimates of demand and sudden changes in market conditions may result in excessive inventory production and underestimates may result in inadequate supply of our products in channels of distribution.

We schedule our inventory purchases to meet anticipated customer demand. As a result, miscalculation of customer demand or relatively small delays in our receipt of manufactured products could result in revenues being deferred or lost. Our operating expenses are based upon anticipated sales levels, and a high percentage of our operating expenses are relatively fixed in the short term.

We sell our products primarily to major wholesalers and retail pharmacy chains. Approximately 75-80% of our gross revenues are typically derived from two major drug wholesale concerns. Depending on the customer, we recognize revenue at the time of shipment to the customer, or at the time of receipt by the customer, net of estimated

Table of Contents

provisions. We recognize revenue on our aesthetics products DYSPO[®], PERLANE[®] and RESTYLANE[®] upon shipment from McKesson, our exclusive U.S. distributor of these products, to physicians. Consequently, variations in the timing of revenue recognition could cause significant fluctuations in operating results from period to period and may result in unanticipated periodic earnings shortfalls or losses. We have distribution services agreements with our two largest wholesale customers. We review the supply levels of our significant products sold to major wholesalers by reviewing periodic inventory reports that are supplied to us by our major wholesalers in accordance with the distribution services agreements. We rely wholly upon our wholesale and retail chain drugstore customers to effect the distribution allocation of substantially all of our prescription products. We believe our estimates of trade inventory levels of our products, based on our review of the periodic inventory reports supplied by our major wholesalers and the estimated demand for our products based on prescription and other data, are reasonable. We further believe that inventories of our products among wholesale customers, taken as a whole, are similar to those of other specialty pharmaceutical companies, and that our trade practices, which periodically involve volume discounts and early payment discounts, are typical of the industry.

We periodically offer promotions to wholesale and retail chain drugstore customers to encourage dispensing of our prescription products, consistent with prescriptions written by licensed health care providers. Because many of our prescription products compete in multi-source markets, it is important for us to ensure the licensed health care providers dispensing instructions are fulfilled with our branded products and are not substituted with a generic product or another therapeutic alternative product which may be contrary to the licensed health care providers recommended and prescribed Medicis brand. We believe that a critical component of our brand protection program is maintenance of full product availability at wholesale and drugstore customers. We believe such availability reduces the probability of local and regional product substitutions, shortages and backorders, which could result in lost sales. We expect to continue providing favorable terms to wholesale and retail chain drugstore customers as may be necessary to ensure the fullest possible distribution of our branded products within the pharmaceutical chain of commerce. From time to time we may enter into business arrangements (e.g., loans or investments) involving our customers and those arrangements may be reviewed by federal and state regulators.

Purchases by any given customer, during any given period, may be above or below actual prescription volumes of any of our products during the same period, resulting in fluctuations of product inventory in the distribution channel. In addition, we consistently assess our product mix and portfolio to promote a high level of profitability and revenues and to ensure that our products are responsive to consumer tastes and changes to regulatory classifications. During early 2011, we discontinued our TRIAZ[®] branded products and decided to no longer promote our PLEXION[®] branded products.

Recent Developments

As described in more detail below, the following significant events and transactions occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2011, and affected our results of operations, our cash flows and our financial condition:

Research and development agreement with Anacor;

Settlement Agreement with Teva;

Classification of LipoSonix as a discontinued operation; and

Increase of our quarterly dividend from \$0.06 per share to \$0.08 per share.

Research and development agreement with Anacor

On February 9, 2011, we entered into a research and development agreement with Anacor Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Anacor) for the discovery and development of boron-based small molecule compounds directed against a target for the potential treatment of acne. Under the terms of the agreement, we paid Anacor \$7.0 million in connection with the execution of the agreement, and will pay up to \$153.0 million upon the achievement of certain research, development, regulatory and commercial milestones, as well as royalties on sales by us. Anacor will be responsible for discovering and conducting the early development of product candidates which utilize Anacor s proprietary boron chemistry platform, while we will have an option to obtain an exclusive license for products covered by the agreement. The

initial \$7.0 million payment was recognized as research and development expense during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Settlement Agreement with Teva

On February 24, 2011, we entered into a Settlement Agreement (*Teva Settlement Agreement*) with Barr Laboratories, Inc., a subsidiary of Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., on behalf of itself and certain of its affiliates, including Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. (collectively, *Teva*). Under the terms of the Teva Settlement Agreement, we agreed to grant to Teva a future license to make and sell our generic versions of SOLODYN® in 65mg and 115mg strengths under the SOLODYN® intellectual property rights belonging to us, with the license grant effective in February 2018, or earlier under certain conditions. We also agreed to grant to Teva a future license to make and sell generic versions of SOLODYN® in 55mg, 80mg and 105mg strengths under our SOLODYN® intellectual property rights, with the license grant effective in February 2019, or earlier under certain conditions. The Teva Settlement Agreement provides that Teva will be required to pay us royalties based on sales of Teva's generic SOLODYN® products pursuant to the foregoing licenses. Pursuant to the Teva Settlement Agreement, the companies agreed to terminate all legal disputes between them relating to SOLODYN®. In addition, Teva confirmed that our patents relating to SOLODYN® are valid and enforceable, and cover Teva's activities relating to Teva's generic SOLODYN® products under ANDA No. 65-485 and any amendments and supplements thereto. Teva also agreed to be permanently enjoined from any distribution of generic SOLODYN® products in the U.S. except as described above. The United States District Court for the District of Maryland subsequently entered a permanent injunction against any infringement by Teva.

Classification of LipoSonix as a discontinued operation

On February 25, 2011, we announced that as a result of our strategic planning process and the current regulatory and commercial capital equipment environment, we determined to explore strategic alternatives for our LipoSonix business including, but not limited to, the sale of the stand-alone business. We have engaged Deutsche Bank to assist us in our exploration of strategic alternatives for LipoSonix. As a result of this decision, we now classify the LipoSonix business as a discontinued operation for financial statement reporting purposes.

Increase of our quarterly dividend from \$0.06 per share to \$0.08 per share

On March 22, 2011, we announced that our Board of Directors had declared a cash dividend of \$0.08 per issued and outstanding share of our Class A common stock, which was paid on April 29, 2011, to stockholders of record at the close of business on April 1, 2011. This represented a 33% increase compared to our previous \$0.06 dividend.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain data as a percentage of net revenues for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
	(a)	(b)
Net revenues	100.0%	100.0%
Gross profit (c)	91.3	90.9
Operating expenses	64.4	51.7
Operating income	26.9	39.2
Other expense, net		(0.2)
Interest and investment (expense) income, net	0.1	0.1
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense	27.0	39.1
Income tax expense	(10.8)	(14.9)
Net income from continuing operations	16.2	24.2
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit	(4.4)	(2.8)
Net income	11.8%	21.4%

- (a) Included in operating expenses is \$7.0 million (4.2% of net revenues) paid to Anacor related to a product development agreement and \$6.7 million (4.1% of net revenues) of compensation expense related to stock options, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights.
- (b) Included in operating expenses is \$2.9 million (1.8% of net revenues) of compensation expense related to stock options, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights.
- (c) Gross profit does not include amortization of the related intangibles as such expense is included in operating expenses.

Table of Contents*Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010**Net Revenues*

The following table sets forth our net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (the first quarter of 2011) and March 31, 2010 (the first quarter of 2010), along with the percentage of net revenues and percentage point change for each of our product categories (dollar amounts in millions):

	First Quarter 2011	First Quarter 2010	\$ Change	% Change
Net product revenues	\$163.9	\$163.6	\$0.3	0.2%
Net contract revenues	1.0	1.9	(0.9)	(47.4)%
Total net revenues	\$164.9	\$165.5	\$(0.6)	(0.4)%

	First Quarter 2011	First Quarter 2010	\$ Change	% Change
Acne and acne-related dermatological products	\$103.5	\$120.2	\$(16.7)	(13.9)%
Non-acne dermatological products	52.2	34.2	18.0	52.6%
Non-dermatological products (including contract revenues)	9.2	11.1	(1.9)	(17.1)%
Total net revenues	\$164.9	\$165.5	\$(0.6)	(0.4)%

	First Quarter 2011	First Quarter 2010	Change
Acne and acne-related dermatological products	62.7%	72.6%	(9.9)%
Non-acne dermatological products	31.7%	20.7%	11.0%
Non-dermatological products (including contract revenues)	5.6%	6.7%	(1.1)%
Total net revenues	100.0%	100.0%	

Net revenues associated with our acne and acne-related dermatological products decreased by \$16.7 million, or 13.9%, during the first quarter of 2011 as compared to the first quarter of 2010 primarily as a result of a decrease in net revenues of SOLODYN[®], TRIAZ[®] and ZIANA[®]. The decrease in net revenues of SOLODYN[®] was primarily the result of increased reserves for returns and reserves for consumer rebates, partially offset by an increase in gross sales of SOLODYN[®] due to increased demand and the FDA approval of new 55mg, 80mg and 105mg strengths of SOLODYN[®] on August 27, 2010. The decrease in net revenues of TRIAZ[®] was primarily due to our early 2011 discontinuation of TRIAZ[®] as a result of the FDA's requirement that, effective March 4, 2011, prescription benzoyl peroxide products that are not approved through a New Drug Application, such as TRIAZ[®], not be sold as prescription products. The decrease in net revenues of ZIANA[®] was primarily due to a \$3.9 million reserve recorded during the first quarter of 2011 related to a targeted recall of product from one lot, as a result of a notice we received during

April 2011 from our contract manufacturer regarding one lot of ZIANA® that went out of specifications.

Net revenues associated with our non-acne dermatological products increased by \$18.0 million, or 52.6% during the first quarter of 2011 as compared to the first quarter of 2010, primarily due to increased sales of DYSPORT®, RESTYLANE® and VANOS®, partially offset by a decrease in sales of LOPROX®, which was

Table of Contents

negatively impacted by generic competition. RESTYLANE-L[®] and PERLANE-L[®] were launched during February 2010 following FDA approval on January 29, 2010.

Net revenues associated with our non-dermatological products decreased by \$1.9 million, or 17.1%, during the first quarter of 2011 as compared to the first quarter of 2010 primarily due to a decrease in sales of BUPHENYL[®] and contract revenues.

Gross Profit

Gross profit represents our net revenues less our cost of product revenue. Our cost of product revenue includes our acquisition cost for the products we purchase from our third party manufacturers and royalty payments made to third parties. Amortization of intangible assets related to products sold is not included in gross profit. Amortization expense related to these intangibles for the first quarter of 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$5.5 million and \$5.2 million, respectively. Product mix plays a significant role in our quarterly and annual gross profit as a percentage of net revenues. Different products generate different gross profit margins, and the relative sales mix of higher gross profit products and lower gross profit products can affect our total gross profit.

The following table sets forth our gross profit for the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, along with the percentage of net revenues represented by such gross profit (dollar amounts in millions):

	First Quarter 2011	First Quarter 2010	\$ Change	% Change
Gross profit	\$ 150.6	\$ 150.4	\$0.2	0.1%
% of net revenues	91.3%	90.9%		

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

The following table sets forth our selling, general and administrative expenses for the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, along with the percentage of net revenues represented by selling, general and administrative expenses (dollar amounts in millions):

	First Quarter 2011	First Quarter 2010	\$ Change	% Change
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 84.6	\$ 72.3	\$12.3	17.0%
% of net revenues	51.3%	43.7%		
Share-based compensation expense included in selling, general and administrative	\$ 6.3	\$ 2.9	\$ 3.4	117.2%

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$12.3 million, or 17.0%, during the first quarter of 2011 as compared to the first quarter of 2010, and increased as a percentage of net revenues from 43.7% during the first quarter of 2010 to 51.3% during the first quarter of 2011. Included in this increase was a \$8.5 million increase in personnel expenses, including a \$3.4 million increase in stock compensation expense, primarily related to the revaluation of stock appreciation rights (SARs) awards based on changes in the market price of our common stock, a \$2.5 million increase in professional and consulting costs and an increase of \$1.3 million of other selling, general and administrative costs.

Table of Contents*Research and Development Expenses*

The following table sets forth our research and development expenses for the first quarter of 2011 and 2010 (dollar amounts in millions):

	First Quarter 2011	First Quarter 2010	\$ Change	% Change
Research and development	\$ 14.3	\$ 6.6	\$7.7	116.7%
Charges included in research and development	\$ 7.0	\$	\$7.0	100.0%
Share-based compensation expense included in research and development	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.1	\$0.3	300.0%

Included in research and development expenses for the first quarter of 2011 was a \$7.0 million payment to Anacor related to a product development agreement. We expect research and development expenses to continue to fluctuate from quarter to quarter based on the timing of the achievement of development milestones under license and development agreements, as well as the timing of other development projects and the funds available to support these projects.

Depreciation and Amortization Expenses

Depreciation and amortization expenses during the first quarter of 2011 were \$7.3 million, as compared to \$6.7 million during the first quarter of 2010, primarily due to increased depreciation expense for property and equipment.

Interest and Investment Income

Interest and investment income during the first quarter of 2011 increased \$0.1 million, or 9.8%, to \$1.3 million from \$1.2 million during the first quarter of 2010, due to an increase in the amount of funds available for investment during the first quarter of 2011.

Interest Expense

Interest expense during the first quarter of 2011 and the first quarter of 2010 was \$1.1 million. Our interest expense during the first quarter of 2011 and 2010 consisted of interest expense on our Old Notes, which accrue interest at 2.5% per annum, and our New Notes, which accrue interest at 1.5% per annum. See Note 11 in our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion on the Old Notes and New Notes.

Other Expense, net

Other expense of \$0.3 million recognized during the first quarter of 2010 represented an other-than-temporary impairment on an asset-backed security investment.

Income Tax Expense

Our effective tax rate for continuing operations for the first quarter of 2011 was 40.1%, as compared to 38.1% for the first quarter of 2010.

Loss from Discontinued Operations, Net of Income Tax Benefit

Loss from discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit, was \$7.3 million during the first quarter of 2011, as compared to \$4.6 million during the first quarter of 2010. See Note 2 in our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

The following table highlights selected cash flow components for the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, and selected balance sheet components as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollar amounts in millions):

	First Quarter 2011	First Quarter 2010	\$ Change	% Change
Cash provided by (used in):				
Operating activities	\$ 91.2	\$ 38.2	\$ 53.0	138.7%
Investing activities	(9.4)	(142.0)	132.6	93.4%
Financing activities	6.5	(1.3)	7.8	600.0%
	Mar. 31, 2011	Dec. 31, 2010	\$ Change	% Change
Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	\$ 775.5	\$ 703.6	\$71.9	10.2%
Working capital	638.6	628.4	10.2	1.6%
Long-term investments	32.2	21.5	10.7	49.8%
2.5% contingent convertible senior notes due 2032	169.1	169.1		%
1.5% contingent convertible senior notes due 2033	0.2	0.2		%
	31			

Table of Contents*Working Capital*

Working capital as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, consisted of the following (dollar amounts in millions):

	Mar. 31, 2011	Dec. 31, 2010	\$ Change	% Change
Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	\$ 775.5	\$ 703.6	\$ 71.9	10.2%
Accounts receivable, net	99.6	130.6	(31.0)	(23.7)%
Inventories, net	34.4	35.3	(0.9)	(2.5)%
Deferred tax assets, net	79.4	70.5	8.9	12.6%
Other current assets	18.1	15.2	2.9	19.1%
Assets held for sale from discontinued operations	10.1	13.1	(3.0)	(22.9)%
Total current assets	1,017.1	968.3	48.8	5.0%
Accounts payable	45.3	41.0	4.3	10.5%
Reserve for sales returns	73.8	60.7	13.1	21.6%
Accrued consumer rebate and loyalty programs	121.7	101.7	20.0	19.7%
Managed care and Medicaid reserves	49.2	49.4	(0.2)	(0.4)%
Income taxes payable	15.1	4.6	10.5	228.3%
Other current liabilities	67.5	75.2	(7.7)	(10.2)%
Liabilities held for sale from discontinued operations	5.9	7.3	(1.4)	(19.2)%
Total current liabilities	378.5	339.9	38.6	11.4%
Working capital	\$ 638.6	\$ 628.4	\$ 10.2	1.6%

We had cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$775.5 million and working capital of \$638.6 million at March 31, 2011, as compared to \$703.6 million and \$628.4 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010. The increase in cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments was primarily due to the generation of \$91.2 million of operating cash flow during the first quarter of 2011.

Accounts receivable, net, decreased \$31.0 million, or 23.7%, from \$130.6 million at December 31, 2010 to \$99.6 million at March 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a \$14.8 million decrease in gross sales during the month of March 2011 as compared to the month of December 2010. As our standard payment terms are 30 days, orders that occur during the last month of a quarter are typically not due for payment until after the end of the quarter. Gross sales during the month of March 2011 were \$125.6 million, or 36.9% of the total gross sales for the first quarter of 2011, as compared to gross sales during the month of December 2010 of \$140.4 million, or 45.1% of total gross sales for the fourth quarter of 2010. Days sales outstanding, calculated as accounts receivable, net, as of the end of the reporting period, divided by total gross sales for the quarter, multiplied by the number of days in the quarter, was 26 days as of March 31, 2011 as compared to 39 days as of December 31, 2010. The decrease in days sales outstanding was primarily due to the timing of orders placed by customers during the first quarter of 2011 as compared to the fourth quarter of 2010. Although less of the customers purchases during the first quarter of 2011 occurred during the last month of the quarter as compared to the last month of the fourth quarter of 2010, their total purchases for the first quarter of 2011 were consistent with previous quarters. We sell our products primarily to major wholesalers and retail chain drugstores. We have distribution services agreements with our two largest wholesale customers. We review the supply levels of our significant products sold to major wholesalers by reviewing periodic

inventory reports that are supplied to us by our major wholesalers in accordance with the distribution services agreements. We rely wholly upon our wholesale and retail chain drugstore customers to effect the distribution allocation of substantially all of our prescription products. We also defer the recognition of revenue for certain sales of inventory into the distribution channel that are in excess of eight (8) weeks of projected demand,

Table of Contents

and we defer the recognition of revenue of our aesthetics products DYSPO[®], PERLANE[®] and RESTYLANE[®], until our exclusive U.S. distributor, McKesson, ships these products to physicians. There has not been a significant change in inventories in the distribution channel during the quarter ended March 31, 2011.

Management believes existing cash and short-term investments, together with funds generated from operations, should be sufficient to meet operating requirements for the foreseeable future. Our cash and short-term investments are available for dividends, milestone payments related to our product development collaborations, strategic investments, acquisitions of companies or products complementary to our business, the repayment of outstanding indebtedness, repurchases of our outstanding securities and other potential large-scale needs. In addition, we may consider incurring additional indebtedness and issuing additional debt or equity securities in the future to fund potential acquisitions or investments, to refinance existing debt or for general corporate purposes. If a material acquisition or investment is completed, our operating results and financial condition could change materially in future periods. However, no assurance can be given that additional funds will be available on satisfactory terms, or at all, to fund such activities.

As of March 31, 2011, our short-term investments included \$20.8 million of auction rate floating securities. Our auction rate floating securities are debt instruments with a long-term maturity and with an interest rate that is reset in short intervals through auctions. During the three months ended March 31, 2008, we were informed that there was insufficient demand at auction for the auction rate floating securities, and since that time we have been unable to liquidate our holdings in such securities. As a result, these affected auction rate floating securities are now considered illiquid, and we could be required to hold them until they are redeemed by the holder at maturity or until a future auction on these investments is successful. During the first quarter of 2011, we liquidated \$1.1 million of our auction rate floating securities at par.

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities during the first quarter of 2011 was approximately \$91.2 million, compared to cash provided by operating activities of approximately \$38.2 million during the first quarter of 2010. The following is a summary of the primary components of cash provided by operating activities during the first quarter of 2011 and 2010 (in millions):

	First Quarter 2011	First Quarter 2010
Income taxes paid	\$ (6.0)	\$ (16.8)
Payment made to Anacor related to development agreement	(7.0)	
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable	31.5	(15.5)
Increase (decrease) in reserve for returns	13.1	(4.3)
Increase in other current liabilities	3.1	10.5
Cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations	(5.5)	(3.9)
Other cash provided by operating activities	62.0	68.2
Cash provided by operating activities	\$91.2	\$ 38.2

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities during the first quarter of 2011 was approximately \$9.4 million, compared to net cash used in investing activities during the first quarter of 2010 of \$142.0 million. The change was primarily due to the net purchases and sales of our short-term and long-term investments during the respective quarters.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities during the first quarter of 2011 was \$6.5 million, compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$1.3 million during the first quarter of 2010. Proceeds from the exercise of stock options were \$9.5 million during the first quarter of 2011 compared to \$0.9 million during the first quarter of 2010. Dividends

paid during the first quarter of 2011 were \$3.6 million, and dividends paid during the first quarter of 2010 were \$2.4 million.

Table of Contents

Contingent Convertible Senior Notes and Other Long-Term Commitments

We have two outstanding series of Contingent Convertible Senior Notes, consisting of \$169.1 million principal amount of 2.5% Contingent Convertible Senior Notes due 2032 (the Old Notes) and \$0.2 million principal amount of 1.5% Contingent Convertible Senior Notes due 2033 (the New Notes). The New Notes and the Old Notes are unsecured and do not contain any restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness or the repurchase of our securities, and do not contain any financial covenants. The Old Notes do not contain any restrictions on the payment of dividends. The New Notes require an adjustment to the conversion price if the cumulative aggregate of all current and prior dividend increases above \$0.025 per share would result in at least a one percent (1%) increase in the conversion price. This threshold has not been reached and no adjustment to the conversion price has been made. On June 4, 2012 and 2017, or upon the occurrence of a change in control, holders of the Old Notes may require us to offer to repurchase their Old Notes for cash. On June 4, 2013 and 2018, or upon the occurrence of a change in control, holders of the New Notes may require us to offer to repurchase their New Notes for cash.

Except for the New Notes and Old Notes, we had only \$5.0 million of long-term liabilities at March 31, 2011, and we had \$378.5 million of current liabilities at March 31, 2011. Our other commitments and planned expenditures consist principally of payments we will make in connection with strategic collaborations and research and development expenditures, and we will continue to invest in sales and marketing infrastructure.

Dividends

We do not have a dividend policy. Prior to July 2003, we had not paid a cash dividend on our common stock. Since July 2003, we have paid quarterly cash dividends aggregating approximately \$63.5 million on our common stock. In addition, on March 22, 2011, we announced that our Board of Directors had declared a cash dividend of \$0.08 per issued and outstanding share of common stock, which was paid on April 29, 2011, to our stockholders of record at the close of business on April 1, 2011. This represents a 33% increase compared to our previous \$0.06 dividend. Any future determinations to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will be dependent upon our financial condition,